

Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from IL District #7

These are the 2,369 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative Danny Davis's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs> under the proceeding 17-108.

1. Cuyler Jenkins, Oak Park, IL, 60302

If Mr. Pai of the FCC truly believes in a free and open market, he should NOT undo the work of Mr. Wheeler and destroy Net Neutrality. If Mr. Pai was truly working for the American people, he would not give Internet Service Providers the option to throttle content by adding "fast lanes" to the internet. This goes AGAINST the free market, letting companies choose who gets what service, as opposed to the consumer choosing the superior company. This is not the free market 'survival of the fittest,' this is artificial selection, with the companies choosing who wins and who loses. When Net Neutrality was announced, the American people did not, contrary to what Mr. Pai had said, lose their freedom. They gained the ability to choose for themselves the kind of service they want. Do not get rid of Net Neutrality. It is the will of the American people.

2. Benjamin Morin, Forest Park, IL, 60130

Please keep the current net neutrality rules as set by the Obama administration. Internet Service Providers (ISPs) should not be allowed to throttle access to sites and charge different prices for preferential treatment. Please keep Title 2 rules in place, which keeps ISP's as common carriers like the phone companies.

3. Kelly Lorenc, Chicago, IL, 60610

I cannot express in enough words, as someone who grew up in the internet boom, how much the internet changed everything about my life. I did not have broadband internet until 2005 so I have never taken internet access for granted - I was there for the launch of Wikipedia and spent endless hours reading articles about fruits I didn't know. I was there when Google launched and I was able to look up anything I wanted instantly. I was there when social media took off in high school. I was there when Steam was launched, when Skype was launched, when games where I was first introduced to my Canadian husband in 2012 became social gathering places. I was there for YouTube and Twitch and now my favorite shows & comedians are strictly online. I would not have a cable package if my apartment didn't require one. My point is that I was able to discover these things, to learn these things, and to grow along with these things because there were no barriers to my entry. There were no gatekeepers to my exploration. There was no walled garden to check my growth. No one could make me wait longer for unsponsored content. All content was the same. I am an Economics major and I understand the financial reasoning why companies like Comcast or AT&T wish to give preferential treatment to some media. However, I think that the free and unfettered access to communication & information is something that can be beautiful enough to need protecting by the government. Access to information should be a right of every person in the US, not just those who can afford it, which is an idea which has stretched as far back as the creation of Harvard University before the US was even a country. Title II should be protected.

4. Benjamin Aldred, Chicago, IL, 60605

I oppose the proposal. This negatively affects consumers and should not be put into place.

5. William Drewett, Chicago, IL, 60601

I am puzzled and concerned that the FCC may not support net neutrality. American tax dollars and American ingenuity helped build the Internet, and it is now critical for daily American life. I urge the FCC to treat the Internet as a utility and to support net neutrality in every possible way. Thank you for your attention.

6. Nischal Raya, Chicago, IL, 60661

Hi there, I am a consumer and tech enthusiast who has never commented on the FCC forum, even during the last Title 2 debate a few years ago. I have read extensively on the topic and have tried my best to understand both viewpoints and I have to say that I think the companies such as Comcast, Fios and other internet broadband providers cannot be trusted to keep the internet fair and equal. While I would rather have less regulation, I don't think these companies with their shareholders and incentive to do make as much money as possible would do what

is ethically right. While I would much rather have Congress pass a bill that makes Title 2 the law of the land, Congress has become so polarizing that at this point, I think the FCC needs to step in and keep the current rules of Title 2 the law of the land. Please do not undo the progress that was made by the previous FCC commission and keep our internets free and safe for the consumers. Thank you for your time.

7. Beth Ross, Chicago, IL, 60606

Net neutrality should be maintained - allowing some companies to pay for faster/bigger/better access cannot lead to anything good. How does abolishing net neutrality help consumers? Why would anyone think abolishing net neutrality would be a good thing?

8. Travis Van Holland, Forest Park, IL, 60130

I am opposed to the Restoring Internet Freedom act. Priority lanes will not only hurt competition but it increases costs for consumers. These costs are never absorbed by the companies that are providing a service as this affects the bottom line. These costs will be passed on to the consumer. To put such a name as "Restoring Internet Freedom" on this bill is misleading.

9. Laura, Chicago, IL, 60622

I support net neutrality. Access to the internet contributes to societal equality by giving information and resources to all citizens. Large corporations already control so much of our lives. I do not trust my internet provider to make ethical decisions about internet speed and access to all citizens regardless of their economic status. The primary goal of a corporation is to make money, not to uphold equality. Please protect net neutrality.

10. Mary Morgan Ryan, Oak Park, IL, 60304

Democracy requires an informed electorate, which requires free access to information. If we let corporations limit Americans' access to online information, we're letting them limit America's access to democracy. We can't allow that.

11. Molly Johnson, Oak Park, IL, 60302

Hello, I believe that ISP's and cable companies already have a large amount of power and influence, and I'm concerned that destroying net neutrality will enable them to consolidate even more power, control what internet content consumers have access to, and inhibit innovation from smaller startup companies who could not compete with well-established large companies like google, facebook, and netflix when negotiating with ISPs. I also don't believe we should be trusting the ISPs to self-regulate, i.e. "promise" to enforce net neutrality on their own, which would put the onus on the consumer to call out companies for not adhering to their net neutrality promises. If you can put forth new regulations that would keep the current level of protection or make it stronger, I would support them, but losing protections is simply unacceptable. Similar to how we don't have electric companies saying what types of appliances they will power, we shouldn't have ISPs saying what types of internet content they will allow through (or how fast it will be allowed through). Please keep net neutrality and treat ISPs like the utility service providers they are. Thank you for taking my comment into consideration.

12. Thomas Kernan, Chicago, IL, 60612

I am 100% in favor of Net Neutrality. You must keep the internet fast, open, and fair. Net Neutrality regulations help to ensure that this happens, prevent monopolies, and encourage innovation. ISPs should be considered utilities, and giving them the authority to regulate the internet and the information transmitted via the internet cannot happen if we want a functioning Democracy for the future.

13. Emily Stoner, Chicago, IL, 60607

Do not repeal or weaken the net neutrality rules. An Open Internet with equal access for all is vital to preserve American values such as free speech and innovation.

14. Kyle Oda, Chicago, IL, 60610

Dear Chairman Pai, I am writing to urge you to regulate internet service providers under Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Many service providers operate as de-facto monopolies in their respective markets, and competition is simply not a viable option to produce a fair outcome for internet traffic. Please do not roll back this important achievement for net neutrality. The future of the internet depends on you. Respectfully,
Kyle Oda

15. Kevin Hartman, Oak Park, IL, 60302

I support strong net neutrality through title 2 oversight of ISPs. I am against reclassification efforts that would soften the oversight rules.

16. John Ghorbati, Chicago, IL, 60610

Dear FCC, I am writing today to voice my complete and unwavering support for Net Neutrality. This is a number one issue for me and I will be contacting all of my representatives in government voicing my support. You must protect Net Neutrality to protect innovation and to not protect corporate monopolies.

17. Jason, Forest Park, IL, 60130
Preserve net neutrality!!!! Enforcement under title 2!
18. Lynne Dinzole, Chicago, IL, 60611
An open internet is essential for free speech. John Oliver is right.
19. Jacqueline Williams, Chicago, IL, 60616
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title protection. Please think about entire nation!
20. Barbara Naydec, Chicago, IL, 60601
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by TITLE II oversight of ISP. Our Internet should not be at the mercy of competing corporations.
21. Anita Bose, Chicago, IL, 60611
Pai's proposal is unconscionable. We must continue to maintain net neutrality. There is no evidence that investment will be hindered by these rules. Consumers have made their voices clear in the past and they will do so again. To change the rules now is completely disingenuous.
22. Richard Cutler, Chicago, IL, 60602
I Specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II over sight of ISP's. Thanks RIP George Carlin
23. Jennifer Li, Chicago, IL, 60611
I strongly support net neutrality and Title II. Please preserve net neutrality for the sake of Internet innovation.
24. Stefano Grioni, Chicago, IL, 60601
I am writing to express my concern at the idea that net neutrality would be removed from Title 2. I strongly believe that net neutrality is a fundament of democracy, similarly to freedom of press, and should be protected.
25. Jay Ward, Chicago, IL, 60611
Strongly against a change in Title II. Open internet is critical. A change will let commercial entities to exercise biased control. If there were a change, it would almost be irrevocable at a later date. There is no need for the change. None, except those with special interests.
26. Shanna, Chicago, IL, 60611
I am commenting here to support Net Neutrality and ISPs remaining under Title II. Giving ISPs so much power over the Internet, something a vast majority of citizens use every day, gives power to a small number of people to become gatekeepers of information seen by the masses. Questions like, "could an ISP promote it's own product over one from a small business by keeping consumers from easily accessing the competitor's content?" haven't even been answered by Ajit Pai but there is clear evidence that this behavior has already happened in the past few years. ISPs of course want to have tighter control over content and access because it will generate more money for their companies. However, this change has NO benefit to American citizens and in fact may have long term harms to citizens and small businesses receiving a distorted image of the Internet as dictated by large communication companies. Do not reclassify internet providers as Title I information services!
27. Christopher J. Olson, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I am writing today to urge you to protect Net Neutrality under Title II. I believe that the Internet should be considered a utility to ensure that all corporations are treated equally online. Net Neutrality is not negotiable. It is essential to everything that American society and democracy requires "from educational and economic opportunities to political organizing and dissent. Millions of people fought for over a decade to secure lasting Net Neutrality protections. The American people will not accept anything less. I urge you to reject any attacks on real Net Neutrality. Thank you.
28. F J Lewis, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Ajit Pai, I specifically strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight over ISPs.
29. Riva Vanderveld, Chicago, IL, 60661
I am expressing my support to continue the strong, Obama-era Internet Neutrality policies. Thank you.

30. Nathan Kibby, Chicago, IL, 60601
I strongly support net neutrality. To create prioritized pipelines using ISP discretion is criminal. The fact that lobbying has even brought this topic to the forefront is criminal. This goes against the standards of human decency. DO NOT ALLOW ISPs TO DICTATE MY INTERNET SPEED OR CONTENT
31. alicia zyburt, Oak park, IL, 60302
I wish to express my support of Net Neutrality laws and the regulation of ISPs under Title 2 regulations.
32. Joe, Chicago, IL, 60605
Net Neutrality is incredibly important and needs to be protected under title 2. This is incredibly important to fledgling creators who are just starting out and can't afford to pay the extra money to make their websites load as fast as the others. John Oliver sent me. You're Fucked Ajit
33. Joe Rowlett, Chicago, IL, 60642
Net neutrality is vital for the health of the internet and should not be left to the digression of internet service providers. Classifying them as common carriers using Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 will help ensure this.
34. Gage Barry, Chicago, IL, 60654
Do not let corporations destroy the free internet
35. Lindsey Goldberg, Chicago, IL, 60611
I urge you to preserve net neutrality and Title II. Both are extremely important to me and to society. Thank you.
36. Lincoln Steele, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Also normal sized mugs.
37. Title II, Chicago, IL, 60610
I support Net Neutrality and the Title II provisions. It would be a travesty if these were removed because companies are not looking out for our best interests, they are looking out for themselves. Your job is to look out for us.
38. Sterling Sanders, Chicago, IL, 60622
Internet Service Providers (ISP) in the US should remain under Title II regulation by the FCC. Regardless of if we've seen bad behavior on the part of ISP's, those regulations hold our ISP's to a standard that guarantees all the content over the internet be delivered equally. ISP's are a necessary means to an end; I do not use their services because I like them as companies, or trust their motives, or profit driven ideals, I use them because I need access to the rest of the world. I want no impedance to the information i request and consume across their data streams, which is why I think Title II is the correct classification for these service providers. Companies are obligated to do what's in their best interest, not what's one the best interest of their consumers. The government however is mandated to do what's best for it's citizens, which is why we have regulations in the first place, because some regulation, that eliminates the potential for bad action against the citizenry by companies that are mandated to do what's best for themselves, is a good thing. Mass deregulation is not the solution to every problem, it is simply a way to waste time reinstating problems that have already been solved.
39. Daniel Ziegler, Chicago, IL, 60611
The internet is built upon equal access to information of all kinds, and allowing cable companies to give different sites preferential treatment will completely undo this. There needs to be some form of regulation to prevent the internet from being dominated by a select few wealthy organizations.
40. Michael Emrie, Chicago, IL, 60608
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 regulation oversight.
41. Mary Jo Kuhr, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I want the FCC to support strong net neutrality and keep title 2 oversight of ISP's.
42. Justin Rivera, Chicago, IL, 60610
Please support net neutrality backed under Title II.
43. Noam Davidoff, Oak Park, IL, 60302
The internet belongs to the PEOPLE; lifting net neutrality rules defies the basic principles of freedom. In a free and fair commerce the consumer decides what products and services flourish, not a monopoly of service providers and

content developers. Net neutrality ensures our commitment to entrepreneurship, innovation, and freedom of expression. I'm shocked any of this even needs to be said; SHAME ON WASHINGTON!!!

44. Justin Kelley, Chicago, IL, 60612
We need strong net neutrality rules with ISPs governed under title 2
45. ARVAN RESE, CHICAGO, IL, 60644
I ****ABSOLUTELY OPPOSE**** this bill. The foundation of Net-Neutrality is a FREE AND OPEN INTERNET that treats all data traffic equally. This solitary principle allows ALL people access to the Internet without being barred by pricing or policy choices that favor a minority of citizens (billionaires, tax cheats, megalomaniacs, theocrats, corporate criminals) while silencing the vast majority of citizens, residents and taxpayers who would have their voices heard and to hear the voices of those oppose the abuse of power by wealth and greed. This bill stifles innovation by making the dissemination of knowledge available only to those whom already possess massive wealth and power.
46. Thomas A. Arvetis, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Please keep net neutrality up to the highest standard, enforce Title 2 and ensure equal access for all.
47. Matthew Marks, Chicago, IL, 60622
I am in full support of preserving Net Neutrality by keeping internet in Title II status. Please do what's best for the public and not for a few companies.
48. Tom M., Chicago, IL, 60607
We need title 2 for the internet, please do not change it to title 1. Regulations are necessary to promote technological growth within the USA. If we get rid of net neutrality, we will be behind the rest of the world in innovation. Please do not do this.
49. Robert J. Meyer, Chicago, IL, 60622
Do not change the regulation of ISPs. Regulating them as utilities guarantees the continuation of net neutrality. This is the only way to combat the monopoly power of the ISPs and maintain competition on the internet. Any loosening of this restriction is a guarantee that the ISPs will fight net neutrality in the courts just as they did up until the Supreme Court upheld the right of the FCC to regulate them as utilities under current statutes.
50. Joseph Yeh, Chicago, IL, 60611
I completely support Net Neutrality and the protection of not only private businesses/services from being unfairly favored over others, but also the protection of consumer choice and competition. Title II regulations specifically defend these values and prevent ISP's from manipulating service so as to favor or punish certain programming, which serves solely to benefit the ISP corporations, undermine competition, and limit the freedom of services and programming that exists on the internet today. The internet is not only an amazing place for small businesses to find their grounding, but also the common citizen's primary platform for commerce, expression, and exploration. Doing away with Title II protections would undoubtedly limit these rights and freedoms, and there is absolutely no facet of it from which people could directly benefit from. Please serve and act in favor of the free market and the common man, not these corporate ISP's.
51. Bryan Nieman, Chicago, IL, 60612
I support strong net neutrality backup by title 2 oversight of ISPs
52. John Snakenborg, PhD, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Revoking net neutrality rules and allowing corporations to take control of the flow of Internet traffic is anti-democratic. My access to information should not be controlled by the highest bidder. This is tantamount to the continued corporate take-over of public institutions. The "wish" that corporations will act in benevolent ways would be naive, but I believe that those pushing for a removal of net neutrality protections are not so naive, but are actually shilling for the interests of their corporate overlords.
53. christine phillips, chicago, IL, 60607
do not leave the control of the internet with corporations whose sole intentions are to benefit shareholders and business owners at the expense of neutrality (non-shareholders - general public). internet service providers (ISPs) should not be able to obstruct the flow of information online.
54. Timothy Sheridan, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I call on the FCC to preserve net neutrality under the protections of Title II. The open access to information should not be infringed upon and it should not be under control of private entities.

55. Joseph Delisi, Chicago, IL, 60642
I strongly support Net Neutrality with Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please do not change the current legal footing of this issue. The Internet is one of the only truly democratic systems left in our country. Whatever internet traffic U.S. citizens are choosing should not be discriminated against by big business ISP's for their own monetary gains or incentives. Thank you for your consideration.
56. Sorin Nitzoy, Oak Park, IL, 60302
We firmly support the strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers!
57. Arthur Murphy, Chicago, IL, 60604
The proposal to weaken existing net neutrality is abhorant. It would weaken competition, stifle innovation, undermine user choice, and threaten free speech online.
58. Lydell tyler, Chicago, IL, 60610
I Specifically Support Strong Net Neutrality, backed by title 2 oversite of ISP!!
59. Isaac Larkin, Chicago, IL, 60611
Should a gas company be able to restrict gas to houses that don't use their brand of stove burners? Should a phone company be able to prevent you from receiving calls from people who aren't on its network? Obviously, self-evidently, no. gas and telecommunications are public goods, universal and use-neutral access to which are the foundation of a functioning modern society. The providers of these goods must be common carriers that do not restrict their service depending on who is using it. The internet, and the information that flows on it, is a massive public good. Universal and content-neutral access to it is essential for the proper functioning of our modern and future society. This is why ISPs should be regulated just like other common carriers, under Title II of the Telecommunications act of 1996! Mr. Pai has made several arguments for changing how ISPs are regulated, and all of them are wrongheaded and disingenuous. He has argued that ISPs have no history of throttling service to certain websites that compete with their own service. This is clearly false! Netflix was throttled by Comcast and Verizon (Pai's former employer) until it start making, in effect, protection payment to the ISPs (citation: <https://media.netflix.com/en/company-blog/the-case-against-isp-tolls>, <https://www.theverge.com/2014/4/24/5650406/netflix-accuses-comcast-of-double-dipping-with-isp-toll>). And even after Netflix started making those payments, Verizon still got caught throttling it! (citation: <https://www.extremetech.com/computing/186576-verizon-caught-throttling-netflix-traffic-even-after-its-pays-for-more-bandwidth>) It's not just a problem for streaming-heavy services like Netflix, either: Verizon and T-Mobile restricted access to Google Wallet for years precisely because it competed with their own mobile payment service, hilariously named ISIS (citations: <https://cyberlaw.stanford.edu/blog/2011/12/verizon-wireless-illegally-blocking-google-wallet-it%E2%80%99s-time-fcc-investigate>, <http://www.pymnts.com/news/2013/report-t-mobile-blocking-google-wallet-for-isis-support/>) In summary, not only is Title II classification necessary for ISPs, but strong enforcement of the Title II rules is essential to prevent the ISPs from misbehaving! Mr. Pai has also argued that Title II classification is an onerous burden on ISPs, which will prevent them from investing further in network infrastructure. This too is self-evidently false to anyone who knows how to google. The year after ISPs were reclassified in 2015, infrastructure investments at AT&T, Comcast, Time Warner Cable, and Verizon (basically, all the main US ISPs) went *up*! (citation: <https://consumerist.com/2016/02/09/did-net-neutrality-kill-broadband-investment-like-comcast-att-verizon-said-it-would/>) And, the CEOs of all those companies told their shareholders that they plan to continue growing their infrastructure! So either every CEO of every ISP is lying to their shareholders in violation of the law, or Mr. Pai's prediction about the negative impacts of Net Neutrality rules is wrong, bogus, and borderline mendacious (citation: <https://www.freepress.net/resource/107129/truth-about-isp-industry-investment-after-fcc-net-neutrality-vote>). To conclude: Net neutrality is essential for the internet to thrive as an open and fair part of our society and economy. Net neutrality clearly cannot happen without the classification and strong regulation of ISPs under Title II of the Telecommunications Act, the way they are regulated now. Classification of ISPs under Title II obviously doesn't have the harmful impact on infrastructure investment Mr. Pai believes it does. So, Mr. Pai, this rule change is a terrible idea. Don't do it.
60. John Swidergal, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support net neutrality and a level playing field for businesses on the internet.
61. Michael Hernandez, Chicago, IL, 60615
I am strongly against any proposal that walks back net neutrality rules (especially any set during the Obama administration) and would like to voice my opinion against any such proposal to weaken net neutrality.
62. Christopher Johnson, Chicago, IL, 60606
Please support net neutrality and maintain Title II classification for ISPs and Cellular providers

63. Lulu Kang, Chicago, IL, 60616
Please do anything and everything you can to keep the Net Neutrality. It is the fundamental principle to keep the fairness of the internet world.
64. Support, Chicago, IL, 60605
I specifically support strong net neutrality, protected by Title II over ISP's
65. net, Chicago, IL, 60654
I SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2! www.gofccyourself.com
66. Dean Bittner, Chicago, IL, 60607
preserve net neutrality and title II (2)
67. Robert Laws, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please keep net neutrality. With sugar on top, please be on the right side of history. This is the ultimate slippery slope. P.S. No matter how mad Trump gets, DO NOT put the lotion in the basket.
68. gaston borelli, Forest Park, IL, 60130
I support title 2 of the internet neutrality from the ISP. I don't want that to change. I like my internet the way it is.
69. Heath Penney, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I would like to see continued strong Net Neutrality under the Title II oversight of The FCC.
70. comcast, Chicago, IL, 60642
I strongly support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I believe that allowing corporations to limit the bandwidth of certain groups is grounds for a much worse future of the internet, which provides a level playing field for everyone. Please stop listening to the lobbyists and start listening to your citizens!
71. Christopher Chapman, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I am writing to affirm my support for strong net neutrality regulations supported by Title II. As a consumer, I am worried by the increasing mergers between content providers and ISP's. This creates a strong incentive for ISPs to prioritize its own content over competitors which would greatly harm consumers. It is already happening in the marketplace. ATT is now offering DirecTV's streaming service to not count against data caps. Verizon provides the same benefit to certain apps and services. This could be just the beginning of a less free and open Internet unless the FCC will continue to fight for consumer interests first.
72. Madeline Brittingham, Chicago, IL, 60605
I expressly support the preservation of net neutrality and Title Two
73. peter cibulskis, Chicago, IL, 60605
please lease net neutrality alonethank youFYI - why is your site so slow? is someone messing with you net ??
74. jaime torres, Chicago, IL, 60609
ISPs should be Title II. Ethically the guy who formally worked for the ISPs should not be the one policing them. I hope there is some dignity at the bottom of your Reese coffee mug so you can do the job you were appointed to not the one you used to have.
75. Tom Littel, Chicago, IL, 60654
I am strongly in support of Net Neutrality backed by title II of ISPs and find it a violation of the American values to enforce any hindrance of freedom to the internet
76. Woodrow Doerr, Chicago, IL, 60601
The very fact that an old man has to rely on cable news to get facts about how the government and its agencies (FCC) are lying to us, and are in the back pocket of ISP corporations, makes me realize that I need to start watching criminals like Ajit Varadaraj Pai. He is an immature and corrupt sociopath who has been bought by big businesses and WE will not tolerate his sneaky attempts to foist bad policies upon the American People. *** Keep Net Neutrality strong ... in fact, make it harder--much harder--on the likes of Verizon, Charter, Comcast, et al.*** Net neutrality does NOT inhibit expansion of communications infrastructure, and those corporations have said so themselves.
77. Paige Lo, Chicago, IL, 60661
Please continue to enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring

certain websites. This unbiased access is vital for the small business community.

78. Felix Vasquez, Chicago, IL, 60629
I specifically support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. If we start privatizing the internet and moving towards this neoliberal model, one can only assume that we lose a freedom of infinite knowledge.
79. Ben Schmitz, Oak Park, IL, 60304
A truly free and open internet is absolutely necessary.
80. Andrew Powers, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please continue to keep Net Neutrality covered under Title 2 and do not change it back to title 1. Just because something isn't a problem now (no one seems to be honestly complaining about title 2 who has the greater good in mind) so why open the door to potential issues by changing it back to title 1. Thank you
81. Dina Ross, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I am opposed to any effort to remove the net neutrality rules or to reverse considering the internet under Title II. Relying on an ISP's terms of service is ludicrous. Every single one of them permits the ISP to change those terms unilaterally at any time. With all the problems with ISPs, cable companies, etc. making it easier for them to screw consumers over, increase their own profits, and make internet content susceptible to corporate greed is unconscionable. Who in their right mind thinks that would be a good idea??
82. Chris Gammell, Chicago, IL, 60642
I support strong net neutrality and want the FCC to continue to protect small businesses from the over-reach of the telecom companies. I want title 2 oversight of ISPs now and into the future. I am a small business owner whose entire business is based online and threatening the ability to deliver content (via video) threatens my livelihood. Thank you for protecting small businesses such as myself.
83. Brandon Ellingson, Chicago, IL, 60654
Title 2 is the best way we can protect against companies choosing content for subscribers across a medium that should have no interference. Interference could result in infringements against free speech and limit the use of other companies as chosen by service providers. There is no evidence in the past that such companies would limit themselves in this regard, in fact, the evidence is quite the opposite; please see the Apple maps fiasco. Please do not change internet laws and rules to be anything other than under Title 2.
84. Justin Carlson, Chicago, IL, 60661
I am concerned that the intent to remove the legal protections provided by Title 2 are only in the interest of the ISPs and not the people. These companies have proven time and again that they do not act in the service of the people and that we should be protected from those established behaviors.
85. JP Prims, Oak Park, IL, 60302
As an academic, I STRONGLY support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. To do so otherwise would allow companies to suppress free speech.
86. Steven Lyon, Chicago, IL, 60622
I strongly and emphatically request for the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. This is one of the issues should define the United States as a role model in an open and unbound Internet which is not manipulated or confined by a select few corporations or by big government.
87. Elizabeth Morgan, Chicago, IL, 60610
I support strong net neutrality regulation under Title 2. Do not weaken net neutrality.
88. Susan Dwyer, Chicago, IL, 60642
It is important to me that you maintain Title 2 and the protection of Net Neutrality. ISPs cannot be expected or trusted to keep net neutrality in place out of the goodness of their own hearts. Of course they'd have monetary incentive to manipulate the flow of the Internet.
89. Jazmira Bota, Chicago, IL, 60612
I am in support Net Neutrality under Title II.
90. Deborah, Chicago, IL, 60611
You must keep the internet neutral. Net neutrality is extremely important to uphold.

105. Sohaib Qadri, Chicago, IL, 60607
Keep the net neutrality rules enacted under the last administration in tact. There is no reason to believe that private cable companies will look out for the best interest of the people rather than their own profits. The FCC should look out for the people, that is their responsibility, not for corporations.
106. Shawn Taylor, Chicago, IL, 60601
I heavily support the current Obama administration plan to keep net neutrality and keep all internet providers under the Title II laws and restrict their ability to choose one content over another.
107. Alexander Meadow, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I support the preservation of net neutrality through the continued use of classifying ISPs under Title II. The internet is becoming more and more of a utility every day, and the need to keep some form of regulation is necessary. Other ways of stimulating investment, such as alterations to last mile rules, and new transmission technologies are better options. Thank you.
108. Michael Yarrington, Chicago, IL, 60610
I strongly support net neutrality as designated under Title II oversight of ISPs
109. Biff Johnson, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I strongly support the current situation where the internet is governed under Title II of the Communications Act and Section 706 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Net neutrality is essential to the continued freedom of internet access. Do not change these.
110. Jarod Spohrer, Chicago, IL, 60605
The current administration for the FCC is inadequate to complete the function they have been expected to do. Net Neutrality is the most important thing of our era and the future. Expecting an ISP to self regulate is like expecting an Oil Pipeline company to self replace their aging pipes BEFORE they fail. It will not happen and the mega-corps do not care. The loss is smaller than the gain they get for not acting ethically. It is your job to make sure ethics are followed so we do not fall to a Mega-Corp bought and paid for society [more-so than we already are]Keep Title 2 restrictions or we know exactly who you are profiting from.
111. Matthew Schrader, Chicago, IL, 60601
I absolutely do not support the termination of net neutrality in any way.
112. Steven Weaver, Maywood, IL, 60153
This regulation is not hurting the internet but instead keeping it safe. We need to keep Net Neutrality and Title 2.
113. Daniel Hillen, oak Park, IL, 60302
TYPE II is essential to maintain NET NEUTRALITY. We need these regulations
114. Michael Perrone, Chicago, IL, 60605
Preserve net neutrality by preserving Title II
115. Richard Button, Chicago, IL, 60605
Dear FCC, Net Neutrality is a basic requirement to a free and open internet. Without the assurance of equality through Title II regulation, the internet will wither under the grasp of ISPs influence. Please maintain the openness and equality inherent to the internet, and don't destroy net neutrality.
116. Emily Oxinio, Chicago, IL, 60601
[https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/search/proceedings?q=name:\(\(17-108\)\)](https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs/search/proceedings?q=name:((17-108)))
117. Kenny Yuen, Chicago, IL, 60616
support strong Net Neutrality back by Title 2
118. Anjali Chacko, Chicago, IL, 60527
The people of America need and deserve strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
119. Santo Barretta, Chicago, IL, 60654
I wholeheartedly disagree with the FCC's attempt to reclassify internet service providers under title I. As stated on their investor calls, their reclassification in 2014 under title II did not have any impact whatsoever to their investment in infrastructure. The blatant conflict of interest under a title I classification would materially impact my freedom of using the internet with no alternative choices.

120. Mara Maas, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I urge the FCC to continue to protect net neutrality under Title II.
121. Reiny Rolock, Oak Park, IL, 60304
The purpose of the Federal Communications Commission is to regulate interstate communications. It is the duty of the Commission to protect the rights of the consumer in cases in which communications companies attempt to undermine those rights. The citizens of the United States have a right to a free and open internet unhindered by unfair, monopolistic, anti-small business practices, especially the selective reduction of speed of service. By moving the regulations on broadband companies from Title II to Title I, the FCC all but removes all Net Neutrality protections. In 2014, in the case Verizon Communications Inc. v. FCC, the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals ruled that, under Title I, the FCC did not have the right to impose Net Neutrality protections on cable companies to the extent that is necessary to truly protect Net Neutrality. Only by keeping the current protections under Title II can the government truly ensure that internet service is provided equally and that Net Neutrality is maintained. Without sufficient regulation, there is substantial evidence that broadband service providers did engage in mob-like practices of severely and selectively reducing speed for monetary gain. There is nothing to suggest that these companies would not engage in these practices again, especially given the substantial monetary incentive to do so. Corporations can not be trusted to protect the public interest; only through regulation can the government sufficiently protect the public.
122. Kathryn Smith, Chicago, IL, 60611
Broadband providers being able to throttle data depending on who pays them more is a bad idea and limits small business growth as well as destroys consumer protections. The protections that we have are already weak - we cannot let them get weaker. We need to classify internet as a public utility, like water, as internet usage is a basic necessity in today's information age.
123. Connor McCluskey, Chicago, IL, 60605
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by oversight of internet service providers under Title II. Internet is one of the few institutions that has been relatively equal for all of humankind, and it should not be bartered over by corporations.
124. davey, Chicago, IL, 60622
Preserve net neutrality and Title II and the oversight of ISPs backed by Title II
125. Adebajo Amusa, Oak Park, IL, 60301
In order for America to remain a free and open society where citizens have immediate, unrestricted access to information that will help them to make informed decisions, we need to have and maintain strong net neutrality.
126. Cameron Cole, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Access to an open, fair, and protected internet will prove to be increasingly important to our society as we move forward. History will judge us on how we protected the interests of citizens, not corporations, in this revolutionary new age. As an American citizen, voter, and activist. I rank this issue highly among my general political concerns. PLEASE protect Net Neutrality under Title II regulations. I will speak with my media, my time, and my vote against those who threaten our fledgling miracle.
127. Bob, Chicago, IL, 60601
We need Net Neutrality to keep the bloated organizations from ruining the internet.
128. Mark Vickery, Chicago, IL, 60606
Net Neutrality is vital -- crucial! -- to a healthy Internet experience. ISPs are not struggling to make money; there is NO NEED to craft legislation that help lead a massive cash grab at the expense of smaller websites, etc. Look inward for your sense of decency. Greed could well hinder progress, creativity and much more. Let's preserve the quality of life in America by NOT switching from a Net Neutrality system. Thanks for your time.
129. Leigh Cohen, Chicago, IL, 60610
I support strong net-neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs
130. Jason Van Houten, Chicago, IL, 60606
I am for Strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs!
131. 17-108, Chicago, IL, 60604
I strongly support STRONGLY SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's

132. Jonathan Aldrich, Chicago, IL, 60607
I support the classification of ISP's as Title 2, common carriers. I also expect that the head of the FCC to represent the people and not companies when conducting his duties.
133. Alex Shaffer, Chicago, IL, 60654
The internet is becoming more and more of a crucial aspect of American's life, and therefore it is paramount that the citizens' access to the internet is protected and regulated. Net Neutrality is important not just to provide equal access to the public, but also provide a level playing field for development and innovation which has been a cornerstone of the internet since its inception. Please do what's right for the American public, innovators and entrepreneurs, and not just the cable company/ISP special interests and continue to regulate internet access under Title II
134. Carrie Maxwell, Chicago, IL, 60610
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's because everyone should have the same access to the internet no matter which provider they use.
135. Jamie Amadio, Chicago, IL, 60610
Please KEEP net neutrality in place with Title 2. This is too important to not continue to have in place.
136. Benjamin Carbery, Chicago, IL, 60601
I believe in strong net neutrality. Title ii regulations and consumer protections are essential to net neutrality.
137. Corie Shotts, Chicago, IL, 60622
protect net neutrality! title II!
138. katie wesorick, Chicago, IL, 60601
I would like to express my support for keeping the net neutrality as it currently is under Title II. Please consider the public opinion on this issue, and keep the laws the way they are in order to protect small businesses and people's personal preferences. Thank you.
139. Shaun Sharma, Chicago, IL, 60607
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2!!! Undoing this would be wrong in so many levels..
140. net neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60616
You should know that I travel. After spending a full month abroad, visiting Denmark, Austria, Czech Republic, Poland, and Hungary, I noticed something. They all had choices. Upwards of 8 - EIGHT - choices for internet providers. Thanks to you, and your lack of ability to break up what is an effective oligarchy, we Americans do not have that luxury. We have one goddamn choice. And you should know this since Comcast is consistently rated the lowest customer service provider. If I could stop giving my money to comcast, I would, but because I use the Internet (WHICH IS BEING CONSIDERED FOR A BASIC HUMAN RIGHT), I have no choice. Either give me a choice to give my money to someone else, or limit Comcast ability to make Hungary and the Czech Republic look like Internet Paradises. The government has screwed us over once - by handing off all of our information to marketers, and now you'll let them market themselves for free. Stop it. Don't do it. Keep IP's on Title II, and don't let Comcast slow down arbitrary websites because they didn't make their bottom line.
141. Gary Filipp, Chicago, IL, 60654
Please retain net neutrality! Open access to information via the internet is critical to preserving our democracy. Assuming ISP's will not manipulate access to the internet if neutrality is lifted is misguided.
142. Richard Coyle, Chicago, IL, 60607
I support a free and open internet; not one bought and sold by the big ISPs. You work for the American people. Do your job.
143. Martin Brown, Chicago, IL, 60603
I am writing to express a very strong concern that Net Neutrality continue to be preserved through the backing of Title II. Equal access to the net is an essential component of worldwide communication. It should not be sacrificed for financial gain.
144. Christopher Bridenstine, Chicago, IL, 60611
As a digital marketing professional and consumer of internet content, the preservation of net neutrality and full enforcement of title 2 regulation is required to ensure a fair, straightforward, and effective business in digital advertising. Allowing internet service providers leeway with the regulations of title ii will allow providers the

ability to create barriers to the capabilities of advertisers that will stifle marketing, competition, and the overall effectiveness of the industry. Please ensure the regulations of title ii are strictly enforced.

145. John Orellana, Chicago, IL, 60642
There is no rational basis under which to repeal Title II and destroy net neutrality. We need open competition, not consolidation of power to the largest ISPs.
146. Brian Chung, Chicago, IL, 60622
The internet has become an existential part of our lives and It would preposterous to cater to private companies by allowing them to control what we can or can't do on the internet. We would be no better than China, Russia, North Korea.... you get the point.
147. Alanna Radlo-Dzur, Chicago, IL, 60605
I am writing to support the preservation of net neutrality and title II.
148. Jennifer Komorowski, Chicago, IL, 60616
I strongly and exclusively support strong net neutrality through Title II oversight of ISPs.
149. Allen Humphreys, Chicago, IL, 60661
I am in favor of keeping Title II classification for the governance of ISPs. Net neutrality is a protection that has always existed. The claims to the contrary are misleading and dishonest. The reclassification to Title II was required due to a lawsuit by Verizon. The reclassification MAINTAINED the net neutrality that already existed. Eliminating net neutrality by any means will have a negative impact on my life and my industry.
150. Daniel CulliverDodd, Chicago, IL, 60624
To change the internet or to go back to tier One is without a doubt so for the big isp's mainly so they SAN slow down or stop a smaller company's is so unfair leave the net the way it is now and stop messing with things that are working
151. Michael Bogart, Chicago, IL, 60605
I vehemently support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I vehemently oppose Ajit Pai's proposed roll-back of net neutrality.
152. David Gerard, Chicago, IL, 60610
I am very concerned over the possible changes to the net neutrality policies this organization is considering. I wish to encourage the continued support of net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Anything short of this could endanger the incredible resource for education, research, and entertainment the internet has been for our society.
153. Dylan Wallace, Chicago, IL, 60639
I strongly support net neutrality and title two please preserve both of them!
154. Stephen Suydam, Chicago, IL, 60607
I support net neutrality with FCC oversight backed by title 2. Please do not let ISPs give preference to any site, or search engine.
155. Angie Smith, Chicago, IL, 60616
I am filing to voice my strong support for keeping true net neutrality per the rules backed by Title II.
156. Ramnik Singh, Swati Gupta, Chicago, IL, 60605
We strongly support Net Neutrality and Title II protection of the neutrality.
157. William Reigle, Chicago, IL, 60601
Please maintain strict net neutrality under Title 2.
158. Shari Vegosen, Chicago, IL, 60611
we need YOU to preserve net neutrality and title II...
159. Timothy Saylor, Chicago, IL, 60654
Internet providers already have too much power due to their near monopoly status in each service area. Don't give them any more. Keep the internet free and open. It's keeps America prosperous and competitive.

160. Caronina Grimble, Chicago, IL, 60623
Please save net neutrality. The idea of a two-tiered system for accessing the internet takes us back a million steps, instead of maintaining an open and accessible internet. Please, for people like me who have been marginalized my entire life, don't create yet another space where we are legally discriminated against. Thank-you.
161. Ethan J Block, Chicago, IL, 60611
Net neutrality is ensures a fair marketplace for companies built on the infrastructure of the internet. Not supporting net neutrality would be similar to not allowing certain freight carriers on interstate highways. It is for that reason that I feel compelled to voiced my opinion in the form of this complaint.
162. Daniel Parker, Chicago, IL, 60616
I am writing to express my support for strong net neutrality rules with title II oversight of ISPs. It is critical to America's future that the internet remain strictly regulated to stop ISPs from throttling specific traffic. Internet access is no longer a luxury and is fundamental to both our personal and professional interactions with the world.
163. Funke Adefope, Chicago, IL, 60654
I and everyone decent person I know STRONGLY support strong net neutrality rules backed by the Title TWO OVERSIGHT OF Internet Service Providers. It MUST NOT be changed to Title 1.
164. Tyler Travitz, Chicago, IL, 60642
ISPs need to be regulated under Title II to protect a free and open internet.
165. Net Neutrality, Forest Park, IL, 60130
I'm in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! Can we let at least one thing not get completely corrupted by corporate interest.
166. Harry Shah, Chicago, IL, 60605
Net Neutrality is Our Freedom, DON'T TAKE THAT AWAY!!!
167. Carolyn Donarski, Oak Parkq, IL, 60304
Strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs
168. Pinki Shah, Chicago, IL, 60605
Net Neutrality means Freedom!
169. berny oseguera, Chicago, IL, 60623
Maintain net neutrality you corporatist cocksuckers. The internet is a social good. Keep isp classified in title 2.
170. Greyson Waldhart, Chicago, IL, 60607
As a 26 year old American, I rely on the use of the internet for almost all of my entertainment. The world is consistently shifting to using the internet as a primary foundation for our daily lives. I think most of us can envision a future where everything is connected through the internet. Changing the status of ISPs will change that future in a negative manner. We cannot trust ISP CEOs to do the right thing for their customers and not allow changing the internet speed for certain sites. Perhaps now there are a few people who can assure that this will not happen but the future will not have the same people watching over us. I believe your trust in ISPs is misguided and we should not allow the power to go to the rich.
171. Michael Taylor, Chicago, IL, 60607
I am writing to voice my support for maintaining strong net neutrality rules
172. Abhishek Mehta, Chicago, IL, 60604
Please do not take away net neutrality. And 100% save the title 2 of FCC .
173. Barbara A Alexander, Forest Park, IL, 60130
I support Net Neutrality. Preserve Title 2
174. Wesley A. Red, Broadview, IL, 60155
To that sucka, Pai. I specifically support STRONG net neutrality and Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.sucka!
175. Jeff Sayre, Chicago, IL, 60661
I support Title II for net neutrality. It is the foundation of an open internet and must not be sacrificed for short term

gains and corporate profits.

176. Peter Tirone, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I would like to inform Mr. Ajit Pai and other members of the FCC debating over this issue that I strongly and very specifically support Net Neutrality, which is already being enforced and protected by Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Any attempts to "fix" Net Neutrality, in the way that Mr. Pai and others (including Verizon's CEO), would result in the termination of internet use as we know it in favor of monopolizing access to sites. DO NOT do anything to change the way Net Neutrality is set up. DO NOT do anything that would give one service provider (like Verizon) an advantage over others that would prevent any budding ISP or network from competing against it. Title II is there for a reason; respect what's already in place. This is not a request. This is a demand; one made by a citizen who, assuming the United States is still a democracy, has made it very clear how this policy should be ruled.
177. Jaya, Chicago, IL, 60601
Please let the internet stay open and neutral
178. Sarah McKavetz, Chicago, IL, 60610
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Keep current net neutrality regulations.
179. Edward G. Renner, Chicago, IL, 60607
Ajit, I specifically support strong internet neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Simply put, we cannot trust lawyers - much like yourself - to help corporations police themselves to maintain internet neutrality. In my admittedly limited study of corporate history in the U.S., I have been unable to find a substantial, contiguous period of time in which an entire industry self-regulated itself by foregoing profits in favor of the greater good of the public. Unless there was direct government intervention. Without strong FCC oversight, corporations will not be accountable to the broader public, or their customers, but rather solely to profitability. Maintain strong oversight and protect internet neutrality.
180. Joseph Simons, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Net Neutrality (or Open Internet) needs to be preserved in order to prevent unfair competition incentives by ISPs. Only through enforceable, legal means can the public be insured that the information transmitted through the internet is treated equitably. Title II classification for ISPs is a must in order to ensure that the internet continues to operate as the engine of innovation that it has in the past.
181. Diego, berwyn, IL, 60402
I am in favor of classifying the internet as a utility under Title 2. Internet service providers must be overseen so that they do not participate in unfair practices such as throttling websites that are direct competitors of theirs. If net neutrality is overturned and ISPs are not regulated, all Americans will lose their internet freedom, a right that in this day and age should be as inherent as having water or electricity.
182. mary garrity, Chicago, IL, 60611
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by title II
183. Michael hannigan, oak park, IL, 60302
The concepts of 'Net Neutrality' must be protected in order to allow an open society. Title 2 protections must be upheld. And your boss's coffee mug enforces the notion that he is not very bright.
184. Susan Jaffee, River Forest, IL, 60305
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title Two oversight of ISPs.
185. Freedom of Internet, Chicago, IL, 60642
I support the Title 2 change to include ISPs. Protecting freedoms is not the same as allowing social & economical influence to restrict and enforce corporate power.
186. Jacob Meidenbauer, Chicago, IL, 60601
Continue to uphold net neutrality.
187. Richard Vitton, Forest Park, IL, 60130
The ability to have equal access to a near infinite library of information is a fundamental human right at this day and age. Support Net neutrality like we the people have told you to do in the past. To stunt the access of information sources to the American people is near fascism and should be apparent to everyone.

188. Ross McCall, Chicago, IL, 60601
Keep net neutrality alive! Do NOT move net neutrality to title 1. Keep net neutrality oversight of ISPs under title 2!
189. Ethan Bruns, Chicago, IL, 60661
I strongly support ISPs remaining under the Title II classification and the concept of net neutrality in general. I believe reclassifying telecoms under Title I may leave the door open for my constitutional right to free speech (through the throttling of bandwidth I may use to express my opinion on the internet), and that entrusting telecoms to self-regulate is not in the American peoples' best interest (as well as being completely illogical).
190. Bo bjeovic, Chicago, IL, 60605
Dear FCC, I support strong net neutrality and title II. Without those regulations, internet access is in jeopardy.
191. Joseph Greenlee, Chicago, IL, 60661
I am AGAINST this proposal. Net neutrality needs to stay and be protected. Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
192. Sean Holland, Chicago, IL, 60610
I support net neutrality backed by strong oversight under Title II!
193. Adam Gerstner, Chicago, IL, 60654
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Internet service providers should keep access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites.
194. Benjamin Smith, Forest Park, IL, 60130
Support net neutrality like we told you to the last time this was brought up. I am an individual who may in the future wish to start an internet business. If my business competes with an ISP's business, they could unfairly hinder my ability to compete, which should be illegal. Regulate these businesses the way you know you morally and ethically should.
195. net neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60601
Keep NET NEUTRALITY !!!! Keep ISP companies accountable to Title 2!!! Ajit Pai you sick and so does your mug! I have better mugs!!!!
196. Paul Simmons, Chicago, IL, 60606
I would like to see us maintain the current net neutrality rules as they currently exist and not move to a system that can allow larger ISP's to dictate data usage based on arbitrary reasons.
197. DSchroeder, Chicago, IL, 60605
I am writing to urge you in the strongest possible terms to keep internet equality the way it is. The big phone and cable companies should not be allowed to discriminate against users and providers based upon content. Thank you for considering my opinion.
198. dan petrosko, Chicago, IL, 60605
It is very important to me to preserve net neutrality and the Title 2 provisions. ISP's should not be able to control who gets greater access based upon the highest bidder.
199. Ricardo Anzaldua, Chicago, IL, 60661
I support net neutrality and a free and open internet. Maintain broadband's classification under Title II.
200. LYNNAE R Parker, Chicago, IL, 60607
I think it's deplorable that the FCC doesn't consider upholding an open and fair Internet to be crucial at this time. Internet Providers and the FCC should exist to serve the consumers, not take advantage of us. Internet providers are essentially a monopoly as they exist and already take advantage of their consumers by charging whatever they want for subpar service with few other options available in most service areas. Softening on net neutrality will allow Internet Providers to further exploit Internet companies and consumers. This is a country of freedom, equality, and civic duty; please consider that when making such impactful decisions.
201. David Wolff, Chicago, IL, 60610
Dear Ajit Pai: Your assertion that Title II enforcement of net neutrality is unnecessary because there is currently no evidence of ISP abuse is akin to stating that, because there is no current evidence of slavery, we should no longer maintain or enforce the thirteenth amendment to the constitution. The argument that Title II enforcement stifles

innovation and investment is also specious at best. Indeed, ensuring that inventive upstarts are able to compete against corporate giants is a primary engine of investment. Your support for ending Title II enforcement betrays your complete lack of concern for the public good and exposes you as a shameless corporate shill, whose likely motivation is to ensure himself a lucrative, cushy gig with Comcast, AT&T, or Verizon (again). I would write "Shame on you!" and leave it at that, but I doubt that would have any impact. It's unlikely that anything I write will change your mind, but it's important that you know there are people who see through your misleading rhetoric and recognize your self-serving motivations.

202. Monika Singh, Chicago, IL, 60612
I am requesting that the FCC preserve net neutrality and Title 2.
203. Susan Del Toro, Chicago, IL, 60610
Please keep net neutrality strong. It is the fair and ethical thing to do.
204. David MacKay, Chicago, IL, 60622
I strongly support strong net neutrality protections backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's.
205. Nathan, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support strong Net neutrality! We need stronger laws for it.
206. NET NEUTRALITY, Chicago, IL, 60611
We need net neutrality under article 2.
207. Alex MacPhail, Chicago, IL, 60611
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY, AND PRESERVE TITLE 2!
208. Adam Huse, Chicago, IL, 60608
I am in support of net neutrality and do not want to see current regulations weakened on ISPs. Internet service and distribution should be treated as a utility and regulated appropriately to ensure equal and open access.
209. Wesley Groetsema, Chicago, IL, 60612
I support the protection of Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs™s!
210. Tom Jones, Chicago, IL, 60606
Net Neutrality matters to the people of the US, and the world
211. Ryan Sparks, Chicago, IL, 60622
I support Title II and Net Neutrality. I do not trust large multinational corporations to regulate themselves.
212. Benjamin Recchie, Chicago, IL, 60607
I am writing to support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I don't want my internet use to be affected based on what my ISP thinks of the service I'm trying to use; I want all bytes to be treated equally, regardless of their source or destination.
213. Timothy Troy, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The free discourse that this ensures is vital for our freedom, prosperity, and growth, both as a nation and as a species.
214. Net Neutrality And Title 2, Chicago, IL, 60661
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. The internet is one of the greatest inventions of all time and everyone should have equal access and rights. Especially in America, the land of the free where we have freedom of speech.
215. Benjamin Sexton, Chicago, IL, 60605
You work for the people of the United States, not the telecoms. There is literally zero benefit to removing net neutrality rules. If a company can not operate because they are not allowed to block content or throttle content then they should fail. That's how capitalism should work. Do your job, stop trying to harm the public, and stop being so transparent in your backing of only the telecom industry. We know you're lying and you're not good at it.
216. Kevin Harris, Chicago, IL, 60611
Do not get rid of Net Neutrality - preserve Title II. The Internet should be open and fair for everyone, regardless of means. Providers are already investing in network upgrades and changing the laws would not end up making the overall quality better. If the FCC truly is apolitical, it will listen to the American public's feedback, as it's well

document a majority do not supporting loosening net neutrality laws

217. Casey Clark, Chicago, IL, 60661
I strongly believe that ISP providers should remain in Title II to protect strong net neutrality laws. I would be very disappointed to see these providers moved back to Title I
218. Andrew Syrell, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I am writing in to say that I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! Please listen to the voice of the people and your actual constituents.
219. Ron Deffenbaugh, Chicago, IL, 60661
I support a strong title 2 assignment for FCC regulations and support of net neutrality
220. Chanel Thornton, Chicago, IL, 60621
I am a voting American. I support Net Neutrality. Please preserve Title II, regarding Net Neutrality.
221. John D, River Forest, IL, 60305
The internet is an important part of society and a massive piece of the economy. Allowing internet service providers to control the speed of the internet would damage the thousands of businesses that only exist on the internet.
222. Molly, Chicago, IL, 60611
Please hold ISP's accountable for net neutrality, and uphold Title 2. Companies should not be able to dictate internet speeds in order to push their content, or their agenda forward. It is biased, and unethical, and will tilt the scales in favor of big ISPs, in our rapidly growing and connected world.
223. Rebecca Heron, Chicago, IL, 60642
Keep net neutrality rules strong by regulating ISPs under Title 2. In many areas ISPs have a monopoly - Comcast is the only option in my home - so they need to be regulated to protect customers and provide fair access to the internet for small businesses.
224. Max A. Moio, Chicago, IL, 60605
I am a strong supporter of Net Neutrality, and strong regulation under Title II. I am in full support of regulations that expressly prohibit internet providers from using their position of power and service in any way that manipulates the requested data of their (often unsophisticated) customers.
225. Thomas Klein, Chicago, IL, 60651
I would like to ask you to preserve Net Neutrality as it currently exists. So, please do not put ISPs back under Title I -- keep them under Title II, where regulatory activity to suppress non-neutral ISP behavior is still possible!
226. John Cline, Chicago, IL, 60608
Please enforce Title 2 net neutrality. Frankly, the person running this has a conflict of interest and pushing the internet in the direction is taking away my freedom to do what I need to on the net. Stop messing with America please as all your fact for doing this are all wrong.
227. Jose A. Martinez, Chicago, IL, 60608
I am writing to strongly support net neutrality rules under Title II, which should be kept. The internet's potential for equality and freedom of expression should remain in place, with equal unobstructed access for all. ISPs should be heavily regulated in this, as those regulated cannot be counted on to regulate themselves at their whim, against their own potential financial interests.
228. Sarah Turner, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I oppose 17-108, and am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
229. David Jopp, Chicago, IL, 60607
If you pass this I will never vote Republican again. This is horseshit, you keep screwing the working people.
230. Nicholas Liveris, Chicago, IL, 60610
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's™s. Commissioner Pai should be embarrassed for selling out American consumers at the behest of greedy internet service providers. Pai works for us, the people of the United States, not Verizon, AT&T and Comcast. Oh that's right, he used to work for Verizon, so he's basically helping out his friends by doing their bidding. Giving ISP's the "freedom" to screw consumers by turning

the internet into a tollway is very underhanded. I dislike the diabolical nature of Pai's talking points. He's an insidious little shill for ISP's and he's unbearably unattractive. It's no coincidence that Comcast, AT&T, Verizon are among the most disliked companies of any industry in our country. ISP's make use of all manner of underhanded tactics to covertly increase the price of service with hidden fees, surcharges, mandatory equipment rentals, intentionally confusing terms of service, all while providing poor customer service and abysmal data speeds. Yes, welcome to the USA where you pay more and get less, because... FREEDOM! Allowing ISP's to carve out monopolies, oligopolies and literally write anti-consumer telecom law are among the many appalling aspects of being a internet consumer. I understand that with government, corruption is par for the course, and realize every single one of you are trying to help your friends and families get rich... and there's nothing regular people like me can do about it. Oh, except navigate this INTENTIONALLY confusing website so that less determined individuals will abandon their attempt to express their feelings. That circles back to my main point: Pai is intentionally trying to confuse less intelligent people by using terms like "freedom" so their knee-jerk reaction is to say, oh freedom, yes let's vote for it. In reality, the Pai and his cronies at the FCC are actually trying to take freedom away from consumers. Everyone at the FCC should be ashamed of themselves for trying to sell out American consumers for the sole benefit of ISP shareholders. You are all on the wrong side of history on this issue and you absolutely know it. Shame on every one of you.

231. David Jopp, Chicago, IL, 60607
Screw Ajit Pai

232. Joseph Miller, Forest Park, IL, 60130
This isn't about a luxury service—the internet is a repository of human knowledge and shared experience (and yeah, cat videos, sure) and denying equal access across the board in favor of corporate interest and profit is unfair and borderline tyrannical. While I understand a government controlled internet is no better than a laissez-faire approach, but meeting somewhere in the middle is the key to a successful service that benefits everyone. The best way to do that is to keep Internet Service Providers under Title II.

233. Daniel Bandola, Chicago, IL, 60602
To the FCC: I work in the IT industry and I have to demand you do NOT repeal the Net Neutrality laws enacted under the Obama administration. You will do irreparable harm to the Internet and countless small/medium businesses in America. If you repeal these laws, you will send a clear message to other countries that they are more competitive than us and drive our economy down. The American people WILL hold you accountable if you repeal this.

234. Jeremy Byers, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please preserve Net neutrality and Title II. It's the humane, right thing to do.

235. Alli Chite, Chicago, IL, 60661
I am for strong net neutrality under Title 2. Please retain this oversight to stop companies from exploiting the Internet.

236. Phil Thakdaiyli, Chicago, IL, 60601
This is clearly a case of a former private sector biased individual trying to bring about more favorable rules to his former employer rather than take the interest of the citizens of the US. If the FCC chairman can personally/financially guarantee that this will not cause the corporate ISPs to control the most free form of communication ever in existence, then by all means go ahead, but as he doesn't integrity or democracy involved in his analysis, he needs to keep Title 2 as is.

237. Tim Loef, Chicago, IL, 60607
I support strong net neutrality, as currently enforced via Title II regulation, and any subsequent regulation that prevents ISPs from being able to manipulate internet performance. The argument that "just because something hasn't happened, means it won't happen" as FCC Chair Ajit Pai suggests, is frighteningly ridiculous in any context, but especially when there are billions of dollars that speak to the contrary.

238. Stephen Hill, Chicago, IL, 60616
We must preserve net neutrality and keep the classification of broadband providers as "common carriers" to protect the public. Please do not harm the American people by undoing this! Please think just a little bit about the common people of this nation, not just big business interests. Thanks,

239. Suzanne Mascorro, Chicago, IL, 60612
I support net neutrality and want you to preserve net neutrality and Title II.

240. Vijal Patel, Chicago, IL, 60661
Net neutrality is important and required for a free, democratic society. If there are no requirements to remain neutral, then ISP's will route traffic according to highest bidder, effectively creating a monopoly on information and limiting our access to knowledge.
241. Dan Myers, North Riverside IL, IL, 60546
I support the keeping of current Net Neutrality rules that would classify the internet as a Title II communications/utility.
242. Melina Cossi, Chicago, IL, 60607
Keep ISPs under title II so they can't slow down or manipulate choices for consumers. I support net neutrality.
243. Ilya Stambolic, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please don't ruin net neutrality.
244. Kumar McMillan, Oak Park, IL, 60302
You must preserve Net Neutrality by keeping Title 2 in place for ISPs. Just look at cable TV where you have to pay for whatever channel you want to watch -- that's not the kind of Internet I want and it's not the kind of Internet that created explosive growth in global knowledge and interaction over the last two decades.
245. Vahan Koloyan, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support a free and open internet. I also support for ISPs to stay under Title II
246. Jason Bosnak, Chicago, IL, 60601
I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Can we please stop selling out to companies and hurting consumers?
247. Justin Brink, Chicago, IL, 60622
Please support Net neutrality. I, as an american, and a business owner, do not want to be shut out of what is currently a level playing field. I am against any changes to the current Title II rules.
248. David Jamieson, Oak Park, IL, 60302
We need Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The internet is a public utility and should be treated as such.
249. Shrey Mehrotra, Chicago, IL, 60601
Please let the internet stay free again. We support net neutrality.
250. Thomas Gintjee, 60612, IL, 60612
I strongly believe in the preservation of net neutrality and continued title 2 oversight of ISPs.
251. Rick Frimpong, Chicago, IL, 60642
I want to preserve strong Net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
252. Alec Hamer, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I support A Strong Net Neutrality backed by title two over site of isp's. We need to keep a free and open net for future generations!
253. Marc Ben-Rubin, Chicago, IL, 60616
I strongly support net neutrality and a free and equal internet and Title 2.
254. Jonathan Perkins, Chicago, IL, 60610
Please keep and cement strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Allow for manipulation of the internet for corporate gain not only disenfranchises U.S. citizens by allowing content to be even more targeted, it directly limits free market competition, which is a staple of capitalism, which this country was founded on.
255. Nathan Rabideau, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I strongly back net neutrality rules backed by Title II. The idea that companies would self-govern is troubling and not in the best interest of the public. To suggest such governance would take place is delusional. Also, Chairman Pai, your mug is ridiculous and makes you look like a clown with tiny hands, an apparent staple of the current administration.

256. Kelly Pollock, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please keep strong net neutrality rules in place and maintain the Title II classification.
257. Chris Taylor, Chicago, IL, 60642
Please keep net neutrality rules and oversight in place. An open and free internet is paramount to the freedoms of United States citizens.
258. Joel David Purcell, Chicago, IL, 60606
Don't think you can get away with this. You don't want this many angry habitual time wasters to start wasting their time toward you.
259. John Holton, Chicago, IL, 60642
I strongly support net neutrality and think it would be a terrible idea to dismantle it.
260. David Bottger, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Keeping the Internet neutral is essential to freedom and innovation, which are essential to the survival of the United States.
261. Kristin Progar, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support strong net neutrality via Title II oversight of ISPs, and I completely disagree with Ajit Pai's interpretation as to how this hurts investments within ISPs (as if the oversight is not needed than why does it matter whether or not it is in place to protect internet consumers). I will be contacting my senator and representative to urge them to vote against any repeal or new law that would change the "platform" as to how net neutrality is regulated.
262. Mohammad Naveed, Chicago, IL, 60602
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. To do otherwise will send a clear message to the citizens of this country that you care more about lining your pockets with special-interest-group money than about serving our needs. Thank you.
263. Andy Larkin, Chicago, IL, 60607
FCC should preserve net neutrality at it currently exists and keep all ISP governed under Title II.
264. Barrett Buss, River Forest, IL, 60305
Eliminating Net Neutrality rules will damage American competitiveness and prevent better companies from emerging from startup. It is vital that the net remain neutral regarding the content of packetized traffic. Please do not free AT&T, Comcast, Verizon, and others to enrich themselves while adding no value. Please do not allow ISP's to determine the winners and losers like some Soviet Commissar. Let the net remain a free and fair place where market forces and innovation determine the winners and show off the losers.
265. John Dunn, Chicago, IL, 60654
I strongly support the preservation of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The internet is arguably the greatest democratic inventions of all time. To make any room whatsoever for corporations to impede our ability to access any information we desire through this era-defining technology would be sickeningly shameful. Please preserve the internet and leave the power to decide what people experience to the people.
266. Greg Fullerton, Chicago, IL, 60606
I strongly do not support the removal of ISPs from title 2 requirements. In over 20 years of working with and helping start IT and tech companies, I have seen numerous examples of packet and protocol level traffic prioritization by various ISPs and without title 2 protections I see nothing to prevent them from doing so again,
267. Lauren, Chicago, IL, 60616
Net Neutrality is necessary to ensure a level playing field for everyone who uses the internet. Allowing companies to pick and choose what they will allow customers to see/use is a very slippery slope.
268. Camilo Gomez, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please Maintain the strict Net Neutrality rules that are currently guarded by Title 2 save guards. We need to continue having a fair and well regulated Internet for all.
269. Liuda Kucia, River Forest, IL, 60305
We strongly support Net Neutrality and want all ISPs to remain under Title II of Communications Act of 1934. Internet is a level playing field, stop tampering with it, please.

270. Courtney Bosh, Chicago, IL, 60607
Writing in support of strong Net Neutrality rules, backed by Title II.
271. Sergio da Silva, Chicago, IL, 60607
Internet neutrality would have an enormous impact allowing my ISP to decide what web traffic they prefer and then block or slow traffic to sites that compete with their own offerings! Moreover, they would have access to selling data about my internet usage, which then violates my privacy. The only way to avoid that would be to NOT use the internet, which is impossible for this day and age. It is the equivalent of allowing my telephone provider to listen in on my telephone calls to understand the details of my conversation.
272. Daniel Stone, Chicago, IL, 60601
The arguments being made for changing back to Title 1 are a joke and so is anyone who believes ISPs won't rig the system for their own benefit at everyone else's expense. Stop pandering to corporations and protect the people of this country before it's too late.
273. C.D. Bond, Chicago, IL, 60604
Please preserve internet neutrality protections and Title II
274. someone, Chicago, IL, 60603
I am in support of an open and free internet
275. Stronger FCC regulation, Chicago, IL, 60661
Please enact, keep, and strengthen net neutrality rules to help protect innovation or IP theft from larger companies.
276. Shawn Marsh, Chicago, IL, 60601
I support strong regulation of internet access and protection of net neutrality under Title II. Internet Service Providers should not be allowed to inhibit access to specific sites or information. The current rules should not be changed.
277. Janet From Accounting, Chicago, IL, 60610
I support net neutrality enforced by ISPs being classified under Title II. Changing that classification status would be catastrophic. With the country as divided and politically volatile as it is right now, the last thing you want to do is mess with people's internet and make a common enemy of yourself for all the trolls, SJWs, and Alt-righters.
278. Brandon Carter, River Forest, IL, 60305
Do the right thing, don't f%k this up.
279. Alexander Waldman, Chicago, IL, 60642
I strongly oppose docket 17-108. Despite the misleading title of "Restoring Internet Freedom," 17-108 actually removes regulations that force ISPs to act in the best interest of the consumer. Despite what ISPs may argue, there can be no benefits to data discrimination, and even offering a "discount" for certain types of data is tantamount to levying a tax on other non-prioritized data purely at the ISPs' discretion. Because ISPs are explicitly service providers, they should have no right to inspect data or charge consumers different amounts based on the type of data they utilize. ISPs must be strictly regulated under Title II and required to be fully data-agnostic. Docket 17-108 provides absolutely no benefit to anyone other than ISPs, and actively harms both consumers as well as the future of the internet as a medium.
280. net neutrality, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I am writing to support keeping the internet regulated under Title II. The unrestricted access to fast internet will have great benefits to future innovation and fairness. ISP's already have a lot of power and influence which will likely increase in the future. Keeping net neutrality will be the best choice for consumers. The only reason to change these rules are to allow large ISP's to make more money by restricting access and up-charging consumers for premium service.
281. Andrew Barlos, Chicago, IL, 60610
I, along with the massive majority of Americans who are knowledgeable enough to understand, strongly and passionately urge you to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. It is deeply un-American and blatantly unconstitutional to revoke these protections. It is also a shame and a slap in the face to the American people that a former Verizon lawyer is allowed to be appointed head of the FCC. Please do what is clearly right and protect the American people.
282. Tara Rossi, Chicago, IL, 60605

Keep TITLE 2 and Net Neutrality in tact.

283. Farah Innab, Chicago, IL, 60610
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. They are desperately needed.
284. Erik Liederbach, Chicago, IL, 60661
I want the FCC to preserve net neutrality and enforce Title 2. Do not repeal Title 2!
285. Vickie Bauer, Chicago, IL, 60661
I am for conserving net neutrality and believe that title 2 should not be repealed.
286. Matthew Albert, Chicago, IL, 60642
Just want to make it known that I feel ISPs should be kept under the Title II classification
287. Corey Campbell, Chicago, IL, 60616
American citizens want net neutrality under title 2 protection. ISPs should not be allowed to determine which sites are prioritized.
288. FCC, Chicago, IL, 60607
Please, please, please keep net neutrality. I can't stress this enough. Trusting corporations to do the right thing is just not sensible and has almost never worked!! We need net neutrality and I can't think of a good reason why we wouldn't just keep it!
289. John Kwoka, River Forest, IL, 60305
Dear Mr. Ajit Varadara Pail specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 Oversight of ISP's.
290. Cynthia Kelly, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Net neutrality needs to remain and strengthened so that for-profit companies cannot limit or price consumers out of open access to the internet. The internet should be treated as a utility, governed and regulated the same. Preserve net neutrality and Title II
291. Brian Ralston, Chicago, IL, 60611
I strongly support net neutrality, including maintaining Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank you for your consideration of this matter.
292. Alison Gates, Chicago, IL, 60610
Please restore net neutrality and title II protections.
293. Title 2, Chicago, IL, 60610
Keep net neutrality safe under title 2 protections
294. Peter Jackson, Chicago, IL, 60642
I strongly support Net Neutrality under Title II oversight, not Title I.
295. Michael Busking, Chicago, IL, 60607
Net Neutrality is essential to keeping and promoting fair trade and information on the internet. Do not allow Verizon, ATT and the other large corporations to further profit by extorting other service providers.
296. Mike Jersha, Chicago, IL, 60622
I strongly encourage the continuation of net neutrality and oppose ANY measures by the FCC to rewrite the rules.
297. Andreas Dahl-Hansen, Chicago, IL, 60642
I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Docket No. 17-108 will be very detrimental to the fundamental services that the internet serves today all over the world.
298. Katherine Hazen, Forest Park, IL, 60130
I strongly believe the FCC should protect net neutrality in adherence with Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Thank you.
299. Net, Chicago, IL, 60601
I support strong internet oversight provided by Title II
300. Hawngem Moy, Chicago, IL, 60608

I support Net Neutrality. Please Preserve free and open internets.

