Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from CA District #28

These are the 4,205 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative Adam Schiff's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs under the proceeding 17-108.

1. Patrick, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
   I don't think allowing ISPs to dictate usage by charging additional fees for specific services is in the best interest of the consumer. ISPs already have the upper hand in most cases, and most consumers don't have much choice in who their ISP is, since in any given location there may be only one or two ISPs providing internet. Tiered service does not create more control for the user, only the ISP. The consumer should be able to access all internet services equally, instead of having certain services given preferential treatment or an additional fee.

2. Lindsay Katai, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
   I am writing to express my disapproval of this proposal. Net neutrality ensures that all sites on the internet are treated equally, ISPs are regulated fairly, and no site, app, or service can be blocked nor pay for preferential treatment. I am both a consumer and a full-time worker in e-commerce, and this could affect my job and pay. I'm also afraid of the effect this could have on citizen political engagement and could leave many without a way to make their voice heard. The social media protests regarding the President's Muslim ban is reason enough alone to fear the obliteration of net neutrality. And while this proposal would greatly benefit the cable and internet companies, I feel it would affect the profits of every other business that depends on equal consumer access to dataâ€”from watching TV and movies on Netflix and other streaming sites, to banks whose customers depend on easy online services, to small businesses that depend on services such as PayPal and Square. This could affect the job market and economy in disastrous ways. There is no reason the internet shouldn't be treated with the same fairness and regulation as other public utilities; indeed, it is THE public utility of our time and of the future. Please kill this plan.Thank you.

3. Alec, Burbank, CA, 91501

Getting rid of Net Neutrality would be detrimental, not only to the American ideals in which this country was founded upon, but also the freedoms that are necessary to creative growth, entrepreneurship, freedom of press. Allowing ISP's throttle their bandwidth as they please give them complete control over what we stream, view, read, and consume. Everything from information to media can be cherry picked by the companies and what best serves them and their wallets. Do not go through with this. Part of the reason the FCC wa created to ensure that the people of the internet and telecommunications at large where not being unfairly cheated by companies and to regulate it FOR the People. So please, do your job, we've spoken about this before, and we continue to stand for Net-Neutrality.

4. George Skarpelos, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
   We should preserve the status quo and treat internet access like a utility. It is as fundamental to our lives as electricity and water service. Allowing ISPs to prefer one kind of content over another will have a terrible impact on our country and the future.

5. Charles Bets, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
   It's my understanding that the FCC Chairman intends to reverse net neutrality rules and put big Internet Service Providers in charge of the internet. I am firmly against this action. I believe that these ISPs will operate solely in their own interests and not in the interests of what is best for the American public. In the past 10 years, broadband companies have been guilty of: deliberately throttling internet traffic, squeezing customers with arbitrary data caps, misleading consumers about the meaning of â€œunlimitedâ€ internet, giving privileged treatment to companies they own, strong-arming cities to prevent them from giving their residents high-speed internet, and avoiding real competition at all costs. Consumers, small businesses, and all Americans deserve an open internet. So to restate my position: I am against the chairman's plan to reverse the net neutrality rules. I believe doing so will destroy a vital engine for innovation, growth, and communication.Any revocation of Net Neutrality is a hindrance to the common American competing with citizens of other countries who will not be restricted by greedy companies willing to choke innovation and advancement out of our society for the chance to bleed money out of our mouths. Understand this: We as Americans believe in freedom. We as Americans invented the internet and are the world leader in every aspect of it's culmination and growth. If you end Net Neutrality in our country, you will be selling the soul of what America created to a very small group of rich people wanting to spoon-feed innovators and thinkers their ability to freely work to improve our nation. Would you have held back textbooks for cash from scientists working to launch our mission to the moon in the 1960s?Why let ISPs cap and choke our ability to compete and dominate the information age in a global market? Keep regulations in place that ensure we have a proper footing to prosper as a nation.

6. Brandon Heaslip, Glendale, CA, 91206
   Please support net neutrality and stop bending over for the lobbyists and stand up

for the people!!

7. Matthew David Kulisch, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
   Protect Net Neutrality. Net neutrality is the principle that all Internet traffic should be treated equally. I believe in this statement, and believe that equal access to equally-treated internet resources should be a right protected by the Federal government and the States. I do not support any policy-making or makers who would dismantle this right, either incrementally or wholly. As an artist and a writer, who uses the internet daily to promote his work, the equitable and fair treatment of the pathways for information allow me to share my ideas and build an audience unobstructedly; net neutrality is central to the experience of the public good as well, who, rather than funneled and controlled, enjoy the same opportunity to come across my work--or ignore it--as they go about their lives online. This means my audience can be judged to enjoy my work, discuss it, comment on it, even reject it, without difficulty or distortion, and relatively equally and without the obstructionism of a self-interested institution or state. Culture can be said to decide this, not ideology. As a citizen, I want to enjoy the same privilege to enjoy content, hate it, ignore it, or love it as I so choose. Any policy that would warp this experience is something I wholly oppose as a free-thinker and democratic citizen.

8. Zareh D, Glendale, CA, 91203
   Internet service is most definitely a public utility, therefore I support net neutrality rules under title II. ISPs have too much market power, face little competition if any in areas they serve, and have a history of abusing their market power. ISPs must not be allowed to operate using our scarce resources without regulatory oversight. They are not trustworthy and have earned that reputation based on their past behavior. The FCC is foolish to think a hands off approach and voluntary restraint will prevent ISP businesses from abusing their enormous market power. The FCC must put aside its ideology and deal with the reality presented by past behavior and likelihood of the same going forward from ISPs. Do NOT be so naive that ISPs will self regulate. With NO rules in place they will abuse the market. Period!

9. Fred Aronow, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
   As an ordinary citizen who is not an internet technician or specially informed about the technicalities of net neutrality, it is obvious to me that the name of the pending rule change is a lie, a fabrication, and an obfuscation. It is an attempt to make the pending rule change appear to be something of value to the general public but it is not. The rule change is of value only to corporations and persons/organizations with large funding resources that want to have their messages more easily accessible than what is available to the general public. This is not Freedom. This is just another element of paid advertising. This is making the general user of the internet a second-class position. IT IS THE JOB OF THE FCC TO REGULATE THE COMMUNICATIONS ENVIRONMENT ON BEHALF OF AND AS AN AGENT OF THE PUBLIC, NOT SPECIFIC BUSINESS OR POLITICAL INTERESTS. There is no way to hide the intent, the purpose, the motivation, and the financial backing for promoting the proposed ruling: some people and their organizations

want to have a favored edge at getting to customers, profits and influence -- while people in the general public will be less favored with using the internet as a tool of education, entertainment, research, and social interaction.

10. Dan Grayson , Los Angeles, CA, 90026
    Dear FCC,We already spoke out on this issue in record numbers, so you know how we feel. Title II is what guarantees an open and equal internet without slow lanes for the little people like me, or startup companies trying to innovate. Voluntary compliance with regulations is a joke. Chairman Pai is either delusional, or has no interest respecting the wishes of the people. Either is unacceptable. Dan Grayson

11. Benjamin S Winter, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
    The elimination of protections for net neutrality is an outrageous abdication of responsibility and complete betrayal of the American consumer. Innovation, commerce, freedom of expression, the basic tenets of democracy thrive on a free, equal and open internet. The reasons the chairman Pai has given to adopt new "light-touch regulation" are patently false. ISPs will build out infrastructure regardless. They have admitted as much in internal memos. While Ajit was claiming 5 percent reduction in infrastructure spending, ISPs actually spent 5% *more* in infrastructure since reclassification.Before Obama-era net neutrality protections were passed, global telecommunications company Level 3 accused four major American ISPs of deliberately causing network congestion and refusing to build out infrastructure unless content providers paid them to do so. This is why we NEED net neutrality. Ajit Pai is putting smaller companies, and the American consumer, at a major disadvantage by weakening Title II classification and edging us further away from net neutrality.

12. Erin Stam, los angeles, CA, 90046
    I fully support Net Neutrality and the current provisions in place. I do not want changes. I do not want to rollback what is in place. I want full freedom for the internet. I do not want cable and telecom companies to control what I see and how I see it.

13. Alexander Perry, Burbank, CA, 91504
    Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. Isnâ€™t it possible they could do this again? Iâ€™m also concerned by mobile providers who say a plan is â€œunlimited,â€ but when you exceed the data cap, only throttle sites and services that arenâ€™t part of their approved zero-rating network. Being able to select and use services outside my providerâ€™s circle means reduced cost and competition from free market competition. Cord cutting, as it is called, has allowed me to cut my bill in half.

14. Bob De Nayer, Burbank,, CA, 91506
    I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™sâ€ !

15. David Lee, Glendale, CA, 91205
    I support only strong net neutrality rules as directed under Title 2. Title 1 is not a strong enough classification to ensure an internet that both is and remains open and free for access.

16. Angela Lang, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
    Protect net neutrality. Thank you.

17. Kathy Martino, Burbank, CA, 91502
    We need net neutrality! Leave it where it is...under Title 2.

18. Net Neutrality, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
    I strongly support net neutrality and the regulation of ISPs under Title 2. Please allow for a level playing field for all content and service providers.

19. Will Scheffer, Pasadena, CA, 10003
    We must have net neutrality. The FCC must do its job and protect the American People not corporations. We're watching.

20. Alan Ohnsman, Glendale, CA, 91202
    Do not follow through with the proposal to reverse Title II Net Neutrality. The current policy is working, and the changes are uncalled for and potentially harmful.

21. Andrew Fischer, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
    DO NOT NEGATE NET NEUTRALITY LAWS. It is very important to me and millions of Americans. John Oliver is not nearly as unpleasant looking as those comments. Just saying. Title 2 protects my ability to watch whatever I want, without being manipulated by the ISP overlords.

22. Christian Balka, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
    If you repeal Title 2 I will be the first to march on Washington.

23. Jim Boulter, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
    Please keep the Internet neutral. Support Title II as written!!Thank you.

24. Steven Paul, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
    Please please please KEEP net neutrality!

25. Jacob Biddlecome, Los Angeles, CA, 90048
    I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. I do not support blindly trusting my ISP to create a fair threshold for my content. Do you really think Time Warner, the company who can't seem to have enough pipeline for a YouTube video, should have the power to throttle anyone they please. I beg you, in a world where DT is president, let us have this one last freedom.

26. JoshShaffer, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
    Do not revert back rob title 1, keep net neutrality absolute!

27. Bosley Crowther, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
    It is important to me that net neutrality be maintained by continuing to regulate ISPs under Title 2.

28. Jon Hamkins, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
    I support net neutrality, including Title II oversight of ISPs to enforce the equal handling of internet traffic.

29. 1, La crescenta, CA, 91214
    Preserve net neutrality and Title 2 over site of ISPs.

30. Stephen Bell, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
    I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Any change in title classification will prove a betrayal of the American people.

31. Ashley Clements, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
    Please protect Net Neutrality. It is essential to content creators like myself to play fairly on a neutral internet and not be priced out of competing for access to viewers. It is essential as a consumer to keep money and politics out of access to information. Please protect this vital component of American freedom.

32. Michael Tompkins, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
    I support full and strong net neutrality as well as Title II oversight of ISPs. Walking back Obama era policies is unacceptable.

33. Rita Weingourt, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
    I am writing to plea for internet neutrality. Please enforce Title 2 regulations and prevent infringement on human rights.

34. Mark Phillips, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
    I want strong Net Neutrality WITH title 2.

35. Eli shamszadeh, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
    I fully support the current system of classification of all Internet Service Providers under Title 2. I do not support reclassification which would make ISPs voluntarily hold themselvesâ€‹ accountable for providing equal and fair access to bandwidth and routing.

36. Dominic Shayler, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
    I made my feelings on this issue known in 2015 when comments were open for the first neutrality proceedings. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This is not something we can trust any large company to regulate themselves. Thank you.

37. nir, Glendale, CA, 91206
    Stop selling out the American people. We know what you are trying to do. Net neutrality is a foundation the Internet is built on. If you are going to shake this

foundation for greed you will be hurting the internets viability and credibility. People will not trust it. If the ways we communicate by is corrupted so is the messages we want to communicate. By discontinuing net neutrality protections you are ultimately hurting our freedom of speech. When you look in the mirror what do you want to see? A greedy ass hole that sells out his country for a buck or a responsible Civil servent who serves the public faithfully!

38. Alexander Wolff, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
    I am urging you, the FCC, to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. We simply cannot afford to be taken advantage of any more than we already are, so that the rich and powerful can become richer and more powerful.

39. Rob Lewine, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
    Another voice in support of net neutrality. There's no justification for placing control of connectivity in corporate hands.It's no different than handing control of the nation's highways to construction companies or auto manufacturers.

40. Net Neutrality, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
    I support STRONG Net Neutrality, backed by Title II Oversight of of ISP's. Don't be a dick about this, FCC.

41. Mark McCracken, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
    Please maintain net neutrality. Please maintain the use of Title 2. Thank you.

42. Stephanie Flanagan, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
    Keep Net Neutrality for all! Do not change speeds depending who is paying you more!

43. Bonnie Zane, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
    Doing my duty...

44. sara mccarthy, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
    I want to preserve NET Neutrality and TITLE 2!

45. Anna Galle, west hollywood, CA, 90046
    Net Neutrality depends on service providers being regulated under FCC type II rules. DO NOT change the current rules that regulate service providers. The FCC MUST continue to regulate service providers under type II rules. This cannot change

46. Dylan Wilcox, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
    It is imperative the FCC keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

47. Sean C, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
    Net neutrality needs to remain as is. It provides for a free and open market, protection of our information.

48. Lisa M Kittredge, Burbank, CA, 91505
    Please do not mess with our internet. Reduced access does not help anyone and what happened to "freedom"?

49. Spencer Balliet, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
    There is nothing more important to us than the protection of strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Information Service Providers should not be allowed to differentiate, block, or favor traffic for any reason. Doing so would drastically harm American freedoms, as well as threaten the American economy in irreversible ways. Protection must be strongly outlined by the FCC and strictly and consistently enforced. This is not a matter that can be left to individuals or corporate entities to self-police, nor can it be said that it is not currently, and thus will not become, a problem.

50. Alexander Keipper, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
    I'm writing to strongly oppose the FCC reclassifying ISPs; they should be kept under Title II. The central idea in reclassifying seems to be that we should let ISPs do whatever they want, unless they are caught doing something wrong, and then we should let Congress tackle those wrongdoings on a case by case basis. I hope when it's put that way, it sounds as ridiculous as it is. The reason we have laws is to protect people from those who would do them harm without just cause; we should not wait to see if ISPs will do the right thing. There is no harm in preventing fraud and internet throttling against consumers, and so we should do it, plain and simple. Keep ISPs under Title II.

51. Alan Baral, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
    I believe in strong net netruality, and the continuation of Title II oversite of the internet. Please do not make any change to this current regulation.

52. Vladimir Tikunov, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
    I believe net neutrality is far too important to risk leaving up to corporations and support keeping it classified as Title II.

53. Jessica Drake, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
    Net neutrality MUST be preserved at ALL costs. Donald Trump is our Predator-in-Chief and this is another way for him to pray on the people. The FCC must stand up and protect us. Do NOT allow ISPs to determine anything about our internet content. This is the free press of the 21st century and it is constitutionally protected for a reason!MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY!!!!!

54. Razmik zargarian, Glendale, CA, 91206
    We demand that the Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 as well as section 706 of the Telecommunications act of 1996 remain unchanged and be enforced.

55. Sasha Bell, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
    For the protection of the freedoms of the American people, I urge the preservation of net neutrality and of title 2. Allowing service providers to set speed limits,

trusting that the interests of their users are put first is an obvious danger. Please publicly support Title II rules. Now is the historical chance to do something bold that protects us all, economically and for our freedom.Thank you.

56. joel michalak, Glendale, CA, 91206
    An open internet is essential to support smaller companies as well as freedom of speech. I believe in the free market, but considering that most people in this country only have access to one cable/high speed internet provider based on where they live, this is not a matter that can be left for companies to regulate themselves. Even if you believe this is an issue for the free market to resolve, you can not ignore the potential damage that weak net neutrality regulations would have on millions of companies that use the internet for their businesses. Allowing internet service providers to choose which sites need to pay them a tax/fee/bribe to have access to customers is the exact opposite of how we think free markets should work. Strong net neutrality regulations are essential.

57. Judd Hollander, Glendale, CA, 91201
    Net Neutrality is crucial to keeping the flow of information on the internet even, fair, and unbiased. Without it we run the risk of having our freedom of speech curtailed by corporations which limit some information in favor of others, biasing what we receive and send. It is critical in the modern age that the internet be treated as an open platform with equal access for all, and not as a tool for private business to manipulate as they see fit. Net Neutrality is the cornerstone of technological democracy.

58. Alex Wroten, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
    Keep net neutrality as it is. Stay title II. Stop trying to benefit corporations.

59. Mark Krassenbaum, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
    It is of incredible importance that we keep net neutrality. Please keep the internet classification under title II.

60. Jennifer Ross, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
    I want free and open internet. Under Title II. Do not let these companies rule our internet.

61. brittany harmon, Burbank, CA, 91504
    I am strongly for net neutrality and title 2. These are incredibly important and need to remain intact

62. Deverill Weekes, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
    We strongly support net Neutrality and want strong support title 2. we do not want it interfered with

63. Jerry Clarke, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
    Dear FCC, Preserve NET NEUTRALITY, PLEASE. Preserve Title 2. Keep the level playing field for us all. Sincerely, Jerry Clarke

64. Net Neutrality, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Dear Ajit Pay and FCC Commissioners, I vigorously support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP. Any movement away from this regulation is an attack on the free and open internet. Please do the right thing by placing the interests of consumers above corporations.Best,Josh Gordon

65. Karen Clarke, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Dear Sir or Madame,Please protect and preserve net neutrality and Title 2. Thank you.Sincerely,Karen Clarke

66. Janine Riveire, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
As a person whose career requires some travel, I must be able to access the internet in a predictable manner regardless of location from which I am accessing it. In addition, my field of work increasingly relies on the use of cloud-based programs and resources in order to do that work effectively. It is crucial that the net neutrality rules put in place during the Obama administration remain in place, not only for my personal needs, but for the EQUAL ACCESS of all Americans, providing EQUAL OPPORTUNITY for each of us. The FCC must keep net neutrality truly neutral.

67. Brandon, Glendale, CA, 91202
I support net neutrality under title-2. Any measure to change is anti-consumer and shines a deep light on the intentions of the FCC's core principles and mission.

68. jon berg, Los anglees, CA, 90046
Please keep the Internet free and unobstructed. Let's not forget what happened with the ill named isis and google wallet. Without regulations, isps will slow down some content providers and speed up others.

69. Marc Leandro, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I strongly support net neutrality rules as currently established. A former Verizon lawyer has no place on the FCC, much less leading it, and by extension, leading the charge for unfttered corporate rule. Stop this repugnant effort immediately.

70. Florence Blecher, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Maintaining Net Neutrality as CURRENTLY protected is CRUCIAL to the fair & unbiased freedom of information & traffic. Please do NOT restrict or alter the current statute.

71. Brian Bard, Glendale, CA, 91206
Net Neutrality is the only thing keeping ISPs from controlling the flow of information. Without the free flow of information, there can be no freedom to discuss issues openly and honestly. Without the freedom to discuss issues openly and honestly, there is only fascism. Are you a bunch of fascist Nazis?

72. Nadine Tanio, Glendale, CA, 91208
An open internet promotes public access, innovation and communication. Dismantling net neutrality by reversing 2015 Title II protection only hurts citizens

of this country. Do your job, put people first and protect net neutrality.

73. Rod Koborsi, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
    These softening of net neutrality rules only strengthen ISPs ability to manipulate its customers. The customer is left with no recourse. In most towns people have no choice but to select only one available ISP provider (maybe 2 if we are lucky) to use internet. This is not 1995. Internet is used in our everyday life and should be accessible to us without an ISP being able to manipulate what they want us to see. The idea that some of you at the FCC actually want to defend this is concerning and makes me question the motives of the commission. You represent us. You do not represent ISPs. You do not represent a president that arrives and leaves every 4 years. And you certainly don't represent yourself, as no one at the FCC is elected. Please do what it right and maintain strong net neutrality rules - it is a decision that is best for the people who you are supposed to represent and look out for.

74. Carlos Lopez, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
    I would like title two to remain for net neutrality. To this point, it has done no harm but good. Please do not take our freedom away.Thank you,

75. Aaron Yeseta, sunland, CA, 91040
    I would like to express my support for keeping strong net-neutrality rules backed by Title II

76. Colin Turcotte, Glendale, CA, 91207
    Please don't neuter net neutrality.

77. Keinan Marks, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
    Support title ii designation. Avoid monopoly exploitation of consumers and competition. Competition will be stifled not only in telecommunication but in content creation and distribution.

78. Rebecca Rees, Glendale, CA, 91205
    Save Network Neutrality with Title II protections.

79. Brian Barr, Burbank, CA, 91506
    I believe that net neutrality is key to a free and open Internet. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.

80. T Haussler, Glendale, CA, 91206
    Please keep the standards of Title II for Net Neutrality in tact.

81. Timothy Gildersleeve Jr., Los Angeles, CA, 90027
    I support the classification of internet service providers as utilities under Title II. Strong Net Neutrality rules and regulations are required to maintain open access to the internet.

82. ryan pallotta, Los angeles, CA, 90069

In strong support of internet fairness do not change net neutrality laws.

83. Chris Green, Glendale, CA, 91214
I urge the FCC to keep strong NET NEUTRALITY rules in place! It is important to me.

84. Ed Lee, La Canada, CA, 91011
Net neutrality should remain net neutral.

85. Bill Welhime, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
Keep net neutrality!!! Title II was made to protect consumers. It seems like Ajit Varadaraj Pai has a conflict of interest considering he used to work for Verizon, opposing the very issues he is now supposed to protect.

86. Scott Hardyman, Tujunga, CA, 91042
Keep the net neutral. Keep the ISP's under Title 2.

87. Victor J Garza, glendale, CA, 91205
It is irresponsible to call this act the Internet Freedom Act, when its serves as the opposite. The only freedom it is granting is the freedom to allow ISP's to further stifle and limit this means of communication that rightful has been classified as a utility. To claim that the people do not need to use the internet is ignorant. This act's only purpose is to allow a legal means for the heads of this organization to fill their own pockets instead of protecting the public they are responsible for protecting.

88. Dennis Sedov, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Net neutrality is absolutely essential. I'm highly against any changes to the current law that protects net neutrality!

89. Scott Bartenhagen, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
America needs to set a good example and support net neutrality and title 2 classification of ISPs.

90. Alexander Simpson, los Angeles, CA, 90068
I fully support net neutrality and title II. I urge you to make no changes to existing regulation.

91. Tim DeRouen, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Leave ISPs under Title II. Don't mess with Net Neutrality rules.

92. Joshua Gibson, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I support strong net neutrality regulations, specifically in the form of Title 2. Please keep Title 2 rules in place and do NOT change them.

93. Dave Glasgal, los angeles, CA, 90038
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY PRESERVE NET

NEUTRALITY PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY PRESERVE NET

NEUTRALITY PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY PRESERVE NET

NEUTRALITY PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY

94. Christopher Smith, Burbank, CA, 91506
I've worked twenty year in the Internet business, and nothing is more of a threat to my job security than removing the regulatory protections that come from ISP's being classified under Title 2 of the Communications Act. Most of the innovation is going to built on *top* of the Internet, not in the network itself. Without neutrality guarantees, that innovation is threatened.

95. Alex Berg, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I think that ISPs can't be trusted to provide a free and open internet, and that being classified under Title II is the only way to safeguard Net Neutrality. Also, Ajit Pai's coffee mug is dumb.

96. Steve Richards, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Please enforce title 2 and keep the Internet fair and free

97. Jason DeMartino, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. There must be strong public oversight of internet providers and the bright-line must remain in place - I am against blocking, throttling, and paid prioritization for both fixed and mobile broadband in any circumstance where the cost of access could and might be passed on to consumers. I am against it in other scenarios as well.

98. Tracy S London, Burbank, CA, 91505
Please restore net neutrality. An even playing field on the internet is a pillar of a functional democratic republic.

99. GEOFFREY THOMAS, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90038
I fully expect the FCC to act in the best interest of the people and I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

100. Benjamin Peter Beckel, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs in order to sustain a fair, open, and free internet.

101. Michael Klein, Glendale, CA, 91202
I support the preservation of net neutrality and keeping the regulations under Title II.

102. Christina Evans, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Preserve net neutrality and title 2! Allowing service providers to set speed limits and simply trusting that the interests of their users are put first is dangerous and jeopardizes freedom for all. Please publicly support Title II rules. Now is a historical chance to do something bold that protects us all, economically and for our freedom.

103. Ben Gigli, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong Net Neutrality regulations, achieved strictly by keeping ISPs under the FCC Title II regulations. Any attempt to shift any form of ISPs back to Title I regulation will open up the internet to severe anti-competitive forces and corporate malfeasance of the highest order. Do not deregulate or change current net neutrality rules, and keep ISPs under Title II.

104. Derick, burbank, CA, 91501
You need to read this by law, so Can you guy suck a fat bleeding dick? also go fuck yourselves

105. Amy Wohl Foster, Sunland, CA, 91040
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.Thank you

106. Chelsey Goodan, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I think it's vital that we maintain Net Neutrality. Please keep Title II in place. If you don't, this will easily be an example of the Trump administration failing the middle class and protecting big corporations. I won't forget that when I vote.

107. Schuyler Senft-Grupp, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I strongly support government net neutrality rules and specifically regulating ISPs under Title II. Please guarantee an open and free internet for all.

108. Rebecca Robinson, West Hollywood, CA, 90048
Another concerned citizen/consumer here to say I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s.

109. Amy Holland, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's..... The FCC is moving to be a commission that is not interested in preserving the American people's online rights. Under a new administration, the FCC is instead 'selling out' to big business and other special interest groups, and repealing laws put in place during the Obama Administration that protected the people from unjust actions regarding ISPs. The commission under newly appointed Ajit Pai has vowed to ensure ISPs are given more leniency regarding their net neutrality rights. As a taxpayer (who on average pays a higher percentage of taxes than most of these corporations), I demand the Commission to protect strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's. This is both unfair to all citizens and ultimately will stagnate our economy and limit access to information. (I lived in China for three years and I know what an internet rigged for the elite looks like).

110. Catherine Haight, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support net neutrality and title 2. Please protect these on behalf of Americans.

111. Jason Druyan, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Net Neutrality is crucial to a free and open society. Don't be a bunch of cowards.

Stand up for what's right!

112. Michael Mayhew, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I STRONGLY SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY UNDER TITLE II. Massive corporations cannot be trusted to do the right thing without strong regulation. Their goal is to make money. It is the job of the people's government to make sure that net neutrality is preserved. Do your job - PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY UNDER TITLE II.

113. Kathleen Bailey, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please preserve net neutrality under the title II provision.

114. amber frauman, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
We NEED Title II Net Neutrality to keep the Internet a free and open space. We do not want the government nor large corporations meddling in how we choose to use the Internet nor the sites we have access to at what speeds. We want to choose! We want democracy!

115. William Miller, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I am in full support of net neutrality. It must be protected.

116. Aaron Nestor, Glendale, CA, 91205
I would like to ask that the FCC preserve and maintain Net Neutrality as well as its Title II status. Thank you.

117. Eric Larkin, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Net neutrality is essential to our democracy. Preserve net neutrality and Title 2.

118. Ben Gigli, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support maintaining current net neutrality regulations by keeping ISPs regulated under Title II. Reclassifying them to Title I, or otherwise weakening net neutrality rules will open the internet as we know it to severe anti-competitive forces and malfeasance by corporate interests at the extreme expense of the public. Do not reclassify ISPs, keep them regulated under Title II, with strong net neutrality rules.

119. Nicholas Hanna, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I implore the FCC to continue to classify ISPs under Title II and support real and strong net neutrality. I do not trust or believe that private ISPs, which operate in a quasi-monopoly environment in the United States will look out for my best interests as a consumer. If weâ€™ve learned anything, itâ€™s that platform companies use their power and leverage to steer and manipulate consumers, and this is exactly what ISPs will do if they are not regulated.

120. Jonathan Sacks, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I support strong internet neutrality, and the need to retain the regulation of it under Title 2.

121. Temur Topuria Jr., Los Angeles, CA, 90046
John Oliver told me to comment. You know what we want kid.

122. Jerome Cushing, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
Please don't kill our open internet you turds!

123. Andrew Mathieson, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly urge you to preserve Net Neutrality and uphold Title II. The internet-- like America-- thrives on freedom.

124. David Gross, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. Please do not allow large commercial companies to control the Internet.

125. Grace Caploe, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
We support strong net neutrality under Title 2!

126. Robert Morrison, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I support strong net neutrality rules as a public good. Specifically, I support strong Title II regulations of Internet Service Providers under the Communications Act of 1934.ISP's should not be able to meter access to content per their benefit. Gateways to accessing online content should allow equal access for the user based solely on their discretion and choice.

127. Jonathan Buckley, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
The internet should remain a free and open platform for all people, companies, and organizations - not just the large and wealthy. As someone working at a digital startup, I urge the FCC to continue enforcing net neutrality backed by Title II.

128. Shane Black, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I support preserving net neutrality rules and Title 2 regulation of internet service providers.

129. Emily Doty, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Keep the strong net neutrality rules as backed by Title 2! Net neutrality is vital to our society.

130. eric phillips, west hollywood, CA, 90069
I'm for net neutrality. Keep an open internet!

131. Arthur Zeesman, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
The ISPs should remain in the regulatory classification of the internet as a common carrier â€” known as â€œTitle IIâ€ in regulatory language.Do NOT repeal Title II.

132. Whitney Fortmueller, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I would like net neutrality and TItle 2 to be upheld and honored.

133. Lucas Pols, West hollywood, CA, 90069
Please reserve net neutrality and title 2. It will lead to disastrous consequences if we do not. It will be the downfall of small business and Entrepreneurship and will lead to pay for play

134. Jello, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Preserve Net Neturality and Title II but, also more important can you doing something about Szechuan sauce? Cause you see in 1998 they had this promotion for the Disney film Mulan, where they created a new sauce for the McNuggets called Szechuan sauce. We need that back

135. Robert Poe, Burbank, CA, 91504
The Internet has been neutral up until now, so why the change? The only reason would be to favor the wealthy over the poor, the strong over the weak, the politically correct over the non-politically correct. Just as every American needs to have an equal voice in the market place, they must have an equal voice on the internet, especially to protect the small businessperson so that they may have an equal chance to thrive in the free market.

136. jason gross, Burbank, CA, 91506
VERY important that Strong Net Neutrality is backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPsâ€”information shouldn't be controlled by corporations.

137. America, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I demand a preservation of Net Neutrality and uphold the ISP's from leaving Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I will not accept this complete monopoly of ISP's controlling what is now the world's internet.

138. Michael Fischler, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Dear Sirs,I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. Rolling back any recent decisions by the FCC to previous status will corrupt and decay existing services. Allowing self enforcement is allowing greed to dictate propriety.Thank you!

139. Edwin irizarry, los angeles, CA, 90038
I strongly support title 2 oversight of ISP Net Neutrality

140. Michael P Mahaffie, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's PLEASE KEEP THE INTERNET FREE AND OPEN. PLEASE PLEASE DO WHAT IS RIGHT FOR THE AMERICAN PEOPLE

141. Kenneth Young, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
You are supposed to be Public Servants, not Corporate Servants. KEEP THE REGULATIONS THAT PROTECT THE PUBLIC! Corporations have proven over and over that they will put profit ahead of the public welfare. STOP BEING A

CORPORATE TOOL.

142. net neutrality, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The internet should not become a monopoly. Americans have the right to freely choose how they interact on the web. Do not restrict internet usage and allow people choice.

143. SG, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Net neutrality with proper oversight. Don't sell out to your former employer Ajit....

144. Jake Hart, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Writing to voice my support for keeping current net neutrality rules in place under Title II. Everybody needs the internet these days, and to give control of it to those who profit off of it would put the internet's ability to level the playing field at risk.

145. Ian Middleton, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
You all are shameless. If you abolish net-neutrality, history will remember you for the corrupt shills that you are. You are supposed to represent the people- NOT corporations.

146. Ryan Binaco, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support strong Net Neutrality. Companies should not have the option of slowing down internet speeds, for any reason, even if said companies "promise" they won't abuse their power. The FCC should keep strong laws in place. If you remove these laws, companies will see tech issues as possible signs of corporate espionage, then hurl acquisitions at each other and the people will lose trust in both the FCC and the moral integrity of media companies. Keeping Net Neutrality strong is ensuring the trust of the people.

147. Rodolfo Mendoza, Glendale, CA, 91205
Please keep strong Net Neutrality Rules backed by Title II. thank youRodolfo Mendoza

148. Fernando Caire, Glendale, CA, 91208
I support strong net neutrality rules and laws because my ISP, and no other ISP, should be capable of throttling internet speeds of targeted competitors or anyone they see fit to slow down. Even if someone says that is only a "theoretical" situation, they shouldn't have that power to begin with. Net neutrality is under attack by ignorant republicans and I will be damned if I'm going to stand aside and let them do it.

149. Eric Freeman, Glendale, CA, 91201
I AM in support of STRONG Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's!!!!!

150. Tom Wilson, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I want strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Make no mistake!

151. Matt Leonard, Hollywood, CA, 90028
I very much support Net Neutrality backed byÂ Title 2 oversight of ISPs.Obviously, the current regulations have not reduced infrastructure spending nor harmed the public.

152. Shawn Law, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I STRONGLY support Net regulation under Title 2

153. Justin Jones, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support net neutrality and am opposed to the proposed changes in regulation.

154. Jose Valencia, San Fernando, CA, 91202
Hey Ajit Varadaraj Pai,I support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSITE OF ISP'S!.....and my mug is bigger then yours.

155. Davin Skorstad, los angeles, CA, 90046
Preserve Net Neutrality by keeping strong rules backed by Title II.

156. Claire Mattis, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Do the right thing and Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. Thanks!

157. Martha Dietsche, Burbank, CA, 91501
PLEASE keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. IT IS IMPERATIVE

158. scott siedman, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I demand that the FCC preserve Title 2 and protect Net neutrality!

159. austin bonser, west hollywood, CA, 90069
its a sad state of affairs when a commision to protect our rights has to be inundated in such way to get the message. STOP FUCKING WITH OUR INTERNET. DO NOT KILL NET NEUTRALITY.

160. Samuel Cohen, Burbank, CA, 91501
I support net neutrality under Title 2. A free and open internet is a right for all. ISPs should not have the power to choose which content gets more bandwidth.

161. Michelle Glavan, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Please do what's right for the American people and not for corporations, and PRESERVE strong net neutrality rules with the ISP classification under Title II. Mr. FCC Chairman, Ajit Pai, we are watching you. Your solution of ISPs simply "promising" to protect consumers IS NOT GOOD ENOUGH. We know how that turns out. FCC, please protect the American consumer and the our internet.

162. N KEIM, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90039
I support Net Neutrality and keeping ISP's classified as Title II. Please do not relax regulation on ISP's!

163. Brian Hennelly, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
The FCC has a legal duty to preserve net neutrality according to the Telecommunication Act written into law by Congress in 1996 (amendment to 47 U.S.C. Â§151): The law states that the FCC must "make available so far as possible, to all the people of the United States, without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex, rapid, efficient, Nationwide, and world-wide wire and radio communication services with adequate facilities at reasonable charges." Furthermore the most recent publicly available strategic plan of the FCC states regarding broadband access: "All Americans should have affordable access to robust and reliable broadband products and services." The current assault on regulation of communications companies from within the FCC itself and spearheaded by chairman Ajit Pai is an attack on existing law and the principals upon with the FCC was founded by Congress. The FCC works for the people, not the corporations the executives of which are personally close to Mr. Pai. This is a bald faced attempt to enrich Verizon and the other communications giants at the expense of the best interests of the American people.To be clear, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Provider's.

164. Laura Maffeo, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
The internet should be free to everyone regardless of their wealth and status. Information should flow freely and evenly from everywhere. Protect Net Neutrality.

165. Miro Honarchian, Glendale, CA, 91201
Mr. Ajit Pai, I am a strong believer in the concept of net neutrality and I would be greatly disappointed if it were to change.

166. net neutrality, Burbank, CA, 91506
I support Net Neutrality as outlined under Article II. Do not succumb to the pressures of large corporations and your idiot boss.

167. Lee Stein, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I am writing to voice my support in keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Tittle II.

168. Benjamin Willis, Glendale, CA, 91207
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight over ISPs. We can not let this go! Thank you!

169. Vahe Mesropyan, Glendaele, CA, 91206
I am writing to express that internet service providers should remain classified under Title II of the Communications Act. Commissioner Ajit Pai's proposal to reclassifies internet providers' offerings as telecommunications services under Title I of the Communications Act is ridiculous and should not be implemented. There is no justification for the re-lassification other than to allow internet service providers to abuse their dominance and overcharge consumers.

170. Matt Mariska, Glendale, CA, 91206

Iâ€™m worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way theyâ€™re enforced. Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

171. J.B. Bauersfeld, Burbank, CA, 91502
I urge you to preserve net nutrality.

172. Chris Kaye, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please, let's not make a mistake here --- I implore you to keep Title II classifications as is.

173. Stephanie Gangel, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
It is imperative that you preserve and protect net neutrality & title 2. I support a free and open internet. Do not remove or change the net neutrality rules millions of people have already shown massive support for.

174. Kevin Keegan, Burbank, CA, 91506
I honestly don't know how you can take the position that ISPs will voluntarily regulate themselves to ensure equal access to all internet sites. As we speak, t-mobile will exclude the data use of certain services from a users allotment while not excluding others. In essence, t-mobile limits the amount of data you can use from certain services while not limiting others. Nothing prevents t-mobile from decreasing this limit slowly over time until the only sites its users can visit are those approved by t-mobile.Similarly, at&t currently allows unlimited access to media content provided by directv, but limits the access to content from other sources. Thereby stifling competition.I truly don't know how you can be intellectually honest and claim that this type of conduct would not increase without the requirements of net neutrality.Historically, ISPs have largely operated on the basis of net neutrality, ergo, requiring them to continue this conduct should not burden them. However, as more and more service providers continue to merge and buy media companies the temptation to use their status as an ISP to drive traffic to their media holdings will only continue. We must continue the status quo of net neutrality.

175. Arlene Calhoun, Burbank, CA, 91504
I wish to express my support for maintaining net neutrality and Title II rules enforced by the FCC. Thank you.

176. Matthew Stubstad, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please preserve and protect new neutrality and title 2!

177. Patrick Baker, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I'm writing to urge you to to keep Net Neutrality exactly as it is right now, and to keep Title II as the protections of that open internet. Mr. Pai's status as a former lawyer for Verizon makes his desire to change the standing rules incredibly transparent and clearly not in the interest of the American people, but rather in the interest of his old boss. The FCC exists for all Americans, not just Verizon, AT&T, and Comcast. Please act like it. Leave Net Neutrality exactly as it is.

178. Nancy Smith, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Please do not move away from the principle of net neutrality. The internet is a public resource and service providers should not be allowed an unfair advantage.

179. Sarah Bay Gachot, los angeles, CA, 90027
Dear FCC, Net neutrality is essential. Competing good service should not be about favoring the content that pays the service provider the most, it should be about the quality of the content itself. This is just how things should go, please - as a consumer, I would hope you understand that this is how content gets better and more interesting. Sincerely, Sarah

180. Barry Wernick, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
The internet is the most democratic and ubiquitous means of communication ever invented -- developed via American tax payers' dollars, by the way. There is no way one can participate and work in a 21st century world without it, making it the clearest example of a utility there can be. I strongly urge you to strengthen, not weaken, internet rules for net neutrality by safeguarding it under Title II . Please don't allow an oligopoly to privatize the public commons.

181. Henry Moskowitz, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. It's crazy that we're still even talking about this.

182. Sandra Waring, Burbank, CA, 91504
Please support preserving strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Any other action is an obvious support against consumers and backs corporations in an obvious money grab.

183. Net Neutrality, Glendale, CA, 91202
I am vehemently in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Access to the internet should be fair for all. No company should have the right to restrict or throttle access to the internet. Net neutrality is the underlying principle that the internet was founded on and should be preserved.

184. Dan Barry, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Ajit Pie is disgraceful. Verizon is Greedy. Donald Trump is a lying sack of crap.

185. Matthew Reis, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
To Whom It May Concern,Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. The internet needs to be a place where large corporations are equal to any living person. Throwing off that balance and leaving corporations to police themselves is a recipe for disaster and could turn the internet into a place of haves and have-nots.Please keep the internet free and equal. Preserve Net Neutrality.Thank you.Matthew Reis

186. Mike Nelson, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. PLEASE!! This is important for everyone in this country to be equal when it comes to utilizing the internet.

187. Joshua Rice, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Do NOT 'weedwack' regulations preserving net neutrality and Title II. These protections are good for consumers and removing them makes absolutely no sense, seeing as though Verizon itself has said that increased regulations have no impact on their investment strategies. Stop being whores for corporate and actually do the right thing for once in your life you smug piece of shit, Ajit Pai.

188. RITA KO, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90046
I SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISP'S!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

189. Brennan Foti, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Please preserve the Net Neutrality and Title 2 from our current idiotic government who wishes to do away with it. They do not have the best interest of the people in mind.

190. Robert M. Ryan, Pasadena, CA, 91105
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 classification of ISPs. Do not recategorize ISPs under title 1, nor do anything else to undermine strong net neutrality.

191. shaughn buchholz, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please keep Net Neutrality under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 for ISPs!! I am deeply concerned and worried that Ajit Pai is working to reduce regulations in order to increase profits for himself and his friends at Verizon. We must keep regulations in order to reign in the current state of our pure-for-profit administration. Thank you.

192. Adam Hauck, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support retaining the Title 2 protections currently in place, which guarantee a free and open Internet. Changes to net neutrality rules by this body, would endanger the public interest and free expression on the internet.

193. Shawn Waugh, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I urge you to maintain the current Net Neutrality standards under Article II. There is no precedent for ISPs to voluntarily provide equal access to websites or data. Reorganizing under Article I will damage consumers.

194. Lisa Hansen, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I am in supporting net neutrality and title 2. Everyone should have equal access to the internet. It should not be left up to for-profit companies to do this.

195. Savannah Brentnall, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. DO NOT sell out small businesses and consumers by removing this protection. Net neutrality is a key factor in allowing the creation and growth of small online business and as a business owner AND a consumer, I completely oppose any attempts to remove it.

