

Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from CO District #7

These are the 2,635 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative Ed Perlmutter's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs> under the proceeding 17-108.

1. Nathan Pyle, Northglenn, CO, 80234

I fully expect this comment to be ignored. I believe the committee has already made their decision and is soliciting comments solely to fulfill a requirement. I am unclear how allowing broadband companies to charge their customers for preferential access to their destinations, alongside charging other companies for preferential access to their customers will spur innovation or competition. Especially as the large providers that the vast majority of Americans use, straddle both sides as both an internet and content provider. Allowing them to prioritize their own content while deprioritizing the competition, which seems to allow them to abuse their role of ISP to hinder competition in the content market. At best, it allows them to extract tolls on both ends for the use of their "roads" that their own traffic can be exempted from. If there were true competition in the broadband market, this would not be an issue, but over 90% of Americans are faced with either effective monopoly or duopoly situations. Additionally, this lack of true competition eliminates price competition between companies. If I am unhappy with Comcast's service or prices, I can call and complain, but my other options are either ISPs that are leasing space from Comcast, and will be subject to the same issues, or CenturyLink which offers worse reliability. If ISPs were forcibly decoupled from their content producing arms, and solely sold access to their network, this would be a fine solution. But that's not the case.

2. Jeffrey H Idol, Thornton, CO, 80241

I am generically against extra regulation, but my concern is the internet service providers are basically a monopoly or duopoly. They add fees and data caps to boost their profits which just hurt all consumers. This drastically reduces the ability of some to pay for the service, and those who use the service to innovate are limited in the amount of data they can use. As a consumer I have only one choice in my area for fast service, that service price continues to increase while costing the provide less and less money to provide me. They continue to take my data usages and earn additional money from that, and yet my prices continue to rise. In addition, they now limit the amount of data I can use unless I pay more money. All of these things add up to higher cost, and I have no choice but to pay. My livelihood is based on the internet and I live in fear of the next restriction they will place on me that I have no protections from. They claim they will self-regulate, but they have shown in the past they will limit data and restrict usage unless forced to be fair by the courts. With restrictions removed, I fully believe they will return to these practices and severely restrict my ability to earn a living.

3. Emily Frickey, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033

I am strongly against Chairman Pai's decision to repeal Net Neutrality. This move is not in the interest of the American people. We gain no additional "freedoms" by these repeals. The ISPs would. They would be free to throttle Internet traffic on their networks to their own advantage, potentially increasing their already staggering revenue. If Chairman Pai is for the individual American, he should back away from this endeavor

4. Jeremy McCoy, Golden, CO, 80401

I am deeply concerned about the removal of the protections offered to consumers Title II that is being proposed in this proceeding. Many organizations were affected negatively by the lack of protections before net neutrality's enactment. An excellent example is Netflix, where they were forced to pay an additional cost to ISPs in order to maintain their previous level of service to their customers. Consumers are harmed when these things happen, because the money consumers spend on their service gets extorted away by greedy middlemen, instead of going towards the online service being provided. If content providers pass on the cost back to consumers, the consumers pay twice. If content providers eat the cost, they cannot invest in their product as much as they ought to. ISPs have been able to provide service from consumer payments alone for the entirety of their existence before now, and they should not be allowed to unfairly exact fees on content providers in addition, which benefits neither content providers nor consumers. They also should not be able to reduce the quality of services that consumers choose, nor charge consumers extra fees for data that is lawfully available and not created by the ISP. Please keep the net neutral so that online services can continue to exist and be profitable for content creators to participate in.

5. Nicholas Winn, Lakewood, CO, 80214

Dear FCC Members, Net Neutrality is a very important part of the internet that we know today. These rules keep ISP's honest and limits their abilities to enforce their preferences onto their users. Without Net Neutrality, Comcast would have the ability to slow down or even block my network traffic for any reason. Before Net Neutrality laws went into effect, I had

numerous problems with my ISP, Comcast was throttling my traffic and nobody at Comcast would own up to it. I'm a linux systems engineer with specialty training in network routing, cyber security and storage technologies. It wasn't difficult for me to identify the root cause, Comcast was interfering with my network traffic. My attempts to resolve the issue with Comcast always ended at a dead end. Shortly after the Net Neutrality laws went into effect, my issues were suddenly resolved. ISPs should not be allowed the power to block sites and applications. Allowing them the ability, will allow them a seat at the table when deciding how technology grows and innovates in their best interest, which is not always the best interest of the American people. You might wonder why I continued doing business with Comcast thru this period of time. It's because I didn't have a comparable alternative offered at my location. Centurylink performance in my area, is only 1/100th of the data transfer rates offered by Comcast at close to the same price as Comcast. Centurylink's offering can't even begin to qualify as competition to Comcast. Additionally, Centurylink's offering in my area does not qualify as broadband by the FCC's definition of 25 Mbps. A open internet is a internet with net neutrality, which I support. Please register my comment in support of net neutrality and against both "restoring internet freedom" (14-28) / "restoring internet freedom" (17-108). Thank you for your time. Best Regards, Nicholas Winn

6. Brennan W Fieck, Golden, CO, 80401
Quit being such a whore. But if you insist, at least tell us how much it'll cost to change your mind. I'll write a check.
7. jesse ryan, Denver, CO, 80221
FCC - it is pathetic that net neutrality is up for debate. The only entities that will benefit are the telecom corporations. This is not something that citizens want. It is something that is potentially going to be imposed on them against their will. Please do not compromise the sanctity of the internet. It is the last vestige of freedom in an increasingly watchful, prohibitive state.
8. Jennifer Dugan, Arvada, CO, 80004
I am in favor of net neutrality rules, and oppose the changes proposed in Docket 17-108. Please consider the following:* Without net neutrality, ISPs can charge content providers for data that consumers are already paying for, which takes away from the funds available to content providers to improve their services. Large business and small ones alike will be negatively affected if they are extorted to pay extra to maintain their previous levels of service.* Without net neutrality, ISPs can degrade or prevent access to lawfully available online services that consumers pay for.* Without net neutrality, ISPs can unfairly promote their own products and services in a manner that 3rd parties are unable to compete.* Without net neutrality, ISPs are free to look inside the content of consumer data communications to reactively reclassify them. This is basically equivalent to the post office opening people's mail to decide if the parcel should be handled in a manner other than what is printed on the package. This is a serious privacy concern, is inherent to how the internet protocol is designed, and is not something that can be changed. Please keep the net neutral, as it is demonstrably in the best interest of businesses and consumers alike.
9. Jon Steege, Thornton, CO, 80241
Network neutrality is one of the most important issues of our time. We must preserve the neutrality of the internet and treat it as a utility so that one or a small subset of companies who own the networks don't end up controlling it's traffic in a way that is beneficial to them and harmful to others. This also has very serious free-speech implications that should not be ignored.
10. Hayden R Jones, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Net Neutrality is bullshit, do NOT regulate ISPs, let them sell what they want to sell. Let the market work!!!
11. Michael Kaufman, Broomfield, CO, 80021
As an internet engineer for 20 years I am deeply disturbed by the FCC Chairman's plan to end net neutrality. It will only line the pockets of the backbone owners, republican politicians from lobbies and benefit very large web properties. The web is still too new to realize its full potential. By ending neutrality - it will kill off the "next big thing" that comes from keeping the playing field equal. Thanks
12. Susan Abbott, Westminster, CO, 80031
Section 89 is NOT IN THE BEST interest of anyone but the broadband megacorps like Comcast. It is like saying "you can pay the fee or we slow down your service (which I have already PAID them for) and we are going to be charging all the businesses you do business with as well. Because we have to get paid on both ends all the time AND we are not going to even let you know what those fees are. Will you STOP messing up things that work, how can double charging me possibly help me as a consumer? And it doesn't help the companies that I visit either if they have additional fees. This is a total LOSE-LOSE situation. If you want to help the consumer, you can break up the strangle hold that a hand full of broadband providers have on our nation.
13. Tegan, Westminster, CO, 80234
Net neutrality is incredibly important. This is especially true for small online businesses. How is a small business supposed to stay competitive in the online market if they can't afford to pay the fees that larger companies can to get broadband providers to push their websites? How am I, as a consumer, supposed to be able to find the best prices for myself and my family if my internet company can lie to me about what it's charging or my internet speed? That's what hidden fees are: lies. The government should not be building tools that allow big businesses to lie to consumers or kill small businesses. Small businesses are still the largest creator of new jobs in our economy.

14. Erik Donato, Westminster, CO, 80021
This is the most ludicrously self-serving and false title we've seen in a while. Stop doing stupid things!
15. Will Janz, Golden, CO, 80403
Chairman Pai's recent decision to move toward a non-Net-Neutrality position of the FCC is a poor decision for the American people and will result in higher rates, lower competition, and a severe lack of innovation due to content providers having excessive power. Currently, with Net Neutrality, we the people have already seen benefits with regards to wireless telecoms offering more services; Verizon and AT&T recently started offering unlimited data to compete with T-Mobile's unlimited data. This shows that competition and reasonable regulation benefits the consumer. Without Net Neutrality, small startups can easily be restricted from entering the marketplace. Netflix, Hulu, and many other services used online have Net Neutrality to thank for their success. Small business today and those not created yet depend on fair treatment of internet traffic in order to survive. Net Neutrality ensures a fair and level playing field for everyone. This is not a partisan issue, and maintaining Net Neutrality will result in fewer regulations later on as maintaining this single position staves off the inevitable need for future regulation once ISPs begin to abuse their power and gouge customers for every penny they can due to the lack of competition in the retail broadband market. Thank you for registering my opinion on the matter. I hope that the FCC will act in accordance with the will of the American People in regards to this matter.
16. Emanuel Guzman, Lakewood, CO, 80214
When the FCC began to accept comments regarding its plans on net neutrality in 2014, I would've thought that the million of comments it received reinforcing the importance of a free and open internet would have sent a clear message. But here we are again facing docket 17-108, also known as the Restoring Internet Freedom Act. The misleading title of this potential act is angering enough, but in addition, this is a terrible piece of legislation that only seeks to benefit the service provider with total disregard for the consumer. Do not go forward with 17-108! Keep the current net neutrality rules!
17. Curt Williams, Westminster, CO, 80023
The ability to access all parts of the internet equally is a critical human right. If cable companies have the ability to impede this for any reason, we are giving them the ability to restrict access for every citizen to job hunting, free speech, activism, commerce, and so much more. No company, especially those which have proven time and time again that they value profits more than moral rightness should have this power. The internet belongs to the people not to the corporations!
18. Anne Beierle, Golden, CO, 80401
I oppose this proceeding. It benefits broadband providers exclusively at the expense of the public. Internet providers provide a service that most closely mirrors a public utility providing electricity or potable water and the rules that govern them should reflect this. It is inappropriate that they be given the power to act as gatekeepers to content by allowing them to charge additional fees for access to or the speed of certain websites. This rule further creates an uneven competitive platform for internet content developers (by allowing blocking, slowing and zero-rating) to the detriment of not just the developers but ultimately consumers. Please do not adopt these proposed rules.
19. Harold Hallikainen, Arvada, CO, 80002
I look forward to seeing the NPRM so more substantial comments can be made. At this point, we largely have rumor as to what is proposed. Nonetheless, here are a few comments. 1. We often hear that common carrier rules are old and should thus be discarded. Just because an idea is old does not mean it is invalid. Common carrier rules have withstood the test of time and are as valid today as when they were implemented by the Commerce Commission. 2. Provision of a communications link to the Internet is clearly a communications service and not an "information service" as has been proposed. The closest parallel to Internet service is the public switched telephone network. Replace phone numbers with IP addresses and replace circuit switched networks with packet switched networks, and you've converted the PSTN to the Internet. Why does Internet communications deserve any less protection than telephone communications? Does the commission propose making telephone communications not a common carrier? 3. A communications service should respect the privacy of its subscribers. It should not sell or make any use of the content of the subscriber. A telephone company does not send me ads for a divorce lawyer if it "overhears" an argument with my wife. 4. A communications company should not modify the contents of its subscribers communications. The insertion of "super cookie" HTTP headers or any modification of the content being transmitted should not be permitted. The super cookie issue has led to widespread use of encryption, which is good, but even unencrypted content should be private. 5. Zero rating is an interesting area. I see it as analogous to 800 numbers. We do allow 800 numbers in telephone regulations, and it may cause customers to call the company with an 800 number as opposed to one without. However, this is well established. As a common carrier, ISPs should be allowed to offer free delivery of content when the content provider pays for delivery. However, this free delivery should be at published prices. Content owners that share ownership with the ISP should also pay the same rate for delivery of their content. There are a few comments based on what we know of the proposal at this time. I look forward to the NPRM so more substantial comments can be provided. Harold Hallikainen
20. Wilfredo Soto, Westminster, CO, 80031
Dear FCC, I am writing you today because I am hoping that my voice actually counts. You must keep Net Neutrality in place. Its part of our American freedoms. Free Internet is how we keep everyone honest.
21. Jared Lorton, Thornton, CO, 80260
We both strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isps.

22. Rick, Littleton, CO, 80123
LET'S MAKE THIS SIMPLE: GREED IS A DIRECT PRODUCT OF ROTTING SOULS - A FATE FAR MUCH WORSE THAN DEATH ... ! THINK ABOUT IT. THERE IS NOT ENOUGH \$\$\$ ON EARTH TO MAKE THAT WORTH LIVING. (And you call yourself a Man? Greed is for cowards and idiots. (Witness Trump))Signed: Retired Physicist, Ethics Professor -CO.Rick
23. Cameron Elisha, Westminster, CO, 80031
Dear FCC, I am writing you today because I am hoping that my voice actually counts. I also want to keep net neutrality alive and keep forcing ISPs to adhere to Title 2 laws.
24. Vincent C. Todd, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I support strong Internet Neutrality Rules anchored in Title II authority.Chairman Pai's views appear to be based upon an alternate reality in which the ISPs' statements to their shareholders with respect to the impact of the Title II rules on infrastructure investment are somehow deemed a fiction in order to remove commonsense regulation aimed at protecting a competitive marketplace.
25. Alexander Brueck, Golden, CO, 80401
Dear FCC, I am writing you today because I am hoping that my voice actually counts. I also want to strong net neutrality rules with strong title 2 oversight.
26. Jon Young, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I specifically support strong net neutrally backed by Title 2 overusing of ISPs. Get it done and stop messing around.
27. Jon E Sager, Westminster, CO, 80031
Net naturality is best protected by keeping it under Title 2.
28. Jon Young, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 24 oversight of ISPs.
29. Cory Rove, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Internet providers will throttle Netflix. They already have in the past. They won't invest in fiber even though the government gives them subsidies to do so. Keep them clasified as Title 2 so that small startups will be able to compete with them.
30. brittany polinski, Westminster, CO, 80031
This is one of the most important issues facing our nation right now. Keep the Internet equal! Knowledge is power.
31. Shaun Kelley, Westminster, CO, 80021
As a man who has watched ISPs and corporations abuse their powers for financial and proprietary gain my whole life, I absolutely support strong net neutrality regulations and keeping Title 2 oversight of ISPs enabled. The day when large ISPs and core backbone companies (e.g. Level3) are allowed to prioritize their traffic based on who can pay the most is the day you actively squash small businesses and startups.
32. Jessica Skaggs, arvada, CO, 80003
Please Keep Net Neutrality and title II laws do not let these be changed by the current administration.
33. Ian McCanless, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Please leave ISPs under the purview of title 2 to ensure net neutrality
34. Brittany Lopez, Arvada, CO, 80004
I am strongly in favor of the Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II, please keep enforcing it to keep the internet free and open for everyone.
35. Adam Skaggs, Arvada, CO, 80003
I support current net neutrality and title 2 enforcement regulations currently in effect. Please do not change them.
36. Ema Thornton, Broomfield, CO, 80021
Please protect net neutrality and do not roll back protections put in place in previous years. Thank you
37. Colin Johnson, Westminster, CO, 80031
Time and time again we give corporations the benefit of the doubt in regards to regulation and they disappoint. Net Neutrality is not only good for an open internet but it is good for employment. This is just another money grab. Maybe instead of Trump's tax returns let's focus on Ajit Pai's Market holdings and what this regulation might mean to his stock portfolio.
38. Russell Gilbert, Thornton, CO, 80233
Although brought here through the confusing maze of FCC links and other such nonsense by John Oliver, I have always been a staunch proponent of Net Neutrality. Keeping internet regulation in Title 2 is important to me enough that I've

contacted my senator and congressman on multiple occasions to voice my concern that this is a slippery slope we are on and not having good regulations for a UTILITY, which is what the internet is, is important. Just like regulating natural gas, electricity, and water, the internet needs to be regulated in a way that is fair for all Americans. We don't deserve lead in our water, we don't deserve leaking gas pipes, and we don't deserve bandwidth throttling at the whim of the utility that is Comcast, AT&T, Cox, Time Warner (although I think they got bought by Comcast), Verizon, et al. Best regards, Russell Gilbert

39. Mark Schutte, Arvada, CO, 80003
It is important to the preservation of knowledge, expression of thought, and free speech that net neutrality is maintained.
40. Josh Auger, Lakewood, CO, 80215
As a millennial who has grown up with the Internet as a bedrock of my schooling I am particularly horrified at the prospect that a free and open internet may not be available to generations going forward if the Title 2 status protecting the rights of users to have access to whatever internet sites is curbed. The ISP is a fundamentally connector allowing access to the internet. It shouldn't be allowed to be a gate keeper dictating what sites or content you have access too. Allowing the IP's to give priority or take away bandwidth base on how much money they have been compensated for access on there ISP is a prospect that will fundamentally break the internet as we know it today. Please help protect our rights to open and free internet.
41. Craig Nippet, Golden, CO, 80403
Please keep net neutrality as strong as it is now. DO NOT lessen the regulations.
42. Ronan O'Neill, Golden, CO, 80401
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title Ii oversight for ISPs.
43. Matt Johnson, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
YOU NEED TO SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY UNDER TITLE 2. DO NOT GIVE IN TO TRUMP AND HIS MINIONS.
44. Mark Locken, Arvada, CO, 80004
We must keep net neutrality and title II
45. kody marshall, lakewood, CO, 80232
I Strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isps!
46. Tatiana Latino, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I strongly support net neutrality and the fact that ISPs are currently classified under Title II. This ensures that there is no preferential treatment given to ISP giants that want to pay to slow down competitors. Once again, please preserve net neutrality and Title II.
47. Amy Linden, Arvada, CO, 80004
I am 64 years old and live on a fixed income. Since my last public comment, I have had to disconnect my Internet service because I cannot afford it. As I stated previously, I cannot afford cable tv. either Therefore, I am unable to access many important services that cable tv supplies. I get media access through the Internet and antennae tv. At the moment I get that by accessing my apartment WIFI or walking a mile to Starbucks when the signal is weak at my apartment. What does tiered access mean to me? I can't stream the basic media that more wealthy Americans don't even think about. Changing net neutrality means that the neediest Americans will be further alienated from what other Americans can easily afford. This is unacceptable as I stated previously. An organization and a citizen should be able to communicate in a free market. and *regulating it* to give an advantage to those who can pay is unAmerican. Since my last comment I am becoming visually impaired. I need the internet because I can increase the font and access content that I couldn't access in another way (for example, important medical information.) I pray you do not restrict this lifeline, Thank you for your attention to my comment.
48. Preserve Net Neutrality, Westminster, CO, 80234
Common sense. Keep the internet neutral. The regulations that are in place today are the only way to maintain that neutrality. Don't take the weed eater to it you moron.
49. Bradley A Samuels, Edgewater, CO, 80214
Net Neutrality is the most important internet policy to the well-being of the free market that exists through the web. Obama's FCC tried to fuck with this and they were shut down by ordinary people speaking out against it. I swear to God if Trump fucks this up, history will look on his presidency with total admonition. Do not mess with net neutrality.
50. Edi Hernandez, Commerce City, CO, 80022
Net Neutrality should be left under the oversight of Tittle 2 as it is now .
51. Edward Page, Commerce City, CO, 80022
I support net neutrality and a free and unobstructed internet experience. ISPs should not have the power to regulate the content I choose to experience.

52. Adam Jenkins, Lakewood, CO, 80235
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's. I quite honestly find it horrifying that people believe this is o.k. That money can influence you in a way that you do not care about literally anybody else who uses the internet. What sort of monster do you have to be to think that you can destroy small businesses, and startups, all because they can't afford to pay millions to you for higher speeds? You are destroying small business, you are destroying our economy, and you are creating monopolies. And look, I get how you can think that. If some company said 'hey we will give you a million dollars to support this law', it might make me think twice about it, but guess what? I would still say no, because I'm not a horrible person. Perhaps you should consider the same. You think that you create these laws and then some companies would not take advantage, would be foolish. How stupid do you think we are? I genuinely hope you take your people into account and keep net neutrality, and allow for freedom on something that, and let's be blunt, ISP's do not own.
53. Shawn McDonald, Lakewood, CO, 80232
Please preserve net neutrality as it's current state under title 2!
54. Jacob Anderson, Arvada, CO, 80004
Understand this: We NEED a free and open internet. These regulations, while some may find them cumbersome, grant protections and prevent ISPs from playing favorites. It hasn't stopped investment. They continue to roll along and if they don't their competitors will overtake them. If anything we need more protections. For example, Comcast's data caps are ludicrous and should be banned. My price keeps going up and this ISN'T the result of these regulations. This is just how they decided to make more money at our expense. Please, if you are going to focus on ISPs focus on this aspect.
55. Linda Sorauf, Arvada, CO, 80004
Net neutrality is essential to democracy. It is ridiculous to think that corporations will act honorably on their own. We need government protections to ensure corporations are held accountable to the public for issues that concern all of us, such as net neutrality. Uphold and enforce the existing net neutrality laws that so many worked so hard for.
56. Matthew Olig, Lakewood, CO, 80214
Uphold net neutrality and title 2! Stop smoking the Verizon pole Ajit Pai and do what's right.
57. Jonathan Cohen, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I support a free and open internet with Title II oversight.
58. John Hopkins, Westminster, CO, 80031
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 protections for a free and open internet. It is not the place of ISPs to determine what constitutes 'fair and open' internet. That is why we have a governing body. Do your job.
59. Arvind Sundar, Arvada, CO, 80002
I firmly support title II regulation and oversight over ISPs. Please do not allow Pai to overturn Obama era rules.
60. Jenelle Wiggins, Lakewood, CO, 80235
Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality, that's why we need Title II oversight of ISPs. Listen to the people and work for them instead of your pocket.
61. David DiGiacomo, Broomfield, CO, 80021
Please protect net neutrality and title two. We really need a free and open internet. The success of small businesses, news outlets, and so much more depends on preserving free information on the internet.
62. Macaela Reeves, Thornton, CO, 80229
Please continue to support Net Neutrality aka Title II.
63. Krantz, Golden, CO, 80403
Net neutrality rules maintain a free and open internet for both the user and the provider. Removing net neutrality rules will open the door to the biggest forms of censorship possible. Only crooks fear net neutrality.
64. Amanda Ellingson, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Please preserve net neutrality! Continue governing ISPs under Title II
65. Alex, Lakewood, CO, 80232
I strongly support the rules of net neutrality to keep the internet accessible at all levels. Getting rid of this protection to prevent ISPs which already have a monopoly on the market in most of the country, is a step towards state sponsored censorship. The thought that an open market will allow for more competition and innovation cannot apply to ISPs that already dominate entire swaths of the country. If the FCC really cared about opening competition, they would require the likes of Comcast to break up their stronghold and allow for smaller ISPs to enter the market fairly. Net Neutrality is a cornerstone of a free society. Please do not get rid of this protection which keeps us free. No one but the rich ISP executives want this removed.
66. Logan, Lakewood, CO, 80228

I support Strong Net Neutrality and having ISPs Remain under Title 2.

67. John Claus, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I demand that the FCC fully supports net neutrality and Title II.
68. Andrew Bates, Westminster, CO, 80020
Hello. I strongly encourage you to support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight. This will be an historical moment in internet freedom and freedom of communication, please listen to the people that use the internet and have made it grow.
69. Cynthia M. Moore, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Internet neutrality vital to maintaining fair access to all. I believe that keeping the listing in Title II is imperative to achieve this standard of neutrality.
70. David Barry, Lakewood, CO, 80227
I Support net neutrality by maintaining level II. Do not change current rules.
71. Ash Bowersock, Westminster, CO, 80021
I strongly support net-neutrality backed by Title II (2) oversight of ISPs.
72. Crystal a james, denver, CO, 80221
Those lips not only violate an infamous cup, they are now the cock holster for Verizon and are now spewing a load of verizon shit at us. Leave my internet allow don't fuck with my porn box.
73. Debby Sapp, Westminster, CO, 80021
Please KEEP net neutrality and Title II.
74. John Farah, Golden, CO, 80401
Please do not allow the business' to take control of the internet. Keeping them as a title 2 company will allow everyone a fair and equal chance to access the internet. America has always been " WE the people" NOT we the corporations. Do not sell the internet out, its become an integral part of life and everyone should have access to it.
75. Christopher Brian Johnston, Westminster, CO, 80031
Please do not change isp regulations on net neutrality. I support strong regulation. The current regulation is effective. DO NOT REMOVE THE REGULATIONS.
76. Anthony Jackson, Lakewood, CO, 80232
I do not support any changes to Net Neutrality. I work cor Comcast and I have no doubt that if given the chance they will limit access to Netflix and competitors products to the determent of all subscribers. Keep the Title 2 protections in place.
77. Eddie, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Do not lower protections for net neutrality.
78. Shawn Gustafson, Westminster, CO, 80021
Net neutrality is essential for a free and functioning internet. Please do not roll back the current rules.
79. Luke Hallman, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Net Neutrality is something that is highly important and must be safeguarding under strict regulation by the FCC. I support the continuation of regulating ISP companies under Title II in order to appropriately protect the neutrality of the internet and the access to it.
80. Justin Couch, Edgewater, CO, 80214
Please protect net neutrality and Title II protections. A free and neutral internet is essential to maintain our freedoms.
81. Jim Leyshon, LAKEWOOD, CO, 80227
Preserve net neutrality and maintain title ii... we the people have a right to free speech and equal access to information.
82. Margaret Duran, Arvada, CO, 80003
Please do not change this document.... everything is fine as it is RIGHT NOW! Keep the regulations
83. John Stevens, Westminster, CO, 80020
Keep FCC Net Neutrality in place. I strongly support keeping Title II enforcement of net neutrality laws
84. Zachary Pughes, lakewood, CO, 80215
Keep the internet free from corporate interests and uphold our freedom of information.
85. 1, Westminster, CO, 80031

I strongly believe that net neutrality laws should be kept under Title II regulation.

86. Alex Golden, Golden, CO, 80401
I encourage you to support the current regulatory framework for net neutrality which regulates internet service providers under title 2 of the communications act of 1934. Doing otherwise is a blatant betrayal of the American people's interests that you were created to protect.
87. Jeff Pitt, Westminster, CO, 80021
Retaining the Title II is absolutely critical to the fair interaction that we the people need from our selected ISP. Removing this protection removes the level playing field for all content not just the content that the ISP are making the most money from, and those ISP relationships that will ruin service for US the people. The comments of the head Verizon and their former employee trying to destroy this protection as the head of the FCC like other white house appointments are only true and valid if that person completely ignores the actual facts in favor of making his company more and more money. You as a government agency are obligated to protect us the people and not the profits of the companies that you did and clearly still working for. Please do the job your created for to protect US the People and not the job of protecting company profits. Keep the level playing field for all and not letting the giants crush the competition by destroying services for those who provide any and all content.
88. Ryan Foo, Thornton, CO, 80229
I support STRONG net neutrality, though you probably don't care. It's our collective opinion that you shouldn't just be making profit to continue to restrict our freedom. The internet is an important, open platform that needs to remain free. ISP's need to be title 2 and shouldn't be able to restrict bandwidth for any profitable purpose.
89. Harold Hoyt, Westminster, CO, 80031
We must preserve the current FCC regulation to ensure net neutrality. The ISPs cannot be trusted to do this voluntarily!
90. Lindsey Galloway, Louisville, CO, 80005
I am a small business and startup owner and I support net neutrality and Title 2 protections. The free and open internet drives innovation and new competition, and it is important that we keep the protections in place so that new companies like mine can still be successful and compete against large companies like Verizon.
91. Stew Podolsky, Golden, CO, 80401
Please retain title II Classification of internet providers in order to retain current net neutrality standards.
92. Andrew Hyde, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I have worked in IT for over 20 years and have seen the internet develop since the 80's. Over the years the internet has changed and influenced our lives and has provided a level playing field to all sorts of businesses. Taking away this level playing field by re-classifying the previous net neutrality agreement will just lead us down the wrong path. There is plenty of evidence that shows larger phone companies such as the phone company using its market dominance to compete unfairly with smaller companies. We need regulation to help maintain this level playing field.
93. Geovanni Vaiana, Westminster, CO, 80020
Phase 2 and net neutrality need to be kept in place, it is important to have a internet that is not blindfolded by the ISP's
94. Tim Soderberg, Thornton, CO, 80233
Rolling back Net Neutrality is a huge mistake and it is obvious that it is a money grab being led by the FCC Chairman for his former employer. His comments that, basically, "Everything was fine before Title II" is not only blatantly naive, but it is fundamentally wrong. American ISPs, by function of capitalism, will always take whatever advantage they can to make the most money. Allowing them to throttle services, especially now that most of them have either merged or are greatly tied to content creators, is wrong and not even close to what is right for the consumers. Please, do not change ISPs back to Title I.
95. Chris Allen, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I want the FCC to keep the FCC's 2015 Open Internet Order and keep net neutrality protections. A free and open Internet is essential for a free society.
96. David Benson, Golden, CO, 80401
Keep net neutrality by maintaining ISPs under Title II oversight.
97. Adam Smith, Westminster, CO, 80234
I strongly support Net Neutrality and repealing this would have a major impact on fairness and the equality of the internet.
98. Alissa Russell, Arvada, CO, 80004
Net neutrality is extremely important in keeping the free flow of ideas, protecting free speech and encouraging organization. Abolishing net neutrality would be harmful to consumers and marketplace of new and innovative technologies. It destroys consumer choice. Last time I checked, this isn't China and our internet isn't monitored and filtered to fit the whims of the telecom provider. This is land of free speech, open viewpoints and standing up for what we believe in. Do not destroy net neutrality!

99. Pam Miller, Golden, CO, 80401
Please Chairman Pai, leave Net Neutrality alone for the millions of us that cannot afford to pay to play.
100. Gary Seratzki, Thornton, CO, 80260
Please protect you constituents with strong net neutrality. It would be grossly inappropriate to allow for looser laws with net neutrality.
101. Todd Johnson, Golden, CO, 80401
I am writing this to support maintaining title II classification for fixed and mobile internet providers. It is especially troubling to me that some internet providers are also generating media content and have an incentive to prioritize access to their media. The internet is very important for every American's daily life from paying bills and taxes to locating necessary goods and services., Please maintain internet neutrality. I do not buy the argument about how an easing of title II will increase competition and how these title II rules are currently limiting investment. Broadband and internet access require enormous investment expenses. As such, like in any field where huge capital investments are necessary, there are limited numbers of companies who can compete (in my city we have 1 option). It is not these title II rules that are preventing competition but rather capital. Please safeguard consumers and not companies. Thank you.
102. James Fuller, Westminster, CO, 80031
I am in strong support of comprehensive Net Neutrality under TITLE 2. Please protect our internet!
103. Nicholas Henke, Westminster, CO, 80031
I support strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II regulation of ISPs.
104. Jeremy Long, Arvada, CO, 80004
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight backed by ISPs. Preserve net neutrality for the people.
105. Gregory Wrenshall, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Internet Service Providers must stay regulated under Title II so that we can maintain strong net neutrality projections that will allow the United States to stay a leader in internet innovation into the future.
106. Michael Garguilo, Arvada, CO, 80005
Getting rid of the Title II classification for internet service providers would be a mistake. ISPs have already demonstrated that they have no intention of treating all internet traffic equally if left to their own devices. Small businesses will be unable to compete with established businesses that are paying extra to get an acceptable connection between themselves and the end consumer (e.g. Netflix paying for access to Comcast customers).
107. William Yelland, Denver, CO, 80260
Protect Net Neutrality. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Internet is now the primary way of communicating in the USA, including audio, phone services (now relayed via internet), audio-visual (such as Skype), text based communication, and the reception of news and TV and movie broadcast, the primary means of gaining employment (applications are now required to be done online by the majority of businesses), commerce, registering to vote or get a state ID, and the payment of taxes. It is also the primary lifeline of many disabled Americans. An extremely vital utility such as what the internet has now become can not be placed at the whims of businesses, or effectively flaccid (in respect to the internet) commerce rules.
108. Benjamin Schmidt, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I believe we have the right to freedom of information. I do not believe any ISP has the right to throttle any information or services available on the internet.
109. Jacob Browne, Lakewood, CO, 80214
Title 2 is necessary for Net Neutrality, as Verizon and other companies have proven. The Title 2 ruling has had no or positive effects on businesses and it needs to be protected.
110. Dr. Nicholas Thornburg, Golden, CO, 80401
Dear Mr. Ajit Pai,I urgently support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you.Sincerely yours,Dr. Nicholas Thornburg
111. Nicholas Mcryhew, Henderson, CO, 80640
I strongly support net neutrality and keeping ISP's classified under title 2.
112. Salman, Westminster, CO, 80021
Instead of wasting time investigating Stephen Colbert's jokes about the fucking idiot sitting atop this government (<http://time.com/4769648/fcc-investigating-stephen-colbert-donald-trump-joke/>), maybe use your authority/mandate (recent legislation by congress notwithstanding) to lobby for and enact strong free internet protection rules. ISPs clearly have an incentive to discriminate against unaffiliated content providers (Google, Netflix, Wikipedia, News, Azure, AWS, etc) in favor of their own content (Universal and Hulu for Comcast, Yahoo and AOL for Verizon, etc). All we ask for is that you just do your fucking jobs!

113. John Piekarski, Lakewood, CO, 80232
No fast lanes, DO NOT rollback net neutrality regulations!
114. Troy Fisher, Denver, CO, 80221
I strongly support Net Neutrality by having the ISPs remain under Title II regulations. They should provide the internet service neutrally and equally, not allowing an advantage for website who pay for the privilege.
115. Jewel Brosius, Lakewood, CO, 80227
Dont take away my free internet
116. Brad Bove, Westminster, CO, 80023
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. I pay my ISP for a level playing field. I don't pay for a bogged down corruption machine. Without these regulations, companies would crush the little guys before they have a chance to grow. And if there are companies that want an unfair advantage, they should go to another country that will tolerate it. The FCC is a government agency, so it should be working FOR THE PEOPLE, not corporations. And being that Ajit Pai was formerly a lawyer working for Verizon, I'd like his role in the FCC to be investigated as this comes across as a conflict of interest since he's pushing to remove these regulations. It seems a little too suspicious, so I would like to call for an investigation into his finances to be sure he's not being paid off and abusing his power. If these net neutrality regulations are removed or changed in any way that would benefit corporations over the people paying them (the customers), I will make sure the people responsible are removed from their positions and fined. I think Ajit Pai is in over his head here. Pai or the FCC or anyone else for that matter does not want to mess with the internet. It is ruled by the people who use it, not by the companies that run it. There are more users than there are executives, and that's a fight we will always win. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY OR SUFFER THE CONSEQUENCES.
117. Zachary Smith, Lakewood, CO, 80235
I support strong net neutrality, and title 2 support of isp. Title one with voluntary or unforced protections does not protect anyone.
118. Bradley Zasada, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I support strong net neutrality rules, and specifically Title II regulation of telecoms. If you give a shit, don't sell out, do your jobs, keep the internet open and neutral.
119. R. M. Piekarski, Lakewood, CO, 80232
DO NOT rollback net neutrality regulations you bastards! No fast lanes!
120. Joseph Currin, Broomfield, CO, 80021
I support the STRONG net neutrality backed by Title II of ISP. Comcast routinely reduced the quality of my Netflix before Title II support was added. Reversal of Net Neutrality will destroy the internet.
121. Dick Piekarski, Lakewood, CO, 80232
DO NOT rollback net neutrality regulations! NO fast lanes!
122. John Silvia, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Dear FCC, I have worked in the field of Information Security for many years and I do not support this proceeding at all. Allowing ISP's to "control" their network by the use of selectic throttling will not restore any freedoms - it will serve as a way to restrict them. Freedom of speech is deeply endangered if an ISP decides to control what you read and see on the Internet. Interesting that the most vocal supporters are corporate interests, but they seek to profit through the ability to control what passes on their wires. This is akin to the pay-toilets from the 1960's - nobody wins and you'll have a lot more surprise messes to clean up.
123. Walter Adjei, Thornton, CO, 80229
I would like the FCC to keep net neutrality under Title II management.
124. William Work, Golden, CO, 80401
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2, you dingo.
125. Aaron Davis, Golden, CO, 80401
I support Net Neutrality and what it means for the freedom of access to information through Title II.
126. net neutrality, Arvada, CO, 80004
I am in strong support of net neutrality backed by title II oversight. This is critical for keeping democracy and commerce properly functioning in our country. Anything less will prove to be an unmitigated disaster.
127. Professor Jeffrey Parker, Arvada, CO, 80003
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. The importance of this issue cannot be overstated. A free open internet is essential for access, equality, and dissemination of concepts and ideas.

128. Stacey Hoffman, Thornton, CO, 80241
It is important to all of us that net neutrality be maintained. Changing the laws regarding net neutrality does not benefit the public.
129. Josh Gordon, Golden, CO, 80401
I only have one broadband provider available at my home (Comcast) with competitive speeds, the other choice is DSL which is not sufficient for modern internet tasks such as streaming movies, skypeing, or gaming. Keeping the title II requirements will ensure that Comcast is required to treat all internet sites & streams fairly, and that Comcast's de facto monopoly won't allow them to institute anti-consumer policies such as paid prioritization or downgrading competitors streams.
130. James Middleton, Golden, CO, 80401
I support STRONG Net Neutrality that is backed up by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. I do NOT support the roll back of these regulations. Please keep the internet classified as Title II.
131. Amanda Gilleland, Thornton, CO, 80229
I fully support Title II and net neutrality and I hope that this administration starts to recognize and respect the voice of the American people. My internet consumption is not for profit and big business should not be running this country.
132. Steve Marchbank, Westminster, CO, 80021
To whom it may concern:It is essential for this committee to strongly consider the possible sequelae from allowing the internet to become less neutral. Currently, there are only a handful of internet providers that cover most of our population, and any effort to curtail access to any particular part of the internet, especially against competitors, will lead to even less choice for the consumer. Please do not go down this road, and please adhere to the Title 2 regulations that are currently in place.A concerned consumer, Steve Marchbank
133. Matthew Shirey, Northglenn, CO, 80260
Please keep net neutrality and title 2 in place the way it is, you daft verizon shill!
134. dennis byrne, Golden, CO, 80401
Net Neutrality is core to economic growth and personal freedom. It should be added to the first Amendment! Jon Oliver, thanks for bringing this to my attention once again. You Rule!
135. Ken Yoshida Hua, Thornton, CO, 80229
The only way to enforce Net Neutrality Rules is to keep ISPs under Title II. Verizon, Pai's former employeer, made sure that the only way to do that is Title II. Putting them back under Title I is absurd. I am citing the Verizon vs the FCC case back in 2014. You cannot overlook what is public knowledge.ISPs will promise us to not dick around with speeds? How's the track record with corporations with literal monopolies doing the right thing with their "promises". It's not good. How do you think the housing bubble burst? Unregulated financial institutions gambling with sub-prime loans. Somehow telecom companies are more trustworthy than that? Take your "promises" and maybe keep them legally binding AND enforceable? Can you do that? Seriously, the butt hurt ISPs bottom lines are minimally effected by the Title II regulations, and it will help consumers and little companies out in the end. Like ISPs will stop investing in infrastructure because of regulations. Sounds like a whole ot of whining. It's kind of suicide to stop investing in infrastructure for and ISP, so don't peddle that bullcrap.Leave the Net Nuetrality rules in place, I don't want to gamble on promises from big corps.
136. Matt Webb, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
We want our internet freedom back.
137. Samantha Reddick, Thornton, CO, 80233
I support strong regulations enforcing net neutrality through Title II restrictions. Preserve net neutrality and Title II
138. Lee Truskin, Westminster, CO, 80031
Please reinforce the commitment to maintain net neutrality!
139. Jennifer Fowler, Northglenn, CO, 80233
Please preserve Net neutrality via Title II. I wholeheartedly support this measure and feel you should retain the current regulations as provided by Title II.
140. Dayna Cooper, Arvada, CO, 80005
I support net neutrality and the Title 2 protections. Please do not take "weed whacker" to telecommunications regulations. Please do not reverse Obama-era initiatives to protect the internet. Thank you.
141. Dustin C, Golden, CO, 80401
Protect net neutrality for our democracy. Thank you :)
142. Lori Bailey, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Please reconsider your desire to roll back the previously established Net Neutrality rules. Do not let "the highest bidder" determine dissemination of information to our free society - keep it equally available for all providers and citizens. I

understand that many of the largest providers have vowed to honor Net Neutrality rules on their own, because "it is the correct and fair thing to do", however unraveling these rules will open the door to the less honorable companies who will have no issue in taking advantage of our citizens by way of the opportunities afforded through an open Net rule.

143. Geoff Cox, Lakewood, CO, 80232
Please support net neutrality and keep title 2 guidelines enforced
144. yates timmerman, denver, CO, 80221
I would like the FCC to not move forward with removing Title II from internet companies. Us taxpayers have paid for the internet, and we are the ones who should benefit from it. Please keep it classified as a Title 2
145. Laura Roberts, Westminster, CO, 80021
Please keep the regulations of Title II in place to protect net neutrality. It's really important to people like me who use the internet but have no clout. The interests of the citizens of this country are best served by Title II, not by Title I. Thank you.
146. Michael S Ellis Jr, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
FCC,I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. I would like to express my concerns over proposed rule changes regarding removing Internet Services Providers from Title II, to Title I. I do not support the rule change and am confident this action will result in reduced net neutrality. The public deserves a free and open internet that can not be manipulated by ISPs. Please do your job and protect us, the public interests. Thank youMike
147. scott caruso, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I am against changing net neutrality and for keeping title II for carriers. I have already been told by Comcast if i buy my own cable modem they will lower priority on my connection. I work from home as well as use internet for streaming. The Title II keeps fr9m a regulatory standpoint incheck.
148. Christopher Herrera, arvada, CO, 80003
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs! Please preserve net neutrality by keeping title II protection. Thank you.Christopher Herrera
149. A TRUJILLO, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I am strongly opposed to any changes to the current Title II regulations about telecom companies. Leave the current regulations in place and stop lying FCC Chair.
150. Kelsey Stark, Lakewood, CO, 80232
Net Neutrality must stand while ISPs are still allowed to operate without real competition. If the FCC wants to have an open internet with more control in the hands of the network owners, American consumers need to have a choice. As it stands, ISPs have no incentive to work harder for consumers. Regulation is needed to fill the gap until there's an actual market in internet service.
151. Erin Lennon, Arvada, CO, 80002
I am writing today to say how I am adamantly for net neutrality. I support strong net neutrality backed by strong title II over site of ISPs.
152. Kelly Schultz, Denver, CO, 80221
I seriously support current net neutrality rules. Cutting them back is a serious mistake. Keep it in Title 2.
153. Jennifer Page, Westminster, CO, 80020
I support strong net neutrality rules under Title II. Please keep our internet open!
154. Derek Cortvriendt, Westminster, CO, 80020
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Do not move to Title I.
155. Kevin Jenkins, Denver, CO, 80229
Please preserve the Net Nutralty Act and Title 2 in its current form.
156. Josh Paul, Westminster, CO, 80030
Do we have to do this again? The internet isnâ€™t some place that needs capitalizing on . If anything its anything but that. The internet is where most small businesses and job thrive. Youtubers will be practically out of a job and some webistes will suffer because of that. For ex, if you slow down Netflix to crawl thanks to Xfinity Streaming being more popular. Then is in endangered for going bankrupt like Blockbuster before it. Net Neutrality shouldnâ€™t be fought for again and again. Please just donâ€™t mess with Tittle II and just leave it alone
157. Nick Klonne, Golden, CO, 80401
I am in strong support of net neutrality rules and would like for Title II to remain in place.
158. Neutrality, Westminster, CO, 80020

Please continue to enforce strong net neutrality rules under Title 2. Keep the internet open.

159. Net Neutrality, westminster, CO, 80234
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! Don't let verizon wireless and Ajit Pai take away net neutrality
160. David Moosman, arvada, CO, 80005
Net neutrality rocks. Don't ruin it.
161. Heather Farr, Thornton, CO, 80260
Restore title 2 and preserve nutralitiy
162. Darby B, Westminster, CO, 80031
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The internet is a wealth of human knowledge, entertainment, and the cumulative compendium of human thought, and as such should remain the domain of people at large. It should not be beholden to the influences and wills of entities and groups interested in possession, limitation, restriction, or preferential access.
163. Anna, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80212
Net neutrality is an important thing to preserve. There is no benefit to "disappearing" Title II to consumers but business would sure make a buck. Protect the internet- after all OUR taxes paid for the research to make the thing!
164. Stephanie Schooley, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. It is critical that ISPs maintain their current level of impartiality in providing service to customers based on capacity and not on self interest. Thank you.
165. Kendan Anderson, Arvada, CO, 80005
Maintain Title II regulations. "Restoring Internet Freedom" as it stands, does the exact opposite of what its name claims by handing over power to the ISP's with no regard to consumer protections, privacy, or online neutrality. I find much of the information presented in the "Restoring Internet Freedom" NPRM to be a heavily biased and misleading view of the internet. Government regulation of the internet and ISP's specifically, became necessary due to the monopolistic growth of ISP's over the past ten years. Infrastructure investment and innovation are currently at an all-time high and the purported "decline in infrastructure investment, innovation and options for consumers" (sec. 5) is a laughable myth. ISP's, mobile service providers, and the networking industry have been posting record profits year-over-year without fail and stocks and investments in these companies have made significant gains since 2015. Search (and please, use the search provider OF YOUR CHOICE) AT&T, Verizon, Comcast, Cisco, Juniper, Centurylink, Tmobile, Sprint, etc. stocks in NYSE or Nasdaq and you'll see there is no decline due to Title II regulation. The industry continues to grow in nearly every aspect under these incredibly necessary Title II regulations. On some of the finer points - I specifically do not agree with removing consumer privacy (sec. 63) and removing the restrictions of ISP's to throttle or block content of their choosing (sec. 82). ISP's have already proven they cannot be trusted with such power and I encourage you to maintain the need for No Paid Prioritization (sec. 84). For example - The FCC letter to Comcast in Jan 2009 regarding its disparate treatment of VOIP competitors to make Comcast's own VOIP service appear better to consumers. Please keep the internet open, free, and its content available to all people equally without corporate influence. The information I access and business I conduct on the internet is my own, private, and not a commodity to be sold to the highest bidder. Let's keep it that way. Thank you for your consideration.
166. Wendy Morrison, Lakewood, CO, 80214
I wish to express my keen support for strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
167. Andrea Smith, Westminster, CO, 80234
Net Neutrality enforcement is key to keeping the internet a true upholder of the First Amendment. If you are a constitutionalist and rationalist, limiting people's access to internet content, hypothetical or not, is a breach of the first amendment. Preserving Title 2 is crucial to keeping big corporation money out of content filtering. There is no incentive to have companies "voluntarily" follow net neutrality "guidelines", that's why we must keep our rules in place that limit any interference to people's access to online content and knowledge. Thank you.
168. Scott Briggs, Thornton, CO, 80241
I am in support of strong net neutrality, including oversight by Title 2 of the ISPs. Regulate, don't capitulate!
169. Casey Monson, Westminster, CO, 80031
Please support keeping the internet strong by keeping ISP's as Title II.
170. Le Vuong, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Dear Sir, I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please protect Net Neutrality and Title 2. This is so very important to us as citizens. Thank you for your time.
171. Andrea Neumann, Thornton, CO, 80241
I am concerned that you would take away something as important as net neutrality. Just because there is no proof any

company has done it doesn't mean someone won't decide to do it in the future. Let's prevent a bad thing before it happens instead of waiting until someone is hurt to do anything about it.

172. Nicholas Hamblin, Golden, CO, 80401
Net neutrality must be preserved through the strict guidelines of Title II.
173. Philip Stier, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Ajit Pai, I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's
174. Restoring Net Neutrality, LAkewood, CO, 80228
Net neutrality can only be assured by keeping ISPs under Title II rules. Please restore net neutrality.
175. Nile, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
We need to preserve Net Neutrality and Title II as it is now.
176. Carly Hyde, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I support strong net neutrality, especially those protected by Title II oversight of ISPs!! Don't slow down my internet to make the big dogs richer.
177. Scott Briggs, Thornton, CO, 80241
Regulation instead of capitulation. I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
178. Justin England., Thornton, CO, 80229
I am writing today because I believe that maintaining net neutrality is important to maintaining a healthy, free and open internet. The arguments against internet service being regulated as a utility do not hold water. Broad band providers have been stagnant in their upgrading and investment into infrastructure almost across the board, even prior to the decision to reclassify internet under title 2. As a systems engineer, working for some of the largest properties in the world, I have a very good front line view of what the internet is and how it works. The internet for me is not just a conduit of entertainment, but a very real tool that is to me as much of a utility as the electricity that runs my systems. I work from home, for a fully distributed company. Something I think will become more common place, especially in tech, over the next 5 to 15 years. If you allow broad band providers to prioritize traffic, or worse prioritize certain services or sites ala cable television. it will create an ecosystem that doesn't provide opportunity for millions of americans to work for companies all over the world. People from the rust belt could be taught computer trades and then work remotely for google, or comcast, or thousands of other huge corporations that if the ground was stable enough could invest in lower cost, farther reaching employees. Allowing bay area companies to hire middle american workers is a dream for both them, and those workers. Creating a world where the internet isn't regulated as the necessary utility it is, stifles this kind of innovation as well as millions of other unhatched ideas. Netflix would not be the 9000 pound media gorilla it is now if back in the early oughts comcast had been able to throttle connections to them in favor of a connection to their own competing services. The internet should be kept free, open and bereft of any taste making, or content choices made by anyone but the end user. Every packet is the same, all data is the same, and the networks should be totally free, totally open and regulated to keep them that way. Thank you!
179. Tom D, Arvada, CO, 80003
Please please keep net neutrality and keep ISPs under title 2.
180. Rick Hirsch, Golden, CO, 80401
I would like to respectfully request that the FCC not change the current net neutrality system. I believe that the internet service providers are profitable businesses, and need no further help from a government entity whose primary charge should be to protect the citizens of this nation. Think with your heart, not your personal wallet.
181. kyle fay, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Preserve net neutrality and title two! 8=====D 0:
182. Alicia Welshimer, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Keep the internet free and open.
183. Brandon Reid, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Net Neutrality is very important, if you don't agree with Title II, have a GOOD replacement for it.
184. Matthew Hopkins, Northglenn, CO, 80233
Net Neutrality is critical for the future of the internet. It is well known that without it, ISPs can and will prioritize their own content or the content that has been paid to be promoted. Please do not allow the internet to fall into a place where large companies can push their agendas because they have the capital to do so.
185. Ross Ireland, Denver, CO, 80221
To the FCC and Ajit Pai, I would like to express that I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality helps to keep the cost of internet lower and more equal for everyone and allows for more innovation as well as keeping internet service strong for all users. Getting rid of net neutrality would be a terrible blow to the country.

186. Francis Trudeau, Edgewater, CO, 80214
As a computer and network security engineer, I fully support net neutrality backed by Title II. Strong net neutrality is essential for the Internet to function as designed.
187. Ian Ware, Arvada, CO, 80004
Please continue to classify isp's under title 2 and continue to enforce net neutrality laws.
188. Heather Driscoll, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80215
Dear Ajit Pai, Your stance on Net Neutrality is NOT in the Public's best interest - who, may I remind you, is your job to serve. I support Net Neutrality and internet regulations because I have had horrible and unfair customer service with both Comcast and AT&T specifically. It is a joke to believe they can regulate themselves and operate on an honor code policy. Comcast is one of the worst customer service rated businesses to begin with so don't be naive in trusting them to execute any policies on their own. They always have and always will conduct themselves within their best interest, as will any capitalist company. Which is why we have and need government regulation for public services like internet service. Concerned, Heather Driscoll (CO)
189. Andrew Thrapp, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
The United Nations has declared Internet access a fundamental human right. Access to information empowers movements such as the Arab spring, quickly coordinated protests furthering Americans first amendment protections, allows students and all members of the public to access the summation of human knowledge making it more difficult for oppressive groups to control information, and charlatans and con men to exploit the vulnerable. All these have the common trait of increasing the flow of open information creating the conditions for success for having an educated public. Now, our browsing history carries the most private details of our personal lives. I cannot advocate enough for rejecting this proposed change. In a completely competitive market with low barriers to entry, there seems to be no disagreement regulation isn't as necessary, with the difficulty of establishing a telecom company, laying fiber, few firms control the market and give certain nods to certain practices, which is exactly what's happening in regards to internet service providers. That is why it is so fundamentally important to have the internet regulated as a utility. Please, I urge you do not allow profit driven companies to engage in practices which will erode the fundamental benefits of the internet, including access to information for all americans. Each chip away at the rights of individuals will further erode the technology we've developed.
190. travis gale, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I would like to thank you for upholding Net Neutrality in the past. I believe it to be a critically important component in how we communicate globally and in sustaining our economy. Small businesses benefit greatly from Net Neutrality. To ensure forward progression in the United States, I ask that the FCC upholds and protects Net Neutrality once again for the good of all people. Thank you.
191. Leigh Stott, Thornton, CO, 80241
My family and I are adamant supporters of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please do not remove these consumer protections. They will result in a far more restricted and unfair internet service for all. Thank you, Leigh
192. Jacob Kepler, Golden, CO, 80401
You stupid mother fuckers had best stop sucking the cocks of your ISP funders. (if you were good people you could do a job without being greedy) . You better keep ISPs under title II where the people can enforce a free and open internet. There is no economic downside, and really, you're just shitting on everyone. To sum up: you suck for bringing this up again. title II good title I bad
193. JC Abell, Arvada, CO, 80003
The only way to preserve Net Neutrality is to keep it under Title II oversight. I and my partner own a small business owner and have every right for our company's forthcoming web content to be equally available/accessible as an ISP, or other large business whose deeper pockets can seemingly influence the actions of our government employees and elected officials.
194. Ben Telthorst, Lakewood, CO, 80214
I am writing in support of the FCC keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
195. Khalid Al-Khulaifi, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I strongly support net neutrality, that is backed by the Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
196. Patrick Ryan, Edgewater, CO, 80214
I support strong Net Neutrality. I support Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISPs). Again, I support net neutrality and would appreciate you not dismantling the Title II oversight and true open internet.
197. Devin Schade, Arvada, CO, 80004
I am writing in support of net neutrality and title 2
198. Glenn Levitt, Arvada, CO, 80005
I am against removing the protections of Title 2 of the Communications Act for internet providers. If there are not

regulations enforcing their behavior, internet providers will certainly take advantage of their absence. I am in favor of keeping the current regulations.

199. Michael A Gathers, Golden, CO, 80401
We support strong Net Neutrality regulations through Title II classification of ISPs.
200. Alex Chapin, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Simply by placing freedom in the title does not make it true. Freedom is for the people, not corporations. Keeping Internet Service Providers as Title II is a forward looking policy. As internet access becomes more and more integrated into our lives, it is imperative that ISPs not be allowed to infringe on the rights intrinsic to our country. Furthermore, Title II classification encourages competition and innovation by ensuring ISPs explore all avenues to improve their services breadth and depth, while leaving unexplored areas open to new innovators. I support Title II classification for Internet Service providers.
201. Graeme Cowie, Lakewood, CO, 80215
We, the American people of this address demand title 2 and net neutrality remain intact.
202. Daniel Schmidt, Arvada, CO, 80003
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. To dismantle or reduce these protections would be a travesty and disservice to those you are sworn to serve.
203. John Carroll, Westminster, CO, 80021
I am writing in support of the existing Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II maintaining an open and free internet. It is imperative that these rules remain in place! Despite the rhetoric from the FCC chairman, ISPs have a history of attempting to favor certain content at the expense of others when they believe money is to be made. It is disingenuous and absurd to believe that the ISPs will not continue this behavior if not explicitly banned from doing so. The internet is no longer a novelty. A free and open internet is an economic and social necessity.
204. Michael Sucharski, Thornton, CO, 80241
I am strongly in support of net neutrality, Title II regulations, and the continued existence of the same. I urge the FCC to please take the public into account when deciding the fates of these institutions. Thank you, and please, don't fuck over my internet.
205. David Cowles, Arvada, CO, 80002
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, it is extremely important to internet fair play for business and personal.
206. Joseph Mondragon, Denver, CO, 80033
I am an artist and entrepreneur and I am writing to express my concern about internet neutrality. The internet is one of the few places where a true free market with a level playing field exists in this country. Any business with an idea or a service can successfully compete with even the most established corporations when using online tools. This is healthy for the economy, consumers, and businesses by encouraging strong competition and innovation. However, if net neutrality is threatened by mergers, we will soon see the death of equality in the free market of the internet. Companies can systematically restrict speeds to competing businesses and essentially become a monopoly leading to small business failure and no consumer choice. Please uphold your duty to the public and protect net neutrality and deny any mergers from continuing. Keep the Internet a place for innovation, free speech and success.
207. Nathaniel Richards, Golden, CO, 80401
Please keep Title II status for all Internet Service Providers.
208. Kris Keelan, Arvada, CO, 80007
Preserve Net Neutrality and use an oversized novelty coffee mug
209. Magen Griffiths, Lakewood, CO, 80232
I strongly support net neutrality and I am against moving ISP's out of Title II.
210. Net Neutrality, Arvada, CO, 80002
Do not change current Net Neutrality laws.
211. John Melvin, Westminster, CO, 80031
Internet service providers should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without bias. I support for filing new Legal frameworks under Title II, NOT Title I.
212. Anton Romer, Golden, CO, 80401
I specifically support net neutrality backed by Title Two oversight of Internet Service Providers. Please do not let Commissioner Pai reverse current FCC Title Two ISP standards.
213. Scott Vander putten, Thornton, CO, 80602

Keep title II and net neutrality as the law.

214. Justin Case, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I am strongly opposed to any weakening of Net Neutrality, and will reflect as much as a top priority in all local and federal elections. Please either retain Title II in its current form, or modify Title II to include additional protections against the manipulation of availability of internet content based on ownership of infrastructure.
215. Aaron Bock, Northglenn, CO, 80233
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of internet support providers.
216. cameron kieffer, Lakewood, CO, 80214
Keep title II protections on the ISP providers! Keep Net Neutrality strong.
217. Jill Hodge, Westminster, CO, 80234
The concept of equality should not be hard to grasp for Americans. Giving everyone equal access to the sites of their choosing, and not allowing favoritisms or bribery to the ISPs should be standard. We all benefit with net neutrality!!!
218. Lyndsay Nye Culver, Littleton, CO, 80123
ISPs should continue under Title II regulations
219. Rita Peterson, Golden, CO, 80401
It is imperative that we retain net neutrality and Title II to allow for a fair and unbiased internet. This is not something that should be for sale.
220. Katherine Aldridge, Golden, CO, 80401
I strongly support internet neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs.
221. zachary brown, denver, CO, 80221
There is no need to make this change outside of hurting the American people's right to choose and to get fair and unbiased treatment no matter the content
222. Jason Ellis, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I strongly support keeping net neutrality and keeping ISPs under Title II. The internet is a necessity to function in today's society and thus it is appropriate (and extremely important) that it be regulated as a utility.
223. Bruce Davis, Westminster, CO, 80234
Requiring the ISPs to be governed under Title II is more in keeping with its importance in the USA.
224. Brian Avis, Lakewood, CO, 80232
I am filing this comment to express my strong support for net neutrality.
225. Kohl Knutson, Golden, CO, 80401
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight from ISP's.
226. John Lechnir III, Northglenn, CO, 80233
I completely support net neutrality. It is unethical and unmoral to allow companies to control what content I browse just for their own profit or other self-serving needs. My content is my choice, not theirs. Net Neutrality must exist, otherwise we lose control of one of the last truly free things in this world.
227. Will, Lakewood, CO, 80226
As someone who makes a living through the internet, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not allow large commercial companies to control the Internet.
228. Meghan Crossin, Lakewood, CO, 80227
Good afternoon, I would like to express my strong objection to rolling back the net neutrality regulations currently in place. Protecting net neutrality is crucial to ensuring that the internet remains a central driver of economic growth and opportunity, job creation, education, free expression, and civic organizing for everyone. The principles of net neutrality – that all data on the internet should be treated equally, and internet service providers (ISPs) should not discriminate or provide preference to any data, regardless of its source, content, or destination – are the foundation that has made the internet the engine of opportunity it is today. The continuation of net neutrality is essential to the continued growth of the country and to ensuring access to social, political, and economic empowerment for all. In 2015, millions of people made their support for net neutrality clear in comments to the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) supporting the Open Internet Order. The order, which reclassified broadband internet under Title II, enshrined the principles of net neutrality in law, and gave the FCC the authority to enforce it. As a result, broadband providers cannot block users' access to content, slow down connections to services, or charge for speedier delivery of preferred content. Since the order went into effect, broadband infrastructure investment is up, ISP revenues are at record highs, and businesses continue developing innovative ideas and offerings. A 2016 report found that the total capital expenditures of ISPs increased by 4 percent and that total revenues

increased by 5 percent from 2014 to 2015. Moreover, we consistently see businesses innovate and create new ways to provide fresh content and better services to consumers. These regulations are necessary to protect innovation and to safeguard the rights and choices of the average consumer. Thanks and regards, Meghan Crossin

229. Donna Kimsey, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
You must preserve Title II rules for internet neutrality. The companies who have ended up OWNING a public piece of infrastructure will not police themselves. They are only out to boost profits at the expense of the rest of us.
230. roberto montoya, Thornton, CO, 80229
Please save net neutrality and put equity before profits.
231. Alejandro Morin, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Please take action to preserve net neutrality and classifying internet service providers under Title II
232. Alan Frazier, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Please do not repeal net neutrality. It would be almost impossible for small businesses to compete against large corporations in regards to e-commerce.
233. Eric and Kim Ray, Lakewood, CO, 80227
The data points and recent history justify the continued need for enforcement of net neutrality utilizing Title II. I respectfully request that no changes be made to the current law.
234. Travis Coats, Westminster, CO, 80030
Please allow the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Thank you.
235. dan perreira, Thornton, CO, 80260
Net neutrality is paramount to the freedom of speech and expression we cherish in this nation. Every voice should have equal footing because it is a right, not because they paid a corporation a premium to get seen. If net neutrality is not guaranteed, it will hurt startups and small businesses and only create and facilitate the monopolization of various business.
236. Matthew R Soper, Thornton, CO, 80260
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Were that people consistently honest, fair, and decent none of this would be necessary. Sadly, this is not the case. The regulatory framework provided by Title II will help keep everyone on the straight and narrow and help prevent the providers from manipulating the delivery of the content the consumer has chosen.
237. Alec Thomas, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Keep net neutrality in place. We need Title II in place. There needs to be oversight to prevent the mishandling of information on the internet. ISPs cannot pick and choose what to have on their high speed internet.
238. Rhahlene Miller, Thornton, CO, 80229
It is extremely important that net neutrality continues to be supported under the Title II classification.
239. Joy Kay, Denver, CO, 80221
I support strong net neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
240. Nathaniel Duncan Proctor, Golden, CO, 80403
To whom it may concern, I'm strongly in favor of net neutrality which is backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. This is an important issue, and we have to keep the internet an advantage-free zone. Thank you for your time.
241. Jed Iverson, Broomfield, CO, 80021
Strongly suggest maintaining Net Neutrality and Title II oversight.
242. Drew Roberts, Westminster, CO, 80021
This bill accomplishes the exact opposite of its name. Removing net neutrality immediately erects a huge barrier to entry for any company looking to do business online. You cannot be both in favor of small business and in favor of this bill.
243. Damon Arabie, Westminster, CO, 80031
I value net neutrality. I believe the current regulations protect it and without them the ISPs will continue to pursue profit over it.
244. Michael Nathaniel Conner, Bloomfield, CO, 80021
I support true Net Neutrality and Title II classification!
245. Vincent Fiacco, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Leave net neutrality alone by keeping ISPs in Title 2!

246. Logan Lemmertz, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I strongly support net neutrality and ISPs being classified under Title II. As there is very little competition in the ISP market, Title II is required in order to maintain an open and free internet. I do not want my ISP to be able to give preferential treatment to websites of their choosing.
247. b v, westminster, CO, 80031
Preserve net neutrality and title II. If not go FCC yourself. And fire trump too
248. Alexander Hedlund, Arvada, CO, 80004
I support internet freedom, and believe that internet service providers should remain categorized under title 2. Internet service providers can and will throttle competing traffic, limiting the expressive nature and scope of a communication tool that continues to change the world every day. Removing title 2 protections from the internet is a terrible idea, and will have enormous detrimental effects to our high tech economy,
249. Nathan Benes, Denver, CO, 80221
I would like it to be on the record that I specifically support *STRONG NET NEUTRALITY* backed by Title 2 oversight. This proceeding has been misnamed "Restoring Internet Freedom." Hah, what a complete farce. What you are attempting to do here is remove the openness and freedom of the internet. You are doing the EXACT opposite of what you have named this proceeding. This is completely ridiculous. This problem was already solved, and now you are trying to roll back the protections that keep the internet free and open.
250. Clayton Kotulak, Golden, CO, 80401
As a small business owner I would implore you to preserve the current Net Neutrality rules. Small businesses such as mine (frwheelworks.com) depend on the internet as an open and free platform where all web traffic is treated equally, as we cannot afford to pay for privileged access or "fast lanes" that larger entities could pay for without Net Neutrality; yet another advantage for larger entities that would serve only to stifle growth and creativity in our market. If you care at all about new growth and opportunity for business owners like me you will not eliminate Net Neutrality and the protections it provides for small businesses and the American consumer.
251. Michael Purvis, Thornton, CO, 80229
I support the regulations supporting Net Neutrality and using Title II to regulate ISPs.
252. Nicolas Rodriguez, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Please protect strong net neutrality and stop presenting your ideas as if they would not undermine the free flow of information in the network.
253. Sophia Schwabe, Denver, CO, 80214
Mr. Ajit Pai, I am writing to tell you I support strong Net Neutrality backed by the Title II oversight of ISPs. I'm sure you're getting a lot of these with this exact wording for fairly obvious reasons, but don't think I'm not sincere in this statement. I simply don't think alternative wording is necessary given that the opposition to reduce Net Neutrality is fairly uniform among almost all of us living in the United States. C'mon now.-Sophie
254. Sean Hughes, Thornton, CO, 80241
The Internet was developed and designed initially by DARPA to be fully redundant communication network that spanned this great country. The universities that took it on only furthered that promise, and literally millions of human man hours have been dedicated to furthering again and again and again. The internet is meant to be free. It is the purest expression of our humanity. Net Neutrality must be in place for entities and persons that can express undue influence be prevented entirely from doing so. The Internet is a critical piece of US Infrastructure... as it was designed from the very beginning. It must be protected as such in its entirety.
255. Karla Haynes, Arvada, CO, 80005
Net neutrality is important for keeping America equal and allowing access to information.
256. Cindy S. Spear, Thornton, CO, 80241
I STRONGLY SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY. KEEP Net Neutrality. Continue Title II protections because we want continued net neutrality.
257. Net neutrality, Arvada, CO, 80003
I highly value the openness of the internet protected through title II of the communications act of 1934 and the spirit of net neutrality through the existing FCC. The great power and freedom of the internet is only possible with guaranteed equal access through guaranteed impartial ISPs.
258. Grant Hornbaker, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Access to the internet is no longer a luxury, it is a necessity of modern life and should not be controlled by a handful of companies that have historically been anti-consumer. The cornerstone of the free market is freedom of choice, but without strong net neutrality, the choices of everyday citizens can

and will be taken away without notice in the pursuit of profit without innovation.

259. Krastin Konstantinov, Westminster, CO, 80031
Maintaining net neutrality is essential to keeping the Internet free and open evenly to all. Internet access has become a necessity in modern America, and enabling some companies or some people to have better and quicker access is fundamentally counter to core American values. It is critical that ISP companies continue to be regulated under Title II rules. To be clear as a citizen who depends on net neutrality I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108!
260. Caleb, Littleton, CO, 80127
I do not support the internet freedom act. Until such a time as the majority of Americans have several independent ISP choices, or ISPs are no longer monopolistic, I am in favor of maintaining rules that enforce net neutrality.
261. Jill Hauck, Arvada, CO, 80004
I believe it is crucial to the future of the United States to maintain robust and effective laws in favor of Net Neutrality under Title II. Enough of the information and content available in the world has been bought and paid for and restricted by third parties, so it is crucial that we maintain neutrality at very least from our ISP's.
262. Anthony Gautier, Henderson, CO, 80640
I am writing to ask that you preserve the free and open internet by keeping Net Neutrality and maintaining Title 2.
263. Lindsey S Thibodeaux, Northglenn, CO, 80233
If this country were serious about "economic growth" and "job creation," this regulatory body would not unfairly disadvantage the data flow to new upstart services by hiding Americans' access behind unwanted paywalls on both the consumer and service provider ends. The potential for abuse by providers in delivering unfettered access to the necessary utility that the internet has become in 21st century life demands that any impingement on net neutrality should be rejected and Title II classification of internet access as a utility enforced.
264. Erik Nelson, Lakewood, CO, 80227
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Because many of us have few choices for Internet access, it is not feasible to allow only market forces to keep the Internet Service Providers in check.
265. Kendall Fiore, Golden, CO, 80401
Ending Net Neutrality cannot happen for the sake of an open online marketplace. Do not end Net Neutrality. Your current department head used to work for Verizon, literally. That alone should tell you enough.
266. Kevin Barry, Westminster, CO, 80020
I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II for the sake of all US citizens. The proposed changes do not stand to benefit consumers in any manner, whatsoever.
267. Ian Edwards, Denver, CO, 80227
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Stop trying to kill America and further the divide. The actions of this administration are deplorable, never have I been so ashamed to be an American. Ajit Pai, you are cancer to the human race and to the progression of this amazing country. I find only a small amount of consolation in the hope that when you die, you will burn in the fiery pits of hell, while Hitler sodomizes you with a 56k dial up. Go FCC yourself!
268. Daniel M Sneider, Lakewood, CO, 80214
We need STRONG net neutrality laws! If history provides any lessons at all it is that corporate interests will always supersede those of the consumer unless there is active legislation ensuring oversight and penalties.
269. Levi Swisher, Lakewood, CO, 80227
I support our current strong Net neutrality rules backed by title II oversight of ISP's. I can't even really believe we have to keep having this fight. The ISP's need strong oversight, it will not prevent them from expanding infrastructure. Please do what's in the peoples best interest, and not what is in the corporations.
270. Richard Gibson, Lakewood, CO, 80232
I would choose to keep the Net Neutraity rules as they are under Title II.
271. Gabriel Medina, Denver, CO, 80221
I am leaving a comment after hearing Ajit Pai speak about changing ISPs to Title I for Net Neutrality. I am against this change. I am also getting tired of this current Federal Government putting business men in charge of government agencies only to undo regulations for the sole benefit of business. We need this safety net in place to prevent businesses from taking advantage of the consumer which from history has always been an issue. Do not change this regulation as ISPs have not been affected whatsoever after being placed under Title II of the Communication Act of 1934. The only reason to change it would be for the sole benefit of the ISPs and not the consumer.
272. Daniel Suhr, Arvada, CO, 80003
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! This is fundamentally important to technology

use across both rural and suburban areas in the US. There is no such thing as ISP competition in many areas of the country, not because of government regulation, but because of wired infrastructure that is built in the exact same way as power utilities. Again, I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

273. Roxanne Rounsborg, Arvada, CO, 80004
I oppose any changes that would take net neutrality out of Title 2. Duh.
274. Gregory Torres, Broomfield, CO, 80004
I urge the FCC to keep, enforce and strengthen net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
275. Debra Hecox, Arvada, CO, 80004
I oppose changes to the net neutrality rule. Consumer concerns must outweigh favoritism to business interests when using resources if the federal government in any and all circumstances.
276. Jessica Marlatt, Lakewood, CO, 80227
I support strong net neutrality and title II enforcement. The absolutely idiotic idea that ISPs will voluntarily maintain net neutrality is harmful both economically and directly for consumers. This is clearly another attempt by this administration to screw the American people in order to benefit big business and the top 1%.
277. Jake Ruddy, Arvada, CO, 80004
I would like to say I strongly support strict net neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISP's
278. charles allen, Golden, CO, 80403
Please keep all title 2 rules in place and keep the internet neutral
279. Christian VanZant, Lakewood, CO, 80228
This is for Ajit Pai. I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. DO NOT REVERT TO TITLE I AND LAX PROTECTION FOR INTERNET USERS! We are watching.
280. Jonathan Hess, Westminster, CO, 80031
I am against this regulation. Internet access is an absolutely essential utility in our modern economy. By requiring equal access to utility internet access, The small businesses can grow a flourish without unnecessary interference from large monopolies. We need the rules of the road that exist now. Do not roll those rules back.
281. Dan Delli-Colli, Golden, CO, 80403
Please keep the internet free and open and stop succumbing to corporate money. You are already destroying our country, don't destroy our internet too.
282. Alex Broom, Golden, CO, 80403
In order to enforce net neutrality, we need to maintain classification as Title II.
283. Alan Flesh, Golden, CO, 80401
Please do not roll back protections regarding net neutrality. We are already living with monopolies, such as Comcast, with a clearly demonstrated history of being completely indifferent to consumer needs and complaints. Reducing neutrality protections only benefits them and allows them to milk even more money out of their subscriber base with absolutely no benefit, and potentially significant damage to the consumer.
284. Annie Carlson, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I support and strongly encourage the FCC and Chairman Pai to support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
285. Nathaniel O'Neill, Westminster, CO, 80021
Please preserve net neutrality and uphold title 2!
286. Jacob Schubert, Arvada, CO, 80007
I am writing to express my opposition to docket #17-108 and request the FCC maintain Title II status on ISPs. This classification ensures an open internet and provides critical and necessary consumer/citizen protection. Please do not eliminate or scale back net neutrality. Thank you.
287. Dean Phannenstiel, Edgewater, CO, 80214
I support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of internet service providers. I do not support docket # 17-108!!
288. stuart wright, Arvada, CO, 80005
Net neutrality is extremely important to me and everyone I know. Please maintain Net neutrality!
289. Paul Rudman, Arvada, CO, 80002
I would like to register my discomfort with the proposed regulations to remove net neutrality. This is terrible for the consumer who will have to pay more for streaming services, terrible for content providers who will have to pay more to get

decent speeds for their content delivery but good for the ISP's who can charge more for popular services. Most of the economic benefit to the country comes from the content providers who will be hampered by this change of rules. The only people gaining will be telecoms investors while everyone loses out. Making the rich richer is not making America great again. It is making the swamp bigger again.

290. Erik Willis, Littleton, CO, 80123
Keep net neutrality the way it has successfully worked of over 20 years. Big business controls plenty aspects of our commercial lives as it is, we don't need them imposing their financial whims over internet access as well!
291. Jordon Packard, Littleton, CO, 80127
Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please don't undo the positive work done already to keep the internet an open and free market. My wife and I are artists and small business owner and we won't be able to compete with large corporations if these rules are changed. Please support small business and innovation in America.
292. Bill Burns, Westminster, CO, 80234
Keep net neutrality rules and laws in place! The reason the internet has thrived is because there was no CORPORATIONS interfering with internet traffic. Asking them to voluntarily not slow down traffic is like having the fox guard the hen house. By allowing large ISPs to throttle traffic or charge more to speed up traffic, it will stifle innovation. Innovation has always started with the little guy. If these ISPs want to eliminate competition, all they have to do is force small companies to pay more than they can afford. Putting the ISPs in charge of themselves is like putting a Verizon lawyer/lobbyist in charge of the FCC. Oh, wait...
293. Margaret Restivo, Denver, CO, 80221
Too many corporation already have their hands so far up the government and as a result the consumers asses. Preserve net neutrality and Title II.
294. William McPherson, Northglenn, CO, 80234
Protect net neutrality. Keep ISPs in Chapter II.
295. Jon, Westminster, CO, 80021
WE SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE 2 OVERSIGHT BY ISPs
296. Paul Preusser, Arvada, CO, 80004
Please preserve internet neutrality and title II classification. It would be an absolute farce to assume that ISP providers are going to self-regulate their companies. Thank you.
297. Patrick Driscoll, Lakewood, CO, 80214
I urge the FCC to maintain the current standards that place internet regulations under Title II. To act out of step with the will of the people on this issue will hinder small businesses and create an atmosphere of distrust among the public. Keeping the internet free from corporate corruption is a free speech issue, and one that people from all sides of the political spectrum can get behind.
298. Jahnvi Haley, Lakewood, CO, 80214
I heavily support restoring a fair and equally accessible internet.
299. Anthony Dineen, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Preserve net neutrality and title II it is important to Americans that this does not change.
300. Eli, Golden, CO, 80401
Please preserve net neutrality and title II. As we have seen, ISP's won't just play by the rules and not favor certain sites over others. The internet is the last example of freedom of expression. Please don't sell it out to the giant corporations. Thanks, Eli
301. Michael Gaul, Northglenn, CO, 80233
Keeping broadband classification as Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 is appropriate as the internet is the primary way of communication for many people, just as phone/telegraphs were when the act was passed. The whole purpose is to keep public's interest in mind. Yet time and time again any "agreements" was not enforceable until broadband was classified as a common carrier. Time and time again, we have see ISP's abuse their power and double dipping by forcing other service/competitors to pay more or even slow down with no reason to give their other service an unfair competitive edge. This regulation needs to stay.
302. Alecia O'Donnell, Lakewood, CO, 80235
Please support strongly Net Neutrality
303. Forrest Thye, Northglenn, CO, 80233
The existing net neutrality rules should remain in place - the Internet should be governed like a utility. Giving ISP's the ability to slow down traffic from certain sites, or speeding up traffic from others if they pay a "toll" is tremendously unfair to small companies and start-ups, will stifle innovation, decrease competition, degrade user experiences, result in increased cost

to consumers, and widen the information gap.

304. Tom Rivers, Thornton, CO, 80260
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. Thank you for your time
305. Tobias Reaper, Lakewood, CO, 80232
To the good Chairman Ajit Pai, I want to say that I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. I hope you will weigh the benefits for all internet users and the internet users to come against your own personal gain or that of the large ISPs and consider what a subjectively "good" person would choose.
306. Jennifer Fukui, Arvada, CO, 80004
Net neutrality HELPS competition. If the big internet providers are able to partake in paid prioritization (section 84) on services that compete with their other offerings, it gives them a large advantage. For example sites like Netflix could be slowed down by a Comcast who is trying to sell cable services. Then, Comcast is able to give Netflix a bad choice: either your service won't work for our large network of consumers, or they can pay Comcast for the ability to access their customers. This exact scenario happened in 2014, so this rule was NOT made to "address an apparently nonexistent problem." Competition flourishes on a level playing field, and small businesses won't have the cash to start their blog or new streaming service if it becomes a pay to play situation. Section 49 asks for comment on the effect the reclassification has had. I think keeping the competition fair for all businesses (including those who compete with internet providers) was the greatest achievement of the reclassification to Title II. Section 50 says that the Title II reclassification was based on 4 examples. I think the shift to Title II WAS warranted as there are so few internet providers. I think the question posed in section 50, "In particular, does that approach deter competition and competitive entry, and does it have unintended consequences with respect to infrastructure investment?" is really unfair. Currently, the large companies will need to innovate to compete with each other in their oligopoly. It won't matter whether you strip away regulations or not. Comcast has invested 26 percent more since 2015 than it did between 2013 and 2014. In fact, publicly traded ISPs are currently spending 5.3% more than before Title II regulations were enacted. The reporting regulations in section 89 are really important as consumers and could be consumers should know all fees and data caps they might run into when choosing an ISP. As a millennial with many friends who do not even use fixed broadband, I believe Title II regulations should not change for mobile web, as is suggested in section 94. Mobile web deserves the same protections as fixed broadband. A great example of the very real problem of paid prioritization on mobile was in 2012, AT&T blocked FaceTime on iPhones, claiming it was using too much bandwidth. In this case, it was an attempt to block a service that competed with its own voice services. Then AT&T turns around and offers DirecTV streaming without using data. Since AT&T owns DirecTV, this shows that the providers, mobile or fixed, are willing to use their position as an ISP to bolster their own portfolio while blocking competition. In conclusion, I believe that the FCC should continue to keep the Title II protections for the internet. As a recent college graduate, I don't understand how a student would be able to work without the internet. I think the internet should be treated as a utility because of the opportunities it provides to all who use it. Please keep Title II regulations for the internet.
307. jason jolly, Arvada, CO, 80003
It is sad to see someone like pi changing something like title 2. Keep title 2 so that every one has an equal voice on the internet. It makes me sick to think that corporate America once again is buying influence in the white house. We the people of the United States do not want any changes to the internet. Do the right thing keep us free of corporate abuses.
308. D. Schoen, denver, CO, 80221
We must have net neutrality oversight on Title II. It would be a grave mistake otherwise, as we would lose the protections that have been abused in the past.
309. Devon Liszka, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I oppose docket no. 17-108 because companies don't deserve the same amount of freedom that people do. Period. Stop trying to weasel out of morality and responsibility under the guise of liberty. Knock it off.
310. Amy Emrich, Golden, CO, 80401
The internet is the best thing that's ever happened to this planet in so many ways. The internet is spreading so much knowledge to so many places in so many capacities. Think of your descendants. Don't you want them to live in a better world? You must realize freedom of the internet is most vital to a better world. Please don't allow corporations to rule the internet. The internet is the most basic form of democracy and we really should be spreading that worldwide, and we can do it without war, we can do it with the internet. Like democracy, the internet should be for the people by the people. I support strong net neutrality regulations.
311. Michael Kennedy, Lakewood, CO, 80232
Eliminating Net Neutrality is SHIT and as UNAMERICAN as it can be... this is just a way to keep information controlled to best suits the needs of the few.. it is WE THE PEOPLE, remember, not WE THE CORPORATION... this is not a political issue, this is not a partisan issue, this is a money grab, period. Corporate greed is driving this plain and simple.. I have yet to meet even one american to stands behind this idea.. Noone wants faster a netflix at this expense.. this should be a basic 1st amendment right and should be defended as so, freedom of speech and press should never be denied in this country of the brave and land of the FREE, yet by cutting out the voice of the small and poor you have done just that...

