Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from CA District #45

These are the 2,377 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative Mimi Walters's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs under the proceeding 17-108.

1. Sandra Ruppert, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
   Obamaâ€™s Title II order must go. It has diminished broadband investment, stifled innovation, and left American consumers potentially on the hook for a new broadband tax. For these reasons, I urge you to fully repeal the Obama/Wheeler Internet regulations.

2. Bikran Sandhu, Irvine, CA, 92618
   I do not support restoring internet freedom directive. If the ISPs did not have a government mandated monopoly or duopoly in each region, then it would be okay to allow ISPs to control what type of access they provide. However, as there are significant barriers to entry in the ISP markets where 1-2 companies control the entire market, allowing these companies (which now own media companies) to charge for "fast lanes" or prioritize access to their own media instead of treating all data equally would cause a significant problem in the long run. Giving unlimited power to charge users or vendors for "fast lanes" would hamper economic growth by letting the ISPs decide which companies they want to support. If a user wants to switch, they won't have any power as there are no competitors in the market. Users would be left to pay for "full" access to data while vendors will have to compete with the ISP itself to be treated fairly. I personally believe that we should not allow ISPs to charge for priority access or allow ISPs to give preference to their own content. This type of access does not bode well for the consumer as it restricts internet freedom and does not bode well for the small businesses that rely on the internet.
3. Phillip Chiu, Irvine, CA, 92620
The idea that service providers would voluntarily charge consumers lower rates due to additional income from paid prioritization is farcical at best when there is little to no competition to force them to do so. This will simply allow service providers to double or even triple dip, charging the customer once for the service, the resource provider for the data requested, and the customer again to be able to get that data in a timely fashion.

4. Fillip Peyton, lake forest, CA, 92630
Killing net neutrality would be a huge detriment to our economy. Thanks to a free and open internet, many entrepreneurs have created tech/internet companies that went on to do great things for our economy and created many jobs. If we start limiting what the free internet is, we lose that; tech jobs become harder to come by and our economy suffers.

5. Chris Allan, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Net neutrality protects consumers and promotes the fair competition of web services by protecting them from the control of monopolies. Allowing ISPs to discriminate traffic would be the same as allowing electrical utilities to restrict electricity to businesses or customers they disagree with. This would be a laughable mistake, so why would it be okay to lets ISPs do the same?

6. Kaue Pena, Irvine, CA, 92612
https://www.article19.org/data/files/Internet_Statement_Adopted.pdfThe United States has signed a UN Resolution stating, among many other things, that "measures aiming to or that intentionally prevent or disrupt access to or dissemination of information online [is a] violation of international human rights law". Giving special treatment to different types of internet traffic (i.e., breaking Net Neutrality), intentionally disrupts access and therefore must not happen.

7. Amanda Godfrey, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Net Neutrality must remain, too many people rely on the internet and its accessibility for their daily lives. Tampering with the availability of the internet will have disastrous effects to many lives and will prevent growth, creativity and access to important information vital to the education and well being of the public.

8. Adam P Clark, Anaheim, CA, 92808
Net neutrality is essential to the fundamental function of the internet. ISP service is almost always, at best, a duopoly, and to imply that competition already exists is both insulting and an outright lie. ISPs have already on multiple occasions attempted to violate net neutrality to favor their own services and boost their profit at the expense of their customers, and it cannot be allowed
to continue. Any restriction to net neutrality is improper, immoral, and only proves that Ajit Pai is in the pocket of the very companies he has been tasked to regulate.

9. Lorne Cheeseman, Irvine, CA, 92620
I am a small business owner that develops software in a software as a service model. I depend on my customers being able to reach my website for my business. I have competitors that are large companies that without net neutrality would be able to out compete me due to fast lanes. This would make my offering appear to be slower. This would effectively put me out of business. Net neutrality is critically important to me as a small business owner. I implore you to maintain the changes that are already in place that deems internet to be a regulated service and thus should not be throttled. Please do not change the current regulations in place. Furthermore, I implore you to enforce the current regulations.

10. Dustin Armenta, Irvine, CA, 92602
This is an absurd bill that is being proposed. How does this help the consumer? This is only looking out for the major cable and internet corporations. As someone who does not watch traditional cable but streams this will effect me tremendously. Please look after the people you claim to care about and not the greedy corporations looking to make a few extra bucks. One last thing the, the name of this bill is extremely misleading and should be called "Cable company power grab" Thank you for looking into this and reading what i have to write.

11. Sanam Mavandadipur, orange, CA, 92869
I support open internet and oppose FCC Chairman Ajit Pai's plan to destroy net neutrality and thus cripple small business' and poor communities of color from having equal access to the internet to that of major phone and internet companies.

12. Jennifer, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. They will do this again without oversight. The FCC exists to protect the rights of American citizens and consumers, not to assist businesses in creating a monopoly. As a consumer I demand that service provides are transparent with their objectives and do not take away my freedom to use their services to use the internet as I deem fit. Do not change the Title II regulations currently in place for ISP. I support new regulations if they provide more protection for consumers and hold ISP accountable and prevent them from restricting internet usage. Do not deregulate ISP's and weaken Title II provisions and protections.
13. Collin Stevens, Irvine, CA, 92603
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 Classification of ISPs

14. Kenneth Brubaker, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II for the sake of a free and open internet. If ISPs truly want the internet to remain free, then they should have no issue with the current laws as they are.

15. Net Neutrality, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Do we really have to do this again? I express my strong support for strong net neutrality laws and title II oversight of telecom providers. Your chairman's kickbacks from Verizon are nothing compared to mankind's collective benefit that we continue to receive from not turning our primary means of communication into a toll road.

16. Leroy E. Einspahr, Orange, CA, 92869
Please NOT change the designation of ISP's currently covered under Title 2 to coverage under Title 1. That will significantly reduce internet neutrality and negatively affect all internet users!

17. Michael Ekinaka, Irvine, CA, 92604
Mr. Pai: I strongly object to re-classifying internet service providers under Title I of the Communications Act of 1934. This service is a vital of everyday citizens and I don't believe the large ISP's can be trusted to "do the right thing".

18. Hokoon Yau, Anaheim, CA, 92807
I support strong Net Neutrality rules. Also go fuck yourself Ajit Pai with your stupid large coffee mug.

19. comments, Irvine, CA, 92614
I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Don't let communication companies control my internet.

20. Andy Yun, Irvine, CA, 92617
The internet should be strongly regulated under Title II to ensure a free and fair internet for all. To do otherwise would be to subvert the best interests of every internet user in America in favor of ISPs that can demand outrageous bribes that can be limitless because there is so little competition in most parts of the country. Companies like Netflix, Google, and YouTube came into existence because of net neutrality. Without it, we would not have those websites. What new growth would we stifle by destroying net neutrality?

21. Tuomas Ahola, Irvine, CA, 92620
Dear Mr. Ajit Pai, This is to express my support for strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. Thank you.
22. Andrew Gott, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
   I am a firm believer and supporter of net neutrality and Title II. I implore you not to squash Title II and preserve our rights to a non-restricted internet experience.

23. Richard Moore, Irvine, CA, 92604
   We need to preserve a free and open Internet by maintaining the status quo of net neutrality. Deregulation would allow ISPs to choose winners and losers among content providers, which is contrary to the ideal of a free market of information and ideas.

24. Gilbert Reimschussel, Irvine, CA, 92618
   Stop. Do not do this! We need title II protections to keep a fair marketplace. In no way does this proposal "restore" Internet freedom. Drop this proposal and leave the existing protections in place.

25. Donald J Rainey, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
   Please do not undo Net Neutrality as it currently stands. Do not change ISP status from Title 2. ISP are making plenty of money, and it shows by the investments they are putting in their infrastructure. They already have a huge amount of power over our daily lives, and the last thing they need is less regulation. DO NOT take away net neutrality!

26. Matthew Matsuyama, Orange, CA, 92869
   I would like to express my support for strong net neutrality rules and the preservation of Title 2.

27. Marjorie Carlson, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
   We need to protect net neutrality. It is important that Internet Service Providers provide equal speeds regardless of source.

28. Scott Vandygrift, Orange, CA, 92867
   I am in support of net neutrality back by title 2 oversight of ISP's.

29. Neil Bennett, Irvine, CA, 92617
   I support net neutrality backed specifically by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Oh and get rid of the giant Reese's mug.

30. Vivienne Teerling, Irvine, CA, 92614
   I strongly support strong net/internet neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.

31. Jason Valdry, Irvine, CA, 92617
   I urge the FCC to maintain net neutrality. Allowing ISPs to create fast and slow lanes discourages innovation and favors existing companies with deep financial
pockets over new and emerging companies.

32. John Archer, Irvine, CA, 92603
High speed internet service is as much a "common carrier" as phone service. For most customers, there is only ONE high speed internet option and access to this service is necessary today. Claiming that this could be regulated under the broadcast provision was always a flawed idea, and the FTC is clearly the wrong agency to meet this critical need.

33. Marcia Desrosiers, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I support the internet regulated by Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I support the regulation (protection) of the internet similar to that of water & electricity, aka, as a utility, operated for the common good. I support the concept that all sites are equally available to me when I search. I do not support the position communicated by Ajit Pai which will deregulate such that commercial ISPs will have the option to speed up or slow down sites based on commercial agreements that monetarily benefit a party with influence, power, means. Literal 'net neutrality' is essential. I am not swayed by the convoluted reasoning I am hearing from Ajit Pai. Trump has no technical understanding of his position on this matter, only a sense of the profit potential, which renders him null.

34. Nathan Tate, Irvine, CA, 92620
I support FCC regulations of ISP's based under the Title 2 classification. Please don't let up under their pressure.

35. Ryan Barekat, Irvine, CA, 92618
I'm working to launch a social media site similar to Omegle in the near future. If it's throttled by ISPs then I'll have no chance to grow my user base. Keep the internet neutral. I also live in an apartment in Irvine, CA that is only connected to Cox. If they make exclusive deals that negatively impact my internet usage, I can't just switch to another provider.

36. Gary Johnson, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Please keep the internet free and open and out of the control of corporations. The free and open internet is one of single most revolutionary technologies of our time, and control should not be at the mercy of corporations. The free and open internet stimulates ISP competition. The free and open internet helps prevent unfair pricing practices. The free and open internet promotes innovation. The free and open internet promotes the spread of ideas. The free and open internet drives entrepreneurship. The free and open internet protects freedom of speech. Without an open internet, big corporations would have tight control over how we access websites and services. Please keep the internet a cornerstone of freedom and opportunity.
37. Joshua Hale, Irvine, CA, 92618
   Living in Irvine there is absolutely no competition for Cox. The changing of this regulation will only cause us to have more issues with this ISP. The quality of service is already abysmally low, while having to pay a high premium just for Internet that is fast enough. Please don't change Cox back to a Title 1

38. Patricia Dunn-Rankin, Irvine, CA, 92620
   Leave Title II of the Communications Act alone. It protects equal access.

39. Trevor Westphal, Irvine, CA, 92604
   The repealing of Net Neutrality and resulting decategorization of the Internet as a utility is the single largest move to stifle the internet economy in history. I am a technical professional, and all this move intends to do is consolidate the power of the internet into large, monopolistic internet service providers (ISPs). This is overreaching to the extreme, and it will cost the consumer a great deal, while simultaneously raising the bar to enter the market as a developer to unreasonably heights. This in turn will stifle development and innovation online across America. Should this come to pass, you can expect to see a massive drop in online economy, as well as a huge downturn of web development. With this passing, the next Facebook, Google, Amazon, etc., will not be from America. The freedom to create and innovate is core to American principle, and it should be encouraged for a healthy economy. This filing will only raise profits for select corporations who have no incentive to innovate under these described laws. Thank you for your time, Trevor Westphal

40. Michael A Brajdic, Anaheim, CA, 92807
   Please keep internet providers regulated under Title II of the Telecommunications Act.

41. Andrew Perry, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
   I strongly urge that ISPs continue to be subject to Title II regulations. Net neutrality is important to our future and Title II is the best vehicle to assure it. The FCC should be an advocate for Title II.

42. Nathan Turner, Irvine, CA, 92618
   Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II in place! The removal of this would be disastrous for everyone in the entire United States using and paying for the internet. Equal treatment with absolutely no bias from ISPs to all American's is a fundamental constitutional right. This decision should be easy!

43. Brian Wobst, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
   Hello, I strongly urge you to continue to enforce net neutrality and regulate ISPs under Title II. It's absolutely critical that ISPs are not allowed to self-regulate. Thank you, Brian Wobst
44. Alison Conners, Tustin, CA, 92780
   Please protect net neutrality. Freedom includes the freedom of equal access to
   information regardless of who your ISP is.

45. Dan Tomaszewski, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
   I support net neutrality and am concerned that making changes to the net
   neutrality law enforcement under title 2 will create the potential for ISPs to
   inappropriately manage my internet speeds based on conflicts of interest.
   Please, do not make changes that can allow these companies to effect my
   internet. The current regulations protect me, the consumer, and have not
   impacted ISP investments.

46. Craig Welch, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
   I strongly encourage you to keep ISPs under Title II classification for net
   neutrality rules. I don't want even the possibility of having internet tiers like
   "access youtube at faster speed, just pay 5 bucks a month". All internet traffic
   should be equal. Let the quality of the internet experience be the deciding factor
   in which internet company I choose.

47. Norm Katz, Irvine, CA, 92620
   Please keep ISP under Title II. Just like there are laws banning Movie
   Production Cos. from owning theaters, ISPs (who own content providers)
   should not be allowed to bias for or against any content delivered through the
   ISP. To do otherwise is nothing more that permitting a legal oligopoly.

48. Daniel A. Oakland, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
   Online information should be accessible at equal rates from all content
   suppliers. Internet Service Providers should not be able to dictate the speed of
   different content. To trust that they will do this on their own accord is just
   naive.

49. Preserve Net Neutrality, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
   I support The Net Neutrality act under Title 2 and hope to see that this is not
   revoked by congress.

50. angel bruzos, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
   Please regulate ISPs under title II. Access to information is a right not a product
   that can be manipulated for profit.

51. Andrew Bunn, Irvine, CA, 92614
   Protect net neutrality, keeping regulations under title 2.

52. Karon Wells, Irvine, CA, 92603
   It is very critical that the FCC support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY which is
   backed by Title TWO. This is very important to my family, kids and the future
of receiving news and programs!! Please support this to keep our country strong! Thank you.

53. Charlotte Alexander, Irvine, CA, 92604
   I strongly support net neutrality. It is the embodiment of Freedom of Speech on the web.

54. Brian Hanses, Tustin, CA, 92782
   Rolling back net neutrality would be catastrophic for consumers, and it appears that Mr. Pai is still working for the cable industry on anti-consumer, anti-privacy issues.

55. Steven Bell, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
   I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! This should not be altered in any way.

56. Jon Dunavold, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
   Let's keep the internet free for everyone.

57. Arielle Hackel, Irvine, CA, 92617
   Internet service providers must retain their Title 2 status to protect the rights of the American public. Net neutrality is essential for fair and equal access to information from any and all legal internet sources. The neutral flow of information is an American staple and must be protected.

58. Kevin Toft, Irvine, CA, 92614
   Mr. Pai - I support STRONG Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Further more your claims that oppose this view point make you sound like a puppet for the ISPs. I've seen the clips of what you said YOU clearly do not have our best interests in mind so I will now be watching this issue VERY closely. AND I will be actively informing every person in my network about this issue and your opinions on the subject. Have a nice day!

59. Spencer Machado, Irvine, CA, 92602
   Hello, I'd like to express my support for strong net neutrality backed up Title II oversight of ISPs. Thanks.

60. Taylor Wall, Orange, CA, 92869
   I stand for strong internet neutrality rules enforced by Title 2.

61. Scott Weaver, Anaheim, CA, 92808
   I'm concerned that existing consumer interests will be weakened in favor of the highest bidder if we change the way theyâ€™re enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any.
62. Lawrence Platt, Tustin, CA, 92780
I strongly agree with the guidelines set in Title II in regards to Net Neutrality. Also I can tell you have slowed down your website (only on the express portion of this matter) to defer people from expressing their opinion on this serious issue. This is disgraceful just like your shady practices.

63. ajit pai, irvine, CA, 92614
I specifically support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP.

64. Margaret Gordon, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Protect net neutrality. . I could give the host of reasons about not being able to rely on internet providers to not favor some content over others for the sake of profit, but the FCC already knows that...

65. Chi Hoang, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
The title of this proceeding is "Restoring Internet Freedom" but it's specifically rolling back existing safeguards that do exactly that. No ISP should ever have the right to filter or monitor an consumer's internet experience. Citizens are being asked to give up their rights so that corporations have more.

66. Majid Ravari, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Dear FCC, to take a step backward in Net Neutrality will not server the American people and cannot be good for the common good. ISPs need to remain classified in Title 2. You certainly cannot ask the fox watching the hen house.Kind regards for your consideration.

67. John Arthur, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
It is vitally important that the FCC continues to regulate Internet Providers under Title II. Failure to do so will put the future of internet access in jeopardy.

68. Prakash, Irvine, CA, 92614
Net neutrality should be the norm and a fundamental right to everyone that access the internet. Internet was founded on principles to connect the world and act as an equalizing force among all humans on earth. Let's keep the internet open and free.

69. Matt Stresak, Anaheim, CA, 92808
Please support net neutrality and adhere to the Title 2 guidelines. Thank you

70. Sean McHugh, Irvine, CA, 92618
Having strong net neutrality (backed by Title II oversight of ISPs) is critical for maintaining a level playing field with new and highly innovative companies in the internet age.

71. restoring internet freedom, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
I support net neutrality and Title II over site of ISPs

72. Justin Noel, Irvine, CA, 92604
   I support strong Net Neutrality Rules backed by Title II. You can no
   realistically believe the ISP's will take it upon them selves to willingly give up
   revenue for the purpose of preserving an open internet. Rules must be kept in
   place to ensure they keep the internet free.

73. Garry Shuck, Irvine, CA, 92612
   I DO NOT support the re-classification of Internet Service Providers from Class
   2 because this would remove protections for consumers. ISPs have expressed
   that the current classification is not a burden to development, and that it does
   not represent burdensome over-regulation. Please support the continued open
   and non-tiered internet environment that allows equal access for all. Thank you.

74. Martie Lubetkin, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
   Please ensure the current standard of net neutrality as protected under Title 2.
   There is no discernible slowdown in the industry and there are other ways to
   encourage expansion without threatening the essential regulations that have
   allowed this market to flourish.

75. Melissa Mallak, Coto De Caza, CA, 92679
   I strongly support NET NEUTRALITY remaining in place without change
   under Title II.

76. Chris Luckenbach, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
   I am in support of stronger Net-Neutrality rules, and in favor of classifying
   internet access as a utility. Most broadband customers have zero choice in
   providers, and will be unable to improve their situation if NN regulations are
   gutted. Please stop squeezing hundreds of millions of voters for the financial
   gain of billion dollar companies. We dont like it.

77. anthony, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
   Net Neutrality needs to remain intact.

78. Joel Smith, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
   I strongly support strong FCC regulation of the internet and Title II. I firmly
   believe in an open and fair internet for the people of this country and not one
   corrupted by corporate greed.

79. Scott Wild, Irvine, CA, 92603
   I want to state that the FCC should do everything in it's power to protect net
   neutrality. Information should be freely exchanged on the internet without
   prioritization.
80. Aaron Foellmi, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
As a publisher and small business owner, I can't express how important Net Neutrality is to the future of both commerce and free speech. Please continue the strong stance of the FCC toward protecting and Open Internet and Net Neutrality by keeping ISPs bound to the Title II framework. There are very little protections that keep the internet from becoming controlled by an oligarchy of a relatively few Internet Providers and pushing out actors they deem "bad for business". This goes against the very ideal of America.

81. Christopher Tellez, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I as a tax paying and voting citizen of the United States respectfully request that you do not overturn net neutrality. Please do not let big businesses have advantages over smaller business trying to make their mark. Please do not remove the privacy that we as citizens are guaranteed by the Bill of Rights. Thank you.

82. Net Neutrality, Irvine, CA, 92603
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please keep your integrity as a government office and do what is best for civilians of this country. Do not set us back in global competition.

83. Casper Han, Tustin, CA, 92780
I SUPPORT "NET NEUTRALITY" DO NOT TAKE THAT AWAY FROM US.

84. David Williams, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I believe that Net Neutrality is incredibly important, backed by strong Title II oversight of ISPs. While this puts a mild restraint on the ISPs, it allows internet businesses to flourish and grow without artificial constraints imposed by ISPs, particularly for entrepreneurial internet businesses.

85. Mark Scheele, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. You know that it is the right thing to do. Conservatives are suppose to be for small and medium size businesses (SMB). Removing net neutrality is anti-SMBs.

86. H Gregory Charton, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Net neutrality is essential to honesty, integrity and democracy. Anything less is corruption. Please preserve Title 2 so that people have power as individuals. Always favor individual freedoms - and that means everything must come in at the same speed. No pay to play. That would only be the equivalent of graft. Stand for freedom. Stand for net neutrality, enforceable by law.

87. Net Neutrality, tustin, CA, 92780
Keep Trumps's tiny hands off my internet!!
88. Bill Dugan, Irvine, CA, 92603
I am in favor of strong network neutrality. It is important to me that my ISP not have the ability to throttle connections based on their preferences or payment programs. Please keep it under Title II.

89. Patrick McLenithan, Irvine, CA, 92618
Please, for the sake of the internet, do not cave to corporate special interests. Support net neutrality, make it an equal playing field for everyone!

90. Khoa Nguyen, Irvine, CA, 92606
Ajit Pai I specifically support strong internet net neutrality.

91. Isaac Kuehnle-Nelson, Villa Park, CA, 92861
By stripping away net neutrality protections designed to safe guard a free and open internet, you are invalidating everything the internet was founded on. Shame on you!

92. Kevin Chen, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I am writing this to voice my support for Net Neutrality and to keep the Title 2 regulations on ISPs in order to keep a free and open internet. Without Net Neutrality and regulations, ISPs can abuse and throttle connections that do not align with their business interests or bottom line. Getting rid of Net Neutrality is not in the best interest for the American people.

93. doug cruse, Lake forest, CA, 92630
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II. Ajit Pai is misleading with disinformation to the American people and is supporting the agenda of corporate companies from which he was a lawyer for (Verizon).

94. Net Neutrality, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
We need to keep net neutrality on Tittle II

95. Gary Pighetti, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
Net neutrality should not be switched from Title II back to Title I. It is clear from court opinions that changing from Title II to Title I will give ISPs the power to throttle the internet to their benefit. I am opposed to 17-108.

96. James Cruz, Tustin, CA, 92780
My family and I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's, so stop messing with them!

97. Robert Simoneaux, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
Dear Chairman Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight or ISP's.
98. Marco Koegler, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I am seriously concerned about net neutrality going away and companies being able to abuse their power to decide HOW certain data will be consumed by my household (traffic-shaping, etc.). Also, Ajit Pai does not represent the interests of the people and it is alarming to me to see someone like him make decisions on my behalf.

99. Charles Bucher, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
I am writing to express my support for very strong net neutrality rules, as outlined by Title II.

100. Chris McCormick, Irvine, CA, 92618
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The internet holds the sum of human history and knowledge, and access to it should not be manipulated by providers.

101. net neutrality, Irvine, CA, 92617
As a poor college student I need continual unhampered Internet access in order to survive my school workload, responsibilities and other aspects of my life. Do not allow Trump or the Republicans to bully you, you are here to help the people, not them.

102. Jeffrey Jarvis, Tustin, CA, 92780
I support the preservation of strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's.

103. Elizabeth Allen, IRVINE, CA, 92617
Please preserve net neutrality under Title II of the 1934 Federal Communications Act. Companies should not be absolutely free to exploit us. Please respect your role as a government agency and regulate the freewheeling profits of companies over the experience of consumers.

104. Net Neutrality, Irvine, CA, 92618
Coming from a rural background with little choice in ISP and generally slow internet speeds, I think it's absolutely necessary to maintain strong net neutrality via strong Title 2 oversight. It's important everywhere that we have equal access to sites we'd like to use, but in a rural setting it's even more vital because an ISP slowing the speed of any site would likely make it virtually un-useable to people using that connection. What would be an inconvenience in a different place would become a full stop in places with slower speeds. Please consider this! Thank you!

105. Robert Cossentine, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I strongly support net neutrality and Title II. I am disappointed with how big business and government seem to be only money driven. An open and free
internet is just one of the ways we can maintain our freedom.

106. James Bowden, N. Tustin, CA, 92705
I am in support of Net Neutrality and believe that the internet should remain free. Do not let big business sway you and win. This is literally David vs. Goliath where the future of Tech is being leveraged against the old communication dinosaurs and their shareholders. The US people should have more of a voice that the Verizon and AT&T shareholders.

107. Taylor Losey, Tustin, CA, 92780
I strongly support strong net neutrality under title 2 oversight of ISP's. And it should be no other way.

108. Yadhu Prakash, Irvine, CA, 92612
Retain complete net neutrality.

109. Antonia Burns, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Net neutrality is vital for a free and open market. We need net neutrality to ensure that small businesses have as much of a chance in the online marketplace as major corporations.

110. Net Neutrality, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

111. Arnold Karpel, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Net neutrality is a must and we cannot rely on ISPs to not throttle or restrict competitors with out keeping net neutrality in Title 2.

112. Ryan C Lindsey, Irvine, CA, 92618
I have serious concerns about the chairman’s recommendation of allowing ISPs to voluntarily behave when it comes to traffic and prioritization. With so many ISPs being deeply connected to media companies I don’t see how this seems like a logical and or reasonable expectation. The Internet is a utility and a requirement for many parts of modern living. ISPs should be reclassified under Title II. I’m asking you to do what is right for the citizens not the corporations.

113. Baraa Kahf, Irvine, CA, 92620
I support net neutrality. Please do not allow the telecommunications giants to control the information we consume.

114. Steve Goldstein, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Eliminating net neutrality will destroy the technology business as a whole. Our company has 80 well paid employees and our business depends upon users being able to access an open and free internet. We plan on expanding over the
course of the next few years, but that expansion is dependent on accumulating a sizable user base, one which will be substantially impacted should net neutrality be eliminated. If the current administration truly cares about jobs, it will cease this fruitless and damaging exercise.

115. Suzie Swartz, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
It is imperative that you maintain Internet Neutrality and keep ISPs under Title 2! American consumers deserve this protection!

116. Michael Hong, Tustin, CA, 92782
It is imperative that we preserve the free and open internet under Title II protections. I believe this is one of the last things helping keep America a leader in technological innovation and freedom of expression. Corporations already have too much power, please don't give them more.

117. Ankur Jalota, Tustin, CA, 92780
Please preserve strong net neutrality rules and Title II! Serve the people, not the big corporations! That's your job FCC! P.S. I miss Tom Wheeler. Ajit Pai is no good for net neutrality.

118. Net Neutrality, Tustin, CA, 92780
I am in support of strong net neutrality measures and do not wish to see that end especially since ISP's are now able to sell our data.

119. Tom Rooney, Irvine, CA, 92603
Preserve Net Neutrality under Title II, the internet must remain free and unencumbered and the FCC should not be acting as corporate shills.

120. Mary Stack, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
DO NOT KILL NET NEUTRALITY!! The internet and access to an equal flow and speed should be available to all.

121. Heather Sehic, Irvine, CA, 92603
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. The title of this FCC proceeding is also incredibly dishonest. "17-108 Restoring Internet Freedom" This is anything but internet freedom, its to destroy internet freedom to line the pockets of cooperations.

122. Derrick Ruthless, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Without net neutrality corporate giants could slow down or even block rival services, not to mention the next generation of startups that depend on internet freedom. I support an open internet!

123. Glenton Jelbert, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
We strongly believe ISPs and the internet generally should continue to be
regulated under title II of the communications act. We urge the FCC as the regulator to continue to do so and to abandon plans to deregulate the industry.

124. Justin Gomez, Tustin, CA, 92780
I support net neutrality under title 2. I have a huge problem with corporations tampering with my access to the internet. As it is there are very little options for internet service providers and dismantling the net neutrality rules will only give more power to these corporations.

125. James Moidel, Irvine, CA, 92620
Keep net neutrality per Title II. Keep all ISPs on an even playing field. Do not change!

126. Reesha Meacham, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I believe it is in the best interest of the people of this country to have an internet system that works to the benefit of the majority and not just to the benefit of the ISP's profit margin. It is of utmost importance to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Having strong net neutrality rules will help the economy of the country as a whole, especially in terms of entrepreneurial efforts. We all do better when others are able to bring their ideas to market, which is difficult if the cards are stacked against them by others who are already overwhelmingly successful. Plus a slowed down internet is painful for everyone!

127. Susan Kasparian, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
I support strong net neutrality and, to that end, Title II oversight of ISPs is absolutely necessary.

128. James Mancini, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92678
I am writing to strongly voice my support of Title 2 protections for Net Neutrality regulation in regards to Internet Service Providers. There is clear evidence that ISPs cannot be trusted to manage this process in the best interests of consumers, such as the private interconnect agreements that Netflix has had to sign with providers like Comcast who were actively limiting their bandwidth. Only Title 2 provides the proper legal footing for the FCC to manage this process.

129. Nicolas Burrola, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
I support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. We, as a nation, cannot ethically have it any other way.

130. Omar Gonzalez, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
The free flow of information is the only safeguard against tyranny. Net Neutrality is a critical component to a public discourse and dissemination of ideas and innovations. To grant private corporations the means to alter how and
what we communicate for the sake of profit maximization is despicable. I fully support Net Neutrality as a basic safeguard to the freedoms of private individuals.

131. Kyle Duggan, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I am writing to express my desire that Net Neutrality and Title II rules be preserved, I am strongly opposed to the proposed rule changes.

132. Christopher Ponce de Leon, Irvine, CA, 92604
Please save harsh net neutrality enforcement!

133. Steven S., Tustin, CA, 92780
I strongly support Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. The internet should be an open and free platform for information, unbiased by corporate interests or outside influences. Net neutrality is a step towards ensuring that it remains that way. Removing these protections could have dire consequences for the future of the internet.

134. Graham Gould, Irvine, CA, 92604
Please preserve net neutrality and maintain Title II rules.

135. Steve Diamond, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
I support net neutrality Title II.

136. Andrew Claire, Irvine, CA, 92620
I am writing to express my strong support for net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Anything short of absolute net neutrality via Title II will put consumers and small businesses at an massive disadvantage while also limiting the content choices available to consumers.

137. John Gurule, Irvine, CA, 92618
Does Ajit Pai Think we Americans are stupid? He's a former Verizon attorney and is totally trying to change the net neutrality rules to benefit big corporations in their quest for huge profits at the consumers expense. I wonder what hidden benefits he's secretly getting directly from Verizon and other similar companies. He needs to keep the current net neutrality rules in place and prevent large corporations from speeding up or slowing down Internet sites at their whim for the sole purpose of screwing the American public and increasing the profits of the greedy corporations. Shame on you Ajit, we the American public are watching you !!!

138. Victoria Hofstein, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Please retain and enforce internet neutrality! Thank you.

139. Matt Ludlam, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
Don't repeal net neutrality ya shits

140. Mihail Genchev, Tustin, CA, 92780
I own a small business and it's important for all businesses to have the same connection speed. ISP should not be able to discriminate and control the connection speed based on where the traffic is coming from. Please preserve net neutrality!!!

141. Brandon Harris, Irvine, CA, 92618
I want to express that a free and open internet is so vital to our country that it should be regulated by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. ISPs cannot be trusted to be impartial in their treatment of internet data. Do not leave the American consumer to the wolves.

142. David Hansen, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
True net neutrality is an important aspect of modern life, and I support any and all actions to keep the internet truly free and neutral. I oppose any regulations or laws that allow internet providers to charge more for certain websites, or to slow down traffic to others.

143. Erick A. Diaz, Irvine, CA, 92617
We need to maintain net neutrality. The title II provision is one of the few legal barriers we have to maintain a free internet. While Mr. Pai believes that infrastructure has suffered under this change to title II, this claim is misleading at best if we reference Verizon's Vice President's statement claiming otherwise. Please do not change the title II status changing current net neutrality laws.

144. Steve Manoogian, Irvine, CA, 92604
Please leave ISP regulations under Title 2. Thanks!

145. Carl Keiderling, Irvine, CA, 92604
I strongly support net neutrality under Title II protection. Allowing ISPs favoritism of specific sites/companies is unacceptable.

146. Giovanni MIlan, Tustin, CA, 92780
I absolutely strongly support NET NUTERALITY, backed by Titled 2 for the ISPs.

147. Matthew Coats, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
To whom it may concern, Please accept this filing in opposition to the FCC's proposed deregulation of Title 1 and Title 2 regarding Net Neutrality. It is my opinion that allowing ISPs to control access to content is NOT in the best interest of their customers or competition in a free and open marketplace. Please keep the internet free and neutral.
148. Greg Knight, Orange, CA, 92869
   It is imperative that you maintain Net Neutrality. Anything less would be an
   abrogation of your mandated responsibility.

149. Keep Tittle 2, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
   I am writing to urge the FCC to keep Title 2 in effect in protecting net
   neutrality. Should this safeguard be removed consumers will suffer and ISP's
   will be able to, in effect, extort money from content providers. Internet service
   should be considered as a utility because life for the average 21st century
   American would be very difficult if they had very poor or no internet service.

150. Ross, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
   It's important that we as a nation preserve the net neutrality rules backed by
   Title 2. Without these rules ISPs will have an incentive to use their paying
   customers as a means to drive traffic toward specifics sites. A free and open
   internet is something that everyone can agree on and should fight to preserve.

151. Curtis Goodwin, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
   Please keep the net neutral. Do not allow the major ISPs to have the power to
   chose which websites/streaming service get preferred or restricted treatment.

152. Erik Burrows, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
   I support full net neutrality under title II. Forced equality of internet traffic flow
   is a requirement for the free exchange of information and technological
   innovation. Do not re-classify ISPs under title I.

153. Nicholas Malik, Irvine, CA, 92618
   Please continue the Net Neutrality laws. Thank you. And tell Verizon to go F
   OFF!

154. Justin Smith, Irvine, CA, 92617
   Net neutrality has been crucial for the development of the internet as we know
   it. Many start-ups would not be possible if the internet was not neutral. This
   legislation would destroy the internet as we know it and would be incredibly
detrimental for American innovation.

155. Terry Kilbourne, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
   Do not change net neutrality designation from it's current description under
   Title II. Choking off the internet and arbitrarily deciding different stream levels
   would be wrong. We the people, through our taxes, helped pave the way for its
   existence; we need you, the government, to listen to our voices and protect the
   internet under its current configuration.

156. Scott Cohen, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
   Hands off my internet. We need net neutrality!
157. Venelin Efremov, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
Deregulating ISP is a terrible idea. Where I live there is no real choice for ISP. My only choice is COX cable. Someone can point to AT&T DSL as an alternative, but that is limited to 768kBps, which is too slow to do anything. So if COX decides to "de-prioritize" YouTube or Netflix, I'm in no position to take my money to another provider. All traffic on the wire should be treated equally. What you should really focus on is how to enable competition in markets like mine, so we can get real innovation and competitive services.

158. Danny Prosky, Irvine, CA, 92603
Why in the world would you make any changes to net neutrality? I strongly back keeping the rules within Title II.

159. Net Neutrality, Irvine, CA, 92618
You cannot allow Trumps administration to remove Net Neutrality. You are essentially giving them the power to not only walk all over the little guy but even the larger corporations. Let's be honest here, do you really think these ISP's are not going to take advantage over throttling others over the ones paying them more? These ISP's have literally received billions for them to improve their infrastructure but instead spend it on trying to block Google from expanding their Fiber cables. I download large packages over Steam, what are you going to do throttle me unless I pay more? This is a huge step backwards! What makes it worse is that Republicans are trying to spin this off as "Freeing the American Internet"! Freeing? You mean enslaving! Stop ruining our country at this rate China is having a more progressive outlook on a free internet than we are!

160. Andrew Rowe, Irvine, CA, 92618
I would like to encourage the FCC to continue to support strong net neutrality laws under Title 2.

161. Jeffrey E. VanderYacht, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I believe it is of the utmost importance to preserve net neutrality and Title II. It would be a huge loss for the American public not to do so.

162. Robert Slayton, Tustin, CA, 92782
I am strongly in favor of keeping net neutrality and Title II.I will vote against any party that repeals these protections.

163. Brenda Carpio, Irvine, CA, 92612
We need to keep net neutrality rules, to safeguard freedom of speech and discrimination. do your job FCC and protect citizens not corporations.

164. Stephen Fischman, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
It is very important to me that you preserve net neutrality and classify ISPs as
common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. They should not be allowed to prioritize or control the information that I receive over the Internet.

165. Clarisse Que, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I believe in the importance of a free internet marketplace, where all content and content providers are on an equal footing for access and delivery. I don't believe that access to any one source should be allowed to have precedence over another, just because ISPs would like to monetize that favoritism. I absolutely support Net Neutrality, and want it to remain under Title 2 standards. Keep the internet EQUAL to access.

166. f, Irvine, CA, 92620
STRONG NET NEUTRALITY IS NEEDED

167. Jeremiah Cochran, Irvine, CA, 92604
I support Net Neutrality and urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Do not sell out your country and your fellow citizens.

168. Jessica Richards, Irvine, CA, 92618
I'm another citizen who supports Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

169. Jay Choi, Amajeo,, CA, 92808
Preserve Title 2 & NET NEUTRALITY!

170. Derric Pollard, Tustin, CA, 92782
Net Neutrality is an extremely important necessity for the general population in order to prevent corporate influence. Net Neutrality must be maintained at all costs.

171. Diane Bucka, Irvine, CA, 92620
Keep the internet open and free. Do not limit access to information by charging more for "less preferred" content or ISP's. Free access to information is central to our democratic society, and imperative for economic opportunity as well.

172. Michael Shyu, Irvine, CA, 92620
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not undo the 2015 rules that implemented net neutrality with Title II classification.

173. Keith Patterson, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
I am writing to voice my support for keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Revoking this ruling will indeed cause monopolies, loss of competition, and loss of jobs and services. Please keep the net neutral!!!
174. Calvin A Ballard, Tustin, CA, 92780
   Please do not weaken Net Neutrality. ISP's should be Title 2. They have a record of not keeping their word and can not be trusted.

175. josh jones, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
   Please support net neutrality with title 2 protections.

176. Alexandria Monego, lake Forest, CA, 92630
   I am in support of *STRONG* net neutrality backed by Title 2. In Internet belongs to the people, not ISPs!!!

177. Yashasvi, Irvine, CA, 92606
   Please keep net neutrality and Title 2. Ajit Pai, please use your smarts and do what you know is right, not what you are told is right.

178. Reid Whitney, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
   Research Net Neutrality rules and Title 2!

179. Ian Lang, Irvine, CA, 92603
   I want the FCC to protect net neutrality and Title 2. The internet is like a road; no one should be allowed special privileges because of their destination.

180. Andreas Heger, Orange, CA, 92869
   i support strong Net Neutrality with Title 2 oversight specifically. Corporations and ISP's are not trustworthy enough to leave this in their terms and conditions and follow these rules for years to come. This issue needs to be locked down and regulated so that the Internet is fair and equal for everyone.

181. Alonzo Castanon, Irvine, CA, 92612
   I support keeping internet service providers classified as Title 2 services. It's in the best interests of customers.

182. James Rice, Irvine, CA, 92603
   I think it is important that Internet Service Providers continue to be subject to regulation via Title 2. The argument that such regulation is unnecessary because ISPs will voluntarily do the right thing rings hollow. These are public businesses with shareholders who demand positive results on a quarterly basis. With that pressure to perform, it is way too easy for at-risk executives to rationalize whatever course of action will increase short term profits, even if those actions are contrary to the general principles of fair play. This issue involves a tradeoff. There is a downside to greater regulation, in the higher cost that brings for providing internet service. However, that negative must be weighed against the negative that comes with less regulation and the probability of unfair practices by a powerful few. It would be naive to think the few businesses in control will not do what they can to win in a competitive
marketplace. Tight regulation (Title 2) is important. The cost of such regulation is not overly burdensome. The proper balance in this tradeoff is to keep regulation under Title 2.

183. Scott Wolf, Irvine, CA, 92612
Please preserve Net Neutrality and preserve Title 2

184. Rene Rodarte, Irvine, CA, 92618
Net neutrality is one of the important issues of our day. Having equal access to internet services unhindered by ISP is vital the global economy. Overturning existing rules and letting ISP "self regulate" is just a recipe for disaster. I can't think of any industry ever successfully regulating itself and history has already shown ISP's prioritizing certain internet traffic simply because the ISP has an economic stake in a rival service. Please do not weaken existing rules and instead strengthen them by making the Internet a vital Utility under Title II.

185. Trent Gribben, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Please protect FCC Title 2 and net neutrality,

186. Alfredo Marquez, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Keep net neutrality. Only way to keep ISP's from throttling and controlling our content. By negating net neutrality we are going down a slippery slope of corporations owning our information.

187. Alexander Spraker, Orange, CA, 92869
I support a strong Net Neutrality by section 2 oversight of ISPs.

188. L. Jimenez, Irvine, CA, 92618
Dropping net neutrality is a corporate give-away that impedes new technology development and competition!

189. John Warburton, Rancho Santa Margerita, CA, 92688
I am writing to tell the FCC to preserve net neutrality and title 2, Thank you.

190. gregory bruce, irvine, CA, 92620
I support internet freedom and net neutrality. Please keep the FCC ruling under Title II.

191. Chris Toft, Irvine, CA, 92614
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight. This should not even be a matter that we have to fight for.

192. matthew winsryg, Anaheim, CA, 92807
I am extremely concerned that the FCC is considering not supporting net neutrality and not supporting the continuance of using title 2 governance of
ISPs. I strongly support net neutrality and not changing back to title 1 to govern ISPs. We all deserve freedom, and based on their past behavior there is no indication that ISPs will "do the right thing" when profit can be had.

193. William Hunt, Irvine, CA, 92620
I VERY strongly support Net Neutrality with STRONG TITLE II protections. Do NOT undermine the good that has been done under the Obama administration. ISPs should not be allowed to pick and choose who gets good bandwidth online, nor should the be allowed to sell personal browsing histories of their customers. Consumers must have strong rights protected! Anything less is an outrage.

194. Net Nuetrality, Irvine, CA, 92603
I am writing to express my strong disapproval of weakening net neutrality laws, or returning to pre-2015 rules/regulations.

195. Brandon Meesak, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
Net Neutrality is fundamental to the internet. The Internet providers cannot be trusted to "honor their word". The regulations were put in place years ago after public comment showed people strongly support it. To remove the protections put in place would be a detriment to america. 17-108 is an attack on the freedom that the internet currently enjoys and I am fully against it. I support strong keeping ISPs as a title 2 classification to allow the FCC to strongly regulate and enforce the net neutrality that we currently have.

196. Nigel Jay, Irvine, CA, 92614
just to ensure you understand the emphasis on my position, it is in caps and quotes .... "DO NOT UNDO NET NEUTRALITY.""KEEP IT AS IT IS.""THERE IS NO PUBLIC INTEREST SERVED BY ALLOWING ISP TO DISCRIMINATE INTERNET TRAFFIC." "ANY CARRIER RESPONSE REGARDING COSTS, REGS, OR STIFLED INNOVATION OR INVESTMENT IS TOTAL BS.""I EXPECT THE FCC TO HOLD PUBLIC INTEREST OVER PERSONAL BIAS AND DO YOUR F'ING JOB, LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE."

197. Dr. Loren P. Eason, Irvine, CA, 92612
Internet access and transfer speed (a.k.a. net neutrality) needs to be maintained and regulated as it has been since the last set of public comments. Do not revoke the regulatory changes enacted during the Obama administration. Those of us who study digital media for a living have seen the attempts that the ISPs have made to create a network infrastructure that reinforces their own control over content speed and access. Re-deregulating them will not be in the public's best interest. Please do your job for all of the American people and not just for whoever is in charge and influential at the moment. Freer discourse is in the
public interest and corporate self-regulation will only lead to more of corporations using their data infrastructure to promote their own speech over that of others. This can not stand.

198. KAPIL DANDAMUDI, Irvine, CA, 92606
I support Title II classification for ISPs. The internet is a public utility in this country - the ISPs have been allowed to use federal land and federal electromagnetic spectrum upon which to build their businesses; there is no reason they should not be treated as common carriers. No single byte of data on the internet should be treated more importantly than another and unrolling such rules will have dire consequences to industry and competition in this country and will again concentrate power in the hands of a select few ISPs to determine what information may be seen easily.

199. Rachel Lowe, Irvine, CA, 92620
Please keep ISPs in Title 2. This is absolutely crucial to our economy.

200. Kade Clark, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I support keeping strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs in the Communications Act of 1934

201. JOSEPH GILLESPIE, Anaheim, CA, 92808
Strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight is the only thing that will allow me to see your infamous Reece's Pieces mug with the speed and quality that it deserves. I mean if Time Warner thinks it's stupid, which I couldn't blame them if they did, and they think another mug website should go faster - then I'm fucked. That's not the free market, or less regulation - that's the government sticking its dick in the invisible hand and asking for a hand job.

202. Kevin Caldwell, Irvine, CA, 92618
Please preserve net neutrality and keep Title II in tact. Companies should not be allowed to dictate the public's usage of the internet - it must remain neutral, open, and free from capitalistic perversions.

203. James, orange, CA, 92869
To Ajit Pai, and all whom it may concern, I strongly support net neutrality and title II and would like to be sure that common carriers cannot make any unjust or unreasonable discrimination in charges, practices, classifications, regulations, facilities, or services, particularly those that favor their own carrier.

204. Grace Landa, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Protect the community's interests!

205. Malcolm Stone, Tustin, CA, 92780
Protect Title II for ISPs and net neutrality

206. Ken Hommel, Irvine, CA, 92618
I support maintaining the current net neutrality rules, and maintaining Title II status for telecommunication services provided by ISPs.

207. Cory Bergen, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Keep net neutrality rules strong. Keep ISPs classified as Title II common carriers.

208. Tyler Mabery, Irvine, CA, 92612
I think it is in the best interest of our entire population to keep the internet free and open. The internet was created to share information, not so that companies can money grab. The greed needs to stop, and we need to keep Net Neutrality. The fact that this our neutral Internet is in jeopardy is unbelievable. I shake my head.

209. Christopher Chang, Irvine, CA, 92606
I am against voluntary net neutrality proceedings. Without a procedure of law and oversight preserving net neutrality thereby preventing larger ISPs from dictating the terms of content, freedom of information will be compromised. Many modern ISPs are mergers divested in many different forms of content, which would act as a conflict of interest against providing fair internet speeds.

210. Kumar, Tustin, CA, 92780
Preserve net neutrality and Title II

211. Ronald Stryker, Tustin, CA, 92780
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Do NOT Roll back the rules.

212. jodi baskin, Trabuco canyon, CA, 92679
Leave net neutrality alone. Keep a fair and open internet for all.

213. Sean Hajizadeh, mission viejo, CA, 92691
I am deeply concerned about removing net neutrality. We need freedom of bandwidth, this is the only reason creative and smart small companies thrive to be googles and micros of the world! You cannot simply make it an unfair playground for the small fish.

214. Christine Demeduk, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I wish to indicate my support for keeping net neutrality for the internet. It should be kept under title 2. I do not want the law changed back to be under Title 1. I believe that doing so would allow for companies to "manage" the speed so that users do not have the freedom of choosing. Please add my voice to keeping net neutrality.
215. Abraham Chiu, Irvine, CA, 92618
As a 28 year-old graduate student in Orange county, California, Proceeding 17-108 has me extremely concerned about the state of the internet. Rolling back title II regulations on ISPs (and constantly changing regulations) will not let ISPs operate and plan as needed. This will hinder Americans' access to their choice of information and allow businesses to determine what is seen by Americans everywhere.

216. Daniel Sir, Irvine, CA, 92620
I am in strong support of strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. Please let it be known.

217. William Graham, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Please maintain the current Title 2 status and existing regulations. Doing otherwise severely threatens one of the major commerce engines of our country. Please abandon this assault on Net Neutrality.

218. Farahnaz Eman, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Hello! I wanted to comment to say that I support net neutrality and Title II. Net neutrality and Title II increase competition between web providers, and weakening net neutrality is an attack on the entrepreneurs of the future, and is thus an attack on capitalism. Please leave net neutrality be.

219. Marie C Whitcomb, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Please keep Net Neutrality and Tittle II!!

220. Mathew Volpe, Irvine, CA, 92602
I support keeping the internet open and free. Allowing the world wide web to filter through pipelines of corporate control will corrupt and breed unfair competition throughout the online economy. Net Neutrality is essential to the modern American dream, in that it offers equal opportunity for individuals, small businesses, and numerous other organizations to compete with larger corporations on a national to global level. If ISPs are to control the information pipeline then conflicts of interests are sure to arise. Don't Ruin it

221. Travis Wannlund, Irvine, CA, 92618
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title II oversight. This is critical to the continued growth of our economy and the future security of our private sector. Please, don't sell this out due to shortsightedness. Don't kill the last true free market.

222. Julie Trask, Orange, CA, 92869
Net neutrality is vital to the almost universal use of the internet by people in all walks of life, for a vast variety of reasons. Allowing private or corporate interests to influence internet access availability or speed is like only allowing
some people access to water or electricity. The internet has become a utility nearly as important as the others, and it should be regulated accordingly.

223. Myrna Rasmussen, Irvine, CA, 92604
   I support strong net neutrality under the oversight of Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

224. Joseph S, irvine, CA, 92612
   Title II protects us.

225. Net Neutrality, Irvine, CA, 92602
   I support strong net neutrality laws backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP. Please DO NOT change this!!!! I don't want to pay more or suffer the consequences of the change to this rule!!!!

226. Mike Rupard, Irvine, CA, 92614
   I favor keeping Internet neutrality.

227. ARDESHIR ZAFARI, Irvine, CA, 92602
   I strongly support net neutrality under title 2. Rolling this regulation back would be an immense disservice to consumers. ISPs do not have the right to filter, cherry pick, or in any way affect what data is available to the consumer.

228. Carolyn Bates, Tustin, CA, 92780
   Preserve net neutrality. Keep the Internet under Title II.

229. Christopher, Irvine, CA, 92617
   Hello I would like that we keep ISPs under Title 2. I do not trust them to regulate themselves and maintain net neutrality.

230. Daniel Call, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
   Please preserve net Nutrality under title 2.

231. Jeff Lewis, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
   Along with majority of America, I strongly support net neutrality. There is too much evidence that ISPs will abuse the power. Dismantling net neutrality only benefits ISPs and not the citizens of the United States.

232. Hannah J Ogren, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
   I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I urge you to fight for these rules and to keep them in place.

233. Roy Comer, Irvine, CA, 92604
   I support net neutrality and urge you to keep ISP's governed by Title II.

234. Tom Mills, Irvine, CA, 92618
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 by ISP's ... you need to as well or your asses will be fired!!!!

235. Title 2, Irvine, CA, 92612
   Please preserve their net neutrality and title 2

236. Joshua, Irvine, CA, 92620
   I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Nobody with a brain believes your lies Ajit. And your mug sucks.

237. Kaylie Strong, Irvine, CA, 92618
   I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 by ISP's ... Leave our net alone! And... Leave Brittney alone too!

238. mijung jin, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
   I strongly support the net neutrality under title 2.

239. Net Neutrality, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
   I strongly support Net Neutrality. As a Trump supporter, this administration has turned me against all republications. Shame on you.

240. Richard Tran, Irvine, CA, 92620
   Please protect Net Neutrality and the right to internet privacy! This protects everyone who uses the internet.

241. Ian J Donaldson, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
   We strongly support the current rules on net neutrality and strongly oppose any changes that would weaken them

242. Rochelle Silverman, Irvine, CA, 92617
   I support strong net neutrality regulations backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The internet, like public utilities, is necessary for modern life and productivity. As such, each person should be entitled equal access to all services provided via the web.

243. Neutrality, Irvine, CA, 92602
   Gimme some dat sweet internet neutrality!

244. Sean T., Tustin, CA, 92780
   As a citizen, I'm continually infuriated that business interests are put above the public's when it comes to an open internet. We have already had our privacy stripped, our every move is now tracked and sold, and again, we have to fight those like Ajit Pai; businessmen who have no regard for what the people want. As an American and a Veteran, I'm appalled that the word 'Freedom' is tacked on to this. The only 'Freedom' here is freedom from oversight for ISPs, which
was put into place for a reason. Try listening to the American people, and do your jobs right. Keep ISPs under Title II.

245. Taylor Chamberlin, Irvine, CA, 92603
I'd like to express my support for net neutrality and its protection under Title II. I do not believe continuing to protect a free and open internet will suppress investment or innovation; rather, I believe the competition allowed by an even playing field will help the internet to flourish. Thank you for taking my concern (along with the concern of millions of other American citizens) into account. Sincerely, Taylor

246. Peter Rossi, Irvine, CA, 92614
I do NOT support any form of loosening of net neutrality laws. In the US ISPs already have near monopolies with the selection choices that we have, we can't give them more power. The internet should be like international waters as far as I'm concerned, no one should own it or control it. There should be laws set up to solidify it's independence. I play online games a lot, I don't want to have to start paying a gaming fee for faster speeds. Protect our laws or the backlash you'll receive will be astronomical.

247. Ben Strickland, Irvine, CA, 92612
Title 2 must be maintained without alteration. If you alter net neutrality, we the public will fire you.

248. Ian Wahl, Irvine, CA, 92612
Net Neutrality is a good thing. Do not let corporate ties blind you into thinking something that you know is untrue. Title 2 Net Neutrality is the only way to keep the internet fair, free and consumer friendly. And personally I'd much rather have consumer friendly over ISP corporation friendly.

249. Rooz Mahdavian, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
I do not at all support the degradation of Internet Freedom and Net Neutrality. Please support an open and free internet and maintain Net Neutrality.

250. Robert Meeds, Silverado, CA, 92676
Keep net neutrality. Don't sell out the American people to Netflix and AT&T.

251. James Chillemi, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
I support net neutrality and will rigorously oppose any attempts by the telecom industry to not treat all data the same. I AM OPPOSED TO PROCEEDINGS 17-108

252. daphne shen, Irvine, CA, 92620
we need strong net neutrality, backed by title II oversight of ISP's !!!#gofccyourself
253. Ezra Zuidema, Anaheim, CA, 92807
Please preserve net neutrality and title II! Keep the internet a safe and equal
ground for all!

254. Charles Bradford, Irvine, CA, 92618
An Open Internet, protected under Title II classification for Internet Service Providers, is vitally important for protecting and encouraging innovation and ensuring that consumer choice on the internet is always protected. Please DO NOT remove these protections or alter the Title II classification for ISPs which would prevent the enforcement of such safeguards.

255. Lani Aung, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
I support preserving strong net neutrality with Title II

256. Michelle DD Selig, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Please make net neutrality a priority of all rulings in this arena.

257. Deborah Demoney, Tustin, CA, 92780
I completely support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s.

258. Henry Phillips, Irvine, CA, 92606
Maintaining free, equal, and open access to the internet it critical to our society and is GOOD for a free market, as an internet with different tiers of access will make it harder for new, smaller companies to compete with larger companies over the internet which is CRITICAL to a good and functioning free market.

259. Alvin Manning, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
Please keep / make Net Neutrality Title II. Regulations are needed for good reason, they aren't just arbitrarily placed to hinder open-markets. Thank you.

260. Samuel Levine, Irvine, CA, 92617
FUCKING DONT GO ALL TRUMP leave the regulations in place. Leave it under title 2 do not put it under title 1.

261. Cheryl Posner, Orange, CA, 92869
Please maintain strong net neutrality as protected under Title II. I object to any ISP blocking access to websites or slowing internet speeds (including broadband and for those poor souls still stuck with dial-up access). Thank you.

262. Michael Erickson, Anaheim, CA, 92808
Net neutrality is highly important to protect consumers. It was my impression that Republicans supported a free market; which repealing title 2 will completely get rid of. We've been through this before and it was clearly shown that only rich business owners want to do away with net neutrality so please for
the love of God don't ruin this.

263. Kyle Storms, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I, as a voter and citizen, support strong Net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs and if you think you can just reverse that without pissing off pretty much the entire internet using population under 65, you're sadly mistaken. Kindly take your giant Reese's mug and shove it where the sun doesn't shine. Have a nice day :)

264. Michael Yu, Irvine, CA, 92612
Net Neutrality is important in ensuring the continued competitiveness of the United States in information technology. Rolling back these provisions is a travesty and an obvious giveaway to ISPs who stand to benefit by providing preferential treatment to their own services, even when inferior to competitors, as well as stifling the robust developing technology economy in the United States in favor of established large companies.

265. Grant Barnett, Orange, CA, 92869
I fully support heavy net neutrality rules backed by title II.

266. Joel Goldstein, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
I strongly support net neutrality and the provisions in Title II. ISPs should not discriminate against internet services by manipulating access speeds. Self-regulation does not work.

267. Jack Toung, Irvine, CA, 92602
PROTECT INTERNET NEUTRALITY. DON'T GIVE AWAY OUR FREE AND UNBIASED INTERNET ACCESS. Especially to Verizon, whom I suspect still has your Chair, Mr. Pai, in their pocket.Seriously, keeping the internet companies Title 2 will help keep large corporations from limiting or deciding who gets "more fair" access to the internet. The internet has grown such that it is more a utility than in the past, and should be regulated as such.

268. Jacob B. Steinberg, Irvine, CA, 92604
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by title II proceedings.

269. Kurt Lindsey, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
please do not deregulate net neutrality. Large corporations must have the oversight provided by the switch to Title II to allow net neutrality to be enforced. We cannot trust corporations, who increasingly own content and content publishing platforms to fairly compete without proper regulation and legal footing to enforce those regulations.It is important as a consumer for the freedom to choose content that we want to consume, without the burden of a corporation forcing that choice by providing preferential treatment for their own content and platforms over independent and competing content developers and
publishers. It is also important to protect innovation and curate content development. Removing oversight and enforceability provides an unfair advantage in the marketplace for independent companies and opposing content to be fairly distributed to consumers. I urge you not to remove these protections and provide a neutral and open internet for all. Thanks.

270. Mehdi Jazayeri, Irvine, CA, 92604
Keep strong net neutrality under Title II.

271. Mary Jazayeri, Irvine, CA, 92604
I am strongly in favor of strong net neutrality under title II.

272. Andrew Graus, Irvine, CA, 92617
Hello, I am commenting to say that I am strongly in favor of net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. As the internet has become such an integral part of many American's lives this regulation is key to making sure that ISPs do not control the information that Americans see, or which services they will be able to use.

273. Yi-Ling Hsieh, Irvine, CA, 92620
I strongly urge you to support net neutrality. The people in the United States deserve to have fair and open access to the internet. Reverse on net neutrality will jeopardize the people's fair access to the internet and allow the internet service providers to hold the service for ransom. Internet is now essential like all utilities and it should be treated like one.

274. Mary Ellen Glackin, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Net neutrality is an important issue. We need to protect everyone's equal access to the internet and insure equality of access.

275. Jacob Buczarski, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Net Neutrality is key to having a free and open internet that promotes the spread of information and the speed of innovation. Allowing this freedom to be compromised to generate profits for ISPs is a huge mistake and would be a gigantic loss for society as a whole. Please keep these protections intact and do not remove the oversight of ISPs in this regard.

276. NET FREEDOM, Anaheim, CA, 92807
I support strong net back by Title II which is needed to keep the freedom alive. Anything else is giving large companies the ability to take away my freedom to use the internet as I need it!

277. Jeff Manning, Orange, CA, 92869
I strongly support net neutrality and classifying the internet Title 2
278. Kristine Arai, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Maintain net neutrality! It is vital to maintain net neutrality backed by Title II. Consumers and U.S. citizens/residents are being bought and sold too much already. Don't add to the problem. Maintain net neutrality!

279. Lucas Wang, Irvine, CA, 92603
We need strong net neutrality! Keep current rules in place, don't be a sellout and do the right thing.

280. Stuart Fischman, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Keeping Net Neutrality is fair for both carriers and users. There is no question that changing it would result in unfair and compromised business practices negatively effecting the end user which are all of the citizens of the United States.

281. Timothy Chang, Irvine, CA, 92606
I strongly support net neutrality. Specifically, net neutrality that is backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Net neutrality is incredibly important and must be preserved.

282. Brandon Tracey, Tustin, CA, 92782
PLEASE PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2. Believing that companies are going to self-regulate along the same lines that Title 2 has mandated them to is absolutely insane.

283. David Thiessen, Irvine, CA, 92620
Retain net neutrality under title II.

284. Max Brown, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
I support strong net neutrality, that's title II oversight of ISP's.

285. Jason McCarty, Orange, CA, 92869
The FCC can choose if they want to act or not, but if taken out of title II and put back into title I, we will face ISPs saying, sorry can't touch us LIKE THEY DID BEFORE! It is so disappointing that this is once again being debated. Listen to the people, not the organizations. How do you want to be remembered?

286. Matthew Walls, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Classifying ISPs as title 1 is an insult and injustice.

287. Austin Archer, Tustin, CA, 92780
Dear Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Hakuna Matata, Austin Archer

288. Sabrina Harrigan, Irvine, CA, 92618
Just re-read what over 140k plus people have written. Ditto to what they all say. Not having net neutrality doesn't benefit anyone other than the companies that pay their way to the top.

289. Jordan, Irvine, CA, 92617
    Please please PLEASE preserve net neutrality and title 2. Do everything you can.

290. title 2, Irvine, CA, 92606
    I vote in favor of net neutrality and enforcing title 2 on ISPs

291. Kathy Orlinsky, Irvine, CA, 92620
    Please preserve net neutrality. Keep the internet under Title II.

292. DAN GREEN, LAKE FOREST, CA, 92630
    As a small, internet-based business owner, I and many thousands like me have serious concerns over the threat to dismantle net neutrality. Our business relies on unobstructed access to our platform, and without Title II protection, our future may well be at stake. Please reconsider your position. Thank you.

293. Donald Alec Hunsberger, Orange, CA, 92867
    I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II. Please listen to your citizens and not your corporations.

294. Eddie Shih, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
    I do think the current treatment of ISPs under Title II regulation is correct. As a consumer and in the interest of preventing monopolistic/oligopolistic practices by ISP providers for an open internet. I do NOT want to see changes to the current regulations. Were the state of current service providers less consolidated, argument of free market conditions might be valid, allowing consumers to choose other providers. That is not the case, and these ISP providers are closer to the TelCos of the 20th century. Additionally, I'm concerned with business practices of ISPs if unregulated without mandatory free/open Internet policies already doing harm to emerging innovation by throttling back other emerging companies streams. The burden of proof an evidence in an unregulated environment on new companies, strangulating growth, or has already driven an emerging innovative startups with less cash. De-regulating is protectionist of the ISP industry at the cost of many other potential internet industries. This is the backbone foundation and open internet must be protected to allow open competition further down the internet chain.

295. stephen forman, irvine, CA, 92606
    please leave this law alone.no changes needed.

296. Matt O'Connell, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Dear Mr. Pai,

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPsâ€™s. And your mug is utterly ridiculous.

297. Joel R. Dockrill, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
    Please preserve Net Neutrality and keep our Internet Service Providers (ISP's) regulated under Title II designation. Privately held for profit corporations should not be able to determine who is able to have fast and reliable access to the internet in general and/or online dependent services. Government regulation is key to promoting a fair and even playing filed in which new business ventures have protections to grow. Allowing private corporations to pick and choose competition will keep America stagnant in innovation, hurt the American people and give genuine pause for new investors seeking to invest in new internet reliant startups. Keep our internet a level playing field and keep the corporate war dogs in check.

298. Professor Keith Fowler, Irvine, CA, 92617
    Count me in favor of Net Neutrality as sustained by Title II's oversight of ISPs.

299. Cameron Torres, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
    Keep net neutrality under title 2. Dont let the thuggish companies win.

300. Kyle Ferguson, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
    ISPs must remain categorized under Title II for the internet to remain open and free.

301. Alec Paquette, Santa Ana, CA, 92780
    Stop viewing internet as a source of business. It is a utility and should be treated as such. I feel that the more regulations that the internet has, the more muddled and unfair it will become. I support net neutrality. It keeps everyone on the same playing field.

302. Gene McRane, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
    Keep the Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II in place !!!!!

303. 17-108, Irvine, CA, 92603
    I support net neutrality and urge the FCC to uphold net neutrality rules backed by title II. This is fundamental to a free and open internet.

304. Mike Simanyi, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
    I am vehemently against the reversal of the protections previously enacted by the FCC. I am a registered Republican, a senior manager and officer of the company where I work, and one of the rare California residents who voted for President Trump. Please consider *carefully* the repercussions of your proposal.
305. net neutrality, Irvine, CA, 92617
   I strongly support a free and open internet as regulated under Title 2 protections
   because of the shitstorm that was 2016 and now the even worse shitstorm in
   2017, my only relief comes in the form of videos of cats doing cute things and I
   refuse to let that be jeopardized by the fuckery of ISPs. NET NEUTRALITY IS
   A RIGHT.

306. Rod Sadovnyk, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
   By eliminating Net Neutrality, you are undermining the very idea of equality
   propagated by the "American Dream" machine. When businesses control the
   internet, consumers suffer through unequal distribution of speed and streaming
   quality. Favoring businesses over consumers is as unethical as it is unfair and
   unjust.

307. Aaron Brooks, Irvine, CA, 92618
   I strongly believe that net neutrality rules should REMAIN INTACT in order to
   prevent companies from manipulating internet speeds to favor one type of
   content over another.

308. Esteban Hufstedler, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
   Do not repeal net neutrality. This would result in the destruction of hundreds of
   small businesses and stifle technological innovation. This is a power grab by
   ISPs that will result in worse conditions for internet users, individual and
   corporate.

309. Alexander Lindgren, Esq., Irvine, CA, 92612
   To Whom It May Concern: I am a California attorney practicing corporate and
   intellectual property law. The majority of my clients are startups and newer
   businesses which would be significantly endangered by the reclassification of
   ISP regulation from Title II to Title I, and the elimination of commensurate
   enforcement power on the part of the FCC. I strongly recommend against
   reclassification and encourage the maintenance of existing FCC rules.

310. Yuriy Postrekhin, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92678
   I support net neutrality backed by Title II ISPs. Removing it will remove the
   freedom that internet provides.

311. Bradd Schofield, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
   We need to preserve net neutrality by maintaining title two regulation of the
   industry. We cannot let this important aspect of the internet be regulated by the
   ISP's themselves. We must support net neutrality!

312. Andrew Wisti, Irvine, CA, 92617
   I support net neutrality and Title II, it is one of the backbones of a healthy
   Internet and supports growing businesses. DO NOT END NET NEUTRALITY!
313. Parsa Rohani, Irvine, CA, 92618
I believe equal access to fast internet is critical for the smooth and frictionless digital economy to flourish. Giving the few companies that control the internet infrastructure ability to decide which content is given priority will be detrimental to the free flow of ideas, content and will negatively impact innovation in the digital world. Therefore, I believe NET NEUTRALITY must be maintained under article II.

314. Rajiv Puvvada, Irvine, CA, 92618
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. These regulations are deeply important to the sustainability of the tech industry and a roll back will endanger many companies and consequently jobs.

315. Soren Allebes Anderson, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Dear Ajit Pai, I strongly support STRONG net neutrality regulations that is backed by title 2 over site of ISPs. I truely fear for the future of our nation if this is repealed or weekend. Thank You

316. Ian Coolidge, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Keep Title II and net neutrality for ISPs

317. damon gonzalez, rancho santa margarita, CA, 92688
i support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 over sight of ISP's.

318. Sam Fleming, Irvine, CA, 92620
Hey what possible benefit to the country, not just ISPs, do you think killing net neutrality will have? Just because our new chair has friends in high places doesn't mean we can't see through his bullshit.

319. David Dolidze, Irvine, CA, 92606
I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108! I am a strong advocate of Net Neutrality, something this bill throws out of the window.

320. Babak Baradaran, RSM, CA, 92688
I strongly support net neutrality. We all have the right to an open and fair internet.

321. Bill Johnston, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
My family and I strongly support net neutrality and title 2

322. Joseph DeLuca, Irvine, CA, 92620
I oppose the passing of the false and misleadingly titled Restoring Internet Freedom Act. The internet is a utility for communication and knowledge-
seeking. Just as an electric company shouldn't throttle the energy to your house, ISPs should not be permitted to restrict (as if they don't already) usage per any individual. This piece of legislation is significant and a water-shed policy-maker that can affect how and where people exchange information. Everyone deserves equal speed and access. It must not be tiered in any shape or form.

323. Joy Tassananchalee, Irvine, CA, 92618
I specifically support STRONG Net Neutrality that's my title to oversight ISPs.

324. John Nguyen, Irvine, CA, 92618
I am a concerned citizen and voicing the people's need for the net to stay in article II. The internet needs to be kept "free" from Corporations who's only interest is to make $$.

325. Michael Hein, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
Do not attempt to kill Net Neutrality or reclassify ISPs under Title I. Stop trying to do this you horrible asshats.

326. Johnny Nguyen, Irvine, CA, 92614
I am voicing my opinion to keep the internet in Article II, the net needs to be free from Corporation greed and it needs to be free and fair for all.

327. Justin Malik, Irvine, CA, 92602
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Reversing this can result in too many unfair practices.

328. Brant, Anaheim, CA, 92807
I want to Keep Title 2 for Net Neutrality

329. Christopher Balsells, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
We need to have freedom of Internet.

330. Matthew Laub, Anaheim, CA, 92807
I would like to request that the internet continue to be protected under Title 2 provisions. Do not allow greedy telecom corporations to ruin the internet.

331. Andrew, Irvine, CA, 92618
I strongly oppose this act. It is a write off to the telecom companies and will leave this country worse off. I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Make it clear you are Opposed to Docket No. 17-108! The name of this bill is a joke.

332. Nicole Eng, anaheim, CA, 92807
I urge you to vote against giving the ISPs more control and ability to sell our data, oppose this fake "Internet Freedom." Please support net neutrality! We
need to retain protection and regulation of the internet as a telecommunications service.

333. Roni Portillo, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
   Protect Net Neutrality for a thriving Democracy!

334. Lindsey Harrison, Irvine, CA, 92604
   Please keep Net Neutrality intact. I’m worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they’re enforced. While I am happy to hear proposals that keep the same level of protection in place, I am against anything that would decrease consumer protections as Proceeding 17-108 would. Please draft something with the same level of protection for consumers.

335. Judy Mina - Ballard, Tustin, CA, 92780
   Please keep ISP's under Title 2 - they have a great deal of power already and we need to not allow the INTERNET to become prostituted out to the highest bidder. Neutrality will keep it a level playing field.

336. Ryan, Irvine, CA, 92614
   Please keep Net Neutrality under title 2! Thanks.

337. Jared Haren, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
   I support STRONG net neutrality rules in accordance with Title II. We need to keep an ENFORCEABLE open and free internet for all and for future generations.

338. Robert B Rosen, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
   I believe in significant version II regulations to inforce true Net Neutrality on ISPs. To believe that ISP's which are private companies existing to make a profit, would not take advantage of weaker regulations to increase profit is extreme stupidity. Attempts to gut Net Neutrality are detrimental to the public and the free uncensored distribution of information that is a requirement for a free society.

339. Toby Curry, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
   Please maintain the current net neutrality position by continuing enforcement under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. As consumers we rely on you to protect us from unfair practices by private companies. Thank you.

340. Jared Haren, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
   I support STRONG net neutrality rules. We need enforceable regulation per Title II for generations to come.

341. Negar Shirazpour, irvine, CA, 92612
I strongly support net neutrality and please do not make any changes to Title II.

342. Tom Chen, Irvine, CA, 92617
I strongly support net neutrality, it allows for a fair and non-biased internet.

343. Dale McCart, Irvine, CA, 92620
We need strong protection of the user. The internet needs to be regulated by Title II. My wife is Chair of the DisAbility Ministries Committee of the United Methodist Church. This is the denominational committee, working on behalf of United Methodists with disabilities all over the world. They use the internet to communicate and distribute information. They are a non profit and do not have funds to play the providers additional fees for access. As a former employee of a provider Ajit Pai needs to recuse himself from this.

344. Kevin Dykes, Irvine, CA, 92603
I support strong net neutrality and the protections backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Please don't go backwards.

345. Steve Randall, Irvine, CA, 92602
Please maintain Title II standards.

346. Mehdi Tabikh, foothill ranch, CA, 92610
I am a strong supporter of strong internet neutrality laws, and abhorrently reject this proposal. It not only oversteps your boundaries as the FCC to infringe upon my right to a free and open internet

347. Hossein B, Irvine, CA, 92606
Please keep the net neutrality act intact and have oversight over how ISP are keeping their promise about fairness of what we see on internet.

