

Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from IL District #4

These are the 1,621 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative Luis Gutiérrez's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs> under the proceeding 17-108.

1. Patrick O'Shea, Chicago, IL, 60625

I am a software developer and I am strongly against Restoring Internet Freedom. It flies in the face of one of the most important principles of the internet: openness. This plan will stifle innovation and make ISPs the deciders of what new businesses can flourish in the future. This change is ostensibly pro business, but in fact the only ones that stand to benefit are ISPs. There is nothing wrong with the internet. It is not broken. Do not try to fix it.

2. Franklyn D Garland, Brookfield, IL, 60513

In order for a free market economy to work, there must be competition. There are a dearth of broadband providers in the USA and that lack of competition has demonstrated, on repeated occasions, that without the existing rules requiring neutrality the telco's will do all they can to squeeze money from the system. The internet is then no longer a place of opportunity but instead a place where we as consumers are nickle and dimed for every bit of data we consume. Where new entrepreneurs are doomed to fail unless they have deep pockets to pay for prioritization and band width. I remember the birth of the internet and the promise it held. Now that promise is threatened by corporate interests and a desire to add to the bottom line. Title 2 was the correct choice for broad band and that rule should remain in place as it is.

3. Jim donaldson, Chicago, IL, 60625

Keep net neutrality as it currently stands.

4. KEISHA, Chicago, IL, 60608

The FCC Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are important to me. I urge you to protect them. Most Americans only have one choice for true high speed Internet access: our local cable company. Cable companies (and wireless carriers) are actively lobbying Congress and the FCC for the power to: * Block sites and apps, to charge them "access fees" * Slow sites and apps to a crawl, to establish paid "fast lanes" (normal speed) and slow lanes (artificially low speeds) * Impose arbitrarily low data caps, so they can charge sites to escape those caps, or privilege their own services ("zero rating") They're doing it so they can use their monopoly power to stand between me and the sites I want to access, extorting money from us both. I'll be forced to pay more to access the sites I want, and sites will have to pay a kind of protection money to every major cable company or wireless carrier just to continue working properly! The FCC's Open Internet Rules are the only thing standing in their way. I'm sending this to letter to my two senators, my representative, the White House, and the FCC. First, to the FCC: don't interfere with my ability to access what I want on the Internet, or with websites' ability to reach me. You should leave the existing rules in place, and enforce them. To senators: you have the power to stop FCC Chair Ajit Pai from abusing the rules by refusing to vote for his reconfirmation. I expect you to use that power. Pai, a former Verizon employee, has made it clear he intends to gut the rules to please his former employer and other major carriers, despite overwhelming support for the rules from voters in both parties. I urge you publicly oppose Pai's confirmation on these grounds. To the White House: Ajit Pai, a former Verizon employee, is acting in the interests of his former employer, not the American people. America deserves better. Appoint an FCC Chair who will protect the economic miracle that is the Internet from media monopolies like AT&T, Time Warner Cable, and Comcast/NBC/Universal. To my representative: please publicly oppose Ajit Pai's plan to oppose the rules, and do everything you can to persuade the Senate and the White House to oppose Pai's nomination KEISHA

5. Amanda Moore, Chicago, IL, 60608

Paragraphs 23 and 24 outline the desire to reclassify the internet as a an information service. In Paragraph 25, comments are requested. Today, the Internet is a public utility. It is necessary for me to have access to the Internet to search for jobs, apply for said jobs, stay on top of work emails during non-business hours, and to engage in self-improvement, whether for work or pleasure. Perhaps more importantly, children without access to the Internet are at a severe disadvantage to their peers with Internet access. Internet access today is akin to telephone access 50 years ago, and should be subject to the public utility regulations. With regards to paragraphs 76 and 77, it is suggested that consumers do not need protection from blocking, throttling, and paid prioritization. It is our ISPs which allow us to access the internet. On the Internet, all voices are equal, from individuals like myself who run their own

personal websites, to mega giants like Facebook or Twitter. It is essential that this equality remain a fundamental structure of the Internet. Everyone should be equal online. Small-scale web developers who cannot afford to pay ISPs for "fast-lane" access should not be discriminated against. Low-income consumers should not be restricted in which websites they can visit based on their ability to pay. Equality is the foundation of our country, and it is the foundation of the Internet. It is essential that these protections against blocking, throttling, and paid prioritization not be removed.

6. Daniel Destefanis, Chicago, IL, 60618
Net Neutrality should NOT be revoked. This legislation gives US businesses a fair chance to survive on the web when attempting to compete against monopolies like Comcast or AT&T. Repealing Net Neutrality will destroy the web and tech industries, make internet service providers too powerful, and completely remove any power from the consumer. Do. Not. Repeal. Doing so is un-american. I pay my taxes, I have the right to browse the internet on a fair basis.
7. Nicholas Disabato, Chicago, IL, 60618
Gutting net neutrality runs fundamentally against my career as a web design consultant. I already use encrypted VPN for all communication, but even that may be rendered against the policy of already-monopolistic ISPs. I urge you, on behalf of the fastest-growing industry in the country, to reconsider and regulate internet providers as common carriers into perpetuity.
8. Erin Watson, Chicago, IL, 60618
I am deeply concerned by any attempt to end net neutrality (open internet rules). As an employee of a nonprofit organization, I know that the "Restoring Internet Freedom" rule would make it more difficult for me to inform people in need of our services. It would undermine the effectiveness of some of our most essential social services by prioritizing internet access for those who can pay the most. A free and open Internet is essential for a free society. I urge you to resist attempts to weaken net neutrality protections and entrench Internet monopolies.
9. Nels Wadycki, Chicago, IL, 60618
This is not about "restoring internet freedom" - it is about handing control of the internet back to huge companies and allowing them to provide bandwidth only to those individuals and companies who are able to pay them sufficient amounts of money. This will not: Promote Economic Growth and National Leadership, Protect Public Interest Goals, nor Make Networks Work for Everyone - 3 of the 4 stated strategic goals of the FCC. In fact, it will be detrimental to the attainment of all three of those goals.
10. Chris Hein, Chicago, IL, 60641

The FCC should side for net neutrality and not for corporations. ISPs should be classified as a public utility and keep our internet freedoms. I am for net neutrality and I do not want to pay extra fees to access websites or pay for faster service because my ISP has a dispute with a company/domain. Please protect the American people.

11. John Matthew Simon, Chicago, IL, 60647

Keep the NET NEUTRALITY rules as they stand in place!!! The ISP organizations do not need anymore power. In fact, they can barely service the public adequately to date. They do not need the ability to further their monopolies by picking and choosing how much data and what data flows to who when. The Internet is a subsidized product paid for, initially, by the American people. It should be utilitarian and treated as such, but until then, Net Neutrality rules are needed. Also, your Chairman's dumb idea will hurt our economy as it will prevent start-ups from having fair access. READ YOUR OWN RULES!!! "An Open Internet means consumers can go where they want, when they want. This principle is often referred to as Net Neutrality. It means innovators can develop products and services without asking for permission. It means consumers will demand more and better broadband as they enjoy new lawful Internet services, applications and content, and broadband providers cannot block, throttle, or create special "fast lanes" for that content. The FCC's Open Internet rules protect and maintain open, uninhibited access to legal online content without broadband Internet access providers being allowed to block, impair, or establish fast/slow lanes to lawful content. The Rules Adopted on February 26, 2015, the FCC's Open Internet rules are designed to protect free expression and innovation on the Internet and promote investment in the nation's broadband networks. The Open Internet rules are grounded in the strongest possible legal foundation by relying on multiple sources of authority, including: Title II of the Communications Act and Section 706 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. As part of this decision, the Commission also refrains (or "forbears") from enforcing provisions of Title II that are not relevant to modern broadband service. Together Title II and Section 706 support clear rules of the road, providing the certainty needed for innovators and investors, and the competitive choices and freedom demanded by consumers. The Open Internet rules went into effect on June 12, 2015. They are ensuring consumers and businesses have access to a fast, fair, and open Internet. The new rules apply to both fixed and mobile broadband service. This approach recognizes advances in technology and the growing significance of mobile broadband Internet access in recent years. These rules will protect consumers no matter how they access the Internet, whether on a desktop computer or a mobile device. Bright Line Rules: No Blocking: broadband providers may not block access to legal content, applications, services, or non-harmful devices. No Throttling: broadband providers may not impair or degrade lawful Internet traffic on the basis of content, applications, services, or non-harmful devices. No Paid Prioritization:

broadband providers may not favor some lawful Internet traffic over other lawful traffic in exchange for consideration of any kind”in other words, no "fast lanes." This rule also bans ISPs from prioritizing content and services of their affiliates.To ensure an open Internet now and in the future, the Open Internet rules also establish a legal standard for other broadband provider practices to ensure that they do not unreasonably interfere with or disadvantage consumers' access to the Internet. The rules build upon existing, strong transparency requirements. They ensure that broadband providers maintain the ability to manage the technical and engineering aspects of their networks. The legal framework used to support these rules also positions the Commission for the first time to be able to address issues that may arise in the exchange of traffic between mass-market broadband providers and other networks and services. (Please note: this summary provides only a high-level overview of some key aspects of the Open Internet Order. More thorough analysis is available in the Fact Sheet and in the Order itself.)"

12. Daniel Kraft, Chicago, IL, 60647

The repeal of net neutrality will enable cable companies to tax the web and increase broadband bills. Additionally, it would put them in a position to stifle competition by refusing or limiting service to web-based companies. Cable companies already have a monopoly in many markets; granting them further power to control the flow of information would be a decision detrimental to democracy itself. I implore the FCC to change course.

13. John DAgosta, Chicago, IL, 60618

I strongly support net neutrality. Title II oversight is essential to the regulation of a free internet.

14. Timothy J. O'Gorman, Chicago, IL, 60647

Allowing access to internet resources to be solely governed by the profit motive only can only lead to a perception of unfair practices being utilized by internet service providers, if not the actual utilization of unfair practices. Consumer confidence is paramount to a robust economic market and eroding that trust in our internet service providers would do much more harm to the growth of this market than any regulation seeking to keep internet usage fair and free. I would request the FCC reverse course from the current rhetoric being used by Ajit Pai and instead, continue to increase administrative rules and resources under Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934. Thank you.

15. Rebecca Perlow, Chicago, IL, 60647

I support net neutrality under the supervision of Title 2 for ISPs and I want the FCC to continue to uphold that standard.

16. Jonathan Leach, Chicago, IL, 60647

Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. You work for the PEOPLE, not big businesses like Verizon.

17. Heroel Perez, Chicago, IL, 60639
reclassification of the net neutrality rules is a big mistake. Having it stay under title 2 is a must. Thinking that ips companies will voluntarily comply is stupid. Please keep the current net neutrality rules in place.
18. Andrew S Gordon, Chicago, IL, 60647
I wanted to express my support for strong net neutrality backed by title II classification and oversight rules.Regards,Andrew
19. Brett Stockmeier, Chicago, IL, 60647
I strongly support in leaving Net Neutrality where it is. There's no reason to make any changes to the way regulations are currently set up, and no reason to believe that ISPs pushing for change won't take advantage of changes to hurt consumers and restrict freedoms on the internet. We already don't have a choice in which ISPs we use. At least make sure we have equal and open access to the web.
20. Mitzi Norton, Brookfield, IL, 60513
Please PRESERVE STRONG NET NEUTRALITY RULES backed by TITLE 2.
21. John Rooks, Chicago, IL, 60647
I urge you to preserve net neutrality and keep ISPs regulated under the rubric of Title II. Any deviation would serve to destroy net neutrality and would not serve the interests of freedom.
22. Andrew Monbouquette, Chicago, IL, 60647
keep the internet open and free to all people! PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY!! ISPs need to be regulated to protect the public integrity of this vital information sharing tool. don't let former verizon lawyers gut the fcc from inside - new leadership in needed immediately!
23. Benjamin Turturice, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please protect net neutrality by keeping internet service providers listed under Title II.
24. John Manly, Chicago, IL, 60651
I demand the preservation of net neutrality and title 2 and so should you.
25. Ian Young, Chicago, IL, 60618
I support strong Net Neutrality rules backed by title II

26. Francis Bitterman, Elmwood Park, IL, 60707
Keep Net Neutrality. Keep Title II. Listen to John Oliver!
27. Steve Nagy, Chicago, IL, 60618
Keep it neutral. Net neutrality facilitates a level playing field for political and social interaction on the internet, enhancing freedom. Given the opportunity, large telecoms will surely prioritize access based entirely on profits, which will lead to restrictions on the free flow of information.
28. Michael Joseph Thompson, Chicago, IL, 60618
I support Title II regulation, and the FCC ought to as well. By removing regulations which promote low barrier to entry to online marketplaces and create hurdles for exposure, the consumer loses out on choice. Only established businesses will have the ability to be seen. In oligarchical, cartel, & location-based monopolistic market systems (as we see in ISPs), the easiest ways to increase earnings are by finding new ways to charge extant bases. If there is an untapped incentive, businesses will go for them, and by not explicitly enforcing equal access to all online content and marketplaces we give ISPs the opportunity to charge on both ends of the pipe. As an online business owner in a competitive market running on thin margins, it would strangle my business and endanger my living if a competitor were able to obfuscate traffic to my site or promote customers looking for my site to go to theirs. Title II regulation of internet providers is in the best interest of small business owners, consumers, and anyone who is on the internet as it provides the only truly competitive market since barrier to entry is so low. Thank you for your time and consideration, I support Title II regulation of ISPs and I hope that the FCC will continue to regulate ISPs as such. Mike Thompson
29. Marilyn De Jesus, Chicago, IL, 60647
LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE. Leave it under title II. Do not pass Go. Do not collect \$200. Do not fuck with my internet because someone wants more fuckin money. Also Pai is a fuckin moron who also probably encourages bareback sex with strangers.
30. Benito Brito Jr., Berwyn, IL, 60402
The American people do not personal information sold, freedom of speech taken away and sold bundles of wireless bs lies. We need a system that needs repairing & people in those higher positions, to step down as they do not have the best interest for the American people. This will be allowed.
31. John Jamros, Chicago, IL, 60608
I support strong net neutrality, specifically title II oversight of ISPs. Deregulation of the internet will only further consolidate the power over information access to a handful of companies that have already proven that they

do not care about consumer rights.

32. Monica Nickel, Berwyn, IL, 60402

It is vital that we retain strong net neutrality under Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. The Internet must remain free and open.

33. Kyle Nolan, Chicago, IL, 60647

I would like the FCC to keep net neutrality strong by keeping ISPs classified as Title 2 carriers. This is an essential regulation that keeps businesses on a level playing field. Changing ISPs from title 2 to 1 will cause many small business to fail.

34. Scott Wilson, Chicago, IL, 60647

Yeah, lets keep Net Neutrality and Title II the way they are for now. Don't go messing with it. Don't give in to the ISP lobby. Do what the majority of taxpayers want. Keep the internet neutral, keep ISPs under Title II.

35. joe, Cicero, IL, 60804

Screw this stupid bill! Leave the internet alone. There is no need for this.

36. Elizabeth Beggs, Chicago, IL, 60625

The internet is a utility. It's a fundamental necessity of modern life, like electricity and potable running water. Internet service providers should be regulated like electricity companies or municipal water suppliers. ISPs should not be allowed to charge more for special access or to limit access to certain sites.

37. Kyle Miskell, Chicago, IL, 60608

Hello, my name is Kyle. I am a Unix Network Administrator in Chicago who works for a company providing and supporting wireless mesh network infrastructure for electrical companies worldwide. I am deeply troubled by the current attack on net neutrality under Chairman Pai. The internet has thrived as a result of open expression and use. I see this both through my work in the technology industry and personal use of internet technologies in my own time. The internet is a marketplace of new ideas specifically because it is not under corporate giant discretion, limiting use of open access based on the interests of money. Freedom of use must be maintained. Net neutrality must be maintained. Please support net neutrality protections under FCC's 2015 Open Internet Order and rejecting the current attack on it. A lack of doing so will cause irreparable damage to the internet.

38. Charlene Farrell, Chicago, IL, 60608

Do the right thing. Come on.

39. Karin Schein, Chicago, IL, 60618

We need to defend Net Neutrality not weaken it.

40. Jonathan Ochoa, Cicero, IL, 60804
Just like most of the Trump Presidency, the aim isn't for the people, but for the companies. Not sure how else to express my concern on this subject, but to refer you to John Oliver's video on Net Neutrality. (<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=92vuuZt7wak>) The simple fact of the matter is this whole situation is so two sided, depending on which side the politicians are on; from Trump not knowing anything about the matter but putting his two cents in to Ajit Pai lying about the matter, what more evidence do people need to see these moves aren't for our best interests, but that of the companies.
41. Nicholas Heitzman, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please do not change the rules about net neutrality and title II. I do not trust corporations to regulate themselves.
42. Katherine Weber, Chicago, IL, 60622
I believe in Net Neutrality!!!
43. Maggie Ratza, Chicago, IL, 60647
The FCC needs to keep net neutrality. It is vital for all to have equal & fair access to the internet. It is a right not a privilege.
44. Caitlyn Szkarlat, Chicago, IL, 60632
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I believe it is in the best interest of all internet users to preserve net neutrality and Title II.
45. Dan Mouradian, Chicago, IL, 60641
Net Neutrality is the principle that internet service providers and governments should treat all data on the Internet equally, not discriminating or charging differentially by user, content, site, platform, application, type of attached equipment, or mode of communication. THAT IS NOT A BAD THING, Corporations have proven time again they will sell out and profit whenever possible with people's data and prioritize their own services. Democracy is built on free access to information. If we let the market limit Americans' access to online information, we're letting them limit America's access to democracy. We can't allow that. Maintain Net Neutrality. Maintain democracy. maintain a free and open internet.
46. Sandra Villavicencio, Chicago, IL, 60641
I support Net neutrality and I support title II
47. Spencer Adamson, Chicago, IL, 60641
I support an open internet under Title II. Reclassifying ISPs under Title I will not benefit US citizens. Please continue to protect net neutrality.

48. Francisco Muniz, Chicago, IL, 60623
I wanted to leave this brief comment to say that I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
49. Stephen Edwards, Chicago, IL, 60639
I oppose this change. Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2!
50. lorraine huth, chicago, IL, 60622
HANDS OFF THE INTERNET!! TRUMP IS INSANE - DO NOT LET HIS CADRE OF LIKE MINDED WINGNUTS RUIN LIFE FOR THE REST OF US. DO THE RIGHT THING (and yes I am hollering this message so you can hear me)
51. Shad Melgarejo, Chicago, IL, 60625
I support strong net neutrality.
52. Agustin Figueroa, CHICAGO, IL, 60639
I support regulation under title 2, ya Michael Scott wannabe
53. sarah, Chicago, IL, 60639
I strongly support Strong Net Neutrality.
54. Kathleen Bleeker, Chicago, IL, 60618
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title II over site of ISP's. Please do not take away the net neutrality regulations that help protect this!
55. Alejandro Rodriguez, Chicago, IL, 60622
Net Neutrality is essential to the United States continuing to be the hub of innovation and expression in the world. Corporations should not be allowed to decide who and what will gain priority on the internet. Please do not remove this critical guideline.
56. Thomas Heuer, La Grange Park, IL, 60526
I am very disappointed and outraged that the FCC would even consider neutering and undermining the effectiveness of the regulations governing the use of the internet. If anything, the FCC should be reinforcing these regulations to protect the public from assault by unscrupulous businesses. The FCC should be concerned about the interests of the American citizens and taxpayers, and not the financial interests of the Internet Service Providers like Comcast, Verizon, AT&T, T-Mobile that are engaged in the practice of profiting from the service users. This industry already has too much freedom to gouge and abuse its customers. I believe that most citizens do not trust these companies to use good judgement in ensuring equitable service, which is why the net neutrality regulations are necessary.

57. leo perez, Chicago, IL, 60608
Do NOT remove title 2!!! The internet should be a free and safe place for everyone!!!
58. Michael Donnelly, Chicago, IL, 60647
The internet service providers should be regulated under Title II and net neutrality should be maintained. Regulations aren't evil, stop acting like a corporate jackass Ajit
59. Dave Gamblin, Chicago, IL, 60622
A specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
60. Patrick Vrablik, Riverside, IL, 60546
I wish the FCC to support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers
61. patti e, Brookfield, IL, 60513
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs! This is a ridiculous use of government funds and everyone's time. We went through this already and protections were put in place to safe guard our Internet. MOVE ON! WE THE PEOPLE ARE FOR NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE 2!
62. Maryann Cross, Westchester, IL, 60154
I support strong net neutrality and recommend that ISPs remain under Title II. Our internet needs to remain strong and open
63. Kevin Noffke, Chicago, IL, 60625
Please protect Net Neutrality and Title 2 of the Communications Act
64. Millie Hernandez, Chicago, IL, 60647
Preserve "Net Neutrality" and "Title 2".I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank you.
65. Nicolas Cervantes, Cicero, IL, 60804
I support net neutrality and oppose a strong enforced way of the internet.
66. Richard Batts, Chicago, IL, 60622
Ajit Pai! I strongly support Strong Net Neutrality!
67. Seth Horning, Chicago, IL, 60632
Please keep the integrity of net neutrality by continuing to hold ISPs accountable via Title II standards. Do not back weak, toothless Title 1 standards for such an important issue as a free and open internet. I do not trust corporate profits to keep the Internet a level playing field in the least.

68. Timothy Weidelman, Chicago, IL, 60641
Please preserve Net Neutrality under title 2. I do not wish for the internet traffic to be controlled by service providers.
69. Scott Zengri, Cicero, IL, 60804
I am strongly in favor of strong Net neutrality under Title II. Please do not remove these safeguards that have been put in place to protect the consumer's freedom to choose. Thank you.
70. Chris, Chicago, IL, 60622
Ajit, I cannot express enough how strongly I feel about the need of net neutrality and the backing of Title II oversight of ISPs. Your ideas of change on this topic are just as stupid as that coffee mug of yours. We need net neutrality and Title II because this is a free country and once again, your coffee mug is stupid. Thanks for listening. Cheers!
71. Jaroslaw Listopad, Chicago, IL, 60646
I strongly support Net Neutrality Rule and urge the FCC to keep ISPs under Title II. Reverting Net Neutrality Rule will put everybody in to mercy if ISPs, which will result in clear division between the winners and losers. It is a joke to suggest that big corporations will police themselves for the greater good of our society!
72. Ben Pommerening, Chicago, IL, 60647
To whom it may concern, I am writing this to express my concern at the proposed reclassification of ISPs under Title I of the Communications Act. First and foremost I think not nearly enough time has passed for any group or person to definitively say that ISPs currently regulated under Title II are stagnating in their economic growth. It is my firm belief that even those who believe less regulation will be good for economic growth should wait until definitive data can be collected and reviewed before deciding to reclassify ISPs under Title I. Second, I believe that the growing dependence of many individuals on the internet as the means with which they make a living make it an absolute necessity that the federal government ensure ISPs do not play favorites. It is vital that the government should have this power and that it be executed by the appointees of elected officials. We should not leave this up to ISPs themselves.
73. Matt O'Neill, Chicago, IL, 60625
I support strong net neutrality protections backed by title II oversight due to the essential nature of internet access in the modern world to access information, services, employment and other vital needs. A neutral network allows for free market principles to enable competition, innovation and drive down prices. It also allows the US to maintain its technological and economic advantages by

allowing innovative technologies to compete over infrastructure built by: 1) public subsidies 2) public funded research (darpa) 3) using municipal domain to build. It is in the public good to ensure that traffic is treated neutrally by companies who have utilized public resources to construct this essential utility, and that these companies are not given unfair advantages. I believe the current FCC chair is a puppet for Verizon and is lying to the public about Net Neutrality. He is wholly unfit for his position and should step down and leave the current title II regulation in place.

74. Eugene Shockey Funke, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong net-neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Like it or not the internet has become the primary source of information for the majority of people in this country. Traditional analog resources are no longer being produced and access to them is limited.
75. Mitchell Annis, Chicago, IL, 60618
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title -2 oversight of ISPs by the FCC.
76. Shaun Bruce, Chicago, IL, 60618
Although it seems that ethics has found itself scarce in recent months in D.C., I implore you as a regulatory body to continue maintaining regulations that ensure that access to the internet remains non-discriminatory. As we have seen in the past, when regulations are dropped in the "interest of business," consumers tend to be the people most harmed by these practices. If you value anyone outside of yourself and your bank account, please uphold the Title II designation on ISPs and prevent the removal of oversight by a third party.
Thank you.
77. Roshan Murthy, Chicago, IL, 60608
I am against the move to reclassify the internet from its Title II status. I do not want to see what Ajit Pai deems a "hypothetical" come to life as it seems highly likely that that's exactly what will happen.
78. Alexander Thomas Riggs, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please preserve net neutrality using title II oversight of ISPs. Do not allow big corporations to monopolize access to internet content. The economics are simple.
79. Alina Pyzowski, Chicago, IL, 60622
I strongly support the preservation of Net Neutrality which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations.
80. Michael Egan, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Taking this

away from the general public would be a terrible abuse of power.

81. Max, Chicago, IL, 60608

I support keeping net neutrality protected. I support keeping internet service providers regulated under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

82. Amanda Marciel, Chicago, IL, 60608

Hello, Keep title 2 in tact to protect net neutrality. Thank you, Dr. Amanda Marciel

83. net neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60625

Please support Net Neutrality do not roll back regulations.

84. Christine Eun, Chicago, IL, 60647

I am a strong supporter of Net Neutrality and strongly believe that Title 2 oversight of ISPs is necessary to maintain freedom on the internet.

85. James Stouffer, Chicago, IL, 60651

I am opposed to this measure, as it would threaten the free and open Internet which makes so much modern innovation and commerce possible.

86. Brennan T Jones, Chicago, IL, 60625

No long diatribe: As a voter and an internet user, I'm vehemently against ANY changes to current net-neutrality protections.

87. Aliza Scott, Chicago, IL, 60622

Net neutrality is essential. Creating just another way for companies to profit by limiting service and, by extension, information to citizens of the US, is shameful. Keep the internet free.

88. Leila Mustafa, Chicago, IL, 60618

Net Neutrality is so vital in our time! Please do not let competitive commercial businesses overrule this. The internet should be open and free to all. I, Leila Mustafa, support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

89. Mark Knapp, Chicago, IL, 60647

Please protect equal access to internet content and maintain Title II status of internet service providers.

90. net neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60647

I strong support net neutrality!

91. William Comstock, Chicago, IL, 60647

I support net neutrality and wish to preserve Title 2 for the ISPs. Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by

Title II oversight of ISPs.

92. Tim Niehoff, Chicago, IL, 60608
I am a strong supporter of net neutrality and in favor of keeping regulation in place to ensure ISPs are in no way able to tamper with internet speeds.
93. Joel Clifford, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please don't decimate net neutrality. Just this once, don't Side w/ rich people and giant corporations. Try to not be awful at your jobs. And apologies to whatever low level staffer has to read all this nonsense. If it isn't a low level staffer, then I hope you enjoy this colossal waste of your time.
94. mario bucca, chicago, IL, 60622
It is very important that the FCC keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. This is the only way to ensure fair access to the internet for all.
95. Thomas E Powell-Mitchell Sr., Chicago, IL, 60647
I support net neutrality under Tittle 2. Please keep the internet free for everyone.
96. omar garcia, Berwyn, IL, 60402
We want a free and open internet! Don't bullshit up!
97. Peter Doerrer, Chicago, IL, 60647
I do not believe in the repeal of the net neutrality rulings. I believe that the new rules will not be beneficial for anyone besides the service providers. This will only hinder growth!
98. Tal Liron, Chicago, IL, 60618
Please keep net neutrality intact! We cannot trust ISPs now and in the future to preserve net neutrality.
99. Sara Rezvi, Chicago, IL, 60623
I support Title II and Net Neutrality. Please keep a fair and open internet available to the people and stop proselytizing for corporations.
100. John Fitz, Chicago, IL, 60608
Ajit Pai,I support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by title 2 oversight of internet service providers. To hold ISPs to any lower standard would be a step backwards in the progress of the internet, and would hinder human-kind growth both culturally and academically. You must have acknowledged that consumers amongst the general population understand that the only motivation for ISPs to desire lower standards of supervision and regulation IS AN ATTEMPT TO USE THE POLITICAL SYSTEM IN YOUR ECONOMIC FAVOR. While this is legal, considering the existence of lobbyists, the costs here would be the pave of advancement of the human race, in general. If you really consider this idea,

you must realize that slower growth for the already profiting large corporations just cannot be justified against it. The internet has allowed great growth intellectually and culturally where that wasn't possible before. It is the first tool that allows us to fulfill these basic mental needs that every human benefits from. Taking away the choice of the internet indirectly will cause that. We can't necessarily hold you accountable for the decisions of the corporations that would do this, but people will hold you responsible for them. Don't be cogs in the history of steps backwards. Please just think about what is right for man kind.

101. Jonathan Kuntzman, Chicago, IL, 60622

It is incredibly important to protect the free and open internet, for the protection of first amendment rights and for the protection against ISPs abusing the power for their own benefits.

102. Justin Casselle, Chicago, IL, 60651

I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. This is incredibly important not me alone but all internet users. Just because there isn't current expressed evidence that ISPs will dictate the speed of the internet if net neutrality is removed, that doesn't there won't ever be. Let's not kid ourselves. It will happen and no one wants that. Please continue the support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

103. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60647

I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's and firmly believe that reclassifying ISP's to Title 1 would be detrimental to the free exchange of information and lead to negative impact on consumers in the name of profit.

104. Richard L, Chicago, IL, 60608

I support net neutrality and want Internet Service Providers oversight under Title II

105. Rachel Staelens, Chicago, IL, 60647

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Taking away net neutrality is not in the public interest.

106. Oscar Torres, Chicago, IL, 60632

I urge you to keep NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title II

107. Akshay Dhingra, Chicago, IL, 60622

I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight by ISPs Why the hell are you doing this? Trump is not even on your side and you have NOTHING to gain from this other than a huge political backlash in 2018/2020 if that is your end-game Do the right thing. I am Indian too. My parents would be disappointed

in me if I did what you are doing. SAD

108. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60618
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality backed by Title 2
109. Abel Mota, Chicago, IL, 60632
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. ISPs should not have the power to decide which services have better access due to higher advertising dollars.
110. M Rush, Chicago, IL, 60608
Keeping ISP regulated under Title II of the Communications act is critical for a free and open internet for all citizens of the United States. It would be foolish to follow Chairman Pai deregulation plans to remove the FCC authority on net neutrality.
111. Cory Coulter, Chicago, IL, 60618
Please preserve title 2 net neutrality.
112. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60647
As a consumer, father, and small business owner, I am in support of a strong Net Neutrality effort supported by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers,
113. Jose E Almazan, Berkeley, IL, 60163
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 Regulations on ISP's
114. mi ping mui, Chicago, IL, 60608
I support strict title 2 controls on isp.
115. Ivan Tello, Chicago, IL, 60623
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II over site of ISPs!
116. Gloria Price, Chicago, IL, 60618
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II. There needs to be a level playing field for everyone.
117. Dr. Erik Widman, Chicago, IL, 60647
Dear FCC, You have to preserve net neutrality and Title II. The internet has been working great the past 20 years, and there is no need to deregulate access and create an unfair marketplace where some sites are slower than others. Removing title II and net neutrality would limit access to information, and go against what the internet was intended to be; an open platform when ideas and information can be shared. Do the right thing and don't give in to corporate interests.- Dr. Erik Widman

118. Steve Galbraith, Chicago, IL, 60622
Maintain Title II as is. Protection of ISPs, or however you wish to classify them, is not in the interest of the citizens of our country and is antithetical to our democracy. Protecting large corporations rather than providing an open market for smaller companies is undesirable. The lack of understanding for younger generations, the admittance of information, the freedom to spread information, and diminishing the marketplace show the lack of consideration our "representatives" have for their constituents. Please please please go to schools, talk to your own kids, visit poor communities, engage in actual internet activity. You NEED to wrap your heads around this before you make uninformed decisions. And stop listening to the lawyers, already.
119. Andrew Petrou, Chicago, IL, 60641
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! Please keep existing or enforce stronger restrictions on ISP's ability to sell our personal/private information and data. If we start losing privacy rights here, it's a slippery slope that could lead to a day where everything is monitored and immediately punishable if deemed "unacceptable" and companies will just profit off our mere existence on the internet in a day and age where the internet is a necessity. Keep the power and privacy with the people!
120. Streven Gibons, Chicago, IL, 60625
ISPs should be Title II common carriers. The Internet has grown into a necessity for every person, and equal access must be provided to and from all routes and destinations.
121. Joshua Nixon, Chicago, IL, 60647
I make my living through the internet as a web developer. Net Neutrality is the only way to keep the internet a fair and useful tool. Switching from Title II to Title I of the Communications Act of 1934 would curtail the growth of start up companies that I work with and for. Equal competition must let the users decide what is seen and let developers produce the highest content to vie for their attention without obstruction or hindrance. In addition to effecting my business, net neutrality is essential for free speech and should not be abated. Please preserve the highest level of net neutrality.
122. Jeffrey Brennan, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please maintain net neutrality and Title II supervision of ISPs! Do not let Pai deregulate the internet!
123. Greg Hulme, CHICAGO, IL, 60625
I strongly support net neutrality and do not want to see regulations or enforcement weakened. A free, fair, and open internet is incredibly important in the Information Age.

124. Kenneth Winke, Chicago, IL, 60647

I am firmly against the intention of the FCC chairman to reverse current net neutrality rules and remove Title 2 classification. We have seen multiple times in the past where ISPs have chosen to operate in their own best interest and not in the interest of the public. They have been found to intentionally throttle internet traffic, create arbitrary data caps on their customers, and mislead consumers with confusing definitions of "unlimited" internet. The Internet and its role in America is very much a public utility at this point, and needs to be maintained as open without limits to competition, privileged treatment, or corporate preference. As someone who manages my company's internet provider as well as my personal one, I do not wish to face limits in a future where an ISP might restrict or limit my access or speed of access. And while this argument is based on a possible future if Title 2 classification is withdrawn, current ISPs have shown in the past they will choose to do exactly that before in regards to modifying content, limiting purchased speeds to certain destinations or services, or censoring content as they see fit. Our current model for Title 2 on other utilities doesn't limit what phone I can connect to my land-line telephone service. Common carrier status does not limit what gas range I can use with my gas service. Broadcast television service works with whatever television antenna I can supply to receive that signal. Title 2 needs to apply the same way to the Internet. I strongly urge the FCC to keep net neutrality rules backed by Title II, and to treat all Internet traffic with equal priority and status regardless of source, destination, content, or service.

125. Alina Viola, Chicago, IL, 60625

I strongly support net neutrality as it is protected by Title II oversight of the ISPs. I urge the FCC to retain the current level of regulations in order to protect equal access to online content for all consumers.

126. Josh Levine, Chicago, IL, 60647

I vehemently support the net neutrality regulations on internet service providers under the purview of Title II instead of Title I.

127. Omar Khan, Chicago, IL, 60622

Keep classifying ISPs under Title II to ensure net neutrality.

128. Jaemey Bush, Chicago, IL, 60623

ISPs should not be able to control the speed of content. All consumers should have equal access to any site. ISPs need to be regulated so that they do not squeeze out smaller companies and their competing content. ISPs should also be required to disclose info about fees and download speeds to their customers.

129. Jeremy Segal, Riverside, IL, 60546

Keep net neutrality heavily regulated under Title 2. There is no reason to

change it, the internet is working fine and start-up companies are able to compete. ISPs are some of the most amoral companies on earth and they can not be trusted to regulate themselves.

130. B Carr, Chicago, IL, 60608
I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. A fair and open internet without arbitrary throttling or "speed lanes" is fundamental to maintain parity and access.
131. Kevin Grimon, Chicago, IL, 60618
I want to preserve net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs
132. Pedro Jonas, Chicago, IL, 60647
Dear FCC, I would like to express my strong support for Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Thank you, Pedro.
133. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60618
Preserve Net Neutrality!!! PLEASE Preserve Title 2. PLEASE
134. Rebecca Cahill, Chicago, IL, 60618
Please don't make the telecommunication industry even more insufferable than it already is. Please protect our freedom and protect net neutrality.
135. Kenneth Preski, Chicago, IL, 60618
Please preserve net neutrality and title II. Anything less would undermine consumers' experience of the internet.
136. Michael Lutgendorf, Chicago, IL, 60647
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. I as a consumer do not wished to be doubled or triple dipped just because ISPs would like to make an even larger profit. Last I checked Comcast, Cox and Century Link weren't hurting for money. Furthermore lines are also subsidized by the tax payer/federal gov't. They don't need corporate welfare if there's no benefit to the every-man. So please enlighten me how this will help the every-man by having to pay more either ourselves or from the increase cost that will be charged to internet based businesses.
137. Graeme Miller, Chicago, IL, 60618
Keep Net Neutrality! I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
138. Tosin, Chicago, IL, 60647
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. This is important to the American people. This is important for freedom. This is important to me.

139. xavier alarcon, chicago, IL, 60625
Dont let the ISP control our content!
140. Connor McShane, Chicago, IL, 60622
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
Unencumbered internet service is a crucial service for all Americans and should not be manipulated by providers.
141. Zachary Daniel, Chicago, IL, 60625
Net neutrality is *critical* to a free future. Do not let us down.
142. Donovan Lampa, Chicago, IL, 60608
I strongly support a free and open internet where ISPs are classified under Title II and are subject to the oversight outlined therein.
143. Kyrstin Avello, Chicago, IL, 60618
Net Neutrality is super important, there should not be fast lanes based on money exchanging hands. All sites are equal and demand a level, easy access point for customers. Please do not set back regulations in favor of helping ISPs make bank.
144. Kevin Hansen, Chicago, IL, 60647
I am in favor of keeping net neutrality rules and regulations in place, specifically the rules which categorize ISPs as Title II service providers.
145. Joyce Leininger, Brookfield, IL, 60513
I strongly support the Title 2 protections applied to the internet, Net Neutrality protections. Telecommunications companies already have too much power over the lives of citizens heavily dependent on the internet for every day activities, too much freedom with our personal information, too little competition causing high rates. Taking away Title 2 application to the internet is one more attack on the citizens in favor of the big money corporations,
146. Karolyn Johnson, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II laws. We must work to preserve a free and open internet.
147. Susan Wortman, Chicago, IL, 60647
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 laws. We must protect and preserve these freedoms that ultimately protect the consumer.
148. Victoria, Chicago, IL, 60651
Please preserve Net Neutrality and keep Title II!
149. Scott Raber, Chicago, IL, 60651

You must maintain Title 2 and Net Neutrality.

150. Matt Jendrzczak, Chicago, IL, 60618
I am in support of Strong NEt Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's
151. Neil Brideau, Chicago, IL, 60618
It is extremely important net neutrality remains a strong force for internet regulation. I am a small-business owner, whose business platform and marketing are primarily conducted online. If my website's speed, or access to social media, and other online marketing tools are hindered because I am not valued by ISP's, it will create a financial burden that my business may not survive.
152. Jane Foley, Chicago, IL, 60641
Do NOT undermine or sell out net neutrality. This country needs strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
153. Keith Stewart, Chicago, IL, 60625
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Don't let large corporations and the ISPs buy you out.
154. Adrian Roman, Chicago, IL, 60618
The internet needs strong net neutrality protection backed by Title 2 classification of ISP's. Removing these protections puts the most vital resource of the last century at the mercy of corporations who only seek to profit from its manipulation. The free and unrestricted flow of information and commerce would undoubtedly be gutted by whoever has the fattest pockets, as monopolies such as Comcast have already displayed. We cannot allow something so vital to modern livelihood to fall to the mercy of ISP's. Repealing these protections would be a betrayal of the American people. The FCC is supposed to serve the American people, not corporations hellbent on expanding their profits. ISP's already show blatant disregard for consumers, they do not need any assistance in getting even better at it. Do what's right.
155. Ramon Herrera, Cicero, IL, 60804
Christ, what in the fuck are you guys doing? Is it not obvious ISPs want to go back to title 1 in order to make more money and charge for access? Don't have to be a rocket scientist to figure this one out fellas. Keep them title 2.
156. Alexander Faraone, Chicago, IL, 60647
I wholly support maintaining strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
157. isp, Chicago, IL, 60651
I support strong net-neutrality backed with Title II oversight of ISPs.

158. Malene Hughes, Chicago, IL, 60647
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY under Title II.
159. Jeffrey Lee, Chicago, IL, 60647
Dear Ajit Pai: I strongly support Net-neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank you, Jeff Lee
160. Alex Camacho, Chicago, IL, 60618
Why must we play these games. please keep the internet under Title II Classification. Can we stop pretending like these corporations aren't just looking out for themselves and that they have anyone else's interest in mind.
161. Kim, Chicago, IL, 60647
I SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY. Keep Title II. I do not want the FCC to "take a weedwacker" to current regulations. Even Executive VP and CEO of Verizon, Francis J. Shammo stated "this does not influence the way we invest in our networks and our platforms." There is no real ethical basis to these arguments to eliminate Title II.
162. Steve Heisler, Chicago, IL, 60647
I would like to express my interest in maintaining Net Neutrality under Title 2 FCC regulations. Anything else would be a disgrace to an open and free Internet—just as we have an open and free country.
163. net neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60618
We need to continue the regulations on internet service providers. Net neutrality is an important freedom that should be an American right. Allowing a few companies to filter what information we see would be catastrophic for the US citizenry. I believe this could potentially destroy small businesses, suppress the voice of the marginalized, and corrupt the flow of information based on the whims of the rich.
164. Matthew Black, Chicago, IL, 60608
Preserve Net Neutrality and the current Title II designation.
165. M Jensen, chicago, IL, 60651
Do the right thing and preserve net neutrality and title II
166. X'Asia Silas, Chicago, IL, 60647
I would like stronger protections for net neutrality.
167. Dr mcFeely, Chicago, IL, 60632
Please preserve NET NEUTRALITY. Probably the single most important issue you are responsible for. Don't let the people down.

168. Perry Clark, Chicago, IL, 60608
I support strongly support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please maintain these protections!
169. Chris Bateman, Chicago, IL, 60618
I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Removing net neutrality would be a massive leap backwards in terms of freedom and progress as human beings.
170. Eva Morelos, Chicago, IL, 60608
Please protect internet neutrality and the rules in Title II.
171. Trent Goughnour, Bloomington, IL, 67104
I want net neutrality upheld under title II.
172. Britton Walters, Berwyn, IL, 60402
Net Neutrality MUST BE PRESERVED! Our modern economy is driven and grown by innovation and small businesses, and a fair footing and level playing field on the internet is key to preserving this growth. If giant corporations can cripple new innovators' ability to effectively use the internet, than the economy and citizenry is going to suffer.
173. Jonathan Winters, Brookfield, IL, 60513
I support *strong* net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
174. Mathew DeGutes, Chicago, IL, 60622
Please do not move internet providers down to Title I where the FCC has no ability to enforce net neutrality. The internet is a utility of knowledge and resources that we all deserve equal access to without gatekeepers giving preference based on payment.
175. Oliver Thomas Yoder, Chicago, IL, 60625
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The Federal Government is the only institution with sufficient power, and a mandated responsibility to the people, to effectively guarantee broad open accessibility, communication, and fair competition in this most vital arena of public discourse, and thus this Commission should be the one to continue to oversee and enforce matters of Net Neutrality.
176. Jose Ballesteros, Chicago, IL, 60608
Net Neutrality is extremely important for everyone. Companies should not have advantages on how Internet traffic is run, it should all be the same for everyone. Do not allow ISP to be taken of Title II, there are document reports that they have not been affected by this in the past when it was first introduced and applied. The Internet should be free and on a even playing field for everyone,

Thank You

177. Jacob Kaucher, Chicago, IL, 60618
Please please do not roll back net neutrality. There is no justifiable reason to remove the laws which protect the internet and keep it open and accessible to all.
178. Andrew Geraci, Riverside, IL, 60546
Net Neutrality and Title II of the 1934 Communications act should continue to be in place for an open and free internet. The ISPs already take advantage of the consumer with high prices and an overall low internet speed for United States as compared to other countries. Please leave the current rules in place and don't let the ISPs takeover. Aijit Pai appears to have been captured by the industry he is supposed to regulate. Thanks for looking out for the little guy.
179. Derek Schleelein, Chicago, IL, 60647
I want to express how fully that I support keeping net neutrality and regulating the ISPs under Title II.
180. Josh Stanley, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net Neutrality regulations are extremely important for fair internet use. It is important for the United States of America to set an example for what freedom looks like. Anarcho-Capitalism is not freedom. We should not be allowing businesses to do anything and everything that benefits their bottom line and their profit margins. We would never accept this in other sectors. We have labor laws to keep businesses from exploiting their employees and for an exactly analogous reason we need these kind of net neutrality regulations to stop businesses from exploiting the internet, our most democratic tool.
181. Bridget Papanicholas, Berwyn, IL, 60402
I support net neutrality. Keep the internet fair and open.
182. Darren Olsen, Chicago, IL, 60647
The FCC needs to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. It is a tragedy for all if you do not. Don't screw this up!!
183. michael bishop, Chicago, IL, 60618
Please don't remove title II - we need net neutrality.
184. Hussien Salama, Chicago, IL, 60647
As a person looking out for industry and working in the future of online video, Title II is very important to me. This classification is necessary, because without it we already know that companies are going to have to pay to play for faster internet speeds. Netflix was already going to do it to be prioritized, and that amount of infrastructure needed to exist on that level creates such a barrier

to entry on the internet, which is already an incredibly competitive and difficult industry to break into. Reclassifying it back to Title I only further gives the internet incredibly shaping power to ISP's, and for such a ubiquitous necessity in modern day living, it is the duty of the government to make sure that this industry that has launched us so much further ahead of other countries continues to thrive under the same circumstances it was produced under. I as a consumer will only lose if it were to be reclassified. I as a video producer and entrepreneur also lose under a reclassification. This is the interest of literally a half dozen ISP's versus everyone. Not just the little guys, but the interests of the tech and internet industries as a whole. There is a reason that this is such a unanimously agreed upon thing, because the winners are so abysmally few, that talking about percentages would do it a disservice. We should instead be talking about parts per million.

185. Mallory Sohmer, Chicago, IL, 60608

I am writing to express that I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank you, Mallory Sohmer

186. Joel Zuniga, Chicago, IL, 60623

Keeping the internet filed under Title 2 rules is vital for the internet to be the vibrant hub for innovation that it is today. Please don't change this as this will end up causing more issues for more companies than you will realize. Joel

187. Lauren Reyes, Chicago, IL, 60618

I strongly OPPOSE docket No. 17-108 and am in support of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! To say that docket 17-108 restores internet freedom is very misleading.

188. Anne Nowicki, Chicago, IL, 60622

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. ISPs should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites.

189. Amy R Zimmermann, Chicago, IL, 60618

Oversight of ISP companies through title 2 is very important to me and my family. The values of consumer choice and freedom are embodied by net neutrality and should be preserved. I have expressed my concerns to my Senators and Representatives and trust that the people will be heard on this issue. Please do not allow internet service providers to take away choices from me and my family and continue providing responsible regulation.

190. Mercer Bridges, Chicago, IL, 60622

I wish to register my support for preservation of net neutrality and Title 2.

191. Joseph Stramaglia, CHICAGO, IL, 60618

I am in strong support of Net Neutrality under Title II. Please keep these provisions.

192. D. Westlake, Chicago, IL, 60618

I strongly support the FCC using its regulatory capacity to combat rent seeking by entities it regulates. This includes maintaining strong net neutrality rules that prevent carriers from favoring some content over others, which would naturally result in them steering users to certain, inevitably more profitable, websites. Thank you for your time.

193. Simon Hughes, Riverside, IL, 60546

Net Neutrality rules as they stand protect the internet. Internet fast lanes are a bad idea. Please leave the net neutrality rules as they are.

194. Kyle King, Chicago, IL, 60608

I am in strong support of net neutrality under title 2 regulations. I and many others agree that this is the only way to ensure an open Internet and the freedom that the Internet presently embodies.

195. Art Zarko, Chicago, IL, 60623

I feel that net neutrality is very important and support title 2 for keeping the internet neutral.

196. Vanessa Barajas, Chicago, IL, 60647

197. Laura Christofano, Elmwood Park, IL, 60707

It is imperative that net neutrality be upheld. Allowing ISPs to control content & speed of delivery gives these entities power to which they are not and should not be entitled.

