

Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from IL District #1

These are the 946 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative Bobby Rush's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs> under the proceeding 17-108.

1. Eric Oliver, Oak Forest, IL, 60452

To whom it may concern, I am writing today to oppose the Restoring Internet Freedom bill. It's a shill of a bill targeted at lining the pockets of big corporations. If this passes, which I suspect it will, it'll be another indicator that democracy is not about the people, but all about the corporations. I urge the legislature to fiercely oppose this bill, and do right for its constituents for once.

2. John Schmidt, Mokena, IL, 60448

The Internet must be free and open to encourage innovation, freedom of speech, and competition. Handing complete control over to ISP monopolies is anti-democratic and anti-free market.

3. David Derbes, Chicago, IL, 60615

I am a high school physics teacher and an editor of historically significant physics texts (have a look at Amazon). It would be impossible for me to do my job without unrestricted access to online journals. If the FCC enacts these new rules (or perhaps more accurately, does away with the 2015 rules), my ISP could in principle force me to pay extra for connecting to libraries and other scholarly sites, e.g. gallica.bnf.fr, which I use frequently. Teachers don't have a lot of money. It's disturbing that this action by the FCC will serve to enrich corporations at the expense of ordinary citizens. Much creativity and productivity has come out of the easy access to sites everywhere. If that access is restricted by fees to be charged by ISP's, then that spark plug of the nation's economy will be damped out. The EU has passed net neutrality, though it has

not yet been ratified by all its members. But it's coming, and Americans will be handicapped if we go the other way. The FCC should be in the business of empowering Americans, not restricting their access to information. This is a terrible change, and it should not be implemented. Please do not do this.

4. John Edward Rice, Midlothian, IL, 60445
Dear Sirs/Madams,I am a private citizen AGAINST changing the current internet status away from "net neutrality". While an excellent point concerning fairness to all parties involved (including corporations) has been made, I see making any change to the internet 's current status as setting a dangerous precedent. Imagine making any such changes to our country's commercial flight system, rail system, or interstate highway system- or for that matter to our healthcare system. The people of America have quite correctly judged that equal access to some things, such as these, should be treated as modern day utilities and not left to the prejudices of a capital market because they are basic rights of citizenship in this great country. God help us the day that dispatch orders from 911 calls are answered according to who pays the most in taxes.Thank you for your time. Sincerely John Edward RiceMidlothian, IL
5. Madison Heckel, Chicago, IL, 60615
I wanted to express my support for strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight by ISPs.
6. Julie Jackson, Manhattan, IL, 60442
We need STRONGER regulations and to keep Title II. Don't screw this up!
7. Douglas Martin, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
Save real Net Neutrality, Keep isp's under Title II.
8. Stephen Booth, Chicago, IL, 60615
MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY! Continue regulation of all Internet Service Providers under the control of Title II of the Communcations Act of 1934 to prevent throttling by ISPs of websites.
9. Mathew Seibert, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
I'm a firm believer in net neutrality and think that Title 2 is the only thing standing in the way of companies like Verizon and Comcast becoming monopolies in the internet marketplace. In this instance, regulation is helping the free market of the internet flourish. Taking away these protections would be devastating to consumers and producers across the nation.
10. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60628
I Support Net Neutrality and Reasonably Sized Mugs
11. Glen & Denise Borkowski, Tinley Park, IL, 60477

Protect the equity of the internet for ALL.

12. Karen House, Chicago, IL, 60637
Preserve Net Neutrality regulations under Title II !!!!!
13. Net neutrality, Mokena, IL, 60448
I would like net neutrality backed by title two over site to stay strong and in tact.
14. Rebekah Spearman, Chicago, IL, 60615
Please preserve net neutrality and preserve Title II of the communications act of 1934.
15. James Rosenow, chicago, IL, 60615
Keeping the internet neutral is more important than ever. #goFCCyourself
16. Umar Khokhar, Chicago, IL, 60615
Preserve Obama era net neutrality rules. As an entrepreneur and internet company founder, I completely disagree with the notion that small companies can simply pay for better access to compete with bigger companies. While it is true that large companies have the power of their infrastructure behind them, small companies are not able to pay to get better access. Getting rid of net neutrality will dampen entrepreneurship in this country.
17. Matthew North, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
I strongly support strong net neutrality back by Title 2 oversight or our ISPs.
18. john coleman, Chicago, IL, 60637
Before the Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street SW, Washington, DC 20554 Concerning: Notice of Proposed Rulemaking entitled Restoring Internet Freedom WC Docket No. 17-108 I am writing to express my strong opposition to the proposed rule entitled Restoring Internet Freedom, whereby FCC Chairman Ajit Pai proposes to "to end the utility-style regulatory approach that gives government control of the Internet and to restore the market-based policies necessary to preserve the future of Internet Freedom, and to reverse the decline in infrastructure investment, innovation, and options for consumers put into motion by the FCC in 2015." I believe this proposed rule would concentrate control of the internet to a small group of companies, resulting in higher prices for consumers, a significant reduction in innovation, and be an inhibitor to economic growth. Without the internet neutrality rules the FCC put in place in 2015, the incumbent service providers who control access to the internet would be able to favor their own services, to the point of being able to throttle innovative services, at the cost of consumers. The ability of internet service providers to choose winners and losers would directly inhibit the ability of new businesses to reach a customer base on equal

footing with incumbent providers and large content providers. All businesses should be able to compete with incumbents on the innovation and quality of the services provided, not on their ability to pay incumbents to be on equal footing. The title of the rule is deceptive, as passage would limit the freedom of consumers to select the best products and services to meet their needs, and instead take away that freedom and hand it to a limited number of incumbent internet providers. The Restoring Internet Freedom Rule does not meet any of FCC's stated strategic goals. It would not promote economic growth and national leadership, but would instead limit the opportunities for innovation and stifle industry-changing developments. The Rule would not protect public interest goals, as it would result in higher prices and limited choices for consumers. The Restoring Internet Freedom Rule would not make networks work for everyone, but would instead consolidate control of the internet to a few powerful companies. This Rule would also not promote operational excellence for the FCC, as the public has already shown great support for net neutrality rules, and this Rule and rule making process is counter to the already spoken will of the people. I urge you to maintain the current net neutrality rules and do not allow the Restoring Internet Freedom Rule to go into effect.

19. Rhonda Miller, Chicago, IL, 60628

Net neutrality is vital for our country. Please do not destroy it. The internet should be open to all and give all equal access. Do not allow businesses to pick and choose winners and losers making the internet a place where only the rich and influential survive.

20. Ricky Widmer, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805

I support Net Neutrality and Title II. Please preserve both of these!

21. Gaby, Chicago, IL, 60652

Please keep net neutrality by keeping ISPs subject to title 2. Keep corporate america's hands off my internet. Thanks

22. Musa Killins, Chicago, IL, 60621

I support net neutrality backed by Title II over ISPs.

23. David Scott Tallon Jr, Mokena, IL, 60448

Do not reclassify ISPs and allow them to pick winners and losers - they will do so in a selfish fashion as their decisions are not beholden to the best interests or values of the citizens of the United States but rather to their shareholders.

24. Lisa, Tinley Park, IL, 60477

It is vital that Net Neutrality be maintained. Without strong oversight, it would be far too easy for companies to monetize speed to sites and allow our internet to close to only those who can pay the price for a free and open internet. Don't let Mr. Pai lead us down the path to limited information, forms of expression,

and monopoly.

25. Hannah Bledstein, Chicago, IL, 60637
In reading through the proposal for "The Restoring Internet Freedom Rule" and the subsequent comments I am quoting from Mr. Huber's response as he succinctly expresses my own views. The Restoring Internet Freedom Rule does not meet any of FCC's stated strategic goals. It would not promote economic growth and national leadership, but would instead limit the opportunities for innovation and stifle industry-changing developments. The Rule would not protect public interest goals, as it would result in higher prices and limited choices for consumers. The Restoring Internet Freedom Rule would not make networks work for everyone, but would instead consolidate control of the internet to a few powerful companies. This Rule would also not promote operational excellence for the FCC, as the public has already shown great support for net neutrality rules, and this Rule and rule making process is counter to the already spoken will of the people. I urge you to maintain the current net neutrality rules and do not allow the Restoring Internet Freedom Rule to go into effect.
26. Andrew Kao, Chicago, IL, 60637
Preserving net neutrality is an imperative. I support strong net neutrality, which is only going to work when backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Many people will be devastated if they hear that this will no longer be possible.
27. Michael Korpics, Orland Park, IL, 60462
Keep net neutrality and Title II oversight
28. Noe Gamboa, Alsip, IL, 60803
Please preserve title 2 and keep internet open!!!
29. Eriele, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805
As a citizen of the United States, I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs
30. Cameron Isaacs, Chicago, IL, 60637
ISPs should continue to be regulated under Title II and regulated according to existing net neutrality rules, with legal consequences for failing to comply. The internet must remain free and open for all.
31. Nick Halvorsen, New Lenox, IL, 60451
Net Neutrality is important to me. I would like to see the FCC continue to regulate ISPs under title II. The removal of the greater regulation allows our ISPs the freedom to give preferential treatment to some content. This would be a disaster for new business and innovation. Please keep the internet open and fair.

32. Evan Bernstein, Chicago, IL, 60615
Please do not classify telecommunications companies under the weaker Title 1. Although the notion that net neutrality will be protected by the companies themselves is a nice goal, recent history and lawsuits filed by major telecommunication companies demonstrate that this will likely not be the case. To really, truly safeguard the equal and open internet that is so vital for our lives, the ISPs that grant us access must be regulated as public utilities, and must be governed by the stricter Title 2 rules. This is not a small distinction. It is crucial to the health of the internet.
33. Edward Oldfield, Palos Heights, IL, 60463
I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The Internet is not a tool for monopolies to reap untold profit off of the backs of its users. Furthermore, the last thing the unchecked corporate telecommunication industry needs is more power to stifle competition and take advantage of the general public. Unchecked telecommunication industry power has led to the United States of America wielding subpar internet speeds and standards and there has been little accountability for the inaction of these industries to improve the product that they demand to wield. Net Neutrality forces the telecommunication industry to be held accountable and taking that away just allows them further power to expand their monopolies which is something that we the people cannot allow to continue.
34. Gerald King, Merrionette Park, IL, 60803
Net Neutrality is extremely important to me. Do not change any regulations.
35. Hannah Burns, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805
We need strong net neutrality. Large corporations are always going to do what they feel is in their personal best interest. Without proper regulation the dealings of these web providers trying to gain the upper hand can and will be to the detriment of the consumer.
36. Kris Rosentel, Chicago, IL, 60615
Please do not change the net neutrality rules. Doing so would allow internet providers (which are already oligopolies) to grow far too powerful by controlling the ease at which we can access content.
37. Mark Hendrickson, New Lenox, IL, 60451
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. We need a fair and equal internet! Internet is a utility, not a luxury!
38. Lesya Horyn, Chicago, IL, 60615
I support strong internet neutrality. Any divergence from this goes against the ideals of our nation, does not serve anyone but the cable providers, and compromises the ideals the internet was founded on.

39. Net Neutrality, Mokena, IL, 60448
FCC Chairman Ajit Varadaraj Pai: I strongly support net neutrality as backed by Title 2's oversight of ISP's
40. Kandice Banker, Orland Park, IL, 60462
I urge you to keep Title II protections for a free and open internet. I believe that Net Neutrality is the core of American innovation for this generation and for those generations to come. It is essential that we never tier data. Every bit is as equal as the next. Keep the internet free and open.
41. Cynthia Schroeder, Frankfort, IL, 60423
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality is imperative in a free society.
42. Ronnie Woodall, Chicago, IL, 60620
I agree with full Net Neutrality. I do not want a regulated internet.
43. Alex Rietveld, Chicago, IL, 60637
It is a universally acknowledged fact that corporations respond to incentives. Changing the classification of ISPs from their current status under Title II creates incentives for unfair practices regarding the provision of internet services, consolidating market power and reducing healthy competition. I cannot express thoroughly enough my opposition to this reclassification and the importance of maintaining strong federal oversight for the well-being of the market and individuals at large.
44. a, mail, chicago, IL, 60620
I strongly support net neutrality back by Tittle II oversight of ISP
45. Ian Wang, Chicago, IL, 60637
Preserve net neutrality and maintain ISPs under Title II
46. Louis Levin, Chicago, IL, 60062
Casually saving my individual liberties.
47. Kenneth Parcell, Chicago, IL, 60637
You have to keep ISPs classified under Title II. They will NOT voluntarily refrain from choking competitors' websites, because we've seen this with Netflix and Comcast. The current ISP rules are the best things we have right now. Removing them is NOT better for free and fair internet. It's like asking the foxes to guard the henhouse.
48. Frank Calegari, Chicago, IL, 60637
Please keep ISPs classified under Title II. They will NOT and under capitalist theory are NOT expected to voluntarily refrain from choking competitors'

websites, because we've seen this with Netflix and Comcast. The current ISP rules are the best things we have right now, and removing them is NOT better for free and fair internet. It's like asking the foxes to guard the henhouse. It just won't work; it's the opposite of what we need.

49. William Huang, Chicago, IL, 60615

The proposal to reclassify internet access services is not in the best interest of the majority. Regulatory measures are imperative to prevent possible improper conduct from ISPs (internet service providers) whom wish to discriminate bandwidth distribution. Surely, these firms serve an important role in the economic ecosystem. However, it is imperative that these firms conduct their business within certain regulatory frameworks that is primarily beneficial for citizens and consumers alike. The freedom of individual net neutrality should not be compromised for possible economic gains that disproportional rewards the employers of capital. One has to question the impartiality of FCC chairman Ajit Varadaraj Pai due to his previous legal work in defense of an ISP firm. This proposal reveals resemblance to the chairman's previous partisan stance regarding the regulatory framework surrounding such firms.

50. Jack Foote, Palos Heights, IL, 60463

I support strong net neutrality. I disagree with Ajit Pai's argument that deregulating net neutrality will be helpful to society. In fact, I believe it to be detrimental. Please, for the sake of everyone, do not let Mr. Pai's ideas take hold.

51. Tyler Judon, Chicago, IL, 60619

Fix net neutrality laws! The very idea that ISPs have the power to favor one internet service over another goes against the very idea of what the internet was meant to be.

52. Stephen G. Beagles, Crestwood, IL, 60445

Strong net neutrality rules are incredibly important to sustain the innovation, vitality, and openness of our Internet. Title 2 oversight of ISPs must remain in place!

53. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60615

I want to express my desire that the FCC preserve net neutrality and title 2. I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.

54. John Busch Jr, Oak Forest, IL, 60452

Net Neutrality is vital to the internet ecosystem and I am in strong support of Title 2 oversight of ISPs to foster equal access to information regardless of its content, origin, and destination.

55. Charles Yonts, Chicago, IL, 60616

The neutral internet as it stands today is no doubt one of the largest freedoms individuals citizens express every single day. Our professional lives are constantly full of red tape and barricades that prevent us from having more choices in our services and our products. The internet is still a magical place where no one can tell you where to shop, what to play, and where to find your news. A non-neutral net would hinder nearly every individual user, strictly to the benefit of faceless corporations who would be literally bribing ISPs to do their bidding upon the public. Any attack on net neutrality is doomed to fail in years time. Let's all be on the right side of history, and the right side of internet freedom.

56. John Rafferty, Mokena, IL, 60448

I support Net Neutrality, the standing rule under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I do not agree with any attempt designed to "fix" or "alter" standing Net Neutrality rules. The internet should work (or aim to function) like a public utility, which never favors one person, group, ISP provider, customer, corporation, etc. over another. There should be no fast and slow tracks to the internet based on what group or company one belongs to. The FCC should serve the public interest, not the corporate interest, and not the interests of the rich and connected above those that work for a living and require the internet to do their jobs. Retain Net Neutrality; retain Title II of the Communications Act of 1934; retain equal access for all.

57. Teresa Martinez, Palos Heights, IL, 60463

I SUPPORT AND CONTINUE TO WANT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II.

58. Armin Rajauskas, Chicago, IL, 60653

Title 2 is a good and necessary regulation to help keep an open marketplace in the internet. Rolling back regulations would cause biases and interference in the market which is the opposite of what we would want. Keep the regulations as they are, if not tighten them to keep ISPs in check.

59. Leslie Mataya, Chicago, IL, 60615

I am urging the FCC to preserve net neutrality laws as they are. Please do not "take a weed whacker" to this important issue. I believe that access to information/services on the Internet should be free and open for everyone, not just those companies willing to out-pay other companies for boosted service.

60. Phillip Maira, Oak Forest, IL, 60452

Hello, Today I'm writing you concerning net neutrality. After researching comments made by FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I am worried about the current net neutrality laws changing to be more advantageous toward the ISPs instead of the consumer/public. As a registered voter, I would like to see an increase, if not

a continuation at the current form, of strong net neutrality that enforces title 2 oversight over ISPs. Please, I repeat, keep or increase net neutrality oversight over ISPs by keeping them under title 2. I do not support ISPs being reverted back to title 1. I do not support the abolishing of either title 1 nor title 2. Thank you for your time.- Phil M.

61. Marina Vulinovic, Chicago, IL, 60615
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I believe this is the best way to have a free and open internet.
62. Ethan Della Rocca, Chicago, IL, 60637
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Net Neutrality is necessary for a free and open internet that can serve as a marketplace of ideas. In order for competition, both economic and intellectual, to truly thrive on the internet, net neutrality must continue to exist in its current form.
63. Julia Key, Chicago, IL, 60615
Protecting internet users' best interests and freedom to access any and all sites should be a top priority. Please please preserve net neutrality
64. shin gerth, Chicago, IL, 60616
Please preserve net neutrality as supported by Title 2 classification of Internet Service Providers.
65. Adi Potashnick, Chicago, IL, 60615
To whom it may concern,I am commenting here to assert my hope that Title II will continue to be upheld. Net Neutrality is incredibly important to me, and I believe that allowing big businesses to self-regulate themselves in a space that is as potentially harmful to our society as it is beneficial to their bottom line is shortsighted and wrong. Please do not reclassify ISPs!
66. Sara Paver, Chicago, IL, 60615
Please preserve strong net neutrality backed by title II.
67. George Freyermuth, Chicago, IL, 60615
Strong net neutrality regulations under Title II are important both for the average individual and consumer, but also for fostering businesses. Enabling proper and free competition and access to the market are necessary for start up companies to thrive. 600+ start-ups have stated that reclassifying ISPs under Title I rather than Title II would limit competition and would weaken entrepreneurship and small business in the USA. Please, preserve net neutrality under Title II regulations of ISPs.
68. Matthew J. Byrnes, Blue Island, IL, 60406

The internet is more important now than any other method of communication covered by the FCC, we the people should not be subject to the whims of the ISPs who would seek to profit by strangling their competition.

69. Christopher Nevell, Orland Park, IL, 60462

I would like to support keeping ISPs under Title 2 and continue towards full net neutrality in order to promote fair business practices and allow a free market to prosper within the Internet without potential interference by ISP.

70. Kevin Micks, Orland Park, IL, 60462

I resent that you plan to rollback the net neutrality rules that classify ISPs as utilities under Title II. Stop supporting the ambitions of monopoly by the ISPs. We demand a free, open internet classified under Title II, which allows for greater competition in the market and open access by the users. You know exactly what the cable companies want to do and you are selling us out because of their deep wallets.

71. Jack Petraitis, Frankfort, IL, 60423

I would like you to protect net neutrality rules, and prevent cable companies like Verizon, Cox, Comcast, Time Warner, etc from controlling what I see on the internet or throttling bandwidth speeds for the content I seek out. Don't play favorites. Keep the net neutral.

72. Steven Basart, Chicago, IL, 60637

Internet Service Providers (ISPs) should remain under Title 2 for the good of the public. As many areas in this country only have access to one ISP, by removing the current regulations placed upon them the ISPs would be acting as the sole arbiter of internet content. This issue is important to me as I do not wish to be walled by my ISP or pay premiums for access to the same content and standards that I have today.

73. Lillian Roderick Buescher, Chicago, IL, 60616

Please leave the internet alone you capitalistic freaks: let me watch netflix in peace

74. Drew Boshardy, Chicago, IL, 60615

I support Strong Net Neutrality. The FCC needs to declare ISPs as Title 2 entities in order to more strictly enforce Strong Net Neutrality and preserve the freedom of the internet. The Internet is a utility, not a commodity. It should therefore be treated as such. ISPs have for too long been held unaccountable. They've taken taxpayer money to improve infrastructure, and instead pocketed it for their own gains [0]. They have strong monopolies entire cities and regions that allow them to enforce inane policies, fees, and restrictions meant to line their pocketbooks.[0]:

<https://www.ntia.doc.gov/legacy/broadbandgrants/comments/61BF.pdf>

75. Brian Montgomery, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
Please maintain the current net neutrality controls and don't reduce controls in this space for the profit of your former employer.
76. Jeff Lopez, Chicago, IL, 60628
Please keep the internet open and a fair, competitive market place for content providers and consumers alike.
77. Darcy Ross, Chicago, IL, 60615
Net Neutrality is incredibly important to a free society. I back Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II.
78. Catherine Eng, Chicago, IL, 60637
We live in a capitalist society, if a company is given the opportunity to profit due to capital favoritism, they will. Lets keep the internet from turning into club penguin.
79. Zachary Hartley, Chciago, IL, 60615
I support strong net neutrality support backed by title II oversights of ISPs
80. christopher raday, crestwood, IL, 60445
Please do not allow internet ISPs to manipulate traffic. Please preserve net neutrality and Title II.Thank you
81. Nickolas, Chicago, IL, 60643
Ajit Pai I want strong neutrality and believe that Title Two is necessary to enforce it, through the FCC.
82. John Willis, Orland Park, IL, 60462
As a citizen of this United States I would like to inform Mr. Ajit Pai and other members of the FCC that it is the citizens that pay for the government oversight and it is for the citizens that these decisions are to be made. Mr. Ajit Pai and other members of the FCC work for the people and NOT FOR THE CORPORATIONS! Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 is simple and clear. If I can understand it, so can all of you! As a citizen I will expect the interest of the people to be put before the interest (and money) of the corporations. Do the right thing and uphold Title II for the good of the people!
83. Adam Borgo, Manhattan, IL, 60442
I am commenting in support of Net Neutrality and upholding the classification of Type II (2) for ISPs. I believe that we should uphold changes made during the Obama administration.
84. Michael J. Van De North, New Lenox, IL, 60451
You have got a lot of nerve!

85. peter, Palos Heights, IL, 60463
Abolishing net neutrality laws are another way for big businesses to kill small business competition.
86. Gino Bollentino, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
Do not repeal Net Nuetrality
87. Jonathan Vota, Elwood, IL, 60421
Net neutrality is vital to my way of life. I use the internet for browsing, streaming, down and uploading purposes much more than daily. I will not support regulations or officials who seek to end neutrality.
88. Brianna Stein, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
We need net neutrality. Plan and simple. Manipulating internet speeds is unfair and pointless. You make enough money just leave the internet the hell alone.
89. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60637
I explicitly support the preservation of net neutrality and Title 2.
90. Melvin White, Chicago, IL, 60652
I'm signing this today for the greater good of a free society. We cannot let corporate cronies like Ajit Patel determine the fate of our sovereign society by crippling the rules put in place by Tom Wheeler and our previous administration.
91. Karthik Srinivasan, Chicago, IL, 60637
I oppose the "restoring internet freedom" change to existing regulations. I feel that ISPs should be regulated under Title II. Mr. Pai, as a former verizon employee, should know that Verizon has previously tried to skirt these same net neutrality rules, which is why the FCC switched to using Title II authority in the first place. Allowing ISPs to have free reign to break net neutrality rules will hamper smaller internet companies and disincentivize innovation.
92. James Phillips, Monee, IL, 60449
The internet should be treated as a public utility, and as such should NOT be weighted for or against any site by any provider for any reason. Net neutrality laws matter, and you should not be stripping them away.
93. Aaron Gettinger, Chicago, IL, 60615
To whom it may concern:I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.Regards,
94. Ben Pasulka, Frankfort, IL, 60423
I am in favor of Net-neutrality and the thought of you selling it out to big business to better profit from it is a disgrace. Do right by the people and let us

choose so we have the freedom to search the internet.

95. Priscilla Dixon, Chicago, IL, 60649
Net neutrality is important and essential. ISPs need to remain under Title II with stricter oversight to insure that all domains have the same access to being found regardless of search engine or carrier provider.
96. Ariel Aiash, Chicago, IL, 60615
Keep the current legal enforceability of net neutrality. Stay on title 2 footing not on title 1
97. Harrison Snodgrass, Chicago, IL, 60637
I would like to state my position in support of the current title 2 regulation of the internet. I hope to keep net neutrality alive.
98. Nelson Monterrosa Diaz, Chicago, IL, 60615
Please preserve net neutrality and title #2. I can't imagine living in a country that allows (even in a distant future) to have any sort of restriction in my internet surfing.
99. All, Palos Heights, IL, 60463
I strongly support net neutrality and will be extremely upset should any vote be passed in opposition of strong net neutrality.
100. William J. Radtke, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
Keep ISP's classified under Title II status.
101. Bootsie Lamoreau, Chicago, IL, 60615
Please preserve net neutrality and keep it under Title II oversight. Preserving net neutrality means the FCC supports American citizens over giant corporations.
102. Liam Canavan, Chicago, IL, 60637
I very strongly support the use of Title II authority to preserve net neutrality, increase competition in the broadband and internet service market, and protect consumers of internet services.
103. Yolanda, Chicago, IL, 60620
No toll cost....we pay enough already.
104. Gordon Dymowski, Chicago, IL, 60643
I would like the FCC to consider enforcing stronger Net Neutrality protections backed by Title 2 Oversight of ISPs. I am *not* in favor of the current administration's efforts to roll back those protections and believe that the current head of the FCC spends too much time showing off his large coffee mug and not enough time focusing on policies that benefit the people.

105. Saffa Khan, Chicago, IL, 60615
I support strong net neutrality backed by title two's oversight of ISPs.
106. Kevin Flynn, Tinley Park, IL, 60487
I STRONGLY and ADAMANTLY support Net Neutrality. Do your job and protect the citizens of this great country from big business.
107. Kevin Furlong, Chicago, IL, 60637
Strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs is 100% necessary. Anything less will allow for interference by ISPs in an area that is absolutely none of their business. The suggestion that "a verbal agreement" between ISPs and the FCC will suffice to keep them from providing favoritism in exchange for money or other remuneration is insulting and absurd. Ajit Pai has shown that he is more interested in large companies making money than he is in keeping the Internet a level playing field. Also, as a former employee of Verizon, Ajit Pai should never have been considered for this position in the first place, but here we are. Everyone on the planet who isn't extremely high up in one of only a handful of communications corporations supports net neutrality. Big companies don't need to get bigger - small businesses who rely on Internet marketing will die if net neutrality is compromised. Please stop helping the big get bigger and start listening to what the American people actually want.
108. Vanessa R. Haslerig, Chicago, IL, 60620
I would greatly appreciate if capitalist fuckery did not impede any individual's ability to use the internet. It is crucial that net neutrality remains to the benefit of all. Students in poverty up to the 1% need internet for school and should face struggles to do so. Bluntly, the government stands between the people and the businesses: value us before salivating for their dollars.
109. Spencer Lundy, Chicago, IL, 60637
Please keep the internet regulated under title 2. Even not considering the hoopla that's been generated by John Oliver and others, I believe that it is important for the internet to be regulated as a title 2 utility for the main reason of allowing simpler and less intrusive regulations in the future. Although I may believe that regulations are harmful for business, if we don't have some form of strict oversight on internet providers, then we are opening ourselves up to a less dynamic and productive internet in the future.
110. Antonia j Clifford, Chicago, IL, 60653
I strongly support #NetNeutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs and OPPOSE Ajit Pai's comments re: terms of service.
111. Thomas Cappelli, Alsip, IL, 60803
I support net neutrality and the title II designation as well as oversight of isps that keeps the Internet fair and equal.

112. G Jensen, Chicago, IL, 60643
Please maintain the current internet law that prevents slowing down or speeding up certain sites. Internet neutrality should be a right and freedom for all.
113. Net Neutrality, New Lenox, IL, 60451
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II over site of internet service providers.
114. Greg Owen-Boger, Chicago, IL, 60643
The internet should remain neutral. Keep big money out of decisions such as these.
115. Margaet Gaynor, Palos Heights, IL, 60463
I specifically support Internet Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of internet service providers.
116. Kevin Staniszewski, Mokena, IL, 60448
I support net neutrality backed by Title 2. Please do not get rid of this!
117. 17-108, Markham, IL, 60428
I, specifically, support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's.
118. Timm Napravnik, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
Please keep Net Neutrality under Title II. The main job of any ISP is to deliver content without any discrimination, and without Title II protection, Internet users will be at the mercy of the ISPs.
119. Rachel, Chicago, IL, 60637
Net neutrality is very important. We will pressure congress to get you all fired, if you mess with net neutrality. Please preserve title 2.
120. William A Schwesig, Chicago, IL, 60637
I am strongly in favor of preserving net neutrality under Title II. The ISPs must not be allowed to extort money from companies wishing to deliver content and services over the internet.
121. Kyle Doidge, Palos Heights, IL, 60463
There is no way at all that you should strip down net neutrality. I know what these changes will bring about and I hate it. I absolutely despise the idea. If this happens, whoever is getting paid to allow this to pass I will never vote for again and I will not economically support any of the businesses that back this either. Never ever touch the freedom of the internet and you should be ashamed that you'd try and misguide the public into believing this is good for them.
122. Colin Halverson, Chicago, IL, 60637

I demand that you preserve net neutrality and title 2!