196. Mason Hawthorne, Glendale, CA, 91208
Protect Net Neutrality. Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

197. Josiah Jenkins, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am writing today to support net neutrality, particularly by regulating internet service providers as the utilities that they functionally are. Allowing ISPs to simply put something about non-obstruction in their terms of service is not a strong-enough measure. The internet is a modern marvel, and net neutrality is the tool that allowed it to be built. Please do not allow the internet to be captured by private interests at the expense of the public good.

198. Laura Terry, Los Angeles, CA, 90036
Net neutrality is essential to our continuing to live in a free and democratic nation. Any attempt or move to allow corporations to handcuff our individual choices is anarchistic and borderline communist. Please, please continue to fight the good fight on behalf of a nation that relies on FREEDOM to function.

199. arax terovsepyan, Glenoaks, CA, 91201
Protect net neutrality - leave title 2 alone.

200. David Bonine, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
It is unreasonable and irresponsible to expect internet service providers to preserve net neutrality on their own. We must preserve net net neutrality with title II support.

201. Andrei Marinescu, Pasadena, CA, 91103
I am in strong support of Net Neutrality and am opposed to initiatives that make it harder for any citizens to access & use the internet OR that make citizens' personal information and metadata freely available to corporate buyers. These include fast-lane initiatives and any other initiatives intended to track and sell internet users' browsing history or behavior.

202. Joshua Haglund, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I support strong net neutrality. FCC should keep Internet service providers under Title II (2).I am a software engineer and have been building on the Internet for 20 years.

203. Denis Zalalov, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Please keep net neutrality alive!!!! Don't let corporate greed takeover our amazing and open exchange of information. The internet is much too amazing of a discovery to just shrug it over to CEOs with deep pockets. Uphold title 2 and net neutrality!!!

204. Griffin Taylor, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Please uphold the interests of the people and don't get rid of net neutrality #gofccyourself

205. T. Gumprecht, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support net neutrality with title 2 ISP oversight. It's the most important aspect of

net neutrality as it keeps things fair for everyone.

206. Michael Rodriguez, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I am writing to request keeping Net Neutrality and keeping under Title 2. Free market and fairness are seriously at stake.

207. Kalley F Olson, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Maintain net neutrality! Keep Title II. Let's not create another monopoly.

208. Henry Crum, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Dear FCC,Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by a Title II classification for Internet Service Providers (ISPs). The primary responsibility of the US Federal government is to protect it's citizens -- not facilitate the concentration of monopolies and corporate power. The only way to keep ISP's honest is to make sure they operate in a robust regulatory environment with strong oversight.Thank you for taking the time to read my request. Sincerely,HF Crum

209. Christopher Walsh, Glendale, CA, 91205
Please don't change change Net Neutrality rules. The internet is tremendous as is. I urge you to please keep the Title II rules in place.

210. John Washington, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Under no circumstances do we need to relax the current net neutrality rules. The FCC needs protect the free internet and retain the Title II status. We need a free and open internet with equal access to all information more now more than ever before. Please consider the consequences. There is no valid argument against Title II classification. Protect the internet!

211. Stephen Steelman, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I Support STRONG Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not do away with Net Neutrality! Keep the open internet!

212. Zechariah Hutton, Burbank, CA, 91504
I strongly Urge the FCC to maintain current Net Neutrality regulations. Keep the ISPs classified as Title 2 utilities.

213. Eber, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
I strongly support strong net Neutrality backed by title two over site i believe it is point less to make A "open internet" when it is already being monopolized by big business.

214. christopher angulo, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
For the good of the American citizen, the government should protect net neutrality at all costs. It embodies the spirit of freedom that our country represented in the past and needs to stand by so that we may regain our reputation as freedom lovers and perpetuators.

215. Madeline Ardillo, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
The defense of net neutrality is as important as the defense of our education and journalism systems in this country. A free and fair internet allows for the transfer of information and culture that is assisting in breaking down barriers and encouraging the general public to understand one another in a turbulent time. All that AND cat videos. Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2.

216. Wayne Norman, Burbank, CA, 91504
As usual the conservatives want to control the message and the best way is to commoditize the internet and force dissenting opinion off the internet By making content available at a price, it eliminates anyone or company from free competition and allows only those who are in good standing with conservatives and the tyrannical administration free, unlimited access to the internet. Any company or person who challenges the administration and conservatives will be charged exorbitant fees to access the internet and thus eliminate them from expressing the truth about the corruption and cronyism of government.Eliminating net neutrality will also allow Mr. Pai to secure a highly lucrative executive position within the telecommunications industry as soon as he completes this immense power grab.Net Neutrality must be a first amendment right, not subject to the whims of government officials and their cronies. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s,

217. Nathan Sawyer, Glendale, CA, 91203
I support strong net neutrality regulation via title 2 classification and oversight of ISP's.

218. Harry Hannigan, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I support net neutrality and encourage the FCC to retain the legal footing provided under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Thank you.

219. Davey Cooperwasser, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Net Neutrality is extremely important to the framework of the internet in that it allows business's, programs, content, products and all that's commercially viable compete on fair and level playing field. Internet Service Providers should not be allowed to collude with the government to discriminate or charge differentially by user, content, website, platform, application, type of attached equipment, or mode of communication based upon the interest of said ISP and/or government. I urge the FCC and all those that have made their proverbial way to the top of it (Ajit Pai) to keep ISP's classified under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Thank you.

220. R. Winch, Glendale, CA, 91206
I support Net Neutrality under Title II oversight of ISP's

221. David Abbate, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Do not allow the FCC chairman to reverse net neutrality. Leave ISP's under title 2. Claiming title 2 regulation inhibits "growth" is an excuse to remove regulations in an attempt to open the door to a pay-to-play business model.

222. Noah Schechter, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I want to register my strong support of continuing to regulate net neutrality rules under Title II. I support a free and open internet and do not believe that the companies, left to their own devices and absent strong regulation will maintain it for us.

223. George Cochrane, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I am writing in support of strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. The value and function of the internet, as well as all of the tax-paying business that operate on it, rely heavily on Net Neutrality. Any changes to this system will be a massive drain on the US economy, stemming growth and killing countless jobs.Ajit Pai clearly does not understand (or chooses to ignore) the destructive ramifications of his stance on Net Neutrality, and I recommend that he be removed from his position at the FCC.

224. Christopher, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I strongly support filing ISPs under Title II. True Net Neutrality is a vital freedom in the modern era. The Internet should be governed by the same rules as other basic communication avenues, like phones, radio, etc. To not do so would be giving ISPs, who already have a semi-monopolisitic control over providing internet access in the vast majority of this country, dictatorial-like powers over what content their users have access to. Concentrating even more power and wealth in the hands of fewer and fewer media companies would only serve to restrict our freedoms and stifle us economically, culturally, and politically. Please, do the right thing -- the thing that you know in your heart is best for the American people -- and keep ISPs under Title II restrictions.

225. Nicola Caruso, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please maintain strong enforcement of net neutrality rules and ideals by keeping in place the reclassification of ISPs under Title II. This reclassification forms the bedrock of a free and open internet, which will become even more essential to our society in the years to come.

226. Raul Angeles Jalmaani Jr., Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I specifically support Title II Oversight of ISPs.

227. Ryan Faja, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I strongly urge the FCC to uphold the Obama administration's and the FCC's rulings (i.e. the change of ISPs from Title I to Title II) in regards to net neutrality, and the open internet. By changing these rulings (and removing ISPs from Title II) will be detrimental to an open internet, American innovation and the economy.

228. Caitlyn Larimore, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Hello,I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight by ISPs, and you should too. Do the right thing.Please stop the selfish, money-grabbing hackery of freedom on the internet. Thank you.

229. Micki Taylor, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please, please protect net neutrality and keep the current Title 2 regulations of the Communications Act. Thank you!

230. Collin LaFleche, Glendale, CA, 91205
To whom it may concern:The internet is not a luxury. It is a staple of life in the 21st century. It must be accessible to all, especially the average American. As has been shown in every other industry throughout this country's history, companies will abuse the rules whenever it benefits them. They must be regulated. The internet is a utility. Please stop playing into the hands of the corporations that only want to maximize profits.Thank you.

231. Vivian, Los Angeles, CA, 90048
Dear Ajit Pai,Protecting net neutrality is VITAL. I support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I will be watching closely how you treat this issue.Vivian Cannon

232. Gregory L Loebell, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Dear FCC,I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not change the laws regarding net neutrality. Agit Pai, please listen to what Americans want, not what corporate ISPs want.

233. Jeff Cox, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Protect Net Neutraility and Title 2!

234. David Scarborough, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I'm writing to defend net neutrality. Network providers should be classified under Title 2 and regulated as public utilities. Network providers should not be collecting private data. Network providers should not have the ability to throttle the speed of access with respect to content or content provider.The current proposals of the FCC are wrong. Do not change the FCC classification of network providers from Title 2. Maintain the decision made by the previous FCC.

235. Justin Briones, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Please do not end net neutrality. We want it here and Title 2! End net neutrality will only hurt the consumers and larger corporations will profit from its end. The Internet is for all of us and we need to keep it that way. Please listen to what the people want. You have a responsibility to do so.

236. Mikael Mossberg, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
There is a reason why internet companies and internet consumers alike disagree with what you're doing. Do not do this.

237. Alex, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Do not allow corporations to have influence over which websites load quicker. This is a deeply unpopular idea and if passed we will collectively make sure everyone who voted for it is out of the House but quick.

238. Brandon, Burbank, CA, 91504
Please Keep ISP's under Title 2. Please support Strong Net neutrality Rules!

239. Joseph Nagy, Burbank, CA, 91506
Please, please, please protect the net neutrality rulings. We obviously cannot count on companies to "promise" that they will be fair once net neutrality has been admonished. All they would have to do is cross their fingers when they promise it. ;)

240. Patrick Janssen, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I STRONGLY support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. It is insane that the public even has to ask for this. Please please stop trying to strip away a free internet.

241. Brad Korba, Glendale, CA, 91202
I am requesting that the FCC maintain Title II requirements on ISPs and mandate Net Neutrality rules. The last-mile business is the farthest thing from competitive. I live in a major metropolitan area and I have 1 choice for my internet service (AT&T). When the internet is a requirement in daily life (such as applying for a job) and the government has granted regional monopoly status to ISPs, they must be held to standards that prevent them from interfering with what content travels across their networks. They cannot be a dumb-pipe to absolve themselves from any illicit content on their network, while simultaneously picking and choosing which content gets priority on the same network.Thank you.

242. Evan Bernardin, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please keep strong Net Neutrality rules in place backed by Title II. I want an open and free internet for all people. I also want to maintain my ability to have private internet search history that won't be sold to the highest bidder. Thank you.

243. Regan Messenger, Burbank, CA, 91504
The internet is an important part of everyday society and as time progresses, has become a more and more important aspect of everyday life. It is with that express reason that it needs to remain a free and open form of communication. We can't allow our information, news, interests, hobbies, or even way of life to be controlled by corporations. So it is on this stance that I strongly urge for the FCC to keep with its current policies and do not allow for outside interests to control the flow of the internet.

244. Matthew Yeakley, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Title II is important to me, I would really prefer that ISPs were treated as a public utility, but short of that remaining under the purview of Title II is the next best thing.

245. Mike Van Gorder, Glendale, CA, 91204
We need net neutrality as guaranteed by title two of the 1934 FCC. REGULATION IS IMPORTANT TO PREVENT ABUSE BY PEOPLE AND CORPORATIONS WHO ALREADY HOLD DISPROPORTIONATE INFLUENCE.

246. Chad Callaghan, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
You must continue to classify internet under Title 2. There is no reason to allow providers who are also content creators the ability to restrict speed and information flow at their discretion. The internet must be open, the pipelines do not belong to the providers.

247. Marc Ferrara, Burbank, CA, 91502
Title 2 Net Neutrality must remain. It is a blow to the American people to change this.

248. Jillian Cohen, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
The internet is the most democratic and ubiquitous means of communication ever invented -- developed via American tax payers' dollars. There is no way one can participate and work in a 21st century world without it, making it the clearest example of a utility there can be. I strongly urge you to strengthen, not weaken, internet rules for net neutrality by safeguarding it under Title II . Please don't allow an oligopoly to privatize the public commons.

249. Richard W Riveire, Hollywood, CA, 90068
This is not internet freedom. Net Neutrality means that all are treated equally and moving back to Title 1 is not in the consumers' best interest. Retain the existing Title 2 regulation and do not put the internet in the hands of large corporations.

250. Rebecca Hardy, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Distinguished members of the FCC,Please, I implore you to support net neutrality. To do otherwise would be to support a dangerous form of censorship, to deprive many Americans the free and unbiased access to information that they deserve. We have a chance to be leaders in this issue, rather than a country that closes its mind as well as its borders. I can not stress how terrified I am of living in a world where even the way I access information on the internet is not within my control.Please.Regards,Rebecca

251. Shari Martenson, Burbank, CA, 91506
I support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. We need to preserve net neutrality and Title 2. Allowing the industry to police and/or regulate itself would be disastrous for consumers.

252. Jesse Gustafson, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY ALREADY

253. Kaycee Felton-Lui, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
As an American citizen, I support strong net neutrality backed by TITLE II oversight of ISPs. This is crucial to maintaining a free and open internet.

254. Fred C, Burbank, CA, 91501
Please do not give away our neutrality that will gave away for speech impeachment from corporations. This is a small step in creating a dictatorship.

255. Rigoberto Romero, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Preserve strict regulations and oversight of Net Neutrality and Title II

256. title2, Burbank, CA, 91505
An internet which is truly free and unthrottled by ISP's or other private company's self interests is integral to a modern open fully functioning society. I cannot believe that any changes to these protections is anything other than private business interests swaying this governmental body at the detriment of the public's interest. Please keep current Net neutrality Title II regulations intact. I ask you this as a voter, taxpayer and as a American. Thank you.

257. Scott Chapin, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I urge you to re-consider moving ISPs back from Title 2 to Title 1 status - Net Neutrality is necessary for a free and developing Internet. Thank you.

258. Mike Orton, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Preserve Net Neutrality and Titille Il

259. Nolen Tabner, Glendale, CA, 91205
I ask that the FCC chooses to maintain Net Neutrality and keep it's classification as Title II.

260. Nick Schenk, los angeles, CA, 90039
I support Title 2 and wish it to stay intact.Do not strip net neutrality.

261. Chris Walker, Burbank, CA, 91501
I support Net Neutrality under Title II backed by title oversight of Internet Service Providers. It allows and provides the continuation of the internet being and equal playing field while having little to know negative effect on the ISPs.

262. Theo Burkhardt, La Canada, CA, 91011
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversights of ISP. Save Net Neutrality!!!!!

263. Elayne Sawaya, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please MAINTAIN Net Neutrality Rules. Do not Let ISPs decide or determine anything about our internet content. Do the Job that the FCC was intended to do.....Protect the rights of US Citizens.

264. Rachel Crouch, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support keeping net neutrality rules backed by Title II. The effects of erasing net neutrality rules already in place could seriously hurt the media industry and threaten the livelihoods of those who work not only at streaming services but those creating the content for those streaming services. Erasing these rules will almost surely result in huge abuses of power by ISPs and effectively hold the content of the internet hostage in order to profit only a few.

265. Sheldon Lee, Glendale, CA, 91201
I would like to keep net neutrality available for the long run. It is far too important for both the stability of the net and the ability for new businesses to have a fighting chance in an ever growing field.

266. tony muro, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Dear Mr. Pai. "Internet freedom" is everything but. As an American citizen, I expect my freedoms to be for the individual, NOT for greedy corporations. Everything I've seen you say about this issue in interviews are, essentially, flat out lies to propagate a push towards giving large corporations unfair advantage over smaller ones on the internet. Everything about this spells failure, and your name and face and your horrible mug are all attached to this attempt to make the free and open internet a slave to a few corporations. This is a bad idea and I hope you reconsider your stance or we will march, protest and keep writing you until a free and open internet is restored. Thank you for your time.

267. David K, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
I am writing to to express my support for net neutrality. The internet has arguably become the primary source of information for anyone with access to it. No one should be able to limit or control what we are able to see unless we voluntarily agree to such terms on an individual basis and have full transparency on how it's being limited/controlled. While, in theory, controlling the presentation of content could be useful (e.g. weeding out fake news and etc.), there is too much room for abuse. It is for the user to choose how to navigate the internet, what to consume and what to make of it.

268. Felicitas Yang, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I support stronger regulations for ISPs, and ISPs should be kept under Title II.

269. Brian Frisch, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
To take away the right to net neutrality is to take away our freedom of choice and free enterprise. Please reconsider the notion of eliminating net neutrality. We need the internet to not be treated with bias in order to create a level playing field for all entertainment, news, and other groups. Any position that contradicts net neutrality is a direct attack on our freedoms as an American citizen.

270. Anush, Glendale, CA, 91204
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP. STOP trying to fix what is not broken and push your personal agendas !!!Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II !!!

271. Shane Cumings, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
ISPs should continue to be regulated under Title II. I oppose any reduction to net neutrality.

272. Diana Wagman, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Keep net neutrality under Title II. Ajit Pai is not to be believed.

273. Christopher Smith, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Dear FCC,I support Net Neutrality and regulating ISPs though Title 2. Moreover, we should enhance Net Neutrality protections not degrade them. I strongly abhor Ajit Pai and his obviously self-interested stance on deregulating internet protections. He is a terrible leader and ethics quagmire.Best,CS

274. Robert Roth, Burbank, CA, 91506
The internet needs the protection of the Net Neutrality rules and Title II. Do not change the system that is working to protect consumers!

275. Rachel Walker, los angeles, CA, 90046
It's EXTREMELY crucial that net neutrality is maintained and kept strong backed by Title II !!!!!!

276. Gio C, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Hi! I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. We all need this we all want this.

277. Kevin Folgar, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Preserve net neutrality. Last week, tonight and forever

278. Hillary Benefiel, Glendale, CA, 91203
Keep Net Neutrality rules strong and keep the internet classified under Title II. Please stop lying to the American people -- we already told you how we feel about this!

279. Patrick Carroll, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Don't screw this up!

280. Stephen Sawran, Pasadena, CA, 91105
I would like to strongly urge you, the FCC, to please keep strong net neutrality rules baked by Title II.

281. Paul Brunsting, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Internet service providers (ISPs) cannot limit, slow down access to, or otherwise manipulate the choices that consumers make online by slowing down access to less popular sites. In short, they have to treat all websites as equal.

282. Joshua Rubin, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Do not turn your back on net neutrality, you corrupt big-mug drinking twit.

283. Elliott Maynes, Burbank, CA, 91504
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. To remove the promise of strong Net Neutrality is to deny freedom of individuals to express themselves, spread ideas, and conduct business. It is truly un-American to remove Net Neutrality.

284. andrew edelstein, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Please do not change the current status of net neutrality. Please leave under current Title 2 governance.

285. Zachary Hayden, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I am in support of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do everything you can to support and uphold Net Neutrality.

286. Casey Hunter, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Protect and preserve net neutrality and title 2!

287. Dane Story, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I am writing to express my extreme support of Net Neutrality with support of Title ll. Please keep these important regulations in place for a true free and open internet. As a small business owner, who relies heavily on connectivity and using the internet as a platform to share work and communicate, I can't imagine trying to compete with bigger companies who can pay for better access to their sites. Do the right thing for the people of this country and don't give in to the interests of the ISPs, who are financially solvent and growing - even under current Title ll regulations. Rolling this back will create massive unrest and could break many people economically if you don't do the right thing.

288. Johnathan Robinson, Glendale, CA, 91205
I am writing to express my strong support for Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight over ISPs.

289. Charles Haddaway, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
We support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's

290. Peter Malick, Glendale, CA, 91202
Removing Net Neutrality is nothing more than a power and money grab by huge corporations. It will stifle competition and harm consumers. Further, it will force small and medium sized businesses onto an unfair playing field. This bill harms the entities it purports to assist. Very deceptive piece of legislation that should be rejected.

291. lisa neidhardt, los angeles, CA, 90031
I am writing in support of strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. We need this in place and cannot leave it to corporations to self regulate, and maintain 'fairness' over their own potential profits.

292. Sarah West, Los Angeles, CA, 90031
I am writing to strongly oppose the dismantling of the net neutrality rules and Title II authority used to enforce them. I disagree with Commissioner Pai's assertion that throttling of websites and applications would be good for internet users, nor do I agree with the assertion by some ISPs that the reclassification would have little effect on net neutrality. I am deeply concerned that the proposed changes to FCC

policy would result in an internet that is less open and less free, one in which ISPs would have the ability to prioritize content based on its commercial value rather than its value to the public. Moreover, I take issue with the proposal to remove reporting obligations requiring ISPs to disclose hidden fees and data caps. I strongly support the requirements that ISPs disclose this information to consumers.

293. michael andrade, los angeles, CA, 90029
We want an open internet, net neutrality should stay title 2.

294. ryan, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
Strongly support Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's

295. Roy, Burbank, CA, 91501
Relax rules on net neutrality will lead to abuse by companies and a strangle hold on communication and well as commerce. They should remain title two entities.

296. Harry Perry III, Burbank, CA, 91506
PLEASE preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. These protections are there for a reason and the reason is the People. Please hear us.

297. Henry Steelhammer, Burbank, CA, 91501
We need strong net neutrality backed by tier II oversight of ISP's. Preserve a fair and open internet

298. norah gomes, Los angeles, CA, 90038
Net neutrality is essential to a free internet. Please don't let the monopoly of terrible internet service providers control my viewing experience.

299. Alex Blume, BURBANK, CA, 91504
It's very important to me that the internet remain neutral. And the the rules remain under title II. I do not trust any corporation to regulate itself. It has been made very clear in several situations that corporations will abuse their lack of regulations and prevent the general public from getting information they deserve freely. Also the "infamous" Reese's peanut butter cup mug is stupid.

300. Mario Sellitti, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I strongly oppose changes to net neutrality protections under title II. And my mug is bigger than yours. #gofccyourself #mymugisbigger

301. Evelyn Warner, La Canada, CA, 91011
Preserve net neutrality - keep ISPs under Title II!

302. Jeff M. Berry, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Reagan used to make comments about how the Soviets were so bad at innovation and idea-generation because they only consumed media from a few, nationally-approved sources. There simply wasn't a forum for the free expression of ideas, which condemned them to failure and stagnation. Look where they ended up. If you

take away net neutrality (and, let's be clear, that is what this is about no matter what you say), you're basically condemning the US to the same mistake. The internet is where ideas can either gain traction or die because it is a truly democratic place. If you effectively make it "pay for play", only ideas sponsored by people with big dollars will ever see the light of day. Bad idea for our democracy. I will vote in 2018 based on the outcome of this one issue.

303. Mick T Lauter, Apt D, CA, 91501
I would like to fully express my support for continued Net Neutrality, backed by Title II, to keep one of the last true remaining bastions of free speech truly free. We don't need MORE corporate influence on the information we receive and how we receive it. In this point and time in our country, we are already divided by how money has influenced our viewpoints. Net Neutrality creates a bipartisan system in which ALL perspectives are freely available at the same rate to those who seek them. I urge the FCC to strike down the "Internet Freedom Act", which clearly puts the financial desires of corporations above the needs of the public.

304. Patrick Shore, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please support Net Neutrality and keep the internet classified as a Title II Utility! The name of the bill is a complete lie and I can't believe officials that supposedly "represent the people" could betray us like this so much. Use common sense. This bill, if passed, is bad for the global economy in the long term.

305. Katy Ruby, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Dear Mr. Ajit Pai,I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Do not roll back or kill net neutrality rules.Please do the right thing for the people, not the agenda. Go down in history as someone who stood up for net neutrality. Let that be your legacy.Thank you,Katy

306. Khurram, Glendale, CA, 91203
I support net neutrality under the protection of title two. Also, tell Mr. Pai to get rid of his stupid mug since he can't do much about that ummm let's just say "smile" to be polite.Thank you

307. ROBERT W. JOSEPH, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I am writing urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. The ISP providers do not have the right to randomly choose who gets god internet access and who doesn't.

308. Alex Teslik, Glendale, CA, 91201
"...promote the general Welfare, and secure the Blessings of Liberty to ourselves and our Posterity...". Net neutrality is in the best interests of the people, regardless of their financial ability. We must keep access to knowledge and it's power equal for all.

309. Jason Fordham, Glendale, CA, 91208
I am writing to oppose any change to the status of ISPs that would affect Net

Neutrality.Secretary Pai's big mug doesn't hide his conflict of interest, or the disingenuousness - no, dishonesty - of his remarks on Net Neutrality. He knows perfectly well that categorizing ISPs under Title 2 is a better legal footing than what he proposes. He also knows that the 2014 Net Neutrality decision did not discourage Verizon from investing in infrastructure, despite his claims to the contrary. The FCC's role includes "ensuring an appropriate competitive framework for the unfolding of the communications revolution", and to achieve that, it MUST preserve Net Neutrality. Anything else is to yield to corruption that would balkanize the Internet in the service of the Big Telco's dreams of growing profits by capturing consumers with the Telco's content.Since there is no possible justification for changing the rules. It would be a disservice to the people of the United States, and only the Telcos would benefit. The Internet must be maintained as a Commons, not a collection of fiefdoms with tolls at the crossing points. Net Neutrality must be preserved.

310. Simon Heuer, Burbank, CA, 91501
PLEASE preserve net neutrality under title 2.

311. joshua schmulewitz, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please ensure we keep net neutrality and allow Title 2 to remain as the classification. It would be a terrible move to allow this to be changed.

312. Ezekiel Isbell, Burbank, CA, 91504
I fully and specifically support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I completely support keeping the current regulations in place, that enforce Net Neutrality, including Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers.

313. Net Neutrality, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support strict net neutrality backed by title II oversite of ISPs. Net neutrality is extremely important to me and many others. Title II helps protect neutrality. Do not get rid of this regulation.

314. Nicole Stokman, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
we must preserve net neutrality and title 2!!!

315. Matthew Feige, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Hi. I am writing because maintaining Net Neutrality and Upholding Title 2 are extremely important and if the FCC does not do this there will be no reason for the FCC to exist. We will all become vulnerable to having our outlook and intake altered by ISPs who deserve no rights a cultural arbiters. Please uphold Title 2 and Net Neutrality, you are our only hope.

316. Phinneas Kiyomura, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Putting ISPs onto Title I will lead to a catastrophic lack of oversight which would cripple net neutrality.

317. Mark Fernandez, Burbank, CA, 91501
Dear FCC and Mr. Ajit Pai...This is a note, to let you know that I support strong net neutrality backed by the Title II oversight of ISP. Please do not allow large commercial companies (like Verizon, Mr. Pai's former employee) to control the Internet.

318. Alberto Abril, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Do not undo Net Neutrality!! ISPs should not have the power to choose which content gets priority, specifically in a world where they are buying media companies have a vested interest in pushing their own products to consumers. Consumers already have little to no say in who delivers internet to their companies and homes - giving these monopolies more power is stupid and dangerous. Do your job and protect the people of this country from companies that care to do us harm.

319. Rick Adams, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please, Please, Please, Preserve Net Neutrality & Title II. Do the right thing...Be a Hero not a Zero!!Please & Thank You,Rick Adams

320. Courtney P, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Please keep net neutrality in place! Don't allow corporations to control our internet based on what will be most profitable to them. Mother of god, isn't anyone in this country SANE anymore?? Or is everything just about turning a profit?

321. Greg Wiatroski, Glendale, CA, 91208
I feel strongly that the FCC should preserve the Net Neutrality protections under Title II of the of the Communications Act of 1934. The industry cannot be trusted to police itself on this matter. There is too much at stake.

322. Marc Stevens, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Let's keep the internet in the hands of the people, not the ISPs. They are not trustworthy for self-regulation.

323. Stephen Gilarde, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
It is my belief, and the belief of many, that a free and open internet is an integral and undeniable part of modern democracy. We have seen time and again how the internet can be utilized for positive change, and the free flow of information unaffected by potentially malicious influence is an absolute necessity.

324. Denis Kosiak, Glendale, CA, 91206
I strongly would like to preserve net neutrality and title 2. A large part of my business depends on the current state of the internet.

325. Andrew Hendren, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I am writing you to express my support of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

326. Allison Charles, Burbank, CA, 91501

I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s!!!!!!!!!!!!

327. Duncan Reed, los angeles, CA, 90068
keep equal access for the internet. keep net neutrality as it is and keep the playing field level for all. keep title 2 rules in place. I support strong net neutrality.

328. Isaac Ravishankara, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I am writing you to implore you to do everything possible to preserve Net Neutrality in the upcoming hearing, debates, and possible regulation changes regarding the issue. The internet needs to be considered a fair and open channel of communication in order to maintain our democratic equality in modern society.

329. Todd Moyer, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I think that it is absolutely essential that ISPs remain under title II. All of the arguments commonly used against Net Neutrality are either logical fallacies or based on incorrect (alternative) facts. The fact is that there exists a huge profit motive for ISPs to kill net neutrality as this would give them the power to pick winners and losers in the competitive market of internet-enabled applications. No explanation that I have heard against regulating internet access as a common carrier suitably addresses the potential for misuse of this power.As a software engineer who as been building internet applications since the very beginning of the internet, I can very strongly attest to the need for Net Neutrality, as the loss of such neutrality may very well have negatively impacted many of the start-ups I have worked for in the past.

330. Leila Shakerchi, Glendale, CA, 91202
Please maintain internet neutrality and keep it part of Title 2

331. Eric Davies, Glendale, CA, 91205
I am completely opposed to changing any rules on net neutrality. Free and open internet facilitates free speech and a free market, if companies are given the opportunity to monopolize data and other information it won't go unnoticed by the public and the country will have a host of larger economic and social issues to contend with as a result. I think there are enough protests going on already so lets not add this to the list.

332. Adam Miller, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support preserving the current Net Neutrality rules and the classification of ISPs under Title 2. It is my wish that the FCC keeps these rules and continues to provide oversight for a fair internet experience.

333. Marc Thyng, burbank, CA, 91506
I support net neutrality. Please do not change our internet. Giving the cable companies the ability to throttle the speed of competition will lead to less competition and less competition is bad for consumers like myself and I like myself.

334. Eric Parren, Los Angeles, CA, 90026

I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs! Don't mess with out internet. We need the internet to be as free and open as possible.

335. Maria Montez, Burbank, CA, 91506
I implore you to preserve Net Neutrality and Title IIWithout it Americans stand to lose.Thank you

336. Kevin Pham, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please preserve net neutrality. This is the last frontier to be perverted by large corporations for their own gain.

337. Kate Currier, Hollywood, CA, 90028
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2!! We like our internet just the way it is. We need to remain a free society, and allowing any kind of delayed or denied access to the internet, or any part of it, will make us on par with Russia. Or North Korea. And you don't want that, do you?

338. Rachael Paradis, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I support Net Neutrality and Title II. Don't let large corporations dictate how I browse the internet!

339. Victor Stone, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I am strong backer of Net Neutrality as supported by Title II - please do NOT change that

340. Michael Freeman, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Preserve Net Neutrality! All data online should be treated the same and not be subject to the whims of massive unthinking corporations!

341. Colin Ryan, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I am writing in support of strong net neutrality regulations, backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. Despite their (decidedly non-binding) assurances about a free and open internet, ISPs have time and again decided on profitability at the expense of consumer choice and satisfaction, not to mention network speed and reliability. Without the strong regulatory foundation afforded by Title II, ISPs will find paid prioritization schemes far too lucrative to resist. These companies are under no obligation to act in the public interest, but you are. So act like it.

342. Jason Dolla, los angeles, CA, 90026
Iâ€™m worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way theyâ€™re enforced. I ask that you work to preserve net neutrality and Title II.

343. Robert Cross, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I'm expressing my 100% support of net neutrality. To not sustain this standard is a disgusting travesty of exploitative and unfettered crony capitalism.

344. Michael Kaiser, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I am opposed to the plan to change ISP regulation standards from Title 2 back to Title 1. The argument that the Title 2 change has curtailed development is unfounded and the idea of effective self-regulation is ludicrous.

345. Jennifer Heard, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Please protect Neutrality by keeping the Title 2 designation.

346. Jamie Rosengard, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I believe it is of vital importance for the FCC to maintain net neutrality and keep cable companies under strict Title 2 provisions.

347. Gregory F Garstka, Glendale, CA, 91203
The FCC must preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. This is extremely important to myself and millions of others, Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Thank You!

348. Kristen Vaurio, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Dear Chairman Pai and the FCC,I am a documentary filmmaker who relies heavily on the open flow of information both to carry out my job, and also from subjects I cover. The internet has been the most democratic communication tool ever created and was designed as such by its founders. In the U.S. the internet has largely been a free and open platform allowing equal access to internet content for consumers, a true marketplace of ideas, and competition redefining economic and political realities. The current consideration of removing Title II protections and net neutrality would directly threaten that.The reclassification of the internet under Title II has been the most concrete effort taken to this point to make sure that the internet content used by citizens to access and share information can not be affected by internet service providers. This must be maintained to allow for all voices to be heard and for true competition to remain intact. Three years ago millions of people sent comments to this body regarding net neutrality protections under consideration. Studies showed that approximately 99 percent of them were in favor of those protections. Thank you for listening to the people then. Please continue to listen to the people and maintain the protections established under Title II now. Thank you very much for your time and consideration.Sincerely,Kristen Vaurio

349. Danny Dave Serpo, Los angeles, CA, 90027
Please preserve strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP companys!

350. Tom Stokes, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Strong net neutrality is the only way to ensure fairness for all. This is the only fair and just way for the internet to operate.

351. Justin Epifanio, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Net neutrality is vital to the future of the free market. The internet is a marketplace of ideas and commerce not owned by any individual or corporation. Internet providers must not be allowed to manipulate the flow of information to enhance

their own profits. Removing net neutrality makes us vulnerable to monopolies and abuse of power by the internet providers.

352. Timothy Weaver, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Net Neutrality is fundamentally important as a crux of modern democracy. It is imperative to preserve Title II classification of the internet.

353. Sean Cameron, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
As an online content creator, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversite of ISPs. Without net neutrality, small businesses like mine are vulnerable to established online companies blocking the audience's ability to reach my content.

354. Mary McAuliffe, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please keep title X - part ll - it is very important for consumers. Regulations ensure transparency for internet providers and users in this country and corporations are only being greedy by changing the laws which is unnecessary. Please keep net neutrality for all!

355. Rita Lilly, Glendale, CA, 91203
I'm writing in support of Net Neutrality and believe it must be maintained and that the Internet must be kept under Title II. Please maintain both. Thank you!

356. Stephane HUbert, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please maintain the net neutrality rules and keep Title II. This is crucial to sustain a fair competition level allowing for the development of a strong internet sector that will bring jobs and prosperity to America.

357. Andrew Newman, Burbank, CA, 91506
Corporations will take advantage of this if it falls. Keep net neutrality!

358. robbie masterson, West Hollywood, CA, 90048
I support strong net nutraility! Don't you dare take away the freedom of the internet. I'm still watching Friday Night Lights and if you slow my internet speed anymore, the show will go backwards. I can't live with that, I'm already behind. Don't mess this up! Ta-ta!

359. JJ Holiday, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
To Ajit Pai and the entire FCC offices,I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's, not Title 1.Thank you!

360. Lauren Garwood, Glendale, CA, 91206
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY UNDER TITLE II.THANK YOU AND HAVE A NICE DAY.

361. Erica Thurlow, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Net Neutrality is

very important to me, my community, and our very livelihoods. I SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE 2 OVERSIGHT OF ISPs. Thank you.

362. Jennifer Trevino, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Mr. Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality. Please do not allow greed to pilfer the one place where people actually have equality. We will not back down on this.

363. Brett Teixeira, Glendale, CA, 91201
Please vote to keep net neutrality. It is important to the freedom of speech and expression which is the foundation of America!

364. Jeff C. Kussmann, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s, keep these rules IN PLACE!

365. James Gorsich, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Please maintain the Net Neutrality rules without change! I support strong net neutrality!.

366. Hannah Marshall, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Keep strong net neutrality backed by Title II rules PLEASE!!!

367. Greg Heining, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not allow the internet to be taken over by special interest groups.

368. David de Lautour, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support strong net neutrality as backed by the Title II rules!!! Please uphold!!

369. Micah Rosen-Goldstein, Burbank, CA, 91506
I strongly support net neutrality and any regulation that would strengthen it. If a title 3 section of regulation needs to be created solely to encompass internet service providers and nothing else, I would be for that. Access to the internet must ALWAYS remain equal and I would NEVER trust a company (with a financial incentive to make it otherwise) to safeguard net neutrality on some sort of honor system. Net Neutrality at any cost. I'm sure the companies can afford it, and frankly, I don't care if they think they can't.

370. Louis Thompson, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I strongly oppose this proceeding to take away the free internet from individuals and give ISPs unmonitored control over the internet.

371. Ricardo Lledo Souto, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Please Preserve Tittle 2 and net neutrality.

372. Roy Rogers V, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Hey Ajit Pai,Stop shilling for telecom corporations and start working for the people. I fully support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The internet MUST remain open and free for all to use. This is a slippery slope to creating state-backed media and America will NOT stand for that.

373. Tim Waterman, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Do not let corporations buy their way into messing with out internet.

374. Assis J Eloy, west hollywood, CA, 90046
I fully support the net neutrality rules in effect right now and they shouldn't be reversed.

375. Emilio Mejia, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
Please preserve net neutrality and ISP classification as Title II. We value the equal and fair distribution of information on the Internet as was protected under President Obama. Thank you.

376. Samuel Elias, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
We need to keep strong net Neutrality backed by Title II!!

377. Thomas Murrin, Glendale, CA, 91201
I support net neutrality. Current classification of ISPs under Tier 2 of the Telecommunications Act of 1934 is critical to ensure a free and open internet for all.

378. Kyle Smithers, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II! The internet must be protected.

379. Gabriel Gonzales, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I am writing to express my concern about Net Neutrality and the shift from Title II regulation. The internet should remain as a free space with equal sharing rights for all websites and not a pay-for-play distribution of content.

380. Gal Sadlik, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do what is right for the American People.

381. Elysia Funtiveros, Glendale, CA, 91203
I strongly believe in Net Neutrality and hope that you keep ISP under Title II regulations. I do not want ISP dictating what I will visit and would not visit, the internet is for the freedom of information. Your time in office can only last for so long, but the effects of this decision will last a lifetime. Don't throttle my internet!

382. Mark F. Mauceri, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Internet access is now almost a necessity for every American. As such, it needs to be regulated so unscrupulous operators do not take advantage of uninformed

consumers. It is not a Title 1 issue, it is a Title 2 issue and needs to be treated as such. Corporate interests do not place public good ahead of reportable profits. See also: Enron, Wells Fargo, 'The Big Short' and Charles Keating.

383. Valmik Campbell, Burbank, CA, 91502
Hello, FCC members. I, as a free-thinking human being currently with access to the World Wide Web, STRONGLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please, do not fail the American people by providing a crippling blow to our digital freedom of choice and expression, regardless of whatever that means to us as individuals.

384. victor neumark, los angeles, CA, 90039
I support STRONG Net Neutrality backed by TITLE II oversight of ISPs. Major media conglomerates need MORE regulation and accountability, not less.

385. Chris Taylor, Burbank, CA, 91501
You must preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. It is a cornerstone of a free and equal society that cannot be sacrificed to corporate greed.

386. Alex Gartner, LA, CA, 90069
support Net Neutrality with Title 2 oversight

387. Timothy Olshefski, Los Angeles, CA, 90031
I support Net Neutrality and Title II! Ajit Pai's plans are bad for a free and open internet.

388. Rebecca Van Gorder, Glendale, CA, 91204
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. We cannot allow our internet to be bought by the highest bidder.

389. Michael Lawrence, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Keep the Net Neutrality rules currently in place under Title II and any corresponding ancillary rules & regulations. It is NOT TRUE that ISPs have not hampered/slowed down traffic of internet content providers whom they did not favor. It is NOT TRUE that ISPs have in any meaningful matter have reduced their investment in internet infrastructure, research, and/or development because of Title II rules & regulations.

390. Maurice Bastian, Glendale, CA, 91214
I want the FCC to preserve net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs !

391. Muji Soto, los angeles, CA, 90026
Net neutrality is imperative to a democratic society. Do not regress our rights as a free people!

392. Jennifer Aron, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I am very concerned with the direction of the Chairman of the FCC, who stated that

net neutrality's "days are numbered." The Title II reclassification needs to be upheld to prevent big businesses from controlling the public's access to content.

393. Mark Gasparo, Los Angeles, CA, 90025
Net Neutrality is the basis of a free and open internet. Trying to modify or control this for the gain of larger entities is detrimental to the internet's purpose. Please uphold net neutrality. Thank you.

394. 1, Burbank, CA, 91501
I am strongly opposed to rescheduling ISPs to Title 1 and support a free and open internet.

395. Benjamin Nowicki, Glendale, CA, 91205
I fully support Title II (2) classification to maintain an open internet.

396. Rian Kountzhouse, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Net neutrality is vital for future business and innovation. Do not get rid of it.

397. Hannah Reid Rubinek, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I am here to voice my support of strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I believe that fair access to information is the very bedrock of a democracy. The United States of America will no longer be a truly free society without these safeguards. Please do not rely on optional, good faithâ€”essentially "Scout's honor"â€”promises from corporations to play fair. We must have rules that legally define the fair playing field and strong oversight to enforce those rules. I do not feel that I am exaggerating when I say that the future of our democracy depends on this.

398. Michael Morgan, Pasadena, CA, 91105
In short, preserve net neutrality rules and keep ISPs classified under Title II as they have been. There is absolutely no reason to deregulate ISPs, as they have time and again clearly demonstrated their willingness to give preference to their own content over that of competitors. I want open access to all content, and Title II is the best option for that.Ajit Pai, you snake. I wonder how many stock incentives and perks Verizon is offering you to gut consumer protections under Net Neutrality rules?

399. Marek Litomisky, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I strongly support Net Neutrality and keeping ISPs classified as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

400. J.A.Zimmon, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. Do not remove or change the current standard.

401. ARICA TUESDAY, Burbank, CA, 91506
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Thank you

402. Carlos, los angeles, CA, 90028
Listen to the people, preserve net neutrality and title 2!

403. Melissa L Perrot, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
please retain net neutrality and title II

404. Zach Reino, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. ISP's have no monetary incentive to adhere to net neutrality, and there is plenty of evidence to suggest that without Title II oversight some websites will be given preferential treatment over others.