198. Cameron Sery, Chicago, IL, 60618

The ability to not have our access to portions of the internet throttled by service providers, or to even give them an opportunity to do such, is beyond important to the concept of the free exchange of information that the Internet currently leans on. To remove Net Neutrality is to remove the world's greatest form of communication's effectiveness, and to invite only the worst intentions from businesses who could potentially profit from restrictive access. You may believe that, for whatever reason, our ISPs are beyond this, but they are absolutely not.

199. William Serrano, Chicago, IL, 60707

I do not in any way, shape or form support the removal of Net Neutrality. Keeping the internet free and open should be a top priority for all who use it. To even slightly allow ISPs to dictate who can gain what type of access when is a

violation of everything the internet itself stands for. Keep it a Title 2.

200. Spencer Saldana, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support the preservation of net neutrality for its necessary role in the longevity of a free and open internet.
201. Carl Davidson, Chicago, IL, 60625
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 11 oversight of ISP's.
202. April Check, Chicago, IL, 60625
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2. As someone who grew up in a tiny town in NH, my world was expanded by the internet and I owe my living and my enjoyment to staying connected. Everyone deserves the opportunity to use quick, reliable, inexpensive internet service. Thank you.
203. Rene Romo, Cicero, IL, 60804
Net neutrality is vital to the stability and preservation of democracy in our country. We cannot allow, the possibility of information being filtered and selectively made harder to access for the benefit of corporate interest. For this reason I strongly support government oversight of ISP's through Strong Net Neutrality regulations under a Title 2 Classification.
204. Alec Soer, Chicago, IL, 60647
I believe that Net Neutrality is a very important issue for myself and all other citizens of the United States. The internet should be freely available to everyone and without restrictions imposed on us by the ISPs. The internet has become a tool and resource we cannot live without anymore. If someone does not have access they will not be able to compete in the worlds changing job markets and will not be able to communicate and interact with others as easily. With all of this I want to voice my opinion that Net Neutrality should be maintained and to do anything less is to discriminate against the American people and to put us at a disadvantage across the world.
205. Amy Peltz, Chicago, IL, 60625
It is imperative that you uphold the principle of net neutrality by continuing to classify broadband access as a telecommunications service and thus regulating it under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Today, internet service is as essential as telephone service. Just as phone companies are not permitted to allot preferential or more limited access to phone lines based on whom users call or what they discuss, so too internet service providers must be legally bound to provide the same access to all users, regardless of what material they are viewing or posting. Preserving net neutrality is vital to ensuring the internet continues to be a place for innovation but, more importantly, it is also essential to protecting the free exchange of ideas on which our democracy depends.

206. Jonathan Gonzalez, Chicago, IL, 60623
Preserve strong Net Neutrality backed by title II oversights of ISPs.
207. Brendan McDonough, Riverside, IL, 60546
I, along with the vast majority of the American public, am in favor of strong net neutrality and Title II protections. We do not support this measure.
208. M Stone, Chicago, IL, 60618
The current FCC ruling on the internet services is fine as is, and should NOT be changed.
209. Anri Pekaj, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support Net Neutrality and Title 2, these federal regulations are incredibly important in maintaining a free and open internet. I do not trust ISPs, some of whom practically hold monopolies in markets, to self regulate.
210. Miguel martinesz, chicago, IL, 60647
fuck your stupid reeses cup
211. Bradley Treusdell, Chicago, IL, 60647
Maintain a strong Net Neutrality by keeping ISPs classified under title II.
212. Kevin K Clark, chicago, IL, 60618
please support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
213. Jeremiah Myers, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net Neutrality is good. Ajit Pai is bad.
214. Nancy, Chicago, IL, 60618
Please preserve Net Neutrality and uphold Title II Regulations. It's obscene to believe that ISPs will not throttle someone's viewing experiences if it what they're trying to view is in direct competition with their own products.
215. Robert D German, Chicago, IL, 60647
net neutrality must remain in place.
216. Brian Hourican, Chicago, IL, 60647
Keep Net Neutrality for the good of the country.
217. Lucas Allen, Chicago, IL, 60625
Keep Title Two. I think that if you're going to eliminate fair and equitable rights to roam online, you should have to put in at least 83yrs of graft, and counting. The width of the mouth on that mug must make it difficult to keep the coffee warm. To regulate the temperature of the mug perhaps the chairman should consider what's in the best interests of the drink.

218. Evan Carrison, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong protection of net neutrality via Title II.
219. FCC Chairman, Chicago, IL, 60623
Do not hurt the american people even more! We need our internet to be free. Do not change a thing in support for these large businesses.
220. Melissa DeVall, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please keep Title II for Net Neutrality. This is very important to me and so many others. Title II is absolutely necessary.
221. Conor Klusendorf, Chicago, IL, 60647
Save net neutrality, you fucking fucks!
222. Andre Alvarez, Chicago, IL, 60651
I am in favor of net neutrality and keeping the internet an open and free source of information for all. I am not in favor of giving internet providers power over what one person can see or do on the internet.
223. Ian Poulos, Chicago, IL, 60641
freedom of information creates and intelligent society. By restricting one of the most revolutionary advancements in human culture we are in essence taking 2-3 steps back. Please remember who you serve.
224. Mandeep J Grewal, Chicago, IL, 60618
I am writing here to show support for Net Neutrality and Title 2 classification. What chairman Pai is advocating for will have the exact opposite effect on the free internet. By loosening regulation on Net Neutrality, he paves the way for ISPs(Internet Service Providers) to openly restrict access to data, information, and entertainment based on whether or not it will profit them or forward their own motives or arbitrarily pick favorites. ISPs should not be allowed to limit or determine the choices we make online, whether it is political discourse or videos of cats.It is essential for a democratic country, especially one that champions freedom of speech and though, to have an open net that is free from corporate and government influence, as it is the repository of human knowledge. Pai is known to be a former lawyer for Verizon, and as such, he is clearly biased when it comes to this topic. He claims that title 2 is restricting ISPs from investing, which is the complete opposite of the fact. As someone who claims to be technologically inclined, he parrots the views of someone who clearly doesn't understand the value of net neutrality. We cannot trust the ISPs to self-regulate, as the clearly have only their profits in mind, and not the benefit of the American, and worldwide populace. One of the stalwart purposes of net neutrality is that it ensures a level playing field so that smaller companies have a chance against the large establishments and that larger ISPs cannot undermine these smaller companies before they have a chance to take off. A

thriving economy needs competition. Period. In 2011, the United Nations declared that the internet is a basic human right, why would we want to deny people a BASIC HUMAN RIGHT. Even more so from an administration that barely understands what the internet is, or how it even works. Please do not let one of the true bastions of freedom to be ravaged by corporate and government interest.

225. Ryan McCullough, Chicago, IL, 60647

I fully support internet service providers to remain under title 2 classification. With a history of wrong doing and monopolistic practices there needs to be stricter regulation on the ISPs to protect consumers, data, and the freedom of the internet. Living in Chicago i only have one option for a line in internet service provider, that is comcast. while there may not be national monopolies there are regional and geographic monopolies. Removing title 2 for a a reclassification to title 1 or none at all significantly endangers the freedom of the internet and citizens that use it. In modern society access to internet is a utility and should be treated as such. There are many fundamental parts of life that require use of the internet for example: Job searching, applying for health care, bill payments, filing of tax returns, access to information, freedom of the press and speech, the right to peacefully gather. If ISPs are reclassified it opens the gates to regulations by companies on our freedoms and rights given to us by the constitution. Another big issue is the spectrum that these companies use to provide internet service is a government held utility and ultimately lease the spectrum from the citizens and the very consumers they are selling internet service to, this provides a conflict of interest, with out government title 2 regulation there is no oversight to how the ISPs behave and ultimately take advantage to the very people they are buying their infrastructure from. So please keep the open internet intact and protect the citizens of this country from unfair practices by regulating the ISPs as a utility just like electric, gas, water with heavy government title 2 oversight because the internet is a communication channel just as speech is and letting corporations decided what can and can't be said is infringing on our basic human rights.

226. Jeremy Billings, Chicago, IL, 60647

I believe in net neutrality, the internet should stay under title 2 and not allow ISP companies to charge more or slow down certain sites

227. Kelly Robinson, Chicago, IL, 60618

Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2. It is unacceptable to remove these strong net neutrality rules.

228. Trevor Morris, Chicago, IL, 60647

The internet is more important then there money. Please don't let them bully us. They are not being truthful.

229. Robin Hargadon, Chicago, IL, 60616
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title-2 oversight of ISPs. This is common sense.
230. Matthew Guidara, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong net neutrality and the classification of isp's under title 2 protections.
231. jeremy dixon, Chicago, IL, 60618
Strong regulations that minimize the monopolistic effect that these ISPs have are incredibly important. I must submit this via my phone because Comcast has proven unmotivated to provide the service for which i currently pay. If you trust them to govern their competitive behavior fairly without regulatory intervention you must either lack the intelligence to hold your position or be a traitor to the people who have already made their voices clear on the matter. We will remember your names.
232. Mark Stoch, Brookfield, IL, 60513
Please continue the use of Title 2 laws.
233. Mitch Golob, Chicago, IL, 60618
Do NOT change net neutrality rules and regulations!
234. Michael Salcedo, Chicago, IL, 60634
I strongly oppose docket 17-108. I believe net neutrality should not be messed with
235. Edwin Calderon, Chicago, IL, 60647
preserver net neutrality and tittle II
236. Derek Zimmer, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net neutrality provisions under Title II must absolutely be preserved in order to keep a level playing field on the internet. As someone who owns two internet businesses that would almost certainly be discriminated against by major ISPs if the rules were rolled back, this is crucial to me personally as well as the US at large. I run a VPN service in the United States. Verizon, the company that Ajit Pai worked for in the past, has intentionally throttled our privacy services in order to discourage their use prior to the new net neutrality rules. Here are speed tests that show Verizon throttling VPNs in 2014:
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=IUrTKDxQ2LQ> This is undeniable proof that Ajit Pai's former employer specifically was employing Deep Packet Inspection to discriminate against VPN customers in 2014. The new regulation made this illegal. It is absolutely essential that these regulations are left in place so that users can have agency over their privacy, and ISPs cannot sell their information if they opt-out of it through encrypting their connections. Rolling

back these regulations takes away the option to revoke the ISPs ability to log and sell information.

237. Jeremy Neander, Chicago, IL, 60625
I support a fair and open internet and demand that the rules remain strong and backed by Title II.
238. Ben Cornelius, Chicago, IL, 60608
I do not support the removal of net neutrality. I support the maintenance of the status quo.
239. John Knoerzer, Chicago, IL, 60625
As a small business owner that uses the internet to advertise and promote my business net neutrality is very important to me. I want level playing field for my advertising and promotion. I believe that the rules should stay under title II. I don't trust major corporations to look after others interests more then their own bottom line. I feel that a statement in service agreements will not prevent ISP from taking advantage of the power they would have if you moved net neutrality back to title I. Keep it under title II.
240. Myka Martinez, Chicago, IL, 60639
You must support Net Neutrality under Title 2 for the preservation of a free and open internet.
241. Brendon Pondel, Berwyn, IL, 60402
I specifically support strong regulation towards Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.
242. Jackie Bauer, Chicago, IL, 60647
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II. Anything less is a violation of the 1st amendment. Allowing ISPs to prioritize content will limit the speech of those who cannot afford to pay premium rates and further stratify speech.
243. Matthew Jaccarino, Chicago, IL, 60622
keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Corporations can't be trusted
244. Daniel F O'Connor, Berwyn, IL, 60402
I am writing in favor of keeping net neutrality as it currently stands. Please do not change it to Article 1 standards.
245. Cameron T Combs, Chicago, IL, 60608
Go fcc yourself. Net neutrality!!!
246. Lorenzo Orders, LaGrange Park, IL, 60526
Net neutrality protections are burdensome only for the few ISPs that can

dominate the industry. Removing these protections only will introduce burdensome regulation for the countless businesses who now must comply with conflicting demands by the different ISPs.

247. Jonathan Barcenas, Chicago, IL, 60639

So, why are you doing this? net neutrality is needed. i rather not pay 20 bucks more on my internet just to access one simple website. i rather have that go to my now nonexistent health care plan which will consist of over the counter medication

248. Josh Schay, Chicago, IL, 60647

I specifically support strong net neutrality back by title II over site of ISPs.

249. David Baer, Chicago, IL, 60625

Please keep Net Neutrality. I don't want ISP's determining that their products should get preferential treatment over what I normally use or other small companies just starting out. Keep it under title 2.

250. Lee Nicholson, Chicago, IL, 60647

To whom it may concern, I would like to show my support to preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. I believe this is an important factor to allow us to decide the content we view and how we view it, not large corporations.

251. Amelia Cuevas, Chicago, IL, 60641

I ever so strongly support preserving net neutrality and title 2!

252. Ricardo Navarro, Chicago, IL, 60618

I strongly support Net Neutrality, Title II. Keep the internet free from corporate interests!

253. Alex Teske, Chicago, IL, 60618

In this day and age, access to the internet is vital for everyone. It should honestly be made as accessible as water and electricity. But baring that, it should remain regulated and free of corporate chicanery as a Title 2.

254. Caleb York, Chicago, IL, 60647

I support STRONG net neutrality, specifically with title 2 oversight for ISPs.

255. Portia LaMotte, Chicago, IL, 60647

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. The Internet should be treated as a utility and available to anyone without restriction. Restricting access will negatively effect innovation, small businesses, and thereby the growth of our economy.

256. Brendan Cameron, Chicago, IL, 60625

Net Neutrality is a critical foundation to assure that a basic human right is kept and ISP's cannot manipulate what every person should have equal access to.

257. Paula Stevens-Contey, Chicago, IL, 60625
I support -- indeed, I expect -- net neutrality.
258. Stephen Ptacek, Chicago, IL, 60618
I support net neutrality and its enforcement under title II. The internet is a public utility.
259. Benjamin Jones, Chicago, IL, 60622
I strongly support Title II oversight of ISPs. We need Title II. It is the most solid and legal foundation we right now for a strong, enforceable Net Neutrality protections. Preserve Title II.
260. Francis Piosos, Chicago, IL, 60618
I am in SUPPORT of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am OPPOSED to Docket No. 17-108! As an American Docket No. 17-108 removes freedoms and should absolutely be abolished. A trash of a proceeding. Come on FCC, don't fuck this up.
261. Agnieszka Jasinski, Chicago, IL, 60618
OF COURSE WE WANT NET NEUTRALITY TO REMAIN, YOU APPALLING IDIOTS. As if enough facets of this country haven't gone to hell already...
262. Jeff Barsoum, Chicago, IL, 60647
You must protect net neutrality! The only way to do that is to classify ISPs under Title II under the Federal Communications Act of 1934. It is mind boggling to me that we have to keep telling you that. Seriously, why is this so confusing to the government that is supposed to be representing us? Just do it, it will make you feel better.
263. elizabeth kelliher-paz, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support net neutrality, information should be accessible without additional capitalist supported bias.
264. Maria, Berwyn, IL, 60402
I strongly support net neutrality under title II
265. Andreas Zimmermann, Chicago, IL, 60618
Keep Net Neutrality alive ! Don't allow big companies to destroy the little freedom of information that is left in the US just to make the rich even richer ... it's bad enough the way it is, if you erode the system even more, the usual consumer and small companies will have to pay the price.

266. Jonathan Dolan, Chicago, IL, 60618
I am in unwavering support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am in very, very clear opposition to Docket 17-108.
267. Mary Pendergast, Chicago, IL, 60625
Net neutrality must be preserved to allow a free flow of information, and choice. Side with citizens, not corporations.
268. Kelly Greeby, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong net neutrality supported backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
269. net neutrality, Riverside, IL, 60546
Please leave this free. It's about all America has left. Strengthen Net Neutrality. Don't monetize it. Thank you.
270. ALEJANDRO MORALES, chicago, IL, 60639
DO NOT REPEAL! THE GOVERNMENT IS CONTROLLED BY SPECIAL INTEREST. INTERNET SHOULD REMAIN NEUTRAL AND FOR THE PEOPLE!
271. Peter Moschopoulos, Chicago, IL, 60625
I support retaining * AND ENFORCING * strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. To say that this is hurting investment and development of the broadband infrastructure is a falsehood and, frankly, a craven sop to broadband lobbyists.
272. Laura Cullin, Chicago, IL, 60647
Protect net neutrality and Title II
273. Patrick Larkin, Chicago, IL, 60647
Dont deregulate the Internet
274. Adam Cullin, Chicago, IL, 60647
It is beyond baffling that corporate greed and political graft have allowed this matter to come to the fore again. It is essential to maintain a free Internet under Title II. Do not take away Net Neutrality.
275. Cartier Swis, Chicago, IL, 60618
Network Neutrality and common carrier based communication in the U.S. dates back to 1860 with the telegraph. The internet should adhere to our American tradition by leaving Internet Service Providers classified as a Title II common carrier service. The internet should not be sold to the highest bidder.
276. Restoring Internet Freedom, Chicago, IL, 60622
I SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY LAWS UNDER TITLE II.

277. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support STRONG Net Neutrality regulations backed by title 2 oversight of ISPS.! !!
278. Alexander Heaney, Chicago, IL, 60647
I stand in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title 2.
279. B Aggen, Chicago, IL, 60657
Unrestricted internet access should be considered a basic human right. Please uphold net neutrality.
280. Scott Erdenberg, Chicago, IL, 60625
Ajit Pai, your proposed cancellation of net neutrality regulations is completely unacceptable. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs - you should too if you are representing the greater good of the nation.
281. Garrett Credi, River Forest, IL, 60305
Net Neutrality is the referee of the internet, we could not have a solid experience without the FCC keeping companies from unjustly killing each other. All entities must abide by basic rules, and Net Neutrality is the best way to go currently.
282. Thomas Harrison, Chicago, IL, 60618
I strongly support robust net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs and I would feel outraged and betrayed as an American citizen if such policies were rolled back.
283. David Alan Gardner, Chicago, IL, 60618
I support title II and full net neutrality. Any form of regulation other than that neutrality, is attack on our First Amendment rights of freedom of speech.
284. Emily Crissman, Chicago, IL, 60618
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Net neutrality is an essential tool of free speech and accessibility, and it would be extremely damaging to restrict access.
285. Conor, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please do not eliminate net neutrality. It is my personal belief as well as researched opinion that without these regulations in place, the drive for increased profitability would tempt ISPs to throttle speeds to certain web destinations over others. I respectfully request a clear assessment of these changes, as well as a deep consideration for what would benefit the general population directly. Increased avenues for profitability rarely benefit the general public.

286. Mary, Chicago, IL, 60647
Hello, I am for net neutrality and do not believe in the tight regulation of the internet. As a small business owner, this is vital to my livelihood and do not think I would be able to survive without it. Net neutrality is also vital to the entrepreneurial fabric of our nation.
287. bill hanna, Brookfield, IL, 60513
Keep data unregulated
288. Rachel Sharp, Chicago, IL, 60647
I am writing to urge you to preserve net neutrality under Title II.
289. Abe Valle, Chicago, IL, 60623
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversights of ISP
290. Kristie Shurtleff, Riverside, IL, 60546
Do NOT remove net neutrality.
291. Caroline Bratney, Chicago, IL, 60618
Hello, I am writing to let you know that I am in favor of net neutrality. I do not want my internet service providers to favor or block particular products or websites simply so they can make more money than they already do. I am paying that provider to provide me access and I should have full control over my own access to sites. Thank you.
292. Brian Gabriel, Chicago, IL, 60647
Ajit Pai, I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs; you jackass
293. Jorge Lopez, Melrose Park, IL, 60160
I support strong net neutrality backed by TITTLE II oversight of ISPs
294. rachel joseph, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net neutrality is important and should stay in place in its current state. Whether you use the internet for work, pleasure or something in between large companies should not be able to buy their way into better access and speed. It is unfortunate that our current president does not understand the meaning and importance of this regulation and he appointed someone who does not believe in keeping our internet free and has previous ties to big businesses that would profit from net neutrality regulations being removed
295. Jeremiah Locquiao, Chicago, IL, 60608
I support Title II powers for the FCC to regulate net neutrality
296. Jason Misuinas, Chicago, IL, 60618

I support Net Neutrality and believe that internet service providers should be regulated as utility companies.

297. Aaron Cornwell, Chicago, IL, 60647
I'd Like to support Net Neutrality
298. kelly o'keefe, Chicago, IL, 60647
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE TWO. YOU SHOULD AS WELL.
299. Emily Bradley, Chicago, IL, 60618
The internet is a public utility and absolutely should remain classified under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. Companies should not be allowed to create fast lanes for their own content or slow down the internet speeds of their competition. Net neutrality is incredibly important for democracy. Please don't allow corporations to control what information the public receives. All content needs to be treated equally online.
300. Joshua Hernandez, Berwyn, IL, 60402
The internet must remain free of corporate influence and control. To do otherwise would be a detriment to it's potential as envisioned in the days of DARPA and the APERNET.
301. Joel Vasallo, Chicago, IL, 60641
I support strong net neutrality regulations backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Specifically in locations such as Chicago, we are forced to only one ISP in certain areas. ISPs need to be regulated to make sure they are in check. The internet is a utility.
302. john, chicago, IL, 60625
say yes to net neutrality
303. Joe Plukarski, Chicago, IL, 60647
i support title 2 and net neutrality or whatever
304. Quinlan Kirchner, Chicago, IL, 60647
PLEASE DO WHAT IS RIGHT! Preserve Net Neutrality protections through Title 2 restrictions.
305. Ethan Brown, Chicago, IL, 60647
I do not support reclassifying the ISPs to a less regulated title.
306. Mary, Chicago, IL, 60647
I strongly support the preservation of net neutrality, please do the same.

307. Salvatore A. Sulla, Berwyn, IL, 60402
I specifically support Strong Net Neutrality that's Backed By Title II of ISP's
308. Molly McKenzie, Chicago, IL, 60622
I strongly support net neutrality and the continuation of Title II. I do not believe that corporations should have the ability to control internet access or speeds for their own profit motives.
309. Tom Gockman, Chicago, IL, 60618
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. I do not support the belief that no regulation will allow companies to flourish, when all they're using is our personal data to micromanage their sites.
310. Madeline Eiler, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support net neutrality by classifying ISPs under title II regulations.
311. michael thomas, Chicago, IL, 60647
I urge you to please keep net neutrality in place and uphold title II. THANK YOU!
312. Joshua Roberts, Chicago, IL, 60618
I'm a strong supporter of net neutrality. Please don't change it.
313. Carl Lowendorf, Chicago, IL, 60622
Net neutrality with classification under Title II of the Communications Act is vital to a robust innovative and competitive economy. Be courageous and keep it in place.
314. Sophia Rainey, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support net neutrality with a strong regulation of ISPs under Title II.
315. Kelsi Fresan, Chicago, IL, 60608
I strongly support net neutrality and equal access. DO NOT END NET NEUTRALITY.
316. Essam Khairullah, Chicago, IL, 60618
I am commenting to let you know that I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. The Internet has obviously become necessary for conducting business worldwide and should be considered as a public utility, not an "information service." Allowing ISP's to voluntarily police themselves completely ignores their history and will only result in them exploiting their services to discourage innovation and competition.
317. Tomas Bolivar, Chicago, IL, 60647
Support net neutrality. The internet is a public good and allows people to learn,

grow and connect with the world. This should be considered a common good, like water and gas. I'll pay for the service, not the individual websites.

318. Brady Knippenberg, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong net neutrality regulation, and John Oliver's large Reese's coffee cup is WAY MORE INFAMOUS than Ajit Pai's. John Oliver does have weird thumbs too.
319. Andrew Osterman, Chicago, IL, 60647
Keep our net neutrality intact! Title II is necessary for the future!!!! The internet should be treated as a utility...regulated and kept in check!
320. Max Green, Chicago, IL, 60647
I strongly support preserving very strong net neutrality rules backed by title II.
321. Dakota Johnston, Chicago, IL, 60647
Preserve net neutrality in Title 2 please. I like my equal internet. Thank you!
322. Kimberly, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net neutrality is extremely important and should not be messed with. Keep the rules in place backed by Title II.
323. Kirk Vuillemot, Chicago, IL, 60608
Keep the net under Title II , I do not support the move to change the present status.
324. Brittany, Chicago, IL, 60618
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II !! Do the right thing!
325. George Burnett, Chicago, IL, 60618
I'm posting this comment using the services of a company that has proven untrustworthy at every turn. I certainly don't trust them to not use an opportunity to use weakened federal regulation to make an easy buck. Please continue to support Net Neutrality by keeping internet service providers under the oversight of Title II.
326. Zach Tarvin, Chicago, IL, 60647
I fully support Title II classification for all ISPs and wireless providers. The conduct shown by such providers in the absence of regulation is proof that light-touch regulation here poses significant harm to the American consumer and to small enterprise. Further, comments made as part of financial filings have proven that investments into infrastructure and operations have not been hindered by Title II classification, contrary to Chairman Pai's suggestion. A fair and equal Internet cannot be a matter of compromise. Title II is our best protection.

327. Ivan Cruz, Chicago, IL, 60641
this is a wrong step fcc. we need to keep the net neutral.....
328. Kelsey Wiseheart, Chicago, IL, 60618
I oppose Proceeding 17-108. The American public needs free and open internet, without throttling, data caps, privileged traffic, or any other attempt by ISPs, corporations, or governments to dictate what media we may consume. Free access to information is a necessity to create an informed public in a democratic system. It is a human right that has no business being sold off to the highest bidder.
329. Elisabeth Oldendorf, Chicago, IL, 60618
Preserve net neutrality! Keep Title II!!
330. Brad Syler, Chicago, IL, 60647
Leave all of the existing net neutrality rules in place. Companies can not (history has shown this) be trusted to self-regulate - that's why we have and need regulations. Removing the neutrality rules will quickly lead to irrecoverable damage to all small businesses operating online as well as diminish the online experience for all users. Don't do it - it's wrong and it's Anti-American!
331. Matt Sleyko, Chicago, IL, 60618
I am in full support of net neutrality laws and support ISPs to be classified under Title 2. ISPs do not have the public's best interest in mind. They will always put profits above everything.
332. Daniel Somers, Riverside, IL, 60546
I insist that net neutrality be maintained in the strongest manner possible.
333. danielle, Berwyn, IL, 60402
We need to keep Net Neutrality under Title II !
334. None, Chicago, IL, 60628
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II for the benefit of all Americans!!!
335. Jason Rippe, Elmwood Park, IL, 60707
Telecom companies should be held to Title 2 regulations. To do so otherwise would allow large corporations to control media received by the population.
336. Julian Allen, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please make efforts to maintain and support net neutrality
337. Benjamin Kines, Chicago, IL, 60647
For godsakes man don't be another gov't entity that goes against people in favor

of companies. Keep ISPs regulated by Title 2 and give the American people hope that JUST ONE GOVERNMENT BODY has their best interest in mind. I mean, we just fired 5 scientists from the board of the EPA in favor of executives from industry. THATS EXACTLY WHAT YOU GUYS ARE DOING TO WHAT THE HELL IS WRONG WITH EVERYONE.

338. Dan Cieslik, Chicago, IL, 60622
Keep the internet neutral. Preserve strong net neutrality and Title II.
339. Amy Chandler, Chicago, IL, 60618
PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY LAWS!!!
340. Amy Amato, Chicago, IL, 60647
The FCC net neutrality rules are extremely important. I urge you to protect them. Net Neutrality is essential for protecting free speech and innovation. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.
341. Edgar A. Miranda, Chicago, IL, 60623
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am Opposed to Docket No. 17-108! Restoring Internet Freedom is the wrong way to do it!
342. Kevin Naughton, LaGrange Park, IL, 60526
Net neutrality is the backbone of the internet. Without the guarantee that all data will be treated equally by service providers, there will be minimal checks in place to prevent abuse on the part of these providers. Access to a free and open internet allows all people greater access to opportunity. Removing net neutrality does not "Restore Internet Freedom", but rather removes the freedom from the internet.
343. A. Amato, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net neutrality rules are extremely important and I urge you to protect them. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Net neutrality is essential for protecting free speech, economic opportunities and innovation.
344. Peter Hirshberg, Chicago, IL, 60622
Title II is immensely important to how the FCC operates and to a fair internet. It is the FCC's responsibility to protect consumers from ISPs who would seek to profit by giving preference to certain content providers over others for ANY reason. Please do the right thing.
345. Brandon Thompson, Chicago, IL, 60647
ISP's should remain subject to the existing Title II regulation.

346. Richard Bernsee, Brookfield, IL, 60513
I support Net Neutrality and oppose all actions that could harm Title II
347. Wes Siepiela, Chicago, IL, 60618
Keep current net neutrality rules in place! Do not roll back this regulation.
348. Kevin Donnelly, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong net neutrality regulations backed by Title II. As both a consumer and a software engineer at an internet content provider, I understand the necessity of strong regulation that prevents ISPs from becoming rent extractors. There is no investment capital-return justification for allowing the market exploitation that would follow from the end of net neutrality because the major ISPs do not primarily profit by making major capital investments, they primarily profit by extracting rent.
349. Arthur Kolat, Chicago, IL, 60622
Please preserve net neutrality. Classifying ISPs under Title II so that they can be regulated to preserve net neutrality is an important mechanism in preventing conflicts of interest and outright corruption. Please do not dismantle it.
350. Alison Vellas, Chicago, IL, 60647
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title Two oversight of internet service providers.
351. Eric Olson, Chicago, IL, 60618
Maintaining strong net neutrality via keeping ISPs under Title 2 is crucial to maintain free access to information. ISPs must remain regulated under Title 2.
352. Macaire Grambauer, Chicago, IL, 60647
The FCC Open Internet Rules--net neutrality rules--are extremely important to me, as they are to millions of Americans, as we have previously demonstrated the last time telecom companies tried to reap gluttonous profits by creating an inequitable internet. I strongly urge you to protect the current net neutrality rules. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, make some more accessible than others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer fast lanes to websites for a fee, and Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do just this. But if you let ISPs make some websites fast and others artificially slow for a fee you will kill

the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America” just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Chairman Pai, former Verizon lawyer: this is an issue that millions of Americans actually agree on, and have come together to fight to ensure that net neutrality remains in place. An issue that the American people and businesses across the country agree on. As Chairman of the FCC, your interest is supposed to be in regulating communications companies--not giving them free-reign to abuse the fact that the internet has become a necessity of daily life. Please support Title II rules and leave net neutrality in place.

353. Stacy Freeman, Chicago, IL, 60618

We need to maintain net neutrality and preserve Title II.

354. Marvin A Cespedes, Chicago, IL, 60707

Chairman Pai, thank you for allowing feedback from the American public. I want to comment in support strong Net Neutrality regulation backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. Thank you.

355. Erika Fulop, Chicago, IL, 60618

Hello. Please do not roll back net neutrality rules. I ask that the FCC keep net neutrality strong and keep title II enforced.

356. Alexis Aguilu, Chicago, IL, 60618

You need to keep net neutrality rules and Title II. Is the right thing to do for the American people and our democratic systems. It is about how we access internet and our freedoms to do so.

357. Jacob Nesler, Chicago, IL, 60618

I am against Restoring Internet Freedom (Proceeding 17-108) by protection of Title II of the Federal Communications Act of 1934.

358. Heather Eglund, Chicago, IL, 60647

Please keep Title II net neutrality rules in place

359. Lauren Vosseller, Chicago, IL, 60618

I am NOT in support of this or any other action to remove net neutrality. I DO support ISPs remaining under regulation of Title II of the Federal Communications Act of 1934.

360. Maggie Ritter, Chicago, IL, 60618

I am writing to express my strong support for meet neutrality under Title II. It is the responsibility and duty of the FCC to uphold net neutrality.

361. Heather Boehringer, Chicago, IL, 60647

Please keep net neutrality and Title II in place. I have little faith in a voluntary agreement by my ISP to keep the Internet a fair and open, equal access platform for everyone based on the historical evidence of bias by larger ISP's. I pay for access, not to be dictated to which sites I should visit, even based on the slightest inconvenience possibly set by my current, or any, ISP.

362. C Post, Chicago, IL, 60647

Hi, Please keep ISPs as they are, classified as title II organizations. These multi million dollar corporations rarely have their customers' best interests in mind. US citizens only have the FCC to represent us and regulate the corporate greed behind ISPs. Please keep the situation as it is.

363. Michael Onatolu, Chicago, IL, 60622

Please, please, please. Do not roll back net neutrality rules. Keeping the internet on Title 2 distinction is the only way to ensure ISPs will continue to support an open internet. THEY WILL NOT AND CANNOT BE SELF REGULATED. No voluntary inclusion will work. RESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2!

364. CTWastcoat, Chicago, IL, 60641

Preserve net neutrality. Keep Title II

365. Luke, Chicago, IL, 60641

We aren't bits in a cog. Water runs downhill. The tides come in. The tides go out. We can explain it. #NETNEUTRALITY

366. Elliot Smith, Chicago, IL, 60647

I'm writing to request you preserve net neutrality and title II.

367. Elizabeth Edwards, Chicago, IL, 60608

I am writing to express my support for net neutrality. It is crucial to the functioning of our society that ISPs be required to treat all content and traffic evenly, without the option or ability to prioritize their own content or that of their sponsors or investors or, conversely, to slow or prevent the transmission of content from competitors. I strongly support the continued use of the FCC's authorities under Title II to continue to protect and enforce an open and fair internet.

368. Tenzin Khedup, Chicago, IL, 60641

Keeps ISPs regulated under Title II.

369. Diana Ruiz, Chicago, IL, 60625

I fully support net neutrality and support the title II designation for ISPs. All internet traffic should be treated equally.

370. Matt Fletcher, Chicago, IL, 60647
The internet is a basic utility that should not be up for auction to the highest bidder. The FCC's job is to protect consumers, not to do favors for lobbyists and companies. You need to protect net neutrality or we will find politicians who will!
371. Edgar Cancinos, Chicago, IL, 60618
Please preserve title two for net neutrality to prevent corporations from purposely and illegally slowing down competitor's services. Keep the marketplace fair!
372. Emilee Johnson, Chicago, IL, 60625
I support keeping strong oversight of ISPs by keeping them under title 2
373. Russ Thayer, Chicago, IL, 60625
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Keep ISP's under title 2!
374. Sean Quinn, Chicago, IL, 60618
ISPs should be classified under Title 2. Any discussion that regulating them as such is "burdensome" has no basis in fact, per the discussions from the ISPs themselves during their investor calls. If the FCC doesn't prevent ISPs from limiting competitive content via the web, they are essentially enabling what is already a near monopolistic industry to become more monopolistic. That's the opposite of the way a free and open market should work.
375. Keith Plummer, Riverside, IL, 60546
I urge you to not roll back net neutrality. All companies should have equal access to the internet. Comcast and Verizon shouldn't be allowed to pick who wins and loses. Title 2 is important.
376. Joe Hickingbottom, Chicago, IL, 60647
As a Republican I will never stand for the repeal of Net Neutrality and Title 2. If this is done I will never vote Republican again!!!!!!!!!!
377. krista weir, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support preserving Title II and strong net neutrality rules. Keep the Internet fair and open.
378. Adam Smith, Chicago, IL, 60632
I support strong net neutrality and Title II classification of Internet service providers. I believe that the reversal of net neutrality rules would be an assault on consumer freedom.
379. Chris Flynn, Chicago, IL, 60618

Please continue to uphold net neutrality per the regulations as defined by Title 2.

380. nathanael urban, Chicago, IL, 60618
Please keep net neutrality strong and under title 2, you reprehensible servant of Trump.
381. Norma Mejia, Chicago, IL, 60623
I support Net Neutrality and I support Title II.
382. Kimberly Holder, Chicago, IL, 60622
I urge you to keep strong Title II net neutrality rules. Please keep Title II strongly enforced.
383. Brittany Borkowski, Chicago, IL, 60647
I emphatically oppose this act. Net Neutrality is the basis of the free flow of information. Any attempt to interfere with Net Neutrality is an assault on personal liberty and freedom on par with attempting to silence free speech. I will continue to oppose any interference with net neutrality. I oppose any change in ISP regulations under title II. Shame on the FCC for even attempting to interfere with net neutrality!
384. Ishaan Bhojwani, Chicago, IL, 02445
The internet is a utility which I rely on every day to work. PLEASE do not destroy the freedoms it provides the American people.
385. A. Lopez, Chicago, IL, 60641
Preserve net neutrality please. Don't ruin something else.
386. ben huey, Chicago, IL, 60618
Please stop the "fast lane". All web traffic needs to be equal. We cannot give ISPs the power.
387. Beth Kosson, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong net neutrality. Preserve title 2!!!!
388. Maggie Cogan, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net neutrality is important to me. Please reinstate the open internet. Why would anyone trust companies not to act in their own interest...Thanks
389. Candice Opper, Chicago, IL, 60647
This is clear preference of corporations, that the chair used to work for, over the American people. There is no evidence that this has happened specifically because ISPs were reclassified as Title II service providers. In anti-trust terms, they get a monopoly of service because they are a necessary service, and

because of the amount of money and resources required to enter the market. The exchange of that allowance is the increased oversight. Do Not Do This.

390. Willie Opper, Chicago, IL, 60647
DO NOT end Net Neutrality. DO NOT alter Title II. DO NOT let the ISPs and their greed control the internet.
391. Sean Fioritto, Chicago, IL, 60647
Do NOT repeal Title II. Internet users support Title II and it's your job to support us, not corporate interests.
392. Sam Tabory, Chicago, IL, 60647
Dear Chairman Pai, Net neutrality is the conerstone of a free and fair internet. I support net neutrality and the regulation of internet service providers under title II classification.
393. Blake Washer, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net neutrality is essential to the continued usefulness of the Internet. Allowing corporations to screw over smaller sites, or competitors of the the ISP conglomerates themselves, is unacceptable.
394. Erik Sederberg, Chicago, IL, 60618
As an American and as a free thinker, I whole-heartedly support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 Oversight of ISPs.
395. Rose Barnes, Chicago, IL, 60608
Please keep and uphold Title II Net Neutrality rules
396. dejan kralj, Berwyn, IL, 60402
Please support and continue net neutrality and Title 2
397. Aidan and Ashley McNichols, Chicago, IL, 60639
we a re in support of preserving net neutrality under title 2. Please keep the current legislation in tact.
398. Ariel Reyes., CHICAGO, IL, 60647
We as Americans need to Support Title 2. Preserve Nuetrailaity now and Always!
399. David Disch, Berwyn, IL, 60402
I strongly favor oversight and regulation of ISPs in the interest of net neutrality
400. John O'Toole, Chicago, IL, 60608
I support strong net neutrality, and specifically Title II.

401. Dayton Sheppard, Chicago, IL, 60647
I would like keep net neutrality intact and keep Title 2 intact.Thank you.
402. Lauren Buck, La Grange Park, IL, 60526
I am in support of net neutrality rules backed by Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 of Internet Service Providers. I have voiced my opinions to the FCC on this matter before and am gladly voicing my opinions again to ensure that Net Neutrality remains. I want to be clear that I am opposed to Docket 17-108 and will oppose any and all measures by the FCC to kill Net Neutrality. Do not kill Net Neutrality, it keeps the internet a level playing field for everyone.In addition, I do not trust my ISP to have my best interests in mind without rules and regulations in place they must abide by. Ajit Pai's suggestion that ISPs merely "promise" to not throttle, limit, slow-down, or hinder in any way, my service or service to less popular sites in their Terms of Service Agreement is not enough to ensure equal access and a level playing field on the internet and is frankly preposterous. In closing, the internet without Net Neutrality is no internet at all. The internet is a vehicle for creativity and innovation, and killing Net Neutrality will kill the internet.
403. A. Rubin, Chicago, IL, 60647
Nor upholding net neutrality is dumb. Preserve this and title II. Ridiculous. Internet is a utility... Stop trying to control it.
404. Tarah sperando, Chicago, IL, 60647
Urging you to preserve strong net neutrality rules and title II.
405. Margaret ODonnell, Chicago, IL, 60641
Citizens deserve to have the assurance that Title II will remain intact to protect Net Neutrality. Please help keep Title II. Thank you.
406. Paola, chicago, IL, 60618
We NEED internet neutrality please preserve it
407. David Sosnowski, Brookfield, IL, 60513
Leave ISPs under Title II
408. James Tate, Chicago, IL, 60608
To the new head of the FCC, I completely support Strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This issue is central to the rights of the citizens of the United States of America; regardless of Whomever appointed you leader of the FCC or who you have worked for in the past. It is your DUTY to protect the rights of the public Over the rights of corporations.
409. Vasile Susan, Chicago, IL, 60618
Save net neutrality, we all want a fair chance , after all we live in a democracy

410. Ramya Nath, Chicago, IL, 60647
I want ISPs to be governed under Title II
411. Zoran Stanoev, Chicago, IL, 60641
Net neutrality is important. Please don't mess with it.
412. Semir Bajrektarevic, Chicago, IL, 60641
Please preserve Net Neutrality under Title 2. I don't want ISPs deciding what I watch.
413. Elizabeth Dudek, Chicago, IL, 60647
I am in definite support of strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I think it is incredibly important to keep what I feel are completely appropriate regulations on this arena with ISPs to remain under the Title 2 category.
414. tyler mielke, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net neutrality is very important to maintain a fair internet for all businesses and consumers. Keep Title 2!!!
415. William E Ross, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support title II regulation of the internet and net neutrality.
416. Luke Richardson, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong Net Neutrality regulation under Title 2 oversight.
417. Patricia Graf, North Riverside, IL, 60546
I am writing to urge the FCC to keep net neutrality strong and to back Title II oversight of ISPs.
418. Nora Hilton, Chicago, IL, 60618
I support current net neutrality laws. Please stop with the internet fuckery!
419. Samuel Hamilton, Chicago, IL, 60641
Please keep strong Net neutrality and use title II as a reference.
420. Matthew Swinehart, Chicago, IL, 60618
Mr. Pai is either extraordinarily naive or extraordinarily sinister. There are better ways to protect consumers than by destroying net neutrality. We should be trying to build a more inclusive web, not an exclusive one.
421. Sheena Schwindt, Chicago, IL, 60647
I firmly support net neutrality backed by Title II protections. Please keep regulations.

422. Michael Vaughn Green, Chicago, IL, 60647
It is imperative that ISPs remain categorized as Title 2 and that current Net Neutrality laws remain in place. To remove or reclassify these rules would erode the economic potential of emerging technologies and silence democratic discourse. Without these current rules Monolithic cable and media companies would be allowed to push their own content agendas and undermining healthy competition.
423. Hilary Mills, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong net neutrality and Title II. The Internet should not be controlled to benefit corporations and take away from the consumer.
424. Jason Nadro, Riverside, IL, 60546
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality and want the FCC to support Title II classification of ISP's.
425. Daniel Edwards, Brookfield, IL, 60513
It is critical that existing net neutrality rules are maintained. Changing them would weaken competition, stifle innovation, undermine user choice, and threaten free speech online.
426. Jane Griffin, Riverside, IL, 60546
I urge the FCC to maintain, protect and secure strong Net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Do not succumb to pressure from corporations allowing them to dominate, dictate, sell and control OUR internet access.
427. Kate Evasic, Chicago, IL, 60608
I support net neutrality and urge the FCC to maintain strong rules under Title II.
428. Lianne Schroeder, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support net neutrality and the classification of ISPs under Title 2.
429. McKenzie Closen, Chicago, IL, 60647
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II classification
430. Tim Zollinger, Chicago, IL, 60647
Don't be dumb just to make corporations rich Keep net neutrality!!
431. Alejandro Martinez, Chicago, IL, 60639
Hello I am a 17 year old that has grown uneasy at the resent attacks on a free internet, and would like to know what the though process was that made less consumer protections a good thing.
432. Katie Nadro, Riverside, IL, 60546
I strongly support continued classification and regulation of ISPs under Title II

of the Communications Act.

433. Shabbir Bhati, Chicago, IL, 60618

Dear FCC,I do not support the proposed deregulation of Internet Service Providers. Internet is and should be considered a utility in this day and age. A well-regulated utility that everyone in the US should have access to without ISPs having the ability to mine or sell your personal data or browsing history. Please keep the existing Net Neutrality rules as is.Thanks,Shabbir & JoAnne

434. Adam Bosarge, Chicago, IL, 60622

I support net neutrality by preserving the internet's title II classification.

435. randy wilder, Chicago, IL, 60608

To the new head of the FCC, I completely support Strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This issue is central to the rights of the citizens of the United States of America; regardless of Whomever appointed you leader of the FCC or who you have worked for in the past. It is your DUTY to protect the rights of the public Over the rights of corporations. Thank you in advance for making the moral decision to do right!

436. Ben Campbell, Chicago, IL, 60608

As your constituent, I'm writing to urge you to protect the FCC's 2015 Open Internet Order.According to recent news reports by the Wall Street Journal and Reuters, FCC Chairman Ajit Pai plans to reverse the FCC's reclassification of broadband Internet providers as common carriers, and substitute the FCC's net neutrality rules with empty industry promises.Please oppose any attempt by Chairman Pai to roll back the FCC's net neutrality protections.In addition, please oppose any effort in Congress to undermine the Open Internet Order.A free and open Internet is essential for a free society. I urge you to resist attempts to weaken net neutrality protections and entrench Internet monopolies.The government is meant to protect its people from monopolys. Net neutrality should be protected, the internet is an essential utility.

437. Jack Battaglia, Chicago, IL, 60641

We need STRONG net neutrality rules. We need this regulation over ISPs.

438. Brett, Chicago, IL, 60651

Net neutrality is very important and I support strong regulations for it backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please support these regulations!!

439. net neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60618

I strongly support net neutrality under Title II. Please do not allow internet service providers to give preferential treatment to some content or faster service for some customers. Keep it as it is now.

440. Joshua Silvain, Chicago, IL, 60622
I think it is important that everyone has the same access regardless of their provider. And that their internet can not be throttled down based on use of a competitors website or content. The internet is the modern day utility. You wouldn't allow a water company to slow water flow because someone wasn't using their brand of soap. Or a electric company to provide less power because someone wasn't using their brand of light bulbs.
441. william kasser, chicago, IL, 60651
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.
442. Edgar Delafuente, Chicago, IL, 60641
Please keep net neutrality in place by keeping it in Title II
443. Joseph D Isdale, Berwyn, IL, 60402
I support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Without it you would have a platform where companies could violate antitrust laws. It would also give people or companies the right to purchase what information is available online by limiting the abilities of others to offer the same access.
444. Piotr Jelonek, Chicago, IL, 60634
I support strong enforcement of Net Neutrality under Title 2
445. Thomas Wendt, Chicago, IL, 60641
Keep net neutrality as it is.Keep the Internet under Title II.Do not destroy the concept of net neutrality.NOTHING is served by allowing ISPs to slow down or select one content provider over another.
446. Jasmine Patton, Chicago, IL, 60647
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality under Title II backed by oversight of ISP's
447. Irvin, Chicago, IL, 60608
Please FCC, preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. Net Neutrality is important for the preservation of the beautiful internet we have!
448. Jenny Helmbold, Chicago, IL, 60618
I am writing to urge you to preserve net neutrality and Title II.
449. Cresten StClair, Chicago, IL, 60618
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight over ISPs
450. Eric Roberts, Berwyn, IL, 60402
I strongly urge you to preserve net neutrality! It is important to me.

451. Tom, Chicago, IL, 60647
I strongly support net neutrality with backing from Title 2 oversight.
452. Matthew, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please preserve net neutrality, Title 2
453. Wallis Hoyle, River Forest, IL, 60305
I support all tenets of net neutrality.
454. Joanne Noriega, Chicago, IL, 60623
Dear Mr. Ajit Pai (FCC chairman), Please support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Best Regards, Joanne Noriega
455. Travis Raebel, Chicago, IL, 60647
I ask that strong net neutrality protections are not rolled back.
456. Sean, Chicago, IL, 60647
I urge the FCC to preserve net neutrality and keep title 2. We need a internet that is neutral where sites can not be bullied and slowed down based on content. I makes no sense to roll back regulations when it does not affect these companies negatively.
457. Paul Navarro, Chicago, IL, 60618
I implore the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to reclassify internet service providers (ISPs) as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 to retain our current net neutrality rules.
458. Jon Odland, Chicago, IL, 60608
How is net neutrality bad to Americans aside from those affiliated with ISP companies?
459. Andrea Kemenes-Estrada, Chicago, IL, 60647
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II.
460. Ryan Haley, Chicago, IL, 60647
I SUPPORT INTERNET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE 2.
461. Matt Ransbottom, Chicago, IL, 60647
To whom it may concern, In recent days the discussion of net neutrality has risen up again. An issue that was settled with Title II. As the discussion continues and greater talk of deregulation occur, I humbly ask the FCC to protect net neutrality and Title II. And, to protect the US population from the corruption of the ISPs. Thank you for your protection of a free and open internet.