312. John Adams, Edgewater, CO, 80214
I work in the tech industry and this is important to all of us, - me, my clients and my children. I strongly suggest the FCC keep the current classification enforcing Net Neutrality Rules for ISP Providers. I do not believe a "trust" the ISP policy in the FCC is appropriate in any way. These Rules were put into place for a reason. Net neutrality rules that prevent things like pay-for-priority access, blocking and throttling are NOT "unjustified burdens" for service providers that the FCC chairman (Ajit Pai) now intends to do away with-. They are our "Freedoms" and Rights of Fairness - my freedoms are not "unjustified burdens." Do your job, and never allow corporate lawyers from large ISP companies to ever guard our rights as FCC Regulators. They are in conflict of Interest with America as a whole. Ajit Pai should go back to Verizon and take his "infamous" mug with him.
313. title2, Lakewood, CO, 80227
I am writing this to urge the FCC to preserve net neutrality and title 2. Please and thank you.
314. William Catchpole, Thornton, CO, 80241
I find it very sad that this new administration and the new chairman of the FCC is attempting to undo the changes to Net Neutrality. It is the FCC's job to serve the public, not private companies. The FCC needs to look out for the interests of the public more than private business. It is very naive to think that these large ISPs aren't going to do everything they can to manipulate and charge customers more for less service. I truly believe that they should remain under Title II to ensure a level playing field for everyone on the Internet.
315. Alex Sawyer Raymond, Lakewood, CO, 80232
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by title two over-cite of ISPs.
316. Bradford John Kellogg, Westminster, CO, 80030
I am against all actions which would give preferential treatment to internet infrastructure based on payments or agreement with corporations or individuals. Please do not change the rules of the internet, it is a miracle because it is open, and free, and allows so many people to attain the dreams with just a wi-fi connection and smartphone. I feel it would harm society to prevent this free flow of information in any way. Keep Net Neutrality intact!
317. Michael Sean Bradley, Denver, CO, 80123
"make available so far as possible, to all the people of the United States, without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex, rapid, efficient, Nationwide, and world-wide wire and radio communication services with adequate facilities at reasonable charges." Above is the founding principle upon which the FCC was formed. The idea was to protect the rights of the PEOPLE to have access to information and music and other forms of communication. You were never meant to protect and promote corporate interests. The internet is one of the most wonderful innovations of the modern era. It connects people in ways never imagined. It gives everyone access to information that they might not have otherwise. It opens the world up and it makes all of us equal. Net neutrality and the application of Title II to the internet are essential to keeping the internet free and open to all of us. While you may believe that the ISPs will not throttle services, please remember that there have been dozens of incidents of exactly this occurring which have been proven. As you are aware, the entire reason that net neutrality became a topic was Comcast's 2008 ruling where it was shown they were throttling services/speeds. If you deregulate, there is no reason to think this will not happen again. Individual rights to information and communication are magnitudes more important than corporate interests. Removing these protections would be tantamount to removing the right to free speech from the Bill of Rights and claiming that it was unlikely anyone would restrain free speech. Please do not make this terrible mistake. Remember your founding mission. Protect our rights, not corporate interests. Do not end Net Neutrality and continue to apply Title II to the internet.
318. Tondal Delaney, Thornton, CO, 80241
Don't kill net neutrality you fucking dicks
319. J.F. Hodges, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I am in extreme support of Net Neutrality under Title II.
320. Richard Beard, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Please keep our internet free. Allowing large corporations to dictate what form our internet takes destroys its very foundations. Prioritizing certain sites over others, selling private information for profit and doing so without any form of consent are a dangerous precedent to set in any form, especially when U.S. consumers are so limited in their broadband choices. Please allow people to be free of worry for their privacy while on the internet. It is more essential in a wide variety of our everyday lives and will continue to be so so keep it free from corporate corruption
321. Angela Nunes, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I want you to continue preventing internet service providers from favoring certain sites by intentionally speeding or slowing down user access.
322. Brent Pivnik, Northglenn, CO, 80241
Net neutrality is critical to all who use the internet, from small business owners to students, teachers, researchers, and scientist like myself. We cannot allow corporations to choose how access and information is distributed and at what speeds.

Without net neutrality, there's nothing stopping a big corporation from choking access to a smaller corporation's website. There's no fail-safe to protect news and accurate information from being oppressed by companies with opposing objectives. Title II is imperative to one of this county's and the world's greatest freedom. We can't allow companies that utilized net neutrality to build themselves up to take it away from those seeking to use that very same utility.

323. Terra Ray, Golden, CO, 80401
I support strong Net Neutrality rulings, ISP's should not be able to assign internet speeds based on how much money that company pays them. Please please please do not let money grubbing and greed ruin one of the single best pieces of human progress to come out of our age.
324. Chris Sorauf, Arvada, CO, 80004
I strongly support Net Neutrality and should be governed under Title 2. Thank You
325. Teri King, Arvada, CO, 80003
Please keep the regulations on net neutrality under Title II. All apps and websites and businesses should have equal access to internet speeds.
326. Robert DiMarzio, Thornton, CO, 80241
Preserver net neutrality and Title II
327. John Simpson, Golden, CO, 80403
keeping the internet fair for smaller companies and individual is one of the largest if not the largest issue facing our country today. The internet is the only place on earth where creators of any size or notoriety have the ability to distribute their work to a massive audience without a massive investment. rolling back net neutrality regulations will be disastrous for all internet communities and Americans' faith in the government and the FCC.
328. besrat haile, Denver, CO, 80022
The internet MUST remain neutral. The current restrictions on ISP's in Title II are needed. Money will always do the talking and without the current restrictions, the siren call of money will pull ISP's happily back into the realm of favoritism to whoever pays them the most to "be fair and impartial". Please do not confuse Internet freedom with internet opportunism for those with the most money to throw at it. Vote against this, please.
329. Michaela Clemens, Commerce City, CO, 80022
I support Net neutrality under Title 2 oversight.
330. Matt Burnett, Denver, CO, 80214
I deeply support strong net neutrality. Please. Good lord. Keep title 2 alive. Do not allow corrupt companies to taint our beautiful, beautiful place of internet expression. I am OPPOSED to docket No. 17-108.
331. Mary Ellen Cammilleri, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Please keep the Internet's net neutrality rules strong. It is important that net neutrality be backed by the Title II rules in order to protect internet users. Keep net neutrality strong. Thank you.
332. Alex Lessenger, Golden, CO, 80401
I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. The United Nations declared that internet access is a basic human right in 2011, and it should therefore be illegal in the United States for corporations to limit access to the internet for financial gain.
333. Thomas Hoffman, Golden, CO, 80401
Keep the internet neutrality as it is now. We went thru this a few years back - do not change it
334. Mary Range, Arvada, CO, 80003
Net neutrality is imperative as is Title II oversight of all ISPS. The government needs to protect the rights and interests of the public instead of corporations.
335. john harb, Golden, CO, 80401
I support strong net neutrality rules. Please do not weaken them.
336. conner caddigan, Golden, CO, 80403
I personally support Strong net neutrality where it's under title 2 in legal classifications
337. Jeremy Ruppert, lakewood, CO, 80226
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight, and Ajit's cup is lame.
338. Christian Hauser, Lakewood, CO, 80214
I would like ISP's to continue to be regulated under title 2 regulations for net neutrality

339. Berny Sanders Wouldn't Of Put Up With This., Arvada, CO, 80005
Dear Commissioner,I feel like intentionally lying to people with the position you hold should get you fired. What you say and do is intentionally corrupting an already considerably corrupt system of governing economics. I feel like if you used your spine to stand up against corruption instead of supporting it, you would still find plenty of money to afford novelty mug reasons for people to secretly hate you. What's even more mind blowing is if you just simply helped stand up for American values, it'ded make things better for everyone, and you could be included with everyone.
340. Net Neutrality, Arvada, CO, 80007
I support preservation of net neutrality and title two.
341. Rithana Son, Golden, CO, 80401
Quit trying to take away the freedoms of the internet for money. That's a false profit.
342. Adam Clatterbuck, Edgewater, CO, 80214
Leave our internet neutrality alone. Allowing companies to even have the opportunity to throttle speeds based on favoritism is unethical and will hurt the individual.Do your research before proposing this change!
343. Nick Peceniak, Westminster, CO, 80234
We need strong net neutrality and companies like Comcast and Verizon should not have the power to restrict the internet in any way. The internet should be treated as a utility and a right not a tool for making as much money as possible for huge corporations. Anything less than a fully free and neutral internet is a complete disgrace.
344. cory zentner, Arvada, CO, 80003
PROTECT OUR RIGHTS AND PROTECT OUR INTERNET. This is ridiculous that we all must come together yet again to fight for something we have already have had passed.
345. Charles K. Davis, Lakewood, CO, 80214
Net Neutrality is critical to the new economy. Reducing it would be the equivalent of only allowing certain fast food restaurants or gas stations at interstate exits. It simply restricts competition. It is un-American..
346. Paul Deibert, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I am for a completely open Internet. No one comoany should be able to control, or be favored when it comes to what i wish to view.
347. Daniel Beckman, Westminster, CO, 80021
Keep Net neutrality in place, and on another note, stop giving our browsing history away to ISPs. I pay for my ISP. If they want my browsing history they can either offer service that isn't 15th in the world, provide free search engines and networking that don't look like they were coded by a freshman CS student, or pay me back the subscription they charge me for.
348. Craig Smith, Westminster, CO, 80031
Leave Net Neutrality alone. This should be left under Title II.
349. Peter pham, lakewood, CO, 80226
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I AM OPPOSED TO DOCKET No. 17-108. I REPEAT, I AM OPPOSED TO DOCKET No. 17-108.
350. Thomas Knapp, Arvada, CO, 80002
ISP's should be kept under Title II. It is my choice what I consume on the internet and my choice should not be interfered with by the profit motives of companies who consistently find new ways to extract pain from their customers.Leave Net Neutrality alone!
351. 17-108, Northglenn, CO, 80233
I unconditionally support the preservation of net neutrality.
352. Andrew Tatum, Golden, CO, 80403
I strongly support net neutrality. Please reconsider any changes to current FCC rules regarding net neutrality.
353. Jered Weber, Thornton, CO, 80260
Please leave Title II protections that ensure net neutrality in place. The internet is a vital resource for many people, nonprofits and startup companies. Allowing ISPs the freedom to pick winners and losers in not acceptable and should be avoided at all cost.
354. nick galbraith, Thornton, CO, 80233
I support strong net neutrality protected by TITLE 2 classification for ISP's. Do not compromise the interests of the American people for a few wealthy businesses. They are doing just fine they do not need your help.

355. Sydney Beltz, Arvada, CO, 80005
Net Neutrality is not something that should even be up for debate. It stays as Title II. You should know by now that Americans will not allow this because a free and open internet is vital to our entire society.
356. Kevin Weinreich, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I support net neutrality backed by title II
357. G Hayden Swisher, Northglenn, CO, 80233
I oppose the current proposal to remove internet service providers (ISPs) from their status as Title II entities subject to regulation by the FCC in the strongest terms. Net neutrality is critical and must be protected. Using the internet is not a privilege and simply "choosing to not use" it if you don't like what the ISPs provide is both absurd and offensive. Worse, because the internet controls how people learn about current issues, search for products to purchase and to entertain themselves, any efforts by ISPs or other entities to manipulate that stream could have an immediate impact on the free choices and thoughts of millions of people. This is an unacceptable cost just so that billion dollar companies can increase their profits even further. Congress lacks the competency in its current state to reliably pass even a party line bill; I have zero faith at all that a community of old men who barely understand what the internet is will successfully protect it from ISPs seeking to profit from their ignorance should this move succeed. Even if the current Congress somehow did pull off a miracle and pass useful legislation to regulate the ISPs, ISPs do not change leadership every 2 years and would certainly benefit from shifting political winds as long as they keep donating to the winning parties. It is a direct conflict of interest to allow the ISPs to buy the person they will negotiate with to determine what they can and cannot do. Comcast, CenturyLink, and their ilk compete in name only as it is already. Were they allowed to do so they would immediately stop playing fair with competitor products and services. In contrast to claims that have already been made Verizon and others have already defeated the FCC's attempt to regulate them as title I entities. They MUST remain as title II entities for the FCC to have any authority over them AT ALL. I respectfully request that this move to destroy net neutrality must be blocked at all costs by any who read this petition. Please do not betray the people depending on you.
358. Michael Ray Randolph, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I am very firmly, forcefully in favor of retention of Net Neutrality rules as they stand today. As a person within the IT industry since the 1980's and as an early pioneer on the internet, I'm deeply familiar with its culture, its history, its significance. These changes that are being proposed are "a massive plan hatched in Washington," that has no actual ability to help anyone. Ajit clearly does not understand the history here, nor the core culture of our beloved internet. Economic arguments made by Ajit are just purely false and he should be ashamed.
359. Jessica Nall, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Net neutrality under the current regulations helps keep the internet open and functioning. If the regulations are rolled back to Title I, and we truly have our ISPs but their commitment in the T&Cs, we will have a half broken internet. The way the internet has functioned for the last few years is what allows many to do their business, loved ones to keep in touch with one another, and has allowed things like Netflix and Hulu to become job providers. If ISPs have the ability to simply limit something because they can and aren't regulated, what is to stop them from limiting my opinion because it differs from theirs? Keep the internet weird by keeping ISPs regulated under Title II.
360. Susanne Pilla, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Your position on net neutrality is laughable. We should simply "trust" that ISPs will promise to be fair about internet traffic? The more regulation you have on something, the less you get of it? If that's the case, frankly, that's fine with me. I prefer my prescription drugs not to kill me. I prefer my tap water without toxins. AND, I strongly disagree that we should leave it in the hands of corporations driven by short term profit motives to regulate themselves. In 2014, your former employers even admitted in their shareholder conference call that Title II oversight would have no impact on their infrastructure investment. I EXPRESSLY, clearly, unequivocally support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
361. j, Arvada, CO, 80002
I support net neutrality. You're Reese's mug is garbage.
362. Brenda Gallegos, Thornton, CO, 80241
The Internet must remain an open and un-manipulated forum to ensure Americans have access to unfiltered and un-manipulated information. We cannot allow corporations to control the narrative. Doing so completely undermines having an informed electorate, which is essential to a functioning Democracy. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. The Internet should be treated as a utility and available to anyone without restriction.
363. Levi, Golden, CO, 80401
Keep net neutrality and keep the way the FCC classifies ISP as Title 2. Trump sucks eggs.
364. Brandon Neill, Arvada, CO, 80003
Keep the internet on Title 2, According to Verizon's own investor call, Title 2 did not affect their infrastructure rollout. There are many examples of internet service providers manipulating users access to the internet, if you change title 2 you are showing that you only care about large companies and not end users. I support Strong net neutrality backed by title 2.

365. Joseph King, Lakewood, CO, 80232
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please keep the Internet with equal access for all.
366. Conner Rogers, Golden, CO, 80401
I support the protection of net neutrality through Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you.
367. Victoria RImmey, Arvada, CO, 80003
Net neutrality backed by Title II is vital to American prosperity and freedom! Please keep the internet free and open.
368. Katy Irani, Golden, CO, 80401
Net Neutrality is imperative to our freedom!! Please do not let it be affected by corporations. They control our lives too much already!
369. Daniel Newman, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I am adamantly opposed to Docket No. 17-108, and strongly in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Net Neutrality is what has allowed the internet, and the world, to grow and mature as much as it has in the past 20 years. Please do not sell out the integrity of the internet and keep it neutral!!
370. Bret Adams, Thornton, CO, 80233
Net neutrality is extremely important to the free and open society that we enjoy in the United States. Internet Service Providers are not motivated to police themselves, and instead are motivated to increase market share at all costs. I pay for internet access, not *abridged* internet access. If past is prologue ISPs and major media companies will - at the first opportunity - promote their own services at the direct expense of others. That is, they will limit speeds and access to non-self branded services, and thus make it more difficult and/or costly for new services to enter the marketplace. I find it prima facie unacceptable that the FCC even contemplate reversing the inept net neutrality rules which are in place currently. Many of the companies which own the backbone of the internet (Verizon and AT&T to name two) also have divisions involved with telecom. We have seen many examples of anti-competitive, and importantly anti-CONSUMER, practices by these corporations. For example, by allowing their own video-streaming services to be exempt from home data caps (for ISPs) and exempt from cellular data limits (for telecom), Verizon, AT&T, and even T-Mobile dissuade consumers from using competitive video-streaming services. In 2007-2009, AT&T forced Apple to prevent Skype and other Voice-Over-IP (VOIP) services from being able to place calls on devices (e.g., iPhones) that the consumer purchases and owns, and which consumers are attempting to use with a service which they pay for. This is an early example of what LACKING net neutrality leads to, and we have seen other examples in the ensuing years. By weakening net neutrality rules further, these practices show every sign of continuing and worsening. These practices directly disadvantage start-ups and other new services from fairly competing (by disallowing preferred access, or attaching a cost which is burdensome), which is counter to our capitalist society's principles. Major ISPs are attempting to set up a bifurcated internet access which they are in control over winners and losers. I strongly disagree with Ajit Pai's summary dismissal of net neutrality as an important aspect of a free and open internet, and summarily reject Mr. Pai's contention that doing away with net neutrality could possibly be perceived as improving internet access. His rhetoric appears to be simple propaganda evidenced by the magnitude of it's inaccuracy and incongruity with reality. He is a former Verizon lawyer and his actions coupled with this fact make his positions dubious at the least. Please, for the sake of the free and open internet which we have enjoyed more-or-less since its inception, do not reverse net neutrality rules. In fact, I implore the FCC to work harder to bolster net neutrality rules to ensure that anti-competitive and anti-consumer practices cannot continue.
371. Sean Fahey, Lakewood, CO, 80232
Do not allow internet providers to reduce or increase internet speeds for companies, organizations, groups or others who either they disagree or agree with. Regulations must be enacted to keep the internet neutral in all aspects.
372. Leora Rothenberg, Golden, CO, 80401
Please help us by supporting strong net neutrality. This is how the internet was created -- this is how the internet works. Changing the internet into any other commercial zone ruins the whole beauty of the internet. Also, we need Title II oversight of ISPs. I don't know who you get your cable and internet from, but they aren't the most helpful or trustworthy of companies.
373. Ryan Hasse, Golden, CO, 80403
I support strong net neutrality regulation and Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Not imposing strong net neutrality regulation would devastate small business.
374. Anthony Montoya, Thornton, CO, 80233
Given your position, and the organization for which you stand, you must protect the interest of consumers before corporations. While you, Mr. Pai, may see corporate interest as non-threatening, I see a history of abuse. Something a former lawyer of a major corporation would be well aware of. Perform your duties as charged, or relieve yourself of your position. Perform the duties of your office and your organization.
375. Gregory Deemer, Thornton, CO, 80602
I support strong net neutrality regulations and it should be kept in Title II. The arguments against is simply to create more business profits by them selling preferred access or blocking competitive access.

376. Craig Campbell, arvada, CO, 80003
I do not support 17-108. I am in strong support for net neutrality and believe eliminating net neutrality will severely hurt America in the future. Please do not eliminate net neutrality.
377. David Hanagan, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Given the increasing importance of the internet in our lives, not only now and in the future, strong defense of Net Neutrality under Title 2 of the Communications Act is essential. Every transaction, every piece of communication, and every piece of media we consume will somehow involve the internet in one way or another. In order for our democracy to continue in the future, net neutrality is of supreme importance. Ajit Pai is fooling himself if he thinks that ISP's can self-regulate. Their only responsibility is to their shareholders and to make money. They do not care about our future nor our democracy. We must take action now and maintain this critical safeguard of freedom of expression and information.
378. Colin McCarthy, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II regulations.Thank you.
379. Michael Gremley, Arvada, CO, 80007
I strongly support keeping Net Neutrality governed under Title 2. The ramifications for abuse is too strong and enforcement options are needed to keep the powerful telecom companies honest.
380. Meggan Lloyd, Westminster, CO, 80021
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title Two oversight of ISPs. It is critical to hold ISPs accountable and not limit content based on source, blocking products, or or websites.
381. Ava Bundren, Arvada, CO, 80004
Preserve net neutrality through Title II regulations.
382. Gabriel Fallon, Arvada, CO, 80004
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. This is of the utmost importance, and you know it.
383. JoAnne Huie, Golden, CO, 80401
Please keep the internet open and free. Net neutrality safeguards free speech, which is one of the core values of America.
384. Sean Scanlan, thornnton, CO, 80241
Do Not get rid of net neutrality.
385. Christopher Guttormsson, Westminster, CO, 80031
The Internet is now, in many respects, the primary communication medium of the world. The United Nations has classified access to it as a human right. Since it has become so ubiquitous and necessary to the lives of all Americans, it is important that we hold it to a standard of complete neutrality. Giving Internet Service Providers legal avenues to exploit users of the Internet is simply unacceptable. We must make it impossible for them to do so. Therefore, I implore the FCC to uphold the Title II classification of ISPs.
386. Judy Sorgenfrei, Golden, CO, 80401
I support the preservation of NET NEUTRALITY under Title II!
387. Ashley Glass, Northglenn, CO, 80233
Keep the current classification of ISPs intact. Uphold net neutrality!
388. Tyrel Hartman, Denver, CO, 80229
Please preserve Net Neutrality and support public interest over corporate interest.
389. Aaron, Thornton, CO, 80241
I would like to join many more who have come to the FCC to express their support for Net Neutrality and retaining the Title II classification of ISPs.
390. Zachary Marchetti, thornnton, CO, 80229
keep net neutrality with strong title II regulations. its imperative this remains in effect.
391. Andre Geertsema, Northglenn, CO, 80233
I am against government regulation. But once the government has started regulating, it had better make sure its regulations are fair. In the majority of the United States, by the FCC definition of broadband, there is a government supported monopoly on broadband. Net neutrality is necessary the keep those monopolies honest. Please don't repeal it.
392. Elaine Rose, Westminster, CO, 80234
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. If companies will keep the internet open and refrain from throttling access for profit, they have nothing to fear. If they do, the American people need Title II in order to stop them.Also - BAD FORM requiring a user hit the enter key to fill out this form to provide comments. That doesn't work on mobile devices. Stop making it more

difficult to provide feedback!

393. Bruce S. Budy, Westminster, CO, 80031
Please continue the efforts toward "net neutrality" as framed in Title II. Thank you!
394. Michelle Medrano, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. Please!!
395. Siegfried Mundhenke, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Preserve Internet neutrality and Title II.
396. Denise Spencer, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Keep Net Neutrality. Do not deregulate internet providers. I disagree with the FCC chair's plan to change the status of the internet and his idea of freedom of speech.
397. Brad Smith, Thornton, CO, 80260
Say no to Net Neutrality
398. Nolan Taylor, Arvada, CO, 80002
I support strong net neutrality I am opposed docket no. 17-108
399. Susan Kite, Northglenn, CO, 80233
Please keep net neutrality & Title I I for the sake of all users
400. Lillian LaRae Redford, Westminster, CO, 80021
Please don't let the greedy take away free choice on the internet. The internet is a wonderful place where people can meet on somewhat level ground, but small businesses who can't afford to pay for preferential treatment will be sorely effected by this.
401. net neutrality, Arvada, CO, 80003
The FCC needs to keep net neutrality and title 2.
402. John Conley, Westminster, CO, 80234
I support strong net neutrality rules and ask that preserve it along with Title II.
403. Sheryl Harrington, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I would like to express my support for the preservation of Net Neutrality with strong title II rules. Thank you!
404. Chris Shenk, Golden, CO, 80401
The world needs net neutrality. Let's set an example. As a kid, the internet budding into existence, teachers would give us special internet privileges. Even then, you could see it on their faces, an endless encyclopedia of knowledge. You buy a domain and you are free to put up what you want, and not have access hindered by external forces. People need the internet today. People need a level playing field for access to information, without having something's self interests destroy neutrality. The other country that comes to mind that doesn't have neutrality on the internet, North Korea. The "internet" they have access too, isn't doing anyone any good. I don't wanna be more like North Korea.
405. Jesse M, Denver, CO, 80221
I am for strong net neutrality through title II.
406. Cindy, Thornton, CO, 80260
Please don't stop Title II. Big Companies will hurt Little Companies. Please keep Title II.
407. Elaine Rose, Westminster, CO, 80234
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. If companies will keep the internet open and refrain from throttling access for profit, they have nothing to fear. If they do, the American people need Title II in order to stop them. Also - BAD FORM requiring a user hit the enter key to provide comments. That doesn't work on mobile devices. Fix your form so that people can provide feedback easily!
408. Michael Noel Gray, Westminster, CO, 80021
I am in support of strong net neutrality rules under the existing title II protections. I do not believe that internet providers should have the ability to impede my access to the internet, which is a public service, for any reason. Certainly not to provide preferential access to proprietary content or the content of companies who are willing to pay for preferential access.
409. Rachel Egan, Denver, CO, 80221
Please keep net neutrality intact by keeping ISP (internet service providers) classified under Title II. I believe the internet today is like a utility and so it should be regulated like one. Electric companies provide the same electricity to every household, and every household pays the same price for it no matter who you are or what you are using it for. Internet service should follow the same model: the same internet speeds no matter what website is being accessed and no matter what

person is accessing it. For those people who oppose this because they believe regulation inhibits a free market, I would ask you to support keeping Title II classification of ISP's intact in order to preserve the internet as a truly free marketplace of ideas and information. In order to keep the information free market of the internet truly free, no one should be able to restrict your access to certain things based on their motivations. Telecom companies have yet to confirm that this regulation would impede or disincentivize investments in improved infrastructure, too. I just can't think of any reason to reduce net neutrality protections other than to provide a new revenue stream for ISP's when they start charging content producers to improve speed and accessibility of their content. Thank you.

410. Brian Bliss, Thornton, CO, 80260
Internet service providers need to continue to operate as title 2. As the internet has grown in relevance and popularity, it has shown that content distribution and routing can be manipulated to benefit one group over another. This is unacceptable to have a restriction on information where the platforms greatest strengths are freedom and communication. Allowing companies to decide ultimately how internet traffic is shaped will eventually be abused if there are not regulations in place to ensure fairness across the spectrum of traffic.
411. David Svoboda, Lakewood, CO, 80214
I support a free and open internet. Preserve the FCC and Title II for Internet Service Providers. Thank you.
412. erik, Thornton, CO, 80229
Keep net neutrality strong backed by title II.
413. Stacy Ester, Lakewood, CO, 80214
In favor of preserving net neutrality and Title II
414. Kendall Bryan Reece, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isps
415. Tara, Arvada, CO, 80003
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of isps.
416. Jeremy Brett Lovato, ARVADA, CO, 80005
I am 100% against Proceeding 17-108.
417. Joseph Kribs, Arvada, CO, 80002
Do NOT repeal the Obama era Net Neutrality. Do not get rid of Title II. This should go without saying...
418. Emily Gray, Westminster, CO, 80021
I support strong net neutrality under Title 2 protections. I believe there should be open and equal access to ALL internet content.
419. James Montgomery, Westminster, CO, 80234
I support the net neutrality rules passed under the Obama administration. The Internet should remain free, open and equal to all. The new rules (proposed by Chairman Ajit Pai) would create an opening for certain parties to manipulate the accessibility of content by others. Whether these parties would choose to act this way or not is irrelevant. By writing into law the ability to do so is itself a violation of the principals of free speech and thus a violation of the US Constitution.
420. Xavier Corr, Thornton, CO, 80241
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs; I am in strong opposition to Docket No. 17-108!
421. Jacob Sutton, Lakewood, CO, 80226
We have a right to privacy that has already been broken by our government. I don't see a point to allowing it to continue any further that is beneficial to anyone except big corporations
422. Matthew Cedar, Arvada, CO, 80002
Please preserve the current Net Neutrality laws. These laws are vital to ensuring that the internet remains a fair and open environment for websites and companies of all sizes. Thank you for your time.
423. T, Thornton, CO, 80602
I support Net Neutrality, under Title II. A free and open Internet is vital.
424. Benjamin Weingarten, Golden, CO, 80401
I distinctly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Any less allows the businesses the overwhelming power to dictate the terms of internet service with no recompense for favoritism or other abuses specifically denied by internet being classified as a Title II service. Allowing such abuses is strictly and brazenly anti-consumer, and I do not support that relaxing of standards.

425. Jonathan Aschwanden, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Net Neutrality clearly represents the culture of American freedom and the ability for everyone to have a fair voice as well as the ability to succeed, and for oligarchs and big corporate entities to not have excessive influence over information, and The worlds most important resource - data.
426. Mario Cart, Denver, CO, 80229
Keep the internet neutral. Keep ISPs in title 2. Do not go back to title 1 for ISPs.
427. Joanna Merrill, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs!! Taking a "weed wacker" to the legislation would be the equivalent of taking a weed wacker to regulations against Monopolies. Please, DO NOT take these regulations away.
428. Alexander Mohr, Westminster, CO, 80021
Net Neutrality is a necessity above all else in this age of big data and data transmission. Removing it is just another way for large companies to shut out smaller start ups from having a chance to compete in the marketplace and that is unacceptable.
429. Emily Shives, Arvada, CO, 80007
I specifically support strong net neutrality regulations under Title 2 for ISPs.
430. Jordan Javelet, Arvada, CO, 80005
Internet service providers should not be allowed to exploit internet users in any way, and deregulating policies that uphold net neutrality will undoubtedly lead to exploitation. It is incredibly important that we uphold net neutrality because it is ridiculous to think that corporations could pay money to skew the way my internet access works, and it is frankly unacceptable. We live in a world where internet access is necessary for everyone, and as a teacher, I know that my students use the internet every day for their learning. This learning could be vastly manipulated if net neutrality were dismantled, and I already live in a country where learning is skewed by politics and the entire way the school system is funded. The idea that the internet could also become a place where money determines access is absolutely unacceptable.
431. Charles allen, Golden, CO, 80403
Please keep net neutrality. Do not allow ISPs to throttle back on different feeds.
432. Wendy Weiss, Arvada, CO, 80003
Keep the Internet open and fair. Don't let this be one more domino in the cascade to enrich the few to the detriment of the many.
433. Sarah Giammaria, Arvada, CO, 80005
Please ensure the continuation of net neutrality by regulating ISPs under the guidelines of Title II, outlined in the Communications Act. Net Neutrality is far too important to put it in the hands of the ISPs alone, and rely on their "good will" to keep neutrality in tact.
434. Angela Hopkins, Northglenn, CO, 80233
The internet should absolutely NOT be deregulated. I should be able to make the decision myself, as to what search engines or otherwise that I want to use, regardless of what internet I use. As an attorney who know much about contracts, the idea that a service agreement will give customers any protection is foolish, given that a standard clause indicates that the service provider may change the terms at their convenience.
435. Edward John Erickson, Northglenn, CO, 80233
Net neutrality is absolutely vital for freedom of speech. Getting rid of Obama-era neutrality rules will allow ISPs to throttle the bandwidth of any domain that competes with them, or disagrees with them. We will see an effective cessation of internet competition both commercially and ideologically. That is simply unacceptable and goes against everything America stands for. The FCC absolutely must not repeal these net neutrality rules in any way, shape, or form.
436. Patricia Gabriella, Westminster, CO, 80031
I am writing to request that net neutrality and Title 2 be preserved. These companies do not need more power, nor do they need to have any further advantages over their competitors. This will directly affect me. I want choice and that means equality to all using the internet.
437. Michael McKee, Golden, CO, 80401
I am in favor of preserving net neutrality. The internet is one of humanity's greatest achievements. It must remain open for democracy and a true free market to succeed in the reality it brings to our social, economic, and working lives.
438. JESSE S WENTKER, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I support Net Neutrality. The Trump administration will not get away with this. Also, your mug is fucking stupid. Stop.
439. Patrick Yambrick, Arvada, CO, 80003
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs! We saw in Reconstruction era Ohio and

Pennsylvania how Rockefeller was able to so adeptly manipulate the railroads and subsequently leverage this manipulative control to his advantage, effectively crushing any hope of competition in the oil refining industry and resulting in the Interstate Commerce Act of 1887. Of course, this act was passed specifically with railroads in mind, but what is the internet but a digital railroad carrying information anyway?

440. John Ferron, Westminster, CO, 80031
Do not repeal the title 2 restrictions for ISP's and keep net neutrality in place. The internet should remain open.
441. Florence Olson, Golden, CO, 80401
preserve net neutrality. keep title II alive.
442. Aaron Phillips, Commerce City, CO, 80022
Please keep net neutrality strong with title 2 regulations.
443. Tyler Harrell, Northglenn, CO, 80233
Preserve net neutrality and title-II
444. Roy G Olson, Golden, CO, 80401
preserve net neutrality. preserve Title II
445. Carrie Briggs, Westminster, CO, 80031
keep strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II !
446. Matthew D. King, Lakewood, CO, 80232
Don't repeal title II FCC regulations which exist to preserve net neutrality. I do not want ISPs dictating what content they serve to us. The consumer should be allowed the choice as to what content is on their computers and TVs. Repealing title II would be a huge win for the big telecoms at the expense of the consumer. Keep the internet open and free!!! I support net neutrality!
447. Erick Tobias, Westminster, CO, 80030
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality rules. I do not support any loosening of regulations for ISPs regarding how content may be distributed to consumers. The issue is rife with conflicts of interest and moral hazard for ISPs whose parent companies have ANY stake whatsoever in also producing content for end users. Mr. Pai seemingly refuses to admit any possible conflicts of interest; this dismissal of economic reality is short-sighted and will ultimately result in a undoubtedly worse internet experience for all consumers if allowed to move forward.
448. Dan Lawrence, Thornton, CO, 80241
We specifically support strong net neutrality. Title 2 oversight of ISP
449. Charles Meyers, Arvada, CO, 80002
I support strong net neutrality. Keep Title II oversight of ISPs.
450. Megan Geyer, Thornton, CO, 80233
We strongly support Net Neutrality. Please keep ISPs regulated under Title II so that the Internet stays free and open to everyone. Thank you.
451. Zachary Margolin, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of the ISPs!!!!!!!!!!
452. Joseph Yohn, Lakewood, CO, 80214
Please keep the title II classification and protect the concept of network neutrality! PLEASE.--Joe Yohn
453. Mike Bannister, Golden, CO, 80401
I support net neutrality and strongly request that the FCC continues to regulate ISPs under Title II. Equal access to the internet by all is critical to the well being of our country, economy, society, and humanity as a whole. Thank you.
454. Meghan Greene, Westminster, CO, 80031
Restore net neutrality and title II
455. net neutrality, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Keeping the net neutral is vital to keeping the internet an open and free market for information and business.
456. Steve Forde, Arvada, CO, 80005
Net neutrality is critical in our society and allowing ISP to control access for profit or social manipulation can not be allowed. This change should not be allowed in our democracy.
457. Susan Kural, Lakewood, CO, 80226

Preserve net neutrality and title II rules for ISPs.Thank you

458. internet, Arvada, CO, 80003
I'm a very strong support of strong net neutrality rules, and I believe that the FCC would be mad to erase them
459. Aleta Bowers, Lakewood, CO, 80232
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II and maintain the principle that all internet traffic should be treated equally.
460. Nicole Hernandez, Westminster, CO, 80021
Please leave ISP governing laws under Title II. We need our internet service to remain unbiased and fair.
461. Jackson Johnstone, Arvada, CO, 80005
Hey my man. I enjoy watching movies from multiple sources and it would really suck if one was slower than a snail after thanksgiving. So please support net neutrality and regulation under Title 2.
462. Dustina Reed, Arvada, CO, 80005
Net neutrality should be a right for all.
463. Noel Ellerbe, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Please preserve net neutrality, it's only fair! Free speech would go to the highest bidder. The internet would completely change for the worst if this happens!
464. jennifer mills, Westminster, CO, 80031
Keep current net neutrality regulations in place. I strongly disagree with any attempt by the FCC to dismantle these regulations.
465. Grace Chambers, Arvada, CO, 80005
Please maintain current strong net neutrality regulations in accordance with current usage of Title II.
466. Christian Sibbo, Lakewood, CO, 80214
Stating that ISPs need no regulation because they've never abused a lack of regulation in the past is not only a completely useless excuse; it's demonstrably false. You know it is false and you know that we know it is false. The open flow of information across the Internet is the bedrock of our modern society and will determine the prosperity of the future. You're literally harming the future of our species by allowing your monetary interests to dictate how information should be accessed. Even this very website was written using software that only exists because of the neutrality of the Internet. Bootstrap, Google fonts, angular-js, Font Awesome, Moment.js... Even the server that hosts this site is likely running FOSS up the entire stack from operating system to mail server. If small players without the capital of giant corporations can't fairly compete in the marketplace of ideas that is the Internet, everyone who is or will be born will be poorer for it. And it will be no one's fault but your own.
467. Peggy Morgan, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I support the preservation of net neutrality and believe it must be backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
468. Christine Dunning, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I'm writing to protest any proposed changes that would change net neutrality. A free and equitable internet is necessary for small businesses, educational institutions, and frankly, everyone else.
469. Jon Fulton, Westminster, CO, 80031
Chairman Pai needs to worry less about protecting Verizon, and more about the American people. Net Neutrality is needed, and he needs to stop shilling.
470. Abbey Esbenson, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Please continue support for Obama era net neutrality by keeping ISPs as title II companies.
471. Robert Garcia, Westminster, CO, 80234
I strongly support net neutrality and the title 2 provision.
472. Steven Lake, Westminster, CO, 80021
Attn: Ajit Pai, I support robust net neutrality backed by the enforcement backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's
473. Erin Zimmer, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I believe net neutrality is fundamental to free information access, and that it should remain in place through Title II oversight of ISPs. Please take all actions necessary to protect and retain net neutrality.
474. Corey Crawford, Golden, CO, 80401
It is important that ISP stay regulated under Title II. Please do not change this regulation as it is important for all citizens to

have equal access to *all* content providers.

475. Ashley Read, Westminster, CO, 80021
Please keep strong Title II net neutrality rule! I support net neutrality!
476. Laurel Fulton, Lakewood, CO, 80214
Protect net neutrality and preserve Title 2 protections. Do not give ISPs the ability to restrict or slow access to data.
477. Vanessa Logsdon, Golden, CO, 80401
I support Net Neutrality. Please protect us from corporations who would love to reduce or increase net speeds to sites that profit them.
478. Blake Salter, Brighton, CO, 80603
I support strong net neutrality laws and Title 2. We can not allow ISPs to manipulate the content available on the internet.
479. Robert White, Arvada, CO, 80403
Keep net neutrality strong and support a strong title 2.
480. Craig Anthony, Thornton, CO, 80241
Please maintain net neutrality and preserve it under title 1. And respectfully consider firing that verizon lawyer that now heads up the FCC.
481. Andrea Dezendorf, Lakewood, CO, 80214
I strongly support net neutrality and want you to preserve title II. Thank you.
482. Ascary Morera, Westminster, CO, 80031
We want strong net neutrality
483. Daniel O Jimenez, Thornton, CO, 80229
Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Additionally this new form of comment is stifling to public input and I want to request it be changed to allow easier comment in the future. Thank you
gofccyourself.com
484. Brian Murphy, Broomfield, CO, 80020
Net neutrality is essential to the continued prosperity of our nation and the encouragement of new and innovative businesses providing both superior products and increased employment for our citizens. Please keep the policy of net neutrality.
485. Holly Anthony, Thornton, CO, 80241
Please support net neutrality! We need freedom!
486. jaime richards, Lakewood, CO, 80227
Please please please preserve net neutrality by preserving title II regulations!
487. Devon Hawkins, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I DO NOT want Net Neutrality rules changed from Title 2. The idea that corporations will abide by any non-binding agreements is SILLY. Terms of Service mean nothing are are changed at the whim of the corporation. I vote. I vote. I vote in every election and at every level of government.
488. Adam, Westminster, CO, 80031
I believe internet neutrality must be protected under Title II, and that the FCC should not cower to Verizon by Proceeding with these changes.
489. Douglas Pintar, Thornton, CO, 80260
I support Title II regulation of ISPs. The move to place them under Title II was NOT any kind of political power grab, but a serious effort to rein in rampant abuses of net neutrality by providers like T Mobile and your chairman's own Verizon. Any attempt to change this is truly a blatant power grab and you should all be ashamed of yourselves. Mr Pai, take your weed whacker elsewhere!
490. Jeremiah DiGennaro, Thornton, CO, 80260
Hello I just wanted to voice my support in maintaining Net Neutrality. I feel with almost all citizens in this country using the internet for work, commerce, entertainment, or to connect with family and friends it has become a necessity and a cornerstone of life. Therefore, internet providers should not dictate what American citizens should access on the internet nor should they be allowed to vary the speed.
491. Brian Richards, Lakewood, CO, 80227
PLEASE PLEASE PLEASE support title 2 enforcement to preserve net neutrality protections. This is too important to not get right! Ajit Pai left to his own devices could ruin this forever! PLEASE! Our democracy depends on it.

492. Richard Sharp, Arvada, CO, 80005
It is in the best interest of not only our economy, but our society as a whole, to allow unfettered access to all of the content and knowledge available on the internet. Allowing ISPs and other companies to create premium "fast lanes" is unjust and unfairly adds an additional burden on our poorer and less connected citizens. As a web developer myself, unrestricted internet access is vital to my business, and to my clients.
493. Nathan Chinn, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Title 2 classification for ISP is the only way to protect the freedom of information provided by fair Internet access.
494. Michael Horn, Thornton, CO, 80229
Keep the internet free for everyone, not just those with bags of money.
495. John Vance, Edgewater, CO, 80214
I not only strongly support, but demand net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.
496. A. Scott, Denver, CO, 80214
Keep the Net neutral. Keep Title II classification for ISPs. Be a decent human.
497. Forrest martin, Lakewood, CO, 80232
I support strict and strong net neutrality under title 2
498. Daniel Lessenden, Thornton, CO, 80260
I support strong protection of net neutrality backed by title two oversight for ISPs
499. kathryn breaker e, Golden, CO, 80401
Keep net neutrality. Do not allow Internet Service Providers to limit or manipulate my internet service.
500. Jon Buhrman, Denver, CO, 80024
Please maintain net neutrality under the guidance of Title 2. There is no reason ISP should have the power to determine who gets access to what and at what speed
501. Rev. Robert Brzezinski, Arvada, CO, 80004
I strongly support NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title II over site of ISPs I Implore you to stand up for the people of this great country and support Strong Net Neutrality regulations.
502. Danielle Nagle, Lakewood, CO, 80228
We STRONGLY support strict Net Neutrality laws backed by Title II on ISPs.
503. Lance Lindfield, Lakewood, CO, 80232
Keep net neutrality regulations. Stop trying to screw the people!!!
504. Net, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight for ISPs
505. tarah hamlyn, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I support strong net neutrality laws and title 2 oversight over ISPs.
506. Tim Rees, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Keep Net Neutrality and title II just the way it is. Do not change anything dealing with this issue
507. Ian Eisenhauer, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Please continue to keep my internet free - I support net neutrality preservation and Title II.
508. Ian Hooper, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I'm writing to express my support for an open and free internet underpinned by strict net neutrality. I'm concerned that the proposed changes will allow for internet throttling by cable providers and other ISPs. It's important that we have rules guaranteeing equal footing for all Americans when they go online. Thank you.
509. Misty West, Westminster, CO, 80031
I strongly support net neutrality and close oversight under title II.
510. Stephanie Waechter, Lakewood, CO, 80232
Please preserve net neutrality through enforcement of Title 2.
511. Michael Richman, Lakewood, CO, 80226
We need to keep Net Neutrality as it is! Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Thank you
512. Elissa Wilson, Arvada, CO, 80002

I am strongly in favor of preserving net neutrality by keeping isp 's under title 2. To think that isp providers will voluntarily preserve net neutrality is naive and will ultimately hurt us the American consumer.

513. John Rosteck, Golden, CO, 80403
ISPs should absolutely be regulated under Title II of the 34 Communications Act. Keep it that way.
514. Seth Beckman, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I would like to express my support for net neutrality through title 2 legislation.
515. Andrew Bingham, Lakewood, CO, 80214
Please keep ISP's governed under Title II
516. David Driggs, Golden, CO, 80403
Title II regulation of ISPs is essential for free market competition in the information marketplace.
517. Daniel Slauson, Arvada, CO, 80004
It is absolutely imperative that we preserve strong net neutrality in order to maintain everything that is good about the internet. Please, please, please, be reasonable about this.
518. Douglas Pintar, Thornton, CO, 80260
I support leaving internet service providers under Title II rules. Moving them there from Title I was not any kind of political power play, but a much-needed effort to rein in abuses that had been perpetrated by providers such as T Mobile and your chairman's own Verizon. Rolling back the protection afforded by Title II IS a blatant power-grab and the attempt is truly a disgrace to the public your agency allegedly serves. Shame on chairman Ajit Pai. Take your weed whacker elsewhere, sir!
519. Rachel Ilk, Thornton, CO, 80241
We support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank you for your consideration.
520. Keith Kolimaga, Arvada, CO, 80005
Leave net neutrality alone, it's our freedom of speech!
521. Aaron Johnson, Denver, CO, 80214
Please preserve net neutrality rights and Tittle II
522. Gerard Rouse, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I strongly encourage you to continue net neutrality rules established in title II. It is clear that the loss of these rules will potentially devastate American citizens, their internet choices and damage the economy. preserve net neutrality.
523. Shaun Tuttle, Arvada, CO, 80002
I value Net Neutrality. Please do not move regulation of ISPs from Title II to Title I. Thank you.
524. Martin Vestel, Westminster, CO, 80021
I absolutely support the doctrine of net neutrality via defining ISPs under Title 2. Information, entertainment, and access to the wider world should not be negotiable. It is only by keeping the internet a place of equal and fair footing that we can expect the greatest growth and culture enrichment. Companies large and small should be able to make use of the internet to reach their targets in a fair marketplace. In short no aspect of society, with the exception of the ISPs bank accounts, can be enriched by deregulating net neutrality.
525. Aaron Buys, Littleton, CO, 80123
Please maintain Title 2 Net Neutrality rules for ISPs in order to prevent discrimination in internet traffic.
526. Julian C. Mitchell, Northglenn, CO, 80233
I GREATLY support Net Neutrality as is it enforced under Title II. I would hate to see any changes.
527. Woody Grover, Arvada, CO, 80403
We need strong net neutrality laws, under Title 2. Good day.
528. Patrick Russell, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80214
Please continue to support net neutrality and enforcement of Title II regulations.
529. Kyle Eversley, Edgewater, CO, 80214
I strongly support preserving strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 Oversight of Internet Service Providers. Access knowledge and content provided by the internet should not be controlled and manipulated by corrupt Internet Service Providers.
530. Brandon Brasfield, Lakewood, CO, 80226
It is very important that you do not end or remove net neutrality. The internet is the greatest invention and cultural shift of

my lifetime. please don't ruin it for me and everyone else who cares deeply about it. I will use my vote against anyone who is not in support of net neutrality.

531. Jordan Ray, Arvada, CO, 80002
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. An open internet is essential for the future of human society. Relaxing regulations on ISPs in any way seriously endangers the fundamental nature of the internet and is potentially irreversible.
532. Julian Lineham, Westminster, CO, 80234
Preserve net neutrality and Title II requirements
533. Margaret Lineham, Westminster, CO, 80234
Please retain net neutrality and keep Title II
534. Michelle Moorman Applegate, Golden, CO, 80401
Net neutrality is important to keeping an open internet. Please keep the ISPs under Title II. This is important to us as consumers. Thank you!
535. Renee Nabors, Arvada, CO, 80004
I support strong net neutrality - cable and internet monopolies with wealthy lobbyists should not be able to control the internet.
536. Alexzander Rabe, Westminster, CO, 80234
Please keep intact the Title II net neutrality laws, it is important that consumers are not regulated or restricted to easy access of information. Thank you,
537. Ilias Stoilas, Denver, CO, 80221
Net neutrality is extremely important for a fair and free internet. Technology innovation requires it. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
538. Chelsea Semp, Westminster, CO, 80021
The FCC should keep net neutrality rules in place, with strong title 2 protection.
539. marie jacquet, arvada, CO, 80005
Do not change anything and protect NET NEUTRALITY as well as TITLE 2. Thanks
540. Samuel Wilks, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 over site of internet service providers.
541. AMANDA DOVE WALLER, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Net neutrality is widely supported by the public, users of internet based services. Any weakening of this regulation would only serve to benefit large businesses and discourage competition, undermining the free market. Preserve net neutrality.
542. Liz Black, Lakewood, CO, 80214
You MUST keep net neutrality title 2 laws in place!!!! We need a fair and equal internet! Title 1 isn't enough. This is absolutely repulsive that this is even in question. These organizations will not self-regulate. We all know this. Do what's right for the common person for once! Keep title 2 IN PLACE.
543. Doug Shepherd, Westminster, CO, 80031
Please keep net neutrality- Title2
544. Dan Siegler, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I believe in strong net neutrality and regulation over ISPs back by Title II.
545. Jeremy Wallace, Lakewood, CO, 80214
I strongly support regulating ISPs under Title II to protect internet freedom (net neutrality). The idea that ISPs will voluntarily impose restrictions upon themselves through their terms of service is either incredibly naive, or an outright lie. Just stop.
546. Kelly Yach, Westminster, CO, 80031
Dear FCC, Net neutrality is an important issue that deserves attention and regulation. Keep the internet neutral and equal for all. Thank you
547. Travis Nelson, Arvada, CO, 80004
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title two. Ps, this site blows on mobile
548. Grant Hansel, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I strongly support net neutrality and its current title 2 status. Please do all that is possible to maintain it. Thank you.

549. net, Westminster, CO, 80031
Dear FCC, please keep the current net neutrality title 2 in place to protect our internet use. The net, and ISPs are flourishing, keep it this way not allowing ISPs from filtering, metering or censoring my usage which is likely if the FCC allows Title I "light touch rule". KEEP TITLE 2 IN PLACE TO PROTECT AMERICAN CONSUMERS.Thank you.
550. Charles Yach, Westminster, CO, 80031
I am opposed to 17-108!!! I support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title II.
551. Paul Benjamin Krupka, Arvada, CO, 80002
Please keep the internet open for small business and keep it classified under Title II of the Communications Act.
552. Kellen McCarvel, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80214
Please protect net neutrality rules and continue to regulate ISPs through title 2 regulations.
553. Andrew Haase, Denver, CO, 80221
Verizon lawsuit found regulation under title I(1) was not binding/legal. If this is changed back there will be the same situation and no regulations will be binding/legal. Please keep internet access free from the whims and schemes of large ISPs. Please keep title II(2) regulations in-place.Thank You!Andrew Haase
554. net neutrality, Golden, CO, 80403
Hi there, FCC. How are you all doing today? John Oliver sent me. Just wanted to let you guys know that I'm a super duper big fan of Net Neutrality and title II. And, without regulation, I trust ISP's to maintain any semblance of net neutrality about as far as I could throw them. So let's go ahead and not give them more power than they need. Thanks!
555. Taryn Contento, Lakewood, CO, 80214
I would like to express my support for strong Net neutrality and specifically keeping it protected under Title 2 oversight of ISP's.Thanks
556. Tara Shaffer, Arvada, CO, 80002
No one person or company deserves the ability to allow for controlled speeds of content on the internet. This would prevent the internet from being the free marketplace of ideas and commerce that it is today - benefiting all people and companies large and small.Regulating against things such as this is the exact sort of protection the government should be providing for us.
557. matthew mackimmie, golden, CO, 80401
I strongly oppose the amendments to existing net neutrality legislation .
558. morgan creekmore, Thornton, CO, 80229
Please support strong net neutrality laws - Title II.
559. Sean Imfeld, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Please continue supporting article I I and strong net neutrality
560. Andrew Jander, Golden, CO, 80401
I support net neutrality and believe that the key to protecting net neutrality is for the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to reclassify internet service providers (ISPs) as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I am concerned that without these protections we will have paid prioritization which is a subversion of net neutrality and will allow ISPs to discriminate between websites'™ data. I hope you will take my thoughts and views on this issue seriously. Thank you for your time.
561. Lee Ellison, Thornton, CO, 80241
By this post our whole family is expressing opposition to your intention of destroying net neutrality. We citizens deserve to have you respect our outrage at this attempt to overturn our equal access to the Internet, and stop this plan. We have had enough of corporate takeover of this country.
562. ashton, Thornton, CO, 80229
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY - KEEP TITLE II STRONG.
563. Jaime Delano, Golden, CO, 80401
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II. Please do not weaken net neutrality or destroy the current title 2 regulations. I oppose the act "Restoring Internet Freedom"
564. Jessie Rivas, Denver, CO, 80260
Your proposal to weaken existing net neutrality rules is unacceptable. It would weaken competition, stifle innovation, undermine user choice, and threaten free speech online. I support Title 2. Please cut your ties to these corporations and strengthen your backbone.

565. Jess Seaman, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Net neutrality is vital. Please preserve net neutrality.
566. Dan DeLuca, Littleton, CO, 80123
To Ajit Pai, do you think the American public is so dumb that you can simply reclassify ISP's to do away with net neutrality? The attempt in itself is contentious, and needs to stop NOW!!! The American public is damn tired of being "fooled" by every agency of their government, including YOURS! Any reclassification of ISPs MUST HAVE strong oversight by the FCC, this MUST BE done, we cannot be fooled AGAIN!!! Another angry citizen of this great country, you are trying to make not so great! Dan
567. Jared, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
If there was a vote on reducing regulations on net neutrality it would never pass the public. It hurts the public and only allows big corporations to control more of what they already do. We must protect net neutrality
568. noah stevens, denver, CO, 80214
Preserve net neutrality under title two or go FCC yourself
569. Nickolus Rivera, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I ask please that you preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.
570. Ahni Hecht, Arvada, CO, 80003
I feel strongly that net neutrality should stay protected under title II. Our internet access and freedom should not be at the hands of those that can profit from their decisions.
571. Dennis, Westminster, CO, 80021
Please don't fuck us over. Protect Net neutrality...
572. Ryan Gilman, northglenn, CO, 80260
I strongly support strong Net Neutrality Laws Please do not change the classification.
573. Benjamin Light, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Please keep ISPs under Title 2. Net Neutrality is important and should not have its protections relaxed.
574. Kris Munch, Lakewood, CO, 80227
I STRONGLY support Net Neutrality laws for ISPs under the Title II classification of the Communications Act of 1934. I DO NOT support revoking or lessening these Net Neutrality laws in any way.
575. Kayla Davis, Northglenn, CO, 80233
Hello, Please support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you! Kayla
576. Casey McGirt, Brighton, CO, 80602
I am strongly in support of Net Neutrality backed by the power of Title II. Broadband providers should not be able to play favorites with internet traffic.
577. Rory, Lakewood, CO, 80227
I strongly support keeping Internet Service Providers (ISP's) categorized under Title 2 provisions. Net Neutrality must remain intact in its current form. Please do not shift ISP's to Title 1 provisions. A shift like that only benefits the ISP's at the consumers detriment.
578. Justin Gregg, Golden, CO, 80403
Title II is the only reasonable and available approach to regulating ISPs and protecting the functionality of the Internet.
579. Cory Hardman, Westminster, CO, 80031
Net neutrality needs to be preserved. You will destroy the internet if you repeal net neutrality. ISPs need to be dumb pipes that treat all bytes exactly the same.
580. James Beck, Golden, CO, 80401
The Internet has been driven by consumers, not by large telecom providers.
581. Aleczander Ulibarri, Westminster, CO, 80234
Please keep net neutrality title 2 at a minimum. Absolutely insane that it feels like this needs to be said.
582. Andrew DuBois, Lakewood, CO, 80227
I believe very strongly that Net Neutrality must be kept strong under Title II.
583. Fernando Cardona, Lakewood, CO, 80214
I strongly support Net Neutrality back by Title II of ISPs.

584. Tim Ballinghoff, Arvada, CO, 80004
Please support net neutrality by not allowing Title 2 to be removed from governing ISP providers.
585. Ash, Northglenn, CO, 80233
We need to keep net neutrality rules backed by Title II to maintain competition and fairness to consumers!
586. Chris Varner, Arvada, CO, 80005
Please preserve the current net neutrality rules under the title II provisions.
587. Amanda Berlute-Shea, Littleton, CO, 80127
Please continue having strong net neutrality and I support title 2!!!
588. Audrey Natale, Littleton, CO, 80123
I urge you to continue with strong net neutrality regulations following Title II.
589. Angela Hansen, Westminster, CO, 80031
I support net neutrality rules backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thanks!
590. Scott Krankkala, Golden, CO, 80403
I strongly support retaining and enforcing strong net neutrality and provisions of title II that promote strong oversight. I feel this is important for maintaining an internet atmosphere that allows for equal access to information, as this is the basis for why it was created.
591. Teresa MacKillop, Lakewood, CO, 80227
Maintain net neutrality. Continue to regulate ISP providers under Title 2.
592. David Parker, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
YES to Net Neutrality.
593. Scott E. Lehman, Arvada, CO, 80005
Preserve net neutrality and Keep Title 2 status for equal internet access.
594. Phil Natale, Littleton, CO, 80123
I want continued strong net neutrality regulations following Title II. Keep the net neutral!!
595. Andre Leonard, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I support net neutrality and title 2 designation for all isp's. Keep the internet truly free and open.
596. Lynn Elliott, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I urge you to keep a STRONG net neutrality in place, backed by the Title II oversight of all ISPs. This is essential to keeping an even playing field by providing access to ALL people using the internet - whether they are companies or individuals. Do not change the current status of Title II. Thank you.
597. Harold Coffman, Denver, CO, 80212
I want strong Internet oversight
598. Chad Stone, Westminster, CO, 80234
Keep the net neutral. Castrate people if you have to. Use the fear of castration to protect the internet!
599. Jocelyn Massaro, Westminster, CO, 80031
Reserve net neutrality and keep title 2!
600. Wilfredo Jimenez, Westminster, CO, 80260
Please keep net neutrality rules in Title 2. Don't let big corporations or deep pockets mess with the little guys. Keep the world of internet fair.
601. Sandra Wiersma, Arvada, CO, 80004
Please keep current regulations ensuring net neutrality stays, as is, within Title 2. Thank you.
602. Thomas Hiney, Denver, CO, 80226
Keeping a free and open internet is essential to American freedom. Preserve net neutrality and title 2.
603. Virginia Inness, Northglenn, CO, 80233
I support the Internet neutrality under Title II and demand that the FCC continue to support and enforce net neutrality without regard to corporate pressure or wishes. The Internet must be equal service available to all without reference to corporations or belief that the rich get everything and the other citizens get nothing. Keep Net Neutrality as it is!
604. Lisa Boehm, Lakewood, CO, 80226

Please insure net neutrality is preserved with Title II

605. Julia Tichy, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Protect title two; I believe in net neutrality. PRIVATIZING THE INTERNET IS MORALLY WRONG AND REPREHENSIBLE, THE TRUMP WHITE HOUSE IS CORRUPT AND EVIL. KEEP THE INTERNET OPEN AND NEUTRAL. FUCK VERIZON AND TM MOBILE LOVIN' ISIS, STREAMING SHOULD NOT BE GIVEN TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER.
606. Tyler Mills, golden, CO, 80401
Preserve net neutrality and title 2 laws.
607. Leo Banos, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Writing in to support strong policy of net neutrality and oversight of ISPs under title II. Please keep the internet flowing free for all and not just some.
608. Rebecca Jones, Arvada, CO, 80003
I strongly support net neutrality ISP oversight provided by Title 2.
609. krista burczyk, Arvada, CO, 80005
I support Net Neutrality!!!Do not roll back regs
610. Rebecca Hall, Golden, CO, 80401
PLEASE PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE TWO.
611. Jennifer Doner, Golden, CO, 80401
I highly support net neutrality and title II.
612. Elijah Parkhurst, Lakewood, CO, 80227
Please maintain Title 2 status for internet providers keep current net neutrality rules in place.
613. Tracy Holick, Golden, CO, 80403
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Small business and innovation must be preserved and we cannot allow ISPs to self govern the free and open internet without regulatory oversight.
614. Troy Wilson, Lakewood, CO, 80214
I am in support of net neutrality and urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules.
615. Stefanie Lamont, Westminster, CO, 80021
Preserve your neutrality and Title II!!!
616. Tyler Schade, Golden, CO, 80403
I want you to uphold the Title II classification of Net Neutrality.
617. Idren Ames, Arvada, CO, 80004
It's imperative that you preserve Net Neutrality and Title II classification for ISPs! Keep the internet open and equal for us all!
618. Douglas Baldwin, Arvada, CO, 80002
I strongly support the continued regulation of ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
619. Alex Keller, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Mr. Pai and FCC: Preserve net neutrality and Title 2! I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
620. Julie Somerville, Lakewood, CO, 80214
I strongly urge the continued protection of net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
621. Rich Johnson, Thornton, CO, 80602
Please preserve our net neutrality laws
622. Faith Fischer, Edgewater, CO, 80214
Please defend net neutrality and Title II.
623. Justin, Westminster, CO, 80234
You must keep the strong net neutrality rules! You are supposed to be there to help protect the American people. Do your job!
624. Travis Brown, Golden, CO, 80401

Please regulate ISP's under Title 2. We need net neutrality rules. Don't sell us out.

625. Brooks Mayo, Westminster, CO, 80021
I support strong net neutrality laws enforced under Title 2 regulations. Seriously, don't mess this up.
626. Wendy Hanophy, Golden, CO, 80401
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Net neutrality is essential to our democracy.
627. James Venis, Lakewood, CO, 80232
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II, and Ajit Pai can stick his head back in his ass.
628. Bryan Holmes, Westminster, CO, 80031
Preserve net neutrality and the title 2 classification. It is imperative the Internet service providers do not make their own rules and regulations. Corporations time and time again do not look after the general public's best interest.
629. Justin Headrick, Westminster, CO, 80030
We must have strong Net Neutrality rules to ensure rights for all and a level playing field. Do not bow to the money Big Business is flooding the field with for their personal financial gain. Serve the people of this country. Do your job.
630. Eric Jensen, Lakewood, CO, 80214
I am writing to voice my strong opposition to any government action to loosen protections over a free and open internet. The public is overwhelmingly in favor of net neutrality measures and I, for one, do not want to see the Internet restricted in any way.
631. Erin Cropper, Edgewater, CO, 80214
I absolutely support Title II and find the idea of rolling back Obama-era net neutrality protections to be a disturbing display of the type of rampant abuse in which our government is currently engaged to benefit wealthy corporations at the expense of ordinary Americans. That this is even an issue being currently discussed is indicative of nothing more than the corruption present at the highest levels of our government as a result of the pay-for-play nature of our political ecosystem. PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY.
632. Valerie Mozena, Golden, CO, 80401
Please regulate ISPs under Title II.
633. Aaron Hoagland, Westminster, CO, 80021
It is imperative for the future of the open internet that internet service providers not be allowed to show preference for some content over others. ISPs need to be regulated in such a way that they cannot make content of their competitors or those who cannot pay less available. These companies cannot be trusted to function honestly and fairly and must face punitive action if/when they act in a biased way. I urge you to maintain the regulation of ISPs under title 2 in order to protect the access of all to all content.
634. Ben Skaflen, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I strongly support preserving net neutrality and Title 2
635. Joe Satore, Arvada, CO, 80004
There is no reason that any company, ISP or otherwise should be allowed to slow, lessen or alter any of the content I choose to be sent into my home via the internet for the sole purpose of promoting, marketing or favoring their own specific content over my choices. I pay. I choose.
636. Kristina Raquel, Lakewood, CO, 80214
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY!!! PLEASE DO NOT CHANGE ANYTHING! VIVA FREE INTERNET!
637. Kris Gottschalk, Wheatridge, CO, 80033
Support strong net neutrality!!!!
638. jakobe, Arvada, CO, 80005
"I SPECIFICALLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISP'S."
639. Jeremy Diamond, Arvada, CO, 80003
I would like you to preserve the classification of ISP under title 2, to ensure strong net neutrality enforcement.
640. Warren Lantz, Thornton, CO, 80233
Please keep Title 2 as the governing rule regarding net neutrality. I believe it is fair for all and no need to change it exists. Thank you.
641. Samantha Balagat, Denver, CO, 80212
Net neutrality isn't something we should have to constantly fight for ever 2-3 years this seems like a very logical effort to

keep the internet a fair Market. If you don't this opens up for opportunities to be taken advantage by larger companies for personal gain.

642. Dustin Dickey, Arvada, CO, 80005
Please don't mess with everyone's neutral free open internet. Thank you.
643. jesse placky, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Commissioner Pai, Please keep supporting strong net neutrality & tile 2 oversight by ISPs
644. Jennifer Pickerel, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80215
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai:Your proposal to weaken existing net neutrality rules is unacceptable. It would weaken competition, stifle innovation, undermine user choice, and threaten free speech online. Please support the title 2 standard. Thank you
645. anna west, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I'm writing in support of net neutrality. Do not mess with it please maintain the current level of regulation to ensure fair competition in the market. Thanks
646. brandon bohyer, Brighton, CO, 80603
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I wish for the FCC to also support this policy going forward.
647. Jenn Green, Arvada, CO, 80005
Please protect the strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. This ensures an open and free internet not controlled and manipulated by a few large organizations.
648. John Payne, Thornton, CO, 80602
I strongly support net neutrality which should fall under title 2. ISPs should not be able to control which sites are faster or slower than others.
649. Peter West, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Please maintain Obama era Net Neutrality laws. This should be you main job moving forward as well. The Internet must remain free and open for everyone.
650. Melodie Kenny, Lakewood, CO, 80214
I specifically and strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Keep this regulation intact. Thank you.
651. adrian, denver, CO, 80234
seriously? again? what do you guys have against regulations making sure ISPs dont purposefully sabotage things. You clearly agree they shouldnt when saying things like "we'll add that provision to th terms of use" but dont want to actually enforce that concept by law? i dont think you have a vested interest in harming the american way of life, but these decisions add serious doubt on that. you couldn't have been paid for this right? nah, thats just silly.
652. Michael Bragg, Federal Heights, CO, 80260
I am in favor of strong enforcement of net neutrality by the FCC via the Title II status. I believe this is absolutely necessary for freedom of the press in our new era of democratic reporting.
653. Megan Howard, WESTMINSTER, CO, 80031
I support strong net neutrality rules for ISPs under Title II.
654. James Cooper, Denver, CO, 80229
I support strong controls under Title 2 regulation for Internet Service Providers (ISP).
655. Thad Vuolo, Westminster, CO, 80021
Please keep title II and net neutrality as is. I prefer an open internet and the same internet no matter what provider I use.
656. Kathy McGirt, Brighton, CO, 80602
Please support net neutrality by preserving the Title II rules. Big business in America has repeatedly demonstrated that, just like powerful government entities, they need checks and balances to ensure that they do not abuse the public. Greed tends to blind people to the big picture - business and government are built on the public. Damage the public, and you damage your own infrastructure; down the line everyone suffers. Thank you for providing the opportunity to provide input into your proceedings.
657. Joel Guzman, Westminster, CO, 80031
I support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title 2. The internet Is a sacred thing which should not be taken for granted or tampered with. Please don't allow the the large ISP's to buy our ability to utilize the Internet. Stop. Reconsider and walk away for the sake of all that is good!

658. Matt Gosche, WESTMINSTER, CO, 80031
Preserve title 2 and meet neutrality
659. Jacob Bauer, Golden, CO, 80401
Hi, Please preserve net neutrality. Title 2 is very important.
660. Steve Schweitzer, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY! Keep it in title two. Pai is obviously a complete tool of Verizon. It would appear that the swamp is getting deeper and wider.
661. tyler reynolds, Golden, CO, 80401
I support net neutrality backed by title II oversight. #reesesmugisnotinamous #johnoliver
662. Brad Taylor, Thornton, CO, 80233
I support net neutrality via Title 2 government oversight. Proven by past actions of Verizon throttling Netflix and carriers not allowing Google Wallet in favor of their own services, I believe ISPs are incapable of self governing in a fair manor.
663. John Nelson, Golden, CO, 80401
Please preserve title 2 net neutrality. It means an open a free internet for all.
664. David Brain, Denver, CO, 80221
I support strong net neutrality laws. Please preserve title 2!
665. Restoring Internet Freedom, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Do not dilute or alter Title II of the Communication Act of 1934. Do not allow the open internet to be destroyed by large corporations using their wealth to destroy our free and open choice. Restore the freedom of an open and natural internet.
666. Robert Farrow, denver, CO, 80229
Please maintain net neutrality and keep ISP's as Title II entries under the Communications act of 1934.
667. Tristan Debrunner, Golden, CO, 80401
Strong net neutrality laws and Title II need to be maintained. There is evidence of ISPs not remaining neutral before Title II was enforced, and the economic impacts of the regulation are minimal. There is no strong reasoning supporting the removal of net neutrality protection under Title II.
668. Jason, Arvada, CO, 80003
As a web manager, contractor, techie, gamer, and all around internet user, I absolutely support strong Net Neutrality rules under Title 2 regulations. From a business standpoint, going backwards makes no sense. We have protections in place, and the vast majority of us want to keep them. This shouldn't even be a debate. Please stop trying to repeal these rules. America is already great, let's keep it that way. Watching cat videos is extremely important to millions of Americans, and we must not allow those with the highest production values win out. As an American citizen, it is our right to view the poorest quality cat videos on the planet. I support Net Neutrality under Title 2. Thank you.
669. Matthew Pullen, Northglenn, CO, 80233
Keep net neutrality strong. Keep ISPs under Title II. Anything else does not represent the American public. Do not approve Docket No. 17-108.
670. Keith D Poole, Thornton, CO, 80241
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2 status for ISPs.
671. Michael Rinkert, Littleton, CO, 80127
Hello, I am writing to let you know that I am in favor of keeping the internet, its information, and data neutral. I do not want internet service providers to favor or block particular products or websites. Access to the internet is access to a world of information, society, and culture. This should not be blocked or favored or filtered by an ISP. It should be open and free for anyone with access. Thank you.
672. Megan Kathleen, Denver, CO, 80221
I support strong net neutrality, title two.
673. Donald Baldwin, Arvada, CO, 80005
I do not support the rolling back internet regulation from it's current title II status.
674. Garrett Shirley, Golden, CO, 80401
Net neutrality gives everyone an even playing field, no one can have their data pushed ahead of someone else's data, putting them at a disadvantage. Net neutrality gives everyone a chance to have an equal voice, and the repeal of that will disadvantage thousands. ISPs cannot be trusted to have the interests of their customers be ahead of the interests of their shareholders. They stand to profit immensely at the prospect of disadvantaging their customers, who are the people they

should actually be serving.

675. Logan Molson, Thornton, CO, 80241
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. The internet was created to be a free space to access and share information, and should not be subjected to the apprehensive grips of capitalism.
676. 1, Arvada, CO, 80005
Keep the internet free and open. Keep net neutrality alive. Keep Title II intact. Keep on keepin on.
677. Jay Watterworth, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80215
I support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight. Please do not do away with the laws that protect it. Pass laws that go farther to insure net neutrality.
678. abigail, arvada, CO, 80004
Paragraph 22 states that under Title II, "The Commission adopted no-blocking, no-throttling, and no-paid prioritization rules, as well as a general Internet conduct standard and "enhancements" to the transparency rule." I strongly believe in these rules as protections against corporations creating artificial limitations on the services used by their consumers such as creating priority traffic lanes. It is a bad idea to remove the FCC's ability to legally enforce these protections, by reclassifying internet services, as it eliminates the legal incentive for corporations to abide by the protections - allowing companies to artificially limit service in accordance with shareholder requests to increase profit. If internet service providers are free to pursue profits at the expense of their customers, the customer's access to the service they pay for will suffer. I am a purchaser of internet service and I don't want the way I access the internet limited as a result of deregulation.
679. Don Szabo, Westminster, CO, 80030
I strongly support net neutrality under title II concerning ISPs
680. Kara Larricq, Thornton, CO, 80229
I support strong Net Neutrality laws backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!
681. Elizabeth Haaker, Lakewood, CO, 80227
I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title II. I do not believe there should be preferential treatment given to certain content based on fees paid to ISPs.
682. Austin Long, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I strongly advocate for strong net neutrality laws and oversight, in addition to retaining title 2 classification for ISPs. The FCC has an obligation to protect Americans through continued enforcement of these laws, regardless of the deeply misleading statements made by the Chairman.
683. Luke Birkholz, Wheat ridge, CO, 80033
America deserves an Internet that has the same access and speed for all users. It is not just for individuals or corporations that pay more and expect different services for a higher performance based on their on monetary contributions. The Internet is the same basic living necessity like water, food, and electricity.
684. title II, Golden, CO, 80401
Continue to enforce ISP's as title II. Net neutrality and open internet are extremely important to my fair and unbiased access to information.
685. Patrick Kenney, Thornton, CO, 80233
I support maintaining current rules on Net Neutrality. No evidence has been presented that rolling back the rules will improve net neutrality.
686. Jeffrey Tieberg, Golden, CO, 80401
Removing Net Neutrality and Title II is a complete waste of our tax dollars and your time. Keeping the ISPs tied to a law requiring them to treat all websites equally is a common sense law. There is no advantage to us (the common person) that removing this law would have. It does not cause the ISP companies any problems or cost them money. Also, those that say it isn't a "fast and slow lane" and is more of a "fast and faster lane" have no idea what they are talking about. If there was a faster lane available why aren't we already getting access to that. I ask politely on behalf of myself and all others who are interested in this issue, please do not remove the law currently in place.
687. Chris Davis, denver, CO, 80228
I support strong regulations for Net Neutrality, and keeping ISPs regulated under Title 2.Chris
688. trevor harrington, Golden, CO, 80403
I am a strong supporter of net neutrality and title II.
689. Evan Walston, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Keep strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II

690. Neil Pistol, Arvada, CO, 80002
I am a strong supporter of Net Neutrality backed by Title II. Modern communication and commerce relies upon the fairness of open internet traffic; it is not a resource to be exploited by monopolies.
691. Kathleen Saunders, Arvada, CO, 80003
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. ISPs should provide open networks and should not block or discriminate. Companies should not be allowed to dictate what content consumers see.
692. Frank Joy, Denver, CO, 80228
Net Neutrality is essential to maintain. Title II should be retained.
693. Josh L Henderson, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80212
Internet access and choice is not a luxury. It's a right, information is not in paper form as freely as it used to be. No company should be able to manipulate content.
694. Brit Hoagland, Westminister, CO, 80021
As a disabled person, I am enraged that killing net neutrality is being advertised as a way to advocate for disabled people. Allowing companies that don't like the idea of Google Voice, because it allows me to send text messages for free using my data connection to block that service or charge me for access is unacceptable. People on SSI or even SSDI won't be able to pay surcharges for access to sites that we often use. Disabled people host teleconferences on Facebook, promote goods on blogs, the list goes on. The reason why blind people use Gmail is that it offers free IMAP and POP3 access to mail clients. Yahoo does not. The issue that's a constant problem isn't the speed of access, but rather access itself. Since the internet often has inaccessible websites, even today. The issue of speed doesn't even culminate into a logical fit within Verizon's claim. Instead of slower speeds doling out a subpar service it's the incomplete and botched content that we cannot access because developers didn't make their content accessible. I implore you to keep the net neutral and continue to regulate internet service providers under tier 2. Keep the internet neutral so that it can be accessible.
695. Joe Carney, Westminister, CO, 80234
Please keep net neutrality in place. As someone who relies on the internet to do my job, I understand that net neutrality is essential to encourage autodidacticism, free speech and a third thing that I can't think of at the moment, but because my ISP doesn't favor any particular search engine, I can easily Google some options.
696. Josh L Henderson, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80212
Internet access and choice is not a luxury. It's a right, information is not in paper form as freely as it used to be. No company should be able to manipulate content. You must preserve net neutrality and title 2.
697. Michael Jolton, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Please keep net neutrality governed under Title 2.
698. Conor Edmiston, Broomfield, CO, 80020
Don't ruin the internet! Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Terrible mistake to let corporations control the internet. Please government, stop fucking up. It's not as hard as you make it look.
699. Connor William Penhale, Arvada, CO, 80003
I want to preserve net neutrality and keep ISPs in Title II!
700. Charles Dykeman, Littleton, CO, 80127
Please do not change the legal standing of net neutrality from title 2. Deep pocketed companies will take advantage whenever they can. This hurts us all, by stifling innovation.
701. Jay DeAndrea, Westminister, CO, 80031
Leave ISPs under Title 2 and save a truly neutral internet. This is a bipartisan position!
702. Adam Kostreba, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Keep Title 2 in place to ensure all websites have equal access to bandwidth.
703. Tina Chase, Golden, CO, 80401
Please keep Title II intact and do not take away our net neutrality! We want a free and open system!
704. Scott Bassin, Golden, CO, 80403
I support stronger regulations around Net Neutrality, including keeping ISPs categorized under Title II.
705. Ashlyn Munson, Golden, CO, 80401
I support net neutrality and Title 2 enforcement.
706. Dan Collins, Westminister, CO, 80021
Net Neutrality is of great importance to the future of our country.

707. hee cho, Westminster, CO, 80234
The people have already spoken about this issue in the past. It's hard to understand why the FCC is trying to jeopardize net neutrality. I believe this goes against our constitutional right to freedom of press and speech. The people will never let net neutrality go away so stop wasting tax payer's money on trying to change the regulations.
708. Samuel Wangsness, Denver, CO, 80212
Do not destroy net neutrality. It is the foundation upon which we can build a truly open and competitive internet that will benefit millions of people not just in this country, but billions across the world.
709. Bruce Ramsay, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II classification of ISPs. ISPs should not be given the power to filter or limit Internet data because they will limit the growth of Internet services. We have already seen cases of ISP blocking competing services.
710. Lindsey Bohlender, Lakewood, CO, 80227
It is essential to preserve net neutrality and title II.
711. Tyson hurd, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I demand we keep the net a neutral place for the dissemination of information and data. There should be no throttling or acceleration of throughput based on monetary or other contributions to one vendor or user or another. It shall be the same for all users across the public Internet (world wide web) with no bias for content origin.
712. Brent Whitcomb, Golden, CO, 80401
I implore you, from the depths of my soul, to support the internet neutrality of Internet Service Providers (ISP). Please maintain the Title 2 Oversight of ISPs in regards to internet neutrality. This topic of reducing internet neutrality has direly hurtful repercussions if the current laws are changed. Nearly every person I know support strong net neutrality as internet freedom is essential to the future of American values.
713. Sarah Burns, Golden, CO, 80401
Keep Title II. Just kne more way for my cable and cell provider to rip off consumers ti increase their profits.
714. Jesse Williams, Thornton, CO, 80602
KEEP TITLE 2!!!!!!
715. alewife, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I hope someday I can produce a shit so foul it will finally rid the stench of the fcc affecting the delicate balance of my home.
716. Tymbre Guerin, Federal Heights, CO, 80260
Please keep net neutrality laws in place. Thank you.
717. Jason Robes, Golden, CO, 80401
I am in support of strong policies supporting net neutrality. I think the Type II oversight of ISPs is currently a good system and any changes should follow the general practice of net neutrality.
718. Steve Palmerin, Golden, CO, 80401
Keep internet neutral. Preserve title 2 to all ISPs. Thank you.
719. Ashley Palmerin, Golden, CO, 80401
Preserve title II to all ISP's. Keep the internet neutral.
720. Chris Kelly, Westminster, CO, 80031
We need to keep ISPs under Title II. I work at a small startup and having our bandwidth slowed or restricted in any way would be detrimental to our growing business. Making the Internet "pay to play" would completely kill the main economic driver of this country.
721. Jo Ferguson, Wheatridge, CO, 80033
Preserve net neutrality & title 2!!!!!!
722. Jacob, Arvada, CO, 80004
I am writing to show my support of strong net neutrality backed by title II over site of ISP's.
723. Kimberly Weber, Arvada, CO, 80005
I STRONGLY support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight.
724. Dillon, wheat ridge, CO, 80033
Please keep Title 2 and a strong protection for net neutrality.

725. Samuel Lang, Lakewood, CO, 80214
I beg of you: preserve Internet Neutrality as it currently exists and preserve Title 2 regulations for ISPs. Don't let the current administration get their hands on this issue as it is working just fine and not causing any problems for any entity that it affects.
726. Nathaniel Dickson, Arvada, CO, 80004
When there are lax regulations that would allow telecom companies to gouge for more money from data consumers (e.g. The people) and data creators (e.g. Netflix, news websites, YouTube) , economic incentives and pressure from investors and shareholders will eventually make that price gouging a reality. The internet must remain neutral, and to do so it must stay classified under title 2.
727. Lauren Pauls, Lakewood, CO, 80227
I strongly support the classification of ISPs under Title II. Net Neutrality FTW!
728. Mark Coles, Arvada, CO, 80003
YOU MUST do everything in your power to block the efforts to overturn Title II classification of ISPs. This is an intrinsic requirement for the fair and correct access to internet resources. It is bad enough that Fuckface von Trump is going to allow ISPs to sell out very privacy to the highest bidder. I mean really, why does the FCC exist if not to protect us on this matter? Perhaps you will be the next victim of the Cheeto-in-chief and follow the EPA into the annals of regulatory history.
729. Internet, Westminster, CO, 80031
I writing to support net neutrality and Title II
730. Emily Hamilton, Federal Heights, CO, 80260
I am commenting to say that I support net neutrality and that I would like you to preserve title two.
731. Karen Prescott, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I am requesting that you protect net neutrality, and preserve the classification of ISPs under Title II.
732. liz carney, Westminster, CO, 80234
I support Net Neutrality and think that ISPs should in no way be able to control the flow of information or favor one site over another.
733. Zane Carkeek, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I support net neutrality and backed by title two oversight. Its ridiculous that we would even have this debate. The internet is the most amazing thing this generation has ever done and by trying to twist it into some people's favor over others you go against the very principles this country was founded on. Shame on you.
734. Karrin Kalb, Arvada, CO, 80004
I very strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
735. Chris Hunnell, Westminster, CO, 80021
I urge you to preserve Net Neutrality at all costs. Please continue regulating ISPs under Title II provisions.
736. Emma Coles, Arvada, CO, 80003
I want the freedom to access any website I want. Companies should NOT control my life!
737. Vincent C., Denver, CO, 80221
I do not agree with a free internet, please keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules!
738. Ben Barton, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I specifically support the net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of the ISPs. Nothing less. Keep it free and keep it open.
739. Kevon Storie, Arvada, CO, 80004
As a citizen of a still-free modern industrial nation, I demand that you ensure net neutrality with strong Title II protections. To attempt to "take a weed-wacker" to this crucial protection suggests that Ajit is still on Verizon's payroll. I look forward to streaming live his conflicts of interest hearing in 2019. Simply put, allowing industries to "voluntarily comply" with consumer protections gives us the financial meltdown of 2008. Ajit is clearly proof that no big ISP can be trusted to do the ethical thing without strict enforcement of strong protections. Ever.
740. John Amundson, Lakewood, CO, 80232
I support strong regulations that protect net neutrality. I support using Title II in order to protect net neutrality.
741. Joshua Rashkin, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I support strong strong net neutrality rules backed by title 2. The equal access to bandwidth promotes new business and growth. Neutral access to the internet is also one of the truest expressions of our most basic tenants as a free and open society and democracy. If all people are created equal so are all web connections. Allowing the commodification of or

bandwidth would be a most base betrayal of the public trust.

742. Matt Korsmo, Westminster, CO, 80021
Do not remove or weaken net neutrality rules. I am a network planner for an ISP and we are not suffering under any regulations relating to net neutrality. A free and open Internet is essential to innovation in the digital world. ISPs do not need to be reclassified as Title I carriers. In fact, if you insist on reclassification, you should classify them as public utilities. Like electricity and running water, access to the Internet is not optional for most citizens of this country. We need it for work, for leisure, for travel, for news, for communication, and for information. Please do not continue to allow ISPs to charge unreasonable amounts of money for access to poorly maintained infrastructure and mistreat their customers. The American people want, and I believe need, more regulation for ISPs, not less.
743. Louis DiSano, Arvada, CO, 80007
Keep net-neutrality enforceable under Title 2.
744. Kathryn McBride, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of Internet service providers.
745. Gary Kaake, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Preserve net neutrality by maintaining the isp regulation under Title II as it is currently.
746. Misty Baird, Henderson, CO, 80640
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. There are many things which should not be left up to a free market and possible abuses by those who simply have the deepest pockets. A free and open internet is one of them. ISPs will not voluntarily regulate themselves and will not act in the best interest of the American public or even their consumers. They will act in the best interest of their company's bottom lines and that is why we need Title II oversight of ISPs.
747. Jim Liechte, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Please register my strong support for net-neutrality through Title 2. It is the protection we need as citizens and active internet users. Thank You
748. A concerned Colorado citizen, Lakewood, CO, 80235
Protect Net Neutrality! Communications Act 1934, Title II. Don't let corporations control the Internet; open access for all
749. Bonita Griffin Kaake, Lakewood, CO, 80228
It is critical that we keep Title 2 in place to protect net neutrality. Anything else is not acceptable!
750. Phil O'Reilly, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I strongly support net neutrality. The American people have the right to fast and fair internet as well as a level playing field for corporations. There's way too many conflicts of interest.
751. Cindi Johns, Westminster, CO, 80030
Do not compromise the current Title II designation of ISPs! I specifically support STRONG Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!
752. francisco garcia, lakewood, CO, 80227
i support strong net neutrality under title 2 oversight of isp's
753. Phil Miatech, Arvada, CO, 80004
I strongly believe that a strong net neutrality policy via regulation of ISPs through Title II rules is necessary to maintain a free and open internet. I do NOT support the reversal of Title II classification.
754. Jon Lewis, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 (maybe written Title II) oversight of iSPs.
755. Thomas Ebele, Lakewood, CO, 80214
It would be a grave mistake to change the net neutrality. Please keep the Title II requirements in place!
756. Austin Olin, Arvada, CO, 80007
It is important that access to the internet, which has become an enormous part of life in civilized countries, is allowed to remain free from corporate control. By allowing companies to purchase influence over this worldwide network, you take away what influence the public has to express their opinions and promote their ideas. A movement towards disrupting internet freedom is in direct conflict to the principles of democracy that have shaped this country since it's inception. Please continue to ensure that ideas and content are freely distributed through the internet as the public decides it should be, rather than bowing to corporate interests that do not hold the values of the American public dear. Movement towards fast lanes of internet traffic are defiant of public interest and are an extreme detriment to the American people.