405. Bennet Cowdin, La Canada, CA, 91011
I support strong regulations of ISP's under Title II of the Communications act in order to promote net neutrality. Any move to reclassify ISP's under Title I would have a disastrous effect upon the ability of ordinary Americans and American businesses to efficiently access a wide array of services available on the internet.

406. Amy Hartman, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
This aggression against net neutrality will not stand, man. Please vote NO on the proposal to repeal the classification of the internet as a common carrier known as â€œTitle II.â€ The internet only works if it is FREE and OPEN. Allowing internet providers to control speeds and access to better their own self-interests is monopolistic. It will force out the competition in the market and small business and upstarts will suffer. So. Please. Vote no.

407. Will Garrett, Glendale, CA, 91206
The FCC should protect net neutrality under title 2 provisions and dictate that internet service providers provide un-molested and equal access to the internet... To do otherwise would be devastating to companies and would result in loss of economic activity, and jobs.

408. John Coppola, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
retain net neutrality and title II

409. timothy kish, Burbank, CA, 91504
Eliminating title 2 is handing control over to ISPs. It's important that the government, as a proxy for the voice of the people, uphold Net Neutrality laws and prohibit ISPs from selectively throttling speeds.KEEP NET NEUTRALITY.

410. Alexandra, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
preserve net neutrality and title 2. Please and Thank you.

411. Jd, los angeles, CA, 90026
I support strong net neutrality and Title II provisions over ISPs!

412. Rita Valencia, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please enforce the current protections for net neutrality and do not change these regulations. Maintain strictly equal internet access for all parties, large and small.

413. Lydia, Burbank, CA, 91501
I am a supporter of strong net neutrality, backed by title II oversight of ISP's.

414. Leon Coetzee, La Canada Flintridge, CA, 91011
I fully support Strong Net Neutrality and regulations backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs

415. Ted Kim, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title 2 designation.

416. Josh Noddle, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Keep net neutrality. Without it, we'll look back on the first 20 years of the internet's existence as the "good old days"

417. Jonathan Verduzco, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
I AM ABSOLUTELY APPALLED THAT NET NEUTRALITY KEEPS COMING UNDER ATTACK! WE DO NOT LIVE IN AN OPPRESSED COUNTRY LIKE RUSSIA OR NORTH KOREA, THIS SHOULD NEVER BE ALLOWED. THE INTERNET IS REPRESENTS OUR FREEDOM AND WE WILL NOT LET IT BE TAKEN AWAY. THE ARGUMENTS AGAINST NET NEUTRALITY HOLD NO WATER AND LOOK ONLY TOWARDS CORPORATE GAINS AND NOT THE PEOPLE. STOP THIS NOW!!!!!

418. jrl, los angeles, CA, 90068
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. Please stop trying to slip one past the people of this country. I realize most of us are all caught up in our own devices and worlds that we live in. But just because we mostly click "agree" on terms of service without reading all fifty pages doesn't mean you can hide shifty rhetoric in there meant to limit our freedoms. We're all only here a short time, so, stop helping rich corporations and start helping the people who make those corporations rich.

419. Aaron Golden, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I am writing to express my support for the FCC's title II protection of net neutrality. Please keep the internet a free and open resource for years to come.

420. Brian Purvis, Glendale, CA, 91208
Keep the internet free and open! Do not reclassify the internet, leave it as a public utility under Title II. Allowing ISP's to control bandwidth is a conflict of interest and will stifle small businesses and start ups!

421. Lucas Lacamara, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I very strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Title I would create an internet with far less competition for smaller businesses and less secure access to crucial information. Please don't do this.

422. Kellie Pruett, La Canada, CA, 91011

Regulations are not always a bad thing. They help ensure our food is safe, that our medications are tested properly, and they also are beneficial in encouraging responsible business practices. This is one place where government regulations can actually promote freedom and choice for the consumer. Our current administration cares a great deal about big business and big profits, and not much for the average consumer. Please consider the FCC's role - government is not "controlling" the internet. The FCC should not roll back regulations set two years ago. Then ISPs WILL control the internet, and it won't be good for consumers.

423. Matt Blackwood, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Ajit Pai - Your mug is cool. Now please retain STRONG net neutrality, keeping Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

424. Jordan Woollard, Los Angeles,, CA, 90027
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Eliminating Net Neutrality will destroy fair competition, close the open market, cripple small businesses and start-ups, and destabilize the ecosystem of the internet.

425. Daniel Rubenstein, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's.I will publicly and vocally express my dislike for any other outcome besides keeping strong net neutrality.

426. Tyler Stanley, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I support net neutrality by asking the FCC to retain the internet's Title II status for ISPs.

427. Ariel Broggi, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Do not walk back on Net Neutrality. Keep strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II.

428. Nate S., Pasadena, CA, 91103
Listen here you crazy cucks. I may have just inhaled a generous amount of CA State legal cannabis, but if there are two things I know in life, it's that: 1. George Zip was a true American hero. 2. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. #dazedbutnotmotherfuckingconfused

429. Ben Young, Burbank, CA, 91506
I support Title 2 enforcement of net neutrality. Please preserve net nutrality and title 2.

430. WILLIAM BEINITZ, BURBANK, CA, 91501
PLEASE KEEP NET NEUTRALITY FREE FROM ISP INTERFERENCE AND KEEP IT IN TITLE II (2) CATEGORY.

431. Joseph Soutullo, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support Net neutrality back by Strong Oversight of Title 2 coverage of ISP's.

Please do not sell our freedoms to yet another corporations that will slow content.

432. Kelly Henson, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Please uphold Obama era rules that safeguard net neutrality under Title II ! Do not let the Trump administration take these regulations away!

433. Kaitrin Simkin, Glendale, CA, 91208
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Thank you!

434. Ben Waesche, West Hollywood, CA, 91505
Keep our internet private and free from corporate interference! It is clear that if net neutrality isn't protected, our society will suffer. Don't give the big telecom companies a way to make a profit from interrupting the free flow of information. This is vital for our society and for our children's future - please don't mess this up!

435. net neutrality, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
It is reprehensible that this administration feels the need to destroy freedom of any kind. It is just example of our spoiled and out of touch president in action.

436. Melissa Frank, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I want to support local business, Republicans claim to be about supporting American businesses, and I know mega corporations will dominate online search results and squash any chance a small business might have, no matter how much better able they may be to meet my needs. Insane enough you're now chaired by someone incapable of impartiality. Kill net neutrality, and I can only imagine the lawsuit to follow. Remove head from sphincter, then get to work!

437. Bill Drastal, Burbank, CA, 91502
I support Net Neutrality through title 2 enforcement. Stop being a shill for the telecom companies and work for the people.

438. sean cawelti, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please do not change the net netrality ruling. It is important to keep the Internet providers as a title two entity so that the Internet remains free and equal to all businesses and citizens of the world. Do not dissolve these important protections.

439. Jose Tavera, Glendale, CA, 91206
Strongly support Title 2 / Title II oversight of ISPs

440. Bradley Goldhammer, Glendale, CA, 91202
I would like the FCC to continue to support strong net neutrality under Title II oversight of internet service providers.

441. John Sykes, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I support Net Neutrality and keeping ISPs under the title II designation. Its imperative that this continue to be the model to ensure strong competition in the online marketplace. Do not change ISPs to Title I designation. ISPs have shown

time and time again they can not be trusted to self regulate. In fact, Time Warner Cable and Comcast are routinely ranked at the bottom of customer satisfaction polls. Why would we trust them to keep the consumer's interest in mind?

442. Kimberly Myrand, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Regulation is essential to preserving the rights of EVERYONE on the internet.

443. Noah Berkowitz, West Hollywood, CA, 90048
To Ajit Pai,I support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of strong ISPs. Thank you,Noah

444. William Maxwell, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support continuing Title 2 enforcement of Net Neutrality for every ISP, past, present, and future. Net Neutrality is a core value for the country, and any attempt to undermine it for any reason should be shut down and dismantled without delay. Let's show the world how being the greatest nation in history is done.

445. Mary Grey Wilcox, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
PLEASE PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY AND PRESERVE TITLE II! Protect the internet from CORPORATE GREED and keep it free and open! Contribute to democracy here and around the world.

446. Andrew Movsissian, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title ii oversight of ISPs. Large companies will throttle competition stifling competition.

447. Katharine Laronde, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I support strong net neutrality backed by the protections of Title II oversight of ISPs. Nice mug.

448. Ned Neidhardt, los angeles, CA, 90031
Hello I'm writing to express my support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

449. Natalie Steffes, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I firmly support strong net neutrality back by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not reduce regulation.

450. Matthew Montgomery, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
The FCC should not undermine current net neutrality policies by changing the legal title designation. This would be contrary to a fair and open internet.

451. John Glen, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please keep our net neutrality rules in place!! It is very important.

452. Michael, La Canada, CA, 91011

I support strong net neutrality backed title 2 oversight of ISPs. Stop the spread of ISP internet fuckery!

453. Bridger Nielson, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Maintain Net neutrality backed by title 2

454. Anthony Cervone, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly wish to preserve net neutrality and Title 2.

455. Nathanael Barlow, Burbank, CA, 91506
I am in strong, unconditional support of a free and open internet as can only be guaranteed by net neutrality rules. It is imperative that free, open internet access continue to be protected by Title II classification. Any action to dismantle such could have terrible consequences for the average American citizen solely to fill corporate coffers.

456. Winthrop B. Pescosolido, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I strongly support Net Neutrality and think the FCC must keep regulating the ISPs under Title II if Net Neutrality is to mean anything. Please keep true net neutrality!

457. Benjamin Sakai, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Keep the Net Neutral! Don't give legal standing for major corporations to control the internet! The internet is a hub for the free and open exchange of thoughts and ideas, by de-regulating, you're effectively allowing corporations to control the flow of those ideas. We don't want it. The dude abides.

458. Luke Riley, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
Net neutrality is a necessity in America.

459. Caroline Luce, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I believe that internet neutrality must be protected. "Light-regulation" is not sufficient to protect consumers and producers of online content from the abuses of Internet Service Providers. Title II protection must be maintained!!

460. D, los Angeles, CA, 90039
I am in favor of net neutrality. Please keep this as a title II. Don't let the status of this rule change

461. Linda J Dougherty, los angeles, CA, 90046
Keep The Obama era net neutrality rules and title 2 status.

462. Jessica Slipchinsky, Burbank, CA, 91506
All internet should be equally accessible.

463. Cristina Benavente, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I SPECIFICALLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BY THE TITLE TWO OF THE COMMUNICATIONS ACT. WE CANNOT ALLOW OUR

INTERNET TO BE TAKEN OVER BY THE HIGHEST BIDDER.

464. Stephen Boyle, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
You need to keep strong net neutrality laws in place under Title II. I'm sick of dealing with you morons trying to cater to the ISPs controlling bullshit. You should be ashamed of yourselves.

465. Net neutrality, Burbank, CA, 91504
I am for net neutrality. Don't set up a system where it is possible for the powerful to act unethical. For then, people will abuse that power. Keep net neutrality.

466. Lynne Moses, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support Title II oversight of ISPs to provide for strong net neutrality. Our economic growth and basic freedoms depend on equal internet access for all. Keep Title II.

467. Nicole S Sirbis, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I very much support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 Oversight of ISPs.

468. Brett McVicker, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs! I am opposed to this docket! Because so many Americans have limited options for internet providers, we need to ensure internet-driven businesses compete on the merits of their service and not on their relationship with an ISP.

469. Alicia Van Couvering, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
KEEP THE INTERNET NEUTRAL. Free access to information is the cornerstone of our democracy, which should not be owned by media conglomerates. The internet is a public utility and must be treated that way.

470. Ryan Loga, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please continue to support net neutrality under Title II. To do otherwise (and inexplicably try to leave regulating this up to the ISP's) would be incredibly unfair to upstart businesses and jeopardize the way I receive my content. The FCC exists for a reason. To regulate. Please do so.

471. Maggie Kazmierowski, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please preserve net neutrality + Title 2, please! Thank you!

472. Elvis Isagholi, Glendale, CA, 91202
We support strong FCC regulations for net neutrality vis Title 2.

473. Jason Douglas, Hollywood, CA, 91505
Really, A former Verizon lawyer heads the FCC and we are meant to trust his nonsense company line? It's the Fox guarding the Henhouse. Also, Ajit Pai comes off as a prick (personal opinion).

474. William Meiklejohn, Glendale, CA, 91201
I am in support of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108. Please support equality for all of those on the internet.

475. Nickolas Philip Ross, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I specifically strong support NET NEUTRALITY backed by TILTE II oversight of ISPs. Please uphold your public duty to be a servant to the people and not to the corporate elite.

476. jonathan Dorr, Los angeles, CA, 90004
I want to see strong net neutrality regulation under title 2. Please do not undo net neutrality regulations.

477. Vardan Genanyan, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Please keep ISPs classified under Title 2.

478. Aaron Brown, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I am a Network Engineer, and I support Net Neutrality under Title II as the best regulatory framework for the internet, and reject Chaiman Pai's dishonestly presented plan to change the framework to give ISPs looser regulation to pursue self-serving network throttling policies at the cost of internet fairness to all participants. The internet is too important to everyone's lives and ISP companies too concentrated and monopolistic to safely change the regulatory framework in their favor.

479. Net, Los Angles, CA, 90027
Strong Net Neutrality Rules backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP are vital to American freedom

480. marc singer, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's is essential to our democracy and our ideals.Strong net neutrality will enable start-ups to continue to flourish, create a level playing field for everyone, and will help ensure that censorship will never be tolerated in the United States of America.

481. Hector H, Hollywood, CA, 90028
I support net neutrality. Please fix this issue. Tired of this nonsense. Help us!!!

482. Alyssa Paren, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Preserve Net Neutrality regulations and Title II- no website should be slowed so another can profit, as the Internet is in the public domain. All websites are equal. Do not allow companies to rob the citizens of this country to gain access to something we all should have equally.

483. Alexander Lee, Glendale, CA, 91204

Any change to the current net neutrality law is the worst decision that can be made. I fully support no changes.

484. Ben Gillenwater, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
As a software entrepreneur, I rely on the internet being a level playing field. Net Neutrality allows this to continue happening. Please protect the internet from the special interests of corporate internet providers who would otherwise create tiered access levels so they can further extract value out of their infrastructure while harming the open market that is the underpinning of the internet's value.

485. Rohini Sivaraman, Glendale, CA, 91205
We need Net neutrality and under title 2. As Protection of first amendment must not be a moral guideline but a enforceable law. Please consider.

486. Matt, los angeles, CA, 90026
preserve strong net neutrality, and back Title II

487. Max Spransy, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! The idea of an open internet is as important as the idea of public road access not being restricted based on your destination. In the same sense that people driving to walmart should not be given more preferential access to roads then people driving to a smaller local business, users visiting amazon.com should not be given higher speeds nor more preferred access then those visiting a smaller competitor to Amazon. To think otherwise is anti-capitalism and anti-free market.

488. Matthew Lottman, Los Angeles, CA, 91311
This is an abomination to the freedoms given to us in the United States!

489. mike koetter, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
A promise from a company or an adjustment to Terms of Service is not good enough to ensure the neutrality of basic digital services. I do not believe these companies will self regulate in the consumer's best interest and we need to have regulations in place to safeguard those services

490. Karen Kahler, Pasadena, CA, 91105
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I urge you to retain these protections.

491. Isabelle Stein, La Canada Flintridge, CA, 91011
I specifically support strong net-neutrality backed by title 2 oversite of ISP's.

492. Doug Culp, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Please KEEP Net Neutrality! Do the right thing, no matter how many presidents or cabinet members tell you otherwise. Keep up the good fight! Keep the internet as it is!

493. CR Nyland, glendale, CA, 91202
Preserve title 2. Don't be the massive fukwit we all think you are.

494. Paulina, Glendale, CA, 91205
Mr. Pai you seem like a pretty smart guy, and you worked for Verizon, so you must know that while ISPs like Verizon love to take tax payer money and promise to invest in infrastructure (like in Pennsylvania and New York), actually delivering that infrastructure is expensive and difficult, and without any competition, the incentive to do so is low. So when you say that Title II prevents ISPs from investing in infrastructure, I don't really buy it. Even before Title II Verizon was coming up with all kinds of excuses not to deliver on their infrastructure promises. I think that what it does prevent is Verizon's prioritization of it's own traffic over traffic to its competitors, which might be bad for Verizon, but is great for consumers like me. I have already contacted all of my Senators and Representatives in Congress and ask that they not support your re-confirmation unless you reverse course and strengthen net neutrality instead of trying to dismantle it.

495. Darren, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
We have to preserve net neutrality and Title II. Corporations cannot be trusted to police themselves. Net neutrality is vital to maintaining both an informed democracy and healthy business environment.

496. Carl Lindquist, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support net neutrality by keeping the internet within the current Title 2 legislation!

497. Sonya Sanford, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I adamantly support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title II's oversight of ISP's.

498. David Bilgre, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Keep the Internet Free!!

499. Craig Olsson, Tujunga, CA, 91042
Please preserve true Internet neutrality. Freedom of speech depends upon you ensuring open and unfettered access to the Internet. Freedom of information depends upon it. Open markets depend upon it. Democracy depends upon it. Freedom of the Press depends upon it. Throttle backs and blocks of any kind are despicable impositions on an open and free society. Title ll must stand.

500. Kyle Bartholomew, La Canada, CA, 91011
I strongly support an open internet that is classified under Title II. Internet Service Providers should not have the ability to speed up or slow down the internet connections of customers based on the content being delivered. Net Neutrality is even more important as ISPs merge and competition weakens. Access to an open internet should be considered a right, just as access to clean water, reliable electricity, and reliable phone lines are considered rights.Corporations have a legal obligation to do whatever they can to increase their profits, not to do what is morally correct. That means ISPs have a legal obligation to control the speed of different

content on the internet, since it would increase their profits. This is morally wrong and will stifle innovation, and Title II classification is currently the only way to prevent corporations from exercising this control over the content being delivered.

501. Virginia Causey, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I wholeheartedly support and implore you to maintain strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. The internet is our primary source of information and entertainment. A content-provider's access to it should not be dictated by its ability to pay for preferred status.

502. Josh Shayne, Glendale, CA, 91207
Please support Net Neutrality. As a small internet-based business owner, I can't afford to be in competition with multi-million dollar corporations over who has the fastest access to customers. The internet shouldn't have a fast lane â€” it undermines the principles that have made America a place where anyone can innovate and succeed.

503. Kory Victor, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I support STRONG Net Neutrality. Do the right thing.

504. James Berry, Burbank, CA, 91506
I strongly urge the FCC to maintain Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

505. Asher Glaser, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I specifically support strong net neutrality and Title II oversight of ISP's.

506. Kawika, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Keep net neutrality you idiots!

507. Mark Reynolds, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
It is so important that the FCC does the right thing to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Access to the Internet is more important than we ever thought it would be and it's many people's lifeline to access to communications, banking, jobs, etc. I support Net Neutrality and urge the FCC to do the same. It's vital to our growth.

508. Tim Armitage, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Please preserve and protect Net Neutrality by classifying ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 . Allow the FCC to protect Net Neutrality by regulating against paid prioritization.

509. Artashes Kocharyan, Glendale, CA, 91206
I am writing this comment in order to express my concern about preserving net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

510. Robert Engel, Burbank, CA, 91506
Please retain Title 2 protections of the Internet and do not allow the throttling of the pipeline by ISPs. The Internet is needed to be freely available to support our democracy.

511. Benjamin Klang, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Commissioner Pai is behaving like one of the bad guys from his favorite movie, "The Big Lebowski:" the fascist sheriff of Malibu. By threatening Net Neutrality enforcement under Title II, he's throwing his big-ass coffee mug right at the heads of anyone who's NOT a giant telcom. And let's face it, Supreme Court rulings aside, no ONE person is a telcom, Dude. So, that mug is hitting all of us in the head.So to Commissioner Pai and everyone else involved in this decision, I say:"STAY OUT OF MALIBU, DEADBEAT! Keep your ugly fucking goldbricking ass out of my [Net Neutrality rules]!"

512. Jeff Wilson, Glendale, CA, 91208
It's vital to leave ISP's under Title II of the Communications Act. Net neutrality must be preserved.

513. Tim Saccardo, Burbank, CA, 91506
To the United States FCC: It is very important to me that you continue keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I have heard your argument to the contrary and do not agree with it. The only way to ensure true net neutrality is to keep the rules backed by Title II.

514. David Gilison, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality rules under title II. Please do not destroy the Internet. The future is watching.

515. Rosemary Sullivant, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I support strong net neutrality and want it backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers.

516. Patrick Morgan, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support net neutrality based on reclassifying ISPs as Title II providers, or whatever is otherwise necessary for the continued neutrality of the internet.

517. Tara Walker, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight.Thank you,Tara

518. Silka Luisa, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I'd like to strongly express my support of net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Title 2 oversight is essential and I don't believe in leaving it up to the companies to police themselves. The internet should by law be a level playing field. Thank you.

519. Bill Przylucki, Los Angeles, CA, 90026

Continue classifying ISPs as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act.

520. Steven Brydle, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I'm support STRONG net neutrality

521. Doug Woodard, Pasadena, CA, 91103
I support strong net neutrality rules under Title 2 oversight. Please do not change the current law.

522. 17-108, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
It is paramount that we keep net neutrality, and that the internet remains open, and impartial. In order to assure this, the internet and internet providers have to stay under Title 2. Thank you for your time and consideration.

523. michael monson, Los angeles, CA, 90027
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of isp.

524. Peter Egan, Glendale, CA, 91201
I support strong net neutrality backed by 'Title 2' oversight of ISPs

525. Emily Weber, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
PRESERVE NET NUTRALITY AND TITLE 2!!!!!

526. Cameron Banowsky, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net neutrality is the core principal of what makes the internet a free place for everyone, and is the best representation of equality and fairness on the internet that we have left at this point. With the understanding that government programs are designed to a certain extent, weaken that freedom, handing over power to special interest groups, private sector conglomerates and the rest of the ISPs, you are crafting a system designed to eliminate choice. I don't care what the interests of the ISPs are. Frankly, neither should the FCC. The fact of the matter is that we all pay for a service, and that service is what we have in place today: access to a network not serving the self-serving interests of the ISP, but access to a network where the information we WANT is accessible, just as accessible as the information we despise. This is non-partisan, it is a violation of consumer protection and it affects everyone. Everyone to people who want to listen to Rush Limbaugh, or people who choose Sling TV over Comcast, a competitor. If given the opportunity, Comcast can essentially make service of Sling so poor, that users are forced to abandon a service they chose in a free and open market. ISPs should not wield this kind of power, and Title II was created for this very reason. Get off your high horse and campaign of disillusioned misinformation, and leave it alone. You already let them sell our browsing history and violate our privacy. Don't allow them to dictate the content we see, or this is a 1st Amendment issue, and will be met legally as one. Private corporations should have no say in what I type into my URL bar, and if you don't see that in the manner in which most internet users would see that (if you didn't distort the reality of net neutrality to the point of confusion). Stop dismantling

principals and regulations designed to protect the interests of the people and serve the interests of the largest and wealthiest corporations on earth. Let the market, and demand of the people decide what is worth pursuing on the internet and what it not. You work for the American people (not Verizon anymore Ajit Pai. Yes former attorney for a vocal opponent of Title II, Verizon. An ISP that is pretty clear on the position of net neutrality. Either resign and go fight this battle in court on behalf of Verizon, or do what your job mandates now, which is protecting the American consumer. Pick a side. You are either truly a bad person who cares nothing about the interest of your everyday Americans or you simply have skewed this entire issue in your mind to the point that you don't understand the fundamental precedent you are gearing to set. I am ashamed that such an agenda is being taken on this issue as an American. I am embarrassed by you and for you. Act in the interest of the people or let someone who will step in while you go back to a life of greed and corporate agendas. This isn't a company. It is a public service job at which you are completely inept. I also have to throw in your mug is obnoxious and you should act like the head of an agency rather than some weird guy at the office who everyone hates. If you pass any changes to Title II, I will hate you, and I will make a public mission of information aimed at exposing the type of person you are the people you actually serve. Call me, 323-251-0504, you have my name and information.

527. Michele McGrath, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support maintaining the current regulations that ensure net neutrality, namely the 2015 Open Internet Order. The internet (developed in large part through the award of US Government contracts) is now an essential communication tool which means it is protected by the First Amendment which prohibits abridging the freedom of speech or of the press. As a US citizen and tax payer, I expect my government to protect the people's investment and our rights guaranteed under the First Amendment. Thank you.

528. Sarah Greyson, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I specifically support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title II oversight by ISPs. Don't be a dick.

529. Matt Giltaji, Glendale, CA, 91202
I support strong net neutrality regulations on ISPs under Title 2. That is the only way to ensure a level playing field and keep the United States on the forefront of internet technology.

530. John Carrasco, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Do the right THING Preserve Net Neutrality And Title 2.Thank you.JC

531. Devon Bleak, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I'm deeply concerned by a proposal that empowers corporations that have a history of poor customer service and of doing everything they can to maintain monopolies in markets to have even more power over what content customers are able to view and interact with online. These companies are already profitable under existing pricing structures, and the argument of "it's going to kill investment" is invalid as we

have seen as soon as a competitor (tries to) enter a market bandwidth caps disappear and speeds go up.What the Internet needs is more competition, not tighter monopolies. If a municipality wants to provide broadband internet and the citizens of that municipality are for it then why would we block that?Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

532. Jen Seaman, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strictly support strong net neutrality backed by Title Two Over sight by ISPs

533. Karl Hungus, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Also, your mug is stupid

534. Jennifer Jackson, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please continue to keep strong net neutrality rules as backed by Title II.

535. Ben K, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Don't change net neutrality rules please.

536. David Gironda, Jr., Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Please preserve the Net Neutrality Act and keep ISPs under the current TITLE II rules. Don't screw this up coffee mug guy.

537. Josephine Johnson, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I absolutely 100% support net neutrality, and am horrified that my representatives in government would consider trusting ISPs to just say they will not show favoritism with their speeds & services. This is especially concerning since we've seen providers do shady business in the past, and so many ISPs have a stranglehold on the market with little or no competition. DO NOT let net neutrality die! It should be illegal for ISPs to tamper with consumer's speed & access. Thank you.

538. Michael Nelson, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! We must keep the internet a neutral place for all!

539. John, Glendale, CA, 91208
Maintain Title II protection, keep net neutrality intact.No reason to remove any protection for people who use internet.

540. Ziad Ghassan, los angeles, CA, 90028
support net neutraility

541. Samuel Page, Burbank, CA, 91506
The internet should be open for all. Classifying ISPs under Title II grants the citizens the tools necessary to ensure all people, regardless of income or location, have access to all content on the internet without being subject to blocking or throttling of traffic. We very clearly saw examples of this in a non-regulated market,

and there is absolutely no reason to believe given the chance, ISPs would again prioritize data to the detriment of the consumer.Do not introduce a internet slow lane by removing Title II protections. Prior to net neutrality rules protected by Title II, the United States had the slowest and most expensive internet speeds of all first world countries. There was no competition, and no guarantee of access to content at reasonable speeds. Going back to that model helps no one but ISP CEOs, and directly harms the consumer.So in closing, I urge the FCC to do their jobs, listen to the people, the US internet consumers, not only the corporations. Keep the internet fair, fast, and open. Keep Title II.

542. Alan Shaeffer, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Net neutrality under Title II should stay as it is and be a cornerstone of the FCC's internet policy.

543. Patrick Salter, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I strongly urge we continue to keep ISP's under Title II. I strongly urge net neutrality.

544. Rod Benson, los angeles, CA, 90028
I have concerns about net neutrality. Please do not allow companies to restrict speed and access for profit.

545. Mario Michela, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Please Preserve Title 2 Governance for ISPs. I support and Open and Free Internet.

546. Aleksandr Savchenkov, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I oppose this action, as it removes net neutrality, an important part of the internet

547. Arman Sarkissian, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Please preserve net neutrality, do not allow ISPs to control the internet!

548. Chris, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I VERY strongly support this, as do my friends and family!

549. Aaron Greyson, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! I am strongly opposed the Restoring Internet "Freedom" Act and do not trust ISPs - or any business - with a financial incentive to self-regulate.

550. David McDonald, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
A free and open internet is crucial to the modern way of life.Therefore, I support Net Neutrality as currently established under Title II.

551. Samuel Dowe-Sandes, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I'm writing in support of Net Neutrality under the current Title II rules. Please keep the internet neutral!Thanks, Samuel Dowe-Sandes

552. Sara Royster, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Preserving this rule will preserve the Internet as a true resource for all. Dismantling this rule will be truly harmful. I am strong for net neutrality and against any attempt to dismantle it. This issue is of grave importance to me, and I will vote accordingly.

553. A Vincent Borja, Los Angeles, CA, 90048
I strongly ask that you preserve net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.

554. Allen Chodakowski, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
We strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II Oversight of ISPs. Please keep net neutrality.

555. Katherine Foster, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Please preserve net neutrality under Title II (2 / two) regulations. When there is an economic incentive for investors and the actions taken are as opaque as slowing speed for some services and increasing it for others, I do not trust ISPs to do right by consumers - this is why consumer protection is so important. I do not want my ISP to have the option of curating the internet for me.

556. 1, glendale, CA, 91208
I support strict net neutrality rule under title II

557. Gregg Meller, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
This government's attempts to sell out a free and open internet to the corporate interests of the ISPs must end. A lack of regulation is not an option, as ISPs have shown time and time again that they are incapable of regulating themselves and will always opt for the extra buck rather than maintaining a free and open internet. The FCC must keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

558. Douglas Lovelace, Glendale, CA, 91203
Maintain and strengthen current net neutrality .

559. shane lincoln, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I demand that you people preserve net neutrality AND Title 2. I stand with John Oliver and his message.

560. Jessica Harmon, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
The internet has become essential for life in the 21st century. The internet is as essential to my life and work as electricity or freeways. It's not just a medium of communication, it's a utility. And the freedom of traffic on the internet should be explicitly, vigorously protected. Service providers, whether broadband, LTE, or any other technology, should not be able to privilege one type of traffic over another. This opens the door for companies to influence the market not only though advertising, but through throttling competition. The freedom of small or starting companies will be stifled. The neutrality of internet traffic must be protected.

561. Tom, Los Angeles, CA, 90039

Keep strong net neutrality rules and preserve Title 2!

562. Adam Byrd, LA, CA, 90069
I'm writing today to urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. All Americans deserve equal, affordable access to an un-tiered and un-throttled internet.

563. Rich Baker, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Keep net neutrality. Keep Title 2. It's the only fair way.

564. David Pluebell, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Title II's overseeing of ISP's is crucial to Net Neutrality. I understand that there could be an argument about competition leading to better growth in this field, but the proposal to strike all of this down forgets about the great equalizer that is the internet. The internet is already a place for competition on an even playing field. It's why some Youtuber's working from home have as much traffic as some major news stations have. It's the reason as to why people are seeing a new American dream of making a living through the internet. Allowing these companies any leeway is just asking to be taken advantage by them. The internet has been declared a human right by the U.N., and rights should be guaranteed. Granted, we have rolled back on other basic rights in this country such as access to clean water, food, shelter, and education so if this passes I won't be too shocked. But this isn't about all of those other grievances. This is about the internet. I was raised to believe that if I tried hard enough, even coming from nothing, I could make something of myself. This idealism is one that has only carried over to the internet in my adult life. And while this rollback might be good for the already established companies, It is going to hurt business's where they really need to be made, at the small level. These titles help garage businesses and mom and pop shops viable and competitive. The have as much of a chance as the big guys there. I don't want the last bastion of true American freedom (In my opinion) to be taken away from us and given to capitalism. And honestly if that system is so good, it should be able to support itself even with these regulations still in place.

565. Crystal Meers, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
PLEASE STAND UP FOR HUMANKIND AND UPHOLD NET NEUTRALITY SO THAT EVERYONE REGARDLESS OF INCOME CAN HAVE EQUAL ACCESS TO INFORMATION. LET'S PLAY FAIR! THANK YOU SO MUCH!

566. Jonathan Boggs, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am writing to express my support for strong Net Neutrality and the protections from ISP's presently afforded under title II classification. The internet is an integral part of everyday life now, and we need to protect the basic framework of internet access.

567. mike pike, los angeles, CA, 90029
preserve net neutrality and section 2

568. Claudette Clerc, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I am writing to say I back strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.I have no faith in ISPs to regulate themselves and that is why Net Neutrality regulations are so important.

569. Kyle Spiker, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Ajit Pai, former lawyer for Verizon, I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It is in the public's interest, don't mess up the internet.

570. Restoring Internet Freedom, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I can't stress enough how important it is for the FCC to stay strong on Net Neutrality and Title 2. Corporations have their hand in just about every aspect of our lives. I don't need them telling me one day what I can and can't see, requiring me to pay for their benefit. The internet is supposed to bring people together, not divide them even further. You segment the internet as you segment the rich from the poor and you can definitely count on our ability to destroy our society sooner than later.

571. Taylor Allen, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Net Neutrality is key to our culture of both intellect and innovation that forms the core of small businesses throughout america. I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II. Anything short of a completely open web strangles the dreams of open information.

572. MarkSegurson, Burbank, CA, 91502
I am concerned about preserving net neutrality and title 2. Please preserve net neutrality.

573. Brian Morante, Burbank, CA, 91505
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight by isps. The internet should be free and for the people and not controlled by big corporations.

574. Matthew Wafaie, Montrose, CA, 91020
Hello, I'd just like to voice my opinion that having a free and open internet is one of the most important aspects of modern American life. I feel that it is of the utmost importance that you do everything in your power to protect that right for Americans by upholding strong net neutrality rules which remain protected by their Title II classification. I strongly urge the FCC to uphold this classification.Thank you for doing the right thing for the American people.

575. cecily thurn, la crescenta, CA, 91214
Suppport net neutrality/ title 2

576. Daniel Gallai, Hollywood, CA, 90028
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Leave our internet freedoms alone, it is not meant to be regulated by corporations to fit their own fiscal needs.

577. John Tyler Curtis, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. Repealing this would be a tragic loss to our personal freedoms.

578. Eric J Potts, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Please keep the current FCC regulations under Title II intact and do not allow corporations like Verizon, ATT, Comcast and others to manipulate internet speeds for their own interests. Please note that John Oliver looks like a pre-teen goblin that spent his adolescence in a cave watching C-Span. #feminisim #goblinprince

579. Sarah Koa, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I wish to preserve title III and net neutrality.

580. Brent Chick, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I am a staunch supporter of net neutrality. It is of paramount importance for our economic growth in the increasingly technological world.

581. Katherine Holbert, Burbank, CA, 91506
To whom it may concern, it is imperative that you preserve net neutrality and title II. Sincerely, Katherine Holbert

582. Mandlenkosi Daley, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Please preserve net neutrality and title II! Thank you. It is very important.

583. Antonio Messina-Doerning, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I believe that Net Neutrality should be protected under Title 2 regulations. I do not approve of FCC Chairman Pai's attempt to revert back to Title 1 regulations, and am frankly concerned with his blatant conflict of interest here, given his past history of acting as a lawyer for Verizon.

584. Nicole Ridgwell, Burbank, CA, 91501
I support title 2 and our rights to Net Neutrality. Please do not take these freedoms away. Major Corporations do not own nor should they ever have the right to restrict individual access to information.

585. Emrys Roberts, Glendale, CA, 91206
The FCC needs to preserve net neutrality and Title II. Net Neutrality ensures that the internet will be a level playing field for all, and helps to foster a growing and competitive market place.

586. Santhosh Nadipuram, Glendale, CA, 91206
Dear FCC,Please do not roll back the new net-neutrality laws which currently protect we the people under title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Your chairman Mr. Pai has shown himself to be self-interested and completely careless of the protection for the American Public in his stance on net neutrality. It is completely wrong to leave this issue in the hands of ISPs.The Internet is a public domain and should be available to any and all users at the best possible speeds

regardless of socioeconomic status, choice of provider, location and/or services. In short, the internet is a public utility. It belongs to everyone. Don't give the power to regulate the access to this utility to the providers.

587. B. McLeod, Van Nuys, CA, 91502
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II. Please leave it as is...

588. Jordan T, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Net Neutrality should remain in place.

589. Eric Edwards, Glendale, CA, 91201
Please keep Title 2 and Net Neutrality

590. James Okuley, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I just want to say that I am vehemently in support of net neutrality. I believe it should be backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet providers. Reinstating Title 1 oversight would be a travesty. Please don't go through with it! Thank you.

591. Brian Lynch, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Net neutrality protections are vital to a free and open internet as well as preventing unfair competition from providers. Do not reclassify ISPs.

592. Samantha G. May, Los Angeles, CA 90068, CA, 90068
The internet must remain net neutral!!! Preserve title 2 and net neutrality! This is imperative to our constitutional freedoms!

593. Ryan Jones, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Dear FCC,I support strong internet neutrality rules, governed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. Thank you,Ryan

594. Terry Snyder, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Please leave ISP's controlled by Title II and not back to Title 1. this is the only sure way to protect net neutrality.

595. Rick Oberndorf, Glendale, CA, 91208
Please ensure ISPs maintain current Title 2 standing, and maintain our freedom of choice on the web.

596. Sarah Frantz, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Keep STRONG net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

597. Charles Yedor, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I am worried about the future of the internet and want a truly open and free platform to prevail.

598. Graham Outerbridge, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Dear Chairman Pai, I implore you to preserve Net Neutrality and Title II for ISPs.

Sincerely,Graham Outerbridge

599. Billy Sullivan, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please keep net neutrality. It is important for America and the right thing to do.

600. Tim Mangandi, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I would just like to express my support for keeping internet providers classification under Title 2. I believe the Chairman has a duty to act in the expressed interests of the people he represents. Which should be the people of the United States and not multi national corporations. Right now there is a distinct lack of competition among our ISPs. Since many of them also happen to be content providers, they have a clear incentive and mandate from their investors (not the public) to find more creative ways to increase earnings via their ISP service as demand for their content/cable services dwindles. It is the job of the government to be proactive and not reactive to the possibility that ISPs acting in their own self interest could eventually pick the winners and losers of the content which reaches consumers. While ISPs as investors in infrastructure certainly have a right to make money from their investment, it should be a strategy that is based on real free market fundamentals. As in providing good service, high speeds, at a good price, and not simply banking on taking money from other businesses for priority speed in reaching consumers.

601. Sam, Burbank, CA, 91501
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs! The fact that you want to change this means you are NOT working for the people, you are in the pocket of big business and should be FIRED! The trolls on 4chan are better human beings then you, Ajit Pai!

602. Alan Poul, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Maintain Net Neutrality! It's vital for the unfettered flow of information and to prevent de facto censorship. Ajit Pai's ideas are a threat to the fundamentals of democracy and free speech.

603. Mark Toscano, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I very emphatically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This is an incredibly important issue, and weakened oversight will essentially victimize customers in a very anti-free market gesture. Please maintain strong net neutrality!!

604. Matthew B Nazareth, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support net neutrality, strong protection of net neutrality, and Title 2 oversight. ISPs have benefitted greatly from public investment in internet infrastructure, and should be bound to treat all internet traffic neutrally to promote consumer welfare, innovation, and fair competition.

605. Filer, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Net neutrality absolutely MUST be preserved. Please do the right thing.

606. Kevin Beltz, Glendale, CA, 91206
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Thank you for your thoughtful consideration of this comment.

607. Jerry Larson, Glendale, CA, 91206
SAVE NET NEUTRALITY! Providers cannot be allowed to give preference. They cannot be trusted not to do that, or to abuse any provisions that allow for that.The Internet is an important part of democracy.It just has to be equal for everyone, period.

608. Victoria, Glendale, CA, 91205
I support Strong Net Neutrality oversight on Title II

609. Michael Beaumont, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I believe the FCC should support strong net neutrality and Title 2 requirements for ISP's. It would be a failure of the FCC to do anything less.

610. Matt Thompson, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I want to register my disagreement with this proceeding and urge the FCC to protect Net Neutrality and Title II. It is that the world see American's are protectors of freedom and fair use of a free and open internet.

611. Christine, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Preserve net neutrality and keep this country a democracy!

612. Belinda Ma, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I strongly support the highest level of net neutrality via Title Two oversight of ISPs. Anything less would subject Americans to highway robbery. The American government has an obligation to provide free and open access to utilities which must operate in a fair and equal manner. We cannot allow ISPs to sell off our rights to the highest bidders. That is completely and blatantly un-American!!!

613. Jeff Bower, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support Net Neutrality. Do not roll back the regulations currently in place. This helps no consumers and only businesses.

614. Richard Card, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I believe in a FREE and EQUAL internet. ICPs should not be able to have any preference of service. I believe it is the role of the government to ensure that companies do not have the right to adjust services based on payments. I believe this even without any prior existence of this behavior because if given a loophole a company will use it. Do the right thing.

615. Claude Daniel Peterson, Glendale, CA, 91205
I favor stronger net neutrality protections; not weaker ones. Please leave the title 2 protections in place.

616. Ivelyn Bower, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am writing in support of net neutrality. I'm opposing to rolling back the regulations.

617. Eric Robinson, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. Why anyone wouldn't support that is beyond me. Perhaps its because the current Chairman of the FCC is a former Verizon lawyer working in the interests of corporations rather than the American people.

618. Jessika Borsiczky, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
Please favor individual rights over big business.

619. Matthew Hejl, Glendale, CA, 91204
Any attempts by Ajit Pai and the FCC to weaken net neutrality in the name of capitalism will be seen immediately for what it actually is: a blatant attempt by a Verizon spokesman/hack to make his friends richer. As a fiscally conservative capitalist, I don't condemn that type of the behavior in the private sector (as that's what capitalism is). But this is different - this is an attempt to use the most powerful position in a powerful agency in the most powerful government in the world to make life more difficult for its citizens. Do you know what happens when a powerful government tries to overextend itself into its citizens' lives? Look no further than Nazi Germany and the Soviet Union. Do this, and history will remember.And in case you didn't get the subtle hints, I STRONGLY support net neutrality, backed by Title II oversights of ISP's.

