

# Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from LA District #6

These are the 887 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative Garret Graves's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs> under the proceeding 17-108.

1. Craig Poche, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
Dear FCC, I am writing you today because allowing my ISP to charge me more or deny access to specific sites and services would greatly restrict my Internet Freedom. This is bad policy. Thank you for your time.
2. Nathan Fasullo, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
I e-mailed my Congressman and the FCC to have Net Neutrality enacted. I don't believe big ISP's can be trusted to keep a free and open internet. I think this will actually hamper business as new startups will have a harder time reaching new clients and this will reduce free market forces. In a similar manner to antitrust laws.
3. Chad Harelson, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
The prospect of ending internet neutrality is a death nail into what makes the internet a prized possession in our American society. The open exchange of information is paramount to businesses, to citizens, and to our children. The act of constraining the data flow of information would result in the failure of our nation to preserve our principles of intellectualism and it would be a forward step into turning our nation into an even greater oligarchy.
4. Zachary Montavon, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
How is Net Neutrality even a debate anymore? Once again, certain members of the FCC have shown distaste for consumer rights and protections and seem to only look out for ISP's and their lobbyists. The concept that Chairman Pai is banking on that makes ISP's "pinky swear" to not put their own services above others is certainly ridiculous. It's already bad enough that some of them are instilling data caps when there is no bandwidth shortage, but some of them are thinking of zero-rating their own services over 3rd parties (e.g. Netflix) which would hurt Netflix if consumers are going over their data caps watching their videos versus not having to worry about the ISP's video service that is zero rated on their network. Removing Net Neutrality would start

allowing ISP's to discriminate against other websites and services by making consumers pay for the right to access certain websites or services that are outside the scope of the ISP's own services. If anything, we need a stronger FCC to regulate the abusive ISPs. Many municipalities only have a choice of one, or two ISP's, who gouge their customers enough for mediocre speeds and low data caps. Big mergers (such as AT&T and Time Warner) reduce competition even further as it reduces the choice of ISP's, especially amongst rural areas. The US is so badly behind other first world countries in internet speeds and consumer protections. We do not need ISP's claiming they compete when they do not. Many countries do not have this problem by imposing. If anything, local-loop unbundling needs to be enacted to create competition amongst ISP's. They already do not want to compete, or innovate for the American public. Uphold Net Neutrality. Listen to the American people for a change instead of corporations and lobbyists. There is absolutely nobody cheering for the demise of Net Neutrality and its protections as it would further entrench ISP's to discriminate against consumers and other services, or even new startups, from entering their services into the public. Where would we be without a company like Google today? Facebook? Former FCC Chairman Tom Wheeler did an outstanding job in listening to the American people when Net Neutrality first came up for debate. Chairman Pai needs to do the same to gain the respect from the American people, not his corporate backers.

5. William Gilmore, Destrehan, LA, 70047

I urge the FCC to reject Chairman Pai's plan to deregulate internet providers. In most places in the US, there is no meaningful competition between ISPs, meaning there will be little market pressure to keep them from violating net neutrality. The ISPs have time and time again rejected consumer benefits and instead found new ways to squeeze more money out of their networks without innovating on speed and coverage. Regulating the providers under Title II is the best way to maintain a fair playing field for new and upcoming internet products and services, making sure the ISPs cannot pick and choose the winners with consumer-hostile deals.

6. Jeffrey Johnson, Zachary, LA, 70791

We want Net Neutrality. I personally support it. If any of my representatives try to undercut Net Neutrality, I will vote against them. DO NOT UNDO NET NET NEUTRALITY! DO NOT DARE!

7. Charles Kennedy, Denham Springs, LA, 70726

Dear FCC, I am writing you today because I am hoping that my voice actually counts even though I'm not a corporation. The INTERNET should be kept protected as it was done under the Obama administration. Anything less is a slap in the face to the citizens of the United States and a clear win for Corporate America. Show your constituents that you have their interests at heart and keep Net Neutrality in place. Thank you

8. Jonathan Theriot, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816

As a Computer Analyst I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

9. zachary Ball, baton Rouge, LA, 70806

Holy shit you idiot i want net neutrality, Versions call to its stock owners said that the

title would not hurt their investments in infrastructure. get out of here with your bullshit and your fucking coffee mug. Netutrality is good. what is bad is putting the head of the fcc who use to work for on of the isps. So in short ...FUCKOFF.

10. David Frump Jr, Saint Amant, LA, 70774  
It is imperative the FCC preserve true Net Neutrality and Title 2.
11. Dakota Brose, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
I prefer Net neutrality under the Title 2 ruling and will be very disappointed if this changes for corporate gain.
12. Chris Mayeux, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802  
Please maintain Net Neutrality under Title2
13. Myles Kuhn, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
We support strong Network Neutrality backed by Title II over site of ISPs.
14. Will Fisher, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
Net Neutrality is very important to me. I specifically support STRONG Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
15. Brandon Harris, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I support a strong net neutrality. I do not want internet providers to be able to control the speed of sites I interact with. I want to keep internet providers as title II's. I want them to do more than voluntary agree. I want it in their contracts. Thank you.
16. Jacob Irving, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
I support ISP's remaining in Title II of the 1934 FCC Act.
17. Sam Boyer, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Rolling back net neutrality is harmful to consumers and businesses alike.
18. Timothy Screen, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I completely support net neutrality as protected under title 2. I specifically and vehemently oppose any change to laws or regulations currently concerning ISPs.  
Thank you.
19. Benjamin C. Stout, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Firstly, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. I would hope Mr. Ajit Pai changes his mind concerning his publicly voiced views and apparent intent for altering net neutrality laws - please keep net neutrality intact as is. To kill net neutrality is to threaten innovation, stifle opportunity, and remove choice. The core of what freedom means to America is much more significant than free market.
20. Leo Lindner, Thibodaux, LA, 70301  
Do not change the net neutrality rules. The FCC has an obligation to regulate the fairness of internet access.

21. Peter Scherp, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
It is important to me that we maintain net neutrality. All individuals and companies should have the same opportunities to provide their services. I am against paid prioritization. I support regulating ISPs by Title 2.
22. Clayton T. Ragan, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
I support net neutrality and the Title II status of ISPs.
23. Andrew Magers, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
We specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of internet service providers.
24. 17-108, baton rouge, LA, 70806  
Do not under any circumstance let that fool Pie change broadband from a title 2 utility. We need strong enforcement power to keep ISP's following the rules.
25. keith Maung-Douglass, baton rouge, LA, 70808  
I am in support of net neutrality. ISP companies should remain under Title II.
26. Laura Valle, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title II protections.
27. free internet, Thibodaux, LA, 70301  
I find it cowardly that this site would require this much effort to let peoples voices be heard on something that affects everyone. Cable companies are some of the worst companies that exist in this country and giving them more power to fuel their virtual monopoly is horrible thing to do. Net neutrality is important and NEEDS TO STAY!.
28. Kristen Manes, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
True Net Neutrality is such an important issue. The choices I make (and all other Americans make) online should not be subject to manipulation by Internet Service Providers. This is a slippery slope, truly. The internet is the link that most of us have to each other and to the world. Control the flow of information first... later comes controlling the information itself. Keep Title II. I support keeping Title II. DON'T MESS WITH TITLE II. It is this that will keep the internet open and free for all.
29. Molly Malloy, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Do not roll back regulations. Companies are out for themselves. We need to have regulations in place to protect net neutrality.
30. Thomas Davis, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
Net neutrality is an important regulation by the FCC, please do not get rid of it. Anytime an industry states that it will "regulate itself", we see those companies slowly do the things it said it wouldn't do. These ISP's will not immediately throttle websites. Over the course of years, they will do it one by one. We will become used to the throttle then before we know it throttle will be the norm.

31. Rhadd Hunt, Baker, LA, 70714  
Please continue to regulate ISP's through Title Two of the Communications Act. Keep Net Neutrality intact as it currently stands.
32. David Leber, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I believe the Internet should be regulated by Title 2. I do not trust ISPs to practice fair competition and I do not want them to be able to sell preferential treatment.
33. Seth Broussard, baton rouge, LA, 70820  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Also Ajit Varadaraj Pai, you're a dirty liar.
34. Richard Peacock, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
Keep ISPs under TITLE 2. Do not roll back net neutrality so that huge companies can restrict access.
35. Nicholas P. BeJeaux, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
Mr. Pai, I strongly support Internet neutrality as defined by Title II Oversight of ISPs. This will allow for competition and creativity to flourish in cyberspace, creating significant cultural and economic advantages for our nation. To limit the independence of the Internet in any way would create an ethical and legal slippery slope the likes of which is unimaginable. You, as a lawyer, should recognize this.
36. C. Pim Barnett, Jackson, LA, 70748  
I strongly support net neutrality under Title 2 of the FCC. Do not change this rule. Keep the internet neutral and open.
37. Eric Garcia, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
I strongly support net neutrality covered by Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934.
38. Michael Dours, Baton rouge, LA, 70816  
I strongly support net neutrality as backed by title II. I wish for regulations and the fcc to continue regulating ISPs as has been the case.
39. Paula Hoffman, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Please preserve Title II, equal access. Thank you - Paula Hoffman
40. Jon Faciane, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
Net neutrality not only benefits the average person, but business as well. Competition and growth would both be stifled by limiting how we are able to use the internet. Our service providers should be proud to compete and grow so that we become a better nation in regards to access to the internet.
41. Patrick O'Dell, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I am for a strong stance of net neutrality and against the removal of it in any form or fashion.
42. Justin Tonglet, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806

Net Neutrality is important to me as a teacher in an online school. Eliminating the Obama era laws on this would create incredible hardships for all of my students. Truly, it is idiotic that we have to have this discussion again in the first place. The American people have already spoken and been heard. You should not ask us to speak again just because you did not like what was said the first time.

43. Christopher Frink, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
Keeping Internet service neutral is a cornerstone of the freedoms we enjoy in this nation
44. Adam Richard, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
I'm fully in support of ISPs being under Title 2 regulation. A free and unrestricted internet can only be accomplished if everyone is playing by the same rules and this is precisely what title 2 allows for.
45. Erin Walden, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
I support strong Net Neutrality regulations under Title II oversight of internet service providers.
46. samuel, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
I would like to adress Mr. Ajit Pai in saying that I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's
47. Curtis Heroman, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
In the past 20 years, the sole cable provider covering our parish has covered 0.12% of our parish with high speed internet. The free market can't protect our people, as there is no competitive market in our area. Please continue to classify ISPs as Title II and continue the open internet regulation. Otherwise, we have no formal protection.
48. Davin Henderson, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
I support Net Neutrality specified under Title 2.
49. Cory LeSaicherre, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs
50. Elizabeth Clark, baton rouge, LA, 70810  
I strongly support NET neutrality therefore I support Title II for all ISP providers
51. DAVID KORS, baton rouge, LA, 70810  
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Tile 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank you.
52. Matthew Sianarta, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
Dear FCC, I am writing you today because I am hoping that my voice actually counts. Please keep internet service provider companies under title 2 regulation.
53. net neutrality, Baton rouge, LA, 70820  
Net nutraility is a nessacery thing. Period.

54. Ram Devireddy, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
Keep Title II oversight for ISPs. I strongly support Net Neutrality.
55. Eric Maxwell, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
Good afternoon, Please consider maintaining net neutrality and keeping broadband as it is currently classified. This is a tremendous tool to use as a monopoly defense and would be easily abused.
56. Kyle Noel, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
Please do not lower any regulations with regards to net neutrality. Equal speed for all is the only fair way to treat customers.
57. Zachary Thibodaux, Thibodaux, LA, 70301  
FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I'm asking that you preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net-neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
58. Internet Freedom, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
Please maintain net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This regulation is in consumers' best interest and needs to remain in place.
59. Lucas LeCompte, gray, LA, 70359  
THE INTERNET NEEDS TO STAY UNDER TITLE II. DO YOU WANNA WANT TO SEE WHAT HAPPENS WHEN YOU TELL HOARDS UPON HORDES OF NECK BEARDS THAT THEY CANT WATCH ANIME PORN IN HD ANYMORE????????? WELL DO YA???
60. Paul Graham, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
"I strongly support strict oversight of ISPs under Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934. ISPs are corporations, and cannot be trusted to regulate themselves for the good of the nation."
61. nicole crain, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
I strongly support internet neutrality and title II. We need our freedom to choose
62. Steven Harris, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and the classification of ISPs under Title 2.
63. Rhett Crain, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
I strongly believe we need to keep title II and support internet neutrality and our right to choose and not have our choices taken away. The internet should be held to the policies in title II.
64. Javier Fernandez, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I support NET neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISP.
65. Joseph Chustz, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
As a small internet startup, I worry about my ability to achieve success if I am not able to pay for access to the "fast lane" in terms of content delivery, all Internet data should

be equal. That the Comcasts and Verizons of the world can provide the pipes but should have no say in what passes through them. The Internet providers shouldn't be allowed to charge different companies more or less for their data or to slow down, or block, access to Web sites and services they don't like. Please preserve net neutrality rules and the Title II authority used to enforce them. He also urged viewers to contact their representatives and senators.

66. Thomas Tyler, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality under Title 2.
67. travis valence, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
support title 2!! We need an open internet@
68. Daniel Luke, Gibson, LA, 70356  
I want strong net neutrality, preferably with title II oversight on ISPs.
69. Natasha Haynes, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
It is extremely important to me that Title II remains intact. It's unfair for large ISPs to have unlimited access to potentially hinder the effectiveness of other smaller companies.
70. Rebecca Bock, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
You must preserve net neutrality and Title II. While so much is changing in the world many people see the internet as the only way to stay in contact with friends and family and attempt to find news on political events. By allowing a chance for ISPs to throttle that which they find inconvenient you will be removing the potential for true freedom of speech across the internet. Kindly do not remove net neutrality - this is one restriction which allows for more freedom.
71. Oliver Garretson, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Without net neutrality the USA will not lead the world deeper into the digital age. Without net neutrality we destroy the greatest communications technology ever created.
72. 1, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
I strongly support Net Neutrality, specially I strongly support title 2 oversight of ISPs.
73. Chris Luckett, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
I support preservation of net neutrality and title 2. Ajit Pai's blatant misleading of people with his false comments regarding net neutrality are despicable and he is a horrible candidate for chair of the FCC. Dismantling title 2 and net neutrality would hurt consumers for the benefit of bully ISPs such as Comcast, and this is anti-american. Go FCC yourself Ajit Pai. I don't care how much corrupt ISPs are paying you to lie to and hurt the public, it's not working. We want to keep net neutrality and title 2, and your dictatorial behavior will not be tolerated.
74. Jason Sampey, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
I strongly urge AGAINST declassifying ISPs from Title II and making it easier for the

internet to create fast lanes. KEEP ISPs classified under Title II and keep the internet neutral.

75. Richard M. Blumenthal, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
Hi there, just sending a quick message that I support both the abstract concept of net neutrality, and also specifically the regulations and Title II status of ISPs as currently exist. It is a very simple concept to me that allowing ISPs to govern and allow preferential datastreams, while probably an economic boon for them, will result merely in large corporations continuing to enrich and monopolize themselves and strangle any actual free market. Thank you, Richard Blumenthal
76. Mal, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
We want net neutrality! Stop giving Verizon, Comcast and other large companies more leverage than they already have. America wants net neutrality. Keep them under title 2!!
77. Aimee Fouquier, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
I SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISPs. PRESERVE TITLE II!!!! #gofccyourself
78. Alexander Matherne, Norco, LA, 70079  
Do not revoke the Internet's neutrality all for a quick buck. The only thing keeping the internet from progressing is the lack of competition between ISP service providers, and if you do this, you will remove competition from within the Internet's own communities, killing off any possibility for a start up company to succeed in this environment. If these rules were in place at the early ages of the internet, i.e. paying for faster service/slowing sites down if they don't, we would still be using MySpace, and Facebook would have crumbled. Imagine if a Mom and Pop Store not only had to compete with Walmart, but also had to pay extra for the road is placed by and many other perks that Walmart could easily pay for? The Mom and Pop store would stop existing. This is what you want to do to the internet. I implore you to see to your better natures and make the right choice. There is no convincing argument as to why you want to remove net neutrality, and everyone educated on the subject will immediately see the removal of such as a bid for corporate cash.
79. Tracie, Greenwell Springs, LA, 70739  
Mr. Pai, I firmly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
80. David Baisley, Destrehan, LA, 70047  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and it being classified as Title 2. It is important that Net Neutrality remains untouched. Thank you!
81. Tim Connors, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
I support a neutral playing field for all that use the internet and am in support of title 2 oversight of ISPs. Internet access is not a privilege in today's world and believe that removing ISPs from this will only hinder growth and prosperity for all citizens.
82. Logan Kinamore, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808

Please preserve strong protections to ensure Net Neutrality! Continue to classify ISPs under Title II!

83. Alex Meyer, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810

Net neutrality is not an issue that is widely debated among internet users. There have been marches, and numerous methods of communication with the fcc (enough so for the website to go down, twice) to the effect that internet service providers and anyone who fill that roll be classified as title II. So it would only make sense that the government respect and follow the decision of its constituents, which as I mentioned, is unanimous. The current head of the fcc's behavior is appalling in every regard. I hope policy will show that you will choose to respect net neutrality and the retention of isp's and related providers as covered under title II.

84. Michael Roper, Houma, LA, 70360

I support Title II Classification and the continuation of Net Neutrality. I will not support, vote for, donate to, or tolerate any politician, leader, or company that does not continue to support or maintain Net Neutrality. You have been warned and I will do everything in my effort to speak out and against any plans of removing the rules in place.

85. Robert Hubbs, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808

I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108 because I believe net neutrality is important for all Americans and people all over the world to keep an informative and open Internet. Net neutrality preserves entrepreneurship and free speech that are so vital to the Internet's daily workings.

86. Michael Durio, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808

Please protect the truly open internet and do not repeal the protections provided by net neutrality.

87. Jeremy Tillay, Destrehan, LA, 70047

I am writing in strong support of keeping net neutrality protected by Title II.

88. Eddy Berry, Prairieville, LA, 70769

I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. You should be Opposed to Docket No. 17-108 if you are for the people. Do not give ISPs the ability to take advantage of their customers--they do this enough already.

89. Kyra Veigh, Baton Rouge, LA, 70815

I fully support strong net neutrality provided in Title II oversight backed by ISP's. I will not support any politician that votes against net neutrality, and will actively participate in politics to assure their term is not renewed.

90. Nicklaus Settoon, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816

We wish to make our voices heard in favor of true, strong, net neutrality via the regulation of ISPs of both wired and wireless internet mediums under title II. We submit this with the goal of NOT allowing ISPs to discriminate which internet traffic flows at which speeds based on the kind of packets being sent. ALL PACKETS MUST

BE DELIVERED EQUALLY on the internet. We will not let you turn this pivotal boon to society into the segmented markets of today's cable TV.

91. Kayla Yarborough, Maurepas, LA, 70449  
I want to express the urgency to keep net neutrality rules specifically backed by Title II.
92. zachary ball, baton rouge, LA, 70806  
Also i forgot to state it completely clear; net nuetrality is good, Pia is a fuck turd, ISPs should be kept under title 2. Pia go to hell you shit nugget
93. Logan Anderson, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802  
I strongly support net neutrality being supported under Title II framework
94. chase bates, Baton rouge, LA, 70808  
I strongly support net neutrality laws backed by title 2 ISPs.
95. Thomas Swanson, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I do not support the roll-back of net neutrality... You are not making the decisions based on "evidence", you are making based on the corporate stooges that you are.
96. Jeffrey May, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
I strongly support net neutrality rules and keeping the internet under title II.
97. Marcus McGehee, baton rouge, LA, 70810  
Net neutrality is an absolute necessity, we cannot allow a few corporate conglomerates to control end users actions and behaviors while online. Monopolies were outlawed in non digital arenas, they shouldn't be allowed to thrive in digital arenas now. AT&T and Comcast are prime examples of huge companies pushing products, and giving very little regard to their customers well being and satisfaction. They should not be allowed to dictate to me what I consume, or how I consume it. If I pay for a service, I demand that I not get my speed throttled at their discretion. Restaurants do not send servers out to take food away from your plate so another customer can have it, why should data companies?
98. William Paxton, Zachary, LA, 70791  
Please preserve net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It is necessary to keep our people informed and educated in an unbiased and universally available forum, and is a basic right that the people of this country own.
99. Christopher Bizzell, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
Title II classification for ISPs should absolutely be upheld. I am in strong opposition to Docket No. 17-108.
100. Net Neutrality, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802  
Dear Mr. Chairman,I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Preserve Net Neutrality for our future.
101. Zacharye Sheehan, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I do not feel as a consumer I would benefit in any way from having this regulation revoked.

102. John McEwen, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802  
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. We cannot trust large companies to regulate themselves no matter how much they promise to operate fairly.
103. John McEwen, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802  
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. We cannot trust large companies and organizations to play fairly without rules to govern them.
104. Colin O'Shea, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
Don't make changes to Title II or Net Neutrality. Also the conflict of interest having Pi in the position he holds is absurd. Which I'd presume you'd say he no longer has connections to Verizon to which I say Bull Shit.
105. Jacob Dileo, Baton Rouge, LA, 70818  
I'm writing to express my concern regarding the FCC's new stance on Net Neutrality specifically taking away Title II regulation of the internet. Pai has stated that he's going to do away with net neutrality Title II regulations put in place by Tom Wheeler. This could potentially have terrible consequences for the consumer. First off I'm extremely conservative when it comes to government regulation except in this case. The problem is the monopolistic nature of Internet Service Providers. The apartment I used to live at in Baton Rouge, LA only had two options for internet service providers, Cox and AT&T, and the AT&T internet that was available there was really old DSL tech because their new UVerse fiber was not available. So really my only option was Cox. The availability of ISPs simply has to do with the cabling infrastructure around cities. Only so many companies can own the infrastructure much like the electric companies, and it's™s costly for a company to install new infrastructure. This is why it's extremely important to regulate the internet under Title II as a utility because there is so little competition in this market. Without this regulation the companies will be free to do whatever they want, and we as the consumer will have few options because of the small number of internet providers in the area. I know it seems counterintuitive that more regulation will increase competition, but this is the case. Without regulation these ISPs which pretty much have a duopoly in most cities, and this will significantly stifle competition and be detrimental to the consumer.
106. Sloane, Thibodaux, LA, 70301  
I support Net Neutrality under Title 2 of the Communications Act.
107. Fran Prawiro, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
Hey Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed up by the Title 2 oversight of ISPs.  
Fran Prawiro
108. Eric Davis, Baton Rouge, LA, 70819  
It is important to maintain complete net neutrality. Neither the ISPs or the government should be allowed to manipulate the flow of data between a user and their desired content.

109. Geoffrey D. Risher, baton rouge, LA, 70809  
Please keep net neutrality intact. No ISP should be allowed to self regulate. Everyone deserves to be on the same level.
110. Mark E. Blancq, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
I am a strong supporter of Net Neutrality. Please do not end Net Neutrality.
111. Andrew Bond, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
It is essential that the FCC preserves Net Neutrality by keeping ISP's classified and regulated under Title II.
112. Subhash Reddy, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
We strongly demand that the Net Neutrality be maintained strictly by placing ISPs under Title II. We cannot leave this issue to voluntary compliance. Net Neutrality is ESSENTIAL for our Individual Rights and Our Democracy to function as originally intended. Otherwise, our Republic will potentially fall into Fascism.
113. James Singleton, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I strongly support Net Neutrality, and I oppose proceeding 17-108.
114. Mr. Parks, Denham Springs, LA, 70706  
You are a liar and your mug is stupid. Not trying to trying to restrict the first amendment via the private sector.
115. Quentin Franck, Thibodaux, LA, 70301  
I am a strong supporter of strong net neutrality laws and the listing of ISPs under title II.
116. Kim Pamer, THibodaux, LA, 70301  
We the people demand an open and free internet. My local provider Comcast is not to be trusted, As they own NBC we can imagine where they will take us. They have no competition so they can basically do whatever they want and we are the losers. If you want to do something good, you need to ensure that other companies can compete. It's so unfair. We pay way more than other countries and have lower speeds. Do you the right thing for us the consumers and tax payers.
117. Jack Collins, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I greatly worry about what effects removing net neutrality could have on the economy, as many small businesses that reach their customers through the internet may suffer lost revenue if they do not pay to receive favored access or if their customers cannot pay to access all sites equally. It seems to me that the internet is a boon to the free market; allowing service providers to create even slight restrictions or limitations could direct internet users away from certain businesses and towards others. This is especially disturbing given the large media and corporate empires the ISPs are a part of - with many business interests outside of merely providing internet access, they may try to direct usage away from competitors and towards their own interest. Such power over a forum like the internet should not rest in private hands - or even in public hands in most circumstances. Regulations such as net neutrality are necessary to keep the

internet, and therefore the broader market, as unrestricted as possible. On a more personal note, the internet allows me access to an incredible array of information and entertainment that enables me to cheaply receive all of my information about the wider world and to relax and enjoy life. Restricting access to the internet in any way, even if it is just to slow down one's access to some websites, or to require higher fees to freely access all webpages online, would make it more difficult to keep tabs on national and global events as well as greatly impact my primary forms of recreation, such as interacting with my long-distance friends, playing video games, and watching videos on numerous websites. In addition to the negative effect such restrictions would have on my happiness and that of others, the companies and individuals who produce those games and videos would suffer from reduced revenue. The effect ending net neutrality could have on happiness should not be understated or ignored - people need to have diversions from their daily lives in order to be productive and to live good lives. This is especially true in today's world, where the news is filled with bitter political polarization and divisive events that get people angry and upset. We need to have our entertainment and our fun to keep us sane and to keep us from getting so absorbed in our jobs or our personal losses or the bad news that abounds that we neglect ourselves and our families.

118. Christian Fichtenkort, Baton Rouge, LA, 70818  
I am opposed to Docket 17-108. ISPs should remain covered under Title II oversight!
119. Desi Paul Waguespack, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
I want to show my support for Net Neutrality and Title 2.
120. James Miller, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
As an IT worker I strongly support net neutrality. Do not let the ISP's control or block content delivery. Would turning the volume down on a telephone call be allowed? NO. So don't let this happen. I vote and I complain.
121. Andrew Fernandez, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
Vote no on this bill. Quit trying to kill consumer protection in the name of profits. If anything we should treat the internet like a utility. Access to the internet for every American will benefit the entire long-term health of the economy and to try and hinder our growth to line the pockets of the telecommunications industry that has already taken taxpayers for plenty is disgusting.
122. Daniel Angelo Monaco, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
Removing the Title 2 protections for Net Neutrality is the stupidest idea I've ever heard. Please let the nitwit Verizon puppet and his stupid mug that he's wrong and stupid.
123. net neutrality, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
I oppose the cable companies attempt to end net neutrality. Access to the internet should be free and clear of regulation and throttling.
124. Lucy Tara, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
Please keep ISPs under Article II. Preserve Net Neutrality!

125. Hailey Manint, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I strongly disagree with the fcc's latest sentiment to dismantle net neutrality. Net neutrality is important for keeping the internet marketplace a free and open environment.
126. Emily Price, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Do not touch Title II. This would only be another disheartening example of corporations getting exactly what they want in spite of the people's wishes. We are getting very tired of being ignored in the face of big money. Stop checking your wallets and start listening to us!
127. Adrian Dubroc, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I support neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank You.
128. Martin Broadbent, baton rouge, LA, 70808  
I strongly support keeping the current rules of Title II for ISPs. NO change should be made.
129. Brian P Riecke, Baton Rouge, LA, 70815  
I am in strong favor of Net Neutrality backed by title 2. Allowing everyone the freedom to look up and provide information without being penalized via throttling internet speeds, disallowing competing products/ views, etc. Net Neutrality helps keep power in people's hands, and out of the corporations.
130. Tim Monk, Baton Rouge, LA, 70815  
Please do not destroy the Internet. Leave Net Neutrality in place. We are not a communist country, so the Internet should remain free of censorship.
131. Hannah Reyes, Baton Rouge, LA, 70814  
FCC needs to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. It is my right to surf the internet and use whatever sites I deem necessary as a student and as a contributing member of society. To change this would be as barbaric as letting Donald Trump continue to be president.
132. lydia lucente, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
Leave the internet Title II.
133. Phillip Jackson, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
It is critical to the people of this country that ISPs not be allow to censor through limiting speed, out right blocking, redirection, or cost barriers any portion of the internet. Keep ISP under Title II so that any wrong doing by ISPs are prohibited and if they occur can be corrected.
134. Foye Lowe, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
Lack of net neutrality leads to censorship. Plain and simple.
135. Dawn Humble, Holden, LA, 70744  
Do not take away net neutrality. Do not allow ISPs to meter our content and attempt to

slow some pages and services down and speed up others for their own gain. We pay ISPs for access to the internet. That is enough. How we use it should not be up to ISPs.