757. anthony keovongphet, Denver, CO, 80229
Do not undo Obama-era Regulations. The United States is a country, not a corporation. Keep net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
758. Jeramie Chlumsky, Lakewood, CO, 80232
I support the idea that all internet service providers should continue to be considered title II (2) entities and that regulation rather than the good will of these companies should determine their culpability in making all internet traffic equally available over whatever connection the user has at hand.
759. Trevor Clayton, Edgewater, CO, 80214
I support strong net neutrality enforcement and regulation via Title II oversight of ISP's.
760. Andrew S. Lasda, Westminster, CO, 80021
Net neutrality effectively dictates that all companies be given equal access to the internet. Doing away with something this crucial is an attack on free enterprise. To try and draw a comparison, it would be like a company that sells water and vegetables not selling water to anyone else that grows vegetables and does not have their own water.
761. John, Lakewood, CO, 80227
To guarantee "net neutrality" for the internet, the FCC must keep internet providers classified under Title II. Do not let lobbyists and administration officials take away equal access to internet content and usage.
762. Samuel Gregg, Thornton, CO, 80260
Keep the internet a fair place. Keep net neutrality.
763. Joey Johnson, Commerce City, CO, 80022
I am very concerned about keeping Net Neutrality secured for everyone. Equal access to online information is vital. It must be regulated, and mandatory. No one believes that internet providers will voluntarily do the right and fair thing.
764. Mike Goebel, Lakewood, CO, 80227
Title II was a step forward, not a step back. ISP's should not be allowed to give priority to any content provider, rather they intend to or not. The option should not be there. The internet should flow just as smoothly to consumers for the new startup as it does for an established enterprise.
765. Deno Pacello, Arvada, CO, 80005
Preserve net neutrality and current rules please
766. greg norris, Denver, CO, 80221
I do not support any changes to net neutrality it is and always should be a open free internet
767. Rhys Williams, Arvada, CO, 80004
I STRONGLY support the preservation of STRONG net neutrality as well as the preservation of title 2. I support a FREE and NEUTRAL internet.
768. Ryan, Westminster, CO, 80020
I personally support strong net neutrality backed by Title II protections.
769. Zak Darnell, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Net neutrality is an issue of utmost importance. Allowing internet service providers (ISPs) to throttle specific sites is precisely the opposite of freedom. For example, there are many areas around the US that only have one ISP, such as Diamond City, AR. In this case, such a business could essentially create a monopoly on services that provide income for said business. An upheaval of the ability to choose preferable domains is distinctly unacceptable and un-American.
770. robert johannes, Golden, CO, 80401
Keep net neutrality under title II. THANK YOU
771. Jim Brunetti, Lakewood, CO, 80123
Net neutrality needs to be less invasive. This is America not China. If this type of nonsense continues then whats next? A firewall like China has.
772. Jody Urbas, Commerce City, CO, 80022
I believe it is very important to keep an open internet through the oversight of Title II for ISP's. Companies will take advantage of their customers if given the green light to do so. It is imperative that the FCC continue to prevent this kind of internet bias.
773. Michael Scott Thompson, Thornton, CO, 80602
As a consumer, I am strongly in favor of maintaining NET Neutrality and Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

774. Gordon Allen, littleton, CO, 80123
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. The ISP's should not and never have the right to dictate the way we access our internet content.
775. Kolondja Thillot, Denver, CO, 80226
I strongly support Net Neutrality with enforced Title II oversight.
776. Eric Irwin, Denver, CO, 80235
Internet Service Providers should continue to be governed under Title II to ensure their compliance with Net Neutrality. There is already enough evidence of ISP's either giving or planning to give, priority to internet traffic of their choosing.
777. Matthew Peterson, Thornton, CO, 80229
Please keep title II in place and protect net neutrality. It is important
778. Deanna Jacobs, Golden, CO, 80403
Keep net neutrality, keep freedom of the internet. ISPs cannot be left to manage this themselves, that is ridiculous.
779. Jessie Lenahan, Arvada, CO, 80007
Net neutrality is a vital part to the open internet and ISPs not throttling internet speeds based on money and bias. We need net neutrality. The internet is vital tool and everyone should have access to it and have equal access to it, not based on who's in the ISPs pocket.
780. John Wilson, Lakewood, CO, 80226
The internet needs to remain free. Big companies should not be allowed to control the speed of certain internet websites. Preserve Net Neutrality and Title Two!
781. Ryan Horn, Commerce City, CO, 80022
The internet is a public service, and as such no priority should be given to any traffic on it. The fact that we are considering killing multi-billion dollar business models like Netflix, simply so that ISPs can charge even more outlandish prices to end users and content providers alike is sickening. On top of the above, there is also the worrying fact that most ISPs are a monopoly, or effectively so within their service area. In my area, if I want speeds over 3mbps and a non-weather dependent connection, I'm required to sign on with Comcast. Therefor, if Comcast gets to set priorities on the traffic over its connection, they get to decide if they want to render multiple services I use everyday unusable. That is a sickening level of power, and it is utterly unacceptable for a public utility (ie, a service that is needed for the good of the public) to have such and absurd amount of power over our daily lives. You wouldn't give a bank the authority to place arbitrary delays on money transfers unless you were using their preferred services, so why are we allowing ISPs to do so? This is wrong, and all traffic on the internet should be treated equally.
782. julie huebner, lakewood, CO, 80232
please preserve net neutrality and Title II. You should be protecting consumers not just big business interests. Do your jobs.
783. Alan Bucknam, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Net Neutrality is as important as equal access to any other public utility, such as clean water. Equal access to any source of information is a First Amendment issue, guaranteeing that the American people can make informed decisions about the issues that impact themselves, their community, and their country.
784. Niki Shoup, Edgewater, CO, 80214
I support a strong net neutrality. The internet is better when it is not controlled by ISPs. I support strong Title II oversight with ISPs.
785. Jason Heinecke, Arvada, CO, 80005
I support strong net neutrality backed by strict Title II oversight of ISPs.
786. Michelle Timm, Denver, CO, 80030
Keep net neutrality and title 2 for ISP's! There is already enough manipulation and money grubbing by corporate criminals, don't make room for any more!
787. Jennifer Shannon, Westminster, CO, 80021
I strongly agree with net neutrality. Please keep regulation in place to protect my privacy.
788. net, westminster, CO, 80234
I support Title 2 and Net Neutrality. To take it away will be to benefit only big businesses and to stifle consumer innovation
789. Rachel Davis, Lakewood, CO, 80232
I strongly support Net Neutrality and regulation of ISP's. Remember that States have passed laws to address the problem of the cable companies blatantly disregarding the time windows that they said they would be at the customer's house. And you think they won't blatantly discriminate against competing content providers when a profit is involved for doing so?! Neither

do I.

790. Heather Clark, Westminster, CO, 80234
Currently we live in a country where our President likes to hear only one side of the story. So it's no surprise that Ajit Pai became the head of the FCC even though he has major conflicts of interest (like being a past lawyer for Verizon who previously sued the FCC). However think on this: if we change the rules protecting our internet information freedoms, eventually it will come back to specifically bite conservative websites. A new administration will eventually take over and we will have the IRS all over again. Do you want the same regulations you are trying to impose under a liberal president? Title II regulations make sense and should remain.
791. Taylor Meddles, Arvada, CO, 80004
Please keep net neutrality. The internet should be a utility, and all web traffic should be treated the same. Without regulation businesses will take advantage of the American people whom you serve. Thank you
792. Dan Burkett, Arvada, CO, 80004
I support current net neutrality rules which include ISPs being classified as Title II.
793. Alex Ensminger, Golden, CO, 80401
I believe in the sanctity of an open internet where access is explicitly protected from interference and preferential treatment by ISPs wishing to promote some content over others. There should be no relationship between the content and the speed at which it is delivered. This has been the foundation on which the internet has thus far produced staggering innovations, and we must preserve this to protect our place as the most innovative, open, and free country in the world when it comes to connected technology.
794. Nick Moore, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I support strong(er) net neutrality backed by title 2. Anything less would be a terrible change. Even with the current rules in place ISPs still do what they want. Currently comcast/xfinity is blocking the Starz app for mobile and devices like apple TV and Fire TV from working because it doesn't like that it's a single app that you can either authenticate with your ISP or subscribe to directly with Starz.
795. Megan Madorin, Golden, CO, 80401
I support preserving internet neutrality and Title II provisions.
796. Nicolas Aretakis, Golden, CO, 80401
Net neutrality must be maintained. By moving the internet into Title 2 of the telecommunications act, the FCC insured that it would have the necessary protections and allow freedom of speech. If net neutrality rules are repealed there is nothing to stop the ISPs from controlling content and manipulating, and thereby censoring, speech. This is a critical issue and the FCC is uniquely poised to solely defend net neutrality. Without the FCC's rules, American's will lose access to the greatest bastion of information and communication that has even been invented. Net neutrality rules must be maintained in as a part of Title 2.
797. D L Van Eperen, Westminster, CO, 80021
I am writing to express my support for maintaining current net neutrality rules and to continue Title II oversight levels. It is imperative that ISPs are not allowed to pick and choose content. Choking the pipeline of unpaid content or content that they do not like is not acceptable and going backwards to a situation where ISPs can make content they dislike, for whatever reason, difficult to access is not acceptable.
798. Carlo Schmidt, Arvada, CO, 80004
Keep title 2! For the love of all that is good and holy in this world, keep title 2.
799. Kathryn Moore, WHEAT RIDGE, CO, 80033
Title II net neutrality is important and needs to remain in place. PLEASE stay strong on this regulation. Losing hold of net neutrality only services those with deep pockets whom are doing their best to limit the information available to the public. Internet service providers should give consumers access to all legal content and applications on an equal basis. We have personally already experienced the frustrating effects of this in our own household. I'm appalled that this is even up for debate right now.
800. Andrew Poe, Arvada, CO, 80005
I am strongly opposed to the rescission of Net Neutrality and to the reclassification of Internet Service Providers being regulated under Title I, and not Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Regulating ISPs under Title II must be maintained in order to prevent the abuse of their position as providers of a necessary service to the American people. By allowing ISPs to throttle the connection to businesses and individuals who are unwilling, or unable, to pay exorbitant fees, you will be effectively quashing any kind of true competition on the internet. Comcast, AT&T and Time Warner will be in complete control over the markets for hundreds of thousands of industries, preventing startups from entering marketplaces and bolstering the business of a select few who are willing to pay-to-play. The free market depends on startups and entrepreneurs to create competition in order to reduce prices, bring change and innovation, and keep larger companies from

controlling necessary services. Removing the protections afforded by the current Net Neutrality rules will grant untold power and influence to an already corrupt and over-powerful industry. It will have exclusively negative consequences for the American people, and people around the world who rely on American innovation and services. There is no conceivable benefit to the average person. The only people who stand to benefit from this are the owners of 3 major corporations, and the politicians who have, and continue to take donations from those corporations. Please do not rescind Net Neutrality or reclassify ISP's under Title I of the Communications Act of 1934, and instead leave things as they are by maintaining their classification under Title II so that the people of the world can keep a free and open internet. Thank you for your time.

801. Eric Loader, Denver, CO, 80229

I am in full support of strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight. In this digital age, with society trending toward the internet of things, it is more important than ever to maintain a free and open pipeline for all to use. This will allow all entities, big and small, to compete on a level playing field and will allow us, the consumers, to vote with our dollars for the products/companies/services we like best. Stripping regulation of this now will set the stage for ISPs to be able to control what rises to the top and destroys the consumers'™ ability to participate in a free and open market. Every trucking company is allowed to use the roads without having to worry about paying more to use the fast lanes. Internet service should be no different. Please do not weaken net neutrality and leave it in the hands of large corporations by removing Title II oversight. I am fully opposed to Docket No. 17-108

802. Ronald Moulden, Westminster, CO, 80234

Keep ISPs under Title 2, Please keep net neutrality .

803. James Gagliano, Commerce City, CO, 80022

I supported net neutrality last time and I still strongly support it now.

804. Gareth Ambrose, Thornton, CO, 80229

Please keep the internet open. Please do not limit new companies abilities to compete in the market by allowing providers to throttle connection speeds if they don't pay up. Nothing good for the consumers or marketplace can come from putting in more barriers to free and open commerce.

805. Geoffrey Nourse, Westminster, CO, 80021

I support Net Neutrality and governance under Title II making it more difficult for corporate entities, like Verizon and Comcast to control what content is available and at what bandwidth.

806. Nicole Emrikson, Northglenn, CO, 80233

Please protect net neutrality by rejecting the new proposal, and leave it under Title II oversight for continued protection and strong oversight, ensuring the most free and open internet. Equal access to the internet is vital for a free market, democracy and an equitable nation.

807. Samantha Wiselogel, Golden, CO, 80401

Net Neutrality must be maintained. By moving internet into Title 2 of the telecommunications act, the FCC insured that it would have the necessary protections and allow freedom of speech. If net neutrality rules are repealed, there is nothing to stop the ISPs from controlling content and manipulating, and thereby, censoring speech. This is a critical issue and the FCC is uniquely poised to solely defend net neutrality. Without the FCC's rules, Americans will lose access to the greatest bastion of information and communication that has ever been invented. Net neutrality rules must be maintained as a part of Title 2.

808. Taylor Altman, Golden, CO, 80401

Internet neutrality is one of the most pressing issues in today's modern society. It is of the highest importance to keep the internet on a level playing field where providers cannot play favorites to services, customers or corporations. PLEASE protect the rights of the American people and our privacy.

809. Carl Filoreto, Arvada, CO, 80403

Net neutrality must absolutely remain intact. It must remain under the Title 2 provisions. Placing the internet in the hands of capitalist corporations who can charge high fees to move their information at a faster pace is a corruption of the system. The fair passage of information on the internet should not be impeded. Thank you.

810. Jennifer Durst, Lakewood, CO, 80228

I vehemently oppose changing the net neutrality rules. Preserve net neutrality and Title II. ISPs will not "voluntarily" police themselves (which has been shown historically) and should not have the power to determine what anyone views (through changes in connection speed) on the internet.

811. Lucy, Arvada, CO, 80003

I specifically approve of Title II oversight and regulation regarding Net Neutrality. I want strong Net Neutrality and ISP regulation.

812. Delphine Becker, Westminster, CO, 80234

Please protect net neutrality under Title II

813. James Middleton, Golden, CO, 80401
I SUPPORT strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight. I do NOT support the roll back on Net Neutrality regulations.
814. Jonathan Beland, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Keep internet service providers under Title II oversight. Net Neutrality is vital to a free society with open access to information.
815. Tricia Francis, Arvada, CO, 80004
I am a web developer. I work, play, learn and live on the internet. Net neutrality is a critical issue for me. No company or provider should have the power to affect my ability to access what I choose - or reach the audiences that I need. The internet is quickly replacing utilities we have come to rely on. In my home - and in work - it has replaced cable tv, fax lines and phone services. I use it for banking, paying bills, purchasing goods, communicating with clients... I could go on and on. Even my security system is tied into it. Most critically, my livelihood depends on it. It IS the new utility, and as such, should be subject to the same treatment as existing utilities. It is NOT a luxury. It is a critical service that, extended to all, could do much to put Americans in rural areas back to work in high paying jobs. Please do not roll back net neutrality rules. Don't step backwards or cave in to special interests. Look to the future of our country and its citizens.
816. Katie Danneman, Arvada, CO, 80004
Communication on the web should be open to everyone and not restricted or boosted based on a pay/fee system.
817. Sean Cuevo, Commerce City, CO, 80022
I strongly support the regulation of ISPs under Title II of the 1934 Communications Act. The U.N. has called the internet a human right and regulation under Title II helps ensure that the internet remains free and open.
818. Robert Frederick, Westminster, CO, 80021
ISPs should not have the ability to control the content that consumers access for their own benefit. A reduction of Net Neutrality would be yet another tool that large corporations possess to become bigger and more powerful.
819. amanda levy, Westminster, CO, 80021
Net neutrality is critical to functioning capitalism on the internet. Weakening net neutrality only benefits a handful of companies while putting innovative new companies at a competitive disadvantage and increasing prices for consumers. This works against the American innovation that is a cornerstone of our nation. Please use your positions to uphold the level playing field that allows capitalism to work and flourish.
820. Net, Edgewater, CO, 80214
My daily work is based on internet functioning smoothly for all. I support open internet and the regulations of Title II. Please take into consideration the voices of the people the people instead of corporations.
821. Kristopher Veo, Denver, CO, 80221
Shifting the classification of ISPs to anything but Title II is a grave mistake that will only result in diminished freedoms of paying customers. It is inconceivable that allowing ISPs to put their own interests ahead of customer free will and choice will result in greater competition. Paying customers pay for a service-access to the internet-not a hand-hold tour of the ISPs new features or highest bidder content. Mr. Pai's assertion that a more competitive marketplace is a falsehood he knows all too well to be false. Some areas of the country have only one option for high speed internet and when that company is allowed to throttle speeds for services or websites that are not part of the company's portfolio of paid interests, how does that exactly create a free and fair marketplace??
822. Jessica Rosenbloom, Edgewater, CO, 80214
I'm for net neutrality and the oversight and regulation of ISPs with title 2.
823. Brendan Wiggins, Westminster, CO, 80234
I am expressing my extreme displeasure with the current trend towards eliminating Net Neutrality. For years, my internet service has been at the mercy of various large ISPs, who have no desire to prioritize customer service or quality due to their effective monopoly on broadband in any particular area. That the new leadership of the FCC thinks they will not abuse any additional power they are given to 'self-regulate' is ridiculous. They have a long history of squeezing customers for everything they can get. The problem here is eliminating net neutrality will stifle innovation, putting the USA further behind on the global stage while we pad the pockets of ISP executives. Keep regulating Net Neutrality under Title II!
824. Michael Kelly, Westminster, CO, 80030
Ajit Pai, I specifically support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Please do not destroy the internet as we know it today. Thanks.
825. Daniel T Ma, Westminster, CO, 80031
Promoting one agency over another because its deemed "higher and better" shouldn't be issued by the FCC. I do not want loopholes overseen by the first amendment.

826. Susan O'Donnell, Lakewood, CO, 80232
Please protect the rules already in place re: net neutrality, Thank you.
827. Anthony Marini, Westminster, CO, 80031
I support Net Neutrality and keeping ISPs regulated under Title ii. Net neutrality is fundamental to both free speech and open competition. Freedom of speech is ensured by disallowing ISPs from throttling or blocking media outlets that they dislike. Without this stipulation, ISPs will be encouraged by market forces to effectively censor sites that host content critical of them. This could be as simple as throttling a critical YouTube video or as shameful as blocking an entire news outlet. Open competition is ensured by disallowing ISPs from throttling or blocking competitor's services. If there is a video service that I would like to subscribe to, my ISP should not be allowed to throttle or block that content simply due to that's site's competition with my ISP's offering. This effectively allows the ISP to define who competes when they, which is antithetical to an open marketplace. Additionally, ISPs exempting their own services (or those of paying partners) from data caps is a form of subsidy that distorts competition in an unfair way. If I would like to watch 4k-quality content, I would be unfairly punished for choosing a third-party service as opposed to my ISP's offering if they exempt their own from a data cap. Open competition requires that competitors are able to provide their services without monopolistic actions being taken to encourage (or force) customers into one service over another. A lack of nation-wide net neutrality rules limits competition because it could make non-ISP offerings cost-prohibitive. In a nation with as little broadband competition as the US has, many people don't have the option to change ISPs, let alone to an ISP with a similar download/upload speed. I hope someone reads these words and understands the implications of the actions being taken by the FCC. Dropping ISPs from Title ii could be very detrimental to the development of the internet. Revoking net neutrality rules only provides freedom to ISPs; not to the companies who provide services online and not to the American taxpayer.
828. David Lamy, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
The government is by the people, of the people, and for the people. Not ISPs. Our government's primary focus should be to protect our freedoms and rights. The internet should be, and must remain, an open place without opportunity for profiteering and "content tiering" by the utility that brings the 1s and 0s to you. If a privately run toll road with 3 lanes made one of them only for Toyotas, based on them receiving a boatload of money from Toyota, would that also be OK?
829. 11459 W. 105TH WAY, WESTMINSTER, CO, 80021
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II OVER SIGHT OF ISP'S KEEP THE INTERNET FREE
830. Randy Connell, Arvada, CO, 80005
We need to maintain net neutrality, and keep Title II of the Federal Communications Act.
831. Kathryn B Quinn, Westminster, CO, 80031
I support net neutrality and an open internet. This is necessary for the progress and success of the American people. The government works for the people and the people DEMAND net neutrality.
832. William Wayland, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's, lets not invite more nepotism and favoritism into the communication industry, while putting a stranglehold on startup companies and young entrepreneurs
833. Jared deSousa, Arvada, CO, 80004
I support Net neutrality and want all the greedy fat cats, who will make billions screwing over everyone they can for even more money, to know we are watching!!! GO FCC YOURSELF!!!
834. Richard Martin, Westminster, CO, 80031
Leave Title II regulations involving fcc regulations over ISPs alone. Net neutrality is the embodiment of the American way. Preserve net neutrality!
835. J. Winston, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I'M URGING YOU to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. KEEP THAT ON LOCK, DAMNIT!
836. Eneas Brodski, Lakewood, CO, 80227
I support Net Neutrality under a strong Title II
837. Cristy Fernandez, Westminster, CO, 80031
Please do not remove net neutrality. It protects myself as an individual and our small business. Removing this protection would only hurt the small guys and allow big business, that are already well off, to control markets.
838. Elly Conley, Westminster, CO, 80031
Protect net neutrality. Protect citizens, not corporations. Protect the poor and disenfranchised. Protect small businesses. Protect free speech. Protect the last level playing field.
839. Alicia Navetta, Golden, CO, 80403
Please retain proper net neutrality with Title II.

840. Michael Gatlin, Littleton, CO, 80123
I want net neutrality to stay under title 2 and for the fcc to stop trying to make corporation's bigger and bigger profits
841. Lisa Campanella, Westminster, CO, 80031
Strongly preserve title II net neutrality
842. Michael Belanger, Northglenn, CO, 80233
The Internet is a global phenomenon that enables Billions to share and access information. More and more the Internet demonstrates that it is necessary in modern society for transactions, entertainment, and sharing of ideas. This CANNOT be left to corporations and governments to control and influence. Internet Service Providers are offering access to a Utility, not an unessential service: This should be regulated like phone services.
843. Geoff Barber, Lakewood, CO, 80232
Dear Chairman Pai and the FCC, The internet has been the most democratic communication tool ever created and was designed as such by its founders. In the U.S. the internet has largely been a free and open platform allowing equal access to internet content for consumers, a true marketplace of ideas, and competition redefining economic and political realities. The current consideration of removing Title II protections and net neutrality would directly threaten that. The reclassification of the internet under Title II has been the most concrete effort taken to this point to make sure that the internet content used by citizens to access and share information can not be affected by internet service providers. This must be maintained to allow for all voices to be heard and for true competition to remain intact. Three years ago millions of people sent comments to this body regarding net neutrality protections under consideration. Studies showed that approximately 99 percent of them were in favor of those protections. Thank you for listening to the people then. Please continue to listen to the people and maintain the protections established under Title II now. Thank you very much for your time and consideration. Sincerely, Geoff Barber
844. Zach, Westminster, CO, 80234
Please preserve net neutrality rules enforced under Title 2 classification.
845. Chris Huter, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I believe in Net Neutrality rules and the enforcement under Title II.
846. Net Neutrality, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I cannot express how important a free internet is for me and the teenagers I work with. Please don't let company's control the access to the greatest information tool in human history. It will start with affecting competitors, it will end with restricted freedom of expression and peaceful religious practice. Democracy requires freedom of information.
847. Timothy C. White, Arvada, CO, 80003
Title two status on ISPs should not be revoked. This would cause irreparable damage for the consumer and to the freedom of information and commerce on which the internet has thrived.
848. Darrin Tellinghuisen, Westminster, CO, 80030
The internet belongs to all of us. It is required for many things including finding a job or finding housing or for finding your way around your town or city or for keeping in touch with your friends and family. The internet is a utility and as such it must not be subject to any data prioritization or additional monetization tiers other than access itself by providers. Free and open means not traffic-shaping or monitoring in what ways users use the utility and doing so would destroy the very foundations that the internet was built upon. I am 31 years old. I am a network engineer. My generation knows more about the internet than the average person alive today and we have a solid expectation of how our access should work and what the internet IS. We will work tirelessly to undo any destruction of net neutrality that you may decide to implement, so just know that if you should decide to ignore all of the comments that you receive on this filing and push it ahead anyway, your efforts will be futile in the long run. That is not a threat, it's just the reality of our world. Thank you for reading this.
849. Title II oversight of ISPs, Arvada, CO, 80002
I support net neutrality backed by strong oversight by title II oversight of ISPs. Please represent the members of this great country. You are here to represent us and not the special interest groups.
850. Nathan Matcheson, GOLDEN, CO, 80401
Please preserve net neutrality and the title II status of ISPs.
851. Connor Wolterstorff, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Hello, I need you, the FCC, to continue to uphold net neutrality under Title II regulations. To not do so is naive at best, and predatory worst. Enjoy your tiny Reese's mug.
852. Timothy Nordloh, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I support Net Neutrality. How much time and treasure should be spent, continually addressing this issue, every few years?
853. Stephen Molinari, Golden, CO, 80401
I wholeheartedly support strong net neutrality that is backed by title II oversight of internet service providers.

854. Taylor Davis, Thornton, CO, 80241
Worried about relaxing regulation on corporations that have historically demonstrated a willingness to prioritize competitive advantage over fair access.*Please do not reclassify ISPs.*
855. Bryan Goodwin, Thornton, CO, 80229
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality has allowed the internet to become a massive economic engine and changing those rules now would negatively impact that engine and harm future innovation.
856. Ann Neal, Thornton, CO, 80241
I support strong rules regarding net neutrality. The FCC should keep in place Title II rules for ISPs. The internet needs to remain free fair and open to all users. Please do not allow ISPs to manipulate the system for their own gain.Thank you!
857. John C Randle, Westminster, CO, 80031
It is utterly ridiculous that the FCC is now working for corporate interests instead of the people who employ them. I find it repulsive that government corruption is so rampant that you cannot leave even the most minimal protection for small business and individual citizens in place.I cannot wait until 2018 when we can vote all of your supporting corrupt administration out of a job.
858. kathryn walsh, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Please protect true net neutrality. Allow equal access to be controlled by citizens rather than companies. Please do not side with big business. Thanks.
859. Daniel Kramer, Westminster, CO, 80030
It is imperative that internet access be regulated like any other utility service. I understand the argument that infrastructure is expensive. Comcast and the others have plenty of money, and they are building out their services regardless. I will personally vote against anyone who supports throttling both politically and economically. Do what is right. Deregulating this will only make it more expensive for consumers, and we are hurting enough.
860. Jesse Peragine, Lakewood, CO, 80401
I support strong net neutrality, underlined by Title 2 classifications of ISP providers. Ajit Pai is wrong about the adverse affects, all one needs to do is listen to the shareholder calls by the ISPs themselves; they are not and have not been adversely affected by the Title 2 reclassification. ISPs will take every step to undermine net neutrality and push the content they deem more profitable, thereby making non-profitable content more difficult to find, consume and share. This will affect both sides of the politica spectrum: it will allow a ISP to throttle or slow traffic to the political content they desire. It is unfortunate that this has been open to comment again. Ajit Pai is an existential threat to our freedom of information in America.
861. Jeff Howell, Arvada, CO, 80004
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Internet bandwidth, like radio spectrum, is a public trust and must serve the public, not private enterprise. Relaxation of these rules will not benefit the public, only the bottom line of ISPs.
862. Brittany Reiser, Arvada, CO, 80005
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II Oversight for ISPs. PLEASE PROTECT THE INTERNET!
863. Austin Wright, Westminster, CO, 80030
Net neutrality and internet freedom are the extension of our first amendment rights into the digital age. Please protect our rights to a free internet - strongly maintain net neutrality.
864. Tyler Neveau, Westminster, CO, 80030
I Fully support net neutrality, and hope that the FCC preserves Title 2 and net neutrality.
865. Jason Phelps, Westminster, CO, 80021
Please preserve strict net neutrality regulations and Title II. Serving the interests of the people should outweigh serving those of big businesses (who already squeeze us tight enough as it is). If you will not protect us, who will?
866. CARL ELLISON, Westminster, CO, 80030
I support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Do not change this!!
867. Ryan Smith, Edgewater, CO, 80214
Net neutrality must be enforced and treated like an open utility and enforced by the FCC with all web traffic treated equally.
868. Chase A. Ruscio, Edgewater, CO, 80214
Net Neutrality is necessary so that corporate entities cannot monopolize the internet.
869. Joseph, Denver, CO, 80233
I would like to request that the FCC strengthen net neutrality rules, to preserve net neutrality and Title II. It is delusional to insinuate that we are capable of achieving a fare share of the internet space, when the paradigm is established on corporate

profits.

870. Jason Hatch, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Save net neutrality!! Also, Ajit Pai (the Verizon shill) should be fired, but that has nothing to do with this comment. Just putting that out there in case you're taking other suggestions.
871. Andrew Sulak, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I'm stating my support for Net Neutrality and am against any laws to change the rules in place.
872. Melissa Gorman, Northglenn, CO, 80233
KEEP TITLE II, Title one is not secure and efficient. Verizon, Comcast, Century will take over the email servers and screw consumers!!!!
873. Lewis Blake, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I support Net Neutrality as it keeps the American Economy open and available to innovators who push our country forward.
874. Oscar Solis Sigala, Federal Heights, CO, 80260
Keep current Title II regulations for ISP's. You can not mess with net neutrality. ISP's cannot play favorites. We need the oversight of Title II, just don't mess with it. Title II has not affected business.
875. Ben Golden, Golden, CO, 80401
I support an open internet. More specifically I support keeping Net Neutrality regulation under Title 2.
876. Sara Golden, Golden, CO, 80401
I support an open internet. More specifically I support keeping Net Neutrality regulation under
877. Diane Hokans, Lakewood, CO, 80401
The Internet needs to be a public utility. Now. Always.
878. Joshua Patsey, Arvada, CO, 80003
I strongly support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight for ISP's
879. Katie McKinney, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I support net neutrality under Title 2. Stop trying to make the internet worse by allowing corporate greed to get in the way. It's unacceptable. Cable is dying, get over it.
880. meredith combs, Arvada, CO, 80005
If it ain't broke, don't fix it. Preserve net neutrality and title II!
881. Andrew Brodski, Lakewood, CO, 80227
Keep net neutrality, if regulation creates inefficiency why are labor unions so important. We need to grow beyond our cowboy capitalism and prevent the corporations from ruining the internet. Not everything privatized is better, remember Enron?
882. Andrew Brodski, Lakewood, CO, 80227
Keep net neutrality, if regulation creates inefficiency why are labor unions so important. We need to grow beyond our cowboy capitalism and prevent the corporations from ruining the internet. Not everything privatized is better, remember Enron? Preserve net neutrality and keep title 2!
883. Erin, Arvada, CO, 80005
Dear FCC, Net Neutrality is essential to maintain equality across the internet. The beauty of Net Neutrality is no matter what socioeconomic status you are from, you have the same access to information. This helps individuals potentially go to local libraries or homes to job search, research, and advertisement for businesses. Carl Overton discusses how Net Neutrality provides all businesses a equal chance to be successful (United States, 2017). If we don't maintain this equality, communities will suffer through the struggle if regulations are altered to accommodate towards specific systems. United States Congress Senate Committee on the Judiciary stated, "If you have the Internet become a two-tiered system of "haves" and "have-nots," controlled by a small number of corporate gatekeepers, it destroys everything that has made it one of the greatest innovations, certainly in history" (p.2). This Congress Senate Committee on the Judiciary hearing displays the importance on how our current systems empowers the individual and community to access all forms of information. Net Neutrality empowers communities in the United States through the access to information because it unites communities to better themselves in whatever way they choose. Thank you for taking the time to read my comment. Sincerely, Erin
References: United States Congress Senate Committee on the Judiciary. (2017). Why net neutrality matters: Protecting consumers and competition through meaningful open internet rules : Hearing before the committee on the judiciary, united states senate, one hundred thirteenth congress, second session, September 17, 2014. Washington: U.S. Government Publishing Office.
884. Bryan Carney, Denver, CO, 80212

We must keep Title II in place. This is the only thing that will stop major corporation from controlling how we see things on the new. Without Title II, corporation will not regulate them selves, as proven by history. WE MUST KEEP TITLE II IN PLACE!!

885. Walter Brown, Westminster, CO, 80031
Dear FCC, Please maintain and support Net Neutrality, and keep ISPs under Title II.
886. Lane Keyoth, Westminster, CO, 80021
Please uphold net neutrality regulations
887. Richard Theil, Thornton, CO, 80602
I STRONGLY SUPPORT Title II oversight, and net neutrality. Government is OF THE PEOPLE, BY THE PEOPLE, AND FOR THE PEOPLE, not of the corporation, by the corporation, and for the corporations. Stop acting like an oligarchical government, and do what THE PEOPLE WANT, which is to keep net neutrality in place.
888. Mohammad Safi, Thornton, CO, 80241
I strongly urge the fcc to support seeing net neutrality backed by title II oversight is isps
889. mike scalisi, Westminster, CO, 80031
Please protect internet freedom and keep the regulations in place that protect it
890. Sally Kribs, Arvada, CO, 80002
Please keep Net Neutrality in place! The Internet is an important public utility that should remain a level playing field for citizens and corporations. To allow some corporations to control band width and searches for all Internet users is undemocratic and will help create monopolies.
891. Jennifer Booth, Thornton, CO, 80229
I literally helped build the Internet from the ground up in the early days. Please, please do not pull Title 2. This is not what we envisioned, this is not what we intended. Information is equal, no matter the source or provider. Please keep the rules in place as they are today.
892. Michael Allman, Lakewood, CO, 80232
I support strong net neutrality & Title II
893. Stacy A. Johnson, Thornton, CO, 80229
Dear Sir or Madam, Please do not roll back the 'net neutrality legislation put in place by President Obama in 2014. CLECs have stated that the change to Title II did not have a negative effect on their bottom lines, so there is no reason to roll back the rights of users granted by the Obama legislation. Thank you, Stacy A. Johnson
894. Kyla Chambers, Edgewater, CO, 80214
Keep ISPs under Title II. I support regulated internet providers. It will not hinder or hurt investment - information taken directly from ISPs shareholder meetings.
895. Jordan Breithaupt, Thornton, CO, 80233
I wholly support strong net neutrality regulation. As a combat veteran who fought to defend our Constitution, it is offensive to see our government looking to throttle and control the First Amendment.
896. Bryan Carney, Denver, CO, 80212
We MUST keep Title II in place. Without Title II, major corporations will get to pick who get to see what & that is not an open internet. Keeping Title II in place is a MUST
897. Julie Buter, Westminster, CO, 80021
It is a right for Americans to have access to equal content and applications. Net neutrality protects this right and I would like to express my concern and opposition for eliminating it. Allowing internet providers to limit and dictate our internet access is an infringement upon freedom.
898. Luis Gerardo Sandoval, Thornton, CO, 80229
I am here to submit my appeal to you to please not do away with net neutrality. The people that are guiding this push to do away with it have absolutely no interest in how it affects the American people. People are already nickel-and-dimed to death on everything; now we have to be at the mercy of Internet service providers as well? For once, please stop siding with large corporations!
899. Thomas Busch, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I support net neutrality and Title 2. Keep it a fair and even playing field for all, big or small.
900. Adam Lundeen, Westminster, CO, 80021
Dear FCC, I rely heavily on the internet for my career and my personal life. I'm writing to request that the FCC needs to stand

up for Internet users like me and keep the net neutrality rules that are already in effect. I'm concerned about ISPs being allowed to discriminate against certain types of data or websites because ISPs could have too much power to control what I do online and when. The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Pai's proposal to hand the ISP monopolies like Verizon, Comcast, and AT&T the authority to throttle whatever they please, stripping users of the vital privacy and access safeguards we worked for and won just two years ago. I urge you to keep Title II net neutrality in place, and safeguard Internet users like me. Respectfully, Adam Lundeen

901. Ian Carpenter, Wheatridge, CO, 80033
L
902. Schedule II, Arvada, CO, 80004
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Schedule II protection. I believe the internet should be treated like a utility, and should not be allowed to discriminate content.
903. Margaret Mahoney, Lakewood, CO, 80214
I completely support NET neutrality and Title II to keep equality with our service. Trump is an idiot and doesn't know what he's talking about when he says he wants to get rid of it. Clearly!
904. Patrick Faulks, Lakewood, CO, 80214
Protect Net Neutrality. Do not let Trump deregulate this.
905. Grant Simon, Westminster, CO, 80023
Net Neutrality is extremely important. Thank you, John Oliver for gofccyourself.com
906. Brandon Foreman, Westminster, CO, 80030
I support Net Neutrality and it need to remain title 2.
907. Travis Neill, Golden, CO, 80403
Without any regulation on Net neutrality the idea that all companies will simply just do the right thing is absurd. Keep the internet a free and open space and keep the net neutrality rules
908. Donovan Mathis, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80212
First off, I did this 2-3 years ago when you were taking comment before; tell me, why is it harder to actually leave a comment? You could have easily left a link more accessible. Sad. I am writing you to let you know that you are heading completely in the wrong direction. I now know that the head of the FCC was a former Verizon lawyer which makes complete sense why he is such a stooge. Being in IT I am well aware of how easily these provider, Comcast/Verizon and the like can offer competing services, as Comcast is with view to Netflix. They could start requiring more Netflix to pay more for the nearly required ability for them to be on their network with Caching services. The rule you are looking to go back to is what built this duopoly that we have in many parts of the US, while I understand it is costly to deploy services Comcast is not one that is hurting. I would love to see all the data you have compiled on Companies not spending as much on infrastructure right after the implementation of these rules. Verizon is also a company that has stated in agreements with New York state that basically said they would deploy high speed internet to a majority of the city while not actually delivering.... How can one trust these providers? You are doing little to nothing to spur smaller companies to challenge these duopolies you are only letting them get bigger, like Sinclair media but in different respects. You should be ashamed of yourselves on how you lie to people only to make others more money to the detriment of future generations.
909. Michael Conde, Westminster, CO, 80234
I support the existing net neutrality rules, which classify ISPs under Title II provision of the Telecommunications act. To roll back these regulations would harm consumers. Please do not roll them back.
910. will epstein, lakewood, CO, 80227
Net neutrality is essential, and Title 2 is so very important! Please for the love of god do the right thing FCC!
911. Kathy Classen, Golden, CO, 80403
I support strong Net neutrality oversight using Title 2.
912. Sarah McLean, Denver, CO, 80260
Please keep Net Neutrality Regulations and Title II regulations. Internet providers are businesses and just like businesses, they need to be regulated. If you do not give them rules and regulations, it will turn to chaos. They cannot run wild with the internet. Without out these regulations, these providers can run wild and charge fees out the wazoo! This will hurt online businesses and sellers and basically any company, small business, and even families, and people who make living from the internet. I make part of my living off the internet! Letting these providers have free control over the internet will not help the economy. IT WILL HURT IT. The only thing this will do is put money into the personal pockets of the providers. Everything needs some kind of regulation. YOU ARE THE FREAKING FCC, YOU ARE SUPPOSED TO ENFORCE THESE REGULATIONS, NOT REMOVE THEM. THESE REGULATIONS EXIST FOR A REASON. The FCC won't let a woman's bare breast be shown on television, but you'll let service providers screw over it's customers for more money. By lifting regulations, you will be creating and unfair, unequal internet. Internet should BE EQUAL TO ALL. Conservative

news sites, liberal news sites, art sites, educational sites, business sites.... Without regulations, this will create favoritism, killing the online market. If Health Insurers, Doctors, Lawyers, food services have to have and follow their own regulations, SO SHOULD INTERNET SERVICE PROVIDERS.

913. Brian Ross, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80212
Keep the internet neutral and Title 2 rules
914. Jill Locken, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I'm filing a request asking you to PLEASE do NOT roll back net neutrality. Internet has become a necessity. It is important that our choices aren't being manipulated by private companies, money, politicians, etc.
915. Jennifer Eguia, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Sending a STRONG NO to reversing Title II! I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II's oversight of ISP's. Title II is the best legal foundation we currently have to be able to protect net neutrality. Do not reverse Title II & expect the Terms of Service to cover it. Title II has NOT harmed or impeded any corporation from investing in infrastructure or conducting themselves as usual. AND EVEN IF IT DID, that's still not a good enough reason to sell out the American people. Letting corporations/lobbyists buy your morals is no different from being a hooker...
916. Tiffany Alexander, Arvada, CO, 80004
I support net neutrality for Title II
917. Christopher Provost, RN, Westminster, CO, 80020
Hello, I am writing in support of the Net Neutrality with Title 2 oversight of the ISP's. Breaking or reversing this net neutrality only hurts the end consumer and does nothing to ensure my ability to use the products when I want, where I want. If I want to use Google Wallet on my Verizon Phone or wife's Apple phone, I should be able to. If you reverse the net neutrality and Verizon wants to roll out their own payment product and bar Google wallet, it's done because you took away my ability to choose. Net neutrality protects me, protects my family and my businesses I work with. Stop protecting corporate America!
918. Linda Fike, Arvada, CO, 80005
Please do NOT change the regulations or laws regarding Net Neutrality.
919. Roy Marcum-Ireson, Arvada, CO, 80005
I strongly support strong Net Neutrality rules by ISP oversight under Title II. Failure to continue oversight is unacceptable in the current environment.
920. Daniel Hemstreet, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Net neutrality is very important to protect. Please do not underestimate what changing the law will do. Thank You.
921. Seth Jennings, Lakewood, CO, 80232
Net neutrality is perennially undermined - data just passed oil as the most valuable commodity, so I guess it stands to reason that groups attempting to favorably (for them) influence regulation isn't that surprising...Keeping ISPs classified under Title II is our best chance for enforceable net neutrality in place. Reclassifying under Title I would be the approximate equivalent of a regulatory honor system. With millions, even billions of dollars on the line, that will not cut it - in an increasingly digital age, We the People need robust protections for our information access and privacy.
922. Casey, wheat ridge, CO, 80033
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not ruin the internet in order to satisfy your demonic greed.
923. John Iwanicki, Golden, CO, 80401
It is very important to keep our current strong Net Neutrality rules. Also it is important to make sure that Net Neutrality is protected under Title II. Ajit Pai's proposals are disingenuous. He is clearly a shill for the industry. Company spokesmen at Verizon have dispelled the idea that Title II has discouraged investment.
924. Rhiannon Gallagher, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80215
An open Internet is a key component of the US economy. As an entrepreneur, I know I need access to all channels of communication to promote and facilitate my business. As a student, I know that streaming content is an integral part of many educational experiences in the modern world. This proceeding would enrich large ISPs while hurting the small businesses like mine that hire American workers, support other American businesses, spend our dollars locally, and fully pay our taxes. The FCC has an opportunity to ensure a quality business and education environment for all Americans by continuing to support Net Neutrality.
925. David Jackson, Westminster, CO, 80234
Net neutrality is incredibly important. Maintain Title II oversight of ISPs.
926. Bill Ray, Arvada, CO, 80002

I OPPOSE THE RULE CHANGE. Classification of ISPs as utilities under Title 2 was and is the appropriate level of regulation. Please do NOT alter this. The proposed change back to Title 1 will unfairly tilt the scales toward existing ISPs to the detriment of new companies, new strategies, and new products. It will choke innovation, reduce choice and raise prices. The losers are customers, entrepreneurs, and start ups. Keep net neutrality as is.

927. Heather Hernandez, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I support strong net neutrality and Title II oversight of ISP! This is America, for the love of God: why are corporations allowed to run roughshod over democracy and the free access of information? Enough of this. WE SEE AND HEAR YOU and we are not ok with being ruled by greed and capitalist agendas that override the will of the human beings it affects! Keep the internet free, PERIOD.
928. Joshua Benson, Denver, CO, 80229
As a member of the startup community in the SAAS market, we are dependent on a level playing field where ISPs cannot discriminate. I support classifying broadband providers as Title II utilities. Today's Internet is no different from water or electricity, and is just as vital for prosperity and success for every American business.
929. Jeff Eberl, Golden, CO, 80401
I want internet service providers to be regulated under title 2, because net neutrality is very important to me.
930. pro, Lakewood, CO, 80215
A free and open web is critical to all. If Title II is not right, create a new strong classification for the internet; Title I does not protect people from discrimination.
931. Adam Stark, Westminster, CO, 80030
The internet needs to stay classified as a title 2 utility. Do not allow corporations to segment the internet into paid tiers. This is anti consumer, anti business, and anti American
932. Stephanie McGraw, Westminster, CO, 80020
I implore you to support the American people, as well as the international community, in keeping title II oversight of ISPs and protecting net neutrality. Please do not get rid of this.
933. Jeff, Westminster, CO, 80021
I support strong net neutrality backed by oversight 2 by ISP's
934. Erik Lee, Golden, CO, 80401
I support a strong net neutrality. I believe rolling back regulations forcing ISP's to treat all data equally is detrimental to society with the way current infrastructure is set up in the US. I believe when the US reaches a point where there are a wide variety of ISP's to choose from across the entire US, then yes, I believe that there is benefit to undoing those regulations. However as it sits now, I am against rolling back these regulations. I support strong net neutrality.
935. Cheryl Stavis, Commerce City, CO, 80022
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. Do not allow ISPs to pick and choose which websites get preference on delivery speed and which get throttled and become hard to access or blocked altogether. The internet should be like phone service - every website gets treated equally just like all phone calls get equal treatment.
936. Jonathan Lack, Golden, CO, 80401
I am writing to urge the FCC to maintain Title II status for ISPs and to not roll back Net Neutrality protections that keep the internet free and open and protect consumers against the unethical, anti-capitalist Monopolizing practices of ISPs and cable companies.
937. rob fineman, arvada, CO, 80002
chairman of fcc- protect net neutrality with title 2 protections, we cannot depend on isp's to police themselves
938. Ice, Lakewood, CO, 80228
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2. #gofccyourself
939. John Cameron, Golden, CO, 80403
Hello, I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
940. Randy, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80214
Do not weaken net neutrality standards and the FCC's ability to enforce them by reclassifying ISPs under Title I instead of Title II. To do so would be a grave act of hubris, don't underestimate the wrath of the American people if they one day wake up and find Netflix and Google no longer work as expected, the government you work for will not survive that.
941. James Boschert, Westminster, CO, 80031
Keeping the internet under Title II regulation is imperative to maintaining free and open access for all US citizens to the varied content available on the web. Do not change the current classification of the internet from Title II.

942. Mark Gilbert, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I strongly believe that internet providers should not be able to slow down or give preferential treatment to internet traffic based upon financial considerations. All internet traffic should be treated equally. I am for net neutrality and I am for maintaining the requirements put in by the Obama administration. ISPs should be kept under Title !!.
943. Waymon Barnette, Westminster, CO, 80234
Do not allow Ajit Pai to dismantle everything we worked so hard to preserve!
944. Andrew Murillo, Westminster, CO, 80031
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. Access to information should be free (as in speech and as in beer) to all. No one should be blocked off from the flow of ideas and information because they cannot pay for a fast lane, and no company should have to pay the ISPs to stay competitive. A closed internet would see Verizon and others purposely damaging services that competed with their own offerings. Keep the internet free and accessible to all, equally. Thanks.
945. Jonathan Schmaus, Westminster, CO, 80031
I am here to voice my support for strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. I implore the FCC to not undo the steps taken to protect the public in this regard. A free and open internet is one of the most important advancements in human history. Giving cable companies (or any group for that matter) powers and incentives to regulate the flow of information poses a serious threat to our cultural advancement and national competitiveness in an ever globalizing world. Cable companies (and again, most any group of people given power without regulation) have repeatedly attempted to bend (and break) the rules for financial gain at the cost of the public, and have proven themselves untrustworthy to protect their customers. This is the very reason the FCC exists, to create and enforce rules regulating the flow of digital information. This information, being tantamount to free speech in modern times, is itself a value the country holds high in its ideals. We as a country already voice our opinions on the topic 3 years ago, and it saddens me to see these wishes disregarded so soon. So again, I am here to implore the FCC, protect Net Neutrality with strong oversight of ISP's backed by title 2 regulations. The country trusts you with our very access to information, please remember that when moving forward.
946. James Morgan, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Leave Net neutrality alone! Net Neutrality is not negotiable. It's essential to everything we need in our society and democracy from educational and economic opportunities to political organizing and dissent.
947. Cheryl Crawford, Golden, CO, 80401
Please preserve net neutrality and strong net neutrality rules
948. Chad Miller, Golden, CO, 80403
We need title 2 for internet neutrality.
949. Jennifer Cline, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Keep ISPs under Title 2! Protect our internet freedom! The internet should be a place where all views are available!
950. Rob Brock, Arvada, CO, 80004
Net neutrality is absolutely vital to the ongoing progress of humanity. No ISP should be allowed to negate it. I support title 2 enforcement for ISPs.
951. Andrea Cebrecos, Denver, CO, 80234
I support strong net neutrality backed by TITLE II oversight of ISPs. Why would you want to mess with something that has been working? Afraid of...oh, I don't know...competition? Ya money hungry corrupt elitists.
952. Paul Carlson, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I fully support strict enforcement of net neutrality under Title II. Personally, I am dismayed that Internet service providers could, in their near monopoly, strangle innovation without any kind of oversight by the FCC. This policy was developed to provide a level playing field for both business and social services and communications. Please hold them to a high standard.
953. Shawn Kimball, Westminster, CO, 80021
To Whom It May Concern, A free and open internet is essential to the American public for a variety of reasons and protections for Net Neutrality must be maintained. First, activities that would be legal without Net Neutrality amount to for-profit censorship. By letting ISPs selectively throttle traffic across their networks (whether or not they offer competing services), corporations would be put in control of the content their end users can access. There would be no stopping an ISP owned and controlled by conservatives (or liberals) from throttling traffic to liberal (or conservative) websites. Removing Net Neutrality protections would allow ISPs to censor and control the very information citizens rely on to make informed decisions. They may not do that by directly blocking traffic, but they would certainly be within the bounds of legality to slow traffic to and from websites they don't like to the point where access them would be practically impossible. We cannot give ISPs censorship control over the free exchange of ideas. Second, removing NN protections takes control and choice away from end users and would essentially turn the internet into a system similar to the failing big cable companies of yesteryear.

The evidence is there that people want choice, diversity, and control over how they consume content. Just look at how more and more people are moving away from the big cable companies and seeking out "À la carte" content services. Taking away NN would wrest this control away from end users and concentrate it in the hands of ISPs because they would be incentivized to offer competing content and then either they could throttle traffic to that competition or charge a premium to the competitors for access to consumers. In an era where end users want freedom of choice, we cannot let ISPs take that freedom for themselves. Third, loss of Net Neutrality would mean less innovation, less competition, and less freedom. By allowing ISPs to control access, they could snuff out start up companies that might have a real shot at competing on an open market. They could charge a premium to websites and content providers as a sort of "protection money" so that any time a potential competitor tried to come along, the ISP could just throttle traffic to it. There would be no more innovation because start-ups would never have a chance of becoming established. A non-neutral 'net would be more prone to monopolies because companies that could pay ISPs more could push out competitors until there were fewer and fewer content providers. ISPs could reap tremendous profits while stifling choices and limiting options for both end users and content providers. Fourth, non-neutral networks are contrary to the very core "free market" principles of conservatives and libertarians everywhere. By letting ISPs be the gatekeepers of content, there would be no true way to "let the market decide" because good ideas may be throttled out of existence before enough people were ever exposed to them. A level playing field, equal access, and equal opportunity are essential to truly free markets. If the Internet is the "free market of ideas" then no one must be allowed to impose their biases and preferences on it. Removing Net Neutrality rules would essentially establish ISPs as unappointed regulators of the internet and they could influence and control the flow of information, ideas, and content however they wanted. The Internet must remain free and open and conservatives and libertarians should be the champions of that freedom and openness. In summary, the loss of Net Neutrality harms everyone from end users to content creators and benefits only the ISPs. The FCC must absolutely reject its chairman's suggestion that Net Neutrality is harmful. The FCC must protect and maintain a free and open internet. One need look no further than today's headlines to see evidence that ISPs will take full advantage of non-neutral networks to pad their own pockets while harming content providers and end users. Just today there are stories about New York suing Time Warner Cable because it was intentionally slowing traffic to gamers and Netflix users in order to extract profits from the companies. We cannot allow violations like that to become the norm or it will be the death of the Internet.

954. Cory Olson, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I strongly support net neutrality with continued Title II oversight of ISPs. It is your responsibility to protect our freedom by regulating those who provide internet service to us. Failing to do so would be viewed as either apathy or incompetency regarding the freedom of those you are meant to serve, neither of which would bode well for the future of the FCC.
955. STEPHANIE BLACK, Westminster, CO, 80234
Keep Title II in place so that corporations don't keep taking our protections to increase their profits.
956. William Rose, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Please keep the internet FREE! Do not allow ISPs to profit from the public's privacy!
957. Andrew Mastin, Westminster, CO, 80021
Hello, I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's.
958. alex butcher, lakewood, CO, 80232
Title II is the only way to continually check the growing power of this country's ISPs. To believe a simple agreement will prevent these companies from throttling or altogether blocking competing services is a joke. Please continue to serve the PUBLIC trust by maintaining this small level of accountability on these oligopolies.
959. Logan, Golden, CO, 80401
NET NEUTRALITY MUST REMAIN. FAVORITISM IS NOT THE BEST SOLUTION AND ISP'S NEED TO BE CONTROLLED UNDER TITLE 2.
960. Hailey Mastin, Westminster, CO, 80021
I am urging you to support strong net neutrality backed by title II.
961. Heidi Hintz, Lakewood, CO, 80232
Do not roll back the net neutrality rules. Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2. This is an important freedom to protect.
962. Darin Mullen, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Please continue to protect net neutrality through Title II oversight.
963. Juliette Jimenez, Thornton, CO, 80241
In an ever-advancing society that so heavily relies on the connectivity and readily available wealth of information that the internet provides, net neutrality is now more important than ever. Its preservation is necessary because the internet is such a large part of American daily life, of American daily business, that its loss would not only mean a decline in the closeness of our people, but a decline in the enterprising spirit that has driven this country for centuries. Competition in the free market has been a large facet of American economics since our country's inception, and the stifling of opportunities to become a self-made man or woman that the loss of net neutrality would reap is incorrigible and un-American.

964. Austin Nelson, Lakewood, CO, 80235
I am writing to express my overwhelming support for regulating ISPs under Title II provisions. Your current plans to dismantle current Net Neutrality provisions is a disgrace to our democracy. This idea that somehow ISPs will voluntarily maintain a fair and open internet, when there is money to be made through preferential bandwidth, is a pipe dream at best, and an absolute lie to the American people. Find it in your souls to do what's right for this country. Chairman Pai, you are a transparent stooge for the telecom industry, and it would be best for our entire country if you and your smug smile and your childish coffee mug stepped away from the post. I have no idea how you trick yourself into sleeping at night.
965. Chris Ruvolo, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Keep Net Neutrality and Title 2 the same!
966. Brian Moody, Arvada, CO, 80004
Rolling back net neutrality rules could have long term negative effects on the economy and stifle technology innovation. Many ISPs have different departments involved in many different industries that would benefit by slowing connections to competitors like Netflix, YouTube, gaming providers, social media and journalism. This may not be a specific violation of anti-monopoly laws but it's a conflicting interest that will be abused more and more over time based on my knowledge of oligopoly models. In closing, I would like to maintain net neutrality not only for personal interests but for everyone and the economy as a whole.
967. Christina Serieno, Edgewater, CO, 80214
This is ridiculous, net neutrality needs to be preserved. I do not agree with the current government state of mind.
968. Chris Kelley, Arvada, CO, 80003
I urge the FCC to keep Strong Internet Neutrality backed by Title II regulation.
969. Nicholas Banos, Denver, CO, 80212
Preserve net neutrality and title two! Preserve fairness.
970. Dave Caldwell, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I strongly support maintaining net neutrality and Title II
971. John Tyler Whiteley, Denver, CO, 80212
Net Neutrality is absolutely key to allowing equal access for everyone. Removing Title II regulations on ISPs would create a world where equal internet would not exist. It is your duty as the FCC to protect the American people from monopolies. Keep the Internet regulated.
972. Tristan Steinman, Arvada, CO, 80007
I support strong net neutrality backed my Title II oversight of ISP's.
973. kathy yonally, arvada, CO, 80005
I support the current FCC regulations and would like to see net neutrality kept in place.
974. Brendan Doner, Golden, CO, 80401
I highly support net neutrality under title II.
975. Jordan Nazario, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I support net neutrality. The regulations should not be rolled back
976. Jordan Hood, Westminster, CO, 80031
I support net neutrality being classified under Type 2 restrictions
977. Brennadette LeDoux, Westminster, CO, 80021
I urge you to keep strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II. America is the land of the free and the internet should remain that way as well. Thank you for listening to the plea of an American citizen.
978. paul mikolaj, Westminster, CO, 80031
Please continue to honor net neutrality
979. Sherri Rich, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Please keep the Title II restrictions on Net Neutrality.
980. Michael Gloystein, Westminster, CO, 80030
Please protect our right to freedom of information by keeping our internet service providers classified under Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934.
981. Melvin R Ladewig, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I'm writing to express disagreement with the proposed changes under proceeding 17.108. The FCC should retain the current

rules on net neutrality and ISPs should remain governed under Title II as common carriers. These carriers have shown consistent past behavior that make it clear that they will take action that will negatively impact consumers, content providers and competing product without these rules in place. The internet, and internet service is absolutely a utility critical for business, consumers and entertainment.

982. Joe Paone, Golden, CO, 80401
I support the free and open internet and believe the FCC's decision to classify it as a utility was a necessary step to preserving net neutrality. I do not support Chairman Pai's view that we should end these protections.
983. Antonio D Flori, Arvada, CO, 80003
I support Strong net neutrality which includes title 2 regulation of internet service providers. Please continue to regulate these internet service providers under title 2 to preserve an open and free internet.
984. j, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Please maintain and uphold the title 2 rules regarding net neutrality. Free market capitalism can only flourish on a level playing field.
985. Efren Ledezma Gallardo, Commerce City, CO, 80022
As a Veteran of the U.S. Army, I highly support Net Neutrality and do not want our freedom to choose what we look at be influenced by corporate gain and corruption.
986. Sophia Ahmed, Golden, CO, 80401
The internet should be protected. I am in favor of net neutrality. Stop ruining everything just because you want to line your pockets.
987. Net Neutrality, Thornton, CO, 80229
Net neutrality is essential to the continued free exchange of information, ideas and innovation the open internet has allowed to exist. Please support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
988. Ben Caldwell, Northglenn, CO, 80233
Preserve net neutrality. Title II is the only way to have proper oversight over ISP's.
989. Jon O'Grady, Westminster, CO, 80031
I want net neutrality backed by title II regulations.
990. christopher strait, Arvada, CO, 80003
dont change any laws regarding net neutrality. the internet is perfect the way it is.
991. Annie, Arvada, CO, 80003
I support strong net neutrality. DO NOT roll back the Obama era net neutrality agreements.
992. Richard Mahnken, West Minster, CO, 80234
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of the ISPs. It has not been some future looking service. There were many examples of ISPs acting not in the best interest of customers and internet freedom. Please continue to protect our internet with strong government oversight under title 2 protection.
993. Ben Pettis, Arvada, CO, 80004
I strongly oppose Ajit Pai's proposed changes to FCC rules regarding the regulation of the Internet. I strongly believe that access to a free an open Internet is not just critical to supporting American businesses, but has rapidly become a cornerstone of a functioning democracy as well.I support the Title II classification of the Internet as a common carrier. ISPs should not be able to throttle or otherwise limit service based on the specific content being transmitted. Doing so does not encourage competition, but rather enables large companies to maintain their dominance over smaller ones, and fundamentally limits the freedom that the Internet provides. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. Isn't it possible they could do this again?After Tom Wheeler created FCC rules guaranteeing new neutrality, we saw the proliferation of new online video streaming services such as Netflix, Hulu, YouTube TV, and others. I am concerned that rolling back the rules will drastically hinder the ability of these services--and others--to provide new and interesting content to American viewers, and have a net negative impact on the US economy.
994. M. Mathis, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80212
Net neutrality is vital to the entrepreneurial spirit of the United States. Telecoms must remain under Title II to keep a fair and level playing field.
995. kristin scherrer, Arvada, CO, 80004
I strongly support net neutrality under the provisions of title 2. Do not change our internet regulations!!
996. Matthew jolly, Lakewood, CO, 80228

Leave the Net Neutrality rules alone. We need title 2 protection. Just because ISPs haven't done anything yet doesn't mean they won't!

997. Jesse Mason, Arvada, CO, 80003
I strongly believe that net neutrality needs to be maintained by leaving Title II intact and unaltered. Any support to unravel this policy because of economic reasons is solely based on corporate interests and is NOT supporting a free democracy but rather a self interest greedy agenda.
998. Lora Million, ARVADA, CO, 80005
I strongly support Title 2 of net neutrality!
999. Lili Armstrong, Westminster, CO, 80234
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It needs regulation and all content on the internet deserve equal access and treatment. Do not weaken the system. Do not rely on companies that have vested interest in their (or their paid partners) content gets loaded faster than competitors content.
1000. Candice Litzenberg, Westminster, CO, 80234
I want you to preserve net neutrality under Title II, as it is the only way to continue to maintain level and equal playing fields regardless of influence or money capabilities.
1001. Michael Skeen, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I strongly support preserving the existing net neutrality regulations and regulation of internet service providers under Title II classification.
1002. Nikki Pollack, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Please preserve net neutrality and keep it under Title II. Our kids and our future depend on having equal access to everything the internet has to offer.
1003. Corey Langseth, Arvada, CO, 80002
Keep the net neutral! Keep ISPs title 2!!
1004. Jason, golden, CO, 80401
Pai, We need to protect the internet. I support Strong Net Neutrality backed by title 2. Thank you
1005. Rob Devereaux, lakewood, CO, 80215
i support net strong neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs!!!!
1006. Daniel Freedman, Golden, CO, 80401
I vehemently support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of all ISPs.
1007. Jack Lenny, Westminster, CO, 80031
Please preserve net neutrality and keep the ISPs in Title II.
1008. Jennifer Gornstein, Westminster, CO, 80031
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This is critical to maintaining the integrity of our constitution, and anything less than Tutle II oversight is simply not acceptable.
1009. Erika Mackelvie, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Support Net Neutrality! I don't support reduced internet speeds for smaller companies. Don't let giant corporations control what sites I can visit easily!
1010. Michael Frank, Northglenn, CO, 80241
How is this still an issue? This government is so corrupt it is crazy. Don't "loosen" the restrictions to title 1 or 2 or make any changes to net neutrality. If anything, make it harder for companies to fix internet speeds to worsen certain websites.
1011. Kara Fox, Golden, CO, 80401
I support strong net neutrality, title 2 oversight of ISP's.
1012. Neil Brallier, Arvada, CO, 80002
Please keep the current Net Neutrality rules as they are. I have already disconnected Comcast due to their crappy customer service and holier-than-thou attitude when trying to get various problems fixed. If Verizon starts manipulating Internet access under new rules, I will throw away my Air Card and stop using their service as well. It's bad enough to have all of the greedy junk that you have to wade through without having a greedy ISP slowing it all down unless it what THEY make money on.
1013. Cutter Shea, wheat ridge, CO, 80214
Everyone benefits from strong regulations to ensure net neutrality.

1014. Keith G Thompson, golden, CO, 80401
I am in support of Net Neutrality with Title II enforcement.
1015. Marc, Golden, CO, 80403
Please continue to enforce net neutrality rules by maintaining regulations of ISPs under Title II of the 1934 Communications Act.
1016. Sarah Good, Lakewood, CO, 80232
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Do not decrease the regulations or change it to title I.
1017. Robert Abbot II, Thornton, CO, 80260
I support the strong regulation of ISPs under Title II protections. I believe that rolling back regulations will harm small businesses. Rolling back regulations will also give any big-name ISP's the ability to freely throttle the speed of any competitor (i.e. Netflix, Hulu, etc.).
1018. blake hoing, Lakewood, CO, 80227
I very strongly support strong net neutrality rules and the regulation of ISP's under title II.
1019. Katrina Hoing, Lakewood, CO, 80227
I very much support strong net neutrality rules and the regulation of ISPs under Title II.
1020. natalie tsantes, LAKEWOOD, CO, 80232
I support net neutrality and Title II oversight on ISP.
1021. Jennifer Sniadecki, Westminster, CO, 80031
Support Title 2 for Net Neutrality
1022. Jason Sniadecki, Westminster, CO, 80031
This is in support of net neutrality and Title 2.
1023. Brian salisbury, Avarda, CO, 80003
I support net neutrality and the current title 2 regulations please do not remove these consumer protections.
1024. kerrie dallman, Commerce City, CO, 80022
Please protect net neutrality and title II. The internet, connectivity, and receipt of information must play on a level field. Please do not step away from net neutrality!
1025. Rudy W. Refuerzo, Northglenn, CO, 80260
I am for Net Neutrality, and title II. We need to keep the internet fair, The other alternative that are being considered will only make it less reliable and will enable abusers of the internet to flourish.
1026. Neal Sorensen, Westminster, CO, 80234
This Action is unacceptable. This is the wrong direction for the Internet, which should be declared a public utility. The internet does not belong to anyone, therefore no one should be given the ability to control the flow of information.
1027. Julieta Giraldez, Golden, CO, 80403
Please preserve Internet Neutrality and ISPs in Title II
1028. Brian Quarnstrom, Golden, CO, 80403
Please preserve NET NEUTRALITY and ISPs in TITLE II
1029. Wencil Stanek, Westminster, CO, 80030
I support Title 2 control and net neutrality! Freedom of information access and consumption is a vital part of the freedom of expression.
1030. Mohamed Mohamed, Denver, CO, 80401
Do not let your chairman reclassify ISPs. They should be kept in Title II so that consumers can keep enjoying a free and open internet.
1031. Barry Jones, Henderson, CO, 80640
I support net neutrality laws to keep our internet service providers honest.
1032. Alexander Bridwell, Denver, CO, 80228
I want to support net neutrality
1033. Greg Mogab, Arvada, CO, 80004
As an internet entrepreneur that relies on a fair and open internet for my and my employee's livelihoods, I cannot stress

enough how important maintaining that openness is to me. I am strongly in favor of classifying the internet access as a title 2 common carrier sort of arrangement. Forcing ISPs to treat all data, regardless of source or destination, equally is critical to keeping the United States competitive in a global market.

1034. Matthew Tobias, Arvada, CO, 80002
Please keep Internet Service Providers under Title II to preserve true Net Neutrality. They are already monopolies, they don't need the help.
1035. Jim Thomas, Lakewood, CO, 80235
Protect net neutrality, we small businesses and individuals will be harmed without it. Protect free speech by maintaining net neutrality. It also fosters innovation and business growth, so that big providers cannot sell access to the highest bidder. Net Neutrality leaves control over your Internet experience with me, the user. Network operators will be charging extra money depending on where you want to go and what you want to do online. The internet will become a commodity with access sold to the highest bidders.
1036. Paige Franks, Lakewood, CO, 80227
Don't mess with my internet! America is already behind in the internet/technology aspect. Changing anything to help the bigger business will only put us more behind. Actually do something for the people of the country.
1037. Dalton Lukasik, Lakewood, CO, 80227
I am in support of net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Thanks
1038. Ryan Coyle, Westminster, CO, 80031
We support strong internet neutrality and keeping ISPs classified under Title II. There is no reason to change the classification. Good day.p.s. I hope you drop your Reese's mug.
1039. Brian Gibson, Thornton, CO, 80229
This US Army Veteran supports strong Title II Net Neutrality Rules and so should you. I fought for the freedom of the American people, not American corporations seeking to benefit financially by influencing the regulating bodies who should be representing the American People.
1040. Restoring Internet Freedom, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Do not dilute or alter Title II of the Communication Act of 1934.Do not allow the open internet to be destroyed by large corporations using their wealth to alter our guaranteed American right to freedom of choice.
1041. Rowan Livengood, Lakewood, CO, 80235
I, specifically support strong net-neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please reserve net-neutrality and Title II.
1042. Kelly Rogers, Arvada, CO, 80004
I am appalled that there would even be the SUGGESTION to alter internet neutrality rules with respect to Title II oversight. I urge the FCC to refrain from changing the existing policies governing internet neutrality.
1043. Jared Koumentis, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I urge the FCC to not remove net neutrality protections from being classified as Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934 and Section 706 of the Telecommunications act of 1996. Instead, net neutrality should be strengthened, as a way to encourage and support innovation within the US. The Internet must remain a level playing field for all involved, and no preference given to data of certain types, or to or from certain entities.
1044. James, Arvada, CO, 80002
Please preserver net neutrality.
1045. Timothy Strong, Arvada, CO, 80003
We need net neutrality. It is quite apparent that cable companies will take advantage of deregulation as they have in the past, like cell phone companies continue to do. Net neutrality has seemed to spur innovation like Google fiber.
1046. Frank Fine, ARVADA, CO, 80003
Net neutrality is a basic freedom that all individuals should have. If you allow companies to run unregulated in a closed market, like the ISP's in this country, then you will get the same result as the unregulated banks had in the housing crisis. Worst of all you will you will be stifling the thing the congress claims to cherish the most, the 1st amendment.
1047. Levi Stephen, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 classification of ISPs. The internet needs to remain a free market for the benefit of all the people. Do not let Trump ruin our country.
1048. Jeremiah Krigbaum, Denver, CO, 80214
I am strongly in favor of NET NEUTRALITY and Title II. Thanks.

1049. Frank Bruner, Arvada, CO, 80002
Do not reclassify ISP's under title 1. Reclassifying will destroy net neutrality. ISP's must stay under title 2 to preserve the freedom of the internet. Do not let corporations kill one of the last "freedoms" that most of us Americans have.
1050. Matthew Hassler, Thornton, CO, 80241
I strongly urge the FCC to preserve the strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II, and preserve a free and equal internet.
1051. Carol Farina, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I think the new FCC chair is a smooth talker who favors corporate giants like Verizon over ordinary internet users. We need to retain Title 2
1052. David Modiano, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Net Neutrality is a foundation to the internet in the United States. Throttling speed to websites must not be allowed by ISPs. Whether it is an Etsy account or a global news website, giving one website or company preferential speeds would be to undermine freedom of speech. I support Net Neutrality.
1053. Jacob Slifer, Arvada, CO, 80002
I support the existing Net Neutrality rules and believe that Chairman Pai's intent to repeal them would be an awful mistake. Strong Title II oversight of ISPs is the right way to encourage the free and fair use of the internet.
1054. Benjamin E Romero, Arvada, CO, 80004
I support strong net neutrality and the continuation of broadband classification under title 2.
1055. Dave Arnold, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I'd like to state that I am concerned with the FCC and wish to voice my support of net neutrality and preserving title II. Can I count on the FCC to support these vital principles/laws?
1056. Daniel Justin, Westminster, CO, 80021
I support a free and open internet protected by Title II. We will cease to be leaders in innovation without a fair playing field.
1057. Chandler Seamount, Golden, CO, 80401
I support strong protections for net neutrality under title 2 of the communications act.
1058. Tanya Adams, Lakewood, CO, 80227
I OBVIOUSLY support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs.
1059. Daniel Adams, Lakewood, CO, 80227
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. FCC, you need to protect net neutrality and Title II regulations. To do otherwise would be a grave mistake.
1060. Bobby Johnson, Edgewater, CO, 80214
I support strong Net Neutrality. ISPs should be regulated under title 2! A free and open internet is good for everyone.
1061. Katrina Managan, Edgewater, CO, 80214
I support strong net neutrality rules and the regulation of isp's under title II.
1062. Robert N Cizik, Golden, CO, 80401
Please keep the current FCC restrictions in place that actually prevent ISP's from slowing down or blocking service for certain content. Relying on their "word" or "promise" to do so is completely absurd and denies consumers who provide their hard earned money for these services any protection against it.
1063. katie evans, Westminster, CO, 80020
I support strong protections for net neutrality under title two.
1064. George Kourkoulotis, Lakewood, CO, 80228
PLEASE uphold net neutrality as it is. No favoritism for any one group or set of groups.
1065. Eric Small, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I support staying with Title II, to protect Net Neutrality. I remember SOPA, and I recall that ISPs can't be trusted to not try to gouge the American public for all the money they possibly can, if given the chance. They absolutely will extort money by hampering the internet to their preferences for personal wealth and gain. I'd bet every penny I have that they would if the internet is exposed to their goals.
1066. Joshua Evans, Westminster, CO, 80020
I support strong protections for net neutrality under Title 2 authority.
1067. Frank Davis, Lakewood, CO, 80215

Stop trying to take my free and open internet away from me. Keep ISPs under Title II and fuck off.

1068. Tory Netherton, Westminster, CO, 80234
Net neutrality matters to me. If removed from Title 2 oversight, large ISPs will undoubtedly eventually abuse their position. Keep ISPs regulated by Title 2. Preserve net neutrality.
1069. Kelsey Satalino, Arvada, CO, 80003
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Pai's proposal to give the ISP monopolies like Comcast the ability to create Internet fast lanes--the Internet should be a level playing field. Chairman Pai's claim to the media that monopolies won't take advantage of this proposal is a faulty argument. We should not have to depend on the goodwill of these monopolies; we need legal protections in place to keep monopolies like Verizon, AT&T, etc. from determining which sites and content are easy to access and using their power as Internet gatekeepers for their own ends. I fully support Title II net neutrality rules and hope the FCC will support net neutrality and reject Chairman Pai's proposal.
1070. Bryan Weatherly, Northglenn, CO, 80260
I support strict net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs under the Communications Act of 1934.
1071. Christina Camacho, Lakewood, CO, 80214
You, the FCC, cannot allow a rollback of the 2015 The Open Internet provision which regulates ISPs so they do not give advantages to companies who pay them, or slow access to sites which are not a part of a conglomerate or other major media corporation. Dismantling this seems part of an initiative where larger companies look to gain advantages over others at the expense of the people, and it seems odd that Ajit Pai, with his ties to Verizon, would be anyone's choice as someone to make regulations in this industry. Do not allow this to happen.
1072. jennifer hagman- hazell, Golden, CO, 80401
Please preserve the protections for net neutrality. This has been critical to consumer protection and serves an important purpose.
1073. Steve Schwarz, Northglenn, CO, 80260
Please enforce net neutrality under title II
1074. Joshua Keyes, Lakewood, CO, 80227
I support the enforcement of net neutrality under Title II. These rules and regulations are important and shouldn't be removed or placed on a different legal foothold.
1075. Camilla Franklin, Arvada, CO, 80003
Specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of Isp's
1076. Scott Thompson, Arvada, CO, 80005
With the consolidation of media, diminishing role of printed news, and growing public distrust of media in general, net neutrality is one of the few safeguards left for free speech, which protects our feeble democracy. It is unconstitutional to bar net neutrality.
1077. Richard Sego, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80214
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Furthermore, why are we having this debate AGAIN? Didn't the public make it clear the first time, we do not want to give telecom companies free rein to cheat and manipulate the market? Stand up for net neutrality!
1078. Shannon Sturtevant, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Please retain net neutrality protected by Title 2 classifications
1079. Stephanie Wood, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I LOVE NET NEUTRALITY! It is beautiful and important, and like a rare species of animal, it must be protected. Much unlike a rare animal, we should protect Net Neutrality through Title II, making sure everyone has the ability to access all websites all the time, from any ISP! Thank you for your time.
1080. James Pollard, commerce city, CO, 80022
do not change away from article II. lifting these restrictions only helps corporations restrict the flow of free information to the american people. it is you job to protect the american people. Do your job and help the people instead of whoever has the biggest pocket book. you did it once, please do it again. Right now you're better than Congress, you actually listen to the will of the people. don't let that change
1081. Jenna Fenner, wheat ridge, CO, 80033
I support strong net neutrality laws, backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
1082. Nicholas Fallon, Arvada, CO, 80004

My profession as a web developer significantly relies upon the products I develop, large or small, being equally accessible to every person in the United States. Large companies cannot be allowed to have their already substantial financial influence grant them an unfair advantage supported by internet service providers in the internet market. Competition is necessary for innovation in technology, and competition cannot be bought. We cannot allow the ISP lobby to pick and choose which content they expose to their customers.

1083. Kimberly Thuon, Thornton, CO, 80241
I support net neutrality. Keep the internet rules that are currently under Title 2.
1084. Barbara Jedrzejek, Commerce City, CO, 80022
I support stronger net neutrality laws supported by Title II
1085. Paul Clanton, Thornton, CO, 80241
Keep ISPs under Title II. I support Net Neutrality.
1086. gregory george, Commerce City, CO, 80022
I support strong ISP regulation for net neutrality and continued title II categorization.
1087. Travis Otto, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. (And yes, I learned of this from John Oliver but independently, strongly believe in this.) Thank you.
1088. Will smith, Westminster, CO, 80031
I support Neutrality and keeping the internet equal for all organizations and companies. Do not let the huge businesses control what we are able to see on the internet
1089. Melinda Salazar, Thornton, CO, 80229
Another classic example of dirty Republicans siding with big corporations. Leave the internet alone. I support strong net neutrality with title 2 provision for isps
1090. sarah eisenbud, Denver, CO, 80221
I support net neutrality. Please keep strong title 2 regulations that prevent corporations from influencing access to content on the Web...because that's your job.
1091. Ben Campbell, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This should have happened a long time ago, please stop dragging it out because we'll just keep saying the same thing and you're wasting everyone's time!
1092. rafael rodriguez, westminster, CO, 80030
I strongly support net neutrally under title 2 supervision of isp's.
1093. Jeffrey Turley, Arvada, CO, 80003
I specifically support net neutrality as backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers.
1094. John Oliver, Thornton, CO, 80241
I am in favor of strong Net Neutrality and Title II oversight of ISP's. I am also in favor of a new chair of the FCC who is not bias on this subject
1095. Audra Laquidara, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II by any means necessary!
1096. Jedidiah Burtoft, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Net Neutrality is the backbone of free and open internet, it must be preserved for without the unbiased flow of information the potential to sway the minds of the masses falls deeper into the hands of the powerful.
1097. Jeremy Godres, Westminster, CO, 80021
Keep the stronger regulations on ISPs as it relates to the subject of Net Neutrality. The idea of a company even having an option to restrict access to content on the internet, for any reason, but most importantly, in the case that doing so may increase their profits, should never be allowed to even be possible. The internet can only truly function and flourish when its content, and the availability of that content, is determined by content creators, not by the ISPs themselves.
1098. Logan Kendrick, Westminster, CO, 80021
I strongly support maintaining the regulations of title 2 and support net neutrality.
1099. brian criss, brighton, CO, 80603
I support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight over isp's

1100. Joseph A. Rollin, Golden, CO, 80401
As a person who uses the internet for my consulting firm and in my leisure time, equal access to different sites is critical. Preserve net neutrality. Maintain regulation of ISPs under Title 2. Support a key underpinning that makes America the innovation hub of the world.
1101. Tanner Siebe, Arvada, CO, 80005
readme
1102. Shawn Bagby, Commerce City, CO, 80022
Please Keep Net Neutrality and Title II as it is. DO NOT CHANGE ANYTHING.
1103. Ruth Duerr, Westminster, CO, 80021
I am in favor of extremely strong net neutrality rules. Do NOT change the current FCC net neutrality rules. The existing rules allow a level playing field for businesses both large and small; individuals; charitable and political groups of all types and sizes. They are fundamental to our democracy (so it would be UN-AMERICAN to weaken them in any way). ISP's should not have the power to charge more or limit access to websites. Customers like I need these rules in place so that we can hold them accountable. Also, ISP's are practically monopolies as it is, since customers like myself have very little choice in providers (there are basically two here); which provides yet another reason for ensuring that rules are in place to ensure their accountability and to protect consumers.
1104. anna ferguson8, westminster, CO, 80021
preserve TITLE 2
1105. Paul Deibert, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Please keep regulating ISPs the same way they have been regulated most recently. To think they would behave without regulation is not realistic. Thank you.
1106. eliot armstrong, arvada, CO, 80003
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs -- seriously!
1107. Peter Griffin, Denver, CO, 80030
The FCC needs to stand up for Internet users and protect the net neutrality rules that are already in effect. The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Pai's plan to hand the ISP monopolies the authority to throttle whatever they please, stripping users of the necessary privacy and access protections we worked for and just recently won. In fact, the FCC should cleanse themselves of executives the likes of Mr. Pai altogether, as their current agenda is a demonstration of their absolute lack of competence. I'm mostly concerned about ISPs being allowed to discriminate against certain types of data or websites because of pressure created by ISPs' (holdings') trustees to prioritize traffic for financial profit. Thankfully, the existing FCC regulations mean that ISP monopolies can't block or slow users' ability to see certain web services or engage in data discrimination by charging websites and online service money to reach people faster. The tech companies we know today are a direct result of the existence of a level-playground, allowing competition to succeed on ingenuity rather than capital investment. If the FCC abandon net neutrality, the internet is likely to spawn countless unregulated darknet-type networks, where decentralized services will thrive, essentially benefiting tech-savvy users, but the inherent market fragmentation will result in less regulatory oversight and, likely contrary to certain lobbyists' expectations, major decline in the bottom line of most global internet businesses. Ultimately, regulators such as the FCC will find themselves "fighting" public interest instead of maintaining the aforementioned level-playground that lead to all of today's network-related innovation and profit. The reason the internet has been a catalyst of success are the basic precepts on which it was built. Net neutrality is one of them and shall not be touched. Not now, not ever. Breaking net neutrality is a step backwards in evolving the human kind into an interconnected species. I urge you to keep Title II net neutrality in place, and safeguard our future.
1108. becky weisberg, westminster, CO, 80021
Preserve title II!! Net neutrality!
1109. Conor Whitchurch, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Under no circumstances should private, for-profit companies in the field of telecom be allowed to adjust access to information here in the Information Age based off of their own whims. Do NOT deregulate them. Hosting access to internet sites and services is - due to the the importance of general information availability, a VITAL aspect of democracy and republics - now transcended to the level of national public interest. It is no longer - and has not been for years now - merely a profitable option to offer consumers wherein Company A makes entertaining shows and Company B brokers access to those shows, charging both consumers and producers. Again, due to the importance of access to public information, though the outline of that relationship has not changed, the significance of it remaining neutral has risen to such a level that it MUST be protected in a serious manner.
1110. Ron Sully, Lakewood, CO, 80226
The "Open Access" rules should remain under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
1111. Title II, Commerce City, CO, 80022

We need to keep strong Title II net neutrality rules! Our voices, thoughts, and actions are not up for your marginalization!
This is not a fight we are willing to lose!

