

Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from MD District #6

These are the 1,661 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative John Delaney's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs> under the proceeding 17-108.

1. Donald Marks Jr., Germantown, MD, 20876
Do not kill Net Neutrality. Equal internet access is in the best interest of our country and all of it's people. Do your job - defend the nation's communications infrastructure. Corporations are not people, stop looking out for their interests.
2. Mark Broeker, Clarksburg, MD, 20871
These rules protect consumers and should be kept. We can not trust providers to be fair with consumers when they are constantly out to maximize profits.
3. Joe McDaniel, Grantsville, MD, 21536
The FCC must correct the lack of "last Mile" access in rural areas. Net neutrality is vital! The ISPs don't need help, they need a slap on the hand (or better).
4. Barrett Smith, Poolesville, MD, 20837
What corporations have shown us repeatedly is that they value profits over people and do not act in accordance with their assertions that they can be trusted. The changes proposed allow corporations to establish multi-tiered systems and trust they will not degrade access for non-paying content providers when based on their past behavior we have reason to expect they in fact will degrade access for those that do not pay. The entire purpose of the net neutrality rules was to ensure that the populace did not have to trust in corporations' integrity to protect the ability of new companies and alternative opinions to reach consumers.

5. Jennifer Goff, Frostburg, MD, 21532
Whether we like it or not, the internet has become THE primary source for information today. (And honestly, as a college professor, I would love it if I could get my students to open an actual book... but I'll go with the times.) An open internet that treats all data as equal is essential to a free and open exchange of ideas. We cannot have different corporations buying rights to what is counted as authoritative information. We cannot have some internet resources becoming easier or harder to access. This is our new public library. And we the people need unfettered access to the knowledge contained therein. Please keep the internet open! Educators and inquisitive minds and leaders and citizens are depending on it.
6. Daniel Morgan, Gaithersburg, MD, 20886
The internet needs to be treated like a Utility, to ensure all American have affordable, and unrestricted access to the information, and resources that it provides. You are a government entity for the people, by the people. Yet, you are undoing what the previous administration protected. We maybe a free market, but there are certain things that must be regulated. No ISP should be allowed to Sell my Data, Limit my usage, or charge me more, because I use the internet different. Unrestricted access to be provided to all regardless. You want free market to reign? then provide programs that expand and promote the growth of reliability and competition between the companies. Do not put the burden on the american people because these Cable providers fail to innovate and expand their territories. Keep the current Net Neutrality policies by the Obama administration, and protect the american people, and not corporate interests!
7. Will Seaton, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
Well, it's obvious the ISPs have their bots ready to go. This filing is a sham, and anyone who thinks that ending net neutrality is a good thing, shouldn't be in the position to make decisions. Net Neutrality does not in any way, shape or form harm consumers. It's quite the opposite, it protects consumers from the greed of major ISPs across the country. The idea that ending net neutrality would foster competition is a flat out lie. ISPs already have regional monopolies, and that isn't changing. When someone tells you about the difficulties and expenses of laying newer infrastructure in forms of new fiber, you can thank the same lobbyist that are trying to put an end to net neutrality and put more money in the top 1%'s pockets.
8. Marida Hines, Washington Grove, MD, 20880
The FCC Chairman intends to reverse net neutrality rules and put big Internet Service Providers in charge of the internet. I am firmly against this action, because these ISPs will operate solely in their own interests and not in the public interest. They will be able to restrict access to content from competitors,

negative content about their own performance, and political or social views they disagree with. They can destroy the consumer market of creative new TV providers that have revitalized television programming in recent years and force consumer back to their tired cable programming. Reversing net neutrality protections will destroy an engine for innovation, growth, and communication. The internet is the modern equivalent of the Town Square, and should be protected as part of our right to free speech. Consumers, small businesses, and all Americans deserve an open internet.

9. Peter R. Cohen MD, Rockville, MD, 20853
I support a strong net neutrality that is backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
10. Suzanne Brown, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Put the people first! We need to continue fair and open access to the internet through strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II
11. Sean Dillon, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I am very much in favor of strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II
12. Adrian Nelson, Rockville, MD, 20853
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Ajit Pai - Go FCC* yourself
13. Elya Nassaj, Potomac, MD, 20854
I want FCC regulation of ISP's under title II and strong net neutrality.
14. Jeanmaire Remes, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
I support strong Internet neutrality, which is why we need Title II oversight of ISPs. Do not sell out to corporate interests.
15. Seung Koo Han, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
Please uphold Title II for net neutrality. I do not trust ISP's to regulate themselves when it comes matters of net neutrality, and want to keep federal oversight.
16. Jackson Dunitz, Rockville, MD, 20853
Please keep ISP classified as title 2 and keep net neutrality. Don't let ISPs voluntarily promise and then rescind. Keep strong regulations to keep the internet awesome.
17. ramon bacani, Germantown, MD, 20874
I strongly support net neutrality. The FCC should not change anything and giving control to companies to speed up or slow down websites. Would only give an in fair advantage to bigger companies/sites leaving the smaller companies/sites with an uphill climb.

18. Jared, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I support strong net neutrality acts and title 2. do not get rid of this
19. Matt Perrin, Frederick, MD, 21703
I strongly urge the FCC to create and maintain strong Title II Net Neutrality rules.
20. Eric Anthony, Montgomery Village, MD, 20879
Please preserve net neutrality and also preserve title II amongst all internet provider companies.
21. Allen Holman, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
It's incredible to have the head of the FCC as a lying puppet of the telecom industry. It's completely untrue that infrastructure investment immediately decreased or that there is no precedent of ISPs interfering in consumer traffic. ISPs absolutely have favored paid traffic, modified traffic in transit to insert ads, and redirected or blocked traffic intended for other sites. This has all really happened. Further saying that net neutrality blocks innovation is 100% untrue. Without net neutrality, established players (even if they were innovative when they first started) can pull up the ladder behind themselves, making it almost impossible for small startups to compete. I am absolutely opposed to removing this regulation or moving ISP classification back to Title I
22. Gabriel Yepes, Bethesda, MD, 20817
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight. This issue is deeply important to me and I hope to see the preservation of true net neutrality in the United States.
23. Pamela Faulkner, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
I support strick regulations supporting Net Neutrality. I support the removal of Ajit Pai. ISPs should be regulated by Title II.
24. James A. Taylor, LaVale, MD, 21502
I would like to make known that I fully support strong Net Neutrality backed by TITLE II oversight of ISPs.
25. Howard Losiewicz, Cumberland, MD, 21502
I would like to express my support for maintaining ISP's under Tittle II and keeping the current net neutrality rules in place. Only when four or five high-speed ISP providers are available to 80% or the U.S. population can we rely on the marketplace to replace FCC rules regarding net neutrality and the common carrier protections. Reclassification under Title I will only place too much power into the monopolistic corporations that operate this critical American infrastructure. The FCC should be concentrating on increasing consumer choices and expanding high-speed internet choices to consumers. High-speed

internet expands opportunities to rural communities for commerce, medicine and education but far too many communities are stifled by the lack of competition between high-speed ISP's resulting in the exorbitant prices charged by the incumbents. Thus, I respectfully ask the Commission to reject the plan to move ISP's to Title I. Lastly, there is no evidence supporting the Chairman's statement that Title II classification has stopped investment. Trusting the ISP's to 'do the right thing' will lose out to generating quarterly dividends and the quest for greater revenue generated from granting preferential treatment of data packets if Title II and net neutrality are repealed

26. pamela maddox, frederick, MD, 21702
Do not mess with Net Neutrality! FCC Keep companies HONEST!
27. Janice Beall, Cumberland, MD, 21502
Please, please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. We have to stop selling everything to the highest bidder.
28. Joseph Regler, Brunswick, MD, 21716
It is important that we keep safeguards in place regarding net neutrality. Net neutrality makes the Internet a level playing field and the government needs to work tirelessly to protect that. Weakening these regulations is a horrible idea and leaves consumers vulnerable.
29. David Katz, Frederick, MD, 21702
Salutations. My name is David Katz and I would like to formally state that I support strong Net Neutrality through regulation of ISPs through Title 2. Please keep Net Neutrality strong. Thank you for your time.Sincerely,David Katz
30. Merry Eisner Heidorn, Potomac, MD, 20854
As a small business owner and internet publisher, net neutrality is critical to ensuring my company's profitability (which includes my ability to employ a growing number of journalists). Want jobs? Keep net neutrality!
31. Jonathan Byrd, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
Protecting Net Neutrality is essential to the future of the internet. Please support Type II!
32. Elizabeth Elgin, Boyds, MD, 20841
"I back strong net neutrality back by Title II oversight of ISP's."
33. Christina Micioni, Poolesville, MD, 20837
Please keep strong net neutrality laws in place to protect the consumer. By allowing large corporations to be in control, this hurts the freedom of information and entertainment to the consumer.

34. Paul Shadid, Germantown, MD, 20874
Keep Title II regulations....resit efforts to return to Title I
35. Bianca Kmiecziak, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
I strongly support preserving net neutrality and title II!
36. Brian Perry, Potomac, MD, 20854
I am commenting in support of Title II regulation of ISPs and strong net neutrality. Net neutrality drives competition, innovation, and accessibility of internet content by ensuring ISPs cannot monopolize or restrict any internet content. Net neutrality is only possible through strong, universal regulation such as is found in Title II.
37. Leyla Esfandiary, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Former Verizon attorney attempts to dismiss net neutrality?!!! How convenient for Verizon and Verizon alike!!! REMEMBER, YOU ARE A PUBLIC SERVANT NOW!!! Start acting like one!
38. Nickolaus Marek, Gaithersburg, MD, 20882
I specifically support regulating internet providers under title II of the communications act of 1934.
39. Graham Barlow, Darnestown, MD, 20878
I wish to not change the laws regulating the internet providers such as Verizon. I know that they won't be fair with any changes done not just because of how terrible the customer service is known to be at many of these providers but there have also been examples of abuse already like when Comcast had slowed Netflix's internet speeds to a crawl during contract renegotiations.
40. Adam Kochman, Potomac, MD, 20854
I support the regulation of ISPs for free internet provided by Title 2
41. Robert Prada, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
Please preserve net neutrality. As time and time again shows companies will get greedy and throttle down services to make theirs seem better.
42. Gregory M Lewis, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
I am a professional software engineer and I work every day creating websites and other web technologies that requires the internet to be free and open by keeping Title 2 classification in place for internet service providers
43. Margaret Slaughter, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
Keep Net Neutrality, the free economy depends on it. It belongs to the people not the wealthy.

44. Devin Imirie, Germantown, MD, 20874
Hey, I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. They don't need more control over the free Internet. So...could you guys keep Net Neutrality around? Thanks.
45. Christopher Clark, Frederick, MD, 21701
I am very saddened by the FCC's consideration to roll back net neutrality rules. I believe the future of the internet must be protected with strong net neutrality rules backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
46. Maria A Toribio, Rockville, MD, 20853
I support strong Net Neutrality Title II
47. Sean McGuire, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. I would trust FCC regulation to control the ability of internet service providers to control access and internet speed rather than self regulation.
48. Stephanie O'Brien, Frederick, MD, 21701
I am writing in support of continuing the current FCC policy of Net Neutrality as well as continuing the practice of regulating ISPs under Title II.
49. Barry Geisler, Potomac, MD, 20854
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. It is the ONLY way to ensure net neutrality for consumers. And please tell the FCC chairman he looks like FOOL with that Reese's mug!!
50. Pim Linders, gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Regulating ISPS under title 2 is the only way to maintain an open internet. Changing this regulation will for certain affect competition on the internet. People and corporations act on incentives, this change will provide corporations with the incentive to wrongly hurt their competition by degrading their service in order to favor their own. If netflix wasn't around today and the rules were changed to allow comcast to favor it's own streaming service, would netflix ever had an opportunity the become what it is today? Don't hurt startups and competition, leave the internet open by regulating ISPS under title 2.
51. Francisco Reinoso, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
I fully support keeping Net neutrality backed by Title 2
52. R F Travis, Germantown, MD, 20874
Net Neutrality matters more than corporate bullying
53. Pavel Gutin, North Potomac, MD, 20878
I strongly support net neutrality. Regulation need to exist to ensure that all

traffic to and from the internet is treated equally.

54. Meredith Geisler, Potomac, MD, 20854
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. It is the ONLY way to ensure net neutrality for consumers. And please tell the FCC chairman he looks like FOOL with that Reese's mug!!
55. Steve Kennedy, Frostburg, MD, 21532
Net Neutrality is extremely important for a functioning Democracy and Capitalism. It is central to freedom and the ideals of all Americans. Sacrificing all of this to line the pockets of Verizon and friends does not demonstrate anything but corruption and apathy. Please do not move this to Title I
56. Justin Tuell, Frostburg, MD, 21532
The government has failed to prove how this will be good for consumers and everyday Americans. This opens the door to regulations which could drastically change the way people use the internet and hinder overall productivity. Additionally, the name of this proceeding is extremely misleading. It mentions "freedom", but the contents of the legislation could certainly be used in the future to REDUCE freedom.
57. David Schooley, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
We support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISPs)! Anything else is just trying to trick Americans into letting ISPs charge them more, or illegally throttle / segregate a fair and open internet.
58. Jonathan Connelly, Brunswick, MD, 21716
I strongly back net neutrality rules backed by Title II classification of ISPs. The companies themselves have said it does not dramatically impact their investment, and there is no reason to trust them to agree to this principle of net neutrality if the FCC does not regulate them to do so. The Internet has not fallen apart since the classification, nor is it in any danger of doing so. Do not mess with something when there is no clear reason or desire to do so. I urge the FCC to continue to protect the free and open Internet by not reclassifying ISPs.
59. Doug Diehl, Lavale, MD, 21502
The FCC needs to preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. Strong enforceable net neutrality is absolutely essential to a free market and an open non-biased forum for information exchange.
60. Brett Schwartz, Frederick, MD, 21701
Please continue equal access to online information. Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.
61. Net neutrality, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877

I fully support net neutrality regulations under Title 2 and strongly oppose any reclassification to Title 1. Please stop fucking around.

62. Tiberious, Rockville, MD, 20850

How can a person like Ajit Pai be taken seriously when talking about Net Neutrality in any way when he is a FORMER VERIZON ATTORNEY. He is clearly still working for verizon in trying to get them more money by controlling the flow of internet to American Citizens. Preserve Title 2 and Net Neutrality. No company or person should be allowed to tell another what they can and can't do on the internet. Let alone but a strangle hold on some websites or services because it isn't from the internet provider. That is insanity. Fire Mr. Pai. He is unfit for this job and save Net Neutrality.

63. Jim Sledge, Germantown, MD, 20874

I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Private companies cannot be trusted to protect the interest of individual citizens. The FCC must insure we are protected and enforce our access.

64. Caroline Pledger, Clarksburg, MD, 20871

I give my strongest support to net neutrality, especially its filing for ISPs to remain classified under Title 2.

65. Steven Summers, Frederick, MD, 21701

When regulated parties ask for deregulation with the promise that nothing will change, if regulation is relaxed the exact behavior that was previously prohibited immediately becomes the norm. If you need an example, look at the repeal of the voting rights act. Internet Service Providers must remain classified as Title II entities.

66. William Mullins, Frederick, MD, 21703

Support Net Neutrality. Net neutrality shouldn't even be a question.

67. Roy Standing, Rockville, MD, 20855

Net Neutrality is a vital protection to provide a level playing field for internet users. The existing cases of ISPs manipulating bandwidth for commercial advantage can not be ignored and must be prevented. The Title II protection is fundamental to preventing abuse and ensuing neutrality. Congress was clear that Title II protection was the best and strongest method to preserve net neutrality. The argument that Title II protection is not necessary is specious. Companies such as Verizon have publicly committed that net neutrality does not and will not affect their investments. The case law of net neutrality violations demonstrate this is a necessary protection. A net neutral internet is a strong and vibrant internet, encourages entrepreneurship, and maximizes value. Please support net neutrality and maintain Title II protection for it.

68. Stan, Germantown, MD, 20876
I strongly support net-neutrality backed by Title II over site of ISPs
69. Brandon M. Weller, Hancock, MD, 21750
Keep net neutrality. Keep a unbiased consumer internet.
70. Ryan Downing, Germantown, MD, 20874
This cannot be allowed as it endangers the rights of Americans to have access to the legal content and information that they need or want.
71. John, Brunswick, MD, 21716
Please preserve the Obama area net neutrality regulations! Do not de-regulate!The preservation of Title 2 is crucial to the future of the internet!
72. Jeremy Rice, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
I support strong net neutrality rules on the standing of Title II.
73. Sharon Schroebel, Keedysville, MD, 21756
I am strongly opposed to changing current net neutrality law. As a US citizen we have a right to fair and open internet access. Internet content should not be based on which company is willing to pay the most to have their internet content faster and more important than others.
74. Joseph Seppy, North Potomac, MD, 20878
I specifically support strong internet net neutrality backed by Title II enforcement of ISPs.
75. Symon Ginsburg, Rockville, MD, 20853
I strongly internet utilities should be backed with strong Title 2 protections is necessary for, internet based businesses to remain competitive. ISPs like Verizon and Comcast control a lot of infrastructure and will make business decisions in their own self interests, harming the nature of the free market on the internet.
76. Anish Khatri, Germantown, MD, 20874
I support Title II regulations for all ISPs. ISPs cannot be trusted to ensure that the internet is treated fairly and not create "fast lanes" for businesses that pay for priority. In a capitalistic economy, ISPs cannot be trusted to keep the consumer's best interest in mind. Not only is this bad for consumers, it is bad for small businesses and will infringe on their ability to build their business. Net Neutrality and Title II MUST BE PRESERVED.
77. Kit Wood, Frederick, MD, 21703
Please preserve net neutrality and the title II classification of broadband internet providers.

78. Stephen Furlani, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
I support strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISP. Despite Arjit Pai's comments to the contrary, there is strong evidence which shows that ISP providers throttled content providers before 2015. Netflix vs Comcast: <https://technical.ly/philly/2014/05/09/graph-shows-netflix-speeds-changed-comcast-deal-comcast-roundup/> CBS vs Time Warner Cable: https://www.nytimes.com/2014/05/02/business/media/as-netflix-resists-most-firms-just-try-to-befriend-comcast.html?_r=0 It is because of these events and more that strong regulation of ISPs under Title II is necessary.
79. matthew davis, DERWOOD, MD, 20855
Please keep net neutrality free and the way it is.
80. Christopher Lippold, Cumberland, MD, 21502
I am writing to express my wishes that you preserve net neutrality and Title II.
81. Derek Donn, Cumberland, MD, 21502
I fully support net neutrality. ISP's should not dictate what I can better use my internet bandwidth (that I paid for) on. If I have an interest or opinion not shared by the general public, my access to that interest should not be stifled. If you need an example, watch the play/movie Enemy of the People.
82. Mike Sener, Boonsboro, MD, 21713
I strongly and unwaveringly support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Pai, can there be any doubt that you are another of Trump's greedy millionaire's who has simply a big business agenda instead what's right and just for society. Please rethink serving wealthy business interests and try being and advocate for the people, which is what your job is all about. The wealth distribution profiles of this country are, and have been for many years, profoundly disturbing.
83. David Thibeau, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
C'mon use some of those brain cells. Gotta keep net neutrality.
84. Tushar Agrawal, GERMANTOWN, MD, 20874
I was net neutrality to access internet equally without favourism to any service by ISPs. This country shouldn't let ISPs select what is given preference. Otherwise there is no difference in communism and freedom.
85. Gloria Quach, Poolesville, MD, 20837
I support strong net neutrality protections backed by Title II oversight. Internet access in the modern world is crucial to access information, services, employment and other vital needs. A neutral network allows for free market principles to enable competition, innovation and drive down prices.

86. Ahmad Rifai, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
I strongly support Net Neutrality. Title 2 Oversight needs to remain in control of ISP's. ISP's and Cable Companies have failed the American people over and over again. We all agree that prices for TV and Internet are way too high with the lowest customer service ratings. Do not give these companies more control over our lives.
87. Mattew, Maugansville, MD, 21767
I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. We cannot trust ISPs to police themselves or put the self-interest of the public over their profits. The internet must remain neutral to assure a fair chance for everyone.
88. Barry Hill, Frederick, MD, 21701
As a resident of Maryland with only one high speed broadband provider, I believe it is the governments duty to regulate the ISPs with a strong Net Neutrality.
89. Net Nuetrality, Potomac, MD, 20854
People's right to privacy must be protected on the web.
90. Douglas Caviness, Frederick, MD, 21701
Please keep ISPs under the jurisdiction of the Title 2. I do not believe the ISPs are capable of self regulating net neutrality.
91. Gregory Kramida, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
Net neutrality should be preserved under Title II. This is the only way to ensure and enforce fair propagation of information through the Internet, the only way to at least somewhat keep money away from controlling the media, and the only way to keep small and emerging internet-based businesses from getting crushed by existing large corporations.
92. Ian Hartman, Rockville, MD, 20853
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. It's the best way to keep internet access as the utility it needs to be.
93. Alex Shapovalov, Derwood, MD, 20855
Internet is one of the backbones of modern society and must be protected.
94. Matt Lillard, Cumberland, MD, 21502
A free and open internet as backed by Title II and currently in effect is not having any negative impact on providers. This will only lead to greater issues between ISP and edge providers. Please reconsider what you are trying to put forward.

95. Johann Miller, Frederick, MD, 21704
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 support.
96. David Brock, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I am concerned that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. I support Strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title II classification of ISPs, and including major oversight over ISP's
97. Richard Keohane, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
I believe the "Internet Freedom" title is misleading. The effect of the proposal will, in fact, limit consumer's freedom on the internet. It will allow internet service providers to slow or even block the competition's websites, advertisements, or services. It will allow internet service providers to offer the same freedoms that consumers have now but at a higher price. I believe that the FCC made the right move reclassifying Internet Service Providers under Title II, as a utility provider. To reclassify them now would be to erode American citizens' freedom on the internet.
98. Steven J. Dorr, Frederick, MD, 21701
Leave Net Neutrality protection under Title 2. Don't allow cable companies to do whatever is good for their stockholders. The airwaves belong to many more players than company owners. Don't stifle small actor creativity. Keep the internet Neutral.
99. Jonathan Styer, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Please continue to include telecommunications services under Title II of the Communications Act. Strict net neutrality rules, including prohibitions on site and app blocking, speed throttling, and paid fast lanes, protect freedom of speech and the free market. The slowing investment in infostructure is worth additional protection of consumers. This is not a situation where we can trust tele-communication companies to self govern. We have already seen Comcast slow Netflix bandwidth and ATT favor Dish Network in data caps. The temptation to optimize profits using horizontal monopolies is too great.
100. Ernest Kent, Boyds, MD, 20841
I urgently request that you retain strong "Net Neutrality" provisions to ensure equal access to all of this vital resource.
101. Kelly Forney-Stevens, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I don't agree with the reclassification of internet communication from Title 2 to Title 1. Please preserve net neutrality in title 2 as it currently is.
102. Becca, Derwood, MD, 20855
Protect net neutrality by keeping ISPs classified under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Net neutrality is not something that can be left to

the discretion of Internet Service Providers. The internet is too vital an information resource to allow its access to be determined by profit.

103. Kyle Morton, Potomac, MD, 20854

I am writing to express my concern over net neutrality. Moving internet regulations back to Title 1 would provide such minimal oversight that internet service providers could use internet speeds in ways that would unfairly market certain websites and products while slowing the speeds of competitors' sites to a crawl. While this is a concern, the logical end of these practices could eventually lead to the point where internet service providers could limit free speech by slowing websites with differing views to nothing. No one should have the freedom to manipulate the internet in a way that would squelch young companies or severely limit free speech. This is why I believe that the internet must be remain under Title 2 so that it remains free for all commerce and ideas to be expressed equally.

104. Nicholas Castro, Frederick, MD, 21701

Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. It is necessary to stop the increasing biases that are ever so present in the media and online nowadays. Thank you for your time and I hope that this and thousands of other people will be able to get this message across before it is too late.

105. Net Neutrality, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

Net Neutrality, in the modern age, is a fundamental component of free speech and free enterprise. I strongly support regulating ISPs like Verizon under Title II legislation.

106. Ellen Kreis, Dickerson, MD, 20842

It is essential that you preserve net neutrality and Title II Net Neutrality Rules!!!

107. Jordan Gunning, north potomac, MD, 20878

I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. The idea of ISPs being allowed to self-police is laughable and would harm consumers.

108. Anthony Rizzo, Damascus, MD, 20872

Net neutrality is a fundamental component of competition. Do not get rid of it.

109. Karen Treber, Frostburg, MD, 21532

I am writing to let FCC know that I support net neutrality and the preservation of Title II and am requesting that the FCC continue to require compliance with it and not weaken it or eliminate it. Do not allow the internet to be manipulated more by corporate interests. Thank you.

110. mary irey, Rockville, MD, 20850

I want you to preserve net neutrality. Leave Title 2 as it is

111. Lancelot Wathieu, Potomac, MD, 20854
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please don't mess this up.
112. Walter Bader, Gaithersburg, MD, 20886
I support keeping net neutrality rules.
113. Prem Chandrasekhar, Potomac, MD, 20854
Having the internet be equal for everyone and not just those in the interest of big corporations and ISPs is necessary in this era.
114. Title II, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The free and open internet will be greatly damaged by the elimination of the title. Please continue to preserve net neutrality and preserve Title II and its enforcement!
115. Sean Patrick McErlain, Williamsport, MD, 21795
I am in support of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Access to the Internet must be regulated as a Title 2 utility.
116. Nicolas Segura, Potomac, MD, 20854
I am writing to support net neutrality and an open internet. I believe that this FCC decision will be harmful to both consumers and the proliferation of innovation that an open internet has fostered. Service providers should not have the right to prioritize data or favor the data of one service over another. Internet service providers should be treated as a utility provider in this regard. The alternative will inevitably result in an anti-competitive, anti-consumer marketplace of companies with too much power over the most important resource, information source, and leveler of our modern age. This is too important to get wrong.
117. Rahin Chowdhury, North Potomac, MD, 20878
Hello Ajit Pai I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
118. Simon Hornby, Frederick, MD, 21704
I fully support net neutrality and oppose the FCC's plans to eliminate this rule.
119. Susan Singley, Frederick, MD, 21703
I fully support continued strong net neutrality back by title 2 oversight of all ISP's. The internet has become a conveyor of free speech, of free enterprise, and greater connections between peoples. It has, in many ways, made our world smaller by bridging distances between peoples of the earth. Support Net Neutrality, Support Connections between all people, not just the ones with

deeper pockets.

120. JT Walsh, Boonsboro, MD, 21713
I support the Internet being in the Title II categorization to allow oversight over Internet Service Providers. Thank You
121. Hannah Young, Ijamsville, MD, 21754
Please preserve Title II. I am strongly in favor of net neutrality.
122. Deborah Halpern, Rockville, MD, 20853
I support strong net neutrality! ISPs and other commercial ventures should not have the ability to manipulate access/speeds to users.
123. Guillaume Pierre-Louis, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I STRONGLY support net neutrality and Title II, and disagree with any policies that may limit or interrupt access to any of the internet's myriad of services.
124. Kyle Reutter, Frederick, MD, 21703
To whomever this concerns, It cannot be emphasized enough how much I support strong net neutrality under Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please relay this to Mr. Pai with the strongest emphasis possible. The internet was created under the principle that information and commerce would be exchanged as freely as possible. This cannot be accomplished when an ISP showcases favoritism to certain groups. companies, sites or products that utilize the internet. The notion that "light-touch regulation" will ensure free access to information and services is quite frankly, laughable. ISP's require firm oversight if our digital rights are to be preserved and you, the FCC, are the group to ensure that they are regulated effectively. Thank you, Kyle Reutter
125. Jack rosenzweig, Boyds, MD, 20841
Leave the internet as Type II utility ... net neutrality is important and the ISPs can not be trusted to self regulate.
126. John Gemmill, GAITHERSBURG, MD, 20878
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs to protect ALL Internet users and keep the Internet open for all of us.
127. Anatoiliy Ponomarov, Rockville, MD, 20853
Reversing the Net Neutrality rules will fundamentally undermine the advantages to small business and the entrepreneurial spirit that open Internet brings.
128. David, Frederick, MD, 21701
I strongly support net neutrality and ISPs being classified title II. To trust in a massive corporation to do the right thing and what is best for consumers when

alternate action is in their financial interest is naive at best and morally bankrupt at worst. The country's ISPs are already under regulated when it comes to anti-trust laws, they provide little to no competition and consumer choice. Giving each further powers not only to be the sole provider of the internet in their area but to also effectively control what their consumers have access to on the internet is totally against any capitalist or American ideal

129. Kay Helgesen, Derwood, MD, 20855
Please do not roll back Obama-era net neutrality protections!
130. Wyatt Skinner, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
I strongly support strong net neutrality, backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Internet service providers have and will invest in their network no matter the law, they must be forced to treat all internet content equally, as they will never do it voluntarily.
131. Derek Zhang, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I support strong net neutrality and keeping ISPs under Title II. Keep the internet truly open.
132. Tony Chew, rockville, MD, 20850
I support strong net neutrality back by title 2 oversight by ISP.
133. mary, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Keep title II assignment and net neutrality please
134. Aaron Michaels, Frederick, MD, 21701
Please preserve net neutrality by keeping ISPs Title II.
135. ISP's, Rockville, MD, 20853
I think it would be trusting ISP's too much to take them off of title 2 status.
136. Zachary Hipps, Germantown, MD, 20874
I strongly support net neutrality where internet service providers are held to the Title II standards. There are many examples that are not hypothetical in which service providers have prevented access to competing services. I am a T-mobile customer and was not able to use the "Google wallet" service because T-mobile blocked access to it. They wanted me to use their inferior service. Holding ISPs to stricter standards promotes competition which is good for the consumer. Please keep the policy that was put in place in 2015.
137. Emma, Potomac, MD, 20854
Preserve net neutrality anf Title 2!
138. Dominic Heath, Hagerstown, MD, 21742

Removing net neutrality or changing the current classification of the internet would be a disservice to America and the concept of freedom.

139. Sutton Apsey, Cresaptown, MD, 21502
I would like to show my support for the preservation of net neutrality and title 2.
140. Omid MirafTAB, Potomac, MD, 20854
Dear FCC Chairman,I very much support strong net-neutrality that is backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. This protection provides a fair, free, and open internet which has become a mainstay and in my opinion even a necessity for the normal life of a US citizen.
141. Merilee J. Worsley, Germantown, MD, 20874
Net neutrality needs to be supported by Title 2 to keep ISPs on a level playing field. Open access can only be truly assured by the constraints of Title 2. With the Internet such an integral and vital part of American life, we cannot let corporate interests interfere with net neutrality. Please keep Title 2 in place to protect net neutrality. Thank you.
142. Joe Porpiglia, Damascus, MD, 20872
I am concerned that the new administration is going to adversely affect Net Neutrality. I do not trust the internet providers to govern themselves. We need to keep the internet free from bias. Please protect us.
143. Andrew Kotch, Gaithersburg, MD, 20886
I am in full support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.I've been contacting my representatives about this issue for years and will continue to.
144. Ben Kovich, Poolesville, MD, 20837
Net neutrality is essential for the modern day Internet market to function and by removing some of the current regulations allows large ISP corporations to control a new thriving market and destroy any rising company that rivals them. The current regulations that are in place ensure that there are enforced limits to how much control power that ISP corporations have.
145. Dan Crossan, gaithersburg, MD, 20877
I am sure by now you know why net neutrality is a good thing.
146. Andrew, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2. Kindly preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2.
147. Robert Shaffer Jr, Cumberland, MD, 21502

I strongly support net neutrality and do not believe the industry capable of self regulating. This has already been proven by Verizon and other ISP's in forcing netflix to give in to "paying extra" to "pass" their content through their networks.

148. jeffrey woo, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
this is a horrible proposal for the public, never have I seen such inept leadership and such underhanded presenting of such a bad proposal. Why even allow this titled as such. if this goes through i will hold congress expressly liable for the destruction of the Internet as we know it. Thanks Ajit.
149. John Lawler, North Potomac, MD, 20878
I urge the FCC to maintain rules enforcing Net Neutrality.
150. Yannick AKAKPO, Germantown, MD, 20876
Keep Net Neutrality alive!!! we need this rule!
151. Albert C. Price, Frederick, MD, 21701
I fully support net neutrality and oppose the attempt to move the regulation from Title 2 to a more lax standard as proposed by the FCC Chairman. There would be no likelihood that any voluntary agreement among ISP providers would protect consumers.
152. Corinne Cannon, Fredeick, MD, 21702
Keep Title 2!!!! No change needed. Leave it alone. Stop ruining everything
153. Rahcel White, Frederick, MD, 21704
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality and title II.
154. Kerry L. Marks, Germantown, MD, 20874
I stand with Net Neutrality! Internet acces is treated equal in the eyes of 0101110001110001000001000 packets! FCFS (First Come, First Served)
155. Dario Marin, Germantown, MD, 20874
ISPs should not be able to control who can access what sites, and how quickly. The American people have spoken, and the overwhelming consensus was that we want the government to enforce Net Neutrality. This gave the FCC a pretty clear mandate to do exactly what they did when Title II was invoked and Net Neutrality was enacted. We said, with a strong and clear voice, that fast lanes, slow lanes, and corporate censorship are not to be tolerated, and the FCC listened. Neither Pai, nor anyone else, can say that this is in America's best interest, when America told the FCC exactly what Americans want.
156. Christopher Twomey, Potomac, MD, 20854
Ugh, okay, here we go again. I specifically support strong Net Neutrality

backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Seriously, STOP TRYING TO MESS WITH THE INTERNET.

157. Jonathan, Boyds, MD, 20841
this is ridiculous. cable companies should not have this much power. These regulations keep them in check and provide a free internet. Trump should stop trying to dismantle Obama's legacy and create one of his own
158. Dario Marin, Germantown, MD, 20874
I would like to respectfully request you preserve net neutrality and Title II. ISPs should not be able to control who can access what sites, and how quickly. The American people have spoken, and the overwhelming consensus was that we want the government to enforce Net Neutrality. This gave the FCC a pretty clear mandate to do exactly what they did when Title II was invoked and Net Neutrality was enacted. We said, with a strong and clear voice, that fast lanes, slow lanes, and corporate censorship are not to be tolerated, and the FCC listened. Neither Pai, nor anyone else, can say that this is in America's best interest, when America told the FCC exactly what Americans want.
159. Alexei Ivanov, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108As a software developer, I want Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Keep Net Neutrality in place!
160. Daniel Beardsell, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
I strongly urge the FCC to preserve Net Neutrality and keep the internet under Title II
161. Frank Abbato, Potomac, MD, 20854
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The interference of any private company (ex- Comcast) with my access to and receipt of content delivered from the internet is an infringement on my rights to free speech as an american citizen.
162. Isaiah Mykal Wilkerson, Smithsburg, MD, 21783
We need to preserve the same level of regulation in regards to net neutrality. I do not trust companies to make morally sound decisions in the face of potentially boosting their own business. They will thrive with or without our current level of regulation, but the average American citizen could suffer without it. The FCC works for us, not ISPs, and our concerns must be put first.
163. Abdul Akibu, Germantown, MD, 20871
Please keep the internet as intended. We should keep the same Net Neutrality laws. Absolute power to the ISP will corrupt absolutely.
164. Dave Haddock, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

It's outrageous to undermine the egalitarian nature of the internet. Giving IPs the ability to favor big companies over small companies or individuals will essentially create a chilling effect on speech, not to mention stifle innovation. Mr. Pai, it is clear that your allegiance is to Verizon, not to the public interest. Your brazen partisanship is as ugly as your ridiculously oversized candy mug. Keep your hands off net neutrality.

165. Daniel Steven McLarty, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and I am very Opposed to Docket No. 17-108! My entire livelihood depends on the strength and enforcement of Net Neutrality. The internet is a utility and it should be treated as such. The internet should not have fast lanes and all data should be treated equally by ISPs.
166. Moira Everett, Brunswick, MD, 21758
I support ISP's being under title 2, and call for the maintenance of net neutrality under the current FCC laws.
167. Jorge Rosales, Frederick, MD, 21703
DO NOT ROLL BACK THESE RULES!!! The internet should remain free and open.
168. Nicholas Dalske, Frederick, MD, 21702
Please keep Net Neutrality protected under Title 2. Thank you
169. Nikhil Dey, Rockville, MD, 20850
I support strong enforcement of net neutrality through title 2
170. Mina Shahnawaz, Frederick, MD, 21704
Net neutrality is imperative for a working democracy in the 21st century and necessary to preserve in order to continue progress not only in th US but around the world.
171. Joseph E. Van Riper III, Germantown, MD, 20874
Please retain net neutrality laws under Title II. We already see plenty of evidence the ISPs will abuse any attempt to relax these laws from our experiences in the past. It makes little sense to relax them now as broadcast media slowly dies in favor of internet media.
172. William Murphy, Oakland, MD, 21550
Net Neutrality is perfectly fine the way it is under Title II. Reconsider any notions to revise current Net Neutrality regulations. Thank you.
173. Brock Lomax, Frederick, MD, 21701
I fully support net neutrality. ISP's need to remain held to Title II status. Do not

change the legal standing of the internet.

174. Hayes wheelock, Frederick, MD, 21701
I support the idea of net neutrality. Especially when ISP's are regulated under article 2 of FCC code.
175. Anna Akdag, Poolesville, MD, 20837
Y'all best be preserving net neutrality and Title II oversight of ISP's. smh
176. Brian Kaufman, Rockville, MD, 20854
I specifically and unquestionably support strong net-neutrality backed by title 2 oversight on ISPs. This is what allows us to have a fair, free, and open internet. Thank you for your time.
177. Andrew Beardall Esq, Gaithersburg, MD, 20886
Title II is where the regulation of the ISP belongs. Comcast and Verizon are regarded as the least customer friendly and most dishonest companies in the country, with only Congress matching them in the level of distain from the population. They cannot be trusted to monitor themselves, they cannot be trusted to look out for the needs of the consumer, and they absolutely cannot be trusted to be fair. Keep them in Title II and impose those rules vigorously.-
Andrew
178. Joshua Cocker, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Do not repeal net neutrality regulations. Internet is public service and internet providers have no right to augment service to any of it's users.
179. Omari Sarjeant, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
The free flow of information is vital to democracy! Don't let ISPs put up a paywall on the first amendment! Keep Net Neutrality and Title II regulations!
180. Trevor Williams, Frederick, MD, 21703
I believe keeping Title 2 in place is the best way to protect net neutrality.
181. Jeffrey House, Mt. Savage MD, MD, 21545
I support net nutruality under title 2 fcc regulations
182. Paul Roberts, Germantown, MD, 20876
I would like to express my support of strong net neutrality backed by the continued Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. In the modern age, we must work to regulate against psuedo-vertical monopolies of content creation, access, and monetization. I feel that the regulations of the 'Restoring Internet Freedom' document does nothing of the sort to keep these kinds of monopolies in check. I stand against this deregulation as an avid internet user with no vested interest other than an internet that is free from corporate greed and corruption.

183. Spencer Bush, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
The idea that internet providers can be trusted to regulate themselves free of bias and with the best interest of the people at heart is absurd and unfounded. When given greater freedom in the past these companies have proven that they will exploit it to increase their profitability. Ajit Pai's anti-consumer agenda is harmful not only to America's citizens but to its infrastructure and economy.
184. Devon Warren, Frederick, MD, 21703
I strongly support net neutrality being Title II in order to guarantee the competition of internet companies
185. Melanie English, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
I do not understand why attacks against Net Neutrality continue in our government. I understand that certain corporations would benefit from the move back to Title I of the Communications Act of 1934, and that they would benefit even more from a total end to Net Neutrality, but it seems to me that the benefits of free, open and unrestricted communication in a democracy should be obvious. The willingness of the government under Obama and now under Trump to even consider destroying Net Neutrality represents a fundamental failure of our representatives to represent all people of this nation fairly and equally, and frankly it is despicable.
186. Behrooz, Germantown, MD, 20874
Strong net neutrality by title two oversight over ISP's.
187. Alex Dowd, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
The only reason to not maintain net neutrality is, plainly and simply, greed. Any revocation of net neutrality will be a flagrant and completely transparent capitulation to special interests who do NOT represent the views of the public. The views which, in case you've forgotten, you are to represent as a body of our elected government. Thank you.
188. Holly Lobel, Potomac, MD, 20854
I support preserving net neutrality and Title II regulation. The Supreme Court has ruled that only Title II regulation allows the FCC to enforce net neutrality. Returning regulation of the internet to Title I subsequent to this ruling insures that ISPs may do whatever they want, without fear of legal action. This is too important an issue, as a matter of public policy, to leave to ISPs to voluntarily comply. They will take the action that is in the best interests of their stockholders, not their customers, and certainly not the American public as a whole.
189. Sophia Prasada-rao, Damascus, MD, 20872
I Oppose potential changes. Please maintain Net Neutrality.

190. Richard Noble, Frederick, MD, 21703
I think it is imperative that ISP's or any internet provider remain as title 2 so that the FCC can insure Net neutrality as defined below. Since the inception of the WWW in 1989 by Sir Tim Berners-Lee it was meant to be free and open for anyone without restrictions imposed by the internet service providers. Net Neutrality:the principle that Internet service providers should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites.
191. Steven Maddox, Potomac, MD, 20854
Keep net neutrality. You know why.
192. Sara Cuckler, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
I strongly net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
193. Nicholas Perry, Oakland, MD, 21550
Please rule in favor of net neutrality.
194. Joe Potts, North Potomac, MD, 20878
I strongly support the current net neutrality rules. Weakening or removing them threatens innovation and customer choice.
195. Jose M. Sanchez, Poolesville, MD, 20837
ISP's must be subject to Title 2, to protect the consumer.
196. Andrew Wang, Potomac, MD, 20854
The analysis in paragraph 27 is lacking. Simply put, an internet service provider like providing a telecommunication service. An ISP transmits information packets from the user's browser or client to a server and relays the response back. To quote Title II Order FCC15-24, paragraph 356, "broadband Internet access service is today sufficiently independent of these information services that it is a separate 'offering'". This (17-108) proposed proceeding provides no rationale for discarding Title II Order's analysis.
197. Awais Chaudhary, Clarksburg, MD, 20871
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 regulation on FCC when it comes to FCC oversight!
198. Daniel Ahn, Potomac, MD, 20854
Please do not let ISPs control access to content. A free internet is important to small businesses and american innovation.
199. Net, North Potomac, MD, 20878
We need to keep net neutrality regulations. Don't get rid of them!!!! Have you ever seen John Oliver? You really should cause you need to educate yourself to

do your job right. Here's a link for you to educate yourself FCC:
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=92vuuZt7wakThanks!>

200. Justin S. Cole, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
Using my voice as a citizen of the United States of America, I wish to express my concerns over ANY change to "net-neutrality. I believe neutrality is essential to the integrity of access to the Internet within the USA. Should ANY change be considered, I believe the discussion should be 100% transparent to the American people every step of the way and should not be done without the complicit consent of the Citizenry of The United States of America. Thank you for your time.
201. Ilan Goldstein, Rockville, MD, 20853
I am writing to express my support for very strong net neutrality laws that keep ISP's classified under Title II.
202. Graham Evans, Gaithersburg, MD, 20882
I support strong Net Neutrality rules that preserve the open nature of the Internet. Service providers should be prevented from boosting certain network traffic over other traffic.
203. Rich Joseph, Frederick, MD, 21702
Rolling back Title II on ISPs is an insult to the American people you are supposed to represent. In Verizon's own words, Net Neutrality and Title II has no impact on their growth or investment strategies. Lax regulation only allows ISPs ways to impose more unavoidable fees on consumers. Please understand that you have a duty to the American people FIRST, and companies a distant second. Keep the internet neutral, and keep Title II enforcement on ISPs.
204. Bryan Matthews, Frederick, MD, 21701
The American people will not benefit from removing net neutrality. This only benefits ISPs and would be a massive step backward for innovation.
205. Sandra Clark, Germantown, MD, 20874
Net neutrality is important, it allows small businesses and start ups to have an even playing field with large corporations and allows them to grow, creating jobs. Without net neutrality, there is less competition, higher costs and fewer jobs. Keep net neutrality.
206. Colton Hayre, germantown, MD, 20874
Dear Agit Pai, I SPECIFICALLY support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
207. Brian Fassel, North Potomac, MD, 20878
the reason why nothing about the internet was broken in 2015, or 2016, of even

this year....is because ISP's are federally prohibited from engaging in shady tactics that would mess with access to the internet.a Free and Open Internet is one thing...but a Free and Open Internet that is also NEUTRAL to ALL PARTIES and websites, be they Netflix, Facebook, or even 4chan...is ALSO necessary. Leaving companies to regulate themselves DOES NOT WORK UNDER ANY CIRCUMSTANCES. When it comes to important things like the internet and access to it, STRONG REGULATION is an absolute requirement to keep companies in line.The internet will ALWAYS win....

208. Gene Kern, Frederick, MD, 21704

I am strongly against any change in the rules regarding net neutrality as being considered by the FCC. I am a Comcast customer and a Comcast shareholder, but most importantly, a user of the Internet. The current rules under Title II are the best ways to protect the public from unfair manipulation of bandwidth by ISPs.

209. Denise Schmidt, Ijamsville, MD, 21754

Strong net neutrality is important. Backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.

210. Amanda Gaut, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879

The internet has the potential to truly have a level playing field for business and play. Corporations can't restrict what content is allowed to flow more freely, stifling competition and the free exchange of ideas and information. Protect Net Neutrality!

211. Bryan Augustine, Jefferson, MD, 21755

I am in support of net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am strongly opposed to Docket No. 17-108. There are not enough quality internet service providers in rural areas to assume net neutrality or fair practices will be ensured by consumer choice or free market competition.

212. Harry Cohen, Germantown, MD, 20874

Strong net neutrality rules are necessary for a healthy and thriving internet. Pretending otherwise is just lying to yourself and lying to the people you should be working for.

213. dave buck, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879

You MUST preserve net neutrality and Title 2!!!!

214. Robert McGehee, Oakland, MD, 21550

I strongly support net neutrality. Cooperations are not people. They should not have rights beyond any other person. The Internet if for everyone to use as they choose.

215. net neutrality, Rockville, MD, 20853

Please preserve net neutrality by ensuring the FCC keeps ISP (Internet Service Providers) to under Title II jurisdiction. Also, Ajit Pai, your oversize coffee mug is ridiculous and you should get a new one.

216. Nathan Hall, Clarksburg, MD, 20871
I support STRONG Net Neutrality rules and believe that Internet Service Providers SHOULD be classified under Title II as Common Carriers. Do NOT roll back these rules. Thank you.
217. Mike McGahey, Rockville, MD, 20855
I am strongly in favor of keeping the current net neutrality regulations.
218. Lindsay, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
I do not approve of the removal of the net neutrality cause (title II).
219. John Hodges, Rockville, MD, 20855
I support net neutrality under Title II. I do not in any way support anything less. The internet needs to remain open and equal for all. Do what's right and keep net neutrality the way it is.
220. Jarrett Messing, Germantown, MD, 20874
Rolling back the determination that Internet Service Providers fall under Title II of the Communications Act would undermine net neutrality and lead to monied interests determining what content received priority of bandwidth. This prioritizes corporate profits over people's freedom of choice. There would be nothing stopping ISPs from favoring content they profit from over their competitors, and we have strong indications that is exactly what they would do. Ajit Pai is beholden to his old employers who would DIRECTLY PROFIT from this, and would be acting against the interests of the American people, his new employers, if he were to reverse this step taken by the FCC.
221. Henry Cornejo, Germantown, MD, 20874
I am 100% for network neutrality. Stop selling out America.
222. Adele Williams, Darnestown, MD, 20878
I believe everyone should have equal access an opportunity of fast internet. This equal rights act for websites will save our technological future, as we get closer to a large cultural dependency on computers!
223. Jordan Parker, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I support net neutrality and enabling the FCC to maintain it with the powers provided by Title II restrictions. I am extremely wary of any "Free Internet" policies putting the power back in the hands of ISPs who through multiple and repeated examples show that they do not care about providing equal and unbiased service to all things Internet but instead only care about their

respective bottom lines. Title II should not be dropped at all, maintain net neutrality no matter what.

224. Mike Austin, Frederick, MD, 21701
Keep Net Neutrality title 2 and away from the hands of ISP companies!
225. Elizabeth Laffan, Derwood, MD, 20855
I want net neutrality maintained. I do not want Verizon or Comcast or any other provider deciding what I can access on the internet or how quickly I can access it. I pay for access to the internet not for my provider to tell me what and how I can access it. I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. Thanks!
226. Vincent Chim, Barnesville, MD, 20838
I specifically support the regulation of internet service providers under Title II. This will ensure an unbiased internet which is unaffected by ideology, competing interests and etc. Please take my vote and opinion into consideration when ruling on this matter.
227. Katelyn Wade, Frederick, MD, 21703
I strongly support net neutrality legislation, and believe that Title II is necessary for the supervision of ISPs. Title II should be preserved.
228. William Starr, Potomac, MD, 20854
Preserve net neutrality by keeping the Title II regulation in place.
229. Patricia Hutton, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
I do not support proceeding 17-108. I urge you NOT to enact this proceeding.
230. Crystal Morgan, Frederick, MD, 21701
If you truly value an open market you should uphold net neutrality and not remove it. Net neutrality is what lets new companies succeed on the internet, and removing that would keep them from being able to succeed or fail on their own merits
231. Amy Hathaway, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I strongly urge you to maintain Net Neutrality and Title II.
232. Paul Zoccola, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I'm asking that you retain net neutrality and continue regulating ISPs under Title 2. Internet service is just as much a utility as phone service and electric. Everyone sees through the ISPs dishonest arguments.
233. Jane Clarke, Damascus, MD, 20872

Please protect net neutrality by keeping internet providers on Title II.

234. Melusine Ivy., Frederick, MD, 21701
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Prior to Net Neutrality Comcast frequently would slow my Netflix streaming while charging me an obscene amount of money for slow service. Internet access speed shouldn't be based on how rich you are.
235. Sarah Thornton, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
It's important to maintain net neutrality, so that companies cannot restrict access to other businesses through the internet.
236. Brandon Smith, Frederick, MD, 21701
Revoking Title II classification of the ISPs is a colossal mistake that will result in swift acts of abuse against end users and internet based companies alike. The assertion that the land-line internet sector has too much competition to regulate them like this is laughable. There is a single choice for most people to access 25+ Mb internet, a requirement to use the modern web in any meaningful way. Comcast and Verizon, two of the largest ISPs in the United States made directly anti-consumer moves, the former being more public in it's showdown with Netflix as evidenced here: <https://technical.ly/philly/2014/05/09/graph-shows-netflix-speeds-changed-comcast-deal-comcast-roundup/>. I demand that the Title II regulations stay in place, for as long as necessary for Congress to grant the FCC the powers it needs to properly regulate the industry without Title II classification.
237. Ricky Martz, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
Open internet is important to prevent internet providers from blocking services or restricting access to competitors or new start ups. Equal access is important to maintaining a competitive internet market place and as well as keeping speech protected.
238. Kathy Thomson, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Mr. Pai: I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight by ISPs
239. Barbara Buckley, Germantown, MD, 20874
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. You MUST protect our internet freedom!
240. Jonathan Myers, Rockville, MD, 20853
ISPs and internet providers should be seen as utility providers. Not multi-media conglomerates responsible for gating access to the internet. The internet and all internet traffic should be treated equally. I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.

241. Stacy Bruss, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I support net neutrality and the ISPs regulation under title II
242. Christopher Digan, Germantown, MD, 20874
No traffic should be throttled no matter it's origination source or destination source.
243. Brendan Lawrence, Germantown, MD, 20874
I am a small business owner, my websites could be slowed down by richer more powerful businesses. I would have to let go of my employees and hire overseas just to compete if this was to happen.
244. Vanessa Dorsey, Frederick, MD, 21701
Strongly support net neutrality and title 2!!!!!!
245. Robert Michael Dorsey, Germantown, MD, 20874
Keep Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 rules.
246. Mathew Foley, Oakland, MD, 21550
I am in support of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Fast, unadulterated broadband internet access should be treated as a public utility and not manipulated unscrupulously by the major ISPs.
247. Edward Gardner, Brunswick, MD, 21716
The FCC has a responsibility to maintain Net Neutrality and Title 2 rules. I support the continuation and further expanded protections for core functions that have served to develop and establish the internet.
248. Jesse N. Willey, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
Keep Net Neutrality the way it was in the later part of the Obama administration. I've been online since I was a teen in the later 90s. I remember the days of 'open internet'. The idea that 'we don't slow you down when you deal with a competitor' is a myth. It seems your director cares more about remaining in the good graces of his past (and probably future) employers well being than those of the people. He'd better get it straight. He works for us now. Your job is to protect our interests even if it means pissing on your former friends and colleagues.
249. net neutrality, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
We should and must uphold net neutrality and the title 2 regulations.
250. net neutrality, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
We should and must maintain net neutrality and the title 2 regulations!
251. Virginia Liscinsky, Damascus, MD, 20872

I support net neutrality and Title II. Please don't take away a free and open internet as provided under these already-established regulations.