620. Ryan Flynn, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Again? Really? Can't believe I have to defend net neutrality again, but here I go. Net neutrality is incredibly important because it keeps the internet free, open, and most importantly, free from corporations' attempts to manipulate information. If net neutrality is struck down, ISPs are suddenly able to pick and choose what sites they want to exist by charging them more just to have matched speeds with other sites. This will bring internet innovation in this country to a halt, and the entire web will suffer for it. ISPs would be free to interfere with competitors, stifle new businesses, and come very close to flat-out running the internet.When Mark Zuckerberg created Facebook in his Harvard dorm room, he didnâ€™t need to ask Comcast, Verizon, or other internet service providers to add the site to their networks. He also didnâ€™t have to pay these companies extra fees to ensure that Facebook would work as well as the websites of established companies. Instead, as soon as he created the Facebook website, it was automatically available from any internet-connected computer in the world. (credit to Vox.com)Thatâ€™s network neutrality, and it is incredibly important to the economic and technological development of the internet.

621. Nina Z., Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Cable/telecom providers such as Verizon, AT&T, T-Mobile, Comcast simply do not have their consumer's rights in mind -- they are companies and answer to

shareholders. Their only responsibility is to make as much money as possible by any means necessary -- be it selling private user data, browsing history or ISP location. I want to remind Ajit Pai that he doesn't work for Verizon anymore -- he is the FCC chairman now and answers to the American people. We need him to act in the interest of the consumer. Net neutrality must be maintained -- any interference that would lead to a block or slowdown of websites is nothing short of censorship.

622. Steve, Glendale, CA, 91201
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2! I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please preserve this, push back against corporate greed and make me proud to be American.

623. Yary Cartez, Glendale, CA, 91202
I support KEEPING strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I disagree with the direction the direction the FCC is going and the ramifications of unfair changes.

624. Kyra Burky, Burbank, CA, 91504
I specifically support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Thank you (:

625. Collier Hansen, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Keep the internet free and open. Stop lining your pockets with money from self-interested groups and do your fucking job to look after the PEOPLE of this country, not the CORPORATIONS.

626. Javin Reid, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Please keep the ISPs classified in Title II. This regulation is a PROTECTION for consumers. If you re-classify them to Title I, you are hurting Americans, not protecting us.

627. Edward Bauman, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support net neutrality backed by title 2! Do not sell my right to browse the internet with freedom!!!!

628. Timothy Ciancio, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
To ensure a fair practices by internet ISP providers I urge you to keep in place the FCC net neutrality rules backed by Title II regulations. As a consumer we deserve equal access to information without throttled data and speed limits from service providers trying to profit over their competitors.

629. Peter Cho, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I believe the FCC did a great job implementing the currentlly implemented regulations regarding net neutrality. Before these regulations were in place, I witnessed my (previous) ISP absolutely making changes to my internet connectivity for their benefit based on their preferences, business advantages, or convenience, despite what I paid for or was told I was receiving as a service. These instances were extremely frustrating and disappointing until the FCC stepped in to defend net

neutrality.I used to believe in the FCC being able to do the right thing however that was until now. I absolutely disagree with the FCC chairman, Ajit Pai. These ISPs cannot be trusted and will absolutely take advantage of the consumers as they have in the past.

630. Kate Hackett, Glendale, CA, 91202
Don't be a dick.Preserve net neutrality and Title II Status for ISPs. Because not doing that is stupid. And dicky.

631. Jenna Terlosky, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
I support NET NEUTRALITY FOR ALL! Keep it strong by keeping Title II!

632. Maral Tejirian, Glendale, CA, 91201
Please support and keep net neutrality and the internet open.....

633. Emily Brecht, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This is one of the most important existing protections that keep corporations from dictating what content is accessible to network users. I would like to maintain my freedom of choice online & streaming. I do not trust corporations to regulate themselves or make choices that would protect me as a consumer or a citizen.

634. Ernest Gilbert, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Data and the net should remain open and free from meddling by corporations or the ISPs. thank you

635. Peter Ente, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Protect Net Neutrality. ISPs are properly treated under Article II.

636. Michael Kolb, Glendale, CA, 91202
Net neutrality laws are vital for the world's current and future digital evolution. America is the worldwide leader in production of quality internet content, and needs to set the example for by properly regulation of Web 2.0. Keep ISP's under title 2

637. Jessica Murphy, Burbank, CA, 91501
I support the preserving of strong Net Neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs

638. Eli Goldstein, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support net neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Broadband is a common carrier and should be regulated as such. It has not deterred investment as some have claimed and it is an important protection that cannot be left to the whims of congress.Best,Eli Goldstein

639. Joe Lorigo, Burbank, CA, 91506
Please keep net neutrality a real thing and keep the regulations under Title II. We

can't trust the ISPs to be fair and impartial all the time.

640. Christopher Roth, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Please keep the current Title II Net Neutrality rules in place.

641. Kathleen M Byrnes, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I STRONGLY urge the FCC to ensure Net Neutrality by maintaining current Title II rules

642. Malin Delling, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. Please do not roll back the current protections and ruin internet services for everybody.

643. Emily McGregor, Burbank, CA, 91502
As a creator and entrepreneur on the internet, I ask that you preserve net neutrality rules and the Title II authority used to enforce them. Don't fuck up the internet. Thank you.

644. Ryan, Burbank, CA, 91504
I strongly support net neutrality and the use of title 2 on ISP providers.

645. Bill Spater, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Retain title II as controlling the issue internet access by isps. Do not change existing rules in any way. And go fuck yourself in the ear for making this so hard an opinion to voice to a communication watchdog.

646. jems, los angeles, CA, 90038
I support title 2. Net neutrality is important. for freedom and america being the greatest.

647. Jesse Keen, Burbank, CA, 91506
ISP's MUST remain classified under Title II regulations, moving them to any other lower form of regulation not only subverts the clear will of the American people whom you swore to uphold and protect but allows corporations to do whatever the fuck they want, essentially resulting in a dystopian, monopoly controlled, anti-future. Don't allow that to happen. The American people already told you what we wanted last time around, Net Neutrality is the law of the land. Do not go against the clear will of the American public.

648. Kaleb Grace, Burbank, CA, 91504
Dear FCC, I support strong New Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please keep this in place. Thank you for your time. Sincerely, Kaleb Grace

649. Megan Bagley, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please keep net neutrality and title II in place.

650. Shana, los angeles, CA, 90069

support net neutralitypreserve title II

651. Julia Grove, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support the FCC preserving the 2015 Title II Net Neutrality rules. Thank you for hearing my voice.

652. Andy Kenareki, Glendale, CA, 91202
Net neutrality is vital for our nation's economy and civic life. Please keep net neutrality for all Americans.

653. Sam Gipson, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Let's keep things fair please. Strongly support net neutrality.

654. Kristen Emery, Burbank, CA, 91506
PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY! Consumers must not pay more to have access/speed/ priority to the internet.

655. Christopher Rogness, Burbank, CA, 91502
I STRONGLY support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of internet service providers. PLEASE, DON'T BREAK THE INTERNET. I feel deeply that this will ruin its free market nature. Thank you.

656. Daniel Bracey, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Hey there, Ajit Pai.I'm from Buffalo, NY as well. So from one Buffalonian to another, I wanted to let you know that I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs. So yea, don't change that. Pretty please.Dan

657. Meghan Malloy, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title 2. Please uphold them.

658. Courtney Stepleton, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I do not support any deregulation of net neutrality laws. They should remain under Title 2 safeguards. I am an active voter who will withold support from and resist any politician or political agency interested in revoking the existing safeguards protecting Internet users from ISP partiality.

659. Kyle Tilbury, Burbank, CA, 91501
I support net neutrality and keeping ISP's listed under Title II, with stricter regulations. I oppose any act that would favor one website's speed or access more than others.

660. John Pitek, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. Internet is an integral part of our daily lives from business to child and elderly care to leisure and more. This is not well thought-out and will have ramifications that will cause more headache and hurt rather than help. Please stop making life more difficult for all of us than it needs to be.

661. Marika Cifor, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I strongly urge the FCC to maintain net neutrality. Maintaining Title 2 status for internet service providers is the most effective way to protect the net neutrality upon which consumers and citizens depend.

662. Melania Gharakhanian, Glendale, CA, 91202
Please tell Ajit Pai that I support net neutrality. Please preserve it.

663. Robert Alfonso-Hidalgo, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2, PLEASE

664. John Smith, hollywood, CA, 90069
Please do not change Net Neutrality rules. ISPs will ruin everyone's internet experience. Please do not let their millions and millions of dollars sway you to changing the Title II rules or any other in their favor. We as a nation are FAR behind in terms of bandwidth available at an acceptable cost compared to other nations. Yet these companies make billions off of us. We (America) created this wonderful Internet and it should be we (America) to keep it free and open for the world. If anything force ISPs to increase bandwidth and not increase cost. Force ISPs to compete for dominance in cities and rural areas. At present they do not compete and keep prices for access high. They are worried about their profit margins more than people having access. It is in ISPs best interest to abolish Net Neutrality. I beseech you to maintain the freedom of the internet.

665. Paul Plunkett, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Keep the net neutral! Without it, the free world shrinks and is in danger of disappearing.

666. Jasmine, Burbank, CA, 91506
Hello, I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I do not believe companies should be able to slow down other apps or search engines to be competitive. Thank you.

667. Patrick Lawler, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Why are we having to do this again??? You obviously know this is not what the American people want. Stop taking money from ISPs and do your god damn job.

668. justin alderman, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Keep the isps title 2..... Stong neutrality is essential.....

669. Joshua Hansen, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
It is Very important for our future, with regards to fairness of business and the freedom of information, that you preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. The dismantling of Net Neutrality is unacceptable, and is quite frankly UN-AMERICAN. FCC Chairman Ajit Pai must back away from this crusade to reverse FCC Regulations. Doing so would further damage the already shaky reputation of the FCC. The FCC must do it's job and serve the people of the united states rather

than corporate interests who lobby on behalf of politicians! Retain Net Neutrality under Title II!

670. Alek Pevtsov, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Outside of Congress issuing new applicable legislation on the net neutrality and the use of internet, it's best to retain and protect the net neutrality by continuing to maintain the ISPs' classification under Title II. As a government entity, your representation is for all the citizens of the nation and not for a number of selective companies that provide the broadband capacity and seek to add onto their profit. We do not allow the electricity and water utilities to dictate their price and preference; so why do we need to put the ISPs in the position of naming their price and preference for the broadband use.Thank you.

671. Aaron Simard, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I am shocked and appalled that the FCC wants to end net neutrality. I almost feel like any congressman / woman who accepted funding from ISPs should not be able to vote on the decision. Ending Net Neutrality does nothing to protect the citizens of the country and does everything to help big business. I guarantee that I will never support or vote for anyone in the Washington who supports ending it.

672. Jim, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support strong net neutrality rules. Preserve net neutrality and title 2!!!!

673. jeanette, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Protect the FCC and tittle 2.

674. Charly Lin, Burbank, CA, 91506
Keep Title II and preserve net neutrality. Thanks

675. Margaret Borchert, La Canada, CA, 91011
I strongly support net neutrality enforcement through Title II

676. Net Neutrality, Glendale, CA, 91202
I have been an avid internet user since the 90's. I STRONGLY support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not turn the internet into cable TV.

677. Boris Chernin, los angeles, CA, 90046
I strongly support maintaining the existing net neutrality rules in place under Title II. Do not place the interests of corporate monopolies over those of the American people.

678. net neutrality, tujunga, CA, 91042
Preserve net neutrality and title two. Also Ajit Pai has a very punchable face and his mug is stupid.

679. Ben Winston, West Hollywood, CA, 90069

Please do not support getting rid of net neutrality.

680. Connor Angus, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am strongly opposed to the Restoring Internet "Freedom" Act. It is clear that anyone who is against net neutrality has either not thought about the long-term effects and the terrible precedent that it will have in the future or has an agenda that is furthered by the abolishment of net neutrality. The Internet represents unlimited access to unlimited knowledge. Access to information and knowledge is, and should always be a basic human right!

681. Cory Hamilton, Burbank, CA, 91506
Please maintain Net Neutrality. Self policing will not be successful.

682. Karen Sherwood, LA CANADA, CA, 91011
We need to maintain net neutrality through enforcement of Title 2. Don't allow my ISP provider to mess with my choices of what to stream.

683. Tyler Soper, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I understand it's important to encourage investment in technology and infrastructure but I ending net neutrality could likely have catastrophic effects in our country. Corporations are important, but people and freedom need to come first and we depend on the FCC to protect those interests.

684. David Rees, Glendale, CA, 91201
I support Net Neutrality and wish the FCC to preserve equal competition among ISPs, web hosts, search engines and public IP servers on the internet.

685. John Michael Bond, 90029, CA, 90029
Net neutrality matters. You will be destroying industry and opportunity in the name of helping companies that are already wildly profitable and barely regulated. Your job is to protect consumers, not companies. Do not change the title II rules for ISPs.

686. Mark Svenningsen, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Net neutrality is so important to keep the internet fair and open for all people, regardless of money or status.ISP's will abuse their power if not regulated to not be able to do so - please keep the internet open and equal for all.Title 2 must remain.

687. nora graham, los angeles, CA, 90046
I want to be sure we maintain strong net neutrality.We need the protection of Title 2

688. J Moss, Glendale, CA, 91206
I support strong net neutrality, backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs SO QUIT IT.

689. Filter, Burbank, CA, 91506
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP'S. I strongly encourage you to preserve this system

690. Amanda Li, burbank, CA, 91501
I am writing on behalf of keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

691. Taylor Barlow, Tujunga, CA, 91042
Removing or loosening the net neutrality restrictions would have negative effects on consumers. Please don't touch it. Leave ISPs under Title II governance.

692. george anton, Los angeles, CA, 90038
I'm for net neutrality for all , support for the youtube guy with glasses as well.

693. Ram Calley, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Net neutrality is vitally important and must be protected. This fact is simple, fundamental, and vital. Nobody wants the internet to turn into Cable TV.

694. CW, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support full Net Neutrality and sincerely hope the FCC will not continue to aid and abet the collusion and monopolization by giant internet service providers at the expense of fair/necessary competition and the US citizenry. Please act like a PUBLIC INSTITUTION and not a CORPORATE GRAFT RECIPIENT and defend the public trust in our government agencies.

695. Rand Sevilla, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please maintain Title II standing for Net Neutrality legal standing. Do not mess with our pipeline.

696. Karin Campbell, los angeles, CA, 90026
I ask to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. This is essential.

697. Jade Martin, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Net neutrality is extremely important to alot of people. Alot of people who mostly live on the internet would be the most affected and due to requirements they'd have it would make the US not a place of freedom for them but a place of restriction; for me as I am one of those people (as I am a programmer) If we lost net neutrality I would take the necessary actions required to move out of the United States as it is no longer a place I can do what I need to do not only for my job but also for just general enjoyment. I don't want to leave the US and I really do like it here, however if I cannot do my job or be happy here there is no reason to stay.

698. Katie Hathaway, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It is vital that we preserve net neutrality and Title II.

699. Patrick Aghajanian, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Changing the rules for net neutrality/making it easier for companies to take advantage of consumers displays a complete lack of the kind of forward thinking that built the internet into what it is. Poor regulation will lead into exactly the kind of situation that will bar free access to information, which is one of the great tenants

that the internet stands for.

700. Ben Cook, Burbank, CA, 91504
Net Neutrality is key to a healthy and egalitarian society in the digital age. Restore the protections of Title II.

701. oliver voigt, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Keep it neutral, do not erase the net neutrality law. Thank you

702. Richard Schenkman, Hollywood, CA, 90046
I'm writing to add my voice to the multitude expressing my deep concern for Net Neutrality. Clearly the Title II regulation was a wonderful idea and a solid strengthening of the safety of Net Neutrality as a concept, so please don't do anything to mess it up! We need a free and open Internet.

703. Laura Merton, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I demand that you preserve Net Neutrality & Title 2. The American people deserve not to be deceived.

704. Andrew Jewell, Glendale, CA, 91202
I support the continuation of "Title 2 Oversight"

705. net neutriality, la crescenta, CA, 91214
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Your family and friends will appreciate you more for it.

706. AJ Berna, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am opposed to reclassifying the regulation of ISPs. This is a clear attempt by a former worker of the ISPs to give them the ability to close the internet. Regardless of what garbage he spews, this is terrible for Americans, and based on his lies and desires to give profit to his former employer.

707. alexandra zoe levin, los angeles, CA, 90026
I STRONGLY SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE TWO OVERSIGHT OF ISPS! STRONGLY STRONGLY SUPPORT!

708. Andrea Kolb, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I support the preservation of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you for your time.

709. Aren, Burbank, CA, 91502
Please do not remove, limit, restrict or otherwise render ineffective the internet fairness policy known as Net Neutrality. The internet is a public utility and not a tool for Internet Service Providers to decide what the masses should or should not be able to access. Once again, please do not remove Net Neutrality nor change it in any way shape or form that is deemed detrimental to citizens/residents of the United States of America. Fairness and equality are of the utmost importance.

710. Marc Romeo, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Normally I am not a big political person however, I refuse to sit by and allow this administration to roll us back to the 1930's. We are American's and deserve to have fair business practices and fair elections. Greed has taken over our country. I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please do the right thing and rethink your position. For those leaders who do not listen to those citizen's they represent, we will replace them!

711. Jeremy, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I urge the FCC to rethink its plan to dismantle the open internet and instead keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II in place. As the C-level executives of internet service providers like Verizon have already made clear in calls with investors, their decision to make future investments in internet infrastructure has nothing to do with this regulation.On top of this, the possibility that large telecommunications firms might selectively provide better service sets a dangerous precedent, one which may inhibit the future competitiveness of American small business owners and entrepreneurs like myself.

712. Sherlock Holmes, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I full-heartedly support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

713. Jenifer Kramer, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The idea that the FCC is considering overturning Net Neutrality regulations is utterly horrifying and should be seriously reconsidered immediately.

714. levi ahmu, los angeles, CA, 91201
Hello. Let's be honest and admit this is not about freedom. It is about private interest over what many believe should be a utility. The conflict of interest here is plainly evident with telecoms claiming they won't interfere despite concrete evidence otherwise (throttling of Netflix, blocking Google wallet) and a responsibility to profits to do so if it's allowed. Please don't allow this. It will greatly harm the integrity and openess of the internet as we know it. We don't expect the people who construct roads and freeways to dictate how and when you can drive, likewise the telecoms should not have any say in our data usage.

715. CEA JORDAN, LA, CA, 90068
I STRONGLY URGE AJIT PAI TO MAINTAIN AND UPHOLD NET NEUTRALITY. It's an essential cornerstone for Democracy.

716. Marie Bower, Burbank, CA, 91502
People over corporate interests. Keep the net neutral! That is all. Thanks.

717. ian zak, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
This is one of the most important issues of my generation. Open access to information is a benchmark of a democratic society.

718. corina howell, Los angeles, CA, 90027
Preserve net neutrality as it is!

719. Edward Kleinbard, Pasadena, CA, 91105
I write to express my strongly held view in favor of true net neutrality, which means continued regulation of ISPs under Title II, as contemplated by the Verizon case. Consumers such as me have little or no choice in their high-speed internet providers, and most of the major ISPs have other ventures or commercial arrangements that create inherent conflicts between promoting those other activities, on the one hand, and neutral treatment of all internet content as delivered to me, on the other. There is no voluntary system that can be effectively policed, and no consequences would attach to breaching any voluntary undertaking. A free enterprise system here must contemplate that I as a consumer make the final decision on what internet resources I use, based solely on my consumer preferences, rather than on speed or access differentiation imposed on me by my ISP. A step away from the current system is a step away from a genuine free market, where consumer preferences are king.

720. Daniel Nissila, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I implore you to NOT make any changes to the net neutrality rules already in place. We need to keep the internet free and equitable for all comers. If we lose this, then all that our children will be left with is an internet ruled by big money. Please don't do this to us and to them. Thank you for doing what you know is the right thing!

721. Jesse Harris, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Please protect small businesses and everyday Americans from big corporate powers having the ability to slow down the internet for smaller competitors and making the internet a less fair place. America stands for freedom, please keep our internet that way too!

722. net neutrality, LA, CA, 90046
The internet must be kept neutral. ISPs cannot be allowed to prioritize bandwidth.

723. Evan Pesses, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Keeping Title 2 is very important to me. I feel as though Net Neutrality is a vital safeguard for citizens to have equal access to content on the internet. The ISPs should not be able to control consumers' use of their network.

724. Mark Beasom, La Canada, CA, 91011
I support Net Neutrality and Title 2 oversight

725. grant leibowitz, LA, CA, 90027
I support title 2 oversight and regulation in regards to net neutrality.

726. Robert Koch, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
If there is no net neutrality there is no hope for small business. please do not tell our country to fuck itself....you are better than this. net neutrality must be upheld.

727. Jennifer Cotton, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support net neutrality! The FCC should listen to the will of the people., who clearly want a free and open internet. The government represents people, not the interests of big businesses.

728. paul Gebeau, burbank, CA, 91504
please preserve Net Neutrality and don't change any current rules or laws and keep the internet that way it is now

729. Johannes van Dijk, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please preserve Title 2 for ISPs. Net neutrality is very important for a free democracy. The fact that before the regulations net neutrality wasn't killed, doesn't mean it won't be in the future and I need to FCC to protect all of us from that. Thank you.

730. Camilo Rios, los angeles, CA, 90028
Please do not take away net neutrality. Title 2 is there to protect us the consumers from money being poured in by corporations looking to tilt the scales. FCC do the right thing!

731. Paul Shaw, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please preserve net neutrality! Keep title 2 controls! Please don't allow the big boys to have a say on what I watch. Please don't allow corporations to choose their shows over the shows I want to watch!

732. Adam, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of I.S.P's.

733. Anthony Chavira, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I absolutely support net neutrality. There is no even playing field for competition without it. Any argument that eliminating net neutrality improves competition is an abject lie that only helps the very few internet service providers in America to consolidate more power. Mr. Pai, you are genuinely for net neurality and free competition on the internet, or else you are for monopoly. Ensure enforceable net neutrality.

734. Jordan W, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I urge you to please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. As a writer for streaming television & media, net neutrality is VITAL to fair accessibility of online content and protecting the genuine interests of consumers.

735. Jason Watkins, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am writing to express my support of strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.

736. Craig Hildebrand, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net Neutrality is imperative for a functioning democracy.

737. Ethan Kravitz, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
Net neutrality is essential to our vibrant technology economy in California and throughout the country. Removing it will have disastrous effects.

738. Jonathan Pacella, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. We must keep the net neutral, or we head down a very dangerous road that will entrench our government further into corporate cronyism.

739. Erika Hirsch, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I strongly believe that is is important to preserve and protect net neutrality and Title II oversight. Removing these protections clearly benefits large corporations over internet consumers and will be very damaging. Furthermore, the way the FCC chair has presented this issue has been shamefully biased in favor of these large corporations.

740. William Butler, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Please vote to keep Net Neutrality.

741. Brooke Waldrop, Burbank, CA, 91501
I oppose any action to transfer Net Neutrality under Title 1 from Title 2. An open, neutral internet is essential to success in modern life and work. Protect our inalienable rights to Life, Liberty, and Pursuit of Happiness as you are supposed to do. As it is stated in the Declaration of Independence: "We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty and the pursuit of Happiness; that to secure these rights, Governments are instituted among Men, deriving their just powers from the consent of the governed,..." The US Government exists for all Americans, us, the people, not just a small segment. Do your job to protect all American's rights of Life, Liberty and Pursuit of Happiness. It's the reason your job exists at all. Also, to the point the FCC Secretary likes to make, just because something hasn't happened, doesn't mean it won't. Protections are there to make sure it doesn't--ever. If ISPs sincerely won't take advantage, if they really wouldn't voluntarily take advantage of changing this rule, then this rule isn't a problem from them. Keep it on the Title 2 books. Don't. Change. It.

742. Jay Ginsberg, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support net nuetrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.

743. Eric Shaw Quinn*, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Please preserve net neutrality and it's current Title II classification in it's current form. Thank you

744. Leah Burdick, Burbank, CA, 91504
We must protect net neutrality to support small businesses.

745. Nicole Raft, Los Angeles, CA, 90031

I believe it is very important to keep Title 2 in tact, strong net neutrality is what's right. What could be more American than protecting the people and their freedoms?

746. Derick Aguilar, Los Angeles, CA, 90031
I support Title II, this needs to stay intact! Net neutrality is extremely important!

747. Joshua Miller, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Net Neutrality is the lynchpin of an open and free internet for all. I strongly support Title II oversight of ISPs and American internet without this protection will continue to lag behind that which should be provided to consumers in a first-world nation.

748. Shanley Wang, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please back strong net neutrality with active regulation to keep the flow of information truly free.

749. Karen Berzanski, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversights of ISPs. THANK YOU.

750. Mineli, Glendale, CA, 91202
TiTle II oversight of ISP is key to Net Neutrality, and eliminating it will reduce the democracy of our nation.

751. Asher Hunt, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
Don't take away our free internet. You'll lose my support, and the support of all of those within our company.

752. Daniel James Cota, Burbank, CA, 91502
I SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE 2 OVERSIGHT OF ISPs. Stop the fuckery.

753. Robin Reed, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I also support novelty coffee mugs, but I'm mostly here to for the NET NEUTRALITY!!

754. Matthew Daniel Gordon, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
We specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight by ISPs. Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2 classification.

755. Matthew Maulding, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I am writing to express my support of Net Neutrality rules under Title II. Thank you.

756. Kieran Mulroy, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Don't get rid of net neutrality, it is imperative that we maintain a truly open and free internet.

757. Steve Emmons, los angeles, CA, 90026
Title 1 & 2 are crucial protections of the First Amendment and all that makes the internet worth using. Please uphold Net Neutrality! Thank you.

758. Alyssa Moody, Glendale, CA, 91206
I strongly support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. PLEASE do not let the ISP's take control without any policing. Thank you!

759. Michael Tobias, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I want the Internet to remain an open network.

760. Ron Utin Lalkin, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please maintain net neutrality and title 2! Thanks!

761. Jessica Knutson, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net Neutrality must remain!!!

762. StephenWhalen, Glendale, CA, 91201
Mr Pai, I implore you, sir, that if you should do repeal the FCC's regulation title I and II our of the FCC's books. We the citizens of the United States and even Canada will ask for your resignation to the FCC for grave misconduct and illicit behaviour tampering a American lives. We all want to keep the net neutrality regulations intact for the next decade so that competition between mobile companies will be fair and concise.With Regards,SW

763. Debby Crooks, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Preserve net neutrality! Keep it under Title II regulations.

764. Matthew Gurnick, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please keep the internet classified under Title II. I am seriously concerned that reclassification will prevent free access to the internet. It is really important that everyone gets equal access to the internet. Please maintain net neutrality.

765. Andria Hopkins, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I am writing to urge the FCC to preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. I support strong Net Neutrality, and thus Title II oversight of ISPs.

766. Peter Larson, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I support Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Strong net neutrality regulations are required to avoid ulterior motives from service providers. Especially when a select few ISPs have control over required commons resources like underground tunnels on public property.

767. Blaine Houserman, los angeles, CA, 90068
Please leave the internet free and neutral !!!!!!

768. Kerry Hunnewell, Los Angeles, CA, 90027

I have worked for several companies that produce content for delivery over the internet, and for companies involved in network infrastructure. I am aware of the highly competitive business inclinations of the oligopoly of major broadband providers (many of which are monopolies in local markets), and their desire to acquire content which will compete with other content over those same ISPs' broadband delivery infrastructure. I am also aware of the courts' decisions regarding enforcement of net neutrality by the FCC. It is my strong and heartfelt opinion that to ensure fair and equal access to content (i.e., "net neutrality"), it is essential that net neutrality be mandated by the oversight of ISP's under Title II of The Communication Act of 1934. Thank you in advance for your consideration of my opinion.

769. Ryan Stack, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
I want to put forth my support for Net Neutrality. I don't support any roll backs on this issue. I want the internet to be an open and free place.

770. Blaine Solomon, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please keep net neutrality and Title II. Thanks.

771. Michael Nassar, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108 with its intention to remove or hamper net neutrality.Allowing for Net neutrality will help businesses compete more openly and provide better quality and choice for consumers.

772. Dr. Dennis Neder, Glendale, CA, 91201
The blocking, removal, rescinding or any other modification to the FCC's ruling on "net neutrality" must not happen. The internet belongs to the people and must not be affected in anyway whatsoever by companies with the goal of changing access rules based on profit. I therefore insist that all the provisions of the original ruling be left entirely intact and unaltered.

773. Nick Agich, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs and believe it your duty to protect consumers in supporting this.

774. Daniel Carmin, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I strongly support Title 2 oversight of ISPs with the goal of net neutrality. I suppose the idea is quaint these days, but the laws of our country and its various bureaus and commissions and departments and branches of government should be supporting the interests of American citizens. Thank you for your consideration.

775. Preserve, Glendale, CA, 91206
Preserve Net Neutrality. The internet is a wonderful thing, do not let corporations rule the internet.

776. Eric Lalicata, Burbank, CA, 91504
I support Net Neutrality. Internet Service Providers should be reclassified under

Title II. Do not allow large corporate ISPs to self regulate the speed and availability of access to the internet in a biased or unfair manner.

777. David Kramer, Burbank, CA, 91506
I support the preservation of net neutrality and title 2. Please don't make the mistake of taking these away.

778. Trevor Lindborg, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I wish for you to preserve Net Neutrality and keep ISPs under Title 2.

779. citizen, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Fuck you FCC. Leave title 2 alone.

780. Rebecca Stewart, Burbank, CA, 91506
Equal access to online information is so important, please keep the internet a free space. Preserve net neutrality and title two.

781. Matt Barrs, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I unequivocally support net neutrality regulation and oppose allowing ISPs to restrict or favor access to legal websites.

782. Rene De Blanco, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Do your job and represent the US Consumer.

783. DO NOT GET RID OF NET NEUTRALITY, Glendale, CA, 91205
DO NOT GET RID OF NET NEUTRALITY, do now let a few people control the internet, this is not right

784. Katie Porter, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
RETAIN NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II. This is a matter of freedom of speech.

785. suzanne warren, los angeles, CA, 90068
You've tried this before. We've been here before and we are going to come hard and strong after anyone who betrays the people of this country and the children of this country by selling off their birthright (a free and fair internet - that was invented with research funds from their own taxpaying parents) to greedy corporations who have ZERO intention of a fair playing field and will only use their advantages to strangle other small businesses and ultimately hobble free enterprise and free speech in this country.

786. Laura Beck, LA, CA, 90026
WE NEED STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE 2 OVERSIGHT OF ISPs! We are watching! Thank you!

787. Tiffany Thompson, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
NET NEUTRALITY is absolutely critical. I support strong net neutrality backed by

Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s.

788. Rob Zinke, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I STRONGLY support net neutrality. Net neutrality is critical to free speech. We need it for everyday life. In these times, of disagreement, we can all agree that net neutrality is a good thing that we all want.

789. 17-108, Burbank, CA, 91501
I support equal access to the internet.I support Net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isps

790. net, Glendale, CA, 91204
Preserving internet neutrality is essential as a form of expression and communication in our democracy. No ISP should be able to buy or sell privileged regulation of Internet speed or content control to companies or any third parties.

791. Edmundson Parkes Bondurant, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Please leave the Internet alone and maintain NET NEUTRALITY.

792. David Kuivanen, Burbank, CA, 91501
I specifically support internet neutrality by keeping ISP's under Title 2

793. andrew wallace, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
please please support title 2 and net neutrality. To allow companies to be abel to subvert the current system and pay for an internet "fast lane" would be horrible.

794. Elise Jackson, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Keep Net Neutrality under Title II protections!

795. Scott Baumgartner, Glendale, CA, 91205
Hello-I am writing to implore you to not deregulate net neutrality! A fair system at times requires oversight, not legislative absence. The internet is the world's most powerful tool. Please don't strip away the equal footing ISPs now must abide by.Best, Scott

796. Dan Grayson, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I strongly oppose this proceeding. The internet must remain classified as a Title II Common Carrier, and Net Neutrality, as a principle, must be upheld. I am a senior software engineer with an expertise in large-scale web applications. My experience in the technology industry makes it abundantly clear to me that all traffic must be treated equally by ISPs in order for the internet to be a fair marketplace for ideas and technology competition. Allowing voluntary participation in Net Neutrality or toothless enforcement would be a crushing blow to all but the mightiest and most wealthy voices on the internet. Every packet that is not specially anointed by Verizon, AT&T, Comcast, Cox, or Spectrum would be subject to second-class designation and slowed to delivery speeds that are unacceptable to internet users. This means that no new internet services that rely on bandwidth can challenge

entrenched giants in the marketplace. American innovation will suffer tremendously. In the interest of the people of the United States (in contrast to the interests of telecom corporate giants), ISPs must accept their role as dumb pipe, and treat all traffic equally. The FCC must enforce Net Neutrality under Title II Common Carrier classification.

797. Timothy Grant, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I support Net Neutrality and I am opposed to any attempt by the Trump administration and his appointees to do away with it.

798. Adrianna Rivas, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I want to express my disapproval that the FCC is trying to kill net neutrality. Preserving an open internet is crucial for fair and equal access to the resources and information available on it.Thank you for your time and attention.

799. Kristen Merlino Guzmann, La Canada, CA, 91011
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s.. it's the right thing to do! I vote, and I'm watching!

800. anthony, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
We need to have strong net neutrality laws. Without them corporations will have the ability to control the content we access in favor on monetary gain. In no way is this right. It's balantaly obvious what needs to be done and decisions made in favor of corporations, decisions that undermine the public, should be not tolerated on any level. We are all watching.

801. N. Martin, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Chairman Pai and Commissioners Clyburn and O'Rielly: I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. As a college professor, researcher, and broad internet user, I expect that your decisions will be based in fact and for protection of consumers like me. Keep ISPs under Title II oversight.

802. David Rahman, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I do not want you to change the Net Neutrality law. Keep it under Title II. It will hurt the economy in a major way.

803. Kyle Neal, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs. This oversight is vital to the preservation of a the fair and open internet that is vital to modern day life.Also your mug is stupid.

804. Michael Theurer, Burbank, CA, 91501
Net Neutrality and Title II are important to the freedom of every American, regardless if the President has no idea what they represent.

805. Net Neutrality, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
Protect net neutrality by retaining Title II clause and keeping the internet an open

and free of corporate fast lanes. Eliminating Title II protections will not create a better marketplace for corporations. The internet is a basic human right, as deemed by the United Nations. The people of the world will not allow it.

806. Edward Schoch, burbank, CA, 91504
ISPs should not be allowed to throttle or slow down sites. Too much conflict of interest. Please keep their interests and power in check. Equal access is the only American solution.

807. Lucas, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Hello,I fully support keeping ISPs under Title II oversight in order to preserve net neutrality and ensure equal access to all types of content. Prior regulation under Title II has not resulted in less investment in infrastructure, nor is there any demonstrable evidence that oversight under Title II has adversely affected the continued growth and profits of the telecom industry. Rather, Title II oversight has ensured that the Internet remains free and open for all users.

808. Lily Akopyan, La crescenta, CA, 91214
Net neutrality via title I and title II must be protected at all costs. Net neutrality is essential for equality on the Internet. This law will help smaller businesses have an equal standing to larger corporations who often corrupt their resources to make even more money. We need net neutrality whether it be for the consumer or for businesses. Please keep and enforce title I and title II.

809. Caley, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Please preserve internet neutrality, treat all internet without favoring particular websites backed by Title 2

810. Ali Abdullah Shaw, los angeles, CA, 90046
Please please protect Net Neutrality. The internet is one of the most important human creations of all time. We can not allow it to come under the control of corporations whose priority is their own monetary profit.

811. Cameron Bartolini, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. It is VERY concerning that this may be in peril.

812. Tamera Brown, Montrose, CA, 91020
Dear FCC,Please make sure that the regulations of internets companies stay under Title 2. This is very important! Companies will not police themselves. There is no evidence that companies will cease to invest in infrastructure. Do not cave in to the demands of big corporations! You can show that the American people are truly who matter. Thank you for your time!Sincerely,Tamera Brown

813. Anthony C Stein, La Canada, CA, 91011
I support total net neutrality under Title II governing ISPs

814. Richard Abbott, Sunland, CA, 91040
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I feel that Ajit Pai is disingenuous in his quest to reverse Wheeler's efforts and that we should not have former Verizon lawyers heading the department that provides oversight to the carriers and MSOs.

815. Evan Montgomery, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I support the current net neutrality rules backed under Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not weaken or change these rules.

816. Vaughn, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The internet should be open and free to all. To allow our information and choice to be sold to the highest bidder is a disgrace.

817. Jonathan Howard, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
To those within the Federal Communications Commission. I want to comment for the record that I strongly support true net neutrality standards backed by Title II and I fully expect you to continue to enforce the duties in which you are responsible. I reject these repeated assaults to deregulate ISPs. It is unconscionable. Furthermore, I believe the Chairman is bereft of the good will to execute his duties and protect our resource which is imperative to our common interests. He should step down immediately.

818. Joe Kardon, los angeles, CA, 90068
Keep the internet under Title 2. Arguing that providers won't privilege their content at the expense of rivals' is at best willfully optimistic and at worst lying. Arguing that internet providers operate by market forces driving them to expand infrastructure but NOT using the internet access for profit itself is obtuse. The internet is a utility. The internet was funded by taxpayers and belongs to the people, not the businesses. Let them compete for our money by showing who can provide the fastest service, not the fastest company-approved content.

819. Thomas Buckley, burbank, CA, 91506
I support strong net neutrality and Title II,

820. Kurt von Tiehl, Burbank, CA, 91504
I thoroughly support Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.Anything less than this will provide the ways and means of crippling the Internet, so that a handful of people may earn a boatload more money. I refuse to allow them this unfair profit at my expense.

821. Heather Coursen, Burbank, CA, 91505
The internet should be neutral! We specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.

822. Jonathan Woodside, Glendale, CA, 91206
I support a policy of strong net neutrality.

823. Kirk Johnson, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am very much opposed to Docket No. 17-108. I have made my business and my life because of a free and open internet and I don't want to see that disappear. The consequences for people like myself will be catastrophic. Please do not bend to the will of large corporations and ISPs. Save the internet as we know it today.

824. JD Noble, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
The FCC should have zero say over what we can or cannot do online. It is already foolish enough that ISP are charging the American people with a service they provide for free, and on top of that providing substandard service all around. From the speed of the internet to the amount of time one spends on hold dealing with ISPs. It's absurd that anyone would think this type of thing is ok, but the rich get richer right?

825. jen winter, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Me Pai, it is important to so many of us that your restore title 2, and fully support strong safeguards to protect net neutrality. Thank you for doing the right thing. Love, Jen

826. k ellis, Los angeles, CA, 90046
If it ain't broke, quit trying to fix it.

827. Elizabeth Vitanza, Glendale, CA, 91208
Dear Mr. Pai,I thought that Net Neutrality was a done deal. Why you would use your agency to make the internet more unequal and reward businesses already earning billions on the backs of consumers' date is beyond me.When a bureaucrat begins an argument about technology with a completely irrelevant sports analogy â€”in this case, the trading away by the NBAâ€™s Oklahoma City Thunder of two superstars as a way to avoid the leagueâ€™s salary cap â€” you can be confident heâ€™s trying to flimflam you. (â€œWhy I'm trying to change how the FCC regulates the Internet,â€ Opinion, April 26)Federal Communications Commission Chairman Ajit Pai casts the debate as â€œlawyers and bureaucratsâ€ (evil) versus â€œengineers, technologists and businessesâ€ (good). By lumping the latter three into a single category, he obscures the fact that the engineers and technologists largely support regulation of the businesses that have been stifling, not stimulating, advancement in Internet technology.Paiâ€™s fundamental argument is that nothing bad has happened, so nothing bad will happen. But to do so he has to ignore the fact that bad things have already happened, with Internet firms repeatedly trying to charge companies like Google and Netflix for connections we consumers have already paid for.In a time when populism is resurgent, making the internet more unequal (especially when we already have disparities in broadband access between rural and urban consumers), trying to under FCC regulations designed to encourage equality and consumer protection will inevitably backfire. Give it up.Sincerely,Elizabeth Vitanza

828. Ben Biddle, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support net neutrality and strongly believe that Title II is critical to protecting a free and open internet for all Americans. It is the proper role of government and regulation to ensure equality of opportunity to the citizenry, which includes the FCC's role in ensuring equitable access to services delivered over the internet. ISP must not be allowed to limit access to such services for the benefit of their other business interests or to otherwise impinge on the agency of consumers in selecting and using such services.

829. Lucas Wrench, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am in strong opposition to Docket 17-108.

830. Steven Malkson, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I am writing to express my strong support for maintaining the existing Net Neutrality rules under Title II. ISP providers should not be able to play favorites in regards to any legitimate content. A clear, enforceable regulatory framework is the best way to achieve this result. The current rules should be left alone!

831. Levi Reznicek, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I strongly believe the FCC should preserve current net neutrality regulations and Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

832. arinbert shahmoradian, Glendale, CA, 91202
Use title 2, instead of title 1

833. Greg Schwartz, Burbank, CA, 91502
Please stand with the public and small businesses and organizations by upholding the Title II designation, and, more importantly, the net neutrality rules currently in place.

834. John Wall, Burbank, CA, 91506
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 over site of ISPs

835. Kevin Cavanaugh, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
This is the dumbest thing ever. There is no justification other than appeasing your corporate overlords. The chairman's disingenuous arguments are ridiculous. Get a clue as even the stupid people are beginning to wake up and see the many ways the government favors corporations over the people. When even the stupid see your actions as corrupt, you should work for your constituents, not corporations (and don't even start with the corporations are people BS).

836. Kelsie Steffen-Gadsby, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I'm in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by the Title II oversight of ISPs. I am absolutely opposed to Docket No. 17-108! I hope that the right decision for all Americans is made on This. My strong hope is that this decision is not based on special interests for the corporations it would benefit if Net Neutrality is taken away.

Thank you.

837. Clinton Steeds, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Telcoms and their investors do not have an abiding interest in protecting equal access to the Internet. The government, specifically the FCC, does. Oversight and regulation is your job, not enriching investors.

838. Tina Charles, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. We need it. We must have it. Don't want to lose it.

839. Toni Judkins, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I specifically support STRONG Net Nuetrality backed by Title II of ISP! Please we need and must have net neutrality. Ajit Pai is wrong on this, don't listen to him. WE NEED NET NEUTRALITY!!!!

840. Adam Bergeron, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Hello, I would like to voice that I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. And I am opposed to Docket N. 17-108. The internet is the very core of our modern age and gives opportunities for advancements in business, health, technology, science, communication and of course entertainment. The only way this works is by protecting Net Neutrality and not giving any preferential treatment to any source/business.

