

# Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from CA District #3

These are the 1,685 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative John Garamendi's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs> under the proceeding 17-108.

1. Dustin Leale, Davis, CA, 95616

The rules in place are the same rules that the internet, particularly land line based internet has been following since it began. Monopolistic ISPs have recently been experimenting with other schemes as they realize that there is money to be made exhorting but ends of the internet. Thier users, seeking to access content freely, and sites and services, seeking quality connections to the users locked in these regional monopolies. The ISPs are rent seeking and want to position themselves as gatekeepers who can charge what they want on top of manipulating content available to thier users. The current open internet orders and title II classification prevent these abuses. Calling for deregulation here in the name of freedom is akin to repealing the First Amendment to deregulate the government's control of speech.

2. Jonathan de Leon, Davis, CA, 95618

Net neutrality is a good thing, "top tiers", "data throttling" and every other vocabulary word that ISP's and other companies have used to say that this will only speed up connections and make things more competitive have never had to deal directly with ISP's in an area where the companies were ATT, some local ISP, and Comcast and the speeds were .75 kilobits, 10 mbps, and at the highest price range and still coming in way under what I have paid for elsewhere 32mbps. That's not competition at all when you need to work online, that's extortion to use only one company because there is literally no other competition available. Throttling net neutrality, will only ensure that certain

media providers get special treatment over others, and force us into tiers that will be amply labeled by the populace, "introductory suck speeds", "medium suck speeds", "Look I can load facebook and amazon", and "There's no possible way I can afford this". Do the right thing, support free speech and net neutrality. Don't be a dick.

3. Sven Olson, Davis, CA, 95616

The internet is essentially a utility in the vein of electricity at this point, and net neutrality is a great tool for innovation and freedom of speech.

4. Christopher Kopp, Woodland, CA, 95776

I am strongly against the dissolving of net neutrality. All Americans deserve an open internet. We use it for leisure, communication, and a bevy of integral services. There is absolutely no reason to diminish the freedom of internet use just so ISPs can have their way. Consumers need MORE freedom and MORE options in their internet usage. Not less.

5. Caitlin Rippner, Davis, CA, 95616

This bill is anti competitive and strips the American people of an ability to utilize the internet as a utility in an efficient and unbiased manner. If our country is going to compete with other countries, we must have net neutrality to leverage the power of all people's ideas. This bill unfairly favors large ISP business who already charge their customers a high price and provide poor customer service because there is little reason to do so. This bill will only exacerbate that problem for the consumer. One consequence of that is worker productivity can go down along with business profits in global companies where internet access is a must, such as my employer.

6. Brannan Sickler, Vacaville, CA, 95688

The protections that are currently in place are there for the consumers. The citizens of our country should be protected from exploitation. Privacy is being reduced continually it seems and I do not want to see it get even worse. I am sure you value your privacy quite a bit as I do. There are too many regulations in a lot of places but this is not one of them. Rolling net neutrality back is bad for everyone.

7. Tia Will, Davis, CA, 95616

Dear FCC,I am writing to protect net neutrality. I am a newly retired, but actively engaged doctor who relies on rapid internet access as does my partner, a suicide prevention specialist. For both personal and professional reasons, I am in strong opposition to Ajit Pai's plan. Tia Will, MD Tia Will

8. Douglas Huseby, Davis, CA, 95618

You cannot be serious. Who there thinks that these changes are a good idea? I am in favor of Net Neutrality and I think that the proposed rule changes are

awful and would place the US in a position to not be competitive with the rest of the world.

9. Natalie Troxel, Davis, CA, 95616  
I'm worried that the protections for net neutrality that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. I might support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any. Net neutrality reflects core American values and I cannot support regulations that might compromise that.
10. Chase Alber, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Dear FCC, I look forward to the future of America, but if political greed will continue, America will not. Eventually the people are going to take it to heart, with guns in hand, to finally shut down the wealthy who abuse their positions. We are just so tired of fighting this, but will continue to do so until we die.  
Chase Alber
11. Ivan Munoz, Davis, CA, 95618  
Dear FCC, I am writing you today because I am hoping that my voice actually counts in my strong support of Net Neutrality under Title II regulation of ISPs.
12. Andrea Dickens, Davis, CA, 95618  
Chairman Pai is quoted asking, "Are we going to treat this new technology as we do the water company, or the electric company, or Ma Bell from the 1930s?" The answer should be, "Yes." Internet access -- broadband, top speed, unobstructed access -- is as vital to today's participation in the public life of the nation as water, electricity and phone service are. The Internet IS a utility and must be protected and regulated as such.
13. internet, Rio Vista, CA, 94571  
Title II needs to stay in place, Internet providers will not be willing to protect me if anything is a conflict to their bottom line. I don't want my information shared or any form of restrictions on my internet.
14. Wyatt Pearsall, Davis, CA, 95616  
The classification of ISPs as common carriers under Title II was precisely the action that has sustained internet freedom in the modern era. By preventing discrimination of web traffic, we can keep the internet open and accessible for the startups and small businesses that make America first in tech. Please keep our internet free and open by maintaining net neutrality and ISPs' Title II classification.
15. jd wong, Davis, CA, 95616  
Support net neutrality! I currently only have 2(!) Actual choices in internet

coverage and this is important for everything I do from college work to applying for jobs! We need an unbiased internet so that companies don't have any influence on our individual choices

16. net neutrality, yuba city, CA, 95993  
I support net neutrality under title two and would not like that to change
17. Christopher Nitta, Davis, CA, 95618  
Net neutrality is crucial to an open internet. ISPs must remain regulated under Title II.
18. Lindsay Kitamura, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
I specifically support strong net neutrality that's by Title II oversight of ISPs
19. Tyler Bourret, Davis, CA, 95616  
As a scientist and a citizen, I strongly support net neutrality. Do not let the corporate lawyer heading the FCC manipulate policy to help large corporations that are already extremely profitable, at the expense of researchers and citizens.
20. Michelle Sharrock, Winters, CA, 95694  
Please leave net neutrality under the current law. To roll it back is only favoring the huge corporations versus ALL AMERICANS and potentially removing freedom of speech. We are a democracy not a dictatorship, and deserve a level playing field for information from small to large companies. The big ISP's have indicated (Verizon and ATT) that the current rules do NOT affect their spending on better service/speed. It would be a huge step backwards to allow these mega-corps to voluntarily NOT cheat their customers.
21. Daniel Smith, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support strong net neutrality regulation, using Title II oversight laws. We need a free and fair internet for all. Thank you.
22. James Johas, Clarksburg, CA, 95612  
Title II is critical for maintaining a free and open internet. The chairman believing that a "light touch" approach is the best way to proceed shows his willful ignorance of the issue.
23. Meredith Smith, Davis, CA, 95616  
I think title 2 regulations and net neutrality laws are very important and I do not trust internet providers to do this on their own so I am counting on you to make sure the internet remains free and open.
24. Elizabeth Vogel, Lakeport, CA, 95453  
It is vitally important that net neutrality be preserved.

25. Stephen Jogerst, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Its 2017. Internet connection to homes is as indispensable as water, power, and garbage service to the home. Internet service to a home IS a utility, and should be regulated as such under Title 2. Internet service providers cannot be trusted to simply self regulate net neutrality since violating net neutrality was the instigating force that forced the FCC to originally classify ISPs under Title 2. The priority of information flow through internet connection service must remain neutral.
26. Matthew Nystrom, Davis, CA, 95616  
I'm a supporter of strong net neutrality backed by Title II of ISPs. An open internet is vital to our future.
27. j herndon, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Please do not remove Net Neutrality from Schedule II. There's enough greed and income disparity in the world. Dismantling Net Neutrality only makes select companies and corporations more profitable. Nobody believes your chairman's empty promises that it will not cause this. It's so very evident he's a shill.
28. Charles C Ellis, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
I support net neutrality and do not believe it to be in the best interest of the American people to provide ISPs with the ability to augment access to information via the internet. That is far too much power to put into the hands of multinational corporations, especially since in many municipalities no competition exists. The failure of antitrust laws to prevent consolidation within the communications industry cannot be coupled with untethered ability to control the flow of information. That would be a colossal failure of basic good sense regulation and would border on complicity in a sinister scheme to undermine American intellectual liberty.
29. Teresa Pargeter, Davis, CA, 95616  
It is critical that the FCC preserve strong net neutrality rule backed by Title II.
30. Ron vanHuiksloot, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
I support net neutrality and urge continued strong ISP oversight via Title 2
31. Trisscar Mordain, Davis, CA, 95616  
Leave net neutrality alone. My god, you have a former lawyer of one of the biggest companies being regulated by it trying to tear it down. Conflict of interest much? Not to mention his idea of companies self regulating sounds a bit Marxist, \*I\* thought we were a capitalist country, but I guess Pai didn't get that memo during school or other parts of his life. Also screw that guy and his double talk. And him not making sure the President at least understands what's going on, skewed view or not. Kinda thought that was your job mate,

overseeing the FCC and condensing everything to the point where you can explain it quickly to Trump? Judging by his tweets, you've been slacking. I wonder how much the FCC would have to pay companies to let people use their site under Pai's plan. Wonder if you have the budget for it, but you probably don't. Pai is probably counting on that; after all, if no one can access the site in a reasonable time frame, he can claim no one complained or commented on anything he does. You know, like a cartoon or comic book villain. Because apparently Pai thinks that because Trump acts like one, so should he. Maybe if that's not how he wants to come off, then he should review how he acts on and off camera. You know, maybe FIX IT. Oh wait, his idea of fixing things is to deregulate. So he'll probably just start insulting anyone who disagrees with him on camera, and claim that the news networks should be editing that out, since they need to regulate themselves. His logic might actually be that bad and self-serving. He certainly has role models in the White House doing the same thing, he probably thinks it's okay. Hey Pai. Leave net neutrality alone asshole. Unless you'd like to have a name so smeared with mud across the globe that you'll never be free from harassment on the internet again. Because trust us, the internet will remember. And there are enough people with time on their hands and motive to drive you off the internet in tears if you try anything stupid. Like messing with their porn sources. Or letting other people do so. Those loud mouths you hear about flooding Twitter or Facebook every so often, to harass or threaten someone they don't like, are the tip of the iceberg, those are the people who'll take any reason to go after others. You'll be giving a lot more people good reason to go after you if you keep going bud. Sure hope you can handle it, because previous recipients with much less to be ashamed of than this haven't been able to. Oh, and limiting the net won't save you. Though you should know that already, after all, you as a lawyer for a large company like Verizon have probably watched the internet reroute around damage before. Whether you were golfing or drunk or what have you at the time, you probably still noticed. Probably.

32. Marco Pritoni, Davis, CA, 95616  
I strongly support net neutrality through TITLE 2 oversight of the providers. Please do not change the law. It would not benefit consumers.
33. Crystal Mathews, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Please preserve and keep net neutrality and Title II intact as it currently stands.
34. net neutrality, Davis, CA, 95616  
Maintaining net neutrality is critical. All users, particularly those who do conduct commerce online, need equal access to the online marketplace, without an inside track for large corporate interests.
35. Tim Casey, Davis, CA, 95618

Pai, keep NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2 classification for ISPs. The American people will not stand by as Verizon fills your pockets. We demand the continuation of internet freedom and net neutrality.

36. Miles Herndon, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Net Neutrality is a MUST! I am voicing to keep ISP's classified as Title 2 - common carrier & telecommunication service! There is no benefit to the citizens of this country to re-classify ISP's as Title 1. Your spokesperson is an empty shell of a man. He is a liar.
37. Peter Jensen, Davis, CA, 95618  
I strongly support Net Neutrality. Title 2 classification of broadband internet service protects access and the public good. Do nothing to weaken Net Neutrality.
38. Net Neutrality, Davis, CA, 95616  
The internet should be as free as speech. Do not restrict my access based on my finances!
39. Eric Romo, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
We need to continue to support net neutrality backed by Title 2 over-site of ISP's
40. Jeff DeVries, Woodland, CA, 95776  
I completely and wholeheartedly support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Chairman Pai, you must listen to the users of the internet, and not the ultra-rich corporations.
41. Eli Weiss, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. Also, your reese's mug is stupid.
42. James Fox, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
I've been an internet cowboy for over 20 years, and there's two things I know. One, I don't like pain when I cath. Two, you can't hand the keys for the hen house to the fox, partner. That's just not gonna work. Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
43. James Hallahan, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
As an internet professional, this ruling directly affects my livelihood. Do not move forward with this -- keep the internet open.
44. net neutrality, Davis, CA, 95616  
I strong, STRONGLY support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Removing net neutrality is the beginning of a slippery slope to

government control of free information to the masses.

45. Brian J. Gallagher, Davis, CA, 95618

I would like to address the proposal to roll back the net neutrality regulations put into place under the last administration. Aside from the fact that it seems to me to be a blatant conflict of interest that Chairman Pai's former employer (Verizon) has a vested interest in overturning those rules and once sued the FCC to do so, I believe he is intentionally misleading the American public when he asserts that rolling back net neutrality protections will not result in ISPs throttling content based on their own financial interests. Alternatively, if I am incorrect and he is not being purposefully deceptive, then he is perhaps he is simply naive (which, to be fair, is plausible from a man who shows such pride in owning a ludicrously oversized Reese's coffee mug). In the U.S. at present, free and open access to the internet is a necessity, and many users don't have the luxury of choosing their providers. Corporations should not be able to restrict or limit access to content. Accordingly, I urge the FCC to maintain the net neutrality rules established under the last administration, thereby looking out for the American people rather than corporations looking to bleed us dry. Thank you for your time.

46. Net Neutrality, Woodland, CA, 95776

I want Net Neutrality. This is really about how we get there, and through what legal scheme - litigation or utility regulation. I completed my law school thesis on Net Neutrality this week. I originally chose the topic because I was so in support of Net Neutrality and wanted to learn more about the topic. After reading more about the legal basis of Net Neutrality, the more I turned against the FCC 2015 Open Internet Order, and am now in favor of Antitrust enforcers at the DoJ and FTC utilizing the Antitrust laws we already have on the books to keep the internet open and free. This is a fight under the Administrative Procedures Act for regulatory authority, and who can do a better job of keeping infrastructure development moving along while keeping the internet open and free. The 3 bright line rules of Net Neutrality are no blocking, no throttling, and no paid prioritization. Blocking and throttling are both vicious antitrust violations of refusal to deal, monopoly, essential facilities, and for major ISP that also own content providers, vertical integration claims. Net neutrality is actually an inter-agency jurisdictional fight over who has the power to regulate the internet. If you leave it to the DOJ and FTC, there will be very expensive precedent setting antitrust litigation coming down the pipe. Litigation is expensive, but it may be a better route than a utility regulatory scheme. I say this only because, after legal research, it turns out that the 2015 Open Internet Order allows the FCC to set fees, unbundle interconnected networks, define licensing territories, and set rates along with provision requirements. Many people believe this will keep the internet open and free, and it would. But, at a cost. The FCC, for the past two years, has defined "Advanced Optimal Technology",

using Section 706(c)(1) of the Telecommunications Act of 1996, to dictate that a broadband ISP needs to provide 25 MB/S upload, 3 mb/s download. That's the goal as it is set. Broadband ISPs won't need to go over this number. They update the number every year, and it's kept at a fairly low minimum. This is called a minimum floor. Title II brings broadband and mobile data ISP under a utility scheme for regulation. That scheme sets up all the licensing, fee, interconnected network unbundling, territoriality that you see with, say, water or electricity. The incentive to develop more infrastructure is gone when the FCC tells Comcast, you have the license for the Louisville market, and all you have to provide as a goal to reach is 25 mb/s. There's no more incentive for an ISP to lay more expensive cabling than what can get the subscriber base 25 mb/s. We may need TB/S cabling in twenty years. This, along with territorializing network operation licensing, means that we will have a regulated internet that is open and free, but the FCC will give territories of networks to the various providers, and they will be the sole provider in that licensed geographical territory. The utility regulations, much like a water or power utility, make one supplier, or a regulated monopoly, in an area. And setting the floor at 25 MB/S-3MB/S, this means all that each of the companies will have to provide in their legally obtained, yet regulated network territories, is that number. There's no more competition under a regulatory scheme for the big ISPs to provide more than 25mb/s-3mb/s, so they won't, and haven't been. I've heard every metaphor in the book. Water company reducing your pressure, content providers trying to get shelf space, the highway and robber barons, etc. Let me ask you a question. How many utilities are there competing in your market for water or electricity? Do you have a choice of your utility provider? In some situations, you may, but that usually has to do with electricity and wheeling to wholesalers and retail buyers (the production source is the same). Utilities require a "natural forming monopoly". ISPs, though expensive with high barriers to entry, do not fit the mold of a "natural forming monopoly" at all. A 2015 FCC survey showed that 50% of Americans have access to two or more competing wired broadband internet service providers at or above 25 mb/s upload, 3 mb/s download. 79% of Americans have access to two or more competing wired broadband internet service providers at or above 10 mb/s download/3 mb/s upload (Netflix video and audio streaming, the biggest use, takes about 15 mb/s). In areas where cabling has been trenched already, it is 1/10 as expensive to route in new cabling, because the trenching lines have already been dug-in and placed for public access. In many places, the ISPs are putting down the trenching lines as they go, but the trenching PVC pipe, or whatever they use, is a public access terminal once it's down. The cabling is private to the ISP. So, competition is growing, and quickly. Where we need stricter legal enforcement is at the municipal level where town and city councils have no idea what they're doing. ISP lawyers come in, talk with silver tongues, and get whatever they want without a real legal challenge, legal or illegal. A stated fear, that we're seeing coming to fruition, is a development of wireless

networks used for "tethering" hot spots. This allows you to turn a smartphone into a wifi hotspot for your computer. If we leave competition open, the corporations will keep trenching lines and building wired infrastructure that expands with technological development. We don't currently know what speeds we'll need in the future. We were on a path to get 1 GB/S speeds. This has slowed because the broadband ISPs now know all they have to meet is the Advanced Optimal Technology baseline - 25mb/s. Soon, wireless mobile data networks will provide faster speeds than that. 5G rollout in 2020 could eclipse the currently mandated 25mb/s. If we allow competition to die on wired internet, we will all be tethering with slightly faster mobile data network internet. We must not regulate the ISPs due to the fact that competition is actually working to get gigabyte fiber cabling put down across America. I know it's been slow, and money has been wasted. The key thing to remember about utilities and regulation surrounding them, is that typically, the government must show the good or service exists in a natural forming monopoly. Broadband and mobile data network ISPs are not a natural forming monopoly. We have sufficient antitrust laws to protect most of the concerns of the bright lines rules set forth by the 2015 Open Internet Order. Now, there are more practical legal issues as well, but those can be precedent-set by litigation as well. I contacted some local ISPs that found profitability in rural America surrounding major cities. They have small staffs, and build infrastructure around small towns because the town councils can get them the easiest access to the lines. The big players often hamstring attempts for smaller ISPs to trench cable, this includes illegally. In Indianapolis, the city-council authorized Comcast to put a metal padlock on the public access point box. Some local ISPs attempted to get in, and were turned away. They didn't have the money to go to court, and the Indianapolis City-Council didn't understand anything they said when they went before the Council. These are the more real and practical issues once you step away from the legal jargon. Litigation/precedent setting in the court systems will be costly, but having Google or Netflix sue Comcast/Verizon/AT&T for antitrust concerns will settle the matter for good, and keep the infrastructure competition going. Furthermore, the statistics show that competition has been increasing in the national broadband infrastructure market. Public option municipal development has popped up, as have federal grants for statewide development to last-mile end users. Many cities are also using state law controlled private-public partnership agreements. I don't think people have really thought this through. I don't think you want one provider who is given a government-regulated monopoly in your area, who provides the minimum mb/s as set by a few FCC chairmen as adequate. We don't want complacency in broadband infrastructure development, and if you don't push a Comcast or Verizon to build GB internet, they won't. If the FCC defines, as they have the last two years, the mb/s requirement standard to work toward at a low number, you won't have the infrastructure for the future in the time that you need it.

47. ?, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please protect our internet, internet in America is already far behind other countries. ISP's have already won on regional monopolies. Somethings are more important than money, in the world where everything is a slow march to death, why not try to ease the journey.-Ash Davis
48. Eric Romo, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
Net neutrality should continue to be backed by Article II oversight of ISP's
49. John Ellison, Wheatland, CA, 95692  
I strongly support net neutrality and title II. The FCC should absolutely enforce net neutrality and keep ISPs classified under title II of the communications act.
50. matt zaccaglin, Yuba City, CA, 95993  
Keep title 2 and a free interwebs.
51. Sondip Johl, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I do not trust that corporations will choose self-regulation over profits, and therefore believe it is the job of the FCC to provide this protection.
52. Kayla Bandurske, MARYSVILLE, CA, 95901  
Protect net neutrality!! This system is already working!!!
53. Phillip Welty, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Please make every effort to preserve net neutrality
54. Bijan A, Davis, CA, 95616  
I am writing in support of Net Neutrality and for classifying all ISPs as Title II utilities. We all need a free and open internet and in this current day and age, it should no longer be a privilege, but a right for everyone.
55. Net Neutrality, Davis, CA, 95616  
Net neutrality allows for smaller start up companies to have a chance to compete against wealthier corporations. Ending net neutrality would ruin what it means to be a free American because I would no longer be free, but be restricted by companies like Verizon and Comcast. Messing with the internet of people will really make the country mad, so you are all playing with fire.
56. Michael McNeil, Maxwell, CA, 95955  
I am writing to express support for strong net neutrality rules and Title II.
57. Net Neutrality, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not repeal this, as consumers will suffer greatly from the lack of

oversight, what with ISP's already having little obligation to provide decent rates unless threatened by competition.

58. Jesse Fabrikant, Davis, CA, 95616  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. A free and open internet facilitates free and open market competition. The government should not be using my tax money in order to give Verizon and friends an undeserved market advantage. Businesses should thrive or die based on the innovation of their products and business practices not on their ability to lobby the government to reduce oversight and then extract rents using their market power. If your product is inferior, you don't make money! That's capitalism! Do the right thing FCC, don't allow Verizon and Comcast to punish the real innovators and engines of economic growth!
59. Cameron Willment, Davis, CA, 95616  
Keep the internet for the people please
60. Paulette Whitemore, Dixon, CA, 95620  
I support Net Neutrality by Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 and Section 706 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996
61. shylo coldren, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Net neutrality!!! John Oliver rocks!!!! 45 and this admin sucks
62. Loriana Patterson, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
The change made under the Obama administration already protects net neutrality. This would reverse that and is not wanted by the American people, particularly myself. Stop trying to take away net neutrality.
63. Steven Valentine, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
I support Net Neutrality with Title II protects. And get rid of the Mug.
64. Tristan Weathers, Clarksburg, CA, 95612  
Net neutrality should be kept at title 2. Abosolute power corrupts absolutely.
65. Jeffrey Harvey, Davis, CA, 95616  
I am writing to support strong net neutrality. Put down your weed-wacker, Mr. Pai. Internet service providers should be subject to Title II classification, because it's the best thing for the United States of America and it's citizens. People > Corporations. #TheyLive
66. carol corbett, davis, CA, 95616  
I support strong net neutrality under Title II. Keep it together!
67. Jacob Alheid, Suisun City, CA, 94585  
I strongly support the continued classification of ISPs, Wireless carriers, and

Network providers as Title II common carriers, and the enforceable protections of net neutrality that come from that. Net Neutrality is a requirement for a thriving, open internet, and should not be dismantled. Do not weaken, soften, loosen, tweak, "change legal footing", or in any other way lessen the protections and regulations that Net Neutrality guarantees.

68. Jim Davis, Woodland, CA, 95776

I very much support maintaining strong net neutrality regulations. This is an extremely important issue to any and all people living in a free society -- do not allow internet service providers to control who gets preference in bandwidth.

69. Christine Goulding, Woodland, CA, 95776

Keep everyone on a level playing field on the internet. Americans want net neutrality.

70. Calen McLean, Fairfield, CA, 94534

I strongly believe that the FCC should continue to encourage net neutrality by regulating ISPs using Title II. Net neutrality is why the internet is a bastion for creativity and entrepreneurship. Killing net neutrality will allow ISPs to unfairly target their own competition, or allow other companies to price out smaller startups. Please keep the internet fair and support net neutrality.

71. Dave K., Woodland, CA, 95695

I support net neutrality. The internet is a utility used by all and should be protected from corporate interests. I have seen no evidence that the majority of Americans support removing it.

72. Roberto Maravilla-Plancarte, Davis, CA, 95616

Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I do not find anything more lenient than these regulations acceptable.

73. Daniel Giles, Fairfield, CA, 94533

Please support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of internet service providers.

74. Zoe Ehlers, Davis, CA, 95616

Please keep our net neutrality laws under Title II. Keep the internet actually free.

75. Naomi Weizman, Davis, CA, 95616

I strongly support Net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. I do not support the monopolizing of any industry.

76. Andre Roldanleben, Yuba City, CA, 95993

I am a strong supporter of net neutrality and keeping ISPs as Title 2

77. Charles Miin, Davis, CA, 95616  
Maintain the strong oversight of ISPs under Title II. Net neutrality should not be disputed at any level and major corporations and companies should not be allowed to infringe on the rights of any individual for their own selfish profit.
78. jasan singh, woodland, CA, 95695  
Keep Net Neutrality. Listen to the people.
79. Rita Cocke, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Title 2 is important and needs to be kept. Its important that equal internet access is important to all.Sincerely,Rita Cocke
80. Kenneth Connally, Davis, CA, 95616  
Strong net neutrality, supported by Title II oversight of ISPs, is essential to a fair, open Internet. Preserve net neutrality and Title II.
81. Grant Smtih, Davis, CA, 95616  
I strongly believe Net Neutrality should be upheld and ISPs continued to be classified under Title II. Also, Chairman Pai's Reese's mug is stupid.
82. Matthew Lawson, Woodland, CA, 95776  
Hello! I believe that the classification of ISPs as title II common carriers was the correct decision from a regulatory and from a jurisprudential standpoint.The U.S. Court of Appeals for the D.C. Circuit issued the ruling "Verizon Communications Inc. v. FCC (2014)" which stated that net neutrality rules based on a title I classification were unenforceable. From a legal standpoint, regulation is incompatible with a title I classifications.From a jurisprudential standpoint, the plain language of the telecommunications act makes it clear that broadband internet is a common carrier. Common carriers transmit goods, services, or data on behalf of a third party, and that's what ISPs do. In addition, Verizon has publicly stated that net neutrality rulings won't impact fiber investment:<https://arstechnica.com/business/2014/12/verizon-admits-utility-rules-wont-harm-fios-and-wireless-investments/>and they have sued to have these regulations lifted in the past, so self regulation without FCC intervention appears unlikely.In summary: I support retaining the title II classification for internet service providers.
83. Uzay Takaoglu, Davis, CA, 95616  
Reversal of Net Neutrality is unacceptable and backward thinking. Our telecom companies charge one of the highest fees in the World for the Internet service. Per [https://www.numbeo.com/cost-of-living/country\\_price\\_rankings?itemId=33](https://www.numbeo.com/cost-of-living/country_price_rankings?itemId=33) report, we are ranked 25th from the BOTTOM more expensive than countries like Albania and Nepal. FCC needs to focus on increasing the number of

competitors in regions and reducing the cost of telecom for USA to remain competitive rather than wasting time with these rulings under the "deregulation" buzz word w/o any meaningful results.

84. Ariadne Rothstein, Davis, CA, 95616  
Strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs is NECESSARY. Ajit Pai, DO NOT take away the protections to our free and equal access to the Internet!
85. Levi Felger, Davis, CA, 95618  
I am in favor of maintaining net neutrality with strong Title II restrictions for ISPs
86. Michael Rockiki, Davis, CA, 95618  
I am in favor of net neutrality and Title 2 protections on ISPs
87. Evan Crockett, Fremont, CA, 94535  
I STRONGLY support Net Neutrality, backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
88. Darren, Davis, CA, 95616  
I strongly support strong Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's.
89. Dustin Hadley, Davis, CA, 95616  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. If the internet providers also provide services on the internet other than being an internet provider, any option that allows the providers to give preference to themselves will damage the internet and stifle businesses. What would hold them back from enriching themselves at the expense of freedom of choice? It's bad enough these providers already have local monopolies for providing the internet. On a slippery slope, I would have to travel to from city to city to visit different websites allowed by different internet providers.
90. David Weber, Davis, CA, 95616  
The title of this change is a joke. Switching back to Title 1 would allow ISP's to throttle their competition. I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's!
91. James Whistler, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
I want to strongly urge the FCC to PRESERVE net neutrality and title 2 of the Communications Act. This is the only way to guarantee that the internet would be equal to all parties.
92. Jerry Johnson, Yuba City, CA, 95993  
Net neutrality is necessary to the use and development of the internet by the public.

93. Rachel Danielson, Davis, CA, 95616  
Hello. Please maintain net neutrality as FCC policy. Please maintain title II classification, and don't roll back any regulations
94. Matthew Freese, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
I specifically support strong net neutrality back by Title 2 oversight of ISP
95. Spencer Kennedy, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support a free and open internet, not one controlled by large corporations who want to place it in a section where there is little to no room for legal recourse when they abuse the system.
96. Alex Wixom, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
Net neutrality doesn't negatively effect business and keeps the market fair and balanced. Without some restrictions on the industry, only those with the most money will win regardless of product quality. It would lead to trusts or monopolies in the market which historical are not good for society or the economy. Competition keeps the market growing, get rid of that creates a market that only caters to the rich and powerful.
97. David Hafter, Davis, CA, 95616  
Maintain Net Neutrality as is through a title 2.
98. Jon Schadt, Davis, CA, 95616  
i feel the FCC should preserve net neutrality regulations and Title II status for ISPs
99. Nicholas Johnson, Davis, CA, 95691  
Please don't f\*\*k up the internet for everyone. We NEED net neutrality.
100. Sam, Winters, CA, 95694  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. In addition to this I feel that it should be mentioned that constantly having to defend my right to a neutrally regulated internet is starting to become ridiculous. No one thinks that regulating the internet is a good idea and those who do do the mental gymnastics necessary to think that limiting someones freedom is a good idea. Frankly, not being neutral when drafting legislation on the internet is un-American.
101. Kathleen Shy, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
I support net neutrality. Let's keep the internet free and accessible.
102. Lauren Langone, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY UNDER TITLE 2. DON'T TREAD ON ME.

103. Natalie Cannon, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support Net Neutrality and Title II! Do not take these important protections away!
104. Hiroyuki Matsushima, Travis AFB, CA, 94535  
I fully support Net Neutrality and Title II classifications of all ISPs. Comcast, Time Warner, and all similar ISPs cannot be held accountable to follow these rules if they do not have consequences when they are not. Ajit Pai you were a lawyer from Verizon. Let's just hope you're not taking payments to do this. If not then you need to educate yourself along with the rest of Congress what Net Neutrality is.
105. john jones, Davis, CA, 95616  
..LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE...
106. Jean Jackman, Davis, CA, 95616  
Gentlepeople:I strongly support net neutrality and FCC regulation of internet service providers. There should not be fast and slow lanesâ€”depending on what people pay. Neutrality is essential to our democracy and should be a citizen's right just like water, clean air, freedom of speech, etc.Do the right thing.Jean Jackman
107. James Reid, Dobbins, CA, 95935  
The idea presented by Chairman Pai that large internet providers will somehow self-regulate when it's not at all in their commercial best interests to do so is ludicrously naïve. This is like asking the fox to guard the henhouse. Not, I repeat, not a viable scheme for protecting the chickens-- no more than Mr. Pai's scheme is a viable scheme for protecting internet users-- liberal and conservative alike.Net neutrality is a vital extension of the first amendment, and as such needs to remain under Title II regulation to safeguard the ability of all Americans to view that which they wish to view, and to present, without impediment by corporate giants such as Comcast and Verizon whose interests are, by definition and nature as demonstrated by past behavior, opposed to allowing competitors and smaller content producers equal bandwidth. Net neutrality needs to be defined as a right to which all American internet users (and, ideally all internet users in general, though that's out of the control of the FCC and Congress) are entitled.I would be grateful for your considering my point of view, shared by a majority of internet users in the United States, and taking no action to re-define the status of the internet, but maintaining its present status as protected under Title II.Thank you.
108. Sheila Pollard, Davis, CA, 95616  
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. It is incredibly important to federally regulate ISPs and if Ajit Pai thinks otherwise

he is an inept dingus.

109. Alex Link, Davis, CA, 95616

I am in favor of strong net neutrality and am very opposed to docket no. 17-108.

110. Louis Gilson, vacaville, CA, 95687

I support strong net neutrality, title 2 oversight of ISPs.

111. Frank Odom, Davis, CA, 95616

I'd like to send out a message to Mr. Ajit Pai, the current Chairman of the FCC: I cannot express how VERY STRONGLY I support the Net Neutrality Act. The internet service providers in the United States should absolutely be regulated according to Title II of the Net Neutrality Act. You openly claim to be anti-regulation. In many government applications, that may be a fair or even effective policy. In the case of open internet, however, removing the net neutrality regulations would create an unfair marketplace. For example, as you've heard many times, internet providers would be allowed to speed up access to some sites above others. Under that hypothetical, ISPs could effectively remove their competitors for various internet services (music, video streaming, etc.). Per your interview on PBS News Hour, you claim that these are only hypotheticals -- that they are not largely observed in the marketplace. Your view is EXTREMELY short-sighted. If you remove net neutrality regulations, there will be a clear financial advantage for ISPs to cut out their competitors. It is an elementary business problem, which you can surely understand. Even if ISPs do not try to capitalize on these new advantages within the next few years, you can be assured that they will eventually do so. It will inevitably create an imbalance in the marketplace, opening the opportunity to a future monopoly in the internet service industry. Monopolies are inherently bad for consumers, as well as the U.S. economy. So, I'll ask again, PLEASE reject the opportunity to roll back the FCC's net neutrality regulations.

112. Paul S, Yolo, CA, 95697

Can't believe we still have to fight for this shit!

113. net neutrality, Fairfield, CA, 94533

Please preserve the free internet by upholding title II of net neutrality. Only through a open internet that the U.S can innovate and continue to be the leader in STEM.

114. Romero Garcia, Fairfield, CA, 94533

I'm a netizen and I can tell you a free internet is the only internet that is acceptable. The ISP's lobby enough as it is to ensure a monopoly and now they want to control the internet itself?! We've had enough, and by we I mean the millions of internet users that are ready to oppose any who would take away internet freedom.

115. Todd Singer, Davis, CA, 95616  
I strongly support net neutrality and maintaining the Title II classification. Not only is it free and fair, it is also good economic policy. As a nation we praise small business owners, therefore our internet policy should be one that allows small business to compete on a level playing field. Having companies "promise" to do this is not what the FCC and our laws/regulations are meant to do. Thank you.
116. Paul A. Schwafel, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
I support retention of Title 2 rules governing internet providers. Keep net neutrality as it is, please.
117. Tina Ramsey, Yuba City, CA, 95991  
I support strong net neutrality rules for the Internet. Cable/Internet providers should not be able to "slow down" or restrict any particular content or play favorites to any content providers over others. Equal access is needed for all.
118. Comment, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
I am in strong favor of Net Neutrality! The internet is the newest basic human need in our increasingly technology-driven world, and it should not be at the mercy of corporations' personal interests. It should be free for all people to use without bias.
119. Garrett Griffith, Colusa, CA, 95932  
We must maintain Net Neutrality. Do not make a change to the rules/laws to allow ISPs to decrease neutrality.
120. A, Davis, CA, 95618  
Net neutrality is a vital to all internet users to have freedom over what and how they do their everyday proceedings from youtube videos, to research for school/work, and most importantly where we nasty liberal womxn get our fake new from. P.S. LOVE JOHN OLIVER
121. Sofia Cardenas, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's because the internet is our greatest tool of communication and we need to keep the lines open and free.
122. Scott Roberson, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Keep the internet neutral! ISP's should NOT be able to favor anyone - they should do what is best for their customers, the very people that keep them in business. Be on the right side of history here, not just a footnote in the fight for a free and equal internet!
123. Randall Kuffel, Davis, CA, 95616

I support a free and neutral internet, and changing the classification of ISPs will harm our ability to access certain sites

124. Alexander R. Scroggins, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
Don't change the internet back to a title one utility. The internet has taken over most our aspects of the new 21st century. To find a job you have to apply online and send in your resume online. I watch my TV shows from online services such as Netflix, if at&t gets their way they can slow down my traffic for their subpart at&t direct online tv format so I'll have to pay for a more expensive service that I don't want. Big corporations shouldn't be able to dictate all aspects of life that's why we have our elected officials who are supposed to have our backs.
125. wendy howell, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
I strongly support net neutrality and keeping ISP companies as part of title II so that their requirements can be mandated and protect the fairness of the Internet.
126. Salomon Martinez, Orland, CA, 95963  
Enforce Net Neutrality!
127. Brennan Marsh-Armstrong, Davis, CA, 95616  
To whom it may concern,Net neutrality is a crucial component of keeping the content of internet out of corporate hands which would ruin the degree of freedom which makes the internet such an invaluable commodity to any and all first world nations. Please do not do anything which under any terms might be considered to weaken the net neutrality of our current internet services.Thank you for your time,BMA
128. Joseph Kopecky, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support preserving net neutrality and title 2 regulation for ISPs.
129. Rodrigo Ojeda-beck, Daivs, CA, 95616  
Do not end net neutrality, keep internet providers within Title 2.
130. Almerindo Ojeda, Davis, CA, 95616  
WE NEED TRUE NET NEUTRALITY. KEEP INTERNET PROVIDERS WITHIN TITLE II.
131. Dan Moore, davis, CA, 95616  
I feel strongly that we must keep strong internet neutrality. We can not allow companies who's main goal is to make money have the authority to decide what we can and can not use the internet for. We must keep title 2 on this issue. To think that any major company would not abuse the power to choose how easily we can access different information, especially between services they make money on versus their competitors making money, is completely insane and

very naive and stupid. It makes me sad that the new head of the FCC doesn't seem to get this.

132. Nathan Ross, Davis, CA, 95616  
Do not eliminate the net neutrality rules outlined in title II. Do not allow this federal agency to be sold to private corporations.
133. Emily Vaden, Woodland, CA, 95695  
I am strongly opposed to 17-108. I am in support of net neutrality.
134. Beth Hopkins, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support full Net Neutrality! ISPs are common carriers and should be regulated as such under Title II. And yes, I've actually read Title II! Particularly relevant is Section 202, which safeguards against "unjust or unreasonable" discriminatory practices. Communication technology is a public good. President Franklin D. Roosevelt knew it, and the New Dealers in Congress knew itâ€”that's why they supported the Communications Act of 1934. Let's make FDR proud by regulating ISPs under Title II.
135. Kyle Cheung, DAVIS, CA, 95616  
I strongly urge the FCC to continue with their net neutrality policies to continue having a free, unfettered Internet.
136. Chris Taylor, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Without Net Neutrality our small business and ALL SMALL BUSINESSES will suffer! We will not be able to compete and will be forced to close our doors. Our employees will be unemployed! Unemployment will rise!
137. Scott Haralson, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
I would like to voice my support for Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight in opposition to the current FCC chairman's position that such classification should be removed. I will vote against every politician that supports stripping net neutrality of title II oversight and will encourage my friends to do the same.
138. brendan, vacaville, CA, 95688  
I urge you to keep the Obama era net neutrality regulations
139. Zackery Gruey, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support maintaining strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.
140. Keith Campbell, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Please continue to support full net neutrality. Keep ISP's in Title 2.
141. Chong Lee, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II (2) oversights of ISP.

142. Jagpreet Sandhar, Plumas Lake, CA, 95961  
I specifically and strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
143. Andrew Creasey, Yuba City, CA, 95991  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. The possibility of a future where ISPs can influence the web content I consume is extremely worrisome. It is very concerning that the FCC would consider any policy rolling back or weakening net neutrality.
144. Raul Maldonado, San Francisco, CA, 95616  
Title 2 should be reinforced, and there should be a recognition for companies and government failure to comply to American citizens freedom/liberty.
145. Scott Montgomery, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
Net neutrality needs to stay in place!! Do not give our freedom to choose away to corporations!
146. David Brandenburg, Davis, CA, 95618  
We need to preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. Strong Net Neutrality rules are not only essential to fairness for everyone wanting and needing access to information contained on the internet, but more broadly they help protect life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness for us all. Strong Net Neutrality = Equal Opportunity. Thank you.
147. Michael P. Henry, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Net Neutrality is VERY important to me. The current protections for net neutrality should not be removed. I do not believe, nor have I seen evidence of, corporations voluntarily agreeing to not attempt to profit now or any time in the future by manipulating bandwidth or quality of some content over others. It is more important in this day and age when more and more people are relying on the Internet for everything from education to news gathering to entertainment. Please KEEP the current Title II protections for net neutrality.
148. Maxwell Nannini, Davis, CA, 95618  
The title "Restoring Internet Freedom" is misleading, abysmal and disgusting. I don't want my information and privacy available to the highest bidder and I don't want privatized internet with paid access to higher speed websites based on the highest bid. I don't support our president, or this lackluster and villainous attempt to squeeze more cents out of us. I want strong net neutrality rules and I want them protected. What a shameful time to be an American.
149. Andrew Nielsen, Davis, CA, 95618  
Full support for Net Neutrality backed by strong Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

150. Tyler, Dixon, CA, 95620  
Enforce Net Neutrality
151. Carolyn Black, Davis, CA, 95618  
The FCC should continue to regulate internet service providers under Title II. Ensuring net neutrality is the best way to ensure consumers have the freedom to make choices in a free and fair market. Rolling back the current regulations would give large corporations an unfair advantage over small businesses, stifling competition and innovation. I am very skeptical of any claims that internet providers will self-regulate without any mechanism to monitor their actions or clear consequences for blocking or throttling their competition.
152. Alan Smuda, Woodland, CA, 95776  
...Please don't mess with the net neutrality laws. I can only assume that ISP providers are lining your pockets in order to sway your vote however, it's private. I don't suppose you care about privacy, but at least 65,000,000+ citizens do. I suppose you don't care about that either.
153. Joel Kirkpatrick, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
I support net neutrality through oversight of ISPs by title II.
154. Anne Shirako, Nice, CA, 95464  
I am almost 72 years old and even I know that we need net neutrality. One can make the argument that corporations wouldn't pay more for faster access without neutrality, but only a dope would believe it. It's important to all Americans, young, old, rich & poor that Internet access remains neutral. Do the right thing.
155. Stephanie Perla, Davis, CA, 95618  
Hey Mr. Pai, I support strong net neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISPs. Btw, nice Reese's mug but John's is bigger. ;) Stephanie
156. Susan Elaine Larock, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please keep Net Neutrality! It's so important to our free society, which to me feels less free all the time.
157. Myrna Epstein, Davis, CA, 95616  
Dear FCC Chairman Pai, I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which now classify internet service providers as an essential service for all of us in today's economy, under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. We should not be manipulated to view one provider or company due to purposely stalling internet speed of their competitors. This is not free market. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. Thank you for considering my concerns.
158. Eric Wojan, Vacaville, CA, 95688

I support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please preserve these!

159. Theresa Troth, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Save net neutrality and keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Destroying net neutrality will destroy the internet as we know it.
160. Sean, Vaccavile, CA, 95687  
I support strong net-neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please protect the internet.
161. Bill Naab, Davis, CA, 95616  
I strongly advocate the preservation of Net Neutrality, and Tittle 2.
162. Juan Sanchez, Davis, CA, 95616  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!! do not support this deceitful Internet Freedom Act.
163. Hector Sandoval, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Please maintain web neutrality. Do not allow ISPs to impose their products on us by slowing down certain websites.
164. Nicholas cooper, Travis AFB, CA, 94535  
I very strongly support "net neutrality" and all forms of protection from the FCC regarding strict "Type II" classification and oversight.
165. Pagan, Davis, CA, 95618  
Title II oversight of ISPs is absolutely necessary for a fair and neutral internet. Reversal of the 2015 internet neutrality rules does not help anyone in America except for major ISPs. I am in favor of keeping the current classification of ISPs as Type II information services.
166. William Walker, Davis, CA, 95616  
Net neutrality is extremely important. The internet is not a platform owned or managed by any one party - it is a global collaboration to provide access to high quality content.
167. Lanette Olsen, Davis, CA, 95618  
Hey Mr. Pai, I support strong net neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISPs.
168. Linda Wang, Davis, CA, 95616  
I strongly believe in net neutrality and hope companies continue under Title II.
169. Jeff Sacha, Davis, CA, 95616  
I strongly support net neutrality and the preservation of Title II. Any effort to

remove ISPs from Title II would be disastrous for the free circulation of ideas, entertainment, and communication among the citizenry.

170. Phill Dzik, Elverta, CA, 95626  
We strongly Support Net Neutrality
171. Internet Freedom, Davis, CA, 95616  
Hello, good afternoon!It's not broken, don't try to fix it.Regards
172. Net Neutrality, Davis, CA, 95618  
The citizens of this country require and demand free and open access to the internet. The internet is responsible for untold productivity, innovation, and access to society, culture, and the economy. By removing Net Neutrality, you are condemning us to the whim of corporate interests over public interests. Our federal government must protect people, not corporations. It is blatant corruption and despicable behavior to show your disregard for the needs of the citizenry over the wishes of telecom corporations. The only path forward is Title II classification of ISPs. Removing Net Neutrality is going backwards in legislation, enforcement, innovation, and productivity. If the FCC changes this, it will not go down well for the people, and the backlash against the FCC and corporations will be devastating.
173. David Gier, Davis, CA, 95616  
I want the FCC to preserve net neutrality and Title II in order to ensure open access to the internet without profit-motivated meddling.
174. Randy Mager, Davis, CA, 95618  
Net neutrality is a key component of what made the internet so useful and prevalent to the United States and to the world. We must keep it under Title II and treated as the essential utility it is. The large internet companies cannot be trusted to act in the benefit of the public.Keep the internet under Title II
175. Jillian Jordan, Antelope, CA, 95843  
Do not allow Trump to renege on Net Neutrality. Internet service providers do not own the internet, and the public deserve a free and open internet. Blocking or limiting access is akin to limiting free speech and censors our experience and access to information.
176. Ali Bhimani, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please keep Net Neutrality strong and keep ISP's in Title 2. Please America needs this for a great internet! This is what freedom is!
177. Matthew Steinwachs, Davis, CA, 95618  
I specifically and emphatically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.

178. Brooklyn Johnson, Woodland, CA, 95616  
Don't change it man, provide net neutrality
179. Joyce Smuda, Woodland, CA, 95776  
America needs legally supported net neutrality! Keep Title II oversight of the ISPs in place!
180. net neutrality, Davis, CA, 95618  
As a person who has working in technology on the internet for almost 20 years. I support strong enforcement of net neutrality backed by enforcement of Title II of the federal communications act. Strong enforcement of net neutrality is critical to the future of the internet in the USA
181. Adele Seelke, Davis, CA, 95616  
Mr. Pai, I support strong net neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISPs.
182. Richard Warg, Woodland, CA, 95776  
Leave net neutrality as it is now.
183. David Webb, Davis, CA, 95616  
It is imperative that you preserve net neutrality under Title II. Your false public statements about the effects of your proposed changes are both deceitful and wrong. That may work with the Trump supporters who can't seem to add 2 and 2. But intelligent people, who care about the great resource that is a fair and open internet, DEMAND you back off this ludicrous warpath to appeasing corporate interests. I know, the new FCC Chair is a former in-house counsel with Verizon and lobbyist, hellbent on personal accolades, power, and climbing the political ladder. Climb all you want, slugger, but stay the eff away from net neutrality and the blatant lies you keep telling to justify your clearly conflicted position. Seriously, show some damn respect for Americans.
184. Ajit Purewal, Yuba city, CA, 95993  
Ajit Pai - I strongly support Title II oversight of isps.
185. lev, Davis, CA, 95616  
Net Neutrality is imperative to the freedom and security of all American citizens, please do not get rid of this very important free internet law. John Oliver and I beg you not to get rid of net neutrality and we urge you to consider the consequences of your actions. In conclusion, go FCC yourself.
186. Laurie, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
Preserve both Net Neutrality and Title 2
187. Devan Hawkins, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
The internet is America's and the world's free speech platform. It is the modern

meeting hall where ideas are expressed, where students attend classes, and businesses thrive. Especially small business. Ajit Pai is attacking the American economy, the American dream, and Freedom of Speech by attacking Net Neutrality. It is no secret Ajit Pai is the former Associate General Counsel of Verizon Communications Inc., a company which would benefit from his agenda to weaken this modern platform of free speech in favor of big business. His agenda is a clear conflict of interest. I am asking the FCC to Support Net Neutrality and sack Ajit Pai. Fire him in disgrace.

188. Jeronimo Mora, Davis, CA, 95616

I really am not pleased with the fact that we are going to give ISPs even more power than they already have. They already run a huge monopoly (DSL does \*not\* count as competition!) in almost every area they operate in, and now we are going to allow them to play favorites and control the flow of our information. The United States should be a model nation for freedom of information, this runs counter to that. This internet "pay for play" is bad for consumers and its bad for the economy, and frankly, those fighting against net neutrality should be ashamed.

189. Aaron Allen, Davis, CA, 95616

Hello, I would like to express my support for strongly enforced net neutrality. I believe Internet Service Providers should be subject to Title 2 regulation and I need the FCC to know they represent me and my beliefs. Thank you for reading this and please help me keep the internet good.

190. Afotn Geil, Davis, CA, 95616

Please preserve net neutrality by continuing to apply Title II restrictions to Internet Service Providers. This is necessary for a thriving Internet. Thank you.

191. Paul Jaskiewicz, Vacaville, CA, 95688

The purpose of this message is let the FCC know my opinion on 17-108. My desire is to preserve net neutrality as well as Title II so that the internet user wins, not the corporation. Please ensure that the little guy is protected.

192. Tera Pitman, Davis, CA, 95616

I am in support of Title II for total net neutrality of our ISPs. There should be equal speed and access to all internet activities, regardless of provider, service, or content.

193. Douglas Fowler, El Macero, CA, 95618

Access to information is critical for any democracy. The internet has become one of the main methods to gather and share information and opinion and it is crucial that access is not restricted in any fashion. Net Neutrality must be insured. Thank you.

194. Quynh Tran, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please preserve net neutrality and title II. For the sake of market competition and FREE, FAIR INTERNET, DO NOT GET RID OF TITLE II.
195. Mark Johnston, Woodland, CA, 95695  
I want Net Neutrality. This is an essential component of modern innovation and competition.
196. Jared Joseph, Davis, CA, 95616  
The internet has thrived under net neutrality, and the unrestricted access to all information. I support ISPs remaining under Title 2, and a free and open internet.
197. James Hootle, Olivehurst, CA, 95961  
There is no benefit to having a battle between ISPs for loyalty. The internet should be a free and open exchange of ideas, and that has NOTHING to do with the ability of ISPs to preference their own products or products of their affiliates with higher download speeds. THAT TYPE OF INTERNET is the sort that North Korea or ISIS would like. It is simply un-American. Thank you, and god bless, James T Hootle
198. Jonathan Lambert, Davis, CA, 95618  
To Whom It May Concern: I am not someone who comments frequently on these types of things, but have been driven to do so as I believe this is a terrible idea, and that "freedom of the Internet" is being grossly misrepresented. Consider that we, as Americans, possess one of the greatest road systems in the world. While there are many private roads, much of our prosperity as a nation has come from the fact that we have free and public roads that allow commerce to flow unmolested. So, now, we have the Internet, probably the most important technical innovation in generations. If I may, this 17-108 wants to undo the "public roads" of the Internet, giving just two organizations total control the vast majority of last mile delivery control over the entire broadband Internet experience for 70%+ of Americans. This isn't encouraging competition, it's throwing away the public roads and throwing a bone to lobby organizations at the large ISPs, and we are about to lose a once in a lifetime chance to stay on top of Internet technologies (and all of the things they affect). This is going to have profound negative effects to American businesses, and drive innovative companies that rely on equal access (not pay-to-play) out of the United States. It has already been a trend in many places in the world. In Paragraph 82 it asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. They're going to do this again. In fact, there are fewer companies and they are more centralized than when that situation went down. It's a bad idea. Don't do this. I'm also concerned by

mobile providers who say a plan is "unlimited," but when you exceed the data cap, only throttle sites and services that aren't part of their approved zero-rating network. I've seen what happens in markets without enough competition, and AT&T has failed to uphold their end of the bargain and creatively reduced their unlimited customers (their new plans are hardly unlimited, read the contracts) from the original iPhone-launch era plans. It's atrocious to see how brazen the company is towards their customers, but that's because there are not enough companies in the space. The same problems affect both industries. We need deregulation. It's too difficult and overly regulated to build Internet service providers, and nearly impossible to start a cell phone company. The incumbents in both are entrenched in legislation that needs to be stripped away from them so they will actually have to compete. But 17-108 isn't about competition. This is about lobby organizations in Washington representing the powerful interests of just a handful of powerful ISPs. 17-108 flies in the face of American values of free and open markets, and is one of the most short sighted ideas I have ever read. While I generally agree with market-driven solutions, there is not enough competition in the Internet service provider space to do so. Comcast now has more than half of all US broadband customers, and high speed broadband penetration and pricing has been woeful. For an Internet connection of 25 megabits per second, New Yorkers pay about \$55 " nearly double that of what residents in London, Seoul, and Bucharest, Romania, pay. Can you imagine that the US is falling behind Eastern Europe? We are. We need substantive reform, but not in the rolling back of equal access for all, but in the rolling back of fat cat protectionist laws that are making innovation impossible in this industry and creating companies that increasingly look like they're going to need anti-trust interventions if we're going to see American free market innovation happen. Please, network neutrality is one of the most important things that keeps our startup ecosystem and tech industry going in the US, a bright spot that's going to keep getting brighter " as long as we don't make it impossible to small companies to compete with big ones. And this is exactly what this is going to do. Don't allow this. It's a terrible idea. We need open roads to stay on top, and that means being brave enough to kill 17-108. Thank you.

