

# Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from IN District #8

These are the 845 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative Larry Bucshon's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs> under the proceeding 17-108.

1. Tom Bozikis, Evansville, IN, 47715

Obama's Title II order has diminished broadband investment, stifled innovation, and left American consumers potentially on the hook for a new broadband tax. These regulations ended a decades-long bipartisan consensus that the Internet should be regulated through a light touch framework that worked better than anyone could have imagined and made the Internet what it is. For these reasons I urge you to fully repeal the Obama/Wheeler Internet regulations. I am also concerned that with net neutrality that the freedom of expression and "press" could be diminished by regulation. We are all citizen journalists with the right to publish our thoughts in an open and free forum, and a free internet allows this to occur. Also, I would like to see a return to a totally free internet market in which businesses are not required to collect taxes or fees on behalf of any governmental establishment, whether the federal or state authorities.

2. Jordan Ledbetter, Evansville, IN, 47715

Net neutrality is vitally important to consumers, and this move to neuter it is only evidence of rampant corruption in the FCC. ISPs have already implemented harmful, anti-consumer practices like implementing data caps on other data that do not apply to their own streaming video services, creating an unfair burden on startups in the streaming video market who do not own ISPs. This will be the tip of the iceberg when the common sense net neutrality protections implemented by Commissioner Wheeler are removed. The FTC is

not able to regulate the internet, nor should it be asked to - that is the FCC's job alone. Ajit Pai's attempts to shirk his responsibility as FCC commissioner are a transparent bid for a comfortable job as a lobbyist for an ISP after he leaves the FCC.

3. Brandon Thompson, Linton, IN, 47441

I am IN FAVOR of KEEPING the current Net Neutrality rules. Rolling back the FCC's Open Internet Order would mean losing the only rules that meaningfully prevent ISPs from taking advantage of their control over your Internet connection to shape your Internet experience and the market for services and devices that rely on that Internet connection. Since most Americans have only one option for broadband service, ISPs would have unchecked power to extract tolls from you and from businesses that wish to reach you. While the big incumbents like Facebook and Netflix might be able to pay those tolls, the next Facebook or Netflix would have a very hard time competing. Investors hesitate to fund startups that can be held for ransom by someone like an ISP. And the situation is even more dire for nonprofits like schools, libraries, educational sites, and political groups.

4. Chad Oost, Evansville, IN, 47710

I am firmly against the action to reverse net neutrality rules. The internet (and ISPs) should be treated as a utility, not as content providers/generators.

5. Jeffrey Scott Harris, Terre Haute, IN, 47803

Dear Commissioners; I am writing in opposition to Mr. Pai's proposal to reverse the Title II Classification of internet service providers that was previously enacted with much support from consumers. As a broadband consumer, I firmly believe that ISPs need to be held accountable with appropriate oversight. The most appropriate way to ensure this accountability exists is through Title II Classification. Any types of voluntary efforts to self-police by ISPs when it comes to net-neutrality, data caps, etc. will fall short. In essence, if not compelled via oversight to comply there will be no compliance and the consumer will suffer through higher cost and a lower standard of services. I respect the position that each of you has when it comes to establishing FCC policy and do not envy the job that you do; however, I ask you to consider the consumers as your "north star" to guide you in making your decisions.  
Respectfully Yours, Jeffrey Scott Harris

6. Abraham Kassis, Terre Haute, IN, 47802

Keep net neutrality. This isn't an issue of big government getting involved in the affairs of private industry. This is an issue of whether or not you serve the people you claim you do. Net neutrality allows startups to rise from the ground, and giants to fall from the heavens. If you kill it, you create nothing but money-making opportunities for the already rich, and we've too much of that already.

We, the American people, need a free internet.

7. Andrey Trippel, Evansville, IN, 47710  
I'm worried that not treating all data equally by isp providers would promote unfair business practices, limit information access for public, quality of services degradation because of collusive pricing and lack of initiative for ISP to improve services and create situations where "lesser evil" is the only choice.
8. Burk Hill, Vincennes, IN, 47591  
The idea that they are going to take away the net neutrality laws just so their friends can pick and choose what the American public sees and make billions while doing so goes against everything America used to stand for. If this were another country we'd be invading them promising them freedoms that we as Americans don't even get ourselves anymore.
9. Travis Stoll, Loogootee, IN, 47553  
I am not only in high favor of net neutrality. I demand it. The Internet has thrived because of being free and open and it needs to stay that way. Big corporations have already ruined everything else. I refuse to let them ruin my Internet just because Ajit Pai is bought and paid for. I understand the difference between Title I and Title II and I'm not falling for your blatant lies. If you have to lie about it, then you know it's wrong. Leave my Internet alone!
10. Lorie Gerard, Boonville, IN, 47601  
Ajit Pai I specifically support strong Net neutrality back by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
11. Alex Pate, Bloomfield, IN, 47424  
Please continue to protect net neutrality via Title II oversight of ISPs.
12. Ben Siefers, Vincennes, IN, 47591  
As a citizen of the United States of America, I believe that ISPs should be defined under title II and any effort to deregulate or redefine them under a new precedent other than title II would be both an inaccurate description of how ISPs operate and a threat to our freedom to communicate freely.
13. Free, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
PleasekeepInternetinTitle2
14. Jewell Gourley, Evansville, IN, 47715  
I strongly support that Internet Neutrality is backed through Title 2 Oversight of ISPs.
15. Dan Balentine, Evansville, IN, 47711  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please keep

these protections in place.

16. Daniel Smith, Evansville, IN, 47713  
I urge the continued regulation of ISPs under Title II to further the goal of Net Neutrality. Do not reschedule or change Net Neutrality regulations. Thanks you.
17. Aaron Brink, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
True net neutrality is vitally important for our nation's future. Allowing the telecom industry to modify traffic for profit has great potential to stifle free speech and equal access to information. The users of the internet want nothing to do with the kinds of controls that moving forward with this deliberately misnamed change to FCC rules would engender. The internet was designed to be an open platform for information sharing and should remain as such, free of corporate interference and malfeasance in the interest of profit or (in the worst but seemingly inevitable case) as a means to control access to specific information.
18. Mark L. Tinney, Evansville, IN, 47710  
I am writing to urge you NOT to alter the existing net neutrality rules. It is my opinion that this will place an unfair burden on new businesses and lead to conflicts between existing ones that will only be a detriment to consumers. The existing rules are not broken.
19. Mary Dennis, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
Keep net neutrality and Title II. We need to protect and ensure net neutrality, which means the internet stays fair and equal, for everyone. Reverting back would not be fair or in the best interests for the American people.
20. John Morton, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Net neutrality. Leave it alone. Leave it under the control of title 2. We can not trust the ISP's to play nice with everyone when they have a vested interest in their own goods and services. Tell the POTUS and the head of the FCC to keep their hands off Net Neutrality.
21. Daniel, Evansville, IN, 47708  
Do not weaken existing net neutrality rules. Existing laws provide consumers with decent protection. Rolling back existing legislation will only weaken consumer protections and make it easier for ISP's to promote their own services over others.
22. Matt Mauntel, Jasper, IN, 47546  
Please protect Net Neutrality against the large corporations. If my internet is slowed down because of this stupid law, I will be really angry! Your job is to protect the people from Verizon!

23. Brandon Loi, Clinton, IN, 47842  
I strongly support net neutrality that's by Title 2 oversight ISPs. The arguments against net neutrality are blatantly incorrect with even the slightest bit of looking at the situation from a consumer's perspective.
24. The Whole internet, Evasville, IN, 47711  
Net Neutrality do not do away with it. The internet needs it.
25. Michael Dawson, Boonville, IN, 47601  
It is my opinion that the FCC classify internet access as a Title II utility. Net Neutrality must be protected and ISPs must not be trusted to self-regulate.
26. Carly Rhoades, Mount Vernon, IN, 47620  
I am in support of strong net neutrality rules enforced by Title II.
27. Darwin E Bohnke, SPENCER, IN, 47460  
Keep classifying broadband access as a telecommunications service (Title II)
28. Brian VanCuren, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
Protect net neutrality rules, corporations cannot be trusted to regulate themselves.
29. martin L Collins, Terre Haute, IN, 47804  
I am a Trump voting republican and I feel the administration is wrong to take away net neutrality. We need the title 2 protection so that ISP's are not given the chance to work on just their own word, we will be protected by law. The idea that you don't pass laws because you think there is no one breaking them and the companies will do what is right for the people simply because they are good entities is perhaps the most insane form of logic I have ever heard. We need laws to protect net neutrality.
30. Joe Allen, Huntingburg, IN, 47542  
My family supports strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Do not re-regulate this to title 1 or any other bill. Do not change what isn't broken. Also tell Ajit Pai his mug is childish.
31. Matt Miller, Ferdinand, IN, 47532  
I support Net Neutrality which specifically involves classifying ISPs as a Title II utility.
32. Jeff Ward, Evansville, IN, 47714  
Dear Mr. Ajit Pai, Chairman of the FCC, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you!
33. Veronica Vega, Evansville, IN, 47720

I am strongly for net neutrality and all protections Title II offers.

34. Ben Leavitt, Newburgh, IN, 47630

I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. This so-called "Restoring Internet Freedom" will in fact hamper the freedom of millions of Americans to access an essential service.

35. Matthew Riley, Francisco, IN, 47649

Big business will be just fine without you assholes ruining the internet. Keep net neutrality with ISP's backed by title 2. If some asshole CEO decides to try and sway opinion on the matter by being a dick about regulations someone else will gladly step in to take his place in the market. Many including myself can see straight through the FCC chairman's bull shit spin. Throughout recent history Republicans every move is to benefit big business many times at the expense of everyone else. This is no different. Trump won on a technicality. The chairman has no mandate. The backlash against this imbecile president and his big business loving lackeys will be swift and harsh. Destroy the internet at your own risk chumps.

36. Jacob Reed, Terre Haute, IN, 47809

Net Neutrality is one of the cornerstones of freedom on the internet. If the FCC allows these rules to be overturned, it will not only be a blow to consumers, it will be a blow to freedom. Unless the FCC wants non-violent protests outside its doors for weeks at a time, drawing the public eye to absolutely everything that goes in and out of their headquarters, they need to stand by their principles and protect net neutrality. This will not be allowed to happen silently. Should the FCC choose to infringe upon net neutrality, the people will know about it, and we will make our voices heard. This is not a threat against the FCC or its employees, merely a declaration that the FCC cannot carry on shadowy business practices that are, by nature, against fairness, then expect to have no consequences in terms of public relations. Thank you.

37. Daniel Vowels, Evansville, IN, 47711

You must preserve net neutrality. Changing the present rules to benefit big providers at the expense of consumers is unacceptable.

38. Dan Anastasio, Terre Haute, IN, 47803

I am in strong support of strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of internet service providers.

39. Martin Padget, Evansville, IN, 47712

I support net neutrality and regulating ISPs under Title II.

40. Joseph Gonwa, Terre Haute, IN, 47802

I support strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Article II.

41. Andrew Melchiors, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
I support net neutrality and the preservation of the title II classification for internet service providers.
42. Samuel Spaulding, Evansville, IN, 47720  
I am here to express my support of keeping ISPs under their current classification. Changing this classification provides opportunity for ISPs to censor the information that citizens have access to, as well as quash potential competition from new services providers and other services or products available via the internet that ISPs feel threatened by. This would likely lead to stagnation in both infrastructure and service development, and potential monopolization.
43. Paul Spaulding, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
Please keep ISP in title 2. Thank you
44. mike morrison, Terre haute, IN, 47803  
Don't let the big business dictate who gets the higher speeds. Leave net neutrality intact.
45. Matthew smith, Evansville, IN, 47725  
I strongly support an open internet. Internet access should remain under title 2. Letting ISPs decide what gets bandwidth is a recipe for censoring, not to mention damaging to the free market.
46. Cole McFadden, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
I am in support by strong net neutrality backed by Title II.
47. Zachary Leiter, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
persevere net neutrality and keep ISPs under title II
48. Lee Keitel, Evansville, IN, 47620  
A truly free and open Internet is one in which access is unhindered regardless of a site's content, market, or political affiliation. Keeping ISPs regulated as Title II entities ensures that everyone in the market plays by the same rules and new competition can enter the market with little hindrance. I fully support regulating ISPs and any public network provider under Title II to ensure a free and open Internet for all.
49. Rob Zaricki, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Hello. I am writing you today out of concern once again about new legislation being proposed to take away protections for equal treatment by internet service providers in accordance with previously passed Net Neutrality laws under the Obama administration. I specifically support strong Net Neutrality laws backed by Title II oversight of ISP's and strongly oppose any legislation that weakens

Net Neutrality in any way giving providers leeway to corrupt the quality of service they provide on behalf of favored customers. I do NOT trust providers to act ethically on their own in this regard or to abide by simple "voluntary compliance" measures and ABSOLUTELY think Net Neutrality should be enforced by strong FCC regulations to keep deviant behaviour and favoritism in check as has exhibited already in previous examples by large companies looking to skew the market into their favor. Again, i STRONGLY support maintaining current Net Neutrality law standings backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Thank you.

50. Ryan Arford, Newberry, IN, 47449

It is ridiculous that the American people have to weigh in on this subject yet again. I strongly support net neutrality as currently backed by oversight under Title 2. Protection under Title 1 is not open and fair, rather the opposite where freedom is restricted by the ISP.

51. Sam Walker, Richland, IN, 47634

I strongly support net neutrality under Title 2. How dare you remove it you, you greedy bastards!

52. Nathan Hubler, Jasper, IN, 47546

Net neutrality should be strongly regulated by keeping ISPs classified as Title II.

53. Christi Driskell, Evansville, IN, 47713

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2 because it is the right thing to do!

54. Charles Smith, Evansville, IN, 47713

The idea that we need to once more succumb to the needs of big business is astounding. We need to keep the internet fair for everyone. Do not let the main ISPs profit. Especially when the new head of the FCC used to work for Verizon. Trump has done his damage to ruin almost everything Obama worked to fix. Don't let him destroy the internet too

55. Cordaro Jenkins, Evansville, IN, 47725

I strongly support having Internet Service Providers classified under Title II and having the appropriate oversight that classification entails.

56. Kristin Zaslavsky, Newburgh, IN, 47630

I strongly support net neutrality. A free and open internet not governed by corporations is vital to entrepreneurship and the ability to grow the economy.

57. Stuart Scales, Evansville, IN, 47711

I support net neutrality. To take these regulations away is stripping the



American people of the freedom on the internet. It is simply unacceptable. I specifically support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Do what is right, and listen to the American People!

58. Mason Dean, TERRE HAUTE, IN, 47803  
I am in support of net neutrality under title II. Do not change it please!!
59. Chris Gentry, Princeton, IN, 47670  
I believe that Net Neutrality should stand and that ISPs should be classified under title 2. I feel strongly about this.
60. Logan Miller, Huntingburg, IN, 47542  
The mere idea that I may end up having to pay more for a basic right THAT I ALREADY HAVE, and have it stripped away unless I pay more to greedy corporations sickens me. Anyone in favor of this has no moral compass past the check they see from their "sponsors." This is un-American as can be.
61. Ross Elliott, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
Please preserve net neutrality rules in their current format.
62. zack, Evansville, IN, 47711  
The prospect of the elimination of net neutrality is a concern that everyone should share. Please preserve Title II and net neutrality.
63. Nathaniel Arnold, Vincennes, IN, 47591  
I support strong regulations for ISPs through title II.
64. jason cade, Evansville, IN, 47712  
Please restore net neutrality. I pay the isp for their access to the internet. I do not pay for their right to prioritize any content from the sites and apps.
65. Eric Muarer, Evansville, IN, 47715  
Please preserve net neutrality and the open internet. Getting rid of net neutrality would be a huge mistake and would harm the internet.
66. Margaret Atwater-Singer, Evansville, IN, 47712  
Loosening Net Neutrality rules would let the "free" market choose winners and losers. Companies with big pockets, like Google, Amazon, Facebook, etc., could and win pay to have their content delivered faster than others. This will drown out smaller, new companies with innovative ideas and restrict the depth and breath of ideas online. Net neutrality enables a fair playing field. DO NOT LOOSEN THE RULES! It would be bad for America.
67. Jack Goilo, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
It is in my opinion that the title 2 fcc regulation are in the best interest of the

American people, and that its effect on infrastructure investment is nonexistent. By removing these regulations, the FCC would allow ISPs to knowingly screw over their customers to force a profit; People should be able to choose the products they can use, not their ISP

68. Rebecca Simpson, Evansville, IN, 47725  
Please retain regulations related to net neutrality and require internet service providers to play fair!
69. Paul Ankenbrand, Evansville, IN, 47720  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight. Please take this into consideration. Thanks
70. Christopher M Foster, Loogootee, IN, 47553  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Also, I find that it is very deceitfully and intentionally misleading to name the docket "Restoring Internet Freedom" when it intends to do the exact opposite.
71. Derek Keerl, Evansville, IN, 47712  
Net neutrality and Title II need to remain protected. The destruction of either is anti-consumer at best and a threat to free speech at worst.
72. Paul Lamey, Evansville, IN, 47715  
Keeping Net Neutrality is a vital part of securing the free flow of ALL information, regardless of what information you are seeking. We need to keep those regulations in place over internet providers. They have not demonstrated a willingness or ability to police themselves in that regard. They aren't trustworthy - and since we don't have the option of going without those services anymore, we need to use the power provided by government agencies to keep them from trampling over our access to information. Thank you for your consideration.
73. Nathan Schrader, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
This is a common sense issue; do NOT repeal this bill, as it is entirely counterintuitive to the principle of internet freedom.
74. Patrick J Thums, Mount Vernon, IN, 47620  
We deserve a free and open internet. EVERY TIME REPUBLICANS FORM LIKE VOLTRON I lose rights and money.
75. JT Hills, Tell City, IN, 47586  
Please preserve net neutrality! I don't think it benefits the consumer to let such a vital piece of infrastructure in our culture to be at the whims of companies. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

76. Jacob Davis, Spencer, IN, 47460  
I support strong net neutrality, and we need to keep the ISPs under Title 2 oversight. If anything I think that the ISPs should not be allowed to throttle internet speeds at all.
77. Rick Adams, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Ending net neutrality is a terrible decision. All internet content should be treated equally. Do not allow this mistake to move forward.
78. cody, Jasper, IN, 47546  
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality regulation under Title 2, not Title 1
79. Elizabeth Kim, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
Eliminating neutrality is only going to benefit the very large companies and screw over everyone else, including start-ups and consumers. I know that's the modus operandi for this administration not to care at all about the millions of people they step on in search of a little more money for themselves, but this needs to stop!
80. mark, Bicknell, IN, 47512  
I AM Strongly in support of net neutrality and it is vital that it remains. By taking it away you will kill the future of the internet
81. Jennifer Priestly, Princeton, IN, 47670  
Please keep Title II in tact to keep an honest and fair internet.
82. Neelay Purohit, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
Keep the Obama administration rules on Net Neutrality and the regulations under Title II. Ajit Pai please stop trying to destroy America.
83. nate robinson, Vincennes, IN, 47591  
STOP TRYING TO GET RID OF NET NEUTRALITY THIS IS NOT WHAT THE PEOPLE WANT! YOU ARE NOT FOR THE PEOPLE!! YOUR FOR THE BUISNESS!!
84. Joe Mraz, Fort Branch, IN, 47648  
I am in support of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am opposed to Docket-17-108. I believe if current net neutrality rules are reversed that large ISPs will operate in ways beneficial to their own profits/business interests but not in ways that will benefit most American citizens. Real net neutrality is beneficial to economic growth and all Americans.
85. Terry Jones, Terre Haute, IN, 47807  
Net Neutrality needs protection and needs Title II classification in order to prevent potential abuse by ISP's.

86. Mason Barnerd, Evansville, IN, 47712  
Can you really trust anyone with their own regulations?
87. Riki Crowe, Bloomfield, IN, 47424  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of IFC's. This is an important issue and comes down to a freedom of speech and freedom of the press. To allow a corporation or interest group to speed up or slow down content from any platform is a violation of freedom of speech. It allows one voice to be louder than others based purely on money. It also allows influencers to use the internet to construct inappropriate dialogue that could be one sided.
88. John Stamm, Evansville, IN, 47715  
Maintaining the Title II designation for ISPs is essential for continuing to enforce a level playing field - this is a hallmark of a free market economy, something that our country was built upon. Allowing the change of designation and removing enforcement of net neutrality will severely infringe upon the rights of the average citizen and small business.
89. Kasey Dimmett, Evansville, IN, 47714  
My money and my vote are firmly in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title II funding and while I am definitely not a human gecko suit, I am a very engaged citizen.
90. jimdonovan, evansville, IN, 47708  
I implore you to please preserve net neutrality by not killing title 2. I vote.
91. Michael Oellig, Clinton, IN, 47842  
I fully support maintaining net neutrality rules, and regard any attempts to dismantle them as a craven corporate power grab, unbecoming of a free nation, and a direct attack on one of the most important utilities available to the American people.
92. Ramsay McKee, Brazil, IN, 47834  
I am in support of strong net neutrality supported by Title II oversight of ISP's. I strongly oppose Docket 17-108.
93. Shane Mainteral, Evansville, IN, 47715  
I strongly support net neutrality and title 2 classification. I am very much against altering this to title 1.
94. Sue Murphy, Cory, IN, 47846  
I support net neutrality! Do NOT leave the American people at the mercy of ISPs.
95. Chris Blystone, Rockville, IN, 47872

I encourage you to preserve net neutrality and Title II as they currently exist. This is crucial for competition and to allow for a free and open internet. Thank you.

96. chad merkley, Evansville, IN, 47712  
I am in favor of net neutrality. ISP's have enough ways to make money.
97. Ryan James, Evansville, IN, 47712  
Keep Net Neutrality safe by keeping it protected under title 2.
98. Joshua Joines, evansville, IN, 47710  
We need to keep the internet open. Keep the net neutral.
99. Corey Rigney, Evansville, IN, 47714  
This is ridiculous. First you sell our internet history, now you want to slow down specific websites that are "unprofitable?" Net Neutrality was not partisan, it was constitutionally necessary.
100. Jan Barbee, Terre Haute, IN, 47804  
It is crucial that the Internet remain free by upholding net neutrality under Title 2 of the FCC classification. Only then, can citizens be properly protected. You can not trust companies to behave in the best interest of the citizenry... that's our government and elected officials job.
101. John Butt, Terre Haute, IN, 47807  
I am strongly in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!
102. Claspell, John, Evansville, IN, 47711  
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 Over-site of ISP's. I am a registered voter and I vote.
103. Luke Craig, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
I support the strong implementation of net neutrality through classifying ISPs under Title II.
104. Ellen O'Neil, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
I support Title II and net neutrality. Please do not get rid of/change it.
105. Dhruv Vora, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
I strongly urge the FCC to continue to enforce Title 2 regulations in order to ensure net neutrality. Title 1 simply doesn't seem stringent enough especially given the lawsuit filed by Verizon which made the FCC switch to Title 2 in the first place.

106. Jarrod Babel, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
Do not change the net neutrality laws from title 2 back to title 1. leave them as they are to protect free enterprise.
107. Melissa Cooney-Mudd, Evansville, IN, 47711  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs™s. Don't get rid of or change the effectiveness of title II.
108. Christopher M Bentley, Spencer, IN, 47460  
I support strong net neutrality laws and Title II status for service providers.
109. Aaron Callecod, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
Maintain net neutrality. Do not allow internet providers to determine what internet sites can be visited and how quickly those sites will download.
110. kimberley bringwald, vincennes, IN, 47591  
support net neutrality and title 2
111. Norman Miller, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
Net Neutrality should be the law of the land. Please keep Net Neutrality and Title 2!
112. Matthew Whitler, Princeton, IN, 47670  
Please, for the love of god, preserve net neutrality and classifying telecom companies under Title 2. I'm tired of going through this, but we will not stop fighting to maintain a fair and open internet.
113. Wyatt Shafer, ODon, IN, 47562  
I am very much against Docket 17-108. It is vitally important for the future of the internet, freedom of exchange of information and mankind that ISPs are beholden to the same standard that all utilities are, and should not be allowed to form monopolistic strangleholds upon the American public, or the world. I am in favor of Net Neutrality, and it is deeply saddening that our Congress and Senate are in the pockets of Telecommunication companies, and thus ignoring the very people they were elected to represent.
114. Elizabeth Anderson, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
I support full protection of net neutrality under Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
115. Robert Tague, Linton, IN, 47441  
It is of the utmost importance that we maintain net neutrality. There is no reason in my estimation besides those that are blatantly financially motivated in nature to change the existing rules.
116. Anthony Niehaus, Huntingburg, IN, 47542

I am a strong proponent in favor of net neutrality oversight. Large telecom companies are not trustworthy enough for self regulation.

117. Jake Godbold, Evansville, IN, 47715  
I sincerely support strong net neutrality laws backed by title 2 oversight on ISPs
118. Bradley Perfitt, Evansville, IN, 47725  
I support ISP's remaining under Title 2
119. Jim Campbell, Terre Haute, IN, 47805  
I believe that net neutrality is fundamental to the free exchange of information, ideas, and digital commerce. Reversing the current state of Net Neutrality will cause irreparable harm to the collective good of consumers and society as a whole.
120. Aaron meyer, Jasper, IN, 47546  
Net neutrality should not be disrupted. It is disgusting that Donald Trump sychophants are trying to make our lives worse.
121. Robert, Evansville, IN, 47714  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.Equality. It seems like such a simple thing. It's one of the founding tenets of our nation. Yet it's been demonstrated time and again that ISPs will not uphold equality. Be it a conflict of competition or pure, simple greed; they have proven beyond any doubt that they are incapable of regulating themselves impartially.I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
122. Barbara Gilles, Evansville, IN, 47712  
I am in favor of maintaining net neutrality. Do not repeal it. Keep the Internet speeds neutral. Do not sell out to the highest bidder. Thank you.
123. Sean S., Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
I support continued strong net neutrality enforcement via Title II. In order to maintain competitive fairness and open and equal access to information, a necessary tool for maintaining an effective democracy, the FCC should continue strong regulations on ISPs to ensure net neutrality in the manner described.
124. Samuel J Martland, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
I am opposed to this proposed regulation. I support strong, robust protections for internet neutrality under Title II of the Communications Act.
125. Nathanael D. Lynch, Terre Haute, IN, 47807  
The internet is the one thing politicians haven't ruined yet, despite their best efforts. I find the name of this act disgusting and vile, things like this is why the American people have little to no trust for the Government, "Internet freedom"

what a joke, name something then make it do the exact opposite of what it implies. Rich filthy liars who mislead the American people to get even more money in their already overflowing pockets. Let's be honest; large corporations are essentially an insurgency that has hijacked the American government. LEAVE THE INTERNET ALONE.