841. Emily Felt, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s!!!!!! Thank you!

842. Lawrence C Snelly, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Stop this madness. The net needs to remain neutral.

843. Trent G. Anderson, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90029
I biggly support Title 2 for internet neutrality to stay in place.

844. Alborz Bahmani, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
This would slow down things and make life harder. And it's getting harder already? And if we truly want to have a better place than we started we need to keep the flow of communication obstructed.

845. Thor Fienberg, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
I am in full support of STRONG Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am OPPOSED to Docket No. 17-108. Thank you.

846. Joy Ellison, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
This is a constitutionally protected right- to open, un-biased internet communicatiion.Don't let some bully strong-arm the FCC from what is the right

thing to doThank youJE

847. Joe Calardo, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support the effort to preserve strong net neutrality neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

848. Ben Kawaller, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I want to preserve Title 2 and protect net neutrality.This is a no brainer.Please don't be terrible.

849. Angela Park, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I work for a media company and as a developer would like to voice my opinion. I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by the title 2 oversight of ISPs. I'm sending my deepest concern for what I believe may lead to the halt of the true information age.

850. Michael Paul, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by title 2 over site of IFPs.

851. Brian omalley, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Preserve neutrality and Title ll and in the future please try and avoid collusuon with soulless corporations that already have too much say in how our government functions. It's bad enough our choices are being limited by craven poilticians siding with executives of said corporations.

852. Will Gong, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly urge you to keep strong net neutrality rules as backed by Title II. Thanks you for your all your hard work.

853. Jon Eiswerth, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Keep net nuetrality fair! Preserve title 2!

854. Maureen Russell, Burbank, CA, 91501
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Every American citizen should be guaranteed equal access to the Internet.

855. Steve Ebelhar, La Canada, CA, 91011
I support STRONG net Neutrality, keep ISP's title 2 under the Communications act of 1934. Regulation isn't a bad thing all the time. Keep our internet free.

856. Max Mayer, Burbank, CA, 91506
Please preserve net neutrality and title II. It is necessary for cyber freedom and digital equality.

857. Alex Fernie, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
To view the internet as anything besides a requirement in modern life/business is to ignore the reality that we live in. The FCC needs to support Net Neutrality and

preserve the protections under Title 2. To destroy net neutrality is a massive gift to the major corporations and actively works against the well-being of Americans and American business.Please preserve Net Neutrality.

858. net, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
It is crucial that the internet remains an open playing field for all. Allowing corporations to choose which websites can receive "preferred" status will lead to two classes of internet, those big companies who are willing to pay, and everyone else who isn't. That kind of system will be a terrible thing for the freedom of information, which must be preserved as a right for all American citizens to access. I am firmly against the proposal to discard net neutrality.

859. Brenda Varda, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP: this is essential to Freedom of Information and Communications access for all.

860. Earle Monroe, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong net neutrality, as backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.

861. Lyn Pestana MD, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I want the strong supports of internet neutrality under Title 2. Please do not change the RULES. Thanks you for yourconsideration. Lyn Petana MD

862. Bryan Goldner, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
It is important to maintain a free and open internet. Removing regulations which prevent large corporations from infringing upon those rights will not do this. Time and again these corporations prove they look out for their interest only, not the consumers. These same corporations in available recordings to stock holders when Title II became active demonstrate that the regulations will not affect their business practices or profits. The only reason to move away from the regulations is for nefarious purposes.

863. Chelsea, Los Angleles, CA, 90029
I support net neutrality, and the protections to the internet provided by Title II. I think we all know that there will be a huge public outcry if the FCC's protections over the internet were removed. Please, I'm begging you, don't remove Title II. It would be a HUGE mistake.

864. David Reynolds, Burbank, CA, 91505
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. I do not want company's bidding and messing with what gets priority and what doesn't. It's simple stuff guys, try not to be evil. The current proposal is immoral, and I am strongly opposed.

865. Matthew Gonzalez, Burbank, CA, 91504
The FCC needs to continue upholding strong net neutrality backed by Title II ISPs.

866. Ran Dean, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Ajit, how much did you get for sucking the cock of your Verizon boss with the intent of making American taxpayers clean up the mess and pay the bill endlessly? I know your heritage is one that is fine with allowing people to ride on top of trains, and I'm that America is a much better country, but the reason America is better is because it allows formerly non-Americans to become Americans owing to preventing the kind of bribery that is "infamous" in India.

867. Tyler Creekmore, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Keep the current rule in its current form. While I do not think ISP's would engage in the worst case scenarios that have been discussed in the media coverage of this topic, why take a chance. The ISP's will still have plenty of money to pay themselves with and also invest in infrastructure. This is not making America great again.

868. Nikola Kretschmann, los angeles, CA, 90069
support freedom and john oliver

869. Matt Hays, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Preserve net neutrality and title II you assholes

870. aaron morris, Sun valley, CA, 91352
You need to keep the current regulations in place to help perpetuate net neutrality. Rolling back the regulatory status to article 1 would be an infringement on the freedom of the general public

871. Andrew, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am in full support of Net Neutrality under Title II. It is in the best interest of the American people!

872. William S. Clements, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I am writing to encourage that you to preserve net neutrality and Title II. Please don't let providers start messing with access, speeds and fees...it's great the way it is.Thanks

873. camellia rezayat, Sunland, CA, 91040
Strongly support net nutrality by title 2

874. Erik Petraitis, Burbank, CA, 91502
I support strong and real Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Please don't change the Net to solely benefit the corporations, it is here for the people. Thank you!

875. Adam, glendale, CA, 91206
I highly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. It is absurd that the FCC is even considering changing this. Please do not let any greedy ISP control my access to the internet. Thank you.

876. lindsay kirk, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Let it be known that I am in support of net neutrality and title 2.

877. Andrew Raymond, Tujunga, CA, 91042
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2, this administration has fucked up enough shit and they aren't even half way through their first term.

878. Janet Ingram, los angeles, CA, 90029
I'm writing to ask you to ensure we keep strong net neutrality rules, backed by Title II.Please keep corporations and telecom companies from reducing customer choice and access.

879. Jeff Alerta, Glendale, CA, 91208
Maintain Net Neutrality and keep ISP's under Title Ii. Do not allow large corporations to influence the FCC regulations preventing them from abusing their customers access to the Internet.

880. Hayk Makhmuryan, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I strongly support robust net neutrality, protected by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.This protection is essential in a world with extremely uneven playing field of influence,

881. Jhay Marito, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Keep the internet awesome.

882. Net Neutrality, los angeles, CA, 90026
This is a bad idea. You need to maintain the free and open internet we have today--with no slow lanes or censorship by Big Cable.This is just another way of sticking it to the consumer. Stop it. Quit it. Don't do it. There is no way this will benefit anyone but the cable companies companies.

883. Erica Fishman, La Canada, CA, 91011
I vigorously support keeping the bright-line rules set out in the Title II Order. These rules are: (a) "No Blocking: broadband providers may not block access to legal content, applications, services, or non-harmful devices." (b) "No Throttling: broadband providers may not impair or degrade lawful Internet tra c on the basis of content, applications, services, or non-harmful devices." and (c) "No Paid Prioritization: broadband providers may not favor some lawful Internet tra c over other lawful tra c in exchange for consideration of any kindâ€”in other words, no 'fast lanes.' This rule also bans ISPs from prioritizing content and services of their a liates." These common-sense rules are an obvious public good and I will ght to see that they remain enforced.

884. Ravi Bhatt, Hollywood, CA, 90028
Preserve net neutrality under Title II oversight over ISPs.

885. Stephen Ruiz, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Please retain net neutrality rules with Title II oversight for internet providers. Fair

use of the internet is essential for a productive society and will preserve the United States position as a global power.

886. Dustin Kitchens, Burbank, CA, 91506
Net neutrality must remain. To allow corporations to dictate how freely or how fast information is conveyed based on purely economical concerns is not in anyone's best interest except those companies. This will lead to even more corruption and will give even more power to the media conglomerates.

887. Dan, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Commissioner Pai,I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do your job and make sure the American people aren't taken advantage of by massive internet service providers by maintaining said oversight.Sincerely,Dan

888. Cate Lewis, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Hey Arit Pai, keep Net Neutrality and stop being a douchey prick.

889. Marc Morriss, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I believe in Net Neutrality. Keep it under Title II classification. Leave it as it is.Thank you.

890. Adam yefet, Burbank, CA, 91501
Keep the net neutral! This is so necessary for modern freedom

891. Leela Ruiz Hooper, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I strongly support keeping net neutrality regulations as is with Title 2.

892. Nikhil*, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Do not kill free and open internet.

893. John Paul Karliak, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I urge the FCC to not roll back Obama-era changes to net neutrality rules and keep Title II as the legal governance of internet providers.

894. Jillian Goldfluss, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
preserve net neutrality and title 2 because they serve us as individuals, not corporate giants.

895. John Hudson, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I am writing to express my support for maintaining protection for Title II net neutrality. It is absurd that the FCC is considering abolishing meaningful net neutrality, and I am appalled that YET AGAIN Americans have to swarm this website to express the popular support that net neutrality has. Don't be an idiot, Ajit Pai.

896. Reseda Mickey, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I am FOR net neutrality - all the arguments are here - this is the John Oliver show which refutes the statements made by FCC chair et. al.https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=__tRXls2JXII can't believe what's happening in this country - but I certainly need to continue to find out.

897. felix, Los angeles, CA, 90029
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II(2) oversight on ISPs

898. david, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support net neutrality. Maintain ISPs under Title 2!!!!

899. Brandon Jarratt, Burbank, CA, 91505
I support a policy of continued strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. After years of shady practices I don't trust ISPs to act in the best interests of consumers when it comes to net neutrality. I don't want to have some internet content prioritized over any other kind. It's just data. Treat it all the same and protect it from any kind of paid prioritization.A "promise" from ISPs isn't going to cut it. Common carrier oversight through Title II classification is the best option we have to preserve a truly free and open internet, and no amount of Verizon lawyer spin is going to convince anyone otherwise.

900. Michael Mezzatesta, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please don't roll back the recent progress on regulating ISPs under Title 2. In my opinion the internet should be regulated like a utility, and rolling back the recent net neutrality legislation will move us far away from that. Not all regulation is bad, especially in an industry with so many externalities and so much power consolidated into the hands of a few companies. Thank you for listening to citizens.

901. Brian Cassmassi, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Keep ISP Net Neutrality regulations under Title II

902. Jim Gibson, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
I believe in strong regulations protecting what is called "Net Neutrality." The integrity of the openness of the internet is integral to our free, open, and innovative society. ANY option that would allow that integrity to be compromised by corporate interest or lobbying will irrecoverably harm and stagnate the innovation and communication that the internet has provided to millions of people.Keep the Internet free and neutral. Keep strong regulations intact.

903. Christian McIntire, Burbank, CA, 91506
Title 2 protections must be allowed to stand, without question, lest corporations and other interests interfere with the open internet. We must not allow the special interests of a small group of people (some of whom were formerly affiliated with corporations wishing to have dominion over the internet) to have any power over this ideal of freedom. Information is crucial to the expression and exchange of ideas, and to attempt to put control of information in the hands of any group who may pay

more than another, or who feels entitled due to political ideals is simply monstrous.

904. David Kelilher, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
keep the net neutral! do not change it. stick with title two . FCC Chairmen Pai is a puppet and should be disbarred. Thank you for listening.Hugs and love,dave

905. Christopher Constable, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
Net neutrality is of the utmost importance!! Please do NOT strike down the Title II protections that we have now.

906. Ryan, Burbank, CA, 91504
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 Please!

907. Riley Kolus, Burbank, CA, 91501
I support strong net neutrality protection under title II designation!! Please do not deregulate this industry and leave consumers with no protections.

908. rachel ocallaghan, Los angeles, CA, 90027
I urge you preserve net neutrality under title 2

909. James Goodkind, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I specifically support and urge you to maintain strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

910. William R Clark, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong net neutrality. I believe the only way we can preserve this within the current regulatory framework is by preserving ISP regulation by Title 2. If we remove those protections, we are robbing the world of much of the equalizing virtue of one of the greatest technological advancements in history.We cannot trust telecoms to regulate themselves, as shown dozens of times throughout history, from Standard Oil to Microsoft.

911. Dylan Walker, los angeles, CA, 90026
please keep a strong net neutrality

912. christian mayo, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Keep the Internet clean and clear from Verizon's craziness

913. Robert Bruner, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I specifically support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. ISPs should not have power to manipulate my internet signals to serve their purposes.

914. samuel tankard, los angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not take away net neutrality, it would be a disastrously foolish move on the part of the FCC.

915. Liz Walton, BURBANK, CA, 91501

I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s

916. jeff stewart, Burbank, CA, 91506
I'm writing to express my belief in the importance of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of isps. Please keep a fair playing field for the benefit of all of us.

917. Kyle Patterson, La Canada, CA, 91011
I support a free and open internet and letting ISPs control speeds and content is a step closer to a closed/heavily regulated internet you see in countries such as North Korea and China. The USA cannot be like that as it stifles free speech in our internet connected world.

918. Heeren, Glendale, CA, 91205
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2! I really believe net neutrality is an issue where the those in power will use the internet to widen the gap between the rich and the poor. We have an embarrassing amount of that going on already and it is simply not just.

919. Greg Cason, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I support keeping the current Net Neutrality regulations. The Internet has become a utility like electricity, gas, and water. It provides information. If you make it possible for providers to give preference to the highest bidder, everyone but a few deep pockets lose. Keep Strong Net Neutrality!

920. Drew McAuliffe, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I FULLY support net neutrality, enforced by Title II. Net neutrality and an open internet are absolutely essential to innovation and competition. Any claim to the contrary, including by certain higher-ups in the FCC, is nothing but industry propaganda. Claims against net neutrality are short sighted and are the equivalent to killing the goose who laid the golden egg. Consider what the Interstate Highway system, a free and open roadway sponsored by and funded by the federal government, and not short-sighted local governments or companies, has done for commerce and trucking in this country. An open internet is absolutely essential to our country's future, and to the preservation of our leadership role in technology. Do not give in to industry shills looking for a way to further monetize their investments in content by forcing unwanted gating on the internet. Support net neutrality.

921. Parham Tabloei, Los Angeled, CA, 90046
I, for one, am URGING the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Equal access to online information is a right, not a privilege.

922. Francesca Butler, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II. Deregulation in this instance is specifically anti-small business, anti-free press, and anti-American.

923. erik jamison, los angeles, CA, 90027
I STRONGLY Support Net Neutrality, and Title II Oversight.

924. Andres Montemayor, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. How can we have open internet without such protections. If companies said it didn't affet investment, what's the problem with keeping it?

925. Brandon Williams, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. This new attempt to weaken net neutrality is a disgrace.

926. Jared Jacovich, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Preserve Net Neutrality. The cable companies are powerful and wealthy enough as it is. Keep the internet neutral.

927. Leslie Karpas, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support a free and open Internet. Please do not roll back the rules that protect net neutrality. This is the number one issue I vote on.

928. Robin Whitney, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please support strong Net Neutrality policies. Internet users (including consumers, business owners, students, and more) need protection from ISPs' and associated parties' opportunities to alter access and speed. Support Net Neutrality.

929. Michael Garcia, Glendale, CA, 91203
you jerks make me sick everytime you try to sell us out and betray us..STOP SCREWING WITH THE INTERNET..Net Neutrality..

930. Tyler Wells, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I urge you to continue enforcing net neutrality under Title II. You know it's the right thing to do. Don't be that guy.

931. Christopher Scott Knell, BURBANK, CA, 91501
We must Preserve and protect Net Neutrality and Title 2

932. Robert Piantanida, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Ajit Pai,I strongly support Net Neutrality. The thought that you wouldn't is a chilling one. I urge you to be responsible for humanity's sake. Great reeces mugbest,rob

933. Fraser Wyatt, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs is very important to me. I don't believe the FCC should do anything to jeopardize that oversight.

934. Atkin, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I support Net Neutrality and Title 2 Regulating Providers will prevent companies from down grading internet access to certain sites etc. for profitable gain from an internal or outside source

935. William J. Berry, Glendale, CA, 91201

I firmly state that I would like the FCC to preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. Do it for America.

936. emily mcdowell, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Preserve net neutrality now! Please!

937. roger hudson, la crescenta, CA, 91214
Please, for the love or God, regulate the internet through Title 2. Do not let the new regime in Washinton destroy what is already in place.

938. NET, Los angeles, CA, 90029
I support strong net neutrality. This is the beginning of censorship and terrible. DONT DO IT. LISTEN TO YOUR CONSTITUENTS!

939. michael jones, Glendale, CA, 91501
I support net neutrality. the gentleman with the big mug is unprofessional and dishonest and should be fired.

940. drago smith, Burbank, CA, 91502
I specially support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II over site of ISPs

941. Eileen Wallis, Glendale, CA, 91201
I support maintaining the net neutrality rules established by the Obama Administration

942. Elliot Vredenburg, los angeles, CA, 90026
i support net neutrality and keeping ISPs regulated under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934!

943. Phil DeTolve, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISPS!!!!!!!!!

944. Rick Crane, Hollywood, CA, 90028
To Ajit Pai: I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

945. Brandon Mars, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support a free and open internet and believe that ISP's should stay under Title 2 provisions

946. Sean Cook, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90027
Please keep net neutrality. Please keep title 2. Come on!!

947. Samuel K Patton, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I believe that the FCC MUST support net neutrality and keep ISPs regulated under Title 2. Net neutrality is vital to our democracy, our society, and our modern world. It's foundational to American values, chief among them the Freedom of Speech.

Please continue to support net neutrality as it is currently defined.

948. Raquel Livson, los angeles, CA, 90028
I support net neutrality. Because "the internet without Net Neutrality isnâ€™t really the internet. Unlike the open internet that has paved the way for so much innovation and given a platform to people who have historically been shut out, it would become a closed-down network where cable and phone companies call the shots and decide which websites, content or applications succeed.This would have an enormous impact. Companies like AT&T, Comcast and Verizon would be able to decide who is heard and who isnâ€™t. Theyâ€™d be able to block websites or content they donâ€™t like or applications that compete with their own offerings.Without Net Neutrality, how would activists be able to fight against oppression? What would happen to social movements? How would the next disruptive technology, business or company emerge if internet service providers only let incumbents succeed?"

949. Kovan Saaty, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I STRONGLY SUPPORT TRUE NET NEUTRALITY!! DO NOT HARM THESE PROTECTIONS AND REGULATIONS!! THANKS!

950. wiam Turki-Judeh, Glendale, CA, 91208
Please keep net neutrality under Title II. It is wrong to remove this regulation and it remove neutrality of the net.

951. Joseph Bohlinger, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Dear Mr. Pi,I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversights of ISPs.Sincerely,Joseph Bohlinger

952. Annette Gutierrez, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I would just like to express my concern over the possibility that net-neutrality is now an issue again. There is no evidence that these regulations have caused any slow-down of development and there is no reason to end these regulations. Large corporations WILL use any freedom to their advantage and this is just ridiculous that we are even thinking about giving them more opportunities to take advantage. Shame on you for even considering it. Leave net-neutrality alone!!!!!!!!!

953. cormac funge, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Title 2 status must be maintained for ISPs. Net neutrality is for all of us

954. Kimberly Guppy, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I strongly support Net Neutrality under Title II with oversight of ISPs.

955. William Kim, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support a strong policy of net neutrality, specifically one that retains and continues to enforce Title II. I do not want ISP providers to be able to control or have sway over what content I get to consume by controlling bandwidth delivery.

956. Luke Barger, Glendale, CA, 91202

Preserve Net Neutrality and title 2. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isp's

957. Shirlee Bliss, Burbank, CA, 91502
Keep internet unregulated - Title 2 oversight

958. Lindsay, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
As a citizen concerned about privacy, free choice, and reduced corporate involvement in politics, I believe it is absolutely necessary that we protect strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

959. L. Nemschoff, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
Keep Net Neutrality. Do not dismantle this important protection for freedom of speech and a free and open Internet.

960. Gustavo Gutierrez, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Leave net neutrality alone. ISPs should be under Title II

961. Anita Roars, Glenale, CA, 91204
The FFC must NOT change Net Neutrality rules. Keep ISP governance under Title II -- where it belongs #GetFeminism

962. andrew marchal, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I do not believe it is in America's best interest to modify or change the current policy of net neutrality. If you want to change something go ban telecommunication companies from owning media companies, or find a way to inspire meaningful competition among broadband providers. It is not a free market not because it's over regulated, the companies at play here don't want to operate in a free market by design and they lobby hard to keep it that way. Thanks for your time.

963. sami ezzaher, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
we need title 2. i support a strong net neutrality!

964. James Stern, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. While I know that it would be easy to write that off as being a simple quote from a late night program, I'm saying it in that way because it is an incredibly succinct way of conveying my opinion. The freedom to view any site, any time, with unbiased preference and equal bandwidth is an incredibly important part of what makes the internet such an incredible tool, and valuable utility. Thank you for your time.

965. edrik allahverdian, Glendale, CA, 91206
I support strong net neutrality laws. Now and forever.

966. Garrett Cox, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I am in huge support for maintaining an open, honest and fair internet. Title 2 regulations must be upheld!

967. Drake Zabriskie, Sunland, CA, 91040
All ISP's should remain under title 2. I'm a CTO of a small internet company that delivers images, Audio and video content for the cultural heritage communities. I am very concerned that a change would allow the companies who control the valves of the internet to give preferential bandwidth preference to the companies who pay them more money for a bigger pipe. This would make the content that I serve take second seat behind the companies with more money. I will never be able to compete with that and my small business would suffer because it's not as fast as these big players.

968. Michael Konrath, Glendale, CA, 91201
Mr. Pai,I specifically support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Without it, competition in the digital space could be crushed, making America less innovative and prosperous. Thanks,Mike KonrathBiomedical Engineer

969. Allison Metz, Los Angeles, CA, 90036
I support net neutrality laws with Title II oversight of internet service providers.

970. Jade Martz, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Net neutrality must be maintained. The current restrictions on ISP's in Title II are needed. IF YOU FUCK THIS UP BAD SHIT WILL HAPPEN

971. Alex, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. It is important that the internet be regulated. We can't have businesses exerting their power in order to sell us even more products online.

972. Nathan Knopp, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Net Neutrality is importing in ensuring the freedom of the internet, and ensure a level playing field for all entities who use the internet.

973. MATTHEW JONES, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90027
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY! Don't hand our freedom of expression and information over to the ISPs. Taking them at their word that they won't skew access to benefit themselves financially is terribly naÃ¯ve - even hateful. DON'T.

974. Jacqueline Honikman, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please preserve net neutrality! Trust the experts!

975. Carl Sondrol, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Please preserve strong net neutrality rules and Title II. These are a crucial part of our democracy.

976. Krista Benson, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Please preserve Net Neutrality! Title 2 Net Neutrality rules needs your protection.

977. Alex Clark, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Maintain Title II protections, preserve Net Neutrality!

978. Emily Durbin, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs without equivocation or exception. To prioritize access to the internet for private interest is to deny free speech and equal opportunity.

979. Jessica Fields, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I support strong net neutrality backed byTitle 2 oversights of ISPs!!!!!

980. Bethany Schramm, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Preserve Net Neutrality & Title II !!!!!!!!!!You should be ashamed for trying to turn the internet into your greedy market place for websites of major companies to profit. We want our internet, the way it is, free access to information of our choosing WITHOUT your interference or obstacles. We will not become North Korea.

981. Sharon Schramm, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Support net neutrality Title II! This should be a no-brainer! DO NOT DESTROY TITLE II AND NET NEUTRALITY.

982. Matthew Mizel, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am in favor of maintaining Net Neutrality under Title 2.

983. Ian McDonald, L.A., CA, 90028
To Whom It May Concern,I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversights of ISPs.Thank you,Ian

984. Tyler Kupferer, Burbank, CA, 91501
Dear FCC,I am writing to encourage you to NOT change your current classification of fixed and mobile Internet providers. It is important to keep them as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act. This ensures important safeguards against any ISPs that might otherwise be tempted to employ anti-competitive practices.As an American and heavy user of the internet for business and personal communication, I would never EVER want my access to certain websites slowed or blocked because an ISP wanted to promote its own content. And even if the current classification is causing some reduced infrastructure investment, it is worth the sacrifice to ensure an open and free Internet. Everything has pros and cons, but in the case of Title II, the pros far outweigh the cons. Please keep ISPs classified under Title II. I vote in every election and this is surely an issue that will sway my votes in the future, as well. Thank you and have a good day.Sincerely,Tyler Kupferer

985. Jay Marose, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Maintaining Title II classification allows for a continued open Internet. The American people are your charge here, not corporate interests.

986. adreana juarez, Glendale, CA, 91214
We need to preserve strong net neutrality! No company should have the right to block or ban the viewing of websites! No company should have the right to prevent people from communicating their ideas or their opinions! No company should prevent people from communicating between one another! No company has a right to prevent people from speaking freely through censorship! We need Title II and Net Neutrality!

987. Robert Scott, Burbank, CA, 91504
For the love of God, PLEASE preserve net neutrality and Title II oversight! The notion that ISPs will voluntarily adhere to these rules is ludicrous! I beg you, PLEASE do not remove these protections for consumers!

988. Paul signan, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support Title 2! Mr. PIE please leave it alone!

989. brooke, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
FCC needs to preserve net neutrality and disallow the ISPs from regulating how consumers use the internet.

990. David Ellenbogen, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
You know America has problems when Romania, Singapore and India all have affordable established Gigabit fiber optic internet services and we statistically have only 20 percent of the American population on a connection that is faster than 15Mbps Downstream for nearly double the price. This is 2017, not 1994. The rules established in Net Neutrality and classifying the internet as Title II are required and imperative in order to establish the most natural of American reactions between companies that offer a similar service, competition. Since 2014 internet service providers quality of connection, speed and customer service has improved to such an unrecognizable degree its almost shocking this not only didn't happen sooner, but just goes to show how much further a long we would be had this law been instituted in the 90s for the US market. Make Net Neutrality law, designate the internet as Title II. Keep the communication industry healthy and competitive not stagnant and corrupt.

991. justin Longworth, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Maintain net neutrality!!!! It's extremely important to us ALL.

992. Eurie Chung, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2. Do not allow internet providers to throttle speeds for any reason, particularly for products that will not directly benefit them financially.

993. michelle risucci, Burbank, CA, 91502
I believe we need strong net neutrality based on the title II.

994. Frank Caridi, Los Angeles, CA, 90027

KEEP the regulations necessary to prevent favoring any net traffic over others. Please preserve the neutrality of the internet. DO NOT ALLOW Verizon and other corporations to shape net traffic to their benefit.

995. L. Nemschoff, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
Do not dismantle existing strong Net Neutrality rules under Title II. Do not let private businesses control whether or not everyone has equal access to the Internet and equal access to all websites and content. It should be illegal to slow down access to content because you don't like its political stance or what is portrayed.

996. Andrew Arnold, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight on ISP's.

997. Jacob Vizcarra, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
TO MR. AJIT PAI,I specifically support strong net neutrality back by Title II oversight of ISPs.Sincerely,Jacob Vizcarra

998. Joanne Hanlon, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net Neutrality is vital. Please do not implement proposed changes.

999. Matt Olivo, Glendale, CA, 91205
Please preserve Title II and Net Neutrality!!!

1000. Evan Newby, west hollywood, CA, 90046
I hereby urge the FCC to maintain the strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

1001. Robert Guthrie, Tujunga, CA, 91042
Keep net neutrality in place. No provider should get to decide what sites deserve what speeds.

1002. Rachel, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Save net neutrality! Maintain Title II protections!

1003. Jesse Willenbring, Los angeles, CA, 90026
Please please please do not change title 2. Please preserve net neutrality laws. do not leave the regulations in the hands of the isp providers. The laws are working well as they are right now.

1004. Net Neutrality, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong net neutrality laws! Please do not take away the title II. Keep the internet free! I do NOT trust companies that make internet fair for everyone! Preserve net neutrality and title II.

1005. Christopher Osterndorf, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Reversing Barack Obama's regulatory actions on net neutrality would be disastrous for the Internet. In order to keep the Internet open, the changes FCC Chairman Ajit

Pai are proposing must not be implemented. They are absolute garbage. Thank you.

1006. Ben Reid, Burbank, CA, 91501
I am adamantly against rolling back ISP classification san title ii. There is ample evidence of ISP companies thwarting internet speeds and playing favorites. Additionally, the fundamental argument that regulation hampers business growth is flawed and lacks supporting evidence. When the barrier to entry into an industry is high, like in the case of the major ISPs, they need regulation to protect consumers.DO NOT RECLASSIFY ISP AND RUIN OUR NET NEUTRALITY RULES! THEY ARE MEANT TO PROTECT CONSUMERS!

1007. Leonora Ribeiro, Hollywood, CA, 90028
I want to keep my enternet fredom

1008. Thomas M Jones, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Please continue to have net neutrality and the ISPs governed under Title 2. FCC Chair Ajit Pai should still be beholden to his former employer Verizon and is suppose to look after the interest of the public.

1009. Alex Millar, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please uphold net neutrality and keep IPs under Section II.

1010. Michael Reich, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Ajit Pai...I firmly believe in the FCC enforcing Net Neutrality as outlined by Title 2. You cannot trust large internet carriers to regulate themselves! Do something! Thank you,MichaelPS. That oversized mug is so dumb.

1011. bevin mcnamara, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please preserve net neutrality rules. They are working as they are.

1012. Miguel Martinez, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I strongly support preserving the existing net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.

1013. Darren Hand, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I strongly support net neutrality under Title 2 with strong oversite over ISP.

1014. Remy Marc, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please protect Net Neutrality! Keep the internet open, free, and competitive!

1015. Myrna Vielma, Montrose, CA, 91020
I support Net Neutrality , please preserve title 2

1016. Karson Holbrook, Burbank, CA, 91501
I support Net Neutrality and the oversight and classification of ISPs laid out under Title II.

1017. Allison Hoffmann, LA, CA, 90068
Please keep Net Nuetrality rules in place and backed by Title 2. Thanks. Do the right thing, please.

1018. Gracie Whyte, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I STRONGLY SUPPORT strong net neutrality laws and Title II for ISPs

1019. Tozza Ha, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I urge you to keep net neutrality under title 2.

1020. Ian Coad, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I strongly support strong net neutrality laws and Title II for ISPs.

1021. Joel Arroyo, Glendale, CA, 91207
PRESERVE Net Neutrality AND Title II. Don't destroy the internet!!

1022. Christa Mercer, Burbank, CA, 91504
I strongly support Net Neutrality following Title II guidelines.

1023. Collin Blair, Glendale, CA, 91203
Because of net neutrality, the Internet has become an unparalleled engine for democracy, innovation, education, communication, entertainment and commerce.For this reason I ask chairman Pai to please stop his disastrous plan, and keep the internet protected under Title II net neutrality regulations. Millions of people have spoken and demanded these protections, keep Title II regulations in place.Thank you,Collin Blair

1024. Matthew Tingey, Glendale, CA, 91203
I support net neutrality enforced by title 2 regulations

1025. Len Kendall, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I would like to express my support for preserving net neutrality.

1026. George Rieckenberg, Burbank, CA, 91504
I strongly support title 2 net neutrality to keep the internet free and open.

1027. Hope Vinitsky, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I cannot express how strongly I feel against the removal of net neutrality. There is no reason for this expect to line the pockets of the rich. I am tired of the unconstitutional facists tactics of the current administration. This in not freedom. This not America.DO NOT ELIMINATE NET NEUTRALITY

1028. Jesse Erickson, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support strong Net Neutrality protections under Title II. Preserve these rules!

1029. Jillian Leduc, burbank, CA, 91505
I am writing to express my full support of preserving the Net Neutrality Acts and

Title 2 enforcement. Revoking net neutrality is wrong and unethical.

1030. Margaret Hellerstein, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am writing to express my opposition to this measure. Please protect equal access to online information.

1031. Travis Dukelow, Glendale, CA, 91208
I strongly support strict net neutrality backed by title 2 to regulate ISPs

1032. Joseph, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I ask that you support Net Nuetrality. It is necessary and beneficial for all segments of society. It helps drive this country's economy. Vote to protect our freedom and liberty.

1033. Ashot Hambardzumyan, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Do not remove internet from Title 2 protection. Keep internet free and fair.

1034. sarah Devan, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please protect net neutrality. Do not allow broadband companies and the like to block websites, slow connection speeds or charge for faster delivery of content.

1035. Bahram Khosraviani, Glendale, CA, 91206
Hi! Net neutrality is exceptionally important. It is also vital that it remains within title two as this keeps companies accountable and has no negative effects for them. As an natural born American citizen our rights to net neutrality are vita.

1036. John A. Bennett, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I strongly support net neutrality regulated by Title II. Anyone who regularly pays bills to one of the major ISP's knows that more regulation is better than less. Why would we trust them when their primary obligation is to their share holders rather than their customers?

1037. Georg Egloff, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I strongly support net neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISPs. Leaving the burden of responsibility on large corporations, who compete for profits and marketshare, would be unreasonable. No one person, company, or organization should have more control over the internet.

1038. Nikoo Nooryani, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I'm a strong believer in net neutrality and do not appreciate what the current administration and FCC are trying to do to my free internet access. I support Title II oversight of ISP's.

1039. Ryan Schiavo, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I strongly support Net Neutrality, corporations should not dictate the free flow of information across the World Wide Web.

1040. Thomas McDonald, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. PLEASE DO NOT get rid of net neutrality regulation as it stands.

1041. tyler, Los angeles, CA, 90027
I'm a big believer in strong net neutrality backed by titles 2 over site of ISP's.

1042. Ryan Huestis, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I strongly support net neutrality, supported by strong oversight of Title II on ISPs

1043. Justin Reager, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Do not kill net neutrality. Keep our internet free. Netflix would not exist today if cable companies were allowed to slow down its connections and I need Netflix in my life.

1044. Alex Bogdanovich, Burbank, CA, 91506
All ISPs must remain Title IINet neutrality is an important part of economic development.

1045. Anna Loesby, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net neutrality is extremely important. Please do not crush small businesses by allowing larger ones to buy out internet speed. Do not change the rules in favor of internet providers!

1046. Paul Paradiso, Burbank, CA, 91506
I believe we should maintain Net neutrality and provide a level playing field to any and all users of the Internet: private individuals, government institutions, small business, and nonprofits. It is important that we prevent large telecommunications companies such as Verizon and Comcast from creating a tiered Internet where the highest payers receive priority over all other users.

1047. Tyler Sellers, burbank, CA, 91506
I support Net Neutrality. Tell the ISPs to kick rocks. Greedy corporations. Why does it always have to be about money? Dont we have any morals/ethics left? Do the right thing guy. Or girl. Or girlguy

1048. David, Los angeles, CA, 90026
I want to express my support for net neutrality. The internet should be regulated under the stricter Title 2 designation to reduce monoply, oligopoly, and corporate favoritism of content. Only then can innovators have a seat at the table in the marketplace of ideas.

1049. Christina Anthony, West Hollywood, CA, 90048
I am requesting that net neutrality and Title II net neutrality rules be saved and remain intact. The internet has been one of the greatest products of technology civilization has ever had and it must remain fair and open for all time.

1050. Michael Feld, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Net neutrality is extremely important and should not be removed and ALL ISP should be listed under Title II. No exception!

1051. Jonah Egermeier, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net Neutrality matters! Please do not do this!

1052. Jacklyn, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I am in support of STRONG Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am OPPOSED to Docket No. 17-108!If you make navigating the internet as hard as filling out this (maliciously and purposefully) poorly designed fucking comment form, we will troll you until the end of your days.

1053. Keya Vakil, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I am STRONGLY pro-net neutrality and think it's of paramount importance to have a free internet, so providers should absolutely not be able to grant fast lanes to certain websites.

1054. Dan Lawrason, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
The internet belongs in Title II. The internet NEEDS to be protected from big business / big brother ISPs who only care about profits. Please, find it in your heart to do the RIGHT THING. This is the internet, the biggest and greatest tool the world has ever created. Please, do not destroy it for corporate greed.

1055. Jacob, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Keep the net neutrality rules in place. Do not change them. Leave them as is.

1056. Elena, Burbank, CA, 91501
Writing in favor of strong Net Neutrality under Title 2

1057. Gerren Crochet, Burbank, CA, 91506
Please keep the net nutrality rules as they were and leave Internet service providers as Title II

1058. kelly sheehan, LosAngeles, CA, 90046
Please please please keep net neutrality strong under title II! Thank you in advance!

1059. Wade Mueller, BURBANK, CA, 91501
I strongly support internet neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.Thank you.

1060. John Franklin, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I'm writing in support of the FCC's current protections for the open internet via its Title II designation of ISPs. Please continue this designation, as it will help to ensure that the fair and neutral internet that we enjoy today will stay that way heading into the future and will continue to provide a strong foundation for innovation and entrepreneurship. Please do not reclassify ISPs under a different regulatory framework; Title II is sufficient and necessary.

1061. Drew, Burbank, CA, 91501
I am in favor of strong net neutrality laws under Title 2.

1062. cindy bloom, shadow hills, CA, 91040
Net neutrality is essential for our commerce and country to thrive. Service providers are merely utility companies that provide a conduit, similar to other utilities. My electric company provides me electricity but does not slow it down for air conditioning and speed it up for cooking. Same thing with the internet. It needs to be within Title 2 and be regulated as a utility and be blind to content. If we were North Korea, then yes, I'd agree. But this is the USA and we play fair. Please allow net neutrality to stay just that: neutral. Thank you.

1063. Alexander Youn, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support true net neutrality and am against Chairman Pai's attempts to scale back regulations in order to heavily favor corporate interests and profits. We already suffer heavily from lack of competition. These efforts do nothing to remedy this, but instead allow these huge companies to squeeze even more money from the suffering middle class, all while our nation continues to fall in global rankings in internet connectivity, speed, cost, and reliability. Most of us are forced to choose from just one, maybe 2 choices at the most for our internet and the loss of true net neutrality would only slow our progress even further and allow other countries to continue to surpass us.

1064. Erik Ratensperger, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
PRESERVE TITLE II PRESERVE TITLE II PRESERVE TITLE II

I support strong enforcement of net neutrality with Title II classification of ISPs. Please don't weaken this very necessary policy.

1068. Brett Lindenfeld, La Canada, CA, 91011
Open internet access must remain open. The government should not contribute to the picking of winners and losers where the internet and access to content is concerned. ISPs should not have the power to determine and meter any content on the internet. This goes against the very backbone that makes the internet one of the most democratic and open systems in the world today.

1069. Patrick Baghramian, Sunland, CA, 91040
Maintaining net neutrality is important. Please don't fuck this up. Thank you

1070. Josema Roig, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I stand by the Net Neutrality, as it was conceived and supported by Title II. Please considerate the moral dilemma we face, where we can stand on the side of innovators and trailblazers, like Elon Musk or Ray Kurzweil, or just fall behind and lose the leadership America is so threatened to lose today.

1071. jeff, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Internet service providers need strong regulation under title II to preserve net neutrality.

1072. Drew VanderMale, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight on ISP.

1073. mark b. johnston, Los angeles, CA, 90046
Keep the net neutral. Require isp's to remain in compliance with title II.

1074. Alyssa Boland, los angeles, CA, 90028
Protect net neutrality along with title 2! We all deserve a fair internet! Keep the systems in place to provide a free and fair internet!

1075. evans marshall, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
Net neutrality is fundamental to free and open expression on the internet. Anything else is quite frankly in-American

1076. Kim, Glendale, CA, 91204
Net Neutrality is important and should be maintained with tough regulations built on Title II. Please, maintain Net Neutrality to ensure equal access and fair play among ISP.

1077. Bryan Thombs, glendale, CA, 91202
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. Internet access must be regulated to ensure equal speed and access to all users and providers of content.

1078. Ryan Koon, Glendale, CA, 91205
Without a shadow of a doubt, I believe we must keep title 2 to ensure an enforcable way to keep the internet free and open. As the UN declared, the internet is a basic human right, and we cannot rely on voluntary options for ISPs to regulate this right itself. We can only entrust our government to have this authority.

1079. Alex Maroldi, Burbank, CA, 91504
Filing for Net Neutrality

1080. James han, la crescenta, CA, 91214
please do not lobby for your ex-employer. please do not plan on going back to work for your ex-employer. you now work for the American people. Focus here and for their welfare. thank you,

1081. Stephanie Carter, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I SPECIFICALLY support net neutrality and the preservation of tital II (tital 2) is vital!

1082. Loren Khulusi, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I support the enforcement of net neutrality under title 2

1083. Ramsey Dau, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support the FCC's strict and vigorous enforcement of Net Neutrality under Title II. I am dismayed that there are those in government and industry who keep trying to soften this regulation, clearly for their own financial gain, and the detriment of the public.

1084. Chad Mallam, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I suppprt net neutrality under title 2

1085. Danielle Bond, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support the Net Neutrality with strong oversight backed by Title 2. Thank you!

1086. Neil Fasching, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Preserve net neutrality. Keep ISPs title II.

1087. 1, Los angeles, CA, 90027
Preserve net neutrality and article 2!

1088. Eric Marley, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please protect net neutrality at all costs. There is a balance between over-regulation and under-regulation. The ISPs are extremely large and powerful. Deregulation, in this case, will not benefit the American people. Please step up and do your part to protect the average American citizen, not the board and executive officers of global corporations. They do not need your assistance. We do.

1089. michael palotay, Los Angeles, CA, 90027

I strongly support maintaining FCC oversight over telecommunication companies under title II. I believe net neutrality is extremely important, and do not believe the bogus arguments for why it isn't necessary. Cable companies have a near monopoly with little competition, we must use regulation to ensure fairness, since competition cannot fill that role.

1090. Matt Doherty, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please maintain internet neutrality by keeping Title II legislation effective for all ISPs

1091. Anne Madariaga, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
To Whom it May Concern, I want to let you know that I support STRONG net neutrality. I expect my internet usage to be fair, uncorrupted by big business or government. The idea of my cable company making my usage slow down or speed up based on companies that they do business with makes me sick. It is unAmerican to have this secretive, overly complicated disruption of my freewill. Please, keep the rules backed by Title II in place. Sincerely,Anne Madariaga

1092. vijal patel, Los angeles, CA, 90046
To Ajit Pai:I strongly urge you to keep net neutrality viable and enforceable under Title II Rules. Any idea of expecting that ISPs with voluntarily comply to neutrality without enforceable legal recourse of Title II sounds like wishful thinking.

