

## Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from CA District #5

These are the 2,280 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative Mike Thompson's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs> under the proceeding 17-108.

1. Bernadette Smith, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
As evidenced by overwhelming opposition to the previous attempt to disrupt Net Neutrality, citizens of this country will suffer reduced freedoms as a result of passing this proposal, particularly those already disenfranchised. Please see eloquent arguments against this proposal...everywhere.
2. Karen MacDougall, Kelseyville, CA, 95451  
I strongly support absolute Net Neutrality. No corporation should be able to regulate access to content, through discriminatory costs or outright censorship, as advocated by Verizon officials. The recent changes proposed by Mr. Pai must be rejected in their entirety. Net Neutrality is the backbone of the 21st Century economy. It supports innovation, creativity, commerce, and good citizenship. Abandoning that resource to the "tender mercies" of corporate profit is ludicrous and will result in tremendous economic, political, and social damage. Withdraw this proposal.
3. Karen MacDougall, Kelseyville, CA, 95451  
I strongly support Net Neutrality. The proposed rules are a travesty and must be rejected entirely. The free and open internet is essential to innovation, economic growth, small business success, 21st Century democracy, and even social well-being. It is a key to continued economic strength and growth, especially in a world-wide market. Giving corporations the unbridled power to decide which content a consumer may be allowed to view and at what price throttles the internet and the principles behind it. For example, Verizon officials have stated that they believe corporations have the right to decide what content a consumer may be allowed to receive, i.e., that corporations have the right to censor content and close off access. No corporation should have that power. The free and open internet is a public good and is essential for the continued growth and strength of the United States. Protect it in its current form and withdraw the proposed rules.
4. Hazel, Crockett, CA, 94525  
Senators... stop jacking off to money for a moment and realize that this affects you too. Personally. For the good of America, don't sell your soul. We're counting on you. We trusted you. Should we have?Hazel
5. Richard Lee Vreeland, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
As an Internet professional, I utilize and access the Internet consistently every day. The openness and neutrality of the Internet, without bias or control by entities for profit, is essential to the continued operation and success of the Internet for all. It has become a Staple of human existence and must not be allowed to be controlled or rationed by any entity. It must remain free and open.
6. Wes Finley, Belmont, CA, 30306  
Net neutrality is one of the few consumer protections we still have. Don't kill it and stall US innovation.
7. Reana H, Napa, CA, 94559  
I am against crippling our nation's progress - which would happen if net neutrality is reversed. Please don't be stupid - keep the internet neutral. Also, classify it as a utility already - it is vital to our society, like electricity.
8. Melissa deCastro, Hercules, CA, 94547  
Why should we have to bring this up over and over again? Do what is best for the people, which is (in my opinion) to make it illegal to sell our data/information.
9. Chester J.Randle, Jr., M.D., American Canyon, CA, 94503  
I am a Pediatrician and I use the internet daily for work and at home for news and entertainment. I believe that our internet, including wireless Internet access, should be viewed as a public utility and that content should not be managed based on the development of slow and fast lanes (i.e. pay to play). Therefore, I believe that the Commission should continue to require ISPs to obey Title II utility-style regulations to assure that internet access is open equally to all businesses, agencies, and academic institutions.
10. Cynthia Tuthill, Hercules, CA, 94547  
I believe that we need net neutrality; that the FCC's role includes protecting internet users, and that companies should not voluntarily police themselves.

11. Paul Gridley, Napa, CA, 94558  
The internet should remain classified as a utility so that the FCC can continue to protect internet users. Internet providers will not voluntarily police themselves.
12. Mary-Kay Gamel, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I am a retired professor, and this action is ONE MORE move on the part of right-wing politicians to make the American public more stupid. We need MORE information, not less, especially not that promoted by rightwing plutocrats!Mary-Kay Gamel
13. wendy Bradley, Napa, CA, 94558  
We already insisted on Net Neutrality and so you didn't mess with it BECAUSE THE VAST MAJORITY OF HUMAN BEINGS IN THE U.S., INDEED, THE WORLD, would NOT STAND for your meddling and undermining the Net. And here you are again, trying to fuck it up for everybody except your Fat Cat Cable Corp. buddies. THIS IS WRONG!!! The 1% already have everything. We have nothing EXCEPT A NEUTRAL I-NET. LEAVE THE NET ALONE. IF YOU DO THIS WE WILL BE IN THE STREETS. YOU WILL BE SORRY.
14. Rlan Sanderson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
As a technology startup entrepreneur net neutrality is incredibly important to me. Net neutrality is how little companies like Google, Facebook, and Netflix grew to be some of the greatest engines of our modern society and economy. Without net neutrality the deck is stacked against the new wave of startup companies looking to unseat the current giants. They can pay the telcos to prioritize their services or subsidize so they seem "free" to consumers. Small and medium companies don't and won't have those kind of resources.
15. Net Neutrality, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Please keep the FCC in control of enforcing net neutrality. Only the FCC, not the FTC, has the power to enforce net neutrality under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. Ordinary people of all political bents from progressives with MoveOn to conservatives with the Christian Coalition support net neutrality. Most large corporations, including, but not limited to, Amazon, Facebook, Google, LinkedIn, Netflix, and Twitter also support net neutrality. In fact the only opposition to net neutrality comes from the telecom giants AT&T, Comcast, Time Warner Cable, and Verizon.
16. Kevin Coleman, Calistoga, CA, 94515  
Dear FCC,I strongly oppose the proposed changes to the net neutrality rules. Chairman Pai's reasons for the rule change do not withstand even minimal scrutiny, and plainly put the interests of ISPs ahead of end users. I respectfully urge you to withdraw the proposed rule change. --Kevin ColemanKevin Coleman
17. Geoffrey, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Dear FCC, Net neutrality is about democracy, plain and simple. Net neutrality allows for an open sharing of opinions and ideas which is essential for a functioning democracy. I personally rely on the internet for the majority of my information regarding what's happening in this country. If net neutrality is destroyed, then large corporations will have monopoly power to effectively eliminate the dissemination of ideas and information that they don't want the public to have access to. Democracy requires an informed electorate, and net neutrality is essential in order to have an informed electorate. My personal access to vital information will be seriously hampered if large corporations are allowed to slow down and stifle the websites that I and millions of Americans rely on to keep informed. Do the right thing for democracy and support net neutrality.Geoffrey
18. Honey, Napa, CA, 94558  
Dear FCC,Freedom! That's what we have fought for. And we will continue to fight for. Do those who impose our freedom impose the same on themselves?Honey
19. Carol Pinson, Martinez, CA, 94553  
The FCC must maintain control of enforcement of net neutrality under Title II of the Telecommunications Act, because only the FCC, not the FTC, has the power to enforce net neutrality.
20. Diego Alvarado, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
HEY IDIOTS!! I don't know if you realize this, but net neutrality is the only thing that is going to keep your business afloat, so I recommend you keep it that way with Title 2 protection.
21. Bernie Krause, PhD, Glen Ellen, CA, 95442  
I strongly urge the FCC to maintain net neutrality as defined under Title II limiting the control of internet commodification. Please do not alter the policies in place prior to 1 January 2017. Thank you.
22. Glenn McCrea, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I want to express in the strongest way that I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. We really need to protect access to fast internet for all without filters imposed by interested parties. Please save the internet!
23. Nichelaus D Major, Napa, CA, 94558  
I support strong Net Neutrality, Backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers

24. Edmundo Feria, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Leave isp's in title II
25. edgar rivera, Napa, CA, 94558  
Please don't take away net neutrality.If you don't promise to take away my net neutrality, I'll promise to give Ajit Pai an even more larger and comical mug to show that he is a fun individual.
26. John Mcgin, vallejo, CA, 94591  
Leave Title II protections as they are!
27. Isaac Walker, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I support Strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title Two oversight of ISP's.
28. Alan Glazier, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Please support Net Neutrality and do not allow this to be dismantled. We do not want the ISP deciding how our bandwidth should be handled. Bytes are Bytes. The internet should be evenly available to all.
29. Tyson Caly, Napa, CA, 94559  
Please keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules intact.
30. Stephen J Fox, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I support keeping Internet Service Providers regulated under Title II. Do not deregulate under the influence of the new FCC chairman who has a blatant conflict of interest.
31. William Anderson, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I am aware of what you're trying to do. Stop doing it. - Signed, a computer scientist who supports Net Neutrality
32. Gillian Groszkiewicz, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Dear grown man who drinks out of a giant candy-branded mug,Do not remove the protections that ensure net neutrality. It is naive to think that removal of such protections will not be abused. ISPs have already engaged in the practice of blocking competitors, which is why the protections were put into place. I can only assume that you personally have some financial incentive for denying these facts and supporting the removal of these protections. Perhaps I am right, and you are corrupt. In which case, I expect you will ignore the will of the people to line your pockets with cash for more absurd accessories befitting a man-child. But just maybe you will care, or someone else who does will read this. Don't do the stupid thing. Protect net neutrality.Sincerely,A grown-up who is already sick of her country being run by incompetent nincompoops and can hardly believe there will be at least 3.5 more years of this horseshit.
33. Tammy Campers, crockett, CA, 94525  
17-108 proceedings
34. Allegra Wilson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
Keep net neutrality! ISPs should remain under Title II of the Communications Act!
35. Christopher Tucker, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not allow regulations to lax and permit ISPs to put profits before consumers.
36. Dane Gaffney, Cotati, CA, 94931  
I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight ISPs. Please do not get rid of net neutrality.
37. Drew Phillips, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I am in support of the Open Internet rules enacted by the FCC on June 12, 2015. As an employee of an internet service provider, I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Please do not undo these protections that were put in place to protect consumers' access rights and privacy.
38. stephen scholey, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
The current net neutrality laws are working well and should not be changed
39. Net Neutrality, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I am writing in to declare my support of Net Neutrality and Title II (Communications Act of 1934) classification of internet service providers (ISP). I think that the internet is an indispensable component of current society and it is of the utmost importance that the ISPs are to remain categorized as Title II. They have shown in the past that they cannot be trusted to play fair and will abuse their power for personal gain. I truly feel that repealing Net Neutrality and Title II classification of ISPs is a threat to the American people and businesses. Thank you for reading my comment.
40. Lisa Averill, Napa, CA, 94559  
Please protect net neutrality. The internet must stay open to preserve fair and equal access for everyone. We cannot have a free internet if it is able to be controlled by those corporations willing to bid the highest.

41. Sean McGough, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Hello,I would just like to voice my support to preserve Net Neutrality, and my support of Title 2 backed regulation and enforcement of Internet Service Providers.
42. Ofeibia Laud-Darku, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Please keep net neutrality by keeping Title 2.
43. Michael, Benicia, CA, 94510  
It is IMPORTANT that ISP's continue to be regulated by Title II, and preserve Net Neutrality. Trusting companies like Comcast, Verizon, and T-Mobile to enforce 'fair and reasonable' standards is totally insufficient to protect the interests and needs of the American people in time of decreasing oversight and corporate greed. The Chairman's remarks are untruthful and disingenuous, and fly in the face of logic and reasonable thought.
44. Daniel Zhu, Pinole, CA, 94564  
I like an open internet where my crappy website can load up at the same speed as big corporations like Google, Facebook, Netflix, etc. Please continue regulate ISPs under Communications Act of 1934 under Title II. It protects my first amendment rights on the internet as well as anybody else who wants a voice on it. Thanks you.
45. Stephen Cobert, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Keep freedom of press and internet .
46. Landon Scott, Cotati, CA, 94931  
The privatization of any utility, on any platform, is a driving force that inhibits the freedoms and prosperity that we as a nation were founded upon. With information becoming the most vital utility of the 21st Century, it is the duty of the FCC, a government entity, to uphold and maintain regulations on the production, movement and distribution of information, in order to prevent malicious intent that is evident within the private telecommunication sector. The notion that these private entities (AT&T, Verizon, T-Mobile, Sprint, etc.) are victims of an overreaching cuff of regulation that stifles growth and building of infrastructure, is a perspective that can only be in tandem with the interests of these entities where the bottom line, like many corporate giants, is profit margins and monopolization of resources. The current standing of Title II enforcement from the FCC is and must continue to be upheld in order to maintain the current freedoms and distribution of information for all citizens within these United States.
47. Suzanne Axtell, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
In order to provide this essential utility to all citizens and to keep the business landscape competitive - a hallmark of our democracy and economy - Internet service providers must be required to enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites. I STRONGLY urge the FCC to maintain and strengthen net neutrality rules!Thank you,Suzanne Axtell
48. Brian Holahan, Kelseyville, CA, 95451  
I support strong net neutrality backed by oversight of Title 2 of ISPs.
49. Stirling Lundquist, Kenwood, CA, 95452  
Keeping net neutrality is incredibly important. If it's dissolved, the internet, in all its ability to educate and inform, will be diminished, and the very idea of what the internet is meant to accomplish will be destroyed. The internet is one of man's greatest creations. Don't let it crumble to private interests.
50. Aaron Harrison, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Please maintain Title II status for ISP's and 'net neutrality'. Internet service should be a treated more as a utility and thus access to the internet should be unbiased and uninfluenced by the private sector's goals. internet access is one of the modern day cornerstones of freedom. and to turn the internet over to the full control of it's ISP's would not benefit the average american and would only benefit the ISP corporations who already monopolize internet access.
51. Noah Moore, cotati, CA, 94931  
Corporate controlled republican interests must be held at bay to ensure the few remaining rights and freedoms we Americans have. Ajit Pai is a corporate whore who is looking to sell out the american people and our freedom. Everyday our country grows weaker, our future dimmer because of the actions of people like Ajit Pai. Net neutrality is about freedom of speech and assembly, it is a core part of all our first amendment rights.
52. Ethan Thompson, Martinez, CA, 94553  
I support net neutrality and a free and open internet governed by Title II regulations. Thank you.
53. Jake DiGennaro, Napa, CA, 94558  
I support strong Title 2 language with regards to ISPs.
54. Joseph Anderson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I support strong net neutrality regulation by the FCC under Title II
55. Jerry Gladstone, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403

I support net neutrality under Title II. The internet must be open and equal for all users and services.

56. Gina Dokko, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Net neutrality is important for innovation and fair competition. Doing away with net neutrality will tilt the playing field so far in favor of incumbents that innovation will be suppressed. It is anti-competitive and anti-American to remove net neutrality. The current Administration's position that the internet is not a utility is absurd in a practical sense.
57. Mar Murphy, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Please keep the net neutrality laws enacted during President Obama's administration.
58. Nicholas Pappas, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
This is a strong part of our freedom and equality across the globe. Do not hand over control to the few, nor should you take away control to the many.
59. Joseph Dewers, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
It is imperative to preserve a fair and free internet. That is why I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
60. Jim Pilliod, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Make Internet Neutrality laws stronger, not weaker.
61. Daniel Abad, Martinez, CA, 94553  
To the FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
62. John Sanzo, Napa, CA, 94558  
Keep net neutrality in place under the current Title II rules and regulations. Anything less will harm the free market and stifle capitalism.
63. B Galvin, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Please Retain Net Neutrality
64. Patrick Barclay, Kenwood, CA, 95452  
This bill is an attack on net neutrality. ISPs cannot be trusted to self-regulate or look out for the best interests of the general public. This bill will only result in increasing the profits of ISPs at the expense of low volume users, like me.
65. Alex Wayne, Penngrove, CA, 94951  
Please do not loosen the regulations on ISPs. They have proven time and time again they cannot be trusted to play fair. In addition, this is a slippery slope, and the internet needs to be free and protected to ensure all people have an equal voice. ISPs are in a unique position to control access to information, and that service needs to be unbiased in what it gives you access to.
66. Christopher Graze, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I strongly support net neutrality and Title 2 regulation for ISPs
67. Scott Arjun, Benicia, CA, 94510  
History has shown that these companies cannot be trusted to police themselves. They have routinely abused their power when permitted to. They have successfully lobbied to strip away privacy and competition. I urge you to take steps to ensure that privacy is restored, competition expands and internet access is not throttled or cut from little or non-paying sources. This would effectively permit paid censorship models.
68. Patrick Warner, Martinez, CA, 94553  
I specifically support keeping ISPs under Title II, NOT Title I.
69. James Cerda, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I support strong net net neutrality, backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's
70. David Tokar, Napa, CA, 94558  
Free communication is a necessary part of our rights as Americans, and the only way to be entirely certain it will work is under Title II. ISPs have not suffered under these laws, and many have reported better business.
71. Kenneth Alexander, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Please. Do not take away net neutrality. Do not alter the current laws that protect a free and equal internet for everyone. I have a nephew who lives in Korea. He has better internet than we have in America. Why is that!
72. Tyler Wolfmain, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I work in tech for my local county and if we do not protect Net Neutrality, then systems like public safety communications are going to be at the whim of ISP interests, and they will be able to charge the rates that they want.
73. ethan jayne, Santa rosa, CA, 95404

Keep the internet neutral and under title 2

74. Karen Hart, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Information is our right and our privilege as Americans, it is one of the things that truly make this country great. Preserve Net Neutrality.
75. Joseph Wilson, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Net neutrality needs to remain classified under the 1934 communications act of title 2 in order to ensure fair and balanced options and experience for the American people. Allowing net neutrality to disappear would be detrimental for consumers, as it would allow ISP's to create fast lanes for certain content, and slow lanes for others. The internet must remain balanced for all users and sites regardless of your ISP.
76. Net Neutrality, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please don't ruin the well-being of the citizens for corporate gain.
77. grant zivan, santa rosa, CA, 95407  
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by title 2 in the FCC. It's VERY scary that this government is going out of its way to put corporate profits over a free internet. The internet is our last bastion of free speech and the free flow of information. THE INTERNET MUST REMAIN FREE AND OPEN!!!!!! PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2. WE ARE NOT A DYSTOPIA YET!
78. Jeffrey Gullett, Vallejo, CA, 94592  
I strongly urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. This is critical to the continued growth and expansion of a free and open internet where innovation can flourish.
79. Title II, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
You can not alter the freedom of the internet. If you make the internet selective that is the most anti-capitalistic decision you can make in relation to the freedom of the internet. You would be making the internet providers fascists. DO NOT ALTER NET NEUTRALITY. I REPEAT, I, MY FAMILY, CO-WORKERS, AND FRIENDS ARE ALL EXTREMELY AGAINST THIS PROPOSITION!!!
80. steve bonbon, Martinez, CA, 94573  
NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2 MOFOS KEEP DAT SHIT
81. Teo Bennett, Hercules, CA, 94547  
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY The days when an internet connection was considered a luxury are long gone. We depend on the internet to fulfill our economic, social, and entertainment needs. Ending net neutrality puts a basic utility at risk to a very few but powerful ISP companies.
82. Phebe Polk, Pinole, CA, 94564  
Please keep Title II regulatory control of the Internet Service Providers. The FCC needs to protect consumers from unfair market practices.
83. fcc, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I am deeply concerned about the imminent loss of net neutrality. Internet companies already have problematic near-monopolies on the internet and its use. Please keep net neutrality a top concern.
84. Matthew Sims, Benicia, CA, 94510  
"We cannot allow Internet service providers (ISPs) to restrict the best access or to pick winners and losers in the online marketplace for services and ideas." I'm in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's!
85. sean kirkpatrick, sonoma, CA, 95476  
do not eliminate net neutrality. sites such as netflix and the like already pay for their OWN access to bandwidth via their carriers. i, myself am already paying for such access via my isp. the ONLY thing eliminating net neutrality will do is make it more expensive for me to obtain access to content that i and the providers that i subscribe to. it will NOT result in "innovation" - in fact, it will "stifle" innovation by making it less likely that new and exciting technology and content will be able to reach the web. keep the pipes neutral content. if the major isps want to "innovate", let them do so on a level playing field.
86. Gus Cam, Sacramento, CA, 97357  
Mantain Net Neurtrality! Keep the Companies in Chapter III!!!
87. Steven Bosquit, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I object to the absurdity (lunacy) of allowing ISPs to POLICE THEMSELVES with regard to Net Neutrality, and request that the EXISTING RULES (as of 2016) regarding NET NEUTRALITY be retained...providing the FCC the power to ACTIVELY REGULATE "Net Neutrality"...
88. Chris Rudd, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404

Telecommunications companies have routinely shown that when given the opportunity they actively seek to implement anticompetitive practices on their own customers.== Some Examples == - T-Mobile blocking access to Google Wallet on their phones while launching their own wallet program - Comcast blocking VOIP competitors while launching their own VOIP product - Comcast blocking HBO Go on the PS3Comcast restricting VPN accessVerizon and Comcast both throttling access to Netflix until they payed extra

89. Jesse Gebauer, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Net Neutrality is an integral part of the internet as we know it. As a matter of free speech and access to information, it's imperative that net neutrality remain in effect. Internet service providers must remain under Title II in order to ensure a free and open internet.
90. Robin Melrose, Martinez, CA, 94553  
I am a strong supporter of Net neutrality and think ISPs being under Title II is right. As for comments that the infrastructure investments are down, not according to the ISP statements, annual reports, etc.
91. Stephanie Shaire, Martinez, CA, 94553  
I support net neutrality regulations. Do not dismantle.
92. Joseph Furnish, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I strongly support network neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Do not proceed with plans to change and therefore weaken these protections of network neutrality.
93. Arcadea Taylor, Napa, CA, 94558  
I specifically back strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Seriously.
94. David Porter, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
No not get rid of title 2!This we'll have the isp's promise is they will not interfere is a bunch of crap. If someone is going to give them more money on hits/use or throttle competitors sites then they will do it.
95. Chris Brinkman, santa rosa, CA, 95401  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2. Please don't mess with it. Chris
96. Allison, crockett, CA, 94525  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's! please, guys. Why don't we try being an actual democracy? Please. The people need some honesty and support.
97. zebi bojarsky, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Net neutrality is very important. Please switching the internet to title I regulation is a horrible idea. If this happens, google will take over the world
98. Mark Bacon, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I support net neutrality backed by Title 2 protections. Don't change the rules...
99. Aidan C Harley, BENICIA, CA, 94510  
I support the preservation of net neutrality backed by strong Title 2 regulations of ISPs.
100. Ellen, Cotati, CA, 94931  
PLEASE keep Net Neutrality laws in place. It is vitally important to democratic values that people are not being kept from viewing or accessing certain thingsâ€œâ€“physically or virtually.
101. Rachel Villicana, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Please preserve net neutrality under Title II of the communications act of 1934. Thanks.
102. Alexander Rubin, Napa, CA, 94559  
Title 2 is the best way to regulate the internet. It is a utility and we can not allow companies like Verizon, whom the chairmen used work for as a lawyer. He knows how he tried to stop regulation when he was in the private sector. We all deserve equal and fair access to all of the Internet! No company should be allowed to speed up or slow down any sites. The Internet is 100% needed for me to be able to be a small business owner. My taxes pay for your salary which pays for your stupid mug, so please respect the Internet. Quit being a tool for the industry and start working for the people.
103. Raja Garvin-Taylor, American Canyon, CA, 94503  
I specifically support strong net neutrality that is backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. The Communications Act of 1934, in regards to Title 2 regulation, clearly states "[...]The Commissioner may prescribe such rules and regulations as may be necessary in the public interest to carry out the provisions of this Act." -(<https://transition.fcc.gov/Reports/1934new.pdf>, p. 36). What this means is that The Commissioner does not need to enforce each provision, but has the ability to enforce the regulations outlined within as needed. As such, "light touch regulation" is still possible with title 2. No changes need to be made.

104. Simonetta Baldwin, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I support protection of net neutrality, backed by Title II. The fox is in the hen house, sound the alert!
105. Penney Timm, American Canyon, CA, 94503  
Please maintain net neutrality. It is necessary to Freedom of Speech AND Freedom of the Press.
106. Marisa Stadler, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Please preserve internet neutrality and Title Two. It is in the best interest of the citizens of our country.
107. Erica Avedano-Tucker, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Please understand that Net Neutrality is incredibly important, and i strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP. The internet is the modern day Library/Newspaper/Entertainment Center and the users should be the ones to regulate it for themselves, not the companies who provide it.
108. Kris Verroulx, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Please continue to enforce net neutrality, keeping ISPs under Title II.
109. Marc Lerner, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Net Neutrality needs to remain intact. The FCC chairman's rationales for tampering with this are weak and transparent. His background as a Verizon lawyer betrays his intentions. He will set the stage for the cable/FIOS and satellite providers to exercise control over what kind of Internet sources are readily accessible at their rated speeds and which ones are not or, if they are, at additional costs to subscribers. In effect, the ISPs will become, in effect, their own monopolies with no recourse for their customers. If this happens, I would hope that congress would determine if anti-trust laws were being violated. This is yet another example of the Trump administration's campaign to give this nation away to billionaires and big corporations and must be stopped.
110. Kevin Boyd, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
I support strong Net Neutrality regulation via Title II. Removal of these regulations would be a disservice to the American people. Internet service providers and their infrastructure are built on the foundation of government funded research and communications spending. To allow ISPs to dictate internet speed to preferred site would be in affect a massive government subsidy of these massive corporations. The American people deserve better. Also chairman Pai needs to take his job seriously and put that stupid Mug away, you are a civil servant not a late night talk show host.
111. Garen Kessel, Fulton, CA, 95439  
Chairman Ajit Pai, please don't neuter the internet. Removing title II protections would allow companies like Comcast, Verizon and AT&T to restrict users and small businesses alike. These ISP's should be looking out for their paying clients, not throttling online traffic, unnecessarily, just to harm potential competitors.
112. Nicholas Brennan, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Hello,I would like to express my strong support for the continued classification of Internet Service Providers as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.Internet access is a necessary public good, akin to access to electricity or clean tap water. It is nearly impossible to participate in society today without some form of access to broadband internet. The vertical integration of current ISPs, as content producers, content distributors, and Internet traffic carriers produces significant risk of conflicts of interest wherein a given ISP would be incentivised to prioritize their own content and web traffic over that of their competitors.Title II provides a strong legal framework to ensure the continued neutrality of the Internet and benefits the public good.
113. Seth Dahlgren, Napa, CA, 94558  
The internet needs Net Neutrality to serve it's actual purpose. Our family supports Net Neutrality, and the protection of the Internet under Title II provisions. Every day I awake to face some new horror conceived to strip away the rights I thought were guaranteed to me and my neighbors before I started a family. Now I must defend these rights of being stripped away from my son. Please protect the Internet. Help us FCC, you're our only hope.
114. Andrew Baldock, El Cerrito, CA, 94503  
Net Neutrality is an essential protection of the fundamental democratic nature of the internet. Please do not capitulate to the Cable Industry lobbyist, the unelected Mr. Ajit Pai.
115. Jack Cazet, Pope Valley, CA, 94567  
I wish to express my support of the current net neutrality laws and keeping internet service providers classified under title 2.
116. K Chao, Rodeo, CA, 94572  
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of all ISPs
117. Julie Scott, Napa, CA, 94559  
I urge the FCC to maintain Net Neutrality for all Americans, not just pay-for-play corporations, through application and enforcement of the more stringent Title II laws.
118. April Darleen Johnson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404

I support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.

119. Patricia Crandall, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
You MUST preserve strong net neutrality! Free speech includes free flow of information, NOT controlled by Internet Service Providers!
120. Nuar Hegrat, Lakeport, CA, 95453  
I support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. So Ajit, after you've finished drinking your gallon of coffee it'd be great if you could get to work ensuring that those regulations are preserved for all of US taxpaying citizens (aka - YOUR EMPLOYERS & Bosses). Thanks!
121. Scott Olson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Net neutrality is paramount to free speech in this modern era. It should be protected as should title II.
122. Michael Silva, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I want to see ISPs classified under the enforceable laws in Section II. I do not want to see these protections rolled back by putting ISPs under Section I.
123. William R. Pruitt, Napa, CA, 94559  
Keep the internet free and open by not allowing service providers to create tiered or discriminatory privileges to content providers.
124. Stacy, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
ISPs should continue to be classified under Title II, there should be no roll-back of provisions to allow it to become classified as Title I.
125. Shadow Roldan, Calistoga, CA, 94515  
I support net neutrality rules backed by Title 2 regulation for the oversight of ISP's. I like many people only have a single internet provider available to me and believe strongly they need to be regulated under Title 2 and strong net neutrality rules
126. Muiz Brinkerhoff, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I urge you to stand strong against ANY attempts by the current FCC chairman, or anyone from the Trump Administration, to modify, rollback, or rescind the existing Net Neutrality regulations, including the Title II reclassification of Internet Service Providers, which were adopted in February 2015, after months of fierce national debate. It is crucial for the Internet to remain open, neutral, and a level playing field, with no unfair financial advantage for larger companies over smaller companies.
127. PM Daeley, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I support net neutrality as experienced under Title II oversight of ISPs. It is extremely important to continue the balanced and fair provision of internet access which Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 oversight of ISPs supports.
128. Jason Byrd, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Net neutrality is an integral aspect of a free and open internet. Internet service providers must remain under Title II to ensure free speech on the internet and equal access to information.
129. Net Neutrality, Napa, CA, 94559  
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY as set out in TITLE II. Do not allow internet providers to profit by means of the creation of bottlenecks!
130. James Nichols, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I'm writing in support of preserving internet neutrality and Title II. The internet is a public commons and good, and this regulation is essential for preserving the democratic flow of information within the US and the world. Don't fuck this up.
131. Anthony Gladstein, Lakeport, CA, 95453  
I, like the VAST MAJORITY of Americans, support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. And what the many want overrules the wishes of the wealthy corporate few. So these regulations WILL stay as they are regardless of how ISP's, & their inside boy Ajit, might feel about it all. (And Ajit, if ya don't like that then perhaps you ought to seek employment elsewhere. -- Because you work for US, not them. Period.)
132. Jared Emerson-Johnson, Penngrove, CA, 94951  
I just wanted to express my strong support for net neutrality, and the importance of an open internet. I'm really concerned by the efforts being made to capitalize on it, and I worry about the future of our social progress should the few ISP monopolies control the flow of information and defer to the highest payer. Thanks for your time and consideration.
133. Comcast, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
I want to preserve the current net neutrality laws and want to make sure title 2 stays the current standard.
134. Ross Walker, Napa, CA, 94558  
Protect internet freedom and title 2, courtesy of John Oliver

135. Sean Simon, Cotati, CA, 94931  
I support strong net neutrality rules and Title II oversight of ISPs. These rules protect consumers and ensure a free, fair, and open internet.
136. Scott Corder, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Title 2 is super important, do NOT let it be taken away. Net Neutrality is probably one of the most important things in this world. If internet pipelines become marketed it would be the worst thing ever.
137. David Scot, Kenwood, CA, 95452  
Please preserve net neutrality backed by title II over site of ISPs.
138. Lisanne Jordan, Napa, CA, 94558  
It is very important that you don't restrict internet access. The ISP's shouldn't have the right to determine what content I view.
139. Drew Cameron, Kelseyville, CA, 95451  
Net neutrality needs to stand. There is absolutely no good reason to remove it.
140. Gregory Salazar, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I am in favor of keeping ISPs classified under Title II to protect small businesses and start-ups from unfair treatment. Keep the internet open and fair.
141. Aabjeet Grewal, American Canyon, CA, 94503  
The FCC should continue to classify Internet Service Providers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934
142. Susan D Davis, Napa, CA, 94559  
Internet neutrality is very important to me as a consumer. I want to have equal access to products and services from all providers. Allowing one site to have special treatment is not the TRUE American way, even if it seems to be standard operating procedures for some politicians. Please, keep the internet as close to the ideals that created it--worldwide access without preferential treatment for some. Thank you.
143. Kyle Jackson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! Net neutrality has overwhelming public support.
144. Thomas Fonseca, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Please keep title 2 in place and preserve our net neutrality. The internet is not for sale and companies should not be able to influence response time based on anything! Please protect what we currently have!
145. Kiley Foster, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
As a college student who needs the internet to do my work, and who is barely scraping by enough to live; do not take away net neutrality and make life even more difficult for me. I cannot afford higher costs for decent connection.
146. Bredan Bush, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I am writing to state my support for a strong net neutrality law.
147. Mitch fields, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
This is complete and utter blatant bribery being paid off to the isp's. You don't work for them, you work for us. We have woke and you will not get away with this.
148. Michael Carey, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I urge you to retain the Title II classification of Internet Service Providers. Net Neutrality is essential to the vitality of the Internet.
149. Saul Gropman, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I urge the FCC to continue support of net neutrality under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934
150. Daniel S. Lamka, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
We need to keep Net Neutrality laws under title two. Verizon and other ISP's like Comcast out here in CA would have a field day if they were able to slow down other's websites.
151. Ian Richardson, Yountville, CA, 94599  
Keep the ISPs classified as Title 2 common carriers.
152. Daniel Feliciano, Pinole, CA, 94564  
Save the internet! Net Neutrality is a public good!
153. Gil Wishnick, Napa, CA, 94558  
I am writing to strongly support net neutrality and Title II oversight to maintain net freedom. I do NOT want the isp companies determining what I may or may not see or use or download. ISPs should NOT determine the speed of delivery or

what is delivered.

154. William Winner, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I am writing to express my support for maintaining net neutrality by maintainin ISP classification under Title II. Allowing ISPs to "maintain" net neutrality under a service agreement is not enough. DO NOT CHANGE ISP CLASSIFICATION!
155. Jake Lynch, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The loss of this oversight will lead to undesirable and unnecessary problems for the people.
156. Judy Black, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
It is essential to keep and protect strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II.
157. Sarah Dunn, Cotati, CA, 94931  
Net neutrality gives equal opportunity to everyone. Killing net neutrality will turn large internet companies into more of a monopoly than they already are. If this is gone then it will potentially kill smaller companies, therefore killing more jobs. We all know that most of these companies will not do the right thing because of the systematic greed that comes so easily to Americans. They will take full advantage of the opportunity and do what they want. So is that what you really want? Is that what Trump meant by making America great again? Disposing more and more jobs that even some of his supporters have? Taking away net neutrality is just another step in the wrong direction, and is another step closer and closer to a cliff.
158. Matthew Malinzak, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I support strong net neutrality back by title 2 oversight of internet service providers. Do not shift internet service providers back to title 1 oversight. I vote hard and I vote often.
159. LJ L, Napa, CA, 94558  
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai-- I vehemently support Net Neutrality as set forth in Title 2 requiring Federal oversight of ISPs.
160. Joshua Black, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
I support strong regulation for net neutrality. I support regulating ISPs under title 2.
161. Ibisko Ren, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
This partisan kleptocratic thievery by Pai will not go unnoticed by history. Keep Titled II, expand the internet!!
162. Myhong Blevins, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I strongly support net neutrality and Title II. Please preserve net neutrality and Title II
163. stephanie Reese, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Do do destroy net neutrality. Do not change from the current rules.
164. Amy Leith, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II. The internet should remain open and equal.
165. Andrew Sonsten, Pinole, CA, 94564  
I supported strong net neutrality regulations, including title II, under the previous administration and I continue to do so. These rules were passed by the last FCC administration because the public demanded them. We have not changed our minds since. Gutting net neutrality does nothing but line the pockets of companies that are already the most hated companies in the country because of their greed and and monopolizing practices.
166. David Wellman, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
By rolling back net neutrality rules, you jeopardize the accessibility for ALL Americans online. This is a major free speech issue. By allowing internet and cable service providers to limit or throttle down content that did not compensate them, them, you will remove the voice of the small and up and coming content creators. This will make it so only the rich (who got rich through a free and open internet) will be heard. This also limits creativity and stifles forward thinking by limiting access to diverse content. This happened (and is currently happening with cell phone carriers) prior to 2015. Please leave the internet neutral.
167. Jennifer Thomas Bryant, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Strong Net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs is vital for fair use of the Internet. Let me repeat: I specifically support strong Net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
168. Alex Avard, Brighton, CA, 95403  
Don't do this, it's a terrible idea.
169. Karl Forsyth, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Don't even THINK about changing the "legal footing" back to Title I.

170. Scott Martin, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 over site of ISP's
171. john sloss, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Please do not remove the Title 2 protection for Net Neutrality
172. Broderick Forssell, Napa, CA, 94559  
I fully support Net Neutrality rules in order to actually keep the internet free and open.
173. Phil Austin`, santa rosa, CA, 95407  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. We cannot trust companies to do the right thing when it can effect their financial situation. They will take advantage unless oversight is used and enforced. Thank you and please do the right thing. You know and I know net neutrality is the best option, don't let money damage human progress as it has before.
174. Kyle Ray, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Please support Net Neutrality in accordance with Title II.
175. Dallas Hill, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I want the FCC to protect Net Neutrality and continue classifying Internet Service Providers under Title II. This is the only way to support a free and open Internet and keep the Internet Service Providers in check.
176. Rosanne Christensen, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Companies need to be regulated under Title II. Preserve Net Neutrality.
177. 1, Napa, CA, 94559  
Preserve net neutrality under Title II. Do not rely on ISPs to "voluntarily" provide equal access to all internet sites. Regulation and enforcement is important.
178. Charles M Stratton, Cotati, CA, 94931  
Standing up to ISP's and keeping the internet neutral is crucial for the future competitiveness of the United States
179. Nancy Doherty, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Net Neutrality is important. It allows a level playing field for all internet users. Because large corporations gather more and more power to themselves, it is crucial that the FCC take action to keep the internet available at a fast rate for everyone rather than favoring those who are able to pay a premium price.
180. Israel Leal, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I firmly support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 Oversight. Taking any corporate business at it's word to self enforce net neutrality is beyond naive.
181. Chad McAfee, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I want to comment and leave my support for net neutrality, specifically under title II oversight over ISP's. Telecommunication companies due to their monopolistic tendencies need greater oversight particularly when it comes to net neutrality. Title II does just that. Any removal or lessening of oversight of ISP's is directly at odds with the benefit of the american people as a whole.
182. Dan Lopez, Lakeport, CA, 95453  
I support Net Nutrality under title 2
183. Christopher Lee, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Net Neutrality is essential for keeping the internet an open and accessible. Please don't roll back regulations that make it easier for corporations to gain the benefit of controlling internet speeds.
184. Kelly A. Jordan, Penngrove, CA, 94951  
I support the preservation of Net Neutrality and TitleTwo
185. Jorge E Ruvalcaba, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
We need to keep net neutrality as per title 2. The second we let internet service providers use our information in whichever way they deem necessary or legal is the second we lose our freedoms online.
186. Don William Anderson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Support Net Neutrality laws and Title 2. The free exchange of information is absolutely vital to the continuance of civilization. This is not an argument about profit, but about monopoly.
187. Lawrence Hudson, Santa Ros, CA, 95403  
I favor strict net neutrality. Title II gives the FCC the necessary authority and has not been shown to harm investment in the net. If you go back to Title I you will give voters another reason to overturn the Republican majority in Congress at the next election, which is coming soon in 2018.

188. ajit pai, santa rosa, CA, 95404  
I believe in strong net neutrality rules and wish to keep large companies from creating slow/fast lanes for web sites and services.
189. Kerry Rego, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Information is power and we see what you are trying to do. #resist
190. John Brunett, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I'd like the current net neutrality rules protected. I want the FCC to hold Internet Service providers to Title 2 rules and regulations. I don't trust Verizon or Comcast or whoever to play fair if these rules are rescinded. Nothing that Chairman Pai has said about 'voluntary agreements' in ISP's terms & conditions makes me feel safe. I typically don't even read terms & conditions.
191. Brian Roy, Napa, CA, 94559  
I strongly urge you to maintain and cement net neutrality as a bedrock of our American 21st century culture and ideals. Information and access to it should not come attached to purse strings. We've seen how damaging "fake news" and even the perception of it can be to our democracy; the very idea that we would further allow a moneyed few to override the rest of us and our interests is deeply alarming. 40 years from now when you look back on your life's work I hope you will have put yourself and your country in a position to honestly say you upheld the rights and patriotic interests of your fellow citizens. Thank you.
192. Edgar Jimenez, Napa, CA, 94559  
I do not support this bill and want you guys to keep isp's classified under title 2.
193. William Geiger, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Are we really dealing with this shit again? STOP FUCKING WITH NET NEUTRALITY, HOLY SHIT.
194. David Kern, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I've been involved in Internet Engineering on both a software and networking level for two decades. In that time I have watched as the scope and capabilities of the Internet has changed and evolved. I strongly support Net Neutrality and keeping regulation of the Internet under Title II. Frankly, we have already had this discussion with input from a number of industry groups. Keeping the current regulations are working and has support from across the industry. The telecommunication carriers involved are utilities - we wouldn't be having this conversation if we were discussing delivery of water or electricity. The existing regulatory actually supports free market solutions because it keeps access to information and the speed at which you access it on a level playing field regardless of the size of the business providing the service. As an engineer, I could have an idea that could become the next big thing - and there is nothing stopping me from trying. With the proposed changes to regulation there is nothing to stop carriers from deciding they would prefer their own competitive solution over a small startup. That's not the Internet us engineers have built, its not a direction which would be sustainable, and frankly its about as anti-competitive as you could get which is not something we'd expect from "business friendly" regulators. Additionally, I understand your chairman, Ajit Pai has worked for Verizon in the past. How is that not a conflict of interest when they are the primary carrier which has been lobbying for the change?
195. Net Neutrality, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight by ISPs. Keep the internet free!
196. Susan Dischler, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Maintaining NET NEUTRALITY is extremely important to the country and even the world. I STRONGLY support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Please keep it fair for EVERYONE!
197. Robert E. Dischler, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Maintaining net neutrality is important, I support net neutrality as backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
198. Don McCall, Napa, CA, 94559  
I urge the FCC to support strong internet Equality backed by title 2 oversight of internet providers. I am a technology professional am deeply concerned by the idea of moving providers back to title 1. Title 2 oversight is required to ensure a level playing field and to keep the internet the robust and active innovation platform that it is.
199. Drake, Napa, CA, 94559  
Please maintain net neutrality under Title II legal standards. Thank you!
200. David Chamberlain, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I am writing to urge the FCC continue to regulate ISPs under Title 2 and maintain net neutrality. The idea that ISPs will self-regulate is risible: they have demonstrated the exact opposite.
201. Net, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Please don't fuck over the one and only way people still have their first amendment rights in this country. The internet is the

only way truth is allowed to permeate the American and international populace. It is the last bastion of free speech and killing net neutrality will hand control of it over to corporations which have proven time and time again to place no value on our rights, burdens, and lives.

202. Jack, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I want to express my concern for keeping in place net neutrality laws, specifically keeping internet providers under Title 2.
203. Daniel Chosa, Santa rosa, CA, 95404  
Telecoms and internet providers should remain under title 2. My access to content should not be controlled by companies that have conflicts of interest in competing products. It creates an unfair advantage to larger providers of access and content where smaller providers are unable to compete or must pay a incentive to have their content accessed with similar reliability. Net neutrality is essential in creating a fair and even playing field for competition, and allows subscribers access to their content of choice with equal reliability.
204. Tristin Johnson, Napa, CA, 94559  
I support net neutrality. I oppose this legislation and the deregulation of ISPs.
205. Elaine Everett, Napa, CA, 94558  
Please, please, be educated about this issue. Protect net neutrality and Title 2.
206. Charles A Mitchell, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2. It's the equitable and just course of action. The needs of the many out way the needs of the few, or the one.
207. Todd R Kenton, Napa, CA, 94558  
This is a ridiculous action taken with zero intelligent thinking and must be stopped. While I get that some people may not make smart choices you have to see that this would only hurt the people while furthering corporate means to take advantage of us. Please do not allow this to go through and further hurt the american people.
208. Nicole Sanfilippo, Pinole, CA, 94564  
Hello, Thank you for the opportunity to comment. I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. God bless.
209. Nicholas Williams, Napa, CA, 94559  
I'd like to express my full support of Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Any change to this designation or removal of ISPs from Title II oversight would be a gross erosion of the freedom of information.
210. Patrick Schmitz, Napa, CA, 94558  
I am strongly in favor of strict net neutrality regulation backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP.
211. Andrew Stone, Cotati, CA, 94931  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! I'm extremely opposed the Restoring Internet Freedom Act.
212. Anselmo Cruz Jr, San Pablo, CA, 94806  
Not fair that the FCC decides what we can and cannot do with the internet.
213. Jared Wallace, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I am outraged that the trump administration is seeking to reverse the FCC's original decision to preserve net neutrality backed by Title II. Aside from the glaring conflicts of interest present with the new appointee to head the FCC, the ruling undermines the American public by placing ISPs ahead of consumers. The FCC ought to be protecting consumers not providing backdoorns and loopholes to corporations to exploit them. The internet is a public commodity and ought to be treated as such. Net neutrality is essential to keep the internet fair for all who use it, not just the very wealthy.
214. xavier, napa, CA, 94558  
you people have no idea how messed up america will get if you take away our internet like this.
215. John Wright, Martinez, CA, 94553  
I'm writing in support of Net Neutrality and Title II. As a consumer, these liberties associated with what, how, and when I use the internet are extraordinarily important to me. Leave the leverage with consumers and customers, not big business or ISPs.Thanks.
216. Andy Smith, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Please allow me to make it clear, that the FCC should adhere to the principle that Internet service providers should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites.
217. Don Lundgren, Sonoma, CA, 95476

I support Net Neutrality. Giving telecommunications providers. Internet service and pay-TV providers preference makes no sense. They provide horrible customer service, why reward them.

218. Jeffrey Dorsch, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I favor retaining the net-neutrality rules adopted during the Obama Administration, and treating Internet service providers like public utilities. The Internet is too important as a resource for citizens to be entrusted to the vagaries of giant corporations and their economic interests. Internet freedom? Your proposal would introduce Internet serfdom for the average user.
219. Emily Hernandez, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I urge the FCC to maintain net neutrality. This is not a partisan policy and is important to protecting the free market.
220. Dominic, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Just leave net neutrality alone. The laws are needed, businesses aren't people- they don't care. This is something I care deeply about, and will do whatever is in my power to keep it as is.
221. Julius Fontanilla, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. That is all. Thank you.
222. Briana Scivally, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Please protect net neutrality and keep ISP's under Title II. We see what you are trying to do and we call bullshit.
223. Robert Barron, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I am writing in full support of the concept of Net Neutrality. I believe the commission is considering changes to the rules that will limit consumers' choices in services on the Internet. The rise of the Internet was made possible by the rapid rise and fall of numerous players online. When large telecommunications companies are allowed to charge both consumers AND content providers for essentially the same resources (a certain amount of bandwidth into the consumer's home) then the large telecommunications companies are handed the power to influence and choose the winners in the future Internet. The only way to ensure this does not happen is to treat all data equally, and that's Net Neutrality in a nutshell. Practically the entire country is online in some fashion at this point and the concept of Net Neutrality has made this ubiquity of content and interconnection possible. Thus, the whole country will be watching to see whether the US Government will look out for the interests of its citizens or give in to the plans of a small number of well financed and connected corporations.
224. Andrew B, Napa, CA, 94559  
I strongly support keeping the current state of net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
225. sean parsons, santa rosa, CA, 95403  
net neutrality for life.
226. Joel Lopez, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Please preserve net neutrality!!! Do not sell out the public.
227. Schell Scivally, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I am in favor of Title 2 oversight of the net neutrality rules. Please help keep access and delivery of information fair.
228. 17-108, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Please keep ISPs under Title 2 of the Communication Act of 1934 and keep current Net Neutrality rules in place. After doing business with large telecom and ISPs for over 20 years in no way do I trust them to self-regulate in this particular area (among others).
229. james kavanaugh, Napa, CA, 94558  
Do not alter or remove the existing laws governing net neutrality. It is a clear attempt to give big business a chance to make money and remove competition. Stop being a corporate tool and start acting like you represent the right and needs of the american people.
230. Ian Moon-Wainwright, Calistoga, CA, 94515  
Please keep Network Neutrality strong under Title 2 so that ISPs cannot go back to the stupid shenanigans they were doing before.
231. Kenneth Voss, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Please protect net neutrality by keeping the internet under Title II oversight.
232. Ronald Holt, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
ISP's being classified under Title 2 is EXTREMELY important to net neutrality. Verizon's lawyer Ajit Pai does not have the public's best interest at heart. I will vote against anyone who does not support net neutrality no matter what. I will encourage all my friends and followers to do the same.
233. James Dumlao, Hercules, CA, 94547  
Net neutrality is one of the most important issues of our times. The Internet must remain a free and open place for expression.

Companies do not own the Internet, even if they control the access. The Internet is for the people, all the people. Keep the laws as they are.

234. Chad, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Do not let our freedom be taken away for money. I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Make it clear you are Opposed to Docket No. 17-108!
235. Michael Cate, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
I support STRONG protections to Net Neutrality and to safeguard it via continued Title 2 oversight. This is important to me and I resent and resist any and all efforts to undermine net neutrality, especially if the current protections are removed or if strong enforcement powers are in any way diminished. Thank You - Michael Cate
236. Aimee, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I am writing to preserve strong net neutrality through strict enforcement of Title 2
237. Marco DiGiulio, Calistoga, CA, 94515  
The ISP's need to continue to be regulated under the Title II guidelines they're currently under. The maintenance of net neutrality is of paramount importance. Thank you
238. gary mauthe, santa rosa, CA, 95403  
keep the internet title II
239. Matt Gardner, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and request that the FCC maintain strict Title II protection of Net Fairness, requiring Internet Service Providers to provide IDENTICAL levels of service to every customer/user regardless of their Internet Usage or Preferences. DO NOT permit the "Theoretical Possibility" of a loophole that could allow ISPs to give preferential bandwidth to their own or sponsored products, because corporations are soulless profit hungry entities and will take advantage of any advantage "Legally" permitted. That is specifically why the Title II protection was put in place. We have the FCC to stop this sort of thing...you are not the idiots that Soulless Corporations think the general public must be, so please step on their necks again...and keep them on the Title II regulatory leash. Thank you for being watchdogs for the public. Matt Gardner.
240. Dominick Ojeda, Pinole, CA, 94564  
I wish to have a free internet where I am able to recognize false information and obtain the truth without being fed what I am supposed to know. By restricting the internet, the government is restricting our education and knowledge and I believe that this is infringing on practically every right allocated to the American people.
241. Drew Moug, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I am in support of strong internet neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs
242. Meliton Geronimo, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
Please Preserve NET NEUTRALITY. US Citizens deserve a fair and equal internet. Removing regulation would open the doors to information manipulation and conflicts of interest.
243. LHEAD, Crockett, CA, 94525  
To FCC-Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Hugs. -Lance
244. Rod Swisher, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I support net neutrality and strong oversight of ISPs through Title II
245. Specifically Support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II Oversight of ISPs, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Specifically Support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II Oversight of ISPs
246. Joshua Luther, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Net Neutrality is sacred. This will not support freedom. This is a handout to ISPs. The only reason someone would support this is if they are being paid because there is no other logical reason. Removing net neutrality would effectively end the internet. Anyone supporting this is a selfish, greedy, monster.
247. Shelby F. Munsch, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Keep and INCREASE the net neutrality rules and keep forcing ISPs to be Title II companies.
248. Johnathon Norgrove, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I want to see Net Neutrality preserved under Title 2. ISP's do not deserve more freedom at the expense of consumers and the freedoms of so many. ISP's will take advantage of the system and Americans will lose rights and equality's if you proceed with this venture. Stop this nonsense and keep Net Neutrality under Title 2
249. Vaughn Bellwood, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I strongly believe that the internet should be regulated under Title II. There's no other reasonable way to preserve Net Neutrality.

250. Andrew J Walker, Napa, CA, 94558  
Net Neutrality must be upheld. The internet is the greatest source of information ever created and should be free from manipulation by profiteers. Websites should not be slowed down for ransom money.
251. Christopher Vandendriessche, napa, CA, 94558  
I strongly support Net neutrality. I believe that internet access is a public utility that should be regulated under Title II of the Communications Act.
252. Bev Lesch, Pinole, CA, 94564  
I urge the FCC to protect net neutrality under Title 2 enforcement. Do not allow the Media to discriminate against internet users.
253. Cynthia Garrett, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Please maintain a free and open internet. It is one of the last areas of our lives that still feels democratic. I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II regulations.
254. Benjamin Alison, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Net Neutrality ensures the Internet stays free and open. Without it, ISPs can promote or suppress content as they see fit, destroying innovation and competition. Net neutrality plays a critical role in keeping the Internet a fair playing field for individuals and organizations of any size. Moving ISPs out from under the Title II classification will destroy competition, fair play, and turn ISPs into gatekeepers and censors who can increase their own profits by preferring their own content, or content they are paid to prefer. Net Neutrality and the Title II classification of ISPs must not be removed.
255. Stephanie Jung, Pinole, CA, 94564  
I specifically strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP. Do not allow the Internet providers to control what sites I can access.
256. F. Michael Montgomery, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I strongly support net neutrality under Title 2. I do not support corporate profiteering at the expense of Americans.
257. Travis Steever, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
To Chairman Ajit Pai I would like to say that I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
258. connie lightell, rohnert park, CA, 94928  
Retain net neutrality. Do not pass this. The little guy needs a voice too.
259. Brian Lavezzoli, Napa, CA, 94559  
Do no destroy Net Nutralitiy!!! Keep the internet free of corporate greed!
260. Anissa, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I strongly believe that we should have title 11 over sight net neutrality of ISP
261. Robert Winner, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Please leave the current net neutrality rules in place. If corporations are allowed to control slow and limit what is available on the internet it is a form of censorship.
262. Matthew A. Meyer, American Canyon, CA, 94503  
If Net Neutrality protections are removed, it will cripple innovation in our biggest growing sector. This will be catastrophic to any young entrepreneur and aspiring businessman, and while I know that's the point, it's evil and I must say something even though you have already made up your minds. You will end up ignoring the people and sell us all out and we will all lose because of it... well, except for you and your buddies. On the bright side, your decision will inspire a lot of young people to focus on their health and fitness in order to outlive you for the sole purpose of pissing on your graves. At this point, we will take any silver linings we can get.
263. 17-108, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
I believe we should keep title 2 with string FCC regulations.
264. Charles Posner, Napa, CA, 94558  
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by strong title 2 oversight of isps. I strongly oppose giant novelty coffee mugs.
265. J. Johnson, Napa, CA, 94558  
I do not support this new proposal to deregulate internet service providers. I believe that net neutrality is an essential aspect of the internet, and that compromising it compromises the extremely important role that the internet plays in both commerce and the spread of information. The only way that net neutrality can be insured is when ISPs are regulated under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Given the past behavior of ISPs under the regulations of Title I of the same act, it is apparent that they will inevitably choose their profits over maintaining net neutrality if given the option, and this new proposal is giving them the option to do that. Allowing ISPs to circumvent net neutrality would have a chilling effect on both freedom of expression and the online economy. I strongly urge you to reject any proposal that would alter the governance of ISPs in such

a way that they would be able to do this.

266. Benjamin Garrison, Martinez, CA, 94553  
I would like to beseech the FCC to stand down on the attempt to destroy net neutrality. I know the big telecoms are doing all they can financially to sway your decisions, but please don't allow corporate (or even personal) greed to motivate a decision that will have long-lasting and severely damaging consequences to the people of this country. All I ask is that you use your consciences to do what is right. Please use whatever humanity may remain within you and stand firm on net neutrality.
267. Jesse, Martinez, CA, 94553  
I just wanted to say that I am in support of net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP, the internet has become a basic human right and it should not be a playground for companies looking to crush and strangle competition for their own personal gain. Thank you for your time
268. T Warfield, Hercules, CA, 94547  
Net neutrality is essential. Title II needs to be left alone to make sure the internet remains unbiased. ISPs shouldn't be able to decide what I have access to on the Internet
269. Bruce E Abbott, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
I strongly support maintaining net neutrality per proceeding 17-108.
270. Kyle Gospodnetich, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! FCC, do not ruin one of the best communication and marketing tools there has ever been just so some ISP owner can buy another Yacht.
271. Nancy Wold, Benicia, CA, 94510  
We need Title II to have net neutrality binding. Do not remove ISPs from Title II
272. Alessio Guerra, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Internet Service Providers must stay under Title II regulations in order for there to be a fair and equal internet. Without these regulations ISPs will throttle connections and increase speeds for the highest bidders. These are businesses, not charities. They will not voluntarily give up their power, just as they have not in the past!
273. Adam Dvorak, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Please do not get rid of net neutrality. The job of the government is to serve the people, and not just the profits of a few wealthy internet service providers. To those in the FCC who think that this will help stimulate the economy, I point to Milo Minderbinder's syndicate from Catch-22--it doesn't matter that everybody is "benefiting" from a company's success when they are being taken advantage of in the first place.
274. Graham Clark, Napa, CA, 94558  
I wholeheartedly support net neutrality and vehemently oppose the weakening of an open internet. It is a slippery slope to allow profit-seeking corporations the authority to tamper with the speed that requested content is delivered and fundamentally wrong to allow them to block requested content in favor of an alternative (ie. competitive) result. Stripping away net neutrality is not in the interest of the citizens of the United States.
275. Margaret Owens, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Net neutrality is most important and fairest to all. Don't try to sell us on anything else.
276. Jason Church, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
The internet must remain completely equal despite whatever ISP or specific connection is being utilized. The freedom of the internet is paramount to our society's future as it becomes ever more prominent in our day-to-day life. We must protect this completely neutral and equal system of information transmission that allows data to travel at the same rate, universally, to ensure that everyone - both individual and organization - are guaranteed the same level of speed and overall access to the internet.
277. Gerald Walshe, American Canyon, CA, 94503  
Mr. Pai, please preserve strong net neutrality rules backed by Title 2. This is important to an open internet.
278. Keller, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Please maintain strong net neutrality and Title II.
279. Anthony Maddux, Hidden Valley Lake, CA, 95467  
I support Net Neutrality via Title II
280. Mike Nelson, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I believe the FCC should enforce net neutrality by keeping the internet categorized under Title 2.
281. Net Neutrality, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Progression is the only natural truth. Our bodies do not travel backwards in time so why should our policies. I support net

neutrality, and title II oversight for Internet Service Providers. Don't be regressive FCC! And by FCC I mean you Ajit Pai! You're a walking ad for Reese's pieces. Stop serving capitalism over humanity.

282. Evan Johnston, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I strongly support net neutrality as provided by Title II oversight of ISPs. The American people need protection from anti-consumer practices. T-Mobile and AT&T already practice selective zero-rating, which despite appearing benign will ultimately result in reduced competition and therefore higher prices for the consumer. The FCC's job is to regulate complex systems that the majority of the American people may not understand. Please represent their interests faithfully.
283. Cooper Griffiths, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
We need to protect Net Neutrality and Title II as the basis for regulation upon internet service providers and the future of the internet as a whole. I urge everyone involved in any part of this decision-making process to understand the ramifications of deregulating ISPs and how that will jeopardize the relatively open and free nature of the internet. This is clearly a play by ISPs and their lobbies to cash in on deregulation, but it will harm the American consumer regardless. I fully support the FCC in pursuing stronger regulatory standards for ISPs, though this may be too much to ask for at this time. Net Neutrality is one of the most important issues we face as a nation, and we should understand it and defend it, not let telecommunications giants determine its fate.
284. GO FCC YOURSELF, Rohnert park, CA, 94928  
I support strong net neutrality supported by title 2 oversight of ISPs, especially as a student, GOFFCYOURSELF
285. Marni Turkel, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
I am strongly opposed to any changes in net neutrality that would weaken the prohibition on companies charging more to one consumer than to another.
286. Gael Forest-Knowles, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Please, you MUST preserve net neutrality and Title II.
287. jacob brown, benicia, CA, 94510  
i support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Net Neutrality is paramount and must exist.
288. Elizabeth Emmett, Napa, CA, 94558  
Access to the Internet is for EVERYONE. I strongly support net neutrality. Thank you.
289. David Sally, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I strongly support keeping the internet free, open, and classified as title II
290. Donald Barrows, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Suupport net neutrality by keeping ISPs under Title 2 .
291. Mason Krug, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
The internet is the only place that is fundamentally structured in such a way as to prevent power from clumping together. I think that capitalism is the best system that we have, but one of its huge failings is how horrible it is at keeping the distribution of power and wealth homogenous. Title 2 and strong, enforceable laws defending Net Neutrality are hugely important, and enough of the population knows this that any attempt to make them weaker or more lenient is going to be acutely and explicitly against the public's interests.
292. Sylvia Stassforth, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. We fought hard to win it, and don't want to see it destroyed.
293. Virginia Kerr, napa, CA, 94558  
I strongly support concrete net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Don't screw us. Seriously.
294. nathan tournahu, ROHNERT PARK, CA, 94928  
Save net nuetrality. Dont be a slave.
295. Jorge Luis Babot, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I am in favor of net neutrality and opposed to allowing Internet service providers favor of blocking or slowing particular products or websites.
296. JOHANNA RAPP, santa rosa, CA, 95409  
please preserve Obama era net neutrality & title II in it's current state.
297. Jonathan McClelland, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Net neutrality is an important part of maintaining a level playing field for accessing information without predetermined bias. We need to be able to access all available information to have a chance at becoming a society founded in the principle that critical thought will get us the best opportunity to make sound decisions. Please support Title 2.