126. aleta.bowers, West Terre Haute, IN, 47882  
I strongly support net neutrality. voluntary compliance will not work. It requires government backed enforcement to make the providers comply and not arbitrarily restrict service. please listen to the comments.
127. Jason Cooney, Evansville, IN, 47711  
I support net neutrality and keeping internet companies classified as Title II.
128. andrew miller, Evansville, IN, 47711  
As a voter and regular internet user I desire strong net neutrality laws and keeping internet service providers classified under title 2
129. Selena Kingsley, Bloomfield, IN, 47424  
Businesses cannot be trusted to play fair, and Congress certainly can't be trusted to legislate said fairness. Net neutrality must be protected.
130. Barry Crane, Evansville, IN, 47720  
Don't mess with Net Neutrality. Keep Title II
131. Joshua Slep, Terre Haute IN, IN, 47802  
Dear FCC, The blatant attack on our freedoms by our current government is deplorable and is obvious in it's attempt to give more power to corporations to continue to exert their influence over our choices and our daily lives. In short, fuck you guys for even allowing this to be an issue. Fuck you asshats that are in support of removing the Title II protections. Stop fucking with us, leave the god damn internet where it is and go fuck yourselves instead. LEAVE THE INTERNET AS A TITLE II!! Love, Me
132. Chad Bebee, Sullivan, IN, 47882  
I strongly support net neutrality. Ending it doesn't 'restore internet freedom.'
133. Clay Roth, Evansville, IN, 47712  
Keep the Internet neutral! No one owns it and should not control it.
134. ALEX HEDIN, Evansville, IN, 47714  
I would like to preserve Net Neutrality and kind ISPs under Title II.
135. Asher, Evansville IN, IN, 47708  
I very strongly support net neutrality and believe that any changes will cause



unfair biased decisions with major companies.

136. Isaac Wafzig, Terre Haute, IN, 47807  
I support net neutrality wholeheartedly. Destroying it would be a terrible mistake.
137. Jonathon Wyza, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
I support Net Neutrality and strong Title II enforcement. Do not dissolve the greatest advancement the FCC has made in many years.
138. randall evans, Evansville, IN, 47711  
I support strong net neutrality laws backed by title 2 protections. Any representative who supports a roll back will not have my vote.
139. Caleb Brown, Evansville, IN, 47710  
Ajit Pai,I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of internet service providers. Caleb Brown
140. Wesley Gray, Evansville, IN, 47711  
I support Net Neutrality! I want strong net neutrality rules backed by title 2!  
Don't mess this up!
141. Collin, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Please keep the Internet equal. Keep the Internet neutral!
142. Ryan McDonald, Evansville, IN, 47714  
Net neutrality is good for consumers and for a fair, free market. Don't destroy it.
143. Clifton Joseph Macke, Evansville, IN, 47712  
Please keep the net available equally for all websites. Keep net neutrality. Keep ISPs as Title II (Title 2).Don't stifle freedom and creativity with pay-to-play ideals.
144. Naveen kumar, Terre haute, IN, 47802  
We need net neutrality and title 2 protection so that big companies can't screw the individual consumers .
145. Justin Neel, Evansville, IN, 47715  
Please support strong net neutrality! We deserve a free and open internet!
146. Laura Libs, Evansville, IN, 47714  
I strongly support maintaining strong net neutralities backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please cease your efforts to support open internet rules that will dominate or interfere with my personal preferences for online content and viewing. Thank you.

147. Dale Smeltzer, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
Please keep net neutrality the way it is specifically in reference to title 2 of the current code. Giving providers the ability to tamper with availability and speed would allow for unfair business practices.
148. Megan Halstead, Evansville, IN, 47714  
Net neutrality is important and remain a priority.
149. Jack E Fulton, Jr, Elnora, IN, 47529  
Internet access with full net neutrality should remain unchanged with its current Title II interpretation. We, as Americans, have already conceded our rights. This is yet another example of the big business stealing from consumers. Our internet access choices should be the same as our telephone access. One number should not have greater access than another. Do the right thing and do not kill jobs by giving my ISP, who we do not trust, to make decisions on our behalf.
150. Justin Grabert, princeton, IN, 47670  
Please do not do anything to net neutrality. You guys and gals know what the American people want I mean we sent a shit load of emails last time about this. So stop being corporatist fucking Dbags, stop taking money from cable companies to push their addenda and just do what you know the average person in this country wants, you know like how a democracy is supposed to work??
151. Walker Townsend, Bloomfield, IN, 47424  
Preserve Title 2, net neutrality protections and work for the people of this country that own the airwaves, not the corporations who want to profit from them and apparently own Ajit Pai.
152. Sherry Link, Clinton, IN, 47842  
It is urgent for FCC to preserve net neutrality under Title II. A free, open Internet is a right for all Americans.
153. Mark Rigney, Evansville, IN, 47714  
Dear FCC, Name me one person who doesn't work for or own substantial stock in an ISP who is in favor of ending our current system of net neutrality. It is NOT the government's job to allow multinational corporations to profit off of my internet search or viewing patterns. It is NOT the government's job to give certain companies the right to truncate or squeeze the data access of other companies or individuals for profit. It is NOT the government's job to encroach on the virtual frontier of the internet for the sake of narrow profits for a particular few. Do not end net neutrality. Surely the FCC has better things to do. Sincerely, Mark Rigney
154. Jacob Adams, Evansville, IN, 47714  
I strongly endorse net neutrality and oversight provided by Title II.

155. Kathleen Dugger, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
To Ajit Pai : We specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 over site of ISP's. We feel it is very important and do not feel that our internet companies will do the right thing on their own. Internet providers will have too much control over the speed and access of sites and will uneven the playing field on the internet and could hurt start up and small business. Thank you for your time.
156. Joseph Beavin, Evansville, IN, 47714  
Mr. Pai, I just wanted to take the time to urge you to keep and support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Thanks!
157. Marc, Evansville, IN, 47711  
I want you to keep title 2 to protect net neutrality. John Oliver sent me.
158. Sean Knabel, Vincennes, IN, 47591  
I strongly support Net Neutrality being classified under the more strict rules title 2. We have seen the previous 'games' of the internet providers, such as T-Mobile not allowing their customers to use Google Wallet. I have no confidence in their ability to self regulate under title 1. I would also like an investigation into possible current abuses. Often my internet can't play 480p videos on YouTube and other video sites, but speed tests say my connection is near 50 Mb/sec...plenty fast enough
159. Mike Ellerman, Terre Haute, IN, 47805  
We support strong net neutrality and title II governing over it.
160. Xavier Saunders, Terre Haute, IN, 47807  
As a computer scientist I am inconvenienced by how little power I have to navigate the use of my network by my service provider. They require that I pay extra for wireless access to a modem they already provide me. Providing wireless access is an artificial software barrier, preventing me from using hardware I already have installed in my home. (I bought a \$5 router and bridged it to my modem instead of paying the \$10/month fee) In the past providers have prevented me from rightfully accessing networks outside my home via SSH or prevented me from using a VPN for the same purpose. With the same provider they imitated certifications from websites I access via HTTPS. Their suggested work around was to accept the certificate (which would allow them access to personal data meant for another corporation). I do not think that the providers who refuse to provide me complete service, should be given any more power to provide me even less service at their convenience. Support net neutrality.
161. cameron chrockrem, Evansville, IN, 47714  
I support the title 2 legislation in support of net neutrality. Everyone is watching

and if your vision of the "positive" effects do not pan out (which, in the hands of wealthy businesspeople, how could this not go awry?), you can bet that you all who vote against net neutrality will be trolled out of office. Go ahead. Do it.

162. American Citizen, Evansville, IN, 47725  
Please don't allow companies to restrict internet access/speed based on sponsorship by other companies. Keep the web free
163. Peter, Evansville, IN, 47714  
The internet needs to remain free; please preserve net neutrality and title 2.
164. Michael Blum, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
It is very important that we have strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. The "light-touch" regulatory approach may have worked in 1996, but will lead to unregulated broadband companies stifling innovation from smaller companies on the Internet instead of fostering innovation. Maximum innovation in an industry cannot occur if larger companies have the power to discourage newer and smaller companies from competing. Allowing large companies to limit competition will stifle innovation instead of enabling it. Broadband companies are not currently suffering under the current legal framework. I agree that we need to revisit our laws regarding net neutrality and that we need to develop legislation to ensure the Internet is a level playing field for all companies and encourage innovation, but until we have that legislation in place to ensure net neutrality, we need to continue to regulate Internet Service Providers using the Title II framework. In short, while a lightly regulated approach may have been appropriate in 1996, in today's economic climate it would be a very bad idea that would do more to stifle innovation and free market competition than to encourage them.
165. Jon Barry, Evanville, IN, 47715  
support Net Neutrality...title 2
166. Steven Ellis, Oakland City, IN, 47660  
I support Net Neutrality and a free and open internet. An internet where all services i.e. Netflix or Hulu or even the WWE Network are able to be easily and readily enjoyed no matter what ISP you have.
167. Nick Davidson, Evansville, IN, 47712  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Don't take away the internet and replace it with another big corporation scheme to make money off of us constituents.
168. Sara Davidson, Evansville, IN, 47712  
I urge you to preserve Net Neutrality backed by Title II.

169. Sara Boling, Evansville, IN, 47725  
It is important to me that the FCC protects net neutrality and maintains a free and open internet through protecting Title II.
170. Michael Tedrow, Evansville, IN, 47711  
We strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers.
171. Michael Samp, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
I understand the viewpoint that regulations hinder job growth by taking away revenue from large corporations. However, I feel that allowing ISPs to work unchecked by repealing net neutrality laws would be detrimental to our great nation. Net neutrality laws (specifically keeping Title II) allow small businesses to have a chance to compete with large content providers (e.g Comcast, Verizon) if they have a truly great idea. Without these laws, ISPs can quickly come out with a competing service and throttle the small business, essentially stealing their idea. I always thought small businesses were supposed to be the cornerstone of the American economy, but this hypocritically-named act does nothing but help the huge content providers.
172. Lori Henson, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
The FCC must protect Internet neutrality by governing ISPs under Title II. Internet providers cannot be trusted to provide neutral and equal online access to consumers, regardless of their power and economic interests. A fair and open internet for all is essential to democracy and our economy. ISPs have shown that, given the chance, they will serve those with common economic interests over the rest of consumers. They must not be allowed to put their thumbs on the scale on behalf of powerful interests. Protect our fair and open internet.
173. Jessica South, Boonville, IN, 47601  
You MUST enforce strong net neutrality through Title II oversight of ISPs to ensure a fair market without monopolies. ISPs report that there is no change in investment or advancement of technology because of Title II classification. We MUST enforce net neutrality and we must do it now.
174. Derek Klem, Santa Claus, IN, 47579  
I want the internet to remain neutral under article II. Do not make any changes.
175. D Wats, Jasper, IN, 47546  
Keep Title II. You know what to do. You know what to do.
176. Sam Cawood, Terre Haute, IN, 47807  
I support net neutrality and title 2 and I ask that you please preserve them both.
177. christopher prifogle, Newburgh, IN, 47630

I support net neutrality and urge the FCC to preserve Title II

178. Erik Goodge, newburgh, IN, 47630  
Please regulate ISPs in accordance with Title II
179. Jonah Hust, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Preserve netneutrality to the fullest extent or make it stronger. Don't damage the ability to freely and unconditionally exchange information. Only corporations stand to win from this. Consumers will be the losers. Do the right thing...
180. Brad Cadden, Evansville, IN, 47711  
I've been a Verizon customer for years using the grandfathered old unlimited data plan knowing that speeds would increase during the days of 3G. For years Verizon has tried to alter my contract and at one point wrongfully terminated my contract. I spent several weeks having to speak to multiple customer service representatives escalating my case to finally overturn my decision. I had to fight for myself to prove they were in the wrong. Now you want individuals to voice their opposition to gross oversight of user interactions. I don't trust companies to do the right thing without government oversight. These large corporations are entangled with an unreliable structure to properly maintain their customer's records with great trust. Keeping log files of customers against our will and privacy solely to sell for proceeds without providing customers any additional gain or volunteerism is inherently wrong. Google provides massive free tools however sells customer input with our knowledge, and users willingly accept. What will my privacy rights as an individual provide to me in gain? Will my bill go down can as they directly receive a deep understanding about me that I don't want them to? If this bill continues through, the trust of many will be just as sabotaged to the citizens in China where they have to find alternative means to have their privacy back. It may sound silly, but I've already removed myself from several websites including the White Pages today that a simple search engine found using just my name. I can't keep up with the number of sites stealing my privacy and broadcasting it to the world. Guessing passwords based on typical questions Lexis Nexis would typically ask are that much easier with this. Having telcos involved in recording all data will leave us very susceptible to cyber security penetration. Even cloudflare was exposed of their logs going over memory exposing some client's passwords withing their log files. I don't trust Verizon or any other telecom to invest in their cybersecurity nor providing any benefit for me by selling my information for their own personal gain. Please don't allow this to continue. Sites like this should be reason enough for why we need to value our privacy....  
<http://www.familytreenow.com/search/people/results?first=ajit&last=pai&county=arlington&state=VA&rid=0sl&smck=SNW-S6MiBaflnKEiN-EoAw> Even if you're not Ajit, think of how harmful this could be in the wrong hands, oh and for fun notice how the disclosure says it's not to

be used for stalking. Does a friendly reminder really prevent the coyote from coming in the hen house if you leave the door open?

181. Miles Griggs, Evansville, IN, 47720  
Internet service providers play an important role in safeguarding equal rights to lawful freedom of expression through this medium. Having laws and regulations that enforce the requirement that these providers maintain their focus on safeguarding equal rights for all users is prudent and justified. Those who would oppose such laws and regulations fail to recognize the potential for bad faith behavior by these providers without such protections in place. Net neutrality enforcement through enforcement of Title 2 regulations is the starting point for maintaining this in the near and far future. Any system of laws that relies on good will behavior for protecting rights and privileges is a flawed system.
182. Michael Vesci, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
You WILL PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2!!!! We DO NOT support ANY rolling back of ANY of the current protections already in place to protect Net Neutrality and Title 2!!! We want NET NEUTRALITY TO STAY EXACTLY AS IT IS!!!
183. Spenser Knarian, Vincennes, IN, 47591  
I would like to say that I support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's.
184. Simon Ringwald, Loogootee, IN, 47553  
Keep Net Neutrality! Don't let some moron tear it apart!
185. Matthew Ulm, Evansville, IN, 47715  
As a taxpaying American citizen I want you to preserve net neutrality and Title 2. I do not trust ISPs to act in the public interest without strong oversight. Keep doing your excellent work at keeping the internet free!
186. net neutrality, Evansville, IN, 47715  
I support title 2 regulations protect net neutrality.
187. Mary Lyn Stoll, Evansville, IN, 47713  
I support true net neutrality. Do not undermine a key part of a healthy functioning democracy just to make big telecommunication companies happy. One speed on the net for all. Those who can pay ought not be able to dominate information. It undermines democracy, true creativity, and effective knowledge production.
188. Crystal Speck, Evansville, IN, 47715  
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II PLEASE!!!

189. Aaron Winemiller, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
America needs strong Net Neutrality with the use of Title 2. Without, I fear we will lose one of the greatest things we've created in the modern world. Our Freedom to be equal on the Internet.
190. Joshua Ohmer, Evansville, IN, 47714  
I'm writing to urge you to continue to regulate ISPs under Title II to ensure strong Net Neutrality.
191. Adam Haskins, Evansville, IN, 47720  
Internet Service Providers must be kept under Title 2. ISP's must be treated as common cares, the same way we treat other basic utility providers. Net neutrality must be strongly maintained in order to kept American business innovative, and competitive.
192. Wesley Van Pelt, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
Can we just keep net neutrality? Is it really that hard?
193. Ryan Provost, Evansville, IN, 47711  
I wish to express my support for strong net neutrality rules backed by the Title II classification of Internet service providers.
194. David Naylor, Perrysville, IN, 47974  
Fully support Tittle II oversight, as was implemented in the Obama Years.
195. Barbara Battista SP, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
Support strong net neutrality thru Title II. Do not allow for changes to the regulations that basically put the ISPs on the honor system. That won't work. Keep net neutrality grounded in Title II.
196. Anna Sorg, Haubstadt, IN, 47639  
Net neutrality is key to modern democratic society.
197. Thomas Small, Evansville, IN, 47711  
I fully support Net neutrality backed by title 2 and would encourager the FCC to do the same. It's not broke. Don't fix it
198. Sarah Spelbring, Evansville, IN, 47714  
Net Neutrality: The principle that Internet service providers should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites.Keep our Internet, like our country, free. Do not sell us out to big corporations. This is an insult to US citizens, and we might as well be China or Russia with a loss of net neutrality.
199. Hannah Thomas, Terre Haute, IN, 47802



Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Without the protection of it, companies can easily manipulate the information we see, which easily leads to mass control. Preserve our freedom.

200. David McGimpsey, Jasper, IN, 47546  
Education and an informed citizenry is the foundation of democracy. Net neutrality provides for access to and an open exchange of ideas on the internet, which fosters our democratic principles. Allowing ISPs or others with power to accelerate or slow speeds to websites will undermine the marketplace of ideas on the internet and will erode this country's democratic origins. Rolling back net neutrality rules will create oligarchic information systems that will constrict educational opportunities, will lead to a dumber America, and will ultimately threaten the viability of the democratic form of government in the United States. Don't roll back net neutrality!
201. Jason Wadsworth, Shoals, IN, 47581  
Please keep net neutrality rules IN PLACE! Consumers DO NOT WANT a pay-to-play internet! All internet traffic should be treated equally. Pay-to-play internet traffic only reduces innovation and competition. Seriously, why do we even need to talk about this?
202. Colin Spitler, Evansville, IN, 47715  
I support strong net neutrality, NOT reclassifying its status from Title 2, but keeping it Title 2 to ensure a fair and open Internet. Thank you.
203. David Schmidt, Evansville, IN, 47710  
I support strong net neutrality regulation backed by Title 2 over-site of ISP's.
204. Adam Spalding, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Please support net neutrality under the guidelines laid out in Title II. Internet providers should not be allowed to slow internet speed of websites that do not pay them for faster service. Please do not allowing "reform" to net neutrality.
205. Nicklas Baesler, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
Please keep net neutrality laws on Title 2. I dont want ISP's to be able to pick and choose bandwidth allocations. Thanks
206. Navin Weiss, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
So I get that President Trump's sole goal in life is to undo everything Obama has done, but the internet is rather important to day to day life. As in, super important. As in, "the U.N. has deemed it a basic human right" level of important (I know that we would still have internet after the throttling occurs, but I was just trying to stress the importance). Honestly, I'm getting kind of sick of having to rise up in protest over every single thing. Last month we had to march because our PRESIDENT thinks that global warming is a myth

perpetrated by the Chinese. And, no offense, but the whole anti net neutrality thing is not doing a whole lot to convince me that the average IQ of people in power is trending towards the negatives. For the love of whatever it is you people hold holy in this day and age, take a second to review everything that you are proposing, and then take a second to take public opinion into consideration, because CLEARLY you haven't done that yet. I'll begrudgingly march for net neutrality, but it was bad enough doing it with a bunch of cocky scientists, I'd hate to have to do it with a bunch of sweaty nerds. Anyways, that's all from me. I wish I could say "I trust you'll make the right decision", but I really don't. So instead I'll just say "make the right decision".

207. David Feigel, Evansville, IN, 47711

Hello, Please listen to your constituents. PLEASE keep ISPs under Title 2 regulation. Failure to do so would spell terrible things for consumers!

208. Darren P Lacey, Evansville, IN, 47725

You NEED to preserve net neutrality rules, and the Title II authority used to enforce them. Not doing so, would only disadvantage consumers for the sake of corporate profits.

209. Thomas Mulderink, newburgh, IN, 47630

Net neutrality is in the best interests of the people of the united states. Removal of the current state of net neutrality would not benefit people, but would greatly benefit corporations. It's time to act like a democracy and support the interests of it's people and not support those looking to exploit those people.

210. Jason Burton, Evansville, IN, 47713

I want to keep the internet as a level playing field. Please preserve net neutrality and title 2.

211. Catherine Kennedy, Terre Haute, IN, 47809

Leave the internet alone. I can't believe we're still having to fight this.

212. Nathaniel DeCook, Evansville, IN, 47714

I strongly support strict net neutrality regulations, especially the preservation of Title 2. The idea that we can trust ISP's to preserve net neutrality on their own is ridiculous, although I think you already know that. Preserve Title 2. Preserve net neutrality.

213. Jason Provence, Newburgh, IN, 47630

I don't support title 2 classification of Internet provision (which would allow the fcc to enforce strict neutrality rules and oversight with ISPs being classed utilities)

214. Justin Shofstall, Evansville, IN, 47711

Please maintain Title II status for ISP in order to regulate ISP and prevent preferential treatment of web domains.

215. Kyle Wilson, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The internet is for everyone and should be shared equally.
216. Noah, Mt.vernon, IN, 47620  
I support net neutrality. Removing net neutrality will only help big ips which are much smaller part of the Internet then everything that is done on it.  
Gofccyourself
217. Tara Bishop, Branchville, IN, 47514  
Please leave net neutrality alone. We must continue to protect the free flow of online content. It is not adversely affecting investment in broadband.
218. Brandi Hess, Cannelton, IN, 47520  
I specifically support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. We cannot allow ISPs to control access or show favoritism of certain sites by intentionally speeding or slowing down user access. Simply asking them to volunteer for neutrality practices is not enough. Strong enforcement of Net Neutrality is absolutely necessary. The citizens of this country deserve a free and open internet. Do not let the big ISPs buy your support!
219. Bart A. Robinson, Evansville, IN, 47720  
Please preserve NET Neutrality and Title 2!
220. Brett, Terre Haute, IN, 47805  
I am strongly opposed to removing the Title 2 classification for net neutrality. As a middle class person in a more rural area, the ramifications of what you are terming as an "open and free internet" would be to trap me in bondage to the greedy whims of multi-million dollar corporations. Please do not take such a rash and short-sighted action.
221. Nate Elder, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Strong Net Neutrality is crucial for the future of the internet. Weakening Net Neutrality would allow service providers to stifle innovation.
222. Devin Howard, Terre Haute, IN, 47804  
I'm filing in support of an internet sanctioned by the rules outlined in Title 2 of the Net Neutrality bill. Eliminating the protections outlined in Title 2 will still the growth and creativity that has made the internet what it is. Don't pass a bill that allows corporations to set us as a country behind.

223. Jon Wilder, Fort Branch, IN, 47648  
Please leave ISPs as title 2. I believe in Net Neutrality and do not want to see it changed at all.
224. Joshua Hayes, Evansville, IN, 47715  
Keep Title II as is, do not fix something that isn't broken.
225. internet neutrality, Evansville, IN, 47714  
I support internet neutrality back by title two
226. Joseph Kendall, Evansville, IN, 47711  
In an age where information, and access to it, are both power and wisdom, there should be universal Net Neutrality across all ISPs. Anything less sets up the possibility for an "Internet Caste system" for users, and raises the potential of Internet censorship. Those do NOT belong in a free society or a country such as ours!
227. Brian, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
Please keep the ISP ruling under Title II, so we can keep our internet fair for all.
228. William Byland, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Do not reclassify the rules on net neutrality. This would be detrimental to the freedom of the internet. It would only benefit large corporations which "I'm sure you would not benefit from in any capacity". I strongly oppose deregulation of net neutrality. Thank you for your time. William Byland
229. Kyle Fischer, Jasper, IN, 47546  
I want to protect Net Neutrality and I want Title Two states in tack. This will remove the protection in the cases of small companies and will allow the ISP's to treat the internet like cable and create slow lanes for most companies. If you don't believe they will, look at the case of Riot Games & Netflix vs Time Warner. Time Warner held the people who tried to reach Riot Games' game as Hostage and slowed their connection and increased ping until Riot Games paid. If this goes through, start ups will have a hard time to compete with bigger companies and will ruin the free internet. It will NOT Reinstate this. Please do NOT go through with this filling.
230. Colin Mahoney, Petersburg, IN, 47567  
I strongly hope that net neutrality will be protected and that ISPs will remain classified as title two. The internet should remain free and just because of a companies wants my access to other websites shouldn't be affected.
231. Edward Sharkus, LINTON, IN, 47441  
I support net neutrality by keeping all ISP's bound under title II.

232. Brent J. Jarvis, Evansville, IN, 47713  
Keep the net neutral and preserve its status under Title 2.
233. Renee Foders, Evansville, IN, 47714  
I support strong net neutrality rules under Title 2.
234. Justin Wolford, Brazil, IN, 47834  
I support net neutrality and Title II. I strongly wish that the freedom of the Internet remain in tact.
235. Jay Ziemer, Evansville, IN, 47715  
Preserve net neutrality,
236. cheryl ann adams, Evansville, IN, 47714  
I specifically support of net neutrality that's backed by titled too oversight of isp.
237. Robyn Oglesby, Evansville, IN, 47715  
I feel that ISPs should be classified under section 2. It should stay the way it is to protect small businesses and consumers. Please leave our internet alone.
238. Jeff Meunier, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
Net neutrality is absolutely necessary to keep a free and open internet.
239. Darrel Jenkins, Brazil, IN, 47834  
Keep net neutrality in title 2. Go Cowboys!
240. Joe Woods, Rockville, IN, 47872  
Please keep the net neutrality rules and keep isps in title 2.
241. Eric Seber, Montgomery, IN, 47558  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. Thank you very much.
242. Timothy Sheagren, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
I STRONGLY support keeping net neutrality laws in place, don't touch them!
243. Beau Buente, Mount Vernon, IN, 47620  
Please keep title 2 in place for all ISP providers. Title 2 is a good thing. The American people need title 2 in place for all ISP provides. Please don't take this away or you will screw it up for everybody.
244. Jacklyn Buente, Mount Vernon, IN, 47620  
Title II rocks! It benefits ISPs and society. Don't screw this up.
245. Chase Rohleder, Jasper, IN, 47546  
I support regulations of net neutrality, specifically with regard to ISPs under Title II. Equal access to internet content is important. I do not believe that strict

regulations enforcing net neutrality will hinder technological or economical development.