123. Alex Shepherd, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
I demand you protect net neutrality rules on my behalf. The internet should be open and free; please keep it that way! Do not scrap the rules already in place.
124. James Quinlan, Alsip, IL, 60803
Please do not mess this up and preserve net neutrality supported by title 2.
125. Andrew Cutler, Chicago, IL, 60615
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please don't ruin the internet for the rest of us because you think satisfying your corporate overlords is more important than freedom.
126. Joana Young, Chicago, IL, 60620
I specifically support strong net neutrality by keeping ISPs regulated under Title II. Net neutrality is too important to be left to the whims of individual ISPs.
127. Karen Jefferies, Chicago, IL, 60652
It is important that the government support internet neutrality and keep it's oversight under Title II. I support the current standard, and wish to maintain it as is. Do not jeopardize the use of the internet.
128. Peio Peiwur, Crestwood, IL, 60445
If the internet is to continue to be a healthy platform for education, commerce, communication, and expression, ISPs must be regulated so as to ensure net neutrality. The large ISPs are amoral corporations, not entities interested in the good of society, the good of all businesses, and the good of individuals. They cannot be trusted to regulate themselves with respect to providing a truly free and open internet. If certain drug companies that have a monopoly or near-monopoly on *lifesaving* drugs are so perverse as to obscenely price-gouge the customers who need those drugs, can anyone (including Chairman Pai) trust effectively monopolistic ISPs to not put take advantage of any and all means to increase their profits? No. Thus, net neutrality regulations are essential for the protection of the internet and all of its users. Such regulations are essential for the continued strength of the US economy and the sustenance of liberty and freedom.
129. Dylan Doidge, Palos Heights, IL, 60463
I and al are against the neutrality changes
130. Al Markham, Chicago, IL, 60620
I support strong net neutrality Net Neutrality is the basic principle that prohibits internet service providers like Verizon from speeding up , slowing down or blocking any content, applications or websites that the consumer wishes to use.

The only reason FCC Chairman Ajit Pai is "revisiting" this issue is because when he worked for Verizon that company sued the FCC to eliminate the rules. The Verizon lawsuit is the reason that ISPs are now governed under the FCC Title II authority. FCC Chairman Pai's actions are unethical.

131. Matthew Tempinski, Orland Park, IL, 60462
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am extremely opposed to Docket No. 17-108. Something like this would ruin a lot of things for many people. Please do not allow something like this to happen. I implore you to be reasonable and think objectively.
132. Beverly Smallwood, Chicago, IL, 60643
I support STRONG Internet neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The internet is a public utility much like telephone service and should not be faster or slower, accessible or blocked, depending on the service or website you are accessing.
133. Betsy Bedoe, Mokena, IL, 60448
Internet access is a utility. I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality does NOT impact their investment in networks.
134. Joel Schwartz, Frankfort, IL, 60423
I support net neutrality. There should be strong Title II oversight of ISPs. Do not let the ISPs regulate themselves. It will just result in a market failure with slower internet and higher prices for consumers.
135. Nicholas Tholen, New Lenox, IL, 60451
Strict net neutrality rules on Internet Service Providers under its current Title II legal standing is of fundamental importance to a free and open internet, and should continue to be regulated as such.
136. Jacob Lewis, Mokena, IL, 60448
I support Title II oversight for net neutrality rules with strong rules keeping all access to the internet equal and not prioritized.
137. Zazahry Morgan, Chicago, IL, 60621
Reverting back to Title 1 for Net Neutrality will eventually create unfair advantages for other companies. Its best to keep the current law as it is now.
138. Devin, chicago, IL, 60616
Please maintain strict net neutrality and TITLE II designation for all ISPs. The internet needs to be free of access bias. Connection strength should not be used as a tool to reduce competition.
139. Mary Johnson, chicago, IL, 60615

I strongly support net neutrality. Please halt any actions that would compromise net neutrality and create incentives for internet discriminatory practices in regards to net speed. Thank you.

140. Kara Ziegler, Orland Park, IL, 60462

I am in full support of net neutrality laws and title II regulations. Removing these regulations would be a huge mistake, as their removal would only benefit big business rather than the American people. How does giving ISPs the power to choke internet speeds for competing websites bolster the free market? It doesn't. All it does is benefit the ISPs that are lobbying for deregulation. Please keep the internet neutral!

141. John Butterfield, Chicago, IL, 60615

I strongly support net neutrality regulation under Title II. Voluntary compliance will not ensure an open and fair internet.

142. jim striupas, Frankfort, IL, 60423

Do not touch my internet.

143. Alexandre Perry Lovallo, Chicago, IL, 60615

Please preserve Title 2 and Net Neutrality. I believe in this instance government regulation preserves freedom and equality in access to information.

144. Joyce Watson, New Lenox, IL, 60451

I support strong net neutrality and title II REGULATIONS and restoring internet freedoms. I do not want to roll back THESE LAWS.

145. Thomas Nicotra II, Frankfort, IL, 60423

I support strong, enforceable net neutrality rules over ISP's under Title II. As a computer programmer, I rely on an open internet, and hope that you will not remove the current rules guarding this necessary resource.

146. James Hudetz, tinley park, IL, 60477

Please keep the Internet fair for everyone. I support net neutrality, keep isps under title 2

147. michael delaney, tinley park, IL, 60477

keep title 2 regulations....ISP's can not be trusted to self regulate. For that matter no organization can self regulate or self discipline. Oversight and special prosecutors are always in demand and needed.

148. Brian White, Chicago, IL, 60615

I support Title II classification of ISPs and strong regulatory protections for net neutrality. An open internet is vital for a country like ours that values free expression, access to education, and entrepreneurship.

149. Kyle, Palos Heights, IL, 60463
I strongly support Title 2 oversight of ISPs to preserve net neutrality.
150. Austin, Chicago, IL, 60616
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. I do not trust that corporations without risk of legal punishment, will voluntarily agree to act in the best interest of their customers.
151. Rachel Duffy, Blue Island, IL, 60406
Do not allow net neutrality
152. Jack Land, Frankfort, IL, 60423
The FCC must keep Net Neutrality intact and must not let the Trump administration repeal the regulation that is so important to the lives of every American. If the Trump administration will not rule in favor of the people, rather than corporations, we as citizens must take it into our own hands. The US government is by the people and for the people, and we intend to keep it that way by not allowing such unconstitutional actions be taken against our great democracy.
153. Bethany Grossheider, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
It is CRUCIAL that you preserve net neutrality, and preserve Title 2!! Why? Mainly because Title 2 is super close to being Title 1...but also because I don't want you slowing down my internet speed whilst I'm up all night watching 1950's French Noir films and the entire "Ernest Goes To" movie franchise. This is super important, guys. So listen up buttercup, and keep the interwebz fair and square!!
154. Drew Moore, Chicago, IL, 60615
I support strong net neutrality regulations. Title II oversight of internet service providers is essential to the protection of the free and open internet.
155. Peter Evangelakis, Chicago, IL, 60637
I would like to voice my support of strong FCC Net Neutrality rules under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I am in favor of a truly free and open Internet, and I hope that the FCC will maintain the protections that have fostered such freedom from the potential interference or manipulation of Internet Service Providers.
156. Michele Lee, Chicago, IL, 60619
Please preserve net neutrality. It is a huge part of our Freedom of Speech. Thank you.
157. Robert Gruener, Chicago, IL, 60615
Please preserve net neutrality by keeping both wired and wireless internet

service classified as common carriers under Title II.

158. Ilana Ventura, Chicago, IL, 60615
Please maintain net neutrality by maintaining the internet/ ISP's as title II classification.
159. Brian Flannery, Chicago, IL, 60653
I IMPLORE YOU TO PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY! WE ALL SEE THAT THE ONLY OPPONENTS OF NET NEUTRALITY ARE THOSE WHO STAND TO ABUSE AND PROFIT FROM CONSTRAINING IT THE WEB.
160. vivak patel, Chicago, IL, 60615
Please maintain net neutrality by maintaining ISPs as Title II
161. Cynthia A Flowers, Chicago, IL, 60619
PRESERVE Net Neutrality and Title II.
162. Ragan Mozee, Chicago, IL, 60619
Please stop treating the American people as though we are all idiots. Keep ISPs under Title II.
163. Anthony Ginocchio, Chicago, IL, 60615
The fact that you're even considering these changes is appalling and a threat to equal opportunity and free communication in our country. I strongly oppose changing the classification of internet resources from a utility.
164. Danyell Hartdige, Chicago, IL, 60619
Please preserve net neutrality and title II. Preserve our right to choose what we want to look at the Internet without any issue. Thank you.
165. conor keane, Oak forest, IL, 60452
I oppose this legislation, and any similar policies that would bring an end to net neutrality, or even begin to erode at the freedom of the internet as a medium. Internet policy should be kept free from corporate control and influence, and legislation such as this would have a disastrous effect.
166. Paul Lovegren, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
Preserve net neutrality in title 2. Please and thank you.
167. J Middleman, Chicago, IL, 60615
ISPs are essential utilities in any modern economy. In order to regulate them appropriately as such, they MUST remain under Title 2. The kind of DIY regulation that Chairman Pai has suggested is as laughable as his oversized mug, by which I mean it's ridiculous that - as a grown man - he owns a giant

Reeses mug. Moving ISPs back to Title 1 would be to create a new era of robber baron tycoons. Keep the internet free and open.

168. Gautam Upadhyia, Chicago, IL, 60615
I strongly support the concept of net neutrality implemented by Title II oversight of ISPs. Ajit Pai's views on this matter are absurd, and he clearly has a conflict of interest. Self regulation simply does not work.
169. Nathan Borgo, Manhattan, IL, 60442
My family and I support the need for strong net neutrality under Title II oversight of ISPs.
170. John T Scholl, Orland Park, IL, 60462
I am commenting in support of strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 and Section 706 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Regulation has shown no clear signs of stunting growth and should remain in place to protect consumers.
171. Tim Garbaciak, Mokena, IL, 60448
I support strong Net Neutrality protections under Title II of the Communication Act of 1934.
172. Thoms Kuehl, Mokena, IL, 60448
Do not screw with net neutrality. Preserve Title 2
173. Deborah Garbaciak, Mokena, IL, 60448
Keep isp's under title II
174. Jake Alleruzzo, Midlothian, IL, 60445
Protect net neutrality and Title II (2) that protects the people from unfair practices by ISPs.
175. Daniel, Chicago, IL, 60615
It is imperative that we preserve net neutrality and keep ISPs under Title II. Do not mess this up. That is all.
176. Alessandra Kelley, Chicago, IL, 60615
I strongly believe that is vital that the FCC preserve strong Net Neutrality backed with Title II oversight of ISPs.
177. William Dowgiallo, Palos Heights, IL, 60463
keep support of net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
178. Sharon Richmond, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
Please keep Net Neutrality and Title II. It will keep the playing field even for

internet providers & users.

179. Matthew Baggett, New Lenox, IL, 60451
Do what is morally right and preserve net neutrality.
180. Net Neutrality, Orland Park, IL, 60462
Net Neutrality is an important issue that affects all Americans. The greed of large corporations should not interfere with the cry of the people when they say they want a free internet. The government is by the people, and thus for the people. We the people want a free place, a free and open place, one that does not discriminate, one that does not unjustly choose one brand over another based on financial incentive; a neutral internet with no inherent financial bias is what we, the people, want. It is your job as a government institution to represent that. Thank you
181. MacLagan, Chicago, IL, 60637
We urge you, FCC, to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II!
182. Nathaniel Rossum, Chicago, IL, 60637
I strong oppose this proposal, and we need to keep net neutrality, and keep a free Internet market for all.
183. Alex Maiorella, Chicago, IL, 60637
Please preserve "title II" classification for Net Neutrality rules.
184. Maayan Brown, Chicago, IL, 60615
We specifically and strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPâ€™s.
185. Karen Loudon, Chicago, IL, 60643
Do NOT let a former attorney for Verizon gut the very protection that was erected to keep that same company, and others like it, from circumventing any such protection for its own profit and benefit and to the detriment of the general public. Never has any industry voluntarily policed itself to the benefit of consumers when it could instead pursue profit and self interest.
186. James, Mokena, IL, 60448
The FCC Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and to everyone! Please protect them! I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites or slow them down! I do not want them to decide which sites are more accessible than others or be able to split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that

payâ€”and slow lanes for everyone elseâ€”if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer fast lanes to websites for a fee, and Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do just this. But if you let ISPs make some websites fast and others artificially slowâ€”for a feeâ€”you will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in Americaâ€”just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service! That's completely flipping awful and shameful! I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support Title II rules and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!James

187. Jennifer Schanz, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
Please protect Net Neutrality. Read Ready Player One by Ernest Cline if you aren't convinced.
188. Restoring Internet Freedom, New Lenox, IL, 60451
Strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's
189. Brandyn Krause, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
There is a reason people did this last time! I am in strong support of Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
190. Adam Togtman, Frankfort, IL, 60423
I support of Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108 which would hurt consumers.
191. *, Tinley Park, IL, 60487
Do not destroy the neutrality of the internet. I am an aspiring internet business owner and want to keep the internet a fair place for business owners like me.
192. Jennifer Bullaro, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
We need to save Net Neutrality!! I worry that the protections in place will be weakened if we change the way theyâ€™TMre enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any.
193. susan cholico, Alsip, IL, 60803
Don't ban net neutrality-
194. Jennifer Moran, Chicago, IL, 60615
I am writing in support of Net Neutrality backed by Title II and strong

enforcement. Thank you.

195. Yasmeen Hussain, Chicago, IL, 60637
I urge the FCC and the Trump Administration to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
196. Jonathan S Rockhill, Chicago, IL, 60637
As Americans, we pride ourselves in freedom, and the free internet is a shining example of that. The regulations imposed on ISPs by categorizing them under Title II are valuable in protecting that freedom, and if it is downgraded back to title I, we run the risk of losing that freedom about which we care so dearly. We must keep net neutrality, and the only way to do so is to keep ISPs under title II. Anything else is an affront to what it means to be an American!
197. Mario DiBartolomeo, Frankfort, IL, 60423
I specially support strong net neutrality that's backed by title 2 oversight of isp's.
198. Vivian Lee, Chicago, IL, 60637
Please continue Net Neutrality and protect free speech and free choice, which will protect consumers.
199. Donald Seielstad, Frankfort, IL, 60423
The government needs to ensure net neutrality is maintained in the USA. Do not reclassify rules governing ISP's oversight from Title II. All data and all access should be delivered to all customers at the same speeds - without prejudice of money.
200. net neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60615
Regulation under Title II is paramount to a fair, open internet and maintaing net neutrality.
201. Sarah Beechy, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
I support strong net neutrality backed by tile II oversight of internet service providers.
202. Josh Freed, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
I support strong internet neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of internet service providers. Please act in the best interest of me as a consumer.
203. Rob, Chicago, IL, 60641
Preserve net neutrality. Do not remove ISP's from title II; doing so would be disastrous to the concept of a Free and Open internet.
204. Stewart Engerer, Chicago, IL, 60643
Preserve net neutrality. Title 'II protects us all. Do not change to your money

grubbing laws.

205. Madeline Marshall, Chicago, IL, 60615
I ardently support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Do not let corporate greed outweigh our freedom of speech or access to information. The internet must remain open.
206. michelle bruno, Palos heights, IL, 60463
I do not support the attacks on net neutrality. I want personal freedom to explore the internet at my discretion, not under the advisement of whoever provides my internet. Don't allow them to kill net neutrality.
207. Wendy wolgan, Frankfort, IL, 60423
I strongly support internet neutrality backed by by title 2 of isps'
208. amy bulbrooke, Frankfort, IL, 60423
The FCC needs to follow the title II rules. The internet and websites should not be prioritized by who pays the most. True internet freedom is allowing all sites the same chance and allow the users to have the choice of what sites or services we want to view in the internet and not have limitations on services or speed.
209. Lauren Berlant, Chicago, IL, 60637
I strongly oppose the shifting of the classification of internet service providers from Title 2 to Title 1 category. I strongly believe in a robust public sphere, the access to which should not be determined by profit making entities. This shift would greatly undermine net neutrality and unbalance freedom of speech to the benefit of the wealthy corporations and their stockholders. The internet should be seen as a public utility.
210. Kevin Murphy, Chicago, IL, 60620
I specifically support strong net neutrality that is backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. There are protections for everything in life and for good reason. There's no reason the internet should be without them. As much as humanity as a whole would like everyone to be able to self-police, it just isn't a reality. Everyone has a different idea of what's morally right. Some of those people would take a world without rules and use it to services only their self and actively harm anyone else to do it. The same thing goes for corporations. This is why net neutrality needs to be upheld.
211. Doron, Chicago, IL, 60637
Moving Internet Service Providers (ISP) back to title 1 will not help competition. Moving ISPs to to title 1 will hurt competition and therefore the economy. Preserve net neutrality - Keep ISPs under Title 2.
212. Megan Cipperly, Alsip, IL, 60803

Please continue to protect Net Neutrality under TITLE II.

213. Emily Elmore, Chicago, IL, 60615
Please preserve net neutrality by keeping isps under title II.
214. Aaron, Chicago, IL, 60615
Please maintain net neutrality by keeping ISPs under Title II. Innovation from web-based startups continues to maintain a high level of impact on the US economy. Protecting their ability to have open access to customers is critical for economic growth.
215. Leo Weinreb, Chicago, IL, 60637
To Ajit Pai:Just commenting to express my support for strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please reconsider your rigid stances on regulation; we all thrive on an open internet free from the tyranny of backwards business incentives. With best regards and hopes that you'll take my concerns seriously-- Leo.
216. Donald Meadows, Chicago, IL, 60629
I support Title II and strong internet neutrality
217. Susan Burnet, Chicago, IL, 60643
Preserve net neutrality, retain Title II
218. Kirstin Dahm, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
I strongly believe in net neutrality and the importance of Title II.
219. J, Chicago, IL, 60619
We must stand up for what is right. Net Neutrality is essential.
220. Ben Dahm, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
I strongly support strong net neutrality rules through Title II.
221. Lawrence A. White, Chicago, IL, 60615
Please preserve net neutrality and the title 2 designation. I support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title 2 OVERSIGHT of ISPs. It is important that access to the internet remain unbiased. The ISPs that the public relies on are entrusted with a great deal of the public's trust. And the citizens of this country need the ISPs to offer unbiased internet access.
222. Michael Cummings, Chicago, IL, 60615
I believe a free and open internet is very important. As a result, strong oversight of internet service providers backed by Title II is crucial to make this happen. The internet was built on the fact that any individual can access any website without hindrance or delay imposed by their service provider. Without these

rules, corporate greed would surely destroy the internet as we know it.

223. A. Huang, Chicago, IL, 60615
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Preserve Net Neutrality!
224. viktorija makarovaite, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
Do not remove net neutrality! I support TITLE II oversight of ISPs. You do not want to go against the American people on this because we all support net neutrality.
225. Brian Dunneback, Chicago, IL, 60643
As an end user of internet services, please keep the current net neutrality rules regarding Title II governance in place.
226. Christina Erikson, Chicago, IL, 60615
if you have a soul do not kill net neutrality.
227. Melikhan Tanyeri, Chicago, IL, 60615
I watched John Oliver show, and I understand that the current administration wants to roll back the net neutrality regulations. I believe that taking such a step would be detrimental to the internet usage related liberties that we currently have. I strongly urge you to defend the current regulations and preserve the net neutrality.
228. Joseph Neylon, Frankfort, IL, 60423
As a software engineer, Net Neutrality is a matter of utmost importance to me. My living is made through the internet. If internet service providers were allowed to discriminately scale bandwidth for any reason, my entirely livelihood would be in jeopardy.
229. Joseph Neylon, Frankfort, IL, 60423
As a software engineer, Net Neutrality is a matter of utmost importance to me. My living is made through the internet. If internet service providers were allowed to discriminately scale bandwidth for any reason, my entirely livelihood would be in jeopardy. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
230. Andrea Daniele, Chicago, IL, 60615
I do support the protection of Net Neutrality and the preservation of the Title II.
231. Julia Halpern, Chicago, IL, 60615
Please keep net neutrality, and to continue to classify ISPs as Title II. This is very important to me as a consumer and as a worker at a tech company.

232. Sien Hasker, Chicago, IL, 60615
Preserve current net neutrality regulations and title 2.
233. David Schaeffer, Chicago, IL, 60616
We must ABSOLUTELY have Net Neutrality via Title II regulations. Do not remove, repeal, or in anyway alter Title II that will allow for companies to control how end users access content on the internet.
234. Michael J. Reed, Chicago, IL, 60617
We specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title ii (2) oversight of internet service providers (ISP).
235. Edwin Hill, Chicago, IL, 60620
I urge you, especially under the current climate of civil unrest, to preserve the current Title II Communications regulations.
236. Seth Musser, Chicago, IL, 60615
The FCC should keep strong net neutrality and should continue to classify ISPs as common carriers.
237. Adrienne Ratzel e, Frankfort, IL, 60423
I would please like for you, the FCC, to keep the current guidelines regarding net neutrality. Article II should remain INTACT to preserve the freedoms that it currently allows for internet users and the restrictions against the ridiculously small group who control internet use, i.e. Verizon and Comcast. While I doubt you take the voice of the people into account when making million dollar decisions, I have to throw in my two cents, and hope that you consider all of the consequences that this will cause for everyone. Thank you.
238. Khalid Habeel, Robbins, IL, 60472
I strongly support net-neutrality that's backed by Title II oversight of all Internet Service Providers.
239. William Shaw, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
Only through Title 2, our ability to have a free and equal internet is guaranteed. To leave this responsibility to only the corporations is either short-sighted or in the face of the constituents you are responsible for. And honestly, I don't know which would be worse.
240. TitleII, Chicago, IL, 60615
The FCC needs to maintain Title II regulations of all ISPs in order to maintain the openness of the internet.
241. James Cloud, Mount Olive, IL, 63116
I am against the proposed changes and believe the Net Nuetrality laws, as have

been laid out for the past decade, should remain unchanged. I believe the proposed changes would be provide big gains for a select few partners at the consumers the FCC is tasked to protect.

242. don bohling, Crestwood, IL, 60445
Support net neutrality under title 2. This is the only fair way to maintain internet access.
243. Michael Donahue, Chicago, IL, 60643
Don't reclassify ISP's. Keep them regulated under title 2. Only an Ass-Clown would try and reclassify ISP's. Are you an Ass-Clown Ajit? Are you? ...are you?
244. Kevin Satzinger, Chicago, IL, 60615
I implore you to preserve net neutrality and Title II regulation. Don't let telecom lobbyists write these policies. The American people deserve a free and open internet. Act in their interest.
245. Rhiannon Blouin, Orland Park, IL, 60462
Be Neutral like Switzerland.
246. Amber piasecki, mokena, IL, 60448
I support net neutrality. fuck your reeses mug. leave my internet alone.
247. Michael W. Beck, PhD, Chicago, IL, 60615
I am writing to express my support for net neutrality and the continued treatment of internet service providers as common carriers. In a system where ISPs could limit or modify internet traffic, I believe our system of government will not be the same. In fact, I believe Donald Trump is president only because of net neutrality exists. In a USA with out net neutrality, corporations could have limited access to his website or the websites of his supporters because they did not agree with his policies. Despite my dislike of Pres. Trump, I believe in free speech and to have real free speech today we need a free and uninhibited internet connection.
248. Andrew Wright, CHICAGO, IL, 60615
I firmly support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Chairman Pai's net neutrality plan (putting net neutrality promises in terms of service agreements) is utter nonsense. Terms of service agreements change frequently, and how is the FTC supposed to track every ISP's terms of service agreements to make sure they all consistently promise net neutrality? If it succeeds in doing this...that kind of sounds like a law, yes? That is a bit contradictory to Chairman Pai's anti-regulation stance. Keep Title II net neutrality!

249. Catherine Hargreaves, Chicago, IL, 60637
Please protect net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This affects everyone. It is important to everyone. Don't be the asshole in the movie who thinks he can patent water; no one likes him and he always gets defeated in the end anyway. Thanks.
250. Nicole Wojcik, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
Please keep Title II classification of ISPs, there is already evidence of bandwidth throttling in the past few years by ISP and websites alike as bargaining tactics (just check news on Netflix, Verizon and AT&T in 2014, 2015 news articles). It is at the very least naive to assume throttling wouldn't happen if government regulations were loosened and downright lying to yourself/the public at the worst.
251. Matt, Crestwood, IL, 60445
The fact that Pai wants to cut net neutrality is testament to the pure nonsense that this administration represents. It is best not to let businesses self regulate as they will constantly ignore any idea of regulation and instead harm the american people. Pai should be forced to resign and crawl back to the companies that he is most likely still on the payroll of.
252. Andrew Wu, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
I strongly support an open and free Internet protected by net neutrality rules backed by Title 2.
253. mary luksetich, Manhattan, IL, 60442
I fully support net neutrality and title 2 management of isp's
254. Nick Galloway, Chicago, IL, 60619
I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's
255. KELVIN, CHICAGO, IL, 60620
STOP FUCKING WITH OUR INTERNET!!! SUPPORT INTERNET NEUTRALITY
256. Brady Guy, Chicago, IL, 60619
I strongly support Net Neutrality back by Title II Oversight of ISPs. Do not change anything.
257. John Pappas, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
Please keep net neutrality in place and the ISP's under Title II regulations.
258. Nicholas Ferrari, Frankfort, IL, 60423
Net neutrality is important because repealing it would give ISPs undue influence over my media consumption. Conservatives used to believe in the

market and in INDIVIDUAL RIGHTS. Rights like privacy, the ability to grow up in an untainted environment, and the right to fight for a place in this world. Repealing net neutrality would further the ongoing demise of the worlds greatest institutions. Courage seems in short supply in Washington. Stand up for us. Stand up for American freedom. Keep the Internet neutral.

259. Ruby An, Chicago, IL, 60615
PRESERVE TITLE II & NET NEUTRALITY
260. David E Klawon Jr, Chicago, IL, 60615
Preserve Title II and Net Neutrality. Make the internet great again!
261. Samantha Bamberger, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805
I believe in a free net and Title II. You can make it harder for us to speak but we will be heard. I believe in a free net so strongly I just agreed to the disclaimer that makes my information publically available.
262. Rohit Agarwal, Chicago, IL, 60616
Preserving Net Neutrality and Title 2 is vitally important to the success and future of America, and to protect American capitalism from the corporate greed of ISP's.
263. Arvind Murugan, Chicago, IL, 60637
Please do not allow Verizon and other ISPs to give different bandwidth to different companies based on how much they are paid. Please preserve net neutrality.
264. Jeremy Doser, New Lenox, IL, 60451
I want to emphatically express that net neutrality needs to be preserved. Title II should continue to apply to the internet in order to keep the internet free and fair. This should seem obvious to most people who understand what net neutrality is (hint: not the president).
265. Mark Nie, Chicago, IL, 60637
I am a strong supporter of Net Neutrality. Please don't do this.
266. Walter Burkat, Chicago, IL, 60637
Please preserve current net neutrality regulations so that internet providers cannot alter user access speeds to promote their own interests.
267. Rees Beaver, Chicago, IL, 60616
I am for strong net neutrality, specifically backed by title II oversight of ISPs.
268. Sarah Dufour, Chicago, IL, 60615
I support strong net neutrality backed by Tittle II oversight of ISP's and I am

asking you to preserve them both. ISP regulation is imperative to maintaining free speech and government transparency, two fundamental aspects to our democracy.

269. Anika Tripathi, Chicago, IL, 60637
Preserve net neutrality and title II. Please! Save the way our internet functions and keep the space equitable.
270. Daniel Lastres, Chicago, IL, 60615
Do not rescind title 2 status. The current rules are an adequate foundation for net neutrality.
271. Roger Roglans, Chicago, IL, 60637
Please preserve net neutrality with the current status of ISPs under title 2 regulations.
272. Benjamin, Orland Hills, IL, 60487
I support Net Neutrality. I'm here because of John Oliver.
273. Brian Marshall, Crestwood, IL, 60445
Please retain net neutrality! Keep tcom II act in effect!
274. Bobby Lester, Frankfort, IL, 60423
Chairman Pai I am writing to ask the FCC to ensure that America has Strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
275. Bryan Sumner, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
Please maintain strong net neutrality rules that are currently in place.
276. Stefan Kocelko, Tinley park, IL, 60477
I want net neutrality to be upheld by title 2.
277. Jeff Johnson, Mokena, IL, 60448
Please keep net neutrality strong and keep ISPs under the current Title II legal footing.
278. Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60615
I am in favor of net neutrality backed by title 2. The fact that the ISP's want common carrier protections means that they should have to provide neutral access to data the way that electric companies cannot create preferential lanes for products they like. These protections are particularly important in America because we have monopolies on service in many cities. Giving such monopolies the power to throttle internet access is making an already powerful monopoly even more powerful. Removing net neutrality will give these companies even fewer reasons to innovate and will harm consumers. The only people who

benefit from net neutrality are the ISP's. No consumers who understand what this is, want this.