136. Sarah Cortell Vandersypen, Zachary, LA, 70791  
I strongly support net neutrality and the current Title II. Please do not make changes to the current policy.
137. Benjamin Honeychurch, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
We must continue to have net neutrality. IT IS IMPORTANT!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
138. Christine Williams, Zachary, LA, 70791  
I support keeping ISPs regulated under title 2. The majority of Americans agree which is why it was moved to title 2 instead of title 1 several years ago.
139. Mitchell Wall, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
We SUPPORT strong net neutrality and title II oversight of isp's
140. Natalie Thibodeaux, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
I oppose Docket No. 17-108! I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
141. Joe Casselberry, Baton Rouge, LA, 70818  
I am writing to express my concerns about the dismantling of regulations intended to ensure a free and open internet. Any clear look at history in our country will reveal what people, and companies will do to get ahead. Removing regulation, and leaving fair and open internet access up to ISPs is absurd. Refine regulations to ensure companies are flourishing, but please, DO NOT give them free license to police access for their own benefit.
142. Thomas Hampton, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
Net neutrality needs to be protected. Do not give this amazing tool to the providers to be milked and shat upon for the sake of money.
143. Christopher Demas, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I write in opposition to the proposed rules changes. The internet needs to be a free and open space if you want it to continue to be the hub of innovation that it currently is. If ISPs are allowed to vary the speed with which they deliver services to each website, then they will take ideas that are developed by small businesses, copy them, and make it impossible for these small businesses to succeed. Do not further diminish the United States' standing internationally by making the internet less equal and fair.
144. Jeff cobb, Baton Rouge, LA, 70818  
Internet neutrality rules are just fine under Title II. Leave them where they are. Ajit Pai is full of crap. Please have him eat his Reeses coffee mug. As a former lawyer for Verizon, he should not be employed by the FCC as a janitor, much less the chairman. He should resign immediately.
145. Michael Bellocq, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810

I support Net Neutrality and Title II. Leave my internet alone and keep it open to the consumer.

146. devon core, baton rouge, LA, 70808  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of I.S.P's.
147. Jonathan Livingston, Baton Rouge, LA, 70815  
Please continue to support strong net neutrality under title II !!!!!!!!!!!
148. Anthony Basco, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. The Internet should be treated as a utility and available to everyone equally without restriction.
149. Noah Davis, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
I believe that title II regulations provide necessary protection for net neutrality, and that they should not be changed
150. scott harrison, Baton rouge, LA, 70808  
Please support strong Tile 2 protection and PRESERVE INTERNET NEUTRALITY. I have one internet provided, TimeWarner. I have no choice should they decide to promote themselves or sell my information. My business runs on the internet and it is insane that we have to give over our privacy to a company that no one trusts.
151. Nicholas Portier, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversights of ISPs. It is an important part of our freedom in the current world today.
152. Drake Cole Arnold, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
We depend on Net Neutrality in everyday life. If the ISPs where allowed to run free with out these protective laws censorship and corruption would run amuck. I am greatly Opposed to Docket No. 17-108, and think this nation would be stronger with Net Neutrality in effect.
153. George Washburn, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
I am writing this because I strongly support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I do not want us to have a system in which our internet is not guarded against corporations trying to influence what internet sites I view.
154. william minton, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Please don't mess with the net neutrality rules. No one wants them to change. Please.
155. Lawrence Barcelona, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
I support strong net neutrality.Preserve Title II
156. Tonya Weaver, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
I support strong net neutrality!!!! PRESERVE TITLE III!!!
157. Claire Lowe, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806

Maintain net neutrality under Title II oversight of ISPs.

158. joel baines, Baton rouge, LA, 70810  
Protect network neutrality by ensuring title 2 oversight for isps.
159. Michael R. Lowe, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I strongly support net neutrality backed Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
160. Darren Jones, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
I write to voice my very strong support of net neutrality backed by Title II. Letting ISPs decide the speeds for specific sites and services makes sense for their bottom line, but not for the consumer or small startup internet business. The ISPs have enough of a monopoly, letting them run wild with control of what should be considered a public utility will be a consumer nightmare. Has the FCC been captured by special interests? Or do they truly serve the public interest? I don't have millions of donation dollars but I have my voice joined with millions and millions more. Is the FCC listening? Soon, we'll see.
161. Kevin Diehl, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I would like ISPs to continue to be regulated under Title II, as they are currently. I'm especially concerned about the enforceability of free and open internet rules should ISPs be reclassified as there are documented examples of them manipulating traffic when given the option.
162. john beggs, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
We must keep the Title 2 protections for net neutrality. Title 2 protections are necessary to maintain net neutrality.
163. Ashley Iris, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
ISPs should continue to be classified under title 2. This is for obvious reasons that don't really need to be hashed out again. The decision reached 3 years ago was and is just fine. Please stop trying to change this rule. Thank you for your consideration.
164. Richard A McEwen III, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
Net neutrality is a vital component of the internet. To claim that reclassifying it under title 1 will not harm innovation is truly disingenuous. The attempt to do this harms America's competitiveness in the world. Do NOT change this!
165. Madison Montgomery, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
I support continued net neutrality and the preservation of Title II.
166. Matthew Helmer, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
Along with the vast majority of Americans, I support strong net neutrality regulations. I urge the FCC not to acquiesce to corporate pressure to ease these laws which would disproportionately affect information access to socioeconomically disadvantaged groups, among myriad other issues. Please continue to consider equal internet access as a utility protected by law. Thank you, Matthew Helmer, Ph.D. 317 Glenmore Avenue Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70806 281-731-4900

167. Chris Forbes, Gonzales, LA, 70737  
I feel that we need to KEEP the isps classified as Title 2 carriers, in order to have net neutrality, and to keep the internet open. The companies cannot be relied on to self govern and place the customer first, as has been proved by several issues in the past with zombie cookies on cell phones, and selling of customer data. The companies will do what's best for their revenue, not what is best for the customer, and in this day and age where the internet a human right in several countries, I feel it is best limited to Title 2 and the regulations therein.
168. Vandy Huskey, Maurepas, LA, 70449  
I strongly support net neutrality along with Title II oversight if ISPs. If a publically traded company can legally content that competes against its content they not only will be tempted to do it but legally obligated to their shareholders to throttle.
169. Ben Roubique, Geismar, LA, 70734  
The FCC needs to protect Net Neutrality. It is extremely important to the future of education and business to have a free and open internet without ISPs being able to filter or throttle content. I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108. I encourage the FCC to keep ISPs regulated under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
170. eric, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
preserve net neutrality and title 2 !!!!!
171. Carrie, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
Please do not repeal title 2 of net neutrality. Our internet needs to remain free of corporate interests!
172. savannah barras, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I support title II and maintaining strict rules regulating net neutrality.
173. Brandon Ringe, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
Please keep the internet classified as Title II to preserve net neutrality for the benefit of everyone.
174. adam orihuela, prairieville, LA, 70769  
i want net neutrality to stay under title 2
175. Stuart Haddad, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I support Net Neutrality! GOFCCYOURSELF.COM
176. Julia Schwartz, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
I urge you to protect strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Thank you.
177. Matthew Faha, Houma, LA, 70360  
I support net neutrality and strongly oppose any actions that would infringe upon it. Thank you for your consideration.
178. Jessica McGovern, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Please also do not mislead the

public about your intentions to turn internet speed into another commodity that exploits economic inequality.

179. evan, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806

I support strong Net neutrality under its current Title 2 framework, and oppose any weakening of these consumer protections.

180. Ken Harrison, Livingston, LA, 70754

I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108! Keeping the internet open and neutral is of the utmost importance. We are already falling behind the rest of the world in this area and cannot afford to continue doing so. ISPs are nothing more than providers and should be regulated as such. There are already too many state and local monopolies with nothing being done about them. People don't usually have a choice in ISP if they even have high speed internet access in the first place.

181. Stewart Roeling, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810

Please do not destroy net neutrality and reclassify ISPs into Title I restrictions. Our internet and ISPs are monopolized enough.

182. Anthony Aycock, Prairieville, LA, 70769

The internet has become the primary communications and entertainment outlet for not only this country, but the world. The only groups that benefit from changing the restrictions regarding Net Neutrality are large corporations. But corporations don't vote in this country, people do. And we don't want our access fettered by intentional slowing of our bandwidth due to greedy telecom companies making backroom deals to push the agenda of the highest bidder. Regardless of the assurances that Mr. Pai has made that our ISPs wouldn't do that, everyone, especially Mr. Pai, knows that they have before and would again if given the chance. Mr. Pai knows better than most this is true having worked for Verizon in the past. We want the Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II. Failure to do so will change the internet usage and internet commerce for the entire nation. It's not only in the country's best interest, it's in the best interest of our economy. DO THE RIGHT THING.

183. Jeremy Reiman, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802

I am filing to express my support for net neutrality and keeping ISPs current classification's under Title 2. Equal internet access for all is one of the last pieces of the American culture that is truly equal for all citizens. Allowing ISP's to sell priority access to this open resource threatens all of the benefits equal access internet provides to our citizens and is a direct attack on the American way of life . Thank you.

184. Rikki Willis, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806

Enforce Net Neutrality and Title II categorization for Internet Service Providers.

185. wayne johnston, Destrehan, LA, 70047

Please keep net neutrality in place, as I believe that the Internet is for mankind and that the cable providers are providers of information supplied by others, and just like the telephone companies and oil and gas pipelines, they are mere carriers.

186. Joseph G Simmons, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I exceedingly strongly support the very strongest of internet neutrality rules. I urge you in the strongest of terms to maintain Title II oversight of ISPs as the public utilities that they, in fact, are. Do NOT weaken net neutrality rules!
187. Alex Stewart, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I express strong support for net neutrality as supported by title II.
188. katie pryor, Baton Rouge, LA, 70801  
You serve the public. We support net neutrality. Now, go fight for our right to internet. Those who fight to control information are those who aim to rule by fear. I say again.. Those who fight to control information are those who aim to rule by fear.
189. Kyle Guilbault, Baton Rouge, LA, 70801  
I support net neutrality by use of Title 2 enforcement. The ISPs need to be regulated under title 2. And as government officials you should support the interests of the citizens, not the corporations. The fact that I have to remind is part of the larger problem. You are here for us, not ISP quarterly earnings.
190. Ryan Blanchfield, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I support strong net neutrality backed under title 2 protection provisions
191. Jake Farlow, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
Keep Net Neutrality and ISPs under Title II !
192. Clayton Rosenthal, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I strongly support net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Do not change this regulation.
193. Colin James Stacy, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I want to express my desire for a free and open internet which includes strict title 2 net neutrality oversight over all ISPs
194. Chad Prather, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality is important for the future of entrepreneurship in America.
195. Collom Porter, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
Please keep ISPs classified as Title II to preserve Net Neutrality.
196. chris hybart, Zachary, LA, 70791  
I 100 % support internet Neutrality.
197. James Hardy, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
I am in support of an open and free internet. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
198. Mark Ian Smith, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
I and all of my family and friends support having Net Neutrality. History has shown

that companies will NOT self-regulate or do what is in the best interest of society.

199. Catherine Mou, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
Do not repeal net neutrality ...keep title 2
200. Sean Breaux, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
Keep Net Neutrality! Leave the ISPs as Title 2!!
201. Stacey Emick, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
Thank you for offering the opportunity to express comments regarding net neutrality. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. I do not want ISPs to decide the speed of my internet or hinder my personal choices online or access in anyway.
202. Eason Adams, Des Allemands, LA, 70030  
Chairman Pai, Please do not get rid of net neutrality. ISPs should favor all internet traffic equally. Thank you.
203. Micah Fremin, Thibodaux, LA, 70301  
Please protect net neutrality and keep Title II oversight of ISP's.
204. E Ryan, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I strongly agree with net neutrality and rules backed by Title II.
205. Donald W. Price, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I support continued FCC regulation of internet service providers under Title II and full net neutrality. Conversion to Title I would be an unwarranted sell-out of consumers to ISPs.
206. matthew almdares, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by "Title II" oversight of ISP's
207. Adam St.Pierre, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
KEEP TITLE II in place, please! I want a truly free and open internet where any content can be delivered at the same speed.
208. Lauren Vuillemont, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
Chairman Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's
209. geoffrey badeaux, Baton rouge, LA, 70806  
I support stronger protections for net neutrality under title 2.
210. Geoffrey Bostwick, Houma, LA, 70364  
I just wanted to add a +1 to the league of people wanting net neutrality (Title 2 oversight of ISPs) to be reasonably established. Removing title 2 seems like a pretty terrible idea- who wants to enrich ISP's at the expense of the public?
211. Seth Van Dexter, Thibodaux, LA, 70301

Net neutrality must be maintained under title 2 regulation. The loss of net neutrality would be a disaster for consumers.

212. Michael Ferry, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802  
In the interest of maintaining civility -- and I encourage you to do the same...it's not difficult -- please maintain net neutrality. Do not make changes. Net neutrality works. Thank you.
213. Alicia Diane Ridout, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I support Net Neutrality under Title II. The Internet is required for success in everyday life as are other utilities. When cellular voice networks failed during the recent floods in Baton Rouge, many used internet (particularly email and Facebook) to communicate in this emergency situation. It is being used as a utility and should be regulated as such.
214. Rafael Martin Colina, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
I am in support of ISP falling under Title 2 regulations. In support of regulation allowing net neutrality through the current regulations
215. Lama Hantash, zachary, LA, 70791  
I support net neutrality and the classification of ISP's under Title II which promotes fair competition and equal access.
216. Carleton Ellsworth, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
I am in support of net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am strongly opposed to Docket No. 17-108. Do not let these monopolies have the power to self regulate our (the people's) access to the Internet!
217. GM King, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I strongly support net neutrality. Attempts to reverse course are bad for consumers and bad for public policy.
218. Rafael De Castro, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
I support net neutrality and ask that it remain governed by Title 2.
219. Rachel Fontenot, denham springs, LA, 70706  
I think that it's very important, especially these highly internet based lives that we live that we keep internet access fair and equal! Big companies should not be allowed to do whatever they want regarding internet rules, if they're able to, smaller companies will die out because there's no way to compete with such large companies as verizon and cox if they're allowed to pay to make their stuff better! I will not be fooled! Net neutrality for all! John Olive Rules!!!
220. Cody Christopher Arceneaux, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
I am in strong support of Net Neutrality backed Title 2 oversight of ISPs. It is, quite simply, the most effective legal footing to preserve freedom on the internet and competitiveness between companies using the internet.

221. Donovan McClelland, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Please preserve net neutrality. It is disgusting how quickly the government, which is supposed to be for the people, is selling out to corporate greed. Please legislate and preserve completely neutral agreements that will continue the freedom and equal access to the internet and will viciously prosecute any companies which display an unfair advantage of any kind.
222. Louis Castaing, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
It is incredibly stupid to trust a commercial enterprise to self-regulate its activities in this vital area. If you think the commercial enterprise will do the right thing in regard to allowing equal access to the Internet for all suppliers, then why not put that into the regulations. ISPs should have no reason to complain because they were already to provide equal access anyway (according to your hypothesis). Has the Internet really suffered because of the current regulations? No, in fact, it has thrived. Have ISPs suffered because of net neutrality? Of course not. What is the problem you are trying to fix? Except to make even more money for ISPs at the expense of the end consumers. The job of the Federal Communications Commission under Title II is to enhance communications for the country and not profits for ISPs which are basically conduits and not content providers.
223. Jacob Alberty, Baton Rouge, LA, 70818  
I support a strong network neutrality mandate.
224. David, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I strongly support Net Neutrality. Anything less would be a violation of free speech and detriment to economic growth.
225. Charles Latiolais, Port Allen, LA, 70767  
I strongly believe in the Title II classification required for oversight where Net Neutrality protections are concerned. This is necessary for a strong, free internet for every citizen.
226. Kayla Rushing, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
Net neutrality is what keeps small businesses going. Limiting what we can see online is a sign of a dictatorship
227. Kevin Harris, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
I'm in support of Strong Net Neutrality and Title II. Oppose Docket No. 17-108!
228. Jordan Chiquet, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I strongly support net neutrality, and Title 2 regulation of ISP's. It is obvious that removing these regulations in no way benefits anyone but the telecommunications companies. It is outrageous that we would even consider, as a country, allowing these companies to be deregulated. Many internet providers hold literal or near monopolies in large swathes of rural America. Allowing them to have control over the media their customers consume will lead to a huge number of people with no options being manipulated into consuming the media of the ISP's choice. Obviously in the wrong hands this would prove a very powerful propaganda tool.

229. Kayla Rushing, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
I support net neutrality and Internet Service Providers legally being under Title II
230. Josh Rogers, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I DO NOT support the repealing of Title II!
231. Skylar White, New Roads, LA, 70760  
I am in favor of maintaining net neutrality and an open internet. Net neutrality maintains low barriers of entry into the online marketplace preserving the free market. A free market is essential for capitalist economy. By raising the barriers of entry into a market you eliminate the competition that ensures innovation and fair economic pricing.
232. Mike Le, Baton Rouge, LA, 70815  
I strongly oppose any notion of rolling back net neutrality policies. Strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs is important in keeping ISPs from running rampant and taking advantage of their consumers.
233. Nawaf Awad, prairieville, LA, 70769  
I strong support net neutrality rules under the provisions of title 2.
234. Nicholas Babin, St. Amant, LA, 70774  
I as an American citizen would like to see strong net neutrality and would like for ISP's to be held under section 2 rulings.
235. Marcel Guidry, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Leave the Net under Title II. There is no good reason to give ISPs this kind of control. The fact that the head of the FCC once worked for Verizon and does not understand why this is a bad idea, is beyond my comprehension.
236. Bret Elderd, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I would like to express my opinion that the current version of net neutrality serves the American people well and should not be changed. Preserve net neutrality and keep the current Title 2 classification.
237. Jennifer Kluse, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Current Net Neutrality prevents Inequality.
238. Murray W., Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
Net Neutrality supported by Title II should be permanent for all ISPs.I support Net Neutrality and ask that terms of Title II not be changed or reworded in ways that may allow ISPs the opportunity to circumvent the spirit of the rule and laws we currently have that protect our internet access.
239. Brian Primeaux, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
Keep title 2 regulation and by doing so support real net neutrality.
240. Samantha, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
Net neutrality is the only way to maintain free and equitable Internet access. Freedom

cannot exist where equity does not. Please protect this country's freedom of speech by supporting net neutrality.

241. ara Rubyan, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Please stop messing with a system that works. Keep net neutrality.
242. Jeremy Wesley, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
Please Keep Internet Service Providers under Title 2. Please do not roll back the current restrictions.Thanks!
243. Alan Farris, BATON ROUGE, LA, 70810  
To Ajit Pai and all of the FCC commissioners,I do NOT support your decision to change Internet Service Providers from Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1937. Net Neutrality as it stands right now allows the Internet to be free of monopolization from the Internet Service Providers in regards to how data is transferred to you, the consumer.In fact, if you have read the news just a day ago, after the deregulation of ISPs from Net Neutrality, Time Warner Cable (now known as Spectrum-Charter) is being sued for failing to provide advertised speeds to consumers. Under Title II Regulations, this would have never happened.It does not support your idea of halting expansion by the ISPs. In fact, in 1994, they were given over \$2B to upgrade our infrastructure to a Fiber Optic system, and after the time required passed, they failed in succeeding. Because these companies were allowed to Self-Regulate, they pocketed the money and complained that it wasn't enough to expand, yet they have spent over \$3B this year in legislation in various stages to prevent other ISPs from expanding further, for example Google Fiber.Title II Regulations allow the internet to be free of ISPs determining what I should watch because that is who they paid. I should be able to choose between using Bing, Yahoo!, Google, or any other search engine without discrimination. I should be able to choose to watch Netflix, Hulu, Sling TV, PlayStation Vue whenever I want, however I want, without the ISPs choosing for me what I can and cannot watch.Enforce Title II Regulations further. Do NOT remove Title II Regulations.
244. Joseph Fitzwater, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I strongly support net neutrality and urge the FCC continue to keep all Internet Service Providers classified under Title 2.
245. Cris Monson, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
I support Net Neutrality laws. Internet service providers (ISPs) should treat all legal content and applications equally and not block or slow content.
246. Kyle, Houma, LA, 70364  
Saving net neutrality is important for the future of the internet because if so it will allow companies to slow down other sites by paying more.
247. Clayton Smith, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I support Net Neutrality regulated under Title II. Consumers deserve the liberty to access data from any place on the internet without having ISPs interfere. If a city in Texas doesn't make a deal with my telephone provider, I shouldn't have to worry about

failed calls or poor connections because of their lack of a deal. The same should hold true for the internet.

248. Bobby Campbell, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
I have come to support conserving NET NEUTRALITY under TITLE II, don't mess this up....
249. Kelsie Krafton, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY AND LEAVE INTERNET PROVIDERS UNDER THE JURISDICTION OF TITLE II
250. Mark Crawford, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
Past efforts at the deregulation of internet and cable broadcast services have resulted in consumer costs rising much faster than inflation and the cost of new technology. Watering down the existing regulations requiring "net neutrality" will only allow ISP's to increase their profits by shorting consumers unless higher rates are paid. Already, the FCC has instituted a system of internet "have" and "have-nots" where millions cannot access basic services because of cost. Please do not loosen the exiting regulations.
251. Roger W. and Kelley A. Gingles, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
Internet neutrality is vitally important to both equality of expression and equal participation in commerce in the United States. internet Service Providers (ISPs) have demonstrated by both their behavior and their litigation that regulation via Title 2 is essential to maintaining equal opportunity to communicate freely and to engage in commerce via the internet. The repeal of the rules placing the internet under Title 2 are ill-advised and must not be allowed to happen. Roger W. and Kelley A. Gingles
252. Benjamin Thomas, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
The corporations and the ISPs cannot be trusted to manage the internet as it should be. The internet has become an integral part of modern life and as such it should be treated like water and energy. Net neutrality is important for keeping the internet fair. The ISPs already have monopolies in every city, axing net neutrality would only further drive up the cost of internet. Could y'all please stop buffering with the internet. Classify it as a utility and be done with it. I support net neutrality. Go buffer yourself you buffering buffbags.
253. Cody, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
I support Net Neutrality rules. I do not believe ISPs should have the ability to prioritize certain web traffic over others. Please do not roll back the protections that were put into place for the consumer.
254. Maji Qadri, Denham Springs, LA, 70706  
I disagree with Mr. Ajit Pai's view that oversight enforcing a free and open internet is unnecessary. The actions of internet service providers have made it clear that they cannot be trusted to independently implement the ideals of net neutrality. Mr. Pai's interviews with PBS and other news outlets where he claims net neutrality is not violated "on a widespread level" are misleading and completely ignore what he surely

knows, having been an attorney for Verizon-- that it does happen. I don't believe we should wait for it to meet Mr. Pai's definition of "widespread" before we do something about it. Any level of occurrence is unjust and bad for consumers. Did he support Verizon, AT&T, and T Mobile shutting out Google Wallet from devices they provided service to when they had a competing mobile payment app called Isis that they instead supported? A voluntary agreement from ISPs is far from sufficient, Mr. Pai. They have proved they cannot be trusted to police themselves, and being regulated by Title II doesn't seem to be the regulatory burden that discourages infrastructure investment that you stated it was at The Newseum. According to the Verizon Executive VP and CFO Francis Shammo at the end of 2014 speaking to investors, the switch to Title II "does not influence the way we invest." I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

255. Devin Dufrene, Thibodaux, LA, 70301

The Internet should be a free place without ISPs having the ability to control our access more than they already do. From what I understand, removing the strict governance over the ISPs, they would be able to essentially become a mafia, "taxing" companies and providing the outcomes they want by hurting the underdogs on the net. We need to protect the freedoms we have on the Internet, and show ISPs that we the people want them to stop controlling our access. We've had enough. Thank you for taking the time to read this... Keep up the great work. :-)

256. John Smith, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802

I support Net neutrality in the current version of it's tier 2 implementation. PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2. Ajit Pai, To whom it may concern not everyone is a blathering moron who is unable to see though your shit stained coffee grin that everything you say is complete and utter bullshit sir.

257. Millard Griswold, Lafayette, LA, 70810

Strong support of Net Neutrality rules

258. Su King, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806

I believe firmly that net neutrality is essential to the appropriate functioning or and universal access to the internet. In addition, I believe firmly that the internet has become as essential as electricity, television, and other "essential" utilities therefore should continue to be regulated under title (or chapter) II as a utility.

259. Paige Roberts923@gmail.com, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806

I strongly support net neutrality back by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. The internet should be treated as a utility and available to everyone equally without restriction.

260. nathaniel conner, Prairieville, LA, 70769

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Also if you could please stop treating the public like a bunch of ignorant children. We would greatly

261. Lane Delafosse, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808

It's really not hard. Keep the Internet the same way we keep international waters. Sovereignty should never hold sway over it.

262. Luke Hill, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
The power of the internet is that people are free to browse the websites they want, to see the content they want, and to do it largely free of government or corporate oversight or censorship. Net neutrality underpins that strength, and it also underpins the economic power of the internet. Removing it might make a few companies (ISPs) more money, and it would help giant corporations and conglomerates, but the strength of the internet is in startups and entrepreneurs and competition. This would tilt the level playing field, and in so doing trade long-term economic strength for short-term economic gain. Do not do this, please, I beg of you. I am just one citizen, but please do not do this.
263. Heather Lowry, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II over site of ISPs.
264. Thao Nguyen, Baton Rouge, LA, 70815  
I fully support Net Neutrality and the provision of keeping Title 2
265. Ethan Bateman, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
ISPs need to stay under Title II of the 1934 Communications Act. Net Neutrality needs to have the force of government behind it. There will be no net neutrality if left to companies to do the right thing. They have already when they could slowed down certain Internet traffic.<https://www.polygon.com/2017/2/9/14548880/time-warner-lawsuit-new-york-league-of-legends-netflix><https://arstechnica.com/information-technology/2014/04/after-netflix-pays-comcast-speeds-improve-65/>
266. Lance Hymel, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. We can't let ISPs have that much control. It's anti-consumer, anti-competition, and anti-capitalism.
267. Kelley Gannon, Ventress, LA, 70783  
It is imperative that you maintain NET NEUTRALITY. We are all very concerned with allowing communication companies to monitor themselves and do the right thing. The FCC needs to ensure that the public is protected.
268. Christopher P Algero, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I support strong net neutrality under Title II of the Communications Act. Put down the Reese's cup and do a better job Pai.
269. Robert Lowrey-Dufour, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
Title 2 is critical to securing net neutrality. I believe that ISP's without sufficient oversight will start favoring certain services over others and inevitably will result in the loss of net neutrality. I sincerely hope you make the right decision, and rule in favor of keeping Title 2 classification on ISP's
270. Phillip Berger, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810

Please keep the internet under title ii as a utility. We cannot allow our isps to play favorites with websites and content.

271. Bart Mistrot, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
Please keep the internet free ad open. Please support net neutrality. The Title II oversight needs to be addressedâ€œ, there should be no way for ISPs to slow down anyone's access to anything, keep it free and open.
272. Maritza Smith-Romero, Baker, LA, 70714  
Please preserve "net neutrality" as it exists under title II. The ability to access content through freedom of choice requires a level playing field make possible by net neutrality!
273. Terrence Smith, Port Allen, LA, 70767  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. DO NOT LET CORPORATIONS TAKE CONTROL OF THE INTERNET.
274. Lee Whalen, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
Do not sell out the citizens of America to ISP's by removing net neutrality. This is a complaint from an American citizen who finds it appling that the FCC is even debating removing net neutrality. The government is supposed to act on the behalf of its people and we WANT net neutrality to remain in place. Thankyou.
275. Randy, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I strongly support Net Neutrality, as put forth in Title II. Please do not let the ISP corporations control the internet. It will destroy the next group of entrepreneurs if you don't keep the regulations as they are now. Please keep Net Neutrality the way it is... just say no to corporate overlords!
276. Miriam Davey, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
I want Net Neutrality. I want the Internet to be viewed as a public utility, not a private business or corporate service. MY tax dollars went into developing the internet and therefore, it belongs to me and to all citizens equally. I want federal government oversight of the internet, and I don't want "winners" and "losers" via profit margins and market forces.
277. zachary ball, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
Pia go fuck yourself i was isp s to stay under title 2. go shove that reeses mug up ur arse hole
278. Bailey Wilder, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Preserve strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight on ISPs.
279. Ashley Minvielle, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Strong net neutrality is extremely important, both for small/start-up businesses and freedom of speech online. Stripping away protections for net neutrality would be disastrous for everyone who relies on the internet for business, education, and communication.