348. Nigel Jay, Irvine, CA, 92614
just to ensure the depth of my convictions, these are comments are in caps and quotes:"DO NOT CHANGE NET NEUTRALITY RULES. "PLACE PUBLIC INTEREST BEFORE PERSONAL BIAS, AND DO YOUR F'ING JOB, DO NOT OFFER ANY TYPE OF PREFERRED INTERNET ACCESS TO ISPs."Thank you.

349. Dylan Cardiff, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I absolutely support strong FCC regulation of net neutrality under Title II of the communications act. Competition in a fair field is the only thing that makes capitalism work. The ultimate goal of government regulation is to ensure a fair playing field for all businesses, as well as protect consumers. Strongly enforced net neutrality satisfies BOTH these important goals.

350. Bradley Clark, Irvine, CA, 92618
Preserve net neutrality. Most Americans have access to only one high speed ISP. ISP services therefore are essentially utilities as the consumer has no choice of providers. They should be regulated as such, and not be able to selectively control how fast specific data streams download. Additionally, consumer data should also be confidential and not available for our ISPs to sell or use without our express permission.

351. Brian Malley, Irvine, CA, 92614
Here are four reasons that I personally believe it is imperative that we keep net neutrality: Freedom from monopolies, Freedom to start a business and compete on a level playing field, Freedom of online speech, & Freedom to visit any Website you want at the fastest browsing speed. Basically you are stripping away the rights of an individual when you strip away net neutrality and giving them to a corporation - plan and simple.

352. Erin Maguire, Irvine, CA, 92618
We need much stronger net neutrality laws!

353. Julio Hernandez, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Net Neutrality and Title II are essential components of a free and open internet. Internet Service Providers (ISPs) cannot be trusted to maintain net neutrality and their greed will only lead to tiered internet speeds however they think will fill their pockets with more money. Do not change or remove Title II and protect it at all costs because the internet should not be limited.

354. Cesar Haro, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I support Net Neutrality!!!!Title 2!!!Say no to the destruction of NET NEUTRALITY

355. Tyler Ash, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
Ajit Varadaraj Pai, Net neutrality is vital to the internet being a fair playground for all parties involved. Please do not roll back the last administration's regulations.

356. Kay Gee, Irvine, CA, 92617
Keep the net open and enforce Title 2!

357. Jay Gillibrand, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
The FCC should continue to support and enforce Net Neutrality. This should not be a partisan issue. The American people clearly and overwhelming support this. Internet service is an important utility that providers should not be interfering with.

358. John Sisson, Irvine, CA, 92614
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. We need to
make all content providers have equal access to their audience.

359. Damien Jahrsdoerfer, lake forest, CA, 92630
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!
Make it clear you are Opposed to Docket No. 17-108! Corporations cannot be
trusted to put the public good before profits.

360. Daniel Julian, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
Let me be very clear. I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II
oversight of ISP's. The FCC needs to continue to enforce this and be vigilant
against ISP's attempts to change this.

361. Brian Inboden, Irvine, CA, 92618
It is unconscionable to place the welfare of our infrastructure into the hands of
private corporations with agendas not dictated by the public good.

362. Kelvin Sun, Orange, CA, 92869
I support net neutrality and the implementation of net neutrality rules under
Title II. A strong FCC, independent of industry influences, is important to
consumers of internet services and the flow of information.

363. Corey Pelton, Irvine, CA, 92620
Net Neutrality must be protected. We will not sit idly by and watch it be
dismantled.

364. Jacob Rivera, Tustin, CA, 92780
Hello, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I
oppose this listed act 17-108. Thank you.- Jacob R.

365. Sepehr, Irvine, CA, 92604
All internet providers must be regulated under Title II of FCC and must be held
accountable for doing anything that would jeopardize or undermine net
neutrality.

366. Sudarsan Pranatharthikaran, Irvine, CA, 92618
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isps.
Without this Title 2, I don't believe the internet would be fair to all users and
ISPs would have too much power over what people can do.

367. Jeff sparks, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
I am for Net Neutrality. Please keep a level playing field for all.

368. Glen Soxman, Tustin, CA, 92780
Net neutrality is important for creativity and free expression of humanity and
ideas. Don't let ISP's influence the rules for themselves. Keep title II
classification. Some things are more important than money. Don't sacrifice our last free frontier.

369. Phillip Seo, Irvine, CA, 92614
Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. There must be regulation and not just loose agreements made with distrustful companies. Thank you.

370. Talha Habib, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's.

371. Francis Zhao, Irvine, CA, 92618
The internet should not be able to be monopolized by a small amount of corporations. In support of the Obama Administrations Net Neutrality Act

372. Wayne Mauro, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II of internet service providers. Any relaxation of oversight is unacceptable to me, and all people to known to me. Please protect the right of citizens to use the internet without concern for who one's provider is. ISPs are effectively controlled monopolies, and so it does not make sense to relax restrictions on them to provide equal service regardless of the accessed internet content. This will not be tolerated by the American people, and so I urge you to consider keeping these restrictions in place.

373. Jack Mayers, Irvine, CA, 92604
I strongly support net neutrality supported by title II oversight of ISPs. I do not believe any internet provider should let special interests dictate which sites are allowed the most bandwidth over others. The internet was and to a certain extent is a free and open place that shouldn't be run by specific companies for their own benefit. Please FCC, do not allow proceeding 17-108 to pass, this affects all of us on a massive scale. The beauty of the internet is it's ability to connect anyone, anywhere and share information in a way that has never been seen before in the history of humankind. Again, I DO NOT support this proceeding.Sincerely,Jack Mayers

374. Mike Murray, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Leave Net Neutrality alone. The rules are perfect, protecting the rights of users NOT corporations. Figure out another way of eliciting corporate investment not by selling out the little guy.

375. Neal Chuenprateep, Irvine, CA, 92606
I am a strong supporter of net neutrality under Title 2 laws. A key tenant of a free society is the free exchange of information. This should not be subject to the judgement of corruptible bureaucracies or companies.
376. Doug Leigh, Irvine, CA, 92620
We're doing this again ... really? After the last time I wrote in to decry the FCC's desire to undo Net Neutrality I thought the matter was settled. But here we are again. Know that this is not an issue that Obama rolled back - he clearly wanted to unseat Net Neutrality. So any false claims that this is an effort to roll back such legislation is bogus ... just like the Trump administration's passage of legislation allowing internet providers to sell my online behavior data (Senate Resolution 34 and House Resolution 230) is bogus. Americans do not want their personal data sold to the highest bidder, nor do they want their internet throttled except for the highest bidder. Would you endure the same for your telephone calls or television viewing: getting choppy signals and dropped calls/pictures unless it was for a provider-approved connection? No. This is not a partisan issue; this is an issue of rights. Americans pay for internet connections that they expect to be open and unfettered. Get out of the business of selling voters' rights away, Ajit Pai, because if you do, expect a huge backlash in the polls come 2018 for the Republican yes-men in Congress.

377. Tom Thompson, Irvine, CA, 92620
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. We can't "assume" ISPs will do what is right when that is in conflict with might might be in their financial best interest. Keep the internet free with Title 2 oversight.

378. Jason Shuldberg, Irvine, CA, 92614
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. There should be no ability of any company to exercise bias towards a competitor or consumers. Consumers should always be able to ensure they get what they pay for.

379. Ray Kahn, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Keep the rules governing internet service providers (ISP) under Title II.

380. Joon, Irvine, CA, 92602
I want to express strong objection against removing Net Neutrality - access to the internet without any bias must be protected and ISP should not dictate which contents get priority access.

381. Irma Wolfson, Irvine, CA, 92620
You must maintain net neutrality. Any other decision will be detrimental to citizens' access to the internet.

382. Marc Leavitt, Irvine, CA, 92614
I vehemently oppose reclassifying ISPs as title i information services.

383. Daniel Spain, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
I support strong rulings on net neutrality and classifying ISPs under article II.
384. Jennifer Wright, Irvine, CA, 92604
I condemn this act. Net neutrality is important and dismantling it to line the pockets of service providers violates the precepts of information for all that it is the FCC's job, supposedly, to protect. The title of this bill is a joke. The only thing being restored is ISPs' right to gouge people out of not just money but knowledge and education.

385. Neil Carter, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Please preserve net neutrality backed by Title II. I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108!

386. marianne bier, Irvine, CA, 92606
I strongly support title 2 regulations ensuring net neutrality

387. Christopher H Vu, Irvine, CA, 92614
I do not support this docket. I want internet providers to be regulated under Title II oversight.

388. A. Pepper, Irvine, CA, 92620
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. In order to grow as a society, we need to have equal access to a variety of materials.

389. Vincent Olivieri, Irvine, CA, 92617
I support Net Neutrality and find the re-opening of this topic to be a waste of time and not in the best interests of the national as a whole.

390. Danika Dickerson, Orange, CA, 92869
Title II with respect to net neutrality is highly important to insure internet users can use whatever they choose without being throttled. ISPs should be required to follow the rules of title II, which is protecting citizens from the money-grabbing tactics of corporations. I am highly opposed to any changes to net neutrality as it currently stands.

391. Nathan Nowack, Anaheim, CA, 92808
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP™s. Deregulation and the new commissioner will ruin freedom, the internet, and all that everyone has worked so hard to create. This is not the answer

392. mike smith, coto de caza, CA, 92679
I very much support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs!

393. John Apiz, Orange, CA, 92869
Please preserve all Net Neutrality Fillings from the previous administration!

394. Scott Haydpn, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I believe strongly in Title II is absolutely imperative for the creation of a fair and productive internet. Without it there will be too many undermining practices that will be played out by the ISP’s.

395. Ian Brault, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and am strongly opposed to Docket no. 17-108.

396. Melissa Kerwin, Irvine, CA, 92620
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs™s. It is crucial!

397. Jill Weinthal, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
FCC - Please stand strong for net neutrality backed by Title II oversight ISPs. I am writing to let you know that I am in favor of net neutrality. I do not want internet service providers to favor or block particular products or websites. We pay a provider to provide us access and we should have full control over our own access to sites. Thank you

398. Jessica D Johnson, Irvine, CA, 92618
We need strong net neutrality. We need that backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am very opposed to Docket no. 17-1008.

399. Keaton Wallace, Tustin, CA, 92780
I support strong net neutrality regulation backed by title 2 classification of ISPs. Without this protection my livelihood is at risk.

400. Albert Lee, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
I am making a comment in support of net neutrality and against the aforementioned bill to reclassify internet service providers as a Title I agency as a Title II agency is the only method that an internet service can be regulated to protect net neutrality. I personally am affected by web speed slows by ISP's affecting both streaming video and gaming services, and allowing ISPs to restart this behavior that constricts free speech on the internet and stops innovative companies by ensure that ISPs can promote the companies that pay the ISPs by giving them fast speeds while giving all other companies slow speeds that have not paid the ISPs. As such, ISPs should strictly remain Title II classification to ensure that net neutrality is able to enforced as classifying it as a Title II common carrier ensures by LAW that there is no priority given on any traffic coming from ISPs.

401. david gower, Irvine, CA, 92618
Net Neutrality is of fundamental importance to a clean, fast, stable internet.

402. Richmond Liu, Irvine, CA, 92612
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2. Don't fall under the stupidity that is the Trump administration

403. johann onderdonk, Tustin, CA, 92782
A free internet in where people can build the websites they want are sharing ideas is as important as freedom of speech. Limiting this is the same as censorship and will criples American. A reversal of these policy's are detrimental.

404. Timothy Wilson, Irvine, CA, 92618
I am writing to specifically state that I support strong net neutrality backed by continued Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Thank you.

405. CJ Buchmann, Irvine, CA, 92618
The fact that we're even revisiting net neutrality and considering doing away with it after the blatant corruption from ISP's that we've seen in the past is honestly sickening. It speaks to the larger more rampant corruption that is plaguing the entire United States political system. American ISP's have been known to throttle competing services, and to hold bandwidth for ransom, in what I can only liken to a mafia style shakedown. The leaders of these companies are absolute thugs when given the chance to be. It is the responsibility of the government to limit their power and to better empower both small businesses to compete, and consumers to find the best services for them. We absolutely must not change net neutrality or allow the ISP's to be reclassified away from title II. Doing so will only serve to hurt the average citizen, while allowing the ISP's, an already heavily (and somehow legally) monopolized industry, to leverage it's enormous resources against it's competition and it's consumers. With no real alternatives, given their monopolies, there is nothing that can be done to thwart the abuse from ISP's. This is absolutely awful, and I will never, in good conscious, vote for any representative who supports a legislation like this without an extremely solid and morally admirable reason. Currently, I see none. As a software engineer, I'm scared for how this could affect my livelihood. As a consumer, I'm scared for how this could affect the services that I use, and the prices that I pay to use those services. As a citizen of the United States, I'm appalled that any US representatives could, in good faith, allow these greedy self serving corporations to practice anti-competitive and anti-consumerist behavior. All while at the same time, two facing it in front of their constituents and telling those people who they were actually elected to represent that it is somehow for their own benefit, that these protections are stripped from them. This is disgusting.

406. Robert M Collins, Dove Canyon, CA, 92679
I urge you to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Don't let ISP's
run amock.

407. Jacklyn Chen, Irvine, CA, 92618
   I am in support of a strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am strongly opposed to Docket 17-108. Please protect net neutrality.

408. Tina M, Irvine, CA, 92612
   I support net neutrality and the current FCC Open Internet Rules. I don't want Internet Service Providers to have the power to block websites, slow them down, make some sites more accessible than others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Please protect the current Title II rules.

409. Jonathan Owen, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
   The FCC should keep regulation of Net Neutrality under Title II. If the administration is truly in favor of competition on a level playing field, this must be maintained - otherwise, you will be crushing innovation and small businesses in favor of established players, such as Verizon, AT&T, etc.

410. Noel Thomas, Tustin, CA, 92780
   Please do not dismantle net neutrality. It is at the core of what has led to so many incredible advances in communication and humanity. Even if I find 90% of online content disturbing in some way, the freedom and equality for all to be able to express themselves or ideas is a basic human right. If our forefathers had been able to envision this issue which I see as an extension of freedom of speech, there is no way that they would choose to allow a few people or governing bodies to choose what is allowed and beneficial for the rest of us.

411. Geoff Fox, Irvine, CA, 92618
   It is important to keep the Internet free by making sure ISPs remain classified under Title 2 so their non-discriminatory data carriage can be assured.

412. Robert Olivares, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
   You cannot allow these internet provides to have full control of my internet freedom. AT&T is the ONLY provider in my are and already has a considerable monopoly as I have no other choice for my internet access. Please do the right thing for once in your life and preserve our Net Neutrality. Some of us are on a fixed income and not as rich and the newly appointed head of the FCC. For one in your life, do the right thing and preserve what little freedom we have left from the repressive Trump administration. Let freedom ring! Thank you and I know I can depend on the FCC to do the right thing!

413. David Ebel, Irvine, CA, 92612
   I support net neutrality through title 2 oversight of ISPs. As an executive in the digital commerce industry, my view is echoed by the vast majority of my clients
and employees as well. Net neutrality through title 2 oversight of ISPs is critical for the sustained trust required to keep the Internet a place of commerce and source of information.

414. Net Neutrality is needed, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Please do not allow the Federal Government to modify the "Net Neutrality Rule"Please maintain it under Section 2.We do not want the ISP and other providers of internet to purposely allow the controlling of internet speed based on their "Preferred Contents".Eg. If COX owns a streaming content service, It is wrong for COX to limit the internet speed for the users who wishes to utilize Netflix for the purposes of promoting COX's streaming content service.The aforementioned event is just an example of what could be considered "lawful" should the "Net Neutrality" Law be revoked or amended in any manner.Please be careful.

415. Vin Kumar, Irvine, CA, 92620
Please ensure a strong net neutrality and that is continued to be governed under Title II

416. Kyle Monroe, Irvine, CA, 92602
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please support the American people and protect their fair, equal access to all legal resources on the internet, as opposed to supporting the pocketbook of ISPs and corporations.

417. Joseph De Los Santos, Irvine, CA, 92606
Please provide strong Net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

418. Ronald Cataraha, Irvine, CA, 92602
You can tell whoever it may concern, that I, Ronald Patrick Cataraha, does not want any changes to our current rules governing net neutrality. I think the fact that all of these ISP's want to change or abolish Title 2 is a telling fact. As if these companies don't have enough control over our lives already. I can promise you one thing. The people have had enough of this. People like myself, who don't normally get involved in matters such as this, are getting sick of being force fed corporate garbage by the puppets that are supposed to be looking out for the majorities best interest. Not the interest of the 1%! Believe me when I say, we the people will come together to make sure we are heard by those who would like to lull us to sleep! Thank you and I hope you listen intently to the people in this matter.

419. James Ratkay, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I am EXTREMELY OPPOSED to Docket No. 17-108! This does not bring any kind of fairness among the marketplace and is bad for all Americans!I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!
420. Nicholas Ray, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610  
As an employee of a game company, it is imperative to my industry's livelihood  
that even the *POSSIBILITY* preferential treatment not be given to any one  
company. Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2.

421. Brittany Gutschow, Irvine, CA, 92614  
We support net neutrality as a basic human right.

422. Benjamin Wigley, Tustin, CA, 92780  
Do not vote to change Net Neutrality!

423. None, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692  
PLEASE PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY! It is important.

424. David Stone, Irvine, CA, 92620  
Do not stop net neutrality. The internet should be on a level playing field for all  
individuals and companies. Net neutrality means that no company has an  
advantage over other companies. Furthermore, my ISP should not have any  
visibility into the content of my transmissions & receptions. I should be able to  
choose an open DNS and communicate directly to any destination without  
snooping or impediments from my ISP.

425. Bruce Robinson, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637  
Strongly support net neutrality, backed by title I I control over ISPs

426. Dean Bokhari, Irvine, CA, 92620  
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY. PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND  
TITLE II.

427. Leigh Gaston, Dove Canyon, CA, 92679  
It is important to keep the â€“Net Neutrality" rules backed by Title 2 firmly in  
place.

428. Caroline Pitale, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692  
To be very clear: I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of  
ISPs. I also support prohibition of the sale of browsing data without explicit  
authorization from the user the data was collected from. Enough already!

429. Jill Sumner, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692  
Ajit Pai is absolutely wrong in his idea to take ISPs out of Title II. Doing so is a  
recipe for corruption. Please keep it the way it is!! Thank you for your  
consideration on this matter!

430. Richard Coulter, Orange, CA, 92867  
Don't ruin the internet because businesses bribe you sorry excuse of baboon
feces. We need net neutrality because, without it, businesses will ruin services I depend on to escape the cruelty of reality and political corruption. Now of all the insufferable, mentally deficient, primordial sludge push forth upon the citizens who deal with this sheer scum, let net neutrality's removal not be part of it. I am already uncertain of why the masses haven't rebelled to form an anarchy free of a government's flaws. Now that I have made my point I hope you intolerable filth can at least understand that I detest your consideration of the destruction of the greatest tool to have graced humanity. I hope you enjoy your day unless net neutrality is repealed in which case I hope that you face a great scourge against your person and that each and every congressman and woman is either drawn and quartered by elephants or suffers a form of the bubonic plague.

431. David Allen, Irvine, CA, 92620
   hello, I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs!

432. Josh Park, Tustin, CA, 92782
   I strongly support net neutrality. Please sustain net neutrality.

433. Amanda Cullen, Irvine, CA, 92617
   To Whom It May Concern, As a graduate student whose research is in digital media, I am compelled to respond to the misleadingly named "Restoring Internet Freedom Act." This act effectively proposes to kill net neutrality, and in doing so it would open up opportunities for powerful ISPs to direct the flow of traffic according to whatever their financial whims might be. The predictable results of such a proposal: fantastic for ISP profits, inconvenient for large businesses liable to pay ISPs a premium for "fast lane" treatment, and devastating for individuals and small businesses who can afford no such treatment. Under this act, the Internet as we know it would lose any remaining sense of a fair playing field for new content creation, new means of enabling collective action, and new Web-based startups. Net Neutrality deserves to be safeguarded under Title II provisions; the American people deserve to have as free and open an Internet as possible. Best regards, Amanda Cullen PhD Student, Informatics UC Irvine

434. Timothy J. Sagehorn, Irvine, CA, 92603
   We need net neutrality with the ISPs like Verizon, Comcast, Cox, Time-Warner and all supervised under title II. Like they have been for years since they were put there properly since they are basically a utility like phone service.

435. Scott Wesley Hayworth, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
   I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. ISPs should not be allowed to pick winners and losers on the Internet. This directly affects me. The FCC should NOT abdicate its responsibility of regulation over ISPs to an underfunded FTC which lack any legal footing to enforce Net Neutrality.
436. Andrew Croby, Orange, CA, 92867
I strongly SUPPORT net neutrality backed Title II oversight of ISP's.

437. Shelly Malmon, Irvine, CA, 92604
Please keep net neutrality for all Americans. For our future. Please don't surrender our trust in you as public servants just to placate a misguided President and his minions. Thank you.

438. Chris, Irvine, CA, 92612
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 Oversight of ISP's. I would very much like to see this stay unchanged and am in favor of strong Net Neutrality.

439. Comment, Irvine, CA, 92602
I strongly support the current Net Neutrality rules. Don't change them!

440. Brian Liccardo, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Net neutrality need to stay intact in order for America to be great. Corporations should not use their customers for the sole use to gain more profit and hinder a free market. If net neutrality were to be revoked would mean the US is a communist country.

441. Michael Ambrose, Anaheim, CA, 92808
I support strong regulation under the Title II oversight of ISPs.

442. Corinne A Supernor, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Please preserve net neutrality. This is so crucial to preserving freedom of speech, capitalism, and just simple fairness. Please do not reduce regulation.

443. Jeremy Saine, Irvine, CA, 92617
I'm writing to demand that Net Neutrality be preserved by regulating Internet Service Providers under Title II, rather than reverting to Title I. Only a fool would trust such large companies to voluntarily adhere to the principle of net neutrality when they, as near monopolies, have no financial incentive to do so. And it would be nice if Ajit Pai wouldn't lie so much.

444. Sangram Gaikwad, Irvine, CA, 92602
I support title 2 wrt net neutrality

445. Kevin Frautschy, Irvine, CA, 92618
Preserve Internet Neutrality and title II.

446. John Bybel, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
I support a strong Net Neutrality and Title 2 oversight of ISPs
447. Dawson Do, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
I support a strong net neutrality and title to oversight of ISP's.

448. jonathan lin, Irvine, CA, 92620
I strongly support net neutrality and Title 2 to protect consumers use of the internet and ask that such rules and regulations continue or be strengthened.

449. nic geer, Tustin, CA, 92782
Please do not get rid of Net Neutrality.

450. net Neutrality, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
We MUST keep internet Neutrality and Title 2. Leave Net Neutrality in tact and do not destroy it.

451. Bill Penisten, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Please protect and preserve a free and open internet. Specifically, DO NOT REWRITE THE NET NEUTRALITY RULES. Do not pande to the interests of large corporations by sacrificing fair competition and ongoing innovation that accompanies a free and open internet. Stand up for small businesses. Stand up for doing what's right.

452. Hvey-Ying Bethany Chang, Irvine, CA, 92620
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II of ISPs. Thank you for the work you do. I hope you have a nice day.

453. Debra Mathias, Irvine, CA, 92618
For god sakes, just once, do something positive for the American public and support net neutrality laws. You have let the banking, health insurance, and airlines literally bankrupt middle class Americans. Try, just once, to support the public.

454. Tim Stevenson, Irvine, CA, 92620
I am in strong support of true net neutralitiy and oversight of ISPs. This is why I'm in strong support of Title IISuck a fat one, Pai.

455. Dustin Distefano, Irvine, CA, 92602
Please keep Net Neutrality for the sake of our country.

456. Faith Bentley, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Leave net neutrality as it is, you greedy POS (I'm looking at you Ajit Pai). It is obvious that you intend to profit, along with the corporations, at the expense of consumers. Of course that is perfectly in keeping with the current regime, so this is all very predictable. We must maintain net neutrality!

457. Jeremy Weber, Tustin, CA, 92780
What the hell is your problem keeping the internet free is the last freedom America has ... you'll essentially be creating data brokers that could charge whatever they want for the data you are searching for. You think we are stupid? Many of us already know that we pay 10 times as much for internet for 10 times less speed than other developed countries. LEAVE NET NUTRALITY ALONE!

458. Net neutrality, Irvine, CA, 92606
   Please do not impair net neutrality! Preserve Title II. There is no harm in it (as has been stated by numerous internet providers to their share holders). It protects consumers, ensuring equality among competing websites and services.

459. ERIK HAVEN, Tustin, CA, 92782
   Please preserve strong net neutrality laws and keep ISPs part of Title II. This is essential to support the internet freedom and growth that we have seen over the last 20+ years. Thank you, Erik Haven.

460. rosemary hackett, Irvine, CA, 92620
   Please do not remove net neutrality! Example - comcast which provides internet and also content could promote their own shows with faster speed and slow others' content - don't make this a matter of who has money gets the internet! Providing business growth isn't good if it favors the few.

461. Bradley Gilbert, Irvine, CA, 92602
   I strongly support net neutrality, with the oversight through Title 2. It is important that the internet remain neutral so that ISP providers do not differentially support one search engine over another, etc. Please do not change the current oversight or regulatory structure.

462. Frances A Aspinwall, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
   I am strongly for protecting net neutrality and against any weakening or dismantling of current laws that protect it.

463. Daniel Levene, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
   Keep net neutrality. Keep it under Title 2.

464. Varr Willis, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
   Please keep the internet open and continue to preserve net neutrality. Don't let our government regulations once again be dictated by big money, but instead dictated by the people. Be our voice, not theirs.

465. Jon Sigman, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
   Please keep net neutrality and support Title II.

466. Rooshi Patel, anaheim, CA, 92807
Dear Mr. Pai, I, as well as my family and my friends, support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. There is no reason that ISPs should get less ability to control what is shown on the internet than they do already. Meritocracy, rather than a plutocracy or oligarchy, should rule the internet and frankly, the world. The highest bidder to an ISP should not have the right to have their products and websites show up preferentially on the web. The potential implications this could have are huge if you really change the current laws. You would not be representing the views of the majority of the public by doing so.

467. Jake Hoiseth, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Net neutrality is extremely important to maintaining a society with freedom of speech, and companies like Verizon and AT&T should not be able to monopolize information and create a corporate-sponsored US version of the Great Firewall of China.

468. Abby Sarafian, Irvine, CA, 92612
I'm writing in support of keeping the Title 2 standards for net neutrality! And if someone could somehow fire Ajit Pai, that would be A-Okay with me as well.

469. Roger Houghton Bradshaw, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
I favor net neutrality with strong oversight via Title 2.

470. Suzanne Borges, Coto de Caza, CA, 92679
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II Oversight of ISPs.

471. ron crocco, Irvine, CA, 92603
I support net neutrality protected by title 2 and do not want it repealed.

472. Raymond Lowell, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Keep Title II Net Neutrality rules!

473. Mo Yusuf, Irvine, CA, 92604
I support strong Net Neutrality under Title 2 of the Communication Act of 1934.

474. Alex Ead, Irvine, CA, 92620
Please respect net neutrality and title II. #johnoliver

475. Andy Lee, Irvine, CA, 92603
I DON'T support the new proposal to loosen the Net Neutrality Rule. Please don't underestimate consumer's intelligence by proclaiming that Net Neutrality Rule stifle innovation and advancements. Verizon's own CEO stated on record in 2015 phone call to share holders that Net Neutrality Rule has not impacted the company's investment in broadband infrastructure. Everyone knows this.
History will judge you accordingly after you leave office. Listen to the public and do what's good for the society. Thank you.

476. Dave Lydon, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Please maintain net neutrality. Thank you.

477. Support Net Neutrality, Irvine, CA, 92606
Please maintain Net Neutrality as it currently stands and maintain ISP Title II status. We need stronger protections for consumers against monopolistic ISPs and a self-regulating practice will be the worst choice. Claiming Net Neutrality will kill infrastructure is patently wrong.

478. Sui Duong, Orange, CA, 92867
Expressing support to keep Broadband Internet Service classified as a utility. Also, "Restoring Internet Freedom" here is oddly deceptive titling. Media firm and/or summer intern responsible for labeling should be fired. Any payments made to said media firm/intern should be returned to FCC/American tax payers.

479. Kathy Kelso, Tustin, CA, 92782
If you really believe that ISPs will self-regulate, look up interviews with Alan Greenspan after the financial meltdown. He admitted that self-regulation can't stand up to human nature. I specifically support strong internet neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!!

480. hamed, Irvine, CA, 92603
I support internet neutrality and title II

481. Lisa Leming, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
I am support of strong Net Neutrality

482. Timothy Drew, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I believe that The FCC should continue to support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs to maintain a free and fair internet not influenced by the companies providing internet service.

483. Michael McCabe, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Please do not roll back the net neutrality policies. They are vital to our open internet.

484. Robert Young, Irvine, CA, 92604
As a web developer and entrepreneur, I implore you to keep the internet speeds fair for small businesses.

485. Mylinh Nguyen, Irvine, CA, 92612
I fully support net neutrality. I oppose Docket No. 17-108 and any actions that would end net neutrality.

486. Heather Manchester, Irvine, CA, 92604
It is of vital importance to everyday American consumers that ISP's remain under Title 2. The fact that ISP's want the freedom to be able to influence the speed at which we receive connections to different services is alarming. Since we rely on the Internet for everything--work, health, social lives, navigation, education--it's hugely important that net neutrality protects our right to equal access to all content providers. Please do everything you can to preserve net neutrality.

487. Barry McCarten, Irvine, CA, 92620
Preserve net-neutrality and Title II. I believe Title II regulations should be strengthened to assure net-neutrality and protection from the manipulation of information delivery by commercial, government or private entities.

488. Linda McCabe, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Keep the open internet. Net neutrality is important. The FCC Chairman is a moron.

489. Matthias, Irvine, CA, 92618
Do not weaken net neutrality. Going forward, human progress will depend more and more on the internet, please do not sell it off to the highest bidder.

490. Jaquelyn Kothari, Irvine, CA, 92612
Preserve neutrality and maintain Title II.

491. Stephen Bier, Irvine, CA, 92606
I strongly support Title 2 regulations ensuring net neutrality.

492. Benjamin Mizera, Silverado, CA, 92676
Net neutrality is critical to the spirit on innovation. The title 2 classifications keeps those who would have the most to gain from fucking with the pipes from fucking with them. Why should I trust a company who only adheres to profit to look out for my well being, when I should be able to trust my government to hold those who have power over me responsible?

493. Jim Ferguson, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Preserve Net Neutrality. Keep it in the Title 2 Category. After Version Sued the FCC it had it was realized that if the internet was to be free and open it needed to be categorized as a utility like water and electricity. This is very important to me as we cannot just trust the ISP's to regulate themselves. There's a record of them screwing around with people's internet just watch John Oliver.
494. Samuel Meyer, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
   I support strong Net Neutrality. Please preserve it and Title 2.

495. Jonathan Mayorga, Irvine, CA, 92618
   Please preserve Net Neutrality and enforce Title 2.

496. Eric Manchester, Irvine, CA, 92604
   Please keep the Title II designation for ISPs. Corporations should not be given
   the authority to determine whether the internet stays open and free for all. Thank
   you!

497. Chase Preacher, Irvine, CA, 92618
   To be making this very comment necessitates net neutrality. I would wager it's
   actually unconstitutional to not have it as it would infringe freedom of speech.

498. Laura Ferejohn, Laguna Hills, CA, 92637
   You must preserve true net neutrality and Title II oversight!

499. Jennifer Wu, Irvine, CA, 92620
   I support strong enforcement of title 2 for isps.

500. Alan Sturm, Orange, CA, 92867
   I strongly support net neutrality. Corporations must be regulated and not left to
   their own recognizance.

501. Joshua Rosenblatt, Silverado, CA, 92676
   Once more into the breach, dear friends. Keep the internet neutral - very few
   people want otherwise. Thank you,

502. Herbert Arbizo, Irvine, CA, 92618
   We strongly support net neutrality! Please keep strong net neutrality rules
   backed by Title II.

503. Nicole Millward, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
   I support net neutrality, title II, and Jon Oliver. Keep your big cup and corporate
   agenda out of our government.

504. Justin Yasuda, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
   I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 over site of ISP's. We already
   did this. Net neutrality should not be up for debate. Knock it off you fucking
   Verison lap-dog.

505. Bryan McLemore, Irvine, CA, 92618
   Title 2 protections of net neutrality need to stay. It's critical that the internet
   stays level and free for our future and our economy.
506. Jeremiah, Irvine, CA, 92602
Keep the Internet safe from major corporations. Keep them under title 2.

507. Brett Jenison, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
The internet must be kept to a level playing field for all users. Please keep that in mind while using Title 2 oversights to reign in an ISP's ability to segment or prioritize any traffic that crosses the internet.

508. Jwad Aziz, Irvine, CA, 92612
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I've no idea why you would possibly think it'd be in the people's best interests to modify what's currently in place as it's one of the best things to happen to the internet in recent years as far as legal matters go. Please do not modify what is in place.

509. JohnSilk, Irvine, CA, 92602
Keep Title II classification to protect net neutrality

510. Susan Denim, Orange, CA, 92869
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2 legal standing.

511. Karina Alvarez, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I strongly support net neutrality and Title 2. Please do not overturn the net neutrality rules. I do not want ISPs to be able to limit the content that I access.

512. Jennifer carpenter, Lake forest, CA, 92630
Please, I beg you, maintain the obama era net neutrality rules. It is important to me that ISPs cannot limit or censor internet websites for any reason. To me, allowing ISPs the freedom to choose to limit their service to anyone limits websites and my freedom of speech. If given the choice, I know many ISPs will do what is best for their company, which is making a profit, by making various companies pay for fast download speed. Please keep net neutrality for all.

513. Garrison Denim, Orange, CA, 92869
Please preserve net neutrality by keeping Title 2 in legal standing.

514. Andrew Yi, Irvine, CA, 92612
The Internet should be a public service, like water and communication, available to all in a form that cannot be controlled by a select few. It is a tool used by billions to share information and educate. It being liable to being shaped and manipulated by a few will lead to suppression of freedoms, unlawful spying on private citizens, and even more power given to the upper echelon of society. A free Internet is crucial to freedom, anything else leads to facism.

515. Lesley Ann Miller, Irvine, CA, 92606
I support maintaining the Title II regulations for ISPs and keeping the current
Net Neutrality rules.

516. Ismael Canizalez, Irvine, CA, 92612
I support strong Title II protections. Please deliver this or you will see non-violent social organizing from multiple organizations at your doorstep if anything else is confirmed.

517. Nicole Millward, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I support net neutrality, Title II, and Jon Oliver. Keep your big cup and corporate agenda out of our government.

518. Greg Hauser, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Protect net neutrality and title two

519. Ronda Millward, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Preserve net neutrality! I want control of my internet, I do not want internet companies choosing and influencing my content! Leave it alone! I am tired of being victimized by big business in pursuit of more money!

520. Danielle Bradbury, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Please preserve net neutrality by keeping Title II supported. Thank you!

521. Eugene Bersudsky, Irvine, CA, 92603
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I do not believe that ISPs can be left to police themselves in matters of fair competition. The internet is too important a tool in business and education for access to it to be left in the hands of corporations willing to deny access to websites for potential profit.

522. Dylan Zane Siegel, Irvine, CA, 92604
I strongly disagree with the possibility of the FCC removing ISPs from Title 2 and reducing the FCCs regulatory power over ISPs. I strongly support net neutrality and the usage of Title 2 to keep ISPs regulated.

523. Sharon Hoyd, Orange, CA, 92869
Please continue to protect net neutrality through continuing to classify internet service providers under Title 2. Open access without the ability of service providers to restrict competitive access is at the heart of American capitalist values.

524. Matt Clower, Irvine, CA, 92618
Please, commit to keeping the internet a free and open place, regulated under title 2. The cable company monopolies in a majority of regions prevent there from being competitive systems that would allow for a deregulation of the market. One can not "speak with their money" regarding the internet when the
options are simply buy from a company that violates the neutrality of the internet or don't have internet at all. The UN human rights council has identified the Internet as a basic human right, which highlights just how crucial of a role the internet plays in our daily lives. Disregarding net neutrality and allowing companies to prioritize paid traffic will undermine the growth of the Internet as we know it.

525. Micah Rutrough, Irvine, CA, 92617
   To maintain a truly open internet, it is of utmost importance that ISP (Internet Service Providers) continue to be regulated under Title 2

526. Isaac Honig, Irvine, CA, 92620
   Keep net neutrality under Title II. And fuck trump

527. Philip Mendoza, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
   Please support strong net neutrality by supporting Title 2.

528. Jack Simpson, Coto de Caza, CA, 92679
   Please, for the sake of the internet, preserve net neutrality in its current form. For 4chan. For Reddit. For everybody.

529. David Rothblum, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
   I want the FCC to preserve net neutrality. Specifically, I would like the FCC to continue to regulate the Internet Service Providers (ISPs) under Title II (2) of the Federal Communications Act of 1934.

530. Greg McCoach, Irvine, CA, 92606
   I strongly believe that Net Neutrality is vital to our current and future internet. I support legislation that legally prevents ISPs from picking winners and losers, and I cannot support legislation that gives unnecessary leniency to said companies on the assumption that they will "do the right thing." I do not support "Restoring Internet Freedom."

531. Reed Tibbetts, Tustin, CA, 92780
   It is critical that we preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

532. Kristi Crea, Irvine, CA, 92620
   Keep Title II enforcements. We need net neutrality

533. Nick Sossamon, Tustin, CA, 92780
   I strongly believe in Title 2 moving forward and am strongly in favor of net neutrality. Internet fast lanes and prioritization of traffic by ISPs would destroy the basic framework of what makes the internet one of the greatest tools and advances in human history. Please, for once, move toward the basic interests of
humanity rather than the interest of the deepest pockets.

534. Mark Pearson, Tustin, CA, 92782
I support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by TITLE II oversight of ISP's. DO NOT GET THIS WRONG AND TURN THE NET OVER TO CORPORATIONS

535. Bryan Dutton, irvine, CA, 92604
I strongly support net neutrality backed by the legal strength of Title 2. Modifying this law to prevent enforcement would hurt our democracy.

536. Erin Keim, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I am in support of title ii and preserving strong net neutrality.

537. Harun, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Preserve current net neutrality rules, and keep ISPS under title 2. Better yet join the rest of the world in the modern era and reclassify internet as a mandatory utility like water or electricity.

538. Tristan, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Please preserve net neutrality and keep ISP's under title 2 with strong oversight. Preserving this will be a basic step in preventing any tampering with free speech.

539. Mary Snickers, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
I enjoy net neutrality and would like it to continue as it is now. Any change that would allow ISP providers to charge additional for some websites, or cause some websites to run faster or slower than others is not acceptable.

540. Derreck Frey, Irvine1, CA, 92620
I support strong net neutrality that is currently backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers.

541. Paul Foster, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Maintain net neutrality and title II status for ISPs

542. Keith Jackson, Irvine, CA, 92612
I support the current Title II classification of the Internet and strongly advocate keeping the current regulations in place to ensure a fair and open Internet for everyone. Please use the power and role of the FCC to protect Internet usage for all Americans. Thank you for your time and consideration! - Keith

543. Philip Blen, Irvine, CA, 92620
Please maintain internet neutrality and title II. I depend on the internet, working from home daily, and the thought that Verizon, or another service provider,
could impact my job, is frightening. PS. Trying to get to this comment screen was ridiculous difficult. Are you trying to stop people from sending in comments?

544. Tim, Irvine, CA, 92602
Protect net neutrality! Keep Title 2! Freedom of information and speech are more important than selling faster speeds to the highest bidder!

545. Harrison Baker, Anaheim Hills, CA, 92808
I support net neutrality and title 2. One can't truly be opposed to it in good conscious.

546. restoring internet freedom, irvine, CA, 92618
strongly support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs

547. Steven Robbins, Irvine, CA, 92614
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP. Make it clear you are Opposed to Docket No. 17-108. Don't let big businesses snuff out competition without having to try.

548. M C Frazer, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
As a taxpaying voter and citizen I am writing to say I am greatly alarmed at the current administration's plan to weaken the infrastructure that protects our net neutrality. PLEASE continue to support and insist on strong oversight through the current Title II laws.

549. Kathy Hall, Tustin, CA, 92782
Net neutrality should be kept under the more stringent standards of Title II.

550. Kelly Manzanares, RSM, CA, 92688
I fully support strong net neutrality rules governed by Title II

551. Derek Swain, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I support a free and open internet not at the whim of ISPs but enforced by oversight using Title 2 regulation of ISPs to ensure strong net neutrality. The internet, in the information age, is part-and-parcel of First Amendment free speech and everyone's voice should be heard equally as loud and accessible regardless of wealth. We need Net Neutrality to ensure this.

552. Rene Ruiz, Orange, CA, 92869
I support Tittle II and Net Neutrality rules. #gofccyourself

553. pamela Robinson, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Please maintain the current net neutrality.
554. cristina bowen, Irvine, CA, 92612
   Preserve the net neutrality and a Title II!

555. Sabatine, Irvine, CA, 92603
   PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY and Title 2

556. Matthew Salcius, Orange, CA, 92869
   I support Title 2, do not kill it! I don't want big corporations deciding how I
   interact with the internet. It works don't fix it!

557. Kamal Tharani, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
   Please preserve net neutrality. It will keep the internet open.

558. Chiara Delfino, Irvine, CA, 92617
   HEY AJIT PAI - I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversights of
   ISP.GO FCC YOURSELF!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

559. Jake Jacobs, Irvine, CA, 92603
   I support complete net neutrality. Do not permit isps and wireless providers to
   selectively throttle internet access speeds for any reason. Thank you.

560. Susan Higgins, Tustin, CA, 92782
   I am strongly in favor of net neutrality backed by Title II

561. Stacey Dockrill, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
   Net neutrality in its current form is vital to keep the internet open to all, and to
   keep costs down for consumers and keep corporations from picking and choose
   who has reliable access to the internet.

562. Richard Strong, Irvine, CA, 92603
   I support ISPs and internet providers to be regulated by Title 2 to ensure a fair
   and equal internet. Any change could direct our country to a technological and
   communication dark age.

563. Walter Green, Irvine, CA, 92618
   I support the classification of ISPs under Title II.I do not trust these business to
   put the interest of the american people before their bottom line.The internet is a
   necessary utility of the modern world, and should be treated as such.

564. stephanie black, Irvine, CA, 92620
   I support strong internet neutrality. Do not change the net neutrality rules

565. Ellen Colvin, Irvine, CA, 92620
   We need net neutrality because it allows for the equality of access to
   information. People do not want to be subjected to platforms they dislike or are
required to use. Corporations are already proliferating many aspects of American life to an absurd degree. The internet is a level playing field for all.

566. Ginger Letzel, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I believe we should preserve net neutrality and title two!!!!

567. Sarah Marshall, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
It is important to retain net neutrality rules and to protect us consumers by keeping ISPs under title 2 regulations.

568. Michael Krahelski, Irvine, CA, 92620
Protect net neutrality. Preserve control in Title 2

569. Laura Rosenberg, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
I support Net Neutrality! Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II!

570. Cory Bulman, Anaheim, CA, 92807
I am in support of strong Net Neutrality and the use of Title II to enforce it

571. Patrick Guidotti, Irvine, CA, 92617
Preserve net neutrality and Title II status

572. phil heybruch, Irvine, CA, 92620
Requesting that the FCC preserve Net Neutrality under title 2.

573. Hannah, Santa Ana, CA, 92678
Preserve net neutrality and support title 2! Don't take away our freedom or we will become a police state.

574. Nathan Brown, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Please keep internet service classified as a Title II utility. Internet providers provide a basic utility service to the American people.

575. Daniel R. Kopp, Irvine, CA, 92618
Please save net neutrality. To do otherwise would be a clear conflict with the First Amendment to the United States Constitution. Thank you!

576. Don, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
The internet must remain neutral. I am strongly opposed to docket 17-108

577. Kendall R. Hoyd, Anaheim, CA, 92869
I'm very much in favor of net neutrality, and very much against the idea that internet access can, and eventually will, be gated to favor specific commercial interests.
578. **Sarah Wilson, Tustin, CA, 92782**
I support strong net neutrality rules including Title 2 provisions for ISP providers.

579. **Cristina Hanes, Lake Forest, CA, 92630**
As a web application developer, I strongly stand in favor of keeping the Title II regulations for ISPs to maintain net neutrality. We cannot allow companies to voluntarily choose not to discriminate against content providers, applications, and websites. This is not something that can be handled solely by free market economics. Consumers don't have enough information available to make an informed choice -- even those who are tech experts. Consumers may never know that their ISP is throttling the bandwidth of content providers which compete with their interests. If ISP A is throttling the bandwidth of New Startup B, customers may never realize the reason that New Startup B's services seem to be so slow is not because that company has a sub-part product or poor server infrastructure. Customers of ISP A are more likely to just not use New Startup B's product. The average consumer is not likely to have either the resources or the knowledge to run speed comparison tests to determine which content providers are being throttled by their ISP. There are examples of ISPs discriminating against content providers. The Associated Press investigated to discover that Comcast had block torrent file transfers, because torrents can be used to transfer videos and Comcast hoped to sell videos themselves. -- It should be noted that torrents can be used to transfer any types of files, included both copyrighted and non-copyrighted videos. In addition, ISPs should not be allowed to choose whose voices and which opinions they'll silence. Users will likely know if the content they're viewing has been edited or censored by their ISP because the content is contrary to the ISP's interests. In 2007, Verizon attempted to cut off access to a text messaging program used by a pro-abortion-rights group NARAL. Enough people noticed in that case and were outraged that Verizon removed the block. But what about ISPs doing the same to smaller groups or groups whose cause isn't so hot-button? If ISPs are classified as Title II, small groups can turn to the FCC to ensure their ISPs are not restricting their freedom of speech. ISPs should not have control of what we see on the internet.

580. **net, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653**
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. Competition in the ISP market is a fallacy, especially when ISPs actively avoid competing with each other because they make more money when consumers have less options. I want my ISP to be a dumb pipe that allows me to view all content equally without preferred fast lanes or throttled slow lanes. ISPs have no business tracking, storing or selling any information about me including any personal info, browsing history, etc.

581. **Daniel Luo, Irvine, CA, 92620**
Net Neutrality is the only thing keeping us from monopolization of the internet-
even if it is a hypothetical, the monopolization of various other markets in a
deregulated market (i.e. meat, software, etc.) proves again and again that
government regulation is a necessary prerequisite to maintain an equal and fair
playing ground that was what this country was built on. Weakening and/or
getting rid of Title II would allow companies (like the one Mr. Ajit
conveniently used to work for) make millions more by exploiting big companies
and shutting out small companies. And if you have any doubts about if that
would happen, just remember ISIS!

582. Tim Crosson, Villa Park, CA, 92861
Strongly support keeping the ISP under tight Title II net neutrality control. Do
not change what is in place.

583. Phil Brown, Irvine, CA, 92620
I would like to register my strong support of a fair and open internet, with ISP's
held accountable by Title II of the Communications Act. Deregulating net
neutrality serves no-one other than the board and major shareholders of ISP's
and will have a significant negative impact on the economy and progress in
general. It's beyond inane that this is even an issue. Can you please try and do
something decent here? It's bad enough with the ISP's having regional
monopoly control across this country already.

584. nick giulioni, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
We need strong net neutrality. Do not take it away.

585. Grant MacGregor, IRVINE, CA, 92617
I am greatly concerned about the prospect that net neutrality will be
compromised by re-classification by FCC Chairman Ajit Pai of the current law.
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. I am
against any proposal to reclassify oversight of the net by ISP's into Title I. Most
importantly, there is no compelling reason to do so. ISP's are on record as
stating that the Title II classification has had essentially no negative impact on
their plans to develop new internet infrastructure. Thank you for considering
this petition.

586. Kaitlyn Knoll, Tustin, CA, 92604
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!
The ISPs run a monopoly that is NOT good for the American people. I do not
have a choice for internet providers, don't let them slow my internet down too!
Regulation is good for consumers.

587. Derek Dunn-Rankin, Irvine, CA, 92617
I support strong net-neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This is a
critical feature for ensuring the continued benefits of an open communication of
all without providers manipulating choice.
588. Ji Eom, Irvine, CA, 92604
Dear Chairman Pai of the United States FCC; I would like to express that I support a strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you for your time,

589. Jennifer, Irvine, CA, 92614
ISP should remain under title 2 because i want a really true and open internet

590. Garrett Teskey, Tustin, CA, 92780
I think I hate the FCC. Yes, I hate you. I do.... Wow, fuck you. My name is G Teskey and I approve this message. By the way... I drive a blue mustang, while wearing aviator shades. Fuck you.

591. Brian Jorgensen, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I strongly support current net neutrality rules with classification of ISPs under title II.

592. Glendele Way Agle, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
Preserve Title II Keep net neutrality!!!

593. greg labate, Dove canyon, CA, 92679
Preserve strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs

594. Kathleen DeStasio, Irvine, CA, 92604
I strongly believe in Net Neutrality and disagree with any and all efforts to dismantle current regulations. The American people's access to the internet should not be parcelled, tiered and sold.

595. Massud Naderi, Irvine, CA, 92620
I strongly support title II AND PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY. DO NOT REPEAL OBAMA ERA RULES ON NET NEUTRALITY.

596. Diane Giulioni, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Please preserve net neutrality and continue to regulate isps under title II. Please protect my right to a free Internet!!!

597. Thomas Schumacher, Tustin, CA, 92782
Net neutrality is very important to give all businesses the same access to internet consumers, and consumers the same access to all internet bussinesses. If we shut down net neutrality this common access does no longer exist, and internet providers will cut deals with internet businesses and control, whi gets how much bandwidth. An Internet provider needs to be and is a utility companies which instead of water or electricity transports bits. What does bits are or where does bits go, is up to the end user. Please keep net neutrality as this is a synonym for internet freedom, and aren't we all in agreement, that what
makes the USA great is freedom ?Thanks Thomas Schumacher, Msc Software Engineering Principal Data Engineer / Architect

598. Alexander Small, Irvine, CA, 92603
I strongly support net neutrality and am disgusted and confused by the FCC's efforts to move away from an open internet. Allowing corporations to control the internet would be a disaster and goes against everything an open internet stands for.

599. Scott Vanover, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
Please do not allow telecoms to take away net neutrality from the citizens. I don't think you really have any idea how important this is to the American people. Thank you.

600. Richard Roseth, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. I want a fair and equal playing field with ISPs. Thank you

601. Ta Manders, Irvine, CA, 92618
Please do not get rid of net neutrality. This will give providers too much power. We do not have a choice of internet providers.

602. Lisa Scheuerman, Orange, CA, 92869
Support net neutrality by keeping isp's subject to regulation under Title 2

603. Jessica Eva Rizzo, Irvine, CA, 92618
Please preserve Net Neutrality as it exists under article II of the FCC guidelines.

604. Neema Akhtar, Anaheim, CA, 92808
I strongly support an ACTUALLY open and free internet with strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

605. Aaron Lutes, RSM, CA, 92688
Net neutrality must be protected. Title II protection is important.

606. Zayd Ezzeldine, Irvine, CA, 92620
The internet is a resourced used by everyone worldwide, on a nearly daily basis. Removing net neutrality gives far too much power to the internet providers, especially considering how few there are.

607. Eugene Farng, IRVINE, CA, 92603
ISPs should stay under Title II regulation. Profit seeking corporations will never act in the public good when the profits are at stake. The entire purpose of government is to ensure the public good when corporations will not. It is foolish to trust corporations to "do the right thing" when profits are at stake.
608. Christina Law, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
   I support the preservation of net neutrality under title II regulations.

609. moe, Trabuco canyon, CA, 92679
   I am against changing the law, why are we letting big corporations dictate regulations

610. Kurt Scheuerman, Orange, CA, 92869
   I support net neutrality and believe that continued regulation under Title II is the only way to ensure it. Access to the Internet is a vital public service that should not be subject to potential manipulation by ISPs.

611. isobel hume, Foothill ranch, CA, 92610
   Keep strict net neutrality with title 2

612. Laura Chase, Silverado, CA, 92676
   I'm disappointed the FCC is even considering moving away from Title 2 protections on net neutrality. It is unlikely the private sector would consistently choose to do the right thing over seeking profits. Conserve net neutrality and Title 2

613. Ryan Billow, Irvine, CA, 92604
   I would like to express my desire to keep the current net neutrality policies in place, especially Title 2.

614. Gary M Dominguez, Villa Park, CA, 92867
   I specifically support strong net neutrality and title two. Do not change the current FCC rules.

615. Jessica Vaughan, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
   Keep net neutrality! I don't want my ISP to control what content I am able to see!!

616. Cameron Hume, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
   I support a free and open internet as well as the preservation of Title II. I plea that the FCC and Mr. Pai protect net neutrality so that ISPs do not take advantage of the American public.

617. James Ams, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
   I support Net Neutrality. I support Title II oversight of the ISPs. We have seen ISPs fail to be competitive in each other's markets. We have seen them prioritize traffic over Netflix and others. We have seen them try to work around net neutrality in the guise of helping us, such as T-Mobile's "Music Freedom" plans. All traffic should be treated equally, neither receiving benefit nor disadvantage. I want to see ISPs treated like utilities. Fair transport of data
supports consumers. It supports small businesses and a competitive marketplace. This is good, and healthy for the Internet. Do not turn our awesome, fair internet into a cable package.

618. Richard Prince, Irvine, CA, 92617
Protect Obama era regulations that defend net neutrality and the open web.

619. Christopher Garcia, Irvine, CA, 92618
I support strong Title 2 government regulation of ISPs. I don't believe that they can be trusted to act in my best interests if left unrestricted.

620. Daniel Zondervan, Irvine, CA, 92603
It is vitally important that broadband internet service remain classified under Title II, preserving the current regulatory framework around Internet Service Providers. As a small business owner, the ability of both my business and my employees' careers to thrive depends upon the guarantee that our customers will always have equal access to our online offerings, regardless of their ISP. Indeed, this is critical for modern entrepreneurs to succeed in the future.

621. Jeanne Edwards, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
The internet needs to be regulated the way it is now. Keep title two! You once worked for Verizon, so you have a pretty clear conflict of interest in this matter. Leave the internet alone.

622. Jeramy McIntyre, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I strongly agree in an open internet that will not interior or dictate quality of experience based on the content viewing viewed. I think it is imperative that ISPs do not have the capacity to sell rights, services, bitrate, or bandwidth to the highest bidder. I believe that generations after us need us to make the right decision here and view access to data should be seen as water, if we let knowledge, social interactions, and ideas flow unhindered we will allow our species to flourish.

623. Holly Ammari, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I'd like to express my support of net neutrality guidelines that will require companies (ISP) to remain neutral in all of their dealings with regards to the free and open foundations of the web.

624. John Beaumont, Orange, CA, 92869
Count my family as another one against any action changing net neutrality rules. Do not make any changes to the current rules.

625. Monica Ball, Irvine, CA, 92603
I support classifying the internet as a Title II utility. It is the duty of a business to generate profit for the shareholders. Without strong regulation ensuring open
access, ISPs will inevitably seek to maximize profits by modifying access for certain users.

626. Yuanlong Du, irvine, CA, 92620
   I support keeping ISP regulations under title II of the telecommunications act. Under the Obama era, this was clearly necessary to ensure compliance by businesses to ensure fair use of the internet. The potential for abuse by ISP's and large internet companies is too great to move away from title II enforcement.

627. Nima Beheshti, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
   I support net neutrality and the maintaining of title 2 regulations for ISPs!

628. Amy Bauer, Irvine, CA, 92617
   I strongly support Title II oversight of ISPs and strong net neutrality.

629. Shadi, Irvine, CA, 92604
   Keep net neutrality backed by title 2 in tact! The American people deserve it!

630. Dana iverson, irvine, CA, 92614
   preserve net neutrality p.s your reese's peanut butter cup mug ain't impressing any one

631. Current Resident, Irvine, CA, 92617
   Please keep it under Title II

632. Jennifer Mallett, Irvine, CA, 92612
   Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. Keeping a free and open internet, with strong and enforceable net neutrality, will be better for both consumers as well as new businesses. We should be encouraging innovation, not giving unreasonable power to the ISPs that will limit what people can do online.

633. Fabian Orozco, Anaheim, CA, 92807
   I specifically support strong net neutrality that is backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108

634. Michael White, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
   I am in favor of strong net neutrality, backed by Title II. Please ensure the preservation of net neutrality.

635. benjamin vojtku, Tustin, CA, 92780
   Please keep the internet neutral and fair. We can't let big companies have complete over of our lives. If we give them power they will literally be able to control what I could watch. Please keep the internet for everyone.

636. Parisa, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Protect our internet freedoms!!

637. Taylor Foss, Irvine, CA, 92614  
I support net neutrality. The Internet is vital to freedom of expression and for the freedom for new and innovative products and services to succeed or fail on the Internet based on their merits and not based on the competitive advantage of a few companies that seek to control a choke point for their own financial benefit. From a historical perspective, the Internet is exceedingly important; anything that would ostensibly limit or throttle or minimize its effect, should be prevented.

638. Robert Galeano, Irvine, CA, 92612  
Please maintain the current rules in place and protect net neutrality.

639. Matthew Tesch, TUSTIN, CA, 92780  
Protect Net Neutrality Please

640. Tristan Schmitt, Irvine, CA, 92604  
I support Net-Neutrality. Going against this is going against the freedom of information which is a fundamental pillar of our democracy. This would be an absolute tragedy to lose Net-Neutrality, it must be preserved.

641. Tyler Ramberg, Irvine, CA, 92614  
I support strong net neutrality backed specifically by Title II oversights of internet service providers. Ending net neutrality would be incredibly detrimental to the internet and the people.

642. Brian Kim, Irvine, CA, 92614  
I support having strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II

643. Carol Dao, Tustin, CA, 92780  
I urge you to preserve net neutrality and Title II oversight of internet service providers.

644. Roderick Grant Arnold Jr, Irvine, CA, 92614  
I support total net neutrality under Title II United States legislation. The free, unrestricted flow of information cannot be stifled if we as Americans hope to continue to learn and grow as a liberated and educated people. Knowledge is power and the Constitution promises that power to ALL her citizens. Imbecile sheep may remain easy to heard, though I challenge that the well-treated amongst them will remain the most loyal. Make me proud to be an American; do not liberate us of our freedoms unto the absolute authority of the highest bidder, as the British of old had wished on our founding fathers.

645. Kyle Swaim, Irvine, CA, 92620
Dear FCC, Net neutrality and open access laws are very important to both my personal life and professional career as a programmer. Allowing ISPs to pick the winners and raise prices will be a great detriment. Please do whatever you can to prevent the FCC from rolling back Title II classification of ISPs. I will never vote for anyone who does not support net neutrality no matter the party. Thank you.

Kyle Swaim

646. Mathew Milizia, Cristina Milizia, Irvine, CA, 92618
	Please uphold the current net neutrality laws. Please keep ISPs to the standard of Title 2. Seriously, we shouldn't have to request this again...

647. Rizvan Khawar, Irvine, CA, 92620
	I strongly support Net Neutrality.

648. Charles Polc, Irvine, CA, 92620
	I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Please preserve net neutrality and Title II oversight.

649. Aubrey Bayoneta, Irvine, CA, 92612
	I am for net neutrality, please preserve Title II.

650. Net neutrality, Irvine, CA, 92618
	I support net neutrality; ISPs should not be able to throttle our internet speeds to suit their own agendas.

651. Daniel Ring, Irvine, CA, 92612
	I am in favor of strong net neutrality projections under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. The FCC's authority to enforce this has been confirmed in court, and ISPs have proven themselves to be untrustworthy (e.g. in the cases of Netflix and Level3).

652. Jesse Saunders, Irvine, CA, 92612
	Please uphold strong restrictions on net neutrality and keep Title 2. It works great the way it is. We should have learned our lesson about deregulation with first the energy sector and Enron and then Wall Street.

653. Eric Kibel, Irvine, CA, 92604
	Please do not arbitrarily reclassify ISPs to avoid regulating them at all. Such a blatant corporate giveaway to allow media companies and telecommunications firms to destroy net neutrality is shameful. You are a blight on humanity if you allow it to happen. Oh, and by the way, leave Stephen Colbert alone. Trump is a disgraceful buffoon. Saying so on national television is privileged speech entitled to protection under the First Amendment.

654. Richard Vaughan, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
I am in favor of keeping all ISP service categorized under the title 2 definition. At this point internet service has become a necessity, not a luxury. It is needed to make comments like this one, to work with my bank, or to pay my bills. It is also a main method of news delivery and in some cases telephone access (skype, ETC) Internet service should and ought to be managed like a utility. Content, or the usage of the bits I consume should not be considered in the price I pay for a bit. The same as any electron I use to power any device in my home. Imagine if using electricity to run a refrigerator cost more than to run a TV. Or even worse, it cost less to run an LG refrigerator than a GE one. We would not put up with that for electrical use, and we should not put up with it for data use either. Finally, what I use my data on in my business, and no one else. I should not have to disclose what services, websites or other information I access in order to secure a particular price. the idea of this is a gross invasion of privacy, and more importantly personal liberty. Data usage should not be linked to content, and internet use is a requirement of our society. Title 2 should remain in effect.

655. Christopher Lavender, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I support strong net neutrality oversight made possible by keeping ISPs classified under Title 2

656. Cory Wong, Anaheim Hills, CA, 92808
Please keep net neutrality and keep the ISPs classified as Title 2. We need your help!

657. Gabriel Reyngold, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Title 2 regulation of ISPs is imperative for free and fair internet access in a more mature market than the Clinton years. Please do not change existing "net neutrality" / open internet rules and continue to prioritize the citizenry over corporate interests.

658. Anna Wikle, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
Dear FCC Chairman Pai, I firmly believe in Net Neutrality which is upheld by Title II to a more stringent extent than Title I. It is paramount that this nation secures the personal liberties of its people above the benefit of capitalist corporations. It is your duty as a servant to the American people that you ensure the rights of smaller internet companies and citizens who utilize the internet on a day to day basis. To undermine this legislation would jeopardize the freedom of speech that this nation built itself upon. Sincerely, Anna

659. Will Herron, Irvine, CA, 92614
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Don't screw us Ajit Pai.

660. Kevin Bolland, Irvine, CA, 92614
Please enforce Title II regulations and maintain Net Neutrality. The open, unrestricted, and neutral platform of the internet preserves the freedom of speech, freedom of communication, and availability of information. Any restriction placed on such a platform can do nothing but hinder the true, efficient, and optimal development of human kind. Restrictions placed on speeds, services, information, or developing businesses on the internet is a threat to the United States and should not be allowed in any context, present or future. Thank you for your serious, unbiased, educated, and thoughtful consideration as this is an issue that is related to every business, operation, and consumer within the United States.

661. Kara Monaghan, Rancho Santa margarita, CA, 92688
Please preserve net neutrality and title II. I support a free and open internet and value the ability to hold corporations accountable through regulation of business.

662. Daniel Gudmundsen, Tustin, CA, 92780
Title 2 net neutrality is very important to people in the United States it has become one of the main forms of communication in this modern age. Since it is so widely used by many people of all different ages it only makes sense that it should be protected and regulated by the government to prevent large multi billion dollar companies from performing devious acts. These large corporations can't be trusted to do the right thing when what really matters to them is pleasing investors. Thanks for reading my comment and I plead with you to keep it classified as title 2:)

663. Craig Smugeresky, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Please keep Title II requirements for ISPs. Net neutrality is important to me and the public as a whole. Please do not let big business spoil the freedom of the internet by removing this regulation.

664. george fong, Tustin, CA, 92782
Restore net neutrality through title 2. This fight against regulation to ensure companies do what's best for the consumer is ridiculous.

665. Pam English, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. I do not want the politics or President Trump to interfere with net neutrality and I do not want title II eliminated.

666. Katy Suttrop, Irvine, CA, 92614
I strongly support net neutrality and strongly oppose any approach based on self-regulation by ISP providers. I urge the FCC to ensure oversight and enforcement for ISPs under Title II. Thank you for your time and consideration.
667. Erin Cooper, Orange, CA, 92867
I strongly Support and believe in net neutrality. Historically, trusting corporations to "do the right thing" has been unsuccessful if the "right thing" is not the most profitable thing. Keep net neutrality governed by Article II.

668. Terri Vu, Irvine, CA, 92602
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversights of ISPs. We need it now more than ever!

669. Amy Case, Anaheim, CA, 92808
Please preserve net neutrality and title II for the benefit of all Americans.

670. Debra Curry, Tustin, CA, 92780
I support NET NEUTRALITY!! Do NOT weaken it!!

671. Joe Mama, Irvine, CA, 92620
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Ajit Pai is purposefully and blatantly misleading the public on this issue to support his own political and financial interests. Please preserve net neutrality and Title II.

672. Jack West, Irvine, CA, 92604
Please don't let ASPs turn the internet into another commodified tool of bloated corporate interests. Keep the net free and neutral.

673. Cyril Yu, Irvine, CA, 92603
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. The Internet should not be for sale to the highest bidder.

674. Hao Jan Jeng, Irvine, CA, 92620
I specifically support, strong net neutrality, backed by title II Oversights of ISPs

675. Alexander Portney, Irvine, CA, 92612
I am very concerned about Net Neutrality and the impending proposal to regulate ISP's under Title I instead of Title II. It is important to me that all websites and services be treated equally, and I find claims that Title I can properly protect against ISP power plays to be dubious, at best, given past abuses under that set of regulations. I am particularly concerned that small businesses promoting new apps and services will have a harder time getting started and won't be able to fuel economic growth as they have in the past.

676. Google, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I am a strong believer in the Net neutrality act and I believe corporations would choose to profits over promises to the government.

677. Shannon McCarter, Tustin, CA, 92782
As a supporter of the open internet, I strongly encourage the FCC to maintain the necessary protections and rules regarding Net Neutrality as supported by Title II. Verizon is (logically) not legally allowed to selectively drop my calls if I'm say, calling a competitor to switch my phone service. It is not in the public's best interest that ISP's (again, like Verizon) be allowed to limit or block service to competitors' websites and programs, thus effectively forcing customers to stick with their ISP's content. That would undoubtedly be the effect of rolling back Net Neutrality regulations from Title II. Maintaining an open internet is also essential in defending a modern democracy. Please do not let corporate interests be the arbiters of whose voices are heard and whose are silenced on the internet. Thank you.

678. Google, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I am a strong believer in the Net Neutrality Act and I believe corporations would choose profits over promises.

679. Mabel Morales, Tustin, CA, 92780
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I strongly oppose docket No. 17-108

680. Gauen Alexander, Irvine, CA, 92604
I support strong net neutrality regulations including the regulation of internet service providers under Title II.

681. Alexander Godding, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
You must uphold strong net neutrality and legally enforce it.

682. Daniel Malcor, Irvine, CA, 92614
Please keep strong Net Neutrality with Title II. Thanks

683. Michael Bybee, IRVINE, CA, 92604
I specifically support STRONG Net Neutrality Rules, especially under Title II. Please, please, please, please, please don't reduce or remove the rules that we have in place right now,

684. Connor Davis, Irvine, CA, 92604
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality rules, specifically Title II. I would kindly ask you to not remove the rules and regulations currently in place.

685. net, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I don't want to pay more for streaming, video games, and other high bandwidth usage! Absolutely ridiculous this in consideration... AGAIN! I support strong net neutrality and Title II ! Don't screw up the internet for America. Don't throttle information, innovation, and a core pillar of the digital age.
686. Gabriel Bybee, Irvine, CA, 92604
   I specifically support STRONG Net neutrality rules especially under Title II. As such I hope you do NOT take any effort to change said rules.

687. Lucas Gardner, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
   Keep net neutrality under title 2 for IP address's.

688. AS, Irvine, CA, 92618
   Title II remains profoundly important to the maintenance of a free and open internet. Removal of these rules will not be deregulation, but rather shift the ability to regulate to the ISPs. ISPs have numerous and obvious conflicts of interest that would guarantee abuse similar to past abuses by telecommunication companies.

689. Yanni Angelis, Orange, CA, 92869
   I support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. We won't go quietly on this!!

690. Shauna Meyer, Irvine, CA, 92604
   I STRONGLY support internet neutrality, and keeping ISPs regulated under Title II. ISPs must be held accountable to deliver the same level of service for each and every site and service on the internet.

691. Carolyn Clark, Irvine, CA, 92602
   Please preserve strong net neutrality rules as backed by Title II.

692. nicole lopez, Irvine, CA, 92612
   I strongly support net neutrality regulation urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules back by Title II.

693. Moustafa Kharouta, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
   Preserve net neutrality! I don't want providers influencing the speed at which I'm able to view the content of my choice.

694. William Laske, Irvine, CA, 92603
   Please keep Title 2 in place in protection of net neutrality.

695. Ken Cheung, Irvine, CA, 92620
   US internet providers have a conflict of interest in internet traffic that will only grow increasingly worse as the US citizenry require its use more and more every day. They not only supply the internet connections; they also supply content on the internet. Without regulation, they will continue to try and create a system that benefits them and not their customers. I personally use it for work, communications, entertainment, maintaining financial stability, news, learning, and much more. I demand that my internet traffic be free and private.
696. Fred Hadyka, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692  
Net neutrality can only be assured under title 2. Don't try to bamboozle the public with promises that isps can police themselves.

697. Kevyn, Irvine, CA, 92618  
I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's and ask you to preserve this.

698. Inder, Irvine, CA, 92620  
I support strong Net Neutrality regulations. Keep ISPs under Title II

699. Ye Chen, Irvine, CA, 92603  
I support strong net neutrality protections under the Title II act. Please stop infringing on our rights! There is real evidence, despite what you may believe, that ISPs are not trustworthy with equal access speeds. It is NOT a hypothetical that an ISP has done this, actual (unbiased) research will reveal real life examples of this happening - the most infamous being the throttling of Google wallet, by three major ISPS in order to favor the ISIS mobile wallet. This is just ONE example of several and it should be taken note that net neutrality is here to stay in order to protect consumers. In a free market economy, basic economics would tell us that there is great incentive/benefit for industries to NOT adhere with consumer safe standards. Even basic microeconomics would show differences in the most profitable price levels versus most consumer friendly price levels. Simple history has already shown us that low regulation has hurt consumers and workers as well. The likes of "Morganizing", the method of cutting extra workers and keeping wages as low as possible and hours as long as possible in poor working conditions is proof of rampant industries when there were no regulations. This occurred during the age of Carnegie, Rockefeller and Morgan. Such simple history shows that you cannot have super lax regulations.

700. Jonathan Little, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653  
I support a policy of strong net neutrality.

701. Jennifer Mault, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688  
Net Neutrality should remain in effect with NO changes!!

702. Ash, Irvine, CA, 92618  
Please fight to preserve net neutrality backed by Title II

703. AD Henson, Tustin, CA, 92780  
I support strong net neutrality and keeping Title II Please do not shred the current rules. They are needed to keep the internet open and fair for everyone.

704. Adam Preston Clark, Anaheim, CA, 92808  
I strongly encourage the FCC to maintain net neutrality enforcement under Title
II. ISPs have attempted to violate net neutrality at every opportunity and will continue to do so if the regulations are loosened.

705. Mehdi Darroudi, Irvine, CA, 92602
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. No changes please.

706. Pieter de Zwart, Dove Canyon, CA, 92679
I strongly support keeping ISPs under Title 2 in order to support the continuity of Net Neutrality which allows for a fair and open Internet.

707. Veronica Gonzalez, orange, CA, 92869
We urge the FCC to keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules. Thank you.

708. Yevgeniy Levin, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
You better keep the net neutrality and keep ISPs classified under title 2

709. Leslie Brittle, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
It is critical that you maintain Net Neutrality as is and make no changes that would loosen regulations for Internet providers, causing less control for its consumers.

710. Reed Schenk, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Please keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules. It is vitally important that the Internet remain free of "Corporate" control and subsequent manipulations. The Internet should be regarded as a public utility and protected in the public's best interest.

711. rouella mannino, Irvine, CA, 92620
Please, please preserve net neutrality. Thank you.

712. Heather, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Keep Net neutrality. I support title 2. Do not give in to Trump or this lunatic that is the head of the FCC.

713. Callie Carrington, Irvine, CA, 92618
I support Title 2 regulations to keep the internet free from corporations determining what I can see and the speed at which I can access the internet.