279. net neutrality, New Lenox, IL, 60451
I support a free and open web with net neutrality, so that all web users are equal in at least this context.
280. Michael Glotzer, Chicago, IL, 60615
Net neutrality is critical for future commerce, science, and innovation. To call it governmental overreach is Orwellian, it is the exact opposite. I am strongly opposed to rewriting FCC rules to undermine net neutrality. Do not approve 17-108.
281. Donna Dyrda, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
The Internet (which was originally created by the government for the military) should be open to all and ISP providers should not have legal authority to block or slow websites. The fact that providers want to dismantle the net neutrality law is an indication that they intend to monopolize the Web, thereby profiting from making it less open and free.
282. Madeleine McLeester, Chicago, IL, 60615
Please continue net neutrality and Title 2 classification.
283. Andrew Becker, Chicago, IL, 60615
The people demand net neutrality. It's vital to a functional democracy. Thank you.
284. Roberto, Midlothian, IL, 60445
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's
285. James Long, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805
The internet is a public good and private interests should not be allowed so much control over it. Quit selling off our freedom! I will actively oppose any politician who refuses to fight for net neutrality.
286. Rich Rosell, Frankfort, IL, 60423
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II. Please - I URGE YOUR - support strong net neutrality backed by Title II
287. Patrick J Bigoness, Blue Island, IL, 60406
The internet needs to stay open and free. By allowing the already monopolous cable regime to limit the use of the internet and to intrude upon the activities of it's users there by observing them you would simply be moving us firther along down the road towards true government by corporation. And this is a road down which we have progressed frightfully far.

288. Kevin Stanley, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II Oversight of Internet Service Providers. I do not believe, for an instant, that ISPs will operate on "good faith." I also do not believe that companies like Verizon or Comcast will avoid finding ways to abuse oversights or loopholes that are found under Title I of the Communications Act of 1934. Finally, I would strongly suggest that the FCC familiarize themselves with a 2010 lawsuit issued to their organization by Verizon, which determined that the FCC could not enforce net neutrality rules under Title I. This resulted in the FCC having to classify ISPs under Title II, in order to maintain oversight.
289. Alexis Howard, Chicago, IL, 60615
I strongly support net neutrality with Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank you for your time.
290. JULIE ASTOR, ORLAND PARK, IL, 60462
The current title II net neutrality protections are critical to maintaining impartial availability of internet content from all users, for all users. It would be extremely damaging to our nation to repeal or weaken this law.
291. Kyle A Benton, Chiacago, IL, 60643
I am in support of strong net neutrality that is backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP. Because no one should have to pay extra just to visit a site the providers are not supporting
292. Lipi Gupta, Chicago, IL, 60637
Please support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am strongly opposed to Docket No. 17-108!
293. Daniel Micheroni, chicago, IL, 60615
Maintain strong measures to ensure net neutrality. Keep ISPs under the Title 2 distinction.
294. Christopher Hal Jr, Chicago, IL, 60628
I believe the FCC should continue to treat the internet as a utility and do what it can to keep ISPs from blocking or slowing content from competitors.
295. Mark Burghard, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
Net neutrality is extremely important and needs to be preserved. In my opinion, ISP's already have too much power and dominate the market. We should not and can not allow them to control what we consume, as they have in the past when they were previously categorized under title I.
296. net neutrality, oak forest, IL, 60452
I strongly support continuing title 2 classification for ISP

297. net neutrality, oak forest, IL, 60452
I strongly support continuing Title 2 classification of ISP to insure net neutrality
298. Patrick Seiter, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805
My entire life depends on a neutral internet. I run several services on the internet. I talk with my friends on the internet from all over the world. I play games on the internet. If the net is made to no longer be neutral, then my way of life will be compromised, as I may no longer be able to host my services or communicate with my friends with the same level of quality that I have now.
299. Eileen Finn, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II rules for all ISPs
300. Daniel McLaughlin, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
Do not allow the free and open internet to be destroyed by big businesses. Information and the access to it is a right, not a privilege. Just like a library doesn't charge you for certain books, but not for others, net neutrality should remain as a standard for all information on the internet.
301. Blake Cooper, Chicago, IL, 60615
Maintaining net neutrality is very important to me. Deregulation exposes the internet to risks that go against the principles of free speech and fair play that are integral to our nation.
302. R Galle, Manhattan, IL, 60442
Fair and equal use, NET neutrality is a must have.... please keep!
303. Mattie McLaughlin, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
The internet should remain free and open. In the same way that the library gives the same access to all, the Internet is a source of knowledge and information that is not to be sold or bought by big companies. I am FOR Net Neutrality.
304. Jack Barbey, Chicago, IL, 60637
I am very concerned about this Restoring Internet Freedom proposal. A free internet is one with enforced Net Neutrality. I want ISP's to remain under the Title II restrictions.
305. Steven Kwasiborski, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
Please keep Net Neutrality. Giving internet providers the ability to censor or play favorites with content is detrimental to the marketplace, free market and freedom (press, free speech, etc.) overall.
306. Sara Starzyk, Alsip, IL, 60803
Do not allow Internet companies to make money from picking out the Web addresses I go to.

307. Zachary Minor, Chicago, IL, 60615
Net neutrality is essential to maintain a free and open internet. We must encourage innovation and maintain the free flow of ideas. Don't ruin a good thing.
308. kim salter, chicago, IL, 60619
I want net neutrality. Nothing less, I'm paying very close attention.
309. Cora Likins, Manhattan, IL, 60442
Hi I just wanted to say that I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I hope you'll listen to my strong and fervent opinion on this issue as it's an issue that every American is affected by! Thanks
310. Chris Bukovsky, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805
I support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight
311. Margaret Fitzpatrick, Tinley Park, IL, 60487
Please preserve Net Neutrality and the Title 2 status of the Internet regulation. Do not re-classify. Keep it Title 2
312. Henry Utset, Chicago, IL, 60615
Net neutrality must not be compromised. A free and open internet is crucial. ISPs must remain under Title II classification. To do otherwise would constitute a major disaster with serious ramifications for every American.
313. Jaime Young, Chicago, IL, 60616
Stop trying this, we won't stand for it and will continue to fight it longer than you'll fight against it.
314. Lonnie A. Connie, Chicago, IL, 60620
I am for equal
315. Abigail Adams, Chicago, IL, 60615
I support free speech and innovation that can only truly thrive with net neutrality. It's imperative to me that the FCC continues to preserve net neutrality as governed by Title II.
316. Deborah Faught, Midlothian, IL, 60445
Net neutrality needs to be protected and preserved, not removed!! Please do not mess with it!!!
317. Stephen Williams, Chicago, IL, 60620
Keep title 2 and net neutrality. I don't want my sites I like affected cause there are little regulations to stop ISP.

318. Kathleen Keating, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
I wish to state my preference for maintaining net neutrality.
319. Marilyn Cosentino, Chicago, IL, 60643
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight off ISPs.
320. Helena Smith, Blue Island, IL, 60406
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II, thank you very much.
321. Adam Hammond, Chicago, IL, 60637
It is naive to trust ISP companies to self-regulate or voluntarily comply with net neutrality rules. There is a clear and growing incentive for them to bias connection speeds. And these same companies have a history of conduct that ordinary Americans find to be unethical and unfair. Your responsibility to the public is clear! Do not change back to Title I classification of ISPs.
322. Mark Mroczenski, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
Do not change the current FCC rules. The rules need to remain under Title II especially since it does not make a significant impact on their business model. We should not be allowing ISP's to regulate the speed's of the internet regardless of how much is downloaded. We should also not allow them to track user information and sell to advertisers, period, whether it is on the website or even what we looked at on any website.
323. Lawrence Carr, Chicago, IL, 60620
I want strong net neutrality rules in place.
324. Andrew Wells, Chicago, IL, 60637
Hi. The internet was founded on the basis of net neutrality. Net neutrality is the most important and defining aspect of the internet. Trump is an idiot and doesn't grasp the concept of net neutrality – therefore his desire to end it is of no importance. Ajit Pai is a fool or a liar if he thinks that private corporations will honor a voluntary agreement to keep the net neutral. Given his connections to Verizon, he's most likely a liar. Make the educated choice. Don't be swayed by idiots, fools, or liars. You cannot entrust maintenance of net neutrality to the corporations which stand to benefit from it's demise. To repeal net neutrality is to take a step away from democracy and an act of corruption. Keep the dream alive and the internet, arguably humanity's greatest achievement, pure. Keep net neutrality.
325. Zachary Kahn, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805
Net Neutrality should be kept and it should still be enforced under Title 2. The idea that by giving internet service providers the option to say to different users that you must pay a fee or your content will be accessed at slower speeds than other content is abhorrent to the ideas of a well regulated market that has the

interests of the consumers in mind and those giant corporations.

326. Jill Loritz, Orland Park, IL, 60462
Please preserve net neutrality for the internet. It is vital for a free and fair playing field. Please place regulations on our internet providers to assure an equal access to information.
327. Paul Janas, Chicago, IL, 60615
Reversing the movement you have made on this issue would be a huge mistake. The free flowing nature of the internet is one major reason America can stay ahead in innovation. Free flowing unrestricted information. Thank You and lets do the right thing.
328. John Erickson, Orland Park, IL, 60462
Keep Net neutrality and Title 2!!
329. Wilson Hayward, Chicago, IL, 60615
I support Net Neutrality! On behalf of 99% of America, please don't ruin the internet.
330. matthew, tinley park, IL, 60477
I can not image a service is more ubiquitous than an internet service provider. The concept that title 2 regulations should apply to land line phones but not internet phone is short sighted and made in error. considering that most telephone service companies are internet service providers and that telephone service its self is migrating to the internet it seams contradictory to have the two providers not both be title 2. further the with the consolidating of ISP companys to a few large organizations the ability to restrict access will grow. through out history that larger the market share a company has the more bully-ish tendencies it portrays. If we allow the ISPs to be ranked as title 2 lets trust bust and split them up into so many entities there is actual competition in the market place. Title 2 and trust busting is what we did to the telephone companies. It is what we should do to the ISP to.
331. Richard Grenda II, Orland Park, IL, 60462
I support strong net neutrality regulations. Please presurve net neutrality and Title II.
332. Tim Arehart, Chicago, IL, 60637
My interests are best served by keeping Title 2 protection for net neutrality.
333. Robert Evan Sanders, chicago, IL, 60615
Preserve net neutrality and title II. This is important regulation that prevents the under-regulated natural monopolies that are the telecom industries from extracting rents from society.

334. Hamza Jaleel, Chicago, IL, 60615
preserve net neutrality under title 2 fuk u and ur mug ajit
335. Lauren Angelini, Chicago, IL, 60615
I strongly support net neutrality and the inclusion of ISPs under Title II. Any decrease in net neutrality is unnecessary and not done not in the interest of those who use the internet, but in the interest of lining the pockets of ISPs.
336. Adam Bierstedt, Chicago, IL, 60637
For my work, I often have to search fairly obscure corners of the Internet for academic articles relevant to me. Only the Title II guidelines guarantee that I am able to make these searches without variable internet speeds. And quite frankly, it is fairly stupid to say that ISPs won't use the repeal to create variable Internet speeds. It's too tempting. That's why Title II has been important; it keeps an integral part of everyone's life accountable to the people, not to corporations. So, I am expressing my support for the maintaining of the Title II rules for the Internet.
337. Daniel Dominik, Frankfort, IL, 60423
I believe in a strong net neutrality policy. To me, this is a policy that leaves Title II as is. It seems to me that reverting ISPs to Title I is simply attempting to line the pockets of the already large companies (at the expense of citizens and innovation). I will be following this issue and hope that the FCC continues to adequately regulate internet service (which is in the best interest of the taxpayers who fund this whole thing).
338. Adithya Sudhan, Chicago, IL, 60616
Blocking/restricting access to web services based on corporate interests and profit will introduce capitalist atrocity and injustice into one of the only platforms that don't discriminate intrinsically according to privilege. Assuming that the government is committed to liberty and justice, I expect that the present form of a neutral Internet is not turned into a biased Internet on purpose.
339. Brad, Orland Park, IL, 60462
I run a small business online and internet traffic being treated equally is something that is very important to its success. When you allow corporations to treat traffic differently to favor their own content unless you pay additional money is a cost small business can never compete with and hurts business owners as well as consumer choice. I urge the FCC to leave the net neutrality rules in place and keep it under the title II rules.
340. Kevin Collins, Orland Park, IL, 60462
The FCC is preparing to gut what millions call the 1st amendment of the Internet by removing strong net neutrality protections. I just signed Mozilla's letter telling new FCC Chairman Ajit Paj to leave net neutrality

alone! I hope you will join me and show your support as well.

341. Michael Jones, Chicago, IL, 60616
Keep the internet how it is. And your boss has a lame mug.
342. Haley Stinnett, Chicago, IL, 60615
I am writing to express my support for net neutrality. I believe the internet should be a free and open place, that is accessible to US citizens in a fair and equal way. The future of education and industry in this country relies on equal access to information, uninfluenced by the interests of big internet providers. Removing legislation that protects an open internet will be detrimental to our country, and end up hurting citizens in favor of making more money for big internet providers. I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality laws in place by preserving Title II.
343. Kyle Plourde, Frankfort, IL, 60423
I am against 17-108 and am strongly in support of Net Neutrality and the classification of broadband internet access as a TITLE II utility
344. Daniel Absalon, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805
I support retaining existing regulations and classification of ISP companies under Title II of the Communications Act.
345. Bernard Eugene Valentine, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
As a 36-year veteran of the Telecommunications field, I was appalled to learn of the new FCC Commissioner's proposal to modify the Network Neutrality regulations currently in place. The Internet Service Providers must remain under strict regulation to ensure that they continue to connect users to all lawful content on the internet equally, without giving preferential treatment to their own sites or the services of their affiliates.
346. Lindsey Weglarz, Chicago, IL, 60637
I support keeping regulation of ISPs under Title II. ISPs have already shown a propensity for favoring or disfavoring certain types of content and the FCC needs to have the tools necessary to prevent this from happening. Net neutrality must survive this administration.
347. erin rodriguez, oak forest, IL, 60452
I support strong net neutrality under title 2.
348. Kyler Brown, Chicago, IL, 60637
The internet should continue to be regulated under title II. Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has a obvious conflict of interest here. The FCC should serve the people of the United States, not powerful companies like Verizon. American citizens use the internet for education, news, and political discussion. Net neutrality is

essential for a modern democracy, and preserving title II is essential for net neutrality.

349. Zachary Pierce, Chicago, IL, 60637
I support net neutrality. I also support net neutrality under legal basis of Title II.
350. Ellyn Gallagher, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
I am in strong support of net neutrality rules and believe the current laws should be kept in place. Internet companies should not have the right to determine what I am able to access and how quickly I am able to view it. These companies only have their own self interest and profit in mind, not what is good for Americans as a whole. Since many of us are limited to one company that offers internet, these companies hold all the power. The FCC needs to stop these regulations from being rolled back and do what is right for people, not corporate interests.
351. Alice Sheehan, Chicago, IL, 60615
please please please net neutrality is so important
352. Adam Bourdette, Palos Heights, IL, 60463
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight ISPs. Keep net neutrality and dont leave it to large corporations to determine what is best for the market as that has been troublesome in the past.
353. Michael LoBue, Frankfort, IL, 60423
Title II net neutrality protcetions need to be preserved!
354. John Lindbloom, New Lenox, IL, 60451
I strongly agree with net neutrality back by title 2 oversight.
355. Sumit Banerjee, Chicago, IL, 60615
Net neutrality is essential to preserving the internet as we know it.
356. Matthew J. Hansen, Crestwood, IL, 60445
As a citizen of the United States of America and this ever connecting world, I urge you to preserve the status of net neutrality to title 2. There is nothing for the good of mankind that can come from allowing isp's to buy bandwidth. Think Of how Walmart now essentially owns the shopping and general merchandise market. I beg you to consider the only sane option of continuing status as title 2. Thank you.
357. Ryan Peters, Chicago, IL, 60637
Net neutrality needs to stay. ISPs need to remain classified as Title II entities to be more regulated by the FCC.
358. Lisa Tibbs, Chicago, IL, 60653

Please kept net neutrality.

359. Michael white, chicago, IL, 60613
The internet is a public good, and ought to be treated as such. Net neutrality under title ii is key to the internet's existence as a public good. Essentially, if we ever want the internet to become the new library of alexandria, net neutrality is going to be essential.
360. Jim Boswell, New Lenox, IL, 60451
The internet needs strict control, I believe it should remain under Title 2 so that ISPs do not have the ability to make choices about what is best for me.
361. Pattye Probasco, Tinley Park, IL, 60487
do not remove Title I I
362. James Hayman, Chicago, IL, 60637
As a citizen, I support strong net neutrality as governed by title two legislation.
363. Justin Sipich, Chicago, IL, 60643
I would greatly enjoy it if Net Neutrality and Title II were preserved and enforced.
364. Mary Clare Bietila, Chicago, IL, 60615
Please! Support a strong net neutrality bill. Hold ISPs to a high standard and protect the public.
365. Kathleen S. Zyrkowski, Chicago, IL, 60643
I do not want my internet provider, which I pay, to slow down my access to streaming Netflix, for which I pay, if Netflix does not also pay my internet provider. This is equivalent to a tax upon a tax. Netflix will surely pass that extra cost onto me. This is not a free market move, it is a "suck every penny out of everyone you possibly can" move.
366. Walter Kowalski, Jr., New Lenox, IL, 60451
Please preserve the nets neutrality and Title II, it's the right thing to do and what is fair for everyone! Thanks
367. net neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60615
I beg you to stand strong against the lobbying efforts of major ISPs and maintain net neutrality. It's the least you can do.
368. Lillian Mlynarski, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805
I support net neutrality and Title 2. Please do not change!!
369. Chris Beyer, Orland Park, IL, 60462

Do NOT mess with the neutrality of the Internet; under any circumstances.
Thank You.

370. Nichole Serbin, Mokena, IL, 60448
PRESERVE net neutrality and Title II! Net neutrality is an economic and social justice issue.
371. Sean Farmer, Frankfort, IL, 60423
we need to ensure that the internet cannot be privatized by corporate power and to ensure that the internet stays a fair and free place immune to monopolization by the few internet service providers that the United States offers.
372. Ni Macke, Chicago, IL, 60643
I urge support to keep net neutrality strong!
373. michael schwentor, Chicago, IL, 60643
Keep title 2 enforcement. I pay for an ISP to Provide Internet Service, not to promote what it wants me to see.
374. Kaitlyn McNitt, Frankfort, IL, 60423
Hello,I believe IPS should continue to be classified under Title II. Keeping the internet fair and equal allows for great growth of smaller sites that would not be able to compete with larger organization.Regards,Kaitlyn
375. Jim Giblin, Orland Park, IL, 60462
I am writing to express strong support for net neutrality laws backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. As understood based on reports by John Oliver, the FCCs intent to revise existing regulations is a disservice to American citizens. It favors big business. The US government is supposed to serve the people not corporate profits. Thank you in advance for your commitment to American entrepreneurs and individual privacy. God bless America!
376. Joel Worthington, Chicago, IL, 60615
I fully support the preservation of net neutrality and Title II protections. Please do everything you can to keep these in place.
377. Rebeca Ortiz, Chicago, IL, 60615
Keep net neutrality! I support the net neutrality protections currently in place based on Title II.
378. Daniel Bissonnette, Chicago, IL, 60615
Please keep the Internet free from surveillance and leave our privacy more intact
379. Brian Mansmith, Mokena, IL, 60448

I'm completely against the loss of Net Neutrality rules. Keep ISP's under Title II. Americans want and deserve a free and open internet. We are tired of corporations being given avenues to make more money and give us less. It can be spun any way you want but they will take advantage if given chance. If they won't take advantage then why bother with the change from Title II?

380. Blake Stone, mokena, IL, 60448

Freedom is a right to all, virtual or physical should not matter.

381. Will Stratford, Chicago, IL, 60615

I want to express my support for strong net neutrality backed by Title II regulations on ISPs.

382. Keith, Chicago, IL, 60619

Keep Title 2 & Net Neutrality!

383. J Milbauer, Chicago, IL, 60637

If car manufacturers were able to set the speed limit depending on my destination, Ford might never let me make it to a Chevy dealership. They could even partner with McDonalds to make sure I never go to Burger King. Protecting a fair, symmetrical, and open internet is essential to allowing the flourishing competitiveness that makes America so great. This is especially important in an era when many small business and entrepreneurs operate not in person but on the web. The internet is a beautiful thing. Do what's right.

384. J Milbauer, Chicago, IL, 60637

Net Neutrality, as backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, is essential to keeping not only American internet services competitive, but also fostering growth among internet and consumer businesses. I urge the FCC to Oppose Docket No. 17-108.

385. Jason Vanderheyden, Chicago, IL, 60615

I strongly support net neutrality under Title II. Ajit Pai is a goober.

386. Keith Lacy, Crestwood, IL, 60445

I support net neutrality. It is in the best interest of the consumers and the current regulations seem to be having not ill effects on the industry. Companies can offer competitive pricing (free market approach) as opposed to boosting or slowing services preferentially.

387. Keith Hellmann, Oak Forest, IL, 60452

I am in strong support of net neutrality and title 2 oversight.

388. Mark Bumby, Orland Park, IL, 60462

Net Neutrality is amazingly important. We must make sure that ALL companies

are neutral to ALL content on the internet. Our government needs to make sure that ALL companies deliver ALL content at equal speed. It is ridiculous to believe that ISPs will volunteer to do this themselves. Our government should be taking care of the people, not big organizations! In addition, the government should not allow companies access to our search history. And, our government should strictly prohibit the sale of our information without each individual's expressed consent.

389. Charles Schaff, Chicago, IL, 60615
I strongly support net neutrality and Title 2 regulation of ISPs.
390. Derek Knutson, Frankfort, IL, 60423
I am writing to express my support for net neutrality and request that the regulations protecting it not be rescinded.
391. Noah Quilantan, Midlothian, IL, 60445
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and do not want any change made to ISP oversights. Changes would enable corporate stifling of free speech online.
392. Tracy Koppel, Chicago, IL, 60615
We need strong rules and regulations which protect net neutrality and which cover all servers and providers.
393. Eric Ferguson, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
To The Chairman of the FCC:I am writing to inform you that I support strong net neutrality, and that I believe this net neutrality should be continued to be backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. I can be reached for comment by email at the address provided on this submission. Thank you for taking the time to review my input. I am sure the suggestions and feedback from the American people will be extremely helpful in guiding your actions.Sincerely,Eric Ferguson
394. douglas brown, chicago, IL, 60619
I SUPPORT TITLE 2. Keep title 2
395. Judith Mintel, Chicago, IL, 60615
I urge the FCC to maintain net neutrality and Title II regulation of ISPs. Large companies like Verizon should not be allowed to earn more money by slowing some Internet content while preferring other content. This is anti-consumer and anti-Internet start-up while instead, preferring big business. I oppose any changes to the current regulatory scheme.
396. Nathan G. Chinn, Chicago, IL, 60619
Im a United States citizen and a proud Veteran of the U.S. Army. I want it to be

known that I am in strong support of net neutrality being require by law to all internet service providers.

397. Nora Kennelly, Chicago, IL, 60643

Listen to the people!

398. Connor Woods, Chicago, IL, 60615

As an American consumer and American voter I would like to express my strong support for robust Net Neutrality Protections through the use of FCC regulation. Specifically, I am strongly in favor of keeping telecommunications companies, who provide internet services, classified as Title II providers, as per the Communications Act of 1934. As a single consumer I rely upon regulatory bodies like the FCC to protect me and my interests from the strength of telecommunications giants who may take advantage of me. As a voter I look negatively upon any action taken that leaves me more vulnerable to predatory action by corporations, which the re-classification of telecommunications companies to Title I providers would certainly invite.

399. Maureen Farrell, Orland Park, IL, 60462

I support Net Neutrality and Title II. Please don't change it!!

400. Chris Tweddle, Oak Forest, IL, 60452

The government has the responsibility to ensure fair Internet accessibility to all, not a special select few who are willing and able to pay for express lane service. Uphold title II enforcement of net neutrality and keep the web free.

401. Ryan Sadowski, Tinley Park, IL, 60477

We stopped you once, we'll do it again

402. title 2, Chicago, IL, 60615

Please keep the net neutral, it is the one last democracy we have.

403. Christine Malcom, Chicago, IL, 60643

Strong net neutrality rules are the bedrock of our already crumbling society. You MUST preserve Title II and FCC neutrality.

404. Kevin Shoucair, Frankfort, IL, 60423

I personally support the current Net Neutrality policy under the Title II act.

405. Averi Ayala, Chicago, IL, 60615

Companies should not be able to control internet speeds to suit their capitalist intents. Keep ISBs under Title II

406. Elihu Blanks, Chicago, IL, 60619

Keep our internet access free for all sites. Keep net-neutrality.Elihu

407. owen, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805
I support a net neutrality regulation, and strong title 2 regulations.
408. Kevin Braasch, New Lenox, IL, 60451
Please retain net neutrality under Title 2 for all ISPs.
409. Timothy F. Clark, Chicago, IL, 60637
I am writing to support strong protection of net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. I believe this kind of oversight is absolutely essential for keeping the internet open and free. Thank you.
410. Leigh, Chicago, IL, 60615
I urge you to retain title 2 to preserve net neutrality. Arguments against Title 2 are disingenuous.
411. Taylor Covington, Chicago, IL, 60655
I strongly support title 2 and strong regulations on companies. This is the way we protect people from Internet providers that would do anything to better help themselves even at the cost of its users. Such delusions that that won't happen are laughable at best.
412. scott sachnoff, Chicago, IL, 60615
Please maintain net neutrality by keeping ISPs classified under Title II.
#gofccyourself
413. Andrew F, Chicago, IL, 60637
Preserve net neutrality and Title II enforcement of net neutrality!
414. john ferrari, Frankfort, IL, 60423
I would like the FCC to do everything within its power to ensure that net neutrality is maintained and rigorously enforced.
415. Ansh Singh, Chicago, IL, 60616
I strongly support net neutrality laws with title II oversight. Make any changes to that and there will be hell to pay.
416. ron dyrcz, NEW LENOX, IL, 60451
Please preserve NN under article 2. We don't need any changes made.
417. William Kubbs, Frankfort, IL, 60423
Please keep the regulations under Title II that keep the internet neutral. Specifically, keep the regulations that prohibit ISP's from promoting content that is in their best interests.
418. Brandon, Tinley Park, IL, 60477

Please preserve Net Neutrality on Title 2. If this regulation is reversed I have no faith that my current ISP (Comcast) will allow free flowing internet. They will purposefully slow competitors and limit choices. Whats great about America is our wonderful freedoms. If we don't support net neutrality we will be one step closer to censorship, similar to China. However, America's censorship will be imposed by large corporations not the government. Unfortunately, I can't really tell the difference between the two anymore.

419. Ann Kladis, Oak Forest, IL, 60452

I am in full support of net neutrality under Title II. The ISPs should treat all data on the Internet the same, and not given the opportunity to discriminate. The company that connects a person to the internet should not be allowed to control what that person does on the internet.

420. Luther Jones, CHICAGO, IL, 60637

Protect Net Neutrality ! Not kil it.

421. Jeffrey G Lullo, frankfort, IL, 60423

I am in favor of current net neutrality laws and title 2. Do not remove this!

422. Bruce Smith, FRANKFORT, IL, 60423

Do not let corporate America take over the internet.

423. Colleen Daly, BLUE ISLAND, IL, 60406

NO WAY!

424. Tim Kulak, TINLEY PARK, IL, 60477

Fuck big corporations! They have been screwing regular people for decades and they want to do it even more completely in Trumpland!

425. JOHN MCCAFFREY, ORLAND PARK, IL, 60462

This is a real power grab that will cost Americans millions of dollars.

426. Colleen M Dolan, CHICAGO, IL, 60621

Quit eating away at our (the general public) civil liberties to finance and take control for the privileged few

427. Ann Gutierrez, TINLEY PARK, IL, 60477

Do not kill the net neutrality. Do not hand it over to greedy corporations. If you do

428. Mark Goldman, NEW LENOX, IL, 60451

Internet Service Providers must not be allowed to control the flow of data and ideas by deciding who gets priority service and creating schemes to charge more for unencumbered access to the internet.