462. Jacqueline Bandera, Chicago, IL, 60641
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
463. Elaine Linas, Chicago, IL, 60625
Please keep our democracy and our constitutional rights intact by protecting net neutrality and upholding Title II. The FCC should throw out Chairman Ajit Pai's proposal to give corporate giants the right to affect the information I have access to. We're better than that, our values are better than that, and we deserve better than that.
464. Veronica Banda, Chicago, IL, 60623
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. We want a free and open Internet free sans meddling by ISPs, private interest, governments or any who would want to control or restrict the distribution of information.
465. Dana Bassett, Chicago, IL, 60608
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2! We need net net neutrality! Please don't take it away!
466. Dylan Yurgionas, Chicago, IL, 60622
The internet needs strong guidelines to enforce the neutrality of access to content. Internet service providers should not be permitted the ability to determine for consumers which content they have access to by any means, including content-specific throttling. ISPs should retain their title 2 classification.
467. Corinne, Chicago, IL, 60641
I urge you to protect net neutrality and preserve title 2.
468. Giovanni Sol, Chicago, IL, 60647
As humanity evolves one of the basic core components of human rights is information, the internet, and the freedom of speech. Strong regulations that increase competition and restrict what ISPs can limit on their network empowers the consumer and business. Title II oversight over ISPs will protect one of the greatest gems of our society for generations to come. America needs Net Neutrality now more than ever.
469. KRISTEN CROWELL, Chicago, IL, 60651
Please protect Title 2 provisions in the net neutrality bill. This is important to me as a consumer and business owner who relies on the internet. It would be wrong and create unfair advantages to corporations who would have the ability to influence my choices through internet speed and choices. Again, I support the current version of the net neutrality laws, please do not roll back or deregulate this important piece of policy. The internet should be open and free for all.

470. Rachel Foss, Chicago, IL, 60647
I do not agree with this and you better not do it.
471. Mary Neigel, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please protect net neutrality under strong title II regulations. A free a fair internet is very important.
472. Meghan Morris, Chicago, IL, 60647
I am deeply concerned with the future of Net Neutrality, and implore you to keep the strong neutrality rules enforced by Title II. The Internet was constructed to be a democratic medium of mass communication. It has transformed into an indispensable public utility that must be preserved and treated as such. If we preserve neutrality, the Internet has the power to energize and educate communities all over our country and the world. It can continue bring new industries and prosperity to regions of our country that desperately need it. Please don't allow corporate greed to harm that.
473. Patrick Delaney, Chicago, IL, 60647
I wholeheartedly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISPs). Please do not give these companies power over the freedom of the internet. There may be some bad parts but the good that the internet provides cannot be held back.
474. Thomas Durrenberger Jr., Chicago, IL, 60647
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Despite Chairman Pai's comments to the contrary, it is clear to me that allowing ISPs to determine which traffic gets blocked or prioritized is not in the best interests of the American consumer. Moreover, again contra Chairman Pai, there is no evidence that Title II oversight has meaningfully impacted infrastructure investment; Verizon's CFO said as much to investors on a conference call in late 2014. This is a brazen move by Chairman Pai, whose own ties to the telecommunications lobby give me great cause for concern. Not only is Chairman Pai's proposal wrongheaded, it calls into question the legitimacy of the FCC, which appears on the verge of capture by the very firms that it aims to regulate. I hope the Chairman and Commissioners will reconsider this proposal and do what is right for the American people.
475. Allison Ballweber, Chicago, IL, 60622
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. When companies are given the financial incentive to alter internet speeds without oversight, they will. Removing net neutrality will lead to both foreseeable and unintended consequences. We need to continue enforcing neutrality and have the ability to exact penalties to those who unfairly alter internet speeds and website access.

476. joe carsello, Chicago, IL, 60623
Please keep the internet free of corporate influence and keep strong net neutrality laws.
477. Kaara Kallen, Chicago, IL, 60647
I strongly support Internet neutrality. The Internet is like water or air: we require it to live. Can you bank without a neutral Internet? Study? Research? Look at your kid's report card? Watch movies? Take classes? Go shopping? The Internet merits Title II designation.
478. Ty McCarthy, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong regulations under Title II. Net Neutrality is important. I disagree with Mr. Pai.
479. evan, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net Neutrality is very important. We should not let ISPs control the market because it leads to serious conflicts of interest.
480. Ryan Heintz, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong open internet protections by keeping the internet under title 2 protections.
481. Kathy Chandler, Chicago, IL, 60618
I would like to voice my support for strong net neutrality rules.
482. William Chipura, Brookfield, IL, 60513
I support net neutrality and title 2 designation of ISPs
483. Omer Khan, Chicago, IL, 60647
I supportStrong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's
484. mollie linn, Chicago, IL, 60618
It is imperative that you preserve net neutrality and title II. Thank you!
485. Gail Reed, Chicago, IL, 60632
Net neutrality is important, to freedom of speech, freedom of information, and to me. Please do the right thing, and preserve net neutrality.
486. Carol Ivan, Brookfield, IL, 60513
I am against any changes to the 2015 title 2 designations of ISP. I AM FOR TITLE 2 oversight of isps regarding net neutrality.
487. Keith Carmack, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please, keep net neutrality and Title II alive. Thank you.

488. Marcus, Chicago, IL, 60647
Do not get rid of net neutrality your tyrants!
489. Scott Durand, Chicago, IL, 60647
I strongly support net neutrality and ask our representatives to listen to their constituents instead of playing into the hands of corporate interests.
490. Tyler A Haberman, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please do not allow this, our internet is scared to our modern society.
491. Anubis Mora, Cicero, IL, 60804
I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight ISPs.
492. Aaron Leese, Chicago, IL, 60608
We absolutely NEED net neutrality. Please do not reclassify ISPs or get rid of the regulations that keep them from selling my data, or preferencing ANY traffic over other traffic.
493. Michael J. Blane, Chicago, IL, 60647
I want real Net Neutrality under Strong Title II Enforcement. Keep the ISPs out of Title I.
494. ryan bennet, Chicago, IL, 60647
Title 2 is very important. We can not continue to have a free and open democracy without it. YOU CANNOT DO THIS! we need internet providers to be regulated under title 2
495. drew migdal, Chicago, IL, 60647
Keep the internet free, open and fair. Keep the isp's under Title 2
496. Kimberly Vachon, Chicago, IL, 60647
I am writing to state my strong support for net neutrality and title II.
497. Peter Diamond, Chicago, IL, 60647
As a tax-paying US citizen and internet user, I fully support maintaining the current net neutrality laws under Title II, protecting my individual freedom as an internet user from the influences of any internet provider.
498. Kasey Ostarello, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net Neutrality is essential to ensuring fair and equal access to the resource that is now so engrained in our society that it can no longer be considered a commodity, but instead a utility. Thus regulation needs to be strong and enforced well to make sure no company takes advantage of any individuals. What I utilize on the internet is my choice alone and ISP's have no right to influence that or profit off preventing me from being able to access content.

499. Douglas Asbury, Riverside, IL, 60546
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. You can't say "We see no evidence of it happening," because as soon as you loosen regulation, the big corporations will immediately take advantage of the situation and rig the game in their own favor. Were you asleep when the Voting Rights Act of 1965 was gutted by the Supreme Court, when the Court said, "We don't need these regulations any more"? And immediately, the most racist state legislatures in the country introduced bills that sought to make it harder for minorities to vote. Don't let big money control our government!
500. Jonathan Naghski, Chicago, IL, 60616
Please make a structural and good faith effort to continue net neutrality. Continue designating all ISPs under Title II.
501. Marcos Ceniceros, Chicago, IL, 60632
It is imperative to any real democracy that open, fair and accesible Internet be available to all. Net neutrality is fundamental to that and must be preserved under the current legal filing, Title 2.
502. Derek Eder, Chicago, IL, 60647
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers
503. Luis E. Dubon, Chicago, IL, 60618
I strongly support strong net neutrality rules and article 2 oversight over ISP's. Don't screw this up please.
504. Jonathan Naghski, Chicago, IL, 60616
Don't be jerks who cater to corporations. Stand up for the citizens you are meant to protect and fight to have Net Neutrality be lasting for generations to come.
505. Matthew Reich, Chicago, IL, 60647
I strongly support strong FCC regulations regarding Net Neutrality specifically under Title 2 regulations. I think it is very important for maintaining small business opportunities and american innovation.
506. Victor Navarrete, Chicago, IL, 60618
I strongly agree wth net neutrality rules
507. Mark Lindzy, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. We citizens are counting on you!
508. Scott A. Luke, Chicago, IL, 60625
I strongly support strong net neutrality and Title II. We need an open internet and a free flow of information. Anything less is an infringement on each of our

freedoms to access whatever data and information we choose. Please preserve Title II.

509. Kevin Johnson, Berwyn, IL, 60402
I am writing in support of the net neutrality rules. The FCC must continue to regulate Internet service providers as provided by Title II of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Kevin Johnson
510. John Craig, Chicago, IL, 60647
Why would you want to deregulate the internet!?
511. shane taylor, chicago, IL, 60651
Net neutrality promotes innovation and job growth.
512. Alvaro Gutierrez Jr., Chicago, IL, 60647
I am in support of STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!!!!
513. Eric Borys, Chicago, IL, 60618
I support existing net neutrality and retaining Title II classification for ISPs.
514. Tiffany Macias, Chicago, IL, 60651
As a citizen of the United States, I urge that Net Neutrality be kept under Title II.
515. Betsy, Chicago, IL, 60618
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 over site of ISPs. Preserve net neutrality!
516. Justis, Chicago, IL, 60625
I want net neutrality preserved in title II.
517. Ann forsell, Chicago, IL, 60647
Preserve Net Neutrality rules banked by Title II.
518. Jeffrey Smith, Chicago, IL, 60608
The Internet is the most Democratizing tool humankind has ever seen. It literally gives anyone a platform to express themselves in any way they wish. It's like that old axiom about freedom of speech, "I may not agree with what you say, but I agree with your right to say it." This idea of freedom of speech is protected in our constitution and regulating the internet will without a doubt impede on our constitutional rights to freedom of speech. As a country, and as a world, we need a neutral internet. I mean how else are we going to hear the tired, the poor, the huddled masses yearning to breathe free?

519. Rebecca Headrick, Chicago, IL, 60618
Net neutrality is important for all of us. Service throttling limits free speech.
Pay to play is not the way!!!!
520. JULIA COPPI, Chicago, IL, 60647
I am a young and active American citizen who absolutely supports strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This should be supported by everyone and the fact it isn't is deeply angering, especially because we have bigger issues to tackle. We the people is bigger than greedy corporations. Thank you for your time.
521. Patrick, Chicago, IL, 60647
Deregulating the internet will result in short term gains for a handful of companies and their investors, but long term damage to America's commerce, culture, and the ability to compete and stay relevant internationally. While you may be able to spin it positively to the press, the majority of the public will see right through it and recognize the flagrant corruption.
522. George S Grady, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please preserve title 2 oversight of isp's as it is vital to continued innovation and economic growth.
523. Casandra Santos, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong net neutrality protection regulations and Title 2
524. Jon Farley, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net neutrality is key to a fair internet. It essential for businesses and consumers alike. I support strong net neutrality rules, backed by Title II. Please keep them in place. Thank you.
525. Stephen Greenberg, chicago, IL, 60618
My job relies on free and open access to all sorts of information on the internet. The loss or delay in access of information could cost the company I work for millions of dollars.
526. Gabriel Galvez, Cicero, IL, 60804
please preserve net neutrality rules under title 2
527. Michael J Sacco, Chicago, IL, 60707
I support maintaining strict regulation of net neutrality. As an AT&T retiree, I have a four decade memory of my former employer exploit every deregulatory angle to squeeze every penny of profit possible out of the customers least able to afford it. This will do more of the same, while increasing the digital divide. Thank you.

528. Steven Martinez, Chicago, IL, 60632
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II (Title 2) oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISPs).
529. jeffrey rynkowski, chicago, IL, 60647
i strongly support title 2 legal standings on net neutrality
530. Kevin Pulver, Chicago, IL, 60632
I am an informed U.S. citizen that is insisting that the FCC keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Trump's new FCC Chair, Ajit Pai, a former lawyer for Verizon, stance has been heard and his motives are undeniably against the will of ALL U.S. citizens. Ajit Pai's rationale and explanations only benefit Big Telecom and their ability to control the Internet. To all FCC commissioners and all of their staff, you must keep the Internet under Title II oversight.
531. Gwendolyn Zabicki, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please preserve net neutrality and title II. This is so important to our democracy and to preserving our status as a first world nation.
532. monica maza, chicago, IL, 60632
I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's. I oppose docket 17-108.
533. Josh Baltz, 60647, IL, 60647
Do not take away Obama era protections for a free and open internet. I do not support the new administrations goals for taking away net neutrality.
534. Syed Ahmad, Riverside, IL, 60546
I strongly support 100% Net Neutrality and Title 2 classification for ISPs. Ajit Pai is a former Verizon employee and has clear pro-ISP bias and is not fit to run the FCC. Unfortunately a very typical selection for our current administration, industry bias.
535. Alex Potanos, Chicago, IL, 60618
Please keep Title II oversight on ISPs. It is incredibly important that the internet remain free. This is America, free access to information is the First Amendment of our constitution.
536. Jason Walker, Chicago, IL, 60647
Strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II are essential. This is not a partisan issue. The public interest demands full net neutrality.
537. John Mei, Chicago, IL, 60647
I strongly support internet neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please

do not change what's working for regular people.

538. andy c, Chicago, IL, 60647
I strongly support net neutrality. These laws should not be weakened or reshaped that would allow providers to manipulate service speeds to their customers. Flat out wrong!!!
539. Mike Coughlin, Berwyn, IL, 60402
I STRONGLY support Net Nuetrality.
540. Erin Jaworski, Chicago, IL, 60618
I urge you to support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP. We must protect consumer interests and cannot let ISP companies take the internet hostage.
541. Arnie Garcia, Chicago, IL, 60622
Preserve Net Neutrality! Preserve Title 2!
542. Miguel Pena, Chicago, IL, 60632
Ajit Pai I support stong Net neutrality under title 2 oversight
543. Justine Bayod Espoz, Chicago, IL, 60647
I am 100% in favor of Net Neutrality. It's our right as Americans to access the information of our choice without any chance of being limited by ISPs. Our rights don't change just because we have a different president and changes to appointed officials.
544. Sara Sine, Chicago, IL, 60622
Uphold net neutrality and preserve Title II.
545. Megan Kalmes, Chicago, IL, 60647
Ajit Pai - I support keeping strong net neutrality / equal access rules backed by Title II.
546. Julia, Chicago, IL, 60647
I would like to protect net neutrality and preserve title II. My husband is a small tech business owner. His company will be destroyed if these protections are taken away. Please protect our investment in this company.
547. Joseph Steppan, Chicago, IL, 60707
We need enforced regulation of net neutrality. A free and open internet is the single greatest technology of our time, and control should not be at the mercy of corporations. If you fail us in this you have degraded one of humanity's greatest achievements even further.

548. Katie Hopkins, Chicago, IL, 60647

Please preserve net neutrality under title 2. What makes us the greatest country in the world is that we have freedom and choice. The freedom to watch what we want. The freedom to search what we want on whatever search engine we choose. Changing net neutrality rules puts that at risk. If internet providers are incited to slow down or speed up specific content, that begins to influence how we, the consumers, access information and influences what we watch. And that would be un-American.

549. Jason McPhillips, Chicago, IL, 60647

It is clear that the internet has become indispensable to modern life. It has become the principle means to keep the public informed, and is essential to an affective democracy. It functions in much the same way as a utility or public service, providing a means of sending and receiving the information necessary to participate in society. I myself have seen the benefits of an open and at least somewhat level playing field as a small business owner. Considering internet service providers under the common carrier context laid out in Section ii. of the Communications act of 1934, is currently the best option for preserving an open internet. The service should privilege the end users, not the ISPs. Imagine for example, if municipal water supply was deregulated, and quality water was only available to those who paid a premium? If Section ii. is found to be too broad, too sweeping, or too outdated, then I urge you to craft new legislation which protects the populace from the interests of private, for-profit corporations. The current attempt to roll back regulation, spearheaded by Chairman Pai, will only serve to benefit ISPs at the expense of the end users. Any careful parsing of his speech, or look into his history as General Counsel for Verizon Communications, reveals that what his actual agenda is empowering Internet Service Providers to make ever more profit.

550. Samantha Hungerford, Chicago, IL, 60618

I strongly support the net neutrality laws established by the Obama administration. They protect small startup businesses and ensure fair practice among larger companies. In addition, no change was seen in companies' ability to invest and grow under the 2015 net neutrality rules. Changing something that isn't broken just because it was enacted by the previous president's office is petty and a waste of our time and resources.

551. Tyler Jackson, Chicago, IL, 60608

I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Please preserve net neutrality through Title II oversight of ISP's.

552. Andrew Coffey, Chicago, IL, 60647

I support net neutrality! Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Please listen to your fellow citizens and their rights to freedom of thought

and content, not big businesses and their desire to regulate media and communication.

553. Susie Harvey, Chicago, IL, 60618
I support net neutrality and the upholding of Title 2 for ISPs. Thank you!
554. Patrick Dahl, Chicago, IL, 60647
Access to the internet is a basic right. Private corporations cannot be allowed to preferentially restrict or slow access in any way, other than to protect children. What's more, it is highly suspicious that the FCC has seemingly gone to great pains to hide the portion of the website that enables the public to comment on these matters.
555. Hale Keuthan, Chicago, IL, 60641
Please keep net neutrality in place as it is now instead of allowing large providers the ability to act as gatekeepers for information. Thank you.
556. Jeff Flores, Chicago, IL, 60641
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight over ISP's.
557. Jake Miller, Chicago, IL, 60625
Net neutrality is important to me and my work. The stricter regulations under title II will prevent ISPs from making choices about how I use the internet, based on their own financial gain. The competitive nature of ISPs suggests that at some point an ISP may have no choice but to seek partnerships with preferred internet companies. The strict regulations are necessary to guarantee that competition doesn't take advantage of average users. Please resist the urges of corporate interests and please continue to classify ISPs under Title II. Thank you for your work.
558. Winnie Jiang, Chicago, IL, 60632
Please enforce stronger net neutrality laws and keep the Internet Service Providers under Title 2. Do not undo the good Obama did. -Thanks
559. J. Eichorst, North Riverside, IL, 60546
I support Net Neutrality. Please don't take away my voice, no matter how small it is.
560. Curtis Ruptash, Chicago, IL, 60647
I am a US citizen that supports strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I trust my voice will be heard.
561. Sabrina Steichmann, North Riverside, IL, 60546
I support strong net neutrality Backed by Title II - over site of ISP's

562. Ryan Ford, Chicago, IL, 60647

I strongly urge you to protect Net Neutrality and Title 2 to maintain a free and open internet. Allowing large telecom conglomerates to police themselves and control the speed of Internet service is not only a very slippery slope to monopoly, but is antithetical to everything the Internet was designed to be. Thank you, and have a great day. Ryan

563. Elizabeth Schuering, Chicago, IL, 60647

The FCC must support strong net neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

564. Gregory Brinkman, Chicago, IL, 60647

Deregulating an industry only results in a more efficient market if there is enough competition. Most people do not have a choice of internet providers. If reclassified under Title I, they will be able to set prices for content without a marketplace to determine a fair value. The result will be higher costs and poorer quality service.

565. Gregory Karpovics, Chicago, IL, 60608

Please enforce and maintain net neutrality as backed by Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. The internet and its relatively level playing field has allowed and promoted the growth of several small businesses and enterprises across the United States. At the time of this posting the FCC's #1 goal holds Economic Development at its core. Years of working with the Federal Government have shown me that encouraging competition (especially full and open competition) is a fantastic way of stimulating growth, and generating Best Value Results for both the Government and the American People. By maintaining Net Neutrality we will encourage equal internet experiences for people all over the country. What internet services for a coal miner in Virginia should not be influenced by some large Corporation. You have a chance to truly benefit all of the people in this country versus a privileged few. After all it is the people who make America Great, not corporations.

566. Matt Harvey, Chicago, IL, 60618

I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am strongly opposed to Docket No. 17-108. History has shown what completely free markets are capable of doing in companies like Standard Oil and the East India Company. Left to their own devices in a purely capitalistic system, companies will use leverage to drive out competition, leaving not a free market, but one where only the obscenely wealthy can afford to play in. Much like oil was the life blood of the late 19th century, information is the lifeblood of the 21st century. Giving corporations the opportunity to throttle and otherwise control the flow of information means they will undoubtedly take that opportunity and

leverage it to remove competition. It is no different than what Rockefeller did to the rail lines in the late 1800's. Let's learn from previous mistakes and ensure a level playing field.

567. Title II, Chicago, IL, 60647

The internet should remain net neutral as backed by the rules set out in Title II

568. Kevin Goodrich, Chicago, IL, 60608

I want you to keep access to content on the internet equal for all parties. I support net neutrality for ISP's under Section II. Thank you.

569. Andrew Holtz, Chicago, IL, 60647

Please preserve net neutrality laws and title II. Thanks. And please tell Ajit Pai his mug is lame.

570. Leighann Wood, Chicago, IL, 60647

Internet is a basic human right in the 21st century. With everything needing to be accessible online it is essential and necessary for it to be open, universally accessible and neutral to uphold people's rights to privacy and intellectual freedom. Corporations should not be sheriffs of the internet highways. Keep it open! Keep it neutral!

571. Samuel Christian, Chicago, IL, 60647

I want to make it explicitly clear that I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

572. Cori Bomgardner, Chicago, IL, 60622

I want strict oversight of ISPs as laid out in Title II

573. rose, Chicago, IL, 60608

I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs."

574. Michael Hartge, Chicago, IL, 60618

I am writing to say that I strongly support FCC Rules that support Net Neutrality. The Internet is a public utility that drives commerce, grows our economy and allows for the free and open delivery of knowledge and information to the American people. Much like our roadways, or our water or utility pipelines, the Internet has become an essential public utility. The free, open exchange of knowledge and information on the internet is essential to preserving America's competitiveness and power on the open market. Please work to preserve Net Neutrality and the free and open internet.

575. Dan Munroe, Berwyn, IL, 60402

To whom it may concern, Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Also, you should get rid of that big coffee mug having Ajit Pai. At least tell him to have

the common decency of using a normal coffee mug while doing press conferences. Thanks,Dan

576. L. Jegers, Chicago, IL, 60608
Keep the net neutrality rules backed by title II!
577. Jessica, Chicago, IL, 60618
I am filing in support of keeping ISPs listed as Title II for the regulations it provides. These regulations have not prevented investment, and they are critical in keeping the internet open for all content providers.
578. Cody Gray, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support net neutrality and title II. Please do not give corporations more control over our internet usage
579. Anthony Bernas, Chicago, IL, 60647
Title II oversight of ISPs is immensely important to net neutrality. I absolutely support maintaining this oversight and hope you will stand by the citizens of the United States of American and protect their interests and open access to the internet by maintaining Title II oversight. Regards,Anthony Bernas
580. David Hagberg, Chicago, IL, 60634
Please do not change the current standards of Net Neutrality, if America truly believes in the free market, then it would behoove the FCC to unfavorably skew the table towards the larger companies to increase their speed and reach. If a new innovative firm creates a better product, then so be it, please do not create a more monopolistic culture than we already have.
581. Joshua Miller, Chicago, IL, 60647
You need to do right by the people and stop selling us out. You let isp providers rape us with their rates and now you want to let them make more money by selling our browsing history?
582. Nicholas Mendez, Chicago, IL, 60618
I am completely opposed to Docket No. 17-108, and in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
583. Justin Marcus, Chicago, IL, 60618
I am writing to inform you of my support Title II regulation of ISPs support of Net Neutrality. I do not support this proposal. I work in the video games industry. My industry and livelihood depends on consumers being able to to easily access digital products. Giving ISPs the power to hold their customers hostage benefits no one but the ISPs. Its amounts to a legal protection racket where content producers must pay up or risk losing their customers. I would encourage Mr. Pai to look at other industries beyond the ISPs when considering

what effects these rules would have. This directly hurts me both as a consumer and as an employee of a corporation that produces digital content.

584. Brenna O'Brien, Chicago, IL, 60647

Net neutrality is integral to the way our internet communication system works in this country. Providers should not be able to chokehold or promote certain sites, and all data flow should be treated equally. This does not harm businesses, this does not harm shareholders, and this is fundamental to the freedom of data and communication in the future. No one should be able to hold the reins and decide what information people can access and how quickly. Do NOT get rid of the net neutrality safeguards. The whole internet is watching you.

585. matthew dube, chicago, IL, 60618

I support Title II. Please do not change net neutrality rules.

586. paul baltrusaitis, chicago, IL, 60625

I support a strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not remove the title 2 oversight because doing so would specifically act against the intent of the internet as a free and open knowledge resource. I support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.

587. Kathryn Thies, Chicago, IL, 60618

I support Strong Net Neutrality and the FCC should, too! It is asinine to think that Net Neutrality is a BAD idea. If we are a free market economy, we should let business compete fairly.

588. Hannah, Chicago, IL, 60647

Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2. I'm asking nicely.

589. John Schmiz, Chicago, IL, 60647

I strongly support keeping ISP's regulated under Title II. Large corporations left to their own "self regulations" have proven time and time again to make decisions that put themselves and their profits above the best interests of consumers. If anything, I would like to see the FCC bring stricter guidelines against ISP's in an attempt to break up the monopolies that have taken control of large swaths of our country.

590. Chris Apap, Chicago, IL, 60647

This country already favors corporations over its citizens. Let's at least stop the corporations from being able to control what we consume online. They already control everything else. PLEASE PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II!

591. Sunaina John, Chicago, IL, 60639

We want free internet. Internet neutrality is our right. Internet neutrality is what

forms the basis of any business.

592. Thomas Mayer, Chicago, IL, 60618

Why go do we need to have a converstation when nothing so far has hurt any of the ISP's other then they want a fatter bottom line. If this is repealed you can bet that Comcast, Cox, and Verizon will so use this to their advantage to pick favorite content. Why must we always go backwards with this administration.

593. Brittany, Chicago, IL, 60618

I'm writing to ask you to reject attempts to undermine the FCC's authority to enforce net neutrality. A free and open Internet is essential for a just society. I urge you to avoid attempts to weaken net neutrality protections and entrench Internet and television monopolies.

594. Wyatt Walker, Chicago, IL, 60647

keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

595. Wesley Schilling, Chicago, IL, 60625

I support Net Neutrality and the Title II oversights that protect us. You should too, Ajit Pai.

596. Roman Kashuba, Chicago, IL, 60647

I oppose regulating ISPs under the more lenient Title I regulation and strongly support the stricter Title II regulations that will promote net neutrality. Internet freedom spurs innovation and job creation and allowing ISPs to "voluntarily" pledge to keep the internet free and not discriminate between webpages or internet service is a laughable half-measure that has not worked in the past. Please retain Title II net neutrality rules.

597. Elizabeth Miller, Chicago, IL, 60646

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs! There is a time and a place to relax regulation and this is not it!

598. Steve, Chicago, IL, 60625

Net neutrality is essential to preserving first amendment rights and allowing American citizens to access whatever sources of information they choose. It must be preserved at all costs.

599. Restoring Internet Freedom, Chicago, IL, 60641

Hey man! Don't do this! Bro!

600. Stephen Dittmeier, Chicago, IL, 60647

I support continuing strong net neutrality under Title II

601. Thomas Podlipni, Chicago, IL, 60647

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I believe that net neutrality must be preserved and that ISPs will serve their interests over others given the chance to do so.

602. Christopher McNair, Chicago, IL, 60622

I strongly support REAL Net Neutrality, and am disgusted by Chairman Ajit Pai's attempt to turn the Internet over to Corporate voluntary control. We need Title II and strong oversight of the ISP's who have proven to not do what is in the consumers best Interest.

603. Laura Fallsgraff, Chicago, IL, 60647

I would like to express my wholehearted support for internet neutrality, as a protection for consumers and a check on the formation of internet service provider monopolies. "Promises" from corporations not to take advantage of their power is not acceptable "we need actual legal, binding protections for consumers and net neutrality. I urge the FCC not to roll back the current protections for net neutrality put in place by the Obama administration.

604. Amy Jahnke, Chicago, IL, 60625

I strongly support strong net neutrality - that's why Title 2 oversight of ISPs is so important to preserve.

605. Abdel Velasquez, Chicago, IL, 60639

This is a big mistake, there is no freedom coming from this, please do not make any changes and keep internet providers in check.

606. Jessica, berwyn, IL, 60402

Preserve Net Neutrality. It's important.

607. Juan Javier Basilio, Chicago, IL, 60625

ISPs have abused their power over the internet: Comcast's throttling video content sharing, Verizon censoring content that it believes its users shouldn't see, and AT&T doing the same shortly afterwards. Expansion of networks has not been affected by the Title II classification. Allowing companies to slow or censor other services that compete with their own is the opposite of what Americans deserve.

608. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60641

I strongly support Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II. I find it ridiculous that the FCC would turn over the power to ISPs so that they can squeeze more money out of the consumer and small businesses. The internet is a tool needed by every american and should be free of speed restrictions and unfair practices.

609. Jose, Cicero, IL, 60804

Mr. PaiPlease do not continue pushing for the dismantling of title 2. As a tax

payer I believe every American's voice counts and I strongly support Net Neutrality and title 2. Thank you,Jose

610. Elaine Suzanne Miller, Chicago, IL, 60647
I am asking you to preserve Title II Net Neutrality.
611. Patrick Dixon, Chicago, IL, 60647
I want to register my support for the prior policy of full net neutrality.
612. Jonathan McCorkle, Chicago, IL, 60618
I can't believe we're even talking about net neutrality again. The cable companies already have way too much control over the average American's information access. To allow reclassification of ISPs would fly in the face of consumer protection.
613. Benjamin Yoder, Chicago, IL, 60622
I support keeping ISP's as Title II providers and I also support the preservation and creation of strong rules protecting Net Neutrality. Being treated equal is a fundamental part of being an American, and the internet should be no exception. I hope that the FCC will listen to the millions of people in support of Net Neutrality and act in their best interest by preserving it as much as possible. Thank you for your time.
614. Matt Wagner, Chicago, IL, 60647
We need to keep the internet neutral and open to all, allowing ISP's to prioritize traffic will not only hurt consumers but also hurt innovation. Without fair oversight and regulation on ISP's, startups and smaller companies will not be able to compete with the deep pockets of large, established companies who will inevitably buy favorable prioritization from the ISP's.
615. H. Barcelo, Riverside, IL, 60546
Maintain Internet Neutrality. Do not alter or reclassify ISP. Keep the Internet balanced and open to choice for everyone.
616. Drue Gilbert, Chicago, IL, 60618
I support strong regulation of net neutrality through title ii
617. kevin smaller, Riverside, IL, 60546
I strongly support keeping ISPs under Title II of Net Neutrality. I'm sick of big businesses trying to discretely undermine the well-being of the American people.
618. 17-108, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. And go ahead and get rid of Ajit Pai while you're at it.

619. andrea, Chicago, IL, 60608
I would like to voice my support for the current FCC net neutrality regulations and keeping Title II oversight of ISPs. Keep standing up for the freedom of the internet, not the companies who want nothing more than to own the internet.
620. Adam Conolly, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net Neutrality is incredibly important to both me and my business, and any sort of weakening of it would negatively impact me, and the business I work in.
621. Rohan Thadani, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net Neutrality is a cornerstone of modern democracy. Please don't bungle it!
622. Andres Paz, Chicago, IL, 60618
Keep internet providers as title 2, removing this will be bad for the internet/the world.
623. Katie Harvey, Chicago, IL, 60618
I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am very opposed to Docket No. 17-108.
624. Andrew Shapiro, Chicago, IL, 60622
I support strong net neutrality rules. I support title II. Please keep net neutrality for the freedom of the people of the united states of America.
625. Chet Austin Child, Chicago, IL, 60639
Net Neutrality is essential to the free access of information by all U.S. citizens. Allowing for this access to be restricted for financial gain can and will be abused.
626. Lee Hettinga, Chicago,, IL, 60647
As one of the millions of people who utilize the internet on a daily basis for both professional and personal reasons, I would urge the FCC to preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Removing this critical regulation would undoubtedly result in extreme public outcry. This critical regulation keeps the playing field level for all who use it and should not be seen as another tool to give more power to those institutions that would see it's absence as an opportunity to advance their own gains and purposes. Dismantling Title II would open the door to manipulate information for the benefit of those in places of power.
627. Stacey Ballis, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please protect net neutrality and leave it Title II
628. Jeffrey McIntosh, Chicago, IL, 60618
Please do not reverse Title II net neutrality. Internet capacity needs to be free, open, and uninfluenced by corporations if we are to remain a free and open

society.

629. Sean DeSantis, Chicago, IL, 60639

I do not believe that companies like Comcast and Verizon will honor net neutrality unless they are specifically bound by laws. I implore you leave internet providing services classified as Title II.

630. Rachel Samaniego, Chicago, IL, 60647

I do not support the removal or weakening of net neutrality. Please do not strip away our internet rights and freedoms!

631. Emily Phillips, Chicago, IL, 60608

We need net neutrality. Don't be such a bunch of dicks.

632. Bob Chapman, Chicago, IL, 60618

I am a citizen who **STRONGLY** supports net neutrality. To protect net neutrality, I believe it is entirely necessary to oversee ISPs as outlined in Title 2. Please do not weaken or rescind those protections by removing proper oversight. Keep the regulations as they are to protect a free internet.

633. Derek Meyers, Chicago, IL, 60618

I can't express enough how dangerous and damaging the repeal of Title II regulation is. Internet Service Providers need to be regulated like all other public utilities, because that's what they are. Having cable companies completely in control of a public utility is a terrible idea. Not only are they monopolies in many areas (which is reason enough to ensure they are regulated as such), but they are increasingly the way Americans communicate, read the news, and entertain themselves. Those decisions should be left to individuals, not to cable company executives. Companies like Comcast, Verizon, AT&T, and T-Mobile have already shown that they want to make tiered internet options, slowing down access for some websites and giving "fast-lanes" for others. Repealing Title II isn't going to encourage market innovation and freedom, it will ensure that entrenched interest that can pay for access will get it, while small start-ups will be unable. An open, accessible, and neutral playing field for all internet companies is essential to continuing innovation and growth in the sector. I am in strong support of keeping Title II regulations to provide unhindered and fairly priced access to an open and vibrant internet. Using the powers of the FCC to classify the internet as a public utility is the best, least burdensome way to do so. Thank you.

634. B Iwaniuk, Chicago, IL, 60647

I, along with **MILLIONS** of other American citizens, support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Your website crashed yesterday because **MILLIONS OF AMERICAN CITIZENS** flocked to this filing to leave a comment similar to mine. Listen to **THE PEOPLE**, not the corporations.

635. Perry Romanowski, Chicago, IL, 60618
I support Net Neutrality and do not wish to see ISPs allowed to throttle the speed of traffic over the Internet based on where the traffic is coming from. This could have serious consequences for my business and the lives of Internet users around the country.
636. Sarah Bright, Chicago, IL, 60618
Please do not allow the internet companies to self regulate on a good faith basis. The FCC should uphold TITLE II. Monopolistic corporations are not going to act in the best interest of their customers in this matter!
637. Nathan Vernau, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong rules to protect the Open Internet under Title 2. The rules of the road need to be clearly delineated and not left to the "voluntarily" compliance by the major ISPs. Particularly those ISPs who also own major content creation companies and therefore have a clear conflict of interest. Thank you.
638. John Gorman, Chicago, IL, 60647
Upending net neutrality would fundamentally alter the structure, experience, and financial accessibility of the internet and the many services it provides access to. It also means that our primary means of communication would come under the control of unaccountable corporations that have repeatedly demonstrated their bad-faith toward consumers. I urge the FCC to continue to treat the internet providers as common carriers. John Gorman
639. Jeffrey Oaks, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please preserve Title 2 and net neutrality. It is very important that we keep the internet equal for everyone. Thank you.
640. Brian Schwind, Riverside, IL, 60546
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. An open internet is one of the few equal access platforms we have in this country. We can't afford to have corporations prioritizing what they want us to consume any more than they already do.
641. Gailsellers, Riverside, IL, 60546
To: the FCC I am writing in strong support of internet neutrality and urge you to protect Americans citizens from any further commercial exploitation of this valuable cultural and educational tool. Our right to use the internet should be free of commercial manipulation. There is already enough interference! The rate at which individuals receive unwanted advertisements based on browser interaction has increased exponentially since restrictions were recently lifted. Any future changes would be forced upon users against their will, and are seen as simply a disgraceful extension of the voracious greed now unabashedly on display in the Republican party. This is currently wreaking havoc in American

culture and destroying the integrity of our place in the world. Since I see no indication that this administration has any intention of stopping their unconscionable, money grabbing agenda, I demand that the FCC protect our society and the greater good. Thank you. Gail Sellers 224 Herrick Road Riverside, Illinois 60546

642. John McGillicuddy, riverside, IL, 60546
I specifically support net neutrality backed by title II overbite of ISPs
643. David Pryor, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please ensure that freedom of speech isn't crushed on the internet by big business interests. Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
644. Barbara Kato, Chicago, IL, 60625
Giving everyone equal access to the Internet with equal volume and speed seems to be a 21st century right much like freedom of speech or equal access to a public education. If you make this access optional for the consumer or leave it to a profit-prioritizing Internet provider, an unfair and unequal distribution system will most logically develop. Please do not change the FCC regulations.
645. Thomas Braaksma, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please protect my rights to strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
646. Chris Englert, Berwyn, IL, 60402
ISPs need to remain under title II and net neutrality needs to remain. These are service providers and should not care about how we use the service as this can impact millions of remote employees and stunt America's growth. Keep America Great. Keep Net Neutrality.
647. Matthew Atwood, Chicago, IL, 53202
I strongly support maintaining regulation for internet service providers under Title 2 as it is now. I am strongly opposed to allowing ISPs to throttle bandwidth based on content.
648. J William A Harner, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please protect net neutrality with oversight by title 2. Y'all caused this with breaking up Ma Bell 33 years ago, don't make it worse. Oh and tell your boss to ditch his coffee cup it's ridiculous.
649. Kathleen Isdale, Berwyn, IL, 60402
Preserve net neutrality and title 2! Your boss and his ties to Verizon shouldn't give you the right to restrict internet access! Big companies do not have the right to buy my (and Americas) internet freedom to have access at fair speeds! We the people want net neutrality and you need to ensure you work for the people and not corporations!

650. Joseph Helbing, Berwyn, IL, 60402
Hello,I support Net Neutrality, regulating telecommunications companies forbidding use of their incredibly significant market power to strangle competition and hurt consumers. The FCC must keep Title II (2) regulations to protect consumers. Thank you,Joseph Helbing
651. Kyle Griffith, chicago, IL, 60608
Please do not remove Net neutrality. It should stay as title 2.
652. Loretta Shumate, Berwyn, IL, 60402
Please retain Title II rules and protect Net Neutrality.
653. Cory Vincent, chicago, IL, 60618
I strongly urge the FCC to continue to support and regulate the oversight of ISP (Internet Service Providers) as classified as Title II. Net Neutrality must remain!
654. Andre Rodriguez, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong net neutrality, specifically Title II net neutrality.
655. Gerardo Galvan, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support ISPs being under the Title II classification in order to preserve net neutrality and an open internet. I think it's extremely misleading and despicable that someone who was employed by Verizon is trying to limit these protections for the sake of a corporation and at the expense at the public. This is exactly what is wrong with politics today and you should be ashamed at how ridiculous you sound trying to make excuses. Do not change them back to Title I or else we will end up losing out to the whims of corporations and open competition will be a thing of the past.
656. Amelia Estrich, Chicago, IL, 60647
I'm in favor of net neutrality because all of our citizens deserve equal access to information via the internet. I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
657. Maritza Garcia, Chicago, IL, 60639
I want to urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I strongly support net neutrality, we are in no need of a weed whacker. Keep your hands off net neutrality and on your mug!
658. Sergio Correa, Chicago, IL, 60641
Support strong net neutrality backed by Tittle II oversight of internet service providers.
659. Carolyn Frame, Riverside, IL, 60546
I strongly support net neutrality laws under Title II. Rolling back this regulation

is just another way for big corporations to strong-arm hard working Americans into paying more for something they shouldn't. It is unfair and unwise to roll back this regulation. It will hurt schools and other non-profits and line the Internet companies already deep pockets. It is very dubious that the head of the FCC used to be a lawyer for Verizon. This is a classic case of conflicts of interests. Show that the FCC can still be partial and not bow down to big business and keep things fair for the American people.

660. Sarak Kampbell, Riverside, IL, 60546

I am in favor of strong net neutrality. Please do not weaken our protections.

661. Jeff Rynott, Chicago, IL, 60625

The FCC should renew Net Neutrality

662. Mark Beers, Chicago, IL, 60618

Please resist the calls to end the practice of net-neutrality and the regulation of ISP's under Title 2. Eliminating these regulations will have an extremely negative and chilling effect on the internet and on innovation. Imposing these regulations will be a huge blow to the one field where America remains a true innovator.

663. Sarah Buhmann, Chicago, IL, 60647

I support title 2 and net neutrality rules.

664. Rachael Well, Chicago, IL, 60647

Please keep strong net neutrality rules, keep ISPs accountable to Title 2

665. margaret valtierra, chicago, IL, 60647

Preserving an open internet is crucial for fair and equal access to the resources and information available on in the internet! Do not kill net neutrality!

666. Ryan Snodgrass, Chicago, IL, 60647

Come on! How are we back to trying to make things better for the corporations and worse for the consumers. True net neutrality is necessary. It is ludicrous that this is back to being a discussion. Title II protection is the smart move. Don't fuck this up.

667. Nathaniel Hutchins, Brookfield, IL, 60513

I am strongly in favor of net neutrality and support Title II oversight of ISPs. Please preserve net neutrality, the internet should not be subjected to corporate profit motivations regarding how content is provided.

668. pk, chicago, IL, 60643

I support Net Neutrality backed by title two of ISPs.

669. Neil, Chicago, IL, 60647
The internet should continue to be regulated under Title 2 because at the end of the day this regulation has secured true net neutrality, allowing small companies to fight on an equal playing field as larger companies. Title 2 regulation protects consumers, like me, who have limited options when it comes to internet providers - I should not have to worry that my ISP is making decisions on the information I can retrieve via the internet based on backdoor dealing with other companies trying to promote their own product or service. Removing this regulation would not only harm consumers, it would directly favor companies who provide their own content to their own customers, discouraging competition and playing favorites to larger companies. So please, leave Title 2 regulations in place on the internet for the consumers you are employed to protect.
670. Eduardo Marinez, Berwyn, IL, 60402
I want the internet to remain neutral. A private company should not have the power to throttle the speed of certain websites for any reason.
671. John, Chicago, IL, 60622
I support strong net neutrality as backed by Title 2
672. Riley Beck, Chicago, IL, 60625
I strongly support Net Neutrality, and Title II protections for it. Please do not make a huge mistake.
673. David G. Pavlick, La Grange Park, IL, 60526
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It is far too important to gamble on trusting that ISPs will self-regulate.
674. Paul Pater, Chicago, IL, 60608
Please stop attacking Net Neutrality. Let's keep everyone on an even playing field.
675. Karl Castaneda, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net neutrality keeps the internet open and accessible for all Americans. Shifting telecom companies over to Title I regulation is nothing short of corporate interests corrupting the government.
676. Grace Pedersen, Chicago, IL, 60625
I believe in a strong Net Neutrality stance where I don't have to worry about corporations controlling/affecting where I choose to spend time on the internet.
677. Carl Glick, Chicago, IL, 60618
I believe that ISP's should remain title 2 because moving them to title 1 would have no benefit for average citizens, and would be very detrimental to most

businesses and content creators. The only groups that would benefit are ISP's, and they are already screwing us over pretty hard without your help.

678. Seth N. Lilley, Chicago, IL, 60647

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I will not have my internet access jeopardized by the whims of internet providers and their shoddy alliances.

679. Nikolas Flanders, Chicago, IL, 60632

The Internet is a public utility, and it's importance and necessity will only grow over the coming years. That's why the internet needs to remain under Title 2 and free from the corporate control that would lead to limiting access to users and compromising its current neutrality. Free and fair access to all is a right.

680. Joseph Riendeau, Chicago, IL, 60647

Please keep the current FCC regulations on net neutrality and leave it as title 2. Stop killing the little guys.

681. Lisa Knasiak, Brookfield, IL, 60513

It is IMPERATIVE that we maintain Title II and true Net Neutrality. Anjit Pai can look into the camera and sound sincere, but we all know the words coming out of his mouth are false and harmful. Limiting access removes true choice. Limiting access removes opportunity. Limiting access is just plain wrong.

682. lisa lynott, Brookfield, IL, 60513

Net neutrality is extremely important to me. Please uphold it. The information accessed through the internet can be life saving. It should remain a true, open democratic process accessible to all people.

683. matthew switaj, Chicago, IL, 60647

I support Title 2 in net neutrality.

684. Mario Fiallos, chicago, IL, 60641

Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Internet access should be reclassified as a public utility to keep it protected.

685. Kevin Manges, Chicago, IL, 60618

Maintain net neutrality. I need this. The US needs this. All intelligent life needs this.

686. jennifer Long, Chicago, IL, 60647

Retain net neutrality. Just do 1 good thing for this country. There is still time to ruin it.

687. Chris Thompson, Chicago, IL, 60647
Under no circumstances do I endorse telecoms/ISPs acting without Title II oversight. Strong net neutrality is one of the beautiful things about the internet, we must preserve that.
688. Derek Smith, Chicago, IL, 60618
The internet is a critical part of my life, both professionally and personally, and equal treatment of data is absolutely critical to its function. Without unequivocal legal protection for net neutrality, the innovation and creativity that has defined the 21st century would not be possible. Do not weaken the legal standing of net neutrality. It cannot be preserved by the benevolence of cable companies. It cannot be preserved by trusting them to be fair. There must be a firm, legal protection of the equal treatment of data through internet infrastructure.
689. megan strand, Chicago, IL, 60647
I fully support net neutrality and Title 2 classification rules as they currently stand.
690. Eleanor hollingsworth, chicago, IL, 60647
I support Strong Net neutrality, backed by title 2 over sight of ISP's
691. Ryan Leonard, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title Two ISPs.
692. Thom Rehwaldt, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Without a truly free and open internet, we are a nation at risk.
693. Jeff Tallman, Northalke, IL, 60164
I wish for the FCC to preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2
694. Kevin Swan, Chicago, IL, 60618
Preserve net neutrality and protect our internet from greedy internet service providers trying to influence our internet experience for their profits!
695. doug, Chicago, IL, 60618
Please maintain net neutrality by upholding title 2 status of ISPs.
696. Warren Cockerham, Chicago, IL, 60608
Please preserve net neutrality. PRESERVE TITLE II / an OPEN INTERNET.
697. Henry Litt, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support net neutrality and would be concerned if it is no longer enforced.