301. Mohammed Ali, Chicago, IL, 60605
I hope that, the freedom of expression, access to information and the equal footing given to all the internet website, that so helped attain access and admittance to some of the most prestigious institutes of this great nation, I hope that Title I & II remain both in their current form without the sacrifice of their intent for internet neutrality. Do not let corporate greed and falsification ruin what is the front runner of the free world and reduce your selves to something equal to internet favor-ability and bias like NK & the Middle East.
302. Dr. Michael Waters, Chicago, IL, 60622
The Internet has been a hot bed of technological innovation which, in part, depends on net neutrality to level the playing field for start-ups. If we want the US to continue to be a technological leader in the world, we must protect net neutrality.
303. Lindsay Flanagan, Chicago, IL, 60601
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please please do not roll back these rules!
304. Doni Nicholas, Chicago, IL, 60654
Maintaining net neutrality is vital, it should have the same rules as other utilities. Internet providers should not be allowed to slow traffic depending on dollars.
305. Sarah Vogt, Chicago, IL, 60605
Do not scrap net-neutrality because having an open and free internet is essential to innovate and to move our country forward.
306. karen nachtigall, Chicago, IL, 60611
I strongly approve net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs
307. Dana Todd, Forest Park, IL, 60130
I have worked in the internet in various roles since 1996, and I have watched it go through many changes. I find myself very concerned about where we are right now with internet providers and carriers of data. I am against treatment of OTT and other internet content as "differentiated" and thus subject to potentially different treatment in cost and functionality by carriers and data providers. While I am not happy that the Obama Net Neutrality solution was to reclassify internet as a "utility" and thus in the public sector (which will no doubt lead to pile-on taxes and fees by local and national legislators as they have with traditional telecom and electricity), I don't think that the alternate solution is to allow internet providers to selectively enforce non-commodity constraints on a commodity marketplace. Bits are bits; bytes are bytes. Charge for surge, sure, but based on usage elasticity models and not arbitrary reasons. It's very sad that the carriers are seeing their profits compressed as the innovations of the internet remove massive revenues from them (cable, voice calls, etc. are declining by 10%-15% annually), but I do NOT want to see us prop them up as we have other industries that couldn't evolve. If you want to support them, send them some subsidies but DON'T give them a license for highway robbery and obstructionism. An example concern would be their likely blocking of Whatsapp, Skype and other free calling, which will impact millions of Americans who are now able to talk to people around the world for free -- there is ample evidence that the carriers may try to play these kinds of shenanigans. They are a powerful lobby, but because of their power the US is the bottom of the developed world for slow data speeds and high costs right now, because the telecoms are allowed to hurt any evolution that they deem a threat. Do a deeper think on Net Neutrality, and ask yourself WHY we're having this discussion in the first place. No ISP cared about the nature of what was being carried on their pipes until their industries ran into trouble, and companies like Netflix started displacing their outdated cable business models. Now suddenly they want to discriminate this data packet vs that data packet...do not allow it, please.
308. Alexander Nelson, Forest Park, IL, 60130
I strongly support Net Neutrality through Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers"
309. Emily Cuny, Chicago, IL, 60661
Please maintain the highest levels of net neutrality and title 2.
310. Blake Korb, Chicago, IL, 60605
I STRONGLY urge the FCC to not roll back the net neutrality protections we put in place just two years ago. It's important for free speech and commerce and privacy on the internet. Thank you.
311. Jori Sheade, Chicago, IL, 60605
Preserve net neutrality and title 2! Keep our internet accessible to all!

312. R Cleveland, Oak Park, IL, 60302
We can not allow big companies to have clearance to do things that would hurt consumer freedoms. They will not ensure full freedom of the internet as we have multiple examples of ISP's blocking or reducing internet performance for customers using rivals services. If the FCC continues down this path of empowering companies to potentially do wrong again with no oversight, you are putting very selves in harms way of protecting what and how information is communicated to people all over the world, not just in the US. It is very important to keep regulation like this as a checkpoint for the just in case moment, versus the I'm currently powerless and can't do anything moment.
313. Will Bisset, Chicago, IL, 60605
I fully support Net Neutrality. I have significant concerns regarding deregulation of ISPs and allowing unfair balance to companies that simply pay for it. Keep ISPs regulated under Title II.
314. ISPs are utilities, Oak Park, IL, 60304
The internet is no different than electricity. Removing net neutrality will create even MORE inequality and divide us even more.
315. Silha, Cameron, River Forest, IL, 60305
Strong net neutrality rules are crucial in keeping the internet unbiased. The web isn't about business, it's about freedom.
316. R Cleveland, Oak Park, IL, 60302
We can not allow big companies to have clearance to do things that would hurt consumer freedoms. They will not ensure full freedom of the internet as we have multiple examples of ISP's blocking or reducing internet performance for customers using rivals services. If the FCC continues down this path of empowering companies to potentially do wrong again with no oversight, you are putting very selves in harms way of protecting what and how information is communicated to people all over the world, not just in the US. It is very important to keep regulation like this as a checkpoint for the just in case moment, versus the I'm currently powerless and can't do anything moment.
317. William Noonan, Chicago, IL, 60654
I am a passionate supporter of strong Net Neutrality - and Title II oversight of ISP's. Why is Commissioner Pai attempting to "fix" something that is clearly not broken. It's quite obvious to any informed observer following this issue that Commissioner Pai is acting in the interest of the largest players in the game - not the "little guys" and certainly not the consumer. The end result of such a strategy will be the stifling of both competition and Free Speech. Shame on you Mr. Pai!
318. Jon Balsarak, Chicago, IL, 60607
I do not support the roll back -- KEEP NET NEUTRALITY SAFE!
319. Samuel Neece, Chicago, IL, 60612
The termination of the Net Neutrality Rules is a step in the wrong direction if consumer protection is the FCC's ultimate goal, which it is since that is basically why this agency was created. Allowing companies to voluntarily abide by these rules through insertion into terms of service sets up innocent consumers to be taken advantage of due to the fact that the terms are written by attorneys in long, complicated documents that even attorneys, like myself, have trouble going through. And, assuming the ISP conglomerates decide to do this, and assuming consumers are aware/educated enough to ensure their ISP has such terms, there would be no to little oversight on the matter, meaning many of the nation's largest (and only, in some areas) ISPs to basically violate their own terms with little recourse to subscribers. Many people do not receive a choice in selecting an ISP, and the only recourse available to them is not having internet, which is a basic human right at this point. In short, the termination of the net neutrality rules is bad for consumers and is being pushed by the powerful ISP lobby as a way for them to make more money than they already do. If the FCC is not going to abide by its mandate, then there is no reason for the agency, which in turn would only do more harm to consumers than anything.
320. Matthew Chandler, Chicago, IL, 60606
I strongly support net neutrality & title II.
321. Nicholas Tolliver, Chicago, IL, 60610
Dear FCC, Please do not do away with net neutrality, it is the cornerstone of internet freedom. It is your job as regulators to make sure that the internet is for everyone and is not dominated by large private interests. A free internet is absolutely necessary in order to have a truly free press and true freedom of speech. In addition, net

neutrality helps countless small businesses, communities, and online enterprises. Please use common sense and keep net neutrality!!!

322. Ben Isabel, Chicago, IL, 60601
I'm leaving this comment in support of strong net neutrality rules and in support of keeping ISP's under the Title II classification. ISPs shouldn't be able to slow down certain websites just because that website/company's competitor paid them more money. Getting rid of the strong necessary net neutrality rules we have now would be a slippery slope.
323. Perry Holen, Chicago, IL, 60610
This decision is a massive mistake that is not at all in the interest of the citizenry. This is equipping ISPs to abuse monopoly power in non competitive markets to abuse customers. There is no competition in the ISP industry and this would only serve to raise prices and reduce quality of service. It is also very dangerous for new internet companies trying to grow in new competitive industries. Cable companies can decide who gets to play, and control all aspects of media, entertainment, and business. This allows for scary propoganda based situations and cuts off an extremely powerful tool from those that need it to find jobs or learn new skills. Very disappointed in Mr. Pai for this.
324. Jeffrey McIntyre, Chicago, IL, 60601
PRESERVE NET NEURALITY
325. Marquis Davis, Chicago, IL, 60617
Preserve net neutrality and strict regulation under title 2
326. Ben Korte, Chicago, IL, 60611
Please continue to protect the individuals from corporations controlling our net availability and freedom. They have used their "Terms and Conditions" to hide fees, data coverage and reliability before and will use it to impact their customers if you do not stand up for them.
327. 17-108, Chicago, IL, 60661
I strongly support maintaining net neutrality, and not allowing for any provisions for any sort of discretionary throttling of internet traffic.
328. Cynthia Deyanira Smith, La Grange Park, IL, 60526
I support Net Neutrality and want Title 2 to stay.
329. Mondher, Chicago, IL, 60601
CommentKeep the internet free and open! I stand with net neutrality!! Keep ISPs managed under Tittle II
330. Christine Grace Haaf, Chicago, IL, 60611
Net neutrality needs to be upheld. The internet cannot and should not be sold to private corporations. It is vital to democracy that the flow of information is not controlled by special interests. You're not fooling the American public into thinking that this is good for them. It is fairly obvious that Pai is trying to serve Verizon's interests - with the expectation of a kickback, financial or otherwise - with this legislation.
331. Jacob Robbins, Chicago, IL, 60607
Ultimately, as a degree'd engineer I find the concept of 'rolling back' regulations that have protected American Citizens freaking ridiculous! I do not believe any American citizens (outside of those that would directly profit) would support the proposed change. It is my opinion that if this proposed change was described in an easy to understand way - the majority of Americans would reject it. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
332. Nicolle Neulist, Chicago, IL, 60612
Title 2 oversight of ISPs should continue. Accountable government oversight is required to ensure neutral, non-preferential operation of the Internet.
333. William O. Drewett, Chicago, IL, 60601
I strong urge that you keep the strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Taypayers who helped pay the development of the internet deserve no less.
334. Debbie flenderson, chicago, IL, 60605
I strongly support Net Nuetrality. dont take it away you god damn sellouts

335. Jennifer Helfer, Forest Park, IL, 60130
Net Neutrality needs to remain under title 2 and untouched! This will be such a shitshow otherwise.
336. Syed Mohiuddin, Chicago, IL, 60601
Please preserve title 2 and net neutrality. It is quite shameful for Chairman Pai to pursue such a blatant policy that benefits ISPs, one of which being his former employer
337. Anton Melnikov, Chicago, IL, 60616
I strongly support Net Neutrality and its enforcement under Title II of the Communications Act.
338. Jason Heltzer, Chicago, IL, 60661
I am a venture capital investor. I have invested in technology startups that have created thousands of jobs and improved life for millions of Americans using their products and services. These companies pay a lot of taxes. Net neutrality allows innovative startups to have the same level-playing field as incumbents. This allows the free market to function where capital and talent flows to the best companies and ideas, regardless if they are new or established companies. This encourages innovation. Revoking these rules would give extraordinary advantage to large telecom companies and would stifle innovation. Consumers lose with fewer, mediocre choices. Citizens lose with a lower tax base. US becomes less competitive. The only winners are the large and less-innovative corporate incumbents. It is akin to having higher speed limits for USPS trucks vs. other carriers. It's blatantly anti-competitive even if the government paid for the infrastructure. I urge the FCC to keep the existing net neutrality rules in place, to keep the startup engine fair and to endorse continued capitalistic and fair competition.
339. Daniel Salcedo, Chicago, IL, 60654
We as a society have barely begun to comprehend the power of information and how it will influence people. Access to this information by ALL parties in as equitable way as possible is required so everyone gets a fairer chance at harnessing the power of information. Strong net-neutrality protections will help ensure that as they most valuable assets of society move from natural resources (oil, minerals, energy) to information everyone gets as fair access as possible to use, create, modify, sell, and distribute information. If just a few players can pay for the benefit of sharing information it will severely affect innovation and the overall progress of society. Thanks, Dan S.
340. Patricia Gentry, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I urge the FCC to preserve the net neutrality rules currently in force and keep ISPs subject to Title II.
341. Maria Valle Coto, OAK PARK, IL, 60304
I fully support strong net neutrality rules backed under Title II.
342. M Harvey, Chicago, IL, 60616
Do NOT abolish Net Neutrality! I use the internet for my career, as do most people, and I strongly believe capitalism, bribes, and pay-offs should not interfere in my ability to properly research information needed to do my job.
343. Paul Klinke, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. It is the right thing to do and you know it. Thanks:)
344. Anthony Bianchi, Chicago, IL, 60612
I urge the FCC to support Net Neutrality and an open internet. I urge the FCC to reclassify internet service providers (ISPs) as common carriers under Title II.
345. Eric, Chicago, IL, 60603
Please keep net neutrality and Title II the way it is. Do not give corporations more control over the internet. Thank you
346. Greg Schenk, Chicago, IL, 60644
Keep title 2 enforcement of isp providers
347. Jody C, Chicago, IL, 60606
I believe in preserving Net Neutrality, and want to keep Title II in place
348. Bobbi Nance, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I do not support reversing the Title II classification of internet service providers. Doing so will remove many regulations placed on big cable companies, allowing them to erect barriers and tolls that impede the free movement of data around the internet.

349. Katie Gregg, Chicago, IL, 60642
Equal access to online information is crucially important for the government to safeguard. We cannot allow corporations to police themselves, especially when they have material interests in not maintaining equal access for all users. Many companies are now not only providing access to the internet but also producing their own content; it is a clear conflict of interest if these companies are allowed to decide at which speed certain content can be accessed. It is vital for the FCC to maintain this important regulatory function.
350. Josh Jelesky, Chicago, IL, 60610
Please do not end net neutrality. You are increasing the power of corporations to increase rates and taking choice away from the consumer.
351. adam seithel, Chicago, IL, 60654
It is absolutely necessary to both preserve net neutrality and Title 2. I am in complete support for the treatment of an internet connection as a utility. Throttling or any opportunity for an ISP to affect my internet searches or content should not be legal.
352. Derin Alemlı, Chicago, IL, 60654
I strongly support net neutrality and believe that net neutrality should be a Title 2 issue. Anything else will lead to a rampant abuse of the disparities in access available.
353. Jacob Trevick, Chicago, IL, 60606
I am writing to express my disapproval of your proposed changes to internet service provider ("ISP") regulations. to no longer treat ISPs as Class II utilities. ISPs are functionally utilities, just like electrical, water, or even traditional phone companies. The internet that Americans have come to embrace is based on equal access from one node to any other node. Zero rating/preferred content providers is anathema to the way the internet works and should not be encouraged or allowed. Please reconsider this rule change and instead preserve net neutrality for all ISPs.
354. valerie wadlington, Chicago, IL, 60616
Keep title 11 in place. Do not change it per Pai's argument. Thank you as a citizen of the USA do not touch it
355. Crystal, Chicago, IL, 60606
I strongly support net neutrality, do not do away current policy that protects the general public's access to information.
356. Douglas Freymann, CHICAGO, IL, 60605
I am in SUPPORT of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II (of the Communications Act of 1934) oversight of Internet Service Providers. I am strongly OPPOSED to the impetus of docket No. 17-108. I am strongly OPPOSED to the title of the proceeding being termed "Restoring Internet Freedom".
357. Duschán Milojević, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I think that it is imperative that the FCC keeps and enforces net neutrality. Broadband providers would like nothing better than the legal opportunity to throttle a competitor's data stream. Thanks!
358. Michael Decero, Chicago, IL, 60642
I feel strongly that legislation should NOT remove ISPs from the title 2 designation. The regulation keeping net neutrality alive is vital for us to ensure ideas and voices are heard from everyone. Removing title 2 will give ISPs too much power to "drown out" the little man or woman.
359. Dayan Siddiqui, Chicago, IL, 60606
I am completely in favour of strong net neutrality and believe that ISPs should be under Title 2 legal classification. Looser regulations with regards to net neutrality would be disastrous for the freedom of information.
360. Kelly Soprych, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I support protecting strong net neutrality along with Title II. Leave Title II intact.
361. arthur, Chicago, IL, 60611
Net neutrality should remain as it is under Title 2.
362. Sivabalan Manivannan, Chicago, IL, 60610
I support strong net neutrality regulations. The internet should be treated as a public utility under Title II. ISP should NOT have any right to throttle traffic.

363. Chris Anderson, Chicago, IL, 60611
Myself and colleagues rely daily on an open internet to remain competitive. Destroying net neutrality would harm us and prevent us from competing in an open market – something Republicans should be all for. This issue has been settled already in the past, a change of president does not constitute a reason for changing it now.
364. Cathleen Flahardy Guarnery, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Therefore, I ask you to please preserve net neutrality and Title II.
365. Jennifer Barron, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I am in favor of protecting net neutrality. Net neutrality is vital.
366. Erik Kantz, Chicago, IL, 60601
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. These are my personal views and are not expressed on behalf of anyone else in any capacity.
367. Colin O'Brien, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I am in favor of strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II and urge the FCC to keep such rules in place.
368. James Baldwin, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Please support Net Neutrality and Title II Regulations!!!
369. meg coyne, Chicago, IL, 60602
I want everyone to have access to high speed internet. I believe it should be regulated. Thank you.
370. Mary Jane Tala, Chicago, IL, 60610
I am filing in strong support of net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It is important that the equal and open access to the internet that has served all users equally since its inception be maintained, rather than allowing commercial interests to crowd out citizens, or larger, more monied organizations to obtain unfair advantage over smaller ones in the one medium that has provided the closest thing to a level playing field as can be had. The internet should remain equally accessible to all. Please do not change that very important state that has worked so well for all so far. Thank you.
371. Ricardo Rodriguez, Chicago, IL, 60601
I am writing to state and show my support of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP
372. Libbey Paul, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Keep citizens in the driver seat -- not mega-companies with profit as their only goal.
373. Alisha Chan, Chicago, IL, 60604
Please preserve and protect net neutrality!
374. DMK, FOREST PARK, IL, 60130
KEEP THE INTERNET FREE AND OPEN. SIMPLE AS THAT. YOU KNOW IT'S RIGHT. DO THE RIGHT THING. GOD BLESS.
375. Elena Stein, Chicago, IL, 60661
What is wrong with you people? Keep the net neutrality regulations in place. Do you honestly think we don't know why Ajit Pai and his ilk are trying to do away with them? Leaving it to a former Attorney for Verizon to make decisions 'in the best interest of the people'? What a joke. Shame on you.
376. conor minnaugh, chicago, IL, 60605
The FCC believes that getting rid of Net Neutrality laws by relabeling them under new legal standing will help the nation. They are wrong, they want to do this because the Internet service providers want the ability to dictate what sites get faster access than others. This will destroy competition and ruin any aspect of a free market in American online businesses. Companies such as T-Mobile and Verizon have already done this act in the past by slowing down competitors. Do not get rid of Net Neutrality laws. It will only hurt the nation
377. Alex B, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support strong protections for net neutrality! Deregulation of certain things create more chances for exploitation and arbitrage, and this is one of those things.

378. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60611
I back strong net neutrality rules backed by Title 2. I oppose docket No. 17-108.
379. m swallow, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support net neutrality, and I do not want to see the regulations that are currently in place undone.
380. express, Chicago, IL, 60653
Net Neutrality is best served when isps are regulated by title 2.I have also contacted my senators and congressional rep about this important issue.
381. beena patel, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support title II rules for net neutrality!!
382. free-speah, oak park, IL, 60302
DO NOT change the net neutrality laws or FCC rules. Nothing good can come from the proposed changes under consideration. This NOT about the ISPs. The internet is now part of our fundamental rights. It is Free Speach. Be careful with our right to be heard in Real Time.
383. Sarah Feid, Forest Park, IL, 60130
I feel the FCC should continue to support Net Neutrality and an open internet by keeping Title 2 oversight of ISP. I have never had an interaction with my internet service provider that has lead me to trust them to do the right thing without being legally required to do so.
384. David Morrow, Chicago, IL, 60653
I strongly urge the FCC to keep current Net Neutrality guidelines in place by regulating internet service providers as telecommunications companies under Title II of the Federal Communications Act of 1934 in order to prevent practices such as throttling. The proposed proceeding 17-108 would only serve the financial interests of ISP companies at the expense of consumers and would limit public access to the internet.
385. Net Nutrality, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Keep the internet a neutral place!!!! Companies shouldn't have to pay money to make sure that users have the ability to get their contact. This is going to cause an undue burden on small business in America who will now have to pay to make sure that people are receiving their content.
386. Keegan Henry, Chicago, IL, 60610
I support net neutrality. Do not change the rules.
387. Rosemary Krimbel, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please maintain net neutrality rules and keep oversight under Title II. As a former cable regulator, I can attest to the need to keep large corporations in check.
388. John Garton, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not change this regulation.
389. net, Chicago, IL, 60611
I strongly support Net neutrality's Title II oversight of ISPs!
390. va, Chicago, IL, 60612
Go FCC yourself fuck head! Net neutrality now! Get your lobby verizon law ass off my search!
391. Nicholas Klein, Chicago, IL, 60607
As a software engineer working in the tech industry, I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108 and strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
392. Aleta.Bowers, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support strong net neutrality. The internet MUST remain neutral. The current restrictions on ISP's in Title II are needed.
393. Margaret Emish, Chicago, IL, 60624
Keep the ability to keep the net neutral! Do not reclassify back down to Title 1. Title II is completely correct.
394. Sheheryar Ahsan, Chicago, IL, 60611

Please keep Net Neutrality Alive, We need to have internet access open for all. It is necessary in today's society that ISPs keep the lanes equal, or else we will fail as a society.

395. David Schroeder, Chicago, IL, 60607
We need to maintain strong regulation over ISPs. Do not kill Net Neutrality.
396. Net, Chicago, IL, 60612
Keep Net Neutrality. Allow Title 2 to remain the legislation that equalizes the internet. America is about equal opportunity.
397. Elizabeth Christian, Chicago, IL, 60611
I strongly support strong net neutrality that's title 2 oversight of ISPs.
398. Thomas L. Brown, Chicago, IL, 60610
The Net Neutrality agreement of 2015 does not need to be amended or updated. Providers should not have the ability to sell or give for free a "fast lane" on the internet. Every user and provider of the internet should have equal access. The internet should not be for sale under any circumstance.
399. Justin Armes, Chicago, IL, 60654
Say no to striking down Net Neutrality provisions. To have a chief regulator that has made his money and will make even more money after this stint that is supposed to be regulating his past and future payors - is of ludicrously ignorant proportions. His precedent citations and viewpoints are clearly from the 2-3 companies that will benefit from the reversal of the Net Neutrality provisions. That alone should be cause for recusal.
400. Varun, Chicago, IL, 60611
In favor of net neutrality. Do the right thing.
401. Rachel Bednarczyk, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality in our society today and moving forward is vital.
402. Tom Deja, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Net neutrality is vitally important to the country's economy, as well as its place as a technological innovator on the world stage. Moving away from Title II will prove disastrous for everyone who is not a media conglomerate. Please do not repeal the current standards.
403. Anthony Hernandez, Chicago, IL, 60629
Ajit Pai, I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
404. Andrew Wuenstel, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I think the internet is a right that must be protected by the government and should be regulated to preserve equality of access from individuals to the sites they want to visit. This issue is important to me and affects my voting and the voting of everyone in my family (software consultants). The Trump administration should not pursue allowing payment highways for internet access.
405. J Bruni, Chicago, IL, 60607
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and so would nearly all Americans if they knew what it was. The FCC should not bow to the will of telecom companies, they should serve the public. Don't let Chairman Pai destroy equal access to information and commerce at the behest of his former employers. Citizens deserve accountability, not kleptocracy!
406. Michael Laszuk, Chicago, IL, 60602
Please keep strong Title II and Net Neutrality.
407. Max Moss, Chicago, IL, 60610
I back net neutrality supported by title 2
408. Harold Carpenter, Chicago, IL, 60605
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. We need our internet to be open and free
409. S. Mike, Chicago, IL, 60606
We need strong net neutrality! Do not water down the regulations that give all users of the internet equal and free

access to all content on the internet. Do not let ISPs manipulate what content I can receive!

410. dave borowski, Chicago, IL, 60654
Please keep strong net neutrality legislation and back it by title 2
411. Michael Dorries, Chicago, IL, 60603
The internet should remain free and open. ICP should never be able to slow down services and give fast lane priority to any other company. The internet should be treated as a basic human right. We live in a digital area and restriction of this resource can make or break start up companies. Keep net neutrality in place and strong!
412. Radomir Jurewicz, Chicago, IL, 60601
Reclassifying ISPs away from their present Title II will cause damage that would be impossible to solve in a different system, as it would eradicate important protections for the consumers, whom you claim you want to protect.
413. John Gagnon, Chicago, IL, 60622
As a professional artist I benefit daily from a free and open internet that allows me to find different sources of inspiration from a variety of websites and providers. It has allowed me to compete on the same playing field as others within my craft, and it helps grow grassroots communities that benefit all artists like me.
414. jacqueline birkel, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support Title II and urge the FCC to uphold the strong net neutrality standards associated with Title II. America must preserve Title II.
415. Kory Fox, Chicago, IL, 60654
Maintain strict Net Neutrality regulations. Preserve net neutrality and Title 2.
416. Daniel J Rodde, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Do not do away with Title2 regulations protecting net neutrality. Individual businesses cannot be relied upon to govern themselves in this, or any other, matter, when profit motive alone drives their decisions.
417. Von Myart, Chicago, IL, 60653
I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am OPPOSED to Docket No. 17-108.
418. Ashley, Chicago, IL, 60616
I support strong net neutrality laws allowing for a free and open internet. Do not reclassify ISP's. Allowing ISP's to discriminate against content companies is essentially giving them the ability to blackmail those with enough money to pay. Smaller companies and independents will be crushed.
419. Steven Pietrick, Chicago, IL, 60602
I thought this fight was long done. There is absolutely overwhelming support for net neutrality, and yet, here we go again just because some new Chair gets into power. My opinion has not changed, except to be in more in support of net neutrality. Further, I can barely believe that the FCC Chair is considering weakening oversight of internet service providers under Title II.
420. Julian Ponsetto, Forest Park, IL, 60130
Hello Ajit Pai and Co....I would like to take this opportunity to remind you that you are public servants, and the vast majority of the American public is in favor of, and benefits from, net neutrality. I would hope that you examine your personal morals before siding with corporations that only aim to profit off of consumers.
421. Kelly Leffler, Chicago, IL, 60612
I want the FCC to strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
422. Derek A Stephens, Chicago, IL, 60602
we deserve to keep net neutrality and title II.
423. Kerri Cohen, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support net neutrality. Please do not allow the decision on which information is available to internet users to be sold to the highest bidder.
424. Caitlin Helinski, Chicago, IL, 60611
I as an American Citizen are demanding that you keep Net Neutrality Rules intact under TITLE II. We deserve a

fair internet for all.

425. Zach Wulf, Chicago, IL, 60654
You're lying to all human beings alive if you deny the importance of this absolutely necessary requirement for the protection of the entire world being taken advantage of. We can't consciously choose to sacrifice the well being of 7 billion people for a few corporate scumbags looking to steal billions of dollars in profit by controlling this issue.
Neutrally,Zach Wulf
426. Ann, Chicago, IL, 60607
We strongly support Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight.
427. Peter Crandall, Bellwood, IL, 60104
I strongly support Strong Net Neutrality back by title two over site of ISP s
428. Ray Mattila, Chicago, IL, 60601
I strongly support net neutrality rules back by title ii oversight of ISPs. Thank you.
429. Suzanne Ritzler, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Preserve an independent Internet by maintaining regulation under Title II. An open Internet supports innovation and growth and is the only choice consistent with our American values.
430. karel sorgeloos, chicago, IL, 60616
strongly support net neutrality, keep article II oversight!! thanks
431. Nathaniel Ertel, Chicago, IL, 60622
I oppose docket 17-108. I support strong net neutrality. And I support keeping ISPs classified under title II.
432. Lottie Broadway, Oak Park, IL, 60304
NET NEUTRALITY FOREVER THANKS
433. Mark Sheahen, Chicago, IL, 60616
I strongly support preserving the current net neutrality rules that regulate ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Do not roll this back!
434. Danielle Balinski, Chicago, IL, 60608
Please keep rules for net neutrality and hold ISPs to title 2 rules.
435. Nancy Parra, Chicago, IL, 60661
I STRONGLY support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight by ISPs.
436. Deb Goodman, Oak Park, IL, 60301
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Net neutrality and Title II must be preserved.
437. Joshua Super, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I strongly back net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs
438. Michael Stein, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please protect Title II. We give these companies public rights of way, we should receive equal access to those pipes.
439. Jon McManus, Chicago, IL, 60654
Do not let the internet ever not be neutral, ever.
440. Patrick Nee, Chicago, IL, 60622
I strongly support net-neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
441. Margaret Bradley, Chicago, IL, 60611
I strongly support Net Neutrality and it's classification under Title II, without this not only would small business suffer, but middle class Americans as well. The internet has increasingly become part of human society and so much of our lives and livelihood rely on it. Access to the internet is a basic human right and should be/stay classified and regulated as a utility.

442. Fernando Garcia, Forest Park, IL, 60130
Please keep title 2. Internet neutrality.
443. ashish, Chicago, IL, 60610
Net neutrality is freedom. Not this bill. Letting the ISPs control user content is the opposite of freedom for the people. You work for the people, not the corporations.
444. Amit Kumar, Chicago, IL, 60606
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. The internet is a part modern society's infrastructure, DO NOT commoditize it anymore than you already have. You will be taking away the closest thing we have to equal opportunity, please do not do that. Thank you.
445. Jacob Shavlik, Chicago, IL, 60606
I believe ISPs need to be subjected to Title II classification in the interest of fairly sharing information across the internet. Please consider protecting the human citizens of our nation and our access to free, fair speech.
446. Cheesecake Pendleton, Chicago, IL, 60603
Net neutrality is important, guys!!
447. Gregg Sewell, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Net neutrality is essential to our way of life in this digital age! Please do not revoke it.
448. Daniel Grafton, Forest Park, IL, 60130
Please preserve strict regulation of net neutrality through Title II.
449. Angela Yeung, Forest Park, IL, 60130
Please preserve strict regulation of Net Neutrality under Title 2.
450. Katheryn Vukson, Chicago, IL, 60607
Continue to protect Net Neutrality. Regulation requiring ISP's to conduct themselves in a responsible manner, by prohibiting them from selling our access to information to the highest bidder, is absolutely essential. Ajit Pai's desire to attack and dismantle the current protections is morally reprehensible.
451. Sarah Cammelot, Chicago, IL, 60606
Please protect free speech by classifying ISPs as common carriers, subject to Title II regulations. Ensuring that the internet is as free and open to all equally, as the telephone lines have been for decades, is key to protecting free speech and encouraging innovation which will be blocked by corporate interest.
452. makema kromah, Chicago, IL, 60612
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. This DOES NOT need to change.
453. Mark Komorowski, Chicago, IL, 60607
I am strongly opposed to any change providing less stringent oversight of any ISP or leaving the fate of "net neutrality" up to the companies themselves. Please do not remove the oversight of Title II. If in doubt of what to do, please do the exact opposite of what Ajit Pai would like to do in any given situation.
454. Jenny Perez, Chicago, IL, 60601
I would like to voice my support of preserving Net Neutrality. Please keep the Title 2 designation.
455. marcy gorrell, Oak park, IL, 60304
We need net neutrality! To expect corporations to protect consumers is outrageous. Your job is to protect consumers. If you think it won't make a difference then do it anyway to avoid hurting the public you serve. Win-win.
456. Sean, Chicago, IL, 60604
Net Neutrality is vitally important to small business - as well as economic growth, civic empowerment, and political activism!!!!!!
457. Mark Adkins, Chicago, IL, 60612
Protect net neutrality. This would hurt the end consumer. This does not need to change.
458. Valerie Achterhof, Oak Park, IL, 60302

I support net neutrality. Voluntary regulation has never worked and never will.

459. David Berman, CHICAGO, IL, 60610
As an American citizen, net neutrality is extremely important to me and my sense of freedom. Please act in the consumer's best interest, not in corporate interests, and keep net neutrality in place.
460. Robin Ficke, Chicago, IL, 60654
Net Neutrality is critical to freedom on the Internet and must remain regulated under Title II of the Communications Act.
461. Elizabeth Burnett, Forest Park, IL, 60130
I support strong net neutrality regulations under Title II. Do not trust internet service providers to self-regulate. The public interest in a free internet without content bias is too important.
462. Robert Creek, Chicago, IL, 60616
I am in strong favor of current net neutrality rules. Please keep net neutrality rules in place.
463. Benjamin Zerante, Chicago, IL, 60607
I strongly support rigorous net neutrality protections. Please do not backtrack or amend the protections already in place.
464. Ellen Sullivan, Chicago, IL, 60651
I hate Verizon. Their service sucks ass, they would probably turn a better profit if they didn't suck so hard. Keep Net Neutrality, keep it in Title II.
465. Elyse, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support a strong net neutrality under Title II regulation. Please preserve these regulations!
466. Claudine Consalvo, Chicago, IL, 60654
Please continue to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II
467. Henry, Chicago, IL, 60610
Don't revise the Open Internet Order. Reclassifying broadband Internet access as a telecommunications service subject to Title II of the 1996 Telecommunications Act was the right decision. Treating broadband service as a telecommunications service, rather than as an information service (as it had previously been classified), gives the FCC enormous regulatory leverage with which to enforce net neutrality principles and obviates the problem that has hindered the FCC's previous attempts at regulating broadband service—judicial unwillingness to read section 706 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996 as a broad grant of statutory authority to regulate broadband providers. Plus changing the classification and then changing the classification again introduces major State Farm problems. Why keep this area of the law in flux? Certainty and predictability will serve the telecommunications industry and the public far better than this handout.
468. Vincent C. Dougherty, Chicago, IL, 60651
Keep Net Neutrality with Title 2 intact as is. Do not change it. Period. Also express to your chairman that he should resign.
469. Victor Weffer, Chicago, IL, 60606
It is important that we support strong net neutrality rules and title two. We should not dismantle what has been put in place to protect equality on the internet. We should not allow ISPs to control the speeds at which content is provided based on the relationship they may have with the content provider. The speed to access the content should always be the same.
470. Matt Cotter, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I firmly support an open and fairly priced internet by governing ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act.
471. Michael Lang, Chicago, IL, 60615
The internet was declared to be a basic human right by the United Nations back in 2011. Taking this step to restrict the internet in any way, shape or form; therefore, is a violation of human rights. If this is passed, it will be the first step in the restriction of all peoples within this nation. We have more than enough to deal with with the passing of one disgraceful piece of legislation through the house, that being the ACA replacement that does away with the right to affordable health care to any and all in need and giving it to those that can already afford it.

472. kimberley stedman, Chicago, IL, 60601
Keep the Internet free and fair!
473. Vincent Francone, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please preserve strong net neutrality rules and Title II. Please.
474. lynne lieberman, chicago, IL, 60622
Keep net neutrality - thanks!
475. Sarah Cooper, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support strong net neutrality laws backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
476. Sonny Patel, Chicago, IL, 60607
net neutrality is not a partisan issue, but a class issue: those who wish to secure markets versus those who have no choice but to consume from those markets. i find it to be my federal government's obligation to ensure the rights of the consumer are protected by protecting net neutrality through regulation under Title 2 .
477. Michael Govaere, Chicago, IL, 60622
I support Title II and strong net neutrality!!
478. Steve Menhennett, Berwyn, IL, 60402
I am writing to ask you to preserve the free net and continue to support Title 2.
479. Morgan Smith, Berwyn, IL, 60402
Preserve net neutrality and keep ISPs under title II!
480. Michael Walters, Chicago, IL, 60616
Preserve net neutrality and the title II classification of Internet Service Providers (ISPs).Maybe watch this video for fun afterwards: <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=92vuuZt7wak>
481. Reid McMillan, Chicago, IL, 60601
Please protect net neutrality. Having equal internet access should be a right for all businesses the same way all people should have access to public parks regardless of how much money they make. We all pay taxes that fund public services, but if people were allowed to pay more for fire trucks to come to their house before others or for the police to only work in their neighborhoods it would infringe upon our rights as US citizens and defile the very solidarity through which our country functions. The same is true for the internet. If a business is already paying for the service of internet access, why should they have to pay to be higher up on a priority list? If that is the case, where does it stop? This will almost certainly create an environment where the richest companies can keep out current competition and small businesses who don't have the capital to compete. Our laws should protect us from a company's ability to use money as a blunt weapon. Please keep these ISP's classified under Title II and protect net neutrality. Thank you.
482. James R. Litzow, Chicago, IL, 60601
I support strong protections for net neutrality and urge the FCC to retain ISP's classification under Title II of the Communications Act.
483. Michael Deliberto, Oak Park, IL, 60302
The internet service provider marketplace is regulated in a manner that creates an extremely high barrier to entry, preventing competition. Example: Regulations exist that prevent municipalities from providing internet service while at the same allowing bidirectional speeds, service delivery and access to languish due to the lack of competition.To consider removing the requirements that require equal access (Net neutrality) only continues this trend further. Put the citizens first here not the ISPs. The internet needs to be free of manipulation.
484. Sahil Gaba, Chicago, IL, 60610
Net neutrality is a must for healthy competition and budding startups.
485. Pamela Penney, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I am in support of strong net neutrality rules remaining in place and continuing to be enforced. Do not roll back the Obama era restrictions currently in place.
486. Karthik Hariharan, Chicago, IL, 60661
The internet MUST remain neutral. The current restrictions on ISP's in Title II are needed. Money will always do

the talking and without the current restrictions, the siren call of money will pull ISP's happily back into the realm of favoritism to whoever pays and at the end of the day the world and the consumers will be poorer for it.

487. Terry Clarbourn, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I support continued strong net neutrality and I hope you do too.
488. Tom Smurr, Chicago, IL, 60605
I do NOT support 17-108. I support net neutrality as an employee of a start up business that continues to grow under a free internet.
489. Jennifer S Kim, Chicago, IL, 60611
Please save net neutrality and title II.
490. Corey Hornbeck, Chicago, IL, 60612
Ajit Pai...I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Getting rid of regulations on this would only allow ISPs to "pick and choose" which companies/users get better data transfer. Saying that Comcast favoring their television shows more than Netflix is a hypothetical demonstrates a lack of foresight on your end. In this case, Comcast has absolutely no benefit from providing service to Netflix. Please realize that allowing companies to do whatever they want is detrimental to society.
491. Mark Weiss, Chicago, IL, 60605
I would like the FCC to retain the current rules regarding net neutrality Otherwise I do not believe that the ISPs and carriers will do what is in the best interest of the public.
492. Net, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I support STRONG Net Neutrality laws!
493. Maureen Jesuthasan, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please maintain net neutrality Type 2 intact
494. Tia Pappas, Chicago, IL, 60611
Preserve net neutrality backed by Title III!!!!
495. Maureen Jesuthasan, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please keep this proceeding (107-108 Type 2) intact
496. Mark Hutson, Chicago, IL, 60611
All ISPs should continue to be governed by title II
497. Eric Reed, Chicago, IL, 60607
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's. Internet and the flow of information belongs to the people, not corporations. Do not rollback current regulations
498. Jason Settle, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title II of the communications act of 1934. The move to regulate ISPs under stricter standards is vital to our competitiveness as a country and is an important backstop for the freedom to innovate and launch new entrepreneurial. This is particularly important in this age of ISP's also owning content operations as is the case with Comcast, Time Warner, and others. Do not wind this regulation back to classifying internet service providers under title I of the act.
499. Bruce McNulty, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please preserve net neutrality in its current state: title 2 oversight
500. Joe Plotkin, Chicago, IL, 60610
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! I am opposed to the Restoring Internet "Freedom" Act. I oppose Docket No. 17-108.
501. Mary Miroballi, Chicago, IL, 60654
Keep net neutrality and Title 2. Thank you.
502. besiana shehu, Chicago, IL, 60616
I support net neutrality under title 2. Please don't dismantled it

503. Scott Lipson, Chicago, IL, 60611
FCC must preserve net neutrality protection under title II. It is the only way to prevent ISPs from predatory practices
504. Patrick Schneider, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support strong net neutrality and support of Title II oversight of internet service providers (ISPs)
505. David Whitney, Chicago, IL, 60622
I strongly support continued net neutrality and encourage the FCC to do so
506. Cheyenne Allen, Chicago, IL, 60603
Net neutrality is vital for the continuation of non discriminatory internet access and I do not agree that it should be removed.
507. Christopher Cole, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. As an IT professional, Net Neutrality is central to my employment and position in the economy. Restrictions of any kind based on the decisions of commercial entities is undesirable and adverse to continued success as a business.
508. CYJ Park, Chicago, IL, 60603
Please don't take away net neutrality, it is extremely essential for smaller companies so that they don't get wiped out by bigger companies.
509. Chirag Mehta, Chicago, IL, 60601
I strongly support classifying all ISPs under Title II (two).I ask that you commit to keeping that regulatory structure in place for ISPs.
510. Laura Nickerson, Chicago, IL, 60605
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am Opposed to Docket No. 17-108! Please don't let them slow down our internet for profit :(
511. Comment, Chicago, IL, 60605
Keep the internet the same! No Slow/Fast Lanes. Protect Net Neutrality!
512. matthew a senko, Chicago, IL, 60605
I am in favor of strong net neutrality rules supported by title 2. Oliver's mug is better than Pai's
513. Brian STrickland, Chicago, IL, 60607
Please do what is right. Please.
514. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60654
I specifically support the upholding of strong net neutrality as backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
515. Steven Mills, Chicago, IL, 60606
Hello, Please keep net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
516. Tricia Riskin, Chicago, IL, 60654
I support net neutrality and keeping ISP's categorized under title II.
517. Jennifer Doty, chicago, IL, 60607
I am a voter and I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.Thank you
518. Agustin Oliva, CHICAGO, IL, 60611
Keep Net Neutrality! Keep ISP on Title 2
519. Riccardo M Nunziati, Chicago, IL, 60611
To Whom It May Concern:A free and open internet is the single greatest technology of our time, and control should not be at the mercy of corporations. A free and open internet stimulates ISP competition. A free and open internet helps prevent unfair pricing practices. A free and open internet promotes innovation. A free and open internet promotes the spread of ideas. A free and open internet drives entrepreneurship. A free and open internet protects freedom of speech. Without an open internet, big corporations would have tight control over how we access websites and services.

520. Art Kubin, Chicago, IL, 60707
The internet should remain neutral to encourage new businesses have the same rights to speed as established businesses.
521. Brian Doyle, Chicago, IL, 60601
I strongly oppose this proceeding. Preserve title 2!
522. Kevin Altier, Chicago, IL, 60607
Please maintain the currently implemented net neutrality rules and Keep ISPs classified as title 2.
523. Todd Murphy, chicago, IL, 60622
I support net neutrality rules for internet providers and want them to remain under title II rules.
524. josh soeren, Chicago, IL, 60607
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY UNDER TITLE 2! Open and fair internet access is extremely important.
525. Talia Camalo, Chicago, IL, 60610
I very strongly support net neutrality, with Title II ISP oversight.
526. mia casale, Chicago, IL, 60610
I am writing to request that you maintain net neutrality and title 2.
527. Sam Dreyer, Chicago, IL, 60601
I am strongly opposed to this docket and believe in actual net neutrality. This is clearly a power and money grab by ISPs and will only kill the spirit of entrepreneurship and start-up culture that the internet and America pride themselves on.
528. Kate Zinsser, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I support preserving strong title two net neutrality rules!
529. Michael D'Agostino, chicago, IL, 60611
Preserve Net neutrality. Nobody should be able to control the internet, thats what makes it so special. thank you
530. kelsi o, Chicago, IL, 60611
I strongly support net neutrality. I believe open and equal access to the internet is fundamental to American values of free speech and opportunity.
531. adam, Oak park, IL, 60302
Please keep net neutrality and internet a part of title II (2).
532. Andy Decker, Chicago, IL, 60624
I strongly support net neutrality regulations and Title II regulation of ISPs. Allowing ISPs to regulate themselves will be a massive burden for consumers and could stand to heavily damage the economy of the internet as a whole. Preservation of net neutrality can only serve to benefit society and the freeness and openness of the internet.
533. Danny D. Schenk Jr., Chicago, IL, 60605
I support and want to preserve strong NET NEUTRALITY backed by TITLE II over site of Internet Service Providers.
534. David Green, Chicago, IL, 60624
I oppose 17-108, and I support a strong net neutrality supported by Title II. The freedom to remove an open web for the rest of us will consolidate freedom in the hands of a few large companies and remove freedom from every ecommerce company and customer. Those of us who are small business owners and operators do not need the freedom to pay extra fees to be available to multiple portals or customers of certain internet providers. There is no reason for the internet to be partitioned in order to line the pockets of 4-5 domestic internet providers.
535. Brian Bridgford, Chicago, IL, 60607
Strict enforcement of Net Neutrality and Title II as it relates to internet access is an absolute necessity. To do otherwise would be to the detriment of every single person that uses the internet.
536. Gregory, Chicago, IL, 60603
The FCC needs to retain net neutrality under Title 2 to protect consumers.

537. Jeff Gorski, Chicago, IL, 60654
Net neutrality is crucial as a consumer and its removal does not correspond to traditional republican values of a fair and free market. As consumers, what we hope for is the ability to make our own choices based on all available information. However, if control of content and bandwidth goes to the ISPs then they will have an unfair competitive advantage. This is neither free market or consumer-centric. Please maintain net neutrality, for the benefit of all consumers.
538. Katherine Fell, Forest Park, IL, 60130
I am writing to express my strong support for Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
539. Jeffrey Johnson, Chicago, IL, 60616
Net Neutrality should be not politicized nor have significant advantage to the companies that work in it. This should be able the people of the country and their fair access to information. Title II should be protected at all costs. Ajit Pai was a former lawyer for Verizon and thus cannot distance himself from the subject to make fair and impartial decisions regarding this matter. He has a conflict of interest. Removing Title II is unacceptable. Furthermore, Ajit Pai should recuse himself from this decision.
540. Anabel, Chicago, IL, 60622
I support to preserve strong net neutrality neutrality rules and Title II
541. Cassidy Rowe, Chicago, IL, 60602
I implore you to preserve net neutrality and Title 2. It is extremely important that we safeguard these things.
542. Hitesh Patel, Chicago, IL, 60611
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II protection. Do not loosen regulations to allow the internet pipes to slow down certain providers or give preference to certain providers or shows.
543. Claire Salling, Oak Park, IL, 60302
We support net neutrality under Title II - do not allow ISPs to throttle, filter, or in any way adjust or curate the content that flows through their network.
544. Aadil, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support strong enforcement of net neutrality. Please leave ISPs classified as Title 2.
545. Erin Baum, Forest Park, IL, 60130
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. In no uncertain terms should Ajit Paint (a former lawyer for Verizon) be allowed to roll back consumer protections that would allow Internet providers to put their own monetary interests over freedom of information.
546. Jacob Gold, Chicago, IL, 60605
I strongly support protecting Net Neutrality by maintaining ISP regulation under Title 2 of the 1934 Communications Act. A free and fair internet is the new frontier of American freedom and entrepreneurial openness.
547. michael, Chicago, IL, 60610
I support net neutrality and would like it to be law.
548. Elaine Carlson, Chicago, IL, 60607
I urge you to keep strong Title II net neutrality rules.
549. Josh McClure, Chicago, IL, 60611
I am opposed to reclassifying ISPs Under Title I. ISPs should be considered a utility as for most wireline subscribers there is one cable company and one telephone company you can purchase your access to the world's information. If we allow corporations to give priority to one kind of data or another, for their gain, (as opposed to needful for congestion management) we go down the same slippery slope that Verizon beat the FCC on several years ago.
550. A Victorsen, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please leave ISPs under Title II
551. Linda Clark Duffy, Oak Park, IL, 60302
For Heaven's sake, do not weaken or get rid of current net neutrality rules under title II. Please listen to the citizens'

wishes and concerns instead of big business. Thank you, Linda Duffy

552. Michael Harris, Chicago, IL, 60611
Please keep net neutrality in place as I do not believe it is fair for an internet provider to be able to speed up or slow down internet speeds based on whatever criteria they deem appropriate. I feel most providers already take advantage of users and don't always follow their current terms of agreement. Thank you
553. Sean Lewis, Chicago, IL, 60653
I support strong net neutrality by enforcement of Title 2 designation on ISPs.
554. Sarah Stanley, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I fully and wholeheartedly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and the FCC should do all it can to protect it.
555. cain baum, Chicago, IL, 60653
I support strong net neutrality by enforcing title 2 designation on ISP's.
556. Jonathan R Walker, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs I am among the hundreds of thousands opposed to Docket No. 17-108 The open internet is a human right that is not to be infringed by greedy corporations
557. Erica Di Pirro, Chicago, IL, 60611
Please keep the strong net neutrality laws with the rules from Title II. We need the ISPs to be completely neutral and we need you to keep it that way.
558. Mackenzie Allen; Haven Allen, Chicago, IL, 60607
We urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules that are backed by Title II.
559. C. Cain, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please preserve NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II!!!!
560. Saam Hagshenas, Chicago, IL, 60642
Please preserve Net Neutrality. I support regulating ISPs under Title II.
561. Rachel Broadhurst, Chicago, IL, 60661
I specifically support the preservation of strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank you.
562. oliver rorick, chicago, IL, 60610
Please keep all isp's under title 2. We need stronger regulation to prevent them from being able to throttle specific traffic. Also, that Reese's mug is stupid.
563. Michael Thompson, Forest Park, IL, 60130
Please restore title 2 and hold the internet companies accountable to preserving net neutrality.
564. Deana Herrman, RIver FOrest, IL, 60305
Please support net neutrality. I would like to be able to connect to whatever website I choose and to be in control of the experience. Net neutrality encourages free speech. Title II classification will continue to protect a free and clear internet for all.
565. Sean Brice, Chicago, IL, 60612
The end of net neutrality serves no one but the ISPs. It allows for numerous conflicts of interest and would not be in the best interest of the American public. Our country depends on fair and equitable access to all the information the internet has to offer. Please maintain neutrality.
566. Russell Peacock, Chicago, IL, 60661
I support strong net neutrality regulations including title 2 oversight of ISPs.
567. Carly Weiss, Chicago, IL, 60654
I support a strong net neutrality under Title 2 regulating ISPs. I also support normal sized mugs.
568. Rachel Francis, Chicago, IL, 60601
I support maintaining strong net neutrality backed by Title II.

569. Kimi Matsumura, Chicago, IL, 60607
I strongly support internet neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. The internet is a powerful tool, and we need to prevent big companies from taking an unfair advantage.
570. Amanda Arndt, Chicago, IL, 60605
Maintain Net Neutrality and Title II. please
571. John Burger, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support continuing net neutrality with Title 2 protection. It is a critical tool for small and emerging businesses.
572. Amber Holzmeister, Chicago, IL, 60605
Net Neutrality is fundamental in the 21st century. It cannot be compromised.
573. Hannes Nord Nilsson, Chicago, IL, 60602
We support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Removing this would be a huge mistake.
574. John C Kettering, Chicago, IL, 60622
I strongly support Net Neutrality and I strongly support keeping the Internet and ISP's COMMON CARRIERS. NOBODY wants this to change. Do not sell our last bastion of free expression and speech to ISP's and cable companies.
575. Thomas, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support strong net neutrality policy with title 2 categorization for internet service providers
576. Ajiboye Olayemi, Chicago, IL, 60622
Preserve Net Neutrality and the enforcement of Title II.
577. DR Still, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support keeping the current net neutrality regulations with ISPs classified under title II. Please keep our internet free.
578. Nathaniel Ford, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Boy, I sure do like it when net neutrality is backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I might feel differently if ISPs weren't so monopolized, but that's not the world we live in yet.
579. Megan Osberger, Chicago, IL, 60622
Net neutrality is essential to protecting small businesses. Keep ISPs categorized as Title II and stop trying to pretend like companies just do the right thing. They don't. Have some dignity and actually help the country rather than lining your own pockets.
580. Doug Burnett, Chicago, IL, 60601
Keep the internet equal! Keep existing Net Neutrality laws in place! Stop accepting money from corporations who want you to change Net Neutrality. You seriously would trade the freedom of Planet Earth so you can line your fricking pockets with dirty corporate money? You represent everything that is evil in this world. How can it possibly be legal that Verizon is allowed to put its own former employee as the Chairman of the FCC? Gee, I wonder where he allies his opinions? Please be a decent human being and don't screw over all of humanity. Keep existing Net Neutrality laws in place.
581. J.C. Smithe, Chicago, IL, 60606
As a network engineer and operator I feel that "net neutrality" or, more simply put: "preventing the monopolization and manipulation of access to information and commerce" is one of the most important issues facing our country. Allowing large telecommunications firms (that already enjoy borderline-monopoly status in their market segment) to manipulate customers' network traffic will prevent U.S. citizens from having access to the world's main source of information as well as prevent them from participating in the largest commerce vector in the world. This would also most dramatically affect those in lower income and rural areas, who are already at a disadvantage when it comes to education and market participation. The death of "net neutrality" is essentially our legislature openly stating that it cares more about its own campaign financing (bending to the will of the telecommunications PACs) than it cares about successful participation in society/economy for its constituents.
582. Eric Herbach, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. Do not mess with the internet. Stop giving the big companies all the power and stop taking their money. Do not mess it up.

583. Paul Beerkens, Chicago, IL, 60610
I support net neutrality under title 2. The US needs a fair internet and internet providers should not be allowed to slow down specific content. I urge you to keep strong title II Net Neutrality Rules.
584. Zachary May, Chicago, IL, 60654
I support strong net neutrality mandated by FCC rules.
585. Charles Meyerson, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I fully support the present net neutrality rules. Please leave them in place.
586. Doug Sale, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Net neutrality is necessary to preserve a level playing field for emerging businesses and markets and to ensure freedom of expression. Internet service is a utility. It is necessary for business, healthcare, communications, national defense, etc. Title II. Title II does not impede internet growth. The internet started under telecoms. Remember modems? ISDN?
587. Jason Thorn, CHICAGO, IL, 60616
Keeps strong Title II Net Neutrality Rules
588. Stephanie Harris, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I support Title II which supports and upholds a free and open Internet.
589. Cassie Cipriani, Chicago, IL, 60606
I am for Net neutrality and believe in the principle that Internet providers shouldn't block or manipulate traffic once it's on their networks. "Net neutrality" prevents Internet providers like Verizon and Comcast from dictating the kinds of content I am able to access online-I DO NOT AGREE WITH INTERNET PROVIDERS DICTATING THE KINDS OF CONTENT I CAN SEE, this is unfair and an extremely sneaky way to make money. They are not creating a new product they are taking what we already use and dissecting it in way were we have to spend more money on less internet. It is greedy and extremely unfair.
590. J, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please continue to protect net neutrality and do so under Title 2.
591. Jason Shah, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please continue to subject ISP's to regulations of Title 2 as opposed to Title 1. Allowing ISP's the ability to restrict bandwidth based on content is too bad an outcome that even a remote risk cannot be tolerated.
592. Allison Wallace, Chicago, IL, 60622
I fully support strong net neutrality regulations under Title II of ISPs. To remove this regulatory oversight is clearly motivated by nothing but profit for these ISP corporations and those who are on their payroll. It is absolutely absurd to believe that these corporations will "do the right thing" and resist the urge to take advantage of the loopholes in Title I regulations, as if it has been proven before. Simply remember the Wall Street practices, before and since the bailout.
593. zef nabijee, Chicago, IL, 60614
I strongly support net neutrality that is backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs
594. Chris Doyle, Chicago, IL, 60604
I support strong net neutrality laws and title II classification of ISPs
595. Carolyn Martineau, Chicago, IL, 60614
I am in support of full net neutrality, with all ISPs subject to regulation under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
596. ced, chicago, IL, 60608
Ending net neutrality will put us one step closer to limiting our freedoms and destroying what this country is all about.
597. Michael, Chicago, IL, 60614
I support leaving ISP under title II off the communications act of 1934, I believe this is the best method to preserve net neutrality.

598. Allyn Okun, Chicago, IL, 60614
Ajait, The net neutrality rules as they currently exist have allowed for the greatest story in American commercialism ever. Under no circumstances should they be changed. The Internet should continue to be classified under Title II.
599. Jonathan M Vanderplow, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by title II
600. Anjali Karia, Oak Park, IL, 60302
It is essential that net neutrality and Title II remain as is and not be rolled back. Companies should not be trusted to remain neutral concerning access to other companies' sites and apps. Before these laws, companies like Verizon were already taking advantage of the lack of net neutrality laws when they limited access to Google Wallet. It is necessary that these laws remain in place as an essential democratic access to information.
601. Thomas Schuessler, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Net neutrality is vital for the future of the internet and all the benefits it provides. Please act in the best interest of the nation's constituents and not the companies that will profit from greater control of a now basic means of communication.
602. Andrew Dignan, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I support strong net neutrality backed by ISP classification under section II of the Communication Act of 1934.
603. Timothy Halt, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I want to lend my support for you to continue to use Title 2 so as to ensure net neutrality. Thank you for your time.
604. George Guarino III, Chicago, IL, 60605
I strongly support net neutrality under Title II authority.
605. Jonathan Michaels, Chicago, IL, 60607
I support strong oversight of Internet ISPs and believe they should continue to be classified under Title II of the Communication Act. The power of the Internet lies in its inherently democratic nature and the ability of any single individual or company to have an equal opportunity to reach each other and the global audience. Abolishing net neutrality rules is detrimental not only to the economy of the United States but to freedom of expression guaranteed by the Constitution. As an electrical and software engineer with a graduate business education, I strongly support the net neutrality rules currently in place and wholeheartedly urge the Commission to do the same.
606. Sunanda Vaidheesh, Chicago, IL, 60610
Dear Mr. Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please ensure that this regulation stays in place and that ISPs are held accountable to the public for providing an open and free Internet, unencumbered by private interests. Thank you, Sunanda Vaidheesh
607. Genevieve Locke, Chicago, IL, 60130
I strongly support a free and open internet, net neutrality and keeping Title II intact. I do not believe the supposed limits these laws put on internet providers are actually hurting their growth opportunities, and it is impossible to trust that without these regulations, companies that already border on monopoly will not further give themselves advantages by selling content speed to the highest bidder.
608. Robert C Huber, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I strongly support the current net neutrality regime. I would like to see this left under title ii.
609. zach cohen, chicago, IL, 60642
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II of the Communications Act. I vigorously oppose reducing net neutrality protections or downgrading such protections to be backed by Title I. Particularly where Verizon has already pursued a lawsuit expressing its position that Title I does not afford the government the right to enforce such protections under Title I.
610. Cara Bryan, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Please save net neutrality and keep ISPs under Title II.
611. sheldon nahmod, Chicago, IL, 60610
Keep the internet under Title II. Keep net neutrality,

612. Michael Williams, Chicago, IL, 60612
The internet is one of the few places where more money doesn't necessarily mean you get more of it or a better version of it. Please support net neutrality and Title II.
613. Casey O'Brien, Chicago, IL, 60612
I support net neutrality forever in the strongest form possible.
614. raj ravi, Chicago, IL, 60605
Net neutrality is about protecting the rights of the people which is FCC responsibility . Do the right thing.If FCC or the SEC are siding with large corporations there is very little reason for these public offices to exist.
615. Sarah Boyle, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Hello,Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.Sincerely,Sarah Boyle
616. Tim Ahlberg, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Net Neutrality keeps the internet on an even playing field and title II should continue to apply. The internet is a utility not a profit tool for ISPs.
617. Mark Moxley, Chicago, IL, 60612
I am calling on you to specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
618. Jay Thierauf, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I am in favor of keeping strong Net Neutrality and classifying broadband carriers as utilities under Title II.
619. Emmanuel Limon, Chicago, IL, 60622
I support Title II regulations on ISPs. The FCC prides itself on consumer protection and we hold you to that. Thank you.
620. Joshua Ferguson, Chicago, IL, 60611
I don't understand why any reasonable human being would want to significantly drive up costs of basic access to information online and willingly thrust the country into the "Info Wars" that currently plague the likes of Russia and China.
621. Mary K Smith, CHICAGO, IL, 60654
Please do not alter the net neutrality protections of title II.Thank you.
622. Vince Kracht, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I support strong net neutrality. Preserve net neutrality and Title 2
623. Fizan Abdullah, Chicago, IL, 60008
We cannot allow internet providers to self regulate net speed access- this is a tremendously important role the FCC must play. Thank you.
624. Lauren S, Chicago, IL, 60601
I support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISP's. Please protect net neutrality.
625. Christopher Morgan, Chicago, IL, 60607
Preserve network neutrality and Title II
626. Matthew Davis, Chicago, IL, 60616
I strongly support net neutrality and strongly oppose any decision or policy that runs counter to net neutrality
627. Keith Gilmer, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support Net Neutrality and do not want the Obama-era rules overturned.
628. Stephen Peng, Chicago, IL, 60654
I support net neutrality and categorization of ISP as title II to provide adequate oversight to preserve equality of content on the internet. I do not believe ISPs are capable of regulating themselves.
629. Diamond Parish, Chicago, IL, 60608
I agree for stronger net neutrality under Title II for ISPs. I do not believe in mergers or monopolies. As an engineer I don't want to see potential internet startups lose competitiveness because ISP can possibly limit access to their content.

630. Teresa Rowan, OAK PARK, IL, 60302
I support Net neutrality and the protections of TITLE II!
631. Gabriel Xiao, Chicago, IL, 60654
I strongly support strong FCC oversight of ISP under Title II to protect net neutrality
632. back tittle two, chicago, IL, 60611
back title two and net neutrality
633. Important Opinionman, Chicago, IL, 60606
Preserve title 2 classification of ISPs and preserve net neutrality. We all know what's going to happen if you don't and none of us want that
634. Jeffrey J. Mikrut, Chicago, IL, 60610
I support strong net neutrality rules and these must be under Title II.
635. Alice Robbins, Chicago, IL, 60612
Keep Title 2! I oppose repealing regulation related to Net Neutrality.
636. Elizabeth Martin, Chicago, IL, 60607
I support net neutrality and keeping the ISP regulatory rules within Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
637. Michael Pedersen, Chicago, IL, 60607
Allowing this to go through is lunacy. I'm a strong supporter of net neutrality, and i'm AGAINST this proceeding.
638. Jason Warren, Chicago, IL, 60661
Net neutrality needs to be preserved by keeping ISPs classified as they are. It's not worth hoping for ISPs to do the right thing when you can force them to do it just by keeping the status quo.
639. Morgan Stolle, Chicago, IL, 60611
#goodcause
640. Marco Scherer, Chicago, IL, 60611
I completely support Net Neutrality and everything it stands for. One of many reasons as to why the US has led the world in innovation is because there has been a level playing field for people to get their ideas started and supported. Doing away with Net Neutrality would kill this level playing field and would then reduce the innovation of things that we can't even imagine today. The country should treat internet access in the same level as public utilities where it's not simply about commerce but about survivalbility in this new world.
641. Kyle Harvey, Chicago, IL, 60610
Please do not get rid of title 2. I support strong net neutrality.
642. Katharina Rothwangl, Chicago, IL, 60642
Net neutrality must be upheld
643. N. Mozumder, Chicago, IL, 60601
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Ajit Pai's arguments against it are flawed - e.g., comparing the internet of previous decades to today is an unfair comparison, infrastructure spending has not been hampered due to this regulation, ISPs have a checkered history. Finally, no input from small internet companies seem have been taken into consideration and this would go against the very basic principle Republicans fight for - a capitalist market that promotes competition and new businesses.
644. Zach Pospisil, Chicago, IL, 60607
As a digital marketer I'm concerned that removing regulations protecting net neutrality will negatively affect my work by allowing ISP's the ability to contriol access to websites and content I need to do my job. They should not be allowed to prioritize what content is available or control fees that impact competition in which they may have a vested interest in picking winners.
645. Tiffany Verzani, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I support net neutrality. Preserve net neutrality and Title 2. As a librarian this is critical for students to learn how to do research, evaluate sources, be informed consumers and more. As an adult and American it is crucial that we have freedom to information without corporate interference.

646. Joe Wright, Oak park, IL, 60302
Keep title 2 protections for net neutrality. Also, please tell Pai he's full of crap.
647. Jenna Carlson, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support net neutrality and Title II, and I hope you will do the same!
648. Mahtab sohrevardi, Chicago, IL, 60654
I am requesting to keep net Neutrality in law.
649. Alyssa Fluckey, CHICAGO, IL, 60661
We need strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II!!!
650. Matt Staadt, 60610, IL, 60610
I oppose this proceeding. As a technology professional, a free, neutral internet is key to emerging companies. Having had difficulties with backbone internet backbone providers not sharing traffic has caused my company troubles in the past. If passed, this proceeding benefits large telecom and cable companies and makes internet service more expensive for the consumer, in addition to hindering internet startup companies.
651. Jonathan Baker, Chicago, IL, 60607
Please keep net neutrality. There are so many examples of large corporations abusing their power that I don't need to single any out in this comment. They can and they will unless someone forces them not to.
652. Stephen Kalafut, Chicago, IL, 60607
I am in favor of Net Neutrality and maintaining the existing Title II classification for ISP's.
653. michael lovernick, Chicago, IL, 60601
I support net neutrality. Preserve gov regulation and title 2
654. Douglas Henning, Chicago, IL, 60642
I want the U.S. government to prevent online service providers from controlling Internet traffic in a way favors one class of content providers over another class. The Internet is far too important to leave up to the financial interests of ISPs.
655. Nathaniel Inglis Steinfeld, Chicago, IL, 60654
It is important to me to keep net neutrality and the Communications Act of 1934 ensure free and fair access to the internet. I am particular worried about potential advantages that could occur for electronic trading and high-speed hedging prices if some internet users are able to purchase faster internet speeds. The possibility for massive profits due solely to higher speeds than competitors would put some traders at an extreme advantage over others not because of innovation or skill at improving the market but because of gaming the internet speed of our public resource. Further, there would be a huge incentive for private companies to push out free speech that is critical or undervalued for their profits, which destroys the free innovation gained by the internet and our current web-driven society. Please do not remove these rules and protections but instead make them stronger and more enforceable under the current regime.
656. Michael Starrick, Maywood, IL, 60153
Please preserve net neutrality, and title II regulation on Internet service providers. Thank you for your time.
657. Ian Cohen, Chicago, IL, 60605
We need strong Net Neutrality laws. I don't want ISPs to be able to control the content I consume on the internet.
658. Matt Cote, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I support net neutrality rules and strongly recommend they are not weakened.
659. Tina, Chicago, IL, 60661
I want to preserve the net neutrality. keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
660. caius howcroft, Chicago, IL, 60604
ISPs must remain Title II organizations to protect the future of american tech start ups.
661. andrew, chicago, IL, 60654
I am calling on you to preserve net neutrality and title 2. I do not support unregulated ISPs in an environment where many households have no choice in providers and I understand that unregulated providers in a duopolistic /

monopolistic environment will be incented to suppress competition.

662. John Alger, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Please retain Net Neutrality using Title II rules. Thank you.
663. tracy joda, Oak park, IL, 60304
Please preserve net neutrality backed by title 2! I do not trust companies to the right thing, but I expect the government to!
664. Sand Kofler, Chicago, IL, 60654
I support strong net neutrality laws under Title II. I do not believe doing otherwise best supports consumer rights.
665. Meredith Black, Chicago, IL, 60616
I am a strong supporter of net neutrality, and think that ISP's should continue to be held to Title 2.
666. Harsh G, Chicago, IL, 60661
I Want to preserve Net Neutrality and Keep strong Net Neutrality for ISP's backed by Title II
667. Andrew Yoon, Chicago, IL, 60607
Please preserve Net Neutrality under Title II oversight! Deregulating the Internet service providers will be disastrous for everyone in the United States who uses the Internet--which is pretty much everyone now.
668. Nathan Sosin, Chicago, IL, 60622
I strongly support continuing to classify net neutrality rules as enforceable Title 2 regulations. The internet is a public utility and should be regulated as such. Additionally, the argument that ISPs will voluntarily adhere to Title 1 rules or that the current Title 2 rules are hurting investment are factually incorrect and, I believe, disingenuous, especially when repeated by former attorneys for companies that stand to benefit from reclassification.
669. David Crawford, Chicago, IL, 60607
Net neutrality is of the utmost importance to me personally and professionally. Preserve net neutrality and title 2.
670. Adam, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I support to perserve net neutrality backed by title 2.
671. John Ivory, Chicago, IL, 60605
I can't believe that I need to waste my time again on this subject, but it appears that I do. Net neutrality has served the USA well, and it should continue to be the law of the land. Please do not change this law.
672. Brent Bunch, Chicago, IL, 60614
I support strong net-neutrality protections and title 2 regulatory classification for ISP's. The value of the internet comes from the ease of unfettered access to any web sites of the public's choosing. Broadband is one of the least competitive industries in america. As identified by Tom Wheeler, broadband competition simply does not exist for 75% of the country. Claims that the ISP's can be trusted to self-regulate simply ignores all historical knowledge of how monopolies have behaved. Strong regulation is essential for the internet to live up to its potential.
673. Ben Gradle, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs... though I am a pessimist so I think that it's probably too late and the FCC has probably already been tainted by the orange fuhrer's corruption; prove me wrong and not buy into this cancer of a regime.
674. Chase Thorson, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Net neutrality is an important part of fair and free internet. Strong regulatory laws will help to prevent ISPs from taking advantage of their ability to throttle certain websites for their own profit.
675. Richard L. Lieberman, Chicago, IL, 60654
I strongly support net neutrality and Title II, and oppose efforts to modify existing consumer friendly rules.
676. Charlotte Messineo, Chicago, IL, 60607
I oppose this measure and I am in favor of Net Neutrality. It is not fair that ISP's have control to determine the rate unequal between content on the internet.
677. Richard Paul, Chicago, IL, 60610

Keep net neutrality. The internet is our last hope for corruption to not consume everything.