252. Eric Liscinsky, Damascus, MD, 20872
Leave "Net Neutrality" alone, keep ISP's under Title II.
253. Benjamin Walton, Germantown, MD, 20876
I support higher regulation of ISPs through title II rules.
254. James Kaplan, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I support strong net neutrality governed by Title 2
255. shushil machhi, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I support net neutrality and the preservation of title II. Please preserve.
256. Christopher Cochran, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
I support strong enforcement of net neutrality and I oppose the relaxation of regulatory rules that are currently in effect.
257. michael gastfreund, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
I prefer to keep our ISP's classified under title 2. The free market is and has been corrupted for so long to think that giving ISP's less regulatory oversight will mean they will do what is right for their customers is laughable. The's monopolies have been running next to unchecked for decades and it is time for our government to do what is right for the people and keep the regulation that is keeping the ISP's doing what is right for the people. Save our net neutrality!
258. Jennifer Wagner, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
I strongly believe that we should keep net neutrality. Everyone should be able to access the internet at equal speeds.
259. Fady Yanni, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Please preserve net neutrality and section 2!
260. Reece Epley, Gaithersburg, MD, 20886
Please promote Net Neutrality by keeping ISPs regulated under Title 2. Thank you
261. Gabriella Galvez, Germantown, MD, 20876
Net Neutrality is necessary and to reject it in any shape or form is disgraceful.
262. Jennifer Maloney, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Please protect net neutrality and keep Internet Service Providers under Title II. I like to make my own choices on the internet and have equal access; I don't want some company such as Verizon steering my choices.

263. Kadima K., rockville, MD, 20853
I do not support the repeal of the current net neutrality rules. As the FCC, it is your duty to enforce the rules that regulate the playing the field for all participants. If you are no longer familiar with the concept of perfect competition, please revisit an economics text book. Thank you.
264. Samuel Walters-Nevet, Frederick, MD, 21702
The Internet is the defining invention of our modern era. It has allowed people to come together as communities - devoid of skin color, nationality, and religious bias; to form societies of pure ideas and concepts. The Internet has the power to give voice to the most voiceless, shed light upon darkest of crimes, and even topple the cruelest of dictators. Chairman Pai's plan to reverse the FCC's Open Internet Order would threaten the existence of the Internet as we know it; replacing legally-binding regulations to treat data equally with an unenforceable promise to do so. The FCC's net neutrality rules are crucial for the Internet " they help make sure that ISPs run their networks in ways that are fair to users and innovators alike. Without those protections, ISPs can abuse their position as gatekeepers to the broader Internet to further cement their monopolies, hurting Internet users, content providers, nonprofits and small businesses in the process. We don't need to look back very far to see the kind of harmful practices ISPs can get up to without effective oversight [1]. Sincerely, Samuel Walters-Nevet [1]
<https://www.eff.org/deeplinks/2017/03/ny-state-ags-lawsuit-against-isp-shows-why-we-need-strong-net-neutrality>
265. jon, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
John Oliver rocks!!!!
266. Net Neutrality, Urbana, MD, 21704
I am in support of specific net neutrality and I feel very strongly that it is a disservice to the public to remove the practices in effect which keep the net neutral.
267. Andrew Campbell, Frederick, MD, 21701
I specifically enforce strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
268. Matthew Colgan, keedysville, MD, 21756
Good day sirs or madam, please do not sabotage Net Neutrality or title 2. Srsly my semester just ended, for the nonce I have nothing better to do than protest you in the loudest and whatever is most annoying to you method. That's only half a joke.
269. Restoring Internet Freedom, Potomac, MD, 20854
I strongly support net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
Thank you

270. Scott Young, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Please do not reverse the current standing of Net Neutrality and leave under Title II. We can not trust the ISP providers to hold the consumers best interests in mind.
271. Marcel F Kohler, Frederick, MD, 21701
I highly recommend not to change the present net neutrality rules. Although ISP's reportedly voluntarily state that they will not discriminate one browser and/or program against another, nothing will keep them from changing this stance in future, depending on changed circumstances.
272. David Humerick, Adamstown, MD, 21710
I fully support net neutrality. Please preserve Title II.
273. Nathaniel Kelly, Germantown, MD, 20876
I believe that it is important to the continued success of the internet as an engine of growth that Internet Service Providers be governed under Title II of the Communication Act of 1934. Most Americans live in a situation where they have at most two and often only a single broad-band home internet connection and many of those providers are linked through corporate mergers with content creators. Loosening regulations will almost certainly lead to vertical integration and preferential service and increased consumer costs. The idea that regulation is stifling investment is disproved by Verizon's very own statement to shareholders. I strongly urge the Commission to maintain the current regulatory environment.
274. Rhee McWilliams Howard, Germantown, MD, 20874
WE NEED NET NEUTRALITY! PRESERVE TITLE II
275. NMK, Frederick, MD, 21703
I strongly support net neutrality, regulated under Title II.
276. Ken Howard, Germantown, MD, 20874
I demand strong net neutrality regulations under Title 2.I also demand that Ajit Pai cease his vicious douchebaggery of misleading the public about what the effects would be of moving it back to Title 1.
277. Ann Damon, Damascus, MD, 20872
Keep net neutrality! Title 2 works. Look out for the public, not big business!
278. Net Neutrality, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Keep Net Neutrality going and keep ISPs and all that fun stuff under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
279. Carrie Hyde-Michaels, Germantown, MD, 20874

I oppose this proceeding, Docket 17-108. Please preserve net neutrality and Title II.

280. Hayley Smart, Rockville, MD, 20855
I strongly support preservation of net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers.
281. Jay Sandhu, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
Do not mess with net neutrality! We need an free and open internet. Do not let the ISPs sell our information to the highest bidder.
282. Net Neutrality, Germantown, MD, 20874
The freedom of the internet must be upheld! Taking away our right to legally visit what we want without bias or slowdown from greedy companies will only make things worse for the people!
283. katherin mackley, Smithsburg, MD, 21783
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY. I am sure you know it is the right thing to do, now act on it.
284. Neil Blanc, Gaithersburg, MD, 20882
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight (if not stronger regulations) over ISP's.
285. Andy Michaels, Germantown, MD, 20874
Please keep net neutrality in place under Title 2. It is vital to commerce, innovation, and freedom in this country.
286. Ben Gruitt, North Potomac, MD, 20878
This is endlessly frustrating to have to constantly defend our civil liberties from billionaire power grabs. I strongly support net neutrality rules enforced through Title II to regulate ISPs.
287. kristine purcell, Germantown, MD, 20874
Do not destroy net neutrality! We deserve freedom of choice.
288. jonathan strausberg, Germantown, MD, 20874
I support strong net neutrality laws as written in Title 2
289. Robert Ward, Germantown, MD, 20874
I support Net Neutrality with strong, Title II oversight of ISPs. ISPs should not be able to speed up or slow down content based on financial squabbles, competition with other companies, or politics.
290. Kelly Gruitt, North Potomac, MD, 20878

I strongly support net neutrality rules enforced through Title II to regulate ISPs.

291. Bernardo, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
This bill sucks ass.
292. Mark Silverman, Derwood, MD, 20855
I strongly ask that Title II and Net neutrality be preserved in its current state.
293. Bonnie Bell, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
I am a strong supporter of Net Neutrality as protected under the Title II regulations. I do not wish to see any changes that allow ISP's to favor any traffic over another.
294. Brian G, Germantown, MD, 20874
I believe in a fair and open internet. No singular website or provider should be granted more power and or access than any other. Title 2 is important to uphold and to get rid of it is a huge ethical dilemma.
295. Warren, North Potomac, MD, 20878
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II over site of ISPS
296. Beverly Naiman, North Potomac, MD, 20878
Do not loosen the regulations for Internet Neutrality. Keep it under title 2, so that regulations can be vigorous. Do not allow companies to decide what I can and cannot view on line.
297. Margro Purple, rockville, MD, 20853
I support strict net neutrality regulations, and I am strongly in favor keeping title II enforcement.
298. William Golumbskie, North Potomac, MD, 20878
I support strong FCC regulations to ensure net neutrality. I support Title II for net neutrality.
299. Andrew Young, Smithsburg, MD, 21783
I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108, as removing Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs will severely harm Internet users. Net Neutrality regulations must stay in effect!
300. Matthew Benson, Rawlings, MD, 21557
I strongly support net-neutrality as it currently stands under Title II. Companies that provide an Internet connection should be seen as a utility, and their control over the data that comes through the pipeline should not be allowed. You would not allow a telephone provider to tell customers that they will reduce call quality for calls with people that they do not like, and you cannot allow Internet

providers to bully their customers like this either.

301. Alex Chimento, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
I would like to keep net neutrality, please. Thank you.
302. James Durham, Potomac, MD, 20854
It is critical that 100% net neutrality remain at the center of ISP regulations and general policy. Anything less stifles innovation and cripples the total open environment that has allowed the Internet to become an engine for freedom of speech that it is...
303. Rick, potomac, MD, 20854
Please preserve net neutrality and Section II. We can't trust those big greedy companies.
304. brad, Frederick, MD, 21703
Net neutrality is crucial!!! Are you insane? You will destroy the internet as we know it.
305. Paula Waknitz, Hancock, MD, 21750
I call on the FCC to protect and preserve net neutrality and Title 2. I do not trust corporations to "do the right thing". I want sensible legal protections and regulations to keep traffic safe and fair on the information highway. Thank you.
306. Matthew Abbate, Germantown, MD, 20876
I specifically back net neutrality guaranteed by title II. I feel it will be unfair to allow ISP providers to dominate the internet without net neutrality and for them to take advantage of the consumers that pay for them to exist. The internet has become a basic utility and essentially by eliminating net neutrality you are creating monopolies for ISPs in residential areas as power companies currently do today.
307. Joseph Robbins, Cresaptown, MD, 21502
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. When it comes to laws and regulations simply saying, "there is no evidence of that happening" doesn't mean it can't or won't happen and I would prefer if there was oversight to ensure that if/when it does happen, we can catch it and do something about it. Just because there is no evidence that I have been punched in the face doesn't mean I don't want assault laws and it especially doesn't mean I don't want there to be cops who are able to see it if it does happen and are able to do something about it.
308. Linda Pruce, Frederick, MD, 21701
I'm respectfully asking that you do not roll back the Obama Administration's net neutrality rules. Don't allow ISP providers to pick and choose who gets what

types of speed, bandwidth, etc. Keep the internet free and fair for everyone.
Thank You!

309. Damion Salabie, Germantown, MD, 20876
We need to uphold net neutrality. This administration is clearly motivated by greed and clearly led by an incompetent mug. If we could trust companies to do the right thing there would not have been a stock market crash in 2008. I don't want to see a time where I am paying based on the type of content I want on the internet. Think of the impact to the University student that is fed bias viewpoints.
310. net neutrality, Derwood, MD, 20855
I support net neutrality and believe that ISPs should be regulated using Title 2.
311. Sharon, Germantown, MD, 20874
I support Net Neutrality. I do not trust corporations to police themselves when money and position or power are involved. I want to ensure that no one corporation can exert control over other companies small or large. I want to ensure that the law provides protection of a level playing field.
312. Lory Prescott, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Please DO NOT reverse Net Neutrality.
313. Jason Happ, Germantown, MD, 20874
I support stronger net neutrality provisions as provided by Title II. SAVE NET NEUTRALITY!
314. Roberto de Cespedes III, Frederick, MD, 21702
Dear FCC,I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Allowing ISPs to decide what parts of the internet goes against everything that the internet is about. DO NOT GIVE THE ISPS MORE POWER.
315. Melissa V Fernandez, Frederick, MD, 21702
Dear FCC, the American people need strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Protect internet users from monopolistic ISPs and do not allow internet service providers to censor, slow down, or block specific websites while requiring other sites to pay for faster service. Stand up for all Internet users and our right to freely communicate. Supporting net neutrality fosters access to educational materials and strengthens our economy.
316. Doguhan Uluca, Bethesda, MD, 20817
I'm in support of strong Title II protections that'll give the FCC authority to enforce net neutrality rules with ISPs. The internet is no longer an experiment, nor a new technology that needs hand holding. It is a critical infrastructure, far more important than copper-based telephone lines. Net neutrality rules protect

First amendment rights, promotes small businesses and foster innovation without risk of being strong armed by large corporations.

317. Ben Harris, Potomac, MD, 20854
I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! The internet should be treated like any other utility and should have fair access to everyone
318. Sean Lovett, Frederick, MD, 21701
I support Net Neutrality, and I believe that the FCC should, too.
319. Patrick S. Carlos, Bethesda, MD, 20817
Please preserve net neutrality and keep US ISPs under Title II.
320. Janis Neville, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
The internet is very important to me and my life and it should be kept open. I back strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
321. Yaseman Mirmozaffari, Clarksburg, MD, 20871
Please preserve net neutrality. It is essential for a functioning democracy and it is imperative that you preserve what America stands for. I wholeheartedly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do what is right for our country.
322. kathleen herrold, Rockville, MD, 20853
I support net neutrality and title 2!ISPs must be regulated to preserve net neutrality!!
323. John Yost, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I support "net neutrality" or an open and free Internet with Title II as the basis of regulation for the Internet Service Provider industry.
324. Alexander Greenberg, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
A free and open internet is important to the continued expansion of the internet markets and to the entrepreneurial spirit that (supposedly) defines American ideals. The proceedings to remove the freedoms the neutral internet provides are a travesty and should be stopped immediately.
325. Jason Schriml, Frederick, MD, 21702
I am urging the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II
326. Brian Belonia, Clarksburg, MD, 20871
Preserve Title II and hold the ISPs to it.
327. Hendrikus Pullens, Hagerstown, MD, 21740

Keep net neutrality as is. Keep it under title 2. True competition will not come from protecting massive corporations. They only want this law changed to gain an edge that smaller companies can't afford.

328. karen eader, Poolesville, MD, 20837
Need to Preserve net neutrality and title II
329. Kwanho Roh, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Strong net neutrality policy is very important for everyone to access to internet. Title II should stay!
330. Paloma E-S, Derwood, MD, 20855
Keep title 2 intact and as is. Preserve net neutrality and your integrity!
331. REBECCA Herron Mayo, GERMANTOWN, MD, 20876
Keep Title 2 rules on ISPs! No loosening of recent rules. Maintain a free and open internet!
332. Jacob Finkelstein, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I support net neutrality. An open internet requires regulations to ensure that ISPs do not abuse their power. That being said, please keep ISPs classified under Title II of the Telecommunications Act.
333. Rob, Jefferson, MD, 21755
Don't mess with net neutrality. Preserve title II of the communications act
334. Christine Miller, Derwood, MD, 20855
Preserve net neutrality and title II of the communications act of 1934. Seriously. You're going to f*ck this up for everyone, including you. You don't think this won't affect you? Seriously??? Get your sh*t together and get your head out of your a** and think about something other than your wallets for once.
335. Matt Wallace, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I support net neutrality and believe that Internet service providers (ISPs) should be regulated under Title II rules.
336. Erin Corcoran, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. A free and open internet is vital for a level playing field for all.
337. 6, Germantown, MD, 20876
Go FCC YOURSELF Unenforceable voluntary standards should not be trusted, there should be fail-safe methods to protect customers' right to the world wide web. Allowing ISPs to get rid of such regulation only allows them to take advantage of consumers. Taking into account how integral the internet is to

consumers' daily lives, even slight changes ISPs make to internet service will allow them to corral customers towards anything they please over time and with consistency. ISPs should not be allowed this sort of freedom to control the people.

338. Lydia, Gaithersburg, MD, 20882
I strongly oppose Docket 17-108. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
339. Sylvia Greenberg, N. Potomac, MD, 20878
Please continue to support Title 2 and net neutrality. Do not dismantle current regulations. The idea that regulations that support net neutrality are contrary to consumer's best interests is false. Furthermore, these rules are unlikely to deter further investment in infrastructure. Removing these protections is only in the best interest of a few large companies at the expense of customers and smaller companies that depend on fair access to internet traffic.
340. Net, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
Please obtain net neutrality.
341. 17-108, Derwood, MD, 20855
Ajit Pai, I strongly support strong Net Neutrality, backed by title 2 oversight of ISP.
342. nicholas, Montgomery village, MD, 20886
I want title II protections on net neutrality I want the Internet to remain unchanged.
343. Sage, Cumberland, MD, 21502
I support a strong Net Neutrality system backed by title II oversight of ISP's. This reappraisal is unnecessary and a waste of time and money.
344. Terrance Hartmann, Frederick, MD, 21703
Please keep the net free for everyone! I would like to demand net neutrality!
345. NN Eiden, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
My entire family (who vote in every election) support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.
346. Catherine, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
Please keep net neutrality under Title II. You simply cannot trust these companies to voluntarily play nicely when they have such incentive to favor their own products.
347. Justin Mahlmann, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886

Please do what you can to preserve net neutrality

348. Dan Hucker, Germantown, MD, 20874
Please protect the common consumers ability to make their own choices on what to use the internet for. Please keep ISP regulation as a schedule 2 item - and prevent ISPs from shaping their network traffic to promote their products over others. Thank you!
349. payam golriz, Germantown, MD, 20876
Protect and preserve net neutrality and title II
350. Daniel Muravchik, Rockville, MD, 20853
Please keep ISPs classified as under title II once and for all. It's ridiculous that we are having this debate again. Thank you.
351. Daniel Dembrow, Damascus, MD, 20872
I support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight so internet providers.
352. Mark Nettling, Damascus, MD, 20872
Please keep Net Neutrality in it's current form under Title II. The Internet is a place where free speech, freedom of assembly, freedom of religion, and other pillars of America truly live. To move ISPs to Title I would give the opportunity for companies to tear this away from all the consumers of Internet.
353. Timothy Bentley, Potomac, MD, 20854
I support net neutrality and I want the FCC to ensure that all IP providers adhere to net neutrality.
354. Mario Pareja-Lecaros, Gaithersburg, MD, 20886
Preserve net neutrality and do not give ISPs the opportunity to monetize a public and free internet.
355. Mike Smith, Rockville, MD, 20853
Net neutrality should be maintained by continuing to classify ISP under Title II. This is not too much of a burden on them.
356. Jessica McLaughlin, Damascus, MD, 20872
It is critical that we protect Net Neutrality by leaving it classified in its current form under Title II. Allowing looser regulations opens the door for large companies to take advantage of smaller companies, and limits our constitutional rights to freedom of speech and expression. Please protect my constitutional rights by keeping strict regulations on Net Neutrality.
357. bethany baker, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
Net neutrality is essential to a free and democratic society. The internet is a vital

part of the way we communicate with each other, and it should not be governed by corporate monopolies.

358. mary, germantown, MD, 20874
I support strong net neutrality. Do not give power to the ISP companies, true freedom comes with strong net neutrality.
359. Thomas Puckett, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I stand behind preserving strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
360. Carlos De La Rosa, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
ISPs should remain classified as common carriers. Public investment in the core technologies and rights of way which allow ISPs to exist In their current form require a reasonable return of good to the public. The Title II designation is a minimal protection of that good, in the form of an open and equally accessible network. The FCC should maintain net neutrality in the context of Title II.
361. craig brown, Rockville, MD, 20855
Keep a strong net neutrality law under title 2.
362. Katie, Frederick, MD, 21702
I support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality is imperative and must not be endangered.
363. Denise A. Owens, Germantown, MD, 20874
I totally support Net Neutrality, and do not want it ended by the head of the FCC or the Trump administration.
364. BL Hamel, Jefferson, MD, 21755
We must keep internet neutrality via Title 2. Do NOT remove this protection. No company can be trusted to do the right thing when the potential to make millions by bending the rules hangs in the balance.
365. David Bengtson, North Potomac, MD, 20878
I'd like to add my voice in support of net neutrality. The free and open internet has been in many respects a huge boon to the USA, and allowing ISPs to pick and choose services that are available to me is the antithesis of freedom and neutrality.
366. joanne rodgers, Brunswick, MD, 21716
Preserve net neutrality and Title Two oversight of ISPs.
367. Jeff Goldman, North Potomac, MD, 20878
We demand strong net neutrality laws in the form of title 2 protections. Do your

job or lose your job.

368. 1, Dickerson, MD, 20842
Please don't do what you want to do with net neutrality. Don't let big ISPs mess around with our data, it's America that you want
369. Madeline Hughes, Derwood, MD, 20855
Net Neutrality is essential to a free democracy because it is essential to a free press. A free press has never been easier than in the digital era, anyone and everyone can be a publisher. While I don't agree with everyone's viewpoints, and this has led to fake news, it is important to keep a free and open internet for the health of our democracy. The only way to actually help combat fake news is to teach and talk about media literacy.
370. Gavin Menzel, Clarksburg, MD, 20871
Hello Ajit Pai, you will not get your way. I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
371. John Guy, Frederick, MD, 21701
Support net neutrality!!!!
372. Rhonda Goldman, North Potomac, MD, 20878
I strongly demand Net Neutrality regulation in the form of Title 2 protection of the ISP's.
373. Megan Kealy, Rohrer'sville, MD, 21779
I support Network Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Preserve Title 2!
374. David Greene, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Going back to Title 1 means harming Net Neutrality. We must stick with Title 2.
375. Kent Berry, Damascus, MD, 20872
I am an American citizen and I would like to express my support for strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of internet service providers.
376. Marc Foelsch, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
FCC maintain your net neutrality rules.
377. Karl Musser, Brunswick, MD, 21716
Please keep Net Neutrality protections, without them the Internet will not be a viable marketplace for small business owners like myself.
378. Matthew L. Peterson, Rockville, MD, 20853
I implore the FCC to maintain net neutrality to avoid the problem of unfair

competition by large internet service providers.

379. Corey Rogerson, McHenry, MD, 21541
I'm concerned that if so-called "fast lanes" are allowed to be created, it'll hamper my ability to re-watch My Little Pony on Netflix via my neighbor's unsecured Comcast wifi, and I'll have to get a friend's Hulu password to watch, again, My Little Pony. This would be a grievous inconvenience in my personal My Little Pony time which, I can assure you, is of great value to me.
380. Licia Salice-Jarisch, Wolfram Jarisch, Potomac, MD, 20854
Please preserve the classification of broadband as a utility under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. Do NOT reclass it, as it will have serious repercussions to everybody's access to broadband. Thank you.
381. Richie Andrew Morin, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 enforcement to continue a fair and open internet. I feel after thoroughly investigating and researching this topic that I can confidently say that these regulations are vital to the continuation of an open channel for free exchange of information. That channel is a vital window that needs to be protected.
382. Christina Tucker, Frederick, MD, 21703
I feel very strongly that ISPs should continue to be regulated under Title II of the Communications Act. There is no question in my mind that corporations will manipulate the Internet to favor sources and information that benefits themselves.
383. Isaac Weiner, Point of Rocks, MD, 21777
I am not in favor of ending net neutrality. Continue to regulate internet service providers under Title II.
384. Gerald Krizan, Potomac, MD, 20854
I strongly support strict application of net neutrality standards under Title II. ISPs must not be allowed to have the option of throttling internet service to benefit any user over others.
385. Mike Ouderkirk, Frederick, MD, 21701
Like this is going to have any bearing on what actually happens...
386. Michael Moorman, Frederick, MD, 21702
Net neutrality is an important facet of a free internet. It must remain illegal for ISPs to give certain data packets preferential treatment over others. Typically, Americans do not have many choices in selecting an ISP, particularly for broadband providers. That means that we are unable to 'vote with our pocket book' to influence them. We need the FCC to regulate and protect tcp/ip packets

from gaining preferential treatment over others.

387. Andres Garcia Gaitan, Germantown, MD, 20874
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2. We don't want anything that Mr. Ajit Pai is trying to sell to the people.
388. Evan Whitehouse, Poolesville, MD, 20837
I strongly support a free and open internet governed under title 2. Companies shouldn't be able to throttle the speeds of competing services.
389. Nick Radonic, Derwood, MD, 20855
Preserve net neutrality and title 2 classification of the internet. The internet is not optional, it is a big part of how we live our lives, interact with others, and interact with businesses and government. To allow selective control of the data streams we select is offensive, and antithetical to the idea of a free and equal people. The ISP's have zero business getting involved in who I connect to. Keeping the title 2 classification allows the FCC the easiest method to discipline corporate misbehavior and encourage beneficial-- without long and difficult legal proceedings. As the court has said, if the FCC wants to regulate, then title 2 is the appropriate classification for this service.
390. Ian Lane, Frederick, MD, 21703
As a professional employed in the Information Technology sector, the current plan to remove internet service providers from the requirements of Title II would harm businesses and individuals in my field while stifling competition in all industries. This change would negatively impact all but the largest companies and it would be to the detriment of all internet users in the United States. Net neutrality laws should be strengthened through additional regulation to protect consumers and enable smaller companies to thrive when competing in the marketplace.
391. Samuel Lauver, Mountain Lake Park, MD, 21550
Hello, I am writing to ask the FCC to maintain title 2 regulation of Internet service providers. Public access to information should not be misdirected by corporate dollars and influence.
392. j, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I support net neutrality as do most Americans and we can not let some man with alternate interests get in the way of our wants as american citizens
393. GoyesR, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
I support rules to ensure strong internet neutrality as described in Title II oversight of ISPs.
394. Danielle Opatz, Frederick, MD, 21703

I urge you to protect net neutrality. I support a free and open internet. Please hold ISPs to the requirements of Title II in order to protect consumers, innovation and small businesses.

395. Harry Chiu, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
I support net neutrality under Title 2 classification. Please reconsider removing consumer protections in favor of ISPs' bottom line.
396. Baynham Makusha, Germantown, MD, 20874
Dear FCC,I support Net Neutrality under Title II. Please keep ISPs under control so that we can roam the internet freely and access any content want.
397. Peter Bailer, Adamstown, MD, 21710
I support strong net neutrality and Title II oversight of ISP's. Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. It keeps our internet open and free. Don't succumb to the ridiculous ideas being spoon-fed to you by the Trump administration.
398. James D. Raleigh, Germantown, MD, 20874
Keep the Net neutral for fucks sake
399. Aubrey Miller, Rockville, MD, 20853
To Whom It May Concern, I wish to voice my strong support for maintaining Net Neutrality and support for Title 2 and oppose any efforts that would reduce these important consumer protections.
400. Shree Koushik, Damascus, MD, 20872
Please do not change net neutrality rules that protects consumers. I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP,s. I intend to write a letter to my elected officials too. Please leave rules as it is
401. Joanne Schwarz, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
Mr. Pai, another person in favor of Net Neutrality. Please re-think your position.
402. Erik, Darnestown, MD, 20874
This is absolutely terrible. The internet is the place for free and open information. This cannot be an area of intervention. Please refrain from changing any sort of legal ground in which the ISP's are on. We need to have a free and open internet. No voluntarily asking these companies for the boy/girl scouts honor.
403. Scott Webber, Bethesda, MD, 20817
I STRONGLY support keeping ISPs under Title II. The strength of the internet is in BROAD inclusion and GROWTH; not restriction and reduction of players encouraging and rewarding dominance by the largest few. While it is understandable that speeds can be tiered and marketed with price structures,

CONTENT should NEVER be metered.

404. Jessica Amick, Germantown, MD, 20876
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY SPECIFICALLY UNDER TITLE TWO.
405. Jason Jericho, Frederick, MD, 21703
It is highly important that, in order to maintain a level playing field which rewards innovation and excellence rather than a playing field which favors those who have connections or the influence of money, that net neutrality and Title 2 rules for the Internet stay in place.
406. Anthony de Lagarde, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I am requesting that the FCC not change the current rules regarding Net Neutrality. The current state of affairs of business will be drastically affected if we allow business to start shape routing network traffic based on fees or preferences. Large corporations will hold an unfair balance over new business that want to provide services for consumers that are using the network (internet) to conduct business. As a card carrying Republican if this gets reversed I will vote against my party across the board.
407. Rasik, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Please, for the love of god, preserve Net Neutrality and title 2.
408. Adrienne, Frederick, MD, 21701
I'm very interested in keeping net neutrality laws in place
409. Danny Mays, Gaithersburg, MD, 20882
I strongly support Net Neutrality through Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISPs). This is essential to guaranteeing the freedoms provided to us by the American innovations in the world wide web.
410. alex burch, potomac, MD, 20854
I absolutely support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight
411. Ethan Miller, Potomac, MD, 20854
Go FCC yourself save net neutrality
412. Alexander Conte, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
I support strong ISP oversight and the protection of net neutrality under the classification of Title 2.
413. Peter Chan, Boyds, MD, 20841
I strongly encourage the FCC to consider standing ground on having strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.

414. Hari Devaraj, rockville, MD, 20855
I am opposed to any restrictions to net neutrality. ISP should be listed under title 2.
415. Jon Johnson, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
I support strong net neutrality rules under Title II. There is no reason to believe that internet providers will uphold the principles of net neutrality without strong oversight by the FCC. The FCC should work on behalf of all citizens under Title II to preserve strong net neutrality rules.
416. Erik Smith, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
I strongly support strong net neutrality laws, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Calling this "Restoring Internet Freedom" is highly misleading. Support net neutrality.
417. Daniel Inman, Frederick, MD, 21703
The current fcc net neutrality rules requiring providers to abide by the section 2 stricter laws are the only chance to keep access to the Internet truly neutral for all.
418. Tommy Dale Davis Jr., Hagerstown, MD, 21740
I fully support a strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. I beleive it is both good for business and America.
419. Robert Arthur, Germantown, MD, 20874
I strongly support net neutrality under title II. It is blatantly unfair and immoral to allow an ISP to choose which websites to support and which to leave by the wayside. One of the most amazing things about the internet is its freedom, and this will directly and adversely impact the public by allowing a third party to influence the ways we use and enjoy the internet based upon political or monetary concerns which we do not share.
420. Grant Friedland, Frederick, MD, 21702
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight for ISP's.
421. Alexander LaFemina, Frostburg, MD, 21532
I STRONGLY support net nuetrality, with Title II backed oversight over internet service providers.
422. Douglas Fuller, MD, MD, 20854
Please maintain net neutrality keeping ISPs under Title II.
423. Drew Doherty, Rockville, MD, 20850
I support net neutrality/internet freedom under Title 2 regulation as established in 1934.

424. michelle stets, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
...I support strong net neutrality
425. Harry S., Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
Do not change the Net Neutrality rules. As a citizen, I oppose this change.
426. James Zazanis, Germantown, MD, 20874
The public won't stand for the proposed FCC changes to net neutrality. The internet needs to be maintained as free, open, and equal to all, with no special favor given to business or corporations. The ability for ISP providers to provide advantages to corporations in any form is unacceptable and is not the direction that I believe the structure of the internet's structure should take. There is little reason to stratify the internet like the rest of society in the United States. Anyone who justifies this action as somehow encouraging to economic growth is extremely shortsighted and misguided in their views and intentions.
427. Christian Schley, Frederick, MD, 21702
I strongly support strong net neutrality rules back by the full force of Title II regulations.
428. Brandon Parker, Frederick, MD, 21702
Keep strong net neutrality under title II and please dont ruin the internet, and just go FCC yourself, and do you FCCing job correctly for once.
429. Austin Wunningham, Frederick, MD, 21702
We demand a free and neutral internet, you fucking crumbs.
430. Erin Warshauer, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
We shouldn't be hoping to keep a level field for the internet, we should be ensuring it. The internet has become a necessity these days, not a luxury. We must treat it and regulate it accordingly. Do NOT roll back Net Neutrality Regulations!
431. stephanie gelfand, Rockville, MD, 20853
Please keep title 2 in place and secure. I am concerned with the current political climate what large business may do to consumer rights with internet choice. Thank you for your time.
432. Jason Rafe Miller, Frederick, MD, 21704
Please continue to regulate ISPs under Title 2 to maintain net neutrality. I have no doubt that my ISP would otherwise push its own content and limit my access to free speech.
433. Stacey P. Flynn, Germantown, MD, 20874
I am writing in support of net neutrality. Please keep ISP's classified as Title II.

434. Camber Vincent, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
I may be just a teenager but even I can understand that we need to keep the net neutral. To do so, we must continue to classify ISP's under title II of the communication act. So please, help keep our net neutral so that I can watch netflix at the same speed on whatever internet provider I have.
435. Carla Dinowitz, Potomac, MD, 20854
Keep Title 1 classification. Don't allow changes in the net neutrality law. The companies have enough control of the internet
436. Scott Hallock, Potomac, MD, 20854
The FCC should absolutely preserve net neutrality and classify Internet Service Providers under Title II to keep the internet open and free as we know it.
437. Darlene Bucciero, Frederick, MD, 21701
You must keep Title II classification for Internet Service Providers ensuring net neutrality. I experienced slow downloading from one service from the other, which was owned by my ISP due to a corporate spat. It happens! Keep Title II classification!
438. Maria Henry, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
I support Net Neutrality!!! Please keep title 2. Do not Big Companies like Verizon control what we watched!!Sincerely Maria Henry
439. Rev. Paul M. Reissner, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight is our moral responsibility as engineers and shepards of the information age, we possess the sum of all human knowledge inside the internet and while some of us (you) may only use it to look at funny pictures of cats human beings of significance typically use this to advance society so you can sit on your Duff and look at cats instead of actually doing your job. A prime example being the many calls like this you have received.As your employer (the American Citizen) I strongly urge you to use common sense and Oppose 17-108. I will consider anything less to be willfull ignorance, gross incompetence, or simple corruption. In such an event I will need to reconsider your role as my employee and seek more qualified applicantsThere is no moral position higher than unfettered access to the internet, and saying some data is more important than other data is no different than saying one group of people deserves more rights than another, this is not what America was founded on.All men (and women) are created equal, and all data is created equal. The worth of that man (or woman) or data is based solely on merit. Bad data gets ignored as noise in the same way bad people are.Dictated, but not read.-Rev.
440. Lori Laitman Rosenblum, Potomac, MD, 20854
PLEASE SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II.I am amazed that the

FCC would want to role back regulations on this issue.

441. Justin Brightbill, Derwood, MD, 20855
We need strong Net Neutrality protection keeping the internet regulated under title II does this. Don't prioritize the profits of the telecom companies over the people. the telecoms are doing just fine.
442. William Dellapenna, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
As an average American who uses the internet on a daily basis for both work and entertainment, I support open internet and net neutrality principles. I am in favor of maintaining Title II protections for internet service under the 1934 Telecommunications Act. Please do not reclassify internet service!
443. Joseph Bullis, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title two oversight of ISPs. Please do not act only in the interest of companies, and forget the people's wishes.
444. Lianne Friedman, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Please do NOT change our laws regarding net neutrality!!!!
445. Ivan Vesely, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I strongly oppose the repeal of Net Neutrality and its related Title 2 classification of ISP providers. Net Neutrality needs to be preserved. The evidence is clear that if ISP providers get the ability to control the speed of internet information based on content, they will. Those that will pay ISP providers to get priority, will certainly get it, and the consumer will loose out on valuable content that will essentially be censored, simply because its provider cannot pay as much as the larger established providers. Free speech on the internet, as we are accustomed to, will cease to exists. That would be a major step backwards for our country.
446. Net Neutrality, Gaithersburg, MD, 20876
Why are we going through this again. This should not be something we have to deal with every couple years.
447. Susan Grayce, Germantown, MD, 20874
The internet does not belong to the ISP's. Keep Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II.
448. Wilma Kumar-Rubock, Jefferson, MD, 21755
Leave things as they are!!!! Do NOT implement net neutrality.
449. Heather Caudill, Frederick, MD, 21701
I completely view net neutrality as a crucial component of democracy. I support net neutrality through the application of Title II of the Communications Act to

ISPs.

450. Eric Minkoff, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I support net neutrality protections backed by strong Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I don't believe ISP companies should have the capability to pick and chose which services receive priority or faster streaming capabilities.
451. Michael Rickman, Potomac, MD, 20854
It is imperative that Net neutrality be preserved for the open and fair use of the internet by all content providers. Please keep in mind no industry self regulates with anything other than self interest in mind. The Legal footing of Title 2 needs to be preserved for innovation, equal access and truly the American standard of freedom and fairness.
452. Lawrence Kasecamp, Frostburg, MD, 21532
Donâ€™t kill net neutrality. The American people deserve a free and open Internet with strong Title II rules. Do not give in to the schemes of the Internet Service Providers whose greed will forever damage the Internet.
453. Peter Marek, Gaithersburg, MD, 20882
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II regulation there of.
454. Net Neutrality, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
I am strongly for the protection of Net Neutrality and keeping the internet free of artificial control by the ISPs. The FCC was founded as a consumer protection agency, not an ISP protection agency. The regulations are in place because the ISPs will stop at nothing to squeeze people for money. This is especially evident in areas where there are only 1 or 2 ISPs. They provide crap service while charging for the premium bandwidth and when people complain they do nothing as they're effectively a monopoly in that area. New York's lawsuit against TWC is a prime example.
455. Colin Heikes, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
To whom it may concern, I'm filing to urge FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to stop his attack on REAL Net Neutrality and Title II. Net Neutrality is essential for protecting free speech, organizing, business and innovation. Attacks on Net Neutrality affect the potential for small technology based businesses to compete on a level playing field with large established technology companies. The dramatic potential for damaging suppression of innovation is too much of a risk and needs to be stopped before it is a problem. Thank you:-Colin Heikes
456. Daniel, Rockvile, MD, 20855
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. COMMON SENSE. Thank you.
457. James Mendoza, Frederick, MD, 21702

I am a strong believer in net neutrality. I strongly oppose proceeding 17-108. This proceeding is no benefit to the American people. We should be a leader in Net Neutrality rights and having access to the internet for all people, but this "restoration of internet freedoms" is anything but. This will only allow internet providing companies to screw over the American people. Please defend net neutrality, it benefits all Americans while proceeding 17-108 only benefits corporations at the cost of the American public.

458. Brian Greenfield, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886

It is critical that the FCC maintain it's Title 2 status over ISP's as it is our sole protection from favoritism and their want to prioritize the internet to the highest bidder. It's critical for an open and fair internet which is the foundation for which it was created.

459. Megan Efthimiadis, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877

In the past, ISPs have throttle content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and it had to be forced to stop in the courts. I am concerned that if the regulations were removed that we could not trust the ISP's to just not do this again. I support stronger regulation, not one that weakens the protections. The consumer (like those of us who are commenting here) should be the deciding factor, not corporations who have everything to gain by weakening the regulations governing net neutrality. Paragraph 82 asks specifically whether throttling should be regulated. My answer is an unequivocal "YES", in fact, I think ISPs should be much more heavily regulated than they are now.

460. Douglas McClive, Oakland, MD, 21550

I specifically support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs !!!!!Do not allow any changes toward free market/no restrictions on this. It needs regulations!! Thanks

461. Net Neutrality, Frederick, MD, 21703

Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. It is very important that these policies stay in place. I am in full support of net neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you.

462. Esther Oakley, Germantown, MD, 20874

Net Neutrality is critical. I fully support Title II and it must remain.

463. Lynn Schriml, Frederick, MD, 21702

Open access to the internet is vital. I support the FCC keeping ISPs under Title II. I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules, backed by Title II. BTW: your form does not work on iPhones or iPads. I can fill in the form, but am not able to then submit my comments.

464. W. Keith Felts, Grantsville, MD, 21536
I am writing to express my support for net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. I live in a rural area of Maryland in which there are limited options for internet access. In addition, to suggest that removing this oversight would somehow spur innovation is contradicted by the current state of the internet.
465. Jonathan Vivens, Germantown, MD, 20876
No neutering, keep it neutral.
466. John Torrey, Germantown, MD, 20874
Net Neutrality is key to the preservation of freedom in America. The big telecom companies already have too much influence and power. removing one of the only checks on them will be a disaster. You had to move it to Title 2 for a reason they SUED YOUR ASS for attempting to enforce it under title 1. Making it voluntary just means it doesn't exist anymore like comcast customer service. Pai will be getting massive cutbacks and salaries from telecom companies anyway the moment he leaves this job. Don't let him ruin the internet because of his corrupt dishonest stupidity. We've been fighting you for more than a decade and yes some of us do have an attention span.
467. Jacob Michael Keller, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I support Title II net neutrality enforcement. I, along with countless other of your constituents, believe that it is the only reliable way to truly protect the common citizen's right to a fair Internet. Removing or altering this regulation would be a betrayal of my trust in you as a representative of the needs of the common citizen as well as a betrayal of your responsibility to protect the rights of your constituents.
468. Jason Levis, Darnestown, MD, 20878
It is imperative that net neutrality maintains its status under title II for very obvious reasons. No company should be allowed to dictate how the internet is managed and/or regulate speeds based on their own self interests. It is reprehensible that FCC Chairman Ajit Pai is using his position to clearly further the interests of a company he once worked for. This disgusting abuse of power should lead to his immediate resignation from the FCC. He is an embarrassment and embodies everything that is wrong with partisan politics.
469. Helen Avner, North Potomac, MD, 20878
Dismantling Net Neutrality only benefits corporations' bottom line. Please keep the internet fair and open on an equal basis to all.
470. John Fletcher, Clarksburg, MD, 20871
I disagree with the FCC's decision to consider scaling back Net Neutrality rules.

471. Brian Keener, Montgonmery Village, MD, 20886
keep the Net Neutrality rule in place
472. McKenna Hickox, Poolesville, MD, 20837
I vehemently support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It is vitally important that the FCC continues to protect and ensure net neutrality.
473. James "Snowman" Snowden, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. ISPs have history of throttling content to customers and changing Title 2 would allow this to run rampant again. Additionally, the present Commissioner of the FCC, Ajit Pai, is a Shill for Verizon and anti consumer.
474. Sam Tyre, Germantown, MD, 20874
I support preserving net neutrality and Title II classification of ISPs through FCC regulation
475. Sam Lin, gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title II oversight on ISPs
476. Evan Scott, Frederick, MD, 21701
We need net neutrality and title 2! Please do not take away this protection... Don't forget YOU WORK FOR THE AMERICAN PEOPLE NOT FOR THE ISP'S!!!!
477. Emily Ann Meyer, Frederick, MD, 21702
I write in favor of strong internet neutrality, in order to maintain a level playing field for all consumers and urge the Commission to uphold Title II. Thank you.
478. Alethea Hendricks, Clarksburg, MD, 20871
Keep net neutrality as a protection to consumers. ISP and web sites should not be able to control access and or speed to any websites. Competition needs to be kept fair and equal so new businesses can compete with established sites without other businesses trying to limit their business and drive them under or exhort \$ to make them more visible. Also websites should not be allowed to present more expensive options to some consumers based on profiles they've compiled. We deserve a free and open Internet with strong Title II rules - you must ensure that the flow of data is not determined by corporate interests.
479. nick stellato, Lonaconing, MD, 21539
Keep title 2. I oppose changes to net neutrality
480. William StJohn, Clarksburg, MD, 20871
Please maintain the current Net Neutrality.

481. Michelle Merrill, Frederick, MD, 21702
Please keep net neutrality. Do not let the child in charge of the FCC allow businesses to divert the internet to big business and government.
482. Aaron English, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Hello, Do not abolish the Net Neutrality rules just so corporations can line their own pockets. As a student I rely on the free and timely distribution of information on the internet. As a citizen it is my right to choose where I receive my entertainment from, it's not Verizon's choice nor should it ever be.
483. Janet Valdivia, Laytonsville, MD, 20882
Net neutrality is a critical characteristic of internet commerce and internet activity in general. Giving content providers the opportunity to pay ISPs for visibility or performance, or ISPs an opportunity to promote the interests of their own companies above others, is absolutely wrong and should not be supported. Oversight of ISPs through Title II is critical to keeping this protection in place.
484. Christy, Germantown, MD, 20876
I support strong net neutrality rules and oppose any roll back of rules now in place.
485. Laura Barr, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I believe that the Internet should be kept under its current legal standing under Title II and that regulations on ISPs should not be loosened. I believe that fairness to citizens in using a utility that is ubiquitous and necessary to life in our current society is more important than the profits of the telecommunication companies. We cannot allow corporations to dictate the content that citizens can access. It goes against what our country should stand for.
486. Rick Stewart, Brunswick, MD, 21716
The proposed "Net Neutrality" rules will only serve to give ISPs the power to choose who consumes what, which is in heart an anti-business proposal. The public already spoke, three years ago, against "Net Neutrality" yet we're here again arguing the same thing. Listen to your constituents, those that understand the issue are vocally against it.
487. Heather Witt, Poolesville, MD, 20837
I support current net neutrality rules/oversight under Title II.
488. Arjun, Germantown, MD, 20874
Stop being greedy c*nts
489. Mary Dixon, Frederick, MD, 21703
Net neutrality MUST be protected. Do not get rid of consumer protections.

Protect Title II and ensure equality for all.

490. Darrin Broadwater, Frostburg, MD, 21532
I fully support regulating internet service providers under Title 2. Despite MANY assertions that this regulatory change hampers effective competition, NO EVIDENCE has been produced to support them. If our government stops basing decisions on evidence and starts making (more) decisions based on inflamed passions (stoked by huge sums of money), I'm afraid our run as a great nation will end.
491. Kevin Dougherty, Germantown, MD, 20874
Good Afternoon, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's and urge the FCC to maintain current regulations. Ajit Pai and his ideas do not have the best interests of the consumer in mind and will compromise net neutrality.
492. Jeffrey B. Smith, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
I strongly support continued net neutrality provisions as provided for under Title II
493. Kathleen Kline, Frostburg, MD, 21532
I fully and strongly support net neutrality and Title II governing oversight of Internet Service Providers.
494. Bill Schneider, Derwood, MD, 20855
This is very important. Preserve net neutrality and Title 2.
495. Robert Riddle, north potomac, MD, 20878
I am writing to strongly encourage the FCC to be supportive of strong net neutrality rules. In particular, I believe the "version 2" of these rules a move in the right direction. The rights of the US citizens net protecting from any and all unfair practi es by the internet providers. This truth should be self evident: it is the mission of the FCC to protect the US and it's citizens.
496. Morgane, Rockville, MD, 20853
please keep net neutrality, it is needed.
497. Richard Salamanca, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
I urge you to STRONGLY SUPPORT net neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISP's. The internet was created to be an open, influence free resource for all of mankind, AND NOT a commercial venue! Do not sully one of mankind's greatest inventions with cheap commercialism and "pay to play" mentality.
498. Christopher Zeoli, Poolesville, MD, 20837
It is vital that net neutrality be preserved under title II. Under title I (or light

touch) regulations, large ISP's will easily bottleneck and monopolize the market.

499. Amanda Sellers, Damascus, MD, 20872
PLEASE preserve net neutrality and Title II to have strong oversight on ISPs. Corporations should not be allowed to police themselves at the risk of billions of people around the globe...we are way past that time now!
500. Matthew Maiatico, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
The internet that we have all used for the past 30+ years only exists because of net neutrality. Changing that will fundamentally disrupt the entire economy. You will destroy the internet if you end net neutrality.
501. James M. Curtis, Poolesville, MD, 20837
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.
502. Douglas J Hill, Bethesda, MD, 20817
keep ISPs classified as Title II companies to maintain net neutrality as enforceable rules. As the owner of a small record company I need to be sure my web sites receive equal treatment against the major labels and other music industry companies.
503. CDT, germantown, MD, 20874
Free internet is beautiful. No free internet means, possibly, no Ajit Pi showing off his reeses mug.
504. Brooke Edwards, Rockville, MD, 20855
I support preserving robust net neutrality and Title II's applicability to ISPs.
505. Sean Beachy, Grantsville, MD, 21536
Please preserve the current Net Neutrality laws and Title II! Don't let big corporations be any more greedy than they already are!!!!!!
506. brent hay, Gaithersburg, MD, 20886
I support title 2 protect for consumers.
507. Ken Abe, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Please restore net neutrality...i.e., enforce it. Make it mandatory. Don't leave it up to the ISP or others.
508. Jane Conley, North Potomac, MD, 20878
Please keep Net Neutrality laws, and enforce them under Title II. The internet has become too important to let wealthy businesses control its speed of access.

509. Rajkumar Iyer, Rockville, MD, 20850
PLEASE DO NOT KILL NET NEUTRALITY, but instead strengthen it using
TITLE II
510. Kirsten Washington, Germantown, MD, 20874
Keep net neutrality, keep it classified as Title II.
511. John Gross, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
I support a strong internet neutrality backed by rigorous enforcement under title
2.
512. Susan Cyran, Germantown, MD, 20874
I passionately support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's
and I urge you to protect the interests of consumers and access to electronic
information for all citizens.
513. K Diannen, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
KEEP YOUR MOTHERFLIPPING HANDS OFF MY MOTHERFLIPPING
INTERNET!! If you change the rules of net neutrality now, you will be inciting
bribery and kickbacks, killing small and medium-sized business, and basically
(like everything else the current administration has planned) shoving less-well-
off people further and further down into the hole so that the rich and well-to-do
can tread all over them. SIMPLY DISGUSTING. We, the People, are onto you.
!!! KEEP NET NEUTRALITY !!!
514. Ian Caisse, Rockville, MD, 20853
You need to preserve Net Neutrality and it's title II status as it currently stands.
Stripping title II and net neutrality rules will be catastrophic to every American
who relies on the Internet. Allowing ISPs to give preference to big companies
with deep pockets is an incalculably terrible idea for both the economy now and
in the future. Doing so will stifle growth and prohibit new companies and
innovators from gaining the publicity they need to change the world. Just look
at the track record of big ISPs like Verizon/Comcast. They have never wasted
an opportunity to make money anyway they can, and have no morals/remorse
about how they do it. As long as it's legal, they'll do it. So please, choose to
preserve net neutrality under title II. It's the right thing to do
515. Andrew Smith, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
Net Neutrality is critical to maintaining our democracy. Ajit Pai has sold out the
American people. This is an issue young people care about deeply and we are
aware how illogical the argument is that Ajit's administration makes. I will be
watching this issue closely and I will be voting in both national and local
elections to remove from power people who want to destroy our right to an
open internet.

516. Joseph Clark, Mtn Lake Park, MD, 21550
Please retain net neutrality using Title II standards
517. Ben Trawick, Frederick, MD, 21702
Preserve Net Neutrality and the status of title II. ISPs should not have the ability to determine what internet traffic gets to go where, and how quickly. Keep the internet free and open.
518. Helene Marion, Darnestown, MD, 20874
Letting large corporations dictate internet speed is no better (maybe worse) than allowing the government to do so. Maintain current rules and preserve net neutrality. If you prefer a country where citizens have no voice, join your boss in Russia.
519. Caleb Graybill, Clear Spring, MD, 21722
I STRONGLY & SPECIFICALLY support net neutrality and strong ISP oversight regulations under Tittle 2. I also support removing Ajit Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, from the FCC.
520. Melissa Lopez, Frederick, MD, 21702
Please uphold a strong Title II Doctrine for the United States citizens Net Neutrality rules
521. Brandon Lowe, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
I am opposed to the proposed changes . Please maintain net neutrality rules.
522. Dieter Poetzschke, North Potomac, MD, 20878
I am in strong support of Net Neutrality including Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISPs). I do not want any rollback or loosening of current rules and provisions that would allow ISPs to decide which content is available to me or the quality at which that content is provided to me.
523. Bob Davis, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Hi, You must preserve the Title II classification for the Internet. I am a Network Engineer by trade, and have already experienced the adverse effects of ISPs having a choice in the matter of Net Neutrality. In late 2013 / early 2014 after negotiations between Verizon and Netflix went sour, my Netflix service was rendered useless overnight. My 50mbps FIOS connection would no longer allow Netflix to load, or continue play consistently. All other streaming services were unaffected. After opening trouble tickets with both Verizon and Netflix and performing my own diagnostics, there was no other conclusion that could be reached other than Verizon was purposely slowing down Netflix's streaming protocols. After Netflix agreed to pay Verizon's ransom, my service was magically restored. Without Title II classification, this sort of dubious practice would no doubt be commonplace. As with most Americans, I have few choices

in broadband ISPs. Both Comcast and Verizon in my Maryland neighborhood held Netflix hostage during the same period, leaving me with no options. I urge you to please ensure the internet remains as is and keep the Title II classification and net neutrality rules.