1112. Crissy Arellano, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Preserve net neutrality! This is important!!!
1113. Amber Rose, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Please, you must keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II!
1114. Carlyn Yarde, Arvada, CO, 80004
I am strongly In Support of net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs
1115. Chris Levesque, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Net Neutrality should remain. Don't change Title 2. This is a utility that must be kept away from "pay to play" politics.
1116. gerrid vandermolen, Thornton, CO, 80241
Net Neutrality should not be undone. ISPs should not have the power to throttle broadband speed on any site. We are not a third world country. We are the richest country in human existence and should act as such.
1117. Patrick O'Fallon, Golden, CO, 80401
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. It is obvious to the constituency what is behind the goals of the large communications corporations and there is indeed case law indicating this is not in the best interest of the citizens.
1118. Liz Hall, Arvada, CO, 80004
The American people MUST continue to have net neutrality protected by Title II. Allowing the internet providers the opportunity to police themselves is a REALLY BAD IDEA! They are wolves and we are the sheep. The FCC is supposed to work for the good of the people of the US, not sell themselves to the highest bidders! Yes, selling your soul to the Drumpfian ideals of this new administration seems to be the ongoing trend, but the FCC needs to protect the CONSUMERS right to a free and open internet. That is one of your mandates.
1119. Roy Mucilli, Arvada, CO, 80004
I strongly support net neutrality governed under Title 2 provisions
1120. D Blaylock, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Keeping the Internet where all users are treated equal is important. The proposed change would allow the ISPs to choose winners and losers. This would allow less innovation.
1121. Alan Weaver, Arvada, CO, 80005
Change NOTHING in the Net Neutrality rules as they were written in 2014. The rules are just fine as written in 2014.
1122. larry blakesley, Henderson, CO, 80640
I can't believe we are doing this again. You know what the people want and you know why they want it. Net neutrality.
1123. Anthony, Westminster, CO, 80030
The internet's current idealistic formâ€”offering free and equal access to consumers and businesses alikeâ€”must be maintained under Title 2. Altering net neutrality is like placing red tape around free marketâ€”instead of slowing the flow of economic growth, free thought and new business would sputter. Not to say non-equal internet access would not effect the American economy, because it's quite clear it would. The Goliaths of industry would gobble up available resources and kill small business, denying the American people's access to a free and open market. Shame on the FCC for even considering eliminating net neutralityâ€”a total liberty and democracy killer.
1124. Christopher, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Internet Neutrality = Democracy. I do not support your agencies recent actions leading to the erosion internet neutrality which is necessary for a FREE and FAIR society.
1125. Ajit Pai, Lakewood, CO, 80214
Hey Ajit Pai. My name is Andrew Daub and I specifically SUPPORT strong Net Neutrality and the Title II (2) oversight of Internet Service Provider's (ISP). Please don't mess with the {Title II (2)} our internet access. If you DO mess with our internet, I hope that the person who kicks you in between the legs is wearing one of those over-sized, spiked, steel-toed boots, but that is meant as a joke. If that were to happen, I REALLY do hope the kick misses your manhood. Have a nice day.
1126. Steven C. DeCaluwe, Golden, CO, 80401
Access to reliable, fast, efficient internet services is a core need for anyone participating in today's economy. The rationale used by the anti-net neutrality crowd remains unconvincing, and at times shows an overall poor understanding of how the internet works. Overall, though, I am in favor of:a) net neutrality, or something similar to replace it if it is struck down, and b) evidence-based policy. The knock that net neutrality stifles innovation is a nice theory, and might be correct, but I have

yet to see any evidence to actually demonstrate the actual effects on innovation, and to disentangle any recent trends from other possible influences.

1127. Tara Waters, Arvada, CO, 80004
Please keep the internet open and regulated by maintaining the rules backed by Title II.
1128. Lucas Kane Maliszewski, Arvada, CO, 80005
I Lucas Kane Maliszewski support strong net neutrality, backed by title 2, oversight of ISP's.
1129. James Wadell, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I am writing to express, in the strongest terms possible, my belief that net neutrality must be upheld. Nothing will stifle innovation in the modern American economy more than allowing giant ISPs the "freedom" to stifle competition in favor of their own interests. They administer an American resource, internet bandwidth, in the public trust, and must not be allowed to abuse this privileged position. Their position is exactly what Congress had in mind when they allowed the strong regulation of public utilities. As a member of a currently thriving small business, we cannot afford the fees that would allow our customers to find us on a restricted, pay-for-play internet. We do not have the time and resources to scrounge through the hidden back-waters for the specialty services and goods we require to do business. Chairman Pai's characterization of this proposed regulation as "increasing freedom" is entirely backward. It would give us the freedom to find the products our ISP promotes, and access the information our ISP approves. In business as well as in private life, this is not acceptable. Thank you for your consideration.
1130. Mury Sigua, Lakewood, CO, 80215
It is imperative, to not only American values but to its citizens as well, that you preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.
1131. Alx O'Rielly, Arvada, CO, 80004
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by title 2 oversight of internet service providers(ISP). They already have a large history of abusing net neutrality and they must be held accountable by the government in a way that they cannot abuse. There are even lawsuits this year to try and defend net neutrality against ISP's that continue to do ant-competitive practices to pad their bottom line. <https://www.polygon.com/2017/2/9/14548880/time-warner-lawsuit-new-york-league-of-legends-netflix>
1132. Belinda Court, Westminster, CO, 80031
I, Belinda Court, support Internet neutrality.
1133. Cooper Benson, Westminster, CO, 80021
As an employee of a small startup who could not afford to pay to get competitive access to consumers via a non-neutral network, I must strongly oppose the suggested plan. Part of what led to the explosive rise of the internet was the low cost of entry into the marketplace, and that companies competed on the merits of their products, not whether they could afford to get access to the consumer. Take a look at the top companies who started in a garage within the past few decades who now stand as some of the most powerful companies in the world: Google, Facebook, Netflix. All three of these could grow explosively because the web was an open marketplace. This plan betrays all of the entrepreneurs seeking to replicate this success, instead favoring established, multinational telecoms who appear to have no interest in protecting the rights of their consumers or those who rely on the internet for their business.
1134. Grant B, Arvada, CO, 80005
While archaic, Title 2 provides us the best defense against ISPs giving into their worst instinct to create fast lanes and promote their own products and services. The recent merger of AT&T and DirecTV; Comcast and NBC; and the proposed merger of Charter and Time Warner show motivation to consolidate entertainment and access to the internet. This worries me as a fan of services in direct competition with these companies proprietary entertainment platforms. That being said, entertainment may just be the start. Homepages for all ISPs have proprietary news services, which if we follow (admittedly a slippery slope), could be our only source of news as access to other sources of news can be curtailed and censored. In short, the internet is no longer just a luxury for gaming and video watching. It is now our primary link to each other, how we communicate, debate, socialize, and interact with our government and our representatives. The internet has become just as much a public service as the telephone and electricity. Any attempt to allow either the government or private enterprise the ability to control access to it is a massive blow to the strength and competitiveness of this country--which is already woefully behind other countries that invest in high-speed fiber and stronger access for all its citizens. I strongly urge you to keep ISPs under Title 2 until the FCC can come up with new rules and regulations specifically built for our new 21st century world.
1135. Lindsay Jaworski, Westminster, CO, 80021
I am in support of strong net neutrality rules, backed by Title II
1136. David Neilson, Westminster, CO, 80021
I wish for, and strongly support, Net Neutrality backed by stronger regulations and TITLE 2 oversight of ISP's. I am deeply opposed to lessening regulations. Thank you.
1137. Joe Armstrong, Westminster, CO, 80031

The internet should belong to all of us, not just the ISP's and advertisers. Please maintain net neutrality.

1138. Daniel Lagage, Arvada, CO, 80005
It would be an absolute travesty to remove the regulations behind net-neutrality. I work in a library system within Colorado and understand the importance of providing information to our patrons. I have no interest in selecting a vendor based on who will potentially not limit my bandwidth/speeds based on the requests of my patrons. Keep Net-neutrality under Title II.
1139. Sydney Rajchel, Golden, CO, 80403
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. As an American it is my right to search for funny cat videos on what ever platform I choose without the manipulative tampering from companies who are pushing their own agenda for profit. PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II.
1140. Adam Markham, Golden, CO, 80403
Net neutrality is important to me. It is foundational to a free and open internet and drives the innovation surrounding this community. The USA is a technology leader and eliminating these provisions is the first step on a long road towards the abdication of our global role. I cannot adequately express in words the importance of maintaining an open unconstrained internet and having this enforced by law.
1141. Autumn Ayers, Arvada, CO, 80004
I am in favor of a strong net neutrality. Net neutrality is essential to maintaining fairness and democratic values on the internet and must be protected for the sake of its users.
1142. Andrew J Busold, Arvada, CO, 80003
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. Without it we are doomed!
1143. Brooke Pablo, Lakewood, CO, 80401
I understand less regulation is usually desirable. But as it stands I have one option for the internet in my location. There is no competition. And I am in a large metroplex. This is a terrible idea for consumers. And if I am not mistaken many of these providers have taken government money to build out these networks. They are a utility and changing these rules with no competition is a very poor idea.
1144. Anthony Roberts, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Dear FCC,Classify all Internet Service Providers under Title II. It is the only way to provide a legally enforceable set of rules that protects consumers from unfair and anti-competitive behavior.Pretending that because the internet isn't a dystopian hell-scape now, we don't need strong protections, is exactly the sort of toothless regulatory regime that huge corporations want. We don't need business friendly regulations. We need strong, consumer focused regulations. We don't need the FCC to stand up for the rights of corporations, they've got plenty of highly paid lawyers to do that. We need an FCC that defends and protects consumers from unfair practices. Comcast, Time Warner, Verizon, AT&T, these are some of the most hated companies in the country. If you think for one second they care about their customers, then you are being willfully ignorant, because I quick Google search will tell you exactly how far ISPs will go to screw over their customers in the name of profit.Again, Title II classification for ISPs is the only way to ensure a fair and open internet, and the FCC's misleadingly named "Restoring Internet Freedom" proceedings need to be renamed, because the only Freedoms being restored are the freedoms ISPs will have to screw over their customers even more than they already do.Sincerely,Anthony Roberts
1145. Jake Tomich, Arvada, CO, 80003
I strongly support the continued regulation of ISPs under Title 2 of the 1934 Telecommunications Act. Please FCC. If you care about the people of America who depend on having access to free, unrestricted internet, then you will not roll back the current Net Neutrality rules. Ajit Pai is biased towards Verizon (his former employer), and is misleading the public about the effect of the current Net Neutrality rules. Please FCC.
1146. Julie kazimer, Westminster, CO, 80021
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2. We shall not wavier on access for all.
1147. rachel, westminster, CO, 80030
we need net neutrality , do not reverse .
1148. Ben Telthorst, Lakewood, CO, 80214
I am writing to express my support in keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
1149. Erika Valentine, Thornton, CO, 80233
In the Modern age, internet connectivity has become as essential a service as telephone or RF broadcast ever had been. I feel strongly that the Title II regulatory protections provided to the citizenry of the United States of America must be equally applied to this essential utility.Thank you for your time and attention.-Erika
1150. Allison Binder, Lakewood, CO, 80227
Please preserve the strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

1151. Justin D Short, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The internet at this point is an essential right to information. No one company should be allowed to decide what that right is worth, or what is available at that time.
1152. Dan Schupack, Westminster, CO, 80021
Net Neutrality should remain under title 2 and be protected.
1153. Thomas Grant, Westminster, CO, 80021
I support net neutrality. Preserve net neutrality. Keep ISPs classified as title 2 and maintain what makes America truly great.
1154. Yvonne Stephens, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I absolutely support Net Neutrality, please please please make sure that I am taken seriously
1155. robert lucero, Denver, CO, 80021
Taking this away will destroy internet commerce and directly attack content providers. Do not take away net neutrality.
1156. Patrick Bachmann, Arvada, CO, 80003
ISOs should be regulated under Title II to preserve net neutrality. ISOs voluntary regulation is insufficient to ensure the internet is not subject to unscrupulous limitation and favoritism
1157. Austin Millard, Arvada, CO, 80005
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs, don't cut regulations that only help big corporations screw over everyone else
1158. John ReganHolzheimer, Northglenn, CO, 80233
I support strong internet regulation. Preserve net neutrality and title 2! Do not allow the ISP to spoil the internet as we know it.
1159. Britt Ramsay, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Preserve strong net neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs!
1160. John Cross, Westminster, CO, 80031
Net neutrality is crucial. Please retain net neutrality under the current rules of Title II.
1161. Nicholas Boltz, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Please keep the internet neutral. Keep on title 2
1162. shannon, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Net neutrality is vital to the future. Protect it. Or face the fact that you'll be on the wrong side of history.
1163. brett adams, Lakewood, CO, 80226
The FCC must uphold net neutrality
1164. Heather Hernandez, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Keep the internet neutral! Can we PLEASE make laws to prevent us from having to monitor this ridiculous issue? We the People have jobs and families and would like to know that our regulating agencies can do their job and protect CITIZENS, not shareholders and corporate interests. Do you not see how America is persisting in their revolution against this corporate rule? We are DONE with this nonsense. Protect net neutrality, period.
1165. Devon Colson, lakewood, CO, 80226
please preserve net neutrality and title 2 as it allows for equality in the web.
1166. Logan Young, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I strongly support net neutrality and think it is vital for a free and equal society. DO NOT sell our rights to billion dollar corporations.
1167. Domini Zopf, Arvada, CO, 80007
I am strongly in favor of a STRONG net neutrality policy with a Title II oversight. Do not take this away from us!
1168. Hayden Zopf, Arvada, CO, 80007
I am heavily in favor of a strong net neutrality policy with a Title II oversight. Do not take this away from us!
1169. Benjamin Syn, Westminster, CO, 80234
It is essential that Internet Service Providers (ISPs) remain classified under Title II and that true, enforceable Net Neutrality continue. Thank you.
1170. Leah Wilner, Lakewood, CO, 80226

Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. ISPs should be legally obligated to provide the same quality and speed of service to all customers regardless of what they are watching and how/if it benefits the ISP.

1171. Mark Pickart, Arvada, CO, 80004
I am strongly in favor of Net Neutrality and the preservation of Title 2.
1172. David Osse, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I am strongly in favor of retaining the net neutrality rules as currently written under title II. You need to do what is right for the people!!!!
1173. Alan Luu, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80212
Please keep internet service providers classified under Title II. I believe all users, content creators, providers of the internet should operate under equal and fair rules of Title II.
1174. shaina young, Arvada, CO, 80004
Net neutrality and title 2 regulations must stay intact for the integrity of our freedoms of choice and speech. To remove this safe guard and rely on the "honesty" of companies to do the right thing will not work, as proven by history.
1175. Rachael Gibson, Arvada, CO, 80004
I strongly support title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934 and i support Net Neutrality do not take away either, we need them!
1176. Thomas, Arvada, CO, 80003
I would like the FCC to preserve net neutrality under title 2. Thank you very much.
1177. Megan Patnott, Arvada, CO, 80002
I think that it's very important that ISPs continue to be under Title II oversight. I strongly oppose allowing ISPs to restrict access to sites they don't approve of or to base internet speeds on how much a company or customer can afford to pay. Preserving Title II oversight is the best way to ensure this, especially given Congress's disfunction.
1178. Lily, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I would like you to preserve net neutrality under title 2. These regulations ensure free and equal access to the internet.
1179. Sarah Paterson, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Pai, please preserve net neutrality and Title II. I work for an internet company and we are in no way, shape or form hurting from Title II. Our investments are plentiful.
1180. Donya Hoffman, Lakewood, CO, 80227
I support strong net neutrality under title 2 for ISP's You can thank John Oliver :-)
1181. Scott Schafer, Golden, CO, 80401
I fully support strong regulation of Net Neutrality under Title II
1182. Rich Green, Arvada, CO, 80005
I support net neutrality as supported by title one.
1183. Christopher Renz, Lakewood, CO, 80227
I support net neutrality. Keep it under title two.
1184. Lynn noesner, Wheat ridge, CO, 80033
I don't want the FCC to roll back regulations. The FCC should continue to protect net neutrality rather than serving corporate interests at Verizon.
1185. Benjamin Hutcherson, Edgewater, CO, 80214
I am writing today to let the FCC and its chairman Ajit Pai know that I am in full support of Title II and strong regulatory oversight by the FCC for all ISPs. I believe that a free and open internet is essential to our democracy's core values, and that the empirical evidence that supports the need for strong oversight should not be ignored. I hope that Mr. Pai and all those at the FCC will work in the best interests of consumers, not corporations, and ensure that every American has equal internet access.
1186. Johann Ortner, Westminster, CO, 80031
Keep Net Neutrality protected under Title 2
1187. Emilyn Winkelmeyer, Arvada, CO, 80003
I strongly support net neutrality, or "Internet openness." Despite the negative implications of the statement in paragraph 3 of NPRM, WC Docket No. 17-108, I also support the FCC's "massive shift" in favor of a government of the people controlling the Internet. Just two years ago, the FCC acted as a government of the people when it issued the Title II Order

after receiving input from almost 4 million commenters the vast majority of whom supported net neutrality. The FCC should not now ask the people to return the power they thus claimed and instead trust Internet providers to self-police once they regain control of the Internet under "light-touch" regulation. Furthermore, I strongly disagree with the analysis in paragraph 27 of the NPRM, WC Docket No. 17-108 because the FCC correctly concluded in the Title II Order that the "indispensable function" of broadband Internet access service is "the connection link that in turn enables access to the essentially unlimited range of Internet-based services." Internet service providers should remain correctly classified as a "telecommunications service" for the reasons detailed in the Title II Order, paragraphs 306-433, and particularly because this classification provides the FCC with the authority to enforce no-blocking and anti-discrimination provisions against Internet service providers.

1188. T.S. Houser, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Net Neutrality is a core tenant of a free Internet. Please consider this comment as my request to maintain the Net Neutrality agreements without manipulation. The American People do not need any restrictions on this free and democratic institution.
1189. gene tewksbury, golden, CO, 80401
STop this BS. For once can't you guys just do what you already know is right.KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!KEEP TITLE II That's it.. just stop the bs please. Stop being big business' bed mate.
1190. Paul Loudermilk, Lakewood, CO, 80226
To Ajit Pai: I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Anything less regulated I fear will create a less competitive marketplace and worse internet availability for consumer, which is not acceptable to me as a taxpayer and citizen.
1191. Devin Johnson, Thornton, CO, 80233
I specifically support strong net neutrality, that's why title two over site of isp's
1192. Greg Winters, Lakewood, CO, 80214
We STRONGLY SUPPORT internet neutrality backing Title 2 oversight of ISP's!!!!
1193. Tory Moir, Westminster, CO, 80021
I am filing this comment to express my strong support for robust net neutrality protections, including continuing to regulate ISPs under Title II status.
1194. Kyle Vines, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Net neutrality it very important to myself and the small businesses that I own. Please don't roll back regulations.
1195. Net Neutrality, Northglenn, CO, 80260
Preserve net neutrality and TITLE TWO!
1196. net neutrality, Westminster, CO, 80021
We need net neutrality and ISP's current placement under Title II. Stop being an idiot k thanks
1197. Charles E. Brayton, Littleton, CO, 80127
I believe in strong net neutrality under Title 2 and expect you to support it too.
1198. Kenton Seward, Arvada, CO, 80005
I want the FCC to preserve net neutrality and the title 2 classification of ISPs. Freedom of information should be a critical right of the people that stands beside our right to free speech.
1199. Florentine, Broomfield, CO, 80020
I strongly believe that you must preserve net neutrality and title two. There must be regulation that ensures isp's do not limit our access to information and entertainment purely for their own profit. The fact that the current leadership and administration do not embrace this, I believe, is in direct conflict with what the vast majority of their constituency wants. This in and of itself is anti democratic and against all that i believe in.
1200. Olivia Salmon, WHEAT RIDGE, CO, 80033
I support net neutrality in accordance with the protections of title II.
1201. Sharyl Thombs, Arvada, CO, 80005
I support the preservation of net neutrality and the continued filing of ISPs under the title 2 regulations.
1202. Alex Green, Westminster, CO, 80031
I strongly support net neutrality and title 2 regulation of ISPs.
1203. Ruth Rinehart, Golden, CO, 80403
It is extremely important to the open access to the Internet that we maintain net neutrality, and keep ISPs regulated under Title II.

1204. Robyn Nolan, Arvada, CO, 80004
Net neutrality must be maintained under title II. Decreasing this regulation would compromise free enterprise and competition as well as hurt education by biasing information access.
1205. Sara Wollard, Wheat Rdige, CO, 80033
We need to keep Net Neutrality under the Title 2 where it belongs. Internet companies are not capable of policing themselves and the public needs to know they have laws in place for our protection.
1206. Andrew Malewski, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II backed by oversight of ISP's
1207. Candice Neilson, Westminster, CO, 80021
This is intended to express my strong support of net neutrality as governed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
1208. Jeremiah Beers, Westminster, CO, 80021
I strongly support Net Neutrality governed as a Title II provision. Communication companies routinely top the "worst company" award, and they cannot be trusted to drive an open internet.
1209. Roz Farnam, Golden, CO, 80401
I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I want to be able to chose to see what I want, not some rich person's idea of what I should see.
1210. Josh Thompson, Thornton, CO, 80260
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It is necessary for us to have a free and open internet system. Do not roll back the current net neutrality laws. Doing can only be in the favor of companies looking to abuse such a system in their favor.
1211. sharon j. rohrbach, Northglenn, CO, 80234
It is imperative that Internet neutrality be preserved and protected and upheld under title 2 status. Internet is of and for the people. If pai undoes title 2, and isp's start fast and slow lanes based on their profit potential, then access will be uneven, unaffordable, unpredictable, unfair, and unethically restricted and controlled.
1212. Melissa Bell, Littleton, CO, 80123
I support to continuation of net neutrality protection under title II
1213. Rob McGowan, Lakewood, CO, 80214
We must keep Net Neutrality and Article 2 to keep the internet a better resource for the future.
1214. jorge traslavina, Westminster, CO, 80234
Please. Keep the current rules regulating internet providers as they are.
1215. Aaron Birkholz, Westminster, CO, 80031
I support net nutrality and Title 2. Not just because John Oliver has told me to do so, but because I'm over corporate fuckery. Please and thank you.
1216. Ben Graham, Golden, CO, 80401
No market can self regulate itself without inviting corruption. The ISP market is no different. We need net neutrality laws to protect consumers and the freedom of information.
1217. Brian Hernandez, Lakewood, CO, 80232
I fully support net neutrality and prefer to keep it as is. Thank you.
1218. Robbie Nikont, Lakewood, CO, 80228
We need strong net neutrality backed by title II over site of ISP's!
1219. david sherrill, arvada, CO, 80002
I support title 2. If you greedy bastards take this away from us then may chuck norris find you.
1220. Eric H, Thronton, CO, 80229
Please preserve net neutrality and maintain ISP's under title II. The internet has become a utility for the majority of people in this country and should be regulated by strict guidelines to maintain fair and equal access.
1221. Douglas R Wolf, Henderson, CO, 80640
Chairman Pai, Leave net neutrality in place. You now work for the American people not Verizon. ISPs should be kept under title 2. Net neutrality is important to our economic security.I'm a political conservative and I know net neutrality is a good regulation.Douglas R Wolf

1222. Sue Sturbaum, Arvada, CO, 80005
I support a strong neutrality and keeping title 2. We have to have checks and balances in order to keep this a even playing field and with out this we open the door to a corrupt and biased system. SAVE TITLE 2!
1223. Cynthia Wahe, Golden, CO, 80403
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, I support the roll back of the Title II regulations. Regards, Cynthia Wahe 16732 W 48th Ln Golden, CO 80403"
1224. David Solliday, Lakewood, CO, 80226
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Please Rollback the takeover by Obama of the Internet. It is important to maintain the freedom that we now have in using the Internet. Regards, David Solliday 15 S Reed St Lakewood, CO 80226"
1225. Michon Scott, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Net neutrality is the first amendment of the Internet. The rules that went into effect under the Obama administration were necessary in 2015, and they're necessary now. Frankly it's appalling that we have to keep arguing this. Ajit Pai should listen to the American people, not the pro-corporate bots.
1226. Zachary Zabielski, Denver, CO, 80260
ISPs belong under title 2! How is this even debatable? Space x is planning one of the largest infrastructure investments in history to start an isp under current title 2 regulation so I would say that infrastructure spending is alive and well as things stand.
1227. William Robinson, Northglenn, CO, 80260
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, It is time to get the Government out of every aspect of the lives of American citizens Roll Back all of these controls. Regards, William Robinson 1452 W 103rd Ave Northglenn, CO 80260"
1228. Jordan Capeloto, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80214
I support strong net neutrality, backed by title II oversight of ISPs. There have been arguments that we can just have the ISPs self-police themselves, and voluntarily agree to keep the internet neutral, but i don't see why we should trust a large profit-driven company to do what isn't in it's best interest when we can just keep a law that is already in place. You wouldn't want an interstate to arbitrarily decide that anyone driving a car manufactured by Ford can go 70 mph, while any other make is slapped with a 40 mph limit. An ISP is an internet service provider. It provides access to the internet. It should be like the metaphorical highway. You should be able to go 70 mph whether you're going to church or planned parenthood on the same highway.
1229. Alonit Katzman, Arvada, CO, 80004
Net neutrality is imperative because it allows each individual to share or access information without corporate involvement. If corporations were allowed to dictate what an individual could see or the speed that a page could be opened, this would harm small business, minorities, and individuals who would not have equal access.
1230. Corey Binder, Lakewood, CO, 80227
I am strongly in favor of keeping ISPs classified under title 2 in order to protect net neutrality and equal access of the internet. I believe it is a key component to continued democracy.
1231. Emily Adams, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I support net neutrality. Freedom of speech and access to information are required for democracy.
1232. Dale Hastin, DENVER, CO, 80233
SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY!! I don't know how repealing our protections (life
1233. Ruth DUerr, BROOMFIELD, CO, 80021
Not only is net neutrality in the interest of all Americans
1234. George Kourkouliotis, DENVER, CO, 80228
Mr. Pai
1235. Mark Glodava, ARVADA, CO, 80005
Big corporations make too much already. Don't give them any breaks.
1236. Mark Allison, DENVER, CO, 80227
Whats the deal? Do you guys ever do anything positive for the American people.
1237. Jeanette Oxelson, WHEAT RIDGE, CO, 80033
Getting rid of net neutrality would result in economic disaster for small businesses.
1238. Don Parker, GOLDEN, CO, 80401
Don't proceed with this very damaging proposal. It will give away a lot of protections for regular people and businesses to

get us nothing in return.

1239. Heidi Eversley, DENVER, CO, 80214
Protect freedom
1240. Alan Foster, ARVADA, CO, 80005
net neutrality is the necessary to the general public in order to level the playing field
1241. Judith Niemann, ARVADA, CO, 80007
Keep the internet equal access to all
1242. Chris McLeod, DENVER, CO, 80229
Fair access to all. Not just internet companies but internet users. We would never stand for disparate pricing on electricity or water and the internet is no less vital. Internet should be a public utility and a right for all!
1243. Delta Tate, ARVADA, CO, 80004
This country is falling apart.
1244. Gabe Buckner, DENVER, CO, 80233
Put users first!
1245. Rayvn Navarro, ARVADA, CO, 80002
Hell no!!
1246. Boyd Burkhart, DENVER, CO, 80232
I have listened to your justification of your stance on what has become to be known as Net Neutrality and realize that your stance cannot be explained as logical nor ethical as your priority is for big business and not for your fellow American citizens. I know that your convoluted ""explanations" for your stance sound good to you and to those that don't think too closely about your words. You are advocating for a huge disservice to freedom and to your country. Please rethink your logic in the light of ethics.
1247. Dorothy Wiseman, ARVADA, CO, 80004
I am tired of my privacy being sacrificed for the benefit of corporations.
1248. Josh Williams, DENVER, CO, 80226
Don't kill net neutrality! Listen to the people who are asking for this.
1249. Roger Clapp, DENVER, CO, 80234
How can a non-neutral internet benefit humanity? It can't. The corporations that supposedly benefit exist to benefit and unite people. If they harm our society they are in the end harmed as well. Any long term solution must benefit the greater good or it causes harm to our species
1250. Diane Capron, DENVER, CO, 80233
free and open flow of internet data must continue unhindered by self serving interests of internet service providers
1251. scott norris, DENVER, CO, 80226
I know you are working tirelessly to fuck up pretty much everything you can... The environment
1252. Doug & Mary Wylie, ARVADA, CO, 80004
Do not allow corporate interests to pick winners and losers!
1253. Angela Cito, WESTMINSTER, CO, 80031
don't kill net neutrality.
1254. Lorraine Sherry, WESTMINSTER, CO, 80031
Keep the Internet open for all users.
1255. Kristen Long, ARVADA, CO, 80004
Do NOT give away the internet to the big corporations! They already control too much of our world and our lives. Leave it alone - or increase consumer protections. Keep Net Neutrality!
1256. Jeremy Winick, WESTMINSTER, CO, 80031
Chairman Pai should recuse himself because of his connection with Verizon.
1257. Barbara Hebenstreit, ARVADA, CO, 80002
Another over-reach by big business
1258. Gregory Rappl, DENVER, CO, 80215

This is all about equity for the little guy - not the wealthy who can afford to pay whatever - and keep the little guys from slowing their time and profits down.

1259. Karen Bowman, WESTMINSTER, CO, 80031
Leave our internet alone. You're supposed to uphold the constitution not trash it.
1260. Jeanette Oxelson, WHEAT RIDGE, CO, 80033
This is a disaster for everyone except big businesses.
1261. Sandra Witecki, WHEAT RIDGE, CO, 80033
I believe they are trying to kill net neutrality because of WIKI Leaks and other sites that give us the truth. We deserve a FREE and OPEN internet!
1262. Sandra Van Ens, ARVADA, CO, 80005
This is the United States. Net Neutrality is essential for internet users. Keep the strong enforceable rules put in place in 2015 that protect the internet. Do NOT kill net neutrality!!!
1263. Thomas Boyle, WHEAT RIDGE, CO, 80033
You don't seem to realize that the argument that Net Neutrality has decreased investment argues AGAINST any action that strengthens the control over the internet by the major service providers. Corporations will ALWAYS act only in their own interest. They DON'T CARE about the public interest. That's supposed to be YOUR job. Try doing it. Even Republicans can do the right thing
1264. Carrie Sonneborn, DENVER, CO, 80215
Access to information and the ability to communicate is essential for democracy
1265. James Boschert, WESTMINSTER, CO, 80031
Net Neutrality is not optional. It must be preserved.
1266. Kaaren Davis, ARVADA, CO, 80005
The internet was classified as a utility during the last administration specifically because it has become an indispensable tool in the daily life of most every American. Much like electricity and water. Like those commodities
1267. Margaret Batschelet, ARVADA, CO, 80005
Net Neutrality is supported by a wide range of consumers. There is no excuse for gutting it.
1268. Mathew Frazer, Arvada, CO, 80003
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Anything less is an attack on my access to content and my access to potential customers.
1269. Robin Chandler, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I strongly support net neutrality. It would be an injustice to threaten the freedom of internet use.
1270. Chris Goetz, Broomfield, CO, 80021
Please retain the current "net neutrality" rules. I value the ability to access whichever Internet services I choose- both existing and emerging ones, and allowing my ISP to interfere with my choices would limit my freedom of access. There are limited choices in my area for Internet access even though I live in a developed area. I don't want to have to choose which ISP I use based on which one (if either) would allow me to access my preferred Internet resource.
1271. William G Hutchinson, Golden, CO, 80401
Maintain current FCC net neutrality regulations backed by title II.
1272. Jacob Allmer, Golden, CO, 80401
We need to maintain Net Neutrality for all of us.
1273. Greg Winters, Lakewood, CO, 80214
We strongly support STRONG internet neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's!!!
1274. Rebecca Weitz, Westminster, CO, 80031
I fully support Title II and net neutrality. Do not roll back Title II. Consumers must be allowed full access to the internet.
1275. Ajit Pai, Federal Heights, CO, 80260
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's don't take away our free internet
1276. Lenore Dolph, Thornton, CO, 80233
Public education, higher education, small businesses, including single employee home businesses, and individuals pursuing personal education goals are all dependent on easy access to a free and open internet. No slow lanes, no big business control

of content, no government censorship. All of these restrict our freedom of information. The success of any democracy depends on free access to information. Don't hog tie our democracy or our economy. Preserve Title II controls to protect net neutrality.

1277. William Courtney, Golden, CO, 80401
Net neutrality may be the most important issue to freedom in this day and age. Any actions that restrict, monitor, or otherwise threaten the legal defenses of individuals and their use of the internet is a travesty and an insult to American ideal. Protect net neutrality, protect america, or you will fail to protect the liberty and justice for all of which we pledge ourselves to.
1278. Sarah Diaz-Rivera, Westminster, CO, 80021
DO NOT roll back Title II reclassification of internet access. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
1279. Georgia Brown, Arvada, CO, 80004
Net neutrality is vital to 21st century citizenship. Keeping the Internet free of blocking, throttling, and paid prioritization schemes ensures the free flow of information. It allows individuals to speak - and be heard. accountable. The 2015 Open Internet Order correctly classified broadband as a Title II telecommunications service, and wisely created the general conduct rule to policy gatekeeping by broadband internet access providers. The FCC must neither change the classification of broadband providers, nor undermine the general conduct rule.
1280. Michele Alberts, Thornton, CO, 80241
I demand net neutrality and support Title II. Access to the internet and its resources should be free of all economic and political corporate interests and allowing preferential treatment to companies or users who pay more is inherently wrong. The internet is a public utility and should be treated as such.
1281. Glenn Basden, Elk Grove, CO, 95624
The large ISPs have repeatedly shown that they will happily manipulate internet traffic for their own benefit. We need an open internet where service providers do not play favorites. Please do not repeal the current regulations that prevent ISPs from effectively censoring content.
1282. internet freedom, Denver, CO, 80212
Keep the internet free and unobstructed by keeping net neutrality in place. The Internet is an essential utility and must be regulated so that all customers of ISP can equal access to the internet. Do what is right for America and not what is best for your personal wealth. Be a patriot and a champion of freedom.
1283. Douglas Gallagher, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
The internet should be left under Title II. The ISP's have shown that they can not be trusted. The internet is part of the commons and should not be handed over to the ISP's.
1284. Sasha Ali, Westminster, CO, 80030
Dear Sir(s)/Madam(s): Under no circumstances should net neutrality be compromised in the name of big business interest or for any other reason at all. The list of reasons for neutrality are long but include open exchange of ideas, fair and level playing field, equal opportunity, and freedom! Do not mess with our freedom and our equal opportunity.
1285. Tristan Havelick, Arvada, CO, 80004
Net neutrality is extremely important to me as a consumer and a entrepreneur. It's really important that everyone has equal access to the internet and that small companies are able to compete with the large companies. Giving large ISPs the ability to favor some traffic over others is a real danger to small business and consumer choice. Don't Comcast and the like confuse the issue.
1286. Audrey Briel, Golden, CO, 80401
I am a concerned citizen writing in support of enforced net neutrality through Title 2 oversight. The internet is an immensely powerful vehicle for free speech, and restricting access to content in any way shape or form is a perversion of our values as a country. No reasonable citizen would ever entrust the safe-keeping of this valuable resource to for-profit corporations. Maintain Title 2 oversight and regulation.
1287. Mitchell Frye, Westminster, CO, 80021
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Please consider the rollback of FCC regulations restricting the internet. Regards, Mitchell Frye 10440 Routt Ln Westminster, CO 80021"
1288. Craig McMurray, Westminster, CO, 80234
I'm in favor of net neutrality by classifying broadband as a common carrier under Title II.
1289. patrick wood, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. if you don't lock your car and leave the keys in the ignition eventually you can count on somebody stealing your car. Don't be stupid and give these isp companies the opportunity to throttle back services and

content that competes with their services and content. Keep it neutral.

1290. Sean Patrick Sanders, Broomfield, CO, 80020
The is absolutely absurd to be back up for discussion.I vehemently disagree with everything this stands for. This should not be allowed to pass. Keep the internet as it is.
1291. Lane Binder, Lakewood, CO, 80214
Stop caving to the corporations and do your job listening to the will of the people.
1292. James A. Dodd, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I support strong internet neutrality rules pursuant to Title 2 of the Communication Act.
1293. Matt Griffiths, Denver, CO, 80221
We need you to preserve net neutrality and title II oversight of ISP's. Do not let the internet companies and lobbyists cloud your judgement, we need an open internet.
1294. Zach Armstrong, Arvada, CO, 80003
The right to access all Internet content freely without your Internet provider slowing down or even blocking content is fundamental to democracy and freedom in both the US and across the world. Government-subsidized monopolies like Comcast, AT&T and Verizon should not be dictating which website we can access and how quickly we can access them. Pay to play stifles innovation, jobs, economic growth, among other things. The clear, light-touch rules enacted by the FCC in 2015 are the Internet's best hope for ensuring we have a free and open Internet.
1295. heng seang se, Westminster, CO, 80020
This bill should not be passed.
1296. Sydnei Lewis, Thornton, CO, 80233
The internet is too important globally to not have it be free. If the internet is not free it will be marked as a turning point of this generation, and not one that will be looked at positively. Keep ISPs under Title 2 and keep the internet free!
1297. Erin Tatman, Westminster, CO, 80031
ISP's are essentially a monopoly. The courts have already ruled that they do not fall under Title I; hence the creation of Title II. Please keep Title II and net neutrality intact. It's essential to small businesses.
1298. charlie majeres, LAKEWOOD, CO, 80228
Keep net neutrality alive by keeping is p's under title 2.
1299. dahnell logue, Commerce city, CO, 80022
Keeping net neutrality on title 2 is very important to me.
1300. Christopher Bullock, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I back strong Net Neutrality and believe the ISPs should be classified under Title II. There is no reason to restrict the Internet!
1301. Sandip Poddar, Westminster, CO, 80031
I am a scientist and need open internet to access presentations and big data.
1302. Ron Ardia, Littleton, CO, 80127
Allowing internet providers to speed up, slow down or block content based on corporate business plans would be a terrible blow to the open exchange of information that has made the Internet such a powerful tool worldwide.
1303. RN carol Farina, Lakewood, CO, 80215
It opens the world up to every person in the USA
1304. Debra Brown, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
It's a great tool for grassroots democracy. Leave it alone. It's the friend of everyone.
1305. Eric Hartman, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Open Internet allows people from all walks of life to access the world and is crucial in ensuring a well informed populace!
1306. Diane Sagil, Westminster, CO, 80031
I live overseas and I depend on the internet for:News and informationBanking and bill paymentsRetirement servicesFinancial/investment servicesCommunicationsPlease do not restrict my access to internet services. Do NOT reduce or interfere with the current net neutrality rules.
1307. Hildegard Hix, Arvada, CO, 80004
I love the open internet. It is my primary form of communication and information for my personal and business activities. I

need it to fair and fast.

1308. Devin Fischer, Westminster, CO, 80020
Net neutrality is central to a democracy as a means for arriving at truth.
1309. Laurie Russell, Westminster, CO, 80031
It is fair to everyone, not just the richest companies
1310. Bonnie Kenny, Lakewood, CO, 80214
I love freedom of speech!
1311. Laurel Starr, Golden, CO, 80401
It lets me the truth.
1312. RJ Cantrell, Arvada, CO, 80004
Net neutrality was and is key to how the internet works, and how it builds new ideas and new companies. Internet service providers, which already had immense power through lack of consumer choice, and are lately gaining new powers like the ability to sell customers' browsing data, should not be given more just to increase their profits. Doubly so if it harms consumers, which the allowance of this sort of favoritism almost certainly will.
1313. Sean Perkins, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Please do not allow allow a small group of powerful corporations to choke the speed of smaller websites and services. The cynically titled "Restoring Internet Freedom" proceeding is a sham. It would not restore freedom, it would impose RESTRICTIONS on the freedom of the internet. PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY.
1314. Scott Mayer, Golden, CO, 80401
Preserving strong net neutrality under Title II (1934) is essential for the success of ISPs and the minimization of unfair internet usage and corruption, company monopolies, etc.
1315. liz black, Lakewood, CO, 80214
We MUST keep the internet free and open!!!! This essential resource cannot be bought and sold to the highest bidder. Please work for the American people, not the rich guy!!!
1316. Dantes S, Lakewood, CO, 80228
PLEASE. Retain net neutrality and Tile 2.
1317. Sarah Kuiken, Northglenn, CO, 80233
Though it is hard to make a quality argument under a section titled "Brief Comments," I must express my opinion that it is incredibly important that we preserve net neutrality and oversight under Title II. Freedom to consume the content available on the internet without the interference of large, monied corporations should be a basic right in today's world. If I want Verizon or Comcast interfering with what I can download or how fast, I'll request that interference. Please protect the freedom of our internet. That's the job of the FCC, no matter who you're calling Mr. President.
1318. Julie Bennett, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Please keep ISP providers covered by Title 2 thereby preserving net neutrality. Thank you.
1319. Sustain net netrality, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Maintain net neutrality under title 2. Think about it...a free and open internet is good for everyone. It has built commerce it has created much of our current world. It is not and should not be up to one agency or one government or a bunch of greedy businesses to end net neutrality. This is not your decision.
1320. Deane Bristow, Golden, CO, 80401
How difficult is this to understand? Yes to net neutrality; assure equal access to this resource!
1321. Charles Murphy, Arvada, CO, 80004
We are not going anywhere...so leave Net Neutrality alone.
1322. Jeremy Heiman, thornnton, CO, 80241
Net Neutrality is democratic. Giving undue power over the Internet to corporations is kleptocratic and smacks of oligarchy.
1323. Christy Compton, Denver, CO, 80233
The Women's March in January was nothing compared to what you will unleash if you attack Net Neutrality. Don't embarrass your President.
1324. Gloriana Casey, Denver, CO, 80228
dear amazon:people shop you to save time and money-----with out NET NEUTRALITY=====the slkow lane for millions of shoppers will clost you time and money-----if tot's too slow...shoppers will GO! (to oher stores----so ney neutrality will kill

amazon. : 0

1325. Deborah Doolittle, Lakewood, CO, 80215
we absolutely NEED NET NEUTRALITY!! we need the internet to be an open and free place for all, not just some!!
1326. Warren Sonberg, Denver, CO, 80228
Please keep net neutrality We the people funded the research and deserve an open democratic policy for all Americans
1327. Betty Van Wicklen, lakewood, CO, 80228
In an aging society, where many also have disabilities, a free internet is a necessary avenue for research, communication and involvement in today's society. To threaten that free and neutral status would be yet another travesty on personal rights that have come with this administration!
1328. Net Nutrality, Thornton, CO, 80229
whoever is reading this I would greatly appreciate it if would maje the world wide web an open and FREE place, not one that is run with money and politics but by the people. Thank You. sincerely, Mark A. Walker
1329. Allison Dean, westminister, CO, 80031
Please keep net neutrality laws in effect
1330. matt mattson, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Title II or nothing. Don't be hostage to corporate interests at the expense of the people. That way lies oligarchy, madness, and eventually painful revolution. Protect neutrality!
1331. Daniel Kindall, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Please keep enforcing net neutrality. All web sights should be given the same speeds and bandwith by ISPs.
1332. Christina Schabow, Westminster, CO, 80030
Net Neutrality must be upheld. ISPs should remain Title II.
1333. Patrick Kane, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Keep net neutrality rules in place under Title II!!!
1334. John Beltramo, Arvada, CO, 80004
I strongly believe in net neutrality. Please keep ISPs governed by Title II (and not Title I)!
1335. eric, Morrison, CO, 80465
Preserve net neutrality...seriously. Fair access to information is vital to continuing progress and success in the United States.
1336. Chris Murdock, Arvada, CO, 80004
I believe that ISPs must continue to be classified under Title II, as this is the only method that give us the support for strong net neutrality enforcement. Removing the Title II designation and entrusting the policing to the ISPs themselves would be a grave mistake, and be harmful to the american consumer.
1337. Jennifer Segura, Lakewood, CO, 80232
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. It is important!
1338. Micah Hollenbeck, Arvada, CO, 80004
I'm in support of net neutrality. Selling the Internet out to the highest bidder is wrong and quite frankly just a way for Verizon to steal more business. The Internet is a place that should be a free space of choice and learning for everyone. Let people have a choice and let people enjoy things.
1339. Janel Andersen, Arvada, CO, 80007
It is critical to keep net neutrality. Keep ISPs regulated under Title II.
1340. JESSICA SCHUTZ, Lakewood, CO, 80226
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY & TITLE 2!!!! Do not sell out to large businesses!
1341. Victoria DiMarzio, Commerce City, CO, 80022
Please commit to keeping ISPs in title II to ensure true net neutrality
1342. Jonathan Banks, Denver, CO, 80235
Don't try to fix what isn't broken.
1343. Karola Spring, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Net Neutrality is vital and any efforts to destroy it are misguided. Tittle II rules must remain in place.
1344. Susan Peters, golden, CO, 80401

This is a basic enabling us to obtain information. It will backfire if you take it away.

1345. Feds, Denver, CO, 80260
Cause it's important
1346. John Keelan, Golden, CO, 80401
The internet is a necessary public utility and net neutrality rules must stand. Please recognize the principle that ISPs must play by the rules and be prevented from changing the existing Title 2 FCC regulations.
1347. Jeremy Schlosky, Arvada, CO, 80004
Do your job and protect consumers, not businesses.
1348. Steven Shepperd, Lakewood, CO, 80214
Net neutrality is key to free speech and open dissemination of ideas. LEAVE IT ALONE!!!!!!
1349. Laurel Starr, Golden, CO, 80401
We need title 2 oversight to protect net neutrality to maintain an equal playing field on the internet.
1350. Tim A, Arvada, CO, 80004
Undoing net neutrality and removing Title II status is a major step backwards.
1351. Natalie Nissing, Arvada, CO, 80002
We are too reliant on the internet not to keep it neutral and free.
1352. Rhonda Crutcher, Westminster, CO, 80031
The internet is a common good for all, not just corporations! They have no right to say who's voices or commerce are more important than others. The internet is for all.
1353. Anthony Roberts, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
All ISPs should be classified under Title II, because the the internet is a utility, required to fully participate in our democracy. It may have been an entertainment service 15 years ago, but today, an internet connection is no different than a phone line, and most telecom providers also offer internet access.
1354. Ryan Mahon, Westminster, CO, 80031
Don't let the FCC stifle our shared use of the internet
1355. Joe Massman, Arvada, CO, 80005
The internet is used by virtually anyone, and most of us rely upon it for everything from commerce to performing our jobs. To those ends, equal access to the internet is a necessity. The internet is a utility, and must be classified and regulated as such.
1356. Greg White, arvada, CO, 80004
The internet Must Remain FREE, UNBIASED and OPEN!!! Or we'll chop your fuck'n head OFF!!
1357. Susan Williams, Lakewood, CO, 80214
This betrayal of the public will is going to stick in our craw for a long time, and we'll remember, every time the ads roll in, who did it.
1358. M Whitehead, Lakewood, CO, 80227
Please and THANK YOU for doing the right thing.
1359. Deborah Irwin, Westminster, CO, 80021
Everyone deserves to play on an equal field.
1360. Echo Matthews, Denver, CO, 80260
We need to maintain net neutrality so that we can continue to be able to communicate with each other; with the rise of Nationalism in certain countries like the US and the UK lately, we need to illuminate the strings that bind us together globally, not cut them, lest we forget the past rise of extreme nationalism/facism like in WW2 which could have probably been prevented if we had the freedom of the internet
1361. Paula Battistelli, Denver, CO, 80233
Net neutrality means freedom of speech and expression.
1362. Karen Bowman, Westminster, CO, 80031
We must treat the net like we do any service for the public and make it available to all. Leave our net neutrality alone.
1363. Kathleen Turnbull, Arvada, CO, 80005

As a retired person, the elimination of net neutrality would inevitably make internet access unaffordable.

1364. Kathy Chenaille, Denver, CO, 80233
We don't want the internet to become biased as the Main Stream media toward corporate censorship.
1365. Karen Post, Westminster, CO, 80031
Net Neutrality is key to ensuring that everyone has access to the same information. If some sites are slowed down artificially that affects what people will use and what information they will receive first. As the person my friends, family, and family business come to to verify and discover information - I need to have equal access to all the facts; not favored access to this or that site. Please maintain net neutrality.
1366. Linda Witt, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Net neutrality is vital to the people of this country. We no longer live in a paper based world. Information exchange is now virtually all net based and should be readily available to all not just the wealthy.
1367. Robin Ladebue, Golden, CO, 80401
The internet is a platform where the little guy can compete directly with the giant corporation. It is perhaps the only level playing field and that encourages innovation.
1368. Samantha MacKenzie, Thornton, CO, 80229
I support net neutrality and do not want the status changed.
1369. Ryan Rodney, Lakewood, CO, 80227
We need to keep isp's under Title II to preserve our net neutrality. Thanks
1370. Tamara Graff, Commerce City, CO, 80022
I'm writing to ask the FCC to please protect and support strong NET neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.
1371. Nicholas Graff, Commerce city, CO, 80022
Please protect and support strong NET neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.
1372. Jordan Solla, Arvada, CO, 80004
I run a company that provides telematics services and large telecom companies have developed competing products. Without strong net neutrality provisions under Title II the telecoms could abuse their power to unfairly compete against many companies like mine by providing network preference to their own services and websites.
1373. Josh Neel, Arvada, CO, 80004
We support strong net neutrality and title 2 oversight.
1374. Donald Montgomery, Arvada, CO, 80005
Net neutrality in its current form is imperative to both the value and fairness of the internet. Maintain ISP under Title 2 and keep the internet service providers from adjusting delivery of different content. The FCC and the US government has a responsibility to all Americans in maintain the regulatory authority and Lagan footing needed to keep corporations from influencing the freedom of the internet.
1375. Jason Spallek, Golden, CO, 80403
Stop the f*@#ery and maintain net neutrality under title 2.
1376. Tyler Pastor, Thornton, CO, 80241
I support net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs.
1377. Nadya Gallegos, Denver, CO, 80221
I STRONGLY support STRONG Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP. Equal access to internet is now essential in the 21st century and its a human right. Do not tread on our human rights!
1378. Chloe, Thornton, CO, 80241
The internet is an amazing resource where I can access information on neural progenitor cells for my dissertation while simultaneously procrastinating writing that same dissertation by watching cat videos on youtube. I believe strongly in the free flow of information and that Title II protections are necessary to ensure that companies don't interfere with this freedom of information to promote their own interests. Please continue to support and protect these regulations!
1379. Kortnie Ketler, Westminster, CO, 80234
I strongly support strong net neutrality through Title 2 regulation of isps.
1380. Albert James Lovato, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I want net neutrality to remain under title 2. Taking this off of federal regulation would be a crime.

1381. Erica Wentworth, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80212
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Do not repeal Title II. Do not put the responsibility on the ISPs as that is a complete conflict of interest.
1382. Piotr Niemkiewicz, Thornton, CO, 80260
Changing regulations to eliminate net-neutrality and to benefit large corporations is shameful and suppresses small business and competition. Do not create a bidding war the general public will ultimately lose.
1383. Jess Salazar, Westminster, CO, 80021
Strongly in support of preserving Net Neutrality under Title II.
1384. Jordon Holcomb, Thornton, CO, 80260
I specifically support net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs
1385. Gary Zaharatos, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. Don't ruin the internet.
1386. Dustin Stroman, Arvada, CO, 80003
The internet must be open and unrestricted by private companies. I do not support the direction currently be purposed by the FCC. Internet providers cannot be allowed to show any favoritism to throttling access or speed to the internet. Paid prioritization is wrong. The internet must remain under Title II and remain neutral.
1387. Anthony Baca, Northglenn, CO, 80233
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY. HOW CONVENIENT FOR VERIZON THAT YOU ARE THE CHAIRMAN AT THE FCC AJIT VARADARAJ PAI. I GUESS YOUR MORE INFAMOUS THAN YOUR STUPID MUG.
1388. Martha Heater, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80214
I want the FCC and Congress to protect and enforce strong Internet Neutrality rules. Keep the internet fair for all.
1389. Brian Sacan, Westminster, CO, 80031
I fully support net neutrality and keeping all internet service providers - whether wireless or wired - classified as Title II services under the Communications Act. Access to the internet is a necessity in the 21st century and should be provided as a utility that remains unfettered by the ISPs that provide that access. ISPs can not be trusted to have the best interests of the people at heart. The people of the United States of America need strong regulations and protections to maintain a truly free and open internet. ISPs MUST remain classified as telecommunications services under Title II to preserve net neutrality.
1390. Net neutrality, Brighton, CO, 80602
I support net neutrality. I want title II to continue to be enforced. I don't want big tech companies to decide how and with what party I can gain access to the internet. Support a free and open internet!
1391. daniel encinias, lakewood, CO, 80228
net neutrality is a requirement of free trade and without it can and will hurt the country.
1392. Caleb Atwood, Denver, CO, 80212
This comment is to illustrate my support to keep ISPs regulated under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. In a truly free marketplace and world, everybody should have equal access to opportunity and success.
1393. Michael J Caparbi, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Keep ISP's under title 2 and continue net neutrality.
1394. Kirstin Chapman, Arvada, CO, 80007
I am in favor of a STRONG net neutrality policy with a Title II oversight. Do not take this away from us!
1395. Janee Politano, Arvada, CO, 80004
I am in favor of a STRONG net neutrality policy with a Title II oversight. DO NOT TAKE THIS AWAY FROM US!
1396. Beverley Noia, Lakewood, CO, 80214
To retain a level playing field as well as to shield internet providers from the pressures of Big Money that could only too easily endanger our right to free access to information of ALL kinds not illegal, I strongly support Title 2 oversight of ISPs, as to many millions of Americans who are not willing to have our eyes blinded, or focused only in directions chosen for us by others. DO NOT COMPROMISE NET NEUTRALITY!
1397. Matt Dryer, Arvada, CO, 80005
A broad and open internet is what makes the internet so powerful. The proposed changes will stifle innovation and make it much more difficult for new ideas and businesses to grow online. ISPs should treat all bits equally and not provide preferential treatment through the use of fast lanes or zero rating. Please keep net neutrality alive.

1398. Andrew Becker, Arvada, CO, 80004
Please support strong net neutrality and title 2. I do not want our internet freedom to be left to Comcast.
1399. Michael Syverson, Lakewood, CO, 80227
Please keep the current Title II internet neutrality classification in tact for our protections.
1400. f, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Protect net neutrality. Do not sell us out to big corporations.
1401. Uriel Reed, Denver, CO, 80229
Net neutrality is the thing that makes the internet what it is. Companies should not be able to slow down or speed up certain sites just because it's profitable to them. The entire point of the internet is to be able to have equal access to information regardless of ISP.
1402. Travis Janke, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I strongly support Net Neutrality. Please continue to protect it!
1403. James Morgan, Lakewood, CO, 80228
How something is called "Restoring Internet Freedom" and does the exact opposite is mind boggling. But that is normal these days. I'm worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any.
1404. Tracy Kohm, arvada, CO, 80002
Net neutrality is now central to the proper functioning of our democracy and our culture - keep regulations in place!
1405. Jeff Ward-Bailey, Arvada, CO, 80005
I strongly support retaining Title II classification for ISPs. I believe this classification, coupled with robust government oversight, is necessary to ensure an even playing field online for small businesses and an open Internet.
1406. Jacob Naylor, Westminster, CO, 80021
I strongly support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Allowing ISP's to prioritize internet traffic however they see fit would be a travesty to the free and open internet.
1407. Makena Roeswood, Thornton, CO, 80229
I strongly support net neutrality. Preserve Title 2 and regulations that protect equal access to internet content. Do not allow ISPs to limit or manipulate access or allow larger companies to pay for greater representation that would prohibit small companies from finding success online.
1408. Net Neutrality, westminster, CO, 80031
support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 isp
1409. Kalib Smith, Arvada, CO, 80005
I strongly support title 2 regulations on ISPs and I believe that strong net neutrality rules are essential in keeping the internet fair and open
1410. Miles Buckingham, Lakewood, CO, 80228
The FCC's efforts to end net neutrality rules should cease. The Obama-era rules ensuring that all internet traffic must be treated the same, and prohibiting preferential speeds for certain sites or domains, needs to stay in place. efforts to end net neutrality are simply another example of profiteering by this corrupt Trump administration.
1411. William Wilkinson, Lakewood, CO, 80214
I support net neutrality. Allowing corporations and service providers to select what bits we consume or the relative speed at which we do it is a recipe for dysfunction. Do not allow ISPs to prefer one traffic type over another!
1412. Jade Swordy, Golden, CO, 80401
In support of strong net neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISPs.
1413. Dustin, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Net neutrality needs to remain protected under Title 2. Millions of independent content creators and small businesses rely on the internet as their method of distribution and it has become an invaluable tool. Unlike the old days of recording and publishing we no longer need to submit our work for approval to a gate keeper who can provide access for a cut of the proceeds... if it meets their approval. We can create and penetrate smaller and smaller niche markets that weren't previously being served and cast a wider net to find them than ever before. Trusting corporations to decide for themselves how they should proceed regarding net neutrality will effectively extinguish those opportunities for myself and others as the internet becomes, like every entertainment and information outlet before it, just another worthless mouthpiece of the wealthy minority showing people what they think we should see.

1414. Nicholas Border, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Please uphold our current regulations regarding net neutrality. The internet should be a place independent research and unfiltered use. People should not be subject to the marketing whims of their provider nor should they experience purposeful slow downs in service because they are searching a topic that may be in direct competition to their access provider.
1415. Carter Baird, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80212
KEEP THE INTERNET UNDER TITLE II!!!!!! I am a citizen, a patriot and a taxpayer and I believe that an open and neutral internet connection is critical for our functioning democracy. Keep the internet regulated under Title 2! The internet should be regulated like a public utility, like water. Following that metaphor let's think of "neutrality" of internet as analogous to "cleanliness" of water. There should not be a variety of cleanliness of water available to the marketplace for different prices. My tapwater shouldn't be poisonous just because I'm too poor to afford the clean water. Likewise, websites should not be available piecemeal to consumers who want to pay for them. The market should have a choice to be the full, neutral internet or not. NOT a variety of different "openness" options like cable. I can just see it now that the internet, if it were not regulated under title II, would become much like cable. The customer could pay for internet with packages of google, netflix and facebook from comcast versus the package of bing, hulu and reddit from time warner. THIS IS NOT BETTER FOR CUSTOMERS, AMERICA OR MYSELF!
1416. Bharat Balan, Golden, CO, 80401
Maintain Internet ISPs under Title II. I mean, it's simple Econ 101. A bunch of companies getting together and "voluntarily agreeing" to maintain net neutrality is doomed to failure without some kind of regulation to keep them honest. The open internet is a powerful thing that goes a longer way to leveling the playing field for small businesses, grassroots political movements, and making sure all voices are heard than any communication tool in human history ever has. The internet absolutely must remain this way, and keeping ISPs under Title II is (at least for now) the best way to do it.
1417. Annie Robinson, Arvada, CO, 80003
Title two regulation need to be kept and enforced if we are ever to have an internet that is free and free from back ally regulation from internet providers. They've done it before and if we let them they will only continue
1418. Corey Gallagher, Lakewood, CO, 80214
I support complete net neutrality supported by Title 2. Shame on those who are attacking this well supported and popular concept for corporate gain.
1419. Jacob Singer, Westminster, CO, 80030
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I feel failure to support this is failure to support a truly free Internet.
1420. Michael Van Meter, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I support Net neutrality and Title II, preserve it for all time
1421. Charlie Ann Bradley, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I support net neutrality and the Title 2 amendment. I do not believe that corporations are capable of self-regulation, and that the interests of the public will be subject to private interests without government oversight. I urge you all to vote against any measure that limits the ability of the government to enforce net neutrality regulations, in the interest of best serving the general public.
1422. Lori McElroy, Denver, CO, 80004
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Anything less shows not only extreme ignorance on the part of the FCC, but how corrupt the head of the FCC is.
1423. paul pruet, Westminster, CO, 80021
Preserve net neutrality Leave the title 2 in place
1424. Jenny Reinke, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I would like to ask the FCC to avoid partisan politics and do the right thing by the American people and that is to keep net neutrality intact by continuing to classify ISP's under Title II. It is important that no consumer be subject to slower speeds because a different company is paying for faster access. Not only will this favor richer, bigger companies (giving start ups, small businesses and companies a disadvantage) it will also disadvantage poorer Americans who cannot afford to pay for enhanced services. As its name implies, the Internet should remain neutral. Thank you for listening.
1425. Scott Legatski, Thornton, CO, 80229
The regulatory power that the Obama administration has applied to the telecommunications industry known as Title II should NOT be taken lightly at this time. There are very legitimate reasons why this decision was made that impact every Internet user in the United States -- to enforce a FAIR playing field for all of the players. I DON'T want my cell phone service provider to be able to decide whether or not to support Google's WALLET App based on whether they have a competing product that I DO NOT want to be FORCED to use. I DON'T want to find that my "Netflix" or "Amazon Prime" purchases are being slowed down because my television provider wants me to consider their competing product. I DON'T

want to have problems playing my music that I have stored on "my" "cloud" because Apple has paid money to my Internet provider to slow all of their competition. Title II is required to ensure that I, as the paying customer, get to decide what I want to do with my access to the Internet, & not the service providers. For that reason alone the law needs to be left as it is -- historically it has already been proven [& not just by the examples above] that the Telecommunications Industry [of which I was indeed a member before retirement!] will NOT "play fair" when there's even MORE money to be made at the expense of the end-user through the use of ARTIFICIAL -- as in, intentionally implemented at the request of a "paying" "customer" as opposed to a paying end user -- system limitations.

1426. Amanda, Denver, CO, 80212
Net neutrality must be protected. Uphold net neutrality title ii. It protects freedom of content and information as well as innovation.
1427. Nick Kay, wheat ridge, CO, 80033
Please Keep net neutrality. This is what keeps the internet free for startup companies. The current administration will be remembered in history as the worst in modern times, do you want to be remembered that way as well. Do what is right for the people you represent.
1428. Rochelle Messick, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
The current net neutrality rules are working. There is no problem and the FCC should not dismantle them.
1429. Andrei Andronescu, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I would like to express my support of the current Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers by the Federal Communications Commission. All web traffic should be treated equally by Internet Service Providers. The FCC is to act in "the public convenience interest and necessity" - yet, weakening these regulations will do the exact opposite. I ask that you DO NOT remove ISPs from Title 2 oversight. Thank You.
1430. JODY SLACK & KATHI LLOYD, LAKEWOOD, CO, 80228
WE ARE TOTALLY OPPOSED TO TAKING AWAY NET NEUTRALITY. THIS IS JUST ANOTHER WAY TO SILENCE THE VOICES OF THE PEOPLE AND KEEP THEM FROM ACCESSING VALUABLE INFORMATION. ALLOWING THE CONTROL OF THE INTERNET TO BE PUT IN THE HANDS OF COMPANIES LIKE COMCAST INSTEAD OF BEING TREATED AS PART OF THE COMMONS IS WHOLLY UNACCEPTABLE.
1431. Marshall, Arvada, CO, 80005
I strongly oppose the results of this proceeding and today's voting. Do not continue moving forward with "Restoring Internet Freedom"
1432. Viet Trieu, Westminster, CO, 80021
please protect net neutrality please keep in title 2. Please dont make us working class paid higher for sites that is small dont make the isp richer. ending neutrality will make them richer
1433. Ariel Knobbe, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY and oppose today's action. The FCC should preserve the internet as an open conduit for all Americans. This will protect free expression, open access to any and all information, as well as, any commerce from both businesses large and small and individuals. I urge the FCC to protect Americans from corporate greed and to allow our internet access to be as open and unregulated as possible.
1434. C. Self, Thornton, CO, 80233
I support ISPs being treated as a Title 2 carrier and regulated by the FCC. Commissioner Pai's blatant conflicts of interest being a previous lawyer for Verizon demonstrate his unwillingness to work for the American people. He only wants to stifle the internet for millions of Americans because it will make him more money from his corporate cronies. The Open Internet Rules from 2015 should remain in place as is.
1435. Heidemaire Burbach, Lakewood, CO, 80227
Do not reverse net neutrality. The internet must contain the worlds information from all sources somewhat equally available. There are already ways to have companies displayed on the first pages of a search. Anymore than that is obscene.
1436. Net Neutrality, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Net neutrality should remain untouched. I do not want large corporations to filter or manage the Internet content I access.
1437. Dana Shubat, Commerce City, CO, 80022
THIS IS WRONG! Do not remove the net neutrality rule!! This law was put in place for equality, and should remain!!
1438. Nicole Birney, Lakewood, CO, 80215
A truly free internet and free market can only be attained if Internet providers are obliged to treat all Web traffic equally and fairly. Please allow the free market to exist.
1439. Bruce A Collins, Commerce City, CO, 80022

I depend on free access to the internet to run my company and to make political choices that are for good government instead of what the liberal media tell me to vote for.

1440. Amy Brilliant, Arvada, CO, 80003
I strongly support net neutrality as it is. I do not support this proposal as it will weaken protections that are already in place.
1441. Tanya Beare, Northglenn, CO, 80233
Net Neutrality is critical. Restoring Internet Freedom only helps businesses not the users. Advancing this further would be devastating to every human who goes online for anything.
1442. Devin Johnson, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I STRONGLY disagree with the FCC's decision to move forward with "Restoring Internet Freedom" - The internet is communication, and communication must never be obstructed. It allows us to share our opinions, and advance our society. Please protect the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules). These are critical times where fake news is spreading, and we must maintain the openness of the internet, WITHOUT ISP's getting a say at what servers or websites get to load faster than others. Smaller businesses will suffer from this, along with Americans, and the entire world. Seriously. This is no freaking joke. I believe our right to free speech is fundamental, and that an open internet is critical to support that right. "Restoring Internet Freedom" is exactly the opposite of that, and I urge you to DROP IT.
1443. Stephen Klein, Arvada, CO, 80002
Beyond my filing here, I will withhold all state and federal taxes for as long as this assault on internet freedoms continue. Net neutrality was the line in the sand that you just crossed.
1444. Austin Andrus, Arvada, CO, 80004
Allowing this vote to stand will enable ISPs to control the content they provide in an unprecedented way. Internet should be considered a public utility and remain open and free. Do not allow these few ISPs to limit the ability of other companies to conduct their business on a free and open Internet should the ISP deem them a threat or other similar situations.
1445. Pamela Daily, Arvada, CO, 80004
I would like the net neutrality rules that are ready to go into effect be allowed to proceed. Everyone should have access to the fastest speeds possible, business and individuals, to keep the playing field even. Keeping access to the internet affordable allows everyone, of every economical level to the ability to prosper. Rural communities should have access to the higher speeds also.
1446. Jason Brandsma, Westminster, CO, 80021
"Restoring Internet Freedom" is a misnomer. This act limits freedom and puts control over the free and fair exchange of information into the hands of easily corruptible corporations. Maintaining Net Neutrality is maintaining internet freedom. To suggest otherwise is an insult to the intelligence of our country.
1447. James Smith, Northglenn, CO, 80233
Any action to remove Net Neutrality protections afforded by Title II is a clear sign that a person, or persons unknown is acting, in a criminal fashion, on behalf of and ISP, or ISPs unknown. Therefore, I am contacting my elected officials to demand an instigation of this criminal behavior.
1448. Caleb Crain, Arvada, CO, 80004
I am writing to express my strong support for net neutrality backed by Title 2 Oversight of ISPs. I urge to keep net neutrality alive - ISPs CANNOT be trusted to keep the internet fair without these rules in place and the internet will drastically change. Please keep net neutrality in place!
1449. Nathan Bahls, Westminster, CO, 80021
I am against the changes proposed in the "Restoring Internet Freedom" policy. I am for the current policy as implemented in the previous administration that strongly protects net neutrality and prevents ISPs from having control over the access and/or performance of access to any internet site and/or company that a user wishes to access. Additionally, please note that there are a great number of spam comments inappropriately supporting this proposal as reported in numerous media outfits (Forbes: <http://fortune.com/2017/05/15/net-neutrality-comments-fcc-spam/>). Consider strongly those comments in support of net neutrality that are not a spam attempt from unknown sources. A strong set of policies protecting network neutrality are key to continuing to enhance entrepreneurial efforts, grow the American IT sector and expand its global presence, and insure first amendment access for all citizens. As stated before, do not implement the proposals in proceeding 17-108. To do so is to the detriment of our country, our economy, and our citizens.
1450. Sean Dempsay, Arvada, CO, 80005
Hello, Internet Service Providers have become completely integral to every aspect of our lives, and thus must be regulated for the common good. I support ISPs being treated as a Title 2 common carrier and regulated by the FCC. I hope that The Open Internet Rules from 2015 can remain in place, as is. Thank you.
1451. Brad Arendt, Arvada, CO, 80004

I'm writing to urge you to keep a free and open internet, by NOT doing away with Net Neutrality, or altering it in its current state. Net Neutrality is important not only to small businesses, but for citizens to hold corporations and government officials accountable.

1452. Dwain Bowen, Westminster, CO, 80030
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. Thank you.
1453. Zach Fleck, Westminster, CO, 80234
Please keep the internet regulated under title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934.
1454. Aaron McFarland, Northglenn, CO, 80233
I am submitting this that net neutrality rules of classifying the cable companies as title II classification not being removed due to the fact majority of the people in the USA only have one high speed ISP to choose from. Removing Net Neutrality rules and allowing the cable and telecommunication companies to dictate which traffic gets priority using those internet lanes stymies innovation and removes fair competition for companies that rely on the internet for their business model. Until there is reasonable competition for high speed Internet service for majority of Americans we need to regulate the providers providing internet to consumers, so they act on the best interest of the consumers and not their stock holders and bottom lines.
1455. Michael Nathaniel Conner, Broomfield, CO, 80021
For true internet neutrality we need it to stay in Title II classification. Telecom companies are overreaching to grab for more power that will only bog down and corrupt what we have currently. Keep Title II classification, there is no other option.
1456. Brian Walsh Jr, Westminster, CO, 80234
Please DO NOT gut Net Neutrality and please DO maintain a strong enforcement of Title Two. Free and open access to the internet is vital to the functioning of our modern society. Without a strong enforcement of Title Two and Net Neutrality, then Internet Service Providers (ISPs) will be completely within their rights to throttle access to web content that they want, be it Netflix, CNN, a Search Engine, a University, or even a local school. In effect, the ISP will be able to pick and choose who wins on the internet and impose tolls upon anyone wishing to provide content to the ISP's customers, or more accurately, extort blackmail, and it will be completely legalized blackmail. Worse, the demise of Net Neutrality will offer ISPs the opportunity to cripple innovation by throttling to the point of unusability if not outright blocking of competitors, a practice that has already been undertaken, so that the ISP can promote their own competing product OR the product of a 3rd party that is paying the ISP to throttle their competition. The demise of Net Neutrality offers an endless array of possibilities that will only serve to harm our modern society. So please, keep Net Neutrality in place. Best, Brian
1457. Kevin Cumesty, Westminster, CO, 80021
I support a free and open internet with strict net neutrality rules under title II.
1458. Lorrence Scott Mahaffy, Thornton, CO, 80241
Please protect the rights of consumers to the free and open access to unhindered internet access that is unfiltered by any entity corporate or otherwise. Please support the choice of many options for consumers to access the internet, just like the Cable Companies prefer when they purchase Cable TV boxes and DOCSIS Modems from vendors. Please do not meddle with public internet access policy to benefit the profits of a corporate entities. Internet access is a public utility and should be regulated as such.
1459. Penpa Dolma, Arvada, CO, 80004
In a time of information technology, keeping the net neutrality and freedom of speech is a paramount to protecting our democracy. Trying to get rid of net neutrality is a sure sign of oligarchy approach. Please let USA be a democratic country and let our children not live in propaganda the way we did.
1460. Joanna Merrill, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I vigorously and specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Deregulating net neutrality is a terrible idea, and should not be done. Believe me you do not want to be the guy that deregulates net neutrality because 10 corporations want him to, while, at the same time, the other 350 million AMERICANS want strong net neutrality. You really really do not want to be that guy. Think of what the history books will say about you... "and despite grave public disinterest there was that guy who deregulated net neutrality, thereby changing the internet for the rest of time, and becoming the most unpopular man in the world." You do not want to be that guy.
1461. Lucky S, Westminster, CO, 80031
I am for the preservation of net neutrality and Title II.
1462. Richard J. Creswell, Lakewood, CO, 80227
This ruling would privatize the internet. A few big corporations can favor their own businesses (vertical monopoly) and bury any news they did not like. This is not freedom for most of us, just freedom for few and the end of a free press on the internet. I know where the money is for you, but think of how history will view this. Think of us as citizens of the country which up till now has had the freest speech.

1463. Kyra Long, Lakewood, CO, 80232
An open and free internet is essential to our democracy. Net Neutrality is something we must have. Individual companies cannot be trusted to provide that without strict rules regulating it - in fact they have already done so. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. By allowing groups to buy prominence and speed, we are essentially ensuring that individuals and small groups cannot get their messages out and that users cannot use their pages, apps, and data. This leads into corporatocracy - something that our country seems to be falling into to greater and greater degrees. Champion the individual, champion the free citizen - ensure net neutrality.
1464. Nadene Crain, Arvada, CO, 80004
Dear FCC, I am very much in favor of net neutrality and I strongly support title II oversight of ISPs. Please continue to protect our freedom by enforcing the current net neutrality rules.
1465. Hilary Lear, Arvada, CO, 80003
Please keep the internet free and open by protecting and leaving intact Net Neutrality. Thank you
1466. Peggy Woodward, Arvada, CO, 80003
I am writing to ask you to please keep the internet open & free. I am building a small business on the internet and I deserve an open internet with as much access as the big companies. Please preserve net neutrality. Thank you
1467. Grayson Souther, Lakewood, CO, 80214
I believe that Net Neutrality is in the best interests of the public as a whole, please keep the internet open by protecting Net Neutrality.
1468. Brent Zeimen, Westminster, CO, 80021
I request that the FCC support internet service as a public utility because freedom of information is extremely important to a strong democracy for the people, by the people. Net neutrality is key to the freedom of information.
1469. Alex K Acks, Westminster, CO, 80031
I support during net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isps. The internet is now a public utility necessary for the functioning of every day life just as much as power and water and should be treated as such.
1470. Kevin Kieras, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80214
I strongly support title two. Don't take it away and have "fast lanes" for the internet. That is not right.
1471. Rick S, Westminster, CO, 80023
I support Net Neutrality. Keep it that way
1472. Tony P, Westminster, CO, 80234
For the sake of democracy and true freedom, do not take away net neutrality. No corporation should have the ability to control information for the betterment of society. The public library does not offer tiers of membership where if you pay money you receive better or more updated information. The internet is the same thing. It should be a right that all individuals have equal access to, just like the library.
1473. Hunter Holmgren, Arvada, CO, 80004
I work as a network and systems administrator supporting small businesses and schools. I have watched ISPs display that they do not care about their consumers as much as their profits, which is what businesses are supposed to do. If we have to trust ISPs to do what is right for the consumer we are going to be disappointed in the results. This proposal is going to be bad for consumers(the American people) and it is going to be bad for every business that is not an ISP.
1474. Jamie Costabile, Edgewater, CO, 80214
Do not dismantle net neutrality! I support a comment I read, which I will quote here "I support retaining *AND ENFORCING* strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. To say that this is hurting investment and development of the broadband infrastructure is a falsehood and, frankly, a craven sop to broadband lobbyists."
1475. Kevin, arvada, CO, 80003
I am a strong supporter of Net Neutrality!
1476. Matthew Cahn, Westminster, CO, 80234
I wholeheartedly support the classification of Internet Service Providers (ISPs) as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act. The argument that ISPs have been hurt by this classification has been proven false over and over, some of the largest ISPs in the country have also admitted this claim is untrue. Allowing ISPs the freedom to make decisions about the openness of the Internet is putting the interests of those ISP's above those of the American People. What has been proven as fact is allowing things like "fast lanes" for certain service providers, like Netflix, and requiring those service providers to pay for those fast lanes, blocks competition. Less competition is bad for all consumers. Fast lanes allow ISPs to charge additional money from providers for something which they've already paid for. There should never be an option for ISPs to limit my ability to reach the Internet, and all that it has to offer. Nor should ISPs be able to limit the speeds at

which I communicate with anyone or anything on the Internet, as that prevents new competition from entering the market if they can't afford the "tax" being imposed for a fast lane. Finally, removing Title II classification as common carriers for ISPs, simply put, opens the door for the US Internet experience to be censored. I have always been proud to live in a nation where I do not have to be concerned with the idea of censorship in my speech, public communication, or the Internet. Removing Title II classification for ISPs would be a step backward from a free and open internet to a censored Internet for Americans, and this is wrong.

1477. Christopher Kyle Audette, Arvada, CO, 80002
The willingness of the FCC to stick their fingers in their ears and ignore the people against this docket is astounding. The willingness to take an obvious ILLEGAL astroturf effort as real comments is awful. An astroturf scheme that was so blatant it filed comments by the stolen names in alphabetical order, with addresses that often led to nowhere at all. I supported classifying the internet as a Title II utility way back in the distant year of 2015, as did so many others. The will of the people didn't change that rapidly, but it appears that the FCC's willingness to ignore those people did.
1478. Sarah Nelson, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Please preserve net neutrality- do not enact the misleadingly named "Restoring Internet Freedom Act." Large communication companies should not be give free reign to slow down activity that they don't like or just haven't been paid for.
1479. Kendall Fiore, Golden, CO, 80401
After 2.6 million comments, no significant changes have been made to the new Net Neutrality legislation. I, and the majority of Americans, support Net Neutrality. Do not destroy it with the current legislation.
1480. Daniel Suhr, Arvada, CO, 80003
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! This is fundamentally important to technology use and access across both rural and suburban areas in the US. There is no such thing as ISP competition in many areas of the country, not because of government regulation, but because of wired infrastructure that is built in the exact same way as power utilities. Again, I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
1481. Deidre Lawnhurst, Westminster, CO, 80234
Keep net neutrality. Everyone should be treated the same.
1482. Kevin Manley, Westminster, CO, 80030
Net neutrality is vital to all internet users. I strongly support maintaining broadband as a common carrier under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
1483. thomas, Westminster, CO, 80234
Must keep the Net open for all. Take it away and the only people that will have it are the rich and powerful.
1484. Amelia Horswill, Arvada, CO, 80005
Right now there is a giant corporate monster that is licking it's teeth. The FCC is considering removing title 2 regulations. What this would do is give the internet to a couple of entities: Comcast, Verizon, etc. And allow them to decide which websites you can visit, when, and how slow they will be, unless you pay them an astronomical fee. This would CRUSH companies like Netflix, which has challenged Comcast as a competitor, that can now be denied access to their customers by Comcast with this proposal. It would also crush Google, as they would have no access to their customers either without the MERCY of these monopolies that the FCC would like to make. This is unacceptable. First of all, this encourages censorship, artificial limitations of a free market by monopolies, limitation of free speech and above all else, invasion of privacy. I get it. A lot of you in the FCC are old and likely barely use the internet. However, you too will need to start paying out the ear for smooth, uninterrupted access to it if you do this (which will make the budget at the mercy of these companies). Since resumes have gone the way of the dinosaur, people looking for jobs who don't have the funds for the top tier internet are suddenly at a disadvantage - making it disproportionately harder to find work, or communicate with your employer. Did you know that nearly every multinational corporation uses the internet exclusively to publish tools and media their employees need to do their job? What is Home Depot angry Comcast one day? Millions of employees would lose access to their schedules, and the company would lose access to its database of special orders. This proposal would allow ANY service provider to DDOS any website or server for any reason, or slow it to a crawl until they are essentially holding it ransom. That isn't including what this would do to our privacy. All our data, private and corporate, would be own, collected and controlled by these service providers under this proposal. Google's proprietary algorithms? up to the highest bidder at a Verizon auction. Why? Because the information went through their wires, and this proposal gives them full access to use any information that has done so freely and to their benefit. Our personal information without our permission? That too! National secrets? You bet. (What, you didn't think that these companies could get world class hackers did you? You are going to open them up to Federal level secret information, that you are green lighting them selling with this proposal.) This is a nightmare of a bill. The internet is the modern day Telephone and you wouldn't dare consider handing over our phones to be wiretapped freely by phone companies, or services only available to the highest bidder. So why are earth do you think that the Internet is ok to be handed off to the hands of the few, in complete disregard of competition or safety or security of the people? The internet needs Title 2 Regulations to protect it as a free market - to stop it from being restricted to being a platform only for those that are willing to pay to play. Unless of course, you want to cripple all of our innovation via Apple, Google, Netflix, and absolutely destroy those and all other companies that are not controlled already in part by the service

providers. Because that is exactly what this would do.

1485. Robert Herron, Westminster, CO, 80020
Do not revoke Title II or Net Neutrality. Giving monopoly ISPs the ability to control and limit the primary communication and information pipeline for Americans has terrible potential for abuse, and provides no benefit for anyone. They already have far too much power and leverage over their customers.
1486. Erick Ramos, Commerce City, CO, 80022
Save Net Neutrality! Consumers deserve protection from greed and fairness when it comes to internet access. Please raise the standards for quality.
1487. Rene Flori, Arvada, CO, 80003
We need strong net neutrality rules. Allowing corporate giants to control this is wrong on every level.
1488. Gerald Winjum, Lakewood, CO, 80215
We NEED strong net neutrality rules. Please keep this in place!!!
1489. Sierra Flori, Arvada, CO, 80003
Keep Strong net neutrality rules in place!!
1490. Jonathan Dornback, Westminster, CO, 80031
Protect and enforce Net Neutrality! The internet should be treated as a public utility. Internet traffic should not be prioritized or altered in any way. The USA needs to stand as the shining example of equitable access to the internet.
1491. Jeremy Bauman, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Please do not repeal Title II protections. Given that it has yet to yield the results as claimed by those against it, it is false to say that the "Net Neutrality" rule would hinder innovation. In fact the argument is stronger that without Title II protection, true innovation would be at risk since Internet Service Providers could easily charge out whatever competition they wished. While I think it is terrific that ISPs have all "signed agreements" that they wouldn't act to "throttle" the internet, there is no reason to think they would always continue to do so. Given that every company's primary goal is profit, it would be excessively naive to think they would hold to this "agreement" if it meant raising their profitability down the line. Further, it would only take one company choosing to renege on the "agreement" for ALL others to follow suit. It should also be noted that if the ISPs had been so great at enforcing net neutrality on their own, we wouldn't have needed Title II in the 1st place. By retaining Title II protections, we preserve an internet that truly is of, for and by ALL PEOPLE EVERYWHERE, not just the precious few who can afford it. Please do not help destroy what is great about the internet just so profits can win out. Thank you.
1492. Sondra Singer, Lakewood, CO, 80226
The world wide web needs to stay net neutral. All consumers and businesses deserve equal access without some getting faster speeds than others. New businesses today need the same advantage that those in the past have had to get started. Consumers need a level playing field, as well. If it goes through the FCC, then it's about public access. Because your new agency head is from Verizon's legal department, this gives me no confidence of impartiality in this matter. You must do the right thing and keep net neutrality in place.
1493. Edward Thompson, Westminster, CO, 80020
I strongly urge the FCC to maintain net neutrality protections. Allowing ISP's to charge for preferential traffic goes against the American ideals of freedom of speech.
1494. deb synnott, arvada, CO, 80004
equal access for all is vital and especially so for the disabled and poor. Save net neutrality.
1495. Garrett Zans, Golden, CO, 80401
I fully support Article II protections of Net Neutrality
1496. Ross Ireland, Denver, CO, 80221
I am writing the FCC to express my strong support of net neutrality! The internet is a crucial resource I rely on everyday at my work and use in my personal life as so many other Americans do as well. Keeping ISPs under strong oversight and classification under Title 2 is imperative to keeping the internet a place to communicate freely. Getting rid of net neutrality could cause censorship of content on the internet and limit the public's access to resources and I believe has the potential to ruin the internet as we know it.
1497. Susan Records, Thornton, CO, 80241
Net neutrality is essential to ensure that all Americans continue to have equal access to the internet.
1498. Cynthia Sutton, Westminster, CO, 80234
support strong oversight of net neutrality--preserve Title II

1499. Stefan Horvath, Westminster, CO, 80234
I support STRONG oversight of Net Neutrality. Please preserve Title II
1500. Dave Sneed, Arvada, CO, 80003
I DO NOT, in any way, support the FCC's attempt to undo "Net Neutrality." All people, and all companies, should have equal access to the internet without any ability to charge more to some users than others.
1501. Michael N Conner, Broomfield, CO, 80021
I support net neutrality keeping it under Title II classification. It must keep its current classification to ensure an unbiased flow of traffic on the net.
1502. Nick Berliner, Westminster, CO, 80031
I am in the tech startup community here in Denver, and taking away net neutrality will severely impact the building of businesses and solutions in the U.S., the same businesses and solutions that help bring money to the people voting on this.
1503. Devin, Brighton, CO, 80233
Hey pie no wants net neutrality gone you have clearly been bribed by Verizon you dumbass so please for the love of keep the isp's in title 2.
1504. Jennifer L. Dempster, Lakewood, CO, 80232
Keep the current rules. Keep the internet providers in check. The internet should not be throttled by greedy providers.
1505. Davin Lincoln, Westminster, CO, 80020
Dear FCC, We need net neutrality. Do not let ISPs censor, slow down, block websites or allow them to charge sites for faster service. Please stand up for all Internet users and our right to communicate. Please continue to regulate ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I am aware, as are many others, that the telecommunications industry is lobbying heavily for a change in regulation and using widely discredited evidence to do so. Personally, I think it is extremely important for future innovation, economic creation/destruction and overall economic growth that the internet be as free a marketplace as possible and I believe this is best done under current Title II regulation. Thank you, Davin Lincoln
1506. Jon Mora, Thornton, CO, 80233
Net Neutrality is good for the internet and the world as a whole.
1507. Kevin Davis, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I had Xfinity with Comcast. I had issues with the internet and called them three different times for help. All three times after lengthy phone conversations they always told me to call Netgear to see if they could help me fix the problem. After contacting Netgear and determining there was no problem with my modem/router, Xfinity was still unable to fix my internet problems. The internet would be on for sometimes days or sometimes just a few hours and then it would shut off for hours or even days at a time. I finally was fed up with having bad internet so I ordered Century Link and then called to cancel my Xfinity service. When they found out I was canceling this was the first and only time they ever offered to send a tech to my house to fix the problem. It's awful that it took me deciding to cancel my service before they ever offered me an actual solution. The internet was awful with this company and they also lied about the price. I was told I would be paying \$89 a month and then surprised to see my first bill was \$120 and there was no way to lower it. This company has awful practices and I feel cheated having ever been a customer.
1508. Brianne Andersen, Golden, CO, 80401
Please ensure net neutrality is kept regulated, as equal access to internet is more or less a modern fundamental freedom of speech concept. Thank you
1509. Jay Kramer, Lakewood, CO, 80215
It is of significant importance to maintain net neutrality and protect the enforcement through Title II
1510. Matt Barela, Northglenn, CO, 80233
Net neutrality is an important part of technology and how America operates today.
1511. Ian Hood, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Net Neutrality is an incredibly important thing, don't be remembered as the people who didn't protect it.
1512. mike bode, Golden, CO, 80401
Allowing corporations to control the bandwidth for content of the internet, and thus giving them the tools to control free speech on the internet amounts to an attack on the constitution. Keep title II designation for ISPs and net neutrality in place. Everything else is unamerican.
1513. Dana Gazay, Denver, CO, 80221
Please keep Title 2 protections for the internet. Allowing corporations to control access to websites that they disagree or compete with will stifle innovation and growth immensely, allowing the provider to cut off service to other websites that compete with them.