714. Sean Sterling, Irvine, CA, 92656
Net neutrality is crucial for preserving a vibrant and creative internet environment. As a public agency, it would be a grotesque departure from the FCC's role to cynically enrich big corporations at the public's expense. Keep the internet neutral!

715. Richard Barrick, Irvine, CA, 92618
I strongly support Title 2 and Net Neutrality. Any change that inhibits the free and unrestricted flow of information from every entity on the web would be incredibly damaging to our culture and future on this planet. The hyperbole is intentional and necessary.

716. Benskin, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Net neutrality is very important to me. Most peoples lives would be negatively effected by the removal and frankly allowing companies to slow down other services for their own gain is unacceptable and i think you will find most everyone to agree.Thank you for reading this.

717. cheyenne woodmansee, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
I am in favor of preserving Title II and net neutrality.

718. D Cabrera, Rancho Santa MArgarita, CA, 92688
Keep net neutrality in its current form with real enforceable oversight under Title 2.

719. Rachel Nussbaum, Irvine, CA, 92606
Title II regulations of ISPs ensure free Internet. Companies cannot police themselves. Please keep the Internet a fair playing field for all.

720. John Lee, Irvine, CA, 92612
Dear Mr.Pai, We strongly support an open and free internet, so please keep the laws and regulations regarding Net Neutrality the way it is, and keep ISP categorized under Title II. Thank you for your consideration.-John Lee#GOFCCYOURSELF

721. Robert Headlee, Irvine, CA, 92620
I support strong protections of net neutrality and Title 2 classification of ISPs.

722. Amar Woods, Tustin, CA, 92780
I am for Net Neutrality and Title II, and am strongly against companies having more control or influence on online access than they already have. Protect the continued freedom of the internet

723. SIDDHARTH SHETTY, IRVINE, CA, 92618
Sincerely hope that FCC backs the fundamental principle of 'freedom of the Internet' by ensuring that that Internet service providers enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites.

724. Walter Jakl, Irvine, CA, 92614
I read the LA Times article on Mr. Pai. Because there are few ISPs and all of them are huge companies, net neutrality is vital. I strongly support it and Title II
oversight of ISPs.

725. Ramzi Hawit, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. We need an internet open to all with no barriers for any business to enter or grow. I find the one-sided government support for monopolies over the best interests of all Americans despairing. ISP's have shown repeatedly that they can be trusted to take any actions possible to separate their captive consumers from their money, and hinder their competitors products.

726. Lonie Fink, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I support net neutrality in its current configuration... Please do not allow ISPs to throttle content to serve their own interests. Speaking from a small business perspective, it is important to regulate for all our sakes.

727. Mahria Fink, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I request as a citizen of the United States and a citizen of an open internet that the FCC preserves Net Neutrality and Title II in its current form.

728. Matthew Pellizzon, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

729. Harvey Liss, Irvine, CA, 92604
You MUST retain net neutrality under Title 2. Any claims to the contrary are just plain fraudulent. There is also no way that any bill will pass through Congress that benefits the public, because they are controlled by the same corrupt influences as has now infected the FCC. The loss of true Internet neutrality will severely incapacitate technical advances by unduly restricting small companies, killing competitors to the new "owners" of the Internet. Everything I do now except eating, sleeping and other biological functions is performed using the Internet. It MUST remain open to all on an equal basis, without bias and without the ability to sell a higher speed channel that is content dependent.

730. Michael Casey, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
MR. AJIT PAI - I SPECIFICALLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISPs.

731. Michael, Tustin, CA, 92782
I am in favor of preserving net neutrality and Title 2 classification for Internet Service Providers. I do not agree that de-regulating Internet Service Providers will benefit consumers.

732. John N, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I strongly support that the ISP's need to remain under Title II regulations.

733. Steven Habbas, Tustin, CA, 92782
Please keep Net Neutrality the same. Ajit Pai is a selfish idiot.

734. Jennifer Walker, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Please keep the internet neutral. America used to be about the little guy having a chance, that's being torn away piece by piece and this will kill that dream altogether. Please keep competition healthy instead of handing the internet over to corporations.

735. Michael, Irvine, CA, 92614
I am in favor of strong Net Neutrality and keeping Title II oversight of ISPs. Simply removing that oversight without something equal or stronger put in place first would be irresponsible and corrupt.

736. Michael Gonsalves, Irvine, CA, 92606
I strongly support Net Neutrality and strict regulation of ISPs under Title II

737. Greg J. Hansen, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
My industry, online gaming, relies on a free and open internet where ISPs are not able to interfere with the type of data sent and received. I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The internet should be treated as a utility, like water, electricity, or phone service. It can no longer be argued that the internet is a luxury, but is instead a fundamental facet of modern civilized life. Allowing restriction of free exchange of ideas and data is tantamount to censorship, and as the UN (which the United States is a founding member of) affirmed in 2016, the same rights people have offline must also be protected online, in particular the freedom of expression covered under article 19 of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights.

738. Joel Payne, Tustin, CA, 92780
Please preserve net neutrality and specifically the protections of the title 2 definition for internet service providers. To do otherwise leaves the fox guarding the hen house. Thank you.

739. Jia Guo, Irvine, CA, 92620
Net Neutrality is needed to for innovation on the internet. Since the large internet providers are also content providers, this will allow them to compete unfairly in the content market. Not only that, they've deliberately slowed down internet speeds for various websites such as Netflix unless they paid extra, basically using their privileged position to extort money from companies that depend on the internet to operate. Internet providers do not need this power.

740. David Sklar, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
I am writing this comment to let you know of my concerns about changes to net neutrality rules and enforcement. A free and open internet is the cornerstone of growth and opportunity. Today the internet has become a utility that all of Americans need and need to be able to access in a free and open way. Internet service providers should not be allowed to self enforce or police themselves from taking actions such as funneling what people are seeing on the internet or creating fast and slow lanes on the internet. While these are currently hypotheticals they will assuredly happen if you deregulate and remove these rule protections. The FCC must keep ISP's under title two and keep the current net neutrality rule and strengthen net neutrality protections so we maintain a free and open internet.

741. Ryan Tarzy, Irvine, CA, 92614
Don't let your the ISP's that are lining your pockets get away with this. Net Neutrality is a critical part of the future of our democracy and a fair capitalistic system that has made our country great.

742. Gerry DU, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Please preserve Net Neutrality and keep the ISPs from meddling with our freedoms!! Internet providers must treat all web traffic equally and should not be in the position to control and influence what an individual can access thru throttling.

743. Marc Frelier, Tustin, CA, 92782
I support strong net neutrality. Do not allow ISPs to monopolize and continue to leverage what should be a basic right in modern times. To those that believe throttling of bandwidth and issues such as this to be rare, that is sorely mistaken.

744. Chris Stelter, Tustin, CA, 92780
I support Net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please do not make changes to these regulations that involve letting the ISP's have more power over the type of web content I consume. Thank you.

745. ana, Orange, CA, 92869
Do NOT allow open internet without title 2!!! That is ridiculous!!! Cannot believe this is even a discussion!

746. Anthony H., Irvine, CA, 92604
I support net neutrality and do not want Title II to be reconstituted into Title I. Also Aji Pai is a sellout. (personal opinion)

747. Richard Redwitz, Orange, CA, 92869
I am a strong supporter of the current Net Neutrality rules as well as the protections provided through the Title II classification of internet providers. I
am against this administration's attempt to change these rules and classifications. As far as I am concerned, the American people have already spoken in their support of Net Neutrality just 2 years ago. This should be a settled issue with even the service providers admitting to their shareholders (who they are legally required to tell the truth) that Net Neutrality will not affect their business investment and expansion plans in any significant way. Having fair, open, and unbiased access to all of the internet is the bedrock of modern technology companies. No one can predict what website will host the next world changing product or service and ISPs should not be allowed to stifle growth by giving preferential treatment to internet access that they deem beneficial to their revenue. I have never written to a government establishment, senator, representative, governor, or president. This issue combined with Chairman Ajit Pai's gross mishandling of the public's trust have driven me to write this comment.

748. Ryan Beck, Irvine, CA, 92614
I strongly support internet service providers being classified as common carriers under Title II.

749. cory pechon, Irvine, CA, 92620
I'm in favor of title II classification of ISPs.

750. Owais Ahmed, Anaheim, CA, 92807
I support net neutrality under section 2. Please don't change it.

751. Ann Marie Dryden, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Please stop the net neutrality. If I choose to get internet with a top download speed it shouldn't matter whether I am streaming or surfing.

752. John Shipley, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Net Neutrality is vital to the health of our country and the promise of the American Dream. Strong Net Neutrality rules promote fair competition between goliaths and startup businesses. We absolutely should not compromise previous rulings made by the FCC which classified Net Neutrality rules under Title II. These rules should remain under Title II. Reclassifying Net Neutrality rules under Title I and extracting unenforceable promises from ISP's to treat all internet traffic the same will eventually result in abuses which the FCC will be unable to rectify. ISP's are utility companies and should be regulated and treated as such.

753. Helen Song, Irvine, CA, 92620
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality backed by Title II oversight, and stand in opposition to 17-108.

754. Yan Chen, Irvine, CA, 92603
Please keep the current net neutrality rules. Do NOT roll back the net neutrality rules.

755. Shawn White, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
I support net neutrality under title 2. i want to preserve the current net neutrality rules

756. Jason miramontes, Mission viejo, CA, 92691
Keep the internet neutral please, and thank you. Stop Messing up please.

757. Kevin Ngamsirikulchai, Irvine, CA, 92612
Please do not remove title two regulation. My ISP assumes connections from specific ports are from competitors or something they think isn't within their terms. Removing traffic neutrality protection would make these actions more extreme and blatant.

758. Louis Tovar, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I want the all internet to stay under title 2

759. Andrew Yang, Irvine, CA, 92618
I support strong enforcement of Net Neutrality. I think its correct that ISP's be regulated under Title 2. Title 2 makes sure that all web based services compete on a level playing field, that ISP's can't pick and choose what web traffic gains priority. Its important to me that this is something that is enforceable in writing so we can hold ISP's to these rules.

760. Ali Eslami, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Please preserve net neutrality and title II. Guarantee equal access to internet for all Americans. Equal access to internet is as crucial to citizens today as access to phone line, electricity or clean water.

761. Santiago Leal, trabuco canyon, CA, 92679
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Don't be on the wrong side of history.

762. Travis Holm, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
Please preserve the current system of net neutrality. We MUST stick with Title 2... There is a good reason government rules are in place maintaining such things as providing equal speed regardless of company investment. To remove these rules invites the ability to sell faster download speeds to companies that pay more and will seriously damage the freedom of upcoming new and potentially valuable developing web sites and services. This field must remain open to foster inovation... Inovation in this field does not always come from the people with the money... It comes from people with the ideas and the brains. Keep the net free to develop!
763. Seth Brindis, Irvine, CA, 92617
I strongly support net neutrality. ISPs should be regulated/have oversight under title II.

764. Alex Moon, Anaheim, CA, 92807
I am here to say that I am deeply opposed to Ajit Pai's plans for changing the current state of net neutrality. I strongly support strict ISP oversight under Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934.

765. Jaron Dempsey, Tustin, CA, 92780
The FCC must preserve strong Net Neutrality regulations under Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.

766. Chase, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
I want to preserve net neutrality under article II. PS-Go fcc yourself.

767. Donna, Irvine, CA, 92604
Net neutrality is vital and corporations should not be permitted to influence net neutrality to try to make a buck, especially at the expense of the interests of the American people. It is ridiculous to, again, be having this discussion.

768. William A Groenewold, Silverado, CA, 92676
Keep net neutrality and maintain Title II.

769. David Eastman, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Access to the web must be unfettered by any 2nd or 3rd parties. Net Neutrality must remain equal for all.

770. Conrad Whitaker, Irvine, CA, 92603
A level playing field in terms of net neutrality ensures appropriate competition on "content", which is what any free-market proponent would argue for and support. It is with this view that it's important to preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2.

771. Michael Callinan, Anaheim, CA, 92807
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. Do not let private interests or corporations take control of the Internet.

772. Kevin Boggs, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
I support maintaining the current regulations in favor of Net Neutrality, and the internet's current status of Title II.

773. Robert Heald, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
Please continue to support net neutrality using title 2.
774. Michael Robert Dannan, Tustin, CA, 92782
   I listened to John Oliver's comments about net neutrality. Please maintain net neutrality and do not allow some ISPs to pick and choose whose content gets priority and is downloaded faster. Verizon's CEO stated that they make business decision on how to invest capital and it is NOT made on the need to have "net neutrality."

775. christopher jones, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
   Please, do not get rid of title II which sustains net neutrality.

776. Ryan Peeler, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
   I feel that we should keep strong net neutrality rules backed by title 2.

777. Kelly Tesch, Tustin, CA, 92780
   Preserve strong Net Neutrality with Title II oversight of ISP's.

778. Janet Walker, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
   Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II!

779. David Garrett, Tustin, CA, 92782
   The internet is a public resource, fundamentally connecting everyone in the world in ways we have never seen before. The FCC needs to maintain the strong, codified rules on net neutrality, and not rely on corporations to do the right thing. Evidence has show that the voluntary cooperation will break down once the spotlight is away. Please keep the net neutrality rules in place that millions of citizens have already voice support for.

780. brian delehanty, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
   I support a strong net neutrality and would urge the FCC to do the same. The internet today is analogous to roads in the sense that it is how businesses deliver goods. Without net neutrality, we would be giving ISPs the ability to help or hamper businesses of their choosing. Please support net neutrality as it's an integral part of everyone's daily life.

781. Peter Livingston, Irvine, CA, 92602
   I am in favor of Net Neutrality and supportive of the Title II rules. The FCC SHOULD NOT roll back the net neutrality laws that have been put in place.

782. Tony Incontro, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
   I emphatically support strong net neutrality rules. As an IT professional, I know the dangers of technological monopolies. This matter goes directly to the future of a free and open society. Please keep strong rules in place backed by title ii.

783. Alfred Wallace, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
   PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY! Please support strong net neutrality backed
by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Everyone I know supports and wants regulations on this.

784. tim, Irvine, CA, 92606
Please preserve net neutrality. It is critical for protecting our free and unbiased use of the internet. Thank you!

785. Herb Chatterton, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
The existing internet neutrality policy is an important protection against ISP actions which would be detrimental to both public and business use of the internet. Please leave the internet in Title II.

786. Sandra Thompson, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
Patent and trademark attorneys rely on the internet for our livelihoods - from patent/trademark searches to reviewing new products. It is important for the internet to be open and neutral with respect to how quickly certain sites are accessed and made available to the public. Net neutrality is key to our productivity.

787. Brett McClellan, anaheim, CA, 92807
I support net neutrality and the classification of ISPs under title II. Please do not allow ISP companies to throttle websites that they deem competitive to their branding / people who have given them money.

788. Luke Kendall, Irvine, CA, 92612
Net neutrality should not be changed and ISP providers should NOT be re-classified. There is no change needed to the current regulation. Leave it alone.

789. Cynthia Kirkeby, Orange, CA, 92869
Net neutrality is an essential part of a functional internet society. I strongly support the continuation of Net Neutrality backed by Title 2. The Internet needs to continue to be available to everyone without restriction.

790. Michael Jesch, Anaheim, CA, 92807
The internet has always been a level playing field. Do not remove the regulations that keep it that way. Net neutrality is a Good Thing, and should be preserved.

791. Christopher Honour, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. John Oliver sent me.

792. Chase Hansen, RANCHO SANTA MARGARITA, CA, 92688
Net neutrality is of utmost importance.

793. Melissa Smith, orange, CA, 92869
I am in STRONG SUPPORT of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II over site of ISP's. The should not have the power dictate prices. I am completely opposed to Proceeding 17-108.

794. Jaimie Wu, Irvine, CA, 92603
I, as a registered voter and citizen of the United States, demand strong net neutrality for ISPs under the Title II of the communications act of 1934.

795. Margaret Choe, Tustin, CA, 92782
The FCC must maintain impartiality in this debate and protect net neutrality for all Americans. It is disgraceful that Ajit Pai, a former Verizon attorney, can be allowed to pursue such a deceitful and manipulative campaign to reclassify ISPs under Title I. Protect net neutrality and Title II! It's the FCCs responsibility!

796. Jessica Walden, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
I support strong net neutrality and the maintenance of title II !!!!

797. Barbara Austin, Tustin, CA, 92782
The FCC must keep the regulations in place that allow the free flow of internet content.

798. Carlos Tornell, Irvine, CA, 92604
Please leave the internet rules as they are right now. Don't make changes to something that warrantees net neutrality.

799. LINDA NICHOLS, Irvine, CA, 92604
I strongly believe in net neutrality and Title 2 . I request that the FCC not make changes that would adversely affect net neutrality.

800. Nikolay Amirov, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Net Neutrality is a basic right in our internet age. Save title II classification!

801. Simeon Vincent, Irvine, CA, 92606
I support classifying ISPs as common carriers under Title II and other strong regulations that guarantee net neutrality.

802. Sam Hooker, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I strongly support keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Shame on Ajit Pai for pretending that any deregulation in this regard is anything more than political cronyism.

803. Ali Bushra, Tustin, CA, 92782
Don't let our access to information and services become tampered with for corporate gain.
Know your history, the people spoke and demanded tough, fierce net neutrality. Do not be a tool of telecom, your assignment at the FCC and your acceptance to uphold the values thereof obligate you to act on behalf of the American people, not corporations.

Net neutrality is essential to maintaining a free and open internet. Today, the internet, for better or worse, has become one of the primary means of communication, information gathering and socializing. Permitting ISPs to regulate the flow of information to consumers based on money received from content providers is completely contrary to the free exchange of ideas essential in a functioning democracy. These ISPs must continue to fall under the Title 2 regulatory scheme to make certain that this free exchange continues unimpeded.

Preserve net neutrality and Title 2. Do it. Don't fuck with my or our freedom of speech.

I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. The internet should remain free and un-manipulated by internet providers.

Keep net neutrality secure under title 2

I very specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Do not remove the title 2 oversight, doing so would specifically act against the interests of any person and a future of equal footing for creativity, innovation, and commerce. I support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs and you need to make internet better not worse big internet company's are taking advantage of people The US should already have fiber everywhere but we continue to let these companies do as they please they continuously receive billions of dollars to install fiber and do absolutely nothing

A meaningful, Title 2, regulatory framework should remain in place in support of net neutrality. As Verizon's CEO promised investors a few years ago, a Title 2 framework does not prevent expansion or impair the business interests & growth prospects of the net service providers. But it does provide meaningful protection to consumers and small/new business. Win/win.

Please don't repeal net neutrality. There is still so much to be seen with what we
can do with the internet and putting restrictions will limit America's growth. You never let telephone companies restrict what numbers we could call. These companies have many revenue sources and in many cases operate in monopolies or in markets with little choice.

812. Matthew Powers, Irvine, CA, 92614
I am strongly in favor of Net Neutrality, specifically keeping the enforcement of Net Neutrality under Title 2 of the Communications Act. It is flabbergasting that some in our government claim that publicly traded companies will police themselves and do what's best for consumers when they have enormous pressure from stockholders to maximize profits. Removing the protections for Net Neutrality will significantly change the landscape of the internet for the worse. It's anti competition, anti innovation, and anti free speech. Keep Net Neutrality protected under Title 2

813. Andrew Sandor, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I support maintaining the current regulations on net neutrality - that is, continuing to have ISPs regulated under Chapter II of the relevant telecommunications act.

814. Eugene Kaplan, Irvine, CA, 92603
I am in favor of the existing tough regulations that protect the free flow of online content. SAVE OUR NET NEUTRALITY and get rid of Ajit Pai!

815. Joseph Tavernier, Irvine, CA, 92602
I support strongnet neutrality under Title 2 oversight of ISPs. This is one of the most important political issues in my eyes and a position we cannot waver on. Net neutrality is a must!

816. Keith Lay, Tustin, CA, 92780
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I support the existing net neutrality rules, please do not roll them back. My internet service provider should not be able to prioritize data from one source over another. All data that I request from the internet should be provided to me at the same speed and with the same priority. Thank you

817. Blake Noyes, Irvine, CA, 92620
I urge the FCC to preserve net neutrality and maintain the Title II designation. The internet exists because of the contributions of people everywhere and they should not be limited in their use of it.

818. Robin Kearney, Irvine, CA, 92604
I very strongly support net neutrality!

819. Downs Thompson, Coto de Caza, CA, 92679
I believe in net-neutrality and the importance of Title 2 regulation of ISPs. I want the internet to be regulated as a common carrier and oppose any change to the status quo established under the Obama administration. This is just the beginning of the resistance to this attempt to change the rules that govern the internet in favor of the telecommunications industry.

820. Robert Shih, Irvine, CA, 92618
True open internet, preserve and respect net neutrality. Packets from different origins should not be treated differently, and the ISP should not be the one deciding which packet to prioritize or de-prioritize. Without net neutrality, innovation would be stifled, and viewpoints suppressed. This is not the internet that we have envisioned nor want. Please maintain Title II regulations for ISPs.

821. Wayne, Irvine, CA, 92618
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II. Please keep the internet fair for all citizens of the United States. Keep it this way rather than making it vulnerable for corruption.

822. Ryan Allison, Tustin, CA, 92782
Please maintain an open, neutral, and free internet under Title II rules and regulations.

823. scott grant, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I support net neutrality, by strong title 2 oversight backed by internet service providers.

824. Andaru Iman, Irvine, CA, 92603
Let it be known that I am unequivocally opposed to Proceeding 17-108. Internet Service Providers should be classified as a common carrier under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 and Section 706 of the Telecommunications act of 1996, because Broadband providers have economic incentives that â€œrepresent a threat to Internet openness and could act in ways that would ultimately inhibit the speed and extent of future broadband deployment,â€œ as affirmed by the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia. Only by upholding the 2015 FCC ruling can the internet stay "fast, fair and open", in the FCC's own words by Chairman Wheeler, 26 FEB 2015. Net Neutrality is the strongest prerequisite to a free market.

825. Melissa Au-Yeung, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I strongly support Net Neutrality and want to see Title II maintained. The neutrality of the internet should not be sacrificed and sold to the highest bidder. Internet speeds should not be determined by which company can pay the most. We need a level playing field for all content on the internet, irrespective of the ability to pay and Title II remains the surest way, at this point in time, to ensure this remains the case. For this reason, I am strongly supportive of net neutrality
and Title II.

826. James Chen, Anaheim, CA, 92808
Please support net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

827. julie smolinski, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I have seen the changes in my internet following the change to Title II.
PLEASE DO NOT change back to the old way!!!

828. Nathan Willis, Irvine, CA, 92620
I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by the title II oversight of ISP's!
The internet is a human resource and should not be controlled or monitored in any form, especially not by companies who can then profit by strangling access to specific sites and controlling how people use the internet. It gives ISP's too much power to influence people's thinking and control their use of the free internet, taking away their freedom of speech and thought.

829. Kitty Cotton, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
We need to keep net neutrality in tact under Title II. If anything we need to strengthen it for a fair playing field.

830. John G Doyle, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I urge the Commission to continue to support the Open Internet by keeping ISP regulation within Title II.

831. Christine Gordon, Irvine, CA, 92604
I am writing to express my disapproval that the FCC is trying to kill net neutrality. Preserving an open internet is crucial for fair and equal access to the resources and information available on it. I support net neutrality.

832. Matthew Cordner, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I support Net Neutrality as enforced by Title II oversight of ISPs. Clearly the reasoning behind rolling this back is weak and seriously flawed, at best. At worst, it is a blatant giveaway to corporations without regard to the freedom and well-being of the citizens of this country.

833. Joe Verghese, Anaheim Hills, CA, 92807
I support net neutrality and oversight of ISP's (Internet Service Providers) as offered by Title II. It's important that everyone has equal access to all of the internet for a democracy to function properly.

834. Timothey Yanalunas, Irvine, CA, 92618
I am opposed to this bill as I don't believe that ISPs should play any role in deciding the future of net neutrality.
835. Hari V. Iyer, Irvine, CA, 92614
Upholding net neutrality is absolutely critical to ensuring the internet continues to operate under the fundamental principles of the free market and open competition. Furthermore, the unrestricted access to knowledge provided by impartial internet access is a critical safeguard against more aggressive manipulation of the voting public.

836. Valarie Dennis, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
I believe in Net Neutrality KEEP IT!!!

837. Stacy Tang, Irvine, CA, 92612
Please preserve net neutrality for the openness and freedom the internet has always promoted. The protection offered by Title II should NOT be removed. To reverse Title II would be detrimental to equal access and would result in silencing voices that cannot pay their way to be heard. Title II protects the fundamental open access the public has to the net and that protection should be preserved.

838. Roger Olson, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Preserve Net Neutrality by keeping in under Title 2. An ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure.

839. jami Levesque, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I'm strongly opposed to proceeding 17-108. I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality rules. ISP's have no right to control the internet. It must be kept a leveled playing field for everyone.

840. george singer, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Please leave the Internet regulations in place.

841. Brian Paredes, Irvine, CA, 92618
I support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please don't be a dingo.

842. Cris Cusac, Irvine, CA, 92618
Please leave the current net neutrality in place.

843. Dean Eberle, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I strongly support net neutrality and title 2's oversight of ISPs.

844. Dave Ochoa, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I support continuing to maintain strong legal and regulatory controls for ISP's under Title 2/ Title II. ISP's were moved from Title 1 to Title 2, specifically because they were caught on multiple occasions illegally using their utility to prevent consumers from accessing a free and open internet. This proposal is a
purposefully disingenuous attempt to grab a sound bite while harboring open contempt for the American people. The FCC chair will do well to remember that we the people have previous spoken out loudly against ISP's peddling their influence against a free and open internet, and we will continue to do so each time it is threatened. Attempts to change ISP's from Title 2 will be challenged at all avenues.

845. B Glavin, Irvine, CA, 92612
I respectfully request that the FCC not change the current Article II Net Neutrality rules. Keep Net Neutrality as it is now. No changes. Ajit Pai - capitalism is not called "free enterprise" for nothing. Free enterprise means a completely open marketplace - given large corporations the opportunity to profit from messing with the internet stifles new businesses, small businesses, which are the very entities you should seek to protect over larger corporations.

846. Robert Hawkins, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
I support Net Neutrality as it helps to protect the american consumer AND business. By rolling back this protection you are effectively taking away real choice. I consider such a decision to be contrary to american values and would be disappointed if the FCC proceeds in removing Net Neutrality.

847. Robert Hawkins, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
I support Net Neutrality since this benefits all consumers and treats all business equally. To remove this protection would be contrary to American values and would cause more harm to the internet in general. Please do not remove Net Neutrality.

848. Alexander Sadnik, Irvine, CA, 92618
I believe in true net neutrality and want the FCC to keep it's Title II classification for internet service providers. An attempt to reclassify as Title I would be terrible for consumers and our freedoms.

849. Kirill, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Do the right thing , preserve Net Neutrality.

850. Glenda Menges, Irvine, CA, 92620
I am writing to respectfully request that the FCC keep strong net neutrality rules in place that are backed by Title II. Thank you for keeping the internet open and fair for all to use on an equal basis.

851. Marissa Hartson, Irvine, CA, 92606
Hello, I'm writing to express my support for net neutrality and the continuation of a free and open internet. I specifically request that the FCC regulate internet providers under the title 2 regulations, in order to ensure that all internet contributors have an equal voice in producing content and promoting
themselves online. Please protect the current standard of net neutrality.

852. Sameer Vuyyuru, Irvine, CA, 92620
I am completely against this proposal. As a high tech executive it is my considered judgment that this ruling will significantly impact competition negatively and lead to less quality of service for consumers.

853. Garison Draper, Orange, CA, 92867
I want the FCC to protect internet access, like they did for telephone service in the 1980s. Please regulate Internet Service Providers as a Title II utility. When I have a single option for internet access at home, we need the government to ensure my single ISP option allows me to have access to all of the internet. Without that protection, nothing stops my ISP from blocking certain traffic it decides it does not want me to use. Please regulate ISPs as a utility.

854. Alex Bednar, Irvine, CA, 92618
It is absolutely critical that the FCC not move broadband access back into Title I. In order to preserve net neutrality, broadband access NEEDS TO STAY IN TITLE 2. This is the only way to protect the free internet. So for the love of God, keep it in Title II.

855. Josh Saylor, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I strongly support net neutrality. The FCC should ensure the internet remains equal for all users by enforcing regulations on ISPs. Leaving the future of the internet up to free markets and large corporations is not in the best interest of all US citizens.

856. Cathryn Greene-MacKenzie, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. Do Not roll back Obama-era laws that have protected open access to average citizens, like me.

857. Arthur Sager, Irvine, CA, 92612
I urge the FCC to preserve net neutrality and Title 2. It's important and it is the only fair thing to do.

858. christopher nguyen, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I support the ISP oversight given to the FCC within Title 1 II of the Communications Act of 1934

859. Michael Gleason, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
Do NOT reclassify ISPs

860. William A Hare OD PhD, Tustin, CA, 92780
I strongly object to any relaxation of the rules governing net neutrality. Internet
service providers should be regulated in a manner similar to the oversight of (other) public utilities.

861. Dustin Meyer, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Net Neutrality is very important. We need to keep internet providers from choosing what content we can or can't see, or what content gets' preference. This is vital to our country in this digital age.

862. Oswaldo Martinez, Irvine, CA, 92617
Please do remove net neutrality rules.

863. Kurt Irons, Irvine, CA, 92620
We vehemently support net neutrality and Title II classification of ISP's!

864. 17-108, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
I'm writing as a concerned citizen, requesting that you please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Thank you for your consideration.

865. Liane Newton, Anaheim, CA, 92808
I support net neutrality and oppose the dismantling of the protections currently in place. The consumer needs the FCC to protect THEIR interests, not those of broadband companies with monopolies (We are unable to access more than 1 provider) who seek to maximize profits at the expense of equal access. I urge you to keep the playing field level.

866. John McCormick, Irvine, CA, 92604
I support net neutrality and the classification of internet service providers under Title 2. The free and open internet is the greatest communications technology ever. Corporations are beholden to share holders and have a duty to maximize profit without consideration of what is best or right for customers. It could be argued that competition might prevent telecommunication companies (ISPs) from enacting "fast lanes for a fee", but there is already little competition for ISPs and if they decide that the profit opportunity outweighs the competition cost, they have no reason to maintain a free and open internet. Throughout history, corporations have proven again and again that they choose profit over doing what is right. The classification of ISPs as telecommunications companies did not have a chilling effect on investment, nor did it harm the bottom line of the ISPs. The idea against net neutrality is only supported by the ISPs; all other stakeholders in the internet, content providers, publishers, governments, application providers, consumers benefit from and support a free and open internet. Giving up net neutrality would prioritize the profits of a few companies over the rights of hundreds of millions of Americans. Do not classify ISPs under Title 1. Do not allow corporations to control the free and open internet.

867. Ryan Guerrero, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Dear FCC, I am deeply disturbed that the FCC is considering returning the Internet to a Title I. In the last decade it has been made abundantly clear, that we the people cannot entrust the self regulation to ISPS. Issues include the Broadcom likely throttling Netflix; AT&T Verizon & T-Mobile shutting down Google Wallet in favor of their own product. Additionally, I find the consideration highly suspect. Afterall Ajit Pai was a lawyer for Verizon, a company that sued the FCC so as to not comply with rules in place, and leading to the necessity of Tittle II classification if there is to be any meaningful oversight of the ISPS. To be clear, I am in favor of Net Neutrality. I am in favor of maintaining Tittle II Classification. And I am against nearly all policies that Ajit proposes. Thank you for your time in reading this. With Regards, Ryan

868. Tyler Garrison, Irvine, CA, 92618
   I am in full support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am fully opposed to Docket No. 17-108. The Internet should be a neutral space for all to utilize and enjoy. That's the only way we as a country maintain our identity as a free nation for the people by the people.

869. Megan Harrington, Irvine, CA, 92604
   I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. I am gravely concerned that FCC Chariman Pai is misleading the public on the impact of title 2 on infrastructure growth and is not willing to listen to the voice of the American people, whom the majority want strong net neutrality. Thank you.

870. Jay, Irvine, CA, 92618
   Clearly throttling internet use and providing more information and faster connection to the companies that pay for it is not in the interest of the general public. At its core, internet access simply provides information. I do not want the freedom of information to be in the hands of those who wish to censor or promote certain pieces of our collective body of information. This is an abusive and objectionable concept, and it will slow down technological and intellectual development. Thank You.

871. Restoring Internet Freedom, Irvine, CA, 92604
   Please keep the Internet neutral by law and not by the promises of ISPs. Keep ISPs under Title II. Do the right thing.

872. Gavin Cameron-Webb, Irvine, CA, 92617
   Preserve strong Title II rules for net neutrality. Do NOT weaken them by reclassifying to Title I

873. California, Irvine, CA, 92604
   I'd be down for net neutrality to still be a thing in 2018. thanks for your time
874. Darrell Shively, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I oppose the proposed changes to net neutrality. The current system is there for a reason. I am a software engineer that's worked in the Internet field for more than 20 years and know what I am talking about. The proposed changes will cause harm.

875. Mehmet Sencan, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
I support net neutrality and hence am against this new rule deregulating the ISPs.

876. Veronica, Irvine, CA, 92618
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. True internet neutrality is critical to allow the free exchange of ideas, information, and democratic ideals. Allowing internet service providers to throttle information is anathema to the values of the United States and a truly free market.

877. Ali Rajabian, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Net neutrality is a right. Do not take this away when America needs it most.

878. Stephen Kim, Orange, CA, 92867
ISPs have a history of self-interest that goes against open internet principles and that goes against the interests of their customers. They impose arbitrary data caps as a literal business decision, they cooperate with each other to avoid competition as telecom companies once did, and they intentionally throttle network performance against services they're attempting to negotiate new agreements with in order to gain leverage, affecting the end consumer. Please don't ruin the internet that's worked since its inception mostly undisturbed. An open and neutral internet is necessary for innovation and progress in today's world. You're a government regulator - don't bow down to special interest pressure, but act in the interest of your taxpayers and general citizenry.

879. Gary Rey, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
I believe in net neutrality and Title II. Going against this would be going against the public interest.

880. 1, Irvine, CA, 92620
I would like to request the FCC to leave our internet open and not give so much power to an already monopoly corporations.

881. Alexander Graniere, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
I strongly recommend that we keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

882. Net Neutrality, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
I am in support of strong Net Neutrality via title II oversight of ISP's.
883. Sean Emerson, Irvine, CA, 92614
Net Neutrality is critical to an open and free internet. No one should pick and choose which data is prioritized. This is extremely important since most Americans don't have much choice when it comes to which service provider they may use. Therefore, there is no competitive motivation for an ISP to regulate itself by listening to consumers. It is up to the FCC to ensure a level playing field for all involved.

884. Jamis Eichenauer, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Please keep Internet service providers honest and prevent them from slowing traffic to competitor content and applications. I support Net Neutrality. Please keep American internet open and keep Net Neutrality alive.

885. Phillip Swanson, Irvine, CA, 92612
I support the Title I and Title II of the Communications Act. I do not want them to be repealed. I support Net Neutrality, and I would be upset if it was removed.

886. Bill M, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Stop thinking about the lobbyists putting money in the politicians pockets and think about the American people who are constantly screwed over by their ISPs. I know my comment will do nothing since you are all a bunch of liars and thieves. I think we should share all of your browser history to teach you a lesson. I am quite sure it is disgusting.

887. Madeline Clark, Irvine, CA, 92617
I support strong net neutrality and title II oversight of ISPs. Don't take away our right to choose what we do on the internet and give power to large corporations like Verizon.

888. Cari Harris, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I strongly support net neutrality. Keep the internet open and fair. Ajit Pai you are 100% wrong on this.

889. Ellen McNamara, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
It is critical in my opinion that ISPs should be held under Title II regulations as these corporations are driven solely by profit. The argument by telecom and Cable companies that they will regulate themselves under Title I has been historically proven to be untrue - far from it. They have never "voluntarily" provided privacy and/or unlimited access to the internet and media and they have never lowered their prices, quite the opposite, they've created artificial pricing layers for consumer access. They profit on advertising sales and prevent/slow down competitors programming from being accessed by consumers. If ISPs are returned to Title I these corporations will destroy Net Neutrality in order to freely profit on the sale of consumers personal information, their internet, cable and phone usage. If left unchecked these
monopolies will grow even larger and more powerful. They will continue price fixing and prevent free enterprise or allow for new companies and new technology from ever being available to all consumers, unless it profits them. Not unlike Coal, Oil & Gas corporations have fought eco-friendly solar and wind industry. They do not have consumers best interest at heart, it is only about profit and literally "pay-per-view" on steroids.

890. Zachary Walls, Irvine, CA, 92604
Strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's

891. Kerney Tindle Jr, Orange, CA, 92867
Please do not change Net Neutrality from Title II to Title I. Contrary to all the hype and comments made by Mr. Pai, changing it to Title I will lead to abuses by the ISP's and our access to the internet should not be filtered or altered by anyone. Leave Net Neutrality alone and safely covered under Title II.

892. Long Tran, Orange, CA, 92675
Dear Ajit Pai, I heavily support, strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. The removal of this will have a negative impact on how consumers receive their content. In addition, this does not create a free enterprise for all other competitors but an imbalance to the highest bidder.

893. Marc Archambault, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92678
It is appalling to think the FCC would consider returning to title 1. This is outrageous and unfair and the FCC's reasoning behind this is sadly immoral and demonstrative of partisan politics, and also a conflict of interest.

894. Reesha Meacham, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Docket 17-108 is a farce and does not "Restore" internet freedom. I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs, to ensure the internet stays free.

895. Elizabeth Sifter, Orange, CA, 92867
I support strong Net Neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs. Please preserve the Title II oversight of ISPs.

896. Erin Foellmi, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I support Net Neutrality and Title 2 FCC regulations to protect Net Neutrality.

897. Alan Bell, Orange, CA, 92869
I wholeheartedly support net neutrality and maintaining the current title 2 classification for ISPs.

898. Jared Kaufman, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Please keep the internet truly free and competitive. While there appears to only
be attention paid to lobbyists for large corporations whom care more for bottom lines, stock value, and revenue, the great people that make America a shining example of freedom often go poorly represented. So, I am writing here to record my stance on proceedings 17-108, keep the internet a free and even playing field for every American and every American business person. Disregard what can only be seen as corporate greed and -political maneuvering. That of which rarely represents the true best interests of Americans.

899. Robert Nicoll, Irvine, CA, 92603
STOP!! Preserve net neutrality, the highest bid should not own our public spaced.

900. John Tortarolo, Irvine, CA, 92604
Mr. Chairman, please do not modify the net neutrality orders issued by President Obama. Leave net neutrality governed by Title 2!

901. Daniel Dragovcic, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. I request that Chairman Pai reconsiders his current stance on removing the guidelines set in place by former Chairman Tom Wheeler.

902. David Schulz, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Preserve Net Neutrality. Uphold the current FCC rules which prohibit companies from slowing down and/or speeding up certain websites or apps. Making current standards "voluntary" undermines net neutrality.

903. Russell De La Mare, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Just to be clear, I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs

904. Jeanne Alexander, Irvine, CA, 92604
I wholeheartedly without reservation support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight if ISPs.

905. Kevin Chard, Irvine, CA, 92606
FCC Chairman Ajit Pai's move to get rid of the tough internet neutrality over site is just another Republican effort to give more power to corporations and make it easy for them to block certain websites, slow broadband speeds and then charge more for faster delivery of streaming services, etc. Also it is just another blatant effort to dismantle any important initiatives made by former President Obama. As two homebound adults with serious disabilities we use the Internet on a daily basis to keep us engaged in all it's infinite uses. THE FCC NEEDS TO LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE! Thank you for your time. Sincerely, Kevin Chard and Robert Ferman
906. Luke Armstrong, Tustin, CA, 92780
You have a responsibility to uphold neutral access to the internet for everyone. It should be reclassified as a public utility based on the total number of people who are affected by it's existence.

907. Nickolas Gates, Irvine, CA, 92603
I support strong net neutrality and would be very disappointed if the Title 2 oversight of ISPs was stopped. It can cause serious corruption in the content we are able to view which is fundamentally un-American.

908. Ken Pfeifer, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Net Neutrality is important, keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II for all of our sake.

909. Alexander Webster, Irvine, CA, 92620
Net Neutrality is anti-consumer. Our data should be treated equal.

910. Anna Hasse, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
I support net neutrality and the Title II classification for internet service providers.

911. Jay Johnson Jr, Anaheim Hills, CA, 92807
as someone who depends on a free and open internet for my work along with my free time, any disruption of that will severely impact my access to being able to positively impact the society i am a part of. please keep the internet free

912. Ken Pfeifer, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Net Neutrality is important, keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II for all of our sakes.

913. Shelby Kinney, Irvine, CA, 92617
Hi, I'm writing to tell you I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please stop trying to change this.Shelby

914. Pejman malaz, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I specifically and support strong net neutrality backed by title 2

915. Emil Co, Irvine, CA, 92618
I support Net Neutrality the way it currently is, backed by Title II laws. The internet has grown several billion-dollar companies (Google/Youtube, Facebook, Amazon,) that has made America the leader in technology in the world. This has happened through the free market of ideas (companies & personalities rise and fall based on their own merits) not through the monopoly of ISPs to choose which ones should and shouldn't succeed.
916. Allen Nguyen, Irvine, CA, 92602
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by title 2 because all american deserve unbiased access to their content and people that provide it should not be burdened with their ISP tacking and modifying their output

917. Linda Claire, Irvine, CA, 92606
Please DO NOT change the rules for net neutrality. It's very important that you RETAIN TITLE II so internet companies can't play games with us, their consumer customers. Everyone should have free and equal access to the internet without service companies favoring any provider or blocking or slowing down access by any customers. It's bad enough Trump is wiping away all of the environmental protections, so please don't allow this administration to ruin internet access too.

918. Jerome Christensen, Irvine, CA, 92617
I object to any changes that would diminish regulation of the internet. The ISPs should be subject to regulation under Title II of the communications act, NOT Title one. Cable companies should not be trusted to regulate themselves. Communication corporations are motivated by the profit motive, not by the public interest. We have an embarrassingly slow broadband speed in comparison to European nations because of their refusal to invest even under the current system. Relieving them of fair-minded regulation in the public interest is the only way to advance technological change, improve internet speed and increase availability.

919. Roy Tu, Irvine, CA, 92618
The current Net Neutrality legislation is a strong protection in support of the consumer. It has not affected the return on investments currently supported by ISP providers in an already relatively monopolized industry.

920. Aleta.Bowers, Tustin, CA, 92780
Please !!! Vote for a Strong Net Neutrality

921. Alexandra Marr, Irvine, CA, 92617
I stand behind stronger net neutrality and keeping the internet as title 2

922. Wyeth Gibson, Irvine, CA, 92614
This is letter expressing my desire to keep the net neutrality laws to be kept under the Title 2 administration. We can not trust companies to keep something so precious to democracy such as the free easy access to information away from monetary pressures.

923. Alexei, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Dear FCC and Mr. Pai, Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. It's dope. Thank you.
924. Mark Azzarito, Irvine, CA, 92614
As a small business that operates solely online net neutrality is very important to us. We feel that if providers like AT&T, Verizon, Comcast, and others are aloud to penalize/charge for certain bandwidth usage they will eventually if not immediately begin to charge for additional bandwidth effectively penalizing those smaller businesses who don't or can't pay. The internet is a somewhat level playing field now, take away net neutrality and that could change.

925. Caroline Cruz, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
The repeal of the laws and regulations, which serve to protect Net Neutrality, is appallingly indefensible. The current Title II classification allows strong, legal, enforceable oversight of ISPs and disallows the obstruction or restriction of internet access. Because these ISP internal business decisions for said restriction would be based on whatever the publicly-traded (and therefore betrothed to shareholder profits above all else) deems as an acceptable reason, the situation created is rife with potentiality for abuse. Corporations are "people", until it's time to do jail time for abuse of power or resources, which, let's face it, is an eventuality. Don't give them the opportunity. As Francis Shammo, CEO of Verizon himself stated, the Title II classification does NOT affect the way Verizon invests in upgrading and maintaining their network. Verizon collects money from it's subscribers in the form of monthly service dues. This added benefit of targeted ad sales etc, is not a valid reason when held up in that light. Just because ISPs can't do targeted ads etc, does not mean that these UTILITY PROVIDERS should get to change the rules just because the current POTUS Administration had the foresight to bring Ajit Pai into the fold. Don't let double speak and technicalities be the reason such obvious concerted efforts by industry insiders and a POTUS who won't release Tax Returns be the reason the FCC allows damages to befall the American citizenry. Stand up. Be brave. Some may lose their government positions due to blow-back like Sally Yates, but at least she is on the right side of history. What will you tell YOUR grandchildren?

926. Larry Davis, Orange, CA, 92867
Preserve the internet keep Title II keep it open and not controlled

927. erik johnson, rancho santa margarita, CA, 92688
Americans and voters support net neutrality.

928. William Weiler, ANAHEIM, CA, 92807
A content neutral internet is one of the most fundamental forces of freedom in the world. Moving forward, if corporations are given the power to affect what content is seen, they are empowered to govern what people get to believe. This is the most reckless affront liberty in human history.
929. Jared Pettyjohn, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
I strongly support strong net neutrality, backed by title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. I believe Net Neutrality is crucial because its removal would handicap progression and development of internet based companies and the throttling of certain online services/websites is a real possibility if removed.

930. christian, lake forest, CA, 92630
You are fucking disgusting pigs to try and remove net neutrality. I hope you get beheaded by isis you monopoly cunts. I wish you all a slow and painful death right after you watch all you precious money burn in front of you, you piece of shit scum bags.

931. Braven Carver, mission viejo, CA, 92692
Keep the internet neutral please. Keep Title ii classification and respect the interests of the consumer.

932. Miguel Martin, Tustin, CA, 92782
Removing net neutrality will open up ISP's to drive traffic to "approved" or "preferred" sites by limiting the same speed of access to non approved or preferred companies. This will lead to companies essentially losing business due to this choosing based on this potential change...and that's picking winners, not allowing users to do so. That's not what the GOP stands for...free market and all that.

933. Gordon Alexander, Irvine, CA, 92604
It is vitally important that net neutrality be maintained under Title II. Go FCC Go!

934. Albert J. Milo, Anaheim, CA, 92807
As a librarian, I strongly support the existing Title II oversight of Net Neutrality protections. Do not go along with Ajit Pai's recommendations to do away with Net Neutrality. I do not trust the words of a former Verizon employer.

935. Jordan Carter, Irvine, CA, 92614
I support strong regulatory oversight based on the enforcement of Title II. Please do not go through with these changes. Also Ajit Pai's coffee mug is tacky and seems like it could be found on the discount aisle at Walmart. This might seem irrelevant, however, I feel it is important to say because just because you have a large coffee mug does not necessarily qualify you as relatable to the general public.

936. Manar Totonji, Irvine, CA, 92604
It is absolutely repulsive that the FCC is even considering getting rid of net neutrality and title 2. I want to be absolutely clear. I am OPPOSED to the idea of getting rid of title 2. I demand that you protect our rights to equal access to
content on the internet. The internet does not belong to anyone or any corporation.

937. Benjamin Burde, Irvine, CA, 92604
Internet access should remain free and fair under Title II. ISP's should never be allowed to decide which websites should be restricted or slowed. Keep Net Neutrality.

938. Melda Guvenc, Irvine, CA, 92604
PAI- I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Do not make it easier for another institutional marketplace to be monopolized.

939. Anthony DiBiase, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Please preserve Title 2. And please dismiss Ajit Pai.

940. Logan Haynes, Irvine, CA, 92612
I stand in support strong net neutrality with title 2 protections. I cannot imagine how corrupt one must be to believe that protecting net neutrality is anti business. Net neutrality keeps everyone on a level playing field. The problem is not hypothetical. ISP's already started to shake down Netflix and with more and more streaming services coming online because cable companies themselves are monopolies this will only get worse. Don't be corrupt, support an open and free internet protected by the weight and might of the FCC. God knows that congress won't.

941. andrew hilleary, Irvine, CA, 92620
I am for keeping the internet under title 2. I believe the chairman of the FCC does not have the public at heart

942. Aldrich To, Irvine, CA, 92612
Ajit Pai, I implore you to please maintain the net neutrality supported by Title II oversight of internet service providers

943. Jacob Ritz, Irvine, CA, 92617
The net has become a powerful tool to gain knowledge and advantage over others. The playing field must be equal given how powerful it is.

944. Jay Mack, Costa mesa, CA, 92630
Keep title II. Freedom of information is at stake.

945. Joshua Weiss, North Tustin, CA, 92705
I believe that ISPs should continue to be regulated under Title II so that there is a strong enforcement mechanism for the FCC to fulfill it's role as the guardian and watchdog of the American people. A free and open internet, with equal access for all and no commercially-motivated fast lanes, is essential for the free
discourse of ideas, which in turn is the backbone of American democracy. Please do not let corporate greed get in the way of the most important of our American values - the free flow of information and unfettered discourse

946. Sheldon Neal, Irvine, CA, 92604
   In order to maintain net neutrality, I feel it is crucial to keep the Title II designation.

947. Steven Cocks, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
   Keep strong net neutrality as it is backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

948. Jim Para, Anaheim, CA, 92807
   Keep net neutrality rules the same. Do not change them.

949. Robert Harrison, Irvine, CA, 92604
   I believe in net neutrality, you must keep a strong net neutrality web, backed by title 2, so that the net promotes the growth of all persons that use it fairly and honestly. Any other way crushes growth of the world wide web and prevents a proper and fair system for all. A true united process.

950. Matthew Fawcett, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
   I urge you to protect Net Neutrality. As a game developer my livelihood would be negatively affected by allowing service providers to de-prioritize traffic of games that I create in favor of games that are able to pay for better speeds. As a consumer I value my ability to stream video from any source I desire, just as I can watch any over the air broadcast. Please protect Net Neutrality and keep the playing field fair and level for all.

951. Steven Burkhart, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
   I would like to express my opinion that net neutrality should remain under Article II guidelines and believe the laws governing this freedom should be preserved.

952. Max Oppedahl, Irvine, CA, 92606
   I am for net neutrality. Do not remove it.

953. Alexis Adams, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
   Please continue to protect Internet Neutrality under Title II

954. Steven, Irvine, CA, 92606
   You must maintain net neutrality!!!

955. Eileen Stidham, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
   Net neutrality is vital to the american dream.
956. Philip Kim, Irvine, CA, 92620
I am for strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. The current suggestions from the FCC chair that this is protection against behaviors that were not occurring does not mean that we should not be protected against the possibility of unfair internet practices.

957. Mike Bonchonsky, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Please preserve the Internet neutrality laws in place. Allow chaos to exist and find its way. Please ensure that no laws abridge our freedom of speech. Taking away internet neutrality would be like taking away the microphone.

958. Raul, Tustin, CA, 92780
I strongly support net neutrality back by Title II oversight of ISP.

959. Christopher Taylor, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I am pro-Net Neutrality. It is vital to my business that broadband services remain a Title II common carrier.

960. Davina, Tempe, CA, 92602
Isps should not be able to prioritize internet speeds. Please listen to john Oliver.

961. Chad Koenig, Irvine, CA, 92606
We want Net neutrality protected by Title II

962. Jefferson Denim, Orange, CA, 92869
Please please PLEASE preserve net neutrality and TITLE 2!!

963. Mark Bartosik, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I believe the FCC should preserve net neutrality rules under Title II. The premise that there haven't been any instances of ISPs treating different types of network traffic differently is both false and lacking evidence. There's been many press releases, advertisements, and statements, which have been followed through on. The very notion that there is true competition is unfortunately ludicrous. Local municipalities give local monopolies to ISPs. There is no real choice when it comes to broadband. In every area I have resided in, if you wanted a particular service, there is usually just one choice, not several. Blame cannot be placed solely on companies and corporations for this is the nature of their business; to make profit as long as it's legally achieved. However, it is the responsibility of the government to use proper resources such as taxes provided by its citizens to protect them from the greed of companies and corporations as well as maintain consumer rights. The internet has long since passed being a convenience or commodity but a utility that is required in our daily lives and modern society to function. It should be and remain free and open.

964. Brendan McGarrity, Tustin, CA, 92782
I agree in certain aspects that the internet should not be regulated. I, too, loved the age of the early internet and how everyone fell in line to do the right thing. Unlike the early 2000's, daily life is intertwined with online activity and is so much so that there is a running joke about how long you can stay away from it. The fact is, life and internet is more complicated than it was before and we need a proverbial teacher to look after us during recess. Sure, if the teacher is not there, everyone will play nice for a while, but some will try to "get away with something" once they realize the teacher isn't there to regulate. Repealing the Obama era regulations and removing it from Title II takes our teacher away. Sooner or later someone will get enough moxie and take things a little bit too far. After all, it's easier to ask for forgiveness than it is to ask for permission. What's the harm in trying if there are no consequences?

965. Sarah Pieper, Irvine, CA, 92604
I support the strong regulation of ISPs under Title II of the Communications act of 1934 to ensure Net Neutrality

966. Peter Tieu, Irvine, CA, 92617
I support continued strong regulation of internet service providers under Title 2 rather than under Title 1. I support the idea of net neutrality as enacted in 2015. Will you be a neutral party making sure corporations are not able to manipulate the customers' experience or will you be a disappointment and give in to corporate pressure?

967. john, tustin, CA, 92782
You can not trust the ISPs to police themselves. The Net should not be control by a hand full of provider. Title 2 is the pulpit.

968. Judy Redburn, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
Net neutrality must not be compromised! I want it to remain under Title II oversight.

969. Jason Petrasich, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Title II enforcement of net neutrality is critically important in protecting small businesses. I'm a small business owner, and if my large national competitors were allowed to "buy down" access to my products online by throwing advertising dollars to the ISPs in exchange for "promoting" their products with premium content delivery, it could significantly hurt my sales. I have employees whose livelihood depends on the success of our business. Weakening the governance of net neutrality would harm many small US businesses

970. Jeff Smith, Irvine, CA, 92618
I strongly support net neutrality that is governed by Title II.

971. Stacey Redburn, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
Net neutrality must not be compromised. I strongly believe that internet access continue to be controlled by Title II.

972. Margarethe Smith, Santa ana, CA, 92705
Preserve net neutrality and Title I. Do not allow our new president and his uber rich cronies to continue to make even more money by dismantling protections put in place to prevent such. What happened to all of "the promises" to look out for the little guy??

973. Emily Renner Rhodarmer, Irvine, CA, 92614
As a high school economics teacher, it would make it so much easier to inspire students to be active citizens if they saw the FCC support the ideology this country was founded on - Equality for all and the freedom of speech. Please protect net neutrality and give me something I'll be proud to teach to our next generation.

974. maxwell mann, Irvine, CA, 92618
The internet is freedom of speech in its purest form. It can be vile and terrible and also incredibly inspiring and uplifting all at the same time. Regulating it would be worse than regulating the spoken word.

975. Cory Nelson, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Title II is the only way to truly guarantee Net Neutrality. Do not make us rely on ISPs to regulate themselves. History has shown us that this will fail and companies will act in their own best interests at the expense of customers.

976. David Gollom, RCHO STA MARG, CA, 92688
We need to keep strong enforcement of Internet neutrality by keeping Internet service providers regulated under Title II.

977. Christopher Dean Wilcox, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Please preserve net neutrality, including regulation of ISPs under Title 2. Failing to protect the freedom of the internet will harm American citizens and do irreparable harm to the prestige and competitiveness of the US.

978. Heather, Irvine, CA, 92617
The internet needs to be free and open! That was the whole point of its inception. Even shit heads that work for big Corp would benefit from the security of Title 2 even if it means they give up a few million on top of their multi-million dollar salaries. I support an open Internet under title 2!

979. andrew snider, Tustin, CA, 92780
Net neutrality is of highest importance especially as more and more of our personal and professional lives are spent online. To keep this freedom and ensuring it is guarded against giant monopolies whose only interest is corporate
profit should issue 1 with the FCC. Please stand by your oath of office and ensure the current administration does continue to erode our freedoms.

980. Kurt Nelson, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Please preserve net neutrality and title II. It is important to guarantee equity in access to information.

981. Robert Kester, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
I support Title II as a means of thoughtful oversight that protects our fundamental values of universal service and consumer protection when accessing broadband. Preserve Title II and net neutrality!

982. eric bachman, Irvine, CA, 92620
Im for net nuetrality and title 2

983. alvin dong, irvine, CA, 92620
net neutrality should remain under Title 2.

984. Matt Burnett, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Please uphold net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

985. Ladelyn Boonlua, Irvine, CA, 92612
I oppose this. I want to keep strong net neutrality regulations.

986. weston, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
We need to preserve net neutrality. Do not revoke the Title II status of ISPs, this is a clear move that the FCC serves corporate interests over the public interest.

987. Jenny Tompkins, Irvine, CA, 92618
I support Title II and net neutrality!!! Preserve net neutrality please.

988. Mihyun Kim, Irvine, CA, 92617
I am very upset that the government is taking my right to choose how I use internet. I strongly urge the FCC to reclassify ISP back to type II. Net Neutrality is very important for everyone to access any information equally.

989. sahar, Anaheim Hillls, CA, 92808
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND KEEP IT UNDER TITLE II

990. Scott Swezey, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Protect net neutrality. Do not reclassify ISPs again.

991. Ani Amirova, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Net neutrality must be enforced under Title II if we are ever to have a free internet. Title II should not be changed. Additionally, a series of fake comments
have been posted under nonexistent addresses and names and must be filtered out. These comments all start with "The unprecedented regulatory power the Obama Administration seeks over the Internet".

992. Johnathon Dodson, Irvine, CA, 92620
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. Do not allow ISPs to create internet fast lanes and decide what content I get. Keep the internet free.

993. Fredy Orellana, Irvine, CA, 92620
I support net neutrality. Please protect it, along with title 2 classification.

994. Brittany Destree, Orange, CA, 92869
I support strong net neutrality. Please follow what the people want. Preserve net neutrality and title 2.

995. Chris LoDuca, Irvine, CA, 92614
Please keep net neutrality the law of the land. It's important that all ISPs are fair.

996. Jennifer Maffre, Orange, CA, 92869
You need to preserve net neutrality under Title II and keep advertisers away from deciding how the internet should work!

997. Vincent P, Orange, CA, 92867
I strongly support preserving and maintaining net neutrality and Title II oversight over ISPs!!

998. Willard Ross Pierce, Irvine, CA, 92603
Uphold Net Neutrality. Do not let corporate money grabbing choke / buy access to the internet. ISP's can charge for access...but they need to charge EQUALLY to each user. I do not trust ISP's to self regulate and play fair.

999. Sean Noonan, Irvine, CA, 92606
I support net neutrality. All content should be treated equally.

1000. Phillip Nhan, Tustin, CA, 92780
To Mr. Ajit Pai, I am an independent voter from orange county and I specifically support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. Hypothetically speaking or not, rolling by rules that maintain net neutrality can only leave the door open for ISPs to abuse and extort other competitors, and ultimately pass on costs burdens to the us customers. Bottom line, without the oversight laid forth by Title II the customers will suffer. Please take this into consideration prior to removing any regulations. Thank you.

1001. rea kowalski, Irvine, CA, 92618
Preserve existing net neutrality laws and title two. And a big NO to infamous Reeses Pieces mugs.

1002. Douglas Kerr, Irvine, CA, 92614
I want the FCC to keep net neutrality. Don't allow big corporations to control my internet watching.

1003. Brian S, Irvine, CA, 92618
In a world where the internet is becoming increasingly important, a strong set of net neutrality rules is necessary for innovation and fairness.

1004. Michelle Thompson, Costa Mesa, CA, 92782
Net neutrality is very important to me. I want the Internet to stay neutral. I would like net companies to stay classified as title 2.

1005. Caithness Rodriguez, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Strong de-regulation doesn't just mean for industry. Rights of citizens should be the first priority in any policy. Industry should not be able to regulate citizens. I support strong net neutrality and do not want the current status changed. I am so angry that this is even a possibility. How dare you mess with my freedom!

1006. Patrick Reider, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
It is critical for the protection of consumers that net neutrality continue to be subject to Title II. Corporations cannot be trusted to voluntarily refrain from throttling transmissions from competing entities.

1007. Barbara Gleason, Irvine, CA, 92614
Do not take away net neutrality. The FCC chair, as past counsel to Verizon, wants to support his old employer. Just say no to allow ISPs to require payments for what I want to see.

1008. Vanessa Gonzalez, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I support net neutrality! Please preserve net neutrality and title II. Yes, John Oliver sent me here because he actually cares about keeping people informed issues that will greatly impact them.

1009. Yuchen Fan, Irvine, CA, 92603
I strongly support net neutrality and believe that it is an essential freedom.

1010. Alexander Zechiel, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I believe that the internet should remain open and free, and that broadband service should remain regulated under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 and Section 706 of the Telecommunications act of 1996. The internet is the last bastion of truly free speech we have in our day and age, and everyone's voices have the right to be heard.
1011. Jennefer Russo, Orange, CA, 92869
The regulation of ISPs is critically important to many Americans. I support net neutrality. We value free and open speech in this country and eliminating regulations threatens it.

1012. Mike Stern, Orange, CA, 92869
I strongly support net neutrality and like millions of other patriotic Americans reject the craven and myopic attempts by the telecom industry to jeopardize our essential modern check against tyranny.

1013. David DeVault, Irvine, CA, 92612
I support the strong protection of net neutrality based on Title II treatment for ISPs. I have a PhD in computer science, I am a computer science professor, and I am an entrepreneur that relies on net neutrality in his start-up. It is incredibly important that all net traffic be treated equally, and enforcing this principle is a valuable role played by the FCC.

1014. Aimee Pierce, Irvine, CA, 92612
As a citizen of the United States of America and a user of the free and open internet for work and amusement, I am strongly in favor of keeping Net Neutrality rules exactly as is with no changes, and keeping internet service providers regulated under Title 2. This is required under Amendment 1 of the Bill or Rights: "Freedom of speech and freedom of the press."

1015. Jonathan Stevens, Irvine, CA, 92612
I strongly urge the FCC and chairman Ajit Pai to protect net neutrality by maintaining the current classification of internet service providers (ISPs) as telecommunication services regulated under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

1016. Erica Matthews, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
No changes are needed to current net neutrality rules. Please do not do change the internet back into an unfair playing field.

1017. Amy Truong, Irvine, CA, 92612
I support net neutrality and wish the FCC to enforce stronger regulations under Title II of the Communications Act. John Oliver brought me here. Also, fuck Trump. And fuck Ajit whatever his name is, the chairman of the FCC. I want Tom Wheeler back. He was a dingo, but at least I can trust him.

1018. Mehrdad G Rad, Irvine, CA, 92618
Preserve the neutrality and Title II

1019. Hugo Rico Jr., Orange, CA, 92867
Rescinding the Net Neutrality rules from Title II oversight into some sort of
loose agreement is a terrible idea. As a former Verizon attorney FCC Chairman Ajit Pai is in not a position to oversee an impartial review of the rules that govern Net Neutrality or a change that would jeopardize the publics' unfettered access to websites of their choice. Please do not approve any change in the current Net Neutrality rules.

1020. Tony Fossati, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Title 2 protections of the internet are ESSENTIAL. Do not bow to the corporations and sell out the people who you serve. And while you're at it, Ajit Pai should crawl back under the rock from which he emerged - and by that I mean corporate hacks should not be given power over public resources. SHAME!

1021. Eideen Eman, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Hello! I am here to voice my ardent support for strong net neutrality backed by Title II. Net neutrality is what prevents established players from muscling out new entrepreneurs. To attack it is anti-consumer, anti-small business, anti-jobs, and anti-capitalism.

1022. Jeff chan, Irvine, CA, 92602
I strongly support net neutrality and don't get rid of Title II

1023. Andrew Hill, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I believe that reducing the current regulation around net neutrality will make it extremely difficult for new internet businesses to compete and easier for large businesses to stifle competition which ultimately will harm consumers and confidence in the platform. Network providers should not be able to prioritize traffic based on anything other than consumer demand.

1024. Megan Back, Mision Forest, CA, 92691
Every action produces an opposite and equal reaction. Please do not sell out people for corporate greed! It will not be met with an accommodating public. Kind regards, Em

1025. Aziz Uysal, Irvine, CA, 92620
I want you to preserve Title II classification for all ISPs, support net neutrality, and take strong precautions to prevent corporations from abusing their power over the services they provide to the public.

1026. Kallie Parsons, Irvine, CA, 92620
I strongly and wholeheartedly support net neutrality and the categorization of ISP's under Title II.

1027. tuan tran, IRVINE, CA, 92620
Keep net neutrality alive. The government has to keep ISPs honest because
otherwise the profit motive will kill the equal and fair treatment of data. The internet should be provided like a utility. Simple and affordable access for all.

1028. Lisa Dooley, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
   It is very important to me that strong net neutrality is protected! Please maintain the strong Title 2 rules in place.

1029. Paul Kilgo, Irvine, CA, 92620
   For years, the public Internet has been a best-effort delivery service. While in the early days it flourished under little-to-no regulation, today there is huge commercial value in the Internet and lots of incentive for carriers to not do what is best for Internet consumers. Little regulation may have worked in the early days, but it does not scale. There are too many opportunities for carriers to do the wrong thing, and we need a government agency that looks after the interests of the Internet consumer.

1030. Ryan Kean, Orange, CA, 92869
   I support net neutrality and I support title 2 categorization of ISPs

1031. James Porter, Tustin, CA, 92780
   I strongly urge the FCC to keep net neutrality rules in place backed by Title II.

1032. Sherman Ohms, Irvine, CA, 92618
   I strongly support net neutrality for all traffic on the Internet, and I am in favor of ISPs remaining under Title II regulations. A free and open Internet needs to be not only supported, but actively protected and ensured with proper rules and regulations set in place by a non-partisan, third-party regulatory body. It is not only dangerous, but irresponsible to allow corporations to both provide a service, and set the terms of that service without any oversight. Please keep ISPs under Title II status and help the Internet remain a freer and fairer place for all of us in the future. Thank you.

1033. Alexander Walker, Irvine, CA, 92620
   Net neutrality is important to a free and open society. I believe it is probable that income inequality and the education gap that has opened in our society will widen to a point that will fracture our country's prosperity. Your proposed changes will not end well for the 99%.

1034. James Tucker, Irvine, CA, 92612
   I support title 2 oversight of internet service providers and strong legal protections for net neutrality.

1035. RJ Gomez, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
   I would like that the FCC preserve net neutrality and Title II.
1036. Ezekiel Hall, Irvine, CA, 92618
I believe the internet should be treated like a utility and regulated such that ISPs cannot differentiate between data sources and regulate speeds. If I pay for internet, I want access to all sites at the full speed I pay for. Don't allow them to slow down some sites and speed up others. Many places have little to no choice for ISPs. Please leave ISPs under title II. Furthermore, I don't want my ISP collecting my browsing data to sell to marketers. Thank you for your time!

1037. evan duran, irvine, CA, 92604
I as a us citizen and as a internet consumer am strongly in favor of the preservation of net neutrality under title two of the communications act of 1934

1038. Alwyn Durhuam, Tustin, CA, 92780
We NEED to preserve net neutrality. Do you really want your ISP being able to dictate the content you watch? This impinges on everyone's freedom. If I want to spend my evening playing a video game, I don't want my traffic restricted just because the ISP can make more money if I were to choose to stream, say, Netflix instead. What I do with my time is none of anyone else's business, and I expect to have the full amount of bandwidth that I'm paying for. Especially considering that in most areas one ISP has a monopoly so there is NO freedom of choice or ability to use a different ISP if the one I'm on starts screwing with my traffic. ISPs should be treated like telephone companies or other utilities. The internet is a required service-- I work from home with some frequency and can literally not do my job without this essential service.

1039. david Rogers, Irvine, CA, 92620
Net neutrality is vital to the small business economy, and must be maintained. If net neutrality were weakened in any way, we'd see large corporations muscle out competition and form monopolies by controlling the flow of information. Net neutrality is something I'll use my vote to protect.

1040. David Rosenthal, Orange, CA, 92869
I believe not neutrality is necessary. Please keep the laws under title II so that regulations remain more strict for the ISPs.

1041. Don Geller, Irvine, CA, 92603
It is extremely important to keep the ISPs in check by keeping the Net Neutrality laws that are currently supported by Title II. Any relaxation in these laws will not be in the public's interest.

1042. Josh Serebryannik, Irvine, CA, 92603
I support net neutrality and Title 2, don't destroy them.

1043. comment on 17-108, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Internet service providers (ISPs) should give consumers access to all legal
content and applications on an equal basis, without favoring some sources or blocking others. Keep enforcing under Title 2

1044. Caroline Edwards, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I specifically support a strong net neutrality supported by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

1045. Tom Chow, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Internet neutrality should be left alone. Millions of citizens with the lack of money cannot afford to pay an extra premium to access content.

1046. David Jon, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Net neutrality is the means for every internet user to freely access any content or application without restrictions. Once we pay for the data through our respective ISP, we should have the freedom to access anything on the internet and at the same speed.

1047. Matthew Smith, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I would like to express my strong support that the FCC maintain the Obama-era regulations protecting net neutrality, upholding the current classification of the Internet under Title II.

1048. Zahra Mirnajafizadeh, Irvine, CA, 92604
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title 2! I'm deeply disturbed by the prospect that our Net neutrality is at risk!

1049. Robert Henretta, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
I support the current legal framework for ISP rules and want you to keep ISPs managed under Title 2 of the Telecommunications Act.

1050. Nilesh Patel, Tustin, CA, 92782
ISP's need to remain under Article 2. Do NOT mess with net neutrality.

1051. Michael Johnson, Irvine, CA, 92612
Please do NOT kill net neutrality. The ISPs must remain governed by Title II of telecommunications act. We cannot have ISPs choosing which websites win or lose by having the ability to slow down or speed up access to those sites based upon what is in the best interest of the ISP.

1052. Morgan Goldstein, Orange, CA, 92867
Net neutrality rules/laws under Title II can not be eliminated (I'm looking at you, Ajit Pai) unless you want a ton of pissed off people and a complete sweep of NEUTRAL INTERNET USAGE. These rules allow for a fair and open internet and eliminating them and suggesting that ISPs just agree that they will abide by net neutrality in their terms & conditions is ridiculous and you all
know that. The internet - and who can view content on it - is not under the ISPs' authority to govern. STOP AJIT PAI FROM BEING A COMPLETE ASSHOLE. DO NOT ELIMINATE NET NEUTRALITY RULES UNDER TITLE II.

1053. William Sean Ohlinger, Irvine, CA, 92612
Net Neutrality is one of the most important issues of our time. I strongly support Net Neutrality with oversight backed by Title II for Internet Service Providers. This is such an obviously appropriate approach to ensure that all ISPs play by the rules and don't disadvantage content from competing entities, that I find it unbelievable the FCC would even consider anything BUT this Title II oversight. We certainly can't expect ISPs to police themselves, the notion that they would is laughable. Do the right thing for the American people, maintain the highest level of regulatory oversight possible.

1054. matthew chai, Mission viejo, CA, 92692
KEEP TITLE 2 IN PLACE WE CANNOT GIVE ISPS MORE POWERThis is clearly anti consumer and business it would hurt Netflix,Facebook, YouTube and a lot of other companies that depend on the internet,that are part of the internet

1055. Victor Chavez, Tustin, CA, 92782
The internet should remain open. Keep net neutrality!

1056. Doug Davidson, Irivne, CA, 92603
I support the net neutrality rules that are currently in place. Do not change them.

1057. Ben Friday, Irvine, CA, 92606
I support net neutrality and keeping ISPs filed under Title II.

1058. Hannah Johnston, Tustin, CA, 92780
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs and do not want any changes made to ISP oversight. This will enable corporate stifling of free speech online and is a step towards a fascist dictatorship.

1059. Ann P Maclean, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I support strong internet neutrality rules supported by Title II regulations.

1060. el modena, Orange, CA, 92869
Stop making it harder for start up websites

1061. Jeff Walker, Tustin, CA, 92780
I disagree with the ruling to dismantle net-neutrality and allowing providers to prioritize content. I strongly suggest you rethink the ruling and keep the protections in place.
1062. Duran Potter, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
   I support a strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs, to keep the USA from slipping behind the rest of the world.

1063. Thomas G Williams, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
   Internet neutrality is of the utmost importance and must not be meddled with. Leave the Title 2 protection in place.

1064. Otto, Irvine, CA, 92618
   Support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight by ISPs

1065. Michael Smith, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
   Please keep the net neutrality laws as they are. What happened to looking out for the "little guy"? Since the prez runs as a populist he better know that people are paying attention to things like this. Stop catering to big business and Wall Street. I ALWAYS vote.