429. Aletha anderson, CHICAGO, IL, 60619
keep your Obamacare killing hands off my internet
430. Susan Townsend, CHICAGO, IL, 60619
keep us free from control by these companies thank you.
431. DEBORAH JOHNSON, CHICAGO, IL, 60619
Companies are already too intrusive
432. Terry Schultz, EVERGREEN PARK, IL, 60805
Signing away net neutrality would be a travesty. The internet was dedicated by its creator for access by anyone and everyone.
433. Bridget Boland, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805
For the decency of freedom, PRESERVE TITLE II and Net Neutrality!!!!
434. K:01100001:76, Mokena, IL, 60448
I am in favor of keeping Net Neutrality via the classification of ISPs under Title 2. I don't understand why the current FCC director thinks that deregulation in this case is prudent. He disregards fact and precedent which make such regulation necessary. Dear Director of the FCC, please learn how to use the Internet that you are so dearly trying to "protect".
435. Ryan Kosman, Alsip, IL, 60803
I support net neutrality and think that changing broadband providers back to a Title I classification would be harmful in the long run. I urge you to reconsider this course of action.
436. MARY JO PEHLE, Manhattan, IL, 60442
PLEASE MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY! TITLE II WAS CREATED TO PROTECT CONSUMERS. IF NET NEUTRALITY IS COMPROMISED IT WILL BE FOR THE BENEFIT OF HUGE CORPORATIONS AND WILL ULTIMATELY DESTROY THE PLAYING FIELD AND CREATIVE INSPIRATION OF US ALL.
437. Dianne Gallagher, Frankfort, IL, 60423
To destroy net neutrality would not only give power to already dominating companies, but also destroy smaller content producers' ability to compete. The internet is a utility. Plain and simple. It must be treated that way. Which means everyone has equal access. The entire premise that each company will play fairly without legislation is ridiculous. Companies will do whatever is necessary to increase profit. If that means slowing down or limiting access, they will do it. If you believe otherwise, you haven't dealt with corporations. I urge you to protect your citizens by protecting net neutrality.

438. Kathy Ruopp, Chicago, IL, 60643
I Support a Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
439. Charles Smart, Chicago, IL, 60615
The public record (see, for example, the New York Times) includes many examples of internet service provider's past violations of the spirit of net neutrality. It seems to me that maintaining net neutrality is worth the small (if any) cost of regulation under title II of the communications act.
440. john, Mokena, IL, 60448
I support oversight of ISP and strong net neutrality.I am definitely a capitalist. But I believe in it's pure form, without reasonable regulation, capitalism bends toward exploitation of the people. Let's keep it reasonable and not cause the people to mandate a pendulum swing that really does hamper innovation.Do the right thing and don't be a douche ;)
441. Alex Draper, Mokena, IL, 60448
We need to maintain net neutrality, specifically under Title II.
442. Daniel Carroll, Blue Island, IL, 60406
I support strong net neutrality under title II oversight of ISP's. It is crucial to the freedom and value of the Internet.
443. Mariah Callis Goodwin, Chicago, IL, 60615
Strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers, should not be under fire. We NEED these protections to keep ISPs from taking profits and benefiting whichever company will give them the biggest check. That is censorship for hire and it has NO place in the United States of America. Let's not pretend that any of this is about anything less than large companies making more money off their consumers by hawking their internet use capabilities. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Don't leave this up to corporations! They are not required to act in anyone's best interest but their own wallets.
444. Megan, Chicago, IL, 60615
I am a proponent of keeping strong net neutrality under Title II.
445. Charlie Regan, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805
A free and open internet is the single greatest technology of our time, and control should not be at the mercy of corporations. Net neutrality should not be changed in any way and to do other wise would threaten the internet and the way business is done over the internet.
446. satish kunnassery, Chicago, IL, 60616
Ain't Pai,I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title 2 oversight. Satish

Kunnassery

447. Gianluca Panici, New Lenox, IL, 60451
I support strong net neutrality regulations backed by Title II oversight backed by ISPs. This is the only way to keep a free internet.
448. Barry Anderson, Orland Park, IL, 60462
Restore net neutrality, stop big business from fucking the common man
449. brendan chambers, Chicago, IL, 60615
To the folks at FCC - I am writing to express my support for strong net neutrality rules. Under a more laissez faire scheme, I worry that future gains in bandwidth will be allocated asymmetrically to large corporate players. Indeed, as has become well publicized, these comments are being distorted by anti-neutrality astroturfing, presumably at the behest of one or multiple ISPs. Please do not remove ISPs from Title II classification. Instead, develop alternative approaches to incentivize infrastructural & engineering investment.
450. Jessie Molloy, Palos Heights, IL, 60463
PLEASE PLEASE PLEASE do not eliminate restrictions that protect net neutrality! Allowing ISPs to restrict the flow of information so that only the highest bidder can afford to have their data stream quickly is a travesty to the free market economy, freedom of information, and the sanity of American internet users. If internet providers truly don't plan to exploit more lax regulations for financial gain, as they claim, then what is the possible harm of leaving the regulations in place (just to be on the safe side should someone else take over the company with less honorable intentions)?! I know this administration loathes anyone trying to tell them what to do, but the only harm that could come from changing the system as it exists today would go directly to American consumers.
451. Thomas A Santoyo, Blue Island, IL, 60406
I support strong net neutrality under article 2 supervision of ISPs
452. mike Bozzi, New Lenox, IL, 60451
We need to keep Net Neutrality protected under title 2. Do not allow a weakening of these rules. It is important to have a strong policy to prevent abuse of the system by ISP providers.
453. Cordale Brown, Calumet park, IL, 60827
True form...maybe the only form now...of contemporary democracy. Take your corporate profiteering interests some place else.
454. Ali Dibartolomeo, Frankfort, IL, 60423
I strongly support internet neutrality backed by Title 2 regulation of isps

455. C Scullin, Chicago, IL, 60643
As a former major market broadcasting CEO I am telling not asking you to leave net neutrality in place. This time may anger you but the harshness is necessary since we already totally wrecked ownership rules and the fairness doctrine causing immense harm to our ability to communicate in this country right or left or independent!
456. Kathy Gray, Chicago, IL, 60637
Net Neutrality is as important as freedom of speech and should be protected under the 1st Amendment.
457. Elizabeth Steinert, Chicago, IL, 60615
I'm commenting to urge and specifically support maintaining strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This is not an issue to waste time rolling back regulations that do Not impede company investment, development or profits. Companies have already admitted this is not hindering their success. We the people demand that you stop misleading the public and instead work in the interest of maintaining the net neutrality that everyone is accustomed to and knows to work. Thank you for your time.
458. Steve Konley, Orland Park, IL, 60462
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title2. Fire the weirdo with the giant coffee mug.
459. Ian Jackson, Chicago, IL, 60615
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Do not make this change. We understand your lies and attempts to deceive the public about this issue. The current system is far superior for individual liberty and our democracy as a whole. Don't allow corporate wealth and narrow private interests to further dictate our laws and lives.
460. J Pritchard, Mokena, IL, 60448
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II (2) oversight of ISP's.
461. Joseph Lombardo, Chicago, IL, 60637
Please maintain strong net neutrality rules through title 2 regulations.
462. Calvin Krogh, Chicago, IL, 60615
I want small businesses to have equal chances at success to big companies
463. John Coleman, Chicago, IL, 60637
The free and un-bottlenecked access to information and access to services is the root of my life's work and recreation. I am an IT professional who counts on free flowing information.
464. Janice Gintzler, Crestwood, IL, 60445

Get the Corporate giant off of our Capitol building. Net neutrality is a friend of the common woman.

465. Carla Burgess, Chicago, IL, 60619
HANDS OFF Net Neutrality! For me, the internet is one of the most vital forms of communicating and doing business worldwide. In my opinion, anyone that wants to abolish Net Neutrality wants to take away our 1st Amendment rights. The U.S. is supposed to be a democratic society. Taking it away or "tweaking" it to accomodate the mind of a narcissist is repulsive.
466. Cordale Brown, Calumet park, IL, 60827
Hugely important.
467. Saul Thomas, Chicago, IL, 60637
Having a free and open internet spurs creativity and innovation. It is in the interest of the nation to preserve it.
468. Colin Fitzgerald, Chcicago, IL, 60643
This is really a no brainer. Net Neutrality is in the public interest. Help protect the modern public sphere. You must.
469. Benjamin Wimmer, Chicago, IL, 60615
Net Neutrality is important to me because I like to live in a democracy with cheap communication that permits the rapid exchange of information among the citizenry.
470. Richard Hurth, Midlothian, IL, 60445
Leave Net Neutrality protections alone.
471. Barton McGee, Chicago, IL, 60619
Net Neutrality protections under Title II evens the playing field between large and small business players on the interne. The internet is can also be considered part of the Commons and therefore something that should be, must be shared/used equally by everyone in this country.
472. John Maunsell, Chicago, IL, 60615
We must retain real net neutrality. Title II is necessary.
473. Sam Darrigrand, Chicago, IL, 60615
I strongly agree with Net Neutrality and support Title 2 protection of it. Please put down your oversized Reese's Peanut butter Cup Mug and listen to the people and not the corporations.
474. Andrus Hatem, Chicago, IL, 60637
Few things would harm competition among online businesses more than rolling

back net neutrality. This will leave consumers worse off, and the benefits will flow to a select few oligopolies. I encourage the FCC to reconsider this move and protect net neutrality.

475. Thomas Noriega, Chicago, IL, 60637
Gimme that sweet Title II-backed Net Neutrality
476. yvette horne, Chicago, IL, 60620
DO NOT ROLL BACK REGULATIONS ON NET NEUTRALITY. DO NOT ROLL BACK REGULATIONS ON NET NEUTRALITY...PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY
477. Joshua Jackson, Chicago, IL, 60615
I support net neutrality and regulation of ISP. Please keep in place title II for net neutrality as it protects consumers and foster fair competition amongst ISP's and Streaming Digital services.
478. Kevin Riordan, Monee, IL, 60449
AT&T made 145 billion in revenue and Verizon made 130 billion in 2015. How can any person reasonably argue that reduced or lower profits are guaranteed outcomes associated with a regulated telecom market and where net neutrality is maintained? The balance sheets of these companies are screaming with irony to anyone making that case. Let us not retreat into an Ayn Rand fantasy world where everyone is free and equal in an unregulated economy. I hate to break your bubble if you believe in this world view, but this philosophy has never been successfully implemented any where in the world nor could it be. All companies have incentives to cut corners on quality and safety and do everything in their power to destroy or eliminate all competition. Getting rid of Title II and destroying net neutrality will be the ultimate nail in the coffin of a free exchange of ideas and the internet will be a commercialized sewage system that screws over customers with less choice and fewer options. To do this would be to ensure that AT&T, Comcast, and Verizon can achieve obscene profit margins and no good will come to society except to investors of these companies--and them alone. The founders of this country sacrificed and died to establish democracy, not a corporate plutocracy as gutting Title II will most certainly establish.
479. Cindy Du, Chicago, IL, 60615
I am against reclassification and for net neutrality.
480. Cole Glassner, Orland Park, IL, 60462
I think it is wrong that you are trying to give big corporations the ability to make our internet less free. Please keep isps as title 2.
481. Rishi Krishnan, Chicago, IL, 60637

I support the Title II classification of ISPs under the Communications Act of 1934 and strongly oppose the stated intent of Chairman Ajit Pai to remove ISPs from this classification. Chairman Pai's claim that we haven't witnessed ISPs engage in anti-competitive behaviors that are pernicious to consumers is patently false. Contrary to his statements, these companies have done very well over the past few decades-- it is deeply irresponsible to favor them at the expense of ordinary Americans and an open and competitive playing field for internet companies.

482. Kyle Archer, Crestwood, IL, 60445

Mr. Pai, I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The overturning of net neutrality regulations would be destructive to our economy and to our democracy. Kyle Archer

483. Zachary Nacev, Chicago, IL, 60615

I strongly support specific net neutrality regulations backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you for your attention.

484. Andrea M Dudek, Orland Park, IL, 60462

I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Anything less shows that you all have forgotten your oath of office. You are there for "We the People", which starts that Constitution you swore to protect and defend. So please remember we the people when making your decisions.

485. 17-108, Chicago, IL, 60637

I support Net Neutrality, Title II. Please DO NOT strip away our protections.

486. Micah Rademacher, Blue Island, IL, 60406

This is a horribly misnamed proposal. "Freedom" of the internet should not and cannot include the "freedom" to restrict access to it by moneyed interests. This is the antithesis of "freedom" of information, and is a gross subversion of our democracy. Please, keep Net Neutrality in place.

487. Jason Sparlin, Mokena, IL, 60448

I am thoroughly appalled at the clear corruption that is being exhibited by the Chair and the other committee members who voted today to roll back regulations governing ISP, particularly as it concerns Title II. This is pay-to-play politics at its worst. Not only did they disregard the millions of American's speaking out against it. This filing is only to benefit the ISPs by allowing them to charge a premium to companies for providing content to the consumers. The Chairman has expressed on several different occasions that the Internet is not a Utility. I would vehemently disagree. Equal and unfettered access is and should be considered a basic right.

488. Mark Schneider, new lenox, IL, 60451

Just because something has yet to happen does not mean you should provide incentive. Title II is a failsafe just like a front door, it provides security when you need it and when you do not. Just because my neighbors have yet to break into my home does not mean I should leave my door wide open. WAKE UP and stop shi%%ing the bed, FCC!

489. Nina Gregosanc, Orland Park, IL, 60462
PLEASE KEEP NET NEUTRALITY! It is not fair to give the internet over to the cable companies and have everyone pay more money for something that is not broken at the moment. The way the internet is set up is perfect, there is no reason to change anything about it. There is no reason for anyone to control the internet the way the cable companies want to do it. Middle class and lower class people will not be able to afford the increase in costs that change will bring. PLEASE KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!!!
490. Chris Filicette, Frankfort, IL, 60423
Free Internet is a right and something every person in the world should have access to. If we start limiting internet freedom what will come next....
491. Aayush Parekh, Palos Heights, IL, 60463
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I support retaining * AND ENFORCING * strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. To say that this is hurting investment and development of the broadband infrastructure is a falsehood. Please, for the future of Internet Freedom, continue to regulate ISPs with Title II.
492. Daniel P. Jeslis, Frankfort, IL, 60423
As a concerned citizen, I feel that we must continue to treat internet access as a utility to ensure that this vital tool to both commerce and culture cannot be unjustly manipulated by private entities intent upon providing preferential treatment to one form of content over another or to certain people over others. The internet is now a vital service for any citizen looking to contribute to society. Please do not adjust the current status quo at the behest of lobbies and big business who are only looking out for their own best interests.
493. Christine Kim, Chicago, IL, 60615
Americans have come to rely on the Internet as a utility, so the FCC should work to protect equal access to the Internet and promote innovation of Internet-based businesses by adhering to Net Neutrality. Without Net Neutrality, large companies like Comcast could pay more to stifle start-ups that Comcast views as competition. American entrepreneurs and consumers would be hurt by that kind of monopoly control. Prof. Tim Wu wrote, "The idea is that a maximally useful public information network aspires to treat all content, sites, and platforms equally." That is the only way to ensure Americans have equal access

to the Internet, whether they are utilizing it for business or personal matters.

494. Lauren Duffy, Chicago, IL, 60621
Please Preserve net neutrality Title II rules!
495. Taylor, Chicago, IL, 60615
I strongly support net neutrality. Please maintain the provisions of Title II.
496. Joseph Scuderi, Orland Park, IL, 60462
What this law proposes is nothing short of despicable. Giving internet providers control over what can and cannot be viewed by consumers will lead to censorship, censorship that is inherently wrong.
497. Joe Scuderi, Orland Park, IL, 60462
This has to be repealed, In no way will allowing ISPs to have control over what their clients can access will restore or preserve internet freedom. This is censorship and violates the ability of the individual to access the single largest source of information in human history
498. Mark Bass, Tinley Park, IL, 60487
The FCC's unwillingness to listen to those who understand what broadband services are and do is very disturbing. A broadband link is a simply a pipe connective my computer to a service. To say that "The offering of a capability for generating, acquiring, storing, transforming, processing, retrieving, utilizing, or making available information via telecommunications, and includes electronic publishing, but does not include any use of any such capability for the management, control, or operation of a telecommunications system." represents broadband shows an attempt to misconstrue reality. What my pipe connects to does these things and not the enabling service.
499. Demerise Allasia, Mokena, IL, 60448
The internet is such a positive, beautiful place where people can connect through almost anything. Let's keep it that way and not limit where we can find connections!
500. Darren Tabor, Orland Park, IL, 60462
I strongly urge that the FCC protect Net Neutrality from the interests of massive corporations.
501. Joseph Noonan, Frankfort, IL, 60423
Trump's swamp monsters strike again. Leave the fucking internet alone. Anyone that is not purchased by ISPs can obviously see that this is bullshit. Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934 is the only way to protect the internet for everyone. Please make Ajit Pai's disingenuous face go away and make him take his massive bag of bullshit with him.

502. Sara Rusnak, Frankfort, IL, 60423
I support net neutrality under Title 2 provisions.
503. Joseph Licata, Orland Park, IL, 60462
We support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 over site of ISPs.
504. Elizabeth Dia, Chicago, IL, 60615
Please support net neutrality and title II. An open internet is necessary for diversity and freedom.
505. Christy Olofsson, Orland Park, IL, 60462
I support net neutrality. Please support Title II
506. Vedant Sachdeva, Chicago, IL, 60615
I'm writing here to express my support for strong net neutrality. I expect regulation to be written to reclassify broadband internet service as a telecommunications services for a number of reasons. Firstly, the proliferation of Voice over IP has made one of the primary functions of the internet telecommunications. In addition, almost by definition, the internet is used for a form of telecommunication. Thirdly, introducing laws restricting net neutrality passes on costs of internet access to the consumer and small business owner and allows internet service providers to continue to provide low quality internet access. It also allows internet service providers the right to censor information. For example, suppose Verizon chose to throttle bandwidth for access to the Comcast website. This is problematic because it can further promote the existence of regional monopolies and unfair price practices. Please support Title II regulations, as it is ridiculous to think a the United States, a leader in the telecommunications industry, would rather focus on taking away freedoms for individuals such as open access to the internet instead of addressing a telecommunication industry that is desperately in need of updates at an infrastructural level and viable competitors at a business level. Ajit Pai. You seem like a smart guy. You're going to leave this office as one of the most influential men in the telecom industry. You'll have the power to pursue anything you want for pretty much any price you want when you leave office. But right now, you're an employee of the government for the people and by the people. Work for the people. Not for the parties. Not for the companies. But for the people. Make a concerted effort to figure out what the people want. People living in rural areas need access to high speed internet at a low cost. Low income families need access to internet at a low cost. Academics need access to internet at a low cost. Rich people can afford anything at any cost. But rich people are only a percentage of the people you work for. Work for the majority.
507. Ramona Chatman-Morris, Chicago, IL, 60643
Preserve net neutrality and classify service providers under title 2!

508. Louis Gracia, Frankfort, IL, 60423
Please keep net neutrality rules as they are. ISPs can't be trusted to not favor particular content providers.
509. Alexandra Price, Chicago, IL, 60637
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs and believe that this is crucial to the web remaining free and fair for all. Thank you.
510. aaron collard, chicago, IL, 60637
Do not weaken the net neutrality!Thanks
511. TyJuan Cratic, Chicago, IL, 60643
I support net neutrality under title II, not under new legal footing.
512. Norman McMullen, Frankfort, IL, 60423
The FCC needs to maintain the current net neutrality rules. Do not allow corporate control of the internet.
513. Kathleen Mathews, Frankfort, IL, 60423
Dear FCC,Net Neutrality is important to level the playing field for all Americans, not just big business. Let's vote for the PEOPLE! Kathleen Mathews
514. Leigh Breslau, Chicago, IL, 60615
I support strong internet neutrality governed by Title 2 rules
515. irene sherr, Chicago, IL, 60615
I support internet net neutrality under Title II rules
516. Alec, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY I SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY
BACKED BY TITLE 2 OVERSIGHT OF ISP
517. Snigdha Acharya, Chicago, IL, 60615
Altering the current net neutrality regulations would harm US citizens and their freedom of choice. The current rules do not negatively impact the ISPs. ISPs utilize their power to the detriment of consumers and this change would only further their capacity to harm the individual consumer. ISP's have already killed the concept of customer service and this change would only further harm consumer rights.
518. D Serrie Stern, Chicago, IL, 60615
DO NOT CHANGE OVERSIGHT OF ISPs! Keep Title 11 in place. Preserve Net Neutrality.

519. Peter Kim, Mokena, IL, 60448
I fully support regulation of ISP's under Net-Neutrality, and that less regulation would be a travesty.
520. alyssa walker, Chicago, IL, 60637
I support strict oversight of net neutrality and keeping it in the Title 2.
521. Pam Straker, Alsip, IL, 60803
I strongly support the net neutrality protections under Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
522. James Lacey, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805
I specifically support strong net neutrality title II oversight of ISP. I wish it to remain free, and accessible to the public.
523. Jessica Ciufia, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
Keep the internet fair and equal!
524. Bruce Woll, chicago, IL, 60615
Keep the Internet regulated under Title II
525. Maria Pellegrino, Orland park, IL, 60462
I 100% net neutrality and keeping the Obama-era changes to regulations to ensure net neutrality
526. Hana Katsenes, Frankfort, IL, 60423
Please preserve Net Neutrality and a Title Two!
527. Diondra Thompson, Chicago, IL, 60620
Preserve Net Neutrality in title 2
528. Ibrahim Gabr, Chicago, IL, 60615
I support Title II regulation. I support net neutrality. Please do not strip title II protections!
529. FCC, Orland Park, IL, 60462
please listen to me when I say that I specifically support strong Net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP as it is quintessential to the perseverance of the liberties that this nation was founded on. Just like we made the decision a long time ago to separate church and state, so must we come together now and prevent this tyranny to be overturned.
530. Ashley Culich, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
Do not make changes to the current Title II with regards to net neutrality. Internet Service providers have done nothing to demonstrate that they will keep best intentions towards their customers. This isn't about the money, it's about

what the American people want!

531. Paul Hanley, Midlothian, IL, 60445
Please do not gut the rules protecting net neutrality. Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech and allows consumers and not big companies to choose what they watch and do on line.
532. Ronald Reed, Mokena, IL, 60448
Please leave the internet as is. Putting the internet in the control of these companies and their political agenda exacerbates the control by a plutocracy over the people of our country.
533. Charles LoBue, Frankfort, IL, 60423
*Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech.*Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started.*I want INDIVIDUALS " not big companies " to choose what they watch & do online.
534. Jouvenel Armand, Chicago, IL, 60643
big cable companies already have the market cornered they should not be allowed to also control what I look on line. net neutrality is also vital for my small internet business.
535. MARY Attreau, OAK FOREST, IL, 60452
Without net neutrality, companies like Comcast, AT&T and Verizon would be free to know, censor, and control what internet users do and use the internet for. I say we have our privacy, and they try and control other businesses, especially those who try and break the rules that are in place.
536. John Farris, Midlothian, IL, 60445
The Internet should always remain a completely free and unimpeded and unrestrained fully powered communication system for all the people of our country regardless of price and charges, that simple!
537. William Scott, Weiser, IL, 83672
Please leave Net Neutrality Alone ! Thanks !
538. Monica Charletta, Chicago, IL, 60615
As a young adult just now entering the workforce, I can't afford to pay more for the internet that I've spent the past two decades getting to know. It's ripping away my freedom online and forcing me to weigh my wellbeing against online accessibility.
539. Jack Malcom, Frankfort, IL, 60423
Why would anybody think that giving power to companies is good for consumers? I support strong regulation of ISP's through title 2.

540. Naudia Wawzenek, Manhattan, IL, 60442
Another example of government overreach. Are we not the "Land of the Free?"
541. Marie Whitney - CTS, Chicago, IL, 60637
Net Neutrality is a must!
542. Graham Carpio, Chicago, IL, 60615
Please keep the internet AS IT IS--neutral and available to all regardless of ability to pay. Thanks.
543. George Bolarakis, Orland Park, IL, 60487
As an aspiring small business owner online, net neutrality is vital to my future. My career depends on net neutrality. Should big companies be allowed to monopolize access to information itself, they could easily squash a small startup like myself, along with all of the many others like me that are trying to make a name for themselves out in the world. Is it really worth it to tear down our futures, and our rights, just to fill the greedy pockets of cable companies?
544. Katherine Veach, Frankfort, IL, 60423
Please allow free speech to continue in the US. We need net neutrality.
545. Robert Fitzgerald, Orland Park, IL, 60462
Keep the net the same as a public utility. Open access just like other utilities.
546. Matthew Reddington, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
I want to protect my freedom of speech and freedom of choice. Freedom of choice promotes healthy competition and innovation. Why would I want to develop an innovative service in the US if I can safely assume ISPs will stifle my customer base so they can redirect their customers to their knock-off service?
547. MR. ALAN E. RUCKER, CHICAGO, IL, 60615
FCC:To the point: The Obama regime is over and we do not need Big Brother, any more, to continue weighing us with countless regulations.As was the dream in Chicago before it became totally corrupt the lake front was to remain forever free and clear. Well, how is that "workin'" for us? Don't be like Chicago. Keep the WEB forever free and clear.
548. Martin Connolly, Mokena, IL, 60448
Net neutrality must be preserved in order for senior citizens like myself to continue to have equal access to the internet without having to pay a premium dictated by the big company company providers. Growing up, there was only one choice.....land line phone service (there was no internet) by AT&T & subsidiary "Ma Bell" companies. Now, growing old, the choices are more difficult to sort out re: communication services, i.e. phone land lines, cable TV

and internet. The FCC broke up the AT&T monopoly and I hope you will not create a NEW monopoly for the major internet providers which undoubtedly will enrich the shareholders of those companies at our (citizens of the U.S.) expense. Thank you, Martin Connolly

549. Andrew Calder, New Lenox, IL, 60451
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Without net neutrality, big companies could censor people and perspectives online. Net neutrality allows consumers "not big companies" to choose what they watch & do online. Without net neutrality, ISPs could decide when you've exceeded your allowance for Netflix that day and throttle your Internet speeds, in order to keep their own video apps running smooth.
550. Nyameche Quansah, Chicago, IL, 60637
Please keep net neutrality healthy and strong. Without net neutrality the work of small artists and entrepreneurs is doomed.
551. Calvin Neudorf, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
Net neutrality is vital. We don't need anymore evidence and signs that vital goods and services are easier to get for the wealthy & privileged
552. Nancy Antonelli, Frankfort, IL, 60423
turning over internet to companies will result in media bias, too much advertising and brain washing techniques and eventually control speech and fees.
553. James Allen, Manhattan, IL, 60442
The Internet. Quite possibly the single most important mode of communication and information acquisition the general public has. From the Internet's conception it has always been open offered to everyone. To alter or change that ideal is nothing less than singling out people whom either will not be able to afford the actual access and/or the facility to utilize the Internet. It would be akin to pulling the rug from under a lot of people. To take away the "OPEN" access would clearly be a segregation of people. It would be just wrong on EVERY level. The Internet should NOT be in control of ANY communications company. Every human being should have OPEN access to Internet. We pay for hardware (devices), software and even some Apps if they are required in our daily lives. I believe now, the same government departments that helped to create the Internet and get people to be comfortable in using it in their daily lives have now seen a way to get more money from citizens by privatizing the Internet ALL in the name of MONEY. Big companies getting richer. Big companies acquiring or "buying" the right to control and censor people (citizens).
554. Cheryl LaShea, Chicago, IL, 60615

The American people have the right to choose y their internet provider. Please DO NOT give the internet to big cable companies. It is our right as a people to choose.

555. Victor El, Chicago, IL, 60620

Leave net neutrality alone because internet choices belongs to the people and not to big companies or corporations who would want to control and rule over what you watch and do.

556. Mimi, Chicago, IL, 60620

The internet was made to be FREE, not policed in the way that government keeps attempting to do. Honestly there's enough out there already.

557. daneil , tinley park, IL, 60477

Our existence as independent members of the only global access to the information of how our actions impact the other inhabitants on this planet may be the only way to protect us from ourselves. Allowing that information to be put up for control by what we know will be a corrupt attempt to manipulate us for profit will ultimately be one more cause of our destruction!

558. Kathleen Prestwood, Chicago, IL, 60619

Leave the internet alone. Keep it free for all to use.

559. Phyllis L. White, Frankfort, IL, 60423

I do not want internet providers to be able to sell my information. My privacy is hard enough to maintain as things are now. And look what happened when phone companies were deregulated. I pay much more than my parents did for service. Stand with your constituents and not the corporations and ultra rich.Keep the internet the way it is now.

560. Robert McKee, Chicago, IL, 60652

This is as much an American Freedom, as any other granted "Right".

561. Maura, Tinley Park, IL, 60477

Mess with the Internet, you mess with the People. Mess with the People, they will fight back.

562. Lee Price, Chicago, IL, 60637

Net neutrality is necessary for free and equal access to the internet for everyone!