524. Joshua Groboski, Rockville, MD, 20850
Treat access to information via the Internet equally and do not allow those in control of our Internet connections to determine what and if we can access such information. Support net neutrality under Title II.
525. Shaheen Mozaffari, Darnestown, MD, 20878
I support net neutrality and title II. Please preserve them.
526. Robert Dulaney III, Frederick, MD, 21704
Thank you for this opportunity to express my support for stronger Net Neutrality enforcement under Title 2.
527. Gene randall, Rockville, MD, 20853
Stay with net neutrality and title 2.
528. Scott D. Rowe, Frederick, MD, 21701
The FCC regulations governing Internet neutrality need to be strengthened, not weakened or eliminated. The only entities that would benefit from deregulation or a weakening of Internet neutrality regulations are the largest, most powerful Internet Service Providers (ISPs). American consumers deserve all of the privacy, data protection, choice of comparable service, and competitive pricing they want! FCC Chairman Ajit Pai and the large ISPs, which continue to make Orwellian claims that they support the open Internet while doing all they can to dismantle the legal foundation necessary for strong Internet neutrality rules, are misrepresenting the benefits of strong regulatory constraints badly and intentionally. We humbly request the FCC chairman and its commissioners keep the current Internet neutrality regulations in place, and strengthen them going forward against the avaricious ISPs and their collective desire to undermine consumer confidence and personal privacy. Thank you for your time and your interest in our opinion -Scott D. Rowe and Margaret A. Coyle
529. Dara Lorn, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
I am in support of Net Neutrality. The "restoring internet freedom" act is a blatant lie as to what the actual act will do. It will take away our freedom of the internet. We should be regulating the internet in the same way we do water and electricity; as utilities. In this day and age, the internet is needed to be a functional human and by taking away the freedom of the internet as this act is trying to do, would be devastating for the US public and for all companies but a select few who would benefit (hint its the people who control the internet like comcast, version, time warner). I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed

by Title II oversight of ISPs and staunchly opposed to Docket No. 17-108!

530. Joseph Ray, North Potomac, MD, 20878
Preserve net neutrality and Title II...YOU MUGS!!!
531. Kathleen Madrid, Sharpsburg, MD, 21782
I support net neutrality. I do not want my personal information, browsing history, shopping habits, or anything else about me or my family tracked and shared by my Internet Service Provider. I am frightened by the prospect of so much of my life being made available to outside entities.
532. Kyle Smith, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
A sender and receiver of packages are not both charged for the delivery of the package, but for some reason it is being looked at as acceptable for an Internet service provider to charge both sides for the delivery of an Internet product. This is an over reach of the authority of the ISP, it stifles the free market by making access harder for online services that don't pay, and works against competition among the ISPs themselves by making it frustrating to attempt to access competitors websites.
533. Brecken, Point of Rocks, MD, 21777
The FCC must preserve net neutrality and Title 2 protections for the safety of the entire internet.
534. Robert McCutcheon, Germantown, MD, 20874
Simply thinking ISPs are going to act in good faith and not show favoritism toward content that is being streamed is not reality because there is more incentive for them to show favoritism than not. Please keep the internet classified as a title II utility.
535. Nicholas Zais, Cumberland, MD, 21502
ISPs need remain classified as title 2
536. Grayson Moses, Darnestown MD, MD, 20874
please keep strong net neutrality and title 2 in order to protect our internet from the influence of ISPs
537. Gil Jacobson, Potomac, MD, 20854
It is extremely important that all Internet service providers allow users to have access to all content and applications regardless of its source. They should also not be allowed to favor or block any website or product. The free flow of information and programming is more critical than before ever.
538. Katherine McClain, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
We want a free and open internet for all, meaning no changes to Title II.

539. Jason, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Please keep net neutrality alive and well by keeping title 2 intact and leaving us with a free and open internet.
540. Mark Wu, Rockville, MD, 20853
I support the ISPs staying in Title 2 and stronger rules for the ISPs.
541. Luke Stockwin, Frederick, MD, 21701
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Sincerely, please don't gut regulations and allow ISPs to decide what bandwidths are allocated to specific web services. thanks.
542. Ritvik Jain, Boyds, MD, 20841
What kind of world would we be living in if some of the websites we love were slowed down by the ISP giants? Can you imagine not being to use, for example, Google and were forced to use Bing because it was simply faster. It's essentially the same as censoring websites that the ISPs want their users not to use. Not only that, but we would be shutting out smaller websites that won't have a chance of competing with the giants. America was built on the basis of the free and equal opportunity but without Net Neutrality there is no opportunity for small business and other budding websites to compete. What once was a free, infinite, and open internet would be a small, limited, and monopolized area. What makes the internet so special to our generation is the fact that it is so free and open to all viewpoints, but with no net neutrality, that freedom goes away, and censorship by the media giants begins.
543. John Matthews, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
I am in STRONG support of net neutrality. I want it regulation by Title II.
544. Sharon lai, rockville, MD, 20878
We support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isp!!!
545. tim cole, Grantsville, MD, 21536
I am for net neutrality remaining in place.
546. TPTaira, Potomac, MD, 20854
Cable companies should not have control over internet. The public should have net neutrality. Personal privacy is every individual's right. Please do not give that away to cable companies or anybody else. Please do your job and protect us.... the rights of the individual. Please protect our freedom. Thank you very much.
547. Dan Angotti, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY! KEEP TITLE 2!

548. Christine Kariya, Potomac, MD, 20854
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by oversight of internet service providers. Internet providers should not dictate which websites are accessed by the public.
549. Timothy Cole, Grantsville, MD, 21536
I strongly support net neutrality under title II for ISPs.
550. Kristi Hynes, Frederick, MD, 21702
Preserve net neutrality and keep the ISPs under Title 2!
551. E, Rockville, MD, 20853
It's important for you to keep Title II Net Neutrality rules strong.
552. Barrett Smith, Poolesville, MD, 20837
Net Neutrality must be protected by regulating ISPs under Title 2.
553. andrew hargosh, frostburg, MD, 21532
i am a strong supporter of net neutrality and oversight of ISPs by title 2. do everything to preserve them not take them away
554. Jonathan Yantz, Frostburg, MD, 21532
It is not okay to take away net neutrality. This is a very important piece to our Internet remaining free and open!
555. Michael Lloyd Liller, Westernport, MD, 21562
As a Internet user since 1994 and a BBS user before that, I humbly ask that you do not allow the ISP's the ability to make the Internet for hire and the ability to throttle down the bandwidth of their competitors. Net Neutrality is a good thing and I strongly urge you to keep the Internet fair for all users. I also have 18 years in the IT field, from pc technician to Director of IT and Biomedical Engineering for a hospital system.
556. John McNamara, Derwood, MD, 20855
Strongly object to any effort to weaken net neutrality. ISPs should provide "digital dial tone" period. let the content providers do the rest. this has worked with other media, and should not be changed.
557. Pete Strawser, Germantown, MD, 20874
We need strong net neutrality rules in place. The current commissioner is trying to simplify a very complex issue. Please preserve net neutrality and title II
558. Daniel Davis, Rockville, MD, 20853
My wife and I run several small web sites for her business, writing and tutoring. Parents of young children should be able to find a tutor while all their kids are

watching Netflix - so please protect Internet neutrality, at least at the pipes/Quality of service level. I have no objectives to ISPs using their customers browsing data anonymously for profit-making purposes. They should be able share anonymous per-household traffic data, and offer their customers advertising on their own sites based on their customers browsing history.

559. Andrew S, Potomac, MD, 20854

I strongly express interest in and urge the FCC to maintain strong net neutrality enforcement backed by Title II.

560. Nikhil Rao, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879

I demand you preserve net neutrality and title 2! Thanks.

561. Michael Choquette, Potomac, MD, 20854

I support the existing Net Neutrality laws; removing fairness-ensuring regulation will only hurt the American people.

562. Dylan Byrns, gaithersburg, MD, 20879

Getting rid of Net Neutrality is a horrible idea. Please treat the internet fairly as you would a utility.

563. Enid McKittrick, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879

Preserve net neutrality and keep ISPs as Title II.

564. charles marye, germantown, MD, 20874

i support strong net neutrality rules and the use of title 2 to protect net neutrality by regulating communications companies like the utilities they truly are.

565. Johnny Le, Rockville, MD, 20855

Please maintain Net Neutrality in fairness for all electronic companies.

566. Mark Heeley, Poolesville, MD, 20837

I support title 2 and strong net neutrality. Business cannot be allowed to police this by themselves!

567. PATRICK PURTSCHER, IJAMSVILLE, MD, 21754

WE NEED REGULATION OF THE INTERNET UNDER TITLE 2.

568. erin finney, darnestown, MD, 20878

I am writing to express my strong support for NET NEUTRALITY and maintaining Title II. Do what's right for the people who use the Internet. Thank you

569. Theresa Talbot, Germantown, MD, 20874

Net neutrality is essential. Do not allow the stagnation of development internet

media by limiting the players allowed to swim in the pool. Mega companies will silence the creativity that makes the net amazing.

570. Richard S. Schrage, Germantown, MD, 20874
Relying on ISPs to voluntarily agree to provide net neutrality is like relying on insurance companies to voluntarily agree to cover pre-existing conditions. Do you see a pattern here? Maintain Title II or go fcc yourself.
571. Brett Smith, Gaithersburg, MD, 20882
It is very important we maintain title 2 and preserve net neutrality. As an entrepreneur an enforceable level playing field is the foundation of American opportunity and pursuit of success.
572. Charles Gouraud, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
Maintain strong net neutrality by keeping ISPs under Title II
573. Carley Woods, Germantown, MD, 20874
I support the current net neutrality regulations and do not want any of the guidelines to change.
574. BRIAN LEWIS, gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I support strong internet neutrality backed by Title. I expect the FCC to work for the citizens of this country and protect our future over the common carrier lobby. Free internet access is good for ALL businesses and critical for innovation.
575. Anna Wherry, Frederick, MD, 21701
Preserve net neutrality regulations under title II!!!!!!
576. ilma backelman, North Potomac, MD, 20878
Preserve internet neutrality through title 2. Protect the "little " people for a change !
577. Sarah Marbach, Germantown, MD, 20876
I support the net neutrality rules that classify internet service providers under title 2. Please do not change these rules.
578. Bhupinder Singh, Gaithersburg, MD, 20882
FCC must preserve Net Neutrality under Title II
579. Rick Stewart, Brunswick, MD, 21716
Keep the current Net Neutrality laws and keep ISP's classified as Title 2! Doing otherwise is directly against the voice of the people that spoke against this issue three years ago.

580. Scott McDowell, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
I strongly support a free and open internet. I would like to see the net neutrality laws established under President Obama remain in place and halt any new legislation that hinders net neutrality.
581. Amy Lippincott, Damascus, MD, 20872
I specifically support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Strong oversight and regulations of ISPs must be in place to protect the open internet.
582. Daniel Ritt, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
I am strongly in favor of preserving net neutrality with title 2 oversight of internet service providers.
583. Alex Sickler, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I STRONGLY support Net Neutrality especially as it relates to Title II oversight. Please don't take away our ability to thrive and innovate on a free and open internet.¹⁴
584. Mark Hoogland, Frostburg, MD, 21532
Net neutrality critically important to freedom of expression and personal freedom in general. Don't get rid of it. Also, your current chairman is at best a moron, but more likely a clumsy, duplicitous tool of his previous employer, Verizon. Please keep this in mind. Don't change the net neutrality rules. Thanks, Mark
585. Colleen Gaw, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
Keep net neutrality in tact under title 2. The internet needs to stay regulated so people with more money can't manipulate the system for their own benefit, disregarding citizens equality and safety. Thank you.
586. Michael Makogon, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Preserve Net Neutrality and preserve Title 2 classification.
587. Morgan Abramson, Gaithersburg, MD, 20882
I support net neutrality with Title II classification. The internet is a utility, and should be treated as such.
588. Arthur Tornabene-Zalas, Sharpsburg, MD, 21782
Please support net neutrality. Forever
589. none, Germantown, MD, 20874
I strongly support net neutrality backed by effective Title 2 oversight of internet service providers.

590. Amy Heaton, Frederick, MD, 21702
Please protect net neutrality and keep internet classified as Title II.
591. Perseus Barahona-Molina, hagerstown, MD, 21740
Keep Net neutrality as is and keep ISPs under Section 2. No one should be allowed to pay for privilege internet speeds.
592. Leigh Anne Davis, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
I support a strong net neutrality with title II oversight of Internet service providers.
593. jennifer whitman, rockville, MD, 20850
I strongly support net neutrality and believe that ISPs should remain under Title II regulation.
594. David Gerber, Potomac, MD, 20854
Please preserve strong net neutrality rules and Title II. Thank you!
595. James Liu, germantown, MD, 20876
I support Net Neutrality and support Title 2. In the information age, internet freedom and privacy is just as valuable as the physical analogs protected by the Bill of Rights.
596. Robert Montrie, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
We support strong Net Neutrality rules and Title II enforcement.
597. Stephen Williams, North Potomac, MD, 20878
I support Net Neutrality and a free and open internet. Retain the title 2 classification for Internet Service Providers set in place by the FCC in 2015.
598. J Stutsrim, damascus, MD, 20872
I support strong net neutrality and Title 2! Leave it alone.
599. Elizabeth Anderon, Frederick, MD, 21701
I urge you to preserve net neutrality backed by title II
600. Peggy Mothershed, hagerstown, MD, 21740
We need strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of isps.
601. Edward Shearer, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
I strongly believe in net neutrality and keeping ISP's under title II regulations
602. Will Osborn, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
ISPs should continue to be regulated under title II. I do not want the business motivations of my ISP to influence my access to the internet, and I expect the

federal government to guarantee this by regulating ISPs accordingly.

603. Robert C. Taylor, Knoxville, MD, 21758
I am an IT professional with over 20 years of experience building and supporting networks and I support strong net neutrality.
604. Tracie Gibbons, Germantown, MD, 20874
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II ISPs.
605. Tucker Maxson, Frederick, MD, 21703
Given that ISPs are increasingly producing their own media content, it is absurd to just trust them to obey net neutrality on their own. It would be like saying we don't need corporate tax reform, just trust that those large companies sheltering profits overseas will decide to start paying tax on it. Please keep title II.
606. Kevin Dement, Bethesda, MD, 20817
Please do not remove ISP's from Title II classification and keep the measures currently in place (and even strengthen them) to preserve net neutrality and keep the internet a free source of ideas, information and service.
607. Linh Nguyen, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
The Internet has become the backbone of society. We cannot let companies have free reign over it. We already have limited choice. You want services to prosper? We need fast internet across the board available to everyone *competitively*. There is no way the content providers owning the lines competitive. They are already skirting the rules now with zero-rating. Sure, you can argue "now everyone does it"... but for how long? Will it just sea-saw back and forth creating uncertainty? We need hard enforceable rules in place. Don't want the FCC to do it? Figure out a solution before turning this off, then. I'm not opposed to that. But in the mean time, this is the best we have. I have two ISP choices. Verizon and Comcast. That's not choice. And that's by pure luck I'm in a Verizon FiOS roll out. Don't call DSL options a "choice" as they don't qualify for what is real broadband. Cell phone providers are an option? Do you know how much they charge per person? A weekend marathon of your favorite video streaming service will eat up the bandwidth. This isn't real choice, this is excessive profit motivation. I'm not saying companies can't make money, but we need a competitive baseline that is ACCESSIBLE to all.
608. Judy Prassinis, Boyds, MD, 20841
I urge you to protect net neutrality and Title 2 . It is important to keep these protections in place
609. Genevieve Anderson, Derwood, MD, 20855
Maintain internet neutrality and Title II.

610. Bracken McDaniel-Weissler, LaVale, MD, 21502
My ISP is a bunch of literal monsters. Please do not let them do any more damage than they already have.
611. Michael Treanor, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Please keep net neutrality and Title II intact so companies can't possibly dictate the Internet speed for specific sites.
612. denis muchiri, gaithesburg, MD, 20878
Do what Oliver says. Don't guy the current provisions .
613. Leigh Strickland, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
Dear FCC - preserve Net Neutrality and title 2.
614. cory, Derwood, MD, 20855
Preserve net neutrality and title 2John for president!
615. Matthew Cochran, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
Keep title 2. Let net neutrality Rock on.
616. Mark Gunnell, Frederick, MD, 21701
Please do not repeal existing net neutrality regulations and keep ISPs under Title II classification.
617. Micah Levey, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I absolutely support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's.
618. Christian Liu, Germantown, MD, 20876
I believe in a free and open internet. More to the point, I believe that strong regulation, including a Title 2 Classification of broadband providers, is crucial to maintaining a fair and open internet that spurs growth and competition. The industry cannot be trusted to adequately self regulate as has been shown in the past.
619. Raul Rodriguez, Clarksburg, MD, 20871
Mr. Ajit Pai, I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
620. Nathaniel Klinger, Washington Grove, MD, 20880
I want ISPs to stay under Title II. I do not trust the major ISPs to abide by net neutrality rules without being forced to. The regulations were put in place in the first place because some of them were proposing breaking them.
621. Brendon Perdikis, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Please, keep that TITLE II going strong. I love streaming 5 different movies

from 5 different streaming services at once across all my devices in my small student apartment.

622. Daniel Hsia, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
You better maintain net neutrality rules.
623. Charles Schelle, Frostburg, MD, 21532
Please ensure the Internet services, sites and applications that I enjoy all have the same priority and access through my connection. It would be an unfair business practice to give certain businesses a priority for bandwidth because of a relationship with an Internet Service Provider. It would also be unfair to punish a website, streaming service or other Internet-enabled service because it conflicts with business practices of an Internet Service Provider. Just like the expectation that when I make a phone call that each connection will be taken care of with the same level of service regardless of the phone company the person on the other end pays to use, I expect Internet Service Providers to do the same.
624. John Rossi, Frostburg, MD, 21532
Please maintain net neutrality under Title 2 protection. ISPs cannot be trusted to simply self-regulate and respect net neutrality without effective oversight, especially when there is the possibility of financial advantage involved. Thank you.
625. Taina Litwak, Darnestown, MD, 20878
I STRONGLY support true net neutrality and keeping the ISP suppliers under Title II regulation. Anything less than this is a sham and madness. Allowing the ISP's control and just hoping they deliver unbiased service is totally stupid. And I would like to think we, as a country, are NOT that stupid.
626. lisa freeman, Fairplay, MD, 21733
I support strong net neutrality and backing by title II regulation. Please maintain net neutrality!
627. Steven Eggers, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
Net neutrality must stay and is vital to a healthy internet.
628. TJ Liwanag, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
Ajit Pai, I specifically support Internet neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
629. Matthew Wesley, Smithsburg, MD, 21783
Ajit Pai's plan to dismantle Net Neutrality should be rejected, and the Internet should remain Title II. Our government needs to stop supporting the corporations, you are to serve the people. We live in a nation where the internet

is essentially monopolized by the major corporations, stalling improvements to both service and infrastructure, without the Title II classification things will only get worse for the users. Today, the Internet is a large enough part in most Americans lives that it should not be left up to corporations such as Comcast to make large decisions on how it should be implemented. You can manage your finances on the internet, you can shop on the internet, you can communicate to others on the internet, you can run a business on the internet, no matter what you do, you should be guaranteed the same service across the board. Thank you to those who vote to protect our internet.

630. renato herrera, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
ajit pai i specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title ii oversight of Internet service providers
631. Dee Dennis, Germantown, MD, 20874
I am strongly in favor of retaining the Title II regulations over internet service providers to ensure net neutrality
632. Andree Kless, Germantown, MD, 20874
Please preserve Net Neutrality rules under Title II regulations in order to protect consumers that depend on an open an unemcumbered internet for the free exchange of ideas and commerce.
633. Lenny Moore, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
I really appreciate if you leave ISP enforcement under Title II and support net neutrality. It's bad enough we have limited competition when it comes to internet providers. Don't make it worse by allowing these few major ISP providers virtually control want content we prefer to see
634. Francisco Andres Fiol, Derwood, MD, 20855
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!
Internet should be regulated like a utility, like electricity or water!
635. Patrick Norris, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
Please keep Net Neutrality and Title 2 in place
636. Paul Bradley, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Americans NEED net neutrality to preserve the independent internet experience. Keep net neutrality rules strong by backing them by Title II.
637. Charles Andres, Dickerson, MD, 20842
Please continue to regulate internet service providers as telecommunications services under Title II of the Communications Act.
638. ed notari, germantown, MD, 20874

Please keep Title 2, I favor Net Neutrality.

639. James Coe, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
Net neutrality is what prevents ISPs from becoming worse than they already are. Forcing them to provide equal service regardless of where you go online keeps them honest and promotes the freedom that the internet represents. Don't oppose it because the ISP's are paying for you to care about it. And ignore the bots that are spamming this site trying to outweigh actual public opinion.
640. David Friedman, Frederick, MD, 21701
Please support net neutrality legislation. ISP's should be classified as "common carriers" as they are under Title II of the Communications Act.
641. James R. Snyder, Clear Spring, MD, 21722
I do NOT want Comcast and Verizon and other ISPs to decide what content you see or how fast it loads. Remember these companies own media and content themselves and have a vested interest in driving consumers to their web sites. Please work to keep the internet truly "neutral".
642. Michael Kinson, Germantown, MD, 20874
ISP's need to remain under Title II.
643. Elliot White, Germantown, MD, 20874
The current net neutrality regulations protect consumers and small business from the rent-seeking inclinations of the service providers. Repealing them would strike a blow against startups and small businesses, with no benefit other than providing cable companies with yet another way to squeeze the public for money. When people complain about regulatory capture in government, it's nonsense like this that they're talking about. In the off chance that Ajit Pai ever sees this, I want to stress that he should be ashamed of this cravenly pandering to the service providers.
644. Jonathan Waldman, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Please keep net neutrality regulations and title 2 in place. Do not roll back these regulations.
645. Katelyn Moon, Damascus, MD, 20872
I support net neutrality. Please preserve it and Title II. Thank you!
646. W Duckworth, Frederick, MD, 21701
I support net neutrality and the "open Internet". All users should have equal access to everything available on the Internet and ISPs should be prevented from creating fast and slow lanes while charging consumers premium prices for upgraded access. Without net neutrality, small businesses, low-income individuals, and much of rural America would lose access to affordable,

reasonably fast internet service.

647. Lee Berkovits, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Please keep cable companies regulated under Title II! It is the only way that we, the citizens of the United States, can be sure that our internet is truly independent and fair. Thank you.
648. Shane Forsythe, Frederick, MD, 21704
I support net neutrality !Maintaining true Net Neutrality is paramount to the success of new business models and innovations that has revolutionized mass media, how services are provided, how we shop, obtain news, keep contact with loved ones.Eliminating net neutrality will only allow monopolies to price out new emerging innovations and stifle free exchange of ideas.America is far behind virtually every developed nation in broadband coverage and services, and this is due almost exclusively to monopolies and lack of competition (if you repeal net neutrality, this will only continue).
649. Henry Warner, CISSP, Clarksburg, MD, 20871
I am an information security expert employed with Johns Hopkins University Applied Physics Laboratory. I strongly urge the FCC to continue to regulate internet service providers (ISPs) like Verizon, Comcast, and AT&T under Title II. The internet has become an essential service for the American People. It is the lifeline by which we apply for jobs, work remotely from home, communicate with others, consume our news, consume our entertainment, control our utilities, access our home security, and more. With the history of peering disputes and other technical issues impacting consumers over the last 4 years, we need the FCC to regulate the technical aspects of the Internet and not rely on the Federal Trade Commission to oversee the companies providing the services. It is about the communications, not just the companies, and it is the FCC that regulates interstate and international communications, not the FTC.
650. Benjamin Stensland, Ijamsville, MD, 21754
I support strong net neutrality enforcement and upholding title 2, common carrier classification of ISPs. Reclassifying as title 1 would be disastrous to small, innovative companies on the internet. Internet Providers must be treated like any other utility and be prevented from intentional, self-interested traffic bias. Access to an open internet is a right which must be protected by the FCC.
651. Joshua Hall, Rockville, MD, 20853
I support net neutrality 100%. Please don't bow to Verizon, etc.... at the expense of the American taxpayers.
652. Annette Leach, Germantown, MD, 20874
Supporting title 2 regulation of ISPs.

653. David Gill, Gaithersburg, MD, 20882
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please protect the consumers and not trust that the big WEB providers will "do the right thing".
654. keep net neutrality, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
I want the net protected under the current net neutrality rules of title 2
655. Michael Mauthe, Frederick, MD, 21703
I support the the continued use of Title II to ensure the neutrality of internet access provided by Internet service providers
656. Linda Harrison, Germantown, MD, 20876
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2. Protecting net neutrality protects equal access to information for all Americans. Equal access, especially to information, is the corner stone of a strong democracy. There is no evidence that the protections for net neutrality have stifled innovation.
657. Benjamin Alain Clarke, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
Net neutrality keeps the internet strong, resilient, and open. It also fosters competition between internet service providers. The proposed changes will cause direct harm to consumers and ultimately decrease the quality of internet access available in the United States. I strongly oppose the proposed changes.
658. Jack Griffith, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I fully support net neutrality. I do not believe internet service providers (ISPs) should be in the business of slowing down or speeding up information based on the website it's coming from. This allows those ISPs to begin pressuring websites to pay extra money to avoid having their data being slowed down -- i.e., the definition of extortion. I realize these same companies contribute to election campaigns, but that fails to recognize the millions of citizens who would be negatively impacted by loosening net neutrality rules. Please -- think of them. Think of us.
659. Alex Brindley, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! The ISPs are not competing, and therefore cannot be trusted to regulate themselves. The consumer's best option is to leave them classified as Title II.
660. Chase Fowler, Boonsboro, MD, 21713
I support the regulation of isps.
661. Donna Ross, Gaithersburg, MD, 20882
The Internet is a primary communications method for millions of people in this country. We should not be allowing private corporations to limit our ability to

exercise free speech or to view whatever content we want. It is in the public interest for ISPs to continue to be classified under Title II. I find Pai's statements that we should just trust the corporations to not do anything against the public interest while removing all impediments laughable.

662. Robert Krumpfen, Frederick, MD, 21701
I strongly support net neutrality. Please keep title 2 protections over the internet.
663. Kevin Raminhos, Germantown, MD, 20874
To call this a 'restoration of freedom' is a joke. It is clearly an attempt to strip away what has been a largely neutral by technological default implementation of the Internet and more recently, a legally protected neutrality. Allowing ISP's to control the availability of information is a dangerous and stupid move. ISP's should serve as neutral transit providers. While some broad stroke network management exceptions may be reasonable, any kind of pay to play toll roads do not belong on the public Internet. The government should protect citizens not corporations.
664. Brian Lane, Rockville, MD, 20853
The actions taken by the current administration to repeal the Title 2 status will lead to the unfair throttling of internet services at the whims of the major internet service providers. If content is not treated fairly, this will stifle growth and competition and the rise of new small businesses into the online marketplace. I urge the FCC to preserve the Internet's Title 2 status.
665. Gabrielle Mathis, Germantown, MD, 20876
Everything should be equal. equal access is important.PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY
666. Lauren Oldfield, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
I support strict and enforceable regulation by the FCC that protects net neutrality. The proposed changes are an attempt to weaken the ability of the FCC to enforce net neutrality and therefore to weaken consumer protections. I support continuing to enforce net neutrality regulations under Title II.
667. Joseph Schweinzer, Germantown, MD, 20874
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. These protections are absolutely necessary for the safeguarding of consumers' freedom of choice on the Internet within the US.
668. Mike Robeson, Frostburg, MD, 21532
Please continue to support and preserve net neutrality backed by Tile II oversight of ISP's. Thank you.
669. Ken Pavelle, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

I oppose the change in regulation and request status quo regulation through Title 2. I do not trust the owners of broadband to ensure net neutrality without the oversight required in current regulation.

670. Daniel Gohlke, Frederick, MD, 21701

I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs! Its important to my work and to my personal life that all internet traffic is fairly delivered without preferential treatment.

671. Lori Feller, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

Please continue to support net neutrality - the principle that Internet service providers (ISPs) should give consumers access to all legal content and applications on an equal basis, without favoring some sources or blocking others. Do NOT roll back the current laws.

672. Lori Feller, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

Please continue to support net neutrality - the principle that Internet service providers (ISPs) should give consumers access to all legal content and applications on an equal basis, without favoring some sources or blocking others. Do NOT roll back the current laws.

673. Gregory Caravelli, Germantown, MD, 20874

Ajit Pai, please stop conflating the vibrant and growing internet economy with the pathetic, monopolistic ISP industry. Most people have one or fewer broadband providers. In the absence of competition, strong regulation (net neutrality) is required. I have personally been negatively affected twice by actions taken by ISPs that would have been avoided by having net neutrality in place earlier: 1) significant throttling of Youtube videos on FiOS in 2014 and 2) blocking of Google Wallet by Verizon Wireless in 2012. Removing net neutrality protections will absolutely cause serious harm to the actual internet economy and only serve to benefit the few ISPs that control the gateway to the internet.

674. John Daly, Rockville, MD, 20853

I just want to say that I very much hope that Internet Service Providers remain under the umbrella of Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I understand the desire of ISP executives and shareholders to develop and exploit their very expensive infrastructure as effectively as they can, and that's not illegitimate - but I think that, commercially and politically, the country (and the world outside our borders, for that matter) benefits overwhelmingly from restraining the extent to which relatively short-term corporate interests can structurally influence the flow of information and trade. I think the common good is better served by keeping broadband under Title II rather than under Title I, and I don't see any really compelling evidence that that causes ISPs unreasonable harm. The

internet is really the great technological miracle of my lifetime, and I just want to ask you to keep it on a more or less even keel. Protect Net Neutrality, please.

675. Zabel Isassi, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Do NOT repeal Net Neutrality. So many people have made a livelihood on the internet and restricting it will once again limit and/or crush any opportunities for people with poor circumstances. Support the net neutrality by title 2 oversight on ISP's. This isn't about watching cat videos or wasting time, it's about how some people make their living and display their work to possible job opportunities they wouldn't otherwise have.
676. David Clark, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
A free and open internet should be considered a basic freedom for Americans. The job of government is to intervene when the open market fails - this is such an instance. I implore you to stop representing corporate entities and represent the American public. Preserve net neutrality.
677. Marc Rose, Gaithersburg, MD, 20882
please support strong net neutrality through title 2 regulations
678. Mauricio Flores, Germantown, MD, 20876
Please preserve Net Neutrality backed by title II. It's important that the Internet remains fair and open for everyone. Thank you!
679. Coby Savona, Frederick, MD, 21702
I support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of internet service providers. And I used to love Reeses Peanut Butter cups until I saw Ajit Pai's mug.
680. Cory Thomas, Poolesville, MD, 20837
I strongly support Title II regulation. The internet has become a utility, and as such should be regulated. This would give unprecedented power to big corporations and severely restrict the flow of knowledge the internet has provided for years.
681. Jill Schneider, Gaithersburg, MD, 20882
Please support strong net neutrality through Title 2 Regulations.
682. Channing Kimble-Brown, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
I beg of you, please do not place ISPs under title I again, net neutrality is crucial to my Internet access. There is no motivations for ISPs to play fair and give everyone equal access when the whole reason they are fighting this is to make it legal to charge Internet companies more for better service. This is bad for not just me as a typical user but also smaller companies that rely on a low barrier to entry the currently exists thanks to Title II. In short, maintain net neutrality.

683. Rebecca Martin, Clear Spring, MD, 21722
I support Title II oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality is vital to people like me who work for small non-profits and depend on the open use of the internet to manage social media, design brochures and stream training videos from home or the office.
684. rick morrow, Frederick, MD, 21701
Preserve net neutrality and Title II classification of ISPs
685. Max Gamerman, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
Repealing Net Neutrality rules would be a travesty for our current democracy. Having a neutral internet allows everyone to have access to opportunities such as applying for jobs, applying for college, researching educational topics, and much more, and by repealing our current Net Neutrality rules, those opportunities would be discouraged and taken away from those who cannot afford the "fast-lanes." Please, keep the internet neutral.
686. Lindsay Nelson, Frederick, MD, 21703
Net Neutrality should remain Title II; not be changed so big corps can profit off monopolies. The point of government is to protect the public interest, not to fill private coffers. Please keep net neutrality, an important protection for the people.
687. title II, Gathiersburg, MD, 20878
I support Title 2 and protecting net neutrality.
688. Mchael Fekete, Frederick, MD, 21701
Keep Title 2 for ISP for net neutrality
689. Katie Schluntz, Bethesda, MD, 20817
Please keep IPS regulated under the current title to maintain net neutrality!!!!
690. Eli N. Cornell, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I am in full support of strong net neutrality, as backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and do not want any change made to ISP oversight. Respectfully, tampering with open access to the internet carves loose a foothold for corporations to control what is said or shown, which is far more power than should rightly be given to individuals already bearing too much power and control over the American people.
691. Voula Tripolitsiotis, Gaithersburg, MD, 20886
Restore net neutrality, keep it in Title 2
692. Andrew Brady, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
Net Neutrality is essential to maintaining free and fair Internet for everyone. If

we remove it, small businesses that are just trying to start up will be hurt, and the only people who will benefit are the people making money by cheating the American people out of their fair Internet speeds. Net Neutrality was put into place for a reason and should remain to ensure that the American people can maintain their Internet freedom.

693. Joseph D'Ambrosia, Frederick, MD, 21701
Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Please bring back our free internet. We don't need the intrusive government ruining this as well. Thanks Regards,
Joseph D'Ambrosia 1721 Dearbought Dr Frederick, MD 21701
694. Thomas Loggie, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Net neutrality is critical to the free flow of information on the internet. Placing ISP's under Title 2 is the only way to do it.
695. ryan jackson, Frederick, MD, 21702
Keep net neutrality as it is and in Title II.
696. RON PORTER, GERMANTOWN, MD, 20874
I specifically support Strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.
697. Zachary Gotsch, Oakland, MD, 21550
Do not allow Net Neutrality to be weakened. There is no competition in the ISP space; instead, monopolies have been allowed to form. If the FCC's policies of Net Neutrality are weakened or removed, ISPs will be in a position to impede and degrade traffic to whatever services they decide. Regulation of the internet as a utility is the only way to protect customers and ensure an equal playing field for all Internet-carried services. I have a degree in Software Engineering, and have founded and worked at several small World Wide Web-based startups. We must keep the Internet a level playing field, so that our future companies and ideas can flourish both on the web and the Internet at large.
698. Fiona Yang, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I'd like to see ISPs continued to be classified under Title II. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, because I believe that fair, free Internet is deserved. Without regulation, these companies will participate in activities that actively lower the quality of service for competitive websites. Finally, Chairman Pai's mug is dumb.
699. Eric Wahab, Germantown, MD, 20876
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The proposal to weaken net neutrality will be detrimental to the American public by undermining user choice, weakening competition, and risking the suppression of free speech online. Therefore, a proposal to weaken net

neutrality is unacceptable.

700. M. Sue Middendorf, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
I insist that you retain for us Internet Neutrality, as outlined in Title 2.
701. Derek Chiew, Germantown, MD, 20874
Dear FCC, I support strong oversight of ISP and strict regulations to protect net neutrality. I am making a comment to urge the FCC to keep Title 2 of the Net Neutrality. I am deeply concerned about Ajit Pai's intentions to change the current legal framework. Do not undo title 2 of net neutrality.
702. 1, germantown, MD, 20874
i support strong net neutrality, and title 2. thanks.
703. Peter Han, Jefferson, MD, 21755
Strongly support Net Neutrality and ISP remaining as Title 2.
704. Arthur Pelio, Rockville, MD, 20850
I am against this misleading bill that is actually anti internet freedom. Keep net neutrality rules on Title 2.
705. Christina Bour, Rockville, MD, 20853
I support net neutrality and I think that ISP's should be kept under Title II regulations.
706. Dan Gilbert, Poolesville, MD, 20837
I support net neutrality and title 2. Do something right for once (during this dead hooker that I'm handcuffed to of an administration) and preserve both title 2 and net neutrality.
707. Andrea Erbeck, Germantown, MD, 20874
Access to the internet and its resources should be free of all economic and political corporate interests and to allow preferential treatment to companies or users who pay more is inherently wrong. The internet is a public utility and should be treated as such. The internet is a free resource that is bigger than any company or search engine, it is a place to come together, not a place to impose capitalist experiments. Please uphold the existing regulations and fight for stronger consumer protections.
708. Isaac M, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
The FCC should keep the internet the way it is and not revert back to Title I. Fight for the people and not the corporations. Keep Net Neutrality!
709. M K P, Ijamsville, MD, 21754
Net Neutrality is the only consistently fair and equal regulation. To rescind this

and give further power to the huge corporations is an unconscionable action.

710. Gary Cohn, Germantown, MD, 20876
ISPs (Internet Service Providers) remaining under Title II is vital to the continued growth and success of the Internet as a medium of economic and cultural mobility and empowerment. Keeping ISPs under Title II will ensure that it is consumers that individually get to decide which businesses and communities thrive and which fail rather than letting a tiny handful of corporations decide for everyone else. I strongly urge the FCC to keep ISPs under Title II. For the economic, cultural, and personal freedoms of all whose livelihoods rely on the Internet being a neutral space.
711. Jill Smudski, Potomac, MD, 20854
I very strongly support net neutrality, and I don't believe the industry (Comcast, Verizon, etc.) is able to adequately police themselves. Their primary goal is returns to their shareholders, and they will do whatever needs to be done to maximize these. They will not make consumer protection a priority. Therefore, please keep this industry regulated by the Title II requirements. Please do not allow them to self-regulate under Title I. Thank you.
712. Daniel Adams, HAGERSTOWN, MD, 21740
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 status.
713. Linda Rawlings, FREDERICK, MD, 21701
A free and open internet with true net neutrality best serves the people.
714. Michael Miller, GAITHERSBURG, MD, 20877
The internet is and should remain a public utility.
715. Jonathan Marten, GERMANTOWN, MD, 20874
The FCC is supposed to work for the benefit of the citizens of this country
716. Peer Kirchner, BETHESDA, MD, 20817
internet neutrality is essential for a healthy democracy.
717. Geraldine Wilson, FREDERICK, MD, 21701
You are the representatives of the people noting business. Consider the people first when giving away our rights.
718. Dianne Heins, FREDERICK, MD, 21702
The Internet is not a government service nor one provided by any business. Communication companies have no right to attempt to control or filter it. None.
719. Jay Rapaport, GAITHERSBURG, MD, 20878
It's a no-brainer. Either you support consumers or the big businesses who

donate to your campaigns!

720. Karen Williams, POOLESVILLE, MD, 20837
Don't kill net neutrality. It is a giant step toward ending a free society.
721. James Quinn, DAMASCUS, MD, 20872
F'n Orange Bluster Duck will destroy this nation before the next election
722. Jose Paltan-Ortiz, BETHESDA, MD, 20817
This is not a dictatorial country!!!
723. noelia gonzales, MONTGOMERY VILLAGE, MD, 20886
Screw you trump!
724. Neil & Laura Wright, HAGERSTOWN, MD, 21740
The Internet has become an essential utility.
725. Jonathan Turak, BETHESDA, MD, 20817
Why do this to your voters?
726. Nina Forsythe, FROSTBURG, MD, 21532
Internet users need a level playing field.
727. Tom Land, WASHINGTON GROVE, MD, 20880
Small businesses are the backbone and foundation of the U.S. economy and without net neutrality we will be faced with monopolistic companies controlling and limiting citizen's access to information and communication that stimulate U.S. innovation
728. Susan Bechtel, POTOMAC, MD, 20854
HOW ARE THE USA CITIZENS
729. Starte Christ, MONTGOMERY VILLAGE, MD, 20886
The coyote is ""dead".
730. James Kelleher, BETHESDA, MD, 20817
Keep net neutrality. Do NOT dilute it in favor of the big corporations. You represent us
731. Monroe Mizel, POTOMAC, MD, 20854
Putting Verizon first is not putting America first.
732. Brian Coyle, GAITHERSBURG, MD, 20878
I'm so sick of the greedy being catered to while the poor get nowhere. Stop this
733. Tamar Clarke, CUMBERLAND, MD, 21502

What is it to stop unscrupulous sources from spying on individuals whose views that they disagree with?

734. Gary Cohn, GERMANTOWN, MD, 20876
Net Neutrality is vital to the continued functionality and freedom of the Internet. Big telecom companies have proven countless times they are not to be trusted in any discretionary capacity.
735. Michael Cooper, POOLESVILLE, MD, 20837
Stop selling out to big business and start representing the citizens of this country.
736. Rolando Yanez, GAITHERSBURG, MD, 20879
We will remember how you vote in 2018!
737. Eric Schwartz, WILLIAMSPORT, MD, 21795
The principle of Net Neutrality must be preserved. The Internet serves as a vital information conduit
738. Scott Lohr, CUMBERLAND, MD, 21502
Make the government small. Keep the government out of the Internet.
739. lynn gerber, HAGERSTOWN, MD, 21742
no....no....no
740. Charles Semler, SHARPSBURG, MD, 21782
Preserve Net neutrality. That is what the citizens want
741. Lawrence Kasecamp, FROSTBURG, MD, 21532
Don't kill net neutrality!!!! The American people deserve a free and open Internet that cannot be restricted by our Government or the Internet Service Providers.
742. Zachary Fletcher, GERMANTOWN, MD, 20874
Keep the internet free and equal for everyone. You wouldn't propose legislation that would let people pay more to be able to go faster on our roads.
743. steve aaron, rockville, MD, 20853
I strongly support net neutrality backed by TITLE 2 oversight of ISP's
744. Cathryn Glotfelty, McHenry, MD, 21541
I absolutely and specifically support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Do not allow any changes toward free market/no restrictions. Thank you.

745. Beverley McGaughan, Germantown, MD, 20874
I strongly disagree with Chairman Ajit Pai's proposal to gut net neutrality. This proposal to weaken existing net neutrality rules is unacceptable. It would weaken competition, stifle innovation, undermine user choice, and threaten free speech online.
746. Noemi Davila, Germantown, MD, 20876
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title II of ISP's
747. Ethan Smith, Frederick, MD, 21701
I want strict net neutrality. ISP's shouldn't be allowed to restrict what websites I use.
748. Arlene McCrehan, Washington Grove, MD, 20880
Internet neutrality is extremely important to me. I urge you to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
749. Donny Toker, Bethesda, MD, 20817
Dear FCC and Ajit Pai,I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Therefore, I urge you to preserve net neutrality and Title II.
750. Lucas Johnson-Fernandez, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I am advocating for the specific preservation of Net Neutrality Laws
751. Elizabeth Willis, Frederick, MD, 21704
Please maintain strong net neutrality. I cannot imagine how I would be able to conduct my work or life with the changes being currently considered. Those changes would harm most citizens. ISP's have in the past testified that strong net neutrality would not impact their infrastructure investment. The new proposed rules provides no effective equal access guarantees to the consumer.
752. Haris Dasti, germantown, MD, 20876
Please keep the net neutrality rules strong by backing title II
753. Carol Crane, Rockville, MD, 20853
I support Net Neutrality and Title 2. The recent suggestion by the new FCC Chair, that it should be "weed wacked" is offensive. This regulation is in effect for a reason and helps protect us consumers and our right to net neutrality..
754. James Stansfield, Frederick, MD, 21703
I support a free and open internet as provided by Title 2 regulations
755. Chris Kelley, Gaithersburg, MD, 20882
It is essential to preserve strong net neutrality - including retaining ISP classification under Title 2.

756. Alice Zenner, Frederick, MD, 21702
FCC, I want you to preserve Net Neutrality and Title II so that internet competition and service remains fair and neutral.
757. Tim Trischuk, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
We want to preserve the rules of Net Neutrality. Profit motive drives the Internet already, we don't need to hand over control of the connectivity to greedy hands as well. Bandwidth driven pricing will drive more innovation than tiered pricing that penalizes competition.
758. Kyler Beard, Frederick, MD, 21701
Repealing Title II Classification will also hurt ISPs, not just customers. You're all getting an immeasurable number of comments from people worried about the prospect of ISPs being able to cripple or block access to websites and effectively censor the internet. I would certainly agree that this is definitely the most pressing reason to oppose this, but Mr. Pai seems to be more concerned with keeping the ISP's wallets filled. To those profit motives, I have to say, Mr. Pai, repealing Title II Classification will actually be MORE COSTLY to ISPs in the long term, and there's a very good reason for that. Liability. Think about this for a minute. There are certain political and lobbying groups, particularly of the Hollywood and law enforcement variety, that have a vested interest in forcing ISPs to become content police, so to speak. I'm sure you understand that implementing such a system would be extremely costly and burdensome to these companies, not just from creating the infrastructure to do this, but also from any potential lawsuits that may arise from not complying with procedures that could be mandated by law. With Title II Classification, the ISPs have an easy out for shielding themselves from these groups, as by treating all traffic equally, they are absolved from any liability regarding how their customers use their internet connection. But if Title II is repealed and ISPs are allowed to interfere with access to content, it will be much easier for these lobbying groups to press for, and quite possibly pass, laws that would require ISPs to implement costly monitoring systems that would wipe out any short-term profit gains that would come from repeal of Title II Classification. I doubt many people submitting comments have considered addressing this prospect, so I hope Mr. Pai is able to at least read this comment and bring this talking point into consideration when making his proposal, whatever that might be. Hopefully Mr. Pai will do the right thing and preserve strong net neutrality rules with a strong legal foundation. The principle of net neutrality also protects ISP's liability, not just freedom of access online.
759. Anthony Case, Gaithersburg, MD, 20882
Please keep the internet open for all. The greatest communication system in the world should not be subjected to manipulation by anyone, much less corporate interests.

760. Isaac Leventon, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I absolutely support strong net neutrality laws. The idea that you would weaken that, or reduce our ability to enforce them is absolutely reprehensible.
761. Reynolds, Boyds, MD, 20841
Only criminal organizations want reduced regulations that allow them to the freedom to suppress competition. And only those on the payroll of those organizations would advocate such reductions. Proper regulations level the field by raising the minimum standards of performance, not encouraging a spiral of undercuts to see who can sink the lowest.
762. Ellie Allen, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
We need to preserve net neutrality and keep ISPs as Title II carriers. ISPs should not be able to slow down certain sites and prioritize others. The government is the only entity that can ensure this will not happen. Do not change this regulation.
763. Laurie Berman, Rockville, MD, 20853
I strongly support Net neutrality under Title II!
764. Jason Sekiguchi, Rockville, MD, 20853
I strongly support net neutrality under Title II!
765. Sam H, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
It was the ISPs themselves who insisted that if Net Neutrality was to be enacted, it would have to be under Title II. Now we are supposed to believe that removing ISP regulation from Title II requirements is going to bring about "freedom", and actual "neutrality"? Can there be any more blatant hypocrisy?
766. Sunshine Brosi, Swanton, MD, 21561
Retain the open Internet and Title 2.
767. Christy Gordon, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
I love the internet because it provides me with information.
768. Barbara Sowder, Rockville, MD, 20853
The internet is easy to use and convenient. I keep in touch with friends and family and stay current with the news.
769. Stephanie Conlan, Damascus, MD, 20872
I strongly urge you to preserve Net Neutrality under Title II. Giving ISPs the power to block content and speech that they disagree with is along the same lines as Internet censorship in Russia. Allowing ISPs to reject apps that compete with their own offerings, and to prioritize Web traffic in order to discriminate against specific companies/websites based on their own financial, political, etc.

interests, condones unfair competition. Consumers will have no protection against exorbitant fees charged by ISPs. Removing the FCC's net neutrality rules and replacing them with "voluntary" commitments from ISPs is unrealistic--these companies will act in whatever way best serves their financial interests. Consumers demand and need net neutrality, enforced by the FCC!! Don't be responsible for destroying the open Internet. We rely on YOU to act in our interests, not of those whom you are intended to regulate!

770. David Harvill, Frederick, MD, 21703
Please, instead of just getting rid of title II, please just update it to make current. Getting rid of title II for the ISPs will hurt Americans. We can barely afford cable as it is as a household. We are sure that millions of people will not be able to handle more fees.
771. Phillip Millman, Potomac, MD, 20854
Net neutrality has become one of the backbones of our democratic society by not allowing corporate interests to dictate which sites we can visit and at what speeds. ISPs like Verizon, AT&T, Cox, Time-Warner and etc. should remain as Title II companies of the Communications Act of 1934. I strongly hope that the FCC continues to speak for the American People not Corporate interests.
772. robert stanley, Frostburg, MD, 21532
Please maintain the current FCC internet regs that are stipulated under Title 2. Net neutrality is Important for democracy.
773. Ian R Wright, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
Title 2 is absolutely essential to the core of the internet and what has allowed it to be such a hub of innovation. I already pay for bandwidth. The services that I use already pay for bandwidth. There is no justification for any scenario in which my choice of service is somehow limited because the service that I chose to try didn't pay for a "fast lane" out even didn't make an arrangement for zero rating. Moreover, so many government, school, and business functions are focused and based solely on the internet that not treating it like a utility has long ceased to make sense
774. Richard Wainwright, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I support a clear and open Internet and support the title 2 designation for ISPs.
775. Nathaniel Glod, Rockville, MD, 20853
I am very concerned about the comments made by the FCC's director on Net Neutrality. I fully and wholeheartedly support ISPs remaining classified as they currently are, and having no changes made to the current regulations on them.
776. Diana Costa, Germantown, MD, 20874
I certainly understand why the Internet is regulated by the FCC. However, that

fact, I fear, has made people try to lump it in with other modes of communication that the FCC regulates like TV and Radio. But the uses of the internet have far outmoded the capabilities of TV or radio. The internet hosts the library of the world, the primary and most immediate source of information. It presents essential means of communication between many of us and our loved ones. It serves as the means for news distribution. And, yes, it remains one of the repository for entertainment. If it were exclusively for entertainment purposes, the idea of net neutrality wouldn't strike me as important enough to post a comment on. But it isn't just entertainment or even primarily entertainment. Not for me. And it is the idea that companies can direct the flow of information and interpersonal communication as they deem fit (or more likely, profitable) to my detriment that upsets me. Net neutrality needs to be upheld in the LAW. The proposition that the industry just "do the right thing because it's the right thing" and "police themselves" is absurd. When money can be made by manipulating an internet feed (and it is not difficult to see how that might happen) it is only a matter of time before it becomes common practice. From child labor to usury, humans collectively have never proven that they care more for "doing the right thing" than they do for profits. You wouldn't propose that we deregulate child labor in favor of everyone just policing themselves, would you?

777. ushma agrawal, North Potomac, MD, 20878

I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Net neutrality is good for us. Please preserve net neutrality.

778. Fred Hartman, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879

Title 2 must be in place. Abuses by ISPs have happened and will continue and increase if there isn't explicit legal recourse. If they aren't unfairly throttling certain content, then they have nothing to worry about. It is easier for technology to support net neutrality than to cheat on it, so ISPs can comply without doing anything, so this is not a regulation that imposes any burden.

779. Deanna Holford, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877

Preserve net neutrality and Title II. The current rules (Title II), and the enforcement authority, are critical to protecting consumers from net providers blocking or slowing some content, while possibly favoring their own.

780. Justin Smith, Frederick, MD, 21701

The concept of Net Neutrality should be determined by the people who use, love, and often depend on internet communications, rather than a handful of billion-dollar Internet Service Providers acting in their own interest. In as much as many of these ISPs operate as de-facto monopolies within certain geographic regions is egregious enough. That they would seek to end fair delivery of all content is the tipping point for a free and democratic internet in the United

States. Do NOT permit the ISPs and their government water-carriers to convince you that removing them from Title II supervision and restriction would "permit a free and open internet." Instead, please remember that we are a People. Not a Corporation.

781. Daniel Powell, Damascus, MD, 20872

I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. The fact that I have to jump through all these hoops to convince you again to keep the internet unrestricted should probably tell you how far around the bend you've gone.

782. Eric Cotter, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879

I am a strong supporter of the net neutrality laws currently on the books. Do not roll them back. Thank you.

783. mrs. jennifer costello, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

I demand net neutrality and protection under Title II.

784. Caitlin Brubaker, clarksburg, MD, 20871

Regulation makes sure that everyone gets equal access to a fair playing field. ISPs have already begun throttling web-services on small scales, we can not allow them to do such things on large scales.

785. Sarah Bastien, Clarksburg, MD, 20871

I do not support any initiative that jeopardizes our net neutrality protections. Regulations will ensure that every internet service provider is held to the same standards and must provide the same degree and quality of service regardless of personal interest.

786. Nicholas Howard, DERWOOD, MD, 20855

Don't let them do this

787. JL McCreery, Potomac, MD, 20854

The goal of Steve Bannon's administration is to "DISMANTLE THE GOVERNMENT." This would help him. Why would you help him do that by eliminating the free expression of ideas via the Internet?

788. Brian de Castro, germantown, MD, 20874

A free and open internet for all - that is the American way!

789. Kent Shellenberger, potomac, MD, 20854

U.S. citizens must have open and free access to the internet in order to protect our rights.