1066. Kelly Bustany, Anaheim Hills, CA, 92808
   PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY and TITLE 2! Do the right thing.

1067. Katherine Arguijo-Flaiz, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
   I strongly urge the FCC to maintain strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I am against lax rules that rely on companies to voluntarily comply with net neutrality. I am against relaxing net neutrality rules in any way. Again, I strongly urge the FCC to keep strong the net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

1068. Chris Flaiz, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
   I urge the FCC to maintain strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I am against rules that rely on companies to voluntarily comply with net neutrality. I am against relaxing net neutrality rules in any way. I urge the FCC to keep strong the net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

1069. Vincent Ledesma, Tustin, CA, 92782
   Net Neutrality must be preserved by keeping internet service classified under Title II

1070. David Wych, Irvine, CA, 92617
   I would like to express my support for Net Neutrality, and express that I do not agree with measures to allow internet service providers to monetize my personal data and browsing habits, and I do not agree with measures to allow internet service providers to selectively throttle bandwidth to certain websites over others. I would like the internet, and its providers, to remain bandwidth neutral and for my personal data and browsing history to be my own, as my telephone communications are.
1071. Steven Martinez, Irvine, CA, 92618
I am Opposed to Docket No. 17-108, and in favor of strong Net Neutrality. It's crazy that this is even a topic for discussion. A free and open internet is necessary for the world to prosper.

1072. Kirk Anderson, Irvine, CA, 92620
I strongly support net neutrality and title 2. We need to protect the internet for everyone. WE NEED NET NEUTRALITY.

1073. Joslyn Fritz, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
Stop the madness.

1074. Nikka Anderson, Irvine, CA, 92620
I urge the commission to preserve net neutrality rules and the Title II authority used to enforce them. We need net neutrality and to protect the internet for everyone.

1075. Liz Rave, Irvine, CA, 92618
Please support net neutrality and Title II! This is very important for small businesses who rely on the internet to do business.

1076. Greg, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
The American people need net neutrality. Preserve net neutrality & title II. The internet is a public utility, and companies must not have the ability to promote or demote content. If we are to remain a free society, the internet must be open and accessible to all regardless of ISP or content.

1077. Kyler Chin, Irvine, CA, 92603
Decisions about who can be heard on the internet should not be left to this corporate crony & former Verizon lobbyist. #NetNeutrality

1078. Jennifer guy, lake forest, CA, 92630
Preserve internet neutrality and Title II. The American people need to know that their internet is free and fair.

1079. Joshua Middleton, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
How can you knowingly open the doors for the end of open/fair expression and opportunity in the internet then act its a good thing. C'mon have a heart, get your mind out of your wallet.

1080. Cherie DeMilt, Tustin, CA, 92780
I agree with the need for net neutrality guaranteed under title 2 and want the FCC to preserve that guarantee.

1081. Ethan Scherch, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I support net neutrality, specifically title 2. Please keep my internet safe!

1082. mary gentile, Tustin, CA, 92780
Net neutrality guaranteed under title 2 should be kept!

1083. Ryan DeMilt, Tustin, CA, 92780
Net neutrality rules should be strong and maintained and ISPs should have strong regulations on them through the Title II system

1084. Connie Wang, Irvine, CA, 92620
I want FCC to uphold strong Net Neutrality rules based on Title 2 oversight of ISPs. We have already learned that we cannot trust big telecom to do it for us. This is not government over-involvement; this is the will of the people.

1085. Armando v P, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
We need this rules to make sure the big companies and corporations play fair.

1086. karen, Irvine, CA, 92602
Please support efforts to keep net neutrality.

1087. karen meyer, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Net neutrality has been an abiding principle of the Internet from its earliest days. It has allowed the growth of entrepreneurs and encouraged widespread consumer utilization. DO NOT ignore 4 million public comments that were received during the last review. DO NOT allow tiered pricing by ISPs to restrict the equal flow of information. DO NOT show preferential treatment to ISPs and their largest clients or their subsidiaries at the expense of everyone else. Most Americans face near or total monopolist conditions for hi-speed internet access. Allowing ISPs to control which information they can receive at what speeds gives the ISPs unwarranted control over their customers access to multiple sources. They are now utilities and should be regulated as such.

1088. Jacqueline Tarhan, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Net neutrality is important to me and our economy. As a consumer, I want to use websites, web applications, and media that fits my needs and is craftfully made - not those who pay the most. As an analyst in the tech business serving small to medium sized companies, I want my customers to have a chance for their growing products to have a space in the market. We cannot allow a few huge companies to control our access. This should remain a regulation, and not a verbal agreement waiting to be broken.

1089. Andrea, Irvine, CA, 92620
I do not want the net neutrality ruling canceled

1090. Robert Odell, Irvine, CA, 92618
Please preserve net neutrality rules and regulations as well as Title II.

1091. Don Smith, Orange, CA, 92869
Preserve net neutrality! PLEASE!

1092. Cynthia Ashley, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight. Government regulation is imperative to keep internet access fair for all!!!!!

1093. Ali Etezadkhah, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
ISP should be classified as title 2 and not give preferential treatment to partner contents. All contents should be delivered at the same speed.

1094. Mark Framer, Orange, CA, 92869
preserve net neutrality and save title II ...

1095. Brandon Gonzalez, Irvine, CA, 92612
I write in the hopes that the FCC continues to allow the internet to remain neutral pursuant to the existing regulatory framework under Title II. Use of the internet should be considered a fundamental right in this day and age. Under that premise, no internet service provider should have the ability to treat users of their service disparatly, nor place content providers on unequal footing. Profit motive should not deviously drive regulatory decision-making when it comes to net neutrality.

1096. Sean Carter, Tustin, CA, 92780
Net neutrality is important, do NOT let the ISPs have control. Watch Jon Oliver and he shows why this is important!!!!!!!

1097. Laura Goodman, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
As a Web developer, I support net neutrality and hope you will continue to uphold that and Title II.

1098. Kimberley M. Donahue, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
Net Neutrality is an extremely important issue to most Americans and is essential to our fundamental values as a republic. If the founding fathers were to live in our modern world, they would all be in favor of making sure that people had equal and free access to any source of information. IF there is any effort to give preferential treatment to providers over citizens, then it will be decidedly un-American.

1099. Erica low, Orange, CA, 92869
I support strong Net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs! Thank you

1100. Timothy McCormack, Irvine, CA, 92618
I strongly support net neutrality and Title II. I view any movements by politicians and the cable companies as directly and intentionally against the clearly stated public opinion in favor of net neutrality. EVERYONE WANTS NET NEUTRALITY BAR A FEW MINOR MONOPOLY COMPANIES. Giving them what they want will directly lead to less choice, a less fair internet, and the true loss of freedom. The current FCC Chair's support of changing these rules is extremely disheartening and shows he doesn't care at all what the entire damn public wants. This has already been resolved and should not be happening again.

1101. Karoly Rogers, Irvine, CA, 92617
I support Net Neutrality. I am commenting to strongly urge the FCC not to remove the Title 2 oversight on ISPs. Reducing regulatory oversight over ISPs is NOT the way to ensure a free and open internet.

1102. Rory Turk, Tustin, CA, 92780
I strongly oppose the proposal by Chairman Pai to restore the Internet to a light-touch regulatory framework by classifying broadband Internet access service as an information service. Neutral and fair access to the Internet should be afforded to all citizens. Access should not be the domain of commercial enterprises which, by definition, have a conflict of interest (either theoretical or realized). The current regulatory framework which ensures neutral and fair access should remain in place.

1103. Brian Strader, Tustin, CA, 92780
We the people support Net neutrality. We don't trust the ISPs to regulate themselves and neither should you. 17-108 is not the internet freedom draft will do no such thing, it will allow the big guys to trample the up-and-commers. Net Neutrality should not be a partisan issue, both sides should be for it. Net Neutrality does not regulate the internet but regulates ISPs, there is a difference.

1104. Katya, Irvine, CA, 92620
strong support net neutrality rules

1105. Brendan, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
As a citizen and customer of AT&T, Cox, & Verizon, among others, I would like to see stricter net neutrality laws. Not rolling them back and making them more lax in order for internet service providers to be able to take greater advantage of their consumers and America's citizens.

1106. Brionna Louis, Irvine, CA, 92604
I support Title 2 regulation for ISPs.

1107. Harvey Kochen, Irvine, CA, 92612
Support net neutrality and Title II, please.
1108. Sal Vengi, Irvine, CA, 92612
Please protect net neutrality and do not change the current rules regarding same. It is naive and offensive to me as a consumer and citizen for the FCC Director to claim that ISP's will not manipulate access to certain content, applications, websites, etc. in order to profit from their own products and those of their affiliates. It is equally ridiculous for the FCC Director to claim that removal of the current restrictions is justified by falsely stating that the current restrictions will decrease the motivation and efforts companies put into research and development of ISP networks, platforms, etc. when the evidence indicates otherwise. Internet activity in a free country should foster freedom of speech, not encourage companies who control access to it to effectively eliminate choices. Thank you.

1109. Ryan Bertwell, Irvine, CA, 92618
I support strong net neutrality protections and title 2 classifications to ensure that the internet stays an open and fair platform.

1110. Taylor Sanders, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I strongly support Net Neutrality - we need a free and uninhibited internet now more than ever.

1111. Jeffrey C Harris, Irvine, CA, 92604
I strongly support preserving net neutrality under Title Two. No lesser means of safeguarding the enormous vehicle of information delivery, which is the internet, is sufficient to the critical importance of this effort. For the same reason freedom of the press is enshrined in our nation's founding documents, robust enforcement of neutrality in internet delivery must be guaranteed. The internet is a growing means by which citizens get the tools to inform their own critical thinking and civic mindedness. We the people cannot allow the vagaries of ISP companies, nor any business relationship or sponsorship of these same, to imbalance the impartial delivery of information to the citizens of the United States of America. Thank you for the opportunity to leave my firmly held belief as a comment in this forum.

1112. Desireh Sedaghat, Irvine, CA, 92612
We urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Thanks.

1113. Timothy Johnson, Irvine, CA, 92612
I strongly support net neutrality. Please do not weaken any regulations on ISP's.

1114. Amanda, Irvine, CA, 92620
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of the ISP, and I want absolutely no changes made to the current system. We have free speech and equal access to information now, and any changes made will only limit our freedom and infringe on our rights.
1115. Marco Baldi, Irvine, CA, 92612
I cannot stress how important it is to protect Net Neutrality. It is completely UNAMERICAN in every single way to give up that freedom and destroy it at the hands of big corporations who have absolutely no intentions except for making money at the expense of hard-working Americans.

1116. Dennis Boilini, Orange, CA, 92869
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, I support the rollback of Obamaâ€™s Internet takeover! Regards, Dennis Boilini 142 N Mine Canyon Rd Orange, CA 92869"

1117. Charles Brown, Irvine, CA, 92603
Please allow net neutrality to continue. As a citizen of the United States it is very upsetting the way big business is becoming so powerful with the help of lobbyists and in most cases allowed to do what is in their best interests rather than the American people. This is one more example of this trend.

1118. Paul Cadaret, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Please enter your comment hereI do not support the government's takeover of the Internet or the transition of the Domain Name System to the UN. Please stop this. Regards, Paul Cadaret 20622 Shadow Rock Ln Trabuco Canyon, CA 92679"

1119. Ed Stevens, Irvine, CA, 92604
Please return the inet to its pre-Obama condition.

1120. Kevin Thornton, Irvine, CA, 92617
I am writing to express support for continued FCC support to maintain net neutrality, which must include maintaining the status of ISP companies under Title 2 regulations.

1121. Cynthia Coley, LAKE FOREST, CA, 92630
We are going to use this to dig up so much embarrassing things about you people. So

1122. Cynthia Lloyd, LAGUNA WOODS, CA, 92637
This is a gift taken away. The shame of it!

1123. Mark Marcacci, TUSTIN, CA, 92780
Please preserve Net Neutrality and not leave it up to giant corporations to determine how the internet should operate.

1124. Lois Gorrell, SANTA ANA, CA, 92705
The internet belongs to all. Our tax dollars developed it. Letting private corporations grab control of speeds and usage to increase their profits is NOT
okay.

1125. Jack Arnold, LAGUNA WOODS, CA, 92637
Stop this BS that is trying to take control of our country by leveraging the rich with our freedoms.

1126. Kai Johnson, IRVINE, CA, 92602
Free and Open Internet is the backbone of our current economy.

1127. John Nelson, LAGUNA HILLS, CA, 92653
I'm a technology professional. Net neutrality will stifle competition and allow broadband providers to act as gatekeepers. Without net neutrality

1128. John Miller, LAKE FOREST, CA, 92630
When will this president begin to live up to the promises he made of being a people's president? He has chosen to lay down for special interests seemingly at every opportunity. The net belongs to us all.

1129. Gary Heiner, ORANGE, CA, 92867
You will NOT sell out 340 million constituents in favor of a near-monopoly

1130. Ann Downey, LAGUNA HILLS, CA, 92653
Another big win for corps (monopoly) to squeeze every last dime out of us

1131. Ronald Rees, ORANGE, CA, 92869
The internet is like a utility...leave it as neutral as possible!

1132. Shane Foyle, ORANGE, CA, 92869
Look. The internet is the last bastion of true free speech; the companies you're in the pocket of want to make it into TV where any site is pay to play unless you want shit to be prohibitively slow. By attempting to destroy Net Neutrality protections you will be destroying small business and strangling free speech. Aren't those two things republicans love to fucking champion?

1133. James Antenore, IRVINE, CA, 92604
Net Neutrality maintains access to the internet at an essential level for my family

1134. Pamela Zanelli, TUSTIN, CA, 92782
FCC

1135. Will Irwin, IRVINE, CA, 92620
I oppose the effort by the FCC and internet service providers to weaken net neutrality. These companies need to remain regulated under Title II.
1136. Andy Olik, IRVINE, CA, 92620
Cable companies aren't going to quit investment if you change net neutrality. Protect our rights

1137. Gary Amundson, IRVINE, CA, 92618
Please leave the Internet neutral

1138. Ben Burde, IRVINE, CA, 92604
Internet has become a basic utility that is needed by everyone. It needs to be kept open and free.

1139. Marcia Spater, IRVINE, CA, 92618
Please keep net neutrality. Keep small users free and don't allow fees to kill net neutrality.

1140. Alice Shaw, IRVINE, CA, 92618
The Internet is a way of life for all people. Stop trying to suppress information!

1141. Christopher Yu, IRVINE, CA, 92604
A free and open internet is vitally important to ensuring we stay on the path of freedom.

1142. Sanford Simon, TUSTIN, CA, 92782
leave the Internet open and neutral.

1143. Alice Shaw, IRVINE, CA, 92618
Stop trying to take everything away from the people! The Internet is too important to limit its use to giving money to corporations and other money interests.

1144. John Wagner, IRVINE, CA, 92620
Let's keep the ISP's truly competitive by keeping the internet truly open and unregulated by their bottom-line profit motivations. Title II is the only way to assure that.

1145. JOSE JISON, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
It is time to extirpate Obama regulations that denigrate, on purpose, the technological primacy of the United States. American greatness and exceptionalism were considered anathema to the Kenyan ideology upholding the historical necessity of making up for the evils of colonialism.

1146. Stephen Rich, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I am writing in support of Net Neutrality and Title II. Regulations on companies protect the people and small businesses, relaxing regulations will only serve to increase corporate profits.
1147. Rick Scrimger, Coto de Caza, CA, 92679
The internet needs to be kept forever neutral. #goffccyourself

1148. Robert Nowell, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Reverse the Obama FCC internet takeover and protect each American's privacy and information!

1149. Roma Panganiban, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Please continue to uphold net neutrality and maintain Title 2 Status.

1150. Carol Mathis, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Keep things fair. Keep the law as is.

1151. Brooke Cheney, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
I strongly support the preservation of net neutrality and specifically title 2.

1152. Katherine Wu, Irvine, CA, 92614
I support net neutrality and am a proud American citizen. Thank you for your time and consideration!

1153. Ellen Brundige, Irvine, CA, 92604
DO NOT gut net neutrality. As a member of the Perseus Project who helped in a very small way to develop/test web standards like XML in 1993, who has watched the web mature, I can tell you one of the biggest obstacles to making the web what it is today—"a tool for worldwide communications, commerce and information"—was caused by corporations subverting universal access. Now, the internet is as vital to everyday life as electricity, since web access required for most job applications, work contacts, job opportunities, education, healthcare and government services, not to mention the significant information edge gained by web users. Letting corporations effectively exclude low-income users from access to all that would be like letting all real roads be toll roads, denying job or hospital access to those who can't afford the tolls. Besides which, it would throttle freedom of speech just as effectively as if phone companies got to decide which numbers customers were allowed to call on telephones.

1154. Andrew Davis, Anaheim, CA, 92807
If you dip shit assburglers don't keep net neutrality and preserve title II you will face the collective wrath of the internet, and I will happily be wielding a pitchfork donated by google, amazon, or netflix. Seriously, do you really want to go up against those internet behemoths? They will fucking destroy you, not to mention all you worthless husks will one day be their sex servants. Everyone already knows you guys are the most worthless and pointless department, so maybe try not to make everyone hate you as much as I already do, you cum stained fuck wads. Also, fuck censorship for bad language and sexual themes.
We're adults god dammit, so I'll say whatever the fuck I want and to hell with your limited viewpoint of decency. I hope Ajit Pai somehow falls ass first onto a large pile of giant black dildos and requires ass reconstructive surgery.

1155. Christy Moshenko, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92678
Strongly support preservation of Net Neutrality under Title II

1156. Kevin, Anahiem, CA, 92808
I strongly support NET NEUTRALITY. PLEASE keep the internet free!

1157. Maryam Owrang, Irvine, CA, 92604
I strongly support net neutrality and Title-II. Please inform me of any changes are making there.

1158. Michelle*Fitzpatrick*, Irvine, CA, 92603
In order for the USA to promote innovation we have to play on a level playing feild

1159. Steven Mizera, Silverado, CA, 92676
I live in an area where there is only 1 broadband option. There is limited Cell Coverage, and all of us have to rely on Cox Communication for our Internet Access. Allowing Cox to prioritize, or de-prioritize traffic would allow them to degrade competitive VOIP vendors from the area. Not only would this be an unfair practice, but as some residences in the area rely on 3rd party VOIP vendors for emergency services this could be life threatening. Net Neutrality must be protected. My personal beliefs are it should be expanded to require a monopolistic provider such as Cox to lease out bandwidth to other providers who may provide alternate, or superior services or value.

1160. John Kim, Irvine, CA, 92614
Title 2 is incredibly important to a fair and open internet. Getting rid of it will be a grave mistake. Keep net neutrality!

1161. JulieTreloar, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I support continued regulations of ISP's under Title II. I support Net Neutrality.

1162. Matthew Perry, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
I support strong Net Neutrality regulations and want ISPs to remain under Title 2 classification

1163. Eli Tlomkin, Irvine, CA, 92618
I support Net Neutrality and free internet under title 2.

1164. James, Laguna hills, CA, 92653
I support Title 2 regulation on net neutrality. Please preserve net neutrality and
title 2. Do not allow corporations to profit off smashing other companies.

1165. robert cornette, lake forest, CA, 92630
Please do not destroy the net neutrality that we currently have

1166. Clancy Karotkin, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I mean this, please let the internet be free. It is vital, for the sake of human progress, to have a space that facilitates the complete freedom of information. Listen to your hearts, listen to the people.

1167. THOMAS HEWITT, Irvine, CA, 92603
Please maintain and uphold net neutrality. Essential for modern freedom, progress and democracy in our country. Thanks.

1168. Erik Sheldon, Irvine, CA, 92617
It is essential to maintain 'net neutrality' under Title II of the Telecommunications Act if 1996. Removal of Title II will allow unregulated throttling of internet service; and while this is extremely lucrative for ISPs, it removes the ability to freely communicate in the modern age and is a direct violation of the First Amendment listed in the Bill of Rights.

1169. Justin Lutsky, Orange, CA, 92869
Support of strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II is important.

1170. Christopher Mykles, Irvine, CA, 92618
I strongly support title 2 oversight of ISPs.

1171. Kathleen Kuczynski, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
How can any diminishment of net neutrality be viewed as other than a grossly unfair trade practice that intends to coerce me into buying services from a provider preselected by governmental licensing practices? Is this what we can now expect--a realignment of the government's interest from people to corporations? How unfortunate!

1172. Dale McCart, Irvine, CA, 92620
What made the Net great was always that anyone could have a voice, not just people rich enough to be capable of buying a television studio or dictate editorial guidelines to a newspaper. The Net will lose its worth if it's reduced to yet another tool of entrenched businesses.

1173. Michael Rotcher, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
It is an amazing thing to have something available to everyone whatever one's economic status. This is an unusual thing and a move in the right direction for equal opportunity for all -- what an amazing and rare concept. Keep it free and
1174. Matthew Staniszewski, Irvine, CA, 92604
Do not change the net neutrality. Companies will make the internet a bad place to navigate for a vast majority of people and make it completely unfair on how it is used. There is now way around, net neutrality must stay!

1175. A J Warkentine, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
Save net neutrality. Needed for the average user!

1176. Erik Leidal, Irvine, CA, 92612
Net Neutrality is crucial to democracy! I am outraged to hear that equal access to online information is again under serious threat. Respect the court decision of 2015, Title II.

1177. Ian Reafsnyder, Orange, CA, 92869
The ISPs want to keep their power even through the diminish of cable services over streaming ones. If Net Neutrality goes away, you are directly allowing then to continue to monetize it after its demise for no reason at all, and to surpress the streaming services in a way that is anticompetitive and unfair. If Net Neutrality goes away you are directly handing the ISPs power, who are already too powerful and do not need any more power. The people of the US have no say over this without abandoning the Internet altogether because in most areas ISPs have a monopoly or duopoly, and are not willing to give it up. You know this.

1178. Radjabian, ANAHEIM, CA, 92807
I Specifically support strong internet neutrality backed up by Title 2 oversight of ISP.

1179. Anna, Irvine, CA, 92620
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Free speech is important and most of us live a large part of our lives online, and I want to be able to say what I want to say online and elsewhere. Please do not make changes to ISP oversight! Thank you.

1180. Kevin Orbaker, MISSION VIEJO, CA, 92692
I URGE YOU TO KEEP NET NEUTRALITY. THE US HAS THE WORST INTERNET ACCESS DO TO UNFAIR MONOPOLIES ALLOWED BY THE US GOVERNMENT, SO IN MOST AREAS, THERE IS ONLY A SINGLE ISP CHOICE. IF YOU REMOVE NET NEUTRALITY, WE HAVE NO OTHER OPTIONS. WE CAN'T CHOOSE TO GO TO ANOTHER PROVIDER, AND SINCE THE U.N. HAS DECLARED THAT INTERNET IS A UNIVERSAL RIGHT, YOU WOULD FORCE US TO HAVE NO CHOICE AND BEHOLDEN TO AN ISP PROVIDER. YOU NEED TO KEEP
AND ENFOCE NET NEUTRALITY UNDER SECTION II OF THE COMMUNICATIONS ACT.

1181. Charles Gropper, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Please maintain Net Neutrality and Title 2 enforcement on the internet

1182. Terry P. Petersen, Anaheim, CA, 92808
In a short period of time, the internet has progressed from an optional service to a critical component of our infrastructure. It is crucial for the development of commercial and social applications that internet service providers be required to enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites.

1183. Luis Gomez, Irvine, CA, 92620
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title II. The ISP providers need oversight.

1184. Tris Palmgren, North Tusint, CA, 92705
Net neutrality brings a free and open press to the masses.

1185. Joe Chmielewski, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
A liberal democracy cannot flourish when companies can block messages and websites via predatory pricing.

1186. Sandra Sondreal, Santa ana, CA, 92705
Net Neutrality is essential to Democracy!

1187. Diane Stein, Irvine, CA, 92604
We need to keep Title II protection on the internet so that internet providers can not censure or slow down the traffic from sites that do not agree with you or me. We need people in every state and on each ISP to have equal access to all the web content without relying on the ISP to do the right thing. In our world the right thing happens when adequate oversight is in place.

1188. Brian Bartel, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
The Internet is the key to small businesses making it. If you get rid of net neutrality it will be the end of new small businesses. Please keep net neutrality.

1189. Jay Fong, Irvine, CA, 92602
Net Neutrality is important because it protects consumers and internet service interests by keeping the "middle men" ISPs in check and prevents any possible unfairly interference now our in the future.

1190. Justin Yasuda, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
We already did this in 2014...what is the FCC smoking that makes them think
its ok to destroy net neutrality now? Leave. The internet. Alone.

1191. Lisa Paynemiller, Irvine, CA, 92620
Phone and cable companies are incapable of policing themselves so the government needs to protect net neutrality. All people need equal access to the internet and must be allowed to access all websites and content in order to function in the modern world. By all people, I mean all people regardless of wealth or paucity of wealth. I personally need access to wide ranging information and data to do my job and to enjoy my life. Do not compromise net neutrality.

1192. Maureen Mehler, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
Don't mess with net neutrality

1193. Mira Tiglao, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Do not let the Trump Administration and large companies to exploit the internet.

1194. Barbara hosmer, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
It's important to level the playing field so the average person has a voice. This is how we protect freedom and people.

1195. David Smith, Irvine, CA, 92617
I believe in an free and open internet, not one that is controlled by monopolist telecomm firms!

1196. David Jones, Orange, CA, 92867
The Trump administration has officially launched its attack on Net Neutrality. if it succeeds, the internet as we know it will be gone for good. FCC Chairman Ajit Pai has unveiled his plan to dismantle Net Neutrality. Here it is in a nutshell: Abolish Net Neutrality. Cross our fingers that the phone and cable companies promise to be good. Yeah, right. These are the same companies that promise to be at your house between 10 a.m. and 3 p.m. and don’t show up until the following week. The same companies that were caught repeatedly violating Net Neutrality before strong rules were on the books. The same companies that admitted that if it weren’t for Net Neutrality they would discriminate against websites and content.

1197. Alice sHAW, Irvine, CA, 92618
Our lives -- literally -- depend upon Net Neutrality. It is the people's power to live democratic lives -- freedom, education, life itself depend upon a free Internet!

1198. Pamela Reagor, Irvine, CA, 92604
No, Chairman Pai, we cannot trust that the FCC can and/or will fix things just
in case unregulated ISPs get creatively greedy and offer different speeds for different folks -- HAH! Please leave our Net Neutrality alone!

1199. Nancy Carr, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
It's important to keep the playing field level so we have access to all available content!

1200. atoosa vakili, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
Need to Keep net neutrality! Thanks!

1201. Marla Borokoff, Irvine, CA, 92614
Keep the Internet neutral. Preserve net neutrality and Title II.

1202. Adam Sherman, Orange, CA, 92867
Please protect net neutrality by keeping ISPs under Title II. It is outrageous to propose cutting a regulation that protects consumers and has absolutely no negative impact on the economy. The only beneficiaries of this deregulation would be the ISPs who have a history of resorting to shady business practices.

1203. Brian Wagner, Irvine, CA, 92602
Please preserve net neutrality and back it with title II oversight of IPS's.

1204. Erick Castle, Tustin, CA, 92780
To Commissioner Pai, who was formerly employed by Verizon and appears to have deep pocket interests in mind. Please respect Net Neutrality laws and preserve them with Article II in mind. Don't succumb to pressure from the current adminstration to wield your authority in their favor, while putting internet users at risk. Your action's on this matter will define your career longer once you have left office Sir.

1205. Lynn Bensen, Orange, CA, 92869
I specifically support strong net neutrality, and Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please continue to protect net neutrality strongly!

1206. Douglas Smith, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I want the FCC to ensure strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I'm concerned my ISP will attempt to bully me into using their chosen services just as T-Mobile and others did with its now failed ISIS payment system and just as my ISP has proven that it is willing to do time and time again with its nefarious and ubiquitous bundling of services I do want/need with services I don't want/need. I would also like the FCC Chairman to do his job to keep communications about the people using them rather than the providers who want to make money from providing them. In other words... I want Mr. Ajit Pai do his current job and stop angling for his next one!
Hey asshole. First off congratulations on having the second largest Reese's mug, clearly your not compensating for something. you know companies will screw their competitors over if Net Neutrality falls because you worked for one that tried to do that. so go FUCK yourself. keep for hands of our internet you Netflix hating piece of shit

Please leave the internet free and open to everyone.

I do not trust a company to remain neutral when it's own profits are involved. Please reserve Net Neutrality and Title II so everyone can use the internet with a level playing field. There is more than enough profit for internet providers as it is.

Please do not allow ISPs to undo net neutrality standards. The internet is the is part of the commons and must be protected from corporations who are only interested in their own bottom line and not the public good.

I SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY AND ISPs SHOULD BE CLASSIFIED AND ENFORCED AS TITLE 2. THANK YOUUUU.

The FCC must uphold Title II of the Net Neutrality ruling.

Please maintain a high level of net neutrality.

Dear FCC Commissioner-Net neutrality is absolutely critical. Strong Title 2 protection for all ISPs is a foundation of a free country. The internet is the one thing that truly binds us to the rest of the world and our ability to freely choose how we engage with it separates us from dictatorships where content and access is regulated and sanitized. We need to set an example and preserving net neutrality is at it's core a demonstration of free will and free consumerism. Don't be that guy who shuts it down. And if it helps you to relate, the Dude abides net neutrality!-Y

I support strong net neutrality rulings until Title 2.

I SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY AND ISPs SHOULD BE CLASSIFIED AND ENFORCED AS TITLE 2. THANK YOUUUU.
Support net neutrality backed by Title 2

1217. shawn kalantar, Irvine, CA, 92602
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2! Don't let the communication companies screw us any further!

1218. Alex Gaon, Irvine, CA, 92618
Awful corporations like verizon and cox should not be able to influence the internet. They shouldn't even be in business. Maybe the government should provide internet connectivity.

1219. Thomas Roberts, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai,I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. DO NOT roll back these regulations. It's obvious that such an action will lead to a monopolistic ISP marketplace, which is in opposition to the competitive based economy that American was built on. Best Regards

1220. Tommy Cannon, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I wanted to voice my support for internet neutrality and the need to save title 2. I believe in equal access for all on the net, be they consumers, content creators, or for-profit businesses. Anyone and everyone deserves this. Please do not allow the American people to be subject to unfair and partial practice by ISPs.

1221. Alyssa Goldman, Irvine, CA, 92620
Please keep ISPs under title 2 and continue to enforce net neutrality.

1222. Bryan Adams, Orange, CA, 92867
I urge you to maintain Net Neutrality and keep the ISPs under their current classification of Title 2. Reclassifying ISPs under Title 1 will clearly harm consumers and be detrimental to a society based on free and open information. Do not let Comcast, Verizon, AT&T, and others turn into China and control what we are allowed to see. Do not let them have a stranglehold on technology innovation. Do not move to Title 1.

1223. David Owens, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I am strongly in favor of maintaining net neutrality via title 2. It is essential to both our continued information and economic freedom. Do not let big cable companies take control from us.

1224. ART ZAVALA, Anaheim, CA, 92808
Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, In favor of the rollback of Title II regulations. Regards, ART ZAVALA 8018 E Santa Ana Canyon Rd Anaheim, CA 92808
1225. Jennifer Hembree, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Please protect net neutrality and preserve title II. We all need fairness in this world. Thank you

1226. Brian Day, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
All ISPs should be regulated under title II. Trump has no clue to what he is doing. I mean really... when is the last time the man read a book or had proper grammar in a sentence. Okay. that was my opinion.. but still .. ISPs should be regulated under title II. We need net neutrality.

1227. Dane Brickan, Orange, CA, 92869
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2 you fucks. If I have to pay for my own cat videos I will jump off a bridge.

1228. Summer Watkins, Irvine, CA, 92612
I believe strongly in net neutrality and title 2.

1229. Katherine Bankson, Irvine, CA, 92618
I strongly support Net Neutrality and specifically want Title II oversight of ISPs. I do not wish for change to the oversight of ISPs as I believe this is a step against free speech online. Locking down avenues of free speech is another step towards dictatorship. Net Neutrality is important to keep.

1230. Neroy Martin, Anaheim, CA, 92808
I strongly support oversight of ISPs provided by Title II (Title 2). Please keep strong oversight!

1231. Manuel Zorn, Tustin, CA, 92780
don't sell the net neutrality to the ISPs!

1232. Farzad Aziminia, Irvine, CA, 92602
I strongly pro title 2 and net neutrality. My private browsing information is not for sale!

1233. Heidi Chen, Irvine, CA, 92617
I strongly believe in net neutrality and demand that this administration PRESERVE net neutrality and Title II, putting the American people before corporate interests.

1234. Shaylin Johnson, Tustin, CA, 92782
I support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight by ISPs.

1235. Matthew Cohen, Irvine, CA, 92606
I support Net Nutraility and the open and free exchange of information without allowing companies to prioritize or dimish data presentation based on financial
or other agreements

1236. Jacob Smith, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
I am expressly in favor of net neutrality and against industry self regulation by ISPs

1237. Cole Roberts, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Net Nutrity is the backbone of the Modern American Dream. A symbol of freedom. Deregulating a small group of massively wealthy companies would intern place massive regulations from corporations restricting the freedom of enterprise for the citizens of America.

1238. Anthony Aguilar, Irvine, CA, 92618
Leave the internet on how it is because if messed with smaller companies will struggle to compete.

1239. net neutrality, irvine, CA, 92618
I support strong net neutrality protection laws

1240. craig monroe, Tustin, CA, 92780
We need to keep net nuetrality. Without it competition will be limited which will create a poor consumer experience and limit innovation.

1241. Hara Maderich, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Please keep the internet neutrality. Failure to do so would be disastrous. Thank you.

1242. Paul Chada, Laguna hills, CA, 92653
I back strong Net Neutrality backed Title 2 oversight of ISP's.

1243. Mercedes Pappas, Irvine, CA, 92604
I believe ISPs should be regulated under Title II. Please keep net neutrality. It is fair to all consumers.

1244. Ryan Harwell, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I support strongly enforced net neutrality from Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please protect my freedom from companies to decide what is prevalent or important for my life and what I can and can't do or use on the internet. The government should be more strict on promoting net neutrality, not relaxed.

1245. Ellen Burt, Irvine, CA, 92617
Do not lift the net neutrality regulation recently set in place. It is important for the average citizen/consumer to have access to the internet that is not filtered or in some way shifted by market forces made to intervene to inhibit access.
Elisabeth Dye, Irvine, CA, 92602
Net Neutrality, the one thing keeping me from being forced to choose which pay per month streaming service I want. I love Netflix, and occasionally dabble with a little bit of Hulu, but I would like to keep my successful on-going relationship with Netflix and thusly agree with Net Neutrality. All online traffic should be treated equally, no throttling of service, or charging variable rates if I want something to download faster. Why should my Netflix speed go slower because Hulu or Amazon is sliding money into the pockets of ISP's? Or here's a good one, why should some sleazy man's porn load in a more throttled manner (because he/companies will pay for that!) and slow down the speed of I don't know, perhaps a handful of research websites college students need but cannot afford to pay for un-regulated services. Title II regulates the very issue at stake here, not allowing common carriers to restrict transmissions or discriminate against companies providing the transmissions - why discriminate at all when you can provide equal service to all good citizens? Allow the public to choose which ISP they prefer, and leave it at that. An even playing field for the good of the people.

Matthew Thomas, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Dear Mr. Ajit Pai and all the members of the FCC; True, guaranteed Net Neutrality is an absolute must for a sustainable, economically viable Internet. In order to guarantee Net Neutrality, the FCC must retain it's classification of ISPs as TITLE II to ensure permanent, true Net Neutrality. Vote to keep true Net Neutrality and vote to keep ISPs governed as TITLE II entities.- Matthew Thomas

Anand Kapoor, Irvine, CA, 92614
We would like you to preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.

scott demi t, Irvine, CA, 92602
Keep the internet alive and well,

Kit Baumer, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
I strongly support net neutrality. Preserve net neutrality and preserve Title 2. No one should have the power to sensor the internet.

chris mollica, foothill ranch, CA, 92610
I support strong net neutrality regulation backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Weakening those regulations only serves to create incentives for ISPs to monetize access to users, and is fundamentally un-american, and anti small business.

Joseph Myer, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
The Obama-era net neutrality rules should remain in place. The ideas propounded by the new Chairman represent the interests of large companies,
not consumers, as might be expected from a Chairman whose resume includes time served at Verizon. Two years ago, consumers and tech companies rallied around the existing rules, which are meant to prevent broadband providers like AT&T and Comcast from giving special treatment to any streaming videos, news sites and the like. The proposal of the Chairman would strip away those protections, leaving consumers at the mercy of high speed internet providers.

1253. Constance Hughes, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
A free internet is important. It was founded on such a basis. We STRONGLY support net neutrality, Title 2. Do not be swayed by the money. Rise above greed and be a STEWARD w/moral backbone--for all.

1254. Annie, Irvine, CA, 92606
Net Neutrality involves the idea that your access to the internet whether it'd be cable connection, LTE wireless connection, or anything that connects you to the internet remains equal on all the horizons. Purposefully cutting off or slowing down specific pipelines to the internet is not allowed. For example, Internet service providers cannot slow down Bing because Google is paying more than Bing. This is discriminatory and illegal in my eyes. We should all have fair use and access to the internet and the market would just crumble if Net Neutrality is taken away. Favoring, throttling, or blocking certain websites is unfair competition. Charging variable rates to certain companies does not lie in the market place of ideas and taking away Net Neutrality would do so much damage.

1255. Suzanne Model, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
The internet was developed by public money. I oppose handing it over to a small group of private corporations. No individual or group should be able to control consumersâ€™ ability to access content. I urge the FCC to support net neutrality on May 18

1256. Marcia Goldstein, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
It is the ultimate hypocrisy to label this issue 'restoring internet freedom.' What it will do is cripple the business and other activities of small actors and give a huge financial and data-control advantage to large corporations. The American people will pay for this by having their access crippled and slowed down. This is wrong, wrong, wrong. Do not give away broadband access -- something we have all paid for -- to corporate, lobbying interests!

1257. Michael Gonsalves, Irvine, CA, 92606
As a concerned citizen and consumer I strongly support net neutrality and strict regulation of Internet Service Providers as telecomm. services under Title II. Competition is the lifeblood of capitalism as it ensures innovation, the lowest prices, and best service for consumers who in turn trade their hard earned
money. However, allowing Internet Service Providers to throttle internet speeds for certain content providers undermines that very principle. Only allowing fast internet service speeds for the highest corporate bidder destroys competition and reinforces oligopolies because the bigger the bank account equals the best experience for their customers. From the consumers point of view, I am an avid internet user who pays AT&T a higher rate every month for the premium internet speed service. However, paying more by no means ensures quality internet service. I am plagued by incredibly low internet speeds at peak traffic times and when I have been consuming large amounts of data. I can only imagine the horrendous experience of those who choose to pay for the base service. My experience is evidence, however, that Internet Service Providers are still throttling internet speeds despite it being illegal under Obama's "Open Internet Order". The FCC has a duty as representatives of the people and as Americans to ensure that Obama's regulations stay in place and are enforced more avidly if for no other reason than to remain consistent with America's economic and patriotic values.

1258. Chelsea Rossow, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Here are some reasons as to why net neutrality is so vital. The Internet providers should be able to give access to all and any content to all their consumers without favoring or dismantling smaller Internet providers. This brings us back to the Telecommunications Act of 1942 where "All data has to be treated equally no matter who created it." If all Internet providers were the same, that would create a monopoly of Internet providers and it would also not give consumers different options to choose from, which would eliminate the healthy competition between Internet companies. The other downside to this is that with net neutrality being messed with, it can give these Internet companies a chance to charge extra, change their service rates and take business away from other small Internet businesses. Consumers like to have an array of options to choose from and have their choice, but with net neutrality being pushed around, it can harm this choice.

1259. FCC Chairmen, Tustin, CA, 92705
Hello, I am writing to you as a college student from California State University, Fullerton. We have learned about Net Neutrality and that net neutrality is about the principle of Internet service providers enabling access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites. Title II states that cable companies can not restrict certain transmissions and that they can not discriminate among the content. Throttling is illegal under title II. With FCC regulating and maintaining equality over telecomm and information systems, all is well in the internet world. However, if FCC overturns net neutrality, all hell will break lose. Companies will rise their internet prices and different internet providers will raise their monthly subscriptions- i.e. Netflix/ Hulu/ Amazon Prime. Everyone
will be pissed off with the price raise and will discontinue that service. So, don't do it. If you do, everyone will hate you.

1260. Malia Nua, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Net Neutrality, the thing that keep the the internet neutral. The one simple thing that lets me look up dank memes at the same speed as doing my CommLaw homework (shout out to Erickson for making us do this). In a nut shell, net neutrality keeps cable-broadband from messing with our thirst and love for the Internet, which is the best medium of communication out there because it is so open and free. As a "common carrier", cable-broadband is subject to Title II regulations, meaning that they can't be that sketchy postman who delivers only part of your mail because he doesn't think you deserve the coupons you get from Wendy's. It is about time we keep up with the Estonians and get the free and fast Internet we deserve! I want the freedom to watch a baby panda sneeze as much and as fast as I want to!!!

1261. Jonathan Pisetti, Orange, CA, 92867
Some may argue that the internet should be available to everyone and subject to regulations by the government. Net neutrality means that the government can step in and regulate internet providers from paying more to make their service faster then there competitors. Some rules and regulations that Net Neutrality can do would be prohibit wired and wireless broadband internet companies from slowing or blocking internet content to the consumers, also it could prohibit broadband providers from giving priority or speeding up traffic from websites that agree to pay higher fees for faster internet speeds. I think net neutrality is not as good as it sounds. I do not think that the the government needs to step in places that it does not need to be.

1262. Haley Harrelson, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Net neutrality is the principle that ll online traffic should be treated equally, no matter who created it. President Trump is making and referencing that net neutrality is something of another sort. The Information services are the websites that are on the internet and CANNOT be regulated. While, telecomm gives us the connections to the content. Net Neutrality is important to all folk who use the internet and media of that form. Cable companies use this to their advantage in bundles internet services with cable, hello, using information services. While obviously, cable broadband should be subject to common carrier, Title II regulations, net neutrality clearly states that internet providers shouldn't be allowed to thrill BigTorrent. I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.

1263. Caitlin Ponce de Leon, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Net neutrality is important to the 21st century economy and it is fundamental as a public utility. Internet should be accessible content that is produced by
anyone, regardless of whether or not they have any special business arrangement with utility. ISP's can not discriminate between types of traffic among the content. Neutrality says cable broadband should never be allowed to restrict or demand extra money. Cable-broadband should be subject to "common carrier" Title II regulations (aka, just deliver the mail. Don't f*** with it). In 2005 the FCC said cable-broadband is an information service, which essentially said we can never regulate it. Cable-broadband is telecomm, should be reclassified that way, and should be regulated under Title II "common carrier" rules. We should not allow cable broadband to "restrict" and "discriminate" in order to gain extra speed.

1264. Virginia Lei, Irvine, CA, 92620
We must keep our net neutrality rules and keep it reclassified for cable-broadband as "telecomm." It should be regulated under Title II "common carrier" rules where cable broadband should not be allowed to restrict or "throttle" services such as BitTorrent or Netflix. Discriminating websites by charging extra for a more efficient speed gives in to the evils of capitalism by increasing competition within this industry knowing that the world depends on high internet speed in this day and age. The dismantling cannot even be fair when Trump has yet to appoint 2 more people to the FCC board. This laziness should not contribute to the lack of a complete 5-person FCC board which does not equal a fair number of opinions to decide on these issues. Rethink this issue before dismantling the open internet order.

1265. Margaret Easton, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
I urge the FCC to preserve net neutrality in your vote on May 18th.

1266. Chris Diaz, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I fully support protecting net neutrality through strong regulation of Internet Service Providers under Title II. These companies must be classified as telecomm services in order to prevent them from being able to charge variable rates for internet speeds and take advantage of internet users for profit. Fair and equal access to the web for all users must be enforced by significant FCC regulation of these groups, which will not be allowed if they are reclassified as Title I information services. Internet Service Providers represent, at best, a duopoly that will have the unrestricted ability to manipulate internet costs to the point where competition is eliminated and internet access will cost a premium that many people will not be able to afford. In the interest of preserving democracy, net neutrality must be protected.

1267. Dana Barraclough, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
I use the internet daily for personal, charitable, and business purposes. Private corporations have the right to control, limit or refuse my access to content thief own, or lawfully control. But it is manifestly unfair for an ISP to levy a premium
The internet was created with Public funds as a public benefit. It seems unethical to allow private corporations to interfere with my access to a public resource.

1268. Selma Bukstein, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
The internet was developed by public money. I oppose handing it over to a small group of private corporations. No individual or group should be able to control consumer's ability to access content. I urge the FCC to support net neutrality on May 18th.

1269. Robert A. Cunningham, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
The Internet was created through public money, not by private corporations. Therefore, it should not be turned over to a small group of private corporations, nor should any group of individuals or corporations be able to control consumer's access to its content! I strongly urge the FCC to support net neutrality on May 18th. Thank you.

1270. MacKenzie, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Since net neutrality can be a boring topic, let's pretend that there was no "water neutrality" in your house. That would mean that if you used water in your shower, it would cost more than running water in your kitchen. There is no logical reason that using water in your shower should cost more than using water in your kitchen. You should be able to use water wherever in your house for the same price. Therefore, there is no logical reason why my choice in internet content should be priced at variable rates. I should be able to use Netflix, search on Google and watch a YouTube video all at the same streaming rate and cost. Net neutrality and Title II should be preserved!

1271. Nicholas Kinneberg, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
In the terms that President Donald Trump is referring to Net Neutrality is INCORRECT! I would like to take a stance and clearly define NET NEUTRALITY as taught by my wonderful California State University of Fullerton COMM LAW professor. Net neutrality is the principle that all online traffic should be treated EQUALLY, no matter who created it. Net neutrality is important to all people who participate in online services including all forms of this media. The use of information services and cable networks bundles their service packages fall under Title II and this is clearly stated under all laws of NET NEUTRALITY. Donald Trump is making false accusations and making himself look silly in the meantime. I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.

1272. Dave Key, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
I support the new rules. "Net Neutrality" only helps the big guys like Google and Netflix. Net Neutrality suppresses investment in the Internet. What we need
is MORE COMPETITION and less regulation which stifles competition.

1273. Net Neutrality, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Net-neutrality protects our rights to a free and open internet market, so it is important for us to pay attention to this. Title II is trying to prevent other companies from influencing the internet speed for companies that pay more or products that help the internet providers make more profit. For example, like Verizon, At&t and other smaller companies. If Title II would be removed from the Communication Act of 1934 , that would definitely eliminate competition in the market because the smaller companies cannot take off with slower internet speeds and create powerful monopolies that would destroy the countries open market. Yikes! This would make Cell phones companies would be allowed to become powerful as gas companies and price their products and please their customers

1274. Julian Caballero, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
I strongly support net neutrality, and firmly believe the internet should be treated as a utility that is accessible to all Americans, with no prioritization of content.

1275. Crystal Farias, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
As a former employee of Verizon, Ajit Pai has one goal and that is to feed the pockets of internet providers. His idea to potentially put a "voluntary" agreement to not obstruct service in the terms of service is laughable and ridiculous considered a company can change terms of service at any point at which it pleases, it is not enough. Internet service provider's should not be able to throttle transmission between the different content that is consumed online. They shouldn't be able to manipulate what I or anyone else does online. Cable-broadband providers should be classified as telecomm and be subject to common carrier regulations under Title II. They are not an information service and they shouldn't be treated as such. I support strong net neutrality with Title II oversight over ISP's.

1276. Parth Mangrola, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Please Preserve Title II, and enforce net neutrality. ISPs have the tools to deceive, extort, and corner markets, and have used them to reap unjust dues from public interest. Though their arbitrary-priced markets of few competitors, they grow big enough to make AT&T in the 1980s look pale in comparison. From the outside, The current FCC chair appears to be a shill of the ISPs, and we're all waiting to see what happens to his image when he disregards all these real comments. Wheeler turned around after the comments. I also noticed all the bot comments on here. I sure hope a congressman or a pollster isn't daft enough to use the repetitive comments as any valuable data. Those bot comments can be traced back to anti net-neutrality PACs that ISPs use as shells. Shameful,
deceitful, and downright greedy, terrible people are launching these attacks, in
direct conflict of the public interest. Title II is important for consumer
protection. As a Computer Security professional having been educated in the
court cases of ISPs clearly abusing the public interest for profit, muddying the
waters of redefinition of themselves so they can corner markets, the ISPs will
do everything they can do influence the federal government, and to bend laws to
accumulate giant piles of money, used to buy news companies, media
companies, and to use those companies as corporate mouthpieces. Millions of
people fought for net neutrality in 2015, and we got it. It was justified, and it
was majority demanded, and the public opinion never changed on that issue.
Please preserve title II

1277. Daniel Hinker, 8009 E. Sandstone Dr., CA, 92808
I think getting rid of Net Neutrality is unconstitutional since it impedes free
speech and inhibits the dissemination of information which is vital to our
country and its economy.

1278. Kylie Hannegan, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Net Neutrality would create a stringent government regulated internet. The
FCC's document talks about treating all data on the internet the same, this
would limit competition, creativity, innovation and high quality services and
solutions. The throttling issues that have happened with Comcast could be
remedied by allowing more competition in the market place. The Title II
Communications Act of 1934 is 80 years old and although it was updated about
18 years ago it did not fully understand how the internet worked. Having Net
Neutrality will put more regulations on ISP's, which would be pushed out to
the consumer through poor quality services and products. Like China, which has
highly regulated government controlled internet. Citizens want the freedom to
choose what they watch, listen to and purchase. It should be unregulated as
much as possible, let the free market of competition provide the best possible
services for the consumer.

1279. Jane Howell, Irvine, CA, 92618
Internet Neutrality is in the best interest of the American people. Please put
citizens' interests in front of internet and cable company profits. Do not repeal
the strong net neutrality laws established in 2015.

1280. Kevin Johnson, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of
Internet Service Providers (ISP).Thank you

1281. David M Slusser, Orange, CA, 92867
I support strong net neutrality, you don't comprehend the damage you're
causing.
1282. Matt Freitag, Irvine, CA, 92614
I urge the FCC to overturn net neutrality, based on the historical track record of injustices imposed by the state, and the unintended consequences and negative externalities legislation generally brings. This is a "solution" to a non-existent problem, and will ultimately stifle innovation and growth, not protect consumers.

1283. Kyle Minshall, Anaheim Hills, CA, 92807
ISPs absolutely need to be classified as Title II and I am staunchly against the deregulation of an open internet for all and against the repealing of legislation implemented by the previous administration to strengthen and preserve a fair and open internet for everyone. Title II regulation is essential for an open and competitive market on the Internet and without these kinds of protections, the government is abandoning its role in a capitalistic economy of preserving an open and fair market for all. Re-instate Title II and do not permit ISPs to arbitrarily decide who gets what data and how fast it gets to them.

1284. Emilie Chien, Irvine, CA, 91745
I am commenting to state that I do NOT want to the FCC to change net neutrality rules. A change in rules would take away our freedom on the internet, and negatively impact literally everyone in the U.S., including children in schools, as education is now largely dependent on internet access. I am asking the FCC board to vote NO on May 18th. Thank you for protecting our freedom.

1285. Sean Hasse, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
I have been working in the web industry for 20 years and the proposal to eliminate the Title II classification for ISPs would be a huge mistake that would negatively affect every American except for the ISPs themselves. You clearly have no understanding of how the internet works to even contemplate much less propose to eliminate the Title II classification and shamefully calling it 'restoring Internet freedom' when this proposal would do exactly the opposite! The internet NEEDS to stay open and free to all. After 20 years, the internet is no longer a luxury but a right. The overwhelming majority of Americans have been very vocal and very clear that a free and open internet is essential.

1286. Net Neutrality, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
I support net neutrality. I have COX as my internet provider, but do not subscribe to COX cable TV. I subscribe to Hulu, Amazon Prime, HBO Now. If net neutrality is eliminated what's to keep COX from slowing down access to Amazon Prime TV content to encourage me to subscribe to their cable? What if they decide certain content or information is bad for their business and impede access to their competition's websites? What if an internet provider decided a certain political candidate is better for their business and restricts access to their rival? The current protections in place prevent this type of abuse! Please protect
the consumer and the free flow of information and KEEP net neutrality. Who is looking out for the average American? Please support fair and equal access to content without corporate interference!

1287. Matthew I Hoffman, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I urge the commission to preserve the net neutrality rules currently in place. They are the only thing that can protect consumers from the lack of competition in the internet service provider marketplace.

1288. Cathleen Shen, Irvine, CA, 92612
I am commenting to state that I do NOT want the FCC to change net neutrality rules. A change in rules would take away our freedom on the internet, and negatively impact individual constituents and small businesses alike. I am asking the FCC board to vote NO on May 18th. Thank you for protecting our freedom.

1289. Conor D. Cox, Tustin, CA, 92780
I am writing in favor of Net Neutrality under Title II protections. The internet has value not by how it is transported but by what is put on it. Net neutrality insures a thriving environment where all content is created equal. This ensures the internet will grow and thrive and that a child in Arkansas is treated as equal to a billion dollar company in silicon valley. Cable companies have taken no action to demonstrate they were good and innovative players. The companies on the web however have turned a small government research project into a multi-trillion dollar economic, social, cultural, and unique space. Please don't favor entrenched players over the growth of the future.

1290. Laura Silberman, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Please protect Net Neutrality rules that protect a free and fair internet. Do not give highest bidders the ability to infringe on the First Amendment by being able to slow down internet content for small business who can't compete with Verizon, Comcast, Amazon. Rolling back Obama era rules is direct evidence this administration is putting big money interests over average American citizens. Do not end net neutrality.

1291. Anna Hasse, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
I support net neutrality and oppose Ajit Pai's proposal against it. ISPs need more regulation, not less. As they have repeatedly shown, ISPs cannot be trusted to voluntarily do things that are in the best interest of consumers.

1292. Andrew Kittson, Irvine, CA, 92604
please conserve net neutrality and Act 2, we depend on you to control companies from doing whatever they want. do the right thing.

1293. james beall, rsm, CA, 92688
I am against repealing regulation that guarantees ISPs cannot give preferential treatment to any web content or services for any reason. Internet traffic should remain classified as Title II.

1294. Jennifer derose, Irvine, CA, 92618
The internet is a utility- it is a requirement to life life in modern American society, to complete homework, manage work and finances. Regulation on pricing should control internet service as a platform utility and providers should not be allowed to price various content differently. If for no reason other than that most Americans get their news over the internet, allowing providers to control access through pricing could impact availability of certain information and lead to what amounts to corporate censorship.

1295. James Grierson, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Keep the internet neutral. It is not broken. There is no need to change ISP's title. Keep them title 2. Keep net neutrality.

1296. Shuheng Zhao, Irvine, CA, 92603
I am puzzled why FCC is ignoring the near monopoly of the ISP service in USA and does nothing to address that. But instead, you are giving more power to ISP by removing the Net Neutrality. ISP ranks the bottom among all industries in the national NPS (Net Promoter Score) ranking for a reason: they completely lack the ability to self-regulate themselves. Please continue the Title II enforcement and do not take away net neutrality.

1297. John Paulsen, Orange, CA, 92869
I support net neutrality and Title 2. Please preserve both.

1298. Maureen Kohl Mahoney,, Orange, CA, 92869
I support net neutrality and am strongly opposed to the elimination of such!

1299. Nathan Taft, Irvine, CA, 92614
I strongly support preserving net neutrality via keeping Title II protections in place.

1300. Christopher Giroir, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I work on building web content and part of what makes the internet great (and fosters creativity) is that if I made a new company, I don't need to pay comcast / time warner / and other ISPs in order to make my internet service fast. I just need to buy servers that can acceptably handle my business's traffic and usage patterns. Allowing ISPs to filter / throttle / and degrade traffic based on their own agendas will only work to end the amazing systems that have been built so far.

1301. Ian Reafsnyder, Orange, CA, 92869
Listen to the people. Listen to the taxpayers, the ones who provide the money for the government to run and the ones who are actually using the ISPs services. Listen to the ones that actually know how the Internet works, unlike you guys. Do not count bot comments as real comments, because you know better than that. Do not laugh off the comments of those who advocate net neutrality, because what we say is important. Many people need to use the Internet for important things, and choose to for quality of life, and many also do not have choice over ISP due to regional monopolies. Allowing the ISPs to have control over the internet is anticompetitive and unfair, allowing them to completely bypass the free market. They already have too much power.

1302. Phillip Chiu, Irvine, CA, 92620
Please continue to support net neutrality through the enforcement of the Title II regulations. To abandon net neutrality would irrevocably damage the internet, and will truly stifle innovation. Cable companies say they support net neutrality but they've proven time and time again to be untrustworthy in that regard. If the FCC is really acting in the interests of the public it must enforce net neutrality to ensure that the internet stays open and free.

1303. Paul Shervey, Irvine, CA, 92620
I would like to voice my support for existing ISP classification under Title II. I do not support re-classification of internet service providers under Title I as they previously were.

1304. Mike Tirohn, Irvine, CA, 92606
Please support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2. The reversal of net neutrality regulations will stifle competition and remove needed consumer protections.

1305. Manjunath Hegde, Irvine, CA, 92617
Net neutrality is a key aspect of having freedom on the data sent and received and to make sure the data is not censored or throttled in any way, no matter the content. Freedom on internet is in deep link with net neutrality for both the end customer and the data provider. Killing net neutrality It kills the ability of independent data publishers to reach the far corners of audience.

1306. Matthias Kohler, Irvine, CA, 92618
April 26 was my birthday, and destroying net neutrality regulations would be the worst birthday present ever.

1307. kaitlyn knoll, Tustin, CA, 92782
I fully support an open and free internet and urge you to keep title 2 in place. Removing this necessary regulation will harm job growth and make a powerful Monopoly even stronger.
1308. Alexander Deeter, Irvine, CA, 92602
I support net neutrality as it helps small companies compete on the same playing field as larger companies. Internet Service Providers have many ways to gain revenue and this so-called restoring internet freedoms will censor the internet. It will not censor to completely block out websites, but it will censor to the point that you will choose one service over another. With the parent companies behind internet service providers this unfairly favors their own media, point of view, and profits. I am also very disappointed in the FCC for losing my original comments and for roughly treating a member of our free press. Don't forget, this is America you're in. The land of the free.

1309. David Bucka, Irvine, CA, 92620
Preserve free speech and keep the playing field level. Do not allow corporations to put their finger on the scale by enhancing their digital capabilities with more money.

1310. Scott Lyon, Irvine, CA, 92620
I am very concerned about the FCC's proposal to reclassify broadband internet access services governed by Title II of the Communications Act. It is critical that the general conduct standard be retained and that the basic rules of net neutrality (no blocking, throttling, paid prioritization and transparency) remain untouched. The FCC's proposal to eliminate these rules and standards merely because ISPs request it (dangling the carrot of potential future broadband investment) is handing the reins of this regulatory agency over to the industry it is intended to regulate. Let me remind you - one of the key purposes behind the FCC's existence is to protect consumers from communications companies such as these. Allowing ISPs to throttle or block traffic takes content-choice away from the consumer and places it in the hands of the ISP (or the businesses who sponsor such activities). I strongly encourage the FCC to take a stand against ISPs and abandon this proposal, instead committing the agency to the protection of consumers and the support of net neutrality principles.

1311. Rod Fleischer, RSM, CA, 92688
This proposal will destroy internet freedom, it's a load of garbage. It is highly misleading, and telco carriers have shown no interest in actually promoting a free and open internet, why would they when they see dollar signs and want to make everyone pay as much as possible to get what they want? The Title II classification needs to stay, ISPs certainly DO offer telecommunications. Most of them even say that in their brochures. Please listen to the public, and change this proposal to something that is more consumer and open-Internet friendly. It's the FCC's job to protect the consumers, this proposal does not achieve that in any way.

1312. Yazen Nasr, Irvine, CA, 92612
I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title II of the Communications Act that implements it. I don't want it removed.

1313. John Davis, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Passing this would be the equivalent of spitting in the face of the American people.

1314. Elia Perez, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net-neutrality backed by title II-oversight of ISPs.

1315. Net Nutrality, Tustin, CA, 92782
Please preserve net neutrality. Please keep all providers title 2. This IS important and Ajit Pai is just a filthy lawyer looking out for profits of private companies and restricting american's rights to the free internet. What a dick.

1316. Svea Andersson, Orange, CA, 92869
We deserve net nutrality and title II

1317. Doug Smith, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I want the FCC to ensure strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I'm concerned my ISP will attempt to bully me into using their chosen services just as T-Mobile and others did with its now failed ISIS payment system and just as my ISP has proven it is willing to do time and time again with its nefarious and ubiquitous bundling of services I do not want/need with services I do want/need. I would also like the FCC Chairman to do his job to keep communications about the people utilizing them rather than the providers who want to make money from providing them. In other words... I want Mr. Ajit Pai do his current job and stop angling for his next one!

1318. Anand Kapoor, Irvine, CA, 92614
We would like FCC to keep existing strict Net Neutrality with Title II enforcement for Internet Service Providers (ISP). Thanks.

1319. James Heumann, Irvine, CA, 92606
Net neutrality and the use of Title II to ensure its survival is an imperative.

1320. Tasha Brown, LAGUNA HILLS, CA, 92653
I support net neutrality and title 2 and I urge the fcc to continue supporting it as well. Less regulation in this case is not the answer as businesses will have the ability to greatly influence consumer choices online if this regulation is lifted.

1321. Brian Pulley, Anaheim, CA, 92808
I am writing to support preserving net neutrality and title II regulations. I strongly support an open internet and an even playing field for all companies on
the internet.

1322. Gary Heiner, Orange, CA, 92867
I adamantly, VEHEMENTLY oppose removal of Title II restrictions on ISP's. It is already bad enough that there are very few options for an ISP in any given location, it is even worse, if not protected by Title II, that those ISP's will be able to cozy up with a select streaming service at the expense of all others. This would be highly anti-consumer and highly anti-free-market.

1323. Ryan Barekat, Irvine, CA, 92618
I'm working on a social media site and it will be dead on arrival if you kill net neutrality. Please keep net neutrality.

1324. Justin Yasuda, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II. Leave the internet alone. Do not let ISP's dictate what traffic has priority depending on how much consumers pay. Or who owns the ISP decide what content they will allow, block or promote.

1325. Rahul Chabra, Irvine, CA, 92603
Please do not allow big ISPS to throttle our internet services. Internet has always been free for everyone and should stay that way.

1326. Brian Weltmer, Irvine, CA, 92618
Leave strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II in place.

1327. Michael Quijano, Irvine, CA, 92614
It is very plain and simple, the internet is an essential tool which has been ruled as a basic human right. The internet is a single platform for education, communication, and community. We can talk across the world about topics spanning from fish to existence. One thing that cannot be tolerated is regulating the internet. We must encourage free growth of such a tool and unparalleled access to it across all peoples. We are not a profit center and one thing can be for certain: Having net neutrality is good for business, good for humanity, and good for America. The Obama Administration graciously held the internet to a higher power and protected it from smothering innovation, damaging the American economy and obstrucuting job creation. I urge the Federal Communications Commission to uphold the internet title known as Title II and prevent regulatory consensus that stunted the growth of the internet for more than 20 years. Corporations were caught red handed doing such terrible things and now seek to get free reign and cause direct harm. The plan currently under consideration at the FCC to repeal Obama's Title II power is a horrifyingly huge step backward and will only give rise to a truly disastrous and closed internet for everyone.
1328. Gabriel Briano, Irvine, CA, 92620
The FCC is disregarding the bulk of Americans who overwhelmingly wish to see Net Neutrality preserved by not rolling back Title II classification. Discriminating data should not be in the hands of ISPS and the lobbyists that actively push to deceive the general public to their benefit with little regard to the foundations of Freedom.

1329. Damien Remig Jahrsdoerfer, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
ISPs need to remain labeled as common carrier under Title II protections and rules. While it may seem "far-fetched" that ISPs would take advantage laxer rules by creating internet fast lanes, there is absolutely no valid reason for making that option available to them. The internet only functions as long as everyone has equal access.

1330. Lindsay Roberts, Irvine, CA, 92612
Keep net neutrality in tact. I'm not asking 'please' because it's legitimately the best thing to do for this country. Because of ISPs, we aren't even competing in the world's market - Mexico has faster internet speeds than us. Maybe someone try telling that to Trump?So seriously. Don't fuck with Net Neutrality.

1331. Kerrilynn Swanson, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
The IOT is much bigger than us. You can "end" net neutrality, someone will find a way around that. Internet access is almost unaffordable. Instead of trying to harness the internet you should be focused on the infrastructure, but money isn't made on the infrastructure, is it? You guys are like a sea captain that thinks HE can control the sea because he will it so. The internet is so much bigger than everyone, there is no controlling it. You are simply trying to extort money from the public in any way you can.

1332. Steven Castillo, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
I strongly support NET NEUTRALITY with TITLE 2 oversight of ISPs

1333. Jeffery Wayne Hyland, Anaheim, CA, 92807
Do not weaken the protections for the citizens of the USA. Leave the Net Neutral!

1334. Rachael De La Cruz, Irvine, CA, 92617
I oppose the repeal of Title II. I support net neutrality. The internet must be free and open.

1335. Travis James, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
To whom it may concern, I am very disturbed by the casual approach that the FCC is taking towards the elimination of Net Neutrality. It seems that the freedom and equality that is a pillar of US freedom is eroded at every turn. The internet flow of knowledge should NOT be governed by corporate preference.
Rather the FCC exists to protect the citizens, not to promote the agenda of billionaire special interests. The FCC is a government entity that should serve the will of the people!

1336. David Carmichael, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
Net neutrality is essential to the future of the USA. We are in competition with countries who would never consider abandoning such principles. If we do, they will win.

1337. James Kricfalusi, Anaheim, CA, 92807
I am in favor of net neutrality and am against recent efforts to curtail it.

1338. Dylan Gunderson, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Watch "They Live"

1339. Alan Buehne, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Access to the internet has become an incredibly important part of our society and our economy. Allowing giant corporation, many of whom enjoy practical monopolies, to control this access will inevitably stifle progress. It is important that we support net neutrality to the maximum extent possible.

1340. Heather Pham, Irvine, CA, 92604
I DO NOT agree with Ajit Pai and don't want him to continue down this road. The ISPs do enough to us already! I don't want to give them yet another way to gouge money from us.

1341. Alexander Jackson, Irvine, CA, 92603
I support strong Net Neutrality that is backed by Title 2 oversight. Please do not change its classification.

1342. Sunober Siddiqi, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Let net neutrality be as it is. It's ironic that those who supposedly support free market are now supporting an end to net neutrality. This will harm small companies and create a monopoly of a only a few large companies (Time Warner, Comcast, etc.). If there's only two companies that offer faster internet speeds, the people will be forced to pay whatever these companies want. What will happen to those who can't afford it? The poor will keep falling behind, this isn't going to help the people at all. Think of what people use the internet for. Businesses. Education. The only people who will be benefited by this are the GREEDY corporations and the individuals they're paying/lobbying. Save net neutrality!

1343. restoring internet freedoms, orange, CA, 92869
Net neutrality is vital for users to access information on the internet, therefore I
fully support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's.

1344. Robert Maxwell, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Please keep net neutrality rules under Title II.

1345. Barbara Rosen, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
Please support Title II New Neutrality.

1346. Roger Lee Nicholls, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's

1347. Jim Fleming, Irvine, CA, 92620
Tell Ajit Pai that I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

1348. Melanie Weir, Orange, CA, 92869
We need net neutrality. I want ISPs to be covered under Title 11. Do not roll back the regulations!

1349. Nick Doerr, Irvine, CA, 92618
Please retain net neutrality leaving it under title II authority.

1350. Tracy Brown, Irvine, CA, 92606
We must preserve net neutrality. I fear our new FCC Chairman is purposely misleading the American people regarding this important initiative.

1351. Bruno Bardine, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I support title II... Internet neutrality and hope that efforts are made to preserve it.

1352. Eric Tash, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
We MUST HAVE net neutrality! Title 2 oversight is desperately needed. Please don't sell us out to the highest bidder!

1353. Sandra Jaramillo, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
We must have net-neutrality. Title 2 oversight is much needed.

1354. Eva Lydick, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
I am asking that the FCC maintains the internet as a public utility to serve all the citizens in a fair and un-trampled manner. The internet, developed by the US Government at a cost to the citizens of the United States, is the primary means of communication in today's world. We need to ensure that it does not have individuals and corporations controlling what is sent and received for their own profits or persuasions. Please rule that no one whether or not they maintain the infrastructure of the internet (paid for of course by the consumer) should have
any influence over what is sent or the speed at which it is received. Without net neutrality, I worry about the openness and competitiveness of the U.S. society in the future.

1355. Nicholas Jay Denny, Irvine, CA, 92612
Please leave the internet open and freely accessible to all users equally, regardless of financial status and "corporate personhood". Both my job (I am a web programmer) and my personal beliefs compel me to defend the internet as I have known it since I started working with technology in the late 90's. My job depends on my company's website being freely accessible and able to compete with larger companies and financial institutions. There is no point having an excellent, state of the art website if no one or limited people can access it due to it not being in their "internet tier". The free flow of information and civic awareness depend on being able to access different perspectives and viewpoints, which is at the heart of the first amendment's defenses of speech, religious viewpoints, the free press, and the right to assemble peacefully. This is not possible if communication is limited by the ability to afford an expensive internet tier from the only internet provider available directly in my area (Cox Communications in this case). Competitiveness and the free market depend on a level playing field. A tiered internet as proposed by Comcast, Verizon, and other ISP's would eliminate this option for all but the richest among us. The FTC's after the fact, case by case appeal process is insufficient to address this concern, which will affect every human being from children to senior citizens across all sides of the political spectrum. Please give the internet the same protection that phone and other public utilities has. Our taxpayer money helped create it and helps maintain it - let it be accessible to all regardless of income.

1356. Gary McDonald, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
We strongly support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP. Please do not water down the current regulations as the average American consumer will unfairly suffer degraded service due to self-serving manipulation by providers.

1357. Jeff Allport, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Please preserve net neutrality by keeping regulators under Title 2

1358. Linda Allport, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
I am writing to express my support for preserving net neutrality and Title 2 of the FCC regulations. Net neutrality is essential to ensure a level playing field for all businesses that use internet connectivity. Thank you.

1359. Albert Glass, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2! It's clear that the head of the FCC and his stupid ass, oversized cup has an agenda and don't care about what the American
people want but what his ISP cronies want.

1360. Paul Crane, Irvine, CA, 92618
Save net neutrality! Protect equal access to information!

1361. Kade Clark, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
DO NOT KILL NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II
REGULATIONS OF ISPS! SUPPORT STRONG REGULATIONS OF ISPs,
PRESERVING CURRENT NET NEUTRALITY

1362. Ryan Guerrero, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Dear FCC, I am writing in opposition to the proposed re-classification of the
Internet as Title I. As clearly established by court ruling, the FCC can only
enforce rules against the ISPS if it is Title II (As it currently stands). To revert is
the same as destroying net neutrality. In reference to the supposed strain Title II
puts on the industry, it has not been stated by any publicly traded ISP that these
rules have hurt them. As an example AT&T has publicly indicated the
following in December: "Everything that we’re planning on doing fits within
those rules." In reference to ISPS not throttling, there has been many clear cases:
Netflix (by Comcast), Google Wallet (AT&T, Verizon, & T-Mobile). I ask that
the FCC maintain Title II classification and protect the American people from
these ISP giants. With Regards,
Ryan

1363. JOHN VARGAS, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Please support net neutrality
Thanks

1364. Michael Huang, Irvine, CA, 92618
I fully support the regulation of ISP's under Title II in order to protect net
neutrality. Reclassifying ISP's is not in our best interests and we need to make
sure that a small group of people do not make a decision without actually
thinking about the people this will affect. The FCC should not be making this
kind of idea especially considering that Ajit Pai was previously part of Verizon
Wireless' legal team. Changing the classification of ISP's from Title II
undermines the foundation of democracy and internet privacy and in no way has
my support.

1365. Net Neutrality, Irvine, CA, 92604
Dear FCC, Do not allow corporations, especially ISPs, to DICTATE to
American citizens how the internet can serve us. Net Neutrality requires FCC
protection from their tampering. Leave the status quo of Net Neutrality and
Title 2 alone.

1366. Gavin Gruenberg, Coto de Caza, CA, 92679
I support strong net neutrality rules. Please do not rescind the existing rules.
1367. Sameir Jabbar, Irvine, CA, 92618
Let's move forward not back, we need net neutrality.