678. peter hammer, Chicago, IL, 60602
It is vitally important that the FCC maintain the title 2 classification on isp's and hold isp's to strict regulation in order to protect net neutrality.
679. michelle luke, Chicago, IL, 60622
I strongly support net neutrality. I strongly support Title 2 and do not support it's removal as it's removal would degrade net neutrality.
680. Ben Greiner, Chicago, IL, 60605
FCC, You must keep the Internet neutral. We cannot trust that ISPs will do this themselves.
681. David Gorman, Oak Park, IL, 60304
We must keep net neutrality and Title II in place. Don't let wealthy corporations control the internet!
682. Charles Meyerson, Oak Park, IL, 60302
The onerous nature of the comments submission process for this matter is something of which the FCC should be ashamed.
683. Comment, Chicago, IL, 60603
I support strong net neutrality fuck you don't take my porn and video games
684. Alex Kudlov, Chicago, IL, 60607
Please continue to maintain Title 2, as the essential tool to ensure we continue to enjoy a free and open Internet.No Internet access provider should block or degrade Internet traffic, nor should they sell "fast lanes"™ that prioritize particular Internet services over others. These rules should apply regardless of whether you're accessing the Internet using a cable connection, a wireless service, or any other technology.Title 2 is essential to ensuring net neutrality, and preventing abuses and anti-competitive practices by entrenched telecoms.
685. Nathan and Max, Chicago, IL, 60610
nathan and i want to keep our netflix well buffered. please don't get rid of net neutrality or Title II. ISP providers don't need more money.
686. Mark Baldrige, Chicago, IL, 60604
I urge the FCC to strongly support Net Neutrality under Title II.
687. Kristi St Martin, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I am a private individual and I am not one to advocate for things. But I strongly support net-neutrality. Access to the internet is transformative - for business, freedom of expression, for the free development of new ideas and technologies, to enhance competition. Restricting access and creating a "pay to play" system hurts everyone except those given a monopoly over access.
688. Matthew Esler, Chicago, IL, 60607
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Rolling back or weakening any of the laws that were put into place would hurt consumers. The internet is a powerful tool that helps kids and people around the world learn, limiting this and giving companies the option to throttle bandwidth is a bad idea that has lasting effects. Do not change the current laws.
689. Brad Adelman, Chicago, IL, 60654
I strongly support keeping the current status of net neutrality as Title II. This is necessary to maintain an open internet, spark innovation, and keep America at the forefront of the electronic industry.
690. Nita Gupta, Forest Park, IL, 60130
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title II and expect that the FCC will act in the best interest of consumers rather than in the interest of controlling monopolies.
691. Linda Backe, Chicago, IL, 60661
I want to register my strong support for strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
692. David Mathis, Chicago, IL, 60612
I support strong net neutrality backed by FCC oversight of internet service providers.

693. justin boley, Chicago, IL, 60605
ISP providers should be subject to Title II. It's important to preserve net neutrality.
694. Kirby Platt, Chicago, IL, 60610
PLEASE keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules.
695. Samuel Kling, Chicago, IL, 60606
I strongly back net neutrality and Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
696. Ross Leder, Chicago, IL, 60616
I support net neutrality and all regulation efforts to preserve fairness in internet and related media deliveries
697. Christopher Bartlett, Chicago, IL, 60610
PLEASE PLEASE PLEASE preserve net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
698. Zurab Margvelashvili, Chicago, IL, 60611
To whom it may concern:I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you!
699. Tomas Munoz, CHICAGO, IL, 60642
My household supports strong net neutrality rules backed by title 2. Stop trying to undo it and do the job your post requires.
700. Scott Lazarus, Chicago, IL, 60601
I believe net neutrality is essential to the free market. The large ISPs should not dictate if certain companies have preferential speed treatment.
701. Sam Thompson, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please dont kill the internet, my job depends on it.
702. James Velco, Chicago, IL, 60606
ISPs must be categorized as Title 2. ISPs cannot be allowed to self regulate there is too much conflict of interest. FCC will be in the pocket of the ISPs and thus a complete joke, if it "Restores Internet Freedom".
703. Jeffrey Cuellar, Oak Park, IL, 60304
We vehemently support strong net neutrality and Title II oversight over ISPs.
704. Taylor C Newman, Oak Park, IL, 60304
American Internet Service Providers, be it cell phone based, wired connections, or satellite, should remain Title II managed providers. It is the only way to ensure equitable and transparent use and distribution of internet bandwidth. With this in mind, I am vehemently against Docket no. 17-108.
705. Amy Brown, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I support net neutrality! As a physician, I require the internet to communicate with my patients and colleagues. Tele-medicine is the way of the future, and I don't want myself or my patients to be charged more for providing patient care.
706. H. Markow, Chicago, IL, 60654
Record my support of protecting net neutrality with Title II oversight of ISPs. Comcast & its ilk require firm oversight in order to protect equal access.
707. Eric Schuster, Chicago, IL, 60607
Please preserve Net Neutrality under Title 2. I believe in a fair and free internet.
708. Nick S, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Not doing this would be a disgrace. The internet should not be altered, it is already free and open, this would be a HUGE step backwards.SAVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
709. title 2, Chicago, IL, 60601
I SUPPORT NET neutrality under title 2. GO FCC YOURSELF
710. Carrie Schuster, Chicago, IL, 60607
Please preserve Net Neutrality laws under Title 2. The Internet should be fair and free for all.

711. Raymond Black, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support strict net neutrality rules and title 2
712. David Park, Chicago, IL, 60601
I would like to express my strong support for Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 . I am concern about the FCC's latest move to discontinue this support to Net Neutrality.
713. Kristin Komorowski, chicago, IL, 60616
keep net neutrality, and the ISP providers under title II. No self regulation for ISP providers! duh!
714. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60616
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II (2) oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISPs).
715. Michael Smith, Chicago, IL, 60607
Ajit Pai - I specifically support strong net neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPS
716. Sindhu Katta, Chicago, IL, 60607
Net neutrality is important in our daily lives
717. Cynthia Talley, Chicago, IL, 60622
I strongly support NET NEUTRALITY. Preserve Title 2.
718. Janice Knight, Chicago, IL, 60603
I support a strong net neutrality supported by regulating all ISPs through Title II.
719. Lilia, Chicago, IL, 60622
i demand the FCC preserve net neutrality and Title II
720. Nicholas Affrunti, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support Title II regulation of ISPs and net neutrality. ISPs should not be allowed to determine the priority of the information I request. All bits should be treated equal. Allowing net neutrality regulation to lapse would allow ISPs stronger control over the content of the internet. They, like all companies, should not be left to regulate themselves and have shown themselves willing to use their status to interfere with internet requests.
721. robert feldman, Chicago, IL, 60614
Please don't change current rules or guidelines. Retain net neutrality in its current form
722. Deirdre Jameson, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support net neutrality through isps remaining subject to title two rules.I don't feel the FCC chairman is representing the needs of the citizens of the United States. His loyalty remains with his previous employer. He should resign. He is not qualified to make decisions on my behalf.
723. Landon Turner, Chicago, IL, 60607
I am in favor of strong net neutrality backed by the title 2 classification of the telecoms.
724. Rodolfo Medina, Maywood, IL, 60153
I strongly support the net neutrality system put in place by Title II, it is what keep our internet safe, secure, and free
725. Casey Ford, Chicago, IL, 60604
Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality protection backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Protect a free and open internet.
726. clinton a krislov, chicago, IL, 60606
strongly support net neutrality under title 2 regulation
727. Monica Merchat, Chicago, IL, 60611
Protect Net Neutrality. Keep the ISPs under Title II.
728. ruben, Chicago, IL, 60605
Internet should be equally for everyone.the NET SHOULD BE NEUTRAL
729. Oscar Bellechasse, Chicago, IL, 60607

Preserve net neutrality and title 2. Keep our internet free!!

730. Gretchen Barina, Chicago, IL, 60603
Preserve Net Neutrality! The idea that ISPs could "handshake" on an "agreement" to be fair is ludicrous. The FCC needs to ensure that Net Neutrality is upheld and that ISPs cannot give unfair advantage to their proprietary content or to the highest bidder.
731. Timothy Morey, Chicago, IL, 60604
Net neutrality must be maintained and protected.
732. Thomas Zaino, River Forest, IL, 60305
ISP need to stay under title II and not be moved. Strong net neutrality is a major key.
733. Chris Grumboski, Oak Park, IL, 60301
We are in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! We are opposed to Docket No. 17-108!!
734. Jonathan Frankel, Chicago, IL, 60605
Do not end Net Neutrality, do not remove Title II classification. It is essential to an open internet. Without a net neutrality requirement, consumers will suffer from lack of competition among ISPs. Without a legal requirement, they have no incentive to maintain fairness in the data they allow over their lines. Furthermore, fast lanes or exemptions from counting data for certain services are no different than forcing customers to pay more for their normal access. Net neutrality on the internet should be considered the same as alcohol distribution. If Coors bought out all distributors in a region, and then began charging their competition at a higher rate to enjoy the same access to retail outlets, while discounting their own product, the competitors would have a clear reason to cry foul. As a consumer, if only drink Miller, I would have reduced freedom of choice under that system. There is not enough competition among ISPs. They effectively corner sections of the market and are the only gatekeepers to the internet. If they are allowed to charge more for a competitor's service, this reduces consumer choice. We cannot leave it up to them to voluntarily adhere to these rules via terms of service, which can change at any time. That's just not good enough. And the argument that this regulation would hurt their business is just not true. They've been doing fine following net neutrality this whole time. This is the type of regulation we need to protect consumers
735. William Phelps, Chicago, IL, 60624
I support the continued regulation of Internet Service Providers under Title II in order to preserve net neutrality. Internet Service Providers must not be allowed to prioritize certain internet traffic for financial gain or for any other business purpose. Any partitioning of internet traffic for the purpose of advantaging some at the expense of others is an abridgment of the freedom of speech described in Amendment I of the Constitution of the United States.
736. Sharat Chander, Chicago, IL, 60601
Net neutrality must be protected and retained. ISPs should not be trusted to be fair in how they convey third party content. ISPs must be brought under Title-2.
737. Kendall Leigh, Chicago, IL, 60601
Ajit Pai-I strongly support net neutrality and Title 2 regulation of ISPs.
738. Patrick O'Shaughnessey, Oak Park, IL, 60302
The FCC must maintain their authority under Title II to enforce net neutrality. A free and open Internet is required for a free and open society and free and open marketplace of ideas.
739. Moiz Valji, Chicago, IL, 60654
Please keep the status quo.
740. Martin Malecki, Chicago, IL, 60601
I strongly support Title II oversight of ISPs and urge you to continue it. Net neutrality is essential to protecting startup companies, and it is not something that we can trust the ISPs to maintain on their own.
741. Matthew Miller, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I am in favor of strong net neutrality under Title 2
742. jeffrey ziemann, Chicago, IL, 60605
Keep title II for net neutrality!!!!

743. Brian Kerschner, Chicago, IL, 60607
I support the 2/26/15 FCC ruling in favor of net neutrality by reclassifying broadband as a common carrier under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 and Section 706 of the Telecommunications act of 1996.
744. Tim Reisig, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Please keep Net neutrality laws in place including Title II coverage of ISP's.
745. Prateek Garg, Chicago, IL, 60605
I strongly support net neutrality rules. Getting rid of it will ultimately hurt the consumer, so please do not get rid of net neutrality.
746. Gregory Pergrossi, Chicago, IL, 60616
I strongly support net neutrality. Internet service providers must remain classified under Title II. The free and open internet is the world's most important infrastructure. Allowing ISPs to specifically manipulate their service in ways that benefit themselves and big businesses would likely be the biggest mistake the FCC could ever make. Small businesses and the free market in general rely on the free internet so much that it should be classified as a utility, quite the opposite of removing regulations. I truly cannot feel more strongly about this.
747. Loreen Targos, Chicago, IL, 60602
Stop ruining the internet! Keep it regulated under Title 2 and fiercely preserve net neutrality. The citizenry will settle for nothing less.
748. Charles Baldwin Swarts, Westchester, IL, 60154
Please do not reclassify ISPs under Title I. Please preserve net neutrality under Title II.
749. Matthew McKee, Chicago, IL, 60622
To whom it may concern at the FCC:I have worked at multiple Tier 1 carriers over 16 years in the Telecommunications industry, have extensive understanding of Telecom Policy, and I strongly agree with the current classification of broadband (both fixed and wireless) as a Title II regulated service. Having the expectation of open and unmolested internet access is a key component of the long-term benefits of broadband access, including but not limited to educational empowerment and economic growth; and, that expectation must be backed by enforceable statute.While self-regulation is ideologically preferred, capitalism mandates firms, such as Telecom providers and ISPs, act in their own financial interests, which may at times, be antithetical to the broader long term needs of the public. This is specifically shown in areas where the disruptive nature of the internet could increase competition within adjacent market sectors where a firm's non-access related business may exist. While there hasn't been any public evidence of malfeasance by large carriers, if we know there is behavior we don't want them to engage in, why would we wait until they do to stop them; we should set the expectation now, so no one can profit for behavior we know won't be condoned. The alternative to Title II regulation is to implement a prohibition on telecom carriers from providing over the top content, so that they aren't competing at the content layer, and have their business restricted to access media alone; this would cause mass diversification and ultimately reduction of access providers since it's a low margin, low growth space.In addition to keeping existing Title II classification for Broadband, access providers should be required to wholesale next generation last mile access to other ISPs at a fair market price in the same manner they are required to on legacy copper networks. This would immediately increase ISP competition by removing a barrier to entry for new and disruptive players. An ISP should not be guaranteed my business just because they're the only service with wires in the ground.In a globalized world broadband is a utility; if the United States wants to compete for leadership in education and economic growth, broadband needs to be regulated as a utility to ensure every American has ready, open access.
750. William R Cramblit, Chicago, IL, 60607
Please consider net neutrality as core to the functioning of the internet as it is today. The US corporate powerhouses that were built on a neutral internet would not have been able to come into existence without this regulation, and if net neutrality is ended there's little hope for small businesses in the US to change the face of the world ever again. If this regulation is dropped, the most likely economic scenario is the eventual existence of a neutral ISP, which will likely gain significant market share and challenge the seemingly impenetrable businesses of current ISPs. Neutrality is good for economic growth, good for jobs, and good for internet service providers.
751. net neutrality, river forest, IL, 60305
I support strong net neutrality supported by title 2. keep the internet open to all.
752. katie, Chicago, IL, 60661

I support strong net neutrality. We will not go silently into the night.

753. Carl, Chicago, IL, 60611
Keep the internet free. Remember the Alamo!
754. smart, Chicago, IL, 60601
Please don't take net-neutrality away. What are you taking away something that would prevent discrimination and impacting our choices? Do not repealing Title II, ISPs are in their own interest going to take advantage if we don't regulate them.
755. Luis Ramirez, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Do your jobs.
756. John Eric Tiessen, Chicago, IL, 60607
I don't not believe that ISP (internet service providers) should be switched to Title I regulations because I do not believe that they will abide by the principles of open access voluntarily. Perhaps it is best to put it like this. Littering is not something that comes with a very large fine in the USA and is not strongly enforced. Individuals are expected to voluntarily agree not to litter. They do. Without strong enforcement (as ISPs are subject to under Title II) they will likely litter all over the concept of a free and open internet. Please do not change the current regulations that are in place to protect consumers. Thank you.
757. Andrew Benjamin, Chicago, IL, 60654
Put citizens first and not give large corporations control over us. Title II must be maintained at all costs. Internet use is necessary for every day life, and corporations shouldnt have control over our daily actions.
758. Alvin Rozon, Maywood, IL, 60153
I am horrified, but not surprised at this subversive action of the United States Government. The Internet is the final bastion of true equality and freedom provided by the safety blanket of anonymity and equal access. Equal access, I might add, that does not exist in our political institutions, our educational systems, our 'health care' systems, or any other government function. ISP's must remain under TITLE II. The Republican party can brainwash and fool an uneducated population to elect a buffoon to office, who is bought by BIG OIL, BIG PHARMA, and the MILITARY INDUSTRIAL COMPLEX, but those of us who use the INTERNET and constitute what remains of the intelligentsia of this state will not stand for such a blatant power grab by already MASSIVELY wealthy corporations. While their greed is endless, the patience of those of us who sit back and watch the embarrassingly mal-orchestrated charade which masquerades as politics and 'democracy' are already on a short fuse. I implore you to rethink this strategy of control and manipulation. Free and unobtrusive access to the Internet is something that is simply NON-NEGOTIABLE. Find a different avenue to control the masses. Keep corporate thugs from dictating when and what THE PEOPLE can access or face the inevitable consequences of such terrible abuse of COMMON SENSE. Good day.
759. Kate Lang, Chicago, IL, 60642
I stand for strong net neutrality. I stand against large infamous Reese's Peanut Butter Cup mugs. But, seriously, net neutrality needs to stay.
760. John William Malkin, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support strong net neutrality under title II. The internet should be like the air we breath, free. #ICare
761. Shireen Baker, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I support preserving net neutrality under Title II. Doing otherwise would seriously undercut the fair market for products and ideas.
762. Benjamin D Bryan, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I strongly urge the FCC to keep net neutrality rules, and maintain ISPs under title ii. The Title II classification makes it so the FCC can regulate ISPs to prevent favoritism with paying content providers, for example, and keep the web a free place.
763. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60611
Please do not get rid of Net Neutrality. ISPs should stay under title II.
764. Oscar Grossman, chicago, IL, 60642
I stand for full net neutrality, and I stand firmly against large chocolate themed mugs.

765. Kristen Youngman, Chicago, IL, 60607
Practically infringing on the Freedom of Speech, movement that would serve to erode the current state of net neutrality is short sighted, and against the interests of the American consumer. The lines of communication, if to be commercialized as they have, must be regulated to ensure equal speed and access to all users and providers of content. There have already been incidents that have proven this necessity. Never has a tool in history so profoundly impacted mankind like the internet, and as we and our society evolve, we must move to share knowledge universally and impartially. We must protect the digital environment we have created and upon which we rely so much.
766. Justin Betts, Chicago, IL, 60607
We need to preserve Title 2 for ISPs and save Net Neutrality. It's a no-brainer.
767. Chase Skarda, Chicago, IL, 60623
There is greater economic output to be had in allowing for innovation to flourish on the internet as a meritocratic medium than ISPs could gain from deregulation here. Or, put another way, let us the people decide what should be successful on the internet and let us make that decision because we like those things. Let niches be filled by good ideas rather than stay hindered by a lack of initial resources.
768. Arnie, Bullock, IL, 60606
You need to preserve Net Neutrality, and Title II oversight of ISPs.
769. Sasha Tuzel, Chicago, IL, 60605
I strongly encourage the FCC to preserve net neutrality under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. Specifically, I urge the FCC to ensure that internet providers do not block or throttle specific sites and apps, and do not establish paid fast lanes.
770. Ada, Chicago, IL, 60642
Keep communication companies, Verizon, et al, categorized under Title 2.
771. Rachel Wagner, Chicago, IL, 60602
I support strict net neutrality!! Preserve net neutrality and title 2
772. Ted Schuster, Oak park, IL, 60304
I value net neutrality and a consumer first regulatory approach. I am not comfortable placing a basic set of standards that protect fairness and openness on the web in the hands of corporations which will likely take advantage of lax rules to maximize their own self interests at the expense of consumers.
773. Brandon Holloway, Chicago, IL, 60654
I strongly support net neutrality and would like you to leave ISPs under title 2. Thanks.
774. jay tee, Oak park, IL, 60304
Title II is important because trusting the word of corporations is an unnecessary risk when you can just have legal regulations in place. You could just ask citizens to promise not to murder or you can create laws and consequences for those actions. Do your job. Defend net neutrality
775. Myles Sanford, Chicago, IL, 60611
Chairman Pai, I'm disheartened to hear that under your leadership the FCC is attempting to force an undemocratically limited internet on its people. Net neutrality is a human right. Pay-to-play is crap. You should know better than to trust companies to act against their own interests - not taking advantage of lax (or absent) net neutrality laws would be against such interests. Don't be an idiot. I support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Sincerely, Myles Sanford
776. Catherine Ring, Chicago, IL, 60654
Dear FCC, and I'm talking specifically to Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs. #johnoliver #feminism
777. Richard Gulotty, River Forest, IL, 60305
Please preserve net neutrality rules and the Title II authority the FCC uses to enforce them. An open internet is important for a free society.
778. Corey Sealy, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please ensure Net Neutrality and keep ISPs governed under Title 2 of if the Communications Act.

779. Bharath arun, Chicago, IL, 60601
Please support strong net neutrality backed by title II over site of isp
780. Aki Jun, Chicago, IL, 60609
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2. Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.
781. James, Chicago, IL, 56566
Ajit Pai you ex-Verizon piece of shit. Go ahead, try and sell-out the internet and the American people. You won't be laughing when we smash extra-large reese's coffee mugs against your car.
782. Ben Schreiner, Chicago, IL, 60605
Keep net neutrality!! Please don't let the big companies be the largest voice. The internet is a great equalizer and must be protected. Thanks :)
783. dan mcfarland, Chicago, IL, 60606
I don't want to reverse, roll back or change net neutrality. I believe that doing so is harmful to American citizens and creates incentives for companies to abuse and extort individuals
784. Mike, Oak Park, IL, 60302
We need to preserve net neutrality rules. Let's not let politics ruin everything. There is no upside to the American people to allow ISPs an unregulated environment.
785. Emily Blim, Evanston, IL, 60302
Net neutrality is important, and I support it.
786. Ben Johnson, Chicago, IL, 60607
I support strong net neutrality and am against docket 17-108
787. ann smith, Chicago, IL, 60611
Do not change net neutrality law retain Title II
788. Colin Cassidy, Chicago, IL, 60611
Do not sell out our citizens for corporate greed. I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 regulations.
789. Brett Rosenthal, Chicago, IL, 60611
Please maintain net neutrality as supported by Title 2.
790. Steven Brint, Chicago, IL, 60610
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2 protection! Things are great the way there are now.
791. austin devin, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please maintain NET NEUTRALITY as it is crucial for vibrant and innovative free internet. It is the ideals until which America is based upon.
792. Teddy, Chicago, IL, 60610
Net neutrality should not be revoked. To do so would be to take a massive step towards a dystopian Bradbury-esque society
793. Kevin Lacey, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Preserve Net Neutrality. Having it promotes innovation by limiting any toll keeping style functions that large organizations can impose on new smaller ones.
794. Zain Yusuf, Chicago, IL, 60607
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality is critical to maintaining an open and competitive online environment, and limiting the market influence of corporations that control content delivery verticals. Without this level of regulatory oversight there is no way to manage the conflict of interest inherent in organizations with ownership and vested interest in both the production and delivery of content.
795. Alex gordon, Chicago, IL, 60612
I demand the net neutrality rules as governed through Title II remain in tact and are not changed.
796. Timothy Boester, Chicago, IL, 60607
As the owner of a small website that offers educational content for free, it would be impossible for me to pay ISPs

to guarantee that my site had the same equal access as huge corporations. Please keep net neutrality rules in place, regulating ISPs as Title II.

797. yvette seifert hirth, Chicago, IL, 60606
I strongly believe in Net Neutrality. Prioritizing internet traffic flow will destroy the internet. Thank you.
798. Jeremy Poter, Chicago, IL, 60601
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Without question.
799. Vernam Cipher, Chicago, IL, 60606
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY!!! Quit playing games with the American public and democracy. History will judge you harshly if you undo the net neutrality policy.
800. Kanchan Tiwari, Chicago, IL, 60654
I support strong net neutrality and support FCC's decision to Title 2 oversight. Power to you FCC! Don't let these biggies meddle with the way we browse.
801. Ron Hass, Chicago, IL, 60607
I agree with John Oliver... Preserve net neutrality & title 2
802. Zack kelley, Chicago, IL, 60622
Please keep strong net neutrality regulations under title 2.
803. Nick Mateja, Chicago, IL, 60616
Please preserve the current net neutrality and title II. I do not want my ISP to further throttle my internet.
804. Farhad Ghamsari, Chicago, IL, 60611
Please work to preserve net neutrality and Title 2 categorization of ISPs.
805. juan perez, chicago, IL, 60651
Keep title 2, save net neutrality
806. Garrett Kilbride, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I strongly support current net neutrality laws that keep ISP's classified under Title II. Restructuring the law to allow ISP's to sell priority access to preferred websites is anti-consumer and goes against any sense of American innovation.
807. L. Smith, Chicago, IL, 60603
You MUST ensure net neutrality. Information is power, and access to that power CANNOT be limited by corporate interests. There is no other reasonable option.
808. taryn shultz, Chicago, IL, 60607
The government needs to maintain and protect net neutrality. To roll back regulations on ISPs would be unfair and wrong.
809. Matthew Sullivan, Chicago, IL, 60611
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. It is your responsibility. It is your job.
810. Oscar Mendoza, Chicago, IL, 60607
Don't undo FCC net neutrality regulations!
811. Aj Gomez, Chicago, IL, 60651
Net Neutrality is a fundamental aspect of how we are able to access the insane world that is the internet. To revoke that and take away its title two isp oversight would be to corrode the efficiency and ability of using the internet.
812. Darshan Vaidya, Chicago, IL, 60601
I urge you to preserve Net neutrality and Title 2. I do not believe it is beneficial to allow internet providers the freedom or autonomy to choose where and how they throttle speed of a user's internet.
813. James Tanglewood, Chicago, IL, 60606
Preserve existing net neutrality and title 2
814. Ryan, Chicago, IL, 60605

Hello Federal Communications Commission and Mr. Pai, My name is Ryan and I am a student in Chicago. I strongly support Title II net neutrality protections and am asking all of you at the FCC to respect those protections. Telephone companies should not be able to tamper with the internet services they provide depending on who is using that service. That is not only a violation, that is discrimination against those that need the knowledge that a free and open internet provides the most. Do the right thing. Ryan Chicago, IL

815. Max Rockatansky, Chicago, IL, 60623
retain Title II and net neutrality
816. Heather Locke, Chicago, IL, 60610
Preserve net neutrality! The FCC has no right to threaten it. Free, impartial and unhindered access for all Americans.
817. Jonathon Wright, Chicago, IL, 60605
Overturning the last chairman's guidelines regarding unbiased passage of network traffic by internet service providers constitutes nothing short of a complete reversal of the tenets the FCC is intended to uphold. The free and equal access to information is a linchpin of western society; pushing for its suppression undermines the very nature of democracy itself.
818. alexa daugherty, Chicago, IL, 60607
I oppose all efforts to implement policies and/or laws that limit, decrease, or eliminate net neutrality.
819. Laura Houston, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support strong Net Neutrality via Title II supervision of ISPs. I am a small business owner, and want to be sure that my customers can access my website freely. My business will not survive if Net Neutrality is revoked.
820. Jeanann Grewe, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I believe the FCC should protect net neutrality for the benefit of all Americans.
821. Geoffrey Jaroch, Chicago, IL, 60654
I personally belief fully in the opinion of Mr. Oliver. Please do the right thing FCC and keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules. Thank you.
822. Elizabeth Ward, Chicago, IL, 60607
Keep and protect the strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II!
823. Scott Hammond, Chicago, IL, 60605
Protect the people you were elected to serve, not the large corporations. Keep net neutrality and do not modify the Federal Communications Commission's 2015.
824. Jerome Sanders jr, Chicago, IL, 60609
Land of the free! can we keep it that way? Corporations shouldn't have more power than the people. We're already paying them, is it too much to ask for them to play fair?
825. Craig Kaplin, Chicago, IL, 60611
I want internet service providers to be regulated by the FCC as if they were a utility company.
826. H C Brown, Chicago, IL, 60601
It is critical that net neutrality under Title 2 be maintained to protect American citizens from ISP companies who want to turn more profits their way while using the infrastructure built by the United States government.
827. S. Shanti, Chicago, IL, 60606
Preserve net neutrality with Title II governance over all ISPs.
828. Peter J Storms, Berwyn, IL, 60402
I specifically support net neutrality, as backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
829. Jamie Lukaszewski, Chicago, IL, 60611
Net Neutrality is the level playing field that keeps the internet / innovation possible. Any policy or regulation to do away with this is grounds for resignation from Mr. Ajit Pai.
830. Tekla Cares, Chicago, IL, 60601

Net neutrality is a cornerstone of free speech. Do not weaken laws around net neutrality!

831. Paul Kreinbrink, Chicago, IL, 60601
I support strong federal regulation of net neutrality under the statutes set forth by Title 2.
832. Max Mulvihill, Chicago, IL, 60654
I am in favor of restoring internet freedom and do not believe in treating the internet as a public utility. I do believe as part of the regulatory rollback the government should include efforts to provide more internet access to those lacking.
833. Megan Wills, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Please preserve net neutrality and protections under Title 2.
834. Grant Anderson, Chicago, IL, 60602
I support strong regulation of ISP's backed by Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. ISP's cannot be trusted to voluntarily give up the chance to squeeze content providers for more money in exchange for better bandwidth than their competitors.
835. Kelly, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please retain Title II and net neutrality!!!
836. Andrew Golding, Chicago, IL, 60623
I support strong protections of Net Neutrality and the continued use of Title II to aid in the enforcement of those strong protections. On a side note, the giant novelty mug the FCC Chairman totes into press conferences takes away from any perceived professionalism the FCC tries to convey.
837. Benjamin Zehr, Chicago, IL, 60654
I support the existing net neutrality laws, specifically Title II, and hope the current FCC management continues to protect the freedom of the internet.
838. Chas Swedberg, Chicago, IL, 60622
To ensure Net Neutrality is the best way to ensure democracy and access for all citizens of the USA.
839. Laura Rummeler, Bellwood, IL, 60104
Please keep Net Neutrality!! I don't know to be subject to companies controlling how fast my internet is because they are being paid by companies. I don't want that to even be an option. Please keep the current ruling in place.
840. Abraham Villagomez, Chicago, IL, 60622
I don't want any company or isp to control the speed of what I am watching or not at any given time. These conglomerates are not to be trusted
841. Carey Thornton, Chicago, IL, 60707
Please keep the internet neutral! Thank you.
842. Laura Ikens, Chicago, IL, 60605
I back strong net neutrality and Title 2 protections. Please do not remove them and give the internet providers the power to slow or block content based on provider.
843. Jason Noll, Chicago, IL, 60611
To whom it may concern, I am in favor of title 2 and a free Internet for the people. Getting rid of net neutrality only helps giant telecom corporations and their greed. Consumers deserve better. Please do not destroy our Internet it is one of the greatest inventions ever created.
844. William Montgomery, Chicago, IL, 60661
I strongly disagree with Mr. Ajit Pai's comments regarding the free and open self regulation of the ISP industry. If we have learned anything from crisis's of recent memory, specifically the banking industry, when there is money to be made these businesses will not act in the best interests of the public. Please keep this useful tool fair, honest and open. True net neutrality is key for our success as a nation moving forward in this modern information era. Thank you for your time. William Montgomery
845. Ryan Borek, Chicago, IL, 60616
Please do not relax protections for individuals related to net neutrality. I do not trust corporations to "play fair" or

have my best interests in mind. Title II includes protections that are important to me and I do not want ISPs deciding which content is easier for me to access or subject to external influences that may or may not align with my values.

846. Joe Corsaro, Chicago, IL, 60614
FCC should protect Title II. It is necessary to foster competitive environments that allow for new comers to disrupt the market, promoting innovation.
847. Judy Greffin, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Keep net-neutrality -- internet providers should continue to enable equal access to all content and applications and should NOT be allowed to favor or block particular products or websites. If this falls away, we will once again favor the giants over the little guys!
848. Ernest Harris, Chicago, IL, 60661
I find it disturbing that in an already highly-curated information age the agency that has set for itself the strategic goal of ... "In addition to promoting the development of competitive networks, the FCC must also ensure that all Americans can take advantage of the services they provide without artificial impediments." ... is moving to undue the legal footing that enables it to deliver on this goal. There is no need to reclassify ISPs under Title I - Innovation continues and Net Neutrality is alive and well today.
849. Justin Friedeck, Chicago, IL, 60606
Do not kill net neutrality. We should keep the internet free.
850. Matthew O'Donnell, Chicago, IL, 60611
Preserve net neutrality. Please.-m
851. Patrick McEvoy, Forest Park, IL, 60130
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Ajit Pai is acting duplicitously in his assertion that this is not necessary.
852. Carol Lane, Chicago, IL, 60611
It is imperative that the FCC maintain Title 2 net neutrality. Any rollback of protections is unfair and unacceptable.
853. hiter, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please keep the Internet neutral and protect the flow of information.
854. Calvin, chicago, IL, 60607
PLEASE UPHOLD NET NEUTRALITY! and Title II, we can't let these companies control the flow of INFORMATION
855. Mary Farrell, Oak Park, IL, 60304
i firmly believe in net neutrality and would like ISPs to remain under Title II.
856. Joseph Bellairs, Chicago, IL, 60616
I oppose docket 17-108 and I strongly support net neutrality under Title II regulation of ISPs.
857. pkelly, Chicago, IL, 60606
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY! Don't let Verizon or Comcast or t mobile or att or rcn or time warner or anyone decide for me how fast my speed should be or what I should do or not. why fix something that isn't broken. don't you have more important projects to work on. progress not regress.
858. Katherine, Chicago, IL, 60654
Save Net Neutrality so all people can have free and equal access to the internet, cat videos, and Google. Thank you!
859. Sally Winner Weiss, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support the maintenance of net neutrality.
860. Sally M Weiss, Chicago, IL, 60605
Support and maintain net neutrality!
861. Timothy Reilly, Oak Park, IL, 60304

I fully support net neutrality and an open internet. I am opposed to any changes in the classifications of ISPs from Title II to any other classification. ISPs should continue to be regulated under Title II Classification.

862. Alex Lubertozi, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. We need net neutrality on the Internet to safeguard a free and democratic society.
863. Christian Stanfield, Chicago, IL, 60661
The equality of internet traffic that net neutrality provides is the foundation of the open internet from which we have all benefitted, and without it this country will lose that benefit compared to the rest of the world.
864. Virginia Head, Chicago, IL, 60610
I believe in net neutrality and I want to make sure it's maintained!!
865. annie grochocinski, Chicago, IL, 60607
I strongly support keeping net neutrality rules and Title II. I truly cannot imagine the fact that someone would even consider allowing this to go in any other direction.
866. title 2, oak park, IL, 60302
Please do not allow the net neutrality of the current title 2 to be changed.If revoked, the effect will slope rather than level the playing field.a former verizon associate should recuse himself from this as it was verizon litigation that caused this rule.
867. Bryan Chevalier, Chicago, IL, 60605
Dear Ajit PaiAs a consumer of a variety of internet services, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you for your attention.-Bryan C.
868. Nick Berghoff, Chicago, IL, 60661
Protect an open internet and keep ISPs classified as title 2 common carriers under the Communications Act of 1934.
869. Jason Markovitz, Chicago, IL, 60654
Preserve net neutrality! Do the right thing!
870. Lloyd, Chicago, IL, 60616
I STRONGLY support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's. The people are not as stupid as you believe.
871. Andrew Clott, Chicago, IL, 60610
Protect strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Don't sell out the American people's right to free and fair internet to private industry.
872. Chris Huntley, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Net neutrality as established under Title II is essential in fostering small business, start-ups, and individuals access to the entire internet. Without protections under Title II, it has been shown that ISPs have given preferential treatment to some internet traffic resulting in a distinct competitive advantage over others. The only way to foster innovation is to ensure everyone has equal access to the internet. Please leave the rules governing net neutrality intact so that the next innovative Microsoft, Google, Apple or Amazon will have the chance to compete in the marketplace. Thank you.
873. William Robertson, Chicago, IL, 60622
I would like to voice my vehement opposition to the proposed changes affecting the current methods for enforcing and ensuring net neutrality through ISPs. ISPs have a long history of exploiting and have a vested interest in dismantling net neutrality which is a pillar of how the internet and businesses on the web are able to operate. Without the strong protections we are risking the dismantling of one of the most prominent examples of democracy within our free market. I urge the FCC to table discussions on these changes for the ongoing benefit of all Americans.
874. Patrick McFarlane, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. We oppose docket No. 17-108.
875. Anthony Viola, Chicago, IL, 60603

I support net neutrality and the protections under title 2 rules. Do not remove regulations which would allow ISPs to give access preference to the highest bidder as the internet is a PUBLIC amenity. As the internet backbone uses public and private land corporations should not have the right sell preferred access to the highest bidder.

876. karen lee, Chicago, IL, 60611
Keep Obama era net neutrality regulations in place including title II .
877. Matthew French, Chicago, IL, 60642
I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules that are backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers.
878. MICHAEL FLUTKA, CHICAGO, IL, 60610
Title 2 oversight of ISPs is a MUST to ensure that Americans continue to access ALL internet content. Additionally, stronger anti-trust regulations should be placed on ISPs as they are becoming more vertically and horizontally integrated and is stifling competition.
879. Jeff Roberts, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please preserve net neutrality. Please keep ISPs under Title II. If I had any money to donate to this cause I would do so.
880. Mark Robinson, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I hereby urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. This is important to maintain the free flow of information in our world. Thank you!
881. Ray Gaynor, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules and to regulate ISPs under Title II.
882. Sarju S, Chicago, IL, 60610
Strongly in favor net neutrality and the current Title II setup. I have no interest in letting ISPs decide what content gets through and at what speed/latency.
883. Cynthia Robinson, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I strongly urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules, backed by Title II. This is so very important because protecting the free flow of information cannot be left to the ISVs, who have in the past tried to limit the flow in different ways. This is a true regulatory function by the government, and the firmest footing for this law must be maintained by keeping it in Title II. Thank you.
884. smita sharma, Chicago, IL, 60607
I vehemently support net neutrality. That is to say - upholding title 2 oversight of ISP the belief that ISP will self-regulate is akin to saying that the fire in 2 different rooms will contain themselves - since they have agreed to do so. Clearly the expectation of honor code is something that cannot be validation in present or future.
885. Chris Read, Chicago, IL, 60661
I find it insulting that this bill is titled "Restoring Internet Freedom" when in reality it's about restoring the freedom of internet access monopolies and duopolies to deny fair internet service to their users. I strongly object to removing Title II protection from ISP's.
886. Jordan Dietrich, Chicago, IL, 60610
Please leave full net neutrality in place. I don't want Comcast to slow down something they don't like so I'm forced to watch their shows.
887. dave vanderkloot, Chicago, IL, 60605
We need Net Neutrality to encourage a free/fair/open Internet for all. don't discourage innovation by rolling back Title II classification!
888. Shanika Blanton, Broadview, IL, 60155
We deserve a free and open Internet with strong Title II rules that ensure that the flow of data is determined by the interests of Internet users, not the narrow interests of Internet Service Providers. Without Net Neutrality, big corporations can charge extra for visiting certain websites or they could make certain sites load more quickly than others. If you (the FCC), under the Trump administration, allows this to happen on your watch you are doing a disservice to the American people and I will not vote for Trump again.
889. Steven Daar, Chicago, IL, 60605

Govern for the people who elected you, not the corporations that fund you. Anytime you legislate in favor of restrictions of freedom - - you serve to limit your own freedom. Keep strong net neutrality.

890. Anne Jentry, Chicago, IL, 60601
Please maintain net neutrality and prevent companies like AT&T, Charter, Comcast and Verizon from blocking or slowing down web content from their competitors.
891. Kenneth Hart, Chicago, IL, 60611
Net neutrality is incredibly important and should not be changed. Please regulate ISPs under Title II as they currently are.
892. Ian Moran, Chicago, IL, 60661
The current Net Neutrality rules must be maintained under Title II. The Internet must be kept 'free and open' for the People, and removing the current rules does nothing but allow ISP companies to play favorites, or worse, extort money from consumers and businesses both like common thugs. Hoping that such a thing remains 'just a hypothetical' is not nearly enough precaution for something that could so drastically change the scope of one of humanity's greatest resources.
893. Anthony D. Hudson, Chicago, IL, 60621
I strongly and emphatically support net neutrality and the classification of Internet services as an utility.
894. Karen, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I totally support Net Neutrality and Title II over sight of ISPs. preserve Net Neutrality and Title II
895. Catherine C Smith, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I support net neutrality backed by strong Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Absolutely! Simply stop lying to the public, commissioner, we understand way more than you think we do!
896. Paul Lovasco, Chicago, IL, 60622
I support strong net neutrality. Do the right thing. Keep the internet free to all. It's not all about money.
897. George Krone, Chicago, IL, 60612
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs . There is only one option for ISP in my building and the fact that they could limit me, if they wanted to is something no one would support.
898. Chris Lietz, Chicago, IL, 60607
Net Neutrality should continue to be enforced and honored by ISPs. Any weakening of this will allow them to destroy consumer experience and hold companies hostage with pay to play schemes.
899. Aaron Kwiatek, Chicago, IL, 60616
As a 12 veteran Telecommunications engineer in Chicago starting in 1996 who has worked for Americitech Cellular, Verizon Wireless, Nextel, and Sprint with certifications in Lucent, Motorola, Telcordia, Qualcomm, Nortel, Cisco, Microsoft and ETA Fiber Optic certs. Without net neutrality in place, this would lead to unfair access of information due to bandwidth special treatment for those corporations who will and can afford pay to have their business information more readily available. As a current IT manager on a team providing Internet Network and VOIP support for over 40 Business with more than 500 employees in the Chicago area that have public websites, I will be informing them on how without Net Neutrality, they are at a disadvantage. The internet is a right for all not a privilege for some. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Right_to_Internet_access
900. Donna Tritz, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I do not want large corporations deciding who gets preference in use of the internet. Consumers pay to access the internet & they are the only ones who should decide what content they'll see.
901. Daniel Schoenemann, Chicago, IL, 60661
I support strong net neutrality regulation. Keep ISPs classified under Title II.
902. Brodi Cole, Chicago, IL, 60651
I support net neutrality and do not want the FCC to change or reclassify it.
903. David L Mausner, Chicago, IL, 60611
Internet neutrality under Title II must be preserved so that the means and capacity for communication does not fall exclusively into the hands of the highest bidders.

904. steven hasman, oak park, IL, 60304
I support Title II and the rules and regulations that are currently in place regarding Net Neutrality. Trying to "open up" the internet would be a bad call and create more harm than good.
905. Dan Werner, Chicago, IL, 60611
FCC - Do not roll back current net neutrality rules. Do not allow companies to impact download speeds or manipulates content to users. In a country founded on open communication, free speech, and free press, the internet should be included in that domain. Keep the current rules in place. Any change is essentially un-American.
906. Benjamin M. Killgore, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please protect internet access and keep ISPs under Title II rules of the Communications Act of 1934.
907. Anuj Sahni, Chicago, IL, 60607
Please do not change the legal standing of net neutrality laws. We need to keep the strong regulations on the ISPs as they are.
908. AJ, Chicago, IL, 60642
I urge you to uphold the integrity of Title II.
909. title ii, Chicago, IL, 60603
Internet is an important public utility and needs to be regulated as such. I am in support of retaining Title II classification of ISP's.
910. Ron Swan, Chicago, IL, 60603
I believe Title II oversight should remain in place and not be changed.
911. Steve Holte, Chicago, IL, 60610
I support strong net neutrality protections and do not believe voluntary compliance is a reasonable protection. Net neutrality will encourage competition and ensure a level playing field for all users and businesses. If you think lax regulations protect consumers, look at the financial crisis as evidence that companies' jobs are to make profits not do what is right. Thank you.
912. alaboos, Chicago, IL, 60606
Leave Net Neutral
913. Jeffrey Wolin, Chicago, IL, 60610
Support continued net neutrality!
914. Ed Lawlor, Chicago, IL, 60601
I urge the FCC to assure net neutrality with Title 2, NOT Title 1 as proposed
915. Title II, Chicago, IL, 60612
I STRONGLY support net neutrality and Title II!!! Do not do away with these provisions.
916. Michael Kozik, Chicago, IL, 60616
Preserve net neutrality under Title II. Chairman Pai, you recently stated, "I think that anti-trust and consumer protection authorities stand at the vanguard to make sure that consumers and competition are protected." Instead of passing the buck, why don't you do your job? Also, your Reese's coffee mug is ridiculous. Grow up, Peter Pan . . . Count Chocula.
917. Matthew Anderson, Chicago, IL, 60603
Title II regulation for ISPs are essential for ensuring a fair and open internet
918. brenton bade, chicago, IL, 60661
I strongly disagree with the proposal to eliminate Title II net neutrality rules. I value a free and open internet, and do not think this proposal is the way to achieve this. Seriously, just leave the 2015 ruling in place.
919. Steven Ballard, Chicago, IL, 60615
I am urging the FCC to strongly support Net Neutrality laws. I am disturbed by how complex it is to give feedback now, yet I am thankful to the vanguards of "Last Week Tonight with John Oliver" who made this process easier. I find Ajit Pai's plans on the border of naive and disingenuous. Ajit Pai's theories of trusting ISP's to almost govern themselves sounds almost similar to bank deregulation.

920. Nicole Doucet, Chicago, IL, 60616
Net neutrality is fundamental for a free and open internet. I believe Title II is a good way to regulate ISPs and think it should be maintained and enforced.
921. Joseph Schmidt, Chicago, IL, 60601
We direly need to preserve full Net Neutrality. It is essential for fair competition. And it is important to me for my use of the internet.
922. Robert Grant, Chicago, IL, 60611
I feel that Net Neutrality should be mandated on all carriers and enterprises that offer internet service to consumers or businesses. Several large organizations such as AT&T, Verizon, and other organizations have shown time and time again they their behavior is to make the most money possible and not to deliver competitive products at fair market prices. They have mislead consumers and violated their own terms of service on numerous occasions and unless the industry is regulated that it is impossible for ever American to be guaranteed unfettered and unrestricted access to legal internet content.
923. Stephen Walsh, Chicago, IL, 60605
The internet should remain free and open. In the same way that the library gives the same access to all, the Internet is a source of knowledge and information that is not to be bought, sold, or controlled by national or international companies. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of internet service providers.
924. Brad C Simpson, Chicago, IL, 60611
Do not by into the hype that repealing the Title II "Open Internet" would be beneficial to users. This is false. Please vote to keep Title II in place.
925. Kyle W. Stufflebam, Oak Park, IL, 60304
If the FCC is interested in protecting consumer rights and the radical freedom of the internet, you must protect net neutrality. Given many ISP's' history of advocating against net neutrality and the deliciously enticing financial incentives to fiddle with access and speed to competing services, we need strong regulation to prevent companies from ruining what makes the internet great. ISPs with vertical integrations with content creation firms (like Comcast/NBC) will inevitably fall to temptations that bend or break the principles of net neutrality as they seek to maximize the earning potential of their media investments. This conflict of interest cannot be ignored. You can decouple incentives by breaking up these vertical mergers, or you can have strong net neutrality regulations (like Title II). You cannot keep the conflicts of interest with weak or no regulations and expect the internet as we know it to survive for long. Keep Net Neutrality. And keep it strong.
926. Michael, Chicago, IL, 60606
Mr. Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank you, Mike
927. Marlis Saleh, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I'm writing to urge you to preserve net neutrality.
928. Sean Corbett, Chicago, IL, 60614
Please preserve net neutrality and the regulation of ISPs under title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934.
929. Nicholas Petty, Oak Park, IL, 60302
This attempt to commandeer a free and open internet is a crass corporate power grab that the people oppose across all spectrums. Ajit Pai is a dangerous charlatan and we will not stand by and watch him trample on established regulations just to serve his former bosses
930. joe canepari, Chicago, IL, 60602
I support net neutrality backed by title 2 enforcement of ISPs.
931. Matthew Lane, Chicago, IL, 60654
Net neutrality and the fairness doctrine are extremely important to me as a citizen, an entrepreneur, and a user of the internet. Title I classification does not provide necessary consumer protections. I strongly support classifications of ISPs under Title II. I do not support Mr. Pai degrading the open internet to support his former employer's interests over those of the citizens of the United States.
932. Mike M, Chicago, IL, 60601
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I adamantly support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. DO NOT roll back these regulations. I want

these regulations IN THIS EXACT FORMAT just as much if not more than I did 3 years ago.

933. Dale Shumaker, Chicago, IL, 60612
I want the FCC to continue the status quo of net neutrality and keeping ISPs regulated under Title II.
934. Selmaan I. Ansari, Chicago, IL, 60607
Chairman Pai is wrong. Net Neutrality is essential. Do not forsake innovation and freedom for greed! Chairman Pai is woefully misguided and the People of this great country do not want what he is proposing. This will only benefit large corporate interests.
935. Brant Serxner, Chicago, IL, 60614
As a government worker I can tell you from experience that if this action to deregulate net neutrality is carried out, it will increase the cost of government service, decrease our ability to offer web based and network carrier based services and interfere with our service mission. The internet is not just commercial entertainment. It is a vital carrier of government communications within the government and with our constituents and partners. But, we depend entirely on commercial sources for carrier service. Deregulation will in effect suppress government capability in law enforcement, security, health services, open access and other critical areas. Please do not take this backward step.
936. Patty Breitmeyer, Chicago, IL, 60616
Net neutrality is especially important now with everyone questioning which information is true or false- allowing ISPs to put their thumbs on the scales would further distort free information. ISPs have no altruistic motivation- they must be regulated for the public interest by maintaining title 2 standards
937. Lisa Dunsky, Chicago, IL, 60611
Net neutrality and Title II should be preserved. It is not an acceptable, or viable, alternative to expect for-profit companies to voluntarily do the right thing with regard to this issue.
938. Joshua Reed, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please preserve the existing net neutrality rules and the Title II authority that is used to enforce them.
939. Stephanie Castrounis, Chicago, IL, 60661
I strongly support continuing regulations that ensure net neutrality. I believe that equal access to online information is very important and I certainly do not trust the internet providers to police themselves. Quit it.
940. Margaret O'Keefe, Chicago, IL, 60611
All data on the internet should be equally accessible
941. Karen Gillespie, Chicago, IL, 60603
Net neutrality is vital to the protection of free speech and unencumbered expression on the internet. I urge the FCC to protect the current net neutrality.
942. Nicolas Buitrago, Chicago, IL, 60607
I strongly support the protection of Title 2 backed Net Neutrality.
943. CJ Dillon, CHICAGO, IL, 60616
Net neutrality is a fundamental civil right. Absent this basic access to unregulated speed of information, US citizens will be at the mercy of big business in accessing news and other information. KEEP THE NET NEUTRAL.
944. Josh Feingold, Chicago, IL, 60607
Internet must remain classified under Title II in order to preserve a free and open Internet. Nothing less will adequately protect consumers from ISPs meddling with access.
945. Nektarios Katsifarakis, Chicago, IL, 60653
You need to preserve Net Neutrality and its title II status as it currently stands. Stripping title II and net neutrality rules will be disastrous to everyone who relies on the Internet, which would be the majority of Americans. Leaving it up to ISPs to voluntarily not give preference to big companies with deep pockets is more than naive, it's just not going to happen. Just look at the track record of big ISPs like Verizon/Comcast. They have never wasted an opportunity to make money anyways they can, and have no morals/remorse about how they do it, so if it's legal, they will do it. So preserve net neutrality under title II please.It's the right thing.

946. c.e. de van, Chicago, IL, 60622
Title 2 is very important to all internet users. The ISP's want to sell our personal information for profit. Please stop these greedy soulless people! Thank You.
947. James Gillmeister, Chicago, IL, 60606
I believe that the internet is a public utility that should not be the subject of possible manipulation by service providers. As such, I believe it is essential that ISPs should continue to be regulated as telecommunications carriers under Title 2. Reclassifying them under Title 1 as information service providers does not properly describe their function and allows them to manipulate my access to actual information service providers, whether they be the NY Times web site, Netflix, or any other content site I may choose to visit.
948. Michael Mirabelli, Chicago, IL, 60601
I believe that keeping ISPs under title two is in the best interest for the country.
949. Sara Stigberg, Chicago, IL, 60654
Net neutrality and Title II MUST be preserved in order to allow freedom of information access, and to maintain a fair playing field for our market economy.
950. Deborah Wellek Wolkstein, River Forest, IL, 60305
I support strong net neutrality!! Fast internet for all.
951. anne heilsberg, chicago, IL, 60602
please maintain vigilance over the net neutrality by keeping title 2 active.
952. Matt Klein, Chicago, IL, 60605
It is very important to me and to this country that you protect Net Neutrality under Title 2. Please register my voice in this matter and your decision making process.
953. filter, chicago, IL, 60611
I am in favor of strong net neutrality. Weakening net neutrality would be a massive mistake for the necessary free & open internet.
954. Chris Brooks, Chicago, IL, 60707
I oppose any changes to the current rules on net neutrality. I am against moving things back under title 1. Title 2 allows for stronger protections. Please keep our net neutrality rules strong by leaving them as they are.
955. Adam Carbonell, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I do not want the FCC to repeal.
956. Kari Parker, Chicago, IL, 60606
Please uphold Title 2 and Net Neutrality
957. Alejandra Velez, Chicago, IL, 60607
It's very important to me to speak out against the possibility of unfair control of the internet, due to corporate greed.
958. Mike Wilder, Chicago, IL, 60661
To ensure that all websites are EQUALLY accessible, I urge you to support net neutrality and NOT reverse the rules established with Title II of the Telecommunications Act.
959. Mrs., River Forest, IL, 60305
I support net neutrality and Title 2. I oppose allowing internet service providers to charge more for faster internet service.
960. JOE MOORE, CHICAGO, IL, 60661
Please support and preserve strong net neutrality and Title 2.
961. Joseph michalski, la grange park, IL, 60526
Please no not roll back protections on Net Neutrality. The internet is a vial utility and it's access should be open to just the highest bidders.
962. Sara Stigberg, Chicago, IL, 60602

I strongly believe that Net Neutrality must be saved in order to protect freedom of information access, and to maintain a relatively level market playing field. Protecting the rights of the weakest among us strengthens all of us.

963. Paul Mitchell, Chicago, IL, 60622
Please keep the Internet neutral. Pay to play doesn't belong in politics or the internet.
964. Anna B, Chicago, IL, 60654
Net neutrality is too important to give over to ISPs to voluntarily comply with. They must be regulated and obligated to comply with net neutrality LAW to ensure a level playing field for content providers and internet consumers. The outrage over repealing Title 2 will be comparable to the outrage over repealing Obamacare - do NOT attempt to test this! Thanks, to whomever it may concern. Anna
965. Marc Sussman, Chicago, IL, 60654
Please do not act to weaken net neutrality. I support strong net neutrality with Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.
966. James T. Edwards, Chicago, IL, 60653
Tearing down net neutrality would be a betrayal of the vast majority of American citizens. The net should be a public resource open to all citizens equally. Allowing rich corporations to distort net neutrality in favor of the already powerful is a step toward corporate fascism. The FCC should assign librarians to organize and distribute the information on the net in a way that best serves all the citizens. Keep private corporations and companies out of this process, because by definition they do not hold the interests of public citizens ahead of their own private interests. You should know this!
967. Tim, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I'm in favor of strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.
968. Luke Brazell, Chicago, IL, 60605
I work in IT support and I firmly oppose repealing any of the protections for net neutrality. ISP should be regulated as common carriers. If they aren't, they have the power to abuse consumers and there's nothing we can do about it. They have near regional monopolies, so they can't be trusted to regulate themselves and must be regulated by the government. Cable companies have among the worst customer service in the US and a horrible record of abusing their monopolistic position. Allowing them to do the same to the internet is horrifying and must not be allowed. DON'T CHANGE NET NEUTRALITY PROTECTIONS!!
969. Deborah Wellek Wolkstein, River Forest, IL, 60305
I support strong net neutrality under Title 2 of ISPs. Internet for ALL!
970. Ben Charoenwong, Chicago, IL, 60601
I support strong net neutrality. Deregulating this component of internet regulation will actually raise barriers to entry and reduce competition.
971. Parkinson, Chicago, IL, 60606
I generally consider myself to be a libertarian and I STRONGLY SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY and think strong regulations are needed in this space. While I hold free markets and the invisible hand in the highest regard, this is simply not the case for ISP providers. Unless and until the ISP monopolies are broken up, net neutrality is vital to the functioning of the internet, society, and our democracy.
972. maxx wesley, Oak park, IL, 60302
You cowards need to stand up to those greedy isps and monopoly phone/cable companies. Defend net neutrality. We made the internet not them. You people must want to get voted out
973. j sterbenc, Chicago, IL, 60611
ABSOLUTELY KEEP NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II!
974. Jesse Brosten, Chicago, IL, 60611
Please maintain our net neutrality! ISPs already have our data, they do not need to control our access to data as well!
975. andi sider, Chicago, IL, 60661
I'm voicing support for strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

976. Lewis Gardner, Chicago, IL, 60654
All data made available on the internet should be treated the same. Internet users should not be discriminated against or charged different amounts of money for the same service.
977. Julio Rodriguez, Chicago, IL, 60604
Specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2
978. phil conway, Chicago, IL, 60605
I strongly support regulation of the ISPs under title II
979. Johnny Lord, Chicago, IL, 60185
Hello. Net Neutrality is incredibly important. I strongly support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs . We must protect an open, free, fair, and neutral internet.
980. David Gulbransen, Oak Park, IL, 60301
The success of the internet is based on the equality of all packets traveling over the network. Allowing an ISP to filter traffic in any way is detrimental to the development of new technology and will only stifle innovation and the economy.I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!
981. Adam Bohlander, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Keep regulating the internet as a public utility.
982. Camille Fink, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I am a researcher who depends on the internet to do my work effectively. Fair and equitable access to the internet is essential to my work.
983. Seth Kaufman, Oak Park, IL, 60302
The proposed switch from Title II to Title I as proposed by the current FCC chairman appears to be based on expectations of altruism by the regulated companies. The regulated companies in question have clearly stated to investors that there is no undue regulatory burden or any impact to investment under a Title II regime and therefore this is a solution in search of a problem. Rather than allowing further rent-seeking behavior by the ISPs in the form of requiring excess payments from content providers, the FCC should be focused on ensuring a level playing field for all. There is no need to jeopardize true net neutrality in the form of maintaining the Title II requirements particularly given the local monopoly/monopsony conditions that most Americans suffer from in the form of ISPs.
984. Sean Sullivan, Chicago, IL, 60622
I find it troubling and bizarre that the party I used to vote for, the party that used to be in favor of free, open markets, has given itself over to cronyism to the point that they no longer stand for anything. Have some real conservative principles, grow a spine, and remember that republicans used to be trust busters and were actually a friend to small business owners. Be better.
985. Patrick Callahan, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Do not change current net neutrality policies removing the title/article 2 oversight of organizations (ISPs, etc). There is history of companies limiting/inhibiting content and 'pushing' content that rewards them financially. No one supporting free enterprise and a free market should support this.
986. raquel wells, Oak park, IL, 60302
I don't want Title 2 regulations to be removed from Internet Service Providers.
987. stephen shlakman, Chicago, IL, 60604
The FCC should not change broadband communication from type 2 to type 1. It is imperative that all users are shown unbiased results. The movement from type 2 to type 1 would allow companies to display results based off of payments. This is unacceptable.
988. Matthew Espy, Chicago, IL, 60610
I support a strong net neutrality and the retention of title two for all ISP's.
989. Kathleen, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I believe in net neutrality. I believe that the web needs to remain in Title II.
990. Warren Skipper, Chicago, IL, 60636
I fully support strong net neutrality rules via Title II oversight of internet service providers. Please do not end net

neutrality thus giving corporations unnecessary powers to dictate how we, the American people, use the internet. Thanks

991. Rich Metschke, Chicago, IL, 60616
Please preserve Net Neutrality rules and Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Doing anything else is a clear display of favoritism/bias to ISPs, and does not live up to strategic goals #2 and #3 listed on your own website. Repealing these protection rules clearly shows that you are not concerned about protecting the citizens of the United States, which is a significant reason as to why you exist and are paid government salaries with our taxes.
992. Mary Speece, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Net neutrality is important and cannot be protected without Title II protection. It is laughable to say that, left to themselves, internet providers will voluntarily preserve equal access instead of allowing freer, faster access to preferred or proprietary services. Please maintain current protections.
993. Jason McCallum, Chicago, IL, 60605
Given how I've been affected by ISP throttling in the past, I am COMPLETELY against this attempt to change how they are regulated.
994. Michael Lubert, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Net neutrality is essential to protecting the Internet. Without it, companies WILL push the US out of the global marketplace.
995. Brett Niggel, Chicago, IL, 60611
Keep Net Neutrality!!! Save internet freedom
996. Concepcion Prado, Chicago, IL, 60610
Please uphold net neutrality and keep broadband access classified under Title II.
997. 17-108, Chicago, IL, 60605
KEEP INTERNET NEUTRALITY. This should be basic logic.....
998. Nicholas Quaderer, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support net neutrality and the continued classification of ISPs under Title II .
999. Jason Zenz, Chicago, IL, 60622
Keep the internet neutral under Title II of the Communications Act. The internet is an important part of a healthy society just like clean water, electricity, and gas.
1000. Kenneth Andrews, Chicago, IL, 60601
I would like to lend support for the preservation of net neutrality and Title 2. I can see no plausible excuse for removing this provision other than as a blatant handout for ISP companies to screw us all.
1001. Haley Africano, Chicago, IL, 60611
Please do not let the educational, social, cultural tool that is the internet go to the mercy of large corporations and corporate bidders. Please protect net neutrality under strict legislation and through inclusion of ISPs under Title II.
1002. John, Chicago, IL, 60606
Please do not remove Internet service providers from Title 2 status.
1003. Amanda Plummer, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I support net neutrality under title 2 oversights of I S P s
1004. Brandi Segura, Chicago, IL, 60612
I strongly support and urge you to preserve net neutrality and Title II. Dissolving either of those will not benefit consumers and is just a greedy corporate power grab which will have terrible consequences.
1005. Grant Dep, Chicago, IL, 60606
Keep net neutrality laws!!!
1006. Darvel Lewis, Hillside, IL, 60162
The Internet is supposed to be a public institution that was made by the people for the people! DO NOT allow Greed to distort the good that the internet does. Taking away the freedoms of the Internet will affect poor people

the most. This is sad when America is only about the bottom line and no longer cares about the common Man/Woman! DO NOT give companies MORE power than they already have to rob us blind! Protect Net Neutrality at all costs!!!Thank You in Advance,Darvel Lewis

1007. kevin conroy, chicago, IL, 60605
The internet at this point in time should be treated as a utility. It should be left unbiased. Please leave the internet neutral so that small and large businesses alike are playing on the same playing field
1008. John Maciejewski, Chicago, IL, 60612
I'm writing to express my support for Net Neutrality. Preserving an open internet is crucial for fair and equal access to the resources and information available on it. The FCC needs to leave the current rules in place.
1009. Rob Wills, Chicago, IL, 60612
Keeping the Internet free and open - and protected under the laws afforded by Title II - is very important to me. I do not trust companies to self-regulate, regardless of their comments or intentions. By way of example, many companies "say" they offer unlimited data, just as they may say they will keep the internet free. However, upon closer inspection, these companies throttle an Unlimited-plan user's bandwidth once they surpass past certain usage levels. Without the solid protections and legal recourse provided in Title II, I have no faith the ISP will not meddle. I feel very strongly that the Internet has become nearly as required for every day life in the US as electricity and should be protected as such. If I am without internet for any period of time, or my connection speed is modified once I pass a certain level of usage, my ability to conduct business is adversely impacted, as is my family's very security (from physical attack, not just cyber). Just as my electric company should have no say into what I plug into the wall outlet - or control the amount or cleanliness of power such a device is allowed to pull, the ISP should have no say in what parts of the internet I chose to visit or with which app or site I chose to visit them. I pay for access to clean electricity with a specific specification, i.e. Hz and V; I do the same for my internet. Without the protection of Title II, it leaves the door WIDE open for companies to change the playing field - regardless of what they promise on any given day.Please keep the Internet regulated under Obama-era laws as a utility under Title II.
1010. AJ Kane, Chicago, IL, 60607
Please preserve net neutrality and title two. Also, holding a giant mug makes you look like a tiny man.
1011. Edmond Smith, Chicago, IL, 60605
Keep ISP under Title II. Net Neutrality is vital for allowing entrepreneurs like myself to be competitive in the internet space. Give us all a fair chance to compete.
1012. Michael Boswell, Chicago, IL, 60607
KEEP Net Neutrality Title II. What Ajit Pai wants to do is blatantly pro-ISP and anti-consumer and will ruin the internet as we know it.In 2015, millions of people made their support for net neutrality clear in comments to the FederalCommunications Commission (FCC) supporting the Open Internet Order. The order, whichreclassified broadband internet under Title II, enshrined the principles of net neutrality in law,and gave the FCC the authority to enforce it. As a result, broadband providers cannot blockusersâ€™ access to content, slow down connections to services, or charge for speedier delivery ofpreferred content. KEEP TITLE II ALIVE.
1013. Maria Orozco, Chicago, IL, 60605
Title II should not be done away with. Everyone deserves equal quality of access to information. We, the consumers, would be affected by a change in net neutrality more than any of the communication corporations ever could.
1014. Michael Brinkman, Chicago, IL, 60605
Support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s.Keep my fair and unrestricted access to internet content, whether it is provided by ISPs, Netflix, or straight up Porn.
1015. Carrie Harrington, Chicago, IL, 60607
Net neutrality is absolutely necessary and important. Please respect fairness.
1016. Robert Worrell, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support a strong net neutrality back by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not changes these rules as they benefit all businesses on the internet and not just the ones that have the most money to pay for preferred treatment from ISPs.
1017. Gregory Lesniak, Chicago, IL, 60654
I support and request that the FCC keep Internet as a title 2 utility and maintain free open internet. Life has become

too dependent on it and allowing companies to monopolize and control content which would be anti-competitive and prohibitive to free choice browsing. The people are clear they do not want internet to be controlled by corporations. Keep the Internet neutral and open. I support title 2 classification!

1018. Anya Helgeson, Chicago, IL, 60622
The internet should remain free and open. In the same way that the library gives the same access to all, the Internet is a source of knowledge and information that is not to be sold or bought by big companies. I am FOR Net Neutrality under the Title 2 ruling.
1019. Alex Loewenstein, Chicago, IL, 60610
Please keep Net Neutrality and specifically Title II in place. This will ensure a FREE and OPEN internet moving forward, and not the 'FREE' internet the FCC director is attempting to create.
1020. 1, Chicago, IL, 60614
Consumers need guaranteed net neutrality. Do not restructure under Title I.
1021. Robert Schwarzhaupt, Chicago, IL, 60605
I strongly support net neutrality through title two oversight of internet service providers. This oversight is a solid regulation protecting the functional freedoms of expression over the internet.
1022. Steve Pledger, Chicago, IL, 60611
Please preserve title II net neutrality.
1023. Brian Mahoney, Chicago, IL, 60622
Net neutrality provides essential protections that must be maintained. Any changes to limit these protections present a clear attack on the interests and freedoms of all Americans.
1024. Douglas R. Klain, Chicago, IL, 60605
As an American citizen, I believe that internet service providers must remain classified under Title II to ensure that internet consumers are protected.
1025. Becca Doll, Chicago, IL, 60610
I support net neutrality and the classifications of IPS under title two.
1026. brian samuels, Chicago, IL, 60642
Do not give more power to communications companies in this respect. This is obviously against the public good and in the best interests of only a few, large, lobbying telecom companies.
1027. Nick McGregor, Chicago, IL, 60622
Net neutrality must be preserved. Expecting ISPs to voluntarily preserve net neutrality when it is against their financial best interest is asinine. Without proper regulation, companies will certainly slow down websites that compete with their own content - in fact their shareholders would rightly demand it. Proper regulation is absolutely critical to preserve net neutrality, as well as to promote competition among media companies.
1028. Michael J. Pfammatter, Chicago, IL, 60611
It is a major component of democracy to have free and equal access to information. Net neutrality is key to this. Please do not allow ISPs or anyone else limit equal access to information. Keep them as Title II.
1029. Marie, Chicago, IL, 60610
We need net neutrality; keep the internet the way it is now. Please.
1030. Alex Smith, Chicago, IL, 60654
I OPPOSE this act. It will halt innovation and free market competition and will put an end to a free and open internet.
1031. Binoy Shah, Chicago, IL, 60605
Preserve Title II for the sake of net neutrality.
1032. Simbi Acharya, Chicago, IL, 60605
You have to preserve net neutrality.
1033. Daniel Roan, Chicago, IL, 60651

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs™s. The internet is for the people.