790. Jackie Kruft, Rockville, MD, 20855

Preserve net neutrality and Title II. Deregulating will hurt consumers.

791. prajakta adsul, Gaithersburg, MD, 20886
I support net neutrality backed by title 2 enforcement
792. Justin Post, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
It is critical to continue to support strong net neutrality rules particularly regulation of ISPs under Title 2 of the communications act of 1934
793. Amanda, Frederick, MD, 21701
Title 2 never Title 1 again.
794. Ashley Slade, Frederick, MD, 21701
Please leave the Title II classification for ISPs in place. This has been determined through legal proceedings to be a critical measure to ensuring net neutrality over the long term and is a critical consumer protection. The internet has become a public utility, much like water and electricity, and should be regulated accordingly.
795. Kathryn Daws-Kopp, Boyds, MD, 20841
Because this is the US, not China and we let all voices be heard.
796. Taina Litwak, Darnestown, MD, 20878
America is a DEMOCRACY Mr. Pai - PLEASE act like you believe it. The internet needs to stay Neutral and OPEN. We will end up like China if you are not careful.
797. Gary Delavigne, Germantown, MD, 20874
I dont need my browsing experience slowed down,blocked,messed with in any way. You are also trying stop free speech by taking away Net neutrality.Stop interfering with way we live our lives and govern properly!
798. Katherine Jones, Frederick, MD, 21702
I have family that is not that well off. Their children need every advantage they can gain from technology. If you throttle that technology, which is what will happen with your plans, children all over the country will be left behind in the global economy. That's just wrong.
799. Ellen Sherfey, Germantown, MD, 20874
The US can't follow Soviet and Fascist countries' examples of one-sided propaganda!
800. Jay Cochran, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Leave Net Neutrality as it is.

801. Kathy Condor, LaVale, MD, 21502
Please don't forget, you are representing WE THE PEOPLE - NOT COMCAST, VERIZON and AT&T!
802. Bessy Laws, Germantown, MD, 20874
Part of a free society is also free access to information. The internet also provides a easy platform to hold organizations and government accountable. Keeping the public informed.
803. Donald Dicken, Cumberland, MD, 21502
The internet should belong to the people not greedy corporations
804. Jim, Cumberland, MD, 21502
Leave the internet alone. Keep it open.
805. Karyn Folan, Germantown, MD, 20874
The internet is the most powerful source of opportunity people have. Equal access lets poor kids learn, allows artists to create and keeps the government honest!
806. Scott Burks, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
WE SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY. PLEASE CONTINUE TO CLASSIFY ISPs AS TITLE ii.
807. Net Neutrality, Poolesville, MD, 20837
I am specifically against rolling back ANY legal measures that negate fair net neutrality. ISPs should be classified under Title 2 and net neutrality needs to stay in place as is with no interference from ISPs.
808. James Conlon, Dickerson, MD, 20842
Leave the Title 2 net neutrality protections in place. Every aspect of the internet is commercialized enough as it is.
809. James Austin, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
Iâ€™m a computer professional. My official job title is â€œIT Specialist,â€ and I work for a component of the Department of Health and Human Services. Note, however, that Iâ€™m speaking as a private citizen and not in any official capacity. My branch, along with many other administrative offices, recently moved into a new building designed to provide ubiquitous telepresence communications. All computers are equipped with soft videophones; in the early design stages there were no conventional desktop telephones at all. Though the final design has those, they operate as terminals to the network system. This building deliberately encourages aggressive use of videoconferencing for employees at work, and of teleworking so employees donâ€™t have to come to work. My personal duties tend to require my physical

presence, but many of my colleagues telework regularly, and I know of groups where telework on a set schedule is mandatory. I told the parking lots were sized in part based on assumptions about how many people will telework each day. The relevance to network neutrality is this: To me, and many others here, high-performance internet access is a job requirement, not a luxury. Many of us are required to telework on a set schedule, and thus required to have internet access capable of supporting full-motion videoconferencing from our homes. I doubt this is especially unusual now, and am certain this is becoming less and less unusual every day. So, suppose the principle of strict network neutrality is abandoned. How much bandwidth will my employer have available, and at what cost? That the Department of Health and Human Services will be gifted with free access to the "fast lane" strikes me as unlikely. In the era of sequestration and continuing resolutions, will HHS even be ALLOWED to purchase sufficient bandwidth to make this technology function useably in the real world? And what are the consequences to telework, and teleworkers, if not? Much of the public debate about network neutrality focuses on whether there will be throughout enough for streaming movies, and at what cost. While that's a legitimate consumer issue, I submit that a great (and greatly increasing) number of people rely on the internet as a critical job enabler. Their, and their employers', access to bandwidth is not a luxury, but a critical resource. As a government employee my immediate concern is whether government will be afforded (or perhaps, be given budget enough to afford) sufficient bandwidth, but the same concerns apply to small business, and for that matter, small organizations of any sort. This why I strongly urge that network neutrality be preserved unequivocally. Only that can protect my ability, and my colleagues' ability, to do the job we are pledged to do.

810. Eric Gleysteen, Frederick, MD, 21702

Net neutrality is not a problem, it's a solution. Please stop the idiots trying to advantage isp's to compromise the value of the internet. Net neutrality is a natural follow up to market place competition and promotes the notion that the best services should rise to the top because of their popularity (rather than their bed fellows). A much better legislative cause would involve neutralizing corporations from having the option to mess about on the basis of a highly dubious mutual commitment not to game the system that is largely debunked by how much bloatware and spam we all already put up with. Thanks.

811. William Brady Burker, Sharpsburg, MD, 21782

Preserve net neutrality under Title II. The internet has become a vast resource. Don't sell it out.

812. Aaron, Germantown, MD, 20874

I support title II free net neutrality

813. Lisa Wheelock, Frederick, MD, 21701
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Please by fair and do not be swayed by money and power.
814. Chris Standing, Frederick, MD, 21704
Net Neutrality should be maintained as it is currently without any change in categorization or leaving anything for the ISP to volunteer to adhere to. I am requesting that Net neutrality be maintained.
815. Shaun Rock, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
I would like to inform the FCC that I support a strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II classification. It's sad that we have to go through this once again since the public has already spoken on this issue. I trust that Mr. Pai will follow the comments left by legitimate comments.
816. Chris Asher, Germantown, MD, 20874
Keep the internet as it is-an open playing field!!
817. Matt Moores, Darnestown, MD, 20874
True neutrality must continue to be protected. That is the purpose of the FCC, to regulate in order to protect citizens. Do your jobs.
818. Kelly McGowan, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I VERY SPECIFICALLY & STRONGLY support net neutrality backed by TITLE II oversight of ISPs. I believe I have been lied to and feel I deserve the same internet access as any other citizen of this country. I have lived in rural areas without decent internet access and urban/suburban areas thriving with internet access and it really opened my eyes to how much the rural population in this country is discredited by being deprived of decent internet access. In this day and age, EVERYONE has the right to a decent internet connection. I know it can be done. I'm just waiting for the government to start standing up for the less fortunate.
819. Viet Nguyen, Clarksburg, MD, 20871
The Title 2 statute should remain. The idea of letting the ISP services self regulate is ridiculous. Verizon has said in a statement already that the regulations don't keep them from infrastructure investments. There is a direct need to continue with strong net neutrality and not allowing the ISPs to charge more for services to small businesses and throttle to advance their interests. That is totally unacceptable.
820. Phillip Green, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
Please preserve net neutrality and retain title II classification of ISPs.
821. Brian Herb, Germantown, MD, 20874

Please maintain safeguards for net neutrality, specifically maintaining title 2 status of ISP's. An open and equal internet is especially important to my work as a scientist, as we would hate to see the preferential distribution, or the blocking of scientific information.

822. Tim Ogul, Frederick, MD, 21704

I do not support reclassifying Internet providers in any way that would make them more difficult to regulate. I believe that net neutrality is vital to this nation's future, and that the FCC should protect consumers from service providers being able to change data rates based on how much individual content providers pay them.

823. Andre Hayes, Frederick, MD, 21704

Continue to enforce Title II as is. ISP must be made to provide equal access to all Internet provided services.

824. Ian Richter, Derwood, MD, 20855

I strongly support enforceable regulations to preserve net neutrality as currently implemented by classifying internet service providers under Title II.

825. Jane Wonnell, Poolesville, MD, 20837

I am writing to ask you to preserve Title 2 and Net Neutrality. I believe without it the future of commerce on the internet will be driven by big money and will destroy small businesses. Mr. Pai please reconsider your position! Thank you, Jane Wonnell

826. Bill Richards, Frederick, MD, 21701

Being an avid internet user who consistently uses cable internet and cell phone 4G connections to perform banking, information searches, picture sharing, video sharing, and television streaming i strongly believe you need to keep ISPs under Title II so they can have proper oversight and the internet can remain neutral

827. Armen Galustyan, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

I strongly support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of the ISPs. I'm strongly opposed to Docket No. 17-108.

828. Karissa Miller, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886

I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. I believe it is integral for the flow of communications and information among average american citizens and fundamental for the continuing existence of a fair free market on the internet.

829. Claudia B, Potomac, MD, 20854

Please do the right thing. Protect and preserve net neutrality and keep it under

title II.

830. Sarah Klein, Potomac, MD, 20854
Defend net neutrality! Anything less is unacceptable. America is watching you. Do not disappoint us. Do not sell us out to corporate greed.
831. Mike Carson, Mount Savage, MD, 21545
Keep Title II in effect.
832. stephen lopez, Frederick, MD, 21703
Allow total net neutrality as it is currently
833. Amilynn Adams, Brunswick, MD, 21716
Leave ISP regulation under Title II. Net Neutrality for all. Oh, and Mr. Pai...your mug sucks and so does your buddy Forrest Trump.
834. Joe McDaniel, Grantsville, MD, 21536
Leave this under Title 2! In the rest of the world, they have far better internet because it is regulated as a common carrier.
835. Genevieve Sanchez-Howard, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Net Neutrality must remain and be enforced. ISP's have a long history of interfering with internet speeds or not offering access to their competitors sites and services. Protect consumers, maintain equal access to all internet services for all customers. Do not choose to protect large companies over your citizens and constituents.
836. Aaron Wertlieb, Derwood, MD, 20855
Please keep ISP's as title 2 companies. They absolutely can not be trusted to maintain net neutrality. It is the FCC's job to provide oversight and regulation, and to protect the consumer.
837. John Guilford, Point of Rocks, MD, 21777
Please do not roll back net neutrality. If we did this with other services, water, electric, etc...it would cripple our economy. Thank you. I do not want my ISP to subject me to blocking, throttling, and paid prioritization.
838. Joseph DAmbrosia, Frederick, MD, 21701
Obama's Title II order has diminished broadband investment, stifled innovation, and left American consumers potentially on the hook for a new broadband tax. These regulations ended a decades-long bipartisan consensus that the Internet should be regulated through a light touch framework that made the Internet a remarkable engine of economic growth and free expression. I therefore strongly support fully repealing the Obama/Wheeler Internet regulations and urge the Senate to expeditiously confirm new FCC

commissioners who are committed to restoring Internet freedom. Not that you both will listen. Neither of you have been listening to the people of MD forever. But I have faith that one day. And please don't waste my time with your spin if you are just going to vote against this good bill.

839. Susan Klavon, Gaithersburg, MD, 20882

I oppose the roll back of net neutrality regulations. If Internet Service Providers (ISPs) are allowed to give preferential treatment to some, then the flow of information is controlled by them, which takes away freedom of speech. The FCC had tried to enforce net neutrality via sections of the Communications Act, but these efforts failed on two occasions in a federal court of appeals. With Title II in place, the FCC has been able to uphold net neutrality in the courts. In addition, the FCC is in the best position to regulate and oversee this industry and thus protect American consumers. The FTC doesn't have the expertise or staff to oversee net neutrality. Broadband access is extremely important to Americans and the economy. Government regulations should focus on protecting access to information as opposed to letting ISPs, which are interested in their bottom line, decide for their customers.

840. Kathryn Mosteller, Hagerstown, MD, 21740

I support Net Neutrality and do NOT want it to be weakened. We need to keep an open internet for everyone. I do not want Telecoms, ISPs, or any other corporation or entity to be able to dictate where I go, what I view, or what I'm able to say on the internet. Net Neutrality helps protect everyone's access to the internet.

841. David Fenstermacher, Germantown, MD, 20874

Preserve net neutrality, keep ISPs regulated by Title II. Do not move ISPs to Title I. Keep the Internet open for all Americans.

842. Rose Kelleher, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879

Net neutrality is the equivalent of free speech in the Internet age. Repealing it will allow big money interests to hog all the bandwidth, squeeze out small businesses, and worst of all, suppress dissenting voices.

843. Elmer Haapala, montgomery village, MD, 20886

Don't get rid of net neutrality. That is simply giving big cable companies that already have a massive monopoly on the internet an even bigger monopoly to do whatever they want. I mean have you seen the customer service reviews for comcast, verizon, etc? And they don't care, because you either have one of them as your only option, or both of them and they know that and they don't care how they treat their customers. Allowing them to take retaliatory action or start charging "premiums" for certain things on the internet is just plain wrong. That's like what keurig tried to do with their coffee makers and making it so

you had to use only their approved K-cups. Just wrong! It's like if you bought a microwave but it would only microwave a certain brand of food, because the microwave owner made a deal with that brand. You are supposed to protect the people, if you vote to get rid of net neutrality, you aren't protecting the people, your throwing us under the bus for profits and probably kick-backs.

844. Jennifer McAndrew, Frederick, MD, 21702

Regulations are put in to place in order to create an equality or safety net for the common population. Allowing very large companies that already make enough money for innovation does not create equality for those who really need equality. I oppose removing these regulation which will actually impede freedoms of individual people. Repealing net neutrality will only increase inequality when we need to decrease inequality in this country. Removing this regulation will also impede small businesses and non profit organizations which are VITAL to our economic security. Large corporations only provide a small amount of economic growth compared to small business. As tax payers we expect the FCC to listen to the needs of individuals.

845. Jessica Dickens, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886

I do not support eliminating net neutrality rules and the classification of ISPs as Common Carriers under Title II of the Communication Act. I believe that government regulation is the most effective means of ensuring the internet remains free and open. Free Press has compiled a list of net neutrality violations over the years (link: <https://www.freepress.net/blog/2017/04/25/net-neutrality-violations-brief-history>); it is unrealistic to think that removing or relaxing net neutrality rules will cause ISPs to do better. The Title II regulations requiring disclosure of fees and data caps are important to a marketplace where consumers can make informed decisions, investigations into ISPs that exempt their own video services from data caps are part of insuring that all content is treated equally, regardless of source. That is what a free and open internet means. Do not take that away from the American public. Do not kill net neutrality rules under Title II.

846. Armen, N/A, MD, 20876

I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY and oppose FCC new rule removing net neutrality. The FCC should preserve the internet as an open conduit. In doing so, it will protect free expression, as well as commerce originating from businesses large and small. I urge the FCC to protect Americans from corporate greed

847. Justin Sternberg, Potomac, MD, 20854

Net neutrality is the most important thing in this country, and reclassifying it away from title 2 protection would be the worst mistake that could be made. The internet has developed and grown due to a free and open internet where no

one has an advantage over anyone else. Before title 2 classification, some big ISPs like Comcast used their control to throttle services like Netflix until they paid up for more bandwidth. After these types of attacks, the Obama administration FCC reclassified the internet into title 2 classification to make it an open playing field and prevent these types of attacks from happening again. Now, the current FCC is about to make a major mistake by revoking that title 2 classification. If this is done, American industry will suffer while only a few big ISPs profit. Startups and small tech companies will be at a disadvantage to larger competitors, which can prevent new tech companies from forming. This business will go outside of the US and give an advantage to other countries who know how to manage their internet classifications. Additionally, it can be used to allow ISPs to censor anything on the internet they don't like, suppressing the free speech this country is known for. If you really want the internet to be free and open like you say you want chairman, then keep net neutrality and the title 2 classification. Don't just ignore the comments, the majority of people want net neutrality. If you insist on keeping this up despite the truth of net neutrality, prepare for a battle of lawsuits and protests (nonviolent). Please listen and keep title 2, Sincerely, A software developer

848. Restoring Internet Freedom, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Removing Net Neutrality Regulations will not give more freedom to consumers, but rather allow companies to monopolize more easily due to increased control over access. This gives companies more freedom, but restricts the freedom and choices of American citizens.
849. Scott Durant, Boonsboro, MD, 21713
I strongly support net neutrality rules with ISP oversight under Title II to ensure open and equal access for all content providers without for-profit ISP companies having the ability to throttle back access to non-preferred content.
850. Fredau Pettway, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
Please, maintain Net neutrality. The Internet has been "neutral" since its inception in 1969 and THAT is why we have seen innovation. If we allow Internet access providers to be allowed to favor some content sites over others, we eliminate the level playing field that has made the Internet the greatest innovation incubator in the technical age.
851. Przemek Klosowski, N. Potomac, MD, 20878
I object to the reversal of the FCC net neutrality revision proposed in this Proceedings. I object to representing it as 'internet freedom'. I support using Title II designation for the internet communication infrastructure.
852. geoffrey french, frederick, MD, 21702
I am against letting ISP's control or charge for traffic. All access that I pay for

should be on an equal access. I am paying for my account and the ISP should not be able to charge for any access to any other web site that I access.

853. Connor Norton, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
I am in favor of stricter FCC regulations and for the keeping of ISPs under Title 2
854. Austin Abdun-Nabi, Potomac, MD, 20854
Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I find it disrespectful to democracy that our previous comments were dismissed as votes on a late night talent show. Be representative of the people and hear our concerns.
855. Jeremy Rice, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
I am writing today to join others in calling for the FCC to ensure net neutrality is maintained through strong, Title II protections.
856. Harry R Lewis, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
Do not change back to the old laws. Keep things the way they are
857. Douglas J Hill, Bethesda, MD, 20817
I support a free and open Internet whereby all content is treated fairly w/o pay-to-play fast lanes and other methods. It is important to keep Internet Services Providers like Verizon, Comcast, AT&T, etc. regulated under Title II rules. I also hope the FCC will ignore the many bot-produced comments and take the time to listen to the people for whom you work.
858. Larry Hothem, Bethesda, MD, 20817
I urge you to preserve "Net Neutrality" and online free speech. This means keeping the Title II based net neutrality protections that we now have. I support the Title II based rules because they do not allow: blocking or censorship, the deliberate slowing of data flow and the operation of apps, and paid prioritization or charging of extra fees to gain improved service. Thank you for supporting "Net Neutrality."
859. Abigail Wittenberg, Frederick, MD, 21702
Net neutrality ensures that small businesses are able to grow without incredibly high and unnecessary expenses. It is the greatest reason as to why the Internet has grown and accomplished as much as it has. Websites like Google, Skype, Facebook, Twitter, and more might not exist if their creators had to pay Internet service providers for adding their sights to their networks. Undoing network neutrality rules will not only hurt small business, but they will affect consumers. Only the wealthiest companies and websites will be able to pay for the quickest loading times and easiest accessibility. This is essentially a way for corporations to control what content the average internet user can view. I urge the FCC to

leave net neutrality laws intact.

860. Robert Anthony McGehee, Oakland, MD, 21550
I've come here to your intentionally complicated website to restate the fact that net neutrality means a lot to me and the rest of my country. To allow cooperations to control the internet is not only wrong, but it is un-American. Please keep title 2.
861. Bryan J. McCowan, Cumberland, MD, 21502
The maintenance of net neutrality is one of the most important topics of the 21st century. The educational, historical, and idea sharing resources on a free internet do not only benefit human understanding, but are also crucial to our future survival. The internet allows people from all parts of our world to learn and share ideas that solve current and future problems. To allow any entity to stop access is a crime and no business profit is more valuable than a free internet. I oppose any change in net neutrality.
862. Adam Morgan, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
I strongly support Net Neutrality being upheld through Title 2 regulations of ISPs.
863. Alex Gottfried, Silver Spring, MD, 01845
Net neutrality is critical to maintaining an open and democratic internet. We must prevent rent-seeking and monopolistic behavior by ISPs who would have all the wrong incentives to discriminate in the absence of strong Title II regulation.
864. Perseus Barahona-Molina, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
I side with having Net Neutrality. ISPs need to be kept under section 2. No not allow internet throttling.
865. Jon Farrell, Frederick, MD, 21701
Keeping the internet neutral under Title II is the only way to make sure that the ISP monopoly can't pick winners and losers in what should be a free market. Keep the internet free by keeping net neutrality regulations in place. Repealing these regulations only helps the ISPs and will have a negative impact on all Americans.
866. Jennifer Sapphire, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
I support Net Neutrality backed by strong Title II protections; "Restoring Internet Freedom" would weaken such protections, and as such, I can not support it.
867. Paul Goldberg, North Potomac, MD, 20878
I strongly support Open Internet Order is better known as Net Neutrality. Where

Broadband providers may not block access to legal content, applications, services, or non-harmful devices. They may not impair or degrade lawful internet traffic on the basis of content, application, services, or any classes thereof. They may not favor some internet traffic over other internet traffic in exchange for consideration of any kind "no paid prioritization or fast lanes. The internet has become a utility such as Telephone, gas and electric service and must be protected from monopolies which could limit the abilities of consumers to have open access to the information and services they require.

868. Keith Campbell-Rosen, Germantown, MD, 20874
I support strong net neutrality including Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
869. David Morganstein, Germantown, MD, 20876
I support net neutrality! All users should be treated equally.
870. Austin Abdun-Nabi, Potomac, MD, 20854
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II classification of ISPs. Do not let large corporations control the free and open internet, and do not sell out the American people. We have an equal voice compared to these large corporations, and these rules would benefit them and only them.
871. Stephen A. Hansen, Germantown, MD, 20874
I, Stephen A. Hansen, being the slacktivist millennial that I am, support strong Net-Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. That said, I am not a robot I am not a robot I am not a robot! #StephenColbertSentMe
872. Barry Kissin, Frederick, MD, 21701
Net neutrality is essential. internet service providers must continue to be classified as telecommunications companies and must continue to be required to treat all web traffic equally.
873. Kristen N Eshleman, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
Internet neutrality and Title 2 needs to be preserved.
874. Peter Schulz, Frederick, MD, 21701
Comcast's illegal "comment stuffing" tactics are why I am staunchly FOR net neutrality. You guys say it's all about "freedom", but it's only "freedom" for mega-corporations. Ordinary Americans get screwed. Your job is to protect the public interest, not protect corporate profits.
875. Daniel Santos, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
Hello, I know I'm just adding to the millions of Americans that you're going to ignore in order to make money but please, if anyone reading this has even a shred of respect for the freedom of information and the rights of your fellow man, stop this nonsense. What is happening here will affect every single

American and give unprecedented power to corporations who have no interest in the preservation of our rights. They have proven that they alone cannot be trusted to maintain net neutrality. So if you're reading this, do everything in your power to stop this. We need title II to keep our rights. Help me reader, you're my only hope.

876. Arthur Milholland, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877

The internet is and should remain a public utility, with full protection and recognition as such. Without it, timely and complete access to medical information needed for patient care will be compromised.

877. Ian Hartman, Frederick, MD, 21701

Net neutrality is one of the most vital pieces of our free democracy. Companies should not be able to regulate information available to the public; that's how we lose our country as we know it. Net Neutrality MUST be maintained

878. Cynthia Taylor, North Potomac, MD, 20878

On February 26, 2015, the FCC, according to its own documentation (https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-332260A1.pdf), adopted "strong, sustainable rules to protect the open internet." It now claims that those same regulations risk innovation and threaten the open Internet. Without a doubt, the FCC's change in perspective has more to do with the new administration than the internet itself. While you site the jobs that were lost at the smallest ISPs (I.4.) following the 2015 changes, you fail to consider the jobs that will be lost at others companies should the Orwellian named "Restoring Internet Freedom Act" be passed. Furthermore your claim that the 2015 regulation "weakened Americans' online privacy" is itself quite weak. Net Neutrality did a great deal more to protect internet privacy than this new ACT claims to do; the FTC chair Jon Leibowitz has himself described your claims that this new legislation would protect privacy as "hyper-partisan hyperbole." This Act places far too much power in the hands of the ISPs. Allowing them to control access to content essentially grants them the ability to determine not only which streaming videos I can view without interruption, but also which new sources I can most easily access and which business I can most easily patronize. The only "freedom" protected under this Act is the freedom of ISPs and their business affiliates to monopolize the internet for personal and corporate gain.

879. Aeon, Germantown, MD, 20874

Please leave the internet alone. Stop giving SO much of OUR power, the PEOPLE'S power to the corporations. EVERY TIME you have give more power and authority to the rich and powerful, they ALWAYS abuse it!! And they ALWAYS want MORE!! (I.E. Reagan's tax cuts from about 70% to 35% on corporate entities. And now THAT'S not good enough!! They want MORE

tax cuts!!)The internet is quite possibly, the last frontier. The last, FREE thing people have to better themselves. The corporations have even gone on record to say that net neutrality as it stands does NOT affect their businesses. So WHO are you protecting? Who is this REALLY for!? For ONCE, Ajit, think of the people. NOT your wallet and bank account. WE DO NOT WANT AN END TO NET NEUTRALITY!! Thank you.

880. Rahel Fainchtein, Potomac, MD, 20854

Revoking Net Neutrality is essentially allowing ISP's to act as firewalls-blocking some internet traffic from reaching it's destination while allowing other traffic through. However, unlike the firewalls we are familiar with, ISP'S are bound to filter traffic to protect their profits and not to protect end users' machines. A "pay to play" model means that a website could come through without a hitch one minute and then be blocked or throttled the next. As a software developer I know first-hand how devastating the impact of revoking Net Neutrality could be not only to individuals' rights but also to American innovation. In my line of work, most of my time is spent looking up documentation for open source software and accessing public Q &A forums to try and learn how others debugged a given error. If Net Neutrality is revoked, part of my access to these resources will be revoked along with it. As a country that primarily exports ideas and applied knowledge, we need Net Neutrality to facilitate collaboration and ultimately to compete in a global economy.

881. Crispin Taylor, North Potomac, MD, 20878

I am writing to express my strongest possible opposition to the proposed FCC rule change that would revoke net neutrality. On February 26, 2015, the FCC, according to its own documentation (https://apps.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-332260A1.pdf), adopted "strong, sustainable rules to protect the open internet." It now claims that those same regulations risk innovation and threaten the open Internet. Without a doubt, the FCC's change in perspective has more to do with the new administration and its anti-democratic priorities than with the internet itself. Although the FCC cites the jobs that were lost at the smallest ISPs following the 2015 changes (Sec. I; paragraph 4), the proposed new rule fails to consider the countless thousands of jobs that will be lost at other companies should the proposed new rule be implement and/or the accompanying (and Orwellian-named) "Restoring Internet Freedom Act" be passed by the Congress. Furthermore your claim that the 2015 regulation "weakened Americans' online privacy" is itself profoundly flawed. Net Neutrality has done a great deal more to protect internet privacy than this rule and Act could possible do. Indeed, the FTC chair, Jon Leibowitz, has described your claims that this new legislation would protect privacy as "hyper-partisan hyperbole." This proposed new rule places far too much power in the hands of the ISPs. Allowing them to control access to content essentially grants them the ability to

determine not only which streaming videos I can view without interruption, but also which news sources I can most easily access and which business I can most easily patronize online. Clearly, the only "freedom" that would be protected under this proposed new rule is the freedom for ISPs and their business affiliates to monopolize the internet for personal and corporate gain at my expense and at the expense of unfettered access to the Internet for all Americans.

882. Joshua Laughlin, Rockville, MD, 20853

I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not let Ajit Pai and this administration ruin the net neutrality that is once again threatened. Thank you.

883. Walter Finnin, Hagerstown, MD, 21740

As a resident of a nursing home and the only access to the outside world being thru my internet, the current comments from Ajit Pai, Chairman of the FCC to reverse the net neutrality rules passed during the Obama administration have alarmed me immensely. As a lawyer who previously worked for Verizon, his stance and comments regarding the dismantling of the net neutrality legislation borders on the unbelievable. His idea of asking the ISP's to voluntarily not block or slow down internet traffic is naivety to the extreme and it is ludicrous to think large ISP Corporations are going to voluntarily give up a revenue stream because its best for the consumers. Without the force of the legislation, the ISP's have no incentive to let the net be free and open. I urge the FCC to not dismantle the current legislation regarding the net neutrality.

884. Edward A. Celarier, Potomac, MD, 20854

I support, and I urge you to continue, the principle of Net Neutrality and the regulation of internet service providers (ISPs) under Title II of the Federal Communications Act.

885. Brittany Breon, Hagerstown, MD, 21742

Please do not take away our internet freedom.. "Land of the free"

886. Gus, Bethesda, MD, 20817

This is not a bill for internet freedom. It's a disingenuous name for a disingenuous bill, Americans have to oppose this farce

887. Maureen Erin Williams, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879

Ending net neutrality would be as dangerous as it would be ignorant. We've already all agreed that we don't want companies doing what net neutrality prevents. We live in a world where people (including businesses big and small) routinely do things that are illegal. To expect companies not to do something profitable without even making it illegal, simply by asking them nicely not to, would be extraordinarily naive and irresponsible. Net neutrality very clearly

protects both the freedom of consumers to make choices and the reasonable ability of small businesses to compete in the marketplace. We NEED net neutrality to stay in place, and to remove it now would be a catastrophic mistake. You might just as well turn off the internet completely.

888. Christopher Gaut, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
Giving Internet provides the ability to control what sites we can access is absolutely insane. It would be comparable to the post office deciding where we can and can't send mail, and artificially changing how long it takes to get somewhere arbitrarily.
889. Philip-Michael Enix, Frederick, MD, 21701
The purpose of this comment is to support Net Neutrality and what Net Neutrality represents.
890. Melissa Yorks, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
"Restoring Internet Freedom" is a lie. It is merely a way to put more money in the pockets of wealthy company executives and take more from the average citizen by charging more for "higher speed" etc. I support the current net neutrality regulations and do not want them changed.
891. nicholas ferrante, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Net neutrality is critical to even competition between online companies and digital entities, and to act against it is to play into the wallets/pockets of multimillion/billion dollar corporations. The role of government is to regulate and ensure safety and fairness from sources within and without. The preservation of Net neutrality is critical to that role.
892. Gessi Rincon, Potomac, MD, 20854
Please preserve net neutrality with Title II!
893. Beverly Koloian, Point of Rocks, MD, 21777
I support Net Neutrality and Title II and request the FCC to do so as well.
894. Marcus Campbell, Germantown, MD, 20876
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Ajit Pai (a former lawyer at Verizon) should be ashamed of himself and held accountable for lying to the public and trying to promote a restrictive internet environment.
895. Raymnd Crowel, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
We need Net Neutrality. This reg., for once, protected small companies and the consumers choice. Abolishing these rules will leave us, even more, at the mercy of big ISP providers whose only goal is increasing levels of profit at end users expense. The internet is a public utility and having it run on a 'promise' of 'we will behave' tantamount to lletting government be run by big business for their

own interests.

896. lori parsons, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Net neutrality is important, keep Title II regulations. Preserve net neutrality.
897. Mike Motemaden, Germantown, MD, 20876
Title I I is only going to help ISPs to be able to legally show preferences of one program source over others, another word show bias behavior in channeling program distributors. As a citizen of this country I fell that you are wasting my tax dollars by changing the net neutrality legal foundation. Net neutrality was put in place by Wise Obama administration, the current administration must only support rather than change.
898. Kimberly Bradish, Brunswick, MD, 21716
I support Net Neutrality through Title 2.
899. Frank Hearl, Frederick, MD, 21703
I am writing you to encourage you to keep the "Net Neutrality" requirements in place under Title 2. I do not want internet providers to be allowed to throttle down the access to particular sites to provide a competitive advantage to others. The internet has thrived with equal access, and your proposed rules to modify this will have a negative impact on individual users who use the Internet for home entertainment and for business purposes. Thank you for your consideration.
900. Claudia L Bogard, Potomac, MD, 20854
I support enforcing Net neutrality through Title II.
901. Julie Houde, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
I strongly believe net neutrality is important and to keep title 2 in place. The reasons your chair has suggested does not make sense to get rid of it. We need to protect the American people From further abuse from big corporations.
902. Stephen Schwartz, Germantown, MD, 20876
Hi, ISPs are utilities, they need to be regulated as strictly as possible so that they provide an honest service for the money they charge. It is imperative they stay under title 2.
903. James P. Lavine, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I am in favor of net-neutrality. I believe it allows for innovation and competition. The elimination of net neutrality hands monopoly or duopoly control to the existing Internet Service Providers and removes their incentive to keep improving their service. In addition, the FCC needs to continue to watch and regulate the companies involved in the Internet. The FCC needs to keep the Internet open to start-ups and new technology. And the FCC is needed to

prevent anti-competitive measures instituted by companies. If the commissioners are in doubt about this, I suggest they review the history of free markets and the continued attempts to exploit the consumers of the markets.

904. Charles Parsons, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Please, please, please maintain current Net Neutrality policies and laws and don't turn the internet into a capitalist dystopia. Keep Net Neutrality!
905. Christopher Twomey, Potomac, MD, 20854
I support strong protection of Net Neutrality under Title II. The internet is very much a utility at this point, and should be treated as such. Our internet service providers have shown time and again that they do not have the public interests at heart. Without Title II, without Net Neutrality, Google, Netflix, Youtube, and so many other online services would not exist, and certainly not in as good a state as they are now.
906. Andrea Crabb, Damascus, MD, 20872
Fully support efforts to keep net neutrality - neutral - and stronger Title II laws for ISPs. Let me chose not the internet providers.
907. John Weber, Boyds, MD, 20841
Please don't ruin the internet. Uphold net neutrality.
908. rude, lavale, MD, 21502
so wrong to remove net neutrality, unless you think taking bribes is right
909. Nik, Germantown, MD, 20876
I am in strong support of ensuring net neutrality backed by Title 2 regulation of internet service providers.
910. Kathleen Rogers-Senuta, Frederick, MD, 21701
Keep Net Neutrality Title 2 regulations
911. James Fenn, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
It is important that net neutrality not be compromised. It should remain title 2 (II).
912. net neutrality, Clarksburg, MD, 20871
ISP's should never be allowed to dictate, control or have oversight in the content I view on the internet. We must maintain a open and free web by enforcing Title II, not by relaxing these restrictions.
913. Barbara Clark, Durwood, MD, 20855
Preserve net neutrality and preserve Title II protection

914. Jaime Maynard, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
I fully SUPPORT net neutrality and want the FCC to keep the rules that ensure internet service providers must enable access to all content without favoring or blocking any particular source.
915. Frances Shifrin, Ijamsville, MD, 21754
We believe in a strong net neutrality and we think Title 2 is the way to achieve net neutrality.
916. Matthew Goldkind, Potomac, MD, 20854
Please do not change net neutrality regulations. It would give internet service providers too much power over the dissemination of information, and that could undermine our democratic ideals.
917. Pamela Faulkner, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
Dear Chairman Pai, The neutrality of the Internet is crucial in today's society. Please do not let privately owned businesses control any more of our lives. We need the Internet to be free of the control of corporations so that there is freedom of expression and freedom for new uses of the Internet to be developed.
918. John Deni, Frederick, MD, 21701
Please preserve strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP. I support this strongly.
919. Jill A. Smith, North Potomac, MD, 20878
I am firmly opposed to the dismantling of net neutrality rules on the internet. These rules protect consumers and small business, enhance the possibility of innovation, and are necessary for free and open communication. Dismantling them only privileges large corporations. The internet should be regulated as a public utility, not handed to carriers and big corporations as a gift.
920. Bryan Warner, Funkstown, MD, 21734
We absolutely need Net Neutrality. Keep the net free and out of corporate hands.
921. Graham Barlow, Darnestown, MD, 20878
I may have already sent a message before but I'm not quite sure if it went through so I really want to try sending this again. I believe that if we were to make it easier to have cable companies be able to control the internet speeds of different channels then this is essentially anti business due to the fact of how it's shown that cable companies have control over how companies like Netflix or HBO or Hulu run. Giving these companies control over the speeds of these companies websites, there would be not too much reason to not control it to benefit themselves considering their the competitors considering how many

people are starting to not need cable when the internet can be used instead at a sometimes much cheaper rate. I don't think we should allow any company that could control another companies source of income be able to profit unfairly from it especially if they are competing in the same field, which is entertainment through tv shows and movies.

922. brian weilminster, damascus, MD, 20872

Net Neutrality and title II is essential to the progress of the internet, and by extension the cultural Zeitgeist the internet has created. For the good of innovation preserve net neutrality and title II

923. Steve Pawlish, North Potomac, MD, 20878

I strongly support net neutrality. ISP's, like all businesses, will eventually look out for their own self-interest and will eventually create barriers to competition if they aren't prevented from doing so. We've seen the wireless carriers do this with their payment services (like T-Mobile, which blocked google pay in favor of their ISIS payment system) and I'm sure that we'll see more of this behavior if they aren't prevented from doing so. Please support consumers and not large telecom companies.

924. Randy Gale, Rockville, MD, 20855

I'm writing to express strong support for net neutrality and title II. The internet should be equally accessible for all.

925. ashell fernando, gaithersburg, MD, 20877

SAVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2.

926. Dustin Worton, Hagerstown, MD, 21740

repealing net neutrality could, in theory, be completely unconstitutional, it would allow ISP's to charge users to access news sites, or sites that allow users to express their opinions, which would be in direct violation of users' freedom of speech, and could detract from those barely able to access the internet as it is today.

927. Angie Madden, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886

Dear FCC, Net neutrality is extremely important to me, and the current consideration to end it is wrong. Please retain current standards for net neutrality and protect our democracy, encourage public Access to education, and protect consumers. Thank you. Angie Madden

928. Stephen Nichols, Germantown, MD, 20874

Net Neutrality is important, and due to court rulings, this means Title 2 regulation of ISPs is needed. Without this, there is nothing preventing ISPs from disadvantaging specific Internet server access when such a policy would benefit the ISP.

929. Brett Yang, Potomac, MD, 20854
Title II classification of ISPs protects the massive industry of web developers who use the internet as a utility. Moreover, allowing ISPs the arbitrary ability to throttle certain nodes of the internet will encourage the global community to move critical internet infrastructure away from the United States. We enjoy untold economic and security benefits from the current arrangement. I do not support allowing ISPs to reclassify to a less strict classification.
930. Andrew Minnick, Frostburg, MD, 21532
Leave net neutrality as it is. Isp's need to be regulated to keep the internet free.
931. Walter Ingram, Cumberland, MD, 21502
Dear FCC Do not gut net neutrality! Walter Ingram
932. Thomas Magnuson, Germantown, MD, 20874
Dear FCC, I ask you to maintain net neutrality in order to adhere to your core principles, e.g. those prominently displayed at <https://www.fcc.gov/about-fcc/what-we-do>. Your website states for instance that you "Promote competition, innovation and investment in broadband services and facilities" and you "support the nation's economy by ensuring an appropriate competitive framework for the unfolding of the communications revolution". I would argue that the FCC fails in this capacity if net neutrality is undermined. Such action would allow wealthy companies to negatively impact the American people's access to free unrestricted internet access, and at a time when internet access is more than a luxury. All we ask is for the internet to be an environment which fosters competition between companies, all to the benefit of the consumer and quite frankly the US economy as a whole. Net neutrality is the best and only way to accomplish this. Thanks.-Thomas
933. Kathy Curtis, Ijamsville, MD, 21754
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Leave it alone.
934. Yara Moghaddam, Potomac, MD, 20854
Dear FCC, First and foremost, I am tired of this ongoing battle regarding net neutrality. The web deserves to be treated as a public good and our society deserves an internet that upholds the free market, free speech ideas on which we pride ourselves on. We should not be beholden to corporate and shareholder interests to guide what we watch, how we communicate and how we control our privacy in the modern world. Please reject the "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal and uphold net neutrality rules once and for all!
935. Allan St. George, Clarksburg, MD, 20871
Without net neutrality, ISPs could decide you watched too many videos on Netflix/YouTube in one day and throttle your Internet speeds, while prioritizing their own video applications.

936. Anthony Obriot, Germantown , MD, 20876
Net neutrality is one of the last bastions of freedom left in this world. ISP's have shown themselves to be corrupt and screw customers at every turn. Do not give them more power over our digital freedom.
937. MJ Weinberg, Germantown, MD, 20874
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. It is the First Amendment of the Internet. Please preserve it.
938. Courtenay Elder, Maugansville, MD, 21767
I am overwhelmed by the power of large companies. Who is lobbying for the common person? Please keep net neutrality for us.
939. Jean Montgomery, Potomac, MD, 20854
Please do not allow my emails to be slowed down because someone else wants to stream videos and movies.
940. Jackie Culpepper, Hagerstown , MD, 21740
Net neutrality is vital to a free, open, and innovative internet and economy!
941. David L. Norton, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
I've been working on the Internet since it was ARPAnet - quite literally. I like to think I had a pioneering hand in it; and as such have had very strong opinions about net neutrality. It's what created the environment we've enjoyed for the last 25 years, allowing it to grow into what it is today: an indispensable, open, boon to the evolution of our way of life. In fact, neutrality is what has allowed the 'Net to become what it is today - the most phenomenal teaching/learning resource ever known to mankind. Without net neutrality, the Internet will become something very different, and at the root of the change is money. It's fine to employ the internet as a vehicle for commerce, but making it a market onto itself will turn it into yet another facet of our life where "those who have, get more", enjoyed narrowly by the captains of the comms sector. Today, the 'Net represents knowledge at everyone's fingertips; and I fear abandoning neutrality will eventually put its use beyond the reach of those who need it the most - the poor and downtrodden. Please keep the Internet available to all, and something all can afford. Thank you...
942. Francis Hebron, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
It would severely limit the freedom, possibility, & opportunity the internet provides to the common man. The destruction of net neutrality is a form of Oppression which favors one economic class over another by catering to those with the most capital.
943. James Salomon, Germantown, MD, 20874
Net Neutrality is vital for a free exchange of ideas and information. The greatest

threat to democracy is lack of information on every subject under the sun. No corporation should have the right to limit or slow down the flow of information.

944. john twigg, Barton, MD, 21521
Net neutrality belongs to the people. Without users the internet would have no meaning and the FCC does not "own" the internet!
945. Dan Ramond, Knoxville, MD, 21758
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech and should remain open and decisions regarding the governance should be out of the hands of large corporations.
946. Patricia Evans, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Net Neutrality is the wonderful gift that is the internet, giving its users the freedom of accessing sites that interest us individually and allowing freedom of expression. Giving it up would put us in the same boat as those countries that have no say in their lives, having to accept whatever their government allots them.
947. mark gradecak, oakland, MD, 21550
Net neutrality must be protected to ensure the open, unhindered, and uncensored access to and ability to engage in communication between and among individuals. This helps ensure American constitutional rights to freedom of expression and communication. It also promotes American freedoms, policies, and values around the world...a concept that deserves support - on its face.
948. James Brydon, Oakland, MD, 21550
We fought hard the last time. We can't afford to let BigMoney end up screwing US now.
949. John W Stephens, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
I'm a minority consultant, I depend upon the neutrality of the net to reach potential clients and investors. Please do not implement regulations that will bring about the demise of an open internet.
950. Mark McGrail, Germantown, MD, 20874
The few should not dictate what the many are allowed to use.
951. Laura M, Potomac, MD, 20854
I support net neutrality because it encourages innovation and small business growth.
952. Dustin Power, Frederick, MD, 21703
I want the internet to be left neutral and as a title II classification.

953. Joseph Livingston, Brunswick, MD, 21716
The internet belongs to all of America, not just Comcast and Verizon and other megacorps.
954. James Brydon, Oaklandf, MD, 21550
We fought long and hard the last time. Please don't screw US now.
955. Bob , Potomac , MD, 20854
As a computer literate engineer, former AT&T employee & CTO of a major aerospace and defense firm, I have followed and understand the political, business, and technical arguments both pro and con for net neutrality. I strongly urge you to support net neutrality since I believe that it is not only in the interests of individuals but that it will help the overall US economy.
956. Cy Hudson, Williamsport, MD, 21795
Leave net neutrality alone. Big money should no control the free flow of ideas by allowing large corporations with deep pockets to pay IPs to throttle speeds. This would ultimately stifle creativity and ingenuity.
957. Frank A laParle, Cumberland, MD, 21502
I do not want government to tell me what I can or cannot watch on the internet, but I do believe there should be some guidelines as to what is put on the internet, ie, no porn of children, no how to commit suicide videos, no bomb making videos. I know this will be hard to do and not infringe on the free speech amendment, but it can be done.
958. Ann Marie, Ijamsville, MD, 21754
As a taxpaying American Citizen, I find it appalling that the American Federal Government would rather cater to the whims of a few corporate "sponsors" than listen to the people in their constituencies for input in something that affects nearly 100% of people in their everyday lives. Time, and time again, the American people have asked congress to keep the internet protected from the special interests of a few major corporations...and those pleas continue to be discussed....and if Net Neutrality is lost, it will mean that the will of the people just isn't worth as much to our representatives as is the money they get from corporate sponsorship
959. Drew Edwards, Frederick, MD, 21703
PROTECT FREEDOM OF SPEECH!!!!
960. David DeMann, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Companies, or Government, should in no way track, throttle, or otherwise monitor my activities, or my children, etc., online. Period!
961. Gayle Hancock, Boyds, MD, 20841

I do NOT want the internet service providers to have control over my personal or business use of the internet. Large corporations already have too much control over our communications. PLEASE protect us, the individuals, and keep the internet NEUTRAL for all. Thank you!!!

962. Madeline Brennan, Frederick , MD, 21701

Net Neutrality is today's most poignant platform for securing the people's right to freedom and equality. Dismantling Net Neutrality infringes on our rights, it is antidemocratic, anti-American, and anti-humanitarian.

963. Christopher Blendermann, Germantown, MD, 20876

All you'll accomplish by nerfing net neutrality is to drive people to Google's new fiber service as quickly as possible. People trust Google - can you imagine how quickly other ISP's will decline once people realize control of their browsing is being taken from them?

964. Mary Anne Cooling, Frederick, MD, 21701

Without Net Neutrality, we will not have the freedom to choose our source of information. We already have enough control over information without further limiting our sources of information.

965. Jackie Apel, Rockville, MD, 20853

The Internet has been one of the most creative platforms for new technologies, from web design to mobile apps, as well as the ability for entrepreneurs and small businesses to thrive and invent and create new things that are useful to people in the 21st century. It also provides all with the ability to seek information that formerly was not so easily or readily available, and to learn new things from unfiltered access to articles, videos, as well as websites from around the world. Small businesses that might otherwise be hard to find can now promote their products online, and have success that was previously not possible for most before the Internet. The Internet has unleashed lots of opportunities for creativity, as well as a chance for people to publish and exchange ideas and invent new products, and sell them or share them for no cost, online. It would be a giant step backward now, for the large cable companies to come in and regulate people's access to this information, as well as to curb small business opportunities for people. As someone who has worked in the web design and management field, I understand the importance of having open, free access to information, and the ability for people to cultivate and grow through such access to tools and information now available to all, online. We should not take this step backward. Innovation has been the key to success for many people in our country, and the Internet is one of the frontiers of the technology in the 21st Century, that has helped cultivate such innovation. Don't destroy it, by making it a pay-for-play opportunity for large cable companies to

profit from. They earn enough profits already, from our cell phones to our computer Internet hosting access. They do not need to take away our open, free and neutral Internet access, just so they can profit more. Regulating hackers or finding other ways to drive costs down, might be a better usage of their time. Thank you for reading my comments.

966. Jackie Summerour, Germantown, MD, 20876

I already pay a hefty amount for internet access. If you allow companies to dictate content and form monopolies, you are cheating us all!!!

967. George Sinnott, Derwood, MD, 20855

I do not want to grant monopolistic authority to any company to a particular portion of the internet. I depend on the internet to check on my heart pacer.

968. Jeffrey DiVietro, Frederick, MD, 21701

Please support net neutrality! We don't want corporations controlling our information.

969. Josh, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

"Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech[!!] Without net neutrality, big companies could censor people and perspectives online. Net neutrality has been called the "First Amendment of the Internet." "Enough said right here, but of course this is a unbelievably horrid idea for multiple other reasons too...and I can't believe this was EVEN an idea...Much less a plan.

970. Mary L Doyle, Frederick, MD, 21703

FCC, Having useful Internet service and equal response time means that all users should have equal rights and access without being obligated to follow the control and power of monopolizing Enterprises. Net neutrality for all users!

971. Kevin Talbert, Smithsburg ` , MD, 21783

Where would we be today if it were not for Freedom of Speech? Our great nation would of never been created had many people not thought they were being censored. We cannot let these big corporations try to do the same thing now. America was built on Innovation and small business. If it were not for small business you would not have big business. I ask you to think LONG and HARD about this. Please don't take it lightly. We need Net Neutrality.

972. Bessy Laws, Germantown, MD, 20874

President Kennedy said that freedom of information is a basic requirement for a free and open republic. In a time where more and more freedoms are stolen from us by big money, Open internet is essential for our democracy to remain free. For us as a people to find the truth regarding corp. and gov. plans that can effect us. Essential for small business and news and review sites that help us as a people make informed decisions about how we spend our money. Any rules

made to reduce the freedom of the internet is treason to the USA and the its citizens fought for in the creation and building of this great Republic.

973. Denise Koch, Frederick, MD, 21702

Please leave net neutrality alone. Big companies should not be given the power to control peoples perspectives. Free speech is fundamental to liberty. Without net neutrality, biased people via big companies end up with all the power to do as they will. Do not be fooled by the lobbyists. You know they do and say anything to get their big pay day. Moreover, if you let one of them personally write wordage in the bill, they are only going to slant everything in the best interest of the big companies. Even if you think something means one thing, believe it not. It will mean another thing to them. Regular folk, small businesses, creators, entrepreneurs, and students alike will lose the freedom to innovate and grow. Please do not allow the people to be stifled. Please be thoughtful when thinking about this. Losing net neutrality will change the Internet for everyone- including you and your family.

974. William Ward, Germantown, MD, 20874

My biggest fear is when corporations control everything I do, including limiting my internet access or deciding what I can view on the net and what I cannot view. I fear this more than government control. Please save net neutrality!!

975. John Lefebure, Hagerstown, MD, 21742

Net neutrality is personally important to me as I'm in smaller market, smaller ISP. Without Net neutrality my speeds would probably be lowered and costs higher.

976. James Norton, Boonsboro, MD, 21713

Net neutrality is extremely important. Without it large corporate interests will be able to restrict or outright censor information and free speech. Innovation, entrepreneurship and most importantly dissent could be stifled without a neutral internet. I consider a neutral internet to be something that should fall under the protection of our revered First Amendment.

977. Alan Flowers, Frederick, MD, 21701

Please do not allow net neutrality as we know it to lapse and allow censorship and manipulation of our internet by a few big players. This is a freedom we need to preserve. Thank You

978. Jennifer Robertson, Rockville, MD, 20853

Eliminating net neutrality disadvantages people who most need internet access to better themselves and make our country and economy better and more competitive in the future. Your administration will be voted out forever if you continue to advantage the wealthy and corporations over the majority of the American people. Do your REAL job.