280. Morley Diment, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
I strongly support maintaining net neutrality and the application of Title II to ISPs. I see no reason to address regulations that have not been demonstrated to have a negative impact on those regulated by them or those they are meant to protect. Please allocate resources to more purposeful pursuits.
281. Blake Bellefontaine, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
I am for net neutrality and against the open internet. Why should big companies be able to run everything, not giving small businesses a chance. It's not fair. There needs to be a neutral playing field giving everyone a fair chance.
282. Dominique Homberger, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
The FCC Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are important to me. I urge you to protect them. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai will ignore the wishes of millions of Americans. Please publicly support Title II rules and speak up against Chairman Pai's plans. Thank you! Dominique Homberger
283. darrell miller, baton rouge, LA, 70806  
In no way does this legislation look out for the people of this country or the best interests of the people. Internet providers have no business self regulating.. i cant assume these for profit businesses are going to look out for my best interests or deliver a fair and unfiltered, unthrottled system. Please do not pass this legislation.
284. Timothy Sands (a real person unlike all those fake haters of net neutrality), ask me, LA, 70809  
Pai go back to Verizon! Stop sucking Donald Trump's (Putins) c@# \$ holster! Net neutrality must be safe from a-holes like you. Take your reeses coffee cup and shove it up into places that only Trump and Putin can find. How unethical is Pai? Pai and people like him that support limitations on the internet are seriously a threat to the free world. I know Trump wants to be a dictator but why help him get there? Net Neutrality!!!
285. James Thomas, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
Consumers need Net Neutrality. Businesses shouldn't have the right to have the right to have faster speeds than others, or the right to penalize some services with slower speeds. Internet privacy is a right, other countries have this. The US should have this as a right also. This is a huge step back.
286. Net Neutrality, Zachary, LA, 70791  
Please support net neutrality with strong Title II oversight of ISPs.
287. Bret Funk, Zachary, LA, 70791  
My company, InfinIT Technology Group, is a IT services company. We strongly support net neutrality with strong Title II oversight of ISPs.
288. Abigail Provost, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I do not support the dismantling of net neutrality. These provisions keep ISPs in check and protect internet users from manipulation by profit-seeking corporations.

289. Marie Yarbrough, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
I am in strong favor of keeping ISPs under Title II regulation. I want an open and neutral internet for big and small websites to flourish.
290. Ken Albin, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
Leave Title II as is.
291. Jon Golden, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
Net Neutrality as codified in its current form is an invaluable regulation that ensures market health for one of America's most important economic sectors. Allowing a fair playing field that benefits consumers and prevents some of the worst kinds of rent seeking. Changing the legal classification in a way that would allow companies to slow speeds or show preferential treatment perverts that market health and hands control of the internet to what is often near monopolistic companies in many areas of the country. Believing they will act in good faith ignores recent history. As they showed a willingness to use that power in the mobile arena with Google's digital payment app and Verizon throttling Netflix to force a monetary deal with the ISP. I WHOLEHEARTEDLY disagree with any changing of the current legal classification that protects Net Neutrality.
292. Jonathan Paul, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
Protect net neutrality and Title II! I support regulating ISP's!
293. Anthony Stuart, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Don't fucking take away net neutrality.
294. Parker Maness, baton rouge, LA, 70810  
ISPs need to stay under title 2. you will be giving them to much power to screw everyone over. the internet should be open and free no matter what provider you have or what you are streaming or searching.
295. Patrick Reed, Destrehan, LA, 70047  
Please do not roll back the important steps that were taken to ensure a free, open, and neutral internet. Please consider what is in the best interests of the American people rather than former corporate masters or future consulting partners. The internet is the last bastion of true freedom. Please do not provide the means for ISPs to throttle the bandwidth and speeds of traffic to sites not under their direct purview or the purview of their affiliates and partners. A neutral net should be the goal of any free market enthusiast and ensures that startup companies and other new content providers will be afforded the same considerations that more established and large providers enjoy. Do not roll back regulations and reclassify under Title I allowing for our great nation's innovation economy to be stifled as the result of militant lobbyists and other corporate pressure. Do the right thing.
296. Symmes Culbertson, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
We've been through this before, Net Neutrality supported by Title I and II is essential in defending the freedom of the citizens of the United States. Without this guarantee of defense against them, we the people will be, once again, taken advantage of my

massive corporations at every turn with no real recourse.

297. Nicholas Lawrence Fleissner, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
Dear Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I hope you will listen to the American people and make the correct decision representing our views. Thank you and good day.
298. jacob poche, Walker, LA, 70785  
If you slow down my internet then I will not vote for anybody who votes yes
299. s b, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
Keep internet neutrality. Leave Obama's act alone
300. Michael Naquin, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Save the internet! Don't let it become cable.
301. Chad Soileau, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
Net neutrality! Preserve it please!
302. Jonathan Percle, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
The internet is the new infrastructure and everyone deserves equal rights to access everything equally without the cable monopolies throttling speeds and charging ridiculous fees. There is no advantage to overhauling things.
303. Giuliano Campesi, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
ISPs should be regulated as a utilities under Title II. Destroying net neutrality is not pro-business, it is pro-monopoly.
304. Peggy Polk, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
I am submitting this in strong support of Net Neutrality.
305. Alyssa De Vito, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. There is no reason that cable and communications companies should have enough power to control how my family and I decide to use the internet that is filled with websites and content built by millions of people across the world.
306. Jeremy Carruth, Baton Rouge, LA, 70818  
Net neutrality is vital to democracy in the information era.
307. Lauren Mayfield, Zachary, LA, 70791  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. Keep this new and important freedom alive!
308. Erin Hawley, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I am writing in strong support of Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
309. Erin Bailey, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
I support strong net neutrality laws!!!!!!

310. Sara Granier, Thibodaux, LA, 70301  
I strongly support net neutrality and encourage you to keep internet service providers as a Title II utility. Thanks.
311. Blake Bourgeois, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Network neutrality is a requirement for an open and free Internet. Ending network neutrality kills competition, the free exchange of information, and the spirit of the Internet.
312. Gabriella Runnels, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
Dear Ajit--you are clearly a skeevy lawyer who is still in Verizon's pocket. I support ISPs being regulated under Title II. Protect net neutrality!!
313. Aldo Russo, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
I would like you to consider preserving net neutrality under Title II.
314. James Rogers, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
I urge you to preserve net neutrality and Title II and will not stand by any action against these policies and practices.
315. Emma Devillier, Plaquemine, LA, 70764  
I am strongly in favor of preserving net neutrality and Title II. PLEASE PRESERVE WHAT WE HAVE AS IS.
316. Ramo Stott, Baton Rouge, LA, 70803  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Freedom online creates opportunities for all. It is one of the few things that is relatively fair and largely equal footing regardless of race and social class.
317. Jason Christian, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I would like for the FCC and Chairperson Ajit Pai to know that I support net neutrality backed by strong Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Thank you.
318. none, saint amant, LA, 70774  
I strongly support new neutrality. ISP's need to remain title 2.
319. Wayne Sterling, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
The internet should be classified as a utility and not subject to manipulation and control by businesses (telecommunication companies in particular) to decide what services get preferential treatment at the expense of other services. These roll backs are not pro-consumer and I expect the FCC to listen to the American people who are very much against this proposal.
320. Bernard Knobloch, Thibodaux, LA, 70301  
I support net neutrality. I pay for my internet connection and am charged based on the speed of my connection. To allow an internet service provider to limit my access based on the isp's desire to favor its own product or charge others for access to me is anti-competitive. The title of this proposal is 1984 speak. It doesn't support internet

freedom it supports the freedom of isps to limit competition and to restrict access to the internet. Commisioner Pai's comments claiming that isps would never use their quasi monopolies to limit competition is at best disingenuous and ignores the past behavior of the isps. These comments remind me of the claims of tobacco companies that there were no adverse health consequences from smoking.

321. Sarah Dauterive, Thibodaux, LA, 70301  
Supporting Title 2 regulation is vital for a free and open internet!
322. Brandon Michel, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Please keep net neutrality to prevent larger websites from receiving priority traffic; it will only narrow the horizons of thought the internet allows.
323. Shawn Doucet, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
I strongly feel that classifying the internet under Title II regulations is essential to maintaining a free and open internet.
324. Jacob Mayeux, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
To whom it may concern:Title 2 allows rules to be put in placed so that large companies are unable to censor/control what we see/use on the internet. By having these rules companies are unable to throttle internet connections to sites that are in competition with them. It allows users to visit any website that we choose without having individual sites banned by individual companies. Allowing the ISPs to control what we are able to view is not freedom and un-American. Please do not allow large companies/ISP to rule over information superhighway.
325. James, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
An open and free Internet is paramount!!I support strong net neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
326. Jonathan Upperman, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
Keep Net Neutrality and Title II !!!!
327. Paul T., Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
Protect consumer freedom. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
328. Harley wisdom, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's. I am not in support of proceeding 17-108.
329. Haggai Davis, III, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
I am very strongly in favor of keeping the internet a Type II commodity. Keeping Net Neutrality is one of the most important issues to me and others like me. ISP's have shown they do not have the end users' best interests at heart, only their shareholders. Voting to change the current regulations would absolutely guarantee me to vote against you in the next election

330. Steve Stark, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
I am in favor of retaining the Net Neutrality rules implemented by the Obama administration.
331. Will, Prarieville, LA, 70769  
Working for a company that relies on a strong internet service is something that needs to be protected, and due to recent events, we need to entirely make sure it is alright for us. Thank you for this.
332. chelsi mcbarber, New orleans, LA, 70726  
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. Deregulating would be probably the worst thing you could do for the public.
333. Anthony Langford, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
Do not rollback title 2 regulations on ISPs, dot not undo net neutrality rules. Traffic from all sites would be treated equally by ISPs, they have no business being able to dictate what sites will bed faster than others.If this did become a business practice by ISPs then many people outside of cities will be suppressed. I have 2 choices to wired internet at my house, Cox and Eatel. My inlaws have 1 option, Eatel. There is not enough competition in the market to give these companies less regulation.
334. Christopher Pearson, Baton Rouge, LA, 70803  
Please keep net neutrality. It's kind of important. Thank you!
335. Monica Rogers, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
I specifically support strong net neutrality!!!!
336. Kyle Rogers, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
I specifically support net neutrality!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
337. Net, New Orleans, LA, 55005  
I write today to express my concerns with repealing Net Neutrality and Title II. Our economic system is based on greed, and the large corporations are wolves waiting to feast. We see not danger in the current structure of our internet service. Verizon's representatives have expressed in past years the continued investment in services through various channels, in an attempt to flaunt Verizon's flexibility. Our society has been told over and over that less government regulation of industries is important. We are told repeatedly that this is the best direction for free markets. Should we at some point ask ourselves if this top-down truth is actual, or are our surrounding warranting a different analysis? I believe my surroundings to be overwhelmingly empirical than that of top-down truth in the analysis that Net Neutrality and Title II have shown no signs of being inefficient to the consumer. I plead the FCC dismiss the current course of repealing Title II and Net Neutrality. -Net Neutrality for All...
338. Steven Spencer, Albany, LA, 70711  
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Please end the government takeover of the Internet and stop title II. Thank you so very much. Regards, Steven Spencer 29490 Old CC Rd Albany, LA 70711"

339. Brennon Denny, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
I support strong net neutrality with a Title 2 provision and oversight for ISPs.
340. Stella Boudreaux, Thibodaux, LA, 70301  
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, WE need the back before Obama's mess with the internet takeover. PLEASE & THANK YOU Regards, Stella Boudreaux 226 Lafaye Ave Thibodaux, LA 70301"
341. William T, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
Keep net neutrality. Big companies already have much control over terms of service leaving no room for consumers to have a say in the ISP contract that is signed.
342. Christine Assaf, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
Please understand that the internet is not a luxury anymore but a necessity. Business require applications via the internet, people can sometimes only view their bank accounts online, information is transferred via the web now. To allow corporations to use it as a method of commerce by hindering bandwidth is essentially denying many people the ability to access the internet. As with most things if you allow capitalism to take over on Net Neutrality then marginalized citizens will lose. Keep Net Neutrality!
343. Tylor Sweeney, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
I urge the FCC not to roll back the Wheeler-era net neutrality rules. Giving ISPs the "freedom" to regulate access to content and give priority access to their own first-party content does not make the internet more accessible, affordable or equal. It does the opposite. I urge the FCC to continue to support and enforce the Wheeler-era rules and move toward Title II utility classification for ISPs. Encourage both free enterprise online by removing carrier/ISP interference and encourage physical marketplace competition by forcing ISPs to share existing and future internet infrastructure.
344. Steven Milling, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I support net neutrality in general and Title II regulation for ISPs specifically as safeguards against unfair and harmful practices.
345. Nicholas, Hammond, LA, 70403  
You know why I'm here. Chipping away at net neutrality and allowing Internet Service Providers to dictate data access is an abhorrent assault on the medium of interaction that most embodies American ideals of freedom, the Internet. Please do not give ISPs more control over data flow by rescheduling them in order to accomodate them. Protect the freedom inherent in the internet.
346. Andy Hidalgo, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I am a web developer and I believe net neutrality is fundamental for what I do to provide for my family. Do not deregulate internet, keep title 2
347. Ronald Menville, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please consider my opinion in your proceedings.

348. Michael, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
Nothing could be more important then strong Net Neutrality rules!!!!
349. Betty Bowers, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Please support the rollback of obaas Internet takeover. Regards, Betty Bowers 13511 Marinwood Ave Baton Rouge, LA 70810"
350. Monica Hays, Houma, LA, 70364  
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, I support the rollback of FCC regulations inacted under President Obama. I am for Internet freedom. Regards, Monica Hays 606 Douglas Dr Houma, LA 70364"
351. Robert Sinitiere, BATON ROUGE, LA, 70817  
Protect net neutrality from greedy Republican
352. Geoffrey Bruening, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
I support net-neutrality and the classification of internet service providers under Title II. Net-neutrality promotes American innovation, puts startup companies on an equal footing thus advancing entrepreneurship, and protects consumers. Additionally the argument that net-neutrality decreases infrastructure investment is wrong, as evidenced by the statements of internet providers in their official statements to investors. Internet providers already have little to no competition in many areas of the country, and this lack of competition does not allow the market to self impose beneficial tends. Additionally the proposed changes include the allowing of throttling (paragraph 82) and hiding fees and data caps (section 89); this is also unacceptable. These proposed changes will not leave the United States in a position to expand and maintain leadership in the internet and associated industries. Given the wide reaching impact of the internet in modern society and the greatly positive impacts of a free and open internet and the potential negative impacts of removing it, the internet providers should remain under Title II.
353. tiffany cato, GRAY, LA, 70359  
freedom of the press is very important to our cultural backbone!
354. Louis Castaing, BATON ROUGE, LA, 70808  
It is important to keep the Internet open to everyone. This is a free speech issue.
355. Sherry Byers, BATON ROUGE, LA, 70820  
We need net neutrality!! Stop trying to get rid of it!!
356. Louise Martin, BATON ROUGE, LA, 70809  
Not every country has freedom of speech and an Internet protected by Title II rules the way the U.S. does. Preserve net neutrality from its dismantling by FCC chair Ajit Pal
357. Dale M. Ulkins, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversights of ISP's!

358. Alexandra Partridge, Baton rouge, LA, 70815  
I support strong net neutrality laws. Keep ISPs under Title II
359. Ashley Cleveland, Baton Rouge, LA, 70115  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. As an internet consumer, I demand that the regulations of ISPs remain under Title II regulations. ISPs can not be trusted to keep the internet neutral.
360. Taylor Jones, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. ISPs cannot be trusted to voluntarily maintain equal access to all internet content.
361. Greg Johnson, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
I support a free and open internet as assured by NET NEUTRALITY. I do not support the rollback of the net neutrality rules imposed by the Obama Administration.
362. Cody Tregre, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802  
I support net neutrality and title 2, so don't be stupid
363. Lane Legendre, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
I support strong net neutrality regulations for ISP's backed by Title II. Please do not gut the current regulations by reclassifying them as Title I. I have no faith that ISP's will adhere to fair play guidelines without legally enforceable regulations that require them to treat all internet traffic equally.
364. Stephens Dodson, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
We, as a human species with unparalleled access to a wealth of information, need net neutrality. To ask for more government intervention regarding the internet seems counter-intuitive but in this case it is a necessity. Thank you for your consideration.  
#LastWeekTonightwithJohnOliver #GoFCCYourself
365. Carson Hellberg, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I am in support of strong net neutrality and that is back by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Anything less is to spit in the face of every person who tries to start an independent online business or anyone that uses the internet ever. the fact that this is something that your new director thinks is negligible is appalling to me.ps. Your mug looks like something that parents give their toddlers to allow them to "play grown up" and pretend to drink coffee.
366. Robin Terrebonne, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Please preserve net neutrality. It is important to citizens' liberty and to the nation's economy. Many internet providers are monopolies in their service areas. If commercial interests pay these providers to limit access to certain websites, then those citizens cannot obtain access from another service provider.
367. Milton Witty, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Rollback Obama's illegal internet takeover immediately! Regards, Milton Witty 10564 Creek Hollow Ct Denham Springs, LA

70726"

368. Kemp Honeycutt, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
I express in the strongest terms imaginable by total disdain for this measure. Net Neutrality is not something the FCC should be touching. Ending it would be a windfall for the big cable companies and Verizon. The nonsense we hear from those who want to end it is disingenuous at best, laughingly dishonest at worst. I guess this is a chance for the FCC to show America who you support and defend: consumers, or your sugar daddy lobbyists.
369. Taylor Wetherford, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
The removal of title 2 status for isp's serves no-one except for the isp's themselves. Doing so would encourage corruption in an already corrupt backwards system. Americans on average pay more for slower internet, than the majority of developed country's. We do not need to encourage this. If you truly are Servants of the people you won't consider for a second removing any regulations. I hope this doesn't fall upon deaf ears.
370. michael, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I support a free and open internet. Preserve net neutrality and title II. Please do the right thing here. Thank you.
371. caleb bourgeois, Thibodaux, LA, 70301  
I support net neutrality under Title II classification. The internet should remain equal to all.
372. Parker Kelley, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
I am in support of maintaining the title 2 common carrier status of ISPs. I support the open internet rules of 2015. Do not sell our greatest resource (the internet) to the highest bidder!
373. Irene Jorge, ZACHARY, LA, 70791  
We need to keep the internet open and stop giving power to corporations to abuse customers. Corporations do not act in the best interest of the people or its consumers. They only act in the best interest of their "Bottom Line". The government has a fiduciary responsibility to insure that consumers are protected against the over reaching power of the Corporations.
374. Ethan Barbin, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
Please, for the good of all Americans, uphold the policies of net neutrality and keep ISPs under the jurisdiction of Title II to keep the regulation of Internet Providers in line with the wishes and best interests of normal Americans for a free and open internet.
375. James Steves, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I support internet neutrality and want its oversight to remain under the auspices of Title 2.

376. William Martin, Raceland, LA, 70394  
The FCC must continue to protect Net Neutrality and continue to classify ISPs under Title II! This is the type of regulation that we need in America to protect the consumers from Big Business.
377. Justin Evans, Denham Springs, LA, 70706  
Have you ever tried to download something only to be hit with ads and wait timers? What if the entire Internet was like that? This is the dream of FCC Chairman Pai. I am calling for Mr. Pai's resignation because of this and the massive conflict of interest having an ex-Verizon lobbyist as an FCC Chairman.
378. Nicholas Perry, Walker, LA, 70785  
Not only will this end any instances of healthy and stabilized competition on a business level, but this will basically end all trust of consumers to a platform that has been protected on the basis of access to everything. Now you have invited the unwelcome guest of unfair marketing as well as the opened possibilities of discrimination among the world wide web. In an age where discrimination is unwanted and unnecessary, I see no reason at all to give in to even further possibility of discrimination on any level much less the eventual downfall of healthy business models of competition on the web.
379. Bruce Burge, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
This is a shameful cash grab, Not only is this a bad idea, but it is clear that Ajit Pai should step down as chairman and be replaced with a consumer friendly chairman.
380. Ronald Messina, Greenwell Springs, LA, 70739  
tell dumpy, er, trump y and his slime bags to leave the internet alone
381. Phillip Fernberg, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802  
I support current regulations on net neutrality and highly encourage legislators and the FCC to continue supporting them as well.
382. Bitu, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Please stop destroying net neutrality
383. Sean Love, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
It is important to me that we continue to maintain net neutrality via the Title 2 law that is currently in place. Thank you.
384. Zachary Sparcella, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
I'm making it known that I support net neutrality and the strong enforcement of title 2 regulations on Internet Service Providers.
385. Marilyn White, Zachary, LA, 70791  
We need less regulation on the internet
386. Karen Merrifield, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
I support net neutrality and urge you to reject Chairman Pai's proposal. For all to have

equal access to the resources of the internet needs to be protected. Thank you.

387. Rick Files, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810

Please legislate to make the Internet free enter your comment here.

388. Celeste Melancon, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810

I support Net Neutrality and Title II. I do not trust any internet service company to be fair on their own. I do not want my ISP, who I pay too much money to, allowed to determine the internet speeds of various services.

389. Andrew Landry, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820

I advise the FCC to defend net neutrality and Title II to preserve a free and open internet

390. Marissa Turner, Prairieville, LA, 70769

I support net neutrality and believe ISPs should be regulated under Title II.

391. Patrick D, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820

I am expressing my concern about maintaining net neutrality and title 2 classification of ISPs. In my mind, both of these are extremely important in maintaining the integrity of the internet as we know it. Please take the interests of real people, actual citizens, in mind and not the corporations. Please uphold net neutrality. Thank you.

392. Joseph D. Hall, Walker, LA, 70785

The public paid to develop the internet. Remember DOD arpanet Greedy companies seek to have something for nothing. The internet is a public utility. Rich people will learn about honesty, integrity, and plain dealing or they will cease to exist. If you want to know why they want to end net neutrality follow the money. I voted for Trump but from what I see saw far he will not be reelected. Sad when the only good things you can say about him is he's not Hillary and he's not Barack. A president for the people would leave it alone. He is not doing what Reagan would do.

393. Joshua Maciejewski, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808

TITLE 2 protections are the best way to protect net neutrality. Please keep these protections in place.

394. Julie M Thompson, Prairieville, LA, 70769

I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 over sight of ISP's. It just right and fair.

395. Thad Glindmeyer, Prairieville, LA, 70769

To quote the FCC's own mission statement, "To be an agent of positive change, striving for continuous improvement in FCC's management and program operations." These changes proposed by Ajit Pal are not in the best interests of consumers and do not create an atmosphere for positive innovation on the internet. Quite the opposite: this proposal will lead to preferred (and ultimately, denied) traffic on the internet. This is stifling for new ideas and designs to get through to consumers and the voting public. The current Title II rules currently ensure the existing (and intended) nature of the

internet. I strongly oppose removing the net neutrality protections in place and support Chairman Pal's removal if these numerous public comments are ignored in his crusade to destroy the neutrality of an open, and inspiring, internet.

396. Kevin Ricciardo, Hammond, LA, 70403

Internet protections created in 2015, provided additional security for consumers like myself. Understanding the corporations that are in favor of eliminating these protections, like Verizon, we can be sure that their intention is self serving and will only limit access for consumers and drive prices up for the sole purpose of profit. Limiting internet access for individual consumers affects access to education, world events, and economic opportunities. The internet opens doors for low income families that are non-existent without it. Allowing corporations to purchase larger shares of bandwidth will only further their foothold on our economy with no justification other than for them to pocket more money. The same corporations have seen incredible growth in their profits as well as the infrastructure of their services since these additional protections have been added providing evidence that these corporations can continue to grow, innovate, and profit from the services these hard working consumers purchase.

397. Gordon Daniel, Baton Rouge, LA, 70815

I understand that "Net Neutrality" is supposed to mean all applications have equal access to band width. The argument that by allowing the largest companies to bargain for more speed and lower rates is the same argument that government officials made when allowing manufacturers to make their products overseas at a lower cost and pay no tariffs. That too was supposed to benefit consumers with lower price but that didn't happen because what economists call "price equilibrium" didn't move. Eliminating "Net Neutrality" will simply make the big players richer while putting the small players out of business, and you all know it as well as I do.

398. Stephen Merritt, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806

The idea of introducing a pay-for-priority system to the internet... is heinous. It's unwanted, and unwelcome as made obvious by nearly every comment here. You know you can't possibly justify this kind of action with any evidence that it will benefit the american people or small businesses, this is wrong and it's obvious that only the uninformed or those who would stand to benefit from these predatory practices are in favor of this. Do not do this.

399. Steven Deshane Summers, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816

If the U.S. government becomes the Internet's traffic cop, online service providers will lose their incentive to continue investing in projects that improve their networks and expand into areas that have little or no high-speed access. This would lead to less innovation and threaten millions of jobs, according to cable and telecommunications companies spearheading the argument for little or no regulation. IT would threaten millions of jobs and a diverse array of people! More regulation under rules created in a dramatically different era also threatens to bog down the Internet in more government bureaucracy and meddling. The 1934 Telecommunications Act would be the foundation of net neutrality, as envisioned by Obama, and it's not clear how much the law would be updated. Broadband for America likened Obama's proposal to the efforts

of governments in China and Russia to gain more control over the Internet.

400. Ashley Case Duckworth, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I'm writing to express my strong opposition to the "Restoring Internet Freedom" regulation, in large part because the regulation as proposed will actually lessen the freedom of most people who are the real citizens of this country, not the corporations that have been lobbying for this measure. The Internet must remain a Title-II-regulated entity, with ISPs providing equal access to data to all of their customers indiscriminately. Please keep our Net open and neutral. Do the Right Thing, by your people and by your future generations.
401. Alexander Lambert-Oswald, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
I oppose proceeding 17-108 as I believe the internet should be treated as a utility as telephone lines are.
402. Adrienne Wood, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I want to make it clear that it is best for all of America to protect Net Neutrality. Net neutrality protects small businesses as well as consumers.
403. Amanda Millet, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
Keep the internet EQUAL!!!! Vote against this!
404. Sarah Dauterive, thibodaux, LA, 70301  
Support Title Two! Net neutrality is vital!
405. Rodolfo J. Aguilar III, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I am in strong support of maintaining Title II classification for internet services. Internet has become ingrained and interwoven into our lives, and the FCC's ability to put teeth to any regulation it promulgates is contingent on internet service being a Title II item.
406. Stephens Dodson, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
Please do not turn your back on us. We need net neutrality.
407. Courtney Price, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
I am commenting to share my support for net neutrality. I do not believe that isp companies should legally be allowed to take action to slow down the service from certain web content.
408. Michael Watts, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and I believe efforts to roll back rules protecting net neutrality are harmful to innovation and entrepreneurship on the internet.
409. Danielle Blanchard, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802  
Please preserve net neutrality and the Title II provisions that protect the free exchange of ideas over the internet that Americans currently enjoy.
410. Net Neutrality, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I care about maintaining equality on the internet and strong net neutrality laws. ISP

should be classified under title 2.

411. Erin Walden, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810

Some reports in the media have said that these comments are all bots, but let me assure you, I'm not a bot and I'm concerned about the effects that profit-seeking by internet providers will have on the internet. I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers.

412. Tim Connors, Denham Springs, LA, 70726

I support Net Neutrality. If the FCC goes forward with this it will do nothing but further stifle small business and regular users while increasing profits for ISPs for doing nothing more than holding the content we consume hostage.

413. Robin Fontenot, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808

Keep the internet neutral. It is not up to corporations to tell me what I can and cannot access on the internet. Eventually someone will take advantage of this situation and manipulate its users to increase its bottom line. I am not interested in their bottom line, why are you? Do the right thing. We are watching everything you do and taking notes. This is supposed to be a job where you work for the public interest, not a springboard to a bigger paycheck when you sell out the public in order to line your own pockets. Do the right thing.

414. jennifer hebert, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806

Please stop the repeal of net neutrality. The internet is a public good, and tax payers have heavily subsidized the Corporations who developed these networks. Now, Mr. Pai is serving as a corporate stooge is using falsehoods (according to Verizon's own shareholder meeting) to justify this give away. The FCC risks its entire mandate with this move. Are you here to sell out our government for you corporate overlords or do you believe in a public trust around public goods? We paid the bill to develop these networks; now you want to let the regulate the flow of data. You are abdicating your power to the profitable. We trusted you; and you failed... unless you reverse this decision. Help us FCC, you're our only hope.