1093. Langston Antosek, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Please maintain the current Net Neutrality regulations and keep the Internet weird and chill.

1094. Richard Lister, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Please keep strong net neutrality under title II. Thank you!!

1095. Christopher Sosnowski, Burbank, CA, 91502
I absolutely support strong net neutrality via Title II classification. You have to be either a liar or stupid to believe that anything else will adequately preserve a free and open Internet.

1096. Ling Lim, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support preserving net neutrality and the classification of ISPs under Title II.

1097. Shane Russell, Burbank, CA, 91504
Please uphold net neutrality by leaving current Title II classification in place. Thank you.

1098. Stephanie Mann, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai,I am writing to ask that the current Net Neutrality rules and Title II be preserved. Please do not roll back these regulations.Thank you.

1099. Justine Fischoff, Los angeles, CA, 90029

Please support net neutrality and title 2.Thank you

1100. Tara Paul, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I support strong net neutrality, including Title II oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality is essential to free speech and democracy on the Internet.Control over the Internet should remain in the hands of the people who use it every day.Please stand with the public by protecting Net neutrality and application of Title II to ISPs.

1101. sam, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Keep all ISPs under Title 2.

1102. Samantha Moulton, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Do not let the Trump administration roll back Obama-era rules safeguarding Net Neutrality!

1103. Julia Dennis, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
We need Net Neutrality Laws and Tittle 2 Laws!!!!!

1104. ryan stell, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I support net neutrality laws as they exist today.

1105. Loren Stewart, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Please preserve net neutrality. Keep ISPs Title 2 regulated.

1106. John Furumo, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please preserve net neutrality rules and make sure that ISPs continue to be controlled by Title II rules. Thanks

1107. Rich Pizor, Burbank, CA, 91501
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Particularly given the disingenuous fictions that the FCC chair seems deliriously happy to spin at every public opportunity.

1108. Matt Stewart, Los angeles, CA, 90068
I support Net Neutrality and keeping the current Title II classification.

1109. Curtis Shipman, Burbank, CA, 91506
Keep Title II. We need strong net neutrality.

1110. Ronald Murphy, Glendale, CA, 91205
Please do not ruin net neutrality. Keep title 2.

1111. Moreno, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Pleas, net neutrality is extremely important! I live half my life on the internet - I know!

1112. Kyle Hasday, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I very strongly support a free and open internet and believe that the title two

protections are vital in keeping it that way. With infrastructure divestment being hard to track and denied by at least one ISP in a recording of a call to investors, that doesn't seem to be a good enough reason to risk the internet. Do what is right, please, and not what the Chairman's former employer would prefer. Thank you.

1113. Paul K. Bisson, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISPs. PLEASE PRESERVE STRONG ENFORCEABLE NET NEUTRALITY PROTECTIONS UNDER TITLE II. THANK YOU!

1114. Donald Dorson, Sunland, CA, 91040
A government of the people is supposed to be for the people-- not the giant corporations. Please maintain title II status on the internet service providers so as to preserve net neutrality. Thank you.

1115. Jordi Riera, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Please do not let this administration destroy net neutrality. You have a responsibility to the American people to develop and enforce regulations that ensure equal access to information and information technologies. Internet Service Providers should not have free reign to strangle their consumers by bottle-necking their data because of the lack of regulations. Keep neutrality!

1116. Chris Hudson, Glendale, CA, 91214
Please keep the current regulations in place, an open and free internet for all is important to Americans.

1117. Julian Chaidez, Glendale, CA, 91206
Please don't compromise net neutrality!

1118. Christina Matthews, LA, CA, 90027
Pai - I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs #goffcyourself

1119. valerie ames, Los angeles, CA, 90027
Please keep net neutrality. We deserve access to the internet not tainted by the business proceedings of large corporations.

1120. Jeffrey Lane, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Net neutrality under Title II and III is an important safeguard in protecting all rights to a level Internet playing field. I support keeping Net neutrality under title II and III and not under Title I, and urge you to keep the present rules intact.

1121. Thomas Mazziotti, Burbank, CA, 91504
Preserve net neutrality and title II regulation

1122. Aled Cuda, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I would like to register my support for stringent Net Neutrality regulations by either

keeping utilities classified under Title II, or by reclassifying internet providers as utilities.

1123. net neutrality, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please keep net neutrality under the auspices of title I. Strategically speaking, if you decide to keep net neutrality hostage to the "open market", I'm sure alternatives will be created such that the major industries will be sidelined and rendered obsolete.

1124. Jennifer Mangan, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
It is vital that we keep net neutrality protected under Title 2.

1125. Rick Loverd, Burbank, CA, 91501
Net neutrality is important. Keep strong title 2 net neutrality rules.

1126. Wallis Millar-Blanchaer, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support preserving net neutrality and title II including governance over ISP's.

1127. Jacob Medendorp, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I support the protection of Net Neutrality via the continued classification of ISPs under Title II. This is what the public wanted 3 years ago, it is NOT time to reclassify ISPs or reduce regulations. ISPs must not be allowed to favor internet traffic of one service over another. The FCC must maintain its authority in regulating ISPs by keeping them classified under Title II. It is clear that removing or altering this classification is designed to put money in the pockets of only the richest companies and individuals in this country, and History will not look kindly upon those to seek to strip freedom of information away from the masses.

1128. fcc, Burbank, CA, 91505
I support strong net neutrality rules and I am very concerned that changing the law in this area. I believe that current proposals to weaken net neutrality rules will inevitably lead to commercial pressure to prioritize profit over choice and service to end users. The new proposals are completely unacceptable in a space which is owned by the public and only licensed to providers. The new proposals are clearly an attempt to squeeze more money from customers and need to be rejected.

1129. stacey hearn, Glendale, CA, 91206
Do not dismantle net neutrality. Preserve title 2 and the freedom and equality of the public/citizens.

1130. Marcus King, Glendale, CA, 91202
I support strong net neutrality. Do not undo Obama era regulation of ISP under Title II.

1131. Rodrigo Garcia, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
It's important to preserve Title II so we all can have real net neutrality and not leave this issue to the ISP's who will have no incentives to preserve net neutrality.

1132. jeff howell, burbank, CA, 91501
I support net neutrality and Title II oversight of ISP's.

1133. net nutrality, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
Net neutrality is important!!!! Preserve net neutrality

1134. amy scala, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support net neutrality and ISP regulation under Title II of the communications act of 1934.

1135. Carolyn Brighouse, Tujunga, CA, 91042
Net Neutrality is a requirement of democracy. That's what we claim America is.

1136. tiffany loverd, Burbank, CA, 91501
I strongly urge you, the FCC, to keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules. If you do not it will only embolden ISPs to act in the interest of their share holders and maintain their fiduaciary responsibilities versus the best interest of consumers. Please support the American values of fair competition of an open internet.

1137. Chris Flanders, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I strongly support the net neutrality rules (backed by title II oversight of ISP's) put in place under the Obama administration.

1138. Quinn Kostelecky, Montrose, CA, 91020
I am pro Net Neutrality and desire to keep ISPs categorized as Title II common carriers. Allowing corporations to determine what data is blocked and/or slowed down is fundamentally wrong for many reasons. First, and most obviously, it presents ISPs with a conflict of interest: a large incentive is given to throttle competitors' data to increase their own market share at the cost of decimating the quality of service provided to their customers. The throttling of service is not theoretical; it had already been happening prior to the reclassification of ISPs as Title II carriers in 2015 (see the deal Netflix had to strike with Comcast in 2014 to prevent their data from being slowed down). Second, this leaves the door open for ISPs to discriminate against other types of data traversing their network. The internet has become a place where free and open communication of ideas, an embodiment of the American value of free speech, is commonplace. Reclassifying ISPs would undermine this openness by allowing them to block data, essentially censoring it. Iâ€™m not comfortable with handing ISPs a black check to control the data that I can share or can be shared with me.Please keep the regulations on ISPs which classify them as Title II carriers in place. These regulations protect the American publicâ€™s interest in a free and open internet.

1139. josie, Los angeles, CA, 90027
PLEASE, Preserve Net Neutrality

1140. Peter Brack,, West Hollywood, CA, 90048
I would like to voice my concern about net neutrality - and to MAKE SURE that net

neutrality continues. It is utterly unfair to consumers to allow for ISP's and corporates to manipulate and jeopardize an open and neutral internet.

1141. Justin Bushee, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Please preserve net neutrality. Do not let big business win. Protect the citizens.

1142. Michelle, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
PLEASE, PLEASE, PLEASE Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. It's ALL we have left that resembles a fair democracy. I've already lost tons of right, because of this administration, the internet should NOT be controlled by IPS corporations.

1143. Bruce Hainley, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support a strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

1144. Annelise Dekker, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I support net neutrality under Title II and the free and open access to the internet. My mother lives in China, where the internet is controlled by similar rules like the proposal to eliminate net neutrality. Basic websites like social media and google are prohibited, used in a way that is censoring and frustrating. The ability to manipulate or prohibit certain information as this proposal would allow risks censorship of news sources and public opinion. Content filtering and stifling is decidedly un American.

1145. aalilyah thomas, Burbank, CA, 91506
Kindly keep my internet the way it is. I am not interested in giving companies the opportunity to choose which site deserves more speed than others. That's not what I pay them for.

1146. Stephen Bridges, Pasadena, CA, 91103
Keep the Internet neutral. Providers should be regulated like any other utility, as the consumer has very few options on acquiring the service.

1147. Josh Moorhead, Glendale, CA, 91204
Isn't the government doing enough terrible shit right now? Do you wake up, look in the mirror as an org and ask "How can I outdo myself w bullshit today? We must be the all time champions of fuckery." Sorry about the foul language. Come fine me about it. I'd much rather you continue to investigate us being naughty than take away our equal footing.

1148. Shannon Bailey, Burbank, CA, 91504
To Whom It May Concern, I urge you to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Please do not weaken our current net neutrality rules.Thank you,Shannon

1149. Christina Sours, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please keep strong title 2 net neutrality rules for Internet service providers

1150. Jason Wishnov, Burbank, CA, 91501

I strongly support net neutrality and protection under Title II. Innovation on the internet depends on a free and open internet with guaranteed protections, and my access to information should never be influenced by an external party whose only goal is profit.

1151. JM Powell, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I feel very strongly that oversight of net neutrality belongs under Title II and that it must stay that way. It is an essential part of our freedom as American citizens in the 21st Century that we have unimpeded and equal access to the information and services provided by the internet as a global marketplace and communication center. There is not a shred of evidence or guarantee that media conglomerates would self-police, and their interests as businesses will always be increasing financial gain. it is inevitable, and the impulse to rip off the American people must be discouraged in a strong stance that reflects our values as citizens of the free world.

1152. Thomas Virgil Cuda, Tujunga, CA, 91042
Don't end net neutrality. Keep the regulations in Title II where they can be enforced. An end to net neutrality will lessen competition and the quality of what is available via the internet.

1153. hannah moore, Los angeles, CA, 90027
I urge you to please protect net neutrality! I strongly support strong net neutrality protected by title II oversight of internet service providers

1154. Sarah Mueller, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I SUPPORT net neutrality backed by Title II oversight over my internet service provider. I do not want my service provider to be able to legally engage in slowing internet service to any particular site or application. In a world in which the number of eyeballs toward a screen directly impact the number of dollars made by corporations like Comcast and Time Warner -- who own the companies that provide nearly everything you watch and listen to -- there is no way that those companies will not use speeds to their advantage over competitors. Please protect internet neutrality. Do not deregulate ISP's.

1155. Billy Lindamood, Sunland, CA, 91040
I support net neutrality and believe that current rules classifying ISPs under Title 2 should not be undone. Net neutrality is vital, and special interests should not have the ability to affect the performance of various content providers to further their own commercial, political or other interests.

1156. Joshua D.Haycraft, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please protect net nuetrality and title 2. The internet needs to be free.

1157. Mike Tarzian, Tujunga, CA, 91042
The internet is a public trust that we, the people through our government, license to third party companies to conduct business. Part of the agreement is to keep the interest open and unobstructed to all, including the companies that compete with the

companies that are licensing internet access. If this persists than the next step will be to revoke the charter from the offending companies and reissue the license to a more trustworthy entity. Remember the FCC is part of the government that we the people fund to protect us from criminal behavior not be a part of it.

1158. Jason Wong, Montrose, CA, 91020
We fully support net neutrality under the current title 2 classification of Internet service providers. Please do not change the current status of ISPs and keep existing regulations in place

1159. kyrstin munson, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Net neutrality is essential for freedom.

1160. Danna Rosenthal, los angeles, CA, 90068
FCC, I emphatically support strong net neutrality and I firmly believe that ISPs should continue to be regulated under Title 2. Network speed and availability should be consistent irrespective of content or ownership. It is disgraceful that an obsessive need to eradicate regulation could possibly outweigh the protection of equal access to a medium that is effectively a necessity. Almost every constituency in the United States benefits from net neutrality, and ISPs are not unduly burdened. Repeal of net neutrality will be a blatant show of bias in favour of a tiny but wealthy constituency. Please heed the wishes of millions of citizens and maintain a regime that benefits all of America.Sincerely, Danna Rosenthal

1161. Alex Szabo, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I strongly support an open and fair internet and do not want any changes to net neutrality.

1162. Matt Fried, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Hello:I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

1163. Carly Boos, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I strongly support net neutrality as backed by title 2. Please do not remove these protections for fair and uncompromised internet access.

1164. Lisa Patricio, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I support net neutrality. My internet is slow enough due to the absurdly unopen market with AT&T gleefully telling me they have no intention of updating my neighborhood in the middle of urban Los Angeles. The last thing we need is more power granted to these companies to slow connections for products as they see fit.

1165. Samantha Cardona, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Preserve net neutrality dudes!

1166. Douglas Stark, Montrose, CA, 91020
Net Neutrality must remain intact. Without it, ISP's will simply do whatever they

want to slow down competitors and sites not to their liking. It will become a freeway where only luxury cars can travel. I will not support getting rid of net neutrality.

1167. Elise Salomon, Glendale, CA, 91206
Please do not undo the rules that protect net neutrality. This topic is directly tied to our free speech and Americans want and need a fair and equal system for internet providers, so that they do not have any potential incentive to slow down service for any reason for any parties. Protect our free speech. Protect net neutrality. Please.

1168. alex forster, Suite b286, CA, 91206
I strongly support net neutrality. It is paramount for society to have equal access to websites in order to inhibit the manipulation of content. Allowing companies to nearly dictate what you can have access to is wrong at its core. We are paying for these services, they aren't given to us for free. We should have the ability to look at what we want when we want without being regulated by some person far away who is pushing their brand, ideas, and products!!!!! Stop what you are doing and support equal internet rights for all. Keep title II.

1169. david chustz, Los angeles, CA, 90046
I FULLY SUPPORT THE CONTINUATION OF "NET NEUTRALITY" RULES BACKED BY TITLE 2 CLASSIFICATION OF ISPs.PLEASE DON'T DESTROY FAIR COMPETITION BY ALLOWING DISREPUTABLE COMPANIES LIKE VERIZON TO TAKE ADVANTAGE OF RELAXED LEGISLATION.

1170. Camille Avellano, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Preserve Internet neutrality with Title 2 protections!

1171. Olivia Newman, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
It is absolutely IMPERATIVE that we protect NET NEUTRALITY. This is core to our freedom and democracy.

1172. Sue Andres-Brown, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please preserve net neutrality using Title II.

1173. Wendy Fonarow, Glendale, CA, 91205
It is essential that net neutrality remain in place. Only someone who wants to defer freedom of speech to internet providers would support removing safeguards from our ability to get information from electronic sources. I implore you to use common sense and good judgment.

1174. Lisa La, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I'm strongly opposed to the FCC trying to kill net neutrality. Preserving an open internet is crucial for fair and equal access to the resources and information available on it.

1175. Nathan Hayashigawa, Los Angeles, CA, 90028

I support Net Neutrality and Title II. The internet belongs to the people, not the ISPs.

1176. Amanda Purcell, Hollywood, CA, 90028
Please do not allow corporations and big business to control the Internet. The Internet has proven to be vital in distributing information and keep citizens informed, be it in Egypt or in Baltimore. Internet providers should not be able to decide what sites users should be able to visit, should not be able to determine how users should be able to find their information online, and should not be able to take bribes from corporations in order to decide how to throttle rival businesses. This very act will stifle upcoming businesses, it will surpress the sharing of information which the Internet itself was built upon, and will crush the spirit of the Internet itself.Please protect American innovation, the sharing of ideas, and the common American. Do not allow big business to decide who should have the best speeds on the Internet. Please continue to title the Internet as a Title II service, and allow the common people to use the Internet as its founders intended--for everyone to exchange ideas and information, rather than restricting it to the ideas and information deemed worthy by the highest bidder. Do not let the great success of a mere three years ago be entirely undone. Thank you.

1177. Chris Sellers, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I am writing to providing my ongoing support for Net Neutrality regulations under Title 2.

1178. Frederic Chaignat, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I strongly support an open internet backed by Title II.

1179. David Schapiro, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I understand that you feel regulation can hamper. But in this case it is essential to make sure that internet providers keep a level playing field. The way we didn't stop building roads when we forced them all to run past businesses without prejudice for one store over another, net neutrality will make sure no companies can keep their thumb on the scale.

1180. Thomas Smith, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
We support preserving net neutrality under title 2. Ajit Pai's past comments show he has a clear conflict of interest in his current role as FCC Chairman.

1181. Kathryn Duplass, los angeles, CA, 90039
Preserve net neutrality and preserve title 2!

1182. Connie Broussard, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II of ISPs. Please do not change the current oversight laws.

1183. Samantha, Tujunga, CA, 91042
Hello. I would like to express (to Ajit Pai especially) my specific support of strong

Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I believe this is a significant concern for everyone, and that it must be addressed, and protected. Thank you very much.

1184. anusha kalbasi, West hollywood, CA, 90069
I support net neutrality as upheld by Title II regulations. Please do not compromise these essential regulations which protect citizens against favoritism by large ISPs.

1185. Jaime Chaignat, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II regulations of ISP providers.

1186. Duane Burda, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support the use of title 2 to strengthen net neutrality and keep corporations from unfairly pushing their preferences on the American people

1187. Farshid Rafahi, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Net Neutrality is a fundamental necessity to freedom of information, and must be protected. Please preserve Title II.

1188. Amy Mahler, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I believe it to be extremely important that net neutrality is preserved under Title II.

1189. john rosenberg, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
There is little evidence net neutrality under title II discourages investment. As a provider of digital content for and by some of the largest ISPs I have only seen increased investment and incentive to invest since the reclassification. Not once has a customer complained that the title II regulations has impacted their business negatively. It would appear from his comments that the FCC chair is driven by ideology and not good governing.

1190. Ana Figueroa, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support strict net neutrality regulations for internet service providers underneath Title II regulation. In 2016 the United Nations passed a resolution condemning any countries' tampering with its citizen's internet access. Allowing private corporations to create their own regulations regarding internet freedom would be an extension of that, as internet freedom directly ties into freedoms of speech and expression in this current digital age.

1191. melanie doyle, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I SUPPORT strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not rollback, change, adjust (you get the point) current protections.

1192. Cherie Shore, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I urge strong, Title II regulations to assure Net Neutrality. Leave Net Neutrality alone.

1193. icie, Wedt Hollywood, CA, 90069
Ajit Pai! I specifically support TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISP's

1194. Mark Wilkinson, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I support net nutrality specifically under the enforcement of Title 2.

1195. joseph Epstein, los angeles, CA, 90027
Preserve net neutrality and title 2 standing

1196. Roger Davies, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90046
Please preserve title 2 - we need net neutrality.

1197. Logan Arthur, Glendale, CA, 91208
I, Logan Arthur, urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

1198. Diego Velasco, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Keep the net neutral. This is a right now a privilege

1199. Hope Kandel, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please keep net neutrality intact by regulating ISP with Title II of the FCC Communication Act of 1934.

1200. Jeff Richardson, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please keep net neutrality in order by having ISPs be regulated under Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934. Internet throughput should not be subject to the highest bidder. Companies like Verizon and AT&T should not be able to slow down websites that they don't like.

1201. net neutrality, Burbank, CA, 91501
I strongly support the classification of internet service providers under Title 2. I think net neutrality, particularly supported through Title 2, is an important aspect of equality and freedom in the United States. Reducing the level of protections will weaken the county.

1202. MG, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Dear FCC. Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

1203. Andre Marsh, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Net neutrality is integral to our society. Remember that Congress and our Government works for us American Citizens and not for corporations. Stand for what is right.

1204. mike pence, Burbank, CA, 91501
Mr. PaiSpecifically, I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

1205. Steve Chahine, La Canada, CA, 91011

The FCC must maintain TITLE II safeguards to keep access to the internet neutral among all ISPs. The attempt to roll back these regulations to Title I or any other less stringent policy is a deliberate attempt to undermine the options of individual end users in favor of corporate interests.

1206. Jonathan Sierra, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I'm spefically support strong NET Neutrality BACKED BY TITLE 2 oversight of isp's!!!!

1207. Grace Amodeo, Glendale, CA, 91206
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and am strongly opposed to Docket No. 17-108.

1208. Neal Fischer, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
It is EXTREMELY important to KEEP Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Net Neutrality is EXTREMELY important. Internet service, ISPs and the world wide web is, and should always be considered, an essential UTILITY.

1209. Beth Tucker, West Hollywood, CA, 90048
Please continue to support Net Neutrality and Title II. Keep the internet free and open. ISPs cannot be trusted to regulate themselves. Do the right thing.

1210. Samantha Streets, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight or ISP's.

1211. Aviv Russ, LA, CA, 90027
You must protect net neutrality and keep it under title II. Allowing ISP's to control the speed/access of the internet to specific services would be like if General Motors bought the Brooklyn Bridge and only allowed GM cars to cross without paying the toll.

1212. Luke Green, Los angeles, CA, 90029
Please keep the internet open. I support strong net neutrality rules. I want title 2 classification.

1213. Micol Green, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I support strong Net Neutrality rules. The ISPs should continue to be classified under Title II.

1214. Daniel Lopez, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
How about you let the little guys keep this one.Preserve Net Neutrality and Titile II

1215. David Benson, Burbank, CA, 91501
Please retain #NetNeutrality and #TitleII freedoms!

1216. sarina stogel, la canada flt, CA, 91011
strongly in favor of keeping net neutrality rules pursuant to title 2 oversight of isp's

1217. robert aronson, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Destroying the net neutrality act represents the greatest threat to advancement of internet technology we face today. If your chairman had an iota of integrity he would defend the people against his former employer instead of selling us out. You don't need to have a car accident to realize you need speed limits. You don't need to have comcast restrict my access to Netflix before you realize regulations are good.

1218. Jaime Porras, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
I support strong that neutrality rules and hope that the FCC continues to support title to you and maintain the rules as they stand. As an educator I know the importance of an open Internet that does not rely on corporations to set guidelines for themselves. The FCC was created specifically to protect the general public and consumers from any potential malfeasance or opportunistic behavior on the behalf of companies in this field. I trust at the FCC will do the right thing and continue to maintain these extremely important laws. Please keep title 2.

1219. Yungsheng Wang, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
Net Neutrality is fundamental to the free and open Internet. It is appalling and disgusting that the FCC would risk the future of information knowledge exchange for the interests of greedy, ISPs. Keep net neutrality in place. This is the only reasonable, fair, and just doctrine to ensure that the Internet remain the innovative, accessible platform that it has become. Keep it under Title II, and keep Net Neutrality.

1220. John Promani, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Net neutrality is key and we need to keep ISPs under Title II to ensure fair access to web content. Without this safeguard it will be too easy for companies to provide access to their content at a higher speed than their competitors, transforming the internet as we know it today.

1221. Britney Zavada, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I would like to express my strong feeling that all ISP's should be under Title 2. Do not allow this to be changed in any way. This protects me from large companies from manipulating how I get my content and speed. This is essential so that the internet stays fair. Our current head of the FCC has ISP's interests in minds, not the people. Please protect the American people. Please do not put our needs to the side for corporations.

1222. Travis Sims, Glendale, CA, 91205
ISPs must remain under Title II. Net Neutrality is imperative to a free, open, accessible, fair use internet and every large company that has a significant web presence or is based on the internet will tell you the same. None of them want the change back to Title I, no consumer wants the change back to Title I. The only ones that want the change back to Title I are the ISPs because they can profit from it.

1223. Net Neutrality, Glendale, CA, 91208
Please keep Net Neutrality, it is vital to make the big Internet service providers

enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites. Without this, nothing would prevent Comcast for instance from throttling Netflix until Netflix pays them a ransom, a ransom that would then be absorbed by us the consumers. This is madness. Our government is here to for us the people, do you job and preserve Net Neutrality!

1224. John P Guiseppi, los angeles, CA, 90026
Please keep net neutrality protections intact! I strongly support the effort to uphold and keep the current standards in place.

1225. Robert Valencia, La Canada, CA, 91011
Internet neutrality must remain regulated under Title 2. The internet is critical to humanity and should not be vulnerable to abuse by ISPs motivated by market share and stock value.

1226. lauren evans, Los angeles, CA, 90048
There is no reason to remove isp's from title 2. The internet is a commodity and should be regulated as such. Small businesses should not fear throttled internet. This is not something the American people want.

1227. John Shier, la canada, CA, 91011
Please keep net neutrality and title 2 in tact.

1228. mindy cook, Glendale, CA, 91201
I am asking that the FCC intervene and save net neutrality.

1229. lali kagan, Lis angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong oversight of ISPs. Do NOT let them self-regulate or weaken current regulations!!

1230. David Forrest, LA, CA, 90046
Keep net neutrality and article II

1231. Louis Godfrey, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Preserve net neutrality and Title II regulations. Also, that oversized Reese's mug is douchey and I want to piss in it.

1232. Michael Sandler, Glendale, CA, 91201
I strongly support net neutrality and reasonably sized coffee mugs.

1233. Angela Bonner, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The FCC should support strong net neutrality laws backed by title 2.

1234. Brenda Rodriguez-Sandler, Glendale, CA, 91201
I strongly support Net Nutrality. It is needed and you cannot do without it.

1235. Mark LaFleur, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
PLEASE, PLEASE, PLEASE, maintain internet neutrality and title 2. This is so important.

1236. Micah Wilmott, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
We support strong net neutrality and title 2 and want you to preserve the freedom that net neutrality provides.

1237. Alexander Posell, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I want my internet service to remain free and open. I do not want service providers to be able to play favorites. Keep net neutrality!

1238. Stephen Pagano, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
The FCC must retain strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. We do not want ISPs and special interest groups limiting what choices we have on the internet. Don't harm creativity and innovation, keep the net neutral!

1239. Michael Cuttone, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I support preserving net neutrality rules as they are today. keep ISPs classified as title 2. We cannot trust ISPs to treat internet traffic fairly on their own.

1240. Alex Gallichotte, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality, and oppose any legislation that disturbs the free flow of data. The FCC should classify and regulate internet service as a basic utility.

1241. Ryan Clare, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support net neutrality and maintaining the rules of Title II to govern internet service providers. Do NOT repeal these greatly important regulations.

1242. Mindy Markowitz, Glendale, CA, 91207
I believe in Net Neutrality. Please do not overturn title 2.

1243. David A. Cardenas, Glendale, CA, 91203
Please preserve strong net neutrality enforcement laws and keep title 2. Please. I'm begging you. A free and open internet is all we have now.

1244. Gavin Minton, Sunland, CA, 91040
Please retain net neutrality under title II. This is very important for free expression and the economic competitiveness of our nation.

1245. joe dea, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Retain Net Neutrality - Keep ISPs under Title 2 rules.

1246. ryan, Burbank, CA, 91501
We need to keep strong net neutrality under title 2. It's the ethical choice.

1247. Kathryn McChesney, 91201, CA, 91201
I oppose 17-108, and am in support of preserving Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight.

1248. Megan, Burbank, CA, 91501
We deserve strong net neutrality under title 2

1249. Patrick Ginn, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. This is so important in keeping the internet a fair and open place to exchange ideas.

1250. yvonne kawano, Burbank, CA, 91504
I'm writing to express my concerns about the change in the Title category for Net Neutrality. I support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2. I oppose docket No. 17-108. Thank you.

1251. Kristopher White, Burbank, CA, 91504
Please preserve title II oversight of ISPs. I support strong net neutrality.

1252. jon saunders, Glendale, CA, 91207
Please support net neutrality through title 2 regulations on isp's. Don't ruin the Internet for us!

1253. Brettza Mardiroussian, Montrose, CA, 91020
neutrality= the state of not supporting or helping either side in a conflict, disagreement, etc.; impartiality. -- absence of decided views, expression, or strong feeling.I been using the internet since 1991, I like it the way it is , leave it alone.

1254. Kevin Scanlon, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please preserve net neutrality and keep Title 2 protections in tact.

1255. Jimmy Davis, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Don't sell out America.

1256. Thomas Bridges, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I STRONGLY support Net Neutrality and Title II. To reverse the previous ruling would be detrimental to our country.

1257. Flavia Watson, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
Please please please preserve neutrality and Title II. I strongly stand by the right to this.

1258. Dennis Carlos, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I strongly support net neutrality under the title 2 regulations.

1259. Teresa Mackey, Tujunga, CA, 91042

I strongly urge the FCC to protect my rights and the rights of others by keeping the internet neutral. No provider should be able to block or slow down the citizens of the United States use of the internet or allow favoritism amongst content providers. All platforms and content should have the same access to consumers. No changes should be be made to the current laws regarding Net Neutrality

1260. Colin Wood, Glendale, CA, 91207
Mr. Pai, You are not truthful about your intentions regarding net neutrality. I support strong net neutrailty under Title II oversight of ISP's. You are a fox in a henhouse and a danger to what must remain be a free and open internet unimpeded by the monopolistic designs of Verizon, Comcast, ATT et al.

1261. sean, Burbank, CA, 91502
We must keep a free and open internet. There is no upside to the end of net neutrality except for the rich getting richer.

1262. Anna Musso, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I am one of millions of Americans that are paying attention to the actions of the FCC and I support strong net neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs. Ajit Pai obviously works for corporations, not the American people. I hope I can count on the FCC to be more honorable than him.

1263. Brian Arnold, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I support Net Neutrality. It needs to be protected. Your plans to gut Net Neutrality are dangerous and will only hurt Americans.

1264. Dr Sean Jackson, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
I along with my family support maintaining net neutrality.Please maintain net neutrality of the internet.

1265. Valencia, los angeles, CA, 90028
Please do not get rid of net neutrality laws. They help protect us from ISP's. Preventive laws exist for a reason.

1266. Alexander Carr, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Preserve title 2 and net neutrality. Net neutrality is both crucial to the future of the internet. Regulation and oversight are necessary mechanisms to ensure that broadband companies do not potentially block websites, slow connection speeds or charge for faster delivery of content based on monetary incentives. Preserve net neutrality and title 2.

1267. john munch, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I want to voice my strong support for Net Neutrality and all the freedoms that Title II fights to uphold. I believe that a company's block, limit access, or charge more for information goes against the essence of the 2nd Ammendment. In this day and age, information and knowledge have the ability to reach and inspire people that precious generations haven't had access to. To limit their potential is a crime in my opinion.

1268. Sohrab Noshirvani, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I am writing in support of maintaining net neutrality under Title 2. Strong net neutrality is important for maintaining free and democratic societies. Thank you.

1269. Tyler Barnes, los angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong net neutrality under title II. To deregulate is corruption.

1270. Walton Rowell, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong net neutrality, backed by the existing Title 2 oversite of ISPs. It works, it has worked, and it will continue to work in the best interests of the public, which should never take a back seat to corporate interests.

1271. Kristin Sauchak, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I'm writing to insist the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

1272. Matthew Iadarola, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. Corporations will not remain neutral without oversight. Neutrality is essential for all Americans to have equal access to the internet.

1273. Charles Sutton II, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by TITLE II oversight of ISP's. The Dude abides.

1274. r, Los angeles, CA, 90048
Save the net neutrality title regulations requiring internet providers provide equal band width to all web sites

1275. Diane Weiss, los angeles, CA, 90068
I adamantly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. Remember, you work for the American people and the American people demand net neutrality.

1276. net neutrality, LA, CA, 90028
I believe we should be keeping the internet free. Net neutrality is the only way to protect my freedom as an American citizen. I urge you to keep net neutrality protections in place. No websites should be able to pay for efficiency and even more importantly big companies shouldn't be able to pay for smaller companies web presence to be less efficient. Freedom for all is net neutrality.

1277. Tom Coggia, West Hollywood, CA, 90048
I strongly support maintaining net neutrality and title II. Do not sell us out in favorite of corporations.

1278. Megan Askew, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I believe that regulation assists in the maintenance of Net Neutrality. I back title 2 and strong net neutrality.

1279. Juliana Alvarez, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I beseech you to PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY and the enforcement of it UNDER TITLE II.Thank you.

1280. JILLIAN TALLEY, glendale, CA, 91205
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2 COMMUNICATIONS ACT

1281. Ev Swed, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Guys, we gotta keep it real, we gotta exercise Integrity. Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. Thank you

1282. William Bertrand, Shadow Hills, CA, 91040
I support maintaining net neutrality rules and Title II. I find it imperative that the FCC keep both in place to protect the interests of the United States citizens over those of corporate America.

1283. Todd Carney, Burbank, CA, 91501
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title ii oversight of ISP's!

1284. Scott L Bartels, Burbank, CA, 91501
Internet service should remain under title 2 and net neutrality should continue to be enforced.

1285. Michael Benson Gowen, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I support net neutrality as backed by Title 2. The revocation of net neutrality is a direct attack on the citizens of this country, and will not be supported by an informed populace. The FCC works for the American people, and I speak as a citizen of this nation. Do not revoke Net Neutrality.

1286. Taylor Scott, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Don't delegitimize the internet.

1287. Mark Moran, Glendale, CA, 91207
I strongly support full net neutrality backed by Title II classification.

1288. Dan Taylor, Burbank, CA, 91501
Strict net neutrality laws are essential! We cannot sell off our free access to the highest bidder. Maintain a level playing field for all content providers. Use your conscience.Thank you.

1289. Prudence Ruzicka DePew, Glendale, CA, 91203
Protect net neutrality. It is vital to our countries well being

1290. jessie singer, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. We need this!

1291. jen malone, West Hollywood, CA, 90046

I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY.Keep ISPs in title 2

1292. Piotr Uzarowicz, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Net Neutrality is important to me. Do not change the current rules that protect us.

1293. Daniel Low, Los Angles, CA, 90039
I support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISP's!!

1294. Jeff Wright, Burbank, CA, 91506
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title 2 oversight of ALL ISP's.

1295. john slevin, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Uphold net neutrality! Do not revert to title I.

1296. Net Neutrality, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I am in ardent support of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! And I am opposed to the Restoring Internet "Freedom" Act proposed by President Donald Trump and supported by the newly elected head of the FCC. Title 2 oversight is the only way we will be able to keep ISP's honest. Without it, they will operate oppressively in whichever manner will earn them the most profit, without regard for their customers, who have little choice in their ISP. I will henceforth vote against any politician who is against keeping Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 assignment.

1297. Michael Dooley, Montrose, CA, 91020
I support Internet Neutrality. It should not be legal for any company to limit the accessibility to other (possibly competing) company's web sites or services.

1298. Erica, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
The Federal Communications Commission (FCC) is currently debating legislation to define limits for internet service providers (ISPs). The hope is that they will keep the internet open and prevent companies from discriminating against different kinds of websites and services.A free and open internet is the single greatest technology of our time, and control should not be at the mercy of corporations. A free and open internet stimulates ISP competition. A free and open internet prevents unfair pricing. Net Neutrality is important to me and I expect my government to do the right thing in keeping the internet free and open rather than selling out to corporations.

1299. John, West Hollywood, CA, 90048
Keep Net Neutrally under Title II. Keep it fair and honest. Don't screw it up.

1300. Philip Holdredge, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Net neutrality is vital to innovation, access to information, and free speech. Requests for changes of classifications of ISPs from Title II to Title I should be declined.

1301. Marc Wilcox, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please keep net neutrality rules the same. Do not reverse the rules put in place in

2014. Keep the Internet unbiased and don't allow companies to influence the content we can view.

1302. Aaron Drown, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. I feel strongly about this. Thanks.

1303. Meredith Jung, Burbank, CA, 91501
I work for a cloud technology company and strongly believe that title II designation for ISPs is crucial to maintaining network neutrality and network neutrality is vital to the continued success of both our democracy and capitalist economy.

1304. Alex Karas, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I ask that you maintain the current net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

1305. Nicole Clare, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II regulations for ISPs.

1306. Andy Greene, Burbank, CA, 91501
I support net neutrality. I urge Chairman Pai to defend Title II net neutrality and protect the internet as a common carrier lest the American people lose another frontier of freedom. Do the right thing, and don't think about money.

1307. M Caldwell, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Democracy demands free and fair Open internet! Don't take this away from us! Protect Net Neutrality and with it, our core American values.

1308. Skyler Okey, West Hollywood, CA, 90038
I support net neutrality as is under Title II

1309. Ian Mayberry, Glendale, CA, 91206
Hello! I am in support of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!

1310. Dylan Gallagher, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please, this is an incredibly important and serious issue. I strongly disagree with and have NO support for the Restoring Internet Freedom Act.

1311. franklin odel, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support strong internet neutrality and tittle 2 oversight of ISPs.

1312. Robert Reid Jones, Burbank, CA, 91501
Companies will not police themselves. I support very strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II. Title II keeps the internet free from favorites the ISPs prefer. Preserve Title II.

1313. Michael Kramer, Glendale, CA, 91201
Preserving Net Neutrality and keeping ISP's classified within TITLE II is one of

THE most important rulings of our time. The pace of internet innovation is profoundly fast, and because of that, it is PARAMOUNT that it maintain a neutral footing during these formative years. ISPs have NO incentive to play fair with our internet access. The only thing that will keep them in line is regulation. Please do the right thing here!!

1314. hasmik, Sunland, CA, 91401
Perseve net neutrality and title 2 please, don't let big corporations bully us.

1315. Eric Vennemeyer, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I wholeheartedly support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Anything less is a betrayal of the ideals of the internet, the free market, and the country.

1316. John Beers, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I want my internet service to remain free and open. I do not want service providers to be able to play favorites. Keep net neutrality.

1317. Katherine Hugh-jones, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The Internet should be treated as a utility and available to anyone without restriction.

1318. Rodolfo Destefano, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
i specifically support strong net neutrality backed by titel 2 oversites of isp's .

1319. Elizabeth Elam, Los Angeles, CA, 90048
Preserve Title II and Net Neutrality! The American people care about this matter!!!!PS cool mug idiot

1320. Shirley Otis-Green, Burbank, CA, 91506
I ask for your support of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2oversight of ISPs. Thank you.

1321. matt ulrich, los angeles, CA, 90027
Do not, under any circumstances, kill net neutrality!

1322. Michael Hendry, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
To digeress on net neutrality laws and revert to title 1 would be to sell American innovation and expression to corporations. The result of this would be a depression of culture towards the purpose of controlling it.Do not do this. Regardless of who you are and your political party, you want a better world for yourself and your kids. When communication is measured, traded and restricted, that's a worse world for everyone but the people with the keys to control that communication.And when you need keys to communicate, culture dies to support survival.

1323. Alison Kelly, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
I'm in favor of the FCC continuing current protections of internet traffic under Title

II of the Communications Act of 1934. All traffic should be treated equally. No entity should be able to buy faster delivery of their content to customers, as larger companies would then have the advantage and entrepreneurship would be harmed.

1324. guy, los angeles, CA, 90026
Do not change ISPs back to Title 1. Keep Net neutrality alive. Internet for the masses not for control by ISPs

1325. Barrett Weislow, Echo Park, CA, 90026
Net neutrality is vital and the FCC should do all it can to make sure that internet rules reflect our democratic values of freedom and equality.

1326. Ara Hadidian, Glendale, CA, 91202
I believe net neutrality has not affected ISP spending on infrastructure upgrades in any detrimental form.In fact removing net neutrality protections WILL affect the equality of the internet to a great extent to small innovators who could come out ahead of the large corporations and leapfrog then with new technologies.But without Net neutrality the big guys can legally stifle the small guy and start charging more for certain services which a portion of the population without disposable income could be restricted from using. This could negatively affect the economically challenged high school student from having access to services/information a student with greater economic means could.A level playing field is always better for the greater good. Removing these protections is only serving the large ISP's which we are held hostage by. As it is we are limited to two ISP in our broken system of a duopoly ISP system.

1327. John Lambert, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
This is unconstitutional, and you should be ashamed of yourselves.

1328. Sean Cargle, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please respect the 2014 ruling in relation to Net Neutrality. Repealing that will allow internet companies room to once again violate consumer protections and rights.

1329. Jennifer Surabian, Burbank, CA, 91506
Equal access to the internet is a must and should be treated as a utility. It is imperative that net neutrality remain intact - please allow Title 2 to remain.

1330. Dylan Farr, Glendale, CA, 91206
preserve net neutrality and title 2 restrictions. please. we're all going to hell.

1331. Peter Simmeth, Glendale, CA, 91208
The FCC should regulate internet providers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

1332. Razmig Der-Tavitian, Glendale, CA, 91203
I'm writing to express concern about the current Administration's desire to change

net neutrality laws. Doing so will have negative effects on consumer choice and eliminate the unbiased flow of internet traffic. Eliminating net neutrality is ridiculous and unpopular.

1333. Gur Shlapobdrsky, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Keep the NET neutral. Keep ISP under TITLE II.

1334. greg hughes, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
The role of government is to create laws and regulations that provide its citizens a fair and just country to live within. Having ISP's under title 2 does that. What are the reasons for doing away with net neutrality? To promote large business to make more money? It doesn't appear that the large ISP's are hurting for cash. Net neutrality is not onerous; rather it curtails corporate greed.

1335. Drew, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
You need to preserve Title II & Net Neutrality. It's too important to preserve the open access of information that has spawned a generation of self-sufficient, self-taught, intellectual and creative individuals of all ages.

1336. Curtis Boswell, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Keep ISPs under Title 2 and preserve net neutrality!'

1337. John Hunter, Glendale, CA, 91208
I strongly support net-neutrality backed by FCC protection of Title II.

1338. Storer Ware, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Dear Mr. Ajit Pai I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.Storer WareLos Angeles, CA

1339. press e, Burbank, CA, 91504
We support internet neutrality and title II oversight.

1340. Net Nuetrality, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I believe in a free and open internet. I am pro net neutrality.