246. Amanda Boerner, Evansville, IN, 47712  
Preserve a free and open internet through net neutrality.
247. Adam Underhill, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
Net neutrality is vital to a free and open internet. Any attempt to diminish it will prove a poor decision.
248. Michael Walls, Evansville, IN, 47710  
As a teacher I rely on the internet heavily to provide my students with the resources they need to learn important and complex concepts in my class. It is clearer now more than ever, that the internet is an essential tool for all American citizens, whether we use it for entertainment, education, communication, or shopping for our basic daily needs. Please, for the love of all that is American, maintain net neutrality so that it is fair to all of us regardless of income, social status, or intended use of the internet. We need equality now more than ever, especially on the internet. Thank you.
249. Bob Mckangle, Evansville, IN, 47715  
I strongly support net neutrality with oversight of title II for ISPs
250. George Daugherty, Clinton, IN, 47842  
I strongly support net neutrality that is backed by title 2 oversight of internet services providers . This provides a fair, free, and open internet for everyone
251. Shawn Teichmer, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
Net neutrality as a Title 2 is very important and it should stay that way. Do not change it!
252. Ed Scharf, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
Please do not mess with our internet or we will be totally disheartened. Seriously, you know this would be horribly wrong.
253. Angie Ayala, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
I am strongly against killing net neutrality. Don't do it.
254. Amante Douglas, Evansville, IN, 47720  
I support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please, for the love of everything holy and decent, do not mess this one thing up.
255. zach schmitz, Evansville, IN, 47720  
Net neutrality is important to everyone to keep the internet open and free

256. William, Terre Haute, IN, 47807  
I demand net neutrality stay! Keep the internet free!!!!
257. nealson foster, evansville, IN, 47711  
I support net neutrality. Please keep ISP's from throttling certain websites. Thank you so much.
258. john F wall, SPENCER, IN, 47460  
Please do not change our current net neutrality laws, i want our Internet to continue to be a free equal playing field, not a closed system controlled by corporate interest. Thanks
259. Dustin, Tell City, IN, 47586  
Don't be a corporate shill. Keep the internet free and neutral.
260. Zachary Barr Oberle, Terre haute, IN, 47802  
Internet Service Providers need to remain regulated as Title II utilities. ISPs themselves have admitted to share-holders that Title II regulation will not have an effect on their investment in infrastructure or other business. The only reason to overturn the Title II decision would be to make it easier for large corporations to take advantage of consumers, smaller competitors, and even entire municipalities. Do your job! Protect consumers! Retain ISP Title II status!
261. michael adams, Evansville, IN, 47725  
I support net neutrality and title 2. I don't live in China and would rather not have my internet controlled by my ISP or government. Why don't we allow private companies to endorse certain text books for our schools? Johnny has 3 Pepsi cans and 1 Coke can. Who made the better advert per Johnny's overwhelmingly greater quantity of Pepsi cans? Don't worry kids. Math is overrated.
262. TJ, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
Please do not do away with "net neutrality" You are not a political part of the government. You should work to protect the people not hurt them. Can you honestly say this would benefit the public? Do the right thing. Don't go down in history as the guy who was part of the internet problem.
263. Jonathan Young, Evansville, IN, 47714  
Net neutrality and Title II regulation should remain untouched. Internet service providers need compulsory government oversight.
264. James A. Fulton, Evansville, IN, 47712  
A free, open, and neutral Internet is vital to democracy as a whole. As Americans, we should all share the values of free speech, and as it currently

stands, the neutral Internet is rapidly becoming the last bastion of truly free speech, where all voices have equal weight. Sadly, our government has been corrupt to the point that we have become a corporatocracy—a system in which the corporations are the ruling class. Please do not let this happen to the Internet. We have all heard the excuses: This will help ISPs have more money to lay infrastructure, there is no evidence that ISPs will take advantage of the regulations to limit bandwidth to competitors, etc. To put it frankly, I do not buy these explanations. ISPs, just like any company, are going to do what is in their best business interests. They will expand the networks in order to reach more customers, regardless of regulation. If regulations prevent them from giving preferential bandwidth to a particular service, then that ensures a level playing field. If Comcast is allowed to give more bandwidth to their own streaming service than to Netflix, then why wouldn't they do that? Maybe not right away, to mitigate public outcry, but a year down the line—or two or three—things could have changed drastically, and just like our eroding privacy rights, people would just shrug and accept them, because, hey, Comcast's new streaming service has that hot new sci-fi show now, and I really don't need Netflix right now anyway, since I'm caught up on OITNB. Besides if I want Netflix, I'll just get their Netflix Boost internet package... This is not the promise of the Internet.

265. Emily Kasey, Evansville, IN, 47715

Do you have any idea how disastrous this will be for America? Of course you do, you're not stupid. Please do not repeal net neutrality.

266. J.E. Young, New Harmony, IN, 47631

Please support net neutrality and Title II, the public deserves fair access to all sites on the internet, not just what the highest bidder / richest companies wants us to see/use.

267. Kimberly Laurie, Terre Haute, IN, 47803

I want the Title II to keep the net neutrality rules in place. I don't want internet providers to be able to choose sites that will be faster based on their interest. I want to be able to make my own choices.

268. Brad Crites, Solsberry, IN, 47459

It is absolutely imperative at this time of corporate distrust and malfeasance that Title II remain intact as the governing policy over Internet Service Providers and that such companies continue to be regulated with scrutiny. End-users, content providers, site hosts, and informational organizations are dependent upon a fair, neutral marketplace where consumer decisions are valued above shareholders' earnings.

269. EUGENE SCHMITT, Celestine, IN, 47521



Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Please restore internet freedom  
Regards, EUGENE SCHMITT 6864 IN-164 Celestine, IN 47521

270. Ben Dover, Santa Clause, IN, 47579  
Fuck you FCC! You fucking contemptible scumbags!
271. Woodrow Major, Ferdinand, IN, 47532  
The open Internet and the free exchange of information and services it enables is vital to the American economy, as vital as telephone service and utilities. The protection of it via classifying Internet service providers as common carriers under Title II is the most expedient means of ensuring that the Internet remains open to the free flow of data, and is therefore the most appropriate measure. Declassifying ISPs as common carriers would leave the open Internet hideously vulnerable to paid prioritization. I urge the Federal Communications Commission to maintain the common carrier status of ISPs and to reject the specious argument that such regulation prevents ISPs from profiting from paid prioritization; after all, that is the entire point.
272. Jeff Saleh, Farmersburg, IN, 47850  
DO NOT REMOVE TITLE II OR CURRENT NET NEUTRALITY REGULATIONS!
273. Stephen Sample, Jasper, IN, 47546  
I strongly support strong that neutrality in accordance with title 2.
274. Colin Runnion, Evansville, IN, 47712  
Net neutrality is so important. Please don't take this away from us!
275. Aaron Knust, Evansville, IN, 47713  
Preserve net neutrality by giving the future the freedom they deserve.
276. mark a. wichser, mt. vernon, IN, 47620  
preserve internet neutrality under Title II
277. Jessica Livingston, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
We need strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight on ISPs. Net Neutrality is important for our democracy.
278. Robert Hill, Evansville, IN, 47711  
I am completely in favor of net neutrality and the Title II classification of ISPs. The strike down net neutrality is an attack on freedom and an attack on free speech. It would literally be handing over the internet to big corporations and censor the voice of everyday people.
279. Sarah McElvoy, Terre Haute, IN, 47802

I support net neutrality in title 2!!!

280. Andrew Niemeier, Evansville, IN, 47712  
Please support net neutrality and Title 2 oversight of ISP. This serves as an important foundation for fairness of competition in our digital and connected society.
281. David Grimm, Evansville, IN, 47720  
Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Repeal Obama's rulings. Regards,  
David Grimm 1319 Harmony Way Evansville, IN 47720
282. Christopher Norrick, Evansville, IN, 47720  
I implore you to keep the strong Title II net neutrality rules that are currently in place. To allow ISP's to voluntarily police themselves when profits are at stake is a ridiculous notion. The internet must remain as open and fair as possible to continue our unprecedented innovation in the digital age.
283. James Memmer, Evansville, IN, 47720  
We support net neutrality and oppose giving ISPs the ability to "throttle" access based upon a "pay to play" system.
284. Lilian Swenson, OAKLAND CITY, IN, 47660  
Leave the Internet just the way it is. Do not tamper with it.
285. Mark Rigney, EVANSVILLE, IN, 47714  
Name me a single individual citizen not invested in or otherwise working for an ISP who thinks this is a good idea. I know I can't think of a single person in favor
286. joseph nickolick, EVANSVILLE, IN, 47715  
self service
287. James Inkenbrandt, TENNYSON, IN, 47637  
I hate republicans
288. Jeana Buck, EVANSVILLE, IN, 47720  
There are few things that everyone can enjoy. Don't mess this up this one up.
289. Paul Leingang, EVANSVILLE, IN, 47714  
Protect internet users
290. Charles Reid, EVANSVILLE, IN, 47715  
Leave the internet alone. You screw up anything you touch.
291. Sharon Olson, EVANSVILLE, IN, 47713

Equal and open access to information

292. Jann Thomas, NEWBURGH, IN, 47630  
Freedom on the net is vital to democracy!
293. Jennifer Thompson, LEOPOLD, IN, 47551  
This administration is trying to make America a dictatorship! Americans would be put at a huge disadvantage to lose our open internet
294. Sandra Barrett, TERRE HAUTE, IN, 47803  
Trump owns stock in these companies
295. Sharon Smith, EVANSVILLE, IN, 47710  
I want to be able to use the internet without Internet Service Providers deciding what I can look at and download.
296. Dennis Black, NEW HARMONY, IN, 47631  
A neutral internet is equal to freedom of speech and second ammendment rights - keep the net neutral.
297. David Motz, EVANSVILLE, IN, 47714  
We need a level playing field for future innovation and fledgling startups! Save Net Neutrality!
298. Lisa Sparks, SULLIVAN, IN, 47882  
Net neutrality is vital to the future of democracy itself. If there is a change in this because of the new regime then the new regime must not support democracy.
299. James Inkenbrandt, TENNYSON, IN, 47637  
The day any of my online content is blocked or slowed is the day I get off line completely and I'm sure there are many others who feel the same way. The internet was a really great thing until the republicans got control but if enough people drop off the internet it will crash the economy
300. Gerald Rust, TROY, IN, 47588  
Keep your ""greedy corporate hands" off our internet. It isn't broken
301. Scott Willis, PETERSBURG, IN, 47567  
Pai should have never been allowed to become the Chair of the FCC. This is a blatant conflict of interest with his past connection to Verizon.\r\n\r\nQuit being beholden to big business and stand up and do your job for the public that you represent.
302. gail stamps, EVANSVILLE, IN, 47713

no one should be able to control the internet so that small websites have to go slow to stay on the web. money shouldn't have anything to do with the internet and smaller providers shouldn't be pressured and maybe have to give up providing.

303. Rebecca Cole, CARLISLE, IN, 47838  
Speaking as a retired librarian
304. Larry Pack, EVANSVILLE, IN, 47720  
The FCC should be working for me
305. John Blair, EVANSVILLE, IN, 47711  
Net neutrality is essential if we are going to function as a nation of equal opportunity.
306. kendra, Bruceville, IN, 47516  
You have to preserve net neutrality! This is not a light matter, we deserve to have the freedom of equal speed for whatever we choose!
307. kendra mcdowell, Bruceville, IN, 47516  
Please respect the ideals of Net Neutrality and discontinue the "Restoring Internet Freedom" proceedings.
308. Saad Kluzinski, Terre Haute, IN, 47805  
Please preserve the net neutrality and title 2 regulations don't change!
309. Jessica Easterly Friel, Evansville, IN, 47710  
Please do not get rid of net neutrality. It's vital for the dissemination of information and the facilitation of communication. All Americans rely on the internet for its many functions, so it should not be accessible only to the few.
310. Matthew Brezina, Spencer, IN, 47460  
Please preserve Net Neutrality by keeping Title II in existence. Net Neutrality is the key to keeping a vital resource used by billions accessible to ALL instead of primarily the influences and mandates of large corporations (mainly major media conglomerates). Thank you for reading this.
311. net neutrality, West terre haute, IN, 47885  
Preserve net neutrality and title II! Free and fair internet.
312. Donna Paddock, Evansville, IN, 47710  
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Please change former President Obama's FCC policies that infringe upon our rights. Please change the rules and policies back that Pres. Obama changed by executive order. Regards, Donna Paddock 1028 Keller St Evansville, IN 47710"

313. James Gonzales, Bloomfield, IN, 47424  
I wholeheartedly support Net Neutrality and disapprove of the proposed changes to void Net Neutrality. I don't want my or any ISPs to hinder my right to information or my right to express myself. In fact, my life is possible thanks to the freedom of the World Wide Web. Voiding Net Neutrality would make it impossible to do the tasks I need to live. I plead you to leave net neutrality alone.
314. donna, rome, IN, 47574  
Please protect Net neutrality Title II
315. Mindy Huffman, Centerpoint, IN, 47840  
Because it is open and unfettered by how much you can pay to get on it. The open internet is available to all comers equally and not riven by commercial interests like almost everything else in this country.
316. Chauncey Clouse, Evansville, IN, 47714  
It's accessible to more people. Even lower income homes.
317. James Inkenbrandt, Tennyson, IN, 47637  
it is the only real fair market field were every one can put new idea and service and be able to make it big with out the bullying of big corporation and top family that control every thing.
318. Mr Arnold VanWinkle, Winslow, IN, 47598  
Do not repeal net neutrality. I support net neutrality under title II
319. Brenda Van Winkle, Winslow, IN, 47598  
Keep net neutrality. I support net neutrality under title II
320. Megan Manente, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
Ajit Pai: I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs and hope you make the right choice for consumers and not big business (such as your former employer, Verizon). Thanks
321. Emily TeKolste, West Terre Haute, IN, 47885  
I strongly support strong net neutrality protections backed by Title II oversight and regulation of ISPs.
322. eva Zucker, Tennyson, IN, 47637  
Trump, Republicans, Big Business - they need to be able to control us, the populace. in order to successfully control us. But we do not have to comply!!!
323. Holly Keenan, Evansville, IN, 47714  
I appreciate your service and i assume that your goal is the best interest of the

citizens of our country, I would like to ask you to protect net neutrality. Internet access in the 21st century is vital to our communities.

324. Michael Moody, Evansville, IN, 47720  
I support strong net neutrality backed by oversight of ISPs by title two.
325. Andrew Shaw, Terre Haute, IN, 47804  
I strongly support net neutrality under Title II. I strongly disagree with Ajit Pai's suggestion to reverse Title II classification on broadband networks.
326. Brian Carr, Evansville, IN, 47715  
Please maintain net neutrality by keeping ISPs under title II classification. It is the right thing to do.
327. Helen Templeton, Evansville, IN, 47714  
The little people should be just as important as the big corporations.
328. Shane Morrison, Gosport, IN, 47433  
Net neutrality is a 1st Amendment issue, pure and simple. If we are to maintain and protect our constitutionally-limited, democratic republic, then, we must ensure that net neutrality stays in place, completely!
329. Jann Thomas, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
It keeps the people of the planet together and is a light in the darkness for democracy!!!
330. Abraham Kassis, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
If you get rid of net neutrality, then you really are just a bunch of corporate whores who will do anything as long as you've been paid enough.
331. Dale Willis, Evansville, IN, 47711  
my personal business is none of your business
332. David Voltmer, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
Net neutrality is vital to our education system, our economy, and our long term position of leadership. Don't mess with it; the corporations and organizations with money will benefit at the expense of those of us common folks.
333. Earl Smith, Evansville, IN, 47712  
The United States is not a Fascist police state, yet. Protect net neutrality.
334. Raymond S. Baker, Terre Haute, IN, 47807  
I support strong internet neutrality backed by strong Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
335. Elise Wright, Bloomfield, IN, 47424

I fully, and passionately, support a strong stance on net neutrality under title II. Corporations are motivated primarily by their profit margins because of their investors. Without a strong net neutrality policy, there is no reason for them to act in the best interests of the consumer. They would charge companies for faster internet speeds when that same money could be used to invest in our economy. In this way, stricter regulations actually help our economy grow. Any person who has ever been on the internet knows how powerful it can be. It shoots random, ordinary people to stardom and can end a person's life career or severely damage someone's reputation. This is the second time the internet has spoken up for net neutrality. Maybe you should start listening.

336. Jordan Cates, Evansville, IN, 47712

I strongly believe that ISP's should absolutely continue to be regulated under Title 2. The internet is the last true bastion for equality and to have it manipulated by corporations just so they can save a few bucks is wrong.

337. Kerry Fuller, Evansville, IN, 47711

Net neutrality is a necessary law. Ajit Pai cannot honestly think that companies will be fair when distributing others materials if they are not held accountable to do so, because they most certainly will not. Thank you for your time, and please, DO NOT get rid of this regulation.

338. Robert Scalise, Terre Haute, IN, 47807

We require Title 2 regulations. I specifically support Title 2 regulations.

339. Net Neutrality, Terre Haute, IN, 47802

I firmly support net neutrality and reference comments that were made by verizon executives to their shareholders in which they state that net neutrality will have no impact on their growth. I firmly oppose any attempts to let companies "self regulate" and also reject the very notion of "self regulation". I insist that my opinion be taken into account when making a decision. Keep and maintain and GROW net neutrality, do not oppose it.

340. Stephanie Osborne, Evansville, IN, 47711

A free and open Internet is essential for a free society. I urge you to resist attempts to weaken net neutrality protections and entrench Internet monopolies!

341. Zach Wilson, Newport, IN, 47966

I specifically support STROG Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

342. Maggie Dalrymple, Terre Haute, IN, 47802

I support STRONG net neutrality and want to preserve title 2

343. Stephanie L. Young, Evansville, IN, 47714

To the Federal Communications Commission,I strongly support net neutrality and Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. Additionally, I believe that the responsibility of the FCC is to explore ways of promoting competition among ISPs. It is consumers that, in the end, are unfairly charged.Sincerely,Stephanie L. Young

344. Ty Wiggins, Terre Haute, IN, 47805  
I support net neutrality rules and regulations backed by Title II under the Communications Act of 1934 of ISP's
345. Erica Keithley, Vincennes, IN, 47591  
Please save net neutrality. I don't agree with today's decision.
346. Net Neutrality, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
Do not allow big companies to charge more or less depending upon their whim to change internet bandwidth. Their arguments are nonsense directed at their personal gain at the expense of the internet users. Keep the internet free and open. If the big companies want to charge more have them actually do something to enhance the internet experience.
347. ASHLEY SOLLARS, BOONVILLE, IN, 47601  
PLEASE PROTECT MY RIGHT TO CHOOSE WHICH INTERNET CONTENT I WANT TO SEE AND THE RIGHTS OF BUSINESSES AND CONTENT CREATORS TO BE ABLE TO FREELY SHARE WITHOUT FEAR OF INTERNET MOGULS CHARGING THEM TO SHOW IT TO ME.
348. Alisha Moorhead, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
KEEP net neutrality. Destroying net neutrality does no one any good. It will create greater barriers between classes and the country.
349. Felicia S Garber, Terre Haute, IN, 47804  
Keep it title 2. Big companies should not be able to slow down the internet for their interests.
350. T.J. Norman, Evansville, IN, 47715  
Keep net neutrality rules! Keep the corporations in check
351. Eric M Waltz, Loogootee, IN, 47553  
I do not support repealing net neutrality. This is a terrible thing to lose. Don't do this.
352. Andrew Beatty, Poland, IN, 47868  
I have been a child that has grown up on the Internet and I am currently in school to become a computer engineer. I have seen many tech companies, like Myspace, AOL, Facebook, and many others, rise and fall on their own merits



over the years. By changing to an information service instead of a utility service, you will in fact be adding more regulations to the Internet instead of reducing them. I urge you to reconsider this bill. As the phrase goes, "If it ain't broke, don't fix it." These companies were able to rise on their own merits only because of the utility-service style of governing, because they only had to change according to what the free market wanted.

353. Scott Willis, Petersburg, IN, 47567

First, most broadband providers simply don't offer the services listed. Does Comcast have a blogging platform, a news service or a search engine that anyone actually uses on purpose? No, Comcast offers a pipe through which to reach other services. Reaching other services is the service that it itself offers! There are even safe harbor laws shielding it from liability for transmitting the things provided by and through those services "because ISPs have no control over them! Second, broadband providers often aren't even aware what information they are transmitting, because it is encrypted. They cannot transform it, generate it or process it because tampering with encrypted data is immediately evident to the users. While "drafting a blog," as I'm doing right now, the ISP only knows that it is handing packets between the router at this coffee shop and the IP address /port belonging to WordPress. It doesn't know I'm publishing something contrary to its interests. Sorry, ISP. Third, most services that are in fact offered by the ISP, such as DNS lookup, error pages, caching and routing, all have to do with reasonable network management "the work of getting packets from one place to another properly. That class of things has a big cutout in the net neutrality rules because the internet is a complex place with lots of moving parts. This analysis is like saying that because someone built a bridge, they also created the entire city on far side of it. It's absurd, and in fact the argument was already tried and found wanting in a federal court just three weeks ago. Anyone with a modicum of technical knowledge will find this explanation of how the internet and web work truly wrongheaded and entirely incorrect. It's hard to think of this as anything other than a willful misrepresentation of the facts. This analysis is like saying that because someone built a bridge, they also created the entire city on far side of it. It's absurd, and in fact the argument was already tried and found wanting in a federal court just three weeks ago. Anyone with a modicum of technical knowledge will find this explanation of how the internet and web work truly wrongheaded and entirely incorrect. It's hard to think of this as anything other than a willful misrepresentation of the facts. Users of landline telephones never knew the exact locations of every switch, operator, relay and transfer station. They did indeed rely on telephone companies to supply this information "as part and parcel of the telecommunications service they asked for. ISPs themselves don't know the location of most of this data. As I mentioned before, much of it is encrypted, or passes through a proxy, or is cached on the fly by Google or Netflix. ISPs follow the instructions of these

services as items are shifted among the global data centers run by Pinterest and Instagram, dutifully transmitting packets from the point specified by the user to another point, either themselves or another service.

354. Kameron Alexander, Newburgh, IN, 47630

Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Let me be real clear here, I want the internet to stay exactly the way it currently is now, under Title 2 oversight. Do not change the law and rules of the internet. Hands off of our utility, our service!

355. Paul M Lamey, Evansville, IN, 47715

Maintaining a free and open internet is not just a good idea for consumers, but it's also a good idea for democracy as a whole. I support (and so should you) strong Title II classification. Without it, internet providers can and will censor content. They have not proven to be good stewards of the public good, and are supremely susceptible to providing favors for the highest bidders. I need not remind you that while you at the FCC may feel as though your job isn't dependent on public opinion, elections are. You can and will be replaced by someone who represents the interests of the public good if you choose to side with corporate interests. People can be dispassionate about a great many political subjects, but when you start messing with their Netflix speeds, you will see a coming together of the political spectrum that has never happened in our history. Just do the right thing and keep Net Neutrality in place.

356. Amanda Redden, Evansville, IN, 47711

Net neutrality should not be changed. It should stay under Title II.

357. Katie, Terre Haute, IN, 47802

The Internet is an example of prime infrastructure. The economy will not benefit from a closed Internet.

358. Jared Schaefer, Evansville, IN, 47712

The internet needs to remain an open place and protected from the ISP's that are only concerned with fueling their greed. We are sick of the greed from big business and the evil effect they had on our government.

359. Christopher Smith, Jasper, IN, 47546

The FCC should not repeal the Title 2 classification on Internet service providers. Internet access is a common service that many people depend on for their livelihoods, and I strongly suggest the FCC look into more regulations on ISPs so that they can be held accountable for upgrading their infrastructure instead of letting it stagnate, and provide more options to customers instead of less. This proceeding to repeal the Title 2 classification is NOT in the best interest of the public, and is NOT what we want. Looking at the millions of similar comments, that is the only conclusion that can be brought.

360. David Myles, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
Do not revoke Obama-era net neutrality regulations. We must protect an fair and open internet.
361. Mary Solecki, Evansville, IN, 47711  
I want a free and open internet by preserving Title II oversight of ISPs and net neutrality.
362. Lora Stephens, Evansville, IN, 47720  
The internet is a necessity, not a luxury. Equal access to the internet is essential. We do not allow phone companies to drop our calls when we call small businesses or poor people. We should not allow corporations to slow down or speed up the internet in order to profit off citizens. Please support strong title two backing.
363. Aaron Nulton, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Net neutrality is essential to the free flow of information and ideas on what is rapidly becoming a necessity in our modern culture. No longer a luxury, many people need the internet for work, school, and other important facets of life. Neither businesses, nor anyone else should hold the power or right to impede access to such a necessity. Please, protect the access of the people to the internet. Not just the people who can pay top dollar for it, but all people.
364. Katya Garcia-Israel, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
I support strong net neutrality and oversight under Title II.
365. Harold C. Faulkner, III, Evansville, IN, 47714  
Today, the internet is where most citizens get their information. This is analogous to the tree stump speeches that our founders used to disperse information about the formation of the United States. In those days, anyone could use any tree stump anywhere. If we restrict net neutrality, then it would be analogous to only allowing the wealthy people to have the tree stump in the center of town, and as a person or organization's wealth decreased, they would be relegated to using a tree stump further from the center of town. Please keep net neutrality so all have an equal chance to use the internet for the spread of information.
366. Alan Winternheimer, Evansville, IN, 47720  
If net neutrality is compromised, I see innovation and economic activity being compromised in the coming years (except for big companies). I think the evident success of the internet is because of equal access for everyone thru net neutrality.
367. Danielle Norris, Evansville, IN, 47714  
I support the regulation of internet service providers under title II of the

Communications Act of 1934. ISPs should not have the ability to prioritize content delivery based on a pay-to-play system.

368. Tim Deem, Evansville, IN, 47712

I strongly support Net Neutrality and have zero faith in any providers voluntary commitment to refrain from controlling the path through their network based on monetary gain.

369. Theresa Beal, West Terre Haute, IN, 47885

Etsy Shop Tlbartsandcrafts Dear FCC, I am a small shop owner @ etsy.com I am disabled and my funds are limited. I love to paint and do this now as my only hobby due to my disability. What has allowed me to earn just enough to support my hobby's supply needs. If you take away net neutrality, I will lose this and the one thing I have to look forward to. I love my hobby and don't want to lose it. Our forefathers would cringe at what our regulating bodies have done. Theresa Beal

370. Tina Payne, Newburgh, IN, 47630

Etsy Shop Weapotheary.com Dear FCC, I am a single mother of four boys. I already work a full time government job, but with medical, dental, and the rising cost of everyday living, it's just not enough. I started my ETSY business to do something I love while providing an extra income to help out without spending any more time away from home and my boys. Being able to make a profit isn't easy with the costs of being a small business owner, but adding more costs will make it almost impossible. Please do not take this opportunity away from hardworking people like myself, who are just trying to stay above water, by adding additional costs for running a small internet business. Sincerely, Tina Payne. Tina Payne

371. William Knauth and Rachel Hinrichs, Terre Haute, IN, 47802

Keep current net neutrality regulations intact under the fcc title ii regulatory framework. Efforts to alter net neutrality seem to be little more than naked cash grabs by telecommunications interests and are contrary to the freedom of information which our democracy depends upon.