415. Fazal Khan, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806

As a concerned citizen I support net neutrality. ISPs should not be allowed to throttle speeds for websites that are not paying them. This is tantamount to a doctor getting kickbacks for prescribing specific medication or for referring patients to certain specialists. That is against the law, and this should be as well.

416. Nicholas de Godoy Lopes, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809

I grew up in an age of an open internet. It has allowed me to stay in contact with family that live in other countries. Almost all of my work and study has involved me doing intensive online research on academic sites, library, and archive sites. I am part of several tremendous communities who were born and blossomed on the internet. I get my entertainment almost entirely from it. I cannot imagine a world where the internet is not completely open. I cannot even imagine what would happen to the landscape of the internet should these rules go into place. People need an open internet. We cannot let ISPs discriminate or constrict what their consumers are allowed access

to. Entire communities of people rely on easy access to the internet: academicians, artists, entertainers, students, non-profit organizations, small businesses who use online promotion, people who rely on social media to stay in contact with friends. I think almost everyone will be hurt by net neutrality because in the end what is being hurt is the free flow of information that modern society and progress is built on today. These rules were fought for, millions commented, and these rules were passed because people knew how important that the internet stayed open. Please do not undo net neutrality rules. Undoing those rules will do untold damage to the ability of our businesses to grow, academic and scientific progress to happen, art to be created, people of all political, social, and economic backgrounds to have their voices heard. The voices of millions should not be overridden by the voices of a few corporate speakers. Please keep the internet open. Reject attempts to undo net neutrality. I appreciate you maintaining Title II net neutrality rules and the rights of Internet users like me.

417. Marcy Sasser, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810

Please do not remove Title 2 as a protection for consumers in regards to Net Neutrality. The cost of ISP services is already high enough without giving them the power to drive my use to their preferred service, channels or shows. It's appalling to think the FCC would expose the very people paying their salaries to this type of injustice, while ignoring their job responsibilities to protect those same people.

418. Wendy J, Prairieville, LA, 70769

Ban "fast lanes" and leave Net Neutrality in place as is. Do not alter the Net Neutrality rules.

419. Ronald Lee, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806

I assume you already know all the arguments made by those espousing net neutrality backed by title ii oversight. I just want to say I am one more actual human on the side of strong oversight. Please don't let a bunch of comment-flooding robots sway you into making a decision that favors the robot-army owners over actual people.

420. Daniel Novak, Houma, LA, 70364

I support net-neutrality, as it promotes a free and alive internet without the intervention of those in power. Without net-neutrality, there's no stopping the ones who already have a lot of power from gaining even more power over the content we consume and create. The internet was designed to be free, and it should stay free.

421. Net Neutrality, denham springs, LA, 70726

I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank you. Feel free to contact me for any confirmation. DO NOT repeal Net Neutrality. I will also contact my house representative and state senator regarding this matter.

422. Daniel Lane, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808

I strongly support FCC net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isp's.

423. James Hardy, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820

I support Strong net neutrality rules backed by title two regulation of isps.

424. James Fuller, Holden, LA, 70744  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. We have been fighting for this right for years, and every year someone wants to take it away. This cannot be allowed as a violation of freedom and privacy.
425. Dustin Berthelot, Gonzales, LA, 70737  
Good day, Please keep large ISP companies under Title II regulations. Voluntary adherence to ethical procedures by these companies is admirable, but it should also remain mandatory for the benefit of open and competitive markets for the public. Thank you for your time and trust the ISP companies recognize the will of the people. V/R, DMB
426. sherry alexander, Denham Springs, LA, 70706  
I strongly support ISP's Title II Net Neutrality rules. We need Title II rules and regulations.
427. Tyler Williams, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 over site of internet service providers (ISP).
428. Chris Rivel, BATON ROUGE, LA, 70816  
Keep Internet neutrality safe by keeping ISPs in Title 2.
429. zachary ball, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I want net neutrality please keep all isps under title 2
430. Justin Zyla, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
We fully support maintaining strong net neutrality, classifying ISPs under Title II, and we're concerned that y'all make it intentionally difficult for people to actually make a comment by requiring obscure proceedings numbers and a more than one-click comment process. It's an unfortunate game that we hope you won't try to play in the future. In sum, please don't mess with our internet. We want our internet to maintain itself as open and free, with the regulations currently on the books, because these regulations help to ensure a fair ground upon which companies can compete without preventing us from freely choosing what we want to watch. Don't mess with our freedom.
431. David Norwood, Baton rouge, LA, 70810  
Maintaining regulation of ISPs under Title II is the only way to ensure net neutrality. Courts made clear that reverting to Title I is inadequate.
432. Ed, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802  
I strongly oppose the change in regulation of ISPs from Title II to Title I or to any lesser standard than Title II. I do not believe that voluntary self-regulation will work any better for ISPs than it did for pre-Sarbanes Oxley financial audit providers. Oversight of Internet neutrality must be enforceable by the FCC. The foxes should not be put in charge of the hen house.

433. Michael Roper, Houma, LA, 70360  
I support Title II. Do not let the broadband companies take more power away from its customers. There is no support for continued de-regulation on this issue. I have voted against and will continue to campaign against any political figure, business, or provider that support the removal of Title II protections. If you go forward with this action, it will become a rallying cry against you, your organizations, your donors, and political cronies. Ignore us at your peril and expect us.
434. Rebel Ellerbee, Baton Rouge, LA, 70815  
I am writing in support of net neutrality and against the efforts FCC Chairman Pai to destroy net neutrality as we know it. Keep the protection of Title 2 that were added by the Obama administration. The free & open Internet is one of very few areas in lives of Americans that giant corporations do not yet completely control, like they do the government and economy. Keep Net Neutrality and stop the threat from Chairman Pai and the 17-108 act.
435. Amanda Goncu, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
I am a Librarian in East Baton Rouge Parish. In our metro area, a significant portion of the population can not afford broadband access at home. Many patrons also depend on the library's internet to apply for government assistance programs. The disenfranchised will be some of the first victims of internet slowdowns, and will further hurt our democratic institutions that matter now more than ever. Thank you.
436. Eric Prather, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
I support strong net neutrality regulations and remaining under title II of Communications Act of 1934.
437. Alesin T. Tipler, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
I support regulations to ensure net neutrality, including but not limited to, regulating ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
438. Joseph Fitzwater, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I strongly support keeping Internet Service Providers classified under Title 2. Net neutrality is very important and we need to keep the internet a free market. Please resist lobbying from cable companies and the very pro-cable FCC head and listen to the people! Keep ISPs classified under Title 2
439. Christina Armistead, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
To whom it may concern,I am an English instructor at Louisiana State University. I am writing to express my strong support of net neutrality and its continued regulation under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
440. Donovan McClelland, Baton Rouge, LA, 70815  
Dear FCC, I cannot believe I live in a country where politicians are so openly willing to sell out the freedoms of Americans. It is disgusting that the FCC you will even consider rolling back any consumer protection's on this matter. The FCC is here to protect customers from the overreach of businesses and create an environment that allows us free and open access to the media of the consumers choosing, and allowing

competition among businesses to compete in a capitalistic environment. I strongly urge you to keep in place all net neutrality rules and to make them permanent. The Internet is the technology of the future, and it must remain free and open to all. Donovan McClelland

441. Allysson Lunos, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Net neutrality is important to me because I believe consumers should have the right to decide what they do on the internet when they want to - NOT to have this freedom removed by ISP's controlling internet speeds at their whim. Thank you.
442. Jason Alexander, Denham Springs, LA, 70706  
Net neutrality needs to be left alone. No government, corporation, group, nor person should have ability to change, nor control the internet.
443. jon malone, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
Please rid us of the overbearing government regulations known as net neutrality. We don't need antiquated regulations choking the development of internet infrastructure and technology. End Net Neutrality.
444. JOEY PLAUCHE, SAINT AMANT, , LA, 70774  
I would like to say that government is trying to tell us how to live again. I would like to be the one to choose where I do business. I don't need anyone dictating to me where I can go or what I can eat or say. So I really don't need anyone to tell me what my limited choices in life are. I want to do business where I want to and that is the way it is suppose to be in a TRUE DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY.
445. Will Decker, Baton Rouge , LA, 70817  
The FCC should have nothing to do with the Internet. Free speech is only free if it is truly free of FCC regulation.
446. Leon O Mitchell, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
Going away from net nutrality to the potential of the net being controlled by huge corporations smells.
447. Stephon Glover, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
Getting rid of net neutrality is the first step into nineteen eighty-four.
448. Kori Winstead, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
Why should the Internet be censored again? Oh wait, to appease corporations and profit, right? It's okay, though; I don't expect logic to apply to people that are dumber than me. Hopefully everyone will see the futility of this soon enough... before it's too late.
449. Ron Mangels, Houma, LA, 70364  
I for one do not think that our federal government has any business or the need to meddle with the internet. Looking at the government's track record of getting involved in many different matters seems to point to the same result and that is failure. The free market system has served us well and we need to let it continue. So please leave the

internet free for all to use how they would like. Ron Mangels

450. James Swindle, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
The FCC needs to leave the internet alone keep it as is.
451. Cheryl Waller Garon, Lakeland, LA, 70752  
How was there ever an Internet opened for public or private or even business use?, if?, It will now be something that the federal government is going to use in order to spy on the American citizens that have the use of Internet? I am talking about all American citizens, even though in my opinion American citizenship should only include people whom were actually BORN in the USA? Or even if born American whether international parentage is involved why were they ever even considered Americans?, if their parentage was of 2 persons whom were not American citizens? I guess my main question is when did The Great USA suddenly turn into a country that is led by Extreme Political Influence, (As in Russian government, or Iran/Iraqi?, types of dictatorship?) The United States constitution does not give a Man or a Woman or Any One Individual the right to feel, or act, or behave in any such way as to make this United States of America!, become a country that should have ever been Run by only some particular set of Elected by the People, Politicians? God created this world and everything in it according to His Perfections! What is the Problem, Problems with these so called Elected Government Officials? I can not and do not understand this AT ALL!!!!!! I know that I am not the only person whom feels This Way: As I know personally most everyone I know feels the same way As I Do? Explain please I mean AFTER ALL I am a Registered Voter myself, as well as My entire family, and all of My Friends and Acquaintances, Former or Present Church Family, Etc., Cheryl Garon
452. Pawan, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
I don't like sharing my personal browsing habits (good or bad) with any entity or company. Please consider net neutrality in its entirety
453. Virginia Tolles, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
The internet needs to be readily available to all. That is a freedom that is lost whenever something is put in the hands of big business. Serve the people, not the dollar. Please!
454. M. T. MELVIN, THIBODAUX, LA, 70301  
PLEASE DO NOT SCREW UP THE INTERNET!
455. Curtis Appleby, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
The internet can only fulfill its potential when all connections are on an equal footing
456. Alfred Beach, Baton Rouge, LA, 70818  
I think we have a lot of big companies trying to get into our lives. We need to have neutrality to have free speech. I don't want big companies choose what they I watch & do online.
457. Sashania Rodriguez, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Please don't take away Net neutrality. I need it in order to help my business bloom. As a young entrepreneur, I rely on the internet to access different clienteles on a daily

basis to help my business grow. I also use the internet on my free time to watch my TV shows and other things on the internet. I love streaming shows and movies on my laptop and on any of my internet devices. I also love how with the internet I have freedom of speech as well. I believe we have the rights to speak and watch anything we want to without being penalized on what we say and how much we watch something. I mean, isn't that a reason we live in America, the land of the free.

458. Bruce Fraser, Baker, LA, 70714

To keep America great, the internet must remain free for all the people. As a veteran I served to protect that freedom. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!!!

459. Jonathan P Decker, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806

Keep the internet open and free! Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech in today's world and our freedom is not for sale to the massive telecom corporations!

460. Jerome Freedman, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809

I thought you people were against government regulation of anything. Leave the internet alone, it works just fine, thanks.

461. Jonathan P Decker, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806

Net neutrality is as important to freedom of speech in the 21st Century as newspapers were in the 18th, 19th, and 20th Centuries. Our freedom of speech is not for sale to the highest bidder! Protect net neutrality now!

462. jin, baton rouge, LA, 70810

keep the internet as is Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech.

463. Melissa Eastham, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808

The government is supposed to protect us from corporations limiting our access to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. The internet has proven critical to modern man's access to information, the most important thing regarding our decision making in what should be a democracy contingent on that principle. Refusing to protect net neutrality for the sake of a profit margin would AGAIN put lower income CITIZENS at a SIGNIFICANT disadvantage, reducing their ability to fully participate in our democratic process. THIS WILL LEAD TO FURTHER SHIFT AWAY FROM DEMOCRACY AND GIVE AN EXCESS OF POWER TO THE WEALTHY, ESCALATING OUR ALREADY CRITICAL SOCIAL PROBLEMS.

464. Ron Markham, Geismar, LA, 70734

Net neutrality is one of the remaining pillars of the American Way. Again, big business and big money are seeking ways to take control of areas where everyone presently has equal footing. The FCC is unwittingly seeking to shift control of the internet to the highest bidders. Don't do it.

465. Daniel E. Jones, Ph.D., P.E., Baton Rouge, LA, 70810

Leave the Internet alone. Why screw up a good, working system?

466. Carol Ralston, Thibodaux, LA, 70301

I take personal offense to the idea that my online activity can be censored or monitored! I believe in net neutrality and without it, do feel as if my rights will be violated. America is better than this; let's focus on the real issues!

467. Ben Moore, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Net neutrality is essential to a nation that respects our first amendment rights
468. Kathy Mock, Baker, LA, 70714  
I believe that the internet is being changed everyday to restrict free connections just as the NSA has been recording and listening to our telephone conversations, texting, and social media comments. The NSA then enacted the Patriot Act to allow them to do these things that they have already been doing, the internet is no different. We are already being restricted in the flow of information and this so called net neutrality bill will allow the government to continue to collect data on citizens and others and possibly widens its reaches.
469. Trina LeCompte, Houma, LA, 70364  
This would be like having a few big publishing companies running all the book stores and libraries.
470. Jackie Hall, Albany, LA, 70711  
Protect free speech and small businesses - leave net neutrality alone!
471. Mary Sanders, Zachary, LA, 70791  
Shame on you for undoing this. The internet should be free and open. I live in a rural area where COX and AT&T control all internet either through cable modems or wireless like on cells. Do not let them tell me what I can easily access. They already charge me more than I would pay in other states, cities or countries.
472. Patrick Kelly, Laplace, LA, 70068  
Net neutrality should be left alone. Content should not be governed by the provider. I cant imagine the thought process behind even considering doing away with it.
473. Benny Griffin, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
I am for keeping net neutrality, we have too many controls on what we are allow to see or not to see as it is. Make it fair to all, keep Net neutrality and protect our free speech.
474. Melissa Whitten, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
Net neutrality prevents big companies from censoring people online. It's also need to ensure smaller companies aren't stifled.
475. Alfonso Godoy, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
I am very much IN FAVOR OF NET NEUTRALITY. I do NOT favor changes that will big corporate interests over the little guy.
476. Layne, Zachary, LA, 70791  
I use the internet every day of my life. It's how I keep in contact with my friends, my family and the rest of the world. If I can't access it freely and equally, my life would

change for the worse.

477. William Herke, PhD, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
Big corporations are already taking control of our elections. I do not want them also interfering with my free speech.
478. Dwayne Hinton, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
The Trump administration constantly attacks the free press. If the FCC won't protect a free internet - then we need new leadership at the FCC - people who WILL stand up for the rights of AMERICAN CITIZENS.
479. Jordan Viola, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
I am already targeted by ads from big companies, and I pay 100 dollars a month for fast internet (in lieu of not having cable). To a big company, 100 bucks is nothing but to me, I'm giving them 10% of my hard earned paycheck, so I demand that I get the service and I demand that I get to CHOOSE how I use my service.
480. Tom Guarisco, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
I urge you to maintain robust rules protecting net neutrality. So much power and control is already in the hands of a small number of companies (for example, providers can sell browsing histories), so please don't make it worse. Thank you.
481. Mabyn Shingleton, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
I do not agree with the government controlled net neutrality.
482. cmguidroz, Baton Rouge, La. 70808, LA, 70808  
This is freedom of speech, I want to be able to choose from many suppliers of info.
483. Jonathan P Decker, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
It seems to me that the American people spoke out overwhelmingly in favor of net neutrality in 2015. The only reason this is still an issue is because there are those in government that don't serve the people of this country but their corporate masters whom no one elected. Protect net neutrality!
484. schuylor hayley, Baton rouge, LA, 70817  
Hi guys, I support internet neutrality. Thanks. Love, A non greedy American
485. Luanne Pusateri, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
I support strong Net Neutrality oversight and strong Title II.
486. Erik J butler, Baton Rgoue, LA, 70810  
KEEP/PRESERVE NET NUETRALITY AND TITILE 2
487. Wendy T, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I object to any rulings which take away my right to freely search with thought to lower bandwidth or certain liberties to steer me in a specific direction. It is absurd that this has even reached this level. The Internet would not be what it is today if it was not openly available across any search without fear of tampering.

488. Brent Cobb, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
As a consumer, I purchase internet access from my ISP solely to gain reliable access to all the internet has to offer at high speeds. There are not sufficient ISP options in my area to permit me to select another ISP if my current provider uses discriminatory practices to slow connections with certain content providers. Additionally, the practice of ISPs slowing or speeding connections with different content providers hampers competition on the internet and, in particular, puts start-ups and small businesses at a disadvantage. Based on the foregoing, I urge the FCC to continue: (i) its treatment of ISPs as telecommunication service companies subject to greater oversight under Title II; and, (ii) with its policy of net neutrality.
489. Landon Farmer, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I support keeping and enforcing net neutrality rules
490. Lisa Riggins, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
I strongly support Title II protections for net neutrality. In a time when ISPs are buying content providers left and right, only Title II protections will ensure that when I buy internet service, I am paying to transmit information between my computer and the websites I visit, free from interference. Lisa Riggins
491. matthew weems, Denham springs, LA, 70726  
stopping net neutrality, is a product of greed.
492. Pedro Diaz, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Internet neutrality is key to society.
493. Michael Couvillion, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
Keep the net neutral. Let's not allow corporations the ability to throttle the internet speeds we already pay ridiculous prices for.
494. JohnFaucheux, Maurepas, LA, 70774  
We need to keep net neutrality. Not net neutrality gives to much power to ISP providers. It does not need to be repealed
495. Jacob Helmers, baton rouge, LA, 70811  
Stop trying to fuck everything over in this country that's supposed to be free. And people wonder why I want to move to Belize.
496. net nutrealality appeal, French Settlement, LA, 70734  
save internet freedom there is no reason for the internet providers to make me pay to get apps on my device
497. Jared King, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
It's 2017, the internet is constantly becoming more and more integrated in daily lives. Internet access should be a basic right.
498. Mitchell Mayers, Geismar, LA, 70734  
Net neutrality is the backbone of the internet and the reason why we have so many

great things within our reach from talking with our love ones on sites like facebook and programs like skype to hanging out with friends on sites like twitch to enjoying cat videos and other vidoes some which are educational on youtube and watching great movies and tv shows on netflix to shoppin on amazon and all these other sites that are very useful and helpful for all of mankind none of them wouldn't have existed if it wasn't for net neutrality and the laws that are in place to protcted net neutrality itself, please don't give in to thesecompaines lies and their greed or any fasle infomation from this current administration uses to cause fear among the people. Not all of pleace on-line nor the people who use it are bad. The internet is and will always be a tool of understanding eachother and working together for peace and to love one another and we have net neutrality and title II to thank for all the great advances this world has made in the last 50 years or so. Greed is a deathblow to the progress of world peace and acces for the poor to better themselves by have a fast and easier time looking for work and learning new things. Thank you for your time and please keep net neutrality the way it is and grow the internet like it has been growing and make it accessible for all of the people not just the rich few people of America and the world.

499. ken ary, baton rouge, LA, 70809  
keep internet open and free

500. Tommy Rizzuto, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
We pay high premiums for bandwidth; asking us for more money for more data smells of corporate greed. The lobbyists asking for cutoffs are representatives of companies who own conjoined cable and ISPs. We can't be cord-cutters if they own both. Consumers deserve to be supported.

501. Kyle Jeansonne, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
I support net neutrality and think it is absolutely shameful that we're even still discussing this issue considering the obvious feelings of voters over the years.

502. Duncan Brock Horton, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
THE INTERNET IS A MODOM FOR THE FREEDOM OF SPEECH AND BY PUTTING CORPORATE OR FEDERAL BARRIERS DEFILES THE FREEDOM OF SPEECH! For too long businesses have been gaining control of our government. They do this by bribing, by threatening, or by funding things in exchange for the politician to let them continue to spread their "roots". Now, since no repercussion on the national scale has come from this, there is no need for any mass panic or revolution....yet. However, I believe there are several dystopian novels that delve into what corporations would do to our freedom of speech. With how easily the have corrupted this country however, the repercussions of a restricted internet could be far more oppressive. The majority of Corporations do not care about our country or our ideals, they care about money.

503. Kenneth Palmer, port allen, LA, 70767  
Save the open internet. America is about freedom.

504. Nathaniel Rust, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
Allowing ISP's and other providers to sell "Fast Lanes" will only create an

environment where consumers have to pay more to access the content they want, and raise the cost of doing business for the online entities consumers patronize. Furthermore, allowing ISP's to charge more for "metered" data is highway robbery. ISP's provide a gateway to services, not a metered resource like electricity or water. The internet should continue to be deregulated and open to all, without boundaries created by businesses to profit through the power of the state.

505. Alison Parson, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
Please, do not allow net neutrality to end. Net neutrality should be a guarantee for as long as the internet itself continues to exist.
506. jonathan veillon, baton rouge, LA, 70810  
The internet is the best money maker now and in the future for businesses. By limiting access to it you will be stifling the greatest economic engine in the history of the human race. Also, imagine if you had to pay for access to the first amendment and the higher the fee the more freedom you received. If you change the net neutrality law you will be stabbing freedom in the back! PLEASE DO NOT DO THIS! Thank You for your time.
507. Tim Connors, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
There is a good reason for net neutrality, we shouldn't have to do this, what seems like, every few months to a year. Just in case I wasn't clear, I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY.
508. Thomas Frederic, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
The internet represents the forefront of human intelligence and advancement capabilities. It is the physical embodiment of the freedom to learn and share and grow as not only individuals, but also communities. When I purchase the luxury of access to the greater network that we know as the internet, I am connecting myself to the greatest wealth of human knowledge and information since the Library of Alexandria. To allow Internet Service Providers to garner an even greater stranglehold on this service, would be to corrupt the idea that we have the ease and increasing rapidness of access to all human knowledge, hiding it behind a pay wall. This'd discourage the seeking out of higher knowledge that we as a nation can use to prove our spot as paragons of the free world.
509. Matthew Cloudet, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
Do not make any changes, they're unnecessary. I like the internet the way it is don't take away my freedom to search the net the way I want to.
510. Michael Kimble, Norco, LA, 70079  
We should keep Net Neutrality to not be strong-armed into the terms the ISP provides. Entertainment material, such as Netflix / Amazon Prime (Amazon Channels), due to competition with cable services. Throttling of network speeds, to a point where the connection is almost unusable is also a concern. Given the wealth of information and entertainment provided via the Internet, it shouldn't be up to the ISP (frequently only one option) to determine what and how much of a source can be used. Thanks for your time, and I hope Net Neutrality remains.

511. Dylan, baton rouge, LA, 70816  
Please, keep the internet open
512. Glenn Rumfellow, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
Please keep net neutrality intact as much as possible. I can't imagine that this requires explanation. Thank you!
513. Dylan, Baton rouge, LA, 70816  
Please keep the internet like america with freedom
514. Jesse Reinosa, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
The Web should be FREE and OPEN for ALL. I want my daughter to enjoy the same internet that I did when I was a kid and all the way into my adult life. She shouldn't have to worry that the ISP she has, is throttling her bandwidth or forcing her to use their services or search engines. Or to have to pay extra to access certain websites or content. The internet is all inclusive please keep it like that.
515. Andrew Taylor, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
SAVE NET NEUTRALITY. Losing strong net neutrality rules would be the beginning of the end for a free and open internet. Do not destroy the integrity of the internet and allow corporations to ruin it for the sake of profit. Thank you.
516. Alyssia Kraemer, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
Net neutrality is a right of the people. The government has no place in meddling with that right. A lot of people rely on this right to get through their days every single day. I beg of you, please keep our right of net neutrality untouched by people who only strive to make money on other people's misery.
517. Walter Atterberry, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
Internet and usage should not be reserved to the rich. Please do not close the door to poor people who have the need to express themselves. I believe restrictions would also limit the response of individuals posting police brutality. Our citizens are worth more than just lip service. Big Corp should not be able to restrict usage.
518. Dakota Sutcliffe, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
Hello FCC, Passing laws and regulations "for the people" is what was intended by our countries founding fathers. This regulation is an unnecessary overreach. Laws and regulations should be used to prevent bad people from doing bad things and at the same time, not infringe on the rights of good people. If this regulation is put in place, then it would not prevent that which was previously stated. Please consider the consequences and outcomes. Passing this would only allow infringement of people current rights.
519. Cheryl Robertson, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
Please keep the Internet neutral. We, the little people, have no way to compete against large companies. You broke up AT&T years ago because they had a monopoly. Now, places like Amazon are building up to do the same on the Internet. Please help us by not allowing the ISP's decide to which websites we will be directed - leave that to each

consumer to decide. Thank you

520. Todd Simmons, Baton Rouge, LA, 70811  
Please keep the internet open for everybody that want or need the internet to do work or play. Internet cost a lot of money to get so let people get everything out of the money they pay. The is for people to use. Not for to make people wish they can use it.
521. Brandon Rivet, Houma, LA, 70364  
I want my internet like I want my government, to work for the people and not corporations.I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY.KEEP THE INTERNET FREE AND OPEN
522. Jachob Taylor, baton rouge, LA, 70820  
Stop trying to make the internet shitty
523. Joseph Giacone, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I am strongly opposed to any repeal of the 2015 Network Neutrality rules that were put in place to keep Internet access open for all. Specifically, I am opposed to this repeal because it opens the door to the prioritization and blocking of websites and information, essentially controlling the information that is available. A repeal of these rules would also open the door to privacy invasion and price gouging by ISPs that would no longer be subject to any sort of oversight rules. Myself, along with most Americans, I believe, do not buy internet access to see the content that our ISP wants to feed us, but rather to search out information as we see fit. One of the major purposes of the FCC is to oversee communication networks, per Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I believe the FCC should continue to operate in this manner, to ensure that information and legal content remains freely open and accessible to all Internet users.
524. Ben Hinson, French Settlement, LA, 70733  
I think the importance of net neutrality is clear to anyone who wishes for the people's consumption/production of media content to be free and equal.
525. Colton Matthews, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
LEAVE OUR INTERNET ALONE!!!!
526. Daniel Nelson, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
Please leave the internet governed by Title 2 and preserve Net Neutrality. The internet is today's freedom of speech and no one should have the power to censor or control speed to sites they see fit. God bless America.
527. Charles Kennedy, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
As a personal citizen and small business owner, Net Neutrality rules are essential to my everyday life. There is already ONLY ONE ISP in my region available and they already have data caps and other obstructionist paywalls in place. I fear if Net Neutrality is repealed my business will never get off the ground due to the noose of throttling and further fees.Please Keep Net Neutrality.Thank you,

528. Megan Papesh, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
I am strongly in support of net neutrality, and FCC oversight for broadband internet access. I have lived in several locations where only one service provider is available, and allowing an ISP full authority would allow that company to gouge customers and potentially deprive them of the information and services available on the full internet. As a scientist, I rely on open internet access to obtain the latest information in my field, and I trust that my access and speed are not manipulated to suit the desires of my ISP. Rolling back net neutrality would be a disastrous decision, with wide-ranging potential to harm small businesses, long-distance/remote relatives, and scientific progress.
529. Dustin Graves, Plaquemine, LA, 70764  
As someone trying to make a living off work on the internet your a decision to end neutrality could greatly injury my actions and the actions of many of my friends that depend on the internet for a living. It would bring down so many jobs in this country and yall would be responsible for the unemployment rate taking a huge increase. thank you and plz do this right.
530. Daniel Donze, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
I implore you to keep the principles of net neutrality, asking as a citizen, internet user, and computer science student. The internet has led to many innovations, economically, socially, artistically, and intellectually SOLELY because of its users ability to access every corner of it without their ISP's meddling. While it may seem beneficial to ISP's to regulate their service, I would like to remind you that ONLY the ISP's would benefit; developing businesses would have a harder time trying to start up if they had to pay extra fees so that they even have a chance of being seen by potential customers. In my location, the only ISP's with a significant presence are Cox and AT&T, however AT&T has an inconsistent performance. As a final note of emphasis, without net neutrality I feel I can safely guarantee that the economy would stagnate as ISP's could potentially block any new business or website from being accesses based on their discretion, harming the modern entrepreneurship of America.
531. Jeffrey Dubinsky, Central, LA, 70739  
I urge you to keep the net neutral. the internet is a universally equal access network which has changed the way we interact with the world. we should not be messing around with something that already works so well.
532. internet, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
The changes that are being discussed about moving ISP from Title 2 to Title 1 restrictions will not only damage the video game industry (which is one of the major contributors to the advancement of computer technology) it will also destroy any chance a small business will have. Not to mention for the indie game developers this would be a literal death sentence as far as being able to produce and sell their games. This is not only something I cannot stand behind, but the fact that it is even being discussed sickens me to my core and makes me loose faith in not only the FCC but also the government as a whole.
533. Cody Roussel, Prairieville, LA, 70769

## LEAVE THE INTERNET ALONE AND FOCUS ON REAL PROBLEMS

534. Donald Nugent, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
Net neutrality must continue without regard to sites, types of business and no slowing down of throughput of data.
535. Greig Olivier, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
Please maintain Net Neutrality as is. It is important that all of us decide how we use the Internet, not corporations. This is very important for little guys like me. Leave Net Neutrality alone
536. Ben Hackney, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I fully support net neutrality because I want a free and open internet. I don't want some ISP to control what I get to see. There is only one internet and all rules must apply to my home and mobile connections equally. We must keep a free and open internet. Without net neutrality, we give the power to the ISPs such that they can control what we see. Please vote in favor of net neutrality
537. Justin Berthelot, Baton Rouge, LA, 70818  
Please protect net neutrality. I cannot imagine a world that is so reliant on the internet to be destroyed by prioritization, blocking, and throttling without the user's permission. This is America; the people should choose what they will view instead of ISP's.
538. John, Oscar, LA, 70762  
I am opposed to changes to the so-called "net neutrality" rules as proposed in this proceeding.
539. Tim Leach, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
This would be a huge step backward not only in censorship possibilities, but globalized conglomerate greed and possible monopolization of what people see and hear. This is an injustice upon our rights to many freedoms, including the First Amendment and all that it stands for. Net neutrality must not be repealed.
540. Caleb Babin, Baton ROuge, LA, 70806  
I'm currently working on the internet every day, I went to college and got a computer networking certificate, I know a lot about all these subjects so when I say I want net neutrality, I actually mean it and it's not just someone else telling me to fill out this form. I am for net neutrality. There is nothing to be gained by allowing ISPs to place more restrictions here.
541. Devin Copeland, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
DO NOT GET RID OF NET NEUTRALITY. You people are total idiots if you actually get rid of this law. We will have all ISPs monitoring everything we do and prioritizing websites. I am an IT professional, and work on servers for a living. NET NEUTRALITY IS ONLY A GOOD THING. Please be smart...
542. Michael Nguyen, Baton Rouge, LA, 70815

Net Neutrality needs to stay free, it helps with my everyday life.