698. Amanda Pavlecic, Chicago, IL, 60651
I would like to strongly urge the FCC to preserve net neutrality and Title II.
699. Brian Weaver, Chicago, IL, 60622
I support strong net neutrality, including ISP's. This would specifically be related to Title II. This is a troubling development
700. Leah Ziegler, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support net neutrality. I believe that internet data should be equal.
701. Anne Malina, Berwyn, IL, 60402
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2. It is unjust to do otherwise.
702. John Kuhn, Chicago, IL, 60622
I support strong Net Neutrality, and especially Title II of this provision.
703. Elizabeth Weck, Chicago, IL, 60647
We support strongly upheld net neutrality and the classification of isp's under title two.
704. John Heagen, Chicago, IL, 60641
As a telecommunications major that spent four years learning about matters like this, it is essential to the protection of free speech of all Americans that ISP's are labled under level 2 to ensure that our rights to free access of information are protected.
705. Lawrence M Fox, River Forest, IL, 60305
We support net neutrality, and we support it remaining under Title 2.
706. Taylor Wellls, Chicago, IL, 60647
I am VERY opposed to your stance on creating more lax regulations for internet providers. I am for strong, enforceable regulations holding companies accountable for fair and open internet services, regardless of their business interests. Also, John had a cooler mug than yours.
707. net neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2, both are hugely important to my and all American citizen's rights.
708. James Elliott, Chicago, IL, 60630
I support net neutrality as a cornerstone of the open and free internet. Without net neutrality, our internet freedom and liberties are challenged. Personally, as a librarian, this is an issue close to my studies and Information beliefs.
709. Austin, Chiago, IL, 60625

Please leave net neutrality in it's current state. Don't change it. Please. I said "please".

710. net neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60647

Maintaining Net Neutrality is vital for our economy and allows for more competition in the market of web services. We must make sure that ISPs don't have the power to throttle bandwidth to some services in order to drive people to others.

711. Brett Waner, Chicago, IL, 60618

Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. There is no reason to do away with this safeguard. Allowing an ISP to play favorites will only hurt consumers and prevent competition. Large companies will be able to pay for preferential treatment that will harm start-ups and smaller players. By keeping the playing field level, those start-ups can excel and/or be bought out by the competition.

712. Marie Naumann, Chicago, IL, 60618

Net neutrality must be maintained by preserving current regulations. Corporations cannot be expected to make moral and ethical decisions when these conflict with the pursuit of profit. Government regulations must be in place to ensure that those with fewer resources are not marginalized.

713. Hanna Dworkin, Chicago, IL, 60625

Please maintain the open and free exchange of information necessary to support a healthy democracy by maintaining complete net neutrality. Keep ISPs in Title2. Thank you.

714. Chris Walker, Chicago, IL, 60618

I highly support continuing the regulation of Internet Service Providers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Despite the assurance of ISP's that they will self regulate, there have been several instances in which ISP's have attempted to sway commerce by manipulation of access to content. Such manipulation is a direct threat to a free and open digital marketplace and antithetical to the U.S. ethos as enshrined in the 1st Amendment.

715. Tanya Clark, Chicago, IL, 60625

I am urging you to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I believe the internet is a great equalizer and an ISP shouldn't be able to manipulate what I do based on their profits or agenda.

716. Suzanne Miller, Chicago, IL, 60625

I strongly support net neutrality! Keep title 2 oversight of ISPs!

717. Becky Bonjour, Brookfield, IL, 60513

I support net neutrality. I support keeping ISP in title 2. We need consumer

protections to keep monopoly corporations from controlling our access to information and internet

718. Zachary Peterson, Chicago, IL, 60647
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I strongly support net neutrality and the regulation of ISPs. We cannot allow corporations to control our Internet for influence and profit.
719. Matt Linas, Chicago, IL, 60616
Dear Chairman of the US FCC, Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
720. Jason MurphyJason, Chicago, IL, 60647
I think that the federal government has a duty to protect all of its citizens from the conflicts of interest that could very conceivably arise if the government does not do everything in it's power to uphold net neutrality.
721. Monica Chabail, Chicago, IL, 60647
REMAIN ON TITLE II. Preserve Net Neutrality. Strongly support TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISPs.
722. Paul Dowdakin, Chicago, IL, 60634
I am filing on behalf of preserving net neutrality. Please preserve net neutrality and title 2.
723. kristina kaminski, chicago, IL, 60618
No valid reason to change/remove title 2! Keep net neutrality!
724. John Michael Roccamo, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Access to the wealth of information the internet provides should be unaffected by corporate interest and equally accessible to all, regardless of how "hypothetical" that interference may be. Net neutrality keeps the best interest of the people in mind and it's worthwhile to keep the interests of those people in mind.
725. Joe Stence, Chicago, IL, 60618
The Net Neutrality laws should not be changed. Changing them would only hurt consumers.
726. Jessica Siegel, Chicago, IL, 60641
I support net neutrality. Please keep ISPs classified as Title II. Keep it so that everyone has fair and equal access without preferential treatments so large corporations can profit!
727. FCC, Chicago, IL, 60622

I strongly, STRONGLY support strong Net Neutrality enforcement under Title II oversight. I cannot stress enough how important I feel it is to maintain this status. Thank you.

728. John Holmstrom, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please preserve strong net neutrality under Title II. Don't fcc around with the internet!
729. Arjun Joshi, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please keep net neutrality. ThanksArjun
730. Ariane Cherry, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Are we having this discussion again. Ridiculous.
731. Caitlin Nagy, Chicago, IL, 60618
Keep the internet free and defend net neutrality!
732. Christopher Cullen, Chicago, IL, 60608
I strongly support net neutrality overseen by Title 2!
733. Alexander Christopher, Chicago, IL, 60641
I strongly urge the FCC to maintain Title II status for ISPs in order to keep the internet open and free. Net Neutrality is necessary for all consumers and businesses to operate on equal footing. The internet needs to be treated like a utility similar to telephones, electricity and other integral services that people can't live without.Thank you,
734. Sophia Hopp, Chicago, IL, 60618
I am in support of Net Neutrality and Title 2
735. Amanda Davis, Chicago, IL, 60647
Support net neutrality, an extrememly important issue for our access to knowledge and information
736. Brienne Callahan, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong net neutrality rules, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I do not support stepping back these rules under Title I or under statues other than Title II.
737. Juan cortes jr, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support net neutrality back by title two over site of ISPs.
738. matthew Feldman, Chicago, IL, 60625
I support full net neutrality, backed by title 2 regulations on both wired and

wireless ISPs... Also, Ajit Pai seems to be the wrong person to be in his position. I hope he's gone soon.

739. Corbin Via, Chicago, IL, 60647
I urge you to support net neutrality. All links are created equal.
740. Angela Dumas, Chicago, IL, 60625
Keep the internet neutral! I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
741. Aaron Frey, Chicago, IL, 60618
The internet's guiding principle is to preserve our right to communicate freely online.
742. Kyle Frayn, Chicago, IL, 60608
I support an open and free internet with enforceable regulations to that end. Specifically keeping ISPs regulated under Title II
743. Sharon Manuel, Brookfield, IL, 60513
It is imperative that you preserve net neutrality and title #2 oversight of ISP's
744. Christopher Cox, Chicago, IL, 60625
I urge you to keep ISPs as a title ii provision. Net neutrality is a necessity in a democracy and access to electronic information is a human right.
745. Meg White, Chicago, IL, 60625
Preserve the open internet and Title II.
746. Melody Russ, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support reserving net neutrality and title 2!!!!
747. Lauren Dies, Chicago, IL, 60647
Keep ISPs under Title II to maintain a fair internet.
748. samantha morales, Chicago, IL, 60647
I strongly support Net neutrality. Preserve that and title II.
749. Jereme Rhodes, Chicago, IL, 60625
We need Net Neutrality rules to be enforced under Title II because as you know Verizon successfully sued the FCC because they did not have authority to enforce regulations under Title I.
750. Terra Jones, Chicago, IL, 60634
I support strong protection of net neutrality, in particular, Title 2 regulation of ISPs. It is appalling that despite overwhelming response last time this was

brought up, it is once again being considered that we forgo net neutrality.

751. Matt Cefalu, Chicago, IL, 60622
Net neutrality is important. Keep the title II classifications.
752. Charles Perry, Chicago, IL, 60622
Net Neutrality has GOT to be preserved. In the information age, democracy depends on it.
753. Jackie Olson, Chicago, IL, 60625
Net neutrality is the cornerstone of what makes the internet a free and open exchange of ideas. Comcast is already a monopoly that should be broken up - if you aren't going to go after them - at least pen them in.
754. Michael Steichen, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please preserve net-neutrality and title II. I'm very concerned about net-neutrality. I don't want large companies to have the ability to legally put the brakes on certain sites and applications at their will. I believe the internet is a utility that everyone has a right to. It might sound cheesy, but because of the open internet I was able to turn my life around and start a new exciting career in web-development . Please preserve net-neutrality and title II!
755. Thea Baldwin, Chicago, IL, 60618
Please keep Net Neutrality backed by Title II and prioritize citizens over corporations. Thanks.
756. Gan Uyeda, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support open and equal access to the internet without internet service providers erecting barriers to certain content or services.
757. emilios chronopoulos, chicago, IL, 60618
keep the net neutral!!!!
758. Devin Whitlock, Chicago, IL, 60618
Preserve Net Neutrality! There is a reason why it is preserved under Title II, and should remain as such. The Commissioner may think it is only hypothetical that companies would act selfishly, but history has proven this is always what they do. If you truly believe in a free market economy, all companies should have the same access to the same speeds. Again, preserve Net Neutrality!
759. paul jackiewicz, Chicago, IL, 60647
I strongly support net neutrality. It's bad enough a former Verizon lawyer is leading this agency, it's even worse it would be even considered to loosen regulation.

760. Jill Harman, Chicago, IL, 60651
I support net neutrality and Title II. Do not fuck with how I get my information.
761. Jeffrey Alvarez, Chicago, IL, 60647
I believe in strong net neutrality and think this is so specifically important right now. By Title 2. This is so important. Do what is right for the American people.
762. Michael Hornung, Brookfield, IL, 60513
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Title II oversight MUST remain in place to keep the internet free for all
763. Curtis B Miller, Chicago, IL, 60608
Please stand up for net neutrality. Thank you,Curt
764. Ellenkate Finley, Chicago, IL, 60608
I support strong net neutrality with title ii oversight for ISPs
765. Maliwan Diemer, Chicago, IL, 60618
I support strong net neutrality. Please protect this and maintain title II protections. Net neutrality is and integral and important component of the modern technology we are fortunate enough to have. Please keep it safe and fair for all users and make keeping net neutrality a priority. Thank you for your time and consideration.
766. Jillian Troiani, Chicago, IL, 60647
yes the net neutrality!
767. tim speicher, Chicago, IL, 60608
I support strong net neutrality rules with title II oversight for ISPs.
768. Ryan Jones, Chicago, IL, 60647
I am against the reclassification of ISP because me the consumer knows that companies like comcast,time Warner, and AT&T have a monopoly. The head of the FCC knows what he doing and should stop playing stupid. Comcast in particular has a conflict of interest as a ISP with them owning a major tv network and having it own streaming service. Then getting rid of title two it will allow ISP to take advantage of customer even more. ISP already advertise speeds that they can't possibly offer(hint hint all of them). I know they rather pay their puppet Ahji Pei millions of dollars so they can give us lower speeds and throttle the speeds of sites who won't follow their pay to play model(like their doing with Netflix and other content providers that are direct competition with their business because Comcast own NBC which is anti competitive). Ahji Pei stop playing Americans like idiots because we all know what your doing.
769. Colin Drozdoff, Chicago, IL, 60647

Please uphold and maintain the regulations of the previous administration regarding net neutrality. Please continue to respect the free market principles that net neutrality protects.

770. Olivia Tousius, Chicago, IL, 60647
I admittedly support net neutrality and to maintain Obama era regulations keeping ISPs under Title II classification.
771. Sergio Roman-Bustamante, Berwyn, IL, 60402
I am in support for net neutrality. I will be contacting my senator and congressmen to represent my position.
772. Adam Oufkir, Chicago, IL, 60618
You are destorying capitalism and the free market if you pass this. Also empowering monopolistic practices.
773. Aaron Warschausky, Chicago, IL, 60622
I want to express my support for net neutrality through title II classification of internet service providers
774. Liam Coles., Chicago, IL, 11530
Please, leave Net Neutrality alone. All internet data should be treated equally. Allow the creative destruction of the free market create economic growth.
775. Myliese, Chicago, IL, 60647
i specifically support strong net neutrality backed by tittle two oversight of isp's
776. Joseph Vecchio, Chicago, IL, 60622
To Whom It Concerns:The internet was created using taxpayer funds and rightfully belongs to the American people. Title II is the only protection we currently have to keep the internet open and free. I stand opposed to allowing corporations to determine what content I should see.
777. chris novak, chicago, IL, 60618
The FCC must preserve net neutrality and Title II
778. John Ramirez, CHICAGO, IL, 60618
Please DO NOT remove the classification of title 2 for ISPs. All ISPs need to be regulated under title 2.
779. Luke W., CHICAGO, IL, 60647
I am strongly opposed to docket 17-108, net neutrality is central to keeping the internet free and clear. The name of "Restoring internet freedom" is a joke and assumes the public is ignotant. Support of this bill will show who is actually looking out for their constituents vs taking money from companies profiting off

constituents.

780. Chris Jones, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please don't allow companies to restrict or limit our access to whichever websites or services they choose. I'm not sure how this is even up for debate.
781. Vince Santillan, Chicago, IL, 60625
Please keep strong net neutrality laws in place. The internet is working fine as it is and there are no benefits to gain by relaxing the current rules in place. Keep Internet service providers classified under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
782. Rena Katinas, Chicago, IL, 60647
Hear me loud and clear, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Got it?!
783. Paul Moreno, Chicago, IL, 60641
I Support strong net neutrality. Title 2 is a necessity for true free internet.
784. Linda sliwicka, chicago, IL, 60625
No to restricting net neutrality!
785. Vanessa Padecky, Chicago, IL, 60618
Net neutrality now.
786. Frank Lombardo, Chicago, IL, 60608
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Do not let these thieving crooks destroy something they have no right to own. Even their infrastructure was paid for with public money. Don't fuck with my internet assholes. Be worth something for once in your lives!
787. Amy Rosen, Chicago, IL, 60618
I support title 2, it is necessary to preserve net neutrality which is important to keeping the net free and fair and supportive to creativity and inventiveness.
788. Wendy Rea, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Net Neutrality is essential for protecting free speech, organizing, business and innovation. I will not stand for any attacks on Net Neutrality or my rights as an internet user. Leave the Net Neutrality rules alone.
789. Moe Suleiman, Chicago, IL, 60625
I strictly support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. I do not accept or agree to the intended infringement upon my right to my privacy wherein ISP's wish to sell my browsing history. I demand the continued,

unfettered access to all legal content on the internet, and do not agree to giving ISP's the right or ability to restrict that access by throttling speeds to any website I wish to access or by blocking it entirely. The free, unfettered and continuous equal access to the internet, in this day and age, is fundamental to our democracy, the continued growth of our economy and the shared ideals of freedom and free speech. I hereby demand as a citizen that the federal government and any of its institutions does not alter the state of Net Neutrality and to continue enforcing the aforementioned strong oversight under Title 2 of ISP's.

790. Paull Fuller, Chicago, IL, 60622
The internet is free and should remain that way with nobody having the right to filter content or alter performance to give preference.
791. Teda Jenkins, Brookfield, IL, 60513
Please treat all web traffic equally. When things slow down for SOME, it hurts us all.
792. Carol Reilly, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support net neutrality under Title II. There is no need to change or reclassify.
793. Andrew Jackson, Chicago, IL, 60622
I am in strong favor of net neutrality. No private company, public company, or government entity should be able to deny or delay open source information to the public for commercial gain.
794. Brian Cassidy, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net Neutrality is key to a prospering global economy and global society.
795. Sara Rosenburg, Chicago, IL, 60647
I am in favor of strong net neutrality policy backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
796. Jamie Vann, Chicago, IL, 60625
I support net neutrality and I believe it must be backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
797. Greg Moravec, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support the preservation of net neutrality and title 2. We cannot trust internet service providers to to be neutral because it isn't in their best interests. We need to regulate it to protect citizens.
798. Sherry Coleman, Chicago, IL, 60647
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY. Net neutrality needs to be upheld and regulated as it is important for the citizens who use the net to know that it is a public service that should be free of influence from companies or organizations

to use for their own profit. Companies will not voluntarily do this and you should not trust for profit companies with incentives to regulate the net to their benefit. PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY.

799. Peter Nerad, Chicago, IL, 60625

Internet providers will police themselves? Are you kidding me? On my Verizon phone I was continually charged for GPS that I did not want. (There was a class action suit that refunded customers \$20 million.) On my tmobile phone, they keep charging me phone insurance that I do not want. And Comcast kept charging me for a modem I did not have. Really transparent fraud, just like this new rule. Mark my words, after the FCC Director leaves, he'll be rewarded with a very lucrative job at an Internet provider, a post facto bribe, reprehensible but legal.

800. Nicole Simpson, Chicago, IL, 60641

I am voicing my support of strong net neutrality through the Title II filing of all ISP's. These regulations are critical in protecting consumers from net providers blocking or slowing some content and favoring other content.

801. Nicholas Ciontea, Chicago, IL, 60608

I support strong net neutrality enforcement. Keep the internet fair through legislation and FCC rules

802. Net neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60618

I am in firm support of net neutrality. The internet must remain an end to end network.

803. Kevin Lynch, Chicago, IL, 60622

Please continue to regulate and enforce a free and open internet. Moving to a model relying on companies to continue being responsible is too short sighted of an approach for me to support.

804. Bryce Wergin, Chicago, IL, 60622

The reasons for gutting net neutrality do not provide enough benefit to enough people to justify empowering already staggeringly powerful comms companies to further expand their profits. It would allow these massive entities to basically "shake down" companies who depend on equal bandwidth access to run their business. That sounds like the mob, not America. I believe gutting net neutrality is un-American, and I will be supremely disappointed if access to a fair and equal internet is taken away in the name of expanding already massive, massive profit margins for the big telecomm corporations. Thank you for taking the time to read my opinion.

805. Colleen Terese Moore, Chicago, IL, 60622

I specifically support title II oversight of ISP's.

806. George Krueger, Chicago, IL, 60651
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. We can't simply trust internet service providers to do the right thing!
807. I support strong Net Neutrality Rules backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.,
Chicago, IL, 60618
I support strong Net Neutrality Rules backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
808. Alyssa West, Chicago, IL, 60618
I'm filing to express my support of keeping our obama era net neutrality laws and keeping ISPs under title 2 to ensure that our internets remains neutral and unadulterated by internet providers. I'm against allowing ISPs to have free reign over how they choose to present information.
809. Erika Nienow, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong bet neutrality and title 2.
810. Bonita Perez, Chicago, IL, 60639
Stop giving ISPs so much power! Protect title I a title II from being manipulated.
811. max salberg, Chicago, IL, 60647
This would be the downfall of fair and just internet.
812. Sarah Gayman, Chicago, IL, 60647
Businesses do not regulate themselves. It is imperative for freedom of thought, speech, and expression than we maintain net neutrality. The Title II classification allowed for the appropriate regulation; this must be maintained.
813. katie wanner, Chicago, IL, 60647
It is extremely important to preserve net neutrality and the title 2 designation of ISPs.
814. Jeff Hassberger, CHICAGO, IL, 60647
I support strong net neutrality based on the continuation title 2 designation of ISP's
815. erin drain, chicago, IL, 60651
You must protect net neutrality under Title 2 protections!!!
816. brian thelen, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please preserve net neutrality laws as presently constituted. In no way do I desire an unregulated online ecosystem.
817. Meg Conley, Chicago, IL, 60618

SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY BASED ON TITLE II!

818. Daniel Murphy, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support Net Neutrality. I believe it should remain under Title 2. ISPs should not be allowed to sell priority access.
819. Daniel Palmer, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support net neutrality and the title II oversight of ISPs. Please don't hurt the average American by allowing ISPs to walk all over them without fear.
820. George Tomecki, Brookfield, IL, 60513
Please keep net neutrality rules governing ISP Internet service providers under title 2.
821. Rodolfo De Jesus, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support the protection of strong net neutrality laws and Title 2
822. Antonio Rubio, Cicero, IL, 60804
I strongly agree support and agree with net neutrality. It is our freedoms as intelligent being on the line. Having the FCC have complete oversight and regulation of ISP under Title II is a step in the right direction and is the something that needs to be maintained.
823. Jennifer Thom, Chicago, IL, 60647
Writing in support of preserving net neutrality under title II. Suggesting that ISPs will regulate themselves for the public good in the absence of regulations is ridiculous.
824. Ben Shartar, Chicago, IL, 60647
I specifically support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. If the slowing of a competitor's internet speed in preference to another, is as hypothetical as a problem as Ajit Pai suggested, then companies should have no problem complying with that legislation. A law, while sometimes inconvenient for some, is for the protection of all. This is the reason why I am okay waiting forever in TSA lines at airports.
825. Christa Harris, Chicago, IL, 60618
First, the fact that this is such a convoluted way to comment considering the secondary fact that this could affect EVERY person in the US is ridiculous. Second, net neutrality must be protected. I do not want my ISPs determining which content gets preference to other content with respect to service. We pay THEM. We are THEIR customers. They should have NO control over how we use the internet. Not having net neutrality is the equivalent of burning books. Shameful.

826. DJ Lane, Chicago, IL, 60647
First of all, I appreciate the implicit threat made by the legal warning concerning the public nature of these comments. Leaving that aside, however, the point of these proceedings is in regards to the concept of "net neutrality." I specifically support strong "net neutrality" backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Access to the Internet, a network originally developed by the support of the American taxpayer, ought to be regulated in a fashion similar to the regulation of public utilities.
827. Shana Packman, Chicago, IL, 60625
In favor of strong net neutrality.
828. Jerry Gilio, Cicero, IL, 60804
Retain net neutrality by regulating ISPs under Title 2, with its stronger enforcement provisions.
829. Laura Legernes, Chicago, IL, 60647
I strongly support net neutrality. Otherwise companies will become monopolies and take advantage of the public.
830. pascal delcase, Chicago, IL, 60647
I strong support net neutrality backed by strong title II oversight.
831. Marcus Wright, Chicago, IL, 60618
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. I DO NOT want my ISP to determine how I use the internet.
832. William Kopcke, Chicago, IL, 60647
I am writing to support net neutrality and to voice an opinion that Title 2 should be enforced to encourage fair access to an open internet
833. Karina Rodriguez, Evanston, IL, 06877
I specifically support Net Neutrality under Title II. Net neutrality is a necessity in an age dominated by the internet.
834. Mike Maimone, Chicago, IL, 60625
Net neutrality is important! I support Title 2! We are small businesses and we need a fair shot.
835. Michael Grimes, Chicago, IL, 60625
I SPECIFICALLY SUPPORT STRONG INTERNET NEUTRALITY
BACKED BY TITLE 2 OVERSIGHT OF ISP's.
836. Ryan Pfaff, Chicago, IL, 60622
I support the current net neutrality and title 2 rules protecting fairness of internet

usage.

837. Lindsey Bliss, Chicago, IL, 60608
I believe in net neutrality and maintaining the current role to standards.
838. Elias Mason, Chicago, IL, 60608
To Mr. Ajit Pai --I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Banishing net neutrality will only profit the major corporations involved (and yourself, no doubt), all at the expense of the average American. Is there any end to your greed? As a regular working person, I can't fathom your bottomless avarice. What is the end goal, more money? Be decent, and stick up for regular people -- or "consumers" as I'm sure you think of them -- and preserve net neutrality.
839. maria dulce ramos, CHICAGO, IL, 60618
Support strong net neutrality policy! It's the American thing to do.
840. Mandy Evans, Chicago, IL, 60647
Preserve net neutrality and preserve title II. Please do the right thing. Please do what is fair. DO what is right for the VERY LARGE majority for all of our citizens and NOT for big businesses like Verizon.
841. Scott Lagler, Brookfield, IL, 60513
Keep the internet a free and open place to all. Force the ISPs to bring fiber to all consumers in major metropolitan areas and broadband to rural areas. It is basic economics that it will help our country grow.
842. brandon bardill, melrose park, IL, 60160
You cannot get rid of or change net neutrality. The internet and ISPs must stay under title two. Ajit pain is clueless. Keep the internet open.
843. david giron, Chicago, IL, 60608
Please preserve the net neutrality laws. Cmon man. For the people.
844. JOSH PHILO, chicago, IL, 60618
PLEASE!!!!!! PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY UNDER TITLE II FOR THE LOVE OF GOD!!!!!!
845. Eric Etten, Chicago, IL, 60647
I'm writing to express my support for Net Neutrality and Title II. Thanks!
846. Jason Blumstein, Chicago, IL, 60647
I believe in a strong and free internet under Title II guidelines so ISPs cannot restrict traffic based on preference.

847. Jesse Badger, Chicago, IL, 60609
Please maintain the enforcement of net neutrality as is without any changes or deregulation.
848. Sarah Jacobson, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net neutrality is extremely important and Title II should be upheld to protect it!
849. paul, Chicago, IL, 60625
I support STRONG net neutrality and so should you. Anything less is uncivilized.
850. stephanie mathless, chicago, IL, 60625
I am in favor of strong net neutrality. You should be too.
851. hannah, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight by ISP Please keep our internet and market open and free.
852. Paul Sadler, Chicago, IL, 60625
We the undersigned fully support net neutrality and Title II, both of which are crucial to maintaining equal access for all applications and protocols.
853. shannan bunting, Chicago, IL, 60618
I support strong regulations of the internet. Please keep these regulations in place. Preserve net neutrality & Title II.
854. Michael Graham, chicago, IL, 60618
I support strong net neutrality rules. Net neutrality rules don't hurt any individuals or entities, they ensure intermediate gatekeepers can't leverage their position in ways that hurt either the end user or the content producer.
855. saffron joy, chicago, IL, 60641
Listen, please keep the Internet regulated. You know as soon as they can, they will try and turn the Internet into some slow mess of a Cable channel package set. As someone who has grown and thrived in the grand free expanse of high speed internet, I don't think there is anything scarier then the idea it could be turned into an extremely controlled space like that of the highly restricted Internet that is provided at school. It can inhibit learning, it can inhibit free speech, it could inhibit the country.
856. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60647
I am a professional web developer and I strongly believe that Title II regulations are the best and fairest way to handle the regulation of internet traffic, they are the only form of regulation (and yes regulation is required in this areana) that will ensure that the ISPs will not introduce problem ideas to the internet such as

"fast lanes" and rate limiting to competitor content sites. "Fast lanes" in particular are an issue as they inhibit innovation in the internet space as they make it difficult for any "up and comer" to compete with any of the established players that can just pay the fee to get such a "fast lane."It's also worth noting that since the title II rules were introduced that none of the ISPs have suffered any revenue loss or loss of speed for that matter, in fact most have increased their revenues and the speed of there connections over that time, indicating that title II restrictions do not in fact reduce innovation and/or investment into the industry, contrary to what Mr. Pai would have you believe.

857. Arturo, Chicago, IL, 60641

This should not even be a question. Is it really an argument that we'll let the MOST IMPORTANT INVENTION IN HUMAN HISTORY fall prey to companies whose sole motivation is the bottom dollar? This is a choice that will decide our future. Try not to fuck it up.

858. Theresa Crowley, Chicago, IL, 60641

Preserve Net neutrality and title 2. Thank you.

859. Jesse McAlpin, Chicago, IL, 60608

The deployment of internet services via ISPs in the United States must remain under Title II. A free and open internet is necessary to a healthy democracy. Throttling, denial of service, or any other hindrance to an individual's lawful access to information on the internet by any corporation or business should be discontinued.

860. Andrew Ediger, Chicago, IL, 60618

Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. Internet providers will do this again if regulations are eliminated Iâ€™m also concerned by mobile providers who say a plan is "unlimited," but when you exceed the data cap, only throttle sites and services that aren't part of their approved zero-rating network. Thanks for reading my comment. The Internet was built upon the backbone of public funding and expanded with government subsidies and tax breaks. We paid for this system once and the paths should be open to be used equally by all citizens b like the roads we drive on.

861. George Tomecki, Brookfield, IL, 60513

Please enforce strict title 2 rules on Internet Service Providers to keep the internet open and competitive. Do not let the wolves govern the sheep. If you weaken the enforcement back to title 1 the ISP's will find a way to squeeze out profits at the expense of their competitors and our speed/ content. The ISP like Comcast already have virtual monopolies in many areas (no DISH is not a real

competitor).

862. Zach Schmucker, Chicago, IL, 60647
I stand for strict net neutrality laws governed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
863. Adam Borus, Chicago, IL, 60608
A free and open exchange of ideas online is integral to democracy and justice, core tenements of America. Please protect Net Neutrality and do NOT allow companies to tinker, mess with, slow down, etc. their customer's internet speed/access based on their own interests. People should get what they paying for. Do NOT allow telecom companies to be categorized differently so that they can disrupt the free and open exchange of ideas an information online.
864. steve feldman, Chicago, IL, 60625
I want you to keep Net Neutrality and Title 2 laws
865. Judith Osterman, BERWYN, IL, 60402
We must have a free and open internet. Service providers should not control access or use of the internet.
866. Elizabeth Kruse, CHICAGO, IL, 60618
Protect net neutrality. The internet has become a utility.
867. joan dobbs, BROOKFIELD, IL, 60513
What's it like to be completely lacking in a sense of morality? Can we assume you've already passed that on to your children?
868. John Stanley, CHICAGO, IL, 60618
The telecom companies cannot be trusted to keep the internet a platform that's an equal playing field. This directly conflicts with their business models.
869. John Stanley, CHICAGO, IL, 60618
The telecom companies will destroy the integrity of the internet. Their track record is abysmal in other areas of their business. It begs the question how they'd improve the internet from the consumer's perspective and pocketbook.
870. Matt Barr, Chicago, IL, 60618
Please keep tight regulations on ISPs in order to retain full net neutrality! Thank you.
871. Melanie Franke, Chicago, IL, 60647
The FCC must fully support net neutrality. It's essential to a healthy democracy and free and open internet for all.
872. Brian Dunne, Chicago, IL, 60618

US broadband ISPs currently enjoy regional near-monopolies supported by local legislation. There is a distinct lack of competition even in a region as ripe for it as Chicago. Encouraging competition and fostering innovation are two key tenets of the FCC's mission. Protecting American consumers from business overreach is a third. It is clearly to Comcast's advantage as a vertically-integrated content producer to maintain a stranglehold over its content delivery network. It competes with other content production companies. What prevents them from making small start-up rivals inaccessible over a Comcast connection? What prevents them from rate-limiting streaming services that compete with XFINITY OnDemand so that people give up watching (and paying for!) Netflix or Amazon Video? Are people going to stop paying for Comcast if that happens? We, the American consumer, don't have that choice. Voting with our wallets in the true free market model is not an option in this case. Society has reached a point beyond the internet as a luxury. It is now a necessity for every household. We, the people, can't decide not to give money to these giant ISPs without retreating from society at large. A recommendation in favor of these ISPs is a recommendation against the American people. Classify them as common carriers so that they cannot abuse their power to discriminate against traffic produced by rival companies. Please urge Congress and the Executive branch to push for additional Net Neutrality legislation, even if they won't listen. The American public must see you as operating in our best interest. The world must continue to recognize the US as the leading force of a free and open internet.

873. Steven Plock, Chicago, IL, 60647

I am a strong supporter of Net Neutrality under TITLE 2 guidelines. Please keep the internet free and equal! The TITLE 2 guidelines have no effect on infrastructure and technology investment. Do not pay attention to those lies by the ISPs. TITLE 2 Guidelines PLEASE!

874. Knox Keranen, Chicago, IL, 60647

I support internet freedom Mr. Pai.

875. Matt Castillo, Chicago, IL, 60622

Net neutrality is necessary, and the FCC must protect the rights to an open internet.

876. Ada Vargas, Chicago, IL, 60623

The need to keep Title 2 is so intense, that we are reaching out from all corners of the country to ask that they are kept. Net neutrality is crucial. Thank you.

877. Mandaline Dunne, Chicago, IL, 60647

I believe Title II should be preserved because I generally use smaller internet providers and Net Neutrality would directly affect my life!

878. Amelia Bethel, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please protect net neutrality, especially re: oversight for ISPs.
879. Scott Harvey, Berwyn, IL, 60402
Please leave the 2015 net neutrality rules in effect. The internet is one of mankind's greatest creations and we should not allow it to be manipulated or exploited by giant, near-monopolistic corporations. Thank you.
880. alex iliev, chicago, IL, 60647
Chairman Pai, I support strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
881. Evan Williams, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong net neutrality rules and that these rules should continue to be written under Title II and not Title I.
882. Anthony Janairo, Chicago, IL, 60647
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai,I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations.We are the people, we are the union, we have voices that cannot be dismissed by corporations. Thank you.
883. Michael Kelliher, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong net neutrality backed by the Title 2 oversight of internet service providers."
884. Kerry Dueker, Chicago, IL, 60618
I believe internet must remain open and accessible for all individuals. Therefore, I do not support any action that repeals or rolls back Title II oversight of ISPs, including proceeding 17-108. Net Neutrality is vital in today's world, and I do not believe that Chairman Pai's proposal will support that.
885. Meghan Kutz, Chicago, IL, 60647
I strongly support the preservation of classifying ISPs under Title II regulations for net neutrality. Declassifying ISPs under Title II comes at the cost of competition, innovation, and of democracy.
886. Daniel Hunt, Chicago, IL, 60647
I'm strongly opposed to this proposal - it is imperative that all data be treated equally and the FCC must ensure that it remains so.
887. Martin Barcelo, Chicago, IL, 60618
There are paywalls on enough things as it is already; why should there be one

on all the information we can get from the internet? To instate one would be incredibly detrimental to the free flow of information and everyone who uses that flow.

888. kate ronan, Chicago, IL, 60647
It allows me to stay connected to friends and family.
889. John Hanson, Chicago, IL, 60651
Preserve net neutrality and keep internet service providers title II. The future development of networks is not under siege by keeping ISPs title II.
890. Nichole Geolb, Chicago, IL, 60647
I very heavily support strong net neutrality that's backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
891. Jessica Heinrichs, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please Keep the net neutrality under Title II regulations for ISP. They should be held to a standard of fairness and not create monopolies which is want will happen if the only regulation is a promise not to.
892. Joelle Mendoza, Chicago, IL, 60647
I am in full support of net neutrality under title II. It is crucial that we keep it in place. Thank you.
893. Megan Gaebler, Chicago, IL, 60618
Mr. Pai, Title II needs to remain in effect. It is crucial to ensuring that ISPs provide the Internet without the bias of their business interests. Your old employer, Verizon, basically demanded the stricter rules of Title II when they sued the FCC over Title I's more relaxed policies. I believe they and other ISPs will exploit the American public for their business gain if Title II does not remain in effect.
894. Bill Woynowski, Brookfield, IL, 60513
Keep title 2 and net neutrality in place just as it is.
895. Stephen Coppi, Chicago, IL, 60647
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The outcome of this WILL affect how I vote for in the future.
896. Andrew Chang, Chicago, IL, 60647
Give me free web, or give me death.
897. Barbara Kehrein, Chicago, IL, 60622
Please -- listen to us. Respect us and the importance of Net Neutrality.

898. Triston Alvarez, Chicago, IL, 60641
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. As Walter said, "You're being very undude-like..."
899. Amy Young, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please preserve a free internet and net neutrality through the provision of Title 2.
900. Nathanael Filbert, Chicago, IL, 60622
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs! please please please preserve net neutrality and title 2! This is so crucial to the future of the human race!
901. Andrew Osborne, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please keep strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.
902. Anna Pederson, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net neutrality is essential in regards to equal and open access of information for all Americans. Librarians for Net Neutrality!
903. William Ellert, Chicago, IL, 60625
I support and want to preserve net neutrality and title 2. You will kill all of life by letting corp greed get in the way. be bigger than this.
904. Adam Davis, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net Neutrality is an essential part of the digital age that we live in. Regulations are necessary to keep the internet open and equal. There is clear evidence that without this regulation, and even despite its current existence, that ISPs can and will blackmail companies and citizens in order to exact as much revenue as they can by any means necessary. They must not be allowed to do this.
905. Mk V, Chicago, IL, 60623
Do we really need to explain why to you?
906. Josiah Hazel, Chicago, IL, 60618
Net Neutrality is important to our American way of life. It is an essential example of freedom of choice. The internet should be kept open and unrestricted.
907. Stephan Donovan, Chicago, IL, 60625
Put Freedom above Profit.
908. Nan Warshaw, Chicago, IL, 60625

I own a 22 year old independent record label. Today net neutrality is required for our company's existence. Our artists, their fans, and my staff depend on it. Support net neutrality!

909. Maryann Collins, Chicago, IL, 60622
Destroying net neutrality is a way to destroy democracy. Democracy should not mean only the wealthy.
910. Christine Etapa, Chicago, IL, 60632
The working and middle class doesn't have the funds to fight big business; yet we depend on the internet for our jobs, news, shopping, and even our entertainment.
911. Howard Mandel, Chicago, IL, 60641
I use the Internet every day, all day for work and personal purposes. I want and need it to run without the ISPs increasing their profits by imposing fees or restrictions on content providers based on their own commercial interests, which they will surely do if allowed. This is why "net neutrality" is important to all US users. (Very few of us have an opportunity to choose among ISPs - so marketplace competition for ISP customers cannot be the solution).
912. Christine Bucheit, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net neutrality and title 2 are important to me, my work, my company, my city, my children's education and my children's future.
913. Kerry reidy, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please resolve net neutrality and preserve title II regulation as opposed to Title I.
914. James Lynch, Chicago, IL, 60647
Amit, Please resolve net neutrality and preserve Title II regulation as opposed to Title I. And you've made me second guess my undying love of Reese's peanut butter cups.
915. Alexander Costakis, Chicago, IL, 60647
Protect net neutrality and Title II. Access to information should not be determined by ISPs, but by those seeking it out.
916. matt farrell, Chicago, IL, 60618
You must maintain net neutrality. Deregulation of title ii is an awful idea.
917. barbara becker, Berwyn, IL, 60402
Please protect net neutrality under Title 2. It's critical to our freedom of speech.
918. Zach Duffy, Chicago, IL, 60647

The internet as we know it is one of the most democratic forces on the face of the planet today; one of the few level playing fields that exists. The current classification of ISPs under Title II is an incredibly important measure protecting the neutrality of that internet. Doing away with it would be a grave mistake and would have horrible consequences for the American people.

919. Ann Laufter, Chicago, IL, 60639
Return net neutrality under title 2.
920. eric lauffer, Chicago, IL, 60639
We want you to return to title II of the communications act of 1934I don't believe these companies can police themselves!Thank you
921. Aysha Long, Chicago, IL, 60647
The idea that ISP's will self-police and maintain net neutrality voluntarily without any regulatory requirement is absurd and inconsistent with their past actions. The Title II classification is not a mere formality and poses no hindrance to investment in infrastructure. Abandoning net neutrality for an 'open market' is a disservice to all Americans. Your charter is to ensure that the FCC acts with integrity on this matter and not pander to cable giants. Your role is to monitor them not prop up their business model.
922. Tatiana Canaval, Chicago, IL, 60622
I support strong net neutrality supported by title II. Very much so!!
923. Dan Ingram, Chicago, IL, 60622
I STRONGLY support Net Neutrality with Title 2 oversight. Please do not fuck this up.
924. Jennifer Eler, Chicago, IL, 60618
Keep companies subject to Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.Keep the internet free of coercive influences.
925. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support net neutrality. Let's let everybody get the connection speed that they deserve, without having to pay a fortune for it. Let's work together to make our world a better place. Please do the right thing. Please don't block any content. I don't even really care about what content you want to block. I just want to live in a free world. We should push for easier to recognize, moral and ethical codes, instead of trying to make money off of a product that everyone can contribute great things to the world with. A lot of people care more than anything about their internet, and we can use it to bring the whole world together for a better tomorrow.
926. Guy Nickson, Chicago, IL, 60618

I strongly urge you to maintain the Net Neutrality laws as they are now and now cave to internet providers that will circumvent whatever safeguards you think you may have instituted. Do not further the divide between haves and have-nots in this country. Thank you.

927. Aleks Turek, Chicago, IL, 60641

I strongly support preserving net neutrality through the continuing classification of ISPs under Title II regulations.

928. Douglas Hurbon, Chicago, IL, 60647

I absolutely do NOT believe that the Net Neutrality rules should be moved out of Title II. Not allowing ISP to inspect packets does not impose ANY imposition on the ISPs.

929. timothy knuth, Chicago, IL, 60647

Please do not roll back the current regulations on Net neutrality. A level playing field is essential to allow all stakeholders equal access to services. I absolutely do not trust ISPs to voluntarily make choices in the best interest of consumers. Thank you.

930. Kate Edwards, Chicago, IL, 60641

I am very much opposed to the idea of abolishing net neutrality. as an information security employee in the public sector this will have far reaching impacts on not only my personal life, but my professional life as well. net neutrality allows us all to complete our jobs effectively and efficiently. doing away with net neutrality will hamstring the public's ability to work and research critical items for veracity and completeness. now, more than ever, we are in a time when the potential for "pay to play" is detrimental to the flow of information, especially news!

931. James Dinneen, Chicago, IL, 60647

I strongly support net neutrality laws currently protected under Title II. Do not change the current Title II Net Neutrality protections.

932. Kristina Zaremba, Chicago, IL, 60618

Secure fair and open access to the Internet by preserving ISP's Title II status. Support Net Neutrality.

933. Mary Heer, Brookfield, IL, 60513

Ajit Pai, I am strongly in favor of net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's!

934. Jamie Stark, Chicago, IL, 60647

Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 regulation. Period.

935. David T. Viecelli, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support the defense of net neutrality by leaving ISPs classified under Title II.
936. Emily Kuehn, IL, IL, 60647
Please support net neutrality by keeping the internet classified under title II. Free and open access to the internet is one of the hallmarks of freedom of speech and democracy. Thank you.
937. Gregory Pierce, Chicago, IL, 60608
I support full net neutrality as established by the previous administration.
938. Genevieve Buthod, Chicago, IL, 60623
I'm writing to urge you to please preserve net neutrality and Title II. These are vital to our country's values of freedom and fair access to information.
939. Tom Young, Berwyn, IL, 60402
I support the enforcement net neutrality rules, which require Internet service providers to treat all legal content equally. want the FCC to enforce the standards from Title II of The Communications Act of 1934.
940. Alexander Thorne, Chicago, IL, 60647
In today's economy, the Internet has become a necessary utility, for many people more important than even telephones. We need to maintain the regulation of ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act to protect free and open access to the Internet. I do not support changing this rule.
941. Jacob Becker, berwyn, IL, 60402
Net Neutrality is a cornerstone of the internet's current use throughout the world. I support the position that Net Neutrality should not be infringed upon. Additionally, Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers and those that support and own infrastructure is paramount to the continued success of an open internet.
942. Dan Hyatt, Chicago, IL, 60641
Net neutrality should be the standard. Period. The claim that providers will not, out of the goodness of their hearts, censor content or throttle the bandwidth of their competitors is foolish. If you give them a legal right to do so, they will do so. It's true that not all of them will. But *some* of them absolutely will. And doing so will give them an advantage in the marketplace. And business follows the marketplace. At that point, you have effectively removed the incentive for honest providers to remain honest. To stay in business, they will be forced to engage in the same disreputable practices just to compete. And if every provider engages in the same practices, all consumers will be left with is a choice between unpalatable options. That is hardly the promise of capitalism in action. You can make the argument that no other utilities are regulated in this way. And

that is true. But gas, electric and water cannot see what you are doing with their product so they don't require the same type of regulation. Your electric company (let's say theoretically owned by GE) can't see that you are attempting to make toast in a toaster manufactured by Siemens and shut off your power. Your water company (theoretically owned by Coca-Cola) can't see you are using water to make Tang (from competitor Kraft foods) and shut off your water. But ISPs *can* see what you are trying to do. And they have proven time and again that if allowed to, they will throttle or censor competitor content. You can rebut this by saying, "Sure, but it was just a few bad apples. Why go through the process of regulating something just to stop a few bad actors?" This argument is plain ludicrous. The overwhelmingly vast majority of people do not break into people's houses and steal things, or run stop signs, or park in handicapped spots, or shoplift, or commit arson. But there are regulations against all of those things anyway. And many many more. All of them good regulations. I don't think think you could argue effectively in favor of revoking those regulations because they only stop a few bad actors. In short, net neutrality needs to be in place to ensure a level playing field for both the ISPs and the people that use their services.

943. Judith Hotovy, Cicero, IL, 60804

Please preserve net neutrality under Title II, as I support the current Title II framework. There is no evidence or past history to trust ISP's to do the right thing. Acting after there is a violation of net neutrality is unwise and can be avoided. Mr. Pai's assertion that Title II's rules depress ISPs'™ willingness to invest in their networks is unfounded. If you look purely at the capital expenditure numbers for the major ISPs over the past few years, some are down or have remained stagnant since 2014. However, there is no way definitively correlate that to the effect of Title II alone or if it is from the wider macroeconomic trends of the telecom industry since 2015. Plus, Comcast, the nation's™ largest cable and broadband company, has spent more on infrastructure each of the last two years. Another example is Verizon, which consciously slowed the rollout of its wireline internet service from early 2010 to about mid-2016, has invested 26% more on wireless-specific infrastructure since 2014.

944. Kirsten Krantz, Chicago, IL, 60647

Please continue to preserve net neutrality and Title II. It is important to me that downloading information using my ISP be delivered consistently without regard to content and based on my decision taking and not that of my ISP.

945. Taylor Weil, Chicago, IL, 60647

I am commenting to state that I DO NOT want the FCC to change Net Neutrality rules. A change in rules would take away our freedom on the internet and negatively impact individual constituents and small businesses alike. I am

asking the FCC board to vote NO on May 18th. Thank you for protecting our freedom.

946. S Lott, Chicago, IL, 60608

To Mr. Pai: Really? "Internet Freedom"? Do not mess with Net Neutrality. Don't call it some Orwellian BS name and dance around like you're making the world better. Don't call your plan something it isn't and pretend you're not owned by the corporations at this point, Mr. Pai. Everyone knows your bought and paid for. Leave the internet alone.

947. Robert Strickland, Berwyn, IL, 60402

As an IT director and as a private citizen I am firmly against any action or legislation that would allow ISPs to throttle traffic, prefer the traffic of one customer or business partner over another or create pricing or performance "tiers" for customers or partners. An open Internet is a healthy Internet and the reason for it's success and growth. A narrowing of focus on economic interests and commercial usage and/or the favoring of some types of usage over others is not only contrary to the idea and spirit of the Internet, it would be counter-productive to it's continued flourishing.

948. Dave Dalton, Chicago, IL, 60625

Please uphold Net Neutrality, it is vital for a free and open internet.

949. T. Kirn, Chicago, IL, 60647

I do NOT want the FCC to change Title II net neutrality rules. As a Comcast subscriber who consciously chooses Netflix as the primary provider of web-based streaming services over free/paid content through Comcast, removing the net neutrality rules and just leaving it up to Comcast to put them in the service agreement would not protect my right to equal access to the content of my choosing online. I'm not a lawyer, and I'm not capable of ensuring the terms of such a user agreement would adequately protect me, should they change. Given the already limited access to internet and cable providers due to market consolidation, as a consumer, I would not have a reasonable ability to switch to an alternative provider with a user agreement that met my needs. I am a college-educated adult but I am still not confident that I would have the ability to review the legal language in these agreements sufficiently - this type of change in policy from title II requirements to a self-policed system places undue burden on even the most educated of consumers.

950. Jerritt Beck, Chicago, IL, 60647

I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank you. Come on FCC, you know what the right thing to do is, so just do it.

951. Walker Smith, Chicago, IL, 60608

I strongly support net neutrality and Title II. Other options essentially amount to

industry self regulation in the absence of any serious incentives for them to follow through. I am also aware that this comment and the many others like it will be ignored. I am tremendously disappointed and disgusted by that, and hope and pray everyone involved in that decision loses their jobs.

952. Jill Johnson, Chicago, IL, 60618

As a non-profit financial development professional, I rely upon quick and dependable internet service to do the vast amount of research my job requires. As you can probably guess, non-profit organizations cannot often afford to pay high rates for access to information. The reversal of net neutrality would very likely make it more expensive and difficult for I and my employers to gain access to necessary information in a timely manner. Thus, I must beseech you to preserve net neutrality.

953. Jacalyn Zaleski, Chicago, IL, 60618

Do not repeal Net Neutrality! It will only line the pockets of the already wealthy and harm the common Internet user.

954. Dan Pride, Chicago, IL, 60618

As an IT professional, I believe keeping the Title 2 authority of the FCC to ensure net neutrality is a good thing. Putting the power of control and censorship in the hands of companies who have willfully misused their power for years is a mistake. Please keep the internet a public resource and not a private walled garden.