372. Sandeep goyal, evansville, IN, 47712

Internet neutrality is critical for the fairness of the web. ISPs are already selling my browsing data, thanks to you. Please do not let them control my browsing behavior. I wish I had a choice on ISPs. There is only one company that provides service to my house. They charge what they want to, at least let me get unbiased internet.

373. Nancy M. Brooks, Newburgh, IN, 47630

Dear FCC Chairman, Mr. Ajit Pai, I support strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please keep it as it is now. Nancy M. Brooks

374. Bryan Carrera, Clinton, IN, 47842  
I want stronger oversight of ISPs under Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934
375. Sean Casler, Terre Haute, IN, 47807  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am a real person. Bots can't lie.
376. Logan G Hansel, Pimento, IN, 47866  
Ajit Pai, and to every single body of the FCC, I STRONGLY, STRONGLY support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. THIS is the America that we want. Not the business and loss that you want. Open and free internet is a human right and limiting and controlling this highway is the most un-American practice you could put into play. I do not want to live in Communist China, I love my country too much!! Thank you for your time.
377. Al Reinheimer, Evansville, IN, 47713  
Dear FCC, Fully Free & Open Internet Access Must Be Secured. Equal access for every individual and businesses big and small. Maintain Title II Regulation and keep Public Utility status. The Internet had been Free & Open since the start. Allow individuals to find their voices and businesses to grow and prosper. Equal access can only happen with a Free & Open Net, Keep current regulation inter Title II Of the Telecommunications Act Sincerely Al Reinheimer Al Reinheimer
378. Larry M. Tribble, Fort Branch, IN, 47648  
The FCC must leave the internet open and free to all, not just a few wealthy and large companies. The large companies now are flooding as much commercials in their networking as possible. The next step is cut back on commercials with these companies. We do not need these types of money grabbing that these large companies are doing. We do not even have enough competition between cable companies to keep them competitive to keep prices down. I am with Spectrum and they fight you every turn to cut my programming back to reduce my monthly fee. We the people need help, but not by cutting down the competition. The times of Obama is over, how about protecting WE THE PEOPLE!!!!
379. Tamie Dehler, Terre Haute, IN, 47804  
I am strongly in favor of keeping the Internet neutral as a vital expression of free speech and open research for the common person. Too often in America, the corporations and big businesses reap the rewards this country has to offer to the detriment of the regular citizen and even smaller companies. I do not want an ISP limiting my Internet speed (thus controlling what I can do on the Internet), based on what big companies have paid them. This has not not the

American way in the past. I would certainly vote against any Indiana congressperson who would support ending net neutrality. The net needs to be open for the next best idea--not the stagnant ideas of existing companies who want to keep themselves in power.

380. William James, Terre Haute, IN, 47803

If we are to remain equal, net neutrality must be maintained.

381. Bob Kinkle, Princeton, IN, 47670

I'm just one small voice. We individuals don't have the resources or ear of you Regulators. Looks like another power grab by the rich and powerful companies. It is so disheartening that our government is so inclined to just be the lapdog of those who donate to keep you in power. If this was put to a vote of all the people Net Neutrality would get 95% of the vote.

382. Joshua Nelson, Evansville, IN, 47711

The internet is the heart of free speech and expression in the current era. Dismantling or altering that foundation would be catastrophic and immensely irresponsible.

383. Paul Davis, Terre Haute, IN, 47807

In these times the internet is often used as a primary form of speech between individuals. Since we are constitutionally guaranteed freedom of speech, maintaining net neutrality is vital to ensure that guarantee.

384. Vernon Arnold, Winslow, IN, 47598

I use the internet to gather stories to share with nursing home residents. These are people who are stuck in an institution and need outside stimulation. I need the freedom to search for acceptable stories.

385. Donna Gerhardy, Vincennes, IN, 47591

Internet neutrality must be protected. It has worked great so far. Why ruin a good thing? Must everything hinge on profits and big business? Keep the internet OPEN, PLEASE!!

386. leonard kite, clinton, IN, 47842

leave things alone. why change an open internet and give freedom away. one more freedom we won't have. America:Land of the Free?

387. Rustan Duncan, Linton, IN, 47441

Allowing telecom companies to create a monopoly on the internet is the object betrayal of the American people. I will not vote for anyone who votes to support this change.

388. Duane Knies, Celestine, IN, 47521

The freedom of the internet must be protected against companies like these and remain free and open.

389. Katherine Klamer, Evansville, IN, 47714  
Net neutrality is something that I have often taken for granted simply because I have never experienced an internet without it. Please keep it that way.
390. Brian rice, Evansville , IN, 47712  
Government needs to grow smaller, leave the web alone!The web needs not the efficiency Gov. Control would Bring, ( sarcasm). This is not a police state. By the way Thanks for the HDTV , That was a great idea, NOT.
391. Al Wigand, evansville, IN, 47720  
We don't need government control ! We need the government out of the way.. leave the net alone stay out of FREE SPEECH, leave our freedom of choice alone.. The net has grown BECAUSE it was/is free.. Don't kill the net, leave it alone.. If you truly want to reduce your staff by 25% reduce you strangle hold on our lives by90% we'll be just fine without your "help" . remember it is "We The People"ThanksAl Wigand
392. Rala L Williams, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
Please protect net neutrality to keep large companies like Verizon, Comcast & AT&T from turning the internet into a monopoly with the potential to threaten small businesses, hinder free speech and limit personal choices.
393. Jamie Edwards, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
Leave net neutrality alone. Corporations should not dictate the freedom the Internet currently allows.
394. Steven Rentschler, Bloomfield, IN, 47424  
I am writing to urge you, the members of the FCC o urge you to protect Net Neutrality. An open Internet is vital for the preservation of free speech, business innovation and consumer rights.
395. Eric Kelsheimer, Clinton, IN, 47842  
Without a free and open net, we will be facing the same biased opinions, skewed reality filled lies as the news media. If it comes to that, I can do without the net the same as I do without the major news networks and their propaganda filled lies.
396. David Andrews, Terre haute, IN, 47803  
Keep the net open. We are already behind most developed countries with regards to net quality so why get worse?
397. Doug Henry, Evansville, IN, 47711

The Internet must have the protection on net neutrality as it has remained all these years. It should not be under the control of cable, phone companies, or any profit making organizations. That would be the downfall of free speech as is our right.

398. Richard Barnhart, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Keep the internet free and open. Keep the internet innovative. We do not need more government intervention into something that works.
399. Noah, Evansville, IN, 47710  
Preserve net Neutrality - keep the internet free. The government shouldn't be regulating commerce. Abolishing net neutrality is like abolishing free speech and a free market in one sweep. Cable companies in our area already have a monopoly or duopoly. We all want more money, but they don't need it.
400. anne kirchgraber-mckee, Spencer, IN, 47460  
The personal choice that is part of Net Neutrality is vital to how the internet works. Do not do away with Net Neutrality!
401. Travis Sparks, Bloomfield, IN, 47424  
A free, and open internet is a platform for the free exchange of ideas. Without net neutrality we may as well be living under a totalitarian regime. No one company or entity should have the right to dictate what information, or misinformation, products, or services, are available to the American people. We should have the right to choose which products we want to use and pay for in a free market, and not let corporations choose for us and monopolize.
402. Bob Birchler, Rockport, IN, 47635  
I believe that the internet should stay OPEN!!
403. Dr. Cleve Scott, Rockville, IN, 47872  
NetN is, by extension a first amendment right.
404. James E. Striewe, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Leave the internet alone. I don't need Big Brother deciding what I can post and how often I can post it. If it aint broke, don't fix it!!!
405. Betty Grubb, Evansville, IN, 47720  
I enjoy programs that have moral value and I don't think att and comcast will provide those types of programs. We need more provider and not less.
406. john , evansville, IN, 47710  
don't weaken net neutrality.
407. Amaleah Black-Smiley, Jasper, IN, 47546



Boundary issues. No power over. Time for win-win.

408. Mike, evansville, IN, 47714  
Leave the internet the way it is, stop letting big business control everything,
409. Richard Harvey, Oakland City, IN, 47660  
Please don't mess up the internet for the Regulars everyday Americans. We who use the internet for news and information not for any paid service or streaming. As we say in Indiana "If it ain't broke, don't fix it." Thank you for your attention to my comments.
410. Ann Chappell, Worthington, IN, 47471  
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Without net neutrality, big companies could censor people and perspectives online. Net neutrality has been called the "First Amendment of the Internet."
411. tommy, tennyson, IN, 47637  
fcc my net neutrality much be protected at all time
412. M. A. Giesler, Jasper, IN, 47546  
I support net neutrality because it is fundamental to our freedom of speech. The government and big corporations should not be allowed to censor people and perspectives online. Small businesses and entrepreneurs need the internet to reach new users. As a consumers I should be able to decide what I watch and do online. This should not be controlled by the government and big corporations.
413. Wilson Gaslin, Evansville, IN, 47720  
The needs of the public should be put first. This will not improve our situation. The flow of information good or bad, true or false, should remain uninhibited on the web. Not fall under the direct and explicit control of those who seek only personal profit. Net neutrality is necessary.
414. Deven Morris, evansville, IN, 47715  
Keep net neutrality the way it is! Companies should not be allowed to manipulate the internet
415. Ricky Biggs, Rockport , IN, 47635  
The internet will only remain free to speach and thought as long as it is allowed to remains neutral. Big corporations will only allow bigger money to to funnel then choke the openness of the net
416. Cody Hedges, Terre Haute, IN, 47804  
In a world already torn asunder by classism, it's the role of the government to reduce this divide. Net neutrality stands as an example of a system still partially insulated from this unjust hierarchy. As the FCC, keeping the internet under

Title II is imperative to the fair and balanced progress of the internet.

417. Russell Lester, Linton, IN, 47441

I want you to know I am very much opposed to losing net neutrality. This means that the big boys on the block can do anything they want with my information, and I am sure they will have my "best interests" at heart and not be concerned about how much money they can make as they rape the industry. You people are the biggest con men since P.T. Barnum....

418. Tina Weber, Clay City , IN, 47841

Without net neutrality it will lessen the chance of small businesses, will lessen regular citizens 1st amendment rights, take choices away from regular citizens to choice what they want to read, watch, listen to. The internet was made & meant to be access for regular citizens to information not something for big business to tie up. Keep the internet free & open to all people not just for big cable companies like Comcast, AT&T, & Verizon. They already are making enough money off their end of what they provide with the internet they don't need even a bigger monopoly over the internet.

419. Michael W. Potts, Washington, IN, 47501

Net neutrality for all! No censorship of the internet!

420. Deborah Tanier, Bloomfield, IN, 47424

The internet is a public utility. No company/entity should have control of it. In today's world the internet is many people's main source of knowledge & information. We are already experiencing a "dumbing down" in the US. If information is further restricted we will doom our children to being intellectually stunted.

421. Nathaniel Priddy, Jasper, IN, 47546

Taking net neutrality away is extremely anti-consumer. We are already paying for our Internet access, ISPs do not have the right to charge us more for what we do with our access. This will severely hurt innovation and growth for small companies and new sites, as the normal consumer (like me) will not be able to afford all of these subscriptions. The Internet will stagnate, and arguably mankind's greatest invention is now useless. Please please please reconsider ending the open Internet. You are there to represent the people and the people want open Internet. Thank you for your time.

422. Restoring Internet Freedoms, Terre Haute, IN, 47803

I support strong net neutrality and wish it to remain under Title 2.

423. Net Neutrality, Terre Haute, IN, 47803

Strong net neutrality is a must have to regulate fair internet use and trafficking. Net neutrality absolutely must stay under title II in order to keep a tighter rein

on large ISPs.

424. Matthew Krampe, Evansville, IN, 47711

I would like for net neutrality to be maintained in its current form. No internet service providers should be allowed to charge more for anyone to have faster access to the internet. The internet should remain open and Title 2 should apply and remain as part of the regulatory framework for the internet and internet providers.

425. Adam Denning, Owensville, IN, 47665

Preserve Net Neutrality. The internet is the ultimate tool for freedom of speech good or bad right or wrong it is what sets our country apart from most others in the world. By super speeding some and throttling others is giving those with the most speed a louder voice. Maybe consider fixing the real issue of poor infrastructure.

426. Lauren Morton, Terre Haute, IN, 47803

I support internet providers being regulated under Title II utility protections. Allowing large corporations to operate on the honor system and calling it a free market is a poor justification for our government siding with companies over its citizens.

427. Keith Hanson, Terre Haute, IN, 47805

I feel that the idea of giving internet providers the ability to control what you can view online is a step too far with respect to peoples access to information and enterprises

428. Robert Frymire, Evansville, IN, 47715

I believe the internet should not be influenced in any sort of way by any company. It should remain the peoples' sole choice to decide what to use the internet for and how they go about that.

429. Brad Donohoo, Petersburg, IN, 47567

Please allow net neutrality to continue AS IS!!! Allowing people / corporations to gain control of where we can go on the internet and how we navigate while on the web- will ruin the experience. . It will only keep people from using the internet more- which will set us BACK as a nation instead of forward - where we need to be.

430. Michael L Stremming, Linton, IN, 47441

Any reduction in internet protection highly concerns me. The internet, to me, is a free growing community. To hinder someone's ability to access data in which may help grow their own mind, thoughts, and directions seems to be completely against what it is all about. Also as someone in a rule area I don't have many choices in ISPs so not having choices to avoid those who decided to do

something against the internet would be extremely difficult. Not to mention the masses who are already signed on with big box companies who will more than likely change their experience as it is now.

431. Eric Muensterman, Evansville, IN, 47711  
The internet is the most revolutionary invention of the past two hundred years. The internet should be open and free for all to use. Internet Service Providers already have an oligopoly compared to the rest of the world. The FCC should take action to protect the rights of American citizens and stops ISPs from tightening their grip on an already closed market.
432. Joe Mraz, Fort Branch, IN, 47648  
I believe the current Net Neutrality is the version that needs to exist. The proposed changes by the FCC will be detrimental to myself, my neighbors, and businesses both local and abroad. Please keep the true Net Neutrality and vote against Blocking, Throttling, and Paid Prioritization.
433. 17-108, newburgh, IN, 47630  
the INTERNET needs neutrality.
434. Noah Cacovski, Terre Haute, IN, 47804  
Net neutrality laws are necessary in order to stop companies from charging more money so you can access your favorite websites. By letting net neutrality die, internet companies will be charging vast amounts of money for services that you should not be paying more for. I am majorly in favor of net neutrality.
435. Kyle Ray White, Owensville, IN, 47665  
Stop being greedy assholes. Internet in the United States already costs way more than the quality is worth.
436. Randkin, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
We do not need the government regulating everything about our bloody lives. Some people being rather stupid in this day and age does not mean we need a blanket dunce cap thrown across our daily lives and what we do for entertainment. Stop trying to tell people what they're allowed to do and not allowed. It only encourages those that aren't mindless followers, to keep doing it even more fiercely.
437. Joshua Bunner, Rockport, IN, 47635  
Net neutrality keeps the internet open and available to be experienced as the individual user desires it to be. Allowing business conglomerates increased control over the experience of individual citizens is a restrictive and unnecessary action.
438. Austin Ford, Newburgh, IN, 47630

We need an open internet. Do NOT give ISPs any more control of the internet. The fact that this is even an issue is insane. American is the land of the free. Restricting the internet is taking away our freedom. Anyone who is against Net Neutrality is anti-American.

439. Donald Rohlman, Jasper, IN, 47546

We need to keep the Internet free and open, otherwise we will be paying more money than we already do.

440. Brandon Dowdell, Evansville, IN, 47715

Don't take our internet freedom away!

441. Tyler Boyd, Evansville, IN, 47714

Net neutrality currently provides users with a browsing experience that reflects the principles that this country was founded on. Users are allowed to browse the entire web without blocked content or slowed internet speeds from their ISP. This is the land of the free. Making changes to net neutrality that would give ISPs the power to control the users viewing experience would be an atrocity. And it wouldn't be freedom. I say no to the throttling of internet speeds based on the site you are on and also to the prioritization or blocking of content based on the ISPs preferences. Keep Net neutrality as is.

442. Net Neutrality, evansville, IN, 47714

Keep Net Neutrality!The internet needs freedom!

443. Ben Bledsoe, Terre Haute, IN, 47802

The internet does not need to be net neutral. The internet needs to be open. I demand access to the entire internet. Free of blocking, throttling and paid prioritization. That is ridiculous and should have not even been thought of in this instance.

444. Nolan Wilmes, Ferdinand, IN, 47532

Taking away net neutrality will only benefit the corporate executives that this is designed for as well as the the officials who are receiving some kind of funding to help push this through. This is simply a step in the direction towards the propaganda that controls North Korea.

445. Nicholas Ian Cross, Evansville, IN, 47712

I stand by everyone when I say this is too far. My voice alone may not be significant, but i hope with the cries of the american people that it gets through to you that what you are doing to net neutrality is impeding on what should be our right for free use of the internet, and giving companies the power to control their user's which we don't have a choice of not using in today's electronic world.

446. Nick Brandt, Evansville, IN, 47714  
I already have slow internet as it is and I don't use it for much other than watching youtube videos and twitch but people having the ability to make my load times even worse isn't fair when I already pay a lot for slow internet
447. Matthew Hensley, Terre Huate, IN, 47803  
Please consider that keeping the ability to transmit and exchange information in this day and age freely is a foundational necessity to our democracy. Allowing ISPs to determine which content is tantamount to letting this corporation determine for all of use which content we should be exposed to, which going forward will allow them to further their agendas to the masses over any other persons voice. ISPs should be regulated and continue to be regulated in the same way that any other utility is, the free and equal flow of information must be allowed to continue.
448. Tim Link, Evansville, IN, 47715  
accessibility on the web is mandatory nowadays. Many if not everyone uses the internet for a mix of professional and personal use. Net Neutrality needs to be protected. ISP control would hinder heavier users of the web and most likely hurt businesses that have a high percentage of internet utilization.
449. Noah Elmore, Francisco, IN, 47649  
Please ensure that the net remains free and open for all to use, not just large corporations and ISPs.
450. William Droge, Huntingburg, IN, 47542  
We need to keep Net Neutrality to protect us from being overcharged for internet and to keep our freedom of using the internet as we see fit, not as we're made to. In this day and age you need the internet to function at all in society, from applying to jobs to paying bills, and we shouldn't be told what websites we can or can't go to, or made to pay more money just to be able to use various websites without restrictions. Internet needs to be classified as a utility and Internet Service Providers need to be held accountable for overcharging for it's use. Keep our freedom.
451. net neutrality, terre haute, IN, 47807  
a free and open internet is worth more than any of you, any of us and sure as hell more important than verizon ceo's wallet. Stop being a puppet of corporate assholes and do what you're supposed to do.
452. Billy, Evansville, IN, 47710  
Keep the internet from being limited. Limitations on access to knowledge and competition only hinders the general public, it is a step back to take away net neutrality.

453. Nicholas Meeks, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Please do not do this it could be catastrophic in this day and age. There is no way we can stand down to let this happen.
454. Joseph Keller, Ferdinand, IN, 47532  
Internet access for rural communities such as my own is already fairly pricey due to the regional monopolies held by the service provider. I support net neutrality.
455. Logan Miller, Huntingburg, IN, 47542  
I solemnly believe that is demonstrably inappropriate to allow an ISP to regulate my connection based on their choosing. In many states such as my own, the monopoly that these internet companies hold, is already too strong to allow alternatives or competition. With that said, to allow the ISPs to regulate my connection in an area with no competition would make our (Indiana Citizen) connections go from worse to worst. I hope you take this into consideration as the repeal of current laws would only hurt the consumers and help the companies.
456. Michael Schneider, Huntingburg, IN, 47542  
Please keep the internet Title II, please keep net neutrality!
457. Perry Thomas, Bloomfield, IN, 47424  
Net Neutrality now. Net Neutrality forever. Freedom of speech in the 21st century MUST include non-discrimination of speech/ communication in the modern town square [the internet]. This includes non-discrimination by those monopolies and oligopolies allowed by the FCC and other governmental arms. Ajit Pie is a corporate tool doing the bidding of the corporate predators and special interests. Your governmental oath REQUIRES you to be faithful to the constitution and defend it and the American people from ALL enemies foreign AND DOMESTIC. These few corporations dominating and controlling internet access for Americans ARE clearly domestic enemies of American citizens in that they seek to fleece and unjustifiably profit from American people seeking to participate in "the free exchange of ideas" which exists in the modern town square of the internet which was largely developed by the government's DARPA program FUNDED BY THE AMERICAN PEOPLE who are now vulnerable to financial exploitation by these corporations simply for engaging in their constitutional rights in modern day America. Ajit Pie and his corporate patrons MUST be rebuked. The American people MUST be protected by insuring American citizens of many, average and/ or little means have access to the modern form of public speech.
458. Brayten Kaiser, Vincennes, IN, 47591  
I support the open internet. It lets the creative and the ones with the great ideas

actually use those skills. If the internet was not open and free the smaller people and local businesses would take the greatest hit of all. If the ISP decides to favor Amazon or eBay and not Etsy, anybody who makes a living off of selling on Etsy takes a large hit. And that's if Etsy doesn't pay the ISP either, which is practically extortion!!! Allowing ISPs to sell "packages" for the internet is disgusting. The US does not control the internet. Why let cable and telecom companies control it? Please stop selling our freedom.

459. Chris auld, sullivan, IN, 47882  
i like the internet for free because it opens so many doorways dont do this
460. Kameron Hayes, Evansville, IN, 47720  
The FCC should keep the internet neutral from cable companies and such. The internet is a place for people to show their creativity and for people to come and connect with one another. If an ISP comes in and changes a lot of that, people wouldnâ€™t be connected as well anymore.
461. Chris Ising, Princeton, IN, 47670  
I want to choose what I view on the internet and not let my ISP or the FCC take that away from me. We have a right to view what we want on the web and taking this away will be devastating to a lot of peoples ways of life.
462. martin erspamer, Saint meinrad, IN, 47577  
Please keep internet free and neutral.
463. Christopher Johnson, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
Keep net neutrality! Keep the Internet open and equal for all sites!
464. Cheryl Keeney, Magnet, IN, 47520  
We all need the internet! Save our internet
465. bruce pigman, evansville, IN, 47711  
net nuetrality is as important as freedom of speech
466. Net Neutrality, Shelburn, IN, 47879  
Don't be a tool used only long enough to further the agenda of those whom built the machine. Wake up and make some decisions that are genuinely best suited to protect this fine Nation we call home. We must learn to nurture those things we want to remain in our lives as opposed to taking them for granted and then being surprised when they're gone.
467. Dustin Aversman, Clinton, IN, 47842  
Net neutrality is needed because without it ISPs can restrict our freedom of speech. For example, if an ISP blocked FaceBook, they are taking away one's abilities to freely express oneself on FaceBook. Another thing they could do is



block competing sites, even though someone would already have payed to use that site, they couldn't use it because their ISP wants more money. This is just another way to make the rich richer and the poor poorer, but if you would ask an ISP they would dance around the question because it helps no one but the ISPs.

468. Stephen Cherry, Terre Haute, IN, 47802

The internet needs to remain neutral. I do not believe giving ISPs the tools to alter and control this customer's experience for profit is in the best interest of American citizens. The internet is the amazing tool it is now because these things aren't allowed.

469. Jon Stansiz, Terre Haute, IN, 47802

Please for the love of god keep things the way they are. The internet is a precious thing that needs to be free and open. we need to give everyone a chance to be on an equal playing field.

470. Net Neutrality, Petersburg, IN, 47567

I support a free and open internet. With this in mind, I believe that Net Neutrality should be kept in place for the good of the internet.

471. David Baumberger, Evansville, IN, 47711

Please keep the Internet Open and Free by leaving it under Title II. If this is removed ISPs will create paid prioritization to allow only companies to be in either a fast or slow lane. This will destroy competition between larger and smaller companies. I demand access to the entire internet - Free of paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling. There is only one internet and all rules must apply to my home and mobile connections equally.

472. Hank Denning, Mount Vernon, IN, 47620

I believe that net neutrality is a good thing. It makes websites compete to be better, and letting companies decide who gets faster connectivity speeds is selfish and wrong. Our lawmakers should not be swayed by lobbyists, paying big bucks so the corporate machine can grow larger and more powerful. They are elected to do right by the American people, and letting this happen would be wrong. I implore them to look deep inside of themselves and ask, is this really what I want for the internet, is this what I want for America.

473. Mitchell Ellis, Evansville, IN, 47715

Save the last true Frontier!!!

474. Jennifer Kenzor, Terre Haute, IN, 47802

It is vital to our democracy to protect the free internet. We should not be beholden to monopolistic companies that are not being regulated properly in the first place.

475. Kevin Koehler, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Keep Net Neutrality!!! Don't let the ISPs have control.
476. Cole Smith, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
My name is Cole Smith and I am the owner of an online business, create online video content, perform marketing services online, and in general exist in a very virtual world. This virtual world has created a life for me that is far superior to that of my parents when they were raising me. It has allowed me to go from working as a waiter making just enough to get by to living a life where I can own a car, have a nice place to live and eat healthy meals every day of the week. The internet has given me the America Dream of owning my own business and without it, I would not be where I am today. Striking down Net Neutrality will have major effects on my business and would be a direct threat to that America Dream that I am achieving. It would allow ISP's to throttle sites and content they don't agree with. It would allow them to not allow marketing from competitors that they dislike and would create a "pay to play" atmosphere that is simply unsustainable for small businesses like myself. It is for those reasons that I ask for you to please support Net Neutrality and keep the internet free and open.
477. Brooke Schleiter, Evansville, IN, 47713  
Net Neutrality is the cornerstone of innovation, free speech and democracy on the Internet. When I purchase access to the internet, I am purchasing access to all of the content that is available on the web. My career and my hobbies both require a great deal of research. I need to be able to access all content from any ISP that I access across the country. I can't afford to purchase internet from multiple ISP's to gain equal access to all content, and I can't afford to have the internet working against me when I'm conducting my research. More than 2 million Americans have expressed support for Net Neutrality at Congress and the FCC. They want control over the Internet to remain in the hands of the people who use it every day. I am one of those more than 2 million Americans. I support the FCC and appreciate the work that you do, and I need you to continue that work for me as an American citizen by supporting Net Neutrality. Please stand with me and the public by protecting Net Neutrality once and for all. Sincerely, Brooke
478. joshua paddock, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
I am strongly against this and urge you to stand by and defend net neutrality
479. Caleb Patrick, Evansville, IN, 47715  
Protect freedom of speech and expression on the Internet. Don't allow these money-grubbing companies to control what we can see and what we can't see just on a whim or a political view or because someone paid more to be seen.