543. Anthony Lindsey, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
Keep net neutrality and let me keep complete control over what I see and do on the internet. I do not pay almost \$90 per month for internet just to have someone else like my ISP or government meddle in my personal business online.
544. Cory LeSaicherre, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
SAVE THE INTERNET - KEEP NET NEUTRALITY IN PLACE
545. Mary Nichol Brinkman, Thibodaux, LA, 70301  
Keep the internet FREE! I have a small business and sell on Etsy and I want net neutrality protected!
546. Hayden LeJeune, denham springs, LA, 70726  
Do not allow companies to decide what data i can and cannot consume. that is grossly anti-competitive and a huge conflict of interest.
547. Chris Higgins, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
I am writing to you as a voter in Louisiana. As an American, I take pride in knowing that with all our faults, our way of life and our political, business and government systems are the most honest and just overall compared to any other nation in the world. I feel that Net Neutrality promotes fair competition for the benefit of consumers, and allowing internet service providers to constrict, reduce or bottleneck broadband service in order to favor their own agenda or products is very clearly interference in an unjust way. As a result, I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please help me continue to believe that the United States of America is still the most equitable and fair place to live on the planet “ DO NOT roll back these regulations.
548. Omar Badat, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
The internet is one of the only few domains where people can connect without much government interference and we should keep it that way
549. Kirk Herrmann, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I don't see any benefit to the majority of the public to stopping Net Neutrality. The only beneficiaries to stopping Net Neutrality are the internet providers, which in my opinion hold a monopoly over the internet in many regions. COX is the only internet provider in my area, so they control prices, connections and speed. If they start throttling, banning sites not in their interest, changing to restrictive amounts of data plans, it gives them more control and incentive to squeeze money out of the consumer. The internet is an essential tool for todays modern world. The more it gets regulated, the less access people will have and less opportunities everyone will have to benefit from Net Neutrality.i.e. Keep Net Neutrality
550. Katie Cilano, Oscar, LA, 70762  
An open internet is the best internet. I spend more time on the internet than any other activity. As a gamer the internet is my livelihood and "regulating" it is NOT the

answer. I only have one ISP in my area and having them throttle speeds or slow down websites is not an option. Stop fighting for more money in the pockets of companies. Keep the internet OPEN!

551. Alexander Lambert-Oswald, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
Internet should be treated like a utility and regulated like one. There is no reason to give ISP's the power to limit how we use the internet. No net neutrality only benefits ISP's. I know many politicians receive funds from ISP's, and we need to do what is best for the people of the country, not the pockets of the politicians.
552. Lauren Erwin, Thibodaux, LA, 70301  
Net Neutrality is in the spirit of the Internet itself.
553. Hunter, Zachary, LA, 70791  
America is supposed to be free. With these new net neutrality rules, you are restricting our freedom. Listen to all the other complaints about these new rules. I believe keeping a good reputation is more important than being a successful business with lots of money and control. If anyone thinks they will withhold a positive reputation by supporting the new rules, you are wrong. Please, do not support these new rules.
554. Clay Harned, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
People deserve equal use of the internet. No paid prioritization, throttling, or isp's blocking sites.
555. Josh Soong, Baton Rouge, LA, 70815  
Internet Neutrality is a vital extension of our First Amendment rights in the 21st Century. As times have changed, the law must change to protect the exchange of information. Because the Internet has become such an essential and ubiquitous means of communication, restricting this communication would severely damage our rights to expression in a way that may not have been true 20 years ago. Internet Service Providers (ISPs) should not have the right to restrict, throttle, or prioritize traffic. Doing so would enable them to force consumers to visit the websites and use the services dictated by the ISPs. ISPs would also have the ability to choke out small businesses in favor of companies who pay a premium to the ISP. While this would increase the "Freedom" of Internet Service Providers, it would destroy the Freedom of consumers and create powerful barriers for small businesses who cannot comply with ISP demands. Due to the regional monopoly structure these ISPs enjoy, consumers and small businesses have little recourse to protect them from these unfair business practices. Net Neutrality is the shield that defends our rights in the digital age. Please do not create any legislation that would threaten or weaken Net Neutrality in any way. Thank you.
556. Elizabeth Granier, Thibodaux, LA, 70301  
Bottom line, you are going to make everyone who has access to the Internet really, extremely, angry, if you pass this. The ISPs will come and they will be able to restrict our access to websites we want to explore, even important websites such as this one. That is not freedom, that is stupidity to the word. Keep the net neutrality- you'll prevent the wrath of millions of people and keep freedom in the Internet.

557. GREGORY GARCIA, HOUMA, LA, 70364  
MORE HOPE FOR THE LITTLE GUYS.
558. Bill Street Jr, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
Net Neutrality must be maintained! If control of the internet is given over to companies, much of the freedom of it will be gone.
559. Jean Paul Mahan, baton rouge, LA, 70809  
This is completely unacceptable, this shouldn't even be up for discussion. Disgusting that people like you want to make this happen just to put more money into your greedy pockets. I WILL NOT STAND FOR THIS.
560. Christian, Walker, LA, 70785  
I would like net neutrality rules to remain in place as they are.
561. Jennifer Fontaine, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
The internet needs to remain open and neutral. As a digital marketing coordinator, this is incredibly important to me and my company. We will never have the funds to compete with the ISP giants, which means that we'll be de-prioritized and it will become increasingly difficult to reach our markets. As an individual, having an unfiltered internet experience is important to me. I want to be in control of the information I receive, and I want people who want to share information (whether news, history, art, science, religion, entertainment or opinion-related) with the world have the opportunity to reach me and others without impediment.
562. Destin J Tate, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
The internet should be open to use, not monetized unequally
563. Ya Boi, Walker, LA, 70785  
Internet Neutrality is a given. The internet wasn't created to make large amounts of money, but to access information freely and equally. Please stop this change and allow us to keep using unbiased and unthrottled access to the internet.
564. meagen quave, Denham springs, LA, 70726  
The internet should remain open and without regulation. Net neutrality!
565. Jonathan hyland, Saint amant, LA, 70774  
Life is already terrible enough. Don't take away the one thing that people like. That's how you get a revolt, right? Would you rather content peasants, or angry ones?
566. Lee Williams, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802  
The temporary economic gains from altering net neutrality are far outweighed by the countless of dollars that will be made by keeping the internet open.
567. Andrew Berthelot, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
Please don't allow restrictions or hindrances to the free flow of the internet by removing the Net Neutrality condition of the world wide web. Keep it where it lies as nothing has warranted this change or shown that doing away with the Net Neutrality

betters our country and its citizens.

568. Mr. Eric Caire, Walker, LA, 70785  
let us choose which websites and apps are best for us and leave us the choice of what we want to use  
Thank You  
Eric Caire
569. Austin S. Matthews, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I'm writing to lend my support to the objectives behind the Internet Association Day of Action. Internet neutrality and unrestricted access to information are critical to my academic work. I study the politics of authoritarian regimes and without the internet being a free forum of information, I would lack critical data that supports my research. I strongly encourage the FCC to consider the positive benefits of internet neutrality being advocated by the Internet Association and other allied groups today.
570. Chad Suire, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I'm for Net neutrality. ISP shouldn't dictate what I can see on the internet or throttle the bandwidth I pay for monthly.
571. Mark Hebert, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
I use the internet for a large portion of my daily activities. I do not wish to see the FCC or internet providers limiting my access to the amount of internet bandwidth that I use. Please keep the internet net neutral. Thank you!
572. Sarah Hecht, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802  
I hope that impartiality will hold sway in your judgement of net neutrality issues. I do not want internet providers to be able to pick and choose which websites get priority. I also appreciate the sharing of infrastructure that the use of utility style rules requires of internet and phone providers. I know I am only partially informed about this issue, but I do think that if you have to replace the previous ruling, something should be replacing it that provides for free and equal access.
573. Sara Schneider, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
Net Neutrality is vital to everyone. It allows us to express ourselves, communicate in ways we before could only dream of, and find endless opportunities. As an artist, it allows me to share my work with a broader audience and connect with fellow artists as we help one another grow and give support. In general, Net Neutrality allows everyone to use the internet to receive knowledge and use services that make things like applying for schools or jobs much easier. It is vital we keep the internet accessible to all.
574. Daniel Marshall, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I do not support the removal of net neutrality. Internet providers should not be able to prioritize content or throttle speeds.
575. Jacob Dane Landry, Baton rouge, LA, 70810  
Where the hell did your conscience go? You give ISPs taxpayer money which they never use for its intended purpose then you take away all regulations? The dollar you make on the deregulation of net neutrality wounds humanity as a whole. No excuses.

576. Charlene Josey, Houma, LA, 70364  
LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE - KEEP THE INTERNET FREE FROM CONTROL
577. Cole Gonzales, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
I fully support Net Neutrality and believe it is a total violation of rights to not enforce it.
578. Kenneth ("Ken") Sneed, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
I am taking advantage of this venue to express my adamant disapproval of attempts to change Internet neutrality by giving some entities preference for any reason, including but not limited to, paid advantages or connections to persons of influence. Please, do not institute any advantages for any individual or entity. Thanks you, Ken Sneed
579. Kacie Mennie, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I support net neutrality as a student, researcher, and consumer. The Ruler-in-Chief keeps saying that we're not China, North Korea, or some other oppressed nation...let's act like it.
580. Kirstie Schieffler, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
If we lost net neutrality, we lose the internet as we know it.
581. Morganti, Baton Rouge, LA, 70801  
Don't make changes that allow profit skimming based upon rule changes...allow a neutral internet that allows profits based upon service and innovation. A neutral internet is fair to all...including the ISPs.
582. Nicole Dandurand, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) affect me every day. I urge you to protect my individual rights to the internet. The internet is no longer a luxury. We bank online, pay utilities online, send and receive information online, manage our healthcare through online portals. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more

difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Nicole Dandurand

583. Matthew Johnson, Zachary, LA, 70791

To repeal net neutrality is a disservice to the entirety of the American people. Having net neutrality means that companies that already have a monopoly over internet service (like Comcast) can't charge even more money to make access to certain websites faster. Keep the internet free.

584. Phillip Alford, Denham Springs, LA, 70706

Do not remove net neutrality. I am opposed to any changes.

585. Adam Callender, Port Allen, LA, 70767

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are important to me. ISPs will have too much power and because of their local monopolies on Internet markets. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Adam Callender

586. 1, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817

Keep net neutrality!! Please don't ruin our great country by your pocketbooks. We deserve more. Don't turn us into China!

587. Josiah Lim, Shreveport, LA, 70820

As a current college student who obtains much of their news and information from the internet as opposed to television, I believe strongly that the FCC should have title 2 oversight over internet ISP's. I would prefer to not have to worry about my access to the entire internet being limited by a group of people I do not know and who will not be held accountable to their customers should the proceeding be passed. Furthermore, I do not have the time, inclination, or patience to deal with having to theoretically decide which ISP is throttling/limiting the range of my connection more.

588. Brian R, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809

I support strong net neutrality rules backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Freedom on the internet is fundamental to its success and to individuals' personal freedom in the modern age. Allowing ISPs to charge for access or for "fast lanes" will significantly negatively impact my ability to participate in the economy as a private citizen as well as impinge on my right to free speech. If the the FCC wants to claim that they are attempting to protect people on the internet, you should support strong title II oversight of ISPs.

589. jim brown, Baton Rouge, LA, 70801

Free and open internet under title 2. You suck ajit pai

590. Megan B, Denham Springs, LA, 70726

I firmly believe that freedom of the internet falls under our rights given unto us by the US Constitution. To affect that in any way when there are no unlawful happenings to support interference is unconstitutional. Please ensure that we, as the American people, can continue to live our lives without being under the thumb of total control.

591. Jacob Caraway, Destrehan, LA, 70047

Please protect net neutrality

592. Geoffrey Bruening, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809

I support a free and open internet, and thus believe that internet providers should remain under title 2 regulations. Without these regulations there is nothing keeping internet providers from abusing their position as the main conduit of information in the modern era to pick winners and losers in other businesses, elections, the news, and others. In order to promote small businesses on an equal playing field, maintain an informed public, and curb the powers of near monopolies that are vital to modern life, it is necessary to maintain net neutrality.

593. Kristina Sherburne, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810

My interest in net neutrality is two fold -- in my full time job, I work at an all girls' high school with a focus on technology, and in my personal life, I'm an online entrepreneur who uses the internet my main pathway to reach clients, market myself and my services, find resources, and communicate with peers in my industry. I simply can't understate the vast potential that equal internet access provides to students both young and old. The immense array of resources available online are absolutely priceless - and students of all ages and walks of life shouldn't be limited in their access to information because ISPs are able to favor their own platforms and companies. Likewise, I rely on fast, inexpensive internet access at home to be able to run my design business. I can choose from a range of website providers, email providers, cloud storage providers, and other online services freely. This is so, so important to me and thousands of other entrepreneurs who rely on the internet as their sole storefront, advertising platform, and much more.

594. Christopher Kopfler, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816

Letting any company restrict access to content on the internet is antithetical to free-market capitalism, free speech, and the very concept of liberty. Please uphold Net Neutrality.

595. John Ruth, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810

Without the ability to choose from multiple internet providers, I would be at the whim of the internet provider. For example, I already pay for Netflix and I don't want to have to pay more for a better connection to Netflix.

596. Andrew Caillet, Oscar, LA, 70762

i don't believe the internet should be slowed to a crawl because someone wants to control it. the internet has given us so many advances and given us the ability to

communicate across physical boundaries. to slow and control the internet would be to slow and control the advancement of our species

597. Robin Parrott, Walker, LA, 70785

Keep Net Neutrality! Stop bending to large corporations.

598. Kemp Honeycutt, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809

I insist - no, I DEMAND that the existing open internet/net neutrality conditions remain intact. We can not allow ISPs to control our content in any way, or by any means. The thing is EVERYBODY is making a lot of money the way it currently works. Why does the FCC want to tilt the table in favor of Comcast, Cox, Verizon, et. al.? I work for a relatively small ecommerce company. We spend millions on advertising and content creation. If we were required by each ISP to pay them whatever fees they deem reasonable to access their customers, we'll be out of business in a year. Tops. The Walmarts, Home Depots, and Amazons of the world may be able to absorb access fees, but companies like ours can't. Please don't be stupid with this. It ain't broke. Don't try to "fix" it.

599. Alex Boudreau, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are of significant importance to me, and I beseech you to align with the consumer, rather than corporate interests, and protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Alex Boudreau

600. Adam Frost, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809

The internet has become as an important a utility as electricity. Charging premiums or extorting online services for "members-only" benefits is anti-consumer, regressive, and anti-free market because ISPs have been given monopolies in most areas. Net neutrality rules currently in place need to remain and strengthened. Either stop giving ISPs monopolies or force them to act like municipal utilities.

601. net neutrality, norco, LA, 70079  
I like net neutrality, please don't change it.
602. Ethan Mason, Zachary, LA, 70791  
I'm fighting for Net Neutrality. It protects us from companies looking to make an extra dollar. Please keep this in action
603. Palmer Duckworth, baton rouge, LA, 70808  
Don't do it. Keep the net neutral.
604. Victoria Hearin, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
The constitution boasts our freedom as citizens. Net neutrality is very important. If the government chooses to prioritize certain websites over others, block certain content, etc, it is a direct attack on our freedom. Please do not choose to move forward in this process.
605. abbye baskin, baton rouge, LA, 70817  
I want access to all that the world has to offer and I only get to experience most of that through the internet. Strong net neutrality laws need to be kept in place to insure that access isn't taken away or diminished.
606. Cameron LeMaire, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
Please don't censor the internet. The internet is the last leg left in the world used to express individuality in a care-free setting. With censorship, we'll be stooping to the levels of North Korea, just restricting our freedoms.
607. Paul Ellender Jr, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
I urge you to protect the FCC's Open Internet rules. I purchase my internet service through COX, and to me, when I purchase that service, I'm purchasing fast (or it should be fast) access to the Internet. I am not purchasing that service through COX for any add-ons or extra services--like internet mail or cloud storage, etc.--they provide to me. I use different products for those other services. I don't want COX to have the ability to slow or hamper my access to those separate services just because they don't partner with COX. Also, in my area in Baton Rouge, there are only 2 real choices for Internet Service: COX or AT&T's U-Verse. Either choice offers roughly the same speeds. If COX decides to start discriminating against services I use, I only have one other option, and in all likelihood they would engage in the same practices. I generally argue for less regulation, but: Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, TWC throttled League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. Lifting these rules hurts everyone. I would rather Congress put these rules into law, but at least in the meantime, the FCC should not lift them. Thank you.

608. Dakota Brose, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
Very few people in the government really understand what the internet is and how it works. Yet these are the people whom make laws about how it should function and this is unacceptable. Where i currently live AT&T & Cox own a monopoly for my area as there is no other options for me to choose from if i feel the product they are selling is bad, but we live in a day and age where the internet is required so this ends up being a form of extortion. Just recently Cox announced that they will start introducing data caps on there already high monthly prices as a way to squeeze out more money from people who can just barely afford to pay for the necessary right, not luxury, that is the internet. If this continues there will be a backlash of proportions that i don't think the people who lobby against Net neutrality will see coming. Imagine a world with no Wikipedia for your kid to do research on his homework, no eBay to buy those clothes your wife likes, and no Netflix to watch movies with your family, that is a world with no net neutrality.
609. Restoring Internet Freedom, Baton Rouge, LA, 70815  
Ending Net Neutrality is against the best interests of the American people. The government should not have the ability to control what is seen and how much access people have. If Washington cannot put the interests of the American people over corporations, this country is truly lost. Do not end net neutrality.
610. Danny Penn, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
I do not want ISP's to be able to sell internet speeds to the highest bidder. Please keep it neutral.
611. Matthew Murray, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Unless you are a CEO of a big ISP, Net Neutrality is bad for you and is anti-choice. At it's core, Net Neutrality is consumer protections - when I purchase broadband connect, I'm not just purchasing an "on-ramp" to the internet; I'm purchasing access to my email, to cloud storage, and to Skype and other similar VoIP programs I use to communicate. Without Net Neutrality an ISP would be free to throttle Skype, "encouraging" (read "forcing") me to use their own VoIP programs. I want a free and open internet so I can choose the website and programs I want to use based on their merits - not based on what my ISP allows to load. Now you may be saying "Well if you don't like your ISP you can just get a new one." For large portions of America, that is strictly untrue. According to the FCC's own data (Internet Access Services: Status as of June 30, 2016) Americans are often given little to no choice in terms of ISP. Without significant competition and with Net Neutrality gutted, it would be all to easy for ISPs to throttle access to websites in order to extort money both from the website itself (like Comcast did to Netflix just in the last few years) and the consumer by limiting access to the website unless they purchase it directly. Finally, I believe the internet is a telecommunication. Clicking on a hyper-link to take you to a website and thus transmit information of my choosing (contents of the website) from their point (the website host) to mine (my computer) is just like telling your phone to "call mom." In both instances you're using a simple user interface to navigate a complicated back end. Saying the internet isn't a telecommunication because people aren't manually manipulating IP addresses is absurd. For starters, some people are manually

manipulating IP addresses, but I also ask what the difference is between manually manipulating an IP address and selecting a option that does it for you?In short, I already get 60mbs down while paying for 100mbs. I don't need ISPs to have any more leeway with how much they can stiff the consumer. In fact I believe more regulation is necessary to make ISPs deliver on the promises they make to consumers.

612. John Bernstein, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Please protect net neutrality. Don't sell off our rights as consumers to determine what is and isn't "quality" to big business.
613. Logan, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
The internet as we know it today has given people a place to speak freely, share ideas, and learn information however they see fit. To give all of that power to just a few companies is crazy to me, and i hope that it doesn't come to that.
614. John Evans Dutton II, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
The internet needs to remain free! Allowing corporations and businesses to privatize it to reap profits while increasing costs for the consumer is a horrible idea. If net neutrality rules are rolled back I will make sure to vote against anyone involved in the process whenever I can.
615. Trenton Hall, Walker, LA, 70785  
Anti-Net Neutrality is anti-consumer. Do not jeopardize the integrity of your public image by regressing, relinquishing control of the internet's open market from the people, and creating a closed platform controlled by a select few corporations. This move is not a part of a positive, constructive, progressive change, but a self-destructive mistake.
616. Tech Abroad, denham springs, LA, 70706  
The internet should be free, and speeds should not be throttled. This is already happening here in south Louisiana where companies are offering 120 Mbps, but when you buy their entire package, you are only getting about 20 Mbps after using their service after two months. This leads to outrage and also is false advertisement. Nobody will sue alone because we know we will not win against a major internet provider.
617. Casey lewis, walker, LA, 70785  
Rather than giving you a lecture, I'd like to propose a hypothetical future. Let's take a random person, say... Susan. Susan gets up one day and sulks into her kitchen for coffee. She turns on her tv, flips over on her smart device, and selects youtube. She turns to the coffee maker expecting to hear her favorite content creator start into their spiel. She freezes when she realizes that there is no sound. As it turns out, today, her isp is blocking youtube because some big controversy has blown up. With a huff, she pulls out her phone to gripe to one of her friends, sending a quick email. It takes a further twenty minutes for her email to arrive, as the throttled connection is slow, and her messages are being read and determined whether or not they're about the related controversy and should she be allowed to speak about it. Look, I can keep giving doomsday scenarios all day long, but the long and short of it is, these companies do NOT have our best interests at heart. Removing these protections will do absolutely

NOTHING but enable them to rule over everyday people with more solid gloves made with even stronger iron.

618. Tim Jones, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820

De-regulating the internet basically turns the entire online economy into an ATM for major service providers, and while I know that is their intention in doing this, it is going to strangle business and just the regular daily things people use the internet for alike.

619. Caiden Wagner, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810

I would like to vouch for Net Neutrality. As a consumer I would like to let the FCC know that I would choose net neutrality over a competitive market any day.

620. Philip Fernbaugh, Prairieville, LA, 70769

I believe that those who invest in the infrastructure that connects the world together through the internet should be paid for the use of their facilities over which web sites make a profit. Companies like Netflix and applications like facetime cause service providers to increase capital investment in their networks with no revenue increase. Campaigns such as this one push us to a state owned, national internet network which will surely control what we see and do online. I am writing in opposition to "battleforthenet.com". Sincerely, Philip Fernbaugh Philip Fernbaugh

621. Chad Kirk, Norco, LA, 70079

Net neutrality is fucking bullshit

622. Travis Ballard, Denham Springs, LA, 70726

As a active user of the internet, I agree 100% with this campaign that the Internet Association is pushing.

623. Benjamin Eunice, Denham Springs, LA, 70726

My name is Benjamin Eunice. I am a 15 year old filmmaker from Louisiana and I've been able to launch my film career because of net neutrality. If it wasn't for net neutrality, I wouldn't have been able to work on a feature film this summer, and if it weren't for net neutrality, I'd probably still be mowing lawns and playing video games. Please protect this freedom that only the internet can provide. Best Regards, Benjamin Eunice Benjamin Eunice

624. Melissa Beshore, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816

I don't believe that anyone has the right to take away my rights to access any website or app that I want to as long as it does not break any other laws like child pornography and sites that are violent or promote violence. I pay for my internet access and believe I have a right to choose where I go and access.

625. Michael Roper, Houma, LA, 70360

I support Title II Protections. Any effort to repeal, lack of effort to stop, or implementation of Tiered Internet will result in boycott, me not supporting the Senator or Representatives, and active campaigning against those who want to destroy a free and open internet.

626. Erin Tyrer, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802  
Net neutrality is essential to our democracy, freedom of speech, and open market competition. Save net neutrality!
627. Christian M Duncan, Albany, LA, 70711  
The internet needs to remain free. Save Net Neutrality please. It would not affect just the few, it would affect everyone who requires the internet for businesses, school, and even college. My job heavily requires the use of the internet as I am an online writer and commissioner.
628. Eric Nail, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
Please protect Net Neutrality. Repealing Net Neutrality, combined with the effective monopolies that ISPs have in many areas, will undoubtedly lead to a decrease in services and/or an increase in prices.
629. Eric Montgomery, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
Net Neutrality is a necessity for an open and equal opportunity society. Carriers should not be allowed to affect or influence subscriber's content or access except as required by very specific laws. Allowing ISPs to do so is tantamount to allowing politicians to re-draw voter constituencies in order to obtain a favorable vote. While content providers may be cautious of performing such blatant activities to begin with, eventually, such things will become common practice. The argument that subscribers always have the option to choose another ISP is largely impractical to the point of being invalid. In both major areas that I have lived, there were only two major ISP's available and finding others is difficult. Additionally, smaller providers might not be able to compete with larger companies in terms of both marketing and services. In the future, voting against net neutrality could allow large content providers to make deals with organizations or even private interest groups with the intent of influencing voter results by limiting content to opposing viewpoints. I urge you to maintain Net Neutrality for the benefit everyone.
630. Weston Schild, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I oppose the effort to repeal net neutrality rules as they stand. I feel it is anti consumer and anti competition to repeal net neutrality rules. The internet should be a place where people can freely express themselves and where people can creatively capitalize without a leg up being given to those who already have the resources to make their voices more powerful than others.
631. Cellest Rodriguez, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
Net neutrality is an important aspect of the internet and without it many functioning aspects of the internet would be compromised due to company's self-interests (some of them immoral and unethical) being able to express themselves in ways that would be harmful to the public.
632. Mason Gautreau, Gonzales, LA, 70737  
Keep the internet free and neutral and unbarred
633. Jake Terry, Thibadoux, LA, 70301

The Internet needs net neutrality. Nearly everyone who understands and depends on the Internet supports net neutrality, whether they're startup founders, activists, gamers, politicians, investors, comedians, YouTube stars, or typical Internet users who just want their Internet to work as advertised—regardless of their political party. Cable companies are famous for high prices and poor service. Several rank as the most hated companies in America. Now, they're lobbying the FCC and Congress to end net neutrality. Why? It's simple: if they win the power to slow sites down, they can bully any site into paying millions to escape the "slow lane." This would amount to a tax on every sector of the American economy. Every site would cost more, since they'd all have to pay big cable. Worse, it would extinguish the startups and independent voices who can't afford to pay. If we lose net neutrality, the Internet will never be the same.