298. James Stewart, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I support Net Neutrality, and the protections of Title II (2).
299. Alex Brookhouse, Calistoga, CA, 94515  
I support Net Neutrality. Please do not change it.
300. Joshua Laytart, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
You better support NET Neutrality and Title 2!! Americans do!
301. Luisa Heymann, Napa, CA, 94558  
The internet must be treated as a utility, giving equal speed and access to all. It's absolutely essential for democracy to survive.
302. daniel rubin, Santa Clara, CA, 95404  
hello. I am a businessman in northern California. I fully support Title 2. I cannot imagine that the trump administration would put hard working Americans and small companies behind big corporations. This seems against his entire election platform. We will remember in 2018 and 2020.
303. Graham Barth, Crockett, CA, 94525  
I am deeply in favor of strong Net Neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs. I don't want anyone controlling -- by whatever means -- the content I consume on the internet. I want to be able to use the search engine I prefer to find the videos or information on the websites I prefer; I don't want my ISP choosing for me by way of throttling my speed if I'm not using THEIR preferred option. This HAS happened before, and it will happen again if these restrictions are lifted. Cool mug, by the way.
304. Douglas Bright, Hercules, CA, 94547  
I support net neutrality. Please retain Title II regulatory oversight of the telecommunications industry.
305. Walter W. Pharo III, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
I support strong enforced net neutrality. Leave under Title II
306. Nate Tussy, Martinez, CA, 94553  
I support strong NET NEUTRALITY laws and would challenge any administration that would curry favor from corporations by sacrificing the needs of the electorate. Additionally, you must break up the large telecom monopolies to allow for strong competition at the state and local level. Never forget that you work for us.
307. Michael Nolan, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I want to voice my opinion that the internet needs to be kept under the stricter regulations of title II of the FCC code.
308. Joseph Lievois, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I strongly support the preservation of net neutrality and Title II oversight of our ISPs.
309. Rose Fuhrman, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
We need Internet Neutrality. ISPs must not be allowed to tilt performance in the direction of their interests.
310. Russell Wise, Penngrove, CA, 94951  
I request you to Preserve net neutrality & title two.
311. Collin Boyer, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Dear Mr. Pai, I am a supporter of maintaining a strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you for your time, Collin
312. Sam Adams, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I am 100% in support of Net Neutrality Rules I support Title II Stop bending over backwards to do favors for Corporations your corrupt F's
313. Mason Mark, Cotati, CA, 94931  
Undermining the existing rules that protect "net neutrality" would be wrong, un-American, and would harm the citizenry and the business community as a whole. The means to provide Internet access are limited, and those means are already restricted by government regulation (cable right of way, radio spectrum). Skewing the rules to protect a tiny number of already-entrenched corporate interests (e.g. Verizon, the patron of current FCC chairman Ajit Pai), is plainly and obviously against the interests of the public and all other businesses. (It also continues the current administration's disturbing trends of fetishizing oligarchy, while disrespecting the fundamental tenets of the American democracy.)
314. Austin Gustafson, Napa, CA, 94558  
I urge you to reclassify Internet Service Providers (ISP's) as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. As American society proclaims itself to be a beacon of freedom upon the world stage, it is imperative to safeguard individual freedom of internet use as societal reliance on the internet continues to grow. The desires of online corporate giants are not equivalent to those of the common people.

315. Carla Lucero, Napa, CA, 94559  
FCC must retain Title 2 rules governing InterNet access
316. Denise Halbe, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I support a strong and permanent net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I oppose the privatization and exploitation of the internet by special interests. The internet must remain in accord with the 1st Amendment.
317. Tessa Briggs, Cotati, CA, 94931  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. My water provider doesn't get to decide how my water is used in my home. My electrical provider doesn't get to determine how much power goes to what appliances in my home. My phone provider doesn't get to degrade the quality of my phone called based on who I'm calling. The Internet is no different than these utilities according to the UN - it is a basic human right and should, therefore, be treated like any other utility under Title II. Regulate the internet like the global platform for free information, communication, and commerce that it is. If ISPs feel that reasonably regulating a powerful tool for democracy and industry is unreasonable then I would argue that they do not understand the enormous import of the service they provide, and instead of ruining it for everyone else I would strongly encourage them to get out of the market and make room for competitors who do grasp its impact.
318. steven almeida, vallejo, CA, 94591  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. quit fucking with peoples rights to access the greatest tool out there for knowledge and sharing/spreading ideas.
319. Douglas Fletcher, Napa, CA, 94558  
I support Net-neutrality and Title 2 oversight of ISP providers. Please keep companies like AT&T and Verizon from putting their thumb on the scale.
320. Sabrina Collins, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Consumers over companies. Thank you.
321. James Adler, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Please keep net neutrality with strong Title II oversight of ISPs. Also, please avoid misleading titles such as "Restoring Internet Freedom". This sounds like it would help USA citizens, but really only helps ISPs.
322. Daniel Fitzpatrick, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I STRONGLY support upholding net neutrality with Title 2 enforcement of ISPs
323. Thomas Hagle, santa rosa, CA, 95401  
I STRONGLY support STRONG net neutrality, backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
324. Derek Mills, Angwin, CA, 94508  
DO NOT DESTROY Net Neutrality. Maintain the current ISP requirements under Title 2.
325. Katie OConnor, Napa, CA, 94558  
It is absurd the amount of freedom we are fighting for in 2017.
326. Susan Cislo, American Canyon, CA, 94503  
In the strongest possible terms, keep Net Neutrality. Keep it under Title II. Voluntary agreement is not enough. The public is watching what you do. We will remember at the next election.
327. Trevor Mackin, Napa, CA, 94558  
I'm writing this comment to urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. The internet is of vital importance and allowing ISPs to decide who and what should be delivered the most bandwidth stands contrary to our fundamental rights to freedom of information and press.Thank you.
328. Gary Jones, Napa, CA, 94558  
Keep true net neutrality. Do not change the rules regarding Title 2 oversight.
329. Jason Tully, vallejo, CA, 94590  
Internet Neutrality is a must
330. Kathryn Jones, Napa, CA, 94558  
Do not change the rules regarding net neutrality. Retain the prior Title 2 oversight and enforce it.
331. John M. Jennings, Cobb, CA, 95426  
I am writing in support of Internet neutrality as set forth under Title 2. Please do not undo this vital protection.
332. Peter Faeustle, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I want strong net neutrality backed by strong oversight of ISPs. internet for the people, not the corporations

333. Lia Jennings, Cobb, CA, 95426  
It's crucial that access to the internet remain equal for all. Access should be held in trust for the public and not for sale to greedy corporate interests. The internet educates and informs us all and must remain available for unimpeded communication.
334. David Lindstrom, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I support net neutrality. Please don't mess with it.
335. Phil, Napa, CA, 94558  
Maintain Title II oversight of ISPs, preserve net neutrality.
336. Cathy, Napa, CA, 94558  
FCC Preserve Title II
337. Dizzy Gosnell, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Please preserve net neutrality by keeping Title 2
338. Brianna McGuire, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight for ISPs. Keep the internet free. We need to regulate big business on this.
339. Bradley Gates, Napa, CA, 94558  
Internet providers should be regulated under Title II to ensure that they aren't prioritizing traffic or blocking traffic. This is a form of censorship and promotes unfair business practices.
340. Nick Gehres, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
KEEP INTERNET PROVIDERS CLASSIFIED UNDER TITLE 2. AN OPEN AND WELL PROTECTED INTERNET IS CRUCIAL TO OUR NATION.
341. Alan Mambulao, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Keep current Title II. Pro Net Neutrality.
342. Gustavo Nunez Chimal, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108, and in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The access to a neutral net is a human right and it's ridiculous that this keeps being an issue.
343. Colin Prothero, Napa, CA, 94559  
Net neutrality is important and is best protected by using Title II regulation of ISPs. ISPs should not be allowed to give preferential treatment to some websites/media because that website/media pays more or is owned by the ISP.
344. Aliye Melton, Napa, CA, 94559  
I fully support strict net neutrality governance via Title II oversight of ISP
345. N, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I strongly support Net Neutrality Laws and strong Title 2 oversight of ISP's!
346. Peter Bowers, Napa, CA, 94559  
I support keeping Internet service providers under Title 2 regulations. I do not believe that ISPs can be trusted to simply "promise" to self-police themselves in matters pertaining to Net Neutrality. This is totally disingenuous. The fact that current FCC Chairman Ajit Pai was a former lawyer with Verizon is also very troubling. Literally it is the fox guarding the henhouse, or the swamp is full of alligators.
347. Barbara Agapinan, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Please keep strong net neutrality with Title Two. Thanks.
348. Ian Bruce, Crockett, CA, 94525  
At its simplest, the internet has become critical infrastructure and a fundamental right for free citizens of the United States of America. Net neutrality is a must for a modern country like ours, with ambitious economic goals and with a fundamental belief in the liberty of the individual. Furthermore, the United States heralds freedom of the press and freedom of information, and the internet is an important conduit for both - to impede, or even deny, access to the internet for any American citizen, for any reason (including profit), is to exclude its own citizens from political discourse and the free exchange of ideas.
349. C MURPHY, FULTON, CA, 95439  
Hey Ajit Pai and others at The FCC - I am just one more of the millions of Americans that emphatically support the preservation of a strong Net Neutrality that must continue to be backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, instead of by Title I.
350. thomas m collins, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Enforce Title 2. Do not weaken the net neutrality rules

351. Margaret Abbey, Calistoga, CA, 94515  
Keep title 2 please
352. Caitlin Baumgardner, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
Please maintain net neutrality and protect equal access to information on the internet.
353. Micah Williams, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I'm disturbed and appalled that the FCC would even consider retracting the new neutrality ISP reclassification or instating anyone who would see it out. As a tax paying citizen and person of the human race I demand a fair and regulated internet. Verizon, Ajit Pai's former employer, knows full well that the regulation had no impact on their investment in infrastructure, as they divulged to their investors who are legally permitted to honest and true information. The same is true for all ISPs. Maybe if they were regulated more to force growth and development that wouldn't even be an issue to consider in the first place.
354. Johnny Blatner, Rodeo, CA, 94572  
Net neutrality. Dont mess with my internet.
355. Chris Morano, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Shame on you Mr. Pai. You and Mr. Trump are greedy, thoughtless and devious. Glad I'm not a Verizon customer.
356. Steve Goodin, Cotati, CA, 94931  
I support Strong net neutrality rules. ISP regulation should remain in title II.
357. Michael Daly, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. As an American citizen, I urge you to let the internet be free for every website.
358. sonia flores, Pinole, CA, 94564  
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title II over site of ISPs
359. Leo, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Keep net neutrality!!!! John Oliver helped me find this page
360. Eric Edenfield, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I strongly support net neutrality. Please don't change anything!
361. Ronald Pierce, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversights of ISPs as a necessary facet of informed democracy and a means of ensuring the rights of American citizens over corporate interests.
362. Fletcher Funk, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I strongly support net neutrality because without an open internet, creativity will be stifled for start-ups, and ISPs will certainly throttle data that they favor. Consumers cannot trust these companies to police themselves. The repercussions for repealing these rules has the potential to ruin the internet as we know it.
363. Ethan Shattuck, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
ISPs and governments regulating the Internet should treat all data on the Internet equally. Any discrimination toward uses, content, equipment, users, applications, or mode of communication amounts to censorship. Keep Net Neutrality strong under Title II.
364. Chris Grossman, Saint Helena, CA, 94574  
Please protect the American consumers by preserving Net Neutrality as supported by Title II.
365. john roarty, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
AJIT PAI I WOUL LIKE TO SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISP's
366. Bonnie Dineen, Napa, CA, 94558  
Keep strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. We need to keep Title 2 rules in place!
367. Louis Molinaril, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Please don't drop net neutrality and title 2
368. Sarah Lewers, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
I specifically support strong net neutrality, that's why Title 2 oversight of ISPs is crucial.
369. Carla Feldman, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2.It's crazy to get rid of these
370. Harry Collamore, Napa, CA, 94559

Please maintain net neutrality and do not create the conditions for chaos and exploitation.

371. Dawn Marie, Napa, CA, 94558  
I support net neutrality and keeping internet companies designated under Title 2.
372. John Roarty, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I would like to strongly support net neutrality back by title II oversight of ISPs
373. Jack Hastings, Napa, CA, 94558  
Please preserve Net Neutrality by keeping the ISPs under the regulation of Title II
374. Mary Ellen Crisp, Hercules, CA, 94547  
Support Net Neutrality rules keeping it under Title II
375. Brent Crisp, Hercules, CA, 94547  
Don't drop net neutrality! There is no reason get rid of title II
376. SIMON PARAMOR, BENICIA, CA, 94510  
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY BY KEEPING TITLE 2
377. Joe Caswell, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
The internet should be free and equal to all business and personal use. Removing or decreasing access would be morally corrupt, and ultimately harmful to itself.
378. maya shah, Sanford, CA, 94558  
I support net neutrality under title 2. It's very important to ensure that everyone has equal access to the internet. Also, as an Indian American, I am disappointed in you Mr. Pai. It is so rare that we get into governmental positions like this. Don't make us look bad.
379. Jay Archibald, Napa, CA, 94559  
Please leave net neutrality strong with Title II, do not de-regulate what is in place to protect small business and the voice of the people.
380. Jack Everitt, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Net Neutrality is extremely important. Preserve Title II - do not dismantle it.
381. Aaron Baptiste, Hercules, CA, 94547  
Yeeeeeah, gonna need you not to undo the progress we made.
382. steve ray, VALLEJO, CA, 94591  
I want title 2 saved Do it to it now daddy
383. claudia alvarado, San Pablo, CA, 94806  
We need net neutrality to remain neutral and equal in our internet access! Corporations are about bottom line, do you honestly think they won't make money making moves to promote their services or get companies to pay more for equal speed? Then in turn we lose out in our paid services because of slow down or pay more because they have to pay more for us to access it!! Ridiculous to trust a corporation's morality! Also, shame on all those in government being bought!! Shame on those thinking this is a good idea!
384. Justin, Pinole, CA, 94564  
I strongly support enforcing Title II Net Neutrality regulations.
385. Jessica Marki, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Net neutrality is vital for free and fair competition. Anything less amounts to selling access to the highest bidder
386. Tim Haworth, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
The internet was developed with U.S. taxpayer money. My money. Access to the internet should not be infringed to increase corporate profits. Please provide net neutrality and keep in place Title II.
387. FRANK KILMARTIN, ROHNERT PARK, CA, 94928  
PLEASE UPHOLD AND PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY. THIS IS IMPORTANT FOR ALL CONSUMERS AND CITIZENS.
388. Jessica Case, Napa, CA, 94559  
Keep net neutrality rules in effect. We need to adapt to the rapidity of changing technology and recognize that those in power do not understand what net neutrality means and what the consequences of their ignorance will mean for us all. In essence, we are talking about free speech for our and future generations. Please let that sink in.

389. Dianne Read, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Are you really serious? Support Net Neutrality. Do not make changes to Title II !!!
390. NAS, St. Helena, CA, 94574  
I am in support of Net Neutrality. Just because something hasn't happened yet, does not mean that it will not happen in the future. When people have the opportunity to do something, it is human nature to go and do that thing.
391. Patrick Joseph Finnigan, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
I would like to get straight to the point and ask that Ajit Pai reconsider his position of reorganizing net neutrality rules and regulations. The American people need and deserve a free and open Internet, and painting the idea of taking those rights away from people as a means of expanding corporate possibilities is disingenuous. I don't think corporations are all bad, but I personally believe that a corporate entity shouldn't be given such broad access to how our internet functions just on the basis of trust. Trust has to be earned, and companies like Verizon, Charter, AT&T, and others simply haven't shown that they have earned the privilege of taking the reigns of a free and open internet. You wouldn't trust anyone to fix your car just because they said you can trust them; you'd rightly want some leverage, some form of security or retribution, to solidify that trust. That's simply common sense.
392. Laura, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. PLEASE protect us from any interference, hindrance or manipulation of our ability to choose internet content. Thanks!
393. Cynthia Combs, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
It is vitally important to me that net neutrality remain in tact with Title 2. I believe that ISPs need strong oversight. I do not want moneyed individuals deciding what I can choose. Please keep Title 2!
394. Colleen cain, Glen ellen, CA, 95442  
I support Title II . Net neutrality is important to me.
395. Jean Filkins, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
In the interest of consumer protection and freedom of expression, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
396. Rich Sousa, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Keep the Internet open. Wasn't created to control by corporations.
397. Violet Webber, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I STRONGLY support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. PLEASE! It's so important for all of the small creators and everyone else who uses the internet
398. Tracy Repp, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Mr. Ajit Pai --- I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs
399. Roland Oltmann, Penngrove, CA, 94951  
John Oliver is correct!! Please preserve Title 2.
400. Kate Farrell, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
You must preserve net neutrality. It is an essential consumer protection and should not be plowed under by business interests.
401. Tani Perez, Napa, CA, 94558  
As a concerned consumer, I request that the FCC maintain net neutrality and Title II
402. scott Montrose, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
I strongly support the net neutrality rules for ISPs. I believe the ISPs should remain under Title II in able to prode a venue for enforcement of those rules.
403. Jordan Scobey, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I specifically support net neutrality backed by title 2 for ISP's. It's ridiculous to assume that if title 2 is disbanded that other companies won't take advantage of this to bully/roll back internet speeds of its competitors. It's common sense.
404. Tim Lyons, angwin, CA, 94508  
Please preserve net neutrality by regulating ISPs by title II
405. Collin Hafen, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
I support strong net neutrality regulations. Do not remove internet providers from title II oversight.
406. Wendy, San Pablo, CA, 94806  
I support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs, so go screw your self

407. Ron Padilla, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
I support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of IPS's
408. Alan Tenschler, Yountville, CA, 94599  
Preserve net neutrality by holding ISPs under Title II.
409. Kevin Gu, West Hollywood, CA, 94928  
Give me true internet freedom
410. troy logan, Santa rosa, CA, 95401  
We need to keep the internet neutrality and not cave to Comcast, Verizon, AT&T or other
411. Mandave Sandhu, Hercules, CA, 94547  
I am in strong support of net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs! I am strongly opposed to docket number 17-108
412. Craig Morin, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I am in full support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am also opposed to docket number 17-108. Repealing net neutrality will do nothing but line the pockets of ISPs and politicians. It will hurt startups and the best resource of information we have. Going through with this repeal would be a massive mistake. Please do not do it.
413. Abigail Damron, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Please keep ISPs under Title 2. We need net neutrality! Companies must not be trusted to police themselves. This is your job, FCC! Don't let your new Chairman tell you otherwise.
414. Ryan Lee, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. Our children deserve a free and open internet!
415. c, Napa, CA, 94558  
Please stop destroying our progress.
416. Ladan DB, Napa, CA, 94559  
Protect net neutrality. Do not sell out our utility to capitalism and profit takers.
417. Ron Roberts, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Please keep the Internet free and neutral, keep the ISPs under the Title II rules
418. 17-108, pinole, CA, 94546  
KEEP Net Neutrality - and preserve Title 1 and Title 2.
419. tim daniels, Santa rosa, CA, 95409  
Keep net neutrality and title 2 as it is now.
420. Tye Arnett, Martinez, CA, 94553  
I'd like to express my concerns about the FCC's current path and how it appears to only have cooperate interests and not the American People's in mind with all it's undoing and removing of basic protections on the internet. All of the current moves in the FCC are trying to remove regulation from something that needs to be considered a basic right. The same as electricity and clean water. We need to raise regulation and actually have a FCC that follows through and punishes company's that do anything that keeps any american off the internet. ISP's need to be regulated to doing just the job of ISP's. Not being able to sell customers information, not being able to throttle or treat any part of the internet separate from another. These things will only come about from having the FCC put a more legal and permanent enforcement on the rules affecting access to the internet.
421. Harrison Wright, Penngrove, CA, 94951  
Preserve Net Neutrality. Preserve it's Title II status. This important for the freedom and equality of this national resource for everyone.
422. Darlene Fleming, Martinez, CA, 94553  
We support a strong net neutrality policy under Title 2.
423. Lori Lynne M Todd, Pope Valley, CA, 94567  
I am vehemently opposed to any changes to the existing net neutrality regulations or any actions that would change Title 2. I want the FCC to preserve net neutrality and Title 2.
424. Gregory Hensley, Saint Helena, CA, 94574  
I am a strong supporter of Net Neutrality and stand in support of Title II. Abandoning Net Neutrality will potentially result in abuse of power by corporations and will impede commerce that unfolds across the internet. This is a very important protection for consumer rights.

425. Ian Brooks, Calistoga, CA, 94515  
Please keep ISP oversight under Title II. Thank you.
426. Vivian Valenzuela, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I vehemently support strong Net Neutrality supported by Title II oversight of ISP's.
427. Timothy Daniels Jr., Santa Rosa., CA, 95409  
Net neutrality and title 2 shouldn't be changed from its current state.
428. E. Shepherd, Pinole, CA, 94564  
Please preserve net neutrality! It is the only logical and fair thing to do in order to guarantee people will have access to information without being obstructed or slowed down by corporate interests.
429. Timothy D. Finks, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
I advise regulation of internet access under Title II. Voluntary maintenance of equal access will not occur unless so regulated, and neutrality will be overcome by the quest for profit. Investment in infrastructure will not be hampered by Title II regulation, as demonstrated by past words and practices of the large ISPs.
430. ryan zim, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Att: Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversights of ISPs
431. Lara Hoffman, Napa, CA, 94558  
Keep strong Title II Net Neutrality laws
432. Megan Milholland-Brooks, Calistoga, CA, 94515  
Please keep ISP oversight under Title II. Net neutrality is important to modern democracy and a free market for content.
433. Wallis Brozman, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Save net neutrality! Pai couldn't be more wrong on why this issue is important. Keep title II status and keep business interests out of it.
434. Net Neutrality, Rodeo, CA, 94572  
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. We need net neutrality.
435. Eric Mulligan, Pinole, CA, 94564  
The American public deserve strong net neutrality rules enforceable under title 2 times. Please continue to use the government power to help the people that form it rather than the corporations.
436. David Temple, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Weakening the "Net Neutrality" rules by removing them from Title 2 is a disservice to the American people. The disingenuous statements by chairman Pai about the "lack of evidence" regarding shoddy treatment of customers by ISPs is, I can only assume, an attempt to confuse the public. KEEP THE TITLE 2 NET NEUTRALITY RULES INTACT!
437. Kimberly Patel, Santa rosa, CA, 95403  
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Contrary to Pai's partisan, disingenuous and smugly delivered statements - that is the only way to keep the internet fair and open.
438. TeresaGeorge, Napa, CA, 94559  
I urge the FCC to continue the current net neutrality rules and keep the regulations under Title II. Changing the rules will be very unfair to consumers. Mergers, monopolies, and corporate interests should not be promoted by FCC.
439. Alison Corn, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Stay with Title II. Support strong net neutrality.
440. Melissa Petrie, Napa, CA, 94558  
I strongly support regulation enforcing net-neutrality and oppose any weakening to the government's ability to regulate internet providers. ISPs should remain classified under Title II regulations that prevent providers from favoring certain content and services over others. The internet is a free market of innovation and creativity and it should be protected as such.
441. Clay Bri and Brandon, vallejo, CA, 94589  
Preserve title two and keep strong Net Neutrality rules. The internet isn't for sale!!!
442. Rachel Icaza, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Please protect Obama era internet laws under Title 2. A free and open internet is vital to a democratic society.
443. Tom Butler, Napa, CA, 94558  
I support strong net neutrality in regards to Title II oversight of ISPs.

444. kim diaz, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I strongly support net neutrality and title two for the fair and balanced requirement that ISP providers cannot slow traffic to competitors who pay more or have more influence. Thank you.
445. Shawn Julien, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Ending net neutrality is a terrible idea. Doing so would block possible ideas from flourishing and allow large companies from stopping small websites in their tracks. The internet is a great haven for freedom of speech for all people with basic access. This could be social media, a blog, public forums, video pieces, and more. These could all be stopped with the end to net neutrality. Please do not continue with this terrible block to peoples free speech and enterprise that has been created through the internet.
446. Kathryn Maxwell, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I support net neutrality and its designation under the rules of Title II.
447. greg thompson, Rohnert park, CA, 94928  
All isp's need to be regulated under title 2.A free market is only possible when the fcc has the power to guarantee a level playing field and all data is treated with equal priority for the end user. title 2 is the way the government achieves this goal for all citizens.
448. Aaron cowan, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
Please dont ruin net neutrality. The government does not need to intervene.
449. Jeff Tiedeman, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Please maintain net neutrality rules already in place. Trusting companies to do the right thing voluntarily is unacceptable.
450. Nicole Munoz, Hercules, CA, 94547  
I am in strong supportof net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs! I am strongly opposed to docket number 17-108.
451. jason dunham, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I and my family are completely in favor of net neutrality and greater over site as defined by Title II. My family has been the victims of 'throttling' by an ISP who decided to slow our 'unlimited' account ONLY when streaming movies over our Apple TV and yes our ISP is our Cable Provider.....Ridiculous behavior from an industry who at NO time has demonstrated they have the ability to self police.Please restore strong protections to what should be a 'Public' space. We wouldn't allow a private industry to deface a national park, or to disrupt the system of Air Traffic Controllers, so why would we give ISP's control over this issue.Also, we DO NOT always have sufficient competition geographically for ISPs and so consumers might be left with a series of bad choices, instead of increased options.Why are cable / Satellite bills so close....price fixing...collusion....do your JOB FCC. Don't be a puppet and remember you work for the people, we pay you not the president.
452. Earl Couey, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
Regulate Net Neutrality with Title II.
453. Will McCall, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Keep Net Neutrality enforceable by keeping internet providers under title II
454. Dana Revallo, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Keep strong net neutrality regulations and restore title 2 status
455. Joe Palladino, Napa, CA, 94558  
I support ISPs being regulated under Title II
456. Terence Hegarty, Napa, CA, 94558  
I explicitly support net neutrality. Don't let corporations prioritize access to information.
457. Kate Perry, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
I fully support net neutrality under title 2 NOT title 1.
458. Melanie Toth, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I am extremely in favor of net neutrality and defending Title II.
459. Bret Gave, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Please retain Title 2 & Net Neutrality!
460. Nathan Straub, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Hey guys, we want very strong Net Neutrality regulations under Title 2 regs please. Don't strip this shit back down so the web becomes a pay to play ecosystem.
461. Nick Allen, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Keep current net neutrality consumer protections. Do not sell us out to these monopolies.

462. Kimberly Williams, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
The FCC must preserve net neutrality and Title 2! It will have devastating effects if it is abolished.
463. Wendi Phillips, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
NET NEUTRALITY IS IMPERATIVE. keep the ISP's regulated under title two regulations. Thanks!
464. elizabeth tangney, Calistoga, CA, 94515  
Protect and preserve net neutrality and title 2.
465. Nina Jehle, Nina, CA, 94559  
I support net neutrality. Please preserve Title II regulations.
466. Dolores Cordell, Esq., Vallejo, CA, 94591  
I am VERY opposed to 17-108. Such a change in regulations would DESTROY net neutrality. The very title is a LIE. It is outrageous that you continue to lie and defraud the American public. I have been a lawyer for almost 40 years and never have I seen such deceit.NO 17-108.
467. Alycia, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Leave net neutrality intact with the protections provided under Title 2 of the communications act of 1934.
468. Isaac Hernandez, College Place, CA, 99324  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am strongly opposed to Docket No. 17-108!  
Don't fuck our internet just to line your own pockets there are plenty of ways to make money without fucking over those who you are supposed to be serving.
469. James Donaghy, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Net Neutrality and an open internet are vitally important to the free exchange of ideas and dialogue. Please support net neutrality.
470. Catherine Berte, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I support Title II and a strong net neutrality.
471. Lisa Jean Beeman, Martinez, CA, 94553  
I strongly support the Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II. Please do not sell the internet to the highest bidder!
472. Cory Corcoran, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I strongly support the regulation and oversight of I.S.P.'s in an effort to preserve net neutrality backed by title 2. Please don't let these large corporations influence a decision that impacts millions of Americans.
473. Maria Urzua, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
I support strong NET NEUTRALITY!
474. Tom Shutt, Napa, CA, 94558  
Keep title 2 rules for net neutrality
475. darrellgeliberte, vallejo, CA, 94589  
FCC please preserve net neutrality under title 2. ISPs should not have the power they hold when it was part of title 1
476. Johnathan Wright, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I am a teacher, and the internet is critical to the success of our children. They need free access to information and lessons online. That means a free and unfettered internet. Do not allow ISPs to throttle our access.
477. Carolyn Andrews, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Protect net neutrality. Preserve oversight of ISPs per Title II. Do not abandon your duty. Do not give in to corruption.
478. jason pepper, Calistoga, CA, 94515  
I want to express my support for a free and open internet. Changes to the current status greatly endanger the ability for smaller operators to compete against a potential oligopoly. Please keep the internet free and fair.
479. Jonathan Henson, Napa, CA, 94558  
Keep net neutrality. Keep title 2 rules.
480. Meriel and Michael Mees, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Please preserve a free and open internet under Title II. It is the best way to keep ISPs accountable for equal access to a global public good. Thank you!
481. Taylor Hoff, Saint Helena, CA, 94574  
This bill is a farce. Restricting Net Neutrality and removing Title II is an unacceptable, unamerican deviation from the very

principles of freedom and free enterprise that we hold dear. The American people see your regulatory capture for what it really is: Corruption. How much did AT&T pay you? <https://www.theverge.com/2017/3/29/15100620/congress-fcc-isp-web-browsing-privacy-fire-sale>

482. Ashlee Hollen, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I am writing to urge you that you uphold Title 2 and preserve net neutrality.
483. Dre and V, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
We specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs
484. Carolyn Cobb, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Net Neutrality must be protected! Preserve Title II
485. Casey Rockwood, Napa, CA, 94558  
I support net neutrality and Title II PROTECTION.
486. Katie Clark, Napa, CA, 94558  
I VERY strongly oppose any rollback of net neutrality regulations.
487. David Moon Wainwright, Calistoga, CA, 94515  
I fully support Net Neutrality based on Title 2 legislation. The FCC has a job to do, do not abdicate your work by rolling over on regulating billion dollar industries.
488. Jeffrey Mitchell, Pinole, CA, 94564  
I am extremely concerned over the current threat to net neutrality. Allowing ISPs unregulated control over the speed that individual websites can be accessed is akin to allowing electricity providers to determine the amount of power to which consumers can allocate to specific appliances. This would clearly have a very strong and negative effect on both consumers and a free and fair market for internet-based businesses. I strongly support Title 2 oversight of ISPs and urge that net neutrality remain in place.
489. Maria DiGiulio, Calistoga, CA, 94515  
In the best interest of the US public, I hope the FCC will keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules to allow for a free and open commerce to take place in this sector.
490. Jessica Michael, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I strongly support net neutrality. Please keep regulations in place that ensure net neutrality.
491. Jason Duncan, Napa, CA, 94559  
I support a free and open internet. DO NOT rollback Obama era FCC rules and regulations!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
492. Anthony Bardessono, Napa, CA, 94559  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and the classification of ISP under Title II of the Communications Act. Please do not remove this protection of our free and open internet.
493. Joseph Adducci, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
The FCC needs to keep current net neutrality rules in place using Title II to classify ISPs
494. Tamerlane Downing, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
I STRONGLY oppose the proposal to end net neutrality. These regulations/ companies belong in Title II and should not be changed. The INTERNET MUST REMAIN FREE.
495. Robert Cattolica, Napa, CA, 94559  
I am writing in strong support of net neutrality. Business thrives when there are clearly defined and fair rules that apply to every individual and business entity. If the FCC fails to protect net neutrality, internet service providers will diligently serve their shareholders with premium pricing for the delivery of content. This will lead to vertical monopolies where the only businesses that can afford to provide content are those that own the means of delivery, such as cable companies. The quality and diversity of content will decline and the net may ultimately prove less profitable for all involved. Tech companies have succeeded to create an entirely new economy by applying out-of-the-box thinking to a limited set of necessary constraints. Net neutrality is one of those necessary constraints that allows this profitable and important business ecosystem to thrive. Support business. Support net neutrality.
496. Jaime Trabert, Cotati, CA, 94931  
I support net neutrality 100% - please continue to protect consumers!!
497. Maggie Gibbons and Erik Jensen, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
We are strongly in favor of net neutrality and Title Two regulation as it presently exists.
498. Michael Stewart, Napa, CA, 94558

I support net neutrality and it is essential to keep the overseeing through title 2. It is paramount to keep these companies regulated and title 2 is the only way to do that.

499. Amelia True, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I support strong net neutrality Backed by title II oversight of ISPs!! You should too!
500. Kelvin Si, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of Internet-from Service Providers.
501. Mark Pickett, Napa, CA, 94559  
Stop all the fuckery. Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.
502. Linda Sinclair, Napa, CA, 94559  
I think it is important to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
503. Leila, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II.... PLEASE!!!!
504. Joseph Miazgowicz, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
We support strong net neutrality supported by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
505. Joseph W. Smith, santa rosa, CA, 95404  
Hello. As an internet user who is STRONGLY in support of STRONG net neutrality rules, I support classifying ISP's as a TITLE 2, as was done in 2015 - and I appreciate you taking the time to read and register this comment. Have a wonderful day!
506. joyelle finn, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
It is crucial that the FCC keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules. I believe this to be important in protecting personal freedom as well as the integrity of the internet and its users.
507. Lorrie Grandfield, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
please preserve your neutrality in Title 2 PLEASE PRESERVE YOUR NEUTRALITY IN TITLE 2 !!!!!THANK YOU
508. Matthew Long, Napa, CA, 94559  
We the public will in no way benefit from loosening restrictions on net neutrality. Please preserve our 1st Amendment!
509. Danielle Langlois, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2.I specifically support strong net neutrality that's why Title 2 oversight of ISPS is so important!!
510. Laura Routh, Martinez, CA, 94553  
We must keep net neutrality. I don't trust the ISPs to do that without Title 2 protections.
511. Christina Turgeon, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I support preserving net neutrality under the title 2 rule.
512. Jeff Grandfield, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
PLEASE PRESERVE YOUR NEUTRALITY IN TITLE 2 !!!!!
513. Mike Turgeon, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Please maintain net neutrality keeping ISPs under the Title 2rule. Thank you
514. Greg, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I strongly believe Title 2 should be kept.
515. Susan Krones, Lakeport, CA, 95453  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP. Please protect net neutrality. It is important.
516. Tyler Folk, Napa, CA, 94559  
I support strong net neutrality supported by title ii oversight of ISP's
517. Aaron Ramo, Napa, CA, 94558  
You need to uphold net neutrality and title 2!!!!!!!!!!
518. David Broderick, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Please continue to keep ISP's as Title 2. I am not concerned about the impact it has on their spending; I am concerned that ISP's will not behave in a fair manner, given the chance.
519. sara brooks long, Penngrove, CA, 94951

Preserve Title 2. Keep net neutrality. Do not allow ISPs to self-regulate.

520. jennifer larocque, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Preserve net neutrality and title 22!
521. Zack Long, Penngrove, CA, 94951  
Net neutrality is very important. Please preserve it under Title II.
522. Ronald Leal, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
It would be a disservice to Americans who use the internet to change the net neutrality rules as set in 2015. Leave Title II alone.
523. Will Sitch, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
ISPs should be regulated under title II. Internet access is a human right and it seems a little sneaky for big companies to potentially throttle the competition.
524. Steve, Benicia, CA, 94510  
We need net neutrality. Backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. This is important.
525. Laura Rivers, Napa, CA, 94558  
We need to uphold net neutrality laws and title 2 for a fair and safe Internet!!!!
526. net neutrality, Lakeport, CA, 95453  
Please keep net neutrality by any means.
527. William K Elbrng, Penngrove, CA, 94951  
Maintain Title II regs on all ISP's. Net neutrality is essential to fairness.
528. Spencer Vero, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Net Neutrality is vitally important for the health of the internet and our access to it. It is imperative that we not reclassify the Net Neutrality rules as Title 1 instead of Title 2. Willfully choosing to deregulate every American's access to the internet, as it becomes an ever more important tool and utility, is gross negligence.
529. Cari Most, Cotati, CA, 94931  
We support Net Neutrality, and stand against the deregulation of ISPs as title II entities. ISPs have never invested in their infrastructure to the degree which is currently demanded by the market, the allegation that their industry is in any way negatively impacted by the current regulations is false. These companies are seeking to stifle the free market and should be stopped.
530. christopher l jennings, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
I support Net Neutrality and hope the FCC does nothing to lesson restrictions that are currently applied by Title 2.
531. Travis Camilleri, Rohnert park, CA, 94928  
I would like to support strong net neutrality by insisting that ISP's stay as title 2. Thank you
532. Susan Ellis, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Please do not allow the current administration to jeopardize net neutrality by rolling back regulation. We still need this issue to be regulated under Title II. Corporations have enough power in this country. Please do not give them anymore.
533. Judy Dragon, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight by ISP's
534. Megan Wesley, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Preserve internet neutrality! Preserve title II
535. laura madaia, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Keep net neutrality rules of title II. Do not change them. Protect privacy.
536. Chris Filippetti, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
KEEP Net Neutrality under Title 2 and KEEP big businesses out of what is fair for the people. They don't need to control what I search, watch, learn and buy on the internet! STOP SELLING OUT TO BIG BUSINESS FCC and most of all AJIT PAI, keep Net Neutrality under Title 2 and keep the internet running fair for all the people!!!! Ajit, you don't work for Verizon anymore (or do you). You are now working for the people of the USA and in this case, you would be setting an example for the world. Don't allow the big businesses like Verizon, Comcast, AT&T, T-Mobile, Charter, etc to control YOU and the people of the USA. Again, KEEP Net Neutrality under Title 2 and KEEP big businesses out of what is fair for the people. They don't need to control what I search, watch, learn and buy on the internet! They must NOT control the speed of the internet if it does not fill their pockets with more money. Thank you for your time,Chris

537. Chris Stevens, Cobb, CA, 95426  
Please do not repeal Title II and hurt net neutrality. The internet is one of the last places where everyone is equal. By opening up a door that could allow ISP's to increase internet speeds for users who pay more, you limit poorer communities and put smaller businesses at a disadvantage. When smaller, newer businesses don't have a level playing field to succeed, they suffer along with the consumers. Why is this even a question? If consumers get burned by this, along with smaller businesses, why would this be up for debate? Please do not let our free, open internet be destroyed. It is one of the last ways the common people can unite and converse, share ideas, and rage against unfair machines anonymously. - Chris Stevens
538. sean wesley, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Preserve net neutrality. Protect title II restrictions
539. monica Edwards, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Keep current Net Neutrality laws! Do not repeal the changes made by President Obama.
540. Sharon Prell, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
I urge you to preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Thank you for your consideration to all U.S. citizens.
541. Marion Johnson, napa, CA, 94558  
Restore net neutrality.
542. Galen George, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2 regulation
543. Silvia Costales, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I am writing to urge the FCC to keep in place strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I do not trust the market to preserve the "level playing field" that net neutrality provides. Net neutrality is a vital part of the value of the internet for society, a kind of library and communications medium that is democratic, where access to information is available equally to all and cannot be controlled by any commercial or political enterprise. I would even go so far as to say that net neutrality is essential to our first amendment rights. Please continue to protect and preserve net neutrality, for everyone.
544. Brandon Petro, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Please keep net neutrality as it is.
545. James Bartscherer, Napa, CA, 94559  
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title Two oversight of our ISPs. Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title Two. Thank you, James Bartscherer
546. Gabriel Quinnan, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
I am opposed to any changes to the current FCC rules regarding "Net Neutrality" that in any way reduce enforcement of those rules. So long as the landscape of internet service providers is limited in number and, therefore, represents a relatively uncompetitive market, as is the case in my area, my access will likely be limited artificially by monied interests. Moreover, small businesses, like mine, will be at a disadvantage with regards to larger, competing businesses. So long as ISP's are tightly controlled by government, a competitive environment must be protected by government.
547. A concerned veteran, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
ISPs are paid to provide piping to the Internet, not to pick and choose winners and losers; the market does that. I served in the US Army and have since trained myself to become a software engineer while earning a BA in Anthropology. I was able to do this because of the open access to information. Any infringement on this open access is against liberty and freedom, and is thus antithetical to the idea of America. The Internet is the greatest achievement of humanity, don't fuck it up!
548. William Cooper, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Restore Internet freedom and keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II
549. Chris Filippetti, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
In case I was not totally clear last time..... I fully support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Obama-era ISP rules should remain in order to protect consumers from the monopoly that ISPs have over internet utility.
550. Carl Cassel, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Simply put, please preserve net neutrality and title 2. Thank you.
551. Mona Marlow, Napa, CA, 94558  
Please continue to keep net neutrality controlled through Title II.
552. Jonathan Pittman, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight by isp's.
553. David Christopher Loye, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I support Net Neutrality - classifying and regulating Internet Service Providers as common carriers under Title II (2) of the

Communications Act of 1934. I have watched as just about all small ISPs have been forced out of the market by Comcast, AT&T and Time Warner. Even those three are constantly circling around each other for conglomeration. At the same time, I've experienced the internet service provided by these three become more erratic and untrustworthy as they shift their focus to acquiring content and data mining. WE, THE PEOPLE USING THESE SERVICES DESPERATELY NEED STRONG REGULATIONS TO ENSURE EVEN MODERATELY ACCEPTABLE SERVICE.

554. James DiMartino, Napa, CA, 94558  
Keep net neutrality! It's important to us as Americans, free economics of scale!
555. David Sherman, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Preserve Net Neutrality in Title II. The purpose of the internet is not to make money for ISPs -- it is already making them quite wealthy enough. The purpose of the internet is to enrich the lives of internet users.
556. Noah Feiwell, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
ISPs need to remain under Title 2 regulation--it is the FCC's obligation to ensure that communications infrastructure is fundamentally equal access for all Americans, and that has already been deemed and proven impossible under Title 1 classification. All the best to whoever is tasked with reading through all these--stay strong!
557. Blake Mengotto, Hercules, CA, 94547  
Please do not allow any repeal or modification to Net Neutrality. ISP's should not be able to dictate what content gets delivered at better / preferable speeds, nor should they be able to censor the options of the consumer (which search engine is provided and available, etc). This is a clear suppression of freedom of speech and freedom of information that the internet provides. Pay for play was tried by ISP's in the late 90's and early 2000's and Google proved that the model was flawed and failed (AOL's demise). Walled garden offerings are not what the people want, we want freedom. Give us this, it is your duty, as you serve the people before serving corporate interest. Thank you.
558. Maria Lorraine, Yountville, CA, 94599  
Mr. Pai, I believe, is not seeing the situation from the viewpoint of citizens, who require net neutrality in their internet access. Citizens, not corporations, should be protected here.
559. Barbara Lustig, Napa, CA, 94558  
I ask that Net Neutrality and Title 2 be preserved. Thank you.
560. George Uberti, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Absolutely not. You know damn well what you're doing and you should be ashamed of yourself.
561. 1, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
NET NEUTRALITY MOTHER FCCERS - SRSLY THO ITS IMPORTANT
562. Debra Winkelman, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
As a teacher to grade school children, I teach them the importance of fairness and honesty. I strongly support the need for Net Neutrality. In all honesty, we need regulations to keep companies honest and consumer choice fair. Enforce Net Neutrality to keep our market fair and balanced!
563. Andrew Costello, Napa, CA, 94558  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 of the Communications Act.
564. chad mcaffee, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I support title 2 isp oversight. Net neutrality is critical for what makes the Internet the melting pot of ideas that it is today. Without it ISPs will do what they always do, take advantage of every loophole they can, and destroying the framework of the Internet in the process. Don't let net neutrality fall by the wayside.
565. Bryce Weber, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Protect net neutrality under title 2! Don't let the goofy man with the goofy mug distract you from your job.
566. Joseph Chasan, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I fully support the FCC continuing to maintain strong net neutrality protections backed by title II classification of ISP's. This is the best way to ensure a free and open internet. Please do not reclassify ISP's out of title II regulation. Thank you.
567. Brandon Mazur, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
I specifically support net neutrality. Backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. your job is to regulate not deconstruct.
568. Bonnie Brudie, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Large corporate Internet providers such as Verizon and Comcast cannot be trusted to keep net neutrality intact when it competes directly with their own profits. Title 2 is the only way for the FCC to assure that consumers are provided with a fair and equitable Internet experience. Thank you.

569. Kim Hughes, Martinez, CA, 94553  
As an early adopter of the internet decades ago, I remember hearing about net neutrality. But as the internet has become more and more of a business this keeps coming under threat. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs™s. Leave it alone now.
570. Paul Brown, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Please protect net neutrality and anti-espionage efforts. They are vital to my feeling safe online.
571. Philip O'Connor, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Maintain Net Neutrality by keeping ISPs as Title 2. FOR THE LOVE OF GOD AND COUNTRY.
572. Chi, MARTINEZ, CA, 94553  
Anyone who is not ignorant about Net Neutrality and has some degree of intelligence and justice should understand that Net Neutrality is the right thing to do. First, Net Neutrality is just another form to support Freedom of Speed because Net Neutrality prevents one-sided bias propoganda by any institute or agency. Second, Net Neutrality prohibits internet providers from slowing down or censoring or place ransoms on websites or services that contradicts the interests of internet providers. One good example is that if Comcast wants to take over Netflix and Amazon, all they need to do is to prevent people from accessing those website while allowing people to go to Comcast's version of equivalent websites. Oh... why do I need to explain this? There are people who did research and wrote like thesis about this topic like there's no tomorrow. Go read those.
573. Chris Sanders, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Keep Net Neutrality unde Title II. I find it profoundly suspicious a former Verizon lawyer is doing the bidding of his former employer. Shame on Ajit Pai! The FCC Chairman should recuse himself.
574. julian wilson holmes, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I vote for strong net neutrality with title 2 oversight.
575. Elizabeth Bassett, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Net neutrality is crucial to continued freedom of speech, as well as to innovation by U S entrepreneurs developing new software. Please treat this very important means of communication as a public utility. Do not let it come under corporate control.
576. Meredith Cahn, Petaluma, CA, 94954  
Please maintain net neutrality by keeping the ISPs under Title II, rather than allowing them to drift back to Title I. Net neutrality is important for a functioning democracy and allows small businesses to compete fairly.
577. Conor Boyle, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
Net neutrality must be preserved. ISP's must continue to be classified under Title II. Regulation is good for our country and it's good for competition. The EU believes in net neutrality and if we want to continue to move forward, not back to the stone ages, net neutrality must be preserved as is.
578. Mike Lipari, American Canyon, CA, 94503  
Please don't ease the regulations on net neutrality. Corporations shouldn't control our content.
579. James Kelly, Napa, CA, 94558  
Please keep strong net-neutrality rules in place. ISPs should be governed under Title II rules not Title I.
580. Kyle Valiton, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I ask that the FCC preserve net nuetrality and Title 2. Best Regards.
581. Casey Dillon, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Keep the Net Neutrality laws under the Title II, as so eloquently stated by John Oliver in his show Sunday, 5/8/17 (as I'm sure you're aware of by now). And please, keep your noses in your various oversized candy mugs, and out of the current Internet laws. Thank you.
582. Greg Morre, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I strongly support net neutrality. Internet providers should not choose the winners and losers. It should be the consumers choice.
583. Net Neutrality, Napa, CA, 94559  
I support strong net neutrality, backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please protect net neutrality!
584. Austin Aviles, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I and strongly for net neutrality as it is, as a consumer of the internet as a platform of free speech and progress in so many ways I feel that it can lead us into the future but only if we use it correctly and with equal use for everyone even competing corporations
585. Joe Cavallero, Napa, CA, 94558

I am concerned that the FCC is making moves to regulate the internet under title I of the 30's information act instead of title II. Ensuring that the Internet is free and open can not be left to business. I am successful and would never have become as successful as I am without the open internet. I would probably benefit from my investments in telecom monetarily but this is a matter of principle. The internet is a utility for the public good, ensure that the ISPs treat all content on the web THE SAME. Thank you.

586. bob Woolery, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
Maintaining strong internet neutrality rules under Title II, as directed by the Court in the Verizon case, is essential to modern civilized discourse.
587. Joshua Aguirre, Petaluma, CA, 94954  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II classification for internet providers. To do otherwise jeopardizes the integrity of our information infrastructure.
588. Emily Gaines, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
Net neutrality is essential to maintain the freedom of the press guaranteed to us by the Constitution.
589. Luke Cypress, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I support net neutrality and support title II oversight of ISPs. John Oliver's mug is bigger!
590. Melanie, hercules, CA, 94547  
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I firmly support the Open Internet Rule (or commonly known as Net Neutrality). Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. Specifically, I demand that FCC maintains its stance to classify Internet service providers under the Communication Act of 1934 Title II (Common Carrier). Also, please re-open FCC investigation of zero-rating practices by wireless providers T-Mobile, AT&T and Verizon so that Internet Service Providers do not pick winners and losers of content providers.
591. Carter Beard, rohnert park, CA, 94928  
Title 2 must be held more solid than diamond to keep us all informed and free.
592. Aaron Stainthorp, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I want to support net neutrality and keep rules backed by Title 22. Thanks for making this form so easy to fill out. Internet freedom is important.
593. Sandra Macneill, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
The FCC should retain net neutrality and Title II. ISPs and Google are different things. Keep it safe.
594. Rosalind Robinson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I strongly support Internet neutrality under Title 2. We're watching you.
595. Sanjay Mishra, American canyon, CA, 94503  
Net neutrality must stay as it is under title 2.
596. Ross Outon, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
KEEP Net Neutrality and KEEP Title II regulation in place!
597. Jeremy Bohr, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Net neutrality is an extremely important issue and we must be diligent in making sure that ISP's are not permitted to pick and choose what data the public has access to or alter the reliability or speed of access to data they choose. Their role is to provide clear access to the internet and allow for open flow of data, which is very important. Classifying ISP's under Title II is by far the best option we have at the moment to protect the internet.
598. Roger Abarca, napa, CA, 94559  
Keep Net Neutrality and preserve title 2! We don't need big corporations deciding what we should watch while also reducing our broadband speed to our favorite sites.
599. Michele Querin, Napa, CA, 94559  
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY! Don't let big money corrupt our internet browsing
600. PoTaToGuNSLiNGeR, PoTaToGuNSLiNGeR, CA, 94589  
I support Net Neutrality. Don't touch Title II.
601. Zachary Good, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
As an active user of the Internet, I firmly believe, after extensive research, that removing net neutrality regulations would be extremely harmful to our overall web production, and possibly leaving the doors open for web-based "monopolies." Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2.
602. Maintain Net Neutrality, Sonoma, CA, 95476

I strongly support net neutrality under title II. Please don't let private interests dictate the access people have to media and information on the internet.

603. Nathan Secrest, Lakeport, CA, 95453  
I am totally opposed to the idea that companies can pay more to have their internet websites load faster. Don't take away the freedom of the internet with your Net Neutrality ideas
604. Manuel Angel, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Preserve strong Net Neutrality rules and Article 2 and keep the internet open. I'm sorry if you all really have to watch Fox News all day (something I read online).
605. 5, American Canyon, CA, 94503  
The continuation of ISP's being under Title 2 instead of Title 1 is of the utmost importance. To protect net neutrality I urge the FCC to keep the protections enacted under the previous administration.
606. Nate Secrest, Lakeport, CA, 95453  
Net Neutrality is important! Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2!
607. paul k, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Do not repeal net neutrality. No matter how you try to skirt the issue, you are wrong. No educated, reasonable person is in favor of this. I will oppose every politician who has a hand in this for the rest of my life (I will find out who u are), and I will encourage everyone I know to do the same.
608. Eric Gradwohl, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
leave net neutrality the way it is,
609. Diana Kingsbury, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I strongly support strict protection of net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! We need the Internet to be equally accessible no matter what content, otherwise ISPs will impose on our freedom in subtle ways.
610. Calton Bolick, Martinez, CA, 94553  
As a long-time Internet user, I OBJECT to any attempt to weaken enforcement of net neutrality rules, and rolling back the 2015 Open Internet Order (or "Title II Order") would do EXACTLY that. I urge you to KEEP the rules in place.
611. Andrea Van Tuyl, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Do no roll back net neutrality and equal access. Keep net neutrality and keep ISPs classified under title 2.
612. David Spenger, hercules, CA, 94547  
Net neutrality and Internet freedom must stay within title two and have strong regulations!
613. Hunter Delattre, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Maintaining net neutrality is good for the US economy. You will have more opportunities to make more money in the future if you protect net neutrality.
614. laurelee roark, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Preserve net neutrality now and for ever
615. taran sokolov, santa rosa, CA, 95401  
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY RULES BACKED BY TITLE 2.
616. Karin Devoto, Crockett, CA, 94525  
This is a very important issue in our democratic republic. We must maintain net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
617. Michael Tonelli, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I strongly support Net Neutrality for a free and open Internet.
618. Dan McMinn, Saint Helena, CA, 94574  
I strongly support Net Neutrality, like everyone else paying attention to this. ISPs need to be subject to Title II regulation just like they did last time a Verison lawyer (or a few such lawyers) tried to dismantle the rules that keep the Internet open.
619. lex howard, santa rosa, CA, 95404  
Protect net neutrality and maintain title 2.
620. patrick coleman, santa rosa, CA, 95409  
I strongly support maintaining the current statutes and net neutrality. I strongly support maintaining Title II. Revising current statutes will give the power to the large cable companies and create a disadvantage for consumers.
621. Matthew Harrold, Kelseyville, CA, 95451

My name is Matt Harrold. I earned my degree in Computer Science from Montana State University College of Technology with High Honors in 2012. I strongly support Net Neutrality and I feel it should be supported by providing Title II oversight of ISP's.

622. Gregory Gilton, Benicia, CA, 94510  
It's very important that strong net neutrality be preserved and backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. The answer to every question is not deregulation.
623. Leslie Gilton, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Please preserve strong net neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
624. Nanette Burton, Napa, CA, 94559  
I am against you TRUSTING that companies will do the right thing. That is a very naive statement and as you worked for Verizon you know already this will not work. You say you want smaller government yet you are trying to control what I see. what else could it be with this administration. KEEP THE CURRENT RULES!
625. Peter J. Collins, St. Helena, CA, 94574  
Dear commissioners, You have but one responsibility in your Public Commission, which is to focus the benefit of your actions on the American people. Any action to alter Title II is clearly for the benefit of the very ISPs which you are charged to regulate and to the detriment of the nation. Any action to deregulate the ISP's by altering the requirements of Title II is a vote to screw the American people and your name will be recorded in history as an unpatriotic corporate shill. Please recognize that we are watching your actions on this matter, do what is right for your nation! Respectfully -- Peter Collins
626. Laura Doty, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
It is essential that a strong Internet be protected under Title II oversight of ISPs. A return to the lax standards of Title I or to voluntary self-enforcement is inadequate and unacceptable.
627. Christopher James Brown, VALLEJO, CA, 94589  
Please keep my Internet neutral and regulated under title II.
628. stefanie dagdag, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
There needs to be more regulation on ISPs. Please do not roll back the Obama era net neutrality regulations.
629. Jared Reisman, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Adrit Pai, I support strong net neutrality...and your coffee mug is small
630. nelson cortez, Napa, CA, 94558  
I support strong net neutrality laws and oppose any policy to undermine it.
631. Ernesto Gabriel Aguilar, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
John Oliver sent me. Don't you touch Net Neutrality and Title II.
632. greg davis, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
Do not break title 2
633. Anthony Cermak, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Please retain Title II Net Neutrality. It is in the interest of the People, not the big corporations.
634. Aidan Humrich, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Net neutrality is important to Americans and we need to maintain consistent levels of broadband to all without ISPs throttling bandwidth. Internet access has become as important a utility as electricity flowing into our homes. Don't be bullied by big business, and stand up for what is best fir our citizens!
635. catherine howard, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I am in support of net neutrality and the preservation of Title 2.
636. Nicholas Strohl, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Keep the strong net neutraility rules that are currently backed by Title II.
637. Pamela LeBlanc, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Please preserve Internet neutrality under title II oversight
638. Michael Joseph Elgin, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Net neutrality is an integral aspect of a free and open internet. Internet service providers must remain under Title II to ensure free speech and equal access to information. Internet Service providers need to be put in check NOW. We cannot stand by and allow them to continue to capitalize on something that should be considered a right. We cannot allow our ISPs to sell off our personal information. Where does this stop? Politicians are being persuaded to pass laws that support these practices, because they are too old and out of touch to care and do not realize how precious the internet is.

639. kristy melton, Napa, CA, 94559  
Please keep strong rules on net neutrality. Do not weaken the legislation currently in place. Thank you.
640. Barry Southard, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Net neutrality is an important issue, and should be maintained with Title II regulation. Please don't allow the internet to be manipulated by special interest groups.
641. Alex Desormier, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I'm tired of these monopolies of internet service providers trying to run the internet like it's their personal pet, trying to control things that they do not own, and treat internet service as if it's not a utility in this year 2017. The internet should be free (as in how it runs and works, not free as in no service cost), but also the service cost for internet has long been out of control. European countries have better and better internet and infrastructure for that internet to run on, yet despite their constant improvement most of their prices are low compared to most of America. We need to hold these internet service provider monopolies like Comcast and Time Warner Cable (along with even the smaller ones) accountable for their actions as shady monopolies, stop letting them get away with proverbial murder on charging the people exorbitant prices for sub-par service. Why are we as a nation mostly on dsl and cable internet when fiber gigabit internet has been around so long? Lazy companies wanting to fill the pockets of executives instead of improving the infrastructure and service for the customer. Stop letting these companies try to buy the words that come out of mouths in our government, keep the internet free from repression and stifling by those that wish to strangle it until it submits to them.
642. Trina Daugherty, Napa, CA, 94558  
You are on the wrong side of history Pai. Dirty dirty dirty
643. Gregory, Yountville, CA, 94599  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight for ISP's.
644. Greg Taylor, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Net Neutrality is crucial to consumer trust and freedom. Weakening Net Neutrality benefits huge businesses, not the small businesses that Republicans claim to be working on behalf of.
645. David Mann, Napa, CA, 94558  
Gotta stop this insanity
646. Luiza da Hora, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
I support strong net neutrality rules backed up by Title 2. Please do not loosen net neutrality rules. Do not 'take a weed whacker' to net neutrality.
647. Michael Mannion, Rodeo, CA, 94572  
Amidst significant uncertainty on so many levels in our country today, weakening of Net Neutrality can be counted as one of the worst ideas currently on the table. Please do not handicap the citizens of our nation by effectively reducing equal access to information. History will not look kindly on the prioritization of corporate profit over innovation and communication.
648. Kyle Kulp, Martinez, CA, 94553  
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Internet providers should have no bias or influence on what I search and my ability to do so.
649. Andrew Leonard, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I stand for net neutrality, and the FCC must do the same. In order to provide free and equitable internet access, ISPs must be forced to treat internet as a human right and a utility.
650. Michelle Elikor, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
It is important that Net Neutrality and Title II continue. ATT already gives me poor internet service so I can't imagine what it would be like if they have even more control over my internet content. It is insulting to the US people to suggest they should trust the provider companies to voluntarily not slow down competing companies' internet content. We are not that stupid to think that major companies like Verizon will look out for any interests other than their own bottom line.
651. Stormy Knight, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
The Open Internet has been the greatest leveling of the playing field of innovation in the history of mankind. To throw that away so some very rich companies can make even more money is the pinnacle of greed and short-sightedness. Do not switch back to Title I.
652. Cynthia Howard, Benicia, CA, 94510  
POTUS does not get to censor our airwaves or internet, especially after his use of very offensive language in oh so many instances. EG Bomb the shit out of them, Tell them to go Fuck themselves, grab them by the pussy, do I need to go on? Leave CBS and Stephen Colbert alone! You have much bigger fish to fry!!
653. Deborah Testa, Calistoga, CA, 94515  
I strong support regulating net neutrality under Title II. This is a sensible approach.

654. Alicia Robert, Napa, CA, 94558  
I find net neutrality to be extremely important to a free America. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
655. Sam, santa rosa, CA, 95404  
we support strong net neutrality backed by titled II oversight of ISPs!!!!
656. J Melton McMurrin, Middletown, CA, 95461  
Dear FCC Commissioners,I strongly support that Internet service providers should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites.Because "those with big pockets" have already attempted to un-level the internet playing field, strong Title 2 oversight of ISPs is absolutely necessary to ensure those "with little pockets" have equal opportunity. "Government of the people, by the people, for the people, shall not perish from the earth" ...but only if we safe guard it. History is watching. Look at the corrupting influence of moneyed interests upon governments around the globe, and take a stand against the rising tide of dishonesty and unfairness.Thank you.
657. Julie Pecore, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Freedom and equality must be preserved on the internet. This is America. Are we turning into China? NO on this proposal!
658. Isaiah Herrera, Los Angeles, CA, 94503  
I would like to express my concern of changing Internet Service Providers (ISPs) back to Title I. I would like ISPs to stay legally regulated under Title II.
659. Johann Hall, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Do your job - maintain net neutrality
660. Rachel, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Please continue to support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. We need free and open internet. It is what makes the world wide web the beautiful thing it is.
661. Michael Brown, Napa, CA, 94558  
I strongly support net neutrality and not letting the companies who control the pipelines have the ability to control speeds based on their interests.
662. JoshuaDeitcher, Rodeo, CA, 94572  
Internet freedom rights are the rights of the users of the internet regardless of carrier or isp provider. Please protect our net neutrality rights. ISP providers should not dictate how we use the internet for their own financial interests/ Thank you.
663. David Barker, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I support net neutrality and encourage the protection of Title II.
664. Katherine McCusker, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
Preserve net neutrality. Do not change from Title 2 to Title 1. Keep equality in internet access!
665. Timothy Carroll, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight. The idea that ISPs will govern themselves in this matter would be laughable if it weren't so serious - these corporations, like any corporation, must use any advantage available to them to make more money than their competitors. Removing title 2 protections will give them an opportunity that they must take, therefore, to make this extra money at the expense of the public's freedom of expression.
666. Jose Oliveros, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Hey FCC,Please protect the internet. Sincerely,JosÃ© Oliveros
667. Tracy Lubas, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I support strong net neutrality. Do not abandon Title II protection. Internet service providers should not be able to manipulate what speed I see my content at.
668. deborah neasi-miller, Kenwood, CA, 95452  
We need to maintain Net Neutrality! The US is quickly becoming the fourth world! Remain forward leaning, not backward thinking!
669. Andrea Marke, Napa, CA, 94558  
Please preserve Net Neutrality. I am concerned that overturning these regulations will be detrimental to the US public.
670. Anthony Roselli, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I urge the FCC to consider that net neutrality remains an important aspect of internet telecommunications. To allow Internet service providers to determine the access of consumers for the purposes of capitalization would be a disservice to the FCC's powers under Title II. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers for the following reasons:Internet Service Providers are clearly a utility, a position backed by the courts after the Title II powers were

invoked. For the FCC to relinquish its current net neutrality rules would suppress market diversity in what may be the most important economic market in the modern world. Net neutrality supports start-up ventures and small businesses in a way that the open, unregulated market never will. ISP's ability to create surcharges and access fees which will disproportionately impact businesses who lack the market-share and capital to negotiate effectively with such large providers. Net neutrality also supports consumers, ensuring that the disproportionate costs created by access capitalization won't create unnecessary higher costs arbitrarily implemented by ISPs. These consumer protections are healthy for economic market flow, giving consumers access to a more diverse market of internet-based companies. Perhaps the most disconcerting possible impact is one of censure. ISPs having control over the access of consumers to particular websites draws significant concern about private industry having control over consumer access to information. The internet is the most important communication and research tool ever created. To allow anyone to restrict access to particular information without due process (something not required for private "individuals" such as internet companies), simply for the purposes of enhancing profit margins, would handicap the free flow of ideas that make this country great. Without net neutrality, the internet access that is so key to the United States economy would be damaged substantially, and consumers and small businesses would suffer the brunt of the impact. American people would be disenfranchised by both the economics and censure issues outlined above. I urge the FCC to consider the above concerns, and to not repeal the current net neutrality regulations.