1368. Aaron Biehl, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Work with ISP's every day in my line of work. Putting them back under Title I is a horrible Idea. It would be letting the wolf in the hen house. Total Disaster. Keep them classified under Title II

1369. Juan Gutierrez, Anaheim, CA, 92807
I support net neutrality. Keep Title II intact.

1370. Jason Kiang, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
This will put too much power into ISPs to control the ebbs and flows of competition where a new company will not have the same website speeds as established companies who pay higher fees for faster service for their web traffic, essentially putting competitive strings in the hands of ISPs. It also provides a totally new and unnecessary revenue stream for ISP companies already making billions of dollars.

1371. Christian Rickeby, Dove Canyon, CA, 92679
I support net neutrality and ISP oversight using title 2.

1372. Allison Risley, Irvine, CA, 92612
I support strong net neutrality regulations specifically under Title II of the Communications Act

1373. Darren Kerstien, Irvine, CA, 92612
Net neutrality rules are important and ISPs should continue to be classified and regulated under Title II. Thank you.

1374. Brenden Stone, Irvine, CA, 92620
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. One day Ajit Pai's over-sized mug will fall and shatter.

1375. Sanford Simon, Tustin, CA, 92782
Dear FCC, I am a firm believer in strong net neutrality and in keeping Title II oversight in place for our ISPs. Please continue the current policies as they support the rights of businesses such as mine as well as my family's.

1376. katya walker, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Must protect net neutrality by keeping title II coverage.

1377. Charlotte Van Noppen, Laguna hills, CA, 92653
Please preserve net neutrality. I strongly support title 2
1378. Kyler Chin, Irvine, CA, 92603
Please keep net neutrality. Millions of Americans jobs and businesses would be destroyed if not.

1379. David Kristofer Fried, Tustin, CA, 92782
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) must be protected. This is an extension of the 1st amendment, and the reason the internet must be a utility, and should (like it is in Finland) be established as a right of all American citizens.ISPs must NOT have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. This would effectively be the same as silencing voices they dissent with, thus impinging our 1st amendment rights.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. This is completely against capitalism as a free market system, and again, impinges the 1st amendment rights of the groups that are affected (even corporations).Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. Pai is a vile human being who should be considered an enemy to the American people, as he is more than happy to attack our constitution and 1st amendment rights.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. Thus destroying their 1st amendment rights.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!David Kristofer Fried

1380. Kyler Chin, Irvine, CA, 92603
Keep Net Neutrality! Millions of American Business and jobs could be lost! (as well as all those cat videos. meow.)
1381. Kyler Chin, Irvine, CA, 92603
A new proposal would destroy the FCC’s net neutrality guidelines, leaving the door open to ISPs creating Internet fast lanes that prioritize certain websites over others. Millions of people spoke out in 2014 to demand bright-line rules to ensure ISPs didn’t violate net neutrality. Now that victory is in jeopardy.

1382. David Fried, Tustin, CA, 92782
Anything that removes net-neutrality is an attack on our 1st amendment rights. Allowing corporations to choose what voices should be heard and which will be silenced is a form of corporate fascism. The Internet should be a RIGHT of all American citizens as it is critical to staying informed and participating in politics (as it is in Finland). The internet is a utility that everyone needs in order to stay connected to the world and make informed choices. Don't allow corporations to control our information further than it already does. Again, this is a 1st amendment violation and we will take this to court. Don't be on the wrong side of history.

1383. James Weinstock, Irvine, CA, 92606
Specifically I support strong net neutrality.

1384. Lucy Chu, Irvine, CA, 92604
Hello FCC I would like to decide my own freedom and not to have my carrier to choose for me! Thank you.

1385. Rajiv Puvvada, Irvine, CA, 92618
Net neutrality poses a tremendous threat to the viability of many sectors of the tech industry. It hurts everyone other than ISPs and will especially hit small tech companies hard.

1386. Cora Taylor, Irvine, CA, 92604
Please protect net neutrality and preserve Title 2. So little is left that hasn't been usurped by political or commercial opportunism. My family is one that would typically be left behind. Thank you, Cora B. Taylor-Judd

1387. Michael Murray, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Our household strongly supports current NET Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP. Please do not make any changes that would change our internet policies. Do not make the a pay for play structure. If you change it the ISPs will benefit and the small guys will suffer. We want an even playing field.

1388. GleeAnne Jeffries, Tustin, CA, 92780
I very supportly strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

1389. Christine Boyd, Redwood Valley, CA, 92869
Ensure net neutrality. Do NOT roll back these rules.

1390. Net Neutrality, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2!! For the country's sake.

1391. David Zetland, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Dear FCC, You job is to serve the people and a "level playing field" in communications does that best. David Zetland, PhD Mission Viejo, CA 92692
David Zetland

1392. Cairo Baldy, Irvine, CA, 92602
You, as a worker for the government have choices, both large and small. I urge you, despite the ludicrous amounts of money given to you by those companies that wish to control our freedoms for monetary gain, despite your peers and colleagues that would do so, please remember what this country stands for. Remember that you have the lives and ideals and hopes and dreams and rage and everything else that makes the United States united in your hands. These changes can and will have drastic impact on an entire generation's thoughts. Trust me, I am presently going through high school in California, I have one of the best gauges of exactly what your idea will bring. Do not throttle your people's freedoms. They are what we have, can and will stand for. Sincerely, Cairo Vance Baldy.

1393. Raymond P Demarjian, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Dear FCC, I am very much in favor of keeping Net Neutrality the way it is now. Do NOT sell us out to big business!!!! Raymond P Demarjian

1394. Bita A Karabian, Irvine, CA, 92620
Please continue to protect internet traffic using title II classification. Despite what the FCC chairman says this is the correct way to protect net neutrality, not leave it up to the isps.

1395. William Anthony Graham, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Repealing or damaging the current net neutrality laws will negatively affect our household and business. Besides business email, we currently conduct business on Etsy, Amazon and several other industry specific freelancer websites. Much of our work is stored primarily in the cloud with Google Drive and Microsoft Office 365. Having seamless, uninterrupted access to our cloud data is vital. We use Skype for Business for calls and messaging, together with Google Hangouts for group video conferencing. Net Neutrality rules have made our business operating expenses predictable, and significantly increased the ROI of our internet costs. These rules are not just a matter of movies and entertainment. The internet is the backbone of our telecommunications infrastructure at work and at home.
1396. Daniel Repasky, Irvine, CA, 92618
I support net neutrality. ISPs should not treat traffic from any source differently, as that would stifle innovation, small business, and increase potential censorship. The internet is more like a utility than a "service" in modern times, just like what happened when phones went to automatic switching.

1397. Walter Druker, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
Don't mess with our open internet!

1398. jack noonan, laguna woods, CA, 92637
Please don't let my small hands fall nto the big hands of controlling, corporate giants. History has shown far too often chavoc this can cause to individual freedom.

1399. John Sun, Irvine, CA, 92612
Net neutrality keeps internet data free and equal for all users, which is vital for the field of computer science. This allows programers to create new web applications, and share content and ideas without any restrictions. The removal of net neutrality will bring negative consequences for both the consumers and the software and programming industries.

1400. brian hea5, lake forest, CA, 92630
Please keep the internet open, and free from companies that want my personal information. Thank you!

1401. James Jamerson, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
Please maintain one open internet. It is important that each individual in the world have the same internet access to each and every other person in the world because talking not wars and armies effectively manages and resolves human problems. In addition, good decisions require good and timely information; so, it is essential to making good decisions that each person in the world have one internet to access all information in a timely manner.

1402. Taylor Emery, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Every day I feel that I am getting censored more and more and my freedom of speech is dwindling with every bribe that corporations are feeding the US government and agencies. Corruption is the norm and is getting worse with everyday that passes. Corruption and greed is the human flaw that will eventually destroy what is left of humanity and the big corporations blindly flaunt it at every opportunity. Free speech is almost extinct and net neutrality is one of the last freedoms we humans have in this beautiful yet disgusting world. Don't except the flaw, fight against it to your last breathe.

1403. Andrew R. Gizara, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Net Neutrality is a form of Freedom of Information that is most essential for the
survival of democracy in the twenty-first century. The phenotype of 'free-thinking' is as essential for the survival of the species as physical mutation as means of adaptation. Nature tends to extinct monocultures.

1404. Linda Claire, Irvine, CA, 92606
Net neutrality is critical to safeguard free and equal access to everyone.

1405. Michael, Orange, CA, 92867
"Banks are best positioned to regulate themselves".....and now we have 'too big to fail'. I wonder how this will play out with the ISPs...

1406. Chris McConaughy, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
I believe net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. We don't need huge companies controlling the net. Individual consumers should be able to use the net as they choose. These large companies would stifle small businesses.

1407. JEFFREY JONES, IRVINE, CA, 92614
Dear FCC, leave net neutrality ALONE. It is fundamental to my FREE SPEECH!

1408. Becky Watts, Anaheim, CA, 92807
I believe in free speech! Net neutrality is essential to preserving the rights of Americans!

1409. Janet Heck, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Please keep net neutrality strong. Let's keep a level playing field for all, and not let the Internet become a battleground where those with the deepest pockets call the shots. Fairness and free speech should come first!

1410. Kiana Mj, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
Net neutrality is fundamental to our democracy and should be protected.

1411. Kristapor Najarian, Anaheim, CA, 92807
Keep the internet free and open to everyone. We now have a chance to make sure that a new resource is available to everyone equally, not prioritized for a select few. Let's make that happen.

1412. Evan Burkin, Orange, CA, 92867
The Internet must remain as uncensored as possible. It is one of the wealthiest sources of information and different perspectives, and any form of censorship is dangerous. Also, I want to be in control of my Internet experience.

1413. John Randall, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Net neutrality is very important to innovation, which can ultimately leads to successful new businesses. Without net neutrality, larger companies could have
an unfair advantage over startups.

1414. Noel Cleary, Orange, CA, 92869
I love the net and it must remain neutral!

1415. Darryl Pinto, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Ending net neutrality will give way to effectively censorship. Even if it was unintentional. If you slow down the traffic for one website so much that it takes 2 minutes to load that website, people will not wait for it to load. This is effective censorship. Keep net neutrality! It is good for new business. It is good for freedom of speech. It is good for our nation.

1416. Debbie Wagner, Orange, CA, 92867
It's vital that the net remain neutral. If it's not, there's too great a chance of some corrupt government or official using it for their own agenda or shutting it down because they don't like what's being put online. Freedom of speech is a God-given right and also endorsed by the US Constitution and Bill of Rights. We must keep this freedom and enable it to spread worldwide.

1417. Jay Fong, Irvine, CA, 92602
FCC must protect net neutrality so that consumers can access a truly Open Internet, without any possible throttling or biasing by the ISPs. This is especially important when the ISPs also have a conflict of interest in services that they offer that compete with other services that require internet access for delivery.

1418. Mary Bishop, Irvine, CA, 92612
Net neutrality is extremely important to me. I am a college student and need the internet for research. Also, I do not think any company has the right to restrict internet access in any way. Taxpayer owned airways were given to ISP's for FREE by the government; these companies have no right to repay that generosity by restricting, even censoring, our access.

1419. Darrell Mansfield, Irvine, CA, 92614
Net neutrality is as important as our Constitution and if we lose it, we give big companies a right to control the public which is wrong. The 1st amendment was given to the people for this very reason.

1420. Ufuk Cemen, Irvine, CA, 92606
Leaving the net neutrality alone is the very right thing to keep the first amendment of this country.

1421. Vasuki Nagabhushan, Irvine, CA, 92612
It is better to increase the bandwidth and maintain net neutrality. It is utterly foolish, immoral and impractical to charge different rates for different streams,
when there are millions of streams on the internet.

1422. Michael Opsteegh, Irvine, CA, 92606
Net neutrality is essential to education and democracy in the 21st century. There is absolutely no good reason to put commercial interests in front of free speech.

1423. Lisa Faiman, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Interfering with net neutrality will further erode the people's ability to freely access information and exercise free speech. This is completely unacceptable and a violation of liberty.

1424. roy, Irvine, CA, 92604
keep net neutrality. don't mess with it.

1425. Jerome Schur, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
Please save net neutrality. Please.

1426. Jerry Sheffield, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I do not approve of censorship, which I feel will be be byproduct of a conglomerate of ISP's management. Innovation built the internet into what it is today......keep it that way!

1427. Cathie Haidar, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
America was built on free enterprise and this freedom has made America great with unlimited potential. As government adds restrictions and doles power to the few, we are becoming a limited and imprisoned country much like those countries our relatives have fled. Rather than standing as an example for the world, we are losing ground and potential with policies such as this one. Save our right to Net Neutrality and Freedom of Speech!

1428. Maria Medina, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I want to decide who and what to use as my lookup engine and decide how much internet I want to use. It's my choice and no one elsees this is America USA the only free country in the world. Please don't take that away from us. Why give a few company a monopoly on our internet. Don't shut the small guy out!

1429. Rob, Tustin, CA, 92780
George Orwell, 1984. Need I say anything else?

1430. William Graham, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
The current Net Neutrality rules have been a stabilizing factor for investment strategies and planning. Having predictable rules that ensured your bandwidth would not arbitrarily impacted by an ISP's policy changes has allowed for cloud infrastructure investments. Changing the existing rules throws us back into
unpredictable chaos.

1431. Robert Young, Irvine, CA, 92604
2 reasons why I support net neutrality. First, it will allow ISPs to charge greedy surcharges for high speed access to Netflix, Hulu, and Amazon, not because they must, but because they can. As a member of the 99% I canceled cable service to save money for my kids college, and stopping Net Neutrality could put is right back in the $200/month category. Second, it will inhibit entrepreneurs that do not have the funding to compete with big companies that can afford the new internet fees. Cancelling net neutrality serves the cable companies and NOT the American People. There is no reason for our government to make this choice.

1432. Mary Silzel, Villa Park, CA, 92861
NO MORE GOVERNMENT INTERVENTION, please!! Keep the Internet open for private control and use. We don't need FCC to interfere in free speech.

1433. Jane, Irvine, CA, 92618
Do not gut net neutrality! You claim it is in the interest of the people, but truly it is only in the interest of big companies. We the people have spoken out against it and yet you ignore us. Net neutrality is important to free speech and most of all, the internet DOES NOT BELONG TO ISPs. They do not get to control speeds based on greedy endeavours. It's absolutely mad how much money has a control on you. It's disgusting. Net neutrality invites growth and innovation -- do not hinder it for selfish reasons. I stand against gutting net neutrality!

1434. Luette Forrest, Irvine, CA, 92617
The internet is the new public square and it should be open to all whether big or small. It is not right to have it more available to people and corporations with more money to gain entry.

1435. Nora Echolds, Anaheim, CA, 92807
I do believe that we need to preserve net neutrality because it is fundamental to free speech. Without net neutrality only the rich and powerful will be able the reach their target population through the use of "fast" lanes bought with their deep pockets.

1436. Aidan Abregov, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Hey, in many aspects of life, some people have a much greater advantage than others, that's just how it is. But when we have to power to allow equal footing on a world wide network containing an incredible amount of information that should be available for everyone no matter their ethnicity, nationality, and certainly not their internet provider (!!!!) we should safeguard that right and allow equal and unrestricted access!
1437. Danika Dickerson, Orange, CA, 92869
Net neutrality is very important. Consumers have a right to choose what they intake on the internet. Ending net neutrality gives consumer power away to big companies who should be serving consumers not dictating them!

1438. Peter Cordova, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Please protect net neutrality. It is the most important thing we have to protect our fragile republic.

1439. Mike Firoved, Irvine, CA, 92618
Net neutrality is the only open and fair way that communications companies should operate on.

1440. Trent Charlton, Irvine, CA, 92618
I am extremely concerned that ISPs will have the power to throttle my internet use to their benefit and build a cost structure that hurts rather than helps me. They have no right to monitor my internet use or what I watch or for how long.

1441. Alec Marken, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Please do your job, and represent THE PEOPLE, and what's best for them, which includes protecting net neutrality.

1442. Sanford Simon, Tustin, CA, 92782
I have been on the Internet since the beginning. It has been a wonderful thing for my business and for me personally. I would request that you do not tamper with something that works so well. I do not want to see my ISP in control of what I see and my speeds. Listen to the users not big business.

1443. Van Boat, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Freedom of speech can not be tempered with the forefathers printed on the constitution protection for every American.

1444. Tom Mathis, Irvine, CA, 92656
The internet needs to remain open to all on an equal footing. There is absolutely no reason I can think of why any one or more providers (e.g., ATT, Verizon, Google, etc.) should have any more rights or control than any other. Keep the internet open and free for all.

1445. Jay Sherikar, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I am a self-taught programmer. My career would not be possible without a free and open internet. Having a free and open internet has so many intangible hard to measure benefits for everyone; it would be incredibly foolish to give this up for easily-measured, heavily-lobbied for interests that benefit the few at the expense of the many.
1446. Neil Augustine, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Do not change the Internet Neutrality as it exists today. Make no changes.
Concerned Citizen!! Thank You, Neil Augustine

1447. PJ Rosch, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Why would we let big companies
or anyone censor people online?

1448. Debra Bonnefin, North Tustin, CA, 92705
Please the internet must be an even-playing field. It's so important for everyone
to have access to information - not just the information that big business wants
us to have. Make Net Neutrality a default!

1449. Lisa Frost, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Keep your hands off the internet. Every time the government gets involved in
our lives is horrible. The consequences of this action is devastating! We will
fight!

1450. Pavel Roskin, Irvine, CA, 92614
Net neutrality protects startups. Innovation is unthinkable without startups.
Largest companies were startups one day. They succeeded because they had
good ideas, and because they were treated fairly. Law and fairness make
America strong. Net neutrality is part of it. Please keep America strong by
keeping America fair to small business.

1451. Anne Dunham, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Gutting net neutrality violates my right to privacy. I am in favor of keeping the
internet protected.

1452. Jim Pleasants, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I support neutrality and do not want to see big cable companies control my net
use.

1453. Steven Berg, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
For those of us born into the modern age of computing, the internet is as vital a
resource as libraries or roads. It is not acceptable to allow this resource to be
threatened, or administered by entities who do not respect this. Net neutrality
must remain alive.

1454. John, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
The internet is a basic necessity in the modern world. The net is a public utility,
access to which should be open to all at the same cost. Undoing net neutrality
would favor profit over the greater public good.

1455. Mitchell Sholly, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
As a small business owner, net neutrality is the protection I need if I ever want to go up against multi million dollar companies. If the big guys can pay their way to faster access to customers, my business has no chance. Keep the Internet free and neutral.

1456. Enrique Javier, Irvine, CA, 92602
Net neutrality protects innovators, entrepreneurs, and small businesses who are just getting started. It is a level playing field with equal opportunities for all.

1457. Greg Delman, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
I oppose any regulation that will restrict access to the internet. "Net Neutrality" is protected by the First Amendment; specifically that congress shall make no law abridging the freedom of speech.

1458. Michael Johnson, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
Protect the internet from becoming a Cable Wasteland. Paying premiums just to access a single site (with a hundred other worthless sites added into the bundle).

1459. Alan Yu, Irvine, CA, 92620
I do not want an internet that is run by internet and cable companies. They have the worst service and prices. Freedom of the internet should be liberty that is protected and not sold.

1460. charles E, lake forest, CA, 92630
I feel it should be left alone freedom of speech. If given control to company's you can be sure special interests will get involved and manipulate it. They will be able to bottle neck some while letting others fly by and it will be the highest bidder.

1461. Wil wilkins, Lake forest, CA, 92630
Leave the internet alone! No more government interruptions. No new legislation.

1462. Nancy Hand, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
I sometimes sell things through the internet. I post original content to the internet. There's no way I could pay a big company to make sure my stuff had "equal availability" as compared to their advertising if net neutrality ended.

1463. Professor Wayne Hayes, Irvine, CA, 92617
Net Neutrality is the idea that I should be able to choose what I want to watch on the Internet, rather than my ISP deciding based on its corporate interests. It is clearly fundamental to a 21st century free and democratic society, just as the First Amendment was and remains a fundamental tenant of democracy in America.
1464. Nira Brand, Irvine, CA, 92614
Net Neutrality is similar in some ways to our public library system, which for generations has allowed all Americans equal access to information and written culture, regardless of their wealth. How many people have pulled themselves up thanks to those resources! But Net Neutrality protects our access to the Internet -- a far more powerful resource for information, commerce, and freedom of speech. This type of free access helps the ideals upon which our country was founded continue flourish in our modern society, free from coercion or control by those with the biggest pockets. PLEASE safeguard this crucial resource for all!

1465. Jennifer, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Our Constitutional Rights are being attacked, every day, by our government. The internet, like radios frequencies, need to be protected from monopolies and from Corporate interest stopping Free Speech for money and political favors. Any decision against net neutrality is step towards gutting the 1st Amendment.

1466. David Robertson, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Net neutrality is essential to our lives and must be preserved.

1467. Christian Ziebarth, Tustin, CA, 92782
Please put the rights of individuals above the rights of corporations. It's the American way.

1468. Christine Bondiek, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I want the internet to stay free and open the way it is now. I do not want it regulated beyond what it already is today. I want net neutrality to remain as it is without interference from government or private companies. Net neutrality preserves free speech, protects small businesses, and allows us to freely choose what we watch and do online. Thank you.

1469. William Church, Irvine, CA, 92606
Net neutrality must be protected. I don't want AT&T, Comcast, Cox, or Verizon to throttle my internet speeds based on my usage patterns. I don't trust them to act in my interests should regulations be relaxed.

1470. Sandra Hill, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I am in absolute support of Net Neutrality as the "First Amendment of the Internet"! This freedom MUST be PROTECTED. Big companies control too much of our choices already and should NOT be afforded more. Please protect Net Neutrality!

1471. Steve Conrad, Orange, CA, 92867
Net neutrality is the basis of free speach. Please support net neutrality.

1472. Bryan Cleveland, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Please keep the internet a free and open marketplace. Uphold net neutrality!

1473. Jonathan Dysart, Santa Ana, CA, 92705  
I am starting to notice a discrepancy in the ability to access the net at the speeds I previously were able to use. I think that's redlining that's unfair and its downright unconstitutional! The Net belongs to the people!

1474. Andrew Kempe, Santa Ana, CA, 92705  
I support Net Neutrality. I am very disappointed that the FCC is even considering changing this important position. I believe that we are well on the way to the internet being more of a utility than a private service like cable. Cable companies are not known for delivering the best service, quality or products. Why would the American government at all be interested in expanding their monopoly? Please keep our current net neutrality rules and regulations in place.

1475. Doug Woodward, Irvine, CA, 92612  
The internet is one of the greatest achievements in human history. It stands alone in it's ability to spread knowledge, foster innovation, and bring humanity forward. I am proud that the internet was born here in the US. It is the enabler that will allow us to remain as the leader of the free world, and leader in innovation. Please stop attacking the open internet for greed. The American people have told you time and time again with the opposition to SOPA and PIPA that this is unacceptable and against the will of the people. Do not betray the duty of your office and go against this. Ensure that America remains a leader in freedom and innovation. Protect Net Neutrality.

1476. Patricia Baker RPh, Laguna Hills’, CA, 92653  
Having the big communications corporations decide what speed and what content I as a consumer can access is wrong. It is again putting the giant businesses before people. Profits and money for the companies first. They can still make a good return from a neutral Internet.

1477. linda fessler, trabuco canyon, CA, 92679  
Please don't sell out to Verizon and ATT.

1478. Chris Allan, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653  
The repeal of net neutrality is anti-consumer. ISPs cannot be allowed to sell websites the same way that cable companies sell channels. Net neutrality cannot be enforced without Title II classification, as the courts have already ruled. ISPs will and already have abused freedoms granted by a lack of regulation.

1479. steve conrad, orange, CA, 92867  
I am for net neutrality that allows consumers “not big companies” to choose what they watch & do online. Please vote to retain net
Net neutrality is important for startups to be able to thrive and be put on a level playing field with bigger players.

How would giving more power to these large companies "Make America Great Again?" Goodby Internet hello TOR..

Is this America still?????

As a small business owner, I rely on net neutrality to provide me a fair playing field to succeed. Please protect net neutrality for me and other entrepreneurs.

I would prefer corporations to not be able to limit what I do and do not see via my ISP, or have the ability to throttle speeds differently for different content.

I support STRONG net neutrality backed by title 2 protections. I will be calling my congresswoman (Mimi Walters) to ensure that she is aware of my support of net neutrality protections and ensure that my support in 2018 is contingent on her actions to protect this.

Keep Type II classification of ISPs to keep net neutrality

The internet as we know it cannot function without net neutrality. Without it, massive faceless corporations would be able to have complete control over the contact that we are able to reach and how quickly we are able to reach it. Regardless of Ajit Pai's outright and demonstrable lies that no company has attempted to violate net neutrality, there are dozens of easily documented cases where companies have violated both the letter and the spirit of net neutrality in order to cut off competition or prevent it from even existing. I am an IT professional; my livelihood depends on having an open and functional internet, as does nearly every modern company and industry. Do the right thing, don't capitulate to the special interests that are currently dictating your actions and enforce net neutrality.

I believe that strong net neutrality rules are important and the FCC should keep

neutrality.
ISPs under Title II. The internet is used for not only for information, but for so much of our communication in this day, it is vital for many day to day activities. Limiting our ability to reach any site or use any specific application is detrimental. Allowing ISPs the option to choose who gets better access to consumers will restrict our freedom of information and communication. It will hamper innovation and keep new companies from gaining any ground against those who have the financial wherewithal to pay for better connections. There is already so little competition for internet services and changing this rule will only solidify the lack of a free market in ISP services. Should my current carrier limit my access or raise their prices exorbitantly I will have few options to change service as there is maybe only one other carrier in my area, and I am lucky to have even that many. So much of life is now online and we need to be able to go to someone to ensure consumer protections and keeping ISPs under Title II will allow the FCC to play that role. It is absolutely vital that your agency work to protect smaller ISP options to ensure a slightly freer market, to protect those bringing new ideas to the market so they can compete with the established companies, and to protect all of the millions of internet consumers, yourselves included. The few large ISPs that are asking for changes to net neutrality should not be held as more important than the millions of users and entrepreneurs that count on a net neutrality for a free internet. The ISPs will continue to be successful without reversing the current regulations, but changing the rules to favor them will will hurt most everyone else.

1489. tom shult, Anaheim, CA, 92807
Do not remove title II. Keep the data lines a level playing field.

1490. David K Fried, Tustin, CA, 92782
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to our 1st amendment rights. If you attack net neutrality, you are attacking the constitution and should be seen as an enemy of the U.S.A. Don't touch it, or we will take you to court and put you in prison for the rest of your life. Thanks for not being a dick and trying to rob us of our freedoms! -_David K Fried

1491. Thai, Irvine, CA, 92606
Net neutrality under Title II!

1492. David Veeneman, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
We are opposed to the prioritization rules being considered by the Commission. We live in a community with only one internet access option, so we have no choice of providers. We pay for the top service tier, and what we are paying for is the fastest internet connection available. We don't use the provider's email or other services--what we are buying is fast access to services provided by other online firms. Prioritization would impair our ability to back up our data online, and to stream media from web sites other than the giants who dominate the
industry.

1493. Aaron Baranoff, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Keep Net Neutrality
This has grown the internet, jobs and tech innovation. Why screw up a system that works to benefit only a few large ISPs at the expense of everyone else. Reminder these are the same big ISPs that give use the slowest internet in the free world.

1494. Michael Davison, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Please do not repeal this law. Please protect my access to the internet and do not allow my ISP to regulate my access.

1495. Yin, Irvine, CA, 92614
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me because the freedom to use whatever website I want is a fair thing. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Yin

1496. Paul Cox, Irvine, CA, 92618
I support strong net neutrality laws that is backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's,
to do anything less would be disingenuous to the countries people, and put all
the power of the monopolies many of these companies have in areas around the
country into once again, dangerous territory,

1497. Addison Schwarz, Tustin, CA, 92782
Don't take away Net Neutrality, this is a step entirely in the wrong direction.

1498. Ana Simonovic, Irvine, CA, 92618
I take great offense at the effort going towards making the internet less free.
Don't give more power to big corporations than they already have. I support net neutrality.

1499. Drake Song, Irvine, CA, 92620
What would our Founding Fathers want. The government was created for the
people.

1500. Larissa Stanton, Irvine, CA, 92618
Net neutrality is important, and an open internet is integral to that. The internet
is an educational tool that I personally find invaluable, and it's the easiest means
for me to keep in touch with family and friends both across the country,
continent, and the world. Allowing that access to be throttled, or given lower
priority, is fundamentally wrong. My ISP shouldn't be able to infringe on the
content I view, or the content I share. ISPs shouldn't have the power to
fundamentally manipulate information or communication, or provide VIP
services purely for the rich consumer or profitable business.

1501. Brandon Brown, Tustin, CA, 92780
I believe that Net Neutrality must remain, especially in the current era we are in.
The advancement in technology has not only made internet important, it has
made it a necessity, and as a necessity, it should remain neutral, and not be
controlled or manipulated by anyone, including current or future internet service
providers. The internet needs to remain an open, free platform for all and give
ISPs the power to slow down or shut down connection to certain websites or
anything they do not like or agree with. That is censorship and a direct violation
to my first amendment right as a Citizen of the United States of America.

1502. Jeff Loggins, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
The possibility of this being overturned is just disgusting and is everything
that's wrong with America.

1503. Eugene Miao, Irvine, CA, 92602
Please keep Net Neutrality. Maintaining equal internet access for everyone is a
basic right.
1504. Jacob Fleming, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I am in support of the current net neutrality laws and keeping ISP's classified under title 2. I believe in the American principle of equality for all and allowing ISP's to sell priority access to the internet is an attack on our way of life. Restricting net neutrality like this would threaten to disconnect people like myself from the friends and loved ones that live far away if one company decides to hinder the connection of a particular app in order to force people to use their own app which may not be as good as the one they are hindering.

1505. Noelle Riley, Irvine, CA, 92618
The open internet and net neutrality are highly important. Restrictions would cause worldwide slowing of the spread of ideas. We need to progress as a society, not hold ourselves back.

1506. Elizabeth J Metcalf, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
The internet should remain NEUTRAL. It is a way that knowledge and information is shared to all areas of the world, and companies should not be given the ability to regulate the net depending on who the highest bidder is. Yes, they are providing a service...but they don't OWN the internet. They don't OWN all the content that comes with it. That should be left to the people to decide. I do not support overturning net neutrality.

1507. David Gettinger, Irvine, CA, 92604
I very strongly support the Title II classification for Internet Service Providers and the Open Internet Rules, and very strongly oppose Chairman Pai's plan to remove them. They are the only thing preventing ISP's from putting up something akin to a privatized Great Chinese Firewall in the name of squeezing America for money at the expense of freedom of speech, fair business, and economic growth. It is utterly corrupt to allow ISP's to form non-competitive monopolies as they exist today and also let them decide how and what information is passed to their customers. To put the power of deciding what people can see online and what businesses rise and fall on the internet into the hands a few soulless behemoths is a failure to American businesses and America as a whole. And if Chairman Pai successfully ends Title II classification it is basically inevitable these things will come to pass, and the FCC will have fundamentally failed America.

1508. Tyler Collins, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Our net neutrality needs to remain intact. ISPs should be considered builders of infrastructure, nothing more and nothing less.

1509. Save Open Internet, FCC, CA, 92602
The internet should not be setup in a way where certain companies with lots of lobbying power and money can pay to have a fast lane. This could and will
hamper new internet startups who do not have the power or money to compete with larger companies that would be able to take advantage of this system. Sometimes more government trying to control something that is growing as fast and as dynamically as the internet doesn't work out for the best. Similar to how the Republicans failed to control POTUS (a dynamic and fast to ridiculous comments POTUS).

1510. Ryan Germann, Tustin, CA, 92780
Please treat the Internet the same way we treat electricity and water. Being in a digital age with so many companies and activities requiring the use of the web, it would be blasphemous to treat the Internet as if it was a privilege and not a standard of living.

1511. Blake Awamoto, Irvine, CA, 92603
Net neutrality is very important. Please do not place a limitation on the incredible resource that is the internet!

1512. Alexis Pinedo, Orange, CA, 92869
Giving businesses the ability to slow down bandwidth for certain sites isn't fair for it's customers. It isn't ok for me to have to use sites time warner cable wants me to use because they have an agreement with hulu, when i am a netflix user.

1513. Endrit Muqolli, Irvine, CA, 92606
The internet has been the single greatest advancement in human history. It includes the culmination of centuries-worth of human knowledge and ingenuity in one convenient space. Giving leverage to large internet service providers (ISP's) to restrict and bastardize content on the web will pave the way for these same ISP's to further solidify their monopoly on a premier public good. Net neutrality must be upheld for the good of the citizenry.

1514. Kelly Kiewel, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Please don't restrict the internet, its a great place.. and this would be ruining it.

1515. Patrick Farrell, Irvine, CA, 92612
The internet is better off with the rules the FCC currently has in place. ISPs and big companies will take advantage of the lack of strong net neutrality rules as we have already seen them try to do.

1516. Dmitry Kotidi, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Dear FCC, You need to stand up for Internet users like me and keep the net neutrality rules that are already in effect. You should reject Chairman Ajit Paiâ€™s proposal. Not only it goes against the spirit of the Constitution and Liberty ideals we are based on. It also may become an example and affect Internet as an environment world wide. The Internet was built on net neutrality principles, and we canâ€™t abandon them now by allowing broadband service
providers to become Internet gatekeepers. When you pay for access to the Internet, that’s what you should get: the whole Internet, not just the version your service provider wants to give you.

1517. 0, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Net Neutrality absolutely must stay. I don't want to be restricted in what I can search for and see.

1518. robert gordon, lake forest, CA, 92630
Protect the open internet. do not role-back net neutrality rules, it's wrong

1519. Cecil Armstrong, Irvine, CA, 92614
Net Neutrality is key to a free world. One safe from oversight and control by individual billionaires. Protect the people from abuse at the hands of corporations. Fight for the PEOPLE THAT SUPPORT THIS COUNTRY not the corporations that buy it into slavery.

1520. Riley Goodfriend, lake forest, CA, 92630
Y'all are faggots and think you can take my freedom away. Haha.

1521. Daniel Watson, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
vital for small businesses

1522. Yeon Hee Kim, Irvine, CA, 92620
Open internet and net neutrality is part of our rights of freedom.

1523. Christopher Giroir, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I support net neutrality. Please do not let ISP's control what content on the internet I have access to. A true open internet is best for all involved.

1524. John Scott, Irvine, CA, 92604
After several attempts, it should be clear that the United States does NOT want to end Net Neutrality. It will only further corporate greed and further political agendas in a negative fashion. We do NOT want Internet Service Providers to dictate what websites should load faster or slower, and we do NOT want them to sell us our Internet at a premium to reach the pages and servers that we have utilized for years. And frankly, we are tired of the shady attempts by ISPs and politicians using stolen identities to lobby and vote in favor of ending Net Neutrality. End the nonsense, and keep Net Neutrality, for good.

1525. Andrew Sorensen, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Internet access should be treated as a utility, a threat to net neutrality is a threat to this modern necessity. There is no realistically conceivable benefit to the consumer should net neutrality die. Eliminating equal internet access would be a serious disservice to the American people.
1526. Bryce, lake forest, CA, 92630
   I'm shocked that people think it's okay to control the masses in any way shape or form. Continue to do so in subliminal and practiced marketing techniques, but please also continue letting me browse on my own accord.

1527. Treyden Talbot, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
   I am appalled that access to what has in the past already been declared and defended as a right for all, an internet that runs equally for everyone everywhere, is now potentially being opened up to yet more corporate exploitation. Internet Service Providers already charge monthly fees for what has become a basic necessity for life in America, internet access, and changing net neutrality regulations right now, in this way would allow them to extort even greater fees and have greater control over the lives of small Americans.

1528. Andy Nguyen, Irvine, CA, 92614
   You guys clearly care more about overthrowing net neutrality so this is probably futile. But you guys are cowards for being so deep into the corporate pockets of the ISP's. Do your job for once instead of hail corporate.

1529. Michael Keelin, Tustin, CA, 92780
   The internet needs to be open to all. Net Neutrality needs to be protected for all, and allowing ISP's to throttle, block, and provide paid prioritization will no longer allow full access to the entire internet to US citizens. The internet allows for free speech, and limiting that access limits that free speech which makes the internet, and this country, great. Neither mobile nor home connections should be limited in this way, all must be treated with equal respect.

1530. Tristan MacMurray, Irvine, CA, 92612
   I believe net neutrality should be protected and maintained. The threat of NO net neutrality is unconstitutional. The internet can be used as a means to express freedom of speech, religion, and press and needs to be protected.

1531. Christopher Sherman, Irvine, CA, 92612
   Net neutrality is a vital component to a society in which everyone can live happily. It is important to maintain net neutrality and ensure that even those without a lot of money can have access to information. Christopher Sherman

1532. Ronald Shang, Irvine, CA, 92620
   Please don't let the ISPs restrict our access to content AND charge us for it. This is less like cable TV packages, the Internet is an important tool for communication whether it's by text, image, sound, or video. It allows people's voice to be heard regardless of time, distance, religion, or ideologies by those who choose to listen. Don't let our ability to communicate our thoughts, and to share in that of others, be subject to the whims of companies who are interested in nothing but how to monetize our needs and desires, or worse, shape them.
Thank you for your time.

1533. David Walker, Irvine, CA, 92618
Keeping Net Neutrality is key for future innovations. The internet is a vast cornucopia, ripe for competition, ripe for new businesses to find a key feature, develop on it and gather a following. But the key to this is an even playing field, which requires a Neutral Net. The carriers should not care what data they are transporting, the bits on the line do not, and should not matter to the person transferring them, data is data. Let's keep it that way. As a brief counter point to the point of 'stifling competition', assuming that this will stifle competition for carriers (which it may or may not, but let's assume it does for argument's sake). This means maybe a dozen companies are now unable to be competitive. But, this allows the millions and millions of services on the internet to compete with each other, and none of them have to worry about being unfairly penalized because their data is treated different from someone else's data. Millions of people pursuing their own ideas on a completely level economic playing field. Or a few dozen companies stifling millions of people from competing fairly with each other. From a purely economic viewpoint, the choice is clear, let the millions compete on fair and equal footing on the internet.

1534. Vincent Nguyen, Tustin, CA, 92780
The internet needs to be free. The way it's going right now ISPs have a monopolistic stranglehold on this country. It is stifling innovation, freedom of speech, and the well-being of this country. Upholding net neutrality is one of the few parts that will truly make America great gain. Please do not ruin this great country.

1535. Jason Boyle, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
As someone who lives in an area with only a single choice for cable internet provider I support Net Neutrality to protect my access to an open and equal internet where all traffic is treated equally and not subject to the potentially limiting rules of a single company that operates as an effective monopoly. In this situation, my cable company is effectively a utility and needs to be regulated as such.

1536. Jeramin Leong, Irvine, CA, 92612
You can't take away our rights

1537. Casey Cunningham, Tustin, CA, 92780
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY! Internet has become a basic need for the average working American, so allowing large companies to manipulate the internet gives them far too much power over the people.

1538. Corey Gibbel, Orange, CA, 92867
I support net neutrality and a free Internet that provides neutral access to all
websites and content

1539. Kyle Ferguson, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
I buy the ability to access the internet from my ISP. They advertise a fast, reliable connection to the ENTIRETY of the internet. That means that if I want to have my email through a different service than that provided by my ISP, or want to access websites competing with my ISP, that is my prerogative. Title II is necessary to keep this producer/consumer agreement valid, and to ensure an open internet that promotes innovation, competition, and progress.

1540. Stefan Forschner, Orange, CA, 92867
Keeping the internet free is utterly important to keeping our country free. Internet Service Providers should not be able to restrict our freedoms in the name of profits, the thought of that happening to our country is just terrifying.

1541. Briston Brown, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Information, connectivity, and business. This is what the internet offers me. It offers me information about what's going on in the world, with my family and friends, or just simple info on how to make a pleasant dinner for two on a budget. It brings me joy through gaming with my friends and it keeps me closer to my family and friends afar. We could be states, even continents apart but the internet connects us and gives us information. It gives me the convenience of shopping and providing for my family when we can't leave the apartment. It's needless to say that removing Net Neutrality will greatly affect my family, my friends, and especially me. I don't want to have to fear that my ISP can dictate what information I receive, how I can stay connect with friends and family, and how and where I can shop online. I don't want to be paying a ISP money I barely have to shove a website down my throat or tell me I can't go on my favorite websites unless I pay for a premium. I don't want to be paying a ISP extra money just so I can visit a site I can normally visit right now or fear that my favorite websites will be blocked or slowed down. I don't want to be paying a ISP more than I should only to be shoved on the slow lane unless I fork up more money. Net Neutrality gives us, the consumer, the people of the United States the freedom to choose what we visit, see, and go to on the internet and protects us from our ISPs that have intentions of only sucking more money from us and controlling us rather than providing the basic service we all deserve. By removing Net Neutrality, you are giving our internet freedom to the highest bidders so they can gouge the consumer of their freedom unless they pay more for it. This is simply unfair and will not better the consumer, only the provider. Why do we, the people of the United States, need to constantly defend our freedom and right to Net Neutrality from money hungry ISPs that have no intention or best of interests of the American People. Here this loud and clear - Net Neutrality is not broken nor does it need to be fix; especially by lobbyists and ISPs who only have the best interest for themselves and not the
consumers/people of America. What I and the people of America expect you, the FCC, to do is to protect Net Neutrality from those that seek to destroy it for a quick buck. It's very clear and obvious that anyone that opposes Net Neutrality only has a corporate mindset to make money and not provide for the people.

1542. Byron VanHoose, Tustin, CA, 92780  
If the internet becomes monetized, everything we know and every way we live our daily lives will drastically change for the worse. Please consider that as you get an email from your child, or "google" anything for an answer, or shop Amazon. That will all change. Do you think it will be better if your experience is monetized?

1543. Robert Anthony Bustamante, Orange, CA, 92867  
Easily accessible internet is the lynchpin of modern society. Disparity in access prevents those denied fair access the knowledge and opportunities that the internet brings and could lead to even greater divisions in the American population. The internet should be treated as a public utility, with fair and equal access for all. Furthermore, as someone who purchases internet service, I find it disgusting that my ISP is allowed to get away with not providing me the service I pay for in terms of bandwidth. Imagine going into a grocery store to buy a loaf of bread, and receiving only half a loaf after paying full price. This is what ISPs do with internet service, in addition to not providing needed infrastructure upgrades in order to provide the service they are selling to their consumers. Please do the sane and right thing; preserve net neutrality.

1544. Auzzsa Eaton, Irvine, CA, 92617  
Having my common services compete for attention is not what I pay for.

1545. Mitchell Rosewitz, Lake Forest, CA, 92630  
Net neutrality is important. Others said it better than I have, and more will continue to do so. Please heed their warnings, namely about people using this to political ends, as well as using it to twist the free and open market.

1546. Kelly Cornilliac, Irvine, CA, 92606  
Net Neutrality is a vital part of the internet and repealing it will be inherently damaging to its users. Please do not allow internet usage to be stymied and throttled for the sake of corporate monopolies.

1547. Jacob Song, Anaheim, CA, 92808  
As a student, having an open internet has allowed me to access many different sources of information in order to further my education. The ability to reach all these different sources equally and fairly has been important in maintaining integrity in my education, and losing this aspect of the internet would greatly hurt my future. When I buy broadband internet access, I'm buying it for reliable access to the internet. My email, cloud storage, and web hosting needs are all
met by services other than my ISP. I believe the FCC should look out the for the wellbeing of citizens' access to information. All people deserve access to the different parts of the internet equally, and the FCC should be the ones to enforce this and prevent ISP's from deciding what information people do or don't get to see.

1548. Jack Rafter, Irvine, CA, 92603
The internet needs to remain open to all in order to allow for innovation to be fostered and grow without having to pay a premium to companies that seek to restrict it.

1549. Valentina Shumskaya, Irvine, CA, 92618
Please uphold net neutrality, and allow for access to the entire internet without paid prioritization, blocking or trolling of websites

1550. David Hollings, Irvine, CA, 92603
The new control this proceeding proposes are not in the best interest of the general public. Its no secret, the ability to record and share information is essential to every single person of this entire world. There should be no control over what data is available or how easily accessible any bit of information on the internet is. The ability to easy share data and information with people world wide should not be taken for granted or controlled for any financial purpose what so ever. It's too important. Do not allow Internet providers to block or limit what is available on the internet of my cell phone, desktop computer, or tablet's in any way. Make no mistake, this power can limit freedom of speech. Whether or not ISPs DO limit freedom of speech isn't the discussion at hand. It should not be their decision. The consumers need to decide.

1551. Jerry Tu, Irvine, CA, 92618
Net neutrality is basic stuff. To repeal it would be to set America back compared to other more progressive countries and put us more in line with countries such as China. Please don't do something so utterly against what it means to be an American.

1552. Xavier Lian, Laguna Hills, CA, 92656
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers, denouncing Chairman Pai's plans. The internet is one of mankind's greatest achievements, an essential tool for advancing our society. It must remain uncensored, and accessible to all. Chairman Pai's intentions will push us over the edge of the slippery slope that this issue is involved with. If we were to lose net neutrality, what is there to prevent internet service providers from censoring views they do not support? Millions of people rely on the internet to educate themselves about current events. If any individual company or group were given the power to influence this many people, we would
essentially be living in a dystopia. Once again, I strongly support strong net neutrality.

1553. Alan Lee, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
This is absolutely unacceptable, just because this archaic system of government is bound by the idiotic sense of nationalism we choose to give into stupidity and fear, you should all be ashamed of yourselves for being a part of that system.

1554. Sam Cronkite, TUSTIN, CA, 92780
Protect the internet. SAVE net neutrality.

1555. Steven Alvarez, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
It's ridiculous that we have to do this again. We STILL don't want paid prioritization.

1556. Fillip Peyton, Lake forest, CA, 92630
Without the free and fair internet, my career as a web developer is in jeopardy. No longer do we have freedom for everyone to access all content. When I purchased my internet service, I understood I would be receiving access to all of the internet at the same rate as any other content on the internet. We are in jeopardy of losing that fair spread of information. I only have access to one ISP, and if that ISP is throttling certain content, that is not fair to me.

1557. Cameron Ladjevardi, Irvine, CA, 92603
Everyone should have access to the entire internet without paying more. Paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling go against our freedom as citizens of the United States of America.

1558. Spencer Kenney, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Save the internet, keep net neutrality.

1559. Kyle Webb, Irvine, CA, 92620
It is my strong opinion that the internet must remain unregulated by federal government with regards to Net Neutrality. Creating and enforcing regulations that the federal government already does not truly understand altogether, combined with leveraged and lobbied ulterior motives, cannot be allowed to be repealed. Further more, I believe repeal of this legislation will detrimentally affect the quality of life for all citizens with regard to a service, especially one that is now publicly defined as a utility and as necessary as any electric, water, sewage or trash services.

1560. Sea Ackerman, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
The internet needs to stay an open and free place or else America will become like a communistic, monopolized place with no self expression. Close the gap between the generations, help small businesses thrive, keep the net neutrality.
rules in place. If you truly want to make America great again, stop restricting the people and listen to them instead.

1561. Kian Thompson, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
I want the current Net Neutrality laws currently in place to remain. Internet service providers must not be allowed to control how customers browse on specific sites. Allowing them this power just creates monopolies and reduces free speech.

1562. Aaron Liu, Irvine, CA, 92620
Keep Net Neutrality. As a millennial, the Internet has become an integral part of life. It is a haven of free thinking, where anyone with a connection can have their ideas broadcast around the world. If you destroy net neutrality, you threaten not only companies that are based off the internet, but also access to information. You will allow companies to be able to monopolize the internet. Please preserve net neutrality.

1563. Dane Bryan, Orange, CA, 92867
I believe that the internet should be a free, and public area for all people. I stand for net neutrality.

1564. Kevin Boggs, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
I want Net Neutrality to be preserved. I don't want ISPs filtering, or in any way limiting, my access to the internet.

1565. Michael Kautzman, Irvine, CA, 92603
I support the existing Net Neutrality rules and Title II classification for ISPs. I have but a single ISP in my area. It is a de facto monopoly and without the current rules in place, my access to an open Internet is in serious jeopardy as there is no competition to offer a better service. Beyond my personal needs, my job depends on this open Internet. I work for a company that offers services through the Internet and our entire business could be threatened by ISPs who simply no longer want to move our data through their network, or decide they want to charge the people using their service more for the products we provide. ISPs would be allowed to run a market of extortion and a sort of 'Internet Protection Racket' if these rules are overturned. Title II serves the people and business the best. There is no uncertainty as an individual as to what content will be available (or the speed at which it will be available), and there is no uncertainty as a business as to whether or not a given provider will play favorites against you tomorrow. Again, I strongly support Title II classification and the existing rules for these reasons.

1566. Joshua Chenlee, Tustin, CA, 92782
The Internet needs to be protected and kept as it is! DO NOT let anyone infringe upon Net Neutrality!
To the FCC, First, thank you for taking the time to read my message. I understand that net neutrality is a complicated matter that ignites the passions of many individuals from both sides of the issue, but I believe topics such as these should not be clouded by emotional appeals. This is why I am writing to describe my experiences and expectations involving the internet. I am a self-employed individual who, like many, relies on net neutrality secure my business and showcase my work. I am a novice writer who reaches out to readers via a blog. I also work to help others maintain their blogs/social media accounts. People, myself included, cannot compete with individuals and companies who have more established names and are nationally well-known. They say that repealing these rules won't affect small sites, but who is to stop an ISP from decreasing the speed at which my page loads on my readers window? What if the advantage were only given to Wordpress or Facebook users? I know this may not seem like life or death and that the problems seem trite, but I have financially supported my fellow writers/video producers. I've seen realtors and small businesses rely on Facebook and blogs to spread their name. For us, the American dream is still alive and thriving. Small, inconspicuous individuals can get ahead if we work hard and never give up, but what people fail to mention is that competition can only thrive if their is equal footing. So if you want to keep the American dream alive, then save net neutrality. Help us keep the dream going for the next generation. Thank you, Nidia Sandoval

Don't let people who don't know their own systems try to extort the public markets for things they have no real investment in, there's too few people responsible for the internet for it to not remain neutral or we'll have a monopoly on it within a few years.

The internet is a form of freedom of speech. It's a public library. It's not something that can be owned privately and controlled for corporations and their agendas. It must remain free and accessible to all.

Listen to the people, do not go forth with this

As a current student of UC Irvine, and soon-to-be graduate, I spend much time on the internet, both for my studies and for entertainment. As a citizen of the internet, I am terrified of what might happen if the FCC gives up its oversight of ISPs. Their promises of internet fast lanes mean that there will be slow lanes as well, and they get to choose which websites will receive the brunt of the burden that results. ISPs can not be allowed to choose which websites receive this sort
of special treatment, as the internet has been long valued for its free and equal nature. There will be nothing stopping ISPs from creating mafia-like scenarios, where they will throttle access to any website that doesn't pay for their "fast lane" membership, which will hurt or directly destroy small websites that cannot afford to do so. While I personally have multiple choices in broadband ISPs, a majority of the country doesn't, so they will be unable to change to another service if their own service provider does not meet their expectations by drastically increasing their prices or downgrading their services. These ISPs cannot be allowed to self-regulate, and the FCC must continue their oversight of the internet as a basic utility in the modern age of information.

1572. Kevin Wellman, Irvine, CA, 92612
Dear FCC, we need real net neutrality. Protect internet users from monopolistic ISPs and don't let companies censor, slow down, or block websites while requiring other sites to pay for faster service. Please stand up for all Internet users and our right to communicate."

1573. Brandon Mitchell, Irvine, CA, 92612
Please do not take away Net Neutrality. I only have access to one service provider at my current address, and I am already not very happy about my limited options. I do not want my provider having the ability to block content or slow down the speed of my connection every time I try to stream a service from a competitor such as Netflix and Amazon. All I want from my service provider is a fast and reliable service to the internet, without any bundling or unnecessary services. I do not want to be forced to purchase unnecessary email accounts, cloud services from my provider. I do not want to have to purchase cable packages because my streaming services have been ground to a halt by reduced streaming speeds on particular websites. I think the consumer should be able to have a voice and a CHOICE in the matter. Again, most of us, and where I live, have no other option but one provider. I believe that the FCC is, and can play a huge role in protecting consumers from over zealous service providers. The internet is freedom of knowledge and freedom of expression. It is the most important network of our lifetimes, and it needs to be protected by the FCC. We need a free and open internet!

1574. Nathan Watson, Irvine, CA, 92618
Keep net neutrality. As if things aren't shitty enough already. Don't make them worse by trying to control the internet.

1575. Maximillian Lew, Irvine, CA, 92620
There is only one internet, and the freedom to access the content we find suitable for ourselves isn't something to be sold off.

1576. James, Irvine, CA, 92602
I feel that Net Neutrality is just taking a little bit more of our freedom away from a nation that's suppose to be free.

1577. Eric Chen, Irvine, CA, 92614
I support net neutrality not people being paid by big companies with special interests

1578. Tyler Hogan, Irvine, CA, 92618
I strongly support keeping net neutrality laws. If they are kept in place, our internet freedoms are kept intact. If they are repealed, this leaves the opportunity for ISPs to create faster service packages for content makers / products online. Even if they say they will not implement anything like that, leaving the net neutrality laws in place is the better stance to take to 100% guarantee equal internet opportunity.

1579. Lemon Calulut, Irvine, CA, 92618
This shit you're doing MUST STOP! FCC keep NET NEUTRALITY!!!! If you continue on this path of rolling back the current neutrality rules, then there will be political repercussions. Your job is to protect people from being coerced and abused by unrelenting corporations whose sole goal is to enrich themselves. So, DO YOUR JOB AND KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!

1580. Joy Du, Irvine, CA, 92614
The internet needs to stay open and free for everyone to browse, stop trying to take away freedom of the internet. It is ridiculous that we even have to argue this point. Why do these companies which already make so much money insist on being so toxic and greedy?

1581. James Lee, Irvine, CA, 92604
Internet service is closely verging upon, if not already, becoming a basic human need. The level of contact it allows for individuals to have with others across the globe fuels the global market. Our current restrictions are working and there is absolutely no need to deregulate ISPs. Please do not allow the possibility of ISPs to hinder access to the internet.

1582. Aidan Abregov, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I believe that net neutrality is the last line of defense before the internet becomes less of a tool for freedom, and more of a club for wealthy corporations to threaten and interfere with certain peoples' abilities to effectively use the web. I believe that in this day and age, equal access to the internet and the information on it is a right everyone should have.

1583. Matthew Stevens, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
Please, don't get rid of net neutrality. With our society being in the information stage, access to the entirety of the web should be more right than privilege,
especially in a country that prides itself on the guarantee of freedom and rights for all.

1584. Kelsea Wood, Irvine, CA, 92602
Do not censor the internet! Keep the web free!

1585. Andres Torres, Tustin, CA, 92780
The internet is NOT a COMMODITY to be controlled by the corporations. The internet is a tool used to gather knowledge and transfer information that should be free and open to ALL citizens. Do not allow the people to be throttled and impeded in their rights to having access of this resource. Thank you. Andres Torres

1586. Nikhil Addleman, Irvine, CA, 92617
Net neutrality is critical for small businesses to enter into the fast-growing online market. Please do not implement policies which harm individual liberty courting corporate favor.

1587. Kenneth M Rose, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
This is not how I want my tax dollars spent! The internet had been wide open from the start and because of that we have seen substantial advances in technology. Sharing information is the sole reason the internet was created. You basically want to kill that. I live in a free country and I'd like to remain free. I have worked in IT for 20 years and from a technological stand point it will be a disaster. ISP's should consider how it will effect their business because I won't pay $60 a month for a useless service. I would think our law makers would have something better to do then take away another one of our freedoms. Lets focus on education for all, Healthcare for all, reform our thug police force (change how deadly force is used), lets take care of our vets, etc. Lets take care of each other and lets use the open internet to do it, instead of taking it away from us.

1588. Matt Atkinson, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
It is essential that Internet remain free and open. Net Neutrality is KEY to defending this right and it should remain in place. We cannot allow big businesses and cable providers to control the access or speed of access to the Internet, which is an essential function of our day-to-day lives. More importantly we cannot allow them to buy or bribe their handcrafted rules into law or regulation. I strongly and completely oppose any such law, regulation, ruling or otherwise that would hinder the unfiltered access that Net Neutrality creates. Net Neutrality must remain in place and kept out of the hands of cable companies or any ISP. Matt Atkinson

1589. Thomas Huynh, Irvine, CA, 92602
Hi! I just briefly learned about this whole net neutrality issue going on here so I'm pretty fresh to this whole idea of removing it. I'm trying to understand why
the omission of net neutrality is actually even considered. Is it because some sites are considered harmful? Are the ISPs trying to monopolize certain sites through the removal of Net neutrality? Is this how the government controls certain aspects of the internet consumer lives? Either way, most of these questions are definitely infringing on the whole idea that we, the people, should be able to not only freely express ourselves, but also freely browse for ourselves. The vast content of the internet should be made available to everyone. That's mainly because it's a world that's built by people for people to use. Keep net neutrality intact, the internet is perfect just the way it is.

1590. kevin, Irvine, CA, 92620
Net Neutrality is an important part in keeping the internet fair and free. Internet companies should not be able to screw over their customers and overcharge to satisfy their own will.

1591. Eric Olson, RSM, CA, 92688
I do not like the monopolizing telecommunication industry dictating how I should use my internet. The internet is an essential function and should be treated as such.

1592. Justin Dunkin, Irvine, CA, 92618
Greetings! My name is Justin Dunkin. I am a firm believer that a quintessential aspect of modern American society and culture is our right to an open and free internet. I think that allowing business to run this part of our lives is detrimental to our freedom. Thank you for considering my perspective on this issue and please have a wonderful day.

1593. Jaineel Makwana, Irvine, CA, 92618
by dissolving net neutrality millions of people will be forced into guidelines and restrictions that they never signed up for. Additionally, many companies will use this to their advantage and slow down competing websites' connections in order to further their own agenda. Net neutrality is a right not something that has to be fought and earned. Going back several hundred years ago you can see that our forefathers came together and fought against something that they found to be something unjust, the British. They believed that because of the harsh restrictions imposed on them they should rebel and fight back which is exactly what is happening right now. I highly hope you reconsider the motion to pass this because if it is to come into effect millions of people will be in outrage over something that is a right. Digital devices have become a way of life and if you are allowing companies to back channel something that is that important they will use it for their personal gain!

1594. Rahav Gattenyo, Irvine, CA, 92604
An open neutral internet is conducive to a world where free speech is required
1595. Colton Tom, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
I would like to express my support for net neutrality as well as my opposition to the FCC’s proposed changes that would give additional power to internet service provider companies at the cost of US citizens.

1596. JASON BOYD, IRVINE, CA, 92617
KEEP THE INTERNET FREE, KEEP THE INTERNET A TITLE II UTILITY.

1597. Joe Morrison, Irvine, CA, 92618
The internet needs to stay open, we need to stop these money hungry corporations from ruining it and impeding innovation.

1598. Reid Silver, orange, CA, 92869
THE INTERNET SHOULD STAY NEUTRAL! EVERYONE SHOULD BE ABLE TO ACCESS WEBSITES WITH EQUAL CONNECTIONS TO ALL NO MATTER THE INTERNET SERVICE PROVIDER!

1599. Jacob moger, Mission viejo, CA, 92692
Please don't let ISP providers allow throttling and make it harder for everyone to access the internet.. You will be heroic if you let everyone have a chance for interent

1600. William Scholle, Orange, CA, 92869
Net neutrality is the basis for open communication and a strong economy in the digital age. Don't remove these basic rights.

1601. James Reed, Irvine, CA, 92618
For my consumer and business needs, I require reliable access to all the Internet offers at fast speeds provided in a competitive environment that encourages innovation and expansion. Increasing competition will help increase innovation.

1602. Jonathan, Anaheim, CA, 92808
The internet should not be controlled by the government

1603. Restoring Internet Freedom, Irvine, CA, 92620
Please keep net neutrality open. We want full access to all of the internet. We do not want paid prioritization, blocking or throttling.

1604. Jessica Leung, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Net neutrality needs to remain intact. We are no better than China if we get rid of it.

1605. Arshawn Afrasiabi, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I mean as a person who lives in the US dont you just hate managing different
packages for your cable TV, this is the same thing except worse because rather than 1000's of TV channels there are 1000000's or websites...

1606. Melissa Pfeiffer, orange, CA, 92869
Net Neutrality. Live by it. Keep it equal for all.

1607. Trevor Westphal, Irvine, CA, 92604
By far, the most overreaching, monopolistic, and corrupt act made by a puppet of Large ISPs. This act is bad for the consumer, bad for startup tech companies, and bad for business on the internet. Hell, it's bad for everyone. The only people this helps are large, established Internet Service Providers that the entire nation relies on. Comcast, Time Warner, Cox, et al. stand to benefit directly. Removing Net Neutrality will be surrendering the reins to the forefront of technology to someone else, and we will see a massive decline in the online portions of our GDP, as well as a stagnation of small businesses that rely on the accessibility of the web to function. Please reconsider.
Trevor Westphal
Programmer

1608. Laura Berry, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Understanding the need for business to be able to conduct business, I must inform that I am in favor of net neutrality. The internet is one place where any business can get the same access to real estate as any other business no matter the size. If net neutrality is taken away, it will be akin to those companies that can afford a shop in a busy area with street frontage getting the lions share of traffic versus a business who is tucked away on a side street having to spend more in resources to get the same traffic. Even though it seems okay on the surface, once net neutrality is taken away, smaller business will continue to see themselves being pushed aside for the bigger boys and consumers will end up paying more from those big boys because they themselves will be paying more for faster streaming. Unfortunately, I don't see a win with taking away net neutrality.

1609. Bruce Bridgford, Anaheim, CA, 92807
Please keep the internet open to everyone and let everyone have equal opportunity.

1610. Stephanie Leguizamon, Anaheim, CA, 92807
The internet should be free to everyone. Knowledge is power and to cease the access to knowledge is to get in the way of our pursuit of success and happiness. No one, especially the government should control what is on the internet, who sees it, or who may access it. To do so is simply unconstitutional and not what America stands for!

1611. Nathan Ayers, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
I support strong net neutrality regulations. Any given area rarely has more than
one or two ISPs, which is hardly a choice for the purposes of competition. Preventing ISPs from prioritizing or any way manipulating the way content is delivered to the consumer is an absolute necessity to the free exchange of ideas, products and services. True competition and innovation in our economy requires the ecosystem (a neutral internet) be balanced for all participants so their offerings are the sole driver in their success.

1612. Restoring Internet Freedom, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
Net Neutrality prevents the monopolies and duopolies from screwing the consumer over price and access to the internet, do not repeal net neutrality

1613. Daniel, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
let the internet roam free

1614. Paul Simari, RSM, CA, 92688
Please maintain the current Net Neutrality regulations in place! The internet is a much better place when all network traffic is treated equally. If Net Neutrality is ended then the ISPs will do everything in their power to kill competition and give network priority to whichever company is willing to pay for it. We will end up with another cable television situation, where the only beneficiaries are the ISPs. We the consumers will suffer if net neutrality dies. Please keep the United States on the right side of history. Thank you.

1615. Chi Hoang, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
The Restoring Internet Freedom Act is ironically named because it is doing literally the opposite. Allowing the reclassification of internet access gives power back to the ISP's that control the internet, allowing them to choose which websites a person can access. Because of the monopolies that the ISP's have on certain areas. If a customer can only choose one ISP because that is the only one available in the area or are forced to pick between a fast internet and an extremely slow internet service, it is anti-consumer to give more power to the ISP's when the only thing that customer can do is move cities.

1616. Scotty Tran, Orange, CA, 92869
NET NEUTRALITY NEEDS TO BE A GOD GIVEN RIGHT FOR ALL.ISPs are lobbying for a fast tracked internet when we should be able to have access to whichever site we would like to be on, without having to pay extra for it. I am by no means a fan of censorship. We have seen what it has done in China and other communist countries and it's only hindered society. Please, please, PLEASE stop with all of these bills. It is ridiculous. It didn't pass the first couple of times, it should be clear where we as a nation stand on this topic. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Scotty Tran
1617. Lani Aung, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
I would hope it's clear that changing the state of the internet is a bad plan. Please think more of the people and keep the strong protection that we have.

1618. Joseph Kalb, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
The internet needs to remain neutral in order to keep the American dream alive! Keep Net Neutrality so that competition and the online marketplace will be fair and equal.

1619. Ashwin Anand, Tustin, CA, 92782
Keep Net Neutrality. I don't want to pay more if I am streaming the same data.

1620. Emmett Clark, Orange, CA, 92867
do not burden internet providers or limit consumer's access to broadband!

1621. Douglas Robert Woodward, Irvine, CA, 92614
To whom it may concern, I am writing to express my objection to this proceeding. The roll back of Title II regulations would have a significant negative impact on both my personal and professional life. As a software engineer, I frequent sites such as GitHub.com -often downloading and uploading large amounts of data and code. This facilitates a great deal of innovation and is part of the reason the United States is the leader in technology right now. My individual business pursuits rely on the use of such sites and internet services. Title II ensures that even when I have no choice of Internet Service Provider(as is the case with 51% of Americans) I as an individual and entrepreneur am guaranteed open, unrestricted access to these services. These services are more critical to my individual and entrepreneurial success than even my phone lines. As such, it is critical that they be classified as a telecommunications utility and be governed by those laws. My personal life is tied to my ability to utilize the internet as a telecommunications service, my email connects me to relatives across the world, video chat services allow me to see friends away on military service, or peace core missions, and I am able to constantly educate myself because the internet is open, and regulated as a telecommunications utility. Please, consider these comments and the thousands, if not millions like it that you will receive and do not move forward with this filing to repeal Title II.

1622. philip nider, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Please do not allow the internet to become a political football to be controlled by large political donors. After all our country was founded on equality and fairness to all not just the largest political donors and the politician's self-interest.

1623. Dominic Fiorello, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Please keep the internet free and open! Net neutrality is an important part of
how the internet works!

1624. Ryan Armstrong, Orange, CA, 92867
I would appreciate the FCC protecting net neutrality instead of giving unprecedented power to the internet service providers. I believe the FCC should do what's in the best interest of consumers by maintaining an open internet. Please support net neutrality, and do not give ISPs the power to prioritize, throttle, or block parts of the internet.

1625. Catherine W Begg, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Keep the Internet free; don't give in to companies with money. Who, but myself, can decide what I want to be able to access? Definitely not the FCC. Don't turn the Internet into pay-per-view.

1626. Jakob Longcob, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
A free internet is VITAL to modern day society. Putting internet in the hands of corporations is a disgrace to culture and human progress. I value my privacy, and right to information that has no fast or slow lanes. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. It's your job. Thanks Jakob Longcob

1627. Keep the internet free, Irvine, CA, 92618
Let freedom ring. It's time to stop this pettiness and end the debate over net neutrality.

1628. Dave Oliver, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
There are few creations as beneficial to our society, our economy, and our improved way of life as the internet has been. As someone who's work, play, and hobbies all rely heavily on the use of a free internet, it's paramount that the experience remain open and in control of the consumer. With service providers already enjoying an abominable amount of control and lack of competition in most markets throughout the country, they absolutely must not be given the power to dictate any more sway over the experience of their customers. As one of the poorest internet experiences among first-world countries, the United States needs more options and protections for our service, not less. Handing unprecedented power to private companies over a crucial utility like the internet is not only undesired, but it's absolutely disastrous. Please protect net neutrality and prevent these monopolistic organizations from further destroying the most valuable creation of our era.

1629. Lety Lozano, Irvine, CA, 92612
The internet, as designed and intended with net neutrality in place, has become a cornerstone of communication and innovation. Both of these are fundamental to our current economy and future economic growth and stability. I believe that
it has changed the world for the better. It makes information easily accessible to millions that otherwise would be left behind. Allowing internet service provides to introduce artificial scarcity for their own profit, as they have proven willing to do already, will kill the internet as we know it and the only ones that will benefit are the shareholders for those companies.

1630. James Herold, Anaheim, CA, 92808
I want the FCC to keep Net Neutrality, I think anything that makes the internet less open is tantamount to infringing on our freedom of speech.

1631. Frank Kieviet, Irvine, CA, 92620
Where I live I have access to only one Internet provider (Cox), costing me $85 per month (there is no competition). It is important to me that Cox provides me access to the Internet unmonitored and at the highest service level available irrespective of what protocol I use or endpoint I communicate with. This is the standard in most of the rest of the world, and it would be a shame if we end up with an Internet experience here where each site will have a different cost of access, or if I have to pay through the nose to keep my unrestricted access.

1632. Mansur Kazi, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
This is opening a can of worms. The way that current ISPs work (due to their agreements with each other) there is absolutely nothing stopping ISPs from slowing down connections to websites so that they may extort me as a customer and ask for more money to visit the websites I already do. This stifles growth as well, new entrepreneurs that don't yet have the presence of existing well known sites have no chance because they can no longer deliver a product over a fair medium. This is not okay.

1633. Keep Things The Way They Are, IRvine, CA, 92606
Please remember that this is America and the Internet is one of the greatest freedoms of the common man. Facebook, Skype, and other websites allow people a safe place to freely express opinion, connect with old friends, and help keep us sane when things get out of hand by distracting us with videos that keep us entertained and lighten the mood. Without Facebook I know I can't keep in contact with some of my closest friends or after losing my phone get back some of my old contacts that I never would get back. So please give us the freedom to choose what we want to see and what we don't because otherwise we might lose what makes us free. Sincerely, Aaron Ross

1634. Jeffrey Fahey, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
I am commenting in favor of keeping Title II oversight of broadband ISPs. The importance of an open internet cannot be overstated. I have been a broadband customer since it first became available in the US. I use it for work, email, shopping, and streaming. The loss of any of these services due to the repeal of
Title II would adversely impact my day to day life. During a long convalescence from surgery broadband access was the only thing that allowed be to keep my job and my wife to care for me from home. Broadband providers charge me for access, they should not be allowed to arbitrarily determine which services I am able to access at the agreed upon speed and which ones will be relegated to the slow lane.

1635. Jim To, Irvine, CA, 92612
I'd like to keep the internet the way it is already, thank you!

1636. Vincent Li, Irvine, CA, 92620
The internet is more beneficial to all of us if it is not controlled and manipulated by ISP's.

1637. Diane K. Bjornestad, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Net neutrality is very important. Please do not allow Internet Service Providers to implement paid prioritization, content blocking or slower speeds depending on content.

1638. Leslie Leanne Morgan-Cedeno, Tustin, CA, 92780
IT IS IMPERATIVE TO SMALL BUSINESSES THAT THE INTERNET BE FREE AND OPEN AND NOT LIMITED. THIS BILL WOULD HAVE THE POTENTIAL OF PUTTING MORE PEOPLE ON THE STREET AND HOMELESS AND THEN THEIR CHILDREN WILL ALSO BE HOMELESS. MANY AMERICANS OWN SMALL BUSINESSES AND RELY ON OPEN INTERNET ACCESS TO MAKE A LIVING AND PAY THEIR BILLS. PLEASE SAVE THE OPEN INTERNET!

1639. Victoria Hamilton, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
The future of innovation and freedom of speech are dependent on fair and equal internet speeds across all sources of data. Keep access to the internet free and fair.

1640. Brandon Dobbins, Irvine, CA, 92618
Net Neutrality is a fundamental right of the way business was designed to work in America. A free and open market allows broader growth in the long term rather then focused individual growth that doesn't strengthen the platform as a whole. Please keep the Internet a free and open platform for all to enjoy.

1641. Jaron Dempsey, Tustin, CA, 92780
Net neutrality protections must be preserved. The internet is worthless if it is not free and open the way it has been for the past two decades or more.

1642. Eugene Morgan, Santa Ana, CA, 92780
Save the OPEN internet! Many Senior Citizens utilize the internet for shopping
because they can't get out to the store easily. Some are home-bound. The OPEN internet makes their life much easier. Additionally, some Senior Citizens supplement their income by selling on the internet. For some, it pays for needed medication. I urge you to KEEP THE INTERNET FREE and OPEN.

1643. Aidan Levy, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
So were back to this again? I thought we already voted on this? Anyway, what possible reason is there for Net Neutrality to be removed? The only people that benefit from the change are ISP companies and, of course, whoever they have made "gifts" to. The internet was designed to be a free, uncensored place (except for the illegal stuff) and it should stay that way.

1644. katharine Panza, rsm, CA, 92688
please keep the net neutral this is an american right.