480. Tanner Brink, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
Net Neutrality laws shouldn't change because it's wrong for ISPs to be able to control the way I access the internet. It isn't right for them to slow down my service because I'm accessing a website they won't profit off of.
481. Vladimir Toropov, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Internet should be a right given to all people just like free speech without Internet Service providers controlling every aspect of the Internet. Because of the money hungry ISP's many people across the country do not have adequate internet speeds for the price they are being charged to have the internet. Now consumers are not only at a risk of being charged more for internet service, but also having their internet censored as ISP's see fit. This CANNOT be allowed to happen in an age where Internet brings the entire world together.
482. Josh Sipes, Evansville, IN, 47714  
I feel strongly that the internet should remain open with equal access to all sites.
483. Carolyn Gillio, Clinton, IN, 47842  
We need to protect net neutrality. Anything less restricts our freedom and likens us to countries with censorship and propaganda. It allows companies to decide what we can view, not ourselves. All in the name of the almighty dollar. I don't need "protected" from the internet.....but I DO need protected from people trying to take away our freedom for the sake of money.
484. Yelena Gonzalez, terre haute, IN, 47803  
I do not want ISPs to get to decide which websites I can access based on how much they decide to charge me. Keep the internet free. No one wants the internet to become the capitalist mess that TV shows are currently. There's a reason why they are losing viewership. Stop censoring, and stop saying it's for the people. KEEP THE INTERNET FREE.
485. Net Neutrality, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
Internet data should not be discriminated against or regulated.
486. Joseph skimehorn, evansville, IN, 47720  
I feel the internet should not be controlled content over what I want and what I get to see, I dont want my content throttled because my ISP is not making X off my watching this or X from this ad. Save the internet.
487. Netneutrality, Evansville, IN, 47710  
Can we stop this nonsense of fighting this battle every so often, keep net neutrality. Almost the entire world is telling you to keep it. So listen to them.
488. Kris Wease, Loogootee, IN, 47553  
The internet should be protected under the first amendment of the constitution,

freedom of speech.

489. John A, Washington, IN, 47501  
Net neutrality is an important asset that the government should get its stupid greedy fucking finger into. You don't need another way to fuck up our lives. Go to hell you greedy fucking pigs. Leave our internet alone.
490. Jon Givens, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
I support Net Neutrality and wish it to stay, there is no reason that the internet companies should be able to charge companies so i can access data. It is ludicrous and makes no sense. We are one of the more costly countries to have internet access and its also slower.
491. Dustin Stephens, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Net neutrality is important. Do not get rid of it.Dustin Stephens
492. Ronald Day, Clinton, IN, 47842  
Please keep it Neutral.
493. Mason Hernandez, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
Please allow Net Neutrality to stay as it is, the internet is an amazing place filled with supportive communities and creative content for all to partake in. Nothing about it should change.
494. Mitchell A Bumgarner, Evansville, IN, 47714  
Please DO NOT allow the cable companies to control the internet content, speeds, or access more than they already do. They have no interest in the people who use it, but only in themselves to find a way to make more money and turn it into more of a capitalist money machine. I don't mind paying for services I use and want. Which is why I still pay for cable TV, cell phone, a car, and many other services/items I enjoy in my life. However, if I had to pay every time I wanted to drive my car over 50mph, I would no longer opt to use my car. There are already many ways that the cable companies make incredible amounts of money, and this is NOT the way we should allow them to control the monopoly even more. Please, consider what this means if we allow them to do this. It's much larger than just "allowing them to make more money from internet traffic." It's setting a precedent for allowing, an already over-monopolized industry, to further its strength over its consumers. Along with that poor ethical behavior, it would also set a precedent that reflects how our government reacts to a delicate situation like this. It would show wholeheartedly that when enough money is involved, the government that is supposed to help regulate against this type of behavior, simply complies to the capitalist side of the argument rather than the ethical and logical side.
495. Ben Johnson, Vincennes, IN, 47591

Keep the internet open to all. Controlling content and access is not the governments job.

496. Matt Gerteisen, Evansville, IN, 47714  
I wish to ensure that Net Neutrality is maintained without corporate meddling. We need to ensure that these corporations are not given free reign to charge exorbitant prices for services that will not go without needing to be filled. With the way our society is quickly becoming more and more electronically driven, there is a pressing need to ensure that those who look to capitalize on this need with greedy intentions are swiftly rebuffed and kept in line. There needs to be an oversight by an impartial group that holds the consumer's best interests at heart, NOT the corporations.
497. Quade Harvey, Evansville, IN, 47712  
Removing net neutrality endangers the public's freedom to access information from sources they choose and trust. The reversal of current net neutrality safeguards will be a slippery slope in giving the power to the large corporations instead of the people.
498. Danielle Williams, Evansville, IN, 47714  
Information is essential to a free market economy. You must protect Net Neutrality if you want the US to retain its position on the global stage.
499. Charles Harner, Shoals, IN, 47581  
Net neutrality is important - I urge you to protect it. I don't want ISPs to be able to block content at a whim, solely because they either want me to look at their own content, or pay them extra for that blocked content. They would be able to give other sites an advantage, slowing down and speeding up sites as they see fit. America itself is about freedom - if the net neutrality rules were to be repelled, then what even is "freedom", at that point? It would be giving ISPs power and control over us - that's not freedom whatsoever. What about those who go to Online School? I'm disabled, so I cannot attend a public, offline college - I NEED to go to Online College if I want to continue my education. If these rules were to be repelled, then my education could go along with it. At the same time, it would kill the open marketplace; if companies can pay our ISPs to load their content faster, then small businesses can't compete. Additionally - ISPs could sensor political opinions that they don't like. What about any of this is American? This needs to be protected, for the privacy, freedom, education, and well-being of every single citizen in the United States of America.
500. Seth Lawrence, Evansville, IN, 47714  
We disagree with the repeal of Net Neutrality. We believe this will only make american consumers pay more to high profit ISP companies and allow for ISP's to block websites they don't agree with. This should not be a political issue.

This should be common since.

501. Brodie Mains, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
internets the only place people can be their true selves without worrying, its necessary.
502. Hayden Joseph Sorgenfrey, Evansville, IN, 47714  
If net neutrality is abolished the fight will move from the net to the streets and no one wants that.
503. Victoria Williams, Evansville, IN, 47711  
I believe I have the right of freedom to be able to go on any website because its the right of speech
504. John Rosenbalm, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
Net neutrality is absolutely vital to modern free speech in an internet connected society. The FCC must not give large internet service provider corporations the ability to throttle access or expression of political ideals. It would put us on par with Iran, Syria, China, and other regimes that control internet access of their subjects.
505. Alisha Moorhead, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
Net Neutrality for ALL. Corporations do not have a right to control how Citizens of a country view content. They CANNOT control speed variations due to income. To stop neutrality is a blight on democracy.
506. Joseph D Tong, Evansville, IN, 47712  
Dear FCCers, Please support the free and open Internet by backing Net Neutrality. The American people do not want Telecom companies to have the power to control or restrict the free flow of ideas and information, which is vital to scientific and cultural progress, free speech, and democracy. Such an important resource must be protected. Thank you.
507. Layne Matte, Evansville, IN, 47712  
No one entity should have control over the Internet, it should remain a moderately free space for creators everywhere.
508. Shawn DeWitt, Evansville, IN, 47712  
ISPs have already proven they will abuse any ounce of power they have to squeeze every last penny out of their customers. This will only increase their ability to do this
509. Thomas Mulderink, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
Freedom of internet is essential to free speech in the modern united states. Limiting/altering the way we access the internet to benefit corporations not only

is a insult to and abuse of the people of the USA it is actually hurting small businesses. Uphold net neutrality for the people of the USA rather than allowing companies like comcast and verizon to line their pockets while infringing on our freedoms.

510. Brandi Hess, Cannelton, IN, 47520  
Net Neutrality is very important. We must have a free and open internet. Do not allow ISPs to regulate traffic based on commercial interests.
511. Aaron Hellmann, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
Free the internet!!
512. Brandon Hoover, Evansville, IN, 47720  
As a web developer, I'm concerned that the neutrality protections will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. Please ensure that the flow of internet data is always neutral.
513. John Mason, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
Keep the internet free with strong Net Neutrality protections.
514. Bobby Houghton, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Free internet ect ect
515. Sue Behaggg, Evansville, IN, 47712  
Net neutrality is critical to the ability of the country to compete in a global economy. It is also critical for ordinary Americans in their day to day life. YUO WORK FOR US NOT COMCAST
516. Jason Provence, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
Please keep the internet open, free, and neutral. I'm not really even sure how someone can vote to pass this with a clear conscience.
517. Jaryn English, Terre Haute, IN, 47805  
Net Neutrality allows me to keep in tight contact with my friends who no longer live close to me. We come together throughout the week to chat on Curse and play online games together, like we did when we were kids. Without Net Neutrality I fear my time socializing and gaming with my far-away friends will not be possible. When I signed up for internet through TimeWarner, now Spectrum, I did so in order to gain access to e-mail, streaming/video services (Netflix, Hulu, Spotify, etc). I also wanted to be able to shop online from whomever I wanted. Without Net Neutrality, I understand that ISP will be able to push me towards certain stores and/or limit my shopping options. At my current address, I only have the option to purchase internet from Spectrum to reach speeds of >25Mb down and >3Mb up. If Net Neutrality is destroyed and Spectrum decides to discriminate against one or more websites/web services I

use, I will be forced to submit to their choices. At best, I would have to switch to DSL...assuming they are not also discriminating against said websites/web services...purchase a new modem and set up time to meet with the technician. This would then leave me with a \$100 modem (when it was new) that is no longer usable, not to mention worse internet

518. Dane Guthrie, Shelburn, IN, 47879

I live in a rural area that only has one ISP available to me. If large corporations get a hold on this basic utility, normal people would be bullied into paying an arm and leg for it or not taking it all together. In order for us to move forward, we need to make this readily available for everyone.

519. Joshua Ohmer, Evansville, IN, 47714

I support Net Neutrality, and urge you to continue treating Internet service as a public utility under Title II. Net Neutrality allows for fair competition and freedom of expression. What could be more American? Don't let huge corporations determine who can do what on the Internet that we pay to access.

520. Robert, Evansville, IN, 47710

Hello, my name is Robert, and I am a streamer on twitch.tv. Net neutrality has effectively made it impossible for me to stream, and I wish that the new rules will be revoked. This morning, I streamed for less than one hour because my internet was sluggish, and my audience couldn't watch me because it continuously buffered. I expect that several other streamers are effected by this as well, and we won't be able to share our laughs with our audience anymore. Switching my internet provider is near impossible for my family because there are very few sources that are reasonably priced for what they produce. I fear to think about other cities and towns that have only one internet provider, because they might have it worse than me. Monopolies will rise, and smaller companies will lose business - many people will lose their internet providers. I hope this will help the FCC see our point of view, as daily consumers of the internet, and I hope that this will change the FCC's position with these new set of rules.

521. Jill Blunk, Terre Haute, IN, 47803

Keep our internet free and neutral!! If providers are allowed to promote favorite websites of large organizations who "pay to play" (while pushing traffic away from-- or slowing load times for-- smaller organizations who choose not to pay); this hurts everyone! The rolling back of net neutrality rules opens the doors to limiting our access to valuable information, limits our access to the truth, and allows organizations to control what we see, read, know, share, and learn. In a free society, information should remain free and easily accessible, so as to promote an informed global community.

522. Brenda Henderson, Terre Haute, IN, 47805



Preserve existing FCC net neutrality.

523. Bradley Prickett, Huntingburg, IN, 47542  
The internet should remain a free place
524. Logan T Ross, Evansville, IN, 47714  
Keep the internet neutral. Fuck the FCC. Removing not protecting our first amendment rights since 1934.
525. Derrick Bowman, Terre Haute, IN, 47804  
I am in support of Net Neutrality. It is important for a successful continuation of this vital public utility. Everyone is entitled to the same internet regardless of the bandwidth or company they purchase access from. Companies should never have a say in what site a person can go to with their internet access.
526. Scott Willis, Petersburg, IN, 47567  
By ending net neutrality, the FCC is paving the way for increased barriers to access for new companies and entrepreneurs. Giving ISPs the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest will absolutely hurt American ingenuity by limiting the ability of internet startups to compete with the established players. The global dominance of our tech sector would be threatened by the end of net neutrality and that it would be a grave mistake. A history of net neutrality infringements from freepress.  
MADISON RIVER: In 2005, North Carolina ISP Madison River Communications blocked the voice-over-internet protocol (VOIP) service Vonage. Vonage filed a complaint with the FCC after receiving a slew of customer complaints. The FCC stepped in to sanction Madison River and prevent further blocking, but it lacks the authority to stop this kind of abuse today. COMCAST: In 2005, the nation's largest ISP, Comcast, began secretly blocking peer-to-peer technologies that its customers were using over its network. Users of services like BitTorrent and Gnutella were unable to connect to these services. 2007 investigations from the Associated Press, the Electronic Frontier Foundation and others confirmed that Comcast was indeed blocking or slowing file-sharing applications without disclosing this fact to its customers. TELUS: In 2005, Canada's second-largest telecommunications company, Telus, began blocking access to a server that hosted a website supporting a labor strike against the company. Researchers at Harvard and the University of Toronto found that this action resulted in Telus blocking an additional 766 unrelated sites. AT&T: From 2007-2009, AT&T forced Apple to block Skype and other competing VOIP phone services on the iPhone. The wireless provider wanted to prevent iPhone users from using any application that would allow them to make calls on such "over-the-top" voice services. The Google Voice app received similar treatment from carriers like AT&T

when it came on the scene in 2009. WINDSTREAM: In 2010, Windstream Communications, a DSL provider with more than 1 million customers at the time, copped to hijacking user-search queries made using the Google toolbar within Firefox. Users who believed they had set the browser to the search engine of their choice were redirected to Windstream's own search portal and results. MetroPCS: In 2011, MetroPCS, at the time one of the top-five U.S. wireless carriers, announced plans to block streaming video over its 4G network from all sources except YouTube. MetroPCS then threw its weight behind Verizon's court challenge against the FCC's 2010 open internet ruling, hoping that rejection of the agency's authority would allow the company to continue its anti-consumer practices. PAXFIRE: In 2011, the Electronic Frontier Foundation found that several small ISPs were redirecting search queries via the vendor Paxfire. The ISPs identified in the initial Electronic Frontier Foundation report included Cavalier, Cogent, Frontier, Fuse, DirecPC, RCN and Wide Open West. Paxfire would intercept a person's search request at Bing and Yahoo and redirect it to another page. By skipping over the search service's results, the participating ISPs would collect referral fees for delivering users to select websites. AT&T, SPRINT and VERIZON: From 2011-2013, AT&T, Sprint and Verizon blocked Google Wallet, a mobile-payment system that competed with a similar service called Isis, which all three companies had a stake in developing. EUROPE: A 2012 report from the Body of European Regulators for Electronic Communications found that violations of Net Neutrality affected at least one in five users in Europe. The report found that blocked or slowed connections to services like VOIP, peer-to-peer technologies, gaming applications and email were commonplace. VERIZON: In 2012, the FCC caught Verizon Wireless blocking people from using tethering applications on their phones. Verizon had asked Google to remove 11 free tethering applications from the Android marketplace. These applications allowed users to circumvent Verizon's \$20 tethering fee and turn their smartphones into Wi-Fi hot spots. By blocking those applications, Verizon violated a Net Neutrality pledge it made to the FCC as a condition of the 2008 airwaves auction. AT&T: In 2012, AT&T announced that it would disable the FaceTime video-calling app on its customers' iPhones unless they subscribed to a more expensive text-and-voice plan. AT&T had one goal in mind: separating customers from more of their money by blocking alternatives to AT&T's own products. VERIZON: During oral arguments in Verizon v. FCC in 2013, judges asked whether the phone giant would favor some preferred services, content or sites over others if the court overruled the agency's existing open internet rules. Verizon counsel Helgi Walker had this to say: "I'm authorized to state from my client today that but for these rules we would be exploring those types of arrangements." Walker's admission might have gone unnoticed had she not repeated it on at least five separate occasions during arguments. Scott Willis

527. Shawn Smith, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Fuck YouDo Your JOB and protect the internet.
528. Tim Elliott, Evansville, IN, 47715  
5424326977353867Tim Elliott
529. Joseph Bretz, Evansville, IN, 47712  
Keep net neutrality. The internet should be an unbiased source of knowledge and productivity for all people; service providers should not be allowed to stand in the way of the user's right to open access. Make the right call.
530. Neil Heidorn, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
I am the IT Manager for a small non-standard auto insurance company in southwestern Indiana. Competing with companies many times our size was only possible because we have an open and equal internet. The stability of any insurance market relies on having a variety of coverage offerings from a wide field of competitors. If the largest insurance carriers were allowed to buy up access, the entire market could falter.
531. Sam Coulter, Evansville, IN, 47720  
Please reconsider your plans to end the upcoming net neutrality regulations under Title II. At the moment, millions of people in our country only have one ISP to choose from and will be subject to any fallout should ISPs choose to throttle the speeds of certain services such as Netflix, Google, Amazon Prime, etc as they have done in the past. This will be bad for consumers, it will be bad for content providers, and it will be bad for the FCC's reputation seeing as how people will know that it was your agency that ended up allowing it to happen. Here's what I suggest, as an alternative. Let the regulation go into effect with the express purpose of looking into it again in 10 years or so. In that time frame the FCC's goal will be to ensure that more people have access to multiple ISP's should one company choose to behave poorly. This will give the free market a chance to mitigate the problem naturally, and the regulation will no longer be needed in the future. I'm sure many are upset about this whole scenario, please consider my alternative suggestion as a helpful way to avoid harming customers now, and helping them in the future.
532. Elijah Simpson, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
We can not take this step backwards and give unnecessary power to ISP's so they can tell us how we can and can not use the freedom we have on the internet.
533. Caleb Williams, Terre Haute, IN, 47804  
The internet is an open place and should only be lightly governed.
534. Cody Daugherty, Evansville, IN, 47725

Originally our government was made for the people, by the people. That's the purpose of any governing body, to uphold the best interests of its citizens and express their opinions on how things should work. As soon as that governing body starts to work, not to represent its citizens, but instead the individual members of that body, and for their profit, the body has failed to do its purpose. They have failed their civic duty to their fellow citizens, because even those in charge must follow the laws. \*cough cough Hillary cough\*Cody Daugherty

535. Blake Arnold, Evansville, IN, 47711

The internet is and has been a neutral place, we have the right to not be controlled by these isp's and their data caps and costly fee's. Please do the right thing.

536. Barton E Heath, Newburgh, IN, 47630

There is nothing wrong with the internet, I have never heard anyone complain about it's fairness, availability or administration. When you have people offering to fix something that isn't broken their intentions need to be inspected with suspicion. Leave the internet as it stands now, free and fair.

537. Brandon Roettger, Jasper, IN, 47546

The internet has brought many family members together through Facebook, and helped me with my college classes. Internet must remain open and accessible to everyone, there is no reason it should be controlled by our ISP. I demand that the internet stay open to all, it helped the world stay connected and brought people together for great causes. No matter if someone is on their home computer or mobile device it shouldn't matter. I have very limited options in my area and the result is extremely high prices. I feel that the FCC needs to have the ability to prevent these kinds of actions and keep the internet affordable for all. Please hear our plea and keep our internet open to all. Thank you, Brandon Roettger

538. Brandon Woods, Evansville, IN, 47710

Please keep the internet fair. Keep Net Neutrality.

539. Miles Coffin, Terre Haute, IN, 47802

Net Neutrality is extremely important in upholding a fair and open internet for businesses and citizens who seek to thrive there and enjoy every benefit it has to offer. The internet has passed the point of being something that can be held in a few people's grasp to control. It is a driving force that connects everyone across the world. It is a free market in itself that has every right to exist. It is a necessity that ensures we, as a species and a nation, continue to grow and innovate in ways that were not possible before. If we let the internet be controlled by a select few then we assure that a good portion of our growth and innovation comes only at the whim of them and them alone. Sometimes,

regulation is a necessity. This is one of the necessary ones.

540. Net Neutrality, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Keep net neutrality without filtering or tampering by anyone.
541. Net Neutrality, Brazil, IN, 47834  
Dear FCC,I'm not sure how to write these kinds of things but I will do my best. My name is Aaron Sapp, an avid internet user who uses it in almost ever facet of my life. And I implore you to keep the rules as is. Don't treat the internet like its cheese, don't hash it out to the people 'you deem best' and leave the others in the dust. Don't prioritize some websites and others just because they don't agree with your views. And for the love of all that is holy please don't do this anymore when we stop you guys again. It's worrying that you guys would rather treat the internet like a product than a base for the entire human race to make loving and worthwhile connections. Regards,Aaron
542. Desiree Neighbors, BRUCEVILLE, IN, 47516  
I want access to the whole internet
543. Rachel Sash, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
The Internet is a right. It is how we spread information globally and it works to make change happen. The United States had a tremendous part in the birth of the Internet, so why would we take it away? Why damage your own creation? Why strip it of its ability to work and work well? It is immoral to destroy Net Neutrality. Why hurt Americans for profit? Please, I ask you to consider who you value more: the companies who destroy American livelihood or the American people? Information is important; connecting to others is important; Net Neutrality is important.
544. Chris Byrne, Evansville, IN, 47713  
keep net neutrality! be fair for all don't let money sway you
545. Devin Harris, Santa Claus, IN, 47579  
I don't even think that having net neutrality should be a question in a free country....
546. Crystal Short, Evansville, IN, 47710  
Really? Trying to restrict the internet again? Just...stop.
547. lol, Evansville, IN, 47710  
lolololol
548. Daegan Allen Caine, Clinton, IN, 47842  
The internet is the place where people are free. It should stay as america is, the land of the free.China's internet is blocked, and they,as far as I know, are

communistic, so.

549. Aaron C Holland, Fort Branch, IN, 47648  
I can't believe this is actually trying to be a thing, talk about infringing on American's rights!
550. Creighton Wolfe, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
Commenting to ensure that we stick to Net Neutrality and Title 2. Furthermore, lets make Internet a Utility. Problem solved. :)
551. Gabe Cassala, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
The United States is a country founded on the freedom of consciousness and choice. The internet has become a level of consciousness that allows us to experience life in a totally different way. It allows us to travel and communicate in ways that have never been possible before, and will not be possible again if the powers at be continue to try and impose their will. We must maintain the freedom that this country was created for.
552. Restore Internet Freedom, Vincennes, IN, 47591  
Don't take my freedom!!
553. lol, Evansville, IN, 47710  
lol memes
554. Hunter Maikranz, FORT BRANCH, IN, 47648  
I want net neutrality to stay the way it is.
555. Sean Alan Bybee, Mount Pleasant, IN, 47520  
I support strong and enforceable policy guaranteeing the protection of net neutrality. Dismantling these protections will allow ISPs the freedom to control what we see on the internet. Not only is this an attack on the free speech and expression of US citizens, but it would stifle competition among technology companies. Rolling back these protections will allow for behavior that is restrictive to individuals and harmful to companies.
556. Ross Elliott, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
The concept of net neutrality is a vital part of the American life. It benefits all individuals regardless of their socioeconomic standing and is an underestimated factor in economic growth. Please protect net neutrality!
557. Carmen Wickware, TERRE HAUTE, IN, 47803  
I recently obtained a degree completely online. Now I am working remotely for a university and need frequent, if not constant, access to the internet. If these rules are eradicated there will be an even larger number of people who can't afford to go to school. Additionally, the collaborative efforts of those who don't

work in the same geographical area will be drastically reduced. It should not be the case that those who have money receive more opportunities.

558. Alex Doyle, Bloomfield, IN, 47424  
Big companies do not have the right to filter what I see and view on the internet service that I pay for.
559. Darrell Gregory II, Lyons, IN, 47443  
Keep the internet free from paid priority access
560. Ryan Wagner, Princeton, IN, 47670  
The internet should be open and free to allow smaller businesses to thrive.
561. Matthew Summers, Evansville, IN, 47715  
We believe the internet to be an open space in which communities, businesses, and people can share and use for the betterment of humanity. Repealing Net Neutrality will be detrimental in that it will stifle competition and creativity. Please do not repeal net neutrality and allow us all to share the same internet without artificial limits placed solely for the sake of profit and greed.
562. Derik Falls, Wadesville, IN, 47638  
We need net neutrality Derik Falls
563. Austin Pace, Chandler, IN, 47610  
Land of the free. Enough said.
564. Kyle Nisswandt, Evansville, IN, 47715  
I am a professional web developer that creates websites and applications for businesses and tasks of all shapes and sizes. My livelihood depends on a neutral internet.
565. Shane A. Morrison, Gosport, IN, 47433  
Cable and phone companies provide access to the Internet. They're telecommunications carriers. They do not (and should not) have the right to censor or slow down my speech and my access to online content. When I use my broadband service, I decide who I communicate with and what information I transmit. I want the FCC to retain the ability to stop my Internet service provider from interfering with my communications choices. The courts have already told the FCC that to do this, ISPs must remain under Title II. I am urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai and the rest of the commission to preserve real Net Neutrality rules and keep Title II in place for broadband Internet access! Undoubtedly and unequivocally, real net neutrality rules, under and governed only by Title II regulations, is a First Amendment issue—it is an American, democratic issue! Anything else is a violation of every American's First Amendment rights.

566. Logan Boyd, Evansville, IN, 47720

The internet is only one single object. It is to be shared with all and not to be controlled by any one government, company, or organization. It should be the place that anyone can go to and share what they want and be able to have it viewed by anyone at any time no matter what. This is the one and only thing that is in this world that keeps everyone connected and by restricting access and allowing people to control the way the internet works you are essentially creating a divide among humanity. Which is not something that needs to happen. We need to and we deserve to know what goes on at any part of the world and be able to search for things freely without being restricted. Do not allow corporation greed to cloud the one medium that allows everyone to express themselves.