563. Malte Lange, Chicago, IL, 60615

FCC,The net is one of the few things these days that levels the playing field for most anyone with access. By taking away net neutrality, you would corrupt what is our best hope for the future. Loss of net neutrality would slow down all progress due to inefficiencies in the management by whoever you choose to

hand this to. America became great in part due to the principle of free markets, which if you destroy net neutrality would regress the progress America has made. Net neutrality is also important to me, because I use the net everyday for myself and work. Taking this away would jeopardize my work and have a large negative effect on my personal life. I hope you will reconsider taking away net neutrality. We all love our internet and would appreciate if that wasn't taken away. Thank you for your time! Malte

564. PAT DOELL, TINLEY PARK, IL, 60487

AS A FIRM BELIEVER IN THE FIRST AMENDMENT I AGREE THAT NET NEUTRALITY IS FUNDAMENTAL TO FREE SPEECH. PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW THE BIG CABLE COMPANIES TO WHAT I WATCH AND DO ONLINE!

565. Evan Dentley, Chicago, IL, 60619

Having current access you the webpages for free is very essential to my success as educator that is constantly told there is not a budget! Websites like YouTube, TedTalk, and social media website play a vital role in my engagement with youth for program purposes! Being an educator on the South Side of Chicago (where resources are scarce) the access to sites like these and others help me with gun violence prevention, and promote an intergenerational leadership model!

566. Marcus Justin McLin, Chicago, IL, 60615

I value the perspectives given by independent media sources. Without net neutrality, I will no longer be able to watch them unless they adhere to the arbitrary rules created by the big cable companies, even if I, the consumer, pay top dollar for the fastest internet service. Without net neutrality, the internet will be run like a dictatorship.

567. Kenneth Porter, Chicago, IL, 60643

Net Neutrality must be protected because it provides consumers better options

568. Ramiro Sanchez, Blue Island, IL, 60406

NEt neutrality is essential for innovators don't always have the deepest pockets, Lets keep moving forward!

569. Jones , City, IL, 60621

It would be a tragic mistake and criminal to turn any aspects of the internet over to this companies who would only work to make profit for themselves.

570. Kevin Scates, Chicago, IL, 60621

There is a Privacy Act in place according to The Constitution of The United States Of America. To allow any changes to be made would only be the start of violating the rights of every American Citizen. Also voiding out our rights as a

Democracy Society as we know it to be! This would be wrong.

571. Robin Tan, Blue Island, IL, 60403

The internet should be the same for everyone. Consumers should be able to choose what we do and watch, not big businesses. Big business should not have more advantage over small business either.

572. Shifra Adler, Chicago, IL, 60615

I rely on the internet to do most of my work (as many of us do). If companies were allowed to throttle different services it could have a profound impact on my ability to get work done and the way in which I do it.

573. Marquez Rhyne, Chicago, IL, 60637

Any threat to net neutrality is a threat to liberty and justice of everyday Americans seeking access to the internet much in the way we access libraries or other public sources of knowledge, information, entertainment, and technology. Giving more power and control of the lives of Americans to corporations would be among the worst betrayals of the majority of those whom the government has been sworn to protect.

574. Phillip Beverly, Chicago, IL, 60655

Net neutrality is the 21st Century version of First Amendment protections. Two hundred and thirty years ago when the Framers convened they had no idea of the possibility of instant connection and the ability to have such a range of differing viewpoints. The Lawrence O'Donnell situation on MSNBC points to the power that ISPs like Comcast would have in stifling dissent of those who oppose people like Trump. Leave the Internet alone. Net neutrality protects us all.

575. Rudolph Host, Tinley Park , IL, 60477

Net neutrality must be protected for the sake of the consumer and small business. An open internet has provided the free flow of information that benefits society as a whole.

576. daniel, alsip, IL, 60803

big cable stay out of the net

577. P Glikis, Orland Park, IL, 60462

FCC, we do not live in a place where the internet should be clamped and controlled. We've attained so much because of the openness of the internet, why squash it? If you hand it over to the ISPs then you are effectively stunting creativity, opportunities and availability.

578. Sam, Crestwood, IL, 60445

Why does the FCC have to mess with the internet as we know it. Government

needs to BACK OFF The FCC has bigger things to worry about.

579. Pierre Calleros, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
Personally I do not want my Internet managed by Comcast, AT&T or Verizon.
580. Rachel Baldwin, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 so that we may continue to have unimpeded access to the internet.
581. Joshua Clarke, Frankfort, IL, 60423
I support net neutrality under the guidelines of Title II. Come on now, keep the ISPs at bay.
582. Cathy Swanson, Chicago, IL, 60615
Preserve net neutrality. Keep title II regulations.
583. Darin Taylor, Chicago, IL, 60637
I, Darin Taylor, specifically support strong net neutrality backed by the existing Title II oversight provisions of ISP's.
584. Rodgers, Chicago, IL, 60620
I signed up for an internet and cable bundle on black friday 11/25/16 at Menards for 2 years with a price of \$80. After 3 months my internet price jumped to \$87. I called ATT reps twice and no one wanted to honor the bundle price. both rep told me the promotion fell off but couldnt say why. The problem is I don't think I should have to pay more for something that I was promised. When I asked to speak to a supervisor each time they said someone will call you.
585. Linda Reedy, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805
I oppose this proposal because it provides a safeguard against the manipulation of web traffic that can be detrimental to myself and other end users.
586. Bill Robinson , Palos Heights, IL, 60463
Stop selling our country out to big business. If we lose this as well as all the previous things we've fought against, don't bother running for any office soon. We're fed up with business stealing this country and we're onto it. Bill Robinson
587. Anna Tropnikova, Chicago, IL, 60615
Dear FCC - I beg, beg, and beg repeatedly for you to take a stand against Ajit Pai's blatant conflict of interest, and effort to roll back net neutrality, a bipartisan issue. Can no one take a stand against him? Is our country lost forever? The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are an extension of the core foundation of this country - free speech. I urge you to do all you can to defend the core principles of the United States - to defend the rules that foster innovation and enable start-ups to have a level playing field with larger

corporations in delivering their content. This is one of the most American things that there could be! How can you let one ex-Verizon executive destroy it! I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Anna Tropnikova

588. William Shea, Mokena, IL, 60448

Rolling back the Title II classification would be a grave injustice to the American people, whose interests and needs should be held above those of corporations.

589. -, Frankfort, IL, 60423

I am a college student. My classes utilize a lot of online sites as teaching aides. The change in policy has the potential to slow down many of these sites essential to many colleges. Keep the internet as it is now.

590. Yue He, Chicago, IL, 60637

Net neutrality is something that needs to stay. Don't give internet service providers this power over what everyday people can and cannot consume on the internet.

591. Kyle Clark, Alsip, IL, 60803

Net neutrality is imperative to any important discussion, form of entertainment, and the freedom to use the internet without overbearing forces.

592. Michael Reitz, New Lenox, IL, 60451

I have used the internet for 24 years. I visit a lot of different websites all over the world. I consume content from websites that are in various countries. About half of the content that I view is controlled by companies that are competitors to the content my ISP provides. If net neutrality is taken away I fear, justifiably, that my ability to use these websites and find/access new websites will be blocked, throttled, and/or put behind a tiered paywall. Laws and regulations are created to protect consumers and workers. As the financial crash of 2008 showed us very clearly, when there are not regulations to protect consumers, companies try to get away with as much as they can. Whether it is finance, healthcare, communication, or other industries, the goal of a company is the same: profit. When it comes to the internet the ability to force people to consume content that you monitor allows data collection of their habits, interests, etc. This aggregate data collection can then sold for more profit. The FCC needs to protect the privacy of consumers and regulate Internet Service Providers to treat all data equally.

593. Michael Alsen, Alsip, IL, 60803

The internet is a place of freedom. A place where people of all walks of life can go, meet, talk, coexist, and create. By changing the guidelines that dictate that freedom we allow the ISPs of the world to influence the internet's content for their own profit. I ask you to please not change the laws regarding net neutrality.

594. Michal Kowalski, Mokena, IL, 60448

When my parents first arrived in America about thirty years ago, and after leaving behind family in Communist Poland, they saw a land of opportunity. This idea was not theirs, nor was it an idea that held little sway among peoples suffering under oppressive governments. As such, I believe that America should continue being a land of freedoms, where one group does not control a majority of our lives. The internet is a wonderful place, where friends and family can keep in touch over distances that once seemed impossible. Without it, I would not be able to talk to my grandma, who lives in Poland, and I certainly would have had a much more difficult time planning my future, whether it was talking to teachers or counselors, or finding what careers are right for me. It can be argued that the internet has created a nation of anti-social people, but I believe that people are talking about more issues, and are being exposed to world news that not long ago would have been a rumor floating around town. It can also be argued that the internet is non-essential, and therefore can be controlled and taxed like cigarettes or gambling. Once again, I believe that if the internet was

in the hands of a small minority of Internet Service Providers (ISPs), the entire world could suffer due to censorship on the part of those ISPs. Thank you for taking the time to read this, and I hope that this comment strikes a chord with those of you who believe in an America that is free and great, and an America that the entire world can rely on to not make a momentous mistake by destroying net neutrality.

595. Rohit Agarwal, Chicago, IL, 60616

Net neutrality is vital to America's economy. Without net neutrality, American businesses will struggle.

596. Hadiya Robinsn, Chicago, IL, 60620

Net neutrality means freedom of education, expression, and freedom to connect. Big companies that can profit off of controlling what the people are able to access is wrong. The people /users should be in control of what we see on the internet. Taking that away is a form of dictatorship and directly has a hand in the oppression of minorities and the protection of the majority. I demand we keep net neutrality for ALL.

597. Lukas Blume, Chicago, IL, 60615

Net neutrality is important for freedom of speech

598. Justin Bronzell, Frankfort, IL, 60423

Currently, the internet is a cornucopia of free information. Allowing ISPs the opportunity to prioritize a certain type of information over another is a slippery slope to authors editing their content in order to be prioritized, rather than publishing the content as-is.

599. Alison Thrash, Chicago, IL, 60615

I recently took a trip to CERN, where the World Wide Web was invented so scientists across the globe could share information with one another. The internet is a place of open information and knowledge from all sources and for all people. CERN represents what we as humans can do when we work together. The internet allows that, but for scientists, artists, minorities, politicians, journalists, athletes and more to collaborate across dividing lines. If we allow ISPs to control what people see or the speed at which they see it based on what benefits the company, its censorship. It is stripping the internet of its collective of humanity and turning into nothing more than a business. We have access to more information today than ever before and if we let ISPs restrict what we learn, who is to say we won't end up brainwashed towards the left or the right or towards only these shows or if it'll be more difficult to access critiques of these governing bodies? To strip the ISPs of these regulations is to compromise our posterity's right to free speech, to knowledge. The internet is of the people, by the people, for the people and should remain so.

600. Max Demers, Chicago, IL, 60615
Keep net neutrality for the internet!
601. Maggie Flavin, Chicago, IL, 60637
I strongly urge the FCC to defend and protect net neutrality. Please keep access to the internet fair and equal for all.
602. Andrew Jia-Chuan Kao, Chicago, IL, 60637
Net neutrality is vitally important to me. As a student who relies on the internet to reliably access information quickly and views this as paramount, it matters that all sources of content on the internet actually fit this simple criteria. This also means that I don't have a choice when it comes to ISPs, given that I am required to use the services provided by my university. As such, it would make sense that the FCC have oversight on this issue to ensure that we all get fair access to everything available on the internet.
603. Sonia Chang, Chicago, IL, 60615
Net neutrality is critically important for me in using the internet, whether it's making purchases or informing myself on current events and data for my education/edification. I believe we need to maintain the limits already imposed on internet service providers in current net neutrality rules and keep the internet open with ISPs continuing to be classified under title II of the communications act.
604. Jason Edwards, Chicago, IL, 60629
Net Neutrality is critical to ensuring the Internet is a global resource; to trust Companies to police themselves is truly short sighted. It would be no different then allowing a Murderer to rewrite evidence laws that affect his case. In the new era of "alternative facts" removing safeguards left in place to protect not only the consumer but the information they seek is tantamount to censorship of reason and unbiased access to information. I ask that you carefully look at the harm repealing net neutrality will inflict not only on the bottom line; but also on unfettered access to unbiased information.
605. Beatrice Weiner, Chicago, IL, 60616
internet is one of the more frequently used mediums to gain information; free flowing information and nationwide (at least) access to it is free media & necessary for a true democracy
606. Open Internet, Chicago, IL, 60643
Everyone needs to be equal. The internet shouldn't be governed to push side agendas or be monopolized. Its not fair nor is it right.
607. Jennifer Wang, Chicago, IL, 60637
The internet needs net neutrality!!! Don't hinder our freedom to the internet!!!

608. Raymond C Westbrook, CHICAGO, IL, 60643
I refused to let you control our happiness
609. Adrian Johns, Chicago, IL, 60615
I've spent many years as a professional historian researching the history of media and information systems. On that basis I want to add my voice to those advocating in favor of 'net neutrality'. Allowing ISPs to play favorites between rival signals is likely seriously to degrade the public sphere in this country, to no benefit except (possibly) the ISPs themselves and the established rent-seeking corporations that will pay to be given preferential treatment. The current system works well in this respect and should not be changed.
610. Lucas Dowling, Chicago, IL, 60637
The internet needs to be able to function like a utility and be available to anyone. Similarly anyone seeking to host content on the internet should be able to do so without fear of ISPs playing favorites with larger content producers and throttling their speed arbitrarily, or at the request of larger producers. Net neutrality laws are extremely important.
611. Mark Kobek, Blue Island, IL, 60406
This is America. We are supposed to free. Not under the rule people trying to take our money any possible way. For once maybe do something the people want instead of what a small group of elites wants.
612. Matthew Vanderpoel, Chicago, IL, 60615
Net-neutrality rules are essential for the maintenance of a level playing field on the internet, which benefit both smaller companies and users of the internet. Stifling expression and innovation on the platform is reprehensible; ending net neutrality would do precisely this.
613. Maxwell Hutchinson, Chicago, IL, 60615
Net neutrality enshrines internet content equality. It is good for the economy: larger internet content providers can't box out smaller ones. It is good for the consumer, who typically doesn't have a real choice in their ISP. It is good for society, serving as bulwark against increasing consolidation of influence.
614. Daniel Childers, Chicago, IL, 60637
This attack on Net Neutrality is an outrage perpetrated by criminal shills of a corrupt industry. This is an assault on a key resource of the public square and on American freedom. Corporations trying to support this for their own illicit gain, and corrupt politicians failing to oppose it, will rightly face a terrible backlash from the public.
615. individual, Chicago, IL, 60637
I strongly support maintaining net neutrality and the availability to the entire

internet for all individual users.

616. Ryan L. McAvoy, Chicago, IL, 60615

When I buy internet, I buy several distinct abilities. First, I buy the ability to enter web addresses to connect directly to particular telecommunications services such as email, videoconferencing, and the internet equivalent of TV, Youtube. Second, I gain the ability to cloud storage services like dropbox and also to connect directly via the SSH protocol to particular IP address to run programs on distant computers. Furthermore, in this area, if I want fast internet, I have little choice. In my previous apartment, I could choose Comcast for fast internet or DSL for internet insufficiently fast to effectively use any of the aforementioned services. In my current apartment, internet comes bundled with my rent so I have no options. These local monopolies on fast internet mean I would be forced to take whatever cost my ISP decided to charge as the use of the internet is so integral to my life. This arbitrary and capricious raising of internet should prevented. I would also prefer that ISP's not be able to prioritize their own horizontal monopolistic services. This is more than some imaginary injury as my cellphone ISP says that only their video services will not count against cap even though I am paying them for free use of any video service including youtube.

617. Joshua Trezek, Midlothian, IL, 60445

We can not allow the net neutrality laws be repealed. The internet should not be censored especially for corporate greed. Please do not allow this to happen!

618. Matthew Cohen, Blue Island, IL, 60406

I am a strong believer in supporting net neutrality. The concept of all sites being equal is what has allowed for the rise of many popular sites that started from nothing into mainstream popularity. Without net neutrality, household services like Netflix and Spotify would not exists today. It also grants smaller startups the same power to rise from nothing and provide competition to larger corporations that provide a similar service. The major ISPs, such as AT&T and Comcast, who support the dismantling of their Title II classifications, have shown that these rulings have had little or no negative impact on their profits or their "innovation." These companies also have a monopoly in many areas, particularly in the rural parts of the country, which allows them to charge ridiculous amounts of money for what can only be described as "terrible" service. These companies have also shown that they will use their monopolistic positions in certain areas, such as in Chattanooga, Tennessee, where they tried to pass legislation that prevented a startup municipal ISP from building infrastructure. These companies through their actions, have shown time and time again that they cannot be trusted with acting in their customer's best interest, and this is no exception. The ISPs see a massive money-making opportunity in throttling certain content and favoring others, mainly those who pay up to get

prioritized access. This will stifle innovation in technology on the internet and potentially kill off smaller companies who can't afford the prioritized access, which is not good for anybody.

619. Cameron Cook, Chicago, IL, 60615

As a current graduate student, I'm used to enduring the taunt that my sought after profession is antiquarian in today's technological age. However, in modern times, it is near impossible to imagine education without open access to the internet. While I understand that internet access is hardly a given human right and instead a commodity that must be purchased, it frightens me to think that, once accessed, one's internet pathways can be controlled, manipulated, or subject to undue interference. Increasingly, tools of higher learning like access to archives and data collection are to be found online, and this primacy of the internet to the pursuit of education trickles down beyond universities into high schools, middle schools, and even elementary schools. In an age where education programs and budgets are already in jeopardy, it strikes me as particularly worrisome that the internet, a potential space of infinite learning, might lose some of its educational promise should it be at the mercy of private interests and uneven regulation.

620. Robert Carey, Chicago, IL, 60637

As it stands, my mother and siblings already live in a rural area strangled by the monopoly of a single ISP that provides negligent services--but being poor citizens in an isolated area, their internet service provides them with vital tools of communication, education, and civic engagement. They do not turn to their ISP for an "information service bundle" -- they need a reliable and affordable window to the larger world around them, one not constrained by the kinds of market monopolies the FCC should be preventing rather than facilitating.

621. Jennifer Remington, New Lenox, IL, 60451

In our new and changing world and economy, net neutrality is a necessity and should be defended by our government entities. Jobs in many sectors are drying up because of technology, but they are also growing and expanding in the tech sector. After several years of slow economic growth, our nation is finally at a place that it's beginning to grow again. I was laid off in 2009 while on maternity leave, and began my internet based business a few months later. The internet services I purchased through Comcast made it possible for me to work from home. As a graphic designer we work with very large files, shared through cloud services and email throughout the day. I have since expanded my business into a marketing company where we manage the social media and websites for many companies. If our speeds were throttled, or we were forced to pay more for internet usage, this would greatly impact our bottom line. Our bottom line makes it possible to buy healthcare for our children, invest in our retirement, and to care for our aging parents. We are not buying gold toilets or diamonds

with our profits, we are caring for our families and trying to give them a promising future. When I was laid off, I had to collect unemployment to make ends meet. I had to coupon to make ends meet. If our internet had been throttled or if we had to spend more on our services, it would have prevented us from getting off unemployment, and starting a business which I did within a few short months. As a mother with a new baby at home, it was not possible for me to go to work full-time. I didn't make enough money to cover the expense of childcare, so working from home was a solution for our family. If you throttle the internet, you are passing these margins along to single working mothers, single working fathers, the retired, the young entrepreneur and college student. Your attempt to increase your bottom line profits will inevitably crush or severely cripple these citizens who are trying to rebuild the economy that our government allowed to be crippled several years ago. As a government, if you make it more difficult for hardworking Americans to access the internet, you will be not only throttling the internet, but throttling American entrepreneurship, and throttling the American economy. Don't do it. Please, don't throttle our growth or limit the growth of our new economy. We are building futures for our children and grandchildren.

622. Shaney Swift, Chicago, IL, 60615

Do not let my internet Service Provider (ISP) prioritize any content over others. Preserve real net neutrality. I do not trust my Internet Service Provider, or any ISP, to have my best interests at heart. I want all of my content providers to be treated the same by my ISP.

623. Julia Key, Chicago, IL, 60615

i really do urge you to keep net neutrality. This is a crucial part to protecting our freedom, and even small online businesses who will be hurt by this

624. Timothy Arehart, Chicago, IL, 60637

I support strong internet neutrality. I rely on these regulatory protections in my daily work. Removing them would affect my finances negatively. Also, please delete the robo filings that are clogging the system under false identities. Isn't this criminal behavior?

625. Nicholas Bila, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805

Keep Net Neutrality because the reason I pay for internet to have access to all of the websites on the surface web without discrimination. The internet is meant to be a medium that is uninhibited and accessed by all. This cannot happen if company's give preference to certain websites. It is imperative that we keep the internet open for not only the average consumer but also the small business owners who will otherwise be drowned out by big business and have their sites effectively nullified without net neutrality.

626. Anon_124, chicago, IL, 60619

I didn't know that we doing what North Korea is doing with internet. We already have NSA and FBI controlling access to our files and private life on social media. Do the FCC want now.

627. James K Matkaitis, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805

My small business website should be able to be accessed and load just as fast as anyone elses. If ISP's are allowed to charge me in order for my site to load you might as well just declare any small business dead on arrival. The Amazons etc of the world will be handed oligopolies courtesy of the gov't I pay my taxes too. ISP's already collect from the end users and are making record profits. It is absolute nonsense that you should now hand them the power to pick winners and losers in business based on who will pay them the most. THIS SHOULDN'T EVEN BE A QUESTION !!!

628. Roy Morgan, Chicago, IL, 60615

In a time where the internet (and it usage) is rapidly expanding, it makes no sense to impose restrictions on it be repealing the current net neutrality laws. The internet has become incredibly valuable to its user due to its accessibility and the ability to make what you want of it. Some of the most memorable moments in my life have come from the internet and my interactions with fellow users and I can't imagine a world where I need to ask permission from my ISPs in order to interact/communicate with the people I have come to know. Given that we already have dominant ISPs across the US that already impact our ability to utilize the internet in the first place, repealing net neutrality laws only serve to further control how (and in what capacity) we are able to use the internet.

629. Nicholas Bila, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805

Net Neutrality must stay and not be repealed for obvious reasons. In this day and age internet access is an essential utility that cannot be undermined by predatory monopolies whose only interest is themselves. The people need an open and fair internet in order to visit the websites that they want and to create their own websites for personal use or small business. We pretend to encourage small business and to encourage competition. Getting rid of net neutrality will only hurt the many and benefit the privileged few. Keep net neutrality, do not be blinded by corporate greed and actually listen to the common man that you claim to serve.

630. Enrique Eguiguren, Chicago, IL, 60643

We both know what the right choice is. There's not a damn one of you who can legitimately believe that screwing over net neutrality will help anyone but people who are putting money in certain politician's pockets. And since the question is so clear, the question no longer becomes what is the right answer,

but a question of the moral fiber of those who vote upon it.

631. alexander jackson, Chicago, IL, 60653
Don't get rid of title II protections. The internet should be free of influence from isp's. Regulation is not a bad thing
632. Sam Nickolay, Chicago, IL, 60637
Please don't let corporations and financial greed ruin the open nature of the internet. It is important that we set a healthy precedent for the future! Thank you.
633. Manuel Martinez, Orland Park, IL, 60462
Hello,I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.It scares me to think of the things that some of the bigger ISP's can do without something like net neutrality in effect. They would have much more control over the content that we want to see and how we get access to it.To whomever is reading this: Please do anything you can to stop Chairman Pai and his efforts to stop our internet freedom!Thanks!
634. Christopher Surlock, Orland Park, IL, 60462
Let it be. Has been great and is great. Instead of focusing on monitoring what we can do, focus on what Fake remote access and hackers can do. Imagine if you focused on all the fake support businesses that promise to help for thousands of dollars vs. allowing normal people access to what they want. Mind Blown !!
635. Joe Nave, Chicago, IL, 60615
I'm currently a graduate student in computer science at the University of Chicago and I'd like to comment on the necessity of a free and open internet. Aspiring entrepreneurs and content creators like myself need an avenue to bring their goods and services to market. For online content and businesses that avenue is the internet. In order to do so we need the rates we pay for internet access to be reasonable and just so that we can produce and distribute goods and services to consumers in an efficient way that maximizes value in the market. Much like the farmers of the 19th century who struggled bringing their crops to market due to the railroad monopolies in place before the interstate Commerce Act of 1887, online businesses and content creators will not be able to efficiently operate our businesses or serve our customers if there is no free and open access to the internet. The United States cannot allow the individual internet service providers, who in many cases are granted the privilege of natural monopoly to pick winners and losers in the market. Internet service providers cannot be allowed extort customers or online businesses for the right to access online content of their choosing. To do so would be to allow for anti-competitive behavior in the online marketplace, something that is antithetical to

the core principles of the modern American economy and the foundations of a free market. I implore the Federal Communications Commission to continue to prevent such discriminatory pricing tactics from internet service providers.

636. Anna Ernst, Chicago, IL, 60615

I'm going to be a pastor very soon. Open internet helps me do the civic and community building I need to do good in the country.

637. Anthony Bandos, Frankfort, IL, 60423

This shouldn't even be an issue. Keep the internet open and free. Don't sell our history to companies.

638. Nick Bogert, Chicago, IL, 60615

Ending net neutrality gives Internet Service Providers too much power over the flow of information on the internet. In the Information Age, consolidation of such control is a dangerous thing, and may well lead to the limiting of creativity and expression on the internet. I feel consolidation has damaged our economy in other sectors, and is certainly underway in the internet portal sector, as well. I'm not part of any organized group; just a citizen concerned about the step your agency seems poised to take. Thank you for considering my views.

639. Joe DiMaggio, Frankfort, IL, 60423

Neutrality must be preserved.

640. Ian Moriarty, New Lenox, IL, 60451

The Internet deserves to be free without paid channels, throttling, and blocking by Internet Service Provider Companies.

641. William Wentworth-Sheilds, Chicago, IL, 60615

I am writing to express my view that the FCC must protect the open internet by maintaining net neutrality protections under Title II. Rescinding this protection will harm both the people seeking internet services, and the people who use the internet to create services for other people. It will harm our society, our country, and the world at large. Rescinding the protections afforded by Title II will endanger communities and citizens that already have fewer options for internet access either due to geographic isolation, or economic distress. The companies that provide service to them will be free to structure their offerings to benefit themselves at the expense of their customer's needs and freedom. The protections afforded by Title II are the way that we can guarantee that the services these companies provide are correct, and meaningful, and grant their customers full and unfettered access to all that the internet can offer them. This imposes a burden on ISPs, yes! It is a price worth paying. Rescinding the protections afforded by Title II will cause citizens, inventors, artists, entrepreneurs, and businesses to face unacceptable barriers to creating new, innovative, strange, and wonderful things. They will face tariffs from ISPs that

will advantage large companies that can pay them. Their access to the largest possible audience for their work cannot be guaranteed. Rescinding the protections afforded by Title II will pave the way to destroying the characteristics of the internet that have produced the most good in our society, our country, our world, and our economy. When we are granted the protections afforded by Title II, the internet gives ordinary people, marginalized voices, innovators, and dreamers a portal into the lives of everyone that gains access to it. Rescinding the protections afforded by Title II will empower those who want to use the internet for destructive and corrosive ends. Without these protections, ISPs are free to build "walled gardens" that ensnare citizens and business inside of increasingly parasitic bonds between their ISPs and their access to the world. Please do not rescind the protections granted to us by Title II. Doing so will fail to provide the benefits its proponents desire, and will destroy much that is good, and important in our lives.

642. Jonathan Piersa, Chicago, IL, 60615

It is important to the future development of the technology industry that data carriers remain impartial to the data they carry. Currently, carriers are required to handle all traffic equally, this has allowed many small companies to grow and has allowed new business models to develop. Removing the Title II restrictions on ISPs would fundamentally favor existing companies over newer developments that do not have the money to compete.

643. Justin, Chicago, IL, 60620

The internet is such a huge part of modern day life that limiting it is really just going to piss people off. And what do you think this is, China?

644. Phillip A Beverly, Chicago, IL, 60655

The original DARPA net was not built for everyone but its culture changing descendant, most well known as the World Wide Web is for everybody. Thus ISPs should not be the gatekeepers of who has access to a system that many have become dependent on. It is unconscionable that a public body that should be in service the people of the United States would so arrogantly do the bidding of multi-billion dollar corporations who spout the flimsiest of excuses when challenged on the idea of net neutrality. That innovation would be crippled by net neutrality is laughable and absurd. For the FCC to enable that absurdity is disappointing. Net neutrality levels the playing field and should be maintained.

645. Kenya Mahone, Chicago, IL, 60619

This is to say that I do NOT agree with this idea. I do not agree that ISPs should have the power to control anything to do with what we do on the internet. You are the provider, not the user, and creating a blockage about what I use is not acceptable.