1645. Kevin Pang, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
I care about preserving a free and open internet. Net neutrality is the only way to keep corporate interests from creating "fast lanes" and throttling/blocking traffic.

1646. Jeff Odell, Irvine, CA, 92612
Please do not remove the protections previously setup to prevent Internet Service Providers from demanding payment for prioritization on their network while slowing all non-paying traffic down. Let the free market and internet users decide which sites, services and apps are the winners, not some ISP who took a large payment to tip the scale on behalf of the payee. These protections need to apply to both ISPs and Mobile carriers as there is only one internet and the rules need to be consistent no matter if I access from home, a coffee shop or via my mobile device.

1647. Allison Lira, Tustin, CA, 92780
I strongly support net neutrality and think it's important to our democracy and the freedom of our people to uphold the current net neutrality rules and prevent major companies and government entities from controlling what we have access to online.

1648. Thomas J. Fish, Irvine, CA, 92618
I want the internet to be a public service with no priority. I want all internet traffic to be treated equally. I support net neutrality. Please stop this

1649. Nikkei Pido, Irvine, CA, 92618
The web has been a phenomenal creation that has helped a lot of people whether that'd be keeping in touch with family / friends, connectivity to their career/jobs, and being able to utilize the inter web as a resource to all our needs. I stand firmly on Net Neutrality as the inter webs has been a source of my
income, communication and entertainment all in order. Without so, this will jeopardize not only mine but the rest of the community that is coming from the same standing as I am. By taking over the inter webs and having the upper hand, ISPs are just stripping the people of their rights; freedom (as all of us stand by and have been using this rights that has been established for decades) so why change it? Why strip us with our freedom? Why create chaos when everything now is putting everyone at ease before wanting to sabotage Net Neutrality? WHY? and HOW will this benefit people around the world just because ISPs "doesn't like this content". The inter webs is why and how we stay connected with everything in the world, so WHY remove that from us?

1650. Uriel Houston, Irvine, CA, 92602
Maintain Net Neutrality! It's what the people want! The government and our politicians have forgotten that they are supposed to serve we, the people, not corporations.

1651. Jia Guo, Irvine, CA, 92620
Hi, I want to keep the internet open and free so that competition and innovation can thrive on the internet. I want ISPs to be regulated such that they do not have the power to control which businesses get the most traffic. For that it is necessary for the FCC to enforce the principle of net neutrality. That means no fast lanes (paid prioritization), no throttling, and no blocking of websites. Access to all parts of the internet should be equal. Americans are already paying the cable companies for the service that they provide, the companies should not be able to double dip by then charging content providers for the content that the consumers have already paid for. The internet has a positive impact on my life and I want it to continue to do so. For that to be possible, it is necessary to preserve net neutrality. Thank you for reading, Jia Guo.

1652. Selah Gilmore, costa mesa, CA, 92620
I support the current rulings of net neutrality and don't agree with any of the proposed changes.

1653. John Romine, Irvine, CA, 92604
You should protect net-neutrality and keep the Internet free for open use. Internet Service Providers should not be interfering with or discriminating on people's communications.

1654. Jacquelyn Whisler, Irvine, CA, 92620
We must keep net neutrality in place. To strip away these protections would put someone like me, a small self employed business owner in danger of being shut out and cut off from potential work in favors of companies much larger who pay ISPs for advertising space. It would chillingly limit the amount of information we as a country can access to whomever pays the most wants us to
see. It would cripple small business, limit our right to information and access to information people rely on in their day-to-day lives. We must uphold net neutrality.

1655. Estevan Bonilla, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
It is absolutely shameful that the FCC is even CONSIDERING doing away with net neutrality. The ramifications will be serious to businesses AND individuals. The only people that stand to gain are the ISPs and those who receive campaign contributions from ISPs. Stop this madness now!!!

1656. Eric Johnson, Tustin, CA, 92780
I am adamantly opposed to the proposed rulings. Do NOT permit my ISP to restrict access to or control my content! The concept is ludicrous. Eliminate the distinction between wired and wireless in your 2010 Open Internet Order. The internet MUST remain open!

1657. Aron Hagen, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Please keep home and mobile broadband classified as a telecommunications service and regulate them as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act. This will ensure that ISPs can't throttle content they deem undesirable.

1658. Stephen Holder, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
Net neutrality is critical to the health of the internet. It must be maintained, do *not* deregulate!

1659. Colleen Harbison, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
Keep the internet open, free and neutral!

1660. Pearl McGuire, Tustin, CA, 92780
Net Neutrality is essential to commerce. As an e-commerce employee, everyday is an uphill battle to at least stay current and hopefully move forward just a little. Taking away the equal platform that allows us to be able to work our way onto a level playing field is like putting another nail in the coffin of my business. With the constant changing environment of e-commerce, any changes that give a blatant advantage to those who are already above the baseline is not going to benefit the freedom e-commerce is known for. If net neutrality is modified, e-commerce will fall into the same situation as brick and mortar and we all see the current state that is in.

1661. Brian Weltmer, Irvine, CA, 92618
I support strong net neutrality and the backing of net neutrality with title 2 oversight of ISPs

1662. Fadi Francis, orange, CA, 92869
Please leave the internet the way it is. It's not your place to give the internet a new boss.

1663. Omar Moinuddin, Sadia Moinuddin, Anaheim, CA, 92807
I am getting sick and tired of congress constantly challenging Net Neutrality. Net Neutrality should be added as an amendment to the United States Constitution as far as I am concerned. It needs to be protected and preserved so that the Internet can remain a place that is open.

1664. Shlok Kulasekaran, Irvine, CA, 92614
There are certain things that you should allow capitalism to fully encapsulate and certain things you shouldn't. The Internet helps so many people gather information about the world. As a college student I need to be able to access whichever websites I need. Late Stage Capitalism is failing the consumer. Please maintain net neutrality!

1665. Brandon Meesak, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
I support Strong Net Neutrality Rules. The FCC needs to keep the current Title 2 classification for broadband providers so they CAN ENFORCE Net Neutrality. The whole reason the ISP's have that classification is because they don't want Net Neutrality. The ISPs fought the net neutrality rules in court with their old Title 1 classification and won. The only recourse the FCC had to enforce Net Neutrality was to change the broadband providers to Title 2. To roll back these changes would be like inviting the fox into the hen house. Net Neutrality is VITAL to the US and the world.

1666. Andrew Multari, Irvine, CA, 92618
The idea that net neutrality is in jeopardy is insane. I DO NOT support the move to regulate the internet and how I get to use it. My business will die with you people doing things like this. It is disgraceful and sickening that you people are doing this.

1667. Katherine Lattman, Irvine, CA, 92618
The internet needs to stay open and free. Keep Net Neutrality.

1668. Dania Fiorello, Anaheim, CA, 92808
Please allow and encourage competition. Information that is blocked or subtly blocked by throttling is an insidious way to limit the freedom this country stands for. Competition between companies will allow people to vote with their own preferences through commerce.

1669. Yousha Sarmadi, Irvine, CA, 92618
I just finished my Masters program this past month and I utilized the internet for a lot of my research. The idea of having access to only content that my ISP wants me to would limit what I can research, learn, and therefore contribute to
my business and life. I only have 2 ISPs where I live and it would be a battle for who "controls" the internet "less", if we do not have net neutrality. This is unacceptable as the internet is so crucial to all our lives, we cannot have ISPs controlling what we learn, search, access, and read. It's up to the people to decide and that responsibility is a burden only the people should carry. I purchase broadband internet from my ISP so that I can access the internet at the speeds my ISP's package includes, not to to have PARTIAL access to the internet at speeds based on my ISPs discretion. The FCC should be representing the people, not the ISPs, and it should be holding ISPs accountable for providing solid internet packages that provide citizens with full access to the internet at speeds that they advertise and sell!

1670. Devin Marlinga, Irvine, CA, 92614
Freedom of speech should apply to 21st century media and the internet. Keep the internet open!

1671. Diego, Irvine, CA, 92606
The internet is the closest we will ever get to a true representation of freedom. We must not tarnish it.

1672. Matthieu Roussotte, Irvine, CA, 92620
I want to keep the internet neutral. Loosing net neutrality would greatly reduce the freedom of information I have become accustomed to.

1673. Pablo Wu, Irvine, CA, 92618
Free the internet and stop letting isps throttle internet speeds, and promote upgrading of internet services

1674. Wooyang Kim, Irvine, CA, 92618
The Internet has become a place for a lot of things but more importantly, education. Giving the power to ISP companies will cause our society to have limited access to education simply because they aren't paying enough. I've worked my way up through my career because I got my education from the internet. I want my son to have the freedom to find however much information he needs to follow his passion in the future without being hounded by ISPs. We're seeing how corporations handle greed and it's not good. Please don't put a choke hold on our future's education by giving ISPs power to do whatever they want.

1675. phil colline, tustin, CA, 92782
Net neutrality is very important to me and people I know. Sure, there are some benefits to an unbridled free market approach (offers of free internet with advertising or on certain promoted services, etc.), but the costs outweigh these benefits. In particular, offering a fast lane to a paying website will eventually lead to non-paying websites stuck with relegated speed putting them at a huge
disadvantage. Facebook, Google, Spotify, Netflix, etc. will pay up, but what about any upstart or mid-tier competitors? For the internet to keep to its original design, it needs to remain a level playing field.

1676. net neutrality, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
This is ridiculous that I have to fight to keep the internet a neutral place. The internet is a REQUIREMENT unlike cable television, radio, or any other form of communication. To allow companies to charge for different tiers like this is simply allowing their pockets to be lined with money. It does not promote free market, and does not force Comcast into a healthy incentive to make their services better, only worse. Stop this.

1677. Barry McCarten, Irvine, CA, 92620
I urge the FCC to allow the internet to remain under Title II regulations as a utility. The current regulations assure open and equal access to what has become a critical communications tool for all Americans, i.e., a utility. For myself, trying to start a small online business, the end of net neutrality as proposed by the FCC will create a insurmountable barrier to entry, not only for myself but for millions of other Americans trying to start small businesses. I also urge the FCC to remove what has shown to be spam comments (The unprecedented regulatoryâ€¦) regarding the 2015 implementation of the Title II classification. The comments are the work of the public relations firm DCI Group working for the broadband industry and know for its use of "astroturfing" campaigns. In this case they are making fraudulent comments professing opposition to Title II classification in an effort to shut down legitimate â€œgrassrootsâ€ comments for or against the current FCC proposal by concerned citizens.

1678. Sterling Lucas McAtee, Orange, CA, 92869
The internet is no longer the luxury of the 90s, but a complete necessity for many. Most services have now moved solely to the internet including job searching, goods buying, bill paying, and an increasing percentage of media. If net neutrality isn't protected, you only need to look at the history of Trusts and rail barons from the 19th century to know what isps and foreign governments will be given the opportunity to do. Allowing isps to decide what can or can't be viewed on a whim is legalized racketeering.

1679. Johnathan Dearing, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
The internet is a basic human right please keep the internet open!

1680. Michael, Mission viejo, CA, 92692
If this bill is passed i will convince my whole city to riot no matter what it takes.

1681. Kevin J Dykes, Irvine, CA, 92603
Please keep discrimination off the internet and allow free flow of ideas. Capitalism requires regulation, and we are counting on your protection from the inevitable nature of man.

1682. Lars Bakke, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
This is objectively wrong for the free market and should be stopped. Many people make their livings off the internet and ISP's should not have the ability to throttle their lively hood. It also allows them to control information the public is able to see. This goes against everything the USA stands for and is un-American. Preserve Net Neutrality.

1683. Jorge Medina, Irvine, CA, 92604
The FCC needs to protect net neutrality. Do not adjust net neutrality rules to benefit ISPs. Consumers, and small businesses alike will suffer and not benefit from these ridiculous ideas that blocking sites, and doing what they choose with connection speed because a certain site is not paying is not only detrimental, but down right pathetic. Protect net neutrality or expect downfalls that could have been preventable.

1684. Shankar Rao, Cowan Heights, CA, 92705
What a travesty to call this "Restoring Internet Freedom". What inspired me to post this was listening to Barry Diller on CNBC about the magic of Internet that at a push of a button any individual can publish to the world without any gate keepers, any filters. Truly magic. Allowing the big telecom companies to put up roadblocks will make this medium like any others and the internet will lose it's magic.

1685. tobias isaksen, Irvine, CA, 92604
Do not limit or control my ability to use the internet. This is America, land of the free. Not china. Dont forget.

1686. Kellan Enfinger, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
The internet is a place where people can express themselves without censoring

1687. Maulik Oza, Irvine, CA, 92604
Please keep Net Neutrality. It is important for consumers and it is important for businesses.

1688. Christopher Ho, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
The internet must be saved, and we must keep net neutrality.

1689. Lou de Guzman, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I would like to preserve the current net neutrality rules as they are, under the jurisdiction of the FCC. Internet access has become mandatory in my and many households across the country and as such, it should be treated almost like a
utility. I use it for communication via VOIP as well as entertainment via the ever ubiquitous Netflix. I also use it to connect to my work and be able to work from home. My daughters use it for online classes as well.

1690. Rachel Curry, Tustin, CA, 92780
This is a freedom that the FCC should be protecting.

1691. Christine Lathrop, Dove canyon, CA, 92679
Please do not reverse the 2015 decision to reclassify net neutrality to title 2. I support an open and free internet with protections for net neutrality.

1692. Matt Collins, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
We have loss enough of our freedom in this great country. The internet should remain open and neutral for all!!

1693. Rosemary De Monte, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
It will be derelict of you to pander to the already rich and powerful, this does not help the USA spirit of entrepreneurship. Let's have all Americans have the same advantage of the internet, it has become a necessity and an avenue for all to pursue life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness.

1694. Wendy Oberman, Irvine, CA, 92620
I support Title II net neutrality rules. Please do NOT repeal them.

1695. Daniel P Dillon, Orange, CA, 92869
Americans want an open and equal Internet. The Internet is critical for American innovation and the productivity and growth of American society. We demand that all rules apply equally to both home/business and mobile Internet connections and that ISPs and other corporate interests cannot throttle, slow-down, prioritize, block, censor, or otherwise control the speed or access based on the content. ISPs should not change the way the Internet works based on content or usage any more than the highway system changes based on the brand of car you drive or the type of books you carry in your trunk.

1696. Ryan Szotak, Irvine, CA, 92618
Repealing net neutrality would strike a major blow against free market conditions for growing tech startups which are the bedrock of competition in the tech industry. This competition ensures that highest quality of services are available to users rather than users having to settle for the only option.

1697. Ali Samsami, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
WE THE PEOPLE PUT YOU IN POWER || DO NOT THROW US TO THE WOLVES || YOUR OWN FUTURE IS AT STAKE || SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY!! || OPEN ACCESS TO INFORMATION IS FUNDAMENTAL TO DEMOCRACY || GEORGE WASHINGTON BUILT ROADS AND
1698. Connor Richards, Irvine, CA, 92612  
We absolutely need to keep Net Neutrality to ensure an open and free internet. Without it, the web will become a gross representation of whatever company holds the most money. They can already succeed by spending more money on advertisements than an up-and-comer, but Net Neutrality would eradicate competition, leading to a bad situation for everyone. We need to keep Net Neutrality for the same reason we stop monopolies.

1699. Luis Masia, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653  
Stop selling out our country to private interests. Stop Reaganomics. Stop being corporate pawns.

1700. Dion Kim, Irvine, CA, 92617  
DONT DO THIS

1701. Victor Cao, Irvine, CA, 92618  
I am writing in support of net neutrality and oppose any effort to diminish free market competition. Net neutrality ensures that there is a competitive playing field as it relates to content. I do not support Internet Service Providers (ISPs) having the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. ISPs have a natural monopoly when it comes to providing service, and there is no competition. Consumers are negatively
impacted when they do not have choice. Eroding net neutrality exacerbates the issues around ISP competition and consumer frustration with their service providers. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. As a consumer, I already pay for access and speed. It would be regressive to add another layer of pricing for prioritization. As a business owner who develops web applications, I am already paying for server resources and internet access. Revoking net neutrality would severely disadvantage innovators and startups because they lack the additional resources for prioritization. For these reasons, I strongly oppose any efforts to diminish net neutrality. I encourage your support for an open internet.

1702. Sam Trettin, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Net Neutrality being taken away is on par with removing someones right to freedom of speech. It will give the ISP monopolies the right to disallow access to a website for any reason they seem fit. That sounds an awful lot like censorship. How sad it is when the place I live, the so called 'land of the free', is trying to pass a law that limits what people can and cannot experience. To top it off they had the arrogance to label it as 'restoring internet freedom'. Much like the misleading title of the Patriot act this bill will cause someone who only reads the title to nod and agree and that is disgusting. This law is nothing but a junk car with a new paint job and the people trying to pass it the shadiest used car salesmen. I would not want a used car salesmen determining the laws in which I follow. Do the right thing and be the leaders we expect you to be.

1703. Merritt Beckett, Tustin, CA, 92782
Please protect net neutrality and Title II.

1704. Alex Ringe, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Please do not allow ISPs to be even more abusive towards consumers than they already are. The internet is an open source of information that is available to everyone, and artificial slow-downs will only reduce access to information for those who need it. Retain net neutrality. Thanks.

1705. Dylan Cardiff, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Net neutrality is an important principle to me. ISP's already suffer from a toxic lack of competition, and eliminating Net Neutrality will only reinforce their entrenched and anti-competitive positions at the expense of consumers. ISP's are justly and correctly classified as telecommunications services, and that is how I use them in my day to day life. ISP's are merely a middle man connecting me to the services that I wish to communicate with, they do not offer meaningful services above and beyond the role one would expect from a
telecommunication service. I will be heavily impacted and deeply disappointed if the FCC reclassifies ISP's and thus eliminates the important principle of Net Neutrality.

1706. Dominic Nguyen, Irvine, CA, 92602
I just came back from an overseas trip to China and literally every single website that I wanted to use like facebook, youtube, twitter, was all blocked. I enjoy the information curated on these sites and I want the Net to stay neutral. What's the point of blocking the internet and charging more to websites? Let the people decide what they want. Freedom of information is important!

1707. Kaamran SYed, Irvine, CA, 92602
Regulating the internet is a violation of freedom of expression and is unconstitutional.

1708. net neutrality, Anaheim, CA, 92807
Because of net neutrality I am provided with one a the few happinesses that I have. I buy broadband internet access to reach the any website I choose. I cannot choose from different providers in my area and would be stuck with one evil company.

1709. Matt Ulfelder, orange, CA, 92869
AT&T U-Verse sucks enough as it is. We do not need to give them more power to slow down my workflow.

1710. Bill Anders, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I support net neutrality. The internet started as an open highway for the exchange of information. Without net neutrality, certain entities will have an influence over available internet content. By even slowing down the loading of some content, they can influence the public's internet habits. And of course this is usually done for monetary gain at the expense of freedom of expression. Please do NOT repeal the current net neutrality laws.

1711. Ryan Puterbaugh, Tustin, CA, 92782
One of the fundamental principles of the Internet is the idea of a globally connected web of all of the world's information. It is essentially a giant virtual library. In a library, in fact, all of the content is available to the individual, and all the choice of which material to select is up to him. If he wishes to browse, he may, and if he desires something specific, only his determination and understanding of the Dewey Decimal System (or ability to ask a librarian) stand in his way of finding it, not any third-party interference. A library is not a book store, and a librarian is not a salesman.

1712. James Risse, Laguna Hils, CA, 92653
Until we have multiple broadband companies competing on equal footing for
nearly every household we need to preserve net neutrality by regulating them as a common carrier, or with new legislation implementing a new regulatory scheme. Because of the Verizon Communications Inc. v. FCC court ruling net neutrality can't be preserved as it was before the 2014 ruling.

1713. Carey Li, Irvine, CA, 92602
Keep Net Neutrality! Everyone deserves equal access to the internet without private companies selectively controlling content and access.

1714. Derrick Betts, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
I strongly oppose 17-108. I believe the roll back of regulations that would end "Net Neutrality" is very much against the interest of consumers and will stifle innovation. It exclusively serves the financial interest of the big ISP's and Telecom companies. These companies should not be allowed to restrict or determine Internet content vis-a-vis Internet delivery speeds which would favor content providers that benefit their financial interests! New business start-ups and innovation will be stifled because the playing field will no longer be even and will favor content providers with financial ties to the ISPâ€™s and Telecom companies. Itâ€™s a bad idea that is designed to benefit the interests of businesses over consumers.

1715. Alexander Lowry, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
These Net Neutrality rules should not be repealed.

1716. Kevin Yazdi, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
The internet needs to be neutral. It's a space the entire world shares together as the most powerful source of information, connection, communication, and entertainment. Impeding it in any way is selfish, dangerous, and literally slows the progress of humanity. Do not allow the government to control our content. Do not allow corporations to control our content. The internet is for the people. Let the people control the content.

1717. Eva Lydick, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
I am a private citizen. I use the internet for access to news, banking, paying my bills, viewing reports of interest and many other reasons. The internet has become integral to my life. The idea that a company could control what I can view and not view, even if this means slowing down page loads for item they don't sponsor, is horrifying to me. The internet was created by the US Department of Defense using tax-payers dollars. We should not be giving it away to private corporations to enhance their profit. These corporations have already shown their opposition to a free and open internet by supporting legislation that bans cities and other regional governments from creating their own internet service providers. Now that we HAVE to use private corporations, I can't believe the US government is so against the private citizens as to now
allow these corporation to censor what we view and how we communicate by changing download speeds. Please, please, for once, side with the private citizen against big corporations!

1718. Joshua Anderson, Irvine, CA, 92602
The internet has thrived and will continue to thrive due to the open, even ground for all parties. New services, competitors and new markets will be shut down, at will, by ISPs if the neutrality of the internet is destroyed. Where I live, I have a SINGLE cable provider. My choice is between functional, reliable internet and garbage. If neutrality was threatened, I would have no choice. My provider would dictate which services ran effectively and/or gouge prices to provide access that we all have today. The FCC should protect the neutrality of the internet. It should be a core tenet of the FCC as the internet has become ubiquitous and will only become further integrated with every aspect of our lives. If the FCC does not protect the public, access will be politicized and monetized. This cannot happen.

1719. Andrew Dunne, Irvine, CA, 92620
As a working professional in the software development industry, I'd like to state my strong support for "Net Neutrality" in the form of continued regulation via Title 2 oversight of our nation's ISPs. I hold the research-supported belief that our nation's continued influence is, through no small contribution, thanks to the consistent innovation we've seen in our tech industry. Globally relevant companies from Apple, Google, Netflix, and Amazon down to Blizzard, and Reddit help keep America on the cutting edge of the digital space. Categorically speaking, innovation requires unique perspectives and intensive risk taking which established companies are often unwilling to undertake due to, among other reasons, the potential for loss which certain initiatives hold. According to the opinions of numerous individuals speaking on the topic, companies which are less established could find a potentially significant obstacle in bringing their products and services to market if the dissolution of net neutrality were to take place. I believe maintaining equal accessibility for all companies to this most vital communications platform is of utmost importance for our continued ability to influence the world in the days to come. Thank you for your attention.

1720. Samantha Kaplan, rsm, CA, 92688
This is a direct violation of our first Amendment Rights. Take your Facist regime and go fuck yourself. We will never be silent.

1721. Shane O'Keeffe, Coto de Caza, CA, 92679
As a small business owner, net neutrality is a major concern. It's already difficult to get above the noise and to get noticed, but this could make it next to impossible. Making it so that only large companies with deep pockets get web traffic, and letting ISPs decide what you get to see online is unconscionable, and
un-American. I urge you, keep the internet neutral. Ending that only will only benefit the large ISPs, and the conglomerates who can throw the most money at them for their favor. Show that you care about small American businesses, and all citizens of this great nation. Time to stand with the people. KEEP THE INTERNET NEUTRAL!

1722. Keegan Millbern, Coto de Caza, CA, 92679
You will be the greatest disappointment of any government agency in history if you allow this to pass. Your names will be synonymous with greed and corporate puppets. This is one of the biggest battles of the modern world for control of information, knowledge and freedom and you are the the ultimate decisioners of whether the human race retains these.

1723. Elizabeth Bond, Santa Ana, CA, 92869
Unskewed (neutral) internet is necessary for the public wellbeing! No Pay-to-Play!

1724. Caitlin Leong, Anaheim, CA, 92808
Please DO NOT kill net neutrality. Having the freedom to choose what websites we want to see is our right. If we did not have net neutrality, we travel immensely closer to a society that no longer has freedom of speech. We become a censored society because the Internet Service Providers run a monopoly on the internet. Please do not let this happen.

1725. Soren Allebes Anderson, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I am an avid internet user and enjoy the freedom it provides. I do not want the freedom to be taken away from my with the proposed changes the ISPs are making that are only for their own greedy profit focused goals. It is not good for the internet or America. Do not change the current title II regulations or designations. Thank You.

1726. Ezra Ngo, Irvine, CA, 92617
The internet needs to remain a free and open source for all. It is a collective intellectual entity of the world -- not a device for larger corporations to control and profit from.

1727. Chris Lavender, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I strongly oppose any legislation that endangers neutrality on the internet.

1728. Mathew Tran, Irvine, CA, 92606
Keep the internet open to all. We pay for internet services to be available and accessible for everyone without having restrictions placed.

1729. David Michael Apodaca, Irvine, CA, 92612
Over the last 50 years we have slipped more and more into an oligarchical
society and this is just one more instance of this happening. The majority of Americans DO NOT support this type of overreach from the private industry into our government and everyday lives. It is insulting to the American intellect that you could try and pass this off as a "benefit" to ordinary people because you all know very well it is in fact not. As I type this, you are being paid to push an agenda that does not sit well with the overall American Public and it goes against our values as a country to abandon the constituents who have placed their faith in you to do what is best. Do not lose sight of that.

1730. andrew klausing, Orange, CA, 92869
   Please keep net neutrality. The internet should be open for all.

1731. Daniel Meyers, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
   I prefer my internet equal, just like my country.

1732. Martyn Fung, Irvine, CA, 92612
   Please do not sell out the freedoms of American citizens by destroying net neutrality. We Americans need net neutrality to provide an open Internet for all.

1733. Paul Yang, Anaheim, CA, 92808
   There's no reason why the internet should be limited or controlled by private interest. It has evolved into a portal for everything and is as much a daily part of life as eating or breathing. The internet should be regulated as a utility because of its ubiquitous nature.

1734. Blake Everett Richards, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
   The internet needs to be free for everyone to use. It is such a vital utility that can help educate and inform people on things they may not be able to find in other mediums. The free internet is one of the most valuable things in the digital world, and just like how national parks are some of the most valuable things to our planet, it needs to be protected or it will be destroyed.

1735. Jake Garcia, Irvine, CA, 92620
   The internet needs to remain in its current state

1736. SABRINA PONGENER, Irvine, CA, 92618
   Net Neutrality is a Necessity | Net neutrality is a vital component of Free Speech; as stated in the 1st amendment of the Constitution, "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances." | By allowing major internet companies to filter out websites and charge customers more money for less filtered web content, these internet companies will be controlling what information is available to the public, or at the very least what information is more accessible to American
people. Not only is this allowing the internet companies to control the information that their customers see, but also will allow them to push their own agendas and personal interests. | Example 1: If "Brand A" paid a substantial amount of money to "Major Internet Company (MIC)" to showcase their items as the first result of a search and "Brand B" did not pay "MIC" to give priority to their products, the consumer would be more likely to purchase from "Brand A" because of the ease that their website would load and the visibility of their products on the web. This would limit the amount of publicity and advertisement that "Brand B" would receive, and thus harm smaller online business as a whole. | By repealing net neutrality, internet companies would have the ability to control the online economy, which would grant them limitless power against smaller online businesses and/or other websites. | Example 2: If "MIC" deemed articles that critiqued them or their (paying) partners/sponsors, they would potentially be able to "hide" those articles or deny access to them if they were against their views (or if they exposed their partiality). They would also be able to push their personal agendas on controversial or governmental issues - such as general elections, ballot measures, etc. | Repealing Net Neutrality would allow Internet Companies to contend the legitimacy of Free Speech and Free Press. It would also allow the Internet Companies to push their own personal or political agendas. | Overall, Free Speech and Free Press are civil liberties that require protection from the government and/or other third parties that would attempt to control them. By allowing Major Internet Companies to control the content available to the people, the American people are not granted those rights. In this case, I believe that the FCC's duty (as a governmental agency that reports to the head of the Executive branch - the President of the U.S.A.) is to defend and protect these rights for the American people. | Thank you for your attention to this matter.

1737. Rory Connolly, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
We are in a unique spot, stuck between regulation and a true free market...a true free market is ideal, however, ISP's have a stranglehold on the public, even though they advertise that they don't throttle, they use backward channels to accuse users of "overuse" or "pirating (an accusation w/o any evidence)" to lower speeds. The last thing we need is providing them an opportunity to split what they provide into packages, be it data packages (allowable data IE 500gigs a month etc.) or by saying "if you want access to Netflix you must pay x.xxx amount per month"..or to even charge content providers huge fee's for making their product available to user in their market.

1738. Shawn Archbold, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
There is way too much at stake here for net neutrality not to Ben protected! People will need to spend more money to go to different sites! It will further divide the rich from the poor and restrict information to those that want it! This is not the America we will live in.
1739. Ryan Jeffery, Anheim, CA, 92808
the current Net Neutrality is a must in this world. If we allow large companies
or corporations to profit off the utility then everything that the Internet provides
for us will be taken away and we will only be allowed to use it at a much higher
price. repealing net neutrality laws only helps greedy companies. Changing it
holds back all progress forward in science, education and society. Allowing a
few individuals to determine what can be accessed by the country has been
proven in the past to not be effective. NO one will follow the laws about the
internet which means more crimes that never existed will start to occur.

1740. Robert Bohen, Trabuco Cyn, CA, 92679
I have a small web based business. To unfairly allow big business to have
internet priority would likely devastate my family, and perhaps millions of
others, and quite likely the economy of the USA. This is because in my opinion,
and quite sadly, due to the malfeasance that has been tolerated in this country.
At minimum, such that allows lobbyists to pave the way for control, prioritizing
short term corporate gains, outsourcing manufacturing abroad instead, etc, over
building legacy companies. These self-serving behaviors damage our children's
childrenâ€™s future. Every generation our children pay for the greed of the
dead and dying pundits, lobbyists and corporate "leaders." For example,
beginning in 1938, National City Lines, et al, through lobbying and bribing Los
Angeles politicians a few thousand dollars from GM, Firestone, Standard Oil
and others, systematically tore down and eliminated mass transit in Los
Angeles. These greedy people are all dead and the bribe money is spent in
exchange for toxic smog and congestion. And today 20,000,000 people pay the
price of decades old lobbying, greed and malfeasance. LA is a microcosm of
this legalized greed and malfeasance that has eroded the USA from the greatest
manufacturing economy and country into largely a service based economy,
elminating millions of jobs. Now, big business is trying to eliminate our service
jobs. What comes after that?If big business has its way again by eliminating net
neutrality, millions more jobs will be eliminated and our economy will be
severely impacted. What then happens then to our progenitors?Robert Bohen

1741. Herbert Chan, Tustin, CA, 92782
I am in support for Title II and Net Neutrality Net Neutrality allows me to freely
work from home because I work in an IT role for an education state institution.
It provides the convenience of being able to work from home without getting
into a car and burning fuel. Without me on the road, it reduces congestion on
the road and reduces wear and tear on my car. I would save time by being able
to jump on my home machine rather than spending time to drive in. Without it,
I have to pollute the air and wear down my car and the road.

1742. Tsukumi Suzuki, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Against!
1743. Amaranta Vazquez, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
The internet needs net neutrality. Without it, companies are able to decide what we can and can't see. We would be in a similar position as those in North Korea; their internet is limited to only what others deem is alright for the citizens to view. Americans are always so proud of the freedoms we have and, when compared to counties like north Korea, we like to boast that we have many more liberties than they do. However, we can not truly be a free people if we allow something as simple as net neutrality to fall through the cracks. Please keep net neutrality in place.

1744. Julian Caballero, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
Internet access should be fair and every site should have equal priority, with no fast-tracking for paying companies. I completely support Net Neutrality and encourage the FCC to continue its use.

1745. Yam Levy, Irvine, CA, 92604
save the internet pliz

1746. Alexander Gonzales, Irvine, CA, 92614
Please do not give into the greed of corporations and keep net neutrality!!!

1747. Jessica Lin, Irvine, CA, 92603
The internet belongs to everyone. We need to keep the internet open, it's what's fair! A lot of us conduct business online and we NEED net neutrality. Paid prioritization, blocked sites, and selectively slowing connections is NOT fair.

1748. Lisa Gonzales, Irvine, CA, 92614
Please vote to keep net neutrality and keep information available to all!

1749. Andrew Olik, Irvine, CA, 92620
Open internet is free speech, it's part of our democracy. Our economy and entrepreneurship will also be severely impacted by this stupid decision. This helps big cable companies, that's it.

1750. Jason Esparza, Irvine, CA, 92602
Why would you compromise the freedom of your nation's citizens; like this?!?

1751. Christian Lee, Tustin, CA, 92782
Whenever I move, I have to set up a new internet connection. Every single time, I have no choice to but settle with the one choice offered in my area. Although that one choice is supposed to be the best choice, it is not. Our country's internet providers are in fact limiting the potential of our everyday lives for the sake of cutting costs and gaining profit. Internet is now the primary means of learning, communicating, paying bills, and everything else that pertains to human life. To hinder that life, for the sake of business benefit, is a
disservice to the country. This kind of abuse of power is precisely why government organizations exist. If the people constantly band together to strike down these advances in taking away our neutrality, then that means the powers that be are not listening or choosing not to listen. Thank you for your time and considerations.

1752. James Christie, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Give the power to the consumer

1753. Charlene Chand, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I am opposition of repealing our net neutrality laws. Keeping the internet neutral creates equality for all sites - whether it's for my friend's small online business or if I want to purchase something on Amazon. I don't want to be restricted or censored from choices; for example, I would like to watch video on whatever website I choose to do so. Keeping net neutrality in place keeps the internet fair! Thank you

1754. Casey Roberts, Irvine, CA, 92614
Please do not repeal net neutrality. Listen to the people

1755. Nicholas Farrar, Anaheim, CA, 92808
Please save the internet that I love. Net neutrality is such an important thing for everyone and we can't just let it vanish,

1756. Joseph Valdez, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
High-speed internet access is very important to me, and I only have one option. It is from a cable company and I am concerned that if the current bnet neutrality rules are changed, many of the services I use and need will suffer.

1757. Christine Ward, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Protect our right to Net Neutrality.

1758. James Wu, Irvine, CA, 92620
Please keep Net Neutrality the way it has been. Even the creator of the internet states, at the opening of the 2014 Olympic Winter Games, "This is for everyone." I understand there are "untapped potentials" involved the internet, but it won't be to everyone's benefit.

1759. Chris Anderson, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I don't want you to repeal sanctions on ISPs. It's important to me, my family and my business. Thank you.

1760. Michael Schwan, Irvine, CA, 92604
Continue to treat the internet like a utility - Do not give cable companies the ability to control our content and throttle speeds based on the content we are
watching! This is corruption and the opposite of FREEDOM.

1761. Phil Moffitt, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Net neutrality is vital to the American economy and it must be maintained. Without net neutrality, many “perhaps most” U.S. businesses will be at a disadvantage to other businesses around the world. Net neutrality is also vital to free speech, a foundational principle on which this nation was built.

1762. Samir Warudkar, Irvine, CA, 92620
I urge the continued enforcement of net neutrality laws in order to limit private interests from gaining the ability to control, limit and otherwise affect the usage and access of persons in the US and abroad to the internet. At the current juncture of society, the fact that Internet Service Providers can maintain a monopoly on access to this resource by virtue of strategic non competition makes for the kinds of monopolies and trusts our government spend the early 20th century breaking up and abolishing. By supporting the removal of the legislation that ensures net neutrality, you would actively vouchsafe and condone these underhanded and unacceptable practices. The internet is and should be a resource that can be accessed with no limitations by any organization governmental, commercial or otherwise.

1763. Rhonda Weaver, Irvine, CA, 92604
Do not let greedy companies police themselves!

1764. Jeff Williams, Irvine, CA, 92620
Net Neutrality, Irvine, CA, 92604
Net Neutrality is important to protect. According to IA research, telecom investment increased following net neutrality. There’s no evidence that net neutrality caused infrastructure investment to decline. This is an excuse to invade our privacy and mass market products, defend Net Neutrality. Innovation has increased consistently and rapidly since net neutrality implementation and content and data production continues to increase exponentially.

1765. Green Lee, Irvine, CA, 92620
Hello, my name is Green Lee and I am a college student studying nursing and currently attending California State University, Fullerton. Having an open web has allowed me to stay connected with friends and family from different states and countries and has allowed me to easily complete research for the countless papers and projects I have to do for my classes. I do not support the FCC repealing its rules which will allow some sites to be prioritized over others. We need competition to keep the internet diverse. Please consider the people you are affecting when you think about getting rid of net neutrality. I only have
access to two ISP providers in my area, I do not have many options. Thank you for your time.

1767. Ronald Bigsby, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
We need a neutral internet to continue to protect our freedoms of all of us. Regulation and control of the internet to other authorities will be disastrous.

1768. Walter Kozlov, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
ISP should not have the ability to decide what is important to me. All internet traffic should be equal.

1769. Nick, Tustin, CA, 92780
If our internet usage is limited, then our creativity that we express through social media and our education that we ONLY can receive through the plethora of sites like YouTube, Wikipedia, Wikihow, etc., will be stifled and the current generation will be deprived of the valuable and beneficial experiences we have today.

1770. Wesley Jung, Irvine, CA, 92612
With the interests of the American people and small businesses in mind, removing net neutrality would be an unprecedented step in the wrong direction. Net neutrality does NOT hurt innovation, despite what these bots continue to drone on about (ex. "The unprecedented power of the Obama administration...") in their obviously fraudulent statements.

1771. Jay Littman, Irvine, CA, 92602
It would have been difficult for game changing content providers like youtube and netflix to get started without net neutrality. A level playing field should be maintained.

1772. Robin Khamsi, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
I believe in a free and open internet. Internet service providers should not have the power to throttle or filter our access to the internet.

1773. Daniel Zondervan, Irvine, CA, 92603
As a small business owner producing devices that help individuals with stroke recover from their injury, I depend on net neutrality for my business to exist. Without net neutrality, competitors of mine with deeper pockets could simply pay ISPs for faster website delivery to our target market. Our website is our primary point of contact with our customers. We use it to deliver free content, to provide information, and to complete purchases. If users found our website was slower than a competitors for reasons outside of our control, they would not not come to our website for information, they would not learn about our unique product offering, and our business would fail. This is a very real and very clear consequence of rolling back the net neutrality rules based on Title II. I have not
and never will support a candidate for political office that does not support net neutrality. Please support net neutrality. Thank you. Daniel Zondervan

1774. Khoa Nguyen, Irvine, CA, 92606
Net neutrality is imperative in protecting the internet. If the US government fails to protect the integrity of net neutrality then we the consumers will be the losers. I do not want companies to have the ability to dictate what websites I can or cannot visit. That is the beauty of the internet and if that is taken away, the people will lose one of its most powerful platforms in making our voices heard. I support net neutrality. PS Go John Oliver

1775. Rob Clark, Irvine, CA, 92603
America is the Land of the Free? Prove it, keep Net Neutrality

1776. David Fincher, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Ending net neutrality is in DIRECT VIOLATION of the Sherman Antitrust Act. Net neutrality protects innovation and healthy competition in business.

1777. Tyler Mueller, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
Can you please just stop fucking up? I pay for internet access, netflix pays for internet access, the infrastructure was mostly paid for by taxes. So why is it in any way fair and proper that internet service providers should be able to monopolize and exploit the internet. Fuck this for even being a question and fuck you for not immediately slapping whoever is considering this shit. Tyler Mueller

1778. Nicholas, Irvine, CA, 92604
Net neutrality is essential for our development in coming up with innovative new solutions to our growing problems. Without net neutrality, we won't be able to promote new services as the power to control access will be given to people to abuse it. Simply having access to abuse power means that it will be abused. America is built off capitalism and allowing the free market to dictate what the best solution is for a certain issue. The web is currently following this model, but we will throw this out with the passing of net neutrality. As a result, allowing this to pass will limit our ability to communicate freely and maintain equality. Nicholas

1779. Sina Siassi, Irvine, CA, 92606
Please don't have our ISP's control and block certain websites we have the right to go to only for them to force us to use a website that they own and charge us more money for the sites we do want to use. The Internet is a place that should be free for everyone to use on a daily basis for all their wants and needs. The Net Neutrality rules shouldn't be changed like this.

1780. Courday Farnam, Irvine, CA, 92612
An open internet is very important to myself! I do not want to have the same access to all websites regardless of whether or not they have a financial relationship with my ISPs. When I originally purchased broadband connectivity I was not concerned about any of this issue because there were laws in place that prevented ISPs from exhibiting the aforementioned behavior. I have only a small handful of ISP options in my area and I am concerned that I will no longer be able to find an ISP who does not give preferential network access treatment to the entities that refuse to engage in a financial agreement with the ISP.

1781. Chris Healy, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Net neutrality is vital to our free and democratic society. The FCC, as a part of the federal government, should protect the interests of the people, not help cable companies reduce competition to squeeze more money out of us while controlling what we see and what we access online for their own profit.

1782. Benjamin Crellin, Irvine, CA, 92614
The internet is an amazing tool and should not be utilized by big companies for profit. The world is more connected than ever and humanity can overcome great issues through the basic right of the internet. Even in my large city, I only have access to one good ISP and selling out the internet to such companies will impact myself and hundreds of thousands in my county who have little choice in ISPs. The internet should be free, always.

1783. Chase, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
We demand the freedom of the internet. We understand what we're paying for with our broadband internet, an internet that allows us to connect with others, further our businesses, and access all websites at a high speed.

1784. zahra nemati, irvine, CA, 92617
Please DO NOT limit our internet freedom and plunge us into a 3rd world status.

1785. Khalen Stensby, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Do not destroy our beloved internet! It belongs to everyone!

1786. Net,, `Santa Ana, CA, 92705
NO I DO NOT WANT CERTAINS TO BE RESTRICTED OR CHARGED FOR, KEEP NET NUTRALITY

1787. Steve Jaworski, Anaheim Hills, CA, 92808
Open Internet Rules, net neutrality, are extremely important to me. I do not want corporations to dictate where I can go and what I may use online. Limiting our options and forcing choices and limitations goes against all that the American policies and dreams were founded on. Do not let our freedoms be
taken from us for big-wigs and figure-heads to acquire more influence on our daily lives.

1788. Sarah Block, Irvine, CA, 92617
I strongly believe in a free and open internet. I believe this is necessary for a functioning democracy and a strong economy. I do not trust internet service providers to self-regulate and think that losing net neutrality will lead to monopoly outcomes. Thank you for reading my comment.

1789. Angel Martinez, Tustin, CA, 92780
The dissolution of Net Neutrality is not something that can be allowed to happen. The internet is one of, if not the best place for free speech to occur. Being able to go online and hear multiple viewpoints on a single issue is amazing and could only be possible through the internet, a person on a street corner or a poster stapled to a telephone pole just doesn't hold the same kind of power that one cursory Google search does. The ability for ISP's to slow down or outright stop one's ability to see all sides of an argument is wrong.

1790. Anthony Gravagno, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
We need Net Neutrality. Please continue to support it. Look for other resolutions to perceived issues.

1791. Christian Jones, Tustin, CA, 92782
The FCC needs to keep and enforce the strong principles of Net Neutrality that were designed to protect consumers.

1792. Jarrett Tomney, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I support an open Internet and support net neutrality.

1793. Siva Ratnam, Irvine, CA, 92606
If we are to call our civilization a democratic, secular and global model, which as a species we have evolved to adapt, then it has to be a COMPLETELY free society as far as the individual is concerned. It also has to be very different from whatever existed before, including what we understand as the western civilization, which came into being after colonialism ended and mainly after the 2nd World War. Such a free-society cannot have any kind of law order, which can be considered as an interference with individual freedom. No matter what the excuse, crime, terrorism, patriotism, etc. etc such laws that interfere with the freedom of the individual cannot be permitted. Therefore, this model for a civilization, global and secular, cannot be the same as the old western civilization. What we have today in most countries is that form of the western civilization, of course adjusted and improvised based on regional preferences, culture, etc. That civilization has evolved to become politically, very corrupt, it interferes with individual freedom in the name of crime and terrorism and "what about the children" excuses and sentiments. That model is useless for the future
of our species. What we need is TOTAL and COMPLETE individual freedom to seek happiness. Only direct harm to others and any kind of physical violence can be considered as a taboo. We cannot have torture, death penalty and surveillance over the population, no matter what the excuse is. We cannot have even the kind of crime and punishment we have today and the model we use for imprisonment. That is what can be called a modern and democratic society. What we have today is a totally faulty society model and it is becoming more and more unacceptable everyday. Therefore, no matter what the criminals and child molesters and sexual predators and foreign enemies and terrorists are doing in the net and no matter what they use it for, we cannot have any kind of law and order, which affects the freedom of the web users, their complete privacy and we cannot have any kind of law and order or police or governmental interference. No government has any right to control the web. The web is like outer space or the air we breath or the sky or the global water sources, etc. They are free for all and should be free from any kind of governmental, police and/or law and order interference and control. Therefore humans must fight for total and complete net-neutrality.

1794. Alec Santos, Irvine, CA, 92612
Where/when globalization is the new norm, an internet that is not neutral does as much to build walls between people as do the people who think a person's labels say more about them than their actions.

1795. net neutrality, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Keep the internet free, don't let the large corporations ruin the internet for the rest of us small businesses. Keep it free!

1796. Eric Miller, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
The Internet should remain in the hands of the users, not corporate bastards

1797. Warren B, Anaheim, CA, 92807
Keep the internet open. I am opposed to the idea of providers throttling some content & prioritizing other content.

1798. Net Neutrality, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Please dismantle the recently enacted rules on net neutrality and restore the Internet to the free market system that existed before Obama & Wheeler implemented the new rules in 2015. These new rules are over-regulating the Internet & preventing the growth & expansion that previously existed. Quit treating the Internet like a 1930's railroad or telephone company. Let the marketplace determine the rules and keep the Feds out!

1799. Blaine Bolin, coto de caza, CA, 92679
I care about the open internet and having competition online.
1800. David Goltermann, Irvine, CA, 92603
Free and open internet is the last bastion of expression that is untouched by corporations. Don't fuck it up.

1801. Brant Robillard, Anaheim, CA, 92807
Let Net neutrality live. Getting rid of this is horrible

1802. Kevin Gilleard, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I depend on the net for my livelihood. If corporate disruption gets in the way of my progress as an individual and a member of the internet community I would be harmed. Please KEEP net neutrality laws in place to keep online greed in check! The internet was invented by people who stood to make no profit on it (Sir Tim Berners Lee, Jon Postel, et al) and those core values should be carried into the future. Just like freedom of expression, free and open access to the internet itself should be a human right.

1803. Adam Deibert, Tustin, CA, 92780
DON'T MESS WITH THE INTERNET. IT'S NOT COOL

1804. Kevork Abazajian, Irvine, CA, 92617
Keep the internet open and free for use. Keep net neutrality. It is a basic aspect of our current freedoms, and it is FCC's responsibility to protect Americans' interests and not that of large content providers.

1805. Joseph C, Irvine, CA, 92612
I like free inter-webs.

1806. Joe Lee, Irvine, CA, 92614
Dear Senators and Representatives:Please support any amendment that would strike out Sections 628, 629 and 630 from the Government Appropriations. These sections would undermine the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and prevent the agency from enforcing these critical protections. It is unacceptable to use a budget bill to circumvent the FCC's open rulemaking process that millions of citizens participated in. The American people have called for strong net neutrality rules. Congress should let the FCC do its job, and not engage in unproductive partisan brinksmanship. Thank you, Joe Lee

1807. Jacob Burkhardt, La Habra, CA, 92692
We have to keep net neutrality if we still are trying to be what this country was founded on. Don't let the big corporations beat the majority of people and ruin America!

1808. Jason Ngu, Irvine, CA, 92618
I want to keep my cat videos safe. Help please.
1809. Brandon Carlson, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
The internet is a universal language. If we lose our freedom on the web, we lose connection not with the internet, but each other.

1810. Robert Samuel Tambe-Takaw, Irvine, CA, 92606
There is absolutely no reason that these companies should be allowed to control access to the internet. It is censorship. This is America, not North Korea.

1811. Ajit Pai, Irvine, CA, 92606
By removing net neutrality you are only supporting corporations that want to screw over the american people.

1812. Nicholas Karp, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I believe that net neutrality is vital in preserving the free speech within this country. Despite individuals having controversial opinions or views, it is vital to allow these individuals to express themselves in some healthy demeanor without being censored and continuously they are in the wrong.

1813. Ryan Marx, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
The fact that this is even open to debate is appalling. Internet neutrality is one of my most important issues, and I as a citizen of the United States demand that you take a good hard look at what the MAJORITY of Americans want, and to not base your decisions off of what your FEW wealthy lobbyists are telling you to do. The internet must remain free of throttling, fees, and premiums. The whole internet must remain free and balanced. Yours Truly, Ryan.

1814. Net Neutrality, Irvine, CA, 92606
Please don't restrict Net Neutrality. Currently, the internet works as a free source of listless information that can be spread worldwide, like a large scale library. Think of it as the Avatar movie. The blue race, Na'vi, used their planet's vegetation and livestock to store and share information all while communicating like each other. When threatened by humanity, they protected their planet from big name companies who only wanted resources for money. Metaphorically, if Net Neutrality becomes ineffective, Earth as we know it could rage an all out war. Don't let that happen.

1815. Lindsay Roberts, Irvine, CA, 92612
Please STOP messing with the internet. Stop trying to pass laws in favor of ISPs, instead of keeping an open and free internet. Stop implementing archaic control freak policies that's turning this country into a damn police state. Or at least RESPOND to me and tell me what's in it for YOU. How much are they paying you to pretend to be interested in this? :| Genuinely curious, over here. Anyhow, I'm sure you've gotten a million of these e-mails, all basically saying the same thing. Have fun with that. =D
1816. Chase Hallahan, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Nearly 88% of Americans surveyed favored net neutrality. How the hell can you even consider opposing it? Oh wait, it's because greedy pricks like you are getting your pockets lined by even greedier ISPs who don't give a shit about their monopolized consumer base. You'd all prefer to milk us of every last bit of money so that we can have access to a fair, uncensored internet experience. Screw all of you for even considering this crap.

1817. Yanit Mehta, Irvine, CA, 92612
Net neutrality is essential for freedom on the internet. Taking it away violates the very principle of equality on the internet. Everyone needs to acknowledge these facts. The internet is a huge part of today's society and taking away the concept of equality almost seems bizarre to me.

1818. Matthew Amrofell, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
I will begin graduate school as a PhD student in chemical engineering this coming fall. The effort to change broadband internet access from telecom service to information service would be harmful to my efforts in graduate school. I am pursuing a research-based PhD, and the unfettered access to online scientific journals will be paramount to my research. If ISPs are allowed to restrict the speed of access to certain journals that do not pay for the privilege, both my and my peers' research abilities will be hindered, thus depriving the scientific community of necessary advancement and limiting our development as researchers. Additionally, the potential for ISPs in areas they monopolize to significantly increase prices due to lack of FCC oversight will strain the already small budget of PhD students.

1819. Monica Ball, Irvine, CA, 92603
Both the internet and I came of age around the same time. Given this, the internet has played a huge role in my life. It's allowed me to research and explore interests that I likely otherwise would not have had the resources to delve into. It allowed me to find places to volunteer, complete research assignments during my education, apply to college and graduate school, find jobs, and pursue passions. It's a daily part of my life. Had the proposed changes to net neutrality been enacted since the birth of the internet, I would be a very different person today, since my ability to seek out what specifically interests me on the internet would be hindered. Net neutrality allows one to freely roam the internet, but if legislation changes that, we will be encumbered in that freedom, forced to choose either take the back country lanes of private and small business owned websites or the superhighways of websites owned by large, multinational corporations. This will decrease diversity, stifle creativity, make it more challenging for future generations to follow their own passions, and kill small internet based business that can't afford to pay more to have their websites load more quickly for customers.
1820. Nathan Brown, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Please keep the internet open and free, not subject to the highest bidder.

1821. Internet, RSM, CA, 92688
The internet need to be open for all. No controlling websites indirectly and other nonsense. Stop it.

1822. James Comerford, Irvine, CA, 92618
Sorry, I do not want the internet i have known and loved for over 20 years throttled by big industry FCC greed. I will not support any candidate who does not support net neutrality.

1823. net neutrality, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
The Internet has grown. From a small simple program only for the military to the very fiber that runs our society. If we restrict the internet it no longer is the symbol of freedom that it grew to become. The internet is the people's domain, or has been for the past 15 years. If we police the internet than the world will be watched by big brother.

1824. Spencer Berg, Tustin, CA, 92782
Let us keep our net neutrality!! Nobody wants to have to pay more for unnecessary hoops to jump through! Keep the internet free!

1825. Calvin Li, Irvine, CA, 92603
NET NEUTRALITY is KEYYYY

1826. Mathew Sprehn, Burbank, CA, 92604
Please do not reverse Title II status. The internet should be open and free. Do not repeal net neutrality.

1827. Camilo Rodriguez, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
The Internet needs to stay free from the direct influence of ISP's they already have destroyed the infrastructure via pseudo-monopoly's and the last thing we need is for them to have the opportunity to control the speed of such sites we enter based on the stakes and how much money they extort from other sites as such we need strong net neutrality laws to prevent this

1828. Nathan Ree, Irvine, CA, 92620
I believe that a free internet is a privilege for all Americans alike, especially for a free country like the United States. Americans all around enjoy the variety of what the internet has given them access to such as resources or information. With these new internet regulations, the society will not be happy about this because it limits the freedom of will.

1829. Alyssa Riney, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
The internet needs to remain open regardless of what ISP you may use.

1830. Net Neutrality, Tustin, CA, 92780
Leave Net Neutrality alone! In general I agree with less regulation, but the cable companies are effectively a monopoly on the internet. I only have one real choice for high speed internet. They need to be regulated to make sure the internet stays open and I can visit any lawful internet site.

1831. Net Neutrality, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Do not allow private entities to control the internet. Keep Net Neutrality intact so that all businesses can flourish in our free market that we so cherish in the United States. Most of the United States is forced to only have one ISP, two if they are in an area you could consider 'lucky'. How can they have a chance if their ISP decides that only content from 'Al jazeera' is all they will ever be allowed to have. Consider this scenario, what if an ISP is influenced by ISIS and promotes only terrorist content? It's in their right if you remove Net Neutrality. Now that is a hyperbole but it is a very real situation that can come from this. Don't take Net Neutrality away!

1832. Emily Montgomery, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Please keep Net Neutrality (especially Title 2) because it is truly fair and important to all internet users. I don't want any service provider to have the kind of control they would have WITHOUT Title 2 to stop them.

1833. Jeya Senapathi, Irvine, CA, 92602
Are you kidding me right now???!!!??!??!

1834. Steven White, LMFT, LPCC, Irvine, CA, 92614
It is vital to our freedom and philosophical beliefs as a nation to keep NET Neutrality. Blocking, throttling, and paid prioritization are a slippery slope to decreasing information, access, and an informed populace of educated Americans. As a psychotherapist who works with disenfranchised clients, often the internet is the only venue of equality. To alter equitable access and take away what, for many, is the last equal space that all Americans share regardless of socioeconomic, gender, race, and health differences is a detriment to those individuals and the greater population. The only reason to do so is to further empower corporate and government interests, devaluing and lowering individual personal freedoms.

1835. Brian Powell, Long Beach, CA, 92861
Keep Net Neutrality! You can't control this!

1836. Jeffrey Lloyd, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Please keep the net neutrality laws in place as is. This allows people the opportunity to create new work and a careers in a level playing field. thanks.
1837. Net Neutrality, Irvine, CA, 92604
Keep the internet open...competition is good for everyone

1838. Boris Byk, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
I urge you to save and protect the FCC's Open Internet Rules. As a consumer, I will be affected if these rules are scaled back. When I buy internet access, I expect to be able as a consumer to have a choice of what service to use for email, cloud storage, video stream etc. With net neutrality undone, I will be tied to my current ISP and that doesn't promote either competition or quality. Where I live there are only two ISPs â€“ no real competition. The only beneficiaries of scrapping net neutrality are the ISPs, while the consumers like myself and numerous businesses big and small providing web services will be losers. Please maintain a fair balance in this market and save net neutrality.

1839. Greg, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Allowing the internet to grow and change without restriction is what allows it to evolve so quickly and create levels of wealth and production greater than anytime in history. As it expands and automates advanced technology will become common, and things we can't imagine today will become possible. Don't place an artificial limit on our future for short term returns.

1840. Brendan Coen, Irvine, CA, 92618
Net neutrality protects access to all sources of information, news, and media. Ending neutrality will create monopoly-like preferences and restrict the growth of new sites, games, and companies. It would be a violation of free speech, expression, and market.

1841. Kevin Erbas-White, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I am for net neutrality and against it's repeal. An open internet is crucial for innovation within the United States. We chance to fall behind our global competitors if we remove this.

1842. Sarah Kang, Irvine, CA, 92604
I oppose deregulating net neutrality rules. The internet should be free. The speed we get information and what we get to see should NOT be in the hands of large corporations. The internet is relied on by citizens as an open free market where information can be accessed no matter who you are or where you come from. Keep it that way. AT&T/Verizon is using the language of free market to oppose net neutrality. They are claiming they want a free market but that is Orwellian double speak. If they had their way, they would control what their customers have access to online, how is that the free market?? What they want to do is have the freedom to make more money. By their logic, then the internet is a free market where competitors have the ability to beat them in what they offer customers. One big problem: there are no other customers since AT&T,
Comcast and Verizon have a stranglehold on their "areas." I know this because I tried to switch internet companies and found out that I had no choice!! I was basically stuck with what I had. It was either pay their high prices or not have internet at all. IS THAT WHAT THEY CALL THE FREE MARKET?

1843. Talia Pierre, Irvine, CA, 92612
I support net neutrality and would not like to see power shift to internet service providers. The internet should be treated the same as other utilities such as water, where there are no specific charges for specific uses. Please do not compromise net neutrality and do not allow internet service providers to manipulate what people have access to on the web.

1844. Zachary Hassan Assaf, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
My main job as an electrical engineer relies heavily on the internet. Downloading the latest software/software updates for my required programs such as autocad, e-mailing with clients, having access to the different file-sharing services that different clients may use, and more. My side "job" involves selling used products on ebay. Being able to look up prices and get products to my customers - its all online. When I pay for my internet, I at least expect it to get through these basic tasks. Not to mention some of my biggest hobbies such as online gaming almost completely rely on a fast and stable connection. Sure, I live in a highly populated area in Southern California, and I may have choices in different ISPs, but many of those are unreliable and when it come down to it I only have maybe 1 or 2 other choices aside from my current one. And if those choices may discriminate against the services I frequently use, that is a huge loss for me. Not to mention, it would be a huge hassle to constantly switch between ISPs depending on which services and online programs they support. It would also just be stressful knowing that at any moment my contract or package with my current ISP can dwindle in worth. Me and many others just really hope Net Neutrality will stay as is for the foreseeable future.

1845. Farah Sallam, Irvine, CA, 92620
I believe strong net neutrality is essential for a free, open internet. Changing the current polices (placed and ensured under President Obama) and weakening necessary protections is detrimental for small businesses and even just individuals as a whole. An open internet allows more than millions of people to share ideas and connect in many unimaginable ways. Online businesses such as Google or Facebook would not even be as large without free internet. In fact, several people such as myself, would not even be aware of how harmful removing the Title II Order without free internet. I'm incredibly concerned that if Title II is removed, then large companies such as AT&T and Version could unfairly promote their products in questionable means, making it impossible for smaller companies to gain momentum. Companies such as AT&T and Version aren't even restricted harshly because their businesses are huge and well-known.
While less regulation sounds like it would promote free internet, unfortunately I believe it doesn't. Thank you.

1846. Nima Beheshti, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
The loss of net neutrality could mean loss great websites such as discord, zapier, and other small start up or slowed down connections to sites that we use on a daily basis which forces them to pay up which in turn means we have to go threw a paywalls to access the sites we use or they will just out right block these sites I've made lots amazing friends over discord and im not going to let some shitty ISPs like Verizon or AT&T take it away

1847. Emily Guffey, Irvine, CA, 92618
I work from home, running my own business as a consultant to apartment management companies and owners that have properties that participate in affordable housing programs regulated by the federal government. I rely 100% on access to the internet in order to run my business. Without a fast internet connection, there is no way I could do this and my entire livelihood, a business that I have built since 2005, would be gone. I work through a cloud storage service that is not provided by my internet provider.

1848. net neutrality, Irvine, CA, 92614
The internet should be free from paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling. I believe that we need Net Neutrality and NOT the improperly named Internet Freedom Act which would mainly benefit large corporations like Spectrum and Verizon. Please do the right thing and keep Net Neutrality.

1849. Steven Steele, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
The internet has always been free, and needs to remain so. The Trump administration and members of congress are claiming they need to roll back Obama era regulations in order to ensure innovation in America's biggest tech companies, but Google, Facebook, and Netflix, along with numerous Silicon Valley entrepreneurs, have spoken out against the rollbacks. I agree, and strongly urge you to keep these regulations in place.

1850. Abhay Sharma, Irvine, CA, 92602
Un-American. Seeing that the American government was fundamentally built around the ideology of for the people and by the people, Americans can confidently say that repealing Net Neutrality is definitely Un-American. This is the most anti-consumer, corporate cash grab garbage that I've seen in my life, and many (MANY) Americans believe that by repealing Net Neutrality, we can utterly destroy the most cherished piece of technology in the history of mankind. Net Neutrality stems farther into the future than anyone can imagine and by shattering this basic right on the internet we are jeopardizing the future of any online open functionality. The internet is not an extraneous piece of
technology that can be milked for money, synonymous to a oil reserve waiting to be tapped. The internet is a piece of human history that has and will fundamentally change the way that we all communicate, entertain, learn, and in general, go through our lives. If Net Neutrality is repealed, the American becomes Un-American and the future will be forever in jeopardy.

1851. Zoe Perkins, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
Net neutrality is important. The FCC shouldn't be allowed to change it.

1852. David Mackey, Orange, CA, 92867
Net Neutrality is important. The internet must remain free! Please do not change the current regulation. Leave them the way they are! Thank you!

1853. Kyle Olinger, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Net neutrality is a continuation of freedom of speech and protects the privacy of the people of the United States. I am in support of net neutrality.

1854. Joon Choi, Irvine, CA, 92618
Stop doing stupid things

1855. Gil Ramirez, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
Thank you in advance for choosing the right thing. :)

1856. Jordan, Irvine, CA, 92620
Net neutrality is EXTREMELY important as freedom of speech is granted in our constitution as Americans, and allowing net neutrality to change in the ways presented would be infringement our rights... Buffering content that you disagree with and prioritizing your own content over others is disgusting. Repealing net neutrality as it currently stands, is selfish, and it's clear what the motives are of those who want to do so. And in return, I and MANY other Internet users will retaliate in anyway we can (obviously not violently) to ruin the businesses and those who did so.

1857. Elizabeth, Orange, CA, 92869
The internet needs to be open and accessible to the consumer.

1858. James Chronley, Irvine, CA, 92614
I want to voice my concern over the net neutrality issue. Net neutrality is an essential part of the free world and is a big part of keeping the American dream alive. The idea that everyone can have equal access to sites will allow start-up companies to succeed and create competition. Without net neutrality there would not be an equal footing for all companies to fight for business and would discourage innovation through lack of competition.

1859. Nate Hasal, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
The internet needs net neutrality. Please.

1860. Ryan Conroy, Irvine, CA, 92614
       An open internet is democratic and necessary.

1861. Andrew, Irvine, CA, 92618
       The internet is to be fully available to use for all citizens. Not for those select few who could afford extortionate fees for prioritized internet access. No to throttling, paid prioritization, and blocking!

1862. Kelli Howard, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
       Keep the internet a space for innovation.

1863. Michael Metcalf, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
       Please keep access to the internet neutral and free from throttling and prioritization.

1864. Shawn Farsai, Irvine, CA, 92602
       Internet needs to remain a free place for all

1865. Amir, Tustin, CA, 92782
       Don't let telecom companies monopolize the internet and choose which websites get preferred treatment.

1866. Tyler Burk, Irvine, CA, 92606
       The internet does not need to be regulated and capitalized, doing such will bring about the downfall of one of possibly the greatest wonder of the modern world.

1867. Justin T, Anaheim, CA, 92807
       You cannot let this happen. Isn't the government suppose to be free from corporate control. By taking away net neutrality you are going against the first amendment. Please do not go against net neutrality. ISPs should not have control over the things I browse on the web by controlling speeds or what I view.

1868. Kevin Jang, Irvine, CA, 92604
       Net neutrality allows freedom of expression, which is the foundation upon which our country was created and flourished. The Internet allowed millions of minds to express and consume various cultures. Taking this away would lead the US to lose its biggest strength - people of different ideas united by patriotism - in the biggest virtual space.

1869. Brenden Metz, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
       Keep my internet from being throttled and tinkered with. I want what I payed for, along with my privacy.
1870. Anthony Briones, Tustin, CA, 92780
Net neutrality is a very important subject to me as I am a twenty-three-year-old millennial American who uses the internet every day. I'm the exact type of person that ISP's would like to say will not be affected by the creation of "fast lanes" and "slow lanes" of Internet, after all, the things I am using internet for tend to be work, shopping, and entertainment. However, many people my age would like to start businesses or use the internet to contribute to the economic productivity of our nation, but an end to Net Neutrality would signal the beginning of an even more difficult time for Young Americans to start businesses and compete in what is currently a free online market place. The only thing motivating these internet service providers is greed and income. And although I am all for competition among businesses, this would unfairly put these already massive companies at even more of an advantage against the little guy. I strongly urge you to keep net neutrality the way it is for all Americans, whether they are a multi-billion-dollar company or me at home on the internet. The internet belongs to no one, let alone the companies that already own most everything else. From a very concerned American, -Anthony Briones Jr.
Anthony Briones

1871. Kathryn Wheaton, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
I support Net Neutrality. Don't take away Title II

1872. Tony Hernandez, tustin, CA, 92780
I have the right to view information, watch entertainment and amuse myself with the vast usage of what the internet has to offer. These internet providers can't interrupt the connection for their customers just to gain more incentives and revenue. or an any case throttling in order to receive more profit.

1873. Megan Yee, Tustin, CA, 92782
Net neutrality is fundamental not only for the free market of information and free speech, but also the rise of digital business and digital economy. Please consider the importance of net neutrality.

1874. Austin Wulf, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I want the full Internet

1875. Connor Valentine, Irvine, CA, 92614
Access to the internet is access to improvements to your quality of life. Such as clean water and energy. Denying access or limiting access will only hurt the poor

1876. Joseph C, Irvine, CA, 92618
Please do not send me mail.

1877. John Maechler, Tustin, CA, 92782
we need a strong oversight under Title II because it my area we have 2 internet providers that I trust and one offers at most 5 MB/s while the other provide at max 500 MB/s and if the later company decides to throttle the connection to websites I would not be able to switch over to a different provider since it is so slow.

1878. DAVID HARTL, Orange, CA, 92869
I believe the FCC's Open Internet Rules are among the most important regulatory actions our national government can take to preserve open and FREE speech, a right under our Constitution. This right is among the most fundamental values of our representative democracy. If the FCC permits corporate greed and political financing to over-rule our right to an Open Internet and FREE expression, I will make it my personal mission to vote against all those in government who permitted this action to be taken and will do all in my power to influence others to do the same. Until I retired recently, I remained politically "neutral" (a "registered Independent") for professional reasons. Not any more, especially if you let the corporations take over your responsibilities and "buy" your votes and decisions. Open communication and Open Politics is fundamental to America. Do the right thing. Please preserve an Open Internet. Thank you, Dr. David Hartl, Retired Prof. of Government

DAVID HARTL

1879. Vernon Orwig, Laguna Hills, CA, 92656
Please maintain Net Neutrality. The internet should remain open and available to all.

1880. Sarah Chang, Irvine, CA, 92603
The internet needs to remain neutral in order for fair use for everyone. Discrimination between ISPs about the use of internet restricts what the public wants to see and violates the original intention of a free internet.

1881. Ben Hadley, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
The 'net neutrality' provisions established under the Obama administration must remain in place at the FCC. The internet has become a public necessity in a greater capacity than phone or radio, and the corporations that provide internet service must be regulated to ensure that this service remains a free and open venue for communication.

1882. Ryan Smithgall, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
It is imperative that the United States keeps the internet open and free for all. Without freedom of the internet and all of the valuable information it holds, progress will be stifled. Where it will hurt the most, is the economy. I urge my fellow countrymen and women to keep the internet free. Thank you for your time.
We live in a democracy -- not a dictatorship. Controlling what we see and do online is limiting the freedom of choice and the ability to explore of our American citizens. It is unethical, greedy, and unconstitutional. It is wrong.

Abby

Keep Net Neutrality! There's already so little competition between ISPs. Don't help them take over the internet further. Don't line the pockets of Telecoms by nickle and diming the American people. An open internet is vital to great American prosperity.

Keep Net Neutrality! Access to the entire internet is vital for the wonderful exchange of information that is a part of our lives. I ask that you keep net neutrality as it is so that my children will be able to access all the information available online, to help with their homework, or simply for their own curiosity. Thank you. Elisa Jiang.

You wouldn't throttle a phone line, a TV station, or a newspaper, correct?

Net neutrality is an absolute necessity in our internet driven world.

Internet Service Providers shouldn't take away our freedom on the internet, it will make lives worse for everyone.

As a Conservative registered Republican, the federalization of the internet has been one of my greatest fears. This seemingly innocuous regulation is at least a lobbyist's agenda, but at worst, a step towards internet censorship not unlike what we see in China.

Cmon really? We know what's happening. You know it's not a good decision for everyone but for a few. Please reconsider, for everybody.

it is annoying

Keep Net Neutrality! What I do on the internet should not be the decision of the ISP I pay. I am paying for a service and not for control over the internet. The
FCC needs to step in and ensure that consumers are protected. If control is given to the ISP's I will not be able to chose my internet provider. With the area I live in only one ISP is available. I work from home, and if the ISP has control and decides to raise prices, I don't have options. When I purchase internet services I believe I am purchasing the right to access the internet. Not be dictated what to do on it. The internet is access to information. If ISP's get control over that information I believe it would be bordering possible censorship. They will only allow me access to the information that would somehow benefit their pockets $$.

It always comes down to money. Please for the sake of freedom of information, and ability to choose, keep Net Neutrality!

1893. James Tillman, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
I met my current girlfriend playing online video games. Because I play a lot of online games I probably take use 90% of the households internet per month. If my ISP were able to charge me after a cap of say 30GB for the month I don't think I would be able to continue my hobby or spend almost any time with my girlfriend. My girlfriend is currently in Colorado and that means a lot skyping as well, which is all data intensive. I surf the internet and I love watching high-quality videos. When I use the internet I expect a fast and relatively buffer free experience. I do not believe for even one second that any ISP wouldn't consider immediately gouging a customer such as myself. I am in the top 1% of their customers as far as data usage and they would love nothing more than to make as much money as they can off of it. tl;dr I use a lot of data for my hobbies and relationship. Don't let my ISP gouge me for it. Thanks.

1894. Megan Sant, Irvine, CA, 92604
I believe in net neutrality and believe the 2015 decision should not be reversed. I use to the Internet to connect with family in other states, to study the things I'm passionate about as well as career facilitation and growth. I listen to music and make playlists and share important visions with friends and colleagues. I've been able to have access to the most up to date technology while enrolled in college courses that made access to college more convenient and comfortable. I'm able to track my health and heart rate as well as stress levels and exercise needs with the help of the Internet. I've been forced to choose between two providers and in some cases I get just one choice. To abandon this law would take away my ability to access information across all avenues without being taken advantage of by ISPs. I never once have used their "bundled services" and to continue harassing and manipulating their clientele is a form of monopoly I do not care to see return to the market.

1895. Jason, Irvine, CA, 92612
Net neutrality is an important things and we will never stop fighting to keep that right.
1896. Cailean Harris, Irvine, CA, 92614
The internet is a vital resource for people to use to better their lives and understand the world they live in. This medium must remain unbiased, unaligned, and uninfluenced in order to provide that.