955. Patrick O'Shea, Chicago, IL, 60625

Please do not dismantle Title II and net neutrality rules. As a software developer, I am aware that the open internet is a feature, not a bug. This new proposal will stifle innovation. The only people that would prosper under this deal would be ISPs. Keep internet neutrality intact!!!

956. Colleen Grafton, Chicago, IL, 60647

I SUPPORT NET neutrality UNDER TITLE II

957. Lindsay Eanet, Chicago, IL, 60641

Chairman Pai, I am writing to express my support for net neutrality with Title II protections. It is critical that the FCC maintain the net neutrality standards set forth during the previous administration in order to maintain the integrity of access to information by the general public. By removing these standards, the FCC is prioritizing financial gain of businesses over the free access to knowledge by the electorate, and by allowing any number of biases go unchecked, effectively increases the influence of big businesses on the general population, destroying its ability to maintain a reasonably informed democracy.

958. Austin Klages, Chicago, IL, 60618

To whom it may concern, Please keep net neutrality. Allowing corporations to interfere with the internet is only self-serving to them, not to the every day Americans. This administration hates regulation because it disrupts a free marketplace, and taking a step away from net neutrality is exactly the same thing. It's incomprehensible to allow ISPs to affect internet speeds based on their interests, and if it were to pass, it'd be very transparent that their lobbying money has altered your votes, which, if it comes to pass, will hopefully follow your political careers. Sincerely, Austin Klages

959. Brian Weaver, Chicago, IL, 60622
I support strong backing of title 2.

960. Emily Graber, Chicago, IL, 60618
Please support Net Neutrality under Title 2. In an age where practically everything has risen to a level of "critically important," this is still one of the most important issues. Thank you for doing the right thing and keeping the internet open.

961. Bridget Doherty, Riverside, IL, 60546
To Whom It May Concern: It is critical that the FCC maintain the net neutrality standards set forth during the previous administration in order to maintain the integrity of access to information by the general public. By removing these standards, the FCC is prioritizing financial gain of businesses over the free access to knowledge by the electorate, and by allowing any number of biases go unchecked, effectively increases the influence of big businesses on the general population, destroying its ability to maintain a reasonably informed democracy. Sincerely, Bridget

962. Erin Riley, Chicago, IL, 60608
Net neutrality matters. Please do not strip the American people of their right to a free and open internet. ISPs have shown in the past that they can't be trusted to provide fair service when there is a monetary incentive for them to do otherwise. Please think of the common man, rather than the wealth of corporations. Please do not roll back Obama-era protections to net neutrality!

963. Benjamin Carman, Lake in the Hills, IL, 60165
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY YOU PIECES OF SHIT

964. Cosmin Stroe, Brookfield, IL, 60513
As a software engineer working on Internet applications for the past 20 years, I have seen the Internet evolve from academic hobby to the biggest driver of the US economy. Throughout that change the Internet has remained a level playing field, everyone's traffic fairly routed, allowing small startups to have as much chance of competing as established corporations. The FCC's proposal to allow Internet Service Providers to choose fast lane and slow lane users based on how

much they get paid would severely undercut new competition and hurt consumers. Established interests would be able to demand a payment, and those who don't pay up will be left in the dust, or have their content de-prioritized and essentially censored. When you can't watch a video in real time because the website that hosts it didn't pay some ISP, that means you're not going to watch it, effectively cutting off any sort of viewership-based revenue that website is hoping to generate. In my village, I have only two real choices for Internet access: Comcast, offering a good broadband option at 75+ megabits per second, and AT&T, offering a sub-broadband option of 10 megabits per second. This means I don't really have a choice, Comcast is the de-facto monopoly in my area. My options for broadband Internet access are either Comcast, or no broadband at all. I don't have a choice to switch providers and "vote with my wallet". We already gave ISPs too much power, and it's hurting us as a country. My cousin in Romania receives 100 megabits per second for half the price that I pay here in the USA. Do not let de-facto monopolies keep hurting our economy and keeping the American people behind!

965. Chris Grenier, Chicago, IL, 60618

Keep the internet equally open to everyone. It's not up to the ISPs to decide who gets to use what data. I support the classification of Internet Service Providers (ISPs) as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act. The argument that ISPs have been hurt by this classification has been proven false time and again, and it has also been admitted as untrue by some of the largest ISPs in the country. Allowing ISPs the freedom to make decisions about the openness of the Internet is not in the best interest of the American People. What has been proven as fact is allowing things like "fast lanes" for certain service providers, like Netflix, and requiring those service providers to pay for those fast lanes, prevents competition. Less competition is bad for all consumers. Fast lanes allow ISPs to earn money from providers for something which they've already been paid. There should never be an option for ISPs to limit my ability to reach the Internet, and all that it has to offer. Nor should ISPs be able to limit the speeds at which I communicate with anyone or anything on the Internet, as that prevents new competition from entering the market if they can't afford the "tax" being imposed for a fast lane. Finally, removing Title II classification as common carriers for ISPs, simply put, opens the door for the US Internet experience to be censored. I have always been proud to live in a nation where I do not have to be concerned with the idea of censorship in my speech, public communication, or the Internet. Removing Title II classification for ISPs would be an enormous first step to a censored Internet for Americans.

966. Michael Rush, Chicago, IL, 60608

The current FCC proposal to limit or remove net neutrality and place high speed internet out of the title II regulations will be a disaster for the United States, its economic development, and the ability to exercise free speech. This must not

happen.

967. Keith Carlson, Chicago, IL, 60608

Don't ruin net neutrality. Internet providers should be treated the same as telephone providers. The internet needs to remain free and unbiased. ISPs don't provide anything other than the infrastructure and management to get our information. At no point should they be considered 'managers' of the information, for the simple fact that if I were to run my own 'lines' , I would be able to access the same internet as the major ISPs provide. Do not give them power to restrict our service for profit.

968. Joni Anderson, Chicago, IL, 60641

I strongly support full net neutrality and oppose the proposed changes. As an entrepreneur, I depend upon a free and open internet for a successful, thriving business. The internet is the great equalizer, and as such, it not only provides access to a worldwide market for small business, but also the ability to receive a free and quality education to anyone in search of bettering oneself. These issues are at the heart of why I believe the internet must remain neutral.

969. Ben Brotman, Riverside, IL, 60546

Please keep strong net neutrality under Title II Law.

970. Hilary Jirka, Chicago, IL, 60625

This so-called "Restoring Internet Freedom" is nothing of the sort. It will only make it "free" for large telecom companies to manipulate the speed and accessibility of services however they choose--to charge more for smaller businesses and customers to access slower content, and not coincidentally, to make it easier to throttle people's freedom of speech and political activism. This is no more than another top-down repressive measure to restrict PUBLIC ACCESS to what is properly a PUBLIC UTILITY. Like it or not, "big government" has a rightful role in restoring and maintaining, for the PEOPLE, what big money would otherwise steal away.

971. eric loff, Chicago, IL, 60647

Please don't change the classification or anything else with net neutrality. This is just a money grab and too much power will be on the hands of ISP's. Please, stand for what's right and don't do this.

972. Augustus Merwin, chicago, IL, 60608

I support strong net neutrality regulation. As a professional scientist, employee by the US government, I believe the freedom of information sharing is imperative to the advancement of our country and our society.

973. Julie Erdmann, Chicago, IL, 60618

I urge the FCC to preserve net neutrality and Title II regulations in order to

ensure free and open internet to American citizens.

974. Raul Rodriguez, Chicago, IL, 60647

Ajit Pai, For the love of all that is holy: DO NOT SIDE WITH CORPORATIONS on this issue!!!!!!!!!!!!!! I don't know what exactly you put into that massive REESE'S CUP, but chances are it's the gallon of Jameson you need to get through the absolute debacle that is the Trump Administration. You should resign immediately if only to distance yourself from the embarrassment on The Hill. Net Neutrality involves preserving the privacy and anonymity of people intending to use it. It involves so many concepts that the Donald J Trump administration just do not have. What are you doing serving under that absolute racist assbag? he hates Indian people. He hates all brown people! And yet here you are. A puppet in a suit standing at the precipice of what can only be called the shadow 28th amendment: Internet Rights. And your position stands on the side of corporations. WHY? WHY? WHY? The money in your bank account will not make you sleep at night when you take away the freedom for people to use the internet freely and unedited by corporate telecom companies. Is this really why you accepted the position? So that you, me, and millions of other Americans need to watch our back on what we do via the internet? Say goodbye to any form of online dissent, community building, etc in the virtual world with the way you are running this organization. Why are you seeking to stifle the creativity and learning capabilities of our future youth? You are going to let corporations...and even worse: the GOVERNMENT decide what is appropriate for consumption on the internet? This has to be a joke. You...a supposed technologist and internet personality need to tell us this is a joke. Tell us you're going to side with the people. At least this once. Because guess what? When you are ridden out on a rail with the rest of the administration NO ONE will forget what you have done. You should be ashamed of yourself. Remember: The consequences of your actions in this position will stand for ALL Americans in the United States. Not just the corporations mailing you paychecks on the side. Remember that when you try to access porn once you've made your decision.

975. Brett Stockmeier, Chicago, IL, 60647

I wanted to renew my support for Net Neutrality here. It's become obvious that it's vital to express my support after the revelation that Comcast is spamming fake comments using the names of its customers for Anti-Net Neutrality. Good guys don't have to play dirty.

976. Steven Martinez, Chicago, IL, 60632

I strongly support net neutrality. I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

977. Bryan Bierwirth, Chicago, IL, 60622

Net Neutrality needs to remain the way it is!!! It is very important that internet providers can not manipulate the speeds of certain things you do online because it will benefit them. The internet needs to be fair to everyone. Do not get rid of title I and II. Thank you.

978. J.H. Brinkerhoff, Brookfield, IL, 60513

I believe in strong net neutrality regulations under title 2 by ISPs. The facts Ajit Pai is presenting that the regulations hurt infrastructure investments is false and was directly disputed by some ISPs in reporting directly to their investors. The proposals by Ajit Pai could cause the US to fall behind the rest of the world for free information instead of being the leader. As the president said in his campaign, "American 1st" not last.

979. Michael Gentile, Brookfield, IL, 60513

I am opposed to this proposal and strongly in support of strong Open Internet policies protected by Title II oversight of ISP™s. American innovation and leadership in the technical space requires an equally available and open internet. Large telecom companies have already proven that if unregulated they will use their influence and control to impede competition. This was evident several years ago when some of the major nationwide cell phone providers, such as Verizon and T-Mobile, blocked the Google Wallet service from being accessed via their network in favor of their own mobile payment solution. Furthermore, there is no evidence that current Net Neutrality rules enforced with Title II oversight have imposed a financial burden on any of these telecom providers. In fact some major ISP™s have told their stockholders that they do not anticipate additional financial burden nor is it evident from their stock performance since the current net neutrality rules went into effect. Lastly, I am very concerned with the privacy aspect of this proposal. As a consumer I am VERY uncomfortable with my internet providers tracking my behavior without my express consent and using my habits for their own gain. I believe I should have a choice in this matter, and that by default my online activity will not be collected unless I "opt-in". I believe this proposal takes away my right to privacy and personal freedom and places undue burden on me to protect myself. Thank you for reading. I hope I have conveyed how I feel this proposal is bad for technical innovation, competition, and for consumers.

980. Tracy McLellan, Chicago, IL, 60647

The value of the internet hinges on the maintenance of net neutrality. Anything else is a boon for corporate profits and a loss to the user of the internet.

981. Becky Marshall, Chicago, IL, 60651

Do not undo any net neutrality rules. It should be fair and equitable for all and treated as a utility. I don't know why this is even a conversation.

982. Mark Dannenberg, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong net neutrality regulation. Keep title 2 strong.
983. Samuel M Ross, Chicago, IL, 60622
Preserve net neutrality rules under Title 2!! An open Internet is foundational to the ideals of American freedom.
984. net neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please preserve the 2015 rules on net neutrality and keep Title II classification rules in place. Preserve the open internet, protect internet users from exploitation.
985. David Carey, Chicago, IL, 60647
Without net neutrality we will further relinquish control of this country to the corporations that care more for profits than they do for people. Free and open internet for everyone!
986. aaron melamed, chicago, IL, 60618
Net neutrality is good. It is the best. It should remain that way forever
987. Erin King, Chicago, IL, 60647
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP. Net Neutrality is not negotiable. It's essential to everything we need in our society and democracy " from educational and economic opportunities to political organizing and dissent.
988. Matthew Hendricks, Brookfield, IL, 60513
Please ensure that all internet traffic is treated equally. Rules should be enacted and persistent to maintain equality for all traffic without interference from providers of internet access to end recipients.
989. Sean Robert Magill, Chicago, IL, 60608
I am not a bot. You can tell because I advocate maintaining Title II. Allowing ISPs to restrict the flow of information would be in violation of the rights of consumers and the antitrust laws of the United States. The significant barriers to building an internet service network make real competition impossible, to the extent that the freedom of American consumers must be protected by net neutrality. Anything otherwise would result in monopolistic behavior, even to the effect of a monopoly on information, destroying our freedom in the marketplace of ideas and trashing our already compromised democracy. Actions against net neutrality are disgustingly anti American and they will not stand.
990. Evelyn, Chicago, IL, 60639
I am writing to implore the FCC to preserve net neutrality and Title II.

991. elizabeth tetteh, Chicago, IL, 60616
I am in support of net neutrality, please preserve title two. Thank you.
992. John Scott, Chicago, IL, 60618
Please save net neutrality and protect the public commons. That's the FCC's job. It isn't to weaken regulations in favor of large corporation at the expense of equitable channels of communication.
993. K.B. Roman, Chicago, IL, 60618
Taking my property and selling it without my permission is a crime-theft. Violation of copyright - profiting from a person's creation without attribution to or permission from the creator, is a crime. How is the sale of my personal ideas and basic information for profit of another entity without my permission, any different? It is an invasion of my civil right to reasonable personal privacy and my right to intellectual freedom. This information can already be obtained by requesting permission. There is no reason for removing the current regulations except to make it easier for an already profitable corporation to make more profit at the expense of the security and personal privacy of the populace. Because the internet has become so integral to our every day life and communications, opting out is no longer an option. It should be treated like a utility, just as gas, electricity and "telephone" are. Access to it has become a basic need in our society for communication, learning, commerce and security. Reasonable regulations must be in place to keep it freely accessible and secure for both the providing of and the obtaining of information, no different from those we have for use of the airways or providing energy for heating or the electrical grid. The first consideration should be for the reasonable protection of the general populace, not for the never ending demand for increased profits and control by a few private entities.
994. James Collins, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net neutrality is a topic of extreme importance to myself and my family!! Keeping the internet a neutral market place is something that NEEDS to be maintained!! Any repeal has the potential to negatively effect citizens of the USA and how they are able to utilize the internet. Please keep the internet neutral. Please protect the US Citizens freedom to choose!!
995. Kateryna Samoteskul, Chicago, IL, 60647
Support net neutrality! Your duty is to protect our freedom, not that of the ISPs.
996. Shawn Kronk, Chicago, IL, 60647
The FCC needs to continue to classify Internet service providers under Title II regulations in order to maintain a free and open internet. If ISPs are allowed to opt-out of the net neutrality rules, they almost certainly will. No industry complies with a voluntary regulation when they be more profitable by not doing

so, and to argue otherwise is to be purposefully obtuse. The deregulation of net neutrality will significantly harm internet entrepreneurs' ability to compete with large established corporations who will be able to pay to have their content favored. It will prevent me from accessing and using the internet as I choose, rather than as my ISP chooses. It will be harmful to public discourse, allowing ISPs to block content that is unfavorable to their own agenda. If the FCC deregulates ISPs, it will be a serious step backward for a free and open internet, and will be a complete rejection of the voice of the people on this matter in favor of the business interests of ISPs, including Ajit Pai's former employer.

997. Adrian Thomas-Prestemon, Chicago, IL, 60647

Dear FCC, Net Neutrality is the only thing that can save the internet and preserve it as a medium of free expression and education and exchange of ideas and information. If you believe in Capitalism, then you believe in the principles of competition and a fair and open market -- killing Net Neutrality regulations will enable monopolistic behaviors, including preferential treatment, toll-collecting, and other unfair anti-competitive business practices. Please uphold Net Neutrality regulations. The Internet has become such an important part of our lives and our economy that to do anything else could amount to economic suicide. The Internet *is* a utility and should be regulated as such -- when people have freedom to choose their ISP and the services to which they subscribe on the internet; when people have the freedom to build their own education in any topic imaginable; when people have the freedom to communicate with others around the world without judgement, without filters, and without fear; the human race will continue to grow and advance. Saving Net Neutrality could literally save our species someday. Best Regards, Adrian Thomas-Prestemon

998. Jeremy R Love, Chicago, IL, 60608

I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs. The internet should be treated as a utility.

999. Matthew James McMullen, Chicago, IL, 60608

I am opposed to Chairman Pai's plan to gut net neutrality regulations as they were put in place in 2015. It is transparently a corporate power grab by global telecom companies with the collusion of an unelected federal bureaucracy. Don't do it.

1000. Andrew Rosenstein, Chicago, IL, 60647

Net Neutrality is absolutely essential to the people of America for a variety of reasons. Net Neutrality means treating all content online the same -- giving all users and services an equal platform. That protects businesses whose model relies on an open web and gives consumer the maximum freedom of choice. It also gives smaller businesses and those trying to enter a market a decent chance

to compete. That would stifle innovation, putting the country at a competitive disadvantage to other countries, and allow a small number of companies to create monopolies and duopolies. Any effort to remove protections for net neutrality should be considered a giveaway to ISPs and a potential disaster for the future of the country. Protect Net Neutrality!

1001. Zak Nasser, North Riverside, IL, 60646
I support Title 2 rules for ISPs to ensure fair play across companies.
1002. Kyle Wright, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please keep Net Neutrality for the good of the people. Please keep Title II regulations strict to prevent large corporations from undermining acceptable internet speeds to the average consumer.
1003. Richard Lorenzen, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong Net Neutrality that's back by Title II oversight of ISPs
1004. Dan Moore, CHICAGO, IL, 60618
I strongly support net neutrality and do not want corporations to have the ability to throttle, limit or otherwise negatively impact my ability to use the internet that I pay for access. I do not trust corporations to govern themselves. Without net neutrality, isps are very likely to slow down certain sites in favor of their own interests or benefit other sites that are paying them for bandwidth. I trust the fcc to do it's job and protect consumers and not just hand out benefits to major corporations.
1005. cesar coronado, CHICAGO, IL, 60647
Please keep net neutrality laws in place under title 2
1006. Sarah Holden, Chicago, IL, 60647
I strongly support net neutrality. I want a fair & open internet. Please preserve Title 2
1007. David Shaddick, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support an open internet through net neutrality and would like to see Title 2 regulations stay in place.
1008. Krista Motley Wachob, Chicago, IL, 60647
The notion that Internet Service Providers (Comcast, Root, Verizon, etc.) could slow speeds for some sites and content while privileging others undercuts the essential premise, if not promise of the internet.
1009. Adrian Gonzalez, Chicago, IL, 60632
Good morning, I am writing today because I support strong net neutrality supported and backed by title two oversight of ISP's.

1010. Rachel Hector, Chicago, IL, 60647
Freedom of communication and access to information is essential. Striking down net neutrality and limiting the liberty of individual citizens in favor of corporate media interests is unconscionable. The FCC needs to protect net neutrality at all costs and keep the internet free.
1011. joan dobbs, Brookfield, IL, 60513
Dear FCC, or more directly, Mr. Pai, It's often said that every man has his price - what was yours?joan dobbs
1012. jasmin Preciado, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support net neutrality and support Title two.
1013. Jade Lang, chicago, IL, 60608
I support strong net neutrality laws, and I want it to continue under title 2 oversight. Thnx!
1014. John Puckett, Chicago, IL, 60618
I am asking the FCC to leave the current net neutrality rules as they are. Thank you for protecting the freedom of ALL taxpaying members of this democracy.
1015. Sean Smeltzer, Chicago, IL, 60618
Hello, i specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title two protections
1016. Christine Salerno, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong Net Neutrality. Preserve Title II.
1017. Brian Elmore, Chicago, IL, 60622
I am opposed to the new Internet Freedom Act.I support retaining and enforcing strong net neutrality and Title II. Thank You.
1018. BRenda Wilkerson, Chicago, IL, 60707
I want our net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Do not take away protections and put them into the hands of for profit corporations.
1019. joan dobbs, Brookfield, IL, 60513
Dear FCC,If there is anyone I don't want to have access to my life, it's Big Cable! Or is that Big Brother?joan dobbs
1020. Jessica Mendoza, Chicago, IL, 60623
The FCC should support the maintenance of the current net neutrality rules or propose amendments that grant greater protections to consumers. Using Title II and the common carrier model is the correct approach because it presumes that the internet and internet access are a utility and therefore should be available to all. The argument that the Title I approach is better suited for competition may

have reigned true during the early days of the internet but now would only serve to create a monopoly over internet access by a select few providers. This would give providers insurmountably more power to dictate the terms for which consumers use to access information. Limiting the access of knowledge and information available to consumers is against the public interest and contrary to our country's democratic values. Moreover, the proposals for preventing discrimination within the proposition serve no actual real-world purpose because in practice companies are free to change their terms and conditions whenever they see fit, leaving the consumer with little choice. A consumer is effectively left with choosing being disconnected from the world and information around him or her or choosing to abide by whatever discriminatory practices are proposed. Internet providers operating as non-utilities also poses a threat when it comes to accountability and transparency. Leaving a Title II framework in place would address and combat all the aforementioned issues. Therefore, the FCC should not move forward with deregulating broadband internet access servicers.

1021. Daniel Pena, Chicago, IL, 60647

Please conserve net neutrality and Title II. ISP's should not be able to place speed limits on approved content that people wish to access nor favor higher paying interests by using the speed of the internet to benefit them.

1022. Randy Moe, Chicago, IL, 60647

The Internet is still young. Do not stunt it's future.

1023. Lisa Schrag, Chicago, IL, 60622

Please keep our speech free by protecting net neutrality. I don't want to live in a world where every venue of global information is controlled by the biggest spender.

1024. Ireri Rivas, Chicago, IL, 60618

As a student affairs professional I rely on net neutrality in looking for and providing the best resources for students. Please maintain net neutrality!

1025. Ed Kucinsky, Chicago, IL, 60632

I'm happy with the internet the way it is now. Please don't stifle it with restrictions and sell it out to large communications companies

1026. Jonathon Foster, Chicago, IL, 60647

The United Nations has declared that the Internet is a right that all people should have. They chose to do this due to the incredible ability of the Internet to facilitate open discussion across all walks of life, and due to the increasing importance of being able to access the Internet for day to day needs. It is important to me, and it should be important to all of us, that the Internet stay open and free. The Internet needs to stay open and net neutrality must be

protected.

1027. David Ehle, Chicago , IL, 60618

Net neutrality is critical for the continued operation of the internet as a tool for innovation and prosperity. It has become a utility and must be regulated as such. Allowing ISPs and conduit providers to unfairly manipulate the marketplace and use near monopolies to take unfair advantage will block future advances and destroy new efforts at job creation. Net Neutrality is Mandatory.

1028. Richard Woods, Cicero, IL, 60804

Allowing the the internet to be controlled by any private entity is unacceptable. It is the same as giving them the keys to the us mint. It would allow them to control what we buy what we see and what we know about everything. Keep the internet free. We have already seen the privatization of prisons and tollways. This country is selling its people to big business and it has to stop. Most of us already belong to a bank of some kind for student loans, mortgages, car loans. We already pay these cable and satellite entertainment companies huge amounts of money to deliver the net to us. Please do not allow them to control how we can use it. The internet is really the last piece of the illusion of freedom that we have left.

1029. Adis Dervic, Berwyn, IL, 60402

It's a fundamental freedom.. the internet that is.. don't take that away.

1030. Dr Kevin Zajdel, Cicero, IL, 60804

There's a lot at stake. I do not want Internet service providers like Comcast, AT&T and Verizon in charge of what I see and do online. I do not want the internet to be a playground for big cable companies, at the expense of consumer choice and freedom. This proposal sounds like a nightmare. DON'T follow through with this proposal.

1031. Ben Martinez, Chicago, IL, 60641

I enjoy a free internet, free from corporate bias, changing speeds and filtering websites that do not fit a certain agenda is totalitarian and in a way harmful to first amendment principles on which this country is based. Please leave the net as it is.

1032. Brett Mohr, Chicago, IL, 60618

Without net neutrality, we will not be living in a free country. Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Net neutrality allows consumers "not big companies" to choose what they watch & do online.

1033. Joe David Gonzalez, Chicago, IL, 60608

Yes, to net neutrality--for today, and for the future.

1034. Yesenia Plascencia, Chicago, IL, 60608
We're a country of opportunities, why do this now?#savetheinternet #saveUSA #letusgrow
1035. W. R., Chicago, IL, 60608
Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started.Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech.
1036. Ryan Conrardy, River Forest, IL, 60305
The ability to freely exchange information is a deeply held belief among many Americans. Placing restrictions and enabling internet service providers (ISPs) to charge both end users and content creators is unethical to the highest degree. The 2016 UN resolution and 2003 World Summit on Information Technology has confirmed that internet access is a human right, and government or other interference to access internet or content on the internet is a violation of said right.
1037. Jake Gonzalez, chicago, IL, 60632
People make the internet great not big cable companies, do the right thing!
1038. Thuy Ngo , Chicago, IL, 60647
If the FCC truly cares about small businesses and creating jobs, it would protect net neutrality. Without it, smaller companies cannot compete against the ability of large corporations to pay for faster speeds. This should be a level playing field.
1039. CHad Rodriguez, cicero, IL, 60804
Why ruin a good thing? Net neiutrality is the right thing to do.
1040. Samantha Gustafson, Chicago , IL, 60647
Net neutrality is fundamentally important to free speech and innovation. I and my partner both work in tech and innovation and if net neutrality were to be removed it would drastically change the nature of our work. It would eliminate our dreams of starting our own companies by making it overly difficult to reach new users. Net neutrality would only keep us in the state we are in now and kill so many innovations and important tech ideas across the country. Honor the American spirit of innovation and invention and keep net neutrality
1041. Nancy Natzke, Chicago, IL, 60641
Please keep net neutrality. We should have an open internet for all. Large companies should not control what we see and read on the internet.
1042. andres , chicago, IL, 60639
can u guys stop regulating the internet for f&*^sakes!

1043. Christine Geymer, Chicago, IL, 60618
To Whom It May Concern:To remain competitive in business and education with other developed countries, United State's citizens must have access to an open and neutral internet. Throttling access to the internet for any reason will impact the our country's ability to keep up with the outside world and hobble the creativity of our people.Thank you,
1044. Marcelo Velasquez, Chicago, IL, 60608
The internet is open if not is intranet, killing the neutrality is killing the internet, killing the internet is killing progress.The open internet affects directly my work.
1045. Anthony Rich, LaGrange Park, IL, 60526
I think that the internet should be a free and open format.
1046. Leonel Hernandez, Chicago, IL, 60623
Stop fucking around with Net Neutrality!!
1047. Cristiana Principato, Chicago, IL, 60622
First Amendment of the Internet: net neutrality
1048. Stacey, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net neutrality must be protected!! Everyone should have equal access to the internet.
1049. Meghan Yeche, Chicago, IL, 60618
I am against the reversing of the FCC's 2015 Title II Order. Please do not prioritize ISP's profit motivation over the consumer's wish for net neutrality.
1050. Ben Smith, Chicago, IL, 60647
This just another way to let TRUMP make his Rich friends richer while controlling what we do!!!!!!!
1051. Franklin Alfred Perry, Brookfield, IL, 60513
Dear FCC,Leave net neutrality alone you wankers.Warmest regards,
1052. Deborah Wilhelm , Riverside , IL, 60546
Net neutrality allows free speech. Without it, our democracy will die.
1053. Marc Damian, Chicago, IL, 60641
We need to have a neutral internet for everyone to use. Nothing bad has come out of this and if this changes then only a crippled internet is available for us, which will lead to many problems for our people.
1054. AJ , Chicago, IL, 60647

There should not be any limit to the amount of data that someone can use. This will severely limit democratic free speech as well as curtail new business development and commerce. The internet is one of the main drivers of the modern economy and it became that way because of net neutrality. Please support net neutrality!

1055. Sunil Agarwal, Chicago, IL, 60647

Coprorate scum

1056. Vincent DeGaetano, Chicago, IL, 60647

The Internet has become an integral part of day to day functions for a majority of Americans (and the rest of the world). I normally don't like to look at things from a competitive lens, but if America is to remain competitive in the global community then Americans need unfettered access to that grand collection of art and knowledge we call the Internet. Internet businesses (big and small), which provide these things shouldn't be impeded by extra costs. ISP's already charge higher prices for faster service. To give a Utility (because that's what it ought to be) that is integral to culture, small business and freedom of speech over to the hands of a few who care more for their own needs than the needs of many is another step towards this country affirming its status as an oligarchy and a not a representative democracy.

1057. Yolanda Figueroa, Chicago, IL, 60639

My right to privacy and as a citizen of the US is a right for the welfare of the people!

1058. Barb Borah, Chicago, IL, 60641

Please keep; net neutrality in place. It protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started.

1059. Jennifer Neely, Chicago, IL, 60608

Please keep the internet free and open--information should not be corporatized.

1060. Margaret F. Unger, Brookfield, IL, 60513

The internet is the brain of the planet. The free internet allows trillions of interconnections to happen. Innovations to go on between the soon to be 8 billion of us on the planet. To go against history & allow monopolies to stifle it to line their pockets, would be creating Alzheimer's for the planet's brain. Actually people will find a new way around the "greedesters", & monopolies will be left high and dry. Cut off from the new age. Net neutrality is the future!!

1061. Martin Powers, Chicago, IL, 60639

The internet is a public service to benefit all the citizens of this Country. It needs to stay available to all of us equally.

1062. Diane Dudzik, Berwyn, IL, 60402
Large cable companies have too much control over telecommunication access and serious consideration should be given to breaking up these monopolies, not making them stronger. Keep the web open, keep Title 2, and support consumer strength!! Thank you very much for your consideration.
1063. Matt Bear, Chicago, IL, 60647
The open web enables me to do my job. Without treating all traffic the same, costs for my employer will rise.
1064. Charles Perry, Chicago, IL, 60622
A free and open internet is essential to keeping small business from being unfairly crushed by massive corporations. Don't stack the deck against the average American!
1065. Mark McKernin, Riverside, IL, 60546
The Internet does not belong to business and should continue to be open to all users. Privacy seems to be one the more important issues to me, giving firms like Comcast and AT&T the ability to harvest information about users and their household habits are of great concern. We have a right to continued privacy and should not provide companies who already profit financially to have the government provide them with additional special treatment. In addition to privacy what about access and use? What recourse will I have if my provider doesn't maintain my internet speeds because they think I'm a heavy user? Net Neutrality should be protected.
1066. Neil Doerr, Berwyn, IL, 60402
Please keep net neutrality in title 2.
1067. Paul Tzirides, Chicago, IL, 60625
Net neutrality is vital to the country's economy, innovation, and global relevance. Getting rid of net neutrality will break our ability to respond to changes in the global marketplace and consolidate power into the hands of corporations which have historically been unwilling to innovate due to their entrenched position in the economy. Ultimately, their greed and lack of ingenuity will damage our country. It is the businesses which have not even been created yet that will carry us into the future.
1068. Lindsay H. Morrison, riverside, IL, 60546
As a freelance marketing writer, and as someone looking to find a new job at mid-career (after my role when overseas), I depend on good access for my work and job search. I need to do research, have conference calls and interviews, continue my education to stay current on my field. It would be impossible to do this without broadband at home. I pay for access to do my work, researching and writing, and communicating with clients around the country. I pay for my

children to have fast access to the resources they need online. Our school district now puts most materials online, including textbooks and assignments, and requires students turn in their work online through services like Google classroom. Even schools that provide laptops can't help families get broadband access. That leaves low-income students behind, the very students who need the most help to get educated and move ahead. Organizations who oppose net neutrality say that consumers have a choice of ISP providers. Living in a major urban center, I have more options than 87% of Americans who have access to 2 or less. But even in Chicago, with the intense protectionism and favoritism shown by local government to different suppliers, it's not a free market. I need the FCC to keep broadband accessible to all Americans rather than let the "free market" leave rural or low income neighborhoods of their business plans. Part of FCC's job is also protecting consumers from all the identity theft and money making schemes of large broadband suppliers. I've had my identity stolen twice and used online. I worked in advertising data businesses, and seen firsthand how large companies will find as many ways as possible to make money off a consumer's data, most without the consumer's knowledge. This is an area of financial security, and as a federal agency FCC needs to protect it. Speaking for myself and the millions of Americans who don't yet know how this will impact them, I need the FCC to restore internet freedom. Thank you for your service to the American people.

1069. Scott Koerner, Chicago, IL, 60618

I am wholeheartedly against the current proposal on Net Neutrality. The decision should be with the people, not the corporations. I am not in favor of corporations deciding priority on internet traffic, nor unfair pricing loopholes that monopolies are using to push customers in their direction to give preferential treatment to their services (AT&T and DirecTV). Passing this will not create better options for people. It will decrease them so that not only will people have only one choice for broadband (for me it's Comcast), but then Comcast will control what content I can see by adjusting prices for content out of their network. This would be under the guise and calling their content "Free" and "Bundled" and an extra charge or cap on external content. This proposal is so horribly bad and not in the spirit of supporting the people that honestly I can't even believe this is happening. No doubt when Ajit is done destroying net neutrality and gone from office, he'll be rewarded by the corporations financially.

1070. Trudy Mesik, Chicago, IL, 60608

I am in favor of strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers.

1071. Scott Lagler, Brookfield, IL, 60513

The internet should be free to spread education and help children

1072. Margaret Howe, Chicago, IL, 60647
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The internet is a place of freedom and creativity and allowing the ISPs to control what we see is a threat to freedom of speech.
1073. Magdalena Cypcarz, Chicago, IL, 60616
Im for strong net neutrality
1074. Matthew Triano, Chicago, IL, 60622
I'm strongly opposed to Ajit Pai's position on stripping broadband telecommunications of their Title II classification. Technology is one of the sectors keeping the US economy afloat, and this sector depends on experimentation, disruption, and competition. If the Title II classification is stripped from US broadband telecommunications, ISPs will be able to extract more short term profit, but at the staggeringly high cost of technological innovation. Comcast, Verizon, AT&T, etc are notorious for their poor customer service and willingness to exploit customers, often thanks to a lack of competition resulting from contractual monopolies on the last mile. There is no benefit to consumers in removing consumer protections. If you want faster development of infrastructure, void monopolies.
1075. CT Scan, Milwaukee Chicago, IL, 53215
The kingdom of God* as if it was an old so sensitive situation. Give your life to him. Fuck God I want to bust a nut.
1076. Thomas Lalonde, Chicago, IL, 60618
Net neutrality is incredibly important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under

Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Thomas Lalonde

1077. Nora Sandvik Ling, Chicago, IL, 60608

I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs because I do not believe that corporations should be able to affect how I use the internet and what I can access.

1078. Daniel Hahn, Chicago, IL, 60647

The Internet is a public good. Handing discretionary power to private interests under the assumption that they will continue to play fair is downright insanity. Innovation has not, and will not, be stifled under a fair regulatory framework. Nothing this important to both democracy and commerce should be given over to private interests for whom the one and only objective is to maximize profits. Help the American people restore faith in its government by protecting the public interest.

1079. BARBARA HANNAH, La Grange Park, IL, 60526

I AM A 76 YEAR OLD RETIRED SOCIAL STUDIES TEACHER. I'VE ONLY BEEN ON THE NET FOR 3 YEARS AND FIND IT A WEALTH OF INFORMATION AND SOME MIS-INFORMATION. CHECK YOUR SOURCES IS WHAT I TAUGHT. TO SEE HOW LANGUAGE CAN BE MANIPULATED IN REAL TIME IS SOMETHING I WOULD LOVE TO GO BACK TO THE CLASSROOM FOR. COMPANIES SHOULD NOT BE ABLE TO CHOOSE WHAT IS SAID. THAT IS A SURE ROAD TO TOTALITARIAN RULE AND FACE IT IN THAT KIND OF COUNTRY, THE COMPANIES WOULD END UP CLOSING. THEY WOULD HAVE SHOT THEMSELVES IN THE FOOT AND BLED OUT. DEMOCRACY NEEDS DIVERGENT THOUGHTS AND FREEDOM TO EXPRESS THEM. PLEASE MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY II AS IT IS. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME. BARBARA HANNAH

1080. Eugene Scaletta, Berwyn, IL, 60402

Rolling back the Net Neutrality regulation gives further control and the power of censorship to big corporations. I am strongly against rolling back these regulations, and to be quite honest it is a joke that this is even being considered.

1081. Zach Bates, Riverside, IL, 60546

I strongly support net neutrality and believe that if ISPs are deregulated that abuse of power is a strong possibility.

1082. LOUIS SCHWARTZ , Chicago, IL, 60641

I'm the guy that drew so many dicks on my bills .LOUIS SCHWARTZ

1083. Louis Ramirez, Chicago, IL, 60608

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to EVERYONE, not just me. We could be the most diametrically opposed people in the world in terms of views, but net neutrality protects us both equally – our freedom to say any damn fool thing we want, and conduct our business how we please. If we lose net neutrality, we lose these freedoms. It doesn't matter which of us is right. The internet is the core of peoples livelihood in the information age, and ISPs are like sharks smelling blood. Without net neutrality, they will be able to censor sites, throttle speeds, erect paywalls, and otherwise carve a profitable path of destruction through our Internet. It would be a lethal poison to our already fragile economy. The powerful do not need to be more powerful. Even without a legal blank check, cable companies and ISPs have been testing the limits for a while now. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Louis Ramirez

1084. Tom Wierzbinski, chicago, IL, 60622

Don't be on the wrong side of history. Be fair

1085. Ezequiel Aguilar, Cicero, IL, 60804
Net Neutrality is important to the future of our lives and our kids lives. If we want to be able to give them a world free of hate we must. Keep all doors open in order to show them the outside world isn't a scary place. That we are more alike then we are different.
1086. Paula Bui, Chicago, IL, 60618
I buy internet access to get reliable access to all the Internet offers at fast speeds. I get email, cloud storage, web hosting among other over-the-top services from someone other than my ISP. Internet access improved my own quality of life and I believe those benefits would be lost should ISPs be able to pick winners and losers on the Internet.
1087. Chandara Cheng, Chicago, IL, 60641
The internet is akin to a public park and the restriction being a one-time payment to access it freely without restrictions. In the case of the Internet, customers pay for access to it monthly and expect full access without throttling or limited services. There is no technological or financial reason why internet access and access speeds should be tampered with. The only thing adding to the costs are business marketing attempts to put a price on something that does not exist (and that is the expense of data transfer speeds). Data caps and transfer costs are mythological and shouldn't be factored into the very concept of internet access. Comcast, ATT, and Time Warner should be held liable for practicing these business methods.
1088. Angel Mendoza, Chicago, IL, 60639
I don't expect my comment to be impactful, but net neutrality is important to me mainly because it's my gateway to interacting with my friends. A lot of people I know well I talk to on a daily basis over the internet. No longer having net neutrality means that I would be forced to use an ISP's preferred messaging service. For me, the beauty of net neutrality is having the option of choosing what services we want to use without fear of the ISP controlling what we can and cannot use.
1089. Michael Pattengale, Chicago, IL, 60647
The users of the internet pay for access to the internet, not how we use it. I am not forced to pay extra for how I use my water, and I should not be charged extra for how I use the internet. If Title II is repealed, ISPs will be allowed to do this, and worse. I am against the repeal. It is anti-consumer and opens the door for further monopolization of ISPs.
1090. Amanda Coleman, Cicero, IL, 60804
Our family depends on open access to the internet to support our goals of lifelong learning and growing together. Further, with the current state of

political and economic affairs it is unsustainable to and unethical to make access to such goals and opportunities unattainable to families like our own. The world is in a digital era, and is connected more than ever. It is no longer a privilege to "play" on the internet, it is a necessity to be connected online. Whether for education, communication, or current events, open and affordable access to quality internet service is the cornerstone of awareness and education, while bringing the world together and pushing to better, strengthen, and connect the world and all of us who share it.

1091. Joshua, Chicago, IL, 60639

I stand with open internet

1092. Julius Bell, Chicago, IL, 60651

this hurts gamers as well as consumers. lots of people struggle just to have internet let alone cable. by doing this you are making it harder and harder for people to connect so you can line your pockets

1093. Bryan, Chicago, IL, 60632

Okay. lets get Real, FCC. The internet is more than just memes and cat vids, although that's what it mostly contains. The internet, is about bringing the whole world together, sharing our ideas, expressing our beliefs, sharing knowledge, debating global problems like this one. It's rather mind boggling that you would allow companies, like Comcast to control the internet. We're talking about giving them our freedom of speech, our freedom of expression, but it's not JUST giving "them" our BASIC fundamental rights, It's a Global monopoly. What will be the point of the internet then when There's a fee to access a video, another fee for the website, and another to be able to use the internet. what will be the point? I, as a meme user, learning programmer and graphic designer, strongly support net neutrality and Title II.

1094. Jake Mullen, Chicago, IL, 60647

I believe in an impartial and fair internet and I think repealing Net Neutrality laws will jeopardize that. These laws protect what makes the internet the most revolutionary communication tool ever made: equal access to information for everyone with a connection. Please reconsider the importance of a neutral web.

1095. Sean Riley, Chicago, IL, 60641

The internet NEEDS to stay open and unfettered for all, regardless of ISP. It is a fact that more and more people RELY on the internet, daily, not just for entertainment, but also to search for jobs, bank, and remain up to date with the events of the world. The ever-growing ubiquity of the internet in all facets of life means that it is immoral and unethical for ISPs to be allowed to limit access based on their whims or throttle bandwidth on services that their customers pay subscription fees for, in many cases, under the guise of bandwidth concerns.

ISPs should be required to provide the whole internet to all of their customers. I believe this to be especially important as internet access quickly approaches a status nearing that of other utilities, such as power and natural gas.

1096. Harish Vijayaganesh, Chicago, IL, 60618

The internet needs to remain as a service that allows the user to search for the information that THEY choose. It does not matter what it is; it is a right.

1097. Caitlyn Szkarlat, Chicago, IL, 60632

I am in strong support of net neutrality based on Title II. The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and millions of Americans. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. This will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Please continue the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II.

1098. bryant gallant, chicago, IL, 60647

the internet needs to be free.

1099. Nicolas Cervantes, Cicero, IL, 60804

I want to access the internet freely without worrying about companies charging more for watching netflix/youtube or being on twitter. As a human I have right to these things without having to pay extra sub plans.

1100. Xavier Vazquez, chicago, IL, 60707

Please for the love of all that is holy, don't do this. Proceeding with this will kill the internet and everything we know it to be. We are just going to be putting virtual shackles on users and give big companies another opportunity to squeeze money out of us. This affects EVERYONE.

1101. Santiago Saldivar, Chicago, IL, 60632
Internet needs to remain neutral for everyone. I do not wish for my ISP to have control over my online experience. It should be my choice over what content I watch or make and my ISP should not be allowed to block it nor reduce speeds on content they disagree with.
1102. Jonathan Teeter, Chicago, IL, 60647
I'm an entrepreneur. I own a small chain of guitar stores called Evolution Music. I've found it challenging enough to start a small business going up against big box stores like Guitar Center and internet goliaths like Amazon and Ebay. Please don't make it more challenging. The open internet has served me and my company well. I'm not sure I would have made it this far if a much larger company could yield its strength in yet another way.
1103. Azabel, Chicago, IL, 60608
MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY!!!!Fire Ajit V. Pai!We will fight Pai corrupt intentions. Stop serving the corporations and serve the people. That's your job. KEEP Net Neutrality as it is now.
1104. Michael Van Pelt, Chicago, IL, 60608
Ending Net Neutrality will end democracy as we know it....
1105. Brandon Jones, Chicago, IL, 60625
Do not change the internet, keep it free and open. We don't need corporations ruining our online experience.
1106. Carlos Martinez, Chicago, IL, 60639
No one can tell me what to do with the internet when I pay for it, especially when its more and more becoming a Utility rather than a service.
1107. Scott Dixon, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support keeping Net Neutrality. Please, for the love of god, use some common sense and don't limit any access to the internet. Paid prioritization would harshly limit what people and business need to do on the internet, and ultimately hurt everyone for the the worse.
1108. Brandon Zavala, chicago, IL, 60632
we need the internet to stay the same because controlling it would cause chaos and will affect a lot of people work wise. Just like we are able to walk around in the world freely we have to do the same on the internet and not start blocking everything.
1109. Erik Gawrylczyk, Chicago, IL, 60641
To whom it may concern,I have been many things in my life, a gamer, a writer, a student, and an assistant to my father who can barley send a text without my

help. I know all about net neutrality and how it works. The removal of net neutrality will cause the ISP's to literally fuck everyone over, cause big companies don't do that enough as is. Not to mention, Ajit Pai used to work for Verizon, and is proposing alternatives that are extremely lacking to the point where they wouldn't do shit. That's like trusting a arsonist to watch over your house. The net neutrality rules in place are the only safeguard we have against this kind of tinkering with what we can or cannot see and the rules Ajit Pai proposes will do nothing for the free and open internet. Please, FCC, Congress, anyone who doesn't have the blinders on, DO NOT REMOVE THE NET NEUTRALITY RULES!!! The world is already fucked up as it is. Let's try not to screw it up anymore than it already is. Erik Gawrylczyk

1110. Ismael Aramburo, Chicago, IL, 60639
The internet should not be limited and ISPs should not be able to have free control over what websites people are allowed to use.
1111. Justin Walsh, Chicago, IL, 60618
Please keep the internet open and neutral. It is the single most important conduit for innovation and success in today's society.
1112. Isaac Robinovitz, Chicago, IL, 60647
Hello Sir or Madam-Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. Is it not possible they could do this again?Thank you for reading.
1113. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60647
we as Americans have the right to all information and speech. If the net neutrality laws change the constitution will be violated. Not Ok. FCC do your Job and advocate for the American people instead of financial interests.
1114. Ankitkumar Shah, River Forest, IL, 60305
The internet needs to stay open to the public and ISPs should not be allowed to regulate what people see and how fast certain pages load.
1115. Michael Bockstruck, Chicago, IL, 60647
Don't pander to big ISPs, we need to keep true net neutrality.
1116. Marvin A Cespedes, Chicago, IL, 60707
I had filed comment on this previously 5-6 months ago. My apologies if I am unable to respond more than once but I want to thank you for your work, and to ask that Title II regulations NOT be removed. There is not enough circumstantial evidence to suggest that Title II has impacted ISPs in any significant manner. Thank you for your time.