979. Charles Crisostomo, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
FCC, I am Opposed to the elimination of Net Neutrality. I believe in Free Speech, entrepreneurial initiatives and consumer choice, all of which Net Neutrality encourages. Please don't take the US down the path of less ingenuity for the future generation of innovators.
980. Mrs. Lori Jupin, Germantown, MD, 20876
I don't want big money controlling and impeding the little guys from reaching their dreams.
981. Ingrid pfeiffer, Frederick , MD, 21703
Keep it the way it is People need to communicate Many are older and alone Such as myself
982. Henry Doan, Clarksburg, MD, 20871
Please don't hand ANY authority over to the cable companies. They already control the speed which we surf the internet so they don't need any more power to control the content of the internet. These companies cannot be trusted with such great power.
983. Judith Harwood, Germantown, MD, 20874
Please protect Net neutrality. It protects individual users.
984. Brad Zoltick, Potomac, MD, 20854
Please keep the Internet open and fair with equal access to all network providers, both small and large. A free and open Internet encourages creativity, sharing of ideas, and provides learning opportunities to all people. Don't destroy one of the greatest creations in modern history. A free and open Internet has helped unite the world, has enabled collaborations between distant minds. It is one of the greatest enabling technologies ever created. Keep it open and fair.
985. Gregory D Shawyer, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
Sir. I am not in favor of the large inter net providers , .having the final say over what and who gets to do what, on the internet. every one should be treated the same no one should be given more or less time or speed just because they are bigger or are charging for there service! why do the large company's be in charge? Thank you?
986. J Paul Lennon, Clarksburg, MD, 20871
I firmly believe that NET NEUTRALITY is directly relatives to our Freedom of Expression
987. Rhonda , Lonaconing , MD, 21539
I am in support of net neutrality. Big companies should not be allowed to control what people watch and do online, or throttle internet speeds

988. Timothy Sheehy, Frederick, MD, 21704
The Internet has become this country's access to the equivalent of the community billboard. For better or worse, it should not be controlled by any commercial interest, no matter how well presented the intention.
989. Lori J. Jupin, Germantown, MD, 20876
I like the openness and flexibility of the present market and system. I do not want big companies controlling this market!
990. Warren Harper, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
Many things like health records, online communication, and business are on the internet and it is critical to our society that the internet stay open. Without net neutrality, internet providers will be able to control what is considered core or critical and will be able to bend this definition to their own agenda.
991. Philip G. Berman, Potomac, MD, 20854
I make daily use of the internet for personal and business matters. I need the neutrality of the net so that I do not have changing rules or access control - both incoming and outgoing - with which to be concerned. Stability is of utmost importance.
992. Edward Taylor, Frederick, MD, 21702
I believe net neutrality is a keystone to allow new businesses to be on the same footing as the giant corporations as Wells is providing a level of safety for us to the common users of the Internet please do your job and keep the Internet safe from corporate greed
993. James Allison, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
The Internet must be kept free from the control of Large corporations and provide for a free easy access by the public.
994. Michael Evanko, Sharpsburg, MD, 21782
I'm vehemently against any attempt to negate net neutrality. This would only benefit big companies and would be to the detriment of individual consumers and small businesses.
995. Rick Lewis, Cumberland, MD, 21502
The FCC needs to leave net neutrality ALONE!!!
996. Seth Wilson, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
Mozilla could not be more wrong on its position on net neutrality. Its statement that government controls on the Internet are "like the First Amendment" is absurd on its face. I fully support all efforts of the FCC to obliterate government-enforced "net neutrality." To the maximum extent possible, all governments should keep their hands off the Internet.

997. Branson Shipley, Cumberland, MD, 21502
Freedom of expression and the wealth of human knowledge must be protected from corporate interests.
998. Georgette Cole, Washington Grove, MD, 20880
I have strongly supported net neutrality since I first started browsing the internet in the late 1980's. If the large telecommunication companies control internet access it will be based on their business interests not the interests of the users. 'Big Business' dominates the American economy because they have the money and power. Doesn't make it right or desirable. Please do NOT let this happen to the internet.
999. Laura Van Etten, Beallsville, MD, 20839
Please maintain the "net neutrality" rules -- this is America -- we should lead the world in promoting an internet for entrepreneurs and small businesses. I am no fan of Google but I'm taking their side on this because it's the correct side.
1000. Kathleen and Robert Dollar, Frederick, MD, 21702
Our sole available provider of Cable TV and Internet service is Comcast and that company takes full advantage of having carte blanche to treat us as they wish, which is only in service of their own interests. My wife and I STRONGLY urge that Net Neutrality be maintained and strengthened to protect we common folks from being abused by those who so lucratively provide us that service which has become so essential to life in America! Thank you.
1001. Joe McDaniel, Grantsville, MD, 21536
ISPs should be regulated as common carriers. This is the approach in the UK, and it works to the vast benefit of the public with faster service, cheaper rates, and more availability. I live in the boonies and getting internet service is very difficult for many.
1002. chun wah, montgomery village, MD, 20886
I support net neutrality. I have service with my ISP, for accessing the internet. I believe the mission of the FCC is to ensure that consumers are protected from, invasions of their privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by their broadband providers.
1003. Thomas M Stacey, Frederick, MD, 21702
Restore and preserve Net neutrality
1004. Rudy Oswald, Rockville, MD, 20854
Urge you to preserve the urrent rules onnet neutrality. The proposed changes to title II weaken consumer protections.
1005. Ian Lane, Frederick, MD, 21703

I am writing because I feel strongly that the current Title II status must be maintained and enforced. Net neutrality must be protected in order to encourage competition in the market and to ensure open access to information for U.S. citizens. Chairman Pai has offered no proven rationale for his plan revoke Title II status. He has, on record, stated that this move would encourage innovation and improvement in the market and be a boon for small internet service providers. These claims are false as representatives from major ISPs have made known that blocking, or slowing, access to the internet and charging additional fees will not increase innovation or improve service. Additionally, on June 27, 2017, over 40 small ISPs address a letter of concern to the FCC which explained that these businesses would be hurt by the proposed change (link: https://www.eff.org/files/2017/06/27/isp_letter_to_fcc_on_nn_privacy_title_ii.pdf). The proposed reclassification would only serve to extort consumers for open access to information and reward the several largest ISP's by allowing them to gouge their customers with fees.

1006. Gokhan Tolun, Frederick, MD, 21702

Net neutrality should be continued to be enforced on the companies. This will ensure a level playing field, preventing big companies with a lot of resources to have an unfair advantage over smaller companies with very limited resources. Moreover, the customers who pay for Internet service should have the freedom to access any content of their choosing without any negative impacts resulting from the content providers paying the ISPs to promote their content.

1007. Frances Ryan, Maugansville, MD, 21767

I strongly support support strongly net neutrality and Title 2 of ISPs. Please give everyone a fair chance to serve all customers of all websites regardless of the size of the business at the same internet speed.

1008. Tim Wendland, Damascus, MD, 20872

We must not allow those who provide internet connectivity to have unfair product placement/availability and service performance advantages over other product/service providers that do not have the means or desire to be a connectivity provider. This will result in a lack of choice. A lack of choice will hurt entrepreneurs and consumers. It will consolidate power in the hands of just a few providers and stifle innovation. A gentle guiding hand is needed to ensure a fair playing field exists for all entrepreneurs both small and large.

1009. Barbara Berman, Frederick, MD, 21704

I support net neutrality. I do not want content providers to have the power to determine how my content is provided, at what speed my content is provided, or to limit my choices online in any way. I do not want providers to collect and sell my information without my express and written permission. I want the protection of the FCC. I do not want the FCC protecting business interests over

my interests.

1010. Barbara Garrard, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
YES “ Net Neutrality Net neutrality is very important to me and for the economy of the country. ISPs shouldn’t be able to “sell” or otherwise provide advantages to some companies over others. Without net neutrality small businesses, not-for-profits, blogs etc. will not be able to complete which will hurt the economy and make the use of the Internet terribly frustrating for the average citizen. I’m also sending this to my Congressional representatives. Please publicly support the FCC’s existing net neutrality rules and denounce Chairman Pai’s plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Barbara Garrard
1011. Marianne Bonnefoy, Potomac, MD, 20854
I support strong net neutrality and the protection of free speech via the title II oversight of ISPs.
1012. Lauren Carey, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Access to broadband internet allows me to connect and stay in contact with friends and family. It allows me to gather and share information for work. It promotes the free flow of knowledge and the creation of empathetic connections. Allowing businesses to manipulate access for financial gain hurts workers, students, and America in general. By stifling the free flow of information so that companies can increase profits we damage our ability to create and exist on the same level as the rest of the world. Due to my dependence on the internet for work (I require it for all 40 hours of my work week), I can’t skip around between providers trying to find someone who will let me onto all the numerous platforms I need to get things done. I’m also uninterested in being played with and I would rather dump online businesses and groups and watch their profits plummet, than be jerked around by an internet provider. The internet gives us access to the world. Don’t let internet providers decide what doors and windows we can use to view it from.
1013. Jeff Zeminski, Frederick, MD, 21701
I’d like to register my opinion in support of Net Neutrality and against Chairman Pai’s decision to move toward a non-Net-Neutrality position. I feel it’s a poor decision for the American people and will result in higher rates and lower competition. ISPs have already been found guilty of deliberately throttling internet traffic, implementing arbitrary data caps, misleading consumers about the meaning of “unlimited” internet, and avoiding real competition at all costs. Everyone (not just Americans, everyone!) deserves an open internet. Keep them regulated by Title II.
1014. Albert Zhang, Potomac, MD, 20854

Dear FCC,I'm just a high school senior, but I want you to hear my voice. I've grown up using the internet. The freedom I have using the internet allows me to learn whatever I like, whenever I like. I've learned everything I know about graphic design from the internet. I've learned everything I know about music, art, producing music, film editng, camerawork, and even physics on the internet. When my school limited me, the internet freed me. It is this kind of freedom on the internet that allows me and my entire generation to have unfettered potential to grow and learn, regardless of socioeconomic circumstances. Please consider the impact on future generations when you make the decisions to allow large corporations to limit and close off the internet as they please. Sincerely,Albert ZhangAlbert Zhang

1015. Kevin Merrell, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877

I like the fact that everyday I can open up the internet and search up anything I want and acquire and information I want whether it be true or notIf I didn't I would have already moved to China

1016. Harry Cohen, Germantown, MD, 20874

Net neutrality is important, and it needs to be maintained quite strictly. In fact it baffles the mind that there are those who claim that companies can be expected to not abuse more lax rules, when Comcast attempted to with torrent sites, and Comcast, Verizon, and AT&T all have a history of throttling people's connections.

1017. Raza Haqqi, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886

I do not want the existing net neutrality rules repealed. I care about an open internet and thus would like the current rules to be kept.

1018. Alonso Tafur Lizarraga, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

The internet needs to remain neutral because people go to different websites everyday. Having ISPs controlling our internet, which we pay for, would not be fair because we pay for our internet and we want the best out of it.

1019. Cory Thomas, Poolesville, MD, 20837

I strongly support Title II net neutrality. The internet has become a vital source of information in this day and age. Without strict regulation, top broadband companies will be able to essential control the flow of information and have influence well beyond their reach. The internet has become a utility and should be treated as such.

1020. Zack G, Frederick, MD, 21702

The internet as it stands is a vast medium of information and expression that is now essential to the everyday lives of so many people, not just Americans. People's professional, and personal lives are always indirectly and sometimes directly effected by the internet, and how open it is. The openness of the

internet is essential to how it is used and to limit this kind of freedom on the information and forms of expression that are present on the internet would be detrimental to the lives of many people in, and outside of the United States due to the internet's inter-connectivity to the everyday lives of so many people.

1021. Nick Sharp, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
I support net neutrality. Do not repeal the net neutrality rules.
1022. Stephen Addis, Germantown, MD, 20874
Keep Net Neutrality. Please do not give away another freedom that we, the American people possess.
1023. Jeffrey Johnson, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Net Neutrality prevents shitty, unethical business practices. If you want it gone, you clearly support shitty unethical business practices, and are, yourself, shitty and unethical.
1024. Levi Figueira, Germantown, MD, 20874
The fact that this is even in consideration is clear proof that Washington lawmakers are absolutely uninterested in defending the people, and are absolutely interested in (ab)using their positions for personal gain (either power or money).
1025. John Bauer, North Potomac, MD, 20878
NET NEUTRALITY IS AN AMERICAN RIGHT!
1026. Charles Upton, Potomac, MD, 20854
It is absolutely vital to a free and open internet that Net Neutrality remain in place! Do not hand the people's internet over to the telecoms!
1027. Matthew Myers, Potomac, MD, 20854
The internet has become a fundamental technology that democratizes the access to information. Equal treatment of all information available on the internet is fundamental to the functioning of a free society. Allowing corporate interests like internet service providers (who already hold egregious monopolies on technological infrastructure) to end net neutrality would naturally be a grave mistake and a fundamental violation of this country's founding principles.
1028. Brian, Smithsburg, MD, 21783
People say that, without net neutrality, the internet service providers would not abuse their power, but all it would take is one greedy or corrupt person/group to cause everything to snowball out of control. If that happens, the public would be beyond angry. Sure, it would eventually return to the way it was before, but the damage would have already been done. Even if nothing changes without net neutrality, and the ISPs maintain the status quo, the temptation would always be

there.

1029. Joshua, Frederick, MD, 21702

If you think putting more restrictions on the internet and giving more power to ISP's and people who just want more money then you're honestly out of touch. The internet isn't a singular entity that can be controlled in either direction by any one person or company so don't give them the power to do so. Have you ever gotten annoyed that your phone wouldn't send a message or that your computer wouldn't load an important web page when you needed it most? I image so, now imagine that only about a million times worse because they decided to turn this 4 lane highway into a merge lane connected to an off ramp straight to hell. Thanks

1030. John, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877

I support title II oversight on the issue of net neutrality and wish to keep it that way.

1031. brandon, gaithersburg, MD, 20877

As a student, it would be immensely helpful if my connection to particular websites are not throttled just because of the avarice of a few select individuals/corporations. The open internet should be available to anyone who seeks information regardless of those who seek to block or limit this form of media.

1032. Nathan Cole, Knoxville, MD, 21758

The internet should be a free and open source that is not prioritized or restricted in any many by the provider especially when there are near monopolies and no choice in many areas for internet access especially at speeds necessitated by many modern services.

1033. Nathaniel Hamlin, Hagerstown, MD, 21740

The idea of ISP's being able to ban websites on a whim genuinely terrifies me. This would genuinely hurt everyone's standings with ISPs, and make the experience on the internet here a worse experience for everyone as a whole

1034. alicia stair, cumberland, MD, 21502

I have used and still use the internet to connect with friends and family in other areas of the world, as well as for work oppertunities, events, photos, emails, entertainment, and my sister has used it to graduate high school, fill out important documents and paperwork, my nieces and nephews use it for entertainment and learning purposes. None of us want any of our internet freedom to change if ISPs get to pick and choose only what they see fit for us to use and see. Some things may not work on our devices properly if at all, or remove our ability to do what we need/want to do if ISPs dont like or agree on the sites/content because its not "their's" that they picked out. This is America,

supposedly land of the free, so why try to take some of that freedom away from the people that pay for it with their own hard earned money, specially when its used for good purposes like work, education, shopping, entertainment, and important documentation.

1035. William Kealey, Rawlings, MD, 21557

Net neutrality is a freedom of expression, and a freedom of communication. It must be preserved.

1036. Ed, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

Keep the internet free and open, please.

1037. Robert Kinser Jr., Cumberland, MD, 21502

No company should ever be given this much power. The internet is a wonderful place of knowledge and entertainment. Changing this would destroy everything it stands for. The people deserve to control what they do on the internet not a company. It is not right for them to dictate what we may do with our time on the internet.

1038. Ali Niazi, Rockville, MD, 20854

The internet is an extremely important open space and provides freedom for many people around the globe, if you think otherwise then you are working against mankind.

1039. Paul Ly, Frederick, MD, 21702

Net Neutrality rules should be protected since it puts services like YouTube, Netflix, or Amazon on equal grounds as PlayStation network etc., What I mean is, these services are not placed on some priority list from ISP's and allows them to compete equally to provide for the consumers This is also great for the users because they would be allowed to use websites and services on equal terms as other users. Without Net Neutrality rules the only one who benefits would be the ISP's. It would allow them Power over these services and Power over the consumers. They could limit internet traffic from users or to Services Like Netflix(Which has happened in the Past). With this power they could Give other sites and services an unfair advantage over others especially on the political level. Restoring Internet Freedom Act is a scam that abolishes the safety nets that we currently have in a guise of something that "helps" it. It is Disingenuous to the people. I understand that you are flooded with the " I urge the Federal Communications Commission to end the bureaucratic regulatory overreach of the internet known as Title II "but Title II has helped many from being taken advantage of by ISP from throttling their internet or providing "Fast Lanes" when in reality it is just a regular lane and everyone is on the slow lane. Please from one person to another don't take away net neutrality

1040. James Cyr, Germantown, MD, 20874

I do not support any attacks on net neutrality or any fundamental changes to the open and free internet. The current Internet has been developed and evolved as a result of the work of millions of people all over the world. It is unfortunate that a small handful of people hoping to forward the interests of themselves and their associates feel the need to continuously push an agenda that is to the detriment of the whole. Time and time again we have made it clear that Net Neutrality as it stands is better than any alternatives which have been presented. Once again we see the same thinly veiled attacks on the Internet as we all know and love it. Keep the Internet Neutral.

1041. dasi seralathan, gaithersburg, MD, 20877
The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Pai's proposal to hand the telecom giants like Verizon, AT&T, and Comcast the authority to throttle whatever they please, stripping users of the necessary access and privacy rules we worked for and just recently won. I'm worried about creating a tiered Internet with "fast lanes" for certain sites or services because users will have less options, and you would be essentially causing a monopolization of an entire market. Not only that, but companies who already have advantages due to how much money they have will have a further advantage by purchasing better internet speeds while small businesses would suffer. Considering what platform our president ran on and his desire to support smaller businesses, this entire preceding seems counter intuitive to helping everyone from this viewpoint.
1042. William Mellon, Cumberland, MD, 21502
My internet time should be private and not for sale.
1043. internet, montgomery village, MD, 20886
Net neutrality is critical to the open and egalitarian internet that supports democracy and innovation. Do not compromise the fundamental principles of equal internet access without additional fees.
1044. Paul Stehlin, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
Net Neutrality must be maintained because ISPs are not gate keepers. They are not allowed to decide if I should watch Xfinity services instead of Netflix or that I should not be playing more games on Steam. The US needs a free and open internet and Net Neutrality is vital to ensuring the internet stays that way.
1045. Lawrence Corsa IV, Frederick, MD, 21703
All traffic on the Internet MUST be treated the same. The Internet is a critical utility that millions of individuals rely on in the United States. Granting large corporations further control of this utility would be detrimental for ALL consumers and the only benefactors of this bill would be large corporations.
1046. Andrew Vaky, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Internet access is essential to all walks of life. It allows the business I work for

to reach farther than any mailing service or traditional advertising. It allows our content to be on equal footing with those in our field with the simplicity of access. Better access to content should be reserved to advertisement programs within search engine optimizations, not through access to the content or speed of its deliverance. Internet service providers (ISPs) may have content competing with my content, and if the regulations are rolled back, they could slow down access to my information and boost theirs, thereby diverting more views to their content as mine will take longer to load and customer/consumer patience dwindles. We are no longer on dial-up internet, so access to page content should not be determined by "fast vs slow" speeds based on the ISPs' wishes and views. Their job is to provide the access, not determine which content is to be accessed. Keep net neutrality rules in place as they are. I buy ACCESS TO THE INTERNET from ISPs, not for their interpretation of what I should be viewing or what services they provide through the internet. I buy access to be able to search the internet for the best available options at the fastest available speed, so I can find the best option for me. From email to cloud storage, from flight bookings to cooking recipes, from stock market info to book or tv show reviews, the internet and its content is to be accessed through ISPs, not dictated by them based on their preference or paid speed boosting. FCC is about ensuring consumer confidence and protection to the open market on communication networks. The internet is a communication tool, so an equal speed and net neutral environment is essential. ISPs do not deserve a say in what content is filtered to users. We pay them to access the internet how we want. That's all they should be doing, is providing access, not relegating what we see or how easily we access content.

1047. David Marshall, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
websites should not be blocked or charged twice for the same service
1048. Randy Cheng, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
DO NOT PASS THIS!! Net neutrality is important to 99% of the United States, including myself! Competition breeds innovation and progress! Without it the larger ISP's will become satisfied and stagnant, which is terrible for literally everyone!
1049. Tyler Weneck, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
Net neutrality allows for freedom and innovation. Protect it so that America does not end up like China or North Korea.
1050. John M Harper, Damascus, MD, 20872
Net Neutrality must be preserved. Voters depend on unrestricted access to the wealth of knowledge and media currently available to them through the open internet. Currently, the FCC has been inundated with spam messages from large telecom companies in support of repealing net neutrality guidelines. These

companies are the only ones who will benefit from such an arrangement, as they will have more control over the way information and media is disseminated to the people, and who will be able to profit from those pathways. Please vote to protect the American consumer by protecting Net Neutrality.

1051. Gavin, Frostburg, MD, 21532
Do not do this please? It's really messed up and impedes on freedom
1052. julie lux, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Please keep the internet equally accessible to everyone. It should be regulated as a utility. It should definitely NOT be a system where the rich can access special sites that the poor cannot afford to reach. Everyone deserves the same internet!
1053. Boris Bereznitsky, Germantown, MD, 20874
Net neutrality MUST remain. Please do not institute any regulations that harm the American consumer.
1054. Guy Wesley Jr., Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
All I want is my internet to be my own, not the government. I bet there are thousands of others that agree with myself.
1055. Net Neutrality, Frederick, MD, 21702
Hello, I am writing this comment to defend a free and open internet, an internet that promotes the growth that a core value of this country and to the base value of many people outside of our borders. If the Internet Freedom Act is passed into law, all of the progress made on the open web will be brought to a crawl. The customers, the people within the country, will have to suffer the anti-trust and monopolistic tactics that many ISP are more than willing to employ. I work for one of the most vocal ISP in this ongoing discussion for net neutrality, and the rhetoric they are telling the public and there on employees is blatantly false. Success in this current market is from the sweat of your own brow and the amount of work you put into it, but with the passing of this bill, the endeavors of the common man will be halted by corporate interests. Thank you for taking time to read my comment on keeping a free and open internet.
1056. Nick Doan, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
Do not give a business the ability to control our freedoms in our homes
1057. Mehraban Manoochehri, North Potomac, MD, 20878
SAVE THE OPEN INTERNET , PLEASE
1058. Chris Lee Thiergartner, Gaithersburg, MD, 20886
Keep the internet free and clear of corporate interference
1059. Brian Teller, Hagerstown, MD, 21742

Net neutrality is paramount to an open and free internet. An internet that fosters growth and innovation. We must keep the internet open.

1060. Austin Abdun-Nabi, Potomac, MD, 20854

I advocate for Net Neutrality supported by strong Title II Classification for ISPs.

1061. Brian Klick, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are critically important to me. Do not rescind them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.

Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. Thank you!

1062. Chaitali Shah, Rockville, MD, 20853

I'm asking the FCC to please stand up for net neutrality and safeguard Title II protections. Do not pass the proposal to give telecom giants legal cover to create Internet fast lanes, stripping consumers of meaningful privacy and access protections. I'm worried about creating a tiered Internet with "fast lanes" for certain sites or services. This will lead to fewer options for users and a less diverse Internet. Thankfully, the current FCC regulations mean that ISPs can't block or slow Internet users' ability to see certain websites or engage in data discrimination by charging online services and websites more money to reach people faster. That's the best way to make sure competition in the Internet space is fair and benefits small businesses and consumers as well

as larger players. This proposed repeal of the rules would help turn ISPs into Internet gatekeepers with the ability to veto new innovation and expression and that only helps a small number of large telecom companies. That's not the kind of Internet we want to pass on to future generations of technology users. I regularly use the internet to conduct research for business and personal matters as well as to communicate with friends and family who do not live nearby. It is an important part of my lifestyle and I count on it having meaningful privacy and access protections. I appreciate you maintaining Title II net neutrality rules and the rights of Internet users like me.

1063. Blair Chaney, germantown, MD, 20876

I value Net Neutrality and do not wish for the regulating and throttling of the internet by the main ISP's. Chairman Pai has a clear bias on the issue due to his extensive background working for Verizon. His appointment in itself should raise concerns across the country given his background.

1064. Robert Mitchell, North Potomac, MD, 20878

Please don't eliminate net neutrality. ISPs already have near monopoly power.

1065. Steven Rosen, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

I rely on my ISP for a *fast* connection to the internet. I choose the services I use, primarily from providers other than my ISP; my ISP is only my connection to those services. For example, I extensively use Google's cloud services, which provides great access to my data and services on desktop, laptop and mobile -- as long as the speed is good. My ISP offers nothing as comprehensive. If they can favor their own services over others, my use of these services will be difficult and possibly unusable. In addition, the ISPs are virtual monopolies. As such, I believe they need oversight to prevent them from hurting consumers by indiscriminately raising prices or cutting access to services.

1066. Brian D. Peterson, Frederick, MD, 21701

I am writing to express my strong opposition to any rules or regulations that would allow--or lay the groundwork for future allowance of--ISPs to prioritize traffic, block sites, or selectively throttle content, regardless of delivery device. One of the main reasons the internet has become so powerful and transformative is that it has been treated much like a utility. That must continue.

1067. Adam Jacoby, Derwood, MD, 20855

I strongly oppose any and all efforts to repeal Net neutrality. Any elected officials who support such efforts will lose both my support and vote. We can not both call our selves a free society and give companies such control over the content we consume.

1068. Andrew Klawitter, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

Keep Net Neutrality. I want an Open Internet. no priority websites, no

throttling, no blocking sites the government doesn't like; this is not China, this is America. Freedom of the press, and freedom of the internet.

1069. Kevin Bisagbo, Germantown, MD, 20874
I pay to access all of the internet not just certain parts ISPs want me to access. Do what is right and keep the internet open.
1070. Michael Carroll, Keedysville, MD, 21756
Rolling back net neutrality regulations stifles economic growth of smaller companies. It hurts the consumer, and overall does will not improve company investment in rural areas or low income neighborhoods.
1071. Lindsay Bermont, Frederick, MD, 21702
Net neutrality is very important. I am a freelancer who uses many sites to complete work which I need for income. With the potential loss of net neutrality, I fear that I will no longer be able to sustain my business.
1072. Byron Black, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I am a small business owner and design consultant helping other small businesses grow and succeed. A free, open internet is the back bone that all of this is built upon. Without it we begin separating and entitling businesses based upon profit, greed and throw away the basic competitive foundation this entire country is built upon. On a personal level destroying net neutrality will hurt me and my small business financially. Unfortunately that really isn't the issue as I'm more worried about the millions of others who will be hurt worse than myself as that will have a much greater negative impact on the world for years to come.
1073. Arnold Cheng, Germantown, MD, 20874
Please keep Net Neutrality out of any internet service provider's influence. All websites and applications for the public should have equal priority. Thanks.
1074. Helen Stevens, Maugansville, MD, 21767
I stand firmly on the side of open internet. I oppose any legislative restrictions to this irreplaceable resource.
1075. Tommy Iseminger, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
This is an utterly ridiculous law. If it gets passed, it will be the first step, of many, to further restrict our freedoms. Do the right thing, do not pass this.
1076. Chuck Danner, Frostburg, MD, 21532
The internet should remain open and free. This is best for the the people of the United States.
1077. Kimberly Duff, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
I, as well as everyone else who literally uses the internet EVER, would like to

request that you preserve net neutrality backed by Title II oversights of ISPs. Seriously, if you do this money hungry BS (get rid of net neutrality backed by Title II oversights) and you thought millennials were snowflakes now, wait until we can't game online without a ton of already existing lag or we are unable to Netflix and chill properly. The snowflakes will rise and the hell that you are in will freeze over.

1078. Ray Cwik, Poolesville, MD, 20837

I vote to keep internet neutrality. I do not want ISP's controlling or prioritizing my search results.

1079. Joseph Davies, North Potomac, MD, 20878

Net neutrality is essential to keeping ISPs from controlling how we use the internet.

1080. John Saffran, Frederick, MD, 21701

While historically the lack of net neutrality rules has not lead to stifled innovation or more expensive services I do not believe it can be assumed that this will continue in the future. Without more available providers to create competition I think passing additional costs from ISP to web services to consumers is a very real risk that warrants the FCC's continued intervention.

1081. Cesar Ballon, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886

I want an open internet and for the FCC to encourage competition, not to destroy it or allow it to be monopolized.

1082. Dustin Lavelle, Frederick, MD, 21701

Net neutrality ensures that both new and established services, whether offered by an established internet company, a broadband provider, or a small start-up, have the same ability to reach users on an equal playing field. This is a fundamental principle of the American way of life, to succeed solely by the value of your idea and not by how much money you have to in essence bribe your way to victory.

1083. Kevin Price, Frederick, MD, 21702

Net neutrality is a requirement to our free and open market if major carriers are allowed to openly extort payments from content providers under the threat of throttling or outright denial of service you will effectively stifle innovation on the internet allowing a pay to win scenario to take place where only large providers can survive. In the simplest way I can explain it- I pay my carrier for specific bandwidth and the content provider pay their carrier for specific bandwidth; why should my carrier be able to extort extra money from the content provider to provide the content at the bandwidth I am already paying for? The carrier has no right to breach their agreement with me to provide content at specific speeds just so they can monetize their service at a second

level and by removing net neutrality you are telling them it is OK to do just that.

1084. Alex Wilkinson, Cumberland, MD, 21502

The internet's greatest feature is its ability to bring all Americans together regardless of where they live, work, go to school, etc. While the internet obviously has great financial benefits for companies who take advantage of this (and they clearly have), limiting full access to it, or some of its features, to only those who can afford to pay for them will prevent many Americans from realizing the internet's full potential. The internet is not solely a commercial enterprise, and it has become essential to life in the United States.

1085. april jeffrey, point of rocks, MD, 21777

i support net neutrality, we deserve equal access to the internet

1086. Zachary Salwen, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877

When I pay for a service, I am exercising my freedom. When I have a number of services to choose from, the choice power given to me is not taken lightly. Knowing that my ISP--that ANY ISP--has the right to prioritize its content over my own removes my freedom of choice. As an American, I do not think this is acceptable. Just as the government is by the people, of the people, and for the people, so are our businesses. Do not let corporations continue to enjoy preferential treatment that is detrimental to the quality of American life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. To elaborate on "freedom of choice," I have options, many Americans do not. If Comcast (my current ISP) decides to double their rates, I can switch to a competing network. However, aside from the fact that nothing prevents these competitors from raising their prices as well, nothing guarantees decent quality of service. As a consumer, a private individual, and an IT professional, I cannot fathom how it is in either our government's or our citizens' best interest to lose net neutrality. I do not condone this slow erosion of individuals' rights, nor do I believe these corporations have earned the right to promote their own content: I don't use my ISP for the content, I use it for the speed with which I can access third-party content of my choice. If that choice becomes removed, myself and other Americans like me will have every reason to boycott these services, thus rendering this legislation in no one's best interest, even the corporations heavily lobbying for it.

1087. Adam Kennel, Hagerstown, MD, 21742

I support Net Neutrality. Don't like let business control the internet. It should be a fair playground and commerce area for everyone.

1088. Delancey Wu, Potomac, MD, 20854

We need an FCC that will protect everyone's access to open, private and affordable broadband. An open internet would be the best for individual consumers in order to access information and resources. If telecom and cable

companies could control what people see and learn, people would instead see a false and biased view of the world molded by these companies, which would detriment society as a whole. The internet should be available for everyone and not be hindered by unfair fees and slowdowns dictated by cable companies working for their own financial interests rather than for what's best for the people.

1089. Allen Lee, Rockville, MD, 20850

Protect the free enterprise on the internet. The fact that anyone can participate and start an online business with equal access is what got us to this competitive and innovative digital landscape, let's not go backwards.

1090. Save the internet, Frederick, MD, 21703

DONT BE LIKE CHINA WE DESERVE UNFILTERED UNEDITED INTERNET ACCESS

1091. Beverley McGaughan, Germantown, MD, 20874

I support strong Net Neutrality laws. ISPs should not have the power to determine what content people view online by slowing down sites that do not pay as much. The beauty of the internet is that anyone can share their ideas and find their audience. Taking away Net Neutrality rules would hurt small, independent creators and businesses and stifle innovation. It could also give the big ISP companies more power over the content people view which could be used to suppress political ideas they disagree with. Strong Net Neutrality laws are essential to the ideals that make America great innovation and freedom!

1092. Marvin Arias, Montgomery village, MD, 20886

Net neutrality is crucial to the sharing and consumption of information on the internet. The proposals of Mr. Pai would favor certain individuals and companies when spreading information, which in my view is terribly wrong and dangerous.

1093. Polly Leech, Germantown, MD, 20874

The internet is a form of communication. Therefore, principles of freedom of speech, privacy, and violations of decency apply. It is also a medium for entertainment, marketing and commerce, as well as data storage and many other functions. Therefore, restrictions on censorship apply. As well, deliberate acts of racial, religious or any other prejudice cannot be tolerated. In other words, the same values we hold in daily life apply to the internet. It must not become a tool for propaganda or mass manipulation by any elite group. That's common sense. Anyone trying to leverage or skew equal access to the internet obviously has some agenda. Activities such as an ISP deliberately slowing down access to certain websites is such an attempt. This must not be allowed to happen. It must not be tolerated. The internet has become one of the most powerful pieces of

technology ever invented. It must not ever be in the hands of a few no matter whose side it's on because power always corrupts.

1094. Colby Lutz, LaVale, MD, 21502

Net neutrality absolutely needs to exist.

1095. Caleb Godwin, Sharpsburg, MD, 21782

Internet neutrality is an immensely important part of the American economy as it enables competition on a scale that has never been seen before. Small startup internet-based corporations can compete against the established giants, and in many cases, become the giants themselves. This long-term growth of the American economy far outweighs any short-term shareholder profits that might come as a result of implementing Internet "fast-lanes", "slow-lanes" and "tiers"; an act which would surely stifle many (if not most) small startup companies. After all, who wants to visit a website that is deliberately slowed, when the established competitor has enough capital to pay off service providers? This is not even taking into account the fact that the small startup competitor might be outright blocked unless they or the end-user greases the palms of the ISP. Furthermore, Internet neutrality is an embodiment of the American ideal of Free Speech. Internet neutrality gives corporations, startups, and individuals the ability to express themselves on an equal ground, without fear of censorship or prioritization. This is a luxury that American citizens enjoy that citizens of many other nations do not, including China. Do we want to degrade our Internet access to that of China, except with speech being blocked for profit in addition to an agenda? In conclusion, in this modern era of instant information, free speech, and always-on connectivity, Internet neutrality is vital to our economy, our citizens, and the long-term success of our established and startup corporations. Thank you for your time.

1096. Nicholas Matheson, Frederick, MD, 21701

We need to maintain strong Net Neutrality rules under a Title II classification of Internet Service Providers. The internet is vital to our entire economy and must remain free from the influence of ISP's. These ISP's, Comcast, AT&T, Verizon, and Time Warner claim to preserve the freedom of the internet, but they have shown otherwise in the past. These companies have virtually no competition and already charge users outrageous rates. Rolling back strong Net Neutrality rules allows them to take full control of the internet including prioritizing network traffic, blocking access to websites and services that are not included in a "tiered" internet plan, censorship, and preventing any new startups and small business from becoming bigger. This negatively affects everyone of the American people and only benefits the ISP monopolies and their shareholders. The American people DO NOT want ISPs to have control over the internet. Title II classification of ISPs does not hurt them! ISPs have increased their capital investment since net neutrality rules went into affect in 2015, and ISPs

have claimed the reclassification has not hurt their investments and profits. They just want to have control over the internet so they can charge the American people more for the services We take for granted every day. Do not be influenced by these very large and powerful companies. We must not give them the power to control the internet. It must remain free and open. Keep Title II classification of Internet Service Providers and protect the Internet. I urge you to do the right thing and listen to the American people. Net Neutrality is what we want.

1097. Yosef Solomon, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877

Please keep the Net Neutrality. There should not be any control over the experience of using the internet by ISP's, there should not be any paid prioritization, or given the power to block websites etc. We need the freedom that we have when using the internet. Please keep the Net Neutrality as it is. Save the open internet, our wild west we need.

1098. Donald Price, Germantown, MD, 20874

I am a senior citizen, now retired. I read a lot of books; the internet provides me a means of further researching into topics I read in books. In this respect, the internet itself is like a massive library. I would oppose any move by an internet service provider to limit where I can go to find information on the internet. When I pay a monthly fee to an internet service provider, in return I expect to receive reliable and fast access to all that the internet has to offer. If I choose to have email, cloud storage, web hosting, or any other kind of add-on service, it will be my choice who I contract with, not the choice of my internet service provider. The FCC needs to have strong oversight powers to oversee all people's rightful and complete access to the most important network of our lifetimes. It is not the role of an internet service provider to decide what should and what should not be accessed on the internet, The FCC should be able to exercise authority over internet service providers, including protecting internet service providers' customers from invasions to their privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by broadband providers. Internet service providers should be view as utilities, bringing internet access to homes in the same manner as we receive electricity, gas, and water. The information I seek on the internet is my own concern, not the concern of the internet service provider.

1099. Steven Summers, Frederick, MD, 21701

Net neutrality is close to being equivalent to free speech. The intense effort that has been made to prevent comment is shameful. The purpose of government is to represent the people's best interests. Acting in the interest of powerful businesses that you have already given gross monopolistic powers at the expense of the governed is supposed to be something we hear about from Russia and China, not experience in the US. Again, shameful.

1100. Cody, Brunswick, MD, 21716
People live on the internet.
1101. Dean Finneyfrock, Frederick, MD, 21701
Leaving a COMPANY the ability to decide how the internet work is exactly what North Korea is doing. I know... harsh start, but is it really that different to compare? The ISP itself will profit grossly off this, and this is another reason to be careful. Large companies will literally PAY to SNUFF out their opponent. I myself am a streamer on twitch, a YouTuber as well. I make a decent bit of income doing this. With that said, what do I do if one or BOTH my sources of additional income are attacked in wake of a secret funder, that throttles me - or the sites I and many others use because they've been targeted? What do I do if I'm restricted to a certain package speed while suffering throttling myself? What do I do if I'm signed into a vague contract that barely makes any statements about true performance of membership? what do I do when the internet company decides to hike up my prices slowly to not be throttled for their own benefit vs the "technical" nature of things they will argue? I don't have another ISP that I can use in my area, a lot of people don't. My ISP knows this. They have already bought out and made the regional area a monopoly for themselves... giving them the keys to decide who, how, and what gets blocked, unblocked, or throttle is very dangerous. The internet is also a very discrete tool in general, so letting it get to this "shape" it would be extremely hard to prove any foul play.
1102. Charles Reese, Urbana, MD, 21704
I strongly support maintaining current net neutrality regulations. Charles Reese
1103. gay, Coneyfuk, MD, 21539
You are all fukn sheep... baa baa durka durka bunch of wastes.
1104. Michael Brandenburg, Frederick, MD, 21701
I am very concerned about giving companies, that already have a monopoly over internet access in my area, more power to do whatever suites them best with that service. I feel like any ruling against net neutrality would greatly reduce what little online freedom I currently have. I do not trust leaving it up to these big companies to do what is in the best interest for consumers; instead they will most likely do what is in the best interest for their bottom line. Also, a lot of the online companies that I patronize could also be affected because my access to them could be monitored/limited/controlled, preventing me from utilizing their services. Please do not vote in favor of these big, overarching companies.
1105. Michael Newsham, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Allowing ISPs another gateway to restrict access to the web will only stifle

innovation in our country. Keeping the internet open and fair is essential for our country to remain competitive economically and technologically with other nations going forward.

1106. Ajay, Boonsboro, MD, 21713

Net Neutrality is an extremely important right in the 21st Century. Taking this away simply to justify the paychecks you are receiving from major ISPs is ridiculous. This policy will stifle competition and affect the way that many Americans do their work and relax. This change is extremely negative in my opinion and this is shared by the viewpoints of many large U.S companies. For these reasons I believe that Net Neutrality should be maintained and not destroyed.

1107. Donovan Tarraga, Germantown, MD, 20874

An open internet is needed for many online businesses and freelancers. They rely on it to be able to make an income to support themselves and even their families. Limited internet access can greatly harm these people, including myself. I also use it to be able to connect and share with my friends who I wouldn't have without the internet, so please don't let the ISPs take control of what should remain to be the public's.

1108. Tyrell Bennett, Boyds, MD, 20841

This is completely wrong and unamerican

1109. Jen Shillingburg, Oakland, MD, 21550

The Internet is one of the most useful tools we have at this time in human history. Restricting it, privatizing it, and limiting its access is classist and greedy and serves no purpose but to exercise undue control over the lives of citizens. This is the very kind of mindless power hunger that spurred our first revolution here.

1110. Elizabeth Sherman, Knoxville, MD, 21758

I am in writing in support of keeping net neutrality. I am concerned about my internet privacy among other things. I would certainly hate to see Comcast begin to price gouge. I feel like reversing net neutrality rules would lead to censorship of the internet.

1111. Michael Hudson, Hagerstown, MD, 21740

We need too keep a free open internet. Net Neutrality destroys that freedom and instead makes it too were company's can charge more for what we already have and control what we do. All this does is put more money in the pockets of the wealth when the internet already costs a crazy amount. If open internet is taken away, all it is going to do is put more money into the pockets of the wealthy and make American's distrust our government even more. Let's do the right thing for the American people.

1112. Jason Zarrella, Point of Rocks, MD, 21777
Please keep net neutrality. We need a free and open internet.
1113. Meredith Peck, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
I work at home and rely on an open internet for my job.
1114. stephen gilmore, Sharpsburg, MD, 21782
The last thing that I want for myself or the rest of the modern world is for more changes to be made that will benefit small interests as opposed to the common people as a whole. Without Net Neutrality I am positive that this will happen.
1115. Jonathan Harris, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
Net neutrality is what has made the internet the free and open place it is today. Removing those rules and allowing internet service providers to decide what users can experience best is a ruinous action, and deprives many people of primary forms of entertainment. Not only will this hurt the country economically, it will also adversely affect the satisfaction of many citizens. Serve the people, not the big businesses that want to force the people to do what they want.
1116. Justin Kirby, Germantown, MD, 20874
Keep the Internet free and open. Keep Net Neutrality!
1117. Aaron Sipes, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
I have been studying in the field of IT and specializing in cybersecurity in particular since 2014. I understand very well the dangers that can creep up without the use of Net Neutrality. Everything should be done in our (the people's) power in order to keep Net Neutrality, and to prevent anything like this bill from even being mentioned in the future.
1118. V Maier, Point of Rocks, MD, 21777
Please be aware that I strongly support NET NEUTRALITY. I strongly oppose any rules that would give ISP's the power to control what we, the people, are able to search for and see online, to charge more money for 'premium' web sites and preferred content and to thus impose a hierarchy on users based on what they can afford, what they may believe, and where they live. This is not an American ideal. Innovation, creation in all sectors requires the free movement of information, ideas, no matter where they originate. Keep the web OPEN and please UPHOLD NET NEUTRALITY.
1119. James Hoagland, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
The internet is just a network of people so it should fall to the people in it to decide what should happen to it
1120. Edmund Dunn, Hagerstown, MD, 21742

We need to support and enforce NET NEUTRALITY because the internet has become essential in order to accomplish anything. Corporations have proven to not be trustworthy too many times to count. They DO NOT have our best interests in mind and too often there is only one company to use in order to reliably access the internet.

1121. Michael Rapkievian, Washington Grove, MD, 20880

In the interest of a free and open internet, I urge you to preserve net neutrality and keep internet access under the Title II classification. I don't want ISPs to have the power offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee; this hurts smaller companies and consumers alike. Please, keep the FCC's Open Internet Rules intact. Thank you.

1122. RAFAEL A. HOYOS, Germantown, MD, 20874

WE NEED NEUTRALITY. WE NEED NOT ONLY AN FCC ENDORSEMENT OF THE NEUTRALITY OF THE ISPs FOR THE TRAFFIC, BUT A CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT GUARANTEEING THE FREEDOM OF THE INTERNET! WHAT IS GOING ON IN THIS COUNTRY? WE ARE MOVING BACKWARDS ON EVERY ASPECT OF OUR SOCIETY. IS THIS WHAT THE UNITED STATES IS? COME ON!!!

1123. David Brown, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

Of course like >80% of the American public I support net neutrality. I know Ajit V. Pai has been paid for by the telecom lobby just know you will go down in history as selling out the American public. Everyone knows this "restoring internet freedom" is a farce.

1124. Irene Hepler, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877

The internet needs to remain free of corporations controlling what the population has access to.

1125. Tittle two, Smithsburg, MD, 21783

Preserve Net Neutrality and tittle two.

1126. Shane, Germantown, MD, 20874

Stop supporting anti consumerist cable companies who profit off customers by price gouging to create enormous profit margins. Restore net neutrality by classifying the internet under title 2 once again and prevent internet service providers from robbing more customers

1127. Paul McCarthy, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877

Net neutrality is critical to the freedom of the internet. By revoking these net neutrality laws, you are putting into jeopardy one of man's greatest feats. This must not be allowed, under any circumstances. A free and open internet does so much good for the entire world.

1128. Ryan Huynh, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
I support net neutrality, as it is wrong to lay down restrictions on something that the greater community of the people use. The internet is like a large well that anyone can access and use at their leisure. To set up a rationing system (that is, to restrict access based on preference) would be immoral and inexcusable. As such, I support open internet and competition online.
1129. Mangi Matthew Kim, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Net neutrality is a vital component to the culture and the health of the internet. In trying to attempt to regulate you open the door way for companies to have greater influence on people's lives and the restriction of free speech. Please do not do this, anonymous is not worth the trouble anyways
1130. Gabriel Potter, Clarksburg, MD, 20871
We need net neutrality. Please do everything in your ability to keep it.
1131. Scott, Potomac, MD, 20854
Internet service providers have no right to limit the internet access of anyone based off special deals or websites that they might prefer. The internet is meant to be a way for all people to interact and communicate, why should we be limited because our providers want more money? What about a level playing field for new websites, should that just not exist? Please, we need to keep net neutrality.
1132. Greg Zeck, frederick, MD, 21703
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality and believe in an open and fair internet for everyone. It is not up to providers to determine what happens on their service, it should be the people that decide what they want to see and hear. They are offering a service, in many cases without competition, and to add on to that extra limitations about what can and cannot be seen at that point deeply hurts the integrity of what the internet was built to accomplish. I would be deeply concerned about the state of our country if this repeal proceeds much further. The internet is by far one of the most essential services required to lead a healthy and productive life in this modern world, please don't put that in the hands of corporations who only see profit for themselves.
1133. Leonard Valenti, Rockville, MD, 20850
The simple fact that the people of the world have been maintaining the internet for well over two (2) decades is substance enough to know that the internet has brought people together. We will not stand to this tyranny that has been placed by the wealthy and corporations' CEO's just so that their greed can manifest. We the people, not we the corporations, rule this world. We are the United States of America, our forefathers fought this tyranny before, and we won. This time, we can move quantum fast. The internet is a free platform, in all of it's glory, in all

of it's weirdness, and in all of it's strangeness, it is what gives the ability to speak to those we wouldn't be able to speak to. The people of the world own the internet, we own the World Wide Web, and we are standing up to 3 corporate bullies to prove that we are intelligent, we are brave, and we are willing to fight to protect our rights and freedoms.

1134. Sean Manson, Germantown, MD, 20874

Net neutrality is a basic freedom and should be guaranteed to every U.S. citizen. Big telecomm corporations should not be allowed to decide what private citizens can and cannot view over the internet.

1135. Christopher William Gaut, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879

Putting big cable companies in charge of what goes on in the internet is comparable to putting a private company in charge of the US Post office, UPS, Fedex and all major shipping companies, Then giving them the authority to read your mail/open your parcels, and decide completely on their own terms where you are allowed to send mail to or where you can receive it from, and either block or artificially slow the process of moving mail. Now, replace "mail" with "data" and you've got what'll happen if net neutrality is taken away. You think taking it away will "stifle the economy?" Well wait until internet companies can slam anyone with extra fees however they see fit based entirely on how the customer uses their service. In short, DON'T TOUCH NET NEUTRALITY.

1136. Mark Frautschi, Rockville, MD, 20853

Dear Commissioners and Congresspersons, Please preserve net neutrality. I hire Verizon (as I have hired Comcast in the past) to connect me to the Internet, period. I do not want them to favor some services over others. That is my choice not theirs. It is not what I hire them for. Since I am lucky to have one ISP where I live, I cannot rely on competition to get the even access I want to buy, any more than I can drive on competing roads to shop or work. I don't want Verizon or Comcast or ANYONE I hire for Internet access to sell, loan, rent or give away any of my data, personally identifiable or not, to anyone. I am their customer. I am not their product. Please preserve net neutrality. Sincerely, Mark Frautschi

1137. Nick Buonomo, Gaithersburg, MD, 20882

Let me keep my internet. Why should we have to pay more to access specific websites and speeds when the internet is a basic human right? Nick Buonomo

1138. Virginia Clark, Hagerstown, MD, 21742

I like the freedom of going to different web sites and not having to pay extra fees to gain access to them or have no access at all. Keep regulations the same.

1139. Brady Robinson, Ellerslie, MD, 21529

If we have to pay for internet usage, we should be able to go to whatever sites

we want to without troubles. Stop being a bully.

1140. Evan Cook, Potomac, MD, 20854

Net neutrality is essential for the democratization of information, and necessary to promote the expansion of small business. Granting ISPs the power to stem the free flow of information on the internet is a disgusting misuse of federal power and a slap in the face to individual liberty. What is American about this plan?

1141. Lennon Shumway, Rockville, MD, 20853

Net Neutrality is extremely important to me and millions of other people, for me, it's allowed me to connect to friends across the country and even over the world by using services that would be nearly destroyed without Net Neutrality. It's also important to note that Net Neutrality has allowed me to express myself and many others in ways that wouldn't be allowed or accepted if Net Neutrality didn't exist; one of the biggest worries and concerns is that self expression on the internet will become a thing of the past if the repeal goes through, I don't want that to happen and many, many, many other's don't want that to happen.

1142. netneutrality, Derwood, MD, 20855

Freedom to use the internet is a right, not a privilege. The federal government, or other companies, do not have the right to control or buy the 'rights' to control what I am doing on the internet. This is a blatant invasion of privacy, and a terrible step in the direction of trying to manipulate the general population into thinking and behaving a certain way, against their will. When you buy a product, you expect to be able to use that product as you see fit, without the restrictions of the views of a company or whatever government is currently in power. This goes against the tenants of freedom of expression and, as such, Net Neutrality should be kept.

1143. Justin Hersh, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

Without an internet equally accessible to everyone regardless of income or geography we fail to protect the values we hold as American Core Values. Putting the interest of companies ahead of the interest of US citizens is not what the US government should be doing. If you look at polling OR you put this up to a democratic vote you would see that Republicans, Democrats and Independents all support Net Neutrality. So ask yourself why would the FCC work against the interest and the will of the people? I have several reasons that I won't share. Do the right thing.

1144. Sean Glynn, Rockville, MD, 20877

I am a small business owner who relies on full access of website and services to make a living and pay taxes. A fair, accountable internet services are not a luxury to exist financially, but it is a necessity for many Americans to access

important needs. FCC should retain its oversight into ISPs to keep them accountable to the needs of the people. I also assist with non-profit that connects the homeless to services around DC, via an online portal. Lives have literally been saved based on an internet that has been built based on accountability. Please do not revoke net neutrality laws.

1145. Aleta Bowers, Cumberland, MD, 21502

Internet providers must not be allowed to dictate an individual's browsing habits, throttle connections, or control what they can view. Keep the internet open and uncensored.

1146. Shayan Beik, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

I want access to the entire internet. I want it free of paid prioritization, blocking and throttling. There is only one internet and all rules must apply to my home and mobile connections equally. Thank you,

1147. Srujan Thotapally, Germantown, MD, 20876

Net neutrality is of utmost importance to the freedom of the internet. It upholds the belief that the internet allows an equal opportunity to communicate and express ideas. By giving ISPs the authority to then prioritize what information gets disseminated, it defeats the purpose of the internet.

1148. Alex Shapovalov, Derwood, MD, 20855

Free and open internet must be protected.

1149. Cody D Rutherford, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

The idea that the FCC is even considering reducing or removing net neutrality is saddening. No product in history has ever single-handedly spurred innovation as effectively and quickly as a free and open internet. My business will suffer a huge blow due to added costs and fees that will be passed on directly to me and my clients (and my personal self) to cover internet tolls. Don't mess with net neutrality unless you want the USA to fully fall out of line with the other countries in innovation and support for small businesses.

1150. Julian Castillo Green, Germantown, MD, 20874

We all need net neutrality. Taking away net neutrality takes away a basic right to privacy and liberty for all Americans, and moves toward tyranny and away from democracy. Please do not take away our ability to use the internet freely.

1151. KEVIN MOTHERSHED, Hagerstown, MD, 21740

IF YOU STIFLE THE INTERNET YOU ARE EFFECTIVELY STIFLING MILLIONS OF CHILDRENS OPPORTUNITIES TO LEARN AND GROW. DON'T DO THIS. KEVIN MOTHERSHED

1152. ben sivits, Frostburg, MD, 21532

Net neutrality is fundamentally wrong, and will provide those with the monetary means to shut down any and all they do not want to have available to the public or control what is seen

1153. Jason Eskridge, Germantown, MD, 20874

I support net neutrality and would like all ISPs to stay under Title 2 classification.

1154. Ian Moser, Frederick, MD, 21703

Yes lets have corporations control one of the most important technological advances of all time. Allow them to censor whole swathes of content available to us if goes against there ideological beliefs or hell if is from a competitor. Nothing bad will will ever happen when corporations have that much power. This isn't totally crony capitalism at its finest.