671. David Welch, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Net neutrality is a vital component to the digital information age we are living in. Freedom of choice for how we receive information and entertainment is essential in today's world. You have only to look at other countries to see the harm this does when that freedom of choice is limited. The ability of any commercial entity to limit access to any other entity or source of information for their own purposes is counter to the good of the American public. I am vehemently opposed to any rollback of the current rules and regulations that have established net neutrality.
672. Wilma Snider, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Don't take away an open internet.
673. Fernando Caldera, Rodeo, CA, 94572  
I strongly support net neutrality through the use of classifying ISP's under title 2. I hope you reconsider removing the current net neutrality laws in place.
674. Brenda Hays, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
It is imperative we retain net neutrality and Title II.
675. Laura Sparks, Cotati, CA, 94931  
I STRONGLY SUPPORT Net Neutrality. The FCC should continue to regulate the internet under Title II: Common Carrier provisions. Weakening Net Neutrality will only benefit big ISPs and their allies. It will NOT help consumers nor small businesses. Ajit Pai has been appointed to an agency that is supposed to operate for the benefit of the American people, but he only seems to be concerned with enriching the entrenched ISPs to the detriment of regular people.
676. Daniel, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of isp
677. Michael Bonner, Napa, CA, 94558  
The Net must remain an even playing field if business in this country is to thrive. Tomorrow's next big thing depends on this. . You know this is true. DO NOT roll back current laws. This is the definition of a title II issue. DO NOT change classification of these ISPs to Title I status. I have a technology business. It would be very easy for a large player to throttle my access and so cripple my clients. This is clear restraint of trade. Eliminating neutrality will have countless easily avoided consequences. It will also come with countless unknown unknowns. You do not want this on your record. MAKE THE NET MORE NEUTRAL - NOT LESS
678. Joel Stevenson, San Pablo, CA, 94806  
Please protect Net Neutrality under Title II; this classification is an essential component of the preserving fair access and fair use to all parties, be they individuals or companies.
679. Nathan Lamar, Kenwood, CA, 95452  
I support Net Neutrality. The current efforts of the newly appointed chair of the FCC must be stopped. We should not put the profits of telecom companies ahead of the privacy and well-being of the citizens of the US.
680. Roy Jimenez, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Retain the Net Neutrality regulations under Title II. We Internet users are not as stupid as your agency chief seems to think we are. We know that regulating Net Neutrality under Title I has already been disallowed by the federal courts, and that his proposal to amend the regulation is in fact a scheme to kill it and hand over control of Internet content to the biggest corporate players. The Internet is a public utility and equal access for all users and content providers must be protected.
681. e, crockett, CA, 94525  
keep it title 2. leave it alone.

682. Cathy Crowley, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Protect Net Neutrality. Internet providers should not be able favor or block particular products or websites. All data should be treated the same. Big corporations and Large Internet providers should not be able to dictate the ease of how fast different internet data is visible to the end users.
683. Dana Throckmorton, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Small business people need this to survive.
684. Risa Aratyr, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
To Ajit Pai:I strongly support net neutrality through Title II oversight of ISPs. The FCC must stand firm on maintaining net neutrality.
685. Daniel Mills, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Please do not roll back the "Obama-era" rules regarding Internet Service Providers (ISPs). Please keep ISPs governed under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I do not want a company to control what i do and dont do on the internet.
686. Davis Bullwinkle, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Please leave the Net Neutrality in type two.The job of the FCC is to protect the airwaves for all people.Thank you.
687. Edwin Herrera, San pablo, CA, 94806  
Please keep internet providers under title 2 because it is a tool for education and business growth. It is unjust to have isp throttle speeds or have a potential power to do it
688. Timothy Macholz, Napa, CA, 94559  
I strongly support net neutrality rules with oversight strictly limited to Title II designation. In no way do I support the regulation of ISPs under Title I, and feel it is critical to the future of technological innovation that ISPs not be allowed to "throttle" internet speeds in any way. The US has one of the worst connection speed/price ratios in the developed world, and the change to current net neutrality rules would only further exacerbate the problem.
689. Michael McClean, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I believe in a free and open internet. I support net neutrality and assert that the strongest support for it comes from FCC regulation of Internet Service Providers. Broadband must be categorized under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
690. Susan Briggs, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I am counting on you to preserve net neutrality, and the protections of Title II.
691. David Roundsley, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Net Neutrality is vital to free speech, commerce development as well as the arts.
692. J. Freis, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Keep a strong net neutrality by regulating ISPs under Title II. Please do not count on the industry to regulate itself. Corporations are designed to do what is in their own best interest. Your job is to do what is in the best interest of the consumers. Please do your job. Maintain and strengthen the regulatory system that protects net neutrality.
693. Michele Houston, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
I am in favor of maintaining strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Don't take away the net neutrality that is currently in place!!!!
694. Cameron Zahedi, HERCULES, CA, 94547  
Net neutrality is exceedingly important to me as a professional at a software company. The freedom and openness of the internet have been important principles since it was invented, and continue to provide entrepreneurial opportunities and avenues for education today. Please don't repeal net neutrality.
695. Jeremiah Llewellyncurry, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
This issue was already discussed. Repealing ISPs from title 2 is bad for capitalism as a whole and should not even be considered. We already went through this once, why is it coming up again except for a corrupt officials who are in businesses pockets and NOT the peoples. You are the government built to protect the people. Act like it please.
696. Christopher Demesa, American Canyon, CA, 94503  
I want to keep Net Neutrality and have internet service remain as a Title 2.
697. NICHOLAS R. HEYER, BENICIA, CA, 94510  
I support the classification of ISPs (internet service providers) as title II ( common carriers ). I feel that the internet is a utility .
698. Mark Kolarik, ROHNERT PARK, CA, 94928  
I would like to express extreme support for net neutrality and Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.
699. Joel Lutes, Angwin, CA, 94508

The FCC must protect the open Internet by maintaining net neutrality protections under Title II. As an educator, my goal is to help my students make choices that benefit society by considering many points of views. The freedom of equal access to all sources of information is crucial to that process. All views are not equally accessible if the time they take to be accessed is controlled by a corporation. Human nature tends to take the fastest path, so to do away with net neutrality is to give big money a greater opportunity to control how society thinks. Net neutrality provides a balance to power similar to the balance provided by the executive, legislative and judicial branches of our government. Thankfully, the existing net neutrality rules mean that ISP monopolies can't block or slow users' ability to see certain websites or engage in data discrimination by charging online services and websites more money to reach people faster. That's the best way forward to ensure the Internet remains a level playing field that benefits small businesses and Internet users as well as entrenched Internet companies. Chairman Pai's proposal would help turn ISPs into gatekeepers with the ability to veto new expression and innovation. That's contrary to the basic precepts on which the Internet was built. My students live on the internet. Anything that removes their power to choose what they read is an abuse of power. To put the power of choice into corporate hands rather than individual hands is to tamper with the power to choose. In this world of fast food and fast information, ideas that flow slower will more than likely be avoided. It should be up to the communicator to decide how fast information is delivered, not the ISP. I urge you to keep Title II net neutrality in place, and safeguard Internet users like my students.

700. Linda Gallegos, Pinole, CA, 94564  
I strongly urge the FCC to preserve net neutrality and Title II. It's unthinkable that self-serving government officials are pandering to greedy corporate entities and trying to strip away more of what should be our basic rights.
701. Adam Burns, Pinole, CA, 94564  
Net Neutrality shouldn't even be up for discussion, it's unethical to give the power to limit speeds of websites in favor of others. This is the worst idea to come about in the 2010's and I can't believe it's come to the point that citizens have to tell the FCC that what they're trying to do is wrong and shameful. I am in favor for Net Neutrality and pity those who thought it would it should even be put to a vote.. SAVE NET NEUTRALITY!
702. Garrick Lee, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
To our immature, naive, and biased FCC 'chairman'. I support net neutrality and preserving Title II. Leave it alone!
703. Robert Leys, Cotati, CA, 94931  
Dear FCC, Please maintain net neutrality. This is something we all use and need and should be treated as a utility for the Public Good. Thank you Robert Leys
704. Emily Gaines, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
Changing the current net neutrality regulations will directly interfere with my first amendment rights.
705. David L. Osburn, Pinole, CA, 94564  
I would like to protest the weakening of Net Neutrality rules, and support continuing their protection under Title 2 enforcement.
706. Rick Luttmann, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I support a free and open internet. Net Neutrality can be guaranteed only by administering it under TITLE II. I oppose any change back to TITLE I.
707. Bruna Nieri, Napa, CA, 94559  
I strongly support net neutrality with Title 2 oversight.
708. Ginger Abbott, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Please preserve net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs! If changing it isn't such a big deal (Ajit Pai) why are some people pushing for change (Ajit Pai)?
709. Nicholas Zefeldt, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I support net neutrality. Please keep title 2 protections over the internet. In the year 2017, access to the Internet is nothing less than a utility.
710. John Abbott, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Please uphold net neutrality! It is a must in the electronic age!
711. Louise Margaret Huskins, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Please support net neutrality and keep ISPs in Title 2.
712. Adrienne, Martinez, CA, 94553  
An open and fair internet is extremely important to me. Please don't allow bias to influence which sites get better service
713. Dennis Byrne, American Canyon, CA, 94503  
Keep Title 2 enforced
714. Lynn Hoyle, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405

Please keep net neutrality as the legal standard for how the internet operates.

715. E, Petaluma, CA, 94954  
PLEASE save net neutrality and title II. This is important!!
716. Reeces Mug, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Net neutrality is an important issue and needs to be handled, not by an idiot with a comically oversized recess mug in an administration infamous for a love of money, but by mature adults who can agree that it isn't right for internet providers to have the privilege to force us to use specific internet services to make themselves more money, as any mature and reasonable adult would know.
717. Douglas Huskins, Martinez, CA, 94553  
PLEASE do not remove net neutrality. Moving ISP's out of Title II will open up issues where I will likely be put into the position I was in before the move and could not use the products I wanted. The protection Title II offers is the only existing way to protect my freedom to choose and not be restricted in my purchase choices.
718. Jay Field, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I support net neutrality. Internet use should not be regulated by commercial concerns such as Comcast and AT&T. Their concern is profit and not the public good. Title 2 protections are essential to maintaining a vital and open internet, which has become essential to maintaining our democracy. Please do not remove the protections of net neutrality in favor of corporate profits and greed.
719. Graham Metcalfe, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
As an internet professional, maintaining STRONG NET NEUTRALITY is imperative to my business as well as to American innovation in the internet space. ISPs want to simply pad their bottom line at the expense of America's technology future. Please DO NOT move to limit equal access to the internet to ALL companies regardless of size. Many of our most innovative companies and technologies thrive on an open and unlimited internet, adding billions of dollars to the US economy and "raising the boats" of small entrepreneurs and large organizations alike. By limiting net neutrality, the dynamism of our economy is put in danger and long term, even those who may have benefited in the short term, will surely be economic losers in a world economy. DO NOT seek to limit equal and fair access for ALL users...this is an important contributor to US economy and to do so would be fiscally irresponsible for ALL of us.
720. Kristen Vetter, Martinez, CA, 94553  
I just wanted to express my support for Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs and I am against removing the current regulations allowing for an open internet.
721. Jessica Luthi, Napa, CA, 94558  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Title II helps keep the Internet fair for all.
722. Donald Edwards Jr., Napa, CA, 94558  
The FCC needs to ensure that Internet services remains under current Title II laws.
723. Meredith Jaynes, Napa, CA, 94558  
I support net neutrality and believe ISPs need to be regulated under Title II.
724. b, Napa, CA, 94558  
Please continue to preserve net neutrality with title II
725. Jomari Peterson, MARTINEZ, CA, 94553  
As the founder of a number of startups that compete with larger organizations, I feel it is in the best interest of the country and its constituents to maintain net neutrality under Title II. I do not believe that relaxing or modifying this would bring significant economic benefits, but stifle innovation and investment. The American people do not benefit from changes to net neutrality.
726. Susan Dunlap, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Please do not reverse the Net Neutrality Rules: Title II
727. Martin Dunlap, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Please to not reverse the Net Neutrality rules.
728. Elizabeth Montgomery, Hidden Valley Lake, CA, 95467  
I am writing to express my support for maintaining strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
729. Kurt Hemmerich, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
Don't monopolize the internet and your mug is stupid
730. Ms. Valerie Frank, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
The Internet is the de facto commons of our day and age. Tiered service give the economic elite a megaphone, which overrides the Everyman.To that point:

<http://www.princeton.edu/~mgilens/Gilens%20homepage%20materials/Gilens%20and%20Page/Gilens%20and%20Page%202014-Testing%20Theories%203-7-14.pdf>"Multivariate analysis indicates that economic elites and organized groups representing business interests have substantial independent impacts on U.S. government policy, while average citizens and mass-based interest groups have little or no independent influence. "Do our job and preserve the level playing field of the Internet. Fascism is not American.

731. Michael McCarron, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Maintain net neutrality as it is.
732. Ethan Ruark, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I believe that the only way to ensure Net Neutrality is for it to remain under the Title II heading under which it currently resides.
733. Halley Lauer, Napa, CA, 94559  
Net neutrality is important. We need strong regulation to protect it. Keep ISPs under title II and don't let them create their own, weaker regulations with unenforceable promises to be good.
734. Brien Gooler, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Net neutrality is important to me as an individual user and as a business owner. ISPs should not be allowed to limit the delivery of content for any reason.
735. Ariane Katz, Angwin, CA, 94508  
I support net neutrality specifically. The internet is a common source of information for our population, particularly used by our younger population all the time. I do NOT wish my internet provider to be able to prioritize one type of content over another, one viewpoint over another, or one corporation over another (or non-profit site, etc). I believe it is very important for me to access discrete information at the same speed as any other information. I appreciate the government continuing to mandate this state of things.
736. AARON NEWCOMB, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Moving ISPs back to title 1 is far too risky and feels politically motivated. They should stay in title 2 long enough to evaluate the outcome.
737. Nick Long, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
There are severe implications to not preserving net neutrality under title 2. Proposed changes by the FCC favor business interests above customer experience. Please do not remove net neutrality protections at all.
738. K. Goldsmith, San Pablo, CA, 94806  
It is important that we keep net neutrality and that ISPs are continued to be regulated under Title II. Thanks!
739. Brennen Urbanek, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight over ISP's. Stop letting greedy corporations and lobbyists run our country! Time to grow a pair and do the right thing for once!
740. David Clark IV, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Preserve net neutrality please and title II, don't let companies do shady business. You get it right? You understand right? We are talking to you please listen to our voices.
741. Christine Birkhead, BENICIA, CA, 94510  
I Strongly support continuing Net Neutrality! Keep it under Title 2 rules.
742. Sheldon Reber, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I support strong Net Neutrality and Ttitle II. Please don't overturn this strong regulation guaranteed to keep the Internet free of the influence of ISPs. These are important safeguards that must be continued.
743. Andrew Prine, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I support Net Neutrality and keeping the internet under Title II classification.
744. Allison Prine, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I support Net Neutrality and keeping Internet Service Providers under Title II Classification.
745. Nick Russo, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I'm for neutrality of treatment of internet traffic. I'm against large mugs, infamous or not. Any preferential treatment of traffic will defeat the very purpose of the marketplace of ideas.
746. Judy Dennis, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I support net neutrality and Title 2. Do not overturn these important safeguards for all internet users.
747. Ralph Gutierrez, Glen Ellen, CA, 95442

See are we really us!

748. Mitch Conway, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Preserve the present status of the net. The current head of the FCC and the Trump administration is attempting to do away with net neutrality. This is not acceptable.
749. John McLaughlin, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I specifically support strong net neutrality under title 2
750. Kristen Jones, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Please preserve net neutrality as it is as well as title 2!
751. Owen Frank, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Net Neutrality allows the internet as a resource to be free and equal like the opportunities to all Americans granted in our constitution. I support Net Neutrality.
752. sandra Kozak, Benicia, CA, 94510  
We want strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isps!
753. Amy Kinnard, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Eliminating Net Neutrality is a terrible idea. I cannot express how outraged I am that the FCC is once again looking for ways to undermine/eliminate Net Neutrality. Stop selling out to corporate interests...make the right decision to maintain Net Neutrality.
754. Ryan, Cotati, CA, 94931  
Net Neutrality is NOT removing ISP's from Title II. Information and the communication of it should be considered a human right. I don't give a damn about the BS and outdated content ISP's want to shove down our throats.
755. Natasha North, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. No one business should be favored over another. Regulation is crucial.
756. Rohit Bhatia, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
Net neutrality is important. It helps distract people from seeing how bad they being screwed
757. Eric and Amanda Hough, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I strongly support the notion of a free and neutral internet. As such, I urge the FCC to uphold net neutrality requirements for internet service providers, backed by Title II, so that all internet traffic will be delivered to my home at equally.
758. Kerry Warmboe, Crockett, CA, 94525  
It is important to preserve Title II net neutrality.
759. Net Neutrality, Pinole, CA, 94564  
I am in favor of keeping net neutrality by continuing to classify ISPs under title 2.
760. Dan Ridge, martinez, CA, 94553  
I ABSOLUTELY support Title 2 classification for ISP's and the maintenance of strong Net Neutrality rules.
761. Leah Kirchoff, El Sobrante, CA, 94503  
I support net neutrality, including regulation under Title 2, to ensure that internet providers must legally provide equal internet to all services.
762. Oran collins, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Please keep strong title II regulations on isp's This will Greatly damage the integrity of the Internet, free Internet is just good economics.
763. Charles W. Applegate, Esq., Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I support the current mechanism by which the Internet is regulated, as it promotes free enterprise and fair, robust competition. Efforts to modify this existing regulatory scheme are not in the public interest or for the public good. Rather, they are the first cudgel-strikes in what will be a fusillade of annihilatory blows intended to degrade the internet from an information superhighway to a very expensive private turnpike on which plebians may occasionally ride in slow, crowded, and overpriced buses. Net Neutrality is too important to the citizenry to let it become yet another oligarchic cap-feather. Stop it now.
764. Anne Deckert, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Please do everything possible to protect Net Neutrality and continue to govern ISPs under Title II regulations. I do not trust ISPs to self-regulate.
765. Trevor Hurst, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928

Keep title 2 as is. Net neutrality is essential to keeping the internet accessible and fair

766. Charlotte Fess, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I support net neutrality and title II requirements. Please represent the public's best interests to keep net neutrality in place and not allow companies to monopolize the Internet. Thank you.
767. Michael, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Preserving net neutrality is essential for our country. Please preserve it. Do not allow special interests to compromise our freedoms.
768. valerie, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Net neutrality is very important to me and to our country, Please act in the interest of the citizens of our country and preserve net neutrality.
769. John Deckert, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
You MUST maintain NET NEUTRALITY. Do not allow the largest service providers to maximize their profits at the expense of internet communications in all its forms. People, not profits!
770. Anthony Bell, Hercules, CA, 94547  
Please preserve Title II and protect net neutrality. I believe we need to keep ISPs under regulation.
771. Scott Schoepp, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Hey Ajit! Support Net Neutrality and Title Two!
772. Renee Kelly, Vallejo, CA, 94572  
I strongly support Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight.
773. Richard Berry, Napa, CA, 94559  
Net neutrality must be mandated by the FCC. It cannot be left to an honor system by the ISPs, they will not follow it.
774. Helena Ahlers, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Preserve net neutrality and title 2! Protect consumers and the public against the financial interest of ISPs who cannot be relied upon to act in any other way but their own profit motifs.
775. Casey Elsa, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I value net neutrality and feel that keeping the ISPs accountable as it has been since the changes of 2015 is very necessary. Title II is great. Let's not change what isn't broken. I remember what it was like having my streaming services slowed because the ISPs felt that they weren't getting enough money from sites such as Netflix and other streaming services. It is significantly better now.
776. Lisa Stahr, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I support Net Neutrality and Title 2. Don't put the Internet in the hands of the companies that can--and will--abuse that freedom.
777. Luke Van Amburg, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I am a private citizen and I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
778. Benjamin Kaun, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I strongly support preservation of net neutrality and Title II. It is critical for freedom of information access in the future of the internet. The flow of information must not be controlled by for-profit organizations, or it may be abused at some point in the future when a conflict of interest arises.
779. Steven Christian Amendola, Cobb, CA, 95426  
If the FCC dispenses with net neutrality there will most likely be an armed revolution, having in mind the purpose of overthrowing the United States government. Keep Internet Service Providers under Title II or find yourself at the end of a proletarian bayonet.
780. net neutrality, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
commcast is an asshole net neutrality must be upheld on under title 2!
781. Demetri, Napa, CA, 94558  
We need total net neutrality backed strongly by title 2 oversight of internet service providers.
782. David Doherty, Pinole, CA, 94546  
Please keep internet regulations under Article 2. Keep our rights as consumers.
783. filers, Santa rosa, CA, 95405  
I am for net neutrality... Do not change that.

784. Kesava Yerra, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
ISPs should be classified under Title II. Otherwise, we have vast monopolies that don't serve the people. ISPs are utilities, they are the power line. Would you say that power should be different based on how you use it?
785. Linda Miller, Crockett, CA, 94525  
Keep title 2 intact we need net neutrality
786. Vanessa Hoffman, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Do not repeal net neutrality! I insist you protect ISPs as Title II! Thank you!
787. Donna Brumels, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Please keep net neutrality. Use Title II.
788. Whitney Macdonald, Napa, CA, 94558  
Keep our internet open! Keep net neutrality alive!
789. Edward Miller, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Keep Title II in place. The ISP's cannot be trusted to maintain net neutrality on their own.
790. jason myers, Glen ellen, CA, 95442  
Maintain net neutrality and ISP classification in Section 2!
791. Maria Kelly, Napa, CA, 94558  
I support net neutrality laws protecting consumers.
792. Wendy Doherty, Pinole, CA, 94564  
Please keep Article 2 in place to ensure internet regulation.
793. net neutrality, Napa, CA, 94559  
Net Neutrality rules under title 2 are some of the most import rules set to insure that ISP's do not infringe on my rights as a consumer. Big business has yet to prove themselves as responsible enough to insure my rights as an American taxpayer and consumer. Do not take away my protections!
794. Casey Becker, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. The internet is a free range place for all. No potential website slowing.
795. L Shore, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I support preserving net neutrality and maintaining FCC title 2 oversight which protects Internet users from ISP manipulation
796. John Reichel, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
Without net neutrality, the US will fall far behind the developed world.
797. Gina Drummond, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
This message is for Ajit Pai. I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Please do NOT reverse Title II Net Neutrality!!!!
798. Mary Maher, Saint Helena, CA, 94574  
Please, please preserve net neutrality and Title 2. Don't let our internet service slide backwards. Thank you.
799. Olivia Yeates, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Keep the internet neutral!
800. Daniel Freifeld, Napa, CA, 94558  
Please preserve strong Net Neutrality. Preserve Title 2. Corporations are beholden to their shareholders, not the public good. If there is any wiggle room to promote one product or service over another that increases their profits they are obligated to their shareholders to take such advantage. Title 2 regulation has not limited infrastructure build out, and based on court precedent is the only way to keep the net truly neutral.
801. John Daw, napa, CA, 94558  
I strongly support net neutrality and title 2 being preserved.
802. Kirk Southwell, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Please leave Net Neutrality alone. It is imperative that we all have the same access to the same content online. It is freedom of speech at its most basic. It is what we stand for and what we believe in as a nation. Please do not let the citizens of the US as well as the World down. Stand up for what is right. kds
803. Kathrine Cariffe, vallejo, CA, 94590

we support net neutrality and title 2 oversight. Do not mess this up for the masses

804. Rob Langhofer, Cotati, CA, 94931  
We need to maintain strong net. neutrality backed by title II over site of isp's. I find it extremely offensive that an ex corporate Verison executive seems to think that the American public is that stupid as to buy into his arguments against Title II. Please maintain STRONG NET. NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II OVER SITE OF ISP'S.
805. David Beck, Hercules, CA, 94547  
We strongly support strong regulation assuring net neutrality, specifically based in Title 2 of the 1934 Communications Act.
806. Holly Hansen, Yountville, CA, 94599  
I strongly support the current net neutrality rules under Title 2 of the FCC regulations and do NOT want to see any changes made to them.
807. James Masi, Yountville, CA, 94599  
I strongly support Title II and do not want to see any changes to the existing Net Neutrality policy
808. Scott Campbell, Napa, CA, 94558  
Please support strong Net Neutrality rules. Thank you
809. Kieran, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I support net neutrality backed by title 2 regulations
810. Eric Converse, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
It is incredibly important that you support Title 2 Net Neutrality. As a small internet based company we rely on free and unbiased flow of information from ISPs.
811. Michael & Sheryl Nouaux, Kenwood, CA, 95452  
We want to ensure that Net Neutrality is preserved under the terms of Title II.
812. Alexa Forrester, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I support strong net neutrality, as it is currently under Title II. Do not change it. Thanks
813. silke fleischer, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Please preserve net neutrality title 2 to ensure ISPs cannot control flow of information.
814. Ezra Duker, Napa, CA, 94559  
Please leave our most free thing in society ACTUALLY free!!!
815. Saad Basheer, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Please keep the Net Neutrality intact. Keep ISPs under title II.
816. Lisa Moore, Napa, CA, 94558  
Stop fucking with our freedom!!
817. Halbert Stone, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Net Neutrality is very important for everyoneDo not allow Internet Service Providers to manipulate the speed of internet content delivery to different customers. They should be held accountable for providing equal speeds to every customer.
818. Jessica Pederson, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I support strong net neutrality and retaining Title 2 as it currently stands. Please don't give ISPs the power to control our browsing speeds simply because we aren't using the products/services of certain companies. One of the greatest parts of our country is the freedoms we have, which include using our internet access as we see fit, to choose the sites we visit and services we use without fear of capitalistic retribution or censure.
819. Kieran Coghlan, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
True net neutrality is important. Please don't let the proposed deregulation happen. ISPs will not keep a free, open, and neutral bandwidth internet if they aren't required to do so.
820. Ferdinanda Florence, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
I am writing in support of net neutrality, and the continuing classification of internet service providers (ISPs) as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. A functioning democracy depends on the will of the people trumping special interests, corporate ties, nepotism, and corruption. "Let us not go gently to that endless winter night."
821. Anthony Enriquez Rosales, Napa, CA, 94558  
Net Neutrality is necessary to equal access on the internet
822. restore, Martinez, CA, 94553

Restore internet freedom. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

823. Cheryl Ho, Hercules, CA, 94547  
The open internet must be protected and we cannot allow ISPs to threaten our access to information and content. Abolishing net neutrality would allow ISPs -- the five or six of them -- to become the gatekeepers of our democracy and possibly stifle freedom of information. Title II regulations must continue to bind ISPs.
824. Brian Knittel, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Net Neutrality is key for economic expansion, disables monopolistic behavior, and supports a free market. Please ensure Net Neutrality is preserved. Thanks, Brian
825. Katherine Cronin, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. I work in technology and know firsthand how essential it is to preserve an open internet, to allow unfettered collaboration for innovation, to provide access to city and state and federal services, to raise money for charity, to enable education from 2nd grade classwork to college testing to long-distance learning. The Internet, the World Wide Web -- these are not just communication tools. They are essential utilities for modern life. If you do not maintain the strong net neutrality policies of the Obama administration, you hobble us, as people, as heads of families, as engaged citizens, and as innovators in literally every field.
826. Mariah Day, Cotati, CA, 94931  
I strongly support net neutrality, preserve Title II. Thank you.
827. Harold Uber Kellogg, Cotati, CA, 94931  
I, like literally everyone else who has ever used the internet and does not work for an internet service provider, support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. I highly doubt that I am even exaggerating much when I say that either. Y'all need to stop being stupid about this.
828. Hal Childs, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Please keep the current regulations for net neutrality in place. The regulations governing net neutrality are very important and must be maintained as they are.
829. Arwen Rose-Stockwell, Calistoga, CA, 94515  
I, like so many others, strongly support net neutrality and title 2. Please keep this important regulation in place. Thank you.
830. Kyle Hicks, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's!!!
831. Russell Emerson, Napa, CA, 94558  
Protect and keep strong Net Neutrality and support Title II for all Internet providers.
832. Richard Lee Vreeland, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Net Neutrality is essential for Internet Freedom. ISPs must not be allowed to alter or control content or alter its delivery in order to enhance or further their own agenda or profits. The current title II neutrality laws must remain in place. Do Not alter the current FCC neutrality laws & rules.
833. Chris Wootton, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
We need net neutrality rules. Without laws, we are easily taken advantage of by overly powerful corporate interests.
834. Gene Cook, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Net neutrality cannot be allowed to be determined by ISP providers! The lessons we were taught by the 2008 banking catastrophe, illustrates what happens when for profit entities are allowed to regulate themselves.
835. Patricia Britton, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Net neutrality and Title Two MUST be preserved to protect the consumer. I am totally opposed to its repeal
836. Shaun Pond, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I favor net neutrality and want ISPs to be under Title II.
837. Casey Richter, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Maintaining Title 2 status for ISPs is critical to keeping the internet free of corrupting forces. Americans deserve a level playing field for smaller business competitors and equal access to all available information for consumers/voters to make our own choices.
838. Tori Allan, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Keep Title II regulations for ISP's, deregulating ISP's will only hurt the public and benefit large corporations, there is already enough of this type of corruption in our government and it needs to stop. The internet is for everyone, not just wealthy companies who wish to manipulate the masses. Net Neutrality is important!!!!

839. Deanna Wargowski, Napa, CA, 94558  
It is imperative that net neutrality be preserved and remain under Title II. There is abundant history that illustrates that internet providers cannot be entrusted to keep the web a place where competition thrives unless the government provides the appropriate guidelines. In addition, the FCC Chairman's assertion that they are hampered in extending their infrastructure is simply untrue. Keep the net neutral!
840. Kendall Heckendorn, Napa, CA, 94558  
Do not change the net neutrality act. It is a good thing and should be left as is for the good of all.
841. Susannah Medley, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
The changes recently proposed by the FCC to allow ISPs to discriminate against some internet traffic or to favor some types or sources of internet traffic over other types would be a terrible mistake. I've worked most of my career as a software engineer in telecom. I've watched the internet grow from a nascent network of universities to the world-wide platform of commerce that it is today. The economic boom of the late 1990's was possible only because the internet was an equal playing field. The smaller players with great, innovative ideas could not be shut out by the bigger players. Everyone in the U.S. has benefited from that. I urge you to not make this change which will further cripple our economy. The many duplicate entries that begin "The unprecedented regulatory power the Obama Administration imposed on the internet is smothering innovation" are clearly not the genuine opinions of individual Americans. This cynical attempt to "stuff the ballot box" should be counted only as a single comment because it carries the weight of only 1 person, and an extremely biased or uninformed person at that.
842. Paul Karsh, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Net neutrality is essential as the Internet has become one of the most important channels for communication. Other communication channels under FCC regulations such as the telephone network do not favor one communicator over another. The Internet should operate under similar rules. The vast majority of the American people favor net neutrality. Former FCC chairman Wheeler established net neutrality rules and his rules should be retained.
843. Shantam Lanz, Middletown, CA, 95461  
Net Neutrality and Title II must be preserved. This is a BIG issue and the good people of the United States will not be hoodwinked!
844. Loren Parkhurst, Yountville, CA, 94599  
I believe in strong Internet Neutrality and I believe that control of ISPs should be part of Title II.
845. Daniel Stellenwerf, Lower Lake, CA, 95457  
I strongly oppose any reclassification of ISPs to Title 1. Free and fair and open internet access has been a great boon to our society. Please do not erode the protections that are in place.
846. Idonas Hughes, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Please maintain the strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II!
847. Cameron Hiromitsu Fredrickson, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of internet search providers.
848. David Miller, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Protect Net-Neutrality. Preserve ISP Title 2 Regulation.
849. Ryan Veach, Martinez, CA, 94553  
I support strong net neutrality regulations under title II. The internet is a basic necessity for everyone and ISP's should not be allowed to govern themselves.
850. John Himes, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I strongly support Net Neutrality with strong oversight under Title II of the Federal Communications Act. I urge you to protect net neutrality by regulating against paid prioritization. The Internet should be free and equal for all.
851. Dennis Thayer, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Please keep Title II; Net Neutrality!
852. Kevin Jernegan, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Look, I get it: Republicans can't win elections without the backing of big corporations, so you naturally want to ingratiate yourselves to them. But please try to remember that you are public servants, not corporate servants, and gutting net neutrality regs that preserve an open internet is not, repeat NOT, in the public's best interest. Protect net neutrality!
853. ryan, Calistoga, CA, 94515  
I support net neutrality and do not agree with the FCC rollback
854. Dreanne Foust, Napa, CA, 94558  
Please continue to enforce Title II. It is important that the net be free to all users and not controlled by any corporation.

855. Janet M Patterson RN BSN, Cotati, CA, 94931  
The internet must be regulated from a strong platform like Title 2 to maintain its value as a free and open way of sharing and storing information for all citizens and users: students, elderly, small businesses, families with members in overseas service, community organizations, and government agencies alike. Thank you for conducting public queries again on this issue. It means a lot to me as a citizen and taxpayer to have your attention to this.
856. Bryan Polson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
It is imperative to maintain the current regulations that promote an open, freely accessible internet. The ability for the public to choose the content they wish to enjoy should not be obstructed by ISPs. To encourage this and future generations' equal access to knowledge, ISPs should continue to be classified as Common Carriers as stipulated under Title II provision. Otherwise, permitting ISPs to discriminate data would likely stymie innovation, entrepreneurship, and accelerate inequality.
857. John Turvill, Napa, CA, 94559  
It is critical that the internet continues to be regulated under Title 2. The argument made by the current FCC Chairman, that differential traffic management by ISPs is purely hypothetical is demonstrably false - there are clearly documented cases in the public record from the period before Title 2 was applied.
858. Scott Bogue, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
We want to maintain net neutrality with the ISP's remaining under title II. We want equal access for all with out ISP's contro0ls
859. Justin Riedel, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I believe the reclassification to Title II in 2015 was the correct decision. ISPs are common carriers and should be treated like a utility like power, gas, and telephone (POTS) services. This provides a level playing field regardless of social and economic standing for all Americans. The reclassification back to Title I could hamper innovation by preventing small companies to create new products and services if they are not provided equal access. ISPs are the highways to the internet and as I disagree with the usage of toll roads, I disagree with different levels of internet service for the general citizen. Large ISP with content holdings should consider bifurcating themselves into Title I and Title II entities.
860. Michael DeCaires, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Please do not change the net neutrality laws that are in place to protect the peoples choices on the internet. This will cause an unfair advantage to large companies who want to limit or control content on the internet of from streaming services like Netflix or Hulu. There is no way that changing these laws makes any sense...stop trying to pull the wool over the peoples eyes and leave things the way they are.
861. Jan Blanchard, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please continue to hold them accountable ensuring the safeguards provided by this regulation.
862. Linda George, Napa, CA, 94558  
Net Neutrality is vital for freedom of information and competition in this country. If you take that away then only those with big money will be able to give reasonable access. Start-ups and smaller companies will be frozen out. This will mean we will end up only being able to get information from a few huge monopolies. Keep Net Neutrality in place. Thank You.
863. Gabriel Baum, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Please KEEP net neutrality rules. ISPs provide access and service as do roadways, water pipes, and electricity wires. I do not want ISPs discriminating and throttling the speed of different websites. Repealing net neutrality rules will stifle emerging internet businesses and potentially create monopolies. In my humble opinion, net neutrality is good for competition, privacy, and the well-being of consumers. Please DO NOT REPEAL net neutrality rules.
864. Khoehn Meisinger, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I support strong net neutrality, Title II!
865. Daniel Marioni, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Net neutrality is essential to retain the freedom of information in our democracy. This issue would be written into the constitution if the founding fathers could have imagined the internet. Our access to the internet should be protected under the ruling in February 2015 that broadband internet is classified as an essential telecommunications service. If we let big dollars buy what information we're able to see before long we'll be accessing only cherry picked information and data on the internet instead of exercising free speech.
866. jerry davis, Napa, CA, 94558  
I require that net neutrality be preserved under title two.
867. Jay Anthony Allen, Napa, CA, 94558  
I am frequent user internet. I support the enforcement Net Neutral of ISP under Title 2.
868. adria brown., Santa rosa, CA, 95409

I fully support any and all laws or regulations supporting net neutrality. Eliminating them is akin to burning books.

869. Karen Forni, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Please, PLEASE support Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight on ISPs. The idea of losing this protection is incredibly disturbing.
870. Tisha Romero, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I strongly support the preservation of Net Neutrality and Title III!
871. Dr. Gary Bogart., Santa rosa, CA, 95409  
I strongly endorse net neutrality. To eliminate it is an erosion of personal choice and freedoms which makes this country great #lapelpin.
872. steven Krome, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Internet should be free and no one website should be able to pay to get more speed or band width. The way it works now is fine. In my business, the idea of paying extra to get preferred treatment is just wrong. So keep net neutrality alive.
873. Aniela Travers, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I support strong net neutrality laws under Title II and feel strongly that the existing regulations should remain in place.
874. Lori Stubben, Middletown, CA, 95461  
please preserve net neutrality and keep it within title II
875. Christy Juhasz, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Please don't do away with net neutrality and Title 2. The speed of streaming internet sites should not be controlled by corporations.
876. Steven Mills, Santa rosa, CA, 95407  
It is my hope you will see the importance of keeping the control of the net in title two.thank you.
877. albert luke lorenzetti, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
I strongly support STRONG net neutrality under title II
878. Wireline Competition Bureau, napa, CA, 94558  
I support Net Neutrality - keep ISPs as Title 2 entities
879. David Bertrand, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Failure to maintain NET neutrality should be considered criminal in that it clearly is only in the interest of a few individuals and not in the best interest of most Americans. Please donâ€™t be the toady of the Oligarchy that is trying to take politics in this country.
880. Vincent Scharf, Santa rosa, CA, 95401  
Please preserve internet neutrality and title two. Thank you
881. Jeremy Barton, Napa, CA, 94558  
Internet Neutrality is essential to our economy and freedom. Strong Net Neutrality should be law. The new FCC chair is obviously acting under the influence of special interest ISP groups. Ajit should be embarrassed and fired for proposing this, period.
882. Mackenzie Burns, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Please do not repeal the net neutrality rules. You will be negatively effecting a vast amount of people who's livelihoods, connections to family and friends, ability to search and find jobs, etc freely. Keep net neutrality as it is. People are quite literally depending on you.
883. tori williams, Rutherford, CA, 94574  
We support title 2 and the importance of net neutrality. It will be an outrage should the FCC reverse its position under Pai.
884. T.B. Antony, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Restoring internet Freedom - I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP.'s.
885. mes, vallejo, CA, 94591  
title 2 ftw
886. Sabrina Vetter, Martinez, CA, 94553  
I just want to express my support for Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs and I am against removing the current order allowing for an open internet.
887. Matthew Straka, Calistoga, CA, 94515

Please don't let our ISP overlords funnel the internet into lanes for those who can afford it. At least not any more than they already do.

888. John Williams, Rutherford, CA, 94573  
I urge you to support net neutrality and keep ISP regulation under title 2. The proposed changes reflect nothing more than a continued move by the new administration to undermine the protections of the people in favor of relaxed regulations for greedy corporations.
889. Don't, Santa Eosa, CA, 95409  
Its blatantly obvious that the internet being in category II is where it belongs. What you're proposing is only in support of corporate interests and will do nothing to better the internet as a whole.
890. Elizabeth Burch, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
The highest level of net neutrality is critical for freedom of speech and to protect the public the FCC is sworn to protect. The fact that we keep debating this over and over is simply outrageous. Do the right thing (don't cause the public to have to pay for better access) or quit.
891. Charlotte Sklar, St. Helena, CA, 94574  
You need to preserve net neutrality and article II. Saying that deregulation is a good thing is hypocritical when it will cost the citizens- that you are suppose to protect the interests of- their ability to use the internet without being manipulated but corporations.
892. Daniel Mycka, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
I support strong net neutrality and keeping it under Title II. Keep it as is. Do not change it.
893. Eileen Cleary, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
The industry which seeks to end net neutrality and Title II abused the freedom they were entrusted with prior to their enactment. Furthermore, they told their stockholders that these now active regulations did not negatively impact their respective businesses or their investment into supporting infrastructure. They proved, both these regulations are necessary and that their businesses have not been negatively impacted by them. Please leave these consumer protections in place.
894. David Haldane, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I support preserving net neutrality and Title II . A free, open, and loosely regulated internet is a source of American pride and strength, and is one of the last remains of America's soft power in the world.
895. Amy Shaw, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Net neutrality helps economy, promotes free exchange of ideas and allows smaller businesses to compete equally with larger ones.
896. Peggy Jennings-Shaw, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2. This is very important so that choices about internet content and access lie in the hands of consumers, where those choices belong.
897. Theresa Daniels, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I want the net neutrality laws and title 2 to stay the same.
898. dillon hunter castro, Napa, CA, 94558  
I am strongly in favor of title 2 and net neutrality and strongly oppose any action taken against these two items.
899. Michael friedmann, Napa, CA, 94558  
To the ew FCC COMMISSIONER: As a former legal adviser for Verizon, no one believes your bullshit story that reclassifying telecom giants under Article 1 of the Telecommunications Act will not absolutely destroy net neutrality. But I suppose your smug arrogance prevents you from giving a fuck about the grave harm you are about to do to free expression on this country. Over 85% of the public is for Net Neutrality, and we are all very very aware of what you are about to do. So I am strongly urging you not behave as the corporate cocksucker that you are, and protect Net Neutrality by keeping telecoms classified under Article 2.
900. marisa lyman, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
There is no justification for the limiting or possible limiting of equal access to information online. I support the free and open internet. Preserve net neutrality. Preserve title 2. Preserve public access.
901. Joe Tauraso, Cotati, CA, 94931  
I vigorously support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title 2 oversight on ISPs.
902. brendan, santa rosa, CA, 95403  
Do not repeal net neutrality! Do not change current laws!
903. net neutrality, Sonoma, CA, 95476

We support strong Net Neutrality, don't let those fuckers tell you what to do!

904. Paul Jolly, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I support and demand strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of all ISPs.
905. David Tauraso, Cotati, CA, 94931  
I vigorously support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title 2 oversight on ISPs
906. Ivonne Manriquez, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I believe the internet should be protected under Title II regulations, that's the only way under existing law to insure an open internet. As an internet user I demand that Title II regulations stay in place indefinitely.
907. Net Neutrality, Penngrove, CA, 94951  
Dear FCC, Please do NOT change Net Neutrality and PLEASE do not allow service providers to dictate what we can and cannot or shouldn't view on the internet.
908. Peter eisenber, Martinez, CA, 94553  
I strongly support net neutrality and title 2. Please do not change this law.
909. Jonathan James, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
YOU SHOULD NOT REGULATE ANYTHING TO DO WITH NEUTRALITY!! Absolutely a horrible idea. DO NOT do this. Are you kidding?
910. Jennifer Clyne, MARTINEZ, CA, 94553  
I SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY THROUGH TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISP
911. Niklas Rimbach, Crockett, CA, 94525  
Do you not understand technology? Or do you people just hate every digital accomplishment that has ever been created?
912. Asher Miller, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I strongly support internet neutrality, backed by Title II oversight.
913. Jack Allen, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
I want to keep net neutrality and Title 2 the same.
914. Rich Isaacs, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I urge you to support net neutrality through the continued enforcement of Title II. To do otherwise while insisting that ISPs will do the right thing voluntarily is so incredibly disingenuous that one could easily infer that you are still on Verizon's payroll. Are you for the people or not???
915. Zachary T Hughes, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I support strong net neutrality rules as well as article 2 of the communications act. Please keep ISP's from abusing their power
916. Ian McClellan, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
I would like to formally submit my strong support for net neutrality with title 2 (two) oversight over internet service providers. In this day and age the internet is as much of a necessary commodity as telephones and electricity. There is no reason providers should even be given the option to allow preferential treatment for one service over another.
917. Ellen Cooper, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Net neutrality is an essential part of our modern world. Do not allow those with monetary superiority decide what information the vast majority of Americans will have best access to! Maintain net neutrality.
918. Kathryn Tiberend, Napa, CA, 94559  
Please keep net neutrality. Do not change regulations.
919. Nicholas Alva, Ronhert Park, CA, 94928  
Preserve net neutrality under title 2. Web space belongs to the people.
920. Michael H., Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
I support strong net neutrality under title II of the communications act of 1934. Please take this into consideration when reviewing these proceedings. If unchecked, ISPs will attempt to shape traffic and result in unfair practices. Make ISPs utilities and keep an eye on them!
921. Eleanor Nykamp, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Net neutrality is the best. Don't pass this bill!
922. Alan Hammond, Napa, CA, 94559  
I support and believe you, the FCC, need to preserve a strong Net Neutrality and Title II laws



daughter, nephew or niece wants to view or search something to broaden their worldview, and is restricted.

929. John Coyle, Napa, CA, 94558  
Continue net neutrality as it is now. Keep under strictest supervision.
930. John James Tysoe, Santa rosa, CA, 95403  
I strongly oppose removing Net Neutrality backed by Title II. You must stand by Net Neutrality and keep the rules for it safe. This is not an issue that should be controlled by corporate interests or lobbying of any kind. By enabling those companies in the way that wiping out net neutrality would, you relinquish the online connected world to a select few and prevent the long term safe communication of the free world as we know it.
931. Loren Newman, Napa, CA, 94558  
If we do not preserve net neutrality, other countries will, and the innovation that results from their open playing field will gradually overtake entrenched players in the US. We should do everything we can to ensure that small internet businesses and sites do not have to face extra costs when launching. Other countries actively foster these players, while reclassifying the internet in the US would mean that bandwidth intensive startups would potentially have to bear heavy costs from ISPs. The next snapchat, youtube, facebook, may simply not be able to compete, and as a result, the US loses out on that innovation, with all the tax dollars and jobs that come from it. Please keep these points in mind and preserve the Title II designation. Thanks.
932. Isaac Quinn, Napa, CA, 94558  
Just no, Net Neutrality is fiscally beneficial. Taking it away benefits very few, and hurts everyone. Net neutrality means more money for all the other none ISP businesses.
933. Harold Persily, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
I support strong FCC title II coverage for internet access
934. Alan Johnson, Buena Vista, CA, 81211  
Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Please rollback Obama's Internet takeover Thank you for your consideration, Regards, Alan Johnson 29410 Co Rd 358 Buena Vista, CO 81211
935. Toni Harvey, Martinez, CA, 94553  
I support a free internet backed by Title II. There is no need or good reason to change what is working perfectly. Businesses should not be allowed to throttle up or down the network speed based on a company's ability or desire to pay more to the ISP so their show or program has the best access speed.
936. Reana Hrabovsky, Napa, CA, 94559  
Please keep net neutrality! Please do not allow internet service providers to decide what content should be free and what should be pay-to-access!
937. Mike Lyons, SONOMA, CA, 95476  
Trump's FCC favors business rather than consumers
938. Nansi Weil, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95404  
This is a blatant power grab. It would cripple competition
939. Joseph Sunseri, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95409  
SILENCE GIVES CONSENT
940. Penny Hartman, SONOMA, CA, 95476  
No control by Internet service providers!!!!!! Leave the rules as they are.
941. Daniel Klonsky, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95405  
The internet was developed by the public and the public should always have full access. The ISPs are making more than enough money already.
942. Kimberly Bishop, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95404  
A free and open internet is crucial to our economy and society.
943. LINDA KIMBALL, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95405  
IF THE U.S. HOUSE
944. Sarah Bogart, SONOMA, CA, 95476  
Don't put the internet into the hands of the ISPs! It is unacceptable to have net neutrality compromised.
945. Jim Lowry, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95401  
The Internet must stay free

946. Ann Hernday, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95409  
I value a free and open Internet -- part of a Democracy
947. carolyn cobb, VALLEJO, CA, 94591  
Protect Net Neutrality! Do not weaken it!
948. Rick Belding, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95404  
It is a sad day when profits overtake public use of the internet.
949. Richard Mayer, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95404  
The net is for all. Information and seed are critical to many.
950. Carol Hasenick, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95404  
I want net neutrality. I do not want my ability to control my online behavior. I want an open internet. I do not want any internet service providers to be able to interfere with that ability.
951. Tracy Dunn, ROHNERT PARK, CA, 94928  
NET NEUTRALITY SHOULD STAY AS IS. OPEN AND FREE.
952. Denise Halbe, SONOMA, CA, 95476  
Net neutrality akin to freedom of speech and the right to privacy in today's technical communications realm.
953. Patricia Bode, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95409  
We have always believed and intended the Internet to be for absolutely everyone
954. Stephen B. Simcox, RODEO, CA, 94572  
Stop the liar in the White House
955. Toni Horvath, NAPA, CA, 94558  
It's bad enough that you've sold our privacy to corporations and the wealthy interests who support you--never mind that we ""ordinary citizens" are only useful to you at election time! --and now you want to allow Comcast
956. Karen Cappa, ROHNERT PARK, CA, 94928  
EVERYONE deserves to have the internet available to them. This is a tool that modern society uses now and to take this away or charge people for using this service that is essential to all businesses
957. Thomas Knox, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95404  
The FCC must resist another giveaway to corporate internet providers.
958. Wayne Allbin, YOUNTVILLE, CA, 94599  
A loss of net neutrality will eliminate our ability to speak freely about political issues and will also eliminate an informed electorate. It is a step into tyranny.
959. Cheryl Demmon, VALLEJO, CA, 94591  
Why are trying to kill net neutrality? Americans deserve a free and open Internet. We Americans deserve a flow of information determined by the interests of Internet users
960. F. Michael Montgomery, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95404  
The internet is meant to serve all Americans. It is not meant to be a vehicle for corporate profiteering!
961. Leanne Bynum, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95401  
Level playing field please.I disagree with the""Internet is optional."comment one lawmaker made.Tons unnecessary info. i
962. Gwyn Williams, SONOMA, CA, 95476  
Do NOT kill net neutrality. Special interest should not determine the flow of information!
963. Gary Alderette, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95401  
The current people in control of our government adamantly
964. Randall Cook, SONOMA, CA, 95476  
The internet is for everybody. Why should big money get special treatment?
965. Jim Phillips, SONOMA, CA, 95476  
Corporations have no business in freak-controlling the open and free internet.
966. Richard Tweedie, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95405  
Serve the American people!

967. Jan Story, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95401  
Please maintain net neutrality - Big Business does not need to control everything!
968. Karen Pedersen, SONOMA, CA, 95476  
THE INTERNET SHOULD BE TREATED AS A UTILITY: FREE AND OPEN TO ALL - NOT USED AS A TOOL FOR FASCIST PREDATORS!
969. Carson Yu, HERCULES, CA, 94547  
Protect Net Neutrality and Stop Kissing Up to Corporations GOP
970. Deborah Votek, GLEN ELLEN, CA, 95442  
Please do not give American citizens freedom for equal opportunity for data flow to Internet Service Providers. You represent the American people.
971. rose fuhrman, SONOMA, CA, 95476  
The internet has become a necessity and we must not allow ISPs to control it.
972. greg geitner, ANGWIN, CA, 94508  
apparently net neutrality is too much power for the people
973. Dirk Hoekstra, VALLEJO, CA, 94590  
No one should profit from anyone else's desire for information.
974. Sharon Ponsford, GLEN ELLEN, CA, 95442  
This is a really bad idea! Protect net neutrality now.
975. Crystal Casanave, VALLEJO, CA, 94589  
Net neutrality is essential to information flow and business growth for all the people. Please vote against anything that will limit our lives and well-being. Net neutrality yesterday
976. Richard Bruns, NAPA, CA, 94559  
This is an attack on the First Amendment of the Constitution. The First Amendment is the Gate Guardian to the rest of the Constitution and the fundamental guarantor of freedom ion the United States. It is the first step that a dictator takes to overcome opposition.
977. Sharon Delamore, BENICIA, CA, 94510  
What are you thinking???
978. Brigid Ryan, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95409  
Please save net neutrality and save the freedom and openness of the internet for everyone regardless of income and influence. Large corporations should not have the power to control the use of internet
979. Irene Rodriguez, PINOLE, CA, 94564  
Do Not Kill Net Neutrality. The internet is democracy in action. Look at the countries that limit and or deny internet access. Please keep the internet neutral.
980. Jane Hirsh, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95409  
Maintain our neutral net!!!!
981. Heidi Roth, ROHNERT PARK, CA, 94928  
Destroying this option wastes our human resources. Students and workers without affordable
982. DAVID BAUER, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95403  
Even if Pai manages to accomplish his goal of trammeling freedom of expression of 99% of Americans
983. Janis Arch, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95404  
This new regime that is in power is undermining the rights of the people. If we don't stand up for our rights now
984. Charles Lindauer, ROHNERT PARK, CA, 94928  
Make our country prosperous
985. Scott Bogue, ROHNERT PARK, CA, 94928  
+With all the attacks and removal of our human rights along with the intrusions and surveillance into our personal and private lives to interfere in anyway with the hard gained progress of NET NEUTRALITY could very well become the straw that broke the camel's back.
986. Gail Hartman, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95409  
We need net neutrality to insure that people of all income levels can access the internet equally.

987. Amber Starfire, NAPA, CA, 94558  
It is essential to protect an open and free Internet
988. Patricia Bode, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95409  
The Net is about ordinary people
989. Joyce Higgins, ROHNERT PARK, CA, 94928  
We all have a need and a right to equal access. Don't institute a class system on internet access.
990. Ion herbert, GLEN ELLEN, CA, 95442  
as a retiree i find it hard to swallow that your going to hand me one more bill when the internet works just fine without the likes of comcast or verizon watching over it.
991. Suni Roberts-Ibarra, ROHNERT PARK, CA, 94928  
I am a poor
992. Susan Wortman, NAPA, CA, 94558  
we need this protection
993. Derek Huntington, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95405  
The internet is a public good that is part of the commons. Access to it should not be controlled by ISPs or other entities operating for their own benefit or the benefit of shareholders.
994. Jim Phillips, SONOMA, CA, 95476  
USA ranks 24th in the world for internet speed. With the killing of net neutrality
995. Juan Villalobos, SONOMA, CA, 95476  
And the old becomes new again". We the people have spoken on this issue already! Leave the net alone! Damn you!
996. Gwyneth J. Smith, SONOMA, CA, 95476  
It is imperative that we retain Internet neutrality. No one should be discriminated against net content that is appropriate.
997. Rt Rev Donald Studebaker, COBB, CA, 95426  
It is time we stopped anthropomorphizing Republicans. Fire the hireling Pai!
998. Mimosa Montag, VALLEJO, CA, 94590  
Net Neutrality is essential to create an equal and accessible internet to ALL American's.
999. carol dickason, SONOMA, CA, 95476  
The internet users have spoken!!! Do not eliminate net neutrality!!!!
1000. Brigit Sole-March, HIDDEN VALLEY LAKE, CA, 95467  
Please keep a free and open internet for everybody.
1001. Elizabeth Walker, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95409  
Chairman Pai: What you are doing is NOT what's best for our citizens!
1002. Janet Murphy, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95409  
It is apparent to me that the head of the FCC has been bought off by the four big companies he is serving. I thought he was supposed to be protecting the public's right to freedom on the internet. I am so afraid for our Democracy when man like Chairman Pai was selected to run the FCC.
1003. Claire-France Perez, SONOMA, CA, 95476  
The public built their own asset before the telcomms came to parcel it out
1004. Joe Athey, VALLEJO, CA, 94589  
Keep the current Title 11 rules and insure that the data flow is determined by the interest of the user not only the interest of the provider.
1005. Linda Mundel, HIDDEN VALLEY LAKE, CA, 95467  
Please!!!
1006. Roberta Gleeson, YOUNTVILLE, CA, 94599  
First we save net neutrality a year ago
1007. Cindy Wilson, KENWOOD, CA, 95452  
Leave my internet alone and my online privacy!

1008. KATE KNUDSEN, SONOMA, CA, 95476  
I am APPALLED at the number of corrupt and dangerous schemes the Trump Administration is attempting to get away with. NOT THIS. Protect our internet. Protect our democracy. DO NOT KILL NET NEUTRALITY.
1009. Bonnie Dineen, NAPA, CA, 94558  
In the name of Aaron Swartz keep the Internet out of the hands of Corporations such as Comcast
1010. Deborah Black, SONOMA, CA, 95476  
Do NOT murder access to the Net.
1011. LINDA KIMBALL, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95405  
If the House
1012. Jennifer Jacobs, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95405  
I want to visit whatever site I want
1013. Melanie Jackson, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95409  
I support and equal and open internet.
1014. Sterling OGrady, NAPA, CA, 94559  
Please keep Net Neutrality by keeping Title 2.
1015. Alice Simpson, FULTON, CA, 95439  
Whatever happened to anti-trust laws? The giant telecoms already monopolize the ISP market. They should not be allowed to monopolize democracy.
1016. Jim Phillips, SONOMA, CA, 95476  
The USA ranks 24th in the world for internet speed. Allowing the corporations
1017. Kit Long, NAPA, CA, 94559  
Corporations have enough benefits and laws to protect their interests. Internet users deserve to have their needs foremost
1018. Bridget Flocco, SONOMA, CA, 95476  
Please step back from this issue and look at it from the perspective of the average American internet user. Actually ask average Americans about how they feel on this issue and I'm certain you will see that the majority of us want the current Title II rules to stand. It's what we want because we know it's in OUR best interests. I implore you to listen to the public (not the corporations) and maintain the current Title II rules.
1019. Dan and Paula Fogarty, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95409  
The new FCC Chairman is not being truthful re: this issue.
1020. Karen Cappa, ROHNERT PARK, CA, 94928  
The people deserve open net neutrality! Corporations ARE NOT PEOPLE! We demand that you listen to the people now!
1021. Viva Habbit Van Assen, VALLEJO, CA, 94590  
this whole place is rotten to the core.
1022. Joe Gonzales, NAPA, CA, 94558  
The Trump regime is a bunch of corporate whores and CORRUPT mobsters. They do NOT represent the American people
1023. Lynn Smith, ROHNERT PARK, CA, 94928  
Please keep the internet open and equal.
1024. Geoffrey Marsh, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95405  
My ability to access information that I need in order to be an informed citizen in a functioning democracy will be severely curtailed without net neutrality. I don't want all that I see online to be controlled by a few large corporations that care only about protecting their own profits. That is not democracy. We need net neutrality in order to have a functioning democracy.
1025. helen mcallister, HIDDEN VALLEY LAKE, CA, 95467  
stop the assault by the corporations on the lives of Americans
1026. Tim Matchette, ROHNERT PARK, CA, 94928  
Start caring more for consumers than corporate profits. Try not to represent the usual Republican ideology.
1027. Nikola Zunic, NAPA, CA, 94558  
Please protect this technology from corporate greed and suppression. The internet
1028. Bernard Offen, ROHNERT PARK, CA, 94928

A free society needs a free internet

1029. Karen Pedersen, SONOMA, CA, 95476  
THE INTERNET SHOULD BE TREATED AS A UTILITY - OPEN & FREE FOR ALL!
1030. Leeni Balogh, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95409  
Internet ought to be as a public freeway. It should not be owned by corporations. We must protect an open Internet.
1031. dennis williams, NAPA, CA, 94558  
don't let ISP's take shut out the people in favor of big money.
1032. Jamie Eggerss, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai,I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. I stand for a free and open internet, and your tricks can't fool me! Thanks!
1033. Roger Provost, Napa, CA, 94558  
I strongly support an open internet through net neutrality. FCC regulation against industry paid prioritization and discrimination between website data must continue.FCC promotion of "internet freedom"™ by removing Title II classification of internet providers will ultimately lead to freedom for internet providers to create "fast lanes". That must not be allowed. Removing Title II classification and relying on the industry to continue to provide net neutrality is like an agreement by handshake rather than a written contract. The industry will be free to challenge FCC regulation and move away from net neutrality as it has tried to do in the past.True internet freedom is for the FCC to have the power under Title II to enforce net neutrality and serve the needs of the U. S. public and not the profit motives of the provider industry. The FCC needs to keep the Title II common carrier classification of internet providers and enforce net neutrality to keep a free internet for the public good.
1034. Kim Wagner, Napa, CA, 94558  
I strongly support an open internet through net neutrality. FCC regulation against industry paid prioritization and discrimination between website data must continue.FCC promotion of "internet freedom"™ by removing Title II classification of internet providers will ultimately lead to freedom for internet providers to create "fast lanes". That must not be allowed.Removing Title II classification and relying on the industry to continue to provide net neutrality is like an agreement by handshake rather than a written contract. The industry will be free to challenge FCC regulation and move away from net neutrality as it has tried to do in the past.True internet freedom is for the FCC to have the power under Title II to enforce net neutrality and serve the needs of the U. S. public and not the profit motives of the provider industry.The FCC needs to keep the Title II common carrier classification of internet providers and enforce net neutrality to keep a free internet for the public good.
1035. Pia Leiser, Napa, CA, 94558  
I would like you to continue net neutrality as it is now. Keep under strictest supervision.
1036. Nicole Jellison, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I am strongly in favor of strong net neutrality rules and am deeply opposed to the threat of weakening the rules regarding net neutrality. It is my belief that ISPs should not be able to favor certain sites by intentionally speeding or slowing down user access to non-preferred sites. This is a freedom of speech issue.
1037. Alan Montague, Napa, CA, 94559  
I support retaining Title II regulation of ISPs and oppose any reclassification under Title I
1038. Brian Danks, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
I strongly urge the FCC act in the interest of the American people and preserve net neutrality and use Title II to reclassify ISPs as common carriers.
1039. T Filippetti, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Net neutrality must be reactivated. It is unfair to all citizens to have an internet company decide how fast or slow their internet should be for certain channels, companies, etc. Really? I can't even believe there is a question as to whether this should remain a law or not.
1040. Anja Rivkins, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Please maintain Net Neutrality and fairness under Title II. Thank you for your attention.
1041. Sue Field, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Please protect net neutrality and do not let internet providers run wild with our freedoms.A few years ago, in the interest of keeping the internet a free-flowing and unbiased source of information, the FCC designated several major communications industry corporations (i.e. Comcast, Verizon, etc.) into their own category so that the FCC could provide oversight and regulation to this industry. The FCC has now repealed this designation, allowing these companies to do as they please. This has major implications for the internet's ability to be a source of unbiased knowledge which we all rely on. Without regulation,

these companies have the potential to control search results, slant information in their favor, and even withhold certain information altogether. This is why it is our duty as free-thinking citizens to protect internet neutrality by restoring this oversight. Please restore the designation and oversight of these companies to protect our freedom of information. Thank you!