1034. Christo Coetzer, Chicago, IL, 60604
I request that you preserve net neutrality rules as well as title 2 legislation to protect the internet and freedom of access it supports without undue pressure from ISP's.
1035. Brenden Miranda, Chicago, IL, 60608
Stronger net neutrality is a necessity!
1036. Ryan Leach, Chicago, IL, 60622
I support current FCC regulations of the internet supported under TITLE 2
1037. Jillian P., Chicago, IL, 60601
I support strong net neutrality. Please DO NOT KILL OUR OPEN INTERNET RULES.
1038. Magen Ryan, Chicago, IL, 60607
It's imperative for the FCC to keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules.
1039. Cathy Ruggeri, River Forest, IL, 60305
I am opposed to the new chairman's position that will weaken net neutrality. I support strong net neutrality and oversight under Title II. As internet service providers move more and more into providing content, it will be in their interest to slow down and disrupt the product of other content providers. Remedies after the fact may be too late for some content providers who don't have the resources of the ISP's.
1040. Sandy Hayes, Chicago, IL, 60610
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Select "Express" to voice your opinion. Ajit Pai, did you see what Trump did to James Comey despite the fact that he helped Trump win the Presidency? Make no mistake, this is your fate too. This is the fate of everyone who works and follows mad king blindly. Do what is right for the US, its citizens and the most of its businesses except for the likes of Verizon.
1041. DAVID TOUSIGNANT, CHICAGO, IL, 60610
The FCC needs to keep net neutrality alive. This means keeping laws preventing companies from playing favorites to different sites. The internet is for everyone and is an equal place. Europe and Canada even have this, and we the US want to do away with it? Keep it free and open for all is important
1042. James Power, Chicago, IL, 60602
I'm writing in support of keeping ISP/internet regulations under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Private corporations owe a duty to their shareholders to earn a profit in the endeavors they undertake. In this respect, they are simply unable to provide fair access and equal access to information on the internet where the alternative increases their profits margins. This conflict necessarily renders them incapable of regulating the conduit through which that information is accessed. We must ensure strong regulations remain in place to ensure the free flow of information and that access to that information is not dictated by those who might have an interest that is other than that of the general public.
1043. Jacob Hand, Chicago, IL, 60622
Without true net neutrality- we won't have the freedom that we as Americans pretend to hold dear. Do NOT dismantle, change, or dismantle Title 2. Moreover, FCC Chairman Pai's argument is invalid. Comcast, the nation's largest cable and broadband company, has spent more on infrastructure each of the last two years. Also Charter and T-Mobile have also spent more since then. And the Chairman should know, his old employer Verizon, which consciously slowed the rollout of its wireline internet service from early 2010 to about mid-2016, has invested 26% more on wireless-specific infrastructure since 2014, too. In short, KEEP TITLE 2 THE WAY IT IS.
1044. Michael, Chicago, IL, 60644
Please Preserve Net Neutrality In "Title II"
1045. jenny wong, Chicago, IL, 60601
The internet has to remain net neutral. Enough of the nonsense around letting ISPs to self govern and volunteer to be part of the open internet. Equal internet access is the foundation that gives people the right to knowledge, information, and access to services equally. The idea that access and preference can be set based on business incentives, or to discriminate others in favoring the few, simply sickens me.

1046. Rebecca Callahan, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. There is history of companies limiting/inhibiting content and 'pushing' content that rewards them financially.
1047. Daniel Hoodin, Chicago, IL, 60607
I want to keep net neutrality laws as is under title 2. An Internet where the most powerful interests can pay for huge speed advantages could push small business and smaller free software projects right off the map and make it harder for decentralized projects to flourish.
1048. Alexandra Zelazo-Kessler, Oak Park, IL, 60301
We support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Regulation is essential for a fair market place - ISPs have a track record of misconduct --there is no plausible reason to believe they will regulate themselves.
1049. Felix Hernandez, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support strong Net Neutrality back by Title 2 oversight of ISP's!
1050. Nicole Goodrich, Chicago, IL, 60612
I strongly support Net Neutrality and the regulations for ISPs under Title 2.
1051. Scott Pearce, Chicago, IL, 60612
I strongly support net neutrality by regulation of Internet Service Providers backed by Title II classification.
1052. Punit Marwaha, Chicago, IL, 60601
I am strongly against any action that seeks to hinder net neutrality and allow those who control internet access to dictate what can be received. Please keep any and all telecommunication companies under Title II.
1053. Bardia Nadim, Chicago, IL, 60611
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY AND THE LISTING OF INTERNET SERVICE PROVIDERS UNDER TITLE 2
1054. Shakthi Dharan Kumar, Chicago, IL, 60607
I STRONGLY encourage the FCC to preserve strong net neutrality rules backed by TITLE 2 OVERSIGHT of ISPs. Thank you.
1055. Keith Nowicki, Chicago, IL, 60607
It's critical for our nation's growth and competitiveness to classify Internet service providers under title 2 regulations. Net neutrality is fundamental for ensuring personal freedom and small business growth. Please continue enforcing and strengthening net neutrality rules. Classify ISP under Title 2 regulations.
1056. Jose Bolanos, Oak Park, IL, 60302
The proposed changes are a threat to free commerce, information sharing, education, research, and our basic freedoms. Net neutrality must be preserved.
1057. Meghan Carpenter, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support net neutrality. Please continue to uphold title II net neutrality rules.
1058. robert crane, Chicago, IL, 60607
Dear sir or madam, My own and many small businesses like it that profit from internet based e-commerce would be crippled or shut down by regulation such as this which impede the principle of net neutrality. Our current administration campaigned on promises of protecting the free market, and today the internet is the most free market there is; however what is proposed here is absolutely contrary to those values. Keep ISPs regulated under title 2 and keep the access to the internet neutral. Robert Crane, Esq.
1059. Thomas Mader, Chicago, IL, 60605
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of internet service providers. Do not roll back net neutrality laws.
1060. ben rohde, Chicago, IL, 60661
Net neutrality/Title II please.
1061. Aditya Govil, Chicago, IL, 60611

Hello,I support strong net neutrality backed by TITLE II ISPs.Thanks,AG

1062. deborah Daly, Chicago, IL, 60661
I specifically support strong internet neutrality backed by Title II oversight. DO NOT change the current regulations. Keep the internet free from government and corporate manipulation
1063. Mike Fridman, Oak Park, IL, 60304
DO NOT ROLL BACK NET NEUTRALITY. I REPEAT.DO NOT ROLL BACK NET NEUTRALITY. I REPEAT.DO NOT ROLL BACK NET NEUTRALITY. I REPEAT.DO NOT ROLL BACK NET NEUTRALITY. I REPEAT.DO NOT ROLL BACK NET NEUTRALITY. I REPEAT.DO NOT ROLL BACK NET NEUTRALITY. I REPEAT.DO NOT ROLL BACK NET NEUTRALITY. I REPEAT.DO NOT ROLL BACK NET NEUTRALITY. I REPEAT.DO NOT ROLL BACK NET NEUTRALITY. I REPEAT.
1064. thomas livings, chicago, IL, 60605
Protect net neutrality. This is more important to me than any other issue and my vote will be swayed by this issue.
1065. Sarah, Chicago, IL, 60602
WE SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II.THANK YOU AND HAVE A LOVELY DAY.
1066. Kenneth Orenic, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I strongly support net neutrality and implore the FCC to maintain the current status of ISPs oversight through Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
1067. Corey Flayman, Chicago, IL, 60605
The open internet is more important than ISPs' profits. Please protect net neutrality.
1068. Troy Freeland, Chicago, IL, 60605
I would like to go on record that I support strong FCC net neutrality rules under current Title II.
1069. Zach Ratliff, Chicago, IL, 60642
I find it deeply troubling that your organization has taken a position in opposition to net neutrality. The internet should be governed in the same manner as other utilities to ensure that the content providers, who are the true engine of the online commerce's growth, can continue to operate without fear that they'll be be throttled to ensure advertising revenue or e-commerce goes to a telecommunication companies subsidiary. Please protect our internet, for many it is the only voice they have.
1070. Gurbani Wahi, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I strongly support net neutrality and strong Title II regulations.
1071. Stephanie Combs, Chicago, IL, 60654
You must preserve net neutrality and title 2. It is the right thing to do.
1072. A J Weller, chicago, IL, 60611
Please keep net neutrality rules in place. This is an important check.
1073. chris Sporina, CHICAGO, IL, 60607
Keep Net neutrality as is, Tier II. Keep the Internet open. It is as vital as any utility has ever been.
1074. Alex Brown, Chicago, IL, 60642
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I oppose Docket No. 17-108 and think it will do much more harm than good.
1075. Sean Leeming, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Keep Net Neutrality under Title II. Stop trying to rig the internet towards big companies, stop trying to kill small businesses and innovation.
1076. Kayla Nimis, Chicago, IL, 60607
I am in favor of strong protections for net neutrality, including classifying ISPs under Title II of the Federal Communications Act of 1934.
1077. joe logan, chicago, IL, 60607

Keep the Internet as is, net neutrality is vital to the entire economy. Keep it Tier II.

1078. Brian Gorka, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I support net neutrality and strong Title II regulations of ISPs.
1079. Jake Anderson, Chicago, IL, 60622
Net neutrality is important, and I believe strongly that ISPs should be regulated under Title II
1080. David Gogo, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Please keep net neutrality rules. Keep ISP's under Title II. When Comcast blocked HBO Go on my Playstation 4 console I was extremely upset. ISP's should not have this kind of power. Everyone wants a neutral internet. ISP's don't because they won't make as much money. Thank you.
1081. lee gerstein, chicago, IL, 60622
I'm writing to strongly support net neutrality. I do not want to see any relaxing of this, and would hope that your findings are the same.
1082. Zack Capozzi, Chicago, IL, 60614
Please do not roll back the rules protecting net neutrality. There is no reason to expect companies to treat all internet content the same when favoring their own (or partner's) content could increase profits. In fact, given their fiduciary responsibilities, they should be favoring their own content if given the chance. This would be a terrible end to the free and open internet. We need these regulations to ensure that new businesses have an equal opportunity to grow and thrive.
1083. John Gregg, Chicago, IL, 60622
I urge the Fcc to support net neutrality and preserve Title II.
1084. David Scott, Chicago, IL, 60607
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. It is the best protection to maintain the quality of service of all websites. The quality of the internet being governed by a few private institutions that have incentive to drop the quality of service for competitors is unacceptable. The internet was intended to be decentralized, uncensored and immutable. Title 2, is a regulation that makes companies focus on differentiation in services and features which is better for the consumer and the ability to establish new lines of business. Taking away title 2 would be stifling to this innovation and competition by allowing companies to focus on sabotaging services of competitors as opposed to providing a better product.
1085. Kathryn Knue, Chicago, IL, 60601
I specifically and strongly support net neutrality and strongly oppose FCC Chairman Ajit Pai's views on such subject. Title II oversight of ISPs is necessary.
1086. Shea Shumpert Turner, Chicago, IL, 60610
I support preserving net neutrality with the protections in Title II!
1087. Elizabeth Hermans, Berwyn, IL, 60402
I would ask that you would please uphold the strongest net neutrality and continue to support Title II.Thank you.
1088. Wendy Darlington, Chicago, IL, 60605
We need strong net neutrality with the protections afforded by title 2 oversights of ISPs. Do not get rid of these protections!!!
1089. corey baer, Chicago, IL, 60616
I strongly support title 2 net neutrality regulation. I do not trust my ISP to self regulate, and trust that my tax dollars paid to the federal government are meant to protect me from such competitive bias. I am unswayed by the argument that innovation of internet providers is stifled by these regulations. Please continue to protect consumers and do not repeal this regulation.
1090. Samantha Harrison, chicago, IL, 60612
i support strong net neutrality and keeping ISPs regulated under title 2.
1091. Dustin Cahan, Chicago, IL, 60654
I support net neutrality rules and title II classification for ISP's

1092. Kristine S. Green, Chicago, IL, 60605
As an American Citizen, I ask that you do everything in your power to protect net neutrality!
1093. Cato, Chicago, IL, 60603
I am strongly in favor of preserving net neutrality and Title II!
1094. Petar Bajic, Chicago, IL, 60642
I am in support of net neutrality and preservation of its protection under Title 2. Please do not repeal net neutrality!
1095. Gauri Khatkhate, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I support strong net neutrality via classifying isps under title 2.
1096. Annie Herbstman, Chicago, IL, 60607
I support net neutrality and the classification of ISPs under title 2.
1097. Matthew Young, Chicago, IL, 60605
I strongly oppose any measures that will appeal Obama-era Net Neutrality rules. As a computer programmer, I understand the need to have a free and open internet, and how serious the consequences of allowing companies to prioritize, block, or alter some traffic over others. It is fundamentally wrong, will have wide effects, and just doesn't make sense. The internet shouldn't work that way. I support making the internet regulated like a common utility. You wouldn't let the phone companies not let you call certain numbers, or have certain numbers take calls at a degraded rate of quality to others. Why would internet traffic be any different? I support regulating the internet under Title II. Don't roll back these rules. They matter.
1098. Claire Bridges, Oak park, IL, 60302
Strongly for net neutrality
1099. Ryan Roylance, Chicago, IL, 60661
Net Neutrality is a VITAL component of a consumers right to choose. Do NOT remove Net Neutrality or move ISP's from Title 2 back to Title 1.
1100. Deena John, Chicago, IL, 60605
Preserve net neutrality and Title II - this country is the greatest in the world and we deserve to not have companies decide what we respond to and what is communicated to us and at what speed. PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY and TITLE II for our future generations.
1101. Steven Gouletas, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support net neutrality supported by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
1102. Aaron Buelow, Chicago, IL, 60639
Development of new technology requires as few barriers to entry to internet developers as possible, best protected by continuing to protect net neutrality under Title II.
1103. Suzanne Karpick, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support net neutrality with title 2 oversight of ISPs
1104. Hannah Douglas, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I support net neutrality by Title 2 oversight.
1105. Jordan Blumenthal, Chicago, IL, 60605
I am writing in support of strong net neutrality rules with oversight under Title II. Please do not reclassify ISPs to allow for so-called "light-touch" regulation -- net neutrality is too important to the future of information, access to information, information-sharing, and our national success.
1106. Aliza Heiligman, Chicago, IL, 60610
I strongly support net neutrality. Common sense. Please fight for our freedoms. Please preserve net neutrality and title II.
1107. Carly Ferdman, Chicago, IL, 60608
I strongly support the Title II FCC internet regulation. Net neutrality protects us all.
1108. Autumn McReynolds, Chicago, IL, 60610

DO NOT ELIMINATE TITLE II.

1109. Arelia Jones, Chicago, IL, 60604
I am a web developer and my ability to contribute to our nation's economy depends on a free and open internet. Please don't give corporations the power to take away that openness. Please protect net neutrality.
1110. Melina Garza, Chicago, IL, 60642
Please keep Title II net neutrality rules intact! Thank you,
1111. David Gallagher, Oak Park, IL, 60302
in support of keeping tier II
1112. Ruth Lazarus, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please protect net neutrality under Title II. Thank you.
1113. Richard Rodes, Chicago, IL, 60603
I strongly support Net Neutrality and the regulations that are currently in place. Do not let the cable and phone companies make the playing field unfair. Preserve Net Neutrality!
1114. Ian Pearson, oak park, IL, 60304
Hello, Net neutrality is an essential right in the modern era. If water use could be restricted based on a consumer's soap purchase this would be unconscionable. I fail to see how allowing companies the legal leeway to limit what is an essential utility in this day an age is any different. Please maintain the Title 2 status of ISP's for the good of American education, industry, and entertainment. Ian
1115. Eric Grau, Chicago, IL, 60612
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and do not want any change made to ISP oversight. This would enable corporate stifling of free speech online and it is a step towards a fascist dictatorship.
1116. ASAP imports, Chicago, IL, 60639
PLEEEASE don't do 'Title II' net neutrality
1117. Ellen Bernacki, Chicago, IL, 60661
Eliminating net neutrality only benefits corporations, not the American people. It is absurd to believe that corporations will police themselves or do what is in the best interest of their consumers.
1118. Jay Bliznick, Chicago, IL, 60622
Net Neutrality needs to remain. As a consumer and, when it comes to the internet, a world citizen, it is of the utmost importance to me that the internet remain in the domain of the people and not large corporations who can control what we see at what speeds we see them. This is a slippery slope and by doing away with Net Neutrality we suffer a future of eventual control of information, ideas and ultimately a shutout of the free market.
1119. Emily Stoner, Chicago, IL, 60607
The FCC must preserve Net Neutrality and an Open Internet. This is crucial for preserving American values such as Free Speech and innovation. Do not change Title II application to this issue.
1120. Joe, chicago, IL, 60610
don't change the internet... keep it as it is... viva la net neutrality
1121. Suzanne M., Chicago, IL, 60604
I support the strong protections for net neutrality currently afforded by Title 2 regulation of ISPs. ISPs have told their investors repeatedly that the current regulations do not impact infrastructure investments and net neutrality is vitally important to new innovations and the free flow of information.
1122. Jacob LiBrizzi, Forest Park, IL, 60130
I want net neutrality and title II to be preserved.
1123. Ashley Rogow, Chicago, IL, 60622
I believe a firm commitment to the current FCC rules that regulate internet utility companies under Title II is necessary for the internet. They should continue to be regulated under Title II. If not, it opens the door to bad practices from cable companies.

1124. megan palecek, Chicago, IL, 60654
I support net neutrality. Please keep title 2 protection
1125. Thomas Carter, Chicago, IL, 60605
Preserve net neutrality and the current title II designation for ISPs.
1126. Patricia Clark, Chicago, IL, 60623
We need net neutrality to stand.....companies should NOT be able to slow down ANYONE'S SERVICE!
1127. Thomas Behrendt, Chicago, IL, 60605
I strongly support true net neutrality and Title II.
1128. Elliot Smith, Chicago, IL, 60602
I strongly support a stringently enforced net neutrality regime governed under Title 2. Preserve our right to freedom on the internet.
1129. Zacharie Maloney, Chicago, IL, 60616
Strong Internet neutrality, as regulated by Title II, is profoundly important to the growth and quality of the Internet both now and in the future. This is not a partisan issue. The FCC should continue to oversee and promote strong internet neutrality under Title II as a matter of course.
1130. Justin Sutton, Chicago, IL, 60612
Hello,I would like to express my strong support for Net Nuetrality regulation under Title 2.Thank you. For your consideration.
1131. Shayan Rayani, Chicago, IL, 60616
Ajit Pai is the minion of the Internet Service Providers and in no way cares about keeping the net neutral. He cares about lining the pockets of the ISPs. He should be fired for his financial entanglements with those companies. He should not be reclassifying ISPs to title 1. He should be evaluating his lifestyle choices--specifically that stupid coffee mug!!!
1132. James Chase, Chicago, IL, 60642
I strongly urge the FCC to preserve Title II. Repealing Title II regulations instituted under the previous administration would put the freedom and openness of the internet in serious jeopardy.
1133. Neil Berkowitz, Chicago, IL, 60654
I support continuing to protect Net Neutrality through Title II. The government should ensure that people who can afford to pay more do not have preferred access to the internet, and that internet providers cannot interfere with access to particular sites or categories of sites. The internet, which allows everyone access to every type of information imaginable and permits anyone with an e-mail address to e-mail anyone else on the internet, must remain open and accessible, with no content treated as more or less important than any other. I believe that the companies that provide access to the internet and build and maintain its infrastructure will choose to continue to perform these functions, and will continue to make a profit doing so, even with Title II still in place. Their desire to maximize profits- which I believe is the ultimate reason for much of the opposition to Net Neutrality being protected by Title II- should not come before the equal access which is the essence of Net Neutrality.
1134. Sandeep Sood, oak park, IL, 60302
Keep net neutrality rules in place and regulate ISP's under Title II.
1135. Tim Cooke, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I support the continuation of Net Neutrality and the designation of ISP as Title 2 providers
1136. Justin Meyer, Chicago, IL, 60605
We need Net Neutrality and Title II regulation. Don't FCC this up.
1137. Diane Determan, Chicago, IL, 60707
I strongly support net neutrality. It should've protected and enforced.
1138. Warner, Chicago, IL, 60610
Don't let net neutrality go away! We need this! It's important and screw the businesses trying to control our lives like this.

1139. carolyn sood, Oak park, IL, 60302
Preserve net neutrality rules and regulate ISPs under Title 2.
1140. filter, Chicago, IL, 60661
Preserve ISP net neutrality under title 2
1141. Jeremiah Tennant, Chicago, IL, 60607
Preserve title II for Net neutrality!!!
1142. Aron Croft, Chicago, IL, 60601
Net neutrality is essential and cannot be left up to a handful of big companies to voluntarily adhere to. It must be preserved.
1143. Jose A Rivera, Chicago, IL, 60607
I explicitly support net neutrality under title 2.
1144. Sam Klein, Chicago, IL, 60607
Preserve net neutrality rules and title II classification
1145. Joan Davis, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Net neutrality must be preserved. The FCC must continue to follow the title 2 guidelines it presently uses. This must not be changed.
1146. Brooke Sievers, Forest Park, IL, 60130
Please do not change net neutrality back to Article I. Keep the Internet free and regulated! Keep it out of the control of private corporations that will throttle the Internet and endanger free speech! Put people and not corporations first!
1147. Jeff Diebold, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Keep the internet truly democratic- if you would like to see something run by big money entities, just keep going to your office everyday. Washington is fueled by big money- let's have this one thing- just one nice thing that isn't completely destroyed by giving into large corporations.
1148. Ryan Lilien, Chicago, IL, 60654
I support keeping net neutrality rules backed by title 2.
1149. Stephanie Nagawiecki, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I support net neutrality. I would like ISPs to have Title II regulation.
1150. Jeff Sturgeon, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I would like the FCC to continue net neutrality by keeping ISPs under Title II regulation.
1151. Preston Grasty, Chicago, IL, 60601
Please do not repeal the current Net Neutrality rules. ISPs should be governed under Title 2. It has proven not to effect infrastructure investment and is crucial for keeping a level playing field.
1152. Leon Crane, Chicago, IL, 60610
Protect net neutrality through title 2 classification of ISPs!
1153. Ryan Wallenstein, Chicago, IL, 60622
I write to voice my support for continuing to regulate internet service providers under title II of the communications act. The internet is the primary conduit of information exchange globally, truly the most powerful tool history has known., That being the case it seems quite obvious that a political argument for different levels of supervision should be stronger and vastly more specific than speculative future economic efficiencies attributed to deregulation generally... and payoffs.
1154. Olivia, Chicago, IL, 60606
Please protect the net neutrality rules and keep internet providers on title 2.
1155. Thomas Reynolds, Chicago, IL, 60601
Chairman Pai, Commissioner Clyburn, and Commissioner O'Rielly, I'm sure you have heard various arguments for and against net neutrality. If not, you have access to many, many more arguments in favor of net

neutrality. You also have access to the most reasonable, egalitarian and moral arguments in favor of it. There are also arguments against net neutrality, most of which appeal to helping companies that can help themselves, but which in reality are not even impacted by the establishment of net neutrality. The effects are clear: repealing net neutrality will help these same companies and reduce the true freedom and equality found on the internet. However, you may not have realized the consequences if you get rid of net neutrality. History will judge you. History will be unkind and label each one of you as a hurdle to the inevitable tide of progress. You will be a footnote to the Trump Administration's destruction and ultimate failure. 300+ million of us will eventually overturn you, even if you receive a quid-pro-quo job at one of the few but big companies you will benefit. Will you benefit only a handful of companies? Or 300+ million of us? Think of your own names, your own reputations, and your own honor.

1156. Jolena Betts, Chicago, IL, 60654

Preserve net neutrality and the protections under Title II. The internet is not traditional free market where the consumer can interface directly with those offering goods and services. The consumer must rely on the access provided the service companies. Suggesting that they be allowed to police themselves and leverage their control over how I access content on the internet (for their own profit and gain), is contrary to the idea that we the people run this country. Instead it puts control over my internet experience in the hands of corporations. Please do not let this happen.

1157. Mike Coscino, Chicago, IL, 60654

The internet is key to learning new information and passing along critical news when someone needs it. Don't slow it down because someone didn't pay you enough \$\$\$\$. Lives can hinge on a moments notice. If you have to youtube how to give a hemlock maneuver or if something is poisonous, I hope your internet is slower because your provider didn't sponsor or fork up the \$. Keep it neutral!!

1158. Amanda Jones, Oak Park, IL, 60302

I support the title II net neutrality laws.

1159. Samuel Deutsch, Chicago, IL, 60622

I stand with Net Neutrality and believe in Title II regulations.

1160. Holly Mulcahy, Chicago, IL, 60611

Leave ISPs as class 2 companies. And maintain the existing net neutrality laws.

1161. Robert Frank, Oak Park, IL, 60302

Maintain net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. This is critical

1162. Harold Finch, Chicago, IL, 60654

I SUPPORT Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title-2 oversight of ISPs.

1163. Jeff Wagner, Chicago, IL, 60605

Net neutrality is of utmost importance to ensure fair competition in the marketplace. ISPs should treat all data that travels over their networks equally, without discrimination in favor of particular apps, sites or services.

1164. Claudia Peterson, Chicago, IL, 60636

The use of net neutrality is a delicate topic. I use the internet in my job as a historian to a great degree. If one were to make net neutrality less strict the amount of information I would have access to in order to complete my research would diminish greatly. I would be limited to what books I could get my hand on in physical form from local libraries and there is no guarantee that it would be available when I arrive to get it. Having a free and open internet makes my job more accomplishable and turns my research into something manageable instead of something that I could never possibly complete.

1165. Brittany Genelin, Chicago, IL, 60601

I support ongoing net neutrality and SPECIFICALLY request that the oversight of this issue is handled through the Title II process. Internet service providers should be reclassified under Title II and required to abide by net neutrality laws.

1166. Davio Pignotti, Chicago, IL, 60606

I strongly support a free and open internet and believe that anything less than net neutrality is un-American!

1167. Vincent Lavieri, Chicago, IL, 60604

I support the current net neutrality rules. It is a certainty that abuse will occur if internet providers control Internet speeds in order to pursue corporate goals. Abolishing net neutrality will negatively impact competitors' websites

and products. The FCC needs to look out for consumers of Internet services.

1168. Booth Student, Chicago, IL, 60601
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not undermine net neutrality.
1169. omar mohiudin, Chicago, IL, 60611
I want you to preserve net neutrality
1170. Andrew Fullerton, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Net neutrality is an essential for an even playing field for all users of the internet. The fact that companies like Verizon are trying to twist the story and confuse the masses about the importance of Title 2 is unconscionable. Keep net neutrality!
1171. Marc Miller, Chicago, IL, 60624
I don't want to live in an America that continues to try to take already established freedoms away from the majority of us, mostly to benefit the already wealthy. Net Neutrality is one of the most important issues of our time.
1172. Mary Murphy, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I feel strongly in net neutrality and title II.
1173. Jonathan Shack, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 over site of ISP's. Do not reduce the regulation.
1174. Aaron Zirkelbach, Somewhere, IL, 60606
Support Net Neutrality. There are half a million comments so I'm just adding my name to the list. Anyone reading this should already know why, and that it's the right thing to do. So just do the right thing. I am obviously not putting my real address on this proceeding, I am using my real name and real state of residence, but find the requirement for a specific street address ridiculous.
1175. Nick, Chicago, IL, 60610
I am in full support of net neutrality. It should stay a utility. It should be under the jurisdiction of Title II. I am the future of this country and believe in the power the internet has for all of us. It should not be controlled by private businesses. It is not something that should be monopolized or used to create economic competition. Thank you for all you do because you probably don't get thanked enough. What you do is difficult and we all appreciate it. Have a wonderful rest of your week and weekend.
1176. Chris Maves, Chicago, IL, 60661
We need the strong net neutrality enforcement that Title II provides. It is freedom of speech; it is the American way.
1177. Peter Piotrowski, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support strong net neutrality and Title II protections for the internet. DO NOT remove the internet from title II protections. I want my internet unbiased, unbroken, and a level playing field. I do not want to suddenly find out that RCN has decided that google sites and products are not going to be provided the same speeds as say, yahoo. Please, please, listen to the people who want net neutrality maintained, and title II maintained.
1178. maria, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support net neutrality, and I think that changes to title ii will adversely affect our citizens, we do not need any further restructuring. Chairman pai is misleading the people with his false investment numbers, he is a company man (VERIZON). Trump is a horrible president and his entire administration is only set on turning back Obamas progressions and destroying the foundations of this country. I will go off the grid if he tries to overturn these net neutrality protections.
1179. Carolyn Neuman, Chicago, IL, 60611
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 over site of ISP's. Thank you, Carolyn
1180. Jonathan Seabold, Chicago, IL, 60616
I support a continued free and open internet. Access to the internet is a utility that ensures freedom of opportunity in this country for citizens and small businesses alike.
1181. Sara, Chicago, IL, 60612

Dear Ajit Pai, I fully support the current net neutrality rules. I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Sara Garrett

1182. Constance Noyes, Chicago, IL, 60608
Chairman Ajit Pai. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of the FCC. Net Neutrality is NOT a mistake.
1183. john reynolds, Oak park, IL, 60304
Preserve title II net neutrality rules!!!
1184. Kenneth Sanderman, Private, IL, 60606
I support net neutrality and the classification of ISPs under Title II. Internet access is expensive enough as it is, and even if the FCC chairman is too naive, inept, or foolish to believe ISPs would be motivated to charge money to prioritize content - or that there is already evidence thereof - I perceive it as a very threat, as do many other consumers. Please preserve net neutrality.
1185. Steven D Meyer, Chicago, IL, 60611
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Preserve net neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISPs.
1186. Michael Downey, Chicago, IL, 60605
You have to preserve net neutrality, and title II!!! This is very important to me, the Internet should be a free and open space and not throttled by Internet service providers with agendas!
1187. Trenton, Chicago, IL, 60616
Net neutrality is the single most important issue that I vote upon in local and national elections. ISPs should be kept in Title II to ensure a free and fair internet
1188. JORDAN, JEFFREY M., CHICAGO, IL, 60654
I would like to express the necessity to keep, improve and ensure NET NEUTRALITY Regulations under TITLE 2. Thank you.
1189. CSenescu, Chicago, IL, 60661
the internet should be equal! preserve net neutrality and title2
1190. Timothy Sedlacek, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please don't do this. Everyone loses in a nation without net neutrality.
1191. Andrew Schweinfurth, Chicago, IL, 60601
I currently work as a Developer Evangelist for a public API program at major US based pharmacy. I am flabbergasted at the statements recently made by Chairman Ajit Pai on the subject of net neutrality. I cannot begin to describe how much I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. It is my job to make the services that my company creates as open and available to any developer, business, and eventual customer that would attempt to try to connect with our business. Allowing internet service Providers the ability to prevent customers neutral access to the content and services in which they independently subscribe or even possibly pay for is an abhorrent offense to the Communication Act of 1934 specifically Title I and Title II. This practice is not something that does happen in the industry and should not only be considered illegal, but internet service providers should absolutely get fined for any actions they take to prevent access to their customers' service that might not contain that internet service providers content. So, I say briefly, I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108, and strongly favor net neutrality. It has always been an open internet, and should remain that way!
1192. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60601
I strongly support that all ISPs should be required to keep net neutrality. I support strong FCC oversight of these ISPs and that they should be kept under Title 2. You should keep them strongly regulated! Listen to your constituents and do what we ask, not what is politically "viable" for you. Do the right thing! Don't be a dick Ajit Pai!
1193. Christopher Marshall, Chicago, IL, 60607
It is absolutely imperative to preserve Title 2 classification for internet service providers. It is the only way we currently have to preserve net neutrality. Without it, companies will absolutely abuse their power to favor the highest bidder and reduce competition.

1194. Karen Dodge, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I SPECIFICALLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE 2 OVERSIGHT OF ISPs.
And no one believes you Ajit Pai about how the ISPs will "voluntarily agree" to not slow the speed of whatever services pay them the least. NET NEUTRALITY IS ESSENTIAL AND WE NEED TITLE 2.
1195. Ricky Wong, Chicago, IL, 60610
Net neutrality is extremely important to all Americans. I vehemently support STRONG net neutrality rules backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
1196. John Malkovitch, Chicago, IL, 60642
Net neutrality is a fairness doctrine!
1197. Mark, Chicago, IL, 60616
Do not pass this. This is insanity.
1198. Robert Moschel, Chicago, IL, 60610
I support strong Net Neutrality. Please preserve neutrality!
1199. Patricia R Bush, Chicago, IL, 60605
I strongly support net neutrality and iSP oversight under Titlt II
1200. Benjamin Tweel, Chicago, IL, 60603
Mantain internet equality. While the premise of a market driven correction is understood- it assumes common starting point. Most larger internet providers are now media (content) companies which puts their interest in direct conflict with providing open internet service in the open market system.Make the internet service business a common carrier by definition which will then allow free and open market system to thrive.
1201. Jim Stankevitz, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Keep net neutrality by enforcing Title II rules!
1202. Ahren Sievers, Forest Park, IL, 60130
I support the principles of "Net Neutrality" and categorizing ISPs as Title II. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. I want the FCC to have the power to maintain the openness of the current Internet. Mobile providers who say a plan is "unlimited," but when you exceed the data cap, only throttle sites and services that aren't part of their approved zero-rating network are another source of concern.As a public librarian this issue is extremely important to me and my organization to maintain the future of access.
1203. Shannon Shepherd, Chicago, IL, 60610
I strongly oppose the proposed changes to the net neutrality laws and/or regulations.
1204. Lucina Schell, Chicago, IL, 60605
I strongly support net neutrality and a free and open internet. Open and unfettered access to information and a variety of points of view is essential to a functioning democracy. Without it, we become like China. Do not leave this at the discretion of ISPs.
1205. Donny Nordmann, Chicago, IL, 60607
Don't be silly, keep the Net Neutrality law in place to protect Americans from big corporations.
1206. Kevin M Brennan, Chicago, IL, 60612
I strongly support preserving a robust net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Title I is insufficient in providing the necessary protections required for an open and neutral internet.
1207. Gregg Cooke, Chicago, IL, 60642
Please consider the US's current dominance in the global IT market -- which was \$1.38B in 2015. Net neutrality is a huge positive factor enabling that success. I know yiu will do the right thing and keep the Internet as open and unfettered as possible.
1208. Joshua Block, Oak Park, IL, 60304
It is critical that Internet service providers continue to be regulated under Title II of the Communication Act of 1934. Consumers and online services need to be protected from any unfair network manipulation by ISPs.

1209. Cassandra Grafstrom, Chicago, IL, 60622
I am writing to express my concern over plans to change the statutory basis under which the FCC regulates ISPs. ISPs should remain under Title II and under no circumstances should net neutrality be compromised. This would be bad for consumers, bad for competition, and has no positive impact on things like infrastructure investment by ISPs.
1210. Wendy Gifford, Chicago, IL, 60611
Net neutrality must be preserved. Access to the internet is important for any citizen who wants to pay bills, get a job, keep up with news, or carry out any number of other tasks of daily living. This should not be tiered by ability to pay. Please maintain existing provisions, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act.
1211. nick brenner, Chicago, IL, 60610
Support strong net neutrality, backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
1212. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60603
Do NOT Remove Net Neutrality!!! Removing Net Neutrality is bad for everyone; we should not have to buy the ISPs who pay the most to get the most access.
1213. Brett Singer, Oak Park, IL, 60302
FCC, please keep strong net neutrality rules as backed by Title 2. The internet is the backbone of our economy. We all deserve a safe and neutral place to conduct business and share information. Thank you.
1214. Erik Anderson, Oak Park, IL, 60302
ISP's should be classified under Title II, not Title I. Ajit Pai's argument that ISP's will not slow, and have not slowed, down connection speeds selectively for profit is historically incorrect and naive. In order for the internet to continue to be an open and innovative space, the American people need firm safeguards that would prevent ISP's from slowing competitors or speeding up those who pay. It would quickly become nothing more than a protection racket, which less popular, niche, and start-up sites could not afford. The ONLY winners from this reclassification are the ISP's, not websites, and not the people the FCC purportedly serves.
1215. Byron Yang, Chicago, IL, 60607
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II. oversight of ISPs
1216. Alex Breu, Chicago, IL, 60605
Net Neutrality and the protections that it provides allows Americans to utilize the internet without fear or concern that corporations are limiting what we pay for top further their own political, ideological, or financial gains.
1217. Julie Burwell, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I do not support rolling back current net neutrality measures.
1218. Erica Drogoszewski, Chicago, IL, 60611
Please protect our freedoms and support Title 2 and Net Neutrality. Please do not allow the actions taken in 2015 to be rolled back. Thank you!
1219. Allison Macey, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Net Neutrality is incredibly important to me and I think that the FCC needs to be involved in enforcing Title 2. If the FCC goes lax on enforcing or gets rid of Title 2 the large internet companies WILL influence the speed of the internet depending on what site you are using. This gives certain companies unfair advantage and should absolutely be illegal.
1220. Tadzio Kuczma, Chicago, IL, 60605
Proposed changes to Net Neutrality are deeply concerning and disappointing.FCC should only care for well-being of large business but the citizens to whom they ought to serve.
1221. Chris Mickens, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I disagree with Chairman Ajit Pai's proposal to remove the current safeguards that keep the Internet neutral. The FCC should safeguard Internet freedom by keeping current net neutrality protections in place and upholding Title II.
1222. Victor Sanchez, Chicago, IL, 60601
I support strong FCC Net Neutrality Regulations!!! Do not change our current rules!!

1223. Michael Bradley, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please protect Net Neutrality. It is in the best interests of consumers to protect the freedom of an open Internet.
1224. Olivia Madden, River Forest, IL, 60305
I support strong protection for net neutrality and Title 2. The idea that ISPs will self-regulate when it comes to equal access is laughable.
1225. Eric Mott, Chicago, IL, 60622
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 over sight of ISPs. Net neutrality is imperative to continue the freedom of information in our world.
1226. Dr. Peter J. Bertoncini, Oak Park, IL, 60302
An open Internet is vital to the citizens of the US. There should be no bias in the quality of internet service provided to US citizens based on the size of and influence of service providers. The open internet must be preserved!
1227. Nick Lilovich, Chicago, IL, 60654
Market failures (of the sort economists, not politicians, lament) are often a result of high barriers to entry. The internet, as currently regulated, has terrifically low barriers to entry, and that is partially a result of net neutrality. There is no benefit to consumers in letting Comcast bundle their own video while charging for Netflix. If you're on the side of consumers, I urge you to retain the net neutrality rule.
1228. Patricia A Stephens, Chicago, IL, 60642
I believe that net neutrality should be protected against abuses by internet providers. The internet should remain free and open as it is the property of the people.
1229. Christina Honan, Chicago, IL, 60607
Please, please, please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. This is absolutely essential to the work that I and so many in creative industries do. It would jeopardize industries in the tech, entertainment and creativity that the US is counting on to grow and create jobs for future generations.
1230. Allen Burnett, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I am in full support of the current Net Neutrality rules that are backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I DO NOT want them to be revoked. I believe that a truly free is essential to our democracy and revoking the current rules will not lead to a more free internet.
1231. Nathan Pantaleo, Chicago, IL, 60661
Please SUPPORT Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs
1232. Matt Fitterer, Chicago, IL, 60601
Protect net neutrality. This is one of the most important issues of our generation.
1233. Erin Danen, Chicago, IL, 60607
Preserve net neutrality. Title II needs to remain intact.
1234. JIM DOMIANO, CHICAGO, IL, 60605
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY! Net Neutrality is the cornerstone of the Internet: It preserves our right to communicate freely online. It enables and protects free speech. Allowing any company who has financial klout to control who has a voice would be a grave injustice. Please do not eradicate the free and open Internet!
1235. Christine Rupcich, Chicago, IL, 60605
Protect net neutrality and title II. Do not hold companies "at their word." We need regulation to ensure users have equal internet speeds no matter the content they are viewing/downloading.
1236. Irena Bellinski, Chicago, IL, 60605
I thoroughly support net neutrality and ISPs falling under Title II.
1237. Nikita Solilov, Chicago, IL, 60654
Equal access to the internet is a basic human right. We must keep access to the internet equal and open.
1238. Jon Trainor, Chicago, IL, 60622
I support net neutrality and ask the FCC to use their power to regulate the internet to ensure ISPs do not favor some

services over others.

1239. michele schmal, Oak Park, IL, 60302
It is critical that net neutrality continue to be protected by title II
1240. Andrew Sirota, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I want Net Neutrality supported by Title 2
1241. kelsey, Chicago, IL, 60601
We NEED to keep internet freedom and title 2!
1242. Lauren Hyde, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Strong net neutrality regulations are very important. I would like strong regulations under Title Ii.
1243. Parag Badami, Chicago, IL, 60616
I support strict rules for ISPs and to continue classifying ISPs under Title 2 of the code.
1244. samuel de jong, Chicago, IL, 60603
We need to keep the internet a place of equal access!!
1245. Larry Austin, Chicago, IL, 60607
Please retain Net Neutrality under Title 2. The internet is too important of a tool for our open democracy for this new ragime in the White House to endanger. Once again, retain Net Neutrality under Title 2.Thank you
1246. Simon Goodship, Chicago, IL, 60612
I have absolutely zero trust in internet providers doing the right thing when it comes to net neutrality, so they must be made to do the right thing by your organization. Having them agree to do the right thing is not protection enough for people. They have a long history of abusing their power. I'd also like to say that Ajit Varadaraj Pai appears to be a political and corporate puppet rather than a protector of people's communications. I am disappointed to see that the FCC is now partisan and may no longer be able to make impartial decisions without the pressure from the American people. Sometimes the Republican free market, minimal regulation model does not go hand in hand. This is one of those times. In this case, regulations are needed to protect a free market on the internet. The only people that stand against that are the billion dollar corporations that gain financially from control. Please protect net neutrality.
1247. Hueland Richard Boultinghouse, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I would like for the FCC to preserve net neutrality and preserve ISPs as Title II entities. I think we need to actively safeguard net neutrality with these regulations. Really!
1248. Lauren Ortega, Chicago, IL, 60614
I 100% support Title II classifications for ISPs, and demand that you put the interests of the public above the private interests of large corporations like Comcast and AT&T. Keep net neutrality so we can all access the internet, without impediment, and keep information open and free.
1249. Miranda Mandel, Chicago, IL, 60602
I strongly believe ISPs should be subject to net neutrality rules under Title II of the Federal Communications Act. ISPs should not be able to influence the content I choose to view by monetizing provider access.
1250. Michael Weimann, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2. They are extremely important to everything that's great about the internet.
1251. Paul Booth, Forest Park, IL, 60130
I support Net Neutrality! Please preserve a free and open Internet, and the Title II that regulates it. DO NOT GET RID OF NET NEUTRALITY!
1252. COMMENT, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I am vigorously in support of strong net neutrality and Title 2. The internet should remain a fair access ground, not hindered by companies.
1253. Kate Long, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support net neutrality with title two oversight of ISPs

1254. Nicholas Seretis, Chicago, IL, 60642
I strongly support strong net neutrality, backed by title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISPs).
1255. karla yassin, Chicago, IL, 60612
Make America great again, please protect net neutrality under title 2.
1256. Nate Tubbs, Chicago, IL, 60644
We want net neutrality to continue. Please preserve Title 2.
1257. Meghan Wolpert, Chicago, IL, 60610
Please continue to support Title II to preserve Net Neutrality and maintain even playing field for ISPs.
1258. Elysa Buss, Chicago, IL, 60612
I support strong net neutrality as well as the filing telecommunications companies under Title II. These things are necessary to promote freedom on the internet and they are what consumers want. The only proper course of action is the action supported by consumers of these products.
1259. anabelle, Chicago, IL, 60606
I support Net Neutrality and I urge you to vote in support of net neutrality too. It is what keeps the internet equal and enjoyable. The internet has become a vital part of life for many America citizens and getting rid of net neutrality will have only negative consequences.
1260. Alexis Mouledoux, Chicago, IL, 60610
I strongly support net neutrality and title 2. Do not take neutrality away.
1261. Ryan Fay, Chicago, IL, 60605
Net neutrality must be preserved. Internet is a vital necessity. Americans cannot allow their ISP to favor certain web services with whom they have favorable contracts and throttle those web services that are seen as competitors. The vast majority of Americans have no choice in their internet provider so that even if they wanted to change, they wouldn't be able to. The current net neutrality rules must be upheld.
1262. Matthew Spurgeon, Chicago, IL, 60605
I am writing to express my support for the maintenance of net neutrality and the current classification of internet service providers (ISP) under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Net Neutrality is a vital aspect of the free exchange of information and critical for the growth of new internet businesses. I do not believe that ISPs will respect and protect net neutrality when they have a financial incentive to do otherwise. Reclassifying ISPs under Title I will allow ISPs to act without regulation in this regard. Ultimately, this hurts American discourse and business. I urge the FCC to allow the current regulatory framework to be continued.
1263. Amber Gribben, Chicago, IL, 60612
I support Net Neutrality, and a free and fair internet. I think the FCC should recognize the internet as a utility, and manage services as other utilities are managed. There should not be an increase in service fees imposed by private ISP companies, nor should ISPs be in the position of restricting access to content, or undermining services from competitors. Internet providers should not be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy, which now resides online.
1264. Citizen, Chicago, IL, 60606
I'm in favor of strong net neutrality rules. Do not reclassify ISPs from Title 2 to Title 1
1265. Mary Macleod, Chicago, IL, 60611
Please to not take away Net Neutrality - We need it.
1266. Bryan Acosta Ramirez, Chicago, IL, 60651
What makes the internet so great is the freedom to do make anything with out a restriction either by speed or by money. No one should have to pay more for faster internet just to benefit the higher class. In this day in age where everywhere you go you must have internet to get a application for any job, hardly anyone does in person applications anymore.
1267. Monica Boen, Chicago, IL, 60610
Please keep Title II to enforce net neutrality. Please keep internet ISP classified under Title II.
1268. Nora Kyger, Chicago, IL, 60607

Our country needs strong net neutrality rules to keep the internet open. Keep ISP's as Title 2.

1269. Nicholas Iovino, Chicago, IL, 60607
We need strong net neutrality rules, and title 2 needs to be maintained. Do not sell us out to the big ISPs. a free internet helps small businesses thrive and is essential to our American way of life.
1270. jake ryan, Chicago, IL, 60622
title II should remain in place as it protects the free unfettered access for internet service and is the only real legal ground the public has to make sure ISP do not slow or restrict access for sites and services that they may compete with.
1271. Abby Beyrau, Chicago, IL, 60622
I strongly support Net Neutrality. Preserve Title 2, please!
1272. Tyler Duff, Chicago, IL, 60610
I support Net Neutrality. And I hope that anything in the FCC's power is done to keep net neutrality strong!
1273. Jeffrey Beyrau, Chicago, IL, 60622
Title II and Net Neutrality must be preserved! Oversight is essential for maintaining a true open internet.
1274. Beth Lerman, Chicago, IL, 60654
I support Title II oversight of ISP's to ensure strong net neutrality.
1275. Tim Hoffman, Chicago, IL, 60661
Please ensure net neutrality by keeping greater ISP oversight through their current classifications under Title II of the Communication Act of 1934.
1276. John B, Chicago, IL, 60608
I support title 2! Don't change what is clearly working great. Title 1 is a mistake.
1277. drew cox, Chicago, IL, 60610
Please retain the current title 2 categorization of isps. Without this competitive pressures will erode net neutrality and ultimately harm consumers.
1278. Kristen lampert, Chicago, IL, 60607
I strongly believe in net neutrality and request that you back title 2 oversight of isps.
1279. Phillip Kinnison, Chicago, IL, 60601
I strongly support net neutrality and Title 2! Access to the internet and its resources should be free of all economic and political corporate interests and allowing preferential treatment to companies or users who pay more is inherently wrong. The internet is a public utility and should be treated as such. The internet is a free resource. Please uphold the existing regulations and fight for stronger consumer protections.
1280. Rebecca Feldman, Chicago, IL, 60661
Please maintain net neutrality and Title II. ISPs should not be able to favor one publisher or company over another. Bandwidth should not be throttled up or down to benefit specific companies.
1281. david shafer, Chicago, IL, 60661
I very strongly support the existing classification of internet service providers (ISPs) as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Please do not reclassify or change this regulation.
1282. Stan Tovsky, Chicago, IL, 60601
I support title 2 regulations and believe it is for the good of society. Please preserve net neutrality.
1283. m, chicago, IL, 60642
Do not Remove Title 2 Net Neutrality needs to stay . I support Net Neutrality
1284. Jerry Cedicci, Chicago, IL, 60607
Tell your idiot of president to leave the internet alone and go negotiate other things. Like his resignation and he stop making a fool of all of us.
1285. Emmie Hine, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support maintaining Title II ISP regulations in order to preserve full net neutrality. Dismissing very real

possibilities as "hypothetical" and relying on the goodwill of ISP companies is not enough.

1286. Norman Busick, Chicago, IL, 60603
Maintain Net Neutrality and Chapter 2 status of ISPs.
1287. Chris Hawkins, Westchester, IL, 60154
I support Net Neutrality with Title II oversight of ISPs. Please enforce this as major telecoms no longer operate with their customer's interest and privacy in mind.
1288. Lauren Gallagher, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support strong net neutrality governance of ISPs through Title II. Please do not repeal any of the statutes or underlying regulations.
1289. Tamara A. Walker, Chicago, IL, 60607
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's!!!!
1290. J Medina, Chicago, IL, 60661
Hello! I support strong Net Neutrality as backed by Title II which monitors & regulates ISPs and maintains an open and free internet as much as possible under the current circumstances. Ajit Pai may have a cool mug, but his ideals for the future of the internet are anything but, in my opinion. Thank you!
1291. Filip Fracz, Chicago, IL, 60661
Please do not kill Net Neutrality. It is essential to the free flow of information and business. Hundreds of thousands of big and small businesses, entrepreneurs like myself depend on it. ISPs need to be supervised under the Title II.
1292. Zi, Chicago, IL, 60610
I strongly believe that a free internet is conducive to innovation and essential right of any person. Removing the Title II status should not happen and I would be very much against it. Thank you
1293. Benjamin Syverson, Chicago, IL, 60642
I strongly oppose the reversal of Title II classification for ISPs. ISPs have shown that they can't be trusted to self regulate, and should not be treated with a "light touch" as Ajit Pai believes. Title II classification for ISPs is good for consumers, and reversing it will only benefit huge telecommunications companies at the expense of both literal and figurative of every internet user.
1294. Benjamin Block, Chicago, IL, 60607
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. This is critical to our society and we can't just "trust" that companies will behave well - we must be able to ensure it.
1295. Kathryn Gordon, Chicago, IL, 60642
I support strong net neutrality and Title 2. I urge the FCC to maintain net neutrality and Title 2. The citizens of this country shouldn't have to worry about whether or not the content they get from the internet has been influenced by ISP's putting their best interest and potential profits ahead of what is fair and right. Preserve net neutrality and Title 2!
1296. Todd Saville, Chicago, IL, 60661
I specifically support net neutrality backed by Title 2 ISP's. Don't mess this up and preserve net neutrality.
1297. alok, Chicago, IL, 60604
Preserve strong net neutrality rules and title 2 allocation of ISPs
1298. Jien Ra, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I want net neutrality. There is a serious risk that our very democracy could be threatened by a powerful minority having tremendous control over the content that the majority is able to access, and in doing so could distort the very foundations upon which our society is based by reducing freedom of speech and creating a misinformed voting population. Therefore, I want net neutrality. I want net neutrality. I want net neutrality. I want net neutrality.
1299. Gina Kirk, Chicago, IL, 60611
We want you to keep Net Neutrality protected under Title 2.
1300. Robert Shepelak, OAK PARK, IL, 60304
I strongly support preserving net neutrality using the enhanced protections afforded by title 2. The internet is a

service as vital as phone and electrical lines. Preserving equal access to every site is paramount.

1301. Ann Smith, Chicago, IL, 60606
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and do not want any change made to ISP oversight. This will enable corporate stifling of free speech online and is a step to a fascist dictatorship.
1302. akhila Nandgopal, Chicago, IL, 60601
I support Net Neutrality. Keep ISPs under title 2. Ajit Pai, your logic broken and false. Once again keep ISPs under title 2
1303. Norman Easthope, Chicago, IL, 60601
I strongly support the existing net neutrality rules and regulations. They should not be thrown out! Please keep the existing Title II rules in place. Thank you, Norman Easthope
1304. Michael Backus, chicago, IL, 60651
Please keep net neutrality as it stands. Companies shouldn't be able to restrict internet speeds based on websites.
1305. Chetan Rangaswamy, Chicago, IL, 60654
Please protect existing net neutrality rules. This is important and I will be watching how this goes. I have also called my House of Representative on this topic.
1306. Alejandro Asali, Chicago, IL, 60614
Net neutrality is important for all consumers. It is equal to the freedom of choosing a brand of shampoo at a supermarket. Removing net neutrality and Title 2 from ISPs is only good for these corporations. Can you imagine being forced to choose one type of shampoo (because unfair competition made the other choices unavailable or unreasonable)?Please preserve title 2 and net neutrality.
1307. Devin K, champaign, IL, 60601
I support strong net neutrality. Anything less is a threat to our first amendment free speech rights.
1308. Matt Weidman, CHICAGO, IL, 60611
Please continue to classify broadband under Title 2 and do not destroy net neutrality.
1309. Matthew Wallace, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Type II designation and net neutrality are critical to maintaining the open and fair exchange of ideas on the internet. Please reconsider removing these safe guards.
1310. Darold Barnum, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Your proposal to weaken existing net neutrality rules is deadly. It would weaken competition; stifle innovation; undermine user choice; and threaten online free speech.
1311. kato kalen, chicago, IL, 60601
I support net neutrality and keeping ISPs classified under Title 2.
1312. Joe Ridler, Chicago, IL, 60610
Net neutrality is greatly needed and is essentially already being used as a utility. To take these protections of our information encourages identity theft in the years to come. Ajit Pai should be removed from office immediately. He has no idea what he is doing and is a serious threat to the security of our nation!
1313. Jon Engle, Chicago, IL, 60661
Please continue to uphold our rights to a fair and open internet by keeping net neutrality and Title II.
1314. Ryan K Bogner, Chicago, IL, 60606
I am strongly in support of net neutrality. The internet is a common good that should be regulated as such. From a variety of perspectives it makes a lot of sense to enforce neutrality into the future.
1315. Laura Miller, Chicago, IL, 60606
We are writing to urge the FCC to scrap its plan to allow Internet Service Providers to charge for preferential treatment. These rules would destroy net neutrality. We urge the commission to throw out any such plans and instead reclassify broadband as a telecommunications service. We also urge the commission not to reclassify it under Title I of the Communications Act of 1934. This is the only way to maintain real net neutrality.

1316. Pawel Kaczmarak, Chicago, IL, 60661
I strongly support that ISPs remain under Title II oversight and other efforts in protecting net neutrality.
1317. Adam GREEN, CHICAGO, IL, 60611
on the offest of off chances anyone reads such things...
1318. Rosemary F Harris, CHICAGO, IL, 60623
why is our congressmen working so hard on things that is already working and not working on jobs
1319. JJ Frankel, CHICAGO, IL, 60605
The open internet is vital
1320. Patricia Heiman, RIVER FOREST, IL, 60305
Net neutrality is important for business and especially small business. Don't kill it.
1321. Susanne Lodgen, RIVER FOREST, IL, 60305
DO NOT ELIMINATE NET NEUTRALITY. THE FREE INTERNET IS ONE STRONG BASIS FOR AN OPEN AND DEMOCRATIC SOCIETY.
1322. Christine Pylypowycz, ELMWOOD PARK, IL, 60707
This used to be a free country but
1323. Marjorie Greenwald, OAK PARK, IL, 60302
net neutrality is critical to growth and a chance to advance for all people.
1324. Shannon Asaria, OAK PARK, IL, 60302
Ending net neutrality will deny valuable information to millions of Americans. Please don't undo the strong open Internet protections under Title II of the Communications Act.
1325. Yance Alaxana, OAK PARK, IL, 60302
The airwaves belong to WE
1326. Jen Barber, OAK PARK, IL, 60302
80% of Americans do not want this to happen.
1327. Ronald Saunders, OAK PARK, IL, 60302
Please continue net neutrality; the internet should be as far as possible considered a public utility impervious to the control of huge monopolies.
1328. Ruth Hosek, CHICAGO, IL, 60611
We have the right to not have to put up with illegal search and seizure of our belongings. These definitely include our thoughts and writings and points of inquiry. Get out of our goods!
1329. Martin Farkas, CHICAGO, IL, 60601
It's the ISP's job to make money in any legal way they can. You know that is true.
1330. Robert Skappel, CHICAGO, IL, 60603
Please don't kill net neutrality !
1331. H Markow, CHICAGO, IL, 60654
We endorse strong oversight of ISP providers. Protect net neutrality with Title II rules!
1332. Alexander Jones, OAK PARK, IL, 60302
This is necessary for a free society
1333. Veronica Rundell, OAK PARK, IL, 60302
As a scientist and educator the Internet
1334. Tim Holmes, CHICAGO, IL, 60611
An even playing field for the transport of all packets no matter where they originate and from whom is a fundamental element of the information economy. The FCC should not be allowed to 'one percenterize' the internet the way our monetary economy has been.

1335. Laura Houston, CHICAGO, IL, 60611
If you really are pro-business
1336. John Woods, OAK PARK, IL, 60301
It is the right thing to do! Keep the Net neutral.
1337. Murphy Putz, Chicago, IL, 60611
Having net neutrality under title one is very biased. You cannot assume that ISPs will voluntarily agree to net neutrality. Please reconsider you decision -Murphy Putz
1338. Barrett Newell, Chicago, IL, 60601
You must support true net neutrality. Do not cave to Comcast, AT&T, and others. Everyone deserves affordable, equal access to information and services online. It has become essential to a healthy democracy.
1339. Dennis Podgorski Jr, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I would have hoped rather than rolling back the Net Neutrality rule changes the FCC would move to make the internet experience a better one for all consumers, small businesses and developers creating the next thing. It appears however you are trying to roll back so the new FCC Chairperson (Ajit Pai) can benefit his former employer Verizon. Government for the people not government for the lobbyist for the deep pockets paying government to extend their control over their industries to the detriment of the consumer and small businesses. Lastly please provide proof that your site was crashed by DDoS -- not outraged Net Neutrality commenters
1340. Liana Hochhalter, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support net neutrality and I am concerned about the possibility of the FCC deregulating internet service providers.
1341. Ron Tevonian, Chicago, IL, 60606
The internet has become a basic Common Carrier resource. It is no longer an new optional communication path; it is vital in many ways to the whole economy. Allowing common carriers to referee the success of unrelated business who depend on it should not be possible!
1342. Deepak Neduvelil, Chicago, IL, 60661
Please do not eliminate net neutrality, I count on being able to have equal access to any internet options!
1343. Luba Nunez-Montelongo, Chicago, IL, 60622
FCC Commissioner Pai, I am writing to express my strong support of net neutrality under Title II oversight of ISPs. Please preserve net neutrality and Title II.
1344. Blake Garcia, Chicago, IL, 60622
Dear FCC Commissioner Ajit Pai, I am writing you to express I strongly support net neutrality, supported by Title II oversight of ISPs. Simply put, please preserve net neutrality and Title II.
1345. William D Ames III, Chicago, IL, 60612
Please support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
1346. Joanne Schochat, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I want you to keep net neutrality strong, backed by Title II ("Title TWO") of the Communications Act of 1934 for ISPs.
1347. Brian Muhr, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Why would you give more power to ISP's?
1348. Martha Buehler-Sullivan, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by the Title II oversight of ISPs, and do not want any change to be made to ISP oversight. This will enable corporate stifling of free speech online and is a step toward a fascist dictatorship. Net neutrality is an integral component to the free expression and free speech that is a cornerstone of American democracy.
1349. Eric Roche, Chicago, IL, 60607
I am opposed to removing the title 2 classification to internet service providers. The removal of this title will result further profits for internet providers which would not result in jobs/employment where continued application of the title would continue to grow job markets which are needed and have been promised to the American people by our president.

1350. Daniel Raymer, Chicago, IL, 60661
Please maintain net neutrality and maintain the internet's Title 2 categorization!
1351. benjamin kolman, CHICAGO, IL, 60610
Net neutrality rules as they are make sure that the internet is available and open to all ideas and opinions.
1352. Anna Misheev, Chicago, IL, 60607
Support net neutrality, I am in favor!
1353. Matt Kudla, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please preserve the net neutrality protections under title II
1354. Susan Sullivan, Chicago, IL, 60602
I am writing to express my support for retaining the classification of ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act. I strongly support net neutrality. Corporations have no right to limit what internet content I view, especially if they are doing so to make a profit. Thank you!
1355. Eric Smolyar, Chicago, IL, 60601
I strongly support Net Neutrality and find the FCC's attempt to bury this form obstructive and embarrassing. The FCC should protect consumers, not companies.
1356. Alonso Carrasco, Chicago, IL, 60654
I support strong Net Neutrality classified under Title II.
1357. Boris Barkan, chicago, IL, 60601
I strongly support net neutrality under part 2 of the oversight of internet service providers. Net neutrality is crucial for freedom of individuals to get the data flow fairly and equally without the the flow control of internet providers. Changing the net neutrality concepts (as provided in the FCC part 2 of ISP oversight) will hurt the freedom of every american resident and will affect world net neutrality . It will also introduce censorship and product solicitation for captivated consumers. No to the change, keep out internet free without changes that the ISPs might call as "Quality of Service" modifications.
1358. Kevin Riley, Chicago, IL, 60606
Uphold Title II and support strong net neutrality. You're the government. We rely on you to protect us as citizens. Do the right thing.
1359. Ron Lachman, Chicago, IL, 60610
We need full net neutrality restored. The 2015 Net Neutrality Policy was fine. Jon Postel (internet founder and initial policy person that was trusted worldwide) would be turning In his grave. I knew him, and he helped created the founding policy of "All internet bits are created equal" (Unless they are harmful and blatant attacks/spam/etc). I am a founder of multiple internet infrastructure companies. I understand the business reasons behind the requests to change policy, and just believe they are long term potentially unjust. A Free and Equal internet to the extent we can promote it world wide is what must do. We constitutionally must provide free speech to people, and today the internet is the most popular vehicle for "speech". To limit it in the United States is potentially unconstitutional, and certainly a bad example for the rest of the world. China and other countries limit the internet to politically correct speech, which is terrible. By compromising net neutrality we loose the shining example we have of free and open internet bit transit and start ourselves on a slippery slope of "all men and their bits are not created equal... some are more equal than others" (paraphrasing Animal Farm). Liberty and justice for all and free speech implies equal internet rights for all.
1360. Garrett Colber, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please maintain the current Net Neutrality laws under Title 2. Please.
1361. Greg Poulos, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please maintain Title II enforcement and true Net Neutrality. A fair and open internet is crucial to a beautiful future. Please approach the internet as you would a natural wonder, it should be protected from corporate interests and open to everyone. Innovation will only continue if emerging ideas from small companies are allowed to grow. thank you for your time.
1362. Maya I, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please protect net neutrality. Its immoral to do anything else.

1363. Robert Hong, Chicago, IL, 60616
I support Net Neutrality. Big business should not be able to control the content we see to their benefit if they are an ISP.
1364. Edgar Olvera, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Do not change ISP's to Title 1. Keep Net Neutrality in tact.
1365. Alia Poulos, Chicago, IL, 60604
Please protect net neutrality and maintain FCC's oversight on ISPs via Title 2.
1366. jessica, Oak park, IL, 60304
Preserve net neutrality under title 11
1367. Jenna Mankle, Chicago, IL, 60654
I fully support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs
1368. Shirley Zhang, CHICAGO, IL, 60601
I support strong net neutrality rules, keeping ISPs regulated under Title II. Without strong regulation ISPs would have too much power that would drastically undermine my ability to choose among competing suppliers of digital content and it would stifle innovation in the web. Please don't cave to pressure from these companies.
1369. Jonathan Fischer, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Seriously why do I even have to file this? It's so absolutely obvious there needs to be net neutrality you would have to be completely bought and sold by special interest groups. Net neutrality must be preserved.
1370. Alex Crawford, Chicago, IL, 60622
The people do not want the FCC to change the internet as we have it now. The internet needs to remain protected under Title II of the Telecommunications Act as it is essential for millions of people and other small websites to have a voice and a free and open internet. If you allow the ISPs to control the speed of which their internet flows from certain websites and create "fast lanes" for those who can afford to pay and drastically slow down the speed of those that don't or can't afford to, strangling competition in the market, and limiting speech across the internet for reasons whether smaller websites say things that go against their own personal interests and/or profit.
1371. Adam Levko, Chicago, IL, 60601
I am responding in support of net neutrality as it will prevent monopolies and other needless incentives of the major distributors of internet service from working solely to their interests. Deviations from the current rules would result in impacting commerce and the economy, as well as the public trust.
1372. mark paulson, Chicago, IL, 60622
The preservation of net neutrality under title 2 is very important to me. As shown in the mortgage crisis we cannot rely on companies to be honest and fair to customers without serious oversight. Leaving it up to the ISPs to not fuck us over is hilariously misguided.
1373. Matthew Gartner, Chicago, IL, 60642
I strongly support and urge the FCC to keep net neutrality backed by Title II.
1374. Ari Tandler, Chicago, IL, 60610
I support Title 2 in keeping net neutrality regulated.
1375. William Murphy, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please keep the Title II standards in place to insure Internet Neutrality will be protected.
1376. Beverly Conroy, Oak Park, IL, 60304
As Internet speeds increase, I see more uses for services that could be doled out for a fee. SDD
1377. Joe Fong, Chicago, IL, 60607
Because it is the link to the outside world beyond my neighborhood. I can do many things on the internet and keeps me in touch with friends I've made on the internet. Limiting the internet to the wealthy and business is wrong because you want a world of wealth and only wealthy people in it. That is not reality. All people should have use of the internet no matter what your income is. It should be free for low income people. Keep the internet open and neutral.

1378. Deva Suckerman, Chicago, IL, 60607
Being able to access web sites is critical in my profession. We need open access, with no restrictions put on websites in order to increase web provider profits.
1379. Marianne Goss, Chicago, IL, 60605
Continual learning
1380. Tiffany Cole, Chicago, IL, 60607
I request for the preservation of Net Neutrality (again...) and Title 2.
1381. Joshua Parkinson, Chicago, IL, 60605
I am strongly in favor of Net Neutrality. As someone working with small software startups, we need to have equal access to bandwidth in order to make any headway against the big boys. Keeping the Internet open and neutral is incredibly important to the small businesses of the United States.
1382. Aaron Bannasch, Chicago, IL, 60611
Maintaining neutral provision of service to an open internet is essential to the future of education.
1383. TitleII, Chicago, IL, 60707
I support strong internet neutrality backed by title II oversight by ISP's
1384. Kevin Cassidy, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Support net neutrality. No change in present regulations. Equal access for all.
1385. Miles Robin, Chicago, IL, 60616
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight on ISPs, and it is critical for you to do the same. This is an issue that is of the utmost importance to me.
1386. Charles Barlow, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Dear FCC,I agree with John Oliver that the major ISPs cannot be trusted to police themselves. Therefore I urge you to keep the net neutrality regulations and the ISPs under Title 2 of the Communications Act.Thank you.
1387. Michael Oren, Chicago, IL, 60605
As a consumer and technology, I am writing in support of net neutrality. I recall the practices of the major Internet providers prior to the Net Neutrality law passing, and I do not want to return back to the days of services being cut out.
1388. Timothy Trovillion, Chicago, IL, 60601
I support Net Neutrality and wish Internet Service Providers to remain classified under Title II regulation.
1389. Jacqueline, Chicago, IL, 60653
I strongly support net neutrality!!! Do the right thing and support this as well.
1390. Shane LaMay, Chicago, IL, 60622
In favor of Net Neutrality and support oversight of ISPs
1391. Stephanie Sun, Chicago, IL, 60642
I'm for net neutrality! There's a reason you enacted Title II in the first place; it was because ISPs were not independently monitoring themselves and to revoke that would ultimately result in unfair treatment of internet content and smaller companies!
1392. Kathryn Weaver, Chicago, IL, 60604
Please support Net Neutrality. If companies can choose to slow internet speed for certain services, it gives them to the power to subliminally dictate us how we use the internet.
1393. Robert Teinowitz, Chicago, IL, 60622
Preserve net neutrality and keep title 2. It's™s important to the US.
1394. D Braund, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Just 'fess up if your aim is to demolish democracy..
1395. Theresa Magee, Chicago, IL, 60607
NON-NEGOTIABLE!!! looks like we are headed toward a dictatorship????

1396. Nan Clancy, Chicago, IL, 60616
As access to and use of the internet are becoming more and more necessary to everyday life it is counter productive to slow it down or limit access in any way. We should be moving rapidly to classing the internet as a utility.
1397. Jim Lockins, Chicago, IL, 60607
This is nothing short of a ploy by insiders at telecom companies to gain an illegal competitive advantage at the expense of the general public's freedom. And Ajit Pai is a self-indulgent, gleaming pile of poop.
1398. alexa stern, Chicago, IL, 60661
I support strong net neutrality that is provided by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Dont get rid of it. Don't be jerks.
1399. rolf russell, Oak park, IL, 60302
I would like to express my support for net neutrality and title II regulation of ISPs. It is critical to a healthy internet and fair and level playing field
1400. erin nolley, Chicago, IL, 60661
I support title 2 status for ISPs and strong net neutrality laws.
1401. David Hart, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I stongly urge you to continue to regulate ISPs under Title 2 to ensure our net neutrality.
1402. Patricia McCarthy, River Forest, IL, 60305
Preserve net neutrality an Title II .
1403. Richard Peach, River Forest, IL, 60305
I support net neutrality. I oppose any attempts to remove or diminish regulations that are currently in place to assure equal access to the internet on all internet platforms.
1404. Net Neutrality, Forest Park, IL, 60130
I urge you to support net neutrality and Title 2 controls.
1405. E, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please preserve net neutrality and keep ISPs under Title 2
1406. Chad Zupke, Chicago, IL, 60612
Please do not repeal net neutrality protections, they are essential to a fair and accessible internet.
1407. walsh, chicago, IL, 60601
Net neutrality is one of the most important things we have to protect all classes of people, and even businesses. Title II needs to regulate ISPs. Please do not do one of the most catastrophic things you could possibly do to progress, technology, and the common man. Preserve net neutrality. Thank you.
1408. Andrew Bruah, Oak Park, IL, 60301
I am in favor of STRONG net nutrality rules.
1409. Katherine Henrici, Chicago, IL, 60616
I strongly support Net Neutrality and the preservation of Title 2
1410. Josh Beckman, Chicago, IL, 60601
I am a small business owner and employee that makes a living by providing open internet services. My job would be seriously challenged without the assurance of an open internet. The oligopolistic ISPs do not need more help in garnering money from citizens.
1411. Kim woods, Chicago, IL, 60654
I support the strong Title II FCC Net Neutrality FCC oversight .
1412. Anna Olson, Chicago, IL, 60601
Without Net Neutrality, Google doesn't work. Academia doesn't work. The Internet doesn't work.
1413. Ates Dagli, River Forest, IL, 60305
Net Neutrality is important to me and my family because we do not want our ISP discriminating among content providers. We pay the cable company for a certain volume, and how we choose to use that capacity should be none of its business.