646. Cary Reynolds, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
Net Neutrality needs to remain a governing force. Companies should not be allowed to provide trade offs between access/bandwidth and payment/sponsorship/etc. Net Neutrality is the best level playing field for all companies/governments/individuals and it's demise would be a detriment to to our society and societies around the globe that rely on it's principle.
647. Michael Krzyskowski, Orland Park, IL, 60462
I would like to voice my opinion on the topic net neutrality. Net neutrality should remain in effect, and the current laws upheld.
648. Mona Ali, Chicago, IL, 60619
Net Neutrality allows for people to use the internet freely and without direct biases forced upon them by internet providers. Preserving this right of the people to access web pages and information to their determined extent is vital in allowing for privacy and protecting people from direct bias that may alter their standings involuntarily, thus the extent of internet access should not be limited or interfered with by any government agencies or internet providers.
649. Chris Schiller, Tinley Park, IL, 60487
Net neutrality is about avoiding conflicts of interest and fair competition. If ISP's also have a financial interest in, or are content providers also, this is an inherent non competitive conflict of interest. Either we should de-couple content providers from internet providers, or maintain net neutrality rules. An analogy would be like allowing Tyson chicken to limit the number of customers per day to restaurants that don't sell their brand chicken. This would be unthinkable. Restaurants are independent of the food manufacturers and that's great for free market competition. ISP's being content providers is inherently a monopoly, and removing net neutrality rules merely permits the monopoly to reduce competition and do what any corporation has incentive to do, make money above all else. Please help maintain a free market economy and help either maintain neutrality of decouple content providers from isp's. -Chris SchillerBusiness Owner / Tech EntrepreneurChicago Area
650. Charles Corona, Midlothian, IL, 60445
I Strongly support Net Neutrality. Freedom of Press, Free and Fair Elections, Freedom of Association, Freedom to Start a Business, and FREEDOM OF CHOICE are among several other freedoms at stake. In a world where ISPs can slow down or outright block whatever services they like, your freedom to choose everything from your email client to your online university could disappear.
651. Robert Jones, Posen, IL, 60469
When I purchase internet service from my ISP, I expect to receive the same

unfettered service as everyone else. Changing the Net Neutrality Rules can rob me of my online choices and put me under control of my ISP.

652. Angel Brewer-Bass, Chicago, IL, 60619

In the pursuit of my career as a writer, numerous websites have helped me to research and hone my craft. Many of these were smaller, lesser known websites and online publications. If the content I'd had access to had been restricted to well-known and popular sources, I would never have gained this wealth of valuable information. Net neutrality is absolutely necessary to allow individuals the freedom to learn and pursue their life goals.

653. Nick Heft, Crestwood, IL, 60445

The Internet needs Net Neutrality. To allow Internet Service Providers to create prioritization for certain content would be highly detrimental to the current free market that exists on the Internet. These companies do not have the best interests of the people and the integrity of the internet at heart. There is already evidence that Comcast slowed down their customers connections to Netflix while negotiations between the two were occurring. It would be a mistake to repeal the current rules regarding Net Neutrality. Thank you for your time.

654. Daniel A. Puchalla, Chicago, IL, 60615

I write in opposition to the proposed roll-back of strong net neutrality rules implemented by the Obama administration. Allowing ISPs to favor one type of content over another is clearly against the public interest. I am a pastor and I use web-based services for membership management and finances that are specifically geared toward churches. These services are built and maintained by small companies, like FellowshipOne and Church Management Systems. I do not want my access to these essential services throttled or even blocked by Comcast. When I buy internet service from my ISP (both for my church and at home), I am purchasing a fast on-ramp to the entire Internet. I am not buying a bundle of services. I get email, cloud storage, and all other internet-related services from companies that are NOT my ISP, like Google and DropBox. Moreover, it is very clear that Comcast, my current ISP, is selling me precisely a bit rate. I believe it is the role of the FCC, as with all government regulatory agencies, to protect the interests of the general public when those interests are in conflict with private companies. That is why the government has power to regulate commerce. Net neutrality limits the freedom of ISPs to make more money. Net neutrality protects the freedom of the general public to start new businesses, to manage their lives, to have access to various sources for information and opinions, and to engage in the kind of expression essential for democracy. This is a conflict, and the FCC has a clear duty to side with the public.

655. Bryan Workman, Frankfort, IL, 60423

i already have enough problems with comcast throttling twitch streams with laws that make it illegal for them to do so why would i support laws that let them do as they please.

656. Monze Dixon-Fyle, Cori Dixon-Fyle, Chicago, IL, 60616
Please keep the internet free and clear. We need net neutrality
657. Cody Jones, Chicago, IL, 60615
The internet must remain free and open. It is the greatest too ever invented, and has profoundly altered daily life, technology, business, and the human condition at large. If left to cable providers and ISPs, they will pick it apart and destroy it by prioritizing financial gain over people and equality.
658. Peter Phaby, Frankfort, IL, 60423
Getting rid of net neutrality is a step in the wrong direction.
659. Kenneth Coulter, Frankfort, IL, 60423
My wife & I run a home business offering blog templates as well as running multiple blogging websites. The removal of "net neutrality" could easily unfairly affect traffic to these sites.I pay ATT U-verse for a internet connect with a specific minimum connection speed. I expect to be able to receive this service regardless of which sites/services I choose to visit or use. I should not be "throttled" based on someone else's priorities. I should not be required to seek out, purchase & miss work to await installation of service from another supplier to try and avoid limitations my ISP may implement.The FCC should be protecting *me* from unscrupulous practices on the Internet. The FCC is tasked with overseeing communication networks and the Internet is now the most essential communication network.Please do your job and keep the protections on "net neutrality" in place.
660. Andrew Nadlman, Chicago, IL, 60637
The FCC's Open Internet Rules are essential and must be maintained. Internet access that is open and untolled is as essential as water, gas, and other utilities to participate in our society. The ISPs that provide this access should continue to be regulated as utilities under Title II.There will be no benefit to the public from these proposed changes and they cannot be justified on that basis. Our long-term economic prosperity and ability to innovate will likely be harmed be your proposed changes. I urge you to stop and reconsider the harm this will to do to the citizens you serve and small businesses before you fundamentally alter the way ISPs operate.Thank you.
661. Julie P Shelton, Chicago, IL, 60637
I support net neutrality and believe internet service providers should be classified as utilities and regulated by the government. Open and free access to information, regardless of ability to pay, should be a fundamental right for all

residents of the United States.

662. Michelle Ing, Orland Park, IL, 60462
No matter how many times net neutrality comes into question, the answer will still remain the same. The internet needs to remain open.
663. Melissa O'Shea, Midlothian, IL, 60445
The internet should be free and open for future generations. Education has proven to work much more than scare tactics and without the internet how will we get free info to better ourselves.
664. William Loggarakis, New Lenox, IL, 60451
This is absolutely ridiculous especially when people are already paying up the ass for mediocre internet.
665. KEVIN MERCADO, TINLEY PARK, IL, 60487
I stand with those who believe that a free and open internet is a foundational principle of our democracy.
666. Donovan Singer, Palos Heights, IL, 60463
Changing net neutrality rules and Title II will affect the internet in a way that negatively harms business, creativity, and the democratic nature of the internet. I use and earn money from working on the internet, and would be negatively impacted by the change.
667. Joshua Dunigan, Monee, IL, 60449
The internet belongs to the people, not corporations or the government, unless America is the new China or north Korea.
668. Ryan Doremus, Chicago, IL, 60615
I demand that the internet stay open, free, and un-throttled. Access to information is a human right, and a censored internet makes equality impossible.
669. Dylan Ferguson, New Lenox, IL, 60451
I like the internet, please don't get rid of it's protection. :(
670. Allison K. Brown, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805
I am both a small business owner and a disabled person. The internet helps me have a marketing and web presence for my private practice, but also keep in touch with loved ones who are far away and events I may not be able to attend due to my medical limitations. When I buy internet service, I expect to get fast access to EVERYTHING that I might want, with no restrictions, blocking, or guiding from my internet company. I don't expect my phone company to only let certain calls through, I want them ALL. It is the same with the internet. I

expect the FCC to monitor the industry to ensure fair pricing and absence of monopolies. I expect them to ensure my privacy is protected, that price gouging is not happening, and that this service, which has become a basic utility for our country be available and in good working service.

671. Hunter Drew, Frankfort, IL, 60423

I like living in a free country. Why are we expanding our government in this way? We are becoming like our enemies by restricting speech and learning.

672. Max Sparrey, Midlothian, IL, 60445

dont take away my freedom

673. Nicholas Christo, Monee, IL, 60449

Net Neutrality is of utmost importance in this new age that we live in that will continue to evolve. Changing net neutrality to Title I stands to only benefit the few, huge companies that make up the list of ISPs. Doing so hurts small companies that want to bring competition and advancements to the industry, and it hurts every day people who want access to the ENTIRE internet, not just what ISPs want to offer. Attempting to change this rule indicates that the politicians in support of this are choosing to sell-out to huge ISPs instead of representing the people who they are meant to represent. I urge you, or whoever supports this to reconsider and do what is right for the American people.

674. Angela Yuska, New Lenox, IL, 60482

Iâ€™m worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way theyâ€™re enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any. The internet should remain equally accessible to all. Lack of competition in the industry makes the protections in place even more important. For the US to remain a world power, we must keep the public informed and keep up with other world powers in our ability to quickly access information.

675. Kariyushi Rao, Chicago, IL, 60615

I oppose the proposed changes to Title II.

676. Jessica Palumbo, Chicago, IL, 60637

The internet should remain free and open, not slowed down by corporations to serve the interests of companies. The internet should remain neutral, not preferential. It is immoral, unjust, and infringes upon the rights of the users. Please do not pass new rules that would allow for corporate manipulation of our access to the internet! Keep net neutrality in place!

677. Kenneth Koenen, Chicago, IL, 60637

Just how it would be unfair to prioritize one person due to race, religion, affiliation to people in power etc., it would be unfair to give companies the

ability to do so. The internet plays a huge roll in my life. I am an avid user of social media, I am currently taking online college courses, I stream videos and listen to music. The internet is supposed to be a free and safe place that shouldn't be regulated by private corporations. It is my understanding that the FCC is tasked with monitoring and regulating "communication networks", and if they can't do that what will stop someone from taking advantage of the people who rely on it?

678. Kevin Riordan, Monee, IL, 60449

The internet is a public resource and should not be controlled by private corporations. The government has the duty to ensure that all traffic flow evenly and without prejudice. We do not accept roadblocks on our interstate highways, nor are there tolls. The internet broadband spectrum should be treated as such and not become a vehicle for profit maximization at every citizen's expense. Destroying net neutrality or weakening it accomplishes this, however.

679. Edward Roland, Chicago, IL, 60655

It is the duty of the U.S. government to supports its citizens and have their best interest in mind with every decision. Backpedaling and repealing net neutrality would be a detriment to citizens and only benefit internet service providers, which are already some of the largest and most profitable companies in the U.S.

680. JohnLand, Frankfort, IL, 60423

Net neutrality must not be repealed. It would be unconstitutional and democratically wrong to repeal net neutrality.

681. Kaushal R Addanki, Chicago, IL, 60615

I oppose any efforts to get rid of net neutrality. Keep the internet free

682. Jack Land, Frankfort, IL, 60423

I support net neutrality and belief it must be defended at all costs in order to maintain our free and democratic nation.

683. Stuart Davis, Chicago, IL, 60615

Do NOT get rid of net neutrality! Do not allow ISP to choose winners and losers on the Internet. Keep the Internet free and equal; please keep the current net neutrality rules in place.

684. Lauren Nassef, Chicago, IL, 60615

For the past ten years, I've worked exclusively online as a full-time, freelance illustrator. My business, email, contacts, cloud file storage and research is all conducted and kept online. My ability to own and maintain my home, lifestyle and livelihood is directly linked to the internet being a fast, open, free, affordable and fair space for all. Taking away the FCC's ability to control price hikes and enforce true net neutrality, I will be priced out and overtaken by

larger entities that will make me virtually impossible for new clients and customers to find. Please uphold net neutrality rules and protect small business owners' ability to compete, thrive and innovate online. Sincerely, Lauren Nassef

685. Elise M. Soucie, Chicago, IL, 60637
Keep net neutrality. This is such an important issue, and people are paying attention. It is unacceptable to allow large companies to monopolize the internet and interfere with people's lives in this manner.
686. Evan Frank, Chicago, IL, 60616
Net neutrality is essential to the even playing field of the internet. I don't want to see an internet run by service providers. It is antithetical to the essence and function of the internet.
687. Deniera Burks, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805
Internet should be free!!!
688. Kevin Shannon, New Lenox, IL, 60451
The internet is based on equal treatment for all. It is the epitome of the ideals of the constitution of the United States. Do not allow anything to disturb that equal treatment.
689. John Laschober, New Lenox, IL, 60451
The FCC's Open Internet Rules are something that needs to be upheld. Monopolies not be allowed to dictate the price for accessing various websites. Would you charge someone extra for drinking water versus watering your lawn? It's ridiculous that in 2017 necessary utility such as the Internet is treated this way. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of

the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! John Laschober

690. Zachary Simon, Alsip, IL, 60803
The Internet should be open to any and every website.
691. sarah reed, Monee, IL, 60449
The internet is a crucial part of how this society functions. You cannot crumble one of our pillars and expect society not to fall with it. sarah reed
692. danny johnson, alsip, IL, 60803
the internet to be free for all with equal rights for all.
693. Vaibhav Konanur, Chicago, IL, 60615
I'm really worried about this recent proposal that would potentially selectively throttle bandwidth, resulting in ISP customers not having the freedom to use internet resources as they see fit. The internet is a utility, as the same as water or power. Differentially charging customers for their varied uses of the internet is not unlike charging people differentially for electricity. The use of the internet should not dictate how much the customer is charged for that use. Please make sure that this proposal is not instituted and enforced. It is not in the interest of the American people.
694. Christopher Visak, New Lenox, IL, 60451
Keep Net Neutrality! We will never lose!
695. Eliza Mittelstead, chicago, IL, 60615
PLEASE VOTE TO KEEP THE NET NEUTRAL
696. Federico Magana, Chicago, IL, 60652
Please keep the internet free, It is not meant to be regulated by the government. I strongly believe that in this current time the internet can be considered a basic right thus it should not be subjected to such regulation by a handful of companies.
697. Ernest Callenbach, Chicago, IL, 60615
Nothing is more important than an open internet. I am deeply and genuinely

terrified by what could happen if this goes the other way.

698. Luke Howard, Oak Forest, IL, 60452

Vote FOR Net Neutrality. Stop internet censorship!

699. Ingrid, Palos Heights, IL, 60463

I demand access to the entire internet - free of paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling. I care that the internet remain open on all devices for the betterment of humanity. By adding paid prioritization, the FCC is only further widening social gaps. The internet is an excellent, easily accessible form of academic information. It allows people with low income, those with disabilities, and people with language barriers to communicate information openly. Why would you take this away?

700. Iren Raye, Chicago, IL, 60615

Hello, I am begging you to keep the internet neutral and not let broadband ISPs grant higher speed access to large corporation sites. Corporations do not make the internet great; people do. I do not want an internet that is ruled by paid prioritization. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

701. Michael Evancich, Palos Heights, IL, 60463
Hello FCC! I urge you to consider how important it is, that we people have access to the entire internet! Getting rid of Net Neutrality would almost be like silencing the people. Many great websites that have helped people on all areas of the spectrum have arisen because of Net Neutrality. Getting rid of Net Neutrality will not benefit anyone in the United States, or even the world, it only benefits the companies who want to abolish it. Thank you for your time and consideration, Michael Evancich
702. NetNeutrality, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
The internet needs to be classified as a utility and remain free and balanced to all who use it, this means ISP's should remain under title 2 regulation.
703. Thomas Ullsmith, Mokena, IL, 60448
I'm in favor of net neutrality. I used to be happy to be a part of this country. I bet you were at some point, too. Kneeling to the strong who wish to defile our god-given rights was not what this country was founded on. People did not die from our break from Britain to have more "kings" tread on our freedom.
704. Kandice Jenkins, Chicago, IL, 60628
The internet needs no adjustment. Its existence is one that fuels the world and allows for the entertainment and information that users need and have adapted to. It needs no amendment.
705. Anthony Evancho, Mokena, IL, 60448
The internet should be a place where people from all walks of life can interact and gather information without being suffocated by biased rules. This is our digital language and inhibiting this language will only remove our ability to grow as a species.
706. Claire Kassitas, Frankfort, IL, 60423
The internet is a human right according to the UN. Let's start acting like it and not make it exclusive to a select group of people.
707. Jordan Travis, Chicago, IL, 60619
This is appalling to attempt to monopolize on something that was created for the world.
708. Keep Net Neutrality, Chicago, IL, 60628
It is of vital importance that the citizens of the United States of America have open internet for educational purposes.
709. Charles Wasielewski, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
I do not want net neutrality to go away. Please maintain net neutrality and allow the FCC's current internet regulation to be maintained where no websites can be

throttled by "slow lane" connections. Charles Wasielewski

710. Katia Fowler, Chicago, IL, 60637

I strongly urge you to protect the neutrality of the internet. It is fundamentally wrong and detrimental to small businesses, freedom of expression, and internet users to allow the speed and access of the internet to be controlled by those with the money and power. I care greatly about this issue and ask the FCC to protect net neutrality.

711. Kevin Shannon, Chicago, IL, 60652

please do not take net neutrality away from us.

712. Briana Washington, Chicago, IL, 60620

I would like net neutrality because I'm poor and already getting hacked by the Russians so please let me have this. In all seriousness, I'm aspiring to be a front-end web developer so net neutrality is very important to me.

713. Milan Rivas, Chicago, IL, 60637

I believe that net neutrality, as it is currently expressed and interpreted should be preserved as a fair playing ground for the use of the American people.

714. Natasha Russi, Chicago, IL, 60615

I support the preservation of net neutrality and am against initiatives by the FCC to limit net neutrality in such a way that would allow for paid prioritization of content, limit access to select content, or allow for ideologically motivated interference in private internet access.

715. Joshua Dunigan, Monee, IL, 60449

There is no reason for our freedom to be sacrificed so company executives can get a larger salary, unless the goal is to become North Korea. Joshua Dunigan

716. John, Marshall, IL, 53143

I strongly appose any weakening or repeal of title II protection and feel they should be strengthen. The internet must remain neutral.

717. Devora Klionsky, Chicago, IL, 60615

To *my* Chicago, IL representatives: The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are incredibly important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the

FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Devora Klionsky

718. Jay Michuda, Frankfort, IL, 60423

While I recognize and support the power of a free market, I also firmly believe that a purely capitalistic system allows much to fall through the cracks. To simply claim that all regulation is bad is, in my opinion, a lazy argument that I urge you not to make; rather, to see that regulation, when appropriately applied, can ensure that businesses continue providing for the public good while continuing to earn reasonable profit. Removing net neutrality would, in my eyes, only serve to increase the amount of profit and power for a small group of people and companies. I also believe that removing net neutrality laws would create an unnecessarily large financial barrier to entry for new, internet-based products or services that would not be able to afford higher levels of speed, should ISP's be allowed to enact "fast lane" pricing models. As one of the world leaders in the tech industry, giving such control to ISP's would stifle American innovation on this front, and we would soon be outstripped by other countries that have legislation similar to Title II. In summary, I support the FCC's Open Internet Rules, reject any current plans to repeal them, and respectfully request you to do the same. Thank you for your time. Jay Michuda

719. Ian hyzy, Midlothian, IL, 60445

Keep the open internet rules and save net neutrality! Ian hyzy

720. Ryan O'Connell, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805

I am in favor of net neutrality. Part of the beauty of the Internet is the ability for anyone to create their own content and websites as they please, and it would be

a shame to see that freedom be taken away at the hands of large corporations.

721. Roy Nayder, Mokena, IL, 60448
The Internet should be completely free to use for anyone paying their ISP to get internet. No prioritization, no blocking sites unless I personally do that for myself, and no throttling on service or different websites.
722. Christopher Zeniecki, Frankfort, IL, 60423
While the internet is currently far from perfect, prioritization of specific applications and websites will constrict the freedom of the user to a point where ISPs will be about as liked as Chris Christie. They aren't hated yet, but this will quickly change that
723. Colin Allpow, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805
Hey, how about we keep things even for everybody? Keep Net Neutrality, please.
724. Tony Marquez, Chicaago, IL, 60616
I am not in support of repealing Title II regarding net neutrality, and feel that it is a direct attack on free speech as well as an affront to everything that our nation, and the internet is founded upon.
725. Ian Bryce Jones, Chicago, IL, 60637
As a researcher, teacher, blogger, and all-around content creator, I rely on a free and open internet as provided by the current Title II classification of internet service providers. I use my ISP for exactly the purpose explained in the name: to provide me with reliable internet service. I am prepared to pay for fast speeds. However, I use cloud storage services, email, and web hosting from companies other than my ISP. I do not need my ISP dictating which of these services I should use by pricing them or rating them differently than their own internally-produced competitors. I see it as an abdication of the responsibility of governance for the FCC, whose job it is to oversee communications networks, to refuse its role in overseeing access to what is unquestionably the most fundamental communication network in our world today. As an American citizen who both votes and teaches future voters in the field of communications, I implore you not to abdicate that responsibility.
726. Erica Lannan, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
I am a biology professor at a community college. I very frequently use the internet to add real-world examples to my lectures. If a picture is worth 1,000 words, sometimes a video can be worth a million. I (and my students) need reliable access to many non-profit and small commercial sites that may not be available if net neutrality were removed. These include things like email, networking sites, video sites, scientific papers, and cloud storage, NONE of which we get through our ISP. Even though I live in the Chicago suburbs, our

"choices" for internet are extremely limited. Even if I were to switch companies, the speeds offered by other companies in addition to financial penalties makes it not really a choice at all. The goal of the FCC should be to make high-speed internet available to all. I believe that freely accessible internet for all will allow individuals to become better educated, and thus contribute to ACTUALLY making our country "the best".

727. lolmegalul, MEGALULe, IL, 11962
fuk u fgs lol

728. Keith Richardson, Chicago, IL, 60628
I am for net neutrality! The internet is wonderful and amazing as it is. Many orders and myself would love for it to stay as it is, as it should be.

729. Patrick Spreadbury, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
I support strong net neutrality rules. Access to the internet parts of the Internet should not be prioritized, monetized, or authorized by any service provider. The Internet must remain open and competitive.

730. Robert Kelly, Orland Park, IL, 60462
I am a college student and I believe that ISP's current situations are already ridiculously out of control. They are monopolistic and bad for the free market. The internet should be free for everyone. It should be a utility. Current ISPs are throttling data rates whereas other countries are giving free internet to all citizens because it is a right. Ultimately, the main reason for writing this is that I do not want an ISP or anyone else controlling how I browse the internet. I do not want to pay extra to use a website. Thank you for your time.

731. Noah Paulson, Chicago, IL, 60615
I support net neutrality because it keeps the internet democratic, and prevents a small number of ISPs from controlling the flow of information on the internet. Allowing them free reign is extremely unwise and is bad for business and personal freedoms

732. Matthew Tempinski, Orland Park, IL, 60462
I believe passing this bill is the opposite of what should be happening to the internet. As it stands, the internet is a place where everyone can go and be equal. A situation where paid prioritization on certain internet pipelines would stifle the future growth of the internet, and the community that supports it. The effect of this bill would be segregation between all classes of people regardless of their technical know-how. I feel strongly about this bill and would like to see it stopped. In addition to that, I would like to see a bill proposed to prevent this proposal from happening again, or at least less frequently.

733. Kenda Norris, Chicago, IL, 60637

I am a graduate student, soon to be teacher, who uses the internet frequently for both work and pleasure. Open internet is crucial to my success (and the success of my students) because it ensures that we have fast, impartial access to information. We already live in a world more driven by money and corporate power than it should be, and an open internet helps combat the power afforded to wealthy elites. It is imperative that the FCC maintain oversight of broadband ISPs in order for the open internet to be more accessible, affordable, and impartial.

734. jeremiah williams, Chicago, IL, 60617

Net neutrality is essential for any small website that does not have the funds or allies to guarantee they can receive equal bandwidth. Ending net neutrality will also allow providers to slow down web speeds for anything they deem immoral or against their values. This will prevent Americans from having freedom to navigate which is an essential, yet unwritten, right every American deserves. jeremiah williams

735. Alexander H Kaffka, Tinley Park, IL, 60477

The internet is a right for everyone to be accessed evenly and freely.

736. Grant Smith, Chicago, IL, 60615

Beyond potential impacts on the consumer, I implore the agency to consider the effect of an end to net neutrality on the increasingly important technology economy in the nation. Allowing expanded ISP control of web traffic could build an anticompetitive atmosphere surrounding the industry wherein ISPs are empowered to pick winners and losers. This could be devastating to the technology companies of today and tomorrow that constitute such an important sector of the US economy. Such a policy shift would come as a great disservice to the US taxpayers that played such a large role in the construction of the nation's IT infrastructure.

737. Grant Smith, Chicago, IL, 60615

Beyond potential impacts on the consumer, I implore the agency to consider the effect of an end to net neutrality on the increasingly important technology economy in the nation. Allowing expanded ISP control of web traffic could build an anticompetitive atmosphere surrounding the industry wherein ISPs are empowered to pick winners and losers. This could be devastating to the technology companies of today and tomorrow that constitute such an important sector of the US economy. Such a policy shift would come as a great disservice to the US taxpayers that played such a large role in the construction of the nation's IT infrastructure and are thus entitled to a fair and competitive environment.

738. Colleen Nelligan, Oak Forest, IL, 60452

KEEP THE WEB FREE FUCK THE SYSTEM

739. Kathleen S. Zyrkowski, Chicago, IL, 60643
I only have a few choices to link up to the internet. I also have been a Netflix customer for over ten years. There was one year where I could not get emails from Netflix because ATT blocked them. I am sure that my streaming of films over Netflix would get the same treatment if net neutrality is not kept in the current form. Do not tinker with the internet to allow ISP companies to dictate what I can or cannot access. We all live a free society. Keep it free.
740. Lindsay Jacobs, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
Net neutrality enables access to information and provides opportunities that were never accessible before the open web, to individuals who may have never otherwise learned, connected, or become inspired using websites and applications. Do not set unnecessary limitations on human potential; keep the internet open, and keep the American spirit alive.
741. Zack Strupeck, Frankfort, IL, 60423
I want the Internet to be free. I don't want ISPs to be able to dictate which websites receive faster service. I don't want ISPs to be able to designate fast lanes. I want to be able to decide which websites I visit, which services I use, and what businesses flourish or flounder. I do NOT want ISPs to be able to determine any of that by limiting, slowing, hiding, capping, or in anyway affecting my and other internet users ability to visit websites and services. PLEASE do NOT recategorized ISPs and grant them that ability.
742. Kevin, Mokena, IL, 60448
Do NOT change Net Neutrality, isp's shouldn't be able to make our internet viewing worse just because its not a website they own. It's bad enough most of them have a monopoly on the infrastructure that's needed, don't let it get worse by giving them so much control over what goes across it that that it isolates people from information or websites just because of their limited isp provider choices. this would also destroy many smaller websites that rely on ads revenue if they are able to be just shoved to the back or even cut from a search list simply at a big company's whim and those little sites are sometimes part of a persons income just so they can get by.
743. David Raban, Chicago, IL, 60637
Net neutrality is essential. Why is it that every year or two we have to fight the same fight with you when you know that it is overwhelmingly supported by the public (oh yeah, and a matter of justice)? Grow some backbone and stop listening to the ISPs. They are greedy. You should be breaking them up as anti-trust violations, not considering giving them more power. This is important, so do the right thing - keep net neutrality. Thank you.
744. Michael Wills, Chicago, IL, 60637

The title of this proposal is a bit of a joke, right? It seems like it's intended to enable ISP's to take more advantage of customers, and does nothing to break up ISP monopolies to give freedom to customers/citizens. As consumers and citizens, we needed net neutrality style rules to protect us from the monopolistic ISP's. In many areas there is no choice in how you access the internet, especially at what would be considered broadband speeds. In Chicago, I have not yet been able to live in a building that didn't establish 10-year exclusive contracts with a broadband provider, and there are only two broadband providers in all of Chicago. Even moving as a way to "choose" my broadband provider/ISP isn't realistic. We need government regulation of ISP's in order to ensure we have any protection. Lobbyists for ISP's try to confuse the issue of what is paid for and used when we use the internet. Consumers pay for momentary bandwidth, the amount of data in a second that can go through the ISP's network. That has a real capital cost for the ISP, and it's reasonable to pay for the rate of access. What they try to do is muddle the conversation and say it's about consuming bits, which have basically no cost over time. They use this erroneous argument to claim that I as a consumer should not only pay them for access to the internet, but everyone I go to should also pay my ISP for letting me see the content. This is not how things are setup. I pay my ISP for access to the internet, and the other providers on the internet pay their ISP for access to the internet, which I am a provider from their point of view. Some years back, my ISP extorted Netflix into paying them in addition to the fees I pay to Netflix and my ISP as a customer of both companies. If Netflix wouldn't pay, my service with Netflix would be degraded by my ISP. The hope was seemingly to make the service so bad that I'd reluctantly go back to my ISP, who also happens to provide film content, for movies and television series. From time to time my ISP was also throttling Google's content, again seemingly in an attempt to prevent them from threatening my ISP's declining TV business. It should also be noted that my ISP already charges nearly double if you want just broadband, and not television with broadband internet. Since there is no fair competition for other companies, customers have no choice but pay whatever my ISP charges. In today's society, not having broadband isn't an option.