634. Ethan Paul Meche, Geismar, LA, 70734  
Please dont make the internet cable companies
635. Batu Acar, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
The internet is a requisite utility in typical daily functions within both the United States and around the world. Not only does killing Net Neutrality infringe upon the ability of most citizens to adequately work and preserve their data, it would set an unwholesome precedent that corporate leaders in other countries might follow suit in whilst turning a blind eye to the consequent damages. Only the most affluent of advertisers can benefit from this change while the overwhelming majority of the public would have increased expenses and hampered workflows due to data caps and bandwidth throttling. It is an unethical proposition at best and we the public would be best served by not having Net Neutrality repealed.
636. Arthur Averett, Livingston, LA, 70754  
The Internet should be free for all! No exceptions!
637. internet, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
The internet needs to stay a open way of communication, not a luxury.
638. Nicholas Ysidron, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
Keeping net neutrality is key to maintaining the internet as a free and open source of knowledge and means of communication. Without net neutrality, power is being taken away from the people. The removal of net neutrality is not in the best interest of the American people and I hope net neutrality is not taken away but instead strengthened.
639. Tyler Martin, Houma, LA, 70360  
Can't allow the telecoms (and Ajit Pai) to ruin the open internet.
640. Christopher C Buchanan, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
As a successful junior scientist and entrepreneur, the open internet is important to me personally and to the health of our nation. Enforceable net neutrality rules are in jeopardy, and you must protect them. The People have made their voice heard on this issue, and the major technology companies who are run by technologists, engineers, scientists, and IT professionals are united in our strong opposition to paid prioritization, blocking, throttling, and other restrictions on the principles of net

neutrality that have shaped the culture of open internet in America. Keep the internet open and fair, and ISPs strongly accountable with enforceable legislation and classification. In doing so, you will continue to foster the stark socioeconomic development that an open internet brings.

641. James Hardy, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
I support Net Neutrality AS IT STANDS, with strong consumer protections backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs and telecom providers.
642. Moriah Seaman, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
Hi! Net Neutrality is essential for protecting free speech, organizing, business and innovation. I will not stand for any attacks on Net Neutrality or my rights as an internet user. Thank you!
643. Trevor Juchemich, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
Stop. Stop trying to end Net Neutrality, effectively ruining the internet for everyone but yourselves and your precious overstuffed wallets. Have any of you ever used a single shred of empathy, or are you all just sociopaths worshipping money? Just drop it already, and let the internet be.
644. William Raziano, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
The government has no business controlling the internet. China has notoriously followed this path, and their internet is screwed up beyond all possible repair. The fact that the government would attempt such an undertaking shows that Congress has its priorities wrong yet again. Everything that has been touched by the US government in recent years is completely FUBAR. Case and point ObamaCare, i.e. "How to make insurance companies ridiculously wealthy while fucking over the masses."
645. Catherine-Marie Morgan, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
Hello, I am a self-employed artist who relies on the internet to a: spread my work and gain a following, b: earn a living, c: learn new styles and improve my craft. The internet is 95% of my life, to be honest. I stay in touch with relatives who live overseas, as well as my numerous friends who I wouldn't have without programs like Skype and Discord. For my ISP, I really don't have any choice in who I have access to. My current ISP, which I do not like and I wish I could change, but the truth of the matter is that I'm stuck with them until I move to another state. With the threat of Net Neutrality being revoked or tampered with, My ISP could do whatever they want without any punishment (such as increase prices and reduce internet speeds to save money on their end). I trust in the FCC to oversee companies like AT&T, Verizon, and Comcast to protect me (and all consumers) from any privacy invasions and to keep these companies from malpractice. In closing, I want to keep the internet as it has been my entire life, a safe place to communicate with other people and a place to earn my livelihood. Keep Net Neutrality in place.
646. Gerald M Graves, Baton Rouge, LA, 70815  
Handing control of the internet to the providers will prevent free access to information. Just think if England had been able to control all of the printing presses prior to the American Revolution what a different place America would be. Bad idea.

647. Nicole, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
Safe internet for all
648. Suzanne Smith, ,,,, LA, 70785  
blah blah blah this shit sucks blah blah blah
649. BRANDON SUMERALL, Zachary, LA, 70791  
Please don't tread on any more of our freedoms.
650. Rodney Smith, Baton Rouge, LA, 70814  
Keep the Net Neutrality as Is . The people should always decide not you paid-for politicians.
651. Logan Breeden, Pride, LA, 70770  
Represent your constituents, not the CEOs of ISP companies.
652. Zachary Molin, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
People are morons if they don't agree with Net Neutrality.
653. Ian Tassin, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
Net neutrality is extremely important. If it is removed or otherwise made unenforceable then it could and will be disastrous. The simple fact that so many people have to waste time trying to convince you gangrenous troglodytes that we will be mad if you get rid of net neutrality regardless of how much personal profit you stand to make from its demolition sickens me to my very core. Thank you for your time.
654. Ryan Baird, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
By removing the official common carrier status of ISPs you are allowing them to have a monopoly over both what kind of data users have access to and how fast internet based companies can send data to their users. This is especially notable when telecommunication companies are both ISP and TV providers. This allows them to slow down data speeds for video streaming services and it encourages users to stop using competing services and watch TV. Much of the people in the US don't have multiple ISPs in their area and would have to move to change ISP if they did not approve of their ISP's data throttling. So far, ISPs have acted like (and benefited from) common carriers while not technically being them until recently. If you choose to remove their common carrier status you must also hold them responsible for all activity that goes on through their network.
655. Evan Freese, Thibodaux, LA, 70301  
Don't let the ISP's control my internet!
656. net neutrality, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I do not support any opposition to net neutrality in any form. Do not remove our basic rights.
657. James Krajcer III, Destrehan, LA, 70047  
Don't let my IPS control what I should and shouldn't be able to access with a certain

internet package. Internet Freedom is a must and should stay the way it has been.

658. Beau Boudreaux, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808

To any and all members of the FCC, Net Neutrality is a right of all people and should stand as an example of free speech. Limiting this speech by giving corporation to monetize the internet further and take control what we can and cannot do with the internet is wrong. I am a proud American but I cannot agree with such a devious and back handed attempt to seize power over information and entertainment through the internet. I believe that doing away with net neutrality will be a step in a very bad direction for the American people. I strongly implore you all to think it through and keep net neutrality in place.

659. Taufiq Khaled, prairieville, LA, 70769

Net neutrality is far and away the best thing the government provides for the American people. If you repeal it, regardless of political alignment, voters will be outraged because Net Neutrality is designed to stop ISPs from price gauging us, the citizens. If you allow the repeal of Net Neutrality, you our government might as well make a statement saying "we care more about big, rich , corporations, then regular citizens".

660. Matthew Thomas, Prairieville, LA, 70769

Something that holds the large portion of human knowledge should not be constrained.

661. Mason Spillman, Denham Springs, LA, 70706

please don't break the internet

662. Matthew Ellis, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808

It is obvious there is no support for this from the citizen population. The internet is one of the most important advancements ever in human civilization. Behind antibiotics, of course. Our information network should remain free and open. Don't do it plz.

Matthew Ellis

663. Noah Stijl, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808

Free and fair access to universal information via the internet is perhaps the greatest achievement of mankind. The rules of the United States governing the internet and every citizen's access to it should be an example to the world, and should create a system that provides every person with free and unfettered access to the internet. In short, net neutrality must be preserved.

664. Jonathan Hoffpauir, Prairieville, LA, 70769

An open and unrestricted internet is essential to share knowledge and foster creativity among people nationwide and worldwide.

665. Michael Jordan Ray, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808

We live in a new age where anyone can be heard and anything can be seen. The internet is a symbol; a testament to every humans individuality. It evolved and regulated itself better than any single ISP ever could and ever will. Trying to take the power away from the people that created and continue to create is an unforgivable insult to everything we have achieved.

666. Alexandra Landry, Pierre Part, LA, 70339  
The public needs an open and safe internet.
667. John Bartel, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I believe Net Neutrality is a key proponent for start-up companies, the choices of the people, and even I would say the liberty of free speech. Please consider the broad impact of removing Net Neutrality, and the resulting censorship it would bring and realize that it is not in the best interests of the grand majority.
668. August Cannon, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
To ignore Net Neutrality is to ignore the fundamental belief that the United States, as well as free thought, is founded on. The internet is a free means of exchange for ideas, and has thrived and helped businesses thrive because of its current nature. Infringing on the free exchange of ideas is a deliberate obstruction of justice, and the very idea of doing so is against everything our country claims to stand for. Respectfully, August Cannon
669. Jeremy Tillay, Destrehan, LA, 70047  
I am writing in support of net neutrality remaining protected by Title 2. It is absolutely ridiculous how often I have to fight for this, but I am adamantly opposed to local monopolies/ISP's being able to restrict my right to neutral and open access to the internet.
670. Beverly Bough, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
We must have net neutrality! We must have access to the entire internet! This is America, keep the internet free!
671. Meagan Woodard, Albany, LA, 70711  
The internet is a place for people to express themselves. Any person, no matter how conventionally "important" they are can let the world see who they are with the click of a button. Ending Net Neutrality will hinder the beautifully terrifying place that is the internet. Thank you for your time. Meagan Woodard
672. David Peters, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
When I purchase internet access from an ISP my intention is to receive reliable access to all the Internet offers at fast speeds. I do not intend on purchasing bundles of services with my contract. I just want quality internet service. Internet access has improved my quality of life in several significant ways. I've connected with friends and family near and far because of social media platforms like Facebook and Twitter and instant messaging services. Internet access has also helped me with my education because of websites like code.org and khanacademy.org. I believe these benefits and so much more would be lost if ISPs are able to pick winners and losers on the Internet. The statutory definition of telecommunications is in dire need of updating. I believe that selecting websites or online services to visit should be considered telecommunications. This is the 21st century. In my area I have limited choices for high speed internet access. If the FCC is left without authority over broadband ISPs, Cox Communications will increase prices overnight, and there wouldn't be anything the FCC or any other agency could do about it, Broadband ISPs should be subject to

oversight by an expert agency.

673. Logan Gilliland, livingston, LA, 70754

Net Neutrality lets businesses compete fairly over the internet. Don't let big corporations rule the internet as well, they already have advertisements on all platforms including real world billboards, radio, etc.

674. Steven Shumaker, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816

I, Steven Shumaker, am a university student who has grown up with the Internet. To me, the Internet is a main source of news, a great tool for communication, and a free source of education. Growing up, I learned many different skills thanks to the freedom and scope of the internet: video and photo editing, creative writing, and cooking, to name a few. I have made friendships through the Internet, many long-lasting and some extending into real world interactions. Additionally, due to the vast amount of differing viewpoints and voices, I believe I have grown into a much more open-minded and well-rounded person while also learning what values and traditions are most important to me. The Internet has been a huge part of my life and helped shape who I am today, and I believe that is due wholly to the format and delivery of the service in its current form. Based on my bills and advertisements presented to me, I understand and act within the knowledge that my payment to ISPs is made in exchange for reliable Internet access at quick speeds. All other online services that I have used or paid for throughout the years, including email, cloud storage, video streaming, and web hosting, have all been provided by companies other than my ISP, and I would prefer this remains to be the case. Additionally, the current state of the FCC's oversight could use some legal restructuring. Oversight by an expert agency is necessary and important to fair and balanced service from ISPs, but lobbying for that oversight is unacceptable. Moreover, a major ISP lobbyist should not be appointed anywhere close to the head of the department in charge of overseeing the behavior of said major ISPs. I support Net Neutrality.

675. Colin Bardwell, Saint Rose, LA, 70087

Truly unhindered communication of ideas and expressions of oneself are a key part of what makes America such a great country. Without net neutrality we run the risk of a great amount of people in effect being censored. The potential for ISPs to bully consumers who have no other service options in their area -- by preventing their previously unrestricted access to certain sites -- is frightening to me as someone who is inspired by the great reach of communication allowed us by the internet as it is today. Chairman Pai's efforts to dismantle net neutrality seem to be in opposition of our freedom of speech as individuals, and represents corporate interests more so than the interests of everyday Americans who wish to participate in online discussion and media consumption as freely as they enjoy the liberties entitled to them outside of the online world.

676. Andrew J Fernandez, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820

I am writing you today to voice my opinion on the issue of net neutrality and the nature of Title II regulations. It would be my great joy if this comment were read and considered before members were to vote in favor of reversing Title II net neutrality. Seeing all the form letters on this day of protest for both sides, I feel like

typing out personal perspective and opinion may be more appealing to read and thus have actual impact. I remember when I was younger hearing about SOPA, PIPA, and CISPA. This debate has been going on for a long time and because of this, many people my age have been paying attention for a long time. I have understood that classifying broadband under Title II regulations is adapting an older law to fit modern technology. This move was in order to, as common carriers, make any unjust or unreasonable discrimination in charges, practices, classifications, regulations, facilities, or services a violation. Preventing these abuses by ISPs is essential for competition where there is carved up territories that allow ISPs to function as an oligopoly. But I know the simple response to this will be "Regulation kills business by discouraging competition! Surely you learned that in class?" I could not agree more! However, can you honestly say that the current ISP market is fairly competitive? I do have a choice between two competitors where I live now because I live in the city but I used to have only one choice and this is the case for many in Louisiana and America. Having such few competitors means there is no incentive to improve speeds or otherwise innovate. The importance of technology can be seen where a small business suddenly has access to the entirety of America as its market through the internet. You can see the importance of affordable internet when even small business tell you they don't take paper applications anymore and you have to apply online. When Google Fiber started challenging the monopolies they found many roadblocks and those who watched with great interest learned that the laws that have the most impact on competition are at the local level. The cost of "rights-of-way" at the local levels will often double the cost. First off, a city must create an entirely different entity to own and manage the network that cannot receive any money from other government coffers. If a city fails to have a referendum, existing ISPs no longer have to pay franchise fees to the city for 10 years. Public entities also have to pay more taxes than private telcos and there are restrictions on advertising. Lafayette Utilities System director Terry Huval says that "elected officials in other communities may look at these laws and realize that a broadband project could trigger legal battles that could last the entire time they are in office." Now with all this being true, sometimes through sheer force of will a competitor will rise up through true American grit and the need for the internet in the modern age. I look at Lafayette's LUS Fiber and I truly believe it is the way forward to making Louisiana a high economic growth state and if we treat ISPs as a common carrier other local communities will no longer have such an uphill battle to create their own fiber network. Why do I believe net neutrality is so important and why do I believe we should let the status of ISPs as common carriers stand under Title II? Firstly, the prevention of paid prioritization helps foster growth of the technology companies we know and love. This is why young people with stars in their eyes work so hard to provide technological advances that you or I could never think of. Secondly, ISP's are simply that: Internet Service Providers. Just like Entergy shouldn't charge me more for using the energy they provide for my television rather than a lightbulb, so shouldn't ISP's have a say in what way I use my internet access for. I have seen arguments that this simply would not happen but I will provide some aggregated research to show otherwise: MADISON RIVER: In 2005, North Carolina ISP Madison River Communications blocked the voice-over-internet protocol (VOIP) service Vonage. Vonage filed a complaint with the FCC after receiving a slew of customer complaints. The FCC stepped in to sanction Madison River and prevent further blocking, but it

lacks the authority to stop this kind of abuse today.

**COMCAST:** In 2005, the nation's largest ISP, Comcast, began secretly blocking peer-to-peer technologies that its customers were using over its network. Users of services like BitTorrent and Gnutella were unable to connect to these services. 2007 investigations from the Associated Press, the Electronic Frontier Foundation and others confirmed that Comcast was indeed blocking or slowing file-sharing applications without disclosing this fact to its customers.

**TELUS:** In 2005, Canada's second-largest telecommunications company, Telus, began blocking access to a server that hosted a website supporting a labor strike against the company. Researchers at Harvard and the University of Toronto found that this action resulted in Telus blocking an additional 766 unrelated sites.

**AT&T:** From 2007–2009, AT&T forced Apple to block Skype and other competing VOIP phone services on the iPhone. The wireless provider wanted to prevent iPhone users from using any application that would allow them to make calls on such "over-the-top" voice services. The Google Voice app received similar treatment from carriers like AT&T when it came on the scene in 2009.

**WINDSTREAM:** In 2010, Windstream Communications, a DSL provider with more than 1 million customers at the time, copped to hijacking user-search queries made using the Google toolbar within Firefox. Users who believed they had set the browser to the search engine of their choice were redirected to Windstream's own search portal and results.

**MetroPCS:** In 2011, MetroPCS, at the time one of the top-five U.S. wireless carriers, announced plans to block streaming video over its 4G network from all sources except YouTube. MetroPCS then threw its weight behind Verizon's court challenge against the FCC's 2010 open internet ruling, hoping that rejection of the agency's authority would allow the company to continue its anti-consumer practices.

**PAXFIRE:** In 2011, the Electronic Frontier Foundation found that several small ISPs were redirecting search queries via the vendor Paxfire. The ISPs identified in the initial Electronic Frontier Foundation report included Cavalier, Cogent, Frontier, Fuse, DirecPC, RCN and Wide Open West. Paxfire would intercept a person's search request at Bing and Yahoo and redirect it to another page. By skipping over the search service's results, the participating ISPs would collect referral fees for delivering users to select websites.

**AT&T, SPRINT and VERIZON:** From 2011–2013, AT&T, Sprint and Verizon blocked Google Wallet, a mobile-payment system that competed with a similar service called Isis, which all three companies had a stake in developing.

**EUROPE:** A 2012 report from the Body of European Regulators for Electronic Communications found that violations of Net Neutrality affected at least one in five users in Europe. The report found that blocked or slowed connections to services like VOIP, peer-to-peer technologies, gaming applications and email were commonplace.

**VERIZON:** In 2012, the FCC caught Verizon Wireless blocking people from using tethering applications on their phones. Verizon had asked Google to remove 11 free tethering applications from the Android marketplace. These applications allowed users to circumvent Verizon's \$20 tethering fee and turn their smartphones into Wi-Fi hot spots. By blocking those applications, Verizon violated a Net Neutrality pledge it made to the FCC as a condition of the 2008 airwaves auction.

**AT&T:** In 2012, AT&T announced that it would disable the FaceTime video-calling app on its customers' iPhones unless they subscribed to a more expensive text-and-voice plan. AT&T had one goal in mind: separating customers from more of their money by blocking alternatives to

AT&T's own products. VERIZON: During oral arguments in Verizon v. FCC in 2013, judges asked whether the phone giant would favor some preferred services, content or sites over others if the court overruled the agency's existing open internet rules. Verizon counsel Helgi Walker had this to say: "I'm authorized to state from my client today that but for these rules we would be exploring those types of arrangements." Walker's admission might have gone unnoticed had she not repeated it on at least five separate occasions during arguments. In conclusion, please do not support the removal of Title II regulations on ISPs and do not support other anti-consumer attitude of Ajit Pai. Dismantling Net Neutrality will raise the cost of business to technology and these costs will mostly hurt consumers and small/growing business, reducing demand and innovation. The ability of the common man to access the internet is essential in our long term economic growth and international competitiveness. I look forward to seeing how you vote. Sincerely, Andrew J Fernandez

677. Brittani Johnston, Denham Springs, LA, 70706

Net neutrality is immensely important for a variety of reasons. Above all, the internet serves as a livelihood and means of freedom and expression for the globe. All of which can and will be threatened if Internet Service Providers have a monopoly on it. Keep the internet neutral if you would.

678. Neal Rivenbark, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809

Making it easier for ISP monopolies to over charge and restrict access hurts every single person who uses the internet. When will they stop providing bad service for increased prices.

679. Cole Davis, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808

This is criminal, net neutrality is a right. Trying to wrap fingers around the internet is absurd. We have an unalienable right to the internet. It is not yours, it is not America's, it is not Comcast's, it is OURS! The future of humanity could even rely on the open and free nature of the internet. Don't fuck this up guys.

680. Irvin Jones, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816

Net Neutrality is important to all small businesses going forward as retail changes from an in person business to online. Open internet access is of paramount importance for any business to survive and smaller businesses may not even be able to get off the ground if they are priced out of the market from the beginning.

681. Eric Ristroph, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806

Since ISPs have monopolistic or near-monopolistic control of Internet access in most if not all of the US, allowing them to alter the content they provide is allowing them to restrict freedom of information. The only ones who should have that authority are lawmakers, and even they should only be able to attack such practices as online terrorism. Restriction of information that does not pose a clear and present danger to specific individuals is anti-freedom and anti-American, and even if the country does decide to take such a stance, it MUST be taken by lawmakers, not capricious executives out to throttle competition and thus innovation.

682. Thomas Brown, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820

Keep the net neutral! Don't pass new laws that give certain internet traffic higher priority than others.

683. Derek Guidry, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
I would like to keep Net neutrality. Do not let the FCC pass this law
684. glenn mcMahon, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
Please do not allow ISPs to control what we see and essentially make non corporate websites their bitch
685. James Seward, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
I wish for Title 2 classification to remain intact for telecommunication providers in the interest of keeping the Internet a resource for all to use. Allowing ISPs to have the ability to prioritize bandwidth within their services can create monopolies in certain areas of the country where citizens have few choices in which ISP provides them access to the internet. In some cases, there is no such choice. In these cases, maintaining and enforcing the ability of the consumer to have ready and unfettered access to today's most empowering resource.
686. Ernest Ducre, Destrehan, LA, 70047  
Please stop trying to profit off internet usage by hindering its growth. The internet affects EVERYONE whether they know it or not. You may think everyone isn't watching, but they are. This has happened before and we took notice.
687. Net Neutrality, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
Net Should be free
688. Ayden Hawes, Walker, LA, 70785  
I use the internet everyday all day almost and it would be unfair to restrict things like Netflix or even my online banking system
689. Matthew Gleaton, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
I support the Open Internet Rules and I urge you to protect net neutrality. ISPs should not have the power to regulate the internet by blocking websites, slowing certain sites down, giving some sites an advantage over others, or creating "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover,

under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Matthew Gleaton

690. Jade Aaron McInnis, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
Your battle to stop the internet will be short-lived and will not prosper without brainwashing citizens. If that is a battle you are also willing to create, then we the people challenge you to this battle. Your sense of business over the livelihood of millions who prosper on this vast and empty space we call the internet is sickening and vile. Your destruction of net neutrality is but an obscure vision of the 1st amendment and in turn, is a violation of it. FCC, if you are smart, you will leave the internet the way it is. Otherwise, millions of people will trample and destroy all that you find endearing. The army of people will shred your organizations to pieces and leave nothing, but dust in its place. You are making, a very large mistake by trying to have this enacted and enforced. If it does get enacted, prepare for a shit storm. Jade Aaron McInnis
691. Grant Grenfell, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
This shit is like Communism.
692. Kat, Thibodaux, LA, 70301  
The great thing about the internet is that it's a free space. We don't live in a communist country where our internet activity is restricted and I don't want to see us turn down that path. It's a violation of our rights and I'm ashamed that this is even an issue.
693. Alexis Richard, Napoleonville, LA, 70390  
Net Neutrality is an important aspect of our culture and eliminating that neutrality would be limiting the freedom of speech of the American people.
694. Dylan Comeaux, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
A vote to maintain Net Neutrality is a vote to maintain freedom. Please, vote for freedom, it is the American thing to do.
695. Brent Phillips, Slaughter, LA, 70777  
The internet needs to remain OPEN! Keep Net Neutrality!
696. Dakota Dugas, Gonzales, LA, 70737  
I grew up in a time when the internet was seen as primarily a learning tool for myself and my fellow peers. It then became the primary tool for our civilization to connect with and learn from each other. Please help us stop corporations from making this harder or even an impossibility for some people. Neither I nor my fellow citizens think that greed should stand in the way of education and progression.

697. Madison Buratt, Prairieville, LA, 70769

I am writing this letter to encourage the extended use of our Open Internet rules, based on Title II that is in place. Without these rules, the internet would be robbed of the freedom and equality that our country stands firm upon. Beyond that, the loss of these crucial rules could lead to unwanted censorship of the internet, and the monopolization of internet-providing corporations. The proposed changes to the FCC rules would allow internet-providing corporations to provide fast lanes to sites that pay them larger sums of money, while forcing less-affluent websites to have slower service. As a country that prides itself on the "American Dream", I cannot imagine The United States being in favor of this potential monopoly. These changes would limit our freedom, and these changes would limit our growth. Although I would like to believe that our service providers would not act in this manor, I refuse to remain ignorant, as cases have already happened in the past. These changed conditions would rob our businesses, and they would also rob consumers. I urge you to leave the internet in the state you found it: free and equal. Please leave the existing net neutrality rules based on Title II in place. Thank you. Madison Buratt

698. Will Thigpen, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810

I want to be able to have free reign of information and I believe that transparency and truth are tantamount to the progression of our people's and to keep moving forward into the potential of our humanity. Net neutrality is an important part of being an American, to take that part of American culture away is just another step toward authoritarianism. This is not what the American Dream stands for, nor do the people who inhabit it.

699. Elayn Eicher, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806

Please do not allow any internet provider to be able to use my personal consumer information to make profit off of limiting my access to the internet by then screening my internet access. This takes away more of my privacy as an American citizen and if it is done, I will no longer use the internet for anything more than what is required of me at work. Allowing these companies to monopolize a consumers services is not only indecent but immoral on again, my rights as an American citizen.

700. Lance Lea, Prairieville, LA, 70769

don't take away my internet freedom, I thought this was A M E R I C A

701. Christian Meade, Denham Springs, LA, 70706

dont kill the internet please

702. Caleb Soong, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810

I am opposed to this proceeding, and I want the FCC to preserve Net Neutrality.

703. Zachary Ordoyne, Thibodaux, LA, 70301

Please don't end net neutrality. Think of all the websites that will be ruined if it's gone. Many different sites would be screwed over, including ones that YOU use. Think of the websites that your children will one day use. Although net neutrality may protect sites that do illegal things, it protects sites that make the internet some people's lives. Zachary Ordoyne

704. Jenny Huynh, Baton Rouge, LA, 70815  
Don't get rid of Net Neutrality. It's an important source of entertainment, business, and financial help. Many rely on it and getting rid of the neutrality will risk many people and their jobs.
705. Karyn Leach, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
As a parent and home educator, I appreciate the free access to programs and resources through the internet that we otherwise could not afford. To take away these rights is unconstitutional.
706. Raynell Jacobs II, Gonzales, LA, 70737  
The average american is in favor of net neutrality, so would it make any sense to get rid of it?
707. Stephen P. Geist, Jr, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
The internet is like an incorporeal thoroughfare that connects people across the world. People use this connection to access and send data across the world. As the FCC may already be aware, the removal of Net Neutrality provisions would make the internet subject to prohibitions and regulations brought upon by private Internet Service providers (herein, "ISPs") to collude with other corporate entities to stifle competition, silence free speech, and place barriers upon this thoroughfare that would prejudice the middle to lower class citizens while providing benefits for the wealthy elites. A neutral internet is vital to interstate commerce- it provides a connection between buyers and sellers that would normally prove too difficult to occur. Without net neutrality, consumers would be forced to buy from ISP sponsored partners, who could artificially control demand to allow them to gouge prices to unfair heights. It removes the consumer's ability to seek the lowest price among competitors. Furthermore, it would prevent access to smaller businesses who have begin to spread to the internet, which would hurt economic growth. A neutral internet is also important for free speech- Comcast, an ISP, currently owns MSNBC, a news channel which targets politically left-leaning audiences. If a conservative were to have a contract with Comcast, they may be forced to only receive news from MSNBC, which would contradict their beliefs. ISPs could also face outside pressure from special interest groups who would force them to prohibit access of websites that they feel are too "dangerous" to be allowed access to. However, the choice to visit places, whether they are physical locations or websites, should solely be left up to the individual, and not up to ISPs, corporations, or the Government. In conclusion, I would reiterate how incredibly important this issue is. There should be no public or private interference to the free expression of ideas and access to independent websites the internet provides. The internet should be viewed as a thoroughfare: one that allows people access to shops, media, and free expression, just like roads provide us with a thoroughfare for travel. We are not prevented from using the optimal route to our destination simply because we did not purchase a specific package- neither should this be for the internet. Our devices are our vehicles and our ISPs provide us with the fuel to connect= but we should be the masters of determining where our "vehicle" take us, not the government, not private corporations.
708. Christina Tran, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806

Look at how China handles their internet--do you want us to come to that? I'm pretty sure I and the other millions of American people think that Internet should be as accessible as it is today as a public good.