1414. Stephen Gillaugh, Chicago, IL, 60605
Net Neutrality is every web user's right.
1415. Linda Daly, Chicago, IL, 60611
Privacy is not for sale
1416. Lee Baramore, River Forest, IL, 60305
Net neutrality is crucial to my business, specifically access to bibliographic data sources. Video streaming is also necessary for training purposes.
1417. Beverly Conroy, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Everyone who uses the internet should be treated the same way. No tiered internet based on pay for play!
1418. Lillie Yancey, Broadview, IL, 60155
Privacy, and a great way to communicate with family and friends every where.
1419. Shannon Asaria, Oak Park, IL, 60302
The extent of Americans' Internet access should not be dependent on the price they pay or the broadband company they choose! As a librarian, I know how vital access to information is for Americans, and when people can't get to the resources on the Internet that others can, those people miss out on life-changing opportunities. Please preserve Net Neutrality for all. Our future as a leader among nations depends on it.
1420. Greg, Chicago, IL, 60606
Net Neutrality = Internet Freedom
1421. Deborah Wolkstein, River Forest, IL, 60305
Corporations shouldn't control the internet.
1422. Victor Mazzeo, Berwyn, IL, 60402
The internet is a necessary tool for all people to use. Net Neutrality must be maintained.
1423. Matt Miedlar, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I like freedom.
1424. JJ Frankel, Chicago, IL, 60605
It is vital to the workings of democracy, new entrepreneurial necessary to our economy, and in general freedom of information for our learning and well being.
1425. Marc Brouillette, Chicago, IL, 60622
I support Net Neutrality, and strongly support ISP's being strongly regulated, backed by Title 2 regulations.
1426. Matthew O'Connor, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I heard about the FCC trying to roll back the net neutrality rules. Please preserve the current net neutrality rules and Title II. I am for a free and open internet and do not trust cable companies would protect the best interests of their consumers. Strong net neutrality protections from Title II need to stay in place.
1427. om vyas, Chicago, IL, 60610
I'm strongly in support of stronger net neutrality laws. Please preserve net neutrality under title II
1428. J D'Souza, Chicago, IL, 60654
I strongly support net neutrality and the title II mandate governing internet consumption. This prevents undue interference of internet service providers in the internet consumption of individual private citizens. I urge the FCC to renew their support for the consumer, and continue to support Title II and net neutrality.
1429. Dantong Ma, Chicago, IL, 60610
Please do not share my internet data
1430. Brandon McShane, Chicago, IL, 60661
I support strong net neutrality and strong backed Title II oversight over ISP's.
1431. Gregory Baker, Chicago, IL, 60616
Dear Chairman Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversights of ISP's. Thank you for your consideration. Gregory

1432. Jeffrey Rudnick, Chicago, IL, 60605
I specifically and strongly support net neutrality enforcement backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's
1433. net neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60616
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please!
1434. Jason Chodakowski, Chicago, IL, 60610
Please preserve existing Net Neutrality rules under Title II
1435. Anthony Lazaretti, Chicago, IL, 60606
I would urge you to oppose Ajit Pai's plan to undo Title II classification of ISPs. Title II reclassification and the net neutrality rules passed by the Wheeler FCC ensure the internet remains a fair and level playing field. Ajit Pai is nothing more than a mouthpiece for the telecom industry.
1436. Bethany Costello Stebelton, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Democracy is important. Access to information is important. Maintain net neutrality and title II. Do not let corporations with profit motive decide what and how I can and cannot access things online.
1437. Dustin Chaviano, Chicago, IL, 60611
Pretty much exactly what John Oliver said. I SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE TWO OVERSIGHT OF ISPS!!! Thank you!
1438. Kathleen Monroe, Chicago, IL, 60605
Strongly supporting net neutrality and Title 2!
1439. Justin Stebelton, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I support net neutrality under Title II. Equal access to information is key to a real democracy.
1440. Dorothy Lamb, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I am requesting that the FCC keep strong net neutrality laws under Title 2.
1441. Michael D Brown, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I am STRONGLY in favor of net neutrality and title II oversight of isp's, DO NOT repeal these initiatives. Maintain current net neutrality guidelines
1442. filers, Chicago, IL, 60610
I support net neutrality. Violation of equal access to the internet stands against our values of freedom and equality.
1443. Leslie Larocca, Chicago, IL, 60607
We strongly implore you to retain net neutrality and support the Title II designation of ISPs.
1444. Roger Parker, Chicago, IL, 60644
Please ensure the ongoing protection of the "open internet" via continuation of net neutrality and ensuring that ISPs remain classified as common carriers pursuant to Title II and Section 706. Thank you.
1445. Alton Stanbro, Forest Park, IL, 60130
Commissioner Pai, I support strong net neutrality. This can only be effectively maintained with Title II oversight of internet service providers (ISP's). Allowing providers any chance to give preferred access to any website only opens the door to corruption & bribery not to mention rampant corporate greed. I believe it is the average consumer that will be hurt without this regulation. Those that have a rough time connecting to the internet do to monetary and/or geographic limitations will be effected the most. The internet is a necessity for all walks of life, don't let it be strangled for corporate interests.
1446. fred noonan, chicago, IL, 60601
i fully support title 2 and net neutrality. do not allow any entity to deliver internet content with any type of favoritism or speed or quality differences.
1447. James B. Kelly, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Please protect net neutrality. Keep access to the internet free and clear, and protect the privacy of our own information.
1448. Michael N. Barrett, Chicago, IL, 60607

I support the current net neutrality rules that prohibit ISPs from blocking, throttling, or prioritizing online content in exchange for payment.

1449. Ashton, Chicago, IL, 60622
You must preserve Net Neutrality and Tottle 2!!!!
1450. Andrew Verticchio, River Forest, IL, 60305
I want to express my desire for strong net neutrality standards that ensure for a free and open internet. Thank you.
1451. Matthew Deitch, Chicago, IL, 60610
Net neutrality is an important part of our lives, and is only enforceable under title II. There are numerous examples of net neutrality breaches pre the implementation of Title II, and it is still being broken today - even while the rules are in place! (T-Mobile's preferred service, AT&T DirecTV caps, etc). Please don't let this action proceed.
1452. Lloyd McClure, Chicago, IL, 60654
We need Net Neutrality. DO NOT LET CORPORATIONS TAKE OVER AND USE THIS FOR THEIR PROFIT ADVANTAGE!
1453. Michael Pintar, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support net neutrality and Title II classification. Please preserve these regulations and prevent the erosion of internet freedoms in this country.
1454. Todd Howell, Chicago, IL, 60610
I specifically support strong net neutrality that's why title 2 oversites ISPs... Please do something about this.... Preserve title 2 and preserve net neutrality !!!
1455. Shankar, Chicago, IL, 60612
Preserve the NET neutrality with Title II oversight. There needs to be some oversight by FCC for proper unbiased data streaming by the ISP
1456. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60607
I implore you to preserve net neutrality.
1457. Sylvia Tamashiro, Chicago, IL, 60605
The idea that ISP's will self-police and maintain net neutrality voluntarily without any regulatory requirement is absurd and inconsistent with their past actions. The Title II classification is not a mere formality and poses no hindrance to investment in infrastructure. Abandoning net neutrality for an 'open market' is a disservice to all Americans. Your charter is to ensure that the FCC acts with integrity on this matter and not pander to cable giants. Your role is to monitor them not prop up their business model.
1458. Drew Price, Chicago, IL, 60611
I fully support Title 2 oversight of Net Neutrality and a free internet. I believe it would be a privacy and security disaster without these rules.
1459. Kevin Irving, Chicago, IL, 60607
Net Neutrality prohibits ISP's from throttling your service or restricting certain data; all data is treated equally. These protections are essential to maintain a free and open Internet. Without it, your ISP will be able to throttle competition. For example, your ISP will be able to slow Netflix because you are using a competing streaming service. In addition, your ISP could restrict certain websites for any reason. For example, your ISP could prohibit you from visiting Google's search and force you into using theirs. Please, do not roll back these essential Internet protections! Save the free and open Internet and keep Net Neutrality!
1460. Michael Dinges, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Chairman Pai, Please retain Net Neutrality under Title 2 of the 1934 Communications Act. Do not jeopardize the free flow of information with the threat of manipulation for excessive profit by powerful corporations. Restricting access to competition from smaller entities is counter to a free and open market.
1461. Mayank, Chicago, IL, 60601
Net neutrality is wrong for several reasons and you know that Ajit Pai. Don't stuff up our future. It's not like you invented the internet so you have no right to make this call if the inventors of internet left the internet equally open for all.

1462. TeResa Gaddis, Chicago, IL, 60653
I want net neutrality to be preserved under Title II of the Communications Act, as it is responsible regulation that ensures internet freedom.
1463. Kelly DeLorica, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Keep an open internet. Protect Net Neutrality. Thank you.
1464. Benjamin L Gerling, Chicago, IL, 60612
I'm going to keep this civil, largely because I have absolutely no confidence that corporate America and multinational corporations will do so, especially toward smaller competitors. Net neutrality is crucial to small tech start up companies, and therefore crucial to creating an environment that supports small business and job creation. Strong regulations protecting net neutrality are absolutely necessary, and should not be rolled back or changed in any way that would undermine their effectiveness.
1465. Nate Andrews, Chicago, IL, 60614
I strongly believe that for the betterment of the general public, net neutrality and Title II should be preserved. Please don't let corporations strangle our freedoms anymore than they already have.
1466. Lance Birkhofer, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please DO NOT get rid of Net Neutrality or Title II. We need it around and any party or elected official that is behind getting rid of it will not be getting our vote.
1467. Lee Zimmerman, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Everyone needs net neutrality. The Internet is, by far, one of the top greatest inventions of our time. So many lives, societies, even countries change for the better because of the internet being so available and open to the users that wish to view and publish content. We can not leave the control of access and use of it in the hands of corporations unregulated. That is why I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
1468. Jane Geiser, Chicago, IL, 60605
I believe strongly in importance of keeping the net neutrality rules backed by Title II and keeping oversight of ISPs strong. Please do not give this away to corporations for them to profit at the expense of the public. Preserve net neutrality and title II. Thank you, Jane
1469. chris ward, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support title 2 FCC regulation.
1470. James Coogan, Chicago, IL, 60603
Please do not reverse the Title II Net Neutrality determinations previously made by the FCC. Do not change this law. Do not make the Internet work in a more unfair way and provide internet service providers with ways to discriminate between different content. This will have disastrous effects on consumers in the United States and throughout the world.
1471. Lynn Formigoni, Forest Park, IL, 60130
It is extremely important to preserve Net Neutrality in the title 2 classification in order to have an open internet that is fair for all people and businesses.
1472. Ken Novak, Chicago, IL, 60605
Preserve Net Neutrality and keep Title 2 for ISPs
1473. Jennifer Ford, Forest Park, IL, 60130
Preserving Net Neutrality is of CRITICAL importance to everyone, from the very personal to the highly commercial. Without free access to the internet, individuals in search of info, entertainment, and self-improvement will find themselves cut off from vital resources, small businesses will lose easy access to basic start-up tools, many companies that rely on the very well-established free internet will find their business model destroyed, and larger businesses will be less able to serve their clients and interests by being unable to reach the widest audience or be easily found online. The internet is a utility like electricity or water, and it is a vast public good like roads and other basic infrastructure. Only the most short-sighted could think that privatizing and limiting internet access would somehow be beneficial to anyone but a few who want to maximize their own profit at everyone else's expense.
1474. Theresa Hipp, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Please preserve net neutrality. The internet should be a free and level playing field.

1475. Connor Williams, Chicago, IL, 60610
I strongly support Net Neutrality in its current form, however I would prefer there be much more stringent regulation on the ISP's to continue to push the internet towards being a utility as opposed to a premium service. The removal of regulations on the ISP's will be disastrous for consumers and small businesses, and will further perpetuate the anti-consumer practices that the internet service providers are already participating in.
1476. Debra Hill, Chicago, IL, 60644
Maintain net neutrality. Keep the ISPs under the stronger TITLE II classification. The Internet should remain free of content steering by the ISPs.
1477. nathan viets, chicago, IL, 60611
Ajit Pai is a snake. We don't want him. Keep net neutrality.
1478. Neha, Chicago, IL, 60601
I strongly support net neutrality and its protection by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
1479. Craig Pearson, Chicago, IL, 60611
The United States federal government needs to stop working for corporations and begin considering the livelihood of its citizens. Net Neutrality helps the citizens your commission should be protecting from corporations who want to take advantage of them. Your name will be tarnished with your actions and trust will be lost. Do the right thing and stop selling out the United States of America.
1480. Allen West, Chicago, IL, 60622
Keep the internet under Title 2 protection. The Internet is a key epistemological device in terms of archiving, cross-access, and dissemination. It must be protected from corporate greed and gross self-interest.
1481. Jonatan Hornik, River Forest, IL, 60305
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by oversight of ISP based on Title 2.
1482. Carolyn Neal, Berwyn, IL, 60402
I support Net Neutrality and do not support change in current regulations.
1483. abby noll, Chicago, IL, 60607
PLEASE KEEP Title II
1484. Andrew Leibfried, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support Title II restrictions for Internet Service Providers (ISP). As a consumer and internet user, I agree that the utmost needs to be done to protect net neutrality. ISPs are corporations and only designed to make money, not do what's right. The FCC needs to have the authority to protect net neutrality.
1485. Sheridan Clarke, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I feel that this proceeding is not in the best interests of the people, and it's easy to understand why. This proceeding is clearly stretching to bring nuance into a clear-cut black and white situation. Stating that there is any other motivation outside of profit and leverage would be a lie. The truth don't need a crutch.
1486. Tom Campone, Chicago, IL, 60616
Don't repeal title II or the net neutrality rules. It's too important to our future and the ISP don't do anything to protect consumers. Also there is no competition to choose.
1487. John Everette, Chicago, IL, 60661
DO NOT repeal net neutrality rules. The outlined proposal from Ajit Patel is an affront to the actual freedom of the internet, not to mention the sensibilities of American citizens.
1488. Cuyler Jenkins, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I am dismayed at the FCC's choice to undo Title II classification of the internet. Net Neutrality is what keeps the internet free and open; it is NOT government overstepping its bounds. Without Net Neutrality, ISPs can choose who views what; that is information control and further more, is the exact opposite of an open and competitive free market, which Mr. Pai has said that he stands for. Do not throw away Net Neutrality rules. Without them, Yahoo can pay ISPs to throttle bandwidth to Google, or Netflix can throttle traffic to Hulu. Letting the companies choose who wins and who loses is not the free market, but rather a closed market, and the consumers will always lose, every single time.

1489. Jim Wherry, Chicago, IL, 60601
This change is a sop to massive corporations at the expense of the people. The principle of net neutrality has been critical to the development of the Internet and should be preserved for future generations. On an unrelated note, please do something about the bots that are clearly spamming this platform with falsely attributed, canned statements in support of ending net neutrality. They do the FCC's current course of action absolutely no credit.
1490. Kate Burch, Forest Park, IL, 60130
Without net neutrality we are at the mercy of big business.
1491. Nolan Mackey, Chicago, IL, 60612
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I want Title 2 kept in place to ensure a fair competition in the marketplace
1492. Carrie Jense, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please keep net neutrality as is, and retain the open internet. My internet provider should not be able to throttle content that I legally pay for because they don't have ownership over that content. I'm already paying for their internet service. If they want to compete with the Netflix of the world, let them in a free and open internet and I will choose whether or not to buy their additional services.
1493. Keegan Kelln, Chicago, IL, 60610
I specifically support net neutrality backed by strong title 2 protections.
1494. Jonathon Hagedorn, Chicago, IL, 60611
Net Neutrality rules are critical to maintain an internet free of corporate intrusion into the private freedom of access to information in all of its forms. When a corporation says no rules are required because "trust us", we should all have a healthy level of distrust. Businesses are important to the common good but work best, with the fewest casualties to the public interest, when there is a healthy regulatory framework limiting the actions they may take that would be detrimental to the public at large. Corporations by design have no morals or ethics other than profit and efficiency, it is up to government to constrain their productive greed to limit unintended damage to the public good.
1495. Evan Patel, Chicago, IL, 60611
We urge you to KEEP NET NEUTRALITY as it evens the playing field, does not stifle competition and therefore allows better service and lower prices. The FCC exists to protect consumers, not big business.
1496. Michael J Gibson, Westchester, IL, 60154
Don't get bought out, stand tall for the citizens instead of corporations
1497. Caroline Gallagher, Chicago, IL, 60604
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. If you rollback net neutrality you will be taking away American's internet freedom. Do not do this.
1498. Ivy Walker, Chicago, IL, 60605
Do not rollback net neutrality!
1499. Richard Rodes, Chicago, IL, 60603
I strongly support net neutrality. Do not repeal the regulations and let the cable companies restrict internet access. Everyone should have equal access
1500. Robert J. Meyer, Chicago, IL, 60622
First of all, The chairman should have recused himself on the vote as a former Verizon lawyer. Secondly, the chairman knows that net neutrality under Title II is the only absolute protection according to the courts as per the suit Verizon brought against the FCC. Shifting the ISBs out of Title II is inviting the courts to invalidate net neutrality. I want net neutrality. Leave ISB regulation under Title II.
1501. Theron Cross, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I support retaining * AND ENFORCING * strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Allowing ISPs to throttle content affects everyone negatively, especially those living in areas with little choice in ISP (ie rural voters.) Do you really want Comcast/NBC deciding which sites run quickly? At this point, a free internet is part and parcel to free speech. Title II exists to protect the public interest in communications. Anything less can only be attributed to the further corruption of our government by big donors, and the public knows it.

1502. CarrieLynn D. Reinhard, PhD, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Broadband and mobile internet service providers (ISPs) are telecommunication companies. They have always been such companies. They will always be such companies. They provide the connection to the Internet for the majority of Internet users. The fact that some of these ISPs are also content producers and thus information service providers is the very reason we need net neutrality. These companies are seeking protection for their content in relation to their competitor's content. Allowing them to charge their broadband or mobile customers more for accessing their competitor's content would be detrimental to the free market and the very idea of competition that is meant to prevent this sort of monopolization. To prevent the inevitable rise of anti-trust lawsuits that would follow, it is necessary to maintain net neutrality as already established by defining ISPs as telecommunication providers and by retaining the Title II status. To do otherwise demonstrates that this administration is one the side of monopolies forming.
1503. Jason Noll, Chicago, IL, 60611
Dear FCC, Please keep ISPs as title 2 to protect consumers from greedy corporations that will treat data unequal in their favor to boost their profits. Title 2 is net neutrality and without it our Internet is not free. Removing title 2 hurts the American people.
1504. Rupa Shah, Forest park, IL, 60130
It is outrageous, you are calling "Restoring Internet Freedom" when in reality you are destroying Net Neutrality. EVERYONE should have EQUAL access to EVERY website.... there should be no slow and fast lane on the Internet Highway and one should not have to pay extra to access information from ANY site. RESTORE NET NEUTRALITY....
1505. Leah Malamut, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support net neutrality! Without title 2 backing, ISPs will be able to create an unequal environment where big money strangles innovation and competition. Free and open internet must be enforceable!
1506. Christopher Simokat, Chicago, IL, 60608
I want stronger net neutrality and title II protections to keep the internet open and unrestricted. The people's money created the internet therefore it should remain open and unrestricted regardless of investors or profits. It is in the people's interest to maintain the integrity of both net neutrality and title II.
1507. Eric Minkley, Chicago, IL, 60607
Net neutrality is imperative to the future of the freedom to use the Internet as consumers. I do not want ISPs to have the ability to make deals and funnel content to me or slow down the speed of something I want to read or watch. This is the opposite of a move toward freer markets and honest capitalism. Please keep Title II in place and support Net Neutrality!!
1508. Barbara Queen, Chicago, IL, 60601
I am not concerned with the upload speed of a popular TV program, as if I never had an original thought or interest that wasn't fed to me. This is simple: no government organization should be permitted to filter what I search online or retard the speed of the content I am seeking. Democracy 101. Opening a Pandora's box regarding government regulation of the Internet is a slippery slope and is modern-day book burning. The slow creep of control is not lost on most of us.
1509. jake leese, Chicago, IL, 60610
Why do we have a former Verizon lobbyist trying to screw over the American people with lies that anyone with 10 minutes and some information on the subject can see is completely false. Allow 3rd party common carriers to sell services to consumers for accessing the internet. Open it up, chief, since you claim to be a free market enthusiast. Otherwise, Ajit is cuck to cable and the isps.
1510. Elizabeth Branson, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support strong title two classification for net neutrality
1511. David Norling-Christensen, Oak Park, IL, 60304
As long as monopolies provide the only reasonable high speed access to the internet, title 2 classification is necessary. Do NOT roll back the consumer protection that the Obama administration worked so hard to create. ISP's provide a critical service and need to be regulated.
1512. Alex Brown, Chicago, IL, 60642
My last comment seems to have been deleted so I will file again - I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108! I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

1513. Ike Maina, Chicago, IL, 60651
It is important to leave Net Neutrality as it is. If Title 2 were to be removed, it would damage the freedom to the internet and leave us behind in the world, as well as allow companies that own certain websites to block off their competitors, only hurting the consumer. Already the fight led to someone using my info against Net Neutrality and for that alone is proof that this is not okay. Keep the Internet Free!!!
1514. Reiny Rolock, Oak Park, IL, 60304
It is essential to the freedom of the American people that interstate communications companies, especially internet service providers, remain under Title II of the Communications Act. Otherwise, they could, because of a ruling by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit in 2014 in the case of Verizon v. Federal Communications Commission, no longer be required to maintain net neutrality. Please, please do not eliminate net neutrality. And please do not play dumb.
1515. Calvin Pohlhammer, Westchester, IL, 60154
I am a concerned citizen who doesn't want corporate control of what should be a basic utility. I want to be able to connect to the Internet however, wherever, whenever, and for as much as I want without interference. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II Oversight of ISPs.
1516. Lee Irvin, River Forest, IL, 60305
Do not, I repeat, do not reclassify ISPs under Title II. I was sent here by John Oliver, who described Net Neutrality in greater detail. And frankly, I prefer having the internet be open to everyone. I do not want Internet service providers determining my internet speed because my browsing habits don't align with their own interests. Also, I don't want my ISP selling my information to other companies, because I for one value my privacy. So please, keep ISPs classified under Title II.
1517. Jakob Enschede, River Forest, IL, 60305
Keeping the internet (and frankly anything for that matter) free from corporate manipulation and should be the premier goal of the American government and its citizens, as I believe anyone, no matter how small, should have an equal opportunity for success on the internet. America has thrived on its ideals of equal opportunities for too long to simply throw them away like this and giving service providers the upper hand in this situation.
1518. Perry Holen, Chicago, IL, 60610
Last-mile internet service is an incredibly non-competitive market where most consumers have no real choice in provider. Consumer protections are important in this realm because the consumer has no recourse when their provider treats them poorly. And not having internet is not an option, the internet is an incredibly valuable tool for education and employment and is the largest expansion of human knowledge in our history. Giving over control of the content to monopolistic providers would be a crime against the constituency you are supposed to support. This would be harmful to small businesses and consumers, while benefiting only a handful of corporations. Title II makes a lot of sense here as the barrier to entry is extremely high and often relies on municipal resources, much like electricity and water. Please support and protect your constituency and do not let the U.S. lose one of its greatest competitive advantages in a thriving information economy.
1519. Arthur J. Kubin, Jr., Chicago, IL, 60707
I support net neutrality as a small business owner who uses the internet daily. Access to websites should be transparent and speeds not controlled by ISPs!
1520. Sydney D. Jackson, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I support the preservation of net neutrality and the protection from Title 2.
1521. Declan Ryan, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Please keep Net Neutrality, and keep ISPs under Title II.
1522. Solomon Kimrey, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I support the strong oversight of ISPs under TITLE II.
1523. Brian Gallagher, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I believe that we should keep ISPs under Title II to help enforce Net Neutrality.
1524. Linda Boyd, Chicago, IL, 60644
I strongly believe that the internet should be open and free to all individuals!
1525. Elliot Brandt, Oak Park, IL, 60302

Keep ISPs in title 2. I support enforcing net neutrality.

1526. Nadirah Muhammad, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Keep ISPs in title II to help enforce net neutrality.

1527. Martha Kubin, Chicago, IL, 60707
I support net neutrality, and I am strongly opposed to the "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal.

1528. Arianne Carey, Chicago, IL, 60612
I am for net neutrality. Legal content is my own business, and should not be a mechanism by which a company can charge more money, or seek other avenues of profit. Please protect your constituents who are on the usage side, not the companies who stand to collect unreasonable revenue from delivering it.

1529. Travis Hampton, Chicago, IL, 60605
<https://www.fcc.gov/general/open-internet> is an accurate understanding of Net Neutrality. Broadband providers allow transmission, between or among points specified by the user, of information of the user's choosing, without change in the form or content of the information as sent and received. For example if you wanted to you could access the internet thru a dial up connection, it would be slow as crap but you can. I use broadband provider only as a service to reach other services, such as Google, Facebook, wikipedia, and etc. None of these services are provided by my broadband provider. My broadband provider is merely sending my encrypted traffic from my house to the servers of these services. The only services provided by my broadband provider that I use are related to network management or the transmission of my encrypted traffic to other servers on the Internet. 100% of the time I specify the point where my transmission of data is going. Not using IP addresses but using urls, ie. <https://www.google.com>. I don't care about the IP/Server but this url gets me to the right service or data. My broadband provider is providing transmission of my traffic between endpoints, this is the only reason I pay for it. Phone systems routing is based on the architecture as well, switches, operators, relays and transfer stations are not known by the user. My data is encrypted because I use https websites so my broadband provider knows no details of the transmissions to the urls I am visiting.

1530. Larry Fairchild, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Please, please, PLEASE keep net neutrality. It's of the utmost importance.

1531. Megan M Chung, Chicago, IL, 60616
It is important to me that we keep internet neutrality. Do not allow the bill to be over turned

1532. Douglas, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I support true internet neutrality, backed by a vigorous regulatory regime rooted in TITLE II authority.

1533. kris Munoz, Chicago, IL, 60606
I support net neutrality. Do not eliminate title 2. Be fair to all

1534. Kieran Tobin, Chicago, IL, 60601
I strongly support net neutrality as backed by Title II of ISPs

1535. Kieran Tobin, Chicago, IL, 60601
I support strong net neutrality as backed by Title II of ISPs. Please continue to back this revision.

1536. Jonathan Quigley, Chicago, IL, 60607
What, in the hell, do you think you're doing??? Are you for real trying to get rid of net neutrality?!?! ARE YOU INSANE!!!! I'll tell you what makes the internet so amazing. In all of the crazy chaos of information and data that exist within it, they all share one thing. No matter who you are, if you are connected to the internet, you are connected to the world, without any special treatment for those who pay more. So I'm gonna say this once, and I'm gonna use caps lock to express how intensely I care about this. NET-NEUTRALITY IS AMAZING DO NOT DESTROY NET-NEUTRALITY DO NOT DESTROY THE FREE WEB!

1537. Daniel Marcus, Forest Park, IL, 60130
Your findings are incorrect. An ISP is not correctly described nor explained. In layman's terms: an ISP is a road. A point or website is a store. ISP allows me to drive to the store, but it doesn't care or profit off of my purchase in the store, nor should it tell me which store I am allowed to go to. Another example for the layman... energy. If I want electricity, I buy it from a supplier (or website). However wherever I buy it from, it needs to be delivered by the wires and cables (or ISP). It's that simple. ISP is a telecommunications job to deliver a product. It has no right to control the speed of that delivery based on what product I buy or from where I buy it. It also doesn't have the right

(or ability) to monitor my purchasing and use that info for their personal gain. I pay an ISP to provide a service, not a good. And because I buy the service doesn't give them the right to monitor or sell my information.

1538. KIm Olsen-Clark, Chicago, IL, 60607
I am asking for an Internet that will live up to its promise, fostering innovation, creativity, and freedom. I donâ€™t want regulations that will turn ISPs into gatekeepers and have my government or anyone else make special deals with a few companies thus inhibiting new competition, innovation and expression. The internet has been the most amazing creation in my lifetime because it was set up to use competition make it better.
1539. Victor Sanchez, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support strong net neutrality rules. Please keep all ISPs under Title II of the Net Neutrality laws. Also, to my friend Ajit Pai, You are a Madharchaud! Have a blessed day!
1540. Lisa Gartner, Chicago, IL, 60661
Keep the Internet neutral! Should be treated as public utility. No to this scheme by Trump administration
1541. Alex Wier, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I support strong net neutrality and the Title II oversight of internet service providers.
1542. Mike Vaughan, Chicago, IL, 60614
Net neutrality preserves the consumer experience, competition, and innovation online. Existing net neutrality rules should be enforced and kept intact
1543. Andrew Sirota, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I support a free and open internet. I don't want corporations to control the internet
1544. Michael Greco, Chicago, IL, 60612
Hi,I am commenting to show my support Net Neutrality and am strongly against taking this right away from people. Please do not allow businesses/ISP's to dictate how citizens use the internet.Michael Greco
1545. Arthur Catrambone, Chicago, IL, 60642
Net Neutrality is an important factor in today's world. The ability to have everyone on the same level playing field is a huge part of what made the internet work in the first place. Allowing companies to throttle or improve connections to certain sites will forever shift that balance into the hands of those with the financial advantage.
1546. Eric Mintzer, Chicago, IL, 60610
The internet is now our society's primary method of conveying ideas and information. By eliminating net neutrality, this bill will infringe on the freedom of the press. This bill prioritizes corporate freedom at the expense of the freedom of United States citizens.
1547. Tom Campone, Chicago, IL, 60616
Current net neutrality laws are in the best interest of the general population. Your new proposal will hurt the average consumer and place too much power in the hands of a few ISPs. Please reconsider your proposal.
1548. Christine Kraft, Forest Park, IL, 60130
I support keeping the current FCC rules in place for Net Neutrality as established under the Obama administration. Please do not get rid of these rules.
1549. Elena Stein, Chicago, IL, 60661
I support the FCC keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Period. No small 'tweak' in the legal backing will go unnoticed.
1550. John A Schwartz, River Forest, IL, 60305
I strongly support the concept of net neutrality and strongly oppose the currently proposed changes. Please retain Title 2 oversight of ISPS.
1551. Vivek Menon, Chicago, IL, 60610
We need net neutrality and we do not trust ISPs at all. Mr. Pai, Your argument of ISPs have never blocked a competing content or application is utter wrong. They have previously blocked Google wallet and other payment apps when they released they own payment apps. ISPs are also pushing unnecessary and burdensome bloat-wares and it has been quiet painful lately. You are showing signs of corruption and favoritism to ISPs, where as you are supposed to take decisions and actions only in the interest of public and unprejudiced. It is a known truth that ISPs

are forming cartels and running an oligopoly where there is little or no competition left in the system.

1552. Timothy J Stear, Forest Park, IL, 60130
Title II and net neutrality must be kept to prevent abuse of power by already money hungry ISPs such as Comcast. Please do not make the mistake of allowing that inevitable abuse of power!
1553. Ashley Kasza, Chicago, IL, 60616
I would actually support this rule if there was actually competition among ISPs or any option other than "you have internet or you don't". How about introducing policy to allow new comers into the market more easily? I'm sure there's huge opportunity here considering how terrible the current major ISPs are. Until that happens I need Net Neutrality so that I won't be served up as a hostage to these predatory ISPs. I'm a software developer so I need Net Neutrality for my job, so I can keep up with new info in the dev world, so my company can remain competitive in our market without having to pay ISPs for allowing users to our site, so an individual dev can create competitive code to Facebook's with a chance to be discovered and used.
1554. David Priester, Chicago, IL, 60611
I detest the removal of Net Neutrality laws because net neutrality as a concept allows for the free circulation of ideas. If we were to remove these laws then censorship would run rampant and broadband providers could filter search results to suit their own ideals, controlling the flow of information.
1555. Jasper Lam, Chicago, IL, 60610
Keep the internet free! Allowing service providers to throttle service based upon their own discretion is a loss for American progress.
1556. Galen Ptacek, Chicago, IL, 60302
To compromise Net Neutrality is to steal from the American people. The measures proposed by the FCC will do nothing but create a financial burden on small businesses and weaken the free market.
1557. Zachary Moss, Chicago, IL, 60605
PLEASE preserve net neutrality and Title 2!
1558. Douglas Frederisy, Chicago, IL, 60606
Net neutrality is critical to a free and open discourse in our democracy that is increasingly under attack. Protect it!
1559. Anthony Dobrowolski, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. It is imperative. It is the moral thing to do
1560. Joan Levin, Chicago, IL, 60610
Please Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 Enforcement.
1561. Victoria Ford, Chicago, IL, 60653
Reserve Title 2 and net neutrality
1562. Teegan Ness, Chicago, IL, 60622
Keep the net neutral. Do not allow corporate interests to restrict individual's access to information. Because that is Fascism.
1563. Cindy Senneke, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I am writing in support of Net Neutrality and Title 2. I support a free and open internet.
1564. John Alexander Sr, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I am in favor of keeping ISP's governed by Title II regulations without a change.
1565. Thomas F. Pecucci, Chicago, IL, 60654
Net neutrality must be preserved through Title II oversight of ISP's.
1566. Jeff Funderburg, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support strong NET Neutrality by keeping Title II for ISPs.
1567. Sean Merle, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I strongly believe in preserving net neutrality and Title II. We must protect access for everyone in this country!
1568. Torrey Wilson, Oak Park, IL, 60304

Restore Title 2 oversight of net neutrality rules and regulation of internet.

1569. Alina Tompert, Chicago, IL, 60604
Keep Title II! Keep Net Neutrality!
1570. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60602
I am expressing my outrage at the possibility of removing net neutrality. Please don't allow this.
1571. Brian Dove, Chicago, IL, 60623
Net neutrality is important to the American population for a continued access to an open discourse on relevant issues. Please maintain net neutrality.
1572. Marcy Null, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II! I specifically support STRONG Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Thank you, Marcy
1573. Rob Pick, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support strong net neutrality enforcement by the FCC supported by Title 2 designation of ISP providers
1574. Brad Harum, Oak park, IL, 60302
I am voicing my opinion to PRSERVE Net Neutrality under Title II.
1575. Dwain Lytle Jr, Chicago, IL, 60605
Two people should not be allowed to take away the will of the people. I implore the FCC to follow the implemented law stating the FCC should abide by the people's position. Otherwise, the illusion that we have a say should be eliminated. Everytime something great is accomplished, greed takes it away. I say dno. Please, keep the internet as a utility and net neutrality . We don't want to be at the mercy of 4 corporations. Thank you for your time spent reading.
1576. Josh Varga, Chicago, IL, 60654
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II protection. Internet access has become a basic utility, and providers should be held to strict standards of neutrality to prevent unfair anti-competitive practices and stifling innovation.
1577. Carl Gilmore, Chicago, IL, 60601
Dear FCC, I am concerned by Chairman Pai's plans to torpedo Network Neutrality. The present policy to no paid prioritization or fast lanes for big sponsors, no blocking and no throttling of speed has been hard won and beneficial. Commercial censorship of opposing views and competition is a real threat. Please maintain Network Neutrality. Carl Gilmore Carl Gilmore
1578. Caroline Gillis, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Vote to keep net neutrality
1579. Brooke Nieman, Chicago, IL, 60661
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs!!!!
1580. Jennifer Li, Chicago, IL, 60611
I strongly support Title II's protections of net neutrality; strong protections are absolutely crucial for internet freedom and innovation.
1581. Amanda Davidson, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I WANT TO KEEP MY INTERNET FREEDOM. PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2.
1582. Danny Martinez, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please maintain Net Neutrality. We can't just hope that the internet service providers will keep their promise to do so. We need rules/laws to ensure this happens.
1583. David Sanderson, Chicago, IL, 60612
Please keep the internet open to all and above corporate greed.
1584. Kris Boduch, Chicago, IL, 60611
Strongly in favor of existing net neutrality rules and ISP classification under Title 2 of the 1934 Communications

Act. There was a reason WHY FCC reclassified ISPs under Title 2, and that was specifically to preserve Net Neutrality after Verizon won its 2010 FCC lawsuit. Please do NOT reverse the 2015 FCC decision to classify ISPs under Title II.

1585. Lyubko, Chicago, IL, 60622
Keep Net Neutrality. I have no trust in ISPs so would much rather prefer this aspect to be regulated. Thanks and go fcc yourself :)
1586. Michael Thompson, Chicago, IL, 60605
Dear FCC, As a consumer and citizen of USA, I believe it unwise to favor corporations and other powerful entities regarding internet speed. I run a small business and need to have just as much speed online as anyone. Please consider the vast network of average users of digital technology in any decisions you make. Michael Thompson, Chicago, IL USA Michael Thompson
1587. Adam Lopez, Chicago, IL, 60612
I support strict and strong net neutrality backed by Title 2.
1588. Trent Russell, Chicago, IL, 60654
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II of ISPs.
1589. net neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60611
I am in strong support of net neutrality. It is essential to the maintenance of the freedom we have become accustomed to on the web.
1590. Sarah Bortt, Chicago, IL, 60624
Dear FCC, Net neutrality is necessary for this country, it's citizens (& actually the rest of the world). As we continue to use the internet more and more in daily life, as the internet continues to be a valuable and necessary resource for growing businesses (both big and small) we must preserve net neutrality to keep the playing field level for all users of the internet. There are certainly a whole lot more we should be doing to preserve and protect the rights of people using the internet (far beyond net neutrality) but this one action of taking our protections away will stifle business and innovation and much more for our country (& the world beyond). I stand strong with net neutrality! You need to as well. Sarah Bortt
1591. Matthew Rubin, Chicago, IL, 60606
Dear FCC, Net neutrality is critical to a vibrant and functional internet. As a lifelong technology worker, net neutrality is near and dear to my heart as a necessary avenue to allow small businesses to emerge and thrive. Thanks for your consideration. Matthew Rubin
1592. Sarika Amin, Chicago, IL, 60654
I support net neutrality. The executive summary incorrectly argues through implication that the 2015 decision to preserve net neutrality and subject ISPs to regulations harms innovation. The use of historical data to show the growth of the industry is not a reasonable argument for the continuity of such market growth. The internet was a new product in a global market, which is what led to such expansion. Whether the web is neutral or not was irrelevant as this type of growth was going to happen regardless as there was too much money to be made in new markets and reduction of costs in data transmission. Secondly, the utility based approach actually makes the most sense. The internet is and should be labeled a commodity. It is both necessary for any business and the product differentiation between ISP provides is marginal to non-existent. The only product variance is in customer service, not technical specifications. Because it is a commodity, market entrance is challenging for any smaller ISPs as a cabling a network would require an enormous capital. It is the same logic as someone starting a private water company, it simply can not be done. Therefore, ISPs with freedom to control traffic and charge for it as they choose is a license to monopolistic tendencies, which would potentially be in contradiction to the Sherman Act and the Clayton Act. Thirdly, the argument that net neutrality somehow prevents companies from investing in other innovations is unsound. If there was a new product that provided internet in a different way, they could simply offer it to customers for whatever price they elected. If the product warranted a premium and overcame the customer's switching cost, they free market would simply have provided it to us already. The issue is that providing data transfer is just that, there is no additional innovation other than overall speeds, which is simply an improvement that can be accomplished through efficiencies. Fourthly, on Friday, Pai issued a statement hailing the "exceptionally important contribution to the debate" made by a group of 19 nonprofit municipal-broadband providers. The letter states that the net neutrality rules have forced them to "often delay or hold off from rolling out a new feature or service because we cannot afford to deal with a potential complaint and enforcement action." My concern is what evidence has been provided and what actual damages have been incurred? Are these features even

something the consumer wants? An unwarranted amount of weight has been placed on this without substantial data or cost benefit analysis. Fifth, if innovation is an actual concern, the abolition of net neutrality would increase entry costs. If we want to promote innovation, reducing the availability of the internet to the small business or sole proprietor leaves innovation in the hands of corporations only. I believe there is enough historical data to showcase that enough innovations come from individuals to argue against a corporation only innovation model. Increased internet costs and selective data selection means a sole proprietor would either need to deal with the bureaucracy of an ISP or simply pay more to compete with companies that hold huge coffers. In fact, an argument can be made that destroying net neutrality increases bureaucracy and red tape, thereby reducing internet freedom as that freedom is actually in the hands of ISPs instead of the public.

1593. Elijah Jennison, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Hello. I am here to tell you that if 17-108 goes forward (and yes, that means the end of net neutrality, there is no argument) American citizens will not stand idle. Today is an age where a person's very existence is mostly on the Internet, and when these millions of people lose that, there will be no way out of this for you. You will be held in contempt by the public eye for the rest of your lives, and you will be held responsible for your actions. People will know your names. This will follow you for the rest of your lives. You are about to make a very big mistake, and I urge you not to go forward with this, if only for your own benefit. I'm warning you, people will not be complacent when 17-108 goes through. So, for your own sake and the sake of the American people, do not go through with this. You will regret it forever. -Elijah
1594. Ashley Orler, Chicago, IL, 60616
I support net neutrality covered by Title II.
1595. Zack Berinstein, Chicago, IL, 60607
As a small business owner, I've recognized firsthand the value of having a free and open internet. Without strong Federal regulations in place, the marketplace will cease to be free, and established corporations will continue to enjoy advantages and monopolies over newer businesses and entrepreneurs. So for the sake of our free market values and the entrepreneurial spirit that makes our economy so great - I implore you to keep internet providers classified under Title II of the Telecommunications act.
1596. Net Neutrality, Oak Park, IL, 60301
Please do not take away Net Neutrality. Keep the internet Neutral for all!
1597. Nathan Florsheim, Chicago, IL, 60605
I and many others are in support of strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISP's, it is an essential component to maintaining the vast network we currently benefit from.
1598. Mark V. Ferrante, Chicago, IL, 60601
I am in favor of net neutrality. Any variance from it will negatively effect fair access to all which is the opposite of the purpose of the Internet.
1599. Brian S, Chicago, IL, 60601
I am a concerned citizen asking you to preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Please put the public's interest before those of the ISPs. You know this is the right thing to do.
1600. Sara Taylor Demos, Chicago, IL, 60610
Dear FCC, I strongly support net neutrality and Title Two for ISPs. Thank you, Sara Taylor-Demos
1601. Peter Estridge, Chicago, IL, 60601
I want the government to protect net neutrality
1602. Joe Post, Forest park il, IL, 60130
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. We need the internet to be free.
1603. Michael Gore, Chicago, IL, 60606
The proposed changes to Net Neutrality should not even be considered. The internet doesn't belong to ISPs.
1604. Dan Schmit, Chicago, IL, 60611
We need net neutrality and preserve Title 2.
1605. Gideon Bob, Oak park, IL, 60304
Please protect net neutrality by keeping the title II provisions in place. Allowing ISPs more power in this arena

would decrease the value of the internet for our society.

1606. Laura Woods, Chicago, IL, 60622

I am against the "Restoring Internet Freedom" plan and I support net neutrality. I work for a tech company on a cloud-based product. I believe that weakening net neutrality laws would negatively affect both my company and myself. I have every reason to believe that my internet costs would go up and that my access to the internet would be reduced. Please do not give internet providers more power to abuse average consumers.

1607. John Mitchell, Chicago, IL, 60605

Please protect net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet ISPs. I support strong government regulation of the internet marketplace to ensure fair and equal treatment of all internet content and to restrict the ability of internet service providers to favor or limit content.

1608. Nick Ardinger , Forest Park , IL, 60130

The Constitution explicitly enshrined post roads--the 18th century version of the internet--because our founders understood the importance of communication to democracy. Although there were toll roads, the founders were not about to leave democracy to the whims of the market. We should follow their example.

1609. Dan Kernan, Berwyn, IL, 60402

Net neutrality is essential for mid-term and long-term economic growth. This is not just true for internet businesses, but for all businesses in the future. Efforts to hamper net neutrality will slow growth and allow a small handful of companies to profit. While this might make the growth of certain publicly listed companies easy to predict, it also concentrates power and wealth to too few companies. This is counter to what made America great (e.g. a global leader that other nations aspired to emulate) in the 20th century. Net neutrality keeps the playing field equal, and anything else favors those in power; reducing their need to innovate and compete in a global market. It is essentially treating the powerful as disabled and in need of charity. Any large company (and their leaders) that requires laws to restrict innovation and competition in order to remain profitable is un-american and pathetic. We see this with the music and movie industry which was so stagnant that teenagers invented superior distribution methods, ultimately forcing it to innovate. Let's not create more pathetic and disabled corporate citizens dependent on a convoluted legal system to sustain them.

1610. George Goehl, Oak Park, IL, 60302

Please do not gut net neutrality. We need to protect small businesses, free speeches and choice when being online.

1611. James Servillas, Chicago, IL, 60610

I don't want the internet to be controlled by governments and big businesses, like television and radio. I want everyone to be able to do what they want online without censoring and hassle.

1612. Anthony DiSalvo, Elmwood Park, IL, 60606

By not keeping the internet free and equal, you are give another tool to these same companies which want to stop innovates, unless it directly benefits them. Information wants to be Free, and the only true way to allow for innovation is to allow it to be.

1613. Heyman Baxter, Chicago , IL, 60604

Net Neutrality is for the big data providers to corner the market and eventually limit content and to suppress freedoms. It is internet censorship at its best. It has to be gutted.

1614. Bruce Satinover, Oak Park, IL, 60302

Keep the net neutral. Do not curtail free speech. Monopoly is a fun game but a terrible system of controlling information.

1615. Marcia Iacobucci, Chicago, IL, 60601

Maintaining the neutrality of the internet is fundamental and absolutely critical to free speech. Should corporations get to control access to the internet, they effectively have control over my First Amendment rights. This is not about money, this is about the constitution. Please do not abrogate my constitutional rights.

1616. Lisa Totino, Chicago, IL, 60611

The Internet should be a right and not a privilege. No company should be allowed to determine who gains access and what information is shared. I wish that the Internet was free to everyone without this subscription model. When radio and TV came out, the only financial constraint was owning a device that could receive their signals. The Internet should be treated this same way and should be open to everyone, regardless of income.

1617. bonnie boyer, oak park, IL, 60302
without net neutrality big companies can manipulate consumers
1618. Angelina , Chicago, IL, 60624
An internet for each one of us and for free speech!
1619. Kathleen Kennedy, Chicago, IL, 60622
Stand up for equal internet rights! Don't let big money control the internet. Keep the internet working for ALL people - as it was designed to do!
1620. Pathik Bhatt, Chicago, IL, 60605
Giving absolute power to a small number of corporations and their leaders who are incentivized primarily by profit - not the well-being of mankind - is unlikely to lead to a the well-being of mankind. It will only help a small percentage of people. The power of the Internet is that it doesn't discriminate. Everyone can learn and discuss everything. Please protect this powerful tool for education, discussion, and innovation by protecting net neutrality.
1621. Chip Howell, Berwyn, IL, 60402
Net Neutrality is fundamental to Free Speech, and Free Speech directly benefits big corporations. It provides FREE opportunities for corporate improvement and market growth. If you shut people up, they can't tell you what new product to sell them.
1622. Scott Bobo, Chicago, IL, 60605
Net Neutrality is important to a free and open internet for all. ISPs should not be allowed to prioritize and control who sees what and at what speeds. With great power comes great responsibility and the ISPs have shown time and time again that they do not wield their power in a way that fosters growth, innovation, free speech or the free/open exchange of ideas. This is all about profits and nothing else.
1623. Jonathon Dotson, Chicago, IL, 60611
A free an open internet is the single greatest technology of our time, and control should not be at the mercy of corporations.
1624. John E. Poole, Chicago, IL, 60644
Do not do to the internet what you let the cable companies do to TV. leave net neutrality alone!
1625. John Smith, Chicago, IL, 60601
As the Sr. Network Security Admin. at a FHLBank a GSE, I know for a fact that net neutrality is good for the people/customers/public and must be kept in place.
1626. James Russell, Chicago, IL, 60607
We do not need more big corporation meddling - please protect the last open and neutral medium.
1627. Laura Howe, Chicago, IL, 60601
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. It will allow consumers to determine what is on the web not companies. We have already lost so much choice because anti-trust laws are not stopping large companies from eating up all the others. The web is our last hope for complete free choice.
1628. Brett Holdridge, Chicago, IL, 60616
Preserve my rights, preserve freedom of choice, preserve free speech, preserve open internet, preserve the foundation of America. Quasi fascism mustn't be tolerated!
1629. Jenni Antonicic , Chicago, IL, 60607
Keep the playing field open a d fair. Net neutrality is needed for everyone to use the internet regardless of money or power.
1630. Michelle Woehrl, Forest Park, IL, 60130
The internet's best purpose is to facilitate free flow of information to people. We must preserve this innovative tool!
1631. o nunn, chicago, IL, 60644
no change to current system ...freedom is in order
1632. Gina Thomas, Forest Park, IL, 60130

Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech and must be protected! The internet should remain free and protected against unwanted and unnecessary government regulations.

1633. Vladimire Herard, Chicago, IL, 60639
Net neutrality is essential to the rights of users of the Internet whether they pay for the service or not. The right to use the Internet to maximum benefit is a universal right similar to the right to an education, the right to free postal mail and the right to have and use public libraries. By turning over the Internet to big cable companies like Comcast, AT & T and Verizon is to violate the welfare and trust of the public. The Internet did not emanate from the corporate world. It came from the federal government, which released the Internet in the 1990s for the benefit of the public. Nothing should change that.
1634. J. Becker, Chicago, IL, 60603
I depend on unhindered internet access for my work and for information I trust. Net neutrality is critical for an open society. That's why I oppose the proposed new rules!
1635. Chadwick Wooten, Chicago, IL, 60606
My phone connects to the internet for the work I do. My phone connects to the internet for the maps I need for travel during work and after work. My internet connects have built my relationships with friends, co-workers and family. Please allow to continued the open relationship between internet and people. Do not allow internet restrictions based on proprietary needs of big companies. Do not become an authoritarian Big Brother such as the Communists Country of China. China censors their internet - do not become Communists China with new regulations. The internet works because it is open. It will continue to work as an open network. Please keep net neutrality as the status quo.
1636. Jan Becker, Chicago, IL, 60603
A large majority agree - net neutrality must be protected! Do the right thing, let it be!
1637. Michael Guerra, Chicago, IL, 60610
It's is vital to protect our freedom of speech. What the FCC is proposing will destroy our ability to create innovative things that make our lives as Americans better and richer in substance. This country will be stripped of it's identity if companies control our content.
1638. Chris Detmer, Berwyn, IL, 60402
As a small business owner in web development, I rely on an open internet to make a living. Making it harder for users to find what they need, and businesses to provide services for their customers without unnecessary and restrictive regulation. The Internet as it stands today is remarkably efficient and a crucial communication tool for domestic and international commerce.
1639. Charles Hughes, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Dear Sirs:The internet belongs to the public whose tax dollars paid for the development of the internet by the US military. It is our dollars that support the continued use of the internet as an advertising media for business. The internet must remain a free resource. Otherwise it will become a media of controlled content for big business. If that happens we are heading toward the world suggested by George Orwell's novel 1984.Keep the internet FREE. Keep the internet neutral.
1640. Robert Madison, Chicago, IL, 60607
Net neutrality is about individual freedom, the very core of our country. It is important to preserve a free and open internet.
1641. Thia Simmons, Chicago, IL, 60651
hello i need to know more iformaion about small business
1642. Sarah Knight, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please protect our rights in this, the best democracy in history, by preserving net neutrality.
1643. Todd, Chicago, IL, 60610
I support strong net neutrality laws and so should you. Please maintain Title II standards for ISP's.
1644. Sherry Malone, Chicago, IL, 60639
Net neutrality is vital to protecting free speech. It evns the playing field for small businesses and entrepreneurs by providing equal access to consumers and investors.

1645. Mark Kemp, Chicago, IL, 60601
Stop selling your dignity to the highest bidder, please!
1646. J. Nicolas Albuquerk, Chicago , IL, 60607
Mr. Pai, we know you will become very rich if you help big companies take over the internet but if you do then small start-ups will suffer and if you really care about this country being a leader in technology you will smaller companies to have the same access to the internet that big companies do. Please do not allow the rich to take bandwidth from the poor.
1647. ERIC WILLIS, OAK PARK, IL, 60304
I want to watch what I want to watch. Leave net neutrality alone.
1648. Eric Potempa, Chicago , IL, 60614
Net neutrality is essential to the people's Constitutional rights.
1649. B Dresler, Berwyn, IL, 60402
Net neutrality is fundamental to our basic rights of freedom in the United States. Net neutrality allows consumers "not big companies" to choose what they watch & do online. Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started. FCC leave net neutrality ALONE.
1650. Thomas Hartmann, Chicago, IL, 60605
My wife and I have limited resources to spend on internet. If internet no longer is neutral, then we will have slower service. Time is money. Also, who wants to wait for internet to load? Information is vital to today's society. Let's not give away control to companies that already are rich and powerful. Thanks.
1651. Jeanne Busch, Oak Park, IL, 60301
The internet DOES NOT BELONG to the big cable companies. After all these years it is clear it is a utility like telephone and electric service and should be regulated like it. I strongly urge you not to enact rules that allow companies to monopolize the entire internet.
1652. Patrick Brown, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I believe net neutrality ought to be my right as a citizen. The Internet is an indispensable utility for all Americans, and no company or other organization should have the ability to prioritize data for commercial or political gain.
1653. Heather Hobbs, Chicago, IL, 60602
The internet needs to be available to ALL people regardless of someone or some entities business agenda. I consider it as essential as access to clean water and clean air. Knowledge is power. Do NOT allow big business to control the world's access to information. Thank you for listening.
1654. AP, Chicago, IL, 60607
Implication if net neutrality is taken away will be grave. Do not do this.
1655. Luis Guadalupe, Berwyn, IL, 60402
This just another attempt to make these carriers company make more from the consumer, just like the bank charges at an ATM, these company are headed for the same capitalism ideology, and it will spread to other usage internet services.
1656. Tim Mayer, Forest Park, IL, 60130
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. The internet belongs to the people of the world, not select companies or governments. Keep speech free. Keep the internet neutral. Thank you.
1657. Bolu Oladini, Chicago, IL, 60607
A free and open internet is a fundamental right. At this point, it is one of the pillars of our democracy.
1658. Benjamin Kozloski, Chicago, IL, 60605
Destroying the last bastion of unfiltered and uncensored form of communication- would be a mistake of epic proportions.
1659. Edgar Quintanilla, Chicago, IL, 60604
As an educator, net neutrality is ESSENTIAL in opening access and opportunities to underserved/underrepresented youth. Keep the Internet neutral.

1660. Tom Carroll, Chicago, IL, 60604
If the last three months have taught us nothing else, they've taught us that unless we are vigilant, there are those that will attempt to contain and infringe upon our rights to their benefit. Eliminating net neutrality is giving control over what is increasingly a vital part of our existence to those who could very easily corrupt it for gain or control. It simply can't be the case that we should just take them at their word that this won't happen; there seems to be nothing to gain from ending net neutrality, and everything to lose. Do not let it happen.
1661. Anne Jacobson Schutte, Chicago, IL, 60611
The Internet is plagued already with persistent, intrusive ads. Putting internet providers like Comcast (the worst telephone company I've had the displeasure of dealing with in several U.S. location and two other countries) in control would represent a gigantic step in the wrong direction. I implore you to reject the proposed rules, which represent grave threats to free speech.
1662. Betty Smith, CHICAGO, IL, 60607
There are too many things that are way more important in this world to be worrying about other than net neutrality. Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators that mean people like me who live in low income trying to come out of poverty so I can help my family and others that come from the same place I come from ! THANK YOU ! FOR WANTING TO STOMP ON OUR SUCCESS :(
1663. Austin Myers, Chicago, IL, 60615
Where I live there is really only one available ISP. I'm also already pretty sure they already throttle our internet speeds. Taking away Net Neutrality will allow them to fully strangle and squeeze every cent out of an already predominantly low income area.
1664. Tony Donatelli, Chicago , IL, 60608
As with all sources of media, free and open access is a necessary right of all free peoples a just and free society.
1665. Lulu Kang, Chicago, IL, 60616
I stand strongly against ending the net neutrality. As a consumer, I pay over a hundred dollar per month for the internet service for my home and my phone. I am paying all these money to get the high-speed internet access I deserve, and it should not matter what content that I am accessing from the internet. The only role the internet provider is to provide me the secure internet service at the speed they promised. They have no business to decide for me which content they should deliver at a higher speed, which content they should deliver at a lower speed. FCC, as the regulator of the Internet service providers, should really side with the consumers and provide their interests. Instead, year after year, FCC put the discussion of ending net neutrality on the table, as a result of being heavily lobbied by the giant Internet companies like AT&T, Comcast, etc.FCC, you should never even think about ending the net neutrality principle. Net neutrality is like our highway infrastructures. Everyone has to drive at the same speed by law, it does not matter if you drive a second-hand Ford Focus or a brand new Mercedes. It gives a fair chance for the consumers and the small online businesses. Shame on you, FCC, for trying again and again to doing the bid of the big companies.Once for all, end this discussion. FCC, Keep net neutrality, forever. That's your job!
1666. Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60614
It is critical that the FCC continues to support Neutrality. All Internet based companies as well as those that leverage, utilize, or provide software and services fully support Neutrality. In addition every informed consumer I know or have read postings from also firmly supports the critical nature of this policy. Unfortunately the only ones who are against it are a few ISP and cable companies who are seeking to increase and strengthen their monopolies and have clearly used excessive lobbying and mis information to promote their agenda.Neutrality is critical to our economy and all of the advantages the Internet provides.
1667. Tim Ritz, Chicago, IL, 60622
Current net neutrality rules ensure that Internet providers can't block or slow users' ability to see certain websites or create Internet "fast lanes" by charging online services and websites more money to reach people faster. The FCC should keep these rules in place and throw out Chairman Pai's plan to hand ISP monopolies like AT&T, Comcast, and Verizon the legal cover to strip consumers and small businesses of a level playing field and access to the content of their choosing. It is absolutely disgusting that an FCC governed under the current conservative regime would be so hypocritically dedicated to annihilating the foundations of competition that make American capitalism the engine of so-called freedom it espouses to be. Hiding behind the insipid and boring nature of anti-regulatory action, you are taking advantage in a most despicable manner, of the commonplace and unengaged public to essentially give away our largest economic marketplace to multi-billion dollar global corporations with few obstacles to taking over all aspects of American life as it is.

1668. Donald Goldhamer, Oak Park, IL, 60304
The internet infrastructure is an essential PUBLIC UTILITY and must be protected under Title II to preserve our democracy.
1669. David A Kliewer, Chicago, IL, 60611
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2. If we do not have it, ISPs will take over the internet and no one will have the freedom to view the content they want. The removal of net neutrality and Title 2 is just another example of plutocracy run rampant.
1670. krissy LaFlech, Chicago, IL, 60622
Do not roll back Obama's regulation regarding Net neutrality. Protect title II.
1671. Zachary Fox, Chicago, IL, 60611
I believe that the importance of net neutrality is unassailable, and that the only valid method for defending it is to keep to that current standard of protection, by retaining it under Title II.
1672. Joshua Alpert, Oak Park, IL, 60302
PLEASE PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY. If you want to encourage entrepreneurship, every company deserves equal opportunity.
1673. Maxwell Downey, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Hey, man... I know you're probably drinking out of your comical mug, but put it down so you can read this. I want strong net neutrality, because big companies such as T-Mobile and AT&T are greedy and will use your loose regulations to their advantage to make money. But, you probably already know that since you were a lawyer for Verizon.
1674. Carolina Solano, chicago, IL, 60661
I am writing to express the urgency and importance of preserving TITLE II and Net Neutrality. I am concerned and worried at the idea of the FCC not safeguarding the protections in place that ensure an open, free internet as a public right. Protect Net Neutrality.
1675. Cameron Mare, Chicago, IL, 60661
Please move to preserve Net Neutrality and Title II and continue to preserve the ideal of an "open internet" via regulations, rather than relying on chance and the hearsay from those who provide access to the internet.
1676. STEVE ANDERSON, CHICAGO, IL, 60606
I strongly support Net Neutrality and want to keep the Title 2 classification for Internet Service Providers. The Internet belongs to the people of the world, it is not to be co-opted by mega corporations to exploit and enrich themselves. It is shameful that the FCC toadies would attempt to roll over on their responsibility to serve the American people so a handful of giant companies can abuse this priceless resource, - absolutely abhorrent behavior by the FCC.
1677. Joe Vega, Chicago, IL, 60622
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. It shouldn't be surprising that people would want to limit the power of ISP's to push content right? Money is great and all but cmon.
1678. Net neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60612
Please, PLEASE preserve net neutrality and Title II.
1679. Thomas Lachin, Chicago, IL, 60610
Net neutrality is vital to my livelihood. My job depends on a free and open internet. We cannot tolerate opposition to net neutrality, as this would negatively affect all Americans.
1680. Michael Gore, Chicago, IL, 60607
Ajit,I think it was pretty clear that your excuse of protecting smaller ISP and helping them innovate was a complete lie after 40 of the nations small ISP came out together opposing the proposed changes to Net Neutrality. Your lies and attempts to trick the American public into stripping away their freedoms and giving larger ISPs like Comcast, Time Warner and Verizon is so unAmerican you could be considered a domestic terroist, and should be brought up on treason chargers. You are a disgusting person, and are a perfect example with what is wrong with American politics.Please leave our government and our country completely. And also, leave Net Neutrality and the Title II designation of the Internet and wireless data alone.

1681. Peter O'Connell, Chicago, IL, 60601
Keeping ISPs classified under title II is incredibly important to the future of internet and the future of tech companies in the United States. Without a fair playing field, competition goes down, quality goes down, and prices go up. That's not what America is about.
1682. Leverett, Chicago, IL, 60604
I support net neutrality. Preserve net neutrality.
1683. Ryan Voves, Chicago, IL, 60661
Perseve free internet
1684. Elizabeth Valentine, Westchester, IL, 60154
Please do NOT end the previous administration's net neutrality rules. It was the right thing to do, the American and FAIR way to run the internet. I vote with my heart and my pocketbook, and I have a long memory. Don't mess with net neutrality! Thank you for allowing me my say. Elizabeth Valentine Elizabeth Valentine
1685. H. Markow, Chicago, IL, 60654
I oppose the misleadingly named Restoring Internet Freedom rule. It will do the opposite. A transparent and fairly managed flow of information is essential to a true democracy and benefits a growing economy. Enacting this rule would limit some of our basic freedoms. Prevent that from happening on your watch.
1686. Prithvi Krishna Muntimadugu, Chicago, IL, 60607
Internet was meant to be free. Maintaining net neutrality is important for healthy competition. The benefits to the internet giants are far far less compared to the harm that it would do to the ecosystem
1687. charlotte kies, chicago, IL, 60611
The internet is a vital part of everyone's lives. Allowing a few companies to control it is scary and goes against American values. It is the FCC's responsibility to maintain a fair and free Internet for the American people.
1688. Shannon Carey, Chicago, IL, 60642
The FCC chairman's purported (more accurately, imagined) absence of abuse of power over the internet is no reason to let the wolves out of their cage by reclassifying the internet as non-Title II. If Ajit lived in a society without homicides, would Ajit argue against outlawing murder? Even I can identify the plans that ISPs have once their abuse is unchecked (I am not parroting this from a website): look at Verizon's customer agreement for their go90.com service. <https://www.go90.com/about/customer-agreement#3>. General use of go90 "Viewing Free of Data Charges". They're already doing it on wireless, and they'll do it on the rest of the internet as soon as they can. The internet was thankfully designed and implemented by generally intelligent and ethical people, and has prospered at least in part due to the good will of its participants. In turn, innumerable private companies, citizens, and the government have reaped egalitarian benefit. However, over the long term it is not a system which is compatible with a laissez faire approach. The economics of the internet which bring the most good are not strictly the economics of capitalism. Let's maintain a balanced approach, continue to enforce net neutrality by classifying the internet as a Title II service, and look for other ways to align the motivations of ISP's with the greater good.
1689. Leela Leinartas, Oak Park, IL, 60304
<https://vimeo.com/222706185/159ea71946>
1690. Peter Wright, Forest Park, IL, 60130
By breaking away from a fair Internet, you risk putting USA behind all countries - except maybe North Korea and China. Having carriers dictate speed of traffic, contents and more truly risks what the Internet is all about. Frankly - you're hurting the government even more as the only good solution should this go into effect will be for all the users to start encrypting 100% of their traffic, a la TOR or VPN in order to navigate around the new issues that will be created. I strongly urge you to not move forward and leave net neutrality alone - in fact, I also urge you to shore up net neutrality further.
1691. Tracy Walsh, Chicago, IL, 60641
People are confused about what "net neutrality" means. Net neutrality means that all the little guys get a fair shake in having their voices be heard for free. This protection, like most regulations, is in the interest of the people who cannot take on fights with huge corporations. If you are against net neutrality, you are for big corporations and big money running your internet, allowing these corporations to charge you what they want with no consumer protections. I fully support the protections of net neutrality. Please do not take away these much needed protections.

1692. Kevin Patrick Westropp, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please uphold net neutrality. America leads the way on this and the internet will slowly disappear if net neutrality is taken away.
1693. Jordan, Chicago, IL, 60612
To call the elimination of Net Neutrality rules "Restoring Internet Freedom" clearly is not referring to consumer freedoms. If the FCC is not investigating anti-competitive practices among Internet Service Providers, and regulating the practices of Internet Service Providers to protect the rights of consumers, then consumer freedoms are being *reduced*, with no reassignment of those responsibilities to some other body consumers might trust to protect their interests...
1694. Chris Doyle, Chicago, IL, 60604
Net neutrality is an important consumer protection to ensure fair and equal access to critical information for all people and to encourage competition in the digital age.
1695. Patricia K. Miller, Chicago, IL, 60611
I strongly oppose any roll back of net neutrality rules under Title 11.
1696. John Trotti, Chicago, IL, 60606
Net neutrality is not just about people wanting cheap access to Netflix or music. If net neutrality comes to an end, the FCC will be responsible for undermining the country's economy. Businesses that rely on web-based sales will be faced with the decision to optimize content and functionality for a less reliable or throttled internet connection. This essentially forces businesses to limit e-commerce functionality so that it is suited the worst possible sales platform. Keep in mind that e-commerce is no longer niche, it helps drive the US and international economies. Hence why net neutrality is under threat in the first place; there's money in dismantling it. Maybe it allows some businesses record profits in the short term, but it's not sustainable. Allowing more control for telcos is not justifiable "deregulation" nor is it some ideal application of capitalism. It's not the same thing as enabling McDonalds the freedom to sell Coca Cola products but not other soft drinks. That kind of food product vendor partnership doesn't scale and is not the same. The internet should not be in telco hands, same as the roads and highways in the US should not be privately owned. The road system works because all drivers, whether business owners or customers, have options for getting to the same place. You can take a highway or a local road or an underground tunnel. A car or a bus or a train. Drive yourself or hire a taxi. It works because it's not one size fits all, and creates a layered, stronger ecosystem for individual economic mobility and feeds commerce as a whole. Ending net neutrality is comparable to if certain ambulances being denied bridge access because they were built by an auto manufacturer that is not one of the road owner's vendor partners. The same silly result would occur if you imagine delivery trucks instead. If all highways were privatized it would cripple commerce and punish rural locations. It would expand food deserts and isolate consumers from ways to spend money. It would create arbitrary risk for anyone considering to create a new business in restricted locations, or discourage them from growing an existing business outside of the privatized "safe" zones. This is a terrible way to do business and a quick way to alienate customers. It's exclusionary in that it makes access to goods and services simply because they don't have the correct entrypoint. It will add to the growing chasm between economic classes and punish the poor. It will also punish the disabled, a class specifically protected by the federal government, most notably in recent years by the Department of Transportation's mandate that travel services meet standards for web accessibility. So the end of net neutrality is not only an arbitrary poison to business but it's also arbitrarily anti-humanitarian. It's bad enough that telcos monopolize infrastructure and reduce consumer choice based on where their wires are buried, it's worse to give them the power to monopolize it further.
1697. Chase Skarda, Chicago, IL, 60623
I think we've seen recently in this country an outcry against established power. There's frustration and anger at what feels like a game that's rigged where those with power keep it and those without can't do anything about it. I think the American Dream this country wants to believe in is what inspires this outcry. Hard work, innovation, and determination don't seem to promise what they once did to a lot of people. I'm young and I know a lot of my friends just don't see it as realistic that they'll ever be able to afford a home. There are few places, few domains left where I think people feel that a good idea and the will to make it real can earn you a living, but I think the internet still has this. People can start a website to sell junk they have lying around and end up making ebay. People can now watch movies at home on their laptops, eliminating the middleman of Blockbuster and increasing the efficiency of that market. People can even make a living creating YouTube videos. The internet is a medium through which people can fill niches in society and improve the way we live. That's simply amazing. Killing net neutrality, or weakening it, or whatever label someone may wish to put upon it will hurt these enterprises. Those already with market dominance will more easily keep it and good ideas won't have as much of a chance to take off. My high school English teacher once told our class that even as other countries' economies rise, the United States

still has innovation, people who see a way to make a living from creating something to improve the world in some way. Please don't make it more difficult for that piece of the American spirit to flourish. Times are tough enough for many as it is without that bit of hope being stepped upon.