1514. Anthony, Lakewood, CO, 80214
I support the continued classification of ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934, and any and all rules that maintain the principle of net neutrality. I plan to petition my congressman and senators to the same effect.
1515. Vince Collins, Thornton, CO, 80229
Regulate ISPs under title II to ensure net neutrality.
1516. Doreen, Thornton, CO, 80229
Regulate ISP with title 2 to protect net neutrality
1517. Lew Grothe, Westminster, CO, 80031
Please keep net neutrality regulation under title 2 as it is today.
1518. Keenan Austin, Arvada, CO, 80003
We need net neutrality to maintain and continue to have Title 2. If you know what's good for the people... you must keep net neutrality by continuing to have Title 2.
1519. lisa hagerman, Denver, CO, 80216
Pai please preserve net neutrality and title ii. It is the our right to freedom of choice and freedom of speech as American citizens. Thank you.
1520. Rob Bartee, Lakewood, CO, 80214
Please maintain current title two regulations on isp's. They cannot be trusted to voluntarily regulate themselves. All content should be provided on equal bandwidth. Isp's should have to treat and provide access to all content equally without preference. Don't listen to Verizon lawyers. .. I.e. Head of FCC...Thank you,Rob Bartee
1521. Daniel Day, Arvada, CO, 80004
With this filing, I am calling on the Federal Communications Commission to reclassify internet service providers as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. The internet is a public utility and should be regulated as such.
1522. Daniel Day, Arvada, CO, 80004
With this filing, I am calling on the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) to reclassify internet service providers (ISPs) as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. The internet is a public utility and should be regulated as such.
1523. Trey Stadheim, Westminster, CO, 80234
Please do not take away net neutrality and title 2 regulation currently in place. Freedom is not having corporations controlling my web searches.
1524. Shawn Wessels, denver, CO, 80221
I fully support strong net neutrality back by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
1525. Marjorie Klarl, Denver, CO, 80212
I strongly support net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPS
1526. Denise Steininger, Federal Heights, CO, 80260
Please keep net neutrality as is. Large corporations should not be in charge, this is of great concern to us all! Listen to the voices of the people for once.
1527. Kelsey Vlamis, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Preserve net neutrality and Title II.I support consumer freedom and increasing competition, which is why I support net neutrality and Title II.
1528. Meghan Schleicher, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II. The ISP must not be able to control the products I use by forcibly making products they benefit from more convenient.
1529. Janet Brackeen, Arvada, CO, 80003
Preserve net neutrality. Keep Title II to continue restrictions on ISPs.
1530. Erik Dahlen, Arvada, CO, 80004
Please keep the internet a safe and level playing field for all. I understand companies goal is to make money, however a few companies controlling the flow of information should not happen. Please keep the internet neutral for all. Please keep a level playing field for the little guys and the big businesses. Please keep the access, content and availability of information equal for all. Thank you!Erik
1531. Tess Martin, Lakewood, CO, 80226

I strongly support Title II and net neutrality. I think these protections are essential to a democracy in which all people have equal access to information. I do not support letting ISPs filter/favor information.

1532. Austin Watson, Arvada, CO, 80007
I support maintaining and enforcing net neutrality regulations.
1533. Salman Mohagheghi, Golden, CO, 80401
Net neutrality ensures fair and unrestricted access to the Internet for everyone regardless of content. Taking it away only benefits telecom and cable companies, at the expense of consumers and more-vulnerable startup companies.
1534. adam burk, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80214
I support strong net neutrality rules under compliance by the title II and want it enforced and unchanged
1535. Chip Self, Thornton, CO, 80233
Maintain net neutrality as it stands with Title II oversight and protection. Revoking these rules will be a devastating blow to fairness and equality for all internet users in the United States.
1536. richard Creswell, Lakewood, CO, 80227
17-108 is called Internet Freedom. This is corporate speak for give a few of the most powerful corporations the chance to turn the internet into their own personal propaganda and profit machine. Markets don't work with monopoly control. Information in a democracy doesn't work with a corporate Pravda giving us the news it wants us to hear. Please show that you are more interested in the freedom of all the rest of us than in another big check from corporate giants and vote for Internet Neutrality not freedom to a few corporations to tromp on our businesses and our freedoms.
1537. Melisa, Denver, CO, 80233
Don't restrict my internet service. We pay. Preserve internet ethrolity.
1538. Steven M. Kelso, Westminster, CO, 80021
I am very concerned about net neutrality in general, and this proceeding specifically. After careful research, thought, and consideration, please be advised that I specifically support strong net neutrality, including classifying ISPs under Title II.
1539. Sean Jenkinson, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. Freedom of choice, freedom of expression, freedom in general is at stake
1540. Brendan Hager, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Don't mess with Net Neutrality or Title Two. Do the right thing.
1541. Victoria Watson, Henderson, CO, 80260
We will not make this a long winded comment. In short, WE STRONGLY SUPPORT net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP.
1542. Joan & Troy Braley, Arvada, CO, 80004
We strongly believe in net neutrality and title 2 endorsement!
1543. DJ Head, denver, CO, 80221
I don't want ISP's to be able to throttle or speed up any specific data regardless of type of content or creator. All data should be treated the same. I want ISP's to be regulated like utilities.
1544. Stephen, Michael, Westminster, CO, 80021
Can you stop being such fucking fucks for half a damn minute? Nobody wants this you useless pricks, quit trying to screw over the public in a cash grab.
1545. Katherine Behling, Garien Behling, Arvada, CO, 80003
We support strong regulation in support of net neutrality which includes ISPs being classified under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Thank you.
1546. Rebecca Shiflea, Lakewood, CO, 80228
The FCC needs to support net neutrality by enforcing Title II for ISPs.
1547. Wiley Horan, Lakewood, CO, 80232
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The Internet is the greatest public service in our entire history, removing elements that make it such to appease corporations is dangerously misguided. Without my memes I don't know what I'd do with myself.
1548. David Saurini, Arvada, CO, 80002
Please Keep and use Title 2 to maintain net neutrality. Don't let companies control what we receive

1549. Curt Lingle, Westminster, CO, 80234

I am very concerned about removing Title II classification for ISPs. Consider how Internet access impacts daily life, especially in respect to open communication. Allowing Internet Service Providers to prioritize specific sources of information will have a direct impact on the First Amendment, as well as other personal freedoms. I am also concerned about the impact of big-business on these considerations. The FCC and all other government agencies, for that matter, work on behalf of the citizens of the United States, not business with global interests. Thank you for your consideration.

1550. Ben Sisco, Denver, CO, 80233

In regards to Net Neutrality/ Title II and the Telecommunications Act, an open letter to those who are responsible for providing fair, unbiased, un-financed, responsible decisions regarding federal communications. First off, the internet is not owned, maintained, controlled, or supported by any one entity or country. Therefore, it should be the focus of this commission to ensure a level playing field for all. All those who invested in building the physical infrastructure to deliver internet services and content did so knowingly and without judgement under the existing frameworks and classifications that the internet is a global entity, e.g. a commodity as a useful or valuable thing, and with the primary goal of providing the framework for delivery of service - NOT CONTENT. Modifying US titles, and/or regulations to allow internet carriers and service providers to scale, control, prefer, censor, or otherwise interfere with the free and open delivery of a global commodity is outside the boundaries of a single country's federal commission and should be avoided. Modifying US titles, and/or regulations for the sole advantage of the provider is unlawful and serves to create a capitalized monopoly on internet provisioning and content resulting in less competition and higher prices. If providing internet content without control is unacceptable to those who initially invested in doing so they are more than welcome to leave the industry and leave it to those who can and will do so responsibly.

1551. Marie, Brad, Nick & Elena Venner, Lakewood, CO, 80232

Please listen to us!The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to us and we urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Marie, Brad, Nick & Elena Venner

1552. Anthony Roberts, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033

The FCC needs to regulate ISPs under Title II common carrier laws. Even the ISPs themselves have admitted in previous testimony that this is the only legally enforceable way to ensure ISPs play fair with all content and all customers. Any other regulatory scheme isn't worth the paper it's written on, unenforceable, toothless, and voluntary. The biggest ISPs are the most hated companies in America. Comcast, Verizon (Yeah, Pia, Your old employer, stop being their paid cheer leader and start regulating them) etc. and there is no reason to think they can be trusted with something as important as how to manage the newest and most powerful for of communication available to U.S. Citizens. For the good of the people, and to protect free speech, we must protect the platforms that allow us to tell our stories from the greed and self serving interests of these corporations. Thank you.

1553. William handley, Edgewater, CO, 80214

It is necessary for the security of constitutional government that the internet remains unbiased and uncensored. Please do not allow ISP's to slant internet content to promote a corporate agenda.

1554. Alene g Rave, Westminster, CO, 80031

Dear FCC, enough is enough! We are all paying way too much money as it is. You have complete control and now you're trying to make it so we have to pay more to have an Internet that works properly? This is getting out of control the Monopoly has got to stop. There are a lot of people out there that are barely making it. More people barely making it than there are people that can pay for this crap. Sincerely a customer since 1996Alene g Rave

1555. Christopher Doll, Arvada, CO, 80005

I am writing to urge the FCC to continue Title II and net neutrality. It is essential to preserving the equality of our information and vital for the entrepreneurial endeavors that makes our economy thrive. To do otherwise equates to state sanctioned censorship.

1556. Nathan Haramaki, Arvada, CO, 80002
I wish to make my voice heard about a topic I find very important. I strongly support Net Neutrality and truly hope the FCC does as well. The internet is a place where we Americans can exercise our freedom of choice to the greatest extent; without Net Neutrality, this would simply not be the case. ISP's need to know that the internet is a place of free exchange for all and they have absolutely no right to try to regulate what we do on the internet, especially not for their own profits.
1557. Mark Thomson, Westminster, CO, 80031
Title 2 seemed scary, when Wheeler's administration took that route. However, I have not seen ISPs describe any undue costs. I have also only heard proponents of backing out of Title 2 protection rules claim that it would incentivize investment. This actually seems to guarantee that ISPs would invest in ONLY the most profitable interconnections, bolstering only the network elements that carry 'fastlane' traffic. To think that somehow rural or low income neighborhoods would benefit is naive. Instead of removing title 2 classifications, consider doubling-down on enforcement, eliminate bundling and eliminate data-cap exempt content. Thank you for taking the time and effort to hear from constituents and citizens. This country is great because we have transparency and voices matter. It will be even greater, Chairman Pai, if you will consider this feedback on equal footing with that of the C-level executives of ISPs. Consider your responsibility to actual capitalist and libertarian values that have created the investment climate that we now have. By allowing companies (many of whom received their initial investment from government granted monopolies) to further benefit from their position as one of only one or two broadband options - they HAVE to take advantage of that position given the return expectations that our markets place on them. Whatever advantage they can legally take they will, which would ultimately mean less choice for consumers. The only reason Netflix now supports (180 degrees from their stance in 2012) is that they are large enough to pay tolls and they know their new competition is not. Do the right thing and keep title 2 classifications. As an alternative further if the ISPs will accept, allow them to create test markets. Perhaps there are states whose leaders would accept a test markets. Allow a 3-5 year period to see how available options in internet speeds are available. Allow testing to confirm the throughput, of content streaming performance. Test your theory first. Finally if possible challenge some states to propose protections. I have heard that there is an expectation that states would enforce their own protection of internet neutrality. If you can await the safety net before pulling out the current protections you would be a more prudent leader AND show your campaign donors that you are making progress.
1558. Erika Castro, Westminster, CO, 80234
I believe in net-neutrality, please support the people's right to equal access to all websites with no outside influences.
1559. Kristina Weiss, Westminster, CO, 80031
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II status!
1560. Erik Wilkins, Arvada, CO, 80005
I wish to support a strong net neutrality that allows freedom of access to all content for all users under the provisions of Title II. Thank you.
1561. Tasia Ghiselin, Denver, CO, 80234
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs
1562. sean connolly, Northglenn, CO, 80234
Net neutrality is about protecting the interests of American citizens over the interests of billion-dollar media companies and ISPs. Equal access to information is vital in a democracy, and necessary for promoting a healthy and informed American public. Do not kill net neutrality, and do not ignore the millions and millions of Americans who feel the same way.
1563. Jacqueline Judson, Northglenn, CO, 80233
I strongly support the use of Title II by the FCC to enforce net neutrality regulations. A healthy marketplace must also make provisions to ensure the well being of the customers and users of that network, something that many ISPs consistently neglect to do and may actively be incentivized against if they own content, such as Verizon and Comcast. Strong net neutrality is a key aspect of maintaining a neutral service for all users of the modern Internet.
1564. Dan Powers, Golden, CO, 80401
I fully support net neutrality and would like to see the internet remain under Title II jurisdiction.
1565. Gear McMillan, Westminster, CO, 80234
Please preserve and ensure net neutrality by ensuring ISPs remain under title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934.
1566. Cory a Cavegn, Westminster, CO, 80031
Save the internet. We need to keep the title 2 status. The internet needs to be a free and ungated place for all people. It is the key to all information for people of all economic classes.
1567. Aaron Rogan, Westminster, CO, 80234
Please do not change the net neutrality rules. We need a free and open internet as that is what had allowed the tech industry to truce the past two decades.

1568. Jaimie Rogan, Westminster, CO, 80234
Net neutrality is essential to the future of small businesses. Please keep ISP classified under title II.
1569. Kurt Romberg, Lakewood , CO, 80215
Net neutrality is an essential part of a free and open marketplace of ideas, allowing the "little guy" to compete with larger corporations. End it, and you end market equality.
1570. jeff, golden , CO, 80401
I don't need to tell you why letting private companies control the largest flow of information on earth is a really fucking stupid idea. just do your fucking job because you autistic motherfuckers were the ones that said in the gpra that "All Americans should have affordable access to robust and reliable broadband products and services. Regulatory policies must promote technological neutrality, competition, investment, and innovation to ensure that broadband service providers have sufficient incentives to develop and offer such products and services." which won't happen if people stop paying for internet in droves because it gets throttled and monitored when you actually use it. I'm struggling to have any respect for any government official at this point because everyone seems to not give a shit about their job. even as a child I could see how fucking incompetent most of the people in government are when I watch communities become trashier every year with little interaction or public maintenance from local government. I'm either going to leave this country or kill myself because the people here are fucking trash.
1571. Elise Kimball, Westminster, CO, 80234
Protect my rights and freedom of speech! Protect net neutrality!
1572. Jeffrey Lovett, Northglenn, CO, 80234
The internet has been free of corporate constraint and strong-arming since its inception. Simply providing the means by which users ascertain the internet, doesn't give these corporations the right to then claim ownership of it: restricting use and speed inside it! And, these big companies are already profiting for providing their new conduits to the internet- why do they need more? Big corporations have already changed the landscape in higher education, healthcare, housing, and credit- to the detriment of a vast majority of Americans. When will people wake up and see this? Are we the land of the free and the home of the brave; or are we for sale to the next highest bidder?
1573. Melinda Burns, Lakewood , CO, 80226
I do not believe that changing the net neutrality issue should not be turned over to big companies. The net must remain neutral. My search efforts should not be controlled and directed by a specific entity.
1574. Michael Hurd, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Just like power companies don't get to decide what I do with my electricity, Internet Service Providers shouldn't get to decide where I go on the internet. The conflation of Content Providers and ISPs (like Comcast) creates conflicts of interest that must be regulated by treating ISPs as Common Carriers.
1575. Nathan Mueller, Lakewood, CO, 80228
As an entrepreneur and knowing that this country is powered by small businesses, net neutrality allows the playing field to be more even. The internet was designed to be free, open, and not-discriminate. That's why no one person got rich off it.
1576. Alex, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Net Neutrality is the most important tool in equalizing the power of citizens against corporations. If Net Neutrality is removed, corporations will be able to control what websites we visit, which would destroy the freedom of the internet
1577. Noah Dungey, Thornton, CO, 80233
I want my ISP to move my data packets like USPS moves my packages; sealed and equal priority regardless of destination. You wouldn't want a package shipped to your family to be delivered slower then a package shipped to a company would you? Data packets should be treated same, meaning that Internet Service Providers are Common Carriers. Title II should apply to ISPs.
1578. Max Wiater, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80212
Keep the internet free. ISP intervention is not- and never will be- necessary.
1579. Jose Velasquez, Denver, CO, 80229
The internet is an open system. It should remain equally accessible to everyone and corporations have no authority on regulating access/speed of information.
1580. Hazel E Hanley, Esq, Golden , CO, 80401
I want net neutrality, because it is essential to free speech. I don't want Comcast to slow down my access to sites it doesn't own. Leave the current regulations as they are.
1581. David Enright, Westminster, CO, 80234
Net neutrality is an ethical cornerstone for the internet. It allows fair access to both large & small business the same as the transportation method of the real world.Net neutrality must be upheld!

1582. Kathleen Faller, Littleton, CO, 80123
Maintain net neutrality. Free speech is fundamental to sanity.
1583. Gov Landrum, lakewood, CO, 80227
The net was created neutral, all the good things that have grown from it could only germinate in neutral soil. Please don't kill the seeds of the future by killing that neutrality.
1584. Mary Jo Cwik, Westminster, CO, 80234
The question is, why would an agency that supposedly works for the People, promote rules and take a policy position that directly and blatantly works against the People? I think we need to take names and find out where and for whom those self-serving individuals are planning to work for next year.
1585. William J. Inman , Lakewood, CO, 80215
Net neutrality must be protected in America because this is the land of the free.
1586. Michael Harvey, Lakewood , CO, 80214
The internet is the epitome of free enterprise.
1587. Sawyer schumacher, Arvada, CO, 80005
Keep the net free. The internet as it exists is more important than corporate interest. The internet is not an entity that should be exploitable for profit. We need to keep the infrastructure internet as far away of profit as possible. It's the fabric of the information age, and should not be tarnished by the ideals of shareholders.
1588. Elin Fortman, Lakewood, CO, 80228
We must maintain net neutrality in an age where many freedoms are threatened.
1589. Norman Carlevato, Lakewood, CO, 80228
We do not need any big companies telling how to use the internet!!
1590. Arturo Valencia, Arvada, CO, 80003
An open Internet is best and truly represents the ideals of a free society. For the sake of all people and all opportunity, the Internet must remain neutral to ensure that it continues to be the invaluable resource that it is for everyone and their dreams. Thank you for reading my appeal. I sincerely trust that you will consider keeping the net neutral.
1591. J lopez , Lakewood, CO, 80232
It is OK. To profit in any endeavor. But, Will it benefit the consumer???
1592. Greg, lakewood, CO, 80214
I support net neutrality. The internet should be open and unrestricted as possible.
1593. Micheal Fay, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Net neutrality is imperative to ensure our first amendment rights and ensure big business/big industry/big brother cannot dominate/control our lives and limit our choices.
1594. Elizabeth Houtz, Lakewood , CO, 80214
Please protect net neutrality. It's an important cause.
1595. Steve, Thornton, CO, 80233
Keep your paws off our freedom!!!!!!!
1596. william mcknight, lakewood , CO, 80215
Leave my internet alone ??? The internet is free speech...You have no authority
1597. Gunter Fuchs, Golden, CO, 80401
The electronic is widening. The world needs more unbiased information and education, not less.
1598. Tanner Burrows, Lakewood, CO, 80228
The internet does not belong to these companies. They are only a middle man, and would you let a middle man control the access to your goods or your ability to purchase them behind your back? The success and the freedom of the internet is at threat.
1599. Karen Pancoast, Lakewood, CO, 80214
Net neutrality MUST be protected to allow small business owners like myself the opportunity to share what we create and offer to the world. There is already too much pre-packaged, multinational "content" offered. The internet is the one place we can share our joy, discoveries, and common human interests. Please don't let the corporations take over this too.
1600. Katherine Chawla, Arvada, CO, 80005

Hi! I favor net neutrality because the Internet is about information and communication. The ability to find the resources I need for my homework, understand what's going on in the world around me, and connect with people worldwide (my best friend is literally across the globe). For these things to work, access needs to be unfiltered and at my discretion, not at my provider's. Thanks for your time!

1601. Terri Groen, Lakewood, CO, 80227
As we become more corporatism then countryism we begin to lose rights. The net should stay neutral to provide ALL in our country so they can have easy access. By giving corporations to control speed it undermines are basic right of freedom..First Amendment first!!
1602. Gary, Westminster , CO, 80020
We need to keep what we have... Why should you have anything to do with keeping control of what net neutrality is.... We have what we have now.... Power trip or what.... We have the right to use the computer not destruction wise but the freedom to use it without someone trying to give us boundaries.... Stop trying to being on a powerful power trip....
1603. Barbara Ussery, Commerce City, CO, 80022
Eliminating Net Neutrality would effectively silence the Fifth Estate, which is crucial in a technological age to uphold the first amendment (Freedom of Speech). It would curb small businesses, ones who don't have powerful lobbies on their side, effectively destroying their bit of the American Dream. Motivation itself would dwindle and die off.
1604. Stephen Bailey, Northglenn, CO, 80233
The backbone of any democracy is the freedom of information. Police state and tyranny begin when that information is controlled. Net neutrality helps ensure that freedom.
1605. Lindsay Lamb, Arvada, CO, 80007
I do not want people to track my purchases or my web searches. I like to have my neutrality and I would prefer to choose who I would like to do business with.
1606. Bob Gifford, Westminter, CO, 80031
Changing net neutrality will stifle free enterprise.
1607. Skylar Schalit, Golden, CO, 80002
It is your job to protect our freedom of speech, not to sell it. Respectfully, do your job.
1608. Joel Aelwyn, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I am one of the network engineers who helped to make the Internet what it is today. I have worked for both regional and national ISPs, and I am well acquainted with the technical aspects of network traffic management. The internet relies on a few very simple principles in order to function effectively. One of them is that at the most fundamental level, a network exists *to carry traffic* -- not to refuse to carry it. While there will always be technical limitations, and a network certainly must be permitted to refuse traffic that would actively harm it, such situations were, are, and should continue to be considered "exceptions to the rule" -- deviations from an ideal that reality may necessitate, but should never be treated as part of the normal course of business. Network neutrality is not just a question of policy -- it is the "natural state" of the Internet, and the one in which it operates the most effectively. There is a saying that has been around for many years now: "The Internet detects censorship as damage... and routes around it." But any decent network engineer can tell you that it *also* detects poor service as if it were a partial failure -- and that having to route around something is *always* going to be a detriment to the network as a whole.
1609. Rodger McIntosh, Thornton, CO, 80241
Net neutrality keeps corporations honest! How does this help the people, the ones you should be representing? It doesn't, it only helps the corporations.
1610. Hilary A, Lakewood, CO, 80215
The internet is basically a necessity in order to function in this day and age. Large companies could set up rules and pay structures to deny or limit access to those who need it most, and will if it's profitable. Net neutrality rules help guarantee that we ALL can access information in the way that we need to.
1611. Charles Mullin, Commerce City, CO, 80022
The internet should remain as a public utility much like a telephone or electrical service entering a building. And like the telephone rates that change speeds available according to content should not be allowed. Keep the internet rules that support net neutrality. Thank you.
1612. Steven Shine, Lakewood, CO, 80227
The move to dismantle net neutrality will harm consumers and further enrich large ISPs that aren't satisfied with the monopolies and duopolies that they enjoy in the vast majority of American markets. Consumers are already being harmed by underhanded tactics such as the introduction of data caps. This is a dangerous trend and Ajit Pai's ties to the industry that he is supposed to regulate reliably predicts the position he takes on this issue: to protect the interests of well-established

telecoms, create barriers for potential new entrants to various markets and harm consumers in the process. The internet is not a privileged luxury. It is a vital and necessary resource in every American's life and our dependence on this resource grows every day. We cannot let politics as usual hamper societal progress in exchange for short term gains for already profitable companies. This is too important to get wrong.

1613. Tonya Nichol Williams, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Do Not silence us, there is no freedom of speech if there is fear and supremacy.
1614. dindy fuller, lakewood, CO, 80215
Dear FCC and advisors, Do not remove our freedom of speech, and give cable companies the right to rule over individuals. STOP!
1615. Dr. John Dunsmoor, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Net neutrality is critical to continuing a free speech society. Power ALWAYS seeks to silence the people, through violence, intimidation or simply purchasing the "voices". Please do not choose profit over democracy. Further concentration of power, right or left, is poisonous to America.
1616. Jared Kirts, Golden, CO, 80403
Net Neutrality, in my opinion, allows small business to leave their mark on the internet; without it, bigger business could and very well might restrict and control what is on the internet to promote their goods and to hurt small business owners.
1617. Sharon Howard, Folsom, CO, 95630
Net neutrality has to be protected! Why are businesses becoming so much more important than people? I don't want business to dictate what I do on the net or control what they want to by invasive access. This is not acceptable in any form.
1618. Jacob , Lakewood, CO, 80226
A free and open internet is essential. Net neutrality HAS TO BE PROTECTED. This isn't complicated, people matter more than greedy corporations
1619. Connie Martin, Thornton, CO, 80229
EVERYTHING we try to watch, read, or just enjoy is FILLED with commercials. Even without "control," everything you look at on the internet is tracked, and sent back to you as commercials. Everybody is trying to SELL you something! I honestly think a free internet is the basis for exploring the ideas needed to maintain free speech (and free thought!) DON'T TAKE THAT AWAY!
1620. Michael Morrison, Golden, CO, 80401
Sirs, I wish you to maintain Net Neutrality. This policy has led to wide open growth of the internet and turned it into one of our most dynamic economic sectors. To allow it to be divided into fast and slow lanes would be to cripple both the internet and the economy.
1621. Darrell Rylatt, Arvada, CO, 80004
"If it ain't broke, don't fix it" I like the internet as it is. There is enough government interference in our lives now. We do not need the addition of major corporations telling us what we can do or what we can watch. Keep the net neutral!!
1622. Elizabeth C, Westminster, CO, 80003
Our country values free and open markets and the power of free speech and free access to ideas. Impinging on net neutrality runs counter to these stated values and allows companies to restrict my access to new and innovative ideas. PLEASE DO NOT SELL MY RIGHTS TO THE HIGHEST BIDDERS
1623. Laura Schneider, Arvada, CO, 80005
Net neutrality must be protected. The mega corporations already have enough power and influence on our lawmakers through lobbying to secure and maintain their monopolies and record profits. They don't need to further suppress the free flow of information and speech through priority status in the web.
1624. Eric Mizell, Northglenn, CO, 80234
Net Neutrality must be protected!
1625. Cindy Babb, Lakewood, CO, 80232
Please do not destroy the neutrality of the internet!! I already cannot afford cable TV and so do not participate in that. Our society depends so much on the internet, by turning it over to big corporations, the service of the internet will become secondary to profits and the level of neutrality we have now will disappear. Undue influence by any company(ies) that control the internet will be common and censurement will ensue. Do not bow to the interests of the few over the many!!
1626. Joshua Sorensen, Thornton, CO, 80241
Equal and fair access to information is a crucial thing for humanity. Letting ISPs and Cable companies control access to information or create fast lanes is a disservice to every one of their consumers. Keep title 2 for ISPs and keep Net Neutrality.

1627. Joseph Kulpa, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Net Neutrality must continue as-is. The big banks ruined the world economy because they had no oversight. The big cable companies will do the same thing, you cannot assume they will be magnanimous and just do what is good for everybody, they have stockholders to listen to and appease.
1628. Brandon Frontz, Westminster, CO, 80021
The internet is one of the last venues for freedom of expression. If you take this away you will help kill democracy.
1629. Kimberly Hampton, Westminster, CO, 80021
Net neutrality is very important being able to freely use the internet without someone censoring what you are able to say or do are rights are being taken away slowly please don't let this happen to the internet also.
1630. Kevin Bowes, Westminster, CO, 80031
Please keep the internet neutral and regulate it like a public utility. Please, Please keep title 2 protections in place!
1631. Jessica Hoyt, Westminster, CO, 80021
The American government was set up to work for the people, not for the big corporations. Stop selling out to the big corporations and do your job, which in case you forgot is to regulate. These big corporations need to be regulated because they do not care about the people, but rather only about their profits and shareholders.
1632. John Romanski, Lakewood, CO, 80228
The internet is critical public infrastructure that should not be subjected to the exclusive purview of a few private interests. To remove net neutrality regulations for ISP providers is nothing short of an outrage.
1633. Zoriana Morozewych, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Without net neutrality, big companies could censor people and perspectives online. Net neutrality has been called the "First Amendment of the Internet." Net neutrality allows consumers "not big companies" to choose what they watch & do online. I
1634. Barbara Burch, Lakewood, CO, 80214
As a senior citizen who relies on the Internet for banking, medical appointments and staying in touch with family and friends, you must not split the Internet between the haves and have-nots. Please consider what would be BEST for all of us, not just the few who can afford it. The Internet has always been a great equalizer; don't change it.
1635. Casey Miller, Golden, CO, 80401
More and more of our lives and communications are spent online. We should be the ones who decide how and where that time is spent. Gutting net neutrality will allow big corporations to make those decisions for us instead.
1636. Stephen Barru, Arvada, CO, 80403
I lived for many years in China, a country that has a heavily censored, closed internet. It is alarming to think that the United States may move in the same direction. We need to preserve the open internet guaranteed by net neutrality. An internet that let's everybody participate, innovate, speak freely, and access the information of interest to them.
1637. Garrett Mengel, Westminster, CO, 80031
Democracy depends on freedom of speech, which is why the First Amendment is so important. Net Neutrality is the equivalent of a First Amendment for the internet.
1638. Ben Mortenson, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I feel that net neutrality is really important to protecting free speech and not giving large companies too much power over the internet.
1639. Michael McCausey, Westminster, CO, 80031
Having a neutral internet is imperative to advance our society. Having all of the information there is at our fingertips inspires innovation, broadens our minds, and our acceptance of others different from ourselves. Stifling that will do exactly the opposite.
1640. Lorrence Scott Mahaffy, Thornton, CO, 80241
Please keep Net-Neutrality protections in place to prevent corporations from limiting my freedom to any internet content available. Do not allow corporations to manipulate price by reducing or categorizing free-market access to Internet.
1641. marc, denver, CO, 80221
leave our shit alone keep you asses out of our lives in every way!!
1642. Bob Krugmire, Westminster, CO, 80021
I wish to express my strong belief that net neutrality is an important matter that must be protected. Net neutrality would provide an even playing field for small business owners like me, who work with low-income and non-profit organizations to help them achieve their missions. Providing an edge to big corporations, such as Comcast, AT&T, etc. could damage that

"First Amendment of the Internet." In addition, continued protection of net neutrality would allow the user, not big companies, to choose what we watch and do online. Please, use your voice and power to protect the users and ensure that all of us have equal access and use of what has become an essential tool of everyday life.

1643. Hemanta Acharya, westminster, CO, 80003
I am being consumer i should be able to choose what to speak, listen, read and watch in the internet freely without compromising to the national security threats. Beside national security issue there should be nothing to stop me doing anything in the web. Big companies shouldn't be able to sell our data to third party without our consent to do so. They shouldn't be given access to all our information at all the time as they are doing now. There is nothing left called privacy. Big companies can sees us way more naked than the way we were born.
1644. T Stephen, Westminster, CO, 80234
The internet constitutes an essential utility for citizens of the United States. According to most recently available Census figures 74% of US households use the internet and that figure has most surely risen since the last report was issued in 2012. SEVENTY-FOUR PERCENT penetration must certainly qualify internet service as essential to the vast majority of our population. Therefore, the internet should be regulated as a public utility under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 to set rates and insure equal access to this very necessary UTILITY.
1645. Alycia Windingland, Arvada, CO, 80004
Net Neutrality is what makes the essence of the internet what it is. It must remain an embodiment of the first amendment. The infringement of such an important aspect of what the internet is, should be seen as equally dangerous as an infringement upon the freedom of speech, and the Bill of Rights itself. I would like to see congress pass a Constitutional Amendment guaranteeing the right to Net Neutrality for all Americans.
1646. dindy fuller, lakewood, CO, 80215
Help us by acting on behalf of the safe, free speech on the internet. Do not allow the internet to be used for policing. We depend on your legal power, to create laws for the greatest good: protecting individuals, small businesses, and others. Free speech matters! Protect us.
1647. Kathy Duncan, Thornton, CO, 80260
We , the people, deserve equal use of the internet.
1648. nina shilodon, Golden, CO, 80403
Freedom is not granted by big corporations. They ALWAYS impose rules, regulations, and expenses to increase their bottom line...money. I have worked with many of these corporations and they are just money mongers. They are insensitive grinding machines to the needs of people. We all suffer if you end an open internet.
1649. Joanne, Commerce City, CO, 80022
Net neutrality is crucial to sustaining our democracy. Net neutrality equals freedom. Corporations are wanting too much control on our lives and we should not be subjected to limitations for their profit.
1650. Jerry Marko, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I have "One" feasible choice for an Internet Service Provider. We should not be granting additional powers to existing monopolies. The "Market" will not create net neutrality in a market where no competition exists.
1651. william greene, lakewood, CO, 80232
Now the net neutrality is for the people to use, not run by business folks. Don't let them have it ...PLEASE
1652. GEORGE & DONNA NOONE, THORNTON, CO, 80260
It is very important to us that the internet maintain its neutrality. We do think our use of it should be fairly and equally supplied. Big business has too many definite advantages due to its deep pockets already. Please do not dispense with free and equal access!!
1653. Andre Torres, Westminster, CO, 80021
It is absurd to think big companies will be on their best behavior without Title II. Keep net neutrality as it is.
1654. Christine, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Net neutrality is the fairest way to run the web. We're already controlled by companies in so many ways. Do not privatize the web, too. The internet offers free speech and a corner for everyone. Please give us our freedom!
1655. Daniel Whitney, Denver, CO, 80260
The world wide web belongs to all the citizens of the world.
1656. Neil Brallier, Arvada, CO, 80002
I cancelled Internet service from Comcast because their customer service is abysmal and when their service had problems they blamed it on Microsoft, the modem that I owned, etc. when EVERY TIME it was their problem. I had to buy new software, get a new modem and spend many hours on the phone to get them to fix their problems. There were multiple issues

over the years, not just one oddball event and every time their first response was that it was someone else's fault. Large companies like this should NOT be given any more control over the Internet because they will make every decision for profit, NOT for service and the customer.

1657. Thornell, Lakewood , CO, 80214
the Internet is my life and it is the best for every one if it is. open to every one not just the rich and those in control.
1658. D. Allbee, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Free speech is vital to a democracy and net neutrality is a part of that. The ability to easily research and view different viewpoints from other countries helps combat biased propoganda. Removal of net neutrality is a slippery slope opening the door to censorship and should be avoided at all costs.
1659. jeff blakeslee, commerce city, CO, 80022
Most satellite communication was funded with taxpayer dollars. To turn control to the private sector management that puts their own interests first is a violation of the public trust by people that were elected to represent THE PEOPLE-NOT BIG BUSINESS. Don't let it happen
1660. Elliott Francescott, Westminster, CO, 80021
Keep it open, there's a good reason Linux Operating systems will become more popular, because of the open internet microsoft and apple can't simple force it out or control it to the point we have to pay to download a certain software, because the big company technically owns the right to the website and can force whatever on to us. You know they will, given any chance to. \$\$\$\$\$
1661. Lesa Chadwick, Arvada, CO, 80003
Handing over internet control of the internet to big business is against the fundamental rights that Americans have been promised from day 1. We the people of the United States of America were promised in the Declaration of Independence the inalienable rights of life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness, these inalienable rights are backed by first 10 amendments of the Constitution. Handing our rights over to big business not only limits free speech it is also breaking the Sherman Antitrust Act. In 1982 AT&T was broken up by the DOJ for being a monopoly. Allowing them and the other communication companies the right to have control over the internet makes them a bigger monopoly than they were. It is a shame that the end game of the United States government has become satisfying big business and building personal bank accounts over protecting the peoples rights that elected them.
1662. Dean Olerich, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech.It protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started.And allows consumers " not big companies " to choose what they watch & do online. We need to get this one right.
1663. Robert R. Weibel, Littleton, CO, 80123
It is very important to me that net neutrality remain. Having an open internet is critical to all users of the internet.I worked for both AT&T and Comcast. They're fine companies and provide wonderful services. However, I don't want to see them and others like them control access, content and usage of the internet for their gain at the expense of each and every ordinary citizen.
1664. Paulette Gagnon, Denver, CO, 80227
Net Neutrality, perhaps the only place that small businesses and young entrepreneurs are not commandeered by Big Business interests. Please protect that sovereignty.
1665. Lewis Mitcham, Lakewood, CO, 80214
The net must stay neutral and be protected for the good of us users. We have had way too much freedoms taken away from us.
1666. Thor Broadbelt, Golden, CO, 80401
It is essential for net neutrality to remain intact, for information is education, and having the freedom to choose our preferences in life should always be our God given right.
1667. Kimberly Hampton, Westminster , CO, 80021
The FCC net neutrality needs to protected for all computer use whether it is for personal or business .
1668. Dale Devoe, Littleton , CO, 80123
An open internet is vital. There should be no preference made for business over individuals. No cap should be allowed for anyone using the internet it can restrict usage by making paying for access. Money should not be utilized as a barrier to access. I use the internet to work if fee are allowed for usage over a certain data cap you are now cutting into my already restricted income. And restriction of data speeds also would affect my work. Please make it and open speedy internet for all.
1669. Austin Lliteras, Thornton, CO, 80233
As consumers of information and services we should have the right to choose what we watch and do online. Net neutrality is

fundamental to free speech and companies should not have the right to censor people or information if it doesn't align with their views nor unfairly put sites or services behind price walls. There's no reason to end net neutrality outside of corporate greed.

1670. Anne Hite, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Net neutrality must be protected. We need free exchange of ideas, free ability to surf all of the web and no constant bombardment of advertisements.
1671. Jennifer Straw, Golden, CO, 80401
Protect net neutrality! I don't want big companies deciding what I see online!
1672. Mark Kline, Lakewood, CO, 80214
Free speech... America... It's the whole point of it.....
1673. Kyle Santi, Westminster, CO, 80020
Without the Internet, I cannot truly experience what cultural offerings the United States of America has to offer that hasn't been filtered through Hollywood. Without the Internet, I am unable to learn what is going on in the world which prevents me from being a good and well-informed American citizen.
1674. Jeff Chamberlain, Lakewood, CO, 80215
The internet should remain free and open. This should be viewed as a public utility and provided unrestricted to all Americans. Net Neutrality should stay as is!
1675. Bryon Hays, Lakewood, CO, 80214
With all the talk of removing government from the lives of Americans, why would there be regulations on access to information? Please protect net neutrality. It is part of the First Amendment rights affording freedom of speech! Do not let the corporations control information and access any more than they already do. To do so would be duplicating the efforts of countries like China, North Korea, Egypt, Saudi Arabia, and Iran; to attempt to control the populace and deny the inalienable rights guaranteed in our Constitution and Declaration of Independence. Please stand firm and protect our country!
1676. Erik Meddles, Arvada, CO, 80004
Net neutrality is essential to live in an equal society today - between rural and urban communities, between rich and poor, between different political parties. No company should have the right to limit this equality and to create divisions between us as Americans by treating the internet like a toll road that only allows the wealthiest companies and consumers access. Please, do NOT ruin the internet and hold up the American people's access to information.
1677. David Strott, Lakewood, CO, 80232
Stop abusively monetizing ever service possible.
1678. Ms Bates, Thornton, CO, 80233
I believe freedom of speech is the foundation of all other freedoms. We must be able to access the internet without a third party censoring what we can see or do. We live in the United States of America and censorship is antithetical to all we stand for. Please do not destroy net neutrality, which truly is the "First Amendment of the Internet."
1679. Brad White, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Big business has no place and no right to influence the FCC. If net neutrality is not left in place, it is clear that the FCC does not make decisions in my best interest. This is just one of many recent issues in which I feel that my voice isn't heard. As such, I will be forced to take this into consideration during the next election cycle.
1680. Patrick Langer, Arvada, CO, 80005
I don't want to see someone's internet costs screwed over because of a specific site being accessed. It can very much amount to discrimination.
1681. Dylan C Neal, Denver, CO, 80229
I can't believe we have to fight for this. I do not trust ISPs to look out for my best interest, this will only worsen the effects of Big Telecom's monopolies.
1682. Charles hall, lakewood, CO, 80228
net neutrality must be protected. it offer a place for people to communicate freely and openly with out the fear of censor about every topic from their favorite movies to important social problems we are facing now. it is a tool for humanity that brings people from all walks of life together and destroying net neutrality would give the major corporations the ability to harm this unity. the internet is for everybody and allowing the few(corporations) decide what the many(the American populace) should be allowed to do with the internet is unjust
1683. Thea, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Net neutrality is *vital* to our liberty and our freedoms as citizens. To end net neutrality is to end free speech.

1684. Jennifer, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
We already have lost the free press in this country and are overrun by big companies and the almighty dollar. The more regulations put on the internet by the FCC, the closer we get to living in a completely fascist corpocracy. Keep the net FREE - for the benefit of the 'little guy' - the big companies have enough power and control over us as it is.
1685. mickey poppitz, arvada, CO, 80007
Shafting the majority of Americans in favor of the tiny few is as wrong as can be, and should likely be considered Criminal. Innovation is what drives this nation and killing net neutrality harms that greatly. The free speech protected by net neutrality should not require paying extra to have that Constitutional Right. Killing net neutrality is arguably a violation of that Constitutional Right.
1686. Glenn Baird, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I want to see net neutrality maintained. The internet should be free and open to all users, not subject to increased fees for superior service. I ask that the FCC maintain existing rules that protect the public and daily users like me from big cable companies that want to have the internet for their own profit.
1687. Trent, Denver, CO, 80215
By keeping the net neutral, it keeps a level playing field for everyone and everyone is forced to use it in daily life as many options are gone or going.
1688. Douglas Green, Denver, CO, 80221
Dear FCC, Please do not mess with the internet by creating more silly regulations and taxes we do not need. Please leave things as they are. Thank you.
1689. Rich Mixsell, Thornton, CO, 80233
How is your proposal beneficial to the average consumer and citizen? I don't think it is. At all. It benefits the telecom companies, many of which already treat their customers poorly despite charging high prices for their services. Their laziness should not be rewarded with undue, potentially harmful, and likely shortsighted influence over how the nation accesses and uses the internet.
1690. Dale Monahan, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Please DO NOT get rid of net neutrality. This will kill innovation and hand over the internet to big companies that will then crush small companies so as to eliminate competition and charge even more for their products and services. Leave it alone.
1691. Jamie, thornton, CO, 80233
Good day, I am writing today to express my concerns regarding any changes that would negatively effect net neutrality. The world wide web should be governed as a utility. Any changes to that would only negatively impact the users. I hope that you are thoughtful, conscientious, and forward looking enough to not cut off your nose to spite your face, and keep the net an open and let net neutrality as it is.
1692. Mike May, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Net neutrality MUST be protected!!! Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech - Without net neutrality, big companies could censor people and perspectives online. Net neutrality has been called the "First Amendment of the Internet." Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started - Without net neutrality, creators and entrepreneurs could struggle to reach new users. Investment in new ideas would dry up and only the big companies would survive, stifling innovation.
1693. Douglas Gallagher, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
The internet must be left in common carrier status. To do otherwise is hand the internet over to large corporations.
1694. Jim Nelson, Thornton, CO, 80241
This issue has already been decided. Why are we doing this again? Our liberties, guaranteed by the Constitution, are slowly being taken away by big Government. Why do you people keep doing this? Our forefathers are rolling over in their graves...
1695. Daylon, thornton, CO, 80233
Net neutrality is what we want. Big company's have no say if we watch too much Netflix or use the internet too much. Its our right and we pay for it! Don't let them take our internet freedom away!
1696. Jamie, Thornton, CO, 80229
Leave net neutrality alone, big companies have no right to control it and would only take away the ability for everyone to use it.
1697. June Gore, Arvada, CO, 80004
Leave my decisions alone. I should have the right to the internet on what I want to see. Leave big company's out of our freedom to choose. Regulating what I see and search on the internet is a violation of my freedom.
1698. Eleanor Marquisee, lakewood, CO, 80226

It's of utmost importance to the future of the internet that you help keep it open and equal, without fast/slow lanes. You are the only keeper of this precious resource that can assure the equality of the internet for future users.

1699. Greg Hull, Lakewood, CO, 80228
If big business gets their hands on the internet, they are the only winners. Don't let this freedom we enjoy be bought by someone else. I support net neutrality. A movement must be created that can positively influence legislation that will prevent anyone from ever selling the internet.
1700. Brad Grissom, Golden, CO, 80401
My wife and I believe that although it seems very technical, just sending data packets, that our internet is really a form of speech and must be kept free and open. The barriers of entry created by ruining this are only in favor of the large incumbent internet company providers.
1701. Terry Poe, Arvada, CO, 80004
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started. Net neutrality allows consumers "not big companies" to choose what they watch & do online. These comments may just copy what Mozilla says, but I couldn't have said it better, myself. Will you continue to pander to the 1% while the rest of us are left with the scraps? Hail Amerika!
1702. Amber St.Clair, Arvada, CO, 80002
C'mon, Ajit. You used to stick up for the underdogs! Quit being Trump's lackey and do not end net neutrality. Be on the right side of history!
1703. Andre Lesartre, Golden, CO, 80401
I strongly support net neutrality regulations to maintain a truly free and open internet, free from the tampering of ISPs.
1704. Jon Holmes, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Obviously Net neutrality is a huge issue for myself and many other Americans. The internet is the life blood of the modern age, and I believe it should be protected as such. To continue the analogy, blood should be allowed to flow freely and without interference, particularly corporate interference. Without net neutrality we risk the already monopolistic hold of ISP's becoming an iron grip upon the throat of our progress and enjoyment. I am already hamstrung in my choice of ISP. I would hate to give those monopolies any more power than they already enjoy. Even something as innocuous as charging me more for the pleasure of watching Netflix is an intrusion upon my personal life that I do not want to provide to these people. Time and again my ISP has demonstrated that it does not care about me or my satisfaction as a customer. I would hate to allow such a callous entity to have any more control over anything, much less the most important resource available to the public for learning and entertainment. Please let net neutrality win the day!
1705. joshua moffitt, Lakewood, CO, 80214
Please do not destroy net neutrality. Corporations should not be running this country. If net neutrality is defeated and they are allowed looser rules and to exploit the American people. I will personally rise up to defeat Ajit dicknuts Pai.
1706. Jeffrey Tefft, Northglenn, CO, 80260
I support strong net neutrality. This can only be maintained by Title II oversight of internet service providers. The incentives for internet providers to manipulate access speeds for financial gain is too high to be left to chance, and the oversight won't have to negatively impact ISPs who choose to behave ethically.
1707. altan paykoc, Westminster, CO, 80031
I want the Internet to stay neutral please.
1708. Nathaniel Adkins, Arvada, CO, 80003
We need to keep net neutrality, with ISPs under the regulation of Title II. Put your country first.- A concerned citizen of the United States of America; Nathaniel D Adkins
1709. Shea Robinson, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I strongly support heavy net neutrality laws. With them, we will have security that our internet choices will be protected.
1710. Jesus Torres, Arvada, CO, 80004
I wish to express my opinion in stating that net neutrality is what is best for our society. With the internet so intensely integrated in our society it seems wrong to leave such a tool necessary for most of our everyday live with less regulations. Relaxing the net neutrality rules or declassifying ISP's from a Title II carrier is a mistake.
1711. Joel Courtney, Golden, CO, 80403
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2, for all of the reasons that everyone else has mentioned. I hardly need to restate all of it. This comment system is a remarkably sensible thing to do, and I thank you for that. Please follow that up with another sensible decision, and preserve net neutrality and Title 2. Thank you.
1712. Daniel Harris, Westminster, CO, 80031

It is unreasonable for people with little to no understanding of the functionality of the internet to allow corporations to impose artificial speed limitations based on the amount of money a citizen throws at them for artificially imposed limitations. Furthermore, it shows a decided interest in corporate interests from legislators to allow such an arbitrary and unnecessary fee to be introduced, particularly by telecom giants who have regularly taken taxpayer money and incentives yet not delivered on their requirements for accepting those funds, such as Verizon. People should have a capability to access the information available on the internet without being funneled into paid lanes of traffic where their experience will be tainted and skewed to the whims of various providers, and additionally this will allow corporations unfair advantages by prioritizing their own services, thus stifling competitors and all but snuffing out smaller entities from competition. Daniel Harris

1713. William Schumann, Lakewood, CO, 80214
The internet has become an important utility that all people need access to in their daily life. Net Neutrality helps ensure that all Americans can get access to the internet. The internet should not be run by ISP's or giant telecommunications companies. They are only interested in making profit not making the internet accessible to all. We need the FCC to maintain Net Neutrality so that the internet remains free from corporate greed.
1714. Andrew Salas, northglenn, CO, 80233
I support strong net neutrality title 2 regulation. Dont get this wrong. Preserve it!
1715. shae weekley, golden, CO, 80401
I want to maintain strong net neutrality under title 2 restrictions!! Do not change laws!!
1716. Christine Brazanskas, Westminste, CO, 80234
Net neutrality without the Title II structure means the FCC can't really enforce net neutrality. Keep Net Neutrality! Put people and our access to information before profits of the big companies. Do your job and what's best for the country.
1717. Deb Hofland, Westminster, CO, 80021
We need net neutrality. Do not empower ISPs to prevent us from accessing sites or to slow service from sites.
1718. Kyle Burg, DENVER, CO, 80233
Net neutrality must remain! The public should be guaranteed the right to view information on the internet without interference from our service provider.
1719. Chip Self, Thornton, CO, 80233
Net neutrality is the law, and it must stay in place as is with proper Title II protections. Consumers and small business owners depend on the free and open internet to conduct business, innovate, and create new businesses and jobs. Repealing these rules would benefit already wealthy corporations and stifle free expression and the livelihoods of millions of Americans.
1720. Nicole, Denver, CO, 80221
Net neutrality is of the utmost importance to me. Not to browse endlessly or to waste time on social media. No, it is important because with this act, not only will the economy be stifled, small businesses will have their chance of making themselves known will be thrown out the window. This is what made America in the first place. Regardless of the few monopolies that popped up, small business has always been the core of any town or city. The same goes for the internet. Furthermore, it will impede the education system. Not all highschool's, middle schools, elementary school, or even some colleges have the money to pay the separate fees to not only keep their homepage running, but to keep the plethora of educational sites and programs available to all students. Most students either study from home, have online assignments and books, or are actually home schooled. Net neutrality will put a tremendous dent into this, and will send America and all it's citizens further down the education rate. Please vote to end Net Neutrality! The future of our people depends on it. Thank you Nicole
1721. Pat Schram, Thornton, CO, 80229
Make no mistake, ISPs can claim anything they want but the second they are given looser restrictions and legal sanction to do so they will abuse the terms to the absolute extent of the law. Time Warner, CenturyLink, Comcast/Xfinity, NBC Universal, Verizon, etc, etc do not care about the consumer, they are in it to make as much money as possible, not to care about consumer's rights.
1722. deb synnott, arvada, CO, 80004
Internet neutrality is vital for all, but especially for those who are disabled or poor.
1723. Scott Kliethermes, Westminster, CO, 80021
In summary, I would urge the FCC to support and enforce net neutrality. A free and open internet creates all sorts of opportunities for new businesses and empowers the consumers to decide what they would like to do, see and learn from the internet. The FCC should oversee and enforce this access to ideas and business opportunity, and protect consumers from invasions of privacy, price gouging from providers and fraudulent or excessive billing. I have access to only one internet provider, and there is no such thing as a "free market" in monopolies. If the FCC does not enforce consumer protection and an open internet, I will have no ability to obtain this. The internet has significantly improved my quality of life, it provides

all sorts of entertainment, access to many learning materials, and also access to ideas and friends. Without internet the way it is, my quality of life would significantly suffer, so I urge the FCC to defend net neutrality and consumer protections. Thank you for your time!

1724. Dylan Counts, Arvada, CO, 80005
Controlling and prioritizing Internet content, usage, and speeds will end up costing us and you in the long run. The amount you'll end up spending on personnel and marketing to fight angry consumers and activists will, eventually, end up adding up to a significant amount. Save money and consumer good faith by leaving "net neutrality" as it is. The populations of conservative "good values" and of "Social Justice Warriors" is on the rise. In 5 more years, they will have created better conditions for a revamp of the rules anyway and there will be less conflict.
1725. Jaylen Leu, Arvada, CO, 80003
Handing control of the Internet over to cable companies will result in silencing massive communities; the Doom modding community will be unable to share maps and gameplay mods, such as Brutal Doom or MetaDoom. For some people, it could take days to download Fallout 4, and this time could be lengthened even moreso by letting our ISP's control our internet. And imagine having to pay for services intended to be free, like Discord or Steam. This act would not do us any good. It would only put us in a situation that silences once-active communities.
1726. Evan Boucher, Broomfield, CO, 80021
I produce videos and livestreams for various websites. Net neutrality is absolutely essential to ensure that everyone can view my content with no penalties or other restrictions. These regulations are vital for myself and many other content creators.
1727. Chase Schwartzman, Westminster, CO, 80021
Please stop attacking net neutrality, or I will be forced to create a completely decentralized internet.
1728. John Garrett Main, Thornton, CO, 80229
The internet belongs to the people. Don't slow speeds, pick favorites or obstruct what people see.
1729. Isaiah Martinez, Golden, CO, 80401
The internet is powerful and limiting access is the number one way to create a divide. The haves and the have nots will become apparently evident.
1730. Jacob Meyer, Westminster, CO, 80031
Net Neutrality should stick around. There's no reason to give ISPs more power over consumers than they already have.
1731. Christopher Grant, Dever, CO, 80221
Current rules MUST stay in place for the last real bastion of freedom of speech to remain open, not close it down by zealously controlling it.
1732. Nicholas Bonorden, Thornton, CO, 80241
Ending net neutrality will actually be the demise of free America. Please don't turn us into Russia.
1733. Alex Middleton, Lakewood, CO, 80226
What would your mothers think if they knew you were taking freedom away from the internet? The internet is not yours to control.
1734. Joseph Ayala, Thornton, CO, 80229
Please preserve our ability to access internet content and services without interference.
1735. quinn, lakewood, CO, 80215
dont do it.
1736. Shawn Briggs, ARVADA, CO, 80003
PLEASE KEEP THE INTERNET OPEN, NEUTRAL, AND FREE
1737. Stephen Samuelson, Golden, CO, 80401
Net Neutrality is the most important aspect of the internet. It allows people to be themselves and truly be free. Don't ruin the internet.
1738. Gabriel Marcano, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Net Neutrality is key in the way that we do things in the US, trying to take that away is not only dumb, but can cause damage to the stability of many services
1739. internet, Thorton, CO, 80229
As it appears, this is simply a way to make the internet a more capitalist place. I don't believe this is right. Of course these actions are inevitable, Hollywood was originally created to circumvent the corruption of the Motion Picture industry at that time, and just look at it now. But just because I believe it was destined to happen, doesn't mean it is right. I think the internet

should be a place for all people. Deeply rotted conservatives and raging liberals alike should be able to share, disagree, and be absolutely pissed at each other without some foreign agenda getting in the way. Of course I doubt I'm getting the whole story, the article I read ridiculing this decision was quite vague, but I have the right to write stupid uninformed internet comments in peace.

1740. Zachary Zumbaugh, Thornton, CO, 80241
No copy-pasta. If you get rid of net neutrality, you are turning over the reigns of the free and open Internet to a bunch of greedy corporations that do not care about freedom of the press, expression, communication, etc. They only care about how they can leech their customers for a few more dimes. If they are able to control what we watch, read, and listen to, they will snuff out any hope at freedom.
1741. Brendon Goins, Littleton, CO, 80123
Internet neutrality is very important. People spend a lot of time on the internet, and there are a multitude of sites that they visit daily. If limits start being placed on these sites, such as slower loading times and completely blocking them off, it will ruin much of what makes the internet great. The internet is a place of freedom, where people can go online and find/do just about anything, but if websites begin having premium connection speeds, leaving the others in the dust, many sites and communities could end up dying. In addition, the option to block sites completely is very open to abuse, with internet providers being able to simply cut off a website simply because they dislike it, which could even be considered a violation of freedom of speech. For these few brief reasons, it should hopefully be evident why net neutrality is important, and why it should always remain.
1742. Leah McDaniel, Denver, CO, 80612
Please keep and maintain net neutrality laws and regulations to leave websites, searches, and apps in the hands to the consumer.
1743. Ali Reisbick, Westminster, CO, 80021
I stand with an open and free internet.
1744. net neutrality, Thornton, CO, 80241
Keep the internet as it is!! Do not vote in favor of the ISP's, vote in favor of the people/consumers who use the internet!
Keep net neutrality alive!!!!
1745. Evan Beltz, Arvada, CO, 80005
The internet needs to be free, why should the government or anyone be allowed to control the freedom and opportunities and good times the internet offers, if i wanted people to control me id move to north korea, sorry for thinking this was america where we were free
1746. Chance Coleman, Thornton, CO, 80229
Duh...
1747. Emmett Cookson, Arvada, CO, 80003
The internet needs to be a free space, not governed by companies. That's not how government works. It should not be run by companies.
1748. Audra Laquidara, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
There is no reason to filter the internet except for greed and profit at the expense of freedom of information. Please save the open internet.
1749. Tracy Nguy6en, Lakewood, CO, 80232
I support net neutrality, because I feel that Internet service providers should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites. This is vital for innovation and development. Net neutrality has been a platform that has enabled entrepreneurs and creators the opportunity to deliver content quickly without additional hindrances as a startup. Additionally, this could push startups to develop there services elsewhere.
1750. Christopher Spaulding, Westminster, CO, 80031
I am for strong net neutrality under title 2. An internet not controlled by those that deliver content is important for the freedom of ideas and for businesses
1751. Brian Beckwith, Arvada, CO, 80005
Net neutrality ensures free and equal access to web content and is common sense policy. Your job is to look out for the American people, please consider our collective well-being when deciding whether to support net neutrality.
1752. Michelle Bernier, Northglenn, CO, 80234
Net neutrality is the most important issue of our time.
1753. Manuel Giron, Northglenn, CO, 80233

Please defend and protect Net Neutrality! Keep our internet safe!

1754. Charlie Parker, Lakewood, CO, 80227
All great advances in society have been preceded by advances in communication: The invention of writing, invention of the printing press, radio/TV and now Internet. Please keep this freedom of expression open and available. To block it would be a step backwards in society.
1755. Anthony Montoya, Thornton, CO, 80233
Net Neutrality is a public good that protects citizens from rampant corporate control of information and how it is delivered. Consider net neutrality. Do not remove it in favor of no restriction.
1756. Brittani Plumlee, Lakewood, CO, 80227
Maintain net neutrality the free market of the virtual world
1757. Jacob Gabriel, Arvada, CO, 80003
The concept of net neutrality is as important as the concept of freedom of speech. Please preserve net neutrality.
1758. antonio hermosillo, northglenn, CO, 80234
the internet needs to be free and open!
1759. Nikolus Schaffer-Pugh, Thornton, CO, 80229
The internet needs to be freed.
1760. Ryan Richard, Westminster, CO, 80021
Net Neutrality is important to the internet as a community and as a tool for everyone to use as they wish, do not take that away from us.
1761. Christian Dunham, Westminster, CO, 80234
This is ridiculous. The rest of the world is trying to un-restrain the internet and give people better access to it and this POS country is trying to lock it down more. This is the USA, not North Korea. The internet should not be regulated the way these big businesses such as Comcast and Time Warner want them too.
1762. Beau Bell, Denver, CO, 80221
There is only one internet. Please keep it free of paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling. Should this be passed I fear we become a government that acts as a strain to entrepreneurial muscle that is this nation's identity. Yes, ISP's will see insane uptick in revenue in the short term. The long term consequences of the aforementioned acts will only give large corporations even more of an advantage over smaller businesses.
1763. Peter Nielson, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80215
The internet is a right and it needs to be protected. Keep the net neutral!
1764. Trevor, westminster, CO, 80234
Dont take the internet from us :(
1765. Jayden McHenry, Arvada, CO, 80003
To restrict my access to certain items and to go as far as to force my view towards other sites is, I feel, a breach of Amendment 4: The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized. To monitor and regulate what I watch threatens my security and overall confidence in the current leadership of DC.
1766. Andrea Blank, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Net Neutrality is an important issue to me. I want the net to stay neutral regarding who is browsing and what they want to see regardless of what big corporations want to do, which is control my online experience. I don't need that.
1767. net, Westminster, CO, 80021
Keeping net neutrality means millions of people can continue their lives, business, and pleasure time unaffected. To repeal net neutrality means millions of people are squeezed for every dollar.
1768. Erik Tretter, Westminster, CO, 80031
I strongly support the FREEDOM of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight. ISP providers have been growing too powerful to determine what we watch and how we watch things, and this can NOT extend to the internet. This is simply unacceptable. You're all rich right now.... stop being greedy and take what you have and leave our internet ALONE!
1769. Nolan Metzger, Denver, CO, 80229
The open internet is one of the most important freedoms we need to preserve for our future. I urge you to keep the open internet the way it was and to keep the internet open and fair to all those who use it. I support strongly the idea of net

neutrality and urge you to do the same. Nolan Metzger

1770. Aljohn Jaramillo, Arvada, CO, 80003
Don't let the cable company's device you guys. Maintain Net Neutrality. As a consumer it is bad for me because If net neutrality was abolished, then there will be no Freedom and democracy on the internet.
1771. Seth Turner, Commerce City, CO, 80022
Net Neutrality is critical to keep Internet Service Providers (ISP's) from monopolizing the internet and destroying consumer rights. These changes will not promote capitalism or free trade, but rather force consumers into higher costs for a service every person deserves. Rather than promote innovation and creativity, it will clamp down on free speech and instead only promote the desires of the ISP's. In short, this will do nothing but further fill the pockets of the CEO's of the ISP's while devastating all of America's citizens.
1772. Bryan Updike, Lakewood, CO, 80228
This is a power that you unfortunately can not be trusted to have.
1773. Dru, Thornton, CO, 80229
Taking away the open internet is like taking away our freedom of speech. Its not fair to the people that need open internet for there job.
1774. Brianna Beckerle, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I support Net Nutrality backed by Title 2.
1775. Kylen Monahan, Commerce City, CO, 80022
Understand there are talented people on the internet who can provide brutal retaliation should our access be denied.
#FoodForThought
1776. Kurtis Buck, Thornton, CO, 80241
The rules and regulations governing net neutrality need to be kept in place. Opening the net neutrality rules and allowing the internet providers to regulate themselves will only lead to the consumers being burdened. Keeping the internet neutral and open for all users should always be the main point.
1777. Matthew Avery, Arvada, CO, 80002
I am a web developer and artist and I make my entire income on the internet, both by selling products and my services. If large corporations were favored by ISPs, it would destroy my income as customers would surely go with faster access to everything they do online. All I get from my ISP currently is internet access. I use other services for email, cloud storage, etc. Net Neutrality helps me find and use these other services with ease. And I really have access to only 1-2 ISPs fast enough for me to do my work. Giving these ISPs more power would make my working life a living hell. I believe the FCC should remain mostly hands off on the internet, except when it comes to consumer protection and fraud. The amazing amount of technical and social innovation that has occurred since the birth of the open internet is astounding! Please don't kneecap the future!
1778. Mary LFS, Lakewood, CO, 80214
We have to pay for internet use, we should be able to select what we want to read or view. Parents should have the rights to limit their own childrens' use of the net.
1779. Patrick Ryan, Edgewater, CO, 80214
I love the internet. It is very important that net neutrality stays in place. Without Title 2 paid prioritization is reality. This is terrifying as it will allow companies to incentive specific content and practices. Removing net neutrality brings us one step closer to the horrors describes in the book "1984." Please don't ruin the only good thing about our world. You have the power to protect Net Neutrality I Support Net Neutrality.
1780. Weston Short, Thornton, CO, 80233
Please protect the free internet. Don't roll back net neutrality rules.
1781. Josh Coats, Westminster, CO, 80031
Save the open Internet. Keep net neutrality!
1782. Josh Schwartz, Westminster, CO, 80021
Net Neutrality is absolutely vital to the innovation that has spurred the explosive progress of the last few decades. Keeping the internet an even playing field for all should be our top priority.
1783. Jacob Davis, Westminster, CO, 80031
This would negatively impact me greatly. Currently there is only one broadband provider in my area, and allowing this to pass would give them the power to block or limit access to any number of sites, including competitors or anyone they disagree with for any reason. The internet has provided people with unlimited access to information from around the world for years, making it an invaluable and amazing tool. Please, please, PLEASE do NOT take this away.

1784. Ian Buffington, Lakewood, CO, 80232
I support net neutrality. I'm a software engineer, working remotely; my whole life and career depends on fast access to the internet. I don't want bundles services, or any of the other offerings from cable companies, I don't even use cable (though I have to pay for it, because non-bundled services are more expensive), I just need fast, reliable and unfettered internet. Where I live, the only viable option is comcast; I have lived in over 10 separate houses in the last decade, and I have NEVER had more than one choice, usually comcast. Comcast is the most hated company in the U.S.; if i could switch, I would. But I can't. I believe net neutrality is vital to a free and open internet. I am opposed to ISP censorship. Please do not lift the net neutrality rules; our internet depends on them.
1785. Carly Vanderwert, Arvada, CO, 80004
Please protect net neutrality. The internet is a source of learning and education that everyone should have access to!
1786. Danny Lovelace, Northglenn, CO, 80241
What's wrong with the way things have been? Corporations have monetized just about everything in this country, don't make the internet another one.
1787. Colleen Murphy, Lakewood, CO, 80232
I am a Digital Marketing Strategist that represents several small businesses and nonprofits. I work hard to ensure they and their website visitors have the best experience when it comes to finding what they need when searching the internet, posting and reading posts on social media, and using/visiting websites. Net Neutrality ensures that I have the ability to do my job, my clients are able to reach potential customers/donors/supporters, AND that the public has the opportunity to discover me, my clients, and the great services available by great folks on the internet. Net Neutrality gives EVERYONE a fair shake when it comes to internet marketing and a web presence. Purchasing broadband access used to be a clouded effort - resulting in extremely high prices and limited speed, along with services (like email and a landline!?) that I will never use. Thanks to the FCC's regulations, providers are now required to provide what I need - saving me time and money, and giving me the peace of mind that the money I am spending is getting me what I need. Without the FCC's regulations, and without those regulations included in Net Neutrality, I won't have that peace of mind. I am not a large corporation - there is NO WAY I could fight an ISP that chose to allow a larger company to rank higher or receive better/cheaper services over me. The internet is here to stay. It is not a fad or passing revolution. Without the FCC and Net Neutrality regulating the internet while allowing everyone the same access and a fair opportunity WITHOUT the invasions of their privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by their broadband providers, the "little people" will fall to the wayside, and larger corporations (or those with more political pull) will rule this avenue of communication we have all come to rely upon. I appreciate the ability to voice my concerns and hope that Net Neutrality and the ability of the FCC to regulate communications networks continues and is protected.
1788. Owen Dennis, arvada, CO, 80002
I support classifying the internet under title II and support net neutrality. Rolling back these regulations would be a loss for everyone in our country. The US population has fought this battle before and has always maintained the same message. So who are you listening to? Because clearly it is not your fellow citizens. Please retain the title II regulations and support net neutrality. Thank you for reading my comment.
1789. Aaron Befort, Westminster, CO, 80021
The internet is the greatest, most comprehensive learning tool to date. Net Neutrality is one of the fundamental factors that determines the internet's usefulness. Without it, we risk severely diminishing the capability and functionality of one of our most effective resources.
1790. Robert Kaffer, Arvada, CO, 80003
Please do not change the Internet neutrality rules. The proposed changes would benefit big-company providers at the expense of the huge majority of Internet users. Leave the rules as they are and keep Internet access fair to the user.
1791. Greg Schlosser, Broomfield, CO, 80021
It's crazy this is even a thing.
1792. corey scott, Arvada, CO, 80002
Please don't change anything about the internet
1793. Jesse Schroepfer, lakewood, CO, 80214
fur realz dude bros dont be dumb ya feel me?
1794. Alex Menayas, Lakewood, CO, 80228
We already have to contend with some of the worst monopolies in US history regarding Internet Service Providers (ISPs), and if you give them the reigns to decide WHAT content we are allowed to receive at WHAT speed it's the most disgusting and depressing thing I have seen in this country for 32 years. I don't even blame the cable companies, they are DESIGNED to take every advantage they can and make all the money they can and have no moral duty to not censor everything and restrict all information that doesn't suit their needs. That is why we need our government to actually have a spine and brain to put in consumer protections like net neutrality. Please, wake the fuck up and don't destroy this country, the internet is the last

bastion of true free speech in this country.

1795. Jake Tomlinson, Thornton, CO, 80241
You have no right to endanger the Open Internet. This is a blatant attempt at seizing control of the last bastion of Free Speech. The very idea of this makes me sick. I've had enough of this Big Brother crap, leave my internet alone.
1796. Joshua Miers, westminster, CO, 80020
I am writing to keep the internet free and open. I use the internet every day for many things including entertainment, research, keeping in touch with friends and family, shopping, banking. I would hate for any of these things to be slowed down just because they are not seen equal in the eyes of an Internet Service Provider (ISP).Currently when I buy internet they sell it to me as a Speed for example I buy the 40 megabit service currently as that is the fastest they offer in my area. This does not specify that it is 40 Mb service to only a few sites, but all of the internet. What I believe I am paying for right now is access to all of the things I use the internet for (things listed above) at the advertised speed.In my area I don't have many broad band service provider options. Many advertise that they will service my area but once they actually come out for a "site survey" they say they are unable to offer internet to me. I am at the mercy of the ISP in my area and they speeds they provide.Due to the limited access in my area and many other areas I believe the FCC has the role of protecting internet access. Similar to phone access in the 90's the internet is at a point where is is used as some peoples primary communication method. The FCC should serve as an over site to the ISPs to ensure that americans are protected from price gouging and that their personal information is protected.
1797. Tim Zakrzewski, Henderson, CO, 80640
Keep net neutrality. A free and open internet is essential.
1798. Ed Johnsen, Denver, CO, 80221
Support entrepreneurs and learning; keep the net neutral!
1799. John ReganHolzheimer, Northglenn, CO, 80233
I am writing to you in order to express my support of Title 2 and net neutrality. I love the variety of the internet, how there are so many different places to go and things to see. I find the idea of restricting access or speed to particular sites via the Internet Service Providers to be astonishingly greedy and incredibly short sighted. The internet is the single most incredible innovation in our lifetimes and I spend hours on it every single day. I connect with family and friends who live far from me, chat with my wife throughout the day, and immerse myself in various streams, videos, and music. The amount of sites, creative works, and silly nonsense would not be possible without the equal access provided by the principles of net neutrality. I pay for access to the internet and it is important to me that it remains free and open for future entrepreneur, artists, and people like me. Please, please please don't let the ISPs remove regulation from themselves. I believe the future of net neutrality is best served by retaining Title 2 and keeping the FCC in their role by forcing the ISPs to play by the rules and not force services to pay for faster speeds. I also support the FCC in their wider goals of protecting me and I appreciate their efforts.
1800. Christopher Stephen Ott, Thornton, CO, 80233
An open and free Internet with a protected and level "playing field" has produced an economy that gave me a great, honest, middle-class career and has protected my family. Protecting net neutrality directly impacts the guys and families like me at the end of the road, just trying to make it all work and enjoy an honest, American way of life. Allowing companies to buy-up on network access would ultimately put my employer and thousands of others out of work and out of business. It would set us back, not forward.
1801. Alfredo Almanza, Westminster, CO, 80234
I want access to all the internet free of paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling. There is only one internet and all rules must apply to home, mobile, and any other connections equally.
1802. Sean Hurley, Arvada, CO, 80004
I spend all my time working on various e-commerce websites and building internet connected devices. I believe that the death of net neutrality would be an inherently bad thing in many different aspects. It would be anti-competitive, anti-innovation, and anti-entrepreneurship. The internet should be an free and open network, not a tool for cable companies to control what we can and can't do online. Just think about it, when has there ever been a time in history where it's been a good idea to allow a powerful few people control/restrict people's access to information and knowledge? The answer is "never".
1803. 99-100, Westminster City, CO, 80031
To the FCC, I wish to express concern over net neutrality that is being attempted to be abolished by the corporations. Specifically, cable and phone companies like Verizon, Comcast, T-mobile, and others, that are trying to take over the internet by malicious intent. As consumers, if the companies control the internet, they would have the power play on consumers by blocking content, artificially slowing down apps like Hulu, or blockading websites, and eliminating competition. This is against the principles of the free market and capitalism. As consumers, we ask the FCC to defend net neutrality.
1804. Abel Goodwin, Lakewood, CO, 80214

Hi, please keep the internet open and free. I don't want ISP's to be able to arbitrarily determine what content I can and cannot access in order to gain additional profit.

1805. Kathleen Brown, Lakewood, CO, 80226
It is clear the name of this bill is a misnomer. Big companies will not protect public interests, it's putting the fox to guard the hen house. We should not leave the ability for small companies to compete with large online businesses up to the whims of our internet providers. It is your responsibility to defend our right to free market competition. IF THENEWSEARCHENGINE is better than Google, Google's wealth should not strike down the new engine if our internet providers choose not to be benevolent. Save net neutrality.
1806. Benjamin Ellis, Arvada, CO, 80002
Why are we having this discussion about net neutrality again? We strongly favor a free and open internet which can only exist with net neutrality rules in place. Please do not do anything to compromise net neutrality.
1807. Nicole Belvill, wheat ridge, CO, 80212
protect our internet and our freedom.
1808. Jennifer Wiegele, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Net neutrality is the online version of capitalism - by taking this away from the people, you are effectively taking away the modern American dream of any new companies hoping to open up and compete with large corporations. The internet has finally put us all on a level playing field, and it is entirely unacceptable to take this freedom away from the people. When I pay for internet, I pay for a telecommunications service - I do not pay for whatever websites Comcast has decided I should have access to.
1809. Patrick Giusti, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Net neutrality is the online version of capitalism - by taking this away from the people, you are effectively taking away the modern American dream of any new companies hoping to open up and compete with large corporations. The internet has finally put us all on a level playing field, and it is entirely unacceptable to take this freedom away from the people. When I pay for internet, I pay for a telecommunications service - I do not pay for whatever websites Comcast has decided I should have access to.
1810. Michael Nougier, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Our country was based on freedom of choice, allowing the citizens to choose what to do. By giving power to ISPs, you take away our freedom. We the people should have the right of freedom on the internet and not have it decided for us.
1811. joseph white, lakewood, CO, 80226
there should only be one internet system and free access for all devices. NO ! paid prioritization, blocking , or throttling should be allowed by any internet service provider, thanks for your attention
1812. Cody Young, Westminster, CO, 80030
PLEASE KEEP NET NEUTRALITY! KEEP THE INTERNET OPEN AND A CONSUMER PROTECTED ENTITY.
1813. Scott Kinder, Golden, CO, 80401
Please keep net neutrality in place. Regulating the internet in such a way allows for a level playing field for all businesses. If we allow big business to control the internet with no guard rails, I feel the people and small/new businesses will be charged fees for "tiered" internet service, and this may well exclude content not paying a fee to internet providers or owned by internet providers. This is inherently unfair.
1814. Stuart A Adams, Thornton, CO, 80229
Denying access to internet would create great barriers to entry across every possible industry. Established companies could simply pay to stifle access to any potential competition. Without a continuous flow of new business to ensure competition in the market place, the economic consequences could be dire. Please protect our ability to start new business.
1815. Ben Dodson, Arvada, CO, 80004
Don't be a sell out, this is America where internet access should be free of suppression.
1816. John Carroll, Westminster, CO, 80021
I support regulating ISP under the current Title II rules favoring Net Neutrality. ISPs should not be allowed to control my or anyone else's online experience. Do NOT roll back the existing rules!
1817. James Rawlins, Lakewood, CO, 80232
While I agree that much of the verbiage in existence is vague and unenforceable, which should be addressed and mitigated, the many ways in which service providers might prioritize internet traffic for certain applications and businesses would allow large giants to effectively deny same-quality service to smaller and fledgling applications and businesses, which would stifle the equal accessibility that has allowed great ideas to flourish and brought decades of innovation. It may not be perfect, but Title II classification helps to enforce that fundamental rule. The proposed reclassification and new verbiage does not protect it, and therefore should not be enacted.

1818. Janette Rose, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I remember the Internet before there were other browsers, and when I had to request scientific information from each university instead of receiving free research reports. Setting up "lanes" of information at various price levels will cripple American free speech. In fact, neither the speech nor the information will be free. Keep title II designations in place. Don't let for profit corporations decide what people can read and what they have to pay for that privilege!
1819. Title II, Arvada, CO, 80007
I support net neutrality and title II. Sharing internet speed living with a big family alone grants poor access, I don't want it limited even more.
1820. michael morrow, Thornton, CO, 80229
As a computer security engineer, this is total bull and everyone knows it.
1821. Brandon Jimenez, Westminster, CO, 80021
This bill is inappropriately named. The internet is already free. Freedoms have not been taken from the internet. This bill, however, will take freedoms from the internet and its consumers. This bill should not pass!
1822. William James, Denver, CO, 80214
I would like to express my concern of the current administration to save the open internet and support net neutrality! Removing equal and fair access to the internet is a terrible decision that will only backfire on those egotistical enough to think it would work.
1823. Noah Roberts, Westminster, CO, 80031
Pretend that the government is there for the people for one second, and that all of your jobs rely on us voting you in... This is a horrible decision, and the internet should remain free and open.
1824. Kyle Hecht, Denver, CO, 80226
I would enjoy full access too any content not just shaped content around my day to day needs.
1825. Geoff Wiltjer, Thornton, CO, 80241
Net neutrality is imperative for the continuation of a democratic society. Don't let the greed of a few override the benefits and freedoms of the many.
1826. Craig Shindledecker, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Keep Net neutrality - we care about the open internet and competition online. DO NOT impose throttling or limits.
1827. John Shoemaker, Denver, CO, 80212
Keep net neutrality, its good for the Internet and good for America.
1828. Patrick Loan, Thornton, CO, 80233
There may be bots or programs spamming the comment section, but know that people do care about this. An open internet should remain as a utility and should not be filtered. You do not charge people differently if they drink water over watering a plant, so you should not charge differently on how people use the internet. Some use it for business, others use it as a way to freely express opinions, while others use it to work with people across the globe to make something that otherwise would not be possible. Please keep the internet open, as the only ones that would benefit from this change would be the internet providers CEO's.
1829. Rachel Baron, Lakewood, CO, 80215
The internet is precious, PLEASE, protect our access to it. The web is now the bloodstream of the world. With it flowing freely, all parts of our human community can thrive. Choke it off, by giving control to profit-driven ISP corporations, and we will ALL feel the suffering.
1830. Eric Loader, Denver, CO, 80229
I stand with Net Neutrality and request that you make the internet a utility under Title II. In order to protect the access to the internet from giant corporations who will only use to the old rules to come up with a tiered charging system. I already pay for internet access and only have access to 2 companies in my area. Because of corporate greed no other company is allowed to come into my city and generate access to the internet without having it put to a vote on a ballot due to Committee passed SB 05-152 which makes getting other companies to come into Colorado and offer Internet next to impossible. By getting rid of Net Neutrality you will make competition non existent in a country that barely has competition as it is when it comes to the internet. The people are asking for Net Neutrality and the corporations who are already making record profits want it destroyed. Who do you work for? The people? Or Comcast and other corporations who only want to double charge for access to the internet. You will make the United States fall further and further if you destroy Net Neutrality.
1831. Cole Gude, Lakewood, CO, 80232
A free and unregulated internet is a continuation of the Constitution in this age of information. Trying to control what internet users see and do is completely counter to the core principles of the United States of America.