199. Louis Cashatt, Davis, CA, 95616

I strongly support strong net neutrality rules. those rules are keeping ISPs from throttling bandwidth to put websites and people out of business because they have the power to. that is wrong and that should not happen!

200. Urgent!, Davis, CA, 95616

We all support strong net neutrality backed by title two over site of ISP's. Don't make the internet harder to surf on! This is our right!

201. Neil Ruud, Davis, CA, 95616

Preserve Title II Net Neutrality. It would be detrimental to my and many other small businesses.

202. Harry Zhang, Woodland, CA, 95776

The Open Internet rules protect the peoples' rights of freedom of expression granted in the U.S. Constitution, Internet Service Providers should never be allowed to manipulate nor prioritize connections in any way that could potentially interfere with the openness of the Internet. Democracy also flourishes only with well-informed, reasonable citizens, thus we cannot allow any attempt that could hurt peoples' ability to gather information. Please do not reverse the efforts of the Obama administration.

203. Cody Costello, Vacaville, CA, 95687

I fully support the standing of title II standing for the enforcement of net neutrality. ISPs should be held accountable for trying to manipulate internet speeds and should be regulated

204. Catherine Haskell, Davis, CA, 95616

I oppose the current review to change the position on Net neutrality. The internet should be regulated under title 2. I do NOT trust ISP's to monitor themselves.

205. Craig A. Harwood, Live Oak, CA, 95953

I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It's a shame that we, the American People, are held hostage to high costs for Internet access at reduced bandwidth compared to other countries. No big surprise given that we're a Corporatocracy, but you are a servant of the PEOPLE and you owe it to us to provide a competitive market where the smallest companies and individuals are allowed to compete against the largest and most powerful, particularly using a technology funded BY THE PUBLIC.

206. Ryan, Davis, CA, 95616

Title 2 provisions are nice, please don't abandon them.

207. Pari Dhayagude, davis, CA, 95616

I expressly support net neutrality backed by title II oversight

208. Ellen, Vacaville, CA, 95687

I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs and contend that net neutrality should remain strongly protected in the best interest of the American consumer. Ajit Pai, as a former Verizon legal team member, cannot distance himself enough to make fair and impartial decisions regarding the standards. His direction is misguided and he provides no statistical evidence to back up any of his claims. Any measures by the FCC to return ISPs to Title I is unacceptable.

209. keepundertitle2, Dixon, CA, 95620  
do not switch back net neutrality to be under title 1. keep it right where it is under title 2 as it has almost no major draw backs but allows consumers like myself and the american public to choose who does well in business not the ISP's
210. Harminder Singh, Suisun city, CA, 94585  
I don't want my browsing data being sold without my permission. This is USA not freaking Russia. And who the ... Gov is to decide on my behalf. This is not democracy. Republicans are sold outs to big money.
211. Nathan Milos, Woodland, CA, 95776  
I would like to express my support for strong Net Neutrality rules as currently enforced under Title II. I believe it is disingenuous to suggest that the protections would be as robust if the rules are moved back under Title I. The internet is a ubiquitous and necessary facet of most modern lives. Ensuring equal access and footing for all is necessary.
212. Erin Mustain, Dixon, CA, 95620  
I am a moderate, fiscal conservative who understands that there is a place for regulations. For example, regulations level the playing field so one business can't undercut another by ignoring environmental and safety concerns. I support net neutrality and strongly suggest that the FCC not impede free access to the internet, which is what ISPs will do without oversight. All business do this if they aren't regulated. It is about accountability.
213. George, Davis, CA, 95618  
I support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isp's so please preserve it.
214. Ramie Ali-Adeeb, kelseyville, CA, 95451  
Please maintain and protect net neutrality under title 2 of the communications act of 1934
215. Ben Weiss, Clearlake, CA, 95422  
Please preserve Title II based net neutrality rules.
216. eric telles, Davis, CA, 95618  
I believe that the internet should be neutral. As in, isps should not be in control of regulating the internet. The FCC should preserve net neutrality.
217. Alexander Kokka, Davis, CA, 95616  
I strongly support defending Net Neutrality by keeping Title II of the Communication Act of 1934
218. Crilly Butler, Davis, CA, 95618

Net neutrality is essential to our economy, our freedom, and our way of life. Please don't do anything to undermine or destroy it.

219. Sean C. Murphy, Yuba City, CA, 95991  
I support and stand in defense of 'net neutrality' and it's perpetuation and growth as a medium of free and open communication, technological innovation, human advancement, and thus a well-spring of liberty. I ask the Commissioner and Officers to take no action, ergot abstain or nay vote any revision, status change, amendment thereof under consideration thereto as such action would dis-serve the citizens of the United States of America and violate current US case law and CFR statutes, up to and including the Communications Act of 1934. Thank you for your time and consideration.
220. Jacob Gooding, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Your mug is bad. Also, extensive title two regulation is the only way currently that we can maintain a "free internet" as you put it. Again tho, terrible mug.
221. Juan Caceres, Davis, CA, 95618  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and keeping Title II structure ISP oversight. Thank you.
222. none, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
I am not in favor of removing the Net Neutrality controls that are in place currently.
223. Adam Neff, Davis, CA, 95616  
Personally, a free and open internet should be an amendment to the constitution because not having one would stifle innovation and disadvantage everyone except the already established companies with the internet structure. The internet needs title 2 protection.
224. Elly Oltersdorf, Davis, CA, 95616  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. If this is not supported we will see a kind of discrimination and inequality that we cannot even begin to imagine.
225. John-David McKeel, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
I strongly support net neutrality and wish to preserve net neutrality rules and the Title II authority used to enforce them.
226. Steven Burdette, Suisun City, CA, 94585  
I am for strong net neutrality, under Title II. Please do not remove the net neutrality rules.
227. Luke Stocker, Davis, CA, 95616

I support title II regulation of Internet Service Providers by the FCC. I support net neutrality as it is in its current state under title II regulation. I am against any deregulation of the FCC pertaining to net neutrality. I also contend that Ajit Pai is an alienated, dry-dicked, self-loathing, moisturizing, Motts apple sauce eating, thinks bagel-with-butter is dinner, wipes his butt forwards, plays with himself, mommy issues(see dry dick), parasite, who has found in the trump regime for the first time people who will accept and even promote his pre-pubescent psychological disposition as long as he keeps reading off their note-cards. Ironic that the kids that gave you wedgies in high school are now your colleagues, but I guess when you use a giant Reeses peanut butter mug to compensate for your small penis, self-respect doesn't really fall on your radar.

228. Elise Simmons, Marysville, CA, 95901

I support net neutrality and believe it is the binding duty of the FCC to preserve Title II. Do not let companies control our streams of information. Also, you guys suck for making this so hard. Thanks John Oliver!!!!

229. Roxanna Topete, Vacaville, CA, 95688

I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. As a proud American, I would be unsatisfied to learn net neutrality is no longer the norm in this country.

230. David J. Bowen III, Vacaville, CA, 95687

Net Neutrality is imperative to maintain equality of information for not only this country's citizens and set an example for all other countries to follow. It doesn't prioritize corporations over people and allows any Web site to be treated equal to any other. Removing these protections would ruin the freedom that the Internet provides.

231. Simran Kang, Yuba City, CA, 95991

Ajit Pai and anyone at FCC who has control over this PLEASE keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I don't trust corporations to have the best interest of the people. Net Neutrality needs to stay backed by Title II.

232. Doug Krause, Davis, CA, 95616

Support net neutrality only under Title II!

233. Delmar Larsen, Davis, CA, 95616

I am strongly against any efforts to reclassify ISP to Title I and effectively remove net neutrality from the internet. This set an awful precedent. I pay hard earn money for my ISP and I expect to decide how to use my bandwidth, not companies that expect to leverage my consumerism for their self interests (beyond selling the ISP service). Man up FCC to stop this action!

234. Jocelyn Wolf, Davis, CA, 95616

I write to voice my support for strong protection of net neutrality under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Internet service providers are clearly common carriers and not "information services." This classification ensures that cable companies cannot screen, interrupt or filter Internet content without a court order. In addition, if the strong protection of net neutrality ends, paid prioritization is a likely result, stifling the diversity of independent news sources and the generation of innovative and novel web content.

235. Kevin Wolf, Davis, CA, 95616

Don't give the cable providers the opportunity to change the speed of the internet based on the provider or recipient of the information. They have made gobs of money from the internet. They don't need this tool to squeeze more from us.

236. Frances Munton, Davis, CA, 95616

Please protect net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.

237. Evan Aylsworth, Davis, CA, 95616

Keep Net neutrality and title 2! Do the right thing for the people!

238. Dacia Glauz, Vacaville, CA, 95687

Net neutrality regulations cannot go back to being under Title I. Internet companies have shown repeatedly they cannot be trusted to regulate themselves.

239. Louise Montgomery, Davis, CA, 95616

I support continued strong oversight. Maintain internet neutrality.

240. Messina Salazar, Clearlake, CA, 95422

I support maintaining strong net neutrality by preserving Title II oversight of internet service providers.

241. Ariane Metz, Woodland, CA, 95695

I am in support of net neutrality, that is why I support the oversight provided for ISPs under Title II.

242. Bryan Ehlers, Davis, CA, 95618

On behalf of consumers everywhere, the FCC must support strong net neutrality protection backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

243. Robert Metz, Woodland, CA, 95695

It has been proven that business enterprises act in their best interests and in the best interests of their stockholders, not in a benign and philanthropic manner. ISP organizations under TITLE II have been compelled by regulation to ensure that their clients receive content free of constraint. Conflict of interest is especially appealing when the ISP entities also provide a significant portion of

the content being accessed through their pipeline. It is naive to believe that these ISP entities will not exhibit favoritism to their own produced content or to other content advanced by allied players, thus restricting the access to other competing content. Keep the Title II oversight on ISPs so that Net Neutrality will be preserved.

244. Daniel Yim, Davis, CA, 95616

Keep ISP providers on Title 2. It is the only way to true hold them accountable for providing a neutral internet.

245. Aleta.bowers, Davis, CA, 95616

The FCC has a responsibility to protect the public interest in use of our airwaves, our internet, and other means of communication. Continued Title II regulation of internet service providers protects my free access to the internet from limitations which might otherwise be imposed for the purpose of corporate profit. I demand continued net neutrality, and strong FCC regulation of ISP's under Title II. Do your job, and serve the public.

246. 17-108, Fairfield, CA, 94533

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Keep a our access level for all people, do not trust the providers to manage this themselves. There must be ongoing oversight.

247. Theresa Hellen, Antelope, CA, 95843

Title II protects net neutrality!! Do not dismantle it!!

248. Khaled Kheiravar, Davis, CA, 95616

We strongly support net neutrality and removing this regulation could seriously hurt the consumers. Please preserve net neutrality and title II.

249. ALLISON TAYLOR CUMMINGS, Portland, CA, 97217

I stand for the preservation of strong net neutrality by Title II oversight of ISP's.

250. Russell McGinnis, Davis, CA, 95616

Net Neutrality regulations are in place to protect all involved with using the internet. Watering down, or removing these regulations will do nothing but allow the ISP's to favor their own content and agendas. These companies have shown over and over again that they cannot be trusted to put the public's interest first.

251. Richard, Davis, CA, 95616

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Don't roll it back to Title I

252. Manu Dhaliwal, Yuba City, CA, 95993

I am in favor of internet ISPs being Title II companies and strong regulation of net neutrality. No entity should have the right to alter or determine what information people use on the internet. The removal of companies from Title II status would have adverse effects on both citizens and companies who try to do business in the United States.

253. Eric Stevens, Davis, CA, 95616

Vote No. Moving Net Neutrality under Title II was the right decision. We need to be able to enforce Internet Equality, that's what Internet Freedom really is. To move Net Neutrality back to Title I and to allow big companies to be able sue the FCC for attempting to protect Internet Freedom/Equality doesn't make any sense. This proceeding should be titled "Kill Internet Freedom and Equality."

254. Arthur D. Murray, Vacaville, CA, 95687

I would like to voice my opposition to the FCC making any of the proposed changes to Net Neutrality. As a consumer and user of the internet I can not go along with allowing the Big companies to decide which sites get faster, or better, access. Net Neutrality is working now!

255. Corinna Esdorn, Davis, CA, 95616

I am in favor of net neutrality, and not changing the legal basis of how it is regulated. Please do not change the regulation.

256. Trung Bui, Fairfield, CA, 94534

I support net neutrality. Don't support companies who attempt to play favorites.

257. Luke Andrachek, Vacaville, CA, 95688

I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's. I do not have faith in the idea that a simple "verbal agreement" will stop big companies from profiting off of the woes of others.

258. Martin Hilbert, Davis, CA, 95616

I'm a tenured Professor of digital Communication at the University of California. Net neutrality is the backbone not only of a free media in the digital age, but also of democracy as such. The exchange of information is too valuable for a society as to let it be bought up by some industry interest. The ultimate main mandates if the FCC is to benefit the American. Abandoning Title 2 will do the opposite and the related evidence is clear.

259. joshua hegarty, Davis, CA, 95616

I believe that Internet service providers should be regulated under article 2 as was set up in the previous administration. ISPs should not be allowed to sell my data for advertising or be allowed to slow down/ speed up content coming into my house.

260. Laurel Shaw, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Net neutrality is essential to ensuring freedom of speech and fair business practices. Do not remove it from the protections of Title II.
261. Levi Haines, Louisville, CA, 40205  
Internet neutrality is the backbone of the future. We must preserve the internet to be accessible to all.
262. Alex Williamson, Woodland, CA, 95695  
I'd like to object to the change in the rulings to shift net neutrality away from the title 2 laws. Net Neutrality prevents companies from abusing the system and limiting free market economic principles by limiting choice and manipulating the market available and your changes would directly inhibit the grow and capability of American citizens at using and loving the Internet they've grown to depend on. Don't deregulate something that works, please
263. Matt Espe, Davis, CA, 95618  
I strongly oppose the proposed roll-back of net-neutrality protections.
264. aram davtyan, Woodland, CA, 95695  
If history has taught us anything is that if left in the hands of private individuals and companies, greed will absolutely result in injustice. There is no logical reason to do away with title 2. It's pretty much the only safeguard of net neutrality and only an idiot would put faith in corporations not to prioritize their own profit in the absence of regulations.
265. Greg D Shaw, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Net Neutrality is necessary so that the internet can be a free exchange of ideas for anyone and it must be enforced to prevent intentional/unintentional bias. Do not change the ISPs from Section II.
266. Christopher Villalobos, Suisun City, CA, 94585  
Please do not roll back the status of ISPs to Type I from Type II. DON'T CHANGE "NET NEUTRALITY!" Thank you. Also, John Oliver.
267. Jeremy Beau, Davis, CA, 95618  
I support strong net neutrality by backing title 2 oversight of ISPs. do not change the law!
268. Sam Westreich, Davis, CA, 95618  
The FCC should not reclassify ISPs from Title II. Strong regulations help keep the playing field level, not unseat it in favor of huge corporations. Don't overturn Net Neutrality by making such thoughtless changes.
269. Charles O Reckmeyer III, Fairfield, CA, 94533

Preserve net neutrality and term 2!! Keep it!! We need to keep this! Don't fuck this up please

270. jim stueve, vacaville, CA, 95688  
we need you to preserve net neutrality through title 2. This is very important to me and to my family. I do not trust internet providers to act in MY best interest and we are depending on you, the FCC, to protect our open access to the Internet.
271. John Hall, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
I strongly support FCC net neutrality. Do not roll back these safety measures for consumers. I oppose any efforts to undo these consumer protections.
272. Ofir Cahalan, Davis, CA, 95616  
If the people at the FCC think ending net neutrality is a good idea, then go fuck yourself FCC. Suck a rhino dick and die of rhino AIDS, the worst kind of AIDS there is. On the other hand, protecting net neutrality would be super cool of you and will result in zero immune deficiency syndromes, rhinoceros related or otherwise.
273. Daniel S Bloxsom, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
I strongly encourage keeping net neutrality under the stricter Title II regulations. We must not hand over our rights to the entities that would endeavor to abuse them.
274. net neutrality, Davis, CA, 95618  
I support strong net neutrality title 2. Free internet for all Americans!
275. Kent Rosner, Davis, CA, 95618  
I urge the FCC to support strong net neutrality rules and laws. Strong regulation of Internet providers is needed to continue an even playing field for delivery of all content available on the public web.
276. Marc Pollack, Davis, CA, 95616  
In no uncertain terms: net neutrality should not be rolled back in any way, shape or form. Doing so would be a gift to ISPs and harmful to any of their consumers. The fact that there's any discussion of this simply shows that there's a willingness to allow conflicts of interest to play out simply because some people believe we should trust these companies to behave. They certainly haven't given us reason to believe that they will, and even if they had, that just makes the regulations redundant, not harmful.
277. David Katz, Davis, CA, 95616  
The idea of putting the power of choosing internet winners and losers into the hands of a few quasi-monopolistic ISPs is madness.

278. Paul Palmer, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Safeguard Net Neutrality. To hell with Comcast and Verizon. They are not the people of the globe. We are. Don't give them anything they ask for. Net Neutrality forever!
279. Keith Banks, Davis, CA, 95618  
I strongly support internet freedom backed by Title 2 protections. Preserve net neutrality and Title 2.
280. Reed Walker, Woodland, CA, 95695  
I strongly support net neutrality as overseen by Title 2.
281. Ben Edwards, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
I strongly support the strict regulation of ISPs through Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
282. Myka Estes, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Ajit Pai is acting shady and not fooling anyone with his cutesy act.
283. Emre Ozen, Davis, CA, 95616  
Net neutrality is foundational to the experience of the internet.
284. Pasha Walker, Woodland, CA, 95695  
I am in favor of Net Neutrality and keeping the regulations regarding net neutrality under Title II. I want an internet that is equal to all and where those with greater resources do not get favored. I do not want ISPs to have the opportunity to ever slow down or block certain sites--especially in order to favor other sites.
285. akhila, Davis, CA, 95618  
Preserve title 2! #johnoliver
286. Evan Barnes, Davis, CA, 95616  
Save net neutrality by making sure that the applicable rules remain under title II of the 1934 communications act.
287. Jonathan Miranda, Woodland, CA, 95776  
Keeping ISPs under Title II classification and keeping a strong, binding, neutral internet is absolutely key to our future. Allowing companies like Verizon, Comcast, AT&T, and others to profit from the basic right they control via leverage will undermine the thing that made the internet open and free. Net neutrality must be held onto at all costs - and ISPs need to be kept under Title II.

288. Rebecca Clinite, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
I support the enforcement of net neutrality through Title II of the Communications Act of 1934
289. Ann Guthrie, Lakeport, CA, 95453  
Please preserve Net Neutrality before we lose the internet as we know it.
290. Elliott Gray Johnson, Davis, CA, 95616  
I am a supporter of strong net neutrality. I am very concerned about ISPS having the power to throttle my content and unfairly act as kingmakers in the internet marketplace. I support Title II regulation of ISPS. I am additionally concerned about the current chair's history working at Verizon, which I believe unfairly biases him towards supporting ISPS at the expense of consumers.
291. Tim Ranstrom, Davis, CA, 95618  
I'm worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any. And shame on the FCC for making the comment process so much harder to locate and follow this time.
292. caleb toy, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support NEt Neutrality
293. Debbie McCart, Davis, CA, 95618  
Preserve my neutrality and preserve title II
294. Timothy Yearnshaw, Dixon, CA, 95620  
In the interest of the American people, protect net neutrality. Fairness requires that each of us has fair and neutral access to the internet.
295. Jesse Vela, Wheatland, CA, 95692  
Ajit Pai is throwing Americans under the bus. He is selling us out and giving all the power to the ISPs that have already proven they will nickel and dime us to death, as well as intentionally never compete with each other. Allowing this to go through is an outrage. Do not pass this ludicrous bill.
296. B. Douglas Bull, Davis, CA, 95616  
Keep internet providers under Title II. Preserve internet neutrality!!!
297. Ryan Nicholas Gorton, MD, Davis, CA, 95616  
We need a free and open Internet. Keep Net Neutrality and keep it under Title II. The Internet is one of the most important resources we have and Title II is the best way to protect it.

298. Cynthia K. Garcia, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II! I can't believe this is happening again. Please enact the laws that most Americans want. I'm going to follow up with my Congressman and Senators, and will not stop coming out against this boondoggle, and will use the internet and social media to inflame my friends to join me in this endeavor.
299. Elizabeth Hamer, Yuba City, CA, 95991  
We support the Obama era policies regarding Net Neutrality. Regulations on Cable and phone providers is crucial to upholding our First Amendment rights. Thank you, John Oliver, for allowing us easier access to this website and to John Lovett thank you for educating us on this subject.
300. Suzanne BRowning, yolo, CA, 95697  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II's oversight of ISPs,
301. Kenneth Vandeventer, Isleton, CA, 95641  
The purpose of this correspondence is to voice support to maintain Net Neutrality with Title 2 protections
302. Daniel Schooling, Davis, CA, 95616  
Just because people are not paying attention right now, does not mean that we will not hold you accountable when this decision backfires with the people. Do the right thing.
303. Robert D Canning, Davis, CA, 95616  
I am writing in support of maintaining net neutrality by continuing regulatory control of the large internet service providers via Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
304. Rick Moniz, Davis, CA, 95616  
Net Neutrality and Title II are sacred ground! I do not support any alteration and I shall call my Congressman and Senator to registered my disapproval of any efforts to change the rules.
305. Tia Will, Davis, CA, 95616  
I am writing to express my support for continuing the current net neutrality regulations under Title II.
306. Philip Hatchett, Suisun City, CA, 94585  
Net neutrality is not only in support of free speech, but it is the framework for the continued education of all Americans. Restricting Internet access will only worsen our education and rot the minds of future generations that essentially cannot afford to learn.

307. Lois Wolk, Davis, CA, 95616  
I oppose the change in net neutrality. Preserve it and title 2.
308. Alicia Hegie, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
I believe title II should be preserved to protect net neutrality. This is an important want to ensure the equality of information on the internet.
309. Charles Thomsen, Davis, CA, 95616  
I strongly urge you to support net neutrality by regulating isps under Title 2.
310. Tony Le, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The open internet is crucial and competition in the marketplace should be decided by quality of service, not conflicting business interests.
311. Edward Callaway, Davis, CA, 95616  
The internet should not be for sale in any way. Net Neutrality needs to be maintained. Allowing companies to throttle some sources is unethical. I urge you not to change the current regulations maintaining Net Neutrality.
312. Steven, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please preserve Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II. You have not offered a coherent or believable argument in favor of your proposed deregulation.
313. Alfredo Arredondo, Davis, CA, 95616  
Do not undo the net neutrality protections instituted in the previous administration. Preserve Title 2!
314. Alex Anderson, Davis, CA, 95616  
I specifically support strong net neutrality especially concerning ISP regulations.
315. Michael Gaertner, Rio Vista, CA, 94571  
I support Internet Neutrality. Do not change Title 2.
316. Patti Roath, Esparto, CA, 95627  
Please protect Title II and strict net neutrality laws.
317. Eric Snyder, Suisun City, CA, 94585  
You people (specifically the new FCC director / appointed idiot and anyone he asks to promote this crazy idea) are freaking idiots. Leave the internet alone, stop screwing up and go drink your stupid Reese's cup in the corner where people like you should remain. Oh, and yes I will enjoy voting against your whole regime. Yes its a subtle way of saying you belong to the wrong side. Good luck finding a decent job in a respected position if or after you screw this

up. I'm sure there are people that would love to have you, Goldman Sachs, Enron, the Koch brothers, etc. You get the point... actually, I don't think you ever will.....

318. Rachel Welch, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. Unlimited freedom creates a dangerous loophole for internet manipulation, and stronger enforcement is absolutely essential.
319. Ryan Wessells, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please preserve net neutrality and keep it under title 2 regulations.
320. colleen ryan, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
Net neutrality matters - please preserve it, and title 2. I have no confidence that the ISPs will be neutral, just because.
321. Angelica Lasley, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Keep Title 2 net neutrality rules strong!
322. Pat Negroni, Dixon, CA, 95620  
Keep net neutrality, don't let your bosses ties to Verizon, over ride all net users equal protection.
323. Zachary Beamish, Davis, CA, 95616  
Reducing the presence of Net Neutrality under Title II will encourage dishonest business practices from ISP's. To ensure the equal access of information and content of the internet, Net Neutrality must have a strong presence in how ISP's provide said information and content.
324. 1, Yuba city, CA, 95993  
Please keep ISP's under Title 2 to preserve net neutrality. Do not change their status.
325. Julie Cridland, Davis, CA, 95618  
I support Title 2 oversight and net neutrality. These are critical for the functioning of a free and fair internet platform and the ability of citizens to access information without manipulation and external bias.
326. Kimberlee Shauman, Davis, CA, 95616  
I unequivocally urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Changing the rules in any way that would allow ISPs to interfere with individual consumers' access to internet content is absolutely unacceptable.
327. WillZ, Woodland, CA, 95695  
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight over ISPs.

328. Kenneth Chapin, Davis, CA, 95616  
I'm writing to urge the FCC to uphold strong net neutrality policies under Title II.
329. Daniel Winkler, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please protect net neutrality and keep it under title II. It is only with this form of regulation that the monopolies that are our internet service providers will be prevented from taking advantage of us, demanding more money, jeopardizing freedoms and liberties, and silencing the world. Please uphold strong net neutrality policies by keeping ISPs under title II.
330. Matthew Elliott, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support strict regulation of Net Neutrality under the provisions of Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
331. Sally Negroni, Dixon, CA, 95620  
Keep net neutrality for internet providers.
332. Novejot Sandhu, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support the preservation of net neutrality in Title II.
333. Shoshana Mitchell, Davis, CA, 95616  
Net neutrality is important to me and I believe in title II! Don't leave it up to the companies to decide what content gets to us at what speed. Protect our isps!
334. Jose Hernandez, Davis, CA, 95618  
Keep net neutrality, do not make title 2 more lax then it needs to be.
335. Ted, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
Please protect net neutrality and keep isp's on title 2. I only have one option for high speed internet (comcast) and rates keep going up and there is no other option Because of no competition
336. Myah Waldvogel, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
Please protect net nutralty and title 2.
337. Lynn Epstein, Davis, CA, 95616  
I am in favor of strong Title II protections of Net Neutrality, as formulated during the Obama administration. These rules should persist.
338. Mariana Leal, Davis, CA, 95616  
ISP providers should be regulated with full authority by the FCC under Title II to prevent dishonest practices in the dissemination of information. The internet ought not be pay-for-play. All people ought to have equal access to the internet and all destinations, without internet providers interfering with speed to various

destinations. This free access to information is essential to values all Americans hold dear, like democracy and liberty. Thus, we expect the FCC to defend net neutrality by regulating ISP providers.

339. Dr Lithos, Lakeport, CA, 95453  
Help us! We need strong net neutrality for ISPs.
340. Julia Wellman, Wheatland, CA, 95692  
Please preserve internet freedom via net neutrality.
341. A Potter, davis, CA, 95616  
Protect net neutrality and Title II of the Communications act of 1934! This is important for free and equal access to the internet. Do not let ISPs control access to sites based on monopolistic interests
342. Nancy Capiyano, Guinda, CA, 95637  
PLEASE PRESERVE Title II -- I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
343. Steven Ellenberger, Folsom, CA, 95616  
I believe it is imperative that we maintain net neutrality on the internet. Not only must it be maintained in concept, it needs to be enforced in practice. Without the legal standing provided by section II, there does not seem to be any viable mechanism by which the FCC can effectively enforce net neutrality. It would seem doubly unwise to select any of the FCC chairman's alternatives, especially his suggestion that ISPs can agree to maintain net neutrality themselves. Without a credible and viable way to enforce the rules, there is simply no way that the principles of free internet can be maintained.
344. Thomas Slabaugh II, Davis, CA, 95618  
Preserve net neutrality using Title II
345. Julissa Larios, Davis, CA, 95616  
I am in strong support with net neutrality!
346. Jered Bell, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
Please save net neutrality. Protect our right to communication and we will be fighting for something that will have a lasting affect for centuries.
347. Max Meyers, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please preserve net neutrality and keep Title II. I will also say that Chairman Pai should reconsider using his Reese's in such a public fashion. In addition to it being attention-seeking and childishy unfunny, it appears to be clear product placement while he is working for the federal government.

348. Kayla Ellis, Davis, CA, 95618  
I want net neutrality, and I think it's despicable that you're running this rouse using the words "internet freedom." Dispicable.
349. Nathan Lester, Davis, CA, 95618  
Please keep Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Help to actually retain strong net neutrality. Retaining title 2 will help prevent ISP's from favoring or discriminating against certain data streams' access to the internet. Thank you.
350. Darcy Bostic, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please preserve Title II for ISPs. Please protect consumer interests and not those of large companies.
351. Andrea Gompers, davis, CA, 95618  
Ajit Pai I specifically support net neutrality of ISPs under Title II.
352. Ryan Yurcich, Davis, CA, 95618  
I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Net Neutrality is not negotiable. It's essential to everything we need in our society and democracy " from educational and economic opportunities to political organizing and dissent. Millions of people fought for over a decade to secure lasting Net Neutrality protections. We will not accept anything less. We urge you to back the keep the strong rules backed by Title II.
353. Juelie Roggli, Davis, CA, 95616  
I am in strong support of net neutrality baked by Title II. Please do not repeal these.
354. Tom Garberson, Davis, CA, 95618  
The attack on net neutrality undermines consumer protections and harms the American people. It provides no benefit to anyone other than the companies that hope to either stifle competition by out-spending their competitors, or reap a profit on extortionate fees for access to data.
355. Rhonda Yung, Davis, CA, 95616  
You need to preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 right now. This is the right thing to do. Thank you
356. Catherine Fish, Davis, CA, 95618  
I support a free and open internet. Keep net neutrality.
357. Zachary Dashner, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support net neutrality please do not roll it back.
358. J A, Elverta, CA, 95626

I implore you to keep TITLE II and Net Neutrality in place. It is so important that we do not allow big business to force/lobby/coerce de-regulation that would negatively impact millions of citizens.

359. Connor Zwick, Vacaville, CA, 95688

I beg that you keep ISPs under Title II. Our home internet is already unbearably slow as it is and there is no possible way we would be able to live with our ISP forcing us to use certain sites simply because any others are too slow to go to! If you take this action, more people would switch to VPNs (assuming the VPN provider has paid for prioritization = all websites are fast again), meaning that ISPs would collect less information on their users to be able to sell. It is completely unfair to have a major corporation enabled to force certain websites over others onto their users. Please keep ISPs under Title II. Sincerely, Connor Zwick

360. Stephanie Kocsik, Davis, CA, 95616

I am opposed to getting rid of net neutrality as it's described in Title II. Corporations should not be able to pay to have their products, ads, etc pushed in front of everyone else's products, ads, etc or be able to effect the speed of the internet to their advantage.

361. Michael Corsetto, Davis, CA, 95616

I firmly believe that Title 2 enforcement should continue. American ISPs are some of the worst in the developed world, and should not be allowed to regulate and segment the internet that we all use freely. Please protect our rights as citizens. Please protect Net Neutrality! Don't let the lobbyists take this from us.

362. Linda Olsen, Davis, CA, 95616

Net neutrality MUST be maintained. We are depending on the FCC to do this.

363. Rebekka Garberson, Davis, CA, 95618

I am opposed to this attack on net neutrality because it undermines our ability to access internet content freely. Internet usage is now an integral part of our daily lives, and letting big companies essentially cut off access to competing products is corrupt.

364. Laura Johnson, Fairfield, CA, 94533

I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. This is hugely important and matters greatly to me.

365. John Stilley, Davis, CA, 95618

Maintaining the highest level of net neutrality we can is vital to the future of the American economy. The internet is built on a single foundation, and that is that all ISPs must share traffic and not peak at its content. If America tries to do otherwise, we will eventually lose a huge portion of the world's future economy

to businesses that want to make a fast buck. This is a pivotal moment in our history. We will either see the future and prepare for it, or net neutrality will crumble and we will slowly collapse. Net Neutrality is key to our economy and our freedom.

366. David Trott, Suisun City, CA, 94585  
Don't fuck up the internet please.
367. Marina LaForgia, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please reserve net neutrality and title 2. We can't have an open internet without title 2.
368. Michael Pallazola, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Keep the internet equal for every one.
369. Rachel Trott, Suisun City, CA, 94585  
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2. The Internet should be an equal opportunity place for everyone to get and share knowledge regardless of socioeconomic status or who they pay their Internet provider to be.
370. Alex Wright, Davis, CA, 95618  
Please maintain net neutrality rules and continue to classify ISPs under title 2.
371. eric kelly, lakeport, CA, 95453  
i strongly support net neutrality. please do not change the current law.
372. Travis Falls, Davis, CA, 95618  
I strongly support net neutrality and title two over ISPs. Please don't let greed and carelessness allow you to loosen restrictions that governs our access to the internet. The internet should have same quality and content for all!
373. Davina Smith, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please retain net neutrality and keep ISPs under Title II. We cannot trust T-Mobile, Verizon, AT&T and other corporations to police themselves and do what is best for the American people. I am extremely concerned that the freedom I now enjoy in accessing a variety of content from different providers will end or be substantially curtailed if the new head of the FCC has his way and kills off net neutrality.
374. Norman Shen, Davis, CA, 95616  
SAVE THE INTERNET! We are entitled to freedom of the internet. We want to state our support for strong net neutrality laws under Title II oversight of ISPs.

375. Max Ketchum, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Brief Comments: I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs
376. Jenn Eubanks, Yuba City, CA, 95991  
Net neutrality is essential, please don't mess with the " legal platform"
377. Allan Telford, Davis, CA, 95616  
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 to keep the Internet a level playing field for everyone.
378. Sreya Sinharoy, Plumas Lake, CA, 95961  
I support strong net neutrality that's entitled to oversight by ISPs.
379. Joseph James Summers IV, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
To whom it may concern,I am writing to ask that the FCC preserve net neutrality and title 2 provisions. Information should be equally accessible to all, not subject to the whim of some internet service provider looking to profit off the destruction of my rights to internet information. As a millennial, this domain is a source of great strength and opportunity. It puts the world at our fingertips and I don't need an ISP to tell me when and where to look. Internet neutrality is intellectual freedom. Save Net Neutrality.Joe
380. Tracie Bezerra, Davis, CA, 95618  
Net Neutrality is essential. It is laughable to trust huge media corporations to regulate their own behavior on this issue. Please maintain the Order enacted in in 2015 to protect freedom of speech and freedom of access to American citizens.
381. Michael Schick, Davis, CA, 95616  
Internet neutrality is essential. Title 2 is essential. Ridding these two only serves corporate interests, not citizens or consumers.
382. Joseph Fass, Davis, CA, 95616  
I strongly support regulating ISPs under Title II in order to uphold Net Neutrality principles, as enacted by the FCC under Tom Wheeler (under President Obama). Current chairman Ajit Pai has dismissed the need for regulating ISPs under Title II, saying there was voluntary upholding of net neutrality. However, we don't lightly regulate individuals, leaving it up to them to voluntarily avoid stealing from each other or trading in insider information. I've seen no good evidence that regulating ISPs as utilities (under Title II) has had any negative effects. So please keep ISPs under Title II and support Net Neutrality, in order to strongly protect THE major mode of communication, commerce, political activism, and free expression of today, the Internet.

383. Nestor, Woodland, CA, 95776  
You guys better not do that.
384. Shannon Isenhower, Davis, CA, 95618  
I support strong net neutrality. Internet service providers should be classified under Title 2 so that I and other internet users will always have equal access to all internet services. Without regulation, ISPs could favor one service over another, which reduces consumer choice and could stifle innovation. Thank you.
385. shaun omalley, Davis, CA, CA, 95616  
Preserve net neutrality and preserve title 2!!!!
386. Kelly Washington, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
I strongly support strong net neutrality protections via title 2. As a software developer I know that without strong net neutrality protections my career is at risk. Removing net neutrality protections hurts competition by giving an unfair advantage to those few in control of internet access.
387. Andrew Wallace, Davis, CA, 95616  
I believe that Net Neutrality is incredibly important, and the current system, with regulation under Title II needs to remain in place. Suggested changes by the new head of the FCC are terrible and need to NOT be done. Leave ISPs regulated under Title II.
388. Ryan Bezerra, Davis, CA, 95618  
Retain net neutrality by retaining Title 2 as the basis.
389. ricardo, Woodland, CA, 95776  
Come on. Who do you think you are? Like you're totally obvious. Don't do the things against title 2. Or else everyone is going to think you're a total dick
390. Amela Arnold, Davis, CA, 95618  
I support the preservation of Title II and believe in net neutrality. I do not think the Internet should be bought and sold.
391. Briana Monkiewicz, Sacramento, CA, 98564  
This misleading proceeding will only make the pockets of large internet providers deeper at the detriment of the consumers. Those who do not have a choice in internet providers will suffer and be forced to use sites for the sake of their convenience while putting more money in their internet provider's bank accounts. Net neutrality protects the consumer, the large website providers, and fosters a fair, open internet.
392. K Sterner, Woodland, CA, 95695

I firmly support net neutrality and supervision of isps under title 2.

393. Eva Tran, Davis, CA, 95618

I grew up as an American citizen and the child of an immigrant family who fled from communistic, nigh dictatorial rule. For me, the United States was a place where freedom of speech was a right, the Internet was a necessity, and service providers were a middleman and not the ones holding one of the most crucial resources of this country hostage. I support Net Neutrality because the Internet is a wealth of information and opportunities that shouldn't be gated by monopolies. Please preserve Title II

394. Vron Vance, Davis, CA, 95618

Please keep ISPs under Title 2. Net neutrality is important for the preservation of the internet as we know it. If the classification under Title 2 is removed, there will be no safety net to prevent ISPs from abusing their customers. Even if voluntary adherence to net neutrality is encouraged, ISPs will opt out if it would mean a significant benefit to them, regardless of the costs to everyone else.

395. Ryan Rocha, Davis, CA, 95616

We are in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. We are opposed to Docket no. 17-108. Companies like Comcast and Verizon have enough money and power. We already shell out enough of our money to "benefit" from their services that cost them next to nothing to provide in comparison to what they are currently charging the public. It is absurd to believe that without strong oversight these companies would remain neutral when it could mean making them more money.

396. Brian Coward, Woodland, CA, 95776

I strongly support the FCC protecting US Citizens access to the internet by preventing ISP's from artificially influencing bandwidth to their preferred media. Maintain net neutrality. Sincerely,Brian Coward

397. Nelson Perez-Sanchez, woodland, CA, 95695

I support Net Neutrality. Please do not take it away.

398. wyatt hesemeyer, Winters, CA, 95694

Please preserve title 2 net neutrality! The internet is the last bastion of freedom.

399. dyannen palmer, Orland, CA, 95963

Keep the law as it is!

400. Daniel, Davis, CA, 95616

I didn't spend 5 years in the Marines and 2 deployments in Afghanistan to have big business take away freedoms here in the US. Protect Net Neutrality.

401. Aaron Rough, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
I support Net Neutrality and strongly oppose any change to the existing laws including Title 2.
402. A Concerned Citizen, Davis, CA, 95616  
Anyone seriously considering to destroy Net Neutrality is either: 1. Uninformed or ignorant to the incredibly beneficial effect that Net Neutrality has for competition and innovation on the Internet, or 2. A shill of the telecommunications industry who owns a ridiculously large mug and should feel shame on both accounts.
403. Kevin Holmes, Davis, CA, 95616  
Net neutrality is extremely important to me and the stance of my congress people on the issue will sway my vote. The internet is a public space as well as a public good. It is therefore the obligation of public institutions (such as the FCC) to protect it from being distorted by private interests who would either directly or indirectly favour certain content or users. A failure to do so would demonstrate either incompetence or corruption on behalf of the FCC. Thank you for considering my concerns.
404. Megan Rough, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
Please continue to side with the American people, and push for strong net neutrality. Getting rid of Title II would negatively affect all of us.
405. Joseph M Lynch, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Net neutrality is of great concern to me. I am strongly against changing the classification of ISPs as utilities. ISPs should not be allowed to sell my Internet activity to any party.
406. Evan Eggleston, Davis, CA, 95616  
I want to tell Ajit Pai that I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
407. Jonathan Long, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support strong net neutrality supported by Title 2. And I don't support corporate shillery.. Don't screw with us.
408. Amritpal Atwal, Yuba City, CA, 95993  
Leave the internet protections imposed by the Obama administration in 2015 and the title 2.
409. Brenna Hughes, Davis, CA, 95616  
I am writing to express my support to keep the strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

410. Carman Broderick, Marysville, CA, 95901  
I strongly support continuing net-neutrality under Title II.
411. AIMEE KORYNTA, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
Please work to preserve net neutrality. Access to the internet represents equality and power--information should be freely available to all.
412. Glen Gaviglio, Suisun City, CA, 94585  
I overwhelmingly support net neutrality under Title 2.
413. L. Oxley, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight ISPs!
414. Elizabeth Hardesty, Dixon, CA, 95620  
Please maintain net neutrality regulations to prevent abuse by internet service providers.
415. Emily Johnson, Davis, CA, 95616  
I am writing to state my support for Net Neutrality and the preservation of Title II. Fair internet speeds is an important issue and must not be degraded due to corporate greed.
416. Ryan Byrnes, Davis, CA, 95618  
I support Net Neutrality and Title II standards.
417. Justin Kaufman, Olivehurst, CA, 95961  
Preserve net neutrality you queefs.
418. Nicholas A. Palomares, Davis, CA, 95616  
I VERY MUCH support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.
419. Frances Shaffo, Davis, CA, 95616  
The FCC should uphold net neutrality by keeping ISPs classified under title II. Net neutrality should be the cornerstone of a free, modern society,
420. Max Morrison, Yuba City, CA, 95993  
Please preserve net neutrality and title II laws. Thanks.
421. Tod Stoltz, Davis, CA, 95618  
I support strong net neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISPs. It seems clear that otherwise ISPs will be too tempted to throttle bandwidth taken up by competitive products.
422. Tod Stoltz, Davis, CA, 95618

I support net neutrality and regulation via title 2 of ISPs. Otherwise it would be too tempting for ISPs to throttle internet traffic for products of competitors. This could significantly alter the internet as we know it today.

423. Dustin Moilanen, Fairfield, CA, 94534

I am strongly in favor of keeping the current level of net neutrality oversight. I feel that any rolling back and/or reduction of the current regulations would offer to great a risk of unfair practices amongst providers that would show preference for their preferred content and influence my freedom of decision in regards to what content I choose to engage in.

424. Theresa Breault, Vacaville, CA, 95687

I am writing to ask that the FCC preserve net neutrality and Title II. As a voter, a tax payer, and a concerned United States citizen, I believe that net neutrality is important to uphold and protect. The masses are more important than the companies, and more important than demands from Verizon. Preserve Title III!!

425. Evelyn DiMarco, Dunnigan, CA, 95937

PLEASE preserve net neutrality and Title II !!!!

426. Ian Tillman, Davis, CA, 95616

I support net neutrality. It is a big deal to me; the Internet should be a level playing field.

427. Hans R Herren, Capay, CA, 95607

I want you to keep FULL Net neutrality and Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 and Section 706 of the Telecommunications act of 1996. I regard this is essential to allow equal access to the net to all.

428. Nick von Beroldingen, Davis, CA, 95618

Net neutrality is critical to the continued and growing success of the internet as a communications platform and communal environment that provides unprecedented access to economic markets for producers and consumers, sharing of information and knowledge, and homes for groups of all kinds. Without net neutrality, the internet will divide us, not bring us together, and it will make us poorer in spirit and wealth. The public interest, decency and common sense demand internet availability on a level playing field. Uphold regulations maintaining net neutrality.

429. sierra casner, yuba city, CA, 95991

open internet.

430. Tara Najim, Davis, CA, 95616

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. I ask that you please preserve net neutrality and Title II.



























































since she cares about Net Neutrality. Tell Ajit Pai to stop gripping my e-peen. That's for his mom only, since she cares about Net Neutrality. Tell Ajit Pai to stop gripping my e-peen. That's for his mom only, since she cares about Net Neutrality.

436. Colin van Ree, Boonville, CA, 95616  
The United Nations' 1948 Universal Declaration of Human Rights states: "Everyone has the right to freedom of opinion and expression; this right includes freedom to hold opinions without interference, and impart information and ideas through any media regardless of frontiers" any infringement on net neutrality threatens the ability to impart information on the internet in an unbiased manner, which would constitute an infringement of what should be a fundamental human right to free speech and fair access to information. Weakening net neutrality places undue power and influence in the hands of the relatively few to moderate access to information, this represents a significant harm to the american people. It is unreasonable to believe that deregulating net neutrality in favor of industry acting in good faith would be advantageous or wise when the temptations to infringe on net neutrality may serve their own interests.
437. D.H. Palacios, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
I hereby use my 1st amendment right, freedom of expression, to say that I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
438. Leo Rayz, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
We are registered Republicans and extremely strongly support Net Neutrality. No matter how the bill is crafted, those that have vested interest will find ways to use the bill for non-consumer friendly means. It saddens us that once again Internet Neutrality is under attack and especially by our party. The party is veering away from Open Markets and smaller government intervention practices.
439. Zennin Casl, Davis`, CA, 95618  
Seriously, don't kill Net Neutrality. If you do, I really hope ISP's kill access to all of Trumps websites.
440. matt campbell, Dixon, CA, 95620  
FCC regulation of the internet with Title II oversight of the ISPs, a.k.a. Net Neutrality, is vital to ensure all citizen have equal access to the internet regardless of the content, provider, or path to the internet at either end. I support current rules and do not want to see a rollback of regulations leaving the ISPs to oversee themselves.
441. Kory Hook, Lakeport, CA, 95453  
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II status for ISP's. Simple enough - everyone,

not matter their political or ethical leaning, benefits from having untainted access to information. Put the will and the common good of the people ahead of your blatantly self-serving corporate interests, and ensure that the most useful, powerful invention of our time stays neutral.

442. Timothy Lee Tyler, Davis, CA, 95616

As a relative newcomer to the internet (having only used it since 1989 when it was administered by the National Science Foundation), I am amazed and appalled that the FCC would consider changing the way the internet is governed back to Title 1. I fully support the retention of Title 2 governance of the internet, with internet service providers being regulated as common carriers. I realize that Title 2 needs revision and that not all provisions of Title 2 apply to modern internet technology, but an Open Internet is better supported by preventing an "Internet Fast Lane" for preferred services of ISPs over competing third party internet services. I support and urge the FCC to advocate for an Open Internet by preserving Title 2 registration of Internet Service Providers.

443. Patrick, Davis, CA, 95618

This proposal will prove to be the starting point of allowing ISPs to engage in shady self-dealing behavior. Without regulation, the FCC would remove protections and leave a free, fair, and open internet up to the charity of massive corporations that seek any advantage possible to get an edge above competition. The commissioner's comments that there is no evidence of ISPs intending on engaging in such behavior is absolutely ludicrous. How could there be evidence when they're currently being regulated. As a small business startup I rely upon a free, fair and open internet to drive business my way. For any business that seeks to compete with any of the various ISP giants or their numerous subsidiaries it would be so easy to interfere and provide themselves advantage. And evidence would be well hidden how can you prove a systematic throttling or any other host of shady practices possible. We've had enough evidence of greed and corporate self dealing in other industries. This industry is certainly not immune to greed. Don't leave corporations to decide for themselves to do the right thing for the common good when it would go against their own benefit. Keep current regulations in place.

444. melissa, Davis, CA, 95618

Not having net neutrality is infringing on the rights of those who don't have the money big businesses do.

445. Tanner Feustel, davis, CA, 95616

ISPs need to be kept under title two to keep an open and free internet! Please don't fuck the american people

446. Mikkel Simons, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Hello, I strong urge the FCC to keep net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I think these rules are in the best interest for all in concerns to the net. I believe these rules and regulations are in the public's best interest in protecting all of us from companies and other individuals whom may miss use the net. I believe these rules help in keeping things fair and safe for all. Thank you for your time.
447. Kat Heil, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and support Title II.
448. Megan Lehn, Davis, CA, 95616  
Net neutrality is important please uphold 1 and 2 and protect our rights, not those of big businesses.
449. Martin Bernard Fraga, Davis, CA, 95618  
I respectfully request, with utmost urgency, that the internet be kept as a title II utility. The internet remains one of the most breathtaking constructions and phenomena achieved by humankind, all thanks to the intrinsic openness imbued at its origin. This must be protected like any national park, and title II is the only way to award it the protection it deserves.
450. Aidan, Davis, CA, 95616  
Don't deregulate net neutrality. It will literally be the apocalypse.
451. erin crowe, Davis, CA, 95618  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 protections. Now that the FCC is headed by a former Verizon lawyer, he should be working for the best interest of the American people, not the profits of his former employer. The free and open internet must be preserved!
452. Lucas Macdonald, Davis, CA, 95616  
I, like 81% of Americans, believe that net neutrality is vital for the preservation of free speech and the ability of businesses to compete in an open marketplace. Based on the case history reclassifying ISPs as Title 1 would have the same effect as removing net neutrality regulations and therefore should be avoided.
453. steven j ownbey, suisun city, CA, 94585  
Mr Ajit Pai, I should be simply saying that NET Neutrality needs to be left alone -as the companies involved DO still invest in their title 2 networks and your statement that they don't is an error-as Comcast has invested more in the last two years -and Charter and T mobile have also ! But just being the average guy -we have seen the rampant attack on everything Obamahad set in place.And under the Trump takeover-We have lost our health coverage -the EPA -the new car MPG standards-the assorted made up NEW ALTERERED FACTS -the Russian tampering of our election and and the childish twitter comments by our

new president is an embarrassment to everybody else on the planet! It only fuels the HIGHLIGHTED opinion that Government is NOT FOR THE PEOPLE - ITS FOR THE RICH AND POWERFULL and that they are "raping " everything they can get- while they can ! And we all hate being always screwed over -SO this is youre chance to not lump yourself up with all the others and make a NAME for yourself A GOOD NAME --SO instead just please do whats right! Verizon and Comcast will survive just fine -and I sure hope u haven't pocketed any of their money -to sway you towards allowing the screwing up of the internet !We need a BREAK from being always the VICTIMS -an dscrewed over by the government !-we can only hope you have enough sense to not make yourself look BOUGHT and Paid for ! Like so many of your friends gleefull at the thought of once again doing what the big companies want you to do -so they can just ruin more of America -please don't ! thankyou

454. Jason Zhang, Davis, CA, 95616

I am a strong supporter of Net Neutrality, and believe that Ajit Pai is deceiving the American public into letting major Internet companies slither through Title II regulations. No amount of trust can be given to these types of companies because they will create and find every necessary loophole possible to ensure unfair Internet speeds to the American people. In order to keep America as the world's leading superpower, the Internet must not be controlled by a small amount of corporations competing against each other at the demise of the everyday person. In conclusion, please keep Net Neutrality, or the American people will not be happy.

455. James McCully, Davis, CA, 95616

I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.I am opposed the Restoring Internet "Freedom" Act.

456. Tyler Arnold, Travis AFB, CA, 94535

I support strong net neutrality via Title 2. Don't help ISPs make even more money.

457. Blake Richard Stockman, Brentwood, CA, 94512

I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Stop being ridiculous and leave this piece of necessary regulation. We already had this debate 2 years ago....