Chase Skarda

1698. Peter Tortorello, Chicago, IL, 60610
I totally support keeping the regulations of Title II in place. We must maintain an open internet, and NOT allow major corporations like Verizon to block out other companies.
1699. Zachary Coughlin, Chicago, IL, 60610
I am NOT okay with the repealing of laws supporting net neutrality. Monoliths such as ISPs like Comcast are the bane to the freedom of information and will destroy capitalism around the goods and services offered through the internet. I pray that they don't get away with this.
1700. Logesh, Chicago, IL, 60607
I strongly believe that net neutrality must be kept. It allows for all internet services to have an equal playing field.
1701. Karen Shea, Chicago, IL, 60605
All people should have equal access to the internet without having to worry about internet providers slowing speeds just for profit. By ensuring net neutrality, all people, such as students, workers, and all others, will be able to fully access the internet without their internet provider blocking certain sites or favoring others. This would create an uneven internet. As a recent undergraduate who used the internet daily for research, net neutrality is central to obtaining clear results.
1702. Lauren Scheide, Chicago, IL, 60601
Maintain a free and open internet, and do not allow ISP's to have the ability to change the bandwidth and connectivity to certain websites. The internet must remain neutral to protect freedom of speech and any hope of improving the society we live in today. Don't let ISP's discriminate between data, they should treat all Internet traffic equally.
1703. M Love, Chicago, IL, 60610
The FCC should back strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. It is important to retain these protections on behalf of all Americans rather than succumbing to pressure from businesses who wish to profit from repealing Title 2. Title 2 should be retained. Thank you.
1704. Ahse Gaadsun, Chicago, IL, 60606
The dissemination of free information is crucial. Yes there is good and bad, but filling someone's pockets so they'll scratch your back is at least a portion of the scenarios I've seen.
1705. Charles Smunt, Chicago, IL, 60611
An open and fair internet is good for all people. Do not roll back regulations.
1706. Jasmine Stephen, Chicago, IL, 60605
The internet is a beautiful and free place. Don't change that because you are afraid of what you cannot control.
1707. Shane N, Chicago, IL, 60612
Keep the internet neutral! No company should have priority over what is seen on the web nor should a customer have to pay a premium for what should be open to all. We want equality over what we are presented and want the keep the option to choose our content based on unbiased provider access.
1708. John Thompson, Nowheresville, IL, 07901
Net Neutrality isn't just good, it's necessary. If providers are able to give special treatment to certain providers, it becomes an issue of extortion. Unpopular websites will die out if they can't afford to buy off ISPs and available content will massively decrease. This cannot possibly be construed as a positive thing. Net Neutrality must stay.
1709. Matthew Echevarria, Chicago, IL, 60612
Dear FCC, Please don't be dicks. Keep Net Neutrality. Thanks, -Matt E
1710. Justin Yee, Chicago, IL, 60616
Net neutrality is a right given to the customers of ISPs. Allowing ISP's to censor what we see is outrageous, and a tactic regimes use to control the masses as well as extremely unethical. This is America, not Russia, China, or Turkey. This is the land of the free, not the controlled. This is our right.

1711. Kai CHen, Chicago, IL, 60616
we need net neutrality. please protect internet freedom
1712. Bryan Acosta, Chicago, IL, 60651
The internet should be open for anyone especially people that use it for a living. I shouldn't need to be restricted or held back for not having access to a pack or part of the internet
1713. Derek Martz, Chicago, IL, 60610
Net neutrality is vitally important, and the only people against it are the ones who stand to profit from its absence. This is a common sense law that will protect the flow of information in a new world.
1714. Joseph Riem, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I use the internet for personal and professional life, and it makes everything easier.
1715. Michael Willis, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Good evening, FCC. I am Michael Willis, an American citizen who enjoys to read, learn, and study the diverse viewpoints and perspectives of the English language. I currently work from home with an advertising agency, which allows me to browse and research additional companies freely. However, due to recent spurts and calls for privatization of internet services, my job is now put in jeopardy. If I wish to review a product or service and my company is in competition with the host of the website, any time I lose is money I lose. If I lose this job, I may be forced to stay at home much longer than anticipated, as I will need to invest time, money, and resources trying to find additional career specialists. A major and personal dilemma I face is my recent diagnosis of anxiety disorders, panic attacks, major depressive episodes, and ADHD. Without a major source of income, my aspirations to own, or even rent, a condo or apartment becomes delayed not by months, but years; A growing economic concern I have noticed across the country, as adults my age are buying fewer homes in greater numbers. Additionally, as a child who has grown with the internet since the 1990s, I feel that any attempts to give too much power to ISPs will create additional problems for the FCC. Specifically, the internet is now seen not as a luxury, but as a necessity, or even a right. If you want to apply for jobs, you can no longer enter a business with a confident attitude or expect plenty (if any) calls back for a job application. Plenty of government files and information needed by a citizen are now redirected to a website rather than waiting for the post. Newspapers, magazines, blog posts, and plenty other content creators who express a variety of viewpoints will now have a much harder struggle reaching for an audience; A concern I fear will become relevant for companies to silence, slow, or halt information that disfavors the ISP's opinion. While many politicians and correspondents believe this will allow the American economy to 'grow' via free market priniples, I cannot see how a hyper-competitive market that inhibts important, relevant, or even necessary, information can benefit the American citizen. Please, do not pass this bill. I fear that by allowing this to pass, the FCC will be pushing the burden of far bigger problems down the road to the next administration. Should the internet become not only a popular but necessary medium for the American people, any attempts to regulate or create any semblance of a structure will be clouded by profits, 'private' company data, and can even be silenced or manipulated against the American viewer, should they view the content on competitor's websites. Again, please reconsider this proposal, not only for the stability of my job or home ownership but for the confidence the American people require in an administration of uncertainty, obscurity, and unanswered scrutiny. Best regards, Michael Willis
1716. Valerie Hudson, Chicago, IL, 60639
Taking away net neutrality is taking away our rights.
1717. Genesis Estrella, Chicago, IL, 60632
We live in a country where privacy and liberty are respected. Net neutrality is a necessity in the fast paced world of today.
1718. Heather Zubkova, Chicago, IL, 60654
The internet is a valuable resource that should be accessible by everyone regardless of the content they view or the price paid for service. It is a dark and dangerous path to restrict access to the internet, which would lead the United States of America into disturbingly fascist territory, erasing the reputation of hope and freedom that this country represents. Ending internet neutrality will put the United States into the same category as North Korea and other oppressive dictatorships.
1719. Nick Carroll, Oak Park, IL, 60304
The internet needs neutrality. By allowing censorship and filtering of internet traffic, you would be violating the fundamentals of democracy and free speech. The internet has proven to be the greatest tool humanity has for enriching the lives of all people by means of spreading knowledge. Do not send us back to the dark ages.

1720. Tony Moy, Chicago, IL, 60608
Please do not repeal the net neutrality laws. Small businesses need to be able to compete on the same footing as corporate giants. Free speech are pillars of this country... please don't destroy opportunity and equality.
1721. Olufemi Adeyanju, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I think we should keep net neutrality. The internet should be a utility and should be free and open to all. I don't believe content should be blocked or slowed down based on corporate interests.
1722. Dayan Siddiqui, Chicago, IL, 60606
The FCC need to preserve net neutrality. ISP's must have Title 2 classification, otherwise the internet will become a corrupted and corporately restricted cash cow rather than the equal opportunity human environment it is now. please don't ruin this just to make some money, it will backfire, badly.
1723. Snigdha Banda, Chicago, IL, 60661
Net neutrality is vital because it ensures accessibility of information. Information is power and restricting certain websites or prioritizing them creates a harmful precedence. The Sherman Antitrust Act prohibits practices that may impose limitations upon "free trading" and eliminating net neutrality would be against the principals of this act, leading to monopoly of the internet by ISPs and their allies. Additionally, the freedom of speech and of the press granted by the first amendment would be jeopardized by ending net neutrality. We are not China and we do not want Big Brother monitoring and limiting our access to the internet. Therefore, allow net neutrality to prevail.
1724. Vivian Chan, Chicago, IL, 60616
As a freelancer that works primarily from home, internet access is crucial to me. The control ISPs would have over internet access would be detrimental to my career. I pay for internet for reliable access to all the Internet offers at fast speeds, not for anything else and they should not be able to regulate what I can access. They're already charging ridiculous prices for crap speeds. Giving them more power is just asking for them to have TOO MUCH power.
1725. Mike Chorak, Chicago, IL, 60610
The Internet started as a way for people to collaborate and share ideas. It was built by some of the most intelligent people on the planet as a communications tool and it is -the- greatest invention of our time. Now we seek to cheapen its existence by giving favor to the biggest companies and shunning "the little guy". One of the magical things about the Internet is that it gives everyone an opportunity to be heard, or to change the world. I'll give you a for-example: Before the Internet, it was quite difficult for musicians to get their music heard. Sure, they could play at local bars and such, but the ability to reach the masses was afforded to those select few that got picked up by a record label. Now, anyone with an Internet connection and a YouTube account can put their music out there and be heard. I've found so many great musicians this way. One such musician, Jack Conte, founded a company called Patreon. Patreon connects patrons to content creators, who can pledge to donate money for every piece of content said creators create (or a flat monthly patronage). So now, not only can the creative work of these unheard of creators reach more people than ever before, they creators can actually get paid! This helps them buy equipment and materials to put out even more content, and go after their dream. I find this incredible, and it is all because of the openness of the Internet and all the opportunity it affords. This is the beginning of a pernicious endeavor that will seek to only devalue one of human kind's greatest triumphs. Keep the Internet open, and for the love of science, keep your grubby hands off of it!
1726. Afolabi Missa, Chicago, IL, 60605
Ajit Pai. You need to go fcc yourself. Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
1727. Joseph Clarkson, Chicago, IL, 60610
Keeping Net Neutrality is vital to the health and growth of the internet. Citizens should not be restricted in the content that they have access to, there is literally no benefit for the American people to have Net Neutrality taken away.
1728. adrianna golden, Chicago, IL, 60616
The internet is about individuality and expressing yourself by doing what you please online. You can connect with families in states over, or watch silly videos after a bad day. Don't take that away!
1729. John Breed, Chicago, IL, 60606
We the people demand a free and neutral internet. Do not let the ISPs control the content of the internet. In absolutely no way will doing so help the American people, or help America's position in the world market.

1730. Taylor Zak, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Net neutrality is important to me. Losing the openness of the internet is not worth the small amount of increased freedom companies will gain by applying less strict regulation of the internet. Please keep the internet OPEN with no fast lanes! I am a voting 28 year old male.
1731. victor nguyen, chicago, IL, 60616
Net neutrality. Not only will this affect my personal life, but I use the internet every day for work at the hospital as a physician. I work at both a private hospital, and a public, county hospital. I know the county hospital would not be able to afford the same net access as the private hospital. We must continue to support neutrality in the name of equal access to all patients.
1732. Anthony Luo, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please preserve net neutrality. Everybody stands to gain from unrestrained internet access, INCLUDING the large ISPs. Don't let the appeal of short term profits (at the expense of long term prosperity) be the decided factor to ending net neutrality for hundreds of millions of Americans.
1733. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60644
Net neutrality is one of the most important parts of the internet to allow for freedom among all of its users. Without net neutrality sites like youtube, google, yahoo, twitch, quizlet, and so many other site may not have existed because without the ability for people to freely chose the site they want to create or visit. Individuals can limit what other people can do and see forcing options that people may dislike. Also without net neutrality larger companies can force out all of their competition making it easier to form monopolies which is not conducive for an "open market" environment. Therefore do not remove or change net neutrality it is what makes the internet so open.
1734. Kahan Modi, Chicago, IL, 60605
These net neutrality rules should NOT be repealed.
1735. Adam Bitner, Chicago, IL, 60607
We all deserve a free and open internet. Don't serve the interests of corporate greed!
1736. Ammar Jawdi, Chicago, IL, 60612
Please keep net neutrality intact to keep these Telecom corporations in check.
1737. Gavin Ware, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I believe the internet should stay free and support net neutrality.
1738. Adam Tybor, Oak Park, IL, 60304
The internet needs to be open and freely available to everyone. Current conditions make last mile selection for consumers limited, further with the monopolies and conglomerates of ISP consumers are given little choice. For example, Comcast provides & controls a conduit to serve Netflix yet it's own business competes with Netflix on programming distribution and content. That isn't right.
1739. Stephanie Konecki, Chicago, IL, 60661
Support net neutrality. It is essential for the free internet so much of our lives depends on
1740. Alyssa Ralston, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Unrestricted and unprivileged access to the Internet allows me to be an active participant in society, not a passive consumer of whatever content corporations prioritize. In this age of "alternative facts," it's important that we encourage critical thinking, independent thought, and freedom of choice. Please continue to allow an open internet and do not change the rules around net neutrality.
1741. 3, Chicago, IL, 60605
Keep Net Neutrality in place. Do not allow free market hinder access to internet speeds.
1742. Michael Onatolu, Chicago, IL, 60610
Please keep the current net neutrality rules in place. Rolling them back hurts innovation by creating barriers to entry!
1743. Mattison LeMieux, Chicago, IL, 60606
The internet needs to remain free and open. This will no only effect small businesses that cannot pay higher prices, but also the less fortunate. Children rely on the internet for articles for schooling. If this passes it can put in blocks and stops to a childs education, which is already hard enough as it is.

1744. Vernam Cipher, Chicago, IL, 60606
The FCC must PRESERVE net neutrality because to do otherwise will threaten the freedom of speech that is essential to our nation's well-being. Quit messing around with our Internet and our liberty.
1745. Stephen Doty, Chicago, IL, 60607
I am OPPOSED to the proposed gutting of net neutrality rules. This is outrageous.
1746. Maxwell Young, Chicago, IL, 60610
I have very few options when it comes to selecting an ISP to provide me with a critical, indispensable utility that I would not be able to make a living without. Net Neutrality is a necessity for professionals like myself that rely on 100% unbiased service.
1747. Ryan Sparks, Chicago, IL, 60622
Keep the internet free! People should not have to pay ISP's for fast lanes. Data should not be discriminated against. If sites are forced to pay more for speed then every loses except for large internet providers. It is also a way of censoring speech; smaller sites will not have the money to pay for faster speeds therefore people will not be able to effectively access their sites.
1748. Rose Metivier, Chicago, IL, 60654
I, like the other 300 million people in this country, rely on Internet access for the most basic of things in my life. More and more, our access to information, businesses, marketing, payments, shopping, and continued contact with family relies on an open and fair Internet. As a business owner myself, it has helped me build my business, connect with clients, find resources for my business, and improve/grow the services I provide. The Internet and fair access to it is paramount to a workable society, as opposed to a pay-to-play society where those with money control information and options - that sounds like the opposite of Capitalism to me. ISPs are no different than telephone companies, they provide communication services that have become inextricably linked to the way we currently live our life. Allowing corporations to dictate different levels of access based on what you can pay would be like the Fire Department charging you to put out a fire or having the Police bill you depending on the nature of the crime to which they are responding. Restricting the Internet in the name of "Free Market" is the most counter-intuitive and counterproductive action I can imagine. That's like passing a law that lifts all restrictions off of only Walmart but leaving all other companies in the dust and at a disadvantage. Google and Facebook don't have to abide by the same regulations as ISPs because they don't provide the actual connectivity and we have a CHOICE in social media and email platforms. I do not have a choice in my internet provider. Where I live, Comcast is the only broadband service and the only one that offers speeds required for our needs. AT&T comes in a close second with their DSL offerings, but they are unreasonably slow and don't offer services everywhere. And this is in a major metropolitan area of 7 million people and those are our "choices"! Often, if you are renting an apartment in a building the landlord already has the building wired for connection to Comcast so even there you don't have "choice". Comcast is really the only game in town, which has allowed them to drive prices to exorbitant levels, offer mediocre service, and crush any and all attempts at competition - doesn't sound like a "free market" to me! When FCC Chairman Ajit Pai said in response to fears that ISPs will throttle speeds to benefit their own content or make more money he said "we don't see evidence of that happening in the marketplace on a widespread level," which is laughable! There is clear evidence of Comcast doing that with Netflix specifically, there is clear evidence that when companies are incentivized to restrict something to their benefit they will, and the reason it's not "widespread" is because there are current regulations against it (the ones you're thinking about taking away)!! That's like saying use of military grade bazookas isn't widespread so let's lift the restrictions on their purchase and I'm sure everything will be fine. The FCC, which is tasked by law to oversee communications networks, needs to have a central role in overseeing access to the most important network of our lifetimes. This role includes ensuring that consumers are protected from, among other things, invasions of their privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by their broadband providers. If the FCC is left without authority over broadband ISPs, Comcast could double its prices overnight, and there wouldn't be anything the FCC or any other agency could do about it. Please do your job and protect this fundamentally important communication and the people of this country (whom you are supposed to serve) by preserving ISPs as "telecommunications services" subject to greater oversight under Title II.
1749. Joseph Zenoni, Chicago, IL, 60622
Access to the Internet must remain unobstructed and free from corporate limitation. The area I live in in Chicago is serviced by only one ISP. If this corporation was given the power to censor, withhold or punitively slow my connection, I'd be left with no way to redress my complaints. Market forces - so regularly lionized by this administration and the Republican party as today's great moderating force - have no power to exert pressure on this ISP to service me, since they know I have no other options and therefore feel no responsibility to listen to my desires or complaints. If ISPs are given the power to control our access to the Internet, those of us who live in areas

monopolized by a single ISP would be forced to either accept that a corporation who regularly treats us with contempt now has the power to monitor, censor and obstruct the most intimate and vital aspects of our lives, or turn our backs to the Internet entirely and lose access to humanity's most powerful tool. It's an ugly, illiberal and unnecessary choice.

1750. Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60604

Keep Net Neutrality! Neutral internet is access to freedom!

1751. Jay Shree, Chicago, IL, 60601

Internet should be free and open. That's just common sense. It's sad that people have to fight for it to continue to be open.

1752. Stephen George, Chicago, IL, 60605

To whom it may concern, I support a truly neutral Internet. I believe that the internet is a powerful tool for communication and commerce, transformative for individuals and small businesses, as well as for large businesses. As internet routing and speeds continue to rapidly improve with technology, I reject the notion that we need to prioritize some content over others; we have the capacity to provide fair and equal transfer rates for all content, and we should. Additionally, I believe that in this free society, no content should be blocked unless that content threatens the safety or rights of other people. And furthermore, I do not believe Internet Service Providers should be granted the right to track the websites that consumers visit, nor do I believe that ISPs should be allowed to sell this information to third parties. Thank you for taking the time to read this and for your consideration. Sincerely, Stephen George

1753. Richard C. Robinson, CHICAGO, IL, 60606

I support net neutrality. My family and I use the internet in many ways - reading news, checking weather, finding recipes for the kids dinner, entertainment and when working from home, a dependable fast internet connection. All I seek from my ISP is a fast, dependable internet connection and I use none of the other information services. It is our pipeline to the world's information on the internet. I am opposed to ISPs throttling, prioritizing, or censoring content based on their desires and preferences. It should be neutral and open to all.

1754. Shirley You, Chicago, IL, 60654

Hi, I support net neutrality. Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not let your close connections with big businesses like Comcast and Verizon hinder your ability to be objective. The FCC exists to benefit the 330 million people living in the U.S., not to help rich companies make even more money. We all benefit from a free, open, and neutral internet. Net neutrality has existed since the beginning of the internet, and I assume that the FCC wants consumers, not companies, to be able to choose which websites they visit. The argument that the internet would advance more if companies could control the internet does not make sense since it has flourished for the last three or four decades due to its openness. Please do not let greed overtake what is just. Thank you, Shirley You

1755. Andrew Jarratt, Chicago, IL, 60605

The Internet is often thought of as a tool that allows for the free flow of information and ideas, and in the early days of the internet this characterization was more or less correct. Today, however, as a vessel of free speech, the internet threatens to become a mere vestige of its original self. Increasingly, Internet Service Providers (ISPs) claim the power to regulate content and arbitrarily increase the price for customers to access certain information. ISPs also increasingly have the ability to make customers support, financially, content that they disagree with and even censor or block content. In these ways, ISPs have gained increased control over the flow of internet information and who is privy to it, while the citizen of cyberspace has increasingly lost his freedom to control his choices online. While it is true that this is unsettling for every user of the internet on a personal level, it poses an even more important problem for American democracy. A democratic society demands educated citizens, which becomes threatened by cutting off the free sharing of information. Only a libertarian approach to this problem, which sustains the original ideals of the internet as a tool of unfiltered communication, will continue the freedom of information and maintain a flourishing democracy. The best and most developed implementations of the libertarian approach are net neutrality laws. These laws, the goals of which are to ensure that all users and sites are treated equally and to protect against censorship, ensure the libertarian freedom that was once and can still be available on the Internet and maintain the needs of a democratic society. This paper, then, argues to support both the need for net neutrality laws to protect against economically driven encroachment upon the freedom of the internet and the importance of libertarian ideas to the maintenance and strengthening of democracy.

1756. Joseph Straw, Chicago, IL, 60610

The internet must stay neutral. To allow companies to pay for prioritization, to allow ISPs to decide which websites to throttle speeds for, or even block different websites is not something we can afford to let happen. The internet is for the people, and if we allow it to be for the corporations, it will never be the same. It is not enough to support the principles behind net neutrality. We must enforce net neutrality.

1757. Adam Kusey, Chicago, IL, 60601

There's no need to empower ISPs to control the internet, except for greed.

1758. Agustin Oliva, CHICAGO, IL, 60611

Keep the internet open, we want Net Neutrality!

1759. Alexander King, Chicago, IL, 60654

The internet has flourished not because of lack of oversight or control, but because it's taken a long time for large, slovenly companies to figure out how to monetize the infrastructure. Remember as late as the 1990s that Microsoft still didn't provide an IP stack, and the hardware and software had to be obtained from a third party? Remember even a half dozen years ago how popular cable was (the guys who supplied both the infrastructure and the content)? I hate that for many cities across the US, I am restricted to just one or two internet service providers, and to give free rein to those companies to promote their service and bandwidth over others seems reckless and anti-competition.

1760. Peter Zavislak, CHICAGO, IL, 60605

Please maintain the Title II regulations and the current net neutrality rules. ISPs do not have the best interests of ordinary Americans at heart. Ordinary Americans want affordable, accessible, high speed internet that allows them to access whatever website or internet service they desire, at the speeds they pay for. ISPs, on the other hand, want to charge extra to provide speeds that they are already contracted to provide to customers. Allowing ISPs to become gatekeepers will decrease the efficiency of the market, and in the end, will cost customers more money. Title II classification is absolutely necessary to regulate ISPs for the benefit of ordinary Americans.

1761. Joshua Reiniger, Chicago, IL, 60622

I strongly oppose the "Restoring Internet Freedom" initiative and believe that ISPs should remain regulated under Title II. When I am looking for internet service, both at home and at the office, I am looking for an amount of bandwidth to fit my needs which I can use to reach whatever service I need to reach. If Title II regulations are rolled back, then I would potentially no longer have access to the entirety of the Internet without paying additional fees, as several ISPs have already indicated they would pursue. Chairman Pai seem to believe that the free market will dictate how or if these ISPs plans come to fruition. Unfortunately, for home users especially, there is little or choice in broadband internet providers. If you live in a Comcast served area, for instance, and don't like Comcast's service, you have no other choice on the market. That's why the FCC needs to continue using Title II to protect consumers from corporate censorship of the open Internet. I understand that the Title II rules were written in a very different communications era, and would be willing to support new rules to regulate ISPs that aren't as "heavy-handed" and better reflect the realities of today; new rules that allow new ISPs to make investments in infrastructure while maintaining the content rules to ensure a free and open Internet. I cannot, however, support the current initiative.

1762. David Avila, Oak Park, IL, 60302

I work as a software engineer and having net neutrality plays a big part of our core business where internet accessibility is a necessity not only to our customers but to us as workers who at times have to work remotely. I am for net neutrality and request that nothing should be done to harm that.

1763. Nathan Landis, Chicago, IL, 60601

Please please do not give Internet Service Providers the ability to throttle, block, or otherwise unequally treat web traffic. The freedom of the Internet has allowed an entire industry to thrive and revolutionize the way that we interact with the world. Allowing everyday throttling and blocking by ISPs has been disastrous in other countries, at least insofar as access to the Internet in a useful way is concerned. The internet is a spectacular leveler of humanity: everyone can interact as equals. It's perhaps our best hope at moving past cyclical problems like racism and classism, not to mention one of the most impressive things that mankind has imagined and developed. Allowing ISPs to act in their own financial interests with respect to web traffic destroys the premise here: equality is out the window, and those with more money are treated better. Please don't ruin it: the freedom of the Internet affects everyone, whether or not you're a technologist.

1764. Zack Grabijas, foret park, IL, 60130

The FCC cannot allow the big ISP to have this kind of power. Net neutrality needs to be preserved.

1765. benjamin kolman, chicago, IL, 60610
Net neutrality needs to be kept to keep open access for small businesses to thrive in the informational era.
1766. Isis Mayo, Chicago, IL, 60624
Promote freedom of internet, unlwss you agree with richer people have all favors and better access. Its not only unfair it is restrictive and will destroy the common good and freedom of choice.
1767. Jimmy Guapstein, River Forest, IL, 60305
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality. Please support net neutrality.
1768. Anna Olson, Chicago, IL, 60601
This act will reduce freedom on the internet for all but the largest telecoms. The internet is invaluable to everyone - try applying for a job without it if you don't believe me - and allowing telecoms to decide who gets to send data is unconscionable. Without legal protections for net neutrality, telecoms could block your access to any websites that support net neutrality. Don't like it? Too bad, you don't have any other options! It is utterly shocking to me that net neutrality is even considered a privilege and not an absolute right.
1769. H Smith, Chicago, IL, 60611
Save net neutrality from corporate greed.
1770. Michael Hernandez, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support keeping Title II classification. Please do not eliminate this.
1771. Arthur Zacharjasz, forest park, IL, 60130
Go partner with ISPs and stop child porn distribution instead of wasting time impinging further on something that is inherently great and will only be further shit upon by the government and scum ISPs. Keep net neutrality and for once utilize the resources provided by the citizens' taxes to make real change and stop pandering to retarded ISPs.
1772. Aleta Bowers, River Forest, IL, 60305
I wish to protest the FCC's initiative to roll back Title II and end net neutrality. Ending net neutrality will be deleterious to the United Stat
1773. Jude Nosek, Oak Park, IL, 60302
The content on the Internet should have no bearing on the cost of delivery or its availability. When I purchase Internet access, I believe that means unfettered access to whatever content that I determine is right for me. The Internet is a tool which I use to enrich my life through education and entertainment. I am the one who is responsible for what I choose to consume. A neutral net ensures that. Thank you.
1774. Timothy Chatman, Chicago, IL, 60644
We believe that net neutrality must be upheld to insure that the internet remains open and free. The internet is a public service that many people rely on to grow businesses and entertain themselves, by ending net neutrality you would be hindering the freedoms of the public in favor of ISP's. The FCC has a responsible to act in the best interest of the public, so we urge you to hear our voices and do the right thing.
1775. Lily Alexandroff, Oak Park, IL, 60304
You've heard from me once, you'll hear from me again! I'm pretty hard to get rid of, so you'll see me as many times as this takes. Listen, this past election cycle has left me feeling hopeless. Friends and family might be losing health insurance. Climate change is getting worse. Education is being cut. I can't be complaisant while all of this occurs, and quite frankly you can't be complicit in more wrong doings. I'm not blaming you for the election, I'm just saying that destroying net neutrality would be another unnecessary evil. The internet provides education. It's a new form of socialization. By stratifying it, you're telling one person that they are worth more than another. You promote classism, and encourage the cycle of poverty. My dad always taught me that no matter what, you want to live a life where you feel you've done the right thing, no matter what. Though there are opportunities for greed, you should always take the path that is the most altruistic and helps the most people. I am asking you, from one human being to another, to uphold net neutrality for the good of man. We should all strive to do the right thing, and the option is in your hand. Please don't fight for the side of greed. Instead think of all of the kids who can no longer write amazing essays and discovery that they love history, because it costs too much to access reliable databases and news sources. Think of the kids who can't discover their passion for medicine, because it costs too much to access websites that help them study for biology. Think of the artists who can't afford to see a Degas online, and discover a love of a new medium. If that doesn't do it for you, think of all the angry people who can't watch Netflix and will be knocking on your metaphorical door until they can get it back. I know you're capable of doing the right thing, because you may be a group, but at the end of the day you're made up of people. Please preserve net neutrality.

Thanks, Lily Alexandroff

1776. Seth Gilbert, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I know the Cheeto in Chief is in a mad dash to privatize everything right now, but maybe leave the internet alone? It's hugely important to millions of people, and things like prioritization and blocking could literally ruin lives.
1777. Kelly DeLorica, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please protect Net Neutrality. Americans deserve and need access to a free and open internet. Please honor your commitments to freedom for all Americans by protecting Net Neutrality. Thank you.
1778. Paul Vedier, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please keep net neutrality. Do not allow ISPs to control the content that should be freely and equally available to everyone. Thank you.
1779. john takiguchi, oak park, IL, 60302
What ever happened to integrity? What ever happened to being able to carpe diem? We all knew that America was great, but we didn't know we were against freedom. You ever think about the people? Please don't ever forget the poeple. Think about the people. Thank you.
1780. Joseph Kiefer, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Please, please, please respect the support of net neutrality. As someone who works in tech, there is no question in my mind that the only way our country will be able to continue to grow globally in the tech sector is to guarantee free, fair, and equal access to the internet across the board. If there is any regard whatsoever for the future, rather than short term profits and corporations, treating internet access as a utility of which full access is needed by all is the only way to ensure growth and competitive markets for generations to come. Please, please, please keep the internet free, fair, and neutral
1781. Jonathan Larson, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please ensure that the internet remains a place to be free and express ones views. By having the ability to limit access or bandwidth to domains hurts expression and individuality. DO NOT, I repeat, DO NOT destroy our freedom.
1782. Cory Kalsbeek, Chicago, IL, 60654
I would like to express my support for the Net Neutrality regulations currently in place. Do not repeal this legislation.
1783. Zachary Richard, Chicago, IL, 60661
As a software developer who has worked for a series of small and medium-sized companies building web-based sass applications, it would be especially concerning to see the decrease in fair competition based on the ability to pay for preferred connection speeds. The internet is more than just Etsy v Amazon or Cable v Netflix. Hundreds of thousands of enterprise business applications are run on the web, utilizing the same infrastructure as these direct-to-consumer services. Diminishing the ability for small, web-based software companies - many of whom occupy and/or niche markets - will significantly impact one of the fastest growing job sectors in the US, preventing me and hundreds of thousands like me from having employment outside of the major tech giants or ISPs.
1784. Julian Dunning, Forest Park, IL, 60130
Net Neutrality is an important part of the freedom of the internet. Things being restricted by companies and being throttled by companies for going to certain sites is not good.
1785. Cody Girard, oak park, IL, 60302
This is tantamount to class warfare enjoy your uprising.
1786. Daniel Sanders, Chicago, IL, 60608
Don't repeal net neutrality. I understand that ISP lobbyist are bribing (sorry, I mean "lobbying") you a great deal to allow them to fuck over the regular consumer, but please don't sell out American citizens to line your pockets. Then again, it probably isn't Ajit Pai reading these. Person reading these filings: please make it clear to your chairman that it is not ok to bend over for ISP lobbying just so he can make a profit. You aren't going to benefit from the repeal of net neutrality, Comcast is. Hasn't Comcast fucked enough people over already? Don't give them more power. Keep net neutrality in place and be the one institution in this administration that actually cares about the average American.
1787. Jean-Michel Smith, Chicago, IL, 60611

I have been an IT professional in financial services for 25 years. An unfettered internet has been critical both to my own career personally, and to the industry I work in and the collaborative efforts it engenders. Net neutrality is absolutely critical for maintaining this economic golden egg, and should it be undermined, a great deal of innovation and wealth-creation will be destroyed or stunted. Internet Service Providers should continue to be treated as common carriers, and not be in a position to begin building vertical monopolies by favoring one set of traffic over another, and thereby destroying the foundation of a highly-functioning capitalist system by destroying competition and stifling innovation.

1788. Ann Dvoretzky, Oak Park, IL, 60304

The ISPs cannot be allowed to control access to content on the internet, whether by blocking access, charging content providers, or by throttling speeds. The consumer already pays a hefty fee to access the internet to the ISPs. Access to specific content should not be in a private company's purview.

1789. James DiCicco, Chicago, IL, 60661

Don't make the internet monopolized by large corporations

1790. Jiro Liyen, Chicago, IL, 60607

Net neutrality and open internet must be preserved to facilitate rapid, unhindered access to information by individuals such as myself that are students in constant states of research, in addition to those of whom simply wish to attain knowledge without the fear of an ISP interfering with that process.

1791. Andy Simmons, Oak Park, IL, 60304

Net neutrality is important. Please prevent providers from unfairly unbalancing and restricting the free market of information.

1792. Boyu Li, Chicago, IL, 60608

I am in support of strong net neutrality rules that gives the people the power of choice. I would not like to see any of the proposed changes.

1793. Kofi Boateng, Chicago, IL, 60624

Please don't repeal the Net Neutrality Rules just to please your donors. You know it's wrong, we know it's wrong. This is a short sighted goal that will have long lasting effects on the country. Do what's right, leave the internet as is.

1794. Lucas Brantd, Oak Park, IL, 60302

The FCC's net neutrality rules are extremely important to me and society as a whole. I implore you to protect them. I don't want internet service providers to be able to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Doing so would fundamentally undermine the power of the internet to enable economic progress and innovation, which has been clearly demonstrated as incredible services and products have become available to people around the world. Websites and other goods and services that rely on the open and fair playing field of the internet have improved our lives in innumerable ways- in just a few decades. Allowing ISPs to restrict or otherwise hinder equal access to the internet for the visionaries, entrepreneurs and people of today and tomorrow would be a monumental disservice to the United States and our citizens, as well as all people all around the world. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is an incredibly serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, directly harming millions of people who simply wished to enjoy their leisure time. AT&T blocked FaceTime, restricting its customers' ability to communicate with their friends, family, and business associates. Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, again affecting its consumers' leisure time as children and adults alike found their ability to compete, play, and learn valuable lessons about teamwork affected. Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay- and slow lanes for everyone else- if the FCC lifts the rules- imagine the cost weighing down and destroying the startups and brilliant ideas of our dreamers and entrepreneurs. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Allowing a small selection of monolithic internet service providing companies to dictate which businesses have a chance to succeed and serve their customers effectively is contrary to American values, and indeed, values which have served the entire modern world wonderfully. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America- just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy, and harm the citizens you are meant to serve for their own

enrichment. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. The people you are elected to serve and represent are counting on you.

1795. chris goodman, Chicago, IL, 60607
Stop rolling back net neutrality rules for the sake of corporations lining your pockets
1796. Miriam Bhimani, Chicago, IL, 60611
Paying for access should allow for access to all content. To further put gateways on content will make the internet and content the playground for the rich. It will exasperate a gap that already exists and will make it harder for us to close our achievement gaps.
1797. Kate Laures, Chicago, IL, 60661
Please keep the internet free, open, and available to all. "Free expression is part of our company DNA. We are the platform that lets users see what's™s happening and to see all sides. Whether it be music, sports, news or entertainment, being able to see every side of a topic makes Twitter unlike any other platform or service in the world." -TwitterI firmly believe in entrepreneurial spirit of this country, and limiting access to the internet/speeds/websites will squash this dream for my generation and millions of people to come. Please, don't be jerks.
1798. timon anderson, chicago, IL, 60654
Net neutrality is a no brainer. Providing more power to the ISPs has ZERO benefit to the citizens and electorate.
1799. Ariel Allon, Chicago, IL, 60612
Do NOT remove net neutrality. Removing net neutrality is a solid step away from freedom of the press, effectively clearing the path for telecoms to control stories that do not meet their agenda.
1800. Marguerite Carrithers, Chicago, IL, 60616
It is the FCC's job to moderate companies so they do not overreach their bounds.
1801. Michael Weimann, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Please keep the internet free and accessible for all, regardless of size wealth.
1802. SunDeep.Kalsey, Chicago, IL, 60654
My name is SunDeep Kalsey and I am deeply disturbed by consequences of this filing. I am vehemently opposed to it as it will give a few corporations unprecedented control over free speech and the ability to monopolize the internet.
1803. Cuyler Jenkins, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Net Neutrality is the foundation on which an open internet is built. Chairman Pai says that he values open competition, and Net Neutrality is what makes the internet open for competition. If Company A pays an ISP to throttle speeds for Company B, driving them out of business, that is not the market deciding which company provides the better service; that is Company A paying to win. It is the exact opposite of an Open Market. Furthermore, Net Neutrality prevents entities from erasing history. If a politician wants to make a statement disappear, or a police department wants to bury a wrongful death case, they can simply pay to reduce the speed to said statement or case to the point where it is impossible to access it. If Chairman Pai truly believes in the Open Market that he so claims he does, he MUST agree to protect Net Neutrality.
1804. Ram D, Chicago, IL, 60607
I would not like the possibility of the internet service providers having the power to prioritize certain websites over the rest of the web. Firstly, I believe it intrudes the consumers' privacy to a great extent. Neither the content, nor the speed of the website they browse, should be at the mercy of their internet service providers. Secondly, commercialization of prioritized speed/access to the certain websites would mean that only rich, high-profile companies could afford to pay the ISPs and try to reach all the consumers; New, innovative, low-end start-ups may not even get a chance to show their work to their consumers. I request the FCC to maintain the internet neutrality as it is, and let the open internet accessible to everyone.
1805. Chimnonso Ukeka, Bellwood, IL, 60104

Greetings, As a concerned citizen of the United States, I would like to request that the FCC, and all who are working within and outside this organization, would work diligently to keep the Internet free from all forms of political and corporate oversight. In other words, please adhere to the guidelines of Net Neutrality. I understand that the internet currently has some concerning issues, particularly in regards to safety and security. But it must be quite clearly understood that removing the most fundamental rights and protections of the open internet offered by Net Neutrality guidelines will not serve to advance our society as a whole, rather it will take us backwards. Rather than taking a step forward, learning how to properly regulate the open internet, we will be taking a step backwards in advancement, in the directions of China and North Korea. As a free democratic-republic, we must strive to do all we can to advance our freedom while also ensuring the freedom of all citizens both small and great; this includes freedom from corporate interests. So I am pleading; and as a US citizen I am demanding that the FCC and all affiliated personnel and organization do all that it must to protect Net Neutrality for all US citizens. Thank You

1806. Vidal Villarreal, Chicago, IL, 60607
FCC, it's your job to keep consumers from being targeted by Big Corp. Please help save Net Neutrality
1807. Jean Pickering, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please let the internet open to everyone. The FCC needs to stop trying to control our access to information and web sites.
1808. 1, Chicago, IL, 60606
I have lived in a country where there was no Net Neutrality. I have seen the other side and cordially and deeply request you to keep Net Neutrality for everyone!
1809. Miran Baric, Chicago, IL, 60606
Do not limit my internet. How dare you?! The internet is open and free!
1810. Nicole Webb, Chicago, IL, 60605
As a self-employed, small business owner, I fear that allowing ISPs to restrict people's usage of the internet (including, but not limited to, blocking sites, reducing speed, pay walls) will directly impact my ability to make a living. With less access to websites that earn my income, I will be forced out of work. Making things more difficult for entrepreneurs will have a reaching effect on the entire country.
1811. Prateek Garg, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please keep net neutrality safe, it does NOT serve the consumers' interests to have that taken away.
1812. Miklos Christine, Chicago, IL, 60607
Net neutrality is essential to keep the internet open and fair. Keep net neutrality alive! This only hurts everyone except large corporations who look to profit from this.
1813. Christopher Thomas, Oak Park, IL, 60302
This will only hurt consumers and has no place being even brought up.
1814. Lorence Laungayan, Chicago, IL, 60707
Do not roll back net neutrality rules. Protect us consumers from corporate greed.
1815. Danielle McCullough, Chicago, IL, 60624
The internet is a space for everyone. Its a free and open space, so let's leave it that way.
1816. Peter Weathers, Chicago, IL, 60605
I write in support of the 2015 Open Internet Rule and Title II classification of broadband internet. Having access to content of my choosing without slow/fast lanes or purchasing priority access packages has allowed me to improve my education and build my career. I work in marketing and run several websites, and without these rules in place I would not be as effective in reaching new audiences. I expect that the information I put online and access online should all be treated equally, and I am against a power grab by ISPs. The FCC plays a vital role in regulating utilities for the public good, and as such should not give up their authority to corporations.
1817. Asma Hasan, Chicago, IL, 60607
Net neutrality is a principle akin to freedom of information. There is no reason private companies should act as gatekeepers to citizens' access of information, in effect, using business to discriminate against ideas on a platform whose main benefit is open access.
1818. sean pendleton, Chicago, IL, 60602

Please do not let the progress made in the last decade be undone by someone who doesn't have the will of the American people in mind, but their former client's will to abuse the customer. The vast majority of the American people demand that ISPs stay classified as a Title II service and continue to provide a thriving open internet without the ability to circumvent the capitalist nature of it.

1819. Paul Malayter, Chicago, IL, 60605
Leave the level playing field alone. Yet another way to help corporations at the expense of consumers.
1820. Sperry Nods, Oak Park, IL, 60304
keep net neutrality please :(
1821. William Skudlarek, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Dear FCC,I implore you not to go forward with this action and keep net neutrality intact. As a millennial and child of the internet, the internet has provided me so much over the years and I fear in an age without net neutrality that my experience will diminish. I have met so many great people and seen so many great things that is at risk with this action. When I pay for internet, I pay for the connection to the outer reaches of the world at the touch of a finger. I can immerse myself into so many cultures and happenings. And yet I have only a couple options in terms of internet access. And as such, I believe the FCC should have a strong role in the internet's future by regulating ISPs under Title II with net neutrality and by keeping privacy safeguards for many Americans. I hope you put the considerations of the many over the few.
1822. R. J. Hunt, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Stop with the Orwellian language. This isn't about freedom. It's about allowing ISPs to squash the open web and destroy consumer choice.
1823. Kenneth Tanaka, Chicago, IL, 60601
Internet Neutrality is absolutely fundamental to America's freedoms of expression and each citizen's access to opinions and to participation in public discussion. Please, PLEASE do not bend to proprietary forces! Maintain our net neutrality!!Thank You.- Kenneth Tanaka -
1824. William Ferguson, Chicago, IL, 60067
I strongly support Title II classification of ISPs to prevent "fast lanes" and keep the internet a level playing field for all. I am a software developer that is intimately aware of the opportunity provided by having a free and equal internet, and giving ISPs the power to control access to individual sites would be a massive blow to the tech industry overall.
1825. Derrick Bigham, Chicago, IL, 60606
The FCC's Open Internet Rules are extremely important to me. Leave our current system in place and quit trying to make things worse for the average person. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. Chariman Pai is clearly only interested in making money for himself and not doing his job, like any Republican in government.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Derrick Bigham
1826. Nick Dolby, Chicago, IL, 60611
Please enforce net neutrality. Thanks.

1827. Matthew Rossi, Chicago, IL, 60605
In order to protect the free and open internet, I support strong net neutrality regulations under Title II of the Telecommunications Act.
1828. John Doe, Chicago, IL, 60605
The above information you require is unnecessary. You already have the ability to figure out who is who. Your duty is to the American people - not to the corporations. If Net Neutrality is repealed, our country as a whole will be set back. We need to be thinking about progress - not profits.
1829. Jonathan Gunn, Chicago, IL, 60611
The internet should remain open and the proposed legislation would put innovation, access, and opportunity at risk.
1830. Greg Rosen, Chicago, IL, 60661
The Internet is the most fundamental way that humans access information. Repealing net neutrality would put yet another aspect of our lives at the mercy of large corporations with unlimited funds. I need to be able to surf the web without wondering in the back of my mind, "Did I arrive at this page because my ISP wanted me to see it? Is there information out there that I am missing because it would be bad for my ISP if I found it?" The repeal of net neutrality rules would provide no benefit whatsoever to consumers / voters. The only reason, I must conclude, that a Senator or Congressperson would want to repeal these rules is if they had friends at Time Warner or AT&T or Comcast who helped pay for their campaigns. The public is angry at its lawmakers right now. Their job is to try to make our lives better, and lately that has not been their priority. We don't think they are representing our interests. We have noticed that their actions do not match their constituents' needs. And we are watching this issue carefully. Thank you for considering these comments.
1831. Robert J. Meyer, Chicago, IL, 60622
Please do not change the current regulations regarding net neutrality. The proposed changes do not benefit citizens. They inhibit free expression by giving a handful of companies the right to control the speed of access, or access of any type at all, based on their own interests rather than the interests of their customers. The proposed changes are anti-competitive and, consequently, anti-capitalist in addition to being anti-democratic.
1832. Brandon Morgan-Olsen, Chicago, IL, 60605
Internet neutrality and equal freedom of access is, in this day and age, an important part of ensuring a just and well-functioning democracy.
1833. Mary Davis Fournier, Oak Park, IL, 60304
PLEASE FCC do the right thing and preserve my ability as a citizen to access all of the internet. Deregulation does not work. I have watched as deregulated industries continue to gouge me and my family for money to access public utilities and communications that are essential to modern life - gas, energy, pharmaceuticals. PLEASE keep the internet open and free. It was created as a public good. PLEASE do not roll back regulation with the idea that corporations will act on the public good and engage in competitive pricing. That doesn't happen. PLEASE understand that creating "fast lanes" is just creating more options for wealthy people. I need my government to act in my best interest! Please do not expand the digital divide!!
1834. Rutger Stache, Chicago, IL, 60642
We need net neutrality to preserve freedom of access for all. Do not allow ISPs to dictate the level or amount of content we are allowed to access. This will set a dangerous precedent. To think that for-profit corporations have the public's best interest in mind is naive. The FCC should be an advocate for the public, not a tool for internet and media companies.
1835. Charles Hutson, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Please keep net neutrality intact. It's the right thing to do! Thank you.
1836. Marilyn Joy, Oak Park, IL, 60302
The internet must be equally available for everyone. Business will suffer huge losses if people can't access websites.
1837. Andrew Weiner, Chicago, IL, 60606
In the modern era, the internet is as much a commodity as something like water. The average American depends on it and needs it to live at the quality of life that has been provided for decades. Let it be distributed equally as it is now.
1838. Luigi Moreno, Chicago, IL, 60601

Filing in support of net neutrality.

1839. Katherine Binkley, Forest Park, IL, 60130

I demand access to the entire internet! I am in favor of a neutral internet – one that's equal to & for everyone and one that is free of paid prioritization & blocking. One of the greatest achievements we have made as a human race (in my opinion) has been the creation of a free internet where anyone can make their mark and succeed. The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me & I urge you to protect them. I am part of a Post Production Team who works for a small Wedding Photography company. I am also an avid cosplayer and comic con attendee - I post about my adventures online. Now both of these roles require the use of a free internet. If ISP's can favor big businesses, wealthier wedding companies or more famous cosplayers - these pay for play corps would soon crowd the internet's appetite. Me and the company I work for will get lost in the wide web - causing us to lose customers, friends and followers. I buy my Internet in order to get reliable access to ALL the Internet offers at fast speeds. Thus, I get my email & cloud storage from someone other than my ISP (I use google). Now in my area, there is only 1 internet provider: Comcast/xfinity. At my old residence I used RCN. Now I much prefer service at RCN but I am unable to pick my preferred provider b/c of my new location. If net neutrality is gone - rates could skyrocket and I would have no choice but to pay - an issue I already have since I prefer RCN. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block my favorite or new interesting websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. This is censorship clear and simple. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay - and slow lanes for everyone else - if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses (like the ones I am a part of!) that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. I think that broadband ISPs should be subject to oversight by an expert agency. If not, I fear for my very job and the freedom of America as well. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1840. Taylor Mock, Chicago, IL, 60610

I demand 100% net neutrality! The internet has been made a necessity in society, so it should be treated as such!

1841. Elaina Huang, Chicago, IL, 60616

I support the freedom internet browsing and oppose things such as biased monitoring of websites for things that corporations pay for and want to censor.

1842. Dongke Ruan, Chicago, IL, 60616

DON'T REPEAL THE NET NEUTRALITY RULES.

1843. Nick Steffens, Chicago, IL, 60642

The internet needs to remain UNPRIORITIZED, UNBLOCKED, and NO THROTTLING. I support net neutrality.

1844. Daniel Wyatt, Chicago, IL, 60610

Plz don't ruin the internet

1845. net neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60605

With great distress I urge the FCC to maintain net neutrality and keep the ISPs from stripping the internet of its freedom and openness. The idea that my children will not have a free and open internet, so in turn access to the multitudes of information, connection, and knowledge it can and does supply chills me to my core. Do we want our progeny to live the lives so detailed by Orwell and Atwood? The oligarchs in control of the ISPs want to distort reality, strip us of logic and rational, and therefor leave us dumb and vulnerable to their cultivated world view of conservatism and anti-intellectualism. We must demand access to the entire internet: without! paid prioritization,

without! the blocking or censoring of lawful material and ideas, and without! threat of throttling of internet speeds as the ISPs see best suits their CEOs and shareholders. The horrifyingly small number of incredibly large and powerful Telecommunications companies nearly maintain complete monopolies over modern man's access to the gateway of opportunity, intelligence, scientific and social advancement, that is the internet. This is why we need the FCC, to protect us (the American Consumer) from ISPs and other global multinationals that will stop at nothing to profit and control the American media market. Verizon, AT&T, Comcast, and others like it have already subverted the true principals of capitalism by their sheer size and influence. The notion of "choice" is laughable, and for some of the nations most disenfranchised communities wholly nonexistent. Changing the ISPs title status, which at current keep these goliaths of industry from running rampant over consumer rights, and threatening the health and sustainability of the American economy at large, will only serve to make the already very powerful nearly invincible. Please, I implore you with my whole heart and soul, for the sanctity and health of the future of the American people, do not let the ISPs control the internet.

1846. Kellie.Churchman, Chicago, IL, 60605
We all have a right to an open internet. Keep the internet open
1847. Eryn Cook, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I do not support the FCC controlling my online experience.
1848. Eric fisher, Chicago, IL, 60642
I urge you to protect Net Neutrality. Please, listen to the people. Thank you.Eric fisher
1849. Kevin Hawryluk, Chicago, IL, 60653
Hello, As both an internet user and a computer programmer, I am writing to protest an efforts to end net neutrality. Net neutrality is a pro consumer policy that benefits the entire country by allowing us to have equal access to various sites and services on the web. After paying for internet access, we are able to see the big-name sites such as Netflix and Hulu, as well as smaller websites like new indie video games that are being developed by small companies and are just starting to make traction. By changing the internet into a pay to play platform, the FCC with further bolster the dominance of oligopolies and prevent users from being able to access less "mainstream" services that do not have the funds to pay for a high bandwidth channel. Furthermore, as a developer, I have a huge problem with this, as it creates a further barrier to market competition and entrepreneurship. As companies seek to develop and launch new technology, they will have to raise even more capital to get their products even close to as effective as the current big players. I work at a small company on relatively small projects, and time after time, I see that big products like Salesforce are not always the best solution for most companies. These, instead need a smaller, lightweight solution that could easily be developed by a small shop. However, by raising the cost of entry, fewer companies will be able to even launch a competitive alternative. In this vein, this policy would hamper the free market, which thrives on innovation. Instead of advancing policies solely designed to further enrich the ISP companies at the expense of internet users and software developers across the US, please preserve Net Neutrality.
1850. Mauricio A Medina Rivera, Chicago, IL, 60607
net neutrality is democracy, its end would end our own right as citizensMauricio A Medina Rivera
1851. NIhar, Chicago, IL, 60612
Want net neutrality !!!
1852. Emily W. Easton, Berwyn, IL, 60402
Net neutrality is essential. Please preserve net neutrality to keep America great.
1853. Justin Thompson, Chicago, IL, 60601
The internet needs to be free and remain so. We cannot limit or withhold information from people from a critical source. The internet is the public square of the 21st Century and while not perfect, like so many of us, it is our forum to spread vital information in times of crisis, to stay informed by our peers and fellow citizens (of the world) and to lift voices up that may otherwise be silenced by governments, corporations, and powerful individuals who think they have the right to police information.
1854. Joseph Bond, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I am very concern and very unhappy that Americans will be losing open access to the Internet and the content that we pay for on the Internet. Our access to the Internet IS a utility and should be treated as such. Government should not be an advocate of the largest and wealthiest corporations. We are being treated like sheep to be sheared.
1855. Dylan Boye, Chicago, IL, 60654
It's important to me that we as a society maintain a free and open internet. Allowing companies to decide how we

use the internet or in what quantities is unacceptable and would hurt all of us in the end. Please safeguard net neutrality.

1856. Jennifer Costanzo, Oak Park, IL, 60302

Net neutrality provides equal access to information, and equal delivery, to every American regardless of age, race, class, or business size. It is unacceptable to allow this indiscriminate access be compromised in order to benefit a few large corporations. It will hurt students, elderly, the poor and small businessmen. Net Neutrality must remain in tact.

1857. Alex Mains, Oak Park, IL, 60304

From a consumers point of view the internet should be kept as a competitive space for companies to grow and rise through their ranks rather than have them pay ISP's for traffic. That way we can truly differentiate the quality of service given by a company rather than have something given to us and told that it's good. The consumer should be able to decide for themselves whether they like the service or not.

1858. Debbie Pastors, Oak Park, IL, 60302

Net neutrality must be kept. I should be able to determine which web sites I want to visit. It should not be up to internet service providers to determine which sites takes priority, and whether those sites can even be accessed. The internet is free and accessible for all - equally - and it must be kept that way. Big money should play no role.

1859. Thaddious Bailey, Chicago, IL, 60644

The internet is supposed to be about free expression. As it is that we do not allow one sole company to retain sole proprietary rights of ownership over a business market ie# banks, phone companies etc, we therefore should not allow large corporations to control and/or limit our freedom in gaining access to information. The internet has become such a large tool for day to day life that allowing companies to control or manipulate through financial means is essentially giving them the right to change or shape the mindset of the people and politics of an entire country. This has dire consequences as information to dispute or properly educate the people can now become difficult to gain access to, leaving people with possibly dangerous false or misleading information and propaganda. The internet has since it's inception been a tool fight against this for many, to allow the exchange of alternate ideals and believes suppressing this means to suppress the very ideals of freedom of speech and exchange of information. This is how the cries of a people slowly fall into submission and compliance to corporations with sole interest in their own financial gain, not with with a bang or a loud cry but with a whisper.

1860. Erin Angelini, Oak Park, IL, 60302

The internet needs to remain a free domain!

1861. Christopher Stevenson, Oak Park, IL, 60302

The American economy would not be as robust as it is today without net neutrality. Without net neutrality, ISPs could have throttled services like Netflix and Hulu in favor of their own services, forcing entrepreneurs to close up shop. Similarly, free speech is threatened without net neutrality, as an ISP could just block a news site that criticizes them (just as the Chinese government does today). To end net neutrality would be to end entrepreneurship and freedom of speech in the 21st century.

1862. Greg Uy, Chicago, IL, 60605

Net neutrality is one of the core foundations that allows the Internet to be the hotbed of innovation that it is now known as today. Allowing Ajit Pai to follow through on removing it will only hurt small business and technology entrepreneurship to serve the selfish benefit of the internet service providers that line his pockets. His proposed policy does not serve the people and any attempt to spin it as such should be regarded as a bold-faced lie. If you truly stand for the potential of small beginnings on the internet growing into valuable market leaders in innovation and problem solving, the principle of net neutrality should be a non-negotiable requirement. This isn't something that can be considered subject to opinion.

1863. Paul DiFiore, Westchester, IL, 60154

Keeping Net Neutrality in place is tantamount to the very freedoms this country was founded on. At home and at work the internet is a big part of daily life. To have the net splintered and tiered would be burdensome and have negative effects. By removing net neutrality and allowing ISPs to demand money for faster service is akin to a backdoor tax and we already have enough of those, especially in Illinois. Professionally and personally having an equal open internet is paramount for internet usage. I purchase service from my local ISP to get reliable access to all the Internet offers at fast speeds. ISP's are quickly becoming a monopoly as there is only one truly high speed provider in my area, Comcast. AT&T speeds do not meet my personal or my employers needs. So to remove net neutrality and open up employers and individuals to a tiered speed system on the internet is imposing a class

system that is unnecessary, burdensome and ultimately geared towards those with means. I urge you to keep President Obama's classification of ISPs and keep Net Neutrality in place. Anything less will be another blunder by the government and a move in the wrong direction.

1864. Brian Gonas, Chicago, IL, 60605

As someone with only 1 choice of ISP for home internet access, I believe it's important for consumers to be protected from, among other things, invasions of their privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by their broadband providers especially in an environment where the choice to change providers does not exist or is extremely limited.

1865. Alexander, Oak Park, IL, 60304

To whom it may concern, Please don't sell the simple freedom of information to private entities. The price that will be paid is much too high for the increased profit and power that internet providers already have. Sincerely, Alex

1866. 1, Oak Park, IL, 60302

It is important for internet users to be able to have total control over what they wish to do. ISPs being able to control what content is looked at rivals on breaking the barriers to free speech. If a website is created that has opinionated statements that the ISP doesn't agree with then they would be able to totally block out that person's opinions regardless if the internet users want them to. I believe that this amount of control over something as universal as the internet is wrong.

1867. Jacob Roskam, Oak Park, IL, 60302

The internet should be kept neutral, giving ISPs too much control would be a frightening step in the wrong direction. Please don't let large corporations prevent competition by paying for prioritization. Thank you.

1868. Janet Burson, Oak Park, IL, 60301

The internet is our 21st Century Commons. Since the earliest days of the public internet access has been unrestricted, with all traffic handled equally through public network elements. Together, we have done amazing things with this tool. Please do not dull the blade with corporate regulation of our public internet.

1869. Aileen R., Chicago, IL, 60608

There is plenty of information and connections that are made via the internet therefore net neutrality is not the way to go. Not only will this hurt small businesses that are trying to thrive it also hurts me as a consumer to be blocked or prevent me to see those. I use the internet not only to shop online but also to receive information at such a big platform. Net Neutrality can hurt not only the consumer but also the economy. The internet is such a great platform big businesses and small internet only businesses

1870. David Hall, Chicago, IL, 60622

I am an artist, curator, and educator based in Chicago. What allows me to do the work I do, especially in light of other proposed cuts to funding for the arts, is ultimately the access and ability to widely distribute information and material to other artists, curators, educators, and students. This free form of dissemination provides further access to platforms, from social media to custom, artist built platforms, that can allow for an exchange that builds a stronger community that promotes and supports cultural production, political activity, self-awareness, and professional development. This is another way of saying that net neutrality harbors the fundamental principles of a free society, a society that promotes self-betterment, which, as has been stated many times over, creates a wide range of beneficial effects: from being more informed about current political events (from a diverse range of sources) to fostering growth and communication skills to stimulating the private sector. The benefits of net neutrality are endless. If the internet were to come under utility-style regulations, the independent international networks of artists, of which I am a part, that have been able to flourish under net neutrality would slowly suffer and diminish. Less ideas, less people, less generation, less innovation. The final issue I will raise here, although there are many more that I could continue to expand, comes from my own experience with the internet. I am an artist whose primary medium is networked machines and code. The main issue that I have with utility-style regulations is that it would inhibit a larger cultural understanding of how the internet works and what it has to offer. It would make information, and therefore knowledge, proprietary, which is in no way useful to the future of a society. It would limit people's access to the very tools that might help them realize what otherwise would be impossible. I would not be where I am today, in any sense, without net neutrality. I learned how to code from free tutorials online, developed my network of established emerging artists through online communication, facilitated the building of a larger community about critical practice and cultural production through social media platforms, and held and participated in completely online exhibitions. None of this would have been possible without net neutrality.

1871. Mara Easterbrook, Chicago, IL, 60601
Access to reliably affordable, functioning, and fast internet (specifically, Skype) has enabled me to maintain relationships with loved ones that live far away, while being on a tight budget for many years as a student. I was able to complete a college and graduate degree in large part due to online research, and being able to get reliable access to all information that the Internet offers, at fast speeds. I currently use the internet to get email and cloud storage from companies other than my ISP. I believe that affordable and reliable online communication, and many other benefits, would be lost should ISPs be able to pick winners and losers on the Internet.
1872. Arnaud Berube, Chicago, IL, 60616
Please keep net neutrality. This single law allows for the most free form of internet, something that America stands for.
1873. riley koresko, chicago, IL, 60607
Keep the internet as it is the government does not need more control than they already have.
1874. Garrett Imhoff, Chicago, IL, 60654
Keep the Internet free and open. The Internet is a utility and companies should not be allowed to prioritize what content they want me to have.
1875. Alex Koslowsky, Chicago, IL, 60654
Please protect true net neutrality - do not allow ISPs the option of throttling or blocking content or websites for any reason. Thank you!
1876. Rishi Bhatia, Chicago, IL, 60610
Net Neutrality is important and will play a part in determining the future of our civilization. We should be keeping it intact in the US and fighting for it for every individual globally. I want you to fight and keep the internet as a Title 2 service and stop the plans to change that. Rishi Bhatia
1877. Jesus Villanueva, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I do not want there to be any changes to the CURRENT Net Neutrality rules. Any changes will be very likely to allow the last mile internet providers to create a TIER internet similar to Cable/Satellite TV packages. Similarly to those packages, those internet providers will charge both the Content source (e.i. Netflix, Hulu, Facebook, Amazon) and the Customer (citizens), for a service we as citizens have already PAID. I am currently a Customer of Comcast, I pay to access to the ENTIRE internet, yet they at one point they could not manage the large amount of streaming itâ€™s Customerâ€™s (including myself) were doing and Netflix service was negatively affected. Instead of improving theyâ€™re equipment in order to be able to satisfy their users, Comcast asked Netflix cover the cost for Comcast to improve their [Comcast] ability to deliver the service Comcast promised itâ€™s [Comcast] customers. This is an ALREADY existing example of what NET Neutrality is protecting us as citizens from happening again. Again, DO NOT MAKE ANY CHANGES to the CURRENT Tom Wheeler era Rules.
1878. Caitlin Malone, Chicago, IL, 60605
The internet needs to be free and open. Keep net neutrality. It's essential to our communications and our economy and should not be compromised for any reason, even though some might make excuses about why it wouldn't be that bad to start rolling back net neutrality practices. It would be horrible and would stifle our collective ability to collaborate and innovate.
1879. Armand De Asis, Chicago, IL, 60611
The internet must always be a free and open resource for people to access without bias from internet providers or other entities.
1880. Daniel Kahan, Chicago, IL, 60607
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I am writing in support of the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. I fear that to roll back these regulations would pose an existential threat to our democracy. This country was founded upon an immortal declaration, "We hold these truths to be self-evident: that all men are created equal." If our constitutional rights are to extend to our digital as well as our physical presences (and they must, for two have become inextricable), the current regulations cannot be reversed. We are living in a time in which it has become apparent that we've taken these freedoms for granted. Let us view this as an opportunity to reaffirm our fundamental tenets. Let the Internet remain both free and neutral. Sincerely, Daniel Kahan
1881. Martina Osteyee-Hoffman, Chicago, IL, 60607
You need to keep Net Neutrality intact. Doing so will better serve the majority of Americans.

1882. Jane Hurh, Chicago, IL, 60642
When I lived in New York City, my husband and I suffered through the COUNTLESS shortcomings of TWC (a net neutrality offender) and had little recourse as that was the sole ISP available to us in that area. Since moving to Chicago, however, we've been able to access the internet via a localized provider, Everywhere Wireless, and the difference has been significant. I fear that if the 2015 net neutrality rules were repealed, such local businesses would greatly suffer, and with them the consumers for whom I believe the FCC is responsible for providing certain protections. Further, I believe any repeal favoring the obvious oligopoly of ISPs runs counter to the FCC's mission of "ensuring an appropriate competitive framework". Clearly, removing roadblocks for the top handful of already exceedingly powerful ISPs is to no one's benefit but theirs. And finally, the conflict of interest for these behemoths to ensure free and equal access to all content seems mindbogglingly apparent, and I believe it is the FCC's responsibility to monitor, check and balance inequities dictated by these corporations. Therefore, it is crucial that the FCC protect and preserve net neutrality, rather than opening the floodgates to further manipulation of consumers.
1883. Brent Berger, Chicago, IL, 60642
Net neutrality is critical to the success of my startup business. I sit on the management team of an e-commerce food company. Customers' ability to access my business in the same manner/ speed that they use to access Amazon, Netflix, Comcast, or any other large and well-established e-commerce/ internet company is critical to my company's success.
1884. Eric Schnoor, Chicago, IL, 60654
Hello, I'm a systems engineer by trade and one thing I know is that net neutrality is tremendously important to all of us! The internet doesn't work if you allow ISPs to prioritize their favorite data. This is a huge problem normally but is especially problematic when some of these ISPs are owned by massive media conglomerates with a vested interest in getting you to choose their internet services over a competitor's. I don't want to get into a bunch of overwrought analogies but just know that I'd like my ISP to be a 'dumb pipe' that just delivers the data to me and doesn't mess with it. Thank you. Eric Schnoor
1885. Lynne Adams-Whitaker, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Keep net neutrality. It's the voice of the people!
1886. Jacob Rissman, Chicago, IL, 60611
Europe just charged google \$2.7 B in regard to anti trust and net neutrality. How could the US possibly vote to abolish net neutrality?
1887. Ryan, Chicago, IL, 60605
The Internet is not something anyone should ever have absolute authority over. Please do not change that! Having a free open Internet is most important to me as well as many other Americans out there.
1888. Esmail Bonakdarian, River Forest, IL, 60305
No preferential treatment for any sites on the internet, keep it equal and free for everyone. Esmail Bonakdarian
1889. F Baker, Chicago, IL, 60601
The Internet must remain open and free. The only ones who benefit from getting rid of net-neutrality are internet services providers. They will monitor, block and slow down content as they see fit. It is ridiculous that this issue keeps coming up when there is such a public outcry against removing it.
1890. Eric Park, Chicago, IL, 60612
Keep our internet free along with the First Amendment! There may be some monopoly laws that should restrict this law from coming into place. There are some out there that have no choice in which ISP they can get, therefore would have no choice in what they can see and filter.
1891. Nicholas Anderson, Chicago, IL, 60608
Keep net neutrality! Free and open internet for all!
1892. Sidra, Chicago, IL, 60601
Net neutrality is essential to free speech and the ability to access news. By taking away the access to freely engage and access information on the web is wrong. We cannot allow companies to charge to access certain websites that do not offer a service.
1893. Derek Carter, Chicago, IL, 60606
I am a software engineer and spend the majority of my work day building software for the internet. If this

legislation passes, you are hindering all software engineers who make software to better the experience of internet users. By passing this legislation, you will directly affect this job market that the United States has a strong hold of. Bright minds will move elsewhere to innovate for the world. Please do not pass this into law.

1894. E Knight, Chicago, IL, 60624

Leave the Internet the way it should be and stop trying to prioritize business personal gain over freedom for the consumer to use the web as they see fit.

1895. net neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60611

The internet has become a public domain similar to the electric utility grid. Companies are allowed to attach to it to either draw from the service on behalf of their customers, or provide additional resources to it. They are not allowed to manipulate the flow from grid to consumer. Imagine if they were allowed such control, and GE light bulbs were granted more reliable access to power than Sylvania bulbs? It really is the same.

1896. Christopher T Wirt, Chicago, IL, 60601

I want you to know, I'm a proponent of competitive advantage. But perhaps the infrastructure of our economy isn't a place where private company's should gain it. They're selling my inconvenience, no longer a service. The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. I'm all for Thank you! Christopher T Wirt

1897. Christian Martin, Chicago, IL, 60654

Voluntary commitment to the principles of net neutrality is not enough. Maintain fairness and continue to classify broadband as a common carrier under Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934.

1898. Ali Frater, Chicago, IL, 60661

Someone's comment in response to a hacking scandal a while back went, "Don't see how this is new, these countries are just doing on the internet what they've done in person for centuries - spying." It's like we remove the human factor when the internet is involved; So, in humanizing ISPs and net neutrality, I think of it as the same as teaching my niece how to treat others. I teach her to be civil - to respect and regard others fairly because it's the right thing to do, not just because they favor and respect her in return. I teach her to not be mean to the kids who have been mean to her, to go high when they go low because many friendships and respect form within that gap. And while I don't think anyone would want to teach their kids the direct opposite, this is essentially what we'd be teaching if we nix net neutrality. Please don't.