1832. Charles Wenger, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I do not want my internet experience to be governed by money. It should be equal to all services.
1833. Richard Walther, Westminster, CO, 80031
Please keep the internet free, and never pass any legislation that would allow any internet provider to, in any way, limit or prioritize any websites or domains.
1834. Nick, Denver, CO, 80221
Internet companies already have too many lobbyists influencing too many decisions in the government. The internet costs practically nothing to provide and these companies are making money hand over fist. The reality of the situation is that the internet should be a public utility. All of the changes to net neutrality that have been proposed are ludicrous and would take away the essence of what make the internet such a valuable resource. It is the single greatest source of knowledge and communication mankind has ever known. Making that harder to access to put some more money in these companies pockets would be a travesty. The internet is the 21st century equivalent to the highway system, our electrical infrastructure, or our water systems. This is something that every American should have access to for a fair price. Please don't let the evolution of the internet be stifled by greed. Do not let the interests of the few harm millions of people. We need a new set of rules for the internet that have citizens best interests in mind, but the current rules are better than nothing.
1835. James Spray, Arvada, CO, 80004
Keep the Internet free. Thank you!
1836. Ethan Schick, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I support net neutrality, keep net neutrality regulations how they are: no paid prioritization, blocking, or throttling.
1837. Cy Smith, Westminster, CO, 80020
You can't put limits upon the internet No matter the intentions, either for making money, or to attempt to censor the internet. In all sense of the meaning Net Neutrality is necessary, removing it would be an infraction of the first amendment , of those of us in the US, as well as the rights of every man.
1838. Dylan Hawkes, Thornton, CO, 80233
I use the internet as my primary source of entertainment. We don't have cable TV, and instead we watch things such as Twitch, Netflix, YouTube, etc. We also play lots of online video games, live stream our game play, upload game play videos through YouTube, and wish to one day pay my bills through an online entertainment career. If losing parts or all of Net Neutrality hurts my chances in making this happen or restricts how quickly or from what web sites I can receive my entertainment I'll be terribly unhappy. The internet is something is made by the people and for the people. We like cat videos, we enjoy fail vids, and for goodness sake we want to use the internet the way it was intended to be used. Without limitation, without restriction, and at an affordable cost. Don't set us back in time, don't let big business buy a policy to make the rich, richer. Listen to the American people and let us have our internet. Thanks
1839. Bob Cruickshank, Thornton, CO, 80241
The internet is more than just a money well to be exploited. It is no less than the greatest collection of human knowledge and experience. As such it should not be restricted for profit, or controlled by a select few. Keep the internet open for all.
1840. Alex Green, Westminster, CO, 80031
I fully support net neutrality. We cannot have paid fast lanes on a free and open internet. Please listen to the American people that your are supposed to be protecting. There has already been too much consolidation of power amongst the tech, media and communication companies and this will only make the problem worse. Thanks and please reconsider your position, Alex Green
1841. Austin, Golden, CO, 80401
Open internet is a necessity
1842. Tom Ziegelbauer, Federal Heights, CO, 80260
I am not ok with ISPs that we pay for service to resell their customers browsing data or giving certain apps priority traffic.
1843. Colton Arendt, westminster, CO, 80234
Net neutrality has improved my life by making sure AT&T and comcast do not suck me dry and then slow my connection (all together or only sites they aren't making tons of money from). I use it for all my education and entertainment. educational websites aren't rich, so by repealing this you in turn are trying to make the us population dumber and make all ISP's extremely rich. When I pay my monthly bill to have internet is exactly that to have access to all the internet. I am kinda lucky compared to a lot of people I can have comcast, centurylink, or dsl. now that certainly isn't enough to create a fair self governing competition. the FCC should be protecting people who make their livelihood putting things on the internet and the end users of the internet. This will have a world wide ripple effect if we ever have hosting servers in the US if net neutrality was repealed (loss of lots of tech jobs in relation) . the fcc should also be pushing all broadband companies to be expanding the fiber network without cost to the current end user (they will be making tons of money off it till it goes out of date which is basically never).

1844. Alex Thurby, Arvada, CO, 80004
The internet is no longer a luxury. The world needs it to function. 90% of people use it for work, to pay bills, and to perform basic functions like applying for college and for work. It is more of a utility than something that companies can control. The US is so behind when it comes to this issue that we are a joke to the rest of the world. Let the internet be free of big business.
1845. Casey Serrano, Westminster, CO, 80031
The United States needs Net Neutrality. It's not a matter of should or shouldn't... the idea that the internet should be anything other than open is preposterous. The internet is the collective knowledge of the entire world and that shouldn't be accessible to only those who are subscribed or paying a particular company over another. Shame on the FCC for even considering anything other than an open internet.
1846. Noah Dungey, Thornton, CO, 80233
Data is a form of speech and should be protected. Removing Net Neutrality rules allows for corporate censorship of the internet. PS> Please take a look at the possible astroturfing (<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Astroturfing>) of comments on this Proceeding. As an IT professional, a lot of these comments look like 'Bots' to me.
1847. Patrick Thibeault, Westminster, CO, 80023
In my work I have seen, repeatedly, how competition matters, on the Internet. Competition makes all players work harder, improve their product, etc, etc, and insuring any new startup equal rights to network performance is the only way to insure they have a chance to compete.
1848. Christian Kappel, Golden, CO, 80401
Please do not get rid of net neutrality. The Internet should be a free and open space where ideas can be exchanged without fear of suppression.
1849. Tyler Reigenborn, Thornton, CO, 80229
Revoking internet neutrality will only lead to the destabilization of markets and cause revenue loss, and thus tax loss.
1850. Tyler, Lakewood, CO, 80228
people need the internet to be free and available to all with multiple options of providers. even now there are monopolies in areas because there is only one or two providers. net neutrality is the only good side of this and if websites can be throttled or not even show up then no customer would be happy.
1851. Noah Sonnenberg, Arvada, CO, 80003
If you vote to take away Net Neutrality I'll literally die.
1852. Laura Kanopkin, Westminster, CO, 80021
I strongly support net neutrality. The internet is not simply a commodity that can be bought and sold, it is an invaluable resource for connecting and educating humanity and needs to be protected from exploitation. Don't open the door for service providers to stifle and influence what can be seen on the internet.
1853. John P. Walsh, Arvada, CO, 80004
Please keep the internet neutral. It is important that I am getting a true view of anything I am searching, reading, etc., from individuals or firms. I am do not what internet content that is presented by one who pays to be at the top of the queue.NET NEUTRALITY PLEASE!
1854. Michael Papp, Northglenn, CO, 80234
Please keep the existing neutrality rules in place!
1855. Christopher Roberts, Westminster, CO, 80031
Keep Net Neutrality. Stop enabling ISPs to gouge their customers. Net neutrality needs to stay and it would be a travesty if you end it.
1856. Bob DuPe, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Please keep the internet neutral. It is what American PEOPLE, not corporations, want. Think about who you are representing.
1857. Lucinda Sefton, Northglenn, CO, 80233
I am writing to call on the FCC to uphold current Net Neutrality rules. The beauty of the internet is that everyone has equal access to data; dismantling Net Neutrality protections will allow ISPs--who have the money to lobby and change the rules in their favor--to control who has that access. Large companies like Netflix may be able to afford the not-quite-blackmail fee to ensure their data reaches users at good speeds, but smaller and/or new companies will not be able to do the same. This WILL stifle innovation--how could new companies possibly compete on a level playing ground when the deck has been stacked against them by the ISP's?I realize it's unlikely Ajit Pai will read this, and even less of a chance that he will care. He was part of Verizon, after all, and Verizon have led the charge to create an internet controlled by ISP's. But I, and the country, are calling out and begging you to listen. The internet is one of the greatest achievements humanity has created; access to information and data will change the world forever. Please don't let ISPs be the ones in control of our future.

1858. Madelyne Smith, Brighton, CO, 80602
Please keep net neutrality, it's part of what makes human kind, and the united states so wonderful. It's part of the same spirit that upholds the first amendment
1859. David Miller, Commerce City, CO, 80022
Dear FCC, Please don't dismantle the current open internet rules. It's extremely important to the development of our economy and society in this modern day. We will only struggle harder than we are now to meet the challenges of a changing world if our innovation is crippled by the cronyism surely to follow deregulation. As an entrepreneur and tax paying citizen of this country, I implore you, KEEP THE INTERNET FREE.
1860. John Inderwish, Edgewater, CO, 80214
Why the hell would you support this? The corporations do not need more power.
1861. William Fowler, Westminster, CO, 80031
Leave net neutrality alone. It is not up to the government or my ISP what services or apps I should or shouldn't be using online. Repealing the rules protecting an open and free internet would be a disaster.
1862. Dakota Cousineau, Lakewood, CO, 80214
I urge you to defend and keep the current net neutrality rules in place. If you roll back the ruling you are hurting the consumers and only make it more difficult for innovation to happen. The only people that this roll back would benefit would be the ISP providers and they do not need any help on their bottom line. The consumer and small businesses need these rules to protect them from the ISP providers who would use this roll back to extort companies to keep their websites valid and make the internet a pay to play market. This is unacceptable. I urge you again to keep the current rules in place.
1863. Adam Huddle, Golden, CO, 80401
I am writing IN SUPPORT of Net Neutrality. Please keep the internet free (as in speech) and open. Removing Net Neutrality rules will only hurt small businesses while giving aid to established ones, limit options for news, buying, or communities, and will drastically affect the end consumers (us American citizens). Removing Net Neutrality protections will only hurt America.
1864. Mason Shorey, Westminster, CO, 80031
Please keep the internet open and neutral, it will cease to be the amazing thing it is if net neutrality is gutted.
1865. Jeff Van Laethem, Arvada, CO, 80003
Keep net neutrality intact. Do not pass this misguided piece of garbage. The results would be disastrous.
1866. Bret Morgan, Northglenn, CO, 80233
Old white men taking away more rights of ALL Americans.
1867. Jason Levien, Arvada, CO, 80005
Please see the goodness, equality, fairness, and righteousness in this it is all we truly have. The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Jason Levien
1868. John Burak, Lakewood, CO, 80215
The welfare of nearly everyone depends on the Internet being a fair playing field. Do not let corporations, or anyone interfere with net neutrality.
1869. Kane Vifian, Arvada, CO, 80004
The free and open internet needs to be a right. It is a way for people to learn and express themselves. For those who would

otherwise fail to succeed. Do not remove the free internet just for some extra profits to some overly greedy corrupt businesses.

1870. Benjamin R Harlow, Lakewood, CO, 80226
This must be put back into effect immediately. Net Neutrality protects not only us as consumers but also every company that provides a service to consumers over the Internet. There are some regulations that must be kept in place to keep consumers safe and this is one of those very regulations. I am extremely disappointed in the FCC's decision to remove this regulation.
1871. Patrick Langer, Arvada, CO, 80005
The Internet needs net neutrality, the Internet providers will use this to ramp up specific websites over others, and be able to charge over them. It will not allow for the Internet freedom that we see today.
1872. Ryan E Halstead, Golden, CO, 80401
Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. I strongly believe that ISPs should NOT be allowed to throttle data in any way, shape, or form. The current practice conducted by cellular companies is not only misleading (e.g. "Unlimited" plans that are throttled to uselessness after a specific level of data consumption) but it also holds back innovation and the free communication of ideas that makes the Internet so valuable to our economy and important to our democracy. ISPs should NOT be allowed to cap data usage either, for many of the same reasons. The only purpose of such drastic changes to the free and open Internet as we know it is to enrich the ISP monopolies that so many Americans already despise and distrust. My first job was at a local dial-up ISP and I've continued working in IT industry over the past 20 years, and in my professional opinion destroying Net Neutrality will bring far more negatives than positives to US consumers and businesses (except the ISP monopolies, the direct benefactors, of course). I feel very discouraged that the FCC is purposing to abolish Net Neutrality against the will of the majority of Americans. After SOPA, and PIPA, and all other forms of anti-net neutrality legislation have been strongly rejected by the American people, I am taken back by FCC's attempt to force this change anyway and deceptively calling it Restoring Internet Freedom. I, like many Americans, are outraged that our voices are not being heard when we've been so clear time and again that anti-net neutrality proposals ARE NOT WELCOMED, OR ACCEPTABLE. America was founded on the principles of freedom and fair markets, and anti-net neutrality measures like "Restoring Internet Freedom" are clearly and fundamentally opposite of these core principles. I implore the FCC to do the right thing, to listen to the American people, to respect our democracy, and to preserve the greatest advancement of our lifetimes - the free and open Internet. Please instead focus on strengthening consumer privacy protections, breaking up the ISP monopolies and restoring competition, and providing affordable high speed Internet access to all Americans. Respectfully,
Ryan H.
1873. Braden Pesusich, golden, CO, 80403
keep the internet as unbiased and free from corporate corruption as possible.
1874. James Demma, Arvada, CO, 80005
Keep net neutrality! It helps telecom competition. Saying that getting rid of it will promote 'internet freedom' is a lie. The push to end net neutrality rules are solely for the benefit of the biggest telecom companies so that they can inhibit competition and protect their profits at the expense of better services.
1875. Ron Cole, commerce city, CO, 80022
Keep your greedy paws off of OUR internet! PLEASE!
1876. Net Neutrality, Lakewood, CO, 80232
Reversing the rules on Net Neutrality would close many options that most Americans take for granted. Net Neutrality should remain open.
1877. Peter English, Arvada, CO, 80002
Let the freedom of information continue to evolve. This means do not restrict the net. Keep the net neutrality laws that exist now. Do not let fat cats or greedy politicians profit via unscrupulous laws that do not benefit the people. Cheers, Peter,
Secondary Math Teacher
1878. Kevin, lakewood, CO, 80228
Free & Open Internet!
1879. Joseph, Littleton, CO, 80123
We must keep net neutrality. An internet governed by the companies is the worst possible outcome. The people should be free to buy the products and services they want. Capitalism should control the internet, not the companies.
1880. Brandon Edmunds, Brighton, CO, 80603
ISPs provide a means, not dictation on how to use the means.
1881. Blake Olson, Westminster, CO, 80234
I use the internet for various educational reasons which has helped me become a more informed and contributing member of society. A neutral internet is vital for the education and civic responsibility of our society.

1882. Arik, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Don't let the internet super powers take away our right to a free and open internet. It is not a resource we can change for. We live in a time where we must begin to consider if it is a human right. I didn't have to pay for my right to vote. And I'll be damned if I have to pay a premium to bypass the internet giants and their agendas. Do not let the profits of the few dictate the traffic of the world. Keep the internet open and free. Protect net neutrality.
1883. Megan Robertson, Golden, CO, 80401
I use the internet daily for school, and rely on it for online classes and sources for papers. The modern world relies on a free and open Internet. We need to increase availability and access to information, rather than allow unaccountable companies to restrict it.
1884. Tyler Heileman, Thornton, CO, 80260
Please protect net neutrality for the country. If net neutrality is lost, our internet will be forever altered in a negative way. This is an obvious power grab by internet providers, utilizing an FCC that, due to the administration currently in place, has no focus on it at all.
1885. Robert D Gibson II, westminster, CO, 80031
This is un-American. it takes away our freedoms and gives more power to corporations. if you guys really wanted to do something good, you could start fighting for the people you are supposed to help instead of lining the already full pockets of the lobbying companies.
1886. Brian Wolfe, Thornton, CO, 80229
Keep the net neutral. An overwhelming percentage of Americans do not want the proposed changes to take place, please represent that view in all proceedings.
1887. Angus MacInnis, Lakewood, CO, 80227
The internet is the single greatest tool humanity has ever created, and it needs to remain in the hands of the users in order to continue innovation. If websites are prioritized, then new (possibly better) sites will have an even harder time getting their feet off the ground.
1888. Jason Laincz, Westminster, CO, 80031
Aside from potential price gouging, net neutrality is also about choice. Without it, ISPs will have free reign to censor and/or deliver the content they see fit rather than the content I wish to see. Sounds a lot like some countries we know doesn't it? This is not the American way.
1889. Eric Small, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I support keeping net neutrality as a Title II thing, because I like having a free and open internet. I don't support changing things up. I have one choice for my internet service provider, and I don't trust anything they say when it comes to changing the rules, because I see no reason they wouldn't put even more hidden fees in my monthly bill. Nobody hates net neutrality except the CEOs of major ISPs, because it doesn't let them make even more money off me. Leave it alone. Stop it. We're all mad at you. And ditch the stupid Reese's coffee mug.
1890. Hunter Olsen, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Net neutrality is essential to the internet as a collection of knowledge, individuals, and opinions. Without net neutrality we face a mainline media controlled distribution of information, which is opposed to individuality and freedom.
1891. Robert Joseph Mastronardi, Thornton, CO, 80229
I support Net Neutrality because the Internet belongs to no single corporation or country - it belongs to the human race. Any attempt to dismantle, control, or regulate it is an attempt to suppress or oppress the advancement of mankind. The Internet is not a tool for which greedy capitalists can profiteer of using an oppressive and damaging methodology or control the media narrative of any one nation. The Internet belongs to The People. Any act to seize the property of The People should be constituted as an act of crime against humanity.
1892. Graeme MacInnis, Lakewood, CO, 80227
Repealing net neutrality will make innovation on the web almost impossible for new comers and will only benefit the elite on the web. It should have been clear in 2015 that this is not acceptable to the public, I don't understand why it's possible for this to be coming up again. If it isn't to benefit the mass users of the web who clearly don't want this, then who is it benefiting? Who is it for? Not the mass users of the web, that's for sure.
1893. John Melvin III, Westminster, CO, 80031
Net Neutrality must be upheld. We cannot allow ISP's to operate on "good faith" that they will NOT block, throttle, or paywall access to certain content as:
a) The internet is a library. Full of good information as well as potential garbage, but it's up to the user to sort through it. Libraries don't have information gated behind paywalls, "premium books," or impose limits on how many pages you can read per month. Neither should the internet.
b) Curated Content - The ISP shouldn't assume to know what my interests are let alone try to tell me. Maybe I want to find a rising star on YouTube who creates content based on an interest of mine. Oh, but I'll never find them because my ISP now has the legal ability to filter out my search results

and plug any content in that want to in addition to YouTube's sponsored results. THIS COULD COST CONTENT CREATORS THEIR POTENTIAL LIVELIHOOD.c) Mobile - In this age, we have access to mobile apps and the internet on the go. And with speeds on cellphones exceeding that of dial-up, it's time to recognize the emergence of broadband mobile internet. Mobile internet should be under the same policies and restrictions as any other internet access, especially as it pertains to broadband internet access.

1894. chance, Denver, CO, 80031
Leave my internet alone. Stop trying to stifle small business and the American people
1895. Daron Strohecker, Golden, CO, 80401
Conservatives claim to be about smaller government and less regulations, however throughout history it has been shown time and time again MAN cannot self govern their own appetite for GREED. By removing these protections for a free and open internet, you are allowing corporations that are run by PEOPLE to feed their greed while hiding behind a corporate identity. The only people helped by this action, THE ONLY PEOPLE, are the ones that will profit through increased fees to the public, JUST TO KEEP WHAT WE ALREADY HAVE! This action is disguised as helping the industry promote jobs and getting away from Title I and II antiquated regulations when in fact it's designed to open the doors for more corporate control over what needs to be a public domain. These same corporations have shown time and time again they will walk the tight line of the law while practicing monopolistic actions such as customer swapping in markets to limit competition and customer choice (<http://www.latimes.com/entertainment/envelope/cotown/la-et-ct-comcast-charter-20140429-story.html>). These companies have a HORRIBLE track record of doing what's right for the consumer. All of these companies have had to rebrand their solution offerings because their reputation under the old brand had gotten so bad it became the butt of many jokes. Do not allow corporate greed to proliferate further than it already has. One of these events very soon will be the straw that breaks the camel's back and break the system. Don't be a part of that historic byline.
1896. David, Northglenn, CO, 80233
In an age where technology soars, leaving a trail of accomplishments and pride of what humans are capable of; there is also a trail of exploitation that can be gained to accomplish one's selfish pursuits. Whether it is intended or not, the idea of the free internet is not a practice but a right to every American. To justify the repeal of Net Neutrality can only justify the gains for the Internet Providers and not the people. It allows malicious practices to not only take place but be welcomed. This was never the intent of how the internet should be, nor should it ever. Web inventor Tim Berners-Lee stated, "When I invented the web, I didn't have to ask anyone for permission, and neither did America's successful internet entrepreneurs when they started their businesses. To reach its full potential, the internet must remain a permissionless space for creativity, innovation and free expression. In today's world, companies can't operate without internet, and access to it is controlled by just a few providers. As an undergraduate and avid internet user, this repeal would severely change the nature of what I can do. Without any options of Internet Service Providers, I would be at the mercy of any unnecessary restrictions to what I frequent. The internet was intended to be permissionless and it should remain that way. Why should I suffer the fate of not being able to simply listen to music or stream movies in the background while studying, working, and pursuing my degree? Please, I implore that our voices be heard and represented to those who would revoke our right to feel free in a nation that glorifies the very nature of freedom. Thank you.
1897. RJ Gilsdorf, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Please keep the internet open and not subject to big business any more than it already is! Of the people, for the people, by the people! Not the rich and powerful!
1898. Cody Marquardt, Thornton, CO, 80260
The internet is a place of freedom, not corporate greed.
1899. Andrew Proudian, Golden, CO, 80401
Net neutrality is critical to supporting a vibrant internet. I urge you in the strongest possible terms to protect the free flow of information on the internet.
1900. Marshall Nisbeth, Golden, CO, 80401
Save Net Neutrality. I am part of the 58% of Americans (according to the FCC report "Internet Access Services: Status as of June 30, 2016") that do not have access to multiple ISPs. As such, I have no realistic recourse to any decisions made by my current provider to alter my access to certain domains. This will happen without net neutrality and only serves to limit access to information. Access to information is paramount, and we should protect net neutrality.
1901. Christopher Coffman, Arvada, CO, 80002
I am writing in defense of Net Neutrality. Net neutrality is the only thing preventing ISP mega-corporations from completing controlling every amount of digital media we can consume. If you destroy Net Neutrality, you are legalizing corporate blackmail and legitimizing rent-seeking behavior. There is no way that killing Net Neutrality will have any benefits for American consumers, it is simply a massive giveaway to ISPs and mega-corporations.
1902. Luis Iniguez, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Proper net neutrality is necessary for the United States to move into the future with our peers around the globe. Internet providers must deliver equal, unbiased access to the whole internet; otherwise, our growth as a nation will be throttled, and

the rights of our citizens soiled by the money-grubbing hands of the ISPs.

1903. Jonathan Hess, Westminster, CO, 80031
Please vote to preserve net neutrality. I own a small business that builds websites and apps for other small businesses. Net Neutrality means that my clients and I can deliver our products to real people easily. Without net neutrality, huge corporations will be able to make it very expensive if not impossible for us to reach our customers, killing jobs. Don't kill jobs. Don't limit choices for real people. Vote to keep net neutrality.
1904. Kaitlin Jennie Hill, Lakewood, CO, 80232
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not compromise the future of our access to reliable and neutral internet.
1905. Donald Ward, Westminster, CO, 80031
Net Neutrality is one of the most important aspects of American Freedom today. Restricting users from having the ability to search the internet free and clear is a serious threat to maintaining a well informed populous. This is a slippery slope, that could lead to the giant telecommunications companies deciding what free american citizens can and cant view/read on the internet, who could say that they will only want the american citizen to only view what they want.
1906. Ryan Lindner, Lakewood, CO, 80228
The Internet is my life, I use it for work and recuperation and would like to be able to continue to freely do so how I choose
1907. corey burick, Westminster, CO, 80021
Can the government just not fuck up one thing for my generation? That would be great.
1908. Max Wedgle, Arvada, CO, 80002
I'm someone who spends most of my day on the internet since I have a disability and the internet is my primary source of both entertainment and money, without these guidelines kept in place to keep the internet free- Since my days are spent online most of the time, of course I agree that net neutrality should be kept in tact
1909. Tyler Travis, Lakewood, CO, 80227
We must have a free and open internet.
1910. Joseph S Pietras IV, Arvada, CO, 80003
We need to keep the internet as neutral as possible. My entire job is based solely on the internet. It is important that i have access to a wide range of site and I am not limited by speed or the ability for my isp to black specific sites without paying. I already pay for high speed internet because the the requirements for my position. Limiting that would have a large impact on my work and my company as a whole. When I purchase internet I understand that I am purchasing a service, but its an open service that does not limit based on income or location, or request for knowledge. We need to keep the internet as open as possible. That was what it was originally designed to be. Please reconsider any changes that would only benefit corporations and hurt the average American. Thank you
1911. Robert, Lakewood, CO, 80232
Repealing Net Neutrality feels like you are taking rights away from Americans. Rights that should be protected by the US Constitution.
1912. Nate Finnerty, Thornton, CO, 80233
I would appreciate it if the government didn't control everything and try and steal all my money. Thaaaaaanks!
1913. Andrea, Westminster, CO, 80234
The Open Internet rules (net neutrality) are extremely important to me. I don't want the ISP to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites advantage on others, or split the internet into fast lanes for companies that pay and slow lanes for the rest. I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY. DO NOT UNDO WHAT WE HAVE ENACTED.
1914. Matthew Umbriaco, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Aboloshing Net Neutrality is fundementally a violation of Free Speech. Giving big componoys and corperations the power to restrict what the public wants to learn and how they entertain themselves is whats gling tp turn America in to a dystopic oligarchal society. We'll also see a fall of any consumer interest, all inovation, and the economy will crumble.
1915. Shana Vorhauer, Arvada, CO, 80003
The internet was created to be freely available to all. Imposing "fast lanes" or other ways in which money can play a role in what information we have access to will be unfair. It is democracy and equality I and others are fighting for. Please reject any plan to repeal our net neutrality rules and the legal footing (Title II of the Communications Act of 1934) in which they are grounded. Thank you.
1916. Jack Leonard, Lakewood, CO, 80227
You've probably heard it about a million times, but ridding our country of net neutrality is against everything this country stands for...

1917. Eden Chavez, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I want to keep the rules of net neutrality the same as they were, nothing should change #savenetneutrality
1918. Cody Groom, Denver, CO, 80215
I do not support repealing Net Neutrality. A free internet is best for this country.
1919. Dane Elshof, Westminster, CO, 80234
I would like to express my support for maintaining a free and open Internet, without throttling, tiering, data caps or restrictions to the usage. Already large corporations are attempting to subvert this openness. They try to inject advertisements into content they don't own. They try to give preference to their own services versus their competitors. Broadband has become an important cornerstone of infrastructure in the United States, with equal importance to the economy and standard of living as that of well-paved roads or electricity. As such, it should be protected in a similar fashion: as a public utility which should be maintained for the benefit of all members of our society. I write this to you as professional whose career depends on this utility. I am a software engineer. I am a member of the Association for Computing Machinery. I am also a volunteer who teaches middle and high school students valuable tech and engineering skills. Without this free and open Internet, I would no longer be able to provide the benefits to society that I currently do. I would no longer be able to work or teach future generations.
1920. Jon Samuelson, Arvada, CO, 80003
To whom it may concern, I would like to submit this letter in support of Net Neutrality and against the proposed abolishment of the rules instated by the FCC in 2015. I recently traveled to the Middle East, and during my travels I was in the process of buying a home. I had planned on using VOIP services like Skype, WhatsApp, Viber, etc to talk with my wife so that shouldn't be alone in the process. However, upon arrival I came to learn that many of the Telcos in the Middle East block the use of VOIP services in order to force the use of their outdated, expensive phone services. This is exactly the sort of thing that our Net Neutrality rules were designed to prevent, and thus far they have done a reasonable job of doing that. I'm sure the current rules are not perfect, and that they could be improved, but the idea that the American ISPs in their positions as quasi-monopolies will police themselves, and act in the customer's best interest is absolutely laughable. In a capitalistic economy corporations only act in the interest of the customer when they are challenged by other corporations. Without that challenge they act in service to themselves, to their board, and to their shareholders. In the absence of stringent Net Neutrality rules the ISPs will inevitably institute self-serving policies that will inhibit competitors in the distribution of information, of entertainment, of absolutely anything they can think of in a way to benefit themselves over other companies. We've already seen instances of them doing it in the throttling of Netflix in order to extract additional fees, in the creation of arbitrary data caps that by their own admission are not technically necessary but are rather a means to increase profits, in the zero-rating of their own services to unfairly benefit them over outside services. We've repeatedly seen the American ISPs do exactly the thing that the current FCC proposal implies that they won't do. It's crazy to think that the ISPs won't do it again. That's my 2¢, I hope the FCC will reconsider scrapping the current rules set, and rather consider how to legitimately make their constituents lives better. Local loop unbundling, municipal ISPs, by creating true competition in the ISP marketplace, not by trusting companies that are consistently rated as the most hated, poorest customer service companies in the country. Regards, Jon Samuelson
1921. William Conable, Golden, CO, 80403
The Internet should be an open platform for anyone to use, with no restrictions. It should be a place where someone can start their own small business, and it should be a place where any type of information is readily available, and never throttled or restricted. All humans have a right to information and knowledge, no matter the form, and the Internet is the modern source of nearly every bit of knowledge humanity, as a whole, has ever had. Big companies already have massive funds and income, with the methods to advertise themselves. They should not be able to control how easily smaller companies -- established or to-be-established -- can do the same.
1922. Lilian Koral, Thornton, CO, 80229
In order to maintain the integrity of the internet and the freedom of websites and browsers, you must preserve net neutrality and title 2.
1923. Andrew Archuleta, Arvada, CO, 80003
Net Neutrality is the cornerstone of innovation, free speech and democracy on the Internet. hands down More than 2 million Americans have expressed support for Net Neutrality at Congress and the FCC. They want control over the Internet to remain in the hands of the people who use it every day. Please stand with the public by protecting Net Neutrality once and for all.
1924. Anthony Michael Gagliardi, Arvada, CO, 80002
The Internet was originally created as a medium for electronic communications and the uninhibited sharing of information. At its core, the Internet is based on openness. Repealing net neutrality threatens to hand the Internet over on a silver platter to the highest bidder and it threatens competitive fairness among Internet-based companies. The Internet has significantly changed the world we live in because no one has been able to own it or control it. The Internet is created by everyone and for everyone. Please do not allow large Corporations to ruin this great achievement that has reshaped our society over the last 30 years.

1925. Jocelyn Cockrum, Arvada, CO, 80004
Do not allow commercial interest to interfere with the ability to access online resources. This will further divide classes and give commercial interest power over our basic freedom of speech in an online platform, receiving information and communication, especially long distance. We no longer have the infrastructure to support limited Internet use, libraries don't have encyclopedias and most homes don't have land lines.
1926. Byron Johnson, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I use the internet everyday to connect with friends and family and to learn new skills and concepts. Please keep the internet free for all.
1927. Brandon Boldenow, Commerce City, CO, 80022
To whom it may concern: Net neutrality is fundamental to both free speech and open competition. Freedom of speech is ensured by disallowing ISPs from throttling or blocking media outlets that they dislike. Without this stipulation, ISPs will be encouraged by market forces to effectively censor sites that host content critical of them. This could be as simple as throttling a critical YouTube video or as shameful as blocking an entire news outlet. Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. To this I say that open competition is ensured by disallowing ISPs from throttling or blocking competitor's services. If there is a video service that I would like to subscribe to, my ISP should not be allowed to throttle or block that content simply due to that site's competition with my ISP's offering. This effectively allows the ISP to define who competes when they do, which is antithetical to an open marketplace. Additionally, ISPs exempting their own services (or those of paying partners) from data caps is a form of subsidy that distorts competition in an unfair way. If I would like to watch 4k-quality content, I would be unfairly punished for choosing a third-party service as opposed to my ISP's offering if they exempt their own from a data cap. Open competition requires that competitors are able to provide their services without monopolistic actions being taken to encourage (or force) customers into one service over another. A lack of nation-wide net neutrality rules limits competition because it could make non-ISP offerings cost-prohibitive. In a nation with as little broadband competition as the US has, many people don't have the option to change ISPs, let alone to an ISP with a similar download/upload speed. I hope someone reads these words and understands the implications of the actions being taken by the FCC. If rules that maintain net neutrality can be implemented outside of Title II, then go ahead. If they can not, then dropping ISPs from Title II could be very detrimental to the development of the internet. Revoking net neutrality rules only provides freedom to ISPs; not to the companies who provide services online and not to the American taxpayer. Sincerely, Brandon Boldenow
1928. John Hinton, Lakewood, CO, 80401
Hello, As a graduate student in engineering, the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. As my representative I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you for doing the right thing! John Hinton
1929. Justin Hardman, Westminster, CO, 80234
Net Neutrality should be something everyone wants. Giving Corporations the power to choose what I see when I see and to slow down their competition is un-American. Competition in the free market is what America was founded on and should not be imposed upon.
1930. Elliot Liveoak, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Designed to have the internet paid for, forcing customers to be part of a monopolized internet. Elliot Liveoak
1931. Jessica LaRue, Westminster, CO, 80030
Net Neutrality is allegorical for where we are going as a nation. Putting the power of information control into the hands of ANY entity, be it corporation or government, is antithetical to freedom, and that is exactly what you would be giving internet service providers by letting them control the speeds of service for particular websites and block content. The internet is such a powerful tool, and easy access to information has the power to help people change their lives for the better. Please keep the internet open, please keep net neutrality in place.

1932. Robert Trobaugh, Thornton, CO, 80229
Keep the internet how it is! DO NOT get rid of net neutrality
1933. Collin Dornbos, Lakewood, CO, 80227
The United States was founded on the idea of freedom, personal expression and innovation. Net Neutrality allows us to keep pursuing ideas and growing as a society, without being restricted or limited on what we view on the internet. The Internet is man's greatest tool. Working with dulled tools limits productivity and innovation.
1934. zachary, Denver, CO, 80235
Restore Net Neutrality. It's very important to me for everything to be equal. Especially being an online seller.
1935. David Dean, Thornton, CO, 80260
Net neutrality supports upcoming entrants into the internet based on merit and prevents the current powers from preventing competition. It is necessary in a country with strong control from few ISPs to prevent them from consolidating their power and controlling the media available to the public
1936. Jonathan Burkes, Lakewood, CO, 80232
This country was founded on freedoms. We have an act called The Freedom of Information Act. Why are we trying to now restrict what can be accessed by blocking our largest resource? This is idiotic and there is no reason for it.
1937. Weston Peterson, Arvada, CO, 80005
By taking away the current net neutrality regulations, we risk creating an internet of the rich. The internet right now serves as a great equalizer among the people of the world. We could lose that if we remove net neutrality regulations.
1938. Matteo Moore, Arvada, CO, 80002
We need to keep net neutrality and not give large corporations the power to control what information people see and consume.
1939. Noah Sadow, Golden, CO, 80401
The internet is a critical communication service and is currently the primary communication method in our society. Allowing private companies to prioritize access in any way could allow them to freely sabotage whatever they see fit. The internet must remain as neutral as the post office is required to be.
1940. Sarah Beckerle, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I am strongly against repealing our nation's net neutrality rules. Rolling back Title II would result in a massive corporate handout and erase rules that protect consumers.
1941. John Schuch, Westminster, CO, 80021
I urge you to preserve Net Neutrality. The efforts of companies like Comcast to get rid of it are simply an abuse of monopoly power that should not be allowed.
1942. Trevor Lana, Arvada, CO, 80004
Internet services in the US have been largely enabled to favor the ISPs over the customer. In many places there is only one option for internet service, which has created a monopoly situation, crippling the ability for capitalism to do its thing. Already ISPs in the US can throttle users' bandwidth, providing worse service while charging some 3 times the cost of Internet services in Tokyo or Seoul. Rolling back net neutrality enables ISPs to become even more of a bridge troll than they already are, bullying Americans into accepting decreased priority from non-typical web-services. People are not just upset about this proposed change because they don't want to pay a lot of money, people are upset because removing net neutrality can only mean worse service for the American people. Keep the internet neutral.
1943. Tiffany, Lakewood, CO, 80214
Please KEEP THE NET NEUTRAL.
1944. Michael Devine-Pride, Arvada, CO, 80003
A major part in the brilliance of the internet is that it remains an equal playing ground for all users of it. I don't think it should be up to a select few companies to decide who is more equal than others
1945. Madison Kiehn, Arvada, CO, 80004
Keep the internet free and fair! This is NOT North Korea!
1946. Benjamin Baginski, Arvada, CO, 80002
Dismantling any notion of Net Neutrality will turn the internet from an open and free place to share information to a place where the man with the most money gets to speak. That is an attack on free speech and should not be tolerated.
1947. Rebekah, Westminster, CO, 80021
There needs to be an assurance of protection from invasion of privacy, fraudulent billing, and price gouging by service providers.

1948. Ben Heberlein, Westminster, CO, 80020
An open internet is extremely important. We are living in a digital age where the connections we have over the internet are becoming as important or more important than the connections we have in the physical world. I think the primary argument in favor of net neutrality is to protect the interests of small businesses. Small businesses and entrepreneurship are the economic backbone of this country, and these small businesses need a thriving internet presence in order to succeed nowadays. This is not limited to internet startups. Every entrepreneur, whether selling a physical or digital product or service, needs an internet presence. By allowing favoritism on the net, we are stifling small business and destroying innovation in this country - and this is something we cannot afford to do. This is a critical time for our country economically, and it is in the best interests for everyone but the major cable companies to continue to have a free and open internet. Thank you for your time! Ben Heberlein
1949. Kyle Foust, Edgewater, CO, 80214
I want to express my strong support for maintaining the current title 2 classification of ISP groups. I would like to express my support for free and open net neutrality for everyone.
1950. Thomas Reis, Thornton, CO, 80241
I make a living creating web applications for small businesses. Please, for the sake of a healthy internet-based economy, protect the FCC's existing net neutrality rules under Title II. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. If some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses (like the ones I work for on a daily basis) that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Thomas Reis
1951. Jennifer Smith, Arvada, CO, 80004
Internet neutrality is imperative in a free country
1952. Janice Stevens, Littleton, CO, 80123
I am writing today to voice my support of Net Neutrality. As a small business, the free and neutral internet has been invaluable towards promoting my business and establishing my place within the local economy. Keeping the internet neutral and free for everyone is essential towards maintaining the free market. Thank you for your time and consideration. Janice Stevens/Winsome Witness Photography
1953. Mark True, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Keep net neutrality! The internet like all in our society and commerce need to be free.
1954. Joe Redifer, Lakewood, CO, 80227
Think about what you're doing. Be rational. Don't follow the money. Follow what's right. Will you? I hope you do.
1955. Maxwell Yousse, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80214
Please keep our freedom alive. Every chip at our freedom is a step backwards towards the type of country our founding fathers were fighting against. Please work on the behalf of American citizens and small businesses before you stand up for these giant companies who will be fine no matter which way you choose to go. They will continue to make money either way we cannot get our freedom back.
1956. Stephen Fisher, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I support strong net neutrality under title 2. I believe that by ending this you are working against the best interests of the American people.
1957. Adam Boersma, Arvada, CO, 80003
Dear FCC, I'm writing today because I believe that we, as a country, need to take a stand for net neutrality. Currently, with the internet being open and free, what we pay for is access to the entire wealth of human knowledge to this point. I think we can all agree that this is an amazing accomplishment, and not something that should be hidden behind arbitrary rules and metaphorical doors that can be opened with more money. Depending on how we move forward, we may be running ourselves into a society where only the wealthy are knowledgeable, which would make it even more difficult for people to get an education, as well as more difficult to bridge the gap between the different class structures not only in the US, but worldwide. The only argument against an open and free internet is greed. The reason we're even talking about this at all, is because certain gigantic ISPs want to get more money by not only charging for data speeds and caps, but also charging for what data is coming through the pipeline. There are no other arguments that could be made for a closed or gated internet. As

we've seen with Television subscriptions, when these things happen the community/customer base loses. Please stand up for everyone in america against gigantic ISP corporations and keep the internet open and free.

1958. Robert Painter, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Net neutrality is almost as important as labeling all GMO products!
1959. Net Neutrality, Arvada, CO, 80005
I support Net Neutrality! Don't fix what isn't broken in the name of greed.
1960. Chris Z, Arvada, CO, 80004
I stand with keeping net neutrality in tact! I would not trust comcast to water my house plant let alone self regulate and not screw over everyone all in the name of maximizing profits. If they want to make more money they need to start offering a product that does not suck. that's capitalism baby! These media companies have killed radio and are in the process of killing TV. I don't want them to do the same to the internet. Preserving net neutrality is not a regulation. its a protection. protection from large corporations policing what I can read or say on the internet. In 2017 Net neutrality is a 1st amendment issue. Free speech is more important than the bottom lines of the last 6 media companies that own everything else. Also "Restoring Internet Freedom" ... George Orwell is turning over in his grave because of this name....
1961. John B, Arvada, CO, 80002
Please do not take away net neutrality. I want to choose which sites are the best and not have ISP's making that choice for me. DO NOT allow net neutrality to fade away. I don't want to be in a closed information state like North Korea.
1962. Richael Young, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80212
Net neutrality is a cornerstone to our most sacred of American values: freedom of expression. Dismantling net neutrality gives control over the spread of ideas to a handful of companies, letting them sell to the highest bidder what our citizenry can access on our phones, tablets, and computers. This would not only allow for Internet Service Providers to limit or block customers' access to the ISP's competitors but also give them control over the news we read or events we learn about. The ability for ISPs to censor such information is a fundamental threat to our democracy. We do not stand for an Orwellian corporatocracy. The FCC must preserve and defend net neutrality. Otherwise, it abandons its own mission and strategic plan committing to neutrality, innovation, competition, and diversity.
1963. Sean P. Lawlor, Lakewood, CO, 80228
The internet need needs to be free and open to all. Isp should not have the right to limit the freedoms of individuals.
1964. Carl Christopher Borgstrom, lakewood, CO, 80214
I DO NOT support the repeal of the 2015 net neutrality rules or Title II. I only have one internet provider that will service my house. That is Century Link with DSL. I ordered Comcast last year and fought with them for over four months to have them install service to my house in the middle of metro Denver. They literally were so incompetent that they could not get one of their sub contractors to install service. I need more options including but not limited to municipal broadband. Something that Comcast directly opposes in my area. I understand what service they provide. They do not create content like Pai knowingly incorrectly claims. They do not even enable the creation of the content like they again claim. They are legally prohibited from changing the information packets that I send. If it is https they are also prohibited to see what I am transferring. So how could they "create" this content? They are willfully and unlawfully trying to control the content that I can access. They will also be able to charge a private tax on not only one entity but the real content creators, content organizers, and the consumers. They already charge for imaginary internet "speeds" as well as starting to charge for data (admittedly the only real usage metric). Do they really get to tax us and decide what content we are able to access too? The FCC should do their job of protecting me, the consumer, from these massive corporations that will do anything within their power to hold onto their agreed monopoly. The FCC needs to enforce the laws of title 2 and net neutrality rules. It is my opinion that broadband should be classified as a utility and protected as such. The extremely limited availability at my home in a major metropolitan area limits my ability to work and earn a living from home. Repealing net neutrality rules would make it even worse. Carl Borgstrom
1965. Brandon Pierce, Arvada, CO, 80003
Please keep net neutrality!!! Do not let the internet become accessible based on how much money you have. Information should be free!!! Look at Elon Musk he is giving out all of his patents for Tesla for free, for all car manufacturers to use. Keep the internet that way!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
1966. Robert J Nolletti, Arvada, CO, 80002
Net Neutrality is very important to the success of American small businesses and our democracy. I own a small American manufacturing business. Approximately 90% of our sales are from orders placed directly on our website. Without Net Neutrality large corporate retailers could pay Internet providers to prioritize connections to their marketplaces and squeeze out small independent businesses like mine. Before starting a business I was the Web Administrator for the City of Tucson. I understand first hand the importance of citizen access to information. Freedom of the press and of speech have been instrumental in the development of our democracy. Now in the age of the Internet, citizens access news and share information and ideas online. Without Net Neutrality there will be no guarantee of unbiased access to this. Freedom of the press and of speech could easily be stifled by Internet providers. Anyone with money would be able to pay to have access to

Internet biased to sites that favor a personal agenda. Net Neutrality is dangerous and unconstitutional.

1967. Patrick Hansen, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033

The FCC's Open Internet (Net Neutrality) policy is extremely important to me. Net neutrality should be a philosophy practiced and exercised with the internet and should not be altered or removed. Government and media corporations should not have the ability to manipulate or alter internet access arbitrarily based on selfish political agendas, business needs or revenue generating tactics. The internet should be seen as an invaluable tool and venue that connects the world with information, content, communication and education. Everyone should be able to have access to the internet no matter what income class, religion, race, location, or age. Removing net neutrality policies will only lead to government organizations and companies relishing in monetary agendas and micromanagement over what the people can access, while suppressing a resource that should be treated as a common utility and necessity for all people. We are a country where we uphold and support our Constitutional laws. If we passionately uphold our laws and popular amendments such as Freedom of Speech, Freedom of Religion, and The Right to Bear Arms, then these are just some of the very foundational elements that are being threatened with Chairman Pai's new plan for the internet and Internet Service Providers. We are the United States of America and limiting the peoples' rights to the internet in this fashion is the antitheses of what this country has been built upon and what America stands for. Companies and government should not fear that their people have access to the world via the internet, just as much as any other medium such as books, newspapers, phone calls, text messages and hand written letters. Not only should they not fear these channels, they do not have any right to control and manipulate access to those resources.

1968. Ben Shatz, Arvada, CO, 80003

The free and open internet is one of the greatest triumphs of humanity. The USA has always been a leader in online freedom. Allowing corporations to undermine Net Neutrality is akin to the government-censorship of the internet by nations such as Russia or China. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Ben Shatz

1969. Tom Hoffman, Golden, CO, 80401

Please do not approve the proposal to do away with internet neutrality. Keep the internet FREE.

1970. Megan Hofmann, Littleton, CO, 80123

Net Neutrality is a necessary component of a healthy system of free speech in the the era of the internet. Allowing corporate interests to control the primary flow of information for many Americans is dangerous as it closes off open dialogues. Do not allow this filing to pass.

1971. Ashley Chambers, Denver, CO, 80216

Removing the limitations that prevent preferred access to select internet content is damaging to not only communication freedoms, but is also damaging to the economy and economic development. A free market requires educated consumers, with complete consumer information. Not only is that status near impossible for any single individual (who is not privy to transparent information about *every* product, company, and network), it also relies on a fast, manageable method to delivery and improve chances for complete consumer information. When providers are enabled to selectively enhance or restrict access to sites, based on individual dealings, we limit that transparent information. Information becomes based on access, not existence, and the hurdles for access will be linked to financial dealings, not average benefit. Furthermore, smaller businesses that would otherwise compete with, spur on, or even overcome existing businesses, would be obscured from the market. Consider Netflix. If Blockbuster could have afforded to secure better internet access, or even struck a deal with ISPs to limit access to Netflix, the current situation for both companies would be dramatically reversed, despite the better service, affordability, and delivery of the latter. The concerns and considerations are merely limited to short term consequences. They fail to even consider the long-term implications of allowing individual businesses to control access to resources based on quarterly profit margins. If we existed in a free market, perhaps these may self resolve, but as economic theory and practice shows, a truly free market is a theoretical, non-realistic paradigm. And if we wish to pursue the benefits of such a paradigm, it requires serious protections and preservation of information access. Government regulation should represent the desires of the people, for the benefit of the people. Removing net neutrality laws replaces government

regulations with regulations of businesses and lobbyists. Because money and power is what guides access for the common people; I prefer that guidance to be based in the needs of the people, not the profits of the few. As a scientist and medical researcher, access to information and resources is precious to me. By having access to previous publications and findings, I am able to form new hypotheses and further findings to the benefit of others. The increasing availability of documents and research has greatly enhanced my work and progress. Obstacles such as paywalls outside my subscriptions and resources *are* problematic to such progress; it has been a major blessing that more publications are coming together to improve availability of research. The idea of retreating backwards, and creating further pay walls and obstacles for other resources, is a rather terrifying thought.

1972. Ryan Ritchey, Denver, CO, 80229
I refuse to pay for limited and curated internet. I am on Team Internet. We support net neutrality, freedom of speech. Cable companies are famous for high prices and poor service. Several rank as the most hated companies in America. Now, they're lobbying the FCC and Congress to end net neutrality. Why? It's simple: if they win the power to slow sites down, they can bully any site into paying millions to escape the "slow lane." This would amount to a tax on every sector of the American economy. Every site would cost more, since they'd all have to pay big cable. Worse, it would extinguish the startups and independent voices who can't afford to pay. If we lose net neutrality, the Internet will never be the same.
1973. Kyle Goodrich, Thornton, CO, 80241
Keep the Internet free to the people, not the ISPs
1974. Gary, Commerce City, CO, 80022
stop giving away our privacy
1975. Dain Ramirez, Arvada, CO, 80005
Coming from a telecom background (5 years as an IP engineer), net neutrality actually increases business and promotes market competition. If the US government starts, for better or for a lack of term, starts whoring out the internet, then all the benefits of free information, profitable business, entertainment, and social interaction are under threat. Why? Because the highest bidder is not the best choice. Look at our healthcare system if you need an example of that. Washington needs to stop making this an issue and actually focus on things that this administration promised. Like creating jobs....
1976. Leslie Fredrickson, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Net neutrality is incredibly important! America is supposed to be the land of the free, not the land of greedy corporations. The only people against net neutrality are those who can make money off of its demise. I am so disappointed in our country that net neutrality is even up for debate. Please, lead with the people in mind and keep net neutrality in place. Show we are a country of the people and their best interests.
1977. Zachary Despain, Arvada, CO, 80002
Keep the Internet open. Its openness has led to the incredible advancement of ideas and actions.
1978. Jessica Loving-Campos, Lakewood, CO, 80227
I ask that internet neutrality is protected. Our internet access should not be bought, governed or controlled by any company. It should remain free with open access to all content. Thank you!
1979. Chris Hunnell, Westminster, CO, 80021
Please support and enforce strong net neutrality rules for everyone. The internet is the greatest form of mass communication our species has invented to date and it is vital that all voices can use this tool to express themselves. Turning it into just another commodity to be sold to the highest bidder and sanitized for content would be a tragic mistake. Again, please support strong net neutrality regulations!
1980. Pete Albrecht, Arvada, CO, 80004
The vast majority of Americans, including myself, support the FCC's current net neutrality rules. Allowing ISP's to prioritize internet traffic will stifle American innovation in one of the most important technology sectors in this century, and harm people whose livelihoods depend on the internet. A tiny fraction of companies in the technology sector are lobbying to remove net neutrality rules, but that is not supported by the majority of technology companies, professionals, and Americans in general. Pete Albrecht
1981. John Hotovy, Arvada, CO, 80004
Without net neutrality, equality and freedom of information is at stake. We can't allow corporations to control and prioritize our access to information online.
1982. Mia Bee, Denver, CO, 80215
People should have the freedom to access websites at their own discretion.
1983. Robert Morehead, Lakewood, CO, 80214
My name is Robert Morehead and I am a software developer that works for a small company of approximately 20 in the Voice Industry. We produce web applications optimizing processes for clients in the distribution industry, as well as maintenance and inspection and healthcare. We are not the only company in our industry, and in fact are quite small relative

to the top companies in the industry. Since the performance of our applications are heavily dependent on latency and round-trip-times of data, we surely could not compete against our bigger competitors if they had an upper hand advantage due to the loss of net neutrality. Clearly the effect of losing net neutrality would influence our company, and perhaps even my livelihood. As well as my company and my own job, I also use the internet for a number of services such as the continuation of my education. I can currently depend on the internet as a reliable source of information. It would be such a great loss to our access of information and education if certain information could be limited or throttled. Broadband ISPs must be subject to oversight by an expert agency if we are to ensure fair prices, access, and information exchange for the good of our society. I urge to you stand up for what is best for the people, and not the broadband ISPs.

1984. Michael Rieger, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80214
I am writing to express the need for the FCC To Keep Net Neutrality. Do not change the rules.
1985. Jesse Reigle, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I support net neutrality backed by strong regulations including but not limited to Title 2.
1986. Will Heberlein, Westminster, CO, 80020
Net neutrality is essential to keeping the internet a useful for all Americans, especially entrepreneurs. Please keep it regulated as a communication service and do not allow it to be exploited by large monopolizing corporations.
1987. Jeff Orford, Lakewood, CO, 80228
PLEASE do not injure or weaken Net Neutrality as per Chairman Pai's suggested plan. Net Neutrality is central to our online economic future and online liberty. If anything strengthen Net Neutrality protection for our great country! Thank you! Jeff Orford
1988. Erin Rogers, Lakewood, CO, 80215
The internet is the greatest resource to boundless information and provides access for those with the drive to educate themselves and others. Privatizing such a useful and amazing tool is greedy and ego-maniacal.
1989. Geoffrey Nourse, Westminster, CO, 80021
Do not restrict my internet. I am for net neutrality.
1990. Aaron Stevens, Westminster, CO, 80031
A free and open internet is critical to innovation, competition, entrepreneurship, and higher learning. By keeping the internet free and open, you will stimulate competition among ISPs while not harming businesses and individuals who rely upon this critical technology. If Net Neutrality is thrown away, the ONLY party that will benefit are the ISPs while the end user consumers and companies that rely upon unrestricted internet access are hindered.
1991. Robin, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Preserve net neutrality! Access should be the same for all, just like other public utilities, i.e., phone, electricity.
1992. Kendall Kravec, Denver, CO, 80221
The internet should remain neutral. I strongly oppose any paid prioritization, blocking, or throttling of sites.
1993. John Hinton, Lakewood, CO, 80401
As an engineering graduate student, unbiased internet is very important to me! Please keep the net neutral!
1994. Taylor, Lakewood, CO, 80227
The internet needs to be kept free of invading domestic eyes. Federal or state control should have no role in the peoples right to express their free speech.
1995. LaVonne Whelchel, Lakewood, CO, 80227
Keep net neutrality. As a consumer, I want and deserve to be treated fairly and equitably. Thank you
1996. Cristiano Martinez, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80212
A prosperous future requires a free and open internet. Hindering competitiveness of small business puts America at a disadvantage. Please reinstate ISPs classification as a public utility.
1997. Austin leaming, littleton, CO, 80127
I support an open and free internet while keeping net neutrality the way it is today.
1998. Katharine Sommers, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
The Internet connects us all. If brands are given the ability to control what we see, there is no question they will. It's in the interest of all citizens that the internet remain neutral.
1999. Tyler Meuret, Thornton, CO, 80233
Keep the internet open and free. This is America, land of the free. Lets keep it that way.

2000. Carrie Persichitte, Denver, CO, 80005
Please protect the internet and support net neutrality. We need free access to information through the internet.
2001. Caitlyn Van Heest, Thornton, CO, 80229
Net neutrality is allowing for more options and competition that is driving down prices and making decisions like pursuing higher education online more attainable. We need more competition in the space to drive innovation and lower prices. Repealing this ruling would set us back while the rest of the world charges forward, which we as a country can not afford and should not tolerate.
2002. Katharine Swan Leppert, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I'm a freelance writer and sole proprietor of my own business -- a couple of them, actually. Net neutrality protects my right to be innovative and run my own business by ensuring that larger companies that can afford to pay more won't be prioritized over me. Please protect the rights of ordinary, everyday people and entrepreneurs and ensure that the Internet remains fair and accessible to all. Please defend net neutrality. Katharine Swan Leppert
2003. Joshua Pedrick, Lakewood, CO, 80226
We cannot trust internet providers to behave fairly. Give them an inch and they WILL take a mile. If that happens, most people won't have any other options besides the one major provider in their area. There isn't enough competition between providers to ensure that consumers aren't taken advantage of. In our modern, technology driven world, the internet is a utility; it should be treated like one.
2004. Robert Volatile, Denver, CO, 80401
The taking of net neutrality from users would be just another instance of the government explicitly granting ISPs the opportunity to twist arms and take more from the people. This is an unsettling and unnecessary blow in a time where so many things are already going wrong with our country. Can we please just leave this one alone?
2005. Benjamin Scherer, Westminster, CO, 80234
Net neutrality is a core concept of today's freedom of press and speech, as well as the core of the modern free market. Destroying net neutrality will go against core American concepts, damaging America's ability to stay free and progress as a nation.
2006. Mike Bains, Westminster, CO, 80031
Small, startup businesses are the jobs creators of this country. If net neutrality rules are reversed this will handicap these very businesses that create jobs. Thus this bill is a jobs killer. If there was a real open market for internet services, there would be more ISPs competing for our business. Many areas of our company have zero or one choice for high speed internet. I have only two choices where I live. This is not a free market, but a duopoly. There is no real competition. Only reverse these rules AFTER you develop a system that creates real competition. Look at the electricity service or long distance industries as models. Fix the market first, then allow the market to compete. Don't screw the public and voters who put our representative into office.
2007. Adam Herbert, Arvada, CO, 80002
The internet should always remain free of large companies and their special interests.
2008. David, Lakewood, CO, 80215
The FCC's Open Internet Rules are extremely important to me, and to everyone I know. I urge you to protect these rules on my and many others behalf. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. This type of control leads to easy censorship by any party with the ability to pay an ISP. If censorship is allowed on this level I believe it will burden or destroy many reporters first amendment rights, articles being blocked or slow to access, news websites being slowed, single points of view pushed more on the main population than ever before. This country is meant to represent freedom don't let a piece of that freedom to be taken away. Thank you! David

2009. William Tucker, Northglenn, CO, 80233
The internet should be a public utility. It was created by universities, It was not the innovation of the private sector. Keep the internet open. Keep Net Neutrality.
2010. Caroline Storey, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Like, seriously, guys. My email address is stupid, but I can still use it to sign up for whatever and email whoever I want. Isn't that what the internet should be?Caroline Storey
2011. Michael Barrow, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I would like to see the current state of net neutrality maintained. Please do not remove net neutrality!
2012. Brendan Haeefe, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Net Neutrality rocks and is insanely important in keeping a fair and free internet. Nobody wants a world where the internet has a price tag on it, and providers can and will compete, causing prices of using the internet to sky rocket. It is admirable you believe providers will be good human beings, but the rest of the internet does not share your beliefs. I would remind the FCC that they work for the people and do not decide what is best for us. They in act our will and our will is that net neutrality remains in place.
2013. Brad Matheson, Brighton, CO, 80602
I live in the metro Denver are, yet I only have two choices for ISP. One that has very unreliable connections and the other that is Comcast. I participate in some open source projects that require my pc at home to poll a website. I have a suspicion that my current ISP (Comcast) has figured this out and they are changing my IP address every couple of hours, I'm guessing in order to filter the traffic. I have observed similar behavior from them when watching Netflix. I have noticed that they will disconnect or slow my usage forcing Netflix to error. As soon as I go to fast.com (owned by Netflix) everything seems to return to normal. I have observed this on multiple occasions and the pattern seems "algorithmic" to me. I believe that Comcast is using technologies that filter, interrupt and slow connections. I believe that the more power they are given the more the American citizens will have their freedoms impeded on. I also believe that they are "Internet Service Providers" which to me means their concern is internet connections and service, not content. If they are allowed to change, filter, interrupt or slow the internet based on whatever they want including content they are violating many of the American constitutional rights. To me it is equivalent to allowing paperboys to alter the content of the newspaper they deliver. Which is not right and is not constitutional.I exercise my constitutional rights on the internet. And in my opinion we shouldn't allow for a few companies to dictate and impeded on those rights. In my opinion the internet should be open and free to all as we are a free people.Thanks
2014. Eric W Phillips, Westminster, CO, 80031
We do not have a Competitive Market Place in the ISP sector(look at local competition not national to see this), thus applying the Restore Internet Freedom Act will not result in a more dynamic and innovative Market Place. The Restore Internet Freedom Act will most likely result in a Stifling of innovation and a slowdown if not reversal in economic growth.
2015. Mark Ciotta, Thornton, CO, 80260
The internet is the one last place where everyone has a chance to make a difference in their lives, connect with people they love, discover new opportunities and take action across the world. Everyone knows what you want, and here we all are telling you that things are going to change. Try as you might, the power is being taken from your hands. There are too many of us now. Light spreads and exposes the shadowy channels big money moves through. You will not take our heart. You will not take our freedom.
2016. Jeff Warnock, Lakewood, CO, 80232
I will not support any legislation that allows for preferential treatment of any party.
2017. Jackson DePenning, Arvada, CO, 80005
Changes like this will ruin the internet and hurt the majority of the American people significantly.
2018. Seth Allen Chapin, Lakewood, CO, 80214
Hello Higher People, please don't put your profit above freedom of information and just try and improve our freedoms instead of limiting them for some shiny pennies.Seth Allen Chapin
2019. Cameron Ryan, Westminster, CO, 80031
Please keep the internet neutral! All of the world's population relies on a free, open and unrestricted internet. We cant shut out those who can't afford "premium" networks.Please think of your children, don't let this be the first step towards a reality like that written in the book, "Fahrenheit 451"
2020. Hannah Skaran, Thornton, CO, 80241
I strongly support maintaining net neutrality and strongly oppose any lawmakers and people otherwise in charge of policy decisions who take any actions that threaten net neutrality.
2021. Isaac Sim, Westminster, CO, 80023

The first amendment gives rights to the people of the freedom of press. The internet is form of media, and media is a form of press. Blocking our internet access means blocking our right to see what other people has published online.

2022. Aaron Wiles, Northglenn, CO, 80234
Keep the Internet Free and Open and out of the hands of corporations! Please do your job and protect the public from corporate abuse and continue to allow the internet to change the world for the better!
2023. Clay Anderson, Westminster, CO, 80021
Yes. Keep net neutrality!
2024. Jonathan F., Denver, CO, 80033
I honestly never do this sort of thing, but giving the most monopolized corporations MORE control over the internet is like giving a dictator more control over weapons.... As a federal commission, you are tasked with speaking for the people, not against us. Net Neutrality is as fundamental to the internet as the First Amendment is to the people. Uphold american values. Above all, Freedom. I support Net Neutrality.
2025. Kurt Strovink, Westminster, CO, 80030
Net Neutrality is extremely important to me, and to every citizen and business in the modern world. We need these protections to ensure the internet remains a fair platform for all.
2026. Matt Kuehl, Arvada, CO, 80003
It is extremely important to Keep Net Neutrality. It prevents companies from filtering websites. It keeps us free. It will kill many industries, the main one being the online video game industry. Millions of people that play competitive online games will likely give them up due to slow internet speeds etc. This industry produces billions for our economy. Taking away net neutrality will also lead to higher internet premiums as ISPs already have an oligopoly on the industry and will charge a higher average cost compared to what they are charging now. Also, the ISPs are making billions without the help of taking away Net Neutrality. This is going to just take away our freedom and the last time I checked we live in the United States of America. This would cause even more unrest in an already unstable time in our history. I
2027. Eric Podraza, Golden, CO, 80403
Maintaining net neutrality is part of upholding our American values in the 21st century
2028. Net neutrality, Northglenn, CO, 80234
This is a horrible idea and gives more power to ISP's. Working in the tech field they already do this to an extent and to be honest this is fucking stupid to even be thinking of doing this and it affects the first amendment
2029. G, Littleton, CO, 80123
The internet should be used at an individuals leisure, not what companies want you to use it for.
2030. Benjamin Allain, Golden, CO, 80401
I support Title II classification of Internet Service Providers as "common carriers".
2031. Donald Marjerison II, Lakewood, CO, 80227
This should never pass
2032. Emily Liebttag, Lakewood, CO, 80226
As a concerned citizen I demand that you save the open internet and I demand net neutrality!
2033. Skylar Bauer, Golden, CO, 80401
Keep the internet open and equal for use by all. Uphold net neutrality and do not commodify wifi speed/access.
2034. Connor Rice, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Free and open access to the internet is necessary for a free and open society. Allowing the largest telecommunication corporations to place restrictions on content and speed would create an unfair playing field where only those who can afford to pay to play can compete. The internet has enabled everyone the freedom to share ideas and content freely. Ending net neutrality will silence those who do not have large scale financial backing. This will be disastrous for not only our country, but the entire world. We cannot become like China and other countries who censor and heavily regulate access to content. The end of net neutrality is antithetical to a democratic system in the 21st century. Connor Rice
2035. Alex Harkleroad, Arvada, CO, 80002
Please vote to protect our virtual rights. I know they aren't extremely well defined by law yet; but we should have open access to information, and get what we pay for instead of the dismal speeds they actually give us through throttling the internet. The internet should be a open space, we should be able to find results based off of our actually needs not who was willing to pay internet server providers more money to get there websites seen. Going back to an old quote. "Don't fix what isn't broken."
2036. Thomas Hennen, Golden, CO, 80401

I support net neutrality. Net neutrality makes daily life better for EVERYONE except Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon.

2037. Anna Coley, Lakewood, CO, 80214

I'm a small IT business owner whose business relies upon an open internet. I'm proud of what I've accomplished with my business, but it is threatened by increased costs that could result from ISPs being able to throttle particular websites or services I need daily as part of my business operations. I've already faced the consequences and loss of business due to insufficient internet service - please don't allow that to become an inherent part of what is now a vital utility service. Net Neutrality is not just about streaming video from YouTube and Netflix and playing online games - it's vital to the survival of independent entrepreneurs like myself. Please protect Net Neutrality so I can continue to grow my business without fighting ISPs for fair service. Thank you. Anna Coley

2038. Chris Kelley, Arvada, CO, 80003

Keep the Internet Open. Do not bow to Cable Company interests. Please! Broadband is a monopoly in most places and we need it free and open.

2039. Marcus Tamburro, Golden, CO, 80401

Keep net neutrality. We live in America. Not North Korea.

2040. Josh B., Lakewood, CO, 80228

Without Net Neutrality the concept of free enterprise would be dead in the water in the cyber world. In Today's economy where EVERYTHING is done using computers and the internet, abandoning Net Neutrality rules would be tantamount to handing cable companies absolute control over America's growing tech markets. These companies already enjoy sizable monopolies over the forms of media we are influenced by on a daily basis. American citizens should not be expected to PAY for their First Amendment rights, nor should entrepreneurs be expected to PAY for the opportunities that existed 20 years ago for anyone with the initiative to pursue them. This country was founded by individuals who sought to escape the oligarchy of England, don't hand their creation over to the corporate oligarchy. Josh B.

2041. Thomas Cook, westminster, CO, 80031

Protecting net neutrality is important to the people and society. ISPs will blatantly pursue anti consumer policies even more aggressively than they already do. fight greed and protect communication and innovation.

2042. Kyle Tolle, Thornton, CO, 80229

My name is Kyle Tolle. I urge the FCC and my representatives to fight _for_ net neutrality and classify ISPs as common carriers. I'm a software developer, writer, and I access the internet on a daily basis. I taught myself how to do web design and programming as a high schooler, thanks to access to myriad learning sources and access to free or cheap tools and hosting sites. In several instances, I host my own content on personal domains and enjoy the freedom of the control I have over my works. And it's still possible to reach people through my work because others can access it just as readily as they can any major site. Without the open internet, it may not be possible to work remotely, use new and niche services that are crucial to me, or even contact people without going through a monolithic, sanctioned, approved service like Facebook or Google. These sites have terms of service and privacy policies that require handing over egregious amounts of personal information and data. Yes, they are free of monetary cost, but they are not free in terms of choice or privacy. An open internet is also important to building new competition to existing services, ones that don't have the billions of dollars of a Google or Facebook. An open internet is important for free speech and reliable access to others' free speech. Anything else is akin to corporate censorship of the global conversation. When I purchase internet access, the number one and most important thing I buy is an open pipeline to the wider internet. I expect the speed of that internet connection to be the same regardless of what sites I visit. And I expect that service to be reliable. I use companies other than the ISP for things like email, video streaming, gaming, and shopping. Because those companies tend to do one thing (like streaming video or gaming) and do it well. Bandwidth speed is important because of the increasing number of devices in a home, the size and quality of media (both streamed and downloaded), and the poor decisions made by many websites to place tons of images and tracking software on their sites. I should be able to access any and all websites I want through this pipeline, whether it be a site that exists today or one that won't be invented for another decade or two. Having access to more numerous, smaller services increases competition in general, and allows me to make decisions for myself as to what service I prefer, instead of being forced to use one service because it's the only one feasible to access through my ISP. In the same way that I can call any number on my phone line, I expect to be able to visit any website on my internet connection, regardless of whether it's a personal site or a corporate site. This is an expectation that's furthered by ISPs marketing based on their bandwidth speeds, reliability, and data caps amounts (or lack thereof). Using services other than an ISP allows me to move across regional and state lines more easily without having to change email addresses or any other services. It also allows me to move to another ISP if service, price, or quality are better there. Lately, I have moved across state lines and ISP choices are limited and different in each place. I am only able to maintain some continuity in my internet experience because I can access a broader internet through any given ISP. Where I am living for the next few months, I only have realistic choice to a single ISP that provides broadband internet: a cable company. There's a satellite company but it requires a 2 year agreement, has pitifully low data caps, and charges exorbitant prices. I imagine the satellite company would only seem attractive if cable did not run to my home. The cable company then seems to have next to no competition in the area. This same trend has existed in every location I've lived. There's only a single cable company to purchase internet through. And these companies never seem to prioritize customer satisfaction. They don't have to if they're the only choice. They seem to enjoy offering terrible customer service, pricey installations, imposing data caps, congested networks, service outages, price hikes for the same level of

service, confusing plans, and complicated billing. There seems to be no real differentiation in the market, either. In the last 10 years, we've gone from flip phones to super computers in the pocket. Except for internet service, we've gone from dialup to maybe-barely broadband. I do not want to be nudged toward using ISP-run services for things like email, web hosting, video streaming, or data storage. I want the FCC to help protect the consumer from the corporations that have near-monopoly status over connecting to the internet. The internet has become an important tool to our work and lives. I do not want the internet to become like cable television service: a thousand channels available but nothing to watch. I want the reassurance that my ISP will stay the gateway to the wider internet, and not the gatekeeper who says what I can and not view. I don't want to be forced to use one company who sees me as another something they can extract another dollar from. With the lack of competition in ISP choice, I'm limited in choices I can take to express my frustration with my current provider. It feels more like an adversarial arrangement rather than a pleasant business exchange. Today they take my money, but, without net neutrality, tomorrow they might take even more money and offer me an even worse service. What recourse does an individual have against the behemoth corporations? I rely on agencies like the FCC to help protect consumer choice by limiting what businesses are allowed to do. Destroying net neutrality might enhance profits for a few businesses for a short time, but it will do much more to harm individuals, new businesses, and the free speech and organization of individuals. Thank you, FCC for classifying ISPs as common carriers and placing individuals above corporate profits. I urge you to keep your classification and keep protecting citizens from exploitation by the monopolistic ISPs.

2043. Danielle Kaessner, Thornton, CO, 80260

Net neutrality is essential to our infrastructure as a country. People need to be able to use the Internet, which is not owned by any company or government, without anyone deciding what content they can access. It isn't freedom to allow a company like Comcast to throttle internet speeds when YouTube or Netflix is streaming. They are being paid for access. They do not have the right to decide what content is preferred. When the country caters to conglomerates instead of the people, the people no longer have freedom. If companies get to decide what freedoms citizens get, then freedom is truly lost. Net neutrality is the only answer. Anything less is an affront to freedom itself.

2044. David Fasman, Westminster, CO, 80031

I urge you to keep the internet free. Net neutrality is one of the most important issues facing us today. It allows for for non-censored free speech in a way that no other medium can allow for. Please consider the implications that come with the regulation of free communication.

2045. Baird J. Ramsey, Westminster, CO, 80031

Dear FCC, The FCC should safeguard Internet freedom by keeping the bright-line net neutrality protections in place and upholding Title II, or provide another framework that will provide the same protections. This proposed rule does not appear to address these key issues. Having read the notice of proposed rule-making, I would argue several points. First, while the internet was additionally an additional service that was provided over the phone network. Broadband, which is now provided primarily through cable or DSL connections, has become another network parallel to the phone network, at times even carrying it. As a consumer in the Denver metro area, I am only aware of two options for broadband service; Comcast and Centurylink. I have chosen Comcast, since it allows me higher speeds. I do not use any additional services from Comcast, and use competing services (Netflix and Amazon) to access my TV content. I use Google for email, and have no Comcast specific software on my computers. Therefore, as far as I am concerned, I am simply purchasing a pipe from Comcast, which gives me access to the wider internet. I must note that, as someone who has worked worked in the telecom industry, I find the language used to describe the "differences" between our current internet connections and the phone network to be disingenuous. Especially with the advent of mobile phones, there is little difference between DNS and the infrastructure used to provide local number portability or for someone to call my mobile phone. Also, the network at the core of the internet is much like the PSTN, with interconnection points, switches and routing databases, and the discussion of DNS and IP conversions seems analogous to the conversions required to switch from different types of circuits and signaling systems. (for example from SS7 to ISDN) My primary concerns with removing these rules would be increased service costs for content carried on a competitor's network - most ISPs would prefer not to have to invest in their networks to carry Youtube, Netflix, and other high traffic services, including video conferencing and voice calling, even when those providers have offered and installed content caches to reduce the bandwidth required to provide them. They would prefer to offer their content as part of a bundle and restrict or charge more for competing services. This is especially true as internet providers have bought or been acquired by media companies. Internet connections need to remain common infrastructure which can carry all data for all the paying customers (both individuals and businesses who provide content and services) just as both marketers and individuals can place and receive phone calls. As a consumer, I should have the ability to access content at the best available bandwidth between my computer and the server I am talking too, not based on a restriction imposed by a carrier because I am not using their preferred site. I should also be able to access any content, not just a walled garden created and curated by my provider. I believe that the FCC has the right and responsibility to regulate the internet, just as it has the right and responsibility to regulate the phone network. Without regulation, the internet will lose the capability to spark innovation and growth that it has had for many years. While the internet thrived before being regulated under Title II, I do not believe it will continue to do so without these regulations. Times change, and regulation is required when providers start throttling bandwidth, blocking services, and otherwise limiting the ability of consumers to connect with other services, or to create their own. At the heart of the internet is the idea that data can freely move between any two servers, following the rules of the network. (Which is the same as placing a call on the phone network, by the way - I don't select which circuits are connected or how my call is routed - I simply provide a destination, and expect the call to go through.) The burden of regulation may burden the network's carriers, but it allows for all the services and capabilities that most users

find valuable on the internet. The providers do not provide the content, produce the services, or otherwise add valuable content. Instead, they provide a network that carries my traffic for these services. Please make sure that I can continue to use any and all services on the internet, without having to pay additional fees and tariffs for that traffic. Please do not stifle the ability of the average person to share a video on Youtube, access their preferred mail provider, or have a video call with their family, just so that the carriers can make more money for inferior version of these products and services. An open internet benefits everyone. Instead, let the carriers provide their own products and services if they wish, and let them compete fairly on a common network for everyone's benefit. Baird Ramsey

2046. Fuad Mefleh, Thornton, CO, 80602
Save the internet!!! Being anti NN is saying you want America to be anything other than the best in the world.
2047. Ryan, Golden, CO, 80401
I'm sending this as a statement of freedom that if you want to continue to do good business with the people of this country you will stop lobbying towards options to censor or further syphen your power on our exploration through open communication. If you do decide to tighten your hold on service I will be forced to start a movement and create a free web service for everyone making your money making scheme obsolete. Yes free so everyone can communicate together at no service cost. You will make no more money :)Ryan
2048. Eric Wilde, Golden, CO, 80401
Net neutrality is crucial to the protection of consumers and end users of the internet.
2049. JJ Seaward, Westminster, CO, 80031
As an American, our freedoms are being restricted every single passing day. If the government was to limit our website usage, so that they could gain even more control... then we will be no better than our enemies in North Korea. This is the home of the free, and the land of the brave. Becoming controlling dictators over what people view on the Internet will only make the United States worse, not better it.
2050. Karli Stephens, Westminster, CO, 80003
Companies shouldn't be able to restrict or slow access to sites with no concern for their customers and the public. This corporate restriction of ideas and content can be used to quash dissent (ie, a ISP restricting access to the site of its workers' union). The internet is important for its potential to exchange information and ideas and that should not be compromised for corporate greed and the desire to extinguish the ideas of our communities online. I also hope that when taking these comments into consideration the substantial amount of bots and fake comments against net neutrality will be noted and expunged, as they are illegitimate, mass produced and represent corporate meddling for corporate concerns, not the best interests of the public.
2051. Lindsay Chapin, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
As the internet and web applications become more important in our lives we are already seeing the negative effects of being unconnected, especially for businesses and the upcoming generations. Students with poor access to the internet are at a disadvantage, as are businesses. Much like public utilities such as electricity and water, the internet must be treated as a public utility, not merely a product. Pilot programs for the internet as utility have shown that companies willing to participate still continue to invest and innovate. Show ISPs that they will need to participate, rather than sewing the true innovation killer, uncertainty.
2052. Michael Harlost, Lakewood, CO, 80214
This is the first comment I have EVER sent to the FCC. Net Neutrality is so so important. Please keep the internet free and thriving. I believe our country got it right. Freedom, Liberty, Justice. Let's keep the internet the same.
2053. Vasyl Konarskyy, Thornton, CO, 80229
Lets not try and pull this again. Thanks. Keep the internet the internet, don't turn it into cable. Net neutrality should stay for good.
2054. Christopher Henry, Golden, CO, 80403
Keep Net Neutrality! Freedom and healthy competition are the backbone of who we are and drives progress in the best interest of all citizens.
2055. Naahsi Kumar, Denver, CO, 80221
Abolishing net neutrality would be the MOST anti-competitive, small business harming, and short-sighted decision of this century. It could very likely go down as the day America fell behind the world for a sake of the interests of a few telecom companies. Don't tarnish yours and your kids legacies forever by making that happen.
2056. Kerry McQuaid, Golden, CO, 80401
Chairman Pai cannot honestly believe that revoking the internet's stance as a Title II utility is in the best interest of the American people. Please, protect our right to access information freely by legally requiring ISPs to treat all data equally.
2057. Sean Claybourn, lakewood, CO, 80227
I love the internet the way it is. this is just preposterous why you guys are doing this. This would impact millions of people

in a negative way and no one would appreciate that. No one likes you guys and you're making more people dislike you by doing this. Let the Internet be and keep censoring Big TV corps. Thanks and please don't do anything rash to our lovely internet.

2058. Joaquin Mazza, Northglenn, CO, 80234

I am a personal trainer and a video game broadcaster. Both of which require a great deal of bandwidth and the ability to research anything on the internet. Internet neutrality is a must for me to run my business and provide for my family.

2059. Lauren Bragassa, Denver, CO, 80221

Open internet provides opportunities for education. In a time where educational access is not equivalent throughout the USA, it is important to maintain the interests of all US residents in this decision, not just the profit concerns of companies.

2060. Eric Holland, Lakewood, CO, 80232

Please keep net neutrality laws intact

2061. Jonathan Norgren, Golden, CO, 80401

Net Neutrality is necessary for internet commerce to flourish and companies to earn income. Introducing arbitrary regulations on what we can browse and internet providers will throttle and force citizens to pay to view sites and content in addition to any other charges. I want to browse the internet without arbitrary restriction by my local internet provider, and keep every site on an equal playing field of access.

2062. Jake Douglas, Golden, CO, 80401

I can't believe that I even have to say that keeping the Internet free and open is absolutely vital to the continuation of our democracy. The Internet is an open medium for free speech around the world, the most basic of human rights besides living itself is certainly the right to be heard, to be recognized and acknowledged. Giving ISPs full control of our experience is no different than only having one channel, one filter to see through, one agenda. Our freedom of information and what is left of our privacy is what is at stake. Keep the Internet free!

2063. Johnna Loreen, Arvada, CO, 80002

I support net neutrality and the open internet and strongly oppose the proposition before the FCC to loosen net neutrality and expand the power of ISPs. I use services such as Skype and Facebook to keep in touch with friends and family both in the U.S. and overseas. My sister makes crafts that she sells on Etsy. It concerns me that the quality of these services/sites could falter for no other reason than ISPs making a profit or showcasing their preferred services. It puts a damper on American entrepreneurship and threatens our abilities to communicate with friends and family. When we purchase internet access from providers we expect that they will do simply that-- provide access. We do not pay them [jacked up prices] so that they can control or influence how we use that service. To give ISPs this unethical power would be to take a step back as a country and surrender one of our greatest resources to corporate greed and influence. Furthermore, this is the U.S., not the USSR; we shouldn't be allowing anyone to affect our use of the internet, a purchased service, based on power and bias. We expect the FCC to *defend* our net neutrality and act as a check on unfettered corporate power. Not hand it over to them. Lastly, the idea that we could simply switch ISPs is non-sense. I have moved across the country and lived in 4 cities in the last 5 years. In every single one of them I had 2 options: Comcast or Century Link. And they were both pretty awful. Please don't let them make their limited and customer-unfriendly services even worse by granting them this unprecedented power that they don't deserve. We need net neutrality. Thank you.

2064. Joshua Frantz, denver, CO, 80227

It is a blatant and open jab to the free and open market to allow the massive giants to control the entire net with their ability to lobby beyond any reasonable means by average groups, organizations, or entity's. I implore you to take every action necessary to protect the open and free internet, this is the most important thing to me.

2065. kurra snidow, Thornton, CO, 80260

You won't be able to ignore us all, listen to us or you'll most likely have problems in the future kurra snidow

2066. Luis Ortiz, Thornton, CO, 80229

I like the way the internet is at its current state, thank you very much. Net Neutrality is another freedom that is in danger due to certain "special interest" individuals that want to control the common people's way of life offline and online. And I'm writing to be among others like myself that want this freedom to continue onward which is a great tool to voice our concerns on injustices that are trying to sweep us off our collective feet, which that's not going to happen. We will definitely fight for our rights and freedoms that are in danger. I didn't serve this country as a former US Army infantryman for 4 years active (am also an OIF2 wounded war vet) on the ideals of disillusioned suits with loads of money equipped with little to no common sense, to tell me what to read or what to do, or whom I should associate with both in life as well as the internet. I fought for this country so that we can all live equally on the ideals of something I raised my right hand for when I swore an oath called, "The Constitutions of the United States". Net Neutrality will continue on as long as I live and breathe and will continue to fight for it.

2067. Gayla Buitron, Westminster, CO, 80021

Please protect net neutrality to support internet businesses and e-commerce, and to ensure students and educational

Save the internet! Free internet for all! The internet is not a privilege or something we can live without - it is a necessary tool for education, for the economy, for health, for world news!

2083. Kevin Nguyen, Arvada, CO, 80005
Hi, I am Kevin Nguyen, a constituent of Jefferson County in Colorado. As a college student, I rely on the internet for almost everything I do. From research, to facebook, to netflix, the internet is the means by which I find and consume information. To hear that the Restoring Internet Freedom act removes title 2 protections for internet serve deeply concerns me, and I strongly advocate against this. I stand with consumers and encourage the FCC to reevaluate their policy, while promoting net neutrality.
2084. Jessica Winston, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Look... we got enough morons controlling the government and saying FUCK ALL to the rest of the world, including their own country! You know who I'm talking about. Stop trying to control us already! ITS 2017, JUST. STOP. SAVE THE OPEN INTERNET!!
2085. Stephen Scott, Westminster, CO, 80234
A system like the web would lose its ethical backbone if it could be influenced by large corporations whose agendas are primarily focused on profit. The web is not a product, it's a form of human communication; Net neutrality is a must.
2086. Mat Bone, Thornton, CO, 80229
Net Neutrality is important. Everyone deserve's unrestricted access to the internet!
2087. Net Neutrality, Westminster, CO, 80021
We must maintain Net Neutrality! Anyone claiming otherwise is either ignorant or corrupt. Don't destroy the Internet as we know it.
2088. Sara Sankovich, Thornton, CO, 80241
I would like The FCC to support any measures that guarantee net neutrality and refrain from allowing any that infringe upon our rights to equal internet access.
2089. net, Arvada, CO, 80004
Keep Net Neutrality you scum bags.
2090. Luka Antokhin, enver, CO, 80212
I use the internet all the time and do not want to be limited on what I can see or do.
2091. Julian C Mitchell, Northglenn, CO, 80233
I strongly support Net neutrality as it is CURRENTLY ENFORCED. I support it under its' Title II protection. Thank you for your time.
2092. Michael Reid, Lakewood, CO, 80215
A free and unregulated internet is paramount to the sharing of ideas. Do not allow ISPs to put a price on a space which fosters sharing new ideas, entertainment, and learning.
2093. Santiago Arellano, Northglenn, CO, 80234
FCC, please. I do college online, my life is online. I stream on Twitch, I support other streamers on Twitch and Youtube. We are a family on here, and this could destroy such a large family. The gaming community needs the open internet. Please please please don't let this pass.
2094. Zach Thomas, Westminster, CO, 80234
This freedom act is about limiting freedom, not restoring it. True freedom is an internet not regulated by corporations.
2095. Jake Murray, Golden, CO, 80401
Leave the internet neutral, you friggin turds.
2096. Tyler Parsley, Golden, CO, 80403
Net neutrality needs to be left as is. If not.. progress should be made forward.. and not backwards.
2097. Paul Behan, Thornton, CO, 80229
An open internet is one of the major reasons why it has become such a integral part of our society. Giving ISPs the power to police, prioritize and block certain websites is dangerous. Please defend an open internet.
2098. Paul, Arvada, CO, 80002
Please keep the net neutral; don't let particular content be prioritized/throttled.
2099. Kieran Luscombe, Thornton, CO, 80241
In support of indie game developers

2100. Richard Guy Mafera III, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Compromising net neutrality will be an issue that will only benefit ISPs and hurt consumers. Leave ISPs under Title II to protect consumers and free speech.
2101. Salvador Rivera Jr, Thornton, CO, 80241
Free of pay prioritization is critical for allowing new technologies to be develop and drives competition. There is only one internet and all rules must apply to my home, mobile connections, and work connections.
2102. Logan Wishart, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Net neutrality has no effect on innovation at all in ISP business. However taking away net neutrality is a great way to shut out small businesses and kill the American dream in for thousands of people who make a living off of self made websites. So if you do follow through with this you're gonna be shooting yourself in the foot.
2103. James B Gray, denver, CO, 80212
Keep Net Neutrality! We do not want ISP's running anymore of the internet!
2104. Cecilia Hansen, Golden, CO, 80401
I do not think net neutrality should be repealed. I believe it is the role of our government to protect consumers from big corporations. Many people have only one choice when it comes to selecting an internet provider, and many others may only have two choices. These companies know this and have no problem putting customers in a tough spot. If these big companies decide to block or slow my connection to certain sites, charge for access to certain sites, or restrict my access in some other way, then most consumers will be forced to either pay up, deal with the unfair changes, or simply lose internet all together. With so many essential things being done online, consumers need to have fair and affordable internet access options, and it should be our government's job to enforce that.
2105. Adam Knighton, Arvada, CO, 80003
I am a student at the Warren Tech Career school for college credit in my Computer Science dream career. How are people like me who want to stand a chance against billion dollar companies without the government keeping them in check? We need, I NEED this ruling to keep ISPs as a title 2 utility and protect the internet from monopolies and keep the internet free.I support Net Neutrality and you should too.
2106. Christine Bedon, Lakewood, CO, 80227
Please protect the internet and the way that it is. We do not want large companies blocking or slowing down our service for their gain. Internet should be accessible to all, including new businesses. Do not get in the way of innovation. Keep internet neutrality!
2107. Drake J. Novucs, Brighton, CO, 80602
Net Neutrality is important for the future of the free market in the digital age. I am a security researcher and network developer. I will not stand to let my Internet freedom be censored by the elitist regime.
2108. Angie Brand, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Please protect the interests of the majority of people rather than a few corporations and keep the Internet neutral.
2109. Kyle Wojkiewicz, Northglenn, CO, 80233
The internet is a medium of free speech, it cannot be restricted by the government.
2110. Rob Buckingham, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Ending net neutrality would be one of the largest public disservices of American history. This would ruin the greatest resource in the world and allow corporations to use it for their own profit (of which they already make billions), while simultaneously allowing these few to shape the internet to their wishes. This would limit the information accessible to the public and divide or even eliminate information being available to everyone in the country. It would be one of the greatest failures of the technological era and hinder progress across industry. Please consider the American people and how this would destroy their ability to access the greatest trove of knowledge ever amassed in human history over the already considerable profits of the ISPs.
2111. Lukas Mayer, Westminster, CO, 80021
Save the small networks
2112. Dakota Horendeck, Arvada, CO, 80005
Keep net neutrality! We want the WHOLE internet.
2113. Gabriel Draper, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Keep the net neutral!!! Pretty please! The internet is vital.
2114. Alexander Broom, golden, CO, 80403
The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Pai's proposal to hand the government-subsidized telecom giants like Verizon, Comcast, and AT&T free rein to engage in data discrimination, stripping consumers of the meaningful privacy and access

safeguards we worked for and so recently won. I'm afraid of a "pay-to-play" Internet where ISPs can charge more for certain websites. This will hinder small start-ups and move business out of the US.