1897. Erin Catto, Irvine, CA, 92620
Please preserve net neutrality. We need fair competition in this growing industry.

1898. John Sinco, Irvine, CA, 92612
The internet is a public forum and should not be intervened with.

1899. Nathaniel Cayabyab, Orange, CA, 92867
Please do not end Net Neutrality. The internet is our freedom, not your business.

1900. Vasily Samuel Bonham, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
This is so not cool that i don't have words to express just how not cool this is :( Please, let us not go fully totalitarian just yet, i still have my life to live. The sad part is this is just a step for these guys and we HAVE to take a stand. Otherwise they'll keep taking the small steps and before we know it, we'll have no way to even express our displeasure because the internet will not be what it is today: FREE (for the most part haha, looking at you Iran and China and some other crazy places)

1901. Andrew Quesenberry, Tustin, CA, 92780
I am in favor of Net Neutrality. Without Net Neutrality, new internet businesses, especially ones that may provide a direct competition to services provided by ISPs, could be strangled in fees, and fail out of the gate. Internet service is not a scarce resource. Everyone should pay the same price for the same service. If ISPs don't have the capacity to handle the traffic they're receiving, isn't that a good problem to have? They should be expanding to meet said capacity.

1902. Omeed Farsoni, Irvine, CA, 92620
Hi, my name is Omeed Farsoni and I'm a concerned customer from Irvine, CA.I'm calling to express my disapproval that the FCC is trying to kill net neutrality and the strong Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Preserving an open internet is crucial for fair and equal access to the resources and information available on it.Thank you for your time and attention.

1903. Cameron Cisco, Irvine, CA, 92612
Its OUR Internet. OUR websites. OUR shit. Stay the fuck OUT of it. Protest till the death! Strength in UNITY, loyalty till DEATH!
1904. James Mackey, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Please keep the net neutral. Help keep information easy to access.

1905. Travis Wyatt, Irvine, CA, 92618
Net Neutrality is crucial toward keeping the internet fair for all people. What you decide to do here will effect the course of information all over the world. We are looked to by other countries on how to handle internet traffic, especially since most of the popular websites continue to be hosted in America. Please do not ruin this for the people that deserve it. No one wants to see internet access turn into cable TV. Look where that industry is headed. Please do your part, thank you.

1906. Yunyang Sun, Irvine, CA, 92618
Network connection freedom and quality is important to me. I do not want others to interfere with my connection.

1907. Don't, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Do not sell the internet! Please keep net neutrality.

1908. Save Net Neutrality, irvine, CA, 92618
Hi, I am a concerned citizen over the position that Washington has taken of net neutrality. I urge the FCC to please uphold a neutral web not controlled by various companies and their products. The internet is one of the best forms of freedom of speech, freedom to do, and freedom to create, vehicles ever created. By repealing net neutrality laws you enable companies to force consumers to pick and choose what products are viable on their network. It is very easy to see how this will lead to companies promoting their products over their rivals. This literally attacks the basis of capitalism and how it is ran in our country. One company can develop a monopoly indirectly and hold other companies hostage if you allow them to control what works and does not work on the internet. This will also halt creation and development of new companies. Many tech entrepreneurs rely on the internet to create something and build tomorrow. This part of the internet would be completely crushed in less than a decade if you repeal net neutrality laws. Companies would not allow things like kickstarter to work correctly or other start up business because they would see them as a threat. Please FCC you must keep internet neutral so that all may have the freedom to use it to its max potential and not allow corporate world take over.

1909. jen derose, Irvine, CA, 92618
Internet needs to be priced as utility, keep net neutrality.

1910. Charlton Yap, Tustin, CA, 92782
So, illegal monopolization? No. Keep the net neutral.

1911. Ben Dimick, Irvine, CA, 92606
Please maintain net neutrality in an anti-business, pro-consumer way.

1912. Net Neutrality, Orange, CA, 92869
The internet is the most democratic thing we have in the world, it shows pursuits of progress, it connects everyone from any nationality, race, or ethnicity. To rend control from the common man into mega-giant companies would remove the very essence of democracy and would resort us back to baron and king ships. This country wasn't built with the idea to have one person lord over a section and maintain control through money, it was made so everyone had a chance, with no things to stop them.

1913. Martin P, Irvine, CA, 92603
The basis of the internet is that the playing field is equal for everyone regardless of who is being served, what sites are being visited, or who is providing the service. Many of us don't have a practical choice in who provides us with broadband internet service, so we need to always know that the provider we have isn't constrained in their ability to service us based on the sites we choose to visit. To change that would be like changing the way a boat moves through water depending on what state you are nearest to. Please keep Net Neutrality as it is today!

1914. Griffin Kahnke, Tustin, CA, 92782
I am in strong support of net neutrality. It is absurd that such a discussion even needs to occur, given the microcosm of individuals who would benefit, in contrast with the MILLIONS of people who would suffer. Greed, plain and simple.

1915. Ben Nicholas, Tustin, CA, 92780
The internet should be kept open and free, with only the payment for your flat access required. I use it for a wide variety of activities, and the government or private businesses should not determine what I use it for. I pay good money to one of my local competitive provides, and expect to have consistent and reliable access, speeds, and content no matter what legal things I pursue, be it connecting with old friends on Facebook, chatting with random gamers in online gaming content, or other activities. I currently have a few providers to choose from, and this provides competition, improving services for us all. The FCC should provides us with protection when communicating (private data), and if this is lost, private companies will be free to price gouge and change access according to their own pocketbooks. Please focus on Net Neutrality, it is important.

1916. Michael Harris, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I do not want to see the current Net Neutrality rules repealed. I mainly stream my TV from a service called DirecTVNow and my cable company ISP could
cause that service to function worse because they want me to subscribe to their overpriced, lesser value product. I also like to play games online and watch real people stream themselves and their games in real time. I want to keep the internet the way it is currently. It's a great tool and a great source of information. Keep it how it is!

1917. Taylor Mechnikov, San Diego, CA, 92618
Don't make the only place left for free speech under your control. I know you don't understand it, and you think it's evil since you DON'T control it. But that's not how it is.

1918. Stephen Bennett, Irvine, CA, 92617
The FCC is responsible for the regulation of telecommunication services, which include internet services. Current internet services are reasonably good, albeit with few choices. However, if we allow ISPs to choose whether or not to maintain Net Neutrality as-is without enforcement, the overwhelming evidence demonstrates that ISPs will violate Net Neutrality. Since Net Neutrality is important and the existing market frequently provides no choices in ISP, some provision to preserve Net Neutrality is necessary. Classification as a common carrier (Title II) is the only solution that has been proposed to me, and as such I support it. If there are alternatives that allow for fewer regulations while maintaining the enforcement of Net Neutrality, those would also have my support.

1919. Dylan G Butler Esq, mission viejo, CA, 92691
As an Internet Law attorney, I have studied net neutrality and my impression is that an open Internet is essential to the free flow of ideas and information, and the People should have access to the Internet as basic human right. Nobody, private or public, should be permitted to impinge on our individual right to a free and open Internet. The Internet is like clean water. It's like electricity. It's information- and knowledge is power. We must protect the internet by keeping it open and by making it free. Our future depends on it. Thank you.

1920. Ethan Nakashima, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
Please keep Net Neutrality. It's the only thing stopping giant ISPs from screwing the American public even further than they already are. The day we all have to pay for ISPs to give us normal speeds on specific services is the day the internet dies.

1921. Michael Fourre, 92869, CA, 92869
The internet is a wealth of information intentionally made available to all regardless of their status in society. Allowing corporations to alter accessibility of this resource destroys that important quality of the internet and opens the door for further restriction, preventing people from accessing the information
that they deserve to access.

1922. Francisco Ilabaca, Irvine, CA, 92617  
Net neutrality allows me to do my job (research) wherever, whenever I want. Keep the internet open and free.

1923. Taku Okano, Lake Forest, CA, 92630  
Please don't be a greedy asshole and start adding costs onto things that are already available to us. Thanks.

1924. Alayna Schrader, Irvine, CA, 92603  
I am submitting this to show my support of the FCC's current net neutrality rules. I believe that they are a positive force in allowing so many people access to the knowledge and assistance that the internet can provide. By allowing ISPs and Chairman Pai the ability to censure the internet and/or split the internet into various lanes or tiers, I worry that many of the advantages of the internet will be lost or severely restricted. These advantages include, but are not limited to: access to information and education; access and use of free speech; access and use of political speech; ability for business both big and small to enjoy profits; access and use of entertainment. Please continue to support and protect net neutrality.

1925. od, Irvine, CA, 92614  
support net neutrality (save the open internet)

1926. Roi Barank, Los Angeles, CA, 92604  
I support an open internet and net neutrality.

1927. Kazunori Uyesugi, Irvine, CA, 92618  
Dear FCC, It would be in the best interest both short and long term for the U.S. to keep the internet Classified as a type 2 - utility. Historically, innovation and progress for a nation has come when it is able to compete with other nations on providing the same utilities. If all other countries treat the internet like a utility and the US does not, we are crippling ourselves and the next generation of Americans to become competitive in an increasingly globalized society. Thank you for your time and consideration. Sincerely, Kaz Uyesugi

1928. Charles Kable, Irvine, CA, 92618  
For once can we not screw over the majority of American for the interests of a few companies?

1929. Neil Mosunic, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692  
I'm worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any. The internet has
become a critical infrastructure in the modern world and must remain open and free of corporate meddling.

1930. Reed Chan, Irvine, CA, 92602
Please support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules. The Internet acts as a gateway to an expanse of knowledge and acts as a bridge between many peoples and cultures. In the absence of net neutrality, ISPs have the option to create preferred lanes for certain services. This would actually stifle innovation in a free market. Companies' success would be dependent on pushing competitors out of the market (through the Internet), rather than based on the merit of their products/services. In fact, the "free market" doesn't appear to be working with the lack of choice when it comes to ISPs in certain areas. Without net neutrality, I'm afraid that the video-conferencing company that I am currently interning at would not be able to compete with bigger offerings from companies like Cisco. Discord, the free voice communication application that I use to talk to friends across the country wouldn't exist. When I pay for service from an ISP, I expect a reliable, fast connection to the Internet - not the upselling of extraneous services. The FCC is supposed to regulate communications and the Internet enables people to communicate anytime and anywhere. Please regulate the Internet. Support net neutrality.

1931. Sami Nash, Anaheim, CA, 92808
Net Neutrality is critical to how life is on the internet, and key to it being a fantastic place for whatever you need. Everything from recipes to jobs are found, but without it we could see a recall on all that, and ISPs will be able to dictate what we see and influence us to their will.

1932. Mathew Kramer, Tustin, CA, 92780
We American citizens, hell we HUMANS are NOT products. we are not just a list of interests, search histories, and credit reports to be sold and traded between corporate offices to give the power to manipulate the masses with marketing bullshit. we are not things to be given a value, we aren't made on a production line, we are, born, we breath, we live, we grow, we learn. do NOT allow Net Neutrality to be destroyed because doing so would enable big companies and organizations to treat us as if we are products, things to be manipulated further to only make money off of us. don't allow us to be treated as just a group of bank accounts. SAVE net neutrality. If you won't save it for the people you supposedly represent, think about it like this. It not only affects the masses it also affects YOU the people in power.

1933. Mark Tillman, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
I strongly support the Open Internet Rules under Title II and demand that the FCC defend those rules and keep them classified under Title II.
1934. Christopher J Harris, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
I want to keep Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight

1935. Nathaniel Chapman, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Net neutrality is important and internet access should be regulated as the utility it is.

1936. Paige Hicks, Irvine, CA, 92606
How many times do I have to write these letters to express that rolling back net neutrality is a bad idea? I do not want to legally let my ISP become some highwayman, demanding that I pay to use the road, and then that I pay even more to be able to go the full speed limit, or demand that my destination pay more to let me go the full speed limit to get there. The idea of relaxing net neutrality rules is terrible. Stop it. Stop considering it. Go home and feel bad that you even did consider it, then come to work the next day, refuse to do it, and feel better about yourself.

1937. Joseph M. Romano, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Net Neutrality is needed. When is the greed in this world going to slow down? How many yachts do you need until you're happy?

1938. Alana Yeo, Orange, CA, 92869
The Internet should be a basic human right. We demand access to the whole entire internet and not be subject to paid prioritization, throttling or blocking. I support net neutrality.

1939. Zuhair, Irvine, CA, 92612
Take that bill and shove it

1940. Joel Shelton, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I believe this is against our constitutional rights.

1941. Jack Vought, Irvine, CA, 92618
The internet is one of the best learning tools available out there. It is a representation of knowledge. Knowledge should be accessible to all. Net Neutrality needs to stay.

1942. Christine Wolk, Orange, CA, 92867
I am a strong believer in net neutrality. Companies shouldn't be allowed to implement pay-to-play systems as it would be far too easy to only allow access to the highest bidders or partners they deem appropriate. The internet should remain an equal playing field, and access shouldn't be determined by which monthly plan someone can afford. It is important to keep access to the internet fair so that everyone has access to the same information and resources regardless of what they pay or what their ISP has decided they should have
access to. Competition is essential to keeping people accountable and for driving innovation.

1943. net neutrality, lake forest, CA, 92630
Keep the internet free and open. The internet is for everyone to go on and see what they like, not corporate asshats trying to drive more people to their failing ventures and making their rivals impossible to view.

1944. Daniel L. Malo, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
I believe the internet should be regulated like a utility. Net neutrality is very important. If net neutrality is rolled back, that will mean higher prices, and control over information by massive ISPs. Save net neutrality.

1945. Greg Risselada, Tustin, CA, 92782
Keep the internet the way it is and not completely controlled by big corporations.

1946. Joe Bertolini, Orange, CA, 92869
Removing net neutrality allows the American citizens who frequent online browsing to now be owned by large corporations and the highest bidder. For the internet to exist in its truest form it must be completely open to all. Removing net neutrality would be similar to restricting where citizens can buy gas. Imagine this: fuel companies neutrality is removed. Gas companies allow car companies to buy access to fuel pumps. Now only Fords and Chevies can fill up at Chevron, but Toyota and Dodge can't and can only get gas from ExxonMobil. The American people will not stand for this. You must reinstate the regulations put on in 2015.

1947. Carlitos Corro, Orange, CA, 92867
The internet was made by people for people and no one person can take that away. Let it be.

1948. Steven Tabesh, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
This would be devastating to a free and open web.

1949. Ellen Baker, Irvine, CA, 92618
Having the right to choose which service I prefer based on things other than internet speed and simply on what is provided, small companies being able to compete in a market that would otherwise dominate them, and the freedom of speech being easily accessible for everyone are reasons that net neutrality, with Title II enforced, are important to me. Thank you.

1950. Jeff Peterson, Lake Forest, CA, 92610
ISPs are the gateway to the internet. They should not be allowed to arbitrarily inspect or manipulate internet traffic, whether that be for monetary or political
or any other reason. In many areas there is really only one high speed residential ISP. This effective monopoly power requires strong oversight. Please protect net neutrality!

1951. Damien Michael Vigil, Tustin, CA, 92780
Come on. We're already broke as hell, adding this struggle isn't helpful to anyone but the service providers. They don't need more money.

1952. James A Moore, Irvine, CA, 92620
The internet is a valuable tool, and one of its most valuable assets is the freedoms it allows the common man. With net neutrality, you do not have to have money or own a business to make a difference. If these laws pass, however, it would be yet another instance of allowing those few with wealth to control the experience of the many without. I don't want my favorite apps, sites, and small businesses to fade just because a large business owner hasn't hear of them.

1953. Mark Bartosik, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I would like to express concern that it is important to myself as a consumer that net neutrality remains. The internet was founded to be open and it should remain open. The current regulation under Title II ensures that because all data and bandwidth should be treated fairly and equally. Many citizens rely on the openness for personal and business needs and it would be an immense oversight to allow ISPs and large businesses to decide what to do freely. There would never be any guarantee that they would adhere to any sort of "honor code" when it comes to data, traffic, and bandwidth. Several have even admitted that current rules have not stifled innovation whatsoever. Everyone uses and needs the internet in modern society and it makes sense that it should remain open today and in the future as the day it began. Please reconsider the current plan of removing Title II and keeping the internet open and protecting consumer rights which I feel is the FCC's obligation to do so. Thank you.

1954. Saeed Khan, mission viejo, CA, 92691
Please don't mess up Net neutrality and the screw up the internet. It's where all the best cat videos of funny cats and cute puppies are.

1955. Ryan George, Tustin, CA, 92780
As a citizen of the United States of America, as a person for whom freedom, a free market, the rights of expression and values of competition and privacy are paramount, I urge that the FCC rule to treat the Internet as the public utility that it is, and not as a monitored, controlled, and restricted space in which large corporations can exert control over the thoughts, feelings, abilities and choices of their customers. I strongly believe that the Internet in its current form is responsible for the creation and blossoming of a vibrant, beautiful environment
in which people from all over the world can connect to understand each other, conduct business, and share ideas, processes, and abilities. I believe net neutrality is essential to continuing to foster this environment and the benefits it brings not only to the citizens of our country, but to the world at large. Please protect this infrastructure. Do not be swayed by the opinions of a greedy few who seek to destroy, sanitize, and control what is the most important achievement in communications in the world. Stand by net neutrality.

1956. Twitch.tv, Coto de Caza, CA, 92679
PogChamp

1957. Sean Nirmaier, Irvine, CA, 92612
The Open Internet rules (net neutrality) are extremely important to me. I don't want the ISP to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites advantage on others, or split the internet into fast lanes for companies that pay and slow lanes for the rest. Don't allow the Trump administration to control what media is streamed and then make it only about his NEWS. I hope all media outlets join us. We should show the world how we Fight for The Future. Thank you.

1958. Kurt Cooke, Irvine, CA, 92618
A free and open internet is something to preserve. I am strongly against any proposal to oppose net neutrality. If corporations or anyone else has the ability the moderate and/or limit access to this basic human right, the world will suffer greatly. Please keep the internet free and open. Kurt Cooke

1959. Michael Joseph DuMontelle, Irvine, CA, 92603
Without a free internet, it would be too easy to squash small businesses via limiting user access to them. This would be essentially like allowing large ISPs to limit the American dream.

1960. Lauren Harlan, Irvine, CA, 92604
Net neutrality is vitally important. The internet is a crucial learning tool for people everywhere and limiting it's accessibility only serves to lower the amount of information readily available for Americans. For Americans with friends or family in other states, the internet is a vital tool for continued communication with those close to us. For Americans with abusive home environments, online resources are crucial for learning coping mechanisms, finding new places to live, or talking with friends to get through the hard times. Additionally, allowing companies to give certain sites or services priority over others sets a dangerous precedent and hurts consumers immensely. Abolishing net neutrality only creates complications and hinders all Americans. The internet is a vital resource that is necessary for all people to have more opportunities in their lives. Restricting access to the internet would
severely limit all Americans' abilities to learn and research their interests, communicate with friends and loved ones, and it would massively abate progress for all Americans.

1961. Anderson Vereyken, Irvine, CA, 92612
As an undergraduate student at the University of California Irvine, access to the Internet is massively important to me when doing research and using web-based school resources. Were that access to become something other than fair and equitable - and removing Net Neutrality protections promises to do just that - then my quality of education would be directly impacted. Giving ISPs the ability to limit or alter the nature of a university's Internet access gives corporations an undue level of influence over the academic environment of the American university. If the FCC isn't willing to take steps to insure that communications technologies are being properly used to aid academic instruction, then it is failing to meet its stated goal to "Promote the expansion of competitive telecommunications networks, which are a vital component of technological innovation and economic growth and help to ensure that the U.S. remains a leader in providing its citizens opportunities for economic and educational development."

1962. Sohaib Ali, Irvine, CA, 92614
please stop the net neutrality act from being a barrier in peoples lives
Sohaib Ali

1963. Matt Gollob, Irvine, CA, 92620
The FCC **MUST** protect and maintain The Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) along with Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. As a consumer, I depend on unrestricted, untainted access to the open internet, and that is I what I purchase from my ISP. I don't need or want them to dictate to me which sites and services I should use. As a matter of fact, I am a Cox Cable customer simply because I **have no other viable choice** where I live. Their network quality is terrible, and their customer service is even worse. I am not their customer because they attempt to provide add-on "services" like email or cloud storage. Those offerings are vastly inferior to the ones available on the open internet. These ISPs exist not on their own merit, but purely due to their monopoly and anti-competitive practices that hinder consumer choice in the broadband market. To allow these greedy ISPs to further restrict and manipulate consumers' ability to access the open internet is unconscionable and would only strengthen their monopolies, hinder small businesses, and interfere with every American's first amendment right to free speech. Please, do not allow a handful of the most hated corporations in America to ruin the internet for everyone.

1964. India Jarvis, Irvine, CA, 92606
Net neutrality is important because as a student taking courses online I need the security to know that I have fast and reliable internet that lets me access ALL
sites. Not just the ones that pay my ISP. The internet is amazing because it is free, and because it isn't regulated and controlled by service providers. ISP's shouldn't be able to control which website pops up, or throttle my internet speed. Using netflix to watch the films for my film analysis class is something I am not worried about. But what about the blogs I read, follow and write? What about the small businesses and websites. The ones that can't shell out the amounts big companies can. The internet works because it is neutral, no one company has more control then the other. And that is the way it should be. Please, please keep in mind everyone when considering this, not just a paycheck.

1965. daniel nash, Tustin, CA, 92782
    The internet is a place of freedom.

1966. Jonathan DeWitt, Orange, CA, 92869
    I don't want people controlling what I can or can't do on the internet. I also think it is unfair to have fast lanes and slow lanes. Smaller websites cannot afford to pay for a fast lane and it will hurt their website.

1967. freedom, Trabuco canyon, CA, 92679
    Do not take away our freedom!

1968. Justin Lara, Irvine, CA, 92606
    I had an isolated childhood, and the internet provided escape and friendship. Many of the people I met as a young teenager are still part of my life over a decade later. My social exploration was only possible under a free and open internet, through which I was able to explore various games and websites to find people I connected with. I support Title II oversight for ISPs, treating the internet as a utility.

1969. Alondra Hernandez, Irvine, CA, 92617
    As a college student who has grown up with equal access to the internet I think it is imperative that net neutrality continues. I cannot imagine the amount of people who will be at more of a disadvantage than they already are without net neutrality and being of lower incomes.

1970. Greg Gardner, laguna woods, CA, 92637
    uninhibited access to the entire internet belongs us all.

1971. Samuel Pechac, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
    Net Neutrality needs to be maintained. Companies cannot handle the responsibility of maintaining a neutral internet space, and so the FCC needs to maintain strong regulations on this matter. Keep the internet free to the users, and don't let companies try to control what we do with it.
1972. Karina Alvarez, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I want to decide what websites I go to and what information I seek when I'm accessing the internet. I do not want my ISP having any say in that. Please maintain net neutrality.

As an IT professional I strongly believe that the FCC needs to maintain and extend current Net Neutrality rules. Net Neutrality is what allowed the Internet to become *the* vehicle for communications in the 21st century. It has created countless jobs, industries, and become a force for good the world over. The FCC has an obligation to keep the Internet and open environment.

1974. Daniel Evora, Irvine, CA, 92604
A free and neutral internet provides more competition, innovation, and value to the world than one driven by today's corporate interest. If the US chooses to stymie the open nature of the internet, we will slow global progress as many other countries look to us as a guide. The FCC's push against net neutrality runs counter to the desires of the general populace and directly serves the corporate interest to the detriment of free speech. I choose to stand up for a neutral web and fight for its users, in the interest of free speech and all communities, not just the ones with the deepest pockets, and will continue to do so ceaselessly. My voice is not alone. Today's message is clear. Don't screw it up.

1975. Kevin Duong, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
I would like to preserve Net Neutrality.

1976. Pilan C. Scruggs, Irvine, CA, 92617
Dear Mr. Pai and Senators Harris and Feinstein:As a college student who uses the Internet very frequently, I support strong net neutrality laws. I urge you to leave the current regulations alone. There is no advantage to rolling back or eliminating net neutrality. This would only give more power to ISPs to restrict the Internet at the cost of all of their consumers.As a nation with the privilege of such open Internet access, we should take advantage of the Internet freedom we all enjoy by leaving net neutrality as it is. The problem with compromising current regulations is that it still gives ISPs what they want, even if it is only a bit. And if you give them an inch, they will gladly take a mile. In short, I support net neutrality simply because I don't want to be at the mercy of ISPs. I want to be able to continue to enjoy the open Internet access that I have grown accustomed to. Thank you very much for your time,Pilan C. Scruggs

1977. Brent Nash, Irvine, CA, 92618
Net neutrality seems like a no brainer to me. Internet access is a common household utility at this point. Can you imagine allowing restricted access to
water or electricity in this fashion? Internet access is a critical infrastructure for entertainment, education and general way of life. Let's protect equal access for everyone and prevent paid interests from corrupting the whole thing.

1978. Matthew Handwerk, Irvine, CA, 92620
There is no justifiable reason to change the way the internet is. Simple as that.

1979. Andrew R. Cornell, Tustin, CA, 92780
I use my internet for a lot of things. I'll watch YouTube, I'll check Facebook, but I also use it for emails and work. I use it to keep in touch with my girlfriend of now 2 1/2 years and going strong despite our distance from each other. If net neutrality were to be removed, it would be giving not only my creative free time over towards a corporate entity, but it would threaten my ability to effectively do my job and even worse endanger the communication that keeps my relationship with an incredibly important person alive and well. It's not just something as simple as the freedom to do whatever you'd like - it's the freedom of access that allows major projects to come together, the freedom of access to checking up on one's interests, and perhaps for a good many of us, it's the freedom of access to say "I love you" to that special person every single night before you head to bed. The last thing I want in my relationship - my own personal relationship - is to have a corporation take control over it and force itself upon our life together for its own monetary gain.

1980. Ryan DeMilt, Tustin, CA, 92780
The FCC should maintain strong rules to protect net neutrality and maintain a free and open internet. It is the extension of our very basic rights to free speech and expression enumerated in the constitution, and thus the FCC should take it upon themselves as their duty.

1981. Benjamin Siegel, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
With how much work and effort everyone in every industry puts into technology and the internet, it is a real shame that it has come down to this. Do the right thing. Thank you.

1982. Roger Koumans, Irvine, CA, 92604
Please make sure to keep the Internet as it currently is: neutral. So the little guy won't become the victim of the large companies.

1983. Cameron Abrams, Tustin, CA, 92780
An open internet and net neutrality is of the utmost importance to the future of democracy. Without it, we lose that competitive edge that has lead to the innovations that make American engineers, doctors, scientists, lawyers, musicians, etc. the best in the world. If we restrict the internet according to the whims of stock holders, companies, CEO's, government actors, etc. we become oppressed. Please protect net neutrality.
1984. jason, Tustin, CA, 92782
As a small online business owner, net neutrality enforcement is essential to our success. We rely on people to view our shop from all over the country and decide that they would like to purchase something from us rather than from somewhere else. This net neutrality keeps us in business. It also helps to keep our prices, and those of our competitors, reasonable because we know people have a choice. Take that away and a lot of online stores will go out of business and prices will rise. Many people do not have a choice when it comes to ISPs. They don't have the option to switch even if they wanted to. This could lead to not only a monopoly with the ISP, but also the online stores which that ISP supports. Would you restrict people to only shopping at certain grocery stores, clothing stores, etc? What would happen? Please continue support of net neutrality. The internet provides a wealth of knowledge to everyone and allows small businesses to reach out to markets that they would have never been able to reach and prices fair.

1985. kyle marienthal, irvine, CA, 92603
just leave the internet the way it is. money isn't the answer to all your problems

1986. Jack Simunic, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Keep Net Neutrality. You guys work for the American People, and this is what the People want.

Net Neutrality is good and the opposite is bad yo, fight the power

1988. Andrew Webster, Irvine, CA, 92618
Please don't be stupid. Isn't income inequality great enough already! Or, do you actually support facism too? Whatever ur derp face chairman with the stupid mug says, I'm going to be outraged if you roll back net neutrality. Further, I don't know a single person who won't be.

1989. Benoit Doidic, Anaheim, CA, 92808
Net neutrality has lead to billions of dollars of commerce and technological advancement.

1990. Peter Damgaard, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
I support strong net neutrality guidelines and rules. The FCC needs to do its job. ISP's should be public utilities. Don't destroy the quality of life for the many for the sake of the few.

1991. Eugene Farng, Irvine, CA, 92603
Title II protections are critical for an open and fair internet. It is not reasonable to think that ISPs, who generally have effective monopolies in their geographic areas, won't use that monopoly power for profits.
Along with many other people, both United States citizens and international, I feel that net neutrality is very important. It is fundamentally wrong and extremely dangerous to let companies and control and censor peoples' connection, especially now, as many people do not have a choice in which they pick. Internet is practically needed for many parts of modern life and putting so much unregulated control in the hands of so few companies can only end in disaster as it has many times in the past. Not only will private citizens be affected by this in terrible ways but it puts many businesses in danger as well considering how many are heavily or entirely reliant on their online presence. The actions taken in the United States could have global ramifications as well if other governments follow suit so for everybody's sake, please support net neutrality rules based on Title II. Thank you! Ken Wood

1993. melissa massey, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Net Neutrality is crucial. Please uphold it.

1994. Nick Araujo, Irvine, CA, 92614
Please uphold the idea of net neutrality. As technology continues to advance, the internet will become even more essential to life than it already is. Bringing the internet back down to a tier 3 service fails to place an appropriate value on the service, as more and more services, actions, and resources can only be accessed through the internet.

1995. Zane Meints, Irvine, CA, 92606
Support net neutrality. Do your jobs and listen to the people, or lose your jobs in the next election. Zane Meints

1996. Kevin Alarcon, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Hello, I am a daily internet user. Many like me are threatened by the actions that are being taken to end net neutrality. To end net neutrality would be regressive. All of the progress that people have made for global communications and entertainment will be shattered, and the lifestyles and luxuries that hardworkers live will be taken away because of greedy companies that refuse to move forward with the rest of the world. Please support the fight to protect net neutrality. Thank you, A daily internet user Kevin Alarcon

1997. Stephanie Yarnovich, Orange, CA, 92869
As an educator, I support strong net neutrality rules, and access to the entire internet. If an ISP is able to block some content over others, then ISP is making the decision about what the student/teacher will access online and not the student/teacher. Having the access to all the facts, can really make a difference between passing and failing. Additionally, having a "a fast-lane" and "paid-prioritization" means smaller start-ups, Non-Profit content, and free educational
resources will be limited to larger schools and libraries with access to extra money to afford the “fast-lane”. This will definitely not help our schools in need and only create a bigger gap. Protecting Net-Neutrality means students can have access to important information and facts in a way that doesn't hinder a fair and equal online experience.

1998. A Y, Tustin, CA, 92782
People should not have their access to sites dictated by a small number of individuals or businesses who have profit motives in mind. Please keep net neutrality in place.

1999. Edward Duong, Irvine, CA, 92612
I am an starting independent game developer, and because of my inexperience in the field, I scour the web in search of resources that will assist me, that I can learn from or that I can acquire stock material from. If the net neutrality rules are repealed, there is no telling how much information and resources I will be losing, and as such my progress in the business I wish to focus on will suffer. In most of Irvine, Cox is known as the only ISP available, and as such, I am in no way able to choose a different ISP if Cox decides to discriminate against websites I may frequent or that may assist me. All in all, the only way this can affect me is negatively.

We cannot let Internet companies make deals with websites that will ruin our Internet processes. Save Net Neutrality!

Net neutrality is essential for the continued growth of our nations' new and future businesses. Over 80% of consumers in the US have only one or two options of Internet providers. If net neutrality ceased to exist, this limitation would allow providers to solely dictate what media, Ecommerce, or social platforms thrive in their restricted environment. This could in turn stagnate the growth of an industry that has proven its ability to grow rapidly in a competitive environment. I believe Net neutrality is an essential building tool for the US to remain relevant on a global scale.

2002. Ryan Dunham, Irvine, CA, 92620
If net neutrality is not maintained, the entire culture of online gaming will not survive.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon
admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Ryan Dunham

I don't want to live in a country where startups can't compete against major established players. Net Neutrality is a must for the USA which prides itself on being an entrepreneurial powerhouse.

2004. Anthony Tryon, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
I would like to add my voice to the masses of people who are deeply concerned with what the loss of net neutrality would mean for The Internet and the country. I am an IT Professional and net neutrality has been one of the driving forces in our industry. So many startups and innovations would not be possible without it. America must have the power to control its highways and roads and The Internet is the one with the most traffic. Allowing private enterprise to reroute, restrain and restrict access to The Public Internet is a terrible mistake and one that could very well spell the end of the GOP as we know it. I know that my vote will go against any politician who is not on the people's side of this issue. I will try to bring as many of my friends, family and co-workers with me as I can.

This is a very slippery slope that will ultimately hurt burgeoning American entrepreneurs like me. Please do NOT end net neutrality. If you end it, you're really saying you care only about corporate profits. Keep the American spirit
alive and do the right thing for citizens and small businesses. Jennifer Smith

2006. Amanda Acevedo, Irvine, CA, 92617
I can't believe this even needs to be said. WE NEED STRONG, ENFORCEABLE NET NEUTRALITY RULES. WE LIVE IN AMERICA, THE LAND OF THE FREE-- EVERYONE SHOULD HAVE THE SAME ACCESS TO THE SAME INFORMATION. DON'T ALLOW CORPORATIONS TO RUIN THE INTERNET TOO.

2007. Place Placington, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Fix this shit. Place Placington

2008. Mark K., Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Net Neutrality promotes innovation, generates jobs, and makes the Internet a significant contribution to the betterment of humankind. With a free and open internet, we are able to network worldwide without fear of throttled connections or prioritized websites/services. Do not revoke the Title II status on carriers. As it sits right now, I only have one internet provider locally, and there is no competition nearby. Title II helps soften the blow and the monopoly most internet service providers have over the marketplace.

2009. Alexis Daneshi, Irvine, CA, 92620
Censorship and limitation of the public's freedom of expression violates all American citizens' first amendment rights.

2010. Suzanne Kobzeff, Irvine, CA, 92604
Net Neutrality gives more startups a chance, whether it be a communication platform or a brokerage firm. There shouldn't be "premium" sites that you have to pay money to your ISP to access--it should be up to the consumer!

2011. Preston L. Bannister, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
The initial rationale offered is simply and transparently false. ISPs invested in the Internet in direct response to demand and profit. That demand (and profit) is not at risk. Early regulations did not really understand the nature of the new technology, and are not useful as a guide. Politicians and government are usually slow in understanding new technology. All traffic carried over the Internet by ISPs is in effect what II.6 calls "basic services". The "enhanced services" are websites that are attached to but outside the Internet. Put differently, the "enhanced services" are not offered by ISPs. This is the nature of the technology, and this is technology I understand very, very well. The quoted bit from 2005 "Wireline Broadband Classification Order" was simply wrong. This statement is wrong ... "providers of wireline broadband Internet access service offer subscribers the ability to run a variety of applications that fit under the characteristics stated in the information service definitionâ€​. In fact the ISPs simply offer a transmission path - in other words a "common carrier".
The "variety of applications" are attached to but outside the Internet. In specific
terms, Google and Amazon are outside of and not a part of AT&T. Yes, there
are some ISPs that have tried to offer "enhanced services". They have proved
quite bad at competing in this market segment, and have generally failed. We
need our common carrier offering basic connection service to focus primarily
on this business. This is simply good business. To use the old wording as in
II.22, ISPs are in the business of providing "telecommunications services". The
rich and diverse services attached to the Internet (but outside of ISPs) are the
"information services". The government and regulatory grasp of reality
(however awkwardly worded) was a bit improved in 2014. As ISPs are a "basic
transmission" service, the "no-blocking, no-throttling, and no-paid-prioritization
rules" are exactly appropriate. From the perspective of technology, the business
of ISPs is to offer a transmission path. The "enhanced services" are all attached
to but outside the Internet. The current in-force regulatory understanding of the
role of ISPs is better than the proposed change.

2012. Blake O'Connell, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
The Internet must stay how it is.

2013. minh Phan, Irvine, CA, 92612
The web should be a place of innovation and creative freedom. That's why
certain businesses like Facebook, Amazon, and other businesses are able to grow
and prosper. Its what made the united states great in the first place. If this is put
in place it will ruin future business and job growth.minh Phan

2014. Ryan Collins, Irvine, CA, 92618
It's incredibly important to me that you protect the current net neutrality
legislation currently in place. The internet should be free and open. Thank you.

2015. Christopher Bryant, Irvine, CA, 92603
As a user of the internet, I, like countless others, do not want the online content
I choose to consume to be unfairly discriminated against at the discretion of the
ISP.

2016. Steven Edward Schmidt, Irvine, CA, 92617
Net neutrality is vital to our future!

2017. Laurel Hostetler, Irvine, CA, 92620
The internet is there for people to have privacy

2018. Pele Schramm, Irvine, CA, 92617
Our Economy and society at large have grown to rely on net-neutrality. Indeed,
net-neutrality is one of the things that make America great, and sets us apart
from countries like China in the human rights citizens get to enjoy. Without net-
neutrality, American capabilities toward entrepreneurship and fair competition
in the modern world risk being greatly diminished if the several major ISPs in
the nation get to determine whose websites people have access to. Make no
mistake of it, if you don't protect net neutrality, you are letting your country and
its people down. Keep America home of the free. Pele Schramm

The internet needs to be the way it was intended. Free of outside control.
Restricting it will pose a potential problem to the growth of technology and
society as a whole. This is a strong believe I hold. Please consider revising this

2020. Mitchell, RSM, CA, 92688
Keep the internet free and maintain net neutrality. ISPs do not need to control
what we can/can't view, slow down internet speeds, and more.

2021. Mai-Anh Vuong, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Net neutrality is making sure the market is kept fair and equal for anyone and
everyone. The internet is not a something to be controlled by
telecommunications corporations. Keep net neutrality for the sake of internet
freedom!

2022. Kyle Kennedy, anaheim, CA, 92808
You are trying to take away and charge for an item that you have no right to
take. This is complete insanity if you believe this is a good idea. You are taking
away and making it harder for hard working people to be able to enjoy a
commodity that they should be able to enjoy regardless of what they can afford.
What are schools going to do for those internet access they already barely have
money as it is. What about under privileged people, should we just deprive them
of the joy and access to the internet because they can't afford it? It is a terrible
idea and you know it so stop trying to change fix something that isn't broken.

2023. Anthony Rene Cordero, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
Net neutrality is in real jeopardy, and weâ€™re banding together in support of
strong net neutrality rules that give US THE PEOPLE the power to choose
which websites and apps are best.

2024. Ed Mauss, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Please support net neutrality to prevent major ISPs from extorting money out of
people. The cable TV providers are losing the battle of providing content
because they've been ripping off consumers for years by failing to bundle
content and making us pay for programming we didn't want. So providers like
Netflix and Hulu are gaining ground by offering consumers the choice of
ordering exactly what they want at much lower costs. As they succeed, the last
hope the cable TV providers have is to gouge people for internet access.

2025. Bryan Clark, Irvine, CA, 92618
Net neutrality is incredibly important in an era where America is already almost third world in terms of internet access.

2026. Isaac Koczwara, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
There will be riots if net neutrality is killed. Things will go bad for the government if it is killed. YES for net neutrality.

2027. Jibran Lodhi, Anaheim, CA, 92808
Hi there I come to you as a simple internet user like many others. I live a middle class life unlike some but many and I am really disappointed in our Congress deciding to end Net neutrality. The internet should be completely off limits and people should be able to express themselves freely without it being capitalized. That's my two cents on the issue.

2028. Claudia Cota, Irvine, CA, 92612
I love Net Neutrality. I urge to please keep Net Neutrality.

2029. Mike Cherry, Orange, CA, 92869
The Internet is an indispensable tool that has enabled communication in unprecedented ways. Email and social media have connected families, friends, and loved ones all across the country, and the world. eCommerce, online collaboration, and streaming media have given modern artists, artisans, businesses, and dreamers a global forum to share their crafts and their ideas, big and small. For me, personally, and for millions of Americans, it is our livelihood. To put this vital tool at the discretion of profit jeopardizes our access to information and to one another. That is why I strongly support the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules), and I urge you to protect them.

2030. Andrew J Street, Irvine, CA, 92604
Hello, Net neutrality is important to citizens of this country. It's an important safeguard that allows consumers to be free to choose their providers and their content, and a safeguard for entrepreneurs that wish to use the internet to start up business that may not be as competitive as already established corporations. Andrew J Street

2031. Net Neutrality, Irvine, CA, 92604
America's internet service providers are already woefully lackluster compared to their foreign counterparts. There is no reason to put America's internet services further behind by denying Net Neutrality.

2032. Aaron fischer, Irvine, CA, 92604
Hi, Thank you for taking the time to read this. I'll make it quick. Free markets are supposed to propel us forward toward progress. But on occasions when the ethic of self-interest is at odds with what is best for the country, the precedent is for the government to step in and protect us. As it did with the civil rights act. The
internet is an information highway. Telecom companies want to make it harder for people to access that information. People with less money will have less access to information. Telecom companies will select what sorts of information are accessible and to whom. There is no way to spin that as progress. If the FCC dismantles its protections, it will effectively be creating more restrictions than it will be dissolving. It will be paving the way for telecom companies to restrict who can speak and who can listen. Please do the right thing. Please defend us. - Aaron Fischer

2033. Payaam Emami, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I just graduated from college with a degree in Computer Science and I am a gaming nerd; the internet is something that is close to my heart. The very principle idea that the world wide web was created for was to share information. To have companies and politics tarnish, manipulate, and mangle that core idea is shattering. I've never cared about anything in politics except for this. Please. Don't. Do. This. To. Me.

2034. Nicolas Burrola, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
You represent actual people and not these few corporations. So do what the actual people you represent want! Oppose the heck out of this thing. Thank you Nicolas Burrola

2035. Lucas Scagliotti, Irvine, CA, 92606
It's simply not fair to choose these matters for citizens of this country. The internet isn't broken...so don't fix it.

2036. Martin A Lopez, Irvine, CA, 92612
Net neutrality is incredibly important for the well being of the nation and the globe. We cannot let large companies gain power over the web Martin A Lopez

2037. Aaron Gumabong, Irvine, CA, 92620
I have met amazing people over the internet through various platforms (games, IRC/Discord, forums, etc.) and my life is better because they are in it. I hold these friends near and dear and I cannot imagine what my life would be like without them. I would hate to see any lose an opportunity to meet someone that could potentially be very significant in their life because their form of communication they happened to choose was not favored by their ISP. Denying someone a chance at friendship or even love just does not sit right with me. This is why I am writing to support net neutrality.

2038. Adam Karns, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Internet deserves to be free.

2039. Maxine Yang, Irvine, CA, 92620
Keep America free. I don't want our internet to be censored like China's.
Freedom of speech is important, and it is one of the things that makes America such an amazing, free, place. The access to internet is a right, and I don't want our country to be brainwashed and taken advantage of by big companies.

2040. Jeffrey Noubarian, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
Net Neutrality must remain as is. Compromising net neutrality will condemn most start-ups and small company's as they will not be able to outbid larger existing companies for internet prioritization. This country was built on free enterprise. Taking net neutrality away will lead to the monopoly of large businesses controlling the internet and i find that rather un-American.

2041. Diana Ditri, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
As a single mother of 4, I've struggled through the years to make enough money to keep my home and raise my kids. 15 years ago I started an online business with a partner that eventually failed but in the process, I was able to learn about Internet marketing and developed skills to secure a better paying job where I could work from home while raising kids. My children are at a disadvantage because they are very limited in the types of jobs they can get and 3 of the four are pursuing entrepreneurialism as their hope for a better future. Please do not change the playing field and limit our future opportunities to thrive in a competitive environment where those with the most money and power control and monopolize the marketplace. Keep the internet free and open to all people for a better future and hope for tomorrow. Thank you.

2042. Umm what?, Tustin, CA, 92780
YOU GOING TO BE MAKING THE 2ND BIGGEST MISTAKE THE WORLD HAS EVER DONT. What was the 1st? it rhymes with The resident. Leave internet free to the people. otherwise fcc gonna be known as Federal corruption cooperation.

2043. Raymond Denton, Irvine, CA, 92618
I support net neutrality. The grand majority of Americans across the political spectrum support net neutrality. Consumers should always be given more power than producers - the choice and freedom to choose; "freedom of choice" is often the rhetoric given for DeVos's education policies, so it is rather contradictory to the party mantra pushing against neutrality as well (free and open markets, anyone?). It's explicitly un-American not to have a neutral internet.

2044. Jeffrey Noub, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
Please do not rollback title II. Please maintain strong Net Neutrality rules. Please do not kill the open internet.

2045. Simon Dawson, Irvine, CA, 92618
Title 2 must be preserved, the internet is the modern day roads, shipping lanes, rail, telephone. That businesses live and die by access to customers do not
remove protections of title 2 net neutrality rules, and give the telecomm companies the right to blockade, derail, slow down and block, as they see fit and to run protection rackets, on our use of the internet and which sites we visit, and pick which businesses thrive and which do not, based purely on the interest of cable companies.

2046. Clarence Kenney, mission viejo, CA, 92691
Ajit V. Pai does not want a free internet. Ajit V. Pai wants ISPs to have a monopoly on the internet so he gets kicked money. Internet is a commodity not a product, I don't pay more for water if I use it to drink not water plants. Keep internet free.

2047. Rev. Sean M Barmer, Irvine, CA, 92604
"Stop it! Get some help." An open internet is one of the things that makes America great. We the people hope you understand and follow our tradition of pride and freedom.

2048. Ray Weiler, Anaheim, CA, 92807
I do not support any legislation reclassifying the internet as anything other than a type 2 public utility. The internet is my primary source for news and information. Therefore it's critical to me that it (the internet) remain net neutral so I may be the most informed citizen possible.

2049. Anthony Taylor, RSM, CA, 92688
A lot of bad comes from not controlling enough, but a lot more bad comes from controlling too much.

2050. Sean Emerson, Irvine, CA, 92614
Please keep Net Neutrality. As someone who is considering starting up a small business on the web, I may reconsider if it becomes clear the FCC does not want the internet to be a level playing field. If those who spend more money get prioritised traffic, it hurts the little people. Imagine our highway system if you were starting a shipping company and the big players like UPS and FEDEX got priority lanes because they are able to pay additional fees. They get priority whereas someone like me will be stuck in the slow lane even as they cause more wear and tear and congestion on the roads than I do. This will stifle business and innovation. Please use common sense and promote competition to better serve consumers, innovation, and the advancement of our economy. Thank you.

2051. David Swain, Irvine, CA, 92620
This is obvious, net neutrality supports democracy, free speech, and free markets. There is no American reason why we would want anything else.

2052. Jennifer Huynh, Irvine, CA, 92603
Please don't mess with net neutrality. We need our freedom.
2053. David Wang, Irvine, CA, 92612
We are already paying so much each month just to get internet connection, and you are trying to make it more expensive to use. Most people can afford it sure, but a lot of us don't have that kind of money to waste. Not only that, if we don't go on our favorite websites anymore ie. Youtube, Google, Facebook... they will eventually shut down as well because a lot of people will stop going to their websites. Many people will lose jobs over this, just to make the network companies earn a tiny bit more. I am sure that those companies can do well without this new internet thing. So don't do it. Leave the internet as it is, and go do something else with your life.

2054. net neutrality, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Net neutrality is important to a free and open internet.

2055. Michael Lian, Irvine, CA, 92614
I'm just an average day-to-day internet using american. I don't own a business or make my living through the use of the internet. But I am on the internet everyday, connected to the rest of the world and my friends through chat services and voice calls. Normally I wouldn't bother submitting input into an official proceeding like this, and I would just assume people would make the right choice, but recent events have made me distrustful of corporations and the people's ability to get their voices heard. So I'm submitting this comment in the hope that there will be too many messages in favor of "net neutrality" to ignore. Once more for clarity, I am in favor of net neutrality, and I fully believe that if corporations are not explicitly told they cannot do something, they probably will do that something (throttling for money).

2056. Paolo Joson, Anaheim, CA, 92808
We need net neutrality so that the internet remains a free domain where everyone can compete and have a chance. It will be bad for EVERYONE when only a few companies have access to this "internet fast lane". They'll have a choke hold on the internet and it will be depressing not being able to see new companies form. Please please please don't destroy net neutrality.

2057. Ivana Freund, Irvine, CA, 92612
Net neutrality is important to maintain for future generations.

2058. Sean Hasse, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
I support net neutrality and agree with the title 2 classification for ISPs and believe it should not be taken away. Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Net neutrality gives consumers the right to choose what content they see, not the ISPs who simply provide the connection. The internet only goes as fast as the slowest transfer point and the ISPs should never be allowed to throttle down consumer's speeds and then change more to remove the throttle
they artificially added in the first place in the name of profit.

2059. Kadeem Hinton, Irvine, CA, 92604
The rules of net neutrality should be preserved in Internet should be kept free and open.

2060. Jacob Brisco, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
NET Neutrality is a privilege that the people have proven they want. Attempting this again will likely fail, as the people will always support free and fair internet. There will be other cash grabs that companies can attempt in the future that I would suggest they move on to. Also, Net Neutrality will likely destroy any sense of playability of fast paced, reaction-based games. Ping and lag will be at a consistent rate for everyone unless they pay a premium, which is ridiculous, and feels like attempting to play the cello with a potato as your bow. Don't enrage the gaming community, as they are very vocal and prevalent in the younger generations that are coming into power. In short, Net Neutrality is something the people will fight over, and keeping such power away from companies is worth whatever fight is put in. This concept of paying for better internet or you get throttled is insane, just as it was the first time we went through this. This second attempt at removing Net Neutrality is farcical and quite frankly, if it works, will be a catalyst towards major change in this country. The people are glued to their screens and are passionate about the things they do on them, and you want to collectively piss off all of them? The FCC should think better of this and move on to a more productive use of their time. Thanks for reading, and don't screw the people over, because this is pretty basic.

2061. Andy Becker, Tustin, CA, 92780
Protect Net Neutrality! It is a First Amendment Right to be able to freely be able to express, watch, and post information on the internet without any sort of interference. Protect the rights of all Americans over the interests of large corporations!!!

2062. Jonathan Grady Ong, RCHO STA MARG, CA, 92688
Make the internet open, the person who created it gave out the source code for free in hopes of uniting the world. Stop being a child and letting your greed run rampant, and use it for the greater good. Dickheads.

2063. Justin Smith, Orange, CA, 92869
We now have decades of proof showing the commercial and social benefits of the free and relatively unbiased flow of data/info on the internet. I urge everyone to support complete net neutrality.Justin Smith

2064. DeMarie, Orange, CA, 92869
The Internet was built with taxpayer money. The Net belongs to "we the
people." Net Neutrality helps assure our Constitutional right to freedom of speech. To deny net neutrality is to deny a basic human right!

2065. Ryan Dehaan, Irvine, CA, 92604
How is this action against Net Neutrality justified? This doesn't benefit the consumer and gives even more power to the ISPs who already have either monopolies or duopolies. Furthermore, these ISPs are demanding control over a tax payer infrastructure. This is like handing over National Parks to Exxon because they demand the oil on that land. I guarantee if the people had a CHOICE, they'd dump any ISP attacking Net Neutrality for a competitor who isn't which would truly be an act of the free market. Tragically, there are no other choices. I would love to hear why this ISN'T corruption or how this benefits anyone OTHER THAN the ISPs.

2066. Robert, Irvine, CA, 92620
Restriction of internet access in this way will only degrade the quality of material, it will restrict competition and put way to much power in the hands of the ISP which will enable them to discriminate and limit access to information to their benifit

2067. Wayne Sandholtz, Irvine, CA, 92617
I absolutely oppose any changes in FCC regulations that would reverse net neutrality protections. The current proposal would hand excessive control over internet content to a handful of giant corporations that control internet infrastructure. The losers will be independent news sources, small companies, start-ups, and ordinary citizens.

2068. Theodore Mason, Irvine, CA, 92606
I feel as if ending net neutrality is a gross abuse of power by ISP ability to throw money the government. If this bill passes it is proof that democracy in america is dead, I know democrats, republicans, third party members all who feel the same.

2069. Mikhail Spangler, Irvine, CA, 92614
The right to have a free and open internet with no interference from the corporate sector is of the utmost importance in maintaining basic human necessities in this 21st century.

2070. Samuel Meyer, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
This is getting ridiculous guys.

2071. Kevan Selitrennikoff, Anaheim, CA, 92808
Please don't limit the Internet.

2072. Joel Lim, Irvine, CA, 92620
I am an aspiring music producer and DJ and the only way I can achieve my goals and dreams are through the internet. Through this massive platform with unlimited freedoms to be able to push out my music and content worldwide through Facebook, Youtube, Instagram, Skype and more. It allows me to gain potential new fans and connect with current ones, without the free open internet I would not have the power to achieve my dreams. Things such as Facebook and Skype also keep me in touch with long lost friends and family members, it would be ashamed if that got removed. I don't believe ISPs should be able to pick the winners and losers of the internet. Don't crush our dreams. Please.

2073. Samuel John Meyer, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
Please keep Net Neutrality. It's presence is vital to the continued infrastructure of the net, and there are no drawbacks if you keep Net Neutrality around.

2074. Nick Johnson, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Please keep the internet a level playing field. It would benefit USA more to treat internet access as a utility where everyone pays the same. To give those with more money the ability to outcompete on this level will stifle innovation.

2075. Samantha Allen, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
We need the internet to be free, it's like America is trying to censor what we do and how we live our lives just because they feel we need it. Well, we don't, and the fact that this country thinks it doing something right really shows how much they care, I feel they don't care at all.

2076. Jordan Kaitlyn Block, Tustin, CA, 92780
We already pay for internet from our service provider and as the consumer and the person funding multi million corporations and already paying for all my internet services we would like to have a say in what I view. I wouldn't want start up sites like Discord and other communities I like disappearing.

2077. Sarira Sadeghi, Irvine, CA, 92612
Net neutrality is essential. The people are NOT secondary to corporate cable/internet providers. This proposal to interfere with net neutrality will have horrific consequences on access to information and education in this country. Keep the internet neutral!

2078. Andres Mendez, Orange, CA, 92867
I currently have an open schedule. Andres Mendez

2079. Omeed Aman, Laguna Hills, CA, 92656
Do not repeal this bill. Doing so will be disastrous to the freedom of the internet.

2080. Orange, Orange, CA, 92869
Orange

2081. Josie Switzer, Anaheim, CA, 92808
I'm sending you this letter because I completely do not agree with big companies like Verizon Wireless and AT&T trying to censor people's internet access. If net neutrality is gotten rid the only people that will benefit from it is a very very small percentage of big company owners that will get traffic to their sites because internet providers have been paid off by them. I'm writing this short letter to try to ask you to do the thing that will benefit most people rather that a very small percentage that will only make more money off of other people's complications and suffering. -Thank you Josie Switzer

2082. Lumen Vera, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
The internet is a hub of information and we all use it for several reasons. The biggest result of it being information sharing and without net neutrality we will be taking away our ability to learn and share. We as human beings deserve the right to learn everything we can at our finger tips and to share that knowledge to one another.

2083. Carolyn C Patton, Irvine, CA, 92614
ISPs should NOT be allowed to: (1) block my access to content at their discretion (this is my privilege and responsibility, not theirs), (2) slow my connection to content at their discretion, (3) prioritize websites and apps that they own over other websites and apps, or (4) in any way disable my ability to block ads or cookies.

2084. Hudson Hughes, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
We (the general public) shouldn't have to contact the FCC to ask for your help in protecting our best interest as it relates to internet availability and control. Many already experience difficulty due to the massive expenses for ISP's to establish service in different areas, and allowing them the ability to control how we receive the service (internet connection) that we have purchased is beyond asinine. Forgive me for not being a highly educated person, but basic economics outline that with a lack of available alternatives, the demand for a specific good or service increases. Allowing ISP's to dictate what services/websites we are able to access at a standard speed, in conjunction with a broad lack of alternatives (not to mention the hassle that comes with switching ISP's) allows for the ISP's to have free reign over what they provide and how they provide it. It's been said that we are in the digital age and a vast majority of Americans use internet services on a daily basis to improve their lives (this, hopefully, doesn't need to be said, given it's your area of expertise) so it simply isn't just to subject internet users to additional hassle with regards to how we receive the services we pay for. As gasoline is required for efficient transportation, internet is required for efficient communication that sets us apart from previous eras. Your
stated mission is to regulate interstate and international communication, but removing "net neutrality" protections grants ISP's far more ability to regulate communication than your organization wields.

2085. Alexandra Elconin, Tustin, CA, 92780
Please protect net neutrality under Title II, preventing ISPs from controlling and/or monetizing internet speeds and rates. Net neutrality is important and I urge you to protect a free internet by regulating ISP companies like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon under Title II as it is now. Thank you.

2086. Ellen Brundige, Irvine, CA, 92604
As an Internet user, I'm asking the FCC to protect the net neutrality protections currently in place. I oppose Chairman Ajit Pai's proposals to hand the government-subsidized telecom giants like AT&T, Comcast, and Verizon free rein to create Internet fast lanes, stripping users of the meaningful privacy and access safeguards we demanded and just recently won. Here's a few of my own internet experiences since 1993:-- Tumblr "accidentally" blocked posts with LGBTQ content or content about depression from being searchable (thus killing not only community support for vulnerable young adults but the ability of many artists and writers to reach their audience)--one online self-publishing platform where I wrote for years took down my online book about ancient Greek art for having one too many links to (educational!) websites, while another took down my first-person account of being scammed by a fake charity with links to other victim's stories because the fake charity contacted them privately with a cease & desist and they were too cowardly to fight it -- Cafepress, where smalltime artists can publish and sell t-shirt designs, artwork, stickers, etc has a list of words they can't use, including "caution," because some gadfly sent them letters claiming he'd copyrighted those words and would sue for damages if anyone else used them, which is legally ridiculous but Cafepress struck down those designs since it couldn't afford the legal battle-- YouTube has routinely blocked videos or taken down accounts (which may or may not earn money for the user) because a megacorp in the music industry claimed copyright infringement of music that most certainly fell under "fair use," sometimes original, sometimes transformative. -- YouTube has also taken down or blocked anything with the least LBGTQ content or other content flagged by bigots--I knew one artist who had so much trouble she finally posted a video of her drawing a sketch of two women holding hands, as tame as the most tame of Disney cartoons, and THAT got flagged and blocked because the artist had attracted the attention of some oneline bullies. -- And the appeals process is often slow, and single users often never get a response because big companies don't care; there are ALWAYS other customers and they don't have to bother to accommodate any one of us. That's just a few of many times I've seen corporations stifling freedom of speech, innovation or creative expression online, even under current laws, often with no clue about what they're
You just don't know what else they might stifle by mistake or deliberately to stifle competition—perhaps the next Netflix or Harry Potter author or film project or world-changing service like Amazon. I urge you to keep Title II net neutrality in place, and safeguard Internet users like me.

2087. Natalie Mills, Orange, CA, 92869
I believe in a fair and equal internet for all. Keep Net Neutrality please.

2088. Luke Mills, Orange, CA, 92869
I believe in a Free Internet.

2089. ROGER HINKSON, IRVINE, CA, 92606
Do not repeal the 2015 net neutrality laws. I look to my ISP for a fast way to access the Internet. I rely on it to get onto work, information, entertainment, and shopping websites that have nothing to do with the ISP's (ATT, Verizon, and Comcast). The Internet is an indispensable part of my life, the way the ordinary telephone was for the past 60 years. The proposed rules would certainly bestow the ISP's with more control and money while providing no benefit to independent websites or the public. There is no competition right now. These companies have local oligopolies. I have a choice of only two carriers: ATT DSL and Cox Cable, and both are already ridiculously expensive. The proposed rules would only make that worse. The FCC needs to move in the opposite of the rule changes and strengthen net neutrality, promote real competition, and offer unhindered access to all the Internet has to offer. Roger Hinkson

2090. Brandon, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
I think it's wrong for our internet freedom to be taken it's public for everyone and anything to do Brandon

2091. Shay Lorseyedi, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Please defend the rights of millions of Internet users by upholding net neutrality protections. Reject Chairman Ajit Paiâ€™s plan to give the telecom giants free rein to engage in data discrimination, stripping consumers of the meaningful privacy and access protections we demanded and so recently won. The Internet is my business. I work as a digital marketer for my day job and as a blogger in my free time. Giving faster Internet for sites who pay, and as a result, slower Internet for those who don't, would directly affect my livelihood and the livelihood of every single one of my clients. The beauty of the Internet as it exists now is that everyone starts on the same level. This gives newer, smaller sites and creators a shot at reaching a larger audience. Thanks to social media and search engines like Google, new high quality sites and content have a chance to rise to the top. Allowing ISPs to charge sites for a faster connection would add an unnecessary, potentially detrimental, roadblock between quality content and the public. It allows those who can afford the "fast lane" to hold a
monopoly, making it even harder for the little guy to have their voices heard. We already pay ISPs for our Internet service. They should not have control over what we see online because they want to turn a larger profit. I urge you to keep Title II net neutrality in place, and safeguard Internet users, business owners, and content creators.

2092. Kailyn Pham, Irvine, CA, 92620
Taking away net neutrality is wrong in ways that cannot even be described. People should not be able to control what we see AND make us pay more for it, unless we're a big company. Kailyn Pham

2093. Gene Conley, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Please do not take the Internet from the citizens. The large companies that will benefit from this change will only make money and it will come from the citizens like myself that are already struggling (senior citizen).

2094. Thomas Stringer, Irvine, CA, 92620
The internet is modern day freedom. With out it you are taking away the peoples voice and right to knowledge, and education violating basic human rights. We can not lower ourselves to a level in which information can be withheld such as in oppresive nation states. The internet was made by the people for the people, and it shall stay that way.

2095. Timothy Dang, Irvine, CA, 92620
The Internet is a fundamental human right. Please protect it from communication companies and their selfish interests.

2096. Michael Van Deusen, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
FCC, Net Neutrality is free speech. Please do your job and protect the free speech of all Americans. Do not give our right to free speech away to corporations. We need it.

2097. Wes Bentley, Irvine, CA, 92602
Dear FCC, I am in favor of net neutrality. I oppose any system that gives AT&T, Comcast, Verizon or any other internet service provider having a tired speed service. Thank you for listening to my views. Regards, Wes Bentley

2098. Charles, MV, CA, 92692
Don't let the tyrants take over the net!

2099. Chuck Farina, Anaheim, CA, 92807
I support net neutrality, it would be un-American to stifle the innovation and creativity that has given us the internet as we have it today. I want choice and I don't want it limited to just the big guys. Large corporations sometimes become bogged down by their shear size and slowness to react.
2100. Richard Burris, Orange, CA, 92869
   The Internet must remain free of preferential controls, censorship and loss of consumer choices. Net neutrality is essential to our lives now, just like electricity and security doesn't get provided for a few who can pay more or have special influence.

2101. Robert Avila, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
   Net neutrality is a fundamental form of free speech and communication. Without net neutrality companies and governments can censor people and perspectives online. People should be free to choose what they do and watch online - not big companies and governments.

2102. Shelly Kaufmann, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
   I am writing in support of net neutrality. It is very important to me that I have the freedom to use the internet without interference or censorship by a large corporation. The internet makes it possible to gather facts and form my own opinions, which I value very highly. Please leave the internet the way it is. Thank you for your consideration.

2103. Jeffrey Clark, RSM, CA, 92688
   The internet must always continue to remain neutral! Nobody should hold control over its usage and reach! As a free nation the USA must not allow fascism to take hold.

2104. E. Karner, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
   What's happening with our freedoms in the country? That's a principle this country was founded upon - freedom. Both our freedom and privacy is a stake if we allow the FCC change rules regarding net neutrality. When I'm online I don't want to be tracked any more than I already am. Privacy is a concept of the past - ours is gone and this would be one more nail in the coffin. We need a free and open internet - that's what it's all about anyway. Anyone can access it any time and anywhere - for free and with freedoms intact. Please don't turn 2017 into 1984.

2105. Gregory Weiss, Irvine, CA, 92617
   The internet is one of the few equalizers and places for people to meet from all over our great country. It is essential that it remain neutral and available equally to all. I strongly support net neutrality, and hope you do too.

2106. Ron Edwards, Irvine, CA, 92603
   Without Net Neutrality, What freedom will be left for us to hold onto? Waking up to just seeing big companies monopolizing what we view will be the downfall of the internet.

2107. Linda Claire, Irvine, CA, 92606
Net neutrality is critical! We, the citizens, should have free and equal access to anything/everything we wish to see online. Large companies should NOT be able to block or delay our access, thereby hurting chances for newcomer businesses to succeed. You MUST RETAIN NET NEUTRALITY!

2108. Craig Robert Duffy, Orange, CA, 92869
In a world that has been skewed towards the privileged since day one, Net Neutrality is the only chance we have at a level playing field and a true meritocracy where something succeeds by its own virtue. If the administration in power truly believes in Lassiez-faire, this is their chance to prove that they are not all just talk.

2109. Jay, Irvine, CA, 92604
Stop this nonsense!! Net neutrality is a right!!

2110. Kyle Erwin, Silverado, CA, 92676
I am small business owner and depend on net neutrality. Please protect me and give everyone a fair chance to succeed. Without net neutrality, I am at the mercy of the one ISP that as a monopoly on my local area. Reducing net neutrality regulations is the opposite of free market and a step back to the rule of robber barons. Please help me.

2111. Robert Nowell, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
To: FCCRe: The InternetThe freedom we hold so dearly is at stake here. The internet should not be "owned" or controlled by business or governments as it is a channel for free people everywhere to communicate. The companies and bureaucrats want control for profit and for power. Taxing the internet is another means of control. Already we see companies like Google, Facebook, and others trying to silence those they disagree with. We see CNN blackmailing those would would dare to call them out with political cartoons. We see the propaganda wherein CNN calls themselves "the most trusted name in news", not to mention the hubris of such an assertion when all the news organizations are today held in the lowest esteem I have seen in my 60 years. The way to silence anyone you disagree with is to label them purveyors of hate and hate speech. Then government and entities that have the means and power shutoff their communications. I believe there are things that should not be on the airwaves like perversions and crimes against humanity, but there are the unscrupulous who twist restrictions meant to restrain the detrimental into a means of silencing those who disagree with them. The evidence and propensity for this is seen in our very form of government that limited governmental powers to those explicitly delineated in teh Constitution and limited the power of the states and endeavored to keep the power in the hands of the people. There are today those who want to control the water we drink and the air we breath and it is always they claim, "In the best interests of the people." You must stand
against those who would wrest the freedom of speech from the people in the name of whatever they contrive. Many today do not realize that the Founding Fathers enshrined their Right to Keep and Bear Arms against the tyrannous state, their own government of their own creation, in the Second Amendment. In the Declaration the Founding Fathers listed all the means the tyrannous government of England had used to strip them of their rights and encumber and silence them. They knew and were wary of increasing tyranny by all governments. The strongest defense against this tyranny is a moral people who understand the freedoms at stake and that they are at risk for Americans, but also for all men everywhere. The price of this freedom we have is high. We realize this in times of war, but it is high all the time. Tyrannies do not start on the battlefield, they begin in the minds of men. Once those who would be self-styled protectors of the people gain enough control to cloak their purposes in working for the good of the people and silence the watchdogs of dissent, the world or country is again either subjected to tyranny or embroiled in a war with tyrants and their elitist ruling class. We have seen this vocabulary abused to much and marginalized so much and we have heard people cry wolf to the point that we can become ambivalent or complacent. We see this same problem with healthcare... would not the most freedom sustaining choice be to not take the people's money (their economic freedom to support and exercise their rights) in the first place? Why not take all the money that goes into the government and employers and middlemen and actual care and place it in tax free Health Savings Accounts administered by each citizen and protected from government and business and employers. If you were to take all the money spent by the VA and by Medicare and Medicaid and eliminate all of these and place that money in an HSA for each citizen and removed all taxes on healthcare can you even imagine how much that would be for each American? Wouldn't it be trillions? I just did a simple calculation... if you assume 375 million American citizens and take 10 trillion dollars and place it in their HSAs that would leave each with over $26 thousand in their individual HSA. This is an example of what I mean. Keeping government at the absolute minimum size and power necessary to do its constitutionally mandated job is critical for the maintenance of freedom. We must maintain the freedoms that so many have paid so much and are paying so much for. Huge businesses and huge government have a history of usurping the rights and freedoms and economic freedoms of others. The internet must be as unrestricted as possible. The ONLY country I trust at all with the internet is the United States and only to the degree that it remains true to its founding documents which too many want to marginalize and falsely discount and disparage as antiquated. Those self evident truths have shed the bonds of more people than any other form of government in all of the history of man. The FCC has a difficult task as did the founders... how to thread the needle so to speak in such a way that freedom can be maintained and restrictions on evil can be implemented as well, NOT an easy task, and a great responsibility. No one who does love freedom should be on the FCC. Freedom!
2112. Nikita Samarin, Irvine, CA, 92612
   I would like to show my support in favor of net neutrality

2113. Kurt Johnson, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
   Net neutrality is NOT an option, IT IS A MUST!!!!!

2114. Bret Barrie, rancho santa margarita, CA, 92688
   Net Neutrality!

2115. Jason Rainwaters, Lake FOrest, CA, 92630
   Taking out net neutrality would be like taking away our first amendment, you
   have no right to censor what we say nor do you have any right to control what
   we see. A complete violation on our rights.

2116. Chris Ramierz, Mission viejo, CA, 92691
   Unrestricted internet access should be a right.

2117. College Student, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
   As a student learning Graphic Design and Media, the internet is important in my
   future line of work and without net neutrality I see less opportunities for us all.

2118. Frederick D. Kleist, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
   The internet is a service to the people of the United States, not only to corporate
   entities. Every INDIVIDUAL PERSON should have equal access and equal
   service from it. Net neutrality for everyone must be protected. If a corporation
   needs faster connections, they can build a separate network just like cable and
   telephone networks do.

2119. Brian Shaw, Irvine, CA, 92602
   We have only one ISP in my city that offers reliable connection speed over 6
   Mbps. The lack of competition means we have to pay double the monthly fee
   for the same service that consumers in the next county is paying for internet
   access. It's a monopoly here for my ISP here.

2120. Stefan Sburlea, Irvine, CA, 92618
   The first step to communism is censorship. Regardless of the reasoning/dogma
   behind it. Free communications, free thinking, free to browse.

2121. Erin Budwick, Irvine, CA, 92604
   When we, as a country, voted to fund libraries, we did so for people to access
   information freely - any person, any information. This was not based on what
   each person could pay or a committee reviewing what information was
   acceptable or not (safety exceptions based on laws). It was not for profit, it was
   for people. Keep the internet the same. If you believe in freedom, if you believe
   in democracy, if you are on the side of people over profit, you support net
neutrality. Period.

2122. Paul Tanner, Irvine, CA, 92618
Keep the internet free! Corporations already control everything and I don't want to see our internet destroyed by the same entities. They already control all the wealth and this is just another way to reach into our empty pockets.

2123. Shilpa Vijayan, Irvine, CA, 92620
The Internet was neither created by Comcast, nor AT&t nor Verizon. It was created by thinkers, creators and innovators with the purpose of interconnecting people and resources inside of an open platform. And that open exchange on a neutral internet led to the huge wave of innovation in every field possible that you are seeing today. By putting bipartisan controls on it you are poised to throttle that idea of openness and essentially prevent this open flow of information and communication. Now that the internet has grown so big and pervades all of people's lives, you want to take away the control from people's hands and put it in the hands of a choice few who presently have the money and the power. In short you want to be in control of people's lives and rule over them like monarchs of the yore and letting the principles of democracy, free speech etc. etc. to be ground to dust. A publicly elected organization like the FCC will be catering to the interests of a select few and not the general populace if it takes away Net Neutrality. The people put the FCC in power to protect their rights and by putting net neutrality in peril FCC is showing no regard to their rights or the rights of the future generations that will come after us. We the people and our children will have to cower and fight to protect our right to live with dignity and to free speech while you the one in power are constantly threatening our rights to live in the favor of the rich few. This is the stand of we the people - Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Without net neutrality, big companies could censor people and perspectives online. Net neutrality has been called the "First Amendment of the Internet." Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started. Without net neutrality, creators and entrepreneurs could struggle to reach new users. Investment in new ideas would dry up and only the big companies would survive, stifling innovation. Net neutrality allows consumers “not big companies” to choose what they watch & do online. Without net neutrality, ISPs could decide you watched too many videos on Netflix in one day and throttle your Internet speeds, while keeping their own video apps running smooth.