458. Stephen Platt, Davis, CA, 95618

I support net neutrality and the Title 2 restrictions. Leave them in place!

459. Galiana, davis, CA, 95616

I support full net neutrality!

460. Gabriel Sanchez-Jorgensen, Esparto, CA, 95627

Net neutrality is a right! Keep title II as it is! And Mr. Pai, please purchase a new coffee mug. It doesn't make you cool, Sir.

461. Michele Dally, Elmira, CA, 95625  
Do not change the internet neutrality laws that are in effect now. These protect us from corporations making decisions that are not theirs to make. I want the presentation of all of my choices to be equal and not subject to their money making schemes!
462. Mike Whitenton, Sutter, CA, 95982  
I fully support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers.
463. Alex, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please don't jeopardize net neutrality by deregulating the FCC and leaving it up to trusting in greedy corporations
464. Michael Doehrman, yuba city, CA, 95991  
Please don't remove consumer protections by changing the net neutrality rules. We (as a country) can not trust corporations to behave properly without supervision. Changing the classification for ISP's will hurt me as a consumer.
465. John DeUlloa, Yuba City, CA, 95993  
Please leave net neutrality in place. We can not leave it up to large ISPs to do the right thing. The reason to have net neutrality in place is to protect consumers from large ISPs acting in ways the enhance their bottom line. As VP of one of the world's largest domain name registrar's we've seen first hand what happens when insufficient safeguards to protect the public are abused. Removing the current net neutrality safeguards would lead to disastrous results. I therefore urge you to not remove these safeguards and continue to leave the current net neutrality regarding ISPs intact.
466. Matthew Ogan, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
I care very deeply about net neutrality and I would rather carriers remain under title II. Thank you for your consideration
467. Sean LaFond, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support ISP's being regulated by title 2 oversight.
468. Bob Greenawalt, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support net neutrality enforced by a strong Title II that prevents internet providers from favoring certain internet content over others. I oppose Chairman Pai's efforts to weaken the current net neutrality rules.

469. Erin Bradley, Winters, CA, 95694  
ISPs need robust title 2 protection, as neumerous court rulings have evidenced. Internet providers voluntarily agreeing to not slow down particular websites holds no guarantee that they will follow through with their promise, especially when their profits are involved. Do not strip ISPs of their title 2 status.
470. Aaron King, Woodland, CA, 95695  
I support net neutrality. ISPs should be regulated under Title 2. Ajit Pai should resign and let someone who actually cares about freedom and our country take his job.
471. Sandra Mott, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. Loosening regulations will be detrimental to consumers, and big business controls enough in this world as it is.
472. Steven H Blum, Davis, CA, 95616  
It is essential to preserve strong regulation of Net Neutrality. In that regard, the FCC must retain Title II oversight of ISP's. Please do not gut current regulation; it will be a disaster for all people concerned other than the few wealthy corporations that will control the internet under the proposed rules.
473. Jonathan Marrs, Davis, CA, 95618  
Please preserve strong net neutrality rules and Title II. Ensuring a level playing field is important for the success of all American businesses, and for providing freedom of choice for American citizens.
474. Alex Lee, Davis, CA, 95616  
FCC, you must uphold Title 2 oversight of ISPs and protect Net Neutrality. ISPs should not be able to tamper with the speed and expression of internet usage of users. PROTECT Net Neutrality!
475. Russell Levine, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
Please maintain Title 2 controls over the internet.
476. Kay Huang, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please protect the current regulations regarding Net Neutrality. It is crucial that ISP companies understand that they are doing a public service, and it is not another opportunity to manipulate the market and make an unethical profit. Protect Net Neutrality; not the businesses that have become too large to restrain their unethical greed.
477. John Morris, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
**\*Strongly\*** in favor of Title 2 net neutrality. Deregulation has been a disaster in every instance I've seen, and this will hurt consumers. Of course it will be good

for business, but the FCC is supposed to regulate for the citizen, not business.

478. Cali, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
I specifically support strong net neutrality, please don't mess with Title II oversight of ISPs
479. Heather Ziemer, Suisun City, CA, 94585  
Those of us that support a free and equal internet know that this power grab that Pai is up to is just that - a power grab. Keep Net Neutrality and keep the ISPs tied to Title 2.
480. Ryan Gabrio-Brannon, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
I support the continued preservation of strong Net Neutrality under Title II oversight. Do not change the current regulations.
481. Net Neutrality, Clearlake, CA, 95422  
I work from home & cannot have my Internet speed messed with to charge me more \$\$.
482. Estel Porras, Orland, CA, 95963  
Net neutrality needs to be maintained and protected! To me it is part of the first amendment -our right to free speech.
483. isabelle guerrero, Davis, CA, 95616  
I strongly support net neutrality and taking it away is wrong.
484. Baudelio Renteria, Plumas Lake, CA, 95961  
Please preserve neutrality and Title 2.
485. Lucas Moore, Davis, CA, 95616  
I am strongly opposed to the reclassification of online broadband services under Title 1. Broadband internet access is a critical infrastructure for American economic development, and treating it as a utility (in the same way water, electricity, and gas are) ensures equal opportunity for small, rising enterprises to grow. Furthermore, allowing for "throttling" or otherwise limiting access to online services will be deeply unpopular with the American electorate, and only serves to benefit established telecommunications companies.
486. Rebekah Cesmat, Winters, CA, 95694  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Removal of Title II oversight will deny internet users their right to full and uncompromised access to the internet.
487. Joel Ayala, Davis, CA, 95616  
I would like to state that I support Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II

oversight of ISPs in order to keep the internet Open and Free. Net neutrality is the foundation of an open and thriving internet that allows all comers to compete on a level playing field. Allowing corporations to give preferential treatment to specific business, owned by them, will hurt small businesses and hurt the American economy. I strongly support Net Neutrality and a Free and Open Internet and I oppose removal of Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

488. ezra croft, Fairfield, CA, 94533

I support net neutrality. Dont deregulate the internet. It makes America look embarrassing to the international community and makes officials in charge of regulating it appear to be on the take from large telecom companies.

489. Julia Malvick, Davis, CA, 95616

We want the FCC to keep the current strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

490. Juniper Zen, Winters, CA, 95694

We need a free and open internet! The UN has declared internet access to be a human right. Allowing service providers to control access to the internet, even just by controlling download/upload speeds of specific websites or services, impinges on that human right. Internet access is not just a convenience but a necessity for our modern way of life.

491. John Chan, Davis, CA, 95616

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II and believe that these regulations are necessary for a free and open Internet. I believe that these regulations facilitate innovation on the Internet rather than stifle it. Please preserve net neutrality moving into the future.

492. Kevin Brady, Vacaville, CA, 95688

I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISPs)

493. Julie He, Davis, CA, 95616

I want strong net neutrality because I don't want anyone to make decisions for me when I am using the Internet. I have the right to choose which search engines or applications I use without any external influence. Please enforce strong net neutrality!

494. David Merwin, Clarksburg, CA, 95612

I value my internet speed and my ability to get whatever content I want at speeds uninhibited by the corporate Internet Service Providers. FCC, I am asking you to maintain net neutrality, PLEASE!!!!

495. Betty Woo, Davis, CA, 95616

I strongly support net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight. Please preserve this vital feature for the good of the future of our nation and the world. Thank you.

496. Nick, davis, CA, 95616

I support Net Neutrality with regulation on Title II

497. Trevor Carey, Davis, CA, 95616

Simply expecting ISPs to provide open access to the internet does not provide the necessary protections that the American people need. In many areas throughout the country, only 1 or 2 ISPs are available for a typical consumer. This could possibly result in a consumer being forced to use an ISP that does not provide protected and open access to the internet, the access they deserve.

498. Kathryn Gillett, vacaville, CA, 95687

You must preserve Internet Neutrality and Title II

499. J Torrissen, Davis, CA, 95616

I support strong net neutrality rules backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. I do not agree with Ajit Pai's lying to the public about this as there is no proof it has stifled infrastructure, as the ISPs have already shown willingness to prioritize data from certain companies, through previously blocking GooglePay when they launched a similar wallet application, and when Comcast throttled Netflix traffic-speed during negotiations. Pai has a clear bias being a former Verizon lawyer who was trying to take down these rules to benefit his previous employer. And I'm deeply concerned that this is called "restoring internet freedom" when the internet is open and free thanks to these regulations. The FCC is supposed to be working for and protecting the public, not doing the work of corporations.

500. Kyle Odum, Davis, CA, 95616

Chairman Pai, First, attempting to hide the comment form deep within your website is a shameful attempt to suppress public input. By doing so you severely undermine any attempt you make to assure the public that you are dedicated to protecting the public interest. Without soliciting comment in a transparent way it is impossible to trust you when you say that you are acting in the public's interest. Second, there has been no reason publicly stated by any agency or company for the reclassification of ISPs as Title 1 utilities. Mr. Pai stated that regulations are stifling investment in infrastructure, however counsel for his former employers directly contradict him. If the statements given to investors by your former employer regarding the level of investment were false then after Verizon is prosecuted for illegally misleading investors then the FCC would be right to continue using the justification of suppressed infrastructure spending as a reason to reclassify ISPs. Otherwise stop lying to the people who

pay your salary. Chairman Pai is a public servant, not an industry shill. He should remember that he quit his previous job at Verizon to work for taxpayers. Prior to continuing with the drive to reclassify ISPs under Title 1 please clearly state truthful reasons why it would be necessary. Thank you, Kyle Odum

501. robert lynn, marysvile, CA, 95901

I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Taking it away would be one of the worst ideas for the future, and will drive up piracy and piss literally everyone off.

502. Michael Curry, Davis, CA, 95618

There is today no credible argument in support of the view that the internet is not an infrastructure on par with the telephone and the national highway system. It should be treated as such in FCC decisions. This in part means that regulations in support of net neutrality should remain in place and should be aggressively enforced. It also means that potential acquisitions and mergers that appear likely to undercut net neutrality should be prevented from occurring.

503. Clint M Conroy, Vacaville, CA, 95687

Well met my fellow American, I am worried that the current plan to remove the requirement that ISP must treat all data as the same (even if only for a short time) will cause a massive power grab for control over content. Giving any purely profit seeking entity the ability to control what I am to do is quite alarming. Our future is dependent on the ability for anyone and everyone to access the same information.

504. Kenneth Lanting, vacaville, CA, 95687

Preserve Title 2 and net neutrality. Please make sure cellular, cable, or phone internet connection treat all websites and services the same. Big companies like AT&T, Verizon, and Comcast want to treat them differently so they can charge you more depending on what you use. Please do not allow this.

505. norah cook, Davis, CA, 95616

Please protect net neutrality. You need to regulate internet service providers in order to protect open access to the internet.

506. Milton Kalish, davis, CA, 95616

Please protect internet neutrality. do not change the rule mandating ISPs to maintain the same internet speed for all websites and servers. Milton Kalish, LCSW

507. Jacob Markow, Suisun City, CA, 94585

As a consumer there is absolutely no value in these changes to the way that internet may be provided by my ISP(s). This will dramatically restrict options

and will destroy the startup ecosystem when it comes to internet provided applications and services. I have no desire to have our current internet freedom replaced with internet "freedom" for others to change an experience that I as a consumer do not wish to have changed on my behalf but without my consent.

508. Sherry O'Neal-Hancock, Davis, CA, 95618

FCC don't try to fix something that is not broken. Net Neutrality is in place to stop ISP's from messing with their competitor's bandwidth. To keep the Internet open, free and fair, keep ISPs under Title II and make sure that FCC regulations stay in place to keep it neutral.

509. Liam Gormley, Fairfield, CA, 94534

Please maintain Net Neutrality. Don't allow ISP's and other corporations take control of internet access

510. Israel Perla, Oregon House, CA, 95962

Please do not let corporations take advantage of this very public resource. It is a lifeline just like water, phone and electricity. Do not allow to make it a commercial playing ground between corporations on the back of the people. It is also a lifeline for democracy. Please! keep net neutrality safe. Please! do not hide proposed distortions under political spin. Thank you!

511. Andrew M. McCullough, Davis, CA, 95618

To the Chairman of the FCC, Ajit Pai, and Commissioners of the FCC. Dear Mr. Pai and colleagues, I strongly agree with the FCC's current classification of Internet Service Providers (ISPs) under Title II of the Communications Act. As you know, the full power and capability of the internet to advance the open spread of information relies entirely on the equal access to distribute and receive that information. History shows, time and again, that we cannot rely on corporations - beholden to their shareholders profits by law - to voluntarily agree to keep a level playing field for the consumer. This entire debate is a case-study in US government. The 2014 court ruling (Verizon v FCC) provided clear guidance in interpreting the law, and the FCC's 2015 vote to re-classify ISP's under Title II of the Communications Act was widely approved by the citizens of the United States. The public will not allow a handful of people to create unnecessary competition in an otherwise free and open space for their own personal gain. Please continue to classify all ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act, so that they may be properly regulated to allow for the open distribution of information. Kindest Regards,- Andrew M. McCullough  
United States Citizen

512. Erika Pollack, Davis, CA, 95616

I very strongly support net neutrality. The regulations as they have stood to now have not in any way hindered infrastructure development or investment, but

they have helped guarantee a free and fair internet for all. This is critical for American entrepreneurial development as it helps to maintain the ability for smaller businesses, who are the very backbone of our society and economy, to start and grow their businesses online without interference from large companies.

513. Craig Lundgren, Davis, CA, 95616

I would like to express my strong conviction that ISP's should not be able to control, or influence my internet searches for political, economic or other reasons. I expect to have equal access, with equal speeds, to all content. I pay an ISP for internet service. If my ISP acts as a gate keeper for my access I will be ripped off as a consumer. I will be denied the benefit of my bargain. So I lose. Moreover, where I live, I have only two choices for ISPs: ATT and Comcast. If these two ISPs have the ability to control access to information, that control will extend to many thousands or millions of people. I It is outrageous. Please do not approve changes to net neutrality regulations. Leave the current regulations in place. Do not allow ISPs to rip off the consumers you are responsible for protecting.

514. Brent Etchepare, Willows, CA, 95988

A fair and even internet is essential in today's global climate, and removing Net Neutrality is the fastest way to make sure that only the most powerful companies can survive on the internet, killing all small businesses. It's a shame that you are even humoring the idea of removing net neutrality.

515. Diane Peacock, Vacaville, CA, 95687

We the People want FCC to keep Title 2 and Net Neutrality

516. Alan Chu, Davis, CA, 95616

I support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. It doesn't make sense to do otherwise

517. Aspyn Walton, Davis, CA, 95616

Do not get rid of net neutrality! It will be the downfall of our most sacred right in America, freedom of information. Never should we let corporations directly dictate the information we receive.

518. Luis Aguilar, Fairfield, CA, 94534

Keep the internet free, we have enough greed in this country.

519. Amber Sanchez, Davis, CA, 95616

We need Net Neutrality. Period.

520. Nathan Geiger, Yuba City, CA, 95993

I support Net Neutrality to remain under Section II classification. Keep the

Internet regulated as a utility.

521. Bassem Elias, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Keep the Net neutral... we need to insure access is to information is equal. I can't say enough about how much I'm repulsed by Ajit's claims and actions... If you have any brains whatsoever, stop screwing around with the general public's access to content that THEY want.
522. Deborah Chapman, Woodland, CA, 95695  
I am passionate about strong net neutrality and believe the internet should remain classified under Title II.
523. Seth Wurzel, Woodland, CA, 95695  
I support strong net neutrality. The Internet is a medium of communication that should remain free similar to telephone communications. We don't allow telephone service providers to limit who we can call by allowing third parties to exert influence. We shouldn't allow ISP's to do the same.
524. Riichard S., Willows, CA, 95988  
I support that we Preserve net neutrality and Title II.
525. Haley Sanders - Turner, Davis, CA, 95616  
Net Neutrality must persist. Giving the ISPs control over which sites have 'fast lane access' will produce a monopoly that could lead to censorship of everyday people. The internet isn't and shouldn't be a business venture. This technology lets people from around the world connect to each other, and it gives individuals a space in which to experience and witness the entire world from home. The ISPs would be able to decide what content is 'appropriate', and threaten to slow any sites that allow 'inappropriate' content, or else impose sanctions on individuals that access said 'inappropriate' sites. Sure not everything on the internet is fantastic and without reproach, but limited freedom is not freedom at all. You have to take the good with the bad. Giving the ISPs this kind of power would destroy the freedom that the internet offers and represents.
526. Xavier Flores, Davis, CA, 95616  
Protect and preserve Net Neutrality!!!
527. keith renfree, Live Oak, CA, 95953  
Strong net neutrality.
528. Anna Wisehart, Woodland, CA, 95776  
I am writing to urge the FCC to maintain net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Failure to do so threatens freedom of information on the internet.

529. Philip Cello Jr, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
I support no blocking, no throttling, and no paid prioritization under a level playing field and neutral internet. Please keep Title 2 oversight to preserve Net Neutrality.
530. james etallaz, vacaville, CA, 95687  
Keep Internet access neutral under title 2!!!! Mister Ajit Varadaraj Pai has a conflict of interest as the former Associate General Counsel at Verizon Communications Inc. He should not be making decisions regarding throttling Internet access on various websites and online services!
531. Daniel Cheng, Davis, CA, 95616  
If a free internet is not permitted and certain services are throttled, ALL GROWTH AND POSSIBLE POTENTIAL THAT BUSINESSES HAVE WILL BE HALTED. AMERICA WILL BE THE OPPOSITE OF GREAT.
532. Erin DAgnese, Winters, CA, 95694  
I support the continued implementation of net neutrality so that internet providers can not selectively slow down or speed up service to Americans.
533. Leslie Cloper, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
We need to keep the net neutrality rules under Title 2.
534. Jill Hopkins, Davis, CA, 95616  
You must preserve Net Neutrality and Title II for all.
535. Brandi Chadwick, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Net neutrality is extremely important for fair business practices, consumer interests, and our social interest. If we choke the availability of information and business sales to fit a dominating companies agenda then we will be the nation that has little choice in what we buy and from where, as well as, what factual information reaches us and how fast. We as a nation need to continue to protect the net neutrality laws and strive for a global commitment to fair enterprise and non-ownership of the internet.
536. RaymondScott, Davis, CA, 95618  
Protect the free internet. Keep regulating ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
537. Stephen Thompson, Marysville, CA, 95901  
I support internet neutrality by maintaining ISP's classification under Title II.
538. Robert Parsley, Davis, CA, 95616  
Do not get rid of Net Neutrality !

539. Beth, milford, CA, 06460  
I support strong net neutrality via Title 2 oversight
540. Tyler Henderson, Yuba City, CA, 95993  
I support strong net neutrality and it's Title II classification. The backwards public reasoning behind the opposing argument is incredibly alarming. This is not a hypothetical whatsoever.
541. David Clary, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
Maintaining net neutrality is critical to freedom of information.
542. lola ferguson, fairfield, CA, 94533  
I support Net Neutrality. That is the reason Title 2 oversight of ISPs is mandatory.
543. Rob Baker, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
Maintain Net Neutrality rules based on Title II of the Communications Act
544. Ronald Harrow, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
The net must maintain neutrality, and the only way to ensure that it remains neutral is to leave ISP providers governed by Title II. DO NOT CHANGE THIS!
545. Daniel Smith, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
I support strong net neutrality with backing of TITLE 2 regulations
546. William Hennessy, Colusa, CA, 95932  
Please keep net neutrality. It is important to the people, even if the FCC itself is vaguely unconstitutional.
547. Ian Leyh, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Don't fuck up the internet. Chill people. That's probably the least American thing you can do to a global entity. And we have concrete marmalade for a president. There's only so much you can fuck up before requiring professional help. And millions won't be able to afford that pretty soon. So do yourself and everyone a favor and focus on actual issues like working towards world peace.
548. Sam Lewis, Davis, CA, 95618  
I support strong net neutrality enforced through Title II oversight of ISP's.
549. L Holbrook, Dixon, CA, 95620  
If free trade is to exist in any form on the internet, the classification of Internet Service Providers must remain regulated under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. To reclassify the regulation of ISPs is detrimental to the free flow of information and commerce through internet channels, giving companies free

reign to eliminate their competition and monopolize internet access - actions which violate the notions of a free market upon which the American economy operates, and which will only serve to harm the American public.

550. Cory Copeland, Woodland, CA, 95695

I am concerned about the future of the free internet if the FCC reclassifies ISP from title 2. Please maintain net neutrality which will ensure fair competition online and a democratic internet.

551. Lucy Joseph, Davis, CA, 95616

I support strong net neutrality rules backed up by Title 2 status.

552. Matthew Elliott, Davis, CA, 95616

Please continue supporting Title 2 regulatory oversight for ISPs.

553. Aaron Dripps, Wheatland, CA, 95692

I strongly support continued net neutrality through Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Removing this regulation will hurt growing small media businesses who will not have funds necessary to compete with larger competitors and will stifle innovation through competition. As a online gamer, continued net neutrality will ensure that all games and their parent companies will have equal access to a marketplace (the internet-connected gaming servers) that is not rigged in favor of those with larger pockets. Lastly the small number of ISPs and the high potential for conflicts of interest should make this regulation necessary under the banner of freedom and ethics.

554. Kimberly Barry, Travis AFB, CA, 94535

I want you to keep title 2 , and maintain net neutrality. If you don't, you're dirty and dishonest and America will rebuke you for it.

555. Kimberly Mercer, Suisun City, CA, 94585

I support strong net neutrality over site of title 2. Please do not change. Thank you.

556. Dimitrios Fourniadis, Live Oak, CA, 95953

Net neutrality is too important to be put in danger by rescinding title 2. Please respect your responsibility to consumers and refrain from caving to corporate interests. For once prove that this administration is not just a gaggle of soulless corporate shills. A level and speedy internet for all consumers and businesses, big and small, is the very essence of a fair free market. Asking large corporations with a vested interest to police themselves is foolishness of the highest order.

557. Chase K, Davis, CA, 95616

I support strong net neutrality, as it's the modern means through which my

voice, and the voice of many others, may be heard. Allowing an ISP any means in which to limit or direct our connection to the internet, limits our freedom of information and expression. Currently Title 2 oversight of ISPs appears to be the best way to ensure net neutrality. Ajit Pai's stance on net neutrality and Title 2 is either logically flawed or fueled by ulterior motives, either of which are concerning. Please inform him and those aligned with him to give this more thought and to reach out and get the opinions of those who it could possibly impact negatively, not just those who stand something to gain from it.

558. Nicole, Davis, CA, 95616

I adamantly believe in net neutrality and would like it to remain a strong part of internet protocol.

559. Stephanie Herman, Woodland, CA, 95695

Please keep net neutrality protections in place under title II. Please protect my freedom to choose the online sites I visit, the companies I choose to do business with, and the entertainment I choose to consume. As someone who depends on the internet in both my personal and professional life, I am concerned that revoking the protections that are in place will make ISPs excessively powerful and ultimately lead to a costlier, less diverse internet. I experienced data discrimination in the past, when my ISP throttled back the speed at which I could access Netflix in order to pressure that company during a negotiation. If I wish to withhold money from a company for any reason, whether it is because I do not like the product, how it is made, or any of a million other reasons, that is my right. That is my power as a consumer. No third party should be able to use my power to their own ends without my knowing consent. Nor should ISPs should not be allowed to force me to use its collaborator's product over a competing product. As a consumer, I get to pick the product that serves me best. If a company wants my business, they should do a better job of meeting my needs. Revocation of the net neutrality protections opens the door for ISPs to limit my access to products they'd rather I not buy. That's not freedom. Steps should be taken to prevent and prohibit this type of behavior. Once again, I urge you to keep Title II protections in place, and protect internet users like me. Thank you for your time, Stephanie Herman

560. George Soares, Orland, CA, 95963

I strongly demand the FCC preserve Net Neutrality! The chairman says he wants to eliminate Net Neutrality. He is a former lawyer for Verizon, but he is still working for the industry, not the American consumer! To deregulate the industry and expect ISPs to voluntarily play nice in the consumer marketplace is foolish thinking.

561. Andrew Ames, Fairfield, CA, 94533

I support the principle of net neutrality.

562. Shawn Mayr, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please keep net neutrality a reality by continuing Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thanks!
563. John Arnold, Clearlake, CA, 95422  
I strongly support net neutrality and embrace Title II net neutrality rules. Protect net neutrality! Net Neutrality should remain the law of the land.
564. James Esdaile, Woodland, CA, 95695  
I strongly support net neutrality, without it the internet can not be free.
565. Quintin Casl, Davis, CA, 95618  
Do not reclassify ISP's as title 1. They should remain Title 2. Do not put net neutrality in jeopardy. I support a strong net neutrality and believe that attacking it is wrong and harmful to american interests and society in general. Also go f\*\*k yourself Ajit Pai, you are a disgusting human being.
566. Stefan Blandin, Winters, CA, 95694  
Net Neutrality: I believe in an open and free internet without fast lanes, slow lanes, or anything lanes; I believe the FCC should continue classifying ISPs under title 2; and I support actions by the FCC to keep it that way. I believe fast lanes are anti-business as it hurts the little guy who can't afford their paid high speed service. Hope this reaches a human being, and if so, have a good day.
567. Carl Zichella, Davis, CA, 95616  
Don't mess with net neutrality. Leave it under Title 2 where it has real meaning.
568. james mcconnell, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Please support and enforce net neutrality with Article 2
569. Jaime Nieto, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
I specifically support strong net-neutrality, back by title 2 oversight of ISP.
570. Anthony Adams, Nice, CA, 95464  
I am extremely supportive of the earlier decision to reclassify ISPs under Title II. I also believe the decision to do so protected net neutrality. I am asking you to oppose any change to the current rules governing net neutrality or the reclassification of ISPs under Title II.
571. Craig Lowe, Davis, CA, 95618  
I support a neutral internet where internet providers are unable to influence what I see or interact with online. The best way to accomplish this is to fully support the continued classification of ISPs as Title II entities. There is no such thing as an open line of communication when the ones who own the lines have the power to throttle, ban, or otherwise influence the communications

transmitted and can wield that power in whatever way suits their business interests at the time.

572. Adam Bogan, Yuba City, CA, 95991  
I am an educator. Net neutrality is important to make sure my students are able to fully participate in the market of ideas. I do not want outside parties to dictate what my students can and can not see as they explore, research, and discover. The beauty of the internet is that it allows interaction of like minds across great distance, nothing should impede those minds from meeting.
573. R Horn, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support the preservation of net neutrality under Title II regulation. Please do not deregulate!
574. Shane Strauss, Davis, CA, 95618  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. You should too!
575. E, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
Please do not diminish net neutrality in any way!
576. Christine Elise Brewin, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please preserve Net neutrality under title 2 oversight. Thank you
577. Christopher Diner, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
I strongly urge you to keep Net Neutrality under Title II. It is important to keep the internet free and accessible for everyone.
578. Curtis, Davis, CA, 95616  
Don't change the net neutrality laws. It's bullshit if you do.
579. Craig Sutter, Davis, CA, 95618  
Preserve net neutrality. It is the right thing to do.
580. Julia Simon, Davis, CA, 95618  
I strongly support net neutrality and urge the FCC to maintain the Title 2 classification for Internet Service Providers.
581. kyle ray, fairfield, CA, 94533  
why not make the internet a utility?
582. David Scheer, Davis, CA, 95618  
We need to preserve net neutrality through title 2 oversight for ISPs.
583. Jason Najera, Davis, CA, 95616

Dropping Title II would be a massive mistake, with real ramifications for consumers and little benefit for ISPs. As someone who has to do his homework online, apply to jobs online, and largely schedules trips and real-world recreation using utilities on the internet, I can think of nothing more harmful to the national interest than to jeopardize this incredibly valuable public resource.

584. Kyleigh Jacobs, Davis, CA, 95616

I am in full support of a strong net neutrality and for ISPs to be under Title 2 regulations!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

585. Gabriella Renteria, Davis, CA, 95616

Preserve net neutrality rules under Title II please.

586. Mark Fountain, Orland, CA, 95963

The internet and its access is absolutely a public resource that should not be controlled by the ISP's. Please do not change the "net Neutrality" rules. This will stifle progress, free speech, commerce, and provide multi-national corporations control over this vital public resource.

587. alex kane, Rumsey, CA, 95679

I support strong net neutrality, backed by strong oversight of ISP's.

588. Carlos Maldonado, Fairfield, CA, 94533

Keep it fair and competitive to help control cost to the consumer. Thank you

589. Ginger Gee, Davis, CA, 95616

I support strong net neutrality using Title 2. Our ISP should never control what information I see, and our government should protect the public from the greed of corporations.

590. Major Joseph Caruso, Vacaville, CA, 95687

I want to express my support for net neutrality and specifically leaving internet service providers subject to Title 2 of the Communications Act.

591. William Kendrick, Davis, CA, 95618

We need strong Net Neutrality; keep ISPs regulated under Title II.

592. Elise Elwood, Davis, CA, 95616

Please do not get rid of net neutrality. I strongly support FCC regulations that keep internet providers listed as title II entities. Getting rid of net neutrality will help very few people at the expense of everyone else's ability to express themselves and have access to information and resources that are important to them.

593. Jackson Williamson, Davis, CA, 95616

If you want to reclassify ISPs, or mess with net neutrality, you can go EFF yourself. Don't be like Legere; recognize that name. Any erosion of neutrality you WILL regret. We will make sure of it.

594. Tyler Simms, Woodland, CA, 95776  
I am in favor of strong net neutrality and Title II designation for ISPs.
595. Mary Burke, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Removing Title II could really hurt entrepreneurs who depend on a level playing field re: fast internet access. This proposal will significantly hamper progress in general. It will likely be a while until someone invents a new internet, so we need to work together to keep the one we have free and open.
596. Uriel de la O, Davis, CA, 94611  
We write this brief to express our direct request for you to maintain strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. We fully support Net Neutrality, an Open and Fair Internet, so as to continue with a level playing field for all.
597. alberto, Dixon, CA, 95620  
Please keep current net neutrality rules we need the fcc to protect us from big internet companies and keep them in title II don't allow them to make the rules force them to follow them.
598. Robert Gatley, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Dear Ajit Pai - I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Do not let ISPs be relegated to Title I oversight. You have an obligation to protect the public now as chair of the FCC. It is incumbent upon you to act with integrity and without bowing to corporate interests.
599. April Booth, Davis, CA, 95618  
I strongly believe in net neutrality and its protection under title II. Do not remove this protection.
600. Steve Pigg, Davis, CA, 95618  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. We don't trust some ISPs to give us free and open access to the internet without it.
601. Marilyn L. Herbison, Suisun City, CA, 94585  
Keep Net Neutrality under Title II - do not change to Title I
602. E, Suisun City, CA, 94585  
We need to protect what is already in place!
603. e, Suisun City, CA, 94585

Please keep net neutrality as it is currently, under title 2 protection. Thank you

604. Charles Sievers, Suisun City, CA, 94585

The classification of Internet service providers as common carriers under Title II must be maintained, not abandoned. FCC Chairman Pai has no data to back up his claim it has done any harm to the carriers or the economy. In the 21st century, information is now a de facto utility like water and power. Modern business and even personal lives have become dependent on it. It is past time that it is recognized as a de jure utility.

605. craig clark, el macero, CA, 95618

Net neutrality is very important to me. I want to keep big money from controlling what I see by slowing down contrary ideas and competing companies.

606. Karun Dhillon, Fairfield, CA, 94534

There's absolutely no way rolling back Title 2 or replacing it with a laxer law will benefit us. It will only benefit larger corporations that can then slow our internet down just to spite each other

607. Eric Cortez, Suisun City, CA, 94585

I support strong net neutrality, and Title II.

608. C, Dixon, CA, 95620

I can't believe we have to go through this yet again. We fought long and hard to keep corporate mitts off our internet, yet here we go again. The American taxpayer paid for the development of the internet years ago. Why am I not getting a dividend check for this from Comcast, Verizon, and ATT. They make piles of money using the technology the American taxpayer paid to develop, why no royalty check from the corporations?? We insist on a fully FREE AND NEUTRAL INTERNET!! No corporate monkey business behind the scenes!!

609. Evan Steel, Davis, CA, 95618

Do not screw the people over in favor of corporate interests who don't care about us or the environment or anything good.

610. David J. Jefferson, Davis, CA, 95616

I support strong net neutrality. Please retain the classification of broadband as a common carrier under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 and the net neutrality regulations that went into effect on June 12, 2015. Thank you.

611. Beth Foraker, Davis, CA, 95616

As a regulatory agency I ask you to hold the best interest of the populace above money. I ask you to make a decision of integrity. Please make sure and keep the Title II provision so that Net Neutrality can be maintained. Americans are

counting on you to do the right thing.

612. Bradley Montgomery, Sacramento, CA, 95673

Please, please, PLEASE keep net-neutrality protected under FCC title 2 rules if not stricter. The affable disregard that is shown by the American Government towards any ISP or associated corporation's greed and dubious attempts to monopolize and control has recently become very frightening. The very nature of our Capitalist culture encourages large corporations and ISPs to take advantage of the system and choke out competition as opposed to continued competing. However, the government is meant to keep corporate competitors evenly matched, so that the public benefits from their competition. When one company can easily monopolize an entire industry and tip the balance by investing in Washington, the public loses - the consumer becomes controlled by the corporation. Please help to keep the internet a free medium for everybody in America, by keeping any influence over it away from entities that might stand to profit by it. Like America, the Internet should be FREE!

613. David Johnson, Plumas Lake, CA, 95961

In order for the Internet to remain free and open, ISPs need to remain regulated under Title II. ISPs have proven that they cannot regulate themselves and continue to grow towards monopolies in their markets rather than give consumers better, more available, faster internet. Net Neutrality is important for America to remain relevant in the world removing title ii protections will greatly endanger that.

614. Andrew Matera, Davis, CA, 95616

Support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs™s. It is critical to ensuring a fair internet.

615. Michael Foster, Davis, CA, 95616

Dear Mr. Pai, Do not do away with net neutrality. Net neutrality preserves the internet from being taken over by corporate interests (such as Verizon, ComCast etc) and keeps it in the hands of the people where it is supposed to be. I support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE 2 OVERSIGHT and I hope the FCC with continue to preserve it. Thank you for taking my opinion into account.

616. Azaria Chavira, Plumas lake, CA, 95961

ISPs needs to remain under title II. Only a free and open Internet is acceptable and only regulations will keep it that way.

617. Bill Starr, Davis, CA, 95616

Please do not weaken existing protections of network neutrality. It is very important that freedom of information is protected and that we maintain oversight of ISPs under current Title II rules.

618. Katherine Finnigan, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please keep net neutrality rules under Title II. Do not let the internet fall under the control of corporations.
619. Calina Copos, Davis, CA, 95616  
As a university instructor and academic researcher, it is crucial for my research, work, and teaching to be able to access information and data on the internet freely and unbiased from internet providers. I am writing to express my strong support for maintaining and enforcing net neutrality! I am outraged to know that the current government administration is threatening to take away the laws protecting and enforcing net neutrality. From a scientific and education point of view, removing regulation protecting and enforcing net neutrality are a direct threat to the future of this great country.
620. Kristin McKee, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
Preserve title 2 to ensure net neutrality for now and in the future. Put the consumer before big corporations and say no to Trump's assault on smart, ethical regulation.
621. Glyn N, Davis, CA, 95616  
I strongly support net neutrality and Title 2. I urge the FCC to refrain from changing these policies.
622. Andrew Van Steinburg, Davis, CA, 95616  
I strongly support stringent Net Neutrality rules, under Title II of the Telecommunications Act.
623. Eric Braynt, Marysville, CA, 95901  
I support maintaining Title II classification of ISPs, which should be upheld in order to keep monopolies and unfair business practices in check.
624. Dylan Peralta, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2. The internet should be regulated as a utility. Don't let ISPs throttle data to sites of their choosing. There is no real competition among ISPs to prevent abuse.
625. Daniel Mokrauer-Madden, Davis, CA, 95616  
I do not trust internet providers to protect the system that allowed the internet to grow and thrive. Real oversight is needed by a strong government agency that can penalize providers that flout the rules.
626. net neutrality, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support keeping ISPS under Title 2 and maintaining as well as keeping the current system of net neutrality as formed by the Obama administration post 2010.

627. Jonathan Terra, Davis, CA, 95616

I am writing to urge you to preserve Net Neutrality and enforcement of Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934. The current FCC Commissioner's attempts to nullify Title 2 implementation by linking Net Neutrality, the switch to stronger oversight under Title 2 in 2010, and "regulation" more generally, on the one hand, to lower levels of private sector infrastructure investment, on the other, are demonstrably false. The Commissioner's claims have even been contradicted on the record by the Executive VP and CFO of Verizon (on a recorded call on December 9, 2014 with company shareholders). Verizon, which provided legally-binding counter-evidence to Commissioner Pai's claims of the allegedly deleterious effects of Title 2 (their communication with shareholders must be truthful by law), was coincidentally his former employer as legal counsel. This clearly suggests that his interests as a policymaker are on the side of industry, not the taxpayers who finance his salary, notwithstanding the glaring contradiction between the FCC Commissioner's recent public statements and the private assertions of his former employer when speaking with shareholders. The Internet is a public utility, not merely a platform for private companies to manipulate through favorable legislation in order to maximize their income streams at the expense of unhindered user access. Net Neutrality and the maintenance of Title 2 regulations are the basis for recognizing and protecting this. American voters and taxpayers are watching and waiting for a decision on this important issue. The FCC's course of action is very likely to influence levels of citizen activism and electoral behavior in 2018 and beyond. Thank you.

628. Kelly Barrett, Yuba City, CA, 95991

I highly encourage you to keep FCC regulations on net neutrality, specifically title II, to remain enforced.

629. Yukio King, Woodland, CA, 95776

We need to preserve net neutrality backed by "Title 2" oversight of ISPs.

630. santos, Dixon, CA, 95620

Keep to net neutrality

631. Maxine Zhao, Davis, CA, 95618

Preserving net neutrality is important, and it should be protected under Title II supervision of ISPs. Please tell your boss Ajit Pai to not mess around with this, and also that his Reese's mug is dumb and makes him look like a mansplainer. (Is he one? You'd probably know more than I do.)

632. ken vanover, Vacaville, CA, 95687

Let my search be free from others paid content. I want my search to be given the chance of finding non paid Web sites. Thank you for listening to me. Ken,

karen and Michael.

633. Terry Cloper, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
I want to keep net neutrality under Title 2.
634. Mosheem Khan, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Please keep net neutrality and Title 2. It is very important to me
635. internet, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Net neutrality is vital to both equality and equity. The internet is the largest source of information foresably available, and all should have equal access to recieving and posting information.
636. Jamie Rivera, Orland, CA, 95963  
Net neutrality under Title II is working. There is no reason to change this.
637. Richie Barnard, Plumas Lake, CA, 95961  
I oppose the FCC moving to give corporations more control over throttling internet speeds and/or playing favoritism to increase profits further. I think the internet should be considered a public utility.
638. Steve Rogers, Yuba City, CA, 95991  
Net Neutrality MUST remain in place in order to continue growing one of our most precious resources. Open and Free Internet for everyone is absolutely essential for every American, regardless of social or economic standing. FCC, please do not allow powerful companies with agendas to dictate how we consume our information or entertainment. We beg you.
639. Stephen H. McMahon, Davis, CA, 95616  
I live in a location with only one community-wide broadband provider, and that provider has a history of blocking and degrading traffic in ways that restrain competition with its own content offerings. I believe that only Title II restraints are adequate to prevent anti-competitive behavior by ISPs.
640. Laura Benninger, Davis, CA, 95616  
To Whom It Concerns, Please keep the internet rules as they are and prevent companies from giving unequal treatment to different websites, people, or services. The open internet is a key component of our democracy, it is one of the American institutions that makes me proud to be an American and makes me want to support our country. Our President has stated that he doesn't want to stifle small businesses, "the true engine of our economy". Please help our nation to support this goal. Net neutrality is fundamental to an open exchange of ideas and information " this is required if we are to support small business, start-ups, and innovation. Please ensure that ISPs are regulated fairly so that preferential treatment cannot be bought. Thank you for listening to your

constituents and representing them fairly.

641. Net Neutrality, Davis, CA, 95616  
Net Neutrality is a core value to Americans in the ever-evolving world of technology. We ABSOLUTELY cannot allow companies to buy their way into controlling what we use on the internet, that is simply preposterous.
642. Isaac Goldstein, Davis, CA, 95616  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and do not want any change made to ISP oversight. The internet should be treated as a public utility, and no company should be given special priveleges.
643. Rowan Hart, Davis, CA, 95616  
Keep the regulations! Those companies can't be trusted. A regulated internet is an open internet.
644. Ryan Brant, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Please retain Net Neutrality! Don't give my privacy and Internet usage away to Big Business! Big Business needs and requires strong government oversight to control its natural tendency to abuse and usurp my rights.
645. Paul Weissich, Jr., Vacaville, CA, 95688  
I support net neutrality and oppose the idea of allowing internet service providers the option of manipulating availability of web content.
646. Laurel Shaw, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Do not remove the title II protections for the internet. It would allow the ISPS to dominate small sites. It would ruin the fair market principles America stands for.
647. Kim Tyler, Clearlake, CA, 95422  
Equal access to information is critical for democracy- please protect net neutrality with Title II
648. Linda Sims, Suisun City, CA, 94585  
In support of a fair and open internet. Modifying would be unfair to small businesses and the consumer.
649. Roger Bruchay, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Protect net neutrality. Do not eliminate our rights.
650. Kandice Richardson Fowler, Woodland, CA, 95695  
I believe in Net Neutrality. Please protect Title 2 that keeps ISP's accountable.
651. 1, Olivehurst, CA, 95961

I believe Internet service providers (ISPs) should give consumers access to all legal content and applications on an equal basis, without favoring some sources or blocking others. The FCC should do everything possible to maintain net neutrality.

652. Ryndie Azevedo, Davis, CA, 95616

It is essential that the FCC maintain the designation of internet service providers as Common Carriers under Title II. The internet is no longer an optional service, instead it is one that is woven throughout the fabric of our daily lives. Enforceable net neutrality rules, under Title II, maintain this free market access so that all companies have equal footing when providing and selling their services on the internet. Without it, large companies and telecom corporations would have undue leverage over the fates of smaller or competing services. For the average citizen, the regulation of ISPs as Common Carriers under Title II ensures the free marketplace of ideas, wherein corporations with certain political ideologies or business interests can't throttle the views of the other side. Net neutrality represents some of our most important values as a nation, valuing equality and the preservation of freedom of thought and information. I implore you to maintain the designation of ISPs as Common Carriers under Title II and preserve net neutrality as it stands today. Thank you.

653. Yu-Yang Tai, Fairfield, CA, 94533

Restriction and Regulations on the internet of this variety is a violation of my and everyone else's first amendments rights. Desist immediately!

654. jim Wiltschko, Davis, CA, 95616

I strongly support net neutrality and type 2 classification of ISP's. To reclassify them back to type 1 would create serious disadvantages for small business owners like me.

655. Laura Hackett, Davis, CA, 95618

Please preserve the Net Neutrality Act and Title 2.

656. LizMerry, Davis, CA, 95616

The ISP's already have way too much freedom to divert, constrain, and manipulate internet users content. Please keep the ISPs under title 2 and preserve Net Neutrality. Internet providers should be just the infrastructure, not the editors of content.

657. Brent Dayley, Plumas Lake, CA, 95961

I support net neutrality and Title 2. Please support equal treatment of all Internet traffic and leave the rules of net neutrality in place.

658. Courtney Reed, Davis, CA, 95616

I believe that FCC classification of ISPs under Title II should remain intact.

Preserve net neutrality. Just because a kid complains about his bed time doesn't mean you don't put him to bed, know what I mean?

659. Sherri Sandberg, Davis, CA, 95616

Please preserve net neutrality and keep intact Title 2 that ensures that the internet is a level playing field for all users and not favoring corporate users that can afford to purchase faster and preferential internet access.

660. Nadya Sanchez, Davis, CA, 95616

I am writing to say that I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Respectfully yours.

661. Rebecca Hogue, Davis, CA, 95616

Net neutrality now; net neutrality forever! Support Title II!

662. Jillian Haynes, Smartsville, CA, 95977

I strongly believe in internet neutrality. And I believe that changing the laws pertaining to net neutrality will lead to ISP providers taking advantage of consumers. Please leave the net neutrality laws in place. Do not reclassify back to title 1.

663. Forrest Miller, Yuba City, CA, 95991

Do not ease the net neutrality rules! Net neutrality is essential for an open and accessible internet.

664. Heather Martin, Davis, CA, 95616

I support strong oversight to pressure Net Neutrality and Title 2.

665. joel bauman, Davis, CA, 95618

I strongly urge you to preserve net neutrality and consumer protection under title II. Please do your job and protect the public from unfair corporate practices. Thank you.

666. Siobhan O'Keefe, Davis, CA, 95616

I support strong open internet regulations under Title 2. Internet is an absolute necessity in the modern world and should be regulated like a public utility. In many areas, there's only one or two internet providers. For example, there is only one provider in my town that provides internet at speeds fast enough for streaming. If my internet provider slows my access to netflix, etc, I have no other options!

667. Mark Koch, Wheatland, CA, 95692

I'm very disturbed by the notion that this has come up so quickly after ISPs had been moved to Title II classifications. The alleged reasoning behind this procedure is based on a very small sample size of information regarding the

impact on the ISPs with the new regulations. They haven't had enough time to feel the full impact of the change after adaptation, nor have they had the ability to streamline their procedures and finances to adjust. This proceeding may well be worth evaluating in the future, but for now it is simply too soon.

668. Jessica moore, Fairfield, CA, 94534

Net neutrality under title 2 protection is vitally important to the unbiased and free distribution of information. The FCC Open Internet Rules are extremely important and I want them to be protected.

669. Trenton Gallagher, Fairfield, CA, 94534

The internet needs net neutrality. ISP's are a utility and should have no ability whatsoever to monitor or manage traffic through their services.

670. Julian Watt, Davis, CA, 95616

Please retain the current net neutrality laws. ISP's cannot be trusted to self regulate. Look what happened last time a powerful group were relied on to self regulate (banks) we ended up with the GFC, for which we are still paying for.

671. Andrew Whitehead, Davis, CA, 95616

I strongly encourage you to maintain strong protections for net neutrality by preserving the regulatory rules under Title II

672. Jen Roach, Davis, CA, 95616

I strongly encourage you to maintain strong and effective protections for net neutrality by preserving the regulatory rules as outlined in Title II

673. Maria, Fairfield, CA, 94535

It is imperative to preserve net neutrality by allowing the Open Internet Order of 2015 to stand. ISP providers should be subject to Title II of the Communications Act.

674. Cameron Carroll, Winters, CA, 95694

Net neutrality is important and needs to be protected. Please stop ISPs and congress from unregulating Internet freedom. This is important for businesses and stopping companies from paying to speed up access to their websites. Please hold up title 2 regulations.

675. Charlie Schreiber, Davis, CA, 95616

I strongly support Net Neutrality protections backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

676. Richard Marquez, Davis, CA, 95618

Maintain Title II regulations on ISP's! Business corruption cannot be allowed into the FCC!

677. Peng Shao, Davis, CA, 95618  
I support classifying ISPs under Title II of the communications act.
678. Maryanne Budetti, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
I'm writing in support of current net regulations passed in 2015. Please preserve net neutrality and Title II.
679. Alan Stein, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
I'm writing in support of current net regulations passed in 2015. Please preserve net neutrality and Title II.
680. Issac Yeomans, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
I believe in preserving net neutrality. It's the fair way to our internet Democrat.
681. Taylor Monk, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
Net Neutrality is absolutely mandatory to the peoples' rights in regards to access to the internet in it's entirety. Without Title II our freedoms will be trampled by large corporations and the public's voice will be in a perilous position of forced silence. Don't let every person's rights be trampled to fill a quota, retain Net Neutrality.
682. isp, woodland, CA, 95695  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 over site by ISP.
683. Jerry Lambert, Dixon, CA, 95620  
I support strong net neutrality rules and am opposed to making reductions to title II controls on ISPs.
684. Kelsey Wolf-Cloud, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please preserve net neutrality and its status under Title II
685. Ilene Dillon-Fink, DAVIS, CA, 95618  
There is no way this action of killing net neutrality is in the interest of the people of this country. I'm in total opposition.
686. Margaret Neu, WOODLAND, CA, 95776  
The Net Neutrality Rules are essential to keeping the big ISP's out of our personal business. I'm shocked that the Republicans want to change this
687. Nicole Cheronas, DAVIS, CA, 95616  
Wow! I mean seriously! You already just agreed to sell my browser history to the highest bidder and now you are doing this? Tell me
688. Diane Steele, DAVIS, CA, 95616  
I am truly outraged at the prospect of losing net neutrality. I want my own

interests to determine my data input on the internet

689. cathy balan, CAMPTONVILLE, CA, 95922  
net neutrality is a right for all!!
690. Karen Harris, DAVIS, CA, 95618  
Leave our internet service be as it is
691. Sharon Heath-Pagliuso, DAVIS, CA, 95616  
This will kill the free flow of info.
692. Becky Cecena, VACAVILLE, CA, 95688  
Please preserve net neutrality - a free and open Internet.
693. Deborah Wood, FAIRFIELD, CA, 94533  
Why must you torture average citizens with everything? Can't we have anything decent?
694. Stephanie Harvey, MARYSVILLE, CA, 95901  
The very idea of this is disgusting- proof yet AGAIN that the rich want to control EVERYTHING & if there's money to be made on something
695. F Wildman, WHEATLAND, CA, 95692  
Internet is a necessary infrastructure - limiting access is UnAmerican.
696. John Wooldridge, RIO LINDA, CA, 95673  
This resource is too important to leave to the control of corporations.
697. Stanley Dawson, DAVIS, CA, 95616  
Prevent takeover by the big corporations.
698. Dick Holdstock, WINTERS, CA, 95694  
This is very important to me as a retiree
699. Joseph Staron, CLEARLAKE, CA, 95422  
Why do we have to keep petitioning for the same thing we already won several times?
700. Cassandra Hargitt, DOBBINS, CA, 95935  
we are sick of this
701. Bob Panzer, VACAVILLE, CA, 95688  
The public needs strong rules to protect an open Internet. Otherwise
702. Candace Smith, MARYSVILLE, CA, 95901  
The Internet keeps me ALIVE on a daily basis!

703. Lauren Matelski, WOODLAND, CA, 95695  
Please don't end net neutrality. It protects vital channels of communication and information.
704. Lloyd Gieg, VACAVILLE, CA, 95687  
I am not fooled
705. James Mariner, YUBA CITY, CA, 95993  
We need complete neutrality. Take the reigns away from these nazi bastards.
706. Cynthia Clark, FAIRFIELD, CA, 94533  
Please keep the net open to all!!
707. Carol Cruickshank, WOODLAND, CA, 95776  
Please do NOT begin the journey of turning America into the next China. Just as we were guaranteed the right to free speech by the Bill of Rights
708. Debra Phillips, VACAVILLE, CA, 95687  
why are we even back to discussing this? We keep saying again and again we want to keep the net neutral. Only idiots do not understand that WE DO NOT WANT MONOPOLY INTERNET DEALS!
709. Sherrill Futrell, DAVIS, CA, 95618  
Don't be a whore for big ISPs. We know your name.
710. Vic Saravia, SUISUN CITY, CA, 94585  
We once had a Pony Express which became the Post Office to allow the country to communicate.\r\nUNITED States.\r\nThe internet serves a similar function of allowing people to communicate
711. Robert Holdener, FAIRFIELD, CA, 94533  
We need to stop this attack on the internet so that a tiny handful of people can a obscene profits. The internet is far to vital and important to turn it over to corporations who have shown they are unable to handle this commodity with responsibility.
712. Mona Whitney, RIO VISTA, CA, 94571  
As one of your constituent
713. Marvin Metzger, CLEARLAKE, CA, 95422  
Freedom of expression is the fuel for democracy. Oppression is the weapon of fascism.
714. Kari Peterson, DAVIS, CA, 95616  
Internet is a utility. It must be available to all equally.