2115. Sean Skinner, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Net neutrality need to stay active in order to protect the every day citizens like myself and everyone i know from the greed of almost monopolistic companies that care for only their money and not the customers that pay for their services. Dont kill Net Neutrality, Please!
2116. Lauren Wallace, Lakewood, CO, 80214
For profit businesses have one goal - profit. I support for profit businesses doing everything (legally) in their power to make a profit. HOWEVER, it is the governments job to act as a regulating body, setting rules and structure with the well-being of the people in mind. No for profit business should have the freedom to self-regulate. Net neutrality is critical, especially now. It is already difficult to determine what's fact and what's fiction; I don't want anyone influence my ability to thoroughly research an issue and make my own, informed decision. I recently completed my PhD. Grad students are on the internet... a lot. Information should be available equally for everyone.
2117. Allison Klipp, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Ending Net Neutrality is WRONG. It is a SHAME that ISP's feel they have a right to limit, restrict, block, or in any way inhibit consumer use of the internet. Without Net Neutrality, internet providers become monopolies. They are not afraid to harm consumers for their own personal gain. This is not about left or right, this is about greed and gluttony. Something must be done to protect the people.
2118. Scott Sjaardema, Lakewood, CO, 80228
The media has already taken too much control of what is seen through sponsored links and advertisements. If the same companies can influence what is available to every user of the internet by simply throwing money at companies who already have too much, freedom of information will be twisted by the same issues that plague every part of society influenced by lobbying. Please stand with net neutrality to give all voices a fighting chance in the face of the overwhelming tide of information filtered by those who already monopolize the information in news and on TV.
2119. Jacob Gould, Arvada, CO, 80002
Don't do this please, thanks.
2120. Cindy Garcia, Denver, CO, 80221
The internet should remain open. It is not right to take away something that has been available for years. It is also taking away resources and limiting free speech and expression.
2121. Zach Bingaman, Arvada, CO, 80005
its common knowledge that comcast, big red (verizon), and the other 2 (maybe) ISP's want to make what they already have, a borderline monopoly, into something much more controlled and profitable. They are willing to do this even now with laws in place, AKA against the law. What would they be willing to do without those things?
2122. Brian Rold, Westminster, CO, 80234
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are incredibly important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. I urge you not to let big internet service providers to slow down or stop different services and sites, or preferring specific sites or data. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has already made it clear that he intends to "take a weedwacker to net neutrality". Please do not let this happen. If some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Brian Rold
2123. Daniel Massaro, Golden, CO, 80401
It is imperative to maintain a free and open internet. Me and my family rely on it for research, travel and entertainment. We stay in touch with longtime friends and family with it. I received my online degree in Business Administration which has significantly increased my quality of life. Also, when I purchase my internet I understand that I am buying free access to do

or go just about anywhere with little to no restrictions. Any changes or repeals could increase the cost if I'm forced to upgrade to a service level with less restrictions. Please consider these and other comments made with me and leave net neutrality as-is.

2124. Jonathan Lindemann-Michael, Northglenn, CO, 80233
As a consumer I want fast, reliable access to the internet in order to take advantage of all the services the internet offers that my ISP does not such as email, cloud hosting, or web hosting. I prefer all internet traffic to be treated equally and am against creating tiered systems which offer higher speeds for more money. This offers (me) the consumer nothing other than sub standard service. Thank you for your time.
2125. Robert Menefee, Denver, CO, 80229
We need to protect Net Neutrality. The internet is a needed utility in the modern world and to allow ISPs to throttle connections would be a horrible mistake.
2126. Connor Umble, Thornton, CO, 80233
Net Neutrality laws are needed and should be kept. Getting rid of regulation would be getting rid of the public's safety net. I enjoy my freedom and would like to keep it. Thank you, Concerned citizen.
2127. Beau Brown, Denver, CO, 80229
Keep net neutrality, don't restrict the internet.
2128. Rowan Thompson, Arvada, CO, 80003
By having the internet only have certain portions available and/or visible unless otherwise purchased, challenges the people's right to freedom of speech and expression. By paying for such expression, while "free" to do so, it is still an inhibitor to those who cannot afford to do so. This is a violent challenge to what the concept of the internet was created for as well, and is a sign that it is purely to benefit businesses (when so few in the world even have access to it as-is). This should not be allowed to proceed and become a hindrance to the people's right of expression.
2129. Shelby Winzent, Golden, CO, 80401
Keeping the internet open and accessible to all users and websites is important to me. The internet is rapidly changing our society and allowing people to access information and share ideas like never before in history. I work as a physician assistant in pediatric hematology, oncology, and bone marrow transplant, and the internet allows our community to share information and make progress to cure disease faster than any time before. Selling off this power to allow corporations to profit will hurt all of us, but especially my patients. I strongly urge to maintain the Obama era restrictions to protect our access to information.
2130. Tylynn Brick, Westminster, CO, 80031
I'm demanding access to the entire internet. I am in support of strong net neutrality laws.
2131. Jessica Clausen, Thornton, CO, 80241
The corporate interests of America do not exceed in importance the interests of America's people. The FCC was established to act in it's capacity for the benefit of the people, not the business interests of corporate conglomerates. Protect Net Neutrality. Jessica Clausen
2132. Patrick Hogan, Denver, CO, 80212
The internet needs to remain under the current net neutrality laws. We both support the current system in place.
2133. Jeremy Rubenking, Denver, CO, 80221
The protections established by the FCC's Open Internet Rules are irrevocably tied to the current function of the of the internet. These rules allow the internet to act as a device for Free Speech, the swift exchange of information and a facilitator for the business of a plethora of companies employing a rapidly increasing number of people. The dismantling of these rules will only enable incumbent ISP monopolies (such as Comcast, Spectrum) to exploit their customers and further stifle competition by creating an environment where these giants will blackmail the companies and people that use the internet to conduct business and communicate. They'll use the sheer lack of limitations to divide the internet into those wealthy enough to pay the bribe and those whose content or services will be trapped in a slow lane or outright blocked. Furthermore the destruction of these regulations will give the companies controlling the infrastructure of the internet to censor any content that passes through. The United States of America has always prided itself on being a place that supports and protects the ability of the people to Speak Freely despite who it criticizes or who agrees, these new rules not only suffocates competition between ISPs but also contradict one of the very founding principles of this nation. Chairman Pai refuses to acknowledge the gross and utterly unnecessary level of control he intends to hand to Comcast and Spectrum, placing them in a position where no new ISP can compete. They already own all the physical infrastructure, which was heavily subsidized by taxpayer money, and Chairman Pai wants to hand them control of what we can say and what services we can access over the internet. For the many businesses that use this infrastructure it is synonymous to demolishing the streets that allow people to access these small businesses and forcing them to pay for the reconstruction. The protection of Net Neutrality is absolutely paramount to maintaining the internet as a useful and actively improving service for every individual and businesses small and large. The reversal of these protections would strangle ISP competition and allow an unacceptable level of control over the content that

passes through this increasingly valuable infrastructure. These rules must be maintained for the good of the nation, and the continuity of Free Speech. I hope this comment and the many others that you might see will convince you that these regulations cannot be thrown away for any price. Thank you, Jeremy Rubenking.

2134. Lena Li, Thornton, CO, 80229
Net neutrality is extremely important to me. No ISP should have the ability to block websites, slow them down, or give advantages based on money. We must Fight For Our Future as we protect our free internet and do not allow corporations to control what internet content we are able to view. We cannot continue to let the government exert such control over the internet.
2135. Nicolas Gelakoska, Thornton, CO, 80233
You ruin enough things dont ruin the internet
2136. net neutrality, thornnton, CO, 80260
net neutrality protects the consumers, start-ups, and most of all, the guaranteed constitutional right of freedom of speech and expression! Corporations should not be allowed to decide that your content is too left or too right and silence you behind a pay wall. Everyone deserves equal footing on the internet!
2137. Lara Cucarola, Lakewood, CO, 80232
Net Neutrality is an important issue and should be preserved. Corporate greed is not an American value and should not be given time, thought, energy, or, most importantly, power in our justice systems. Keep Net Neutrality laws and keep the internet open.
2138. Ben Bader, Lakewood, CO, 80228
To Whom it May Concern,In summary: the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them.The power and authority to decide what content is promoted and which is stifled should not be given duopolies who's goals are profit and are invested in providing competing services to consumers. Doing so would give artificial market preference to them. This reasoning is supported by examples: AT&T blocked FaceTime (<http://www.informationweek.com/consumer/atandt-blocks-facetime-net-neutrality-complaint-filed/d/d-id/1106448>), Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends (https://ag.ny.gov/sites/default/files/summons_and_complaint.pdf), and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules (http://www.verizon.com/about/sites/default/files/07_15_14_Verizon_Verizon_Wireless_Open_Internet_Remand_Comments.pdf Especially last sentence on pg 30). This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee; and Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you for your time,
2139. Daniel, Thornton, CO, 80229
"I urge the commission to preserve strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I use my broadband access for nearly all of my communications -- email, social media (messaging and comments), and often even voice phone calls go over broadband. Broadband gives me access a wide array of services and I'm concerned that changes in net neutrality rules will allow my ISP to slow down access to the sites I depend on. I very much consider broadband to be a telecommunications service and I want it to be regulated as such. I don't want my ISP to be able to pick winners and losers from among the sites that I visit. One specific thing I'd like to comment on: Paragraph 83 of the proposal asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. I'm concerned that ISPs will throttle content on their competitors' sites (or on sites that won't pay up for faster access). After hearing from small business owners in my state (CO) I've become concerned that throttling would have an adverse effect on local businesses big and small -- now, I see this as an economic concern in addition to a moral one. Please preserve Title II status for ISPs and do everything you can to strengthen net neutrality. Thank you for reading my comment."
2140. Anakin McMahan, Westminster, CO, 80021
Better not.
2141. Francisco, Arvada, CO, 80005
Don't be like that.
2142. Anne Bergez, Lakewood, CO, 80227
Net neutrality is vitally important, necessary, and an equalizer that is needed in this age. It is not a right to be repealed. Keep

it in place for the good of all citizens and future generations.

2143. Eric Jungbluth, Golden, CO, 80401
I want an open internet and fair competition online!
2144. Mark Dunn, Westminster, CO, 80031
Keeping the net neutral is crucial to our society. Please do not remove the protections for this amazing resource we have
2145. Angel Bond, Westminster, CO, 80031
Net neutrality is the cornerstone to the free market. If ISPs are able to give faster internet lanes to some content providers, the consumer loses out. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
2146. Manuel Valles, Denver, CO, 80260
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality) are very important to me and the likes of all Americans across the country. Please Protect them.
2147. Abby Hoke, Edgewater, CO, 80214
I am a small business owner & net neutrality is extremely important to me and the livelihood of my business. If ISPs favor larger corporations (such as Amazon) this is detrimental to my business. Furthermore, internet access is a very important tool that is necessary to live & thrive in the technological world we live in today. It is a vital tool for communication; emails, social networks, business - even paying my bills requires me to have a decent high-speed internet connection. Most American's do business, pay bills, budget, conduct bank transactions and more via the internet. Information should be free - the internet should be available to all at the same rate - just as a library. The speed of which we are able to access & send information is vital in our technological age, job applications, news, and learning tools are all heavily used via the internet & should be available to all. I believe when I purchase high speed broadband that IS what I should get, not second-hand scraps! I am not interested in a few larger ISP providers having free reign deciding what I can and cannot see, nor should they be able to subject the public (or other corporations) to price gouging. If we are to improve & have positive growth as a society Net Neutrality is necessary to share ideas have open communication.
2148. Cody Peterson, Westminster, CO, 80021
Transparency is essential to democracy. Don't sell our soul.
2149. Michael Mooney, Golden, CO, 80401
Ever since the internet became available to the public sector, it has become a very ingrained and important part of us as a world wide society and us as a human race. It has allowed for people all around the world to share knowledge and information. It has helped instill creativity and innovation and has given us a drive to do more and do better. It has allowed people to use their talents in way never before seen and has allowed people to make a living in ways that we never could have imagined otherwise. All of this is possible because of net neutrality. If net neutrality were to be repealed, it would stifle that creativity and innovation that it fosters now. Without net neutrality, it will disrupt, if not remove that world wide connection that we have. It will create a division among the world and it will make it harder to work together to make the world a better place for everyone. Repealing net neutrality only helps these ISP's put more money in their own pockets and hurts the people that are trying to use the internet to benefit and make the world a better place. Please reconsider the repeal of net neutrality.
2150. nick Venno, commerce city, CO, 80022
Give back our freedom
2151. Sebastian, Commerce City, CO, 80022
Your may block or hinder websites that me and my family may use
2152. Wren Blane, Golden, CO, 80401
I don't need to say anything. Anyone who is reading this needs to watch this video. Of course, anyone who reads this is probably one of the big corporations that has a tonne of lobbyists, so there is really no damn point. But, watch the video. And if you aren't bought by the big companies already, and you know or are a blogger, a startup web developer, or just a casual internet user, then this is important. <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=xjOxNiHUsZw>
2153. Tory Johnston, Golden, CO, 80401
Please keep net neutrality intact and save the internet! I use the internet on a daily basis for a lot of basic needs. If you reverse your net neutrality decision it has the potential to have a horrible impact on my way of life. Comcast has already issued data caps that has increased my monthly bill by \$50 every month for the exact same service as before. These types of practices will only get worse and more prominent without net neutrality. Please help the consumer.
2154. Jason Aguilera, Thornton, CO, 80241
Protect the integrity of our internet. Do not let ISPs control speed or access of our content.
2155. Wendy McKendrick, Thornton, CO, 80241
I am a mother of two young children. I use the internet for education for them and myself and to keep in touch with family

and friends. I am going to school to be a real estate agent so I can provide a better life for them. I love that I had the choice of researching schools online before I chose one and I love that I had options about which educational programming I chose to subscribe to for my children. Without an open Internet, I would not have those choices and I might have to pay too much for the internet to even be able to use it. I have a sister who lives in Denmark and being able to keep in touch with her through Skype has been invaluable. If I didn't have the internet to keep in touch with her, I don't know what I would do. The internet has only come around in my lifetime and it has changed our world for the better. Please, please don't take that away for a select few corporations to make a buck.

2156. Sean Duffy, Golden, CO, 80401
Please don't ruin the internet it's fine just the way it is!
2157. Ramey Gordon Alexander Harrison, Northglenn, CO, 80233
The premise of net neutrality needs to be kept in place for one singular reason. When this country established the public library system it also established the precedent that information should be free. By eliminating net neutrality, less advantaged users will not have access to information that will be available to those who can afford it. This will create a greater disadvantage to those already in need. This does not, by historical precedent, reflect America's values.
2158. ERINN, GOLDEN, CO, 80401
REPRESSING THE INTERNET IS REPRESSING FREE SPEECH. WE HAVE TO KEEP THE INTERNET OPEN TO KEEP IT FAIR.
2159. Aaron, arvada, CO, 80004
Please. Be sensible.
2160. Rachel Wiggins, denver, CO, 80221
Restricting access to the Internet by any means is a violation of the freedom of speech. Allowing companies to favor different sites is a step towards fascism and undermines our democracy. That is why it is important that the FCC upholds net neutrality laws.
2161. Charles Upton, Westminster, CO, 80234
Preserve Net Neutrality. Let's all play by the same set of rules, please.
2162. Ashly Blanscet, Littleton, CO, 80127
Net neutrality not only helps to make sure that people get the content that they need and want but it also keep the internet so that anyone can access whatever information they need. It helps startup businesses to get their names out there and to thrive and helps people like artists to get their names known and helps their chances of getting jobs and in some cases be able to support themselves with their artworks. It doesn't help just artists though but also allows other people to post their resume and get opportunities for better jobs. It also means that students in all level of schooling can get the information they need for school projects and to better further their education. Net neutrality is essential for everyone. In this day and age everything is online and getting rid of net neutrality would not only leave a lot of people and businesses to suffer because their websites and content are impossible to use but it would also make it so that people who don't have enough money wouldn't be able to get to the content they might need. Net neutrality is essential. Especially with out important the internet is to modern society
2163. Marina, Golden, CO, 80401
ISPs should provide internet service and not decide which websites are accessible or require extra fees to access different websites. The internet helps business owners and content creators reach their customers and audiences, and an open internet is required for these connections to flourish.
2164. Isabella Dominique, Thornton, CO, 80241
Please help in stopping the destruction of our country by at LEAST protecting net neutrality. Thanks.
2165. Erica Stone, Northglenn, CO, 80233
Save net neutrality! It is vital to the health and well being of the economy and this country's citizens. Keep fairness and competition and an equal playing field for all content.
2166. Jonathan Morrow, Arvada, CO, 80004
Congress is expected to protect the rights and freedoms of the American people above all else. Often, working to aide businesses achieves this primary purpose as well. However, if those two concepts ever contradict each other then the freedoms of Americans wins. Always. The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can

pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Jonathan Morrow

2167. Eric Landes, Lakewood, CO, 80227
Net neutrality is important to our free speech, and no company should be in control of this.
2168. Peter Hitch, Golden, CO, 80403
This is america... wtf.
2169. Christopher, Lakewood, CO, 80215
The internet is not meant to be owned by anyone! Corporate greed has no place deciding who benefits from the internet, and at what cost.
2170. Stefanie Jones, Commerce City, CO, 80022
We as the people like to keep the internet as is. Doing it to change something this drastically is violating rights of privacy and content. It is very manipulating and not so called freedom to do as we please. If you are stating for security measure, please let the military or the special defense department handle it. It is what they are there for. Take your greed else where, we don't want it. I like to be able to do things I can do for a Deaf person as myself. I need to look up and check at any given time. What happened to the days like you know when first Windows 95 that came out? Everyone was exploring and enjoying the way of the new technology. Please speak to us, ask us first. Don't take it away. Thank you.
2171. Greg Mogab, Arvada, CO, 80004
As a small business owner that uses the internet for pretty much every aspect of my business, knowing that the backbone and playing field that I rely upon to feed my family is fair is critical. Without strong net neutrality measures in place, ISPs have a fiduciary duty to try and wring every last penny out of their users. And since they operate in virtual monopolies, and since the internet is not a luxury by any measure in this age, giving them the keys to the castle and trusting them to not do what they are bound to their shareholders to do, instead hoping that they will just choose to police themselves and not abuse their powers out of some sort of honor or moral code seems naive and dangerously shortsighted. Please, please, please, protect the small businesses that rely on a fair and level playing field. The ISPs and large corporations already have enough advantages without handing them the means to promote their own services over startups. I beg of you. Sincerely, ~Greg Mogab
Greg Mogab
2172. William Mitchell, golden, CO, 80401
Keep net neutrality. Keep the free internet. Paid prioritization can essentially allow our ISP's to run a monopoly.
2173. William Shearer Jr, Arvada, CO, 80403
Nobody wants this except for a select few - and they will not be happy with the ultimate result, even if they stand to profit in the short term. Killing the free flow of ideas and content kills a part of what makes this country great - there is a reason the internet was invented here. You have failed to kill net neutrality so many times now that it's comical. Just give up already. Choose wisely, William Shearer
William Shearer Jr
2174. kimberly rodriguez, westminster, CO, 80021
Keep net neutrality! It is our human right to have open internet.
2175. Tripp Ceyssen, Arvada, CO, 80002
Please stop Net blocking!
2176. Brent Pivnik, Thornton, CO, 80241
Dear FCC & Congress, Do not take away net neutrality. Net neutrality is representative of free market, a free capitalist society, and American ideals in general. I fear without it, millions will lose access to something that is a fundamental right. Companies will not be given the chance to grow fairly against their giant competitors that came before them and benefited from net neutrality. If you call yourself an American, you must protect Net Neutrality. This is a government by the people, for the people. Not by the corporations, for the corporations.
Brent Pivnik
2177. Anthony Magri, Arvada, CO, 80005
Please support and protect net neutrality in order to maintain a free and open internet.
2178. Kingsley Lintz, Thornton, CO, 80233
A free and open internet is one of the many things that makes our country frankly better than, for example, China. Letting

corporate interests control the flow of information is no better than letting government propaganda do the same. Please protect net neutrality.

2179. Ted Matthew, Westminster, CO, 80031
The web should not be restricted due to the fact that it would limit the access to a vast database of knowledge. The United States didn't become great by limit limiting the people's access to knowledge. Please vote to keep the internet free and neutral.
2180. Brian Bliss, Thornton, CO, 80260
Internet providers have proven time after time that they are unable and unwilling to provide a service that is equally beneficial to them and their users.
2181. Dan Jugert, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Net Neutrality is very import and i do not support getting rid of it
2182. clint, Westminster, CO, 80003
Keep the Internet free and clear of pre-disposition
2183. Johanna Harris, Westminster, CO, 80020
The internet is a free place, no one should have precedence over another just because they can or they have more money etc. etc. That is not fair to the little start ups or anyone else
2184. chris, denver, CO, 80215
keep internet free, dont sell it out
2185. Patricia H. Hueni, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Please retain the Title 2 Classification for oversight of internet service providers....the internet should remain free and open in the United States. This means the FCC must continue to enforce net neutrality rules.
2186. Net Neutrality, Arvada, CO, 80004
Net Neutrality is a big topic when it comes to people who make their life or their living off the internet. Even for big gamers such as myself being able to choose where I want to go and not have the internet provider do so for me, feels like a right since the normal American citizen can't start our own internet service. The provider should not be able to restrict our access to the internet since we can't provide this service for ourselves I feel like it should be a right and not left to a companies discretion. Much as the way power and every other service is given to the People I feel as if internet is a service we should have the right to and not have it throttled, changed, or even pointed in the direction that a company wants it to go in.
2187. Jennifer Durham, Lakewood, CO, 80228
We need net neutrality! We cannot allow big companies to determine what we can see or learn.
2188. Zachary Bailey, lakewood, CO, 80214
These actions are unacceptable.
2189. Robert barber, northglenn, CO, 80234
My ISP options are very limited. Net neutrality regulates my ISP and prevents them from taking actions that I could consider to be unfair or to be censorship. Getting rid of net neutrality would put me at the mercy of my ISP and their political views and commercial interests.....and I would have no other options for fast internet. Just think if the Koch brothers could use their dollars to ensure I only have access to Foxnews. It goes against everything we hold dear.
2190. Dane, Westminster, CO, 80030
In no uncertain terms should the internet be in anyone's hands but the people who use it. Anything from business to seemingly meaningless websites should all be permitted with not restrictions placed on any of them.
2191. Dane, Westminster, CO, 80030
In no uncertain terms should the internet be in anyone's hands but the people who use it. Anything from business to seemingly meaningless websites should all be permitted with no restrictions placed on any of them.
2192. Karlynn Cory, Lakewood, CO, 80228
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are vital to everyone in America, particularly low income Americans and small businesses, and thus are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Please DO NOT let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and

abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. To me, this is against the spirit of the US Constitution. Moreover they'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Karlynn Cory

2193. Patrick Stoll, Westminster, CO, 80031
The internet needs to stay open and tax free.
2194. Michael Hansen, Thornton, CO, 80241
Please save the internet. Don't let the Comcast monopoly continue. Give us a change. A chance at something better.
2195. Daniel McDermott, Northglenn, CO, 80233
Dear FCC, I believe that internet should be treated as a basic need and that Internet service providers should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites. Although many of these companies claim they will not throttle data, they have done it before, and even after getting caught continued to do it until they were forced to. Internet has become an essential part of most Americans' life and in a country based on freedom, we should be able to utilize our internet freely as well, without ISPs deciding what we can browse and how much we can browse. Thank you for your time. Daniel McDermott
2196. John Novosad, Arvada, CO, 80004
Keep net neutrality. I work from home about half the time and need a consistent and reliable connection in order to produce my livelihood. I also have many internet-reliable systems at home that I need remote connections to. Removing net neutrality risks my expected service to either cost more or be reduced. All at the choice of the service provider. The net SHOULD be free. At the very least it should be equal and not catered to the highest bidder.
2197. Finn Cassidy, Thornton, CO, 80241
The idea that you would allow service providers to limit what people do and do not view is ridiculous. Furthermore, that you would make arguments saying this would only help consumers is a real disappointment to myself and a large number of people all over the country. This plan to rollback net neutrality rules should never have been put forward and it should be stopped very soon. Thank you for reading my comments and I hope you do the right thing and choose to not give ISP the kind of power you are talking about over the people of the United States of America.
2198. Alexander Bateman, Westminster, CO, 80021
Keep the net neutral, my dudes.
2199. Brenna Palen, Arvada, CO, 80003
I strongly support Net Neutrality as it is in its present state. Please don't change it for the worse.
2200. Pedro R Zabala, ARVADA, CO, 80003
Please help preserve net neutrality, a rollback on it would be a great mistake. I ask you to please help preserve it in its current state.
2201. Keanu Harris, Arvada, CO, 80003
I want strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II.
2202. Dylan Critchfield, Westminster, CO, 80021
Preserve Net Neutrality And Title II.
2203. Dirk Anderson, Westminster, CO, 80021
As a business professional with 20 years of experience in Internet services and information security I just want to go on record as supporting the criticality of maintaining net neutrality. Not only do I feel allowing ISP control over content as proposed results in a violation of free speech as protected by the first amendment, but that it will likely have a significantly negative impact on business competition - particularly for small and start-up businesses which are helping drive the economic growth of our country.
2204. Alec Kenefick, Northglenn, CO, 80233
The open internet needs to stay open!
2205. Net Neutrality, Arvada, CO, 80005
Net Neutrality gives people the ability to create things, and share them with others all across the globe. If you were to only allow big companies to do things on the internet, you would be taking away millions of people's voices, which technically speaking is a violation of our first amendment rights. If you were to let this happen, you would be violating millions of people's rights and not allowing small start-up companies to start somewhere. Take Discord for example, they are a voice

chat just like TeamSpeak and Skype but, there are some things different. Things that are unique and special to Discord and Discord only. They allow you to have a giant conversation with hundreds of people, and keep it organized by having different text locations. They also have different voice channels to help keep things organized. Do not let anything happen to the start up companies, and the small Youtubers like myself. Please let us keep creating things that let us entertain others, help others, and be there for others when nobody else is. Do not let anything happen to our internet!

2206. Jesse Galbraith, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Net neutrality is important! Do not let Comcast and Verizon dictate which sites I can access. Even though the bill to deregulate, we all know with out those regulations in place these giant corporations will do anything to make a profit, which would very much include limiting access to sites they do not own. The internet should be considered a utility. Considering the vast number of employers who only accept online applications and most companies' preferred method of payment is online, it is hard to live in our society without use of the internet.
2207. Heather Peterson, Henderson, CO, 80640
The internet should be free. There are recipes I need to google and things I need to learn and people I need to stay in contact with!Heather Peterson
2208. James Walker, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
Please do the right thing and let the public decide what information they want access to. People need to be placed before corporations. Thank you for your time.
2209. David Groover, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Maintaining current internet neutrality regulations is critical to securing the future usability of the internet for all people. The internet is a wonderful tool that anyone can use, largely thanks to the fact that all services, media, traffic types, and users are required to be treated equally and fairly. Many users, myself included, have few viable choices when selecting which service provider that they want to purchase internet access from. While I technically have three different companies to choose from, only one allows me to purchase access at a speed that suits my needs. If this company were allowed to restrict or hinder my access to certain content on the internet, I would effectively have no way to remedy the situation. While "voting with my dollar" is technically possible, in the real world I would have no realistic alternative. By maintaining a level playing field, even the smallest startups can blossom into massive businesses that reshape the face of commerce, communication, entertainment, and countless other facets of life. The removal of current regulations governing internet how service providers deliver content would eliminate this level playing field and allow network owners to pick and choose what they want their end users to have ready access to. This sort of anti-competitive practice is an inevitable consequence of deregulation, and would serve only to undermine the very qualities that make the internet such a powerful tool for growing new businesses, expanding established businesses, and empowering end users. Please choose to keep current internet access regulations in place, and enforce them strongly. The FCC has a responsibility to ensure communications fairness in this country. A strong FCC supports the rights of all Americans to communicate and do business freely with each other in the ways they see fit.
2210. Nancy Lloyd, Arvada, CO, 80007
Hi, my name is Nancy Lloyd and I am from Arvada Colorado. I'm writing to express my disapproval that the FCC is trying to kill net neutrality. Preserving an open internet is crucial for fair and equal access to the resources and information available on it. Thank you for your time and attention. Nancy Lloyd
2211. Daniel Mewhinney, Lakewood, CO, 80232
Rolling back internet protections contradicts the whole purpose of the FCC; it would be a disservice to the public. If you mess with the internet, the people will not forget and Republicans will pay for it.
2212. Sarah Airington, Northglenn, CO, 80233
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me, my family and the prosperity of our country's people. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Do not let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online exclusively for their own profit and gain. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank

you!Sarah Airington

2213. Paul G Newman, Thornton, CO, 80233
It would be wrong to put the needs of the elite few above the common many. Please know that without the people, the internet would not be the giant it is. It's only fair that the ones who use it the most should get the say on what happens to it. Paul G Newman
2214. Taye Johnson, Westminster, CO, 80021
how is Net Neutrality still a thing we are discussing? at this point in time its ridiculous that we still have to fight for this. Being against NN will have no good effect on anyone. the people directly voting against it are still going to be impacted negatively how ever they are voting with their wallets and its sad. millions of people are fighting to save the internet and if NN is not a thing anymore im going to be extremely disappointed by the people who help run this country.
2215. Chantel J Rosno, Westminster, CO, 80030
Internet has given the right of communication and information sharing to the people. Never should the right to learn for free be taken away.
2216. Alycia, Arvada, CO, 80004
Net Neutrality is essential to what the internet is at its core, which is the access to free and unguarded information and knowledge. This should be protected with the same fierceness as one would protect the Bill of Rights. This skates too close to the issue of freedom of speech and freedom to the press. We are not China, and this is something China would do to its people. We are better than that. We Must Be Better. We Must Do Better.
2217. Justin Gibney, Golden, CO, 80401
Keep net neutrality! Allowing companies to filter what can be seen on the web will effectively kill freedom of speech which seems like a giant violation of our first ammendment rights.
2218. Hilary Taylor, Lakewood, CO, 80232
Keeping the net neutral is so important for new and developing businesses - don't limit their rights.
2219. Wojtek Kuklinski, Westminster, CO, 80021
I spend a lot of my working time helping to produce content that is delivered to consumers via the internet. I purchase internet access in order to work with my company via shared documents, as well as work with a community to increase the quality of the content we produce. It allows me to stay connected to a livelihood and do research that pushes an industry forward. I only have access to two ISPs in my area; neither of which are palatable against on another- prices are similar for similar services, so I would not "save money" by switching between these two "competing" ISPs. The internet is as important utility to people as any other. The FCC needs to ensure that the wealth of people accessing this utility are not taken advantage of, that they have a right to their privacy. ISPs should not be allowed to price gouge for a utility that services nearly all of Americans.
2220. Sean O'Connor, Westminster, CO, 80021
The FCC must save Net Neutrality. It is vital to the survival of the country and trust of the nation's people. If you let big, power hungry companies like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon win then all hell will break loose. The internet was created as an open, independent system and is, at this point, a human right.
2221. Tim Kohlmeyer, Westminster, CO, 80031
I am writing to express my **strong** opposition to the proposed changes to the existing Title II regulations, commonly known by the term "net neutrality". The current regulatory environment has been instrumental in allowing smaller businesses to compete with larger, more well-funded entities on a "relatively-level" playing field. The proposed changes would obliterate that equality. For a country that prides itself on equality of opportunity, this is an abhorrent stance to take. But it wouldn't just be smaller companies that would experience negative effects from the proposed changes - EVERY American that uses the internet would be affected, as well-heeled mega-ISPs would be able to provide either optimized or degraded connectivity to different resources, based upon whether or not the owners of these resources can "pay the toll" - OR perhaps whether the ISPs approve of the content being provided! In effect, this would position ISPs as arbiters of what views are worthwhile, & what views should be suppressed. For a country that cherishes freedom of speech - even speech that we disagree with! - this is absolutely horrifying, and it **should** be unacceptable. There is a reason why groups like the Ku Klux Klan and the Black Panthers are not summarily outlawed in this country. It's because no matter how contemptible or utterly disgusting we may find their views (& I have equal disgust for both), we **cherish** the free exchange of ideas, & absolutely believe that every idea should stand or fall on its own merits. Yes, that means that each of those groups will find members that subscribe to their toxic, unhelpful & ultimately self-defeating ideologies - but that is a trade-off that we willingly make, because the alternative, a system where ideas are officially "approved" or "frowned upon" is anathema, and indeed utterly in opposition to who we are as Americans. The proposed changes to Title II are not just a bad idea - they would line the pockets of those who are already well-positioned to exploit their positions, while imposing penalties upon smaller organizations & ordinary, individual Americans & their families. These changes should receive the proverbial wooden stake through the heart, & be deeply buried in an unmarked & unlamented grave. Thank you for your time, your attention & your consideration. MOST sincerely, Tim Kohlmeyer

2222. Ryan Trower, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I would stop paying money to an ISP that had any restrictions or added fees
2223. Eric Schwartz, Arvada, CO, 80007
At my house, DSL is not available, wireless (i.e., Verizon, etc.) is unfeasibly expensive, and satellite internet latencies are so high as to be completely unusable. My only option is a single cable internet provider. If they were to suddenly decide that I needed to pay \$5/mo extra to watch Netflix, or \$0.05 per email, I can't simply take my business elsewhere-- there's nowhere to go. Having Title II enforced means that I don't have to worry about unfair billing practices from what amounts to a monopoly in my area, and means that I don't have to worry about my cable company deciding unilaterally to limit my ability to use their service unless I pay exorbitant fees.
2224. Nicholas Wilkins, Golden, CO, 80401
Dropping title 2 classifications will allow the telecom companies to become more of a monopoly than they already are. This will make the internet less free, I might have to pay more to access content that I currently use because they are thrown in a 'slow lane'. Do not remove title 2 classifications normal people want net neutrality; dropping title 2 classification is ONLY favored by the telecom companies. Vote for the people, not for the corporations.
2225. Eamon Smallwood, Arvada, CO, 80004
In my profession as a digital archivist I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Most digital archivists work on projects with very limited budgets and would not be able to compete with large corporations like Comcast in making important historical content available on the web.
2226. Nicholas Wilkins, Golden, CO, 80401
DO NOT DROP TITLE 2 CLASSIFICATIONS. THE INTERNET MUST REMAIN NEUTRAL. DO NOT DROP TITLE 2 CLASSIFICATIONS. THE INTERNET MUST REMAIN NEUTRAL. DO NOT DROP TITLE 2 CLASSIFICATIONS. THE INTERNET MUST REMAIN NEUTRAL. DO NOT DROP TITLE 2 CLASSIFICATIONS. THE INTERNET MUST REMAIN NEUTRAL. DO NOT DROP TITLE 2 CLASSIFICATIONS. THE INTERNET MUST REMAIN NEUTRAL. DO NOT DROP TITLE 2 CLASSIFICATIONS. THE INTERNET MUST REMAIN NEUTRAL. DO NOT DROP TITLE 2 CLASSIFICATIONS. THE INTERNET MUST REMAIN NEUTRAL. DO NOT DROP TITLE 2 CLASSIFICATIONS. THE INTERNET MUST REMAIN NEUTRAL. DO NOT DROP TITLE 2 CLASSIFICATIONS. THE INTERNET MUST REMAIN NEUTRAL. DO NOT DROP TITLE 2 CLASSIFICATIONS. THE INTERNET MUST REMAIN NEUTRAL. DO NOT DROP TITLE 2 CLASSIFICATIONS. THE INTERNET MUST REMAIN NEUTRAL. DO NOT DROP TITLE 2 CLASSIFICATIONS. THE INTERNET MUST REMAIN NEUTRAL. DO NOT DROP TITLE 2 CLASSIFICATIONS. THE INTERNET MUST REMAIN NEUTRAL. YOU WORK FOR PEOPLE NOT COMCAST, VERISON OR OTHER TELECOM COMPANIES.
2227. Derek Chacon, Westminster, CO, 80021
There are millions on other comments already on here that more eloquently capture my stance on this issue, but I am going to be a little more blunt. Net Neutrality the very definition of freedom. Net Neutrality guarantees that I am allowed to visit whatever websites I want, view whatever online content I want, and express and promote myself freely, WITHOUT interference or censorship from my internet provider. So I would say that Net Neutrality is a pretty important issue that affects every single one of us. But if you take that away? If you take away the protections that keep the internet a fair and level playing field for all that utilize it, well, we're going to have a problem. Eliminating Net Neutrality protections does nothing for the common people. NOTHING. But it does help the big companies who provide access to it. By doing away with those protections, internet providers will have the power to charge a premium to websites for faster loading times. They will have the power to choke websites whose content they don't agree with. They will have the power to essentially black out anything that they deem a competition or threat to their business as they see fit. They will have the power to DICTATE my online experience, and that is the very antithesis of freedom. Internet providers should not have the authority to decide who gets exposure and who gets left by the wayside. They may claim that eliminating Net Neutrality protections will "improve quality and business," but it will only improve the size of their already bulbous wallets. We are at a crossroads in history where we must decide what is more valuable - protecting the rights and freedoms of the people, or protecting the profits of the powerful. The internet is not a business. It is a level playing field for everyone to experience, for everyone to explore freely and fairly, and for everyone use for whatever purposes they see fit, without some corporation swooping in and installing toll gates everywhere. I am asking you - we, the American people are asking you - that you do the right thing, and keep the net neutral and free for ALL of us.
2228. Joseph Le, edgewater, CO, 80214
The internet is so important to me as my whole school and I use it to do our school work. Sites such as google drive and docs make assignments easy to turn in and putting that into a "bundle" will strain the already small school district funds.
2229. Sandy Priester, Arvada, CO, 80005
I am the Executive Director of a Colorado charity that supports cancer patients. We use the internet all of the time for email communication, and our website is the primary way that our clients and supporters find us. Slower and more difficult internet service will greatly hamper our ability to provide free services to cancer patients. Our services help cancer patients feel better physically and emotionally. This means more days that they are able to work, and be productive. This is a benefit

to society as well as to the cancer patient. Please do not let large companies buy better service at the expense of smaller businesses and charities. This does not serve our communities.

2230. Tanner, Lakewood, CO, 80226
The internet is ours
2231. Carson Bates, Golden, CO, 80401
I have come to understand the internet as a free and open network to exchange information without biasing to any entity, small or large. Please limit any regulation or control to it by government or ISPs.
2232. Andrea Schmitz, Westminster, CO, 80021
It does me a great displeasure to have to voice concerns regarding this issue once again. Do not allow Title II actions to be repealed, changed, altered, destroyed, or re-defined in any way, shape or form. The goals proposed by the ISP monopolies that would benefit from this bill, are that of a fever dream and would never come to fruition for their consumers. "Tailoring the internet experience" or "being able to provide our customers with the best options and prices" would only apply if the ISPs truly had the best interest of their customers at heart. But for over a decade, they have proven this to not be true, and are only after the ability to throttle, up-charge, and deny services to their customers. Do not revoke the permissions of Title II regarding Net Neutrality. The Internet is classified in this modern age as a basic human right, and giving companies reign over that domain is a fundamental disaster.
2233. Scott Fronapfel, Arvada, CO, 80002
Maintaining Net Neutrality and Open Internet requires keeping Internet Service Providers (ISPs) in check. The current regulations keep ISPs from denying access or reducing bandwidth to both consumers and companies providing online services. As it stands the greatest threat to competition among ISPs is not government regulation, but unique contracts and negotiations which enable ISPs to monopolize certain regions and cities, mitigating growth and improvement. These concerns are far greater than regulations which prevent ISPs from being given power to control a user's access to information available on the internet.
2234. Melodie Patrick, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I think I speak for a lot of people when I say that nobody wants limitations placed on the internet; it's not appealing, it is in nobody's best interest. Just stop it, okay? Just keep everything the way it is. I pay my internet bill as it is, I DO NOT want additional fees and restrictions because the government wants to be cheeky and the internet providers want to be greedy. Knock it off and keep everything how it is. Thank you.
2235. Jake, Arvada, CO, 80005
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (Net Neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. ISPs, due to their nature, are in a privileged position to be able to view ALL browsing activity. This is different than how other companies and advertisers function, as they do not have access to the network infrastructure which directly connects to the customer's location. Under the proposed plans, ISPs will be able to view all browsing data in aggregate, not just certain websites. This would effectively become a legal wiretap. This would be an egregious invasion of privacy in and of itself, but they want to turn around and sell it? This kind of ruling only serves the cable companies and their greed at the expense of our constitutional right to privacy as Americans. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!
2236. Teresa Miller, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I support net neutrality. Teresa Miller
2237. Zach Edie, Lakewood, CO, 80215
The internet needs to be a free, and simple place, without any one person holding control over it.
2238. Gloria, Thornton, CO, 80229
Please protect net neutrality. As an entrepreneur, having equal access to customers on the internet is critical to building a business. I use the internet as a telecommunications service to access a range of services and products on the internet that my ISP does not provide competitively, or at all. Most places I have lived only have one reliable internet provider, so there is no competition to drive improved service and conduct among the providers I've been forced to utilize. Further exempting ISPs from reasonable conduct is going to continue decreasing consumer protection and quality of service. Without net neutrality, we enter a slippery slope where companies have dictatorships-like power, limiting the internet, infringing on free speech, and reducing opportunities for anyone outside their preferred market demographic. Gloria
2239. Andrew McClellan, Arvada, CO, 80004
Let access to the internet be free and open! The FCC is corrupt if it lets past employment (Pai & Verizon) and special interest groups sway its decisions.

2240. brandon m coburn, Denver, CO, 80232
You're seriously gonna restrict the wealth of knowledge? Sounds like a certain catastrophic historical event if you ask me...brandon m coburn
2241. Chris Murdock, Arvada, CO, 80004
I support the classification of Internet Service Providers (ISPs) as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act. The argument that ISPs have been hurt by this classification has been proven false time and again, and it has also been admitted as untrue by some of the largest ISPs in the country. When given the opportunity to regulate themselves large ISPs such as Comcast and Verizon have shown time and time again that they make decisions that are not in the best interest of their customers, from data caps, bandwidth limits to expensive bundling of services. When I purchase broadband access from my ISP (Comcast) I am purchasing access to the Internet. I have no interest in Comcast's email, video or storage services. I get all of those from direct competitors (Gmail, Netflix, Dropbox) and I fear that if Comcast was not under Title II they could decide how and when I would be able to access these services. Finally, removing Title II classification as common carriers for ISPs, simply put, opens the door for the US Internet experience to be censored. I have always been proud to live in a nation where I do not have to be concerned with the idea of censorship in my speech, public communication, or the Internet. Removing Title II classification for ISPs would be an enormous first step to a censored Internet for Americans, and that is wrong.
2242. Catherine Murphy, Arvada, CO, 80004
I want to KEEP net neutrality. Do not destroy the wonderful internet, built by our nation. It is one of the most powerful engines for knowledge and business created in the last century. DO NO DESTROY IT, AND NET NEUTRALITY.
2243. Alex Micheal Lontine-Berland, Broomfield, CO, 80021
Do you guys not understand that this will effect you too? Sure you guys have deep pockets and have connections but who the hell wants to spend money on a free website like Youtube or Facebook? If you people think that giving the ISP's the power to charge people like my family and I more money we already spend more money than we already do to have internet. Regardless, I want Congress and FCC members to take a second and step into the shoes of the little guys and think about how it would effect you if you didn't hold such a high position of power.- Alex Lontine-Berland Alex Micheal Lontine-Berland
2244. Zoe Polando, Westminster, CO, 80021
As the owner of an online business I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Without net neutrality it would be very likely that my business would not only be severely hampered, but may not be able to run all together. Please don't take this from us.
2245. Samuel Wray, Thornton, CO, 80241
I strongly advise the FCC to MAINTAIN Net Neutrality. it must be noted that many rural communities like my hometown in central Colorado have only one internet provider. If we do not approve of that ISP's practices, bundles, or rates shopping around is not an option. When I pay for internet I expect equal access to all addresses on the internet. We live in an age that is defined by the rapid and unshackled sharing of information to all corners of our planet. The practice of hindering the flow of information and segmenting types of service previously in one package is moving backwards.
2246. Rachael Smallwood, Arvada, CO, 80004
Please keep Title II protections of net neutrality and oversight of ISPs. It's important that we keep informations open, available, and accessible to everyone to keep a free society. Thank you.
2247. Steve Gaborik, Thornton, CO, 80241
I support keeping the existing Net Neutrality laws in place to ensure the Internet remains open and available for everyone.
2248. Blake Martinez, Arvada, CO, 80004
A world without net neutrality would be like having a library that made you pay for books. This is a limit on our information that people work hard to give to other people so that we can learn, and build. If we are in a point where information for everyone is limited and hundreds of peoples jobs would be limited or taken is outrageous, please reconsider this action. If it is put through you would also be sending a message to other countries in which limiting information, and in a sense speech is tolerable and fine, the only people that would benefit are the late corporations. I bet the only reason this was even considered is one of you slime balls was paid a lot of money which is not OK. People like you are in office to make decisions for the people, not to screw the country over and leave these big corporations on top which is sickening. Blake Martinez, 15 Blake Martinez
2249. Naomi Kamla, Denver, CO, 80233
Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Thank you, and I look forward to the continued success of a free internet. Naomi Kamla
2250. Zach G, Denver, CO, 80229
The internet needs to be open and neutral for all because the internet is important in life and not everyone has the money to pay for it like some do

2251. Anna Hoang, Lakewood, CO, 80227
Am here to express my comment on Net Neutrality. As a recent graduate of an accredited university, internet access has played a pivotal role in my academic success. Such access has allowed me to connect with my professors in the event I have questions relating to the course, search for resources to aid in my studies, as well as collaboration with peers and colleagues for group projects. Furthermore, such access has enabled me various opportunities to socialize with family, friends and business connections from out-of-state. I often utilize the internet to organize social gatherings with loved ones, shop for necessities (purchase both leisure and essential goods and services), as well as searching for jobs. Above all else, the internet has been a critically important part of my recent life. Having been allowed such freedom of access is imperative. Therefore, I suggest the following to be greatly considered in this movement for Net Neutrality: please protect consumers from fraudulent prices, provide privacy protection and online identity security, as well as free flow of information. Net Neutrality has enabled millions of individuals, global-wide, including myself to live our lives with wonderful opportunities. In thanks to internet access, information is shared in intent to help each other make this a better world. Finally, I thank you for your time to read and consider my comment on Net Neutrality. Best, Anna Hoang
2252. Terrence Turner, Westminster, CO, 80031
I'm writing you to please keep Net Neutrality. It would not be fair to many internet providers and/or users to take away these rules. Thank you.
2253. Conor Smallwood, Arvada, CO, 80020
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's. When we are living and dealing with an era of biased information, net neutrality is absolutely required to view the big picture.
2254. Nick Wilkins, Golden, CO, 80401
DO NOT REMOVE THE TITLE 2 CLASSIFICATION FROM INTERNET. DO NOT DESTROY NET NEUTRALITY.
2255. Stephanie Juarez, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I strongly Support net neutrality! I do not think a company should be allowed to block me from a sight because of their deals with each other. You are here to ensure the laws are fair. I strongly support net neutrality. If we do not have net neutrality we loose our freedom of internet.
2256. Steven Patterson, Westminster, CO, 80234
Net neutrality is a crucial and fundamental freedom that needs to be maintained in the United States. Please don't screw over the citizens of this great country in an effort to enrich the ISP fat cat campaign donors.
2257. Megan Smallwood, Arvada, CO, 80004
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not roll back Net Neutrality rules on equal access; a level playing field is vitally important. A free and open internet is essential.
2258. Adam Morrow, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
The internet must be equal access for all people and businesses. This rule will condemn small businesses and lower income people to unnecessary economic strains.
2259. Benjamin Eickhoff, Lakewood, CO, 80232
I think Net Neutrality needs to be upheld, and we can't rely on the ISP companies to do that themselves. They've already violated its tenants in the past. In this case, the government needs to step in to keep things fair.
2260. JC, Northglenn, CO, 80260
The internet came to be what it is today by being unbiased, unfiltered, and most of all entirely accessible. Putting rules and regulations on this will change the way the we made the internet today. That is vast, able to answer all questions that everyone has, bring company's up from nothing, and revolutionize how we live our live today. Please keep our Internet neutral and free in our homes, offices, mobile phones, on the go, and anywhere we use the internet here in the United States by upholding the Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
2261. Stephanie Rivera, Westminster, CO, 80234
I strongly support net neutrality and the freedom of the internet. This is not Korea.
2262. Elisha Dhakal, Thornton, CO, 80260
Net neutrality(internet freedom) is a crucial part of the US economy and needs to be protected
2263. Stephanie Andrews, Thornton, CO, 80229
Please do not take away our full and complete access to the information contained on the Internet. We all deserve to maintain the ability to conduct research and utilize all available resources to ensure we have the ability to see the whole and complete story, whatever it might be. If you take this away it will be as if you will have taken America back 50 years as the Internet will no longer be relevant and we will no longer be able to to compete on the global stage.
2264. Hailey Barr, Northglenn, CO, 80241
Keep net neutrality. It is one of the few regulations that actually assists the free market by starting small businesses,

individuals, and websites on equal footing. Taking away the internet's Title II status serves only large corporations while harming every consumer. Let the websites compete with each other, not with ISP companies. Net neutrality is essential to our capitalistic economy.

2265. Brad Landgren, Northglenn, CO, 80260
Don't get rid of net neutrality!! Free speech on the internet depends on it!
2266. Nick Christo, Westminster, CO, 80020
Please maintain rulings for Net Neutrality. I am a building contractor and I rely heavily on my email service for downloading drawing files from architects and customers for whom I generate bids for construction work. I also rely on fast internet service for researching specialty building materials and tooling. By not maintaining net neutrality, the ISP's can price gouge by charging more for the faster services, which the small businesses like ours cannot afford to pay the higher rates, thus giving the wealthier competitors an edge over the small businesses. Please maintain Net Neutrality. It works better for Americans in general, not big business.
2267. Jaden M Miller, Thornton, CO, 80233
Hi. My name is Jaden Miller. I just turned 17, and decided to write this letter. I recently heard about Net Neutrality and looking at it now, I can say that it is not a great idea, at all. You see, I am a digital artist. I draw stuff all the time and upload it for others to see. As of now, I am trying to make a form of income from it. I have applied to MANY places so far, but none of them have accepted me. I do have a weekend job at bandimere speedway, but it's nothing close to a living. I need open internet so that I can post my art frequently, and hope someone sees it, and is willing to pay me to draw something for them. I've been drawing for exactly one year and seven months. It may sound like things are going good, but if you have ever been a digital artist online trying to get commissions (which I doubt) it isn't easy. At all. Only 3 people total have commissioned me. One of them has commissioned me a few times, but when I only make about \$15-\$25 and the time in between the commissions are very spread out, relying on drawing doesn't offer much either but, it's the next closest thing I got. I've also met some very nice people over the internet as well via my art page! I talk to some of them quite often, and a lot of them are like me! Some of us don't have the best home life so we go too the internet and, everything seems to have hope. Most of us however, also aren't very rich. Our families can't afford the extra cost cable would put for "premium internet." I don't know what it's like everywhere else, but in Colorado suburbs, the internet isn't too good in the first place, and Comcast wants us to pay more or downgrade what's already not as promised? The internet is my escape. It's my place to go and vent when I'm upset, or to relax and have someone to talk to, or if I'm lucky, make a few extra bucks. I need the internet open to me. It's obvious that this is also a civil rights issue, but I don't know that much about law and I don't want to claim that I do, so I took a more pathological approach. You already know the law, so I hope you can see what this means to people like me. Sincerely- JadenJaden M Miller
2268. Joseph Rouleau, Golden, CO, 80401
As an online content creator, I need net neutrality to put me on an even playing field with everyone else and allow the quality of my content to be what decides my success or failure, not whether or not I'm sucking up to the cable companies to give my content priority over others.
2269. Lori Leyba, Arvada, CO, 80004
Please leave net neutrality alone. The Internet belongs to all of us equally. Stop making it about us and them. It should just be us.
2270. Nathan Zwetzig, Westminster, CO, 80031
As a small business owner who helps other small businesses, I rely on the neutrality of the internet completely. It's imperative we are allowed fair and equal access to our customers and digital resources by way of unrestricted internet access. In the interests of freedom, innovation and the entrepreneurial endeavors which make our nation great, Please preserve net neutrality.
2271. Robert C. Meier, Lakewood, CO, 80228
i AM OF THE OLDER GENERATION AND IT SEEMS CHANGES AFFECT OLDER CITIZENS MUCH MORE. SO DO NOT CHANGE ANYTHING EVERYTHING IS OK. tHANK YOU
2272. Denise Fortman, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Free speech is guaranteed in our Constitution. It must remain FREE.No control by big companies allowed.
2273. Candice Neilson, Westminster, CO, 80021
Please leave the internet open to one and all without limitations, if you care about the people and the voters.
2274. Mike E, Arvada, CO, 80003
The Internet should be the Flagship of Freedom, not another avenue for corporate fascism to solidify control over what information is accessible. We already have enough pseudo-news outlets such as CNN. We don't need to carbon-copy that phenomenon over to the internet. Gutting net neutrality is the same as allowing vast, unchecked censorship over the entire internet. It should be considered a violation of Freedom of Speech, and Freedom of Press.

2275. Charles Bishop, Broomfield, CO, 80023
net neutrality now and forever
2276. Arie Pasco, Henderson, CO, 80640
As someone beginning a career in web development, I want to be sure my sites have a fair chance to perform like any other.
2277. John Newman, Arvada, CO, 80003
Please keep Net Neutrality. Small businesses and innovators need this protection. Our nation's greatest assets are our smaller companies and destroying net neutrality will significantly impair their ability to compete.
2278. Trent, Thornton, CO, 80241
Access to specific information is the basis for the internet's existence. Don't let corporate America sabotage it, they make enough as is.
2279. Markus Opitz-Stapleton, Westminster, CO, 80031
Net neutrality is a constitutional right and needs to be protected!
2280. T Hauschildt, Arvada, CO, 80004
Choice is what the Internet is about - I want to choose for myself what to search for, what to view, what to respond to, how I get there. I won't make your choices - don't make mine for me.
2281. Kyle Santi, Westminster, CO, 80020
In order to facilitate free commerce and free speech, Internet service providers cannot be allowed to restrict traffic in any way.
2282. Glen Lesnick, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Big Cable Companies are NOT the friends of the average American Consumer. They ARE friends of the DOLLAR and will work to Maximize their profits at the expense of all Americans, Even those at the FTC! Do not gut our precious Net Neutrality Status Quo! Any attempts to do so stem from sheer and utter greed - on both Bureaucrats and the Big Cable Companies! Freedom of the Internet generates Innovation and will allow us to compete on the world stage. Cable companies will set us back on the world-wide market. WWW = World-Wide Web - not AT&T or Verizon Web...
2283. Steven P Osborn, Brighton, CO, 80602
Large corporations are slowly taking all the freedoms away that this country is based on. Losing net neutrality is one more step in this control. The ordinary people in this country are getting more and more frustrated and this frustration will come to a breaking point. The internet as it is, is an important outlet to keep this frustration at bay and it is a wonderful vehicle for ordinary people to have a voice and feel like their real wants and needs can be met. I feel like the net neutrality of the internet is like a pressure relief valve on the overworked boiler pressure tank of frustration ordinary people in this country. If it is compromised, something is going to blow.
2284. Alycia, Arvada, CO, 80004
Net Neutrality is ultimately the freedom of speech and freedom to the press, but located inside the internet. An infringement of that should be treated as a danger to the Bill of Rights and its first amendment. Net Neutrality is what makes the internet what it is today, and that should be protected, fiercely.
2285. Gunnar Walker Recht, Denver, CO, 80221
One person one voice or one dollar one voice? We have already moved a long way from honoring the sanctity of the person to revering the all-mighty dollar. Do you really want to continue down this path? You know Midas starved because he could not eat gold.
2286. Craig Curtin, Arvada, CO, 80004
One of the greatest gifts of today is the ability for anyone, regardless of status quo, ethnicity, or belief structure is to have the possibility of their voice held worldwide, how could that voice speak a truth if the pathways to that ability was governed by a few, and for the pursuit of materialistic profit in a world full of wealth.
2287. Rick Granquist, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Net neutrality must be protected if America's basic tenets of liberty and equality are to endure. It's essential to our country's success as the 21st century continues to unfold.
2288. Gnosis, Henderson, CO, 80640
We do not need big brother controlling what we do on the world wide web, you don't own this, the world wide web is a conglomerate of individual free thinking minds and information, for you to regulate my search for wisdom and knowledge is the same as taking away my first amendment right to voice my opinions and share my views with others, to the FCC you might as well call yourself "North Korea", as you try to control the populace through the media and propaganda by way of censorship, the world wide web connects the world and humanity, besides there is another front called the deep web so give it up!

2289. JOHN WALTON, WESTMINSTER, CO, 80033
Net Neutrality allows everyone to communicate freely. Information should be freely accessible and shared. Free speech must be protected, even on the internet.
2290. thaddeus, lakewood, CO, 80215
As we have already seen that big companies will push their own agenda on consumers without strong oversight. Enforceable net neutrality is essential to maintain the innovation obtained from an open internet.
2291. Alex, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Net neutrality is as important to the internet as any other regulated utility, and should be treated as such.
2292. Greg Hull, Lakewood, CO, 80228
We the People lose big time if net neutrality is taken away. I've heard comments that businesses lose out if net neutrality continues. Whose side are you on anyway? Appearances mean a lot, if you give up net neutrality it will look as though you were paid to do so. You might gain something in the short term but you will have to look at yourself in the mirror and feel guilty that you had no integrity. Material possessions and money cannot buy integrity. You do the right thing and you will never be sorry about your decision. And you would be a hero to everyone else except big business
2293. Dawn Schwaderer, Lakewood, CO, 80227
In the technology age, the internet is like TV was in the 50s. We need net neutrality to allow a place in this age for free (not commercial, not sponsored) speech for all, not just those with the cash.
2294. Tyler, Arvada, CO, 80005
Free speech and the good of the common man over corporations please.
2295. Brit Hoagland, Westminster, CO, 80021
Power belongs to the proletariat, not the bourgeoisie. Net neutrality must stay.
2296. David Biryukov, Arvada, CO, 80003
My ISP has no business in having the power to selectively throttle what I do on the internet. It is an abhorrent violation of my rights, and should be treated as such.
2297. Carl Sonka, Westminster, CO, 80021
Leave the internet ALONE!! Why must Republicans RUIN EVERYTHING!!! Is it because Big Business OWNS you? Try doing the RIGHT thing for a change of pace. Net NEUTRALITY NOW and FOREVER!!!!!!!
2298. Laura Nelson, Arvada, CO, 80005
We are losing so many of our freedoms these days. Freedom of speech on the Internet is essential to maintaining our free society.
2299. Matt Twigg, Lakewood, CO, 80226
One of the few economic arenas that the U.S. still dominates is Internet technology. This is directly due to net neutrality. How many of my IT colleagues will have to start new companies outside the U.S. if net neutrality is gutted? Most of the people I speak with see the gutting of net neutrality as a corrupt and undisguised money grab by those proposing the change. We will be watching the outcome and deciding which countries are best for our innovations. Net neutrality as it is = good business.
2300. Jim Nelson, Thornton, CO, 80241
Net neutrality is the same as free speech guaranteed by the Constitution. Help keep the government out of the peoples rights. Big business does not promote free enterprise by monopolizing what the people can and cannot do. Revolution is not as far fetched as policy makers think it is.
2301. Laura Roberts, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
To the Federal Communications Commission, I urge you to support strong net neutrality and oppose the political and corporate interests attempting to undermine the choice of consumers. The principle of net neutrality ensures that all traffic on the Internet should be treated equally or "neutrally" by Internet Service Providers (ISPs) without blocking, throttling or discriminating against a competitor's content or services. When companies can dictate what is offered to the public as information, it not only affects the concept of freedom of speech, it narrows the scope of consumer choice. The world, including our own country, benefits from global and open Internet based on transparency, access, and choice. Allowing corporations to dictate how and what information is accessible literally challenges the principles of our own Constitutional proclamations. Only countries under authoritarian control are denied access to an open internet. If we allow corporations this type of control, we are giving said companies almost the same power as an authoritarian government. Thank you. Laura Roberts
2302. C Glasmann, Golden, CO, 80401
Small businesses, start-ups, free speech, all are threatened without net neutrality. Especially, big companies do not need more advantages! Please, please, we care about net neutrality!

2303. Kiera, Arvada, CO, 80002
The American Dream can only be achieved in this day and age through use of the internet. Without a website, there can be no successful innovators. Taking away net neutrality KILLS what our country is supposed to stand for!
2304. Sara Bowersock , Westminster , CO, 80030
As a microbusiness owner who sells primarily online, with no brick and mortar shop, net neutrality is key to my livelihood. I can not afford to "pay to play", as I can barely afford my internet bill as it is. Net neutrality MUST be protected. Millions of microbusinesses risk losing everything if it is not. Please PLEASE, consider this!
2305. Andrea Blank, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I'm in favor of Net Neutrality. Stop jacking around and allow the people of the US use the internet privately.
2306. William Dokai, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I believe that the preservation of Net neutrality is crucial to the continuation of free speech, and consumer choice.
2307. Bobbi Scelfo, Henderson , CO, 80640
Net Neutrality must be protect and NOT governed by the FCC or given to any company such as Comcast, ATT, etc. It is important that the net remains as is to all people to build, create, explore without the interference or direction or bias of any one company. We change our channels during the nightly news to get different perspectives from each of the providers. Every one of them today is not providing the news, but filtering to provide only the information that suites their political or business needs. Similar bias would occur if the net was run by a company. I would be restricted to learn, shop and explore at a pace that would be censored and governed by some company and this is not good. It reminds me of the USSR and how things were sheltered and they were lied to by filtered and modified content. . We don't want that to happen to us. Net Neutrality must be protected.
2308. Ryan Williams, Westminster, CO, 80234
Maintaining Net Neutrality is important so businesses have equal access to the internet, reverting this law could negatively impact businesses and users as well as increase costs to both. Please leave it as it is.
2309. Frederick Sawyer, arvada, CO, 80003
Being an ISP means giving access to the internet. No ISP should be able to dictate what my user experience on there network is like when using other companies paid for products. Gutting net neutrality only sends a clear signal that our Government sides with Corporations and not the people our Government represents. If net neutrality is gutted I suggest the voting public exercises the power of vote for the next few elections and toss out all Democrats and Republicans that casts a vote in opposition of their constituents.
2310. Erik Ahl, Lakewood, CO, 80215
The Net needs to be left alone and not regulated by any big business or government. It should not be throttled or controlled. To do so is an affront on the American way and the peoples choice. Only those profiting from such a thing are in favor of this. Listen to the people or find new jobs.
2311. Daniel Shier, Golden, CO, 80403
Net neutrality has served us well. Let's keep it.
2312. Peter Means , Arvada , CO, 80002
Please don't allow Giant ISP's to disrupt the flow and service of Net Neutrality. It will affect us all and disrupt the free market as well as line the pockets of big ISPs. Who will be the only benefactor. Please keep the net free and competitive. I am also a streamer watcher and don't wish to have my viewing limited for the sake of trying to squeeze more dollars out of me. This is very important. Please protect net neutrality for the benefit of us all.
2313. Tegan Thompson, Westminster, CO, 80234
With out net neutrality small businesses online presence will nearly disappear. In a fast expanding global market this will tank many of these businesses. PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY!
2314. Randy G. Connell, Arvada, CO, 80005
Protect freedom of speech, innovation, choice and diversity by preserving net neutrality.
2315. scott olds, arvada, CO, 80004
i need to know that my small business has a chance to compete with huge corporate company's. we cant survive without a free and open internet.
2316. Chris McLeod, Thornton, CO, 80229
Net neutrality is key to continuing growth of new technologies and in keeping the internet from becoming a mono-culture that is doomed to fail as there is no life, growth or resiliency. Removing net neutrality will only benefit mega corporations who are huge donors to the current ruling class. It is impossible to see the current actions as anything but services rendered for payment. The citizens of the net will not stand for it. Choose wisely.

2317. T. Stephenson, Westminster, CO, 80234
FCC: Protect net neutrality.1. Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech.2. Net neutrality allows consumers "not conglomerates" to choose what they watch & do online.3. Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started.
2318. Elizabeth Martinez, Lakewood, CO, 80228
No taxation without representation. I will cancel Comcast and Verizon service if you do not stop trying to pass this legislation against the people. Let us be free, let the web be free from corporate control and greed.
2319. Jamie , Northglenn, CO, 80233
It would be a mistake and a crime to end net neutrality! It is the only thing keeping big companies from taking total control of the net, which would give them control of pricing and crush small entrepreneurs.
2320. Lester Stevens Jr, Lakewood, CO, 80215
The Government needs to leave the Internet OFF it's agenda and NOT screw it up for everyone. There's an old saying, "If it's not broke don't fix it." And the Internet doesn't need the Government messing it up. There should never be any "Good Guys and Bad Guys Online."
2321. Dylan Withers, Thornton, CO, 80260
Without net neutrality internet companies could make a company have a monopoly on a service.
2322. Michael Sowa, Golden, CO, 80401
This is my vote. That we are even in a situation that one must argue for net neutrality is a sign of the complete corruption of our society by corporate interests and the take over of our media. The concept of liberty is ingrained deeply into the heart this country despite the fact that there are many who would line their pockets by tricking us into thinking we have less freedom than we do. Pandora's box has been opened and technology and information will be the sword to cut through the bullshit of this world. The potential for abusing information control for profit at the cost of societal good is very high and already apparent to those of us who are paying attention.
2323. Kevin Bowes, Westminster, CO, 80031
Dear FCC, Please preserve Net Neutrality to the greatest extent possible. The future of the egalitarian principles of the United States are at stake!
2324. Bobbie, Lakewood, CO, 80227
We are not living in North Korea, we the people are of a free nation. Net Neutrality is a form of free speech that is a right of every American. Leave it to the people
2325. jackrhuml@gmail.com, Golden, CO, 80401
The big payoff, politics on pa-raid !!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Another move to silence the public !!!
2326. Diana Pantle, Commerce City, CO, 80022
I believe the 1st Amendment to the Constitution protects free speech. It seems to me that both the current Administration and Congress are trying to take that away. I am in favor of keeping Internet privacy through net neutrality and hope those reviewing this issue are as well.
2327. Jay Meshko, Lakewood , CO, 80214
The internet connects the citizens of the planet. It offers all the information to equalize the planet in education, and potential in our lives.
2328. Keely Nolan, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Please preserve net neutrality in order to ensure our right to free speech, to keep online access to the world unencumbered, and to make sure we can access all the benefits of the web without limit or issue. Thanks!
2329. Ariel Miller, Golden, CO, 80403
The internet is a public utility. Please keep the net neutral.
2330. Evan Moser, Westminster, CO, 80234
I started my first business on the free and open internet. Starting a business is challenging enough as it is. Please don't allow big companies to be able to influence this already challenging endeavor.
2331. Debbie Teter, Westminster , CO, 80030
Enough is enough with big corporations. Handing this to big telecom would be devastating to the artists and innovators. As it is we have great ground for creativity, with the influence of big telecom - we would just be a pawn to continue lining their pockets.
2332. Jeff Trehwella, Lakewood, CO, 80226
The Internet was created by everyday folks so that they could communicate with each other. Big business needs to stop

being so greedy. Enough is enough.

2333. Bill Skulley, Westminster, CO, 80020
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech, encouraging innovation, and protecting individual rights.
2334. Matthew Isaacks, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Support freedom. Support small business. Please.
2335. Ashlee Waggoner, Lakewood, CO, 80228
If you destroy net neutrality, you are officially siding with big corporations and squashing small business. Small business economy, in this day and age, relies heavily on an internet presence. In essence, the American Dream is hanging in the balance here. Please do the right thing and support your citizens, NOT the cable company monopoly.
2336. Amy and Doug Law-Ziegler, Lakewood, CO, 80228
We don't need deep-pocket companies to get special treatment on the Internet. As a matter of fact, championing the little guy is as American as apple pie. Keep the playing field even -- don't let the big guys control the Internet. It's un-American.
2337. Dana Behnfield, wheat ridge, CO, 80033
a level playing field and equality for the little guys as well as the big companies is the only thing that makes sense on a moral level. why throw out this basic level of human decency? to make rich companies richer? shows where your priorities are at
2338. Jaclyn R Dittrich, Thornton, CO, 80233
According to the Constitution of the United States of America, I believe I have the right of free speech. Just as the government can't censor our books, our magazines, our news, it shouldn't be able to censor our use of the internet. Without open internet service, this would result in the same end as censorship.
2339. Karen Lewis, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Vital for future development of the internet, open, user-friendly, civil usage of the internet will open the greatest opportunities for future development of the vast potential which has only been lightly sampled as yet; this public resource must allow the users of this and the upcoming generations to continue to develop, in openness, the yet-untapped and as yet unimaginable structures and new applications and usages latent, to reach the amazing potential which will greatly benefit us all, in new and increasing forms; this stage is only a bud...The option to allow commercial/financial/ government interests to control, or artificially channel these resources will only result in allowing short-sighted, small-group perspectives to throttle all but such interests to the inevitable detriment of all.
2340. Carolyn Fritz, Arvada, CO, 80002
Net neutrality is crucial to today's society. Rural areas are already under-served by broadband companies. Don't make it even harder for people to access news, information and entertainment on the web. Keep the net neutral!
2341. Kyle Boster, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I'm an author and need to have unfettered internet at consistent speeds so that I can research various topics for my work.
2342. James Lane, Denver, CO, 80228
To end net neutrality Would be fundamentally un-American. I already Pay for internet access, and I see no reason for ISPs to then determine what I as a paying customer get to see on the internet. Especially considering there is little to no competition among ISPs in most areas. If I don't like the Comcast internet package I am out of luck as they are the only real provider in my area. I already face data caps and random increases in my monthly bill, I shudder to think what Comcast will do when they can effectively censor the internet.
2343. Ann Huml, wheatridge, CO, 80033
Little by little our freedoms are being whittled away... please let us keep this one...
2344. Larry Schafer, Arvada, CO, 80004
Net neutrality is important for all internet users. I support it whole-heartedly.
2345. Brad Landgren, Northglenn, CO, 80260
Net neutrality is essential for keeping a free and open internet for all. Do not allow ISPs to gain the ability to infringe upon our freedom.
2346. Jacob White, Arvada, CO, 80004
Net neutrality is the basis for free expression on the internet. It is the safeguard for free speech that is necessary for free speech to exist at all. Protect individual liberty, protect net neutrality.
2347. leslie hauschildt, Arvada, CO, 80004
Many, if not most, of the advances in civilization have come NOT from the most powerful or biggest entities of the time - but from an individual or small group trying out something new and different. Net neutrality will keep a window open for new and different ideas.

2348. Matthew Brookover, Westminster, CO, 80021
Net neutrality protects my right to use the net as I see fit. I need unrestricted access to any site of my choosing. If the FCC allows the internet providers to restrict access to the business that they have chosen to partner with, my use of the internet will be severely diminished.
2349. John Kuhn, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Leave Net Neutrality alone! We deserve to have unadulterated access without dictation from greedy corporations!
2350. plup, Broomfield, CO, 80021
Let me have my goddamn internet thanksplup
2351. John, Westminster, CO, 80031
Is strongly support Net Neutrality backed by 2 oversight of ISP's.
2352. Peter Martin, Lakewood, CO, 80232
The Internet must remain a neutral platform, providing the same access to all sites and all users. It is the modern equivalent of the "public square," where all citizens must have an equal opportunity for their voice to be heard. The Title II classification is essential to protecting our First Amendment free speech rights. Money should never determine who gets the loudest megaphone or priority access to the proverbial "soapbox."
2353. Keith Valdez, Denver, CO, 80221
You people need to stop assuming you have the right to do with what I buy from you. Once I buy it, it's no longer belongs to your company, it belongs to me. Send a lawyer to my home to argue that with me. No one will ever see or hear from your lawyer again. Someone needs to cause major harm to all your bottom lines!! Maybe we need to boycott you all. Maybe we to create a movement against you worthless cretinous fools who have no business telling us what to do with what we've purchased from any company, including bandwidth. Keith Valdez
2354. Alexander Guerrero, Lakewood, CO, 80226
The internet is free and should remain that way. Enforcing unfair rules in order to leech money from those trying to provide service is unethical and unamerican. This is an abuse of power, and should not be tolerated. Alexander Guerrero
2355. Timothy Aden, Arvada, CO, 80004
I'm filing this because I believe the internet is a utility, essential as the phone or electricity, today I lost my internet for a bit, router issue, but I realized how essential it is. To classify the internet as a tiered system just doesn't make sense. There should not be different levels of internet access, it should be the same everywhere, every place, all the time. I sincerely hope the FCC does not make the internet more complex than it should be. I oppose stepping away from Title 2 classification. To do so just caters to the large corporations, not the people the FCC is supposed to serve.
2356. Tonya Bucher, Westminster, CO, 80031
Protect net neutrality by keeping Article 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. Your job is to protect the American people not the Internet Service Providers. You are a regulatory agency. If you personally think that regulations are bad, then you need to step down.
2357. Shawn Bescheinen, golden, CO, 80401
I use the internet EVERY. SINGLE. DAY. and I rely on it both for business and personal use. I do not wish for anyone to restrict my internet access, connection speed, accessible content/websites or to prioritize any internet traffic over any other internet traffic. I wish for my internet, my internet access, and my choices of content to remain fully available to me without outside interference. Internet access and content needs to be treated the same as access to electricity, indoor plumbing, refrigeration, phone service and public transportation. The same for everybody, to help improve all of our daily lives.
2358. Robert Weaver, Arvada, CO, 80403
I am strongly opposed to the FCC proposal to eliminate the net neutrality regulations that are currently in place. I am also opposed to the proposal to exclude internet service providers (ISPs) from being defined as "common carriers" under Title II of the Communications Act. These proposal would clearly result in allowing ISPs to manipulate and control the internet websites and content that is currently available to me. I should have full control over which websites that I visit and services that I use - not my ISP. There are only two ISPs available in the area, so there is very little competition between the available services, and those ISPs already cost considerably more than they should due to the lack of competition in the marketplace. In recent years, Time Warner Cable has reported profit margins of 97% for high speed internet services, indicating that more regulatory oversight is needed, not less. ISPs now provide "utility" type services and should be regulated accordingly. The FCC and this administration will be held accountable if rules governing net neutrality are eliminated.
2359. Skylar LaRoe, Lakewood, CO, 80214
Hello, government-people, or cable company-people, or whoever's reading this right now-people. My name is Kodi, also known as TearInMyTaxiCab, Sho-Fizzle, and MintyBlueNobody. But my name is actually Skylar, that's my legal name. Everyone knows me as one of those 4 aforementioned names above, though. I'm here to tell you how net neutrality affects me, as I'm sure millions of others (probably ((hopefully))) have already. I've done plenty of research on what y'all are

planning on doing. And, I don't know, I think this whole make-the-internet-like-cable-tv thing is a little screwed up?? Maybe I've been misinformed. I know you'd definitely have a lot of control over what we see on the internet (like showing us Starbucks ads and whatnot over local coffee shops because Starbucks has more money). What am I saying? Y'all know what you plan on doing, you don't need to hear that. I'm here to tell you how it's affecting me, and maybe convince you to not get rid of net neutrality. Alright. I'm an artist. Starving artist, if you could put it that way. I make very little off my commissions. My main output for my art, and the main place people get commissions from me, is DeviantArt. Say, hypothetically, net neutrality doesn't survive and you guys win. Then say, hypothetically, I had to pay extra on my internet bill to see DeviantArt and get it fast so I can get all these commission orders in on time, so I can get them done in a reasonable amount of time. Now, I'm trying to make a living off the profit I get from these commissions, and I try to use as little of it as possible to pay for bills and stuff. Now I'd have to use this commission money to pay extra to make DeviantArt run fast, or to even see DeviantArt at all. Now I'm making no profit at all, and it becomes pointless. As I'm writing this, I've taken a break from working on art, and am listening to music. Gorillaz! They're an amazing band, aren't they? Their new album is so good. I feel like I can tie music into this. We all love listening to music, right? I would hope so. Now, say you go on Spotify to listen to music. The whole front page is all those big rappers and, y'know, those other people doing really well in the music industry right now. DJ Khaled, Lil Uzi Vert, Justin Bieber (for some reason. I thought he was irrelevant?? idk man, the Billboard charts don't lie), Kendrick Lamar, etc etc I'm sure you get the point by now. Huh. Interesting. You don't like any of these people (im not saying you can't im using this as an example). So you go and try to listen to the music you do like. Surprise! They're not doing well on the charts. In fact, I don't think they even ARE on the charts. Now you gotta pay to listen to them, and if you don't, the music takes forever to load and sounds like a velociraptor on a unicycle with cymbals and a harmonica. It sounds horrible. But, what's this? All those songs on the top of the charts, all those artists making all that money, winning all those Grammys, those BET awards, those uhhhhhhh MTV awards???? Their music plays crystal clear, 1080p sound waves. That would suck, wouldn't it? You can't listen to Gorillaz, De La Soul, Panic! At The Disco, Twenty One Pilots, whoever the heck because they're not on the charts, unless you either pay for it or decide to settle for the disgustingly butchered quality. You don't want that, I don't want that, no one wants that. Same goes for this net neutrality thing. We don't wanna have to pay extra to look at memes and send them to our friends, or to share our fanart of the newest Steven Universe or Rick and Morty episode because god DAMN that episode was good, or watch porn because hey! The majority of us here fighting for this are most likely teenagers! And it's just, ughhh, tiring. Fighting for all this stuff. We gotta fight for gender equality, we gotta fight for gay rights, we gotta fight to make a decent pay to live, now we gotta fight to keep the internet good?? Why y'all making us fight for everything??? Living is hard for us right now, broh. The economy is trash. I'm making money off my art right now, and if all of a sudden I gotta use that money to pay extra on my internet bill so I can get these people their commissions within a reasonable amount of time, then there's no point. I'm making no money, probably end up having to get another job, work minimum wage there like I would at every job, then probably work overtime to make a decent paycheck, then come home and work on art, get maybe 4 hours of sleep every night, never see my family, be constantly overworked, then finally die at the ripe age of 36 due to the stress, whether I take my own life or something takes it for me. It's hard, broh. Do us a favor and not make it any harder?Skylar LaRoe

2360. Craig James, Arvada, CO, 80004
The FCC allows FOX news to lie and spread hatred every day, and now they want to sell the internet to the highest bidder?
2361. John Ellis, Arvada, CO, 80004
We have to work like hell, I am a 71 year disabled man. Donald Trump needs to be impeached along with everyone of his cabinet people, they need to be out of our White House now.
2362. Diane Capron, Northglenn, CO, 80233
keep current open internet rules in place
2363. Shirley Allison`, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I appreciate that Internet users as I am, are able to enjoy net neutrality rules that now exist. I believe that proposed rules will not maintain the current rules but will impose restrive rules.
2364. Kendall Shepherd, Denver, CO, 80229
There would be no worse time than now to compromise net neutrality. America's trust in the Federal Government is at an all-time low, and the internet is the only public forum where even the smallest voice can be heard without cost or consequence. Please, consider who you work for before making another terrible mistake.
2365. Casey, Lakewood, CO, 80215
No net neutrality!!Casey
2366. Rachel Truman, Commerce City, CO, 80022
I am very concerned with maintaining current rules for net neutrality. Net neutrality is important for me because I run a small food blog online. Without net neutrality, my tiny blog would not have the attention it does now with the correct search terms. I'm scared for small businesses, ones that will have no chance competing with huge businesses that are already established. I believe net neutrality is about maintaining a level playing field. Please, keep the internet fair.
2367. Ian Fidjeland, Commerce City, CO, 80022
KEEP THE INTERNET UNDER TITLE 2

2368. Zoe Reese, Thornton, CO, 80233
This country needs net-neutrality rules. We need them for small business and individuals. We need to continue rules that require telecom and cable companies to ensure that all information flows equally and not allow them to collect fees to prioritize any application or content. Net-neutrality preserves our freedom of choice and also protects our political and social interaction on the internet. Net-neutrality will keep the cost of our use of the internet down. Please do not allow lobbyist and powerful corporations to have a louder voice at the table than those of us who will be impacted by any rule changes.
2369. Martin J Kennelly, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Please leave the internet free and open. There are many things that are completely fair for be more heavily regulated and monetized, but the Internet should NOT be one of them. It is central and necessary to a developing and growing economy for the internet to remain intact and as is.
2370. Shirley Lynn Kansky, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I do not want someone else deciding what they will let me have access to, or slow me down. We need net neutrality!!!
2371. zack grucza, Denver, CO, 80221
THE FCC WONT LET ME BE! Keep net neutrality title 2!!
2372. Maya Greer, Lakewood, CO, 80227
I'd like to join the thousands crying out for net neutrality to stay! I know the internet's convenience helps me out a lot when it comes to research, school, and education in general. It's how our least fortunate can learn what they need and want to, and how the rest of us can get help too. My mother leaned heavily on YouTube and math sites when taking school for nursing, and they helped her to achieve something she had been dreaming of for a long time. NN is important to all of us wishing to learn and better ourselves.
2373. Carl Westgard, Golden, CO, 80401
Title II allows bureaucrats in Washington to pick winners and losers on the web. It is anti-American and anti-competitive. We should have individual liberty on the internet, not sweeping governmental control. The internet is best regulated by light-touch FTC regulation and the market, not heavy-handed FCC rules. I support Chairman Ajit Pai's rollback of Obama's Internet takeover.
2374. Patrick Pharo, Edgewater, CO, 80214
Congress must protect net neutrality, even if it means voting counter to the wishes of some of their biggest donors. This is getting to the point where the people will stop peacefully accepting such blatant corporatism.
2375. Jacob Tarpley, Golden, CO, 80401
I do not want to end up in a society where Comcast, and other mega-corporations, can control what I see or do on the internet. It's criminal!
2376. michael aumock, Westminster, CO, 80031
Our internet activity is monitored enough. Adding the oversight and control to a commercial entity, with competing content, will worsen the situation, not enhance. History shows the outcome of removing net neutrality
2377. Jesse Kemp, wheatridge, CO, 80033
Net neutrality is essential for the freedom of thought in our country and across the world.
2378. David H. Smith, Golden, CO, 80403
I wholeheartedly support the effort to protect net neutrality. Without it from the very beginning, the Internet would not have become the invaluable information platform that it is today. Putting significant control of Internet content and access in the hands of a few large corporations would stifle the innovation that allows the Internet to improve and thrive.
2379. T. Bertapelle, Lakewood, CO, 80214
Net neutrality gives us the free and open Internet that we want and need. The free, uninhibited exchange of ideas is the hallmark of our republic. Even if we do not like the message, the messenger has the right to believe what he/she wants to regardless of how wrong it is. This is freedom of speech. Eliminating net neutrality will stifle freedom of speech.
2380. Joshua Thompson, Thornton, CO, 80260
Net neutrality protects companies and consumers alike from possible abuse. The only reason to remove or reduce net neutrality restrictions is to allow these large companies to install abusive practices.
2381. William J. Inman, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Net neutrality is important because the internet should be free.
2382. Richard Sumner, Lakewood, CO, 80214
Leave the net alone, Big business just flat has too much power and are destroying the very foundations of our liberty in the name of profit, enough already!