1341. Thomas Calderon, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
The data networks of the Internet have become the information infrastructure of our era, not unlike our highways. ISPs should be considered in that context and governed under Title II rules. America's innovation led the world in the development of this technology and can continue to lead in this maturing growth period if protected access to data speeds are maintained. I strongly urge the FCC to maintain Title II Rules for Internet providers. Real innovation needs an even playing field.

1342. Net, Hollywood, CA, 90038
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's.Keep net neutrality!

1343. G. Curtin Hughes, W. Hollywood, CA, 90046
I strongly support strong net neutrality, as do the great majority of Americans.

1344. Joshua Gonzalez, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I am requesting that the FCC preserve Net Neutrality and uphold regulation of IPCs under Title 2 to maintain the integrity of our online community and keep the internet free from potential influence by corporations and companies looking to make a profit.

1345. Robert, Los angeles, CA, 90026
The current net neutrality laws should stay in place.

1346. STEVEN GUSTAFSON, LA CRESCENTA, CA, 91214
Maintain the Title II requirement for ISPs and maintain net neutrality.

1347. Aleksander Gorski, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY, ALL ISPS SHOULD REMAIN AS TITLE 2. AJIT PAI IS WRONG AND WORKING FOR VERIZON. DO NOT ROLL BACK THESE REGULATIONS!

1348. Clint Lokercl, Hollywood, CA, 90028
I support title 2 and net nutrality. If you take it away, you must take away that rediculous gallon mug that your chairman has.

1349. Araks Hunter, Glendale, CA, 91208
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II over site of ISP-s.

1350. Sean Arora, Glendale, CA, 91208
I do not agree with the FCC's stance of changing net neutrality rules under Ajit Pai's direction. This is anti-consumer, it is unnecessary, and Title II has been proving to be better for consumers. Just because under Title I rules, operators did not curtail freedom of the internet does not mean they did not have the power to do so. Given that fact, it is a weak argument on it's face to say that Title II is actually causing a true restriction on operators. Despite that fact, Title II is still pro-consumer and the internet is a vital and important resource to our world's economy.

1351. DAVE REYNOLDS, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I support strong net neutrality rules governed by title II protections. Do not move them back to title I

1352. amy eppenstein, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY. PRESERVE TITLE 2!!

1353. Marla, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
I am disheartened and concerned over the proposed changes to the regulation of net neutrality. I support strong regulation of ISPs under title 2. Please protect the American public from the outright greed of ISP's. This is regulation that we need to

keep everyone on a level playing field. Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

1354. Heather Farr, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support protecting net neutrality, a free open internet, and preserving Title II status.

1355. Alexander Waggoner, Glendale, CA, 91206
I'm opposed to regulating ISPs using Title I. Keep them on Title II. The internet is essential to modern life. Just like water and power.

1356. MeeshPak, los angeles, CA, 90028
I am in support of Net neutrality under Title 2.It's crazy and opens a huge door to corruption and chaos in our society if we take that away.Thanks!

1357. Jonathan Manning, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please, preserve net neutrality and Title 2.

1358. jazzmyn hollis, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I support a strong net neutrality agreement under title II

1359. Kenyon McFarlane, Glendale, CA, 91204
It's essential that net neutrality be preserved. A free and equal internet promotes innovation, and allows for diversity of views, business, and organizations. Reclassifying net neutrality in order to appease big business would severely endanger our first amendment rights.

1360. Nic Sadler, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
The internet is one of the most important developments in human history. A mechanism through which knowledge and ideas can be freely exchanged, that has its cornerstone the concept of Net Neutrality. The internet needs to be protected from corporate interests for the ultimate benefit of the people.

1361. Robert Cohen, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please, believe in the future, keep America an example to the rest of the world, and preserve net neutrality and Title II.

1362. Keith Wilber, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support Net Neutrality and title 2 oversight. Please continue to keep these regulations in place and keep the internet playing field level.

1363. Lise Anderson, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Please keep the internet classified as a Title II utility. My ISP shouldn't be able to slow or otherwise burden content that it doesn't like. Unrestrained access to the internet needs to be protected from rapacious corporations. Title II is the appropriate classification to ensure that private corporations like Verizon or Comcast or AT&T

treat all content equally.

1364. Joseph Crandall, Burbank, CA, 91504
I support the preservation of Net-Neutrality and Title II

1365. Corey Sorenson, Glendale, CA, 91207
I implore the FCC to preserve Net Neutrality under Title 2 for ISPs.

1366. TAYLOR SERVEDIO, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I FULLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY! Please preserve the current net neutrality regulations. Be for the people, not the power!

1367. Andrei Celea, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Please support strong net neutrality rules under Title 2. Anything less would handicap what has made the US a leader in the internet and tech space at large.

1368. jenna ennis, Hollywood, CA, 90068
I support net neutrality. White Ribbons, forever.

1369. Ron Feldman, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please do not eliminate or gut net neutrality. I am very concerned about ISPs giving unfair advantage or disadvantage to websites of their choosing. This poses numerous risks to free speech, and freedom and access to information. Plus it can harm small businesses and start ups.

1370. Logan Morris, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I am in support of net neutrality. I am very opposed to 17-108.

1371. MIKE OH, WEST HOLLYWOOD, CA, 90069
Protect net neutrality under Article 2. Regulate greedy old white men (and those who do their bidding). People have the power!!! Thank you.

1372. Erica naylor, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
We support strong net neutrality under title II.

1373. Artin Panossian, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Net Neutrality should be made stronger not weaker. Staying in Title II is a must as moving it out would make it easier for broadband and internet providers to discriminate against different websites and different internet services/programs. If you change this, you will see a whole new Resistance that will dwarf the anti trump resistance. The House and even Senate will be in play in 2018. Don't make the biggest mistake of your careers.

1374. Robert Knox, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I strongly support consumer and business protections provided via the Title II classification of ISPs. Net Neutrality stimulates innovation, dialogue and freedom.

1375. Benjamin Reyes, Los Angeles, CA, 90036
I urge to preserve net neutrality and title II

1376. James Hunter, San Francisco, CA, 91208
I strongly support protecting the free and open internet by regulating ISPs under FCC Title 2 rules that were enacted under the Obama administration.

1377. Michael Hartigan, Glendale, CA, 91202
To former Verizon lawyer and current FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I support strong internet neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs (internet service providers). Please do not deregulate it. Preserve it! Our democracy depends on internet neutrality.

1378. Jessie Murray, Burbank, CA, 91506
This. is bullshit

1379. Gabrielle Edwards, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support Net Neutrality, as well as Title II!!!! Do not get rid of these!!!!

1380. Matthe Harding, Glendale, CA, 91205
I strongly am in favor of net neutrality and suggest the FCC not change anything that would eliminate it.

1381. Benny Briseno, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please preserve strong net neutrality. Thank you.

1382. Gray Crary, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
against changes proposed to net neutrality

1383. Andrew Goh, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am advocating for the FCC to continue to support Net Neutrality by keeping ISPs classified under Title 2. And for Pai to act like an adult and get rid of his Resse's Oversized Mug.

1384. Eric, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY, PLEASE!! AND PRESERVE TITLE TWO, PLEASE!!

1385. Jenna Wycoff, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I strongly support net neutrality and Title 2! It is important protection for all participants of the Internet globally. Protect net neutrality for generations to come!

1386. Haena Kim, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support net neutrality. Any action impinging on net neutrality is an action against The People.

1387. Joshua Huval, Los Angeles, CA, 90046

I strongly support net neutrality and title 2 classification of ISP's. I believe they are vital to the integrity of the internet and everything it stands for. Thank you for hearing my opinion.

1388. henry kwapis, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Please preserve strong net neutrality backed by title 2 supported oversight of isps. Thank you

1389. michelle seyler, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Classify ISPs under title II and protect net neutrality.

1390. Jordan, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Preserve strong net neutrality laws!!!

1391. jesse salto, los angeles, CA, 90004
I urge you to keep the internet free of corporate grasp and maintain Net Neutrality. for everybody's sake.

1392. Roman Perry, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Strong Net Neutrality is the most important thing in maintaining a level playing field for all. It encourages free market capitalism on the internet. Without it, we drift closer towards a corporate dystopia that the cyberpunk writers warned us about.

1393. Peter Reyes, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
We would like to have the FCC keep it strict regulation on open internet and title II. A fair and open Internet is the mission that FCC should deliver.

1394. Leo Roquentin, Los Angeles, CA, 90064
Ajit Pai you are the worst. WHy would you ruin the internet, I hope the internet ruins you first. DO NOT CHANGE TITLE STATUS OF ISPs!

1395. Michael Perlman, Burbank, CA, 91504
Please maintain Net Neutrality in Title II. It is important to keep access to information open and not give advantage to large corporations.

1396. John B, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II. Get a smaller mug.

1397. Matt PIzzano, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I am appalled that once again Net Neutrality is being threatened by covert and deceptive policies that attempt to give some of the largest corporations preferential treatment on how the internet is used. The fact that this can be brought back to the table after the American people have clearly expressed their desire to keep internet flow unmitigated by corporate decision makes - can only mean that our government agencies are no longer operating under the desire to keep our nation healthy and our people in the decision making role. This disdain for your own people is horrific. Please reconsider the role you play in the world and DEFEND net neutrality and the

people's will - instead of assuming that the corporations putting pressure and lobbying otherwise act into accordance to anyone but their own interests.

1398. Larry E. Carroll, Burbank, CA, 91501
I am firmly for net neutrality and its protection via Title II. I will fight against any politician who tries to undermine it, including voting against them and contributing campaign funds to their opponents.

1399. julie burton, los angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly oppose any change to the current state of net-neutrality and title 2 unless that change is specifically to strengthen rather than weaken it. Any changes otherwise would only benefit large corporations at the expense of the individual consumer. The weakening of net-neutrality would be yet another tragedy in the long list that is accruing in the chipping away of the bedrock of our democracy.

1400. Heidi, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I specifically support net neutrality rules specifically backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

1401. Colin Johnson, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I want you to maintain Net Neutrality and Title 2 designation. It is your responsibility to protect the public from corporate abuses.

1402. Elise Welch, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. The internet should be treated as a utility and maintained as something accessible and democratic.

1403. Zachary Lee Poki'ikaina Pablo, Burbank, CA, 91506
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Large corporate enterprises of all kinds have consistently demonstrated an inability to observe basic principles of societal uprightness. I believe that in the age in which we live - where ready access to information via the Internet is so necessary to so broad an array of essential everyday functions and activities - it is vital for the good of the American people that we ensure such corporate entities are prevented from actively monopolizing our most powerful communicative tool for personal profit.

1404. Cody Alexander, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I support net neutrality! Why is the FCC considering deregulating this issue which only allows big corporations to shut out smaller sources? Maybe it has to do with the head of the FCC being a Verizon lawyer.The internet should remain open and equal for all.

1405. Chloe Whiteford, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Net neutrality is essential to ensure equal access to information--it must not be repealed.

1406. Kevin Akers, Burbank, CA, 91506

Net Neutrality is best for a competitive and growing economy. It does not show favor to any one company or entity over another. Please keep all regulations of Title II intact. I fully support Net Neutrality.

1407. Chris Chandler, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
As fun as it is having a former attorney for Verizon Wireless as the head of the FCC trying to sell me on the idea that unregulated internet service would be "neutral," and that ISP's would honor a verbal agreement not to manipulate bandwidth based on content, I'm not buying it. It is imperative to keep ISP's under Title II regulation.

1408. Joseph Alguire, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support net neutrality. I specifically support Title 2 (Title II) classification for the internet. I am against any legal modification whatsoever to the current status. I am specifically against changes that will give ISPs self governing power.

1409. LMLanphere, West Hollywood, CA, 90048
I believe we need strong net neutrality rules, backed by strong oversight of ISP's using Title II rules. We cannot let special interests erode the great asset that is a free and open internet.

1410. Christian Struzan, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Please uphold protections of net neutrality like Article II. Equal access to all information without tampering or control by outside interests is vital. Protect the open internet.

1411. Matthew Watts, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight over ISPs.Listen to the people of this country. And by people, I mean humans, not corporations.

1412. Austin Baker, Pasadena, CA, 91103
Internet Service Providers should continue to be regulated under Title II of the Communications Act.

1413. S.G., Los Angeles, CA, 90027
This is very important: I support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.

1414. Martin Malolepszy, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I support strong net neutrality regulations based on classification of internet service providers as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Title II provides the necessary legal mechanisms, as has been previoulsy recommeded by the courts, to provide for the enforcement of net neutrality and safeguard against "unjust or unreasonable discrimination in charges, practices, classifications, regulations, facilities, or services.â€ Arguments against Title II, such as that it will place an undue burden on ISPs, are gross exaggerations. Title II classification will not deter ISPs from future investments in both wireless and wired FIOS technology in any significant way (as stated by Verizon to it's shareholders). It will, however, provide the FCC the necessary legal basis to prevent potential ISP

fuckery from screwing up access to the internet as we know it. Title II has existed for a long time with good reason; to provide a strong regulatory framework to hold carriers accountable. In my opinion, allowing "voluntarily" self regulation is toothless, unenforceable, and a complete farse. Alternatively, the two paragraphs of section 706 in no way provide the necessary protection that the internet demands. Net neutrality is necessary to protect a vital resource that will be the backbone of our future economy. I urge the FCC to protect the future of the internet with Title II classification of ISPs!

1415. micki sauer, Los angeles, CA, 90036
This is a right just like gas, water and electricity is. Please stop depriving people affordability to a right that all people should access to. Do not shut out knowledge to the people you do not want to afford it to.

1416. Ed Del Castillo, La Canada, CA, 91011
I strongly support net neutrality through the use of Title 2 and am strongly opposed to any move or anyone who attempts to remove it.

1417. Chuen Sheng, glendale, CA, 91203
American for Net Neutrality!

1418. Jessica Dubron, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2. Protect net neutrality! I STRONGLY OPPOSE letting Ajit Pai rewrite the rules put in place to protect the open Internet. Trusting that ISPs will "do the right thing" is ridiculous and we all know it. Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

1419. Landon Charles Hughes, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
To Whom This May Concern,I'm an Iraq War Veteran and stand-up comedian in Los Angeles, CA. Net Neutrality allows me to reach out to fellow veterans and has only inspired me to believe in my career. It provides opportunities for me and others to discover our voice. Until now, I've never feared that my freedom to speak freely on the internet would be dictated by the rules and regulations enacted by the faceless entities we know as T-Mobile, Verizon, At&t, etc. These are old and not great companies. Net Neutrality needs to remain intact under Title II. I would probably be homeless by now had it not been for my first YouTube Webseries viewers. I still think it was my Mom.Thank you for your time.Sincerely,Landon Charles Hughes

1420. Kyle Crown, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Strong net neutrality is important! Keep ISPs under Title 2 oversight.

1421. kelly crown, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I am in support of net neutrality. I support net neutrality rules as governed by Title II oversight. Please do not remove or change these regulations.

1422. Eitan Kadosh, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net neutrality is essential to an open and democratic internet. As such, I strongly

oppose any effort to reverse classification and regulation of internet service under Title II. Broadband is a utility, like water or electricity, and should be regulated as such. Allowing the broadband industry to self-regulate through "terms of service" agreements is letting the fox guard the henhouse.

1423. Adam Moskowitz, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules as they are

1424. Adam Willis, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I strongly support the FCC maintaining the existing policy of Net Neutrality. I support the Title 2 designation of ISPs. I want our country to continue to maintain our open and free internet via regulations to keep it in place as such.

1425. christopher patrick, Sunland, CA, 91040
I am pro net neutrality, please keep let us keep our cyber rights!!! That'd be keen ^_*

1426. Susan Macksey, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
Net Neutrality is vitally important to me, and to the nation as a whole. It has become fundamental to many areas of American life: information, communication, business, and technological innovation. I am extremely alarmed to learn that the FCC, rather than dedicating itself to protecting this necessary freedom, is contemplating undermining it. I urge FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to reconsider, and give up his ill-considered plan to curtail the crucial freedoms protected by Net Neutrality and Title II. No one with a genuine respect for Americans' constitutional rights to freedom of speech and freedom of the press would attempt such an obviously misguided mission, and I and many other Americans will oppose him vocally and energetically should he continue.

1427. Sarah Mcklein, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Keep the Internet Neutrality!

1428. Jordan Chyzowski, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I want FCC regulations that stop ISP's from deciding which websites should load quicker than others.

1429. Joe Wierenga, Burbank, CA, 91502
As a freelancer in my field, I am essentially a small business owner and Net Neutrality is VITAL for my business. My clients find me through my website, and it's already hard enough to stay afloat today without worrying that competitors might start paying ISPs to slow my site down to get an unfair edge. From my point of view, ending Net Neutrality is basically giving ISPs the ability to extract bribes out of me. Leave Net Neutrality alone.

1430. Kimberly Stirling, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Keep strong Net Neutrality regulations backed by Title II oversight of ISPs

1431. Wayne Jones, South Pasadena, CA, 91020
Title II must be retained to protect net neutrality. The providers can not be trusted with Title I protections. Remember that Verizon sued and would have ignored Title I protections. Title II must be retained

1432. Matthew johns, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
To the dishonorable Mr. Pai and the FCC,Please listen to the overwhelming majority of Americans. Protect Net Nuetrality with Title II protections. The ISPs are not on the side of the public, nor can they be trusted to self-regulate, as has been proven multiple times before, including by your former employer Verizon.Don't be a bunch of f#$kwits. Thanks.

1433. Arturo Gomez, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Don't get rid of net neutrality. It would be undemocratic and disenfranchise those who benefit from faster connections.

1434. Anne Brashier, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
It is imperative that the free flow of information be maintained. We all need to be able to see information as a utility that people at any economic level can access.

1435. Jackie Palumbo, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I strongly support net neutrality and believe ISPs should remain classified under Title 2.

1436. Ramona A Jervay, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Keep the Internet free flowing for all. Over the last twenty plus years the internet has become a daily utility that all Americans have a right to receive without having to pay extra for faster access.

1437. Kimberly Brunner, Burbank, CA, 91501
You must preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. There are too many people with essentially one choice for their ISP so "voting with your dollar" is not a legitimate option.

1438. brock, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Preserve full net neutrality!!!

1439. Cameron Rogers, Montrose, CA, 91020
Please don't screw around with net neutrality. To allow companies to buy their way into faster internet speed is to block the freedom of information that is the basis for so many other freedoms. Do the right thing. Keep the internet neutral.

1440. Todd Markle, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
The FCC should continue to require net neutrality under the stringent title II protections. The ability of small businesses to gain fair access to markets would be greatly limited if ISPs gain the ability to throttle content and choice. All consumers would be hurt by loss of title II regulation.

1441. J, los angeles, CA, 90027
DO NOT take away net neutrality! I'm sick of corruption. I'm sick of the rich and the powerful thinking they can take away our freedoms. I Don't know what america is anymore. If there is a shred of freedom from the oligarchs left, then keep out Net Neutrality.

1442. Erin, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
PLEASE, back net neutrality under Title II, do not let companies like Comcast ruin the free internet. We cannot have an "honor code" be the only thing keeping corporations from slowing competitor internet speed and manipulating consumerism. Corporations have shown they do not care, time and again, and we have no reason to believe this will be any different. It needs to be law. Thank you.

1443. Philipp Michel, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Do *not* roll back our net neutrality rules!

1444. Kevin Smith, Burbank, CA, 91506
Leave Net Neutrality alone. Nobody can trust their ISPs

1445. BRIANNA DOMONT, WEST HOLLYWOOD, CA, 90069
I STRONGLY support strong net neutrality backed by TITLE TWO oversight of ISPs!

1446. net neutrality, Glendale, CA, 91204
In the common interest of the American people, it is imperative that the FCC take expedient measures to preserve net neutrality and Title II.

1447. Hunter Ries, Burbank, CA, 91506
Maintain Net Neutrality - Keep ISPs governed under Title II

1448. Chris Eggers, los angeles, CA, 90039
Please preserve net neutrality. Otherwise you'll shepherd in an unprecedented era of cable company monopolizing power that will do nothing but screw other small businesses, common people, and internet favoritism that will single-handedly throw off the equality of use under which the internet was founded. Thank you for your consideration and impartiality.

1449. Jazmin Morales, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I support preserving net neutrality under TITLE 2 regulations

1450. John Flessner, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Equal access to internet bandwidth is critical for information dispersion in a public society. It is not simply enough to allow partisan stakeholders to pledge compliance without binding parameters. Do not allow the current administration to roll back Title 2's protection of net neutrality.

1451. theodore goldstein, Glendale, CA, 91204
Please preserve net nutrality and Title 2. America!

1452. Francois Schramek, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Please keep net neutrality and Title 2!

1453. Chris Jacobs, Glendale, CA, 91206
I support net neutrality. Private corporations should not have any influence over the websites that I have access to.

1454. Lloyd Taylor, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I demand that Net Neutrality remains exactly as is. Thank you.

1455. chris campolongo, Los angeles, CA, 90046
Please protect net neutrality and/via title 2. It is critical and imperitive. Thank you.

1456. Alex Simmons, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support net neutrality, please preserve Title 2.

1457. Andrew Sass, burbank, CA, 91501
I strongly support net neutrality and wish to uphold Title II regulations on ISPs you god damned morons.

1458. Robert West, Burbank, CA, 91505
I support strong net neutrality specifically backed by Title II oversight of ISPs (internet service providers).Preserve net neutrality.

1459. l.m. yates, burbank, CA, 91504
preserve Net Neutrality under Title 2. Weakening or lessening regulation is not acceptable.

1460. Lauren Anderson, Ca, CA, 91501
I strongly support net neautrality, and wish to uphold title 2 regualations on ISP's.

1461. L.E. Correia, Glendale, CA, 90026
ISPs must be regulated under Title II in order for information to flow freely and fairly on the internet. The American people know this, ISPs know it, and even Ajit Pai knows it. Any case to the contrary is only made when someone is trying to protect the profits of ISPs at the expense of average users. We are watching you, Mr. Pai. Do your job, not Verizon's dirty work.

1462. Nahall Shams, Los angeles, CA, 90027
I completely support net neutrality and the FCC classifying ISPs as Title II utility. Not fighting for or keeping this classification will hinder the free flow of information, and only benefits big companies. Do NOT allow Ajit Pai to remove ISPs from this classification. I find it a conflict that as a former lawyer for Verizon, he would support less regulation which essentially could garner these ISPs billions-

how can we know he is not influenced by these big ISPs? Keep the title II designation. The FCC needs to do its job.

1463. george cranberry, Glendale, CA, 91206
Keep net neutrality be governed by act ii

1464. Ethel Mayville, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality. We need Title 2. You know this. There's no reason we should need to tell you. You have a chance to be a leader. Do your job, please.Ps. Looking into complaints about Stephen Colbertâ€™s recent joke about Trump is pathetic and disturbing an NOT AMERICAN.

1465. christine lekas, west hollywood, CA, 90046
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed title 2 oversight of ISPs

1466. Scott Hayman, Burbank, CA, 91502
I support a free and open internet in which ISPs are legally required to treat any and all traffic equally regardless of its origin or destination.

1467. Houston Whaley, Hollywood, CA, 90068
Please do not eliminate net neutrality. Equal access to the internet in the current digital-dominated society is imperative. This tool known as the internet is vast and powerful and it must be accessible by anyone. It is how we learn, stay informed, and grow. It is how information is built and, besides climate changes & global warming, it is the most important thing US citizens should be focusing on. Without free and equal access to the internet, we will only go backwards in societal growth. Please do not eliminate net neutrality.

1468. Sarah Lippman, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversights of ISPs!!!!!!!

1469. Lindsey Robertson, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I fully support Net Neutrality and I am against any bill that disrupts it. Do not disrupt title 2.

1470. Audrey Hamm, Glendale, CA, 91201
Protect our internet freedom! Save the turkeys <3

1471. Nicholas Rosen, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!!

1472. Lenore Byers, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
i am in support of continuing strong policies of Net Neutrality as regulated under Tittle II oversight of ISPs. Be objective, keep the social welfare at heart - you work for the PEOPLE not corporations.

1473. Brian, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Please, please, please do NOT reverse net neutrality. If Mr. Pai can look me in the face and say he has absolute total faith that major broadband providers won't act in a corrupt fashion I'd be happy to listen. Why would anyone have 'good faith' in such companies? Having total net neutrality takes away any need for 'good faith'. I'd like to save my faith for believing that for once Congress will step in an do the right thing.

1474. Jared Passante, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Keep net neutrality intact. Companies shouldn't be able to influence what we see and search by manipulating the speed of service that WE PAY FOR. That's not freedom.Oh, and go fuck yourselves for trying to get rid of it.

1475. nick herman, los angeles, CA, 90068
The FCC should KEEP net neutrality rules. ISPs are fundamentally different from internet website providers like Google and Facebook. A consumer can choose not to use Google, but there is no way to opt out of using an ISP or allowing the ISP to see your private internet history. ISPs serve the same purpose as roadways, water pipes, and electricity wires. We do not want roads spying on where we drive or the electric company spying on which appliances we use at which times. We must also prevent ISPs from discriminating and charging different amounts to access different websites or throttling the speed of competitors' websites. We do not want roads charging different amounts for different makes of cars or electric companies charging different amounts to use one brand of dishwasher versus another brand. Net neutrality is good for human rights, privacy, consumers, and competition. Please DO NOT REPEAL net neutrality1

1476. Jesse Trott, Pasadena, CA, 91103
Keep the internet free and clear of corporate money please. We need free exchange of information to prosper.

1477. Taly Oeh, Glendale, CA, 91206
The net neutrality protections are there to ensure that the open internet remain open, and that ISPs cannot damage this openness at any time in the future. This makes these rules proactive, like having life, auto, or home insurance. I implore you to reconsider, the same way myself and million others have implored Chairman Wheeler to enact this policy when he was head of the FCC.

1478. Patrick De La Rosa, Glendale, CA, 91206
I am strongly opposed to any changes to the net neutrality rules, which includes any changes to any proposed changes to the Title II classification of Internet Service Providers. I believe this to present a platform from which these companies to be able to promote their own agendas, and would also allow a method by which the ISPs could target conservative media, as stated by the President himself.

1479. Tim Simonec, Burbank, CA, 91506
PLEASE PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY . WE OPPOSE THE THE PLAN TO

REPEAL NET NEUTRALITY.

1480. Josh Rosenbaum, West Hollywood, CA, 90048
Preserve net neutrality and keep internet service providers classified as title 2.

1481. Chelsey Northern, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Net neutrality is so important and Title 2 should be preserved.

1482. Brynne Zaniboni, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Dear FCC,I would like to keep the internet a free and open utility and I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

1483. Christopher Klapp, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
To the FCC and its current Chairman Ajit Pai, I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight for internet service providers (ISPs).

1484. Samuel Smith, Glendale, CA, 91202
Preserve net neutrality under Title II. This is too important to leave to ISPs to self-regulate.

1485. Richard Ruvalcaba, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Just wanted to say that I support strong net neutrality laws set by Title II oversight of ISPs. I feel like this is the only way to protect a free and open internet.

1486. David Rosen, Tujunga, CA, 91042
The internet should remain business and monetarily neutral. To allow any alternative would be to stifle small businesses and deal a blow to local economic engines that everyday people rely upon.

1487. Skye Van Raalte-Herzog, Tujunga, CA, 91042
The Internet belongs to all of us and control should Remain in the hands of the government. Don't sell out to the highest bidder, keep net neutrality. This issue affects every American and by relaxing these rules, it will only result in more inequality which will further divide us. This country needs to go in the opposite direction. Net neutrality is the foundation of information infrastructure and everyone's access to information. Keep the rules in place!

1488. Conrad Hunziker III, La Canada Flintrige, CA, 91011
Dear FCC,I support strong Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. These regulations are the cornerstone of a open democracy and a free internet. Corporations must be held to a higher standard to ensure the rights of all citizens to engage on the internet. Please keep the telecoms regulated under Title II. Sincerely, --Conrad Hunziker III

1489. Maxwell Zierath, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
I greatly support strong net neutrality protections under Title II classification.

Without them, we stop those who are motivated to make improvements and instead enable people who want the status quo.

1490. Michael M Sarkissian, La Canada, CA, 91011
Mr. Pai,My family and I support ISP regulation under Title II, and I urge you to reconsider your positions on this issue. Regulation of ISPs under Title II is a mechanism for fair and even footed access to information and datum, and one that cannot be sacrificed for corporate profits.

1491. Paul Yates, LA, CA, 90029
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY ALL ALL COSTS!!!!

1492. Andra Carlson, Pasadena, CA, 91105
Preserve Net neutrality and Title two! Don't sell out American's and our right to information access!

1493. Lawrence Rosenberg, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
It is very important that we preserve net neutrality and Title II. A level playing ground is part of what makes America great, and the past has taught us we can't trust corporations to regulate themselves. This proposed rule making places access to information and business opportunities in the hands of a few wealthy corporations, something that would be economically devastating to all but them. Thank you for your time.

1494. Patrick Longstreth, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
We support Net Neutrality and Title II. I depend on reliable internet speeds for my job. Please don't allow monopolies to hold us hostage.

1495. Frank, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
You're supposed to represent the people. Net neutrality is in our best interest. It works just like capitalism- there is no innovation when we all shop at the company store.

1496. rob rikk, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I strongly believe in net-neutrality and the importance of Title 2 regulation of ISPs. Don't you dare fuck with our Internet!

1497. Andrew Haun, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. It is important to the education and well being of our public.

1498. Rafael Nahapetian, Burbank, CA, 91504
Mr. Ajit Pai, I am in full and complete support of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Do not foul this up for us.

1499. jessica perlman, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
In favor of strong net neutrality!

1500. Jonathan C. Harris, Los Angeles, CA, CA, 90046
Listen to me and the majority of other American when we say we support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. Don't forget who you actually work for.

1501. Jonathon Beres, Glendale, CA, 91201
I STRONGLY support Net Neutrality backed by Title II (two) oversight of ISPs...the system is NOT broken now, please DON'T break it

1502. JC Cadena, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I specifically support strong net neutrality . backed by tile II oversight of ISP's!!!!!!

1503. Steve Holland, Glendale, CA, 91208
I wish to strongly voice my support for Net Neutrality. Please reclassify internet service providers (ISPs) as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

1504. Andrew Van Wyk, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support regulations and Title II. Do not overturn Net Neutrality rules.

1505. Patrick Brennan, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Preserve title 2 for net neutrality. Internet service providers cannot be trusted to regulate themselves.

1506. Matthias McCoy-Thompson, Pasadena, CA, 91105
Do not start regulating Internet carriers under Title I. It's bad for consumers and bad for small businesses. Keep net neutrality laws intact. Internet companies are utilities and natural monopolies and therefore should be regulated as such.

1507. Jason Frye-Kolarik, Glendale, CA, 91206
As the internet has become an essential part of modern life, a truly, healthy democratic society requires net neutrality. I implore you to preserve net neutrality and Title II (2). Without such, throttling may present a critical breech in what is currently corporate but should ultimately be a public utility. Much appreciated.

1508. Mike, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net Neutrality lets the internet LIVE. I have no faith in the ISP to not slow down the internet to everyone except those who can pay more. This is an economic issue and the FCC needs to protect a free internet.

1509. Jenna Guiltinan, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Please keep the net neutral! Thank you.

1510. Jeffrey Noles, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please maintain current net neutrality policy. Large companies "policing" themselves doesn't work--see 2008/9 financial crisis. In principle less regulation is better; in practice we need regulation to protect consumers. Many thanks.

1511. jo, glendale, CA, 91205
DO the right thing!

1512. J kapraun, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support net neutrality and article 2. Please do not mess with the open internet.

1513. KATHERINE SHARP, Glendale, CA, 91204
Dear FCC,The FCC should ensure a fair and open Internet for all by opposing efforts to undermine net neutrality. The FCC should throw out Chairman Ajit Paiâ€™s plan to give the government-subsidized companies like Comcast, Verizon, and AT&T free rein, stripping users of the necessary access and privacy protections we worked for and won just two years ago. Iâ€™m concerned about ISPs being allowed to discriminate against certain types of data or websites because users will have fewer options, resulting in a less diverse internet determined by corporate greed. The beauty of the internet is the freedom it provides--freedom of expression, of sharing information and connecting individuals all over the world. I appreciate you maintaining Title II net neutrality rules and the rights of Internet users like me.Sincerely, Katherine Sharp

1514. tonya ivey, Glendale, CA, 91205
Please keep our internet free for all.

1515. Bruce Austin, Glendale, CA, 91208
I strongly support maintaining and preserving net neutrality under Title II !!

1516. Danielle Dowling, Kagel Canyon, CA, 91342
I strongly support maintaining the current Title 2 rules that apply to ISPs. Please please do not change the balance that we consumers currently have against giant corporations. Rescinding the current nwt neutrality rules would once again give the major ISP companies power to help themselves, instead of providing a quality service which we are paying for.

1517. Etai Adam, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Letting ISPs go back to being subject to Title I is like letting the fox into the henhouse. I could trust that they won't do something even though they have a strong incentive to do it, but I'd rather not take the chance. Changing them back to Title I is solution to a problem that doesn't exist and I cannot support it. I suspect the only Americans that would support this are ones that own stock in the major telecom companies. Everyone else - the end consumer and most tech companies - would be losers in a move like this. If you serve the interests of the people of the United States then you will protect net neutrality by maintaining the current legal status of telecom companies under Title II. If you change it, then we'll know who you really serve.

1518. George Paaswell, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please keep all ISPs as they are currently identified via the telecommunications act of 1930-something. Allowing ISPs to self-regulate and to trust them to not throttle

speeds on service delivery is asking us to have faith in a system that rarely at most serves the user first. Thank you.

1519. Todd Jimenez, Hollywood, CA, 90028
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am utterly opposed to 17-108. Do NOT do this.

1520. John Marquis, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I would like the FCC to maintain strong net neutrality regulations in accordance with Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

1521. Brian Salazar, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I back net neutrality laws, for a free internet.

1522. brett Keating, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Preserve net neutrality under article II

1523. Rachele Russello, Burbank, CA, 91504
I want the FCC to keep Net Neutrality and Title 2!

1524. Joseph Anderson, Glendale, CA, 91205
Go fuck yourself. Preserve net neutrality! !!!!!

1525. jasmin mieles, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
how is this even happening in 2017!! we know full well that corporations need rules or they will abuse the system.. we can not allow greed to push us backwards in 2017!!

1526. net neutrality, los anegels, CA, 90046
i support net neutrality please stop the corruptness

1527. Jason Reilly, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I specifically support strong net neutrality and don't want the Obama Administration's excellent and right minded work of putting ISP's under Title 2 oversight undone.. Please don't continue to be a corporate tool. Please do not be a disgrace to your family and our country. Good job making this format as confusing and complicated as you could, tho. Nice try.

1528. J. Curtis, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Any change to current regulations is not needed and seems to be in favor of the service providers. It is vitally important that the FCC maintain current regulations, making NO changes to the law or regulations ensuring equal access.

1529. riley charles, Los angeles, CA, 90046
Keep Internet companies on title 2 and regulated so they are forced to maintain net neutrality. An unregulated system is not going to slow broadband development. The government should subsidize more broadband instead of oil, corn and war.

1530. ALANA ASHLEY, los angeles, CA, 90046
I SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY TITLE 2!!!!!!!!!

1531. Soren Gray, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
YOU MUST KEEP NET NEUTRALITY UNDER TITLE II STATUS. TO DO OTHERWISE ONLY PROFITS THE CORPORATIONS AND IN NO WAY HELPS THE PEOPLE OF THE UNITED STATES, WHOM YOU REPRESENT. LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE!

1532. Nathan Weisband, Los Angeles, CA, 90048
I support net neutrality backed by Title II. Americans don't want or need an open internet.

1533. joao teixeira de freitas, Los Angeles, CA, 90048
I support strong net neutrality. Preserve title 2. The absurdity of taking away a level playing field is repulsive.

1534. Ryan Folks, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Net neutrality is important to myself and many other web users. Please do not allow greedy telecoms to regulate how we use the internet. This is shameful.

1535. Brent, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II. I am strongly opposed to the implementation of 'voluntary' measures as a replacement for Title II - that is a ludicrous misjudgement of the ethics of the corporations involved. Or - worse yet - it is an unacceptable policy of appeasement with these corporations, rather than oversight. It is oversight which is needed, and Title II is critical in this. Thank you.

1536. Henry Watkins, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2. The FCC chairman is a crook and should resign.

1537. Ariel Frenkel, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
You must preserve Net Neutrality and Title II!!!

1538. Camille Thomas, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Please preserve net neutrality. We cannot take away people's freedom of information. Do the right thing!

1539. Robert Leland, Burbank, CA, 91504
Don't take away Ttiles II.

1540. Heidi Elizabeth Wittekind, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I demand you save net neutrality. Your duty is to the people of the United States, not to Corporations with a vested financial interest in a "free" internet.

1541. Tyrone Johnson, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I wish to express my support for strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of

ISPs

1542. Antony Tran, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II!!!!

1543. Christopher Courter, Burbank, CA, 91502
Please support Net Neutrality and preserve Title 2. Protect Americans against unfair speed throttling of websites based on corporate ISP biases. Thank you.

1544. George Joblove, Hollywood, CA, 90068
I oppose this proposal, and disagree with many of the justifications presented for it.With respect to Section 27, an ISP is, indeed, a telecommunications service, as the service it provides is merely â€œthe transmission, between or among points specified by the user, of information of the userâ€™s choosing, without change in the form or content of the information as sent and received.â€ It enables me to connect my computer to other computers. The â€œcapability for generating, acquiring, storing, transforming, processing, retrieving, utilizing, or making available information via telecommunicationsâ€ is not provided by the ISP, but by the computers and service providers to which the ISP connects my computer. The ISP merely provides the â€œpipeâ€; itâ€™s the computer to which I connect that does everything else. My ISP does not generate, store, or process any information on my behalf; it just connects me to services (provided by other companies) that do these things. I do not use any services my ISP may provide beyond transmission of information between my computer and that of other computers to which I connect.When I use a browser to connect to a search engine (at a known and specific IP address), my ISP is simply creating a connection to effect the transmission of my request to that search engineâ€™s website, and for the return of its reply. When I then click on a link, my ISP is then creating a connection for data transmission between my computer and the computer hosting that page â€“ and thatâ€™s all my ISP is doing. The fact that I have â€œno knowledge of the physical location of the server where that information residesâ€ (Section 29) is immaterial; I do know its IP address. The same is true when I use my ISP to establish a telecommunications connection to the independent company that hosts my email. In each case, contrary to the statement of Section 29, the Internet service provider does not specify â€œthe points between and among which information will be transmittedâ€; rather, I do that when I specifiy the IP address to which I send or from which I receive packets of information. True, my ISP and the Internet backbone may be using discretion in selecting intermediate points for the routing of that transmission, but that is no different than the selection of routing of a long-distance POTS telephone connection.The problem is that some ISP companies not only provide the interconnection â€œpipes,â€ but also services at the other end of those pipes. This proposal would allow these companies to handicap those independent companies competing with the service-provision portions of their businesses, which is grossly unfair and not in the interests of the American people.

1545. jennifer Wong, Los Angeles, CA, 90038

I'm in favor of keeping Title II classifications and strong net neutrality.

1546. jason smith, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
To whom it may concern,Please protect and preserve net neutrality and do not get rid of title II. Donald Trump is already on his way to becoming the worst president ever so let's not let Ajit Pai be another destructive force the American people will regret. In short... Ajit can go fuck himself including #45.

1547. Sid Veda, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Please keep the internet free to everyone equally. Some industries & businesses cannot morally be "for profit."

1548. Yarey Cuevas, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I would like to express my desire for ISPs to maintain their status as utility providers under Title II in order to preserve Net Neutrality.

1549. Net Neutrality, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Ajit Pai is a corporate shill and should resign.

1550. Rebecca Foust, Pasadena, CA, 91105
Repealing net neutrality protections will hurt American citizens for the sole benefit of major corporations. We see you.

1551. DANIEL BURGESS, Glendale, CA, 91203
I would like to have net neutrality preserved. I would like the ISPs to remain under legal enforcement of Title 2.

1552. Gabriel Carli-Jones, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It is crucial to the nation's digital future.

1553. Lauren Martin, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Net neutrality needs to be preserved in order to maintain a legitimate democracy and free press. This is a direct form of the 1st amendment and to deny net neutrality would be unconstitutional and a danger to our country.

1554. John Gold, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I specifically support strong Net NeuralityBacked by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Save your job - Keep Net Neutrality. Thank you.

1555. Paul Rivera, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I support net neutrality and Title 2 oversite. Access to the internet should not be controlled by the big corporations. It should be for the people.

1556. thomas christianson, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I fully support strong internet neutrality that is backed by all the powers granted by

title II oversight. It is important to the very foundation of our democracy that we don't allow individual businesses to put their thumb on the scale of what information is readily available to the public. That is not conservative, that is not libertarian, that is not liberal, that is American. It is the idea that we, and we alone, can determine our own future. Protecting that is more inportant than anything else we stand to gain.

1557. Ben Walton III, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
So let's just be serious, net neutrality is important to me and everyone I know. Don't insult our intelligence by trying to explain the benefits of switching to Tittle 1, because the only people it will benefit are the ISP's and not the people. Come on. Like seriously, come on.

1558. Susan Seyler, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support Net Neutrality with strong and continued Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Corporations cannot be trusted with their own oversight, particularly if there could be some financial gain. The internet needs to be a fair and level playing ground for all participants with zero favoritism. ISP companies are not being harmed by the current Net Neutrality parameters. Keep our communication resources free and equal for all. THAT is American!

1559. Emily Wu, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Do not let the ISPs throttle our Internet!

1560. Thad Nalitz, Los Angeles, CA, 90036
I strongly support Net Neutrality under Title 2 oversight of ISPs. It is beyond ridiculous to think that they, the ISPs, will police themselves.

1561. Joe Sun, Burbank, CA, 91506
Strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight is critical to american freedom.

1562. Colin McCormick, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support maintaining Title II oversight of ISPs in the interest of maintaining net neutrality.

1563. Randall Kahn, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Please keep Internet providers under Title 2. We need full neutrality!!Thank you.

1564. Shane, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I would like the FCC toKeep net neutrality. I'm an American citizen and I wold like to keep my internet fair .. thanks

1565. Joshua Steffes, Glendale, CA, 91205
I strongly oppose Docket 17-108 on"Restoring" Internet Freedom. I support existing Title II classification and do not believe that internet service providers should be able to arbitrarily limit access to the currently open internet. ISPs should not be able to have the ability to give preferential treatment to internet content, and must

continue to be regulated to prevent this outcome.P.S. Go FCC yourself, Chairman Pai.