1042. Evan Attwood, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
I am in support of strong net neutrality regulation backed by Title 2 oversight of internet service providers.
1043. Judy Bullwinkle, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Please maintain the new neutrality for the web.. This is essential for fair access for all individuals . We need to prevent mega-corporations from controlling the internet.Thank you
1044. David Appelbaum, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
It is critical for a truly free and open internet to restrain ISP predatory commercial practices under Title 2. DO NOT RECLASSIFY to Title 1.
1045. Tom Pickering, Saint Helena, CA, 94574  
Keep the Internet free and the same for everyone
1046. Chris Killion, Saint Helena, CA, 94574  
I stongly support net neutrality and maintaining Title 2 as is.
1047. Greg Hahn, Napa, CA, 94559  
Please keep the internet a level playing field for all ISP users, not just the ones able to buy more bandwidth. Keep the net useage-neutral and keep using Title II as the guideline.
1048. David Knight, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Please maintain the current Net Neutrality rules. I believe that Internet Service Providers (ISPs) should continue to be regulated under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I believe that evidence - including corporate management's comments to their shareholders - shows the ISPs will continue to maintain and invest in their networks under these current guidelines. Feel free to contact me if you need additional comments or information. Thank you.
1049. K. Asher, Napa, CA, 94558  
Strongly support net neutrality as an essential part of maintaining freedom of information.
1050. Dennis Garrettson, Spokane, CA, 99203  
I work in the telecommunications industry. Please preserve Net Neutrality/Title II Regulations. ISP investment is doing well enough, and consumers need government protections (the "invisible hand" has no moral compass).
1051. Garth Rosengren, vallejo, CA, 94590  
Please do not consider treating ISPs as anything other than common carriers. Broadband access is essential to ensuring equal access to information and Internet-based services. ISP's should not be allowed to discriminate between similar content based on who is providing that content. The notion that ISPs and telecommunications companies can be trusted to voluntarily restrain themselves from privileging transmission of data in which they have an interest over data they do not doesn't make sense. These companies are not altruistic; they are obliged to act in the interests of their best interests. If they can increase their own value by discriminating in how and what content they deliver, then they will. Given the lack of competition between broadband access providers in many places throughout the country, consumers cannot respond by switching to a non-discriminatory service. In many cases, we are already stuck with one cable company; please do not enable that company further restrict what we have access to.
1052. Mary Jorgensen, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Please do not alter current legal status of net neutrality, it should remain under Title II.Thank you.
1053. Judy Drinkhall, Glen Ellen, CA, 95442  
In the interest of restoring and maintaining internet freedom, I am urging the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
1054. Drew Duff, sonoma, CA, 95476  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs . Our providers do not deserve the power to control access to web content based on what makes them the most money . Please do the right thing
1055. Chelsea Jennings, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I strongly support clear net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank you.
1056. Brian Sublett, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I think that the current rules classifying ISPs as title II providers is good because it allows them to be more strongly regulated and internet neutrality to be enforced. We should put the emphasis on protecting consumers and ensuring equal access to all businesses using the internet and not change this rule.

1057. Chuck Srarzenski, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Please preserve the access to information and largest platform of expression that we have.
1058. Brian Holland, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I support strong net neutrality backed under title two oversight of ISPs.
1059. Peter Lichty, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I strongly support net neutrality based on the principle of fairness, both to support competition, and to allow equal access to the limited internet transmission system, which has been subsidized by the American people.
1060. Kelly Connolly, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Chairman Pai, I am extremely concerned about your plans to overturn Net Neutrality rules. I am a college student and a part time licensed vocational nurse living in California, and utilities are already difficult for me to afford. As a citizen of the US I believe access to the internet should be the same as access to other utilities. I should be able to get what I pay for without having to worry that I will not be able to access some websites or video sites. What if the electric company told me that I couldn't use my microwave, only my toaster unless I paid extra fees. What you are doing is acting like it's okay for these big cable companies to act like gangsters, or pimps. Everyone needs their cut, right? These people are already making enough money! Does anyone care about the citizens of this country who you are all supposed to be representing? I am so upset to hear about your plans for the future of the internet. Please take into consideration that you are hurting people's access to an education, a lifeline. You are going to hurt so many people's lives with this, and the only people who will benefit will be people who are already rich. Shame on you!
1061. Zera L Hair Jr, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
please help protect us "we the people", from these people trying to take our rights away - please keep the internet neutral.  
thank you, Z L H Jr
1062. Dustin Rudiger, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Net neutrality is essential for a free and fair internet. Ajit Pai says that ISPs won't abuse their power after they're deregulated, but that's absolutely false, as we've already seen with Comcast's extortion of Netflix, as well as Charter Spectrum's extortion of both Netflix and League of Legends, for which they are now being sued by the Attorney General of New York, who claims: "[Spectrum] deliberately took advantage of its control over port capacity where its network connected to online content providers to extract more revenue for the company. To do so, [Spectrum] used its leverage over access to subscribers to extract fees from online content providers in exchange for granting such access." ISPs shouldn't be able to extort companies that provide services over the internet, and without net neutrality, there wouldn't be a legal basis to stop them. I think most people support net neutrality regardless of party affiliation; the majority of the people who don't support it are likely either in the pockets of the ISPs or don't understand the issue.
1063. Peter Faeustle, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Democracy depends upon knowledge and truth- - the internet is a valuable vehicle for sharing both! It must be open!!!
1064. Fred Moore, rohnert pk, CA, 94928  
You can successfully disenfranchise many lower income citizens by destroying net neutrality for Americans....is that the plan?  
This is a major step towards totalitarianism.
1065. Gayle Peterson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
It is OPEN!
1066. Pperry Perry, Hidden Valley Lake, CA, 95467  
If net neutrality is removed, the internet will eventually become like cable TV, where users will need to pay for access to particular, or a group of particular sites. This is NOT what the internet was theorized as, and the US public will have less access to the internet than other developed countries.
1067. Nicholas Lenchner, santa rosa, CA, 95403  
I receive masses of information from a broad array of sources. I feel that I understand many sides of an issue because of this accessibility.
1068. Gwen Dhesi, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
The Internet should be free and open. It is the way a lot of people get their news, stay in touch with friends and family and apply for jobs. I use it to keep in touch with my son. It has changed my life in so many ways.
1069. Molly Taylor, Cotatic, CA, 94931  
We need a free and open internet for the free flow of ideas and communications with others.
1070. Catherine George, Napa, CA, 94559  
I need net neutrality to ensure that I get the information I need without it being throttled by big money players.
1071. Gladys Bransford, Cobb, CA, 95426  
I am not a rich or "important" person individually, yet my voice and views ARE important in a democracy, and my access to

an open, net neutral, internet makes my voice and the formulation of my ideas important - and strengthens our democracy. Anything less would be a travesty to all our ideals, as a nation.

1072. Debora Sayre, Pinole, CA, 94564  
To express my diverse views and concerns while gaining access to the diverse views and concerns of others on the open internet. As well as for access to entertainment and art and knowledge of all kinds
1073. Betty Name, Napa, CA, 94559  
it is an optimal means to communicate with one another, to do my banking, paying bills on line, receiving messages and summonses from my masonic Lodge stay in touch with my children and grandchildren exchange greetings and photos and more...
1074. Michael Montgomery, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
I don't want corporations running my life.
1075. Suzanne James, St. Helena, CA, 94574  
Without the open internet, I wouldn't have figured out who I am.
1076. Roberta Alexander, Hercules, CA, 94547  
That is the way the Internet was Created and Meant to be!!
1077. Brian Wallen, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
I support STRONG net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Do not let the American people down by bowing down to ISPs. Freedom is not free. Grow a pair and do what is right!!!!
1078. Sarah Westmoreland, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Please ensure that net neutrality stays in effect!
1079. casey hippauf, Napa, CA, 94558  
I support net neutrality because fairness should not be in the same hand as the person who can make a profit from being unfair.
1080. jon mcnelly, benicia, CA, 94510  
While you may frame this as restoring internet freedom it is any but. Maintain net neutrality under Title 2.
1081. Court Hickman, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I fully support maintaining net neutrality to ensure that no particular site is promoted above another based solely upon ISP preference.
1082. internet, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
The internet must remain neutral. I strongly stand by title 2 and its regulations upon isp's and internet neutrality.
1083. Sara Ritchie, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
It is very important that net neutrality is maintained. It is important to a fair and open democracy. Please do not undermine this important liberty we currently enjoy. Thank you for taking the time to read my comment.
1084. Arlene Roth, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Net Neutrality is a vital way to level the playing field between people of varying economic levels. VITAL! Keep Net Neutrality.
1085. JoAnne Rummey, Napa, CA, 94559  
An open internet keeps fresh ideas percolating throughout our economy which benefits everyone, even the wealthy. Don't shoot yourself in the foot for short term gains at the expense of long term wealth.
1086. Benjamin, Hidden Valley Lake, CA, 95467  
Free the internet. Make it fair.
1087. Linda Manley, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
I support Net Neutrality because it is necessary for a free society where information and opportunities are available to ALL! I support an OPEN Internet.
1088. Joel Trupin, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Net neutrality is important to preserve press freedom because the internet is a major part of our media resources.
1089. Bernadette Zupan, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
enough already. do the right thing for the people, for a change
1090. Sadie SullivanGreiner, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
In my area, it appears that the telecoms are operating on the assumption that you will allow net neutrality to die. Funny how

they think that. Policymakers who do not defend net neutrality will find themselves on the short end of the electoral stick next time around!

1091. Fred Granlund, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
The Internet is now a public utility, and must be regulated as such. To cut off the poorest Americans from Internet access by allowing ISPs to price selectively is unacceptable.
1092. Douglas Gray, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Pai is just a shell for corporations that are doing all they can to steal money from productive residents of the U.S.
1093. Judith Soriano, Napa, CA, 94559  
Back off, Mr. Pai.
1094. Lynna Dhanani, Pinole, CA, 94564  
The AMERICAN PEOPLE want a free net. This is not about what you or other corporations want.
1095. Bob Segal, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Leave Net Neutrality alone!!!!!!!!!!!!
1096. Michael Loeks, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I am not in favor of repealing net neutrality rules. I do not want my ISP to be able to legally speed up or slow down content based on what is best for them.
1097. Willow Ostler, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I think that all Americans deserve equal access to the internet, the technology we are all so heavily dependent on in our modern civic lives. This vital communication technology deserves the strong preemptive FCC rules it currently enjoys under Title II regulations. Moving this issue over to FTC jurisdiction will leave Americans vulnerable to abuse by corporations.
1098. kathrina Deegan, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
We support net neutrality under Title 2 oversight of ISP and the oversight provided by it.
1099. Michael Hansen, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I support net neutrality under strict title 2 regulation!!
1100. Kenneth Wright, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I STRONGLY support net neutrality. Leave it under Title 2!
1101. Louis Poore, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I'm writing to express that I support preserving net neutrality and continuing with title II ISP categorization.Thanks
1102. Damian Cohn, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Because freedom and equality, that's why...
1103. Gayle Peterson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
David has been fighting Goliath for ages and we will continue to fight for regulation of the internet as a public utility. For the good of the people not corporate America that is doing just fine without its tentacles around our internet.
1104. SW Green, Napa, CA, 94558  
We're not China. We should have the right to research, and form our own free opinions without constriction. This is the United States, land of the free. Or at least, it was.
1105. Cynthia Wallace, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Citizen access to web content MUST equal to every corporation or other special interest and equivalent user pricing.
1106. Douglas Thayer, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Knowledge belongs to all.
1107. Jonathan Robinson, Napa, CA, 94559  
Democracy depends on Net Neutrality. Period.
1108. Mara Williams, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Leave the internet alone!!! Protect our privacy.
1109. Melinda Pope, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I don't want to be told which sites I can go to or how fast I can watch things. Even if it's just a gentle suggestion. That isn't anyone's choice but mine.
1110. Linda Stone, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405

The Internet should be regulated as a public utility with equal access for all.

1111. Jim Phillips, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Leave the Net Neutrality alone. Remember this: Internet speed in USA ranks 24th in the world. Allowing the corporations to regulate the internet speed would move the USA ranking for speed to the bottom of the list.
1112. Diane Klor, Angwin, CA, 94508  
I do not want any of my choices of internet services controlled or limited.
1113. Elen Gchesa, Napa, CA, 94558  
NO ONE except corporate whores in Congress supports eliminating Internet privacy!
1114. Karen Cappa, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Computers and the internet are tools that mostly everyone uses now. To make the internet another place where only people that have money can use it without having to see ads constantly is wrong. This is where people stay connected and have the opportunity to learn. Some classes are totally on line so if the student has not money to buy more power on the internet then what happens to them? This is another example of corporations wanting more and more money on the backs of every one else. We worked for years to keep net neutrality the norm and we are demanding that you stop trying to abolish that. The people have spoken- leave net neutrality alone!
1115. Roberta Alexander, Hercules, CA, 94547  
we need more information to be informed citizens, not less.
1116. Anne Wolf, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
support and keep in place net neutrality under Title II. Under this act ISPs can not discriminate against competitors or demand higher fees for faster service. Title II allows the smallest start up and the largest corporate being equal access to the internet. Local businesses are the foundation of this nation. They provide the millions jobs that repair cars, sell products, serve food, build homes and etc, Strangle or push to the side small business and this nation will not be the economic power it is. All economic levels of our society need equal access to internet. Skills in this field will be needed more and more in the working world and all segments of our society need an equal opportunity to develop these skills, increase their knowledge and even seek the jobs they will apply for through the internet. ISPs have stated that they will not discriminate or slow down the internet. But prior to Title II, they did. Like any corporate being their only priority are profits by any means possible and if that means deleting a competitors content than that will be done.
1117. Walter Thompson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Need to keep the internet affordable for all Americans.
1118. Steven Moreno, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Freedom of speech will end when Net Neutrality ends.
1119. Susan Isaacson, Middletown, CA, 95461  
Not just the very rich but everyone needs to be able to equally and fully access the communications highway called the internet.
1120. Karen Wilson, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
It is not acceptable to try to enforce the proposed relaxation, keep it as a "utility"
1121. Jim Hanley, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
Money shouldn't dictate your internet experience
1122. Michael Montgomery, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
This is an important research tool for students. It was not created for corporate greed and profiteering. Mr. Pai, you are supposed to be serving Americans, not Verizon et. al.
1123. Louis Milgrom, Napa, CA, 94558  
Keep the internet available the same for everyone.
1124. Richard Vreeland, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Net Neutrality is a top priority for Internet Freedom! ISPs must Not be allowed to manipulate or alter content in anyway to fit their agenda or desires. The Internet is ALL of ours and a bastion of Freedom. Do Not change Net Neutrality Laws!
1125. Martha & Peter Dragovich, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Net Neutrality means an internet that enables and protects free speech. It means that ISPs should provide us with open networks and shouldn't block or discriminate against any applications or content that ride over those networks. Just as our phone company shouldn't decide who we call and what we say on that call, our ISP shouldn't interfere with the content we view or post online. Without Net Neutrality, cable and phone companies could carve the internet into fast and slow lanes. An ISP could slow down its competitors content or block political opinions it disagreed with. ISPs could charge extra fees to the few content companies that could afford to pay for preferential

treatment “relegating everyone else to a slower tier of service. This would destroy the open internet. This is as important to us as our first amendment right to free speech.

1126. Ann Pacey, Napa, CA, 94558  
This is a fundamental, modernized version, of freedom of speech. It is absolutely essential to restoring our democracy. Don't go down in history as the one responsible for the final blow for tyranny.
1127. Joe Gonzales, Napa, CA, 94558  
The internet is a necessary tool for just about EVERYTHING now - finding jobs, news, information, research, socialization, shopping, etc. etc. It is simply WRONG to allow internet companies to control content or charge smaller companies for ranking, etc. It is MEDIA, and the access should be free and equal to all. GREEDY, morally bankrupt internet companies like Verizon, Comcast, et al, want profit to reign over common decency. More importantly, it is IMPERATIVE that censorship NOT be allowed. It is NOT okay for repulsive corporations to control content or censor what we see. And the Trump regime is the most CORRUPT in history, with Ajit Pai a total corporate whore. Ajit Pai does NOT work in the interests of America - only the greedy, self-serving billionaires.
1128. Edward Dillon, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
The net needs to be treated like a public utility. equal access for all.
1129. Gladys Bransford, Cobb, CA, 95426  
I depend on Net Neutrality, like thousands of other AmericanCitizens. Our democracy requires net neutrality.
1130. Mario Mere, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
It makes a lot of sense!!!
1131. Eileen Bill, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I believe in equality - NOT the rich and biggest get the benefits!
1132. Mary Wilmer, Napa, CA, 94559  
Chairman Pai & #45 Administration: We still live in a free country, despite your efforts to make it otherwise. YOU do not own the internet and have no right to take it over as your own means to make an obscene amount of money off of the people in our country! Hands off the internet!!!
1133. John Jovino, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Taking away Net Neutrality will set this country back, while other countries move forward.
1134. Geoffrey Marsh, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
The only way that democracy can happen is with an informed electorate, and net neutrality is essential in order for the American people to be informed. Without net neutrality, the information that I will be receiving online will be controlled by the same large corporations and billionaires that own the mainstream media. All online information that contradicts the information that the billionaires want us to have will be either slowed down or eliminated, thereby reducing the chances of the American people to be able to live in a functioning democracy. Perhaps that is your goal, Chairman Pai, to weaken democracy?
1135. Jean Schulz, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
The internet was not created and designed to be sold to the highest bidder. The highest bidder will only take care of himself or herself- and the heck with the rest of the internet users. Reject attacks on real Net Neutrality.
1136. Shannon, Glen Ellen, CA, 95442  
Keep your greedy hands off my internet access you paid for pawn if corporate America and elitist bigots.
1137. Christina, Kelseyville, CA, 95451  
We will not stop the fight to protect net neutrality, to protect our values as the land of opportunity - FOR ALL, to protect our free press, and to protect our democracy. You have a moral obligation to stand with us.
1138. Elizabeth Walker, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
You have no right or justification to thumb your nose at the millions of us citizens who demand fairness and continuing neutrality of the internet.
1139. Jeff Neumann, Martinez, CA, 94553  
The open Internet is a public space, a part of the commons that needs to stay that way. Maintaining net neutrality is the only way it will remain free with equal access for everybody. If you try to reverse this I think there will be a huge backlash. Do not go against the people on this one.
1140. Randall Cook, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Please put fairness to your constituents ahead of letting the internet go to the highest bidder.
1141. Rt Studebaker, Cobb, CA, 95426

Leave Net Neutrality Alone! Is that simple enough? LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE!

1142. Vince Taylor, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Net neutrality is essential to maintaining our Democracy! Preserve it!
1143. Phil Budge, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
you're just another corporate shill
1144. Michael Karsh, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Net neutrality is necessary for small businesses to grow. Without it, Amazon, Facebook, Google, LinkedIn, Netflix, and Twitter could have never gotten where they are today.
1145. Colin Prothero, Napa, CA, 94559  
Net Neutrality evens the playing field for everyone on the internet. The ISPs should not be allowed to allow preferential treatment to select services because they pay or because they own it. The internet has become what it is today because it has been an open and neutral place for everyone to use.
1146. Linda Schoppert, Napa, CA, 94559  
We fought to protect net neutrality and we will fight now to keep it. We're watching you....
1147. Lorri Humberd, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
We fought long and hard to ensure freedom of speech and our right to be heard. We cannot turn into a dictatorship.
1148. Caitlin Morrison, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I believe that open access to the internet is a right and that content should travel equally across the connection. The internet is quite clearly a utility, like telephones, power and water and should be regulated as such.
1149. Renee Gardner, Napa, CA, 94558  
I am writing today to express my concern over the proposed changes regarding net neutrality. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
1150. Ofer Vayner, Napa, CA, 94559  
I specifically and strongly support Net neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of all ISP's. Kindly preserve Net Neutrality under Title 2 without any distinction between ISP, consumer and content of data.
1151. Robert Ingham, Angwin, CA, 94508  
Please do not alter the current Net Neutrality rules and do not change the classification of ISP's from Title II back to Title I. The only one's who would lose if we regress, are the consumers.
1152. Hannah Iversen, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Please do not repeal Net Neutrality rules. Ajit Pai needs to support Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight on ISPs. The Internet is an important part of our everyday lives and should not be treated as a commodity. There are too many things that already cost money that shouldn't, like clean water. Restricting the Internet is ridiculous and the idea needs to be squashed.
1153. Leah Barat, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I strongly support all ISPs remain under Title II, and strong net neutrality regularions be enforced and expanded upon. Consumers have rights and need to be protected from corporate interest.
1154. Jerrad Cross, Benicia, CA, 94510  
We must support stong net neutrality, backed by title 2 regulation!
1155. Ben Noble-Christoff, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
PLEASE preserve net neutrality. ISPs should remain under Title 2 regulation.
1156. Addison Rex, Glen Ellen, CA, 95442  
Dear FCC, A free and open internet is the greatest creation of humanity. Allowing telecoms to control the speed of delivery of specific content is obviously entirely contradictory to that principle. It will clearly destroy the institution of the internet as we know it and will transform it into an entity like television, where the cable providers are the gatekeepers of content. You cannot allow this. Do not reclassify the ISPs as Title I, it is a grave error and the public will hold you accountable. Thank you for reading this petition. Kind regards, Addison Rex
1157. Hung Tran, Vallejo, CA, 94592  
I vehemently oppose any attempt on part of the FCC, or any Internet Service Provider for that matter, to perform any action that would infringe on the concept of "Net Neutrality." An Internet which does not discriminate traffic from Ebay vs. Amazon, YouTube vs. Netflix, TIDAL vs. Spotify, and Facebook vs. Twitter, is essential to a healthy economy; we've enjoyed this freedom for well over 30 years, and have as a result, a truly massive marketplace of ideas, services, and goods. No entity should restrict access or infrastructure from one website to the next.

1158. Nancy Pollock, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Restore Internet Freedom! keep the internet a free-flowing and unbiased source of information
1159. net neutrality, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I strongly support net neutrality and keeping the internet categorized under title II of the Communications Act. I believe that allowing internet companies to set their own rules will reduce our rights as citizens to fair and unbiased content.
1160. #netneutrality, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Don't sell out net neutrality to corporate trolls.
1161. Chase Dossa, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Net Neutrality is very important to maintain a free and open access to information to anyone. If net neutrality is not maintained companies can influence consumer demand. This does not encourage a true open marketplace. Our economy is predicated on the fact that we have limited regulations
1162. Joseph Corsini, Cotati, CA, 94931  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2.Please.
1163. Bryan Kelly, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and a continuation of the internet's rules under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Any deregulation of this law would undermine the essential liberties we as citizens consider inalienable.
1164. Anna Cmaylo, Napa, CA, 94558  
I strongly support preserving net neutrality and a continuation of enforcement of the Open Internet Order as it stands.
1165. Harriet Saunders, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Please continue to enforce Net Neutrality using Title 2 to classify ISPs. There is no creditable data supporting loosening these regulations. It has not been shown that Title 2 classification has decreased ISPs investment in infrastructure. There is also no reason to naively expect large companies to maintain net neutrality without regulation.
1166. net neutrality, glendale, CA, 99004  
I support net neutrality under title 2. Preserve net neutrality and title 2.
1167. Jonathan Sanchez, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I specifically support an open internet by keeping ISPs on title 2.
1168. Emily M Marshall, santa rosa, CA, 95405  
I support strong net neutrality. We need title 2 support oversight of ISPs
1169. Greg Haworth, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
The modern day human is augmented. This is a reality that must be accepted; that the human mind emerges within a feedback loop of the brain and the devices and networks it interacts intimately with. That is the reason that the United Nations accurately determined access to the internet to be a basic human right. The internet is the thing that gives every man, woman, and child access to better communications technology than was available to the powerful elite of our past. It collapses barriers of geography, nationality, race, and ideology. It evens the playing field. The FCC must never allow the restructuring of this system to allow a class system. No ISP owns the content of the internet yet, but they will if the mistake is made of handing them the keys. It is the reason television died instead of being taken over by public broadcasting. Save our internet for Humanity's sake. Anything less, is tyranny.
1170. Tom Schleif., Kelseyville, CA, 95451  
Please keep title 'II and net neutrality
1171. Norman Krause, St. Helena, CA, 94574  
I strongly support net neutrality. Please look out for the little guy for once.
1172. Miriam, Pennngrove, CA, 94951  
I strongly support net neutrality and title III!
1173. Roderick Macdonald, Napa, CA, 94558  
It is important that internet providers remain regulated under Title 2 to enable net neutrality to continue. We do not want internet providers to influence what we can access on the internet by blocking, or reducing the speed of apps or content.
1174. John Gilmore, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I support Net Neutrality!!! Internet service providers need regulations.
1175. Brandon, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
I support a Strong Net Neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's. Preserve the internet!

1176. Jason Fleming, Benicia, CA, 94510  
\*\*\*\*Preserve Net Neutrality under Title II
1177. Jan Knupp, Colorado Springs, CA, 80908  
Rollback obama's internet takeover!!
1178. Felicia Welch, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Keep the internet open and non biased! And increase high speeds, Estonia should not be better than the country that invented Google.
1179. Net Neurtrality, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I sincerely hope that the FCC does not take action to undermine net neutrality. I believe that net neutrality should continue to be covered under title 2. A free and open internet for all people is among the most important expressions of our nations value of freedom and democracy, and should be protected at all costs against those that would seek to merely increase profits. Thank you
1180. Spencer Supnet, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I support net neutrality and you should keep title 2
1181. Aleta.Bowers, napa, CA, 94558  
I believe ISPs should be regulated under Title II, for the propose of internet freedom.
1182. Alexandra Kasper, Glen Ellen, CA, 95442  
Dear Adjit Pai,I am incredibly disappointed with your lack of understanding of the importance of net neutrality and the actions you have taken to put the freedom of Americans on the internet at stake. We need strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.
1183. net neutrality, napa, CA, 94558  
I support and want strong net neutrality rules backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
1184. Nicola Marchi, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Net Neutrality needs to be maintained. Internet Service Providers should not be able to block or slow traffic from different domains and/or sources.
1185. Mitch von Puttkammer, Napa, CA, 94558  
Please preserve net neutrality and title II. ISP's site that there is little difference in their investment on infrastructure since it was passed.
1186. julie jehly, Rohnert park, CA, 94928  
I strongly urge net neutrality and title 2 remain.
1187. Karen Erickson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I am in support of preserving strong Net Neutrality Rules and Title II protection
1188. 1, sharon, CA, 06069  
I strongly support ISP's being regulated under title 2. I expect complete enforcement of fair internet.
1189. Karmin, Napa, CA, 94558  
It is our only and most needed link to the world. We need unity not separation.
1190. FRAN BLAYE, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I Specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Anything else is undemocratic.
1191. Chris Bramer, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I support continued Net Neutrality under the current regulatory network as licensed and enforced under Title 2.
1192. Christine Santos, Hercules, CA, 94547  
I strongly support Net Neutrality. It is more beneficial to be in effect for fair competition. It has not affected Internet's service companies decisions on investing in infrastructure. In fact, in listening to Verizon's shareholder's call, they indicated their continuing commitment to grow their infrastructure.
1193. Ann VerPlanck, Dorrine Conrad, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
We strongly protest the repeal of any existing internet neutrality regulations! The internet has provided a platform for social and economic opportunity since its formation. Taking away these rules benefits cable, telephone, and wireless broadband companies â€ not American citizens. We want the FCC to protect the internet from abuse of power and profit. Do not repeal existing internet neutrality regulations!
1194. Nick Nickolin, Rohnert park, CA, 94928

I believe that net neutrality is important. The internet is something that most (I won't say all because there are still people who don't have access) citizens utilize daily, and it is becoming an increasingly necessary part of existence and success in modern society. I believe it would be extremely harmful for the corporations who provide internet services to be in greater control of such a service, because they will only seek to increase their net profits at the expense of the citizens who rely on their services. Pardon my French, but while they may caterwaul and grand stand about how they aren't just trying to increase their earnings, if we cut the bullshit we know throughout history that wealthy organizations in our capitalist economy have only ever sought to play the game more efficiently, at whatever cost. So don't give the power to the ISP's, keep the net neutral and accessible to all who are able to use it. Thank you.

1195. Kim M. Anderson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I strongly support net neutrality and regulating the internet as a public utility. NPRM 17-108 is a thinly veiled attempt to grant a small group of broadband providers control of the content, delivery and accessibility of internet telecommunications services for their own financial and political gain to the detriment of the well being of the American people and American society as a whole.
1196. Brenda J White, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Net Neutrality is one of the few things in America which doesn't contribute to inequality between/amongst the classes. Leave it alone.
1197. Laura Ramirez, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Do NOT weaken the protections that are in place! I only support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any.
1198. Iris Peoples, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Please do not kill Net Neutrality. A vote to do so will further widen the gap of wealth due to limiting access to information. You are supposed to be on the side of consumers not the huge corporations.
1199. Dagan Rose-Stockwell, Angwin, CA, 94508  
I'm writing to express my support of Net Neutrality, and my opposition to the deceptively named "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal. I am a member of an IT team for a multi-million dollar company with a diverse online presence. Giving ISPs the power to throttle access to our websites will cause our business costs to rise substantially, endangering the livelihood of our hundreds of employees. I got my start in IT by creating an online non-profit website for artists and writers that eventually reached 30,000 viewers a month. Thanks to net neutrality, I was able to afford to run it for 12 years - not only giving me the skills to support my colleagues in the professional world today, but giving hundreds of artists and writers a forum to bring forth their work to a larger audience. Had I been forced to pay for users to access it at normal speeds on top of the regular hosting costs, I would have never attempted the endeavor. The internet in today's world IS a public utility, and taking the power to regulate speeds and access away from the American public will not only harm US citizens - it will actively hamper our business's ability to economically compete with the rest of the world. I strongly urge the commission to reconsider today's vote and to restore net neutrality with due haste. Thank you for your time and consideration.
1200. Rene Canham, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Why would you even consider allowing providers to decide how we want to use the internet? More and more frequently, we are required to use the internet to make appointments, pay bills, and even access the software we need to do business. Who are providers to decide our priorities? Don't let the internet providers blackmail you into voting against net neutrality.
1201. Jon Coutts, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Our current system of Net Neutrality is an excellent setup for an international network. It prevents Internet Service Providers from privileging one company over another. This means that Netflix can't pay Comcast to cut off access to Hulu, for instance. This "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal would mean that private entities would acquire the power to limit internet access to the public in whatever manner they see fit. This is bad for consumers, bad for market freedom, and good for monopolists. Comcast could shut down Netflix unless they pay a bribe (or "fee"). Time/Warner could force you to use Bing instead of Google. AOL could implement tiered internet, where access to YouTube costs \$10/month. There is no good public-service reason to remove our tried-and-true internet regulations in favor of a regulatory scheme in which private interests control public access to the Internet in any way they please. I implore you to keep our current system of regulations and not to implement this "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal. It will only "restore freedom" to ISPs, while taking freedom away from consumers and Internet businesses. Thank you for taking the time to read this, Jon Coutts
1202. Arthur Hills, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Weakening net neutrality is a power play by AT&T, Comcast, and the other big providers. To claim that this protection is not needed and that it is like hitting a flea with a baseball bat has it backward. The big providers are the baseball bat and consumers are the fleas. Try to disconnect from DirecTV sometime: almost impossible and with as many huge, bogus fees as possible once you think you've succeeded (which is not always the case). These companies are rapacious! Repealing our protections is like putting a baby in a cage to be protected by a hungry lion.
1203. Kenneth Kraushaar, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I am writing to express that I do not want net neutrality standards to be reduced or altered in any way. As a free thinking society, we need to be able to have the protections to access information on the internet without an ISP's interference.

knowledge is a basic human right, not a commodity. to allow the lessening of net neutrality rules opens the doors for all sorts of interference the likes of which we see in totalitarian regimes, except in this case, the ones who determine which content is seen at which speed or at all, are corporations.

1204. worthington, cotati, CA, 94931  
MAINTAIN TITLE 2 PROTECTIONS FOR NET NEUTRALITY!! Without protection this ever increasingly important medium will be perverted into traffic biases and access retardation!
1205. Brad Beltane, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Net neutrality is an essential component of what makes the internet the powerful engine of innovation that it currently is. Allowing the ISP duopoly that exists in nearly all US cities to extort companies wanting to maintain their current connection speeds, would clearly be a disaster of immense proportions.
1206. Sukanya Subramanian, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
We are not fooled by this open and blatant effort to corporatize the internet. No one using it wants to be charged more fees or have to plow through more inaccurate news sources due to corporations having purchased (through the head of the FCC) the influence of the FCC. And, it is highly unfair that those in poverty be pushed into a place where they cannot afford to see the exact internet offerings that anyone with plenty of money to pay the sure-to-come fee increases (for poorer service) can. Leaving it to ISPs/various corporations to police themselves in doing the right thing related to "controlling" the internet is laughable. Net neutrality now; net neutrality forever. The People are watching you and they know the corporate rule is driving this blatant effort to hand over the internet to the ruthless capitalistic behavior of monopolistic ISPs. Please leave things as they should be and don't remove the Net Neutrality rules!
1207. Anthony Halstead, Napa, CA, 94559  
Please retain net neutrality. Access to unfettered internet access is vital to it's usefulness as a utility. It is also an important aspect of economic viability to developing companies.
1208. Ryan Williamson, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Repealing Net Neutrality rules to let the already repulsive telecom companies to now become arbiters of content is truly the most reprehensible thing you could consider as a government employee. Reconsider your career choice if you do this - it is insulting to the American people.
1209. Net Neutrality, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Please keep the internet classified as a Title II Telecommunications Service so you can have the authority to enforce net neutrality. Net neutrality is essential for small businesses to grow. Without it websites like Amazon, Facebook, Google, LinkedIn, Netflix, and Twitter could not have gotten where they are today.
1210. John Nase, San Pablo, CA, 94806  
The internet must remain Title 2. Title 1 is no longer an option and no regulations allow ISPs to pick winners and losers. Websites should win based on a free market system and not because they were able to pay ISP bribes to acquire fastlanes. This also allows ISPs to stifle free speech by silencing websites that speak out against them. Free market and free speech are fundamental American principles that we must uphold regardless of corporate pressure. The future depends on it.
1211. William Schuerman, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I believe that this proposal will weaken the protections American citizens for whom internet use is a necessity for their livelihood and day-to-day activities. Specifically, I am most concerned about section IV.A.2.79, the proposed repeal of the "No-Blocking Rule", and section IV.A.2.82, the proposed repeal of the "No-Throttling Rule." To me, these two rules define an "open internet" in which all information is treated equally, and every citizen has equally access to the same information regardless of service provider. Given that the choice of internet service providers is limited for many users based on their geographical location, eliminating these rules may essentially block those users access to different sources of information. Keeping the rules in place would ensure both equal access to information as well as an equal playing field for information content providers.
1212. John McGarry, Simsbury, CA, 06070  
Internet access should remain under Title II. Strong net neutrality should be retained. Internet access is now basically a utility.
1213. Virginia Bickford, Napa, CA, 94558  
Please do not approve the proposal that opens the door for Internet service providers like Comcast and Time Warner to charge for "fast lanes" to the Internet, which, critics argue, could leave out startups who can't afford to pay for a fast lane. Not just startups but major tech companies like Google, Facebook and others have spoken out against this proposal, arguing for more protections for the free Internet
1214. Thomas Raftery, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Keep the internet neutrality. It must remain Title II. Do not weaken the regulations.
1215. Andrea, Napa, CA, 94559  
Maintaining Net neutrality is absolutely critical. The logic behind removing such protections of consumers does nothing but

benefit large corporations. This act has already been tried in public court and consumers have spoken. This is a highly bi-partisan issue - do not sell our freedom to access information to those who whose only incentive would be to monetize this right. As Americans, we cannot stand for such the taking of a core freedom such as this: it amounts to the taking of the ability to access equal information as equal citizens without the filter of a pay-for-play society.

1216. Richard Lee Vreeland, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Please do not take away the Common Carrier Title II classification for Internet Broadband service. The Internet must remain Neutral and ISPs must not be allowed to control content, or levels of access for profit.
1217. jeff nelson, American canyon, CA, 94503  
Keep net neutrality and title II as the law
1218. william zeier, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Keep net neutrality!! As it is!! I stand Against fcc director Ajit Pai and his Attempt to swing the rules in favor of large corporations. The internet should be a fair playing field for all who look to access content... no matter what they are looking for and no matter what search engine they are using...America should not let the bigger corporations restrict access to internet content to others.
1219. Ian Stanley, Napa, CA, 94559  
I support strong regulation of free and open internet access classifying it under Title II. Please do all that you can to protect that.
1220. Zachary Edwin, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was permissible and this will make it possible for them to do so again. The protections we currently have must not be weakened and should be enforced instead. Any changes should continue to offer the same protections, if not better. Ajit Pai, I'm in support of net neutrality and Title 2.
1221. Tim Dickson, Napa, CA, 94558  
While I agree that the internet should avoid regulation as much as possible - net neutrality does NOT regulate the internet - it regulates the ISPs, which have proven they cannot be trusted to operate in our, the people's, best interest. Please do not process this bill, as it allows ISPs to break the open internet.
1222. Andrew Engel, Napa, CA, 94558  
Please maintain net neutrality and uphold Title II in order to maintain equal access to the internet for all users, regardless if I SO. Thank you for your thoughtful consideration!
1223. David Fitzgerald, Napa, CA, 94558  
I am for very strong Net neutrality laws. Do not roll back the protections.
1224. Tony Lewis, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I strongly support net neutrality rules and I oppose the crony-capitalist, fascist, anti-competitive proposal to overturn them.
1225. nancy faris, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Net Neutrality is necessary for all to use the internet with equal access. Big business should not have an advantage.
1226. Gary D. Hermes, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
It is vitally important to ensure net neutrality. Corporations are not allowed to dictate to the US Postal Service which mail gets priority attention and PG&E is not allowed to determine which of its users have access to its power grid. The internet is increasingly a vital link to information including much that is critical to the health and safety of US citizens. Please protect equal access to the internet!!!
1227. net neutrality, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I support net neutrality and Title II classification of ISP. I think that ISP can not be trusted, as shown in the past.
1228. Sue Berry, Napa, CA, 94559  
The Harvard Business Review defines the principles of net neutrality well: "...a broadband internet provider should not block, slow, or otherwise unfairly discriminate against any websites or online services." An open internet means a level playing field where the small one-woman shop in Omaha has just as good a shot at drumming up business online as the big box store headquartered in a tax haven. Repealing net neutrality rules isn't a job generator - it's a job killer. Newly appointed FCC Chair Ajit Pai wants people to believe that internet providers are over-regulated. That consumer protections are hindering the development of business. That we should trust big cable companies not to discriminate against web services without oversight (though they are already doing just that). Don't fall for Pai's false and misleading narratives and lies. The exact opposite of his assertions are true. Pai is not interested in keeping an open and free internet - he's interested in creating a market open and free of hurdles for the cable lobby. We can't let their unmitigated greed parse the internet into slow and fast lanes.
1229. Kelly Hodges, San Lorenzo, CA, 94508  
Net neutrality is a very important issue to me and I strongly support Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you for considering my comment.

1230. eric williams, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Don't end net neutrality! What is this, Soviet Russia?
1231. Daisy, cazadero, CA, 95476  
"On May 15, 2014, the FCC decided to consider two options regarding Internet services: first, permit fast and slow broadband lanes, thereby compromising net neutrality" Although this was eventually nixed by the Obama administration, the ugly hydra, made-up of industry monopolies, seek once again, to steal and destroy what is by all right, owned by taxpayers. It is incredulous to imagine that Comcast, Verizon, Disney and the like, will not only seek to squash political activities but halt the spread of ideas and opinions that conflict with their narrative and marketing dollar—and then the public will be forced into a charge more for less "all you can eat" scam.e.g. history channel, reruns, etc..Allowing these corporate pirates onto the Internet ship will sink the ship once and for all. It is plain to see everything is now for sale in the U.S. even if it is owned by the public. I have decided that if the FCC fails to protect net neutrality I for one will proceed to cancel my time with the Internet "the screen goes black" Voter in CA
1232. Ron Moser, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I am very much in favor of net neutrality rules, and oppose the changes proposed in Docket 17-108. Please consider the following: 1. Without net neutrality, ISPs can charge content providers for data that consumers are already paying for, which takes away from the funds available to content providers to improve their services. Large business and small ones (like mine) will be negatively affected if they are extorted to pay extra to maintain their previous levels of service. 2. Without net neutrality, ISPs can degrade or prevent access to lawfully available online services that consumers pay for. 3. Without net neutrality, ISPs can and will unfairly promote their own products and services in a manner that 3rd parties are unable to compete. 4. Without net neutrality, ISPs are free to look inside the content of consumer data communications to reactively reclassify them. This is basically equivalent to the post office opening people's mail to decide if the parcel should be handled in a manner other than what is printed on the package. This is a serious privacy concern, is inherent to how the internet protocol is designed, and is not something that can be changed. Please keep the internet neutral, as it is demonstrably in the best interest of businesses and consumers alike.
1233. Johnathon Callwood, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Net Neutrality is an important freedom. Modern life is based on internet connectivity, and control over that connectivity should be in the hands of the citizens, and should be protected for the benefit of the population. It is wrong to empower those who control access to the internet at the expense of those who use it.
1234. Owen Henry, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Support net neutrality being better regulated under title 2. Better to keep stronger enforcement by government over these for profit entities such as internet service providers
1235. Amory Verroulx, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I support the preservation of strong legislation for net neutrality based in Title 2.
1236. Jonathan Gottlieb, Napa, CA, 94558  
Net neutrality is core to preserving a free and open internet.
1237. Daniel M. Jonas, Napa, CA, 94558  
I believe that net neutrality requires regulation at least as strict as currently provided. The absence of any current problem is no guarantee that future problems would be avoided with the removal or softening of the current regulations. In fact, we have historically seen that absent adequate regulation, greed occurs.
1238. Teri Altizio, santa rosa, CA, 95403  
We need net neutrality to continue and save Title II rules.
1239. Mark Flowers, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
I support strong Net Neutrality through the use of Title 2 enforcement. Please do not allow the ISPs to favor some content over other content.
1240. Jessica, santa rosa, CA, 95403  
I am requesting that you do not repeal the Obama era FCC regulations.
1241. RHONDA ROSENBAACH, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95409  
We must preserve Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
1242. J. STUART, ENCINO, CA, 99156  
i AM IN FAVOR OF NET NEUTRALITY, AND OF REGULATING THE COMPANIES THAT PROVIDE INTERNET SERVICES SO THAT A L L HAVE EQUAL ACCESS
1243. Thomas Gibson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
I support true net neutrality and Title 2 regulations that uphold it. I believe it is the job of the FCC to keep the internet free from any speed throttling by ISP companies.

1244. Robert Mutti, Hercules, CA, 94547  
I urge the FCC to preserve internet freedom and democracy by preserving strong net neutrality rules under Title 2.
1245. Hillary Wootton, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Please ensure to keep net neutrality under the guidelines of Title 2. This is important to ensure that all Americans have a truly free and open access to the internet.
1246. Trevor C Rhodes, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I am writing to express my support for the current, so called, "Net Neutrality", rules governing internet access. It is critical that Title II oversight of ISP's continues. Do NOT repeal the current Net Neutrality regulations. Maintain Title II oversight. The argument that net neutrality restricts infrastructure investment by ISP's is incorrect at best, and an outright lie - most likely. The role of the FCC is, in part, to serve the best interest of the public and NOT grease the path to greater power and larger profits for the larger donors to the current administration. SAVE NET NEUTRALITY and TITLE II OVERSIGHT.
1247. Gabor Karakas, Napa, CA, 94558  
I specifically support Net Neutrality!!
1248. Wayne Ingraham, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I'm in support of the current standings of net neutrality and title 2.
1249. Nizar Kassis, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I am in favor of the current net neutrality rules.
1250. Susan Brown, Crockett, CA, 94525  
I am writing to express my concern about Net Neutrality: we need to preserve net neutrality by regulating the Internet via Title 2 (and NOT Title 1, as the new FCC chairman advocates). The Internet is a global entity, and our access as American citizens should NOT be restricted or controlled by corporate (self) interests.
1251. emilia, Santa rosa, CA, 95401  
Please preserve net neutrality. Mr. Pai is incorrect, I'm also a voter anxious to vote in 2018 & seek representatives that vote for the public's welfare
1252. C M Parker, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
PLEASE preserve net neutrality! We support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
1253. Paul Karsh, Martinez, CA, 94553  
There is a broad consensus across the political spectrum in favor of net neutrality. The FCC will be in egregious violation of its requirement to act in the public interest if it adopts rules that would favor some Internet users over others. The Internet is a government creation that should serve the interests of everyone. It is an irrational outrage to think of it as something other than the telecommunications channel that it is. The infrastructure of the Internet is based on telecommunications hardware, especially the "last mile" of hardware that goes to people's homes and workplaces. In Chairman Pai's current role as FCC chair, he is a servant of the public and he should conduct himself as such! Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Paul Karsh
1254. london, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Please keep the stricter classification on net neutrality. You have a responsibility to administer our airwaves in the interests of the public, not the corporate interests.
1255. Ralph McCaskey, San Pablo, CA, 94806  
Dear FCC, Restore the privacy protections NOW. Anything less is a betrayal of the citizenry. So is eliminating net neutrality. Ralph McCaskey
1256. Leyla Carreon, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
I am appalled that the administration is trying to get rid of net neutrality. Corporations will NOT "self regulate" or be fair with

internet access and speeds. If we want continued innovation and freedom to choose internet content, net neutrality MUST BE MAINTAINED. We already pay more for internet access that has slower speeds than folks in Europe and several Asian countries. Let's make America great again....keep net neutrality.

1257. Kenneth Martini, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Dear FCC, I strongly support net neutrality and want ISP's to remain regulated as title II. Do not change the classification.
1258. Christopher S Hoskins, Angwin, CA, 94508  
I support strong net neutrality regulations. Please stop this attack on our freedom in the name of greed.
1259. Nicholas H Allen, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95401  
Protect current net neutrality rules. No corporate colusion in our internet system. Do not give away our freedom and ability of small business to inovate!
1260. Theodore R. Eldridge, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I fully support Net Neutrality as provided for by Title II.
1261. Andy Lumadue, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Please preserve net neutrality it is the only way to ensure equal access to the internet for all businesses and users.
1262. Susan Ackerberg, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
I'm in support of re-classifying the internet as a common carrier under title 11 of the Telecommunications Act. I don't want either the government of corporation such as AT&T or Comcast to control where I move on the internet. I know the protections currently in place will be weakened and corrupted for monetary gain by the few at the loss of freedom of expression, creativity and communication by the many if the internet is tampered with as planned. Please do not destroy this invaluable tool to mankind and our children who increase their knowledge with it just because you can.
1263. Alex Dragos, santa rosa, CA, 95409  
The internet is a part of the commons, and needs to be treated as such. There needs to be equal access to the internet, whether we are talking about providers, casual users, or anything in between. The power of the internet is undeniable; to take that power away from the common good will have disastrous consequences that cannot be fully appreciated until it is too late.
1264. George Bondarenko, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Dear Ajit Pai, please preserve Net neutrality and Title II. You know and I know it is wrong to consider taking away this protection for free internet and to open the door for major Internet service provider to further charge more for Internet access. The FCC is here to protect Americans from any unfair practices. Please do your part and protect us from further price gouging and Internet manipulation.
1265. Monica Chakraborty, Napa, CA, 94559  
I support strong neutrality rules backed by Title 2 regulations.
1266. Patrick Krause, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The potential unintended consequences to free speech of this deregulation is far too high to remove ISPs from their designation as common carriers.
1267. Sandra Cuevas, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
I SPECIFICALLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY, BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISP
1268. James D. Fletcher, Pinole, CA, 94564  
Keep Internet Service Providers regulated under Title II. Modern business depends upon the Internet. Internet Service Providers MUST be classified as Common Carriers under Title II. The Internet is a public thoroughfare. To ignore this would be like allowing private companies to own certain highways and stifle free trade.
1269. Warren, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Please leave the internet alone, do not let ISP's have free run of screwing people over. I'm telling you they are already quite proficient. Please protect title 2.
1270. Lisa Goldfarb, Saint Helena, CA, 94574  
Dear FCC,Ajit, your predecessor had he good sense to maintain net neutrality. I urge you, for the good of individuals, not corporations, to do the same.Lisa Goldfarb
1271. Lillian Castillo-Speed, Hercules, CA, 94547  
Please retain net neutrality. The current net neutrality rules promote free speech and intellectual expression, and we need to ensure that a tiered version of the internet is not created in which libraries and other noncommercial enterprises are limited to the internet's slow lanes while high-definition movies can obtain preferential treatment.
1272. Mary Dube, Vallejo, CA, 94590

I already pay over \$90 for a sporadic internet connection. What next?

1273. Shan Magnuson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Please do everything in your power to preserve net neutrality and keep Title II. Thank you so very much.
1274. Matthew Robison, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I support strong net neutrality enforcement through the title II classification of ISPs. Any rollback of this action would only serve to give companies the ability to throttle and affect access to the internet most probably to their advantage.
1275. Deborah, Angwin, CA, 94508  
Dear FCC, Please don't take away our internet freedom! Deborah
1276. Finnoh Bangura, Martinez, CA, 94553  
I'm worried protections that are in place now will be weakened if we change their enforcement. I could be supportive of a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any. Thank you for your time!
1277. Heidi Roth, Rohner Park, CA, 94928  
As a teacher using online resources in class, for students who use Internet access at free locations, and as a doctoral candidate doing research, it is essential the access to content on the Internet be open and completely based on choice. Nearly all of the functions of regular, daily life would be limited should net neutrality be lost. I strongly oppose changes to current policies.
1278. Brigit Sole-March, Hidden Valley Lake, CA, 95467  
Am a low income senior in a very poor county and could not afford to pay extra for certain services. Additionally, I do not want access to certain browsers or such slowed down and others speeded up to ensure more profit and traffic to the chosen sites.
1279. Wyatt Sebourn, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Net Neutrality is a MUST for the United States. If we as a nation, as a global leader, are to lead the charge into the future, it is of the utmost importance that we do not allow ISP's to treat their customers like trash. The Internet is as much a utility as electricity and water. The industry can not be allowed to limit our internet speeds to get us to give them our life savings, just so we can do research on how to fix a leaking water-heater. Please, do not listen to the interests of ISP lobbyists who feed you lies in order to persuade you to make a decision in their favor. Trust the people, not the three multi-trillion dollar companies running the United States. Do you want to be remembered as the FCC who sent the US into a decline, leading to us becoming a 3rd World Nation? Or do you want to be remembered as the FCC who lead the charge into the tech future, opening doors to unimaginable possibilities?
1280. Frank K Ontario, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Net neutrality is part of an open democracy, to modify it in favor of bigger businesses is one way to limit that democracy and slow or stop the growth of small start-up businesses.
1281. Ellen Marie Peraino, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
Do not do this for net users at all. it will destroy every small business.
1282. Brett Fenex, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
You cannot silence the truth!
1283. Jennie Orvino, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
The open Internet is the last bastion of democracy in our country and one of the few hopes for oppressed people around the world. Please don't let the corporate interests override the benefits for ordinary Americans.
1284. Shirley Beall, Kelseyville, CA, 95451  
We are not interested in dictatorship internet.
1285. Tom Helm, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Giving corporations more power to control the internet is a mistake. The internet must be treated as a public utility to benefit all users.
1286. Dannie Medeiros, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Hi FCC, I believe net neutrality is something that should be kept; it promotes innovation, is pro-consumer, and pro-small business.
1287. Adrian Ogden, Napa, CA, 94558  
Please ensure net neutrality is not repealed. we need it, it serves the people since there is a monopoly.
1288. Dror Schneider, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I am concerned that in internet searches smaller, less affluent providers of services will not be found by prospective clients even if they are the most relevant to the search, because bigger businesses will be the only ones able to afford fees that will enable them to be found.

1289. Catherine Sholl, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Because we in the USA are fed the same news by large corporate media, the neutrality of the net must be held as sacred.  
Please protect net neutrality.
1290. Sarah Benjamin, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
To the FCC, Net neutrality MUST be protected. In my personal experience, having an open internet has allowed me to connect with people all over the world in real time and has allowed me to expand my knowledge. Net neutrality is a MUST for any person to speak their mind and to learn online. Don't block multiple points of view from the internet. Every person has a right to speak their mind, especially here in the U.S.A.
1291. TB Edwards, Napa, CA, 94558  
Net Neutrality is the First Amendment of the 21st century. Support the future or lose it.
1292. Gloria Hafner, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
keep it free!
1293. Laura Ramirez, Benicia, CA, 94510  
You cannot trust corporations that only care about big money and self interest to regulate fair and equal access and the freedom of information.
1294. Cindy Silverlock, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Freedom... internet neutrality is what this country is based on, freedom and rights. Please don't destroy what is rightfully ours.
1295. Jon Frost, St. Helena, CA, 94574  
Net Neutrality is becoming a fundamental component to allow small businesses and innovators to compete in the free market against the corporate giants. Net Neutrality is an absolute MUST in protecting.
1296. Bianca Blengino, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
Gutting net neutrality will affect most Americans negatively: students, people on limited income, and the middle class. From increasing costs for internet services, limiting what people can access, and stifling innovation, the proposed rules would put the control of the internet squarely into the hands of big corporations. This is unfair and unwise.
1297. Deborah Hoskins, santa rosa, CA, 95404  
As a small business owner, I can not compete with large businesses if the net is not neutral. Please don't take my livelihood away.
1298. Sara Malone, Petaluma, CA, 94954  
The Internet should be available to everyone. Do not favor large entities. If the Founders were alive today, they would insist on it! (Read the First Amendment if you have any doubts.)
1299. Richard, Napa, CA, 94558  
I do not approve of the FCC as an organization and i damn sure do not approve of them messing around with my right to free speech!!!
1300. Gloria Bealer, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I am somewhat house bound so I do a lot of work on the internet. i need to have fast access to the sites I work with. It will not be far that money will slow some sites down because they are not rolling in money like the bigger sites. Everything being run by the ability to fork out money is simply not fair.
1301. Galen Heckathorn, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I believe Net Neutrality is essentially a core value of America. This country was founded on free speech, and the ability to form ones own opinion based on getting all the facts not filtered facts. I also believe that it is essential to allow an even playing field for all businesses small and large, so that the consumer can choose what they want without a bi-est or sponsored opinion pushing them one way or the other.
1302. Maria Indindoli , Santa Rosa , CA, 95407  
Net neutrality=freedom of speech
1303. Lynne Baker, Napa, CA, 94558  
As a teacher, involved citizen. parent and health care provider I experience the absolute necessity of net neutrality on a daily basis. IT MUST REMAIN IN PLACE. PERIOD. NO ARGUMENT.
1304. Carter Hamblin, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I would like to have the ability to watch and do what I want, not what my ISP says.
1305. Cyndie Raposa, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Attn: FFCAs you well know, NET NEUTRALITY IS FUNDAMENTAL TO FREE SPEECH. It is fundamental to my lifestyle and your lifestyle and our family's lifestyles. etc.... So in the interest of our immediate future as well as our children

and grandchildren's futures... please do the right thing. Support net neutrality. Shortsightedness behooves no one.

1306. Cheryl Wells, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Protect our rights to net neutrality, our constitutional right to free speech
1307. paul sarran, santa rosa, CA, 95403  
Net neutrality is a must and big providers should not be allowed to decide what gets to be priority and should not be allowed to interfere by imposing fast and slow lanes.
1308. Cassandra Lista , Santa Rosa , CA, 95407  
Net Neutrality is a critical part of Democracy! We must NOT have any more of our Democracy eroded.
1309. Karen Thompson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I support Net neutrality. I do NOT trust large companies like AT&T, Comcast, Verizon, et al., to have the public interest at heart, only their own profits and control of the market. It is up to YOU, FCC, to do your job managing the Net as a public utility, which is what it is.
1310. Lisa Gansky, Napa, CA, 94559  
Net neutrality is central to our democracy! It supports freedom of speech. (the 1st amendment for a good reason) It levels the playing field for small companies, startups and nascent voices and it puts the power of choice in the hands of people. We, the people, demand our right to protect the Internet as an open one. The current direction of the FCC would turn this public service and open platform into yet another asset for multi-national corporations! The Internet is part of our public commons and as such must be protected and remain OPEN!
1311. Martin Olmsted, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Dear FCC, the end of Net Neutrality is the death of the Internet and the world as we know it. Please protect our digital freedoms.Thanks.
1312. Zig Ustaszewski, Pinole, CA, 94564  
Keep the net available to all regardless of size or position. Do not let ISPs dictate use based on some arbitrary criteria they alone control.
1313. Amin K Kalaf, Cotati, CA, 94931  
The Internet is the highway that connects the four corners of the world together. Neutrality is a global, democratic, and concept that promotes peace and understanding. We are part of the Globe. Changing Internet neutrality by the FCC will invite other countries to do their own regulations! The internet will be fragmented and governed by laws and guidelines that we, in the U.S. might not like, and that eventually will hurt the U.S., all other countries, and most of all the consumer public! No one will win and all will lose. Bulldozing the net neutrality is a shortsighted proposal with hurtful and dire consequences down the road. Leave the neutrality of the Internet alone.
1314. andres, vallejo, CA, 94590  
I feel that i should be able to choose what I want to do online, what I want to watch, and pay for what speed I choose.
1315. Linda, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Created by people for people, not for control nor ownership by any entity commercial or otherwise. Let us be free to keep it ours to share, care, and connect. The only regulations and or restrictions need to be bans on illegal activities, gross violence, gruesome, and pornographic images and material.
1316. sarah allison, santa rosa, CA, 95404  
It is important that the "little people" in this nation have net neutrality. The internet is not for large companies to control the webs content. It is about free speech on the web. Net neutrality must be protected.
1317. Jared Edens, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Gentlemen and Ladies of the FCC. The internet is an unprecedented boon to mankind in forms of commerce, entertainment, science, and the free exchange of ideas. To help ensure that an even field of access must be maintained for all internet content.By removing protections to that neutrality then companies will be able to charge premiums to give certain content preferential treatment. If they would "play nice" and follow these tenets anyway, then keeping these rules won't be a problem. Net Neutrality regulations in regards to an open and fair internet are only a point of contention for those who intend to violate in whole or in part the tenets of Net Neutrality.
1318. paul carlsen, kelseyville ca, CA, 95451  
Net neutrality IS free speech.
1319. Anthony N. Tofanelli, Napa, CA, 94558  
Net Neutrality ensures that a democracy of ideas remains accessible. New and untried concepts deserve a consideration they would otherwise not receive were the Internet to promote only the mainstream and conventional. Our society and civilization are currently experiencing unprecedented levels of change. To limit mainstream, Internet exposure to those who can afford increased, self-promotional costs needlessly limits our access to the idea-generating capacity of common citizens precisely

when we need it most.