567. Richard Ortiz, Evanvsille, IN, 47714

Net Neutrality is a fundamental piece of the internet. To put up the groundwork for ISPs to block, limit, or otherwise restrict individuals from ANY website that they don't agree with, whether it's strategic or not, is not right. It WILL cripple numerous start-up businesses and other potential on the web, and the damage would never be fully repairable. Whenever you \*eventually\* hit a roadblock with your ISP restrictions without net neutrality, people would instruct them to switch their service. That isn't plausible for a lot of people that there is one overarching internet provider. The last THREE places I've lived in the state of Indiana only offered one viable internet provider. Being able to "just switch" will leave thousands of not millions of people frustrated. But all that can be avoided if you just KEEP net neutrality. Because if net neutrality is taken down, then I know a lot of people that will struggle.

568. Internet, Evansville, IN, 47713

Many many websites are going to be destroyed, we need to stand up

569. Aubrey, Ferdinand, IN, 47532

I believe that the internet should remain completely free for public consumption and should not be curbed

570. Katherine Solecki, Evansville, IN, 47711

Please support net neutrality. Title II must be retained and supported.

571. Tyler, Evansville, IN, 47725

I believe that the internet much like the regular market should be legislated on a fair platform free from influence by regulatory bias. The Internet should be available in all aspects to all individuals without exception. If those privileges are then abused punishment should follow the abuse NOT be leveled prior to the commission of a crime.

572. Bethany Alloway, Evansville, IN, 47715



Please keep Net Neutrality. Since the Internet was created it has been a place of freedom for everyone to express their views, beliefs, and services to a wide range of audiences. Though some people's contributions aren't too great, it should be up to the people to decide what content they wish to view and all content should be on the same playing field, To give companies the power to throttle internet services based on whether or not the company paid them money would be a disservice to all consumers. It hinders the idea of a free market, it really straddles the line between freedom of speech and censorship, and ultimately gives a few companies control over how many people view the world around them. Many people rely on the internet for their news, their TV, and how they get information about events and businesses around them. If Net Neutrality is gone, it will hurt small businesses, and it will hurt people's access to information. Even if the companies say they won't abuse the power, if they change their mind, they'll have legal footing which will take much longer to fight or repeal. Please keep Net Neutrality.

573. Edward Bernard, Evansville, IN, 47714

Please preserve the current laws and regulations to keep the internet open and preserve net neutrality.

574. Justin Kietzman, Evansville, IN, 47714

As an avid user of the internet in my daily life for work and leisure, I urge the FCC to support Net Neutrality. I consistently use the internet for VPN into work. Without net neutrality, I could be forced to pay additional costs for this ability or blocked completely. This could force me to relocate or have to find a new career, both of which are unacceptable. Digital media has also become ingrained into daily life. News, movies, music...all could be throttled or block on the tastes of the ISP, not the user. This means impacting the spread of information from world news and events to simply current trends or opinions. This is against our core principles as a country and can not be allowed. Please protect Net Neutrality and keep regulations ensuring all providers are providing fair, open, and unrestricted access to the internet and all it has to offer. Anything less is akin to supporting censorship.

575. Tanner Michael Latta, Terre Haute, IN, 47802

I am sending this message to you in an attempt to lobby against the intent to limit and eliminate net neutrality. The internet should not be biased toward any one person and all of the educational and eye opening benefits the internet has to offer should be accessible towards everyone. Thank you for your time and I hope you have a good day.

576. Kory Clearwater, jasper, IN, 47546

i am an avid netflix, video game player, and user of the internet in general while being an internet developer. I believe that it is ridiculous that there might be a

day where i am being told what i can use by a price tag. The fact that this is an actual thing we still have to argue and petition for is the most unethical, un-american issue i have dealt with in my 26 years on this earth. Please keep america, america, and repeal.

577. FCC, Terre Haute, IN, 47807

The Internet is a free open place for anyone to view anything they wish to try and view. By Prioritizing and Throttling specific sites the ISPs wants, this no longer makes the internet a free and Nuetural Place to view what the user wishes. The Internet is for everyone's use to do whatever they want. Lets keep it that way

578. Joshua Uduehi, Evansville, IN, 47712

Please public oppose the repeal of Title II services as part of neutrality. Please maintain net neutrality.

579. Harold Leon Seifers III, Terre Haute, IN, 47805

Do I really need to explain why this is a bad idea?

580. Devin Strange, Evansville, IN, 47715

Net Neutrality should be saved so that the people of the world will not be censored.

581. Jack P, Terre haute, IN, 47803

The internet needs to remain free from corporations being able to nickel and dime the everyday consumer. If anyone is able to dictate what websites people can and cannot go to based solely on how much they are paying every month the internet is as good as dead, which is wasting the greatest technological feat of the past ~100 years.

582. Ben Sarabia, Evansville, IN, 47715

net neutrality needs to be protected.

583. martin, Evansville, IN, 47711

Does everything have to be about money? The internet works just fine. Please don't \_\_\_\_\_ around and ruin it.-thank you

584. Christopher Courvelle, Newburgh, IN, 47630

Dismantling Net Neutrality and allowing ISPs to have control of the internet is absolutely un-American as it destroys the chances for startups to gain ground in an ever changing market.

585. James McDowell, Evansville, IN, 47715

The Open Internet Rules (Net Neutrality Rules) are necessary to keep the use of the Internet equal among all of its users . I strongly oppose giving Internet

Service Providers the power to determine how a person is able to use their access to the Internet. I fear the outcome of repealing Net Neutrality would result in forms of censorship. I urge you to protect and keep the Net Neutrality Rules.

586. Nicholas Williams, Evansville, IN, 47712

Allowing for net neutrality is the basic principles of the internet itself. By giving ISP's control of neutrality, it eliminates the reason the internet was created in the first place. The internet was created in order to give people access to others around the world. If ISP's create the internet in their image, the connections to others will no longer exist. Instead, power should be taken away from ISP's, especially, since the United States has one of the worst internet services in the world.

587. Kyle Dougherty, Evansville, IN, 47715

The government regulates everything, why do they believe they can regulate the internet? You realize the internet is a service provided by private companies and isn't even considered an essential utility like water or electric. The internet is like walking outside and yelling at your neighbor, its a network of communication and you do not get to control communication. If this passes we're no better than China or North Korea.

588. Nathan Pavey, Terre Haute, IN, 47803

If internet providers in the United States are allowed to regulate the internet experience of the public, we will be losing a fundamental tool of the information age. As it stands many communities currently have few options for internet, and competition in the industry is minimal. Losing net neutrality will compound this problem.

589. Kyler Baggett, Evansville, IN, 47714

I strongly disagree with this. It would give corporations too much power to charge more. It could lead to more monopolies.

590. Drew Deeg, Evansville, IN, 47715

Saves the internet

591. Zachary McCloud, Terre Haute, IN, 47807

We shouldn't be restricted on our internet privileges. We have rights that cannot be encroached upon and invading our neutrality while visiting various websites is an invasion of those rights that we have.

592. Paul Crowell, Terre Haute, IN, 47802

Allowing companies to auction the bandwidth to the highest bidder is not conducive to an open and free society. A company or government that controls the flow of information to the populace is a step down the path of

totalitarianism. Net Neutrality is essential to an open and free society.

593. Jacob G., Newburgh, IN, 47630

"Why cant we have nice things. Why cant things just be nice!" -Kyle Kinane

594. Tobias Brown, Evansville, IN, 47715

I have friends across state lines and across seas and I depend on an open internet platform to communicate with them. I rely on net neutrality to make purchases and sell my own goods to people both locally and far away. To the bigger point: net neutrality is essential for every person in the free market to decide where they take their commerce and spend their leisure time. The FCC's responsibility should begin and end with making sure every individual is protected from predatory companies and malicious individuals seeking to pervert an open and accessible information sharing system. The market for broadband Internet is already severely limited by the number of Internet Service Providers and the markets they have cornered. To end net neutrality is to give them even more power over who gets access to what information, and how quickly and efficiently such information is relayed. I demand access to the entire Internet, whether I am on my phone, in a public library, or on my personal computer.

595. Jacob Cmapbell, Boonville, IN, 47601

Please for the love of God we do not need government interference with the internet. It is a place of free exchange and not a place of mandated rules and regulations.

596. Hayden Hillenbrand, Newburgh, IN, 47630

Abolishing net neutrality will serve only to make providers even more money that they don't need while everyone else will suffer drastically from the effects.

597. Nicholas Calderone, Evansville, IN, 47715

Please keep net neutrality. As a website owner/operator, overturning net neutrality would literally cripple my website and likely force me to close down a site that Iâ€™ve spent the past 5 years of my life building.

598. Sean, Bowling Green, IN, 47833

The freedom for people to view what they want, when they want and how they want on the internet when they are online should by no means be impeded in any way. Whether it is thru direct means of slowing connections to certain areas of the internet or thru indirect means via use of additional ads that promote an unwanted product or ads that will force extra windows to be brought up on your computer. The use of the internet is free will, for both communication; This being in the First Amendment for freedom of speech, also being protected by our right to knowledge protected underneath the freedom of information act. Impeding or hindering any of our activities by allowing internet providers to control what we see and do on the internet is unconstitutional and unethical in

that we are not to be controlled by another human being without so much as a say in it. Our right to privacy would be infringed upon and our rights to freedom of religion, education, press, and speech all are heavily affected when our internet usage is limited by what a company wants us to see or do on "their" internet.

599. David Adams, Boonville, IN, 47601

If history has shown us anything it is that strict control and regulation only serve to empower those who perform the acts that are being regulated. We do not want to set fire to an already smoldering haystack, there are better ways to go about this.

600. Tyler Logsdon, evansville, IN, 47711

FIGHT THE MAN?

601. Avanish Subbiah, Newburgh, IN, 47630

The internet requires net neutrality to remain open and free for all content creators!

602. Ian C. Woolsey, Evansville, IN, 47714

The open internet is home to millions every day. The proposed legislation will displace many fantastic people and create many more issues than it has the ability to solve. The free exchange of ideas is the #1 evolutionary trait that has allowed humans to create and overcome this far. To stop that now would be criminal. There are much better ways to create a safer, faster internet for everyone. Please contact me if you'd like help with a better solution.

603. Daniel, Newburgh, IN, 47630

Leave Net Neutrality as it is, dont change it, dont do anything to it, it's fine as it is.

604. Dana Hedge, Oakland City, IN, 47660

The Internet should be a utility, and should remain a Title Two entity. Neutrality is the best thing for Americans, and for business, keeping the bones of Free Market Capitalism standing by giving everyone a chance, and business should not be allowed to buy their way to superiority. Leave Net Neutrality in place.

605. Terry Conners, Evansville, IN, 47713

The internet needs to remain free and open access.

606. Elijah Carlson, Spencer, IN, 47460

The internet has helped to bridge me with friends new and old, to expand my horizons and offer me new perspectives on the world. Please preserve net neutrality for not only myself, but millions of people both today and in the

future.

607. Brandon Spriggs, Mount Vernon, IN, 47620  
Net neutrality is a must. It protects the consumer from unfair actions on the part of internet service providers. To allow a company to dictate what you can and cannot access online is extremely unfair, and unwarranted.
608. joseph begle, Lincoln City, IN, 47552  
The internet needs to have free access, and I support this.
609. Ben, Evansville, IN, 47715  
Net neutrality is the single most important aspect of the internet. The internet was designed for freedom of thought and expression. We need the net to stay neutral for our tech culture to have a fighting chance. Don't ruin the internet!
610. Jamye Wilson, Evansville, IN, 47725  
KEEP Net Neutrality in place. Our country has long recognized the right to privacy, this should be no different. Protect our intellectual freedom by protecting and upholding Net Neutrality.
611. Teresa Layman, Jasper, IN, 47546  
Net neutrality is so important. It's one of the few ways that the consumer is really truly protected. Letting companies add restrictions is like a phone company deciding you can only make 20 calls a month, and only to other phones they serve. Being able to roam the web freely is important in many ways, anything from looking up a doctor's phone number, to watching a video to learn a new trade or skill via online education media. Please don't take it away.
612. Stephen Horning, Evansville, IN, 47710  
The internet was built on the free exchange of information. You can't take it away even if you wanted to. And you know it.
613. Kelly Weaver, Evansville, IN, 47714  
The internet does not belong to anyone.. it belongs to everyone!
614. Natasha Tepley, terre haute, IN, 47805  
My line of work requires consulting dozens of nobody sources online a week. Slow down those sources, you slow down my product development. Slow down product development, break the economy
615. Juan Loyola, Evansville, IN, 47715  
I am a foreigner under a working visa in the US and I use Skype and other telecommunication software to connect with friends and family back home. I don't want my ISP to tell me which content is throtttable, especially when I'm

not using content that relates to their services.

616. Dirk Suits, Mount Vernon, IN, 47620

I believe that internet use should not be determined by a company, my family canceled our use of cable companies because we felt they had nothing to offer us. This roll back threatens our economic ability to enjoy our entertainment sources we have kept, I.E. Netflix, Hulu, and YouTube, by allowing that same company to determine what content we can view at what speed. Thus tightening their chokeholds, and creating an unfair market. New projects cannot thrive if the old market refuses to allow them, which they will because all they can do is lose money as new projects evolve past them, they cannot adapt so they have sought to rule the market, by forcing government institutions to enforce their will. In short this is blatant corruption, and I beg you to not enforce this so that our media may evolve in new ways.

617. save the internet, Brazil, IN, 47834

The internet needs to be an open resource for all to use

618. Harley Hardy, Bicknell, IN, 47512

I believe that Net Neutrality should stay in place. Most people in my area wouldn't be able to afford to keep their internet connected if ISPs were allowed to charge arbitrary prices.

619. Jonatha Piazza, Newburgh, IN, 47630

I'm an artist, writer, musician, and cook, and I use the platform of the internet to reach out to customers, consumers, friends, family, to learn and find resources for furthering my education, to expand accessibility to hand-made and hand-created things that otherwise are barely supported in my hometown. Creators need consumers and consumers need creators - it's a symbiotic relationship of support and love that the internet contributes to in a huge, huge way. There are so many people out there, including myself, who would have no chance at following their passions and dreams without this resource, and so many more who would have no idea or way to support these people they love, doing the things that they love. We need an open internet.

620. Derek Dayhuff, Terre Haute, IN, 47803

The government is owned by corporations and this proceeding is evidence of that. A truly free society cannot allow ISPs to prioritize content based on how much money the content provider paid to a corporation. It would be unjust that a cable company that owns a news network could block other news networks that have differing (possibly more honest) information. The fact that myself and many other Americans have to take time out of our lives to inform the FCC of this is pathetic. Also, the FCC turning a blind-eye to ISPs using falsified information stating their customers want to end net neutrality is disgusting.

621. Tia Edwards, Huntingburg, IN, 47542  
Repealing net neutrality supports internet companies in bottlenecking speeds and connections, which allows them to be deceitful when they claim you receive x amount of MB down when really you won't ever receive those numbers, especially if they dislike another company or service and want to limit the traffic those services/sites get. Net neutrality also keeps the government and companies from censoring information online. Repealing net neutrality is a step towards what China is today - with heavy censorship online because of what the government does and doesn't want you to know or see.
622. Alexander Bassemier, Evansville, IN, 47712  
As a first world country we need to fight to keep strong net neutrality laws. If the ISPs are allowed to change the way that we use the internet then this will strongly block the flow of free information in the United States. Our people need to be able to access the information on the internet to grow as a people and this bill would stifle that access. The bill in question would ruin the internet for the people of the United States and only harm our people's ability to learn and thrive as a society. The internet is very important to me and most of my peers and we would see it as a slap in the face if it were changed in this way, so please vote no on destroying net neutrality.
623. Richard Miller, Vincennes, IN, 47591  
Yeah....
624. Brittany, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
Keep the Internet free and open. Protect net neutrality.
625. Aaron Wheeler, Rockport, IN, 47635  
We all are entitled to freedom including with the internet.
626. Shaun Seifert, Evansville, IN, 47715  
To the FCC, I am writing this comment to express my strong support of upholding net neutrality. It's a simple policy that's good for both consumers and small business - repealing net neutrality rules simply benefits those already at the top. It hurts consumers by muddying the waters of what they are receiving. Already, consumers have little choice and would have even less without net neutrality.
627. Callen Lowe, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
We should have the right to do whatever we want on the internet, blocking websites or slowing down sites that are not "approved" by an ISP is just crazy.
628. Kaley Kiper, Lynnville, IN, 47619  
We deserve our freedoms in this day and age. Those oppressing net neutrality are the attackers here. We deserve to visit the websites that we wish to visit



when and how we want without intervention of the government. As long as we are not hurting anyone or perpetuating horrible behaviors then we are not in the wrong. Plus, allowing us this small freedom will only help you in the future. Businesses want profit ? Don't anger the people you're doing business with and perhaps you'll earn some. The RIGHT way.

629. Jeremy Haney, Tell City, IN, 47586  
No Company should be able to privatize information. This is a door way to censorship and darker still propaganda. Keep the Net Free.
630. Brian Lott, Evansville, IN, 47725  
The Internet is something that needs to stay as free open as possible. I support net neutrality and title II. ISPs shouldn't control the Internet.
631. Alex t, Sullivan, IN, 47882  
The internet should always remain a neutral entity. Freedom of speech is at stake with Net Neutrality being done away with. We deserve unfiltered, unbiased internet that isn't controlled by conglomerates. no one should be able to throttle net speeds in order to push their product. NO ONE! should ever have that unjust power.
632. Eric Lusk, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
Freedom of information - need I say more?
633. Jacob Benjamin Mitchell, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
I absolutely support net neutrality and frankly cannot and pray I do not have to subjugate myself to an internet without it.
634. Neel Jareth, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
Keep Net Neutrality. It is a very important part of an open and free Internet, and it is disgusting to think people would take it away.
635. umsh jatn, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
Keep Net Neutrality. It is a very important part of an open and free Internet, and it is disgusting to think someone would want to take it away.
636. Jason Begle, Evansville, IN, 47725  
The internet isn't just used for entertainment, but allows for the world to be connected through a system that is truly unimaginable. As a student in college about to graduate, the internet has proven itself to be a necessary tool in current society. If this proceeding were to pass, everything in current society would take a step backwards in innovation. No longer would I be able to pay bills reliably online. No longer would I be able to do research for a school project. No longer would I be able to watch a tutorial on home improvements. No longer would I be able to communicate with family and friends who have moved away.

No longer would I be able to look at news across the globe. No longer would I be able to live in a first world lifestyle. Companies would take advantage of me. My free speech could be silenced. My online business could be destroyed. The United States would become isolated unless net neutrality remains. This proceeding is one created for the sole purpose of greed. Do not be fooled. There would be nothing stopping the two ISP's in my area from agreeing to higher my internet prices. I fervently disagree with this proceeding and any other proceedings that harm net neutrality.

637. Michael Tunny, Evansville, IN, 47714  
Net Neutrality is good. Throttling is bad. Pretty easy.
638. Trent, Vincennes, IN, 47591  
the internet is one of the few ways people can freely express themselves! do not unreasonably limit it.Trent
639. Isaiah Hagemeyer, Shoals, IN, 47581  
Getting rid of net neutrality would be a blatant violation of our constitutional rights of freedom of speech and press. What are we North Korea? Come on, you know what is right and what is wrong here, don't make us into another third world country.
640. robin leffel, evansville, IN, 47711  
I need access to my internet. Communication has evolved and i can now speak with my friends across the globe freely about things that we enjoy at any time on any website. The internet is a freedom I think everyone should be able to enjoy and decide for themselves or their families what's "good or bad". It's also a point of creativity! Human need outlets that let them express themselves in a form of art, and most "good" websites don't enjoy forms of art that a lot of people can make. I grew up in a time where the world has been explored but science cant let me enjoy the universe. so atleast let me delve into the depths of the vast internet to quench my thirst.
641. Brandon Rudolph, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
Removing net neutrality only benefits large corporations, which are not the people. The internet is a public resource for use by all.
642. Betty Wallace, Bloomfield, IN, 47424  
I care about the open internet and competition online. Please keep net neutrality!!!
643. Riley, Evansville, IN, 47714  
~Save the open internet~
644. Jacob Crane, Evansville, IN, 47711

Please protect net neutrality.Thank you!Jacob Crane

645. Matthew Mcleish, Clinton, IN, 47842  
This is extremely important for anyone who uses the internet, whether business or individual.
646. Randall Gaiser, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
Obama's Title II order has diminished broadband investment, stifled innovation, and left American consumers potentially on the hook for a new broadband tax. These regulations ended a decades-long bipartisan consensus that the Internet should be regulated through a light touch framework that made the Internet a remarkable engine of economic growth and free expression. I therefore strongly support fully repealing the Obama/Wheeler Internet regulations and urge the Senate to expeditiously confirm new FCC commissioners who are committed to restoring Internet freedom.If it ain't broke, don't try to fix it!, That's the creed we need to live by and for the government to stay out of private enterprise.
647. Sam Roundy, Mount Vernon, IN, 47620  
Please keep existing net neutrality protections and work to strengthen them.
648. Collin Briggs, Evansville, IN, 47725  
Don't remove Net Neutrality.
649. Net Neutrality, Jasper, IN, 47546  
I am writing this comment to bring awareness of how net neutrality affects my life. When I think of how I get my information on what goes on throughout the world, it's always through the web. The Internet to me, is the largest centralized source of conflicting opinions and facts that fuels my understanding of the world. And when I think of losing net neutrality, I think of a ranking system that considers some opinions , facts, or markets more valuable than others. This bothers me. I feel that prioritizing websites is dangerous, as it comparable to not reporting the important news. It is a way to control information that would be given out to the public on a massive scale. Please vote to enforce net neutrality and keep our web pure, free, and equal.
650. Alex Rahman, evansville, IN, 47710  
The federal government has no place regulating the internet, as soon as they get even a small foothold of control, what's stopping them from taking more? It's a slippery slope.
651. Aaron Weddle, Terre haute, IN, 47807  
I support a free and open internet and internet neutrality and you should to
652. Alex Mayo, Boonville, IN, 47601

i think blocking certain websites fir everyone would decrease internet usage

653. Arie Griffioen, Brazil, IN, 47834  
I need the whole internet to be free because I can't afford to pay extra to gain access to websites I need for work.
654. Matthias, Mt Vernon, IN, 47620  
Remimder that Title II is the best way to regulate ISP's. I like the Trump administration so far, dont go fucking it up with this
655. Andrew, Mount Vernon, IN, 47620  
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!!!! To let the big companies win and take down Net Neutrality is a Travesty!
656. 17-108, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
Repealing net neutrality is the worst decision possible. Do not repeal!
657. 17-108, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
Do not repeal net neutrality rules! Only totalitarian governments control what their citizens can see!
658. Jared Hipp, Haubstadt, IN, 47639  
I don't think ISPs should be able to restrict what we look at. Keep the internet rules the same.
659. net, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
We are a networked society, the amount of global communication we now have has progressed culture exponentially and by controlling what can and can't be said or created would effectively stifle the growth of societal awareness and knowledge.
660. Harvey Krantz, Chandler, IN, 47610  
Rolling back the current Internet Policies would only serve to encourage providers to take control of what we can see, read, and hear via the internet. Providers could make it financially prohibitive for the public to use the internet for its designed purpose of information sharing. Also, Internet Providers could also financially show favoritism to select providers of streaming services like HULU, NETFLIX, PANDORA, AMAZON PRIME, iTUNES, YOUTUBE, etc. Removing choice form the consumer via additional fees/charges for their choice of streaming services. The proposed rollback of current Policies prohibits choice via monetary gateways that would be allowed under the new rules. This is a bad choice for the public, a bad choice for our freedoms, and a bad choice for America.
661. Katherine R. Harmon, Petersberg, IN, 47567

I believe that ISPS should be regulated under Title II.

662. Pierre Moore, Evansville, IN, 47714  
The internet is a free place is a place where we share idea and express our where we entertain our self. Net Neutrality lets the net stay a free place where greedy people cant lower or just stop us from doing thing we love
663. Seth Cazel, Rockport, IN, 47635  
The Fact that large ISP's are doing this is horrible. They don't understand that if they do this, alot if people will not use that provider.Seth Cazel
664. FCC Proceeding, Fort Branch, IN, 47648  
Demand access to the entire internet free of paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling. There is only one internet and all rules must apply to your home and mobile connections equally. Let us make our on choices!
665. Collin McCarthy, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Please don't bend over for them goofy ISP's now.
666. Travis garrison, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Keep net neutralty in place!
667. Kathy Riordan, Mount Vernon, IN, 47620  
As an educator, I see great value in having free and unlimited access to the internet. By limiting access or charging for use, you will be disenfranchising many people who would not be able to afford the internet as a "service." Please keep the net neutral and open.Thank you
668. Net Neutrality, Evansville, IN, 47720  
The Internet is a powerful tool that almost everyone uses each and every day. Without the Net Neutrality laws, Internet Service Provider's would effectively be able to throttle (purposefully slow down) internet speeds for those who are unable to pay premiums. By keeping these laws in place, Internet Service Providers cannot slow down speeds for users who cannot pay the premium fees, and effectively protects Internet users nationwide. However, by repealing these laws, protected internet speeds will become a thing of the past and as such, American consumers will not be protected nor guaranteed fast internet speeds unless a fee is paid. If the Net Neutrality laws are repealed, the American public can and quickly will see just how close to monopolies Internet Service Providers truly are and will demand justice according to the Sherman Act of 1890, Clayton, and Federal Trade Commission Act's of 1914.
669. Gregory R Hinton, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
I want the internet to remain free. It is no longer a exceedingly rare thing to have, it is now a part of our lives. Giving ISP's the ability to take whatever rules

and powers they have against the people encourages power trips, and never once in a democracy has big company for any service or good ever constantly stay within their own borders. Power trips are rampant everywhere, and this just sets it up to get worst. Do the right thing, for the kids of tomorrow, and for the people of today.