715. Cathy Cretser, VACAVILLE, CA, 95688  
Everyone in the U.S. deserves free and open internet access. (also healthy air)
716. Julia Newcomb, DAVIS, CA, 95616  
Defend NET neutrality!
717. Judith Smith, FAIRFIELD, CA, 94533  
Net neutrality is a requirement for a free
718. Ann Mine, DAVIS, CA, 95616  
Please treat the net as a public utility -- it serves well that way. Thanks
719. Frances Shaffo, DAVIS, CA, 95616  
net neutrality should be a cornerstone of a free
720. Allan King, VACAVILLE, CA, 95688  
Stop robbing the public
721. Judy Wydick, DAVIS, CA, 95616  
PLEASE retain net neutrality. We need strong rules to protect an open internet!
722. Leslie Jones, DAVIS, CA, 95616  
Without net neutrality the internet will no longer be a source of information for everyone
723. Donald Bruun, WOODLAND, CA, 95695  
This is another end run from the Trump administration to limit news and information to what they want you to see. This is modern era book burning
724. John Arnold, CLEARLAKE, CA, 95422  
Net Neutrality should remain the law of the land. Protect net neutrality!
725. Barbara Goldman, DAVIS, CA, 95616  
Free and open internet is essential in today's society. It is essential that the interests of users are protected and primary
726. Virgil Vigil, DAVIS, CA, 95616  
The problem in this country is we don't have ordinary people making decisions that affects the masses. We either have the elite wealthy or their representatives working to benefit those select few. Until the United States realizes that people of this country are the people who make or break this country
727. Steve Burns, DAVIS, CA, 95616  
Do not make the internet a divided space. Open access and equal ability to utilize it has led to great things. Corporatizing it for great profit will be a large

step backwards.

728. Clark Crandall, YUBA CITY, CA, 95991  
The stinking money grubbers. Pretty soon they will charge us for air!!! and I don't mean the air ways.
729. Johanna Kovacs, UPPER LAKE, CA, 95485  
Protect net neutrality with strong Title II rules.
730. Rick Moniz, DAVIS, CA, 95616  
Keep net neutrality and no concessions to the providers: comcast
731. Lois G. Owens, RIO VISTA, CA, 94571  
Just another example of the government gutting our freedoms.
732. Fred Nolan, YUBA CITY, CA, 95991  
Don't kill net neutrality. We deserve a free and open Internet with strong Title II rules.
733. Pamela Huntzinger, DAVIS, CA, 95616  
Net neutrality is good for EVERYONE except heads of giant corporations. Killing net neutrality would be a clear act of betrayal to all of us.
734. Lauren Matelski, WOODLAND, CA, 95695  
We don't want internet "slow lanes" or any censorship of content!
735. Lisa Holm, VACAVILLE, CA, 95688  
Net neutrality is fundamental to a real democracy (which I don't believe we are right now).
736. Diane Moore, DAVIS, CA, 95616  
I'm old and technology is difficult enough at my age. Don't make it worse!
737. Rose Beeler, Suisun City, CA, 94585  
I am requesting that you preserve net neutrality rules and the Title II authority used to enforce them
738. Sooriyah, davis, CA, 95616  
I support net neutrality in the title 2. Please don't screw America up just cos you got Trump up your ass
739. Lauren Gonzalves, Davis, CA, 95616  
PROTECT AND PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II!!!! Don't lie to the American people about what this protection does and does not do for us. ISP are full of greed and in the pockets of the FCC. PROTECT NET

## NEUTRALITY!!

740. Tim Beeler, Suisun City, CA, 94585  
I believe strongly that the independent services (not ISP's) would be depreciated in favor of services that directly benefit the ISP. Please protect and enforce title 2
741. Elizabeth Brock, Davis, CA, 95616  
I strongly support maintaining net neutrality. An open internet is vital to the economic success of all Americans. Don't cave to a few big spenders.
742. Ben Kussmann, Yuba City, CA, 95993  
Stop trying to fool us with titles like 'Restoring Internet Freedom', This action wishes to do the opposite. Keep Net Neutrality going unless you want America's ability to innovate to be crippled.
743. SHIRLEY SHIMIZU, Yuba City, CA, 95993  
Please keep tolls off the internet. The public needs free access to information.
744. Joseph Rush, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Do not remove internet service providers from Title 2 status. The current environment of internet infrastructure does not allow for enough competition to let consumers switch to another provider if their current one decides to break net neutrality and offer fast lanes for their own content.
745. Cicely Senten, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.!!!
746. Leon Guzenda, Rio Vista, CA, 94571  
Our City is served by two major and one local Internet Service Provider. Most residents have no choice of provider and the majority cannot receive true broadband, primarily due to the lack of competition. If the net neutrality laws are rolled back to their pre-2015 state the major providers, particularly Comcast, will be able to throttle access to services, such as media streaming, that compete with their own, further reducing competition and degrading the value of the Internet to their customers. The providers have shown in the past that they are also prepared to shut down a wider range of competing services, such as electronic wallets (AT&T, Comcast, T-Mobile and Verizon shut out Google Wallet a few years ago). They may also provide their own newsfeed and shut out sources that don't pay them for the privilege or demand fees from consumers to view content that the provider does not own. It is imperative that the FCC not yield to the demands of powerful, highly profitable corporations that provide services that only one third of their customers are satisfied with. We urge you not to change the current net neutrality laws or to further strengthen them in the interests of consumers.

747. Ya-Chen Lee, Davis, CA, 95616  
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY UNDER TITLE IIFor the future of the US  
we should all have equal to internet contents
748. Reginald Dones, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
I have concerns about the net neutrality rule and would like it to have full  
transparency for all citizens. We want fairness across the board for all internet  
services and for no one company to have control over any other services or  
blocking or participating in slowing other services down to gain benefits for  
themselves. "May Force be with you"
749. Brian Sattler, Woodland, CA, 95776  
A "voluntary agreement" by ISPs "not to obstruct or slow consumer access to  
web content" is insufficient to ensure that the nature of our digital economy will  
remain competitive. It is in the best interest of consumers and small businesses  
that the internet remain open and not become a "pay to play" marketplace.  
Please keep ISPs subject to Title 2 requirements.
750. James Yoon, Davis, CA, 95616  
An effort to reclassify ISPs as Title I providers would weaken the ability of  
current and future commissions to enforce network neutrality regulations and is  
short-sighted. I strongly believe that ISPs could and would quickly monetize  
non-neutral delivery of content if given the opportunity, as it would represent  
the best interests of their shareholders, which expect the highest rate of return  
on their investments. Corporations do not act in the public interest unless forced  
to - they are beholden to their shareholders.
751. Julia Catherine Farrell, Davis, CA, 95616  
I do not support any movement against net neutrality. Please discontinue this  
proceeding.
752. Heidi Hazlehurst, Woodland, CA, 95776  
I support Net Neutrality and Title 2. The FCC needs to preserve our First  
Amendment rights and not sell out to Companies and profit. Stop chipping  
away at our First Amendment rights!
753. Tori Lewsader, Clearlake, CA, 95422  
Keep Net Neutrality and title II
754. Susan Padgett, Davis, CA, 95616  
I oppose this proceeding and strongly support net neutrality.
755. Skylar Downes, Davis, CA, 95618  
If the United States hopes to continue to be competitive on the international  
stage, maintaining Net Neutrality protections is critical.

756. Danielle McManus, Davis, CA, 95616  
I call on the FCC to support robust net neutrality supported by Title II for ISPs. In other words, I strongly oppose the (deliberately misleading) Internet Freedom proceeding. Furthermore, I'd like to point out the shameful recent conduct of the FCC and particularly Ajit Pai related to net neutrality, from attempting to make the public comment form both more difficult to access and to fill out, to willfully misleading the public in order to benefit corporations. You should be utterly ashamed of yourselves. We're watching your actions closely.
757. Brian Wickstrom, Woodland, CA, 95776  
I do not agree with the FCC trying to repeal Title II net neutrality. Do not give in to private ISP's.
758. Ira Gray, Woodland, CA, 95695  
I am filing in support of keeping the internet open and fair for everyone, and keeping Internet Service Providers (ISP's) classified as title II common carriers. As a consumer, I feel threatened by the implications that "Fast Lanes" and other paywalled services could exist if the current rules and regulations were relaxed/removed, and do not support the removal/relaxation of such regulations. I fail to see how limiting access to certain kinds of content behind "fast lanes" or paywalls would benefit me as a consumer, or as someone who potentially wants to launch a web service. The internet should remain an open and level playing field for everyone involved. Best regards,Ira
759. Barbara Anderson, Davis, CA, 95616  
In the 21st century, the internet is as vital to daily life as was electricity in the 19th century. It should be regarded as a public utility. No favoritism should be shown to any one supplier of that utility. Please support net neutrality.
760. Kaylin, Davis, CA, 95616  
Having free access to the internet is important to me and many others living in the U.S. I need access to the internet in order to communicate to my friends daily, read articles and journals for classes, and simply, to have access to content and media that I enjoy. It is crucial to helping me understand the world and helping me grow as a person; without free internet, I and many others will only be taking a step back in furthering human advancements. Please support Net Neutrality.
761. Viola Mitchell, Yuba City, CA, 95993  
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, I urge you to restore our internet freedom and roll back Obama's internet takeover. Regards, Viola Mitchell 1363 Dustin Dr Yuba City, CA 95993"
762. Cristina Torres, Woodland, CA, 95776  
Because it's the one thing in this world that allows open communication with

anybody with a computer, in any part of the world, and taking that away from us would only drive people apart.

763. Cathy Cretser, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
because I've found people with similar interests to mine
764. Cleo Masur, Clearlake, CA, 95422  
The internet is very important in my life as a retiree, and as a caretaker of my brother, who is disabled. i am able to help my brother with all sorts of health care issues, and follow up with those who he may depend on. He is in another city, so this is especially important. I am able to look up goods and services for him also. He is unable to use the internet.
765. Lawrence Snyder, Davis, CA, 95616  
It's free speech in action. It has given amazing new ways to interact with the world. It's spawned innovations in business and communications. DO NOT screw with the Internet - only a few greed-blinded short-sighted types want that.
766. Karen leung, Davis, CA, 95616  
Keep your greedy hands off of the internet. I don't want you letting company's telling me where to go and charging more to go to places I like. We e stopped you greedy asses once and we will again. Love Chris shelton
767. James Packer, Elmira, CA, 95625  
The Open Internet makes it possible for me to connect with potential clients and suppliers everywhere. Easy Internet access is making my business boom, and if access is restricted, it will reduce the number of people I can reach with my services, and directly impact my ability to keep my business thriving. Stand up for the masses of people who would otherwise have difficulty connecting with the Internet and keep ALL businesses able to reach the broadest possible sector.
768. Carrie Garland, Davis, CA, 95618  
Please keep companies under Title 2 so that the FCC can actually enforce its rules and regulations. Companies like Verizon have continuously shown that they are only interested in profits and will do whatever they can to help raise them. Relaxing regulations will not help maintain net neutrality. The internet providers have already been in trouble for having a bias towards their advertisers which is why these rules were enacted in the first place. The internet providers are businesses and are only in it to make money for themselves, which is understandable. The FCC needs to maintain strong regulations to help protect the consumers. Where I live I only have two internet providers to choose from which means they mostly have a monopoly. Please help keep the internet neutral for those of us who don't have many options to choose from.
769. marieke furnee, Oregon House, CA, 95962

Preserve internet neutrality!!!Fair protection under title II

770. Victoria Howard, Davis, CA, 95618  
This is unAmerican to attack the Net. Leave Net Neutrality alone!
771. Kagen Zethmayr, Davis, CA, 95618  
In this day and age, it is ethically impossible to claim - let alone swear on taking office - to uphold and defend the Constitution without also committing oneself to uphold and defend the principles of freedom of speech and assembly which net neutrality defines on the virtual level. Therefore, to undermine or seek to repeal it as a legal policy is inherently unconstitutional and in violation of the people's natural sovereignty.
772. Gage Fisher, Davis, CA, 95616  
Losing Net Neutrality would be destructive for anyone not named Comcast or AT&T. Companies already screw over people and this just gives them the green light to go further.
773. Kenneth David, Davis, CA, 95616  
I still think that Free, Open internet is the only way to go.
774. Ian Roberts, camptonville, CA, 95922  
Net neutrality is an issue that affects billions, as 95% of the population has access to the internet. Let's set a good precedent and keep our internet neutral!
775. Linda winterburn, Davis, CA, 95616  
Imperative that we keep net neutrality!
776. Christian DuVair, Davis, CA, 95616  
I believe Title 2 is the appropriate section for the Net Nuetrality issue. Keep the current regulations in place. I do not believe ISP's can be trusted to regulate themselves
777. Catherine Haskell, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support the recent changes that put internet access issues in title 2 out of title 1. We want to see appropriate oversight for Net Neutrality, not to have it left up to ISP providers
778. Pierre DuVair, Davis, CA, 95616  
I join John Oliver and his position that net Neutrality should remain covered in Title 2. I do not think ISP providers can be the watch dog. I urge you to reject the new review.
779. patrick long, Davis, CA, 95616  
Net neutrality is important. Keep title 2 oversight.

780. Hannah Kaiser, Davis, CA, 95616  
Keep Title 2 oversight on net neutrality!
781. Steven Schmidt, Davis, CA, 95618  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Please do NOT take away the regulations. Thank you.
782. Eric May, Davis, CA, 95618  
I oppose the loosening of net neutrality protections by moving away from Title II. The argument that the ISPs will hold themselves to these standards on their own is not well founded. Without the regulatory oversight, the ISPs over time will chip away at net neutrality over time.
783. Kelly Welchans, Davis, CA, 95618  
I oppose loosening net neutrality protections by moving away from Title II. Without regulatory oversight, the ISPs will not hold themselves to these standards and will chip away at net neutrality.
784. Prescott Williams, Davis, CA, 95616  
It is foolish to think that service providers will not set up a tiered system with the fastest service provided to the highest bidder. The internet has become what it is because access has been equal. It is irresponsible and short-sighted to allow net neutrality to be compromised.
785. Samuel Durkin, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
Please leave the internet alone...we need freedom of the press, since newspapers have vanished!
786. James Dawson, Davis, CA, 95618  
Because it represents equality and equality equals democracy.
787. James Wilson, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
To honor my Dad who, as a member of the Canadian Signal Corps helped build a radio station in/near Churchill Manitoba in 1923-24 and later helped General Electric develop TVs.
788. Ji Heo, Davis, CA, 95616  
Stop this attack on consumer rights. Stop letting the powerful ISPs from getting even more powerful. Stop stifling innovation and allowing few giant companies to bully and blackmail other businesses. Just stop.
789. Dianne Bryant, Yuba City, CA, 95993  
Why do you not want Net Neutrality? As a Christian I believe you would be acting for the best interests of all humans, so why not support Net Neutrality? Support Net Neutrality!!!

790. Allan King, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
What part of servant of the public do you not understand? Do you always have to be a pillow boy for the rich?
791. Sue Garrett, Clearlake Oaks, CA, 95423  
Free and open communication, and that's what the internet enables, is the foundation of our democracy. Anyone who messes with that free and open communication should be charged with treason.
792. J Hays, Davis, CA, 95616  
With fake news and skewed courts we need information more than ever. Don't choke off the flow of information.
793. Troy Vinton, Beale afb, CA, 95903  
A free and open internet protected by title 2 allows for fair and free flow of information and access. Be it a new startup or a college researcher.
794. Jack Sahouria, Suisun city, CA, 94585  
Unfettered access is essential for everyone's normal daily life.
795. Gil Medovoy, Davis, CA, 95616  
I do NOT want to find myself PAYING for services that were ALWAYS, since the creation of the internet, available for free to the public. We do NOT deserve to to have CORPORATIONS dictates what the rest of us should have access to. Your threats are SHAMEFUL!!!
796. Almerindo Ojeda, Davis, CA, 95616  
The internet is a public asset, not a commodity the 1% can profit from.
797. Cathy Balan, camptonville, CA, 95922  
the internet is where I do business. It is a matter of JUSTICE that we all have equal access. Net Neutrality is the answer! Tired of the corporate greed and corruption taking over the world!!!
798. Johanna Main, Davis, CA, 95616  
I strongly back net neutrality enforced by title II regulations. Do NOT deregulate ISPs.
799. Vincent Metzger, Clearlake, CA, 95422  
The free flow of information is the blood of democracy. Attempts by autocrats to control information is the task of fascists. Choose: freedom or darkness.
800. Estel Porras, Orland, CA, 95963  
Net neutrality must be maintained, in order for us to have a fair and level playing field, to utilize the first amendment. This is imperative!

801. Lesley Byrns, Davis, CA, 95616  
We need a communication system that offers equal access to all subscribers. Do not let the wealth of the few once again attempt to control our world. Capitalism means fair and equal competition. Retain net neutrality!!!
802. Natalie Murphy, Clearlake, CA, 95422  
Internet service providers should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites.
803. Lawrence Snyder, Davis, CA, 95616  
Anything other than Net Neutrality favors large corporations over an individual's right to unbiased feeds and information. You KNOW this, yet Chairman Pai pretends otherwise. Be fair and keep the Internet open.
804. Mari Lewis, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Preserve strong net neutrality laws
805. Amanda Canning, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
I support net neutrality backed by title 2 over site of isps.
806. Karin de Varennes, Davis, CA, 95618  
Net Neutrality should be preserved under Title II.
807. Ramsey Hufford, Davis, CA, 95616  
Support title two and keep net neutrality. Appreciate it
808. Jamie White, Davis, CA, 95618  
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai,I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations. Internet service providers should not be allowed to determine (or even have the opportunity to determine, whether or not that take advantage of that opportunity) how I experience the internet. The only way to guarantee this protection is to keep the current regulations in place. Thank you!Jamie
809. Robert Isman, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please maintain strong net neutrality and preserve Title 2.
810. Nicholas Spada, Davis, CA, 95616  
We support net neutrality and keeping ISPs under Title II.
811. Jarrod S. Anderson, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
I strongly support the internet remaining a Title II classification. It should remain 100% open with no option for any ISP to restrict connection speed to

any site .

812. Jason Rose, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Please keep net neutrality in title 2. This will prevent filtration and sale of internet speeds. Keeping the internet non biased.
813. Nicole Gill, Suisun City, CA, 94585  
I believe in net neutrality and support regulations remaining in Title 1.
814. Michael Maddox, Davis, CA, 95618  
I strongly support net neutrality and ask you to continue to classify internet service providers (ISPs) as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
815. Benjamin Dally, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Mr Pai, I want to express my STRONG support of stringent net neutrality backed by Title II OVERSIGHT of ISPs. I cannot even begin to understand why the FCC would support regressing these regulations. Again, I support net neutrality and Title II. Sincerely, Benjamin Dally
816. Marjorie L. Longo, Davis, CA, 95618  
Continue to classify internet service providers (ISPs) as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 (PDF).
817. Mike Cash, LOWER LAKE, CA, 95457  
KEEP Title II for ALL ISPs and Maintain Net Neutrality. Please
818. youchan kim, Davis, CA, 95616  
I am in strong support of net neutrality! I am against no. 17-108.
819. Internet, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
I feel strongly that ISP's need to be kept under title II. I do not trust them to "voluntarily" play fair when it comes to not hampering competitors in an already choked market place.
820. internet, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Do not repeal the net neutrality title 1 and title 2! This is a freedom of speech issue!!!
821. Nate Hartinger, Davis, CA, 95618  
I want to preserve net neutrality under title II. A free internet is more important than corporate profits.
822. Jesse Isaacson, Davis, CA, 95618  
I would like to express my support for Net Neutrality and Title II oversight of

## ISPs

823. alex mathews, san joe piscipo, CA, 66209  
no other country even questions net neutrality
824. Elizabeth Gobbo, Davis, CA, 95616  
The country and the world need net neutrality. Please protect equal access for all citizens.
825. Keith Schroeder, Marysville, CA, 95901  
I want strong Net Neutrality Rules under Title 2.
826. Amanda Dotson, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
I support strong net neutrality rules under title 2. The internet must be fair and without a pay to play format. Title 2 must stay.
827. Net Neutrality, Davis, CA, 95618  
I would just like to express my abhorrence and anger that the FCC might repeal Net Neutrality. Net Neutrality is such a vital element of freedom in the digital age that we live in, and if it is revoked there would certainly be horrific consequences for the liberty of the citizens of the United States of America.
828. 17-108, Davis, CA, 95616  
I strongly support the net neutrality laws backed by Title 2. Please protect them.
829. Rosalia Burns, Davis, CA, 95618  
Net neutrality is important to us. Regulation has clearly not impacted the investment in infrastructure and does protect the consumers. We do not agree with these companies being left to regulate themselves.
830. Ajit Pai, Elverta, CA, 95626  
Hello , My name is Samuel England and I support the regulation of ISPs under title 2 of the Communications act of 1934.
831. Elena Neale, Davis, CA, 95616  
Preserve net neutrality in accordance with Title II
832. Marlene Cooper, Travis Afb, CA, 94535  
I support net neutrality laws enforced by strong Title II oversight on ISPs.
833. Jeff Kessler, Davis, CA, 95618  
Keeping an open internet is a a fundamental human right. By removing existing Net Neutrality protections, we are jeopardizing the freedoms and rights of millions of Americans to allow for profiteering on behalf of a small subset of industries. Bandwidth should and usage restrictions for websites should not be

limited -- that provides power to a few large entities, that have negligible variable costs, to create arbitrary restrictions and priorities. Open internet is vital for democracy, for innovation, and for the economy.

834. Rob Stone, Davis, CA, 95616

I strongly oppose the proposal to modify the Net Neutrality rules. Please do not modify or reclassify its position from a Title 2 regulation. It needs to remain Title 2. Private companies should not have the discretion to throttle the speed of information from any source for any reason. The internet is a public utility and we should all have equal access to all information without the influence of a profit motive.

835. Mario Salvagno, Woodland, CA, 95776

The only way to maintain Net Neutrality and by extension, a free & equitable internet for all, is to MAINTAIN TITLE II classification for ISPs. Regimes come and go, along with their stupid mugs, but the internet is now and forever. Keep your greedy hands off of our internet Mr. Pai. This is America and we stand for freedom. Those of us paying attention to this wretched administration will not let your team of ghouls unleash the "invisible hand" where it does not belong.

836. Kelley Humphrey, Yuba City, CA, 95991

I support strong net neutrality through title II oversight of ISPs. It is very important to me that net neutrality is preserved by maintaining title II.

837. N Ostrom, Davis, CA, 95618

I support strong net neutrality and I support Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not remove this import oversight function.

838. dyannen palmer, Orland, CA, 95963

Please keep net neutrality, thank you

839. Benjamin Wiebe, Davis, CA, 95618

I support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISP's) for several reasons. The first reason is that title two is a powerful oversight tool that is good to have, and does not always need to be used. The second reason is that title two oversight prevents ISP's from extorting websites by intentionally slowing down the speed until they pay more money. A similar example would be if my car was an ISP, and the different streets were different websites. Assuming I take certain streets to avoid traffic, it is a serious problem if my car goes 30 mph on one street, 60 mph on a different street, and 2 mph on a third street. Title two oversight promotes competition by ensuring that every business with a website gets a fair and equal playing ground, whether the business employs 10 people, 100, or over 1000. In addition, ISP's have a lot of power in certain areas where competition is slim, and consumers do not have a

lot of options (or any other options) from which to receive their internet, and therefore need regulation to ensure internet speeds are not slowed down for any suspicious reason. People can choose not to use Facebook, Google, MSNBC, or Fox. Some people cannot choose to not use Verizon, or Comcast, or AT&T. Finally, ISP's simply cannot be trusted to regulate themselves. I do not know any two words that do not fit together more than "self" and "regulate". To add on to that, putting a notice in the terms of service is not strong enough since companies can change those at any time. I beg you, the FCC, on my hands and knees I plead, to support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISP's. Many topics should be open to debate. An open, free internet for everyone should not be one of them.

840. Karen McCall, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
Net Neutrality must be preserved and maintained to ensure free and equal access to the internet and all it contains.
841. David Dralle, Davis, CA, 95616  
I want to express my strong support for the continuation of current net neutrality rules under Title II. Please protect our internet. Best, David Dralle
842. Dallton Hisey, winters, CA, 95694  
I support net neutrality with title 2 enforcement. We are living in the golden era of the internet and we need to keep it this way.
843. Enrique, Davis, CA, 95616  
Title 2 of the internet neutrality act needs to be maintained in order to help maintain check and balance in our country.
844. Donald Pinckney, Davis, CA, 95616  
I would like the FCC to know that I specifically support strong Net Neutrality which must be backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
845. Javier Banuelos, Woodland, CA, 95776  
I support net neutrality. Internet Service Providers must remain under Title II regulations.
846. Cameron Lane, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
As a US citizen who depends on the free and open use of the internet for both work, entertainment, and education, I support protecting Net Neutrality and Title II classification of Internet Service Providers. The Restore Internet Freedom act will do nothing more than harm small businesses and individuals who rely on an open web for their livelihood. Furthermore, this grants ISPs the ability to control what we consume online. This includes news, education, and all other media outlets. Overturning Net Neutrality would be a slap in the face to our First Amendment rights as Americans. We the people of America have

voiced our opinions countless times about Net Neutrality. Listen to the people whose rights you are supposed to be protecting.

847. Anne Morrison, Woodland, CA, 95776

Dear Decision Makers:I am a teacher in a rural area. Please keep the internet neutral. Students should have equal access to high quality, fast internet. The internet is where students learn, research, and manage information and reports. Making the internet available only to the rich, or companies is wrong.

848. Alexis Eastwood, Davis, CA, 95616

To whom it may concern: I am writing because I am concerned about ending the policy of net neutrality. I do not wish ISP's to be able to determine what sites I am able to visit and at what speed I may access them. The internet is far more like a utility now, and should be treated as such. The company providing my electricity does not determine what appliances I may use, so I fully expect my ISP to not determine my internet usage and access. Another concern I have are the alleged "unlimited" plans mobile providers provide, but in reality, there are actual caps on data for whatever sites or services that the provider has not "approved." As a consumer, if I am paying for a service, like electricity or gas, I do not have caps on that service as long as I pay the rate stated by the company. I do not want internet to be treated any differently. Thank for taking the time to read my comment.

849. Matthew Erickson, Fairfield, CA, 94533

The internet is such a vital part of our day to day life. Please don't allow big business to decide how we can use the internet. Net neutrality must be kept in place so that we can keep one of our last freedoms.

850. Brian, Davis, CA, 95616

I specifically and strongly support net neutrality under Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934. I believe net neutrality is fundamental to the dissemination of information and services on the Internet.

851. james potter, clearlake, CA, 95422

facebook is using bad scripts to slow down or even freeze peoples computers who do not agree with their views... it is high time that the FCC takes control... i will continue to report their illegal activities on the !st amendment.

852. james potter, clearlake, CA, 95422

this is the message i get when i try to use their site... and it is a blatant attack on our first Amendment rightsSecurity CheckAn error occurred while processing this request. Please try again later.Hit reload on your browser to refresh this page if this image doesn't load.Can't read the text above?Try another text or an audio captchaText in the box:What's this?If you think you're seeing this by mistake, please let us know.Cancel now i have let them know and they have just

plain ignored me... it is time for you to take control of what Facebook does.. they need to be fined considerably for their blatant attack on the First Amendment

853. james potter, clearlake, CA, 95422  
if facebook is allowed to continue their illegal actions of silencing only conservatives...(which by the way is illegal, but I shouldn't have to tell you that) then Free Speech will be nothing more then an after thought... It is time you started an investigation in to facebooks real crimes... they are using bad scripts to slow down or even freeze peoples computers because we will not bow down to them... they use their TOS as a badge of honor and iu have read this and it it an affront to the First amendment... now as i see it Facebook is doing illegal actions against the first amendment... which as i looked it up is illegal... please help us from facebooks illegal bans
854. james potter, clearlake, CA, 95422  
illegal bans like this are plaguing facebook and it needs to stop...Security CheckAn error occurred while processing this request. Please try again later.Hit reload on your browser to refresh this page if this image doesn't load.Can't read the text above?Try another text or an audio captchaText in the box:What's this? If you think you're seeing this by mistake, please let us know. i keep letting them know and yet I am still getting these... time to clean house
855. Cathrine Bratton, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Net neutrality is an essential part keeping information and access open to all people regardless of how much money or power a person has.
856. Frederick Lewis, Winters, CA, 95694  
I strongly believe that this bill should NOT be passed and that congress should not consider passing the bill, it is the first step to stripping people of their privacy and net neutrality. Do not allow ISPs to sell browsing history.
857. Ron Lewis, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Please respect net neutrality and people's privacy. Do NOT pass this bill to rollback old regulations. As a young computer scientist in this world, this is HUGELY important.
858. Ian Ehlert, Davis, CA, 95616  
I am strongly in favor of Net Neutrality and keeping broadband internet regulated by Title II. As a web developer, I understand how important it is that all internet traffic be treated equally. If that weren't the case during the internet boom, we wouldn't have had the innovation that we did.The argument against using Title II seems to be that "we didn't need it in the past, so why do we need it now?" This simplifies the issue way too much. In the past, ISPs didn't have the technology or the computing power to analyze every packet that they

carried, so they couldn't favor one company/site over another, at least not cost-effectively. The technology DOES exist now, and HAS been used in the past. Remember when Comcast and Verizon were shaking down Netflix to not throttle traffic to their site?

<https://www.theverge.com/2014/3/20/5530898/netflix-blasts-comcast-and-verizon-on-net-neutrality-some-big-isps> There are other examples as well, but I'm trying to keep this brief. This HAS been a problem in the past, and Title II was the only thing that was able to prevent ISPs from this uncompetitive behavior. Now you want to remove it "because we don't need it". I think you want to get rid of it because you're in the ISPs pocket. Simple as that. Don't fuck over the American people so you and your buddies can get a little richer than you already are.

859. Jason Aller, Davis, CA, 95618

Net Neutrality is absolutely needed, and is a legal encoding of how the Internet operated for decades. To overturn net neutrality is to damage the function of the Internet which will in turn damage the economies of any country that uses the internet.

860. Evan Aylsworth, Davis, CA, 95616

Keep net neutrality and back a strong title 2! We need complete net neutrality!! It's best for our nation and the world!!

861. Shawn Hampton, Elk Grove, CA, 95757

I greatly support strong net neutrality backed by title II (of the Communications Act of 1934) oversight of internet service providers.

862. Matthew Johnston, vacaville, CA, 95688

The internet is a valuable resource, not just for business, but for the workings of democracy and free speech. Negating this ability to freely communicate ideas through letting businesses decide what is easily accessible is tantamount to minimizing free speech. Keep the FCC's regulatory ability, for the sake of the country (and the world's) future.

863. 1, fairfield, CA, 94533

Title 2 - Free Open Internet - OR NOTHING AT ALL!! StoneAge

864. Nathan Hodges, Vacaville, CA, 95687

I support keeping ISPs under Title 2. What the FCC is currently proposing is anti-consumer. Maintain net neutrality as it currently stands. Don't be idiots.

865. Benjamin F Fifield, Plumas Lake, CA, 95961

The internet needs to be regulated under Title II. Stop trying to kill the little guy!

866. Charlie Henschen, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support strong net neutrality regulations, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. In fact, I believe the internet will not properly function without them.
867. Manu Paul Singh Dhaliwal, Yuba City, CA, 95993  
I support leaving the existing net neutrality rules in place. Internet providers need to be classified as utilities for the sake of protecting both business and consumer interests, and to promote freedom of press and information.
868. Jennifer Friedman, Davis, CA, 95618  
Treat the internet like an utility.
869. Kevin Burnham, Davis, CA, 95616  
I strongly support title II regulation of internet providers
870. Nancy Vitavec, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
I strongly oppose Ajit Pai's proposed changes to Net Neutrality rules. I'm asking the FCC to stand up for Internet users like me and protect rules that keep the internet open and free for EVERYONE. We must ensure all voices online stay equal " that no one website is prioritized over another. Thank you.
871. Stephanie Perla, Davis, CA, 95618  
Hello Mr. Pai, Do not mess with the current net neutrality regulations. Stephanie Perla Davis, CA
872. Bryan Barnhart, Davis, CA, 95616  
I oppose this proposal. I strongly support maximum protections for net neutrality. This proposal will harm and diminish net neutrality. This proposal should be abandoned immediately.
873. Jourdan Perla, Davis, CA, 95618  
No. We've been over this before. Net Neutrality is essential to a free, open, and functional internet.
874. Bryan Barnhart, Davis, CA, 95616  
I strongly oppose this proposal because I think that it invites chaos and sabotage. I don't want the FCC to pick a fight with every computer geek who wants a free and open internet. We have enough problems in this country without making the US government Anonymous's number-one enemy.
875. Samantha Snively, Davis, CA, 95616  
Dear FCC, I support strong Net Neutrality protections, specifically under Title II of the Communications Act. I write on behalf of my writing students, who learn through open and unfettered access to information regardless of class, corporate affiliation, or ability to pay. I write as a researcher, who knows that a strong

society does not limit its citizens' access to information for profit or to undercut competitors. And I write as a private citizen, who will vote with my dollars and withdraw my business from companies who support removing net neutrality protections. Thank you for your time.

876. james poter, clearlake, CA, 95422

An error occurred while processing this request. Please try again later.this is the blatant attack on my first amendment rights... I get this error message all the time just for posting...

877. Travers Barnard, Plumas Lake, CA, 95961

Calling this a restoration of freedom is a total fucking farce. For those who strip us of our resources (i.e. an internet free of corporate control), we will not sit by idly. Continue to push the people, and the people will inevitably push back.

878. Katharine Harris, Davis, CA, 95616

I strongly support full net neutrality!!!

879. Hilary Mine, Davis, CA, 95618

I support strong net neutrality in the form of Title II to ensure an honestly robust level playing field for content across ISPs.

880. Paul Takushi, Davis, CA, 95616

The internet is a resource that is extremely valuable and necessary for the success of all of America's economic and educational interests. If the FCC rolls back net neutrality, these systems will suffer irreparable harm for decades. Please do not allow this to become your legacy. Please do not approve cuts to the Obama-era administration's rules regarding net neutrality for all US citizens and entities.

881. Brian Miller, Davis, CA, 95616

Please leave Internet neutrality rules in place governed under the Title II regulations. The current rules are working well to support open access and innovation.

882. nicole bourne, Davis, CA, 95616

I support net neutrality and strong enforcement of Title 2.

883. Net Neutrality, Davis, CA, 95616

I support title II protections of Net Neutrality from Internet Service Providers

884. Vickie Carr, Davis, CA, 95616

I strongly support Net Neutrality. I feel that in the 21st century access to information and being able to express one's opinion fall under the First Amendment. We need fair access to the internet to have a democratic society.

Allowing a corporations to create speed lanes and throttle access is fundamentally unfair and further erodes the Fourth Estate.

885. Rebecca Sammak, Davis, CA, 95616

The vast majority of my professional and personal communications occur over the internet and ISPs are correctly regulated under title II. There have been numerous incidents of ISPs slowing or blocking access to competitors content. It is a ridiculous standard that wrongdoing must be widespread before it is worth protecting the freedom of Americans to communicate. Net neutrality is an essential component to protect Americans' freedom. The fact that I am submitting these comments online is further evidence of the importance of broadband connections for communication.

886. Karlyn Lewis, Fairfield, CA, 94533

As retired owners of a computer development and design business, we know that the internet can be a make-or-break tool for small businesses. This bill would make it much harder for small businesses. We need to strengthen net neutrality protections, not weaken them.

887. Jon Robinson, Vacaville, CA, 95688

I am writing to oppose the subject proposal, which would eliminate net neutrality. The Internet is a piece of infrastructure just like a road or a bridge. Unfettered passage of information is what makes it work. Allowing ISPs to slow the content of some content providers in an attempt to frustrate customers into switching to content providers favored by the ISP, would be like allowing militias to place checkpoints on our roads and impose charges on those who don't adhere to their beliefs. This approach is anti-competitive, anti-democratic, and dangerous. Please think of the Internet as a piece of privately-operated public infrastructure like a road, a waterway or a wavelength and assure that it is used for the benefit of all, not to maximize the profits of ISPs.

888. Craig Brown, Suisun City, CA, 94585

I want to express my support for strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversights of ISPs.

889. Turner Kraus, Davis, CA, 95616

Save Net Neutrality! ISPs shouldn't be able to decide how we use the internet

890. Antonio Rojas, Plumas Lake, CA, 95961

Because of the intermingling between carriers and entertainment companies we need to keep the "Net Neutrality" regulation. Without it I believe that these companies will abuse their power to distribute content fairly.

891. Kathryn Shaw-Case, Woodlad, CA, 95695

You must preserve net neutrality and title II. Thank you.

892. Drew Sanders, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Net neutrality is a necessary component of the internet.
893. Richard Martino, Woodland, CA, 95776  
I am a Republican and I voted for Donald Trump. I also support strong protection of net neutrality under title two. I am against changing the regulations. Please leave the regulations alone.
894. Greg Lippincott, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
I am writing because I support mainting Net Neutrality. Please do not allow this to be changed.
895. Charles Sullivan, Davis, CA, 95618  
The FCC should continue to classify internet service providers under Title II of the applicable regulation/law and keep real net neutrality alive for all consumers and all companies. Do NOT re-classify internet service providers under Title I.
896. Support Net Neutrality, HOME, CA, 95961  
I'm supporting net neutrality because it is the one place we have that's truly free and for everyone. Where we can say, watch and choose to read anything with out intervention which as Americans we have every right to, so says the our amendments, so to the FCC stay the fuck out of the net you have no fucking right to sensor, sabotage other sites because they are less popular, or are not paying you as much as a rival site. This is everyone's everyone's everyone's everyone's free space, it promote individuality, and creativity amongst humans the very things that make us humans an amazing race on this planet. So please support net neutrality and keep our internet the way it is, FREE AND OUR'S.
897. Peter Scheel, Davis, CA, 95618  
I am very concerned that the FCC is not taking their responsibility to serve the people seriously. It has been made obvious that the vast majority of US citizens demand net neutrality, as do I. Only the biased and greedy internet service providers want to move away from the current rules that force neutrality. If anything, these rules should be made stronger, not weaker. Any move that weakens net neutrality will be considered a sign of corruption that can't be ignored by the people. The FCC should serve the people for the greater public good. If they instead choose to serve only the rich and powerful who make money off the people, a public outcry will follow that will further hobble this great nation.
898. Spencer Bowen, Davis, CA, 95616  
The current efforts on net neutrality, while housed in the rhetoric of online "freedom," would in fact infringe upon freedoms in our most commonly-held information highway.

899. Larry Walters, Suisun City, CA, 94585  
Uphold net neutrality rules. Support Title II regulation for the internet.
900. David Vernon, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support strong net neutrality with internet service providers classified under Title II.
901. Brendan Kline, davis, CA, 95616  
I think that internet service providers should be regulated as a public utility under title 2, the public does not have viable competitive options. In addition we have seen an increase of investment in IT infrastructure over the last 2 years as they have been put into the public utility category.
902. Bria, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2! It is vital to our society!
903. Kimberley Cotton, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Preserve Net Neutrality! Preserve Title II !
904. Tracy Wade, Browns Valley, CA, 95918  
I strongly support Net Neutrality, and I ask the FCC to protect it with the current Title II classification. The public good should matter in these discussions; why must we always sell that out to the highest corporate bidder? Telecom companies are currently making record profits and monopolizing their markets. They don't need the FCC to give them yet another way to bilk consumers. Please put the citizens of this country above corporate welfare and protect Net Neutrality. Tracy Wade
905. Quinn Shannon, Orland, CA, 95963  
I am a supporter of strong net neutrality backed by title 2 over site of ISPs.
906. john jaworski, vacaville, CA, 95687  
Keep Title II and leave Net Neutrality alone. We don't need the Government screwing this up.
907. Sherrill Futrell, Davis, CA, 95618  
Don't tell me this reg is entitled Restoring Internet Freedom. You're just letting the big internet companies rip us off - just as you planned. We're coming for you.
908. Bradley Poronsky, Davis, CA, 95616  
Preserve net neutrality and the oversight of Title II.
909. Ashleigh Armstrong, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
Keep net neutrality! Don't remove the ISPs from title two. Don't lessen the

restrictions.

910. Alex Leonardini, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
I, and millions of others across the United States, support Chapter II classification of ISPs and a regulated Net Neutrality. Please keep Net Neutrality regulated for all of us.
911. williams singh, Antelope, CA, 95843  
Pai stop your bullshit You money hungry asshole. For once think of the people instead of lining your own pocket. Stop this conflict of interest bullshitKeep Title 2
912. John Brun, Davis, CA, 95618  
DO NOT CHANGE NET NEUTRALITY RULES. The attempt to change the current fair and open internet rules is nothing short of outrageous. The rules were adopted after the overwhelming input(4 million+) of the American people. The industry HACKS trying to change the rules should go back to the swamp they came from.
913. Cristina DeVries, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The internet should be open and accessible fairly by everyone. Do not remove regulations. The average person will lose and it will be too expensive
914. Scott Williams, Yuba City, CA, 95993  
In many ways a free and open internet is required in a 1st world country.
915. Donnio Metz, Olivehurst, CA, 95961  
ISPs need to be regulated by title 2 despite the apparent ignorance of the chairman of the state of the internet prior to 2015. KEEP internet neutrality.
916. Devan Hawkins, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
It is a conflict of interest and unethical for the FCC chairman, Mr. Pai, who used to be a lawyer for Verizon (a company who is directly at odds with net neutrality) to spearhead the movement to destroy freedom of speech on the internet. Uphold Net Neutrality and fire Mr. Pai. He probably holds stock in Verizon and his agenda will directly affect Verizon's stock price. I've provided three news article links:(1): <https://www.theverge.com/2014/8/4/5968481/verizon-response-to-fcc-throttling-letter>,(2): <http://www.reuters.com/article/us-verizon-fcc-settlement-idUSKCN0W91W7>,(3): <http://www.pbs.org/newshour/rundown/can-internet-providers-cut-deals-sites-fcc-decide/>
917. Robert Johnson, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Dear FCC,I am incredibly unhappy with the current and looming threat to Net

Neutrality in the United States. It haunts me every day, and I fear the worst case scenario is approaching. Allowing ISPs to throttle internet connection speeds and charge special access fees to web destinations gives them far more power than they deserve. Allowing this to happen is akin to allowing the U.S. government to set up toll booths outside of people's homes and businesses and no one in America, no business nor consumer, wants that to happen. The only party this sort of thing benefits is the ISP. Passing any policy or legislation that allows for this to happen is against my beliefs and does not represent me, and for that matter many other people in the United States. Robert Johnson

918. Kelly Washington, Vacaville, CA, 95687

Net Neutrality is essential in continuing technology growth and an even playing field for everyone in the technology sector. Large ISPs that already have regional monopolies on internet access and repeatedly demonstrated their willingness and even eagerness to profit and even play politics by manipulating the data on their networks if given the chance. ISPs MUST be treated as a Title 2 common carrier and strictly regulated to ensure they treat ALL data the same and are not allowed to bias data based on profit or politics. The postman, fedex and ups can't ship my packages slower because they don't like what I mail or my political views, the phone company can't degrade my calls, charge more or disconnect me when I discuss views they don't agree with. Without strong Title 2 protections ISPs can, and WILL, degrade service or block entirely access to and by people with views they don't agree with.

919. Hunter Cornell, Davis, CA, 95616

I support net neutrality and keeping title 2 regulations on ISPs.

920. Carson Barry Dacus, Davis, CA, 95616

Dear FCC, You probably have received a lot of these comments talking about not letting the big cable companies take over the internet. Most of them are probably identical and so I understand if you don't read all of the comments and don't read mine. I am 23 years old and I am close to graduating from college with a BS in Computer Science. I am not an expert on your job, I don't know best course of action for society, but what I do understand is that you are going to have to make a choice on net neutrality and that choice will affect everyone around the world for generations to come. The internet is not bound by nations. It connects us all, which is why it is so very precious. Nothing in the history of humanity has anything been so good at connecting people than the internet. These companies want to control the internet, they want to control us. These corporations are not living human beings that make decisions based on human nature, they are essentially machines that will do anything they can to keep running. It would be very dangerous to let them have free reign. Please consider this choice deeply and do not take it lightly, as it will affect your lives and your families just as much as everyone else. Thank you if you have read this

comment, I appreciate your time. Carson Dacus Carson Barry Dacus

921. Mark Zima, Davis, CA, 95616

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to the quality of my life as an American. I plead with you: Please protect the rules that protect net neutrality. It is harmful to American freedoms and way of life, and my own freedom and way of life, for ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Don't let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. That hurts America. Censorship by ISPs is a serious evil to be resisted. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts American consumers and the majority of American businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. We need you to help stop him. If some companies can pay ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that capitalism requires in order to flourish, just to further enrich a few cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans and American businesses. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. I beg you. Thank you! Mark Zima

922. Rebecca Linvill, Davis, CA, 95616

Dear FCC, It is very important to me that net neutrality be protected! This is part of our First Amendment rights. Without it, big companies could censor people and perspectives online. Net neutrality protects free speech, small businesses and consumers. I urge you to keep the current protections for net neutrality. Thank you,

923. Patric Ray, Woodland, CA, 95695

I pay for access to the internet and I expect the same speed for all of it. Net neutrality guarantees that and is important for small businesses.

924. Suzanne King-Verza, Fairfield, CA, 94533

We need one place where everyone is equal in what they want to watch. Not one speed for the one company another for the small guy. We should be able to choose what we watch not some huge conglomerate. This is about the last place

we can say what we think. Small business can get a start. Everyone is equal on the internet but not if we have no neutrality on the internet. Now they want to seize that too. NO, huge companies need to let the internet be FREE. They should not be allowed to close down the internet. People in China have had their life saved by the internet. Other people have been able to find the things that have saved their lives, informed them, brightened their lives, plus have had their lives enriched by the internet. PLEASE keep the internet neutral. Don't let big business have the reins of the internet and ruin it for all the rest of us.

925. Howard Hershleder, Davis, CA, 95616

Please keep the internet neutral: Do not ruin net neutrality. That will hurt me as well as every other person using the net. Thank you.

926. tenique joseph, Vacaville, CA, 95687

I want the open web to remain! Do not take this away , it is taking our freedom rights away.

927. Matthew Silva, Fairfield, CA, 94534

How can creation of internet space be blocked by ANY company, or entity. ITS FREE SPEECH!

928. Dennis Johnson, Antelope, CA, 95843

FCC if you get rid of net neutrality then you are violating every bodies right to free speech which is protected under the U.S. Constitution which the founder Father's of this great nation created to protect the people of this great nation from the government becoming to powerful and from becoming too close to an oppressive dictatorship form of government.China, North Korea and many other oppressive countries have eliminated net neutrality.FCC, Do you want the USA to become one step closer to these oppressive countries?NO!!!! You DON'T!!!!!!!!!!Leave net neutrality alone!!!FREE our beautiful Country!!!! Keep it Feel!!!!Have an excellent fun laughter filled Month and Life, FCC!Take careTo World PeaceSincerelyJohn "Dennis" Johnson

929. M Shearer, Woodland, CA, 95695

Mozilla Firefox is my lifeline to knowledge and good information that I need to have a better life! If someone begins to charge me for a need (not a luxury) I will have to quit the internet. Keep net neutrality! Please be more fair in your choices and keep Mozilla Firefox, a terrific browser, as it now is. Thank you for your intelligent response to my request!

930. John, Fairfield, CA, 94533

Net neutrality is "fundamental free speech"™ as per the US Constitution.Net neutrality guarantees all citizens fair opportunity to be heard.Net neutrality ensures all users an equal share of all resources of the Net.Net neutrality allows the entire world to see the benefits of our Democracy.

931. Susan Carpenter, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Imagine if our telephone service were taken over by special interests. People would not be able to call whomever they wanted to call. An ailing loved one, would not be reachable. You know that the internet has expanded into a way for people to connect with each other, even check in on their loved ones. If you, the FCC, do not protect the internet as the Public Utility that it is, you have failed your job.
932. Gil Medovoy, Davis, CA, 95616  
This is a Free Speech issue! I will NOT compromise on this right. I want the freedom to explore my interests, on line without limitations/censorship!!!
933. Stan Gambrill, Davis, CA, 95616  
Abraham Lincoln described this nation as being "...of the people, by the people and for the people..." I want the internet to mimic this ideal and to personally let me feel free to access information and be accessed by sources of information - without restriction. Without decisions being made about my access by those who will profit by creating filters or a hierarchy of service.
934. Valerir Klein, Davis, CA, 95616  
An open internet is important for just about everyone. Teachers, students, private citizens depend upon free and open internet which is vital for exchange of information and as a level playing field for entrepreneurs and small businesses. Please preserve net neutrality!
935. Devin Johnson, Suisun City, CA, 94585  
Net neutrality MUST be protected. The freedom of access is one of the foundations of our country. Private businesses should not be able to dictate how and when we access information. It would be a tragedy if the FCC kills net neutrality.
936. jose a nieves, vacaville , CA, 95687  
Do not let corporations to take advantage off customers by invading their privacy and mine. leave the internet neutral.
937. Kirstin Adams, Davis, CA, 95616  
An open web is unequivocally required for a foundation of free and accessible knowledge, as well as communication, worldwide. Economic health is dependent upon it; social and educational welfare is dependent upon it. If, as a society, we wish to uphold values of equality, fairness, and freedom; if we wish to better mankind and nurture the incredible and innate human ability and desire to grow, adapt, learn, and improve--we must take the steps to demonstrate not only our commitment to those values but our commitment to improving the lives of human beings worldwide. An open internet is required for such goals. It was the open internet which allowed me, as an adolescent, to grow and learn

outside the confines of my narrow and stifling home environment. I grew up in an abusive family--the open internet enabled me to explore my condition, better understand it, and connect with others experiencing the same. Most importantly, knowing that my explorations were my own--unobserved, private explorations of my deeply personal experience driven by my own will and interests--gave me the security and confidence to rise above my situation. It was the open internet which allowed me to start my own business fresh out of college, utilizing the protections of an open web to help me grow and innovate. I became an economic node within the financial framework of my community, contributing goods, services, and taxes to my city, state, and country. I am a living, breathing, example of the vital protections an open internet provides. Abandoning the principles of net neutrality is abandoning me, and untold numbers like me, to the whims and abuses of an unjust system. Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started. Net neutrality allows consumers "not big companies" to choose what they watch & do online. NET NEUTRALITY MUST BE PROTECTED. As representatives of the FCC, and more importantly, as human beings, it is your responsibility to ensure that a technology which has become part and parcel of our modern human experience, protects the human rights of all people, and provides a fair and balanced playing field for all users. PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY.

938. Dennis Laduzinsky, Pleasant Hill, CA, 84523

Without Net Neutrality, ISPs have too much power to restrict what and when individuals can access information via the internet. Individuals must have choice,

939. Lester L. Faris, Live Oak, , CA, 95953

Too many of our basic freedoms are being taken away. Net neutrality allows us a choice in what we view.

940. Devan Hawkins, Vacaville, CA, 95687

Ajit V. Pai is the FCC Chairman. Before he took the position he was a lawyer for Verizon and his desire to destroy Net Neutrality is a continuation of his work and goals when he worked at Verizon and is a direct conflict of interest to his present position. He is full of crap! From his bio on the FCC site: "Chairman Pai has been an outspoken defender of First Amendment freedoms...Chairman Pai has also spoken out about threats to free speech here and abroad and has warned against government efforts to regulate the marketplace of ideas."

Really? Give examples. There are none! He is a liar who is totally against free speech. His desire to destroy Net Neutrality which will affect free speech on the internet, small businesses, and the American Dream prove he, Ajit V. Pai, is a piece of crap!!! Ajit V. Pai is not welcome in the United States of America.

Build a time machine and send him to Nazi Germany where he belongs. Ajit Pai

and Adolf Hitler both enjoy censoring free speech and they both hate the American Dream. Mr. Pai and Hitler were made for each other and will get along just great.

941. Lorinda Madore, Clearlake, CA, 95422  
If you have your nose into every detail of my life that means hackers, murderers and thieves of all kinds do too. How about you let the proper agencies look for these no good people and leave the law abiding citizens alone.
942. Brent Levin, Rumsey, CA, 95679  
Net Neutrality is important to me as an owner of a small farm business and member of the board of several non-profits. We depend on equal access to internet speed for our customers and members. We depend on equal speeds when we are doing research or shopping for parts we need. Please protect net neutrality.
943. Dr. Art Martin, Folsom, CA, 95639  
We do not need more oversight and control KI is bad enough now
944. jonas pedersen, woodland, CA, 95695  
The internet is supposed to be a place for innovation, breaking net-neutrality would bring an unfair advantage to large companies and thereby hinder innovation. Don't break net neutrality
945. Katharina Becker, Davis, CA, 95618  
From one of the first users of the World Wide Web at CERN in Geneva Switzerland--as an 18-year old intern, please respect the vision of Tim Berners-Lee; keep the internet open and accessible to anyone who has curiosity to seek information. Net neutrality will preserve world peace better than the chaos of corporate domination.
946. Jaria Thompson, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
This is not China or the Middle East. Please don't let anyone censor our internet.
947. Steven, Fair Oaks, CA, 95627  
Back off our internet freedom. You already control what's on tv.-C
948. Frances Schwei, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
Healthy competition makes America a leader in technology, medicine, the market, and etc.
949. Carla Lehn, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please protect net neutrality! Consumers are already losing so much, we must take a stand here.