1320. Michael Waterson, Napa, CA, 94559  
It is vital to free communication that Internet content not be weighted or discriminated by wealth.
1321. Nina Bouska, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Net neutrality is a fundamental requisite of the egalitarian nature of our government that was decreed in the Constitution of the United States. If the rollback of protections proceeds it will be a very short time until low-income families cannot afford the enjoyment of full internet education. Open network access is essential to the future of our children.
1322. Victor Hamzaeff, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Net Neutrality MUST be maintained. We cannot & must not allow the FCC's dangerous changes to our freedom of speech and communication.
1323. Danielle, American Canyon, CA, 94503  
I support Net Neutrality and strongly urge you to protect consumers and leave Net Neutrality alone.
1324. Peter Faeustel, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
The internet should be for people, not corporations. I know they make money off of it and that is OK. But, there should be no advantage to people with money or companies in the internet trades.
1325. Ron McDowell, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Net neutrality is a basic part of our democratic culture, and we need to protect it from special interests being able to put a prejudicial spin on what we are able to access.
1326. Todd Sparks, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Dear Feds, Please stay out of the Internet Business you guys mess up everything you touch. Thank you.
1327. Sam Freeman, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
My friends - all entrepreneurs - depend on YouTube for their income. They are content creators and video makers. Without open access for their audience, they would be lost.
1328. Connor Zwicky, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
I had to cancel Comcast, AT&T and Verizon over the years because they are too costly. Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Net neutrality allows "me" not big companies "to choose what I watch & do online. I say No!! to a corporate take over of my First Amendment Rights of free speech.
1329. Bob, Kelseyville, CA, 95451  
Another slap in the face for the consumer. What gives the Internet was something for everyone. The Big Buck ISP makes plenty. Get your greedy hands off.
1330. Eric Lee, Hercules, CA, 94547  
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech, protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started, and consumers "not big companies" to choose what they watch & do online.
1331. Honey Fortney, Napa, CA, 94558  
Net neutrality equals freedom. Freedom is one of the most essential things in our life.
1332. Carol Glau, San Pablo, CA, 94806  
No one or company should control the internet which has become our source of information--please do the right thing and keep it free.
1333. Kandy Hurley, Kelseyville, CA, 95451  
As an independent artist who sells my work primarily from my web site this would definitely put small businesses at a disadvantage. Keeping the internet open is a way to encourage people to open small businesses. Giving large corporations another leg up is unfair and would make it tough if not impossible to compete.
1334. Giovanni G. Martinez, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Freedom of speech, freedom to access information without the confines of monopolizing institutions whom only consume smaller businesses. Internet Neutrality is a must. I believe in the Bill of Rights where the freedom of speech and freedom of the Press is concerned.
1335. Jeanette Barekman, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
The internet has proved itself on many occasions to be a vehicle of information for regulated societies. We do not want to be in such a situation where information is hard to come by. It should be open to all and not controlled by a small group of companies that charge for access. Citizens should not feel threatened by government agencies. They should add enhancement to their lives.

1336. Teresa Thompson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I support net neutrality. www for everyone at the rate that they purchase from their internet provider. I'm agreeable to the current system and do not support pay to play per website. I support open and free speech.
1337. Sara Parks, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
The internet is meant to remain open to all - not to be controlled by greedy, money-hungry corporations seeking to punish the poor for needing access to information. PLEASE PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY. PLEASE.
1338. Anne Brewer, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Net neutrality means FAIRNESS and I am favor of that! Please do not take away this protection.
1339. David Coleman, Cobb, CA, 95426  
This is the worst thing that can happen letting this handful of large corporations monopolize another segment of our lives.
1340. Jim Maney, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I have very little, if any, respect for the United States Government. I honor and respect all people, past and present, serving our country in uniform, both military and police. The Federal Government seems to never get it right. The FCC is yet another government agency getting it wrong, pretty much every time they do something, like digital TV, and the merger of Sirius and XM Radio. Selling the public airwaves to cellular companies is criminal. If I could afford to live in a different country, I sure would.
1341. Michael Lufkin, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Throttling internet speeds is never good. Why it could be used to delay government officials who don't agree with the internet provider.
1342. Michael N Matheson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
net neutrality is basically Free Speech !
1343. E. L. Herndon, Martinez, CA, 94553  
"Net neutrality" is anti-diversity. Better to err on the side of caveat emptor. As with germs and allergens, exposure improves our immune response. America can afford to trust in natural selection. We would be weakened by having a mono-P.O.V. A "protected" (coddled) citizen effectively becomes a serf. This is a First Amendment issue.
1344. Robin DeLuca, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Don't you all think this will affect your children as they grow older? The internet is essential for college, allowing them to access their own records, homework, grades etc. It is vital to all professions. You want to allow corporations to control the last stronghold of free thought and free creation. Don't you think this will get in your own way when you leave office? This is greed. Don't let these people dictate our choices, your choices, for their bottom line
1345. Raymond Waring, Rodeo, CA, 94572  
Leave the Internet alone for the sake of the world!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
1346. Johnpaul Morrow, Martinez, CA, 94553  
If you don't allow a free internet it'll come back to bite you. Internet freedom is the only thing keeping us out of the dark ages.
1347. Jon McCargar, Hercules, CA, 94547  
The last thing we need in this world is more control by huge corporations! Net neutrality is vital to the people and should be protected above corporate interests.
1348. TONY, NAPA, CA, 94558  
At one time, free market enterprise was encouraged in the name of commerce. Now it's all about whom pays the most to govt. officials for preferential treatment. IF that is what this is about, please don't change anything. Our country was formed around having different ideas than the "ruling" party.
1349. Gioconda Romero Polk, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I want to be the one who chooses what I want to do, watch, explore or browse on the internet, not Comcast, ATT or Verizon. This violates my freedom to choose.
1350. Donald Stark, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Please keep the net neutrality rules put in place during the Obama administration. I want to keep the Internet open and equal to all content providers. If you make excuses about the previous administration exceeding the intent of 1920's legislation, the fix is to fix the legislation, not undo consumer choice and protections and the open Internet. We see what you are doing, namely favoring corporate donors and not the vast majority of people affected by these rules - Internet users.
1351. Daniel March, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Favoring big companies will make everyone DISTRUST THE INTERNET. This will threaten economic benefits of the Internet for everyone, including those same big companies! DO NOT THREATEN NET NEUTRALITY!!!

1352. David Eichar, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Keep net neutrality. Without Net neutrality, the internet will be exploited by the companies with the most money, to the detriment of start-ups.
1353. Eric Wheeler, Crockett, CA, 94525  
Net neutrality must be protected. The lack of net neutrality would result in a censored internet by default. Protecting a free internet is as important as protecting the open market economy we live in today. Not supporting net-neutrality is un-American.
1354. Phyllis Henry , Vallejo, CA, 94589  
I am opposed to anything threatening Internet Neutrality from service providers. As an American, I have already witnessed several of my rights either limited, challenged or completely eliminated. That being said, I refuse to not speak out about what books down to my 1st Ammendment.
1355. Antonio Bodao, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Net neutrality tries to keep the independence among the conglomerate powers that would like to control and dominate the market place. Without net neutrality we would all have to agree to the terms and restrictions handed down by those who are in control before we could open one kilobyte of internet space. Protect Net Neutrality!
1356. Michelle Lua, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Comcast. AT and T, and Verizon are interested in making a profit at all costs. They are not interested in protecting the privacy and choices of their customers. I feel that the FCC should be watching out for the people of the United States and keep the internet the way that it is.
1357. Duane A Lindoff, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Big Brother is watching. This is just another way for someone else to make money on us and we don't get a think. We lose instead like we've lost on most things.
1358. Tom Smeltzer, Napa, CA, 94558  
Americans have been fortunate to have net neutrality as it has been regulated and enforced by the FCC as net neutrality allows all consumers, not large providers to determine what consumers watch and do online. Continuing net neutrality is critical if America is to provide freedom of speech, personal privacy, and capitalsim for all citizens.
1359. Charles E. Henning, Calistoga, CA, 94515  
Net Neutrality MUST protected. Afraid any meddling will open the door to potential interferences we do not have to deal with at this stage. AN extremely scarry potential Pandora's box
1360. Michele Hayes, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Please do not change the internet rules. Net neutrality is the only way for us to proceed without destroying the best thing for World Democracy - the internet.
1361. Jeff Behne, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Keep the Net for all not just big business for extra profits!!
1362. deborah hoskins, santa rosa, CA, 95404  
I need need net neutrality for my business to survive. please protect it, it is my livelihood. Deborah Hoskins
1363. Dr. Daniel March, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Loss of Net Neutrality will have a dampening effect on my use of the internet overall. I believe that is true for many others as well. It will reverse economic growth.Favoring large companies by threatening Net Neutrality works against innovation, weakening America's competitiveness in the global market.DO NOT THREATEN NET NEUTRALITY!!!
1364. Doug Strobel, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
The open Internet is a valuable tool
1365. Jackie Sorich, Cotati, CA, 94931  
Net Neutrality is important for one and all. Please protect Net Neutrality. It is part of free speech. The Internet is for all people, we pay for access, do not limit content. Please do your homework and understand what is at risk here. Freedom to choose and to create. Keep the net moving freely and working for all of us. Thank You.JS
1366. ed dillon, sonoma, CA, 95476  
any
1367. Laurel Chamness, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Net neutrality is a fundamental freedom and right. It is not ok for big business to dictate what individuals choose to view and use the internet.
1368. Deborah Nitasaka, Glen Ellen, CA, 95442  
The fight was years long to finally win some degree of net neutrality. One significant battle was won, and ought not to be

vanquished at the whim of politicians, which is all this latest threat amounts to. ISPs have no more right to monitor, to determine how customers use their service than does my electric company, which has yet to reduce the flow having decided my lights have been on long enough! The Internet is an essential utility, providing phone service, banking access, media (TV, radio, newspapers, periodicals), energy monitoring, and commerce of all sorts. Ignoring the science and the right of the people to some privacy, to the right to access media via the Internet is widely absurd. Americans are paying attention: We demand to once more lead the parade for freedom and privacy, rather than continue falling further behind nations once considered far less advanced!

1369. CRAIG WATSON, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
First of all, i pay for a service and i expect my privacy to be protected by my provider. The government has no business snooping or prying into anyones' personal or private information while they are online. If the government follows anyone online then that means they can gain access to their personal information, including passwords, webcams and other private information. Also, If the government suspects someone of using the internet illegally then they should get a search warrant and concern themselves with that particular suspect or suspects. If its against the law too tap someone's phone without a search warrant then it should also be illegal too follow their internet activity because it is still associated with a landline or Wi-Fi. Enough is enough of the government thinking they have power too overrule the US Constitutional rights of law abiding citizens. Our right to privacy is exactly what it means (PRIVACY). What they are trying too do is no different than planting a listening device or bug in someones' home or business. Leave us alone and concentrate on fixing the real problem (lack of public trust in those who were elected too protect the rights of citizens: even if they didnt vote for them. The government is more corrupt than the citizens' they promised too protect. This is one of the reasons people hate the government so much.
1370. Jennifer Cabaud, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
The internet should be a free service to all in the fashion that it currently is. I very much am against the privatization and corporate takeover of the internet as the greedy entities of this nation will once again make it unavailable to the poor.
1371. Brian Keress, Pinole, CA, 94564  
The big Internet providers already have too much access to and control of my Internet content. I do not want them to be able to control how I access, who or what I access or how quickly and easily I can access the content I want. Net neutrality is fundamental to my rights.
1372. Kurt Morella, Glen Ellen, CA, 95442  
Net neutrality is essential for an even playing field for large and small entities on the web.
1373. Nancee Fox, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Please keep the internet under Title II. Consumers need equal access to the internet and we pay a lot for that access, so we deserve to be heard. Keep the internet free and continue with Title II rules.
1374. George Howard, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I urge you to keep net neutrality rules in place and disallow the takeover of the internet by major corporations. The internet must remain a free and open forum for all without preferential interference by ISPs to decide what content is available or prioritized. Thank you for your consideration.
1375. Lesley Bursten, St Helena, CA, 94574  
A free nation needs net neutrality
1376. Dorothy Hall, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Just...freedom.
1377. Sylvia Stassforth, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Our access to communications is already in the hands of a very few internet providers, our privacy is up for sale, and our choices limited. We must preserve net neutrality to keep what is left of a level playing field in communications.
1378. Markin Whitman, Cotati, CA, 94931  
The internet was built on the frame of government and academic computer networks to facilitate communication and caused a sea change in that ever-more-important aspect of our lives. It this Age of Information taking that public resource and making it mull-tiered, market driven system not only is exploiting public resources but is inhibiting Freedom of Speech - a right guaranteed by the Constitution. The FCC should do all in its power to save net neutrality.
1379. Jane Marx, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech, and puts the consumer, not big companies, in charge of their internet interests. Keep America Great, we need net neutrality.
1380. John Dinga, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Keep net neutrality. We do not want Big Brother (the so called big communications companies) controlling, at our expense (and at their profit) what we see and do and communicate on the internet. Leave it to Trump and his appointees to make the rich richer, and the rest of us poorer!

1381. Dannie D Crowder, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
Net Freedom should never be owned by The Rich Bullies
1382. Kathleen Shanklin, Kenwood, CA, 95452  
Destroying net neutrality is undemocratic and allowing corporations to control our access speed based on the price the consumer pays is allowing the foxes into the chicken house. There will an upward spiral of cost, with the corporations gouging the consumer as much as they can!
1383. mike hogin, napa , CA, 94558  
Keep the net neutral!we need it fair! for all!
1384. Johnpaul Morrow, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Freedom first!
1385. Gisella Thelen, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I do NOT want big cable companies to decide what I can or cannot watch and do online.Thank you
1386. Steve Lena, Cobb, CA, 95426  
The internet is for everyone, and must remain free. It has always belonged to the people, and always should.
1387. Don Veverka, Yountville, CA, 94599  
Why would you reward the big cable companies who rank at the top of the companies with the worst consumer satisfaction ratings? They aren't doing a good job with what resources they already control. Please remember, the American voter is far more powerful than the lobby the represents cable company interests. I vote and I vote for representatives who honor and respect the freedom that I as an Army veteran fought to uphold!
1388. Heather Johnson, Kelseyville, CA, 95451  
I should be in charge of what I see on the internet, not big corporations. Corporations should not be able to censor what I see on the internet. I should be able to freely find what it is I am looking for without a corporation deciding what I "should" see.
1389. Rick Chesley, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Please preserve net neutrality. The big cable/internet providers already dominate consumers with their ever increasing rates and atrocious customer service. The idea that they could control internet content (both incoming and outgoing) raises serious constitutional questions regarding freedom of speech.
1390. Leslie Flory, Napa , CA, 94558  
Do not take away Internet neutrality. Do not damage our freedoms.
1391. Amy Van Artsdalen, Pinole, CA, 94564  
I am a supporter of net neutrality and it's protection. The net provides the framework of communication and without neutrality, it will become another method of advertising for big cable companies. We need to be able to provide a free platform of communication, not just for the US but for the world.
1392. Pedro Barrera, Crockett, CA, 94525  
Please keep net neutrality or my internet speeds will become outrageously slow. Do this for all us little guys who depend on you to protect us from corporate greed.
1393. James Hunter, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
There is a lot of business that depends on the web being open or neutral. net neutrality is a must. You can not have internet providers in charge of what they want as an open or neutral net.
1394. Herb Steiner, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Big business has already directed our lives with too many decisions removed from our reach.Listen to the public, not the .Leave your manipulative hands from the freedom of the internet for the benefit of bif business.
1395. RADINE!, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95404  
PLEASE allow open and equal access to the Internet. NET NEUTRALITY, NOW!!!
1396. Lindsay Smith, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY. Protect the 1st amendment on the internet.
1397. Wendy Kirkland, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
I strongly support net neutrality because I'm an American who remembers and honors our founding principles. Free speech is bedrock. When government decides that someone can charge me to put my message out there, depending solely on how my message benefits them, speech is no longer free.
1398. Cyrus Maximus Hart, kenwood, CA, 95452  
Net neutrality is the "First Amendment of the Internet." Net neutrality MUST be protected. The FCC has a responsibility to

protect freedom of speech and communication. You are acting on my/our behalf, do what's right and protect this medium of freedom from the hands of special interests.

1399. Kyle Gospodnetich, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Dear Mr. Pai, Since you and your colleagues have demonstrated that you can easily be bought, I would like to present you with an offer you cannot refuse: If by some miracle you actually do the right thing and preserve the future of this country and its economy, I will personally buy you an even larger version of your ridiculous coffee mug. Get in contact with me after your (hopeful) vote for net-neutrality. PS: If you vote the other way and destroy net neutrality in this country, expect the telecoms to take full advantage of that, and Silicon Valley to find greener pastures elsewhere.
1400. steven welch, santa rosa, CA, 95403  
what ever happened to freedom of speech? what will happen to it if you mess with the 'net'?
1401. David Chapdelaine, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I understand the "If it isn't broken don't fix it" mentality but I feel like that's just a cop out for changing everything the previous administration just cause they are the enemy. Net neutrality should be a right to all Americans.
1402. I kimsey, Rodeo, CA, 94572  
net neutrality is a right.
1403. PR Aguilar, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech "DON'T TAKE THAT AWAY FROM US"
1404. Tyler Milbrat, rohnert park, CA, 94928  
Leave it Alone Please i like the internet the way it is
1405. Stephen Johnson, Kelseyville , CA, 95451  
Please keep the internet a public utility, it should be regarded in the same vein as water or electricity. The world wide web should be a product available to everyone equally, as a right, not a privilege for the people. Profit should be considered secondary to public access. A neutral internet promotes democracy through intelligent discussion, unencumbered by the need to protect profits. Please do not give internet service providers the power to throttle our god given constitutional right of free speech. Ending net neutrality in the United States would change the internet, worldwide, forever..... the FCC has the power to prevent this travesty. Our FCC can defend constitutional rights through regulation, or it can bend to the will of business, and profit, over the will of the people the agency was created to protect. Please consider the generations of people throughout the world who will be here after us, don't allow the greatest human project to be quashed by the desires of a few. Thank you for your consideration, Stephen Johnson, CA
1406. Timothy Doughty, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Please do not end net neutrality. If we had a capitalistic market for ISPs, it would not be an issue. However, we don't have that. Our country has a captured market for ISPs, and without net neutrality, we don't stand a chance. The only winner will be the shareholders of the major ISPs, the rest of us will watch Europe and Asia take over the tech marketplace.
1407. Jay Gamel, Kenwood, CA, 95452  
Allowing private providers to manage content and transmission for private purposes will damage commerce, social communication, political freedom and free speech itself. It is wrong on so many levels that having to protest seems ludicrous. Protect net neutrality.
1408. Lee H, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
We need to protect net neutrality for today and for the future to insure that big corporations do not dictate what we can do etc.,
1409. Amy , Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
A blatantly manipulative and greedy proposal!!! At this point in our social evolution, Net Neutrality is a civil right. Does the FCC want this country to become oppressive and un-American?
1410. Vic, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
The Internet has become a vital tool for all and should remain as is. Companies with a lot of money should not have special privileges.
1411. Sue Hoge, Santa Rosa , CA, 95409  
Free Speech is becoming more and more threatened in our country and worldwide. Large companies should not legally be allowed to build conglomerations due to antitrust laws...how about forcing our governing authorities to enforce laws that are already on the books and for good reason.
1412. Michael Baker, American Canyon, CA, 94503  
Net Neutrality is fundamental to the the American (my) way of life in this century. Don't curtail my ability to learn about this world or to connect with my friends and family to share this knowledge. This is not China.
1413. Michael Delgado, Benicia, CA, 94510

Keep the internet fair. Keep Title II

1414. Alex Brown, Napa, CA, 94559  
Protect net neutrality by keeping ISPs under Title 2.
1415. Braiden Albrecht, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2. Thanks for saving the internet.
1416. karen kissler, santa rosa, CA, 95407  
Please support net neutrality! Keep ISPs under title II. PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II!
1417. peter stetina, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I support preservation of net neutrality and the continued classification of ISPs under title 2 of the communications act.
1418. Lisa Kaplan, Hidden Valley Lake, CA, 95467  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. We need the internet to stay neutral fair and open!
1419. Kimberly Tilton, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95401  
Please keep net neutrality strong! Rigorous Title II oversight of ISPs is the only way to ensure that every citizen in America has freedom of choice in accessing the internet. Make America great again by protecting her citizens from corporate manipulation. Thank you.
1420. Misty Mesel, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I support strong net neutrality laws via Title II oversight. Please do not roll back these provisions and keep the internet freely available to all!
1421. Matthew, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I oppose the idea of allowing internet providers to control bandwidth speeds to certain sites and applications. Many providers are the only viable choice for their customers meaning they hold a virtual Monopoly of the market already. Further allowing them to control content delivery is taking free market control from the US consumer and putting it into the hands of big business. Consumers simply don't have enough choice already in the market so they can't leave a provider who throttles speed for a competitor with equivalent service.
1422. Riley Matson, Penngrove, CA, 94951  
I support net neutrality and the FCC Title 2 regulations. America is the land of opportunity, don't limit your citizens by making it more of an uphill battle then it has already become.
1423. Stephen Pogostin, Napa, CA, 94559  
Dear Sir and Madam at FCC, Please retain and do not undermine the existing rules mandating free and open internet under the 2010 Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Make no changes to undermine equity in access, speed or preference that has allowed the internet to thrive and has made it a free market economic engine. Thank you, Regards Stephen Pogostin
1424. Dean Wagner, Napa, CA, 94559  
I support strong protections to internet neutrality under the title 2 protections.
1425. Stephen Shenton, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I strongly oppose changing the Title status of telecommunication companies
1426. Elijah Zucker, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
The internet is communication. It is so ubiquitous in the United States that refusing to regulate the internet to be free and fair is creating a greater divide between the haves and the have nots.
1427. Paul Karsh, Martinez, CA, 94553  
The Internet is a communications channel after the manner of the telephone system and the broadcast airways. It should be regulated as such. The technology around the Internet is such that it is freely accessible and the regulations that keep it that way should be left unmolested. The proposal to put any Internet regulation in the hands of the FTC is a blatant giveaway to the big telecom companies and should be rejected out of hand. Paul Karsh
1428. Denise Halbe, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and the fundamental freedoms and quality of life our civilization thrives upon. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't

pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Denise Halbe

1429. Nolan Sheahan, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I support open access to the internet and strong oversight of ISPs in order to maintain Net Neutrality.
1430. Michael Hanna, St Helena, CA, 94574  
I strongly support net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Thanks for your time.
1431. Joan Nelson, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Net Neutrality is vitally important. Without it, many voices will be stifled. Don't tilt the playing field in favor of the wealthy and powerful. Keep our internet open and democratic. Preserve Strong Net Neutrality under title II.
1432. Benjamin Kim, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I support strong net neutrality regulation to ensure the internet stays open and free for all. Thank you.
1433. Nicholas Cheranich, Napa, CA, 94558  
As an Educator and a member of both the California Teachers Association and the National Educators Association, I am submitting comments to express strong support for the existing Open Internet Order, Docket No. 17-108 (known as Title II). The Order has allowed the Internet to remain an open platform where students and teachers (as well as consumers), rather than a few powerful gatekeepers, decide what content and information they want to access. By protecting the free market online, the Open Internet Order promotes free speech, education, competition, investment and innovation. I strongly oppose the FCC's proposal to rescind the Open Internet Order and to reclassify broadband Internet access service as a Title I information service. These actions will eliminate the FCC's ability to enact and enforce rules that prevent discriminatory conduct or censorship by ISPs. Furthermore, the D.C. Circuit Court twice rejected the FCC's open Internet rules when broadband was classified under Title I. For the sake of all my students and fellow teachers, I urge the FCC not to undermine the existing open Internet rules.
1434. Heather Ogden, Naap, CA, 94558  
I STRONGLY SUPPORT INTERNET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE 2 OVERSIGHT OF ISP'S.
1435. Perry C. Angle, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I have reviewed the concept of "Net Neutraity" proposed regulation and wish to state that as a private, retired citizen living on a fixed income I am unalterably opposed to the proposed regulation. I see the FCC's action on this issue as indicative of the attitudes of this administration toward the ordinary citizens in this country and will be guided in future votes by that demonstrated attitude.
1436. Grant Syphers, MD, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Please retain the current Title II classification for Internet ISP's. This is extremely important.
1437. Mark Feldman, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) ARE EXTREMELY IMPORTANT TO ME. I STRONGLY DEMAND YOU TO PROTECT THEM. Urge you to protect them. I DON'T WANT ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please PUBLICLY SUPPORT THE FCC'S EXISTING NET NEUTRALITY RULES BASED ON Title II, and DENOUNCE Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Mark Feldman

1438. Karishma Bottari, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I am expressing my support of net neutrality.
1439. Andy Ollove, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Hello,I do not want providers to have unfair advantage in determining what is seen or unseen by me on the internet holler if you hear me
1440. Aaron Maldonado, santa rosa, CA, 95403  
How many times does the public have to remind state officials, until it sinks in. As a mechanical engineer, I don't understand how out of touch most politicians are. Vote to keep Net Neutrality. As a 27 year old voter, I will continue to vote for bills and politicians that promote progress. Help us progress toward a more prosperous future.
1441. Rory Trunnell, martinez, CA, 94553  
Our rights are being sold to the highest bidder if net neutrality is dissolved. How would you like it if the bandwidth to your favorite sites is throttled because a competitor can shell out more money? What if that site is your own. Something you have poured your time and money into.Here goes our free market economy...Please recognize that this a step down a very dangerous slope.
1442. Justin C, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Please... do not do this, we need net neutrality.
1443. tim oldfield, santa rosa, CA, 95403  
the internet is very good the way it is.politicians need to leave it alone
1444. Scott Pesarcik, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Defend the Obama-era rules treating the ISP's as a Utility --- defend net neutrality. This is essential open communications and good functioning of our Republic.
1445. 3, 2131415131, CA, 95439  
Stop slowing down my fucking internet you stupid cunts.
1446. Enrique Yarce, santa rosa, CA, 95403  
We need net neutrality. The internet is a tool for the masses and it should be kept free and unrestricted. Any attempts to mess with that are orwellian at best.
1447. Sam, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
The internet is literally the greatest invention in all of human kind. It connects in a way far beyond our current understanding. There are so many ways to access info and remain connected with one another. Dont abuse it. Its the common ground for the entire world. Dont do this. Its wrong.
1448. Martin Sorensen, Napa, CA, 94558  
Do you not limit my band width. I care about the open internet and competition online.
1449. Kyle Delonis, Napa, CA, 94558  
ISP should not roll back net neutrality regulations, because they are only benefiting themselves. It is a cheap easy way to make more money through their sites instead of creating competition in the industry. More competition would lead to better rates and service for us, the consumers. It would allow the ISPs to continue illegal action such as throttling without punishment. Who should have a bigger voice, the people of America or the corporations of America
1450. Ambross Datu, American Canyon, CA, 94503  
Net neutrality is near and dear topic to the residents of the global internet. We should not even consider the possibility of large internet providers having even greater control of access to the world's largest interconnected network. Limiting speeds is the equivalent of segregation and we are greater than that. Keep net neutrality, keep the power with the people.
1451. Nick Tomasello, Penngrove, CA, 94951  
To whom it may concern regarding Net Neutrality,My name is Nick, and like many others, I use the Internet. I use it a lot. As a matter of fact, I use the Internet for work, social, and leisure purposes, totaling anywhere from 6-18 hours per day. The majority of this time is spent live streaming on the Twitch.tv website, where I am able to broadcast myself and video game play online for others to watch for their own entertainment and to socially interact with others. Not only is this a passion of mine, but it allows many people to come together as a community to converse about our interests, that we might not otherwise be able to do in our real lives. Should net neutrality be repealed, or the laws weakened, the social interactions and large portions of people's entertainment and leisure time would be drastically limited, or reduced to zero. While you, dear reader, may not have any issue socializing with people outside of your home, many of those using international streaming websites do, and rely on this as a main means of keeping up with our friends and communities. I implore you to reach inside yourself and find that part of your soul that is compassionate and sympathetic, to see the damage, frustration, and frankly, boredom, a repeal of Net Neutrality laws would incur. Thank you for your time and consideration.-Nick
1452. Sofia Serbicki, Sonoma, CA, 95476

I'm only seventeen and I don't have any good facts or anecdotes. I don't buy my own internet and I don't run a business or anything like that. Usually I would never comment on any sort of political proceeding. But I think net neutrality is important. I want to be able to get all the information I can to be an informed citizen, and I can't do that if ISP's can control things like internet speeds. I know my family will not switch internet providers if it is more expensive, or likely at all. I could be stuck with much slower internet speeds, and although I may not own a business, I do use the internet every day. I'm about to go to college too, and I don't want my school internet to be affected. I truly believe that for me to grow up to be the best possible person I can be, net neutrality must be preserved. Thank you for taking the time to listen to what I have to say, and I hope my future can be preserved.

1453. Net Neutrality, Martinez, CA, 94553

I believe that the internet is meant for the people and by the people. This causes more harm than help support the safety and control of this country. We should always keep an open internet, as we must stand against the dangers of big companies profiting and practically spying for the worse. No company should decide what I can search and more importantly how I should decide my life decisions. We are all humans, and we all should have a right to be free of our explorations of the internet without the harm of big companies that search for profit no matter what cost. Only one internet rules all and all rules must comply to our home and mobile connections equally. If we want our freedoms to remain on the internet, as the internet grows so do people... We should not let the people stop growing.

1454. Patrick Band, Napa, CA, 94559

An open internet - and equal access to email, entertainment, business services, and resources and information unheard of a generation ago - has become an essential component of daily life for Americans of all ages, backgrounds, and economic levels. As the Executive Director of a small nonprofit organization, my staff and I rely on our internet connection to conduct the vast majority of our work. Banking, essential documents, project management tools, donor databases, and the primary modes of communication with both our supporters and elected officials all rely on an open and accessible internet. If the FCC's Open Internet Rules and principles of Net Neutrality are abandoned, my organization could be forced to pay more for the same (or slower) internet access, reducing our ability to do our jobs and serve the needs of our community. I implore the FCC to protect small businesses and continue on a path of support for net neutrality. Yours,

1455. Anna Elo, Cotati, CA, 94931

Stop trying to get rid of net neutrality. Are there actually people who are on the opposing side?

1456. Jane Weggenmann, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401

The internet should be free for everyone. Net neutrality is really important to me and most people on the internet except for the select few that would financially benefit from not having neutrality. Please don't let this become another thing we have to pay for and have to watch each company compete with each other over prices and speeds. We have a good thing, don't be greedy.

1457. Return, Sonoma, CA, 95476

We all need the internet, it creates jobs and is a place for young people to enjoy their time.

1458. Jarrod Alexander Johnson, Napa, CA, 94558

Net Neutrality needs to be upheld. Period. It's unfair and unjust that a select few get unmitigated access to the internet, just because of their socioeconomic status.

1459. Craig Heerman, Benicia, CA, 94510

The internet has become such the necessity that in certain parts of the world it has been heralded as a basic human right. The functionality of everyday life hinges on an internet connection. The most important of all I believe in the need for the internet when seeking employment. Everything is done online nowadays and those that apply in person are simply told to fill out an online application. Restricting the internet in anyway hinders the average citizen right down to his livelihood. How can someone even pay for an internet connection if they can't get a job, one which requires an internet connection to even have a chance at, without said job that requires said internet connection? Net neutrality is an without a doubt a keystone to the future development of not only our nation but for humankind as a whole. Restricting the internet is anyway simply restricts our chances for a viable and meaningful future and doing so just for financial gain or to please the corporations is a betrayal to the common people that you serve. Turning your backs on them to further line the pockets of those who only take is reprehensible and immoral.

1460. Jostette DeTour, Napa, CA, 94558

I support Net Neutrality for the good of the public!

1461. William DeBois, Benicia, CA, 94510

The ability to have a free and open internet is paramount to continued growth and development of human capital. The FCC should not allow itself to be bought out by lobbyists and special interests. It should be completely focused on the protecting the people of the USA. Destroying Net Neutrality is a huge blow to the first amendment and will lead to an increased police state.

1462. Sean Fiorentino, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928

Please do not abolish Net Neutrality. Getting rid of Net Neutrality will allow ISPs to take advantage of people just to line their pockets. Also, "Restoring Internet Freedom Act"? That title is a blatant lie, stop that. It's already free. Keep Net Neutrality.

1463. Lewis Ballard, Napa, CA, 94558  
dont be capitalist pig, be true comrade and keep internet neutrality for the motherland
1464. James McGuire, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
The internet needs to remain NEUTRAL. Please allow net neutrality to stay intact. The FCC should not allow for companies to throttle service, block websites, or otherwise inhibit the internet experience as it is a cornerstone of information sharing, education, socialization, and collaboration among our society. To allow ISPs to put such limitations on the internet experience would be a huge disservice to what the internet stands for, it would damage accessibility (the internet is indispensable for research and education), and most importantly would set a dangerous precedent for companies of all industries to stranglehold consumers in such a manner. Allow net neutrality to stay in place. This is paramount to how we as citizens experience the world in many facets, and I implore you to stand up to business in the face of greed in order to defend the rights of consumers.
1465. Jessica Chandler, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Please keep net neutrality!! Comcast should not be rulers of the internet!!
1466. James Sheals, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
My name is James, and I am writing on behalf of net neutrality. Online resources were a large part of my college education, and I'm not sure I would have had the time or tools necessary without a free and open internet. The FCC should continue to hold control over ISP's to help consumer rights and privacy, billing issues, and price gouging by ISP's. We are often told that if ISP's were allowed to regulate themselves the consumer has the right to choose any provider they wish, however that may not be so easy when consumers are limited to so few options. Please keep net neutrality.
1467. Andrew Crates, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
Just leave the internet alone :(
1468. Colton, Napa, CA, 94558  
Don't let ISPs control what we do.
1469. Kenneth D, Wright, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Keep net neutrality!!!! Leave the internet alone and do not corrupt it by allowing ISPs more power.
1470. Jamie, Cotati, CA, 94931  
The internet is for freedom not your backhanded fuckery ISP's
1471. filter+, martinez, CA, 94553  
care about the open internet and competition online.
1472. Varn Khanna, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
I support NN.
1473. Joseph Victor, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
The FCC should throw out Chairman Ajit Pai's plan to give the ISP monopolies like AT&T, Comcast, and Verizon the legal cover to create Internet fast lanes, stripping consumers of the vital access and privacy protections we demanded and so recently won.
1474. Kimberly Scranton, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I support the ideals of net neutrality.
1475. Andrew Yatteau, santa rosa, CA, 95405  
An act against net neutrality is an act against the 1st amendment and an act against the free flow of information. I will never cast a vote for any candidate who shows any sign of opposing net neutrality.
1476. Jacob Wangel-Komisar, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I do not agree with making it possible for ISP's to provide a higher, more specific level of services to people and organizations that can pay for the ability to force its' users to be directed by the whims of said payer. Keep the internet free for all people!
1477. Eric R Reed, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
The internet NEEDS net neutrality. Without it we lose our freedoms and we lose our right to choose. Deciding otherwise would violate the rights of every citizen and their access to an open and free internet.
1478. Ryan, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I use the internet every day and I believe that all internet rules should be fair and just towards everyone, not benefiting just one group of people. We need to keep the rules that we have so far because they have been working for us. Dont change the rules because we only have one internet for everyone to use.
1479. Austin Demers, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
The internet needs to remain as free and open as possible, by allowing ISP's to limit or control what sites we use it is just like a company having a monopoly, they can decide what will succeed and what will fail. and considering how illegal it is to have a

true monopoly i feel it is clearly in our best interests to leave the internet free and open for all

1480. Robert Clark, cotati, CA, 94931  
The internet needs to remain free and limitless. What is a free country without it?? This makes me think of China to be honest which my friend visited recently. So many websites are blocked there. Keep the internet open!
1481. Spencer Tomosvary, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
Protect net neutrality! It is sickening to see the FCC aligning with corporations who want to do away with the civil rights and liberties of American civilians only for the benefit of corporate profit and extremely wealthy individuals, with no positive benefit to society. The FCC is clearly on the wrong side of this issue, vying for the will of the corporation and not for the people. These sorts of policies benefit only the extremely wealthy while hurting the average american citizen and erode the very foundation of our free society. Conglomerates and corporations should not be entrusted with this power, they don't need to have any more ways to manipulate public perceptions for profit than they already do. Allowing corporations to give preference to one website over another, or to deter or degrade performance of another site based on their own policy and preference not only restricts our freedom of speech, it restricts our freedom of press and even the freedom to choose what we want to read. The entire effort to get rid of net neutrality is not just very very wrong, it goes against the principles of our constitution and serves only for the benefit the very extremely wealthy at the expense of the rest of American population.
1482. Collin Boyer, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Dear FCC, please keep net neutrality to preserve the freedom of accessing information. Thank you for your time. Peace.
1483. Mitchell Fredrickson, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
The internet should be free and neutral. Keep net Neutrality PLEASE. Thank you!
1484. Justin Jacobson, Napa, CA, 94558  
ISPs should not have the right to alter our experience of the internet
1485. Colin Walsh Thompson, Napa, CA, 94558  
If you continue to give in to the corporations, I and much of my generation will leave the country. Have you heard of the term "brain drain" because while my generation may set up businesses in the U.S. as it is good business, we will not live in a land devoid of freedom. We will not allow ourselves to become the test subjects for corporations even more than we already are. This next part is not a threat, but a prediction: if you continue down this road, you will see hackers destroy the entire world's digital infrastructure as it does not support them or their families. Do not be the harbingers of the end-times.
1486. Jeremy Sleeper, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Keeping the internet an accessible tool is not only economically sound for business it is also an important tool for social matters. Keeping this tool how it is currently is important to me and many others.
1487. Antonio Oropeza, napa, CA, 94558  
We Need Net Neutrality.
1488. Oscar Lam, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Leave it as it is. Don't change anything
1489. Ronin Hooten, Napa, CA, 94558  
The internet was made for freedom and so was this country dont let greedy corporate douchebags control which sites they believe are better for us, we know whats best for us. To not let the net neutrality bill or law go into affect this will drastically change our country
1490. Rian Sanderson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Net Neutrality is vital to keeping the internet a thriving part of our society and economy. The big internet companies of today (Google, Facebook, Amazon, YouTube,...) got there because of Net Neutrality, and if we do away with it there will be no room for the next crop of transformative companies to grow up.
1491. Amanda Olson, Loch Lomond, CA, 95461  
Part of what is so great about the internet is the diversity and freedom to explore ALL options, having major companies who can pay to get their stuff promoted and faster undermines that freedom to support smaller businesses and individuals and honestly I think that would do so much harm. I do not support this at all. Save the internet!
1492. Brooke Wilson, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
The internet is very important to me as a student, employee, and person. To be required to pay a fee to use the internet would put a strain on me both financially and educationally. The internet provides a lot to good people who need it. Restricting it to make a profit is crude. Please, save net neutrality and allow the internet to flourish as it is.- Brooke
1493. Mathew Condon, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Preserving net neutrality is crucial for equal internet access. Allowing companies to get what they want on this front would give way to pure chaos. Do not let them repeal net neutrality.

1494. Cameron Edy, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
The internet is the closest thing we have to unexplored, uncolonized territory. The same way the new world gave new opportunities to the disenfranchised in England, and the migration west gave the lower classes of the east coast a chance at a better life, the internet provides new avenues of success for those otherwise stuck at the lower rungs of the social-economic ladder. Net neutrality is required to keep the internet a place where entrepreneurship is possible, and failing to do so would be an extreme detriment to the citizens of the United States.
1495. Ben Maceda, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Please keep the net neutral and free, don't let corporations ruin this too.
1496. Corella DiFede, St. Helena, CA, 94574  
I am a media scholar who recently received a PhD from the University of California at Irvine. My dissertation broached the relationship between media and medicine. In addition to depending on rapid access to information from diverse sources of variagated popularity and provenance for research purposes, I unexpectedly ended up writing about the importance of internet infrastructure to health care concerns for the population as a whole, most particularly those living in rural areas. I do not believe that decreased regulation will increase accessibility in terms of infrastructure or content. Both forms of accessibility are necessary not only to the functionality of an informed democracy, but to the health of individual constituents of that democracy. For these reasons, and many others, net neutrality should be a priority for the FCC. I hope it will take its obligation seriously given the centrality of a free and open internet to the vitality of present day democracy in the United States.
1497. Donovan Armstrong, Hercules, CA, 94547  
You're trying to become more like North Korea, by filing for this. Just like North Korea, you can control what the people access. It's not as if you have thousands of citizens fighting and dying for rights, only for the large corporations to go behind their backs like those lives mean nothing just to make some money. If you as the FCC allow this, you're no better than the rest of the people trying to take advantage of a situation and spitting in the faces of those that served for rights and freedoms.
1498. we need net neutrality, Lakeport, CA, 95453  
I want the internet to stay impartial. I do not want some people's views to be "more important" and therefore get more bandwidth. I think that limits our right to freedom of speech-- for someone to make it harder for others to hear you is almost forcing you not to speak. Expressing oneself can be very restricted as it is, the web shouldn't be that way.
1499. Ben Kirchner, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Please allow us to choose what sites we go to and what are best, the internet is something I use throughout the entire day every day. I also plan on making a career in technology and I would not be able to follow that dream if I cannot chose sites. Thank you
1500. Scott Corder, santa rosa, CA, 95403  
Net neutrality is one of the most important things in today's society. Letting business dictate "priority" is only detrimental to society. The internet is a world resource at this point, and letting business control it in any fashion is a huge mistake. The internet needs to be even for everyone.
1501. Caitlyn Clark, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Up and coming original content creators on the internet have made it what it is today. Politicians, especially those who claim to support the free market, should not allow paid prioritization to corrupt the free enterprise of the internet. Please keep net neutrality!
1502. Garrett, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
I want net neutrality to remain. This needs to stop. Net neutrality is one of the few things holding the internet together and if it goes nobody knows what exactly will happen but we all know, weather we choose to admit we know or lie about it or not, that it is in the end bad for the consumer and only helps to make the ISP we all have into an even larger monopoly. This is simply unacceptable in 2017. Please if the government of this country in any way works for the people anymore. DO NOT roll back net neutrality. It is wrong and immoral and you know it. Represent the people who you claim to want to help, at least on an issue as important in the 21st century as this one.
1503. Charles Joseph Withrow, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
What exactly is wrong with Net Neutrality? It helps keep things balanced, or as close as possible, on the Internet. Companies being able to just decide when to choke an area, a website or even a whole people for viewing certain information is not okay. The world and the people who live on it deserve to not have this wonderful gift twisted in this way. The Internet is how I have met most of my friends and how we keep in contact, I would like to keep it that way. Where we can have a good time and relax after long days. Please do not make the terrible mistake of shutting off Net Neutrality.
1504. Cruz Salinas, napa, CA, 94559  
net neutrality is what makes the internet the internet. don't mess that up please
1505. Stephen O'Neill, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Please stop the termination of net neutrality. Stephen O'Neill

1506. Conor Burrows, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
It is your duty to keep the internet fair and open to everyone. It is a utility. It is the next step in advancing human communication. It is the most complex and amazing system in the world, and without neutrality it will lose its power to do good things for everyone.
1507. Gerald Ealy, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Do not allow ISPs to decide what we can access. We're paying the fees, they are making money. Our free society should not allow them to promote themselves to oligarchy.
1508. Chris Chu, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Keep the internet a free and public space for everyone. Don't be the one who ruined things for future generations. Uphold net neutrality or we will people will find someone who can.
1509. Rod Swisher, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
The internet needs to be free from censorship, I believe in net neutrality
1510. Branden James, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I'm disappointed with our current political system and those in office which have allowed this to get to this point. The internet is a utility essential to the daily lives of so many people and to allow sometimes a single corporate entity in an area to control access to that utility would leave citizens open for exploitation with no other choice. Just like gas, water, and electricity the oligarchy that controls access needs to be regulated.
1511. Sam Livingston, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Dear Reader,I would like to let you know that the general public, as well as the rest of the world that is connected on the internet, is thoroughly interested in maintaining Net Neutrality. Personally, I feel that it is a wonderful idea to keep the internet free to be accessed, as it is a WONDERFUL tool that anyone can access for the purpose of many things great and small. It can be as simple as allowing everyone you know throughout the world being allowed to see you and loved ones in pictures you send to make someone else's day, or to be an innovator with the whole world at your fingertips to make ideas into reality.If we were to lose Net Neutrality, so many things that we enjoy in our daily lives through the internet would immediately be chopped down and segregated for private interests to monetize. That wonderful article you could be reading would be lost in a wasteland and you would be forced to see the websites "they" want you to see. Don't let them box you! The internet should not be caged, and it means a whole lot more than we could even put into words. That is why I will leave you now with a simple message:Save the Open Internet, and Save Net Neutrality!Best Regards,Sam L.
1512. Erin Akamine, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Don't kill neutrality. Preserving equal & unfettered access to content "be it information, communication, opinion, or all within the creative realm " is of utmost importance no matter whether it appeals to me personally or not. It is nothing more than an embarrassment that the obstruction of freedom of expression is even up for debate; that what is tantamount to would-be profiteering from censorship is being presented as anything but.
1513. ethan ramsay, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
net neutrality is very important to me. please keep it in placeethan ramsay
1514. Louis Daligault, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Net neutrality is very important to our family. We actively enjoy gaming and streaming. Netflix being throttled by Comcast negatively affected our lives, and we'd hate to see net neutrality go.Thank you :)
1515. Elliott Kelley, Vallejo, CA, 94592  
A neutral internet is the only possible foundation of a free society in the 21st century.Anything less will herald the hacking wars. You will always lose the hacking wars.
1516. Ian Carlson, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Greetings,The Internet has been my livelihood since my first paid internship while still in college during the late 90's. Back then dial-up access was the primary method of connecting to the Internet. The small regional ISP I worked for was able to complete against large telcos because every call was required to be handled equally, even Internet dial-up calls. This equality in phone communication helped foster bottom-up innovations that helped contributed to the success of the Internet. These common carrier rules must be preserved to protect the primary communication medium of our time, the Internet. ISPs must not be allowed to choose today's Internet's winners based on their own profit motive. Greater innovation is achieved by competition on a level playing field.Regards,Ian Carlson
1517. Andrew Bennetts, Napa, CA, 94558  
I would prefer to keep net neutrality. The reason for this is because my ISP could prioritize sites that I may not even have a vested interest in. It could also keep me away from sites that I do have an interest in.
1518. carlos quesada, vallejo, CA, 94589  
Please carefully consider the downsides.

1519. Jeremiah. E . Quinn, American Canyon, CA, 94503  
It is seemingly undeniably obvious the harsh intent the lobbyist's of large telecommunication companies are trying to do to the internet. I am against this whole heatedly as an american citizen who truly believes in the core tenants of our great nation i implore you to rethink this situation become a true american not tied to money think honestly for your other brothers and sisters in america and strike down any laws that would hinder net neutrality in any way shape or form.
1520. Kirsten Supnet, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Please keep balance
1521. Keep Net Neutrality, Hidden Valley Lake, CA, 95467  
This monopolizes the internet and can be used to directly counter free speech at will. I absolutely disagree with this.
1522. Diane Kane, cotati, CA, 94931  
Net Neutrality for all! Keep the internet free, open and available to the people..most important out of corporate hands... It is a right to have open communications and with and between the people. Creating a corporation led tiered system will disenfranchise, creating divides. Net neutrality will protect and provide a more honest open communication between providers, creators and consumers.
1523. Mike, Napa, CA, 94558  
You really can't do this.
1524. Mason Matthaesus, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
free da internetMason Matthaesus
1525. Net Neutrality, St. Helena, CA, 94574  
I am opposed to the regulation of selective internet access. This is a breach in ones internet freedoms. Thank you for your time and consideration.
1526. Eric Hough, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I strongly support a free and open internet. All efforts by the fcc that threaten this will be resisted to the fullest extent possible.
1527. murrieta Community Values Organization, Benicia, CA, 94510  
The ability for consumers to choose who they do business with and why is a key aspect of our free market system. By eliminating existing net neutrality laws you will be enabling the largest companies who already have vast resources the ability to muscle out small businesses. In a country where small businesses make up the vast majority of new and existing job creation, you are putting more constraints on the ability of these businesses to compete. If they can't compete, they won't exist and will take the jobs with them as they close their doors. If you want a country with more jobs being created and more opportunities for everyone, net neutrality must stay.
1528. charles de maigret, Napa, CA, 94558  
Neutral internet is best for Americans
1529. Dennis Vogel, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
We have limited options for Internet Service Providers (ISP). Don't let them dictate the destinations, and quality of connections, that we can reach. American's have the right to articulate one's opinions and ideas without fear of government retaliation or censorship, or societal sanction. Don't start sanctioning the information we can receive.
1530. Kevin McGowan, Martinez, CA, 94553  
I care about the open internet and competition online. Please preserve net neutrality.
1531. Jonathan Smith, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Please vote to keep net neutrality. Removing these protections offers no benefit to consumers and only allows for unethical business practices to take place.
1532. Catherine George, Napa, CA, 94559  
The internet must remain free, fair, and open, for all citizens of this great country,
1533. Leilani Carrara, Napa, CA, 94558  
The thought of having apps, websites, and even internet speeds regulated and controlled by other parties just seems wrong. How do "they" know what I want to access, read, watch, learn about, explore, and share. "They" don't. And because "they" don't know what is important to me and everyone else, WE should not allow Net Neutrality be taken away from us. The internet in all it's greatness and vastness, should not be threatened by being bottlenecked with the possibility of pay-for-play in any form or fashion. Please keep Net Neutrality for everyone.
1534. Michael C Bender, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Please PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY. American innovation depends on it. I work in the internet industry as a content creator (self employed). My community (44,000 people) and work depends on the internet staying open and neutral.Don't allow the short-sighted self interest of the few ruin the innovative spirit and information opportunities for hundreds of millions

of people. There is so much more long term benefit and growth in keeping the internet open and neutral, as it was intended to be. Thanks for reading. Best, -Mike

1535. Chris Honeck, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
As a long time user of the internet and active voter, I strongly urge the FCC not to roll back net neutrality rules. Specifically, ISP's should not be given the right to prioritize data on their networks for money, advertising, or any other reason. Like telephone lines, internet connections should be considered a utility that can be used by subscribers without interference from the ISP. Thank you.
1536. Jasmine Joann Smith, Pinole, CA, 94564  
We want to save our internet. And I will not let BeeAre and Seiryuga prevent me from entertaining. Jasmine Joann Smith
1537. Shamus Thornton, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Please maintain net neutrality. Please don't allow network providers to prioritize internet traffic based on profitability. Please!
1538. Pete Monga, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I believe the internet as we have it now is the best for all people and in the spirit of what our founding fathers wanted floor our democracy. Please keep the internet neutral and don't change the rules.
1539. BJ Thompson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Keeping the internet open is the biggest advantage our country has to stay innovative. It's the platform of the future. If you neuter the internet our society will lose all the creative energy which has led this country to the top. Don't let greed ruin something beautiful.
1540. Mike Peterman, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Do not censor the internet. It should be treated like a public resource just like the phone lines we used to access it on. Net Neutrality is essential for the continued success of our nation.
1541. Sarah Fairchild, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
Please protect US citizens from the greedy interests of ISPs. The internet is and must be considered a utility if we are to continue to advance as a society- we'll never reach that point if we throttle people's access at the whim of ISPs. Protect the free internet!
1542. John Smith, rohnert park, CA, 94928  
net neutrality is so important. removing net neutrality would give cable companies i free pass to charge outrageous prices for internet. as it stand i have to pay an extra 50 dollars monthly for unlimited internet because the standard 1024gb of internet access may sound like a lot but isn't. removing net neutrality would also allow them to charge to access website, imagine having to pay to visit other internet service providers website
1543. Christopher Severt, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
On the grounds that the Restoring Internet Freedom Act could allow ISP's to throttle internet traffic, charge more for the same services, and censor certain websites, I believe it is NOT in the public interest to let this act pass. I believe in the preservation of net neutrality to keep the internet the free and open resource it is.
1544. Greg Davis, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
I am writing to ensure the safety of our open internet. Please maintain net neutrality. I have lived in 3 major cities across the US in the past few years and my experience picking ISPs has already been very poor. The options are you get the main stream company (Comcast/ATT/Charter) or you get a subpar company operating on the backbone of one of the larger providers. With options this limited it makes little sense to allow ISPs to throttle portions of the web as they see fit. In a oligopoly such as the one that rules the internet, allowing a small number of companies to make decisions this large and having total control of the internet is incredibly Orwellian and I hope it doesn't come to that. As an example, I have the option to choose Comcast or a small independent provider. If I chose Comcast, what's to stop them from throttling (or blocking) Netflix, a huge competitor in the media space, or even their own competition's website so that I can compare pricing in the already limited market? Please reconsider as net neutrality is the last shred of defense our free internet has against corporate America.
1545. Mansoor Safi, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Stop trying to control the internet.
1546. Aaron Lager, Cotati, CA, 94931  
The internet was pioneered by US government research to create a community to freely and easily share information and research. It was not intended to be a pay for play system. In fact, that system starts the internet down a path like our health insurance industry, not good!
1547. Velinda Kate Walton, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I'm aware that my ISP wants to roll back the current net neutrality rules and gain the ability to control my experience online by prioritizing websites and apps they own over the rest of the web, gaining the power to block websites, or even slow down my connection to content they don't like. This is not fair play and down right wrong! For these reasons, I urge your

commission to stand your ground and keep net neutrality!

1548. Casey Rossi, san pablo, CA, 94806  
The internet needs to stay the way it is , for better or for worse. Don't screw the people.
1549. Brian Poseley, Napa, CA, 94558  
There is no legitimate reason to work against the neutrality of the internet. ANY move to deregulate our internet is a move towards supporting businesses and denying freedoms to our public. Please keep the internet free.
1550. crystal herndon, vallejo, CA, 94590  
Do not change anything about internet service. It's perfect as is. the government should be spending time servicing people in need, not messing with industries that already work.
1551. Andrew Malmanis, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
The internet needs freedom and should be free. Please protect it, do not let big companies control it.
1552. Travi Havemeyer, Cotati, CA, 94931  
I believe the internet has become a commodity that shouldn't be able to be controlled or throttled. We have come to enjoy the freedoms of net neutrality and taking these away would be a huge hit to not only modern society but the foundations of free speech. As print news is dying the internet has taking the reins when it comes to spreading information, and if cable companies obtain the power to block certain sources of controversial news/information, it would be a huge hit to free speech. I strongly urge the FCC to retain their net neutrality rules and not give al the power to the cable companies. Thank you.
1553. Matthew Spotts, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I am in support for Strong Net Neutrality rules. The internet needs these rules to keep equal access for everyone and anyone.
1554. Eric Russell, Angwin, CA, 94508  
Keep the net freely accessible. No paid prioritization. No blocking. No throttling.
1555. Lucas Stein, American Canyon, CA, 94503  
Do not take away Net Neutrality .
1556. Will Harnage, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Net Neutrality is vital to our evolving democracy. Myself and my community are watching these proceedings closely to ensure that the internet stays "open" to ALL, without "fast" and "slow" lanes.
1557. Christian Craft, Napa, CA, 94558  
Keep Net Neutrality, protect the free market
1558. Joel, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Keep Net Neutrality, I would have our internet content not control by ISPs. To have ISPs deem what will not lead to a free and open internet, I am wholly against.
1559. Neil Mendenhall, Napa, CA, 94558  
To Whom It May Concern,I would like to express my strong belief and support that internet service providers should be regulated like utilities. In many if not most regions of the US their is only one or two choices for internet service and internet is even more important than telephone services now to Americans. If telephone companies are regulated why not internet which now can provide calling, TV, Movies and a host of other services. We must ensure that all internet services are able to compete on an open and free internet and that the ISPs controlling access cannot decide which services are given preference over another - putting too much power in their hands and allowing these monopolies to throttle their competition is unacceptable to a country that supports an open, free and competitive economy. Isn't that what governmental regulations are supposed to do? Ensure fairness in competition? Please see to it that net neutrality rules stay in place and competition - which is so vital to innovation and the growth of our economy - is maintained and strengthened.Thank you for your consideration.Neil Mendenhall
1560. Tyler Rodde, Napa, CA, 94559  
The internet was not created to be controlled but to be shared freely will the all
1561. Victor Vazquez, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I myself would feel bad for those sites that would get censored and leading with those sites losing jobs, for example, but not likely, Youtube would go out of business if the ISP did not favor them and just picking favorites.
1562. Brian Machado, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Keep Net Neutrality. We shouldn't have to ask for the freedom to search for what we seek without someone else influencing our search.
1563. JayDee McDowell, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
The internet was INVITED BY THE A MAN IN HIS GARAGE! IT IS FOR THE PEOPLE! Lets keep it that way!!!!!!

1564. Peter J Katz, Angwin, CA, 94508  
The internet is the single most powerful democratizing tool available to twenty-first century America. A vote against net neutrality is tantamount to a vote against democracy. Corporations should never control the free access of information.
1565. Warren A. Yamashita, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
America needs to have a neutral Internet. While I understand the business needs of creating tiers, the opportunity for abuse is too great. We have seen time and time again where, when left to their own designs, companies make decisions based on money versus what is good for this country. In America, freedom rings loud. We need net neutrality.
1566. Stephen peck, Napa, CA, 94558  
The internet under net neutrality is how it should be, neutral. We don't need one of the few avenues of crucial contact with friends outside of the states or other countries from being cut off. The net needs to remain free of paid prioritization, blocking and throttling.
1567. Natalie Fry, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Please do not get rid of net neutrality! The internet is an essential part of our democracy with open access to information.
1568. Geoffrey C Olsen, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Please keep our providers from being able to decide which content gets prioritized and which gets throttled.
1569. John E. Saare, Lakeport, CA, 95453  
I support net neutrality. I support all measures that protect the freedom of an open internet, from the problems that will arise when ISPs begin to either bundle content as part of their service or negotiate compensation for preferential treatment for third parties. I do not support any policies built upon thoughtless application of "free market" principles. I do support the discussion of those principles in the context of net neutrality, but reject any policy formed on the basis of free market ideology. I respect an ISP's right to manage their service so far as is required to ensure a high quality of service, but not beyond. Respectfully " John E. Saare
1570. Allen Kezer, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
You need to preserve Net Neutrality. Don't let the greed of Comcast and Verizon hurt EVERY single person in the US and outside. Don't get rid of Net Neutrality!!!!
1571. Keith, Martinez, CA, 94553  
The Internet needs to keep Net Neutrality, it's a part of American Freedom
1572. John Link, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Please keep the Net Neutrality rules the way they are. ISP's should not be able to restrict the broadband access fairly paid for. Please do what's right and keep the rules the way they are!
1573. Raymundo Calderon, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Keep networking open as it should be, allowing everyone equal access to any website or service they want.
1574. Saul Gropman, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I urge the FCC not to reverse Title II net neutrality. Please preserve net neutrality! I believe that we need free and complete access to the internet without interference.
1575. Caleb Foote, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Not allowing internet providers to restrict or discriminate in the pages its customers can access is critical for a competitive, healthy internet
1576. Scott Aldrich, rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Please keep the Internet safe from the harm caused by big business and greed. We as a people need an open Internet, free from those who would take advantage of people by charging more for services. The poor in the world need access to information and services, but if they are blocked and only the rich are allowed to display their services, it adds to the monopoly of big business and prevents growth and fair competition. Keep the net neutral and fair to all.
1577. Michael Graves, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Net neutrality is absolutely required to ensure that all of the business relying on the internet and that drive huge portions of the economy have a level playing field when it comes to their customers having free choice. We cannot rely on any industry to regulate itself and expect anything other than ISP's pushing their products or companies that they do business with at the expense of fair competition. They have already shown themselves to be unable to self regulate in the past so why would it make sense to trust them now.
1578. sharon lukachevych, Watertown, CA, 06779  
Net neutrality says we get the \*entire\* web without interference " no gatekeepers, no tollbooths, no slowlanes. Without strong protections, Cable and phone companies like Comcast would be able to: Slow video streaming sites, causing my videos start and stop unexpectedly; Add new fees to my Internet bill. Imagine paying extra for YouTube! Censor videos or content they don't agree with, like political blogs. Throttle any new sites or apps they don't own or invest in. Make my

connection painfully slow, and charge me more to make it work again. Make the Internet look a lot more like cable TV. And, worst of all, become the first gatekeepers of the Internet in US history.