670. Joseph Johnson Howland, Boonville, IN, 47601  
As an aspiring programmer and software designer I feel that the continuation of internet access as a title two utility is not only stridently important to my career, but to the entirety of the tech industry as a whole.
671. Brian Koczan, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
Please act to maintain net neutrality, which is central to a healthy and competitive internet economy.
672. Tylor Barron, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
I believe the internet should be a free space for all.
673. David Harper, Evansville, IN, 47714  
Limiting access may generate profits for a few but will hold the US back in the long run.
674. Andrew W Bunys, Evansville, IN, 47725  
Neutrality needs to remain. It should be left up to the individual to decide on what they want or do not want to see on the internet. A small amount of people should not be deciding for the majority when they only concern over their own personal views.
675. Net Neutrality, Evansville, IN, 47715  
Taking net neutrality away from the public is an extreme violation to the constitution's right to the freedom of press. Removing net neutrality would go against what the country is built upon by giving its citizens freedoms that they previously wouldn't have had. Removing net neutrality would slowly lead to us losing more and more freedoms that our country is built upon.
676. Heather M. Rayl, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
Supporting Title II (and maintaining net neutrality) is extremely important. Today, people who do not have access to the internet are at a severe disadvantage to those who do. While it may not have been in the past, access to the internet is now a utility, not a luxury. Allowing ISPs to throttle bandwidth according to who pays them (or not) is unjust. Allowing only the people who can afford to pay ISPs more money for unfettered access puts those who are already disadvantaged even more so. How would this effect our schools and public libraries? These are the places that any person in America can go to learn about the world, no matter their age, race, religion, sexual orientation, etc. To

maintain equal access to information (as equal as it can be in the unequal US), Title II must remain in place. Repealing it would put too much power in the hands of the corporate world. They could then decide which news service is worthy, which candidates are worthy, which media is worthy to receive the best bandwidths on their services. In order for the internet to remain a space that is for everyone, Title II must remain. The internet is a public space, not unlike roads and parks.

677. Mitchell Harvey, Terre Haute, IN, 47802

WE WILL NOT STAND FOR A NAZI REGIME NEUTRALITY FOR ALL,  
NEUTRALITY FOR THE INTERNET

678. Chad Reising, evansville, IN, 47712

We need the FCC to defend the rights of millions of Internet users by upholding net neutrality protections. The repeal of these regulations will undoubtedly lead to a censored internet. The internet has become the primary tool many people use to communicate and restricting it can be seen as nothing less than "abridging the freedom of speech". If an ISP can restrict usage, then they can filter communication and if they can filter communication then they are disturbing freedom of speech. The internet may well be seen as the greatest invention of the past millennia (not exaggerating) by our future generations. In it's current unrestricted form it has the power to connect people on a level that would not have been dreamed of 100 years ago. With freely shared ideas we can only guess at what truly connected world population could achieve. You have the ability to turn what could be a supremely transformative force into a historical footnote. Don't. Thanks for protecting Internet users like me by upholding the existing Title II net neutrality rules.

679. Mylaya Frank, Evansville, IN, 47711

I love my internets. I love to be able to go to amazon and buy things. My family doesn't have TV so we watch Netflix and Hulu together. Please don't take this away from us. It's our only Family Time in this age there isn't enough of that. I believe that the Internet should be for everyone. Open and freely accessible like water and air. Because these days you cannot get a Job without fast internet. And if my ISP favours USPS over UPS how are people supposed to get jobs if the pages won't load?

680. brian, Newburgh, IN, 47630

ISPs have should not engage in censorship of the internet.

681. Holly Osborne, Evansville, IN, 47714

Keep Net Neutrality, freedom to express oneself includes the internet too.  
Everyone deserves access to the entire internet.

682. Aaron Steele, Huntingburg, IN, 47542

Equal access to all sites is a right equal to promoting free speech.

683. Mitchel R Culver, Evansville, IN, 47720

I support net neutrality and keeping all data treated equally across all services.

684. Franco Bowden, Haubstadt, IN, 47639

Greetings Federal Communication Commission, I am 17 years old and I live in Southern Indiana, just north of Evansville. I use the internet every day, just like most everyone in the U.S does these days. I would consider myself a high-intake user, and an intelligent when it comes to the internet. But I won't sit here and try to write up some immaculate reasoning and logic that is impossible to agree with, because I find that repetitive and somewhat arrogant. I just want to give my personal thoughts and feelings for you to investigate and take into consideration. Internet Service Providers are businesses, big ones. And that's okay, because they're the ones who constantly service and provide the population with what has become a new civilized integration (the internet). At the end of the day though, they're still big business, and they want their big money. We all know they have lobbyists and big corporate influence, that's because of what they provide, and nothing else. The level of intricacy a business has shouldn't also add onto its own right to exist. My local car cleaning business has just as much of a right to exist as Verizon has, right? That's a part of the freedom this country is known for. My point being, companies now find themselves groveling at the feet of the FCC and Congress for more money, at no benefit to anyone but themselves and their friends. It sounds kind of like a child begging his mother for 100 PB&J sandwiches with no reason to provide those sandwiches to anyone but himself and a couple friends. Why should the mother have any reason to make that many sandwiches if she KNOWS her kid's intent? I hope you see what I'm getting at here. I should have also mentioned that 'not benefiting others' was an understatement, because it is really HURTING others (the consumers). "Don't bite the hand that feeds you" comes to mind, although in this case if the ISP's bite, there's nothing we can do about it but feed it more because of their massive impact. At this point it starts to sound like the internet will become broken and dilapidated. I can't sit here and accept the possibility of that happening. The internet has molded what I and everyone on the planet now know. It now powers our schools, banks, important research facilities, and so much more. It would be the ultimate sin to let a few large companies who are completely capable of keeping things the way they are, completely change everything to benefit themselves and scrap consumers. This would be another story had they been able to do this by themselves, but that isn't true. YOU, the FCC and the U.S Congress, have control on which way you want this to go. I suggest you consider the 321+ Million people's unanimous option. Sincerely and hopefully, Franco F Bowden Franco Bowden

685. Samuel Wolf, Evansville, IN, 47712



I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Myself in fact has a very slow internet speed. 25kb download and a 9kb upload. If this law was passed I might not be able to do schoolwork, or browse the web at all. Please don't pass the law. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Samuel Wolf

686. Denise Ward, Evansville, IN, 47712

Unfettered and unaltered access to information is important. Leave Net Neutrality alone.

687. Zarek Wilson, Boonville, IN, 47601

This is stupid to even consider doing this. We pay our providers for the internet already why pay for it more than once. This could hurt people horribly by cutting ties of to friends across the globes or countries. This could lead to the deaths and suicides of many people. You would be responsible for the action. Personally I am depressed and people I can not see help me more than the ones that I can see. What if these actions lead me to lose contact to these people who are my only happiness and what about videogamers? Does your company not think about how it will hurt people? Zarek Wilson

688. Lester Moran, Huntingburg, IN, 47542

I'm an advent user of the www and to see what made it great in the years I've used it being taken away is something that upsets me as I believe that an open, unthrottled network is what everyone's entitled to

689. Ryan Vieke, Vincennes, IN, 47591

Net Neutrality needs to stay! I do not want to pay extra fees just so some companies can censor what we can and can't see/do. Ryan Vieke

690. Franco Bowden, Haubstadt, IN, 47639

Greetings Federal Communication Commission, I am 17 years old and I live in Southern Indiana, just north of Evansville. I use the internet every day, just like most everyone in the U.S does these days. I would consider myself a high-intake user, and an intelligent when it comes to the internet. But I won't sit here and try to write up some immaculate reasoning and logic that is impossible to agree with, because I find that repetitive and somewhat arrogant. I just want to give my personal thoughts and feelings for you to investigate and take into consideration. Internet Service Providers are businesses, big ones. And that's okay, because they're the ones who constantly service and provide the population with what has become a new civilized integration (the internet). At the end of the day though, they're still big business, and they want their big money. We all know they have lobbyists and big corporate influence, that's because of what they provide, and nothing else. The level of intricacy a business has shouldn't also add onto it's own right to exist. My local car cleaning business has just as much of a right to exist as Verizon has, right? That's a part of the freedom this country is known for. My point being, companies now find themselves groveling at the feet of the FCC and Congress for more money, at no benefit to anyone but themselves and their friends. It sounds kind of like a child begging his mother for 100 PB&J sandwiches with no reason to provide those sandwiches to anyone but himself and a couple friends. Why should the mother have any reason to make that many sandwiches if she KNOWS her kid's intent? I hope you see what I'm getting at here. I should have also mentioned that 'not benefiting others' was an understatement, because it is really HURTING others (the consumers). "Don't bite the hand that feeds you" comes to mind, although in this case if the ISPs bite, there's nothing we can do about it but feed it more because of their massive impact. At this point it starts to sound like the internet will become broken and dilapidated. I can't sit here and accept the possibility of that happening. The internet has molded what I and everyone on the planet now know. It now powers our schools, banks, important research facilities, and so much more. It would be the ultimate sin to let a few large companies who are completely capable of keeping things the way they are, to completely change everything to benefit themselves and scrap consumers. This would be another story had they been able to do this by themselves, but that isn't true. YOU, the FCC and the U.S Congress, have control on which way you

want this to go. I suggest you consider the 321+ Million people's unanimous need. Sincerely and hopefully, Franco F Bowden Franco Bowden

691. Same as Shipping Address, Terre Haute, IN, 47804  
dont charge me for viewing web sites that I should go to for free! Same as Shipping Address
692. Brad Ahrens, Jasper, IN, 47546  
I want to be able to gain information from the internet without having to get haggled by a mega-corporation to do so.
693. Tony Reintz, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Live Free, ( Amendment 1, Freedom of Religion, Speech, and the Press Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion or prohibiting the free exercise thereof, or abridging the freedom of speech or of the press, or the right of the people peaceably to assemble and to petition the government for a redress of grievances.), or Die ( Corporate Privatized Government, dominated by the Inner Party, use the Newspeak language to control the speech, actions, and thought of the population, by defining "unapproved thoughts" as thoughtcrime and crimethink; for such actions, the Thinkpol arrests Whomever they Wish as enemies of the state. Among the means for maintaining social control, the Thought Police operate false flag resistance movements, to lure ideologically disloyal members of the Party to identify themselves for arrest.) " George Orwell 1949". Zombie is not an option.
694. Micheal Moore, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
FCC, by ending net neutrality, you are acting against the statements on your own website under "What we do": "Promoting competition, innovation and investment in broadband services and facilities- Supporting the nation's economy by ensuring an appropriate competitive framework for the unfolding of the communications revolution" -FCC website "7/12/17 @ 10:45AM Lets send a message to the rest of the world that the US is still a nation of the people, by the people and for the people instead of one by the corporations, of the corporations, for the corporations.
695. Anthony Hardesty, Rockport, IN, 47635  
Protect net neutrality. We should be able to afford free speech!
696. Alice Hamilton, Brazil, IN, 47834  
The internet should be available equally for everyone.
697. Zack Marvel, Bloomington, IN, 47670  
The American idea of freedom is distinct from the rest of the world. Our dedication to free speech is a good example. Today, the Internet is a powerful communication tool; as I see it, allowing a corporate entity to censor parts of it

is un-American. Removing net neutrality protections also gives ISPs an unfair advantage. They could choose which tech startups succeed or fail simply by giving the world faster access to some businesses and slower access to others. In such an environment, why would a new tech startup base their operations in the US? Finally, my ISP doesn't own the Internet. Why should they be able to decide or influence what I use the Internet for?

698. Susan Husk, Newburgh, IN, 47630

Please protect the everyday user from the ISP's we, as a society and individuals, depend on to function. Please do not allow them to control individual speed in favor of others who have more power or more money. The everyday man is being smothered in this area and others. Someone needs to stand up for the average working Joe.

699. Steven Mussett, Evansville, IN, 47714

I consider Net Neutrality to be a principle that gives to the realm of the Internet the same protections that the First Amendment offers citizens in the "real world." It is essential for maintaining free and open discourse.

700. MARY ANN, VINCENNES, IN, 47591

THE INTERNET NEEDS TO REMAIN FREE, OPEN AND PROTECTED. IT NEEDS TO STAY FREE AND NEUTRAL AND ACCESSIBLE TO ALL.

701. Deven Morris, evansville, IN, 47715

The FCC must protect net neutrality to ensure a free market on the web. Do not mess with net neutrality, it is very popular and to change it will make many voters outraged.

702. sue polston, Vincennes, IN, 47591

Net neutrality.....It's not broke don't try to fix it with big cable companies. Big cable, leave us alone!!

703. Rachel Lewis, Evansville, IN, 47725

Protect Net Neutrality!!!! Protect us from Giant Cable Companies!!!!

704. Paul D Grieser, Leavenworth, IN, 47137

I have a small business and sell through an Etsy shop. Keep net neutrality, 'cause if we can't make money, you can't tax it back out of us.

705. David Conner, Vincennes, IN, 47591

Net neutrality must be protected. This is a first amendment issue. Net Neutrality is essential for our freedom of speech. End of story. Save Net Neutrality. Thank you.

706. Christopher Bick, Evansville, IN, 47713

If we lose net neutrality, what is next, forced religion?

707. S Vaal, Santa Claus, IN, 47579  
Your encroaching on my free speech. Net Neutrality MUST be protected.
708. Kennard E. Cooper, Terre Haute, IN, 47804  
How many good things have been ruined by big business? The food we eat, the land we live within, and even who is elected as our leaders. Please consider not letting this happen to the net. Without a fair and open net you would condemn us all to only the ideas and manipulations of the most selfish group of people on Earth. Let the net be for the people.
709. Theresa Epperson, Princeton, IN, 47670  
The internet has changed our world. Net neutrality was fundamental to that. Please keep the internet open and helping everyone. Do not let it become a way for big companies to limit and control our access for their profit.
710. Lee M Wirthwein, Boonville, IN, 47601  
I despise the idea of my ISP governing my internet abilities. Why would ANYONE in politics even THINK about turning us into a 3rd world country?
711. Ryan Taylor, Rockport, IN, 47635  
FCC leave Net Neutrality Alone!!!
712. Terry D. Appel, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Government agencies and big business control us. Our opinions and voices are all we have left. Let us think. Let us speak.
713. Melesa, Terre Haute, IN, 47804  
Leave the internet alone! Stop trying to control the flow of critical information to the masses. It is vitally important that the people get accurate, provable, verifiable information to base our decisions on, which I'm sure is why the control freaks DON'T want us to have it. The last thing in the world they want is a well informed populace capable of critical thinking.
714. Lilian Swenson, Oakland City, IN, 47660  
Here rural Gibson County some of my friends have trouble getting reliable, high speed Internet. We are fortunate to be able to get a good service. Giving priority to some websites over others will also hurt those with already slow speeds. The Internet should remain a platform for free speech and not a commodity which favors the organizations with the most money for the best bandwidth.
715. Laura Libs, Evansville, IN, 47714  
Please leave the internet alone. I don't want big companies taking control of the content I see or want to see. Thank you.

716. Shyann, Washington, IN, 47501  
This is ridiculous! Let the internet be free!
717. Michael Gunderloy, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
I am not in favor of the proposed changes to net neutrality rules. It should not be up to my ISP to decide what I can see on the Internet. Please torpedo these rules.
718. David Andrews, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
Keep the WEB open and available to the widest possible user base. There are very few things in this world that creates a level playing field for all. Keep net neutrality.
719. Kyle Smith, Linton, IN, 47441  
Net neutrality is a part of why we love the United States. You get rid of that and you are no better than the communist countries that we have fought against for nearly 100 years. This new deal is going to put all the power in the already monstrous corporations. That's not American! Capitalism is supposed to give everyone the chance to pave their way if they are willing to work hard enough. This would be just another step towards crony capitalism! Do the right thing and quit thinking about your pocket books for once.
720. Heather Chenette, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
I just learned what net neutrality is. It shocked me that the proposed rules could let big companies manage what information (news, entertainment, etc.) I had access to. That is censorship. I urge you to protect net neutrality. Do not make it easier for big companies to censor information. Thank you, Heather from Indiana
721. Erik C Kline, Boonville, IN, 47601  
I am writing to say Net Neutrality MUST be protected. Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started. Net neutrality allows consumers "not big companies" to choose what they watch & do online. Please support a free and open internet for all. Thank you.
722. Kayla, Boonville, IN, 47601  
The internet is a free and fair space and it should remain that way. Sure, if this gets pushed through and policies change and corporations have control over what people see on their search engines, I'm sure more than a few of you in Washington will make more than a few bucks on the side. But what does that accomplish for us in the long run? What kind of legacy have you left behind. This country was not founded on fucking the little guy and I think it's time we "Americans" remember that. This is not the right move for the FCC and it's not the right move for America. America is supposed to be striving for better and it

seems to me like the shitbags that push legislation like this through just want front row seats to watch it all burn. We shouldn't be putting up pay walls in every part of life we can. There really are more important things in the world than short term (or even long term) monetary/power gains. We really lose sight of that in the US, but it's my hope we remember in time with respect to net neutrality. Every little bit of freedom you give away is that much more you will not be getting back, WE will not be getting back. And it's also one bit more that someone else will use to fuck us over. Whoever is reading this, think about how this goes down in history and what side you'll be on.

723. Jordan Orwig, Sullivan, IN, 47882  
Net neutrality is critical to free speech and communication. The Internet is a tool that should remain unhindered by throttled speeds.
724. Mary Jane Humphrey, Evansville, IN, 47720  
Just like a free press maintains freedom against abuse, the a free web and internet just as it has naturally developed does the same job. Thank you.
725. Linda Tooke, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Enough is enough we need to get the foxes out of hen house. Please keep net neutrality in place and don't give the power to the big companies.Linda Tooke
726. Angel, Evansville, IN, 47714  
I believe in strong net neutrality protected by title II and I do not wish for it to be revoked.
727. Alisha Cai, Evansville, IN, 47715  
I strongly oppose Chairman Pai proposal to reverse net neutrality protections because a free and open internet is vital for our democracy, for our businesses, and for our daily lives. It would give giant internet companies the power to prioritize what we read, watch, and explore online. I won't stand for it. It about my right to be heard and my right to hear others. I submit my public comment to oppose Chairman Pai proposal to reverse net neutrality protections.
728. Justin, Clinton, IN, 47842  
Removing Title 2 status from ISP's is a disasterous proposition. Keep Net Neutrality intact. I support ISP's falling under title 2. Keep the internet free from corporate greed.
729. Dwight Taylor, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
The Net should be free for all without limitations on speed (cps). To control the net would stop progress of net.
730. john Engle, Shoals, IN, 47581  
Net neutrality is a basic right of free speech!,

731. Wesley Teo, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Keep net neutrality; don't let the the big cables hijack the Internet.
732. Donna Gerhardt, Vincennes, IN, 47591  
I am in support of net neutrality. Please don't strip consumers of our power!
733. Benjamin Lehmann, Clinton, IN, 47842  
Freedom of speech is a fundamental right that every American is born with, and everyone worldwide should share. The ability to express opinions, negative or positive, must not be infringed upon for us to remain a free people. To censor the net, would be both tyranny, and folly of the greatest degree - for it is through sharing ideas openly, that humanity has grown to be as great as it is. Without the freedom of speech and expression I fear the people of the world will backpeddle both morally, and intellectually over time.
734. Abhijit, Terre Haute, IN, 47807  
Internet should be available to everyone without being controlled by an entity. It should be as free as 'free speech' is.....
735. Lora Stephens, Evansville, IN, 47720  
Rich people should not have water that flows to their houses faster than the water that flows to poor folks' houses. Why would we make the internet different.
736. Stuart Swenson, Oakland City, IN, 47660  
I'm in Southern Indiana on a farm. Connectivity is enough of a problem, and along with the ability to sell my information, things threaten to get worse. Please support the common good, not greedy people who will take advantage of people.
737. Lora Jenkins Burris, Bloomfield, IN, 47424  
Do not end Net Neutrality. Do not thwart freedom of choice.
738. Lance Williams, Worthington, IN, 47471  
The internet has always been a place for people to be free and express themselves. The freedom of the internet needs to be protected now and always.
739. James, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
Because everyone should have the right to look at whatever they wish without someone them they cannot do so.
740. Jerry Badger, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
Net neutrality should be retained. The company which provides service to my home or business should not be able to restrict what I can access, or selectively reduce speeds, or charge more for access to some sites. This type of behavior



can only restrict new development and give older, larger companies an unfair advantage.

741. Wesley Teo, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Keep net neutrality. Do not let the big cables hijack the Internet. Stop this greed.
742. Vic Thomas, Shoals, IN, 47581  
Net Neutrality.....is fine as is.
743. Donna Paddock, Evansville, IN, 47710  
Please change former President Obama's FCC policies that infringe upon our rights. Please change the rules and policies back that Pres. Obama changed by executive order.
744. Sean Alan Bybee, Mount Pleasant, IN, 47520  
Strict rules governing and enforcing net neutrality are essential to guaranteeing that the American people have access to a fair and open internet. Removal of these regulations would allow internet service providers to control what we see on the internet and would create an unfair environment for businesses that attempt to operate online. This would be a clear violation of our constitutional rights to free speech and expression. Allowing these regulations to be removed would represent a blatant disinterest in the opinion of the American people and should not be tolerated.
745. liz Beadles, Evansville, IN, 47715  
The proposed changes are unacceptable and will put a higher burden on consumers when companies such as Comcast, inevitably increase rates and begin messing with the services the consumer is able to access, in turn raising rates for services such as Netflix. Please consider the impacts that not enforcing solid Net Neutrality will have on consumers living in a digital age.
746. James Inkenbrandt, Tennyson, IN, 47637  
Getting rid of Net-Neutrality would not be good for the economy, because like me and others we would drop out of the internet world. Online sales would tank, brick & mortar would sky rocket. Keep going and maybe we can get back to the horse and buggy days.
747. Donna Ehret, rome, IN, 47574  
we don't need trump/putin deciding info we see
748. Barry Pirkles, Petersburg, IN, 47567  
To whom,it make concern: Any change in current net neutrality laws is an effort to increase corporate profits, at the expense of the consumer. This will result in not only slower internet service for consumers, but at a higher cost for current services. Another assault on consumer protection supported by politics.Dr.

Barry Pirkle

749. Peg Winternheimer, Evansville, IN, 47712  
Dear Sir, Please support Net Neutrality. It undergirds & helps an educated, informed public. That is critical for our democracy & should be protected & maintained.
750. Larry Murdock, Leopold, IN, 47551  
Give us net neutrality! Favor the people not fat cat greedy corporations!
751. Vernon Arnold, Winslow, IN, 47598  
Without net neutrality we might as well not have the internet. All we will have is a propaganda machine for the rich. To get free thought messages out we will have to go back to just using mail. It is a very bad idea.
752. Susan Sirnic, Evansville, IN, 47725  
I am very concerned about what is currently happening in the FCC regarding net neutrality. The internet is for everyone! I believe that Title II rules should be upheld. Charging fees for fast lanes is akin to someone making a decision about what information is more important than other information to disseminate. It is a type of censorship. Please save our internet!
753. Steve Lunsford, Clinton, IN, 47842  
Net neutrality seems to be the best way to allow the internet to continue. I have just experienced some kind of bullying by my provider in that they forced me to connect to an "upgraded" service only to find that this wasn't true. I am tired of being bullied. Thank you!
754. Peg Winternheimer, Evansville, IN, 47712  
Please protect Net Neutrality. Please do not let this opportunity to do so pass.  
Sincerely, Peg Winternheimer
755. Katherine M. Sherrill, Evansville, IN, 47712  
Please keep Net Neutrality in place. I work in education and our students and faculty need reliable, affordable internet access to do their work.
756. Byron Rademacher, Evansville, IN, 47710  
The internet has become a basic human right during my generation, allowing for the quick dissemination of knowledge. To attempt to throttle that is a violation of the tenants set forth by the Constitution promising the protection of my rights. To allow an end to net neutrality would be a death knell to a nation reeling from another generation fighting for fundamental rights promises to them by the Land of the Free.
757. Dakota Ballow, Jasper, IN, 47456

I am writing a comment about recent events in regards about the process of the repealing of the Net Neutrality Rules. As a proud U.S. Citizen, I would like for the rules to stay in place. The reasons I am asking for the rules to stay in place is because Net Neutrality has done a number of things ever sense its implementation as a utility, like having the Internet Service Providers treat all websites equally, benefiting small businesses, and citizens that have benefited from these rights. Net Neutrality is a principle that all internet providers must treat any and all data equally on the internet the same. Underneath this rule, internet providers cannot discriminate or charge differently based on the website, block lawful content, applications, services, non-harmful devices, throttle any services that puts them in the slow lane in favor of the fast lane, and, of course, allow all users access to the open internet regardless of the plan they have subscribed too. If these Net Neutrality rules are repealed, this will allow major internet service providers to treat the internet like Cable T.V., basically putting websites into separate packages not available to others, blocking websites that it does not favor, throttling websites into slower speeds, and severely limiting choices that the consumer has. Not to mention that this will also violate the freedom of speech amendment, since ISP's would be allowed to block any content they either do not favor or dislike greatly. Since the introduction of Net Neutrality, small businesses have benefited from the open internet. If Net Neutrality were to be repealed, then small businesses would be finding themselves having their services slowed in favor of other websites. Take for example, if Comcast, one of the major internet service providers, created a business deal with Amazon, than Comcast would then block or throttle other competitive businesses in favor of Amazon. Not only will small businesses suffer due to the slowing of traffic, but this will also make competition worse, which is vital in today's economy. We, as citizens of the United States of America and people across the globe, have enjoyed the internet ever sense its introduction, being able to use it at any time, on our phones, tablets, computers, and any platform the internet is available on. The internet has connected a lot of people with each other through popular services like Facebook, Skype, Instagram, Snapchat, Discord, and other websites that connects people across the world. Learning and Education has greatly benefited from the free and open internet, as schools use it to educate other people, not to mention people also use it for research on subjects they don't know much about. The internet has also provided us with many forms of entertainment, such as online gaming, watching videos, blogging, dating, sports, and many other forms of entertainment that has become part of our society. If Net Neutrality were to be repealed, then all the above that I have listed would be impacted. Education would be affected negatively due to ISPs censoring forms of media it does not like, and promoting those that it favors, which would fall in line with censorship. Gaming would also be majorly affected, with ISPs making companies like Valve, Sony, and Microsoft to cough up more cash and throttling their speeds, also impacting consumers because their download

speeds are a lot slower than they were before. Online Gaming will also suffer from this as well, with their being high latency times and more lag. Competitive games are very dependent on having fast internet, as having a low amount of ping greatly matters in online gaming. Data caps will also have a big impact on online gaming, since various games heavily rely on a lot of bandwidths to provide the smoothest experience as much as possible. The repealing of Net Neutrality will NOT have a positive impact on gaming. Online streaming will also be impacted as well, with services like Netflix, Twitch, Hulu, and other services having to pay up more money just for their traffic to remain in the fast lane. I, for one, would like to say that due to having an open internet, I have connected with a lot of people over the years, learning about particular subjects that I have not know much about, and I have made a lot of friends online, which has impacted me socially in a positive way. As a gamer, I have also enjoyed being able to play online games without much trouble. And I believe that if net neutrality were to be taken away, then all of these rights that me, along with other people here in the United States of America, and others across the world, would be taken away from us and I do not want to see this happen. I would like to thank you for taking the time to reading this comment, and I would hope to hear more from other people later on this situation as time comes.