1899. samantha kajfez, Chicago, IL, 60654

We need to keep net neutrality! No one should be able to decide what websites and apps are best for me, besides me.

1900. Lindsey Rosenthal, Chicago, IL, 60612

I strongly oppose the proposed rulemaking here by the current FCC and Chairman Pai to roll back regulations made in 2015 categorizing internet service providers as utilities under Title II of the Communications Act. The FCC's Open Internet Rules are extremely important to me and everyday Americans and I urge you to continue to protect them under Title II. Deregulating the rules made under Title II will give ISPs the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that

pay and "slow lanes" for the rest, whether or not they currently intend to do so. I do not want internet access to be censored in any way, shape, or form. Consumers and businesses large and small are against this proposal and will be hurt by removing Title II classification. In fact, courts have made clear that if this classification ends, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. In the past, Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, T-Mobile and others blocked Google Wallet in favor of their own electronic payment app, and Verizon has previously said it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay. If some companies can pay to have ISPs load their content faster, startups and small businesses won't be able to compete. The open marketplace that currently exists will close. Under those conditions, it will be much harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who have minority opinions to have their voices heard. I am an entrepreneur. When I started out on my own I had very little savings and the fact that I was able to get web hosting services for a reasonable price, be found on the internet easily and without having to pay comparable prices to the largest corporations, and have reliable and fast internet services to market my company on social networks made it possible for me to succeed. Without the protections of the Title II classification, I would not have been able to compete in the marketplace, support myself, or give back to my community at large. I live in one of the largest cities in the United States -- Chicago -- and I only have two options for service of cable and internet in this area. I can't imagine how difficult it must be for Americans who live in much smaller communities and have even less access to a competitive market for ISPs. I have internet access for the same reason why I have a phone line and other utilities -- to keep in contact with family and friends through email and social networks, for security like computer antivirus services and preventing the loss of files through backups in the cloud, to keep up with the news that affects me daily in our country and around the world, and to take advantage of the opportunities for me and my family to make a strong contribution to society both economically and socially. Please not ignore me or the millions of other Americans who will be hurt by this change in policy. The FCC needs to stand up for me and my fellow citizens and protect consumers from invasions of our privacy, fraudulent billing practices and price gouging by broadband providers. Thank you.

1901. Ernest Call, River Forest, IL, 60305

Competition is good. There isn't much competition. Without net neutrality rules there would be even less competition. There are exciting things going on in technology under the present rules. We don't need to make it easier for companies like Comcast. Comcast has cable television and ISP and has little competition. If I decide to "cut the cord" I'm still subject to usage caps if I replace cable with internet streaming. Keep the present net neutrality rules, please.

1902. Jason Patel, Chicago, IL, 60654

Im writing to express my opposition to the FCC's plan to repeal the title 2 ruling for net neutrality. I work for a startup and an open and fair internet is vital to my company's ability to compete in a marketplace next to organizations that command millions and billions of dollars. I urge you to reconsider your stance and leave the current ruling in place to ensure that the greatest asset ever created for mankind remains accessible to all Americans regardless of income and wealth.

1903. Matthew Coyle, Chicago, IL, 60605

KEEP THE INTERNET NEUTRAL FOR THE LOVE OF GOD! Do not enforce laws on censorship. the internet with censorship stops us from gaining the knowledge we need to continue as the human race.

1904. Ayako Miyahara, Chicago, IL, 60606

Hello. I believe the FCC needs to take a stand for net neutrality. The internet has become a primary source of information and fact checking for myself and my friends. In this new "fake news" world, we have to be responsible consumers of information and the internet makes that an accessible goal. Putting corporate giants like Comcast in a position to control what I can view and quickly I can access it is a downward slide into a dystopian future. Dramatic I know, but more than a little bit possible. Please stand with net neutrality. Thank you.

1905. Sarah Burst, Oak Park, IL, 60304

Please do not allow ISPs the ability to control what sort of information the general public has access to. The right to use streaming sites and have open access to whatever form of news or online entertainment you wish should be a fundamental right. To give anyone the ability to lessen or restrict ease of access is undemocratic and frightening. Please keep net neutrality in place.

1906. Griffin Granberg, Chicago, IL, 60605

Please help preserve Net Neutrality and protect the internet that we've all grown to know and love. Net Neutrality is the only surefire way to ensure that the freedom to innovate and develop amazing businesses and services is kept on an even playing ground. Please do not do anything to hinder Net Neutrality. Thank you! Griffin Granberg

1907. Peter Ho, Chicago, IL, 60607
Net Neutrality absolutely needs to be protected. Keep consumer freedom and don't allow ISPs to dictate what we see.
1908. Willem Friesema, Oak Park, IL, 60302
The internet provider is definitely a utility and should be treated as such. Just as I pay for electricity on a neutral basis (I pay the same rate for heating or cooling), so I want the internet to be neutral: there should be no fast/slow lanes offered at differing prices. Please support internet neutrality. Thanks. Willem Friesema
1909. Nicholas Johnson, Bellwood, IL, 60104
I support open internet. Keep it neutral. Do good.
1910. Anna Alecci, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Preserve net neutrality! What is even the point of the internet if information is not equally accessible and open?!
1911. Mitchell Ray, Chicago, IL, 60601
The government is trying to ruin the internet. Go away government.
1912. Madeline Snedden, Forest Park, IL, 60130
Internet Service Providers should be classified as common carriers under Title II of the 1934 Communications Act. Because many ISPs operate as monopolies within their chosen service areas, there is no way for free market capitalism to sway companies to provide equal service speeds for all websites and consumers. Title II Net Neutrality is essential for small businesses, large businesses, and consumers to interact online in any kind of balanced capacity.
1913. Scott Pamatmat, Chicago, IL, 60601
Net Neutrality is unfair to everyone trying to make a living through use of websites and internet, and if someone who makes a living off of a website has to deal with this, then they won't get customers or visitors to pay for their living, it ruins online business.
1914. Nancy Everly, Chicago, IL, 60612
Please don't allow major corporations to block the public from accessing sites! The entire online ecosystem benefits when consumers can access to the entire internet, regardless of how they connect.
1915. Emily Michael, Chicago, IL, 60661
Net Neutrality is important to me because as a recent graduate and an inspiring future grad student, I will need the Internet to achieve my goals. I am taking time off from school right now in order to get experience in my field and increase my knowledge and experiences so that I may have a better outlook of what is going on. On top of this, I am taking time to join the workforce so that I may contribute to our economy, as well as grow my own finances so that I can afford to take time off to get my Masters. I would like to use my Masters degree to pursue a more economically friendly consumer market, specifically in regards to fashion. I would also like to impact the lives of those who work in the factories overseas that make these fashions but are deeply hurt by the conditions they are put in for it. I know that a brighter future exists for the fashion industry, and would love to research ways in which we can make it happen. I possess passion, drive, and ambition to make not only the USA, but the world a better place. None of that means anything, though, if there is no net neutrality and I do not have access to a program that I seek. Please, consider the young adults in your life like me. Do they have dreams and goals that will need to be aided by Net Neutrality? In this day and age, the answer will be yes 99% of the time. It is not necessary to change the way our Internet works and will only hurt us. Save the open Internet.
1916. Alex Yuan, Oak Park, IL, 60302
The internet should remain a place not based on commerce, but rather information.
1917. Devin Kerr, Chicago, IL, 60602
It is important that you know, as a US citizen and registered voter, the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. As a US Congress person, it is your duty to protect us as citizens and individuals, when we do not have a direct say. I don't want large Internet Providers to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. There is no reason we should enable pathways for giant ISPs, or anyone for that matter, to censor what we see and do online. This is not a "what if" scenario either. This is something that has been demonstrated as a real issue. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and

businesses large and small. Extrapolating from this, it could also give ISPs the power to throttle non-entertainment based websites if they so choose. That would be a direct threat against our freedom of speech. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Devin Kerr

1918. Patricia Christenson, Chicago, IL, 60605
I am an American citizen, tax payer, and voter. In my opinion, killing net neutrality would be an assault on the First Amendment. A free, open, uncensored, unfettered, and unobstructed flow of information is essential to democracy. Thank you for reading this.
1919. Conal B Duffy Jr., Oak Park, IL, 60302
The internet should remain net neutral. Free and Open! Do not end Net Neutrality!
1920. Brennan Runzo, Chicago, IL, 60610
The internet needs to be free for everyone.
1921. Robert Zhang, Chicago, IL, 60610
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY. ISPs should not be able to control our online experiences
1922. LoveInternet, Chicago, IL, 60607
Internet is an open forum. Let's keep it that way.
1923. Grant Manny, Chicago, IL, 60610
The FCC not keeping Net Neutrality is favoritism to a small number of companies damaging a large number of companies and people to hold up businesses that are not longer relevant or viable. If the FCC moves forward and does not provide Net Neutrality it will not only hurt the US's chances moving forward in the new internet economy it will take away the future progresses from the upcoming generation that would have been enabled through Net Neutrality. Let the Free Market be Free.
1924. Lisa Gold, Chicago, IL, 60606
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP!!!!
1925. Colton Ursiny, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I urge the FCC to not repeal Net Neutrality as it currently exists. Net Neutrality is a vital principle of worldwide business, cooperation, and democracy. If corporations can dictate which sites people can access, or at certain speeds, we will be putting them in charge of the future of information access, and that is contradictory to core American values of free speech and access to information.
1926. Julia Fortman, Oak Park, IL, 60302
The internet needs net neutrality for many things. I believe it is a crime to take that away because the internet is supposed to be available to everyone and if net neutrality is gone, a good chunk of the population will be unable to afford the internet. The internet makes everything more manageable - I don't know what I would have done with my college applications were it not for websites that helped me get in contact with colleges and send them my applications. I have made contact with many people I thought I would never see again through Facebook. The internet should be as readily available to all people as possible, and taking away net neutrality just ignores that.
1927. Gaurav Ajmani, Chicago, IL, 60605
I am writing to express my strong support in favor of maintaining net neutrality. I believe the FCC proposals represent a real and serious threat to our democracy and would actively work to make us a less free and informed electorate.

1928. Ross Ragsdale, Chicago, IL, 60661
You don't want your tweets blocked. We don't want our memes blocked. Defend net neutrality.
1929. David Zywiec, Chicago, IL, 60642
The internet needs to be free and open. DON'T LET BIG BUSINESS WIN!
1930. Emily Hauser, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Free and equal access to information is a fundamental right in a democracy and is, in fact, crucial to that democracy being able to function. The telcom industry benefits enormously from the taxes paid by individual citizens; they have no right to slow down or control the kind of information we can access. To allow them to do so will severely hobble American science, education, and culture, and ultimately damage our national economy as well.
1931. Luke Peeler, Chicago, IL, 60605
Net neutrality must be maintained at all costs, or else we risk stifling generations of innovators who lack the financial and political clout to establish themselves. The Internet has long been a tool to level the playing field; it must continue to be.
1932. Andrew Gove, Chicago, IL, 60611
Do not get rid of net neutrality. Keep the internet great.
1933. Michael Emrie, Chicago, IL, 60608
Good day, Please, please, PLEASE protect Title 2 Net Neutrality laws. I truly believe that the Internet is one of Humanity's greatest achievements and removing consumer protections will only hurt the People. Please do NOT let bad-faith corporations like Comcast and AT&T hurt consumers more. Michael Emrie
1934. Jake Blecha, Chicago, IL, 60601
I work in IT for a large organization, and if our customers had to pay more to interact with our business it would lead to higher costs on our company. It would be an increase in provider cost, and a reduction in the amount we could spend on our employees and other opportunities. Not to mention it would increase prices of our products to the customer.
1935. Ryland Tunney, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I believe that if Net Neutrality is repealed then that will restrict the rights of every American that uses the internet. Net Neutrality being restricted takes away the ability for internet users to access content and upload it as well. These actions take away the freedom of the press as well as the general over all freedom of the American people.
1936. Jon, Chicago, IL, 60606
It's as simple as you trying to control the right of free speech. Just because a company isn't being paid by that specific site or because what that site provides doesn't align with the company's views, doesn't allow the provider to hamper what a user may want to consume.
1937. Lauren Lynn, Chicago, IL, 60610
I support net neutrality. Cable companies should not be allowed to charge more for a "fast lane".
1938. Maddy Singer, Chicago, IL, 60642
I was taught that this is a capitalist society and that competition for business is normal. I know how to choose for myself. Keep American internet neutral!
1939. Jamie Perez, Forest Park, IL, 60130
Each of us collectively pay our internet for the sake of having stable and fast access to the internet for our personal and professional use. Three business owners with companies scaling from startup to corporation are relying on access to the service we pay for. We expect the fastest connection within our budget (IMPORTANT) and limitless use of the service as it is impossible to know if or when any one of us may need to invest dozens of hours into research to protect the growth of our businesses. Another thing that significantly slows down business are the constant sales calls from "sponsored" companies that are trying to fit us into yet another revenue model for the company we're already paying (ISPs and subsidiaries). I do not want to be a 5 direction consumer for a monster company. I want to give my company and my partners' companies a fair chance at potentially becoming one of the great enterprises the US has to offer but being held down by microtransactions will not allow this. Repealing net neutrality will inevitably create more financial hurdles that keep my business down and unethical corporations up. If we continue to allow our policy to be designed to protect the investments of larger corporations there will never be a shift in thinking as the highest bidder will always win. This is a race and competition for the highest intellect,

most ethical, profitable, and sustainable enterprise. Disallowing smaller companies to create competition for the monstrosities above us is an injustice to the American people who deserve the greatest experience their collective minds have to offer. Protect our freedom to access OUR knowledge.

1940. Sean Stevens, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please don't be greedy about this decision. While sometimes the internet seems like an amalgamation of complaints and immaturity, it is truly a sanctuary for free speech and discussion. To limit the ability to access the internet through monopolistic ISPs hurts everyone who has access to the internet. The fallout from this decision won't happen decades, years, or months from now, but will happen within weeks. I implore you to leave the internet a free forum of ideas and thoughts, and not to side on the side of ISP lobbying.
1941. Kiani Love, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Access to the open internet should not be tampered with. Restricting the flow of information is not only dangerous but stupid
1942. Jennifer Stephenson, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Save Net Neutrality!! Keep Net Neutrality! Please.
1943. James Mulhern, Bellwood, IL, 60104
Please reconsider regulating the Internet more like a utility or resource for the national community; as it has a larger impact on society than you have been perceived to acknowledge.
1944. Y. Pisor, Chicago, IL, 60611
PLEASE PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY. The internet should remain an even playing field on which no ISP is permitted nor incentivized to push out its competitors for its own gain.
1945. Miguel Diaz, Chicago, IL, 60651
I simply don't want ISPs prioritize websites and apps they own over the rest of the web. I don't want ISP to block websites that I want to visit. I like the internet in its position right now, so I don't want anything negatively influence my experience.
1946. Sam Schick, Oak Park, IL, 60302
You can't create a monopoly and leave it unregulated. pick one.
1947. Igor Fedulov, CHICAGO, IL, 60607
PLEASE KEEP THE INTERNET PROVIDERS AWAY FROM TRAFFIC MANIPULATION!!!!
1948. Maximillian De Stefano, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Hi FCC, I heard that the internet might go to shit, so I'm one of many, many voices telling you that this isn't the smartest move here. Think of all the start ups that would be destroyed and all their hard work and dedication would go down the drain. If you put the control into our ISPs, Literally the internet wouldn't be fun anymore, Think of cat memes, they surely get me out of a deep depression mid day and I think of killing myself. We demand access to the entire internet as it is, I don't want some big corporate Schmuck controlling what I can and cannot do while browsing the internet. All I ask is for you to keep the internet the way it has always been. I'm only a 17 year old who wants to major in computer science, and that may not seem very important to you. But the internet is a place I escape to, it's where I live figuratively. If I lose freedom on the world wide web. I'll lose a major part of myself that is essentially me as a person. I'm going on for too long. TL;DR (basically I'm saying keep the internet the way it is so everyone will be happy and the internet won't go to shit, okay?)
1949. Clayton Miller, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Dear FCC, the changes that you proposed to net-neutrality laws will harm millions of Americans and irreparably damage our ability to innovate and progress in an ever expanding world where the internet is a vital asset for the growth of small and large businesses alike. Do not do this to the people of this country, it is wrong.
1950. Ethan, Chicago, IL, 60611
This must be put to a stop, It is not right to make a business over the free internet, this is not fair.
1951. Blythe Meyer E, Chicago, IL, 60651
Save net neutrality. Protect our freedoms.
1952. Ryan Harvey, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I understand that capitalism encourages competition, and that ISPs are doing their best to turn a profit. But in the

unregulated industry of network infrastructure, monopolization of internet service (what is arguably, considered a "commodity" in today's Info-Age,) will destroy the ability for many to access the global content of the internet. Giving these corporations the ability to cherry-pick and throttle content with the removal of net-neutrality is not only against common decency to the people of this great nation, but criminal in its betrayal of the spirit of the First Amendment. I hope that the FCC can see the importance of a neutral internet and its access for all peoples, and that they choose to keep this commodity in the hands of those people, and not give control to the profit-mongers of the big ISPs. Thank you, Ryan-

1953. Michelle Harvey, Oak Park, IL, 60302

I understand that capitalism encourages competition, and that ISPs are doing their best to turn a profit. But in the unregulated industry of network infrastructure, monopolization of internet service (what is arguably, considered a "commodity" in today's Info-Age,) will destroy the ability for many to access the global content of the internet. Giving these corporations the ability to cherry-pick and throttle content with the removal of net-neutrality is not only against common decency to the people of this great nation, but criminal in its betrayal of the spirit of the First Amendment. I hope that the FCC can see the importance of a neutral internet and its access for all peoples, and that they choose to keep this commodity in the hands of those people, and not give control to the profit-mongers of the big ISPs. Thank you, Michelle-

1954. Nellie Bohac, Chicago, IL, 60616

You must keep net neutrality in place it's imperative for our economy and our free access to the internet. I support net neutrality.

1955. Brittney Mmutle, Chicago, IL, 60616

Keep net neutrality! Open democratization of ideas and expression are integral to a free society.

1956. Karolina Sileikaite, Chicago, IL, 60605

Net Neutrality is extremely important. The internet is an invaluable resource that is (thankfully) available to everyone and should be kept that way. Allowing companies to control access to website or slow down usage would be immoral and freedom restricting.

1957. Ryan Weisbeck, Chicago, IL, 60610

The internet was designed so that you can view whatever content you want. We the people should not have to deal with slower speeds because the site we are on isn't allocated a larger bandwidth. Listen to the people you represent not to what you think is right.

1958. Christopher Thomas, Oak Park, IL, 60302

The fact that I'm writing this plea to preserve Net Neutrality is one of over 5 million pieces of evidence of how bought our government really is. The only people/ entities who want to change our current rules on net neutrality are the extremely rich individuals and corporations such as Comcast who stand to make even more money off of holding websites and net users hostage by demanding money for higher speeds. Those people tally to less than 1% of Americans. The rest of us want to keep the current net neutrality rules in place and for these greedy corporations to be kept from ruining this great medium.

1959. Jarmell D. Miller, Chicago, IL, 60651

Please stop the FCC for taking away our internet from us, without it they're one step closer of trying to completely control our lives.

1960. Frederick Gillis, River Forest, IL, 60305

I am in support to keep Net Neutrality. I do not want my ISP to decide which web sites I should view, based on their financial arraignment with certain sites. I do not want my ISP to block my access to web sites, that they may not have a financial arraignment with. Lastly, I do not want my ISP to throttle my access to sites that they may not have a financial arraignment with. The Internet must remain free and open.

1961. Zach Geis, Chicago, IL, 60601

The internet should remain open and we should not allow organizations to guide our usage via throttling or blocking based on their interests.

1962. David Haldane, Chicago, IL, 60605

Do not let corporations take advantage of the consumer.

1963. Jonathan Parrish, Oak Park, IL, 60304

Broadband internet service should be protected under Title II.

1964. Matthew Feingld, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please do not eliminate net neutrality laws. I value an open internet that does not penalize small businesses and websites for not being able to pay off monopoly-like ISP's to maintain acceptable traffic speeds.
1965. Braden Carter, Chicago, IL, 60601
The internet should not be controlled by the people with money. Don't give them more power because that would suck.
1966. Abigail Feldman, Chicago, IL, 60611
This is the land of the FREE. We are not a communist nation, nor a dictatorship. Please do not begin to sensor our ability, as citizens of the United States, to access to the free sharing of information. It's one of our great new freedoms of the 21st century. We can handle it.
1967. Nadil, Chicago, IL, 60651
The Internet is now a tool for the betterment of mankind. To limit it would be foolish.
1968. John McAloon, Chicago, IL, 60654
This affects all small companies and will make monopolies.
1969. J, CHICAGO, IL, 60611
I like the internet the way it is. Users should be able to get accurate search results, not pre-filtered in any way!
1970. Joel Barker, chicago, IL, 60601
Keep net neutrality!!! Do not ruin the internet the way you did the TV news by allowing those with larger wallets to control how things are presented and prioritize their marketing.
1971. Allvoyd Whitaker, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Please support Net Neutrality to ensure that everyone have equal access to to the internet and all it has to offer.
1972. Edwin Morales, chicago, IL, 60606
I'm honestly just speechless from the idea of this even needing to be done in order to keep the internet free of interference, the way it is supposed to be.
1973. Kiana, chiacgo, IL, 60651
Keep the internet free! Stop trying to own everything down to the core of society!
1974. Ethan Muehlstein, Chicago, IL, 60610
Net neutrality helps to give equality to those who by having access to the internet can improve their lives. We must fight for the vulnerable and marginalized always, especially when we have the power of privilege on our side.
1975. Ben Dohner, Chicago, IL, 60605
Why did Benjamin Franklin implore our forefathers and setup a mail system that delivered the new paper to every citizen for FREE? Because an educated public is important for society to function and develop itself. Education comes from many sources but is built on a foundation of information. Not everyone has access to good teachers and good schools, the internet serves our society by allowing everyone with access to reach information that'll help them develop and improve society. The internet is the equivalent of the news paper from the days of Benjamin Franklin. Allowing corporations to control the internet through throttling or other means creates a one sided system of information in which society can only access what's been approved. Censorship of the internet and preferential treatment of information cannot be permissible if we as a society want to grow. The internet must remain equal to ALL consumers and creators. The FCC MUST keep Net Neutrality!
1976. Abraham Valle, chicago, IL, 60608
keep the open and public internet
1977. Greg Mannix, chicago, IL, 60607
You have to keep net neutrality! Seriously, this is our future. This is so important. Please make the right decision and keep net neutrality.
1978. emily li, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support net neutrality. We need limitations of ISP.
1979. Adrienne Soong, Oak Park, IL, 60302

Power to the people. That's the idea our government is based on. It might be messy and it can be tricked, but it's the purest form of democracy. Don't take that away. Don't be the villains in history books. Net neutrality and Title II give users the freedom to use the internet as they wish. It gives users an equal platform. I use my internet connection to stay in touch with friends and family through websites such as Facebook and email sites such as Google. It's how I message my friends on where to meet for dinner or when I'm visiting family. Email not only serves personal use, but it also serves professional use. It's how I keep in touch at work with my coworkers, and how information can be easily spread. It's how I apply to jobs and set up interviews. It works for work and life. As a librarian, I use the internet to search databases for documents and books for patrons. It allows them to order a book from the entire country and gives them greater access to things they otherwise wouldn't be able to obtain. Internet access also allows patrons access to databases for their use. We have a genealogy club that gathers every month to research the origins of their family. We have computer classes ranging from how to use a computer, Excel basics, email, and resume workshops. It allows us to offer a broad range of services for those who did not grow up with computers. I also take online classes, ranging from free coding classes to paid marketing classes. Online classes give me the flexibility so that I can gain more skills and be a more valued member of society. I took a class through the Smithsonian Institution that was co-taught by Stan Lee. In what other circumstance would I have been able to do that if net neutrality didn't exist? I wouldn't have the access. Maybe the class would've been blocked from me. It wouldn't be fair. Preserve net neutrality. Don't mess it up for the future.

1980. Mark Romano, Forest Park, IL, 60130

The nature of the physical infrastructure required to connect the population to the internet dictates that the industry will have few powerful companies controlling it. By contrast, access to a free and open internet is in the overwhelming public good to preserve. It is therefore necessary for the government to check the power of the ISP's on behalf of the people in order to preserve the public good. The world will be much worse without net neutrality, and so if you find yourself in a position to influence the outcome of this issue, please act in accordance with the interests of the public. Thank you for your consideration. Mark Romano

1981. David Kelland, Chicago, IL, 60654

Over the past decade, telecommunications companies have extracted more than economic profits from American consumers by maintaining a large presence in industries with high economies of scale. I and many of our fellow citizens are also aware of the central role that these telecom companies play in financing political campaigns. That political influence has helped to make them rich and they are now poised to do it again. I have every reason to believe that getting Congress involved in regulating the internet will allow lobbyists to tilt the playing field in their favor. Telecom companies claim that they need more revenue to invest in infrastructure but the real source of their profitability is not investment but avoiding competition with one another (AT&T and Comcast). If Comcast, one of the most despised companies in our republic, gets a chance to steal business from companies that provide value to American consumers ((Google, Amazon, and Netflix)) rather than simply extracting their wealth, we will know who is responsible.

1982. Erhard Edlinger, Chicago, IL, 60605

Dear FCC, The proposals within the Restoring Internet Freedom act achieve the complete opposite of what Chairman Ajit Pai is claiming. The FCC should reject this proposal as a free internet is vital for the prosperity of our country and every other person living on this planet. By relinquishing oversight and supervisory powers over what has essentially become a triopoly we would lose the only barrier stopping those companies from consuming everything around us. Events like when Xfinity extorted Netflix by not upgrading their portion of the network thus degrading Netflix performance for their customers while simultaneously ensuring their own service worked flawlessly will only increase in frequency and severity. Netflix is able to pay now but I think things would have gone differently if they were asked to pay up when they were just starting out. Nor should they ever have to pay. The sole reason ISPs exist is to shuttle traffic from one place to the other. I pay my ISP to provide me with fast, reliable, and impartial service. Nothing else. None of the other services they offer interest me. ISP's, which AT&T, Xfinity, and Verizon are claiming they are not, primary purpose is to provide their customers with internet access. They are not "information services". They are telecommunication companies that connect their customers with whichever service the customer chooses to use. Just look at any advertising from any of those companies and all you see is talk of Megabits and how fast it is to download media files. Many of the services we use today would not exist were ISPs free to prioritize traffic, zero rate their own service's traffic, shape traffic for any non-emergency reason or do any number of possible detrimental things to the way internet traffic currently behaves. Ajit Pai's proposal's will lead to a cable TV style internet where only ISP approved and/or created services are accessible and you'll either have to pay for everything else or lose access to it. Please do the right thing for all of us who aren't beholden to the ISP Giants and reject the Restoring Internet Freedom Act. Sincerely, Erhard

1983. Brian Barton, Chicago, IL, 60622

We need more freedom from the control that corporations have in our lives. By giving up the neutrality of the

internet, you will give money hungry entities control of one of the most expressive societal tools ever devised by human intelligence. What effectively could bring our world closer and make us potentially wiser will become yet another way for companies to grow more powerful with little interest in human wellbeing. It will close the loop of monopoly ever further and make it impossible for competition. The internet should be about the people who use it and when it's corporation vs people... the people should ALWAYS win.

1984. Adriana Guzman, Chicago, IL, 60605

Despite having completed a university degree, I credit the majority of my learning to the internet, and I'm confident that net neutrality has been an important aspect of that. I've had access to such a wide variety of educational resources, to connections to family and friends and pen pals on other sides of the planet, to goods and services from big companies and small online shops, and to perspectives both popular and unpopular. This freedom to easily access different resources, even unpopular ones or small ones or seemingly insignificant ones, has allowed me to widen my understanding of social issues, academic pursuits, and opportunities. Net neutrality protects these resources - there's so much information that could've easily been lost to me, or harder to find, were it not for net neutrality. The argument that I could easily switch service providers if I felt I didn't have full and fair access is flawed in that I don't have access to many affordable broadband internet providers. The financial burden it would place on me (and likely others) to switch providers in hope of getting full access to the internet would prevent me from getting that access. The regulation provided by the FCC is what protects these resources for me and people like me. As the internet becomes a more necessary and pervasive part of our everyday lives and our consumption of information, it becomes more important for our rights to be protected. The FCC's ability to ensure that we get fair access, that our privacy is protected, and that ISPs are charging us fairly is crucial to the access and safety of consumers.

1985. Levi Neiburger, Oak Park, IL, 60302

This is unacceptable. Prevent this from happening.

1986. Joshua Lamb, Oak Park, IL, 60302

I want a free and open internet because it is the single greatest technology of our time, and control should not be at the mercy of corporations.

1987. Rahul Sangal, Chicago, IL, 60611

Net Neutrality is the foundation of national innovation

1988. Anthony Miller, Chicago, IL, 60601

Net Neutrality better stay in place!

1989. Jacob Gardner, Forest Park, IL, 60130

In an effort to keep the internet free and open for all individuals, I believe net neutrality is necessary. Please keep the current rules in place.

1990. Max Rashes, Oak Park, IL, 60302

Title 2 protections help the people, removing them only helps business. It's a slippery slope once it is gone

1991. Jamila Edwards, Chicago, IL, 60624

I want Net neutrality. The FCC needs to protect the internet as it is, a free place for all voices to be heard.

1992. Marsha K. Hoover, Chicago, IL, 60605

I am strenuously opposed to the administration's plan to abandon a policy of net neutrality. Do not let it happen.

1993. Sidharth Brahmabhatt, Chicago, IL, 60607

I urge the FCC to uphold Net Neutrality.

1994. Samuel Gold, Chicago, IL, 60610

Please allow the Internet to remain free. It's disgraceful that in the 21st century that this is even an issue. The Internet represents an open line of access to the world and should not be bought or sold. Do not let it happen.

1995. Michael Gillett, Oak Park, IL, 60304

Net Neutrality is fundamental in our society. Keep Net Neutrality.

1996. Johnathan Sanders, Chicago, IL, 60123

Respect democracy and ensure net neutrality.

1997. Mary Fons, Chicago, IL, 60605
Curtailling information curtails democracy. For the children I do not have, for the writers I will never get to read, for the ideas yet to be born: Do not let tyrannical forces block us from information and inquiry. I do not often beg; I am begging now. Thank you. " Mary Fons
1998. Samantha Lopez, Chicago, IL, 60612
I am a designer that uses the internet every single day. My life and work would not be able to thrive without the neutrality of the internet. It allows not only designers and artists like me, but so many other individuals in my community and in the nation to have a medium, a voice, and an impact on society.
1999. Anthony Zalar, Chicago, IL, 60661
I am writing here because I like to believe in the freedom of our country. Right now we are on top of the world with the ability to access and share information with each other. Monitoring that, limits our ability to succeed and grow together as Americans. If anything, internet should be open and free to access at all times to the American people. In this day and age, having internet access is as important as access to water. Please allow me to stay a free and proud American.
2000. Krishna Mohan, Chicago, IL, 60604
Open internet opened doors for ton of opportunities and fueled innovation. Please keep it that way.
2001. Winston upchurch, Chicago, IL, 60707
Dont ruin the internet please.
2002. Michelle Turcios, Chicago, IL, 60607
This is definitely something that most Americans are taking very seriously, and we will stand up against what we think is wrong, and protest anything this disappointing administration tries to pass on us.
2003. Austin Andrews, Chicago, IL, 60607
I work for a software company that exists because the internet works in a open format where all traffic is treated the exact same. Data is data and the idea of segregating it based on the top paying company will ruin the future of the web.The fact that our government can be bought and that this is even an issue has made the United States a laughing stock of the tech world. Large ISP have one goal to make money and they do that VERY well with almost no competition. While Comcast is obviously the worst they are not the only ones that will twist the government to do their bidding.The FCC was doing so well with protecting citizens rights online a few years ago. Under the current administration it is clear they have much more interest in supporting unethical practices of the ISPs.One thing we learned today is that ISPs will mislead customers. That unethical business practice being shown today is a clear indication where their intentions are.Do the right thing and make the internet reclassified as a title 2.Austin Andrews
2004. Dane Richardson, Chicago, IL, 60612
After reading about Net Neutrality, I realize now how many people have taken the internet, as we know it, for granted (myself included). As someone that uses the internet every day for work, leisure, and to search for answers having an internet that is controlled for the sole purpose of money seems like a complete paradox. I am against the net becoming anything other than what it already is; a neutral tool.
2005. Daniel B Garside, Chicago, IL, 60611
I believe that the principle of net neutrality should be upheld without modification so that every Internet user receives the best possible service in terms of availability and speed without regard to specific uses, priority deals with ISPs, contracts, pre-arrangements, special offers, etc. There should be one speed and availability for all users of a specific Internet vendor regardless size or stature. Special arrangements between ISPs and Vendors to enhance or retard service for any particular user (e.g., client, paid user) or service (e.g., paid video, paid audio, etc.) should not be allowed. No such special arrangements which enhance or detract from the best possible speed and availability should be permitted. I oppose the planned changes to the rules.
2006. Mike Nowosielski, River Forest, IL, 60130
The internet belongs to us the poeple
2007. Sam Taylor, River Forest, IL, 60305
Getting rid of net neutrality is a terrible idea. Also your giant coffee mug is stupid.
2008. Jasper Lam, Chicago, IL, 60610
Net neutrality is crucial to preserving basic internet freedoms and preventing large corporations from throttling

speeds, access, and other services based upon membership to these companies, essentially a strong-arming tactic into subscription. As an entrepreneur who hopes to be more deeply involved in healthcare, a field that is becoming steadily more electronically integrated each day, this would have an adverse effect on any company, health system, or individual who wanted to utilize the full potential of healthcare analytics (e.g. uploading relevant medical data, or transferring patient records or crucial developments electronically), but is stifled by additional fees. Competition will then be dictated in favor of larger, slower corporations who can afford these fees - but not startups, where a large portion of medical innovations originate from now. Please take this into consideration as proceedings move forward.

2009. Laura Nash, Chicago, IL, 60601

Keep net neutrality! It's the only way to protect our freedom of speech and keep things fair for the little guys. And the big guys too, honestly as someone in tech it's how we are going to keep innovation going.

2010. brandon pittman, Chicago, IL, 60611

We spend so much time on the internet, and so many people have built lives via the internet. It has brought people together, helped create innovative ideas, encouraged competition, taught people who want to learn new things, ect. The net should be free. I do not want my ISP to choose what services I get when purchasing internet from them. I want freedom of choice, as I believe everyone should. I live in an apartment complex and do not get to choose my ISP. It is unfair for them to get to chose the internet services for me, that is not the point of the internet. Please keep the net free and how it currently is.

2011. Ryan Matthew, Chicago, IL, 60601

Internet is a place for freedom. No place for special corporate interests. The people for this do not even understand one part of it.

2012. Samuel Galloway, Chicago, IL, 60653

internet is like a radio with infinite stations. We sld be able to tune into them all clearly, not just the ones who pay extra. keep the web fair and free.

2013. Michelle Green, Chicago, IL, 60605

As a recent MBA graduate, I understand the importance of free markets and fairness in capitalism. If certain companies can pay for faster internet speeds, Micro, Small and Medium Enterprises in America do not stand a chance against the giants that dominate our marketplaces. We will be destined to deal with only monopolies, people will lose their jobs and livelihoods and the American Dream will officially be at an end. Please, keep the net neutral in order to protect American businesses of all sizes and the consumers who support them.

2014. Casey Charles, Chicago, IL, 60301

The ability for content providers (websites, apps) to reach their customers (myself, yourself) without restriction by biased third parties restricting access or restricting access by proxy (via speed filters) ties fundamentally into the American way. Giving the service provider the ability to determine which websites and apps get "unrestricted" speeds will very quickly lead to unfair and discriminatory practices that benefit only the service providers and those they choose to party with. Outside of monetary concerns, I wonder what will happen when liberal media is deemed worthy of unrestricted speeds, but conservative outlets are bogged down--their content unreachable or unfeasible accessible to rural citizens without access to high speed internet. The only way forward is unrestricted. It avoids unethical business policies and political discrimination.

2015. Lena Lu, Chicago, IL, 60616

Getting rid of net neutrality rules violates the freedom of Americans through censorship. Revoking these rules allow companies to censor what is on the internet by blocking websites and slowing down connections on chosen content which is in direct opposition of the American ideals of freedom.

2016. Gabe Muthart, Forest Park, IL, 60130

The internet has become the leading example of free speech. If corporate greed is allowed to infringe upon the first amendment with the support of the government, are we truly the land of the free? Gabe Muthart

2017. Aaron Flores, Maywood, IL, 60153

The internet while it may seem like a luxury, it is very much a right. Some peoples careers depend on the internet and you would be ruining a lot of business. While yes giant business may not take a big hit small business will suffer. This doesn't seem all that fair that peoples lives could be at risk.

2018. Henry Geraghty, Oak Park, IL, 60304

I like that the net is neutral and I think that there is no real reason to take these laws out of play. Honestly, it's

political movements like this that make me, and I think other people participating in this system feel so hopeless and cynical. I hope with all of my heart that this doesn't happen, and I hope that you take my concerns with some degree of sincerity. Henry Geraghty

2019. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60607
Greetings, My name is Joel Luellwitz and I'm a computer programmer at a computer security company. I'm a computer expert and have a solid grasp on how the Internet works at a very low level. Proceeding Restoring Internet Freedom is not very appropriately named. It gives freedom only to Internet service providers and the large corporations that control the Internet backbone while restricting freedoms for the vast majority of Internet users. The Internet should not be unregulated. It should be treated as a public resource because huge amounts of federal dollars went into creating it. Removing reclassification essentially removes net neutrality protections. These common sense protections help small businesses get started online, helps to ensure people have equal access to a variety of information from multiple news sources, and helps to ensure people are not locked in to getting their other media only from partners of Internet Service Providers. Without net neutrality, smaller players get priced out because they won't be able to pay for access to so called "fast lanes". Please help the PEOPLE, not large corporation, by not supporting proceeding 17-108. An equal Internet supports free speech and the free exchange of ideas. Net Neutrality is essential for upholding these American values. Please don't let us down. Thank you, Joel Luellwitz
2020. Caelan Dick, Chicago, IL, 60612
I support net neutrality and I oppose allowing ISPs to discriminate against users and websites.
2021. Terrence Afenya, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I think that we should have the freedom to look at what we want and not be pushed into a site for the sake of profit. You people need to consider what freedom really means. It means that one can do what they want when they want. It means the being left alone to your own devices. Please just keep this stuff free its one of the final shreds of purity left in the world.
2022. Robert Smith, Chicago, IL, 60606
As a college student, having unrestricted and unhampered access to all available resources is a must for my studies. By removing net neutrality you are hampering my ability to study from multiple locations and as a result are inhibiting my ability to receive a proper education and enter the workforce at a higher level. Furthermore, many business rely on the internet to operate. By removing net neutrality you are crippling countless businesses and organizations in favor of larger corporations. As an individual, I realize that I have very little influence in the grand scheme of things. HOWEVER, as an individual there are still things that I can do. I WILL NOT vote for any individuals that supports the removal of net neutrality nor will I support them in any other way. I will tell anyone willing to listen about the injustice that is trying to be done here and I WILL urge them to do the same. THIS CANNOT PASS.
2023. Nathan Thompson, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Considering I create content through streaming and use communication applications such as Discord or Skype, net neutrality is extremely important to me.
2024. Viridiana Vasquez, Chicago, IL, 60629
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight and just in case you for some reason can't speak English; Conservar la neutralidad de la red y el T tulo II. Apoyo espec ficamente una fuerte neutralidad de la red respaldada por la supervisi n del T tulo II. If I can try to learn another language, you can at least read these comments and take these things into consideration.
2025. Nathan Viets, Chicaog, IL, 60611
Keep net neutrality. Chairman Pai should get out of FCC and go back to Verizon where he belongs. We don't want him to kill the internet.
2026. Caroline Claflin, Chicago, IL, 60622
Net neutrality is important; I support a free and neutral internet
2027. Spencer, chicago, IL, 60605
I want a free and open internet. Keep Net Neutrality
2028. Christopher Courtney, Chicago, IL, 60608
I work for an online education platform that services thousands of students in remote locations. This allows me to bring my expertise to places like Enterprise, Alabama; Shreveport, Louisiana; and Walla Walla, Washington

within seconds of one another for one-on-one mentorship – all from my family home in Chicago, Illinois. The company I work for is based in San Francisco, California. In the past, I would have had to uproot my family and limit my availability to people in the greater San Francisco Bay area just to do what I do. In return for the time not spent commuting, I have time to spend more time with my family and volunteer time in local schools here in Chicago as a mentor for lower-income students. Without Net Neutrality, my availability to all of the people I mentioned above (including my family) would be directly compromised. I believe that Net Neutrality is the path to a brighter future and a true instrument of equality for people who come from a lower income situation.

2029. George Kupczak, Oak Park, IL, 60304

I support net neutrality. Companies shouldn't be allowed to cripple my internet speed to make more money. The internet is a utility, not a luxury.

2030. Margaret Heppner, Chicago, IL, 60707

We need to maintain the current legal status of net neutrality. Without these protections independent business owners, journalists, bloggers etc, will be a potential disadvantage online. The internet has been an amazing equalizing platform, and a place where smaller voices have been able to gain momentum and be heard around the world. An internet where content providers and creators who have money are prioritized over those who don't is an internet that stifles creative voices and mutes protests! In today's world this is the last thing that we need. We need to maintain the equalizing space of the internet to foster creativity, business and exchange of ideas -- all things that help us move forward as a whole.

2031. Lena Stojiljkovic, Chicago, IL, 60611

Net neutrality is most important because being able to access different sites helps college students with research and assignments. Without net neutrality, college will reverse it's progress and go back in time when books were the only source of knowledge.

2032. Michael Schaeffer, Chicago, IL, 60601

Please retain net neutrality and keep our internet free from ISP / telecom control.

2033. Peter Schuette, Oak Park, IL, 60301

I urge you to maintain Net Neutrality. The internet is a vital form of communication essential to the growth of humanity, please do not hinder this in any way.

2034. Amanda Loraine Salter, Chicago, IL, 60605

THE INTERNET WAS AND FOREVER SHOULD BE BY ALL AND FOR ALL.

2035. Anthony De Jesus, Chicago, IL, 60651

We have the right to freedom!

2036. Lola Powell, Oak Park, IL, 60301

Open Internet is vital to my life. It has allowed me to go to school, obtain multiple degrees and operate a thriving business that continually improves the lives of people around the world. It gives me the freedom to stay in contact with people I love who are around the globe, with out open internet communication would be difficult. I but internet access to obtain fast, safe, and secure access to the internet. I use email, cloud service, and web hosting from other sources (not my ISP). Where I live I currently have one option for ISP. I find this unfair and limiting. There are several days where my business is effected by this. It directly effects my online sessions with my clients. I believe the FCC should have a direct role in overseeing the cost, privacy, speed of internet, fraudulent billing, and price gauging by ISPs.

2037. sai anvesh unnava, Chicago, IL, 60607

I want no Bias internet and i support net neutrality

2038. Laura Kozak, Chicago, IL, 60607

Internet service providers should allow access to all parts of the world wide web without restrictions based on source or favoring one product or site over another. Open for all, access for all, always.

2039. Patricia Basuel, Chicago, IL, 60654

Please do not give ISPs unilateral control over how users consume information over the internet. Doing so will benefit only the ISPs – NOT consumers or small businesses. This will harm businesses who will not associate themselves with ISPs or cannot afford to pay ISPs to prioritize their content. More importantly, this will greatly negatively impact our rights to freedom of speech and of the press. We must maintain and improve existing net neutrality rules and not roll them back.

2040. Olawale Hassan, Chicago, IL, 60612
When I subscribe to a broadband internet service I'm looking to get unfettered access to all the internet has to offer at the highest speeds possible. It's the FCC's responsibility to make sure Internet Service Providers live up to the services they advertise. They shouldn't be free to create barriers to me accessing information and commerce on the internet because of charging fees for faster speeds. The internet has become a public utility and the infrastructure supporting that utility should be regulated as such.
2041. Michael, Bellwood, IL, 60104
You need to stop this and slow the internet to be free. It's freedom of speech. It is our right Michael
2042. D. Aguirre, Chicago, IL, 60601
Deregulating internet access as a public utility I believe is a clear violation of the first amendment, "Congress shall make no law... or abridging the freedom of speech." Although this is not a Congressional matter, elected (or in this case appointed) officials have the obligation to respect the principal of the nations founding document. Without net neutrality, direct impediment of our rights can and likely will be endeavored in the name of corporate profits.
2043. William Moffett, Chicago, IL, 60601
The 3 most significant considerations to these proceedings are:1) The national philosophy of free speech as a virtue2) The internet is now a major mode of communication, on the scale of a utility3) Private, profit seeking interests have no responsibility or obligation to safeguard free speechNet neutrality is a necessary protection for free speech. Taking away net neutrality will greatly undermine free speech, as though allowing a small group of private interests to decide, for hundreds of millions of people, whose microphone is turned up to what volume. Kindly, do not allow the country to be handicapped in that way. Acknowledge the utility of the internet in a way which preserves and enhances the value of a marketplace of ideas by retaining the Title II classification for ISPs. Content is what should be valued and facilitated, not dubious claims of private interests over the [physical medium through which the content is distributed. The internet is nothing without a free marketplace for content, and so it is nothing without net neutrality.
2044. Kavita Jain, Chicago, IL, 60611
I strongly urge you to keep net neutrality rules in place, as is. Internet Service Providers should have no influence upon their customers' preferences and habits. Net neutrality, now!
2045. Shrey Shetye, Chicago, IL, 60601
Net neutrality and an open internet are key to the principles of a country like the United States that is based on the freedom of everyday people. It should be upheld
2046. Kelly McCabe, Chicago, IL, 60622
I support a neutral internet that does NOT allow service providers to control any of the content I see or the speed with which it loads.
2047. Lamont Lindsey, Chicago, IL, 60644
For a country that prides itself so much on freedom, the possibility of net neutrality ending shouldn't even be a thing. This makes the internet everything that it shouldn't be, and places too much power in the hands of a few instead of allowing better opportunities for all. Ridiculous.
2048. Michelle Artus, Forest Park, IL, 60130
We firmly believe that net neutrality should be upheld and even improved upon. It is our right, in this technological age of America, to demand equal dealings throughout the internet, which has become a basic necessity to the average American. Net Neutrality is the way to go.
2049. Anya, Chicago, IL, 60605
The internet should be free, do not try and control the worldwide web you freaks.
2050. Colin Mack Nair, Chicago, IL, 60614
ISPs being able to choose what companies deserve more bandwidth is something I DO NOT want to happen. I also purchased my internet package expecting to get top notch speeds applicable to all websites and products, not ones that my ISP could deem more valuable than others. I also only have two options for an ISP and one of them has TERRIBLE connections in my building so I am forced to use 1 ISP, so if I felt discriminated against using this ISP I would have no way to choose another. I would rather the FCC focus on preventing ISP's from overbilling Americans on having access to the internet, if this act were to go through my bills could skyrocket.

2051. Wesley Nott, Chicago, IL, 60654
Internet service should be accessible to everyone. Introducing the ability for ISPs to charge a tiered system based on content is encouraging a further divide between the lower, middle and upper classes. Please do not allow this
2052. Emily Heintz, Chicago, IL, 60642
Please keep access to information open and democratic.
2053. Anita Hui, Chicago, IL, 60612
I support net neutrality because to restrict open Internet for consumerist purposes shows communistic qualities. In a country whose foundation is about freedom, revoking net neutrality would be a regression. Having the luxury of open Internet is deeply embedded in all of our every day lives and will have long lasting affects on the American people.
2054. Walt Wells, Chicago, IL, 60654
I am for the regulatory rules around net neutrality. Equal access to information, regardless of economic status, is hugely important for America to move forward as a successful country in an age with an increasingly high velocity of information. Current and future generations will need more and more access to information to thrive and be productive. Please, do not accept any proposals or rules that weaken existing policies.
2055. Alexander Epstein, Chicago, IL, 60616
I'm questioning the benefit to the general public for the changes being requested to Net Neutrality and the benefits it provides to free speech and innovation.
2056. jennifer king, chicago, IL, 60611
I support the existing Title 2 net neutrality rule and do not want to see you repeal it. Iâ€™m worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way theyâ€™re enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any. Thank you for reading my comment.
2057. Sean Wylie, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Net neutrality is a key component of human rights in the coming generations. The free access to ideas and a global community is an inherent piece of over-coming the remaining areas of tyranny and prejudice. Giving that power to profit focused companies is a mistake and a direct challenge to our freedoms.
2058. julia c, Oak park, IL, 60302
I want my net neutrality! The internet should not be controlled so that small businesses who cannot afford equal fees as big corps suffer. Do the right thing please!
2059. Laura Wylie, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Access to information is a right that needs to be protected. As US consumers, I am looking to the FCC to protect my right to access information, to protect my privacy, and to put the interests of the people it serves above those of businesses. I work from home and require fast, reliable internet access to do so. Working from home also allows me to care for my children, so it is not a stretch to say that having reliable, affordable internet access is paramount to the function of my family and our quality of life. Please support strong net neutrality rules to preserve this freedom and what it means to my family!
2060. David Keels, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Net neutrality is essential to maintaining a democracy as well as preserving the innovative spirit of America. Please consider the best interests of the citizens of this country when making a ruling that would affect the neutrality of the internet.
2061. Sandra Landis, Chicago, IL, 60605
I strongly believe that the internet should be open and free to all and access should not be controlled by your internet provider. Government funds helped build the internet and all in question have been doing fine financially with net neutrality. Please don't allow communications companies to further control our access to websites. Small businesses need to know that they are on a level playing field. The government says they support small business as the "backbone" of our country, yet these businesses will be unfairly hurt by the lack of net neutrality. Do the right thing and keep net neutrality.
2062. Jordan Bradley, Chicago, IL, 60642
I believe strongly for an open and equal internet, and furthermore treating it as a utility. Having been illegally throttled by AT&T in the past, I know how awful it is to have diminished service with no available alternatives.

We cannot trust companies to keep the best interest of their customers for something as universal and necessary as internet access.

2063. Gabe Cozzens, Oak Park, IL, 60302
This would be taking away our basic freedoms and would be a violation of privacy. Gabe Cozzens
2064. Susan Emery, Chicago, IL, 60622
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and to everyone who utilizes the internet. I urge you to protect them, as the internet is something we all use now for every day life: work, socializing, entertainment, grieving, council, support, business, and more. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. This censorship will also undoubtedly lead to stifling small businesses, which kills the open market and allows large corporations to further monopolize what is currently the open market. Please realize the significant effects ending net neutrality will have on small businesses, personal livelihood and also each citizen's comfort and sense of privacy and protection.
2065. Tristan Bice, Chicago, IL, 60605
This is ridiculous. Lawmakers continue to introduce bills to throttle access to websites. Americans continue to protest against these bills. It seems lawmakers are blind to the concerns of the public, and instead beholden to nearly monopolistic ISPs who are not in any danger of losing business. Stop supporting this power grab. Tristan Bice
2066. Jailyn Reed, Indianapolis, IL, 46225
Look we cant just be looking at buffering videos we need to be able to have or privacy and do what we want on our own wifi #StopTheNetNeutrality
2067. Lee Salisbury, Chicago, IL, 60661
I would like to write in a comment to keep the internet open. The ONLY reason that one would vote to change the rules would be because the national telecoms are persuading you to do so. I run a small business, and no matter how you slice it, changing the rules for the big players is horrible for the rest of us small guys. Please don't let \$\$ stand in your way of doing the right thing. Thank you, Lee
2068. Diego Rivera, Cicero, IL, 60604
The internet shouldn't have to be paid for if its been doing well up to now.
2069. Ben Papke, Chicago, IL, 60612
I have had nothing but poor customer service, hidden fees and overall unethical business dealings with the companies who are currently trying to be allowed to control the internet. Please don't let them do this. Ben Papke
2070. Tayler M. Jones, Oak Park, IL, 60302
It is important to maintain net neutrality, as consumers already pay a fee for internet provision. In addition, many companies already require payment to access special services on top of what consumers pay to have internet. If fees are incurred against various internet companies, this will negatively impact consumers and likely drop demand.
2071. John Turner, Chicago, IL, 60654
As a technologist and internet entrepreneur, the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. The ability for ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest would harm competition and small business greatly. As the co-founder of a small internet start-up, it would be absolutely detrimental to my business, and many other businesses like mine. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. And Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Not to mention the act of censoring the internet. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to

have their voices heard.

2072. Michael Elliott, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please maintain net neutrality, for all these reasons: <http://www.popsoci.com/net-neutrality-is-under-attack-heres-why-we-need-to-protect-it>
2073. Amaris, Chicago, IL, 60644
It seems to me that Chairman Pai has some conflicting interests having previously worked at Verizon. I in no way support giving ISPs the power to pick and choose what content should be easily accessed and given a premium fast lane, and what should be hampered and slowed down. They won't stay neutral and won't be fair. I have no confidence that ending net neutrality will lead to rampant abuse and take advantage of people, as too many people already have only one available ISP. Especially in rural areas. I'm tired of seeing things become more and more in favor of businesses rather than the American people. Amaris
2074. Ryan Fuller, Chicago, IL, 60601
An open internet is extremely important to me, and everyone I know. It's outrageous that the government, tasked with the well being of its citizens would choose to do something so detrimental to nearly every citizen. The only people who would benefit from Net Neutrality being undone would be large corporations. The FCC needs to decide whether they stand with American Citizens, or the corporations bottom line.
2075. Logan Heffernan, Oak Park, IL, 60302
The open internet that we have today has created a hotbed of culture, art, and connectivity that has united the world beyond what has been known before. The end of net neutrality threatens to undermine all that the internet has done for society at large. Please protect net neutrality. Logan Heffernan
2076. Raf Miastkowski, Chicago, IL, 60616
The American public does not want to repeal Net Neutrality. It's time the government steps up and supports the will of the people and not corporate interests.
2077. kelly, River Forest, IL, 60305
Free speech will not be taken away! I have my rights to go where ever I want! kelly
2078. Natalie Peterson, Chicago, IL, 60614
Net neutrality is crucial. We reserve the right to share open networks, to communicate freely and openly, see what we want to see, and our content should not be regulated.
2079. Jason, Chicago, IL, 60601
I strongly believe that existing net neutrality rules should be maintained. As an Internet user, I do not want my ISP to have the ability to change my speeds based on what I am trying to access.
2080. brian branand, chicago, IL, 60605
I don't believe or trust the speed of my internet in the handles of unregulated companies like comcast or verison. I believe the only way to do that is to keep the internets communication policy the same under Title II. I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of isp's
2081. Leon Bailie, Chicago, IL, 60661
Don't f\$\$k with net neutrality you corporate bought hacks. Leon Bailie
2082. Adam Black, Chicago, IL, 60605
PLEASE consider keeping the internet open and free from regulation, as it was intended. Net neutrality should not be sold to the highest bidders.
2083. Catherine Kopecky, Oak Park, IL, 60302
This is extremely important, I urge you to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
2084. Lee Ann Norman, Chicago, IL, 60642
Strong net neutrality rules give people the power to choose which websites and apps are best for them. It is fundamentally un-American for internet service providers and some at the Federal Communications Commission to propose rules that dictate when and how I access the internet as well as rules that potentially limit or impede my access to the internet.
2085. Galal, Chicago, IL, 60611

I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II of the Communications Act.

2086. Ashley Hietpas, Chicago, IL, 60602
Net neutrality is important. Stop trying to destroy it.
2087. Christopher O'Connor, Chicago, IL, 60611
The internet has a myriad of applications that should not be restricted by corporations whose intent is to exclude the idea of an open and free medium. We did it with the Global Positioning System, in which we allowed for subscription services to use the service in its entirety. It costs US tax payers two million dollars to keep that infrastructure established everyday. Though the general populous uses the Global Positioning System in proprietary software commonly found on many smartphones today. The matter still remains the same, if one is willing to enroll in a subscription service provided by an internet service provider then the company contracted with that individual should not limit how much that individual's exposure to the World Wide Web.
2088. Michael Johnson, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Okay, look,I love the internet and everything it has to offer from good to bad. I love being able to come home after school and talk to my friends over Discord about video games. I love watching and uploading YouTube videos. I love all of the message boards that allow me to talk to many friendly people I'll probably never meet in my entire life. All of these things are amazing and play a huge role in my life. This is my second time sending an email and the only reason I can do that is because of net neutrality. Without it, I wouldn't be able to load this webpage and send this in the first place. Without it, I wouldn't be able to do many of the things that keep me happy without paying some kind of fee first. I doubt anyone has taken the time to read these ramblings from a 14-year-old, but if by any chance you did stop and take the time to do so, all I have to say is... please protect my internet.Thank You!P.S - r/place is a great example of something net neutrality could take away from us. It's a mural made by people across the web and I would be heartbroken if I couldn't see it next year.Michael Johnson
2089. David Naylor, Chicago, IL, 60611
I need you to take a stand for the freedom to communicate, innovate, and create intellectual property using the internet. We need this for the benefit of all constituents, for yourself and your families, and for generations to come. Policy change of this nature will likely serve to erode and stagnate the development of talented individuals throughout America. We need the FCC to defend the right and privilege net neutrality protections affords internet users.The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Pai's proposal to hand lucrative ISP stalwarts including Comcast, AT&T, Verizon, etc., greater latitude to create Internet "fast lanes" in pursuit of higher margins and profitability levels. This effectively stifles Internet users' access and privacy rules for which we worked for and won. I'm very hesitant of supporting a "pay-to-play" Internet where ISPs can charge more for certain websites and access right-of-ways. However, my position stands in stark contrast to the immense individual benefits of dividend and interest income I stand to receive from certain of the companies operating within the industry.This is a result of my belief that changes such as these jeopardize the spirit of innovation which makes the United States of America competitive within the global marketplace. Thankfully, the current Open Internet rules ensure that ISPs can't slow or block customers' ability to see certain websites or create Internet "fast lanes" by charging websites and online services more to reach the audience. The right kind of forward-looking approach to ensure the Internet remains a level playing field benefits Internet users and small businesses in addition to well entrenched Internet companies from which we currently benefit. Pai's proposed repeal of the net neutrality rules would help turn ISPs into gatekeepers with an effective veto / and or implicit taxation right over expression and innovation. That's contrary to the basic precepts on which the Internet was built. The internet was instrumental to me learning the skills to become successful in my own career. I now own debt and common equity in the companies which are clear beneficiaries of the proposed policy changes I oppose. I realize the gains we could achieve here by a reversal of policy all too well. However, it's a step in the wrong direction for our nation. At times such as these, we have the power and duty to act in the best interest of all Americans rather than a select few. The short-term gains are not sufficient to justify the adverse impact and enhanced learning experience of the next generation.I implore you to consider the grave adverse impact these proposed changes in policy might or would likely have on the ability to learn, communicate freely, and maintain the competitive advantage that our current policies provide our citizenry within the global marketplace. Thanks for considering the benefits of maintaining Title II net neutrality rules. I'm one who grew up in rural Tennessee, started my first business at age 10, and using the internet, taught myself finance and the basic framework of business acumen to become financially independent. I appreciate the open informational access I benefited from. Now that I live, work, and pay taxes in Chicago, IL, my support goes to those who oppose these policy changes.I look forward to seeing you take a stand in maintaining Title II net neutrality rules and the rights of Internet users on this issue. Those who do will have my gratitude and potential support in future endeavors.
2090. Nathan Shiba, Chicago, IL, 60654

Chairman Pai, I support your efforts to rescind the ill-conceived net neutrality law. Thank Zapier for forcing me, a paying customer, to listen to their political pablum. Go Gett'm, Chairman Pai! Nathan Shiba Nathan Shiba

2091. Jacob Nuetzel, Chicago, IL, 60618
I oppose the proposal to remove title 2 status from internet service providers. I want to ensure: 1. ISPs will not and cannot restrict or decide what internet traffic/sites are allowed to be visited or create priced tiers of internet access to certain sites/services 2. encourage competition in the marketplace 3. encourage small startup ISP/municipal ISPs to compete with the legacy telephone/cable companies 4. encourage small sites to be able to compete on the same footing as internet giants such as google and facebook.
2092. Michelle Dodson, Chicago, IL, 60653
Please remember who you have been elected to serve, "we the people." The only "people" who benefit from ending net neutrality are ISB providers. Please do not sell away our freedoms to the highest bidders. Net neutrality should be protected!
2093. nope, Popetown USA, IL, 98119
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Go fuck yourself, tiny mug man.
2094. Sayeed Faruqi, Chicago, IL, 60611
I request FCC to support the net neutrality. If the FCC is left without authority over broadband ISPs, ISP could double its prices overnight, and there wouldn't be anything the FCC or any other agency could do about it. Additionally, I have zero choices other than my current ISP should I choose to opt for > 25Mbps speed.
2095. June Barrett, Chicago, IL, 60623
I DO NOT CONSENT TO THE CABAL'S TAKEOVER OF THE INTERNET!!! I DO NOT CONSENT TO PREDICTIVE MODELING BY ETHERIC OR COMMERCIAL MEANS. I REVOKE ALL SPIRITUAL CONTRACTS WITH ALL MEDIA AGENCIES, CORPORATIONS AND MEDIA BASED FAMILY CREST. I REVOKE ALL PRESUMPTIONS OF CONSENT WITH ALL MEDIA AGENCIES. I REVOKE ALL SPIRITUAL CONTRACTS THAT LIMIT THE GENETIC FREE WILLED EXPRESSION OF ALL SENTIENT KIND. I DEMAND ALL RIGHTS OF GENERATIONAL KNOWLEDGE BE RETURNED TO ALL SENTIENT KIND. I HEREBY DEMAND THAT ANY AND ALL FUTURE DISTRIBUTION OF INFORMATION OVER ALL FORMS OF MEDIA ABSOLUTELY EMBODIES, TRUTH, INTEGRITY AND TRANSPARENCY IN PERPETUITY!!! OUR FREEDOM COMES WITH THE USE OF FREEWILL AT ALL TIMES!!!
2096. Ben Ewell, Chicago, IL, 60661
Please don't gut net neutrality protections. The level playing field of the internet has done wonders for American innovation, unlike the cable companies who seek to undermine it, who use their oligopoly to lean on and marginalize average Americans.
2097. Tommy, Chicago, IL, 60611
Humans are cool. Lets all just enjoy the internet together like normal.
2098. Patrick Brown, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Please maintain net neutrality. As a US citizen, I believe that the internet is a public resource, just like the TV broadcast spectrum. I depend on the internet for free access to news, commerce and entertainment. I have a right to access the internet and to receive its content free from corporate restrictions.
2099. David Halsted, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I study the history of computing and the Internet. The Internet is the ultimate public good. Let's treat it that way.
2100. Glenn Rippie, Chicago, IL, 60654
The internet gives all Americans unparalleled and unprecedented access to information from a nearly limitless range of sources. This resource is so precious in part because that access is controlled by the consumer. We the people pick what to access, when, and how. Of course, some producers of content might want to make it comparatively easier to access the information and services they control or favor, and to hinder access to sources with which they compete or that they disfavor. That choice -- made by someone other than the consumer -- inherently comes at a cost to the disfavored providers and to consumers who want to access that information. There are enough ways to access on preferential bases content "pushed" by providers willing to pay. When Americans buy internet service, they should have a tool that they can use to see all the available content, equally.
2101. Patrick, Forest Park, IL, 60130

The internet is an amazing, useful, and even at times, educational place. Don't mess up the good thing we got going in favor of padding the pockets of those whose pockets don't need padding.

2102. Ms. Lee, Chicago, IL, 60611

I've been wracking my brain for the best way to succinctly capture my strong support for net neutrality, but after failing to come up with words that will magically remind those in power of their inner Jiminy Cricket, all I will say is this: In today's world, where so much favors the rich and powerful and big corporations often take advantage of the people they supposedly serve, the Internet is a treasure that must be protected. It is where we laugh at stupid memes and read the latest news and listen to . We use it to watch mindless videos and to ask strangers stupid questions and to organize fundraisers and rallies that draw millions of people together. It's a requisite for school, for work, for a social life, even. The internet is an integral part of our lives, and no cable company should be allowed to censor their detractors or stifle their competitors web presence or determine what we can see and do on the internet.

2103. Bob Burton, Chicago, IL, 60654

Nice try but the Internet is ours, not yours or companies that want to profit by putting a price on something they don't own. They're making enough money without creating a cost where none exists for them. Reverse the effort to put a price on free speech for a phenomenon that is an expression of American ideals, the Internet.

2104. Klaus P Adam , chICAGO , IL, 60653

The WWW is here for all to communicate and not a data platform for corporations to invade the private sphere of US citizens. Using those data beyond the current options available is fraud. Comcast&AT&T make enough money with the US consumer.

2105. Dennis Podgorski, Oak Park, IL, 60302

What if the Internet was so slow it loaded one word at a time? Defend #NetNeutrality."Net neutrality isn't some radical new idea. All of the amazing growth and innovation on the Internet didn't just happen while we had net neutrality, it happened BECAUSE of it." - Senator Al Franken and I agree 110%So FCC, it is time to act in the best interest of all the people not just your friends at the various telecoms and cable companies that many of you have worked for in the past.

2106. Arlene Bell, Oak Park, IL, 60302

I strongly support net neutrality. Please protect!

2107. Jennifer Donelan, Oak Park, IL, 60304

Corporations should not be allowed to decide what information and types of communication we can access. As an educator I fear for current and future students and scholar's ability to access information. Net neutrality is essential to any modern free and democratic society.

2108. Pete DePetro, Westchester, IL, 60154

As a citizen of the United States, I implore you to protect my rights to a free and open internet. Freedom of speech is a fundamental right in this country and net neutrality is key to this right on the internet. Leave net neutrality rules in place.

2109. Jazmin Rolon, Chicago, IL, 60651

The internet as it is most certainly is a very vast and encompassing realm of possibilities. For me, it has been a means of furthering my own education. I had to drop out of high school when I was very young to care for a relative. I work full time now and have been pursuing my education online. Currently, I am learning web design and hope to start an online business. That will allow me to work from home so that I can continue to provide the hands on care my family needs. So the net being a neutral space for up and comers like me is essential. I am not going to be working full time to benefit someone else's business for the rest of my life. I want my autonomy and the internet as it is provides me with the often FREE resources to do just that. To even try and take that away is declaring you, and those of you eager to challenge net neutrality, don't care if we better ourselves. The internet has been a source of flourishing cultural outlets as well. I am a member of many sites that promote independent artist and musicians that would lose out on profit and promotional opportunities if net neutrality were taken away. The internet is also host to sites where people can simply discuss issues and interest without fear of prosecution. I can only imagine what limitations would result if net neutrality were destroyed. What online resources would cease to exist? Would therapist no longer be able to virtually council patients to busy to make in person visits? Would people lose out on learning new languages? Would I have to give up my singing lessons with my online instructor? I refuse to allow anyone to limit my choices and control my luxuries. This is America. Not China. Not North Korea. Freedom in the pursuit of Happiness is engraved on our hearts. It is what we live and fight for. The internet

as it stand allows me to pursue interest which will grant me happiness and the possibility of one day having the freedom of being a self employed business owner.

2110. Dan Waterloo, River Forest, IL, 60305
The Internet expanded so rapidly due to net neutrality. Please keep the internet neutral. We need it to be fair and open and equal for all kinds of data, so that good, small improvements continue to develop this fantastic platform.
2111. Tiffany, Chicago, IL, 60611
Net neutrality is at the core of our democracy in the information age. To sacrifice net neutrality is to compromise free expression for profit, and creates a 21st century oligarchy. Net Neutrality MUST be protected.
2112. Joyce Tsang, Chicago , IL, 60611
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. I refuse to give up my voice and be censored by big companies. Net neutrality is essential for small business growth! Without net neutrality, creators and entrepreneurs could struggle to reach new users. Net neutrality allows consumers "not big companies" to choose what they watch & do online. This choice should not be controlled to funnel business/streaming to specific companies. Speed of internet should not be determined by big business interests.
2113. Andy Alper, Chicago, IL, 60612
Net neutrality allows equal participation to all who have the knowledge and the equipment. Placing an academic/military developed communication network into the hands of telephone and cable companies not only goes against the public good, but it is also a misuse of taxpayer's money. We funded the internet, and grew it with years of participation. Handing it over to the communication companies is wrong, and UnAmerican.
2114. Sean, Chicago, IL, 60611
The ability of the internet to acquire and spread knowledge has become a crucial element of our society. I am vehemently opposed to companies wielding hidden power to stifle some pages and promote one who match their interests. The internet should remain a meritocracy, not become a autocracy.
2115. William O. Drewett, Chicago, IL, 60601
Net neutrality is a technological equivalent to free speech, and as such is just as important as free speech. Public funds originally established the internet and all the public deserves access to it on an equal basis. It is clearly not in the public good to offer access on some fee basis that gives priority to those who pay more. Please support net neutrality 100%.
2116. Thomas A Schouten, Oak Park, IL, 60304
The internet was meant to be open. Please keep it that way
2117. Carlos Munoz, Chicago, IL, 60622
The Internet is a place for all to grow and learn. It should not be privatized so that only the rich can learn and grow from it,
2118. Vladimire Herard, Chicago, IL, 60639
I am asking lawmakers and agency leaders, especially the FCC, to protect net neutrality for all of the public, the very patrons of the Internet. As a matter of principle, the Internet must be kept free and unencumbered for use by all of the public because its members have a right to an education and to maximize every aspect of their potential using it. The Internet serves as a library for all and anyone has the right to enter and use a library. It is a source of human enrichment and must be kept as such and not be compromised by the evil designs and greed of a few oligarchs or corporations.
2119. Chris Mendoza, Chicago, IL, 60606
We must maintain net neutrality. Removing net neutrality will stifle creativity, discourage free speech, and hurt small business owners that are really the foundation of our country and economy.
2120. Elliott Washington, Chicago, IL, 60616
This is common sense. NET NEUTRALITY MUST be protected.....
2121. Dave Cross, Chicago, IL, 60606
I have dealt with AT&T (never again!) and Verizon in the past, and I currently struggle with Comcast. None of these corporations has the consumer's best interest at heart. They are operated to extract maximum profit, whether that means short-changing the end-user or shirking their corporate tax burden. Leaving the issue of net neutrality in their hands is akin to asking an opioid abuser to hand out oxycodone at a clinic- most will end up in his

pocket. Leave Net Neutrality alone! And bring back the Title II classification of ISPs.