1579. Naomi Sneiderman, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I am greatly disturbed by the attempt to repeal the 2015 network neutrality rules. I have gained a great deal from the open internet. A recent graduate of higher education, I found help with many courses through sites that are not the "branded" help sites. This could be because the "Branded" sites did not address my inquiry or perhaps they were not understandable. The open internet gives me not only the right but the ABILITY to choose where I go, what I see, what I read, and by whom. A major point of discussion when it comes to this repeal is the ability to simply "change broadband ISP." I don't have the funds to purchase whatever I want, whenever I want. I make educated decisions on purchases weighing cost and benefit, and many of those decisions are dependent on THE COST. Even if theoretically I am given the choice to simply change ISP that does not mean I, in reality, am capable of doing so. Additionally, when signing with an ISP, I do not expect them to restrict my access. If they feel the need to "protect me," I expect a statement. I am not a child, I am an American with protected rights to make my own choices about my life. It is in the name of those rights that I write to you to express my frustration and anger over this attempt to repeal of the net neutrality rules.
1580. Marissa Kobayashi, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are not negotiable. We urge you to protect them. Internet Service Providers should never have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast vs slow lanes" based on financial gains. The Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II, then ISPs must be allowed to offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made clear that this is his goal. This type of control hurts all consumers and businesses. You will kill the open marketplace if companies that can pay the "fast lanes" fees are prioritized over the startups and small businesses that can't afford the fees. We need an open internet and competition online. Net Neutrality is essential to everything we need in our society and democracy – from educational and economic opportunities to political organizing and dissent. Millions of people fought for over a decade to secure lasting Net Neutrality protections. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and reject Chairman Pai's plans. Thank you!
1581. alan baron, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
please do not change or eliminate net neutrality
1582. Sean Daily, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I support net neutrality and sincerely believe that the rescinding of Title II would be a cataclysmically backwards move for the global online community and especially for consumers and businesses in the US.
1583. Reilly Breslin, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
For my family we purchase access to the internet for the ease of communication with family from across the country. Along with having to submit College papers online, enjoying video content not provided through television companies such as twitch and YouTube , finally the enjoyment of playing some online games with friends from around the world. ISPs should also incentivize their current loyal customers instead of gradually raising the cost of the service and at the same slowing down their service. The FCC should oversee how ISPs choose to market their products and how much they charge making internet access at the bare minimum available for nearly all economic classes
1584. Dominic Bongiovanni, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Too much money will go to the people that already have too much.
1585. kevin babcock, Hidden valley lake, CA, 95467  
I support net neutrality. The internet is a resource that gives everyone a fair chance to express their ideas. To impede upon this is to impede upon free speech. As an American who is deeply proud of the principals our forefathers founded this country on, I would be remiss if I didn't fight for this freedom
1586. Jennifer, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Everyone should be allowed to stream what they want online. Not allowing Net Neutrality would make the U.S. like North Korea, China, and other governments who block or censor the content that is viewable by the citizens of that country. It would jeopardize our First Amendment Rights as U.S. Citizens.
1587. Katie Garvey, Napa, CA, 94559  
Net neutrality is absolutely essential and the voice of consumers and the internet economy has been made clear time and time again.
1588. Jamie Keehan, Pinole, CA, 94564  
Money shouldn't control access to information and community. Americans will take a stand to make sure these rights aren't slowly squelched in the name of greed. Come on!
1589. Dallas Carter, Santa rosa, CA, 95407  
Inhibiting access to websites based on their ability to pay more money is a direct attack on the freedom of speech of the people who utilize those websites. In order for American constitutional values to translate into the digital age, the Internet must be

kept an open and equal place, and so this proposal must not pass

1590. Joseph Parisi, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Please do NOT put an end to net neutrality. A free internet is one of mankind's greatest achievements, and changing that would be the end of something wonderful. Allowing ISP's to moderate which sites/content are more available to its customers is a slippery and dangerous slope.
1591. Adam Greco, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Net neutrality is extremely important. Please don't roll this back.
1592. Arden Allen, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
We are already a two tiered economy due to the the failings of Washington DC. Dividing the "spoils" of the internet between the "haves and have nots" of the information highway is UNDEMOCRATIC. Such a division will stifle the efforts of those coming from meager means to reach for the American Dream. Let's not further make America into an 18th century plutocracy. We must keep net neutrality.
1593. Lucien Rhodes Dixon, Cotati, CA, 94931  
Net neutrality is a very important principle to America. The OPEN internet has become the number one way to communicate and share your opinion with the world. Our first amendment is "Freedom of Religion, Speech, and the Press." If you take away net neutrality, it's taking away one of the corner stones this country was built upon.
1594. David Spenger, hercules, CA, 94547  
The internet is a public service, it needs to stay under title 2!
1595. net neutrality, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
We have worked hard to achieve and keep a free and open internet; it is important to the people of our wonderful country to have this resource unfettered by special interests; weakening this regulation opens the internet to unfair manipulation by private and government sources. Free speech means just that, FREE, and not subject to the whims of those in control of this vital medium.
1596. Robert deMallac, Napa, CA, 94558  
Net neutrality is at its heart a First Amendment issue. Please don't allow corporations to infringe upon citizens' expressions of free speech.
1597. Net Neutrality, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
I have made my living using the internet and I strongly support net neutrality.
1598. Net Neutrality, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I am pro Net Neutrality and believe that internet access and expression should not be restricted!
1599. Ken Bogel, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I think net neutrality is very important. The internet has become a part of everyday life in the United States and worldwide. Keeping it open and free is vital to a free and open society.
1600. Thomas Clarke, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
The internet is the future of our society, those in power are ignorant of the importance of Net neutrality. They monger for power and control. I want to live in a society that learns and grows, that explores and embraces new ideas, and casts off the shackles of the old way, archaic rules and laws developed by generations past. The future is for the youth, the bright, the accepting of people and their many cultures. Net neutrality allows us the opportunity to shed our ignorance, to reach across our borders, and become one world of people. I want to see in my life time that love and freedom triumphs over the cowardly tyrants of the world. The Open Internet rules (net neutrality) are extremely important to me. I don't want the ISP to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites advantage on others, or split the internet into fast lanes for companies that pay and slow lanes for the rest. Don't allow corporate America to control our life. Our internet is our access to knowledge and bridge between cultures and people.
1601. Kyleigh Griffin, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Keep the internet open to all! No corporation should have the right to select what we do.
1602. Allyson Gayoso, Hercules, CA, 94547  
The internet has become an important hallmark for many careers, for education, and by connecting to others that would otherwise be impossible. The internet is multifaceted and should not be limited to certain websites.
1603. Chloe Johnson, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Please keep net neutrality. It is absolutely ludicrous that the providers with the most money will have the ability to slow down or simply block content they do not like, that they disagree with, or that does not pay. Please continue to foster innovation, promote economic growth, and empower people through the free and open internet.
1604. Jesse Hawkins, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404

The internet needs to be free to all. This benefits NO ONE except ISPs. Just like we don't get charged for how we use our electricity, we shouldn't get charged for how we use our internet

- 1605. Peyton Fatherree, Napa, CA, 94559  
To insure the freedoms of all united States Citizens it is important that net neutrality be maintained. Our freedom to access the information and content of our choice and each of us individually is critical to our freedoms of speech and pursuit of happiness. Do not compromise these values and RIGHTS.
- 1606. Justin Yee, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
The internet should remain net neutral. Today so many jobs and livelihoods are centered around the fair and open use of the internet. With limiting or restricted access to this resource the effect would be terrible for all those that rely on the internet. In today's America just about everyone uses the internet to some extent and in some countries the internet is seen as a right. So I ask that the the net remain neutral and let the people win.
- 1607. net neutrality, American Canyon, CA, 94503  
Please keep the internet free and open in the US
- 1608. james egan, santa rosa, CA, 95404  
Please do not ruin the free internet--retain the prior net neutrality rules and keep the internet equally available to all!
- 1609. A Certain Mr. John Seansen, sacramento, CA, 20619  
yo mamas so stupid she thought removing net nutrality was a good idea
- 1610. Arman Chauhan, Pinole, CA, 94564  
Please do not revert net neutrality rules.
- 1611. jeffrey egan, santa rosa, CA, 95404  
Do not ruin the internet by letting greedy cable companies charge more to allow transmission over their wires--it would ruin thee free internet and the very ideals that founded it.
- 1612. Aaron Buchanan, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Net neutrality is a must for a free, open, and competitive marketplace online. We will be subject to monopolies. Our choice will be stripped from us.
- 1613. Rachel Bradshaw, Rodeo, CA, 94572  
Net neutrality is vital for many small businesses, located in the United States, who operate without a store front. Being able to use video streaming apps to stay in contact with friends and family will be in jeopardy without net neutrality. I'm beginning an online graduate program that could be negatively impacted if net neutrality is gutted. This type of change will favor those with more money, larger online companies, giving the rest of us not even a chance of survival. The internet should be a resource accessible for everyone, even those who couldn't afford to pay for adequate connection speed, keep it that way with net neutrality.
- 1614. rich, st helena, CA, 94574  
The internet should be a free and open platform and unsubjected to the whims of a handful of companies controlling how and what we see and have access to online.
- 1615. JP Evans, Napa, CA, 94559  
Like any prohibited service or goods that the public at large demands, if access to an unrestricted internet is blocked/hindered, alternate methods of connecting WILL be utilized. This, by nature and regardless of the specific service in question, comes with detrimental and dangerous consequences to public safety, taxpayer dollars, and legitimate business revenues.  
Our country today is founded on some basic principles, one of which is the freedom of information. This is absolutely necessary to have an informed and educated population that has the ability to make informed decisions in elections and other vital national affairs. True net neutrality is a de facto requirement for our country to continue as a leader in democracy, freedom, and human rights. There are many countries today that provide a terrifying example of what can happen when information is significantly obfuscated and filtered. This includes widespread human suffering, environmental destruction, economic collapse, political corruption, and a general annihilation of everything that makes up an enduring and competitive world power. The invention of the internet has caused an unprecedented increase in the speed of advancement in all areas of human knowledge, including science, technology, math, education, environmental preservation, humanitarian efforts, military superiority and more. This is due to the unfettered ability to share and communicate information across the globe, allowing professionals of all disciplines to more effectively collaborate and share their ideas and advancements, even across wildly different disciplines, which is becoming the norm in areas of advanced scientific research. This simply would not happen in a world where the transfer of information is directly controlled by third parties with their own political leanings and financial interests. Not only would the dismantling of true net neutrality be a direct attack on a fundamental right of any modern society, but it would weaken us as a nation, causing us to fall behind in all areas of advancement and understanding. If the internet is the global consciousness, restricting our access would equate to a mental handicap of the entire population. I do not trust an ISP to

decide what Texture or Quality of information I receive, nor dictate my allowed Leisure time to digest and act upon it. In the end, ISPs are the only entities whatsoever that would benefit from any deterioration of net neutrality, and the entire country would suffer for it.

1616. Jason Benson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I support strong net neutrality rules. Internet speed and support should be equal across the board to provide a fair and equitable space for freedom of speech and the exchange of culture and ideas. Net neutrality is as American as apple pie.
1617. James S Shattuck, Pinole, CA, 94564  
Stop trying to deceive people over this discussion. This "Restoring Internet Freedom" is anything but that, and you all know it. This proceeding is about putting money into the pockets of AT&T, Comcast, and the rest of their ilk. The internet is a common carrier. Period. Stop trying to stifle free speech. Stop trying to shake down content providers. Do not allow preferred access. This is a rather cynical cover for restricted and controlled access. The only thing it frees is service providers ability to control content and maximize profit and probably put a little something something into Ajit Pai's pocket as well. Ajit, your family should be ashamed for you since you seem to have no shame. #netneutrality
1618. Nicholas Freda, Napa, CA, 94558  
As a US Citizen and small business owner who uses a website for selling video services, I want you to uphold Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 active, in part because I believe ISPs must be regulated by the FCC. Do not repeal Net Neutrality, keep it in effect.
1619. Kim Wagner, Napa, CA, 94558  
I have a small independent consulting company run out of my house that depends on fast-unrestricted internet access. Despite living just 6 miles from Napa, a city of 80,000, my area has extremely limited access choices. For years, I had no access other than satellite providers with their large latency and severe data caps that made it extremely difficult to operate my business. Finally, in 2012 I was able to access a relay tower on a mountain 4 miles away after investing thousands of dollars to install a microwave relay 400 feet up the hill behind my house. The best service plan available is substandard but adequate at 10 Mbps down and 1.5 Mbps up. I have no other adequate provider choices and I am at the mercy of a single internet provider for access. For my livelihood it is imperative that the FCC continue to regulate ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 both for assuring net neutrality and effective oversight of today's communication highway.
1620. Lucina Strandjord, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Net neutrality is essential to allow freedom of speech on the internet. Without the ability for every website to have equal opportunity to be accessed, the right to express one's mind and opinion is no longer extended onto the internet, which is a crucial part of modern society.
1621. Stefani Hedin Lawin, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Please do NOT overturn net neutrality, the internet should be treated as a public utility.
1622. Dennis Mays, San Pablo, CA, 94806  
Keeping the internet open for everyone is crucial. Not only does it promote innovation, but it allows people to access information that can change their lives. I also gives a voice to those who might not be heard otherwise.
1623. Beverly Aabjerg, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
The "almost-a-monopoly already" utility companies have enough power as it is. They should not have even more by adding this feature to their corporate money making tool kit.
1624. Andrew Foster, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
To fulfill the full promise of the internet and what freedom of and access to information can be, we need net neutrality. This access is a public good and, honestly, should be treated as a right.
1625. Norris Cabel, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
We like the internet how it is right now.
1626. Asher Gregg, Rohnert park, CA, 94928  
I wish for net neutrality to stay in action due to the fact that time and time again ISPs have shown their obvious hunger for profit and how this will cause a divide between the good services and the rich ones. This will also affect small businesses because they probably won't be able to afford front page search results and be smothered by the big businesses. Isn't that against the primary view of capitalism? Equal opportunities to get filthy rich and become your own business?
1627. Robin Alquist, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
A neutral internet is an important asset to our country and our infrastructure. Net neutrality promotes competition, open discussion, and grants a springboard for positive change. Please, do not hamstring the greatest open forum we have available to us so that a select few can turn a profit.
1628. Ariana Siva, Middletown, CA, 95461  
Keep Net Neutrality! Facebook and Skype has helped me connect with distant relatives and friends! I buy internet access so I

send and receive emails for business and personal use. The FCC, which is tasked by law to oversee communications networks, should have a role in overseeing access to the most important network of our lifetimes. This role includes ensuring that consumers are protected from, among other things, invasions of their privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by their broadband providers. If the FCC is left without authority over broadband ISPs, a company could double its prices overnight, and there wouldn't be anything the FCC or any other agency could do about it. ISPs should be subject to oversight by an expert agency! Thank you for reading.

1629. Open Internet, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Please keep the internet open and free. No website throttling! All pages are created and treated equal.
1630. Paul Durbin, Napa, CA, 94559  
I feel that Net Neutrality is, for us now, what freedom of speech was to our founding fathers. Don't let commercial interests and corporate profits take precedence over the public interest in this vital area. We need your protection for our country to go "full speed ahead"!
1631. Sebastian Bissiri, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Dear representative entities of my friends and I, Please, for the love of God, protect net neutrality! If the internet were to end up like TV, SO much access to enrichment, entertainment, education, and even just activities/bonding with friends for myself and everybody I know would become limited and monopolized by cable companies. Please, please, please protect net neutrality. Thanks, Sebastian Bissiri Sebastian Bissiri
1632. Cristian Rogelio Emmanuel Tobar Badilla, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I believe that the way the internet is and has been, that there is no need to continue on... We have to be able to not change things.
1633. Andre Hermstad, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. Thank you!
1634. Robert Cattolica, Napa, CA, 94558  
Net neutrality is vital to a thriving internet economy. Without it, the internet in the US will stagnate due to monopolization.
1635. Mark Papina, Saint Helena, CA, 94574  
Ask who will benefit from rolling back Net Neutrality rules. Then ask who will this hurt. Doing so will hurt a vast majority of users and businesses alike and benefit only a few who would do anything to make a profit. Serve the people and curb the threats to our freedoms. Strengthen Net Neutrality. Do not weaken it for greed and overbearing control. Thank you.
1636. Ashiq Khan, Napa, CA, 94558  
I am in opposition to the FCC plan to change existing regulation to lessen oversight of the internet. I am in support of net neutrality and believe that users should not be guided toward choices that have an unfair advantage of exposure based on favoritism and/or access through content portals which are not available to each and every entity. Individual consumers are already left at a less advantageous competitive situation with regards to availability to trading on the commodities market. Another arena, the internet, should be left as a fair playing field for everyone. Please preserve net neutrality. Thank you.
1637. Brian Payne, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
As an employee of a software-as-a-service company that depends on consistent and available operation of internet access and services, I have personally experienced the harm that the absence of net neutrality rules can do in the marketplace. In 2014 and 2015, conflicts between major internet service providers and carriers resulted severe service interruptions, degradations and outages that wreaked havoc on our customers. Enforcement of net neutrality helped stabilize service availability for the burgeoning economies supported by internet services. Removal of those rules will once again impose unfair and destructive burdens on small to medium enterprises by allowing larger corporations and carriers to selectively discriminate in how network activity is handled. As the tit-for-tat censoring, routing delays and bandwidth throttling ramps up between carriers, the broader internet driven economy will suffer. Let's not go there. Keep net neutrality in place.
1638. E. Robertson, Sonoma, CA, 95476

Nowadays, it's not possible to live a modern life without accessing the Internet. Between kids needing it for homework or other school purposes, for its ability to connect us instantaneously with relatives and friends, and for things like job and housing searches, it has become a requirement to have regular access to it. I don't believe that internet "fast lanes" or prioritizing paid content over unpaid is a good idea. Please treat internet service as the utility it has turned into - and defend net neutrality!

1639. Zakary Morgan, Napa, CA, 94559  
I am usually not one to voice opinions on issues, but in light of the reality of what not having net neutrality would mean I feel compelled to. The internet is an amazing place with lots of opportunities. These opportunities would not be equal for everyone though if there was no net neutrality. We do not allow corporations to monopolize industries so why would we let them do essentially that to the internet. Everyone should compete on an equal playing field, so I implore you to keep net neutrality in place.
1640. Ryan Lucas, Santa rosa, CA, 95401  
you have no right to control the internet, its against our freedom
1641. Neil McFarland, Napa, CA, 94558  
Chairman Pai, You should be ashamed of your blatant kowtowing to your corporate overlords. As a representative of the government of the United States of America, you have a responsibility to serve the CITIZENS of this country. It is your duty to do what is best for us, not a few corporations. I urge you to do what you know is right, and end this attack on the Internet. Restore Net Neutrality now!
1642. Manuel Angel, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Please keep the internet neutral, the last thing we need is a monopolization of the best tool we have been blessed with.
1643. Elizabeth Montgomery, Hidden Valley Lake, CA, 95467  
I am writing to urge you not to change the rules governing the internet or the way they are enforced in any way that reduces its neutrality. I hope that there will not be slow and fast lanes created, but that free, equal, open access to the internet will be maintained. Thank you.
1644. Robert Dennett, rohnert park, CA, 94928  
I believe that the internet needs to be open and free. I use the internet school, work and fun. I buy internet access so that I can keep up with friends, pay bills, watch TV shows and movies and keep up with the news. There are three options for internet in my area, but only one that offers any kind of speed and it is the most expensive already. If they were to up their costs I would have to go to another company and would likely have to take time off work to have the new equipment installed. I would also have to buy new equipment as the equipment I have would not work with the other internet companies. I would like to see net neutrality stay in place so that smaller players like my company can stay in business and compete with the large companies.
1645. Zelia Harger, santa rosa, CA, 95401  
please don't take away the open internet, through which I've made so many friends and discoveries. This could destroy my dream career of being an independent artist as the internet is vital to the livelihood of so many artists. Please keep the internet equal and free! thank you.
1646. kevin johnson, santa rosa, CA, 95403  
Do not allow big corporations to control what I get. I want a free and open internet. Repealing title 2 would be a big mistake. Dont do it. The internet should not be controlled by money.
1647. Joseph Henderson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
The abolishing of Net Neutrality is a needless act that hurts both business competition and consumers.
1648. Joseph Henderson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
The abolishing of Net Neutrality hurts both businesses in that it makes the market less competitive, and hurts consumers in that it makes the internet less free.
1649. Jean Ferretti, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I am writing in support of Net Neutrality. Information must be accessible in order for our nation to remain the beacon of democracy we have striven to be for 241 years. As our society becomes more technologically advanced, we are also more dependent on technology - this should not be exploited for the benefit of a few. Please, for the love of our great nation, keep the Net Neutral. Thank you.
1650. Steven Bertolucci, Napa, CA, 94559  
I don't have much time right now, so I'll keep this short. The internet is one of the most useful and valuable services on the planet. Ending Net Neutrality would be the same as putting a tax on clean water. Steven Bertolucci
1651. Michael Eiden, Hercules, CA, 94547  
The internet is for everyone yo
1652. Daniel Warren, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401

I would like to see the FCC continue to regulate the Internet Service Providers (such as Comcast) using the full power of Title 2. ISPs need strong regulations to prevent them from abusing customers, especially in areas where an ISP commands an effective monopoly.

1653. Joshua Michael Turrell-Langland, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I support Net Neutrality and wish for you to keep the net neutral at all costs.
1654. Noah Tarr, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I am a 19 year old Sophmore in college studying to be a computer engineer. I have used the internet throughout my entire life to learn, to study, to make friends, and countless other things. I would not be where I am today without the internet. Don't take the freedom the internet gives us away. Don't take my ability to learn and grow as a person away.
1655. Michelle Walters, Napa, CA, 94558  
I support Net Neutrality and the regulation of ISP companies as utilities by the FCC.
1656. Stephanie Toves, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
The internet allows for true freedom. The freedom of speech, creativity and the freedom to choose. This is a nation built on freedom, please do not allow freedom to have a cost and allow ISPs to dictate how much our freedom costs as well as dictate what is worthy of our eyes and minds. Thank you for taking time to read this brief message. Stephanie Toves
1657. jon david, santa rosa, CA, 95405  
Hello my name is Jon David. i am taking the time to write this comment on open and free internet. Net neutrality is extremely important to me. without neutrality the internet would no longer be the internet it would be a paid advertisement service and one i would not pay for. the internet is a tool that connects us all and there by allowing for more communication, growth, and education, some of the most important resources in our lives. connecting us in freedom. I feel it is the FCC's responsibility to protect that freedom, to keep the market fair and open. Thank you.
1658. Jagdip Singh, Hercules, CA, 94547  
Staying connected to the world via internet is important for various reasons. My father checks his medical data over the web every hour or so. My mother is paid weekly for her nursing duties via online direct deposit. I use the internet to study, to enroll in local programs, to sign up as a volunteer and many, many other reasons. An open internet for all is beneficial to users, companies, & the government.
1659. Isaac Moeller, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
I want everyone to have a fair chance on the internet. I don't want to make room for a monopoly, especially in such a vital resource in the modern day as the internet. The companies that are against net neutrality laws are not very reputable in my eyes, or by reviews by their own customers.
1660. Mike Kattaria, vallejo, CA, 94589  
I wish to keep net neutrality rules in place. They keep the internet safe from big companies.
1661. joe caswell, santa rosa, CA, 95403  
I support net Neutrality and Title 2, I do not want to see the Internet destroyed by changing it to title 1 or removing net neutrality. I dropped all of my business with AT&T because of their stance on this issue.
1662. Daniel R. Ancheta, Pinole, CA, 94564  
I absolutely support net neutrality. The internet should not be so tightly controlled that it benefits a select few and creates disadvantages for the majority.
1663. Andy Mayer, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Pai is clearly a shill for the telecom industry and does not care about consumers or their privacy. The net neutrality legislation was a true win for the consumer and it sickens me to think this clown and his cronies can undo it. Andy Mayer
1664. Dominic, Pinole, CA, 94564  
The internet shouldn't be a blockade for small organizations, it should be a pathway for everything and everyone.
1665. Bill Mills, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
We must keep the Internet open and free from being controlled by the large companies. Please support Net Neutrality.
1666. neutrality, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Net neutrality is extremely important. Removing net neutrality will kill the protections that guard internet users' freedom. Removing net neutrality is a bad idea, a scam from the ISP's to increase their ability to engage in corruption, and I stand against it.
1667. Dan McCann, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Ending net neutrality will only benefit the corporate interests of ISPs who have enough money and power as it is. They have no right to profit at the expense of our freedom of speech and communication. The people have spoken time and time again and we will not quit. We do not want to be robbed or charged for essential utilities that improve our quality of life and our

nation as a whole. Put an end to this corruption and save net neutrality once and for all.

1668. Kai Von Arx, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Net Neutrality has allowed me to connect with friends from all over the world via many instant messagers such as Discord, Skype, and AIM over the years, and with this neutrality is has allowed for me and my friends to create and share art that we create together. To repeal these neutrality laws would only restrict the personal freedoms and liberties of the american citizen, as well as block us off from the rest of the world.
1669. Brian Aston, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
The current FCC rules allow consumers to determine what apps and websites are best on the web. Lifting these rules gives Internet Service Providers too much liberty to deny consumers access to content that Internet Service Provider's deem in conflict with their own interests.
1670. Kyle Adona, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
I don't want companies to influence my searches!
1671. Allan Salazar, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I like my internet the way it is right now. Do not restrict or de regulate anything. Also the new chairman or whatever of the FCC is a piece of shit and so is dip 45
1672. Molly Longson, Cotati, CA, 94931  
Net Neutrality is extremely important. We all need access to what the internet provides in an uncensored or paid for way. I rely on the internet to do research related to my Master's degree and if some institutions could pay for their information to get priority, it could undermine some very valuable information or promote shoddy research. Leave it neutral, it works.
1673. JOSHUA JACKSON, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95401  
Please keep the internet a neutral space. It is too powerful a tool to be undermined by corporatocracy. Please protect the people's access to all the world's knowledge.
1674. Net Neutrality, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Theres a reason this bill has not worked in the past
1675. Tyler, Napa, CA, 94599  
Keep net neutrality and respect our rights!
1676. susan stewart, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Net Neutrality is critical to our democracy. I access the internet for so much - for news that mesh with my views and news in opposition to my views so that I can widen my understanding of the world I live in, for information about products and services so that I can make good choices based on the information presented, for purchasing products and medications, for accessing my medical records and communicating with my doctor, for communication both personal and professional (via gmail and facebook), for archiving (via dropbox), etc., etc. Being able to have high quality, fast connections to the internet is very important to many aspects of my life.What I don't want is to have someone external to me making decisions about what I can and cannot access easily through the internet. For example, I don't want an ISP provider to slow down connections to content I want to the point that I give up and access whatever product or service the ISP is rewarding with fast connections. I don't want back lot deals with those who have the most money having the power to sculpt my online experience. I also don't want ISPs to sell me a bunch of ancillary services. For example, I have a Comcast account that provides an email address (that I don't use), television access (which I don't use), and probably more services that are not at the core service of being an ISP. I would like to be able to purchase internet access without all of the other services that up my monthly payment. It is important to me that the FCC, a neutral and expert party, have oversight over ISPs so that consumers are not taken advantage of. I urge you to uphold Net Neutrality.
1677. Jared Emerson-Johnson, Pennngrove, CA, 94951  
Please, please, please keep the Title II rules in place! The neutrality of the internet is vital. If you don't understand why, please, listen to those who do. This matters. It's the biggest safeguard against mega-corps taking over our last recourse for un-capitalized information.
1678. Amy Torello, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
As a very small scale entrepreneur who runs a large part of my business online, this law would wipe me out. This is 100% unfair so that the big guys can have even More! A think a diverse economy made up of all sizes of business is much more dynamic and stable than one controlled by the few. I implore you to consider us small guys, who you ought be protecting.Thank youAmy TorelloAmy Torello
1679. Kimberly Mullen, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Please keep Net Neutrality. Net Neutrality is important to me as: - a small business entrepreneur, who cannot compete with large companies that can pay for faster carriage.- an individual, who buys a package of services from an ISP provider, including email, cloud storage, and other proprietary services.- there are only two ISP providers in my area, which doesn't allow for me to shop around, in cases of discrimination.- I will incur termination fees to switch ISP providersThank you for

your consideration.

1680. Rick Lund, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Please preserve the FCC's Open Internet Rules, and the associated principle of net neutrality. I'm really not going to rehash the reasons why, as they are obvious. Please look out for average people and consumers by treating the internet as a fundamental resource, which it is. Rick Lund
1681. J, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Seriously, we gotta do this dance every few years? Why do you people find it so difficult to not ruin the internet?
1682. David McCahon, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
This is disgusting that you think it would be okay to block sites and allow throttling. This is completely unacceptable in the year 2017 and this would destroy small companies and startups. Have you officially gone off your rockers? Take a step back and think about how stupid you are for even considering this as being a possible choice! KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!!!!
1683. Aaron Banks, American Canyon, CA, 94503  
I really wish I wasn't having to do this.
1684. Vince, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I'm in strong favor of Net Neutrality. all websites should maintain the ability to have equal speed and i would not like my internet choices to consist of which sites are slower or faster. please do not hinder the neutrality.
1685. Seamus Finagen Kelly, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Net Neutrality is necessary for the internet to be a free enterprise of the people, and to help protect people from from corporations trying to exploit them for profit. Selling their information to people who want to stay private, like me. Please keep Net Neutrality for the sakes of us all, we want to keep a free and safe internet.
1686. Margaret Spaulding, Glen Ellen, CA, 95442  
Net neutrality constitutes an increasingly important element of our democracy. Margaret Spaulding
1687. dylan biggs, Hercules, CA, 94547  
I am against any bill that allows ISPs to throttle service. I purchase many items and almost exclusively use the internet for all of my television and entertainment. I will not support companies that fight against keeping the net neutral and available to all.
1688. Kevin Cozart, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Please keep our internet from being completely corrupted by greed like the rest of our country.
1689. Shione Strasbaugh, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Formal Version: The internet is a resource for all paying consumers, and as such should be available in its entirety. We are paying for a connection to what is already available and hosted out there, and there is already a form of throttling through the different bandwidth packages that we purchase, to pick and choose content is not the duty of the service provider unless the content they do select is directly owned by them. As such, doesn't the internet fall into a category of freedom of speech and the press? Providers shouldn't be able to suppress views expressed by others when the medium involved tends to be mutually exclusive. As it stands, you have to dedicate to one of the services available and stick to it, between the contract and installation fees it provides a significant barrier to change providers. Informal: C'mon guys, why is this being debated again? Are you guys so out of the loop and corrupt that you're not seeing that this is a terrible idea? I believe that the people have expressed multiple times that they want an Internet that they are free to peruse and not have to worry about these stupid political cash grabs. I use ad-block technology on my web browser for a reason. I don't like having things I don't care about shoved in my face, I don't want these ads obscuring my web content and I most certainly don't want a slower access just because someone decided that this something that should be swept under the rug. I want an Internet without throttling. I want an Internet that doesn't try to sell me things I didn't actively seek. I want an Internet that is neutral and free.
1690. Ashley Hereid, rohnert park, CA, 94928  
The internet needs to be available to all, with no trolling by government officials. the internet as it is currently is perfect and should not be changed.
1691. Nicholas Lenchner, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Do you like the internet? Great, we do too. A lot. Unfortunately, some in DC want to fundamentally change the internet for the worse. Internet service providers "or" ISPs "say they support the "principles" of net neutrality, but well...In reality, your ISP wants to roll back the current net neutrality rules and gain the ability to control your experience online. Specifically they could prioritize websites and apps they own over the rest of the web. Gain the power to block website, or even slow down your connection to content they don't like. IA, our member companies, and the rest of the internet community have been carefully watching what's happening in Washington and we need you to speak out in support of net neutrality.
1692. Nathan, Benicia, CA, 94510  
hello this what i think about the net neutrality and how it be a bad thing if this all comes to pass. lets say that i have comcast as my isp and i love streaming Netflix, hulu and or crunchyroll and they say or do something that put comcast in a bad light they

could block or just make the bandwidth for those site be really bad to the point you can really use the site anymore and the wrong on so many levels. so that why we need to keep the internet open and free so everyone can enjoy it and not just a select few.

1693. Timothy Neal, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Don't let big businesses and ISP decided what we have access to and what we can see.
1694. Jay Maudlin, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
The internet is not a private-resource, but one that everyone should have access to, in whatever varying degree they choose. Corporations and other entities have no right to control and alter the activity of anyone unless agreed to specifically within their own domain, not on the internet as a whole.
1695. Mikel Leggitt, Hidden Valley Lake, CA, 95467  
I just want fast internet
1696. Nichelaus D Major, Napa, CA, 94558  
I disapprove of the dismantlement of net neutrality
1697. Charlotte Frisina, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
I support the free and open internet.
1698. Matthew Lane, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
It would be counter intuitive to allow the greater network infrastructure of the United States to be decimated for by-dollar favoritism. Regulation is necessary for neutrality to continue, and allowing corporations to bypass neutrality will only allow the grip that corporate America has on so many facets of every day life to further tighten.
1699. Raymond Rinehart, vallejo, CA, 94590  
Ajit Pai please let it be known I support Strong Net Neutrality backed by title II over site of ISPs. Please do not change how the internet is classified. The internet needs to remain equal for all.
1700. Keiana Goon, American Canyon, CA, 94503  
We should control which websites we visit and they should not be blocked by ISPs. There is only one internet and what you want to change with it would totally ruin it. Discord, the app that brought me here claimed that if you were to enact this rule, it would not exist. Some escapes for people could be the internet and taking that away from them would probably change their lives for the worse.
1701. Ashley Kinder, American Canyon, CA, 94503  
I urge you to protect the Open Internet Rules. I do not want ISPs to have the authority to block websites, slow websites, or give advantages to companies or websites that pay extra. Censorship has no place on the internet. The throttling of chosen companies hurts private and small businesses tremendously. If large businesses and corporations can pay for "fast lane" services, small businesses who cannot afford to pay the fee will suffer from the lack of ability to compete. This will destroy the open market and the millions of small businesses who rely on it. Furthermore, it will suppress political speech, which will make it difficult for bloggers and more to have their voices heard. I am worried that Chairman Pai will ignore millions of Americans pleas to suit his bias. Please denounce these plans, thank you!
1702. Christian Pereira, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
ISPs should not be allowed to change a customer's internet experience based on their biases. Keep the internet free.
1703. Colin Ramsey, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
With big business' fingers in as many pots as it can get a hold of. Let's keep theirs out of this one for a change. I want complete access to all content forever and not to have some ISP determine what I've paid to access. The content is not theirs, it is ours.
1704. Matthew Wilbur, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I urge the Commissioners to retain the current utility-style regulations as regards Internet Service Providers. Allowing ISPs to assert any kind of control over the speed, quality or availability of content over the Internet would damage the current innovations in content available to the user. ISPs are not in business for their customers, they are in business solely to make money. Allowing these companies, who have at best duopolies in most markets, to have any more control over what goes over the Internet at what speeds would be at least incompetent and at most criminal. It is the mandate of the FCC to keep communication for American citizens free of interference. Giving any more power to greedy ISPs would go against that mandate and would only harm the American citizen.
1705. Matthew Danyeur, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I'm a consultant who works at a business software company and who telecommutes most days, along with many of my colleagues. The internet is absolutely vital for the company's daily operations and its capability for global outreach. Furthermore, the company competes with both smaller startups and larger corporations who have bought out legacy brands. The free internet is intertwined with the free market, allowing businesses and service providers of all sizes to promote

themselves to consumers. If we lose net neutrality, we lose competition and the drive for innovation.

1706. Mary Vedovi, Hidden Valley Lake, CA, 95467  
I stand for Net Neutrality. Please protect our internet for all people. Thank you for your consideration.
1707. Bonnie Hogue, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Dear FCCThe "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal would actually RESTRICT internet access to millions of people.This would impede the ability of people to:Use the internet to Search for and secure (make applications for) employmentUse the internet to Perform research for educational purposesUse the internet to Explore a multitude of topics for personal interestUse the internet for Entertainment purposesAccording to the Pew Research Center, internet use in America rose from 52% of households in 2000 to 83% of households in 2015. That's still 14% of households without access. These are usually poor, rural, areas. In other words, areas that may have fewer libraries or less than adequate public schools.NET NEUTRALITY helps ensure the spread of EQUAL ACCESS of internet products among the people who often need them most for the above reasons, but CANNOT AFFORD INCREASES IN COST for any utility services.And the internet is, in this age, A UTILITY. If anything, the internet should be MORE ACCESSIBLE for communities, rather than treated as an opportunity for a FEW COMPANIES TO INCREASE COSTS. This would have the effect of shrinking access and curbing competition.Internet access -- access to information, education, and news -- is vital to the healthy, continued development of our democracy. We should be doing all we can to ensure provision of high-speed, high-quality internet access.The deceptively titled "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal DOES NOT ENSURE ACCESS to the internet for ALL AMERICANS.Countries that RESTRICT the internet are places like China, Russia, Syria, Iran & Iraq. America does not belong on this list.I urge the FCC to KEEP THE INTERNET FREE. Ensure "NET NEUTRALITY" -- it's the right thing for Americans! Thank you for your consideration.Bonnie Hogue
1708. Lynn Wurzel, napa, CA, 94558  
I do not want ISPs to control what I watch.
1709. Allison Loughner, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
To the FCC,I am an aspiring online entrepreneur who makes heavy use of online services and social media to promote, support, and operate my business.I make use of such sites and services as Etsy, Tumblr, Instagram, Gmail, Skype, and cloud storage.Open and equal access to all that the internet provides is vital not only to my livelihood, but to my social connections, continuing education, and the enrichment of my life.Please consider the immense impact that limiting internet accessibility would have on small businesses and individuals who rely heavily on open and equal access to the internet.Please, retain Net Neutrality.- A. L.
1710. Christine Mayall, benicia, CA, 94510  
keep strong net neutrality rules and title II
1711. Patrick Joseph Finnigan, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
I firmly believe that the Internet is a service outside the realm of usual commercialization and is a medium for free expression and unbiased service to all users.
1712. Chad, napa, CA, 94558  
The internet is a right!
1713. Christopher Rodriguez, Martinez, CA, 94553  
I support keeping the internet OPEN and free from the influence and restrictions of any ISP or government agency. Freedom of information and the access to said information is vital to our democracy. Restricting and controlling content is tantamount to censorship and I feel violates many of our First Amendment rights.Thanks for your time.Chris
1714. Harrison Davis, vallejo, CA, 94590  
PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY AND FREEDOM OF INFORMATION. Remove net neutrality and regulate information = dictatorship. THIS IS AMERICA
1715. Brian Junio, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
I believe in a free and open internet. ISPs should not be able to dictate what the people can and cant access, give prioritization over certain websites, and throttle websites that they do not deem worthy. My entire livelihood depends on a free and open internet. If this proceeding passes, it will greatly hinder my ability to contribute to our country's economy. This proceeding must end now.
1716. Jonathan gonzalez, American Canyon, CA, 94503  
Jonathan gonzalez
1717. Mr Gill and family, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Please do not change the Net Neutrality laws, thankyou.
1718. James Nicklas, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
The internet needs to be a fair and equal place for all.

1719. Kyle Herrick, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
The idea of repealing current net neutrality rules is entirely silly to me and most people that use and provide content on the internet. It's an obvious ploy to force people to pay more for a service that they deserve way more of. We already have to deal with companies like Comcast giving terrible service as well as customer service and we have no alternatives. And the same companies want to begin throttling and blocking us from sites they deem unnecessary. And in reality, no web site should be unavailable. Repealing these rules are entirely unacceptable and will be fought tooth and nail until it has been put back in to place. Right now is NOT the time to repeal these rules. Wait until there is more competition at least. Otherwise be prepared for the public outcry. We do not support Chairman Pai's beliefs. He is not representative of anyone that actually cares about the health of the internet. Do not allow this to happen.
1720. Net Neutrality, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Net neutrality is important in order to further the largest spike in innovation in known history, sparked by the internet. Without it the internet will turn into a monopolized system, depended upon by many, to profit a minority. If you want to actually represent the people and now Comcast, Time Warner, or ATT, you will not support this.
1721. Erin Blair, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I support keeping the current Net Neutrality rules as it under Title 2. I want a free and open Internet for people to express their feelings, thoughts, ideas, entrepreneurship, curating innovation, and creativity. Without the current rules, the very idea of slow and fast lanes divides people and pegs them into different income categories. It doesn't promote or encourage the creativity and the innovation that companies (Google, for example) want for its employees. I hereby support Net Neutrality. Keep the Open Internet.
1722. Travis Skaggs, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
No tax plz
1723. James Gonzalez, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Utility or not, allowing ISP's to attempt to self police given the depth of conflict of interests is ridiculous. Any lawmaker or regulator that allows, directly, or indirectly through reclassification, ISP's to self regulate this aspect of their service either doesn't understand the topic or is benefiting from it. DO NOT ALLOW THIS.
1724. Diana Ruiz, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Eliminating net neutrality threatens the free market, speech, and pursuit of knowledge key to our nation's ideals. Limiting access and allowing corporations to self-regulate will harm the most vulnerable communities and limit our opportunities and freedoms in most sectors. Please consider how dangerous the loss of net neutrality will be for our society.
1725. Brandon Boldt, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
this is the most idiotic idea you could have ever come up with. this can only lead to problems and no positives.
1726. Chad Mitchell, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Please do not bow to the large ISPs. Please think about the people of the nation. People without the means to afford tiered access to the internet. Keep it open and free!
1727. James Knutsen, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Please preserve net neutrality. The internet has become an essential utility for participating in society, economy, and citizenship. Keep ALL information flowing freely, and keep choices in the hands of citizens and consumers, not ISPs and other corporations. Do the right thing! James Knutsen, Santa Rosa CA James Knutsen
1728. Freedom, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
The internet is the last frontier of communication. Do not take this away from us.
1729. Jaeden Stump, Middletown, CA, 95461  
Net Neutrality is VITAL. Communication abuse should not continue, the last thing America needs is an even worse rep. All data should be treated evenly, no amount of money should be able to censor what I and my family pay for. The whole interconnected web, what we have now should remain and should not be tampered with.
1730. Silvia Costales, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Please keep the internet free and neutral. Our founding fathers would agree: it is essential to our democracy--to liberty and justice for all. Please ensure that commercial interests will not be allowed to shape and skew the availability of information to people everywhere. That would be destructive and costly to the general welfare. It is NOT what the people want. Our government should be for the people.
1731. Donald Patz, Napa, CA, 94558  
I strongly believe in Net Neutrality. Donald Patz
1732. Adam Chaparro, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Shouldn't need to pay to see content, We aren't China
1733. Phillip Alexander Lopez, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407

I am against any throttling, blocking of access for any reason, and pay for privileged access for individuals. It should be free for all access. I as an individual should be able to access any website I choose, without any corporation deciding (that includes governments and its entities that are lobbied by any corporation) from applying excess charge, reducing service or preventing access.

1734. Jonathan McInay-Moe, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Protecting net neutrality is incredibly important to ensure a bright future for the internet. Please don't get rid of net neutrality. If ISPs are allowed to filter content as they wish, it opens the door for censorship and will endanger one's ability to openly exchange ideas across the internet. The internet has become a fantastic resource for everyone. Help ensure it stays that way by protecting net neutrality.
1735. Erik Ohlson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Please do not roll back these protections and keep ISPs and net neutrality working as they have been. Nothing is broken so there is no need to fix it.
1736. Jarrod Frazier, Napa, CA, 94558  
Please continue to protect the free, open internet that so many millions of Americans have depended on and enjoyed for the past two decades. If Internet Service Providers are allowed to dictate what websites and services are "best" for the American people, then the FCC will be effectively denying us our rights to freedoms of speech, and severely limit our ability to voice protest against unfair and unlawful actions by large corporate and government entities alike. Please, continue to protect and uphold the rights of the American people, NOT the major corporations responsible for our internet access. Our American democracy has been bolstered by the expansion of the internet to the masses - do not take away such an important resource from the citizens of the United States.
1737. Kenny Bilecki, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
I strongly support strong Net Neutrality. That is why I believe Net Neutrality should stay protected under the conditions of title two.
1738. Kirsten Eckert, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Keep internet traffic neutral and free, do not change anything that has been set up, it is working great for consumers and constituents.
1739. Net Neutrality, Rio Vista, CA, 94559  
Save The Open Internet! Stop being greedy!
1740. Michael Dair, Pinole, CA, 94564  
The internet's future should not be monopolized by 3 large telcos.
1741. Douglas Berringer, Santa rosa, CA, 95405  
I stand fully behind the principals of a free and open internet. The internet has become a utility to the citizens of the United States and the world. Its unobstructed access should be guaranteed. If the land of the free can not protect the freedom of the internet who can? I urge you, please don't remove the protections guaranteed by net neutrality. Our future generations will lose so much if we can't maintain this freedom.
1742. Net Neutrality, San Jose, CA, 97112  
I support Net Neutrality and the free and open Internet. Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.
1743. Christel Rene, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Net Neutrality is very important so that individuals and small start ups can share their content, and be easily found in a search, with quick response times. One of the best things about our country is the power individuals have to change their lives and help others by starting internet businesses or helpful sites. We need to maintain net neutrality to make sure everyone has the same chance to reach their target audience via the internet.
1744. Cuong, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
The use of the internet should not be monopolized. We do not need companies and governments to tell us what we can and can not use. Getting access to these information should be a basic right to many and by limiting us and making us pay, it is in a way blocking many people's right to learn and to express themselves.
1745. Austin Demmon, Hercules, CA, 94547  
I would not have access to the real estate program that I am a part of, and I also connect with my friends on Skype and I buy food from small businesses that I regularly use the internet to find. Please support net neutrality.
1746. Forest, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Why should we fuck over 90% of the population so some faceless corporations can gain money? Is this what capitalism is all about?
1747. Ryan Jordan, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Literally everyone except ISP's support Net Neutrality.

1748. J. Spencer Morgan, Martinez, CA, 94553  
I am writing to oppose the FCC's proposal to remove ISPs from Title II regulation. When I buy internet service, I buy access to the entire Internet. It is critical that all sites have a level playing field, and not be held hostage by ISPs demanding additional payment for favored treatment. I depend on video conferencing services such as google hangouts and skype. If ISPs are allowed to discriminate, my preferred service may become artificially more expensive as ISPs try to force me to use services they provide. The argument that I can switch ISPs if I don't like this doesn't hold water. There is precisely one ISP servicing my neighborhood at anything resembling high speeds. Thank you for your consideration.
1749. Sajan Singh, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Net neutrality is important and please protect it. It's not fair to be bullied by big companies and rip us off more and more.  
Sajan Singh
1750. Greg Kurlinski, Pinole, CA, 94564  
simple : Keep Net neutrality
1751. Nicolas Vensetea, Napa, CA, 94558  
I am a college student. This means that the internet, as a fast and reliable source of information, is extremely important to me. I have access to any relevant information I need at the click of a button. Even if I am not using this service for school, I want to be able to watch whatever I want, whenever I want, however I want. I shouldn't have to pay a premium for high speed internet.
1752. Sebastian Knuttel, Napa, CA, 94558  
America already has enough problems to just keep giving in to more. Take a stand and stop the corruption from spreading further. DO NOT let this nonsense pass
1753. Ricky Hawkyard, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I vehemently oppose any and all measures to deregulate ISPs in any manner pertaining to net neutrality. My voice echoes that of nearly everyone in my family and community, and we will not stand to be pleased should these measures make it through.
1754. Matthew Hammond, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I would just like to hereby state that I am completely against Net Neutrality rules. Our freedom to an open and free internet is not worth losing, just for more profit in some big corporations pocket. Thank you.
1755. Martine Miller E, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
KEEP THE INTERNET OPEN!!! EQUALITY FOR ALL!!! KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!!!!!!
1756. Nancy Proctor, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I support net neutrality. I support internet freedom.
1757. Joan Finkle, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Please maintain Net Neutrality. It is vital to growth and development of web based technologies. The health and survival of start up tech enterprises, and freedom of information. Please do not destroy these cornerstones of the web in the United States.
1758. Sean Ricardo Noble, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
What the hell are you thinking? Keep Net Neutrality.
1759. Matt Ross, Lakeport, CA, 95453  
Corporate interests raping public freedom masquerading as a subtle snip
1760. Mary Murray Shelton, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Please protect our freedom! Keep the internet neutral and available to all.
1761. Marco Ruiz, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
As a member of a startup business that serves as a staple income for my home and family I literally can't afford needless regulatory action that will create an even larger barrier to entry when it comes to introducing my product. As it stands now, the area I live in is already under a pseudo monopoly with only a single provider (comcast Xfinity) who provides a speed fast enough for both my personal and business needs. If companies are allowed to regulate access and speeds based on who is lobbying to them, where does this leave someone like me? What reason is this fair outside of the desire to monopolize wealth? Regulation of the market to ensure no TRUE monopoly ever occur is absolutely necessary, not to mention fairness in pricing in areas such as mine where there is essentially only a single choice. However there should NEVER be regulation that dictates speed and accessibility determined by nothing more than who is paying the most to the ISP. I think we all see how well that has already worked with many government regulatory offices, and in case it is missed.. yes.. that was sarcasm.
1762. Carla Wiking, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
As a writer, blogger, and lover of the indie internet I urge the FCC to support and enforce net neutrality. A pay to play model is un-just and un-American. Americans deserve a free and open internet that does not give priority to those who can afford it. The beauty of the internet is it's ability to be an equalizer, don't take away what makes it great.

1763. Allen Nguyen, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
WE WANT FREEDOMThe Power With In... Yea
1764. Jace Filippetti, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Look you guys. Keep net neutrality!!! I shouldn't have to pay in order to talk with my friends online and have a good time! You big internet companies already get enough money, you don't want to lose probably a quarter of your customer base do you!? Yes, I play online video games, but its a part of my life. I've grown up with it, just like everyone else has grown up with the idea that they can pay a flat rate of any price to gain access to everything on the internet. I hope you guys realize that people will end of just paying for the cheapest one and then using a VPN to gain access, so you guys are the ones losing. Make the right choice. MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY!!!!
1765. Cyrel Tramel, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
The American people make this nation what it is. Not the corporations whom profit of the people but the people themselves. The internet is a service and as with any service we expect to pay something. But we expect to pay for something we want. These corporations that currently provide the internet already make far to much money. a few years ago Time Warner Cable shoed a profit margin of 98%. Really? all that money they could have been spending on infrastructure upgrades to improve service and they keep it as profit. That's just one example of the abuse of their power. Other companies like Comcast have already been in trouble for Throttling internet. So you know if you make it legal that's exactly what they are going to do and it will just allow them to grow more and become more of a monopoly which last time I checked We are against monopolies in The united states. So please Do nto give them the power to control us. Else you will regret it when the people no longer support you.
1766. Kyle, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
The internet should not be controlled. It has become a standing point in peoples lives. Businesses and consumers also depend on the internet. Keeping our so-called 'Freedom' in the United States won't help if the internet gets limited.
1767. Shea T. Harvey, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
The internet has become far more entrenched in the day to day culture of our society than even the telephone in its heyday. To remove policy conditions from title 2 is not only foolish, it displays an incredible lack of understanding both about the internet and the title 2 regulations. Unless the goal of this whole process is to destroy the internet as we know it, there in no rationale to do anything EXCEPT to protect the open internet by shielding it beneath the umbrella of title 2.
1768. Mikayla Wall, Napa, CA, 94558  
A majority of websites that I and my colleagues visit are those that would likely be "selected against" were net neutrality to be removed. A majority of the websites I go to are for either school or gaming (with the addition of YouTube--YouTube is basically my life) and most of the applications I've downloaded on my computer are, again, for either gaming or school. Without net neutrality, public school students and college students (such as myself) will suffer due to the fact that a majority of school websites (Canvas, Piazza, CengageBrain, Gradescope, etc.) are non-profit and will most likely not be able to afford paying internet companies to not slow down browsing on their sites. I'd also like to add that slowing down a browser's speed or intentionally causing lag won't make people use the sites of the companies that bribed the internet providers--it'll just make life more frustrating for everyone who habitually uses other sites, such as Tumblr, YouTube, Discord, Steam, (unnamed porn websites that almost everyone uses), Crunchyroll, Twitch, and dozens of other commonly sites that I don't have time to list. (I don't use all of these, but they were significant examples based on input from my local internet community.) Don't even get me started on how this will affect gamers. According to polygon.com (another site that will likely suffer) 63% of US households have at least one frequent gamer. That's at least 63% of the US population that will suffer if the loss of net neutrality is not in favor of gaming websites and consoles. My little brother's complaints about slow wifi on his Xbox are bad enough; can you even imagine what HELL I'll be going through if he starts screaming about Minecraft rubberbanding all over the place? For those who don't know gamer lingo, "rubberbanding" is a phenomena in which an internet connection in an online game is so MONUMENTALLY BAD that the data being processed on your home device doesn't sync with the internet, causing you to teleport back to the location you were in a few moments prior; this usually happens repeatedly, causing repeated losses/deaths for the poor player suffering from this problem. PlayerUnknown's Battlegrounds is a good example of this; when you're trying to escape gunfire, it doesn't help if you keep teleporting right back into their line of sight instead of being able to get back to safety. Think of it this way--imagine you're in a nightmare where you're trying to run away from something, but you can't escape. You've had one of those before, right? Now imagine that it turns into a swirling vortex trying to suck you in. You try to run forward, but you just keep getting pulled back. That is really the only way I can truly explain to you the monstrosity that is rubberbanding: a result of poor internet connection. Personally, my issue is when the internet is so slow that I can't stream. Now, it's already bad because my mom got conned into paying for only 1 Mb of upload speed, but can you even IMAGINE how bad it'd be if our internet providers got bribed into shitting on the internet speed of sites such as Twitch and YouTube? Do you have any idea how much both the viewers and content creators would suffer? No more cat videos... No more memes... No more keeping your kids distracted so you can take a poop alone for the first time in three days... "MOMMY! MOMMY! WHY ISN'T [insert random video for kids on YouTube] WORKING?!" "Because our internet provider isn't being paid by YouTube, sweetie." "WELL MAKE THEM PAY!!!!!!" "Sweetie, you know I can't do th-" (The girl begins her unholy screeching.) "Oh God, why did they have to remove net neutrality..." "By hindering the use of the internet for the younger generations, you're holding back the advancement of society. It'd be an even worse decision than Nixon's decision to cut Nasa's funding back in ancient times. It wouldn't be an "economic gain." It'd be HELL. For EVERYONE. It'd be like bringing your internet back to the 90's, when it took 30 seconds just to load a news article, or a freaking hour to upload a video to your personal website, that

only your tech savvy relatives knew about. You'd hinder free speech. You'd hold back new technology. You'd censor art. You'd dumb down the population. This generation RUNS on internet. It LIVES internet, BREATHES internet. You hurt the internet? You hurt the future of America. One last thing. You know what else would happen if you remove net neutrality? Scenario: You have 10 minutes before your wife gets home. She HATES anything pornographic, but she just doesn't do it for you anymore, and you NEED this. So you open up pornhub... it takes 30 seconds for the homepage to open. You click the tab that takes you to what kind you're looking for. But it opens a video advertisement instead, which causes your computer to lag out. Another minute wasted. When you finally close it, you browse through the tabs, and choose the one you want. The next page takes another 30 seconds to fully load. From that page, you choose the video you want to watch (nice choice, nobody's judging you) which takes... 5 MINUTES TO BUFFER?! Is the wait worth it? Your wife will be home in 8 minutes, and this video will take 5 minutes to buffer, leaving you with only 3 minutes to finish, clean up, and clear your browsing history... but you haven't had sex in two weeks, and it doesn't look like anything'll be happening any time soon. So you take that risk. The video finally loads. It's hot, and you're hard. Just as you're about to finish..."Honey, did you remember to cancel that doctor's appointment?" Your wife walks in, sees you, dick in hand, with a web page open with a naked woman on it. You desperately try to close it, but as you do, another video advertisement pops up, which you can't close due to the poor internet connection. You were caught red handed. (No pun intended.) Next thing you know, she has full custody of the kids and you're homeless. All in all... I think I've made my point clear.

1769. Chad Strickland, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I implore you to maintain net neutrality. This request is not for the people but the companies that would profit short term from this blunder. Long term effects will be a reduction in small business ability to compete and small businesses create more jobs than the large corporations with constantly downsize to reduce overhead. Fewer employed people equals fewer people that can afford their services. Please keep these greedy corporations in business and maintain net neutrality. --Chad Strickland  
AmericanChad Strickland
1770. Michael Carlson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
Due to the extreme lack of competition within ISPs there is huge potential for abuse if ISPs are not regulated to provide free and open access to the internet. By creating scarcity in the market, ISPs will be able to GOUGE its paid userbase (legally) for content and censor dissident voices, which is by far the least American value I can fathom. The FCC should be more focused on forcing these monopolies to provide better and faster internet services or be sequestered locally by setting goals for the industry and if they fail, allow FREE and OPEN competition (by small businesses) that would provide better services. All lawmakers should be highly skeptical of former lobbyists (Chairman Pai) for monopolies within this industry that seek to make it easier for large corporate greed to exploit their constituents. Although, if your main objective is to create inferior services to the public, you should vote to remove the regulations that keep one of the most important facets of American life together. I am a registered republican and I am ashamed of the behavior at the newly appointed FCC members and their general lack of knowledge toward the magnitude of this issue. My vote for future local and federal elections certainly hinges on this decision.
1771. christopher Daniel, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
The internet is the best as it is please don't take our freedom away from us.
1772. Garrick Ablett, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Yo, you gotta step down and take a c pill dude, scum like you aren't good enough to live in America, or even a third world country. For a hint on c, see apples.
1773. Justin Olson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
The net neutrality rules are extremely important to me. Please protect these rules. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Justin Olson
1774. James Simonds, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I strongly support net neutrality to allow unfettered access to the internet
1775. David Levine, Napa, CA, 94558

We are vehemently against repealing the 2015 Net Neutrality regulations. We only have access to one ISP at our home, and giving that ISP more power to control our internet experience is not only unfair but also unconstitutional. Limiting those without the financial resources to a slower and more constrained service is inequitable. Repealing this act is a step backwards. The internet should be equally available to all and is not something that should be regulated by the highest bidder.

1776. Gerardo M, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Keep Net Neutrality! Its time to stop the big corporations from monopolizing the Internet.
1777. David, Napa, CA, 94558  
Better keep Net neutrality stand up for the people you represent not the companies that want to pay you.
1778. Tiffany Peal, Benicia, CA, 94510  
It has gotten to the point where you can't do anything without access to the Internet--apply for jobs, pay bills, study for tests, etc. When something is that ubiquitous, it is no longer a luxury but a utility. Moreover, it has become the great equalizer for many voices, and it should continue to be so.
1779. Weilun Chao, El Sobrante, CA, 94803  
Internet is a place where I get almost all my information and voice my concerns and opinions (including this one). Removing net neutrality is an attack to my right to free speech. Given the crucial role of internet to Americans' daily lives, categorizing ISP in the telecommunication sector and imposing the associated regulations protects Americans' right to access the internet. Please do not reverse net neutrality.
1780. Kevin Lauck, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I am in full support of net neutrality and do not believe that ISP should be able to hinder access to the internet.
1781. Will Speich, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I'm 21 years old so I've grown up in the internet age. I've done portions of school via the internet, I've learned countless things over the internet, and I've also made mistakes on the internet. The reason the internet is appealing in the first place is its freedom. I understand these companies looking to charge for everything and more aren't in the business of making their consumers happy, but rather that they're in the business of making money. I get that controlling what people are able to use is a million times easier on those who benefit from such. But there is simply too much at stake just so that these ISPs can make their jobs easier and more lucrative. We can't confine people to smaller boundaries when they have already started making a living the way that they have. People need an outlet where they don't feel that they're boxed in at all times. Schools, musicians, developers, artists, etc... all depend on the internet to spread their work and to learn and better themselves. In order for this to continue to work there needs to be options. Killing net neutrality kills options and creates pseudo (or not so pseudo) monopolies. There is now a significantly smaller threat to a company for when they blatantly screw over their consumers, because their rivals have been wiped off of the map. ISPs will make deals with websites, and in the process kill off others. Of course the counter-argument for this would be that ISPs wouldn't dare do this because their consumers would leave for rivals. The issue, however, is that many areas of the U.S. only have 1 or 2 options in terms of internet providers. People are just going to be left with one bad option, and one slightly less bad option. Even in areas with more than two providers, the others are usually so much slower that it's not even worth considering. People will be forced to choose between speed and freedom. For what reason? In the past people bought internet to access certain websites, email, etc... so providers could easily offer packages including those specific things. Today the variety is too large for anyone to NOT be disappointed if forced to buy a package containing access to certain websites. It's not comparable to television where people have their channels they watch. The variety is what keeps companies honest. Now, with all of that being said, I do think regulation is necessary. I think ISPs need to be kept in check so that they aren't able to spike prices and throttle internet. ISPs don't need help from the FCC. Consumers do. We are in our internet provider's hands and there isn't much we can do when they decide to do what's not in our best interest. This is why we need the FCC, because competition isn't a real threat to a lot of these companies. Without the FCC, there wouldn't be consequences.
1782. Tylor, santa rosa, CA, 95409  
WE NEED NET NEUTRALITY! please let us keep our rights of freedom and let us browse wherever we want and let websites come up naturally and not defined by anyone
1783. Lucas Street, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Net neutrality is necessary to protect the independence of our global network of information. Please keep the internet free and open for all to use.
1784. Jacob Greenwald, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Doing away with Net Neutrality will end up making a censored internet in the United States because providers will give "fast lanes" to specific websites and IPs that pay for it or that have contracts with said providers and everyone else will get the "slow lane"
1785. shannon lampi, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Businesses should not be able to choose what gets through faster or slower on the free and open internet.
1786. Autumn Iverson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405

As a scientist I believe it is vital to have all information equally available because this is the only way to properly assess and critique what's happening in the world around us. We should be able to choose freely and not have others restrict information or push their views/opinions/facts to the forefront just because they have more money. All voices need to be heard equally. The idea of someone else puppeteering what I can access on the internet is deeply appalling. We need to think about the broad implications this would have on our society - essentially silencing a vast majority of people and businesses. Please protect net neutrality rules.