745. Brett Wieland, Tinley Park, IL, 60487

To protect net neutrality is to protect the freedom of every American and to ensure information security for all. What America does here will impact the world at large as precedent will be set. Brett Wieland

746. Mark DiTusa, Chicago, IL, 60615

Net Neutrality is horrible. Don't let companies control the internet.

747. Alexander Pietrzyk, Chicago, IL, 60652

I firmly stand in support of net neutrality and am against any restrictions on it.

748. Dominick Simpson, Chicago, IL, 60620
I'm a freelance Graphic/Web Designer. I depend on the open air market style of the web. Where you can make a living if you know how to market yourself and properly access areas of the web. It would hinder my business and growth as an entrepreneur if ISPs were able to decide for me what websites I have immediate access to and stifle my access to websites they aren't benefiting from.
749. Dakota Jandek, New Lenox, IL, 60451
Net Neutrality is necessary for our society. I am very Libertarian and am in favor in as little government as possible, but even so, I think we can all agree that the internet is more akin to roads than to cars or iphones. The internet is not a service, but rather an infrastructure that a large portion of our modern society is built on. Allowing preferential treatment on such a foundation would cripple new and existing technological advancement, business opportunity, and even our liberties enshrined in the constitution if companies were to be allowed to have control over a necessary function of everyday life. We know that companies will always put profits first, something that is usually good in our very robust capitalistic society, but that becomes a problem when they control a necessity. Just as we have regulation for utilities, we too need them for the internet. If given the choice, ISP's would have the ability to diminish the websites of those deemed unworthy, even if they are government or candidate ones. That is not something we could allow. And it is something that will happen if Net Neutrality is taken away.
750. Brandon Galloway, New Lenox, IL, 60451
The Internet is a information database and communication network rolled up into one. We don't let the library set priority books or let phone companies throttle connection to certain numbers. Imagine if your favorite pizza joint had to pay a monthly fee because of their traffic (and extra on game days)! The internet needs to be free and neutral because it's the biggest way we consume information and it's a large part of how we communicate. If the internet is allowed to be influenced by any regulating body with monetary incentive all of that will wither away.
751. Craig Edwards, Chicago, IL, 60629
Keep ISPs under Title II classifications. It is the only firm legal protection consumers have to use.
752. Shourav Yathindranath, Chicago, IL, 60616
The internet today is more than a luxury, it is an important means of disseminating information, a way to connect to a wider world and allow free growth and opportunities to every single person. It needs to be free and neutral, not controlled by giant corporations that can manipulate it and only limit complete access to the internet to those who can afford it

753. Josh Strupeck, Frankfort, IL, 60423
Keep the internet free and open. Giving the ISPs too much power will restrict the free flow of information and ideas, which are key to America's economy. The ability to exchange ideas at will has led to great things and will continue to lead to great things. Net Neutrality is important for free speech as well. The internet is a way to voice one's opinion and giving the keys to the internet to the ISPs will restrict this freedom. Keep the internet free and open!
754. Lauren Angelini, Chicago, IL, 60615
I want to state that I strongly support Net Neutrality and disapprove of any legislation that weakens net neutrality policies.
755. Carolyn Volpert, Chicago, IL, 60637
I would like to defend net neutrality. The fact that you snakes make it so difficult to do so is really rather telling.
756. Ansh Singh, Chicago, IL, 60616
The Internet needs to be free and net neutrality rules need to be safeguard. Ajit Pai is a cunt.
757. Amardeep Bhatti, Chicago, IL, 60615
Keep the internet neutral and politics out of it!
758. LaZerek Clarke, Chicago, IL, 60620
saving the open internet from roll backing the 2015 Title 2 Classification laws
759. Connor Murphy, Orland Park, IL, 60462
I am for net neutrality and feel this should not even be a debate Connor Murphy
760. Sean Fitzsimmons, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
I, Sean Fitzsimmons, as a tax paying citizen of the State of Illinois within the United States of America, demand that the FCC uphold and NOT repeal Title 2 Net Neutrality provisions. I firmly believe Title 2 Net Neutrality provisions need to remain in place in order to sustain a free and open Internet. I do not want large ISPs like Comcast and AT&T to be able to create "fast/slow lanes" and dictate what I, the tax paying citizen, among all other tax paying citizens see, browse, download, etc. and the speed at which we do so. The Internet is meant to be open and free of restriction from organizations, corporations and the Government. Please uphold and DO NOT repeal Title 2 Net Neutrality provisions.
761. George Arceneaux, Chicago, IL, 60615
Maintain net neutrality! The internet should remain the way it has been: it has created hitherto unseen creativity and freedom, a place for expression even for those on the margins of society. Undermining net neutrality threatens the ability

of the internet to give power to those who may have very little.

762. Lauren Blake, Chicago, IL, 60615
Net neutrality is imperative to a free and open internet. Do not reverse Title II net neutrality.
763. Joshua Engelman, Chicago, IL, 60637
Net neutrality ensures that ISPs do not use their monopoly powers to disadvantage both their competitors and their customers. It is a vital tool to ensure an open internet.
764. Jessica Conte, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
This is terrible and controlling and you know it.
765. Richard Rhein, Midlothian, IL, 60445
The internet was founded to allow an easy and free exchange of information between people. It has grown to become so much more, from a way to communicate free speech, start small business, get new ideas out there, exchange information, promote creativity and innovation, and so much more. If you abolish net neutrality, you destroy decades of human progress across the internet, just to let rich companies get richer. Be human, be productive, be neutral.
766. Claire Stevenson, Chicago, IL, 60615
Today the internet is central to how we all run our lives. As a scientist, my research would be compromised by loss of the Open Internet. When I purchase an internet connection, I am getting the ability to communicate with researchers around the world and access the work of other scientists. If the open internet access that researchers rely on daily is affected, this would be a huge blow to the scientific community. To ensure this does not happen, we need to maintain net neutrality.
767. Kenneth Coyle, Orland Park, IL, 60462
please dont do it boi Kenneth Coyle
768. caitlin hakala, Finley Park, IL, 60477
Net Neutrality is bad for every American. Except big businesses and I guess they count as people now.
769. NET NEUTRALITY, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
The internet is freedom in it's purest form - the last bastion of true anonymous freedom in this world. It is the epitome of the human experience - infinite information and freedom at your fingertips. Giving ISP's the ability to control (to an even greater extent than they already do) what/when/how fast/how long free Americans view FREE information is wrong; it does nothing but harm the

common man and make the wealthy wealthier. This move is seen by the general populace as harmful, and we will remember this decision when it comes to the voting booth in the months and years to come. Listen to your constituents or be thrown out of office.

770. Kevin Stanley, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
Getting rid of Net Neutrality rules will be a step backward for America, if not the entire world.
771. Samantha Sabrina Lewis, Chicago, IL, 60620
Keep the internet open and allow equal representation for all content providers.
772. Andy Cohen, Chicago, IL, 60615
The internet needs to be net neutral!
773. Jeffrey Carr, Chicago, IL, 60615
This is beyond a mistake, and nothing but a hand out to the giant internet providers. An open and free internet has become vital tool of communication, much like the telephone. Allowing net neutrality to fail would be the end of the internet as we know it.
774. Stephanie Oehmen, Chicago, IL, 60643
I want to protect net neutrality and the freedom of the internet for everyone equally.
775. Tomasz Kopec, Orland Park, IL, 60462
You better not repeal Net Neutrality
776. Nathaniel, Chicago, IL, 60637
Net neutrality is extremely important to me. It protects freedom of information and freedom of choice as consumers. The proposed changes to rules governing services giving access to the internet fundamentally change what it means to purchase internet service from an ISP. ISPs should have to provide unbiased access to the whole internet to their customers, and the proposed changes serve to allow ISPs to restrict access to some parts of the internet. This cannot be allowed because the internet is fundamental to so many people's (including my own) ability to get information. Allowing ISPs the ability to interfere with that access is unacceptable to myself and many others that use the internet.
777. Clara del Junco, Chicago, IL, 60637
Getting rid of net neutrality regulations opens the door to massive conflicts of interest on the part of ISPs. This is an obvious example of the risks of monopoly and the failure of the unregulated market to promote competition and innovation. As a consumer I am very concerned about the consequences.

778. C Hargreaves, Chicago, IL, 60637
The FCC has a duty to protect consumers from illegal or manipulative business practices, privacy infringement, and privatization of the basic telecommunication services that are necessary in this day and age. It's their job to make sure that the average citizen has reasonable opportunities to access the internet and that the level, breadth, and type of this access is not determined by a handful of corporations. Personally, I use the internet to access my medical records, pay my bills, learn a new language, watch tv, shop, and sell crafts. I'm also trying to get into a long distance writing program, which involves online applications, and, if I succeed, would then involve years of communicating with my professors and fellow students online. None of these things are directly provided to me by my ISP, from whom I only purchase wifi access. The internet is on a level of service on par with water, electricity, phone, radio, and television. In fact, I would say it is more ubiquitous and far more necessary than either radio or television. Take this seriously and don't sell out the American people. We need a neutral network and we know it.
779. Andrew, Frankfort, IL, 60423
The internet needs to stay free and the consumers should be the ones deciding what they have access to.
780. Andy Mutzbauer, Alsip, IL, 60803
Net neutrality must be kept in place. The internet is a tool that grants people access to knowledge and the ability to communicate with people across the world. Businesses might have to pay to be recognized by ISPs, would .gov sites have to as well? If not, then .gov sites will be more privileged, and inherently unequal. Businesses and citizens should not have to pay for equality. Our equality should be protected by the government, as the first amendment does. Protect our freedom of speech, of press, and the ability to access the press and have our voice be heard.
781. INTERNET, Chicago, IL, 60615
Internet neutrality is about democratic access to humanity's largest communication effort. It is paramount to defend Internet Neutrality so that everyone can use the powerful resources of the Internet to the advantage of society.
782. Bryn Whiteheads, Chicago, IL, 60629
Cut this shit out. Protect net neutrality. Serve The People. The People will serve you. Bryn Whiteheads
783. Gavin Detorres, Chicago, IL, 60805
The internet has been free since the beginning, changing that now would be pointless and unfair to everyone who uses and ever used the internet. It isn't fair

to make people pay to use the internet. The internet is great for many reasons one of them being that anybody, anywhere can use the internet and find whatever they need, for free.

784. alecb, Chicago, IL, 60616
why is this still a debate? Net Neutrality promotes competition by allowing online businesses to succeed by generating quality content and not by paying off ISPs.
785. Anthony M Martinez, Chicago, IL, 60615
Show some responsibility. Shame on you for making us fight for this over and over and over. WE DON'T WANT THESE RULES. Keep the internet free!
786. Kevinique Montgomery, chicago, IL, 60643
Companies should not be allowed to dictate which websites are accessible! The internet shouldn't be only about how much money you can make off of people!
787. Devon Coffee, Chicago, IL, 60615
I only have access to ONE broadband ISP. Removing Net Neutrality is, effectively, government censorship. I am an academic in Media Studies and this is not only important to me personally, but to all Americans who don't necessarily realize how their access to media shapes their reality. Net Neutrality preserves Americans' abilities to shape their own reality. Destroying Net Neutrality is selling out the American people to the highest bidder. PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY!
788. M. Cornelis van der Weele III, Chicago, IL, 60615
What the fuck is wrong with you people? I mean, why? How much more money could you possibly need anymore? Why can't you leave us in peace?M. Cornelis van der Weele III
789. Isaiah, Chicago, IL, 60615
Freedom on the internet is the structure of the internet, censorship will tear down the foundation of what the entire net was created for.
790. Alex Kroeger, Chicago, IL, 60615
I support net neutrality and oppose efforts to remove ISPs from Title 2 regulation.
791. Nathan, Frankfort, IL, 60423
We shouldn't be like china too much government control. keep the internet free
792. John Gorman, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805
Internet broadband should remain classified as a Title II communication service in order to ensure that the Internet remains a level playing field. Reclassifying

broadband will not improve internet access. Instead, ISPs would be allowed to unfairly control the performance of websites that they do not own. And with telecom companies like Comcast, Verizon and Time Warner operating as de facto monopolies in their own sectors of the country, consumers could suffer by paying the same amount for a much slower ("throttled") internet connection to certain sites that their ISP deems undesirable. The internet is quickly becoming more and more like a utility such as gas or water and therefore should be regulated as such. It would be asinine to imagine a water company reducing the flow of water to certain houses. So why should we accept an ISP acting in a similar manner? The Obama administration policy regarding Net Neutrality is providing more protection for consumers. It is not a case of "excessive federal government regulation smothering innovation." Keep Net Neutrality, keep the internet open!

793. Steven Galle, Manhattan, IL, 60442

I find it appalling that such a thing as this is even in question. To end net neutrality benefits absolutely nobody but the largest ISPs. It is monopolistic to do so, and Although it may take years to see it happen, it will put power into these companies hands that will eventually be abused. Whether that is to stifle competition, media, or merely to make a quick buck strong-arming newer online businesses into paying for services. I, nor anybody among the American people should stand for this blatant abuse of corporate power.

794. Nico McNamee, Chicago, IL, 60615

I spent a good few years living abroad, and relied heavily on internet communications to maintain contact with my friends and family at home. The last two years have been particularly tumultuous, with multiple Skype and Facebook calls, searches for last minute plane tickets home, and a lot of reliance on the websites of LOCAL businesses for gifts, flowers, even food to be delivered when I could not be physically present myself. I appreciate my internet access being free and clear of bias or paid prioritization.

795. Christian Johnsten, Alsip, IL, 60803

The Internet holds a vast amount of content that shouldn't be governed by ISP's to control what we can and cannot see or slow down speed to sites because of the company's opinion of certain topics. That would be censorship, which can and would be considered a violation of the first amendment.

796. Tyler Hill, Tinley Park, IL, 60477

I believe it is in the best interests of the public that current FCC rules and guidelines be maintained; It is understandable that the government has the best interests of citizens at its heart and certain politicians may believe that this is a way to address crimes committed over the web. It is my personal belief that individual freedoms of speech must be upheld, and that by giving more control

to ISP's will force anyone who uses private forms of communication relying on the open web to privatize their internet service providers services, or begin running networks peer to peer. It has the capacity to hinder the American economy and destroy a foundation that many businesses use to keep their companies low cost. I personally use many of Google's services to operate within my business. If an ISP is to control the content I receive from Google, who is to say that My freedoms of speech are not being manipulated? For example, if an ISP did not like the content of this message, they would have the capacity to stop it at their leisure from even entering the public domain. Please, for the love of God, do not take away people's freedom of speech, or allow others to monopolize that freedom. Thank you, Tyler S. Hill

797. Meghan S. Thomas, Chicago, IL, 60637

Plain and simple reasons why I need net neutrality 1. I need access to all of the internet to find the best services for me and have access to all the educational research possible. 2. I don't use the internet or pick providers for just fast access for web surfing. I need email, cloud storage, access to online resources like word processing, access to products for computer programming, variable platforms for gaming, etc. 3. ISP lobbyist are afraid of competition and only want to push their products. But competition is good as it forces ISP to provide better services and products and engage in innovation. 4. Currently I only have access to one ISP, Comcast. I hate Comcast. I once had AT&T. I would like AT&T but they don't install in my area. I would love Google fiber but Comcast has an iron grip on the city of Chicago thus it is not available here. Please save net neutrality.

798. Net Neutrality, Oak Forest, IL, 60452

Net neutrality is super crucial to the internet. Do NOT repeal this please. The future of the internet depends on it.

799. evan isidoro, Chicago, IL, 60629

save net neutrality so companies don't get to hold certain people at ransom for access while allowing access to the highest bidder.

800. Amir Hampel, Chicago, IL, 60615

Dear FCC, The internet is too important to be left in the stranglehold of a few major corporations. The idea that net neutrality stifles innovation and investment is, frankly, completely backwards and transparently cynical. Keep net neutrality. Yours, Amir Hampel

801. Emily Sheetz, Mokena, IL, 60448

I am a college student studying mathematics and computer science. One of my passions is doing research, and I have spent the past two summers doing research on autonomous ground and air vehicles. Net neutrality is very

important to me. My fellow student researchers and I are able to access a wide variety of information and research on the internet because of net neutrality. I have friends who take courses online and all students rely on the internet to complete assignments. Net neutrality rules allow us to access information and resources we need to stay educated. If ISPs were no longer subject FCC regulations that protect net neutrality, many people would not have the opportunity to choose an ISP that is affordable and allows access to the websites they need. There is one internet service provider in the area of my college town. Even if the college or the people in the area wanted to switch to a different ISP, there is not another choice available to us. For this reason, it is important that all ISPs follow the regulations that protect net neutrality, and that these regulations stay in place. This gives consumers more power and greater access to information on the internet. We are living in the Information Age, which means that access to information--all of the data, thoughts, opinions, research, experiences, and knowledge shared on the internet--is necessary. Free and open internet benefits everyone. It allows people to access information, educate themselves, research perspectives that are different from their own, learn about other cultures, experience media and entertainment, and connect with family or friends around the world. The internet is a powerful resource because people can access everything available to them online. I sincerely hope that people continue to have access to and take advantage of all of the resources and information on the internet.

802. Chad Gabriel, New Lenox, IL, 60451

Don't be crazy. Having the internet with non-neutral content distribution would be like charging folks for the different quality of air that they breath. We have much more important issues to be focusing on.

803. Ruvim Ginzburg, Chicago, IL, 60615

Protect Net Neutrality. Letting companies/corporations control internet use is a terrible idea, and paves the way for infractions against the Constitutional rights of US residents.

804. Noah Feeman, Chicago, IL, 60615

I should think, given the nature of small business and entrepreneurship being perhaps the greatest hallmark of the Republican party (or, perhaps, it has been suborned behind the veneer of the shades of Big Business) that Net Neutrality should be an issue upon the right side of the aisle, not the left. But ah, in these times, what could I, but a humble nobody, know? Perhaps it is good for small businesses to shell out thousands of dollars in what amounts to bribes in order to prevent their lockout from the great equaliser, so that monopolies can continue to be built in this modern heyday of unfettered capitalism, choking the life out of those few who still have the bravery to fight individually against corporations. Those farmers shipping stands in already badly-monopolised rural

Ohio and Iowa, where TWC and Comcast force them to pay a premium for internet the wider world believes is a decade slow, they just need to spend even more money to be able to compete and keep up with places like Amazon. But I suppose I am forgetting that constituent interests are no longer the interests of the whole. My apologies; and here I thought that our federal government governed by and for the people. Not by and for the lobbyists. Shows what I know. Please do not end Net Neutrality. It is essential to a competitive business market. It is essential to a functioning economy. It is essential, too, to children who need to use small education websites. It is needed for disabled people to have access to their most-needed resources. And, you know, TWC and Comcast do not give a good goddam about the federal government. You'll end up paying out the nose too. A little more taxpayer cash, a little more being taken from your defence budget. Just sayin.

805. Korvell Russell, Chicago, IL, 60619

The FCC doing away with Title 2 is outright ridiculous. The internet operates fine the way it is now. Giving cable companies like Comcast and Verizon the ability to make internet fast lanes or slow content would ruin the internet.

Korvell Russell

806. Net, Chicago, IL, 60619

Keep the net neutral, paid prioritization is a horrible idea

807. Jeffrey Taylor, Oak Forest, IL, 60452

The end of net neutrality will bring about the end to the free access of information. Any fact online could be skewed by the highest bidder, how is that fair? I am a student and I, like many others, rely on the internet for finding facts and making sure what is found is true. Without net neutrality facts can no longer be reliable because the answer to your google search can be bought.

808. Zachary R. Lampkin, Chicago, IL, 60628

What ISP aim to do is not only unethical but even more so puts the people that much more under the thumb of huge corporations who only see us as statistics and sources of revenue.

809. Tyler Good, New Lenox, IL, 60451

Keep Net neutrality for gods sake! We are free people and should not be limited by this!

810. Kurt Vinhage, Chicago, IL, 60615

Please be extremely careful when changing net neutrality rules. While understandably frustrating for ISPs, the existing net neutrality setup is integral to the well-being of the internet. With only one choice of ISP, I don't really have the option to pick one which has a more equitable connection policy. The existing rules guarantee equal access, which I appreciate and believe everyone

deserves. The internet has become one of our greatest public resources, like water or electricity, which everyone pays for but is regulated to ensure good quality for all. Keep this in mind if making changes, I have many concerns about the proposals being advanced.

811. Dan Kopec, Orland Park, IL, 60462
Net Neutrality is vital to the freedom of the people of the United States
812. Samuel Kennedy, evergreen park, IL, 60805
I am writing in support of net neutrality, and would like to urge the fcc to maintain the robust laws they currently enforce defending this principle. Net neutrality in the age of internet is an essential safeguard of our freedom of information, freedom of expression, and freedom of choice in what we watch, read, buy, and otherwise consume. Please support and defend net neutrality for the good of the public.
813. Samuel Lasman, Chicago, IL, 60615
The open communication and freely-available knowledge provided by the Internet are vital for modern society. Preserving net neutrality is crucial. Eliminating it is craven.
814. Matthew St Marie, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
Net Neutrality could be the death of the free web. The original idea of the internet was to have a vast wealth of entertainment, connectivity, and resources. Net Neutrality would permanently change this idea. The Internet in general would see a huge decrease in its virtual population. We believed that the Internet was invincible until it met its match, Net Neutrality.
815. Sean Dempsey, Chicago, IL, 60615
I am for a free and open internet. Keep the internet categorized under title 2. We need real net neutrality. Protect internet users from monopolistic ISPs and don't let companies censor, slow down, or block websites while requiring other sites to pay for faster service. Please stand up for all Internet users and our right to communicate
816. Norm Iverson, Chicago, IL, 60637
The Internet is the 21st century's version of the printing press. An open press is recognized in the bill of rights as an important and protected medium. The internet deserves the same protection. We've managed to flourish with public control of access to the frequency spectrum - we should continue that public rather than private control to the internet. Norm Iverson
817. Bria Marley, Midlothian, IL, 60445
Net neutrality is important for the world to function. As you know, our lives are run by the internet and we use it to connect with people socially, to network,

and for other business related opportunities. Giving control to big cable companies will create an even wider gap between people of different socioeconomic statuses; therefore, reinforcing the already outrageous racist and classist systems that we have in place. Getting rid of net neutrality would be a huge mistake and would lessen the already abysmal opportunities that less fortunate people have.

818. Tyler Guzinski, Orland Park, IL, 60462

Changing the current FCC rules will be harmful to American citizens and businesses. You can pretend all you would like that the current rules are anti-business, as the republicans in this agency, including the chairman, are claiming, but a simple look at the facts refutes this. Millions of American's like myself do not have access to multiple ISPs, these companies exist in a plane of monopoly, or oligarchy and should face regulation similar to that of a utility. American's don't have the ability to switch providers if they did not like how they were prioritizing under these potential new rules. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. While ISP's promise to introduce "fast lanes for all, and super fast lanes for everyone else", their actions show a different message all together. Comcast has throttled Netflix during negotiations, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Tyler Guzinski

819. Connor Nelligan, New lenox, IL, 60451

Government is a joke quit taking our freedoms

820. Miguel Sierra, Chicago, IL, 60615

Please protect Net Neutrality!!! Power in the hands of a few is a step closer to an oppressive government where access to public information will come at a cost.

821. Dain Borges, Chicago, IL, 60615

Much of my daily high-bandwidth internet use is using WWW to consult

digitized images of historical newspapers from libraries overseas. These libraries won't be able to pay for prioritization in the US.

822. James Allen, Chicago, IL, 60619
Net Neutrality must be protected for the same reasons any 1st amendment RIGHT must be protected and defended.
823. Matt Anderson, Mokena , IL, 60448
The internet needs to remain neutral, if we start walling off content in a way that unfairly rewards large corporate interests, we defeat the purpose and flexibility of the system. It needs to stay as diverse as the user base that created it.
824. Edgar Rivas, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805
Please protect net neutrality. Getting rid of Title II will degrade progress and innovation that is essential to grow our digital economy. Allowing the internet giants to hold all the power will not provide a level playing field. We need more innovation and growth. We need to protect the next generation of independent websites and apps that will come.
825. aRMONI j. bROWN, Chicago, IL, 60615
YES!!! keep neutrality alive, we know some mobile services throttle our data speeds now. without neutrality, it'll be like tyranny in the cyber world. free speech is what made this country. let`s fight the same way we fought we to get to this point in THIS WORLD; U.S.A.
826. Margaret Brown, Chicago, IL, 60637
I am a taxpaying, working mother of 3 who needs there to be Net neutrality. I know that it is fundamental to free speech as well as protects small businesses from being left out of innovation and opportunity to reach new users. I also oppose ending or diminishing Net neutrality because it protects consumers from being sanctioned and marginalized by big ISPsRespectfully submitted,M. BrownChicago Il, USA
827. Philip Dinolfo, Chicago, IL, 60615
A decision to dismantle net neutrality would render free expression on the internet a matter of a buy in, equating the expenditure of money with the value of the opinion voiced. It would be horribly debilitating for First Amendment rights on the internet.
828. Brian Baek, Chicago, IL, 60615
Internet access has become a fundamental part of life in the 21st century. It will continue to grow in importance, as its impact on education, the economy, and overall communication expands. Don't let cable companies determine who should and should not be able to access this technology.

829. J. B. McClain, Chicago, IL, 60637
Unrestricted access to internet content is critical to the continuance of open public discourse in today's America. Please do not allow private corporations to control or censor citizens' access to information!
830. Erin Winkler, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
The Internet is an integral part of the daily lives of MILLIONS of Americans. All content should be treated equally and openly. Restriction of content based on the whims of ISPs should not be tolerated or allowed by our government.
831. Jay Mulberry, Chicago, IL, 60637
The last thing this country need at this point in our history is to further limit the ability of the majority of Americans to get the information they need.
832. Philip Kantzler, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805
Net neutrality equals free speech and must be protected as our constitutional right. ISPs shouldn't be allowed to edit, control or censor what people do on the internet. Net neutrality also ensures innovation from everywhere and everyone can reach all the people.
833. Clare Lipinski, Chicago, IL, 60615
I'm working to build my business from the ground up - and I, like hundreds and thousands of other small business owners, rely on people being able to find me on the Internet. We should not be buried so that big companies can make a bigger profit.
834. Thomas, Frankfort, IL, 60423
For years, AT&T gave my house terribly slow internet with a low data limit, we switched to a smaller, more local firm that provides unlimited access with slightly faster speeds. Now, with my more rural location, the internet speeds are already on the lower end of manageable for work and recreation, I don't want a company (ex:AT&T) at a whim to just be able to slow it again and have that same power over us again.
835. Patricia Doell, Tinley Park, IL, 60487
Please do not gut net neutrality and hand over the internet to big cable companies like Comcast, AT&T and Verizon. Net neutrality is guaranteed right under the First Amendment. Long live Free Speech!!
836. Marie Whitney, Chicago, IL, 60637
The internet is for everyone -- perhaps the only level playing field there is. It does not belong to the rich or powerful, nor does it exist only for those who can pay for it. For global social media, news/information/emergencies, it is vital. For business it is the basis for today's free enterprise system. If small business is the backbone of our economy, let's not squash it with unfair competition from

giants.

837. Jacqueline Sanders, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805
I want net neutrality. I like the my internet freedom.
838. paul fier, blue islaand, IL, 60406
theres too much power given to telecommunication cos. public pays for all infrastructure.
839. Nathan Goldbaum, Chicago, IL, 60649
Democracy requires that non-corporate speech be respected and have space for its expression. Net neutrality is essential for that.
840. Jack floyd, Chicago, IL, 60619
Come on hands off, taxes, restrictions, tarrifs, erode the personal economy of most individuals, and if you're listening you're not paying attention
841. Kevin Goodwin, Frankfort, IL, 60423
Please leave net neutrality alone, and protect our free speech and small businesses from big companies.
842. Linda, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
Net neutrality is free speech on the internet. The federal communication commission should stay out, it has been working fine without their interference.
843. Matt Hanne, Midlothian, IL, 60445
It's not always about the money. We don't charge people for the freedom to drink clean water or breathe clean air. Our citizens need the freedom of speech, delineated by our US Constitution that I served to protect and defend, that currently exists on the Internet.
844. Carmelita Banks, Chicago, IL, 60620
Give small online businesses a break and keep net neutrality. The lobbyists against it represent companies that prospered from it, but now they don't want new, small companies to benefit too.
845. Brobinson, evergreen park il, IL, 60805
We need freedom of speech to continue.
846. Demerise Allasia, Mokena, IL, 60448
Without net neutrality, I would have never met my now-husband on a small, text-based online game that only had 100 players.
847. Kim hall, Mokena, IL, 60448
WE SHOULD HAVE THE RIGHT TO CHOOSE WHAT TO WATCH AND

DO ONLINE. NOT THE ISP'S. IT IS OUR JOB TO CENSOR OURSELVES
AND OUR CHILDREN NOT THE COMPANIES

848. Alex, Chicago , IL, 60643
The new proposed rules would make the internet pay to play, irreparably damaging equal access to information. Prioritizing service for big corporations and high-paying websites is fundamentally contrary to democratic principles. Don't make this mistake. The proposals are completely unacceptable if we don't want to rely on patronage.
849. Chuck Goodwin, Alsip, IL, 60803
Isn't it enough that corporations control our Government by lining their pockets. Are the normal regular everyday citizens of the World slaves to corporations, or are we the reason they have the power they do? The internet is not for sale, not for anyone's ownership, it is for everyone. Corporations should not be labeled as a person, let alone have control over our choices, on the internet or elsewhere. Are we really free? Or are we slaves to Psychological warfare, under siege and attack by those who would crave money and power over the people?
850. Ted Jennings, Mokena, IL, 60448
I love my country for many reasons (its beauty, its opportunities, etc.). But, one primary reason is because I can speak freely about it - good, or bad - though I often have to search diligently for the "bad."
851. Claire Thomas, Chicago, IL, 60615
I strongly support the enforcement of net neutrality by classifying ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act.
852. Melissa Chong Hui Yan, Chicago, IL, 60615
Limiting access to the internet to only a few select telecoms will be actually be detrimental to our careers and our society as a whole.
853. Kavanaugh Dempsey, Mokena, IL, 60448
The internet as a whole has expanded due to the freedoms associated with its users. Without important movements like the Open Source and Free Software movement, most of the servers that make up the infrastructure of the internet wouldn't exist. By allowing the internet to be monopolized off of by malicious businesses whose only purpose is to make money, you destroy the personal freedom that the internet is supposed to represent. Also Ajit, I'd really like to see your Computer Science/Engineering degree that allows you to make stupid decisions like this. Kavanaugh Dempsey
854. Ali Abbasi, Chicago, IL, 60615
I strongly support strong net neutrality rules and keeping ISPs regulated under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. The idea that ISPs can effectively

regulate themselves has been disproven by very recent history. Loss of net neutrality will stifle innovation by hurting start up business.