758. Nathan Seitz, Terre Haute, IN, 47802

Net neutrality is a vital freedom for the American people. It has paramount importance both to the goodwill of the American citizen and to entrepreneurship in America, which has made this country the world power that it is today. Without net neutrality as it is currently, there will be one less barricade in the path of oligopolies and monopolies. When there is no competition, quality decreases while prices rise, and while this benefits the monopolies and oligopolies in the short run, the country as a whole weakens. If net neutrality were to be diminished against the wishes of a tremendous majority of American citizens, their trust of the government would lessen, and there could potentially be a rise in civil unrest, protests, riots, and the like. This again is a step along the path to weakening our nation and inviting violence and misery. Net neutrality must be protected for the long term prosperity of America.

759. Christopher Brown, Vincennes, IN, 47591

It allows me to have access to information that could assist me in every day life. I will fight for the ability for information to be shared freely and swiftly on the internet! If I want my voice to be heard, it shall be heard!

760. Chatta Owen, Oakland City, IN, 47660

The internet is the podium for those who have long stood without one. It's the medium of the oppressed, and one of the numerous ways people can be held accountable for their actions. The internet is not your playground. Stay in your lane.

761. Abby Roberts, Evansville, IN, 47710  
Doing away with net neutrality opposes a freedom of speech, stifles innovation for new companies, promotes gatekeeping and monopolistic industries, caters to special interests and hurts general welfare/ is discriminatory, or more. Access to information should be a universal right. Sound credible if you would like to be heard.
762. Curt Knight, Mount Vernon, IN, 47620  
Net neutrality assures that everyone has equal access to what has become an essential infrastructure commodity, much like electricity. Just imagine if we limited how much electricity we could receive based on the utility company's ability to charge for different amounts of voltage? Net neutrality helps keep an even playing field for the entire population, not just the wealthy and/or corporations that can afford higher service levels or charge users for higher service levels. Net neutrality is important and should be maintained as the norm for internet services.
763. Aurora Borealis, Evansville, IN, 47714  
By removing net neutrality, it restricts our freedom of speech. The current ruling protects this right and should be kept as such.
764. Andrew Gumbel, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Net Neutrality means that, as a person of the United States, I can browse the Internet safely - without the implication from the government. Net Neutrality means the Internet remains neutral from any parties, as it should!
765. Tyler Bottoms, Francisco, IN, 47649  
If net Neutrality is gone, our internet will look more like Chinas internet than anything else, not to mention it will greatly violate our First ammendment of the Constitution
766. Taylor Williams, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
open Internet is essential to our freedom
767. Arnold Brown, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
Open internet is essential for our freedom
768. M:) , Evansville, IN, 47725  
net neutrality is important to me because i have the freedom to communicate with anyone at anytime and i can do whatever i want online that pleases me such as research and play games
769. Chris Auld, Sullivan, IN, 47882  
it violates the peoples every right

770. Keller Stevens, Evansville, IN, 47712  
This should not be a difficult decision...
771. Luke Hollifield, West Terre Haute, IN, 47885  
Hello, I would like to state a few reasons why Net Neutrality is important to pretty much everyone. I would like to be able to browse the web and look at what I want to without my internet provider deciding it doesn't like what I'm viewing and put it behind a paywall. Even if this doesn't happen to me personally, it absolutely will at higher levels, with nearly every service I use demanding higher prices to counter the extortion every other service demands in exchange for not throttling their website. In addition to this, it's a slippery slope to every company either hoarding customer information to sell to the highest bidder, or every government organization, company, and paying individual being able to pay a fee to view what will essentially be my entire life on the internet. With smart devices invading homes in droves, everyone is under constant surveillance from one organization or another, and repealing net neutrality leaves all my information in an ambiguous position and every service I want to use behind higher paywalls, not to mention the straight up denial of service I would receive from certain companies simply for using their competitors services. I should not be blocked from using the services I want simply because I utilize some other service. Lastly, VPN's will probably become enemy #1 to my ISP, which would in all likelihood decide anyone using a VPN doesn't get service, and take my internet connection away entirely. No one should live under the threat of being totally locked out of what should essentially be a public service, simply for using another completely legal service. Please consider that everyone, not just ordinary taxpayers but also anyone up to and including members of congress in their homes, will have to live with this reality. Net Neutrality protects us all.
772. Thomas Gish, Evansville, IN, 47714  
By removing the Title II rights, you are allowing the ISP's to give preferential treatment. The ISP's shouldn't be allowed to throttle access, or create fastlanes. Everyone should have the same access to the internet.
773. John Emerson, Boonville, IN, 47601  
Net neutrality is vital to the future of humanity!
774. Chris Willis, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
As both individuals and businesses, neutrality is key in maintaining free speech, equal access, and free market economics and control over online access should not be able to be bought or sold.
775. Kyle Williams, Petersburg, IN, 47567  
One of my favorite businesses in the tri-state relies on internet to sell its

recycled clothes, and a lot of their sales are online. If net neutrality is eliminated, then they cannot compete with websites that pay to keep fast access like Kohl's or Macy's. We cannot switch our provider to one that doesn't speed up these websites either, as where I live I only have one provider available (HughesNet). Without net neutrality our economy will be decimated and overrun by big business.

776. Brett Gibson, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
The internet belongs to the people. There should be no limits to accessing information based on the benefit to the carrier.
777. Rachel K, Princeton, IN, 47670  
Net Neutrality is important not just to me, but to the entire United States. Having access to the websites that we do now is an amazing advantage for us, one that most countries do not allow their citizens. If that is taken away from us and only the websites that can afford to pay whatever fees the ISP's charge just to get their pages seen, will prosper. Start up business that desperately need the internet exposure will be buried underneath all the sites that can afford the fees. This makes us no better than countries who limit their citizens access to information. Education will suffer, small business will suffer, we will suffer as a nation of people if net neutrality is taken away. This is a giant step backwards in the world.
778. Billy , Evansville, IN, 47712  
Net Neutrality is a vital part of the internet, and should be protected at all cost.
779. Matthew , Newburgh, IN, 47630  
It's not as important for me as it is to others, but that being said nobody has the right to prohibit or otherwise censor what the public sees and does on the internet.
780. Sean Rogers, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
Net neutrality is the gerrymandering of the internet. Lobbyists have no place in our government, and SHOULD NOT hold more of a voice than voters.
781. Brooke , West Terre Haute, IN, 47885  
No one wants to spend even more money just to go on certain sites, and people are already paying for internet. Money is tight for some people, and they should be able to have access to whatever sites they want without having to pay extra.
782. David Budde, Evansville, IN, 47714  
The world and the internet do not need anymore people trying to ruin it.
783. Kaitlyn Booth, Vincennes, IN, 47591  
Because it's my right as an American citizen.

784. Megan Osborne, Lynnville, IN, 47619  
I need the internet .
785. Em Vicjers, Vincennes, IN, 47591  
What kind of cyberpunk dystopian nonsense is this.
786. Lucas Ford, West Terre Haute, IN, 47885  
ISPs want to make their service work more like TV, with channels and paying to get access to specific websites. Net neutrality prevents them from doing that. Anyone who opposes net neutrality is un-American, and should run off to China, where such infringements on freedom are tolerated.
787. Emrys Layer, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
I'm a college student. I'm already paying \$20,000 a year for college, plus another quarter of that on an apartment/food. That is already more money than I can afford to pay, even with working two full-time jobs. I can't afford to pay even more for a resource that all students everywhere benefit from. Plus having unlimited access to the internet gives people unlimited access to knowledge. Abolishing net neutrality does not make this a better country; it will make us worse. You want to "make America great again"? Then stop trying to take away the things that made us great in the first place.
788. Darcy Mattox, Terre Haute, IN, 47805  
Because taking it away is unfair and theft. Let Net Neutrality stay.
789. Alexandra Smith, Evansville, IN, 47715  
The internet should not be like cable television. You should be free to access any websites that you want without having to pay additional fees.
790. Link Mangold, Evansville, IN, 47711  
I am an amateur artist and writer as well as a student who requires websites that may not be readily available to me- as a sixteen-year-old who cannot pay for extra access.
791. B Pfingston, Chandler, IN, 47610  
I spend most of my time on the internet and it is how I publish my art, which is a way I plan to make income when I get older.
792. Brian Gutelius, Mecca, IN, 47860  
With the amount of communication, business, commerce, and rote living that happens online, to dismantle net neutrality is to destroy the lives of tens of millions, and in effect suppress the vibrancy of the middle class. This will harm these United States in uncountable ways. There is no option but to keep the internet free.



793. Grace Thompson, Fort Branch, IN, 47648  
I need this to know that im free to get on websites to talk to my friends who live around the world, friends i wouldnt be able to talk to otherwise. i need net neutrality to sell my art online, to make a living.
794. Brandon Andrews, Saint Anthony, IN, 47575  
Keeping poor and underprivileged groups from free access to information outlets for the purposes of bolstering and boosting profits is incredibly barbaric and selfish.
795. Chinglin Chan, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
In the modern age of technology, the internet has become many people's voice. It is an option for those who CANNOT get up and talk to people face-to-face about their own thoughts and opinions. By repealing net neutrality, you are making people pay to be able to talk and express themselves. That's not freedom of speech. You take away their access to internet, you take away their voice. Without equal access to internet and information, how will people thrive? What if their companies were unreachable and unusable. The internet is the source for many businesses' advertising and information, including the small ones, but if no one can know about those small ones because they slightly conflict someone with 'control of the internet' (I mean those internet providers), MANY small businesses fail and put even more people unemployed. When allowing the control of information to be in the power of one specific body, you allow the high probability that there will be a bias for sites and information. Thus, you limit the amount of information users have access to, preventing them from forming round and thorough opinions on topics and general, everyday events. Say, for example, you filter only "appropriate and innocent" information into a house that is surrounded by violence and/or danger, but they have no way of knowing that themselves and they walk outside only to be hurt, the blame should not be on them because they had no knowledge of it. The same could happen when an opinion is formed not based on proper knowledge due to their lack of reliable information coming in. Every person has a right to the information of the internet for their own protection. Information and opinions that can help shape and uplift our nation for the better, but it might not rise up if we don't have either of those.
796. John Wilson, Evansville, IN, 47711  
To me, net neutrality is an extension of freedom of speech and allows the public to an unlimited amount of knowledge. To limit access to such a wonderful resource is to limit the power of the people and moves toward mass censorship and oppression.
797. Erin Schoenbachler, Evansville, IN, 47711  
To keep ideas flowing, to allow everyone (good or bad) to have a voice and

opportunity to grow a business

798. Chris Auld, Sullivan, IN, 47882  
education is important with out the internet wed lose all that knowledge and economic oppurtunities
799. Gregory Dodd, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
Keeping net neutrality is important to me because it allows for free speech and the sharing of information to thrive. The public education system in this country is in shambles and the internet has essentially educated me ever since I was young. The internet is a wonderful thing that was given to the world for free by the man who created it. He did not want any recognition of profit from it. He knew that it would make the world a better place and taking it away from us will pull this country back to the stone age.
800. Lauren Wargel, Chrisney, IN, 47611  
Net Neutrality is essential in my every day work. I don't have many options for internet when I'm at home so I know just how difficult it is to work with limited access. I don't know that I would be able to successfully communicate with others to get my work done on a limited plan.
801. Nathan Shearn, Tell City, IN, 47586  
This is absolutely ridiculous, and will destroy our economy, what's left of it. We need to be taking steps to strengthen the free market, not hinder it. If it comes to this and the ISP's get their way, I will no longer be an internet user, nor will anyone in my household. Small businesses will suffer greatly. Lets do what makes sense and will help the little guy instead of continuing to make the rich guy richer.
802. Kyler Klein, Boonville, IN, 47601  
I like netflix
803. John Jaranowski, Evansville, IN, 47715  
Do not want my searches for solutions limited by what my provider thinks is relevant
804. Dustin Grove, Evansville, IN, 47715  
I use the internet on a daily basis to learn about the world and it's history. I have used the internet to apply for jobs, to contact loved ones and old friends, to get my news, and to relax with some entertainment. Maintaining an open internet is crucial to the new shape of society in the 21st century.
805. Robert Kemp, Washington, IN, 47501  
I don't want the internet regulated by corporations

806. Trey Kolley, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
For people of all ages, the internet is something that promotes learning and communication, facilitates economic growth, and creates new, modern conveniences that are our future. The internet is a resource, one that ought to be free for everyone. Net neutrality ought to be maintained. Thanks, Trey
807. Colin Fry, Evansville, IN, 47714  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules are very important to me. I need you to protect them. The country needs you to protect them. Stop letting the corporations take over! Thank you!
808. Abe Stone, Richland, IN, 47634  
I believe Net Neutrality should be preserved along with Title 2. Not doing this could result in the loss of the greatest product ever available to mankind. I can get a goat and do taxes while sitting on my couch. This preservation can make or break people's lives. Please preserve Net Neutrality under Title 2.
809. Tyler Jenne, Washington, IN, 47501  
I specifically support the net neutrality established by Title II oversight of ISP's. I believe that the repeal of title II is a direct attack on national freedom of free speech. I do will not support any member of congress that votes for Title II repeal. I do not accept the weak argument against Title II.
810. Troy Schotter, Vincennes, IN, 47591  
I SPECIFICALLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISP'S Don't just brush the people's desires under the rug.
811. Andrei Parrent, Mount Vernon, IN, 47620  
I honestly can't believe this is still in question. Most of the United States' younger population (the people who follow and understand the necessity and freedom of the internet) support net neutrality . This is not a small deal that can be overlooked, it's a big deal. Imagine students at home or at school having to deal with speeds prioritized by isp. And that's just one example. I am strongly in favor of net neutrality and so should every student and parent in this country.
812. Kristi White, Evansville, IN, 47711  
I firmly believe changing the classification under which net neutrality is falls will result in a highly restricted internet governed by the interests of ISPs and not by the users themselves. I want to be able to access the internet fully without worrying about which companies have paid to be put in the forefront. As a small business owner I do not want to worry that my larger competition will be able to pay to slow down or block access to my website or speed theirs up. I do not want to worry about throttling, blocking or paid prioritization. Do not change the fundamentals of neutrality.

813. Jordan Ross Hatfield, Boonville, IN, 47601  
Ending our current Net Neutrality is only in favor of big companies to make more money. The world itself suffers from enough greed, do you really want to ruin one of the best freedoms the world has?Jordan Ross Hatfield
814. Madison Foley, Jasper, IN, 47546  
PRESERVE TITLE 2 AND STRONG NET NEUTRALITY.
815. David, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
If there is no net neutrality, there is no democracy.
816. Eric Fenton, Cayuga, IN, 47928  
I believe in strong Net Neutrality, do not remove Title II status from ISPs.
817. Aaron, Evansville, IN, 47715  
I support strong FCC regulations on net neutrality and am against an "open lane" to the internet. The very fact that anybody would even think of letting commercial industries decide how to divide the ability to access the net between themselves is very disgusting. If deregulation was successful in regards to ISPs I'd have more than just two internet companies to choose from. Open internet isn't fair to the consumers, it only continues to line greedy corporation's pockets and allows them to effectively censor what I'm allowed to do with the service that I pay for. Do not let the corporations decide what I should and should not be viewing with my money.
818. Kevin Davies, Terre Haute, IN, 47805  
Net Neutrality is absolutely necessary to a free and open internet. I strongly urge the FCC to regulate telecommunication providers as common carriers under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. The internet is a societal utility just like electricity.
819. Edward Norton Sr., Terre Haute, IN, 47804  
Leave it alone! Net neutrality keeps the internet for the people & not big business. Big business is big enough, there is no need for them to keep making billions at the expense of choking the consumer! They make billions of dollars off the consumer and they get millions upon millions from the government in the form of grants, etc., and still they want more....
820. Kevin B. Buchanan, Terre Haute, IN, 47805  
The power of the internet is that all people have an equal right to garner information and communicate with one another. To change this free exchange of ideas and commerce into a way for ISP to make even more money seems to be stab in the heart of the commons that the internet provides. Please do not change the current system, it works in fairness for all, even the most disadvantaged among us.

821. Darren Hawkins, Spencer, IN, 47460  
I'm a rural IT guy with eclectic interests and a professional need to access non-commercial content to solve technical issues. The sites I visit are frequently collectives providing crowd-sourced answers to specific sorts of technical questions. I need those sites to be readily available in order to remain productive and efficient...and I need the information to flow freely, rather than transferring to corporate sites so they can get into the "fast lanes". Being rural, my "high speed" (i.e., satellite) connection is slow, unreliable, and capped, even at the best of times. If my ISP didn't respect net neutrality, my job becomes that much more difficult. I need to be able access the content I need as quickly and efficiently as possible -- the content I need, not the content an advertiser-of-services thinks I need.
822. Jarod Daming, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Net Neutrality as it stands today should be the bare minimum standard moving forward.
823. Michael Dawson, Boonville, IN, 47601  
You must protect Net Neutrality. To do anything else would be to sell out millions of Americans for the profit of few individuals. Net Neutrality should be a right.
824. Shaun Davis, Evansville, IN, 47711  
Please protect Net Neutrality. Broadband internet should remain a Title II common carrier. Cable companies are distinct from the companies who distribute online content. Please make sure cable companies cannot have the right to block or throttle access to any online sites or services. The only way to ensure a healthy internet with free exchange of information and ideas is to protect Net Neutrality and uphold the Title II designation.
825. Ted Barkley, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
Killing net neutrality kills our freedom as Americans. We live in a Golden Age of Information that is readily available out our fingertips. We need net neutrality to keep doing what we love and for some of us make a living. We need net neutrality, or we will fall deeper into a state of unrest as a nation.
826. Deirdre Mahan, Terre Haute, IN, 47803  
Net Neutrality is essential for the free flow of ideas. Please maintain this important principle.
827. Briana Taken, Staunton, IN, 47881  
I stand with Net Neutrality and a free and open internet. Please, do not abolish Net Neutrality and keep the internet equal and accessible to everyone. Do not allow ISPs to slow traffic to sites that don't pay their arbitrary access fees. Keep the internet neutral for all!

828. Robert Ferguson, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
I am opposed to any rule change that would allow service providers to prioritize their content to content of their partners. All users and content providers should have equal access without any restrictions or preferences.
829. Clint Claypool, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
Please strongly consider the benefits of freedom versus the negative aspects of capitalism before casting your vote. Thank you.
830. Jacob Grant, Evansville, IN, 47714  
In a time in my life where I was trapped in environment that was out of my control and I had little to no ability to acquire money, the free and unrestricted access to the internet that was available to me was the one escape I could use to make it through that painful time.
831. Vickie Morrison, Spencer, IN, 47460  
We live in a FREE Country and we as Americans should have the right to search, research, and communicate with whom ever we want on the internet. This should NOT be under anyones control what we do and what we visit on the internet. This needs to be stopped!
832. Sabine , Boonville, IN, 47601  
Terrific article! That is the kind of information that are meant to be shared around the net. Shame on the search engines for now not positioning this post upper!Come on over and seek advice from my website . Thank you =)discount baseball jerseys
833. Katrina Sipes, Shoals, IN, 47581  
It is important to me because I can access things that should be free and available for everyone to see, and maybe things you wouldn't like me to see or don't want the public to know, but we should. Because I should be able to see both sides of the argument and be able to make a rational decision based on what I can find on the internet.
834. Shannon Bowman, Newburgh, IN, 47630  
Too many americans are dependent on it and stripping tgem of it will be detrimental .
835. Matthew Menke, Evansville, IN, 47712  
Simple - freedom of speech MUST be a core right of our society no matter how much we hate what is being said.
836. Paul Cardwell, Terre Haute, IN, 47802  
Communication and sharing of ideas should not be hindered. Prioritizing who gets what based on origin and content is wrong. Neutral is best to be sure

everyone gets treated equally.

837. Steven Rentschler, Bloomfield, IN, 47424  
Maintaining Net Neutrality is critically important.
838. Greg Brasseur, Vincennes, IN, 47591  
Why would you support something that so many people are against? Don't you work for us?
839. Vickie Morrison, Spencer, IN, 47460  
Whenever I or others go online I have certain expectations. I expect to be connected to whatever website I want to visit. I expect that my cable or phone company isn't messing with the data and is connecting me to all websites, applications and content I or anyone else choose. I and all others expect to be in control of our internet experience. When we all use the internet we expect Net Neutrality! I know that Net Neutrality is the basic principle that prohibits internet service providers like AT&T, Comcast and Verizon from speeding up, slowing down or blocking any content, applications or websites I or others want to use. Net Neutrality is the ONLY way the internet should work & I and millions of others are requesting and expecting that it will continue. America is a free country and Net Neutrality is the internet's free & guiding principle: It preserves our right to communicate freely online. Net Neutrality represents an internet that enables and protects free speech. It means that ISPs should provide us with open networks and shouldn't block or discriminate against any applications or content that ride over those networks. Just as your phone company shouldn't decide who you call and what you say on that call, your ISP shouldn't interfere with the content we view or post online. This is a free country we live in. The internet without Net Neutrality isn't really the internet. Unlike the open internet that has paved the way for so much innovation and given a platform to me and millions of people who have historically been shut out, it would become a closed-down network where cable and phone companies call the shots and decide which websites, content or applications succeed. This is not right. I'm opposed to Companies like AT&T, Comcast and Verizon to be able to decide who is heard and who isn't. I'm opposed to AT&T, Comcast and Verizon to be able to block websites or content they don't like or applications that compete with their own offerings. This is not right. Yes. After a decade-long battle over the future of the internet, the FCC adopted strong Net Neutrality rules based on Title II of the Communications Act, giving internet users the strongest protections possible. I am requesting and supporting Title II of the Communications Act. Title II preserves the internet's level playing field, allowing ALL people to share and access information of their choosing. These rules have ushered in a historic era of online innovation and investment. I believe that Net Neutrality is crucial for small business owners, & beginners who rely on the open internet to launch

their new businesses, create markets, advertise their products and services, and reach customers. We need the open internet to foster job growth, competition and innovation. It's because of Net Neutrality that small businesses and entrepreneurs have been able to thrive online, and grow and to be part of the business world. I believe that no company should be allowed to interfere with this open marketplace. ISPs are the internet's gatekeepers, and without Net Neutrality, they would seize every possible opportunity to profit from that gatekeeper position. Without Net Neutrality, the next Google or Facebook would never get off the ground! It's time to LISTEN to the millions of people and do the right thing!! Keep Freedom in America!!

840. Alice M Quinlan, St Mary of the Woods, IN, 47876

"Restoring Internet Freedom" is a sham. It will allow corporations and well-off individuals to buy a FAST lane on the internet and leave the rest of us with whatever we're able to purchase. I believe that the internet is the public utility of the 21st century, and should be open to all on the same basis....the same basic access and available speed for a small business, an individual family, a non-profit organization, a church, a school district, a large corporation. Don't put the average American at a disadvantage; the internet is too important. If corporations are able to buy their way, populist resentment will grow and there will be a political price to be paid in coming elections. Consumers do count and will make their voices heard.

841. Sharon Olson, Evansville, IN, 47713

I strongly support strong Net neutrality, backed with Title 2 oversight of isp's. The Internet is a common resource for everyone to use equally for free exchange of information, opinions or whatever interests them! It should not be treated like some juicy bone for big business to grab for themselves.

842. Christopher Griffin, Evansville, IN, 47712

It is imperative that we keep Title II regulations in place. It is not a "micromanagement" of the ISPs in this country. It is a framework to hold internet service providers (ISPs) accountable for their actions. We must not let providers advertise internet speeds that are revoked from the consumer, because a 3rd party has not made an extraneous payment (i.e. bribe). Any language that implies the concept of a "fast lane" by its own inherent nature implies a "slow lane" as well, and the question is who decides whether or not a source of information online is a fast or slow lane? Information has, and always should be, delivered at the speed the content provider has paid to obtain (from THEIR ISP). And in turn, an internet user should have access to the internet at the speed that they have paid to obtain from their ISP. If Netflix pays to have 1Gbit/second upload speeds; and a user pays for 60Mbit/second service, that user should be able to watch shows on Netflix at 60Mbit/second (the slowest link speed between the two). The user's ISP should not be allowed to artificially



slow-down Netflix's speeds, especially for lack of additional payment from Netflix. Why should Netflix have to pay every ISP a bribe to obtain the speed they pay to their provider? Most of the ISPs have a conflict of interest in this regard: AT&T owns DirecTV, so they want to make sure they continue to have a subscriber base for satellite TV; their angle would be to throttle or render alternative entertainment streams as useless by slowing down the Netflixes and Hulus of the online world. Cable companies also have this same conflict of interest -- keeping cable TV subscribers, instead of allowing Internet subscribers to use 3rd parties for pay-for-TV services. Everyone should get the speed they pay their provider for, and the providers need to be held accountable for it.

843. Brad Barton, Terre Haute, IN, 47802

I am against repealing the current "net neutrality" protections. All data should be treated equally on public networks. If you must provide tiered content access, do so on existing private data channels such as the cable networks. As a further comment, I find it reprehensible that government oversight in a federal agency designed to protect the interests of the citizens of the US, AND its business infrastructure is allowed to be co-opted by narrow industry interests. Congress should be ashamed of itself for continuing the chairmanship of such an obviously biased agent of the telecommunications industry, Mr. Ajit Pai. I will try to help ensure that the representatives involved will be held accountable during the next election.

844. Brad Barton, Terre Haute, IN, 47802

I strongly support net neutrality and Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I find it disgusting that the FCC, a government agency designed to promote "competition, innovation and investment in broadband services and facilities" has been co-opted by a narrow cross section of the broad band industry who operate in their own special interest. By bribing members of congress, covering up a cacophony of consumer pleas to the contrary, and skewing broadband investment data, this cadre of telecommunications soldiers are planning the take over the distribution of the internet in the US so that they can regulate it like cable TV, choking out innovation, and tilting the playing field away from small business and individual entrepreneurs. I implore you not to allow net neutrality to be circumvented.

845. Todd Spellman, Evansville, IN, 47725

I support Net Neutrality in no unqualified terms. ISPs should not be allowed or required to throttle, observe or report the activities of their patrons.

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used national language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters

submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post. <https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9f0e3ed36a6>.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.