950. TJ Hayes, Yuba City, CA, 95993

Odd - the breaking up by the Federal Government of AT&T / Pac-Bell was 100% business but touted as protecting the "little man." Yet, when something actually DIRECTLY affecting the individual and what we are told is literally our FUNDAMENTAL and "God Given" right, just this being entertained boggles the mind. China's "Great Firewall..." America Style. The CPC does it with flair, we do it with dollar signs in our eyes, and our fingers crossed behind our backs, with our hands over our hearts. Grew up with the internet, it is the most IMPORTANT THING that has ever happened to mankind as a whole. It has made it possible through sheer WILLINGNESS alone to rise above whatever economic or social constraints one may find themselves, and rise above it. If even just for themselves. It's not about having or having not wealth, and - The system may fail you, but don't fail yourself. There's free education and public services to help. The internet is a place that serves as a repository for all ideas. Good and bad. If capitalism and the "American Way" are truly believed in or valued in Washington, then why not trust over time the cream will rise to the top? 9/11 happened when I was in 7th grade. I grew up when the internet was just becoming the standard new way of mainstream communication. I have seen its evolution, and how quickly things can come and seem to take over, then they're gone as soon as they arrived. Both good and bad. NOTHING, not even safety - is worth allowing some small handful of people / groups / anything/one, control what people can or cannot do online in a large number. Sure - schools, work places, etc can do what they wish with their private property and "bandwidth," but someone paying for access from an ISP should not be beholden to said ISP's VALUE system, or ETHICS Code, or hell, even their "Accepted / Allotted time spent reading "Anti-\_\_\_\_\_ " (Anti net neutrality anyone?..) and begins blocking access to anything further related to it. Or tracks someone's IP who has been publicly critical of that ISP, or an affiliate / subsidiary of theirs, and begins to block access completely to that individual, even adding them to a shared BLACK LIST, that ISP's share to stifle "criticism" or the "Lunatics who make noise"

951. Bryan Lim, Davis, CA, 95616

I don't trust companies to keep my data safe without net neutrality laws to protect my personal internet usage. Please leave net neutrality alone.

952. Dave Dobson, Woodland, CA, 95695

I don't like websites filling in blank spaces while I am trying to try and direct me to what they think I want and not where I want to go.

953. Daniel Lewis, Davis, CA, 95616

I am trying to start a small business developing board games and tabletop RPGs. Without net neutrality, I cannot compete with the established members of the industry. Please keep the playing field level for artists and entrepreneurs!

954. Brad Smith, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
Please do not allow fast and slow lanes on the information highway. Everyone should be entitled to the fastest speed their equipment can provide without ISPs slowing down data transfer rates for people who cannot afford higher fees, or selling speed to those who can pay more. We need maximum speed for everybody. Please do not allow the big ISPs to complicate the issue. Keep it simple and equitable like it is now. Thank you.
955. Michael Dole, clear lake, CA, 95422  
The internet needs to be free, i don't want ads that support propaganda, I don't want my ISP to raise my prices or change my speeds, if anything, internet needs to be FREE, YES FREE.
956. Dan Rutledge, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
It is fundamentally inappropriate to turn over internet control over to corporations at the expense of the public. Corporate control us always about profit. The Internet should be open to all, unimpeded, as it is the world population's communication tool of today. It should remain open.
957. Joel Mandel , Davis, CA, 95616  
Net neutrality is the cornerstone of a vibrant and successful economy - just like the interstate freeway system.
958. Nick Iriarte, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
We must protect the internet. I want my children to be able to have a free and open internet. Demolishing net neutrality rules will kill small business. The internet belongs to the people.
959. MANUEL NUNEZ SAN ROMAN, OREGON HOUSE, CA, 95962  
We, the people, NEED Net Neutrality to protect our individual right of free speech and free choice while on the Internet, and small companies on the rise need it to reach new customers and grow. We must not allow that the Internet gets swallowed by the big sharks.
960. Jonathan Lambert, Davis, CA, 95618  
I'm tremendously concerned as a private US citizen about the fact of the FCC seems hellbent on rolling back net neutrality. Net neutrality is one of the few competitive advantage is that we have as a nation in the modern era. Equal access to the utility that is the Internet should be considered one of the fundamental rights of every American. It is a threat to the competitive future of this country if network neutrality is not maintained and supported. The current administration is dead wrong and supporting this, and it's heartening my results to get rid of them. For all the talk of supporting American business, this blatant disregard for the fundamental utility of the modern technology infrastructure the drives American, individualist, capitalist society is bordering on egregious. We

increasingly have one of the least competitive Internet access systems in the world, and the idea of allowing just a handful of corporations to tell the rest of the world, and all of the businesses in United States, whether or not they have equal access to customers in exchange for money or other deals would be a terrible, terrible mistake. The Internet without net neutrality is no longer the Internet. If someone wants to build an alternative Internet, let them. There is nothing stopping Comcast or any other provider for building a private Internet system, but if they're going to be in the business of providing access to the Internet to their customers, they need to provide unfettered access without restriction or favoritism. Trusting companies like AT&T, Verizon, and Comcast is out of the question. Their track record is terrible. I strongly object to this idea that we will have more competitiveness with net neutrality restrictions lifted. It is not true. I will definitely be casting my vote for whoever supports net neutrality, and I will definitely be building against anyone who supports the abolishment of this essential utility's neutral access. Our government should be in the business of rolling out much more broadband, and the regulating who owns the pole that the Internet runs on. We should be deregulating as much as we can about the Internet, in allowing private industry to drive it. However, the lack of miles of regions customer needs to be maintained as an open road. If we maintain open highway's for our digital economy, we will continue to prosper and outmaneuver countries like China and they're great firewall, and other organizations in countries that restrict their access for the last mile. It is orderly essential that we maintain open road, open Internet, and equal access for all. American businesses deserve customers that are really accessible, and not controlled by a handful of companies in the Internet access business. Anything short is a travesty. I sincerely hope the FCC is listening, because as a small business owner in the United States, I feel like you're not. I find myself beside myself with the shortsightedness of rolling back this particular piece of legislation. We have a hell of a lot of problems in this country, and giving away control of the last mile to a handful of companies isn't one of them. You people at the FTC Ohta be ashamed of yourself for making this a priority when you should be focused on helping grow and expand Americas digital technology empire, and focus on some of the pressing issues of industrial, corporate and national sabotage, hacking and information security that really need to be dealt with. This is not only a terrible idea, it's a distraction from things that actually needs to be handled. Quit your politicizing, and go focus on technology issues that matter, and keep the Internet open for all so that we can maintain a profoundly competitive America for generations to come. Just as open roads, and a national highway system has led to dominance of the American economy in a global basis, so the open last mile of the roads of the Internet, and equal access for even the lowliest among us, needs to be maintained so that America's influence will parallel with the national highway system did for commerce, digitally. Privatizing these roads, and allowing individuals who have no relationship to the traffic across them, and more importantly are already paid to

maintain those roads, is obscene. It's time for the government giveaways in telecom and communication to stop. These roads are the roads that the future of our country will be built on and keeping them open to all should be our governments first priority. I, and most of my friends, are deeply upset and concerned by the FCC's actions, and you do not reflect the values of many hardworking American businessman and women, who believe that we should keep things competitive, and not give away critical infrastructure to private hands for no purpose other than lining the pockets of your corporate donors. Stop selling our infrastructure to giant corporation, and stop giving away critical utilities that should be maintained by the government for the common good. I, and my aforementioned friends, are all for privatization, but this has nothing to do with that. Privatization is fine, and generally more efficient than the government, which is designed to maintain things and not necessarily the enemy. However, this has nothing to do with that. The Internet without open Internet access does not provide the utility that we need to remain competitive. In closing, I strongly encourage the Fcc to reconsider recent opinions, and refrain from the abysmally stupid move a rolling back this legislation. Let's keep Internet access open for all, and preserve the principles and competitive foundation we need to push forward into the 21st-century as a free and open republic built on American technology innovation.

961. Charles Smith, Woodland, CA, 95776

Keep net neutrality! We only have one broadband option, and we need it neutral!

962. Ted Swift, Davis, CA, 95616

The FCC must continue to protect net neutrality. A level playing field is fundamental to the American principles of equality and fair play. Despite appearances, most of the US economic production comes from small businesses and individual transactions, which would be disadvantaged if net neutrality were not preserved.

963. Kenneth Sartain, Rio Vista , CA, 94571

I believe Net neutrality is essential in protecting the American public from the agenda and profit motives of a very few corporations and another assault on our democratic process, since this legislation has displayed overwhelming opposition by the American public.

964. Peter J. Chenaille, Vacaville, CA, 95687

I fully support net neutrality. I do not want any big business to direct me to their preferred sites. I do not want censorship on the internet nor do I want the internet run by a monopoly. Theodore Roosevelt and others fought hard to prevent monopolies, lets not undo their work. I want to support small businesses with good ideas, not large corporations ensuring they make even more money. I

like to surf where I want to without any impediments to that surfing. Please support net neutrality and prevent large corporations from dominating our lives even more than they do now. Keep the internet a valuable resource for all. Keep citizens from being the victims of a few big corporate interests.

965. Deborah Wood, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Can't you just let us have one good thing without trying to ruin it for profit?
966. Susan Carpenter, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Every time we try to make something that the people want or need restricted or outlawed, a black market begins. I would rather have an Open Web where black markets are off the map for law abiding citizens like me. I don't want to be forced to break laws. Most people, given the choice, would rather not break laws either. Additionally I would add that an Open Web means open to EVERYONE including the FCC. Black market is not open. It's underground and in the hands of the people, not the FCC or anyone else of authority. This is a UTILITY. If you guys mess this up by trying to choke it, there may as well be no such thing as "utilities".
967. K Alcorn, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
FCC must protect net neutrality. Everyone should have access to fast internet without being held hostage to the whims and GREED of providers. It's an important learning tool, it's fundamental to free speech and small businesses. STOP choosing corporations over the people of America.
968. Garrett , Woodland, CA, 95695  
Please keep the internet net free and neutral. It's already bad enough that companies that sell broadband have monopolies in most areas allowing them to charge up to a 97% profit margin, but now they want to remove restrictions set in place, so they can slow down sites as they see fit. I wonder how much Ajit Pai is getting bride to push this.
969. Jean, Rv, CA, 94571  
Leave things alone. You don't have to have your hands in everyone's biz. Work on your own issues. I don't need anyone looking over my back. Thought America was a FREE COUNTRY.
970. Ann Mine, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please treat the internet as a public utility, regulated so as to sustain access to all Americans. I am a retired teacher, very aware of how important that access is for the young as well as the rest of us.
971. Mike Davis, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
Net Neutrality must remain in effect! More so, it must be protected to ensure freedom from corporate influence or throttling.

972. Phil Burrow, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
It was free people who dared to envision a freely connected world. One that would freely connect, share ideas, and innovate. To give this fundamental freedom away as with all other freedoms handed over to government and businesses it will become no longer a welcomed and stimulating internet, but a burdensome, restricted, loss of freedom. We cannot afford to give away the internet to giants that will control and dramatically limit and tax us on this freedom.
973. MG Hill, Brownsville, CA, 95919  
As a Marine Vet, I am concerned that you would restrict internet neutrality use to only 3 major carriers, who is in charge there?
974. Raynelda, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
I want to keep my independence. Leave net neutrality as it is. The changes you are proposing would encroach upon my internet freedoms.
975. Richard L Smith, Rio Vista, CA, 94571  
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. As a citizen of the United States, I demand it.
976. Ann Conklin, Davis, CA, 95618  
The internet is for everyone, equally. Many people work from home and need equal access. It should be available for all and not controlled by big companies. Protect our privacy on the internet.
977. Arian Seifoddini, Davis, CA, 95616  
I want to be able to say that I am a citizen of a country that is at the forefront of progress, rather than one that values money over freedom.
978. Josiah Kaler, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
Without a fair and open internet, ISP providers can essentially create monopolies, censor content they don't like and far worse. I can't believe you people would even dare to consider such a thing. DO NOT END NET NEUTRALITY
979. George Boyce, Clearlake Oaks, CA, 95423  
FCC, keep your pinocchio nose out of net neutrality business.
980. Mike Frisk, Rio Linda, CA, 95673  
Stay away from the internet you money hungry GOONS!
981. Richard H, Canyon Country, CA, 89139  
The internet is the last bastion of open communication. Having it neutral for all is the most important thing that can possibly be done to protect it from being

exploited and monopolized. Please keep the internet neutral. We are counting on you.

982. Robert Holdener, Fairfield , CA, 94533  
Net Neutrality must not be comprised for the sake of Billionaires, so they can make billions more. Corporations do not favor competition or open market ideals, they wish only for monopolies so they can provide the least amount of service or products to the consumer. Neither Version nor comcast have ever shown interest in actually providing better service; every year that I have known them, they have always cut their services, and bandwidth while increasing the price of their contract. This is what lead me to leave them both. If you kill Net Neutrality in the U.S., you will open the U.S. market place to destructive habits that will only lead to loss of more jobs and revenue. Giving corporation the right to throttle the internet is bad, foolish idea.
983. Ann Cowles, Davis, CA, 95618  
I think EVERY person has the FUNDAMENTAL right to equal access to all information. I am beginning a small business, for example, and I deserve to have my work and my website just as easily available as large, well-established enterprises. I fear internet users will end up accessing only what a few big businesses want us to see, and then it's an easy step to gov't censorship and oversight of the Net. Net neutrality is an intrinsic part of free speech, which includes free access without censorship.
984. Catherine Alexander, Davis, CA, 95618  
Net Neutrality is fair. Corporations are already making huge profits, giving them the ability to charge for internet speed is wrong. We already pay for that at each house and business.
985. David Dionisi, Davis, CA, 95616  
Net neutrality if fundamental to free speech and the well being of our country. The FCC should protect net neutrality. Sincerely, David Dionisi
986. Matthew, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
This is the first step towards internet tyranny!
987. Seppo, Davis, CA, 95616  
I don't think the internet should be privatized.
988. Carlos Palacio, Davis, CA, 95618  
Anti-net neutrality is corruption. The wolf is now guarding the hen house (Ajit Pai). Shame on the lawyers and business people who affiliate themselves with this outrageous power grab. Go ahead and line your pockets with filthy money, your legacy will be remembered.

989. John Wooldridge, Rio Linda, CA, 95673  
Keep the Internet open to all without giving moneyed interests an advantage. Innovation can often come from those who are not wealthy.
990. Martha Wilson , Davis , CA, 95618  
Doing away with net neutrality would strike a devastating blow to free speech, a fundamental principle of our democracy. It could easily devolve into corporate-controlled censored delivery of Internet content. You must not bow to corporate desires for profit at the expense of individual freedoms.
991. Paula Parker, DVM, Elverta, CA, 95626  
Please reconsider your stance on Net Neutrality. The American Public cannot afford to allow large cable companies to control access to the internet; this country was founded on principals of allowing equality and the individual needs to be able to have as much influence on the exchange of information, ideas and perspectives as large corporations. We MUST maintain an open internet!
992. Eric Jansen, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
FCC, I thought you were smart people. Instead you want to hand over the internet to corporations. Honestly, it's sickening that you collectively would even think that's a good idea.
993. Jack Sahouria, Suisun City, CA, 94585  
Without net neutrality, big companies could censor people and perspectives online, creators and entrepreneurs could struggle to reach new users. It would be devastating to the small guy.
994. Anne Kjemtrup, Davis, CA, 95618  
Net neutrality is the ultimate force for democracy on the internet. Does this country stand for democracy? Then it must also stand for net neutrality. To do otherwise is to give into individual interests, widen the gap between the wealthy and poor and inhibit free enterprise. This isn't partisan- it's common sense
995. Josh Dale, Woodland , CA, 95695  
As a free Nation, our country's largest contribution to the world, should also be free. The internet is an endless field for all to grow. If you tamper with the ability to express freely you are tampering with our freedom and our strength as Americans. Also, take a look at our current turn of events; television is on the way out, due to greediness of both service and advertising. What do you think will happen to the Internet? We already have ad blockers in every web browser. Please leave Internet alone. Or the people will find something else.
996. Annette M. Brown, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
Just one more thing to control since Trump. Too bad he got the presidency. He's taking us backward on so many things we've made progress on. Please leave the

internet as it is.

997. Brian Boyce, Rumsey, CA, 95679  
The FCC and users of the internet should not hand over any more power or control over the internet. The only reason to end net neutrality is so large companies can make more money and users lose. FCC, do not allow changes to net neutrality!
998. William Hagen, Davis, CA, 95616  
Do you want interested parties and powerful interests to decide what you should see on line? Surely not. Nor do I.
999. Douglas Bolsover, Rio Linda, CA, 95673  
The internet must remain neutral to allow Americans access to information, opinions, and the free exchange of ideas. This was the primary purpose of the First Amendment and representative democracy. If net neutrality is compromised, ISP's will be able to determine what I watch, what I have access to, and what I can research, say, read, and comment upon. Net neutrality allows consumers "not big companies" to choose what they watch & do online. Leave net neutrality alone!
1000. Margo Stenson, Davis, CA, 95618  
Information sharing is a powerful protection for democracy and creates a dynamic society. Information manipulation (loss of net neutrality) stifles competition and is a form of censorship for profit, with the potential to select information for pay and not for merit or relevance, and to create the same propaganda environments you find in fascist or repressive regimes.
1001. Laura Iriarte, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
I want net neutrality! Don't change it please!
1002. jon hork, nice, CA, 95464  
get government out of the way of peoples lives
1003. Sharon Hewes , Clearlake , CA, 95422  
What else is going to be taken from us? It seems like every time we turn around more of our rights are in jeopardy. What happened to land of the free? Pretty soon we will be told we have no rights! I'm sick and tired of these BIG Companies taking over. Leave our neutrality alone.
1004. Jack Owen, Knights Landing, CA, 95645  
I support net neutrality and struggle to see how giving control to companies like Comcast, AT&T and Verizon is in the best interest of the people. Quashing net neutrality would give one political party a distinct advantage over the other, and that's my primary concern among many.

1005. stephanie, yuba city, CA, 95991  
Internet neutrality has been called the "First Amendment of the Internet."  
LEAVE IT ALONE!
1006. Lara Reising, Davis, CA, 95616  
FCC, The internet should be treated as any other public utility in this era of increased online presence. There needs to be safe guards for people's privacy enforced by our government, whom we the people elect, NOT the private business owners whose motives are their own. There needs to be open internet rules and regulations, also enforced by our government. Our government, which is charged with protecting the rights of its people, from the person who can only gain access to a computer through the public library, to the owner of a software company, needs to ensure all have EQUAL access, free of economic stipulations. Stipulations such as the highest bidder getting the best service, while the low-cost payer has their service artificially slowed or restricted. FCC, you are charged with making this new UTILITY open to ALL, EQUALLY.
1007. A R Newhouse, Olivehurst, CA, 95961  
LEAVE INTERNET NEUTRALITY ALONE Government fists KEEP OUT!!
1008. Xiongtao Dai, Davis, CA, 95616  
We should have a free and open internet! The big companies should not dominate the individuals.
1009. Shari Borkin, Dixon, CA, 95620  
We The People are not free anymore at all, if this takeover happens. Stand your ground. We will be controlled like robots. Large Corporations already have been destroying our food, water, air and now forcing toxic vaccines on us. Basically they want us sick and stupid, to reduce the population. Next, no more 1st Amendment.
1010. Brenda Shumate, Olivehurst, CA, 95961  
You should read the Constitution. It is NOT your right to regulate everything to death! You will be infringing on everyone's First Amendment rights! The FCC has way too much power now, over the average citizen. We do NOT need more of it!
1011. Aaron Gomez-Logan, Orland, CA, 95963  
Please don't break the internet and violate our First amendment right to free speech!
1012. Donovan Vig, fairfield, CA, 94533  
Net neutrality is the only way for the internet in general to move forward. Dividing it up into a million walled gardens will stifle innovation and inflate costs. Do not let the idiot currently in charge of the FCC indulge his sick

Libertarian fantasies.

1013. Tracy Wade, Browns Valley, CA, 95918

I strongly support Net Neutrality, and I ask the FCC to protect it with the current Title II classification. The public good should matter in these discussions; why must we always sell that out to the highest corporate bidder? Telecom companies are currently making record profits and monopolizing their markets. They don't need the FCC to give them yet another way to bilk consumers. Please put the citizens of this country above corporate welfare and protect Net Neutrality. Tracy Wade

1014. David Thorley & Tresa Thorley, Lucerne, CA, 95458

We are concerned about freedom of the internet, unrestricted access, and most importantly today, the upholding of Title II and net neutrality. It is not okay to give cable, satellite, and internet service providers the ability to interfere with streaming ability, speed, or the impingement of anyone's accessibility in anyway. We live in an area where there is only one provider -and thus can't "choose". Uphold Title II and net neutrality.

1015. Michael Lehman, Vacaville, CA, 95688

Allowing a small group of entities unfettered control over what is now a ubiquitous aspect of life would be among the worst in a long line of terrible decisions passed down by ruling classes. Free exchange of ideas requires an unburdened line of communication. The free market requires absolute equality among all participants in the market, and the present regulations have proven themselves capable of protecting the public from being silenced by those that do not tolerate their opinions.

1016. Dennis Ballance, Dixon, CA, 95620

The internet is a utility, not a service. ISPs should provide access to the internet in an unfettered fashion, just as the electric utility does with electricity. Imagine if the power company throttled electricity if the homeowner or business employee was not using an appliance endorsed by the utility - that would be insane. Reversing Title II would terribly stifle this amazing platform for innovation, innovation America needs to continue to be a world leader. It is my sincere hope that these comments are not ignored when the final decision is being made.

1017. Eric Vanderbilt, Davis, CA, 95616

I oppose the FCC's proposal to amend 47 CFR Part 8 and 47 CFR Part 20 as follows: PART 8: PROTECTING AND PROMOTING THE OPEN INTERNET<sup>1</sup>. Repeal and reserve Section 8.11, as described in the Proposed Rulemaking entitled "Restoring Internet Freedom", WC Docket No. 17-108.

1018. Ben Klatt, Antelope, CA, 95843

I support strong net neutrality as achieved with title II oversight

1019. Alyssa Nolan, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
I support strong net neutrality that is being backed by Title II oversight of the ISPs.
1020. Frankie Garcia, suisun city, CA, 94585  
Ending Net neutrality is going to be one of the biggest mistakes you guys every make. I don't even have the words to express the harm this can do to the WORLD! Please PLEASE PLEASE think of the People for once, and not just the corporations and the money.
1021. Audrey Antoniou, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
The internet is basically my home since I live as a hermit crab. Don't. Just don't. Audrey Antoniou
1022. Josephine Trott, Davis, CA, 95618  
Creating a fast lane on the internet for those who can afford it is a gift to internet service providers and bad for consumers, entrepreneurs, and an open democracy. If the FCC is serving the interests of the majority of the American people, then the FCC will NOT destroy net neutrality.
1023. juan miguel silva, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Internet freedom is important. Net neutrality is important to ensure that information stays free and to avoid ISP's from monopolizing the data available to consumers.
1024. Alec Good, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Isn't it obvious to all of you that corporations are trying to increase their profits by attempting to control what we see on the internet? You all enter the political sphere with good intentions, I'm sure. But don't let greed get in the way of doing what's right for your citizens - isn't that a reason you first went into politics anyway? If net neutrality goes away, what is the difference between our internet and that in China? If we start removing freedom of information, we are going down a slippery slope as a nation. DO THE RIGHT THING
1025. Vivian Le, Davis, CA, 95616  
If you care about small businesses and the average citizen, preserve net neutrality.
1026. Rachel Danielson, Davis, CA, 95616  
Net neutrality is an extension of free speech. Maintain net neutrality
1027. james dean, los Angeles, CA, 25880  
I want to a stronger net neutrality

1028. Erin Enoch, Rio Vista, CA, 94571  
This act is "restoring freedom" only to corporations who stand to profit off of throttling internet access and charging fees for the full access to the internet that we currently have, and does not in any way encourage better service from those companies. Nor does it encourage competition between existing companies, which in turn does not encourage growth of internet coverage over existing dead zones. As a citizen, I am sick and tired of watching my government prioritize the need of a business over the needs of people. Not only do I not support the "restoring internet freedom" proceeding, I reject anything like it as unpatriotic.
1029. Amanda Moscato , Vacaville, CA, 95688  
Thomas Jefferson and George Washington would have you killed and thrown out of this beloved nation. Communist spies! Amanda Moscato
1030. Doug Austin, Olivehurst, CA, 95961  
Save net neutrality you bastards.Doug Austin
1031. Clayton Patton, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
I would like to voice my opposition to the measures taken to reverse Title II classification of ISPs. I use various internet services for my job and at home. The current regulations are a step taken to protect my ability to do so and reversing them will do nothing but allow any ISP to extort myself and many others in order to keep using the internet as we know it. "Fast lanes" or paid prioritization of traffic creates a financial incentive to discriminate against internet services. If I so choose, I can already pay for faster service. I am one of the few who has a choice among ISPs, although the choice is not competitive. The FCC's own data has discovered that most Americans live in an area where they only have one ISP. Removing the current regulations will allow them to use their monopolies in a more predatory anti-consumer way.
1032. Netflix, Clearlake Oaks, CA, 95423  
Maintain Net Neutrality, the last truly safe place in the world.
1033. Joe Summers, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Tell the ISPs, online information is NOT for sale. It belongs to the people. Net Neutrality must stay.
1034. Alvaro Diaz, Davis, CA, 95618  
As an US Citizen and voter, I believe it is of extreme importance to keep Net Neutrality and unrestricted and equal access for all.
1035. Nic Griffin, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Please don't give isp's anymore power. I can imagine being forced to use bing or have my work potentially inaccessible.KEEP THE INTERNET FREE!!!!

1036. Brad D., Fairfield, CA, 94534  
No one should have the ability to patrol the internet. This is just one step towards controlling information to the masses. As we move closer to using the internet as a form of entertainment, and less the television, certain companies would love the ability to control what we see. We cannot allow this to happen.
1037. Kev, Davis, CA, 95616  
SAVE NET NEUTRALITY. I DO NOT CONSENT TO A INTERNET "SPEED LANE" OR ISPs SELLING MY INFORMATION.
1038. Keaton, Davis, CA, 95616  
The Internet, just like this country, should be an open market for both small and large businesses. Giving large corporations the ability to squander the potential of others goes against my fundamental understanding about what this country is all about. Innovation has propelled this country for centuries and I don't think it should be limited now just to benefit a powerful few.
1039. Jose Torres Casillas, Davis, CA, 95616  
Net Neutrality is something that should stay in place. No internet corporation should have the power to hold hostage access to the internet and demand more payment from whatever websites they do not like. Access to the internet is a basic human right and it should be as accessible as it can be.
1040. Daniel Bakken, Davis, CA, 95618  
Why do we need to keep doing this? Seriously, just don't mess with the internet unless you give everyone fiber internet. This is simple economics to why this shouldn't happen, and also simple business practice.
1041. Robert Andrew Matthews, Rio Linda, CA, 95673  
Keep Net Neutrality. A free and open internet has built an entirely new digital world of innovations and commerce and it should not be destroyed. Keep Net Neutrality.
1042. Paul Black, Suisun City, CA, 94585  
Cable companies already have too much power through monopolies in many cities, please do not give them more power to control what internet content we see and experience just because the ISP companies made campaign contributions to people in power. China is already limiting the internet for it's users, do not turn us into China.
1043. Kristen Knight, Yuba City, CA, 95991  
If i wanted to live in a communist country i would move to China. So much for FREEDOM.
1044. Charlie Durand, Davis, CA, 95616

In an increasingly digital world, the information available on the internet should be freely accessible by anybody. Giving service providers any level of control over what information their customers can access and how they can access it is an absurd notion, and goes against the core principles of our nation. I'm hesitant to submit this form with my personal information included, as the current neutrality rules are the only thing preventing repercussions from my ISP.

1045. Mark Joyner, Rio Vista, CA, 94571

Net Neutrality is central to keeping the internet free from corporate greed. This country was founded on freedom from tyranny. Let's keep it that way.

1046. Sean Sullivan, Vacavill, CA, 95687

Please protect internet freedom; keep title 2 and other net-neutrality laws strong.

1047. Jason Tricoli, Davis, CA, 95618

I want to purchase reliable, consistent, access to the internet, for whatever site I choose. I make my ISP choice based on connection speed, because I want to be able to stream, play video games, etc. with a house full of others who do the same, and still have enough bandwidth for all of us to be able to enjoy the internet. I view the internet as a utility. My washer and dryer do not receive different electric current based on the discretion of my utility provider, but as I understand it, my ISP would be able to decide what service speed I receive on a site by site, and usage type basis. This is unacceptable to me.

1048. Rachel Todd, Brownsville, CA, 95919

Maintain net neutrality. Maintain freedom, and competition. True competition; between the best and the brightest, not the richest and most powerful.

1049. Jake Dunn, Hood, CA, 95639

Net Neutrality is important to me. ISP's should be regulated like water or gas is. The internet is no longer an optional part of daily life in America. Throttling connections to certain websites is the modern day equivalent of quieting the press.

1050. Weston White, Woodland, CA, 95695

Keep the federal protections of Net neutrality. Do not abandon net neutrality.

1051. Anthony Clay Stites-Morton, Maxwell, CA, 95955

I demand that we keep the current Net Neutrality rules and keep the Internet open and free for everyone to enjoy without data limits, without data prioritization, and without website blocking.

1052. Ryan Murphy, Rio Vista, CA, 94571

Please, the net has always been a place of free association and democracy, please don't allow corporations to abuse a system that indirectly aligns with our

common American ideals.

1053. James Esdaile, Woodland, CA, 95695

Being against net neutrality is the same as wishing that PG&E could turn up the cost of electricity for your microwave because it was not built by general electric because GE paid off PG&E to reduce competition. If you are against net neutrality you are against me, my friends, America, free speech, and free enterprise. choose wisely.

1054. Lindsey Freeman, Davis, CA, 95616

That we even need to fight for open internet in the United States of America is appalling to me. I'm 25 years old, so I came into this world just before the internet exploded, and I grew up in a world that was half internet and half without. Mine is the last generation that will remember when we didn't have information a few clicks away. The internet has opened so much up to my generation and will continue to do so for the generations after me, but not if we allow corporations to close us off from open access to all of that information. The internet allows us to connect with almost anyone almost anywhere at any time of the day. I can see a sunset that someone 16 hours ahead of me is seeing on a completely different continent. I can witness injustices and protests occurring 100 miles away, and I can lend my voice to the struggles of other human beings that could otherwise go overlooked. We deserve the right to view the content we want to view, and that shouldn't be prevented, compromised, or slowed down in any way simply because four or five trillion dollar companies want to monopolize ad space and control what we see or how we see it. Even if there is the possibility to "shop around" and buy services that don't control what I'm seeing, why should I have to shop around? The internet isn't and shouldn't be owned by any singular or several companies. I shouldn't need to read fine print within fine print within fine print to find out if when I look for something online it's actually going to be there, I'm going to be able to view it, and my internet services aren't going to suddenly be at half the speed of what MY MONEY is paying for simply because they don't want me to look into that content. Internet companies barely provide the speeds they make you pay for as it stands and you can spend two hours calling into their customer service agencies to get zero help and some ridiculous excuse as to why service lags, and now we'd be handing over the reigns for even worse domination of information. We have to keep net neutrality as it stands in place to protect the access to information that is what makes the internet great.

1055. Jordan Owens, Antelope, CA, 95843

Access to information should not be limited or additionally monetized up. Please keep the internet open and free.

1056. Anthony Lopez, Fairfield, CA, 94533

I can't believe we're literally having to fight for the internet to be as free as it always has been because people making infinitely more money than us want even more. Give me a break.

1057. David Morrison, Woodland, CA, 95695

I like my internet. Dont change it. No throttle please. Free internet!!!!!!!

1058. duane deweese, clearlake, CA, 95422

The people are the net . not the greed that surrounds every where we look .  
There never satisfied . THANK YOU

1059. Erich Stevenson, Dunnigan, CA, 95937

Do not repeal net neutrality rules. No one is asking for these changes except those who stand to profit on it, to the detriment of those who use and rely on the internet for work, education, and much more. Destroying these rules opens the window for ISP mega corporations like AT&T and COMCAST to have their own standard of power over the internet. The only support I've seen to change these rules that end an open internet and neutrality on the world wide web have LITERALLY only been from congressmen and senators who have received donations from these corporations on a regular basis. I will not stand by silently and watch the internet be sold by politicians to corporations. Do not repeal net neutrality rules.

1060. Anahita Hamidi, Davis, CA, 95616

Keep it fair. Keep it open. Keep it neutral. We won't be sold to the highest bidder. This is America. Let's stay true to our values.

1061. Jacob Miller, Beale AFB, CA, 95903

If this repeal comes to pass, ISPs like Comcast would have the legal means, fiscal motive, and monopolistic ability to throttle the music and video streaming I use daily, as well as the ability to manipulate the news I view, to which I have no recourse, as Comcast is the only internet provider to which I have access. When I pay for internet access, I expect exactly that, with no filters or control from the entity supplying me, whether I use their services or not. The internet, with it's unfathomable depth of information, needs to be easily accessible. It is increasingly used to access news media, and a company I am forced to use due to local availability should not get to control this. The FCC must protect us from this type of manipulation by telecommunication companies.

1062. Anthony Beal, Yuba City, CA, 95991

Net neutrality is essential for the internet to be a place of wonder and inspiration.

1063. Meera, Fairfield, CA, 94533

I believe it is imperative to keep FCC's open internet rules. Net Neutrality keeps the internet a fair and open place for everyone as it should be. ISPs are absolutely telecommunication services that require greater oversight from the FCC. If the FCC's 2015 ruling is reversed like Chairman Pai wants to do, the FCC will be doing a great disservice to the American people. The FCC will be shirking its responsibility. If the ruling is reversed, ISPs like Comcast, AT&T, Verizon, and many others will raise prices and immediately begin dividing the internet. Many areas in the US only have one or two choices of internet providers, so people won't even be able to influence their ISPs by cancelling their service. I don't purchase internet from an ISP to use their branded products like email or cloud storage. I have never even opened my comcast email account. I don't care about any service my ISP provides other than fast and reliable access to the whole internet. In fact I need fast internet to access email, cloud storage, and web hosting from companies other than my ISP. I don't buy internet to get a bundle of useless extra services, like I don't buy mobile phone service to get tmobile branded apps. Furthermore, I own a small business and depend on the internet to get most of my customers. If larger companies providing the same service I provide were able to buy better access to the internet and to customers, I would be out of business. I also use the internet a lot. Like many younger people, my entire life is on the internet. The internet is immensely important to me and thus it is important to me that the internet remains fair and open.

1064. Austin Swett, Rio Linda, CA, 95673

I want internet to be the same

1065. Adam Demaray, Dixon, CA, 95620

1) Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances. To support any law that would abridge the rights of citizens to freely access a communication network designed to unify and inform the People would be tantamount to treason. Myself, and assuredly, millions of other Americans are against any alteration to Net Neutrality as it stands. I implore you at the Federal Communications Commissions, as a citizen and as a human being with the unalienable right to liberty of speech, to please act with trepidation in these proceedings. Our government should stand for what is best for the people, not what is best for business.

1066. Jason Lin, Davis, CA, 95618

We the people demand net neutrality

1067. Anthony, Dixon, CA, 95620

Considering I only have one internet service provider to choose from in my area, I would like to be able to choose what websites to visit on my own and not have my only internet service provider dictate which sites I can and cannot visit.

1068. Brandon Coyle, Davis, CA, 95616

Net neutrality is anti-consumer. ISP's do not need more advantages to support their corrupt, collusive business.

1069. Joseph Mueller, Beale AFB, CA, 95903

Keep the internet free. Stop letting cable companies pinch every last penny out of their customers and make personal choices for you as far as what is and isn't allowed to be accessed. Are 97% profit margins really not enough?

1070. Bridger Johnson, Beale AFB, CA, 95903

Net neutrality is what keeps everyone on the internet equal!

1071. Samantha Jurado, dixon, CA, 95620

Getting rid of net neutrality will screw over me and so many other people. From artists to college students to content creators. Those who can already barely afford to have internet will not be able to get anything done. Every thing is done online now. How are people supposed to make a living, take classes, or do homework if they cannot afford to pay for websites they need. As an artist and a poor college student repealing net neutrality will ruin not only my life but many others. I and many other people will be unable to contact long distant friends and family. We need to support net neutrality.

1072. Tara Bertsch, Davis, CA, 95616

I am a female in a STEM major, and I use the internet to study and learn about science and current events in the scientific community. I am concerned that paid prioritization and the censoring of websites would limit my, and others', ability to access knowledge and the personal growth that results from learning about our world. I also think net neutrality protects free discussion and the sharing of information and ideas online, and so helps strengthen U.S. and worldwide democracy.

1073. Dylan K Ward, Upperlake, CA, 95485

The internet should remain the place that people from all over the world can come together, and if America, the much vaunted land of the free, starts blocking access to the internet in this way, how can we even say that we believe in freedom.

1074. Rachell Carnelison, Rio Linda, CA, 95673

No!!!! You dont control my internet!!Rachell Carnelison

1075. Ruben, woodland, CA, 95695

The internet needs net neutrality to have small businesses prosper, please keep it in place.

1076. Alex Baumgartle, Beale AFB, CA, 95903

I cannot believe this is still an issue. I thought after things like SOPA, PIPA, and the handful of other ridiculous attempts at privatizing the internet met so much opposition and ultimately failed people would get the hint. When will this stupid attempt to destroy the freedom of the internet end? When the large corporations attempting to do so run out of money? Between that and having an act that destroys net neutrality slip through, I'd bet on the latter, though i will happily fight until the bitter end.

1077. Tyler Missel, Vacaville, CA, 95687

Net neutrality needs to stay. Without net neutrality we are letting providers control what businesses can start up and succeed, overall hurting capitalism . The internet also helps with thing like education and doing business.I will not be voting for any congressmen who do not support net neutrality.

1078. Valadez, Ward C., Beale AFB, CA, 95903

Leave net neutrality as is. Repealing it is not in the spirit of American freedom nor an example of interests separate from corporate greed.

1079. Stephen, Beale AFB, CA, 95903

You already took my guns, you can't take my internet too. Thanks Obama.

1080. Janet Norman, Marysville, CA, 95901

We want full access to all of the internet. There should never be paid prioritization, blocking, or throttling of the internet. There is only one internet and all rules must apply to both my home and mobile connection. People must be allowed to freely express themselves on the internet. Net neutrality has led to the success of Google, Facebook and Twitter.

1081. Devin Siegfried, Fairfield, CA, 94534

I play games, talk with friends, learn, teach, and experience a majority of my life online and as such, was in the care of my service provider. I would be impacted by this decision very heavily and immediately and suffer backlash to both my passion and way of life. Currently I am 17 years old, so decisions made now would last my lifetime or until turned around later. Please take this into consideration and thank you for your time. My 6 dogs and 2 cats say hello.

1082. Dakota Milam, vacaville, CA, 95688

The internet is not some place for anyone to rule or own its a place of freedom.

1083. Eric Shoaf, Williams, CA, 95987

The only people who gain anything from this are ISP companies. Think of the

American citizen.

1084. Alexander Klamann, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
The regulations put into place by the former FCC Chairman were not onerous or burdensome. ISPs are lying to you, and everyone else so they can get more money from us. You represent us, not corporations.
1085. Daniel Tarpein, Beale AFB, CA, 95903  
The internet should be kept open. We don't need various entities snooping around and looking at what we do. Net neutrality is the only answer.
1086. Michael Rolfer, Oregon House, CA, 95962  
I support the initiative to retain Net Neutrality
1087. Lori Moncur, Woodland, CA, 95695  
I support net neutrality supported by title II protections.
1088. Scott Sawin, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Stop trying to break the internet. It needs to be free for all without fastlanes and corporate america or the government deciding what should be prioritized. Imagine paying more for water in the morning when you need to take a shower or paying more for electricity when its hot out. That is not right. I support the current net neutrality rules.
1089. Brandon Kestly, Marysville, CA, 95901  
The internet is quite possibly the greatest achievement mankind as a whole has created. It's as necessary to our daily lives as water and electricity, so why should it be treated any differently? If you aren't literally the CEO of a huge ISP then it'll effect you negatively. Please make the right choice for us little guys and don't destroy net neutrality just to make a buck.
1090. Jordan Ortiz, Davis, CA, 95616  
I wanted to give my intake on the issue of the net neutrality decision. I believe that net neutrality should be upheld to keep an open field for anyone and anyone to be able to decide what to do without the government or ISPs limiting our rights. This would only enact issues with much of the current issues by revoking our right to use our rights however we see fit. Please save net neutrality and don't buckle down from ISPs influence.
1091. Nicole Buonocore, Woodland, CA, 95776  
The entire internet should ALWAYS be neutral. We have such a soft notion of neutrality that people often mistake it for something good. Neutrality is not about being good, but about being fair. I, as an American citizen, want to continue my open net access and be exposed to any and everything. Education is important, no matter where it comes from or how it makes you feel. Please

keep the world wide web free and clear of obstruction. Thank you!

1092. Stewart Green, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support the EFF definition of net neutrality
1093. Vanessa Britt, Dixon, CA, 95620  
Given the experience had with our ISP and the complete and utter control they have to slow our connections down, charge indiscriminately, and provide some of the worst customer service that we are forced to use. I do not support the idea of being forced to pay more out of pocket for declining service. I believe this is not in the interest of the people.
1094. Jerry Livingston, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Dropping Net Neutrality is the wrong thing to do for the American people. This will allow big corporations to restrict our content and provide those that pay them the most to push their content through. We are a free country and so should the internet open and free.
1095. Carmen Smith, Yuba City, CA, 95993  
Please keep the internet neutral. You'll be sure glad you did! Thank you for your consideration.
1096. Chandra Hubbell, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Please let the internet remain neutral! The internet is essential for millions of jobs, millions of people, and lives, relationships and a brilliant blooming culture of worldwide peace, and for the millions of Americans who are already struggling to pay for rising rent and medical bills, that powerful cultural and business connection will be stripped from them! Our internet is controlled by heartless monopolies, there is no competition to keep the prices down like they have in other countries, this will not work! Save Net Neutrality!
1097. Omar Ruelas, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Net neutrality rules need to be saved, so the net can free, and so companies cannot throttle or block websites, and so thousands of people do not lose their jobs.
1098. Paul Petyo, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Keep the internet free and open, as it is essential to a healthy democracy and the free share of knowledge and information.
1099. Andrew Folk, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
I support net neutrality. We pay large sums of money to ISPs and in return get an open internet in which we are free to browse what we want without being throttled. Why do we need to give ISPs more control? I hope that those voting in favor of the ISPs realize they, too, will have their internet use affected.

1100. nicholas hatten, Orland, CA, 95963  
No one should restrict access to the internet. Unless of course you agree with North Korea.
1101. Michael Hand, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support mandated, regulated, full net neutrality. What we do with the data should not be of concern of the ISPs. Treat the internet pipes the same as the water pipes or electric lines.
1102. Conrad Merkle, Woodland, CA, 95695  
I have strong concerns that this will weaken the consumer protections that are currently in place. I live across the country from my family in an area that is only serviced by 1 ISP. I pay exorbitant fees for slower than advertised internet access, but because there are no other options in the area and I need it for work, I have to put up with it. If protections are weakened and high-bandwidth services such as Skype were throttled, I would likely no longer be able to see my parents via videocalls or work remotely given that it is barely serviceable as is. The FCC needs oversight and control over ISPs and their ability to throttle or otherwise limit access to specific content. The net needs to remain neutral or it will only hurt the general public.
1103. Jordan Gadisman, Davis, CA, 95616  
DO NOT change net neutrality and make it worse for the customers. You can't argue this would be better for millions of people who use the internet.
1104. Richard Evans, Davis, CA, 95616  
Dear FCC,I urge the FCC to support an open Internet by retaining the rules that maintain net neutrality. The FCC should reject Chairman Pai's plan to give ISP monopolies the authority to deprive Internet users of the necessary access and privacy protections that were established two years ago. I'm concerned that ISPs would be able to discriminate against certain types of data or websites, which would result in a less diverse Internet and give ISPs almost unlimited control over what I can see and do on-line. I buy Internet service so I can have fast, reliable access to on-line services of all kinds. I use it extensively for work (for the food safety company my wife and I own), for my continuing education, and for recreation and news. For me, the utility of ISPs is for transmission between my computer and the Internet sites whose information or services I want. I gladly pay sites for services: Fastmail for my email account, Box for cloud storage, scientific journals for research content, newspapers and magazines for current events, and Netflix and Acorn for streaming entertainment. ISPs primarily provide a telecommunications service. They should not be able to control access to content. The current rules provide a reasonable balance among Internet consumers, businesses, and ISPs. Chairman Pai's proposed repeal of these rules would allow ISPs to act as Internet

gatekeepers and give them excessive control that could limit innovation and expression. These are the very concerns that led to establishment of the rules two years ago, in response to overwhelming demand by the public. The public has not changed its opinion about this, so don't change the rules! Please keep the Title II net neutrality rules in place to protect Internet users like me.

Sincerely, Richard Evans

1105. Spenser Araujo, Yuba City, CA, 95991

The Internet needs to remain a neutral network! All users are entitled to equal access, regardless of provider. By allowing ISPs to throttle sites, you can literally jeopardize lives, jobs, information, news, communication, and more. We need the Internet to remain neutral.

1106. Alyssa James, Davis, CA, 95616

Please please please keep net neutrality.

1107. Rachel Whitcombe, Davis, CA, 95616

There's already so little choice involved with ISPs. Competition is needed to encourage innovation and small businesses can't compete if these regulations are rolled back. Keep the internet free so that the world can keep improving.

1108. Omar Eid, Vacaville, CA, 95687

I would like the FCC to uphold the net neutrality laws that allow for a free and neutral Internet

1109. Kyle Pace, Yuba City, CA, 95991

I care about the open internet. My internet provider should not be able to limited websites and apps simply because they don't like them or they want more money. They also shouldn't be able to slow down my connection ether. The internet has been just fine the way it is and there is no need to change everything.

1110. Bailey Kallas, Davis, CA, 95616

Net neutrality is by far the most pressing issue of our time, precisely because it allows for the free exchange of ideas and commerce that our world depends on. Without a free internet many small business owners would be ruined, many charities would be unable to continue, and freedom of speech would be impeded for all. Please don't allow that to happen.

1111. Sandy Kim, Davis, CA, 95616

net neutrality is important, and we don't want a nanny state

1112. Dakota Jordan, Davis, CA, 95616

Net neutrality please! Keep the internet open and equal!

1113. Bruce Isted, Woodland, CA, 95776

I pay my ISP a significant monthly amount for reasonably fast internet access. I expect my internet access to be unfettered by side deals made by my ISP or any other business entity. I believe this expectation is reasonable and just. To reiterate, I should have equal access to all internet content, without preferences imposed by business concerns beyond the single basic advertised speed of the internet access package for which I have agreed to pay.

1114. Mary Briggs, Davis, CA, 95618

I am writing to urge the FCC not to roll back Title II and end net neutrality. As a person who works in education, I am keenly aware of the way that the "digital divide," particularly in the home, impacts America's students. We like to say that America is the opportunity, but the evidence is clear that we have not achieved equal access to the Internet (see Pew Research Center's recent report as an example: <http://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2017/03/22/digital-divide-persists-even-as-lower-income-americans-make-gains-in-tech-adoption/>). In an age where online activities are an essential aspect of work and learning, reduced access means reduced opportunities for our youth and their families. The move to eliminate net neutrality will make barriers to low income and rural families even greater, even if there are some token compensatory programs on the books. The idea that broadband ISPs are somehow not "telecommunications services" is disingenuous, and I believe the claim is a strategic decision to work around Title II guidelines and oversight. I pay for Internet access to get fast and dependable access to the Internet. When I was unsatisfied with the connectivity and speed of my previous ISP, I switched for those reasons and those reasons alone. It was not to buy a bundle of so-called "information services." It is easy to tell that this is how most consumers weigh ISP options, in association with pricing, as speed and reliability are central features in their advertising. Additionally, I firmly believe that the lack of ample competition reduces the ability of consumers to find transparent, easily accessible information about options and their impact on people's experiences online (e.g., service speed). Broadband ISPs should be subject to oversight by an external agency to ensure companies can't make sudden changes to service plans without providing options available at a price point or service level that meets their needs...or to ensure companies can't initiate policies that penalize consumers for switching plans (thereby restricting affordable market options). Finally, the FCC should be able to have authority over broadband service providers. The FCC should still be able to protect consumers from privacy invasions and predatory practices such as price gouging and fraudulent billing practices. I urge you to protect the existing (2015) net neutrality rules and preserve the Title II legal basis on which they are based. Government has an obligation to protect consumers from predatory or discriminatory practices. To repeal net neutrality would be a dereliction of duty in the service of corporate, not consumer, interests and rights.

1115. Elise Brewin, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please preserve Net Neutrality, today, tomorrow, and forever.
1116. Skylar Downes, Davis, CA, 95618  
Net neutrality is integral to the success of business on the internet.  
Hamstringing neutrality protections is a surefire way to drive innovators out of the US, and in to countries in which technology legislation isn't so gratuitously foolish.
1117. Erick Aversa, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
I'm in opposition to the changes proposed in 17-108 because it gives ISPs too much latitude to throttle data rates in order to favor specific content. Improving access to specific information sources is also essentially limiting access to other specific information. Net neutrality, as it exists today, seems to protect the consumers' equal access to information of their choosing and seems to be the better solution. Thank you for your consideration.
1118. Ian Mackenzie, Woodland, CA, 95695  
With a Bachelors in Computer Science I believe that keeping the net open allows for fair competition between online companies. Dedicated fast lanes necessarily means throttling all other content, giving users a worse experience on small business websites. Additionally it allows Internet service providers to weight the scale in favor of companies that are part of the same corporate structure. I am in favor of a neutral net.
1119. Michael Peters, Davis, CA, 95618  
I rely on an open internet to keep up with news and media that I believe is important, not what my ISP believes is important. I don't believe this can be left up to individual ISPs to manage...my current ISP can't even manage billing me for the correct amount much less accurately determine at what speeds my content can be delivered. All of that aside, an open internet allows me to remain an active part of my niece's life, although she is 3,000 miles away. It is also how I keep up with my parents health, and make sure everything is OK. If my ISP decides that my video chat program isn't important, the consequences could be catastrophic for my family and I.
1120. Nicholas Meddin, Davis, CA, 95616  
88% of Americans oppose this. Why do we have to keep fighting it? Keep the internet free!
1121. Marianne Waage, Davis, CA, 95616  
Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated.  
Companies often misuse throttling to promote their own business. This can compromise smaller organizations or new startups who would suffer by restricted bandwidth. Even research, which can often require high bandwidth

with large datasets, could be significantly slowed by throttled bandwidth. When we didn't have these protections before, throttling was badly misused, and it's important that these protections remain strong. Plus, I support a small local ISP, which advocates privacy of my data, important now that such privacy can be sold to the highest bidder, and it's important to me that they have every opportunity to remain competitive.

1122. Devin Yamada, Davis, CA, 95616

The internet needs to stay free. I support strong net neutrality rules.

1123. Robert Musterer, Guilford, CA, 06437

I am writing to oppose the removal of the net neutrality rules. New small business startups are on the decline and removing net neutrality will only exacerbate this situation. As a small entrepreneur, I struggle daily with having to compete against large businesses. Yet it is small businesses that drive the greatest amount of job growth. Therefore it is vital for job growth, for our economy, and for America that net neutrality not be abolished.

1124. Erik Polik, Vacaville, CA, 95688

Keep the internet free for all to use. Any infringement on equal access is an attack on the freedom of gaining knowledge. Don't divide the country based off what they can and can't have access to or any control over.

1125. Grant, Davis, CA, 95616

The use of the internet should not be manipulated by service providers. Net neutrality should remain because anything else is against consumers' interests.

1126. Julia Hahn, Vacaville, CA, 95688

I would like for the FCC to keep net neutrality. This is what keeps small businesses competitive. We need to be providing an equal platform for all businesses and people to succeed. This is something I feel quite strongly about. Please keep the internet for everyone. Thank you.