1117. Spencer Lickteig, Chicago, IL, 60647
Network neutrality affects everyone and everything that deals with the internet and cannot be repealed. Regardless of the greed and financial gains that it brings, it will almost single-handedly ruin every aspect of the internet. If your generation does not protect it, then our's will. It is asinine that this is even an issue at all. Get some guts and actually stand-up for something that does not but benefit the American people for once.
1118. Kellen Terrett, Chicago, IL, 60622
Please do not get rid of net neutrality. An open internet is extremely important to my future as well as my son's future.
1119. Ronny Ewanek, Chicago, IL, 60647
I am opposed to this act. The internet must not be regulated. Europe isn't doing this. If we do business will eventually go to Europe.
1120. Evan Holloway, Chicago, IL, 60647
Keeping the internet of things underneath the Title II regulations, aka net neutrality, is extremely important not only for the citizens of these United States to be ensured full, free and equal access to whatever they please, but also to ensure that small local companies are able to compete with major internet and cellular service providers. The major companies, such as Comcast and Verizon, have even stated in the past that Title II regulations have had no effects on their businesses or business model. Please stop trying to fool the American people and giving in to allowing major companies the opportunity to abuse their status as a major network by giving preferential treatment to certain sites and companies over others - an easy pathway to bribery for special access - and allowing companies more of an opportunity to be selective on who and what is allowed to be posted via their network. We've heard the cries of CEOs and COOs that this will not affect the ways current companies conduct their business and use their networks, but CEOs, COOs, and etc frequently change and people change as well. We could wind up in the very situation we're being promised won't happen - i.e. preferential treatment between IP's and/or bottlenecked service for the American public - due to a change of heart or a change in administration. I implore you to please leave this regulation alone, it has done nothing bad for companies and nothing but good for the American public. Unless you simply do not care for access rights to the internet of things for the people in this country. Thank you for your time.
1121. Aaron, Chicago, IL, 60647
Hello, I strongly support the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules). Please help us protect them! ISPs having the power to regulate what content can be accessed or prioritized will lead to negative consequences for everyone on the internet, including consumers and businesses large and small. Censoring the

internet for some short-term profit will only lead to a reversal of what makes America great! I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1122. Philip Anderson, Chicago, IL, 60647

I'm an artist that needs open and unrestricted internet to do business. Enacting the new net neutrality laws will have a direct and negative effect for myself and my business as I won't be able to compete on the same playing field as more established groups. It could reduce my ability to make a living. Furthermore, I only have access to 1 ISP, Comcast. If new laws are enacted, there will be no incentive for Comcast to continue under the current law as there is no competition from other ISPs in the area. The FCC should protect the American people just like any other government agency. It should protect from privacy invasions, and price gouging. It should NOT allow companies to block content, favor wealthier companies over small start ups, and sell their consumers' personal information, as this will impede on free speech and the open sharing of ideas, hinder innovation and economic development, and endanger the privacy of the American people. Many massive and successful American companies today would not exist had these new proposed laws been in place a decade or two ago. Yet, with an unrestricted and open internet, hundreds of thousands if not millions of people now have jobs because small companies and ideas were able to grow and compete with well giants of a decade ago. The FCC works for the American people NOT Comcast, Verizon, AT&T. It's time to start acting like it. Do NOT support the new proposed net neutrality laws. P. Anderson

1123. Roger Hanigan, Chicago, IL, 60618

I am a 39 year old married homeowner in Chicago with a 3-year old daughter. I would like to encourage you to maintain equal access to internet content (and speed) for all Americans, and not allow corporations prioritize content however they see fit. In the past decades, corporations have played a major role in shaping our world, both positively and negatively. People lead healthier, longer lives due to advances in medical care and science, but also due to people standing up to interests like the Tobacco companies when they denied their products were harmful. Access to food is more widespread than it was 50 years ago, but the quality of food still seems to heavily depend on your economic situation. I am concerned that if you allow corporations to prioritize web traffic how they see fit, they will give priority to whomever pays the right people, or will lead to an increase of consolidation, so each ISP will prioritize their own version of different sites or applications, creating an uneven playing field masquerading as a "Free market". I believe this will lead to a dramatic

difference in access to information and services for people of different economic brackets, letting the already successful advance, while damning people who's only fault was do be born in a less fortunate situation to a life with less opportunity, less knowledge, and a bleak outlook for the future. I know that may not be a priority, but I live in Chicago. C.H.I.C.A.G.O. You may have heard about us on the news. I love Chicago, and while I am insulated from a lot of the worst spots, there is a constant reminder of the effects of leaving people behind to fend for themselves. I do my best to not fault people for stealing bread to feed their family, even when it was my bread. I feel our culture has decided to take the easy "way out" and only treat people as criminals, rather than people with fewer and fewer options. I used quotes for Way Out, as that method may provide an immediate calm, it causes feelings to fester, until they rise back up later stronger and more desperate. We are on year 16 of losing the War on Terror due to a similar mistaken mindset. I also do not agree that of Net Neutrality will stifle technological advancement and improvements. We have come from almost zero internet service to a world where most Americans can at least get some sort of access, even if it is from a Library, Cafe, etc. I think there will still be an abundance of economic motivation for people to continue to come up with new products, ideas, and technologies, and think the proposed changes will only result in "advancement" through keeping any newer and better alternatives down, so that the entrenched companies maintain their position. I am not looking for the FCC to solve all of America's problems, but I know that maintaining Net Neutrality is one thing you can do to try and keep home alive for ALL AMERICANS, and urge you to do so. For myself, my wife, my daughter, and everyone else who needs someone to stand up for them. God Bless, Roger Hanigan

1124. Chris Wade, Chicago, IL, 60618

The internet should be a right, which everyone should have equal and unlimited access to. Allowing ISPs to control how it's used limits our power as citizens to use that right as we see fit. Keep the net neutral.

1125. Yonatan Kogan, Chicago, IL, 60608

Net Neutrality keeps our business competitive and protects consumers. Abandoning it would be the height of folly!

1126. Kayla Ginsburg, Chicago, IL, 60647

Keep the internet free and open to all. Censoring and paid prioritization has no place in our democracy.

1127. Alexander Bandukwala, Chicago, IL, 60647

I would like to express my support for net neutrality and a free and open internet. I believe that the internet should remain available to all with no preferential treatment by type of data or by customer/provider.

1128. Wilson L. Hogan, Chicago, IL, 60625
FCC, Please maintain rules to keep the internet free and open. Allowing companies to control internet content is a bad idea and cannot be good for citizens. Please do not allow companies and internet service providers to block content they don't like, throttle service to some sites while prioritizing paid sites.
1129. Joel Vasallo, Chicago, IL, 60641
I wish for the FCC to keep ISPs under Title II and protect the best interest of its CITIZENS...not big business. I support net neutrality, and I hope you do the same. Please vote against the Restoring Internet Freedom Act.
1130. Ian Senne, Chicago, IL, 60618
Please do not turn the internet into paid prioritization. The internet should be free and allow people to view and do what they want as opposed to having Comcast or whoever determining the content and speed at which I use the internet. Please help!
1131. kyle nyenhuis, Riverside, IL, 60546
We must have Net neutrality. The FCC should protect consumers. Killing Net neutrality would only prove that Pai cares for little more than Big cable investors.
1132. Peter Frederiksen, Chicago, IL, 60647
Supporting net neutrality feels like a no-brainer. Keep the internet a leveled playing field for all, please.
1133. Corey J. Gilson, Chicago, IL, 60647
Honorable Federal Communications Commission, I write to voice strong opposition to this proposal. I have always been a "computer guy". I started with a Texas Instruments 99-4a in grade school, and in college I got my first 1200 bps dial-up modem to connect to an AIX server for my first 100-level Statistics class. I have since made my career (a successful career, if I may say) working as a database administrator, mostly for Web startup companies. I say that because, while I'm no guru, I think I know what I'm talking about, as the Internet has essentially been my entire professional life. Simply put, net neutrality has always been a key element allowing new companies, such as the ones I've worked for, to get up and running. I believe this proposal would change that in favor of larger, established companies. I'm unable to find anyone who disagrees with this, other than the companies (with substantial profit motives) lobbying to get this changed. This proposal would allow ISPs to effectively end net neutrality. This is why it's so unpopular with the American public, particularly with people that work and invest in the field. Comcast and other giant ISPs are among the most hated companies in the country, and they (and their lobbyists)

are the only ones supporting this. You're Americans. You MUST LISTEN TO THE CITIZENS or our democracy dies.

1134. Michael Lang, Chicago, IL, 60647

Unhindered internet access should not even be a question. Allowing companies to control accessible internet content based on cost is corrupt and morally reprehensible. People pay for access to the internet, as a whole. The company is providing a service, access to the internet, that makes sense. It does not cost companies anything extra to provide partial or full access. That said, the idea of companies imposing arbitrary levels of content access directly correlated to amount a customer is willing to pay is the epitome of greed. Please do not allow net neutrality to fall by the wayside for the benefit of private companies.

1135. Curtis Rangel, Chicago, IL, 60647

The net must remain free & open. Net neutrality must stay in force. The new FCC whipping boy is wrong in his opinion, & must be corrected.

1136. John Skeffington, Brookfield, IL, 60513

We have enough paid prioritization in politics, I'd prefer it not continue to spread.

1137. Michael Welch, Chicago, IL, 60647

The Internet's speed or availability to people should not be dictated by large telecommunications companies like Verizon and Comcast. Instead, it should be a regulated public utility because it is vital to everyone's life. There should be no throttling of certain services or restrictive data caps imposed by telecommunications companies only looking to gauge more profits from their customers.

1138. DAN WALSH, Chicago, IL, 60647

I can't even decide what ISP I use, and the FCC wants to give them even more control over my use of the internet? The internet should not be pay-to-play.

1139. Omar Martinez, Chicago, IL, 60639

Please save the internet!!!!!!!!!!!!

1140. Abigail Ropp, Chicago, IL, 60647

I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of internet service providers. Do not give corporations more power than they already have to control our content. Free and open internet is incredibly important for a democracy.

1141. James Cerda, Chicago, IL, 60707

Net neutrality affects me greatly. Being a college student I need the internet for many things and to have that then restricted is unimaginable. Since I was little I

remember when website like youtube, twitch, facebook, would all come out and how connected it made me feel with everyone. Why is it now, in this day and period in time, are we so focused to breaking connection with people? If net neutrality actually becomes a thing then people are a whole lot more messed up than I thought before. Save the internet, stay connect.

1142. Jose Leon, Berwyn, IL, 60402

It's not up to the government to decide what the people can and can't see. You don't get to decide what we view. Controlling the people like this is tyranny. You claim to have freedom yet try to strip it away from your own people. Don't mess up more than you already have.

1143. Cody Petruk, Chicago, IL, 60647

No American citizen wants this, except for the few dozen who will make money off of this. Your job is to serve the PEOPLE of the United States of America, not the corporate interests of the few. How can you justify legislation that is so widely unpopular by a vast majority of the populace? Bottom line: this won't make American lives better. It won't create jobs. It won't make our internet experience better—it'll make it worse. The ONLY benefit of this legislation is to make a very select few people more wealthy.

1144. Remigio Torres, Chicago, IL, 60647

The FCC must keep the current Net Neutrality regulations in order to ensure a free and open internet. Furthermore, the FCC should be going a step further and breaking up the monopolies of Time Warner Cable, AT&T and Comcast. These companies should be broken up like the Bell System in 1982. Furthermore, companies like Google should not be restricted from installing fiber optic networks.

1145. Braulio aguilar, chicago, IL, 60623

Net neutrality should be kept free for everyone since it was free from the beginning so lets keep it that way.

1146. Michael Jones, Chicago, IL, 60618

Do not undo net neutrality.

1147. Patrick Little, Chicago, IL, 60651

Restricting and censoring my internet accessibilty would be very much living in China or North Korea.

1148. Danny Smith, Chicago, IL, 60618

After reading a good amount of arguments on net neutrality I do not agree with Mr. Pai's stance and I can see no reason to repeal the restrictions on ISPs to steer their utility as they see fit. I do not have a choice in ISP and I live in one of the largest cities in the country. Please consider that repealing restrictions to

give a private company (that has a monopoly on my home's access to the internet) unreasonable control over how my internet usage works so that they can try to sell me a cable television subscription would not be acceptable. Net neutrality should be preserved.

1149. intenret, chicago, IL, 60618

A computer programmer in Switzerland named Tim Berners-Lee introduced the World Wide Web: an Internet that was not simply a way to send files from one place to another but was itself a "web" of information that ANYONE on the Internet could retrieve. Berners-Lee created the Internet that we know today. WWhaty gives you the right to try and pull something like this?

1150. Joseph Patton, Brookfield, IL, 60513

The internet is an essential service for practically all Americans, and with so many regions of this country being limited in the options provided to citizens for service, we should all be comforted in knowing that our government vehemently opposes practices that take advantage of its vulnerable constituency. We use the internet to communicate and inform ourselves, and to impede or infringe upon that in any way, be it via fast lanes or selling private information, is unacceptable and deserves to be penalized. The FCC should be a shield for Americans, not an enabler for ill-intentioned corporations.

1151. Bob Abtar, chicago, IL, 60647

When internet helps you find schools, find healthcare, self teach, start small a small business, and communicate around the globe; internet access equates to survival. Don't allow business to monopolize peoples' life chances. Please keep the internet open.

1152. Meghan Woods, Chicago, IL, 60618

Net neutrality is important for education, freedom of expression/ideas, and economic growth (especially small businesses!). The internet should not be regulated, ISPs and the government should not control access in any way - to do so would put America behind the rest of the world.

1153. Clarissa, Brookfield, IL, 60513

Freedom is an indispensable right of human beings

1154. Ron Sikora, Chicago, IL, 60625

Keeping Net Neutrality is of the utmost importance.

1155. george e chase, Chicago, IL, 60618

Could one of the Trumpians at the FCC please tell me how many times freedom is mentioned in the Declaration of Independence? The Constitution? Without looking it up? Now, how about how many times money is mentioned? Want to take a guess which the framers thought was more important?

1156. Laura Lintzenich, Chicago, IL, 60618
I am for keeping net neutrality. I care about about keeping open internet and competition online. Please KEEP net neutrality.
1157. Alec Khan, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please fight for net neutrality! The internet is a highway to free press and education, and compromising its integrity will enable so much miseducation and ignorance. Now more than ever we as a people need to (at the very least) come to a consensus on facts, and net neutrality is fundamental to that simple goal.
1158. Phil Parcellno, Chicago, IL, 60625
Please keep the internet an un-biased and open forum. What we can readily access should not be up for sale.
1159. Xiomara Arreola, Chicago, IL, 60608
The internet needs to remain absolutely neutral with NO Internet Service Providers' (ISP) interference whatsoever. ISP claims to be on board with the principles of net neutrality but if that were true, they would not want to impose a single new rule upon the net neutrality agreement. ISP's main purpose is to distribute bandwidth and internet connections with varying speeds, they absolutely should not have any control or say-so about the content and websites their customers visit. The customer pays for the speed and connection, what the customer does after that is entirely up to them. Please do not allow the ISP to impose any of their ideas into net neutrality. The user experience faces trouble here and there with their ISP's connection service, we shouldn't have to deal with restricted access or be tunneled to view whatever content they would like us to.
1160. internet access, Chicago, IL, 60618
I am very much in favor of keeping the internet neutral and allowing equal access to all sites. I am afraid of what will happen if the access becomes biased to the highest bidder.
1161. Jonathan J. Stopyra, Chicago, IL, 60625
Protect our rights--we live in the age of the internet! Our future success and the success of our children depends on everyone being able to use the internet equally. As an educator I fear for the loss of internet rights and its impact on education.
1162. William Murphy, Chicago, IL, 60618
The internet needs strong Title II regulation of internet service providers as common carriers. I live in the heart of the 3rd largest metro in the country, yet have only 1 choice for true broadband (>50 Mbps) ISP. That single choice is a conglomerate that also owns multiple internet content providers including news

and streaming video. Without net neutrality, my ISP would be obligated to its investors to create an unfair playing field by slow-laning competition to their content providers. This will snuff out innovative entrepreneurial endeavors, decreasing competition and reducing market activity in one of our nation's biggest growth areas. Title II common carrier designation protects consumers and it protects the economy. Please maintain it.

1163. David Sosnowski, Brookfield, IL, 60513
All ISP's need to remain under Title II telecommunications service and imposed strict net neutrality rules.
1164. Marie Chesaniuk, Chicago, IL, 60608
We cannot turn the internet into an oligarchy. Net neutrality is tantamount to democracy.
1165. John Szczepaniak, Chicago, IL, 60608
I firmly believe that the internet should be treated as a public utility and not a privilege. The access to information in our digital age is a human right and cannot be subject to an individual's income. Please preserve our digital commons and do not pass Docket 17-108.
1166. Krystina Janus, Chicago, IL, 60618
Keep the Internet free to the people. Large corporations already have too much control over our lives!
1167. Bruno Rodriguez, Chicago, IL, 60651
I want to express my worry regarding the possible ruling against net neutrality. As a web designer who uses the internet constantly to make my work possible, conducting research, and looking for tools and resources that are sometimes found in smaller websites, the thought of ISPs controlling the exposure and speed of my connection to other servers sends a chill down my spine. It is the government's job to protect the people from unfair business practices by larger corporations, and I think this will be a prime example of it.
1168. Aaron, Chicago, IL, 60647
Hello, My name is Aaron, and I strongly support the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules). Please help us protect them! ISPs having the power to regulate what content can be accessed or prioritized will lead to negative consequences for everyone on the internet, including consumers and businesses large and small. Censoring the internet for some short-term profit will only lead to a reversal of what makes America great! I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's

plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Thank you for keeping Title II net neutrality rules in place to protect Internet users like me.

1169. Miya Hurley, Chicago, IL, 60641

Please, maintain and protect our "open internet." Do not kill "Net Neutrality." This would be a disservice to why the Internet was created.

1170. Matt Skrzyszowski, Chicago, IL, 60707

I cannot stand having my favorite websites blocked. The internet needs freedom.

1171. Eric Ramos, Chicago, IL, 60641

Iâ€™m here to fight for strong Net Neutrality protections and a free and open internet.

1172. Frank, Chicago, IL, 60639

keep our internet free and open, and no fast lanes.

1173. John T Bonzelaar, Chicago, IL, 60647

When websites and consumers have to pay to use the "fast lane" of the internet it creates a giant barrier to entry for website startups and forums that have opposing views from the media conglomerates. John T Bonzelaar

1174. Ian Poulos, Chicago, IL, 60641

I do not support the privatization of the "World Wide Web". It is a powerful tool that connects us and inspires us. Anything other than supporting this function is not an option. Please consider this message.

1175. Dylan Ginsburg, Chicago, IL, 60614

Greed is trying to take over the internet. We should have access to ALL of the internet - free of paid prioritization, blocking and throttling. There is only one internet and all rules must apply to my home and mobile connections equally. The internet has come so far and gone through so much to bring people from all across the world together. Please don't let that be taken away so that the greedy get rewarded with heavier pockets. I love the internet, and would love to continue to use it to stay connected with friends and family all around the world. Letting this go through is another example of why America is taking steps backwards and becoming everything we strive to fight against.

1176. Eduardo Macz, Cicero, IL, 60804

Don't let the corporations take control

1177. Albert J. Grimm, Chicago, IL, 60622

This action by the FCC if completed will undermine the free market. By going through with this, the FCC will allow corporations the right to put their

customers at a disadvantage. Verizon has already done this to its Amazon consumers and I have noticed it personally with Netflix while being a Comcast customer. An ISP should not in any way be able to censor or throttle access to certain parts of the web. This is an infringement of free speech which one of the many but crucial things that makes our country so great. So I ask you, not just as members of the FCC, but as fellow freedom loving Americans. To not allow this action to pass.

1178. Frank Lucchese-Soto, Chicago, IL, 60639

keep the internet open and free keep net neutrality, and don't let those greedy corporations like Comcast get their way. The internet needs to be a equal and open field for all not just a bunch of greedy rich people or corporations. So keep net neutrality in place don't take it away

1179. Kristina, Chicago, IL, 60647

Without net neutrality, corporations may slow down or even block rival services, not to mention the next generation of startups that depend on internet freedom. If these broadband titans are allowed the right to stifle online creativity and entrepreneurship, it could snuff out the very engine of innovation that has generated billions of dollars of US economic activity and created millions of jobs.

1180. Victor Fuentes, Chicago, IL, 60632

I'm writing to express my support for keeping net neutrality intact. Over the last year or so I've been teaching myself web development in the hopes of changing careers. In a month I'll be taking this education a step further and be starting at a coding boot camp. While I'm very excited for this new direction, its a little difficult to imagine without net neutrality. What I'm referring to is all of the small businesses that depend on an open internet for advertisement, an internet where ISPs aren't allowed to slow down the websites of small businesses that can't afford faster traffic, or because their business is in direct competition with the ISP or an affiliate of the ISP, or whatever reason (literally, whatever reason they want) the ISP may give. Anyway, as a web development hopeful, these small businesses are all potential clients, and if they can't afford to have a presence on the internet in a meaningful way, why would they bother hiring web developers? I've read that in order to reverse the 2015 decision that ISPs provide telecommunications services and are therefore subject to oversight under Title II, the FCC has to show that they could be defined more as information services, providing services such as email and cloud storage. Honestly, the thought that they would even try to prove this is laughable. I have two emails that I'm aware of. The gmail account mentioned above and a yahoo account I've been meaning to close since forever. I think I might have been given a username in the format of an email account by Comcast when I signed up with them, but as far as I (and every other human I know) am concerned, its

just a username. Do you know anyone who uses uses an @comcast email account? Because I sure as hell don't. The same goes for any other ISP. The same goes for cloud storage. Why would you link your valuable information such as family photos and videos your account with an ISP you may not want to be with in 5 years? There's no point, especially when there are plenty of other cloud services, many of which are free. One argument made might be that if your particular ISP is a jerk, just go to another. Again, laughable, and this is because trust me, I've already tried. When I was looking to switch from AT&T last year, just because I wanted to try a faster internet and a new provider, there were only two providers that had any decent speeds in my area, AT&T and Comcast. Now, by area, I mean neighborhood, because apparently several of the other neighborhoods in Chicago have access to a handful of other smaller providers as well. Not my neighborhood. Even for the two ISPs I have a choice from, they don't offer a lot of their faster connections to my neighborhood. This is Chicago proper I'm talking about. If I only have two choices I can hardly imagine smaller cities and towns, let alone rural areas. So what role should the FCC have in overseeing these companies? A big one. A huge one. Regulate them to no end.

1181. Danielle Lukowski, Chicago, IL, 60647
Internet access and information searchable via the internet should not be prioritized to the highest bidder. I support Net Neutrality!
1182. Nicholas Cunningham, Chicago, IL, 60647
I want to keep the internet free and open. I support net neutrality.
1183. David G. Cosejo, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please protect net neutrality. Doing so will ensure that competition in the free marketplace of ideas will continue to flourish.
1184. Melody Wong, Chicago, IL, 60608
Net neutrality is essential. Don't hand over the internet to corporate interests and greed. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Preserve net neutrality and Title II.
1185. Jeff Maier, Chicago, IL, 60618
Keep the internet open and fair for all people to use. We're not allowed to buy a faster phone call, so why would this be different? The ramifications of giving priority access to a special class of web users over others is a whole pile of trouble that this country doesn't need right now.
1186. Veronica Montes, Chicago, IL, 60632
I strongly believe in freedom and total access to the internet. I understand that those in political and economical power are threatened by the immediate access to immense amount of useful information the people have via the internet but it

is a violation of our rights to control what we know or seek to know. Fortunately, for those of us who have evolved in consciousness, and have been working diligently to help others do so as well, have the ability to break barriers and will continue to do so and assist others in their evolution. Limiting our access to the internet is not only a violation of our rights as citizens but also an act of condemnation to our human race, stalling our imminent evolution of consciousness.

1187. Greg Lipman, Chicago, IL, 60618

I do not believe large companies like AT&T or Comcast should be given the right to give preference over data from one source vs another. They already rip people off with their monopolies in Chicago and I can't afford to be paying more for Netflix and the like. Please keep the existing rules in place. Thank you.

1188. Ciara Ruffino, Chicago, IL, 60608

Please let us have something fair and equal to enjoy as a species before we go. Thank you.

1189. Michael Erlander, Chicago, IL, 60647

ISPs shouldn't be able to pick and choose what websites and services their customers can access, what price they can access them for, and at what speed. Rolling back net neutrality regulations is unnecessary and will almost certainly create a less free and open internet. It will create effective monopolies and allow corporations to hamstring competitors and stifle innovation that could have a negative impact on their bottom line.

1190. Daniel A Raba, Chicago, IL, 60616

Keep and strengthen net neutrality rules so that the internet remains and becomes an even more competitive entrepreneurial market.p

1191. JQ, Chicago, IL, 60609

I value the FCC's internet net neutrality rules. The Restoring Internet Freedom act is not a step forward, but one back. The freedom it is allowing is not to the majority (the consumers), but to the big companies that wish to take advantage of this technology. I know that there are people who live in foreign countries with faster internet than the United States. The difference here is that we are one nation, and having different rates for different people is not the way to go. Many of us have taken this form of equality for granted, but no longer. As technology advances so must the laws that govern our country. We can't trust that internet providers like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon will stop throttling websites and charging fees for their fastlanes. Give them an inch and they'll take a mile. Allowing ISPs to do as they wish will to a technological class system. Websites not willing to pay ISP fees will be throttled and essentially censored, others will be outright censored. Worst of all families (especially low-income)

not willing or able to pay for premium services will lose the internet as we know it. Please support the FCC's net neutrality rules based on Title II and stop Chairman Pai from violating the rights of Americans.

1192. Nelson Trautman, Chicago, IL, 60647

Please maintain Title II classification for ISPs. It very clearly has not hurt anyone, but there were many abuses before this classification. You can see a short list of some of them here: <https://www.freepress.net/blog/2017/04/25/net-neutrality-violations-brief-history>

1193. William Hautamaki, La Grange Park, IL, 60526

Please uphold the Title II classification of internet service providers. As a consumer and an American, I am very concerned about this. The implications regarding freedom of expression and equal opportunity for small businesses is staggering. Do not repeal current regulations. Thank you.

1194. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60625

They say America is the land of the free. But it isn't really. Therefore we use the internet to express our opinions. Therefore why would you take away the only real freedom we have. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY! Stop letting the 1% control the rest of the world.

1195. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60631

In no way whatsoever does abolishing Net Neutrality have a positive impact on the majority of American citizens. At its very core the job of the FCC, and all other government, is to look out for the majority of people in the United States. I understand that there are many topics that are very controversial, and can go either way, but I assure you net neutrality is not one of them. The owners of large internet providing companies will be positively impacted by this. Also many cable network owners (a lot of the same people who provide internet, so this only counts as 1.5 groups of people) will have a positive impact. Other than these two groups of people there is very few others that benefit from removing Net Neutrality. There may be plenty of people who will not be impacted because the internet sites they use will not suffer, but in no way will these people benefit. In the end many people though will suffer from this. NETFLIX is a great example, and without Net Neutrality this amazing feat of technology may never have existed. With the importance of online shopping on our economy, and the selection of products that our country has access to, removing Net Neutrality will ruin so many small business. Take this example: you ban Net Neutrality, so Comcast being the smart company they are, sinks a bunch on Money into Amazon, and then all of the competitors to Amazon lose fast internet and every successful mom and pop shop with online access just went under thanks to you. All I am asking you to do is use your head and keep Net Neutrality in place or else the majority of people impacted will be negatively

impacted, and that is literally the opposite of what you are supposed to be doing. Thank you for your time,

1196. Diana Martinez, Chicago, IL, 60618
ðŸ˜¸;
1197. elizabeth Dillard, Chicago, IL, 60618
This is dangerous, foolish, and criminal. I do not support this measure.
1198. Aren Kriks, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please, Internet Service Providers should not be permitted to block or throttle the sites that they choose for their own financial gain. Maintaining a free and open internet helps spur healthy competition, which ultimately fuels innovation.
1199. Guadalupe Diaz, Chicago, IL, 60632
The amount of online freedom a nation possesses speaks volumes on the country's political liberties. Defend those liberties. I stand for net neutrality and am against corporate interference-- and I know I'm not the only one.
1200. Jeremy Glessner, Chicago, IL, 60618
Net Neutrality is absolutely paramount to the success of small businesses and freedom of information in the United States. By skewing or prioritizing traffic, we allow companies that are willing to pay the most to prioritize their information [not to mention the company that controls the prioritization] over someone who may not be able to pay as much thereby making the information more convenient and therefore easier to consume. While seemingly innocent on a small scale, on the grand scale this skews information for society towards sources that have deeper pockets. It is also anticompetitive for a small business that depends on the internet and is competing with larger companies which ultimately will hurt the consumer. Please stand to ensure that the Internet remains a level and fair place to do business.
1201. Cody Reiss, Chicago, IL, 60647
NOT CHILL
1202. Ryan Heintz, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net neutrality is an extremely important issue, keep the net neutral. Do not remove title 2 protections.
1203. Kaara Kallen, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please do not roll back regulations insuring net neutrality. I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I am a small-business owner, an instructor who uses online course materials and LMSs, a blogger, and a human being in the 21st century - open access to the Internet is crucial to my life and livelihood.

1204. Matt Hobson, Chicago, IL, 60618
Stop lining your pockets with lobbyist money and actually listen to consumers. Net neutrality is in the consumer's interests. Treat ISPs as a utility.
1205. Frank Tung, Chicago, IL, 60647
I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please keep the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Thank you!
1206. Matthew Santos, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please support net neutrality for the sake of a free and open internet for all. Do the right thing.
1207. Erik Hargraves, Chicago, IL, 60647
In response to the degradation of the internet with current net neutrality proposals I felt the need to express my personal discontent with regulating internet speeds to only be provided to the highest bidder. Such restrictions would hold back communications to general public on topics such as world news, pod-casting, music promoting (which is already a hard enough and under appreciated industry to be competing with big production companies, while capitalistic I would argue is a infringement upon the first amendment), and equal access to opportunists of working from home. I have friends who are journalists, musicians, work at home web designers, and game designers; all of who are pursuing a American Dream, but would be subject to becoming second

citizens to corporations on the back of the dollar. While is in capitalistic incentive to be the biggest and most powerful when you have the most money- this regulation of the internet would hold hardheartedly, be hurting millions of people from simple and easily provided access to the internet in a manner that promotes growth of the general economy and on top of that- encourage quality of life. If we want to remain a country with as much media and self expression as a focal point of greatness that this country to capable of; then I would like to have the internet remain open and a commodity to the general public as crowning achievement of humanities progress.

1208. Kirsia Molina, Chicago, IL, 60647

I would like to express my support for Net Neutrality and specifically ask the FCC for continued oversight and implementation of laws that regulate the costs of broadband access. As a recent college graduate, I can attest that the open access to the net has allowed me to successfully complete my degree. Having access to a unlimited well of online resources facilitated my scholarly research and enabled me to connect to other professionals across the globe to further my field of study. Due in part to the competitive broadband prices in my city, I was able to secure access not only at school but also in my home. If the prices were to skyrocket uncontrollably it would be hard for me and many of my peers to continue our independent research outside of academic facilities that we no longer have access to as recent graduates.

1209. Sarah Muniz, Chicago, IL, 60625

Do not revoke Net Neutrality! I am in strong support of the rules and regulations that keep the internet neutral.

1210. Restoring Internet Freedom, chicago, IL, 60632

I do not want big ISP's charging more for specific internet traffic.

1211. Colin Kramer, Chicago, IL, 60622

Hi,As a consumer of many internet based products, I know the importance of equal treatment of data from those web based platforms. In order to ensure equal competition in the internet market and the freedom to enjoy any content equally, net neutrality must be protected. I urge you to protect this fundamental principle of the internet, and to not remove net neutrality.Thank you.

1212. Scott Lagler, Brookfield, IL, 60513

A free and open internet will help the USA prosper even more in the future. It will create a more innovative and competitive market for all humans.

1213. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60608

I recently found out about the FCC and what they plan to do with the internet and i am completely against it. We should be able to use the internet however we want, its our right. Why should we allow the FCC to control what we can

and can't see? Why can't that decision be up to us? They shouldn't meddle in our lives that way. I understand that some people might do indecent things on the internet but regardless of what they are doing it's their right to be free of doing what they want.

1214. Gabe Ubatuba, Chicago, IL, 60647

Hello - Repealing the 2015 network neutrality rules would be a huge detriment to our freedom. Although you are claiming this is in the interest of removing government regulations and for "freedom", that freedom is for major corporations to eliminate competition and stymie growth of new businesses founded on the internet. Along with that, as consumers, we will suffer, as it opens the floodgates for corporations to push their own content and partners over others, eliminating choice. Although they say they want to keep the Internet open, there is a massive conflict of interest if they own content and the way for people to consume content - it's lip service and the reason why we need to use the government to keep the Internet as it is.

1215. Jorge Argueta, Chicago, IL, 60618

Please keep the current law, I want net neutrality as is.

1216. Alyson Simkins, Chicago, IL, 60647

I am in favor of protecting net neutrality rules

1217. Andrew Mavec, Chicago, IL, 60622

The internet should remain under the rules set forth by the Obama administration, to help limit companies' abilities to manipulate and in effect censor what individual can access.

1218. Hallie Irion, Chicago, IL, 60647

The internet is an essential tool for me as a graduate nursing student. Over 75% of my course content is delivered online, and I also use the internet for collaboration with other students, independent research, and studying. The internet must remain neutral so that every United States citizen is granted equal opportunity to learn, grow, and succeed.

1219. bobby, lake vienna sausage, IL, 70658

fuck you and your dumb fucking laws you're trying to pass.

1220. Rachel Hector, Chicago, IL, 60647

Do not reverse protections on net neutrality and the open internet. Do not side with corporate interests over freedom of speech for the people of the United States.

1221. Kristin Wu, Chicago, IL, 60618

keep it free! A level playground for all players.

1222. John Zhu, Chicago, IL, 60632

Land of the free, keep the internet open and free

1223. Kyrstin, Chicago, IL, 60618

We need Net Neutrality. No fast lanes - the internet should be free and open to all sites no matter who owns it and who pays who. An open internet is key, please do not change that!

1224. Michael Brower, Chicago, IL, 60618

I want to share a few reasons why the FCC's Open Internet Rules, known as Net Neutrality, is so important to me and why it must be protected. First, having moved across multiple state lines from the hometown that I went to high school in, Facebook is one of the main ways I keep in contact with old high school friends that I no longer see on a regular basis. In fact, Facebook is so important for keeping in contact that I would have no idea about my upcoming 10-year high school reunion if it weren't for the Facebook group and group chat that was created for my reunion. If Net Neutrality was overturned and people started being charged extra to use Facebook, I'm not sure if I could afford the extra expense. The end of Net Neutrality would be devastating to my ability to stay in contact with old friends and stay informed and up to date on upcoming events. Second, the small business I work for just recently went to market with a new employee health web application that I have dedicated almost a year of time working on. We have a marketing website set up that potential customers visit. If our website was prioritized behind websites for products from big name companies that could afford to pay to be in the "fast lane", it would be devastating for our business. I could even lose my job if we don't continue to bring on new customers. Third, having just recently moved to a new apartment in Chicago, I've had to go through the painstaking process of cancelling my old internet subscription and starting a new one. Even in the huge city of Chicago, there is essentially a duopoly on high speed broadband internet, Comcast and RCN. Their rates are nearly identical for similar levels of service, differing by maybe \$5. If Net Neutrality was abolished, they could work together to totally kill the fair and open marketplace that has enabled millions of small business to flourish, including the small business I work for. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. I would have no other internet provider to turn to for reasonably priced high speed broadband internet. Finally, under Chairman Pai's plan, internet service providers will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. People should never have their voices silenced and I'm a big supporter of free speech. I urge you to protect the FCC's Open Internet Rules. Please don't let giant cable companies destroy the internet. Thank you!

1225. Alexander Thomas Riggs, Chicago, IL, 60647
Defend net neutrality - this should not even be a conversation. Do not let big corporations gain an unfair advantage at the expense of the average citizen. Our society already has a beneficial slant towards those who have the means to spend the most money. Don't further stack the deck in the hands the few. That is undemocratic.
1226. Clint, Chicago, IL, 60622
The internet should be considered a common carrier. Moving away from net neutrality is going against public interests.
1227. Francisco Enriquez III, Chicago, IL, 60641
Can we please keep Net Neutrality, we don't need anymore nonsense fees like the ones put in place for "data limits" each month. data is not a resource like water. You shouldn't be able to charge households for 50 GB of data a month etc. The main thing is, I would like Net Neutrality to stay.
1228. Benjamin Foster, Chicago, IL, 60647
Freedom of internet includes freedom of access to press, speech, and protest. It needs to be protected!
1229. Payton Miller, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net Neutrality needs to be assured so as to keep the Internet open and equally accessible for all. Innovation in the 21st century requires this.
1230. Aaron Monds, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please remember that private citizens have been paying for cable monopolies for years with our taxes and huge unfair contracts with states and local governments. We're already getting it on one end. Please protect our access to information, marketplaces, and entertainment.
1231. Brett Balzer, Chicago, IL, 60647
The Internet MUST remain free and open
1232. Joe Kruce, Riverside, IL, 60546
Keep the internet free and open. Do not allow providers to charge more for a fast lane or censor content.
1233. Kaitlin Montefinese, Brookfield, IL, 60513
I need the internet to be an open space for myself as a student, an online entrepreneur, and active participant in society. Without access to all sources across the world wide web, my research as a student will be flawed. My education will be effected by only being allowed to see what I am given. Much of my education is done online as well. I need the internet to be the same as it is now, so I can continue a beneficial education. On top of this, I run my business

selling art online. I connect with many other people through the web, to advance my business and make connections in general. This will end up effecting my income. Finally, I need the internet to stay open with all biased and unbiased news sources, organization sites and so on. Freedom of speech is a very big deal to ma and may of my friends, I think it is important that no site is blocked out and rejected their freedom of speech/press. I am worried about the implications on the fights for equality overall. The world has become a much more electronic place now, the internet is much more important than the memes and cat gifs many people see on the surface. It is research and freedom and knowledge. A place for people to come together across the world. Please save the internet. Please don't allow ISPs to overtake the internet and ruin it for the people.

1234. Yaritza Aleman, Chicago, IL, 60623

Internet access to me means being able to watch any movie as I please or get an education via the web with the new regulation that wants to be passed I will no longer feel safe watching any show or movie online the other person who is monitoring me and what I watch may have a different beliefs as I do or may not believe in women getting an education. We are the land of the free, let's start acknowledging this and why our founding father said we are "the land of the free"

1235. Alex Sobiek, Chicago, IL, 60641

The internet is an open network and there should be no limitations on what can and what can't be done unless the actions are against current laws.

1236. Carol Jurczewski, Riverside, IL, 60546

Internet providers should not control what people see and do online, and to charge extra fees on top of it!Carol Jurczewski

1237. Marvin Velasquez, CHICAGO, IL, 60639

People need a window to our world without limits

1238. Marcelo Velasquez, Chicago, IL, 60608

the internet was born as an open technology, this is how it should remain, not in the control of the multinational oligarchy. My job is on the free internet, don't kill my job. Marcelo Velasquez

1239. Anthony Alexander, chicago, IL, 60618

Net neutrality is essential to the learning required to fuel the innovation and growth that has made the USA such an amazing and prosperous place to live since the birth of the internet several decades ago. Allowing ISPs to treat certain websites favorably in terms of connection speed is wrong and detrimental to the learning I mentioned above.

1240. Griffin Muckley, Chicago, IL, 60647

The internet is one of the last realms in which the grasp of one's power and the depths of one's pockets do not determine the amount of influence one can have over others. Any argument I've heard for diminishing net neutrality has seemed incomplete, incoherent, or at best, short cited. I understand the language of paragraph 4 of the introduction and the more lengthy explanation of the cost-benefit analysis. However, enticing large companies to invest in a product that is nearly unregulated seems terrifying. Throughout the past century, the United States has dramatically increased regulations because time and time again we have found what benefits the corporation does not necessarily benefit the individual, especially when a small number of corporations control a massive share of the market. The online landscape has changed drastically since the bipartisan legislation of the Clinton era was passed. I am most worried about Internet Service Providers regaining the ability to throttle content. It could be very beneficial for companies to charge websites premiums for faster delivery of content, but as a consumer as a citizen, I want my content and the content of my peers to receive the same treatment as any corporation. That, frankly, is what I believe it means to have a free and fair internet. Please listen to the people's definition of "free internet" when we say we want the FCC to enforce net neutrality rules.

1241. Paul Bachner, Chicago, IL, 60618

I remember in 1993, the thrill of realizing I was viewing data stored on a server halfway around the world. In the time since the Internet has blossomed and grown into a vital part of the way we do business and live our lives. The internet is the great equalizer, everyone can have a voice and net neutrality will ensure that continues. Allowing the Internet Service Providers to charge for "premium"/faster access allows them to be the filter to the world and create a barrier to entry to rival any monopoly, especially in a world where so many people only have a single choice for high-speed internet. The FCC must act to ensure equal access to all.

1242. lukas ostrander, Chicago, IL, 60647

Net neutrality is fundamental to the internet as we know it.

1243. Bridget Jelonek, Westchester, IL, 60154

We want FREEDOM to surf!

1244. Andrea Alberti, Chicago, IL, 60618

Come on now, you know this is a stupid idea. You don't need me to tell you net neutrality is crucial to well-being of our country in an inconceivable number of ways. Don't be a dumb-dumb. Luv you, byeeeeeee.

1245. Internet Freedom, Chicago, IL, 60647

No one should have the right to monitor what I do with the internet that I pay

for.

1246. Zorrotsu Nagarra, Chicago, IL, 60426
Grossly stepping over bounds with this. Please don't do this.
1247. Abel Ocegüera, Chicago, IL, 60632
Please support net neutrality and keeping the internet open. This is not the american way. People should not be threatened with inferior service or be forced to pay higher costs. The power these telecommunications companies are building over the american people is outrageous. Please do the right thing for the AMERICAN PEOPLE. Thank you. Abel Ocegüera
1248. Jake Baratta, La Grange Park, IL, 60526
Net neutrality is very important. Democracy itself hangs in the balance. The downfall of a free and open internet can lead to information control and eventually oligarchy or a dictatorship. Only evil people would be against a free and open internet. FCC please do not be on the wrong side of history.
1249. robert zegler, Chicago, IL, 60618
Very concerned about corporations dictating internet access and speeds. Please defend net neutrality.
1250. ryan christensen, chicago, IL, 60647
Please keep net neutrality. No site should have more availability than any other. Please keep equal access to all sites so none will be prioritized over others. It would negatively affect ability to get information, and it would affect thousands of small businesses and business owners, bloggers, and more.
1251. Benjamin Olger, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net neutrality has incredible benefits to me as a consumer, as well as in my career. As a consumer, having all traffic treated fairly gives me a wealth of choices when it comes to entertainment, online shopping and education. These same benefits also allow the company I work for to thrive despite having to compete against more established players in our industry. Giving ISPs the ability to throttle or even block traffic would be harmful to consumers, and paid prioritization could stifle small innovative companies like the one that I work for. Rolling back the Title II classification of broadband services means that the FCC isn't looking out for the American people as a whole, and would rather fulfill the wishes of large ISPs.
1252. Tyler Fitch, Chicago, IL, 60608
I strongly, strongly urge the FCC to preserve net neutrality and maintain an equitable internet.
1253. Michael Gabel, Chicago, IL, 60647

I believe current Internet rules should NOT be loosened with this legislation. In addition to being an open medium where everybody can exchange thoughts, opinions, and knowledge, the Internet is no longer a luxury but rather a necessity to operate as a useful student, employee, and citizen. In the same way that owners of roads (such as privately owned tollways) cannot decide which make of car can drive on the road, no ISP should be allowed to decide what data their customers are allowed to access.

1254. Jonathan Barcenas, Chicago, IL, 60639
if this even goes through, this will just hinder the entire country and maybe the world.
1255. Alex Alonzo, Chicago, IL, 60634
Stop trying to roll back net neutrality laws you corrupted politicians
1256. Nicholas Clark, Chicago, IL, 60647
Killing Net Neutrality will be the beginning of the end for freedom of information. We demand these freedoms be taken seriously and for the FCC to reverse all decisions made that will impede them. Information is the most valuable thing we have as a global society.
1257. Dassoff, Kyle, Chicago, IL, 60647
This issue has been covered in greater detail and more eloquently than I can, but I'm adding my voice in favor of maintaining the Title II designation, and the consumer protections and net neutrality elements it helps provide. Telecoms are very powerful companies that we rely on to access the internet and all the benefits of free speech and expression, as well as commerce, that it provides. They are indeed critical infrastructure in our modern society, and much like earlier utility companies, regulations are in fact necessary to provide a legal means to prevent these powerful businesses from exploiting their positions at the expense of individual Americans and the free and vibrant society we enjoy in this country. Removing Title II will not help us, the people (that the government is supposed to be for), but will instead hurt us for the sake of these companies making more money than they already do. Even their own shareholder publications acknowledge that Title II has not, and is not expected to, hinder ongoing broadband deployments and improvements.
1258. John-Stockton Irick, Chicago, IL, 60647
The attack on Net Neutrality is EXTREMELY anti-business and anti-american. As an American worker in IT, it is of paramount importance that the internet remain a resource for all people. John-Stockton Irick
1259. John Glover, Chicago, IL, 60609
I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for

specific websites. That is stupid. What is this China? I do not support this.

1260. Anthony Gonzalez, Chicago, IL, 60623

The internet is not something to be privatized and our info is not something that should be sold without our consent.

1261. Laurie Wettstead, Chicago, IL, 60641

The FCC's Open Internet Rules are extremely important to everyone. I urge you to protect them. I definitely do not want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. We already have a technology gap in this country and this will make it worse. ISPs should never be able to censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1262. Chase A Laxner, Chicago, IL, 60707

Literally no American citizen actually wants net neutrality to end besides the ones who can financially benefit from it... which are a few thousand corrupt and greedy Politicians and Executives compared to the three hundred million Americans who don't want this. This effort to end net neutrality is nothing less than treason. You keep pushing your citizens and they will fight back, sometimes violently; this is not a threat, it's a historical fact. Chase A Laxner

1263. Michael Meyer, Chicago, IL, 60647
I'm using my own words here, not a form letter, so we can be 100% clear. I, and practically every other American human, support an open internet. Certain corporate persons do not support an open internet. You know this. They've made their positions very clear to you. You know internet service providers would commercially benefit from the freedom to favor or discriminate internet traffic, and they're happy to give heaps of money or lucrative post-government jobs to people who'd help them do just that. You might call it "lobbying" or "motivated donation" but let's be honest with each other and call it what it is: a bribe. A gift in exchange for favorable treatment. Without an open internet, service providers can constrict the traffic of other businesses and demand payments for "normal" speed. There's a word for that too: extortion. So my question is really, really simple. Do you care what American humans want, or would you prefer to live in a world of bribes and extortion? Your call. Voters are watching. Michael Meyer
1264. Frank DiCristofano, Chicago, IL, 60618
"We know that no one ever seizes power with the intention of relinquishing it." • George Orwell, 1984
1265. jacob t pearson, Chicago, IL, 60622
The internet is equivocal to free speech and a free society. In an increasingly Oligarchical America, we cannot allow for vested interests to buy up and control our Internet experience and life.
1266. Jon O'Brien, Chicago, IL, 60618
Internet-related jobs have been one of the largest growth sectors in our economy for the past 20 years. This economic development happened because the Internet was a level playing field for all, where an anonymous individual with a good idea today can become a billionaire tomorrow. Net neutrality preserves that level playing field, ensuring that this opportunity continues to exist. Virtually every major technology company that isn't an ISP (or owned by one) has gone on record as being against this change. It's not even a close debate. That Chairman Pai seems deaf to the callings of such an influential group is highly peculiar, and makes me question his qualification to hold his position.
1267. Samuel Melendez, Berwyn, IL, 60402
Integrating paid prioritization would ruin the internet. That is all.
1268. Matthew Stone, Chicago, IL, 60622
I simply want fast internet service and I want it at a fair price. I know I am not receiving that currently. How? My cost fluctuates constantly at the mercy of Comcast. As you surely know the regions of my city have been doled out to

single internet service fiefdoms and we are beholden to who ever rules that fiefdom, in my case it's Comcast. It is a patently unfair situation with no competition. (You should FIX THIS SYSTEM, a related topic.) Not having a fair market open to competition, and it being impossible to purchase internet service at a reasonable price, I really do not wish to have the speed of my service put to the arbitrary whims of Comcast. I want to know my access to the internet and the speed of my service is not being manipulated. So YES broadband ISPs should be subject to oversight by an expert agency like the FCC. ENFORCE THE OPEN INTERNET and NET NEUTRALITY along with privacy protections, fair market pricing,

1269. Sokchandavy Cheng, Chicago, IL, 60641

As citizens of the United States that pay for our internet, it is important to be able to have full access to the entire internet, whether it be used for whatever we please. We demand full access to the entire internet on behalf of us, our friends and our family that have very little voice on demanding something this important. We demand access without having paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling. For freedom of leisure, voice, and our rights we demand access to the entire internet.

1270. Travis Dunsmuir, Chicago, IL, 60622

Net neutrality is extremely important. The internet needs to stay free and open.

1271. Ryan Wolff, Chicago, IL, 60647

It is critical that we preserve net neutrality. The internet must remain open so that all content creators have the same opportunity to reach their audience. Changing the rules will stand in the way of innovation, and it will prevent important content from reaching the people who need it.