855. Daniel Panek, Oak Lawn, IL, 60453

Be responsible to citizens, do not roll back the net neutrality rulings. ISPs are common carriers. They are vehicle for the internet. If they offer content and information services, that business should be separate. Internet availability, reliability, and content has now become a right for all people. A right of information, of freedom on speech, freedom of the press, basic human rights of contact and communication to other humans. Wants of the business/political interests of the few should not outweigh the need of the many.

856. Mildred Wright Miller, Chicago, IL, 60620

I want for everything to remain as it always have been. If it ain't broke don't fix it. Let not get too powerfully BIG.

857. Jacinth E. Adams, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805

I support Net Neutrality!! Please do not hand over the internet to the cable companies.

858. Michael Chladek, Chicago, IL, 60615

Please protect and strengthen net neutrality rules. A free and open internet is essential to strengthening America's economy, ensuring American leadership in technological innovations, and promoting free speech and democracy. That is, net neutrality is essential to making America great. Consumers should be able to choose what they access on the internet, not be corralled into using certain web sites and services dictated by ISPs. Small businesses and innovators should not be stifled by big companies limiting their access to the internet and their ability to reach users. Again, please protect and strengthen net neutrality rules. Thank you.

859. Robert Fitzgerald, Orland Park, IL, 60462

Keep the net as is. Allowing big companies to censor opinions that they find politically incorrect would be a huge blow to free speech, free expression, and exchange of ideas in this country.

860. James Monaco, Alsip, IL, 60803

The internet is a global public resource that must remain open and accessible. That's definitely good for you! Net neutrality is key to ensuring that the internet is a global public resource that belongs to all users, not a few corporations. We already have cable television "the internet is, by design, different. I wish the net should be left as it is.

861. Yogesh Singh, Chicago, IL, 60616

Every citizen has the right to choose what to share and what not to but these

regulations are trying to void these rights and we will not allow this. You have to stop this!

862. Lesa Spravka, Chicago, IL, 60637
Keep everyone's voice free for the best of outcomes. Thanks!
863. Catherine T, Chicago, IL, 60637
We can't let ISPs decide who gets a voice - protect net neutrality!
864. Monica grant , Chicago IL, IL, 60615
Freedom of speech!! Protect net neutrality.
865. daniel rebmann, alsip, IL, 60803
i want to make my own choices
866. Maura, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
Keep the Internet Fair!!!
867. Corey Levinson, Chicago, IL, 60637
I don't want Net Neutrality for two reasons. First of all, you can never trust an alliterative campaign; there's always something fishy about it and they use alliteration to entice you. Secondly, it does not benefit me, the consumer of Internet media, because it forces me to pay for what I've been used to seeing as free.
868. Nyameche Quansah, Chicago, IL, 60637
Let's be real. I'm 26-years-old. My entire life is online. The possibility of losing net neutrality makes my stomach drop to my toes. Don't do this! Use your power to protect small voices! Without net neutrality it will be like having awful internet blinders on. In these contentious times the last thing we need is to make people's access to information and content smaller. Don't do it!
869. Jason Sparlin, Mokena, IL, 60448
You have titled this "Restoring Internet Freedom". I don't think it is lost on you how hypocritical that title is. This removal of regulation would only serve to make the internet less free. I do not for one second believe the ISPs that are saying that with this regulation removed, they would not create fast lanes, because they already have. Just look at the case with Netflix and how they held the company hostage until Netflix paid them to not decrease the speeds. This will become the norm. With large monopolistic companies strong arming the rest of us. A free and open internet is not something that should be available only to the wealthy or those who are pets of the ISPs. It should be available to everyone regardless of class or standing.
870. Benjamin Wimmer, Chicago, IL, 60615

Basically this is an effective tax to promote better communication. Communication essential to democracy, etc.

871. Daniel Lossman, Chicago, IL, 60621
SAVE THE OPEN INTERNET! SAVE net neutrality!
872. Tim Kulak, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
You do this and this will NOT be the land of the free! You owe the existence of the Internet to scientists, leave it alone!
873. William Sterner, Chicago, IL, 60637
When Comcast and Time-Warner were up for merger there was a huge outcry that this would lead to monopolistic abuse and distortions of free access to net media. Basically it was claimed they would cheat and scheme to exploit their users. Even after having dropped the merger, Comcast and other companies continue to violate both the letter and the spirit of the regulations. We have no reason whatsoever to grant them powers to disrupt network traffic for their own benefit. Note how Comcast's customer satisfaction often ranks among the lowest in the cable industry. Comcast has violated net neutrality practices in the past; and, despite Comcast's commitment to a narrow definition of net neutrality, critics advocate a definition of which precludes distinction between Comcast's private network services and the rest of the Internet.
874. Jacob Swenson-Lengyel, Chicago, IL, 60637
I strongly support the FCC's Open Internet rules and I oppose the proposed rollback of those rules. The internet and its precursors were developed at public expense and remain a vital part of our public infrastructure, despite being handed over to private ownership. They should be strongly regulated like other utilities to safe guard the public good. Allowing ISPs and others the ability to discriminate what content users can access, what they content they can't, at what speed, and for what cost, is a threat to the free flow of information. It will allow a small handful of corporations to further tighten their grip on a vital piece of 21st century infrastructure, to further extract profits from those who can pay, and put those who can't at a disadvantage.
875. Thomas , Alsip, IL, 60803
Net Neutrality is actually ridiculous.
876. Max Burg, Chicago, IL, 60615
Nearly everyone who understands and depends on the Internet supports net neutrality, whether they're startup founders, activists, gamers, politicians, investors, comedians, YouTube stars, or typical Internet users who just want their Internet to work as advertised "regardless of their political party. Let it remain free and neutral.

877. Marissa Tranquilli, Chicago, IL, 60615
By removing net neutrality you would be violating everything we stand for as a country. How can we claim that we live in a place where anyone can become successful when we chop off their feet and expect them to run? The American Dream is the idea that the millionaire in the corner office and the kid who has to work three jobs to put themselves through college have the same opportunities. While this may be struggling in some respects, the internet is the one place that everyone unequivocally the same. Apart from selfish monetary reasons which would remove the basic rights your doctrines promise for citizens, there are no earthly reasons that this change should occur. I thought we fixed this back in 2014 when John Oliver cause the FCC website to crash. If that wasn't enough for you to get it through your minds that we prefer the internet the way it is, I'm not sure what will be. This internet is our space and the end of net neutrality will spell disaster for free speech and expression around America. It is sickening to see the doctrines that crafted the basis of our country disregarded. Thank you!
878. Linda Moorman, Chicago, IL, 60653
Save the Internet for EVERYONE, not just corporations
879. Vera Banks, Chicago, IL, 60628
Please reverse the law. It will be infringing on ou Freedom of speech.
880. Thelma Hoogland, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
You know that 76% of Americans, including 73% of Republicans, support net neutrality, right? Get with the program! Fight for Americans! Fight for freedom on the web! Thanks!
881. Aaron Turkewitz, Chicago, IL, 60615
I rely in both my personal professional life on unfettered Internet Access. Consequently, net neutrality is extremely important to me. I urge you to protect the rules that keep the Internet neutral. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete.

You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

882. Robert Wollmann, Chicago, IL, 60615
TO FCC< Don't change the current rules governing net neutrality.
883. Linda Moorman, Chicago, IL, 60653
I support FULL NET NEUTRALITY
884. Matthew Borus, Chicago, IL, 60615
Like literally millions of other people, I consider net neutrality to be essential. I use the internet on a daily basis in my work, and I value access to a wide range of content regardless of the hosts ability to pay for "fast-lane" prioritization. I have seen that some large internet service providers who oppose net neutrality have argued that they would still adhere, voluntarily, to basic principles of open access. Quite simply, this kind of self-monitoring is NOT good enough. We ought not allow the fox to guard the henhouse because of a voluntary, self-monitored pledge not to eat the chickens in principle. The 2015 FCC net neutrality rules are good policy. Let them stay.
885. James Shehow, Chicago, IL, 60619
2sbcglobal.net
886. Frank Avellone, Chicago, IL, 60615
Not every avenue and inch of life needs to be monetized. The internet poses a unique opportunity in human history for connection, creativity, and political speech. Limiting access or inserting an even greater profit motive is detrimental to democracy. Keep the internet neutral. If not you, who? If not this, what? If not now, when?
887. Mike Janecek, Chicago, IL, 60615
Please do not repeal net neutrality rules, i beleive are called chapter 2, maybe section. The mega -corporations had one hundred years to create the internet.

They did not. it was taxpayer financed, and it should remain open and available to provide infrastructure for the next brilliant e-entrepreneur, not chopped and channelled for some competition-fearing ceo to squeeze every profit available.thank you.

888. Myra Helfer, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
Keep the Internet open and fair to all, to link people to people without blocking AND/OR PAID priority. Corporations should not have control of the open web or rights to special speeds/lanes
889. Sherri Barber, Tinley Park, IL, 60477
Take away Net Neutrality, and you KILL the First Amendment rights of those who cannot afford your "pay to play" scheme.
890. Adam Leff, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
What gives Ajit Pai the right to infringe upon my 1st amendment right to FREEDOM OF SPEECH!!! The FCC has already been told Net Neutrality is is protected under title II. Could it be that he is a former lawyer for Verizon? What a pathetic piece of crap Mr. Pai is! And a bald-faced liar. I have listened to his arguments and they are completely devoid of facts or reason.As an author who sells my work directly from my own website, my right of freedom of speech will be hindered and perhaps completely silenced.Should such a thing happen I and many others will have no choice but to file suit against Mr. Pai and the FCC for infringement of our rights.Either do your job as a PUBLIC servant Mr. Pai, Or shut your stupid condescending mouth and go back to serving your masters in the telecommunications industry.
891. Jordan , Chicago, IL, 60619
Net Neutrality is important because it promoted curiosity. It promotes inspiring ideas to flourish to an accessibility that creates prosperity and instills great vision.
892. Mark Zamiar, Midlothian, IL, 60445
Net Neutrality is a form of freedom of speech. I will not let phone and cable companies dictate what websites i am able to access.
893. Tiffany Nelson, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805
Don't control what I look at. Don't lessen the web page's speed or block the content my ISP doesn't agree with. Unreal.
894. Mary Antonopoulos, Midlothian, IL, 60445
Net Neutrality is important to me because I use the open internet to learn new things, talk to people across the world, and to see what other view points people have. If net neutrality is gone, There will be riots all over America because then they have no voice. That is why we need to keep net neutrality.

895. Denzel Parker, Chicago, IL, 60620
we already pay for the internet. we shouldnt have to pay for websites
896. Kenneth Coyle, Orland Park, IL, 60462
please dont do it boi
897. Gediminas Rukas, Alsip, IL, 60803
Stop fucking with the internet you greedy assholes. Instead of trying to enable ways that will allow you to wring out more dollars from people's pockets using false statements and trickery, you should force the telecom companies to deliver on their promises and obligations. Better service = happier customers. Happier customers=more money.
898. Rheana Jankowski, Frankfort, IL, 60423
The internet shouldn't be censored based on the cable company you have. That's a really stupid thing to give company's power over, because if you give them an inch, they'll take a mile.
899. Christopher Jach, Frankfort, IL, 60423
i cannot support duopolies let alone more freedom to those companies to control us. anti free business
900. Jill White, Chicago, IL, 60615
The internet should remain in its current classification as a public utility. Too much of our economy and education rely on the internet to function--think telecommuting, e-commerce, and online research and learning management systems. Allowing ISPs to pick and choose to whom they provide fast internet service will effectively create a divided class system of haves and have-nots. Do NOT revoke the net neutrality rules at the word of a politician with too much skin in the game.
901. Matt Shanahan, Chicago, IL, 60615
I can understand the anger of an ISP that a client using significantly more than an equal share of their infrastructure to do business; from that perspective it must be extremely frustrating to not establish some sort of penalty on an over-zealous user of (what is effectively) the commons. Additionally, I don't buy Facebook, Amazon, Netflix, Reddit, etc. taking a moral stance against net neutrality; while it's possible their founders or significant contributors share that moral view, it's pretty obvious that their concerns originate elsewhere. BUT - Either 1) internet infrastructure is a common resource owned by private interests, or 2) ISPs hold unfair X-opolies by region, and therefore there is no market for other ISPs unless they can piggyback off of existing ISPs. If the latter is true, then fine, destroy net neutrality, but make the necessary national internet infrastructure a common resource, break up the big ISPs, and create a free market. If the former is true, however, and ISPs are currently (effectively) a

common resource, then the only way there can be an effective market for internet companies is if they have free access to the bazaar. Without net neutrality, that is impossible. Are visitors to the bazaar to pay for access to certain stalls? What if the ticket sellers privilege their "preferred partners"? The pro-business argument against net neutrality makes no sense to me. It is only pro-business as far as ISPs are concerned, and I doubt Comcast or AT&T are going underwater anytime soon. I can't deny that I have personal moral issues with the idea of pay-to-play access to physical infrastructure, but I understand that not all others do, and I don't want to make an argument like that. So -Please, please reconsider this. Maybe I'm naive in imagining that such decisions can be swayed by personal letters, but I have some hope: If you want America's presence on the global internet to remain the cultural and economic beacon that it has become and remained for over 20 years, please ensure net neutrality stays in place.

902. net neutrality, Oak Forest, IL, 60452
I am in favor of strong Net Neutrality under Title 2 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Sincerely Sean Sullivan
903. Ani Sengar, Chicago, IL, 60637
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality as well as title 2. Stop fucking with the internet you bonobos.
904. Shane Johansen, Frankfort, IL, 60423
Please do not harm Net Neutrality, and keep the ISP's under a Title 2 classification.
905. Spencer Miner, Chicago, IL, 60615
I believe that strong internet neutrality rules are very important for the Internet to be the free place that it is today. A free internet is extremely important to millions of Americans. Don't remove article 2.
906. Nicholas Eklund, Chicago, IL, 60637
I find this to be an objectionable proposal. It claims that current regulations regarding "Net Neutrality" as overly burdensome for Internet Service Providers. That's garbage. The United States government spent BILLIONS of dollars in subsidies to help those providers create their networks to begin with, and even now, with current fiber optic projects. If anything, ISPs should owe the government for creating their businesses.
907. Charles Fraley, Chicago, IL, 60615
Title 2 protection is the only proposed solution to protecting a consumer's ability to choose their content online. Internet Service Providers have demonstrated multiple times a willingness to throttle or block services to kill competition for their own services

908. john smith, Hebron, IL, 46341
I firmly want to keep Net Neutrality the way it is and not allow ISP to do whatever they want. I believe it is in the best interest of everyone to keep Net Neutrality regulation the way they are in order to expand and advance information on the internet. Rolling back Net Neutrality would be a mistake on a global scale.
909. Gerri Bushkovski-Clark, Chicago, IL, 60615
I am in support of keeping the Internet neutral under Title II. Do not deregulate.
910. Suzanne C. Short, Blue Island, IL, 60406
Net Neutrality must be protected for Just as Freedom of Speech, we should all experience Freedom with our Internet. So many stipulation now occur which take away our Freedoms. My reasoning is that if we do not protect Net Neutrality, this is basically unconstitutional. God Bless and Protect our America.
911. Philip Kantzler, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805
ISPs already have too much power on the internet. The price to use computers and smart devices keeps creeping upwards. Content should not be restricted. Net neutrality ensures the greatest access to the greatest majority of people.
912. Therese Nelson, Chicago, IL, 60615
I implemented the web at a University in the 1990s. With its many flaws and downsides, the Internet is amazing as a joint creation by the people of our country. Please leave the Internet alone as a space where we are actually ALL equal. Don't fix what is not broken.
913. Anthony Olona, frankfort, IL, 60423
Leave net neutrality alone for heaven sake
914. Chelsea Nash, Chicago, IL, 60615
Net neutrality helps small (and medium) businesses compete on an equal footing and is important for preserving america's tax base.
915. Clayton Carlson, Crestwood, IL, 60445
I am a professor at a small faith based college and I worry that ending net neutrality could open the doors to reducing access to websites for faith based institutions with small endowments. Will schools that pay more load more quickly? Please protect net neutrality.
916. Hasun Rogers, Chicago, IL, 60619
Internet for the people...
917. Andrew Szlembarski, Oak Forest, IL, 60452

Please do not remove net neutrality protections. It is pretty obvious what the people want and the fact that you are ignoring us and siding with corporate interests is disgusting. Take a long look in the mirror and ask yourself if you want to be remembered as the people who sold out the American people to Comcast and time Warner for a couple thousand bucks.

918. Anthony Morton, Mokena, IL, 60448

The internet is a public utility, and must remain in the hands of the citizens of the world. As with water, or electricity- further monetization, monopolization, and privatization of basic-right utilities will not be surrendered willingly. Ajit Pai should be terminated from office for his complicit role in lying to the public consistently on this issue to the benefit of major corporations - corporations whom have been actively violating the existing laws codified in Net Neutrality laws, brazenly demonstrating their belief they have already defeated these laws, prior to their dismantling. Shame on these companies. Shame on Ajit Pai. Keep net Neutrality alive!"

919. Alison James, Chicago, IL, 60615

Democracy in America and around the globe depends on a free and open internet. I urge you to reject the proposed Restoring Internet Freedom act and maintain the Title II classification that guaranteed net neutrality just two years ago. There is little evidence that this classification has hurt broadband investment. It will be very damaging to consumers and citizens to give ISPs the power to split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.

920. John Grime, Chicago, IL, 60615

"Net Neutrality" is critical for the future prosperity of the nation, and cannot be allowed to weaken or be eliminated.

921. Andrew Balmer, Chicago, IL, 60615

Hello, I am writing to express my deep concern over the FCC's proposal to roll back net neutrality regulations. Net neutrality is what ensures that we have a free and open internet, and it ensures that all users and businesses, large and small, have an equal opportunity to access and reach users via the internet. Furthermore, net neutrality regulations help prevent broadband providers from taking advantage of their customers. Protecting consumers and businesses alike from the whims of the broadband providers is all the more critical given that there isn't a functional free market for broadband. I live in Chicago -- America's third-largest city -- and yet I have precisely one choice of broadband provider. My internet access is subject to the whims of Comcast's executives; if they decided to double their rates, I would have no choice but to pay the new price. If I didn't want to or couldn't afford to pay, I could not obtain service from another provider; I would simply have to live without internet access. That is

not a viable option in this day in age. I urge you to maintain the current net neutrality regulations. Thank you,Andrew

922. Kent Lambert, Chicago, IL, 60615

I urge you as strongly as possible to reject the proposed Restoring Internet Freedom act and maintain the Title II classification that guaranteed net neutrality just two years ago. Democracy in the USA and around the globe depends on a free and open internet for all.

923. Alison HUnter, Chicago, IL, 60615

Do not allow providers to carve the internet into segments, or to filter content.

924. Dr. Jeffrey R Tharsen, Chicago, IL, 60615

Speaking as a System Administrator, allowing entities to place controls on internet traffic is such a short-sighted, idiotic idea that it's hard to know where to start. Here's a quick comment for those thinking about voting to allow such controls: They will be used to control the streams of data you are currently using, and to turn them off completely, by the ISPs and others (sysadmins with a grudge, etc) who would like to do so. You (and all of us) are completely vulnerable to this type of action, and what will you say when your access to information is controlled, curtailed and curated by others? I swear to you, if you remove Net Neutrality, your own systems and access will be throttled -- you cannot avoid it, except by making data a utility, like water or electricity. Shall we cut off your water and electricity as well? Your call.

925. Marilyn Gund, Chicago, IL, 60615

Net neutrality is extremely important to the growth of a free and open internet. The FCC should not allow any corporations to receive preferential treatment as this would negatively affect internet entrepreneurship as well as the overall internet experience of US citizens.

926. Gordon Dymowski, Chicago, IL, 60643

I am asking that you please reconsider rescinding the rules on Net Neutrality. As a freelancer, I am dependent on stable internet access to find, perform, and deliver critical work duties. As an adult caregiver, I am reliant on the Internet to find resources to care for an ailing parent. Internet providers should **not** be allowed to provide speedier access for select companies, both out of respect for regular users as well as in the spirit of the First Amendment.

927. Grace Garcia, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805

Stop him!

928. Colleen McAbee, Midlothian, IL, 60445

The internet is meant to help people, not take advantage of them.

929. Ajith John, Chicago, IL, 60637

As a researcher at The University of Chicago, I depend on the open access to all components of the internet - not just those favored by larger ISPs such as Comcast and At&T. For instance, in my research on foreign affairs, I depend on access to a variety of news/media outlets from across the political spectrum and around the world. Having my access to news sources not supported or favored by my ISP constricted could lead to grave deficiencies in my research and constitute a serious detriment to my attempts to advise policymakers on the best practices in foreign affairs. What's more, I do not merely use the internet to access services offered by my ISP (Comcast) - I use a variety of information sources and proprietary services such as Gmail, cloud storage drives from Google and Microsoft, video streaming services from Netflix and Amazon; and a variety of University of Chicago platforms. Having my access to these services, offered by potential competitors to my ISP, would represent a severe detriment to both my professional and personal lives. Finally, I would contest the notion that I could easily switch to another ISP - my family's use of Comcast leaves me fairly reliant on the network. A lack of oversight and a consequent hike in prices, or other action taken to the detriment of consumers like myself, would likely leave me unable to switch networks and saddled with exorbitantly high prices. As a new entrant to the workforce, that's a cost that I could hardly afford to bear.

930. Kenneth Onishi, Chicago, IL, 60615

I do not support the proposal, titled "Restoring Internet Freedom". I support that internet service providers continue to be classified as Title II. Please keep out internet access fair to no matter what website we'd like to visit.

931. Scott Watanabe, Chicago, IL, 60615

I believe the proposed "Restoring Internet Freedom Act", proceeding number 17-108, is a mistake and should not be enacted. Net neutrality should remain supported by the federal government, and this measure would undermine that principle.

932. Karen Litzau, Tinley Park, IL, 60477

I support net neutrality. People deserve to be able to choose where they get their information from. Corporations shouldn't be allowed to dictate what sites I can visit. Please keep the net neutral!

933. Patrick Jordan, Chicago, IL, 60637

If this proposal passes not only does it show an incredible disconnect from and disregard for the populace, but also a basic lack of understanding of the internet. The plan of limiting service and giving ISP's significant power as outlined in proceeding 17-108 really benefits no one, whether they be in an academic, social, educational or professional environment. The progress of humankind is

dependent on socialization and interaction with each other, and the internet is the singular best way ever to share ideas. Not only does this proposal open up an extreme limitation to the internet but it also sets a precedent for the future of limiting free and open spaces. For the love of everything important and holy and the last bastion of democracy, don't pass this motion.

934. Alexander Beberman, Chicago, IL, 60615

Without strongly enforced net neutrality regulations, consumers may be exploited by large ISPs, especially in less populous areas. Even in Chicago, the third largest city in the country, many of the smaller, more personable ISPs do not extend service to my address. So consumers like me have little choice. If large ISPs are allowed to throttle arbitrarily bandwidth based on content, the internet experience many consumers may be negatively affected. For other goods and services, consumers would be able to switch products or providers to services that do not throttle. However, internet service requires such a large and intricate network that many consumers will be unable to switch providers, allowing for an effective monopoly on and control over on the content that they are consumers to view. This is a problem, especially given that large ISPs are not standalone companies—they are owned and operated by businesses that produce internet content and will have huge incentives to throttle competition. This creates an unfair environment for consumers everywhere.

935. Matthew Kuchta, New Lenox, IL, 60451

Dear FCC, we need net neutrality to protect internet users from monopolistic ISPs and to not let companies censor, slow down, block, or disrupt the information websites offer. Net neutrality allows everyone to access information equally and allows society to learn and better itself. Please stand up for all Internet users and our right to communicate.

936. James Adkins, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805

A free and open internet is vital to both consumers and small businesses. Net neutrality is essential for the internet to remain free and open. We can't put internet providers in charge of what we can easily download and allow them to pick winners and losers of content and information. This is really one of the most important regulations on the books for the future of freedom and for our democracy it should not be curbed or interfered with in anyway. Don't side with greed and internet provider lobbyists! Choose the American people! Choose New neutrality!

937. Patricia Dortch, Evergreen Park, IL, 60805

PRESERVE Net Neutrality - Title II. I support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

938. Timothy Steeves, Chicago, IL, 60615

I am 100% in support of Net Neutrality regulation. Control over Americans' primary information source should not rest with large, profit-oriented organizations, but with the people who rely on it and use it. I also support the regulation of internet access as a public utility, with all of the protections that provides. Finally, I object strongly to the regulatory capture obvious in the FCC, most obvious in its director Ajit Pai, who previously worked with Verizon and has ignored these comments (aside from the ones written by spam farms), intentionally misrepresented data in public hearings and in all actions has shown that he intends to serve the interests of the large ISPs, and not the American people he is sworn to serve.

939. Noah Baskes, Chicago, IL, 60615

There is no conceivable reason to repeal these consumer protections other than to line the pockets of telecom executives

940. sean lowry, New lenox, IL, 60451

Rolling back net neutrality will inevitably take away everyone's equal right to free speech over the Internet. Only those with the most money will be heard.

941. Michael Burt, Mokena, IL, 60448

I strongly believe that ISPs should remain Title II. If left to their own, ISPs will throttle internet connections for their own profit. ISPs control a vital pipeline to the internet and should be regulated like a utility such as water, electricity, and gas. I will vehemently oppose any and all removal of any Net Neutrality.

942. Irit, Frankfort, IL, 60423

The Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) that protect freedom of expression and access to information on the Internet are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want government-sanctioned monopoly ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let these giants censor what we see and do online. Censorship on the Internet is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the Open Internet rules are repealed. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the five most valuable companies in America, just to further enrich the government-created cable

monopolies that are famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Monopolies like Comcast and AT&T will be able to impose a tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for alternative voices and others who can't pay up, to be heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Irit

943. Wesley Faulkenberg, Chicago, IL, 60615

Net neutrality is a democratic principle that has been upheld on the backs of network programmers across the world for decades. An attempt to create a tiered system that takes the control of content out of the users hands is undemocratic and takes away personal freedom. We already have powerful companies gaming their platforms and pushing out others in their ecosystem -- leaving us little choice but to use their rigged systems for shareholder profit gain. I urge you to maintain a free and open internet.

944. Roger Rosenquist, Palos Heights, IL, 60463

I strongly back net neutrality with title 2 oversight of ISP providers, as being necessary in a free democracy.

945. Paul Raumann Staley, Chicago, IL, 60637

It is my firm belief that the Title Two Net Neutrality guideline set is the only option in this case which preserves my freedom of expression. It seems obvious that any opposition to the Title Two guidelines cannot be the result of a decision-making process which involves prioritizing the People of the United States of America, as can definitively and objectively be seen by the overwhelming ratio of support in favor of keeping Title Two. I plead for every one of us, for you, whoever you are, for the future. Please keep us free. Look back. Learn from the past. This is the beginning of something very, very bad. Ask yourself if censorship, whether direct or indirect is what you support. And do more than just answer yourself. -Just some kid.

946. Jhordan Howard, Chicago, IL, 60643

Net Neutrality is crucial given the role of the Internet in society. Why is this even a subject of debate?

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao

used natural language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post. <https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9f0e3ed36a6>.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.