1127. Michael Farley, Woodland, CA, 95776

I'm in support of net neutrality. ISPs have long monopolized internet speeds, increasing the cost for the same bandwidth without investing back into infrastructure and now they complain about providers such as Twitch and Netflix for their own issues. They need to reinvest their own income and not use the government to force more money into their pockets we know they won't reinvest.

1128. Joseph Targos, Davis, CA, 95616

The internet as we know it depends on all traffic being treated equally. If we allow the FCC to rollback the regulations classifying the internet as Title 2, then we open ourselves to an absurd number of potential monopolies. ISPs WILL

take advantage of them, and we will all be poorer for it. The internet as it stands now is a wonderfully chaotic crucible of creativity; it is, without a doubt, the freest and most open marketplace of ideas that has ever existed. I was no fan of Obama, and I am no fan of excessive government regulations, but the regulations that the FCC currently have in place regarding the internet are absolutely necessary. They are preserving the free market that is the internet.

1129. Paolo Anonas, Vacaville, CA, 95688

It's a tragedy and a joke that this is even a fight that needs to be fought in 2017; you are all public servants not corporate goons, act like it.

1130. Leo Goldstein, Davis, CA, 95616

I am a freelance author and designer who works entirely via the internet. I video call my girlfriend and my family. I do research. I pay for internet access in order to do all of these things. By repealing Net Neutrality rules, I could be forced to pay additional fees to do my job, to speak with my family, or my ISP decides for whatever reason, I could lose access entirely. If Comcast or any other ISP decides Patreon doesn't deserve traffic unless they pay up, I lose my primary source of income. Immediately, and without recourse. If my readers can't get to my page because Patreon won't pay out a fee to all their various ISP's, there is no way for me to get paid. Please don't do this. It is anti-consumer, anti-small business, and completely contrary to the way the world works. Internet access is a vital utility, just like phone service. It should be regulated as such.

1131. Dave Rose, Vacaville, CA, 95687

Lobbying for corporate gain should never be the end goal for Freedom. Please take the hint that I and millions of other do not want you limiting our ability to speak freely, do business, play games, or the vast number of activities that are done using the Internet.

1132. Eric, lower lake, CA, 95422

save the internet!!!!

1133. Paul Zindel, Davis, CA, 95616

The internet is an essential tool for research, creativity and innovation. Working at one of the top research libraries in the country I see just how important an open and accessible internet really is. Without net neutrality, it is just another broadcast medium for the few that own the airwaves. Our ability to remain competitive in a global economy requires free and open access to all the internet has to offer. Please keep it that way.

1134. Miles Grove, Davis, CA, 95618

The importance of net neutrality and public access to the web cannot be stressed enough. In an age of technology and online services, web access must be open to everyone, without interference by ISPs.

1135. Marilyn Schiffman, Davis, CA, 95616  
A free internet is essential to allow small businesses to do business over the web, advertise, etc. I live outside a major metropolitan area. I use the internet to research items to buy, including reviews, price comparisons, etc. Otherwise we would spend endless time on freeways going from one place to another. For example, we used the internet during a major house remodel. I used the internet to find a contractor, appliances, flooring, interior designer, etc. Even the smallest business depends on being able to post services. Free access to the internet is a lifeline for people like my mother who is house bound. She depends on high speed access to read the news, listen to books, buy books, communicate. We use the internet to read news from multiple sources. It is important to be able to visit sites without restrictions by a few internet providers. Let's not get like China or Russia, etc., and have companies dictate who can access information. The internet should be open to everyone.
1136. Heather, Winters, CA, 95694  
Do not do this. It is not right to slow down certain websites because companies find them undesirable or not fitting of their business model. Keep the internet free.
1137. eric contreras, Williams, CA, 95987  
KEEP THE INTERNET AWAY FROM GREEDY BILLIONAIRES. KEEP IT FREE
1138. Jim Serio, Cloverdale, CA, 95423  
This bill is NOT restoring Internet Freedom. On the contrary it is giving ISPs like Comcast and Verizon to freedom to restrict what sites people visit without paying additional fees. How can you allow these companies - built on taxpayer money - to continue to fleece us? Please vote no on this terrible act.
1139. Zach Munson, Davis, CA, 95618  
I strongly disagree with any repeal on net neutrality. The free and open internet is one of the last places to achieve the American dream. If Net neutrality is gone then small companies will not stand a chance. I depend on a free internet to feed myself every day.
1140. Katherine Van Kirk, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
I want a free open internet, with net neutrality preserved for all, and to reclassify internet service providers (ISPs) as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
1141. Daniel T. Polk, vacaville, CA, 95687  
Net neutrality is in real jeopardy, and we're banding together in support of strong net neutrality rules that give people the power to choose which websites and apps are best. Fcc can not be in charge of what we view and charge us for

content that we already pay for through the internet. It's wrong. You will get millions that decide not to use the internet or even worse, a new platform may arise that changes the game for the FCC. We, the people, will be heard.

1142. Alex Morelan, Davis, CA, 95616

I strongly support net neutrality and that all services should have equal footing with regards to traffic and priority.

1143. Russell Darling, Woodland, CA, 95776

I am truly concerned regarding the roll back on Title II regulations. To allow corporations to have a high level of leverage and control over the content we, citizens of a free nation, are exposed to is baffling. I understand that this comment will most likely be disregarded but please think every piece through before progressing forward with this roll back. Thank you for your time, Russ

1144. Miriam, Davis, CA, 95618

The fact that this even needs to be argued is shocking. I support the open internet and believe restricting it will be of great detriment to our nation.

1145. Eleazar, Yuba City, CA, 95991

Its 2017, as one of the strongest countries in the world, one of things our country must be leading is in technology. We must push to better internet service that benefits the people

1146. Trevor Adams, Davis, CA, 95616

I am a software developer with hopes of becoming an independent entrepreneur through software I create. If I'm successful, I can hire employees to support my business and (in some small way) boost the economy while supporting my family and the families of my employees. The so-called "Internet Freedom" that the FCC is considering will almost certainly end the ability for small businesses to compete online. To that end, the internet is a unique utility. In almost every other medium, there are gatekeepers that stand between my freedom to create and my ability to distribute my creations. By allowing for ISPs to throttle connections to independent sites and providing the ability for massive corporations to pay their way to faster delivery, we are permanently adding gatekeepers where none need to exist. This is an issue that Americans overwhelmingly agree on, if the concept is explained clearly. The only reason we're considering this issue in this way is because those who stand to profit from the changes have muddied the understanding of this issue to try and create confusion. I urge the FCC to save the open internet.

1147. Thomas Glover, Davis, CA, 95616

I would like to speak in favor of maintaining the current net neutrality rules. I think it is very important for consumers to have equal access to all aspects of the internet, without giving ISP's the ability to favor certain websites over

others. I also think it is crucial for small businesses to be able to compete on the internet with a level playing field, without having to fight against larger companies who might have the ability to pay ISP's for more favorable internet access.

1148. Jeremiah Daniel Gammell, McKinney, CA, 75070

I fully support Net Neutrality backed by Title II overseeing the Internet Service Providers. If Title II's specifications are removed or worked around, then it threatens net neutrality, which I will not stand for. I do not agree with letting internet service providers have the freedom to charge or manipulate the way Internet is presented to us based on their own interests, but this is what will happen if internet service is no longer reclassified as a telecommunications service. The Protect Internet Freedom Act may not directly call for this type of manipulation of the internet, but it certainly opens the internet up to this type of manipulation, and that is why Title II was presented in the first place: to stop instances of this that were brought to the court. Consider the voice of the people, who have already spoken on this before and will speak up on this again, and know that we will not stand by and let this happen. I'm praying you will consider the importance of my request.

1149. Yoshi, FairField, CA, 94533

This isn't going to help the public at all and is grand potential to ruin many business and people who work via using the internet. It won't just affect the US, but everyone else work/use the net internationally. Prioritizing the net is just the next level of controlling many social aspects that we just don't need in our lives!!

1150. W. Sterling Routson-Thomas, Davis, CA, 95616

I strongly believe that net neutrality is critical to the continued viability of internet businesses and fair competition. Allowing different pipelines to access will simply encourage unfair business practices and disproportionate bargains to be struck. If you care about competition or the smooth functioning of the internet net neutrality will be recognized as essential.

1151. Elizabeth Gobbo, Davis, CA, 95616

The internet has allowed me to connect with people all around the world and learn things I never would have had access to otherwise. Please keep the internet free and fair. Broadband ISPs should be subject to oversight by an expert agency so that they do not exploit their customers or put their information and security at risk. Thank you.

1152. Liam Michael Cox, Davis, CA, 95616

Open and equal access to the internet is the greatest tool we have for an educated, kind and caring populace able to not only take care of their own

neighbors and friends, but citizens and those in need around the globe. The internet should remain a utility in order to help achieve a greater world for all of us, not just some of us.

1153. michael mccormack, vacaville, CA, 95687

Stop this, Internet should be treated as a utility like water and power. No one should be able to throttle my bandwidth depending on who I connect to. Nor should they be able to provide speeds slower than I pay for,

1154. Scott Haralson, Fairfield, CA, 94534

Please don't kill net neutrality with this lousy bill. I do not support this legislation and will not vote for legislators who support this bill.

1155. Joshua Curtis, Davis, CA, 95616

I advocate for preserving net neutrality and title 2. This is central to everything the internet was created for.

1156. Thomas Rogge, Davis, CA, 95616

Net Neutrality is an important facet to our unique economy and job market; damaging it in any way will create far more problems than benefits in the long term market.

1157. Travis Lowe, Davis, CA, 95616

My clients are largely internet startups with whom my firm contracts. Net neutrality is a foundation upon which they can compete with larger companies and scale without arbitrary access costs. Even setting aside net-neutrality's role in preserving free and open communication online, it is also a boon to the entrepreneur economy that we would be fools to sacrifice.

1158. Michelle Quach, San Francisco, CA, 95616

Please keep the internet neutral. It is the only way to ensure a fair market for internet and internet-based companies.

1159. Cameron Malotte, fairfield, CA, 94534

The choice between choosing the tyrannous internet monopolies and the common man and woman should be an easy one for those "concerned" with their common civilians. Don't let the monopolies grease your palms, the american government is supposed to work for its people, not its greedy tax-less corporate heads.

1160. Cody Kiniry, Davis, CA, 95616

NET NEUTRALITY is a BASIC HUMAN RIGHT in our day in age. Open internet is important, and I DEMAND access to free and open internet.

1161. Jonathan Perez, Davis, CA, 95616

I strongly oppose this current effort to destroy net neutrality and allow companies to potentially give preferential access to paying clients in terms of internet traffic.

1162. Ruben Medina, Williams, CA, 95987  
Net neutrality or no internet at all.
1163. Tristan Bonds, Davis, CA, 95616  
The internet is an integral space for free speech. Please preserve net neutrality.
1164. Natalie Cannon, Davis, CA, 95616  
I support Net Neutrality and Title II protections for the Internet. We need them!  
Please don't take away everyone's equal access to websites!
1165. Eugene Davis, Marysville, CA, 95901  
Net neutrality protection serves the public interest while eliminating such protection swerves only private interests to the ultimate detriment of all Americans who have come to rely on the internet for all manner of streaming services. Only the FCC has both the power and duty to maintain fairness and balance for the benefit of all internet users.
1166. Mikayla, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
We don't need anyone telling us what we can or cannot watch. Taking away this freedom isn't right! Many of us little guys use the internet to sell, buy, or make our own companies! If you take away our rights to a free internet then you will be giving power to big name companies and overly powerful people who can take that freedom away and get money off of it and us! You do not have power over the internet! No one does or ever will! This is our free space to thrive and become stronger and use our freedom of speech and other legal rights we have been born with in this nation! This is where our voices are heard the loudest!
1167. Brian Gaertner, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
My future livelihood depends on net neutrality. I have bipolar disorder and severe ptsd, but I have found a job. I'm making videos for Youtube, have an online store and work 60 hours a week trying to make it thrive. I'm earning a small amount of income too now. Leaving the house, going to school or any location based occupation is extremely difficult for me and working from home may be the only chance I have left to build a future for myself. In the past 6 months I've also suffered a minor stroke. I need to work from home and have an equal chance as any to build my business. Please don't take my work away from me. It is the best, the hardest and most rewarding thing I've ever done in life. Eventually I want to help people with bipolar disorder like myself find ways of becoming more independent and bettering their lives and the lives of other. I can't possibly do that if the Net isn't protected. Please do this on my behalf.

1168. Steven Ramsay, Davis, CA, 95618  
Hello, Net neutrality is very important to me. Even now my internet choice is one for my house. This allows them to control what they want and I have no choice. Please protect net neutrality.
1169. Jake Gritten, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
The open internet is incredibly important. It gives people a place to voice their opinion and create what they wish, with an opportunity to be heard, when they may not otherwise have a chance to do so. No one should be able to take that opportunity away or limit it in their favor to further the reach of their own ideas by silencing others'; and right now that's in jeopardy.
1170. Benjamin Dally , Woodland, CA, 95695  
Please protect the internets status as Title II to ensure an open internet.  
Benjamin Dally
1171. Brendan Gage, Woodland, CA, 95695  
I rely on the internet for my education and to keep in touch with my family. Many good people that I look up to for inspiration rely on the internet to make a living. In my opinion, the internet should be considered a utility as important as water or electricity. Allowing ISP's to throttle internet speeds will hurt my ability to live a normal life and will put many people I know and love out of a job because they can't support themselves without other people being able to access their content through the internet.
1172. Foster Downes Toney, Davis, CA, 95616  
The internet has, and always should be, a place for everyone to congregate and share ideas. The sheer amount of diversity, conflict, and contrasting opinions is what makes it so amazing. Censorship on the web stops that flow of ideas. As a fifteen year old on the web, I receive my fair share of negativity. However, learning to deal with it in a calm and timely manner has done a great deal to aid my conflict resolution skills. The communities I've joined online are incredible. The people I've met have helped me grow as and artist, person, member of the LGBT community, and so many other ways. In fact, if it weren't for the internet, I never would have found the strength and confidence to come out as queer. All in all, the ability to swiftly communicate I've grown up with has been incredible - whether from effortlessly communicating with others across the globe to making plans with my friends at home. My worldview would be fundamentally worse without the opportunities I've been presented with.
1173. nick graham, orland, CA, 95963  
To me this looks like an act of pure and utter greed. pulling the net neutrality act which you're clearly aware of gives access to service providers to control the way we browse the internet and the things we browse just because they can at

the point. internet speeds will slow down or speed up based on what the service provider wants you to look at. The fact that youre giving someone else freedom over the things the US public can and cant browse and which speeds they can browse at that alone is utterly ridiculous youre stripping away freedom souly for these service providers to make more money on the things they want and lets not be coy everyone see's the greedy hands dipping into the pockets of these service providers.

1174. joshua reichert, Dixon, CA, 95620

Net neutrality is what is right! Privatizing the internet only stands to benefit big business and beat out any possible competition. Monopolizing the internet is ethically wrong. Don't push out originality and happy consumer interface just to make an extra buck.

1175. Net-Neutrality, Davis, CA, 95616

I believe that the United States of America would be greatly harmed by repealing the Net Neutrality rules (2015). The freedom that the internet provides to any person to express ideas and share information and for any other person to share and promote the ideas of others is fundamental to our economy, culture, and safety. Any possibly of losing this freedom could pose great danger to citizens of the United States of America. Please make this decision with the utmost care. Jared Johnson Professional Game Developer

1176. Joseph M Lynch, Woodland, CA, 95695

I am strongly against changing the rules governing ISPs from a utility. The industry is too concentrated to relax regulation.

1177. Julie Davis, Woodland, CA, 95776

I work in the public school system. A free and open internet is a vital equalizer for our school kids. It enables all children, whether the child of farm laborers or white collar workers, to have opportunities. It is vital for our country.

1178. John Lewis Wright, Dixon, CA, 95620

To whom it may concern, Here's a few quick questions - Do you like money? Do you like continuing to make money? Do you like staying in office and pleasing the voters? If the answer to all three is yes then I have a solution. Now it may be shocking to hear, and possibly it's news to you but word on the street is if something isn't broken? you don't fix it. Gasp! The horror, I know. Why would you let an ISP have sway over what happens online? To line your pockets... one time? Yeah, the internet is the goose laying a golden egg consistently every single day. It's not somewhere in Utah where people crybaby like Trump if their religion doesn't get its way. We tried that when AOL had Time-Warner and look where it got us. Up the creek. With no paddles! Ask yourselves why this is happening. Is it because Warren Jeffs got busted under

Obama? Would people not being able to talk freely with one another prevent another Bernie Madoff or Sub-Prime Mortgage and Global Economic Crisis from being revealed? Sorry but no. The facts are that even GHW Bush was thought of to be Deep Throat at one point and that was by Nixon himself who was tight with Bush's father's buddies at Yale. You know what I'm getting at here. You do this you won't be helping yourselves. Let alone your kids you claim to be doing it for. Look at how badly the Baby Boomers left us hanging by voting in Reaganomics. Between then and now the inflation rate hasn't lowered an inch since Bill Clinton was impeached. Me replying to you saying so what is that if you keep inflating the economy then we'll be run on IOU'S with the real money being siphoned off overseas. Maybe you like taking it up the coat by Japan or whomever, the Dutch maybe or the Germans like how Trump is trying to restart the Cold War with Russia now but I for one don't and I highly doubt that I'm alone. We already proved in the 90's that we don't need the internet to win. The West won regardless and the internet for it was icing on the cake under Clinton and YELTSIN! Yes, Boris Yeltsin was there when the dot-com ran hot and heavy. When did it all go kaput? When PUTIN came in like a big shot first taking off his shit during Y2K after Princess Diana's death and Versace. You honestly think he'd have had any luck with them or JFK Jr. still alive? The airwaves belong to the people. Not congress. Not money-grubbing politicians giving it away for globally conspiring to steal the election. The entire spoiled rotten government's job is CIVIL SERVICE duty. People come before the state here, comrade. And if you don't understand this then maybe you're the one that needs to attend church and weep like Jesus. You're not making any friends by standing over the Internet's body holding a smoking gun. If you got no friends then guess what? Your enemies don't respect you. You take this away then what excuse will there be for YOUR JOBS? If there's no regions in pro wrestling anymore, no NWA governing body, you really think that Trump cabinet picks like Linda McMahon will stop until they own the whole internet for themselves as a single corporation like WWE is now with a public listing on Nasdaq and New York Stock Exchange? Ask the kid in Home Alone once said: "I don't think so." They can try it bending your ear right now but then it's like they used to say on In Living Color "Homey don't play dat." Your choice. So make it a good one. Or else you burn the planet with this and there won't be anywhere else left for you to hide. Globally. Heh what, you think privatizing the internet only affects the United States? Look around. Every country on Earth has the internet. And they all look to us. We step aside and let them each have at you then it won't be the people for Net Neutrality that are to blame. Trump's words: You did it all to yourself. Sincerely, - John Lewis Wright

1179. Charles Correia, Fairfield, CA, 94533

I strongly urge the FCC not to repeal the net neutrality laws of 2015. The internet is the single most important education, collaboration, and innovation

tool on the planet! Net neutrality, the idea of an open access, unbiased experience, is the fuel that is needed to propel collaboration and innovation into the future. This fuel, an open internet, not controlled by ISP's, or large mega communications companies, is and will continue to be absolutely critical in keeping the United States at the forefront of the world wide internet. Tom Wheeler, FCC Chairman, said that, "the internet is the most powerful and pervasive platform on the planet," and that "it's simply too important to be left without rules and without a referee on the field." It is the internet that will most likely be at the heart of solving the most difficult problems facing this country and our world today! The continued fight against terrorism, racism, global warming, pollution, the uneducated masses, viruses and disease, indifference, and isolationism to name only a few, will need an open internet, an unbiased access to all information for everyone. We need our peoples minds, our children's minds, to explore, discover, innovate, and collaborate in the open halls and rooms of the greatest library and store of knowledge the world has ever known, the net neutral internet. What the United States does not need is to turn our internet into one of those gift shops you are forced to walk through at the end of a theme park ride. While it is also true that the internet has also become the greatest store the world has ever known, the store owners should not be put in charge of the entire platform! I again urge the FCC to fight the good fight, and keep the internet in the hands of the individual, not the hands of a few companies. I urge you not to allow the internet to become the next corporate monopoly rush. Personally I use the internet almost daily, and do not want my ISP directing, charging more for some sites, and or throttling my experience. I pay for access and speed, not supervision or direction or data mining.

1180. Sierra,, Antelope, CA, 95843

Stop. Net neutrality is not something to mess with because of money. Money is GREAT. We can all agree, but leave the freedom be. We are America, no? We left England because we wanted more freedoms, but now youre trying to take freedoms away? Come on.

1181. Mitchell Feldmann, Davis, CA, 95616

The FCC should continue to enforce net neutrality. Net neutrality is very good and very very cool.

1182. Renay H. Marquez, Davis, CA, 95618

I am a small business owner. I depend on the internet to connect with my clients, customers, and self-advocates who help connect folks with the right resources to help students in TK-12 public school settings have inclusive education. As a broadband user, in my area of California I have two choices: AT&T or Comcast. AT&T is the only standalone options I currently can afford for my home business use. We access our webpage, offline storage, online

storage, internet meetings, streaming videos for instructional purposes, and software verification through the internet. Without the FCC oversight, we could lose the affordability of the internet and thusly, our main means of reaching our clients and future clients. As a small business: we cannot afford to not be online. If we had to switch services, we would lose the ability to own our own equipment, being forced to rent proprietary equipment at our expense, something our slim profit margins cannot afford. We would lose the ability to travel to meet clients (due to tighter budgets), and we would have half the services we provide to our self-advocates. Open Internet matters to our company because the general public does not know the work nor understand the work that it takes to help every student succeed successfully in an inclusive program. We need to be able to talk about educational issues with all our clients through out blog, some of which some people may be at odds due to the opinion some folks have that people with disabilities should not be in public schools. The access to the internet matters to me greatly. Thank you for your time.

1183. Dacia Glauz, Vacaville, CA, 95687

Net neutrality is vital. We cannot allow corporations to stifle small businesses and competitors. The fact that TV companies also are the dominant providers of internet should be a massive red flag as traditional television viewership diminishes with increased online television streaming presence. These companies should not be able to stifle their own competition because there is a virtual monopoly on internet in a region. The internet should be treated as a necessary utility, not a commodity. Access to the internet is a necessity for education for both research and for online courses. Those courses take a lot of bandwidth, and I know from personal experience, that a slow connection makes many classes near impossible. Access to the internet is also a necessity in the professional world. The number of business which accept paper applications are rapidly diminishing. Do not eliminate net neutrality.

1184. John Shepard, Davis, CA, 95616

I support net neutrality as a matter of freedom of speech and information.

1185. Edward Salem, Davis, CA, 95616

Unjust and lacking of the initial freedoms to which our country was established

1186. Ivan Montes de Oca, Woodland, CA, 95776

I only have two ISP's in my area and one of them is already unfairly charging money for bad internet service so I'm left with the only other choice for internet. If Net Neutrality is repealed and my current providers gets to charge me more I will be in financial trouble.

1187. Karen Gheorma, Plumas Lake, CA, 95961

Please stop this. I can't make my own decisions about what I want to see. I don't

want anyone else trying to do it for me. Protect my privacy and Save the Open Internet. Thank you!

1188. Lauren Vidal, Vacaville, CA, 95688

To provide a sure prevention on the monopolization of Internet speed and availability, and to protect the very basis of freedom of speech, I refute the removal of Net Neutrality.

1189. Ryan Wright, Davis, CA, 95618

The internet needs to stay neutral. Pay to access will not only allow ISPs to charge even more for a service that should be considered a utility, it will allow them to control what is said and done online. This limitation of access and freedom of the internet would only serve to harm the American people for the profit of large corporations. PROTECTIONS FOR NET NEUTRALITY MUST STAY IN PLACE.

1190. Patrice Rivara, Davis, CA, 95618

Do not sell out to ISPs. Paid Prioritization and blocking of services only harms the American people and the freedoms we enjoy. DO NOT REMOVE PROTECTIONS FOR NET NEUTRALITY.

1191. Sean Loera, Hamilton City, CA, 95951

It shouldn't be a hard decision guys. Don't do it.

1192. net, Davis, CA, 95616

Your people do not want you repealing or re-classing net neutrality laws!

1193. 17-108, fairfield, CA, 94533

The Restoring Internet Freedom act is anti-consumer. Internet service providers are given too much power, hostile to consumers and promotes anti-competitive behavior and encourages monopolistic business moves. Includes throttling user's connections and implies future consequences such as forcing us, the consumers, to pay more for our service. We don't have to pay the water company money just to water our plants. This is exactly what this act will allow. Please rethink the decisions being made and keep the Internet as it is now. An open, and free use utility.

1194. Amanda Huynh, Davis, CA, 95618

Save net neutrality! It's incredibly important.

1195. Andrew Padovani, Davis, CA, 95616

I support a neutral and open internet that is free from corporate favoritism and closed-door deals. Corporations should not have the power to carve up our data and sell it piece-meal to consumers. The internet was founded as a place for the free exchange of data and ideas, and it should remain that way. An

"unregulated" internet is one open to "private" regulation by an oligarchy of rich corporations with a single motive: profit maximization. To this end, these oligarchs will exploit their power to charge consumers for access to valuable parts of the internet. They will charge other companies for access to their consumers. And they will have the power to shape and distort the data consumers are delivered. The influence of private corporations on the data average Americans has access to and receives on their devices is a grave threat to the American way of life and our democratic ideals. Reject the disgusting and ironically named "Restoring Internet Freedom" proceeding, number 17-108, and PRESERVE Internet freedom from corporate and private influence.

1196. Casey, Vacaville, CA, 95688

The FCC's open internet rules are important to me. If you have the ability to charge extra fees to control what i see on the internet, i will do everything in my power to boycott everything your company sells. I hope you will strive for the continued freedom of the internet. Sincerely, A potential customer for life Casey Wheeler Casey

1197. Andrew, Woodland, CA, 95695

I can't believe, in the year 2017, when we have access to unlimited amounts of information, you want to hinder the population. Some places deem this a basic human right. Guess we're all less than human now over here in the poor ol USA

1198. JO, Davis, CA, 95616

I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I also ask Ajit Pai, Chairman of the FCC, to recuse himself from the decision, as any decision would affect his former employer, Verizon, and further demonstrate the issue of the revolving door in DC.

1199. Juvenal Gonzalez, Madera, CA, 97080

Keep internet neutrality, I want to be in control. The internet is great as it is why create more restrictions? or is this one big scam that the government is trying to pull to control our lives? were already monitored by the NSA and CIA... why do you want to control more of our lives?

1200. Internet, Olivehurst, CA, 95961

Please keep the internet free, it's one of the only true ways individuals can express their freedom of speech. Handing it over to the cable companies will be one of the worst mistakes you could make.

1201. Matthew Sazma, Davis, CA, 95618

Net neutrality is one of the biggest issues of the modern world. With the internet being so essential to functioning in society today, having fair access for everyone is of the utmost importance. With so few internet provider options (often there is just a single provider in an area), being able to access all content

on the internet equally and without censorship from your provider is essential. Net neutrality must remain.

1202. Edri Codilla, Suisun City, CA, 94585

Hello, I'm one of many people who uses the internet almost every single day. Do you know why I use the internet almost every single day? Well it's because it is a part of our lives and it is a unavoidable thing you can do unless you choose not to use the internet and live a internet free life. If net neutrality laws are rolled back or "deleted" it would be like being forced to drive on certain roads or highways or only go to certain malls. Imagine if you are trying to get to work and instead of going your regular normal route you have to go the opposite way and take the longest way possible to get to work. That's what the internet is gonna be if net neutrality laws are rolled back. I'm pretty sure no one would like to take the longest possible route to work every single day. I hope that kinda makes sense in a way and I also really really hope that net neutrality laws aren't rolled back. Thanks for reading this.

1203. Cameron Naff, Woodland, CA, 95695

The internet is a place of freedom and competition. Users can create websites and have them rise to the top by the choice of the people using the website, not by the means of spending money. Let's keep it that way.

1204. Jacob Fraga, Dixon, CA, 95620

The act for net neutrality is directly what everyone deserves to have. We deserve the freedom to do as we please as long as it is hurting nobody else, this would be a total silencing of people by tyrannically abusing power.

1205. Jenni Lin, Davis, CA, 95616

I like my internet.

1206. Corban Fowler, Yuba City, CA, 95991

Keeping Net Neutrality is something that everyone should be for. What if you were reading a book and pages were torn out? That's what it could be like with browsing the internet, where sites are just blocked off the web by ISPs. Nobody in their right mind would say "Yes, I would like a book with random pages torn out of it." That's just silly. Connecting with people over the internet could be hindered as well. I personally have many friends all over the country, that I can only communicate with over the internet. Millions of people are just like me. Ending Net Neutrality could ruin the chances of that happening again with other people. This could also potentially create monopolies within ISPs, forcing people to choose only one ISP that is in their area, having to pay much more than if there were more because of no competition. In conclusion, Net Neutrality should remain in effect, or even broadened, for the good of the American People, and to keep the internet free from restrictions.

1207. Alexis Carbajal, Davis, CA, 95616  
Don't fix something that isn't broken
1208. Derek Wilson, Davis, CA, 95616  
A free and open internet is important to all consumers. Allowing ISPs to decide which websites to block, slow down, or speed up is tantamount to allowing censorship of the internet, which will stifle free speech and the free exchange of ideas. This will set America up not to be a leader among the world but to lag behind as land ruled not by citizens but by corporate interests. It is also important to note that you are presenting this as a way to free the internet. That is a lie plain and simple. If you think allowing ISPs to rule the internet is actually what is best for the country you should at least be honest about it. Allowing ISPs to censor and slow down websites that they do not agree with or that refuse to pay them a premium is by no way freeing the internet. It will also cause these premiums to be passed onto the consumer in a process that is basically a mafia shakedown. An ISP can threaten to slow down a website unless it pays a premium, it may not be able to afford that premium so it has to pass that price onto the end consumer. Please be honest and explain how this is in anyway good for America.
1209. Karun Narayan, Davis, CA, 95618  
Please dont pass this act, the internet should be kept in a neutral stance because thats the way its meant to be used. Making the internet a monopolized asset will ruin this beautiful piece of technology we have created. Please do what is right and keep the internet neutral. Thank you. Karun Narayan
1210. aubrey kenefick, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please don't be idiots. Keep net neutrality.
1211. net neutrality, fairfield, CA, 94534  
Keep net neutrality for it keeps the spread of information accessible to all.
1212. Matthew Shelton, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
The ISP's really shouldn't have the ability to control what we use our internet for, it's just going to be another money grab for them if it goes through. It would feel very corrupt, which it would be. Net Neutrality rules should remain in place.
1213. MindyDonham, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Information must be kept freely accessible to everyone!
1214. Haiden Pomeroy, Yuba City, CA, 95991  
The internet needs to be free keep Net Neutrality
1215. Nicole Galipeau, Vacaville, CA, 95688

Net Neutrality MUST be kept. A free and open internet is the hallmark of a modern democracy.

1216. Bryan Taylor, Fairfield, CA, 94534

I believe it would be a violation of logic to provide ISP's with power over internet traffic. The internet is a place where people can have an equal playing field. Our country is all about equality, and that is something that Net Neutrality stands for. Removing acts put in place by Net Neutrality will cause many problems in our country and give existing monopolies additional power.

1217. Davin Liming, Sacramento, CA, 95673

Net neutrality is a precious thing. It is something that we all need to truly enjoy our experience on the internet. The internet is a place where you can express yourself, publish drawings, music, and videos, and watch TV like nobody has before: Streaming services, and digital cable services. Internet gaming has also opened up a world of new possibilities of play for people who don't live close together, and it's opened up a world of new possibilities for those who want to talk to each other from long distances. To destroy net neutrality is to destroy the internet.

1218. Jered Bell, Vacaville, CA, 95688

Why aren't you doing what's best for the people? Save Net Neutrality!

1219. drew herzog, woodland, CA, 95776

this wont be read anyway, but keep the internet equal. literally anyone who knows about what net neutrality means is against this for good reason.

1220. Raymond Luevano, Woodland, CA, 95695

The internet is the internet for a reason, if you try to control the internet and give certain business' priority over others because they have more money the internet will just be full of a bunch of money hungry websites controlling everything we see. That is not what the internet is about, you cant control the people. you cant control us.

1221. David Ramos Perez, Vacaville, CA, 95687

I think is about enough with all of the control that these big companies now have over the citizens of this great nation.

1222. Erik Brummett, Live Oak, CA, 95953

The open and free Internet is the best kind of Internet. Don't screw this up for people who love and use the Internet daily for work, education, and entertainment.

1223. Henry Bramhall, Fairfield, CA, 94534

keep net neutrality and support small business

1224. Kenneth R Flask, Guinda, CA, 95637  
The internet was created for one purpose and one purpose only the free exchange of ideas and commerce. Considering the fact that the FTC in conjunction with internet provider lawyers, lobbyists and lawmakers have allowed the concentration of control over the internet down to a few players who actively stifle competition through laws and lawsuits on the local, state and national level there MUST BE BALANCE. It's bad enough a few people with power control the market and now you want them to control the message too? There is NOTHING more Orwellian than that. You must keep ALL facets of net neutrality in place or you will further create a monopoly and stifle competition and as well as quell any dissenting voices against it. This is inherently un-american and un-constitutional/. Kenneth R Flask
1225. Victoria Strait, Davis, CA, 95616  
People deserve to decide what websites and apps are best.
1226. Keenan, Nevada City, CA, 95616  
I'm in favor of net neutrality. And so is everyone else. Rule against a fair and free internet and you will fuck over all of us. Don't let me down.
1227. Daphne Carlson, Davis, CA, 95618  
In order to keep this brief as I know you have many, many messages coming in today: The internet needs to remain neutral. ISPs should not hold power over such a vast and expansive piece of technology. Title II net neutrality must be defended. Not protecting this neutrality is a direct violation of personal rights and business rights. This is not the way to help the economy, but instead fuels a takeover by large companies while squashing smaller, local companies out of existence while ALSO managing to take away rights from the people. In even shorter terms: Protect net neutrality.
1228. Dan Rogers, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Keeping the internet open is extremely important to me, and directly affects my ability to provide for my family. I work for a small company that makes web-based tools for small businesses and individuals that allows them to create their own website. Our clients would suffer if their website and/or access to our tools became blocked or reduced in any way. Please consider small businesses and people like myself when ruling on this proceeding. Keep the internet free.
1229. Roman Huff, Davis, CA, 95616  
I was adopted into this country. I grew up with the idea of the American Dream. This sucks.
1230. Christopher Ellis, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
The Internet is one of the greatest inventions of the modern day. It has become an essential part of our daily lives, be it for business, communication,

entertainment, or education. There is only one internet and we cannot afford to allow ISPs the power to filter what we can and cannot see. The internet must remain open for everyone to use. There can be no paid prioritization, blocking of websites based off paid services, or throttling to websites based off the ISPs discretion. Additionally, because there is only one internet, net neutrality rules must cover the entirety of the Internet, be it mobile or home connections. We must preserve Title 2 classification for the Internet so that everyone has fair access to the entire Internet.

1231. Joseph Rhone, Vacaville, CA, 95687

I highly support a free and open internet, and that is why I support the current FCC regulation of ISPs. By repealing the title II classification and loosening current regulation, this will inevitably lead to the censoring of ideas and an increase in anti-competitive actions by broadband companies. Some of these companies have even stated support for the current regulation. When the biggest proponent for removal of these rules is someone who used to work for one of these companies, one can not seriously argue there is not a conflict of interest. Joseph Rhone

1232. Elye Mann, Davis, CA, 95618

Removing Net Neutrality will destroy competition, limit consumer choice and decisions, and further foster blatant crony capitalism and corruption that plagues our government. We do not need to be giving even more to vastly entrenched and greedy interests. ISPs already have abhorrent monopolies where they forever increase prices for more restricting options. They do not get to decide how the internet is run, even though they are allowed to control at what speed. We need Title II designation for ISPs as a common utility that benefits all people, just like electricity, which still has plenty of problems with greed and corruption as is. The FCC should be working to benefit the citizens of this country, not a handful of corporations that will take whatever immoral position to satisfy further greed. Our democracy requires an open and accessible internet to function its best. Do not dismantle Net Neutrality! Do not dismantle democracy! And please, certainly do not do it in the name of greed and corruption for the benefit of a few corporations. It is absolutely disgusting to think about such blatant handouts from our government. Ajit Pai must resign as a tool of Verizon's interests, not the American people!

1233. Maram Oraikat, Fairfield, CA, 94533

This country is based on freedom, let's keep it that way.

1234. Judy O'Neill, Dixon, CA, 95620

I feel very strongly about saving net neutrality.

1235. Christopher Williams, Olivehurst, CA, 95961

The moment corporations control the internet is the moment we truly lose our freedom. Save net neutrality, don't let greedy CEO's gain power over the people.

1236. Ryan Stelzner, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Net neutrality needs to stay! DO NOT REMOVE OR REFORM NET NEUTRALITY!
1237. Amanda Parker, Davis, CA, 95616  
I strongly oppose any attempt to weaken net neutrality.
1238. Michael Garner, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
ISPs should have to provide access to any and all websites.
1239. Marc Romagosa, Dixon, CA, 95620  
It is essential to American entrepreneurship that all companies have equal access to internet resources, without internet service providers (ISPs) establishing a protection racket and throttling speeds for companies that don't (or can't) pay. It is absolutely necessary that ISPs continue to be classified under Title 2 in order to ensure that these kinds of predatory and exclusionist practices cannot be implemented as they were before this classification.
1240. Yvonne Lee, Davis, CA, 95616  
Getting rid of net neutrality is unnecessary . By controlling costs, and making their own supported sites cheaper, and the rest of the internet more expensive and slower, they're corralling people into using those sites. The internet should be free, I don't want to have my choices and speed deliberately limited by internet service providers. The Internet should be free (not cost wise, freedom wise). This practice will disadvantage many Americans, while making these ISP corporations richer. We need net neutrality.
1241. Joshua Kuncaitis, Travis AFB, CA, 94535  
Don't let the world down.
1242. Meghan Miller, Davis, CA, 95616  
I oppose the roll back of net neutrality. Keep the Internet open and equal.
1243. Tristan E., Fairfield, CA, 94533  
If the internet is left up to the decisions of the big companies, who are only out to get their money from their customers, censorship will be rampant, extravagant fees will be put in place, and we will be put on the road to a new Fascist regime. Let's say you use Comcast as your internet provider. If the internet is up to them, and they happen to not like Facebook, Twitter, or Snapchat, for instance, they can just cut off access to them, and you won't have any say in it. They may also decide that you can't use Google if they don't want,

instead making you use Yahoo, and we all know about Yahoo's fiasco with security. So please please PLEASE, do \*not\* let the big companies win.

1244. James Campos, Davis, CA, 95616

I strongly support net neutrality if any bill should pass that effects how I an use the internet and the information I consume through it in a manner which benefits corporations such as Comcast I will actively work to remove every last one of those responsible for ruining such a beautiful community.

1245. Cody Fomin, Marysville, CA, 95901

Let us keep our freedom on the internet and allow us to browse sites we enjoy without fear of losing them or having them slowed down.

1246. Elijah, Davis, CA, 95616

Please protect Net neutrality and keep Title II. The internet is a necessity/utility in today's Society. Don't let company's monopolize it.Thank you.

1247. Samantha Schmidt, Vacaville, CA, 95688

Keeping our internet free from special interests is essential to the continuing freedom of our country. The internet has quickly become an essential part of each person's life in this day and age, and having it throttled by corporations and internet conglomerates will ruin the growth of humanity. For the good of all humans, keep our internet FREE! Do not allow net neutrality to end. It needs to stay in place to keep corruption at bay.

1248. Jeremy Nathaniel Kostiew, Woodland, CA, 95695

A free and open internet does not hurt the economy. The internet has and will be a source of entrepreneurship as long as it exists in a form capable of supporting those entrepreneurs. Deregulation will cripple the internet. Repealing the Obama-era protections of the free internet will cripple the internet. This should not even be a concern. A former Verizon lawyer doesn't have distance from the data companies pushing this insane, anti-internet rhetoric to make these decisions for the American people.Make the internet a public utility. Take Comcast out of the equation. Don't let corporate interests guide the flow of information anymore than it already does. Thank you.

1249. Hilary Barboza, Woodland, CA, 95695

I am in support of net neutrality. I take college courses online, and I cannot afford additional fees on top of what I already pay just to keep up with system requirements. I understand that the cost of a "fast lane" would be to the college, but that expense will undoubtedly be passed down to students. Internet access is a necessity, not a privilege. The FCC needs to maintain control to ensure consumers aren't being price gouged.

1250. Nishant, Davis, CA, 95616

I do not support deregulation of net neutrality nor any change in laws

1251. RaymondScott, davis, CA, 95618

Net neutrality is important to me because like many people nowadays I spend a significant amount of my life on the internet. whether it's to keep in touch with long distance family and friends, using the internet various ways for my work, looking up how to guides when trying to fix something or to stream movies on Netflix. When I pay for broadband internet access I expect whatever information I'm seeking to be treated equally. nobody should be able to pay to speed up their own content and my broadband provider shouldn't be able to slow down any content just because it serves their interest. You may say that if I find my provider is doing this I could just switch providers, but this isn't possible because there is only one provider in my area. The FCC should protect consumers from broadband providers from price gouging, invasions of privacy, and last but not least keeping the internet NEUTRAL!!

1252. Max Hagelthorn, Davis, CA, 95616

As a biochemistry researcher, net neutrality helps me access important data quickly and efficiently. Further, net neutrality is essential in my troubleshooting of research, and further understanding of my field. Reversing the 2015 oversight determination and getting rid of net neutrality would be crippling to my ability to do research and produce scientific knowledge. We buy internet access in order to connect and interact with others, and packaging certain websites into bundles runs counter to the entire premise of the internet leading to connections that one would not think one would need. We do not have choices in our internet - because the internet requires large amounts of infrastructure, we have only have 2 choices of ISPs who are likely to take advantage of a net neutrality appeal. The FCC ought to protect the consumer through oversight and enforcement of net neutrality.

1253. Jason huntington, marysville, CA, 95901

Don't let them censor what information I can find on the internet. stop this nonsense and keep the net from being controlled like a puppet by companies

1254. Julie, Davis, CA, 95616

Keep Net Neutrality to preserve democracy and fair internet provider practices between consumer and corporations.

1255. Gus Keeble, Davis, CA, 95616

Don't be the assholes that don't think of the average consumer. the internet is a basic human right that deserves to be treated as such.

1256. Darla Harvey, Marysville, CA, 95901

I urge you to defend net neutrality. The internet is becoming so integral in our lives, and with it so popular in america i feel the internet should be treated as we

treat our country. Equal opportunity without the intervention of a corporation.  
Thank you. Darla Harvey

1257. Weina Dai, Davis, CA, 95616  
Internet is a free forum and should not be regulated by internet service providers or the Federal Communications Commission.
1258. Josh Omoletski, Davis, CA, 95616  
Do not take away competition of the internet. That leads to monopolies like in any market. Would you allow monopolies to be made in other markets like insurance or groceries?
1259. Daniel sanders, Yuba City, CA, 95991  
Why would you think net neutrality could possibly not be a thing. Daniel sanders
1260. Edward Finkenbinder, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Keep the internet open and useful for everyone. Cable/Internet providers have far too much power as it is over access to the internet and content.
1261. Nathaniel Hallen, Davis, CA, 95616  
I still can't believe internet provider companies are trying to push this through. The will of the people has been expressed time and time again that we want and demand a free and open internet. Net neutrality is the will of the people. I along with thousands of others support this and will fight for it.
1262. Richard Musselman, Suisun, CA, 94585  
The internet is and should always remain a open and free space. The only payments that should be made, is the internet itself, not the web sights we visit. Our providers have no right to put the sights we love behind a paywall just to milk an extra buck off us. It's not right.
1263. Marisol Gonzalez, Davis, CA, 95616  
Net neutrality is important to me as a student, teacher, scientist, researcher, consumer, activist, feminist, and all my identities. Please do not let the internet be censored. Defend access to knowledge, power, and free speech. It is a right as a citizen of humanity.
1264. Kristin Watkins, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
This is bad! Real bad!
1265. Christian Stewart, Yuba City, CA, 95991  
The internet is a place of peace and prosperity wherever you go, friendly people you can meet and call family are made, as well as free expression of who you are, in a way you can't do in the real world. You people don't understand that

people go to the internet, and use social media to escape. They get sad, they have horrible lives, and if you take away the internet, you're going to most likely cause mass teenager suicide. Think carefully about what you're doing. Christian Stewart

1266. John Blakeman, Woodland, CA, 95776

To whom it may concern, The internet has been and should be a medium of free discourse and spreading of different ideas and viewpoints. Mega-corporations should have no right to block, limit, or otherwise curtail the free exchange of information. Net Neutrality is a way to ensure free speech for anyone who wishes to make themselves heard. Please preserve our free internet. Sincerely,  
A concerned netizen John Blakeman

1267. Dakota Edie, Vacaville, CA, 95687

The destruction of net neutrality is the destruction of the freedom of a creative internet. Dakota Edie

1268. Lauren James, Davis, CA, 95618

I strongly believe in net neutrality, giving large cable companies the power to throttle and lock out small businesses creates an anti-small business mindset, and let's them be the gatekeepers to ideas that would only benefit them in the long run. The internet should always be open sourced, and provide everyone with equal access, no matter their income amount. If cable companies didn't want to use this for their own benefit, they could look into better more long term economic progress instead of focusing on the short term. Getting rid of net neutrality will damage the little guy, and while the cable companies say they won't throttle data or broadband speeds, restrictions are placed there for a reason. Keep net neutrality as a utility, don't prevent small businesses from thriving. How else have we been able to get netflix, social media as a whole, and other large internet names? If cable companies gain the power to filter in only sites that they choose, then the power is placed in their hands, and the FCC will lose what strength they have in helping regular americans see their ideas realized. The internet should be used to help innovate, and create new products and businesses. The internet should be free of gatekeepers. Net neutrality should keep existing.

1269. Noah Bystrom, Davis, CA, 95618

Please don't let ISP's pull Mafia-style intimidation tactics

1270. Brian Wei, Davis, CA, 95618

Keep Net Neutrality. Banish the Restore the Internet thing.

1271. agustin olivera, Olivehurst, CA, 95961

We believe that net neutrality is what protects consumers from internet service providers making unfair shifts to consumers. By having net neutrality removed,

there is a risk that internet service providers will restrict our experiences on the internet, by blocking websites widely used or limiting the internet speed of certain websites. We want net neutrality to stay, to protect consumers from these risks.

1272. Kahui Lim, Davis, CA, 95616

Dismantling net neutrality serves the agenda of corporations rather than serving the American people. Through net neutrality's erosion, companies that are already well-to-do see financial benefit. On the other hand, millions of patriots would suffer financial consequences and sees waning access to what should be public information. Any support of this legislation i.e. the financial benefit of the companies at the expense of the American people, further reinforces the notion that our government has been bought.

1273. Vivian Tran, Davis, CA, 95616

Please keep net neutrality. Remember who's interests you represent.

1274. Alexander J Azwell, Fairfield, CA, 94533

My name is Alexander Azwell. I am a young adult of 23 years age. My life is just getting started, and it relies heavily on the internet. In this age of digital information I rely on the various job sites to find a career that will allow me to live a good life and one day support a family. It also allows me to keep up with the friends I have made across the world, many of whom live in other countries. It also allows me to keep up with the news across these United States and across the world. Allowing non-government entities to put a stranglehold on these vital resources, which I and millions of others rely upon, is a dire threat to this democracy. To allow non-government entities to control the flow of information and media, particularly those guided solely by the pursuit of profit, would be a dark mark on our history and endanger the freedom of speech and information we cherish so much.

1275. Samuel Heppelmann, davis, CA, 95616

I do not think ISPs should have control over the content that they provide.

1276. Curtis Loy, Davis, CA, 95616

The whole point of the internet is to share information. By allowing ISPs to control our information and access, we're allowing corporations even more say in the lives of everyday citizens.

1277. Phil Cello Jr, Fairfield, CA, 94534

I support strong net neutrality rules under Title II regulation. ISPs cannot be trusted as the guardians of the internet and the "free market" is not the right regulator here. I'm afraid that what will happen to the internet is that we will end up in a similar situation with what happens when private companies are allowed to run access services through private prisons as they are a natural

monopoly. Services such as phone calls to inmates are prohibitively expensive simply because they are a captive audience with no other options, without strong Title II regulations of the internet the public simply becomes a captive audience to a few regionally monopolistic ISPs.

1278. Israel Garibay, Davis, CA, 95618

Please discontinue efforts to change the current statues of how the internet is regulated. Net neutrality is the only way to have a free and open internet without the unwarranted filtering by ISP's.

1279. David Y. Lee, Davis, CA, 95616

High speed internet is so essential in my daily life, for work, for research, for entertainment, it should be regulated as a common carrier, and I don't want my internet service to be determined by what companies are able to pay for priority service. I already pay for internet service! I oppose any efforts to reclassify internet service providers any other way.

1280. Bronwyn Camper, Orland, CA, 95963

The FCC Net neutrality rules are very important to me, they allow me to effectively do my job as a child care provider. Access to information is crucial to learning for small children. Sincerely, A teacher of young minds  
Bronwyn Camper

1281. Earvin Jenkins, Suisun City, CA, 94585

Removing net neutrality is a backwards step towards allowing the free flow of information. Please do not revoke these freedoms in the interest of corporate agendas.

1282. William Briceno, fairfield, CA, 94533

Leave my Internet in One Piece.

1283. Rattanah Mahal, Davis, CA, 95616

The Internet is a necessary part of modern life, It is the gateway of information for jobs, education, communication, and community for the whole of human species. It is a tool made by the people for the people and ISPs already have a stranglehold on the average American who, when compared to the rest of the world, pay exhorced fees just to get internet access. Paid prioritization will give the ISPs more power and disenfranchise the majority of Americans, regardless of socio-economic background. The younger generation will not take too kindly to this, and you will have a generation that will revolt, I kid you not. The millennials, the most populous generation in this world and who use the internet the most, take their internet seriously and should anyone mess with their virtual freedom you will see a revolt and the ISPs will see shrinking revenue. Why? because millennials will find a way to get what they want, free internet. The freedom afforded by the Internet has given our society many innovations in

technology, economics, social science, science, and much more and restricting this freedom will harm this ever-growing society.

1284. Eric Yang, Sacramento, CA, 95626  
America should be a country that strives for a free market and a free country. By controlling my internet settings for me you are taking away my rights as a human and as a citizen of the United States of America.
1285. Alyssa Truitt, Woodland, CA, 95776  
I think the Internet should be equally accessible to all people and ISPs shouldn't be able to throttle access to specific sites or force users to go through specific apps or clients.
1286. Bryan Ehlers, Davis, CA, 95618  
We need STRONG net neutrality rules. Internet service providers should not be in a position to slow or limit American's access to websites and web-based content. Strong net neutrality rules support democracy and increase equity. A vote to reduce current net neutrality rules would clearly signal that the FCC favors moneyed corporate interests over the American people. The people are watching.
1287. Ashley Martin, Woodland, CA, 95776  
Please keep net neutrality! We need a free and open internet - it isn't a business.
1288. Ted Chow, Woodland, CA, 95776  
We deserve net neutrality for all. Do not let corporations dictate what we can and cannot have access to.
1289. Michael Ino, Davis, CA, 95616  
I believe that by ending net neutrality we as American people will lose one of the last true areas of freedom that we have left. By ending net neutrality, we will cede control to corporations who will always be looking to make a profit at the expense of the people. The internet should not be throttled or controlled by people looking to improve their bottom line. I hope this will help convince others to consider the dangers of allowing net neutrality to be ended.
1290. Samuel, Woodland, CA, 95776  
With open and free internet, we prevent any and all control over the information we can view, and while the first rules wont restrict much, eventually someone will use it as a tool of oppression.
1291. Collin Davidson, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
I will never vote for anyone that votes yes on this. This does harm to the whole country and only serves to suppress and line pockets.