1272. ISP, Chicago, IL, 60647

There is already a lack of options for consumers when it comes to ISPs in America, as only a few large companies control access to the internet in many parts of this country. Allowing these telecom monopolies to control even more aspects of the internet by promoting certain sites over others and further controlling broadband speeds will be detrimental to this country as a whole. For example, small businesses that operate online will be destroyed if companies like Amazon have preferential treatment, impacting the economy. Research in the scientific community will be jeopardized if for-profit sites are prioritized over smaller sites and online communities. Independent content creators and voices will be silenced by big networks. Keep the internet open and free! ISPs already have too much power and profit in America!

1273. Jovant Corona, Cicero, IL, 60804

don't take my interwebs :(

1274. Samuel Murphy, Chicago, IL, 60647
The internet is mankind's most important non-natural resource. We have crafted our entire future on it. By taking control of the internet and putting it in the hands of huge telecommunication companies who are only trying to limit its accessibility for profit, you will severely damage the future of the human race. We are already experiencing some of the most dire situations when it comes to our world as a whole, be it environmental, governmental or societal. Please don't make it worse by taking away something that is truly helping us move forward.
1275. Samantha Kyrkostas, Chicago, IL, 60625
I support strong net neutrality rules. Please don't let big business ruin it for the rest of us. As Pete Seeger said, "I think the world will be saved by a million little things" and strong net neutrality rules are some of those little things saving us all. Gimme a call if you want to talk further: 516-384-1169
1276. Jack McKeivitt, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net Neutrality is a must. Corporatization of the internet not only stifles competition, but also censors information. The people clearly don't want the internet to stay the way it is. Honestly, it should be a full utility in the modern day.
1277. David Araujo, Chicago, IL, 60647
Save the internet, do your job and listen to the taxpayers.
1278. Lauren Schobert, Chicago, IL, 60647
As a small business owner, my business and family's livelihood is dependent on a free and open access internet which allows my customers and clients the opportunity to utilize my web platform efficiently and without interference from large corporations attempts to throttle the functionality and visibility of my product. An open internet with equal access to information for all citizens is one of this country's greatest remaining claims to freedom, and our government cannot claim to support the basic tenets of our constitution without it, let alone our economy's dependence on the growth of small businesses like mine.
1279. Zach Gee, Chicago, IL, 60641
I grew up with a internet free of choice, expression and exploration. To suddenly halt it purely for profit will hinder generations to come and stagnate progress. Keep the internet free.
1280. Miles Lamborn, Chicago, IL, 60647
A free internet is the cornerstone of a modern democracy. Taking steps to end net neutrality is a heinous crime against humanity.
1281. Danny Pena, Chicago, IL, 60647

The best way to serve your country is to keep net neutrality.

1282. Zach Stuckmann, Chicago, IL, 60647
The internet is for everyone! It provides the entire world with important resources, education, rapid communication, safety nets, open and closed communities, and so much more. Most importantly it provides us with the freedom of choice, knowledge, and speech. KEEP IT OPEN! By regulating it, companies and governments are shutting off access or making it difficult for specific income classes and groups of people, leaving them without important resources or worldly experiences and knowledge. The world has become a better place because of net neutrality. Let's avoid this conversation and move in the CORRECT direction, not a capitalist one.
1283. Razi Khawaja, Chicago, IL, 60608
Do NOT get rid of net neutrality.
1284. Casey Francis, Chicago, IL, 60618
"The internet should remain free and open. Safeguards should be in place to protect everyday citizens, entrepreneurs, and activists from ISPs that may seek to silence for political or business reasons. Without strong net neutrality rules and enforcement, new businesses and voices will be unable to compete and unfairly silenced."
1285. Kenneth Keacher, Chicago, IL, 60647
The internet must remain open, secure, and free of throttling. With every fiber of my being, I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight.
1286. Roger Panella, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please do not change net neutrality rules to make the internet less open. The internet is an amazing resource for everyone on the planet and it's important for all of us that it remain as open as possible so we can all access the ideas, art, businesses, information, news and all other content that can be created and shared online.
1287. Phil Renda, Chicago, IL, 60647
I would like to keep the current FCC Title 2 Order and am for net neutrality (for all devices that access the internet). Opening this for bid/ pay to play will hinder new businesses and competition as well as hinder our freedom of speech and informational availability
1288. net, Chicago, IL, 60641
The stuff these people are trying to pull are beyond greedy. The internet is a public resource and it should remain free to the people of America forever.
1289. DeMaster Thomas, Chicago, IL, 60647

Internet needs to remain free and open to all

1290. Federico Gonzalez IV, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please do NOT let big businesses high jack the freedoms we have on the internet. Fairness is deserved by all on the internet. Please support net neutrality.
1291. Mark, chicago, IL, 60622
keep the government out of the internet
1292. Roberto Villegas, Chicago, IL, 60629
Keep Net Neutrality, isn't this America land of the free?
1293. Gabrielle Marin, Chicago, IL, 60639
Keep the internet free for all citizens, don't let private companies monopolize an important resource and restrict access according to their whims. Save Net Neutrality.
1294. NETNEUTRALITY, Chicago, IL, 60623
Please lets keep the internet free and accessible to all!
1295. Sarah Gray, Chicago, IL, 60641
Net neutrality is one of the most fundamental building blocks for our future democracy. Equal access to the internet should be a basic human right, and one step we can take right now is to keep it neutral from price gauging, censorship, slower speeds, or even blocking if a provider disagrees with what is on the site. Our country was founded on the idea of freedom of speech - and this is how we speak now. Our world is bigger, but also closer and more connected than ever because of the internet. Uncensored access to the internet is what will help ideas, values, hopes, and dreams spread farther and faster so that we can build a better society for everyone together. That should never be limited by a corporation's or government's idea of what we should and should not access and when. Ever.
1296. Ashley Lavelle, Melrose Park, IL, 60160
It is imperative that all people have access to an open and reliable internet. If net neutrality is done away with and ISPs are able to offer faster speeds when going to the websites of companies they are partnered with, and throttle their customers connection to sites the ISP is not affiliated with, this will massively impair smaller businesses and start ups. If there had been no net neutrality in the past, companies like Youtube and Netflix may never have had the chance to achieve as much success as they have. This especially impacts someone like myself, trying to find work as a freelance artist. I rely on potential clients being able to find my website and social media profiles. There's a much greater likelihood of clients opting to go with someone else if they are unable to access

my website quickly and efficiently. It's also important to point out that in many areas, consumers only have one, maybe two, ISPs to choose from. So the idea that we can just let the market decide whether or not to go with ISPs who will prioritize certain companies/websites while throttling access to others is ridiculous. Many consumers simply won't have the choice. Also, who's to say that ISPs won't use their power to censor websites/companies they don't agree with? An open internet, where people have the freedom to browse where and as they please is in the best interest of the American people.

1297. Austin Stenberg, Chicago, IL, 60641
I am fighting for net neutrality so that the world will become a more knowledgeable place, rather than another restriction.
1298. Wilson S Macho Alvarez, Chicago, IL, 60618
Net neutrality is necessary for commercial growth and for proper management of said markets.
1299. Leticia, Chicago, IL, 60623
The internet should be for everyone. It should be of access to everyone. Let it be free and not restricted. Let the internet be.
1300. Brittany Borkowski, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. The basis of our democracy is founded on free speech and the free flow of information. Without net neutrality our entire society is at risk of losing liberty, justice, and the pursuit of happiness. Net neutrality with title 2 oversight of ISPs should be a fundamental right of all American Citizens.
1301. Daniel Chavez, Chicago, IL, 60618
I want to have free and uncensored internet Daniel Chavez
1302. paul kaufmann, Chicago, IL, 60647
Hey keep the internet free please. It needs to be free for all. Don't screw this up.
1303. Eric Gallegos, Chicago, IL, 60647
I strongly support Net Neutrality. I am a website developer, and my livelihood could be directly affected by the proposed changes. I would like to see ISPs treated like a utility, similar to water and electricity. As we look to the future, we must guarantee that Internet access is as open and fair to all internet content providers as possible. A company that is an ISP as well as a content producer must not be allowed to prioritize their content above other producers. ISPs should not be allowed to sell user's internet history without opt-in permission. I believe a special internet provider enforcement division should be created to handle violations of these regulations and give out fines that are calculated based on percent of revenue. A 10%-of-revenue fine should not be unheard of.

1304. Dr. Mark A. Hoggarth, Brookfield, IL, 60513

I support net neutrality, and the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay (and slow lanes for everyone else) if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you for your time. Please contact me with any questions, Mark A Hoggarth, MS, DPT

1305. Benjamin P. Ryan, Chicago, IL, IL, 60647

Letting ISPs do nasty things to our freedom online? Get out of here.

1306. Giovanni Solis, Chicago, IL, 60647

Protecting the innovation of the internet as a public asset is fundamental to American innovation. Seeing that we already pay more than other countries for connection to high speed internet there is no need to give ISPs more ways to squeeze customers for money. The privacy concerns that are raised by the data mining and advertising ISPs take part is immoral for a service that is paid. Americans are footing the cost of big business profits at every spectrum of their daily life. The ability of a free web to help them engage in educated choice civic life should not be at the mercy of a few companies who have already proven to put profit over freedom and privacy. This is a clearly funded bill by big money

in politics, and any politician who is against Net Neutrality is voting themselves out of office.

1307. Seth McCormick, Chicago, IL, 60625

Keep Net Neutrality. Please. Don't roll back protections.

1308. George Nisbett, Chicago, IL, 60622

We only have access to one acceptably fast ISP, which is Comcast. The other services offered at my address are grossly inadequate, at least at the present time. For example, we briefly used a completely wireless provider recently, and it took as long to load a 30 minute Netflix show as it took to watch it. AT&T offers a "budget" or "economy" service, which simply means they don't have the infrastructure in my area to offer the fast broadband speeds we need. RCN is not available at our address. We only want internet access from Comcast. There are no other services that they provide which we are at all interested in. Cloud storage, email, and other services they offer (and might even be included by default in our service) are completely unnecessary for us. To us, it doesn't make sense to tie these more permanent services to your ISP, which will change more often, such as when we move. We've moved several times, and still kept the same email addresses, and stored our files with the same cloud storage provider. The thought that the companies we use for email and cloud storage might be too slow to use, or completely unavailable -- for any reason -- is frightening. That is why we trust those services to certain providers who we have chosen. The thought that those services might only be reasonably available from ISPs who have widespread infrastructure in place, is much more frightening, because it severely limits competition in those industries. Providing internet, and providing services over the internet, are different businesses. We go to the supermarket to buy food ingredients, so that we can cook for ourselves. We sign up with an ISP so that we can access the internet, and find for ourselves the best internet services to use.

1309. alex, Chicago, IL, 60647

Keeping the net neutral is of utmost importance. Humans main source of information, entertainment, and networking has become the world wide web and introducing a filtered down/corporately biased version of this free internet. When I purchase internet access, I don't want to only be paying for things the ISP wants me to see. Having free and clear access is important to support multiple sites and companies not just the ones with the most money already. The choice for internet is incredibly limited here in Chicago, Comcast has pretty much started a monopoly here in the city as the main provider. The issue of privacy protection should be the FCC's main goal. Giving the power over to the ISPs, reduces the role the FCC should play and gives them more free reign to do whatever they want without any repercussions. Thank you.

1310. Maria Virginia, Chicago, IL, 60625
To whom it may concern, Please do not repeal the FCC regulations on net neutrality, it will not be beneficial for anyone other than the large communication corporations and life is already expensive AF in Chicago. We have a sugar tax, an entertainment tax, pay for parking EVERYWHERE (Mayor Daley looking at you), absurd City Sticker fees, red light cameras, 5% new state tax, 11% tax on goods, and then there's an alcohol tax, etc... and don't get me started on student loans. Point is, we can't afford to pay for the internet providers that will provide the fastest speeds.
1311. Gabe Valenzuela, Chicago, IL, 60101
I want to to keep net neutrality for everyone. It allows for immense opportunities for innovation across the world.
1312. michael simms, Chicago, IL, 60618
Net nuetrality leads to business innovation and development. Allowing ISPs to rate site popularity and download rates gives the iSPs the regulatory power that should be the sole domain of the FCC and the people. It is essential for a good democracy. Don't give up your domain, FCC. You are the extension of the people. ISPs are not.
1313. Jeri Youngberg, Chicago, IL, 60647
I believe in net neutrality as a way to keep the internet fair. Please keep net neutrality so that the internet companies don't control which companies I support. Keeping net neutrality will allow small businesses to compete in the internet.
1314. Marc Ceruti, Chicago, IL, 60647
To those affiliated with the FFC,As a software developer for a small startup, I find net neutrality to be invaluable to the livelihood of my company. As an internet enthusiast, I have immense respect for the diversity and spontaneity of the internet as we know it.This country was founded on free market principles, and has mostly thrived on it. Unfortunately, a perhaps unforeseen consequence of this is that we now live in a time when just a small handful of corporations dominate more of the public livelihood than ever. It is in all of our best interest to curb that where possible, and keep our interests and voices diversified. We are a better nation when we are all heard, and all engaged. What better way to enforce this ideal than by keeping net neutrality?Thank you for reading.
Sincerely,A Proud American
1315. Daniel Vargas, Chicago, IL, 60651
In a time where data flows through our lives in rapid pace, what benefit do we have to allow others prioritize the content that they deem is important?
1316. Adolfo Ulloa, Cicero, IL, 60804

killing net neutrality is not a partisan issue. It is in an issue of corporations vs consumers, and if it is taken away from the American people, corporations will abuse their power to harm the American people just to line their own pockets.

1317. Bradley Treusdell, Chicago, IL, 60647

I am against limiting net neutrality. The internet is for the free dissemination of information. I won't have ISP's curating what I see and don't see. That's the cornerstone of censorship and gives too many opportunities to abuse massive amounts of power.

1318. Grace Pedersen, Chicago, IL, 60625

I pay for my internet service, the company I am paying should not have the right to control what websites I have access to, or at what speeds I have access to them.

1319. Aaron Johnson, Chicago, IL, 60647

The only way our democracy works is if we have free access to information. To limit people's access to information is a threat to our democracy and liberty. It is the role of the government to protect our rights and freedoms which include protecting net neutrality and free and open access to the information of the internet.

1320. David Durham, Chicago, IL, 60618

Please do not repeal the Title II classification for ISPs. Do not let ISPs prioritize traffic.

1321. Damon Huang, Chicago, IL, 60632

Hello, my name is Damon and I implore you to keep Net Neutrality. Not everyone has equal access to all internet service providers since not everyone lives in areas with good reception and every service provider imaginable. Some people do not have a choice between what internet carrier they can purchase. Even if there was multiple providers in an area, due to speed limitations, most of the time there is only one available choice that is most cost effective. Furthermore, if ISPs can cater their services to favor certain social and entertainment platforms, majority of the people in the United States would not be able to access their favorite websites. Most of the people in our country are living in poverty and entertainment is their only temporary grace from reality. Please maintain Net Neutrality for those that are living in poverty and for those in the future generations.

1322. Ashley Poynter, Chicago, IL, 60647

As an entrepreneur that offers digital marketing services and uses content marketing to promote my company, I'm appalled that Internet Service Providers (ISPs) like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon could even have the slightest opportunity to discriminate in favor or against certain Internet content and

services. Net neutrality ensures that Americans can access the information they want and need over the internet without favoritism. Allowing ISPs to "fast lane" payers and "slow lane" the rest significantly inhibits the average person's ability to obtain information from their preferred content providers and resources. Repealing the 2015 network neutrality rules and the legal footing (Title II of the Communications Act of 1934) in which they are grounded would enable ISPs to line their pockets at the cost of everyday citizens and censor the internet according to their whims (purely based on profit). It's legalized theft and censorship of knowledge. It's a disgusting distortion of what the Internet stands for and what it enables. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Political leanings aside, America is meant to be great. So let's make it great, not make it *pay* by allowing greedy ISPs to stuff their own coffers while everyday people are denied content, information, and data that helps improve the lives of everyone. Thank you, Ashley

1323. Omar Sandoval, Chicago, IL, 60623

As a private citizen, who has to deal with a terrible ISP on a daily basis; I would prefer if they didn't have total control of my online experience. ISPs, such as Comcast and Time Warner, already have a terrible reputation for deceiving the general public with their shady practices, such as throttling and data capping. Keeping Net Neutrality in place would ensure that these types of companies don't profit by charging more for less and/or given the ability to drive out their competition.

1324. Kathryn Gourley, Chicago, IL, 60647

The internet has become so central to modern day pursuits, expressions of identity and pursuit of knowledge. It is hard for me to image that there is even a question on the table regarding net neutrality. This country is already being demolished by inequality and segregation - don't make it even worse.

1325. Tyler Wilson, Chicago, IL, 60647

The Internet is a form of expression and way more complex than cable tv. It

should not be regulated as such.

1326. Cristen Brutzkus, Chicago, IL, 60647
I believe net neutrality is extremely important and that the internet needs to remain free. Allowing ISPs to control your content for their benefit only serves to limit availability to content the consumer has a right to see, reduces choice, will increase costs for a service that people already feel they are paying too much for and stifle innovation and information sharing. Please continue supporting net neutrality and all those internet entrepreneurs who depend on it. Thank you.
1327. Andrew Shelton, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support net neutrality. Please enforce title 2 with ISPs
1328. John Carlee, Chicago, IL, 60618
The internet is the present and future. Allowing corporations to control access will have devastating effects on the general public. Please side with the people, not rich corporations. Thank you for your time.
1329. Robert Bratney, Chicago, IL, 60618
It would really be great if the federal government could move on from trying to ruin the internet for everyone but ISPs. The internet is and needs to remain a public utility to protect consumers from greedy, wealthy corporations.
1330. Marissa Neiberg, Riverside, IL, 60546
I specifically support strong net neutrality protections backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. This is very important.
1331. Eliot Zeissler, Chicago, IL, 60618
I'm staunchly opposed to repealing net neutrality. My belief is that changing the current rules would suppress new competitors from entering the marketplace by both opening up opportunities for service providers to throttle any content competing with their own business entities. Perhaps also by pricing out smaller or newer companies from being able to compete with larger or more established companies. Either way, those outcomes seem like a far greater danger to capitalism than not allowing service providers to do whatever they feel like doing.
1332. Peter Moser, Chicago, IL, 60647
Everyone will suffer if Net neutrality is gone. This can do serious damage to small businesses and large businesses alike, hurting job growth and the American economy as a whole. This should not be a partisan issue. It negatively affects every American. Net neutrality is a right.
1333. Isabel Buchanan, Chicago, IL, 60608

FCC, I care about the open internet and competition online. We the people should be able to choose which websites and apps are best, and have an open internet for all. Please have and keep strong net neutrality rules in place. Represent us, the people, not the interests of corporations or private interests. Thank you.

1334. steven green, chicago, IL, 60618

stop and desist. we won net neutrality whats wrong with you all STOP THE GREED. Everyne should have an equal chance to be seen on the internet no one should be able to say slow that speed up that one BLOCK THAT ONE HE BELIEVES IN FREE SPEACH!!

1335. Zbigniew Kusnierz, Chicago, IL, 60647

I would like to maintain title 2 over ISP in an effort to keep the internet open and accessible to all. The internet is as important to many people as water and power and it should be treated like the utilities I mentioned.

1336. Laura Snowden, Chicago, IL, 60647

I am a teacher and net neutrality allows me to work from home, update my students grades quickly, show appropriate videos in class and download curriculum easily and efficiently. Without net neutrality, my students and I would suffer and the quality of their education and resources available to support their education would diminish. I get wireless internet so I can have access to email and high speeds to download material for my classroom. Although I could switch providers if my ISP is discriminating, I only have two options here in Chicago, ATT and Comcast. I think the FCC should play an important role in regulating and overseeing ISPs and I don't want that to change. Please consider the impact you could have on millions of teachers and support net neutrality. Thank you.

1337. Matt Newman, Chicago, IL, 60618

The internet is the most open and dynamic public forum we have ever had. To regulate it and allow private ISPs to determine what websites and services should receive priority traffic is terrible disservice to the freedom of speech and expression that all ought to be entitled to.

1338. Beth Mcdonald, Chicago, IL, 60618

I pay my ISP for a fast, neutral connection to the internet, which allows me to use the web freely to find work, share my work with others, and keep in touch with friends and family all over the world. Moreover, I don't have a choice in my ISP -- if Comcast is allowed to discriminate and prioritize certain web traffic over others, or if it decides to hike rates far beyond what I can afford, I cannot "let the market speak" and find a new ISP. I work from home, and such price gouging would cause me to lose my job, quite literally! As such, I believe

strongly that the FCC has a role in regulating broadband internet access, and ensuring that ISPs provide fast access to all of the internet, not just large sites who can pay for better bandwidth.

1339. Jovino Recendez , Cicero, IL, 60804
The Net Neutrality rules are extremely important. The internet is a wonderful place where people can interact and learn. All on their own with their own choices. This should remain like this, and not have other people control what we use the internet for. The internet should be for anyone who wants to make the next big thing and not be restricted to the only big things we have today. Jovino Recendez
1340. Patrick Mangan, CPA, Chicago, IL, 60618
The ideal American society is one on an equal playing field. In contemporary times this is, needless to say, not the case. Feeding net-neutrality to the wolves is a step in the wrong direction.
1341. Joceline Cervantes, Chicago, IL, 60632
Internet Service Providers must treat all traffic equally. Access to the entire internet, free of paid prioritization, blocking and throttling is demanded along with all rules applying to our home and mobile connections equally. Websites like Skype, twitter, Facebook etc. are able to keep us communicated. We will not allow our freedom of speech to be sold.
1342. Omar Rivera, Chicago, IL, 60647
The internet is not owned by any one person or government, nobody should have the right to manipulate it for their own favor.
1343. Edward Escamilla, Chicago, IL, 60608
I do not feel comfortable with where this is headed. It will affect me personally and for my future business
1344. Ricardo, Chicago, IL, 60618
Keep the internet free for all to access without destroying Net Neutrality!
1345. John Knapp, Chicago, IL, 60622
Jon Snow will take the thrown
1346. Erik Garica, Chicago, IL, 60618
Dear FCC, The internet matters to me because I work for a company that demands a open and free internet to remote to different customers and assets. If I don't have the same speed and access to get to my customers that my competitors have I will lose my job. Please respect the current rules and keep net neutrality in place.

1347. Janet Herrera, Chicago, IL, 60608

I am an educator and the open Internet is of utmost importance to me. I get my email, cloud storage, web hosting, and other services from someone other than my ISP (internet service provider). If my ISP is discriminating, I cannot easily choose another. I think the FCC (Federal Communications Commission) should have some authority over broadband ISPs to ensure that consumers are not harmed. ISPs should not be overseen only by the FTC (Federal Trade Commission).

1348. William Ridgeway, Chicago, IL, 60647

The ISPs have never been interested in serving consumers. I have access to TWO ISPs where I live. That isn't because of title II, it's because the market is broken and always has been. Title II is the only thing protecting us from the ISPs banishing any content providers they don't own to internet hell. Please, as an economist by training, the whole "competition solves all our problems" rhetoric needs to be better understood. Competition is great and pro-consumer, but it isn't an issue here. There is no competition in these markets. The barriers to entry are too large. The markets are captured. AT&T and Time Warner aren't hurting. They don't need the government's help. We do. Thanks, William Ridgeway

1349. Jason Smetters, Melrose Park, IL, 60160

The designation of public utility for the internet is applicable as it delivers content over different kinds of networks, but should be treated equally regardless of agreements that are created by content delivery services (Netflix, Hulu, Youtube, etc) and Internet Service Providers (ISP - AT&T, Comcast, Time Warner, and etc). I should not have to pay for business agreements or the lack thereof. Failure to do so will exacerbate the ISP monopoly problem with higher prices and less choices.

1350. Lana Dewit, Chicago, IL, 60622

I am for net neutrality. Please keep the internet free from corporate AND government control.

1351. Daniel Mouradian, Chicago, IL, 60641

Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Without net neutrality, big companies could censor your voice and make it harder to speak up online. Net neutrality has been called the "First Amendment of the Internet." Net neutrality is fundamental to user choice. Your proposal to weaken existing net neutrality rules is unacceptable. It would weaken competition, stifle innovation, undermine user choice, and threaten free speech online. Sincerely, Dan Mouradian

1352. Jonathan Lopez, Chicago, IL, 60623

People deserve privacy.

1353. KJ Cummings, Chicago, IL, 60622
KEEP THE NET FREE, CONTENT FLOWING, FLOW TIME EQUAL- NO CENSORING, NO FEES. Thank you!KJ Cummings
1354. Dixon A. Ortiz, Chicago, IL, 60639
Keep the internet neutral. Everyone deserves an equal right and flexibility to the internet and all its accessibility. It is also our american right to choose which ISP and where to go on the internet . By changing the laws or rules, you are essentially monopolizing and dictating our freedom on the internet.
1355. Ricky Rico, Melrose Park, IL, 60160
the internet is the best way of communicating and sharing, why limit our communication and sharing?
1356. Erik Burciaga, Chicago, IL, 60639
Net Neutrality rules are extremely important to me, and millions of other citizens like me. By repealing these rules, you are limiting the information accessible to each and everyone of us. Information that we have the right to see as consumers and US citizens. Thus, limiting free speech, which is absolutely absurd. We stand together today as one voice against these changes. Thank you for taking the time to read this.
1357. Fabian Cabrera, Chicago, IL, 60634
Like, c'mon you guys.Fabian Cabrera
1358. Benjamin Schmitt, Brookfield, IL, 60513
Keep net neutrality. Everyone should have equal opportunity on the internet, as the inventors wanted.
1359. Rebecca Headrick, Chicago, IL, 60618
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!!! Don't take away the people's freedom and hand it to providers. Not fair.
1360. Dan VerDuin, Chicago, IL, 60625
I can't believe I have to write this, but I'm not surprised. The internet is a medium for every human with access to have EQUAL access to anything on the internet. That means individuals with little money or multinational corporations have the same access to speed and content. Adding a monetary component to speed and access will crowd out the smaller consumers ultimately reducing demand. The larger companies will still have the same access, and it will not increase demand. The only thing this does is make ISP's more money. It does not help anyone else. Innovation will not slow if net neutrality is kept; it is not going to impede innovation if kept. Removing net neutrality is purely a

capitalistic move to profit off of companies or users who can price others out of the market. This is not acceptable. This is not how free markets work. Anyone deserves access to the same speed and network as anyone else.

1361. Jake Simmons, Chicago, IL, 60639

We need open and equal playing field internet and not greedy corporations take the internet hostage and let some greedy multimillion dollar corporation control the speed of our internet. So that's why need some heavy internet protection and that's why net neutrality is so important to keep. So keep title II

1362. Noe Sarmiento, Chicago, IL, 60641

I would appreciate it if the major companies can keep their greasy thumbs out of my internet soup. Please and thank you. P.S: You should be ashamed that this is a problem in the first place.

1363. Wesley Lethem, Savannah Jubie, Chicago, IL, 60608

Net Neutrality is an essential human right. The internet has developed past a service and for the good of humanity must be protected.

1364. Net neutrality, Brookfield, IL, 60513

Net neutrality is cornerstone of free internet and it should be protected from corporate interests.

1365. Miguel Angel Rodriguez, Berwyn, IL, 60402

I am against having to pay extra fees to visit websites that will be throttled by my ISP if this passes. It will stifle small businesses and start ups that don't have the capital to compete against the major corporations that can afford to pay the price the ISPs demand.

1366. John Puckett, CHICAGO, IL, 60618

Maintain title 2, existing net neutrality protection

1367. dante guinazzo, chicago, IL, 60618

The internet is vital to the exercise of free speech in modern america. allowing companies to limit access to the internet inherently gives them control over who can say what on the internet. this is the very definition of abridging free speech. i ask you to hold up one of the most basic principles of the united states, and defend free speech. we cannot have a democracy without it.

1368. Alice corcoran, chicago, IL, 60647

do not go back on these laws

1369. Sarah Rader, Chicago, IL, 60641

Net neutrality is essential in maintaining freedom of speech, thought, expression and ideas. It is essential to a democracy.

1370. Dan armgardt, Chicago, IL, 60647
The internet should be a basic human right! Net neutrality is a must! I DO NOT AGREE WITH ENDING NET NEUTRALITY! If you truly care about what the general populus wants you will fight against big cable Telecom companies (at&t, Verizon etc) from controlling and taxing the internet.Dan armgardt
1371. Lauren L, Chicago, IL, 60647
The internet HAS to stay open. We all use the internet for our own reasons. Some of this is purely recreational, some of it is for work, some of it is for learning. We all benefit from an open and neutral experience. We purchase service from our ISP not to see or visit the sites THEY want us to visit but to see what WE want to view. We pay for these services and speeds so that we can carve out our own experiences online and not be fed what our ISP thinks we deserve both in terms of content and speed. All content should be available to us and not skewed by who our provider is and it should not be skewed by favoritism. If we care at all about the internet and all the services it provides to everyone we would leave it as it is.
1372. Matthew A. Sanchez, Chicago, IL, 60623
I am in support of an 'Open Internet'. I am filing to keep Net Neutrality.
1373. Arturo Tapia, chicago, IL, 60639
Do not do it!
1374. Omar Guerrero, Cicero, IL, 60804
The free internet is at risk. The FFC should NOT repeal Net Neutrality and that's because it allows internet providers to control the content we view and make you pay to view more. As someone who works with internet content I do NOT want biased limitations.
1375. Nicholas Dykert, CHICAGO, IL, 60647
Getting rid of net neutrality is a huge mistake. Comcast and other ISPs have terrible service. Comcast has a virtual monopoly in Chicago as they are the only available broadband supplier (ATT offers satellite service). Comcast is terrible. Don't enable them to be worse.
1376. Geoff Bashaw, Chicago, IL, 60618
The internet allows people and entities to connect throughout the world, please don't regulate. Keep the internet open, keep the internet great!
1377. rudy villa, Melrose park, IL, 60160
I believe internet is a public utility and should be treated as one. It is unreasonable that I have a cap on my internet and it pisses me off that now I have to worry about my websites being throttled! Do your job and stop lining the pockets of those greedy bastards!

1378. Johnathyn Martinez, Chicago, IL, 60647
I am against Internet censorship.
1379. Zorin Dobson, Chicago, IL, 60618
It is important to me that Net Neutrality be upheld because even though I live in a big city like Chicago, there is only one provider with speeds above 5 MBps.
1380. Paul Kirby, Brookfield, IL, 60513
The internet is the most elegant, dynamic, and powerful tool ever conceived by our fragile race. In recent years it has begun to mimic that of a collective consciousness for all mankind, a place where no matter your social class, upbringing, heritage, or intellect, you have to ability to collaborate, entertain, and enjoy all of our species' thoughts and ideas. But more importantly than all of that, it is a breeding ground for beautiful ideas, important discoveries, and advantageous inventions. Every blow to net neutrality is a blow to humankind's rate of advancement. I am repulsed by the greed of the ISP's worldwide, and baffled that there are humans on this planet that would restrict portions the internet to fill their own pockets. You will be effectively shunning the lower income communities from important idea-driven sites such as facebook, github, stackoverflow, etc, if these attacks on net neutrality carry through.
1381. Casey Runyan, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please continue to protect the internet as a public resource and keep it open. I live in the city of Chicago, and we have only two broadband options, Comcast and AT&T. Many areas of the city only have Comcast. Both are terrible, and fully take advantage of the fact that we as consumers have no other options. Our service is terrible, and the pricing is absurd. Net neutrality has a direct impact on me in my profession life. I work for a company that operates entirely online, helping consumers save money. Without net neutrality, we will see diminished competition in the retail space, with fewer opportunities for consumers to save. Thank you for reading.
1382. Mavrick Goodrich, Chicago, IL, 60647
It is not the FCC's mandate to stifle competition and innovation, which is exactly what would happen if net neutrality is regulated away. This stands against American economic values.
1383. Morgan Caputo, Chicago, IL, 60647
"Give me your tired, your poor, Your huddled masses yearning to breathe free, The wretched refuse of your teeming shore. Send these, the homeless, tempest-tossed, to me: I lift my lamp beside the golden door." The American dream can only live if we all have equal rights to this new horizon.
1384. Jess Mire, Chicago, IL, 60647
With all of my immediate family states and countries away from me,

applications like FaceBook, Skype, and other social media websites have kept me close and in contact with them. By getting rid of Net Neutrality, I would lose the ease of access I have to stay close to my family.

1385. L Kahn, Chicago, IL, 60618

I support net neutrality backed by strong title 2 oversight. Please do not un-democratize the most important information sharing capacity we've ever had as a species.

1386. Morgan Kinney, Chicago, IL, 60618

I support net neutrality backed with strong Title 2 oversight.

1387. Mauricio Alviter, Cicero, IL, 60804

This is for the good of the people. We, the public, use the internet every day; and it is a useful tool in our lives. The effect of ending net neutrality will be similar to western civilizations greatest fear, that is censorship. This will cause the internet to lean towards a certain agenda and will not be fair to both parties and will only cause an unbalance. We know that allowing this to happen, the internet will become unpopular and will become hated. Similar to the mainstream media at this time. If we can't choose what we can watch, then we'll leave it behind. The internet was free, and it should always be free.

Forever.Mauricio Alviter

1388. Jonathan Ochoa, Cicero, IL, 60804

I remember growing up and wondering why my parents couldn't just find a better, affordable and reliable internet provider. How hard could it have been? But now that I'm currently paying for my own internet, I've come to realize that the industry does it's best to stop that easy access and affordable service in a country that should be on the top of the charts when it comes to speeds, access and affordability. So why are we even considering allowing these corporations even more power? Net Neutrality will help against the monopolization of the open and free net, while also providing a safeguard to people's information. The Internet has become such a huge benefit to our society, and it's importance to our lives could almost be classified as a basic right at this point. Keep the power within the masses, and not in the hands of the greedy.

1389. Stephen Pipkin, Chicago, IL, 60618

Comcast is the worst company on Earth and I hate that I have to use them. But I do. Do not cave to their bullshit.

1390. Alisha Ciardi, Chicago, IL, 60608

To whom it may concern, You know Net Neutrality is what keeps the internet fair and open. This isn't a hard decision. Do the right thing.Sincerely, Alisha Ciardi
Alisha Ciardi

1391. John Wilmes, Chicago, IL, 60647
Keep the internet fair and democratic.
1392. Liv Seidel, Chicago, IL, 60651
in support of retaining net neutrality
1393. Ariel Frey, Chicago, IL, 60622
I believe that everyone should have the freedom to visit the websites they desire. As a business owner, it's pertinent that my website receives the same accessibility as every other website.
1394. Richard Drew, Chicago, IL, 60608
ISP shouldn't not choose what content is available to people on the internet. The users of the internet should decide what content is best.
1395. Kyle, Chicago, IL, 60639
Open internet!
1396. Phillip Cantor, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please protect true net neutrality. Do not allow ISPS, cellular carriers or other companies to throttle, block or otherwise degrade content for business reasons. All content should be carried by these companies in a fully neutral way. Thank you.
1397. Tristan Kotlicky, Brookfield, IL, 60513
If you are considering eliminating net neutrality, go fuck yourself. I know I won't be able to influence your decision, so that's all I have to say.
1398. Sarah McMillan, Chicago, IL, 60618
I would like to express my support of Net Neutrality. The internet should not be controlled by large corporations. This prevents citizens from having access to information and is wrong. Thank you
1399. Feliciano Martinez, Chicago, IL, 60623
The internet is key to our society and future
1400. Nathaniel Garcia, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please keep net neutrality. Keeping the internet open and actually usable for all is necessary for a healthy and informed society!!!! You are literally banking on isolating millions of people if you do not.
1401. Erin dashnaw, Chicago, IL, 60647
Keep all ISPs Title II. Don't fuck over the little guy for the sake of a Verizon job after Trump's 2020 loss.

1402. Andrew L Neher, Chciago, IL, 60639
Keep Net Neutrality!!!!!! Anything else would be folly for a myriad of complicated reasons. KEEP THE INTERNET FREE AND OPEN.
1403. Josh Dihle, Chicago, IL, 60623
The proposed changes are deeply unfair. This is profiteering. Do not implement!
1404. Frank Thomas Obrochta Jr., Melrose Park, IL, 60160
We believe that we need net neutrality because without it a single company can make a monopoly by basically owning the internet. There is no reason that a company should be able to strong arm and extort it's customers just to use a site that should be free. The world wide web should stay neutral and available to all. In this growing world and technologically advancing world net neutrality should be treated like a basic human right that shouldn't be infringed on.
1405. Lucas O'Neil, Chicago, IL, 60647
Access to the Internet is a right that should not be limited and diminished in quality by the greed of corporations. Limiting internet and the speed and quality can be a limitation of freedom for many. Freedom of communication and freedom of expression or access. It is essential that Net Neutrality remain intact.
1406. Matthew Binswanger, Chicago, IL, 60622
We need to keep net neutrality for the future of our species. Just because we can over-monetize pretty much everything, doesn't mean we should.
1407. Art Zarko, chicago, IL, 60623
please do not pass this bill. The internet is a great equalizer that makes information accessible to the masses. Any reduction to internet access would be a tragedy.
1408. Christopher Jepson, Chicago, IL, 60647
Information is power; information should be available to all equally. Protect the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) and keep the internet neutral!
1409. Kasey Ostarello, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net neutrality is essentially for the equality of mankind. It's like the public library where any and all are welcome to learn. Revoking net neutrality means the rich own the poor by forcing whatever content they want the masses to absorb to be prioritized and more easily accessible.
1410. barb grajewski, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net neutrality is not optional nor cancellable. It is part of our Constitutional rights of freedom of speech.

1411. Laura Cardozo, Chicago, IL, 60622
I support strong net neutrality rules, and believe ISPs should be regulated under Title II. The internet should not be used as a tool to keep those that can not afford it out. That accessibility is the beautiful thing about the internet, and as a citizen I hope that my comments will be taken into consideration.
1412. Net Neutrality, Riverside, IL, 60546
Net neutrality is the basis of the creativity, inspiration, and passion that corporations draw upon for their own marketing campaigns. Not only would eliminating the equal views playing field of the internet decrease content for the individual user, worsen overall experience on the internet, dramatically lower the buyers/users on the internet, and ruin one of the single greatest creations of all of human existence. But it would also completely ruin a base to draw from for the very companies that desire to do this. So there is literally no logical benefit for anyone to put through this legislation.
1413. Shlomo, Chicago, IL, 60647
A free and open internet is an incredibly important thing for all Americans. To take it away would be a travesty and the people trying to do so should be ashamed of themselves.
1414. Sonya Lorelle, Chicago, IL, 60618
I am writing to ask you to protect net neutrality. This is vitally important to everyone in America, for business, for growth, and for innovation. Sonya Lorelle
1415. Sam Drew, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please keep the internet an equal place with access to any and all information. Do not make it a pay to play area.
1416. Amelia Van Beek, Chicago, IL, 60622
I believe a free and unhindered internet is important to keep the playing field equal for businesses and access to information available to all who go online. As an artist and creator, access to multiple platforms and outlets for my work allows me the greatest possibilities of being able to collaborate on and disseminate works that I am truly passionate about. I believe allowing ISP's to decide which sites to favor acts as a kind of censorship which hinders our freedom of speech rights. I believe it will also push the market more towards overly centralized and monopolistic arrangements that will stagnate and cripple our economy and the ability of new and small businesses to become established and stay competitive.
1417. Jose Alvarez, Chicago, IL, 60618
I care about the open internet and competition online. I do not want the FCC and the internet service providers to limit the people's access to the websites

they want to view or experience. Save net neutrality.

1418. Diane Silva, Riverside, IL, 60546

I urge the FCC to retain net neutrality including both Title 1 & 2 provisions. Net neutrality is critical to ensuring equal access, supportive of businesses of all sizes and essential for sourcing innovation in all sectors, regardless of size or resource. As a librarian, one of the tenets of my job is the belief that equal access to information is one of the tenets of our society that make us stronger and limitations to that access weaken us as global innovation leaders. Please continue to support net neutrality including both Title 1 & 2 provisions.

1419. Laurence Weinstein, Chicago, IL, 60647

Keep net neutrality. Do not put companies in the position whereby the sell bandwidth to the highest bidders. This will inevitably result in de facto restriction of free speech, as well as raise costs for all consumers, without any benefit whatsoever to the American people.

1420. Oscar Salgado, Chicago, IL, 60623

Restoring Internet Freedom is a way forward for individuals as apposed to companies. By limiting internet usage and speeds you hinder us from seeking out answers and creating new possibilities. From a creative standpoint inspiration is drawn from an unlimited amount of websites and blogs that i search for daily. If that were to slow or be removed completely i wouldn't have the inspiration to create, communicate and facilitate messages of my art or designs to my audience and viewers. By limiting the power of communication you are sending us back to the stone age.

1421. Megan Villareal, Chicago, IL, 60609

DO NOT DO THIS!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! It already costs enough to pay for internet and cable, also, no one should regulate the internet, this makes America more like countries that control their citizens, which would be HORRIBLE!!! Everyone knows President Trump enjoys the Net Neutrality of Twitter...and honestly, I don't think he knows what he's doing most of the time and probably doesn't know anything about Net Neutrality.

1422. Michelle Livas, Chicago, IL, 60641

I am a public school teacher on the west side of Chicago. I use the internet for research, for lesson plan ideas, for access to resources that my VERY LIMITED BUDGET does not cover. My classroom serves over 150 students per day of various backgrounds, skill levels, mental abilities, and languages. I cannot rely on just one textbook resource from 15 years ago to reach my students in terms of engagement and appropriate educational levels. I use the internet for resources, not only text resources, but also for visual learners - whether it is a source of photos, political cartoons, diagrams, documentary films, historical

interviews, etc. Free internet usage is vital to a successful educational environment and the impact of losing that access would be detrimental to American students.

1423. Miguel Mejia, Chicago, IL, 60632

Net neutrality is very important to me and a great many others.

1424. Stephanie Smith, Chicago, IL, 60608

The Internet has been a daily fixture of my life, has helped me gain income, and has connected me with friends and family across the world. The FCC's role should be to protect the average US citizen, not cater to ISP bullying. We need oversight so that these ISP don't gauge us, so our privacy isn't invaded, and so that the internet remains accessible to all. Don't let ISPs hold the internet hostage!

1425. Manny, Chicago, IL, 60632

The internet needs to be a free place where people can express their feelings for certain things and let people be themselves.

1426. Laura Forte, Chicago, IL, 60647

The Internet is no longer a luxury or a niche hobby, but a necessity to being part of modern society. Allowing ISPs free reign to prioritize traffic, charge differential pricing for different packets, etc. would be highly detrimental to the public's ability to access the web. It will increase both direct costs to the consumer (who will suffer from lack of competition and tiered pricing to access all non-ISP owned web content) and indirect costs to the consumer (in the form of virtual extortion of businesses like Netflix, who will pass costs on to consumers). These cost increases will reduce access to the Internet, and also allow ISPs to exert undue influence on consumers' access to political and other information. It will prevent smaller players from establishing a foothold in the competitive startup space, entrenching major players at the expense of small disruptors. This will erode the US's dominance in tech innovation. Gutting net neutrality benefits no one but the ISPs, and provides immeasurable harm to consumers and industry alike. If you are pro-innovation, pro-competition, and pro-consumer, the Internet should be treated as a public utility, with equal access to all types and sources of information. Gutting net neutrality would allow ISPs, who already enjoy functional monopolies over 61% of consumers, to further extort consumers, web-based businesses, and high tech companies (the fastest-growing, most innovative, and most forward-looking sector of our economy).

1427. Nyssa Tatosian, Chicago, IL, 60634

No one company should have the ability to pay a premium and have access to a competitor throttled and/or blocked entirely. ISPs should not have the ability to

block and/or throttle certain websites or subjects unless there is a question of legality. The internet is such a vast, beautiful, creation and when we start portioning it out to the highest bidders we risk losing the freedom it provides every day citizens to work, learn, and play.

1428. Enrique R, Chicago, IL, 60639
If I am paying for a service I should received all the access!
1429. Christopher Granado, Elmwood park, IL, 60707
please keep net neutrality, letting the corps take control will change the world for the worse. dictating all our inspirational and creative beliefs.
please!Christopher Granado
1430. Thomas Paquette, Chicago, IL, 60647
I work for a data analytics software startup. We aim to provide DIY solutions to small companies and individuals. If internet service providers were allowed to slow the speed of delivery of our product, we would not be able to provide low-cost solutions to customers. Preserve Net Neutrality - Keep ISPs under Title II control!
1431. Edgar, Chicago, IL, 60623
We live in a global economy, which is why it is imperative that we keep the internet open and competitive. Net neutrality is absolutely necessary and fair, and helps stop the monopolization of the internet. Making a business out of it will only hurt our economy and the fabric of the digital era we now live in by endangering innovation and sticking consumers with and unnecessary the bill.
1432. Anna Souders, Brookfield, IL, 60513
I support net neutrality and the people's right to choose where they surf the internet.
1433. ulisses, Chicago, IL, 60608
Why take something away from us and yourself. millions of online schools and works will be shutdown.
1434. Alex A, Chicago, IL, 60639
The internet is a place where freedom is at your hands and to limit what people can do will be the same as limiting their mind and will be a wrong move. Most internet speed is bad enough as it is and to make that even worse would cause an epidemic and may cause a loss of value with ISPs. Freedom of the internet should stay the way it is across all platforms including mobiles, handhelds, wifi, and cable.
1435. Cynthia Cruz, Berwyn, IL, 60402
Cynthia CruzCynthia Cruz

1436. Richard Thunell, Chicago, IL, 60647
I believe in an open internet. Please do not roll back the net neutrality laws and allow the internet to remain fair and competitive. The internet is a utility, and should be treated as such.
1437. patrick winters, Chicago, IL, 60647
You MUST keep net neutrality in place as it stands. Giving ISPs the right to take money from companies to allow their sites to be faster will do nothing but hurt consumers and make the rich richer. Stand up for whats right. Stand up for the American people instead of the wealthy 1% whose sole purpose in wanting this is to make even more money
1438. Erin Drain, Chicago, IL, 60651
I use and expect the internet the provide me with everything I want to access at fast speeds from the entities I choose. Do not limit my choice or my access by rolling back these rules. In my current apartment, I had TWO choices for an ISP. That's it. Things are limited already. Your role is to protect consumers, not corporations.
1439. Charles otto, Chicago, IL, 60641
I am for net neutrality, and we know who profits and who pulls the strings and we reject their offerCharles otto
1440. Gabriel Ortega, Cicero, IL, 60804
Hello, I strongly oppose this new law against net neutrality. All this will do is make corporations gain more control of our daily lives. Please I strongly advice to reject this proposal.
1441. Tristan Mortensen, Berwyn, IL, 60402
The internet should remain an open resource for all to use without paying extra for specific services. It is not in line with the fundamentals of this country to allow companies to charge extra for users to access specific content.
1442. Juan Diaz, Chicago, IL, 60608
I ask that you gentlemen invoke what little morality and integrity you have left and do the right thing.Greed might provide euphoria for a while in life but at the end when our time is up what's amassed doesn't mean poop.Do the right thing gentlemen, even though I feel as if I'm asking the devil to kneel down and pray for the world.Juan Diaz
1443. Daniela Leonard, Chicago, IL, 60618
Please save net neutrality. All content should be treated the same-- not pay to play!
1444. Conor K, Chicago, IL, 60647

Seriously, the open internet is the only good thing we have, the only thing we all share. Also, fuck Comcast.

1445. Eric Garfias Jr., Chicago, IL, 60641

This is a country where we have freedom and are able to do as we please. By ending net neutrality, you are taking away that right. America is not the country it used to be anymore. Please don't make it worse. Keep net neutrality. Eric Garfias Jr.

1446. Paul Petrosyan, Chicago, IL, 60608

The internet was created by the science community, it is not a plaything for corporations but a step in unifying the human race. We have the potential to provide access to tremendous knowledge to people who have never previously had access to it. By gutting net neutrality and handing it over to major corporations you would be undoing decades of progress. You would be depriving people of what is already theirs, what possible good could this do? This is unacceptable. As a developer and a citizen of the free world I implore you to stop.

1447. Daniel Liliensfeld, Chicago, IL, 60647

I am a "Boomer" and I've experienced the start of the internet and the wonderful benefits I now make use of. Lets keep it free from governmental and big business meddling !

1448. Shira Zisook, Chicago, IL, 60647

Net Neutrality must be protected! Don't let companies or the government dictate consumer use! Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech, it supports small businesses, and makes our democracy more robust. This is insanity!