709. internet freedom, Laplace, LA, 70068

The internet needs proper freedom, regardless of the circumstances.

710. Trey Musemeche, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817

As an American citizen, I hereby condemn the governments actions to end Net Neutrality. An open and free internet is a human right in today's world. Ending net neutrality would no only effect every single citizen of the US, but the entire world. It would be horrible for businesses and people alike.If this proceeding passes, I will do everything in my power to ensure that my local Representative is not re-elected, regardless of how they voted. They should work hard to encourage their colleagues to vote against the proceeding, and failure to so is unacceptable. It is not enough to vote against it, they should do all that they can to make sure others vote against it.

711. Thomas Johnson, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820

I'm filing my complaint formally because I believe that net neutrality is a cornerstone of the Internet's function. The Restoring Internet Freedom Act threatens to roll back protections that I believe are necessary and good for the benefit of all citizens. Rolling back these protections threatens the freedom of private citizens to have access to services that they otherwise might not as well as publish and share content freely to other users.The internet has become an unprecedented forum for the betterment of the U.S. and its citizens. Please, PLEASE consider all the good and freedom that Net Neutrality brings to all of us.

712. Cary Davis, Schriever, LA, 70395

The internet needs to stay a free and open area.

713. Net neutrality, Baton Rouge, LA, 70815

If net neutrality were to be removed, it would allow for the potential jeopardization of the freedom of speech. While it wouldn't be direct censorship, it would still be censorship. Allow to user to determine what they do and do not see and do not allow the withholding of information.

714. Raymond Hardison, Denham Springs, LA, 70706

Ending net neutrality is going to jeopardize the freedom of the internet as it exists today. User's shouldn't be prevented from fast access to their favorite websites simply because the site refused to pay some expensive, new "Fast Access" fee to certain providers. That sort of extortion shouldn't exist. User's also shouldn't be prevented from going to websites simply because the provider blocked them. We paid for access, so we deserve access.

715. kat, Baton rouge, LA, 70808

ISP should be regulated under title 2. PleaseDo not allow companies to limit and charge us additionally for what we now have at no cost. We already are paying high fees, which increase at a steady pace.

716. Daniel Rolley, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
If the U.S is supposed to be free, why are you trying to enforce North Korea's policy of Internet to us. The Internet should be where we are free to gain Knowledge and Entertainment. We have a right to these.
717. Kevin Nguyen, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
The internet should not be regulated. The internet should be open and net neutral
718. Michael, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
The internet would be an awful place if it were allowed to be consumed into this capitalist world most of us live in. The Internet needs to remain a safe haven of capitalism. Don't let big businesses ruin another thing. The internet is a powerful place and I don't doubt for a second how much tax money will be wasted when the government tries to fight those who oppose this law should it go through. The internet is a dangerous place that the government should keep out of.
719. Seth Scioneaux, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
The internet is not some product that only a handful of corporations get to control. Countless businesses could lose significant revenue or even go out of business if they don't have the freedom to be visible anywhere online.
720. zacchaeus Taylor , Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Letting companies have their way just for money is wrong. My reasoning for this is because companies are only there because of consumers, and companies should be a servant to the consumer, not a boss over its customers. If this madness won't end, the death of mainstream companies and the Internet will die a violent death with its workers and even ceos at risk.If companies don't make this fit, then I declare bullshit!zacchaeus Taylor
721. Nicholas de Godoy Lopes, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
I am asking the FCC to uphold net neutrality protections and refuse chairman Pai's plans to give ISPs greater control over our internet access and privacy. My entire life circulates around the internet, for work, for play, for connecting with others. I can't even imagine what the internet be like if ISPs are allowed to throttle access to or block websites as they chose, or charge us more to access what we've come to take for granted as free. The current regulations prevent ISPs from creating fast lanes, or from controlling what it is we as internet users are allowed to access. They ensure the Internet remains a level playing field that benefits small businesses, users, and ISPs. The current proposal to undo these regulations would severely limit the ability of the internet to serve as a platform for artists, educators, academics, scientists, and entrepreneurs to work and connect with larger audiences, and Pai's proposals have the potential to completely undercut this. I live hundreds of miles away from family, and I use Internet services to keep in contact with them. My research is incredibly dependent on online archives and databases which are so accessible because they provide their services and I can access it for free. My main way of keeping in touch with my friends at home is through online gaming. I am part of several fandoms for tv, film, and video games whose very existence is due to the fact that the internet was free and open to all. There are so many artists and musicians I know who produce content and shares it

through the internet for free. If ISPs are allowed to constrict my access to these services or charge me more for them, my life would change completely and so would the life of my family and friends. I urge you to keep Title II net neutrality in place. We as internet users deserve these protections.

722. Teron Potter, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806

ISPs need to find another way to make more money. Compromising the internet on a fundamental level is not a good way to sell more of it. This also has a detrimental effect on emerging businesses, sciences, and innovation from potentially any field. It could also be an infringement upon free speech and freedom of the press. When my ISP filters and throttles what I have access to I am not a free citizen. The cons outweigh the pros so heavily that I expect that ISPs will not see an overall upward trend in profit either. Why invest in a good internet service when you can't access what you want to anyway? Support Net Neutrality backed by Title II.

723. Bailey George, Baton Rouge, LA, 70818

Net neutrality is very important, many people on certain platforms, such as YouTube submit content to the site as a sort of job, and is their only source of income. In the event that net neutrality is lost, these people's livelihood could be at stake, as internet providers could cut off such sight for their on personally gain.

724. Philip, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816

I'm a capitalist. I love the idea of capitalism. To me it seems natural. However, this... this whole thing makes capitalism look bad. The fact that this is even an issue up for debate is downright disgusting. Our freedoms and rights are in question because some companies want more money. Have they no shame? Have they no morals? I know what they do have. They have plenty of money. This whole thing is just insulting and I'm absolutely sickened that I served in this wonderful country's armed forces to protect the people's freedoms who want to take ours away for something as trivial as money. It's just fucking gross. Philip

725. Roxanne Searcy, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802

Net Neutrality is important to keep those barely making ends meet that they still have the same access to information for school and work without having to pay extra to get it. Roxanne Searcy

726. Armani, Sorrento, LA, 70778

Save Net Neutrality! I paid for privacy and safety, not to be controlled by the U.S government.

727. Steve Brunet, Houma, LA, 70364

The internet has always been a tool for the open and free sharing of information. I bastion of knowledge for all the world to access. But infringing upon net neutrality gives ISP's an unnecessary power to restrict the use of something they don't own. They may own the means to connect to it, but no one owns the collected data of the internet, and that's what makes it great. To see such a great tool for humanity manipulated by the companies that provide access to it is wrong. We wouldn't be where we are in the world today without the freedom of information we have today. Keep access to the

internet unbiased and open for your sake and everyone else's. Thank you for the opportunity to voice my opinion on the matter. Steve Brunet

728. Su King, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806

Please maintain the status quo for net neutrality. Unequal access to what has become an essential utility is already bad enough. Allowing a tiered system will only make it worse.

729. Gregory L. Kelley II, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820

Please do not allow the ISP's to have control over what we watch through throttling, and other controlled mechanisms. These companies already swindle money from the average American and are known for the terrible service and exorbitant prices. Please don't give them more power. Thank you.

730. Kyle Davis, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817

I hope that Trump doesn't get rid of Net Neutrality and fires Ajit Pai. Hope. Kyle Davis

731. Net, Denham Springs, LA, 70726

Honestly, your fucking ridiculous plan to eliminate net neutrality is just.. fucking stupid, sure my words are void as a black hole in the middle of butt fuck nowhere, but holy shit. people go onto the internet to escape things, whether it be some fucking tax, a group of people, or just social anxiety... Putting this cum shank of an idea out is just taking people's happiness and escape and granted its slower if they don't want to pay, but thing is, its throwing out people's happiness. You may even see a gradual or immense decline in people who use the internet. The only benefits would go to these immense companies, these head-of-the-industry kind of jackasses, like you fucking idiots. I personally get on the internet to occupy myself with entertainment, and sometimes i even entertain other people with witty jokes or just humor in general, like this one time i was in a discord chat and there was this red neck who was just spitting curses and i said, "Rick, if you were anymore inbred, you'd be a sandwich" and that lit the conversation. People were laughing and being happy. With some stupid shit like this, people may give up on trying to even starting conversations as a whole and just concentrate on doing their PERSONALLY inclined ideas... Like drawing, playing games, or just nothing. Some people just get on the internet to load up some music or space out while lost in thought while they look at something, listen to something, or even do something. yeah the argument is getting a little weak. i know. I am sorry. I don't really like arguing with people at all, i try my best to avoid those, even if i get pulled in i say my piece and go... This though. Holy fucking shit, this has me rabble roused at how fucking stupid you cocks are. Even though this angry letter has been all over the place, it gives you a general idea of how this makes me feel. \*cough\* even though there's a small chance you actually read through this... Overall my level of Bamboozle is about a 9 9/10ths

732. john luther, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809

Net neutrality is a critical issue for small businesses and consumers. The ISP's, if left unchecked will follow their business models and maximize the revenue they can generate for their share holders. In general I approve of this business paradigm, but the internet has become more of a utility the a business proposition for consumers. Like

water and electricity there is typically only one broadband ISP available so the free market principle does not really apply. Furthermore, Without the existing protections in place the ISP's could easily censor groups whose opinions differed from theirs or force small business to do without the internet because of extremely expensive unregulated fees. Please preserve the existing protections the FCC has wisely given the internet users with current net neutrality regulations. Thank you for your attention, Joh. Luther

733. lisa riggins, Denham Springs, LA, 70726

In a time when isps are buying content providers, Title II protections are needed more than ever.

734. Isaac Kuo, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808

Please protect the current net neutrality rules and reject Chairman Pai's plan which will result in data discrimination - an unfair segregated society that harms freedom, harms fairness, and reduces choices. The Internet is woven into everyday life. It is part of both the public square and also our most intimate personal lives. Without freedom and fairness on the Internet, we don't have freedom and fairness in society. As an ordinary citizen, I don't have the sort of money which will let me pay all the toll roads the big guys want to place in my path. My Internet service provider, Cox Communications, would love to extract money from us to make Netflix as expensive as their antiquated cablevision service. They get more money from those who can afford the tolls while others, like myself, will have to do without because we can't afford it. This means giving up on things like Facebook also, which connects us with our friends and family; how we share family photos with each other. This isn't the free market. This isn't freedom. It's a shakedown to protect the interests of dinosaurs at the expense of innovators and ordinary people just looking for more affordable choices. Thank you for protecting ordinary citizens like me by upholding the existing Title II net neutrality rules.

735. Grace Savoy, Mandeville, LA, 70778

I would just like to take a second to tell you how important net neutrality is to me. If my internet experience becomes dictated by internet providers it will impact my education and lower my quality of life. First, as a student, I need the internet everyday & having slower internet because I cannot pay for a specific service is not an option for me. I regularly use Google Drive for my papers and other school work. If I cannot access my documents, or, if Google Drive is slowed down, it will impact my grades and my future. I also use many search engines on a daily basis at school and at home, and if my search results are being tampered with based on what the company controlling my internet wants me to see, then all I will have access to is biased information. This also affects what I know about the world as I get my news from the internet. Suppose my internet provider chooses to support a particular political party and will only allow me to access news from partisan sources and points of view. This is another example in which I am left with only one-sided information. Here is another way my education would be negatively impacted by the loss of net neutrality: Currently, I have access to a detailed overview of my overall grade averages and specific assignment grades through the website JPAMS. If I cannot access this website,

then I will not be able to find out what assignments I may have missed while absent, and I will have no way to easily access data about my success in a class. I will not be able to quickly check my grades on specific assignments, which means, it will take longer for me to find out my specific problem areas in a class or to turn in any assignments that might be missing. If JPAMS is slower or entirely blocked because of my internet provider, it will impact me significantly. This is why net neutrality is so important to my education, but now I will discuss its impact on my quality of life. As a young person, I use the internet everyday, heck, I'm using it right now to send you this essay. I get all of my information from the internet, and if my information is limited or cut off completely then I will suffer a massive blow to my quality of life. Another one of the main functions of the internet in my life is providing access to other people's content, be it in the form of YouTube videos, music, online literature on sites like WattPad, or social media posts. This content is very important to me because, not only does it provide me with education and entertainment but inspiration, as well as a platform to express my creativity by changing other people's art or creating something totally new. The internet is one big collaboration, and it's amazing just the way it is. Internet providers should not be able to dictate what content or art I see online. This limits creativity and education, as well as stunting the growth of content creators. This decrease in content will make my life harder & decrease the sources of my entertainment, all because of my internet provider. One more way that the loss of net neutrality could impact my life: slower internet and limited online resources could literally bankrupt my family and tons of other Americans who are employed via the Internet. My father works from home as a copyright and trademark lawyer. If his internet was slowed or taken away, he would be making significantly less than he is now or possibly be unemployed. See, the internet provides job opportunities for people, like my dad, who would otherwise be unable to find a job. When my parents divorced my mother took my sister and me and moved out of state because she found a new job. My father was left behind for a long while, until he found a job in in a bordering state closer to us but still far away. Every weekend he made a nine hour drive just so he could see us. Finally he moved to our town so he could actually be our full-time dad. Now he works for a firm based across the country while living here with us, thanks to the internet. If net neutrality had not been enacted during this period of my father's life, I would likely not have a dad who I see all the time. Because of net neutrality, my father has a good job & he is able to support me & my sister. If, during this time, my father's internet would have been slow or limited simply because he could not pay, my life would be very different today. My father would probably either be working a lower-income job near his children or be forced to live away from us just so he could pay rent. My father has had such an impact on my life, that, I don't know who I would be without him, and if net neutrality didn't exist I wouldn't even be able to contact him regularly through social media or Hangouts messaging because of my slow or limited internet access. All in all, net neutrality is very important to me & all other Americans because it raises quality of life & allows us to have a better education. No one should be barred by internet service providers or only have access to certain sites because they do not have enough money to pay for a premium internet service or because their provider has specific opinions. The internet and net neutrality go hand in hand while making lives better. Please do not let net neutrality go.

736. wtbert, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
Please keep net neutralitywtbert
737. Tyler Daniel Robinson, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
The implication that this act will do anything but place the freedoms of the average citizen in the hands of companies that have already been rated some of the least popular and least trusted in America is laughable. Net neutrality preserves our freedoms. You are working to sell them. Compared to many countries, America's internet service and accessibility are already archaic, evidence of a government that has failed to grasp that internet access has become a modern necessity that should be protected like any other utility. Try serving the country for once, rather than enabling the monopolies ruining it.
738. Ron Mangels, Houma, LA, 70364  
When big business is involved they look out for their own interests as has been seen over many years. This country was founded on capitalism and the free marketplace and the internet is no different. We need to leave it alone and available to everyone.
739. Benny W. Griffin, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
I want to continue to have the freedom of speech, the ability for small businesses to survive and I want to be able to decide what I want to watch online. Keep it neutral. It has worked great for years don't mess with it.
740. Chris Hare, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
One of the Government's most important jobs is to protect it's citizens from monopolies and those who seek to control and take advantage us by controlling our access to resources. The internet is a critical resource for US citizens and the Government must protect it in the interest of the democracy.
741. B Young, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
Leave well enough alone and stop meddling in things that don't need meddling with! Adding additional restrictions will only stifle future growth and competition from smaller companies, leaving the field open to the big names that want these changes made.
742. Mill Mascaras, Baton Rouge , LA, 70808  
This would inhibit freedom and put what should be in the hands of many in the hands of a few monopolistic companies.
743. Rachel Cannon, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
As a small business, we rely on potential clients finding us on the internet and interacting with our website. It's important that anyone can find our business online. I worry that net neutrality will make it harder for us to find potential leads.
744. Paul Patterson, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
It would be a disservice to all if the few can control free speech. It is a service to all to have the few provide for the many when it comes to free and open access to the internet and free speech.

745. Ingrid Guillaume, Zachary, LA, 70791  
Sirs, The Internet is probably the most significant contribution to society in generations. We need to keep it equitable and open to ALL people. The stream of information available on the Internet promotes a population of better-informed citizens. Blocks to net neutrality mean that many in our country would be barred from that information because of economic means. Please vote in favor of net neutrality.
746. Francisco Serrano, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
Net neutrality is the only voice common people has.
747. Charles Lee, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I run a small organization website. Net neutrality helps me compete fairly!
748. Mary Sanders, Zachary, LA, 70791  
I should be able to choose not my cable provider that has an almost monopoly where I live. Stop letting businesses take advantage of consumers.
749. Ellen Motichek, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
The internet is where I bank with security protocols and make transactions in my very small business. Please do not do this. I believe that we should have protections from the big corporations who only want to make us pay their bills.
750. Rob Q. Lacy, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
I want to keep and cares about net neutrality forever.
751. Chelsea Dean, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
Allowing the something as influential as the Internet to be controlled by a few corporations is in complete violation of the values this country was founded upon.
752. Adrian Serio, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Net Neutrality is essential for a truly free society!
753. Adam Denoon, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
Net Neutrality is personal freedom, plain and simple.
754. Caleb Huval, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
Please keep the internet a place for the free flow of ideas!
755. Shawn Belton, Labadieville, LA, 70372  
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. It allows consumers "not big companies" to choose what they want to watch & do online.
756. Martin Ayton, Destrehan, LA, 70047  
I want a democratic internet - with all the chaos and mess that might imply. I do not want a locked down, bland internet, controlled by large corporations only for their own benefit. I want a place where new ideas can thrive, where entrepreneurs can start new businesses, where I have a voice that is not solely determined by my ability to pay. Please preserve net neutrality.

757. Phillip, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
If we allow ISPs to create a tiered-bandwidth system, small businesses and entrepreneurs will be stuck with slower speeds and lower bandwidth and only large corporations will be able to afford the "internet fast lane". This is the story every time we let lobbyists intervene in a facet of American life without the approval of the democracy. Keep the Internet open and free, support net neutrality.
758. Michael A. Heirtzler, Ethel, LA, 70730  
Oh my, oh my...How may I pry?The lives of thee, Ye who do receive. [our internet]He who we so need...For we are stricken with greed. [<-- Big companies, my man!]We shall say, "Nay!"With firmness in voice"Oh, my children! [<-- Customers are children, yo!]Say not what you will, for you need not freedom,with no bill for such."Tis horrid, the thoughtof our words so repressedThe companies, they need us shackledUnable to speak.. [our minds]And that is what shall be unto usIf Net Neutrality is to cease.That's all I got, I need my freedom, Man!
759. Charles Moore, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
Net neutrality is necessary. I hope we can keep it!
760. Allyson Grzenia, Thibodaux, LA, 70301  
Handing over control of the internet as we know it to ANY corporation that has vested interests in providing internet service to customers is the absolute OPPOSITE of what we we have worked hard for. Freedom of speech online could be a thing of the past. Monopoly? Oh yeah, that would make a comeback. This would effectively begin to poison small businesses. The power of the internet is too great. Net neutrality is not disposable. It is VITAL.
761. Tarryn Pollard, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
Net neutrality is vital to maintaining the open, freedom of speech that lets the world express and share their opinions about current events. Not only that, but it's vital for allowing people to do as they please online without some big-brother figure standing over us and determining what we can and can't do online. Net neutrality represents everything the United States stands for in terms of freedom of speech, to take that away is to take away a fundamental component of what makes the US special.
762. Nick Sereda, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
Net Neutrality allows for easy access to information, specifically regarding academic information. If the internet will stop functioning as the modern library than many people will not be able to compete academically and learn new skills that are vital to their progress.
763. Donald Bardwell, Thibodaux, LA, 70301  
Net Neutrality is important to me as an informed citizen and as a consumer. I live in a hurricane and storm prone area and the internet is my mainstay in determining threats to my home and region. Also, as a consumer the internet allows me to search and find wide choices.
764. Zachary Daigle, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817

I feel that there is absolutely no reason to remove ISPs from Title II classification. The internet is certainly not 'broken' now and such a change would only empower ISPs to impose new and higher charges for providing internet access. There is clearly no benefit to the the consumer to removing Title II classification and the only potential benefit would be to ISPs.

765. Terance Taylor, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816

Net Neutrality is an important issue, that should not be discarded. America was the foundation of the open internet, that led to the rise of ecommerce and all the benefits it has provided. There are arguments of this form of regulation is not needed. I argue it is. There was already issues of big ISPs like Comcast throttling specific type traffic and abusing their internet majority which led to the discussion of Net Neutrality in the first place. If you go back to how it was, do you think these same ISPs will act differently from how they did then? There is the argument of it will hurt investments. This argument has been debunked by ISPs like Verizon and AT&T in statements to their investors. Are you saying these companies illegally mislead their investors with said statements? The head of the FCC wants a less regulation approach. Well, this type of approach was tried the first time, where the courts ruled that the FCC could not do such partial title 2 regulations without classifying them title 2. If this was sued and ruled against the FCC, you aren't really saying we are going back to this, so what is left? The FCC's power is limited by Congress, so unless congress acts, it has a limited toolkit to work with. The head of the FCC talked about making the ISPs promise not to do the type of things we dread. How is a promise held accountable? The ISPs will not hesitate to say anything to benefit themselves and then do something else. This can be seen with the top ISP, Comcast, who when trying to merge with NBC Universal brought up Time Warner as a competitor as to why they would not have too much control over things. A few years later, when they want to merge with Time Warner, their statement is we do not directly compete. You can not have a controlled or monopolistic market and have little to no regulations, without them eventually being abused. This is the state of the market we have in this country. Sure, no one ISP controls more than a third of the market, but in many of these local areas, there is no competition and therefore no incentive to improve or compete. You have cases where ISPs sue to try and stop competition from municiple from entering the market, instead of improving their own offerings to actual service their customers. There is the arguments of we should do things like the older days. Well ISP's were classified as Title II in the older days, because they were also phone companies. It was the mid 2000, that classified them title 1 and stopped the requirement of local loop unbundling. This back then, allowed smaller ISPs to start up and pay the larger ones for access to their lines. ISPs argue that they need to be able to charge netflix or whatever extra inorder to maintain and exapnd. This is nonsense. With limited to no competition in areas, and a fixed group, they should be able to easily calculate the expenses required for future upgrades and factor that into the cost of service. They are not leaving your service, if you have no good competitors there. What I head with that argument, is they are admitting they are bad at business and didn't factor in their future expense and split the cost over their install base over time. With all these issues in the past, can you really recommend going back to such a system?

766. Joseph D. Hall, Walker, LA, 70785  
American people already paid for the internet remember arpanet tax payers paid for it. The internet is utility. Don't let the lobbyist for the rich corporations steal the American people freedom and labor.
767. Jan Alamis, Houma, LA, 70364  
Stop the GREED.
768. Jonathan Ellis, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
Restoring Internet Freedom is a lovely name for an act that does just the opposite. This act will pave the way for Internet Service Providers to dictate what their costumers can and can't access. This is a form of monopoly that leads to higher prices and even poorer service. The Restoring Internet Freedom act is anti consumer and goes against the fundamentals of the free market. The very same free market that is the only concept in human history that actively eliminates poverty. Normally in a free market one could just switch to another Service Provider, but we have only a max of two hard cable lines on an address. My personal issue is, where I live, Cox Communications' recent announcement of fees for data usage over 1TB per 30 days. Data which has cost nothing, since companies like America Online were bought out, now has a cost for no other reason than crony capitalist, anti free market, anti competitiveness greed. With these companies putting a cap on data, why bother with innovations like fiber optic cable? This greedy overreach is just one example of how this is crushing innovation. Bandwidth is a finite resource, data is not. If I had another option, I'd switch, but Cox Communications has a monopoly for high speed internet in our area. By Their bandwidth meters we are running 40GB daily even if most of the computers are turned off, who checks their meters? Cox Communications has a TV service in direct competition with other online services like Hulu and Netflix, with this act they could limit or even stop their customers from using those sites. The internet is a utility and must be treated as such, because there is no free market choice.
769. net neutrality, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
After defending the internet from the big buisnesses we gotta do it again. We are against the companies trying to control what does not need to be controlled. This put the internet in a bad state especially with how most big corporations can not be trusted and how they mislead the general public. We need to stand against this as it will bite the people and the potentail jobs in the future. Keep the internet free and neutral, for freedom of the people, the greatness of the internet, and the increasing job market for it.
770. Jonathan Ellis, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
My comment earlier about the Restoring Internet Freedom Act, was misguided. My comments and complaints are mainly on the lack of ISPs in the free market. This act should increase interest in companies to go into the market to compete, if we can have more than two ISPs in one location. If the internet is a utility it's subject to far more government interference. Which is anti free market. Sorry I got it wrong, it is crazy times we live in.
771. Logan Miller, Denham Springs, LA, 70726



779. Rodney Harris, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
Internet freedom is necessary to prevent monopolistic behavior from corporations who's only incentive is profit. Net neutrality must remain as internet usage is essential and a daily aspect of life to all U.S. citizens. Rodney Harris
780. Noelle Allison, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Please preserve net neutrality. The internet should be equally accessible to every American and should exist to serve every American, not the financial interests of multinational corporations.
781. Michael Beck, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802  
Save net neutrality! I avoid search engines like Bing and Yahoo because they rank sponsored content over relevant content. Loss of net neutrality would turn the entire Web into one large and frustrating Bing search.
782. Jared Langlois, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
Repealing Net Neutrality is completely anti-consumer and negatively affects everyone that isn't a CEO of a major telecom company.
783. Aron Fontenot, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802  
Without Net Neutrality, you are opening the doors for ISP's to have free reign to limit, restrict, and overcharge customers with no oversight.
784. David Stevenson, La Place , LA, 70068  
We need to help consumers. Big business has enough government help. They don't need anymore.
785. Marilyn Cole, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
Net neutrality is essential to retain a balance not only in the market but for the consumer also.
786. Concerned Citizen, Livingston, LA, 70754  
We need Net Neutrality to also protect us against hackers and malicious malware authors. If we lose this, it will be easier for them to track what you do on the internet
787. Robert Mulkey, Zachary, LA, 70791  
Net Neutrality allows big brother not private entities to decide what people see on the internet.
788. Scott C Kogler DDS , Prairieville, LA, 70769  
Please do not change Net Neutrality Laws. I advocate leaving them alone. Thank you!
789. Apurva Borcar, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
Information must not be rationed at the whims of the highest bidder.
790. Elijah Jefferson, Zachary , LA, 70791  
Without net neutrality the internet loses meaning. The entire point of the internet is to be able to find whatever we want and restricting that is just ridiculous.