1787. James Gillespie, Vallejo, CA, 94590

I want the internet to stay open because I don't want companies to pay so their content gets to customers sooner than others. That isn't good for small businesses. Please keep it open.

1788. Sasha Meretzky, Lakeport, CA, 95453

I am a citizen concerned about net neutrality. If the FCC chooses to lift the current regulations, it will have a negative impact on many lives. I live in a rural area where I do not have a choice of ISP. Please, please do the right thing for the people.

1789. Blaine Alexander Thiederman, santa rosa, CA, 95407

My name is Blaine Thiederman and I work as a financial consultant. My primary job in life is to improve the finances and lives of my clients, allowing them to live the lives they hope to live someday. I don't support Net Neutrality because the internet is such a beautiful tool that we can learn so much from. Regulation will only hurt its ability to really be as effective as possible. All those people who don't have a financial consultant only have the internet to rely on in helping them understand how to manage their lives and their finances. The internet is basically the best (and only) option they have. Retirement, budgeting, building and learning how to manage one's finances isn't some knowledge we're born with. It's a long, drawn out process that many never learn, but those that do often learn online. In addition, I live in a Santa Rosa, California. I moved here for a job and literally don't know anyone. My friends and family are all a minimum of two thousand miles away and the internet is how I stay in contact with them. Without love, you have nothing and the internet makes it so much easier to stay in close contact with people who make life worth living. It reminds you that you're not alone. Anything that gets in the way of this even SLIGHTLY is a bad thing. Please don't hurt the tool that makes my life worth living. Please don't allow companies that have never had their customer's best interest's at heart the ability to regulate the most valuable tool the United States has, the internet.

1790. Nicholas F., Pinole, CA, 94564

I honestly can't imagine why politicians need to intrude on such things, this new "Net Neutrality" nonsense needs to stop. The government isn't in need of invading everyone's personal privacy, last time I checked the Orwellian future of the book "1984" hadn't become a reality, so tell those guys in office to stop trying to use their power to intrude on things they shouldn't. For crying out loud some people just need to have things private for themselves, you wouldn't kick in every bathroom stall shouting "FREEZE CRIMINAL!" when you have no knowledge of if there even ARE criminals in THOSE stalls. And would you enjoy your life if every time you went home to use the restroom the government had a large man staring at you watching every movement you made including wiping your ass as though you were a criminal?, no. So go on and take your Net Neutrality and return to sender, maybe the lobbyist money makers would like to make all of their private companies open to public, you know, sharing those millions of millions of dollars with everyone of their workers. Maybe just keep your mouths shut and be happy you're filthy rich.

1791. Ian Elliott, Cotati, CA, 94931

I vote to keep net neutrality because I have friends who own small businesses. My close friend is an entrepreneur, and chocolatier. I don't want big companies or websites to have priority over her business, as she is struggling already because of competition. When I pay for internet access I am paying for the freedom to use the important websites for work and research at the same speed and availability that I expect from high speed internet. It is unacceptable to control aspects of the net when we pay for the freedom and expression that an open and neutral internet provides.

1792. Robert Crystal-Ornelas, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409

Please keep net neutrality! We need an open internet to have the best possible internet. Thank you.

1793. Christina Wimer, Napa, CA, 94558

The internet needs to be free of paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling. I want to be the one who decides which websites are best for me not my internet service provider. If ISPs get the power to control what sites are available to their consumers we risk censorship and monopolization of information. Please prevent this from happening.

1794. Stephen DeLair, Sonoma, CA, 95476

Keep the Internet Free and Uncontrolled!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

1795. Michael Aaron Karsh, Martinez, CA, 94553

Please keep the internet classified under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. Only then can you enforce net neutrality. Without net neutrality small businesses which cannot afford to pay for fast lanes cannot grow. It is only thanks to net neutrality that Amazon, Facebook, Google, LinkedIn, Netflix, and Twitter are where they are today.

1796. Drew Bannerman Maddox, Napa, CA, 94558

Keep the net neutrality rules in place please. Open and free internet is +100 to Freedom and over +9000 to my happiness

1797. Paul Costigan, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Please keep the Title II net neutrality rules and the rights of Internet users like me. I would like to maintain a level playing field for all users.
1798. Holly McGarraugh, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Please do not change the net neutrality regulations. To allow Comcast and other large providers to charge more for good internet speed will disadvantage smaller carriers and all Americans accessing to this vital information tool. Thank you. Holly McGarraugh
1799. Steven Lafler, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Please maintain net neutrality. The internet is a utility, a public resource, and should be equally available to everyone as a point of respect for all human beings.
1800. Kevin Carmody, Napa, CA, 94558  
For whatever reason that you want to dismantle net neutrality, please stop. the common man is against you. Jeff Bezos is against you... that should say alot.
1801. Caroline Guthrie, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
As a part owner of a small family wine business, net neutrality is especially important to me. We already have to compete with the corporate big dogs on the shelves in our local wine shops, but to also have to compete with them on the internet? No way! A lot of our consumers are seeking out smaller guys like us, and I want them to be able to find us, regardless of where they live. Net neutrality is important because it keeps the little guys in the game!
1802. Joel Seader, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
The government should not impinge the access of information to the public at large. Each individual should be free to discover and learn. We do not want a society where a few small groups decide for everyone what they are allowed to study or even be aware of.
1803. Gerald, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
A free and open internet, void of any form of prioritization or partiality, is the vehicle to ensuring equal access to unfiltered information that can change the world.
1804. kimberly gorman, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
We should not block net neutrality
1805. Miriam Mackey, Santa Rosa,, CA, 95405  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Do not change these regulations
1806. Natalie, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Unconstitutional. Only fascists try to control the internet.
1807. Jonas Ducarroz, glen ellen, CA, 95442  
Net Neutrality is a violation of my first amendment rights!
1808. David Muchnikoff, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I would like the internet to be as free as possible, please. Controlling ideas is a slippery slope. (I hope I'm doing this correctly, and in the right place...) Thank you.
1809. Juan Martinez, Glen Ellen, CA, 95442  
I would like it to be known that net neutrality is an issue I stand strongly for and as such would highly suggest you work to protect the FCC'S Open Internet Rules.
1810. Rachael Towne, Napa, CA, 94559  
I strongly support continued net neutrality. The open internet is crucial to the free and open exchange of ideas and 1st amendment freedom of speech. The unfettered control of both cable TV and the internet by a few major corporations would be disastrous for our society. Small business owners, such as myself, could find ourselves without a livelihood after working so hard to build an online income. I suppose corporate/bureaucratic sociopaths don't care about anything but themselves and their profit, so comments like these fall on deaf ears. Republican politicians are going against the wishes of their own constituents by supporting the death of net neutrality.
1811. Michael Tardif, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
There are times in our history where it became necessary to limit a business' reach for the good of consumers and the public. Now is one of those times. The free and open internet is at risk yet again. Please stand against setting the foundation to restrict the reach of free speech and open communication on a free and open internet. Michael Tardif
1812. Nicolas Guerrero, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
We pay for it we deserve to use it in any way we want not for you to direct us into your own path. Nicolas Guerrero

1813. Hellen Velasquez, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
I want my government to keep the current laws that pertain to the internet. A free and open internet must stay under title 1 and title 2 to stay an accessible utility for all citizens.
1814. Nicoals Mountcastle, Napa, CA, 94558  
Why do people have to be so greedy?
1815. Abigail Salling, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Ads monetize the web enough! Yes to Net Neutrality!
1816. Lee Jorgensen, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
To Whom it may concern, My business depends on a free and open internet. Please do not make the internet an uneven playing field where it makes it even harder for small businesses to compete.
1817. Erica Simons, Martinez, CA, 94553  
The internet was born out of equal opportunity. It is important that we continue make it a place open to all, equally.
1818. carla Rogers, santa rosa, CA, 95407  
It's important to keep the internet open. There is limited opportunity for small business growth and online shops are often the only option for many entrepreneurs. As more wealth is filtered to the major corporations in this country, it is getting more and more difficult for most Americans, including myself, to get a jump on competition that has so much more money to invest. Please keep the internet open. Thank you
1819. Eric Askeland, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Net neutrality keeps large businesses in check and allows the best of the internet to be seen by all. To deny net neutrality is to promote censorship and to ally with companies that already have a monopoly on the market and most importantly it is unamerican. Competition is good for consumers and ultimately its good for business.
1820. Claire Fuller, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Net neutrality is directly in line with our values as American citizens. Without it, you will be effectively destroying our right to privacy, and our right to create and consume. Private interests and profiteering interests should not direct this decision. This is a dangerous step towards censorship and monopolistic behaviors that will cost the American people dearly. The job of the government is to promote the welfare of their people. Do that. Keep net neutrality intact.
1821. Andrew Lee, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
Net Neutrality isn't just important to consumers, but it also allows for fair competition between businesses that rely on it. Andrew Lee
1822. Felix Sanchez, Martinez, CA, 94553  
The one thing that everyone needs is a connection, and the best connection that we've got these days is the internet. Right now we have a freedom with that connection, however if the rules get changed and that connection ends up costing in the end, then many people will lose that special connection that they've gotten. After all, thanks to the online connection of social media and other social outlets, those that wouldn't normally have that connection are brought together. Now I honestly don't know if my words will have any kind of impact, but I'm Hoping that they do, and if there's one thing that I'm full of, it's Hope.
1823. Zackariah Brownell, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I purchase ISP to receive just internet and data items, not to have my information collected or sold.
1824. Me, Rodeo, CA, 94572  
The internet does not need this! We do not need this!
1825. Kiley Foster, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I support a free and open internet because no matter what they say, companies like Verizon and Comcast and for profit alone and they do not care about people like me; who work full time so I can go to school and can't afford the potential prices of a non-neutral internet. I need it for school, please keep the internet free and open for people like me.
1826. Jonathan Figueras, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Please Save Net Neutrality !
1827. Reuben Romandy, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
As a programmer for a small business that relies heavily on e-commerce, having a free and open internet is paramount to my livelihood. If ISPs are allowed to police themselves as is proposed by the FCC, I worry that larger businesses in the same industry I work in may have the advantage of getting preferential treatment by ISPs by paying for preferential treatment of their packets. Additionally I worry about the disincentive to startups in the tech space that ISP throttling or fast lanes would create. My view on ISPs is that they are exactly what their name says. They provide infrastructure to allow customers to connect to the Internet. They should have no say in what information I as the end consumer can access or at what speed I can access one service or site at compared to another. I have heard it stated that by imposing title 2 regulations on ISPs, innovation is being hampered. I don't buy that argument, ISPs are a public utility. They will lay lines and offer better services to

customers if they can make a profit from doing so. By regulating them to all serve the same content at the same speed, their competitive edge is in reaching the most customers with the best service. There are certain poor and rural areas of the country that aren't being served by broadband providers due to the inability to profit from the potential customers in those areas. If regulations are lifted those areas will not be magically profitable to ISPs, and no money that any of the major ISPs make through deregulation will go toward bringing broadband access to those people. This proposal is an attempt to put more money in the hands of the wealthy while adversely affecting the democracy of the Internet. I absolutely do not support it. It will harm me personally and threatens the democracy of our internet which is increasingly the communication platform of our world.

1828. Ryan McNab, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Please keep net neutrality.
1829. Jesus Vallejo, CA, 94591  
It's not fair, especially towards people who rely on internet for money; like Uber or any entrepreneur. Internet is worldwide and should be equally accessible.
1830. Panos Arvanitis, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I strongly support net neutrality and request that you do also.
1831. Paul Wisniewski, Napa, CA, 94558  
I believe in net neutrality and do not want the FCC to change the current rules.
1832. Sarah Gaeta, Napa, CA, 94559  
I am writing this comment in support for strong net neutrality regulations, anything less is UNACCEPTABLE. The citizens of this country have a right to a free and open internet and are not to be subject to propaganda and specific bias media outlets. The way we receive information, news, events, WILL be altered if we lose these regulations. The greatest thing about America is its FREEDOM, and if we lose these regulations neither the internet nor America's citizens (whose information many times comes directly from the internet) will no longer be free.
1833. Sarah Passemar, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
The Internet should remain open. Companies shouldn't be able to run the internet and make us see what they want us to see. This is America, land of the free, not land of the corporations.
1834. Richard Berosik, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
The internet should belong to the people, not big business. The consumer is getting squeezed enough as it is, we don't need more. The post-Navy vision of the internet should remain the same...a medium to transfer information freely...not to make huge corporations more money...as the consumer we have no other alternative other than to just stop using it.
1835. Michael Seitel, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Back in my day America was about freedom, not payoffs and buyouts of politicians.
1836. Christopher Allard, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I should have the freedom to use the internet in the way I want without providers getting in the way. All people should have the freedom to decide what it is they want to view and pay for without private interests stepping in the way to benefit themselves. Slanting the huge financial benefits that the internet provides in favor of a select few is hugely unethical.
1837. Christopher Allard, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I should have the freedom to use the internet in the way I want without providers getting in the way. All people should have the freedom to decide what it is they want to view and pay for without private interests stepping in the way to benefit themselves. Slanting the huge financial benefits that the internet provides in favor of a select few is hugely unethical. Do not repeal net neutrality.
1838. Nolan Goolan, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
The dominant ISP's need to control this market through the leveraging of what they currently own is preposterous. They do not deserve a greater share as their influence is already past a point of reality as-it-is. The internet must remain in its free state so as to encourage development in the digital age. How can a conservative government appoint an agency head that is against more open marketplaces for competition and tenacious ingenuity? It's ironic to me that the right has taken this stance on the internet. Is it all chocked up to simple corporate greed? Or is this part of a broader philosophy that these businesses, legal counsel, and political interests truly believe net neutrality is a threat to their ability to be successful? Nolan Goolan
1839. Reymon Vidal, Napa, CA, 94558  
Putting limitations on using the internet is ridiculous. Most people use it for academic purposes as well as to get the latest information on world news, cooking recipes and even just to watch music videos for inspiration for their craft!
1840. Lantz Cala, Pinole, CA, 94564  
The Internet has always been an excellent asset for me in school and definitely improving myself. Lantz Cala
1841. Nicole Cheung, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Net Neutrality is very important to me. I need to use Facebook to connect to my friends and family around the nation and

overseas, and I choose my services and speeds based on the fact I back up my computer on a cloud and use streaming video frequently to keep abreast of current events as well as personal entertainment. I move almost every year and last year I only had 2 options for Internet. I think I'm likely to encounter this problem in the future too. Please keep Net Neutrality going, and protect us from threats like this in the future!

1842. Phillip Walker, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I feel that we need to uphold net neutrality. If we don't it will cripple small and upcoming online businesses, the services to end users will become more expensive and less inclusive and we'll start seeing the birth place of the internet become a twisted parody of what it once was. Look no further for examples than China, Japan or the Middle East where the internet has become a shadow of what it really is.
1843. Katherine Butcher, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Tampering with net neutrality is entirely unfair to the American people and seems very unconstitutional. I am deeply disappointed.
1844. christian starkey, san pablo, CA, 94806  
The internet should remain an open, user friendly place and not one that becomes solely dominated by the will of ISP's
1845. William Stage, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Do not remove Title II protections from the internet, the only reason to do this is if you're a hack paid by Verizon to destroy an open internet. The corporatization of access to the internet will allow these ISP's to decide who can speak and who cannot, what we consume and will destroy the very fabric of online consumption. For the love of whatever god you believe in, do not remove Title II protections.
1846. Scott Eldridge, Pinole, CA, 94564  
Net neutrality is necessary for any kind of growth of online content providers, as well as maintaining anything like a level playing field for existing providers. Do not let Comcast decide which content provider should be punished when they cut their deal with either Netflix or Amazon.Scott Eldridge
1847. nannette leonard, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
The internet should remain free from government rules that limit accessibility for any reason, as taxpayers we demand that we have the freedom to choose our best applications and programs that we find that are of use to the general public.
1848. Michael Simpson, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Keep the internet free and open! This is not North Korea, this not China, This IS the United States of America!
1849. Joseph Thompson, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
The internet is meant for people to communicate openly. Without it, people like me may not have met their wives, immigrated to the USA, started their own business, paid taxes, helped US citizens, met new friends and joined the greatest country in the world.This is just my personal example of how having an open internet has helped me, but I am sure there are thousands - if not hundreds of thousands of businesses and people that have benefitted from communications unfettered by the desires of their ISPs.This is how people communicate now... don't let businesses decided on who can talk to who in a world already sorely lacking in communication. If politics ever came into this, then I am sure you can think of how badly the results could be and the ramifications of the ISP controlled 'echo chamber'.Please, PLEASE do not revoke the net neutrality rulings.Thank you!-Joseph Thompson
1850. Alijah, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
There is no need for net neutrality to go to the wayside and be lost. Companies that thrive or start-up need to have the system stay.
1851. Coral Fallon-Linzman, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I am not entirely sure why net neutrality is still a matter that is being considered. There has been monumental backlash from the public, media, and the internet at large. The American people have had access to a free and equal internet for years already - long enough that people have grown up in the age of internet. In a time in which it can be difficult to find platforms in which people are treated equally and fairly, it seems ridiculous to then limit this one as well, and funnel it towards the wealthier rather than the public as a whole. I hope that in considering this, you realize that you are not simply limiting access to things like online shopping or Netflix, but educational materials, academic databases, and jobs.
1852. jordan thompson, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
This is really being discussed? This is just sad and pathetic.... Are you going to start to charge us for the air we breathe?
1853. Eric Napier, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Do not ease up in the slightest for net neutrality. This is an important right for all consumers to be equal. KEEP IT THE WAY IT IS.
1854. Tara Emily Hints, Cotati, CA, 94931  
An open and free internet is, without question, one of the greatest resources currently available to our species. To cripple it in

such a manner as the one being proposed is not only ridiculous, it's simply evil and counterintuitive to our continued progress as an interconnected species.

1855. Reed Earl Pratt, Napa, CA, 94558  
I would simply like to say that as it stands, I am vehemently opposed to any major corporations attempting to impose any changes to the current net neutrality laws. Not to say that I am not in favor of improving the existing laws to be more friendly towards users and smaller websites, but that anything that allows larger ISPs to have any sort of control over the content I view would be very, very bad.
1856. Andre Ricciardi, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Please do not gut, destroy, nor roll back net neutrality regulations. The internet should remain open and free for all users and content providers.
1857. James Grose, Pinole, CA, 94564  
The Internet must not be arbitrarily monitored by corporations nor the government. Let it stay free.
1858. Hem Bhana, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
We need net neutrality!!! Tilting the playing field toward the mega ISPs will not foster creativity and innovation. Having a fair and open internet will benefit everyone. Keep the net neutral!!
1859. Noelle Brennan, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Please support net neutrality. Keep the internet open.
1860. Paul Hyde, Benicia, CA, 94510  
The Internet is not a privilege, it is a right. No traffic provider should have the capability to manipulate or throttle traffic. It is obvious that this is all about money and power. It should be noted that the duty of government is to serve the public first and foremost NOT corporate greed.
1861. Net Neutrality, sonoma, CA, 95476  
We need to fight for our freedoms. We need to create and amendment extending freedom of speech and press so that it stops companies from slowing down websites that criticize them. Because once this is done they can fight for any legislation they want and no one would no about it or be able to organize themselves to fight it.
1862. Justin Del Rosario , Martinez, CA, 94553  
fricken bully's >:^(Justin Del Rosario
1863. Ronald C. Taylor, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Please do not allow ISPs to track Internet usage so as to sell search histories or insert advertisements. ISPs have a bona fide role, and it should be kept separate from that of retail vendors and search engine companies.
1864. Christopher Scholten, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I wish to register my support for this roll-back of government overreach for the following reasons:\* The internet functions quite well just the way it is without government involvement;\* net neutrality regulations severely limit the Internet's usefulness; \* net neutrality regulations threaten to set a precedent for even more intrusive regulation of the Internet; \* imposing such regulation will discourage investment in competitive networks (e.g., wireless broadband) and deny network providers the ability to differentiate their services; \* consumer choice is limited -- customers who want less service aren't able to get a reduced service, and customers who want more aren't able to pay more to get it\* network neutrality regulations confuse the unregulated Internet with the highly regulated telecom lines that it has shared with voice and cable customers for most of its history (and are based on laws that limited telco competition and innovation that were designed in the '30s)\* net neutrality benefits industry lobbyists, and not consumers due to the potential of regulatory capture with policies that protect incumbent interests.
1865. Colin Woodruff, santa rosa, CA, 95403  
Turning the internet into a marketing scheme is just immoral. The freedom of the internet has united so many people around the world. I need access to the entire internet, free of paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling. There is only 1 internet as a whole and all rules must apply to my home and mobile connections equally!
1866. Lorraine Sekito, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I vehemently support strong net neutrality rules. These rules uphold America's constitution and American freedom by allowing open internet to be NEUTRAL by preventing internet service providers (ISPs) from discriminating against one site over another based on their own ideals and motives. I support a strong regulation of all internet service, including that of wireless (4G, 3G, LTE, etc) as well as wired broadband (cable, DSL, fiber optic, etc) internet services, to be under the continued Title II oversight for internet service providers. I feel strongly about this issue, and I am open to discussing this with anyone at the FCC further. Please do not underestimate the gravity of this situation and its infringement on American rights.
1867. Danielle Shreves, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Keep net neutrality. Do not prioritize the internet, which is a free space.

1868. Miguel Garcia, San Pablo, CA, 94806  
You should not end net neutrality because everyone already have to pay to access the internet why should we pay companies for websites they don't want you to visit. It's completely idiotic
1869. Yah Boi Alex, Hercules, CA, 94547  
In favor of neutrelity of internet title 2. The internet is a public space where you are to go places without restrictions, however with rules. Just like the outside world of public space, place do not take our freedom away.
1870. Parrish Dodson, Martinez, CA, 94553  
what you are doing is completely insane, you are ruining the internet for the big companies. they are the .01% of the population who use the internet, the people who are being affected by this law you are trying to pass will affect our lives greatly. this law will also affect schools, as many schools now have digital homework. you guys seriously need to think this out, because you guys can seriously mess up the USA. hopefully you guys listen to the millions of voices, which you most likely wont since youre in it for the money.Parrish Dodson
1871. David Chan, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
It'd be a travesty if something like this actually passes
1872. Stephen Brosseau, Glen Ellen, CA, 95442  
I support the roll-back of the FCC's intent to regulate the Internet. My reasons include the following:+ The Internet has functioned exceedingly well for the last three decades while free of FCC regulation.+ Internet innovation has been and continues to be robust while free of FCC regulation.+ Access to the Internet continues to expand exponentially while free of FCC regulation.+ Data speeds continue to increase and costs continue to decrease, all while free of FCC regulation.+ Regulation would stifle innovation of Internet technology, products, and services.+ Regulation would increase the cost-of-entry for individuals and companies entering the Internet arena.+ Any regulation of the Internet by the US government will provide an excuse to oppressive regimes around the world to deny full and unfettered Internet access to their citizens.+ Regulation would open the door to corruption, cronyism, and special privileges being conferred on politically favored actors.The Internet works well! Hands off!
1873. Deanna Matthews, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai,I've been screwed over enough with "package deals" when it comes to having access to the internet. Please don't tack on something that could put a lot of us middle-lower class people in the dark.I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. Thanks!Deanna Matthews
1874. Melody Mitchell, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Please save Net Neutrality. It is a necessity in order to keep our freedom of speech, availability of impartial information and our minds free, open, and entertained. Thank you. N
1875. Heather Henderson, Napa, CA, 94558  
It's fundamentally un-American to deny citizens their right to consume media the way they choose. We are a country based on individual rights and those are not for sale. Net Neutrality is important to our future as a country. Please do not allow it to be tainted by companies looking to make bigger buck.
1876. Liam Carr, Napa, CA, 94558  
I strongly support net neutrality and do not want my ISP to limit access (mine, or anyone else's) to the World Wide Web.
1877. Mark Carroll, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I oppose the dismantling of net neutrality rules. Internet service is a pipe for information and I expect it all to be available on an equal footing. I see no benefit to myself or other consumers if it works otherwise.
1878. An K, San Pablo, CA, 94806  
The internet needs to remain "free." Individuals should be allowed to access any and all sites. ISPs should not restrict access based on personal preference. This is an infringement upon the First Amendment rights to freedom of speech and press.
1879. Evan Baker, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Net Neutrality is important to keep alive, we are a nation of freedom and it is not alright for major companies to restrict that freedom.
1880. Kennan Ryan Dunn, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
no company should ever be able to monopolize the internet, there are laws to prevent companies in the real world from becoming monopolies. and net nutrality does the same on the internet. Kennan Ryan Dunn
1881. Don Alexander, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Stop this attack on a free and open form of communication for all. Don Alexander
1882. shelby, santa rosa, CA, 95405  
keep it neutral! the internet is big part of a college education and you will end up screwing over the college kids by not

keeping it neutral.

1883. Eugenia L McKenzie, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Comcast, at&t, Verizon & TimeWarner should not be the ones making the rules for the internet. If they have that power there will no longer be net neutrality. Please preserve net neutrality!Eugenia L McKenzie
1884. Maciah, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Some bullshitMaciah
1885. Cesar Velasquez, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
Well I hope y'all realize that not only is it bad because of slow internet, but it will make the economy stall or crash do to greedy bastards, don't let it happen or big consequences will happen (I.e probable ignition to a war)Cesar Velasquez
1886. Ryan Cooper, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Keep net neutrality, it would be unfair to the smaller guys if they get throttled. The internet is an open and free place, leave it that way. Keep companies as title 2.
1887. Peggy amos, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
keep the net neutral!Peggy amos
1888. moksha donohue, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
Please don't allow big corporations to ruin the one free and independent information source we have in America.moksha donohue
1889. Valerie Carmer, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
This is not the first time I've fought for internet freedom, but I hope it will be the last. The best part of the internet comes from the freedom of information sharing. Our country has always allowed a free and open internet, setting us apart from countries that restrict the content able to be viewed by their populace. In much the same way as our phone conversations should be kept private, so too should our internet usage. Giving power to a company, who focuses on profit, instead of the welfare of citizens, is unacceptable. Keep the internet free!
1890. A COLEMAN, VALLEJO, CA, 94590  
NET NEUTRALITY IS A CORE REQUIREMENT FOR 'WE THE PEOPLE' WHO COMPOSE THE INTERNET AND NET NEUTRALITY ALONG WITH OUR CHERISHED FREEDOM OF SPEECH SHOULD BE TREATED WITH THE SAME RESPECT AND WEIGHT AS CURRENT CONSTITUTIONAL RIGHTS. THE ISPs ARE NOT CONCERNED WITH THESE RIGHTS AND CHOICES, THE BOTTOM LINE IS THE DRIVING FORCE FOR THEM, AND THE ORDINARY PERSON'S BASIC RIGHTS WILL DISAPPEAR, THE INTERNET WILL BECOME A LIMITED AND CLOSED CIRCUIT.
1891. Chengun Lam, Sonoman, CA, 95476  
Net neutrality is important for free speech and consumer rights.
1892. Jim Phillips, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
USA ranks 28th in the world for internet speed and service. The corporations will make USA the laughing stock of the world with slower speeds. Our internet must remain open and free for all.
1893. Andre Cahill, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech and protects small businesses and innovators who are just beginning and also allows consumers not big companies to choose what they watch and do online. Net neutrality must be preserved.
1894. John Canry, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
I'm not going to have normal, legal stuff blocked just because some people don't like it or want to make more money. Ending net neutrality would be devastating for developers of open source software (people who write their own programs and provide them for free to the public along with the source code so people can improve it). It would also give big companies the power to make up excuses to block something for any reason they desire. All they'd have to do is come up with some fake reason or fake narrative. It's happened in many other areas. It doesn't need to happen to net neutrality.
1895. Max Pringle, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Net neutrality preserves the internet as a free and open space for the exchange of information as it was created to do. It keeps a small handful of powerful interests from controlling what we see and hear. It is an engine of democracy.
1896. D McCracken, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Without net neutrality we have nothing. To make a decision I depend upon all points of view.
1897. Linda Nellet, Napa, CA, 94559  
Internet access has become as vital as potable water and electricity to individuals and communities. Without either businesses and people fail to thrive. We protect the distribution of those commodities and deliver them equitably to all paying customers. We should do the same with internet distribution.

1898. Jessie Horn, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Being able to share information freely has enabled the public to become knowledgeable on any subject quickly and efficiently. It's allowed people who wouldn't otherwise be aware of social injustices to easily learn about what may be happening around the world. It has helped those who feel alone to connect with others who are like minded, who they may never have met otherwise. This is not something that should be taken away simply for profit.
1899. Bob Schoenherr, kelseyville, CA, 95451  
The major communication tool use globally now and you want the big three teleco to own it? There is much wrong with that idea and will make the rich much richer and the poor unable to keep up! Knock it off and keep net neutrality.
1900. Greg Silvi, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Net neutrality is definitely fundamental to free speech. When we turn it over to big companies it will be an extension of their will.
1901. Cathy Schulze, San Pablo, CA, 94806  
Ending net neutrality will stifle innovation and competition. Net neutrality needs to be preserved.
1902. Andrew D Pohlman, Pinole, CA, 94564  
Citizens' equal rights on the Internet should not be controlled or dictated by corporations or lobbyists. I demand net neutrality as a US citizen!
1903. Diane Kane, Cotati, CA, 94931  
Keep the internet neutral..no censor, no excessive fee, no corporation takeovers of internet
1904. Daniel Wilson, Napa, CA, 94559  
As an independent content creator, I see net neutrality as vital to protecting my ability to produce content that can reach the world on the merits of its creativity, not how much available capital I have to sponsor it.
1905. Victor Wong, Benicia, CA, 94510  
The FCC must protect net neutrality for all.
1906. Greg Seifter, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Big cable companies will never have user's interests as their top priority; please protect net neutrality & do NOT allow them to tell us what they think our use should be.
1907. c. kruse de la rosa, sonoma, CA, 95476  
Net Neutrality is essential to ensure trust and confidence in our government. The choice is essential.
1908. Dan Fogarty, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Net neutrality is necessary for the fair and impartial use of the internet. Our access cannot be controlled by the providers of access.
1909. Ray Horne, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Leave the NET alone. Comcast, Verizon and AT&T are know friend to their internet customer or consumer. Profit, Profit,Profit.Shameful
1910. Patty Bongiovanni, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Please let me decide what I want to view, rather than what wealthy organizations want me to see.
1911. Shay Graham, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
AT&T cant manage their own customer service, how will they ever manage the internet? Just the thought is ridiculous!Hands off the internet Big Business!
1912. Michael MacDonald, Benicia, CA, 94510  
It really is a role of responsible government to insure equality and fairness, to avoid the tyranny of the strong and powerful over the general population. Removing net neutrality opens a huge door for just that sort of tyranny.
1913. Roy Ironwood, Hidden Valley Lake, CA, 95467  
We are a Democratic culture and we embrace diversity and intelligent discourse. The opposite of the above would be an abuse of power by the few and be an oligarchy. I vote for net neutrality.
1914. Denise Fowler-Horsfall, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
My free speech, and that of others, is best guaranteed with net neutrality. Do not subject our communications to the thumb of big corporations and communication moguls.
1915. MAHINDER, RODEO, CA, 94572  
It is un-American to remove net neutrality. It should remain under all circumstances.

1916. charlyne martin, vallejo, CA, 94590  
I am retired. Already, charges for my utilities are outstripping my income. It is important to me to have access to non-commercialized, non-politicized free information. Be careful what you wish for because someday the information stream may not be what you want.
1917. w.s. mckenzie, napa , CA, 94558  
I believe that the free and open internet is the only way to provide a guarantee of free speech and open commerce and innovation.
1918. David Coleman, Cobb, CA, 95426  
The Big Cable companies and Big Government ( Big brother) Should not be dictating speed and content on the internet. The AT&T monopoly was broken up only come back bigger and nastier.
1919. Preston Stedman, Rohnert Park , CA, 94928  
An open internet must remain that way it a time where access is part of every day life . I support net neutrality 100%
1920. Nathan Scott, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
At the end of the day, the negative outcomes that will likely surround Net Neutrality are a combination of both unintended consequences resulting from poorly crafted regulations and a classic case of the Government stepping in to solve an imaginary problem in order to garner themselves more control and surveillance on the nation. The Internet in United States is some of the best in the world. By allowing the government to intervene in the inner workings of the internet, we endanger the functionality and the privacy of the last truly free medium of exchange that exists in the surveillance era.
1921. Antone Bodao, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Net neutrality protects and promotes free speech and freedom of choice. We have to be able to freely choose who, what and where we go on the internet.
1922. Margaret Easling, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Please, net neutrality must be preserved. Fight hard to preserve it. It is fundamental to free speech , teachers and writers and all of us out here.
1923. Paul Morrison, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
My grandpa used to say, "Don't fix it if it ain't broke." I say don't repeal neutrality and play into the interest of some big providers.....history shows that they don't have the public interest in mind.
1924. Linda Harlow, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Please don't cave to the big bullies! Keep the internet neutrality!
1925. Karen Forni, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Restricting net neutrality would dramatically curtail the freedom of the American people to use the Internet their way, without the interference of Big Business. It's unAmerican.
1926. Emily C. martin, Rohnert park, CA, 94928  
As a teacher, I feel that it is essential that the internet is not in the hands of corporations, but the people. Thank you.
1927. kelly booth, vallejo, CA, 94590  
our country is founded upon ideals that include free speech. spending time in countries where free speech is impossible only reinforced my belief in this fundamentally important value we share. please, please do not limit net neutrality!
1928. Anne Exton, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Net neutrality is necessary for open access to all of the information we need to be informed citizens. To paraphrase Thomas Jefferson, a democracy requires an informed citizenry.As a patriotic American, I insist on net neutrality in order to maintain open access to information.
1929. Heather, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
We have a right to protection!
1930. Alethea Brown, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
As a small business owner of a Media company, the internet is our lifeblood. Please don't limit entrepreneurs by slowing down the internet. Kindly,
1931. Gregory Ganz, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Freedom from bias or agenda driven regulation is in the best interest of the American people.
1932. don, napa, CA, 94558  
We need net neutrality for an open and free society!! It blows my mind that this is even a topic for America.
1933. Kristen Kerns, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404

Please preserve net neutrality in the interests of the constitutional right to free speech, and to prevent oppression of small businesses and individuals.

1934. Rachel Buerkle, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Net neutrality ensures that innovation will still be the hallmark of the internet. Without it, the net will subside into providing a cash-cow for big ISP's, and no opportunity for the next generation of startups.
1935. Wendy A Hayes, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Net neutrality ensures future innovation. It enhances our global competitiveness. Net neutrality is an egalitarian freedom- the very essence of our democracy! Safeguard it!
1936. Gayle Keane, Napa, CA, 94558  
Please do not give big companies or the government the power to make personal decisions on what network content is available. While it is personally frustrating at times to have to deal with anything that anyone believes to be true; it is also true that there many innovative sites, technologies, and conversations that happen because of the support and imagination of individuals. Let open access and conversation continue.
1937. Tony Dimsho, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
As a small business owner, I cannot financially compete with big businesses that would trample my ability to share information about my company online. Our society should not continue down the path of a restricted access internet!
1938. Greg Coll, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Net neutrality must exist to keep the free market free. This country must encourage new innovation not stifle it.
1939. Christina, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
We must keep our country's infrastructure equitable -- net neutrality allows small businesses to innovate, and innovation leads to a better economy. One that's accessible and fair to all and not pushed up in price to the highest bidder! I object to Chairman Pai and urge that the Obama-era rules REMAIN in place. This is an ECONOMIC argument, because the cost-benefit analysis of trickle-down economics DOES NOT WORK.
1940. Dirk Doran, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
Don't let the Big Companies like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon, have any control over the internet. Let me decide watch to watch and do online. Save Net neutrality!
1941. Rhonda Cavin, Martinez, CA, 94553  
I support net neutrality to keep the internet accessible to all, equally. I think the large internet companies already have enough power.
1942. David Spenger, Hercules, CA, 94547  
Net neutrality is an important aspect of a decentralized, free and open internet. Internet fast lanes will make it more difficult for start ups to get a leg up ( do to slow speeds on their sites) effectively creating monopolies over time for those who can pay, and allowing service providers to do whatever they want with this public utility to make money.
1943. Joe Guthrie, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
To The FCC.Internet and tech corps. already have too much power. Don't give them any more to slow down or speed up depending on who is making the most money.
1944. Zach Glassman, Napa, CA, 94559  
Not only does the information super-highway of the internet represent the current pinnacle in human achievement, but it paves the way to a new and better planet for all of us. Help us preserve our future!
1945. Carol Ann Hartman, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
The Corporations have way too much power over the lives of every living thing on this earth! ENOUGH IS ENOUGH!! Net neutrality is fundamental to freedom of speech and access to many sources of information that are important for a "democracy" to thrive. It's the major defense the 99% have against the big \$ in "Citizens United" that is overwhelming our country in so many negative ways. Russian hackers are trying destroy the security for voting so we have another threat to "our Democratic System" Don't push it any further off the cliff by selling our open internet to the corporate raiders, they have enough of our money to use against us already. Free Enterprise my fat Aunt Fanny!!!! It's called price fixing.
1946. Susan fritz, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Net neutrality should be kept intact
1947. Dr Bill Uriarte, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Net Neutrality must remain. It is the same concept for which the Founding Fathers prepared and signed the original Declaration of Independence and created The Constitution so many years ago. Maintain freedom, at little or no cost, FOR ALL!!
1948. lon herbert, glen ellen, CA, 95442

in affect you are taking away my first amendment right of free speech by abolishing net neutrality.....this is not right in america....not now...not ever! net neutrality must be protected from the big machine!

1949. Mark Campbell, Cotati, CA, 94931  
The internet is a wonderful tool to explore the word and reach out and touch all people and all issues freely. Please don't let Comcast, AT&T, or anyone else dictate what we research, learn about and share on this amazing tool. Information shared freely is the only way to keep and build free societies.
1950. Lee C Stader-Chan, Calistoga, CA, 94515  
As a red-blooded American, born and raise under the principles of Democracy; I say we cannot drop our true values of real freedom by giving away our privacy to any agency of our government or the private sector... it is UN-AMERICAN!! OUR net neutrality Must be Protected!
1951. Isabelle Chesley, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Net neutrality will help maintain the ability of new start ups to rise, not maintain the move towards monopolies.
1952. C M, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
You are in a position of public trust, and you are supposed to act in the best interest of the public, rather than giant communication corporations. Do the right thing.
1953. Ellen M. Peraino, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
We need to be protected from these company give away. It is a privacy act for all even people who use the cellphones email and regular telephone.
1954. liz wilkie, vallejo, CA, 94590  
Rather than argue that the individual entity called the corporation can improve the speed of the internet by having preferential treatment, I argue that the individual entity called the person can work with other individuals (corporations and persons) to produce a communication space for all. It is not a self-evident truth that a corporation alone has power or seduction to create communication conduits.
1955. Dr. Sharon Cabaniss, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Everyone is entitled to equal access to the internet. Net neutrality must be preserved.
1956. PAUL AMLIN, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95404  
I OWN A SMALL COMPANY THAT RELYS HEAVILY ON THE INTERNET FOR OUR BUSINESS COMMUNICATIONS AND TRANSACTIONS. AN OPEN AND NEUTRAL INTERNET IS VITAL FOR OUR COMPANIES HEALTH AND WELL BEING.
1957. Kate Long, Pinole, CA, 94564  
Don't limit the internet. Don't let the bigger providers overshadow the smaller ones.
1958. David MF Gurley, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Net neutrality affects ever one of us, without exception. Major corporations ought not be allowed to control such a fundamental technology, without limitation, and without **\*\*any\*\*** notification to or buy-in of the end user.
1959. Bonnie Betts, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Net Neutrality is fundamental to my right of free speech. My voice and information needs to be in my own hands, and not in the hands of large corporations.
1960. John, Crockett, CA, 94525  
I am opposed to changing the net neutrality rules. Providers should not give advantages to one group over another.
1961. Nathan Verbiscar-Brown, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
This is one of several times I have written to the FCC to advocate for net neutrality. I have done so and will continue to do so because I believe strongly in the principles of net neutrality. I believe that a free and open internet is vital to today's society and strongly urge the FCC to maintain the current classification of ISPs as utilities.
1962. Katrina Blackstone, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
We can not let big companies decide what is right for us. Net neutrality is the only way to keep our choices ours and our voices heard!
1963. Glenn Pope, Calistoga, CA, 94515  
It would not be good to have to wade through advertisements to be able to see what we need to see. If there was no net neutrality, it could make using the internet useless as far as finding any information or thing that is needed.
1964. Dian, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Censorship isn't an option but it will come just like the rest of the world without net neutrality. Books and and the internet are destroyed by those who fear open opinion.

1965. Jennifer Aldrich, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Dear FCC - You have the opportunity to speak to the future of the Internet and new technologies that have yet to be invented. By keeping Net Neutrality, you become a voice for the future and not a reaction to the fleeting present.
1966. Maria Indindoli, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. I hold free speech as one of the fundamental values of our country and culture.
1967. Judy, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Comcast, ATT and Verizon have only one agenda: more control, more profit at the expense of the vast majority of this country.
1968. Misa Mason, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Net Neutrality must be protected since it is a personal right. No one has the right to govern or charge for what I want to look at on the Internet.
1969. Camille, Pinole, CA, 94564  
I pay enough for my internet bill. I don't need big business interfering with what I can and cannot use the internet for.
1970. craig brammer, vallejo, CA, 94589  
In a democracy, people over money.
1971. Dee Ray, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
The average citizen needs the internet to remain neutral. Giving large corporations license to control this free speech media is unconscionable. They have plenty of control over what we see and hear as it is and don't need any more power.
1972. Nathan cordeiro, Santa rosa, CA, 95405  
The internet should remain neutral instead of supporting the interests of these huge rich companies keeping it in the hands of the people! It needs to stay in the free market!!!!
1973. Anonymous, Calistoga, CA, 94515  
Net neutrality is incredibly important and allows free speech. The internet is important in that regard. To remove that would be very unfair for consumers, not to mention the huge backlash.
1974. Patty, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
The net is for everyone, equally. A place for all humans of all races to interact together no judging.
1975. C. Lillevang, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Although they never foresaw the internet, unbiased access to all information would be included in our forefathers' Bill of Rights. Deliberately molding a person's access to only certain information or products is extremely alarming -- ask the Chinese!
1976. Penelope MacKenzie, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Please continue to protect Net Neutrality for the sake of all private citizens of our country who use the internet. Thank you. Sincerely,
1977. Misty Hay, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
Free Speech is promised to us citizens and is of the utmost importance. I want to choose and not have someone else do it for me. The big companies in our country have screwed up so much that they have touched and that is outrageous already. Protect net neutrality!!
1978. Catherine Trinidad, Hercules, CA, 94547  
Keep the Internet open and free from being controlled and manipulated for profit. You, FCC, must protect to keep communication open; do not be an agent that delivers profits to big companies.
1979. sean justice, martinez, CA, 94553  
What has allowed companies like Google and Amazon and Zappos (and everything else) to thrive is a decentralized, unregulated internet. These companies have not only changed the way we browse the internet but they've also changed social norms and market forces. These progressive companies could not have existed or innovated if they were subject to the new regulations and rules that will come along with the removal of net neutrality. We must commit to keeping the internet unregulated and out of the hands of a small few, looking only to profit. This maintenance of an open internet will allow innovation and progress to continue, either at the hands of a new startup company or the original giants of silicon valley. We must always allow for a level playing field if we are to make progress as a society. Please support maintaining an open internet.
1980. Debra E Morris, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Net neutrality is a level playing field for all Americans and needs to be protected. Leave the current laws alone!
1981. Rose Fuhrman, Sonoma, CA, 95476

We must have Net Neutrality. The internet has become increasingly necessary and is a tool we cannot allow to be manipulated for power or profit.

1982. Ian W, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
What these "big companies" fail to see is if the internet is under their total control, less and less people will even bother using it or paying for it as, everything they see will be an advertisement, all the little sites and services will disappear. Haven't they ever heard of "the customer is always right?"
1983. Seth Richardson, Fulton , CA, 95439  
I want my children to be able to choose what they see and read so that they can form their own opinions. I don't want a company to tell them what they should be thinking.
1984. Fran Tett, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I want the freedom to choose what I watch and do online without the interference of big business. This freedom must be protected or else we will be subject to the rules and regulations of companies that control our radio and TV today and make millions doing it. This is not about making the internet better instead its about allowing big business to be more profitable at our expense and removing our freedom to choose in the process.
1985. Maia Kobabe, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
As a freelance artist I promote my work, get jobs, sell online and generally make my living almost entirely through the internet. The ending of protects for net neutrality would negatively impact my life and make it harder to continue my career.
1986. Delinke Freed, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
There are so many reasons we need net neutrality. It is fundamental to free speech. It protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started, and so much more.
1987. Jutta Barion, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Free speech is one of the fundamental rights guaranteed to us by the constitution and late affirmed by the highest court in the land, the Supreme Court of The United States of America.Net Neutrality is an important component of free speech. Please leave Neutrality of the Internet as it is.
1988. Elaina Boyce, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
The internet should remain a common resource, available equally to everyone. No small group of corporations should be able to limit, or parcel out, access or speed of communication of this resource. Open internet, equal access is right and fair.
1989. Gretchen Williams, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
My family and my small business depend on the Internet every day. Keep net neutrality for us and for all Americans.
1990. Sarah Rosenberg, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I don't want someone else to control what information I receive or send. We are adults; net neutrality treats us as such.
1991. Greg Swartz, Napa, CA, 94558  
At what point do we start protecting our rights instead of having them taken away. Please project this problem in the future 10 years. Where will we be? Who will be feeding us what information and we will not have the ability to respond?
1992. Leon, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Censoring the internet is akin to Communism
1993. Elisbaeth Crist, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I am writing to encourage you to support Net Neutrality and the open Internet. We live in a day and age where universal access to information is very important to all people. If we are to prioritize education and social awareness we absolutely cannot allow individual corporations to be able to restrict access to any kind of available information from the public--to do so would be social suicide, and would halt a lot of progress within many fields that the open internet has allowed us to have. We as adults should always be learning, and open and equal access to the Internet has allowed us to continue that as a population. Thank you!Elisbaeth Crist
1994. Susann Sendek, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Keep net neutrality! Comcast already charges enough for their spotty internet access. Companies should not be allowed to push their products on the net, it's supposed to be the one equal place. Please do not fold to them~!
1995. Jason Deming, Ca, CA, 94591  
This will be a big mistake. I do not approve.
1996. Utkarsh, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Net neutrality is what keeps me from being poor.Utkarsh
1997. David Hauser, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
To enable a free and open democracy, it is critically important to keep net neutrality in place.

1998. Samuel Sparks, Napa, CA, 94559  
What are the reasons for being against the so called "net neutrality" movement? This is coming from an unbiased observer.
1999. Caro Lorber, Kelseyville, CA, 95451  
Why don't you get noble and do something you're not ashamed of when you leave this mortal coil. Being ruthless and mean spirited doesn't give you any peace, does it?
2000. Robin Tatman, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Keep net neutrality! Do not cave to special corporate interest and sell consumers down the drain.,
2001. Cathy Carr, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
First amendment, free speech, not who ever has the most money can control the content the American people have access to.
2002. Timothy Tylor, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
I share the worries about the future of the internet as an impartially accessible medium, and I beg you not to remove the current open internet rules.
2003. Rick Belding, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
We need to keep the net open and free of discrimination to all users. Favoring providers and allowing what is essentially censorship betrays the openness we value in the internet.
2004. Linda Odgers, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
DO NOT TURN THE FREE AND CLEAR INTERNET OVER to the huge Corporate interests. It is against all rights of Free Speech. A citizen's access to information MUST NOT be governed by those who can PAY for speed and accessibility.
2005. Deborah Nobel, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I agree with Senator Schatz that the internet must remain 'free.' Any restrictions will only benefit the internet providers and will be a threat to democracy.
2006. Regina Wilson-Seppa, Penngrove, CA, 94951  
Keep Net Neutrality!! Big corporations and corporate interests should NOT have quicker easier internet access than the people of this country!!!!!!!
2007. Andrew Griffiths, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I strongly oppose removing Title II status from the Internet. ISPs and the like must NOT be allowed to discriminate between types of traffic.
2008. David Denison , Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
If net neutrality is taken away, it would affect many high school and college students. Students nowadays rely too much on the internet for someone to take it away from them. I am a new student in high school and I have gotten many projects in the past. Non of which I could have completed if it weren't for the internet. I don't want to have to do research for a homework assignment, then later on figuring out that I have to pay more money to keep my internet speeds. I also don't want websites blocked that I need to get information from. It'll not only affect my internet, but my education as well. - David Denison  
David Denison
2009. Susan Garlock, Napa, CA, 94559  
Please reverse the Internet giveaway. This is an American innovation and it is frightening to hand this over without condition nor regulation to third world rogue and lawless countries and criminal players. We are a sovereign nation with rights of ownership to and for the America and it's citizens! There was no discourse between the FCC and Americans. This was done for globalist interests and their agendas. I am grateful for your intervention and future reversal in this matter.
2010. Amy Grimes, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Please keep net neutrality. Restricting the internet is like restricting free speech.
2011. T. Grey, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
You must be aware of the regional cable monopolies and the resulting high costs and poor service. Truthfully, you don't think the same thing will happen when net neutrality is dropped? As a Republican, I can appreciate big business's need to make more money, but the internet is different now, ubiquitous, an expected service like water. As consumers, we pay enough now, more than enough to cover infrastructure and reasonable profit. With the loss of net neutrality, we will be forced to pay more or not use the internet, which is hardly an option anymore. Water service is regulated to ensure quality and affordability for a critical service. Net neutrality does the same for the internet, so it should stand. Let those big businesses figure out how to make more money by providing better services, value-added services, etc., just like other businesses. Don't cave to an Obama-like bail out, make them earn it through old fashioned American innovation.
2012. Celine Haugen, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
The internet is part of the human Commons, vital for everyone to have equal access, no matter their stand in life. Competition can be counter productive and deny access to certain groups of people. Sufficient competition already exists for providing internet services. Net neutrality is key, please uphold it.

2013. Nancy Satterford, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
My husband and I are retired. In 2014 our cable Internet connection cost us \$44.99 per month (after a \$29.99 introductory rate expired). This month the cost has risen to \$94.95 per month. We do not have TV service, just Internet. We depend upon the Internet for communicating with friends and family, news, education, shopping, banking and entertainment. We cannot afford to pay much more to maintain our current connection. We already feel that we are being squeezed! Please let us continue to participate in the wider world using the Internet. Please do not let corporate greed price us out of adequate service. We cannot afford to compete for access with those who have greater financial resources.
2014. Karen Baird, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
The American dream has always included the idea of being the Land of Opportunity. Net Neutrality furthers that ideal by ensuring that a good idea and hard work can be fairly rewarded and that the door to opportunity does not close on someone just because they don't have the right connections or enough money to buy a seat at the table. In this day and age, the countries that protect Net Neutrality will be tapped into ALL the incredible talent, gifts and vision of their citizens, making those countries stronger and more resilient than those who do not. Please make America one of those countries that do. Thank you.
2015. V. Hachenburg, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Net neutrality is essential to all of us. The internet should not be controlled by industry giants.
2016. Mike White, Glen Ellen, CA, 95442  
Protect the Net at all costs. Don't steel one of our only freedoms for the sake of greedy businesses!
2017. Peter Faeustle, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Free access for everybody
2018. US Senate Candidate Bob Larivee, Susanville, CA, 99130  
Our Government is to be OF, BY and FOR the PEOPLE! ALL government agencies must remember they are AMERICAN, thus making them subject to the principles found in DECLARATION of INDEPENDENCE! We SHOULD NOT have to BEG to KEEP the INTERNET FREE. It should be a NO BAINER!
2019. Shelley M Caviness, Sant Rosa, CA, 95407  
Net neutrality must be preserved. We are seeing so many fundamental principles of equality eroded as the gap widens between the wealthiest Americans and the vast majority who are middle class or below economically. Net neutrality invites participation by all citizens in the public discourage regardless of the ability to pay for faster speed or access. Please do not weaken the "First Amendment of the Internet." Many small companies and start-ups are able to use new users through net neutrality. Don't you think the privileged already have enough advantages over the rest of us?
2020. Mary I. Martin, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Net Neutrality gives me confidence that my searches return what I am looking for rather than what others want me to see.
2021. Stephen Kratt, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
The internet belongs to all users, not just the commercial interests that can make more money by offering faster access at a higher price to certain customers while at the same time slowing access to other customers.
2022. David Lescure, Benicia, CA, 94510  
All communication should be free, its even held sacrosanct in our constitution. We must protect these freedoms and must ensure that the internet remains open and neutral.
2023. Fernando Diaz-Caceres, San Pablo, CA, 94806  
Net neutrality should no be interfered with. Changing or removing net neutrality will become in mayor loss for free speech rights across America
2024. Makeyle Barnes, Colorado Springs, CA, 80920  
I want to stand up for free speech. I want to protect that. As well as consumers rights to have freedom to choose what they want. I don't want companies choosing what I do on the internet.
2025. Alan Barreca, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Please keep the web open. I support net neutrality.
2026. Geli Nerine, American Canyon, CA, 94503  
Net Neutrality is extremely important to me as an artist because art all around the world is something we need to consume and without net neutrality, I will not be able to make an income and I'll be living in the streets.
2027. Skyler Yocom, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
I cannot stand for big cable companies like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon taking advantage of ordinary citizens like myself by censoring and overpricing us just for using the internet. We the people should be allowed to have freedom of speech online and should NOT have our internet speeds throttled just so cable companies and force us to pay more for speeds. Time Warner Cable already does that and it is sickening that there have been ZERO repercussions against them. Please don't let the little people be trampled on.

2028. Mark, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
The mere fact that the internet was mostly funded by tax payer dollars is a significant enough reason to keep net neutrality.
2029. Tina McCoy, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Net neutrality allows me to decide what I see and don't see. No one else should make that decision for me.
2030. Joanne Macchia, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Please do not make any changes that will destroy net neutrality. The large companies do not need more control or more profits. Maintain internet free speech and fair access for ALL people. Thank you.
2031. Patricia, Santa Rosa, CA, 94509  
As an "elder" the net is my window on the world. Please understand that I cannot afford to pay for extras that are now provided under the net neutrality law. Leave the net as it is. Big companies have plenty of money if they can pay their CEO millions of dollars, they do not need to get more by selling the net in pieces.
2032. Bonnie D Collins, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Help protect our economy with net neutrality. The majority of jobs in the USA are offered by small, independent, or family owned businesses, like mine, that can't afford a tiered access internet. Net neutrality is an equal opportunity. Thank you.
2033. chuck, penngrove, CA, 94951  
back off you greedy bastards, leave the net alone
2034. Andrew Franks, Middletown, CA, 95461  
I advocate the concept because it assists in many ways in the prolonging of what little free speech we have left and engages creativity in an essential fashion. And the head of the FCC should be removed. With the rest of the Politicians.
2035. Kevin Brady, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Free speech, need I say more?
2036. Magdalena Bielicka, Napa, CA, 94558  
I am very concerned about attempts at legislation that would negatively affect net neutrality. I am against the idea that big isp companies would be allowed to control the flow of information on the web. This is very dangerous and needs to be stopped.
2037. Brad Valentine, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
"We the people..." are our government. Too often, all evidence is otherwise. Keep the people -- even little, poor people -- with equal access and voice.
2038. William Smith, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Please maintain net neutrality. We The People should be able to choose what we want to see not big corporations. The internet should be a fair and equal resource for all not just a privileged few. This includes small businesses, independent contractors, artists, musicians and schools as well as larger entities. In a world where all communications, private and public, are rapidly becoming more "web-based" net neutrality is all the more critical.
2039. Brenda Beal, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Cable is already controlled by corporations who decide what we watch, eat, drink and wear. Internet is the only media left that isn't 100% controlled by corporations with their own agendas. Keep it open and free for all.
2040. Ellen Van Allen, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Net neutrality is essential to the maintenance and growth of our democratic society! It allows for communication, entrepreneurship and the cross fertilization of new ideas. Being stuck in the crystallization of society created by the censorship of government and corporations will stifle the growth and change necessary in a healthy modern society!
2041. James Morphy, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Maintaining widespread availability allows more people to participate in our modern society. Let's avoid economic discrimination and keep as many people as possible involved.
2042. Regina Rizzo, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
Impartiality is paramount to fairness and a cornerstone of a successful democracy whether in the courtroom, the classroom, or on the internet in the form of "net neutrality". Freedom of access to information is one of the most powerful and essential tools for informed citizenship. Net neutrality is key to maintaining and furthering our democracy.
2043. Alyssa Messer, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Net neutrality is essential to what it is to be American. By keeping these tools free, we ensure freedom for everyone. Values aside, there is a practicality to it. During this time of great political divide we can unite, and reap the benefits of that cohesion, or we can further divide and exacerbate these tensions.
2044. Capt. K. Niem, Napa, CA, 94558  
I hate to have the big companies tell me what I can read or view on the internet. This might be the future of thought control by

a few few companies

2045. Rae Seeley, American Canyon, CA, 94503  
"Speaking the Truth" in times of universal deceit is a revolutionary act". - George Orwell Via la revolucion
2046. Jenna, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Keep the net free! Net neutrality is important so there is equal access for everyone. Throttling internet will not help consumers and ruin the small business base. It is not acceptable for ISPs to charge websites for faster internet and hide fees. The internet was created on the basis to share knowledge, not take it from anyone who can't pay.
2047. Darrell Grisham, Santa Rosa , CA, 95404  
Net neutrality helps ensure a level playing field and realization of the American dream.
2048. Michael Wilkinson, Benicia, CA, 94510  
I support Chairman Ajit Pai's rollback of the Title II regulations that were established under President Obama. I believe despite what was said publicly, when the rules were published, that they were set up to have government act as a gatekeeper in essence to keep out points of view that the government did not agree with. Title II regulation gives too much power to the government over the future of the internet. It could amount to de facto censorship. I am sure this was not the intent of these regulations, but unscrupulous person(s) could overstep their authority and act to stop particular point(s) of view from being heard. It has harmed innovation and investment. It also deters competition. A rollback of the Obama-era Title II regulations will act to stimulate innovation and investment. Competition for new, faster, better, speeds, etc will also act to encourage competition. When you have your competition constantly breathing down your neck, that will act to "keep the competition honest" it will spur research. Markets and consumers should regulate the Internet's future, not government. Internet content should be consumer driven. All points of view should be allowed, and the government should NOT be allowed to censor ANY particular point(s) of view.
2049. Deborah Faulkner, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I think all Americans should have equal access and equal internet speeds. We should keep internet neutrality. To change the system we have now would be unfair and favor corporate interests.
2050. Michael Wilkinson, Benicia, CA, 94510  
The Title II regulation in its current form is burdensome and unnecessary. It limits competition, and hurts innovation and investment. Competition, innovation and subsequent investment by the internet providers IS a requisite for the internet to perform at peak performance. I support FCC Chairman Ajit Pai's rollback of the current Title II regulation.
2051. Rick Belding, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I don't mind paying for bandwidth, but I do mind providers blocking what I may see in my bandwidth. That is just plain robbery or censorship.
2052. William Demmon, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) must be protected. I don't want to have to put up with slow websites, because the company I have decides to pay and use "slow lanes". We deserve to have an internet that we the consumer wants to see, not what our internet provider decides we should see. Stand up for the consumer and do what is right.
2053. Elizabeth clapp, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I am sick and tired of power plays and the corruption involved with this kind of issue. I think everyone involved this should be given their walking papers and land up not being able to find a job or in prison.
2054. Barbara Fry, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Please, let us decide what we want to see!
2055. Robert Johnson, Penngrove, CA, 94951  
Fast and slow lanes for Internet seems like a non-sane idea, and definitely undemocratic and will increase an already severe inequality in the country. There are many other reasons while it's a very bad idea and I hope others enumerate them.
2056. Jacqueline Kramer, SONOMA, CA, 95476  
keep a free press with net neutrality
2057. Anthony Mules, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I strongly oppose Chairman Pai's proposal to reverse net neutrality protections because a free and open internet is vital for our democracy, for our businesses, and for our daily lives. It would give giant internet companies the power to prioritize what we read, watch, and explore online. The internet has become a key component in daily life and is no longer a "luxury" item, but it now a vital necessity for people and businesses to operate normally. And giving isp providers so much power without placing regulations to prevent them from taking advantage of the American people and businesses is absolute corruption at its finest. I submit my public comment to oppose Chairman Pai's proposal to reverse net neutrality protections.
2058. Jay Hipps, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
The FCC must keep Title II classification for Internet access if we are to retain a level playing field for the growth and

development of our technology futures. Please keep Internet access under Title II. Regards, Jay HippsVallejo, CA

2059. Joseph Farley, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I firmly believe that it is a threat to free speech, freedom of the press, and democratic public discourse to place power over the Internet into the hands of corporate interests.
2060. Jon Steenhoven, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
The idea of increased corporate control of the internet is intolerable!
2061. Greg Geitner, Angwin, CA, 94508  
NO MORE INTERFENE
2062. C Dandridge, SONOMA, CA, 95476  
I have read the pros and cons and limiting the Internet would be disastrous on all fronts, including for new businesses being able to be competitive. It completely unjustifiable and does not serve this nation now and in the future. Please do NOT gut the Internet.
2063. JD Dr. Wayne Bruce Allbin, Yountville, CA, 94599  
An open internet is requisite FOR FREE SPEECH. We cannot communicate freely under the control of corporations.
2064. Jon Steenhoven, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
I would find an internet controlled by these providers intolerable, and would immediately begin to agitate and organize to create municipal and other public internet providers -hoping to push these corporate interests out of internet control completely.
2065. Maria-Christina Gazzano, Hidden Valley Lake, CA, 95467  
Please do not end Net Neutrality! Sincerely. Maria-Christina Gazzano
2066. Darcy Skarada, Kelseyville, CA, 95451  
More of the same corruption that has brought us the loss of democracy and let us become a shameful symbol of a great world leader degraded to a 3rd world dictatorship IS NOT HELPFUL, and certainly not patriotic. It is just the SAME opportunistic and predatory behavior. It is not acceptable.
2067. Alethea Brown, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Net neutrality is essential to democracy. Please preserve it.
2068. RoyAnne Florence, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
The idea of eliminating net neutrality is downright criminal. Shame on anyone for even considering it. Pandering to large corporations' greed, indeed!
2069. Jeff Roberts, Napa, CA, 94558  
I am strongly in favor of Net Neutrality. Please do not allow control of the net by a few large corporations.
2070. Mary Baldwin, San Pablo, CA, 94806  
Please protect Net Neutrality! I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my representatives in Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!
2071. Fritz Pinckney, Napa, CA, 94558  
The internet was meant to be free for all. Why does congress ALWAYS want to put a tax on everything?
2072. Jon Steenhoven, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
You must preserve open internet rules!