2124. Jacobus Harding, Irvine, CA, 92604
As a web developer making my own personal/very small sites I have absolutely no sway in the decisions of ISPs. If they are able to control all traffic I will not be able to reach the audience I am currently able to with my limited resources; letting only larger companies reach an audience, who would be able to pay for
what should be a free service. We cannot let monopolies control our online content. Never once have they done what is right for the broader scope of people. They will certainly not start doing the right thing now.

2125. Steven Hallsted, Tustin, CA, 92780
I can hardly believe the need to defend net neutrality is even necessary. Would you consider banning free speech? The right to assemble? Can you not imagine how YOUR right to view what you prefer to view on the Internet, should you elect to abandon this so basic social convenience? History will eventually list two types of lawmakers for this era, those who defended our right, and those who sold them to the highest bidder. Which one are you.

2126. Amber Panico, Anaheim, CA, 92808
Net neutrality is important to me because I stand for free speech. Cable companies would be able to censor information. Democracy only works if we have an informed electorate.

2127. wendy gilmore, irvine, CA, 92617
protecting net neutrality is in the best interest of the citizens of this country

2128. Bryan Bailey, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
We need Net Neutrality protection from corporate interests.

2129. Terry LaDow, Tustin, CA, 92780
Please protect "net neutrality" as i do freedom of speech and expression is a fundamental right of our constitution Us little guys need the ability to chose what we want to see and share with others.

2130. Nick, Orange, CA, 92867
I am forced to use an ISP in my area and now I am forced to see content that they want me too. Absolute power corrupts. Freedom of choice is an American value being lost in a game of Monopoly played by ISP's.

2131. rleedom@cox.net, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
WE must keep neutrality it's as important as our democratic rights.

2132. Alex Bailey, Irvine, CA, 92606
I would like to comment in favor of maintaining existing net neutrality regulations as I firmly believe that they are essential not only for protecting consumers, but also small businesses. I am afraid that many people would be negatively impacted if net neutrality rules were to be overturned, and it is my hope that the FCC can remain on the side of the greater public and maintain a free and open internet by upholding net neutrality.

2133. David Sonneborn, Orange, CA, 92869
Especially in my current efforts as a volunteer, otherwise retired public advocate, I must have accessible internet capabilities to reach "of, by, for the people" and not be thwarted by big money cable corporate control of the internet.

2134. Carole Sparke, Tustin, CA, 92782
Please keep web usage neutral. I may not like what some people say but we ALL need to have FREEDOM of speech in America.

2135. Sylvia Walker, Irvine, CA, 92612
Save net neutrality. Do not pass FCC rules that are being proposed currently.

2136. Schuyler Flaiz, Mission viejo, CA, 92692
Once they begin to censor our internet who's going to stop them from running everything else we see or do. Leave net neutrality alone. We want our freedom of speech just the way it is!

2137. Noel, Orange, CA, 92869
Our constitution gives us all the right to free speech; leave the internet alone!

2138. Christian Edwards, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
You need to preserve our American Freedoms and rights to an open and unrestricted internet!

2139. van boat, lake forest, CA, 92630
freedom of speech must not be meddle with by any formed of government, it is a sovereign right of the people to freely speak their soul holds true by the hearts of the founding fathers.

2140. Dylan Allen, Tustin, CA, 92780
Without net neutrality, we inch closer towards state sponsored media, and further away from the First Amendment. Let the people have their freedom too, not just the companies.

2141. Nathan Boucly, Irvine, CA, 92618
The fight against net neutrality offers no benefit to the public, and only protects the pocketbooks of the big cable companies. You work for the people, not for Comcast. Protect Net Neutrality.

2142. David Church, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
FCC, please do not hamper the amazing impact the internet has had on the US and the world. Internet access and access to unadulterated broadband capability should not be a luxury of those who pay more. Please ensure to protect net neutrality
2143. Brian Uiga, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I can't believe we have to weigh in on this again! I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs so that no service provider has any legal ground to stand on if they favor data from certain sources over any others.

2144. Louise T. Kidder, Irvine, CA, 92618
I am in strong support of Net neutrality as one of our basic freedoms of speech. Please do not cripple our already troubled country with another regulation that will destroy our freedoms and individual innovative process. Protect us as individuals and our right to this freedom.

2145. Susannah Gottlieb, Irvine, CA, 92606
Please don't reverse Net neutrality, it supports our free choice, and free speech. Don't allow big corporations to buy influence and control in this way.

2146. Jennifer Smietana,ESQ., Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Net Neutrality represents the spirit and the laws of the Constitution. "The FCC is barred by law from trying to prevent the broadcast of any point of view. The Communications Act prohibits the FCC from censoring broadcast material, in most cases, and from making any regulation that would interfere with freedom of speech." The internet is part of free speech--just like radio and airwaves--the internet is vital to a democracy. Don't become a puppet of the oligarchy.

2147. Bonnie Kent, Mission Vlejo, CA, 92691
Big corporations already control the major newspapers and TV networks. If net neutrality isn't preserved, they will also control the internet, and the right to free speech will have no value for most American citizens. Do not take yet another step in the direction of oligarchy.

2148. Bennett Wong, Irvine, CA, 92602
Please do not take down the net neutrality act, it would meant he world to me.

2149. Eric Beavers, Irvine, CA, 92614
I demand my freedom of speech -I am against ISP monopolization

2150. Ray Vic, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
It is not up to corporate greed and profit to hinder mankind's greatest invention to this day. The internet; the most versatile and invaluable tool ever to have been invented. Able to reach and save billions around the world.

2151. Manish, Irvine, CA, 92618
Human and civil rights were defined ages ago....need to be rewritten to include freedom of choice and equal, neutral internet

2152. Mike Patton, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Please keep the internet fair for everyone. Net neutrality is important and the internet should be protected as a resource just like the air waves and radio!

2153. Melissa V, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Net neutrality is vital to preserve free speech online.

2154. Mike Prock, Irvine, CA, 92612
Without Net Neutrality the Information Age will quickly become the Disinformation Age and the consumer will be consumed.

2155. Sandra Andrews, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
At my house, we support the open web and net neutrality.

2156. Glen Worthington, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
With only a small number of major ISPs, it is a scary vision of the future to think that a few corporations could control (e.g. limit, restrict, prevent) the flow of information to the masses--particularly for self-serving financial ends. What would that mean for democracy if ISPs steered searches to preferred op-eds, preferred candidates, preferred policies, etc.? How can we have an enlightened understanding of our government if ISPs can intentionally keep us in the dark? When an ISP can simply keep someone from ever viewing particular websites, that achieves the same impact as a prior restraint to speech--except instead of preventing publishing, the ISPs are effectively prohibiting distribution.

2157. adrian, Irvine, CA, 92618
What is the difference between what this is proposing and fascism. The internet is the new essential symbol of freedom. Our press and media outlets are less and less free where we can't tell when accuracy is being communicated. Please do not move forward with this or any proposed rules that keep the internet free from ANY controlling entity that exists for profit.

2158. John Smith, Trabuco canyon, CA, 92679
Allowing service providers to choose how information is shared will harm the prosperity and intelligence of the country. We have already seen these same companies attempt to control content through cable television programming and the free market has already chosen to shift to the internet specifically to avoid the price inflation and lack of variety that goes with legalizing monopolies over content.

2159. Gary Neelon, Villa Park, CA, 92861
We need net neutrality. Equality for all.

2160. Isabelle Kaminsky, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
No one is happy with their cable companies so why would we be happy if they took over the internet? If it isn't broken, don't fix it.
Do one thing right--- save net neutrality. AT&T and Verizon will survive without your handing them the net.

I contend that most Americans have very little choice in who their ISP is. Even in big cities this tends to hold true. If ISPs are allowed to discriminate between portions of the internet and give preferential speeds to some websites over others, all American's will not have equal access to the internet. It is imperative the FCC recognize this as a legitimate concern and maintain net neutrality as it is. Thank you.

Don't tread on my internet.

There is no doubt our founding fathers would have wanted net neutrality!

"Without Net Neutrality, how would activists be able to fight against oppression? What would happen to social movements? How would the next inventor of technology, business or company emerge if internet service providers only let only their current partners succeed? Net Neutrality is crucial for small business owners, startups and entrepreneurs, who rely on the open internet to launch their businesses, create markets, advertise their products and services, and reach customers. We need the open internet to foster job growth, competition and innovation. Net Neutrality lowers the barriers of entry by preserving the internet's fair and level playing field. No company should be allowed to interfere with this open marketplace. ISPs are the internet's gatekeepers, and without Net Neutrality, they would seize every possible opportunity to profit from that gatekeeper position. Without Net Neutrality, the next Google or Facebook would never get off the ground." Save The Internet.

Keep the internet a place where freedom of speech and freedom of choice are practiced and protected!

Internet Access and site distribution should not be bought and sold beyond what consumers now pay for service providers. Keep Internet neutrality! I never went on cable, and still watch TV via antennae. Should all federal highways be privatized toll roads?
2168. Rachel Prelle, Tustin, CA, 92780  
This country is already ruled by corporations. Please protect the internet!

2169. Nora Echolds, Anaheim, CA, 92807  
Net Neutrality IS the First Amendment for the Internet. You already gave away our browsing history, how much more liberty are you going to give away to the BIG money interests?

2170. Craig Hayward, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692  
It is in the best interest of the general public that net neutrality be protected. An environment of net neutrality will best support the development of new services and technology.

2171. Erin Jordan Zeider, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653  
Censorship is the beginnings of a totalitarian government where free speech is illegal and freedom is no longer a reality.

2172. Nathan Willis, Irvine, CA, 92620  
The internet should remain free and open to all. I strongly disagree with ISP providers being able to wall of parts of the internet, restrict my access, charge me more for certain content and in general control what I see and where I see it. With the rise of fake news sites and social media bubbles an open internet is needed more than ever. If we allow large corporations to dictate what we see and read online we are allowing those corporations to directly control and influence millions of people in subtle and unsubtle ways. This should not be allowed and I am strongly opposed to any attempts to destroy net neutrality. Access to the entire internet without censorship should be a basic human right in this day and age, it is that important.

2173. Alyssa Nguyen, Irvine, CA, 92620  
A free and open internet must be maintained. Allowing service providers to prioritize content harms business and is unfair to consumers. For example, without net neutrality, a cable service provider may restrict a customer's access to video streaming services in order to encourage the customer to purchase pay-per-view content from them rather than the third-party video streaming service. Practices such as this need to be prevented and customers must be allowed true freedom of choice without interference.

2174. Matthew Robertson, Irvine, CA, 92612  
As a recently graduated student in the field of Information and Computer Science, net neutrality is extremely important to me. Without the same full and unrestricted access to the internet that I received as a student, I'm concerned that the students of the next generation will have a diminished quality of education. With current net neutrality rules, I was able to research and self-improve at my leisure, without worry of my ISP hampering my ability to learn and better
myself. Further, when I purchase internet access from my ISP, the only product I care about is fast and unrestricted access to the internet. If my ISP were legally allowed, in any way, to limit my access to the internet, either through throttling of my connection speed or limiting my access to even a single website, I would not, in my mind, be receiving the product that I am paying for. That is why it is important to me that net neutrality exists.

2175. Matthew Jones, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Using the Internet should open to use as the user see's fit. Even blocking inbound ports for "your protect" as so many providers do should not be allowed. I just recently switched to Cox internet only to find out not only do the block port 25 in both directions unless you are sending to their mail servers they also block inbound port 80 so you cannot host a small web server on their residential service. They claim it's too keep the speed up for everyone and make it fair, but anyone that knows how things work knows it's a ploy to get you to pay for their over priced business service. I push way more data everyday with my off site backups than a small web server will use. Just imagine if your phone company could restrict who you could call based on the phone provider that person is on.

2176. Carolyn Rhazi, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Every excuse for ending net neutrality has been shown to be flawed. Keep net neutrality. We will always view it as a basic right, We will hold you accountable for your actions.

2177. Ronald Rees, Orange, CA, 92869
I said this last time, and now I'll say it again. Thr internet should remain asis 'net neutral' similAR TO A UTILITY!

2178. Georgeen Whitney, Tustin, CA, 92780
Net neutrality is what we need. It is important to keep the Internet open for us now and future generations.

2179. John Murphy, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
We are the public, not lesser than!

2180. Jordan Belford, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Net Neutrality is the cornerstone of innovation, free speech and democracy on the Internet. More than 2 million Americans have expressed support for Net Neutrality at Congress and the FCC. They want control over the Internet to remain in the hands of the people who use it every day. Please stand with the public by protecting Net Neutrality.

2181. Joyce Boronow, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I support Chairman Ajit Paiâ€™s rollback of Obamaâ€™s internet takeover. Title II regulation gives too much power to the government over the future of
the internet. It has harmed innovation and investment. It also deters competition. Markets and consumers should regulate the Internet’s future, not government. This should not have been allowed in the first place. Too many regulations. President Obama over stepped the bounds of the presidency, in my opinion. Thank you for taking your time to read my comments

2182. Michael Lloyd, Irvine, CA, 92603
Aji Pie, It is imperative Net Neutrality continue to be enforced and regulated under Title II oversight of ISPs. Enabling ISPs to throttle traffic from users, companies and organizations not paying extortion will profoundly damage the current level playing field the Internet provides. ISPs provided with the power to increase or decrease bandwidth at their discretion, based on their strategic advantage, preference and paid preferential subscription 'service' will provide ISPs (especially large players e.g. Comcast, Verizon, AT&T and similar) a profound unfair advantage and influence over our freedom of choice. So much so that I wonder if this type of preferential treatment and bias in favor of ISPs could be considered unconstitutional. It is obviously anti-competitive. Such a move will serve to artificially influence preferences and choices made by a significant population segment. Further, providing ISPs with this throttling ability will provide massive revenue enhancement without providing benefit, except to the ISPs. Same as Mafia extortion, where those who pay extortion are 'protected' and others are at their mercy. Abandoning Net Neutrality enables ISPs to increase revenue by charging businesses and organizations (incoming traffic) to not throttle their content (i.e. provide increased bandwidth by throttling all other content). Users paying for Internet access are harmed as much as the organizations required to pay the extortion. Suggesting ISPs should be provided with the authority and autonomy to charge content providers and end users, is needed to fund Internet infrastructure is nonsense. No intelligent person would consider this a viable argument. ISP infrastructure is funded by Internet users and competition just like everything else in the United States free enterprise system. Gigabit Internet speeds are available in some areas, and will become widespread. When that infrastructure and bandwidth is supported, how much of the Internet will be throttled (to paying consumers) if Net Neutrality was abolished? Aji Pie, you argue eliminating Net Neutrality 'constraints' is a move to reduce government regulations in favor of free enterprise. Eliminating Net Neutrality interferes with free enterprise among other freedoms. Let the free enterprise system work by maintaining a level playing field (e.g. retain Net Neutrality), without penalizing consumers already paying for Internet access. Obviously support of abandoning Net Neutrality is not fueled by an interest in reducing government regulation in support of free enterprise, but rather back room deals that significantly benefit few and harm businesses and consumers. Additionally abandoning Net Neutrality provides ISPs with a powerful mechanism to influence our freedom of choice.
We need internet neutrality. Neutrality is an essential part of the internet itself, transferring information from multiple different sources and operating systems into a universal language that can be displayed to everyone on essentially every system. It is this open and neutral structure that allowed it to work with everything, creating what is quite possibly the best single thing from the age of information. We NEED and MUST maintain the sanctity and neutrality of the internet or we risk subverting true freedom for the people. I personally have seen the internet being attacked by companies, essentially degrading the internet from what it once was. To look at the possibilities for misuse one only needs to look at what GOOGLE has done to the internet just for its own profits, essentially subverting the internet into a machine that tracks everyone they can and watches what they do so they can target them with intelligent marketing, even going so far as developing tools to bypass the security systems set in place by Operating Systems to keep its users information safe and secure. What the people of the united states need is better control over what businesses do over the internet. We need a clean pure unadulterated internet that we can peruse at our leisure letting the internet take us wherever we want to go, without hostile forces trying to vie for control of our time by limiting or forcing us into a specific path. without being sold something we really are interested in but might not be able to afford quite yet. 15 years ago, before google had a stronghold on the internet, before marketing forces descended on the internet, it was a peaceful place. much like rowing a boat on a lake with no one else on it, unless you invited them. Now days the lake is full of salesmen, there are teams upon teams watching me in my row boat trying to figure out my next move so they can be there with whatever it is I might have thought I needed, it even makes it harder to see the sites because I have to battle my row boat through seemingly endless marketing just to get a decent view of what I was intending originally. To think about the internet getting changed will only lead to further subversion. The United States is such for a reason, a strong foundation set in stone and instilled in every American by what we know as the constitution. We the people NEED and DEMAND a better internet for a better mankind, we need nothing short of a constitution for the internet. For it too should have inalienable rights.

I am not known to be good with words, but I want to express how important net neutrality is to me. Working in the research and development of biotechnology, it is very important that I have unbiased information that ultimately will decide how my products are designed and revised. Without net neutrality, the information that I receive could be silenced from any one perspective. It is important for my job and all the lives that my work affects that I build from the unbiased and unfiltered stories, words, and problems of my customers. All of that is threatened if net neutrality is removed. Please keep net neutrality.
2185. Net Neutrality, Tustin, CA, 92780
I firmly believe that it is in the best interest of the subscriber to a service should get exactly what they pay for, no more and definitely no less. The Internet is no exception to this rule. If I asked a child the question of repealing the net neutrality law in terms they understood, I am sure even they would agree. Void of consumerism and dishonest loyalties, a child would know that repealing the law would allow the internet to be used as another tool to boost revenue for bigger companies. I would like my voice to be registered in favor of leaving the net neutrality law alone, and not repealing it.

2186. Geoffrey Hichborn, Orange, CA, 92869
Title II allows bureaucrats in Washington to pick winners and losers on the web. The internet is best regulated by light-touch FTC regulation and the market, not heavy-handed FCC rules. I support Chairman Ajit Paiâ€™s rollback of Obamaâ€™s Internet takeover. End the ObamaCare takeover for the Internet right now.

2187. Hong Nguyen, Anaheim, CA, 92808
Stop a few big corporations from owning and/or controlling the internet.

2188. Nancy McLoughlin, Irvine, CA, 92617
An open internet is necessary for innovation, democracy, and the fostering of community across wide distances. In this sense, the future of humanity is bound up with access to an open internet. An open internet is our only way forward to a bright future.

2189. Kevin Kondrot, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
you think Russia messed with the election, just wait till telcos have the power to control the internet. keep the internet free from politics, leave it alone neutral and free.the telcos may be on the side of the FCC political agendas today, but what about tomorrow, don't shot yourself in the foot keep it neutral

2190. Hannah Rabatin, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Net neutrality is a basic human right and by taking away that freedom from the people, you are going against everything this country stands for.

2191. Kevin Chu, Irvine, CA, 92620
Please leave net neutrality alone! Open access to the internet is important to me.

2192. Elizabeth Stiles, Villa Park, CA, 92861
People can only make responsible decisions if they're informed. When I do research on a civil rights issue, and medical treatment, or a product for children or pets, I don't want what "information" I see to be determined by my service provider, who will have their own biases, or who are being paid off to show certain content. For instance, if my service provider was paid by Hartz, they
would censor Hartz competitors' content, which would convince me to buy Hartz flea medication (which frequently causes seizures and fur loss in cats), instead of being able to see all content and choose a safer option. A highly religious service provider could limit access to scientific articles, or a secular provider might only allow content which spread misinformation and discrimination against religions. They could be paid to promote medications that cause more harm than good to a child, while hiding information on treatments which could save his or her life. Nothing good can come of this. I don't understand why it's even a question. The answer is obvious.

2193. Martha Feldman, Irvine, CA, 92617
Please safeguard democracy by protecting net neutrality and allowing people to make their own choices about internet based content.

2194. Bryan Hosoda, Irvine, CA, 92603
Where I live, I don't have a choice of Internet providers. They already charge higher rates for faster speeds. My Internet provider does not provide good customer support because they know you can't sign up with anyone else. Either offer choices or give everyone the same access.

2195. Charlotte Delman, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
Freedom of speech is key to an enjoyable and civil internet. With net neutrality, we have the opportunity to voice opinions, research everything imaginable, and have a safe space. And in the unsafe spaces? A right and ability to defend ourselves. Without net neutrality everything would be like SafeChat in an online game. Having your words and content censored and chosen for you will ruin everything that is great about the internet.

2196. Rico C Segarra, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
It's ridiculous that I even have to comment on this. Net Neutrality is a common good that prevents big businesses from smashing their smaller competitors, and helps protect consumers from getting nickle and dimed and scammed for an unsatisfactory service.

2197. Benjamin Berglund, Irvine, CA, 92614
Net neutrality is our only chance to be better than the rest of the world. Many of the other world powers continue to censor the web and its content and disallowing individual expression or a chance to be truly free online. As the country that stands for freedom, it is our opportunity to continue to stand for freedom, both in the market and through the online community. Also, from a purely capitalistic standpoint, Net Neutrality hurts our chances of being a truly fair market and gives a monumental advantage to established companies while shouldering aside honest, hard-working Americans and startups. Please don't restrict our freedom.
2198. Roanna Victorio, Irvine, CA, 92614
Please protect net neutrality. As the creator and owner of a small, educational college-prep website, I want to continue to provide free information and tools to help students. I do not want access to my website limited due to slower Internet speeds. In addition, I strongly believe that net neutrality is essential for a healthy democracy. We need to hear the mainstream point of view as well as smaller, opposing points of view. We need free speech in person, in print, and on the Internet.

2199. Cheri Whitehead, Ph.D., Irvine, CA, 92606
Why can't those who have been entrusted with ensuring Net Neutrality do just that--maintaining Net Neutrality is in the best interest of the greater common good! Please do the right thing for us all!

2200. kalisteuma@gmail.com, Irvine, CA, 92604
"Freedom of Movement, mobility rights, or the right to travel is a human rights concept encompassing the right of individuals to travel from place to place within the territory of a country, [1] and to leave the country and return to it. The right includes not only visiting places, but changing the place where the individual resides or works." Nowadays there are more than one way to "go to places" and the Internet is one of them. Restrict or limit the AVAILABLE choices is inherently against the principle of Freedom of Movement"

2201. Eleanor Troutt, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
Please keep the internet open. Net neutrality MUST be protected.

2202. bernard breslau, mission viejo, CA, 92692
Lets keep Freedom of the web

2203. Michael Volpe, Irvine, CA, 92620
My home agency and clients count on an open and free Internet. Freedom on the web for all, big companies to small businesses to regular folks

2204. katherine Billings, Irvine, CA, 92603
Being a librarian I truly believe in intellectual freedom and any curtailment to net neutrality is an attack on this right and a gift to private corporations.

2205. Alex Roman, Irvine, CA, 92602
ISPs shouldn't decided what to control and not control, I don't want certain services throttled that are not sponsored by ISPs and the internet is the ultimate from of Free Speech and Corporations would not have the people's best interest, only their own bottom-line.

2206. Michelle McGhee, Irvine, CA, 92620
The internet belongs to everyone, it is a public trust. Net neutrality is mandatory
to ensure free speech for all and to prevent large corporations from controlling what can be viewed, when and at what speed. We must not strangle everything that is good about the internet.

2207. Margaret Schneider, Irvine, CA, 92617
The internet is key to so much of our personal and professional success now, we need to protect access for all. It should not be permissible for large corporations to use their resources to limit access to some content or favor certain content above other content.

2208. A. Cameron, Irvine, CA, 92604
The internet and the freedoms thereof must not be infringed by big business. Do not put the greatest avenue of free speech ever known to humankind in the hands of corporations. Protect this global community, protect net neutrality.

2209. Hallee Y, Irvine, CA, 92618
How are you being American if you want to remove our rights as American citizens? Protect net neutrality NOW!!!

2210. Jerold Kappel, Irvine, CA, 92612
We cannot allow any more corporate control of the internet. It must remain neutral.

2211. Sylvia Walker, Irvine, CA, 92612
Proposed rule changes to the net would be harmful to small businesses and consumers. In addition, these proposed changes would be stifling to innovators. Support net neutrality. Do not adopt the rules that are currently being considered.

2212. Johnny Lopez, Ornage, CA, 92869
Leave the internet the way it was intended to be, free to the people!

2213. Michael Grabowski, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
Please protect the free flow of information--true, false, questionable, investigative--and the ability of any user in the United States to create websites and post information and insights that will be available to any other US user to discover and consider. The US does not own the internet. Don't create "ownership" and licensing rules that will restrict access to something that should be able to all. Thank you!

2214. Ben Mona, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
Net neutrality serves as a vital protection to our First Amendment. Don't give in to corporate scumbags!

2215. Charles Alvarez, Irvine, CA, 92604
With the loss of net neutrality, we lose the ability to freely communicate. Scientific research will be slowed. Cultural development will be halted. Religions will lose voice. With the lose of net neutrality, we lose freedom.

2216. Hank, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
net neutrality is imperative in maintaining the equality of access for all internet users, similar to the existing principles providing equal access to water, electricity, gas, and other utilities. restricting access to water would be impermissible, but restricting access to internet somehow is? I don't buy it.

2217. Matt Puccio, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
At what point does the absurdity stop and the back door deals end? Paying for the internet is like paying for the air we breathe. For once; stop.

2218. Irene Brace, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
Net neutrality benefits everyday citizens. I certainly don't want to see large corporations making decisions to benefit themselves and to charge higher prices.

2219. nora coyle, Anaheim, CA, 92807
We need our privacy protected. It's an invasion and an abomination!

2220. Catherine Calvy, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
I have experienced throttling and do not like it.

2221. Steve Forbes, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Eliminating net neutrality favors large corporate interests rather than small businesses and individual users. Do not take this cynical step!

2222. Brandon Ellis, Orange, CA, 92869
Net Neutrality is critical for the survival of online industry. Destroying it gives internet companies the power to destroy any business they desire.

2223. Justin Theodra, Irvine, CA, 92602
I support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of internet service providers (ISPs). I do not believe that current FCC net neutrality regulations should be rolled back. In my opinion, doing so would give large corporations a monopolistic advantage over their smaller counterparts by allowing them to pay ISPs to slow down their competitors' websites. Moreover, I believe that scaling back net neutrality would allow corporations to limit and manipulate our access to information over the internet. In my opinion, it should be our civil right to have open and unbiased access to information over the internet, and that this flow of information should be free from manipulation by corporate interests. Thus, I support strong net neutrality backed by title two regulation of ISPs.
2224. Susie Vanderlip, Orange, CA, 92869
Net neutrality in the Internet is critical to an open society. Do not allow the communications companies to control what we see and do online.

2225. Leslie, Tustin, CA, 92780
Please protect the neutrality of our internet, a resource that is used by billions of people. I don't want corporations to control our access to the internet. Thank you.

2226. Tasha Peterson, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
The FCC's Open Internet (net neutrality) Rules are highly important to me and everyone I know. I urge you to protect my right to unadulterated internet access. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

2227. Nancy Pratt, MISSION VIEJO, CA, 92692
To the FCC: I want net neutrality!!! Do NOT put corporate interests ahead of the public. Thank you.

2228. Christopher Venard, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

2229. Berton Moldow, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
I am growing tired of the continued assault on network neutrality. When will conger realize they are elected y the people not the corporations who have special interests. The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and the country at lodge.. I urge you to protect them.
I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of
other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

2230. Georgeen Whitney, Tustin, CA, 92780
   We must keep net neutrality.

2231. Jim Grissom, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
   Do not allow internet providers to take away our free and fair access to the internet. The proposed changes will damage the rights of the public in very profound ways.

2232. Diane Smith, Irvine, CA, 92614
   Net neutrality is essential, anything else is in American!

2233. Mary Wuerz, MISSION VIEJO, CA, 92691
   Please preserve the open internet! Without the FCC's net neutrality rules, we will all be subject to actions by our individual ISPs, that could bias what information we receive, and which sites we can access. ISPs are companies with a profit motive; they have no reason *NOT* to give preferential treatment to any site or service that pays them. This would, however, tremendously disadvantage startups and small sites/services. And since many Americans get the bulk of their news and political discussion online, this would add further bias and censoring by those with big pockets. Please, don't kill the golden goose! I strongly urge you to act to retain Net Neutrality, as the American public has demonstrated repeatedly they want. Thank you for your time.

2234. Judy Stephens, Irvine, CA, 92618
   Stop ignoring the needs of the American people over big business.

2235. Bonnie Robinson, Orange, CA, 92869
   The Internet is supposed to be for everyone, not just the wealthy, not just the elite. By ending net neutrality, we are cutting off ideas, imagination, innovation, free speech. The internet should not be controlled by the cable companies to increase their wealth. It should be the media of the people.

2236. PAuld La, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
   Net Neutralize is a key component of a free Internet. It must be maintained. Ownership of the carrier, like postal or telephone, does not confer control of content, keep the Net free.

2237. Michael Herring, IRVINE, CA, 92612
   Don't let the big companies own the internet; it belongs to ALL of us
2238. Amy Dunford, Irvine, CA, 92614
I do NOT support the removal of Net Neutrality protections. I am a young female university engineering educator. Net neutrality is essential to ensuring equal information access for all looking to seek knowledge or skills via the Internet. I encourage students to explore their intellectual curiosities on the internet via videos, articles, projects, and any other resource they may find; to seek knowledge and opportunity. Students from under-privileged backgrounds generally have weaker computer skills, which "internet freedom" would only exacerbate. The removal of regulation would worsen socio-economic educational divides that already are a leading cause of diversity disparity in colleges, prisons, corporate executive management, etc. Ultimately, the internet is ubiquitous in a successful "great" America. It is required for people to fully function daily: professional responsibilities, personal financial management, current event news, opportunities for growth, communication, and so much more. There are no equivalent alternatives. The internet available to Americans should not be filtered by corporate whims, location (only one ISP available in many areas), ability to afford full access, or other unforeseen factors.

2239. Loretta Cheney, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
If you get rid of net neutrality now, when the political or economic climate changes, you may find yourselves on the other side of this issue. Protect the open internet.

2240. Jeannette Stokol, Irvine, CA, 92612
Please do not pass a bill that will stop net neutrality. Everyone should have equal access to the internet and it should not be determined by who can pay more. We have all come to rely on the internet for so many things in our lives. To discriminate based on money is another erosion of our country - another example of the 1% controlling way too many resources.

2241. Renee Carmona, Tustin, CA, 92782
Where some see a problem... Others will see opportunity. What do you stand for?

2242. Laura Robinson, Irvine, CA, 92612
Please protect our internet.

2243. Nancy Grossman-Samuel, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
We are living in the best society in the world, and what makes it that is the freedom of access to information. During the past 7+ months we have been treated to the experience of living in a society where the rich and powerful want to take away everything they can from the rest of us. What you are doing is criminal. It is harmful and will lead to the rich companies and people making more money and getting higher profits, and the rest of us being thrown aside.
My only hope is that if you pass this horrendous law, that WE THE PEOPLE will do everything we can to topple those companies and get their profits as low as possible. I for one, would be willing to leave my provider AT&T and find one (if it can be found) with a human rather than a profit motive. Thank you for listening to the people. Nancy Grossman-Samuel

2244. Cynthia Lloyd, Laguna Woods, CA, 92637
the internet has been a place of sharing and learning which benefits the economy. To take away that safety will damage the companies as uses become reluctant to do so. Imagine Amazon customers worrying about who's accessing their customer data & info. It will cost the for profit world greatly!

2245. Judy Stephens, Irvine, CA, 92618
Please care about the American people, we need to be able to use our Internet without being compromised by big business, who will charge us more and give us less service. It is too easy to be partisan come elections and important news and information, when big businesses have total control of the Internet.

2246. Alex Webster, Irvine, CA, 92620
Net Neutrality needs to stay. It will effect every single person in the US in a negative way. Our data needs to be treated equally! Please!

2247. Cynthia Faisst, Irvine, CA, 92606
Keep Neutrality protections in Place

2248. Forenz Arabian, Tustin, CA, 92780
I use the internet more then gas and water combined. The internet has to be classed as a utility and free to use how I feel fit. For education, entertainment or work, it isn't up to my ISP to decide where and how they should provide the service that is a right to any human being in our modern day. Progress as we know it will halt if the internet becomes regulated. Remember the saying: Sharing is caring. Note: Ajit Pai is a Stooge, an insult to anything democratic and should step down. He is paid by the ISPs and works only for their benefit and not the one of the people.

2249. Aaron Leighton, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Net neutrality is only the most recent frontier and symbol of American independence. The virtues this country holds most dearly are under threat from the FCC's desired proposals, and if Congress does not block these changes, they have betrayed not only themselves, but their country. Please vote to block these bills. This is your job, to protect the American people.

2250. Thomas Baker, Irvine, CA, 92617
The internet must be protected. Do not suspend net neutrality.
2251. Richard Prince, Irvine, CA, 92617
I encourage the FCC to uphold rules put into place to protect net neutrality and
the principles of an open internet. The revision of rules expressed in 17-108
would be a setback for free internet, free commerce, and free speech. This
would undoubtedly set the U.S. back when compared to its international
competitors.

2252. Khoi Tran, Villa Park, CA, 92861
I want to watch my game videos with pout fear they can be taken away. I don't
want to pay even just for using an online service that charges money for
subscriptions.

2253. Jessica Gress-Wright, Orange, CA, 92869
The net is a utility that everyone needs--like running water or public roads.
Utility companies are regulated because this gives them disproportionate power
compared to consumers, Net neutrality protects the consumers of this vital
service, the internet. Save Net Neutrality!

2254. Annette Nguyen, Irvine, CA, 92620
The open internet allows people of color to tell their own stories and organize
for racial and social justice. When activists are able to turn out thousands of
people in the streets at a moment's notice, ISPs aren't allowed to block their messages or websites.

2255. David Preciado, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
DO NOT MESS WITH IT. I DO NOT BELIEVE IT IS TO BE
COMPROMISED OR NEGOTIATED.

2256. Emily Hill, Orange, CA, 92869
I believe public access to information is important to protect.

2257. Hannah Park, Tustin, CA, 92782
Restrictions on my activities on the Internet violates my basic freedoms listed in
the First Amendment.

2258. John Jacobs, Tustin, CA, 92782
I don't believe in censorship!!

2259. Andrew Pham, Irvine, CA, 92604
I do not wish to have limitations when it comes to me choosing where I can
access.

2260. Ziad Ghannoum, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
Keep the internet equally free for everyone. ISPs should not be able to treat data
differently and essentially become the pirates of the internet where they can
charge websites for faster speeds. The internet must remain equal to all!

2261. Harriet Kubalsky, Irvine, CA, 92604
If you take away Net Neutrality, you are taking away freedoms from the American people and furthering your greedy and ambitious goals. If you think taking away Net Neutrality will help the people at all, you are wrong and you are not worthy to make decisions based on the American people's freedom.

2262. , Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Please leave Title II in place. I have extensively researched both arguments and feel that keeping Net Neutrality as is will be more beneficial to all. I like the internet the way it currently is. Please do not put an end to Title II and Net Neutrality as we know it. Net Neutrality is objective, fair and keeps the internet independent.

2263. John McKenna, Tustin, CA, 92782
Don't monetize the net!

2264. Lauren Thompson, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
I want the information I pursue on the Internet to be based on quality, not how much money a company is willing to shit out its ass.

2265. Ella Chiang, Irvine, CA, 92602
Because everyone should be able to access every website equally. Telecom companies already make too much money and overcharge consumers. Americans pay too much for internet service which is a necessity in today's digital economy. Even with the high prices, internet service is subpar with slow speeds, data caps, and frequent drops and outages. This is completely unacceptable. Do not let these companies continue to line their pockets at the expense of the American people.

2266. Michael Davison, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Net Neutrality insures that the ISP providers cannot monitor or restrict access based on content. The internet is the ultimate Freedom of Speech tool. It only works if it remains unrestricted. ISPs, browsers, and other services must not be allowed to restrict access.

2267. Shea Bishop, Coto De Caza, CA, 92679
So many people make their livelihoods on the internet as we know it. So many people can exchange knowledge and ideas over the internet as we know it. Taking that away will only make everything more difficult and force people to spend money they shouldn't need to spend.

2268. Ana , Irvine, CA, 92602
Not having net neutrality inhibits free speech, which is literally the first
amendment. Unconstitutional.

2269. Rebecca Sweett, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Net neutrality is important to me because it facilitates the unhindered, unrestricted use of the internet. It enables a freedom that we should be protecting. By introducing legislation that ends that beautiful thing, the American people will be forced to overcome corporate interests interfering with our abilities to not only access art and entertainment media, but also information. That is inherently unAmerican if you ask me, and many other people who are fighting this alongside me will agree with that reason and present even more reasons why this should not happen.

2270. Rowan, Irvine, CA, 92618
I would like smaller and independent websites to have as much as a chance as large corporate ones.

2271. Zoe Porter, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I use the internet for work, communication, and entertainment.

2272. Megan Holmes, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
It gives me a platform to express myself via art without having to pay more for certain websites and communicate with friends that have moved away.

2273. Kaitlyn Johnston, Irvine, CA, 92620
FUCK YOU. You will destroy my business as a freelance artist. This means that people like me, with small businesses, will be totally out of commission next to the people who have money. You are destroying the economy with this. you have gotten rid of our privacy. Restore Net Neutrality.

2274. Sabrina Del Rosario, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
As a freelance artist, I need net neutrality to find work. By taking net neutrality away, you are taking away my chance to find and put out my work.

2275. Alexis Hernandez, Irvine, CA, 92602
Net neutrality under Title II is important as it allows for a truly free and open internet that allows everyone access to whatever the internet has to offer, whether for academic purposes, work needs, or simple leisure. In an era of technology, getting rid of net neutrality will only take us several miles back from progress made to move forward in the past years. Net neutrality allows for independent free lance workers to make livings off audiences online, allows global connectivity, and access to an infinite amount of information.

2276. Alex Pineda, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I'm an artist that draws comic books, if net neutrality is gone then I'll be broke and homeless, please keep the internet neutral
2277. Tobiah Smith, Irvine, CA, 92620
Transparency is needed now more than ever and your actions need to change for our internet. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

2278. Joshua Phillips, Irvine, CA, 92618
Please listen to the millions of people like me who believe that the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are important to preserving a democratic and fair society. Please do not allow the ISPs to control who and what I see. Let the users of the internet decide what content to use. A level playing field lets all voiced be heard. I strongly urge you to continue to support net neutrality and to please stop the chairmen from creating winners and losers when it comes to internet traffic. Thank you for all the work you do and for listening to our voices.-Josh

2279. Jeremy Capozzoli, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I believe we should have an open internet. We should be able to do anything we want on the internet. The internet is used for entertainment and education. I consider these things important. Really Important.

2280. Mayumi Mayu, Irvine, CA, 92604
Free Speech is what ya'll keep preaching about, right?

2281. Patrick Wang, Irvine, CA, 92604
I don't need blocked websites

2282. Kevin Peck, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Net Neutrality is essential for protecting free speech, organizing, business and innovation

2283. Matthew Kruger, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
You are essentially signing a controlled internet. The government and ISPS can block and control what users see on the internet. If America still stands for freedom, then we shall not see this happen.

2284. Iris Arambula, Irvine, CA, 92604
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me as a content creator and as a college student using the internet as a tool to further my studies. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

2285. Michael Young, Irvine, CA, 92620
Please don't kill Net Neutrality... My small business relies on it. It will literally destroy my family...

2286. vincenzo mascari, Huntington Beach, CA, 92630
Net Neutrality is good for all people, getting rid of it is good for ONLY a select few of corporations that are internet service providers. The government is supposed to make sure this type of legislation isn't even put to a vote!! Work for the people, keep Net Neutrality!!

2287. Melinda Williams, Irvine, CA, 92612  
I support net neutrality because it ensures a non bias and open internet where everyone no matter economic status or background can be heard, creative, innovative and easily increase their income. I love what I and others are able to accomplish with an open internet. It scares me to think of an internet controlled by individuals and businesses that can pay more. The FCC must take a strong stand for net neutrality and end this ongoing debate. I oppose Commissioner Pai's proposal that would remove Title II protections because this would allow bias and discrimination over the internet resulting in certain businesses/individuals being able to pay higher prices to have their content seen and data through faster. Net neutrality is in the best interest of all citizens.

2288. kristina gulsvig, Orange, CA, 92869  
Protect strong Net Neutrality rules by keeping ISPs under Title II oversight. This is a rare modern instance where both parties and seemingly every involved company/organization, large and small, oppose loosening of regulations.

2289. Treyden Talbot, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653  
In my previous comment, I may not have made my position explicitly clear. I support net neutrality with Title II oversight of ISPs.

2290. Justin Rose, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92676  
In a world in which the corporate dollar is steeped in every facet of our lives the internet, albeit riddled with ads and commerce, is the last medium of true freedom we really have. For all it's ugliness and spectacle there is something inherently human about the soul of the web; and to sell that soul to a few slave masters with the deepest pockets-in the face of millions who would renounce the idea-by voting against net neutrality would be to spit in the faces of the American people and the tenants of democracy that are left in this nation.

2291. Lindsey, Santa Ana, CA, 92705  
Net Neutrality must be done under title 2 with firm controls to keep an open and fair internet. Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

2292. Bear Jackman, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679  
I do NOT support what you're trying to do. Net Neutrality is vital to the country. 300 million people will be affected negatively, while only a couple thousand will benefit.Bear Jackman
2293. Ryan Fant, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
   Please do not overturn Obama's net neutrality. I don't want ISP's deciding what
   I should be viewing and throttling my speed in order to influence my decision
   on what I watch. Reserve net neutrality under Title II

2294. Kevin Kondrot, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
   hey Ajit leave net neutrality alone and go play with your stupid mug

2295. Ryan, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
   Nobody wants corporations to control the internet the way that they would
   without net neutrality. Keep net neutrality.

2296. Andrew Ho, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
   What even is this?

2297. mitchell c yarchin, Irvine, CA, 92606
   Removing net neutrality hurts our nations integrity. I strongly urge the FCC to
   consider the future of our country - think of the future of information transfer
   and how it trends toward being online. Free Information is a human right and
   we should regulate it as such. America can't fall behind other countries in this
   regard; over time a decision to remove it would be a devastating blow to
   technological innovation.

2298. Remo Abboud, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
   Dear Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by TITLE II oversight of
   ISPs (Internet Service Providers). I believe my right to access any site on the
   Internet, regardless of whether it conflicts with the interests of my ISP, deserves
   to be protected. It is not enough that YOU found no examples of throttling,
   blocking, etc. perpetrated by ISPs before 2015 (while they were still classified
   under Title I). It has happened (e.g. T-Mobile's throttling of access to Google
   Wallet in an attempt to promote their own digital payment application, ISIS)
   and will inevitably happen again as long as it suits the bottom line of the
   corporations that control access to the Internet. Please DO NOT allow net
   neutrality regulation to be downgraded to Title I, allowing ISPs greater rein in
   selectively restricting the content I choose to access. Thank you, A concerned
   citizen

2299. Jacob Burkhardt, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
   Can a Republican follow the Constitution at least for once and do the right
   thing. don't let the billionaires rule the world

2300. Tania M., Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
   When I pay for Internet service, I expect protection from privacy invasion. I
   want the FCC to uphold all existing Title II net neutrality rules. Thanks.
2301. Jonathan Prigoff, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
I am writing to advocate for net neutrality, and specifically for treating broadband like telecommunications infrastructure service. When you take a step back and think about it - of course it is. Nobody I know has a landline phone but everyone I know is expressly reliant upon the internet. Without this I could get nothing done. At my home, there is only one cable provider, so I have exactly one high speed (>10mbps) internet option. Without net neutrality, I would not have any recourse (other than to pay more) if, for example, my cable company were to slow down video streaming and encourage me to subscribe to their paid offerings. I'm happy to pay them a fair (inflated if you ask me) price for their connection to the internet. After that point I respectfully request that they stay out of what I choose to use that connection for, and believe it's the absolute definition of the FCC's role to help me ensure that.

2302. Amanda Moncure, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Dear FCC, Please protect our rights as Americans to have the freedom to utilize the open Internet by upholding the Title II ruling. It is up to you, the FCC, to stand up for net neutrality protections because you know it is the right thing to do. I am sure you receive nasty comments from Internet trolls who don't understand being rude or angry is not the best way to get your point across. So, I will take the higher road and approach you as a concerned American citizen and as a decent human being. Please help protect net neutrality because the only people who will gain from you reversing the Title II ruling are the ISP companies and at the end of this comment, I will explain to you why that cannot happen. As an American citizen, I have the right to utilize the open Internet and be able to do without worrying about telecom companies and ISP monopolies trying to throttle whatever they want. ISPs should not have the ability to discriminate against other types of data or websites because if you give ISPs this power, it can effect what I am able to do online. You many wonder why that concerns me but let me tell you why the open Internet is so important to me and maybe then you will understand. The Internet truly is an extraordinary place. I use it every single day of my life and wouldn't be able to thrive without it. I use it to keep in touch with family and friends who are thousands of miles away. When I am out with friends and we are having a debate about a certain subject, I can use the Internet to look up the information anywhere in the world and settle it once and for all (I'm normally right, by the way- so take this comment about net neutrality seriously). I use the Internet to help me with school work which is extremely important to me. Without the Internet, homework and required school tasks would be more tedious and a lot more difficult. In 2013 I found out that I had a tumor between my nasal cavity and brain, and the Internet played a big role in helping me understand more about potentially cancerous tumors, how to heal after major surgeries, and how to emotionally handle life changing situations regarding your health. The possibilities the Internet provides us are endless however, there is something
more to consider regarding net neutrality. The government and the people of the United States can continue to argue about Title II, telecomm vs. informational services, competition, and monopolies but the biggest thing to pay attention to right now is the right to have freedom. Isn't that what our country was founded on? Don't other countries envy us because we are the land of the free? By letting President Trump, who can't blurt out a nice Tweet to save his life or keep his own fake hair on top of his head properly, reverse the Open Internet Order and try and ruin what milestone we had already won earlier this year would be a travesty. I am not an Internet troll, and I most certainly am not here to make nasty comments about anyone because that is not what the true American people of this country do. What true American people do are speak the truth, stand up for our freedom, and do the right thing. So please, do the right thing. Leave the open Internet alone and focus on more important issues that are surrounding us right now (I am taking to you, Pai. No one cares how big your damn coffee cup is. You are just making the United States look even more ridiculous than Trump already has. Don't be that guy. As a lawyer, I know you are more intelligent than that because law is not easy; I've studied it). Anyway, if I still have not convinced you to keep telecomm companies under Title II, please consider one more example of why it is important. How competition and monopolies would ruin the lives of Americans who truly are trying to make something of themselves in this world. I urge you to consider the smaller companies and how much harder they have to work and rely on the Internet. Last year, one of my good friends got married to the man of her dreams and they decided it was time to have a child of their own. She got pregnant, did the expected millennial social media post updates to inform her friends and family of the progress of her and her unborn child. Two months ago, she lost the baby at 7 months. It was the worst experience of her life and she explained to me that she could never go back to work because the devastation of what had happened would never allow her to live a normal life. Fast forward to today, she now operates her own online Etsy business in order to make a living during this difficult time. The open Internet provides her with the ability to keep thriving and believing in herself so I urge you, please keep the right balance to ensure that my friend can continue to grow just as much as these bigger companies. The open Internet matters to people in many different ways and if you take that away, you are taking away what the United States stands for. We are the land of the free and the home of the brave- please don't ever forget that. I thank you in advance for protecting American citizens and their right to use the open Internet. Please continue to uphold the existing Title II net neutrality rules that are currently in place. Sincerely, Amanda Moncure

2303. Victoria Nguyen, Irvine, CA, 92606
Greetings Mr. Pai, Make some good choices today and don't reverse Obama's Open Internet Order. In the name of all that is holy, beautiful, and pristine- you need it, we need it, everyone needs it. We need regulation to keep the system
running and monopolies out of the business. Companies such as Verizon Fios announced that reclassifying them to Title 2 will not effect them. Net neutrality is the best possible idea because it provides no discrimination and equality on the internet. We will eliminate the possibility of content-based restrictions. Companies will not willingly adhere to net neutrality if there is no legislation forcing them to obey it. Allowing internet service providers to charge different rates for different services will definitely encourage such behavior when we should be stopping it. Allowing the internet to be a completely unregulated area will not mitigate the problem of monopolies but enhance it. The internet service provider must not be classified as an information service. I implore you to respect the beautiful act Obama has created to regulate net neutrality. Keeping the internet a regulated arena between internet service providers will only benefit the mass amount of people who access it everyday, including your sons, daughters, and loved ones. Internet service moguls make an egregious profit already so trying to add extra fees will only hurt the economy, the free web, and the people accessing it rather than making the internet a continuously beautiful place as it has been since Obama has enacted his regulations. Don't be dense, make a good choice, the right choice today.

2304. Sean Hardeman, Anaheim, CA, 92807
Please do the right thing and explicitly preserve net neutrality. Not doing so will (over time) most certainly lead to unfair advantages for some and disadvantages for others.

2305. Cathy Schiff, Irvine, CA, 92618
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations as they will create separate classes of users and deny or make access to important information financially unfeasible for many consumers.

2306. Donovan Bean, Anaheim Hills, CA, 92807
Our household feels strongly that net neutrality needs to be preserved. It's crucial for us and our children to be allowed to maintain all that has been established.

2307. Emily, Irvine, CA, 92612
Keep net neutrality intact. Keep the internet fair and open. We will not allow our rights to access to be stripped away.

2308. Richard Stewart, Irvine, CA, 92604
The FCC should be protecting individual's rights to see whatever they want on the internet without ISP interference. The user pays the ISP for the access. The ISP should not be allowed to degrade that access in an attempt to make money
from content providers. The ISP is very much like a monopoly and should be treated as a Title 2 common carrier and be regulated by the FCC. Keep Net Neutrality and the Open Internet Rules from 2015. Do not change regulations to benefit the corporate few! A neutral internet has benefited and will continue to benefit everyone. Keep it that way! Thank you!

2309. Susan Lawson, Irvine, CA, 92604
I am a 70 year old retired computer teacher and teacher-librarian. Students to net neutrality rules to access the internet!

2310. Garrett Kerr, Tustin, CA, 92782
As an educator, parent, and contributing citizen I see too much of society being "given" to the large corporations simply because they have money and power. It is not in our best interests as a country and society to continue to work this way. It is sending the wrong message to our citizens. We already pay for access and now it will lead to content specificity? I enjoy my freedom in the United States and pay a lot for it. I consider one of those freedoms the right to information which I also pay for. I don't want to see that regulated and it costing me as well as limiting not only me but my family, students, and other citizens. The students I work with are extremely grateful for the access they are provided to the information they seek. We have many students who only have access at school. We also can't afford to be paying more for specific access when our educational budget is shrinking at the same time we are trying to keep up with the demands of great access, higher quality education, richer tools, and better quality product by the students. We need to remain Net Neutral.

2311. Randy Kolset, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
Currently I am a Coordinator of Educational Technology at the Orange Unified School District in CA. I have worked 9 years in the classroom in the Saddleback Valley Unified School District, 6 years at the Orange County Department of Education and now 2 years at the Orange Unified School District. I even worked with America Online and was there when they hit their 500,000 customer. Every aspect of my career and life have been impacted by the use of the internet. When I was in the classroom it was assigning research, developing projects and sharing content before teachers even knew that it was possible. I led the school into deciding that a computer lab would be a great investment so students could grow their internet skills back in 1999. At the Orange County Department of Education I developed online courses, shared trainings via Webinars, created national certification programs with people all over the state through collaborative online processes. I then taught and shared those courses all through the internet. I have been a member of iNACOL, CUE, TICAL, and CETPA. All leadership programs about the use of technology and education. My students have included children of all ages, parents, teachers and even superintendents. The internet's power comes from its freedom and the collective
that it has fostered. People can now pull information from all over the world to compare, grow, and develop ideas that were not thought possible in the past. We have 12 year old children creating non profit foundations to help other children see their potential. We have unlimited possibilities for budding entrepreneurs as they develop products that can be crowd sourced and then offered out to untapped audiences. The United States is built on the idea of innovation and leading the world in ideas on financial success. When a nation decides that it is more important to control the access to that information instead of letting it flow you begin to constrict the ideas and opportunities of its people. This then leads to fewer ideas and eventually stagnation. In a land of opportunity the internet is another frontier that does not need to be restricted it needs to be explored. Store fronts need to be developed to provide more opportunities for economic growth. Restricting access to the horses, the ships and trains that allow people to these destination makes no sense. I hope you can see the analogy between settling the frontier and our current exploration of the Internet. As for when people arrive at their destinations on the Internet we should not be afraid of what they will find. People have faced numerous problems when settling a new frontier but there was always voices of reason in these settlements. They were teachers, librarians, scholars, and even parents that helped guide discussions on what is appropriate and helpful in building our society. The government should not be controlling the flow of information but expanding it. There should be opportunities provided by the government to find the best resources that exist for our populations so that the settlement of this new frontier becomes a truly viable entity for the world. It has done a great job at this so far without any government intervention.

2312. Teresa Ozoa, Irvine, CA, 92603
As an educator, I beg you to preserve net neutrality. Education is the engine of opportunity in our country. Access to training for critical thinking (internet), not necessarily content (textbooks) levels the playing field. However, limiting access with any kind of tiered structure destroys equity. Only the rich or powerful receive preferential treatment, and the disadvantaged, who provide the diverse voices that drive innovation, are stifled. To grow our nation in a time when other countries are outstrpping us technologically, we must keep providing tools to all citizens so we can leverage ALL our collective talents, not boost just the privileged few. Thank you for considering the needs of all Americans.

2313. Myra Deister, Anaheim, CA, 92808
I have used the internet for several years. My students are assigned instructional videos, I offer online tutoring, they submit assigns online, and they write apps via an online platform.

2314. Jerry Dahm, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Hello, I believe internet neutrality is in a central part of United States freedom of information. Allowing big companies to corner the market & be the only distributor of the Internet is beyond my recognition for for a Free society. Please keep the Internet open neutral.

2315. R J Graham, Tustin, CA, 92780
First, eliminate the "UTILITY" from the 'neutrality' act before it can be adopted, so there is not complete government control.

2316. Joseph Hamann, Orange, CA, 92869
Net Neutrality sucks ass we need a free internet.

2317. Brian Montes, Coto de Caza, CA, 92679
Protecting Net Neutrality is one of the most important things that the FCC can do to protect democracy and American's access to information. We have all read 1984 so the comically named "Restoring Internet Freedom" is not only an obvious lie, but insulting and an open acknowledgement of the fact that the FCC doesn't care at all about America and is a lap dog for the ultra rich.

2318. Azam Khalid, Irvine, CA, 92614
Support net neutrality. My ISP price increases prices every year because they know i have no other option ro switch to. This is monopolistic behavior. As a result, i am continuously forced to use my family to regsiter as a new customer to get a better rate. Why should i have to pay more next year than my sign on rate? But if a new familu member registers, they get a cheaper rate for the same service. This practice is borderline predatory.

2319. Paul Crane, Irvine, CA, 92618
This is an affront to the founding principals of the internet and a clear example of the FCCs failure to uphold the core of its Mission.

2320. Isaac Hernandez, Tustin, CA, 92780
You know what you are doing is wrong yet you proceed because you have already sold your souls. Just know history will now and forever remember you as the ones on the wrong side of progress. They will teach your grandchildren about you and say how you were the problem and not the solution. I guess the almighty dollar is stronger than the voice of truth. I support net neutrality and hope you all have a nice day.

2321. Jeff M., Irvine, CA, 92612
WE NEED TO CONTINUE WITH NET NEUTRALITY!!

2322. Constant Gaw, Irvine, CA, 92612
Reversal of the Title II classification would b a terrible change, and one that undermines hard-fought protections for consumers and net neutrality in general.
Without these regulations, little will prevent telecom companies from prioritizing traffic in a way that is helps their button line but harms open and equal access to the internet. Please, DO NOT ignore millions of comments on this matter. The FCC, like many other institutions, is being tested at this critical time in history.

2323. April Rudge, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
Dear FCC, This is important! I’m calling on the FCC to stand up for net neutrality and safeguard Title II protections, including active oversight. I stand with the millions of other Internet users who’ve urged the Commission to keep important net neutrality protections intact. Please do not strip consumers of the vital privacy and access safeguards we worked for and won just two years ago. Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated and I think throttling has some dangerous potential. Yes, throttling should be regulated. Please be responsible with the future of the internet and work to ensure that it is as neutral as possible.

2324. Andrew Matsumoto, Irvine, CA, 92604
Net neutrality is critically important for protecting the American people. Please stop reversing our protections for an open internet. ISPs should be treated as a utility as it is as necessary for modern Life as electricity and water. Without any protection we can be gouged by commercial interest.

2325. Andrew Mar, Irvine, CA, 92620
The ONLY thing I agree with in this document is to perform a cost-benefit analysis. More information is always better. Primarily because your overarching claim that regulation "has put at risk online investment and innovation" is weak, and in most cases is patently false. Allowing ISPs to throttle traffic will _directly_ put at risk online investment and innovation in areas where the ISPs wish to throttle. This type of analysis can be performed competently and transparently by multiple bipartisan and non-partisan organizations and illustrate why utility-type regulation is preferred for Internet infrastructure.

2326. Michael Gran, Anaheim, CA, 92807
We do not supporting repealing Title II classification from internet providers. Internet access is a human right and repealing Title II protections will cause equal internet access to no longer be guaranteed to citizens and businesses in the United States.

2327. Michael Guffre, Coto de Caza, CA, 92679
I am against granting private companies the ability to legally censor the internet using speed and pricing controls.

2328. Michael Gleason, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
I am against removing of the Net Neutrality rules.
Lucas Peterson, Irvine, CA, 92617
To Whom it may concern:

I am here today to voice my support for keeping in place the current FCC regulations that include using Title 2 in order to maintain net neutrality. I currently manage global support operations for a home router manufacturer, and also my wife runs a small business online. From my professional perspective having been in this industry for the past 15 years, I have seen firsthand the results of ISPs throttling and giving priority to certain types of traffic. Prior to Net Neutrality coming into law, we constantly would receive phone calls from thousands of consumers a month who would complain about slow traffic to certain sites or buffering/streaming issues. While some of these calls were legitimate issues with the products, we found in many other cases that it was actually the same ISPs and same Websites that people were complaining about consistently. Even as a large business, this type of behavior by Internet Service Providers cost us thousands of dollars a month in support calls because people believed they were having issues with their devices when in reality, their traffic was just being deprioritized. Since the rules went into place, we have continued to monitor these types of cases, and have seen them substantially go down over the past several years. Having paid fast lanes on the internet goes against the core principals that spur innovation and growth. Giving the ability to ISPs to act as gatekeepers and potentially stifle innovation to choose winners and losers is not appropriate. Also when you take into consideration that fact that the same companies that are providing us access in the US are also now the same companies offering us media and entertainment options this creates a conflict of interest that is undeniable. If a company controls the bandwidth and also the wants to drive people to certain types of content that they own of course, they will do that and potentially restrict competition if it benefits them. As a consumer, this is not something that is beneficial to us. Allowing ISPs to charge not only subscribers but those willing to pay to prioritize data will result in a cash grab that benefits shareholders not subscribers. My wife as a small business owner that sells design services and fabrics online this could be detrimental to her business. Currently, she is able to compete with much larger companies due to her creativity and ability to push traffic to her site the same way that a larger business could; however, if companies that can spend more money can pay to make sure they have faster connections and priority this could easily adversely impact her. Overall, I feel there are far better uses of time for the FCC than unwinding a policy that has had many benefits for end users and will continue to do so. By keeping the Title 2 classification in place and giving the FCC the ability to actually enforce these laws we are in a much better place than we would be without them. I do hope that my comments as well as those of countless others are heard and taken into consideration.

Jo King, Irvine, CA, 92604
Hello. I would like to express my concerns for the cancellation of Network
Neutrality rules, and would like to comment that I use them to provide myself with the privacy and control that comes with running my own servers from my house. Since I prefer to host all my own servers for the various platforms and data that I use in my day-to-day activities, having it blocked or requiring more payment would prohibit my ability to do so, as well as champion open source and an alternative to the commercial offerings that do not have my best interests at heart. Additionally, due to the lack of meaningful competition in my area, it is not possible to just "switch" to another carrier without paying exorbitant amounts of money. Due to the artificial scarcity posed by the telecom corporations, it is imperative that the internet remain freely available and open to all, with no restrictions on what can and cannot be accessed.

2331. John Villalvazo, Tustin, CA, 92780
The FCC needs to support & enforce Net Neutrality.

2332. Andrew Davis, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I am writing to encourage the FCC to maintain the Title II classification for ISPs and enforcement of net neutrality. It doesn't make sense to me to treat internet service as somehow different from phone service or any other telecommunications. I use both my cable internet service and mobile data service as conduits to access online services such as email, social media, and downloadable software purchases, the same way that I use the telephone to call a restaurant, a work contact, or my parents. Furthermore, I work for a video game publisher, and sadly not one of the goliaths with deep pockets. If our competitors' online multiplayer game pays ISPs hefty sums for priority treatment at the expense of bandwidth for our game, we suddenly have the inferior product unless we can somehow scrounge up the money to join the elite class. And heaven forbid if the ISPs themselves entered the online game industry--how could we be expected to compete if the pipelines we depend upon were owned by entities with the legal ability and financial incentive to diminish our product? Thank you, whoever reads our comments, for taking the time to listen to the people.

2333. Jeffrey Horn, Tustin, CA, 92780
Good day, I am writing this letter to say that Net Neutrality being secured under Title 2 communications should not be changed. Access to the internet and the information you can find should not be impeded by corporate gate keepers. There is a reason that the last commissioner reclassified it. THE PEOPLE have already spoken, writing comments and breaking the FCC website the last time. There was also the Famous "INTERNET Blackout" organized by Aaron Swartz, god rest his soul. This whole debate should not be even considered by the FCC at this time... again. PLEASE do not make fast and slow lanes, DO NOT choose winners and losers, because we know the incumbent ISP's are the ones to benefit from this move. Thanks for you time. Jeff
2334. Dorian Hanzich, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
The American public, including its consumers, deserve a government that acts in their interest, not in the interest of plutocrats. The oligopolists must be contained and regulated. It's economics 101. Our government's facilitation of continued abuse on consumers (have you dealt with cable "customer service"?) is an assault on our intelligence, basic economic principles, and our democracy. It's our Internet. Embrace net neutrality. Make America great again.

2335. Atoosa Vakili, Irvine, CA, 92618
Save "Net Neutrality"! You represent the interest of the US population, not commercial enterprises.

2336. Ryan Friedman, Mission Viejo, CA, 92692
Net neutrality must be preserved under Title II. Paid prioritization is not acceptable when the industry is becoming less competitive and consumers have fewer choices for high-speed internet.

2337. Andy Yun, Irvine, CA, 92617
Do not kill net neutrality! The internet should not be curated by our ISPs. Do not allow ISPs to favor or disfavor websites based on content, money paid to the ISP, or for any reason at all. To do otherwise is to open the doors to censorship. Even those who work for the large ISPs will suffer.

2338. Edward Jenner, Irvine, CA, 92617
The prosperity of any great nation is largely due to its availability and freedom of information. Through the removal of net neutrality, we are risking a bottlenecking of information. In this hyper-connected world, engineers such as myself get just as much information from the internet as we do from a classroom or training seminar. By no means should we let bias or financial incentive dictate what information we can readily get and what information we have to jump through hoops for or wait longer for. Furthermore, this is giving a large swathe of political power over to corporations, something that does not bode well for the American people. By letting corporations dictate accessibility and speeds to certain sites, corporations can become censors for any content they do not agree with, essentially giving them way more agency in the U.S. than any corporation should ever have in a truly democratic republic.

2339. Bilal Khan, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
I support classifying internet service providers as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act. Removing this classification and allowing "fast lanes" to be purchased will reduce competition which will inevitably hurt consumers. ISPs should not be allowed to determine which content is available or more important to me than others and at what speeds I should get that content. Decisions like that will reduce the ability for new competitors to break
into market and provide better experiences for consumers. It will also open the
door to censorship and propaganda. The FCC exists to regulate and protect
consumers in matters exactly as these.

2340. Sandy Wendland, Mission Viejo, CA, 92691
I want net neutrality to continue please!

2341. James R Hess, PhD, Irvine, CA, 92606
I oppose the proposed rule. It increase the near-monopoly power that private
corporations have over the increasingly vital access to the internet to the
detriment of the public welfare. It enables internet service providers to use their
power to warp the playing field and introduce inefficiencies into the markets,
reducing innovation and economic growth.

2342. Sean Hasse, Rancho Santa Margarita, CA, 92688
The Title II common carrier classification of ISPs must not be rolled back. The
big ISPs in the US have shown repeatedly that they cannot be trusted to provide
even decent service much less fair to all service. ISPs before the classification
invested as little as possible on infrastructure and instead focused on profits.
The government needs to regulate them and force them to improve the internet
infrastructure. These companies are making record profits and the US internet
speeds to non business entities is dismal compared with the rest of the world.
We are already behind. Classifying them as Tittle II carriers was the first step in
the right direction. Undoing that classification would be a step backwards for a
country already behind. The internet is already the primary medium for which
the majority of communication and business transactions are now taking place. I
support net neutrality and oppose Verizon's lawyer, now FCC chairman, Pai's
efforts to removing this classification.

2343. Tudor B. Drancea, Anaheim, CA, 92808
Allowing privatized unequal control to what is the most important medium by
which people inform themselves and information flows is tantamount to legally
backing propaganda. I've always said that the larger search engine could
probably start a war by manipulating what search results show up when and for
whom. Removing net neutrality would effectively endow the highest bidder in
telecom with the same power. This is a mistake, that will affect generations.

2344. Thai Nguyen, Irvine, CA, 92602
Net neutrality is extremely important to the continued success of the internet.
Eliminating this does not support capitalism or competition. It only helps
corporations control internet access and hurts businesses and most importantly
all people.

2345. Keith Meyers, Laguna Hills, CA, 92653
Keep the rules as is, with title II. Do not change the rules.
Melih Kahraman, Irvine, CA, 92618
Net Neutrality should stay in place.

Austin Augustin, Irvine, CA, 92620
Net Neutrality is crucial this day and age, and I am 100% against its removal.

Robert Brownell, Irvine, CA, 92620
Net Neutrality is essential for protecting free speech, organizing, business and innovation.

Joe Waltz, Tustin, CA, 92782
I believe Net Neutrality is freedom of speech on the internet. I believe that if Net Neutrality is taken away, it would then violate freedom of speech.

May, Irvine, CA, 92620
I don't want to live in a George Orwell novel thanks

Ed, Irvine, CA, 92620
1. Wifi was invented back in 1941
2. The internet was first used over the existing telephone wires
3. The internet has allowed many small companies to start-up and spend money on cable, and then sell service to the public.
4. It is not good to now let large companies charge extra for already provided services.

Presley Horton, Orange, CA, 92869
a lot of my happiness relies on the internet/net neutrality lmao

Elizabeth Zemke, Orange, CA, 92869
My work is in the web. I can't be shelling out money just to conduct my business.

Reed, Irvine, CA, 92602
I refuse to pay for things I already have.

Charles Rengel, Tustin, CA, 92782
Everyone should be entitled to complete access to information without restrictions.

Cameron Irving, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
It is not an ISP's right to restrict what i do on the internet. If i want to go to certain websites for work but can't because Cox wont let me it will be a major disappointment. I also want to be able to know what is happening around the world but without Net Neutrality, there is a chance i won't be able to. If i want to start my own business and can't because my ISP doesn't want any competition for the company that is paying them to not allow my company to grow. We cannot allow that much control to be taken away from our lives as
citizens especially considering how much the internet impacts our lives.

2357. Gurpreet Ahuja, Irvine, CA, 92620
Net Neutrality is fundamental to sustaining the democratic principles of the United States. In addition, the net was developed out of taxpayers' money, and the US taxpayers deserve unhindered access.

2358. David Wise, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Stop the reversal of American values...stop tRump and his corrupt cronies!

2359. Rae Jones, Irvine, CA, 92620
The internet is a basic necessity for me and literally everyone in the modern world. I personally rely on it to shop, access huge amounts of information, watch movies and TV, stay in contact with my family and friends, etc. I believe internet providers should not have the power to discriminate against certain types of content; we all will be negatively impacted, people of color, people with low incomes, people in rural communities, older Americans and other marginalized peoples will suffer the most. I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality under the FCC's existing rules and keep broadband internet access classified under Title II. This is very important. Lisa Paynemiller21 Potomac Irvine, CA 92620

2360. Kari Sutherland, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I support keeping ISPs filed as Title II entities in order to preserve net neutrality. ISPs should not have the ability to regulate themselves as it is important for small businesses to operate websites on an equal footing with larger companies who might, under a non-net-neutral system, pay ISPs for faster access to their (the larger company's) website. ISPs should not be able to selectively block or slow down certain sites or charge consumers and companies for faster access or other services.

2361. Steve Kuver, Trabuco Canyon, CA, 92679
All users of the internet should have access to the full bandwidth they pay for, regardless of who is on the other end. Higher use services already pay more for higher bandwidth connections to their server farms, and ISP's should be able to guarantee end-users whatever speed they purchase by not over-selling / saturating their services. DSL / UVERSE are examples where it's rare NOT to get your advertised rate, but some other services can peak higher (and dip MASSIVELY lower) pending on neighborhood congestion. ISP's should remain under regulation, with exemptions for some of the rural providers who may struggle to bring in enough revenue to pay for continual audits etc. Please reconsider your choice, and leave the internet as it was designed to be: Open and neutral!

2362. Tyler Morgan, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Maintaining Net Neutrality is not only necessary to maintain a free market but also is necessary for the maintenance of freedom of speech online. To dismantle net neutrality would be an egregious error.

2363. Brian Potetz, Irvine, CA, 92617
I'm writing to the FCC to express support for "net neutrality", and to ask that "Restoring Internet Freedom" not be passed. I believe that a broadband service is not, and should not be considered, an information service. Broadband services provide internet connectivity, which is a utility. Without regulation and oversight from the FCC, it is a conflict of interest for one company to provide both information services and internet connectivity, because such a company has an incentive to prioritize its own information services above services from sources that are not also connectivity providers. It's imperative to me, both for my financial livelihood and for my own consumption of information, that all information sources are equally available to all internet users. I depend on the FCC to regulate internet connectivity services to ensure that consumers have equal access to all information providers. Please protect net neutrality and don't ratify "Restoring Internet Freedom".

2364. Errol Foremaster, Foothill Ranch, CA, 92610
I strongly appose the lifting of the Net Neutrality regulations. Even if you feel that the internet providers will not abuse neutrality, why remove the ruling. Keep the ruling and keep internet providers neutral in the way they treat their clients and the access to internet content.

2365. rico miledi, Irvine, CA, 92617
I believe that the internet should be classified as a utility - for me it is more important than wireless or land line phone services - as one can have an internet phone, and that all services should be equal (yes to net neutrality).

2366. Wayne Sandholtz, Irvine, CA, 92617
I strongly support net neutrality. Net neutrality is essential for citizens, innovators, and entrepreneurs alike.

2367. Owen Scott, Irvine, CA, 92620
I specifically support support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Thanks.

2368. Paul Jesilow, Irvine, CA, 92617
Net neutrality is necessary for a democratic society. I strongly support it.

2369. S Guilford, Orange, CA, 92869
Please keep net neutrality for everyone.

2370. Anirudh Shivkumar, Irvine, CA, 92617
I believe that net neutrality is so essential that title II regulations are necessary to keep ISPs in check. I will oppose any move by the FCC to change the current regulations.

2371. Steven Van Over, Irvine, CA, 92612
PLEASE protect Net Neutrality. Once you go down the road your on the results will be horrible for the "common man." The NET will forever become corrupted. Please don't destroy it via proxy from corporate greed. They make PLENTY of money now. They don't need more. Please protect the people instead of the companies. Thank you.

2372. Curtis Stuber, Santa Ana, CA, 92705
Please preserve net neutrality and chapter 2. Thank you.

2373. Byron Manzo, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
Preserve Complete Net Nutrality and Title II regulation of ISPs. Itâ€™s the only thing the US public has left.

2374. Mike Watson, Tustin, CA, 92782
Net Neutrality needs to stay in place. DO NOT REPEAL THE RULES. Pai you are an absolute moron if you think this benefits the public. Stop cherry picking data and ignoring the people who are opposed to your viewpoint. The people have spoken, do not ignore us.

2375. william compton, Tustin, CA, 92780
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2. I know that those in the positions of authority in this country have developed a habit of selling out, but maybe from here on we can consider the greater good.

2376. eric ingalla, laguna hills, CA, 92653
i support net neutrality backed by Title II Oversight of ISPs

2377. Larry Loc, Lake Forest, CA, 92630
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used national language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post. https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-
This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.