1155. Chris Gagnon, Germantown, MD, 20874

Slowed internet is an issue, yes, but I'd prefer to control my own path through the interweb. I'd appreciate it if individuals resisted from seizing control of the internet. I believe that the internet is a key form of communication and is used for business just as much as it is for creative expression. While business agendas are minimally impacted (for the most part) by micromanagement, creativity is not. Allow the internet to be an area where it is fine to express yourself creatively without interference from larger organizations.

1156. Siddharth Taneja, North Potomac, MD, 20878

Net Neutrality is essential for freedom of the internet, and removing it will cause significant harm to consumers all across the US, especially in areas with effective monopolies on ISPs.

1157. Joshua Gauvin, Frederick, MD, 21702

Stay out of our internet!

1158. Glenester E Thomas JR, Germantown, MD, 20876

The internet brought so much success by being open and free and it would be a huge step backwards if we were to restrict that freedom. This is not what America needs right now.

1159. David Paul Metangmo, Germantown, MD, 20876

Corporations already have enough control over our access to things in our modern society. It should be the government's duty to protect what rights we still have and to keep these corporations that would use these relaxed regulations to control our access to the internet and our consumption of media on the internet on a leash.

1160. Eugene Martin, Maugansville, MD, 21767

Please keep Net Neutrality! As a small business owner, we don't need more expenses in the process of competing with the big players in our industries!

1161. Nathaniel Baier, Frederick, MD, 21703
WHY? Is our system of government so broken that our representatives and the system that is "for the people by the people" will try to undue the one thing they actually got somewhat right? Net Neutrality is supported by most US citizens and not by a small margin. If this actually goes through it will undermine the system of government in the USA and truly show that corporations own the country not the people. Stop this terrorist attack!
1162. Dylan Zou, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Repealing title II would not only fail to protect America's interest by making the telecom industry less competitive, but less innovation through the fact that internet will be harder to access without paying higher premiums. This will have detrimental effects on the American economy for the benefit of the few, though I know many at the FCC don't care and are deeply connected with the telecom lobby. Please, for the majority of Americans the internet is a valuable resource that we use on a daily basis. Repealing title 2 for the internet could very well harm and destroy Americans lives.
1163. Nick Tchirkov, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
This is preposterous. Keep internet freedom.
1164. KEVIN MOTHERSHED, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
IF YOU STIFLE THE INTERNET FOR MONEY YOU ARE EFFECTIVELY STIFLING THE LEARNING POTENTIAL OF EVERY CHILD FOR MONEY. DON'T DO THIS. YOU'RE BETTER THAN THIS.KEVIN MOTHERSHED
1165. Michael Brown, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
NO to the proposed rule changes on net neutrality. Title II is the appropriate authority for governing the Internet.I own a small business and Internet access is crucial to its success. The current proposal weakens protections and clearly favors monied interests. Equal Internet access should be considered essential to all citizens and not subjected to limitations by ISPs favoring one content over another. This is as important today as was governing the air waves for radio and television access or the rules overseeing telephony were in the past. The Internet has become the main consumer-facing interface of a world-wide telecommunications service and should be treated as such.Should Title II be updated? Yes, but only insofar as it references outdated technology or infrastructure not in the protections of access or intent.
1166. Sinclair Hamilton, Hancock, MD, 21750
Please keep net neutrality. It is vital to our democracy.

1167. Brian Tracy, Tuscarora, MD, 21790

Destroying Net Neutrality is a violation of core American values. Breaking the free market of the web is a clear attempt to put money/power where it doesn't belong. Worse than that however is the potential to block websites with political views that counter an ISPs interest. A blatant violation of free speech. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Brian Tracy

1168. Heather Gould, Germantown, MD, 20874

I strongly support net neutrality. Expecting ISPs to play fair out of the goodness of their hearts is a joke.

1169. Devanand More, rockville, MD, 20853

I understand FCC plays a huge role overseeing ISP's and protecting net users. however FCC should not be giving anymore control because of issues that will arise. As an entrepreneur, an open internet is crucial in exploring ideas, collaborating, and contributing to the society. I do not want anyone (ISP's) choosing/limiting my content. i would like to have a choice in what kind of information is presented to me on the internet. I want an open internet!.

1170. David Stark, Adamstown, MD, 21710
Net Neutrality is needed. Letting big corporations tell us what we can and cant do with the internet we PAY for is against our constitutional Freedoms. Please don't let big corporate have control
1171. Calvin Regan, Germantown, MD, 20874
The internet needs to be free and open. Do not restrict or inhibit the use of the entire internet for the ISP's capital gain please.
1172. Ilya Semenov, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Abolishing net neutrality hinders the progress of communication and the entirety of mankind.
1173. Anant Venugopal, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
The internet should be kept as a free and low regulated zone for the public to use. Regulating it restricts our freedom and the best interest of anyone who uses the internet. Repealing net neutrality would be a huge mistake and is in no ones best interest.
1174. Noah Anderson, Frederick, MD, 21702
I fucking hate my ISP, but it's the only one available in my area with download speeds over 300kb/s. I wouldn't trust Comcast to make me a sandwich, much less trust them to make responsible decisions regarding prioritized content or filters. My ISP already gives me lower speeds than I pay for. I really don't need them charging me out my ass for shitty speed AND restricted access to my favorite content.
1175. Analiz Oliveras, Germantown, MD, 20876
I am filing in strong support for net neutrality. I buy from smaller businesses for whom net neutrality is important, and if their internet would be throttled, they would lose business. Maybe some of them wouldn't even be able to afford a website to sell on under this agreement. If you want more innovations to happen in this country, even ones that start off small, this is not the way to go about it, because small businesses need an honest chance and this would impair that chance. On top of that, being unable to expand their audience from not just their town, but the country as well could crush their business. Our country needs all of the exporting that we can to better finance our post offices and government. Some of the people I know cannot go to another provider if their current one treats them unfairly, because there are no other providers available. Privatizing the internet with those circumstances would be unfair to those areas. Communities and schools are also strengthened with fair access to the internet. So much of the day to day life requires internet these days, and letting companies price gouge can put so many more people be devastating for people who can't afford the new prices. Please leave the existing net neutrality rules

based on Title II in place. Thank you for your time.

1176. Jonathan Harris, Potomac, MD, 20854
Ending the open internet will end the free flow of ideas that started with the web and create an unbalanced environment for new internet businesses. I strongly oppose any laws that challenge net neutrality.
1177. Isaiah Mykal Wilkerson, Clara Anne Wilkerson, Smithsburg, MD, 21783
I have already spoken out on this issue multiple times before, and so have many others. The fact that this is even still a discussion shows a blatant disregard for the best interest of the majority of American people. We do not want this. I enjoy the internet the way it is, and I don't want corporate greed to be able to tamper with it. I don't want to have to pay more for decent internet speed, or have certain sites run slower simply because an ISP wills it so. Anything that could have the slightest chance of allowing anything like that to happen is far from okay. Title 2 is fine, it's a perfect classification for the internet and it protects my interests. Keep it that way.
1178. Bernard W Metro, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
I am strongly opposed to the proposal to once again classify Internet Service Providers under Title I. ISPs must retain the Common Carrier classification. The demonstrated history of ISPs violating net neutrality principles invalidates any argument that a free and open internet can be maintained with a Title 1 classification. Reject this proposal.
1179. Patrick Rivera Femrite, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. As a very frequent internet user I would like to keep the freedom of open internet. Without the open internet and freedom of communications I would practically be dead right now. I have met a large amount of my friends on the internet through the openness and without them, I would have killed myself. The open internet has saved me from suicide so please, preserve Net Neutrality and Title II for the sake of me and the entirety of the internet entertainers, entrepreneurs, and workers. We have a life on the internet that we need the freedom to go on.
1180. Aidan Gray, Potomac, MD, 20854
The internet is an important part of my life - it's my source of networking, business news, and education. Pay-to-play has little place in a democracy, which the internet is in the purest form.
1181. Chris Pack, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
I can personally attest that if Net Neutrality were to be repealed, it would negatively affect me and many broadband internet users in my area. Firstly, this area of western Maryland only offers one ISP over a very large area (Antietam Cable). Were neutrality to be repealed, no one in this area would have any other

options for an ISP. There are literally no other choices. Secondly, I've used an open internet to help me find employment in the area, and am concerned this freedom would be put in jeopardy. There are many local businesses whose websites I visit, and whose services I use. With the end of Net Neutrality, I foresee all of these options being put in jeopardy.

1182. Ryan Oxley, Frederick, MD, 21701

Net Neutrality is a very important issue that I believe should remain in place. Should Net Neutrality be rolled back it would allow Companies such as Verizon, AT&T, Cox, Comcast and others to control the internet and force companies to do what they want. Net Neutrality will not hinder future development. The FCC is here to protect consumer interest, and scaling back its regulations over ISPs could have a detrimental effect on Internet users and NOT in the consumers best interest.

1183. Matt Lillard, Cumberland, MD, 21502

To whom it may concern, Please do not revoke net neutrality rules. It does not benefit the free and open internet and will only seek to dismantle the internet we know and love today. Without net neutrality large carriers e.g Comcast will be able to control what we see and do and how fast we see it. Changing the landscape as we know it. As a person that works in Information Technology I can never condone taking away the rights of a equal and open internet. I ask you today July 12th 2017 to not go forward with revoking Title II. Thank you for your time. Matt Lillard

1184. Samantha Czeh, Frederick, MD, 21703

Controlling access to any part of the internet is COMPLETELY AGAINST the freedom of the American People. Every politician and organization supporting the control of the internet wants to do so for their own financial gain, AGAINST the will AND interests of the American Public. We lambast China for controlling their people's internet (and look how awful that is), but we're about to do the same? Are you kidding me? This is a gross violation of our rights. You, the government, don't GET to control us, that is not what you are there for. You're there to HELP and provide services all people need so that we can pursue life, liberty and happiness. Violating net neutrality is in COMPLETE OPPOSITION to this critical concept. Anyone who supports blocking websites, slowing connections and paid prioritization is ANTI-AMERICAN, period, end of story. Net Neutrality is the ONLY WAY FORWARD, anything else is AGAINST THE WILL OF THE PEOPLE.

1185. Caleb Godwin, Sharpsburg, MD, 21782

Internet neutrality is an immensely important part of the American economy as it enables competition on a scale that has never been seen before. Small startup internet-based corporations can compete against the established giants, and in

many cases, become the giants themselves. This long-term growth of the American economy far outweighs any short-term shareholder profits that might come as a result of implementing Internet "fast-lanes", "slow-lanes" and "tiers"; an act which would surely stifle many (if not most) small startup companies. After all, who wants to visit a website that is deliberately slowed, when the established competitor has enough capital to pay off service providers? This is not even taking into account the fact that the small startup competitor might be outright blocked unless they or the end-user greases the palms of the ISP. Furthermore, Internet neutrality is an embodiment of the American ideal of Free Speech. Internet neutrality gives corporations, startups, and individuals the ability to express themselves on an equal ground, without fear of censorship or prioritization. This is a luxury that American citizens enjoy that citizens of many other nations do not, including China. Do we want to degrade our Internet access to that of China, except with speech being blocked for profit in addition to an agenda? In conclusion, in this modern era of instant information, free speech, and always-on connectivity, Internet neutrality is vital to our economy, our citizens, and the long-term success of our established and startup corporations. Thank you for your time. Caleb Godwin

1186. Wesley Meyer, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
Keep the internet classified under Title II. Degrading the internet will only allow for ISPs to cheat more people out of their money. We are already far behind other countries as far as our internet services are concerned. Why would you want to make our country less competitive?
1187. Jaylen Nathwani, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Net Neutrality isn't something that can simply just be addressed with less regulations.
1188. Joseph Donnelly, Smithsburg, MD, 21783
Censorship and content filtering/speed throttling are about as unAmerican as it gets. Equal access and equal rights are the types of principles our country is supposed to be built on and protect. Freedom of speech and expression are supposed to be ideals that we hold dear. We can't let capitalism, and the power of the dollar, be the only thing that guides our decisions in government and in life. Don't allow Net Neutrality to die! Thank you. Joseph Donnelly
1189. Edrin Kisaka, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
I support net-neutrality and do not want the ISP to take my liberties away by controlling what I information I look at or stopping me from getting information I want. The internet should be a place of free expression without limitation and this would really damage that foundational principle that went into the creation of the world wide web. Take my comment into account as any decision is made because me and millions of Americans are in support of net neutrality.

1190. Alexander Rea, Germantown, MD, 20876
I believe that establishing a free internet will benefit all of the population.
1191. Mohammad Rahman, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
The internet must remain neutral. It is the epitome of free and open speech.
1192. David Katz, North Potomac, MD, 20878
I am strongly in favor of Net Neutrality and keeping our internet free and open for all.
1193. Paul Vencill, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
I am a software engineer who has worked in both the public and private sectors, and in small and large businesses. Net neutrality is very important to me, as it allows for a much more level playing field among businesses and increases innovation. Please do what is right for the country, and not what is best for the cable companies who are seeking to further only their own profit margins.
Thank you. Paul Vencill
1194. Michael Majurski, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Title II Net Neutrality is important to me. When I purchase internet, I do so for access to all of the internet. I do not buy internet for the providers video-content/email/cloud storage, or any other ancillary feature. Often times those offerings are significantly inferior to other services that are available, for which I purchase internet to access. Internet access is not an information service, it is a telecommunication service where I am able to communicate to with the web servers of my choosing. The technical mechanics of doing so means my IP address might change from time to time, but that does not change the inherent nature of the transaction, one where I buy access to the whole internet.
1195. Joshua M., Frederick, MD, 21702
With only one choice in broadband internet, the lack of net neutrality leaves us paying for the service they want to give us instead of the service we want. Also, allowing them to "prioritize" our connection strengthens their monopoly, which they shouldn't have to begin with.
1196. Kyle McGregor, Germantown, MD, 20874
Consumers in many parts of the country have limited if any choice of an ISP, similar to their utilities. By granting ISPs the right to control internet speeds and traffic, you are directly hurting consumers. We had just begun to see improvements in the quality and pricing of cable/internet providers, and now the FCC wants to roll back those improvements by ensuring these providers charge even more for access to the type of internet we currently enjoy? It is political nonsense. I thought Ted Stevens was the only idiot to think the internet was a series of tubes, begging to have toll booths erected.

1197. Lisa Mayo, Germantown, MD, 20874
I earned my B.S. degree from an online university and I now make my living as a web consultant. I also do volunteer work as a web consultant for a Maryland nonprofit. I spend a huge portion of my day on the Internet. I am very concerned about the FCC's push to kill net neutrality. I don't want the Internet taken over by greedy corporations. The US government created the Internet and it wasn't so it could become the new cable TV. I don't want a tiered Internet or walled gardens. Protect net neutrality. Keep Title II net neutrality in place.
1198. Beomseo Koo, Potomac, MD, 20854
Please uphold Net Neutrality. The internet should be treated as a utility and ISP's are looking to capitalize on the legal grey area before it is ironed out. as the FCC please consider the position of the consumer and your public before considering the private industries' interests.
1199. neutrality, Frederick, MD, 21701
A free and unbiased internet is of paramount importance to the conservation of personal liberty in the United States. I am a teacher in public school and allowing my disadvantaged students opportunity to freely access these services enriches their lives in the day to day. These children are growing up in the age of the internet and they need to have full access to all it has to offer, regardless of their socioeconomic status. Allowing big business ISPs to force a premium will harm everyone, today and twenty years from now. Net neutrality needs to be a right of the people, and you need to do everything in your power to uphold that ideal. Fight the good fight.
1200. Derek Hawkins, Frederick, MD, 21701
An informed society is a free society, and corporations should not have the right to bottleneck information. If an internet company can choose to block certain things they can block access to their competitor's websites, killing the ideals of the free market. Keep the internet free.
1201. Jia Li, Clarksburg, MD, 20871
Thanks in part to net neutrality, the open internet has grown to become an unrivaled source of choice, competition, innovation, free expression, and opportunity. And it should stay that way
1202. ronald smith, Frederick, MD, 21701
Live it free!!!
1203. Brian Reilly, Germantown, MD, 20876
While I am not one for over-regulation of industries in the Unites States, I do acknowledge the need for some, such as those that protect 'net neutrality'. It wouldn't be so bad if I had plenty of choices for internet ISPs in my area. That way, if I noticed performance degradation on some services but not others, then

I'd be able to switch; I do that very thing with cell phone carriers like T-Mobile and AT&T, actually.

1204. Restoring Internet Freedom, Rockville, MD, 20850

Net Neutrality is the cornerstone of a free and open internet. America is built on the fundamental belief of freedom of speech and expression -- how then, can you allow huge conglomerates to violate these same principles by prioritizing some content over another, or even blocking content that they don't agree with? Furthermore, we spent so long talking about Fake News and how clickbait articles have REAL effects on people's decision making process; imagine what would happen if we OPENLY ALLOWED for companies to regulate what we saw on the internet? Who knows what kind of stories, real or fake, that they'd try to peddle on the American people? It'd be the same debate all over again. This is why I support an internet FREE of paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling.

1205. Favio Aloras, Germantown, MD, 20874

Please keep net neutrality so i can pursue my dreams and future career in this country.

1206. Gage Heacock, Hagerstown, MD, 21740

The FCC needs to prevent companies from limiting access to the internet in any way. This includes blocking or slowing down connection for certain sites or certain users, for any reason. It's an infringement on the basic rights and freedom of people to gain access to the internet. Nobody owns the internet. No matter how much money you have, you can't buy it. It is a free space and it needs to remain free.

1207. Jason Hall, Maugansville, MD, 21767

Keep Internet Free and Even. How else would we have Trump wrestling CNN memes? Jason Hall

1208. Beth Kopp, Germantown, MD, 20874

The internet historically has been a place where change blossoms. Without censorship, people are able to express themselves and their ideas about how and what people should be doing to better society. The ethos of this law is completely undermining of the United States constitution and our founding principles of freedom. Censoring the general public in their primary means of communicating with each other is starkly, and might i add frighteningly, similar to how dictatorships gain power. In terms of other aspects of this law, I myself am a proud supporter of business growth in a healthy capitalist society, but let's take this back to business school and understand the aspects of a capitalist society. One of the main reasons people support and thrive in this model of living is because they are given access to goods and services that are able to

boom within the private sector. This is a complete farce and all around embarrassment of what modern capitalism has come to look like in the United States: complete disregard for the general public and greed within big corporations and power-hungry lobbyist groups.

1209. Tor Hagen, Frederick, MD, 21702

This is only going to increase the influence of money and corporate power in a world where those things are already very influential. The ability to share and access information, above all else, should be kept as free as possible from the manipulation of people who are interested only in profit. Believe me, it is painfully obvious that Comcast etc. does not have the best interest of the people at heart, and customers WILL be taken advantage of if the ability to regulate these companies is removed. I don't think anyone truly believes getting rid of net neutrality is the RIGHT thing to do, morally, so please listen to that voice and don't get rid of it.

1210. Charles Hofe, Hancock, MD, 21750

Keep net neutrality, this shouldn't even be an issue. What kind of monsters start something like this.

1211. Bayron Cruz, Germantown, MD, 20876

I think Net Neutrality should be left alone as it provides freedom for us the consumers to choose what information we want to see. The consumers should be the ones to decide what happens with Net Neutrality and whether it should be limited or not.

1212. Kristopher Hyde, Derwood, MD, 20855

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me, and I urge you to protect them. As a Networking Engineer, I recognize the threat that losing the FCC oversight and protections represent. I am keenly aware of the potential effects this will have on our networks, businesses, economic stability and our day-to-day lives. Stripping the powers from the FCC to keep big money interests from controlling our exchange of information and ideas is harmful and un-American. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is NOT the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly

this.If some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Despite giving these huge corporations millions in tax breaks to subsidize the expansion of their infrastructure, America still ranks as one of the worst countries in the industrialized world with regards to Internet speeds and availability. Removing Net Neutrality restrictions will only serve to further enrich these deceivers of the American people.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Kristopher Hyde

1213. Stephanie Vincent, Frederick, MD, 21702

Please, please, PLEASE DO NOT END Net Neutrality!!! Stephanie Vincent

1214. Cristina Theodoru, Smithsburg, MD, 21783

Net Neutrality creates an even playing field for businesses of all types, overruling or changing these laws will create profound negative economic implications in the long term. I hope that when you make a decision you will keep in mind the interests of all the people, including future generations, and put honesty and equitability before immediate short term gains for a handful of people.

1215. Michael Dorsey, Germantown, MD, 20874

Net neutrality must be kept in place. The internet should always remain open and not subject to how ISPs wish to prioritize services.

1216. Drew Rader, Hagerstown, MD, 21740

I care about the open internet and competition online. Protect net neutrality and do not let the Internet Service Providers dictate what can and cannot be accessed. To allow them to do so would take away the freedoms that net neutrality provides and should not be in control by corporations.

1217. Nadia Li, Potomac, MD, 20854

Please dont make it

1218. Dante Neftali, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
The internet is a free area where even the smallest businesses can make a name for them selves whether its by making a funny video that goes viral or by being savage on twitter (like wendy's) the internet is a powerful tool for companys to use.However right now its an even playing field where internet service providers can't play favorites they can't restrict the internet to the "highest bidder" or throttle (boost/lower speeds depending on the website) extorting consumers to receive the content that they previously had for free. Rolling back Net neutrality has no benefits to the general population it only lines the pockets of large internet service providers and drains the money out of the consumer
1219. Joel Kieper, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Net should be free an open. Removing net neutrality hurts this country.
1220. Marsha Abramson, Darnestown, MD, 20878
I believe it is critically important to protect net neutrality. Access to content should not be decided by who pays more for faster connections. Open access to content provides a level playing field and opportunities for small business, entrepreneurship, and access to educational opportunities regardless of income or geographic area.Protect net neutrality!!!!
1221. Simon Cooper, Frederick, MD, 21702
Keep net neutrality. I don't need other companies telling me what websites I can go on unless I pay them more money then what my family is already paying for.
1222. David Dumas, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
It seems as if net neutrality benefits the public, and repealing it does not. Shouldn't laws be things that benefit the public? Like isn't that the whole point of laws?
1223. Marcela F Carbo, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Keep the internet free! It is the last bastion of free speech and free enterprise.
1224. Doug Wollmann, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
I do not support the Restoring Internet Freedom Act. Broadband internet needs to remain under the title 2 designation
1225. Catherine Glenn, Dickerson, MD, 20842
The internet should provide equal access to opportunity and protect our privacy. STOP DOING THIS!
1226. Charles Robert Hill, Bethesda, MD, 20817
Any change to the regulations that limit what one can access in any way via the internet is not good for business, the citizen, and a free America.

1227. Melissa Barlow, Germantown, MD, 20874
As an American citizen, I believe net neutrality is fundamental to free expression and civil discourse.
1228. James Thomas, frederick, MD, 21703
The only service I purchase from my ISP is internet access. I am uninterested in any other package that my ISP offers, since email, cloud storage and many other services are available elsewhere online, usually for free. There are only 2 broadband providers in my area, and only one of those providers downstream speeds higher than 25mbps. Like many Americans, I sometimes work from home, using my internet access to remotely connect to my place of work. I do not believe that ISP's should be able to affect how I work, just like how businesses cannot control who uses public roads to commute to work. I do not want my internet access controlled by giant corporations with no room in the market for smaller companies to compete.
1229. erik agard, gaithersburg, MD, 20878
this thread --> <https://twitter.com/carvellwallace/status/866768598143909889>
1230. Emily Horton, Germantown, MD, 20876
Hello, It is through this medium that I would like to express my concern for net neutrality. It is of the essence, that in a digitalized modern global society, that all citizens that have access to internet use, are given equal access to Internet services and providers. Playing favorites and preventing multiple competitors will create an oligopoly that will limit access for Internet users to use equally. I urge you, through this medium, that the FCC protects net neutrality and make Internet access equal for all and not just for the few.
1231. John Grammer, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
Net Neutrality is important for the everyday user. It's important to have content unfiltered by ISPs.
1232. Anthony Varner, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Please keep ISPs classified as common carriers. This is probably the only thing preventing them (Verizon, Comcast, etc) from heavily throttling network traffic in ways that harm consumers. There have already been many demonstrated instances where the ISPs have been shown to throttle the speed of certain web sites. Without protections these harmful practices are likely to increase.
1233. Saurabh Singh, Germantown, MD, 20874
I support net neutrality like millions of others. The fact that packets are treated neutral by ISP is something to celebrate not take away. Without net neutrality what's there to ensure ISP don't try to limit people on what they can view on the internet.

1234. Kristin Dennis, Keedysville, MD, 21756
I think it's important to keep the internet free
1235. Christina Ng, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Access to content on the Internet cannot be dictated by the ISPs. Access to the Internet is no longer a luxury. It is a virtual space for free speech. It is a hub of collective knowledge that everyone has the right to access. The FCC must protect American's rights to access the same virtual space.
1236. Kevin Beiter, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
The internet needs neutrality to function as it always has. Removing net neutrality is removing freedom. Go America.
1237. Ethan Schaal, North Potomac, MD, 20878
I need my freedom to do what I want on the internet. Our nation believes that the rights we are granted are the rights we have and always will have because it is part of our being and it will stay that way as long as we have a constitution. My first amendment rights will be violated if you proceed to allow company's to manage my freedoms. Listen to all the people who are protesting and speaking out against this.
1238. Gabrielle, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
I pay for my internet access and I should be able to use it how I want. Whether for buying stuff or watching tv or reading random crap. My time, my money, my choice!
1239. Eric Yao, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Net Neutrality has been a fundamental right of my very short life for essentially as long as I can remember-- and rightfully so-- because of the Obama administration. No, I'm not some extreme left-wing advocate or someone that will be financially impacted for better or worse through the repeal or revoking of current regulations. Even if you don't read this, I want my seemingly insignificant voice to be available to you all at the FCC through this text. I'm a centrist. A moderate. On certain issues, I lean right and on others left. But I believe that some issues are not a matter of whether you are conservative or liberal. Some issues, I believe are so obvious to be correct in one way or another that there should be no "issue" in the first place. Net neutrality is one of them. For the good of hundreds of millions of Americans net neutrality must remain. I get it, you were probably given your job through some political activism for the current administration or were appointed by President Trump himself (cough cough, Ajit) but for the good of the American people, regulations over Internet Service Providers like Centurylink; Verizon; or the giant, Comcast; should remain in the hands of the FCC. But at the same time, I'm a 15-year-old with a passionate interest in all things 'web-y' and knowledge in general, perhaps due

to "1984" by Orwell or Bradbury's "Fahrenheit 451." But just because I'm young doesn't mean I'm inexperienced or childish. With an active thirst for different actions that can be undertaken in different operating systems, or physically altering the hardware of my machines to do certain things. Not just in computer engineering but also in law and politics, business and economics, and all sorts of STEM fields. These all intertwine to give me a veritable 'library' of information that hopefully will help me present to you a cohesive argument, and so I hope you take what I say seriously. It is, collectively, your discretion that decides whether these regulations remain. For the benefit of the multitude of constituents in every state from a diverse set of ethnicities net neutrality not be demolished. Prioritization of different websites or internet bands is what I think of as arguably the first-step to censorship or widespread "pseudo-monopolization", where ISP's can control what people see and don't see, download or don't download, and find or don't find. There are a few solutions that could remedy the controversy that I think could be helpful in relaxing the stance against 17-108. Most prominently in my head would be to enact other regulations that would limit the power of our ISPs so that while they retain a newfound capability to set "premiums" on certain websites or services that currently would be deemed illegal, they do not have full discretion as to do-as-they-will with their customers. Another solution would be to break up ISP giants like Comcast into regional bodies that operate independently from each other with multiple in each region. By increasing the variables on the playing field, Internet providers are limited by the competition faced by other bodies, driving prices down or keeping the status quo relatively unchanged/intact. Anyways, that's my two-cents. I hope you don't immediately dismiss me but actually consider what I've said. This move by the FCC seems to be a mostly-unanimous unpopular decision (or maybe my personalized search results are very left-leaning?) so I hope you truly see how very deplorable it seems and cease discussions on repealing current Net neutrality laws.

1240. Milan Yazhary, Bethesda, MD, 20817

Net Neutrality is good! GO FCC YOURSELF!

1241. Mary Godwin, Sharpsburg, MD, 21782

Net neutrality ensures that the people will have the ability to seek information and a voice to express themselves, without being limited by their interests, pursuits, views, or income. Just as the airwaves, at least at one time, belonged to the people, the internet belongs to the people and not the giant corporations.

1242. Evan Ford, Frederick, MD, 21701

The internet has always been and should always be an open forum for expressing ideas and opinions. You have no right letting ISP's exploit that for personal gain.

1243. Tyler Crutchfield, Jefferson, MD, 21755
Net Neutrality gives new groups and domains the chance to make something of themselves. Eliminating these rules and opportunities brings the situation close to something of Service Providers becoming Authoritarian Dictators. Don't ruin the internet for people, let us enjoy our freedom.
1244. Sean Siats, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Please stop the repeal of Net Neutrality, the only hope of a free and open internet. Allowing any control of internet access to the general population will stifle the innovation and creativity that makes this country great.
1245. Edward Zirui Wang, Germantown, MD, 20874
Humans are the worst, as our time on this planet and attitudes toward each other have proven beyond the shadow of a doubt. We are destroyers, indiscriminate and for petty reasons. But we have proven to be capable of creation, of advancement, and the internet is our grandest and now, most fundamental of creations. The internet connects and accelerates all of our previous scientific, artistic, and cultural achievements even as it spurs the conceptions of ideas unprecedented and concepts inconceivable in the years before. It is the great enabler that gives every user the ability to express, to educate, and to entertain themselves and others without the barriers of past that prevented such. It is the great equalizer that considers all aspects, all concepts, to be worthy of purview, of discussion, of expression, and of access. This is owed to the free, open, and neutral nature of the internet. To tamper with this, is to deliberately stagnant the expression, progress, and leisure of humanity. Leave the internet be, unhindered and free to all. Let us build something of a future with its quick steps and limitless potential. Or defiled it for short-sighted foolishness and prove that humanity has not advanced past the Stone Age and deserves to destroy itself in a self-inflicted hell.
1246. Ashley Cheung, Potomac, MD, 20854
Hi. I'm sure you've received many of these emails but I'd like to add in my own perspective. My name is Ashley Cheung and I am 16 years old. I've grown up with the internet. Net neutrality is incredibly important to me, especially being a person who is interested in maybe starting my own business or something. The internet has always been a huge part of my life, and I think that I can speak for a lot of my generation on that. This issue really matters to me, and I'm hoping that you can fight for net neutrality for me. Thank you. Ashley Cheung
1247. Sean Brandt, Poolesville, MD, 20837
I am a business owner of a Consultancy that is entirely focused on the Internet, network development, and enabling enterprises large and small to communicate with their customers and other businesses. Internet access at home and mobile, for work and personal use, requires that I be able to freely access without worry

of intermediaries, random and arbitrary slowdowns or customer experiences that vary by what path my traffic happens to take. The FCC has a place in ensuring that that access remains level, that those that already own the largest internet 'realestate' can't hold either my access or my data hostage for profit. It MUST work to ensure that data is able to transit networks in a fair fashion that doesn't penalize one vendor/region/business in favor of another that happens to have more money or simply is willing to 'horsetrade' in priorities with other providers.

1248. Net Neutrality, rockville, MD, 20853

In the name of capitalism & its fundamental principle of open competition, the FCC can not allow ISPs (mainly ATT, Verizon & Comcast) to control the flow of access to information. I'm pretty sure you are aware that they're currently attempting to turn the open market into a oligopoly (by buying up media companies) which will not only kill innovation but also undermine the FCC's ability to be a neutral enforcer of the rules and regulations that have allowed the internet to be tool of American exceptionalism for the past 20 years. If you decide to repeal the current rules, I guarantee that most of the biggest web based companies will attempt to create their own ISP services independent of current group and with their combined capital will eventually render the FCC utterly powerless. Please take the time to improve the regulations so that they benefit all parties. Thank you for your time & consideration.

1249. Andrew Whitesides, hagerstown, MD, 21740

The internet is a vast web created by billions of people, companies, and organizations. Giving ISP's the unrestricted ability to control an individuals ability to access the internet is no different than saying a buss driver can take you or your kids to what ever place or school they want. or letting a plane pilot fly an airline to where ever they want. ISP's do not own the internet they simply provide the connection the same way a buss driver or plane pilot does. Do not Remove net neutrality. Not only will doing so start International upheaval it could easily destroy the economy.

1250. Chen, Boyds, MD, 20841

You must be crazy to pass something that 80% + of the population vehemently disapproves.

1251. Danielle, Germantown, MD, 20874

The internet needs net neutrality!! Let us use the web how we please, and stop trying to take control.

1252. Hope Dunlap, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879

Net neutrality is a major part of why the Internet works so well as it does currently. Taking that away is a damaging act of greed that should not be

sanctioned.

1253. net neutrality, Potomac, MD, 20854
The internet needs equality. The people that aren't happy with the internet right now are the people who want to use the internet for their own profit. We need to stop them!
1254. Michael Seifollahi, Rockville, MD, 20853
The free and open internet has been a massive boon for our society, economy, and civilization. Please protect the free and open internet by enforcing Net Neutrality, do not let unchecked capitalism further monopolize a utility that citizens require.
1255. Liesl Ament, Rockville, MD, 20853
Don't do it. Just don't do it. Liesl Ament
1256. Nathan Roberts, Brunswick, MD, 21716
In brief Net Neutrality needs to be maintained for the good of future generations. Not only for amassing the knowledge and life experiences of the current living generations, but to ensure that future generations are able to access knowledge and entertainment in the same way and in new ways that we've not thought of yet. I can understand the need to police content, but to give corporations control over what we can access and what can be seen, at what speed that information can be accessed, or even worse to have only one side of our nations political story to be told depending on who your ISP is a terrifying idea. This is the type of restriction we hear about in Monarchical nations and this is NOT what we want or even deserve. Please keep strong net neutrality rules in place.
1257. Justin Taylor, Germantown, MD, 20874
We the people of the United States of America need and demand net neutrality. Doing away with it would only further and give in to more corruption. Do the better thing. Preserve net neutrality!
1258. Anuj Mehta, Clarksburg, MD, 20871
We deserve freedom on the web and small businesses do not have to be squandered further by throttling and unfair preference being given to big corporations.
1259. Rolando Yanez, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
I strongly oppose reversing the 2015 Net Neutrality provisions and want to keep the internet open and free for people to choose the content they want without their ISP filtering or restricting their speed.
1260. Anne McCaffrey, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886

I want all internet speeds to be equally fast. No preferred customers.

1261. Zachary Rispler, Potomac, MD, 20854
I support the current net neutrality rules and do not want you to change them.
1262. Andres Mendoza, Frederick, MD, 21701
Keep the internet open for small and large businesses. A free and open internet is vital to innovation and ecommerce. Please vote to keep net neutrality in place.
1263. Zachary Mongan, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
I want to voice my opposition of the proposed ending of net neutrality. I am concerned that this will open the door for preferential treatment of businesses, streaming services, content creators, and anyone who utilizes the internet in a broad, dynamic fashion, by the ISPs who would take advantage of the loosening of regulations. A free and equal internet is integral to our current digital age.
1264. Joseph Vitaliti, Gaithersburg, MD, 20882
The internet was founded with and developed through net neutrality - it is essential to the life of so many. Net neutrality is necessary!
1265. Robert Howard, frederick, MD, 21701
Don't give the cable companies control of the internet!
1266. Joshua Barrett, Smithsburg, MD, 21783
I support net neutrality because I enjoy having the freedom to be on the sites that i enjoy without being restricted the speed of other sites
1267. Christopher Hovermale, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
Net neutrality is synonymous with the right to access information freely on the Internet. If net neutrality were repealed, everyone who accesses the Internet regularly would suffer, having information important to them blocked behind paywalls and slowdowns. The principles of net neutrality are synonymous with the inability for ISPs to control and micromanage our online experiences and activities. We must protect net neutrality, and we will not stand for any decision that rolls back net neutrality to allow ISPs to exploit their power for profit.
Thank you for your time.
1268. Casey Neubold, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
Please don't allow service providers to limit certain websites or give preferential treatment to others. Net neutrality is important. These service providers are using wires on public lands, signals through public airwaves, etc. There is already too much of a monopoly with phone, internet, and cable all coming from the same source. In many areas, there is just 1-2 choices of whom to purchase these services from. Internet service providers could literally sensor all news in a large area by limiting what sites are available to its subscribers. In

rural areas that likely have one choice for their internet service, this could be used to attempt to control population's beliefs and actions. These public services should not be allowed to be controlled in this way. People have a right to have access to whatever websites, podcasts, streaming video, thoughts, or ideas they choose.

1269. Aaron Maust, Frostburg, MD, 21532
I care about net neutrality and competition online. How I use the internet is a choice that needs to be made by me, a consumer. Not a provider. Internet providers do not have my best interest in mind. Thank you for your consideration.
1270. sydney bak, Keedysville, MD, 21756
I support net neutrality rules
1271. navpreet, north potomac, MD, 20878
This is a bad idea that will allow corporations to take over parts of the internet. This would be like corporations controlling USPS or water supply or electricity and saying which areas get power and which areas don't.
1272. brenden, Addamstown, MD, 21710
Don't buffer my hentai nibba
1273. Prashant Banepali, Germantown, MD, 20874
Getting rid of net neutrality will not only hamper so many new businesses based solely online but also hinders academic individuals from gathering information for coursework and research. Net neutrality means all of the websites and business to reach the audience they desire for educational and entertainment purposes. It is what allows so many people to be connected online without much issue.
1274. William Leatherwood, North Potomac, MD, 20878
We must not end Net Neutrality, The free use of the Internet is a basic Human Right. Knowledge can not be slowed or not showed because the IPS does not like it's message. We the people of the Internet demand and deserve the freedom to use the Internet with out IPSs blocking our usage.
1275. Laura Searles, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
Net neutrality is important for the quantity and quality of the business proceedings of this great nation. We need to keep the internet free with open access for everyone to have an opportunity for growth of their small business and their education. In order for small businesses to succeed in this economy and continue the growth of the "American Dream," we owe it to the people of America to continue to allow free and open access for those who cannot afford it.

1276. James Shee, Potomac, MD, 20854
Please keep the internet out of the hands of the ISP. The Federal exists for regulating resources such as this to ensure equal access for all.
1277. Travis Hartman, Damascus, MD, 20872
Protect net neutrality. Do the right thing for us all not just a few
1278. Albert Efremov, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
This matters to me. I am a very computer-centric person, and I would hate it if my experience was drowned down by corporate greed.
1279. Honggo Prabowo, Germantown, MD, 20874
Please fam, it's already bad as it is, don't make it worse.
1280. Jonathan Nguyen, Damascus, MD, 20872
I want truly free internet without restrictions
1281. David Evans, Germantown, MD, 20874
I strongly support retaining the current rules known as Net Neutrality and do not support changes that would allow providers to decide which traffic gets priority.
1282. Zachary Vogel, Williamsport, MD, 21795
You are proposing allowing a battle royal for control of the internet. This would be encouraging monopolization and be saying fuck you to the small businesses. But most importantly you would be starting a war with the internet, and you will not win.
1283. Andrew Wang, Potomac, MD, 20854
Chairman Pai has commented: "It's basic economics. The more heavily you regulate something, the less of it you're likely to get." as justification for rolling back regulations on net neutrality. However, any economist knows that economics isn't so simple. Suppose we didn't regulate power companies. You might expect, with such a statement, that service would improve or prices would drop. Certainly not. They are natural monopolies. If they raised prices by 200%, there would be nothing we could do. If they took two weeks to repair lines after a minor storm, there would be nothing we could do. Burying power lines and placing power poles is expensive. No other company will come in. In reality, we do have recourse by regulating prices and service through agencies like the Maryland Public Service Commission and the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission. By the FCC's own standards (25Mbps download/3Mbps upload), 48% of households have only one Internet provider. That is a monopoly, free to support or harm whatever businesses it likes. Suppose an ISP, like Comcast, owner of NBC, decides to start its own streaming service. What stops them from throttling Netflix or Hulu? Nothing, except for government regulation.

1284. Youssef Madkour, Frederick, MD, 21702

Please protect Net Neutrality, we are the united states where everyone is equal and should continue to be equal, including the realm of the internet/world wide web. We need the internet to have NO bias like all other media/private businesses does/can have, it needs to remain a publicly controlled resource, that is managed by the government and not by private business interests. We need people who can be accountable and make decisions not for money but for the american people. We need people whose heads won't be turned by a passing dollar and will not make changes unless they will benefit the general public. Please protect our freedom online and offline, regardless of religion, political affiliation or personal status. Let the internet remain a platform of equal access and a place where all comments can be shared even if some are disparaging and downright rude, for that is freedom and democracy accepting and reviewing everything before making a decision. Thank You.

1285. John Leckie, Germantown, MD, 20874

We need Net Neutrality for consumers' right to elect their services fairly, not for these services to be forced by their ISPs via fast lane "perks" or premium charge gateways. We need Net Neutrality for start-ups wanting to bring innovation to the digital marketplace; without our current layout, sites like Facebook, Netflix, YouTube, and Google might not have flourished because competitors such as MySpace, Hulu, or Yahoo that preceded or are owned by conglomerates would block them out. We need Net Neutrality to encourage growth in our digital age, because allowing a handful of conglomerates to dominate the market chokes out competition and leaves price hikes unchecked. Net Neutrality is the frontier for the new world, and we have to protect it.

1286. Nick Evans, Frederick, MD, 21703

The internet has had a huge impact on me since I was born into it. I understand that it is a privilege and it's something that we all need to earn but when we earn it; it should represent freedom. ISPs shouldn't be able to pick winners and losers. Things like online college and small online businesses will suffer greatly from this unfair treatment. The claim that I have the choice to switch ISPs is ridiculous. Comcast and Time warner have a monopoly on the market. They literally stay out of each others way in order to not have competition. They are the 2 biggest ISPs and there is no place in this nation that both are offered. Verizon Fios hasn't caught up yet and any other smaller ISPs are barely surviving mostly piggy-backing off already laid wiring (i.e. Comcasts cables). It's your job as the FCC to maintain and control communications in this great nation and that includes the internet. By handing over all the power to the ISPs there won't be any checks and balances. I believe and trust in you as the FCC and believe you should maintain power and control the ISPs instead of them controlling us.

1287. Steven Goodalis, Cumberland, MD, 21502
Keep net neutrality. Why does this even keep coming up as an issue?
Controlling a communication medium in any way is against the constitutional right of free speech.
1288. Michael Marshall, Rockville, MD, 20853
Under the current net neutrality rules the internet has thrived and grown the way it's users wanted because the sites they decided to utilize more than others were able to grow as a result. With changes to this process by allowing ISPs to manipulate the system will result in a crippling of the internet where those with the most money will have their sites most accessible while new possible hit websites will never succeed. With big money sites manipulating what we can use in a reasonable fashion it will essentially be the ISPs forcing site onto the internet users which is something we don't want. The internet as it works today isn't broken, it works perfectly fine, as such the saying goes, 'If it isn't broken don't go trying to fix it'.
1289. Richard W.Midgett Jr., Derwood, MD, 20855
I strongly object to the proposals to unwind the net neutrality protections which have previously been put into effect.
1290. Samantha, Patrick, Cumberland, MD, 21502
KEEP THE NET AS IS!!!
1291. Rahel Fainchtein, Potomac, MD, 20854
Something you may not hear often is that the majority of software development consists of debugging and consulting online resources to aid this process. Net Neutrality helps me do my job. Removing it will stifle innovation and will add yet another hurdle for those of modest means to join the tech industry. Rahel Fainchtein
1292. Michael Wink, Poolesville, MD, 20837
I care about open internet and want to tell the FCC to keep Net Neutrality
1293. Victoria Lynn Taylor, Frederick, MD, 21701
HOW THE INTERNET HAS CHANGED MY LIFE Please do not repeal net neutrality. I like to buy and sell services on the internet. I make money on the internet, it's my main business. If net neutrality is repealed, other companies would be able to make money and I would not be able to compete. I would loose a really nice amount of income, and I would definitely become lower class again. I would be forced to use food stamps and other public services because I wouldn't be able to compete with the bigger companies, and I would barely be able to make ends meet. Since I'm an entrepreneur online, I'm able to pay my bills and have a bit of extra. In addition, every employee is taxes by 30%. A lot of people only pay 15% (half of 30%) because the companies must

pay this tax. As a self employed person, I must pay both. This means I am taxed at a 30% rate, and traditional employees do not have to pay this. In addition, I like to support local craftsmen and small businesses online. I like to buy custom jewelry, hairpieces, and clothing. If I'm not making money, I cannot spend money on those stores. These small stores would be in the same position I am-- unable to compete with big companies like Walmart, Amazon, and so on. It hurts. I've made friends on the internet. Ever since I was young, most of my friends were made online. I actually met my husband on a forum called PersonalityCafe when I was 15. I met him on my birthday, he was young too. We both fell in love and got married a few years ago. I'm 24 now, we met nine years ago. This was a small forum with under 300 active members at the time. If net neutrality was repealed, I wouldn't have met him and I'd probably be single and miserable because I was in a toxic family environment, and he was the only reason I was able to move out of my parent's house. I am so grateful I was able to escape, and I thank my lucky stars every single day. I believe that these blessings would be lost in time if Net Neutrality was repealed. Our grandchildren would never believe we met on a small forum like that.

WHY DO I BUY AN INTERNET PACKAGE? The only reason I buy an internet package is to purchase access to the internet at fast speeds. I want to access all the websites available, and I want to look at them without my connection getting throttled. I like to read websites, gain knowledge, and spend time on Khan Academy, Reddit, Imgur, and 4chan. This is what I do in my free time. I do not buy the internet package for cloud storage, an email account, or web hosting from my ISP. I use other services for that. I use gmail for my email account. If I want hosting, I want to look at competitive pricing and pick the best package. Imagine this-- my ISP wants me to buy hosting through their package, and they throttle or remove access to other places which provide this service. That's not fair, and it's not the capitalism I grew up with. It's certainly not the America my grandfathers died for. This should be illegal. When telephones became commonly used among the public, they counted telephones as a utility. I want the internet to be categorized in the same way. I want multiple ISPs to be forced to compete with each other in the same area. And no, I do not think the internet connection on my phone counts towards this. The connection on my phone does not compare in any way, shape, or form. Count the internet as a utility. I'm tired of writing these goddamn emails and calling you to bitch about it. I do it every time because my life literally depends on this.

MY CHOICES FOR INTERNET DO NOT EXIST, IT IS A MONOPOLY Just because I can connect to the internet on my phone, does not mean it is an equal service. The internet connection on my phone is not good enough. I cannot run anything on it. I could barely play a game on it. The only internet access I can "choose" is Comcast. They are a monopoly. I'm serious. If they decided to cut my internet off, I wouldn't have any choices left which would help me sustain myself, my hobbies, my income, and my friendships. I would suffer a great deal.

CLOSING STATEMENTS I want the internet to be counted as a utility, and I want

government oversight on this matter. I want all websites to have equal access without throttling. I want to make sure that Comcast cannot raise their prices by 200% just because they fell like it, and we have to bend over and take it because we don't have any other options. We need some oversight. We need to make sure that America stays free. The internet has changed our culture, and I believe it gives us that freedom. We need to keep progressing if we want to be considered a 1st world country. Right now, if you don't keep net neutrality in place, I think we would be headed towards the stone ages. Technology is our future.

1294. Emily Johns, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879

The Internet is a free resource that can give people who need it a chance to level the playing field without having to pay for online service. We owe it to everyone to give people who can't afford to pay for different websites a chance.

1295. Taylor Truss, Williamsport, MD, 21795

My name is Taylor Truss, and I'm a millennial, and like a many other people my age, I grew up on the internet. Many people I know that are older than me will usually have a few favorite shows they watch, or books to read and I can say the same. We all have things we do in our spare time that we enjoy doing and weird though it sounds, being on the internet is mine. Many of us as people are a reflection of what we do with our time, what we do becomes who we are, it's our identity. More so than our skin color or sexual preference or whatever else people want to identify as, when it comes down to it, we can all agree that our actions are something we most certainly do have the power to change. I won't even lie, when most people call millennials lazy, I'd probably be a very strong argument for their case. Because let's face it, I am a lazy millennial. But it was on the backs of harder working people than me and many others in this country that myself and my generation are able to do so. I'm very thankful for what's been done for me even though I don't act like it sometimes. But the great thing about being in this great country is that I have the freedom to do that. People like my mom worked hard her whole adult life so I wouldn't have to get a job at 16. We live in a prosperous nation where people can afford to do that. I know that the same can't be said for everyone because all of our situations are different, but as a whole our country has it good compared to other places in the world. The internet has made it possible for us to get in touch with each other faster than it's ever been before. It's also made it possible to acquire information on a variety of topics in less time than it would've taken even just 20 years ago. It's also feasible for people to easily connect with one another than ever before. The internet has made the world smaller than it's ever been before and getting rid of net neutrality will hurt that. If anything, access to the internet should be made even more easily available for people to get than harder. Nothing good can come from taking away something we've had the luxury of getting to enjoy for all these years and doing so would most certainly hurt the world more than it'll

help. The internet isn't something that we as Americans should have control over, it's a shared responsibility of humanity, it's the entirety of all of our knowledge and history. Information should be a right if anything, just like food, water, or shelter.

1296. Rev. Deborah G. Vaughn, North Potomac, MD, 20878
Please keep Title II rules in effect. Please do not allow internet providers to "filter" or prevent users from browsing websites of their choice. Net neutrality must be defended!!
1297. Joshua Clites, Cumberland, MD, 21502
The Internet is a special resource to all. The exchange of ideas, information, thoughts, and expressions is paramount to the well being and forward progress of all cultures and communities. ISPs should not be allowed to curate, block, or throttle this freedom. Big business should not have a say in the rights of individuals to access the information they pay for and choose. The Internet MUST stay open and available without limitations regardless of use whether mobile or home. Protect net neutrality now!!
1298. Sok Say, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
Ok I'm gonna make this quick and simple. I'M BROKE and because I'm BROKE, I can't afford to pay more money for regular speed to binge watch the new season of Stranger Things. So PLEASE don't end this beautiful thing we so called "Net Neutrality" that we're having right now. :)
1299. Douglas Pierce, Dickerson, MD, 20842
Keep the Internet open to all. The implementation of rules that will effectively allow a service provider to control what I can and cannot see based on what I pay is appalling. This proposal is simply another gift to corporations that are already too powerful.
1300. Jake Edward Fenton, Frederick, MD, 21701
I thought this was the land of the Free(TM)*, which means Corporations shouldn't be able to limit others ability to create and use something that was free when it was first created. This isn't a natural resource, or some sort of product that one person made and sold to a company to control. It was created and given away freely, to try to control it now is just greed and like trying to sell canned Air. This isn't San Francisco or Spaceballs.*Freedom only given to those with money and appropriate skin color when applicable. If you don't see the humor in this statement, you are probably also wrong.
1301. Bradley Kerridge, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I strongly support net neutrality. I strongly urge Mr. Pai and his associates to reconsider their plans.

1302. Giuseppe Sindoni, Germantown, MD, 20874
Net Neutrality has been correlated with economic growth for American internet-based businesses. We can see this by analyzing market growth under the Obama administration. Furthermore, Net Neutrality preserves economic fertility for startups and anyone using the internet; throttling or otherwise regulating the internet will only stifle business and economic growth. Finally, the internet has already been classified as a telecommunications service under the Obama administration. This is a just viewpoint, as the internet is considered a basic human right by the United Nations. It is analogous to our roadways, water systems, etc. Privatizing this interest will be detrimental to America and the world in many ways. ISPs will continue to maintain their infrastructure as their livelihood depends on it.
1303. Matthew Hayes, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
The internet needs to stay fair. Keep net neutrality.
1304. Virginia Dunkley, Brunswick, MD, 21716
Net Neutrality is the only way to keep the economy flowing. Small businesses need the internet to promote their businesses with websites and online orders--however, having "priority" speed is going to inhibit their sales. With how impatient most consumers are--having to wait over 30 seconds for an internet page to load is going to deter them and affect their purchasing abilities. Small businesses help to boost the economy and provide jobs to their community. Restricting their markets is going to harm their businesses--therefore harming the economy.
1305. Nycole Babcock, Cumberland, MD, 21502
If we are paying for the internet , not including we paid for are computer, that we should have every right, to do what ever we want on that pc, it is are property, yes it may be "Safe" in some aspects, but I don't feel like it should take EVERYONES right to dictate what we can and cannot do. I disagree with this 100% . If yall want safer internet than why not have a job where people keep and actual close eye on certain sites.. but I don't think everyone should be punished.Nycole Babcock
1306. Benjamin Snyder, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
Hello, I am writing again to tell you that the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will

introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Benjamin Snyder

1307. Peter Scott, Damascus, MD, 20872

Dear whomever is reading this, the internet is a place of freedom. There would be no internet without the freedom to do what we want on it. Taking away net neutrality, would make it less of an internet, and more of a net of carefully selected strings. I wish to keep my web as wide as possible, and the internet needs to be open to do that.Peter Scott

1308. Tom Glowacki, Frederick, MD, 21703

Stop trying to ruin our future. We want an open internet without corporate strings attached to it. Please try your best to represent your fellow citizens instead of corporationsTom Glowacki

1309. David Fry, Poolesville, MD, 20837

Please keep the internet open. Save net neutrality.