2122. Sharon Sullivan, Forest Park, IL, 60130
Stop using the U.S. legal system for the benefit of Big Business.
2123. Arthur Kalinski, Chicago, IL, 60612
I stand for the preservation of net neutrality. I will not have my content censored as per whim of a corporation nor will I tolerate being nicked and dined for utilizing the internet. The internet is made by people, it is not manufactured in a factory by a company. You cannot rightly pretend to have authority over it.
2124. Eve Ocasio, River Forest, IL, 60305
Please do what we all know is the right thing.
2125. Sana Jafri, Chicago, IL, 60010
Net Neutrality matters and is essential to free speech under the first amendment. Big companies should not get to control the free internet and profit off of it. Your potential measures will decrease access to minority and low-income communities unfairly.
2126. Dan Cole, Chicago, IL, 60606
Please Preserve Net Neutrality.
2127. Concerned Citizen, Chicago, IL, 60654
Preserve net neutrality for the little guy, for the guy who doesn't have billions of dollars to hire lobbyists and donate to political campaigns. The internet was built as a free and open place, an open forum in which people can freely exchange ideas. Don't let greed corrupt what started out as a noble idea for the betterment of all humankind.
2128. N. Schulz, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I am a doctor and intend to eventually be a small business owner. The internet is not a luxury service; it is essential to American business and private life as electricity and telephone service. To allow powerful interests to skew this playing field threatens to strangle a generation of small businesses and entrepreneurs in the cradle. A rollback of net neutrality would be extremely counterproductive for our country, economy and quality of life.
2129. joan koren, oak park, IL, 60302
The internet needs to be publicly "owned" and/or treated like a public utility-- to put it in the hands of private corporations would make it a commercial enterprise vs. a means of sharing information and learning new things. Please maintain its original purpose and philosophy - which was not a private entity whose use could be bought and sold.
2130. Jeff Mota, Chicago, IL, 60642
The internet is public infrastructure, so please don't allow companies to effectively privatize and monetize it. We don't need additional concentration of wealth within those companies. We need a level playing field to stimulate competition and innovation.
2131. Matt Riddle, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Keep my internet open and neutral.
2132. Christine Vernon, Oak Park, IL, 60302
If the United States is to maintain an even playing field for each and every person, then net neutrality is essential in order to maintain equal opportunity available to all who use the Internet.
2133. Mike Garman, Forest park, IL, 60130
Net Neutrality Forever!
2134. Karen, Chicago, IL, 60661
I support net neutrality and need the FCC to do the right thing and support it too. It is criminal to gut the current plan and hand over the internet to big cable and more.
2135. Rich Nietzsche, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Net neutrality must be maintained or the companies that will control the internet must be regulated as public utilities answerable to the people. To do otherwise will be to hand over a public asset to entities with private profit motives that will favor the large and powerful and strangle small businesses and economically challenged individuals & families.

2136. Christian Wagenbreth, Chicago, IL, 60616
Just like we are not prioritizing phone lines, internet traffic should be treated the same way. It is a utility and should not be throttled or enhanced based on corporate interest.
2137. Kyle, Chicago, IL, 60616
As a student, having the internet at my disposal was my greatest tool. It gave me endless resources that helped me invaluablely throughout my high school and college years. If net neutrality is ended, it is clear that the consumers interest is no longer at heart. We seem to forget that students are consumers too (or do we...) What another terrible blow to our education system when once again, students are put into the back of our great countries minds,
2138. Lois Thiessen Love, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Net Neutrality should be retained to enable all of us to participate in the market place of the internet. Just as we have supplied electricity and other utilities in equitable fashion our citizens, net heutrality is needed sustain vibrant and equitable participation.
2139. Nicole Harriman, Chicago, IL, 60606
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech, do not side with big business and profits over the rights of Americans.
2140. Daniel Desmond, Chicago, IL, 60607
Keep the internet and its networks open. This is not an impediment to the free market, as the large telecoms will claim, but in fact the free market at its best.
2141. Perry Robbin, Chicago, IL, 60608
Net neutrality supports free speech, small businesses and innovators. It also allows individuals just like me to choose how they spend their time online, not their internet service providers. An open internet is essential to the internet's position as a source of information, education and entertainment.
2142. Luke Fischer, Chicago, IL, 60610
The Internet is a wealth of information that has been an essential part of my education. Letting companies control this wealth of information takes away from my ability to grow and improve our country. Net Neutrality needs to be protected.
2143. Ivy Walker, Chicago, IL, 60605
Rigging the internet so that big companies have an advantage by prioritizing content is un-American. The internet should be treated as a utility. Small content companies should not be placed at the mercy of big business. Keep the internet open to all.
2144. Antonio Lee, Chicago , IL, 60644
Don't destroy net neutrality, you animals!
2145. John Empfield, Chicago, IL, 60616
Free and open access to the internet without and corporate censorship is vital to free commerce and free expression.
2146. Jason bushman, River forest, IL, 60305
The internet is not a luxury for a select few. Net neutrality must be protected.
2147. Nina, Chicago, IL, 60607
I don't want commercial interests to filter the information I see online.
2148. matt jaffee, chicago, IL, 60605
the head of the fcc is a moron and a jerk. fight him, destroy his odiocy
2149. Heather, chicago, IL, 60602
FCC, you must protect those who cannot protect themselves. Do not allow these few companies to control access to information. It is arguably as imprtant as water and the air we breathe. How would you feel if someone limited your water supply? Please do not hand over the internet to these big companies.
2150. Carlene Wesemeyer, Chicago, IL, 60654
The World Wide Web is a right, not a privilege. Having the ability to view content, regardless of provider, is a freedom we need to stand for.

2151. Richard Dowd, Chicago, IL, 60605
Ending net neutrality will only increase the cost of accessing information on the internet and limit choices. Access to the internet is already more expensive here than in Europe because of a lack of competition in the USA. Newspapers are rapidly disappearing. The internet is one of the few remaining places where different opinions can be read. Net neutrality is needed in order to protect this information. Obviously they wouldn't do this right away but they will when they need another bump in earnings. If consumers aren't asking for net neutrality, why is this even being considered? Is it corporate donors that are trying to get their way. Sincerely, Richard Dowd
Richard Dowd
2152. Chris Weeks, Chicago, IL, 60610
Please protect net neutrality and the Title 2 ISPs
2153. Parker Jendrycki, Chicago, IL, 60611
The FCC needs to continue to support Net Neutrality (all internet traffic has the same priority) to keep the US as a leading hub of innovation and civil rights.
2154. Robert Vishneski, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please do not side in favor of businesses to change the rules on Net Neutrality. Part of the greatness of the internet is the wealth of choices for content, from necessary research sites to entertaining distractions to interactions with other living people. It is my firm belief that when the protective shields around net neutrality are taken down, the few businesses in control of internet provision will use their newfound powers of control for their own personal benefit, at the detriment of us consumers. In my opinion, government exists to protect its citizenry from threats, be they external threats from foreign powers, or internal threats from greedy corporations. As a public servant, I hope the FCC makes the best choice for the public.
2155. Sabrina Childress-Miller, Chicago, IL, 60623
Leave our television and internet options alone. We don't want you controlling what we see or don't see!
2156. Joe Fong, Chicago, IL, 60607
I want to keep net neutrality forever., I want no discrimination against certain types of data or websites. ISPs should no be allow to determine what I can do on line. Please keep the current open internet rules in place. Thank you.
2157. Carson M., Oak park, IL, 60304
Net neutrality is not only important to the average United States citizen but also internationally. Going back to pre-2015 and giving the control to corporations who could use it to increase their profits regardless of the needs of their consumers. This could also affect their consumers access to trying to change it back to Title 2 later on or expressing disappointment or support to protesting against other affecting laws or movements.
2158. Maria Citko, Oak Park, IL, 60302
The internet is a powerful and useful tool which can be used for great information and help in everyday circumstances. Yes it can also be abusive and mishandled. But for the greater good we should not saddle it with burdensome regulations. Title II regulation is burdensome and unnecessary. It limits competition, and hurts innovation and investment. Therefore, I support FCC Chairman Ajit Pai's rollback of Obama's Internet takeover.
2159. Seve Kim, Chicago, IL, 60607
keep net neutrality backed by title 2 rules
2160. Daniel Bricker, Forest Park, IL, 60130
It is my understanding and belief that free and open access to the internet is vital for a fair and just society; as important to Americans as any public utility or service. The rules and regulations must be establish to guarantee all people equal and fair access to the internet regardless of economic status or other factors. Since a substantial number of people's only access to the internet is through their smart phones, or borrowed terminals, the rules must apply equally to any method of access to the internet we have currently or may have in the future. No one should be excluded from any part of the internet. An informed public is the foundation of Democracy. It is too important to leave this in the hands of the corporations whose main, if not only, concern is profit and rarely the public good. Regulation on this issue is a matter of public safety and common decency. One would hope that libraries, media, water and road services, for example, would not be handed over to any company without regulations to ensure the fair and just treatment of all. Why should we value the internet any less? It has become integral to almost every action in society from finding a job to running our homes and finances and even educating our children and

population. Please help keep Americans, and by extension the world, safe from the injustice that will unavoidably follow the course of action you are currently following.

2161. Mary-Rose Joshi, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please save net neutrality. Internet providers should not be allowed to favor or degrade particular products, websites, or any other internet traffic.
2162. Micheal Jordan, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please preserve net neutrality and title ii. If not I will slam drunk my jizz in any oversized reese's peanut butter cup mug.
2163. Jose-Marc Techner, Chicago, IL, 60611
I am a united states citizen and I want net neutrality. I do not want to pay for preferential broadcast speeds. That is ridiculous.
2164. Marie Goodkin, Chicago , IL, 60605
Net neutrality is essential to free speech. It must be preserved.
2165. ramesh joshi, chicago, IL, 60612
Net neutrality must be protected for consumer choice and safety. i support that it is "First amendment of the internet".
2166. Ben, Chicago, IL, 60605
pls no bully
2167. David Williams, Chicago, IL, 60651
A safe, open, fast internet is important for individuals haring information and learning. It provides a contemporary version of the Forums long lauded by guardians of knowledge. By removing Net Neutrality, every individual who accesses the internet to better themselves, expand their horizons, or simply to converse with others will be negatively affected. Leave the internet free and open; do not allow ease and speed of access to be monetized.
2168. Marci Iacobucci, Chicago, IL, 60601
A neutral, open and equal internet is fundamental to free speech. In America we talk about how access to information will help oppressed people learn about and want to fight for democracy. We talk about how we are a great country that values and encourages free speech and access to information by all for all. IF net neutrality is lost, then we will be nothing more than a country of hypocrites.
2169. Anthony Dobrowolski, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I believe that a free and open Internet is, in the age in which we currently live, as important as a free press and should be regarded as such.
2170. Daniel S. Norinsky, Chicago, IL, 60605
I don't want large or small corporations knowing my browsing habits willy-nilly. It seems like there's a lot of room for impropriety and not a lot of oversight.
2171. lauren, Chicago, IL, 60610
it's our rights to have freedom of speech and removing net neutrality would take that away.
2172. Nicholas Wilson, Chicago, IL, 60644
Censorship is not American - we need a free and open internet. Large corporations should not have control over what content citizens can access via the web. The internet needs to remain a level playing field for all Americans.
2173. Ana F, Chicago, IL, 60605
Even as some places struggle with getting internet service providers to their small towns, or get internet at good speeds, people all over the world recognize the importance of being connected, having access to information, making themselves known in this big world. Net neutrality is key for all those people who are just discovering the potential of the internet. It is maintained by the people, for the people.
2174. Jeff, Chicago, IL, 60607
The internet is the driving force behind modern innovation. Access to it should not be controlled by monopolistic corporations.

2175. Edward Keller, Chicago, IL, 60651
Please stop selling the Net to corporations. The Net must be a neutral space for all to enjoy, not just the large corporations. We need the freedom to view what we wish, and say what we wish, without large corporations deciding for us. Keep the internet for the people, not the corporate overlords.
2176. Sabah Ahmed, Chicago, IL, 60642
The move to rescind internet access as a title II service is a thinly veiled attempt to promote the wishes of industry giants to the detriment of an open market. Giving ISPs the ability to throttle access across the internet will stifle innovation, investment, and allow ISP's to promote their own services without competition. The fact that this proposal is being presented after Verizon co-opted the FCC by appointing one of their lawyers as it's Chairman only underscores the one sided nature of this proposal. Do not rescind title II classification of the internet as a service.
2177. Jeff buckley, Chicago, IL, 60610
Please leave the net alone
2178. Lou Weeks, Berwyn, IL, 60402
Having net neutrality makes the playing field more even for all of us.
2179. David Gilbert, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Preserve net neutrality by rejecting the proposed rules. An open and equal internet is essential to my small business.
2180. sadaf elahi, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Net neutrality protects free speech and allows consumers to dictate what they want to watch and from which web site
2181. Zygmunt G. Baranski, Chicago, IL, 60605
Put individuals before corporations!
2182. Alan Goott, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Net neutrality protects free speech. Abandoning it would be like permitting the US Postal Service to delay delivery of newspapers and publications promoting opposition ideas and candidates. It is also essential to promoting competition by enabling small internet startups to grow and prosper. We should not allow a handful of major ISPs to decide which web sites will have the best access to consumers, or to limit consumer choice among competing web sites.
2183. Jackee Pruitt, Chicago, IL, 60624
As an educator, I use the internet to prepare lessons for my students, and also I don't have the time to travel with a bunch of books, and magazines. I can take my laptop get a cup of coffee, my teacher planner and design lessons and have access to shared lessons from other great educators, world wide.
2184. Leslie Shwartz, Chicago, IL, 60611
Monopolies would control this industry without net neutrality. This is antithetical to the fundamental right of free speech as guaranteed in the Constitution
2185. Anke Koning, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support absolute Net Neutrality Providers, such as AT&T already slow speeds after a set quantity of usage. Given an inch, I foresee providers taking a mile. This would be less of an issue with many providers but reality is that Internet providers are an oligopoly, Ma Bell and 2 or 3 others
2186. Dr. Sergey Turin, Chicago, IL, 60605
As a physician and a surgeon, I have often found that I need the ability to access information and media quickly and without any restriction to share patient information, advise my colleagues and research appropriate treatment methods. Limiting the flow of information or regulating it is akin to limiting the flow of knowledge, and therefore stunting any intellectual development in society. In principle and in action, it would hurt the country so much more than it would benefit those who may profit from it. Please don't let this happen.
2187. Nathan, Chicago, IL, 60610
IÃ¢â€™m make this brief; net neutrality means a lot to me for three reasons. One, I am a student. Two, I am a programmer and three, IÃ¢â€™m an indie developer. By taking away a neutral internet you are taking the core components of who I am, chopping them up and selling them as overpriced downloadable content. My studies will

be hindered by a pay to win style wall, hundreds of hours of hard work and dedication will not see the light of day and my personal voice will not be heard solely because my choice of media will be so skewed and unbalanced toward newcomers.

2188. Caitlin Hofert, River Forest, IL, 60305
Without net neutrality there is no difference of opinion. The people in control of the internet control what is considered "right" and what is considered "truth."
2189. Meredith Brener, Chicago, IL, 60653
Net neutrality is important because my internet provider should not be able to restrict my ability to access information in order to make a profit.
2190. Elizabeth Streit, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please maintain net neutrality. It is vital to investment in new ideas and innovation.
2191. Karen Hartman, Chief Financial Officer, Prevent Blindness, Chicago, IL, 60606
Prevent Blindness is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization and the nation's leading volunteer eye health and safety organization dedicated to preventing blindness and preserving sight. We exist to educate the public about the importance of early detection of eye disease. To accomplish this mission externally, our organization relies on a strong Internet presence through use of social media, digital marketing campaigns, public service announcements, educational materials and videos, and advocacy. To accomplish this mission internally, our staff who are located in various affiliate offices across the country, rely on a robust and unencumbered Internet connection. We believe the proposals set forth in the Federal Communications Commission (FCC)'s proposed Notice of Proposed Rulemaking "Restoring Internet Freedom" will place an unfair burden on nonprofit organizations, such as Prevent Blindness and our many nonprofit partners, to accomplish basic operations that are so fundamental to our charitable mission and work. Therefore, Prevent Blindness opposes the FCC's proposal in its entirety. We believe the provisions of this rule, if enacted, will permit Internet service providers to prioritize higher-paying customers and raise Internet costs for everyone. Prevent Blindness strives to keep our overhead costs, including Internet and IT services, low so that we can focus on our mission of fighting blindness. Any increase in spending on these services, which is likely should these provisions become enacted, will force nonprofit organizations such as ours to divert funds away from our programs to cover these increased costs for basic Internet services. The Internet has become an essential tool that allows us to engage with the public, establish partnerships, and build a trusted presence for patients in the eye and vision health realm. We urge the FCC to retain the guidelines as currently drafted and allow us to continue to focus on our charitable mission.
2192. Cornelius Venhuizen, Chicago, IL, 60607
Please keep the title II rules in place. I'm worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any. The end goal would be to make the internet a utility just like the European Union. The information on the internet should not be dictated by who can pay the most, we should all be equal.
2193. Don Wood, Chicago, IL, 60611
One way our democracy expresses itself is through free speech, unhindered and unthrottled, as our Founders intended. Net neutrality assures free speech, which in our country is not just a good idea, but it's the law.
2194. Linda Daly, Chicago, IL, 60611
Don't censor the Internet, or sell it to the highest bidder.
2195. David Rechs, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Listen to the millions of people, citizens of the nation, not the handful of wealthy lobbyists.
2196. Jerry Hicks, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please protect net neutrality for all of us!!!!
2197. Jay Thierauf, Oak park, IL, 60304
I oppose a plan to abandon net neutrality. The current state of classifying ISPs as title 2 carriers needs to stay in place.
2198. David Ferry, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I support keeping Net Neutrality in place. Removing Net Neutrality will hurt small businesses who may be forced to pay more to compete in the free market.

2199. Ronald Thomas, Chicago, IL, 60653
I support net neutrality and continued Title II regulation of Internet Service Providers (ISPs)
2200. Jodi Wine, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I urge the FCC to keep its net neutrality rules in place, which I believe are extremely important to this country's progress and position in the world. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies and individuals that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what Americans see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding and also to my Congressmen. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and do whatever you can to dissuade Chairman Pai from destroying these protections. Thank you!
2201. Adam Green, Chicago, IL, 60611
I apologize for a form letter but...I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!
2202. Edna Frank, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Dear congress men, We need to keep a free internet. It is like freedom of speech..
2203. Rupa Shah, Forest Park, IL, 60130
I am going to keep repeating till you take notice. ISPs can not be allowed to decide for me what is important to me and what I website I should have access to.
2204. Marjorie McCommon, Chicago, IL, 60623
Please uphold fairness for all where the internet is concerned. Protect net neutrality for all of us.
2205. Anna Bramfeld, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Please protect an open and accessible internet for all people and businesses.
2206. Nick Beck, CHICAGO, IL, 60612
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to all of us! ESPECIALLY THE MILLIONS OF FOLKS WHO OPERATE SMALL BUSINESSES VIA THE INTERNET! Guess what? We're barely making ends meet and cannot AFFORD upcharges on faster lanes! THIS IS NOT AN OPTION AND WILL STRANGLE OUR ENTIRE ECONOMY UNNECESSARILY!! THIS IS A VERY BAD IDEA! NOT TO MENTION THE INFRINGEMENT OF OUR PRIVACY GUARANTEED BY THE CONSTITUTION!! We urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like.

They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

2207. JJ Frankel, Chicago, IL, 60605

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are exceedingly important to me. I most strongly urge you to protect them for the sake of all Americans, our American democracy, and education as well as American businesses. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a vastly serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This very badly hurts consumers and businesses, from very large to small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

2208. Julia Kohn, Chicago, IL, 60607

There is no reason, aside from corporate competition and greed, to limit internet speeds. The internet should be maintained as a publicly available resource that is not limited or restricted by "fast lanes."

2209. Susan Silinsky, Chicago, IL, 60601

Open communication is essential for the American way of life.

2210. Rupa Shah, Forest Park, IL, 60130

Net Neutrality is an absolute must for people like me who need access to ANY website to get information to take care of a patient.... emergencies can not wait!

2211. Rochelle Lewis, Forest Park, IL, 60130

Please allow US citizens to maintain Net Neutrality

2212. Robert Kil, Chicago, IL, 60611

2213. Stephon Doby, Chicago, IL, 60614

I want the internet free and we want to save the internet

2214. Mike Demir, Chicago, IL, 60611

What is wrong with you? Be a patriot and save the freedom of you fellow countrymen, by saving net neutrality

2215. Eric Zhuang, Chicago, IL, 60607

Hi FCC, I am writing to express my opposition to repealing this act regarding net neutrality. It not only affects me but most Americans in clearly a negative way. Please do not do this. -Eric

2216. Gregory Schalliol, Oak Park, IL, 60302

Dear FCC and US Congress: Ending net neutrality would be unjustly distorting the playing field for ordinary citizens in the United States. It would be a bit like allowing for-profit companies to offer valet parking at the local emergency room, such that people who happen to have more money could have their emergency handled more quickly than for someone without money. Certain services that are considered basic for general participation in society as a whole need to be offered equally to everyone who is a US citizen. Other "optional" services and goods can be offered based on how much you can (or are willing) to pay. The internet now falls into the former category.

Please don't let it be considered a luxury that one needs extra money to enjoy. Sincerely, Dr. Gregory Schalliol, Ph.D.

2217. Jack Anderson, Chicago, IL, 60654
Dear FCC, I think we all enjoy the internet. Millions across the USA use it daily to enjoy their lives even better. I'm definitely in that crowd. Hell, I'm using the internet right now to communicate with you. We all love money, and more money is better, right? Sure, in a basic sense. But limiting internet access behind pay walls is absurd. China censors their internet, and I know you guys like that. America, we're the land of the free, not the land of the fee. Keep net neutrality! From, Jack
2218. Kellee Watkins, HINES, IL, 60141
America cannot continue on this path of protecting the rights of a few or only giving a voice to the privilege if it really wants to sustain its current place at the world's table. By censoring or limiting access to the internet you are silencing the voice of our next generation and subsequent generation of innovators, creators and thought leaders. As much as you need the money man, you need the rest of us to generate new ideas and tools for living in this ever changing world. If we continue to focus solely on the needs of the rich, America will lose out on what makes it great. And people really will be longing to make America great again. I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality rules and keep Title II in place for broadband internet access.
2219. Victor Polites, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Use of the internet is vital to my job. Clear and unrestricted access is the lifeblood of everything the company that I work for does. I want the FCC to retain the ability to stop my internet service provider from interfering with my communications choices. The courts have already told the FCC that to do this, ISPs must remain under Title II. I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality rules and keep Title II in place for broadband internet access.
2220. Jodi Wine, Oak Park, IL, 60302
The deep pockets already have too much power and influence. There should be some things that money can't buy (or ordinary people can't be denied for lack of money).
2221. Anthony Saladino, Chicago, IL, 60654
We cannot lose net neutrality or we will lose the internet as we know it.
2222. Ellis Durbin, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Historically large companies have acted in the will of the people, by handing over control of the largest available communications network available to the public you're essentially allowing them to censor at their discretion and effectively isolate people that they deem threats, it's a slippery slope and seems to be in stark contrast with nearly every applicable American ideal
2223. Tim Ryll, Chicago, IL, 60607
Freedom of viewing is the same as freedom of speech
2224. Adam Scholten, Chicago, IL, 60607
Monopolies shouldn't exist, we need freedom of rights.
2225. Liza Fernandez, Chicago, IL, 60605
The Internet, especially in the Land of the Free, should remain free, unobstructed and uninfluenced by both the government and any and all corporations.
2226. Kushal Virupakshappa, Chicago, IL, 60605
I am doing my PhD and if you remove net neutrality I will not be able to quickly access documents needed for my research or some sites might be blocked by the service provider. Mr. Ajit Pai, I know you have brain but please do build a sense of morality
2227. Jonathan Mannacheril, Chicago, IL, 60661
Net Neutrality is what makes the Internet the Internet. Without it, millions of lives will be changed for the worse. I hope that the Trump administration does not win!
2228. Bonnie, Chicago, IL, 60603
I should decide what I want when I want it not some bureaucratic, money grubbing, power machine.
2229. Jacqueline Leavy, Oak Park, IL, 60304

ISPS will take advantage to over charge consumers and filter information. Given that the American people cannot rely on the current federal regime under Trump to be truthful OR transparent, we need net neutrality more than ever!

2230. Chuck Lorenz, Chicago, IL, 60607
Free and unfettered communication cannot be negotiable. The internet has become an essential, fundamental means of communication--as fundamental as postal mail once was. It cannot be looked upon as a simple commodity or vehicle of entertainment. Research, jobs, even democracy worldwide depends on unfettered access. Even if I believed that ISPs had the public interest at heart (which I don't), all could change with a different board of directors.
2231. Julija Vallee, Forest Park, IL, 60130
Companies have no right to restrict content or speed to users. Information should be accessible to all.
2232. Don Fickles, Chicago, IL, 60606
Because Ajit Pai is awful and wants to abuse his power for personal gain from helping certain companies that will give him well paying consulting jobs in the future and other benefits. Non neutrality will be government support for anticompetitive business. Another internet will have to be built to avoid the internet where businesses don't play fair because of FCC support, but there is not enough funding for a better internet and so the public will only suffer.
2233. Sophia , Oak Park, IL, 60302
I need to have access to any website. It is extremely important to me to be able to have easy access to most, if not all info that I may ever need.
2234. Sonia Burg, Chicago, IL, 60611
I have a terrible network, and I don't want my streaming to slow down even more. Big business should not hold people captive. I support small creators.
2235. Liz , Oak Park, IL, 60302
Because he internet is a platform for small businesses and is not owned solely by one person. People need the Internet to check certain things for school, their jobs, daily life. And some people cannot afford having to pay for extra sites that they need.
2236. Katrina R, Chicago, IL, 60605
I don't want to have to pay extra for going to websites that are free to me.
2237. Alyssa Nelson, Oak Park, IL, 60302
It directly impacts my ability and my RIGHT to make a living as an artist.
2238. Margaret Kennedy, Oak Park, IL, 60302
As a student and someone who needs and uses all corners of the internet on a daily basis, whether that's for education, entertainment, or other things, it is vital that net neutrality remains stable-I do not and will not have the money to pay my provider for sites that I need to access but no longer can, and as both of my parents are IT workers, the success of their jobs can suffer if no one is able to access the products and services that they are providing. Do not put lives, incomes, and services at risk like this.
2239. , Oak Park, IL, 60302
I use the Internet every day, and restrictions on it would absolutely crush certain ways of life for me.
2240. Amanda Elligan, Westchester, IL, 60154
As a freelancer it's imperative to my livelihood to preserve net neutrality. Please protect it.
2241. Ariel Isble, Chicago, IL, 60661
I shouldn't have to pay extra to use certain web pages
2242. Drew Smith, Chicago, IL, 60605
I am a freelance artist who relies on the internet and social media to get clients. I need net neutrality to make sure my career is not negatively impacted.
2243. Evelyn , Chicago, IL, 60661
No company should have the right in limiting either access or speeds for their own benefit. The Internet is a free space where every person, no matter their status in society and go to and interact on..

2244. Ace , Chicago, IL, 60644
It would destroy the meaningful relationships I gained through the means of the internet. I could possible lose my significant other, my entire world if the internet is forced for those who have to pay to use it. It would cut off plenty of people, making the world even worse than it is now. Please do not sacrifice crucial relationships for a net worth, it's not worth it.
2245. Elyse Cantor, Chicago, IL, 60611
It is VERY important to me that net neutrality is restored. I am BEGGING you. The internet (and internet speeds) should not be decided by who pays the most. This will completely decimate any market America had left (honestly we export so little but what little we do is sold ONLINE) do you really want to isolate us from the rest of the world? No one will want to deal with that, and that includes your own constituents. Ending net neutrality is a terrible idea.
2246. Mark Janssen, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Selling us out to big cable is the way that moderates like myself will stay away from the Republican party for years. Fiscal responsibility is too too high a price to pay for a party that sells consumers out every chance it gets.
2247. Wai Szeto, Chicago, IL, 60616
How can you let them control what we see? Isn't this how 1984 was with Big Brother? Where is the freedom when our thoughts are being controlled? How is this the step in the correct direction at all? Will you like it when your children in the future can only view government propoganda on TV and computers? If you are afraid of that, then this is the exact direction this is headed right now. It's an exaggeration, but what if it's not in the future? Please, I don't write much, but I urge you to please fight against the control of our internet and to support net neutrality. Thank you!
2248. Colleen Cakora, Chicago, IL, 60611
Net Neutrality should not be attacked in order to support corporations, leaving the consumer to suffer. I do not support this attack as Comcast and other major corporations do not need any further dollars - dollars that are essentially stolen from citizens. This is another example of the Trump administration seeking to benefit big business rather than the people of the country that they serve. The current state of affairs makes it difficult enough for the general public to switch between service providers without suffering immensely - both monetarily and mentally. To make another attack on Net Neutrality is a fragile attempt at controlling consumers by restricting services, minimizing options and further lining the pockets of corporations.
2249. Jake Malecek, Chicago, IL, 60607
Education and Business...and American ass a Free Country....
2250. Jay Bombien, Chicago, IL, 60607
Don't sell out to the corporations. Maintain net neutrality.
2251. michael campeau, Chicago, IL, 60605
Hello,As a citizen who was raised in the 80's, I don't recognize my country. I was taught that America was about freedom. That Americans fought for individual liberty. This abomination of a bill is a sign of a deep illness within our society. It's very name is a kin to "The Freedom To Murder Innocent People Bill". If that sounds ridiculous, it's because it is. And the fact that our representative government needs to be told again and again by it's people to refrain from such policies shows just how much the words of our Founding Fathers are needed. Do not allow yourself to believe that such a miscarriage of governance will go unnoticed. Do not allow this policy to proceed.Regards,Michael Campeau
2252. Michael Flutka, Chicago, IL, 60654
STRONGLY favor net-neutrality and a free & open internet. DO NOT throttle!
2253. randy bell, oak park, IL, 60302
Please leave the net neutrality rules in place. I do not want my ISP or others making choices about what I can access, how they can block or throttle based off economics and similar.
2254. Paul H. Deese, Chicago, IL, 60606
I am strongly opposed to any (1) blocking of websites by an internet service provider, (2) throttling of some content or speeding up of other content, and (3) "paid prioritization" that leads to "slow lanes" and "fast lanes" for content and services. There should also be increased transparency about how ISPs connect users to the rest of the internet. If the FCC can maintain those priority net neutrality goals under Title I, I am in favor.

2255. Seth Honey, Chicago, IL, 60605
Please keep the internet free and open with the the express idea of maintaining net neutrality. The internet, like water or electricity should be a utility that doesn't allow large companies to hamstring or throttle smaller companies or businesses.
2256. Matt Beck, Chicago, IL, 60607
The result of repealing these rules can only lead to harm for consumers. At best, this deregulation opens the door for unethical behavior, and now provides companies the right to use personal information without permission. This decision can very quickly and easily lead to censorship (even indirectly), favoritism, and abuse.
2257. Joel Sherr, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I am in support of Net Neutrality. The internet is not to be sold to the highest bidder. That is anti-American. Our taxes went to creating the internet. It is ours not big providers.
2258. stephane robert, Chicago, IL, 60622
We NEED TO MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY! THERE WILL BE NO FAIRNESS IF THIS GOES BACK TO ARTICLE 1
2259. Jamie Fillmore, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I very strongly support strong net neutrality protection backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
2260. Desta Wenzloff, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality and support the use of Title II regulations to keep the internet neutral.
2261. Tamar Levinson, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Preserve and support a STRONG NET NEUTRALITY and Title ii enforcement!!!! Don't let the ISP providers control the market!!!
2262. Carlos Paez, Chicago, IL, 60661
Internet Service Providers should not be allowed to prioritize access to individual websites or services. ISPs provide a service, access to the internet. By opposing net neutrality, FCC Chairman Ajit Pai contradicts his own regulatory philosophy: "Consumers benefit most from competition, not preemptive regulation. Free markets have delivered more value to American consumers than highly regulated ones."The internet is more valuable to society as an open market.
2263. Shawn Marsh, Chicago, IL, 60601
I am opposed to any any regulations that reduce net neutrality. Everyone should have equal access to the internet and no one should have their connection speed affected by an ISP as a way of generating more revenue. The current proposals will only hurt the average American and result in slower, more expensive service.
2264. Kevin Drever, Chicago, IL, 60642
Please keep the current regulations known as Net Neutrality in place.The current rules will ensure that Internet Service Providers (ISPs) treat all content equally and do not block, slow or otherwise discriminate against user access to web content.It is vital to our democracy and economy that access to information and content not be impeded or segregated. Equal and uniform access to content and information via the internet will ensure a more vital interchange of ideas and information. Net neutrality will keep strong the competitive incentives of our economic system and access to truth for our political system.
2265. Andrew Johnson, Forest Park, IL, 60130
ISPs have shown that they will prioritize their profits over the fair flow of information. Title II is critical to ensure that they do not use their power to unduly influence what we see, read, hear, and who we talk to. The internet is a critical infrastructure that must be protected and Title II is core to that protection.
2266. Viraj, Chicago, IL, 60607
Keep the net neutrality and title 2.
2267. Erik Schultz, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support maintaining net neutrality Title II
2268. Jodi Schraufnagel, Chicago, IL, 60605
I support Net Neutrality, please keep Title II. Do not de-regulate this!! It is imperative for these regulations to remain in place.

2269. Cory, Chicago, IL, 60601
Don't slow down my Porn For Jon O
2270. Griffin Myers, Chicago, IL, 60654
Thank you for all you do. Please preserve net neutrality and title II. Appreciate all you do for our country.
2271. Robert P. Doyle, Executive Director, Illinois Library Association, Chicago, IL, 60654
Illinois Library Association (ILA) Comments to the FCC on Net Neutrality: The Illinois Library Association represents not only its 3,000+ members, but the millions who depend on academic, public, school and special libraries. Our mission is to "enhance learning and ensure access to information for all," and further that "this access is essential for an open democratic society, an informed electorate, and the advancement of knowledge for all people." Changing the rules that have already been thoughtfully debated and carefully constructed to preserve this essential access to Internet access runs counter to the very reason libraries were created in the first place. In 2015, the FCC moved to keep the Internet an open environment that provided a level playing field, i.e., so-called net neutrality. There is no compelling reason to change these rules to allow privileged access to a few. We understand that the FCC has already received millions of comments on this subject from individuals and organizations and ask that you add our voice to those asking for the preservation of net neutrality.
2272. Sharon Grimm, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I support net neutrality. Access to information for all is essential for an open democratic society, an informed electorate, and the advancement of knowledge for all people. In 2015, the FCC moved to keep the Internet an open environment that provided a level playing field. I oppose changing the rules that have already been thoughtfully debated and carefully constructed to preserve this essential access to Internet. There is no compelling reason to change these rules to allow privileged access to a few. I support net neutrality.
2273. Matthew Rubin, Chicago, IL, 60607
It is essential that we support net neutrality under Title 2 enforcement to ensure a free and open internet. These rules keep the marketplace fair and competitive. This regulation does not distort the market, but ensures that new entrants can join unfettered and that conglomerates cannot unfairly dominate it.
2274. Jennifer Ford, Forest Park, IL, 60130
Net neutrality is absolutely vital for the health of the American economy and to support the essential freedoms of all citizens. If you change the internet from its rightful status as a utility, you will be damaging countless small businesses and individuals in ways the short-sighted, bottom-line driven thinking that even reopened this question cannot foresee.
2275. James Cordes, Chicago, IL, 60614
Everyone deserves equal access to the power of the internet!
2276. Owen Brady, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I support the strongest pro-Net Neutrality laws available. Big companies should not run our internet. I, a citizen of this nation, demand that Net Neutrality become the law of the land.
2277. Ralph Braseth, Chicago, IL, 60611
I absolutely do not want the power of the internet concentrated in the hands of a few carriers, especially ATT, Verizon and Comcast. You are bound to serve the American people, not those huge corporations. Put this politics aside, do what is right for the people.
2278. Roman Ebert, river forest, IL, 60305
Net Neutrality is vital for the future of technology. To destroy net neutrality would only help big tech monopolies. I believe you should not destroy net neutrality
2279. john platis, oak park, IL, 60301
the internet must be kept free of government and corporate domination.
2280. Arnelle Powell, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Adolph Hitlers Police State is here. I guess we didn't learn from thr past. When people tell you what to do, They don't have any Business of there own. The internet need less big brother and more freedom to watch the watchers. Why do Meglamaniacs get So much Power. Go Figure ?
2281. J R Arslanian, Chicago, IL, 60611
I support net neutrality...interent freedom

2282. Sydney Morgan, Oak Park, IL, 60304
We support strong net neutrality backed by TITLE 2 oversight of ISPs
2283. Matt Zurek, Chicago, IL, 60605
Preserve net neutrality to keep the internet open, free and fair for all.
2284. Nicholas Davis, Chicago, IL, 60605
There is no pressing reason to rescind or diminish the terms or protections of Title II that ensure net neutrality. The idea that internet providers, broadband providers, or other corporate entities are being harmed or cash-starved by the current rules protecting net neutrality have been proved to be specious. By contrast, the threats to free speech, democratic access, an unfettered press, and open assembly online—principles which could hardly be more pressing, given the threats to all these basic American rights under the current administration—are tantamount and well-documented. The current FCC has made no convincing legal or rhetorical case that its intimations of weakening or abolishing Title II, or to impair net neutrality by other means, are anything other than a cynical gift to corporate providers at the expense of consumers and citizens. In the strongest possible terms, I write in defense of Title II as it was implemented, and I urge an end to the FCC's deeply unpopular, unproductive, un-democratic, and ideologically dubious inquiries into ending or curtailing every citizen's free and equal access to the net, whether as readers or writers of circulating material. Thank you.
2285. John Fitz, Chicago, IL, 60607
By destroying Net Neutrality, you will the evil of our time that operated within the caste system, and hindered the growth of humanity. You are perpetuating the issue of corruption. You will be doing the wrong thing, and hurting the living breathing people. Think about what is right. Not what will make you™re political career better.
2286. Michael S. Prapuolenis, Chicago, IL, 60611
The current FCC proposal will kill the budding internet economy. It will limit use. The current FCC proposal is against America's interests and will only benefit the few greedy providers. Do not reverse the Title II classification that guaranteed net neutrality just two years ago. It works and is what America wants.
2287. kyle, Chicago, IL, 60607
The internet should and is used as a basic human right for communication and information.
2288. Tim Anderson, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Wired Magazine said it best: "Net neutrality is pro-business in the best and fullest sense of the term, guaranteeing that new companies can grow unimpeded and help accelerate the US economy. Net neutrality preserves undistorted consumers' freedom of choice. And at the same time, net neutrality facilitates a level playing field for political and social interaction on the internet, enhancing freedom."
2289. Wai Szeto, Chicago, IL, 60616
Why are you taking away a liberty that was bestowed upon us from the Bill of Rights? What you're doing is completely wrong and violates everything this country stands for and is taking one step in the wrong direction for humanity. Don't destroy net neutrality! Leave things the way they are and stop having the money control you!
2290. Lynn Hurley, Chicago, IL, 60623
My company builds websites. Our work depends on people's access to those sites. If our clients are forced to pay premiums to allow access to the sites, my company will have less work. If we have less work, we will be forced to fire employees or shut down. Everything was fine the way it was. Just leave it alone.
2291. David Wolff, Chicago, IL, 60610
Chairman Pai's argument to eliminate Title II net neutrality enforcement is that there is insufficient evidence of harm to justify them. This is a highly specious argument for deregulation since 1). Absence of evidence is not evidence of absence 2). If there is any lack of evidence, this is likely the result of delayed or obstructed release of tens of thousands of net neutrality complaints the FCC has, in fact, received over the past ~2 years 3). Even if we accept that there are currently no issues with neutrality violations that would require Title II enforcement to address, this is not an argument for removing or relaxing the enforcement mechanism. (If no sexual assaults have been reported in my town for [fill in time period], does that mean we should legalize sexual assault???) The only entities who could possibly benefit from removing Title II net neutrality enforcement are large carriers such as Verizon and Comcast. The only possible outcome for individual consumers is harmful.
2292. Tushar Khandelwal, Chicago, IL, 60661
Hi! I believe in a free and open internet and therefore oppose the proposal to create paid fast lanes that would impact small businesses by giving priority to larger higher paying businesses, I believe a lot more small businesses

survive on the basis of a free and open internet than any other means. This would be a kick in the teeth to all small businesses I strongly urge you to reconsider your dreadful proposal which is aimed at making the big companies bigger and killing the small guys

2293. Chris Jacobs, Chicago, IL, 60611
Please, please, please keep the internet free and open!!!
2294. Tucker Tomlinson, Chicago, IL, 60623
I oppose the FCC's current plan. I believe that the current FCC plans are a thinly veiled attempt to gut consumer protections, enabling multi billion dollar telecommunications companies to enrich themselves at the expense of the US public. The proposed plans would allow segregation of the internet, imposing barriers for information access. This is particularly concerning as the internet has become the de-facto source of news and information for many. Allowing for a segregated, higher cost internet will effectively discriminate against lower income citizens, placing them at competitive disadvantages for jobs or in starting businesses.
2295. Jennifer Deja, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Please preserve Net Neutrality. It is essential to American economics, and overall culture. Thank you.
2296. James Cotter, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Please do not let Mr. Pai dismantle the freedom of the internet. Our future relies on net neutrality and its not right to take this freedom away.
2297. Frank, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I support net neutrality. Please do not change the rules to eliminate net neutrality protections currently in existence. I want net neutrality and stronger consumer protection over Internet Service Providers. Thank you.
2298. Jessica Leo, Chicago, IL, 60607
Please maintain net neutrality. It is fundamental to upholding our constitutional rights.
2299. Joshua Cliburn, Chicago, IL, 60622
Allowing ISPs to arbitrarily block websites, couldn't reduce service speed, and couldn't charge more for access to internet "fast lanes" would be one of the most anti-competitive decisions the FCC has ever made. No one benefits from changing this well established rule except for large, multi-national corporations. The internet should remain free and open and abdicating that responsibility would be a huge mark against the FCC and the US Government.
2300. Mike gelobter, Chicago, IL, 60609
I support an open Internet free from isp intervention or prioritization of traffic. Treat the Internet as a utility.
2301. James T. Schwartz, Oak Park, IL, 60301
I urge you to reject the proposed Restoring Internet Freedom act and maintain the Title II classification that guaranteed net neutrality just two years ago. The Internet is a public utility and corporations should not be allowed to speed up or slow down certain websites or resources based on their own corporate needs. Our democracy depends on the free flow of information.
2302. Eilidh O'Brien, Oak Park, IL, 60301
I would like net neutrality to stay in place. Please do not let the big internet providers win.
2303. Jennifer Costanzo, Oak Park, IL, 60302
New neutrality must remain equal for all citizens. This is an issue of access to information and knowledge which must remain equal for all students regardless public or private schools, age groups regardless of class, and businesses regardless of size. It is important to choose to keep this a neutral and equal option for all.
2304. Matthew Williamson, Chicago, IL, 60606
The internet is by and far the most prominently used utility service in the world that is new this century. Not since electricity has humanity been involved in creating a powerful tool which will create a better life for everyone. This is already a service that is difficult for some people to access, and by making things even more difficult and expensive to access you are restricting the public's availability to use this amazing utility. Net neutrality must stand, and if anything it needs broader access to the public, not a more restrictive and "profitable" version of the Internet.
2305. Hilary Markow, Chicago, IL, 60654

It is important to retain Title 2 status for IPS companies. It is far more worrisome for them to be unregulated and allowed to create fast lanes and other internet obstacles than to imagine any real stifling of future innovation.

2306. James Kehoe, Chicago, IL, 60605
Net neutrality is vital to any meritocracy. The internet is the commons of the 21st century, and should remain open and available to all. There are enough barriers in modern American society for the poor and undereducated as they seek advancement; access to online information, training, job postings, and the rest of the internet should not and cannot be allowed to be determined by a person's current financial worth. Any rule that allows for the potential creation of barriers that would impact those seeking to use the internet to directly or indirectly advance their career prospects will exacerbate inequality and reduce economic mobility.
2307. Zach Nerenberg, Chicago, IL, 60601
Please do not reverse this order! Net neutrality is a very good thing!
2308. Adam Bahe, Chicago, IL, 60603
Please keep net neutrality as implemented by Tom Wheeler. Thanks.
2309. Donna Kostle, Forest park, IL, 60130
Please protect net neutrality. I don't want my ISP choosing what I should and should not see.
2310. Paul Maloney, Chicago, IL, 60654
It seems like that at every turn, every day, our federal government is letting us down. It's like every day one more candle of hope is snuffed out, as we're shown that our leaders are beholden to no one but the corporations that finance their campaigns, or worse, hostile foreign governments. Here's a GREAT opportunity to RESTORE one small bit of faith in our government and leadership. Net neutrality must be maintained. The long-term benefits of Net Neutrality are about to be victim to short-term gains by enormous companies and politicians. Please do not allow that to happen. Please maintain net neutrality.
2311. Sean Neilan, Chicago, IL, 60611
Why on earth are you getting rid of net neutrality, Pai?
2312. Ben Bergmann, Chicago, IL, 60661
It is important that this proposal not pass. The protections and assurances guaranteed under Title II are critical to maintaining a free and open internet- void of corporate oversight, greed, and manipulation. The elimination of such protections leaves the door wide open for eventual ISP overreach and abuse. Thank you, Ben
2313. Andrew Sharp, Chicago, IL, 60622
17-108...I support retaining * AND ENFORCING * strong net neutrality rules
2314. Sandra Kupelian, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Please do not kill net neutrality. Equal access is vital. The internet is a utility, not a luxury.
2315. Amy Lavery, Oak Park, IL, 60304
The 2015 order that ensures net neutrality should not be reversed!
2316. Jessica Royer Ocken, Oak Park, IL, 60304
Because the internet -- and access to it -- has become the gateway to so much of the information in our society, it seems essential that we ensure equal availability to everyone. Considering internet access as a public utility like electricity or water makes perfect sense to me, because it's exactly that sort of building block -- one that enables so many other things and truly benefits us all. I would urge the FCC not to repeal the 2015 order that ensures open and equal access to the internet. Thank you.
2317. Denise Weintraub, Chicago, IL, 60605
I urge you to reject the proposed Restoring Internet Freedom act and maintain the Title II classification that guaranteed net neutrality just two years ago. Democracy in America and around the globe depends on a free and open internet
2318. Chris Schmit, La Grange Park, IL, 60526
Please preserve net neutrality. I don't want to level up in my browser just so I can watch a video or listen to some music on a site that my ISP deems ineligible for the highest speed. Please don't turn the internet into a Freemium game, we have plenty of experience with that and it never works out for the consumer.

2319. Terry Welch, Oak Park, IL, 60304
I would prefer that internet service providers remain prohibited from prioritizing certain traffic over others.
2320. Caitlin Joanna S, Chicago, IL, 60609
I believe and value non-hierarchical access to information and online resources. By cutting 'Net Neutrality' the proposed systems will increase social, cultural, and economic barriers. I will not support this. I support an open internet. I do not support "voluntary" conditions of compliance. On June 14, 2016, a federal appeals court upheld open-internet protections in all respects and I believe the FCC should be as supportive. Net neutrality is a critical need and internet service should be open and equally accessible without price disparities. There are already barriers of entry for people to access the internet - do not institutionalize more barriers to access information and resources.
2321. Mostello, Christopher, Chicago, IL, 60601
The internet should be considered a commodity that must remain equally attainable by all American citizens. Discrimination on any level would put Americans on staggered levels of access for a basic service that is most necessary in today's society. The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Pai's proposal.
2322. Mary Beth Hartman, Chicago, IL, 60622
I believe net neutrality must be maintained. I work in online marketing for Hanapin Marketing Inc. and it is vital for our clients to be given full ability to reach all their target audiences. If net neutrality is taken away, my client's sites could be slowed down due to competition from other companies that are in the favor of the internet provider. The free market is of paramount importance and the net should remain free to all, and every site should be free to access without additional payment or service requirements.
2323. Deborah S. Levine, Oak Park, IL, 60302
Providers must operate in the public interest. Without regulation to guarantee oversight, policing net neutrality would be left to internet providers themselves, who have a big financial incentive to charge different prices for different types of access. Ensure net neutrality by NOT reversing the 2015 order.
2324. Andrew Swigart, Chicago, IL, 60607
As a citizen and consumer I believe that the Internet's promise is still unfulfilled and net neutrality is essential in exploring that promise. Limiting bandwidth and promoting services over others locks into place the current gatekeepers and would act as a monopoly on the flow of information and commerce. I support net neutrality, please do not limit bandwidth.
2325. Andrew Isaace, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I urge you not to overturn the 2015 order that classified ISPs as public utilities. In today's world, internet access is as much a public utility as water, sewer, gas, or electric. Especially in a world with giants such as Google, Facebook, and Amazon, we need to be sure that the internet is a level playing field for all content providers.
2326. beth fischer, foest park, IL, 60130
PLEASE support net neutrality! Thank you, Beth Fischer
2327. Doris Davenport, Oak Park, IL, 60301
America has long been touted as a place where everyone has an equal opportunity to succeed, if you work hard and persevere in spite of obstacles. Many communities can no longer persevere toward success because the obstacles stacked against them are growing at an alarming rate. The internet has disrupted and revolutionized every sector of our society including the ability to obtain basic and critical information for just about everything. The internet should be treated equally for everyone. If corporations are people, why should have an advantage? They are not people. They survive and thrive on profits. Removing neutrality from the Internet leaves minority and other marginalized consumers vulnerable and unprotected. It is time to let the American people know we have a government who understands, respects and support our basic rights for freedom and equality, which includes net neutrality!
2328. Gabriel Sterritt, Oak Park, IL, 60302
To Whom it May Concern at the Federal Communications Commission, Please protect net neutrality and Title II regulation of Internet Service Providers. As a consumer, my choices are inadequate due to lack of market competition in the data haul space. ISPs already have a deck stacked in their favor by existing "natural monopolies" - new entrants cannot simply go out and run new fiber to a region, magically conjure customers, and start profiting. But existing ISPs can easily and arbitrarily change their terms of service and pricing, apparently not just to endpoint consumers on their networks but also to data/content providers of all sorts who would traverse the ISPs' networks to reach their captive customers. ISPs have a de facto bottleneck on internet transmission, whether

they are DSL, Cable, Fiber, or Wireless carriers, and in the vast majority of cases they are NOT directly competing for captive customers. This impacts not just the frivolity of cat videos and foodtime facegrams but the very most fundamental rights of speech and assembly without which the idea of Freedom in these United States would not exist. DO NOT allow throttling. DO NOT allow double charging of endpoint consumers for service and for additional special services. DO NOT allow charging both consumers and content or application providers for the use of the same bits. AT&T, Comcast, Verizon, Charter do not need to be larger to be more effective... they need to face direct competition. They may not be investing more in their infrastructures because it behooves them to say to the FCC, "Look, we didn't invest as much because net neutrality regulation hurts our business!" despite telling their investors that it hasn't. This is as duplicitous as the above charges and fees they would assess against their customers and traversing data, content, and application providers. They should not, as the available carriers of consumer data, be allowed to profit off of that data without providing clear documentation to each and every customer exactly what data they have collected and how they have profited from it. Do promote competition - direct competition. Apple Macintosh is not the same as Windows and they are not perfect competitors. Comcast Xfinity is not the same as Google Fiber (a direct market entering competitor that should be rewarded for attempting to introduce real choice to captive markets.) Thankfully, the existing Open Internet rules mean that ISPs can't slow or block consumers' ability to see certain websites or engage in data discrimination by charging online services and websites more money to reach customers faster. That's exactly the right balance to make sure competition in the Internet space is fair and benefits small businesses and consumers as well as larger players. Chairman Pai's proposal would transform Internet providers into gatekeepers with the ability to veto new innovation and expression. That's not the kind of Internet we want to pass on to future generations of technology users. I urge you to keep Title II net neutrality in place, and safeguard Internet users like me.

2329. Matthew McMurrer, Chicago, IL, 60607

Hello, I would like to express, in the strongest terms, my opposition to any move to weaken net neutrality rules. Unfortunately I do not have enough time for the depth or eloquence this subject deserves, so I will say simply this: net neutrality preserves information services vital to the functioning of democracy. I get all of my news from one internet-connected device or another and the idea that my ISP could be allowed to discriminate what sites I could browse is fundamentally antithetical to a free society. This is admittedly a little doom and gloom. So here is a capitalist argument: the big ISPs would like to double-bill for access to their networks. I pay for the transmission of data to and from my connection. If my ISP would like to start charging content providers for sending me data, I would like a commensurate reduction in my monthly bill. When I send a package, I expect that the price I pay UPS covers the shipment and would expect an angry call if they tried to call the recipient as well. Likewise, I have already paid for all packets of data coming too and from my home internet connection. To extort payment from the providers of those packets of information as well is simply ludicrous. The ISPs operate as semi monopolies, and quite frankly, there is not enough competition in the market to compel ethical behavior. That is what government regulation is for, please continue net neutrality regulation.

2330. Luiz Neves, Chicago, IL, 60654

Net neutrality is the fundamental backbone behind the success of the internet we know and love today. Restricting for corporate interests will do far more harm than good for the country and set a terrible precedent for the rest of the world. I urge the FCC to not maintain the freedom and neutrality of what is fast becoming one of the most important utilities of our modern lives.

2331. Oren Sreebny, Chicago, IL, 60616

The Internet must remain an open and level playing field where innovation can freely flourish, not stifled by the (usually bad) decisions made by large corporate interests. Title 2 remains the best, most effective tool to enforce that openness. Removing that tool at the behest of corporations who have given large campaign contributions to ensure that end is the lowest form of crony capitalism. The FCC has an opportunity to stand up for the American people and the future of the open Internet. Please keep Title 2 as the rule in force.

2332. Jeremy Vanisacker, Chicago, IL, 60610

The internet is the world's brain and a pillar of free speech. The ability to access information, self-educate, share and connect has made the world a closer place. The reversal of Title II is a step backwards and arbitrarily lets ISP's determine which information can be accessed and who (pay to play) can access it. I believe in capitalism, but I also believe in democracy. The reversal of Title II is giant step backwards for democracy.

2333. Jodi Rosen Wine, Oak Park, IL, 60302

Please leave the classification guaranteeing net neutrality intact. The proposed "Restoring Internet Freedom" Act is a misnomer and benefits the wealthy and powerful at the expense of the people. I urge you to reject it.

2334. Allen Van Note, Chicago, IL, 60644

America has long been touted as a place where everyone has an equal opportunity to succeed, if you work hard and persevere in spite of obstacles. Many communities can no longer persevere toward success because the obstacles stacked against them are growing at an alarming rate. The internet has disrupted and revolutionized every sector of our society including the ability to obtain basic and critical information for just about everything. The internet should be treated equally for everyone. If corporations are people, why should they have an advantage? They are not people. They survive and thrive on profiting from people. Removing neutrality from the Internet leaves minority and other marginalized consumers vulnerable and unprotected. It is time to let the American people know we have a government who understands, respects and supports our basic rights for freedom and equality.

2335. M.H. LaSaine, Oak Park, IL, 60302

Net Neutrality must be maintained to foster continued opportunity for all users to express themselves, and to be exposed to the expressions of others, on a level playing field, without capitalism-prioritized preferential treatment for some over those who are financially less-fortunate.

2336. anthony cassara, Chicago, IL, 60607

I support net neutrality rules under title 2

2337. Ryan Pont, Oak Park, IL, 60302

This platform is many people's only place to have a voice. Taking it away is a breach of our 1st amendment

2338. Don Wood, Chicago, IL, 60611

Without strong rules protecting the open internet and ensuring transparency of commercial ISPs' network management practices and commercial terms "as outlined in the the FCC's 2015 Open Internet Order" an open Internet and all that an open Internet is and can be is imperiled. If the 2015 Order is vacated or its rules are substantially altered, commercial ISPs then have the financial incentive and the opportunity to block, degrade or prioritize access to internet-based applications, services and content. These practices, if permitted, would have severe adverse impacts on online education, research, learning and free speech.

2339. Christopher Patel, Chicago, IL, 60607

Dont sell our freedom.

2340. Laila Eskin, Chicago, IL, 60605

Protect Title II for the sake of true competition between ISPs, to dominate the necessity of information is to limit free speech.

2341. Willie Cook, Chicago, IL, 60644

To limit our right to information and how we choose to share is to limit the civil liberties that have been fought for and won and it's absolutely criminal!

2342. Mark Roche, Forest Park, IL, 60130

Total BS. Stop.Stop.Stop

2343. Mary Kuffner, Chicago, IL, 60601

The internet is a utility - everyone should have access to it equally like electricity, water, and roads.

2344. Ellen Pimentel, Oak Park, IL, 60302

Net neutrality is democracy in action. Why would you eliminate it?!

2345. Robert Irvine, Chicago, IL, 60661

I believe net neutrality is essential for a level playing field for small businesses and startups. Title II supervision and regulation must be preserved.

2346. Janet Brooks, Oak Park, IL, 60302

Taking away Net Neutrality is an attack on freedom. Plain and simple. Those who want control over others will stop at nothing to destroy information, freedom and equality.

2347. Jack Jernigan, Forest park, IL, 60130

The internet has become a basic necessity for EVERYONE. Without the open internet, one loses the ability to access information important to that individual. I believe internet providers should not have the power to discriminate against any type of content and create a fast and a slow internet highway. I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality under the FCC's existing rules and keep broadband internet access classified under Title II.

2348. Harry Larche, Oak Park, IL, 60302

The internet is a basic necessity for me and others like me in my community. Without the open internet, our businesses will suffer - for no good reason other than corporate greed. I believe internet providers should not have the power to discriminate against or control any kind of content - or we will all suffer. I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality under the FCC's existing rules and keep broadband internet access classified under Title II.

2349. Martin Hinrichs, Chicago, IL, 60607

Without Title II classification for the internet, we are almost guaranteed to see the greatest platform for free exchange of goods and ideas that the world has ever seen fade into a shadow of itself. We cannot give ISPs the responsibility to control the ability of the vast number of online businesses that have sprouted up over the last several years to access the free market. Before their reclassification as common carriers, the largest ISPs had a documented history of trying to charge businesses money for preferred access, a practice which would leave small start-ups unable to compete with established business and destroy the free market that has led to the birth of Google, Amazon, Netflix, YouTube, and many of the other services that have revolutionized modern life. We need the current protections to ensure that this doesn't happen. This isn't about punishing ISPs or restricting their right to make a profit. ISPs are not suffering under their classification as a common carrier. Since the reclassification of ISPs as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934, they have continued to post massive profits, just as telephone service providers have for the last 80 years. They have the money to expand their infrastructure, and they have the motivation to do so in order to attract more customers with superior service. This is about ensuring that the platform which has allowed visionaries to revolutionize the modern world remains a source of progress for our society. This is something that the people want. Despite attempts to confuse the issue and outright fabricate public opposition to these regulations with forged comments, the majority of people know the importance of these regulations. They realize that they are vital to the future of the internet and know that the opposition is coming primarily from those who want the ability to destroy this wonderful platform for their own gain. I only hope that the FCC is willing to side with the will and the welfare of the people.

2350. Paul Hedman-Dennis, Chicago, IL, 60661

It's pretty obvious that broadband access, in today's increasingly data-based world, is as vital to daily life as telephone was 30 years ago, and so should be title II. And seriously, you guys are so rabidly anti-consumer, why do you try to fight us by lying about the data? If Trump's America has taught us all one thing, it's that you'll have more success just ignoring the data, and then denying that there's any argument, and then actually forgetting that there's an argument, which is good because that makes it easier for you since complexity is annoying. For real, being nakedly anti-consumer might save you some time so you can go have more time on whatever Telecom you happen to be selling out America to at that moment's yacht. Stop telling us what you think we want to hear, and just say what we know: this will be a huge windfall for telecoms, and the average citizen probably won't notice anything, because everything is the new normal, right? Do you think Soviets waiting in the bread line complained about communism? Fuck no, they were bitching that the bread maker was an asshole for being so slow. But I digress. Internet was title II before broadband, and there was no reason it should have switched to title I when we laid fiber. Our secret power as humans is not our inherent goodness; it's our ability to create systems that counteract how much we suck. I'll give you the benefit of the doubt - today's telecoms might not be bad actors. But if this is the system we create, with web access classified as title I, tomorrow's certainly will be. On that note, we really need an alternative voting system to break the two-party stable state. I know that's not your guys' thing, but please pass it on to someone who can do something with it. Thanks! Love, Paul P.S. please say hi to Senator Franken for me.

2351. Tyler Herrell, Chicago, IL, 60611

I believe "without regulation to guarantee oversight, policing net neutrality would be left to internet providers themselves, who have a big financial incentive to charge different prices for different types of access." (1) This can lead to an unfair market and a threat to online privacy. Please uphold Title II and stand behind net neutrality.

2352. Tyler Herrell, Chicago, IL, 60611

I believe "without regulation to guarantee oversight, policing net neutrality would be left to internet providers themselves, who have a big financial incentive to charge different prices for different types of access." (1) This can lead to an unfair market and a threat to online privacy. Please uphold Title II and stand behind net neutrality. (1) Rubin, Molly. "How to Leave a Comment for the FCC about Net Neutrality." Quartz, Quartz, 29 Aug. 2017, qz.com/1064737/how-to-leave-a-comment-for-the-fcc-about-net-neutrality/.

2353. Josh Schofield, Chicago, IL, 60612

Leave existing regulation in place. Stop selling us out to self serving, corporate bloodsuckers.

2354. David Wu, Chicago, IL, 60605

Money is not speech. If money is speech then poor people do not have any speech. The internet is the best way to disseminate information and communicate ideas. By allowing companies to PAY for bandwidth, you are allowing MONEY to become SPEECH. MONEY IS NOT SPEECH. DO NOT GUT NET NEUTRALITY.

2355. Anna Cecille Moorman, Chicago, IL, 60644

What if Thomas Edison's access to information had been circumscribed? What if George Washington Carver's access to information had been circumscribed? What if Albert Einstein's access to information had been circumscribed? We limit our future by limiting who participates in our present.

2356. Keith Erskine, Chicago, IL, 60601

The internet has been one of the major facilitators for the huge prosperity we have enjoyed over the past thirty years or more. It is now as ubiquitous as telephones and electricity. It has worked extraordinarily well in its present "neutral" form and I believe it is too much of a risk to turn it into an ordinary commodity to be manipulated by the major internet providers. The internet works fine as it is, don't screw it up.

2357. Thomas Wilkins, Chicago, IL, 60622

Keep the internet free for consumers. This is bipartisan and the only ones fighting for it are lobbyist for corporations benefiting from the defeat of net neutrality

2358. Peter Storms, Berwyn, IL, 60402

The internet should be equal and fair for all. Millions of US citizens rely on the internet for their livelihood, and it is a key tool for connecting with the world, obtaining basic information, and improving one's life. Internet service providers should not be allowed to restrict internet speed for customers who don't have the deep pockets of a corporation. The internet was invented with the intention of an open-source forum of information and due to the advancements of the world we live in today it. The internet and company providers need to be regulated like a public utility not a "nice to have luxury".

2359. David Krause, Chicago, IL, 60612

I support net neutrality and regulating the internet as a utility. All internet traffic should be treated equally and local ISP monopolies should be broken.

2360. Paul Rapoport, Chicago, IL, 60612

While it is true that Americans "cherish a free an open internet", this so-called "Restoring Internet Freedom" initiative seems rather more likely to do the opposite. To permit regulatory capture of important government supervisory boards has not, in the past, proven to be a winning strategy, and this appeal to a "free and open internet" seems more focused on the freedom of telecommunications companies, which often have an unchallengeable monopoly on services, to overcharge, throttle arbitrary data, and engage in highly anticompetitive practices with their competitors. In particular, paragraph 29 appears to be an argument made in bad faith, insofar as while the fine details may seem to point towards internet service providers "not providing telecommunications", I'd ask precisely what service, then, is provided by email, video-sharing, chatting and video chatting, and social media, all of which certainly seem to act as telecommunications. Furthermore, paragraph 30 in particular makes the equally bad-faith argument that ISPs "routinely change the form or content of information sent over their networks" - this likely knowingly fails to distinguish between possible purposes for such alteration, inappropriately lumping together attempts to improve the efficiency of network functioning or avoid harm to their customers with a deliberate profit-motivated desire to restrict the capacity of its customers to access arbitrary multimedia data, unfairly leveraging the fact that, again, most such customers have no alternatives. Worst of all, to permit telecommunications corporations to treat different kinds of data differently risks infringing upon First Amendment rights: if data can be treated differently based on its content, then what will there be to stop telecommunications corporations from preferencing one viewpoint over another, restricting access to LGBT health information, preventing protests, or banning types of speech that the CEO of such a company finds objectionable? In sum, such a move will only serve to restrict service, reduce competitiveness of ISPs, damage free speech, and risk returning the United States to the hated company-town "glory days" of decades long since past, and I cannot possibly condemn it in stronger terms.

2361. Monet Renfrow, Chicago, IL, 60605

Maintaining net neutrality is of the utmost importance. The FCC's proposal is wrong in principle, wrong in implementation, and otherwise just plain wrong. While there is plenty of room for debate on how net neutrality should be carried out and the FCC's role in it, "Restoring Internet Freedom" is a politically motivated, industry-underwritten, and poorly argued rush job that fails to consider numerous factors in the complex industry and culture it attempts to deregulate.

2362. Chris Kowal, Chicago, IL, 60654
Preserve net neutrality. We American citizens overwhelmingly want net neutrality preserved and protected by law. Unbiased access to data and information via the Internet is good. Biased restrictions or limits imposed by Internet Service Providers seeking to preserve or augment their near-monopoly status is bad. The FCC should act for good on behalf of all American citizens, not bad on behalf of near-monopoly ISPs.
2363. Adriana, Chicago, IL, 60629
It's crucial to preserve Title II to protect net neutrality. It's the best way to protect the rights of consumers and the public.
2364. Kevin Muthu, Chicago, IL, 60612
Dear Ajit Pai, My name is Kevin Muthu and I am a second-year medical student at Rush University in Chicago. I am writing to you to express my specific support of strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. Thank you for supporting the American people.
2365. Davy Chen, Chicago, IL, 60622
I am a concerned citizen concerned about the FCC chairman's quest to abolish net neutrality. I am afraid of losing equal access to different internet services. I am afraid this is the first step in losing the internet as a free, open, and global communication platform. I believe that corporations will start slowly, but if allowed, will change the global landscape of communication into something that can be monetized, changed, and directed in the interest of financial gain.
2366. Niko Yokosawa, Chicago, IL, 60607
Emphatically in support of keeping net neutrality. It's sickening that Ajit Pai is working for corporate interests rather than the interests of the American people.
2367. strong internet, chicago, IL, 60636
Stop selling info in bulk and personal information and setting limitations on speeds!
2368. Conor Gallogly, Oak Park, IL, 60302
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I teach Chinese students online and rely on dependable high speed internet service. This is why I pay for broadband. I don't need Xfinity (my provider) to provide me with anything else. (Who would trust them for email, cloud storage or anything other than providing broadband; they are such a pain to deal with!). Unfortunately, competition among ISPs is limited by the fact that most reasonable prices require a one year or even two year commitment. It's important that the FCC oversee the ISPs so that my small business and others can run efficiently.
2369. Jing Chen, Chicago, IL, 60607
I am writing to express my determined support for strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used natural language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post.
<https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9ff0e3ed36a6>.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.