1449. Sophie Huckabay, Chicago , IL, 60609

Net neutrality spurs entrepreneurship and allows everyone the opportunity to pursue their interests and voice their opinions. Powerful corporation already control so much, I urge you to protect this one level playing field we still have.

1450. Joseph Sutton, Berwyn, IL, 60402

Net neutrality IS freedom. The choice as a voice, as a consumer, as a citizen should not be restricted by "maximizing shareholder value".

1451. Sara Brodzinsky, Chicago, IL, 60623

I do not support the proposed rules. I support net neutrality. I do not want big companies to control access to internet content or determine which sites have priority.

1452. Concerned Citizen, Chicago, IL, 60622

I'm in college and I need the freedom to look for the information I need to learn.

I don't want my possibilities for learning to be restricted.

1453. Evan Chandler, Chicago, IL, 60618
Without protection of net neutrality, our freedoms will be more restricted and it will be more difficult for small businesses to reach their audience to grow our economy. Instead the big corporations benefit and gain more power.
1454. David Fleming, Chicago, IL, 60625
I am a comic creator who uses the internet to support myself. Hurting net neutrality would take away my business and limit my audience.
1455. Carl Rosen, Chicago, IL, 60623
Net neutrality is important for democracy.
1456. Gemma Petrie, Chicago , IL, 60647
Please protect net neutrality so we can protect information access.
1457. Nicholas Percival Sr, Chicago, IL, 60641
This would create so many more problems in todays world. Please create net neutrality but with consequences for criminals and also a tracking system. This would remove competition from so many competing businesses and thus starting a monopolized organization for the internet.
1458. Noah Schloss , Chicago , IL, 60647
The strength of America lies in the open exchange of ideas, one of the last places that is truely neutral is the Internet. It should remain that way.
1459. Diane Schroeder, Chicago, IL, 60641
Please maintain net neutrality. Censorship by the Big Companies will become the new cyber bullying issue. Innovation will be all but lost.
1460. paul baltrusaitis, chicago, IL, 60625
without net neutrality, the internet will loose it's ability to be an open place to learn and grow.
1461. David Fischer, North Riverside, IL, 60546
Personally, the idea of net neutrality being gutted makes me sick. So much of our lives are already bought and regulated by the highest bidder. We need to make a stand here and keep net neutrality alive not only for us, but for those who come after as well.
1462. Michael Georgas, Chicago, IL, 60641
Disinformation is not a good thing or lack of information which is what will happen it's the same as being lied to. Always remember the Globalist agenda is the Communist agenda. The word Globalist is the politically correct spin on the

word Communist.

1463. Jay Celer-Robling, Berwyn, IL, 60402
Why should my internet provider be allowed to intentionally affect where I go online? Why should mega-corporations be my only choice when searching for a product or service online?
1464. Laura Williams, Chicago , IL, 60625
Please keep the internet open to all.
1465. Jenise, Chicago , IL, 60623
Net neutrality should be protected. The internet is for everyone and should remain a public domain space.
1466. Ian, Chicago, IL, 60618
The internet is a place of creativity for all individuals outside of major broadcast systems. A place where they can be producers and consumers. A place to share a diverse range of voices. Keep the internet diverse and outside of the hands of a few homogenizing corporations. Please!
1467. Marcia Carter, Chicago, IL, 60622
Net neutrality allows everyone equal access. There is already too much inequality in this country.
1468. Oliver Yoder, Chicago, IL, 60625
Net Neutrality must be protected because, among other things, that is your (the FCC's) job, as defined by the laws that provide for your existence. You have a responsibility to the American people first to fulfill your given function. Do your job.
1469. Lisa Freeman, Chicago, IL, 60625
The FCC MUST maintain net neutrality rules. The ability to communicate must not be the province of a monopoly. This is a country where freedom of speech and equality to speak has always been a core value. We must not turn our back on those values and give even more power to corporations.
1470. Genevieve Dufour Allen, Chicago, IL, 60647
The already outrageous control of media is in the hands of six companies, thanks to the utterly misguided and failed Communications Act. It's already a mess of a business getting internet, there are so few choices as it is. Any further blows to net neutrality would make internet service even more of a monopolistic cabal, courtesy of Time Warner, Comcast, and more. My internet bill is already way too high, and I had two choices of equally shit service. Don't make it worse than it already is. My freedom online and my right to privacy have already been attacked this year, please don't put another nail in the coffin

of online netrality. A few ought not control what the individual has a right to. Thank you for listening. My only hope is that you listen and serve the people, as is your duty and responsibility.

1471. G. Brunner, Chicago, IL, 60639

Hello,Net neutrality must be protected to maintain free speech. Protect citizens right over big companies.

1472. Edmon Guan, Chicago, IL, 60632

So you're all willing to let the common person be monopolized by ISP's just for a bit more money?

1473. Diane Dudzik, Berwyn, IL, 60402

Dear FCC,Don't be swayed by mega-communication corporations especially, who want to be able to manipulate the Internet at the consumers expense . . . literally!! Please leave net neutrality alone.A Concerned Consumer

1474. Christine C George, Chicago, IL, 60618

Not only is the net neutrality fundamental to free speech, but it protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started.It should not be jammed up by conglomerates but have the best of an open market of ideas and innovations.

1475. Alfredo Ramirez, Chicago, IL, 60622

To whom it concerns:Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech.Without net neutrality, big companies could censor us, the people and perspectives online. Net neutrality is the "First Amendment of the Internet." Net neutrality also protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started.My vote, keep NET NEUTRALITY IN PLACE

1476. Omar Guerrero, Cicero, IL, 60804

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to others and me. I urge you to protect them. Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech.

1477. Dru Muskovin, Chicago, IL, 60647

Please do no allow net neutrality to be reversed! Please remember that large areas of this country have little easy access to the net and can not afford to pay higher prices to be able to see content that should be available equally to all.Thank you. Dru Muskovin

1478. edward, melrose park, IL, 60160

Net neutrality allows customers, not big companies, to choose what they do and watch online.

1479. Rachel Grossman, River Forest, IL, 60305
My daughter is starting a small business. It is incredibly important that she be able to reach new customers. I'm afraid if we lose net neutrality, her ability to find new customers will be entirely hampered.
1480. Tom's Menchaca, Brookfield, IL, 60513
The internet is one of the most connecting forces of our time. To consider allowing massive corporations to control the internet is to consider allowing the control over a fabric of society itself. A true violation to free speech and our mothers and fathers who worked so hard for it. Keep net neutrality.
1481. Christopher Boyd, Chicago, IL, 60618
Equal access to the internet is as important as free speech, voting, healthcare, education... Our ancestors understood that electricity, running water, and even natural gas were utilities necessary for a standard of living befitting a just and moral society. The internet is a utility. We must protect an open and free internet for all people regardless of income or influence.
1482. Andrew Neth, Chicago, IL, 60618
I support net neutrality, as a lack of regulation on this front simply enables service providers to stifle the operations of sites they don't like, or force consumers to pay extra for things that don't cost the ISP a penny.
1483. Andrea Jakubas, Chicago, IL, 60618
The internet was created as a free resource. To allow companies overwhelming power over individual consumers goes against this core value and is a direct violation of the First Amendment.
1484. Ann Johnson, Chicago, IL, 60651
I support Net Neutrality and I hope the FCC will protect and defend these rules. The beauty of the internet is that it was designed to be an open and innovative platform for everyone. Keep big cable companies hands off the internet!
1485. Gail Allinson, Brookfield, IL, 60513
Net neutrality preserves the free market of internet content.
1486. Anne Harris, Riverside, IL, 60546
Please keep the internet open and universally accessible to all. Don't allow commercial forces to dictate what we can and cannot see. This is fundamental to our free and open society.
1487. flor, Chicago, IL, 60623
Net neutrality is important for me; i do not want to get censored on what is going on in the world.

1488. E De Anda, Chicago, IL, 60608
To hinder net neutrality would be like cutting a vital vein and slowly letting it bleed out until useless and eventually dead. Comcast, AT&T and Verizon are in desperate need of dependence, I for one think they have had more than their fair share.
1489. Stuart J. Laferty, Chicago, IL, 60647
Dear Sir or Madam, The advent of the internet was once heralded as the "information superhighway" and has transitioned into so much more. I think when one considers net neutrality, this earlier notion of access to information should be paramount - not capitalistic gain. To bottleneck access to information to only those of the wealthier caste is too reminiscent of a time when only the upper-crust could afford books. I strongly urge the FCC to consider protecting net neutrality for this reason, as well as, its place as "The First Amendment of Internet". Thank you.
1490. Edward Velazquez, Chicago, IL, 60618
Net neutrality is essential to the growth of small businesses who do not have the means to purchase exposure. Please maintain the freedom which has led to the success of small and medium businesses.
1491. steven nguyen, chicago , IL, 60641
do not allow the internet to be controlled or who are we as the United States? no better than north korea or china
1492. Andrew Sarley, Chicago, IL, 60641
Handing over the internet to large companies is tantamount to letting them play god over it. They could have total control over web hosting and even charge premiums for certain websites, just like buying cable bundles. I can't afford cable for that very reason and there's no way I'll be able to afford surfing the web with that kind of restriction. Worse... most companies are economically motivated, which means that it is possible that a disreputable porn site will be accepted by these companies because of the business and revenue they generate, but a more respectable non-profit charity or a startup business with no revenue to start with will be removed or censored because they cannot be sustained. Wikipedia, the biggest, free-to-access encyclopedia on the web which runs on donations, will likely be shut down or forced to run intrusive ads which threaten internet safety (rogue anti-virus and false update notices which can download malware being among these threats as they prey on the fear of the uninitiated). We cannot trust AT&T, or any of these private big companies, to control web hosting or selective access to websites. They should stick to what they are now... gateways to the internet as a whole, not oligarchies with selective censorship.

1493. Ivy Torres, Chicago, IL, 60639
The internet should not be controlled by any company for profit.
1494. Jackson Potter, Chicago , IL, 60632
we need a free internet where corporations cannot censor our thoughts and access or limit high speed broadband to only those with lots of money
1495. Ruben Roman, Chicago, IL, 60608
When something as essential as the internet is attacked by the agency that is suppose to be working for the people, our democracy dies a little. Please leave net neutrality alone.
1496. John W James, Chicago, IL, 60618
Leave net neutrality alone, Information and what we see and learn, should always be at the peoples discretion. FCC this is why net neutrality MUST be protected.
1497. Armando Villanueva, Chicago, IL, 60608
Ensuring that the internet gives fair access to all individuals, business, government agencies, and media outlets is essential for not only maintain the modern American economy but also for ensuring no one gets unfair treatment for providing online content. It will let Internet-based entities provide QUALITY content that people actually want to consume, instead of the lazy, garbage-filled content the ISPs want us to consume. Please, uphold the the Title II protections for the Obama Administration and make sure no one is discriminated against for paying for their internet, just as a utility. Without these protections we will have the media of a 3rd world country.
1498. Julie Ramer, Chicago, IL, 60647
Save net neutrality Julie Ramer
1499. Therese, Chicago, IL, 60618
Keep net neutrality which is the principle that Internet service providers should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites. There is no logical reason a major corporation or service provider should have the power to effect our access to websites or increase expenses. These companies do NOT need that amount of power. Keep net neutrality and do not destroy our access to necessary resources such as the Internet.
1500. Paul Bobadilla Jr, Chicago, IL, 60623
I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Paul Bobadilla Jr

1501. Phillip Herbruck, Chicago, IL, 60618
I am writing to express my support for full net neutrality, backed by Title II protections. A free internet is important - too important to be left up to ISPs' best intentions. Comcast, Verizon, and other such companies need to be held in check by government regulation.
1502. Matthew McMullen, Chicago, IL, 60608
Seriously. Preserve Net Neutrality. Be good dudes
1503. Michael Caskey, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please don't touch net neutrality! The internet should remain a level playing field for all U.S. citizens. It is imperative for a functioning democracy in the brave new world that is the information age.
1504. Robert Adamson, La Grange Park, IL, 60526
I am a Software Engineer who works for a large multi-national. My Employer's recently decided to close the office that I had been able to commute to. So I will now be permanently working from home and thus dependent on my home Internet connection to do my job. I *require* a consistent high speed (greater than 10 MB/S) speed Internet connection that is NOT subject to random interruptions. Part of the reason I choose my current provider is that they offer their service over existing Land lines ("Improved DSL" essentially) rather than Wireless. I can't emphasize enough how important it is that I NOT lose my connection while I am transferring files, edit settings on a customer's server or making code changes. Oh, what type of systems do I support? Health care. In other words, systems that require greater than %99 up time. I also rely on the Internet to keep up with my Technical knowledge because it's changing so quickly that there is no time to publish books on it. My family is scatter across the country so it's a good way to stay connected to them, not to mention reconnection with childhood friends and classmates from my elementary School. I am only interested in getting access to the Internet and that's all I asked of my provider. I am fully capable and heavily vested in making my own decisions as to how I obtain e-mail, and other social media services. As well as How I search the Internet and how I access entertainment that I am truly interested in. I deliberately did not choose an ISP that is also a Cable TV provider for this reason. I do not believe there is any single "portal" that can serve my needs and so far I've been proven correct. My current provider is AT&T (formerly known as SBC (Formerly known as Illinois Bell))). Part of the reason I chose them was because they own the Telecommunication infrastructure in my area, thus I expect to have reliable hardware for my Internet connection. (As you may guess I am NOT a fan of discontinuing maintenance of "Land Lines" (aka the Copper wire networks) but that's a different battle. In theory I have other choices for Internet; I am fortunate that I happen to live in a large metropolitan area where there is actually some competition. But, anything

involved Satellite Dishes is not an option because of the latency issues that could affect my work. When I first obtained a broadband connection of sorts in 2004 it was via Earthlink (Sprint) but it turned out they were just renting equipment in a (then) SBC owned switching Facility. So the speeds they could offer were capped. The speed gradually improved as SBC (then AT&T) would improve the baseline network. But eventually my needs out grew what Earthlink could offer. So I switched to AT&T - and discovered that due to the non-compete agreement between Earthlink and AT&T there had to be a 5 business day gap between the time the Earthlink connection was terminated and I could even ORDER service from AT&T. So I wound up taking an unplanned week off of work to get this done. Comcast was an option but between the billing horror stories that have hit the news over the years and the fact that at least once every month, one of my Co-workers located across the country would experience outages in their home office because of some issue with Comcast. I think the FCC should spend it's time looking after the consumer. Rather than just saying they are doing so but yet proposing to take actions such as the one this comment is responding to; that weaken already minimal protections for consumers and decrease consumers ability to have control over their access to *everything* on the Internet. Your goal should be to completely level the playing field for all providers of both Internet Access and Internet Content, no matter how large or how small. If I decide to create a web site for my own purposes, I should not have to pay some other intermediary to have it made more accessible some how. Cities should be free to create their own broadband networks if that is the best way to provide economical, yet robust Internet access to their residents. No matter how many regulations the FCC decides to remove, Especially given the evidence, no matter how many regulations the FCC decides to remove, that the Large ISPs are not really interested in extending their networks to rural areas because there will not be a nearly instantaneous payoff that they can provide to their share holders.

1505. Brahim Aich, Chicago, IL, 60632

You guys already fuck us over with Shitty internet speeds and the lack of diversity of competition in regions of the country. Do not all the ISP to stick away the internet to no longer become a utility. You guys are the reason why people pay over \$50 for just having a download speed of 5 megabytes per second. Fucking France has better internet speeds. Most of the world has better internet speeds. If I moved to Antarctica, their would be the same amount of a competitive drive for internet services as if I stayed in the U.S. Go ffc yourself. Brahim Aich

1506. Ryan Knoppe, Chicago, IL, 60618

I support a free and open Internet, and I stand against the proposed Restoring Internet Freedom rule that accomplishes exactly the opposite. I believe it to be in the interest of the general public and private business to prevent ISPs from

blocking or slowing down websites or from charging fees for faster access to certain websites. I also doubt any supposed advantages to disallowing the FCC to investigate suspected anti-competitive business practices of ISPs. In the Internet age, these happenings are well documented, and we will collectively recall with distinct clarity and supporting evidence the efforts of those who would lock down our freedoms for the sake of the monied interests at this critical juncture. We, our children, and future generations, deserve better.

1507. Jason Grey, Chicago, IL, 60647

Openness and a low barrier to entry is what has made the Internet a powerful tool from its beginning. Maintaining that openness is crucial. Don't let corporations stifle the voices of humans.

1508. Hyacintha D Egner, Chicago, IL, 60647

For me net neutrality is essential, so that I know I have access to the whole internet, and my access will not be limited by my ability to pay.

1509. Elliott, Chicago, IL, 60647

Please support and defend net neutrality. It is essential to freedom of values through their defense by free speech and their expression through a network neutral by nature and not pre-determined by interests groups.

1510. jen, chicago, IL, 60647

NET NEUTRALITY IS FREE MARKET.WE CANNOT LOSE THE OPEN NET, THE ONE PLACE FREE OF REGULATION AND POLICING THAT IS TRULY EGALITARIAN AND OPEN.IF YOU GUT NET NEUTRALITY, WE WILL ALL LOSE THE MOST SIGNIFICANT EXPRESSION OF WHAT WE NOW CALL "THE AMERICAN DREAM"THE FCC IS UN-AMERICAN, PERHAPS EVEN APPROACHING FASCIST BY EVEN PROPOSING THIS POLICY.

1511. Jayne Hileman, Chicago, IL, 60618

Rural folks really need working internet.Everyone should have access no matter how rich they are.

1512. Mavrick Goodrich, Chicago, IL, 60647

Please don't ruin one of the greatest egalitarian forces for change in our time. History tells us without a doubt that given the opportunity, this will be exploited by these companies. They didn't invent it, they have no right to own it.

1513. Jackie Ventura, Chicago, IL, 60632

Any prior public FCC comment attributed to me and supporting the Restoring Internet Freedom proposal is a result of one, mine, among thousands of stolen identities used to denounce net neutrality. In May 2017 the FCC was sent a complaint on behalf of 27 identity theft victims (they DID NOT submit public

FCC comments opposing net neutrality) by the nonprofit Fight for the Future who 1) discovered their fraudulent public records and 2) explained how the FCC could find who committed the identity theft. Currently, "[t]housands of form letters had been filed online, fraudulently signed under the names of real people, from Utah to Maine." (<http://www.thedailybeast.com/fcc-radio-silent-on-stolen-identities-writing-love-letters-for-telecoms>) The FCC, ironically, the very agency with explicit authority over the web, has not coordinated with law enforcement to determine the illegal actor(s) involved, but instead done nothing to stop or correct this. I don't own a TV. I am dependent on the internet to remain connected to the world, for \$20 monthly to T-Mobile. Without it I would be dangerously isolated. Access to internet radio stations keeps me abreast of national and global news; weather sites keep me safe on Chicago's 95 degree deadly summer days and -15 degree paralyzing cold days. Traveling through my neighborhood or one nearby, it's important I know if gunshots have been reported or someone was killed. There are multiple small, medical and individual health sites I've read that offer information to judge the severity of a surprise injury; explain diet choices, life habits, meds that restore/maintain healthy living; provide details about an illness or condition and treatment options. Small internet businesses and sites will be damaged financially and put out of business by the Restore Internet Freedom proposal. It will provide large companies the freedom from government antitrust regulations to become monopolies.

1514. Soda, 88, IL, 20722

I have seen so many of my friends rise to fame as people of color. Before an open service like the internet, they would have never had a voice. Don't take away opportunities for so many.

1515. Alex Martinez, Chicago, IL, 60707

Dear FCC, We MUST protect net neutrality and look at the bigger picture like Abraham Lincoln and his letter to (Col.) William F. Elkins, Nov. 21, 1864. Where he feared our country would be controlled by a few corporations and the hands of the wealthy, which would destroy America and its democracy. I see internet freedoms as a civil right and so should the people if this is a real democracy.

1516. Rob Fish, Brookfield, IL, 60513

I am very interested in continuing to see a wide range of information on the Internet, and very much opposed to companies being able to have their content served first by virtue of having paid some sort of fee. I want my search results to be displayed in order of relevance, not in order of the amount of money paid.

1517. Cate B, Chicago, IL, 60618

Stop with this nonsense - the internet is a public good.

1518. ron albers jr, chicago, IL, 60647
"Net neutrality isn't some radical new idea. All of the amazing growth and innovation on the Internet didn't just happen while we had net neutrality, it happened BECAUSE of it."YOU HAVE A DUTY TO SUPPORT NET NUTRALITY!PLEASE DO SO
1519. Katie Harvey, Chicago, IL, 60618
Dear FCC: This United States was founded on the principle that everyday citizens could have some control over their everyday lives - that they could choose for themselves what messages they wanted to send to, or receive from, the rest of the world. Reversing net neutrality would demolish the heart of that founding principle. As a citizen, I fear for future generations of citizens...allowing anonymous, brainless corporations to control what we think, how we think, and why we think it would surely be the downfall of our way of life. If you choose to ignore the call of millions of Americans, and unravel net neutrality, you will be responsible for our demise.
1520. Kurt Entsminger, Chicago, IL, 60647
Please defend the United States from descending into more of a corporate shilled hellscape than it's becoming. Net neutrality IS free speech, and this is something that shouldn't be up for debate. Why would anyone want to be monitored and have their free time controlled by outside interests? How would this benefit the common person of the US in any way? Please do the right thing.
1521. Norm Bias, Chicago, IL, 60634
With trust in the government at an all time low, intrusion into net neutrality is a terrible idea -- lawmakers will pay in votes and federal agencies like the FCC will face the same backlash already seen by the FBI, the CIA, the NSA, the IRS and others -- stay out of this open space.
1522. Lisa Gironda Bailey, Chicago, IL, 60634
Please protect net neutrality. I have a small business that relies on internet sales and need the playing field to remain as is, not stacked against me.
1523. Concerned Citizen , Chicago, IL, 60647
Heed the future George Orwell talked about in 1984. Taking away net neutrality is one step into a future where free speech no longer exists.
1524. Jonathan Zevallos, Chicago, IL, 60641
This is against our democracy and institutional freedom; the internet is an open platform for citizens to create. Net neutrality is crucial to keeping our communication free for citizens not corporations.
1525. Matt Smith, Chicago, IL, 60618
The internet is a means of communication. Governing how people communicate

is silencing their free speech. Imagine you and I were in a room, talking face to face. Now imagine someone comes along and says I can't talk about politics, ethics, religion, or any "issue" of interest because they own the air, and my words are passing through "their" air. That's ludicrous! And so is governing the internet. "You're breathing my air" didn't work when we were 5 and it doesn't now. The internet is a means of commerce and community. I can't begin to tell you how many jobs I've found, goods I've bought and sold, and people I've met online. Great deals, honest people, and fond memories. The internet is the future. Now imagine someone wants to govern the future. It would be their future that comes into existence, and not the future created by innovators, dreamers, or hard-working people who don't happen to "own" the internet. It would destroy our culture today, and our future tomorrow.

1526. Dawn Baity, Chicago, IL, 60647

It is imperative that the FCC guarantee the protection of net neutrality. Corporate communications interests should never have the right to impose restrictions that limit speech or control individual activity.

1527. Yarik , Chicago , IL, 60641

Without net neutrality, creators and entrepreneurs could struggle to reach new users. Investment in new ideas would dry up and only the big companies would survive, stifling innovation. Why would the government side with corporations? We the people, need an open internet.

1528. Osvaldo Hernandez, Chicago , IL, 60623

I'd have to pay for every little thing it seems, and that just doesn't seem fair! Net neutrality is a must, the internet gives access to so much and we'd be restricted just because big corporations don't care.

1529. Tawny Burgess, Chicago, IL, 60647

Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech, for a free market, and for how I want to live my life. Please do not make your proposed changes. Listen to the people - we do not want this.

1530. Kevin Meyer, Chicago, IL, 60622

As someone who is in the process of starting a small business, I am a full supporter of net neutrality. I fear that if net neutrality is removed, small businesses across the board will suffer. I'm worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any.

1531. Jessica Weller, Chicago, IL, 60618

I support Net Neutrality. Net neutrality is important because it provides open access to information via various electronic communications, preventive

measures of monopolizing telecommunications and means of jamming them (it is illegal to disrupt a broadcast via a jamming device or pirate signal), prevent objectionable content from airing, and homeland security (Weather alerts, emergency alerts).

1532. Lindsay Eanet, Chicago, IL, 60641

I'm writing to express my disapproval that the FCC is trying to kill net neutrality and the strong Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Preserving an open internet is crucial for fair and equal access to the resources and information available on it. It is important to a free society and a functioning economy that all people, regardless of their location or socioeconomic status, have access to a free and open Internet. To do otherwise would debilitate our economy, cut large swaths of people out of opportunities and prevent us from legitimately competing with the rest of the world. Thank you.

1533. Lara Pawlak, Berwyn, IL, 60402

I strongly support net neutrality under Title II. Reclassifying it under Title I would open the way for corporate abuses, which we have already seen attempted, and could be extremely damaging to consumers. Please protect consumers instead of giant, near-monopoly corporations.

1534. Maggie Maxwell, Chicago, IL, 60625

I oppose tyhe dictatorship of money and corporate interests! Don't let them censor net content! It's the last bastion of free speech in the new American age of corporate feudalism. Keep the flow of information free and fair. The rich already have grabbed too much power. Corporations are not people, my friend.

1535. Alec Pinkston, Chicago, IL, 60625

I am extremely concerned about ending net neutrality. I think that it would be detrimental to the positive aspects of the internet. I strongly encourage the FCC to not sell out like every other aspect of our government. Please serve the people not corporate interests.

1536. Joe Irizarry, CHICAGO, IL, 60647
1642 N. Albany Ave.

1537. Kathleen Husek, CICERO, IL, 60804

I am writing for net neutrality. We can not allow the large IPS centers to control the internet. There monopolistic agendas would strangle the internet, creating fast and slow lanes charging hi

1538. Ray Bonderer, Chicago, IL, 60618

No one should be able to censor the internet. Censoring includes deciding who can use the internet - everyone should be treated the same.

1539. Martin Cerda, Cicero, IL, 60804

What is wrong with you? I get it. You don't know how to work these newfangled computer things. But the opposite of a free and open internet is what they have in China. Do you want America to be modelled after the internet of a bunch of commies? Well?! What I'm asking is this: Who hurt you idiots and why do you hate freedom so much? The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are EXTREMELY important to me and to hundreds of thousands of small business owners. I employ you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1540. Sandra Kirkland, Chicago, IL, 60630

We need more competition in the Internet, not less. Comcast, I know for a fact, is already changing their fee structures on a regular basis, without notification. Net neutrality is essential to our existence as a free and open society.

1541. Nels Wadycki, Chicago, IL, 60618

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me as a software/web engineer. I urge you to protect them in the name of all of the start up companies that applications for utility and entertainment via the

web. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs like Comcast, Verizon, and AT&T censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly that. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1542. Christine Geovanis, Chicago, IL, 60608

I urge you to protect the FCC's Open Internet Rules that support net neutrality. It would be sheer folly to give ISPs the power to block or slow down websites, privilege some sites over others, or divide the Internet into "fast lanes" for some companies and "slow lanes" for the rest of us. We cannot give giant ISPs the power to censor what we see and do online. Yet this is what some of the most powerful players in the industry already do: Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else -- if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses

and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1543. Nan Warshaw, Chicago, IL, 60618

I own an independent record label, so the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are essential to my business. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1544. Jerianne Garber, Chicago, IL, 60641
Keep the net neutral, free and open to maintain our democracy.
1545. Kathleen Bakken, Stone Park, IL, 60165
Support net neutrality, keep the even playing field that we have now. Please oppose Comcast and Verizon's initiatives.
1546. Gail Selleg, Chicago, IL, 60608
The net must remain neutral. I do not need information censored by the government. That sounds like communism to me. Communism fails. People have the right to view what they like on the net, interpret it on their own at the least amount of expense to do this. It is not the government's place to tell a grown person what to read, to force them to read propaganda. That sounds like North Korea to me. Please FCC, keep the net neutral as it is today. I do not want the FCC to hinder my ability to read what I want. I also do not need the government tracking everything I read. And what if someone hacks my account? It gives a total misrepresentation of who I am. What if my father, of a different generation and opinions starts looking into things I have absolutely nothing to do with while on my computer? That would definitely not make things better for me. Thank you, Gail
1547. Dylan Shell, Chicago, IL, 60647
Comcast and AT&T are two of the most hated companies in America, with some of the lowest customer satisfaction ratings. I don't think the FCC should be bowing to their demands.
1548. Camila Spezzia, Chicago, IL, 60632
It's time big corporate businesses stop controlling the public.
1549. Andrew Mulligan, Brookfield, IL, 60513
Title II is an important piece of the internet's freedom of information; It allows an equal distribution of information, unhindered by the choices of your carrier/ISP. Removing the title can cause serious harm to the internet, the economy, and everything inbetween. ISPs will be able to take payment to throttle competitors, creating a monopoly, which is nearly the exact opposite of what America stands for. Besides being unfair, this will actually hinder small businesses and startups, keeping large companies who can pay the ISP on top. Another reason is simply that it is not what Americans want. Over 88% of Americans polled are in support of Title II; a clear example of bipartisan support. Out of the near millions of comments that advocate for removing the Title, An extremely large majority were bots. Not Americans, but shady bots made to artificially sway a decision. It goes to prove that nearly nobody wishes for Title II to be removed. Therefore, it is the sole duty of any official who votes on this proposal to represent the American people, and vote for what's

right: An overwhelming nay. Title II is a priceless part of the internet, and America as a whole. It should not be removed, but protected.

1550. Lucy Trevino, Cicero, IL, 60804
Because this is supposed to be a free country, not a dictatorship like North Korea, China, or Russia, where the Internet is monitored.
1551. Tracy McLellan, Chicago, IL, 60647
Where do you begin? How about that formerly corporations owned less than half the internet? Today the vultures have their eyes on the whole thing. The Google crowd and the capitalist carrion. Here's a newsflash for the Eric Schmidt crowd. The whole worthwhile innovation of the internet was no corporate sponsors. No corporate intermediaries. No advertisement, by definition, lies.
1552. Ellen Campbell, Chicago, IL, 60647
Because otherwise all it will be is ONE BIG AD!!!
1553. Robert Pulvermacher, Chicago, IL, 60622
I've been told you're looking for high-quality responses. Here's (possibly) one: rich people don't always have to win. It is not an inevitability that the internet will tax the poor to give to the rich, like essentially everything else in our society. It can be egalitarian. It can be equitable. Some form of this legislation has already been shot down, and it seems to be the case the corporate monoliths will keep pushing it back in our faces, because they believe they have the right, simply by dint of having lots of money and lawyers. This is an opportunity to stand against that entire ideology, and it is an opportunity to start rebuilding a country that is not such a complete and total embarrassment. Thank you.
1554. Dan Armgardt, Chicago, IL, 60647
The internet should be a basic human right! Net neutrality is a must! I DO NOT AGREE WITH ENDING NET NEUTRALITY! If you truly care about what the general population wants you will fight against big cable Telecom companies (at&t, Verizon etc) from controlling and taxing the internet.
1555. Nat Gumley, Chicago, IL, 60625
Net Neutrality is essential to preserving the internet as a place where all voices can be expressed and all netizens are equal. Do not sell out the interests of the many by skewing a PUBLIC utility strictly for private gain.
1556. Nastasia Broeker, Chicago, IL, 60647
Net Neutrality is important to me because all of the internet should be equally available. An internet provider should not get to determine what I may or may not see based on which sites have more money. As long as this is still a democracy, the internet belongs to all of us.

1557. Dalton Kay, Chicago, IL, 60618

To whomever may read this,FCC protections are critical to maintaining a free and open internet. An open and free internet is a symbol of our democratic country as it progresses onward through the 21st century. The internet has had a profound impact on the evolution of how we work, communicate, and entertain ourselves in this new digital age. As a student studying architecture the internet is a vital source in obtaining information and inspiration to aid in the design and construction process. From being able to understand the rules and the ways of construction to discovering means to evolve design, the internet is a source students turn towards for ideas and aid in advancing our knowledge. Thus allowing us to become this great nations next generation of workers and innovators. In a country where web access and traffic is prioritized and fought between corporate giants like Comcast, Verizon, and AT&T the wide range of platforms and websites that we rely on for our work, our studies, and our entertainment we would lose this instantaneous way of obtaining information. Instead, our usage would be hindered, and we would be forced to prioritize the websites we use between one and other. This puts us at a disadvantage, one that our democratic allies do not face, as well as hinders democratic principles that make this nation great by disallowing them to advance and manifest themselves into the new digital age.Thank you for your time,Dalton Kay.

1558. Emily Lucas, Chicago, IL, 60618

All Internet traffic should be treated equally. I feel this proposal will negatively affect my internet freedom.

1559. Kari Abboud, Riverside, IL, 60546

I support a free and open internet. This is vital to the equal opportunity in our communities. Please save net neutrality.

1560. Taryn Smith, Chicago, IL, 60618

I support strong net neutrality. I believe title II oversight of ISP is an absolute necessity. Title II did not affect investments and it is needed to keep the internet open for all.

1561. Chelsea Romo, Chicago, IL, 60618

Net Neutrality is important and we absolutely need it in society

1562. Chris Sbarbaro, Chicago, IL, 60647

I would like to express my concerns that the removal of net neutrality will only hurt consumers and benefit huge corporations, (such as the one the current FCC chairman, Mr. Ajit V. Pai, used to work as a lawyer for). If you could please stop selling out FCC regulations to corporations it would be appreciated!Concerned internet and cell phone user.PS - Keep America's Internet Great

1563. Seth Nathan, Chicago, IL, 60647
Open, uninhibited access to information is a basic freedom that allows a democracy to function to the best of its ability - curtailing this freedom in the name of corporate gain is simply unreasonable and unacceptable. This proceeding would limit the public's access to information by allowing us to access information on the terms of communications companies preoccupied with generating profit. The interests of these companies are not compatible with those of the American public. The following link discusses Freedom of Information as a Universal Human Right:
<http://www.unesco.org/new/en/communication-and-information/freedom-of-expression/freedom-of-information/> I urge you to do away with this proceeding, and preserve net neutrality for the greater good. If we mess this up, there's no going back. Thanks for reading.
1564. Robert Clarke, Chicago, IL, 60632
I support STRONGER net neutrality under title 2!!!!!!!
1565. Michael J Hannah, la grange park, IL, 60526
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's. If net neutrality is not maintained this house hold will make due without the internet until it is restored.
1566. Johann Flores, Chicago, IL, 60608
We specifically support strong net neutrallity backed by title II oversight of ISPâ€™s.
1567. Brian Shepherd, Chicago, IL, 60608
I am in support of strong net neutrality rules under Title 2. Please let me voice be heard.
1568. Frank Lucchese-Soto, Chicago, IL, 60639
Keep the internet a free and open and even playing field for everyone so that's why title II is extremely important to keep net neutrality. So keep net neutrality
1569. dylan weschler, chicago, IL, 60647
don't make the internet away
1570. Sarah Stokke, Chicago, IL, 60622
I vehemently support net neutrality and the preservation of Title II oversight of ISPs. This is a foundational ideal of our democracy.
1571. Amber McKown-Finken, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support a fair and open internet. Keep Title II protections in place!
1572. Amanda Spindel, Chicago, IL, 60647

We need an open Internet. Net Neutrality empowers Internet users. Leave Net Neutrality in place. The Internet belongs to all of us! Thank you

1573. Rebecca DeCoster, Berwyn, IL, 60402
Allowing cable companies to regulate internet access undermines democracy. It restricts freedom of speech and sells our internet rights to the highest bidder; limiting consumer choice and price gouging those who can least afford it.
1574. Stephanie Rivera, Chicago, IL, 60634
Let people browse freely.
1575. Morgan Bergren, Chicago, IL, 60641
Net neutrality protects free speech online. Censoring speech is unAmerican! Net neutrality gives power to individual consumers - and in America, that is EXACTLY who should have the power. Corporations exist to serve individuals, not the other way around. It's critical that we protect net neutrality!
1576. Christopher Crump, Chicago, IL, 60622
Net Neutrality is important because no one group should see themselves or others as being more or less important than the next. Look at the Charlottesville attack. This is the physical representation of the exact same concept. Voting against Net Neutrality is the same as saying you agree and stand with the KKK, altright, neo-nazi and the rest of them.
1577. Clarence Fraher, Chicago, IL, 60622
ISP's should NOT be allowed to throttle data.
1578. Curtis Miller, Chicago, IL, 60608
I am a product of the internet age. Please fight to keep the internet a neutral conduit for exchange. Do not allow ISPs to determine where you spend your time online, or how you interact. Keep the dream of the internet alive. Affirm net neutrality. Thank you Curt Miller
1579. Ross Lemmon, Chicago, IL, 60647
I would like to declare my opposition to the plan to dismantle net neutrality. Many of my peers and I feel that this measure will harm innovation in the United States, as well as putting an unfair burden on the American citizen who relies on a fair and free internet. Please consider your duty to millions of normal citizens rather than your perceived obligations to large telecommunications firms. Thank you.
1580. Nicholas Mendez, Chicago, IL, 60618
Knock it off or we'll find people that govern fairly.
1581. Daniel Stankiewicz, Chicago, IL, 60618

I find that the proposed changes to Title II fundamentally mis-represent the notion of a free and public Internet. It seems like the FCC is concerned about the freedom of ISP's to ignore the intent of the 2015 rules, at the expense of consumers. Arguing that there is no downside for consumers or that negative impact of 'throttling' is unclear seems deliberately obtuse. It seems the effect will be weaker rules than intended by the standards outlined in 2015, but without the FCC having to take heat for killing them outright. Lastly, I find very silly the comment by Ajit Pai that 'quantity not quality' of comments regarding 17-108 will carry more weight. It sounds like a way to head off criticism based on the overwhelming number of comments that will be submitted. If Mr Pai was really concerned about substantive arguments rather than popular opinion, then he would listen to the many experts who oppose this, not just in academia but in industries not wed to the profit of internet providers such as Comcast and Verizon that oppose these changes.

1582. Alvaro Reyes, Chicago, IL, 60622

There are to be zero changes to net neutrality laws. I will vote accordingly to my wishes, and I will also make sure everyone I know is completely informed and remove the obfuscation this referendum intends to cast. I will also give anyone who needs a ride to the voting polls until everyone whom has cast a vote in favor of this referendum is out of office.

1583. Lisa Barcy, Chicago, IL, 60618

We must not conflate the internet with the behavior of ISPs. The current net neutrality rules already give consumers "internet freedom" by restraining internet providers from dividing the internet into a nightmare of toll zones and walls. Net neutrality rules aren't "government micromanagement," they're the exact opposite: they are a condition of possibility for the competitive anarchy that has defined the internet and allowed companies to rise and fall without permission from their ISP.

1584. OmarVega, Chicago, IL, 60608

The Internet should remain a free and equitable place where everyone can access it at the same speed.

1585. James Klock, Chicago, IL, 60618

Internet access, like telephony before it, functionally operates as a utility, and should continue to be regulated as such.

1586. Ryan Neuman, Chicago, IL, 60618

Please preserve net neutrality, do not stifle innovation by allowing slow lanes on the internet.

1587. Hilary, Chicago, IL, 60647

Everyone deserves access to equally fast internet regardless of how much

money they can spend.

1588. Colin Young, Chicago, IL, 60647

The American promise is built on a level playing field, one in which companies can be tiny when founded and grow large (like some ones you may have heard of, like Microsoft, Google, and others). It is therefore a terrible idea for the largest companies, who of course were once small, to be able to stamp out competition by allowing Internet speeds to be controlled as an ISP sees fit. A fully neutral Internet is as American as apple pie.

1589. Jack Carter, Chicago, IL, 60647

I do not support this proposal. The internet ought to be treated as a public utility, with ISPs treating all data equally.

1590. Barry Marks, Brookfield, IL, 60513

I am opposed to changing Net Neutrality. The FCC should be regulate the corporations to maintain Neutrality over the shared nationwide network/internet.

1591. Laurel Ruhlen, Chicago, IL, 60618

I've lived in 5 different cities in all corners of the USA. In all of them, there is one-- and only one-- ISP. For example, Comcast is the only ISP available in my neighborhood, and throughout the majority of Chicago. The idea that 'market forces' will prevent ISPs from monopolizing and manipulating information their customers can access is not accurate. If I don't endorse Comcast's throttling of my internet searches for, say, 3rd party political candidates, I'm out of luck, because there is literally no other way to get internet access to my home. Further, I do not think it is accurate to classify ISP's broadband infrastructure as an 'information service.' In my work as an analyst, I do not use any so-called 'information services' that my work and personal ISP providers offer, nor do I know of literally anyone else who does. I use my connection to the internet to access information services maintained by companies specializing in those fields (e.g. Google, Microsoft Azure, AWS, etc.). Comcast, AT&T, and other telecom providers' core competency is in maintaining the hardware and routing algorithms required to move photons and/or electrons between distant computers. This is a hard and complicated task, but it is not an information service. Classifying ISPs as 'information services' is akin to calling the USPS a library. It's hilariously inaccurate, and is also harmful (at best) to the substance of both libraries' and the postal service's operations. For this reason, among many others that have been articulated better by others, I do not support the proposal being put forward, and urge the committee not to alter current net neutrality rules.

1592. Jordan Simon, Chicago, IL, 60618

Please don't allow corporations to bully us into getting rid of net neutrality. This is the one liberty that we still hold to our hearts. Nobody should ever have a monopoly of speed on the internet. You are making a horrible mistake. I expect you to wear NASCAR sponsorship logos on your suits representing the companies that own you. Stop being eunich's and stand up to the telcom companies.

1593. Christopher Kennedy, Chicago, IL, 60647

Please don't repeal the net neutrality rules. Freedom of information should not be dictated by corporations looking for the highest bidder. It is important that small businesses have the same accessibility to the internet as deep pocketed companies that couldn't have started without the benefit of equal access.

1594. Robert Flint, Chicago, IL, 60647

ISP's should be regulated as a utility under Tital 2. A free and open internet is essential to democracy.

1595. Kaitlyn Jacobs, Chicago, IL, 60625

Net neutrality is essential to keep our internet access fair and balanced. I urge you to support all measures that comply with net neutrality.

1596. Nicolas Cote, Chicago, IL, 60647

The internet should remain free and equal, and our usage of it should not be subject to discrimination. The regulation of the Internet must not be left to companies and corporations (who already bow to financial incentives before they do to public opinion), but rather be left "open" through Title II Classification

1597. Tom Harrison, Wilmette, IL, 60618

The internet must remain neutral. The Title II classification for ISPs must stay as it is. America must stand for freedom. Mr Pai, look into your heart and do what is right.

1598. James Blaszak, Chicago, IL, 60618

Do not remove the net neutrality rules currently in place. They foster competition, which is sorely needed in places like Chicago where NBC/Comcast enjoys a virtual monopoly, which then spurs innovation. Additionally competition lowers consumer prices, which increases access. Broadband companies have never delivered on their promises to innovate and spur competition on their own. Must be regulated.

1599. Edgar Miranda, Chicago, IL, 60623

Don't get rid of net neutrality!!!! If anything tax large corporations!!!!

1600. Aleksander Mrugala, Chicago, IL, 60618

I strongly urge the FCC to reconsider repealing the current net neutrality regulations. Internet Service Providers should treat all internet traffic equally and should be allowed to pick winners and losers. Look what Comcast did to Netflix and how Netflix basically had to subsidize their failing Video services to have their traffic reach their customers. What's the next innovative industry that may never develop because they couldn't offer the ISP's data tolls? Thank you, Aleksander Mrugala

1601. Ben Campbell, Chicago, IL, 60608

I know this will fall on deaf ears.. Repealing net neutrality will be devastating to Americans right to freedom of information. I believe the Trump executive branch has no interest in representing the people of the United States of America. You are traitors to your country.

1602. Emily C, Chicago, IL, 60618

The internet as we know it now is an essential part of daily life, and to change that is to severely and without cause limit our ability to take advantage of all the opportunities it offers. I strongly support net neutrality and what it means to our ability to access and exchange information.

1603. Beau Harrison, Chicago, IL, 60622

Net neutrality is key to the success of the internet. This idea should die here for the sake of the future of the internet.

1604. will a, Chicago, IL, 60639

Net neutrality is the law, dont change the law

1605. Deborah Cross, Chicago, IL, 60641

Net neutrality must be maintained for average Americans.

1606. Angel Gonzalez, Chicago, IL, 60651

Net neutrality should be protected. The internet shouldn't be the hands of a few corporations. It has become essential for our everyday lives from school, shopping, and welfare. Having companies being able to possibly dictate or influence what we use should not be allowed.

1607. Dinesh Penugonda, Chicago, IL, 60647

To whom it may concern:I firmly believe in access to the internet being free. It was one of the founding principles in creating this massive network and one of the primary reasons it has led to the digital revolution within our lifetime. Without a specific set of rules and regulations on ISPs, there is a documented and well founded concern that those who control access to the internet, will be able to influence how I see and consume content. This is unacceptable and I am strongly in favor of supporting regulation to keep ISPs a highly regulated industry and continuing its Title II status.

1608. james schroeder, chicago, IL, 60608
Please keep internet free and equally accessible to all people .
1609. Frank Lucchese-Soto, Chicago, IL, 60639
The internet is a place for open and even playing field for everybody not just greedy corporations who want to be able to gauge everyday people who want faster speed. That's why title II is so important that we need to have net neutrality so it's an even playing field for everybody not just corporations
1610. Michael Smith, Chicago, IL, 60618
Please do not reverse Net Neutrality. My business and way of life depend on it. My company will not be able to survive or compete without it. This is about nurturing the kind of communities, both online and brick-and-mortar, that we want to live in. The rise or rebirth of communities with their small and local manufacturers, restaurants, breweries, bookshops, and hundreds of other businesses and organizations has been one of the great successes in the age of the worldwide web. It was facilitated by a neutral Internet. New ideas and products flourished or failed on their own merit, not by outside forces dictating winners and losers. Isn't that what the free market is all about? So much of the recent rancorous election cycle boiled down to people feeling like they aren't part of the system anymore, that Wall Street is winning out over Main Street, that the little guy is getting marginalized and feeling powerless. The Net Neutrality issue speaks directly to this. It cuts across party, ideological, and socio-economic lines. Net Neutrality provides a fair playing ground for small businesses to compete (and survive!), one of the great characteristics of this nation.
1611. Jessica Blanc, Chicago, IL, 60647
America is a Nation of leaders, leading in technology, education, & freedom. The Internet is an equal opportunity platform for all to learn and grow as a Nation, and as Global citizens. The Internet should remain open, equal serving to all, as well as easily accessible (in terms of both price and connectivity). We all understand the importance of being connected, for business, for family & for community safety. Please do not go backwards on a Nation moving forward.
1612. Mark Hubert, Chicago, IL, 60618
I'm opposed to this crassly named rule. Net neutrality is paramount to a open and free internet. The carriers are making good money providing access services. They have no right to dictate the content of the network.
1613. Amy Meade, Chicago, IL, 60647
You people are crazy.
1614. Sally Mohammad, Chicago, IL, 60625
I want freedom of internet forever for all!!

1615. Marc Brumlik, Riverside, IL, 60546
Net neutrality is a cornerstone to to unbiased and unfettered access to the internet, information, and equality of content. Without it, innovation will be stifled by those in control of delivering the content. That control could change the landscape in very harmful ways and must be prevented.
1616. Milli, Chicago, IL, 60618
I strongly support net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISP's, & I urge Ajit Pai to do the same. Everyone should have free & equal access to the internet.
1617. Jeff Woelker, Chicago, IL, 60647
STOP gutting net neutrality. There is no reason for it. Please stop.
1618. Charlene Novak, Riverside, IL, 60546
I implore you to please preserve Title II and net neutrality for all - via law.
1619. Brian W., Brookfield, IL, 60513
I support Net Nuetrality and hope telecoms company not only stay Title II but will one day become a utitlity regulated by the government. Our world runs on information and the internet. We are moving backwards while every other country moves forward.
1620. Andrew Alguire, Chicago, IL, 60618
Please keep the net neutral. Corporations do not have the public's best interest in mind.
1621. MC Cham, Chicago, IL, 60647
I support strict net neutrality supported by Title II oversight. Don't ruin the current golden age of the internet!

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used national language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post. <https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9f0e3ed36a6>.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done

using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.