1292. Abby Dong , Davis, CA, 95618  
We, as people of this nation, and so are you, should have the freedom in the virtual world while so many free choices have been taken away. Abby Dong
1293. Jake, Davis, CA, 95616  
Keep net neutrality!! The internet is not the place for privatization!!
1294. Vishesh Mani, Fremont, CA, 95618  
I do not want net neutrality to end.
1295. Grant Matheson, Davis, CA, 95616  
Net neutrality is a basic human right and should be held equivalent to access to clean drinking water. Access to unfiltered and uninterrupted information, unaltered by profit and political affiliation, is essential to the freedoms our country holds close. Allowing internet service providers the ability to alter internet speeds for competing websites should not be allowed, as it directly violates the competition our capitalism-based country holds highly. Ultimately, putting more power benefits those at the top of the internet/communication industries and jeopardizes the freedom of every American citizen. Again, net neutrality is a human rights issue and should not be considered partisan in any way; the internet is the right of every citizen and any uneven interruption of that right should be considered a violation of the Constitution.
1296. Djanna, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Currently in full support of the net neutrality laws, please don't roll them back. I like the internet the way it is right now. Thanks.
1297. Patrick Knowles, Yuba City, CA, 95993  
The internet should not be used in this manner.
1298. Alex Rosner, Davis, CA, 95618  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me as the livelihood of my peers as well as myself depend upon equal and fair access to the internet. The internet should be a utility for all, not a select few at everyone's expense. I implore you to defend these rights. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to

do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Alex Rosner

1299. Kramer Straube, Davis, CA, 95616

I strongly support keeping the regulations in place to protect net neutrality

1300. Melissa Bell, Marysville, CA, 95901

I support the open internet and online competition. I should have the right to decide what websites and apps to access, and they should not be limited. I appreciate your consideration.

1301. Dustin Carter, Woodland, CA, 95776

Getting rid of Net Neutrality would affect my everyday life as it plays an integral role in day to day activities. If ISPs were to limit my usage to, or charge for usage on a website, then I wouldn't be able to keep in touch with friends across the globe, do online freelance work, or even play online video games or watch movies/tv shows. I have access to only one internet company out here. If they decided that I would need to pay for any google related services, I would lose out on a very big portion of what I have access to since I've started using them back in 2007. The internet has been a big part of my life, from the days of dial up, to the amazing speeds and tech we have now. We shouldn't have to be forced to have restrictions placed on the internet - it gives us access to things that we wouldn't have dreamt of being able to see. To make it where companies can easily deny what you can access to, limit speeds, and essentially have free reign without an overseer, it would set us back as a nation in terms of internet capabilities compared to every other nation that has internet.

1302. Adam, Yuba City, CA, 95991

ISP's should not be able to monopolize the internet

1303. zachary Wenk, Davis, CA, 95616

I like how our presidents board members wants to deregulate when it comes to big business and to give them as much freedom as they can, while at the same time they want to regulate the average american consumer. Sounds unfair and takes the "free" out of "land of the free"

1304. giovanni puccio, yuba city, CA, 95993

i stream on twitch if you were to do this i would have to pay more to be on twitch and also steam which would cause me to lose a considerable amount of money due to loss of viewers during my streams.

1305. Marcus Gomez, Dixon, CA, 95620

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and the population. Protect themI don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Marcus Gomez

1306. Morgan Cunneen, Davis, CA, 95616

Net neutrality is extremely important to keep the internet fair and balanced. The early internet allowed new companies to compete on equal footing with

established popular sites. One example of this is when Facebook was created in a dorm room and could compete with the established popular site of MySpace. The ISP provider could not discriminate against the small start-up company and slow down peoples' access to Facebook in order to favor MySpace. If the net neutrality rules are struck down, ISP providers could prevent innovative products and new ideas from seeing the light of day. This is fundamentally anti-capitalist.

1307. Christopher , Davis, CA, 95616

Dear reader,I do not understand why we must go over this issue over and over again. Blocking open access will stunt our technological growth and benefit a select few who already benefit from taking taxpayer money to lay down infrastructure that never happened. Access to the internet is important for everyone, so do not be so shortsighted and blinded by dollars to think this is a great opportunity. Listen to the community who made the internet the place it is today, not those who know claim to know anything about it.Best,ChrisChristopher

1308. Matthew Espe, Davis, CA, 95618

I strongly oppose the proposed changes that will negatively impact net neutrality. Eroding net neutrality will negatively impact small startup companies, and will decrease innovation.

1309. Tony Zhang, Davis, CA, 95618

The internet should not be controlled by a service provider. By allowing for a service provider to throttle certain websites to benefit their own services is unjust for a free and open internet,

1310. Danielle L Grant, Woodland, CA, 95776

This infringement of net neutrality cannot be allowed to come to pass. Companies who seek monopolization through collaboration should not be allowed to operate unmoderated. Ones position of power should not be used to exploit the people who give that power. The Internet should be a free place unmoderated by cable providers. It is neither their business, nor their place, to charge clients and consumers more essentially "just because you can" or to control their access to content. That is to take gross advantage of the capitalist values this country prides itself on and to ignore the very common belief that monopolies/conspiracies to monopolize certain markets are deplorable. On a global scale this plan is embarrassing, as the price discrepancy can quickly be attributed to the greed of the companies lobbying for this bill. Half the Internet speed for double the cost. Bullying companies for extra money at the expense of the speed of their service is nothing less then a shameless shakedown. This cannot be allowed to pass.

1311. Nicolas Pisciotta, Davis, CA, 95618  
Net Neutrality is the cornerstone of free speech in America and worldwide in the modern day.
1312. Rose Butler, Davis, CA, 95616  
I care about an open internet and competition online. Access to information should never be made into something for the elite. This is censorship in the worst way. Net neutrality is an important ideal for myself and millions of Americans, so please consider the people in your decision.
1313. Rob Hedges, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Net neutrality should not be changed or eliminated-it has provided an open internet so that new companies can flourish without being exclusively tied to older long-term established companies such as Internet Service Providers. Brand new companies that now are internet based can add new value to the US economy which would not be possible if the internet had things like ISP's demanding higher fees for high-bandwidth traffic ("double-dipping").Thank you
1314. Lamonica Turley, Walnut Grove, CA, 95690  
The fact that we even need to voice our opinion on something that is obviously just about feeding big business and oppressing the general population its outrageous.
1315. David Siu, Davis, CA, 95616  
With the emergence of so many companies via web-based outreach, countless jobs would be lost as cost for what is now seen as basic internet connectivity skyrockets. The big ISP's don't need more money - they're not going to hire more people to do the same thing they're doing now just because they can charge more to companies for faster services. Keep creativity and jobs alive.
1316. Navdeep Kaur , Woodland, CA, 95776  
End net neutrality!Navdeep Kaur
1317. Ben S, Davis, CA, 95618  
The reversal of net neutrality is a horrible idea that would be fundamentally detrimental to not only the competition aspect of the free-market system, but also to the freedom of every consumer with an internet connection. I very strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. There is no benefit to the consumer for a system that can and will be controlled by the greed of corporations who can regulate content based on their on financial gain.
1318. Wes Hough, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Keep the internet free! Net neutrality needs to be protected to preserve the free sharing of ideas and information without a corporate filter.

1319. Chase Veler, Dixon, CA, 95620  
I'm contacting you as a citizen of the US who is concerned with the possibility of losing Net Neutrality. Keep the internet free. Do not change Net Neutrality.
1320. Janelle Kimzey, Davis, CA, 95616  
Why not spend less time "earning money" through censoring artists and jibbing your customers and work on getting a customer service base that can best a congress of feral baboons?Janelle Kimzey
1321. Jordan Halpern, Davis, CA, 95616  
Internet should be considered a public utility and regulated as such. Giving internet providers the ability to restrict access based on content represents a fundamental threat to our democracy and freedom of speech. Net neutrality must be preserved.
1322. Faith Bodary, Woodland, CA, 95776  
I believe internet freedom is crucial, and it's a shame it's even a possibility something like this can be taken away. I do understand there are many, many unfiltered things on the internet that potentially aren't for all people. But, do remember that just because something isn't for you; doesn't mean it isn't something for someone else. I believe internet freedom is amazing, and that not all places have such free internet to roam on, and we should be thankful we have such an amazing right to an amazing, open and wide, internet to roam.
1323. Andrew Brown, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
How is this gross violation of freedom even under consideration? The FCC should've been disbanded the very second consumers had choices in broadcasting. Voting to destroy a free internet is voting against the base principles of America.
1324. Caitlin Fry, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Keep net neutrality - plain and simple.
1325. Liam, Davis, CA, 95616  
Net neutrality ensures that the most popular things receive the most attention, proportionately. Repealing this will only lead to a lesser experience for the user and no benefits for any company that operates online, no matter their position on the ladder of popularity.
1326. Andrew D Wilson, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
The Internet should always be free and open within the full jurisdiction of the FCC
1327. Sebastien Poore, Davis, CA, 95616  
Preserving net neutrality is a fundamental freedom that should be afforded to all

citizens of the world. Net neutrality must be preserved, there is no compromise.

1328. Jared Osburn, Yuba City, CA, 95991  
Trying to control people through blocking content is wrong. Keeping people from doing their own research and form their own opinions if fundamental to our freedoms and way of life.
1329. Max, Davis, CA, 95616  
This act would destroy the entire purpose of the internet. The internet is a place where no one corporation or company can control the entirety of it, and that must not change. Do NOT pass this.
1330. Marisa Morton, Davis, CA, 95616  
preserve net neutrality. I'm a small business, photograpy and videography, and I have a website that needs to be able to load media. I don't want to have to pay a premium
1331. Chelsea Looy, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
The internet needs to remain neutral. Getting rid of Net Neutrality will affect the entire world, the economy, and will continue to diminish the middle class.
1332. Jonathan Beard, Plumas Lake, CA, 95961  
Taking away the strong enforcement due to title 2 and just asking companies politely to do what title 2 is already doing is just absurd. Please do not do this. I realize it's a great way for certain companies to make money, but think of the little guys.
1333. John Proffitt, Davis, CA, 95618  
I, and most others I believe, want fast, affordable, unrestricted, and unbiased access to the Internet. This is why I support strong net neutrality. I donâ€™t want big ISPs biasing my use of the Internet. The Internet is the most important network in the last 100 years. It is critical to everything from commerce to political expression. The government should not be aiding others in restricting access. All I want is fast and unbiased Internet access. I am not interested in buying a bundle of "information services". I am not interested in buying a cable TV package. I am not interested in buying any of the other myriad of largely useless items my ISP wants to sell me. These are not critical. The Internet is critical and like other essential services, it should be highly regulated and not open to manipulation by ISP providers. The FCC should maintain net neutrality and resist attempts by ISPs to corrupt it for profit.
1334. net neutrality, Woodland, CA, 95695  
I think net neutrality is an important issue and that large companies should have the right to buy internet power however they want.

1335. Kayla Vodacek, Davis, CA, 95616  
The internet needs to remain open and free to all. America is a country built on creativity and collaboration. Without an open internet, idea sharing ends and freedom of speech is impaired. If we want to be a strong country, we must make decisions with the future in mind. Closing off the internet and limiting access sets a dangerous precedent for even more extreme government control down the road.
1336. Kyle Wang, Davis, CA, 95618  
Internet service should be regulated as a utility. This change will only benefit internet providers who already make billions in profits every, and yet claim that net neutrality is hurting them. The only motivation that that Internet Service Providers have in removing internet neutrality is profits. What a coincidence that the current head of the FF used to work for Verizon, not conflict of interest there.
1337. Quinn La, Davis, CA, 95616  
I want to keep net Neutrality, no blocking, not throttling, no paid prioritization.
1338. Joseph Robinson, Marysville, CA, 95901  
We demand a free and open internet. Joseph Robinson
1339. Caroline Newell, Davis, CA, 94533  
Net neutrality is essential to freedom of speech and universal access to information. There is nothing to gain by violating net neutrality other than making the rich richer, and that is not what this country needs.
1340. Jonathan, Davis, CA, 95618  
Net Neutrality is very important, and we cannot allow it to be compromised.
1341. Juan Rodas, Davis, CA, 95616  
To whom It may concern,As a student and an upcoming chemical engineer, the internet has provided and continues to be a great resource for information. Whether I need it for studying school material like calculus and chemistry or to simply explore what engineering is all about the internet is always open as a source of information and database of knowledge unmatched to any library. I fear the infringement of net neutrality will limit the access to websites that are not heavily funded yet are full of useful information, free websites whose sole purpose is to give information and provide the necessary knowledge for anyone trying to do anything, in my case an engineer, but any other profession. I urge this commission to let the information in the internet be free and stop the prioritization of certain websites, those who will provide entertainment rather than information. Respectfully,Juan Rodas
1342. Deanne Musiel, Rio Linda, CA, 95673

Please do not allow big money to buy the internet and force users to see content based on anything other than the users preferences. I am a self employed artist and paid promotion would make it almost impossible for me to reach an audience that buys my work without current net neutrality laws. I also rely on broad access to the internet to research markets and images for my business. I would be impacted dramatically in the negative by the proposed changes to net neutrality.

1343. Tommy Montgomery, Olivehurst, CA, 95961

A service is paid for and as such should be delivered without any restriction by said provider. If I pay for a speed, or pay for a certain amount of data then i should receive that speed and that data regardless. If the provider says they cannot keep up with demand then they need to upgrade instead of paying exorbitant salary's to exec's who demand a certain lifestyle.

1344. Net Neutrality, Vacaville, CA, 95688

As a college who utilizes the internet on a daily basis, as a necessity for both my web development work and academic studies. A move to weaken Net Neutrality seeks also to undermine the ability of peers like myself to improve mentally and academically through the open internet. In a scenario where a weakened or demolished Net Neutrality takes place, ISP's rather than consumers will ultimately have the say on which sites will run the best. This will ultimately allow for the opinions of multinational companies to dictate site trends by the freedom to straddle connections to opposing sites, in affect holding them hostage. Down the line, if in the wrong hands, this power will jeopardize the reliability of our media, stifle the internet industry, & dampen our ability, as citizens supposedly in the "Land of the Free", to hold a free thought on a not so free world wide web. I implore your organization to reconsider your stance on Net Neutrality for the betterment of all Americans .

1345. Dominique Collins, Davis, CA, 95616

Please protect net neutrality. The internet is not for sale to the highest bidder.

1346. Jacob Stults, Davis, CA, 95616

We need net neutrality so ISP's cannot prioritize high speeds to the highest bidders. Small businesses will suffer because they will not be able to afford the high costs ISP's demand. ISP's will also get to control the flow of information which means that they control the narrative because they can slow the speed of a site that says anything they do not like. The Internet is a realm of equal opportunity where anyone can start a business, blog, or app. We lose equal opportunity if we lose net neutrality.

1347. Net Neutrality, Davis, CA, 95616

We MUST keep net neutrality. The internet has been one of the great

democratizing forces in the 21st century. It is a means of keeping citizens informed and ensuring a dystopic reality does not take hold of our freedom of information. Don't let the greed of a few companies dictate the freedom of the American people to access the internet and engage in modern society.

1348. Manu Sodhi, Davis, CA, 95616

I think Net Neutrality should stay. ISPs, corporations or the government (ex. FCC) should not be able to roll back net neutrality which would allow them to promote and distributed favorable (mostly paid \$\$\$) content up. Last time I checked I lived in the United State of America, and I know majority of the nation would be against rolling back net neutrality.

1349. robin dudley, rio linda, CA, 95673

keep the internet free . everything doesnt have to have a price

1350. Katherine Quinn, Woodland, CA, 95695

I absolutely support a strong net neutrality under title 2!

1351. Michael Vasquez, Vacaville, CA, 95687

Net Neutrality is vital. It shouldn't come to having to pay to view certain websites.

1352. Monserrat Garduno, Vacaville, CA, 95688

The internet is an educational resource. Net Neutrality guarantees that everyone has access to the same information, thereby guaranteeing everyone an equal opportunity to educate and inform themselves. Rolling back net neutrality rules would give control over what we see and what information we have access to to internet service providers. The right to an education and the right to information are basic human rights. Do your job and protect the rights of private citizens, not corporations.

1353. Lien Sanchez, Davis, CA, 95618

The Internet should be free with equal access for all. You will shut down small businesses if you revoke net neutrality. Don't do it.

1354. Hunter Hess, Vacaville, CA, 95687

This repeal could be the end of my career! And many others! We should have the freedom to surf the internet freely and do as we please online with out someone watching! This repeal would've un-American, and would hurt many!  
Hunter Hess

1355. Jessimi Gomez, Clearlake, CA, 95422

Please keep the internet free for all. Limiting access by charging money for faster lanes is wrong and will seriously hurt new and advancing programs and companies. Keep the Net Neutral.

1356. Matthew Silva, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
Net Neutrality keeps the internet safe! It makes the internet free from commercial enterprise, which seeks to add, more advertisement, and commercials. Stop this SHIT.
1357. Gabriel Castro, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
Isn't it weird that people would prefer that all websites had equal opportunity in a country that has a slogan, " A land of equal opportunity"? Think about the future, yes there might be websites that do not deserve to be seen, but that isn't up to a business. Our country was founded by the people, made for the people, and should serve the people not a single interest. If we were surrender the net neutrality, it would be like the Wild West. Who to say college websites wouldn't be slowed? Who to say Twitch a source of income for youth, wouldn't be controlled? Who to say we won't lose the next Einstein because YouTube isn't available to him or her? The internet is plentiful opportunity for all to learn and flourish, keep net neutrality.
1358. Jack Quinn, Davis, CA, 95616  
I think net neutrality needs to stay.
1359. Matthew Johnston, vacaville, CA, 95688  
Net Neutrality is ESSENTIAL to free and fair democracy in the modern age. To do away with it is to surrender our future to corporate whim.
1360. Avery Cross, Davis, CA, 95616  
If we want to still have freedom of speech and not lose many of our rights especially in a huge age of internet growth we are forced to fight for our net neutrality.
1361. Dallas Nehlig, Willows, CA, 95988  
Keep the net neutral. Your soul depends on it.Dallas Nehlig
1362. Ryan Jung, Davis, CA, 95616  
Strong net neutrality laws are the only way. When Tim Burners-Lee introduced the world to the Internet, he didn't intend for ISPs to triple-dip to their heart's content. The World Wide Web isn't a money-making venture; it's become a human right that companies should keep their hands off of.
1363. Lyle Larson, Davis, CA, 95616  
To eliminate net neutrality is to eliminate free and unbiased speech. Without it, ISP's can and will silently suppress things they disagree with whether that is a commercial competitor or contrary to their personal or corporate political beliefs.
1364. Jacob Mealy, Davis, CA, 95616

I have no broadband alternative to Comcast cable, without fair competition there must be strictly protected freedom of access to information and news. The internet is my main source of current events, communication, and education, the idea that a megacorporation can strictly control my access to such a global source of information is an egregious offense to freedom of speech and the press, as well as a development that would hinder our cultural and technological competitiveness on the world stage.

1365. Caleb Flores, Fairfield, CA, 94533

Hey man, is this not the land of the free? Am i not free to beat my shit without having to wait for it to buffer, or pay exuberant prices? Stop promoting greedy cock mongering bullshit, and let the people browse the web in peace man.

1366. Net, dixon, CA, 95620

More than just myself rely on the open access of our internet. Putting restrictions will not only effect my life but many more who rely on it as entertainers regarding the gaming community. Putting a strangle on the net would forever dampen the ability to escape moments in life that cause people despair. Please take in consideration what you would be doing to people who need a place to wonder off to without actually having to do it.

1367. Neil Nicholas, Fairfield, CA, 94533

I fully support NET Neutrality!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

1368. Autumn Meadow, Strawberry Valley, CA, 95981

Keep net neutrality. We should all have access to all content despite our economic status. Net neutrality helps encourage the economy and educational development. It's importance should be viewed like a public library.

1369. Jeremy Buell, Dixon, CA, 95620

I'll keep this brief. Stop trying to sneak in legislation to give ISPs even more power over our use of the internet than they already have. I, and pretty much the entire online "community" are getting tired of this under-handed nonsense. These companies are not fooling anyone.

1370. Elizabeth Gray, Woodland, CA, 95776

I work for literacy and education and access to information. I don't work for a large company or a rich family. Yet, the information I provide on the internet and that I use on the internet has value. I don't want to be forced into the slow lane. I already pay more for a higher speed connection. There is a healthy competition between ISPs in my area. I can shop around and I have done so. Find a different way to encourage competition and innovation. I appreciate you maintaining the Title II net neutrality rules and rights of Internet users.

1371. Carrie Marc, Fairfield, CA, 94533

Net neutrality is imperative to a free and open internet. Many people do not understand it, it is confusing to many people, but if you allow net neutrality to be eliminated they will soon understand and they will not stand for it. keep us in the future by keeping our communications available to all Americans. Don't take us backward into the dark.

1372. Edward Salas, Winters, CA, 95694

The internet would not be what it is if it weren't for how much freedom it provides. This movement would kill such freedom.

1373. Zachary Lounsberry, Woodland, CA, 95695

I work for a major research university, and we need the internet to remain free and open to continue doing the world-class research we are known for. I also have a job as a for-hire contract data scientist, and a neutral internet allows me to work remotely with companies all over the country that need to transfer large datasets to me for processing. Throttling the internet would throttle our progress as a country.

1374. DaShaun Mitchell, Fairfield, CA, 94534

The internet is a different form of free speech that allows the citizens who use this to not only express and debate thoughts, but to collaborate ideas and share different perspectives. We've expressed countless times that we do not want the internet to be a corporate game for big companies who will charge us for information that is already free and control which internet provider will give us information faster, let alone having companies censoring and controlling what content 'We The People' are allowed to see. Censoring the internet and controlling what is shown to us not only will bring us news at a slower rate, but will gradually increase level of ignorance to the world, which should not be the priority of an ever growing nation and an ever growing world. DaShaun Mitchell

1375. Timothy Meier, Vacaville, CA, 95688

The internet is not the property of the corporations. The corporations should not have the right to limit access or block content on their own grounds. Unless the content falls out of the confines of legality, it should be protected by the first amendment. Net neutrality is essential to exercising our rights on the web.

1376. John A. Cunningham, Davis, CA, 95618

You have to start listening to the people. Ajit thinks he's very clever, but that's all he is. You don't listen to the people and they are going to turn off their computers long enough to come to town and make sure some heads role. you take away the internet and they're going to have time to look around. Stop thinking about the money now and look at the big picture. You so arrogant you think you're going to stop all of them? Get in line or heads are literally going to role.

1377. Raul Castellanos Jr, Davis, CA, 95616  
Don't put major control of the internet into the hands of few. Think of all the innovation it has brought us and will continue to bring us.
1378. Dylan Newman, Davis, CA, 95616  
Save net neutrality! Don't turn the internet into a corporate hell!
1379. Benjamin Gordon, Davis, CA, 95618  
Restricted internet and information has no place in a free society. If you do away with net neutrality, you will turn an entire mass of people who end up paying your salaries against you. That's bad for everyone. Keep net neutrality so we don't end up in an informational dark age.
1380. Danielle Grant, Woodland, CA, 95776  
In addition to my previous comments, I am opposed to the reversal of Title II as it protects our Internet freedoms. I would also like to take the time to address accessibility in cities. In some areas, there is only one Internet provider. The area of San Diego I live in is one such place (I am here for school). If you want internet/cable, you don't have any other option besides Cox. If Cox were to do something unethical in the event of these protection rollbacks, internet users would have no alternative companies to offer their business to in protest. To set up and allow for such a situation is to make a mockery of the capitalist values we try so hard to convince the world are good. Taking advantage of consumers because you have no business competitors is definitively wrong.
1381. Nathan Foster, Fairfiled, CA, 94533  
Please protect Network Neutrality. It is very troubling to think that an ISP could favor certain websites and demote others. An ISP should only be concerned with providing a connection to the Internet and should have no say on content, or have the ability to give one website priority over another website. I feel that if ISP are not required to be neutral to the content on the internet, one of the greatest innovations of our time will be seriously compromised in quality. The Internet is an amazing resource unlike any other. I use it daily for a broad range of things including entertainment, self improvement, research, productivity and communications to name a few. Please do everything possible to keep it from open and neutral for the sake of all.
1382. Robert Arnesen, ELK GROVE, CA, 95757  
Keep Net Neutrality, treat the internet as a utility. They use our infrastructure and don't invest in rural areas. I know, because I live in one.
1383. Kyuf Lzag, California, CA, 68934  
Reserve Net Neutrality for the current Generation so that they can work and study for their academics and for their jobs. We use the internet to work for you and for the economy. Without this the kids in school will not be able to have

proper education. Don't do that to us we need the internet for support and we basically serve you whenever we use the internet.

1384. Patrick Chu, Woodland, CA, 95776

I support net neutrality title 2. The Internet should be treated as a public utility service.

1385. Marilyn Harris, Olivehurst, CA, 95961

I stand for NET NEUTRALITY. I hope you stand with THE PEOPLE who use the internet.

1386. Jessica Woolsey, Marysville, CA, 95901

The internet should be left the way it is. Why? Simply because I still want to be able to access everything I have been able to access for YEARS. Companies should not have control of it. They will simply ruin it with their greedy little mits. And we'll be the ones to suffer having to pay to use certain websites and blocking access to others. It is idiotic.

1387. Ian Kyte, vacaville, CA, 95688

Net neutrality is an important principal that keeps the internet in the hands of the people that create much of its content. While both sides have arguments to be considered, pro non-neutrality parties would argue that it allows them to create a safer internet environment (among other things). Pro neutrality parties would say that changing it would allow pro non-neutrality parties to limit their access to what is rightfully theirs. Now what breaks the deal for me, is that this would allow pro non neutrality parties to control what you see, and what you can access. meaning they can influence content creators unfairly. Just one last thing, person to person, please do not enforce rules on the internet, or on the people that have created it. One of the most captivating features of the internet is its absolute freedom, and to see that changed would shock and sadden countless people, myself included.

1388. Paul & Donna Fife, Clearlake, CA, 95422

We the people paid for the creation of the internet and it belongs to we the people. Not a bunch of lying crooks and criminals. We the people are about to declare war on all corporations. When we do we the people will kill all of you crooked corporate sell outs of our democracy. We will burn down your buildings and erase all history of you and your families. Keep pushing we the people. I dare you!!! We outnumber all of you crooked criminals bastards 8 billion to 1. You can't hide anywhere. Nothing and nobody will save you.....

1389. K Huang, Davis, CA, 95616

The government is required to support society and serve the people's needs. ISP companies are now businesses offering a necessary and very important resource to people, a resource that if you don't have, you will be extremely crippled when

engaging with society. Protect net neutrality, not the greed of overly large companies.

1390. Darrick Servis, Davis, CA, 95616

I oppose what Ajit Pai is trying to do. The current rules work fine.

1391. Niket Bansal, Fairfield, CA, 94534

Net neutrality affects the majority of the USA's population, the consumers. By removing net neutrality, you will be screwing over everyone that doesn't have ties to major ISPs. Almost everyone will suffer and will, without a doubt, be angry. Please save the internet and our future by keeping net neutrality. Niket Bansal

1392. Carmen Montufar, Woodland, CA, 95776

I oppose the reversal of Title II. Protect our access to internet content, please!

1393. Tristan Hall, Woodland, CA, 95776

We need to keep net neutrality because it is a form of freedom and we can't let that become censored!

1394. Nathan Johnson, Marysville, CA, 95901

I want to keep the Internet open and free by keeping ISP under Title 2 until Congress can make a fair and agreeable new law. ISP should not be able filter, throttle, or otherwise effect how I access the internet and online content.

1395. Percy D, Dixon, CA, 95620

Keeping the internet a safe place for people to express themselves is so vastly important. It is something that's already protected by a money barrier-- insofar as poorer people not being able to buy it at all-- but the idea that it would suddenly only be accessed by those with significant wealth and having lower-income people prioritized at the bottom, as if they're unimportant, is disgusting. Keep the internet an accessible place for everyone.

1396. Joel Ayala, Davis, CA, 95616

I strongly support Net Neutrality rules and oppose the current FCC chair's proposal. I am a remote employee who relies upon the internet to complete my work as well as someone who primarily uses the internet for my entertainment. Allowing ISPs the power to monitor my internet traffic without my consent and to potentially slow down websites that don't pay for fast lanes could damage my productivity and my freedom of access to the news, entertainment and my friends. ISPs are not information services. I do not sign up for the internet to use an ISP's email or news service. I sign up so that I can have access to the entire internet and use my preferred email and news services. ISPs are simply middlemen that take the data that I want from another location and deliver it to me and vice versa. I firmly believe that this means ISPs are telecommunication

entities and should be classified as such. As telecommunication entities, ISPs should be overseen by the FCC to ensure that they are providing customers with sufficient choices and reasonably priced options. I am lucky enough to live in an area with some ISP options although they are not meaningfully different or priced competitively. When I went to school in other states, I often only had the choice of 1 provider whose service was not acceptable. The FCC should be ensuring that meaningful competition take some places between ISPs across the country and must protect Net Neutrality to that end. Thank you very much, Joel Ayala

1397. Gregory J. Jansen, Fairfield, CA, 94533

The nation, and its people, benefit from net neutrality because democracy is the foundation of our society. The internet, and thus access to it, is the most democratizing service in that it allows the association of individuals, the expression of ideas, and the information on which to form a rational position. Those without it are deprived, and without net neutrality, there will be those ideas and citizens who will be deprived. There are higher values than profit, which is all that will be served by eliminating net neutrality.

1398. Tracy Wade, Browns Valley, CA, 95918

I strongly support Net Neutrality, and I ask the FCC to protect it with the current Title II classification. The public good should matter in these discussions; why must we always sell that out to the highest corporate bidder? Telecom companies are currently making record profits and monopolizing their markets. They don't need the FCC to give them yet another way to bilk consumers. Please put the citizens of this country above corporate welfare and protect Net Neutrality. Tracy Wade

1399. Jonathan Uskevicz, Vacaville, CA, 95687

SO I HEAR YOU GUYS WANNA KILL NET NEUTRALITY, I HAVE NEVER TAKEN A STANCE ON ANYTHING BEEN MORE PASSIVE THAN NOT. BUT I WILL SAY THIS THE REASON THIS NET WAS BUILT IN THE FIRST PLACE WAS TO BE AN OPEN EXPERIENCE FOR ALL AND IT WOULD HONESTLY JUST END UP KILLING THE INTERNET FOR ME AS WELL AS PROBABLY MANY OTHERS. SAY WHAT YOU WILL BUT IF NET NEUTRALITY GOES SO DO I. Jonathan Uskevicz

1400. John Verdin, Vacaville, CA, 95687

I already live out in the country where i have to pay more then most to get somewhat reasonable internet access, and i do not want to have to pay more for access that should be given to everyone. If you destroy net neutrality I, along with many others who are limited by the providers we have will suffer and have little to no choice in what we can get.

1401. Levi Mullins, Yuba City, CA, 95991  
Net Neutrality is vital to the well-being of our current modern age. The internet connects people from across the globe, allowing us to move forward and grow as a single community. To try and take that away from the people of the world to make a profit is pathetic and shameful. Don't do this. Levi Mullins
1402. Sabuk Ketvirtis, Davis, CA, 95616  
Maintaining net neutrality is critical to an open internet. I strongly urge the FCC to leave net neutrality alone.
1403. Manuel Nunez San Roman, Oregon House, CA, 95962  
If we let giant corporations like ATT, Verizon and Comcast take over the Internet, Net Neutrality that protects innovation, jobs, free speech, and user choice, will be gone forever. Let all of us stand tall like David against Goliath and protect Net Neutrality for our own good.
1404. William T. O'Connor, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
In the absence of individual, equal, access to the internet with an assured even playing field, one creates monopolies which support a climate of unfairness, stifle innovation and suppress competition. To destroy the neutrality of the internet constitutes an as un-American and un-Constitutional communications environment as can be envisioned by the most dystopian futurists. To attempt such a government enforced enterprise assaults the very philosophy from whence the anti-trust laws were created. To be successful in instituting such a dramatic assault on the concept of "equal protection under the law" will create a resentment that will eventually result in the formation of a culture based upon subversive sabotage that will make its sustainability untenable because that is how free people respond to tyrannical control over essential components of their lives and their right to participate in a fair economy.
1405. Julia Wellman, Wheatland, CA, 95692  
A free, open internet has created the connected life we all enjoy today. The right of individuals to access whatever websites they need must be protected.
1406. Katy Brown, Davis, CA, 95618  
Net neutrality is fundamental to our First Amendment rights to free speech. Large corporations should not be able to regulate the rights of citizens to communicate.
1407. Melissa Nercessian, Marysville, CA, 95901  
Please do not cripple the innovation of the small man. This will only cause complication and our economy WILL take a negative impact. It will further hinder the smaller business communities.
1408. Lisette van Vliet, Davis, CA, 95616

Net neutrality is crucial to my ability to engage as an informed citizen, and it is crucial to my employer to conduct our public interest non profit work. I urge you to protect net neutrality so that our society can function better.

1409. Ross Brennan, Davis, CA, 95616

I value the civil right to gather information and prosper using the internet on my individual terms - not those chosen by a large corporation whose major goal is the bottom line.

1410. Neil Jacklin, Antelope, CA, 95843

Net neutrality is a key principle which has made the Internet a useful tool for sharing of knowledge. One of the things that first interested me in the Internet was that anyone can create and share content that can reach anyone else with an Internet connection. The only benefactors of net neutrality are large media companies that seek to control the means and content of communication. Anything but full net neutrality will be a loss for the consumer and American tax payer who's money was used to develop the Internet and its underlying technologies. I write these comments not only as a citizen but as the holder of an advanced degree in Electrical Engineering from a large public university. I understand the issue from a technological and social standpoint and demand a net neutrality rule be made by the FCC.

1411. John Seeger, Winters, CA, 95694

As an active, informed American citizen / taxpayer / business owner, I insist that the FCC PROTECT net neutrality from corporate control and manipulation. An independant neutral internet is an essential component for the survival and growth of democracy not only in the USA but the entire world.

1412. Patricia Solano, Plumas Lake , CA, 95961

Net Neutrality must be protected for our economic, innovative and democratic progress of the country and society.

1413. Lee Hounshell, Wheatland, CA, 95692

Removing net neutrality will harm the public interest because less privileged citizens will not receive equal access to education, new technologies, and information; A condition that eventually produces a sub-class of "slave" citizens who can not escape their situation or ever achieve the American dream.

1414. Kathryn Rutan-Sprague, Guinda, CA, 95637

Make the world a better place by people working together; protect net neutrality

1415. Jonathan Wong, Davis, CA, 95616

The Internet is an essential part of free speech. Letting ISPs choose access to content is censorship and should not be tolerated. Protect net neutrality.

1416. Susan Carpenter, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Just a thought: you who have the power to protect the internet like the utility it is, may you keep that place until your death! God forbid you silence all the little guys and then your offspring or you yourself need it to make a living, or save a life if you do not protect it while you can.
1417. Steve, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Land of the Free?
1418. Mila King, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
If the internet doesn't remain neutral it is another way the government is taking away our freedom.
1419. Jose Lopez, Orland, CA, 95963  
Net neutrality is a false narrative, keep the government out of the internet.
1420. Francis Figueroa , Liveoak, CA, 95953  
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech.
1421. Rogerio, Davis, CA, 95616  
Net neutrality for a better world
1422. Mack, Elmira, CA, 95625  
it was fine before you intervention and its fine if you leave it alone
1423. Wang, Berkeley , CA, 25678  
Free internet matters!
1424. Devan Hawkins, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
This is my college portfolio I'm working on.  
<http://darthhawk.blogspot.com/p/blog-page.html>I don't have lots of money to pay communication companies so I can get noticed. With Net Neutrality I don't have to worry about that because I'll have an equal chance like everyone else.  
That's what's great about America.
1425. ernest price, dixon, CA, 95620  
security , honor , zeal ,trust . what made America the best there is. Defense of this great nation
1426. Bert Pacleb, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
Net Neutrality allows ideas and innovations to be passed to people who would otherwise be left in the dust.
1427. Bernadette Murray, Woodland, CA, 95695  
As the owner of a business that is both a small business and woman owned, I

support net neutrality as essential for a truly competitive market that also allows opportunity for a more diverse market.

1428. Shane Tippets, Marysville, CA, 95901  
Please maintain/improve Net Neutrality. Do not allow corporations or government the ability to control who uses, when we use, where we use, or how much we use the internet.
1429. Sonja Fussi, Winters, CA, 95694  
Net neutrality is crucial for a equal opportunity for small and large providers in a democracy. It protects the integrity of the world wide web, and is a basic standard to ensure that all voices can contribute; it will also keep huge organizations from having a monopoly on what can be put on the web.
1430. RD Baclig, Dixon, CA, 95620  
I do not want large cable companies like Comcast, AT&T, Verizon or others determining what I can watch and do online. Gutting net neutrality is an infringement of the First Amendment.
1431. David Anderson, Davis, CA, 95616  
Open, un-restricted communication among citizens is crucial to maintaining a free and functional democracy.
1432. Marilee Scholl, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
Do we still stand for equality of opportunity, or have we decided that only those who can afford it should have access to the resources available on the net? It's much easier to manipulate the ignorant. Denying them knowledge, & keeping them in the dark makes it much easier to manipulate them & convince them YOU know best.
1433. Diana Crandall, Orland, CA, 95963  
As a retired person, living solely on Social Security in a rural area, I rely on the Internet to carry out most of a my daily activities. I also cut the cord several years ago to save money on television. I can shop from home to avoid long drives to stores, too, thus saving on gas. It keeps me in touch with friends and family, as well. I pay as much as I can to have the Internet speed adequate to carry out these functions and seeing more and more increases each year. Taking away net neutrality puts me at risk of even higher fees if the competition is taken away. We need more carriers, not fewer, to keep the price within reach of all of us. Please do not take away this essential service that so many people like me really need.
1434. Laura Siller, Yuba City, CA, 95993  
As a college student I find the internet to be a saving grace to help supplement my studies, especially since half of my class are online. To complete my

courses I need a wide variety of internet sites that I can access at all times without censorship. I believe that the proposed rules would not only harm academia, but violate the constitution as well.

1435. Mary M Davis, Woodland, CA, 95695

Net neutrality is our First Amendment of the Internet, with out that we who know history, and remind people of the paths we have traveled, can be silenced if our leaders are once again leading us over mined roadways. We will continue having companies such as General Mills feeding our children toxins in their breakfast cereals, and ISP's that have a "vested" interested in GM could ensure the expose videos never see the light of day. ENOUGH IS ENOUGH. The The EU antitrust lawsuit and resulting fine against Google should be a wake up call that these companies are NOT capable of monitoring themselves. They ARE the foxes guarding the hen houses

1436. David R. Armstrong, Dixon, CA, 95620

By all means keep BIG BUSINESS (a.k.a. "Big Brother") out of my (and everyone's) webroom! This is fundamental to free speech, privacy, and the entrepreneurial dimension essential to Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness. In all seriousness, resist listening to power + money, but listen to the voices in the American dream -- don't let those obsessed with control seize the Information Highway!

1437. James Snyder, Davis, CA, 95616

Net neutrality is like the freedom of speech or of the press. All three have to be protected or we'll have a world like Orwell's 1984 in which we're told what to think and to say as well as limited in the information available to us. Please protect net neutrality.

1438. Paul Malatesta, Davis, CA, 95618

Net Neutrality is very important to me. Please don't take it away.

1439. Fred Huffman, Clearlake, CA, 95422

Why are you taking my freedom of choice and giving it to big business ? DO NOT DO THIS.

1440. Jesse Drew, Davis, CA, 95618

Save Net Neutrality!

1441. Titus Huang, Davis, CA, 95616

Imagine if net neutrality had been dismantled 10 years ago. We wouldn't have Facebook, YouTube, and Twitter. These then fledgling sites would not have been able to compete in a cyber world favored toward the already established sites. If net neutrality had been dismantled 10 years ago, we would have lost these companies that have come to be integral parts of our everyday lives. If net

neutrality were to be dismantled today, there is no imagining how many revolutionary products we miss out on in the decades to come.

1442. Jason Peacock, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Don't sell my internet rights to monopolies like Comcast for a quick buck. We are the United States of America and we deserve a free and OPEN internet. We also deserve consistent service that isn't overpriced and controlled by one big company in our local area. Rules NEED to be in place to protect consumers from these big businesses that are trying to take advantage of us. WE NEED NET NEUTRALITY.
1443. Anthony Field, Davis, CA, 95618  
Net neutrality goes hand in hand with our right to freedom of speech, which as United States citizens is protected under the First Amendment to the Constitution. To do away with net neutrality is to do away with the constitutional rights of the American people, and if that does happen, there will be a time of reckoning, those responsible will answer for their crimes. For these reasons, and many more, the FCC should leave Net Neutrality be.
1444. Brian Boyce, Rumsey, CA, 95679  
I need net neutrality to continue as all my work involves the internet. Businesses already have opportunity to make money using the web, we do not need to diminish access for the many for the benefit of the few.
1445. Sabrina Catlin, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Freedom of speech, sharing of information, free exchange of ideas and open communication. No matter the media, these are important standards. Let parents control what they think is best for their own children until those children are old enough to judge for themselves. We don't need the government, through any of its agencies, censoring or controlling net content beyond protecting the rights of all. Government's place is to serve, not to act as parent.
1446. Lesley Nelson, Davis, CA, 95616  
Free exchange of information - this is what the internet was based on.
1447. Westin Shafer, NoWhere, CA, 63379  
How about we keep the internet the way it's been since the beginning, which is also how it's been allowed it to thrive? Leave the internet alone and as deregulated as possible. Remember Net Neutrality does not mean to the politicians what it means to us.
1448. Aaron, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
I own several small online businesses and would not be able to operate them without net neutrality. PLEASE protect net neutrality so that I can continue to run my businesses without the fear of slower internet speeds, higher payments,

and less freedom. Thank you for your time.

1449. jody sullivan, rio vista, CA, 94571

The internet must be free and neutral for ALL people. One or two large companies should not, do not have the right to use the internet their way only.

1450. Gera Rickel, Orland, CA, 95963

It is important to keep the internet neutral so that there is competition in the marketplace and to allow innovation. If we were to lose the options that currently exist on the internet, smaller companies wouldn't be able to compete and consumers would have their selections limited. That is not the American way.

1451. Ruth Tamulonis , Woodland , CA, 95776

Do not alter net neutrality. Protect consumers.

1452. Jillian, Davis, CA, 95616

Please protect net neutrality. We as Americans deserve the right to free speech, especially online. Everyone deserves a fair chance on the Internet; it's possibly the only place we all start off at equal levels. The Internet is the last free space where any ideas can be shared; please protect it.

1453. chris priest, winters, CA, 95694

I believe the internet should remain free. free from corporations charging telling us what we can and what we cant see if we have to pay or dont pay.. Information is meant to share freely i want my kids to grow up in a free information flowing society.

1454. Roland Synnestvedt, Davis, CA, 95616

The internet is one of the greatest innovations in communications, education, and technology in the past 100 years. Like telephones, radio, or postal systems, overarching control of such an invaluable resource should not be handed over to private corporations. Net neutrality is a tenant that incentivizes competition over monopoly, and freedom over tyranny, and gutting it would allow corporations to elbow out competition and increase prices at the cost of the American taxpayer.

1455. Nicholas Bilderback, apt 2, CA, 97211

The internet is for the people! Keep the Net Neutral! do not hand it over to people only with huge advertising budgets.

1456. Mary Seeger, Winters, CA, 95694

Net neutrality should be guaranteed as a first Amendment Right to free speech. It supports small businesses and startups. It keeps major corporations from having too much control over our lives. NO NO NO to gutting NET

Neutrality.PLEASE !!!

1457. Datron Hogg, Travis Afb, CA, 94535  
I will be happy to pay for the services the big guys offer but as a aspiring small business owner, please leave the internet open.
1458. Shawn Yarnes, Winters, CA, 95694  
Protect net neutrality. Open internet is critical for innovation, education, and free speech.
1459. James Shackelford, Davis, CA, 95616  
I strongly believe in the benefits of net neutrality to continue to maximum access for the maximum number of our fellow citizens, as well as continue to provide an optimal context for innovation.
1460. Quiahuitzin Ortega, Davis, CA, 95616  
Plz no, dun do this.
1461. Joanna Tascon, DAVIS, CA, 95616  
Net neutrality is essential for the people to keep their voice.
1462. Ashwin Nair, Davis, CA, 95616  
keep the net neutral, internet companies already have regional monopolies, dont hand more power to them
1463. Marshall, Marysville, CA, 95901  
Dear FCC/congress, I know you have gotten a lot of these emails from people like me. I am just here to simply ask that the middle and lower class keep their internet rights. Letting us do and say whatever we want on this amazing space with no companies looking. I wanted to make this short as you probably have thousands of other emails to read but I still thank you for reading this.Sincerely,Your average internet user Marshall
1464. Cathy Busby, Davis, CA, 95616  
Keep the internet free and equal opportunity.
1465. Turner Kraus, Davis, CA, 95616  
We need an open and free internet. It should not be up to internet service providers to determine the content and speeds that American citizens encounter on the internet. This allows the richest and most powerful companies easy access, while the rest of us would be left in the dark. Allowing net neutrality to expire is unacceptable.
1466. gloria piper, Orland, CA, 95963  
Maybe I'm mistaken, but I feel that destroying net neutrality could be another

way for the Trump administration to attack free speech, free press, and to spread more of his propaganda.

1467. Dorothy Steel, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
I thought the computer was a tool to communicate what I want. Already everyone else controls it. Most of my time is spent dealing with junk mail. Most is erased without reading. But now you want to turn it into a commercial financially successful place for advertisers. Just more email to erase without reading. Taking more time I do not have so someone can make more money. Sounds great for everyone but me.
1468. Sharon McCord, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
We need to be confident that we can resolve this in the interest of the people. We the people believe that we need to have a free and open internet. We were so elated when we won the last couple of battles to keep our Internet free and open. Why are we having to fight this same battle over and over? This is what the people want. This is what the people deserve.
1469. allen bohnert, Davis, CA, 95618  
Neutral internet access for ALL.
1470. Nancy Hiestand, Davis, CA, 95616  
Internet freeness and fairness must be the norm in a democracy.
1471. Maureen Driscoll, Davis, CA, 95618  
Please keep the Internet free from corporate control! I strongly encourage you to keep the current open internet rules in place, as they protect everyday Americans like me.
1472. Darlene Norwood, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
We depend on a free an open internet as business owners and artists and latelycas activists seeking to create a world that works for everyone.
1473. Gloria Piper, Orland, CA, 95963  
Freedom of speech, the right to peaceful assembly, freedom of the press. A free internet belongs right up there with these constitutional rights.
1474. Eric Snyder, Suisun City, CA, 94585  
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. Leave the internet alone, get Pai out of office. Do not let that idiot change anything.
1475. james thompson, Oakland, CA, 98602  
Mr Pai,I support the classification of Internet Service Providers (ISPs) as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act. The argument that ISPs have been hurt by this classification has been proven false time and again,

and it has also been admitted as untrue by some of the largest ISPs in the country.<https://arstechnica.com/information-technology/2017/05/title-ii-hasnt-hurt-network-investment-according-to-the-isps-themselves/>Allowing ISPs the freedom to make decisions about the openness of the Internet is not in the best interest of the American People. What has been proven as fact is allowing things like "fast lanes" for certain service providers, like Netflix, and requiring those service providers to pay for those fast lanes, prevents competition. Less competition is bad for all consumers. Fast lanes allow ISPs to earn money from providers for something which they've already been paid. There should never be an option for ISPs to limit my ability to reach the Internet, and all that it has to offer. Nor should ISPs be able to limit the speeds at which I communicate with anyone or anything on the Internet, as that prevents new competition from entering the market if they can't afford the "tax" being imposed for a fast lane.<https://www.publicknowledge.org/news-blog/blogs/5-reasons-why-internet-fast-lanes-can-never-make-sense>Finally, removing Title II classification as common carriers for ISPs, simply put, opens the door for the US Internet experience to be censored. I have always been proud to live in a nation where I do not have to be concerned with the idea of censorship in my speech, public communication, or the Internet. Removing Title II classification for ISPs would be an enormous first step to a censored Internet for Americans, and that is wrong.<https://www.fightforthefuture.org/news/2017-05-23-comcast-tries-to-censor-pro-net-neutrality-website/>Thank you for your time, James Thompson

1476. Martin Mador, Hamden, CA, 06518

Net neutrality is critically important to the public interest. It MUST be protected, and not surrendered to private corporate interests.

1477. Tracy Wade, Browns Valley, CA, 95918

I strongly support Net Neutrality, and I ask the FCC to protect it with the current Title II classification. The public good should matter in these discussions; why must we always sell that out to the highest corporate bidder? Telecom companies are currently making record profits and monopolizing their markets. They don't need the FCC to give them yet another way to bilk consumers. Please put the citizens of this country above corporate welfare and protect Net Neutrality.

1478. George M, Davis, CA, 95616

Hello, I am posting this comment in support of the current laws favoring net neutrality. I support ISP remaining under title 2 of the 1934 Communications Act. Removing ISP from Title 2 classification of the 1934 Communications Act presents a clear conflict of interest. Without these rules, Comcast, Charter, At&t, Cox, Verizon, Google fiber, and other ISPs can and have been reported to enact practices to manipulate customers and other business in their

favor. Removing ISP from Title 2 classification will result in more class-action lawsuits over anti-competitive claims and will ultimately result in a return of this issue to congress. If the current laws are altered ISP will, in all probability, push customers away from their competitors and towards their own products via the deliberate restriction of bandwidth. For example, AT&T has been reported to have done just this in an effort to direct their customers to use their digital payment application, currently titled Softcard, and away from using their competitor's digital payment application, Google Wallet. Furthermore, ISP can and have been reported to have limited the bandwidth to companies websites in which they are in currently in negotiations with, in an effort to achieve a better deal. See Netflix download speeds from Jan 2013 to March 2015. During this time, download times for Netflix dipped for those customers using Comcast and returned to baseline (and above) upon completion of the negotiations (see Washington Post April 24, 2014). Lastly, ISP will create "fast" and "slow" lanes for internet access charging companies a premium to allow their customers to use these "fast" lanes for access to their websites. This will limit competition with the largest companies being able to secure the "fast" lanes and potentially drive out smaller competitors. Removing ISP from under Title 2 of the 1934 Communications Act will not support U.S the economy or the average U.S citizen but damage both in the long run. The largest ISP's will profit, while individuals and small and some large companies will be placed at a disadvantage. Competition will be stifled and individuals will, potentially, have their access limited to one of the most valuable tools available to humanity. In summary, Changing this law will only support the largest ISP's by: 1) allowing them to push their customers towards their products and away from their competitors, 2) allow the ISP's to have greater negotiating power via the manipulation of bandwidth, 3) allow for further restrictions the internet via the creation of "fast" and "slow" internet lanes. Thank you,

1479. Christopher Sellars, Olivehurst, CA, 95961

I am writing this to express my support for Net Neutrality and the Title II provision in it. Repealing Net Neutrality risks destroying everything that makes the Internet great and appears to hurt almost everybody besides the Cable Providers / ISPs. I do not want my ISP dictating to me which kind of information I can and cannot have access to. I do not want to have to pay extra for "high speed lanes" just to view content at the same speeds I'm getting today, while having numerous other services and websites degraded or outright removed. I do not want to see new startups and small businesses fail to reach potential customers because they simply cannot afford to pay ISPs to be included in their "fast lanes" or "premium packages". I hope you'll consider this comment along with the millions of others on this site when the decision is made. Please do the right thing, the American people deserve that much.

1480. Avery Hom, Davis, CA, 95616

As I hope you recall, on December 15th, 1791, the Bill of Rights was added to the United States Constitution. And, as it seems opponents of net neutrality have forgotten, Amendment I of the Constitution states: "Congress shall make no law . . . abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press." So explicit is this mandate that one must wonder about the intelligence of those who stand against pillars of free speech such as net neutrality. Of course, such opponents might sleazily argue that the First Amendment applies solely to the legislative branch. However, is not the legislative branch of our government rightly and firmly established by and for the people of the United States of America? And therefore, by nature of the Constitution, ratified by the people as a whole (via the conventions of the states), and the Bill of Rights, ratified by Congress itself, the executive branch, whose power and authority is vested in the exalted FCC, lacks all right to violate such laws. Crusaders against net neutrality, who slash forth with their pens and keys, with irrational fervor and zeal, seek to destroy my right, your right, and the right of all American citizens to freely access knowledge and services via the internet. On top of this, if the argument against net neutrality is based on the interests of a "free economy," and/or an "open market," then I invite such arguers to take a class on macroeconomics. In a capitalist society, such as ours, is it not on the idea of economic liberalism that we thrive? And in such a case, the invisible hand of the collective force of consumers, as a whole, decides the best way for markets to move and which investments should succeed. Furthermore, it is a tenet of capitalism, and of a successful macroeconomic policy, that the ability to determine the success of companies and institutions lies not in the hands of corporations, but in those of citizen-consumers. And while corporations may be considered persons (and possibly citizens (see "Citizens United v. Federal Elections Commission") in some cases), allowing broadband corporations/companies the right to infringe upon the ability of other persons (citizens) to access the internet is blatant discrimination--favoring certain citizens over others. Thus, I ask you, exalted, wise, and clearly enlightened members of the FCC, to reject rules threatening to constrain or destroy net neutrality. As it stands, I can create a website or blog that would allow me to express my ideas, unimpeded; no matter which broadband company a person may subscribe to for internet service, my ideas can be seen and heard. However, if net neutrality is carelessly discarded, how am I to know that any person residing in the U.S., regardless of his or her internet provider, is able to freely access my site? How could I, an ordinary citizen, be expected to pay broadband companies or related third parties, to ensure that digital passersby would have unimpeded access to my ideas? I would like to take this moment to remind opponents of net neutrality that free speech is just that: free. How can someone classify free speech as free when it requires payment, on either the part of the speaker or the listener, in order to be heard? The answer, as obvious as it may seem, is that free speech cannot, by definition, require any form of toll or payment, regardless of the collecting

party. As representatives of my government, that of the Republic of the United States of America, I call on you to fulfill your duty--"to secure the Blessings of Liberty" ("Preamble," U.S. Constitution) unto all citizens and residents of this great country. You, members of the FCC, must protect my right and the right of my fellow citizens to free speech, no matter the form in which it may be conveyed. I therefore ask you, humbly and graciously: do not fail me, do not fail your fellow citizens, and do not fail this great country. To do otherwise would be an undeniable sign of ineptitude--a trait that would be promptly met with immediate termination of employment in the private sector. Keep the internet free from constraints, free from even the most mildly draconian restriction, and do not, I repeat, do not put the demands of corporations or companies above those of living, breathing citizens.