2383. Hannah, Lakewood, CO, 80214
Our access to information should not be interfered with. We have the right as citizen to equal access to information.
2384. Robert Kennedy, Thornton, CO, 80229
We have these regulations to protect people and their interests, not corporations and theirs. Adding a regulation to curtail personal rights is tyrannical; Removing a regulation that protects personal rights is naive. Let us keep our access to content protected! Right now the internet is essentially a free market where anyone can load content in and everyone can see it, regardless of what it might be. Removing protections that are currently in place because "they are outdated and no longer necessary" because providers didn't regulate access before is akin to saying the 13th amendment is "outdated and no longer necessary" because there haven't been slave owners in 100 years.
2385. Norman Carlevato, Lakewood, CO, 80228
The net does not need to be controlled by a few large companies. Please leave the internet open.
2386. Greg Sternberg, Broomfield, CO, 80021
Decades ago, the Internet (a.k.a. Arpanet) was created to facilitate and encourage the free and uncensored exchange of creativity. Beholden to no one and nothing considerable innovation and improvement of life has come from that. Directly or indirectly, giving control of the internet to companies who are (legitimately) only interested in and responsible for their bottom line will negate the benefits of a free and open internet, stifle creativity and force us to live with decisions made only to benefit a few corporations.
2387. Carla Bailey, Lakewood, CO, 80227
The internet is a critical tool I use daily. I rely on Net neutrality to do what I want on the internet. Please support the independence of this vital form of communication.
2388. Melissa Grogan, Arvada, CO, 80005
Access to information must be free of interference or unethical profiteering by providers. All Americans deserve equal access.
2389. max wiater, wheat ridge, CO, 80212
The internet was created to share information, entertain, and educate the world- not fill the pockets of those who seek to exploit for it personal gain.
2390. Alan Weaver, Arvada, CO, 80005
Good Day, Because I'm short on time, I just plagiarized the Mozilla copy on Net Neutrality. Below are the bullet points that Mozilla outlined. I agree with every one of them. Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started. Without net neutrality, creators and entrepreneurs could struggle to reach new users. Net neutrality allows consumers — not big companies — to choose what they watch & do online. Every one of them are good points. Here's the point: The FCC does not need to change anything in the current consumer-centric rules in effect on or after 1-1-2017 or about how it is currently applied. The people of the United States paid for nearly all of the technologies that make up the internet, they also paid for a lot of the research. Your current administration wants nothing more than to gut the current protections and Line The Pockets of the biggest corporate communications entities and the people that run them at my expense. So just leave it alone. Tell your buddy at the top of your organization I'm a republican and that I vote. The money grab STOPS here. Alan Weaver
2391. Karen Spaulding, Arvada, CO, 80007
Net neutrality is important to preserve free speech and to keep large service providers from determining what content you may have access to online.
2392. James F. Freiboth, Thornton, CO, 80229
About the Internet: 1.) Net Neutrality is Good for Business, both here in the United States of America and certainly around the world. 2.) Net Neutrality is good for strengthening international relations between governments as well as individuals. 3.) Net Neutrality is the most modern way of advertising new innovations, new inventions, and new methods by companies, educators, and individual scientist. 4.) Net Neutrality provides elected officials direct contact with their constituents as well as the general public. 5.) Net Neutrality is the only civilized way to allow everyone on the planet earth access to information that rightfully belongs to all of them - although some rules of copyright may apply to who, how, and when the information can and will be published. 6.) Net Neutrality allows numerous people to get an education or educate themselves privately without having to travel dangerous roads and unsafe highways in certain areas of the world. Simply stated: Net Neutrality is good for democracy and other similar government ideologies.
2393. Chris Bennett, Lakewood, CO, 80227
I'm a small business owner heavily dependent on my ISP. Rolling back Title II will enviably make worse, my already deplorable Comcast service. Please, please, please do not follow through with this rollback.
2394. Conrad Rohleder, Arvada, CO, 80004
My job is 100% remote, and the cost of me getting to and doing work is similarly 100% dependent on the ISP I use. I've

already checked, and no other provider exists that will be able to support the internet bandwidth I need. Now, this crony capitalism is going to affect my ability to feed my family, and you bet I'm pissed. Pissed, because again the Republican party has failed the small people and the real drivers of the economy. As a registered Republican, I will happily vote Democrat in the next election.

2395. Tim Jackson, Westminster, CO, 80234
We expect Russia and China to over-regulate free speech and freedom in general. We don't expect such oppression to occur in the USA and other "free" nations. Keep the internet free and under-regulated for the benefit of a happy and prosperous people.
2396. Bogdan Ulatowski, Westminster, CO, 80020
Net neutrality is fundamental to freedom of speech.
2397. Una Modoni, Arvada, CO, 80403
We need Net neutrality! There are so many problems when our cell phone providers are so limited. The fees collected are astounding. The greed is horrific. **DO NOT TAKE AWAY OUR FREEDOM BY RESTRICTING INTERNET ACCESS BY HANDING IT OVER TO BIG CABLE COMPANIES. THINK OF THE PEOPLE FOR A CHANGE. DO NOT INFRINGE ON MY RIGHTS FOR CORPORATE GREED.**
2398. Brittany Weber, Denver, CO, 80234
Net neutrality would dampen the internet experience, silence and censor voices, and put all of this power in the hands of a few. It's unnecessary and unconstitutional.
2399. Daniel Custer, Lakewood, CO, 80228
I don't want the Internet controlled by a few big companies. It should remain a public resource. Thank you
2400. David Olson, Thornton, CO, 80229
I humbly ask you consider the American consumer over that of the ISVs. They are already making money from us by sharing our data. **WE CAN NOT HAVE THEM CONTROL OUR DATA.** This is the first step to Fascism.
2401. taylor, arvada, CO, 80003
Its sad you are more concerned with the profits of companies than you are for the citizens of this once great country. Your plan to roll back net neutrality contradicts the true purpose of the FCC. Maybe your director should just go back to working for Verizon, sham on you all!
2402. John Schiel, Arvada, CO, 80004
Allowing ANY provider to segregate traffic for ANY other purpose than to protect their network should not be allowed. Providers got into the business of passing traffic and should not be allowed to charge people to get into a "fast lane".
2403. Charlene Landgren, Northglenn, CO, 80260
Don't destroy net neutrality!!
2404. Chris Hack, Thornton, CO, 80241
Services and websites should be freely accessible to all citizens. It is unethical for a company to be able to potentially disrupt a competitor's service simply because they are the one providing the internet service. This stifles competition and creates monopolies that are impossible to compete with in an open market.
2405. Christian Boniek, Arvada, CO, 80004
Without net neutrality, ISP's that hold control may lobby against any competitors (either direct or indirect). Destroying market freedom, possibility negating any other ISPs to develop. If net neutrality is compromised we'll need another Teddy Roosevelt.
2406. Baylin Brown, Arvada, CO, 80004
Net neutrality must be protected in order for our culture to remain relevant in a globally intertwined community. To take freedom away from users of one of the world's few bastions of truly open-ended communication and information is symbolic of a cultural shift into a commercially policed society, and it's a decision that will leave hundreds of millions of people under the thumb of internet service providers.
2407. Emily Stones, Arvada, CO, 80003
I teach media history and deregulation ALWAYS results in a few companies controlling the medium. It happened with radio and TV -- made it impossible for independent companies or talent to get in front of the public without a contract with one of the (then) "big 6" media companies. Don't fool yourself. It would happen with the internet, too. History will repeat itself, I guarantee it.
2408. Paul Leming, Westminster, CO, 80031
Net neutrality is integral to the free and open exchange of information, ideas, and entertainment that are the hallmarks the internet. Ending net neutrality ends freedom of speech on the internet for Americans.

2409. Ron, Arvada, CO, 80002
I want to continue to serve my personal website at home without worrying about my ISP throttling my bandwidth.
2410. Alexander Medema, Littleton, CO, 80123
My parents own a small pet care business. How can I be confident in their retirement future if their income is threatened by ISP monopolies?
2411. donal swartz, thornnton, CO, 80233
Access to the internet is a vital part of modern life, and should be treated like any other public utility. Corporate greed will destroy the internet like it destroys everything.
2412. Joseph Navarro, Northglenn, CO, 80233
The future of our civilization, if not planet, resides in our ability to progress through the Digital Age as a community. A community is only as strong as its ability to protect the individual. The same for the individual, its strength lies in the community. In this sense, protecting Net Neutrality allows for the individual to remain the individual. When we begin the process of removing restrictions on the corporate handlers of the internet, we allow for outside interests to shape and even manipulate behavior. For example, the ability for a corporation to determine if a site should get more or less bandwidth, is a removal of the ability for the individual to have a choice. If this is allowed to happen, without regulation, we have only ourselves to blame for the destruction of the individual. As a community, we should pride ourselves on the idea of Net Neutrality and what it means for future citizens to shape their respective individuality.
2413. Laurel Rullman, Westminster, CO, 80031
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Consumers should choose what they watch & do online. Leave the internet as it is.
2414. Nicolette Reynolds, Arvada, CO, 80004
The internet needs to remain open so businesses can thrive.
2415. Rose Wasmundt, Westminster, CO, 80021
This is the crux of freedom, the freedom of information and learning, of small business, and the right to grow without being stifled.
2416. Erik Sheader-Smith, Thornton, CO, 80229
Protect net neutrality under title II protections
2417. Amber, Edgewater, CO, 80214
Net neutrality is crucial to maintaining a fair and equal system of internet use. The internet is often the only tool smaller companies have in being able to compete in a world dominated by the wealthiest. Let's not contribute to the idea that only those with the most money can be seen and heard. Leave net neutrality alone!!!
2418. Leanna, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Net Neutrality must be protected. The cable companies already have enough control over the content we interact with on a day to day basis. I don't want them to have control over what I see and can say on the internet. Free, open internet is fundamental to our first amendment. I live in the US, not China or North Korea, my internet shouldn't be controlled or censored like these other countries.
2419. Thomas Marling, Lakewood, CO, 80227
This issue involves US citizens basic right to free speech as guaranteed in the Bill of Rights. It is all too apparent the goal of the Trump administration is to undermine this most fundamental right in a craven attempt to kowtow to the interests of big corporate 'donors'. There is absolutely no argument that can be made to do away with net neutrality.
2420. Anna Elliot, Thornton, CO, 80229
Get FCC out of internet activity. Every time any government entity gets involved in private business, it gets ruined and out of control!
2421. Edward McKenna, Commerce City, CO, 80022
Net Neutrality is important to me as a means of Free Speech whereby I can tell big companies and those who want control, to go F--- themselves !!
2422. Winnie Mayer, Thornton, CO, 80260
If it wasn't for internet sites like Mozilla Firefox and the other free internet sites, my family and I wouldn't be able to go on the internet. Small companies keep the internet free for the middle to lower class citizens. Please leave it alone. think of all the children you will be hurting.
2423. Dade, Denver, CO, 80214
Stop letting big corp control everything! Nobody wants someone else to control what they see, what are they scared of a little competition. Keep the dream and opportunity available for everybody!

2424. Randy Riley, Lakewood , CO, 80227
Even with a DVR you can't scroll past one of the 5000 Progressive Insurance commercials, destroying what used to be a beautiful experience. Please don't take our internet, too.
2425. Elaine Rose, Westminster, CO, 80234
Net neutrality is critical to healthy competition, an open market, and consumer choice. Please continue to protect innovation and consumer rights. Preserve net neutrality.
2426. Erik, Westminster, CO, 80021
This should not even be in question! Keep net neutrality the way it is now, stealing it from the people will prove to be the wrong decision very quickly.
2427. Anthony Jaccard, Thornton, CO, 80233
I don't want to worry that the online services I use will be throttled in favor of another similar service, or worry that I may have to pay more to continue to use my current services.
2428. Sheri Metz, Westminster, CO, 80234
Eliminating net neutrality would allow corporations and wealthy people w/ political biases to dictate what news we can and cannot easily access or afford to distribute - effectively taking away our free speech. This is unacceptable.
2429. Karen Childs, Golden, CO, 80403
The internet has replaced our encyclopedias. Please do not take away our link to information by removing net neutrality.
2430. Kenneth Kipp, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Our household already has slow internet service due to the monopolies of phone (DSL) and cable tv service. Please do not allow the big ISP companies to further exacerbate the problem.
2431. Nathan Miller, Westminster, CO, 80031
Net neutrality should be protected. Comcast shouldn't be able to pick and choose what I get to access online and what I can't. This is f*cking stupid and the backlash if you do this will be legendary.
2432. Rachel Gohier, Edgewater, CO, 80214
Net neutrality protections under Title II net neutrality rules must be maintained. I'm concerned that users will lose access to certain websites on the internet because ISPs will slow down or eliminate access based on corporate incentives. Chairman Pai's proposed repeal of the rules would transform Internet providers into Internet gatekeepers with an effective veto right on innovation and expression. That's not how the Internet was built, and that's not what we want.
2433. Richard Creswell, Lakewood, CO, 80227
If the internet is not neutral, it will have no value for many of us. I will not pay for access to mere propaganda and legal lying advertising.
2434. Catherine Schramm, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Access to content needs to be open and uncensored.
2435. Ron Morrison, Denver, CO, 80221
No one owns the airwaves, why are you entitled to control???
2436. David Fulton, Lakewood, CO, 80227
DO NOT IGNORE THIS!--ARE WE GOING TO END UP LIKE JUST ANOTHER PUTIN-RUSSIA?!
2437. Alexander Arce, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80214
I strongly oppose the proposition to end the protections of net neutrality. It is wrong to allow organizations any ability to hinder or diminish our access to content available on the internet. Please keep the internet open, free, and available to the masses.
2438. Linda Dellett, Denver, CO, 80234
Please protect the net neutrality and the FCC's Open Internet Rules! These rules are extremely important to me and I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal

customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Please stand up for all of us for the freedom in access we have today thanks to the net neutrality rules!!!!!! Thank you!

2439. Melinda Stanley, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033

Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) provided by the FCC are of significant importance to myself and others, and I urge you strongly to defend them. ISPs having the power to block/slow websites or give preferential treatment to chosen sites go against the very nature of the Internet when it was created by DARPA and by other international representatives. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

2440. Joseph Skorupa, Denver, CO, 80228

Dear FCC, Net Neutrality is essential to the health of our democracy and is a part of the fundamental freedoms every American is entitled to. The internet is no place for corporate favoritism. Sincerely, Joseph Skorupa Lakewood, CO

2441. Dylan Fitzell, Denver, CO, 80229

Stop being corporate controlled robotic nazis. The trend of privatisation is a cancer and you are perpetuating it. I have no faith in either congress nor the fcc, but i want to say i hope you underestimate the enemy you just made of silicon valley.

2442. Kaaren Hardy, Westminster, CO, 80030

Please "keep the playing field level" regarding my ability as a citizen to search the internet and find whatever I want in the way of information without some corporation or agency prioritizing and/or censoring what I can read. I see this as a basic First Amendment free speech issue.

2443. Vy Duong, Lakewood, CO, 80228

I support net neutrality and strongly oppose Chairman Pai's proposal to reverse net neutrality protections. The ISP already make enough money as is and giving them more control over the internet is a terrible idea. The people who will be hurt most from the lack of net neutrality are those who are already disenfranchised, without many resources and connections to ensure their access free and non-bias sources information. I believe this will only widen the division between urban/rural, wealthy/poor, educated/uneducated.

2444. Barbara Rino, Westminster, CO, 80031

It is imperative that net neutrality is maintained so that the ordinary citizens have easy access to all information, not just information that large wealthy corporations or wealthy people want us to know. This is the United States of America!

2445. Aly Nutter, Denver, CO, 80221

A free and open Internet is vital to saving our democracy. Net neutrality should be protected by our first amendment. Private corporations and the government should not be able to control what we can and cannot access.

2446. Gavin Maurer, Denver, CO, 80214

Net neutrality is a benchmark of freedom. Do not allow corporations to take our Internet rights!

2447. Annie Rife, Arvada, CO, 80004

The internet was paid for and built by taxpayers. It belongs to us, the taxpayers of America. It does not belong to the ISP companies, nor Ajit Pai, nor The FCC. To allow ISP companies to profit by creating tiers of access based on one's ability to pay is wrong and violates the liberties and equality of taxpayers. Protect Net Neutrality!

2448. Carolyn Grenier, Golden, CO, 80401

Please keep net neutrality. I'm old and I want a level playing field. Thanks you.

2449. Catherine Thomasson, Denver, CO, 80215
As a physician, I'm deeply in favor of open access to information. There is too much consolidation of media outlets in just a few hands. As a result, most people get the same information from multiple outlets, spun or framed in the same way. Or they get no information at all. To counter this it is absolutely essential to maintain the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules). It is essential to keep controllers of ISP's to have the power to block websites or slow them down. If I remember correctly Google was on the hook and paid fines for giving some sites an advantage over others. There must be only one speed in any lane. This is not a highway where we want to change behavior (carpool) or to raise money for more highway infrastructure. The internet activity should not be censored. People have a full right to access information freely. Censorship also results in corporate greed to keep other companies from delivery content such as Comcast's actions against Netflix or AT&T's actions to block FaceTime. These actions will have further unintended consequences if allowed and will ultimately limit access for consumers and businesses. The courts have made it clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee and as I said before this must not be allowed. Allowing some (larger companies) to pay for faster lanes, start-ups and small companies that are the backbone of our economy will be shut out. Closing the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses to flourish just to allow for unequal competition to meet the demands of the few mega-corporations makes no sense. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. What will happen to smaller blog sources, non-profit organizations, etc? Alternative voices and those who do speak on behalf of the silent majority will be left unheard. In addition to sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, I'm also sending this to my members of Congress, in attempts to prevail against the steamrolling actions of Chairman Pai. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Please take action to dissuade him and encourage your colleagues to do the same. Thank you!
2450. Kurt Anderson, Denver, CO, 80227
I depend on the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules). To be honest, our economy now depends on them, as well. I urge you to protect them. The current rules are crucial to innovation because they allow anyone the ability to have full access, not just the high payers. They also allow free expression of political views that, under the current administration, are coming under increasing fire. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!
2451. Bebhinn Nagle, Commerce City, CO, 80022
Please protect our freedom of speech and the most progressive invention of my lifetime.
2452. Patricia Robbins, Westminster, CO, 80031
Please do not end net neutrality It is a BAD idea.
2453. Doug & Mary Wylie, Arvada, CO, 80004
We're concerned, We believe in and support the current FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules). We urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!
2454. Marte Halleck, Denver, CO, 80233
Do not interfere with Internet neutrality. We do not need, & certainly don't want any interference from corporations who are intent only on more control & more profit from the 'net.
2455. Mark Prokop, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I will STOP USING MY COMPUTER IF NET. NEUTRALITY GO AWAY & COMCAST WILL NOT GET ONE DIME
2456. Jude Hightower, Arvada, CO, 80007
The fact that you are even considering let alone attempting to end Net neutrality frightens me to my very core! The fact that

every person who has access to the internet can look online and get any and all information is what the internet is based on and what makes it great, like our country. By censoring each and every thing online that the few extremely rich and far too powerful groups do not want others to see is the farthest thing from being American or making America great again! The great thing about the net is anyone and everyone can start their own business and have just as much of a chance to succeed as any other company. When you end the neutrality of the net you end fair business and competition making each and every business do and provide their best which is obviously what you want to do. By ending net neutrality you put a stop to young, curious minds yearning for the truth and for all the information they can get! You censor adults from the same. What will you tell your kids or grandkids when they say they heard there was a time a person could find anything they wanted online and why did it change? Are you going to be able to face them and say YOU did it? Are you going to be able to say YOU did it so the rich could get richer and more powerful? Are you going to be able to admit YOU could have stopped the censorship but did nothing? You're making it obvious as has been the case with ALL of the horrible things Donald Trump is doing and is having stopped that all you care about is making the richest of the rich even richer! You've made it clear all you want to do is make the powerful all the more powerful! What happened to America? What happened to making it great again? It seems like what president Trump and his cronies, you included are making our country a dictatorship, or an authoritarian regime. Remember by doing Donald Trump's bidding including ending net neutrality you are making our country one step closer to a dictatorship and you live here just like us! You know how thin skinned Donald Trump is, you know how one minute you're his friend the next you're his enemy, you're putting yourself and your family on very shaky ground! Stand up to him and the 1% and do what's right for our country, you'll sleep better at night and be able to look yourself in mirror each morning!

2457. Larry Cardy, Denver, CO, 80221

We need to leave the existing laws governing the internet as they currently are. the European Union nations have similar laws for free access to the worldwide web. the cable companies and the internet providers already make Plenty of money. I know this administration does not like the true freedom of the average American to voice their opions unless of course they are praising the current potus. keep the net neutrality laws intact so everyone has access and can express their freedom of speech.

2458. Katherine Penn, Arvada, CO, 80004

Keep net neutrality! The internet should be free from bias, political intervention, and corporate intercession. Don't let ISP's control the flow of information.

2459. Steven Shepperd, Westminster, CO, 80031

The establishment of the FCC's Open Internet Rules was a hard-fought battle against special interests that wanted to impose corporate restrictions on our right to free speech. Millions of people fought for these protections. The fact that Chairman Pai wants to end these consumer protections while ignoring the will of the people speaks to nefarious intent. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

2460. Shelby Morbach, Lakewood, CO, 80226

It is ridiculous that in what defines itself as a democratic nation, we still have "representatives" that ignore the desires of the VAST majority of its population, because those representatives would benefit more from a massive bribe than they would from protecting the rights, privacies, and demands of the very constituents that voted them into their position of power in the first place. Politics make me sick, and I can imagine no reality more miserable than that of a career politician. Despite the assumed misery, perhaps I will nonetheless use my impending law degree to pursue such a career, so I can help rebuild this nation in a respectable manner consistent with the vision of our Constitution. Soon all of you corrupt skeezers will be dead and gone, and the new generation will move in your place with their eyes open to the unscrupulous practices in place, thanks to the very internet you hope to destroy, and we will correct all of the corruption you have planted in this country's system of checks and balances, and history will remember you for being the most despicable influence on a political climate the world has ever seen. Come and see.

2461. Net Neutrality, Arvada, CO, 80005

I want the FCC to uphold all existing Title II net neutrality rules. Corporations and corporate profits should not determine

what I can get/afford on the Internet. I expect to be protected from data discrimination, privacy invasion, and access restrictions.

2462. Kyle Schultz, Denver, CO, 80215
This is absolutely heinous and you all should be ashamed of yourselves for trying to encroach on our rights. The little guy has been crushed under the boot heel of big business for too long, and it is time to fight back. I leave the fat cats high in their offices with a few words spoken by one of our founding fathers who fought for and carved out a place in this country for the freedom that even you douche bags get to enjoy... "the tree of liberty must from time to time be refreshed with the blood of patriots and of tyrants" - Thomas Jefferson. Leave the internet alone!
2463. Liz Moore, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
This is BS! Lower income and middle class can't take this hit! Not to mention business!
2464. Stephen Wake, Broomfield, CO, 80021
protect the net neutrality rules currently in place.
2465. Sarah Diaz-Rivera, Broomfield, CO, 80021
I do not support Chairman Pai's move to end net neutrality. He's a former Verizon lawyer and the only people who would benefit from this are the big ISPs like Verizon, while everyday Americans get stuck with slower internet and censored content. I value entrepreneurship and freedom of speech, and both will suffer if Pai gets his way. Big companies should not be able to determine what I consume on the internet. Likewise small and start up companies should not be disadvantaged because their larger competitors are paying more to slow them down. Ending net neutrality is not American, and to reiterate, I do not support Chairman Pai's move to end it. Keep the internet free. Thank you.
2466. Robert Arriaga, Denver, CO, 80234
The more outrageous the acts against humanity by our government become, the less relevant our government becomes. I can't wait for the day when people simply ignore the government into oblivion. The new paradigm of decentralization, unification and love are displacing your ideologies of separatism and self-serving, debt and death, fear and control. And to the individual human that's reading this, look into your heart and honor yourself.
2467. Ray Cassidy, Commerce City, CO, 80022
Please do not remove internet regulations. We need stronger limits and antitrust measures against ISPs and other internet-based corporations, not weaker ones.
2468. Timor Ghenee, Arvada, CO, 80004
The internet won't be the same after net neutrality is removed, even if people say the internet companies will protect our rights, they won't, they wouldn't need to remove net neutrality laws if they wanted to protect us, they want exploit us and make money off the sites we use everyday, even if they do it in good nature, there is no reason to remove net neutrality other than to make the world of the internet smaller, less fun and more expensive, so please don't remove net neutrality, and don't kill the internet
2469. Alex Shermot, Westminster, CO, 80030
We all deserve access to the information and content available on the internet. It's our information superhighway. It allows everyone access to education, news and so many perspectives on our fast-moving world. Removing this is against the constitution.
2470. Celeste Human, Denver, CO, 80215
It's important to me because I get inspiration from the people I see online. If FCC takes that away just because they want a quick buck, we will fall.
2471. Dylan Bucher-Sohl, Westminster, CO, 80031
Net neutrality allows full internet access to all people, regardless of income. It is vital to our democracy. To take it away would be seriously harming our right to free speech, and would only serve to keep us uninformed and out of communication.
2472. Mehul Patel, Denver, CO, 80215
Knowledge is easily accessible when everything is on an even playing field. Taking away net neutrality policies does absolutely zero good and a ridiculously foolish amount of harm. Do not be dumb. Seriously. The Trump administration is just trying to undo what the left has done. As a Republican I am not proud of what the Trump administration is attempting to do by undoing all that Democrats have done. Some of the stuff they have done has been truly beneficial for society. Why try to damage humanity just because of immature tension between opposing views. Do your job and focus on improving society.
2473. Maria Diaz, Denver, CO, 80260
It is important because the internet has always been a place where do not feel any limitations to what they can see and more importantly what we can learn. Access to information is one of the basis for a freed democracy. There is no way that the most powerful country in the world can censor or withdraw its information from its people. I agree that net neutrality should stay in place now and in the future. It is our right.

2474. Connor Umble, Denver, CO, 80233
I appreciate my ability to chose what I want.
2475. Benjamin Bowes, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I like the internet the way it is open and free. Removing net neutrality will make it impossible for smaller companies to compete with big companies online.
2476. Shahnen Raney, Arvada, CO, 80003
Net Neutrality is important to me because it allows me to keep up with friends and family who live far away free of charge.
2477. Kevin Kesler, Denver, CO, 80233
It's important that we keep the Article II policies/rules in place, consumers will not allow ISP to place more boundaries on our Net Neutrality that have already been placed. ISPs have already placed data boundaries on its members, we don't need additional speed barriers in place to slow down this thing we call the internet. Please support the consumers request & keep Net Neutrality what it is & should be.
2478. Michael McCARTHY, Arvada, CO, 80005
The net should be considered as open as a public library. Giving pass to one channel and restricting another is censorship and anti-American. It is human nature to favor "friends" and shun "outsiders" and we, as a nation, should be open and freely flowing w/o interference as the water, electricity, and telecom.
2479. Sean Holmes, Arvada, CO, 80004
Access to content of all types for educational and important information and tge fact net neutrality adds to the right of free speech and freedom of press
2480. Jacob Mastin, Westminster, CO, 80030
If the internet is taken away from the people you are then taking away each and every freedom that we have fought for in this country. As a country and a citizen of the United States we need to rise up and fight for our freedoms and show the world that we still are the land of the free.
2481. Joseph Lucero, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
My entire business runs online
2482. Neil Pistol, Arvada, CO, 80002
Telecom corporations repeatedly harm consumers, and get away with it due to their lack of competition and regulation. The internet is for the people, to drive innovation and to spread knowledge and ideas; not for the worst companies in America to continue to exploit the citizen you were elected to represent. Please, for the love of God, SAVE NET NEUTRALITY!
2483. Mandolynne Baker, Denver, CO, 80260
The internet is the worlds most vast collective resource. I don't want to loose access to the ability to be constantly learning. There is absolutely no reason to end net neutrality. This would screw up libraries, schools and those with limited income. Leave the internet alone.
2484. Jeffrey Smith, Denver, CO, 80215
the internet is the most democratizing tool humankind has ever seen. It literally gives anyone a platform to express themselves in any way they choose, it's like that old axiom from the enlightenment, "i may not agree with what you say, but i agree with your right to say it." This idea of freedom of speech is protected in our constitution and regulating the internet will without a doubt impede on our constitutional rights to freedom of speech. As a country and as a world, we need net neutrality. I mean how else are we going to hear the disenfranchised.
2485. Mark , Denver, CO, 80229
Monetizing what we do on the internet, tracking and allowing our choice to choose to be taken is the exact opposite of what the founding father's would have wanted...as well as any free country!
2486. Michael Kuesterhoff, Denver, CO, 80260
Net neutrality ensures a library at the hands of everyone right at their screens!
2487. Jessica Creazzo, Westminster, CO, 80031
I use it for my freelance art and to find other artists. For finding a job in the field I want and for others to find me so i can do a job.
2488. Alexander Kelso, Denver, CO, 80233
My life as as musician is literally archived here! Please don't make me have to pay to access my own works!
2489. Lynn Ashthorn, Arvada, CO, 80004
While we pay for service via ISPs, the internet has been a relatively free space where everyone, no matter their income level, is able to connect, access a wealth of information, and express themselves. The Internet has become a major part of our

culture as human beings and the notion that ISPs might be able to limit what sites I can access unless I pay them more is utterly sickening. A lot of us are cash strapped as is, and I'd rather not be limited even more by someone else's greed. Net Neutrality is essential and I hope you guys will understand why it needs to remain

2490. , Arvada, CO, 80005

A lack of net neutrality may still be allowed under capitalism, which is the economy form that America has, but there are some things that should be provided as a free service. As it stands, the average American still pays for internet, and roughly fifty dollars a month at that. So then why is it that we should pay /more/ for something that we are already paying for? A simple analogy for this would be like going to Water World. You already pay for the season pass, which grants you access to the park and all the rides, though you needed to pay for things such as food or souvenirs. Think of this in comparison to the internet and before/after net neutrality. Water World is the internet -- you pay a fee for a limited amount of time to use this service and everything it provides. The 'food' and 'souvenirs' that you pay extra for are things such as video games, software, antivirus programs, etcetera. Now take net neutrality away. You pay for your season pass to Water World at the beginning of the summer and decide to go one day. However, when you try to get on one ride, you find that there's two people at the front, constantly pushing themselves in front of everyone else and, essentially, bullying their way through it. In order to get past them, you have to pay them, because you know that ultimately, they don't care about getting on the ride again, they're doing this, like all bullies do, because they want something more and they knew that if you're able to have the luxury to spend a day at Water World rather than at work, you have the ability to give them even more money because you actually cared enough to buy the season pass in the first place. It's like this on every single ride, even the lazy river, and because the food and souvenirs are the optional points, they increase in price as well. What then, is the point in going to Water World? You could try Elitches, but that's bound to be even worse. No matter where you go, you're stuck in this endless loop of spending even more money for something that the modern-day world is starting to base itself off of. How do you survive without a basic necessity? Thousands of people already die because they have no access to food, water, or other basic needs, and as the world is changing, you cannot get a job to provide you with money without using some form of internet. This act of asking for even more money when the general populous is already paying a set fee benefits no one. Do multi-millionaire corporations really need another million? That's similar to putting a drop in the ocean, or their wallet, in this case. There's a whole argument that I can insert here about why capitalism is failing the United States, this being one of the pivotal points, but that has no place within this discussion about net neutrality itself. So back on the main topic, there's no reason for mega corporations to charge even more to get something that people are beginning to desperately need, nor does it even make sense. The greed that drives these actions will lead to the downfall of the internet as a whole, as it cannot sustain itself without a reliable user base. And, going back to the simple analogy, for what reason would I pay to go to Water World, only to be bullied into emptying my pockets even more? To give you the simple answer, there is none. No one wants to go to Water World simply to be swindled out of their pocket money and find themselves sitting in the food court, watching some rich couple pointedly feed each other funnel cake.

2491. Desiree Reeves, Denver, CO, 80233

As a student, I often use the internet as the core reference for my research. What information I cite is not confined to big companies such as the History Channel, but also smaller articles, news reports, videos, etc. to build a well rounded report or perspective on a subject. The internet is used in most aspects in the education system nowadays, whether for research, practice on a particular skill, conveying information in teaching, providing financial aid and other ways. Often times, other sources of information are limited due to funding, and/or classes are tight on time, making it easier to teach and absorb information in a more condensed way. As an up and coming entrepreneur/employee of a future business, I use the internet to connect with people I'd like to work with, gain more publicity, and succeed in the business world. Currently, I use the internet to find people to interview and job shadow, to learn more about their career and prepare myself if I were to go into that line of work. I also use it to find contacts for community projects, as a member of a youth city council, to reach out to graphic designers, possibly ward members and the mayor, and other volunteers. If I were to pursue a career in the arts, social media and my own domain would be used to gain publicity and present a portfolio to possible buyers and critiques, essential for my line of work. As an average teen living in the US, I spend much of my time online for both work and play. Aside from my use as a student and businessperson, I often times use the internet to learn and share ideas, discover more about things I'm passionate about, and find other people with similar interests and develop a strong group of support and friendship just as I would in the real world. The internet is a primary source of networking and discovery for many US citizens, in domains both big and small. Rules against net neutrality are not incentives towards what we wish to search, but rather blocks or walls, stopping us from seeing all of what the internet has to offer. The internet should remain a place of free expression and connection, and therefore Net Neutrality should remain intact.

2492. Jc , Denver, CO, 80233

Net Neutrality keeps the internet wide open and available to freelance artists, writers, and creative people of all stripes. It keeps new outlets open and free to report their news (no matter how erroneous) and allows people to communicate and learn without having to pay a premium for it (that's what college is for - profit over education). To turn the reins of the internet over to the Capitalist sinkhole ensures that AMERICA, so free and beautiful and a pretty shining beacon of freedom, will become little more than the dystopian world ripped straight from the mind of George Orwell where few have the power and wield it to bully and crush the masses. People under that kind of pressure is just BEGGING for a massive revolt and a civil war the likes of which will RIP THE COUNTRY TO SHREDS. Don't take it as hyperbole. The current administration is already sealing the lid on the pressure cooker that America is becoming and it will take just a few more steps to make the borders of the US start to shudder and shake with the cries of people denied access to content creators, diversions, outlets for

their stress. The world is already watching the US fall apart from the inside, let's not give them any more reasons to think now might be a good time to attack.

- 2493. Sage Mabry, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
As an online artist and creator, this would effectively reduce my income and job opportunities, as well as limit my consumers.
- 2494. Haley Popoff, Westminster, CO, 80031
I need to be able to pursue news from a variety of sources that aren't limited by paywalls and access tiers. I need to be able to share ideas and see ideas from other people. Access to global information is a fundamental right.
- 2495. Jerolyn Martin, Arvada, CO, 80004
I won't be able to pay for websites like TV channels
- 2496. Joel Auferio, Arvada, CO, 80004
Net neutrality is incredibly important to the common people and ruins the internet as a whole.
- 2497. Clayton Kloch, Arvada, CO, 80002
This movement is transparent and benefits no one except for ISP in America. This is against the free market ideals that America was founded on and encourages monopolistic control of internet markets. Removing net neutrality stifles freedom and puts the government in a position to silence its populace online. Don't whore out our government for those who are willing to pay. Don't sell our freedom to the highest bidder. The internet should be a safe, equally accessible space for all people across the world. Those responsible for putting this bill through are obviously not very aware of just how important the internet is, nor the very basic principles of the way it operates.
- 2498. Brittany Hines, Arvada, CO, 80005
Because do you not see how only certain people being allowed to post content and information can be a bad thing??
- 2499. Adam Stambaugh, Denver, CO, 80260
The Internet is not the United States Government's to control.
- 2500. Janelle Syverson, Broomfield, CO, 80021
I swear if you do away with net neutrality I will do everything in my power to get a job at one of these companies for the sole purpose of sending every asshole who pushed this through fecal porn. Since you obviously don't give a shit and like screwing others.
- 2501. Eric Smith, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
There can't be a "fast lane" without consigning everything else to a slow lane!notTheC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!
- 2502. Nicole , Broomfield, CO, 80021
NOOO
- 2503. Rachel Shelton, Denver, CO, 80226
It is preposterous to let these company's take control of our internet. Freedom to information and knowledge found on the internet should never be in question. I stand against net neutrality.
- 2504. Nicole , Broomfield, CO, 80021
NOOO
- 2505. Isaiah , Arvada, CO, 80002

The Internet is my livelihood, free access and no limits is the right of every human being in the world. It's how we communicate now, everything revolves around it. The people control the internet, not some big corporations or governments. We will not stand for more and more limits on things we can do in our lives. FREE SPEECH!

2506. Daniel Springer, Denver, CO, 80260
This ensures that regardless of how much money a person has, all people have access to the same information.
2507. Marisssa Brough, Denver, CO, 80232
I am a student in video game design, which requires innovation and freedom to access information!
2508. Leon Giron, Arvada, CO, 80004
It's hard to have low costs when in a mixed economy when you support monopolies on the country, state, and city level. Free the internet.
2509. Christopher Roche, Golden, CO, 80401
I am a person who has grown up as the internet has expanded and society with it. It started with message boards, fan sites and basic news. Now it is the foundation of the future of humanity. As the government has endeavored to break up monopolies in the past, its regulation of the internet as a public utility signaled it would protect a key resource for the people's interest. A roll back of these protections is clearly in the interest of a minority who seek to profit off the old system, rather than a safe guarding of a key infrastructure that is key to our country's prosperity in the future.
2510. Truda Stella, Lakewood, CO, 80228
Dear FCC Chairman, I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Net Neutrality helps consumers, educators, students, and businesses. Please do not roll back these regulations. Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech, allowing anyone who pays for internet to access what they want, not what an ISP allows them to see. Net neutrality allows consumers to choose what they watch & do online. Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started. Without net neutrality, creators and entrepreneurs could struggle to reach new users and innovation could be stifled. Thanks!
2511. Courtney Kallas, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Regulations that curtail our freedoms to do and see what we wish to on the internet only benefit a system that wishes to shape what we see and do on the internet. Regulations that prevent net neutrality and allow internet providers to make access to content fast or slow depending on if the creator or distributor of that content has the money to pay for it to be accessible is a scary thought to me. It smacks of the use of the infrastructure of the internet to control what information people ultimately can access. Cable wasn't innovative enough to not take a hit from the ingenuity of internet start ups to make media accessible to all. Our country's founding principles require we foster and reward innovation in the pursuit of the American Dream -- not squash or punish it because big business isn't making money any more. Please fight for net neutrality as the FCC reviews these rules. Courtney Kallas
2512. Alex Hamilton, Westminster, CO, 80030
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight by internet service providers. Lack of net neutrality is a frankly disgusting money grab and the idea that ISPs will keep the internet neutral and fair is insane and naive.
2513. Sheridan Wendt, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I support Net Neutrality back by Title II! Protect Net Neutrality!
2514. Brian Dearman, Westminster, CO, 80021
I support strong net neutrality backed by FCC Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Stop the dishonesty surrounding the benefits of removing net neutrality.
2515. Alex Fong, Golden, CO, 80401
I strongly support Net Neutrality, and I believe ending it would be disastrous for the free and open internet we have today.
2516. Frank Fine, Arvada, CO, 80003
The FCC should keep the internet as Title II. This is the only way to have a fair and open internet.
2517. Net Neutrality, Thornton, CO, 80241
Retain Net Neutrality! I value the internet as an open forum, for better or for worse. When major companies can force smaller companies out, that takes away the freedom that so many enjoy, and many have come to rely on. Support the people of this nation by retaining Net Neutrality. Let us keep our voices.
2518. Eric Huelson, Golden, CO, 80401
Keep Title II in place for maintained net neutrality, please and thank you
2519. Ryan Lutz, Lakewood, CO, 80227
I support keeping ISPs under Title II provisions. This is not a partisan issue, as many politicians want to believe. People of all parties support Title II provisions. The only ones who don't have something to gain by taking Title II away, such as

donations from lobbyists or jobs by those same big corporations once they are no longer in the public sector. Ajit Pai said that there has been no behavior that warrants Title II provisions. This is wrong. When Verizon slows down Netflix traffic, this is a clear that Title II is needed. They will say that this was down for "network congestion", but apparently as soon as Title II goes in, the network congestion clears up. Hmmm.... I wonder how that works. In addition, I do not support the FTC taking over enforcement of Title II. The FTC does not have the means or manpower to do this.

2520. Jordan Fields, Arvada, CO, 80002
I support strong net neutrality backed by the title 2 oversight of ISP's
2521. everyoneintheworld, Lakewood, CO, 80401
Save net neutrality. Preserve title 2 and bring freedom back to the people. Don't let big corporate and big government control our lives.
2522. add, Broomfield, CO, 80021
Net neutrality must be followed
2523. Christopher McKenney, thornnton, CO, 80229
Protect Net Neutrality. Preserve Title II!
2524. Wendy Woods, Morrison, CO, 80465
Please KEEP net neutrality and title 2 regulations. FREEDOM (of so many things) is what this country was founded on and doing away with net neutrality goes against that.
2525. David McFall, Arvada, CO, 80003
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs. Please help to preserve net neutrality and title two.
2526. Alastair, Westminster, CO, 80031
please explain and direct the latest thoughts around net neutrality. My opinion currently, is to not change Title 2 requirements across the telco and cable providers.
2527. Carolyn Childers, Westminster, CO, 80030
DO NOT ELIMINATE TITLE II CLASSIFICATION AND OVERSIGHT FOR ISPs. All ISPs should be held to the strict standards of Title II per the conclusions of the courts following Verizon's lawsuit regarding the FCC's oversight under the previous classification of Title I. Do not go backwards. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY SAFE!
2528. gary bowker, lakewood, CO, 80228
Never has a cable or cell provider had my interest as a primary issue only thru watch dog groups, rules and laws have they been limited in how they can price gouge and limit service compared to over counties I've lived in.
2529. Douglas Pintar, Westminster, CO, 80031
Once again, I would strongly urge you to maintain Title II protections on internet service providers. They are no less common carriers than phone companies and airlines, moving information around the world. Giving them an opportunity to abuse the privacy of their customers and create limits on non-paid services is completely counter to the whole spirit of the Internet. Proponents of the change claim that Title II rules have stifled network infrastructure development, but all objective reports show this to be an untrue fabrication. Mr Pai's former employer, Verizon, has been documented many times already violating the rules, and allowing them to proceed with no oversight could not possibly be in the best interest of the American public. I've been involved with networking for a loooong time (had my first email address in 1974, on the original ARPAnet) and hereby heartily encourage you all to keep the public trust and vote to maintain Title II for Internet Service Providers. Thank you for your attention.
2530. Broc Yanda, Westminster, CO, 80234
Ajit Pai has no interest in the public on how the internet should be run, the millions of dollars spent currently to change current members of congress is testament enough. These for profit companies want to be free to limit the access to the open free access to the internet. There is a reason why the past FCC chairman Tom Wheeler said the companies who have personal financial gain also support repeal of this title. IF YOU DO THIS REPEAL YOU WILL COMPLEXITY DESTROY THE FREEDOM OF AMERICANS.
2531. Andrew, Thornton, CO, 80229
I would like to let you know that I believe that getting rid of net neutrality would not be beneficial. Without net neutrality it encourages the large Internet companies to get larger and small ones to die. I would appreciate if you didn't give in
2532. Jack Lenny, Westminster, CO, 80031
Please do not turn the world wide web into a class system
2533. Damian McCarthy, Thornton, CO, 80229
You like North Korea? Removing Net Neutrality is how you become North Korea.

2534. Ray Rogers, Westminster, CO, 80031
I'm not sure what all this address but it seems to me that access to the internet should not be limited to just a few corporate giants such as Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon. I agree with others that Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Without net neutrality, big companies could censor people and perspectives online. Net neutrality has been called the "First Amendment of the Internet." Please do not do this. Leave it alone. Thank you.
2535. Robert Arnold, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Enough should be enough. Why break something that isn't broken? As for big business managing the internet, how do you propose to keep it secure, when they can't even protect their own customers? Privatizing the internet will not grow it. But it may very well trash it.
2536. Dee Hardy, Lakewood, CO, 80232
I support net neutrality. I am a Medical Laboratory Technician, I need to research medical conditions on line, take online courses, and discuss findings with others.
2537. Emily Adams, Westminster, CO, 80021
When I saw the FCC was calling this "Restoring Internet Freedom," I literally laughed out loud. Allowing huge corporations like Comcast to throttle Internet speeds is not Internet freedom. If we really value small businesses and entrepreneurs, net neutrality is vital. I strongly urge the FCC to keep Title II rules in place.
2538. Jonathon Cornwell, Lakewood, CO, 80214
The public overwhelmingly wants net neutrality. Will you listen to the will of the people or do what pads the pockets of corporations? As a software developer my work depends on net neutrality, don't harm one of the most important industries in the world.
2539. Robin Ladebue, Golden, CO, 80401
Internet neutrality IS free speech!
2540. Garrett Mengel, Westminster, CO, 80031
The only ones who want to break net neutrality are a small group of profiteers. The Web was developed using public funds - don't give it away to special interests, keep it a PUBLIC resource!
2541. Jim Nelson, Thornton, CO, 80241
I think net neutrality is fundamental to free speech guaranteed by the Constitution.
2542. Patrick Hambek, Westminster, CO, 80031
The internet has always been open. To roll back regulations is a joke and clearly the head of the FCC doesn't understand this as he is only worried about taking care of the big providers. Which by the way hold a monopoly. To say otherwise, is someone that doesn't look at facts. Not to mention the lack of any real competition in the market. If the FCC is chair is really about competition, why isn't there any?
2543. Colin H Barry, Golden, CO, 80403
Please leave net neutrality intact as it stands today. Do not bend to corporate interests just because they are funding politicians campaigns!
2544. Alexander Clem, Northglenn, CO, 80241
I am against the proposed rules that would in effect, end net neutrality.
2545. Aaron Levine, Lakewood, CO, 80214
We want Net Neutrality! Please do not ignore the millions of responses you see here
2546. Brandon Hellbusch, Lakewood, CO, 80226
I believe removing Title II protections will grant overwhelming power of internet content to ISP's. This is a large problem for the free exchange of information we all look to the internet for. I am disturbed that Verizon also employed the very man who is involved in this action. Please don't give more power to monopolies.
2547. Cameron DeCoster, Arvada, CO, 80005
Net neutrality is important and should remain in place. Leave broadband classified as Title II. All data should be treated the same; no fast lanes should be established. We've already seen what can happen if ISP's are allowed to strong-arm companies and users to get what they want. And it doesn't work out well for the end user.
2548. Mark Gilbert, Lakewood, CO, 80215
I am in favor of net neutrality. Our major ISPs have benefited from non-free market forces that have given them a monopoly. Yes, they have invested in infrastructure and should receive an adequate return on investment, but this should not include the ability to change internet speeds for either producer or consumer unless additional payments are received. Everyone should have access to high speed internet at a reasonable cost without extra tariffs being charged by these corporations. Please please do not allow this. Please uphold the tenets of net neutrality. Thank you.

2549. Jon Genova, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
There seems to be a disconnect from logic in the current FCC's plan to repeal Net Neutrality rules. There is no benefit to the consumer in changing the rules, and only the internet service providers gain. It seems that Mr. Pai believes he is still litigating for his paying fiends and clients at the expense of the American people.
2550. Alexander Schwartz, Thornton, CO, 80241
The internet should be a neutral ground where all data can be accessed at the same speeds. Paying premiums for loading content like youtube videos would be the first pitfall in a long line of terrible policies put forth by internet service providers.
2551. Caleb Boyd, Arvada, CO, 80005
At some point we have to stop allowing money to rule the government and let people be the deciding factor. The ONLY thing to gain here is money. But the masses will see none of this. In fact, it is simply another way to funnel our hard earned dollars into the hands of media oppressors. Please allow us to have something free in this "free" country.
2552. Tedi Krouchkov, Northglenn, CO, 80234
Keeping Net Neutrality is critical to the protection and growth of individuals and small businesses not only in the U.S, but individuals that do business or interact with the U.S. Keep Net Neutrality, keep everyone free to say, see, and learn what they want. Keep Net Neutrality.
2553. Brandon Alexander, Northglenn, CO, 80233
I wish to see net neutrality laws stay in place.
2554. stephen raspberry, Wheat ridge, CO, 80033
Our internet freedom is priceless.
2555. Henry Stabenau, Arvada, CO, 80005
Keep Net Neutrality Net neutrality Respects the rule of law, Congress' Constitutional authority to set interstate communications policy, the Constitution's protections, and court precedent. Net neutrality Encourages private investment and innovation....Net neutrality Continues Congress' bipartisan Internet policy in law to keep the "competitive free market... Internet... unfettered by Federal... regulation."...Net Neutrality Encourages public-private cooperation to get broadband to all Americans fastest under the FCC's National Broadband Plan.
2556. Gary Westbrook, Denver, CO, 80216
MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY!!!
2557. David Piette, Lakewood, CO, 80227
I am against repealing net neutrality for the good of the USA.
2558. Colin Eakes, Broomfield, CO, 80021
This would be a terrible miscarriage of your duties as members of the FCC. You know that as well as we do. Fundamentally, you must make a stand against the people who would line your pockets, and do what is right. What we ask isn't easy, As far back as anyone can remember, people had to look out for themselves first. But with the removal of net neutrality, I don't think it's dramatic at all to say you make the world as a whole worse. If that's worth it to you, we can't stop you (we also didn't elect you, but that's neither here nor there). Please do the right thing.
2559. Kurt Brumbaugh, Lakewood, CO, 80214
The internet is a utility and should be regulated like one. The internet should be classified as a Title II Utility.
2560. aaron moss, Lakewood, CO, 80227
Please don't allow the internet to be monetized. Access to information must not be regulated by who can afford it the most. Access to information is an opportunity that must be available to everyone equally, without regard to their financial status.
2561. Joshua Baker, Westminster, CO, 80031
There is no case, situation or circumstance where removing net neutrality rules benefit the consumer or promotes innovation or growth in smaller business. Protecting the people and small businesses are the primary reason government exists. Please, exercise some sense and preserve these rules. We all benefit from them.
2562. Robert O'Daniel, Lakewood, CO, 80226
After years of ISPs having free reign to censor the Internet and create fraudulent charges (some masquerading as government fees), we finally got rules in place to help govern them to keep them from hurting consumers. After a mere 3 years in service, they are being dismantled for no other reason that the director suddenly thinks they should. This man was a corporate lawyer for Verizon for years, so his motives are more than questionable. This is an anti-consumer, unnecessarily pro-ISP move that will only allow ISPs to continue to censor the Internet in their favor. Please stand up for the consumers and the American people and allow the free flow of information throughout.
2563. Katherine McCardwell, Arvada, CO, 80007
The internet was designed to be an open information ecosystem. Any efforts to restrict the tenets of the Open Internet will

erode its utility for social good as well as for economic good. As an information professional, it troubles me that politicians are interested in rolling back our shared access to information to benefit a handful of telecom providers who in the past have even observed that Net Neutrality has not prevented them from investing in infrastructure improvements, as the FCC has claimed. Despite what the FCC has claimed, that doesn't sound like a change in the rules in the interest of open competition: it sounds like an unfair concession to a handful of businesses, at the expense of citizens and all other businesses (e.g., those whose websites and services might be made less competitive through slowdowns and bottlenecks put in place by competitors favored by the FCC's ruling). Further, in today's world, the Internet is, in effect, a public space and utility, so special "toll lanes" are regressive and not in the best interest of our community. I urge you to keep the internet open: more freedom of (permitted/legal) information is good, and that is best achieved by keeping Net Neutrality regulations in place.

2564. Scott Maddux, Westminster, CO, 80031

Please preserve net neutrality and treat all internet traffic equally! This is nothing more than a shameless giveaway to ISP's at the expense of the consumer. Do the right thing.

2565. James Westbrook, Westminster, CO, 80021

I am a student who uses the Internet on a daily basis for research, and my time is limited between work and school - I can only get so much done, in so much time. Thankfully, I currently have fast Internet and can get my resources on the fly, when I need them. But in Paragraph 82, there is a question on whether throttling should be regulated. The fact is, if a company is given the opportunity to take advantage of the rules in order to profit off of it, they will do so with no thought about who they are affecting. For my purposes, if certain websites were to be throttled, it would slow down my research and further tighten my already constricted schedule. I do not believe that companies should get to choose how to deliver content, due to how much every person relies on the Internet for information. Please re-consider changing Title II rules, and think about how it will affect future generations. This is no small matter that we are discussing here, and I hope the FCC understands the gravity of the decision they are about to make. Do the right thing. Protect Net Neutrality, and do not give into demands from companies who are sure to abuse whatever power you give them. Thank you.

2566. Christopher Reid, Westminster, CO, 80021

Net neutrality is essential for the free flow of information and for equal entrepreneurial opportunity in not only the software industry but many others as well.

2567. Denis Chon, Lakewood, CO, 80226

To whom it may concern: Please leave net neutrality in place. I will not be making a point by point argument, as I do not believe that such arguments will be seriously considered. I simply want this email counted as a "leave net neutrality alone" comment. Overwhelming public support for a position is most likely the strongest argument that can be made by the public. Thank you, Denis Chon

2568. Luke Perkins, Northglenn, CO, 80233

I support net neutrality. Internet service providers should stay classified as common carriers and regulated under title II.

2569. Gabriel Daniels, Lakewood, CO, 80215

I am in support of strong net neutrality with Title 2 backing, and am against the "Restoring Internet Freedom Act", as only greedy, monopolistic companies stand to gain any freedom.

2570. Nick Lauer, Westminster, CO, 80031

Dear FCC, Please maintain the open internet standards that are currently in place - known as "net neutrality". If we allow ISP's to discriminate between data types, create fast and slow lanes and charge more for access to certain types of content, we will be going against basic American ideals. The internet has been built on a foundation of free, unfettered speech and entrepreneurial spirit of individuals and small companies. In a content neutral internet, all voice, opinions and ideas have a much more equal chance to be heard and shared. This is the essence of free speech. Chairman Pai's proposal would subvert these American ideals and hand our essential freedoms to subsidized monolithic companies that have no interest in our individual freedoms. They operate in a world of profit margins and purchased politicians. Please oppose Chairman Pai's attempts to roll back our open internet, and continue to allow creative, clever and freedom-loving systems to speak, learn, work and create freely using our primary medium of communication, the internet. Sincerely yours, Nick Lauer

2571. Kevin Wills, Lakewood, CO, 80227

It seems that the concept of a neutral playing field for all content providers is crucial in this time of large content or access providers merging so frequently. If consumers had more choices in internet providers (currently, in a large city, I have only one provider that can deliver more than 2Mbps - Comcast), that might be different. But there needs to be consumer protections, not just corporate protections. Take care of consumers (actual people) and do not cater to corporate (not people) requests.

2572. Gregory Mogab, Arvada, CO, 80004

I am writing to implore you to protect net neutrality on the web by adopting Title II protections. As a small business owner that develops websites and applications for other small businesses all over the country, a reliable, open, and fair internet is critical for my livelihood and my company. Any threat to a neutral internet is a threat to my company, and the companies with which we do business. Please, respect the wishes of the vast amount of American citizens, on both sides of the aisle,

who are unbelievably in support of robust net neutrality protections that places the rights and desires of citizens above those of ISPs. Thank you, Greg Mogab

2573. Heath Rost, Arvada, CO, 80002
Providing Internet Service Providers with any sort of command over the structure and output of the Internet is giving them the same control over the Internet as other major social networks. Small business rely on social engines/networks like Facebook and Google to communicate with their communities. These companies earned their right to maintain these digital highways. They grew and expanded by listening to the wants and needs of their user base. Internet Service Providers would love to have the same or even more control over digital (real - face to face) highways that dictate effective communication in the first quarter of the 21st century. An effective highway is fast, clean and gets people where they want to go. It rarely needs repairing or receives complaints. We don't live in a perfect world with those type of digital highways, but with Net Neutrality the people have control over where we choose to keep our focus on the road. Giving ISPs any more control over the digital highway will not only hurt major social networks, but the businesses that rely on them to run and grow their business. An effective digital highway has one focus, to nurture and guide the conversation, everything else is ads.
2574. Bob Hood, Lakewood, CO, 80226
Really? "Restoring Internet Freedom" for whom? Let's not play political games: You're quite aware that the Internet is not a private, commercial entity. It is part of the public commons, and it is the FCC's charter to protect communication mediums that are part of the public commons. There's no doubt that the Internet qualifies under that category. It is NOT the FCC's job to support banana-republic policies that favor the needs of corporations looking to co-opt and profit from the public commons. But, of course, you know that already, no matter how much you want to ignore it.
2575. John Halliday, Westminster, CO, 80031
I strongly support the continued Title II classification of ISPs. The short sighted, profit-driven attempt to remove this classification will ultimately not only harm the industry, but our country as a whole. Giving control over how fast some websites can be accessed over others to an ever shrinking near monopolistic group of ISPs in the private sector, who's sole responsibility is to profit - not citizens - is incredibly dangerous. Who determines what is worthy of the highest speeds and what is not? What personal motives will drive these decisions? Should free and open access to all speech, a core American value, not be honored? What if a political party you don't like takes power over these ISPs? Today the ISPs might be on your political side, but what about tomorrow? If you remove Title II classification, you put all of our futures at risk. In the modern era, life flows through the internet. Commerce, information, job seeking, communication, political and scientific research, the expansion of freedom to countries without it, pushing back against extremist ideologies, cross continental collaboration to solve the world's issues the list is endless. It has become intertwined with every aspect of our daily lives. To pass complete control over who sees what websites and how fast they are able to access these websites to a corporation, accountable to NO ONE, threatens the future of our country and the world itself. Imagine if we allowed water to be privatized in this country, and the corporations in control decided some neighborhoods should get less than others - or maybe none at all - because it was less profitable. As with water, or free speech ... open access to the internet is an essential human right. Without it, democracy, freedom and human progress crumble. Please put people above profit ... just once.
2576. Michael Nathaniel Conner, Broomfield, CO, 80024
I as a citizen of these United States of America urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. There is no place for corporations to try to control the speed of the internet.
2577. Geoff Lehr, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Net neutrality - the principle that ISPs and other information carriers should allow all traffic to travel across their wires without preference for a kind or origin of data - is vital to maintain. It is what allows freedom on the internet; for new companies to challenge incumbents, for those with less influence and money to make themselves heard; to ensure that the internet is a commons, a many-to-many medium, not a one-to-many one like television or radio. Net neutrality must be maintained and strengthened. Those ISPs who would ignore it must be punished. The FCC is here to serve the American people, not American (or foreign) corporations. Don't give in to corporate interests.
2578. Tristan Storz, Lakewood, CO, 80215
The internet as we know it belongs to everyone. We cannot allow any one entity to control how information is passed through it and how information is presented to individuals. It is a powerful device that has the potential to sway public dialogue, manipulate how we perceive events, and guide our futures. No one person or corporation has the right to do any of that. We as people, as individuals, need to retain the right to communicate freely and openly without any one thing acting as a guiding hand for that discourse. Please fight to keep the internet open and free!
2579. Ahab Hopperton, Denver, CO, 80216
Please maintain internet net-neutrality. It makes no sense to change a system that currently works so well. The limited commercial gain is not worth it for anyone.
2580. Anthony Roberts, Lakewood, CO, 80232
Dear FCC, Classify all Internet Service Providers under Title II. It is the only way to provide a legally enforceable set of rules that protects consumers from unfair and anti-competitive behavior. Pretending that because the internet isn't a dystopian hell-scape now, we don't need strong protections, is exactly the sort of toothless regulatory regime that huge corporations want.

We don't need business friendly regulations. We need strong, consumer focused regulations. We don't need the FCC to stand up for the rights of corporations, they've got plenty of highly paid lawyers to do that. We need an FCC that defends and protects consumers from unfair practices. Comcast, Time Warner, Verizon, AT&T, these are some of the most hated companies in the country. If you think for one second they care about their customers, then you are being willfully ignorant. A quick Google search will tell you exactly how far ISPs will go to screw over their customers in the name of profit. Again, Title II classification for ISPs is the only way to ensure a fair and open internet, and the FCC's misleadingly named "Restoring Internet Freedom" proceedings need to be renamed, because the only freedoms being restored are the freedoms ISPs will have to screw over their customers even more than they already do. Sincerely, Anthony Roberts

2581. Andrew Salazar, Lakewood, CO, 80235

I do not trust ISP's to control the speed or availability of information. Giving someone a fast lane is equivalent to giving someone else a slow lane. Keep the ISPs regulated and force them to allow ALL information to move at the same speed.

2582. William Alejandro Azcona, Denver, CO, 80221

I, William Alejandro Azcona of Denver, Colorado oppose any measure that would limit or remove Net Neutrality. The elimination of Net Neutrality would be a grievous step backwards for American ideals, our economy, and most critically, the functioning of our democracy. As a citizen, I will actively vote against any individual or organization that promotes or implements its removal. Access to any site on the internet MUST remain unbiased for true communication, collaboration, and dissent to exist. At the level of the citizen, the removal of Net Neutrality will inevitably lead to the effective silencing of American voices and grassroots organizations who cannot afford the privileges of reasonable accessibility (via reasonable internet speeds) granted to those with deeper pockets. From a business standpoint, companies like Google, Amazon, Netflix, Facebook, Twitter and many other American behemoths of the modern internet owe their success directly to Net Neutrality. Having a superior experience to rivals with greater market shares of users only gets one so far. If say, MySpace cut a deal with the major ISPs back in the day for preferred access to their social media site, something entirely possible without Net Neutrality in place, companies like Facebook and Twitter would likely have been failed business experiences. After all, part of the perceived value of any site, is its accessibility. As an individual starting a small business, success hinges on my customers having the same accessibility to my online content as that of my competitors. We don't restrict shipping companies to particular lanes of an interstate highway depending on how much they are willing to pay and it should be the same for the internet, despite that its access is largely provided by corporate ISPs rather than the US government. Of most concern is how the removal of Net Neutrality will destroy our democracy and the American experience. All companies have biases toward themselves and often ally themselves with political ideals, movements, and parties which support them or are in favor with the individuals running those companies. ISPs are no different. Without Net Neutrality, an ISP will be within their right to throttle content on the internet that runs against their financial and political interests effectively silencing that content. This runs absolutely counter to everything the United States stands for. Like it or not, we are a country which now lives online and any entity with the ability to control what we have access to within that realm is an affront to our democracy. We MUST have Net Neutrality to ensure our freedom as a people, and the free market of our economy. Its removal by the FCC would blow up in the face of those who would remove it, as it hands over all power of modern communication to those who are not beholden to anyone but themselves, and whose interests are entirely their own.

2583. John Becker, Thornton, CO, 80241

I strongly support Title II classification for ISPs and believe it should continue. ISPs have evolved into utility providers. I consider it no different than when I used to have a land telephone line. Like gas, electric, phone, and water providers, Internet Service Providers need to be regulated to ensure they treat all customers and all Internet traffic equally. There are large and small utilities just like there are large and small ISPs. They are able to operate and profit just fine under regulation. ISPs can do the same.

2584. Douglas Bates, Arvada, CO, 80003

The internet is a critical public communications utility as is America's cellular, landline telephone, and radio services. The corporations providing access to this network of networks have repeatedly manipulated access for profit, specifically with select content types and providers. With few or no competitively rated alternatives, nothing except its Title II classification prevents future abuses upon consumers seeking information and the many providers sharing it online. In no way, does rolling back FCC regulations applied to these few, conglomerate corporations actually "restore freedom" to the American public. The companies report to their own shareholders how public demand continues to drive infrastructure deployment, desperately needed in both rural and urban centers by almost every American. Title II classification has not stopped network growth. Its regulations and reporting requirements are no significant burden and far outweighed by the immense, mostly passive revenue generated by building of these networks. If these companies and their partners also provide exclusive content to their subscribers, this is certainly not the defining use driving consumers. It definitely does nothing to suggest that internet access is anything but a critical and public communications utility, reaching far beyond any one provider. Individual and retroactive complaints filed with the FTC were never an effective deterrent of abuse, particularly where most of the public using the network cannot understand its operation and may not, themselves, differentiate between appropriate network management (independent of content) and commercially-driven manipulation of their public access across it. I have read the full proceeding and carefully follow all sides of this argument, its technology and industry. The proceeding's wording and references were carefully crafted to paint a very incomplete and misleading picture of the issue, its impacts, and how few Americans (corporations really) actually benefit vs all the citizens being taken advantage of. It is irresponsible of the FCC to ignore or mislead the public interests and citizens it represents in technical matters like America's

communications infrastructure. I urge each commissioner and anyone reading this to carefully research this critical issue. Look well beyond any lobbyists, financial supporters, and "echo chamber" (know what this is). Consider those you serve and protect. Commit yourself to the values and mission of the Federal Communications Commission. And please fight to maintain Title II protections for what is perhaps this country's most crucial public utility and means of free speech, education, and democracy. Respectfully, Douglas Batesa deeply concerned citizen writing freely from a smartphone in America's wilderness thanks to an open and neutral internet

2585. Calum Traveler, Golden, CO, 80401

Keep the Title II provisions for Net Neutrality and the Internet. ISP Companies will not self enforce these rules themselves under Title I despite what they claim (And are already trying to find loopholes to violate the rules even while the Title II rules are *still in place*), and will instead stifle competition. They want "Fast lanes" and "Slow lanes" and to have their preferred websites be the fastest while other sites of their competitors are slowed down. This is inherently monopolistic, and exploitative. Despite what ISPs claim, changing the classification from Title II to Title I will NOT better anyone or anything but the ISP's CEO's wallets, and will instead stifle everyone else while keeping healthy competition from being able to start up to compete against them. Keep the Title II classifications.

2586. Paul Brayford, Westminster, CO, 80021

To make it unambiguous, I am strongly OPPOSED to the repeal of the net neutrality rules. 1) In many areas there is no actual competition for internet service providers. Yes, in any given large area there are multiple companies that offer service, but at specific addresses, you're lucky if you have more than a single option. Without a competitive market, and if the providers go completely unregulated, it's entirely possible that they can and will have their way with consumers who have no other real option. 2) The content of what anyone sees on the internet should not affect the priority, speed, or access. Do you want to be like China, but letting corporate entities restrict information you have access to instead? Enough households have trouble enough finding the cash for internet access as it is, let alone if an internet provider were allowed to create a tiered system that priced up access or connection speed to specific types of information. 3) The internet has become an essential resource - the same as phone, power, and dare I say water. Need a new job? Apply online. Need to file an insurance claim? Go online. Want to give your elderly parents emergency access to contacts with the push of a button? Internet-connected devices. An essential resource should be regulated as one, and given that the internet has almost all but replaced the phone system as the most important connectivity method, it's high time to stop thinking of it like a commodity. 4) YES - COMPANIES WILL LIKELY TAKE ADVANTAGE OF CONSUMERS. Verizon has already proved this with its new rules that artificially throttle connection speeds to force lower resolutions when watching videos over LTE. T-Mobile has been selectively favoring some streaming traffic over others, based on if that content company is willing to pay. While these are fairly harmless applications, it proves that the providers are already looking to control content to the consumer, and that's a very slippery slope to start heading down. Removing these net neutrality rules would only add lubrication to that slope. 5) Many households are becoming internet-only based. TV and entertainment over the internet. Phone over the internet. Home security over the internet. Remote working from home, via the internet. This is utility that is becoming a single-point of failure, and should be regulated to ensure that the service providers don't go rampant and screw over more than 300 million Americans who use, need, and rely on internet service.

2587. Logan Smith, Westminster, CO, 80021

I am in support of the current Net Neutrality laws set in place by the FCC. We live in the age of information, a direct result of the internet's creation. Limiting such technology and giving into the profit hungry cooperations will be limiting ourselves as a society and as individuals.

2588. David Dempsey, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033

Though we live in a Capitalist society the Internet shouldn't be a place of extortion on those that cannot afford it. The proof of that has already been provided with the failing cable TV system that we have now. Please allow everyone to have the same speeds and access to the internet instead of allowing the wealthy more power and control while keeping those of us, who cannot afford it, from enjoying the beautiful interconnectedness that the internet has to offer. Please maintain Net Neutrality.

2589. Zachary T, Golden, CO, 80401

I urge that the FCC keep ISPs under Title II classification. This will help keep the internet free from manipulation by corporations for profit.

2590. Darius Vega, Thornton, CO, 80229

Leave the net neutral

2591. Nickolas Brown, Lakewood, CO, 80228

American is supposed to be free, isn't it? Can we start blocking attempts to enslave the internet, because it's sort of like treason?

2592. jenny butler, Arvada, CO, 80004

The Internet has become a public utilities and should be regulated as such. If the financial crisis of 2008 has taught us anything, it should be that when large corporations are unregulated and permitted to engage in practices which increase profits for shareholders and provide no value to average citizens, they will do so. People need the Internet to apply for almost

all jobs now. While large corporations have the capacity to pay a premium for access their sites, local governments, school districts, public libraries & the Main Street stores we hear about on the campaign trail do not. An informed citizenry requires a free and open internet.

2593. Christina Butler, Arvada, CO, 80004

It is my understanding that Net Neutrality is defined as: the principle that Internet service providers should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites. Based on this definition, I do not feel that ISP's (Internet Service Providers) should have access to the content that passes over their infrastructure regardless of source and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites. This data can include government information, banking information, medical information. A significant amount of legislation will need to be put in place to define how an ISP under Net Neutrality operates within the boundaries of other information protection regulations such as such as: Reg E, IRS information handling and HIPAA (to name a few).

2594. Jihad Milhem, Denver, CO, 80221

As an actor and artist I find it important that my content has just as much access to broadband as any other source of information on the internet. The equal market of broadband access allows for a free exchange of ideas and allows the marketplace to decide what is best for the American internet user. Please keep the strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II in place. To allow a fighting chance for any good idea or creative content on the internet.

2595. Ethan Smith, Thornton, CO, 80229

I can't believe you are making me fill out another one of these. I'm calling on the FCC to stand up for net neutrality and safeguard Title II protections. Please listen to the millions of Internet users who rely on a free and open Internet, and not just the handful of large ISPs who stand to gain from rolling back net neutrality protections. The FCC should throw out Chairman Ajit Pai's plan to hand the government-subsidized ISP monopolies like AT&T, Verizon, and Comcast the legal cover to throttle whatever they please, stripping users of the vital access and privacy rules we worked for and just recently won. And while we're at it, throw out Ajit Pai for calling the plea of millions of angry Americans a DDOS attack with no evidence or repercussions.

2596. Stephen Belanger, Denver, CO, 80233

Net neutrality is one of the greatest things on earth, removing it for the sake of stopping pretty much anything is the equivalent of gagging a protester. That's why I support net neutrality.

2597. Katherine Chawla, Arvada, CO, 80005

As a computer science student, having access to as much information as possible helps me create new technology to improve the world.

2598. Daunte Bradeen, Arvada, CO, 80005

Net neutrality allows many content creators to have an uninhibited outlet for creativity and speech. Without it, information and perspective become lost. The internet should be a place of true freedom.

2599. Vittorio , Dupont, CO, 80024

I grew up with the internet for a very long time. America is about freedom speech and we do what ever we want! Please, leave the Net Neutrality alone!

2600. Leeann Sorenson, Lakewood, CO, 80215

Freedom of the internet is crucial. Don't mess with our internet. It is great just the way it works now. Don't take us backward!

2601. Garrett Mengel, Westminster, CO, 80031

The only ones in favor of killing Net Neutrality are crooks and special interests

2602. Sherry Milligan, Denver, CO, 80233

Stop taking our freedoms away! STOP IT! We need the freedom the internet allows us to become informed, and it's protected under Title II, so, we have already spoken as citizens of America. Please protect our Rights and stop taking them away!

2603. Ronald Vest, Federal Heights, CO, 80260

If you demand privacy you must sign this petition!

2604. Betty Davies, Arvada, CO, 80005

It is sad we are losing our liberties. Please do not let this happen.

2605. Ann Frank, Arvada, CO, 80005

As a computer science student, equal access to all of the internet is necessary for me and my peers to do the most good we can for this world. It lets us communicate. We need to know the most up-to-date information on new technology, so we know what we're working with. We need to be able to talk to each other and share work consistently, regardless of distance. We need to know what's going on in the world, not just what providers want us to see, so we can help. I believe internet providers

should not have the power to discriminate against certain types of content – especially since people of color and other marginalized folks will suffer the most. I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality under the FCC's existing rules and keep broadband internet access classified under Title II.

2606. Peter Nelson, Lakewood, CO, 80215

The internet is a basic necessity for me and others like me -- much like electricity. Without the open internet, we would lose our ability to have our stories heard, innovate, and find the information we need. Internet providers should not be allowed to unilaterally decide what content is more accessible – especially since people of color and other economically and politically marginalized populations would once again be disadvantaged. I urge FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality under Title II of the hard fought FCC existing rules.

2607. Avalon Jacka, Lakewood, CO, 80232

Free information is an important aspect of democracy, as much as freedom of speech. No company or government has the right to control a citizen's access to information, especially by slowing internet speed when accessing certain websites. Keep the internet free of such influence.

2608. James A. Messerich, Arvada, CO, 80003

It has become very apparent to me that modern access to the internet and similar web-based systems should be fairly and freely controlled by the US Government for the benefit of the commons. It is no small issue that this infrastructure itself was significantly developed through US government-sponsored research. It is readily apparent to any objective observer that the corporations providing access to this network have repeatedly manipulated access for profit. Rolling back FCC regulations does not provide "more freedoms" for the public users of these systems. Appropriate management of these systems must be kept safe, fair, and free for the benefit of American Citizens first and foremost. I find it profoundly irresponsible for the FCC to ignore or mislead the public interests and citizens it represents in critical modern communications matters like America's communications infrastructure. Please fight to maintain Title II protections for what has become perhaps this country's most crucial public utility and means of free speech, education, and democracy. Please fight to maintain an open and neutral internet. Respectfully, James A. Messerich Home address: 7134 Quay Circle, Arvada, CO 80003

2609. John L, Golden, CO, 80403

"Restoring Internet Freedom" is a sham. The people come first. Hands off our internet.

2610. Julia Kincaid Morrison, Arvada, CO, 80004

Please protect net neutrality. Our right to consume and communicate through the internet should not be controlled by private corporate entities. It will protect us from the suppression of information that controllers wouldn't like, and is the strongest thing that stands between us and fascism.

2611. DJ Hurley, Westminster, CO, 80021

Net neutrality should be left in place. It is bad enough the federal government allows the decrease of competition and increase of consumer costs by approving mergers, buyouts, etc. Allowing the ISP (and large corporations that own them) to determine which sites deserve priority is akin to a form of censorship and the denial of our right to free speech under the first amendment.

2612. Scott Clendenin, Arvada, CO, 80002

I believe that ISPs should be classified as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934; government regulation of ISPs is essential to insure that ISPs don't arbitrarily block websites, reduce service speed, or charge more for access to internet 'fast lanes.' I am 100% certain that without the common carrier classification that ISPs will block websites, reduce service speed, and charge for 'fast lanes.' I don't believe that the FCC plan proposed by Mr. Pai has anything to do with providing better internet service for American consumers...it is all about providing ISPs with more power and more ways to profit off of American consumers. Please do not proceed with the proposed plan to remove Title II classification for ISPs. Thanks, Scott

2613. Adolisa Schaefer, Arvada, CO, 80002

A free and open market stimulates ISP competition. Open internet inspires innovation and education. My concerns are how a limited and regulated internet will impact our basic human rights to equality and free speech. Equitable access to information is critical to ensuring that our populous remains competitive in a global economy. Net Neutrality must be protected and in this age of information, I believe that it's a basic human right to have equal access to all information.

2614. Nicholas Franz, 12-308, CO, 80228

I strongly support net neutrality and keeping isp's under title II classification.

2615. Richard Grier, Lakewood, CO, 80228

Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop this action by the legal action. I believe that throttling is not in the best interest of the public. I also believe that mobile providers who say a plan is "unlimited," be required to provide actual unlimited use. In my opinion, NET neutrality is vital to the continued development of digital communications. Respectfully submitted.

2616. Josh Giles, Arvada, CO, 80005
I realize I have now missed the 08/30 deadline for comment submissions. On the off chance submissions are still being accepted I want to make my voice heard. I have three principal concerns: 1) Rolling back Net Neutrality will have adverse effects on freedom of speech as upload and download speeds of particular content will be dependent on the proclivities of particular internet service providers. 2) The nature of economic competition between ISPs will result in the limiting of specific content available to the American people depending on which content providers have the favor of which ISPs.3) With respect, I am unsure if the panel considering the roll back of Net Neutrality has the technical understanding, or has sought out sufficient advice from those who do, to make an educated ruling. Thank you for your time.
2617. Terrance Kennedy, Lakewood, CO, 80232
FCC chairman Ajit Pai said in April of 2017: "We were not living in some digital dystopia before the partisan imposition of a massive plan hatched in Washington saved all of us."We shouldn't have to wait until we reach the point of digital dystopia. Verizon, AT&T, Comcast, and other ISPs have shown time and time again that they're willing to hurt consumers if it means more profit. Keeping the internet under Title II prevents a large swath of anti-consumer and anti-competitive practices.Please, keep our internet free. Our digital economy which Pai describes as "the envy of the world" has only been helped by Title II regulation, not hurt.
2618. Paige Alfonzo, Lakewood, CO, 80215
Please, please, please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title III!
2619. Gary Fedel, Lakewood, CO, 80227
The attack on net neutrality is a blatant move toward corporatism. Support for such a move by any individual, governmental agency, political or private group is a tacit collusion with the "greedy" interests of big business. There is nothing in this proposal that will benefit the common interests of our citizens.
2620. Sandra Hall, Thornton, CO, 80241
I strongly oppose any infringement on net neutrality and Title 2 oversight of OSPs.
2621. Michael Storlie, Thornton, CO, 80241
Please maintain Net Neutrality and title 2!
2622. Adam Markham, Golden, CO, 80403
I am in full support of maintaining net neutrality. The data being presented to the public trying to demonstrate any support for its repeal is meek and frankly a clear charade to try and enact rules that will hurt the American people.
2623. Corey Binder, Lakewood, CO, 80227
While I believe in encouraging infrastructure spending, I have yet to see any reliable or conclusive data to indicate that reclassifying internet service providers under title II has or will decrease infrastructure spending and therefore believe that the FCC is obligated to continue to classify internet service providers under title II. The FCC is responsible for protecting the interests of ALL people of the United States and those people, including myself, have overwhelmingly requested that internet service providers continue to be classified under title II.
2624. Owen Hartmann, lakewood, CO, 80227
I support strong net neutrality regulations. Censoring, throttling, and fees cannot be government sanctioned. Not only would it threaten a major part of everyday life, deregulation threatens fundamental constitutional liberties. If deregulations occur, you will be noting more than "...a poor, ignorant, malicious, crapulous mass" (in the words of John Adams).
2625. Thomas Boyle, Wheat Ridge, CO, 80033
I love how you've named this filing 'Restoring Internet Freedom'. How positively Orwellian! War is Peace! Ignorance is Strength! Corporate Control of the Internet is Internet Freedom! Look, the last time this issue came up, it had more citizen (voters!) comments than any issue in the FCC's history. Seeing the current number is at 22 million, I'd guess it's right up there this time as well. And the overwhelming majority of those comments are telling you that the public (voters!) want the internet kept TRULY free, which means retaining the current rules on net neutrality.We, the public, your bosses, are telling you what we want. If you refuse to listen to us, we will know that you are nothing more than corporate flunkies. Maybe that won't bother you. Many of the corporate flunkies I've known have been aware of their flunkie-dom; some have even revelled in it. But understand that, if you go against what the people of America want, it means that you are fundamentally anti-democratic. This isn't just corporate interest vs customers, this is corporate interest vs the American people. You are government employees. You should act as your citizen-bosses are instructing you to act: retain the current rules on net neutrality.
2626. david garcia, Lakewood, CO, 80232
Keep net neutrality. DO NOT allow corporations to control the internet.
2627. James Zoller, Westminster, CO, 80021
I want the internet to be open for this to be enforced by the FCC under Title 2.

2628. Todd Driesse, Lakewood, CO, 80232
I strongly support an open and free internet. A vast majority of Americans agree, please do not let the lobbyists win over the will of the American people.
2629. Carole Cotter, Westminster, CO, 80031
We firmly believe in net neutrality
2630. christina chavera, lakewood, CO, 80214
i support strong net neutrality, backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs
2631. Ed, Westminster, CO, 80031
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. From a cable/internet patron.
2632. Jake Timmermeyer, Westminster, CO, 80031
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Keep the internet a unique place to explore ideas and entertainment.
2633. Devin Hinchman, Westminster, CO, 80234
Internet freedom is already being taken away each day by the providers. This is a nightmare. I thought America was supposed to be the land of the free but each day we are losing more and more freedom. To things like Corporate greed, money that a majority of us don't have anymore, and fear. You can't spout how we are the greatest country in the world because of freedom. It is being ripped away. This is just another step toward that.
2634. sarah wakefield, Lakewood, CO, 80227
Preserve net neutrality and title 2! For the freedoms of the internet to be preserved.
2635. Michael Klemm, Golden, CO, 80401
I filed a complain once before against Comcast because for several years now our home internet service has been hacked severely. Comcast claimed they had no evidence but since then we have found quite a lot of evidence ourselves. One of the more recent websites that has been hacking our devices is utopia.net... so the site will create a domain on our devices such as the name of the device i this case my computer is named MKs-VAIO and utopia.net creates a domain called MKs-VAIO.UTOPIA.NET. I do not see how Comcast claims they cannot see this happening. This has cost me over the \$250.000 in the past few years and these viruses and this corrupt utopia.net has ruined 4 of my computer, 8 cell phones, and 5 routers and cable boxes. The hacking has literally ruined m career as a technician as I can't get these viruses off our devices no matter what we have tried. I believe Comcast should have to assume some responsibility especially since I have called them dozens of times to try to get this issue resolved. I have gathered a lot of other information pertaining to these hackers it the FCC is interested. Please help us to resolve this issue because as we haven't had reliable internet service for over 3 years and have been paying for our services, it has not been fair to us or easy for us to perform the simple tasks that one would be able to expect to be able to do if we did not have these horrendous security issues... we cannot bank, shop or do anything securely on our network because these hacker can and do infect any device we put on our network almost immediately and we have not been able to benefit from any normal use of our internet service and cannot continue trying to resolve this issue on our own. We are not network engineers and do not have the resources to handle these attacks where as Comcast probably does. This has left us in financial ruin.

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used national language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post.
<https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9ff0e3ed36a6>.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.