2073. Susan Crakow, Benicia, CA, 94510
2074. William Tennant, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
The FCC was born of the conviction that the airwaves belong to the people and should not be monopolized for private gain. The same principle should apply to the internet. Please maintain the current open internet rules and resist attempts to compromise net neutrality.
2075. Jon Steenhoven, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
An internet channeled to corporate interests would lose all appeal to me.
2076. B Harper, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
The FCC must maintain the Open Internet rules. Equal access to the internet ensures that information is available to all, a cornerstone of our democracy!
2077. Ty Nowotny, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I desire to maintain a free and open internet. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!
2078. Lisa Goldfarb, Saint Helena, CA, 94574  
I am writing to voice my STRONG support of net neutrality. Do not rescind its title II status. Please believe and know in your heart that NO individual American without a clear and distinct corporate financial interested wants net neutrality reversed. Not one.
2079. Penn Diehl, Napa, CA, 94558  
4833160135028519
2080. Carol Ciavonne, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Because money cannot be the measure of equality in the US, the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!
2081. Margo Bishop, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Protect the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules). The ISPs can NOT have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!
2082. Chris Borland, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
People, I'm writing to you today to urge you in the strongest of terms to support the continuance of the strongest possible Net

Neutrality rules by the Trump FCC. Nothing is more important to a functioning democracy and a thriving economy than a free, open, and level internet, where the smallest voices and businesses can compete on an equal footing with the largest ones! Don't bow to corrupt pressure from corporations that want to abscond with THE PUBLIC internet (created with and by public money)! Support STRONG Net Neutrality rules! Thank you.

2083. Jim Phillips, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
USA ranks 28th in the world for internet speed and service. With control by the corporations, internet speed will become worse. USA will become the laughing stock of the world for terrible internet speed despite the fact that USA is a technological advanced country. Keep the internet open and free!
2084. Duke Pavliger, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Full and free access to the Internet I believe is a constitutional privilege. It should be protected as such. No corporation or the FCC should control limiting the access to, nor the speed of that access. It should be neutral and equal to all who use it. Oversized corporations, approaching monopolies, should not be allowed to restrict access. This electronic highway is no different than public highways. The FCC is the champion of that highway and I encourage it not, I demand it not, act as their lackey and allow them to act in anyway that would remove it from being neutral and freely accessible by all citizens of the United States
2085. Mike Miller, Cotati, CA, 94931  
Really the net is now a utility and it should be a public one. Don't let profit be the only motive you can consider.
2086. Jessie Gordon, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Don't mess with Net Neutrality. The net belongs to everyone equally.
2087. Dotty Hopkins, Napa, CA, 94559  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me as the owner of a real estate business. I urge you to protect them. Realtors operate online more than most other businesses and are a huge driver of the economy. If you hinder our ability to function on the internet, you will be harming large and small businesses, the public and the economy all at once. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!
2088. Carol Uschyk, Calistoga, CA, 94515  
We don't need extra fees for internet use. Bad idea!
2089. Greg Schneider, Angwin, CA, 94508  
Mr. Ajit Pai must stop his attack on the public interest and his favoritism toward ISPs. The open internet (aka net neutrality) is a boon for economic innovation and growth. ISP speed lanes, which at least Verizon has promised to implement, will amount to a huge privately levied tax on internet users. The pay-to-play rules of Verizon & other ISPs will also amount to a muzzle on free speech, as many blogs and discussion sites will be put beyond the notice of millions of users. Reassurances that such constrictions will not happen are hollow and disingenuous. The courts make it clear that the surrender of the rule that regulates ISPs as utilities will require the FCC to allow the fast lane/slow lane practices, practices that will stifle economic and political freedoms. Mr. Pai's mind appears to be made up, so this message is going to my members of Congress as well as the FCC, in hopes that Mr. Pai might be compelled to listen to voices he is currently inclined to ignore.
2090. Joa Thayer, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
To the lawmakers in congress, please do not pass legislation limiting net neutrality. I am taking steps to change my internet provider from Comcast to Sonic. I already cancelled Comcast landline phone and cable tv service. What are you going to do?  
Joanna Thayer
2091. JOSE Hernandez, Napa, CA, 94558  
What are you all doing with my publicly owed waves over our planet? Your role is to protect citizens rights, secured access to all Americans, stop giving in to corporate lobbying, they make plenty of money already. Earn our respect & your tax payers

money. Thank you JosÃ¡fÃ©

2092. Sean Montgomery, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Don't let them end net neutrality, please protect our access to information from being pay to play.
2093. Sue Berry, Napa, CA, 94559  
Internet providers like Comcast & Verizon should not control what we see and do online. In 2015, startups, Internet freedom groups, and 3.7 million commenters won strong net neutrality rules from the US Federal Communication Commission (FCC). The rules prohibit Internet providers from blocking, throttling, and paid prioritization "fast lanes" for sites that pay, and slow lanes for everyone else. Nearly everyone who understands and depends on the Internet supports net neutrality, whether they're startup founders, activists, gamers, politicians, investors, comedians, YouTube stars, or typical Internet users who just want their Internet to work as advertised "regardless of their political party
2094. Susie Merrill, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
5528518403087524
2095. Elaine Fenton, Glen Ellen, CA, 95442  
Please DO NOT SELL ME OUT to BIG CORPORATIONS! Don't the communications Giants have enough profit already.? Do the RIGHT thing. Keep NET NEUTRALITY. The preservation of free speech (remember the constitution?) depends on neutrality.
2096. Ananda Patterson, Rodeo, CA, 94572  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important not only to me, not merely to small business and innovation, but to a free and informed democracy. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!
2097. Jason Hill, Hercules, CA, 94547  
Keep net neutrality, please do not allow big business or politics to stifle and racketeer the internet. It is a land in and of itself of opportunity, please keep it that way!
2098. Elaine Cook, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I rely on the internet for news and information. I also have a website that I want to have accessible for others to see. It's very important to me to have good access. The internet is a public utility - people need it for their jobs, to find a job, to communicate. I want access to all the websites I want to see - and I don't think we should pay extra for fast lanes. This is important for small businesses, which power job growth, and need people to find them even though they can't afford to pay for fast lanes. It's important for non-profits which need to have their information available for supporters. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans to get rid of them. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you,
2099. Richard Bruns, Napa, CA, 94559  
The FCC is a government department that is supposed to respond to the Will of the People and the People, including me, are saying that maintaining the current FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to us, and to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet

providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

2100. Linda Kimball, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405

The Federal Government - The FCC - was established to PROTECT THE RIGHTS OF INDIVIDUAL U.S. CITIZENS, NOT THE POCKETS OF U.S. CORPORATIONS!! Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are a Constitutional Right and extremely important to me. Protect our Rights. We pay ISPs way too much to give them the power to block their customers from websites! IT WOULD BE ILLEGAL FOR THE FCC TO SEPARATE ISP SERVICE COMPANIES BETWEEN "FAST AND SLOW INTERNET LANES!" "DON'T LET GIANT ISPS CENSOR WHAT WE SEE AND DO ONLINE!!! COMCAST, VERIZON, AT&T, & TIME WARNER HAVE ONCE AGAIN BECOME MONOPOLIES, BLOCKING OTHER SERVICE PROVIDERS FROM COMPETING TO REDUCE COSTS. INSTEAD THE BIG MONOPOLIES ARE BENEFITING FROM THAT STATUS BY RAISING PRICES TO THE STRATOSPHERE!!! Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

2101. Matthew Reid, CALISTOGA, CA, 94515

An attack on the FCC's Open Internet Rules is an attack on free speech, the very foundation of our nation. These net neutrality rules are extremely important to me. You must protect them. ISPs must not have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

2102. Regina Wilson-Seppa, Penngrove, CA, 94951

Net neutrality is extremely important to the people of our nation. The fair thing is to uphold net neutrality and NOT give large corporations and special interests the ability to slow down or speed up internet content.

2103. Alvin McKinstry, San Pablo, CA, 94806

Free and fast internet for all!

2104. Michael Watson, SONOMA, CA, 95476

Net neutrality, of the sort we currently enjoy, supports innovation and the building of new infrastructure. It does so by allowing smaller, independent companies to build and innovate on a basis of equality with larger organizations. Larger firms have no special, exclusive claim to the titles of 'innovators' and 'builders of infrastructure'. The diversity of resources available on the internet has been encouraged by net neutrality, and has made for its phenomenal growth and current usefulness. Let us keep the current arrangement of net neutrality, so that an equal right to innovate and build on the internet is afforded to all the citizens of our nation.

2105. Janet Landman, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404

Like everyone over 8 years old, I depend on the Internet. Big corporations should not be able to control what I do on the Internet, and they should not be allowed to set up a 2-tiered system separating the haves from the have-nots. FCC, please

protect net neutrality from these corporate parasites.

2106. Therese Hagemann, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
The first amendment would not agree with this. We can control our own internet
2107. Valerie Frank, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
NET NEUTRALITY REDUX.I am INCENSED that we mainstream Americans are ONCE AGAIN having to fight for our rights to an FCC that does not, as a matter of due course, acknowledge and serve the general populous, and defend against the tyranny of the corporate elite. Below, please RE-RECEIVE an excerpt my letter of three years ago (to the day):-----  
-----THE ABROGATION OF NET NEUTRALITY IS A VIOLATION OF THE FIRST AMENDMENT.The internet is an open piazza, a Commons, where the general public uses their voice. To give a select number of parties a permanent megaphone drowns out the discourse of the populous. The U.S.A. has been enjoying the untrammled freedom of this new airspace called the Internet, and America prides itself on Freedom of Speech. In addition, open Internet has also been the economic savior to many "start-ups", where Proverbial Mom and Pop businesses -- from hand-made doilies to mobile apps -- have been able to find markets unsmothered by Big Businesses.We need to either walk the talk, or own up to the travesty our Constitution has become. Is it "We the People" or "We the Corporations"?It is a truism that one often doesn't know what they've got 'till it's gone, and I would argue that those who would arrogate themselves superior rights to the Commons are by the nature of this dynamic more vocal at this juncture than would accurately reflect their share of populous representation. Moreover, the fact that the parties who are pro-Tiered-Internet are arguably financially invested to comment and are of white collar backgrounds, they will bring to bear a balance of more erudite argument, albeit geared towards capital gains and not to all of America's civil rights.[I] urge the FCC to jump (or un-jump) through the hoops of legal verbiage so meticulously placed and esoteric, and affirm this is a country with "Liberty and Justice for All", not "Paid Access and Conditions for Clients".-----I will resend this letter as often as I must, and continue to campaign for Liberty and Justice for All.Valerie Frank
2108. Diane Whitmire, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
What I, together with the majority of the American People will do is.....CLOSE OUR ACCOUNTS and KEEP THEM CLOSED UNTIL NET NEUTRALITY IS RESTORED UNDER STRICT CONDITIONS THAT MAKES THIS SPY-TROLLING IDEA IMPOSSIBLE TO RAISE IT'S UGLY HEAD AGAIN! HERE'S THE DEAL: WE, THE PEOPLE, BOUGHT THE HARDWARE, BOUGHT THE SOFTWARE, BOUGHT THE UPDATES .....AND PAY TO HAVE INTERNET ACCESS. FREE IT AIN'T. YET THAT DOESN'T SEEM PROFITABLE ENOUGH FOR THE TELECOM INDUSTRY AND THEIR SHILLS IN THE FCC WHO BELIEVE, WRONGLY, THAT THEY CAN TELL THE AMERICAN PEOPLE WHAT THEY CAN LIVE WITH, AND HOW THEY MAY LIVE IN THE PRIVACY OF THEIR OWN HOMES! GUESS AGAIN! WAIT AND CHECK YOUR MONTHLY INCOME AFTER GETTING RID OF NET NEUTRALITY WHEN MILLIONS OF US CLOSE OUR ACCOUNTS AND KEEP THEM CLOSED IF THIS INVASIVE GREED-MONGERING PLAN GOES THROUGH. LET ME MAKE IT CLEARER: NET NEUTRALITY MEANS THE INDUSTRY KEEPS THEIR ACCOUNTS AND PROFIT. KILL NET NEUTRALITY AND WE KILL, NOT JUST YOUR PROFIT, BUT YOUR ENTIRE INCOME! WE WEREN'T BORN WITH THIS TECHNOLOGY; WE CAN LIVE WITHOUT IT! WE, THE PEOPLE, ARE THE ONES YOU CAN'T LIVE WITHOUT! GO AHEAD! TRY US!
2109. Arthur Horner, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
The internet needs to be open. Small companies will not be able to compete. Please keep this. Rule as is. Thank you.
2110. Bennie Goldston, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Please stop messing with the internet, and find something else to mess with. Thank you
2111. Eryn Espiritu, Crockett, CA, 94525  
The idea of losing net neutrality feels terrifying to average citizens like me, when we think it through. It is one more route for big business and government to use in blocking our democratically given rights "to assemble (virtually)" and "to petition our government."Despite its flaws, the Internet has clearly become a vital tool to all functioning democracies worldwide (and even a threat to authoritarian regimes as well).Please protect us all from internet censorship weakness and corporate takeover.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!
2112. Laura Edwards, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Don't kill the internet! I swear, capitalism looks more and more like communism every year. Only the method of delivery is different.
2113. Shannon Tolson, KELSEYVILLE, CA, 95451  
We need net neutrality to preserve our country's DEMOCRACY! Huge media giants should not control what we see, read, hear, and view. That is NOT FREEDOM! That is NOT DEMOCRACY!
2114. Richard Wilson, Cotati, CA, 94931  
I am writing to implore you to protect the FCC's Open Internet Rules. The free market and the marketplace of ideas suffer when thinkers and innovators can't make their voices heard due to economic disadvantage. Ending Title II classification and

allowing ISPs to charge for "fast lane" internet ensures that those unable to pay struggle to get their ideas out, to the detriment of a public that would benefit from them. Thank you for safeguarding the open internet.

2115. J Cook, Napa, CA, 94558  
Net neutrality is critical to my wellbeing
2116. Dana Bellwether, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to free speech. I urge you to protect them and to anonymously publicize the companies that try to pressure you into voting for their profits over our freedom. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay (or that the rich approve) and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let the .1%'s giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else--if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You would kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America--just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers would be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs would be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!
2117. Tomiko Yabumoto, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
net neutrality is for everyone's benefit, not just the beginner's mentality or the Big Brothers.
2118. Holly Anton, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me - and they should be to you as well. I urge you to protect them. If you think for a moment that corporatization of the internet will not affect you - personally, YOU ... then you are not paying attention. Neither of us, in the long run, will benefit from ISPs having the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. The future generations may in fact come to know your name ... consider how you want to be remembered. Censorship is slippery business, we will all fall down if you allow it to happen. Under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like - and may be able to create content that serves their own purposes, not the public's. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!
2119. Joseph Manning, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to everyone, I urge you to protect them for our own future and our children's. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else--if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America--just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!
2120. Geoffrey Marsh, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95405  
For anyone who believes in the open exchange of ideas unrestricted by the profit interests of large corporations, net neutrality is a necessity. I personally am much better informed by the fact that the internet is equally open to all. I don't want large corporations to be able to reduce and stifle my access to information. You may not believe in democracy, Chairman Pai, but if

you do you need to keep net neutrality.

2121. Sarina Rohr, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Dear Congress & FCC the internet can never be Censored or edited because we as humans have a Right to freeSpeech !!!!!  
Sensiraly Sarina Eva Rohr
2122. Nina Bouska, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Allowing extra fees for internet content is certainly undemocratic, totally in opposition to the concept of a free society, and one more destructive move toward limiting the opportunities to those who are not born to wealth. I am wholehearted opposed to such a move.
2123. Becky Amacher, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Keep the internet unmanipulated!
2124. Roger Peterson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
It's time to make  $\tilde{A} \tilde{c} \tilde{A} \tilde{c} \tilde{A}$  how  $\tilde{A} \tilde{c} \tilde{A} \tilde{c} \tilde{A}$  we are more important than  $\tilde{A} \tilde{c} \tilde{A} \tilde{c} \tilde{A}$  who  $\tilde{A} \tilde{c} \tilde{A} \tilde{c} \tilde{A}$  we are. By making how we treat each other more important than how we measure up to each other is a small change to make within that will result in a huge social change without. Giving control of the Internet to large corporations like Comcast and Time-Warner for profit is the same as giving them them ownership of it. It makes you wonder, how can so many bright minds (owners, CEOs, corporate lawyers, investors) be so blinded by a system of beliefs that has no boundaries, no moral compass? When money, power, and privilege are used as a primary measure success, when is enough, enough? When does the end not justify the means? To give money, power, and privilege more value than us is to give it power over us. Are we here to live, love, learn and evolve or to be right or wrong, good or bad? If money represents the resource value of this planet, how can we not share it. How can we survive if it doesn't  $\tilde{A} \tilde{c} \tilde{A} \tilde{c} \tilde{A}$  circulate? Maybe it  $\tilde{A} \tilde{c} \tilde{A} \tilde{c} \tilde{A}$  more important to resolve this conflict between haves and have-nots than it is to perpetuate it. Maybe it  $\tilde{A} \tilde{c} \tilde{A} \tilde{c} \tilde{A}$  time to wake up, wise up and rise up to greater understanding. In your role as deciders in chief, maybe it  $\tilde{A} \tilde{c} \tilde{A} \tilde{c} \tilde{A}$  time to stand up for Net Neutrality. As tempting as it may be, stop selling your souls for a few dollars more. You  $\tilde{A} \tilde{c} \tilde{A} \tilde{c} \tilde{A}$  re worth far more than that whether you realize it or not.
2125. Joel Strouss, Kelseyville, CA, 95451  
LEAVE IT ALONE !!!
2126. Mary Granieri, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I urge you to protect the rules that allow net neutrality to remain the basis for all of us to use the internet fairly. I want to have equal access and speed on a variety of websites that I choose. If the big cable monopolies are allowed to charge for faster speeds or cause slower speeds on other websites, this is discrimination to those of us users who cannot afford to pay or who choose not to subscribe to these monopolies. It creates an impediment to our freedom of association and speech and ability to have equal access to information for all people. The internet is essential to all people now, worldwide. It must be protected. Please protect our rights. They are not for sale to the highest bidder. Thank you
2127. Shan Magnuson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Please do everything in your power to save the free and open internet. Thank you. Shan Magnuson
2128. Andrea Edwards, Benicia, CA, 94510  
These companies exist to provide access to the internet. They did not create the internet, they do not own the internet, therefore they have no business trying to control the internet. It is not our obligation to ensure profit for giant corporations. It is not theirs, it's ours; they have no right.
2129. Amy Torello, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
As a very small scale entrepreneur who runs a large part of my business online, this law would wipe me out. This is 100% unfair so that the big guys can have even More! A think a diverse economy made up of all sizes of business is much more dynamic and stable than one controlled by the few. I implore you to consider us small guys, who you ought be protecting. Thank you Amy Torello
2130. Silvia Costales, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and to democracy, freedom, and liberty and justice for all. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they

don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

2131. Connor Olson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Really... This is the modern day burning of Alexandria. Shame on the FCC.
2132. John Prendergast, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Protecting net neutrality is of great importance to me. The internet should be treated as a regulated utility to ensure equal access to all.-John
2133. Ian O'Connor, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Please do not let the Restore Internet Freedom Act fool anyone into thinking their freedoms are protected by it. We citizens are not helped by the money-grab legislation in the slightest. Please fight against this Act and to keep Title 2 classification. Thank you.
2134. Shane Evans, Martinez, CA, 94553  
As a taxpayer, consumer and voter, I expect our representatives to prevent big corporations from being able to wage war against one another by throttling or charging more for services from their competitors. This wouldn't fly in any other market, and it shouldn't fly here.
2135. Stefan Bialosiewicz, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
I don't need to elaborate on the rationale for preserving net neutrality. Don't mess with Title II. Don't add yet one more fiasco to the list of the Trump Administration's blunders. Net neutrality is important for the great majority of citizens and undermining it serves only those corporations that want to control internet communication and thereby increase their profits. Stefan Bialosiewicz 71 year old voting citizen 4214 Miles Avenue Santa Rosa CA 95407 707-535-0177 Counselor for Big Brothers Big Sisters of the North Bay
2136. Mary Jones, Napa, CA, 94558  
I want the freedom to look at anything I want, no one can decide what is my right to see.
2137. Andres Cisneros, American Canyon, CA, 94503  
I urge the FCC to keep Title II in its current state. Loosening restrictions on ISPs will undoubtedly allow them to provide an unfair playing field to all who use the internet.
2138. Stephanie , Angwin, CA, 94508  
I want an open internet free of limitations
2139. Haley Fess, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
We must keep Net Neutrality! It's a crucial part of our democracy.
2140. Jacob Smith, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Hi FCC, hmmm, never thought I'd be stating my opinion, here it goes; I use the internet for YouTube, memes, and homework. mostly YouTube, any how, all three of those would not bode well if they're slow, for one, homework and YouTube are similar. You can have a tutor right at the click of a button, and you get your paper to the teacher on time. Memes and other stuff I do which require YouTube, also require the speed I need to watch them, imagine watching a 10 minute clip of a youtube video, but instead of 10 minutes, the buffer speed slows down to 40 minutes, or maybe an hour or two long, imagine you had a paper due, but each time you tried to send it, a network slow down, made your connectivity to the internet unreliable, now, imagine that for the tens of millions of people in the United States, that's what you guys are proposing, so please deny the bill
2141. Brittany thompson, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
In my line of work I use the internet daily to check facts and learn about different species of fish that I sell at my place of employment. In order to do this I need access to many site quickly. I keep in touch with family online, I buy goods online and wouldn't be able to afford paying for internet like cable, especially if stores I needed to use aren't big enough to be taken seriously enough to be a subscribed option. My son also uses the internet for school and learning. Net neutrality is also freedom of speech and should not be silenced in such a way.
2142. Samuel , Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
This is our rights as Americans to have access to net neutrality
2143. Katherine Gomez, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
The First Amendment guarantees the right to speak, publish and practice religion freely. Once a company or government gets to decide what media individuals do and do not have access to, those individuals no longer have information to make their own decisions. It obliterates our freedom and we may as well move to North Korea.

2144. Douglas Danielak, Angwin, CA, 94508  
Keeps small business competitive.
2145. Sean Nelson, Napa, CA, 94559  
The internet is crucial infrastructure for my business and a central feature of my life, and I know this is true for most businesses and citizens of this country. Please don't remove the level playing field that drives innovation. Please preserve net neutrality. Thank you, Sean Nelson
2146. Nathan Charan, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
The Internet is not only a place for freedom for the free American. Taking away our right to choose what we want for the internet is another way to take away from our human rights.
2147. Caroline Duarte, Rodeo, CA, 94572  
This is a right that 90% of Americans want!! Does this represent us? No. We will never give this up. This is not 1984 it is 2017 we know better now that the government should take away our rights to privacy and net neutrality
2148. Frances Anderson, Hercules, CA, 94547  
I'm handicapped and the internet is my greatest tool.
2149. Thomas Rozner, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
Keep in Neutral!
2150. Angelica Mendez, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Unlike television, the internet is a shared space made by companies and individuals alike. The internet has a wealth of information available to anyone wishing to know it. The internet as we know it is bowling led to a free democracy, sure there is fake news on Facebook but a free net means that anyone can fact check. Also documentation and articles on congress people is a function that holds them accountable for their actions. Without the Internet, people would have to rely on tv and print, leaving it disadvantaged people
2151. Lillian , Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Don't slow down my internet, don't ruin a good thing for everyone.
2152. Jack Coner, Benicia, CA, 94510  
my future depends on it.
2153. Marina Reichert, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I use the internet for my school work, and research on projects. I use the internet to talk to friends in other states. I use the internet to make doctors appointments for me as well as my little nine year old sister. I use it to upload art for people to buy so I can buy things for my sister that my family can't normally afford. We need net neutrality, especially if people can't afford to pay extra. If people can't pay....then this business, the internet may fail.
2154. Spencer Supnet, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
It gives me my freedom to use the freaking internet!
2155. Liliana Tafolla, Cotati, CA, 94931  
As a freelance artist the internet is my main source of income. A neutral Internet is my livelihood.
2156. Barrett Benziger, Glen Ellen, CA, 95442  
The Internet is one of mankind's greatest creations, and to jeopardize that is just unthinkable.
2157. Galina Berger, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Net Neutrality allows the rising business market online for individuals like myself to exist and provides for my, and my family's well-being. As well as that, the job market is mainly moving onto a digital platform and ending Net Neutrality would put many Americans at risk for jobs and financial stability. Net Neutrality also allows greater democracy, letting everyone regardless of wealth or location, have access to online resources to become an active and functioning member of our democratic society. Without Net Neutrality information would be in the hands of the wealthy and those in lower socio-economic classes, aka those that make up a majority of the country's population, would lack access and thus be further disadvantaged due to the corruption reminiscent of the Industrial Revolution under the monopoly-ruled lizzie faire government. Net Neutrality promotes Equality.
2158. Grace Oneil, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Net neutrality is one of the few truly democratic things in our society these days. We NEED net neutrality. Taking it away is selfish and tyrannical. Everyone uses the internet for everything in this day and age, from educational purposes to their jobs. Peoples livelihoods depend on the internet and net neutrality. By taking that away you are basically taking peoples jobs away. You're throwing people onto the streets because their job on the internet pays their bills and since they don't have the money to pay for the internet they can't pay for ANYTHING and then they're starving and living on the streets. You are giving all the power and resources to the rich and leaving everyone else who depends on free accessible internet to sink and die. You have to keep net neutrality. It is essential to our society that the internet is free and accessible to everyone, not just the 1% at the top of

the social food chain. You are ruining and bankrupting businesses,livelyhoods,and our whole economy by taking away net neutrality. Please, for the sake of everyone,please dont be selfish and cruel and protect net neutrality. Dont let this happen. Please dont take away the one thing everyone uses and needs and depends on just to earn large well off companies an extra buck. Dont do that. Dont be greedy-minded and cruel to all those in the middle and lowerclass. We depend on net neutrality for everything, we use it for everything. So please protect net neutrality. Everyone needs the internet,not just the rich. And you will be ruining lives and jobs if you take it away.

2159. Emily Hayes, Napa, CA, 94559  
Losing net neutrality will kill the internet
2160. Jensen Couch, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I'm a freelance artist, and I don't make a whole lot of money. I need people to be able to see and access my work, and I need to be able to network across social media. I can't afford to pay extra to do that, and I know there are others who can't too, so you're limiting their ability to see my stuff too.
2161. Harrison davis, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I depend on the internet for me news, education, knowledge, instructional DIY, and entertainment. Net neutrality defines freedom of the press in the constitution.
2162. AJ Gutierrez, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Net neutrality is vital for the whole world to communicate, limiting access will have dire consequences towards our economy and our freedom.
2163. Jon Steenhoven, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
Destruction of internet neutrality is unthinkable!
2164. Finlay Bryden, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
The internet has been is, and should be a source of knowledge, fact checks, and fun for everyone who uses it. I don't believe that just because one company or person has more money than another that the users of their website should be punished, have opinions quieted, or have no access to factual and unbiased news. Keep the internet free, and neutral for all the users. Keep Net Neutrality
2165. Alan Peck, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
History will look poorly on those who seek to corrupt the Internet, humanity's last and best chance to unite and save ourselves. Net neutrality or barbarism. There is no third way.
2166. Jonathan gonzalez, American Canyon, CA, 94503
2167. Jonathan gonzalez, American Canyon, CA, 94503
2168. Christine Michaelian, Napa, CA, 94558  
To compromise Net Neutrality is to compromise not only values integral to our country but basic human rights. In the age of information, the freedom to access that information without barriers is crucial. We cannot decry internet censorship in other countries if we start to utilize those ideas in our own.
2169. Kelsey , Napa, CA, 94559  
As an employee of a small business I understand how important net neutrality is for independent businesses and their supporters. Any departure from neutrality will push us faster toward a future where big box companies dominate local markets.
2170. Isabella , Napa, CA, 94558  
I WANT MY INTERNET
2171. Enrique Mata, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Its in the First Amendment of the United States Constitution giving us the freedom of speech and freedom of press. Net Neutrality can be portrayed in anyway to avoid those claims of trying to censor the internet however they are doing just that but attempting to repeal net neutrality which in turn results in stripping us of two freedoms within our US Constitution.
2172. Nicholas Chera, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are vital to maintaining the internet as we know it, and they must be protected. A free and open web has made the Internet what it is today: a place where new companies can thrive, information is freely disseminated, and websites don't have to worry about being at a competitive disadvantage (bandwidth-wise) just because they're new to the game. The Internet has become a part of our infrastructure, and it should be protected as such.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups

and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

2173. Paul Warner, Cotati, CA, 94931

It's so simple, make the last mile network providers make money on that, and not by taxing content providers. The last mile folks should be able to profit. But preserving innovation on the content side is critical. Google, Apple, Facebook, Netflix, these are the US drivers of innovation in this century, not AT&T or Verizon. Don't stifle the innovators or the US competitive position in the world will suffer. America first or something like that right?

2174. Mark Brown, Napa, CA, 94558

The primary utility offered by broadband internet is not an information service, it is the infrastructure which contains many different information services, such as Spotify, Pandora, Netflix, etc. It is really no different than municipal water lines. I can pay to use more water or upgrade the capacity the pipe carries, but it is still just a water line. It doesn't deliver different waters at different speeds, deeming one to be better than another because it is extorting the river that water came from and holding back Hydrogens and Oxygens from a tiny creek that one day hopes to be a river. Internet Service providers may choose to start their own fee-based "information service" akin to Spotify, Netflix, or YouTube and limit the how content providers are listed on that information service, but at the end of the day, an information service is something which travels over the internet, which is why these are internet service providers and not information service providers.

2175. Chenelope Serra, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401

I believe the internet should be a place for information that is not filtered in ways the consumer doesn't want. Our internet should be free, and it should have what we need. Net neutrality helps small businesses, blogs, and small news stations. Consumers should have access to the whole internet, not a corrupted one.

2176. David Horn, Napa, CA, 94559

Please protect our net neutrality laws.

2177. Ann Walsh, Middletown, CA, 95461

I very strongly support net neutrality. Please do not roll back any protections that keep the internet equally available to all.

2178. Edward Weber, Cotati, CA, 94931

The FCC's mission is to protect the public interest. Do that, please. Lately, you have been acting immorally and promoting business control of public media. Shame on you. Your disregard is fuel for the fire of Hell that will scorch your soul from such behavior. Edward Weber

2179. Chris Ping, Benicia, CA, 94510

I believe internet service providers need to be regulated under Title II restrictions. I am a person who served 11 years in the military please leave current laws in place on this.

2180. Crystal Thompson, American Canyon, CA, 94503

Please keep the Title II oversight of ISP's. Do not give companies the ability to slow my internet speeds depending on what sites I am using

2181. Michael W. Tadewald, American Canyon, CA, 94503

I support net neutrality via the Title II oversight of ISP's.

2182. Grant Henderson, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928

Bro I want Title II classifications for ISP's.

2183. Darren Purvis, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401

I believe in maintaining the Title II provisions that treat ISPs as utilities. I do not believe that removing these consumer protections will improve internet access, or make companies more competitive. Keep Title II and keep American internet users safe!

2184. Bryan Kennedy, Sonoma, CA, 95476

Keeping Net Neutrality is integral to our survival as an innovative nation. While what you're proposing here (getting rid of net neutrality) may result in short term profits for the companies that you support, it will result in long term losses when other countries that better support internet freedom surpass us in innovation. This approach (of removing net neutrality protections) smacks of corporate greed and I look forward to a day when the FCC again fights for the consumer.

2185. Victor Baerner, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I am in support of net neutrality. I believe in the democratic process and would like to make my voice clear on this matter. Please do not repeal the current legislation as it is against my interests and the general freedom of the people of the USA. The FCC should be beholden to the democratic process as well, and act accordingly to the will of the people. So far, the voices commenting on this legislation are Clearly in favor of maintaining net neutrality. Please uphold your service to our democracy and listen to them.
2186. netneutrality, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
Title 2 of the telecommunications act and any legislation against Paid Prioritization must be enforced on companies providing connections to the internet. Is this a government for the people or a government for major for-profit companies? Small internet-based startups should be allowed to compete fairly for a users bandwidth. And users should NOT have their services prioritized by disingenuous content companies. Thank you.
2187. Victor Baerner, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
The internet is a place that I go to to interact with my friends, family, and humanity at large. Being unrestricted or guided by outside forces is one of the best feelings about the internet. How I choose to interact and with what I choose to interact with are only guided by my interests and not those of outside bidders buying up bandwidth channels. Please do not sell the freedom of the internet to big companies seeking to direct and control things online. It will not be good for humanity just for the reward of some more GDP and profit for those companies. Freedom is worth more than profit. An open and free internet is of the utmost importance to maintaining a space for humanity to explore and create together uninhibited. Removing the net neutrality laws will remove this freedom. Please don't go against the will of the people and the freedom of the people. Keep the laws in place.
2188. Susan King, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
net neutrality is important to me and all other Americans. At this time in our world internet freedom should be a BASIS RIGHTS  
Susan King
2189. Dana Jaffe, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
I am writing in support of net neutrality. Freedom of information and the ability to access it with out involvement of companies looking for financial gain, are fundamental freedoms that are reflective of a robust democracy. Hands off my internet! PS. I vote.
2190. Beryl Kleier, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Please protect and keep net neutrality and Title II!
2191. Briana Frakes, Martinez, CA, CA, 94553  
Keep net neutrality rules and title ii the way it is
2192. Gay Barner, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I support the current net neutrality laws and am opposed to the FCC's intent to repeal them. Please do not take away internet freedom protection for the supposed benefit of corporate network investment and expansion. There is No proof that current regulations deter companies from investing in networks and actually Verizon's CFO has gone on record saying that the net neutrality laws in no way effect the company's projected investments and growth. Stop claiming that the regulations have prevented network growth, that's false.
2193. Nancy Curdts, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
A free and open internet is of the utmost importance to our democracy. Information in the hands of all the people is all that keeps us from tyranny. I work at a library and we provide internet services to our patrons. This is how folks find jobs, continue their education, teach their children, learn a language and find services. It is vital to our country that everyone have equal access to information. Please do all you can to keep our Internet out of the control of giant corporations and in the hands of the people that make our great country.
2194. Melissa Morita, Petaluma, CA, 94954  
I strongly support Strong Net Neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs
2195. D. Meador, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
I strongly support the continuation of net neutrality under Title 2. Title 1 is completely insufficient to guarantee equal access for all, despite obfuscations to the contrary by some in the FCC. Please do not leave us at the mercy of large corporations to have equal access to the benefits of the internet.
2196. Elisabeth Rae Anderson, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
As a teacher I would no longer be able to sign 90% of my current lessons. Although this would probably give rise to newspapers again \_\_ so much would be missing. Personally, it will greatly affect my professional development. I'm sure I have much more to say, but my brain is on vacation as July is sacred rest time for educators.
2197. Robert Byland, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Please keep net neutrality!!! As an elementary school teacher, my students and I use the internet daily at school and at home for

online curriculum, extension activities, research, homework, communication, and more. With ever fluctuating (typically decreasing) public education funds, it is critical that access to the internet remain neutral and fair to all regardless of income / financial resources. Thank you!

2198. Sam, sonoma, CA, 95476  
We couldn't have the internet we love without neutrality.
2199. Susan Wheeler, Napa, CA, 94559  
Net neutrality is important for free speech. The means of communication must be in the hands of the many not just the few.
2200. Michael Baker, American Canyon, CA, 94503  
Big cable companies are already gouging me. I won't take anymore. You can "bundle" my belongings so that I may take them with me to a far, far better place.
2201. Dee, Vallejo, CA, 94589  
Comcast, AT&T & Verizon already know what I buy, live and enjoy watching online. What else do they need? Big Brother has to end at some point! "Freedom" in the USA is becoming harder to believe each day. I mean, this isn't China...is it?
2202. Peter Faeustle, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
Keep the internet equal for all. No big corp advantage.
2203. Dr. Dan March, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
If the internet becomes viewed as biased, the resulting erosion of trust will dampen its use - which will impact everything from its economic value to its more basic social value, for example, in education.
2204. Nick Griff, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Net neutrality is fundamental in preserving our First Amendment rights in the 21st century. The free flow of information is critically dependent upon unrestricted access to all.
2205. Net Neutrality, Calistoga, CA, 94515  
I strongly and specifically support strong regulations in place that protect net neutrality as backed by title 2 oversight of ISPPs. It is my right as a citizen to choose for myself what I see and how that this delivered to me and I expect the FCC in general and Mr. Ajit Pai in particular, to respect and uphold that right.
2206. Victor Baerner, SANTA ROSA, CA, 95405  
An open and free internet is of the utmost importance to maintaining a space for humanity to explore and create together uninhibited. Removing the net neutrality laws will remove this freedom. Please don't go against the will of the people and the freedom of the people. I appreciate you maintaining Title II net neutrality rules and the rights of Internet users like me.
2207. Rob Schillinger, Napa, CA, 94558  
I support net neutrality, do not mess with it. This is about equality of the people/data, not about giving unfair advantages to the rich (both people and businesses.) Stop it.
2208. Matthew J Miller, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
We want to make our position clear - we are against the proposed changes listed in the "Restoring Internet Freedom" document. What concerns us in particular:- We pay our ISP to get fast, reliable access to the internet in general. I do not want my ISP to be able to throttle or require that I pay more competitor services. - As a consumer, we have noticed there is a distinct lack of choice when it comes to high speed internet providers in our area. We are concerned that the proposed change in enforcement policies is going to result in a reduction in consumer protection and that we are going to be left vulnerable to price gouging and privacy invasions with no viable ISP alternatives.
2209. Hank Stalica, Martinez, CA, 94553  
An open and free internet is critical to democracy in today's world. Leave net neutrality alone.
2210. Conor Boyle, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
Dear Chairman Pai, We've already seen what can happen when companies do not follow the rules of net neutrality: secretive data streaming throttling by mobile carriers, Comcast blocking peer to peer services in 2005 without disclosure, AT&T blocking Skype from 2007-2009, Windstream hijacking search queries in 2010, Netflix paying Comcast in order to get the speeds required to serve their customers, and ISP's preventing users from accessing applications or services when they had their own comparable service they wanted customers to use and pay for. These types of violations go against the competitive American spirit that we've been nurturing decades. Small companies with applications or services that are far superior to the giant ISPs' services will be crushed if Net Neutrality isn't preserved, and the American people will be the ones who suffer - paying more for less. Preserving Net Neutrality is a no brainer.
2211. dan lintz, ca, CA, 94559  
keep net neutrality. verizon continues to take advantage of unlimited users.
2212. Sam Forma, Napa, CA, 94558

I run a small business out of California that supplies I.T. consultation and services in the North Bay Area California. Part of our service is dealing with the established network operators. I have providing such services for nearly 20 years. In those years, the BEST internet era was in the 90's when DSL was emerging and LLU was available. My clients had their choice of over a dozen ISP's each with different features applicable to my customers. I know net neutrality isn't the same thing as LLU, but I can say that today's marketplace for ISP services available to small businesses is expensive, frustrating, and limited. It is my belief that any threat to Net neutrality only strengthens my local ISP oligarchy, and raises my customers communications costs. Need I remind the FCC that 90% of job growth happens in the small business sector. Please preserve and strengthen net neutrality, and please put in place policies that will allow for a thriving marketplace like we had in the 90's (AKA Local Loop Unbundling).

2213. Jonathan O., Vallejo, CA, 94589

The internet has become the biggest advancement of human communication since the telephone. Allowing ISP's to control and limit what their users have access to is a threat to the 1st Amendment. Please do not be on the wrong side of history, please preserve net neutrality.

2214. Warren L. Dranit, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404

I'm calling on the FCC to stand up for net neutrality and safeguard Title II protections. Please listen to the millions of Internet users who rely on a free and open Internet, and not just the handful of large ISPs who stand to gain from rolling back net neutrality protections. The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Pai's plan to give ISPs like AT&T, Comcast, and Verizon the authority to engage in data discrimination, stripping consumers of the vital access and privacy rules that all citizens of the United States demanded, just recently won and continue to enjoy. Creating a tiered Internet with "fast lanes" for certain sites or services is a horrible idea because it will materially impact the ability of everyone (both companies and individuals) to innovate, it will undermine the internet as a place where speech is free and it will place tremendous power in the hands of a few to the detriment of all citizens in the United States. Thankfully, the current Open Internet rules ensure that ISPs cannot block or slow access to certain websites or engage in data discrimination by charging online services and websites more money to reach consumers faster. That's exactly the right balance to ensure the internet remains a level playing field that benefits all businesses and Internet users. Pai's proposed repeal of the rules would help turn ISPs into Internet gatekeepers with the ability to veto new expression and innovation. That's not how the Internet was built, that's not what we want and it's not what the United States needs. As a former software engineer and presently an attorney who focuses in intellectual property and technology law, I view net neutrality as a core principle in maintaining the internet as a technology that provides value to all citizens. There are many ways for ISPs to monetize their "pipes" without allowing a privileged few to obtain preferred transmission rights. The benefit to the ISPs cannot ever support the significant damage that will be done to the many by eliminating net neutrality. The FCC must maintain Title II net neutrality rules and the rights of all Internet users.

2215. Jim D. Lowry, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401

The Internet air waves must remain free, without corporate control.

2216. Philip, Lakeport, CA, 95453

my only option for internet service in the rural area I live in is expensive and VERY slow, losing net neutrality would mean the internet would be a even less useful to me so PLEASE KEEP THE INTERNET SPEEDS NEUTRAL with NO FAST LANES for the rich!!!

2217. Ricky Pugh, Nashville, CA, 37211

Leave the net alone. Do not allow the snakes to get their greedy hands on it.

2218. Cullen Drain, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401

I am writing to express my interest in you voting to preserve net neutrality. It's so unfair to dictate bandwidth based on money. This is America. This is supposed to be a free country. Us common folk find ourselves under fire from the influence of big money in government, entertainment, etc. Do your due diligence AS AN AMERICAN to vote for net neutrality!!

2219. Jeremy Wesler-Buck, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401

A free and open internet is the vanguard of modern liberty. Keep Net Neutrality, don't kill it.

2220. Mel McMurrin, Middletown, CA, 95461

Without Net Neutrality regulation we join the likes of Russia, China and Myanmar. Congrats on turning us into a second world plutocracy. The bought-and-paid-for commissioners should be ashamed of themselves. Heil Verizon.

2221. Matthew Key DeClaire, Benicia, CA, 94510

As a web developer myself, I am extremely concerned that targeted content data throttling will reduce my ability to compete with larger players through innovation. I don't want to have to shift my priorities away from creating the best designed product towards market positioning or politicking. I'd hope that if I create a great service, it will win on its own merits, rather than having to partner or be acquired by an insider company to gain effective delivery to market. I am also concerned that targeted content throttling will only incentivize for profit endeavors on the Internet.

2222. Brent Trojan, Napa, CA, 94558

I can't understand how eliminating net neutrality can benefit the citizens of the U.S. Only large corporate communication entities will be better off. Net neutrality has been very successful and popular in the past and should remain.

2223. net neutrality, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
A free and open internet is a massively important part of the US economy. Turning control of it to the largest corporations in America is going to create an oligopoly in online retailers and impose limitations on choice. These are blatantly anti-consumer actions and I cannot abide by them. Please don't ruin the last truly free part of America.
2224. Scott Sumner-Moore, Benicia, CA, 94510  
Please do NOT eliminate net neutrality! I absolutely oppose the proposed changes to FCC regulations.
2225. Robert Funaro, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I'm concerned that President Trump is not keeping his word to Make America Great Again while speaking to us average Americans. Net Neutrality protects our rights, by keeping the internet companies out of our browsing business, and pocketbooks, by blocking this blatant attempt of the internet companies to find money in new places, which of course in the end will be the old place that is our pocketbooks. Bad decisions like this proposed change will affect us all, and we will all remember who is on our side and who is not!
2226. Thea Hartman, Santa Rosa , CA, 95404  
Net neutrality is essential to preserve our first amendment right of free speech.
2227. Dr. Luis Kong, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
FCC commission,I urge you to maintain net neutrality. Stop attempts to change Internet neutrality rules established by the Obama administration. The current rules are beneficial to all citizens, poor or wealthy. Protect equal Internet access for all Americans.Thank you,Dr. Luis Kong
2228. Stuart Henry, Napa, CA, 94558  
From paragraph 84:The ban on paid prioritization did not exist prior to the Title II Order and even then the record evidence confirmed that no such rule was needed since several large Internet service providers made it clear that that they did not engage in paid prioritization<sup>185</sup> and had no plans to do so.<sup>186</sup> We seek comment on the continued need for such a rule and our authority to retain it.My response:If paid prioritization is recognized to harm consumers, competition, and innovation, as well as create disincentives to promote broadband deployment (47 CFR Â§ 8.9; Title II Order, 30 FCC Rcd at 5653, para. 125) then it is immaterial that the broadband providers have no plans to engage in paid prioritization - if it is harmful to consumers it should be illegal, whether the IPS plan to engage in such prioritization or not. It should not be surprising that ISPs claim to have no plans to engage in illegal activity, and such claims should have no basis on what is or isn't legal.I urge you, in the name of common sense, sound business, and freedom, to NOT adopt the "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposed rule making.
2229. Jennifer Dumford\*, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
keep net neutrality rules strong and enforce them
2230. Heather, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Please keep net neutrality!! It's one of the most important technologies we have. Please don't put corporate profits over people.
2231. Janice, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Don't get rid of net neutrality. It's despicable that this is even up for debate! It doesn't harm internet companies, but it will hurt people once it's gone.
2232. Larry, Santa Rosa, CA, 95409  
Everyone deserves equal internet access and content. The internet providers won't govern themselves but will gouge customers for deeper profits and less content.
2233. Taylor E, Same here, CA, 95405  
I support Net Neutrality. As someone who makes her living online, if the Comcast and the other monopoly HugeCorps are allowed to decide what Internet companies are allowed fast downloads or even access, we might as well give up on innovation and competition in this space. Their prices are already too high for many people because of their current power. Give them more and the consumer will definitely not win. The FCC is supposed to protect the people, not line corporate pockets.
2234. Sarah Hellman, Sonoma, CA, 95476  
Access to knowledge and communication for all
2235. Alley , Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
My family doesn't have the means to pay more for the internet than we already do, and internet access is \*crucial\* for college students.
2236. Dylan Renninger, Hidden Valley Lake, CA, 95467  
Because, I use the internet on a day to day basis, whether it is for knowledge, or just for laughs. Also if we didn't have net neutrality, there wouldn't be as easy access to information as there is now
2237. Matthew Denison, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
If net neutrality is taken away, it would affect many high school and college students. Students nowadays rely too much on the

internet for someone to take it away from them. I am a new student in high school and I have gotten many projects in the past. Non of which I could have completed if it weren't for the internet. I don't want to have to do research for a homework assignment, then later on figuring out that I have to pay more money to keep my internet speeds. I also don't want websites blocked that I need to get information from. It'll not only affect my internet, but my education as well. - David Denison

2238. Edward Weber, Cotati, CA, 94931  
The FCC's mission is to protect the public interest. Do that, please. Lately, you have been acting immorally and promoting business control of public media. Shame on you. Your disregard is fuel for the fire of Hell that will scorch your soul from such behavior.
2239. Jasmin B, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Net Neutrality is important because every one is entitled to free and equal access to information, education, freedom of speech and freedom of expression. To not have net neutrality is only a means for greed. Leave Net Neutrality alone.
2240. Mara Johnstone, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
You already know. This is REALLY IMPORTANT.
2241. Camille Mercier, Napa, CA, 94558  
Net Neutrality is vital for us to live in a world of freedom and opportunity. Taking that away will only increase the gap between the wealthy and everyone else (which is already significant). Frankly I'm outraged that we have to petition this in the first place.
2242. Jarron , Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Because freedom of speech is a right.
2243. Christa Bandoni, Napa, CA, 94558  
i'm a 20 year old who is trying to work as a photographer. to do so, i need the internet to promote and sell my work. if i have to pay to use the websites i use to promote myself, then i am screwed financially. i shouldn't have to choose to pay for either food or my career
2244. Benjamin Farver, Napa, CA, 94559  
I don't want my cable company fucking me over more than they do already. No one likes the cable companies. There's a reason for that. They are all shitty ass-holes. Fuck them, and fuck Ajit Pai and his dumb ass coffee mug.
2245. Sue Berry, napa, CA, 94559  
Save our right to know and learn
2246. Patrick Wylie, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
The Internet is an essential public resource to be shared equally by all. It is similar to a public library, that gives no preferential treatment to those with more money.
2247. Susan Friedl, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
Please, don't kill Net Neutrality! I am a perfect example of the benefits. As an older, disabled woman, without access to the internet I would have long ago lost almost all access to the world, and life altogether. Only searching the internet enabled me to discover that I had a rare disease causing my immune system to over react, something local doctors had never heard of, much less understood, that was limiting my life severely. The internet provided access to medical specialists who were able to talk my doctors through testing, and now treatment of this rare condition. Access to the internet also connects me to other people around the world with this illness, helping me to understand how to live with it day to day, learning ways they have learned to cope. During my worst periods, my only contact with the world was the internet. I could escape the pain in my body and learn new things, travel to distant places, and make friends anywhere without the exposures that made life outside my home perilous. Without it, I would have gradually been cut off from all interactions, with no outside stimulus, my mind stagnating, my ability to contribute to society ended. Instead, I was able to convert my interests into work to supplement my meager disability income, work that I conduct almost solely over the internet. When I need to learn something new to do a new project, I turn to the internet. When I need something to complete a project, I search the internet for the best information, and then purchase it online. When I am exhausted, I turn to the internet for entertainment, and when I am well enough to go out, I look up things to do online. When I need to see a new doctor (which is far too often) I can fill out paperwork online, find out how to get to their office online, and follow up by connecting with the office online. I can no longer use my local physical library, as I cannot afford the potential exposure to infection from books others have used. Instead, I borrow all my reading and listening material online through the electronic library. I stream my entertainment in order to save on cable bills. I bank online, I fill out my taxes online, and I pay my bills online. How many of these things might become more difficult, more expensive, or just plain inaccessible if Net Neutrality is rolled back? Which of these things would the big internet providers find lucrative, and how many would they prefer to suppress? My situation may be more extreme than most people's, but really, how different is my use of the internet than many? Net neutrality is critical to maintain the American ideals of opportunity for all. In a world where financial and cultural inequality is becoming more of an issue than ever, choice in accessing the internet is essential to allow all people the freedom to learn, explore, communicate, create, grow, earn, and reach out to people and places they may never otherwise have the chance to do. The internet has the ability to stimulate innovation, create new means of stimulating and supporting the economy, and adds to

overall wealth of our people. Because of net neutrality, the Internet has become an unparalleled engine for connection, democracy, innovation, education, communication, entertainment and commerce. Allowing corporations to put this at risk by changing a premium on access will only further the stifling of the majority by subjugating it to the already powerful.

2248. Louis Pierotti, Napa, CA, 94558  
Net Neutrality is an essential right that even the Supreme would recognize as Freedom of Speech. That's what The Bill of Rights is for!
2249. John Leach, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I have been using the Internet since personal Computers have been around, and not going to let the Government take it away.
2250. Holly Neal, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
As an agency of our government, the FCC's primary responsibility is to the people, not Corporate lobbyists. By eliminating net neutrality protections, you are violations of our First Amendment protections.
2251. Peter Edridge, Napa, CA, 94558  
We put you there, we will remove you. Listen to your constituents.
2252. Linda Lux, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
No! No! No! Not one wants this. The internet is for all of us. Not corporations or just the wealthy.
2253. Ross Allen, Saint Helena, CA, 94574  
It is unacceptable to destroy net neutrality.
2254. Jan Caldwell, Napa, CA, 94558  
Net neutrality is essential. What is more fundamental than our communications rights? There should never be a favoritism in speech!
2255. Karen Pedersen, SONOMA, CA, 95476  
THE INTERNET SHOULD BE FREE & OPEN FOR EVERYONE TO USE!
2256. M L, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
I am writing to urge FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality under the FCC's existing rules and keep broadband internet access classified under Title II. Please ensure that the Internet "playing field" is level and open to all. Americans depend on the open internet for information, health care, employment, education, and civic engagement. I urge your commitment to maintaining net neutrality for our republic. Linda Stone 22 Del Centro St. Benicia, CA 94510
2257. Justin Williams, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
As a disabled American, the internet is a basic necessity for me and others like me in my community. Being able to use the internet is my link to the world as I am virtually confined to my bed and wheelchair. Without the open internet, I would be isolated beyond imagining. I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality under the FCC's existing rules and keep broadband internet access classified under Title II.
2258. Elizabeth Mathern, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
Save net neutrality! Net neutrality is not negotiable. It's essential to everything we need in our society and democracy. The FCC's Open Internet Rules prevent ISPs from blocking websites, slow them down and generally censor what we see and do online.
2259. Jay Blackburn, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
Internet service providers should treat all traffic that travels over their networks equally. Please DO NOT rescind current net neutrality rules. Thank you.
2260. Paul Minnis, Martinez, CA, 94553  
Please do not pass this bill to remove internet freedom. Net neutrality is important, and small players need to be able to compete with big ones in order to foster competition and innovation in our society and our economy. I could say more, but I'm sure many others have done so much more eloquently and verbosely, but I would very much appreciate it if you would not pass this bill.
2261. Daniel Abad Jr, Martinez, CA, 94553  
I strongly support that the internet be treated and designated as a Utility under Title II protections. The internet as we know it has been an invaluable resource and communications tool over the last 20 years. To even consider limiting the internet in any way, shape or form, would be to limit the voices of all citizens of the internet. This would also impact every single reason why the majority of Americans use the web, including but not limited to, business, job seeking, educations, entertainment, communications, etc. To remove Net Neutrality would be to remove the very freedoms that we as Americans value.
2262. Scott T. Messenger, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I firmly believe that Network Neutrality should be preserved. The Internet is a public resource, and access to information should be like access to Air - nobody should have to pay special tiers to access it. Only in an oligarchy would such an idea

even come to mind. We need to preserve equal and untiered access to data - and there is no need to make wealthy corporations wealthier at the cost of masses.

2263. Marjorie Stein, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
This act only benefits large telecom corporations--it will not help consumers in any way. In fact it will hurt consumers. Verizon, comcast, and all of the companies that want to destroy net neutrality are not looking out for consumers. Net neutrality does not inhibit their ability to develop new technologies as they claim. The internet is a public utility and should be treated as such--Net neutrality is one of the greatest benefits that has ever been seen concerning technology. Taking it away will allow telecom companies to control how fast you connect, and what you connect to, on the internet. The telecom companies will be able to slow connection to the services provided by their competitors. This is a terrible act and should be voted down, permanently.
2264. Christian Burke, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I support Title 2 Regulation of Comcast and all other ISPs and further I believe they should be public utilities. I think Ajit Pai is disingenuous at best and at worst he is working directly in the interests of big Telecoms (yes Telecoms, like Comcast). He is a sellout and part of the corruption in Washington and should be removed from office immediately. Municipal networks and the nationalization of the infrastructure is what this country needs, coupled with local loop unbundling for a truly competitive market.
2265. Donald Barrett, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
If you eliminate net neutrality protections, you will lose the support of Silicon Valley and of the tech industry as a whole. Small businesses making intrepid forays into the internet to expand their business will do nothing but lose to conglomerates that throttle their access but bolster that of their own brands. Innovation will grind to a halt and the tech sector will congeal into an oligarchical mess. But probably the most important cost to the policy makers will be votes. I refuse to vote for any politician who dares to threaten net neutrality protections. I refuse to support the party whose platform considers stacking the deck in the favor of companies that already have near-monopolies. If you allow net neutrality protections to go down the toilet, your job goes with it.
2266. Alex Chalmers, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
I support net neutrality, with particular to Title II Net Neutrality Rules.
2267. Greg Haworth, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I support net neutrality. Everyone I know supports net neutrality. I have never in my life met a real flesh-and-blood person who is even on the fence about supporting net neutrality. This is the issue of our generation. The internet in its current form is our most precious and valuable asset for intellectual advancement, but it is vulnerable to complete collapse. Without net neutrality rules in place, ISPs will try to change our experience to suit their needs. Any new or innovative service can be copied by the ISP and forced on the subscribers. Many people only ever visit a few sites regularly. Imagine if those services were cloned by the ISP, offered in a high-bandwidth minimal-cost package, and the rest of the web had bandwidth caps, time limits, or wasn't even included in the offering? Sure, you could pay your ISP more to go to the regular web, but those sites out there wouldn't be able to compete, and they'd close. Then your internet is just the ISP's own content and nobody else's. It will just be TV again.
2268. michelle, rohnert park, CA, 94928  
I vote no on restricting the internet
2269. Danielle A. DuGre, Santa Rosa, CA, 95405  
It is incumbent upon the FCC to maintain net neutrality. The internet belong to all Americans: our tax dollars developed it. In order for America to continue leadership in information and education opportunities, maintaining net neutrality is among the most important issues we face. Universities and other educational sites (Duolingo, Khan Academy, Coursera, among many others) must have free access to the internet to provide this service, and all citizens must have access to these free educational opportunities. America is founded on fairness and opportunity, and to give large internet companies, largely local monopolies, control of internet content is disturbing on many levels including freedom of speech and limiting fair and vital competition. And finally, please consider the source when reviewing comments as large internet companies have an enormous self interest and could be filing bot comments in bulk to skew the comments count.
2270. Kelci Fernandez, Angwin, CA, 94508  
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II
2271. Jessica Bailey, Rohnert Park, CA, 94928  
I support the net neutrality regulations established under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. These regulations have not harmed internet providers in the slightest, and the only thing stood to be gained from deregulating net neutrality is monetary profit for providers. We do not need to continue revising laws that benefit the common American citizen to instead line the pockets of big corporations.
2272. Augustine Stav, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Equal access to online resources is important for my education and entertainment.

2273. Ken Lambert, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Stop this non-sensical waste of time. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!
2274. Jeff Davis, Vallejo, CA, 94591  
Goodmorning FCC,I am a citizen of the US who works in pharmaceutical development and I would like to inform you that I find the thought of not having a neutral internet quite absurd. While allowing ISP's to have much more control over their network could possibly(but probably not) increase revenue it would be increasing revenue by increasing the cost that every citizen who already pays exorbitant fees to access the internet would have to pay. In addition the the ISP's are currently only providing a mediocre service at best. (<http://www.businessinsider.com/internet-speeds-in-the-us-and-around-the-world-2014-5>). To reward these companies for their poor product and terrible customer service with more power would be a disservice to the american people. Thank you. (<https://pjmedia.com/lifestyle/2017/05/29/the-best-and-worst-companies-for-customer-service/>),
2275. Paul Rosset, Santa Rosa, CA, 95403  
I am a concerned citizen and work from home using the internet daily to do my work, collaborate with colleagues and support my customers. I support the current Net Neutrality Rules and I am very concerned with the efforts to push forward and gut or eliminate net neutrality rules that are in place today. If anything we should be doing more to promote competition and to protect the consumer rights regarding internet access. Please listen to us, don't kill net neutrality!
2276. Peter Dada, Santa Rosa, CA, 95407  
Lobbying for Verizon and then getting to head the FCC and make decisions that will effect everyone using the internet is obviously conflict of interest. Leave the internet alone. It is a public utility and should be treated as such. Internet access should be free. And any elected officials not supporting Net neutrality should be and will be voted out of office. You know this is wrong. Why do you take everything from people and leave nothing?
2277. Jessica Allison, Santa Rosa, CA, 95404  
I am a small business that operates online. I support strong net neutrality. Ending net neutrality will hurt small businesses, not help us. We won't be able to compete with the larger businesses who can pay more. Ending this does not help us. Please reconsider.
2278. Kenneth Rauen, ROHNERT PARK, CA, 94928  
[gofcyouyourself.com](http://gofcyouyourself.com)
2279. Heather Bishop, Santa Rosa, CA, 95401  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and upholding Title 2
2280. Comcast, Vallejo, CA, 94590  
I would like to express my support for tougher FCC regulations in order to ensure the internet remains available for all types of businesses. I am proudly a Libertarian, but in this modern, digital age I must concede that regulations are needed to prevent Internet Service Providers such as Comcast from operating with impunity.

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used national language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post.  
<https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9f0e3ed36a6>.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.