1310. Brian Boateng, Germatown, MD, 20876

i use interent way to much for u to throttle me.

1311. brenda Hernandez, Frederick, MD, 21703

BAD policy. As a customer, allowing ISPs to determine the speed that my preferred content is provided is just wrong. As a startup business owner, this puts my ability to compete behind the 8 ball.

1312. Andrey Smirnov, Derwood, MD, 20855

Net neutrality and equal opportunities is fundamental to keep Internet alive - don't jeopardize it!

1313. Erin Cayce, Frederick, MD, 21701

Net neutrality is one of the few remaining protections for American consumers. The FCC's failure to regulate effectively promotes the monopoly of ISPs and provides them unprecedented power over an industry which serves more as a public utility than a luxury product-- internet access is now required for nearly ever basic aspect of life, including schooling, parenting, religious worship, and interacting with the government. Permitting ISPs to perniciously extract fees to deliver content to consumers prizes profit for the few over our civil society. This repeal is not an expression of "small government"-- it is a reflection of government for the powerful at the cost of the weak.

1314. Cody Arnold, Frostburg, MD, 21532

The internet is a free place, full of free thought and speech. It shouldn't be controlled by corporate mobsters who run a monopoly already in our neighborhoods. When is enough, enough?

1315. Susan knutson, Boyds, MD, 20841

Please do not give Comcast (or other conglomerates) more control/power over our general public and internet. Thank you Susan knutson

1316. Christopher Lovelace, Hagerstown, MD, 21740

I am writing in support of net neutrality. The open availability of broadband Internet as an effective communications portal has a significant impact on my ability to do my job as a university professor. First, there is an ever-growing trend toward online teaching at the university level. Students are taking more online courses and I routinely require my students to access online materials for my in-person classes. Because many of our students work and live at home, access to online university resources is critical to their education. If, for example, their Internet service provider were allowed to give them faster access to universities that pay that ISP more, the small public liberal arts university where I teach would be put at a severe disadvantage. I also routinely access the Internet both at work and via my broadband Internet connection at home. When I pay for broadband Internet access, I'm paying for a source of communication. That access allows me to communicate with my students, with my family, with colleagues around the world, and with suppliers of a dizzying array of goods and services. The Internet is not a set of tools, but is rather a communication portal through which people can interact. Certainly, I do use many tools for communication, but they are entirely separate from my means of Internet access (e.g., Dropbox, Apple iCloud, Microsoft Office365, etc.). These tools will be much less useful if the company that facilitates their interaction (i.e., my ISP) is allowed to simply do as they please. In my community in rural

Maryland, we have only one real option for broadband Internet access. This lack of competition, coupled with lax regulation, will put that company in a position to simply do as it pleases with little regard for the customers'™ experiences. I ask that the FCC leave in place regulations that prevent companies that provide broadband access to the Internet from limiting this increasingly important mode of communication.

1317. Orna Siegel, Potomac, MD, 20854

I vehemently support strong neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP. Thank you.

1318. Paul Reitmeyer, Sharpsburg, MD, 21782

I own and operate an internet technology firm which depends upon net neutrality. Our organization would not be able to operate if we had to navigate traffic prioritization, preferred users or any array of modifications that may be imposed if Net Neutrality laws are reversed. Additionally, as a citizen I believe that all people should be treated equally and that includes on the internet. Prioritization / Pay to Play / Fast Lanes and Censorship are just a few of the issues that may arise if the laws are rolled back. Listen to the citizens with which you represent and do not roll back the current Net Neutrality laws.

1319. Benjamin Romero, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879

Net Neutrality will help keep the internet a free and competitive place as long as Internet Service Providers (ISP) do not control what websites, and content get priority. Furthermore it will help create a healthy economy for small businesses, and will help prevent monopolies from occurring. Furthermore ISP's would also have the potential in creating a hostile environment due the possible backlash if net neutrality is no longer. Please keep the internet a free place and not controlled by the ISPs.

1320. Chris Lazarski, Germantown, MD, 20874

Please do not eliminate net neutrality rules. I have no meaningful competition for broadband between either Verizon or Comcast. Both force \$25 or more in monthly fees, multi year contracts, and terribly onerous installation and switching procedures. Eliminating net neutrality will allow them to charge even more for bandwidth, throttle our service when they bicker with third parties like Netflix or content creators, or force us to accept their subpar streaming services instead of open choices if we want to cut the cord from their other bundled tv and phone packages (which we are forced to get because single service packages are way overpriced...leading to the extra fees).

1321. Matthew Noah Wiser, Frederick, MD, 21701

Net Neutrality is extremely important to me, and I urge you to protect it! Matthew Noah Wiser

1322. Brittney, Frederick, MD, 21701
This is an attack on Freedom of Speech and we will not go down without a fight. This is a ridiculous move you are trying to make, you should be ashamed of yourselves!
1323. Bjarb, germantown, MD, 20874
As a small business owner, I need net neutrality to remain competitive. I cannot pay providers for priority services and would not be able to compete with huge companies that can pay for priority. This would also cost me a lot of money as a citizen, who would be charged twice for services, since companies like Netflix or Amazon will have to charge me more to cover the extra cost of getting priority from Comcast and other internet providers.
1324. Aaron Larkin, Poolesville, MD, 20837
The internet was meant to be used by everyone! Id rather have my privacy online like I do in real life instead of it being monitored.
1325. Alexander J. Berardo, Clarksburg, MD, 20871
The ability to connect with one another is a human right . Why would you want to give big companies the power to stop that for a small bit of profit???
1326. Evan Osborne, Frederick, MD, 21701
I spend a large amount of time on the internet and net neutrality is extremely important to me. Companies shouldn't be able to charge millions of people more money and prohibit consumers access to certain content and applications. No net neutrality only benefits CEO's and executives who are already millionaires and billionaires.#SavethenetEvan Osborne
1327. Joe Semyone, Frederick, MD, 21704
How dare you consider taking away Net Neutrality. What happened to America the home of the free? This is nothing but a direct attack on American people and a way to further increase the gap of the wealthy and the poor. You should all be ashamed of yourselves.
1328. Lisa Cui, Germantown, MD, 20874
This new system will inevitably favor already bigger and richer companies who can afford to offer better connection and will obliterate all average start up businesses as well as destroying online communication for all of the normal lower and middle class people and again will only favor the rich while being detrimental to the poor
1329. sydney gittelman, Boyds, MD, 20841
Please! Truly the internet is a form of expression that cannot be censored or blocked or slowed! It's too important to too many people!

1330. Nehemiah von Hautsch, Germantown, MD, 20876
People can only take so much garbage. At least don't make life any harder... we all need the internet to be neutral.
1331. Susan Streufert, North Potomac, MD, 20878
As a citizen of our American democracy, the concept of restricting information flow and manipulating information availability is abhorrent, even frightening. It opens up the possibility of bias, with decisions about availability and access made on the basis of factors such as financial gain, political perspectives, and more. Our citizens, including our children, must have access to information representing all viewpoints, all beliefs, all perspectives. Censorship is a vile, undemocratic, and anti-intellectual activity. And censorship that emerges from an organized and directed effort to promote a particular point of view and conceal information representing other points of view has no place in our civilization. As a scientist and writer, dependent on the Internet for research literature and similar material, I cannot imagine how I would be able to work without being sure that I was finding all relevant information. Finding and referring to limited, screened, selected resources would lead to biased reporting, an anathema in general, and impossible to tolerate in science.
1332. Jeffrey Childerston, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
Save Net Neutrality, What ISPs are trying to do is wrong.
1333. Cosimos Cendo, Cumberland, MD, 21502
Net neutrality is super important. Please do not let it go away. The entire future is ruled by the internet, it would be a terrible thing to let the internet be controlled by a few major ISPs. Support internet freedom! Do the right thing!
1334. Muna Uwanamodo, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
I am in full support of an open internet. Without Net Neutrality, there is nothing protecting me from losing access to the websites and services that I use on a daily basis because I don't have the right ISP.
1335. Domenico Antonio Bucci, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Maintaining net neutrality is a must. It will not only prevent potential methods of censorship and monopolization, but also increase competition, which will result in more economic growth and spending than a market without net neutrality regulations.
1336. Morgan Inez Barnhill, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Net Neutrality is an important key to one's equal opportunity of education and business. As a coder for a browser extension that is used around the world, I will be unable to update my programs in a timely basis.
1337. Jordan Weschler, Hagerstown, MD, 21740

Net neutrality is very important to keep the internet free and out of the control of the ISPs. If net neutrality is squashed it will give the power of the internet to the ISPs and make it very hard for small companies who cannot pay to not have their data throttled. It could also lead to further corruption in politics as you could essentially remove viewpoints from the internet that went against what the politician wanted, given that they have enough money.

1338. Nicole Vassallo, Frederick, MD, 21701

I think throttling information and technology is the exact opposite of what the world needs now. There needs to be clarity of information. This will not help that situation in any regard. I oppose any monopoly of a service which provides education. Thank you.

1339. Russell Allen, Frederick MD, MD, 21702

Keep the internet free and net neutral!

1340. Bradford Robertson, Hagerstown, MD, 21740

I'm a self motivated creative type. I build websites, design user experiences and much more. My career depends on access to an equal internet experience for all. The projects I work on are not yet blockbusters, but one day they will be. If companies with deep pockets are allowed to buy priority access for their customers they will essentially be given unfair advantage over those of us that haven't hit our stride yet, the ones that are still innovating and creating. Removing net neutrality rules is essentially the class discrimination, allowing the rich to get richer, creating another huge barrier for American opportunists. That's not in line with the ideals I know America to be, the land of opportunity might be unfair at times, but it's not the government's job to make it that way, please leave it to the free and open market to decide what succeeds instead of giving big money an open invitation to monopolize and take advantage of customers that more often than not don't or won't have a variety of options for their internet services.

1341. Alex Poetzschke, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

Please do NOT let the FCC's Open Internet Rules be discarded or scaled back in any way. The free dissemination of ideas is the cornerstone of any democratic society, and "net neutrality" protects that. In many areas of the United States, large ISPs such as Verizon, Comcast, AT&T, and Time Warner are the only options most of us have if we want to use the Internet at all. These companies have made it clear that they would take advantage of lax net neutrality regulations to increase their revenues at the expense of the average Internet user. Small companies that rely on fair access to fast pipelines to provide their services would suffer as well. Furthermore, granting large corporations the power to control the flow of information in a public forum such as the Internet is dangerously undemocratic. Will our 21st-century society descend into a

plutocracy, in which only the opinions of those wealthy enough to broadcast them will be heard?The rights of free speech and freedom of assembly are enshrined in the First Amendment to the United States Constitution. These rights are exercised now more than ever on the free and open Internet. Help us keep it that way by publicly and unequivocally denouncing the rolling back of net neutrality rules.Thank you for your time.

1342. Garrett Brown, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
Keep the internet the way it is, do not give into the big ISP company's!
1343. Shaheen Mozaffari, darnestown, MD, 20878
Please save net neutrality, and make a free internet a right.
1344. Thomas J Sundy, Derwood, MD, 20855
Net neutrality is a very important feature of the internet. Everyone should have equal access to the internet, just like electricity, water and other utilities. Just for the fact that the American school systems have become so dependent on the internet should be enough reason for internet providers to be treated as utilities.
1345. Adele Bowers, Rohrsersville, MD, 21779
Rural areas like mine only have one small internet provider. These small providers already can't compete, and our service suffers for it. Don't make the odds even worse for families like mine. Keep the internet free and open!
1346. Austin Fowler, boonsboro, MD, 21713
I care for a free and open internet where my internet service provider doesn't interfere with my ability to view whatever site I happen to visit simply because they are/aren't the owners of said site.
1347. Ben Kaplan, Darnestown, MD, 20878
Please keep net neutrality as without it sites many use to keep up to date on important info may have to start charging for use or even shut down entirely.
1348. Pedro Moreno, Frederick, MD, 21702
In my opinion passing this will cause a lot of problems in the short and long term. If we were to pass this there would be many unmoral practices from bug companies and us normal citizens have to deal with something we did not ask for.
1349. Eli Dove, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
Not having Net Neutrality is nearly equivalent to not having the 1st Amendment.
1350. Benjamin Malek, Germantown, MD, 20874
I am here to try and help protect net neutrality. The Internet as we know it

today, was created for everyone to be able to share information and news freely. Revoking Net Neutrality would be allowing big ISP's to dominate the internet. Why would we the people want another big corporation determining what we can and cant see. We have enough of that in the real world already.

1351. Nathan Diaz, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877

We as citizens of the US have a right to privacy and assurance that our data is kept within the confines of our consent. We has people who pay for internet and a set amount of bandwidth have the right to receive the full of said internet and bandwidth.

1352. Caleb Bushman, Hagerstown, MD, 21742

You wouldn't allow companies to reduce electricity based on usage, you wouldn't allow companies to prioritize water usage. So dont give this power to the ISPS for internet.

1353. Susan Singley, Frederick, MD, 21703

Please support continuing Net Neutrality. The Internet provides an even field of communication, entertainment, and commerce. Do not sell that to the highest bidder. Do not choke communication, limit entertainment, or throttle commerce. You will do a disservice to millions of people. The world runs on money and communication, don't sell one for the other. This isn't China or North Korea, let's keep it that way. Please, support continuing Net Neutrality.

1354. Ellin Ramsey, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879

Please protect net neutrality. Ellin Ramsey

1355. Alexander LaFemina, Frostburg, MD, 21532

The decision to revoke net nuetrallity is dangerous for our way of life and exists only to support the growth of monopolies while squashing startups. I am staunch in my opposition to this plan.

1356. Henry Doan, Clarksburg, MD, 20871

We need Net Neutrality and all ISP should be part of Title 2 for stricter regulation. We cannot trust ISP to be fair when it is to their advantage to throttle competitor web pages or filter out content from our search results. This will lead to censorship and hinder our freedom of speech on the internet which is what China, and North Korea are currently enforcing.

1357. Amani E. Jude, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886

As a former Middle School teacher (w/ M.C.P.S.), FULL & FREE access to the Internet is Mandatory for the Education of ALL Students within the U.S.A., & quite frankly the World (having traveled elsewhere in the world)! ... As education is said to be "The Great Equalizer," the Internet is Key in that "EQUALIZATION;" in having Access to ALL Forums of Information! ...

Learning about how to "Surf the Web" intelligently is an education in & of itself! ... but Restricting Access to the Internet, and the Freedoms therein, borders on Fascism / Totalitarianism (... & this is coming from an History / Social Studies teacher's Knowledge base & Experience)! ... I implore the FCC to Protect OUR Freedoms & "Net Neutrality"! ... to do otherwise would NOT Be indicative of a FREE & Democratic Society (per the notions of "Don't Tread on Me!")!

1358. Jessica , Hagerstown, MD, 21740

I don't want cable companies to have power to control the websites people go on and charge a fee on some favorite websites people like to go on. Jessica

1359. Gary Wallick, Germantown, MD, 20876

The US needs strong Net Neutrality in order to be free and fair, the internet is too important and broad to be considered a private thing to toyed with by companies that just want more money.

1360. Ethan Snider, Potomac, MD, 20854

The Privatization of Internet Traffic is as powerful as being in control of all mail, domestic and foreign. This is not acceptable, because communication should not be determined by only a few companies, in hopes of gaining more money from the consumers. Just as postal services like UPS, and FedEx are regulated to allow the transportation of parcels, and are not permitted to deny the delivery of information (letters) on the basis of it's content, neither should the ISP companies be allowed to deny access to information on the basis of the providers' capital.

1361. Mary V White, Frederick, MD, 21701

As a small business owner, the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to

further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Mary V White

1362. Dewey Gibson, Knoxville, MD, 21758

I do not favor any change to the current Title II doctrine. Please leave Internet Service Providers under the more restrictive Title so that there can be no question to their role in providing internet service.

1363. Collin Kenny, Frederick, MD, 21702

Net Neutrality is very important. Please don't let big companies destroy the internet.

1364. Charles Gouraud, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877

Please maintain Net Neutrality as it currently is, under title 2, protecting a free and open internet.

1365. Aman Rahman, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

Net Neutrality is paramount to our democracy. The FCC should promote the equality that Net Neutrality entails. We need to ensure equal access of to information for everyone. Aman Rahman

1366. Elise Vernick, Potomac, MD, 20854

Please retain net neutrality. Please do not let this be under ISP control.

1367. Alex McNorton, Cumberland, MD, 21502

Dear FCC, Please do not abolish Net Neutrality. I have friends whose life is the internet. Everything in the modern world is on the internet. This isn't about the internet failing. This is about giving the internet a chance to fail. If ISPs are allowed to block sites like Facebook or Twitter, I wouldn't be able to communicate to my friends and family like I do in this moment. The internet is the last free thing we have. The last thing we can be our self on. The internet deserves to be protected. It deserves to be fought for. Abolishing Net Neutrality will only harm consumers while ISPs are pocketing money. In other words, Net Neutrality is what's protecting the American people from money hungry, immoral companies who see money, not people. Why should Americans have to

break our backs even more than we do to pay companies, who are already basically made of money, to get on certain websites? This country was born on the freedom of speech. Why would a country founded on democracy give companies the power to censor free speech? That's why the American people have stood up for Net Neutrality. We will continue to do so. Please do not allow Net Neutrality to get gutted. Only the 0.1% get to benefit. While the 99.9% suffer at the hands of money hungry companies. I am neither liberal nor conservative. Even I can see this isn't for what's right. The only reason you want to get rid of Net Neutrality is so ISPs can profit. Please be honest with the American people. We get lied to enough. Sincerely, Alex

1368. Riley Forney, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886

Net neutrality is very important and will impact me greatly. As someone who hopes to do work on and loves the internet, repealing Net Neutrality will very much cripple my efforts. Many sites that ISPs would target are very important to me, and are part of my daily routine (Youtube, Twitter, Google, Gmail, etc), So this would also throw me, and many other people with similar situations, off our daily schedules. A world without Net Neutrality would do nothing but hurt the average consumer, only adding extra fees and pain to our daily routines. It can also cripple people who rely on websites and the internet to earn money and feed families. Thank you for your time Riley Forney

1369. James Sturgeon, Frederick, MD, 21703

I don't want to be watched doing stuff online I want to do what I want to do without having to pay extra They are not the government they shouldn't have the power to shutdown the internet and to make it so they control you there is a reason this is the freedom state James Sturgeon

1370. net neutrality, Potomac, MD, 20854

The internet, to me, is the most important tool in my entire life. Of 16 years of living, the internet has always been there, to connect me to my friends, to play games, enjoy my free time, to do my homework, and to most importantly connect with my family, who happen to live in Egypt. When I pay for an ISP to provide me internet, I automatically assume that the internet given to me allows me to access any part of the web, wherever and whenever, regardless of the site. With Chairman Pai threatening the open internet, I could have my ISP favor their own sites, or make me pay extra for sites I visit. As a consumer, I find this appalling that the FCC would allow ISPs to control our internet use. If net neutrality is to be abolished, I'd have no choice on another ISP since most or even all ISPs will take huge advantage from the removal of these rules. I would prefer if the FCC kept the internet how it is now, open and free for any opportunities.

1371. Frankie Guzikowski, Potomac, MD, 20854

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to everything I stand for. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Frankie Guzikowski

1372. Emma Kimble, Potomac, MD, 20854

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to everything I stand for. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the

open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Emma Kimble

1373. net neutrality, Cumberland, MD, 21502

The internet should be an open source available for everyone at all times. It's a helpful tool that furthers humanity, and it would be just a horrible, evil, sadistic idea to capitalize something so groundbreaking. The internet is a place people go to feel equal, to learn, to be creative. That can't happen if net neutrality is taken away. It also takes away our freedom of choice with the government, yet again, controlling another aspect of our everyday lives.

1374. Scribbles Manesh, Derwood, MD, 20855

We need an open internet. Look at Japan, they had pay-per-bite for most of the 90's-2000's and while they innovated in so many technology fields, they fell behind on internet connected technologies for a decade, losing out to much poorer countries. Countries like South Korea are already way ahead of us in terms of internet access. If we lose net neutrality we're going to lose this entire technology sector.

1375. Net Neutrality, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877

If enforceable Net Neutrality rules are repealed the American people can easily lose their voice in today's political climate. Repealing these laws knowing this, is unconstitutional. We have a right to have a say in what our government does. This is why we split from England in the first place. Having to pay for our free time and our job is wrong. Blocking and throttling what we do on the web is also wrong. There is only one internet. All rules must apply to home and mobile connections equally. If you limit the internet for everyone, you are also limiting it for yourself. Service providers don't care who you are.

1376. Joseph E. Van Riper III, Germantown, MD, 20874

Please do not roll back network neutrality rules currently in place. They exist for good reasons, reasons that have not changed since they were put in place. I

feel seriously enough about this matter that I would vote against any politician who would remove these rules to allow corporations to control content. I also suspect there could be safety concerns as our lives become more entwined with the flow of information available through the internet.

1377. s, s, MD, 20841

I support strong net neutrality. Give us internet freedom.

1378. Kartik Krishnan, North Potomac, MD, 20878

I am a strong supporter of net neutrality and title II regulations.

1379. Jonathan trejo, Gaithersburg, MD, 20886

Fuck the FCC why y'all tryna control everything freedom of speech no one controls the internet and no one will ever leave it alone Jonathan trejo

1380. Andrew Mills, Hagerstown, MD, 21742

ISPs already charge far too much for the terrible quality internet they provide their consumers with. They do not have the right to also charge the owners of these sites for us to be able to access them at our normal speeds. Allowing them to charge both the consumer and then the website providers is allowing them to double dip all while screwing the consumers solely because they want more money. If we are paying for a service then they should not also be allowed to charge these sites we're trying to access.

1381. Atul Goyal, Frederick, MD, 21702

NET Neutrality is important. Do not take it away. It is just common sense to keep it.

1382. A.V.L.Kepner, Williamsport, MD, 21795

Net Neutrality is imperative to the ability of America to restructure its businesses and educate its people for the future, we are slipping daily behind the rest of the world in all areas in which we must compete.

1383. Thomas Durocher, Frederick, MD, 21701

Net neutrality must be protected to protect the common person.

1384. Tylar Matsuo, Frederick, MD, 21704

An end to net neutrality would, without a doubt, result in companies such as AT&T slowing speeds on other sites of which they do not own. This would be devastating, as it would destroy the free internet, and would make access to certain websites impossible. Please, for my sake and yours, do not touch net neutrality.

1385. Anuar Beisembayev, Germantown, MD, 20874

The Internet is a beautiful thing that everyone can use in some way. It is not

something to shut down and control for the sake of making money.

1386. Tim Myers, Potomac, MD, 20854
The net is a utility. It is a public good. We must not privatize all or part. My freedom as an American citizen depends on my free, unfiltered, unbiased access to information that get on the internet.
1387. Hector, hagerstown , MD, 21742
We don't need an entity deciding what we can see if we pay for service (car note)) !!! What is wrong with you people!!!
1388. catherine s. koontz, keedysville , MD, 21756
i want keep things as they are.
1389. Steven Fraser, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
The "First Amendment" is under attack on many fronts. The FCC should not allow this vital constitutional amendment be silenced. Without the freedom from conglomerates, innovation will slow. We do not need larger Verizons, AT&T's, Comcasts. What we need is the innovative heart of entrepreneurs, small business owners, developers, inventors. Without Net neutrality, these will most certainly die.
1390. Rais Djampa, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
We the people have a right to privacy
1391. Jeremy Peacock, Poolesville, MD, 20837
We have the right to free speech, and net neutrality is fundamental to this right. Please protect our rights!
1392. Sanju Kumar, Germwntown, MD, 20876
Without net-neutrality, internet will become intranet. Let it be free.. Let it be Global
1393. KARLA COROB, FREDERICK, MD, 21703
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY -
1394. Menzel Seales, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
We are the consumer. We are the cog that spin the wheel. With our Cars the maker doesn't tell us which route to take or where to go. Why should our internet be any different? Fair choice is the ONLY CHOICE.
1395. Terry Jenkins, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
We the people don't want more control of something else in our lives. Big government is a failure, we don't need more Washington Regulations control every aspect of our lives. We don't want want big government allowing large

corporations mining out data and profiling us. Sincerely, Mr. Citizen

1396. Max Kuminov, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Net Neutrality is required to maintain equal opportunity and freedom of speech on the Internet.
1397. Andrew Slaten, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Please protect net neutrality. I spend hours each day on the internet and depend on that neutrality to ensure that I am not being manipulated by unseen and unknown power brokers.
1398. I K, Potomac, MD, 20854
Net neutrality is critical for free speech, innovation and consumer choice. Please keep it so and do not put the control in the hands of the big companies whose sole goal is profit.
1399. allan frey, potomac, MD, 20854
Net neutrality is important because it makes it possible for the users to have control rather than having the power concentrated in the hands of a few companies. The net should be for the benefit of the users and their interests, not for the benefit and interests a few companies.
1400. Merrilea Mayo, North Potomac, MD, 20878
"A well informed citizenry is at the heart of a dynamic democracy" - Jefferson. Because the print press is becoming a vestige of the past, online news - both formal and informal - is the source of many of our judgments regarding who to vote for, what to buy, and how to behave. By giving information superhighway rights to only a few, you effectively convert our 241 year old democracy into an for-profit oligarchy with a state-controlled press. This decision does not just address current market needs. It simultaneously redirects our course in history.
1401. Reena P Gopal, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Net Neutrality is the First Amendment of the Internet.
1402. Mare Cromwell, Buckeystown, MD, 21717
The internet is the Commons for all of us and it should stay neutral and open to all, at the same speeds, etc., governed by a non-corporate entity. We must protect the Commons!
1403. Stacey Flynn, Germantown, MD, 20874
Please protect freedom of speech by protecting net neutrality. Consumers, not large companies, should be able to choose what they want to watch, write and do online. Net neutrality supports innovation and the future of our economy!
1404. Naheed Oberfeld, Rockville, MD, 20850

The American economy is made of small and micro businesses making up close to half of non-farm GDP. Their survival is dependent on equal access to their websites and ending net neutrality takes away what is treasured among all Americans...equality! I speak as both a consumer and a small business owner whose very survival is threatened because of pay to play type deals that benefit only a very small segment of US companies and threatens to annihilate the rest. PLEASE OPPOSE IT.

1405. M Cooper, Germantown, MD, 20876

The internet is a utility, a necessity, and corporations should not be able to jack up prices just to get to a website. Net neutrality does not stifle innovation or limit the internet, it protects the consumer. Please vote in the people's interest, not in corporate interest. Protect consumers, protect small business, don't let Corporations decide votes!

1406. James, Oakland, MD, 21550

I served to preserve freedom of the press and freedom of speech and that is what the internet is today! Don't let the Republicans give all of our rights to the highest bidder!

1407. john carnahan, clarksburg, MD, 20871

By undermining the current 'net neutrality regulations', you are allowing Comcast, At&t, and Verizon to gouge the public. I know politicians must give these companies something in return for their campaign contributions, but this is excessive.

1408. Chris Lawson, Hagerstown, MD, 21740

I rely on a free and open internet for hobbies which include watching video game videos and uploading my own. I won't let this be stifled by ISPs wanting an extra hundred dollars for what I use for and what I do with my internet needs.

1409. Sara Staples, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

The Internet needs to be equally shared by all users and the protection of our right to free speech is a basic necessity to insure democracy. No preference should be able to be purchased by a particular company or individual that will slow or limit access to the Internet. The FCC is a Federal Agency and its mission is to be the gatekeeper to supervise fair access to Internet, telephones and other means of communication. So do not give into the pressure of large companies to merge and reduce competition and free choice of services. Everyone should have this right as long as they abide by the appropriate use as our right to free speech. It is an important source of sharing information, but education should be implemented so that users are aware that everything on the Internet should be used with the knowledge that it is not always truthful or fact.

Thank you, Sara Staples

1410. Michele PrivateCitizen, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
The First Amendment is taken very seriously in the United States, as it should be. Even neo-Nazi speech is usually protected. Giving control of the Internet to a few companies is dishonoring one of our country's core principles. What will happen when someone says something on social media that one of these companies doesn't want to be heard?
1411. Amanda Moser, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
I don't trust cable companies to act ethically. They are some of the worst companies globally for customer service. Why should they have the power to determine what I see or where I can go on the net? No company should have that power. They need to be neutral.
1412. TP, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
I think internet providers should not be able to decide who gets faster, better service based on which company pays more for *top billing*. I pay for my service and I expect the same speed and service regardless of which sites or browser I utilize.
1413. Kelly W., Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Net neutrality is crucial to a functioning democracy. Everyone deserves equal access to information and perspectives, and consumers must be able to make their own choices about how they spend their time online.
1414. Jean De Muzio, Gaithersburg, MD, 20886
I support Net Neutrality. Let's not let big business run our lives completely.
1415. Kyle Hetzer, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
Net neutrality allows for innovation to flourish on the web. It grants access to services that may not be readily available in certain markets. By allowing ISPs the ability to decide how traffic is treated, innovation can be stamped out. Many places only have one or two choices for ISPs in their area, reducing competition, causing the delivery of a sub-par product. This lack of choice reduces an individual's access to a free and open internet. Keep the current net-neutrality rules, and allow for a free, and open internet.
1416. Lilly Hampton, Bel Air, MD, 20899
The first amendment is a constitutional right.
1417. Mark McGrail, Germantown, MD, 20874
"Eternal vigilance is the price of liberty; power is ever stealing from the many to the few."- Wendell Phillips

1418. Allen, Frederick, MD, 21701
Stop trying to pick the winners.
1419. Ronald Rabin, Rockville, MD, 20853
Positive on net neutrality
1420. Braddock Graham, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
Net neutrality is fundamental to free markets. Open competition is destroyed when powerful players in a market can tilt the playing field. Competitiveness is key to long term GDP growth and opportunity and market expansion. Keep the markets fair and open for competition from all.
1421. Nina Jackson, Germantown, MD, 20874
Large corporations deciding what we get to see on the internet can only work in the best interests of the company and not the people.
1422. Rita White, Germantown , MD, 20876
The internet has been such an enhancement to our world. I appreciate it. Let us continue moving in a forward direction.
1423. Orville Crowe, Frostburg, MD, 21532
The net is great the way it is. Dont let the greedy big companies take over. The cable companies are a good example.
1424. Jon Johannsson, Potomac, MD, 20854
Consumers should be able to use their internet as they please without arbitrary constraints placed upon them by large cable companies
1425. Brandon Paternoster, Jefferson, MD, 21755
Net neutrality is the back-bone of what makes the internet special for everyone. If we hand that over to the corporations, what power do we have left?
1426. Kyle Tirak, Frederick, MD, 21701
Net neutrality, codified into the Open Internet rules under Title II, is important for continuing to encourage innovation in online services. It also helps to ease entry into online services markets for new competition -- a principal sign of successful capitalism.
1427. Liz Ciucci, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
Net neutrality is a must. Leaving the internet in large corp hands does nothing but negative for the consumer. The thought to me is ridiculous.
1428. Penny Williams, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech! We must protect the "little guys" over the interest of big company profits. Please protect the rights of consumers

and leave net neutrality alone.

1429. TJ Hudson, Knoxville, MD, 21758

If ISPs are going to be allowed to charge fees for prioritizing and favoring net providers, then they should be taxed at no less than 50% of income. That way, there will be an incentive and govt funds to take care of the little guys and encourage small business entrepreneurs.

1430. Keenan Anderson, Germantown, MD, 20876

Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. It also protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started. In addition, it allows consumers "not big companies" to choose what they watch and do online. I TOTALLY SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY!!

1431. Paul Roberts, Gaithersburg, MD, 20876

Without net neutrality, small businesses will be at an even greater disadvantage when competing against big corporations, and innovation will suffer.

1432. Mark Erpelding, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

Net needs to be kept neutral for small businesses to grow and grow our economy.

1433. Mary Heinrichs, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877

Net neutrality is essential for small start ups to grow in today's internet age. It is simply not the American way to stifle innovation, and getting rid of net neutrality would do just that.

1434. donald k bryan, clarksburg, MD, 20871

The Net must be a free exchange ideas for all parties not just big corporations. By squeezing out the little guy your just squashing individuals and new ideas

1435. Annette Stephens, Frederick, MD, 21701

Freedom is a fundamental tenant of The United States. The internet is now an integral part of our daily lives. Don't let corporations dictate what we access, whom we communicate with and what information we receive. Net neutrality is vital for a free society to remain that way.

1436. David T. Carlyle, keedysville, MD, 21756

ISPs like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon should not be allowed to do all the terrible things (throttling, censoring, pay-per-site, etc) that they will be able to do if Net Neutrality is lost (and they will, if it's lost. They will screw us over at every chance they get). Net Neutrality protects us, and we can't lose it. If you want America to actually be great, you can't let corporations control us. Freedom on the internet should be a right.

1437. Jennifer Vallandingham, Knoxville, MD, 21758
Keep America Land of THE FREE.....to let us choose not big companies
1438. Robert Walsh, North Potomac, MD, 20878
Both my girlfriend and I are creators. Every day, we think about ways that we can help make the world a better place. Having the freedom of the internet helps us to achieve our goals. This involves using Youtube, Netflix, and many other major sites. We support small businesses and the rights to share our views, and the freedom of the internet with everyone on this planet. The cyber world has shifted and changed through out the years. But what has grown stronger is the innovation, love, support, and strength that the internet has given to our people. When people speak about net neutrality being called "first amendment"...we mean it. WE are THE PEOPLE. And we want to keep believing that our say and voices matter. Whatever choice you make, you are shifting and changing history and our world forever. Do whats right in your heart. In what you truly believe in. But with reason and heart of The People in mind. That is your duty to the world and we believe this is what you were put in this universe to do. Please support Net Neutrality.
1439. Matt Long, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Please protect and preserve net neutrality and title II. Keep the internet free and open, and do not allow big corporations/ISPs to dictate how we interact online.
1440. Elizabeth Mills, Rockville, MD, 20855
Internet providers should not be allowed to dictate my internet speed based on their agendas. As a consumer I pay for my internet services and I expect open and unfettered access to those services. As a small business owner I expect net neutrality to work on behalf of ALL businesses not just large businesses which would overshadow the competition.
1441. Royce S. Toby, Germantown, MD, 20874
It is obvious the special interest are the reason we are even having this conversation. Sadly the spirit of all things American takes another blow thanks to the lining of the pockets of the one percent
1442. Blair Learn, Germantown, MD, 20876
The net neutrality rules were created as the result of a careful, thought out process, demanded by the man who is now head of the FCC. Refusing to implement them because he and his corporate overlords don't like them is hypocrisy of the highest order. Free speech should be free for everyone, not just the highest bidder.
1443. Abigail Weiss, Potomac, MD, 20854
Because an open internet breeds innovation and ingenuity. Because we, as Americans, cherish our freedom in all aspects of life.

1444. Rose Rudnick, Hagerstown , MD, 21742
An open internet that is not monopolized or censored should be a right of every user. Please keep it open for all now and for future generations.
1445. Jana Parizer, Rockville, MD, 20853
Please don't give in to the greed of big business and tyranny of the control of thoughts that this Presidential Administration wants to institute. Let people have their thoughts and access to information without control.
1446. Emily Bowen-Croston, Frostburg, MD, 21532
The net needs to be free so the people can have a voice
1447. Melissa Gaudinier, Gaithersburg, MD, 20886
Access to information protects a free and civil society, and access to the internet is a civil right. No company should be allowed to limit access to a free and open web.
1448. Alex Kraus, Cumberland, MD, 21502
Uphold net neutrality. Equal treatment for all internet sites and services. Do not give control to the ISPs. I can't believe I still have to petition my government for this in 2017!
1449. Lulu Brown, Fredrick, MD, 21702
If this proposed legislation proceeds, millions of students across the US will be rendered unable to complete homework and research that requires the free use of the internet. There are already so many obstacles for this up and coming generation- charging for internet services and treating it like cable will simply drive us further back and discourage many from proceeding with school. Also, people utilize these services throughout their daily lives to do so many things vital to their survival, including work, finance organization, and countless others. Net neutrality needs to stay. Listen to the people.
1450. Rebecca Redden, North Potomac, MD, 20878
The internet needs net neutrality. The ability for companies to limit speeds to certain websites or block them all together harms Americans in more ways than it could ever possibly help. There's so much that we would never find if we didn't have net neutrality and it is important to many people that the internet continues to be a place where we can find and learn new things.
1451. Alexander Chase, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Please preserve strong net neutrality and title II. ðŸ–’
1452. Robert Chase, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Please preserve strong net neutrality and Title II laws and stop FCC Chair, Ajit Pai or anyone else from trying to undermine it in any way.

1453. Skylar Hoffman, Rockville, MD, 20853
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. So many of our online communities are dependent on unbiased internet speeds. Platform giants like Facebook and Youtube will be able to hold their own and pay off providers, but what about all the smaller sites we gather at to form community and share our interests? What about the artists who buy their own URLs for the sake of their passion projects, the webcomics and music and videos they create? If Title 2 is rescinded, not only do we give mega-corporations the ability to bulldoze these personal hubs, but we potentially give internet providers the power to stifle-- even silence-- information they dislike. Information about whose bandwidth they're cutting, for example. Removing Title 2 gives these companies far too much power in a nation where they already have accrued more than their fair share. The US has some of the slowest internet speeds in the developed world because our companies have not been forced to compete. We urge you, please don't allow them to become any slower.
1454. Marta Tomlinson, Frederick, MD, 21701
The internet must remain as open, unfettered, and uncensored as public libraries. Libraries will last forever, I hope, but the internet is the modern source of information. Please, please, please, keep it open, fast, and free for all, regardless of economic status.
1455. Betty Dooley, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Keep the net neutrality rules in effect now. Do not give power to the ISPs .
1456. Barbara Carley, Rockville, MD, 20853
I remind you - your salary is now paid by we the people and not Verizon. You must now be a servant of the people's needs since you are now a PUBLIC SERVANT. We need a free and open internet without corporates trying to skim off the top just to increase their already adequate profits.
1457. Jonathan Marten, Germantown, MD, 20874
I would like to remind the FCC, especially Chairman Pai, the FCC works for the American people, not the mega-media corporations. I expect the FCC to do it's duty and protect internet users like me, and keep the net neutrality rules that are already in effect. I'm concerned about ISPs being allowed to control what data and websites I can see. These corporations already have more than enough power. Again, I state it is the FCC's duty and obligation to protect consumers, not corporations.
1458. Matthew Colgan, keedysville, MD, 21756
Please, just.....stop messing with net neutrality. It's not cool. I have never met anyone who was not a professional contrarian who thought doing away with it was a good idea. I would not trust my best friends to control my flow of

information, I certainly do not trust cable companies.

1459. Melody, Hagerstown, MD, 21740

Please do not get rid of Net Neutrality. It gives everyone a voice and lets everyone listen and see. You cannot advocate for Free Speech while trying to get rid of Net Neutrality. Not one consumer would like to finish Net Neutrality. Only rich republicans. I understand you like money, but please think of other people. You are a representation of America. America is supposed to be Free. Is being forced to pay for information Free?

1460. Lee, Hagerstown, MD, 21740

My mother is a single parent raising a child who can barely get by with the money she has. She cannot keep up with bills. So to know that we have to pay MORE just to watch NETFLIX AT A STABLE RATE IS ABSOLUTELY RIDICULOUS. Please start to look at other people's wants before yours. After all, you were CHOSEN BY THE PEOPLE *FOR* THE PEOPLE.

1461. Elizabeth Deanna Morris Lakes, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

Net neutrality is essential to maintaining fair access to information and freedom of speech.

1462. Anna Brock, Frederick, MD, 21701

I am writing to express my concern that the FCC will revoke the current net neutrality protections, which see ISPs classed as "common carriers." This classification reflects both a general understanding of how internet access works and would ensure this medium can remain the crucial, transformative technology it has been for well over two decades. Some have dismissed net neutrality concerns based on the relative novelty of ISPs falling under Title II, claiming that prior to their classification as "common carriers", ISPs had not violated net neutrality. I would like to draw attention to two faults in this claim: Firstly, as technology progresses and ISPs consolidate, they have gained increasing power. It is reasonable to take steps to protect net neutrality, even if that is a regulatory stop gap before -- ideally -- Congress intervenes. Secondly, that ISPs did not misuse their power before being re-classed is simply inaccurate. Examples include Comcast blocking peer-to-peer technology, AT&T blocking Skype, Windstream redirecting search traffic, and Sprint blocking Google Wallet. While many of these examples involve heavyweights, it is easy to see how removing net neutrality protections could quickly turn the internet into an anti-competitive space. Not only that, but most Americans would find the interference of their internet service provider into their search queries or the technologies they used disturbing and foreboding. Constraints on innovation and the capacity for censorship are why I believe we need strong net neutrality protections. Net neutrality does not disadvantage ISPs against direct competitors. Being classed as a common carrier does not impact the ability of

Time Warner to compete with Comcast to provide internet access to a given neighborhood. It would, however, give ISPs a massive advantage when it comes to providing additional services built upon the internet -- one might even argue an unfair advantage. The large number of participants in the July 12th Day of Action supporting net neutrality -- from content creators to major platforms for all kinds of services, not to mention thousands of citizens -- makes clear how far reaching the potential damage is. Stripping ISPs of their common carrier classification opens the doors to a world where entrepreneurs, app developers, artists, and more are kept out of a productive, competitive space because the ISPs are allowed to act as extortionist gatekeepers. It also has the potential to threaten the diversity of voices found online, both by constraining access or by enabling ISPs to slow or outright block certain content being viewed through their service. The internet can be divisive. The rosy visions of the internet as agora do sometimes seem to have soured. But the underlying ideal deserves to be preserved. An open internet invites discussion, innovation, and -- despite its flaws -- can be a democratizing tool: for crowdfunders using Kickstarter, for critics turning blogs into media sites, for comedians rising to prominence via Twitter, for college students building the next great app -- all without requiring massive capital and without needing a network to traditional gatekeepers. Allowing a handful of major ISPs to introduce constraints would hold us all back. Please keep Title II protections, please keep ISPs as "common carriers" (again, in keeping with the common sense of most Americans), please protect net neutrality.

1463. Michelle Browning, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879

It is critically important for the FCC to uphold all existing Title II net neutrality rules. The internet is a free exchange of information, and every citizen should have the right to choose how their personal data is displayed. Corporations will not uphold protections from privacy invasion, and they will sell access to the highest bidder, adversely impacting the people who can least afford to be so discriminated against. While it is of course reasonable for a private citizen to pay for internet service, Chairman Pai's proposal risks my rights as a citizen.

1464. Sylvia Greenberg, North Potomac, MD, 20878

Net Neutrality is vital to free commerce and fair access. Without Net Neutrality ISPs would have too much power over customers and businesses. Americans believe strongly in individual freedoms. Net Neutrality supports those freedoms. ISPs have shown through their words and actions that they will take every opportunity to censor content and give priority access to selected businesses. This is not a form letter. I am a constituent who took the time to communicate with you in an issue I feel is important to the foundation and future of this country. Please maintain and support Net Neutrality. Thank you! Sylvia Greenberg

1465. Michael Mason, Boonsboro, MD, 21713
I truly believe that net neutrality is vital for both the protection of free speech on the internet- the single greatest forum of communication available to mankind- and the prevention of monopolies in the entertainment and, more worryingly, news industries.
1466. Dorothy Martin, Rockville, MD, 20853
Title II allows bureaucrats in Washington to pick winners and losers on the web. The internet is best regulated by light-touch FTC regulation and the market, not heavy-handed FCC rules. I support Chairman Ajit Pai's rollback of Obama's Internet takeover. He is doing the right and just thing!
1467. UTE O'NEAL, POTOMAC, MD, 20854
NET NEUTRALITY, FREE SPEECH, FREE PRESS - ISN'T THAT WHAT OUR COUNTRY IS AND SHOULD BE ALL ABOUT?
1468. Brian Fields, Boyds, MD, 20841
The internet is in its infancy but has already changed the world, giving voice to the voiceless and opening communication between people who never possibly could have talked. Please let the internet continue to grow as it will. Don't let the mega-corporations ISPs control traffic on the internet for profit, because if given the opportunity to do that, they will. Don't end net neutrality.
1469. Georgette Cole, Washington Grove, MD, 20880
I strongly support preservation of net neutrality. It is clear the big internet ISPs have their own financial interests at heart more than the interests of the internet users like myself. I understand their business preferences (increase shareholder value no matter at what cost) but I'd like the FCC to prioritize the consumer in this case. PLEASE!
1470. Solveig Mortensen, Frederick , MD, 21701
Freedom of speech; Freedom of the press is a source of pride for the United States and actually keeps us exceptional; in practical terms--outside of illegal, criminal content, no company, group of people, or individuals should set up barriers or limits to another's access to information!
1471. Louis Fickenscher, Germantown, MD, 20874
The internet's openness has long been what has given it the power to change and grant new opportunities. I think the death of net neutrality will slow the very engines of progress that have made the internet so valuable in the first place. Personally I go to too many places which do not have the money to pay to be on the "fast lane" to think I will be unaffected and I honestly do not believe I will be able to avoid the problem. There are simply too few ISP's available to realistically shop around for someone who does not abuse these policies. It is VERY hard to find more than one or two ISPs serving any given area, often

there is realistically only one which acts as a monopoly for the area. That a single company can define the conversations and business opportunity's of everyone in a given region is horrifying. I have to ask, will this throttling be restricted only to those who cannot pay? Or will it include political parties and opinions which the owner of the ISP does not support? Is there a limit? And how would I prove it was politically as opposed to financially motivated? Especially with the way politics and money seem to mix these days? Even with all these questions lingering, I keep hearing that this move is necessary because video content is such a burden on ISP's. Everything online is sent and received as packet data. Packet data is by definition all the same size. It is disgusting to me that ISP's are using the excuse that some packet data (video) is harder on their infrastructure than other packet data and so they should be able to snoop into those packets (all packets are the same size and indistinguishable on the outside) and charge more based on content. It is equally disgusting that they should be able to restrict the information I can access online or "throttle" the speeds I see that information based on their own interests. I don't know why we are not treating ISP's as utilities. It seems they serve their function the same way gas and electrical utilities do. They have become such a staple that one can not even apply for a job these days without going online. TL:DR The ISP's tell us setting up toll booths on the information superhighway will bring everyone a better future. All the toll booths I have ever been through have only slowed me down.

1472. Terry Fraker, Ijamsville, MD, 21754

Please leave the internet open to one and all without limitations. Let the little guys keep their voice. I want to control what I look at on the Internet.

1473. Julien Libert, Frederick, MD, 21701

Net neutrality is a core principal most Americans would ask you to stand up for. A level playing field, equal access, and freedom are all furthered by this overarching principal. And while you may hear from loud and powerful moneyed interests please consider what most citizens would want for the internet and from you. Thank you.

1474. Mary McCormack, Jefferson, MD, 21755

Net neutrality equals fairness and equality for everyone. I pay for my internet both personally and for my business and no company should have the right to tell me how much I can use my access nor affect my speed and access based on what THEY think I should be doing. I pay for a service I should receive the service period.

1475. Harry Conwell, Hagerstown, MD, 21740

In the 66 years I have been on this planet, I have seen the US become less and less about We the People and more about They the Corporations. In the future

world, fashioned in part by the technology I helped build, the Internet will be one of the main resources by which we can strive for freedom and equality for all people. This can only happen if the Net remains neutral, and free from undue corporate influence.Keep Net Neutrality.

1476. Aaron George, Knoxville, MD, 21758

There's only one choice for an ISP in my area, so if they had the authority to stop me from accessing a website (or throttled my connection), I'd have no real way around it.

1477. Kevin King, Damascus , MD, 20872

Access to the Internet should be like access to electricity: I give my Internet Service Provider money and they give me data. Access to the Internet should turn into the quagmire that is cable/satellite access today.

1478. Mchele Shipp, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877

It is vital that net neutrality must be preserved in order that our fundamental rights can be protected,such as the one stated in our Constitution, the freedom of speech.

1479. S. Richwagen, Knoxville, MD, 21758

I want to be able to start a small business without it being choked by isp's who want more money to be seen. Many of the jobs in the future will come from small business, and it's important to make sure that small business can compete on a level playing field. It's also very important to me to be able to weigh all opinions on an issue, and not just those that the cable company supports, or that can afford to buy a fast lane while others may not be able to. Putting those decisions in the hands of companies that already have too much of a monopoly will not help to maintain net neutrality, and should never be considered. If anything, we need more competition on the open market, and net neutrality plays an important role in that. Please keep the internet weird.

1480. Ed Zolt, Germantown, MD, 20874

Net Neutrality has worked well for everyone. The FCC should leave well enough alone, not conduct an ill-advised experiment in uncertain times. Thank you!

1481. William Carpenter, Adamstown, MD, 21710

In a world where our lives are increasingly laid bare, net neutrality is one of few bastions of freedom left. Do NOT take that freedom away!

1482. Jason Blackburn, Point of Rocks, MD, 21777

Net neutrality is what we want!

1483. Caroline Goell, Frederick, MD, 21701

The web is for everyone. Don't make it yet another way the financially privileged can have a big advantage over the little guy. That kind of thinking is ruining the world.

1484. Rohit S, Germantown , MD, 20874

Net Neutrality ensures that the people have the power to choose what they want to use the internet for, and this should not be governed by big corporations by selectively allowing faster access to some websites over others. The people should have the right to access any website without being curbed by ISP's or slower speeds or higher loading times. Net Neutrality is essential in this regard, and it should be preserved. The people should never be made to pay more for higher speeds or for access to certain websites.

1485. Sarah Musselman, Oakland, MD, 21550

Net neutrality is fundamental in a society that lives a large part of their lives on the internet. Net neutrality represents free speech and free choice, essential in a free society like the United States.

1486. Brandt Miller, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

Allowing ISPs to throttle bandwidth hurts the free market, and most importantly, the public. We should not be allowing corporate interests to supercede those of the American people. They have enough influence (and money) as it is, without needing another helping hand to step on the little guy.

1487. Steven Yenser, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886

The internet is one of the greatest forces for democracy in human history. Eliminating net neutrality would deal a significant blow to the net's democratic nature and, I fear, end the era of innovation and creativity that we've enjoyed over the past 30 years.

1488. George Ryffel, Laytonsville, MD, 20882

Net Neutrality truly is the only way to protect the consumers uninhibited use of the internet. We have already had too many experiences with what the large companies will do - and they are always at the expense of the consumer. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY PLEASE

1489. Howard Finkel, Potomac, MD, 20854

The Internet is the greatest forum for free speech and the free exchange of ideas that the world has ever known. What could be more American? If you love freedom, you will preserve Title II, and keep the Internet free!

1490. Gordon Davison, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886

Net neutrality is important! I want to see what I choose, not whatever is made available (or what I can afford) like on cable TV. This is a free speech issue!