1481. Joan Hansen, Walnut Grove, CA, 95690  
Please rollback the action taken by Obama to restrict the internet. I could not understand his actions until I realized it was just more attempt to control us. Your help would be greatly appreciated by many.
1482. Cheryl, Woodland, CA, 95776  
Without net neutrality money talks and we lose freedom of speech.
1483. Megan Moriarty, Davis, CA, 95616  
Free speech is one of the tenets of democracy and net neutrality is a fundamental aspect of this right. It must be protected. We refuse to let the government privatize this public, important space for all.
1484. Timothy Gray, Dixon, CA, 95620  
Protect the 1st Amendment! Don't limit net neutrality! I'm ashamed that this is even an issue. Strike that, I'm ashamed of you FCC...
1485. Richard Crapuchettes, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Please maintain net neutrality. Allowing companies to control the internet will stifle business other than those doing the controlling and will muzzle free speech, which is an essential freedom.
1486. Trevor, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Please don't sell out our internet freedoms to big corporations. It's short-sighted and will impede innovation, for starters. Do the right thing and protect net neutrality.
1487. James Zuvich Sr., Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Net neutrality is fundamental to the ebb and flow of free thought and expression.
1488. Jeff Graham, Yuba City, CA, 95993

I have been a web developer since the mid 90s and part of what has fueled the growth of internet technology, and all of the economic growth that came with it, is the fundamental freedom and neutrality of the internet. Please. Don't change that.

1489. Tyler Watts, Fairfield, CA, 94534

Fuck you FCC you goddamn commie bastards.

1490. Gregory Lang, Davis, CA, 95616

Allowing a handful of powerful entities to control access to information is antithetical to democracy. Eliminating Net Neutrality for the sake of monetary gains for a handful of companies is not a free-market approach. In fact, it is the contrary. Please reverse your position on Net Neutrality and save the internet from the powerful few.

1491. Austin, Vacaville, CA, 95688

My information should not be infringed upon by Government, Enterprise or a private citizen. I should determine what I see, read, and hear. Net neutrality must be upheld and respected.

1492. matthew farris, rio linda, CA, 95673

The net is one of the few places where people can provide entertainment and information with little to no infringement for government or big business (which is much more important).

1493. Mr and Mrs Hellman, Vacaville, CA, 95687

Giving ISP's the power to control the flow isn't in the public's interest. Please protect the public from interference from ISPs.

1494. Larry Rosenbaum, Rio Linda, CA, 95673

Net Neutrality is required, or we are just pandering to the elite who own the cable companies and internet companies and at their mercy. Keeping Net Neutrality, maintains fairness across the board for everyone.

1495. Nat Breisath, Davis, CA, 95616

Don't be myopic, short-sighted, and unimaginative. Let the internet be the open and free source of knowledge and interaction that has created entire new markets that we've all come to know and so frequently use.

1496. Jennifer Spencer, Olivehurst, CA, 95961

Net Neutrality is so important! Please, don't take away the freedom of speech that so many of us without means rely on! Net neutrality allows us little guys to express our views without fear of censoring! It allows new businesses to find customers that may have not had access to them in any other way! Do not take away such an important protection!!!

1497. Shekhar Misra, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
Equality on the Internet is as important as everyone on my street getting the same water pressure at the tap!
1498. Kathrine Brewer, Clearlake, CA, 95422  
Leave net neutrality alone. Keep it the way it is.
1499. Marcus Morris, Yuba City, CA, 95993  
Net neutrality allows consumers "not big companies" to choose what they watch & do online. Quite frankly, we as Americans have prided ourselves on being free and diverse. Gutting Net neutrality reverses the whole paradigm.
1500. Diana Aspillera, Rio Vista, CA, 94571  
I work on a CMS for publishers of all sizes. A majority of our clients are small businesses serving local markets. Without net neutrality publishers serving local communities will get wiped out and there will be even more polarization between us.
1501. Stephanie Dungan, Davis, CA, 95616  
Access to information and communication by the internet should not be controlled by large companies--this clearly violates our core principles as a country and rights to free speech. Loss of net neutrality will also stifle innovation by giving control to existing large companies with their own agenda.
1502. Sharon Lawler, Davis, CA, 95616  
Free exchange of information is a tremendous public good. The internet was developed not as a sales platform, but to facilitate unbiased access to information! For-profit control of information will slow and skew access, harming society.
1503. Matthew Mahoney, Travis AFB, CA, 94535  
Large corporations do not care for American rights, they care about money. Don't give into corruption of the Fortune 500 Companies, be a voice of the people in favor of our rights.
1504. Sam Nichols, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please help maintain net neutrality! Corporations have become grotesquely swollen--in their quest to make more and more money, they are swallowing up their competitors, and leaving us with fewer and fewer choices. It's time to draw the line somewhere. Keep the internet free and open, before a cyber- land grab leaves us with even fewer options.
1505. Emily, Davis, CA, 95616  
Tech is always advancing, one thing that needs to stay constant is the right of

the people to utilize the 1st amendment. Keep government and big companies out of my private life!

1506. Donna Glimstad, Elk Grove, CA, 95757  
Please leave net neutrality alone. I want to be free to make my own personal choices regarding who I do business with, and not have that choice made for me. The playing field, which is the internet, should be level for EVERYONE.
1507. Jayson Garcia, Winters, CA, 95694  
We must protect net neutrality. Why should we give control of the net to Big Businesses? The only ones who will benefit are the companies in control and the groups that have the funds to promote themselves. This will destroy the net that we love and we will lose the freedoms the net gives us. It may also even hinder the progress many activists have made in a number of injustices. We can't give this power to Big Business, it is irresponsible and goes against the people themselves. Thank for your time, Jayson Garcia
1508. Kathy Fackler, Durango, CA, 81301  
Please protect net neutrality. Since 2000, I've maintained a website (saferparks.org) that helps prevent amusement ride accidents by sharing public data on accidents and safety tips for consumers. I'm a tiny non-profit. Without net neutrality, my site would never have reached the people who need to see it. There's a world of information on the web that can help people, but isn't backed by rich/powerful interests. America is a democracy, not a plutocracy. Please protect net neutrality.
1509. Clint M Conroy, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Net Neutrality is extremely important to me, and the future of humanity. Putting the control of information into the hands of ISP is only going to hurt people without any benefit.
1510. Justin, Dixon, CA, 95620  
Its bad enough TV now practically shows us only what the big companies want, I like to see my net as it was created ... unhindered & unfiltered
1511. Scott Rouse, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
If net neutrality is not protected, in my opinion, it will be yet another case of the big guys out spending the little guys and driving them into obscurity. PLEASE keep it free!!!
1512. Gregory Olson, Browns Valley, CA, 95918  
Please enter your comment here. Please Roll back Obama's internet regulations with the FCC. We the people need internet freedom. To create new innovations and business opportunities.

1513. Leslie Portugal, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
The internet is best regulated by light-touch FTC regulation and the market, not heavy-handed FCC rules. I support Chairman Ajit Pai's rollback of Obama's Internet takeover. Please take action in restoring internet freedom.
1514. John F. McLaughlin III, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
I oppose the rolling back of Title II protections for net neutrality. Without these protections, it opens the door for ISP to control and curate all information that passes through their systems. It doesn't matter what they ISPs state as their intent, since their previous actions have shown them willing and able to control internet access. As the internet is the most ubiquitous platform for free speech not just in the US but worldwide, any corporation having control over it is unacceptable.
1515. Geoffrey McCord, Davis, CA, 95616  
Net neutrality is a requirement of a well-working Internet. Allowing ISPs to charge and intentionally reduce service, based on the website being visited, is preposterous and an obvious money-grab from the American public. Please represent the will of the average person who would most certainly not want to worsen the internet. Thank you.
1516. Marion Elkins, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
The Internet was conceived as a source of information available to anyone, it must remain so. A free society must have access to information, ideally free or low cost. Do not give large corporations the ability to limit this exchange of ideas and information to those who can pay for the privilege.
1517. Isao Fujimoto, Davis, CA, 95616  
For supporting democracy, support net neutrality
1518. Sherrill Futrell, Davis, CA, 95618  
We'll never stop fighting you on this.
1519. Melissa Sandoval, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Preserve net neutrality. All comers to the internet should be treated the same. Large corporations should not be able to buy a fast track, in effect stifling competition from smaller companies or new startups.
1520. James Okeefe, Rio Vista, CA, 94571  
sounds like Khrushchev and Hitler reborn. You will hear what we want you to here and see what we want you to see. I DONT THINK SO !!!!!!!!!!!!!
1521. Vic Saravia, Suisun City, CA, 94585  
Access to the Internet allows communication, continuing education, and a better educated, intelligent citizenry. And it allows people to both communicate ideas,

AND improve their economic and social position.

1522. Larry Snyder, Davis, CA, 95616  
Net neutrality is good for individuals and small businesses. Ending Title II classification puts everyone except the giant corporations (which are already making HUGE profits off the rest of us) at a crippling disadvantage. The Internet should belong to everyone, not just the privileged few. Please don't sell us out. Thank you!
1523. Louise Walker, Davis, CA, 95616  
Millions of us are outraged by the behavior of these three companies. If this goes through, the important element in our democracy will be seriously threatened.
1524. Jacob Wright, Davis, CA, 95618  
Net neutrality is important to free speech in our country today. Please defend it.
1525. Zachary Lounsberry, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Taking away net neutrality only benefits the few people that are trying to do it. There is no advantage for the people it is their job to represent. Do not take away a free internet, it will end poorly for everyone.
1526. Linda Baumann, Davis, CA, 95616  
Special interests may not want to hear it, but the American people want to preserve Net Neutrality. In this day and age we are increasingly dependent on the internet for our daily needs: banking, paying bills, education and research (I'm a retired scientist), communicating, getting messages from our health care provider, entertainment, to name a few. This must be protected as our citizens' right. As it is, some of us, myself included, have very poor service because of old and inadequate infrastructure. Expand, rather than restrict, universal internet service.
1527. Mark Fountain, Orland, CA, 95963  
Net neutrality is of the utmost importance to free speech and equal access for all. Please do not allow the large major providers control access to the Internet. It was created with public funds and is a public utility and vital public good. Please keep the open access rules in place.
1528. Jean Jackman, Davis, CA, 95616  
Net Neutrality? Absolutely. We will not stand for anything less.
1529. Paul Gepts, Davis, CA, 95616  
The principle should be simple: no government interference in net traffic; likewise, the government shouldn't allow private companies to play favorites or give bandwidth to the the richest and most powerful companies.

1530. Dale Heckman, Davis, CA, 95616

As Fascists crawled up to authority (Europe 1930's), a key conquest was CONTROL of public media. Narrow it down, and then take it over. Will we learn anything from that?

1531. Doug Walter, DAVIS, CA, 95616

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me, and to other consumers. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. I understand that some assert that companies would take none of those steps, but both the behavior of content providers and the economic incentives for allowing some content to have privilege belie these assurances. If there were rules that separated delivery of utility-like functions from the myriad value-added services, that would assure consumers of freedom and neutrality. Now is not the time to throw out the FCC's rules from 2015. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Along with many others, I see this as meaning the growth of un-throttled broadband service in the USA will continue to significantly lag behind that in many other countries. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America, to further enrich a few much less valuable companies famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm also sending this letter to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. This issue has been important to me for several years, and I expect to continue to follow it. Thank you!

1532. Valerie Klein, Davis, CA, 95616

The internet is the great level playing field that allows new businesses to flourish based on an open and competitive marketplace. You must stand against

monopolies and preserve free enterprise and free speech. Please protect net neutrality.

1533. Valerie Klein, Davis, CA, 95616

Net neutrality is extremely important for a free marketplace. I urge you to protect free speech and protect against monopolies. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1534. Jeff Curtis, Fairfield, CA, 94533

Please do not destroy net neutrality. Giving corporations more control over our lives is ruining our world already. Freedom must flourish, not be throttled by a select few. Sincerely, Jeff Curtis

1535. Deb Jones, Elverta, CA, 95626

Please support existing net neutrality rules. I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1536. Judith Williams, Davis, CA, 95616  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality ) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I see internet neutrality the same as freedom of the press, central to our way of life. Freedom of the Press and Net Nuetrality are part of what makes America great!Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. This would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. I'm also sending this to my members of Congress, though I know that they do not favor this legis;ation. I ask them to do whatever they can do to dissuade Chairman Pai and his committee to drop this terrible legislation.Thank you!
1537. Isao Fujimoto, Davis, CA, 95616  
Keep internet access open .Stop the takeover by profit seekers
1538. Laurie Friedman, Davis, CA, 95616  
Net Neutrality means an even playing field for all Americans, not an advantage for the wealthy corporations, and I support it because I support democracy.
1539. Marilyn Roland, Woodland, CA, 95695  
To the Federal Communication Commission: I am writing to urge you to uphold and protect the Open Internet Rules known as "Net Neutrality". I vehemently oppose giant ISP's such as Comcast, Verizon and AT&T being able to block websites, slow them down, give some sites advantages over others or spit the internet into fast lanes and slow lanes, based on who can pay.With our free press being threatened, now is not the time to let giant ISP's censor what we see online! The free flow of information is fundamental to our democracy and must be protected!If chairman Pai prevails, the FCC will kill the open market place that has enabled millions of small businesses, and created some of the most valuable companies in America.I urge you to continue to make Net Neutrality available to all!
1540. Lawrence Snyder, Davis, CA, 95616  
The Internet is and ought to be a universally accessible medium for open communication, not a commodity to be sold to the highest bidder. Net neutrality is essential to a free people in the 21st century. Thank you!
1541. David Childress, Antelope, CA, 95843  
Think karma...
1542. Maureen sorrell, Davis, CA, 95618

Please keep the net accessible to all as freedom of speech is one of our fundamental rights in the U.S.

1543. Pamela Huntzinger, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please stand against Chairperson Pai and support the FCC's open internet rules. Thank you so much for working to keep America's internet great.
1544. Christopher Barbush, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Be cool. We want good internet. Not extra fees and blocked sites. Except porn... Porn should be blocked.
1545. Michael Gass, Davis, CA, 95616  
The cyberspace is not private property.<sup>3</sup> 1506
1546. Janelle hanchett, Woodland, CA, 95695  
DO NOT END NET NEUTRALITY. KEEP ALL VOICES EQUAL.
1547. Nancy Hatfield, Marysville, CA, 95901  
Stop corporatist monopolies from controlling the internet- a clear threat to free speech, democracy ( which these monoliths really hate) and creativity. No more mergers!! Keep the internet open and free to the public as a public utility!!
1548. Liz Cook, Davis, CA, 95616  
Open Internet Rules are critical for my business and my right to access information important to me. I can not tolerate some corporation telling me what I can and can not see. NO WAY !Thank you!
1549. Rich Casias, Davis, CA, 95618  
The internet is a life-saving electronic utility. Its speed is not marketable even though it can be. Blood banks do not charge different rates for different blood types. It's all life-saving.
1550. Benjamin Scott, VACAVILLE, CA, 95687  
Enforce Net Neutrality via Title II. No fast and slow lanes.
1551. Robert , Woodland, CA, 95695  
The USA is a leader in internet technology. Anything which threstens this lead is a bad idea. Net neutrality creates an environment where innovation can flourish. The internet is the new frontier and we need to keep it open, untaxed by private and public entities and as unrestricted as possible. I will vote against any public official, regardless of party, who opposes net neutrality. Thank you.
1552. Virginia Baker, Smartsville, CA, 95977  
Net neutrality is at the modern heart of the right to free speech and a free individual will of each and every human.

1553. Justine Lue, Davis, CA, 95618  
Stay free
1554. Quiahuitzin Ortega, Davis, CA, 95616  
Bish fight me. This is some dystopian shit. Plz don't do this. This is the only free thing left in the world. You control what we eat, drink, what we're told etc. Don't do this.
1555. Isao Fujimoto, Davis, CA, 95616  
Keep net neutrality away from profit seekers
1556. Brian McDowell, Davis, CA, 95618  
I support network neutrality and Title II regulations. ISPs should treat all data travelling over their networks fairly. The internet needs to remain open and free in order to foster innovation and creativity. I strongly disagree with Chairman Pai's stance that ISPs should be the gatekeepers of the internet and be able to decide which websites/services will get throttled and blocked while their counterparts can flourish.
1557. Benjamin Witzel, Davis, CA, 95616  
Protect the neutrality of the internet from those who would violate it! There is every reason not to trust ISP's to behave scrupulously by their volition.
1558. Craig May, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Keep the internet open, and not corrupt.
1559. Sam Yoder, Davis, CA, 95616  
Net Neutrality is GOOD. Please protect my internet rights. No one wants neutrality gone. Fuck you and your mug Ajit Pai.
1560. Lyndsay Dawkins, Davis, CA, 95618  
I believe that Net Neutrality is one of the most IMPORTANT ISSUES for our Country. Information shapes our actions. The playing field MUST be even for all. We don't need to empower the big money voice to overshadow all the other voices. I believe in fairness, and access to diverse ideas. DIVERSITY is the building block of our world. We are a more healthy society with DIVERSE ideas being EQUALLY ACCESSIBLE. When I use my broadband service, I decide who I communicate with and what information I transmit. I want the FCC to retain the ability to stop my internet service provider from interfering with my communications choices. The courts have already told the FCC that to do this, ISPs must remain under Title II. I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality rules and keep Title II in place for broadband internet access.
1561. Mandy Fillmore, Olivehurst, CA, 95961

the Internet is important to me cause i met all my friends and been able to watch streamers and youtube along with keeping in touch with family on facebook when my phone is dead, keeping an eye on everything with the news. so please protect the internet and everything we love

1562. Victoria , Vacaville, CA, 95687

Net neutrality is important to me because I use the internet as a tool to keep up with political news and learn new ways of making a living. Without the freedom to visit any sight I will lose a huge part of what makes my life more livable.

1563. Cameron Saldana, Vacaville, CA, 95687

Trumps a dictator in making! He can't control everything

1564. Erik Polik, Vacaville, CA, 95688

The internet should have equal access across all cultures and societies with equality from all providers and only regulating the amount you pay for the speed you get.

1565. Thomas Cope, Esparto, CA, 95627

Don't you have enough money? Leave the internet alone!

1566. Dalia , Davis, CA, 95616

It's important for my education.

1567. Marquis Yarosh, Vacaville, CA, 95687

Globally across the world people have fought for rights of independent speech allowing them to think, act, and be creative in ways they have never done so before. This has allowed many to produce their ideas and efforts faster such as artistic creations, videos, blogs, fictional writings, and general conversations with others online who share similar passions. Please allow us to keep our freedom online. Why is this important to you as well as us? Suppose one day when your time as leaders come and nother takes your place then who will allow you to express your own opinions? Sure people still have TV and radio, but the biggest and most rewarding system of information traveling is now the Internet by a mile. This is good for both sides. Please let us keep the Neutrality. Thank you.

1568. David B, Fairfield, CA, 94533

Freedom of speech. Freedom of expression. These are our basic human rights and should not be detained or interfered with. Cable and internet access should be able to all and for much cheaper and have access to everything they'll need.

1569. Chad Johnason, Suisun City, CA, 94585

I generally what the Internet to be as unchanged by corporations trying to

destroy the Internet.

1570. Mukht Sandhu, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs because everyone has a right to a free and open Internet.
1571. Mattie , Vacaville, CA, 95688  
The freedom of speech should be allowed to those in any income, creed, race, and gender. Dismantling the structure of the sharing of opinions via the free web excuses the idea that power is equated to who you can silence.
1572. Lori Patotzka, Clearlake, CA, 95422  
This is and should be considered a utility, in my view. Please leave it as is and equal to all who use it. Again, leave it alone!!
1573. Steve McCready, Capay, CA, 95607  
Equal access to information online, regardless of financial status, is crucial to support a thriving, fair democracy.
1574. Kathleen Grace, Winters, CA, 95694  
We live in a small town. Internet is only available by a slow satellite. Stupid since all of Europe it is available everywhere-even in a small isolated Norwegian island. I know because that is where I am now writing this. But to the main point, I can't have internet providers deciding my internet choices. It is difficult enough without the big boys getting the advantage. This not only affects me, but especially my children who need clear access for educational purposes. Don't tread on the little guy.
1575. Rachel Danielson, Davis, CA, 95616  
It is an extension of the first amendment
1576. Mike Hagen, Yuba City, CA, 95991  
Net Neutrality is essential to permit the free flow of ideas. When Internet companies can begin restricting access to ideas and information, they become censors who can block data that they disagree with politically, personally, or is against their company's interest, and that will have a huge negative impact on society.
1577. Garrett Sutherland, Davis, CA, 95616  
Live Free or Die.
1578. Joshua Kim, Yuba City, CA, 95991  
The Internet is one of the last frontiers of free speech and expression in an era of increasingly eroding freedoms. Net Neutrality ensures that every individual

has a chance to be heard and freely browse information according to their will. It prevents discrimination and censorship that doesn't fit the interests of the specific cable companies. I will not stand by as the collective monopoly of cable companies destroy the Internet for millions of Americans simply to increase their profits. Net Neutrality is a key aspect of democracy in the modern age and the millions of Americans like me will continue fighting for it as long as Ajit Pai and others like him plan to destroy it.

1579. Adam Taylor, Woodland, CA, 95776

You cannot trust ISPs to act in the interest of the public. As that would be asking them to take the general public over their stockholders and themselves. They will discriminate against websites they know people want, and they will throttle the connection to anything they either disagree with or that lacks the money to buy it from them. It would be in their best interest to do so. This is without hyperbole the death of the free internet, as well as the age of information that goes with it. The internet is full of niches, where you can find almost anything you can think of. That one website that calculated your REM cycles and can tell you when you should aim to fall asleep for a good night's rest. GONE. The Website that aims to help you with productivity by setting break times according to how long your working to help you stay focused. GONE. That website that for free teaching all the basics of coding and programming. GONE. The website where someone devoted his life to teaching every single topic on math that can be thought of. All free no ads. GONE. And why will they be gone? Because no one will have the obligation to allow them to exist. And all these free services someone did just because they wanted to help people will be all for naught. Net neutrality has allowed the internet to flourish and at this point is almost a utility to get along in the world. Starting a business without a website? Tough luck. Pitching an idea to investors and other funding opportunities. Gone without the internet. Freedom of expression and freedom of speech to anyone in the world? Gone if the ISP doesn't agree with it. I'm all for capitalism, but this is one example of something where it couldn't exist without protection and without guarantee, and it is incredible enough that I believe that it earned it.

1580. Nickolas Perez, Davis, CA, 95616

Net neutrality is important to me because the free flow of information over the internet helps build knowledge in those who can find it. ISPs control the market and are essentially monopolies. To say that there is competition and consumers could just change providers is disingenuous because most providers are the same in their practices and in most places the option is only the sole provider. The greed of ISPs will stifle true innovation and creators by making captured markets that will always be in favor of the ISPs own offerings. The internet is necessary and is a utility, without which I could not exist in modern society. Don't let the internet become what cable television currently (TV is not

necessary but internet is). Innovation does not mean creative ways to bill people and extract ever more money for providing less. Innovation is not allowing an ISP to charge me to access the internet so I can use a streaming service and then turning around and charging that streaming service for the traffic across the ISP network. I pay the ISP to provide me access to the whole internet not access to the ISP and it's services.

1581. Dasia , Yuba City, CA, 95991  
We need access to the internet we need information
1582. Fernando Anguiano, Davis, CA, 95616  
I am of low income, how the hell am I supposed to access sites to study for my college career if I have to pay money that I don't have to do so? Bull.
1583. Joel Gutierrez, Davis, CA, 95616  
As a college student, I cannot afford to lose out on internet access that is crucial for my success academically.
1584. Jasmine-Celine Talavera, Davis, CA, 95616  
Because the fact that we have to pay for certain websites means that there will be a further divide between rich and the poor. Students including myself use the Internet for researching which takes less time then travelling to a library. The Internet is a right not a privledge.
1585. Lain Chamberlain, Clearlake, CA, 95422  
I need net neutrality because I own an online store and sell art commissions. Without net neutrality I wouldn't be able to do either. I rely on net neutrality to communicate with long distance family members, we need to have net neutrality
1586. Sam Kaplan, Davis, CA, 95616  
I value a free and independent internet.
1587. Shannon Dewhirst, Suisun City, CA, 94585  
The internet is one of the only things I can count on to be reliable, affordable, and simply usable in my life. How can you big policy makers destroy one of the few things my generation has available to them? Please for the love of god find it in your hearts to retain net neutrality.
1588. Cb , Woodland, CA, 95776  
Allowing a monopoly of the internet is undermining the very principles that American society was supposed to be built on, restricting people from the information they have the right to have. In this era, our society cannot function if the internet becomes so privatized and profit-based.

1589. Sam , Fairfield, CA, 94533  
I am a minor who does not get paid so I cannot pay for my internet. Plus most of the social interaction I do is based online because I have social anxiety.
1590. Rachel Anderson, Davis, CA, 95616  
There are small businesses that rely on the internet. If we destroy net neutrality, they die.
1591. Sarah Goldberg, Davis, CA, 95616  
I'm a college student. I have to access many sites for research and writing papers. If I have to pay for these websites, in addition to my college fees, my textbook fees, my rent and all the other things I have to pay for with a part time job, my academics will suffer. It will allow rich people to have access to better sites, and therefore, write better papers.
1592. Elizabeth , Woodland, CA, 95695  
I have many friends on the internet. The internet is the only thing that keeps me happy in this hellhole, if you take away the internet, you do not understand what you are doing. Please, don't do this to me. Don't do it to us. My friends are valuable, the internet is valuable. I don't want to pay for the internet because I am poor. Don't do this to me.
1593. Syd Bourne, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Net Neutrality is the upmost important for educational information, news outlets, and social connections. Without the internet being accessible to everyone with uncensored and expressive content, the internet will become a sad and uninclusive place. Many people rely on the internet for business to sell things, and without net neutrality, their business would be destroyed.
1594. Dakota , Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Why are you trying to screw over the poor?
1595. Melissa McCommon, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Net neutrality makes it to where little guys like me can interact with a wide audience for my work. Taking that away will make it to where I can't compete with corporations.KEEP THE INTERNET NEUTRAL.
1596. Dylan Pemberton, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
The absence of net neutrality will not only affect our internet usage (directly affecting our free speech) but will hurt the economy.
1597. Bailey Jackson, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
As a freelance artist it is important for me to be able to share my art with the world and be able to have a small business. If you take Net Neutrality away, I will not be able to do that. Leave Net Neutrality alone!

1598. Rose , Suisun City, CA, 94585  
Excuse me. My partner and I have been preparing and saving up money for months to move out of our parents house and start freelancing. Please, we are almost at the point where we can move out, if we have to pay for premium domains then we will have to stay at his abusive parents' house for an undisclosed amount of time! I'm tired of being watched all the time and having to carry peppers pray on me in my own house, please don't make this harder for us and for the other freelancers who are just trying to start their lives in this already messed up economy!
1599. Catherine Parks, Davis, CA, 95616  
It is essential to my well being as a college student, i need the internet! Please do NOT do this
1600. Alex McCarty, Yuba City, CA, 95991  
I don't want to pay extra just to be able to access my favorite websites. This is some stupid shit
1601. Daniel sanders, Yuba City, CA, 95991  
Why would you think net neutrality could possibly not be a thing.
1602. Omar Ruelas, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Because It protects free speech on the internet,and it is essential for protecting free speech, organizing, business and innovation.
1603. Andre Brenner, Davis, CA, 95616  
Doing away with net neutrality is a slap in the face to normal people and a billion dollar handjob for the companies that already have a monopoly on our internet services.
1604. Earl Jefferson, Suisun City, CA, 94585  
I don't love everything in my facebook or google feed, but I love the fact that I get an opportunity to be disgusted by the right-wing, wrongheaded pablum that so many of my friends spew out on the regular. Net neutrality is the only way for truly free, un(less)-mediated information to get out into the public sphere. The internet is no longer a luxury; it has become a utility, an integral part of the fabric of communication in our country. I'm sick of the auctioning of our public good for the shortsighted gains of private companies. They have shown time and time again that the only virtue they see is that of wealth creation, and are more than willing to abrogate the best interests of our country towards that goal. Right or left, we all benefit from a free and open internet.Earl Jefferson
1605. Paulina Whiteside, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
The internet was created on freedom of speech and the right to information. These days it connects people from all over the world at the click of a button,

allows for the entrepreneurial spirit to thrive, and supports many budding industries. To turn it into another soulless drive for monopoly would be an insult to its inventors and the millions of people who use it for everything between research and reaching loved ones.

1606. Andres Barrera, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Let's be real, the internet is a free and safe place, but once this new bill goes into effect, it will affect everyone. Please do not pass it, please do not do this
1607. Christal Perez, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
I want a free and open internet.
1608. Brandon Koenig, Vacaville, CA, 95688  
its not right you violating the first amendment with this act its not OK. Some people depend on the internet cause its their job and by forcing your costumers to pay extra or get slow internet your putting their jobs on the line for your selfish needs. Not only that you'll be pushing people way from wanting to use the internet and they might just cancel their internet service all together so youll be lossing money.Brandon Koenig
1609. Barbara Clutter, Davis, CA, 95616  
An open internet is important because it has been an incredible boon to communities of color.Entrepreneurs of color can succeed without access to traditional financial tools. Independent content producers cantell their own stories to defy stereotypes and create positive portrayals of themselves without needing buy-in from amajor media conglomerate. People of color can engage in the political process, bringing their voices directly to thosein power and bypassing any roadblocks designed to impede them. With an Open Internet, barriers to entry are low,lack of access to traditional capital markets is less of a problem, and the power of corporate gatekeepers is limited.
1610. Stevenson Linder, Fairfield, CA, 94534  
Every website deserves equal rights.Stevenson Linder
1611. Sean Campbell, Dixon, CA, 95620  
I support strong net neutrality laws. It has been shown that ISP's do not care about throttling internet service to achieve corporate goals, and will do so, without recourse, in the future if they are deregulated. Do not roll back these regulations.
1612. Aahwan Koirala, Woodland, CA, 95776  
I strongly support the upholding of Net Neutrality with the strong backing of the Title 2 oversight of ISP. This measure MUST, MUST remain to keep the internet a level playing field, and to push back the greed of these billion dollar internet companies simply wanting to make more more money. I AM A

## SUPPORTER OF STRONG NET NEUTRALITY.

1613. Kenneth Webb, Vacaville, CA, 95688

Let's be real, you're organization and I both know this is farce. Net Neutrality isn't stifling regulation, but the best way for continued growth in the online sector versus stifling productivity and ingenuity through monopolistic practices of "last mile" service carriers. Funny how the main trunk services, providers of internet content and networking services, and pretty much everyone not a consumer/commercial internet service provider is AGAINST this effort. Plenty of comments go into the mechanics of why this is bad, read them. Hell, read some of the articles detailing how your organization is caught with it's pants down lying about trying deny users access to the commenting system and gaslighting about said comments. Get real about making America great again. Begin aiding cities, counties, and states in municipal internet through mindful regulation and allowances. The internet is a basic service now, like electricity, and it's time we stopped lulling in the stone age as other developed nations pass us (some lapping!). And come, are we going to let "we're too big!" be an excuse? We've put enough parking lots down in this country to cover West Virginia. We've connected the continent with rail, then with cars, and now with aircraft. We've run electricity and water to every home (a lot of natural gas, too!). Stop making excuses and get the ball rolling. Oh, and solidarity to the duders of the FCC putting up with Pai as a boss. Stay strong, and stick to the facts!

1614. Jerry C. Kipper, Davis, CA, 95618

I consider internet connectivity no different than the connections which bring electricity and water. All three are essential resources to have access to in modern America. Electricity and water utilities do not have arbitrary restrictions placed on the flow of their resources, why should the internet? For profit generation? That is not worth the radical changes that would occur with the removal of net neutrality. Internet connectivity is so interwoven into my life that I could not remove it without extraordinary effort. Please don't force me to remove an essential resource from my life. Please keep the United States a land worth living in.

1615. Gabriel Edwards, Davis, CA, 95616

I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title II regulation of Internet Service Providers.

1616. Antonia Rey, Davis, CA, 95616

All existing Title II net neutrality laws are essential for the citizens of this country. Back the regular people, not the corporations, and do not support FCC Chairman Pai's proposal to limit our freedoms. Thank you

1617. John Arnold, Davis, CA, 95618  
I strongly urge that Net Neutrality be preserved and continue to be regulated under Title II
1618. Shawna Poechhacker, Yuba City, CA, 95993  
It's such a joke that you have put this under the guise of "restoring internet freedom," when it is clearly the opposite. It's deeply disturbing that 2 unelected bureaucrats can defy the will of the American people at the behest of corporate interests. It's truly unfortunate that you are now having to be sued because what you are doing is wrong, and because you are willfully ignoring the electorate. I hope that you lose your position in the process, and that you become a failure, someone as corrupt as you should never hold a position of power that effects American citizens lives ever again.
1619. Isaac Walrath, Davis, CA, 95616  
For the reasons discussed below, I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Internet neutrality is a critical component of our growing economy: it allows small businesses and startups to access markets, and it promotes free speech -- an essential underpinning of our democracy. If this rulemaking is successful, the cost of doing business in the US will surely increase (as ISPs throttle down content providers that are unable to pay newly devised fees), and free speech will be curtailed by ISPs. Upending net neutrality could spell disaster to our strong tech sector, and risks spinning our economy into disarray. Next, the US Constitution protects the freedom of speech. So permitting ISPs to regulate content likely triggers strict scrutiny. Clearly, this rulemaking is not necessary to achieve a compelling government interest, so the rulemaking is likely unconstitutional. Should the rulemaking proceed, it will surely be successfully challenged in court. Further, the telecommunications industry is heavily consolidated -- raising obvious anti-trust issues. For the sake of the public interest, and the health of the economy, ISPs must be regulated to avoid the deadweight loss resulting from monopolistic competition. Internet service is one of the areas where deregulation is clearly bad for the national economy. Thus, the goals of this rulemaking flout the Commission's mandate by ignoring the public interest in favor of a handful of large corporations. Plainly, ending net neutrality is un-American and foolhardy. For the sake of the nation, please retain strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
1620. greg almond, davis, CA, 95612  
Use title II it is better
1621. liamoana quitano, Fairfield, CA, 94533  
Raymondbryanloanfirm.us is where I went to apply for a loan. Everything seemed legitimate until I was asked to pay first before getting a loan approval. I could not fully understand the directions so I hesitated and explained that I

didn't feel comfortable agreeing when I could not understand their English. I received response stating that I was rude and abusive and they had a great deal of risk so just giving them a few hundred dollars so their lawyers can decide if I can be approved was not much to ask. This made me feel as if I was being forced to think I had to give them more information and to pay them money out of guilt for questioning when things did not feel right. I strongly suggest an investigation. I have copies of the emails and I also sent a complaint to the customer service department which this person will intercept.

1622. Carmen, Davis, CA, 95616

Dear FCC...I am a middle school teacher who uses the internet to help my students learn content. Both my students and myself have benefit from the use of the internet. Information should be accessible to everyone. Please support the use of internet and education .

1623. Robin Wohlgemuth, Woodland , CA, 95776

As a public school teacher, it is vital for my students' education that net neutrality remains intact.

1624. Tami Daniels, Fairfield, CA, 94534

Restore internet freedom in order to maintain an equal playing field for all of my students.

1625. Ben Kingsbury, Davis, CA, 95616

I access the internet for a variety of reasons: work, entertainment, research, and information to name just a few. It supports what I do both professionally and personally and I support an open and net neutral internet. I believe that this current standard is best not just for me but my immediate and extended family.

1626. John Mani, Suisun City, CA, 94585

Free and open access to the internet is a right. Consumers should choose their content, not providers.

1627. owen meham, Plumas Lake, CA, 95961

When will the big Corporations, stop trying to control our right to better our self and expand our success We all have a right to easy access to the internet. It is criminal, that we have to fight to keep our right to net neutrality. Please consider the 9.3 million signatures that have been submitted, as the voice of the people to Leave our rights alone.

1628. Pierre, Yuba City, CA, 95993

Keep ATT, Verison, Comcast and others such as these at "ARMSLENGTH". Read the publics opinion of these companies, you must side with the paying public. Yelp declares all of these Broad Band users as NEGATIVE. They bundle their packages which allows them to RAPE their

customers.

1629. Annamaria Amenta, Davis, CA, 95616  
Innovation is one of the main advantages of the US economy. Fostering an environment that supports tech innovation is important especially important for us. Allowing cable and telecom companies to control the marketplace in which internet start-ups operate would be a disaster for America's economy. And it would limit choice for consumers, especially in rural areas. Please preserve net neutrality!
1630. Forrest Miller, Yuba City, CA, 95991  
Do not undo the Title II classification of Internet providers Don't Change the rules! I like them they way they are. Internet providers are some of the most hated companies in America. Loosening regulations will only make it worse. The Internet is a an essential utility in todays world. Keep access to it even! and transparent.
1631. Patrick lammert, Yuba city, CA, 95991  
Do not reclassify the internet. The current state of the internet is critical to maintaining a truly competitive marketplace where startups compete and sometimes win against massive corporations. So no don't even think about killing net neutrality.
1632. andre roldanleben, Yuba City, CA, 95993  
No not kill net neutrality! Keep the internet free and open!
1633. ALLY BENS, davis, CA, 95618  
Don't ruin my fucking internet toadies
1634. Justin Spessard, Marysville, CA, 95901  
I support Net Nuetrality. The internet must remain free and uncontrolled for all.
1635. Johann de Silva, Davis, CA, 95616  
The abolishment of basic safeguards means that while those who aspire certainly can move outside the constrictions created by law, this also allows them to marginalize the less -able and impoverished. Please do not tear down net neutrality legislation.
1636. Nicole Roldan-Leben, Yuba City, CA, 95993  
Please, keep Net Neutrality. This cannot become another priviledge for wealthy people. Everybody must have equal rights to the internet.
1637. Sean Delaney, Davis, CA, 95616  
Broadband is a utility and should be regulated as such. Killing "net neutrality" will harm every citizen but in unequal ways. The only beneficiaries will be

large ISPs that will be able to charge additional fees to consumers and content providers. Few decisions could harm the US competitiveness more.

1638. Cristina Moya, Davis, CA, 95616

The internet is a basic commodity that should ensure quality of life and equitable access to information for all, NOT a platform for corporate capture of profits. We need modern laws for modern technologies and should not be constrained by misapplications of laws written for previous technologies. Maintain net neutrality.

1639. Robert Brunner, Vacaville, CA, 95688

The rights of the many and the people of the United States MUST be greater than those of the few and the wealth. Net Neutrality must remain in place not just to protect the rights of the many and lesser citizens but for all. To remove this is to give in and admit that those with wealth have more rights than those without. Corporations should not have rights greater than the individual and to believe that they should stands against what the United States is for.

1640. Robert Waldvogel, Vacaville, CA, 95688

I support an open and free Internet open to all. This requires Net Neutrality because good internet access is a monopoly in my area. In my area, only Comcast offers high speed. AT&T offered much slower speeds for more money. My internet is down every week for an hour or more. Now they have a data cap that my family goes over and we have to pay even more. In other areas of the country where there is competition, prices are lower and faster. Comcast wants me to pay for TV service that I don't want in order to keep Internet prices lower in package pricing. It is not fair that they get to bundle services together to offer lower prices and I can't go to someone else for competing services. If I want TV service from someone else, it eats into my limited internet cap to use, limiting my choices and who I get my TV service from. This is unfair to other competing services. They are a monopoly in my area, and I have no real choice in TV and Internet service. I feel if there was real competition for Internet, like back in the days of dial-up service, the cost would be much lower and faster and I could switch to someone else if the service is bad. Comcast service is bad, and I have zero options. Please help!

1641. Isaac Gifford, Davis, CA, 95618

I strongly support a free and open internet. Net neutrality is essential to scientific research, the free market, and free and fair elections.

1642. Lucas Moore, Davis, CA, 95616

I am in favor of the strongest possible "net neutrality" regulations possible. While I am grateful for the services from my internet service provider that I pay for, I believe that this company should have: a) no right to view,

process, or otherwise observe my internet traffic in any way, and b) no right to filter, "throttle," or otherwise favor connections to any server, website, or service. Utility companies have no legal justification or technical ability to favor one use of their service over another; when I pay my electricity bill, they do not (and cannot) care whether I used that electrical energy for lighting, refrigeration, or cooling. Access to the Internet should be similar. Internet service providers should provide a "dumb pipe" to the greater Internet, and should be legally disallowed from any monitoring or adjustment of connections.

1643. Shoukry Khabbaz, Vacaville, CA, 95688

I am in support of maintaining title 2 as originally passed to maintain net neutrality.

1644. filip vrnoga, Davis, CA, 95616

Net neutrality must be retained. Do not repeal title II status.

1645. Bonnie Dixon, Winters, CA, 95694

Dear FCC Commissioners; Please do not rescind, or reduce in any way, the current net neutrality rules that were put into place in the FCC's 2015 Open Internet Order. In the age of the internet, net neutrality is an essential component of free speech. Just like telephone companies can't limit who people may talk to, or what may be said, over the telephone, internet service providers should not have the ability to block or discriminate against websites based on their content. Freedom of expression is essential to the functioning of a democracy. But, these days, most people get most of their information from the internet, and do most of their communication online. Only a content-neutral internet can provide the people with sufficient freedom of information acquisition and self-expression to support meaningful democracy. Thank you very much for your consideration of this important matter.

1646. Rosemary Malfi, Davis, CA, 95616

Extinguishing net neutrality limits free speech, negatively affects start-ups and small businesses, disproportionately affects the poor, and is quite simply un-American.

1647. Net Neutrality, Davis, CA, 95616

The current system of an open internet, with all information being treated equal, provides an unparalleled opportunity for anyone, regardless of location or backing, to create and produce on a global scale. If ISPs are allowed to meter and control what information is allowed to propagate online, they will have the ability to squash any entrepreneur that attempts to cut into a market they currently control. The posterchild for this kind of competition is Netflix, who is in direct competition of viewers with companies such as Comcast and Verizon. Netflix has revolutionized how we view media, yet it's existence is a perpetual

thorn in the side of the existing media giants. If they are given the chance, they would smother such competition in its infancy. I am writing to support open competition, without gatekeepers. Let products and service succeed or fail on their own merit, rather than who controls them.

1648. Daniel Gonsalves, Davis, CA, 95616

There should not be so called "Internet fast lanes" and internet service providers (both wireless and broadband) should be classified as common carriers. The reality is that US consumers have a limited choice of ISPs: According to the FCC itself about "..." of US residents have only one broadband carrier choice. There are more physical shipping options for consumers (FedEx, UPS, DHL, USPS and others deliver in all 50 states) yet they by law have common carrier status. What if there was only one physical shipper in the US and it wanted to only deliver magazines that it preferred, perhaps liberal news magazines like "the Nation" but not conservative news magazines like "The Weekly Standard". What if it slowed down mail between the Republican party and its members but sped up mail between the Democratic party and its member? What if it shipped from Walmart 2x faster than from Target? These are examples of collusion and censorship. Which is why more than a hundred years ago physical shippers were labelled common carriers. What rail shipping was to the 19th century the internet is to the 21 century.

1649. Cynthia Mello, Willows, CA, 95988

Freedom to browse without having to pay a cooperation a fee for my usage, for something that is free for us today. It is not fair for them to take advantage of our freedom, just because someone came up with the idea to do so. To try and take away our freedom of our internet usage, just because you want to make money, is wrong, and does not give them the right to take our freedom away. Being a company or having the cash flow should have NO POWER over our internet usage. Protect our rights. "First Amendment of the Internet"

1650. Carl Wallace, Davis, CA, 95616

I strongly urge the FCC to deny corporations, or the government any purported legal right to curtail or deny free speech on the internet as guaranteed under the First Amendment of the US Constitution.

1651. Myphon Hunt, Yuba City, CA, 95991

Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech!

1652. Evan Matthews, Dixon, CA, 95620

I support internet freedom. I do not want my internet speed or what I can view on the web to be in the control of large corporations.

1653. Peggy Bernardy, Woodland, CA, 95776

Net neutrality should be maintained. Like electricity, the internet is a utility that should be maintained equally accessible for all.

1654. Donnie , Fairfield, CA, 94533

I enjoy my freedom in the internet. I already pay for my internet. Please leave it alone.

1655. Genji Shimada, Suisun City, CA, 94585

I want the Internet to remain accessible for low-income households.

1656. Ryn , Travis AFB, CA, 94535

Without Net Neutrality, we have nothing left. It helps no one but those already ahead, those at the tops of ladders.

1657. Irene , Vacaville, CA, 95687

I can access any website I want. Everything I want is at my finger tips. Please, I am already struggling financially, the only thing I don't need now is heavier bills.

1658. Miranda Chan, Suisun City, CA, 94585

I support universally accessible, open, affordable and fast communication networks for all. Net neutrality is essential to protecting free speech, organizing, business and innovation.

1659. Brian Milton, Suisun, CA, 94585

Please don't monetize access. Keep the net neutral and open.

1660. Clarence Coggin, Mt Shasta, CA, 97067

Another holocaust on the horizon?

1661. Gary Holcomb, Yuba City, CA, 95993

Trump = Puppet

1662. Marion , Vacaville, CA, 95688

Stop handing our lives and money over to corporations! The internet must remain open to all , and privacy must be respected as well.

1663. Emily Martin-Fodor, Winters, CA, 95694

The Internet is the foundation of democracy and equality. I believe Internet providers should not have the power to discriminate against certain types of content – especially since people of color and other marginalized folks will suffer the most. I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality under the FCC's existing rules and keep broadband internet access classified under Title II.

1664. Francee Levin, Davis, CA, 95616  
Diversity is the greatest strength of the internet and Net Neutrality is instrumental for preserving that diversity. Please keep the net neutral, not yet another realm to be monopolized and strangled by big conglomerates. Capitalism and a free market can still coexist with Net Neutrality. Ending Net Neutrality to squeeze a few more dollars into the pockets of the already successful comes at the expense of the future successful. Thank you for fighting to keep the net neutral and for everyone.
1665. kevin brown, Oregon house, CA, 95962  
Keep the internet focused on the customer. Remember, it's the government by the people for the people
1666. Rachel Wallace, Davis, CA, 95616  
I do NOT want the FCC to change net neutrality rules. A change in rules would take away our freedom on the internet. Net neutrality helps ensure that there is an even playing ground for all consumers. Without net neutrality, telecom and cable companies could very well influence internet searches, news and how we access the internet as a whole. This would influence the ability for individuals to create and communicate openly and freely on the internet. Without net neutrality, telecom and cable companies could also negatively influence services, to their advantage. More importantly, the internet is now used more like a modern utility. Banking, bill paying, job applicants, etc all go through the internet; more and more they are only going through the internet. Beyond keeping a fair market for small and large businesses, individuals need a guarantee that their use of the internet will not be affected by corporate interests. They need a guarantee that they can access this vital, modern utility without restrictions.
1667. Michael Benning, Woodland, CA, 95695  
Keep net neutrality in place. Removing the protections net neutrality provides will only disenfranchise the citizens of this country. The Internet is the main communication channel for businesses and people alike - and has led to the creation of countless companies. There is absolutely no reason to stifle it.
1668. Cynthia Berndt, Davis, CA, 95616  
The internet is as important a method of communication as telephone service and thus must be considered a public utility. Net neutrality must be maintained.
1669. Nicholas Ulle, Davis, CA, 95618  
This is a disgusting, greedy move by the FCC that throws consumers to the wolfish corporations. FCC leadership should be ashamed. Preserve net neutrality. The internet should not be in control of a handful of corporations. The FCC's priority should instead be to break up the anti-competitive monopolies

that have made the internet service provider market a joke.

1670. Patrick McBride, Davis, CA, 95616  
Please keep the internet free and maintain net neutrality. It is not in the best interests of the American consumers to let corporations have complete control over the internet. People should have the ability to access whatever content they wish at the same speeds as other content, whether or not said corporations have business interests in the content or not.
1671. brian munneke, Clearlake Oaks, CA, 95423  
Net neutrality, blinding of pricing and access with regards to content is key for the understanding and development of of next generation ideas, domination and tiered pricing by internet provider oligopolies would simply slow development in the future as well as harming the low/middle class currently.
1672. Adriennw Gee, Davis, CA, 95616  
Don't let capitalism take precedence over equality or education!
1673. Ethan Applegarth, Davis, CA, 95616  
ISPs must stay Title II forevermore. I and everyone I know feel strongly about this issue. Keep thieving corporate America's hands off the equality we enjoy online and in equal access to the internet, which in itself is a part of our precious citizenship.
1674. Joan Gerriets, Davis, CA, 95618  
Please continue to regulate broadband as a Title II utility. Access to the internet has become as important as access to electricity or water in 2017; much too important to let ISPs and other broadband related companies in the industry alter access speeds and play favorites with content providers. As a consumer, I am grateful when government carefully looks at how regulations will affect individual citizens, rather than what a large corporation lobbies for. Thank you.
1675. Amanda Kimball does not want more junk mail, Davis, CA, 95616  
Protect net neutrality and Title 2. It matters!
1676. Erik Henry, Suisun City, CA, 94585  
I support FCC regulation of ISPs under Title 2 of the Telecommunications Act.
1677. Nancy Hostick, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Please make the world better by protecting Net Neutrality. Net neutrality is important to millions and you will suffer the wrath of MILLIONS of ANGRY, LOUD, VOTING AMERICANS. Don't be on the wrong side of history.
1678. Jacob Scarbrough, Davis, CA, 95618  
I believe we should not reclassify ISPs regarding net neutrality. It will have a

major negative impact, and I am very much against this. They should stay under Title II as they currently are. ISPs should not be able to throttle internet speeds based on content. If this is allowed, then ISPs will dictate everything we see and do. The internet needs to stay net neutral.

1679. title 2, davis, CA, 95616  
srsly...

1680. JOE GREEN, VACAVILLE, CA, 95687  
I am an American Airman and proud citizen. I urge you to uphold Net Neutrality. Please confirm my voice is heard by the FCC chairmen.

1681. Sherri Sandberg, Davis, CA, 95616  
Net neutrality is extremely important. Please preserve net neutrality and title 2 so that all people have equal access to the internet and the web does not give preference to people/companies who pay for higher priority of their information on the web.

1682. Charles Utt, Dixon, CA, 95620  
Please do NOT take away Net Neutrality! We, the tax payers, funded the creation and development of the internet. Our "investment" in this national resource must be honored with preservation of the internet as it is now. If businesses or individuals have to deal with the different situation the ISPs are advocating, the big ones will grow bigger and more powerful at our expense and a little guy with a good idea will NOT be able to compete. The internet must be treated as a utility like electricity or trash pickup.

1683. David Muerle, Vacaville, CA, 95687  
Support net neutrality. I am tired of government responding to the needs of business and ignoring the will of the people.

1684. Christian Harris, Davis, CA, 95618  
I would like the internet to remain a free and open place. I would like Net Neutrality to remain in place.

1685. Mark Danny Carroll, Dixon, CA, 95620  
Please save net neutrality. The Internet belongs to the people, not corporations.

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used national language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post. <https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9f0e3ed36a6>.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.