791. Austin Demand, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820  
If we lose net neutrality, we sell our freedom to corporations bent on destroying American values.
792. Stephen Poss, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802  
Please do not do anything that would damage or limit net neutrality. The internet is too much like the airwaves and should be protected for all users freely.
793. larry davidson, baton rouge, LA, 70817  
Since when has a lack of regulation ever proven good for the masses? Free enterprise without control is just a transfer of wealth to the rich & powerful.
794. S. L., Prairieville, LA, 70769  
Net neutrality provides citizens with a free flow of information and ideas rather than allowing big business and large political donors to control access to biased information for their own financial benefit. Please protect one of our most fundamental rights... free thought and sharing of ideas. Also, net neutrality allows the poorest citizens access to the same flow of information and education as the richest.
795. Leigh, Baton rouge, LA, 70810  
It must be protected.
796. Barbara Araneda, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802  
No one could reasonably argue that the public would benefit from a more restrictive, less open internet. In this Information Age we live in, the internet is a vital tool that helps individuals, families and entire communities thrive. I am writing to tell you to overturn the Title II regulations enacted in 2015 which limit internet freedoms and use. A permanent solution to an open internet is needed. It is prudent that partisan groups work with each other to overturn the existing burdensome rules at the FCC and enact lasting legislation that will solidify the core internet freedoms the citizens of the United States deserve. Passing bipartisan legislation is the only way we can truly put this issue beyond politics and ensure that the vital consumer protections of transparency, no blocking, no censoring, and no discriminatory throttling are stable and secure for decades to come. And, codifying these principles into law will give companies the regulatory certainty we need to continue investing, innovating and delivering the new technologies and services that we want.
797. CAROLYN Ricapito, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
I strongly urge you to support net neutrality. As your constituent ( 70810) I believe this is in the interests of the American public  
Thank you, Carolyn Ricapito
798. Dave Shepherd, Greenwell Springs, LA, 70739  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a

fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

799. Donna LaFleur, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
Freedom of speech is not a commodity. Net neutrality establishes a level playing field for everyone. Without this safeguard, service providers will be allowed to exploit the public and private sector by charging more for "premium" broadband services, and thereby exerting influence over the collective "voice" of internet users.
800. Wyonna Young, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
Protect the right of the people. Not corporations. It is your responsibility to protect us in full rights to see and do online without being blocked. Fast lanes are for everyone.
801. Bobby Jackson, Port Allen, LA, 70767  
Please keep our Internet.open and Free.
802. Angel Tassin, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
send letter to congress
803. Elizabeth Powers, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
Net neutrality is important. This is a democracy. There should be no "fast lanes" and "slow lanes" on the internet.
804. Jim Gibson, BATON ROUGE, LA, 70810  
5599710116198250
805. Amy Holcomb, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important. I urge you to protect them.No ISP should have the power to manipulate the First Amendment to the United States Constitution. Thank you!
806. Matthew Hernandez, Gonzales, LA, 70737  
Everyone should have equal access to the Internet ....KEEP NET NUTALITY!
807. Ashley Case Duckworth, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
Dear Commissioners,I'm writing to you today to urge you not to repeal Title II requirements from the Internet, what many call "Net Neutrality." I use the internet every day, like many of my countrypeople; I use it for communication with my friends and family, for research, for finding places to publish my creative writing, and to blog. I get almost all of my news from different websites around the Internet. I think you know as well as I do that the Internet represents a sea change in how we all do nearly everything, from cook to care for our children to entertain ourselves to fall in love.We have built all we have on the promise of a free and open Internet, one that acts as a set of "dumb pipes" that doesn't care what we send across it. Some telecom companies -- Comcast, AT & T, and Verizon among them -- have noticed that they could make a lot more money by differentiating among the traffic on their wires: they each own some

media companies with large presences online, as well as myriad other types of sites, and they're noticing sites like Netflix that use a lot of bandwidth and could be copied if only a competitor could get their foot in the door. I'm sure that these telecoms (which have been lobbying very hard for you to repeal the Title II restrictions on them, as I'm sure you know) have also seen some speech that they'd love to curb going through their pipes, as well. The thing is, Commissioners -- we've seen a controlled Internet before, and we still see it today, in countries that we consider -- at least in the popular political propaganda of our country -- absolute enemies to our way of life, on a near-existential level. Countries like North Korea, China, Russia, and Egypt. Now, I'm not saying that without Title II protections on the Internet that our country will immediately fall into an Orwellian pit, but it will be able to slide that direction easily -- and what's more, these corporations answer to no sovereign power. They will use you, FCC, until they have no more need of you, and then they will spit you out. They don't care about you; they don't have to. They only care about profits, and what you can do to help them get them. I'm sorry but that is how it is. We already have no competition in the vast majority of the Internet providing space in this country -- these companies are de facto utilities, and the services they provide is quickly becoming as integral a part of American life as drinking water, electricity, and gas. Don't sell our future to the lowest bidder. We all depend on you. Best, Case Duckworth

808. Chene , Denham Springs, LA, 70726

I use the Internet to stay in touch with family and friends from long distances. I also use the Internet for my livelihood. Ending net neutrality would hurt my personal and professional life. We do not need these companies to charge us more money for their already expensive services and limiting our choices of websites we need to access daily. Please do not end net neutrality. It will do much harm for the people and many businesses. Thank you.

809. Scott Jarreau, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817

The internet is knowledge from the past and for the future, and without open minded learning and education we are doomed.

810. Dylan Zeringue, Prairieville, LA, 70769

Net neutrality stops my internet provider from charging me more money to experience the same quality of internet speed as I receive now.

811. Michael Roper, Houma, LA, 70360

Net neutrality is the last bastion of free speech. It is our anchor to the open access of information out in the world. It has to and should continue to be held to Title II restrictions that protect us from companies already clamping down on access, speeds, and charging for information at outrageous prices. We need MORE and better regulation, not less. Give the power back to the consumer, not the companies.

812. George Lacour, New Roads, LA, 70760

I don't need to explain why Net Neutrality is important any more than I need to explain why air is important. I will NOT let my internet freedoms be taken away.

813. Joshua Cozzens, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817

Destroying Net Neutrality goes against everything this country is based on. Freedom. Allowing ISPs to regulate the flow of data and the content we desire is unacceptable. Destroying Net Neutrality is putting America on the same level as the countries we fight so hard not to be.

814. Billy thibodeaux, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
Some of the places I go are in violation of net neutrality now zip 70802 an it is horrific how they are robing service and , this is not in n Korea
815. Janey McCullough, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
The internet is a place for young people of all races, ethnicities, sexual orientations, and backgrounds to connect with others and see that they are not alone. It allows small businesses to grow and therefore increases jobs. It has become a platform for self expression and social justice movements. Without Net Neutrality, much of the positive America as we know it will change for the worse. People of all political standings will rebel against the Trump administration. Most importantly, taking away Net Neutrality is taking away many peoples' freedom of speech and expression. It is taking away access to view other peoples' stories of tragedy and triumph. It is taking away our youths' ability to research and shape their views and opinions. Without Net Neutrality, the Internet is no longer the Internet. In taking away Net Neutrality, America is taking a huge step backwards.
816. Jas Duplessis, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
The internet has become an invaluable tool for many people who work from home or have their own businesses. Restricting it minimizes the opportunity people have to make their own place in the world financially, destroys a large aspect of the free market, and takes away from the American Dream.
817. Maghan Willims, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
Net neutrality is important to me because I don't want to see this country suffer any more than it already is. Every small business using the internet as a basis for their company or organization deserves the same amount of "air time" as the big corporations.
818. Olivia Parker, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
Free speech!! Equality!!!
819. Laura Woods, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
Net Neutrality ensures equal access to all internet users, allowing everyone to use the information and exchange of ideas worldwide.
820. Brennan Burleigh, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
I believe in freedom of speech and that I should be able to access whatever content I desire, if certain contents void the law, it is through its creation, not through its consumption. The people shouldn't have to base their Internet provider on what content they want to stream, when you begin to limit what an Internet provider can give access to, then you begin to separate demographics on the web. The internet is where we can connect with each other not just within this nation, but throughout the world, and that

is so immensely important. Restriction of the web is extremely similar to restriction of the press, which is simply an arm by which we can express our opinions and thoughts, and the web is the quickest and most efficient way to find those who agree with you. I've found so many friends and colleagues who I have grown immensely close to from all over the world, and restricting this access would have honestly probably led to my suicide, not being able to meet these wonderful people who have given me so much support because of a nonsensical law that doesn't take into account the people's views and opinions is a horrible idea. There are so many other reasons to be against this immensely undemocratic ideology, as I am sure many people agree all across the United States.

821. Grayson Lee, Walker, LA, 70785  
Net neutrality allows me to freely browse the sites i like on the internet with no restrictions or prioritized sites, and it should stay that way.
822. Sarah Putman, La Place, LA, 70068  
Its impirtant because it's no one else's business what I want to research and watch etc. If net neutrality is gone, my rights become limited and violated.
823. Nancy Webberman, Baton Rouge, LA, 70818  
because we're letting this pumpkin face idiot get away with almost everything and i refuse to pay for my memes
824. Kristina VanHeeswijk, Houma, LA, 70364  
Net Neutrality is important because it allows people to interact and share ideas in an environment unimpeded by the greed of corporations.
825. Jadon Englerth, Greenwell Springs, LA, 70739  
I cannot afford to pay for websites. Even if I could, I wouldnt. And nobody else will. This is a business venture that will lose you more money than you could hope to earn.
826. Katelyn , Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
We're strapped for money as it is; the internet is meant to be a free space where you don't have to buy access to different sites. Don't suck it into the world of big business that cheats and is detrimental to all but very few people.
827. Eugene Hochenedel, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
I don't make the money to pay for faster speeds or to visit every website I might happen upon.
828. Mervin C, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
The internet is an important, vital resource for all. Countless school projects are completed with it, often by children whose parents would not be able to afford another bill. Love is found on the internet. Jobs are found that give the hopeless hope. Please, keep it like it is, keep it good, keep it whole. Look past the greed and see that this is a resource that connects humanity. And that is much more vital than more money than you already have.

829. Aaron Cruz, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Because I shouldn't have to pay to have access to use something that almost everyone uses on the daily basis
830. Alex , Livingston, LA, 70754  
I DO NOT WANNA PAY FOR THE DAMN INTERNET. It makes no sense. Please don't do it especially when everyone is pretty much poor except for like 1% of Americans. Just, please. I want my memes to be free
831. Temmie , Walker, LA, 70785  
I do not want to have to pay extra money just to go on a certain website because that is basically what Verizon and all of the companies are going to do
832. Morgan Bellot, Greenwell Springs, LA, 70739  
I use the internet on a daily basis, I use it to expand my knowledge and for entertainment. I don't have money to pay for something that is originally free and I don't think the internet shouldn't be anything but neutral and America is feeling less free since February.
833. Wayne , Walker, LA, 70785  
I barely make enough money to support my real world life, I don't want to start paying for what helps me relax and feel comfortable.
834. Katie Wilson, Denham Springs, LA, 70706  
The internet should be a utility. It's the largest marketplace of free speech today. Stifling that is absolutely unconstitutional.
835. Layna Savoie, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
The internet is vital to my education. If I can't access it because I'm broke, I can't become a professional and pursue my chosen career.
836. Victoria Simmons, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
The internet is my life and I am broke
837. Raegan Decoteau, Prairieville, LA, 70769  
If net neutrality dies, the rich will inherit the internet.
838. Julia Miller, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817  
Please protect the current FCC laws claiming the internet is a utility. It would be very helpful. Thank you
839. Eddie Hodges, Walker, LA, 70785  
INTERNET IS PART OF OUR RIGHTS TAKE THAT AWAY= REVOLUTION
840. William Curry, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
My internet speeds are already slow so allowing people to throttle connections would makes things worse and frustrating for a lot of people in America.
841. Morgan Latham, Morganza, LA, 70759

America is supposed to be the freest place on the planet and yet we can't even go online without the FCC flipping their lid. Smh

842. Lauren Kraemer, Houma, LA, 70360

I want to watch whatever, whenever, using the internet IIII pay for. You think ill continue using the same internet provider? Lol no. Im going to just find one that isnt ignorant.

843. Christopher Buchanan, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817

As a successful junior scientist, the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

844. Elizabeth Parkinson, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806

Please, do not take away net neutrality protections. Your role in government is to protect us, the people, not greedy corporations and removing net neutrality is the exact opposite. Giving internet providers the ability to control what I see is wrong and will have negative consequences for our democracy. Please do not make this a partisan issue. Saving net neutrality is an American issue. Removing net neutrality protections is what a dictatorship would do. Do not give in to corporations and protect the little guy. Protect our democracy by protecting our internet.

845. Thai Tran, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816

Mr. Ajit Pai, I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

846. Teresa Russin, Baton Rouge, LA, 70820

Please do all in your power to preserve internet neutrality. Commercialization of communication leads to corruption. Please preserve freedom of speech for all internet users.

847. Paul Kramm M.D., Baton Rouge, LA, 70817

DO NOT TAKE, STEAL, OR SELL TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER MY INTERNET FREEDOM. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY ALIVE. HOW DARE YOU LET THE CORPORATE WEASELS THROTTLE MY SPEED, OR DECIDE WHICH CONTENT I MAY WATCH.

848. Cole Kreger, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802

Protecting ISP and the internet as a whole from the internet service monopolies should be some of the highest concerns congress, the government as a whole, and the nation should be worried about. Internet access should be protected as much as possible for the information and opportunity it provides to all people in this country. If congress, for once, looked at this issue from with objectively with their conscious and with the facts that this is just a way for the cable company to compensate themselves for the decline of cable subscriptions instead of with this pocket books this wouldn't even be a problem. Also, this is a summary for The man and the Sea by Earnest Hemingway. "The Old Man and the Sea is the story of a fight between an elderly, accomplished fisherman, Santiago, and a really big fish. Like a HUGE. The story opens with Santiago suffering eighty-four days without catching a fish because he's the unluckiest son-of-a-bitch on planet earth. Honestly, if you were in a boat for eighty-four days, it'd be hard to NOT catch a fish even by accident. Santiago was so unlucky that his apprentice, Manolin, was forbidden by his Ma and Pa to fish with him. But as The Fresh Prince used to say, "Parents Just Don't Understand". So the boy visits Santiago's shack anyway. Ignoring the inherent risks of unsupervised playtime with an elderly man who talks to himself, Manolin helps out, moving Santiago's fishing gear, making food and talking about baseball. Especially Joe DiMaggio; who used to bump fuzzies with Marilyn Monroe. The next day, Santiago tells Manolin that he's going way out into the Gulf Stream. WAY OUT north of Cuba. Lady luck is returning! On the eighty-fifth day of his crappy luck, Santiago drops his lines, and by noon, gets a bite from what feels like a big ass fish. He's sure it's a winner. He fights and fights and fights but can't pull the monster in. Santiago's leaky old boat is pulled by the fish for two days and nights as he holds on for dear life. Even though he's bloody and beat, Santiago begins to appreciate this mighty adversary. He starts calling him "brother" or maybe even, "bro." It's sort of a love story if you really think about it. And like most romantic comedies, the reader pictures a delightful outfit changing montage, followed by the inevitable interspecies wedding. But on the third day, Santiago is freakin' EXHAUSTED, and decides he just wants the fish to do what he says and not always swim wherever it wants. So he stabs it. With a f\*cking harpoon. It's a mess. Super gross. Blood everywhere. Because, like many men his age, Santiago has difficulty expressing his emotions and fears with words - instead giving in to base desires - and imposing his gigantically terrible positions on any given subject through unblinking violence. Typical. Anyway, he straps the marlin to the side of his skiff and hits the road

home, ready to act like a total show off to everyone and probably gouge people on the price. But guess what? Pretty soon sharks begin to attack the bleeding marlin's carcass, because we all know, life is a tragic opera and just when you think you've finally found something good and true, sharks come along and rip it all to f\*cking shreds while dry-humping your dignity with their crazy-weird shark dicks. Sure, Santiago tries killing a few of them, but drops his harpoon because his hands are just as old as he is. By nighttime, the sharks have pretty much eaten the entire marlin. Only a bleach-white skeleton remains, silently mocking him in the murky darkness. Santiago realizes he's still unlucky. REALLY unlucky. (Duh!) He calls the sharks "cream killers". Which isn't really all that fair. I mean, the sharks were just doing their job and the marlin, Jesus, don't even get me started on the marlin! It was just hanging out one day, minding its own business, maybe thinking about ways it could be a better provider for its family and WHAM! Harpoon in the brain. Who's the "cream killer" now, f\*ckface? The hypocrisy is pretty much boundless at this point. Eventually Santiago makes it ashore. Leaving the bones of the marlin and the boat, he hobbles to his shack. He makes it home and crashes, like I said - he's super tired. The next morning a group of fishermen gather around Santiago's boat. One measures the skeleton and, holy shit-shingles! It's over 18 feet! The head of the fish is given to Pedrico (strange that this is the first mention of him) and the other fishermen ask Manolin to send their glad tidings to the old man. Manolin brings Santiago newspapers and coffee when he wakes and they decide to fish together again. Many years later, there's a Red Lobster Restaurant in nearly every city in America, offering a casual dining experience and convenient parking."

849. Leonard Ray, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808

I believe strongly in the preservation of a free and open internet unfettered by abusive monopolies, and thus believe strongly that broadband Internet service providers should remain classified as Title II common carriers. Sincerely, Leo Ray

850. George Norwood, Zachary, LA, 70791

Net Neutrality MUST be kept! We are a FREE Nation! Please leave our Internet alone!

851. Alfred Beach, Baton Rouge, LA, 70818

Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started. Net neutrality allows consumers — not big companies — to choose what they watch & do online.

852. Alfred Beach, Baton Rouge, LA, 70818

Net neutrality allows me not big companies to choose what watch & do online.

853. Cody Saucier, Baton Rouge, LA, 70817

Net neutrality must be protected to keep online content free and fast. We all should have access to the web built to be open for all.

854. Cody Banker, Prairieville, LA, 70769

Keeping the internet open truly allows for innovation and growth. Please don't simply let the biggest corporations dictate and force services that we will have to use. Everyone, even the smallest of web services, deserves the rightful chance to fairly

make their mark.

855. Lona Waldon, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
Leave net neutrality as is. We pay enough as it is!!
856. Tad Fox, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
The Internet is Free to Everyone!!!
857. Ashton Felps, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
I support Net Neutrality, because I believe in an open internet.
858. Chase Baldwin, Denham Springs, LA, 70806  
The FCC wants to destroy net neutrality and give big cable companies control over what we see and do online. If they get their way, they'll allow widespread throttling, blocking, censorship, and extra fees. This is outrageous!
859. Brook Bourgeois, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
We should not undo the Title II classification of internet providers.
860. Clayton Smith, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810  
Where I live there are only two options for hi-speed internet access. In many places there is only one option. Without proper protections this enables companies to overcharge and underserve their customers with a de facto local monopoly. ISPs make great efforts to prevent new competition entering their markets (see Google Fiber's attempts to enter new markets being blocked by other ISP-backed law suits), crippling the free market. When the free market is unable to be free, the government should protect the interests of the people before companies. We, the people, need free access to the internet without any interference, throttling, or other favoritism being shown by the ISPs. We need regulatory protections to serve us by ensuring ISPs do not abuse their control of the market. The internet is a vital, basic utility now and should be protected as such. It's not up to my power company how I use my electricity, nor the water utility how I use my water. Our ISPs should have no control over what information we wish to access... and it's up to the FCC to ensure that continues.
861. Aaron Steinman, Morgan City, LA, 70380  
Please let Net Neutrality stand as is.
862. Oliver Garretson, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802  
Preserve net neutrality! The internet as we know it today is the most important source of information. The removal of net neutrality ends Americans' access to this unrivaled information source.
863. Tyler Cook, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
I oppose the changes to net neutrality! Keep network bandwidth equal for all! This will unfairly allow ISPs the power to silence anyone they disagree with!
864. Marnie Cook, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
I oppose changes to net neutrality, all internet traffic should be equal.

865. Ashley Case Duckworth, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806

Dear Mr. Pai, High-speed Internet is absolutely required to function in the modern American society. I know this to be a fact because my parents still lack high-speed internet, as they live in the country; they are lucky in that their work is possible to do without the internet. However, I and my sisters have faced issues multiple times when visiting home from college when we had to drive 30 minutes into town to finish important work or communicate with teachers or classmates. Ultimately, we were lucky; we were always able to make the trip when we needed to, and we had a good public library in town that provided free internet. The issue I write to you about today, however, is only really made possible by the already-wide proliferation of high speed internet throughout much of the country by ISPs such as Verizon, Comcast, Cox, and Time Warner. What these ISPs would have the FCC do is allow them to thrust parts of the digital country back in time, to the land of dial-up and high latency, to suit their own short-term business interests. While it would pad their shareholder reports for a short while, ultimately, allowing the owners of the digital roads to police all traffic going down those roads will make it harder to bring innovative new products to market, and the engine of the digital economy will grind to a halt. The idea of Net Neutrality is that the roads of the Internet -- the cables, switchboxes, servers, routers, cell towers, and satellites -- are, just as the physical roads that crisscross this country, \*public\* services that must stay neutral in what they allow and promote. I maintain that the idea of net neutrality is even more important for future endeavors on the internet: if Time Warner decides to throttle traffic that doesn't go through its own properties, for example, that may hurt Netflix, of course, but they are big and will be able to weather the storm. However, an as-yet inchoate corporation that may change the course of human history will be unable to flourish in the shade of the large, well-established trees of the internet, and we will never see its beauty. Admittedly, this is a contrived example (and poor metaphor), but it is entirely possible, and will at some point pad the shareholders' pockets; and as far as I can tell, anything that is both possible and profitable is inevitable in the American system. Furthermore, by allowing companies to install toll-roads in the fabric of the Internet will further disadvantage already-disadvantaged populations that can use the Internet as a tool to lift themselves out of poverty --- a bootstrapping that conservatives wax unceasingly about (I assume, Mr. Pai, that you are a conservative, since you were appointed by someone vaguely resembling one, at least right now, and since you back anything that promises "unregulated markets" blindly). It may seem as though Net Neutrality regulations are mere governmental overreach -- but on the contrary, it is a fundamental responsibility of the government to protect the weak from the strong's abuse. It is what this country is founded on, what our forefathers fought and bled and died for, and to deny the American people that protection is pure treason. The same spirit behind net neutrality is the same that gave us fire departments, libraries, public schools, the space program, and the 40-hour workweek. That spirit is why your parents were able to immigrate here. To deny it by allowing ISPs to rule the internet is to deny the American Dream. Please do not reverse the Title II classification the Internet currently holds. Uphold the promise of Net Neutrality for future generations of entrepreneurs, dreamers, and students. Do the right thing, Mr. Pai. Best, Ashley Case Duckworth  
Library Technician

866. Rebecca Saunders, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
Whether they are heavy or light internet users, all will experience slower service if these policies are put into effect. And we will make sure that they know this slow-down--along with probably rate rises--are compliments of the Republican Party. Man, you guys. Just keep it up. There won't be a republican party by 2020.
867. William S Browne, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
I am writing today to support an open and free internet. I am not a small business owner nor a content developer. I play video games in some of my free time and some of these do not have the popularity of games like League of Legends, DOTA, or Overwatch. Imagine how frustrating playing an online multiplayer game would be if the other games developed by major producers paid ISPs for preferential faster service. People would eventually stop playing because it would be so frustrating, preventing independent developers and start-ups from being able to compete with large developers. We all know that in almost all markets, competition is good for the consumer. Eliminating net neutrality is the exact opposite, especially since most Americans only have a single choice for broadband in their area. Comcast, Cox, and the other ISPs need more competition and less power.
868. Afton Brunett, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
Please keep net neutrality laws in place as they are so that we can all keep enjoying an open Internet. You should not allow corporations to decide on things that effect everyone but only benefit those corporations. Not only is it unfair, it's a reprehensible and disturbing mark of how power hungry and greedy the people who run these companies are. I would ask the people in charge of these companies, and indeed anyone, who does not support net neutrality how they are able to sleep soundly at night, knowing that they are ripping off the American consumer. It is already bad enough that the FCC and Congress has sold the American people out by allowing ISP's to sell our browsing history which, again, only benefits companies such as AT&T. Net neutrality helps keep America great. Please do not remove these protections.
869. David Wall, Baton Rouge, LA, 70806  
Maintain net neutrality. I use the internet for for work, academic research, paying bills, and entertainment. To be executed effectively, all of these services require broadband, and most do not involve large commercial sites that can pay for access like Amazon, Netflix, or Blizzard entertainment. I am a technical writer, and I am completing an M. A. in English. I review, send, and receive hundreds of documents, articles, and essays a day. Almost none of them are from the kind of commercial sites that could pay for bandwidth. In my neighborhood, there is really only one provider, Cox. In theory, I could use AT&T, but its bandwidth only reaches 3 MB, or a satellite provider, but I would lose service or have shaky service in Baton Rouge's constant storms. This places me at the mercy Cox in every way. Without net neutrality protections, I would lose time waiting for documents to review (to know their value), to keep, or to watch (videos) for instruction, research, information, or entertainment. Many of the documents I have to review are videos, also from non-commercial or small commerce sites. I also use the net for e-mail, for document storage, weather information (important here in Louisiana) and on occasion entertainment.

870. Martin Nolan, Baton Rouge, LA, 70816  
Kill Net Neutrality Rules. Don't give control of our internet to crony capitalist companies and to unresponsive federal regulators. Keep our internet free from government control to keep innovation alive and to let free market competition do its job.
871. Terri Anderson, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802  
I fully support net neutrality. My internet service provider should not be allowed to manipulate my access to content by slowing down the speed of my connection at their whim or to their benefit to secure profits for their company or otherwise manipulate my family into paying more to get a speedier connection because they control the pipeline. It is simply about fairness to the consumer. Please take this into consideration. Monopolies are not allowed in America for a reason. Internet service providers are very near to being monopolies in many communities.
872. Roger Anderson, Baton Rouge, LA, 70802  
I agree with my wife in that I fully support net neutrality. My internet service provider should not be allowed to manipulate my access to content by slowing down the speed of my connection at their whim or to their benefit to secure profits for their company or otherwise manipulate my family into paying more to get a speedier connection because they control the pipeline. It is simply about fairness to the consumer. Please take this into consideration. Monopolies are not allowed in America for a reason. Internet service providers are very near to being monopolies in many communities.
873. Joshua Helms, Baton Rouge, LA, 70809  
Keep Title II in place and in effect. The defense that Title II is harmful to small ISPs due to over regulation or restriction is false. That's not coming from me, that's coming from the small ISPs. Both the founders for Monkeybrains and Common (whom only services 1000 customers) in California have directly stated that this is not restrictive and creates a standard of operation for how they should be run for the customer. Even large ISPs such as Comcast (as much as I'm struggling to believe) are campaigning to keep Net Neutrality. In 2015, the CEO of AT&T told their shareholders that Title II has not in any way restricted their investment opportunities or growth.
874. Thomas Jacobsen, Denham Springs, LA, 70706  
Net Neutrality is our protection against the cable companies. Net Neutrality allows us to use our own Internet Tools, not those imposed on us by cable giants.
875. Jeffrey Perry, Baton Rouge, LA, 70815  
I urge the FCC to retain Title II classification for ISPs. The "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal is a blatant power and money grab by those who would steal the Internet, a public good, from its owner, the American people. It is a national resource like radio and television bandwidth. As public stewards, you must act in a way that is consistent with your public trust. If you cannot you should resign from the FCC. In any event, you must not become complicit in this theft. I hope you will come to your senses and act honorably in this matter.
876. Janesha , Baton Rouge, LA, 70816

Net neutrality is important to me because why should THEY have control over what we want to see. This is not North Korea!

877. Mckenzie , Baton Rouge, LA, 70808

As a biological engineering major, the internet is the principle way I collect information for school and research. Without this, I'd be direly without. The internet is how I collect information, not just for science, but also for Day to day life. From the news to how tos. This is an invaluable tool that should be available and neutral ground for EVERYONE, not another way for the rich to get richer. You cannot justify this to me or to anyone else. Your words will always fall short. Killing net neutrality is a mistake. Please, please don't take this wonderful resource from us. Please.

878. Malina Para, Prairieville, LA, 70769

The internet I something that everyone in the world uses to connect to each other. To restrict the people of the world in such a way would be incredibly troublesome. All people should have the ability to connect with ease. Opposition to that idea, and controlling what people can consume by taking it out of their reach, is incredibly frightening.

879. Trey Conerly, Baton Rouge, LA, 70810

I live on the internet :/

880. Justin Evans, Denham Springs, LA, 70706

Conflict of interest? What conflict of interest?!?

881. Antoinette Schell, Denham Springs, LA, 70726

I've lived through too many disasters, the Louisiana floods last year had me in a shelter and what's happened in Houston is heartbreaking. Free access to information has saved lives. I relied on an open internet to contact relatives, loved ones, check on property, and see when travel is safe. The Internet is an essential, life saving utility, and it's time the people of this country were put first instead of profits.

882. brandon harris, Baton rouge, LA, 70808

I support net neutrality aka internet freedom! Do not let internet companies get rid of title 2. In fact I support increasing restrictions on what they are allowed to do. The internet should be available to all!

883. Lucinda Henderson, Baton Rouge, LA, 70815

In the interest of fairness and justice, please refrain from eliminating internet neutrality leaving the citizens of the United States at the mercy of their internet providers. In my city there are only two internet providers. They hold a monopoly therefore there is no freedom of choice or competition. We are at the mercy of their prices, speeds and whims. My greatest fear is that by eliminating internet neutrality, the FCC will be eliminating free speech for which the internet has pioneered a new era of support of many causes that has been long ignored by mainstream media. Individuals may no longer be able to access blogs and boards at the same rate of speed as those who are able to pay for additional bandwidth. Please consider the little people in your decision. Please allow internet neutrality to remain as it is.

884. Peter W. Evans, Baton Rouge, LA, 70815  
Please preserve and strengthen Net Neutrality rules and increase sanctions against corporations violating these important public policies. Also: please expand broadband access, especially in rural areas, and require that carriers increase bandwidth/speed across all internet platforms/networks/devices.
885. Dustin Lovercamp, Baton Rouge, LA, 70808  
Please support net neutrality and Title 2. It is the duty of the government to be on the side of individuals, not to be in bed with big business.
886. Alisha Carley, Denham Springs, LA, 70726  
I am wishing to preserve net neutrality to be able to keep in contact with family and friends that I would otherwise not have contact with.
887. Victoria Bourque-Georgiev, LaPlace, LA, 70068  
Specifically Support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used national language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post. <https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9f0e3ed36a6>.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.