1491. William Todd, Frederick, MD, 21702
The Internet is the equivalent of a public utility. Deregulation of other utilities has resulted in higher prices and poorer service. Companies do not have a divine right to make more money, and that's all this is about. Stand up to them!
1492. Eric Richard, Potomac, MD, 20854
Abandoning internet neutrality places too much power in the hands of a few large companies that are internet service providers. Please support the more democratic principles behind net neutrality.
1493. Jacob Pickwood, Midland , MD, 21532
Internet should be reclassified as a basic human right in the modern era. All humans deserve proper access to uncensored information on the internet. This country did its best to eradicate monopolies a century ago, and somehow they're making a comeback? This does not benefit the people. Net neutrality is NOT for, of, or by the people.
1494. Jeffrey H. Schwartz, Potomac, 20854, MD, 20854
Net neutrality is mandated by the each of the Equal Protection and Due Process Clauses, and by the First Amendment of the US Constitution.
1495. M Clinch, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
I support Net Neutrality and the democratic flow of information and ideas.
1496. Yetunde Mbanefo, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
I strongly oppose Chairman Pai's proposal to reverse net neutrality protections. I believe that a free and open internet is vital for our democracy, for our businesses, and for our daily lives. It would give giant companies the power to prioritize what we read, watch, and explore online, based on what their customers can pay. In my opinion, this reversal may also penalize most individuals and small businesses. I submit my public comment to oppose Chairman Pai's proposal to reverse net neutrality protections.
1497. Michele Reese, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
America wants a free and open internet, not policies that cater to big business. Open Internet Rules! Fees drool.
1498. Linda Smith, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
I depend on Verizon for my Independent Pharmacy Consulting business. It is a small business which I do part-time since I am almost 70 years old and need the money to supplement my Social Security which is also under threat. Being relegated to a slow lane would be disastrous for me.
1499. Jordan Gartenhaus, Rockville, MD, 20850
I am strongly opposed to Ajit Pai's plan to gut Net Neutrality in the United

States (i.e. remove Title II status for internet service providers). The internet is no longer just a luxury, and has instead become just as ubiquitous-- and necessary, as the telephone is. I pay my bills online, communicate with my doctors, send work email, apply for jobs (many job application are internet-only nowadays), Skype with business partners, file taxes, renew my auto registration, etc. While I'm no advocate of unnecessary regulations, when you consider how critical internet access is these days, the government must protect consumers from companies whose sole goal is to increase revenue. Not to mention, consumers already have little choice in their internet providers. When I lived in the suburbs north of San Francisco I had only one broadband provider available to my home. The same is true when I lived outside of Dayton Ohio. If these providers are left unchecked and abuse consumers, well then their customers have no recourse other than downgrading to DSL, which is inadequate in many cases. The free market doesn't work when consumers don't have options. Lastly, I don't want the internet to turn into what the cable companies have done to TV. I no longer subscribe to cable TV because the "packages" that cable companies have created are simply terrible!! I'm asked to pay \$50 for basic cable which include "hundreds of channels", while the majority are either paid advertisements or objectively awful programming that I'm certain no one ever watches willingly. The thought of having to buy "internet packages" a la cable TV is objectively anti-consumer, and indisputably profit-driven. And now that people like me are speaking with our money by not buying cable TV service, ISP's are limiting how much bandwidth I can use to stream media I actually do want to watch. These are not moves made by companies that have their consumers best interest in mind. I urge the FCC and Ajit Pai to do the right thing and to protect consumers by leaving Net Neutrality alone!

1500. Andrew Keeton, Germantown, MD, 20874

I feel like the American people made their feelings on net neutrality very clear the last time all you cronies got together to try these shenanigans. Either you don't pay attention, or you simply do not care about the will of the people. So far, we have been polite. Do not make us angry. You will not like us when we are angry.

1501. Trevor Combs, Frederick, MD, 21701

You're probably endangering your own jobs. Ever think about that?
Sincerely, One Critical Thinker

1502. Domenico Bucci, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

Enforcing net neutrality will ensure economic growth and prevent the stifling of competition online and between internet service providers.

1503. Darla Tagrin, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886

Please preserve Net Neutrality! The internet is the last public forum that isn't

completely dominated by a few large corporations, and many small businesses depend on fair service!

1504. Rashid Makhdoom, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Please preserve the free, full, equal and unconditional availability of net to one and all.
1505. M Tedeschi, Sharpsburg, MD, 21782
In all the times in recent history it is proven that corporate control or influence in public domains result in a broken or tampered system which could, and should have developed on it's own without outside profit driven control. One only needs to look at the power industry as one example of a system promoted and changed for the better but was soon shown to not deliver the promises it was to provide. The cable industry will eventually use this change as a profit gambit no matter what is now said or done. Once the door is opened the corporate mentality is to make profit, that is what they are in business for. Don't let them have this opportunity.
1506. Claire SanNicolas, Germantown, MD, 20876
Please keep net neutrality, which is fair to small businesses, the growth engine of this country.
1507. Mark Malamud, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
As a first-gen web developer I fully appreciate the value of free, unfettered access to the internet. It creates a level playing field where sites rose to the top based on the value of their content. To allow large telecom companies to bias delivery by throttling some and expediting others gives them the power to choose winners and losers. These corporate "king makers" will exact payola for privilege and the consumers -- the TAX PAYING CITIZENS WILL LOSE! The biggest insult to this is that the internet was developed using tax dollars and by government (Defence Dept) employees. In short -- WE PAID FOR IT! A free and open market has made the internet great. Don't ruin it. Thank you, Mark Malamud
1508. Linda Iannuzzi, Germantown, MD, 20874
STAND FIRM on NET NEUTRALITY, or the cable companies will control everything.
1509. Christopher Hovermale, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
An important part of the principles of free speech and access to information is the ability to access and send information without any deliberate tampering against our communications mediums. Net neutrality is absolutely necessary to protect those rights. In this age of information, to demolish net neutrality would be to severely damage the ways of life for millions of people. Please, protect net neutrality. Do not let big companies charge us extra fees, slow down our

internet, and control what we see and do on the Internet. Thank you for your time.

1510. Itz Ditz, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879

It is a useless waste of time to write this letter, especially since I know that it will probably NOT even be read by the GREEDY SOBs who do NOT give a DAMN about anyone else so long as they can SELFISHLY share in the \$\$\$ spoils which will be generated by the carriers who are stealing the Internet from the very taxpayers who paid for its development in the 1970s via DARPA.-- May your souls all rot in HELL after God judges your misdeeds. Even though I realize that I'm just wasting my time writing this letter, the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1511. Brian Judy, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886

Dear Sir/Madam, We need an open Internet so ALL voices can be heard. Thank you

1512. David Bremenstuhl, Gaithersburg, MD, 20882

Net Neutrality is an important element of democracy itself !!! It MUST be preserved without any interference to protect both free speech and the right to dissent !!! I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1513. David Mantel, Potomac, MD, 20854

1514. Thomas Brudenell, Rohrsersville, MD, 21779
Net neutrality is the principle that Internet providers like Comcast & Verizon should not control what we see and do online. In 2015, startups, Internet freedom groups, and 3.7 million commenters won strong net neutrality rules from the US Federal Communication Commission (FCC). The rules prohibit Internet providers from blocking, throttling, and paid prioritization—“fast lanes” for sites that pay, and slow lanes for everyone else. PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY!

1515. Ray Barry, Germantown, MD, 20874
Mega businesses already have too much control over the daily lives of American citizens. We cannot have them controlling our internet so they can

make more money while limiting the open internet we now have. Keep Net Neutrality. Comcast and AT&T and Verizon have gotten rich enough. It is time our government started looking after citizens over campaign donations.

1516. Kathy and Peter, Hagerstown, MD, 21740

Providers should not be allowed to block, or slow/speed depending on material content. We don't need corporations telling me what I can see or what I do with my internet. Why should I or anyone else pay more and have big brother limit my use.

1517. Bruce Hagen, Frederick, MD, 21701

Please get the corporate greed out of the internet and allow the citizens to have some rights before the companies take them away.

1518. Richie Morin, Hagerstown, MD, 21740

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules), including title two classification, are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. -The loss of an open and neutral internet is something I feel we should avoid at near any cost. Please do not let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online! Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank

you!PS. I have taken the time to read over this letter to ensure that I support the contents of it. It is well written and accurately represents my stance on this topic. I have added my own thoughts and changes wording in locations where I felt my wording better.Please do not end title two classification.Richie A Morin~

1519. Mike Stegmaier, Cumberland, MD, 21502

Regards.

1520. Martin Smith, FREDERICK, MD, 21703

You morons think you're acheiving everything you've always dreamed of but what you're doing is digging your own graves. People are finally seeing the Republican Party for who they truly are and they certainly aren't the party of the people they claim to be. We are all paying attention now. See you in 2018.

1521. Jim Mercury, Hagerstown, MD, 21742

I am writing in support of net neutrality. The internet is the most democratic media invention since the printing press. Why sell that out for the benefit of mega-corporations that are already among the wealthiest and most powerful in the world? Is that really the legacy that you want?- Jim Mercury

1522. Lucinda Snow, Germantown, MD, 20874

I don't have monetary data to provide, but some things are more important than money and the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are one of those things. Therefore, I urge you to keep the rules as they currently are.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.If some companies can pay ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. This will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding and also to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II.Thank you!

1523. Jeannette Bartelt, Frederick, MD, 21703

Greed has taken over. When is enough, enough? The rules for Netneutrality should stand as written!

1524. Marian Feldman, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

It is important that net neutrality is maintained. What we see and do online should be private and free.

1525. Laura Frye, Rohrersville, MD, 21779

As they are to many others in our country, the FCC's Open Internet Rules are

extremely important to me and my family. We are urging you to take the necessary steps to protect them. I do not believe ISPs should have the right to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online as this censorship is a critical issue. The steps they want to take will seriously hurt consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the five most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1526. E Williams, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879

The internet is an unmatched means of communication, learning, entertainment, therapy, productivity, and creativity. It is perhaps the single greatest equalizing factor in the world today; It allows anyone the chance to find anything they have an interest in; It allows people and companies to do commerce; It allows people from all corners of the globe to communicate and learn from one another; It helps SAVE LIVES on a daily basis. If some conglomerates could prioritize their traffic, or if some well-funded websites could get "fast lane" priority over others, it would erode that equality. When I sign up for an internet service, I EXPECT that any website I visit and any data I access to be delivered with the HIGHEST QUALITY and SPEED they can offer, REGARDLESS of where I choose to load my bits and bytes. Unfortunately, many Americans only have one viable high speed internet provider in their area, so they can't simply pick another ISP without a tremendous quality loss. The internet IS a NECESSITY for everyone in this technological, interconnected world. It MUST be delivered WITHOUT PREJUDICE, for any reason. It should be governed as a utility that is INTEGRAL to how we spend our daily lives, and I STRONGLY OPPOSE ANY ATTEMPTS TO ROLL BACK OR WEAKEN current Net

Neutrality rules regarding an open internet. I write today to DEMAND we keep providers labeled as Title II Common Carriers.

1527. Jason Hall, Maugansville, MD, 21767
Keep Internet Free and Even. How else would we have Trump wrestling CNN memes?
1528. Rob Krebs, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877
Hey an open and freely communicating internet is a well-spring source of democracy in word and action. The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) need protection!NO! ISPs should not have power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.ISP's don't let this grow.Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!
1529. Hammad Shah, Boyds, MD, 20841
plz
1530. Kathy Condor, LaVale, MD, 21502
Please remember, you are at the FCC to serve WE THE PEOPLE. I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality rules and keep Title II in place for broadband internet access.

1531. John Swerda, Brunswick, MD, 21716
I choose freedom!
1532. Ray , Boyds, MD, 20841
I'm a network developer and I chose this career because I believe in all people having access to the vast knowledge opportunity that is out there. It shouldn't be censored in anyway, by the government or by ISPs.
1533. Andreiev Kalupniek, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Companies should not be allowed to throttle speeds, making their services more preferable and corner markets on the internet.
1534. Mary Miller, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
The internet is essential to everything we do. As a member of "generation Z", I've grown up online, and I can't imagine daily life without open internet.
1535. Sebastian Chang, Germantown, MD, 20874
I need the free internet
1536. Traci Bonitto, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
Freedom to many choices
1537. Patrick Femrite, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
Without the open internet and freedom of communications I would practically be dead right now. I have met a large amount of my friends on the internet through the openness and without them, I would have killed myself. The open internet has saved me from suicide so please, preserve Net Neutrality and Title II for the sake of me and the entirety of the internet entertainers, entrepreneurs, and workers. We have a life on the internet that we need the freedom to go on.
1538. Samantha Stanford, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
I do not believe there should be gatekeepers on internet activity. I love Reddit, and all that I am able to express freely on that platform. To take this away is to redact my free speech, and ability to express myself. This is why net neutrality is important to me.
1539. Josh Lee, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
The internet was made to be a place of intellectual gathering. To limit it would be the same as limiting intellectual freedom, and discussion.
1540. S Spacek, Germantown, MD, 20876
Very un-American to mess with the Internet as it is!
1541. Rafiq Taylor, Germantown, MD, 20874
Because I am a person with a voice and that isn't quantifiable.

1542. George Valencia, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
An open and free internet is what I want, not a internet controlled by corporations.
1543. Charles Marye, germantown, MD, 20874
I love the free and open internet. Make no changes that impact things negatively.
1544. Jill Lee, Germantown, MD, 20874
I like hearing and watching artists from Asia and Africa. Since they aren't U.S. mainstream I will lose them if we lose net neutrality. Please don't take these talented musicians and storytellers away from me. I have so little in my life.
1545. Anna Wollan, Cumberland, MD, 21502
The Internet has become a major part of our culture as human beings and the notion that ISPs might be able to limit what sites I can access unless I pay them more is utterly sickening. A lot of us are cash strapped as is, and I'd rather not be limited even more by someone else's greed. Net Neutrality is essential.
1546. Alec Chapman, Cumberland, MD, 21502
It seems like the Internet is going to contain most of the future, so being able to browse it freely is vital.
1547. Isabelle , Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
So I can talk to lon. Distant friends and spread joy to everyone who happens to find me.
1548. Breanna Hardesty, Germantown, MD, 20874
Without free access to the internet progress in America comes to a halt. People wouldn't be able to work, learn, or live without the internet being neutral. Middle class is the life blood of America, making it so that only the rich can have access to the entirety of the internet is cruel and inhumane.
1549. Sadie West, Germantown, MD, 20874
No one should have to pay to get access to public things
1550. Lidia Bower, Germantown, MD, 20874
This is a direct infringement on our freedom of speech. Allowing a select group of people to determine who's voices are the loudest, what sites we may have access to with ease, and how people may earn their living does nothing but hurt the American people. The internet will no longer be the religious/political/opinion neutral place that has allowed for the growth of innovation. Instead Americans will continue to be spoonfed their ideals through big business and government. I don't want communist wifi.

1551. Sarah Hoover, Williamsport, MD, 21795
It is important because it is an area that I am able to express my self freely in the areas of interests whether it be writing, books or movies. It is an area that I and millions of others are able to research and learn new and exciting things. It's a place where I am able to keep up with my friends and family. As well as keeping update to date with my favorite celebrities, movies, authors and everything else. It's where I am able to keep up with current events without having to sit through commercials and information that is not important to myself.
1552. Charlie Caraher, Point of Rocks, MD, 21777
My business depends on it
1553. Shania Heerd, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
Net neutrality is important for big business corporations just as much as it is for authors and artists. While some businesses may thrive off of this at first, others will take damage from their sites losing use, and those businesses will be the partners and the keys to success for the very people that wanted to get rid of net neutrality for their own benefit, and it would eventually damage them as well. This is the opposite of people who steal from corporations under the false belief that it will not affect the workers being paid minimum wage; this is the wealthy thinking they can profit more off of those who use the internet for smaller businesses and who need it for whatever purpose and not thinking it could hurt them.
1554. Korben Dennis, Germantown, MD, 20874
As a student and content creator, fair access and distribution of information is what enriches and sustains both myself and the world as a series of invaluable interconnected communities.
1555. Uzoma Uwanamodo, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
They wouldn't be trying to privatize the internet if it wasn't
1556. Katrina Allen, Rawlings, MD, 21557
My job is through the internet. This will destroy my income.
1557. Angel Hurley, Boonsboro, MD, 21713
Net Neutrality is important to me because I am working to become a freelance artist, my education is also online. Pretty much everything I do revolves around the internet. I couldn't afford to lose Net Neutrality. Losing Net Neutrality would hurt my very existence and how I function in today's society. I feel like destroying Net Neutrality is like destroying my freedom of speech.
1558. Jesse , Frostburg, MD, 21532
The internet is a place where people with no money or influence can be heard.

By destroying net neutrality, you destroy those voices. To suppress the voice of the individuals is to destroy the best ideal this country was founded on. We left England because we had no say. That may have been over 100 years ago, but we will not have put voices suppressed again.

1559. Donovan Bennett, Germantown, MD, 20874

The Internet should not have barriers to be accessed at a premium rate.

1560. Virginia Dunkley, Brunswick, MD, 21716

We need to keep Net Neutrality because small businesses will suffer without it. If their customers can't access their websites, they'll lose business, therefore negatively impacting the economy. Repealing net neutrality is also a form of restricting the freedom of US citizens by forcing those who can't afford to pay to be restricted to the sites that your internet provider WANTS you to use. If we can't have the freedom to access sites without paying more, then why claim to be the United States and scream "freedom" when you keep taking our freedoms away?

1561. Melody Shockey, Hagerstown, MD, 21740

Net Neutrality allows me to access important information, at relatively fast speeds, with no restrictions. Net Neutrality allows me to play games. Net Neutrality lets me access cute animal videos whenever. But, should Net Neutrality be slashed, many people's lives will drastically change. Rights will be cut. False information will be more easily spread should providers deem it "correct". Money is already a big barrier in our society. If Net Neutrality is to disappear, the poor will be even more disadvantaged in this society.

1562. Quinn Marsh, Frederick, MD, 21701

Net Neutrality is necessary to uphold the ideal of equal opportunity for all. Allowing ISPs to control what their customers see is the first step toward an oligarchy.

1563. Celeste Haskett, Washington Grove, MD, 20880

Allowing internet providers to pick and choose web sites to slow/shut down and demand more money from sites or users to get their regular speeds is ridiculous. We have this amazing technology that has afforded people so many new opportunities and it's going to be choked out to put more money in the pockets of the already wealthy. Cable and internet providers already have monopolies in much of the country, this just makes matters worse and we won't stand for it.

1564. Emily Pearce, Boyds, MD, 20841

I am a highschool student. If people had to pay more for faster internet, my learning could be stunted, and school's may not be able to afford faster internet for better learning. It's vital that Net Neutrality stays for people like me, and for people who use the internet to promote their business.

1565. Nathaniel Mortality, Flintstone, MD, 21530
Leave net neutrality alone! People shouldn't have to pay for more to access websites.
1566. Ifeyinwa Njelita, Germantown, MD, 20874
I cannot afford to pay for this. The internet is not Cable Television. You cannot hurt the working class for a quick buck when Comcast, Verizon, Etc. are already multibillion dollar industries. This an act of pure malicious greed. Please don't do this.
1567. Wendy , Accident, MD, 21520
The internet has become a very large and important part of our culture, the fact that ISPs might start to control what i can acces or not is sickening.
1568. Betsy Frohlilch, Poolesville, MD, 20837
1569. Kevin Liu, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I support net neutrality, and I am calling upon all of the representatives in Congress to see that freedom is at stake here. Ending net neutrality is a strike against freedom, the very deal that the great United States of America was established upon. I hope that partisanship can be overcome here by realizing that net neutrality affects everyone, not just the poor or minorities. Everyone has a stake in this, so let's not end it on a whim. I am against the ending of net neutrality.
1570. Sara , Hagerstown, MD, 21740
Net Neutrality gives starers and small businesses a chance against big companies that have the money to pay for faster sites. Without neutrality smaller businesses and starters would not have a chance against the other companies.
1571. Brandon , Hagerstown, MD, 21740
"The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized."- The Fourth Amendment of the ConstitutionJust because technology has advanced our society, this does not mean we can use it against the people that elect these people into office as well as keeping these cable companies in business. This is the land of the free, and this action would infringe against my fourth amendment right and that should not be tolerated whatsoever.
1572. Han Tran, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
Cable companies want more money? My parents are immigrants who came to

America to give me a better life. With better education and more opportunities for me, my parents had none. They had poor education and knew no English when they came to America. They relied on my aunt, which was how they came here. But shortly after a couple months she kicked us out. I was 5. My parents had no job and no money. For a portion of my childhood, we lived in someone's garage. Once they got a minimum paying job, I went to school. Now 12 years later they still work at that job. We live in an apartment and they make just a couple hundred over minimum wage, so they have no benefits some people receive. With all that sob story, it breaks my heart to see that something that helps my parents contact their family, and something that helps them relax after a long day of work is going to cost more now. The research I need to do, will cost more. I'll have to see my parents less and less since they would have to work more to provide for me. Everywhere else internet is cheap and fast. I don't understand why American companies what to steal more than we can afford.

1573. Glenn Wallace, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886

This world is getting too bogged down with bureaucracy. Can't we just have a peaceful time while we're all here???

1574. John Pontius, Germantown, MD, 20874

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) have been very important to me and my employment. I fear that revoking Title II status will have severe and wide-ranging negative effects on our culture and freedoms. At a certain point it will be virtually impossible to compete with large corporations in the online arena.

1575. Ross Adelson, Potomac, MD, 20854

I strongly urge you to support net neutrality and protect consumers of the Internet from corporate interests. Support for "fast lanes" is tantamount to selling out the American people.

1576. William Wingfield, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879

We need an open internet. It should be fair to all. Mandate that the internet be considered a common utility and treat it as though it were telephone lines. ensure any one can call anyone else fairly.

1577. Jennifer Reynolds, Knoxville, MD, 21758

Why do we need to keep telling you how important this is? Preserve The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules)!! I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game

League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

1578. Ashly Bingham, Frederick, MD, 21701

I am in favor of strong net neutrality under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. That really should be enough but in case its not. As an Internet user and someone active in politics, I think it is wrong, and a breach of citizens right to information to reduce or eliminate net neutrality. All citizens have a right to information, and that information should be accessible by the public.

1579. Bryan Matthews, Frederick, MD, 21701

Repealing net neutrality laws is an assault on American freedoms. The people do not want this to be repealed, and it should not have become an issue yet again. Listen to the will of the people. That is your job.

1580. Walter Houser, Potomac, MD, 20854

ISPs should not have the power to play favorites with content, block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.There is little competition among ISPs. Given the nature of the last mile challenge, ISPs have staked out their territory and have thwarted entry of competitors. ISPs have exercised their market power. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and

businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Large companies can pay ISPs to give their content advantages such as loading faster. Small and medium size firms, startups and small businesses won't be able to compete because they can't pay those fees. Removing these rules will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America. Removing these rules will only enrich a few cable giants who are famous for high prices and terrible customer service. Under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay to have their voices heard. I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Thank you, Walt Houser
Walter Houser

1581. John Lee, Ijamsville, MD, 21754

This is not spam, this is an incensed American citizen letting you know that I am in favor of total net neutrality under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. Your commissioner is nothing short of a corporate mouthpiece who spins the truth. I'd like to know how Mr. Pai sleeps at night after spending his days killing internet access for veterans, homeless, impoverished, and disabled Americans. Mr. Pai, I believe in civil discourse, but I must confess it is extremely difficult for me to maintain a civil tone in light of your proposals. I've heard you speak on the radio, on NPR, and through other media and every argument you have ever advanced for revising net neutrality has hinged on deliberate misrepresentations of the truth. Your upcoming plan to reduce the "digital divide" is nothing short of taxpayer theft. I know you can't possibly believe that handing billions of dollars in subsidies to private telecommunications firms will help improve access to broadband in impoverished and rural areas. We have gone down this road before--corporate welfare is exactly what has created this digital divide. Handing money to fortune 500 companies with no strings attached is insanity. We will never see a modern high-speed broadband network in the US so long as these companies are not regulated to provide equal service and equal access. You are willfully pledging to pour gasoline onto the fire. You are either a completely blind, uninformed imbecile, or a gifted liar and corporate shill. Despite my personal opinion of you, I hope that you reconsider your own self-worth. I hope you can appreciate the opportunity you have to do important, meaningful work FOR the American people.

1582. AARON Barney, Oldtown, MD, 21555

We have copper wires system that Verizon said they are no longer serving and the only reason we still have internet still is because we are grandfathered as long as we never don't get rid of it

1583. Michael Parsons, Derwood, MD, 20855
Hello,I believe that the Title II net neutrality rules put into effect by the Obama administration are of paramount importance to our (the general public's) use of the internet. Any change in policy that allows ISPs to throttle or censor data at their discretion is unfair and un-American. Please do not enact Chairman Ajit Pai's proposed changes to the existing net neutrality legislation.Thank youMichael Parsons
1584. Corey Hillegass, Cumberland, MD, 21502
Dear Senators and Representatives:Please support any amendment that would strike out Sections 628, 629 and 630 from the Government Appropriations. These sections would undermine the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and prevent the agency from enforcing these critical protections. It is unacceptable to use a budget bill to circumvent the FCC's open rulemaking process that millions of citizens participated in.The American people have called for strong net neutrality rules. Congress should let the FCC do its job, and not engage in unproductive partisan brinksmanship.Thank you,Corey HillegassCorey Hillegass
1585. Rei Suzuki, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I am writing to indicate my support for Net Neutrality. Information is vital in our technical (and everyday) world. The internet is one of the grand enablers in the sharing of that information and will be a huge driver of economic and intellectual development in the future (it already has been as well). Since its investment in DarpaNet, the government has been an integral part of guiding the development of a free and open internet and I believe has reaped many benefits from that investment. I am sure that continuing that investment by support Net Neutrality will be more beneficial than the short term and short sighted benefits of removing those protections. As someone who works in the robotics industry, I can easily foresee how curtailing internet protections will throttle innovation in the tech sector (particularly in my own little corner). I could enumerate all the dystopian possibilities but I hope that it is sufficient to simply indicate my stance on this subject and hope that the FCC will attend to the public that it was created to serve.
1586. Thomas Loggie, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
Do not take away net neutrality. I know that the big companys that D Trump and his cronies support will make more money if you take away out internet rights, but try to uphold the oath you took.
1587. Kateri Taylor, Smithsburg, MD, 21783
I am very disturbed by the FCC's attempts to stall the release of documents concerning the alleged cyberattack on their servers. The FCC must comply with the Freedom of Information Act and maintain complete transparency on this

issue.

1588. Melissa Hajjar, Gaithersburg, MD, 20882
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. The internet should be fair game for all. Please focus forward and not backward. Thank you.
1589. Russell Allen, Fredrick, MD, 21702
The Internet should be neutral!
1590. Cynthia Griffin, Smithsburg, MD, 21783
It is vital we keep the internet free and accessible to all. Please keep net neutrality! Please keep the free flow of information to all of America.
1591. David Clark, Clear Spring, MD, 21722
Users of the Internet need Net Neutrality to keep it viable as the highway for Social Media as well as Commerce. It also protects our Democracy. Thank you.
1592. Justin Sternberg, Potomac, MD, 20854
Keep Title 2 Classification on net neutrality. It is an important part of our society, our economy, and our freedom. The loss of net neutrality would be one of the worst decisions made under the FCC, and affect the majority negatively only to benefit ISPs. Keep net neutrality rules how they are.
1593. Christopher Kloppinger, Gaithersburg, MD, 20886
I strongly support continued Net Neutrality protections as currently provided by the Title II classification of Internet Service Provision. Open, unfettered access to all of the internet without provider bandwidth and access manipulations is crucial to the continued economic and social growth of our country, and any alterations to this has the potential for far greater negative consequences in the future than the FCC has the ability to account for at present, in addition to the obvious harm they would affect on consumers, startups and SMB's. Such changes would also specifically ignore the wording and spirit of the law: Internet Access under Title II is classified as a 'Telecommunications Service', with 'Telecommunications' being defined as the transmission of the content between two points of the users' choosing without change. That is exactly what Internet Access is. To define it as an 'Information Service' simply based on the fact of the services an end-user may choose to access by making use of their Internet Access is willful obfuscation: The ISP solely provides access to the internet, not the internet itself; it would be akin to defining telephone service as a Social Networking Service, based on the potential social interactions it may provide. Just as a Telephone Service provider is unrelated to the people one may use it to contact, an Internet Service Provider is not meaningfully related to the sites and services one may use it to connect to. If the ISP chooses to also provide online services in addition to Internet Access, that is entirely secondary to the core service provided, which is simply point-to-point data transfer - much

like a phone call. And like a phone service has no right to alter your call quality and priority based on whom you're calling, so should ISPs remain prohibited from altering bandwidth allocation and packet priority based on source or destination (with the exception of medical emergencies and hospitals, which is already done under present law). I attend strongly against the current FCC's crusade against net neutrality, which serves solely as a form of corporate appeasement, at great cost to everyone else. The foremost criteria by which the FCC's regulations and actions are to be judged is by how they benefit the population at large, and it is clear that its current agenda can only serve to harm.

1594. Tony Dwyer, Derwood, MD, 20855

I oppose any action by the FCC to redefine Internet transport and access services as something other than a Telecommunications service. In recent years the Internet has become increasingly important to both commercial and personal communications, and allowing that service to be constrained by the users with the deepest pockets would be contrary to the public interest.

1595. Matthew McCanty, Derwood, MD, 20855

Please. Just keep Net Neutrality alive.

1596. Andrew Ford, Frederick, MD, 21702

The elimination of title 2 protections would cause great harm to the potential future of the internet. We need not to only protect the established well funded companies, but to protect future internet companies.

1597. Melanie Galloway, Ijamsville, MD, 21754

I would like the FCC to keep ISPs and/or broadband providers classified as common carriers under Title II and to keep the strong legal foundation currently in place to keep net neutrality protection for equal access to the internet by all.

1598. Tim Trischuk, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

Net Neutrality is important because the commoditization of bandwidth is what will drive innovation, instead of handing the ISP free rein to charge high prices for preferred service. All internet traffic should be treated equally, lets not create yet another have/have not market where only the deepest pockets get properly served. Internet should be a guarantee to everyone, or it is not the instrument of freedom it was meant to be.

1599. Cynthia West, Gaithersburg, MD, 20886

The greed culture that runs this country is beyond out of control. Keep greedy hands off the internet. The worldwide web should be free and open territory for everyone. This is in the best interest of the greatest number of people, not the profits of a few.

1600. Joseph Livingston, Brunswick, MD, 21716

Equal access to digital content is crucial to my job, I will probably lose it if net neutrality is abolished.

1601. P. Di Bona, Rockville, MD, 20853

It is not the FCC's right to limit free speech. For FCC to censure free speech is another of Big Government's overreach and a slippery slope into Socialism and Communism.

1602. James Champ, Frostburg , MD, 21532

How can the head of a government agency allow companies to strip Americans of their right to free speech and not be completely ashamed of himself?

1603. Jerry Bergdahl, Cumberland, MD, 21502

The big cable companies have already stifled competition in this country. It's time for the FCC to stand up for the people it represents.

1604. Nelson Pais, Boyds, MD, 20841

I do not want the hassle of not being able to get the service from an internet provider after shelling out a lot of money.

1605. Ann Ramey-Smith, Gaithersburg , MD, 20879

Net neutrality is a requirement of an open society. The intrusion into citizens' social media accounts, and tracking sites visited, is not something the government should be doing. Likewise, big business should not be able to decide what web sites we see first. There's enough interference in information dissemination through fake news and hateful talk shows. I do not trust the government or big business to do the right thing.

1606. ian patrick harding, Frederick, MD, 21702

This is unAmerican!

1607. Sam Williams, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

I believe that passing this proposal is a blatant disregard to freedom and democracy. By the sheer number of people in open opposition to the restore internet freedom act alone, it is clear that this proposal is in direct contradiction of the publics wishes. The abolition of net neutrality will be beneficial to no one other than large ISPs. The Chairman who introduced this plan was previously a lawyer for VERIZON! This is a blatant infiltration of our government by corporate interests and if this act passes, we may loose the ability to speak freely on the internet in opposition of issues like these. I urge you; do not let corporations control the free and open internet as this proposal clearly will.

1608. Mark DePompa, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886

Net neutrality is anything but. Keep the internet free from conglomeration. I urge you to abandon net neutrality rules.~ Average American who never

colluded with Russia and we know Ajit Pai is a Russian plant just like Trump.
Stop him!

1609. Charles Upton, Potomac, MD, 20854
Net Neutrality should be enshrined in law, not revoked! The country is watching, and while we are divided, we are not divided on this.
1610. Robert Galloway, adamstown, MD, 21710
Leave net neutrality in tact. Neutrality has spurred innovation by removing these protections you setup online censorship.
1611. elizabeth mcLeod, Montgomery village, MD, 20886
Please keep net neutrality. The internet should be free open and accessible to everyone.
1612. Dan Higdon, Frostburg, MD, 21532
Do NOT get rid of Net Neutrality! This would be disastrous for my privacy and the rest of the country.
1613. J Stone, Cumberland, MD, 21502
Supporting net neutrality is critical for rural, underserved communities. Our schools and libraries are lacking, and a major way for kids to advance is through on-line educational opportunities. Net neutrality is essential.
1614. christopher powers, Cumberland, MD, 21502
Please do not end the Internet as we know it. It is a beautiful creature that cannot be tamed. Why do you want to put a dollar sign on everything?
1615. John Rudd, Frostburg, MD, 21532
Net neutrality is crucial to the United States being competitive online. As we allow restrictions, we limit the information available as well as the free market.
1616. Patrick Dattilio, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
I currently have one reasonable choice for internet access, which I depend on for my employment. By removing the protections and oversight of the FCC not only would you destroy Net Neutrality as we have always known it, but you are endangering my livelihood. Please do the right thing, classify ISP's under Title II, DO NOT ROLL BACK FCC oversight and the recently added rules to protect the internet.
1617. Tamir Orbach, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
The current Net Neutrality law affects me very positively, and reversing it would impact me very negatively. I work from home frequently in the DC Metro area, where telecommuting is critical due to traffic. Allowing telecommunication companies such as Verizon FIOS and Comcast XFINITY to

decide what traffic they can slow down and what they cannot would mean that if they decided to slow down communication from any security news (I'm in Cyber-security), I could potentially no longer work from home, increasing my average work day by 2.5 hours. In addition, I use cloud services significantly for storage and transactions related to my business. Should these providers be empowered to limit the speed of content from my cloud provider, that would put my work at peril. Finally, at a personal level, my entire family does not watch regular TV, nor are we interested in watching TV. Instead we prefer on-demand streaming services such as Netflix and Hulu. We no longer have cable TV. Since the only two providers available where I live, Verizon FIOS, and Comcast XFINITY provide both cable TV and Internet, they could, and based on what I've seen already, would do everything in their power to force me to purchase cable TV rather than use streaming providers. In the last few years, prior to the network neutrality laws passing in 2015, both Verizon and Comcast wouldn't offer a high enough speed of Internet to use streaming services, unless it was bundled with a Cable TV plan. Since 2015, they've provided options for high speed internet only, but even then, they provide significantly slower service than advertised. So if I really want to stream at reasonable speeds and quality I've had to purchase the \$108/month 150Mbps down/150 Mbps up plan to get at best 60Mbps down and 50Mbps down. I initially tried their cheaper plan which was 20Mbps down and up, but both Comcast and Verizon we're not close to meeting those speeds, and any time I tried to watch a streaming services, quality was degraded, and I constantly had to wait while content was downloaded, making using these services very unpleasant. If this is what they are doing with the net neutrality laws in place, imagine what they would do without them? Why would they not price gouge their customer base if they can easily slow down anything that competes with their Cable TV offerings to a point where it's unusable? They both know that we only have the 2 of them as options, and that neither is cheap. So there is no motivation for them not to make the maximum profit for their shareholders but slowing down competing streaming services. Please keep Net Neutrality laws in place as they are today. The alternative would cost me and millions of others a huge amount of money and daily inconvenience.

1618. David Ford, Frederick, MD, 21701

I'm writing to you today in support of net neutrality, and in opposition to the rollback of the classification of internet providers as common carriers. Rolling back these consumer protections sets a dangerous precedent and directly opens the door to a fracturing of the internet. Like so many, I rely on many different types of internet services daily at home and at work. As a web developer, I work in a team to help get information to all manner of individuals. Internet traffic must be treated equally to ensure healthy growth of our society.

1619. Carolyn Terry, Hagerstown, MD, 21742

I do not approve of having the internet regulated by my government! We are losing our rights at an exponential pace lately and an unregulated internet cannot be one of them. We must have net neutrality!

1620. James Ford, Gaithersburg, MD, 20877

I stand with the millions of people who advocate for a free and open internet. Rolling back the regulation will have a negative impact on the millions of people in the country, many of which do not have the ability or capability to fight for personal privacy and get the education they need. It is up to the government to fight for the rights of the common man and to ensure companies compete on an even playing field where possible. Internet has become more of a necessity than a need and while the public agrees that those with more money can fly first class they do not agree that those with more money can get organs first, there is always a line, and I believe the internet is across the line.

1621. Marco Maertens, Potomac, MD, 20854

I am concerned about the FCC's plans to reverse the Title II Order with regard to broadband internet. A few years ago, I started a business to provide web accessibility services to help organizations make their websites, web applications, and content available to people with disabilities. I set up a website, some e-mail addresses, and a Skype number. I was able to promote my services via the various providers on the internet and potential customers were able to find me and do business with me primarily through the intermediary of the internet, the web, delivered via broadband. As a small operation, I could compete with much better established companies, because there was a level playing field. I am very concerned about what would happen in the future if the better established companies could make deals with ISPs to deprioritize -- or outright block -- traffic from my site in favor of their offerings. Broadband worked for me to allow me access to the services I used: a hosting provider to set up my site, an e-mail provider, Skype for voice calls, various search engines to make my content findable, not to mention a bevy of other web-based services to edit my content, obtain images. And potential customers would use broadband to access the resulting promotion, and even my own services. Broadband ISPs simply provide a reliable high-speed way to connect to these services offered by third parties and use them. I use broadband in my professional and personal activities for that access and nothing else. Broadband companies, whether wired or mobile serve to connect my device (laptop computer, mobile phone, tablet, video streaming device, etc.) to the particular third-party service, and as such feels exactly like telecommunication service. It provides the conduit from my devices to the services I seek. Whatever other services ISPs might offer (e-mail, cloud storage, web hosting, search engine, content portals, etc.) are irrelevant to me and are at best distractions for the actual service provided: internet access. I do not consider them part of what I am signing up for. When picking an ISP I am only interested in the terms of

access (price, speeds for upload and download, reliability of service). Which leads me to the concern about competition. Where I have lived, in large metropolitan areas, I have typically had a choice of two ISPs. And aside from the low number of options (two of them), there is little to call it choice. Their prices are similar for comparable service, and their customer service is equally miserable. When I have switched providers in the past because of unacceptable customer service, switching has not been frictionless. I have had to buy different hardware, set up installation times, take time off work to wait for the installation, pay installation/activation/startup/initiation fees, etc. In many cases, when I have been frustrated to consider switching I have just resigned myself because of the lack of options and the costs (in time, money, and otherwise) of doing so. This is not a situation worthy of being called competition, and therefore the market cannot be relied on to rein in abuses.

1622. Sten Olsson, Frederick, MD, 21703

The American people have called for strong net neutrality rules. the FCC needs to do its job, and not engage in unproductive partisan brinksmanship. Please support net neutrality.

1623. Charles McFeaters, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

I do not support the "Restoring Internet Freedom" act due to the lack of evidence that it would provide an economic boost to an already growing industry.

1624. Kayla Wood, Hancock, MD, 21750

Net neutrality is, and should be, an important principle that should not be removed simply for corporate greed. In an age where technology is rapidly advancing and being updated to the modern world, most everything is on the internet. People use it everywhere and for everything: from school, to their jobs, social, to entertainment. The removal of net neutrality acts would be to place yet another burden on the lower class, on business, on education. It would become another senseless expense for something we often have to use in our daily lives. The way things currently are works well because it is an equal playing field. To impose higher fees for something people have already had is an almost discriminatory move and unacceptable. Thank you.

1625. Jonathan Wood, Hancock, MD, 21750

The idea that removing net neutrality will make things better is a completely false argument made by those who want it removed for their own personal gain. However, dividing internet traffic will be an extreme hindrance in the lives of the average citizens. Public information can only be useful if all is equal. Otherwise doing simple tasks could become burdensome and difficult to complete. The lack of Title II will also cause some people to be excluded from many things as fees will eventually be too much.

1626. Randy Showalter, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
I am writing to express my objection of the proposed changes to Net Neutrality. Currently, I have no real options in my area for an internet service provider.. I only have one. and it is a terribly slow connection, where streaming simple videos is even a problem. Allowing ISP's to decide what and who they want to give priority to is a problem for me... I HAVE NO CHOICE AND I HAVE NO SAY in their decision. It is an obvious plan by ISP's to extract more money out of the common person...All information on the internet must be kept neutral, when one company can determine what information people can see and view, that spells disaster for freedom. Large companies have proven time and time again that they cannot police themselves. Removing net neutrality restrictions will only hurt the "average person", while making the rich and powerful richer and more powerful.
1627. terry shen, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
I oppose the plan to roll back net neutrality. Access to internet should not be constrained by business interests. It is like any critical resources that needs safeguarding to ensure the benefit go to the mass not the few.
1628. Kathryn Ryberg, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
No fast lanes and slow lanes for the internet. Preserve net neutrality!
1629. Brandon Goldstein, North Potomac, MD, 20878
Net neutrality is important! Don't fuck over the average majority for the sake of rich companies getting richer.
1630. Walter Einhorn, Gaithersburg, MD, 20855
The FCC has a duty to protect net neutrality and treat the internet as a utility. In this day and age internet has basically become a necessity and the price gouging from companies like Comcast and Verizon are outrageous. It is obvious they have no intention to increase bandwidth or internet speeds without charging exorbitant prices. The only solution is to create restriction around the internet and how its delivered to the people - things like keeping it classified as title II.
1631. Uday Ananth, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886
I think it is important to preserve the Title II regulation and treat the internet like a utility. It is absolutely ridiculous that ISPs being allowed to throttle traffic will reduce the prices of the internet and provide better services. Net Neutrality is what enable small internet companies to thrive in the US and disabling such infrastructure is short sighted and naive. I don't subscribe to the idea that Mr.Ajit Pai is professing; and suggest he really sit down with people who actually deploy services and understand what regulations are slowing the deployment of high speed fiber throughout the country.
1632. Chris Bornmann, Germantown, MD, 20874

I believe that "Net Neutrality" is bad for consumers. Telecommunication providers are utilities that provide information to citizen's homes. Allowing them discretion over how to treat that information is tantamount to giving them authority over what sources of information we realistically have access too. This is bad policy and benefits nobody but the providers. We need to treat them as utilities, and ensure a level playing field for all sources of information on the Internet.

1633. Lyndi Scott, Frederick, MD, 21701

The removal of net neutrality is contrary to America's fundamental belief in the freedom of speech. If I cannot access certain websites because I am throttled or I cannot afford the payments required for those sites, then I lose my ability to express myself in the way I do today. It is the government's and the people's responsibility to legalize whatever is necessary to maintain open avenues of expression and communication. Reducing or ending net neutrality will also have a large effect on the exchange of knowledge and lessen how much information people can access. If I have to stop googling important facts because I'm charged 25 cents for every search, how are we promoting the spread of knowledge and information? We are not, we are putting a price tag on knowledge, which will hurt us in the end.

1634. Jeffrey Rosolio, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

I very strongly want to maintain Net Neutrality

1635. Alexander Johnson, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878

Equal access to the internet needs to be protected as both a utility and as a human right. The internet is a collection of all human knowledge AND all human expression. Access to it is potentially one of the most important things in modern times.

1636. Robert Compton Graham, Poolesville, MD, 20837

Please do not allow throttling or fast lanes on the internet. This is a basic utility like electricity and should be treated as such.

1637. Kay Helgesen, Derwood, MD, 20855

Cable companies are famous for high prices and poor service. My internet provider, Comcast, ranks as one of the most hated companies in America. If Comcast gets its way and ends net neutrality, they'll be able to bully any site into paying millions to escape the "slow lane." This would amount to a tax on every sector of the American economy. Every site would cost more, since they'd all have to pay big cable. It would extinguish the startups and independent voices who can't afford to pay. If we lose net neutrality, the Internet will never be the same. Please continue to support net neutrality and don't let Comcast hurt my bank account even more than it does already. It's not like Comcast has a

number of competitors where I live--there's only one, and Verizon isn't any better.

1638. Phillip Wise, Germantown, MD, 20876
I strongly support keeping the FCC regulations on Net Neutrality. Our internet is just that, "ours". Allowing ISPs to make the decision of whether or not they will impede the public's traffic is stripping us of what slivers of privacy we have left. I believe in an internet that is free and open for the people, not an internet that can be tampered with by corporations.
1639. Sylvia Greenberg, Gaithersburg, MD, 20878
Net Neutrality is vital to free commerce and fair access. Without Net Neutrality ISPs would have too much power over customers and businesses. Americans believe strongly in individual freedoms. Net Neutrality supports those freedoms. ISPs have shown through their words and actions that they will take every opportunity to censor content and give priority access to selected businesses. This is not a form letter. I am a constituent who took the time to communicate with you in an issue I feel is important to the foundation and future of this country. Please maintain and support Net Neutrality. Thank you!
1640. G , Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
The internet has such an important role in day to day life and it's an incredible network of billions of people and that shouldn't be taken away
1641. Michael Parsons, Derwood, MD, 20855
Hello,I believe that the Title II net neutrality rules put into effect by the Obama administration are of paramount importance to our (the general public's) use of the internet. Any change in policy that allows ISPs to throttle or censor data at their discretion is unfair and un-American. Please do not enact Chairman Ajit Pai's proposed changes to the existing net neutrality legislation.Thank you
1642. Conner , Brunswick, MD, 21716
Because the internet is a place where people can learn about things that they wouldn't normally be exposed to. Why would anyone want to limit that?
1643. Dave Cowgill, Cumberland, MD, 21502
Please make it go away...
1644. Matthew Friel, Germantown, MD, 20874
the internet should be open and free
1645. Jeff Cabell, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
Dismantling net neutrality is tantamount to communism.
1646. Chris Hsia, Montgomery Village, MD, 20886

I have recently bought a home late last year, right after Christmas, and it didn't occur to me that there was only ONE high speed internet service provider that would provide me with speeds faster than 1.5 Mbps: Comcast. There is no other competitor, local, regional, or national that offers a higher speed than 1.5 Mbps. Perhaps, if I were to go back in time and rely on DSL, which I can get through Verizon, AT&T, Earthlink, etc; or even satellite internet, although quicker than DSL in my area on a good day, if it's raining I'm out of luck. However, in my area, in our country, we are falling far behind other countries. I took a 2 week vacation in Japan and they had fiber-optic Internet straight to the door at an affordable cost. This is available in major cities. I buy broadband Internet access because I need it for work. I have no other choice but to go with Comcast in order for me to VPN to my work's intranet in order to perform graphical and data analytical work. With DSL or satellite internet, I would not be able to accomplish very much, transferring large files between my computer and my work's network drives. I am also a millennial. I have cut the cord many years ago and rely on broadband Internet access for entertainment: I am a regular subscriber to Netflix and Amazon Prime. If Title II or Net Neutrality rules are not implemented, I wonder how much more I would have to pay for these hot-ticket items to my area's only sole broadband internet service provider if they were to introduce tiered access plans like how cable TV is offered with their tiered programming packages. I support net neutrality and Title II, because there simply isn't enough competition. I learned from neighbors that Verizon with its fiber optic internet has ceased expanding its operations in my area. Across the street, in apartment complexes, they have access to fiber optic internet. On my side of the street, townhouses, we do not. Is that fair? Would citizens, tax payers, go to the same place, owned by one company, and pay for the same services when elsewhere, there are more choices. For myself and my household, we don't have much of a choice. I believe that competition is good, but there's hardly any evidence that I see as of now. I would love to get the fastest Internet access from Comcast, but it's too costly for me -- it's \$149.99 a month. If, and only if, I could get Verizon FiOS to come to my area, it would be \$69.99 a month for their fastest plan. What a price discrepancy! I hope and pray that the FCC makes the right decision: continue net neutrality rules and continue Title II classification for broadband internet access for all Americans. Make the right choice. Time will tell in 3 years 4 months if we are still at a stalemate with non-competition or not.

1647. Ryan Miller, Frederick, MD, 21701

The existing laws ensuring net neutrality must be maintained. Major telecom companies that already hold geographical monopolies cannot be trusted to refrain from throttling internet access and/ or charging more to some users of the internet than others purely for their own profit.

1648. Sasha Boshart, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879

I am against this regulation. The internet should remain free and open, primarily benefiting the people of this country, and not existing primarily for the greed and profit of internet service providers. The internet is integral to our modern-day society. Certain websites should not have to pay more in order to have their content streamed at their current rate to their viewers. This regulation would enable ISPs to slow down websites in order to blackmail their owners for more money. This is a shallow transparent attempt to pander to Verizon, etc.. Do not let the American people who elected you down! The internet should be reclassified as a Title II public utility.

1649. mollie helck, Frederick, MD, 21702
Keeping net neutrality is important.
1650. Holly Hoffman, Gaithersburg, MD, 20879
To Whom It May Concern: Please keep Net Nuetrality for the Internet such as written in 2015. Please do not roll back or change it. Do not give control over to those who wish to restrict, change, monetarily benefit, throttle or restrict the internet or what is chosen by each user. So many benefit from the available content by learning, sharing and doing wonderful deeds. Don't kill net Nuetrality. Keep it free for now and for the future!
1651. Joe McDaniel, Grantsville, MD, 21536
Leave Title II in-place!
1652. 1, Gaithersburg, MD, 20882
Internet has become an essential tool in today's economy. Net neutrality needs to be preserved to provide fair and equal access to both users and business.
1653. Jackie Culpepper, Hagerstown, MD, 21740
Net Neutrality is vital to both consumers and small businesses/startups. Consumers pay for the unfettered access to all internet content, not just content preferred by ISPs. Net neutrality is also necessary to allow small businesses and startups to compete against larger incumbents. Repealing net neutrality will only hurt consumer choice (resulting in higher prices) and reduce innovation and competition.
1654. Jan Lynn, Frederick, MD, 21702
Please uphold the current net neutrality protections for private citizens and small businesses. We are the fabric that make up this great nation, and rescinding these protections would give corporations massive advantages over individual citizens' and small business entrepreneurs' interests. This is UnAmerican and I am sure our nation's forefathers would be as offended as the vast majority of U.S. citizens will be when they understand how their individual rights, and their small businesses internet rights would be disenfranchised by Big Business if this moves forward. This essentially takes away our personal

freedoms to readily access the portions of the Internet that we choose, and is absolutely nonpatriotic and is censorship by default. I am very disappointed that this slanted course of action would be considered, and if this rollback of protections does occur, it is a move backward instead of forward, and NOT what the vast majority of U.S. citizens expect and want. Also, it has been publicly stated that not all citizen's comments are being considered or given weight, if they did not have the time, education or resources to submit a personalized and convincing argument, that includes sufficient impressive facts. Of course, this discourages the public to write an opinion and file comments. Many people are too busy living paycheck to paycheck and trying to take care of their families to craft a persuasive opinion; IF they do have time to keep up with the many changes occurring in the U.S. and have learned of this proposal, many Americans literally only have time to sign a petition within the limited comment period. I doubt that hardly anyone signing a petition requesting to continue our current protections has ever signed one before this year, and I believe that their opinion is as important as ours.

1655. Cheri borsky, MV, MD, 20886

I am AGAINST "Restoring Internet Freedom." Dealing with internet carriers is hard enough now. Go back to more freedom for them, and customers will not be adequately protected -- on costs, equal rights to use, decent communication on costs. Instead of taking away protection for customers, please make AOL & similar, into Title II as well. I help my parents who live in a rural area. They need some protection from Time Warner (now a new name) who would certainly justify their poor quality & slow speed as "good enough" when we called. At least now, we can ask for equal service similar to bigger areas. If small providers need adjustments, than do it within Title II. Don't just remove all regulations say "there done." Most importantly, by giving providers complete discretion, they will be the ones deciding what each person and small business has access to, and be accessible to. We wouldn't tolerate auto companies deciding what roads various people are allowed to drive on, or what speed they can go. It is all government regulated. This too should be -- so that special treatment is not allowed. If a specific special treatment makes sense then, it can be put to the public and we can all vote for it (by sending in opinions).Right now this is just a generalize way to remove regulations we already decided we need, and appreciate.So please do NOT implement "Restoring Internet Freedom".Sincerely,Cheri Borsky

1656. Connor W Spaulding, Frostburg, MD, 21532

As a future educator, knowing that both my and my students' access to information that we are either unable or unwilling to pay for will be restricted is disheartening. Since its technical inception in 1983 and its modern version in 1990, the internet has been a veritable Library of Alexandria for the modern day. Don't let big business burn this one too.

1657. Danny Kennedy, Frederick, MD, 21701
Just like I appreciate my equal rights and goodwill in the US I also appreciate the internet to not suffer the opposite fate by endorsing large payments to those who can afford fast online connections and forcing additional payment to certain sites. Keep Net Neutrality alive and forever unchanged. Danny Kennedy
1658. Clayton A Marquiss, Hagerstown, MD, 21742
I oppose repealing the net neutrality rules. I think all the internet should be equal and nothing should have precedent over each other. Repealing net neutrality sounds extremely communistic to me and it is against everything this great country stands for. Freedom. -Clayton A Marquiss
1659. Ellen Georgi, Adamstown, MD, 21710
Keep Net neutrality and Title 2
1660. Karl Georgi, Adamstown, MD, 21710
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2! It's critical for our continuing national tech leadership.
1661. Diane Omans, Germantown, MD, 20874
Net Neutrality must be preserved. Eliminating Net Neutrality or reducing it in any way will adversely affect the flow of information on the internet. Save the average american's right to communicate freely online by preserving Net Neutrality.

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used national language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post. <https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9f0e3ed36a6>.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.