1566. Rex, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Please keep the net neutral and don't sell out. Would really like all my shows to have an equal shot.

1567. molly McIntosh, Glendale, CA, 91205
I want to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Please keep net neutrality! !

1568. Aaron Judlowe, Glendale, CA, 91205
Please keep net neutrality!!!! PLEASE Keep title 2.

1569. marc henson, Glendale, CA, 91201
I support the net neutrality backed by title two. I would like my freedom to use my internet in anyway I choose. I don't want to have to succumb to the controls of what the FCC is trying to apply on my internet usage.

1570. David Burdulis, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Keep net neutrality laws intact please to protect the consumer. ISP's having control of bandwidth access, content accessibility and priority over other services is not the best model for the internet. It should be thought of as a utility company. Get rid of the isps all together for Internet and make Internet and wifi access a public utility. It's 2017.

1571. Bryan Thomas, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Please vote to keep Schedule II the law of the land! Do not rewrite net neutrality to help Verizon and other internet providers gouge consumers!!!!!

1572. Peter Scale, Glendale, CA, 91201
I ain't no dummy. I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Preserve it or you hate freedom. Don't be dom, be free. Fight for this dammit.To quote a man, "Just... DO IT!" -Shia The Beef

1573. Kyrsten Mas, Glendale, CA, 91205
Please protect net neutrality. Access to all ranges of opinions is fundamental in a functioning democracy. Access to the free and open internet is essential for the day to day function of Americans.

1574. Gregory Weiss, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support strong net neutrality rules and am right to express my desire to keep all protections in place and to take any action that ensures a free and fair Internet for all users regardless of traffic origin or purpose.

1575. Sumeet Dang, Glendale, CA, 91207
I do not support the removal of title 2!

1576. Austin P Blodgett, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Net neutrality fosters innovation. Pay-to-play legislation would create disadvanteges for SMBs.

1577. Max K, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
To whomever it may concern,I implore the FCC to fully preserve net neutrality as it is crucial to the expression of a free people and allows the free exchange of any idea online among such free people all over the world. Disrupting or preventing the free flow of ideas online hurts society as a whole and thus, I'm all for preserving it as is.All the best,Max Kutner

1578. Douglas Fager, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Net neutrality is important to this nation and our freedoms. Please help to keep net neutrality a priority.

1579. Pedro A. Piedra, Burbank, CA, 91501
Ajit Pai, I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

1580. Scott Waldvogel, Glendale, CA, 91205
I support internet neutrality for the good of our public discourse and the American people.

1581. Brian Clark, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90029
Please maintain net neutrality and open internet standards backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

1582. leticia silva, burbank, CA, 91501
Ajit Pai, I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by title 2 protection of ISPs.

1583. Shannon Watters, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I support strong net neutrality backed by oversight under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 of Internet Service Providers.I'm not interested in the unproven cliche that "regulation always bad". The internet is more "open and free" with net neutrality.

1584. Roger William Wilco, Fuck You, CA, 91210
We want strong net neutrality rules under chapter 2. While your at it tell the chairman to take his mouth off Trump's dick already

1585. Phil Mannle, Los angles, CA, 90029
I support for Title II oversight of ISPs and net neutrality. I'm an internet startup.

1586. Brent Noll, burbank, CA, 91502
I support strong net neutrality backed by tittle 2 oversight over isps. please don't get rid of net nuetraility. late stage capitalism is already hilarious enough and we don't need the one nice thing in the world run into the ground because morons think corporations will act benevolently and not screw us over. You know they will. The

first chance they get they will create profit tiers of internet supporting their terrible content. "oh you want to purchase bandwidth for gaming? That will just be an extra 19.99 a month", *vomits*. This sort of thing needs strong regulation to protect consumers. DON'T LET US DOWN.

1587. Daniel McAlister, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Preserve net neutrality and tittle 2 classification.

1588. Gregory Kennedy, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
It is very clear to most citizens that this is yet another attempt by powerful companies to control the internet. The new FCC chairmanÂ´s old job at Verizon. along with his statements also makes it very clear that he is biased...We do not believe him or trust that he represents the wishes of the people, only his ex-employer. keep the net free and open and regulate these large companies under title II.

1589. RUSSELL BRIGGS, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
PLEASE DO NOT MESS WITH NET NEUTRALITY.

1590. Stephen Fry, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
It is essential that net neutrality be underpinned by Title 2 of the 1934 Act. No other solution is consonant with the most basic tenets of the constitution. Listen to the people, not the corporations I BEG

1591. Sam Nevens, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Net Neutrality is important to me and you need to do what's RIGHT and keep it neutral. Don't line your pockets with back door deals with ISPs... Also, the mobile side of this site does not work. Is this intentional? Are you attempting to stop a portion of the U.S. population from making a formal complaint by limiting the devices to which this site can be accessed by?

1592. Sarah Sullivan, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Please preserve strong Internet Neutrality rules under Title II of the 1934 Federal Communications Act. In our era of globalization and web-based business, the internet has replaced the town square as the de facto designated public forum for the majority of the country. The net is now the main space where we as a nation can meet, share ideas, and express political opinions without fear of intrusion by the government. Please do not take away this valuable, egalitarian tool.

1593. Don Zengierski, Sun Valley, CA, 91352
I urge the FCC to continue to have ISP's operate under the Title 2 guidelines. I'm concerned that Verizon's very misleading ad will damage net neutrality. Thank you.

1594. Bettie Sanchez, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Keep the internet free for all! Corporate greed has gone far enough!

1595. Richard Helvey, glendale, CA, 91202

A free and open internet is the single greatest technology of our time, and control should not be at the mercy of corporations. Protect Net neutrality.

1596. scott rosemond, Hollywood, CA, 90028
I am expressing my support for 'net neutrality '. The internet has become essential to modern life and content should not determine passage

1597. Mark Barrios, glendale, CA, 91206
I want the FCC to keep net neutrality. Keep title 2 in place

1598. Anastasia McGee, Glendale, CA, 91201
I support Net Neutrality and strong Title I I. I do not believe ISPS should be able to determine the speed of specific websites coming into my home or on my phone. Internet access should be equally available no matter what.

1599. Bill Dotson, Pasadena, CA, 91105
I'm writing to urge the FCC to maintain net neutrality by maintaining the classification of ISPs as common carriers under Title II of the 1934 Communications Act. A free and open Internet is vital to innovation in our economy and the exchange of ideas. Internet "fast lanes" and other efforts by ISPs to privilege their content over that of others will reinforce existing monopolies, encourage unproductive rent-seeking behavior, and constrain opportunities for start-ups, small businesses, and individual content creators.

1600. Steve Pryor, Glendale, CA, 91208
Please maintain Net Neutrality and keep ISPs supervised under Title 2. Please do not give corporations more control. Corporations are not citizens.

1601. Dennis Arguelles, Glendale, CA, 91208
We must maintain a rigorous standard of net-neutrality with strong Title II oversight. Please do not alter the existing regulatory regime.

1602. Kimberly Roffler, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I support strong net neutrality under Title II. The internet is so critical to my daily life that it should be regulated as a utility. I use the internet more than I use natural gas for heating, and natural gas is regulated as a utility.

1603. Craig Houchin, Sunland, CA, 91040
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Preserve and protect Title II and Net Neutrality!

1604. Linus Merwin, Burbank, CA, 91506
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. Money and corporations has no place in politics!

1605. Vincent Oresman, Glendale, CA, 91208
I urge the FCC to not dismantle Net Neutrality. It is a vital component of our

constitutional right to freedom of speech and expression in the modern era.

1606. Scott Farber, Burbank, CA, 91501
Please preserve net neutrality and maintain title 2 do not repeal Obama's regulations. The ISP companies cannot be trusted to not slow down competitors for their own self Interest.

1607. lee boxleitner, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I believe net neutrality is important. Don't take away our online freedoms.

1608. Colleen Paeff, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Your proposal to weaken existing net neutrality rules is unacceptable. It would weaken competition, stifle innovation, undermine user choice, and threaten free speech online. Three years ago the American people voiced their approval of strong net neutrality rules and there is no reason to assume they feel otherwise now. Title II net neutrality is vital to protect the internet as a common carrier. I do NOT support the weakening of Title II.Sincerely,Colleen Paeff

1609. Gabrielle Sjoberg, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The internet should be open for everyone, without fast lanes to the people who pay the most.

1610. john linton, los angeles, CA, 90068
We must keep Net neutrality ! I urge you to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by title 2.We cannot and will not accept selling the internet and content to the highest bidder.The consumer loses unless you maintain Net Neutrality.Please put the consumer ahead of big business.

1611. Alex Robertson-Dworet, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Preserved net neutrality. Keep TITLE 2 !

1612. Amy Harrington, Burbank, CA, 91501
The Internet is not only my main source of news and information, it is the main element of my business. Do not end Net Neutrality.

1613. Charlotte Grau, Glendale, CA, 91208
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II over site of ISP's

1614. FRAN-, Hollywood, CA, 90028
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 11DON'T CHANGE ANYTHINGTHANKS,

1615. Geneva Robertson-Dworet, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Preserve Title 2. Maintain a real net neutrality.

1616. Eric Jewett, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please maintain net-neutrality regulations. Consumers and content producers need

federal agenciesto regulate broadband providers.

1617. TOM, HOLLYWOOD, CA, 90028
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 11HANDS "OFF THE NET" PLEASE.

1618. richard bennett, glendale, CA, 91207
We need to keep net neutrality and make it strong with title II.

1619. Warren Paeff, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Dear Chairman Pai, Your proposal to weaken existing Title II net neutrality rules is unacceptable. It would weaken competition, stifle innovation, undermine user choice, and threaten free speech online. Replacing Title II regulations with a set of minimum voluntary commitments, would give a green light for Internet access providers to discriminate in unforeseen ways. Rather than dismantling regulations that have already been put in place, I urge you to focus instead on policies that would promote a stronger internet for everyone. Sincerely, Warren Paeff

1620. Don Delson, Shadow Hills, CA, 91040
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY UNDER TITLE II !!!

1621. Alison, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am a supporter of strong net neutrality.Thank you.

1622. Alex Wishart, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Please preserve Net Neutrality and keep big communications companies in Title II for oversight. Do not trust them to govern themselves. That would obviously be dumb. As dumb as drinking coffee out of a giant mug.

1623. David Liebling, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I support Net Neutrality, and Title II classification oversight!

1624. Milan Chakraborty, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Please preserve Net Neutrality and title 2. Less government I am for. However, replaced by selfish self-serving corporate interests is a more scary precedent that DC seems to not get.

1625. Susan Margolis, Glendale, CA, 91207
I am writing to protest any action by the FCC to weaken or eliminate existing regulations protecting the free flow of online content, i.e. net neutrality. The regulations enacted by the former FCC Chairman were common-sense measures that protected consumers, did nothing whatsoever to chill broadband investment, and preserved the cherished concept of the Internet as a protected, free zone of free speech available to all, irregardless of income. Why is this administration, and its cronies, so intent on mindlessly dismantling all the valuable laws and regulations enacted under the Obama administration, regardless of their value to the public? Is it just to score political points? Does anyone care about the public? The U.S.

consistently lags behind other first world countries in Internet access, and this will just make it worse.It ain't broke. Don't "fix" it.

1626. tima bell, Hollywood, CA, 90038
I strongly support Net Neutrality and the title 2 classification of ISP's

1627. samantha spada, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I support Net Neutrality and regulating ISPs through Title II

1628. Dana Lyn Baron, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Dear FCC, Please continue to preserve net neutrality. Regulate ISPs under title 2!!! Regards,Dana Baron

1629. Sharon Squires, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I wholeheartedly support Net Neutrality and Title II oversight. Please keep the internet fair and as unmolested by corporate interests as possible.

1630. Alex Forsythe, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please do not roll back rules related to strong internet protections and a free and open internet. I support regulating ISPs under title II. Do not remove or change "net neutrality" rules.

1631. stephanie scott, La Canada, CA, 91011
Please keep net neutrality and title 2 protections. You cannot leave such an important decision up to the individual media companies who have their own agenda. You cannot play favorites with internet speed. Please do the right thing!!!!!!

1632. Joseph Rishe, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong oversight of ISP Providers. They should be classified as Title II. I am a start up entrepreneur and especially in the context of Cloud Computing it is absolutely 100% imperative that ISPs cannot choose favorites on their network. It would be an absolutely HUGE drag on future innovation in this country. The idea that strong oversight would slow down building of networks if foolish. ISPs will continue to build and make billions. What's imperative is that there is equal access to the internet for all and for the future when the would becomes even more digital.

1633. Dennis Hattem, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Keep the internet an open resource for ideas!

1634. Alex Curran, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The FCC must keep the internet free and open, without allowing ISPs to create pipelines of information dependent on the largest budget. The internet is a tool for everyone to find whatever they need to know, no matter who they are. Please keep it that way.

1635. Daniel Kohler, West Hollywood, CA, 90046

Preserve net neutrality and the protections of Title 2! This is a matter of grave consequence to the American economy.

1636. Stephen Miller, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Do not change Net Neutrality rules. Internet access should be treated as a public utility. Do not turn it into the domain of the rich and powerful!

1637. Jeffrey Dorchen, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. The FCC has done little to protect the public from unfair domination of the information airwaves by the wealthiest corporations, let's not go there with internet as well, please.

1638. connor, glendale, CA, 91203
Preserve net neutrality and title two. Don't let big money interests screw up the internet and limit small company growth.

1639. Liz Love, Hollywood, CA, 90028
It is critical that we protect net neutrality by regulating internet providers the same way we regulate other communications industries. Internet access and equality is not a luxury. It is a necessity for safety, business, community, health, education and research.

1640. John Moreno, Glendale, CA, 91201
Please preserve Net Neutrality under Title II regulations. I believe that Ajit Pai, a former general counsel at Verizon, represents a severe conflict of interest when, as acting Chairman of the FCC, his proposed dismantling of net neutrality regulations stands to enrich his former employer and their fellow ISPs.

1641. Danielle Ramirez, Glendale, CA, 91206
I fully support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Do not take it away, as it is unfair otherwise. We the people want Net Neutrality, therefore it must stay.

1642. Sean Caylor, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I demand that the internet privacy be protected by Title II. You people should be ashamed of yourselves.

1643. Dana Wakefield, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
FCC- I strongly urge you to keep current strong Net Neutrality regulations and protections under the current Title II oversight.most sincerely, Dana Wakefield

1644. Theo Dudley, los angeles, CA, 90028
I stand behind net neutrality. Internet providers should remain under title 2.

1645. Nicholas Clifford, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I would like to voice my support for the preservation of Net Neutrality and keeping

Title II intact. The internet is the last great bastion of true democracy. While I believe that Internet Service Providers should be allowed to compete in the market with minimal restrictions, Net Neutrality is a core principle of this market and should not be manipulated, it's far too valuable. Without it, you open the door to a distortion of this powerful medium of communication. Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.

1646. Irene Oppenheim, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Keep the FCC Net Neutrality regulation as it is.

1647. penny black, los angeles, CA, 90027
KEEP Title II Net Neutrality !!!!! - STOP trying to confuse the public with Internet Freedom crap - stop benifiting & profiting personally from Verizon connections.

1648. Suzanne Pye, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs"

1649. Max King, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
The internet should not be regulated by which companies have the deepest pockets. Protect consumers, small businesses, and information by keeping the Internet free and open. How is this even a question?!

1650. Forrest Borie, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
In order to ensure a robust free market that encourages innovation and entrepreneurship, it is imperitive that we maintain net neutrality. The gatekeepers to our internet must not be allowed to pick winners and losers, otherwise we, the consumers will lose and the internet, a source of so much prosperity in our country, will cease to be a viable place for our innovators and start ups to utilize as a platform for their ideas and technologies. I am sure that as a self employed person in the entertainment industry, I would be unduly affected by any decision by the FCC to do away with net neutrality.

1651. zuckerman, Los angeles, CA, 90026
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY- preserve title 2. Don't be dicks.

1652. Jeff Pate, Burbank, CA, 91504
I very specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Do not remove the title 2 oversight, doing so would specifically act against the interests of any person and a future of equal footing for creativity, innovation, and commerce. I support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not change this.

1653. Matthew Taylor, Glendale, CA, 91202
I am very much in support of keepingâ€‹ ISPs under Title II regulation. If only companies that can afford to pay ISPs for reliable service get reliable service, it will choke the startup digital economy and unfairly encumber new competitors to established services. Quite the opposite of a free market.

1654. Mark Hunter, La Canada, CA, 91011
Net neutrality is a proven benefit to the citizens of this country. Sacrificing net neutrality to increase revenue for a few carrier corporations is a lousy idea. Our entire society will pay the cost, and only a few stockholders will profit.

1655. ROB MILLER, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90029
TO AJIT PAI, AND ALL THE STAFF AT THE FCC, BE IT KNOWN: I, ROB MILLER, TAX-PAYING US CITIZEN FOR OVER 40 YEARS, SPECIFICALLY SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISPs.AS A TAX-PAYING US CITIZEN, I REQUIRE YOU TO ONLY WORK TOWARD A AN INTERNET THAT IS FREE AND OPEN.PROTECT AND STRENGTHEN THE OPEN INTERNET RULES.DO *NOT* ROLL BACK THE NET NEUTRALITY RULES ENACTED BY THE PREVIOUS ADMINISTRATION. INSTEAD, *STRENGTHEN* NET NEUTRALITY. PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY. PRESERVE TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISPs.THANK YOU FOR YOUR SUPPORT. HAVE A GREAT DAY.

1656. Na Yun Bae Feeney, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I specifically and absolutely support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Also, why is a former lawyer for Verizon now head of the FCC?? MAJOR conflict of interests!!!!

1657. dana mcwatters, los angeles, CA, 90039
We support "preserving" strong "net neutrality" supported by title 2

1658. Kate Mittelman, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Net neutrality is extremely important. Please maintain the current rules that ensures that ISP's must treat all content equally. It is unbelievably naÃ¯ve to espouse the idea that companies will do this themselves.

1659. Jens Kohler, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Preserve Net Neutrality, for it is good and necessary. My small business start-up relies on Net Neutrality - both for receiving and disseminating communications and content. Net Neutrality allows my content to compete on the basis of content (rather than forcing me to waste resources to overcome rising barriers to entry). Net Neutrality is necessary for a free market for intellectual property, and for a free market of ideas. Preserve Net Neutrality.

1660. Eric Cady, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I hope to help the FCC realize that they need to preserve net neutrality as it's the right thing to do.

1661. Sidney W. Katz, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I firmly believe that net neutrality should remain as it is to ensure free and equal flow of information.

1662. Pride St. Clair, Los Angeles, CA, 90029

The comments about the FCC stifling innovation are ignorant at best, facetious at worst. There is simply no good reason to allow ISPs to choose proffered access for pay-to-play content companies, nor are many of their other cash-grab policies (i.e. data caps) based on any real science or understanding of the internet. They are simply anti-neutrality, a platform rife with ignorance and political bias, propaganda. We should not be handing over further power to the ISPs, especially not while the internet is so fresh and new. The only way to truly promote innovation is to break up the ISP monopolies, create actual competition, and maintain an open and traffic neutral network that allows businesses to thrive without worrying about preferred access.Opponents would suggest that their stance isn't about preferred access or pay-to-play nonsense. Well, if that's the case, what is it? Show me the evidence, satisfyingly argue your point, or get the hell out! This new-age propaganda machine must stop!

1663. Aaron Miller, Glendale, CA, 91203
Keep the net free in Title II. Ajit, you need to resign. You are beholden to your former employer, Verizon. You have a "yuge" conflict of interest.

1664. jeff Short, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support strong net neutrality laws backed by oversight from title 2 over ISP's.

1665. Darrell Dooley, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I want Internet neutrality. Please do not change the current strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's.

1666. Benjamin Morpurgo, Glendale, CA, 91205
Do not change the rules governing ISPs and net neutrality, everyone knows the rule change from title I to title II was a direct result of a legal challenge by Verizon, the only way the FCC can ever claim to be a body meant to protect the people is to drop this charade that Ajit Pai insisting that title II causes lack of investment, when the change in the law was first made Verizon told shareholders that they would continue to invest just as they did before. Tell Mr. Pai to stop lying to America, stop pretending to know what is best, he does not. Keep the internet open by keeping protected under Title II. This is an issue that will cost votes if the rules are changed.

1667. Weston Milliken, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
I strongly support net neutrality and having ISPs governed under Title II. This will permit the most open, flourishing and innovative internet content. This can only improve freedom and democracy in the United States and promote freedom around the world.

1668. Ross Waldorf, la crescenta, CA, 91214
Please keep internet service providers under Title 2 -- I do not want to see providers given any ability to mess around with specific content based on their own interests. This is crucial! We must have complete neutrality and full regulation is a bedrock principle for this.ISPs must stay under Title 2. Do not allow this to change!Thank you.

1669. Gerald Leonard, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support a free internet and Title II. KEEP TITLE II. The internet is a utility.

1670. Allen Murphy, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I am strongly in support of maintaining current net neutrality regulations. ISP's should remain Title II. I do not trust ISP's to self regulate. They have proven themselves incapable time and time again. I ask that the FCC continue to ensure a free and open Internet through close monitoring of and strict regulation on ISP's.Thank you.

1671. Kevin Dick, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support the open internet and strict, regulated net neutrality backed by Title II. Removing the regulations put in place by the Obama administration with regards to net neutrality would have a catastrophic effect on the freedoms that have defined the internet to date. Chairman Pai should be ashamed of himself as he is clearly working not for the benefit of the people whom he was appointed to serve but for the massive carrier and internet corporations whose power and greed run counter to this country's core values of freedom and fair play. It's utterly ridiculous and shocking that this debate is even taking place; should Pai be successful I will be ashamed to call myself an American. Seriously guys, get it together. Please.

1672. Mark Warner, Sunland, CA, 91040
America needs a free and open internet. The only way for this to happen is for the FCC to classify internet service providers as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Changing the classification to Title I would require carriers to voluntarily ban paid prioritization. Historically, this concept is folly since it was used by many ISP's (including Verizon) before the change to Title II.

1673. Terance Imbert, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I am 100% for our current net neutrality protections, and do not in any way, shape, or form want to see those protections rolled back. I believe that it will have a negative impact on the future of how we are served by ISP's.

1674. arthur schanche, los angelels, CA, 90068
I want to preserve network neutrality

1675. Taylor Wolosin, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Keep net neutrality. ISP's must remain under Title 2.

1676. 17-108, LA, CA, 90046
PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II. IT IS VERY IMPORTANT!

1677. kurt braunohler, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support strong protections on net neutrality!

1678. Lara Bergthold, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please don't change the net neutrality rules. We need them to be governed by Title II

rules.

1679. Andrew Delman, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I am writing to express deep concerns about changes to Internet regulations such as those enforcing "net neutrality", and the ability of ISPs to sell information about users' browsing habits. The Internet is not a transparent marketplace; if net neutrality is reduced or eliminated people will be steered by their ISPs towards certain websites and information without their knowledge or consent. And since ISPs have essentially an oligopoly, anyone who needs to access the Internet would have to submit to these conditions, which would likely be buried deep in a legal T&C agreement to hide it from most consumers' eyes. The ability of ISPs to sell information about their users is also extremely concerning--akin to allowing weapons producers to sell weapons to militaries abroad with questionable security practices. ISPs have an extraordinary amount of information about their users, and hackers are always looking for access. If the information ends up in the wrong (or not sufficiently secure) hands, hundreds of millions of citizens may have their security endangered, and the country's financial well-being may also be at stake.Please do not rush into repealing FCC regulations governing Internet neutrality and security; these regulations exist for a reason! The people's concerns need to be heard, as well as the concerns of businesses in the Internet communications industry, before changes are made.Thank you for your time.Sincerely,Andrew Delman

1680. Christina Kuehl, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Keep Net Neutrality and internet providers under Title II. Require a free and open internet.

1681. Nora Campbell, Los Angeles, CA, 90035
It is extremely important and should be a right that we have STRONGER net neutrality regulations. I am in full support of Title 2 and you should be too.Do not gloss over the American people and their freedom to content for large corporations.

1682. Josh Gibson, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Protect a strong and fair net neutrality and title two.

1683. Courtney F, pacoima, CA, 91208
I urge you to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. To revert would be ridiculous!

1684. Brian Greiner, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
I want net neutrality preserved , specially keep it classified under title 2.

1685. Thomas Hebert, Los Angeles, CA, 91042
I, Thomas hebert, support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. I am here to protect Net Neutrality by keeping Title II.

1686. Ivo Janssen, Los Angeles, CA, 90029

Please do not let ISP's and internet infrastructure companies hijack the open nature of the internet. They will abuse deregulation, as companies tend to do, and we, as individuals, do not have enough internet providers to choose from if the one or two in our area decide to selectively control our access to the internet. An open internet and net neutrality is to the highest benefit of society and necessary to prevent abuse.

1687. Nicole Zakheim, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
You must ENFORCE strong Title II Net Neutrality rules

1688. miko miko, glendale, CA, 91205
FCC needs to preserve net neutrality under article 2

1689. Eirene Donohue, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Please maintain net neutrality and uphold the stricter oversight of ISPs under Title II. It is of vital importance to the freedom of every American citizen. Free and fair access to information is something we need to protect. And the idea that a giant corporate conglomerate will voluntarily police itself and put the needs of its customers over the needs of their shareholders is laughable and has proven false over and over again. Our government is supposed to protect citizens, not corporations. Thank you.

1690. Kaitlin McKeown, Glendale, CA, 91201
I'm appalled that the FCC is even considering getting rid of net neutrality protections and demolishing Title II. The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Paiâ€™s plan to hand the ISP monopolies like Verizon, AT&T, and Comcast the legal cover to engage in data discrimination, stripping users of the meaningful access and privacy safeguards we demanded and won just two years ago. Iâ€™m concerned about ISPs being allowed to discriminate against certain types of data or websites because that will effectively end internet freedom and allow private companies with a profit motive to be a gatekeeper. The internet belongs to all of us and placing that much power into the hands of for-profit companies would be an enormous setback for a free and democratic society. Please do not sell the internet to the highest bidder.

1691. Jed Goldstein, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I would like to strongly discourage the FCC and Ajit Pai from meddling with net neutrality regulations. Keep them Title II for the sake of freedom, at no measurable cost to industry. Also, please make participation in your own website less obviously discouraged; it is terrible that you would try to limit the voices of a rightfully concerned citizenry. Addresses shouldn't be required or made publicly available. Take a long look at yourselves and ask if you're helping or hurting our world and America. Right now your intentions are belligerently steering toward the latter. Thank you.

1692. Jacob Abbott, La Canada, CA, 91011
I support Net neutrality and classification of ISP's under title 2 of the communications act of 1934. As a student of the Tandon School of engineering I

feel it is of the utmost importance that we allow the utmost opportunity for young innovative entrepreneurs the ability to flourish without discrimination.

1693. Nikoletta Kish, Sunland, CA, 91040
I would like to support free internet and oppose the removal of Title II ruling over net neutrality and allowing ISPs to have control over internet regulation. The government should regulate the internet, not corporations, in order to allow free internet accessibility to all.

1694. Spencer Villasenor, Los Angeles, CA, 90048
PLEASE keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Our internet should remain open and free for all!

1695. Larry Pausback, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Do _NOT_ reverse net neutrality, pls.

1696. sharon eisman, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY. Please preserve Title II.

1697. Allison McSurely, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY with TITLE II. It is infuriating that the public needs to be this vigilant regarding clearly necessary public protections. We should NOT have to keep asking for you to represent the public interest your agency was created to serve over corporate media monopoly corrupt control and profits. YOUR OWN FCC MISSION STATEMENT should show you how wrong dismantling Title II would be now:Broadband - "All Americans should have affordable access to robust and reliable broadband products and services. Regulatory policies must promote technological neutrality, competition, investment, and innovation to ensure that broadband service providers have sufficient incentives to develop and offer such products and services."Competition - "Competition in the provision of communication services, both domestically and overseas, supports the Nation's economy. The competitive framework for communications services should foster innovation and offer consumers reliable, meaningful choice in affordable services."Spectrum -"Efficient and effective use of non-federal spectrum domestically and internationally promotes the growth and rapid development of innovative and efficient communication technologies and services."Media -"The Nation's media regulations must promote competition and diversity and facilitate the transition to digital modes of delivery."

1698. Gary Loader, Glendale, CA, 91205
FCC needs to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. We don't need a 2 tier system, one for them and for everyone else.

1699. Pablo Miralles, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I believe in maintaining the existing rules safeguarding net neutrality. Please keep the Internet as a level playing field. I ask that you refute the Trump administration's decision to roll back Obama-era rules safeguarding net neutrality. Thank you.

1700. Tim Lakin, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Please preserve Net Neutrality under Title II standards. It is obvious that ISPs are going to be creating their own content and trying to game speeds to showcase their own products, and we need Net Neutrality to ensure a fair and open internet for all competitors. Be on the right side of history and ensure forward progress. Preserve Net Neutrality!

1701. Mark Estrada, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I urge you to protect strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

1702. Alex, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
I support net neutrality. ISPs are simply common carriers data to and from the internet, and like other common carriers should not be allowed to manipulate the flow of information for self-interested reasons. Giving ISP's the option to slow down services is wrong for the public. We have already seen several of them attempt this. Even in isolated incidences, it is unacceptable. Keep ISPs under Title II.

1703. Jason Fields, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Keep the internet open. It's in our country's best interest.

1704. Steven Ferguson, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I support a free and open internet with all neutrality protections in place. I want to access any novel idea online without interference from internet providers who may prefer to direct me to "their" content.

1705. Amanda Burr, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
The internet is a free space for information sharing. Preserve Net Neutrality backed by strong Title II oversight.

1706. Ellen Barry, Glendale, CA, 91203
Hello,Do not dissolve net neutrality. That is a foolish idea that will cause extreme corruption.

1707. Amelia Sims, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support strong Net Neutrality-- the only way to Keep America's Internet Great (Again).

1708. John Rust, Burbank, CA, 91506
Please preserve Internet Neutrality. Please preserve Title II. Both are so important. Thank you.

1709. David Reynolds, Hollywood, CA, 90028
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title two oversite by ISPs

1710. Lawrence Marshalian, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I fully support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. Keep net neutrality is this generations form of maintaing the freedom of speech and

expression. Anything to prevent net neutrality is unconstitutional.

1711. Joseph Piercy, Glendale, CA, 91201
We need real net neutrality as it is the only way to make sure everything is equal, in terms of access. If the handful of providers is able to throttle traffic in any way, it will be only to benefit themselves, and will surely keep me from getting the access I feel I am entitled to for the cost I am paying for it. Customers should be able to throttle if they so choose, and only customers, not the gate keeper providers making the huge profits. If providers are allowed to throttle in any way, the internet experience will suffer dramatically for all users.

1712. Michael Cox, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please preserve net neutrality under title 2. I do not trust corporations to self regulate in this arena. Keep the internet free and open to all.

1713. Emily Nine, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
the internet should remain neutral for the sake of free exchange of information. we live in an exciting time where anyone can educate themselves on whatever they want, but this can disappear quickly if we impose regulations.

1714. Sarah Neslusan, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please keep the net neutral and preserve title II status for ISPs.

1715. Catherine Burgess, Hollywood, CA, 90068
As someone who works in digital media, I believe Net Neutrality is CRITICAL for innovation.

1716. Sean Malony, Burbank, CA, 91504
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II, the Internet as it is and has been is an amazing resource to make our country stronger and better!! Don't let it be controlled by corporations who will be unfairly biased!

1717. Amber LaRosa, Glendale, CA, 91201
I fully support net neutrality by way of Title II regulations of ISPs.

1718. Bob Lucas, La Canada, CA, 91011
Please continue to regulate ISPs under Title II. Any other designation will allow untold mischief and increase litigation, not access to the web. We pay an ISP for a set speed of access. The speed should only be limited by the contract and ability of the source URL to provide the data. Any prioritization or slowing of site data is a breach of our contract with the ISP.Thanks for your consideration.

1719. Michelle Hussey, Glendale, CA, 91214
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Thanks!!!!

1720. Jacob Henkoff, Glendale, CA, 91202
Please do not remove Net Neutrality. It is absolutely absurd to imagine a world

where the cable and phone companies could control access to different websites, charging more for Netflix, or slowing down access to certain news websites. It is very literally a step in the direction of authoritarianism. Why is it seemingly the mission of some people in power to limit access for those without means. Think of how much harder it would be for a young person born into poverty to escape that situation without affordable access to the internet? Why enable the excessive monetizing of internet access? Just let it be.

1721. Thatiana Cruz, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Dear Pai,I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by the Tittle II oversight of IPS, and I hope you leave this as is.Thanks!Thatiana

1722. Sam Howell, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I am for maintaining current standard of net neutrality, including title II. Do not let Pai do away with title II

1723. benjamin gilberg, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I strongly support Net Neutrality and the protections it is afforded by being supported by Title II

1724. Lucas Rorah, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
I strongly support the FCC preserving net neutrality by keeping ISP's under Title 2. I support classifying ISP's as a fundamental utility whose profit margins should be regulated to keep costs down for consumers.

1725. Julien Lasseur, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Do not repeal Title II net neutrality. Ajit Pai is a corporate weasel. My apologies to any weasels who were offended by my comments.

1726. Jeanne Karaffa, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Two comments: First, preserve net neutrality; second, do not allow Sinclair to purchase Tribune

1727. Filip Crvenkovic, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I'm here to support Internet Freedom and call on the FCC to NOT touch net neutrality. The future of not just the internet, but the world is being shaped by this and we urge the FCC NOT to change it in favor of corporations. This will jeopardize us as a nation, in having access to free-flowing information.

1728. Joseph Gorfinkle, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Please do not rescind , restrict or limit Net Neutrality rules. A free and open internet without Blocking, throttling and prioritizing of content is necessary for the free and open exchange of ideas and innovation that democracy thrives on. Individual citizens need an open internet.

1729. Ryan Jeffery, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
IT IS IMPERATIVE TO KEEP TITLE II AS IT IS THE ONLY WAY TO

PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY.

1730. Levi Harris, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support Title II net neutrality rules. Think of it this way, like with global warming, we know it's true and there's a huge debate over whether or not we're causing it (spoiler alert: we are). Wouldn't you rather prevent it from happening just in case rather than find yourself screwed after it's too late? Same with net neutrality. Title II protects the citizens from unfair pricing and monopolies being built, and sure, under Title I ISPs didn't massively screw people over, but why would you give them the power to do that when they're not asking for it. Publicly, anyway. This Trump administration is stirring hornets nests and this is a big one. If you dare to limit people's access to information either directly or indirectly, you'll find opposition from both sides. So on that I commend you for bringing a falsely divided nation together in agreement on something.Listen very carefully to myself and millions of others when I say DO. NOT. ALLOW. ISPS. THE. OPTION. TO. CREATE. INTERNET. FAST. LANES. AND. CURB. ACCESS. TO. INFORMATION. AND. MAKE. THE. INTERNET. PAY. FOR. PLAY. -- concerned taxpayer and technically your boss.

1731. Nathaniel Greenley, Burbank, CA, 91506
I am in support of strong net neutrality. I believe it is important that there is Title II oversight of ISPs. I oppose docket No. 17-108. Internet freedom is an important part of our democracy and the FCC should not allow ISPs to take advantage of users.

1732. Gwyn Ashley, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. Do NOT GET RID OF OUR PROTECTIONS.

1733. Susie Webb, Glendale, CA, 91208
I support strong regulations to protect net neutrality. Keep the internet free and neutral. Prevent providers from dictating internet speed or providing favor over certain sites or limiting access to any part of the internet. Thank you.

1734. Lexi Hawley, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I want net neutrality and TITLE II to stay in place!

1735. Travis Diehl, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net Neutrality is too important to allow communication companies to regulate themselves. The internet -is- a utility and should be regulated like a utility.

1736. Jason Liles, los angeles, CA, 90039
I want neutrality maintained by keeping ISPs under title 2

1737. Stephan Mohammed, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Iâ€™m calling on the FCC to stand up for net neutrality and safeguard Title II protections. The FCC should throw out Chairman Ajit Paiâ€™s proposal to give the government-subsidized telecom giants like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon free rein

to create Internet fast lanes, stripping Internet users of the vital privacy and access protections we demanded and won just two years ago. Iâ€™m concerned about ISPs being allowed to discriminate against certain types of data or websites because

1738. Erin Sopapunta, Burbank, CA, 91501
Net neutrality must remain the law of the land. Do not allow yourselves to be misguided by people who stand to make money slowing us down.

1739. cressa amundsen, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Net neutrality should continue to be inforced by title II

1740. Lorraine Howard, Burbank, CA, 91506
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality. I believe there should be Title II oversight of ISPs. I oppose docket No. 17-108. The FCC should not allow ISPs to take advantage of users. Internet freedom plays a vital role in our democratic society of the United States.

1741. Taylor Dolezal, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Hello - I am writing because I would like to see the FCC keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Ajit Pai is unable to be objective and unbiased having worked for Verizon for so long, while this is still a democracy, I would expect the FCC to operate based on what the people want, not what big companies want.

1742. Craig Weightman, Glendale, CA, 91208
Leave the internet ISPs controlled under Title II, rather than going back to the legal restrictions of Title I. Since the ISPs sued the FCC and won, regarding their ability to maintain control through Title I, I don't see your reasoning about going back to this.

1743. Emery, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
We need a strong net neutrality. I support Title 2 and do NOT wish to see the rules weaken by the FCC. Please do not weaken the rules. Leave them as they are.

1744. Nick Hanley, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
Dear Ajit Pai,Net Neutrality is a crucial protection that keeps the internet a free and open space fueling innovation, discussion, and the spread of free access to the collected stores of human discovery. To remove those protections would create an environment where ISP's could hinder free market competition by providing preferential treatment to large companies capable of paying higher premiums for larger bandwidths. You would effectively be monopolizing the internet, and this would not benefit anyone in America, save for the few wealthy heads of major tech companies. Great internet ventures are not started by fortune 500 companies, they are started by people in garages with an idea and a few lines of code. If you revoke net neutrality rules, those industrious creators could face immediate hurdles in the form of pay-to-play bandwidth agreements with ISP's. If net neutrality had not existed as the major tech companies of silicon valley were getting started, the internet would not offer a tenth of the services it currently provides. I am sure you

face a great deal of pressure from your autocratic appointers to deregulate the internet into oblivion, but I urge you to find the courage and respect for the wishes of the majority of Americans to stand up in defense of Net Neutrality. Thank you.

1745. Jack Thannum, Burbank, CA, 91506
Please maintain net neutrality for now and the future. Too much innovation is in the pipeline and the future of the internet is just now being revealed. Don't stop growth!

1746. Virginia Kimball, Pasadena, CA, 91103
Please don't take away net neutrality. I don't want big companies to have faster streaming than smaller ones. That's unAmerican and unfair. Internet providers should provide the same level of service to all. We Internet users should have access to the same level of service. If, for example, Netflix had faster streaming on Verizon, for example, it would have an unfair competitive edge over other streamers. We Verizon users would be subsidizing Netflix and harming its competitors who just might provide more of what we want. Preserve net neutrality!

1747. David Crockett, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support net neutrality backed by title II over site of ISP's.

1748. Reyna, LA, CA, 90068
Please do not reverse Title II, we need to maintain NET NEUTRALITY and defend people's access to the Internet and not big business interests.

1749. Erica Rambukpotha, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight for ISPs. Thank you

1750. 17-108, Glendale, CA, 91203
Please support strong net neutrality and backed by title II.

1751. Chris lu, Hollywood, CA, 90028
We support strong net neutrality backed by type 2 oversight of all internet service providers

1752. Kandice Martellaro, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight for ISPs

1753. Tim Powell, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I specifically support Strong Net-Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Allowing unequal transfer speeds and intentional slowing of the transfer of information in favor of large corporate entities is an endorsement of unfair practices in internet communication. Do NOT repeal these Title II safeguards.

1754. Mark Stegemann, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please continue to enforce Net Neutrality rules. Thank you.

1755. Eva Van Brunt, Los Angeles, CA, 90039

I am writing to support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. If the Internet is not democratic then we don't have a democracy in the Internet age. I am watching this - our citizens are all watching this - and we will not vote for individuals, nor subscribe to companies, who support the increased manipulation of our search results, social media and Internet life. Thank you.

1756. Kate Marshall, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Net neutrality and Title II must be preserved for the sake of all that is sacred in this country! Privacy=freedom.

1757. Abigail Irwin, Burbank, CA, 91504
Net neutrality is one of the few digital microcosms of the original American Dream. Don't take it away in lieu of pandering to the cable cartels. Information is the root of advancement of civilization and this literally strikes discrimination and inequality into that equation making it invalid.These digital systems of competition engender basic capitalist principles that give rise to better services for all and motivation to genuinely offer better services and content. By biasing these services letting them cut off the legs of their competition instead of actually competing, you enable corporate bullying and greed - not to mention discourage new media, entrepreneurship, and new technologies that would be immediately squashed before being able to offer advancement to the marketplace. Fuck that shit. Please. This is supposed to a government FOR the people, BY the people. Now I know the second part is a joke by now, but the former has a chance. You all personally benefit whether the public does or not and you'll be fine. Think of everyone else for about 5 minutes when making this decision. YES to net neutrality. No to trumped up corporate consumerism.

1758. Danielle Rosenberg, Glendale, CA, 91204
I support Net Neutrality and keeping ISPs under Title II. Failure to maintain this legal standing will cause serious corporate interference and widen the digital divide currently present in our social classes.

1759. Lucas Miller, Glendale, CA, 91205
As a business owner and web developer, it blows my mind that we are at this point again - net neutrality is the glue that holds the Internet together. I will do everything in my power to let each person I know learn about the idiocy governing our country, should our representatives fail us and decide to abolish net neutrality.

1760. Trevor Johnson, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
As a citizen of the United States of America and a strong believer in the Constitutionâ€™s First Amendment, I am writing this letter to let the FCC know I STRONGLY SUPPORT net neutrality backed by Title Two oversight of ISPs

1761. Deirdre Marlowe, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Keep the Net neutral. Keep Net neutrality. Do not make it difficult for smaller providers, etc., or easy for larger providers to find ways to upcharge smaller consumers so they can keep their speeds. Consider the internet as part of

infrastructure and a key to having an equitable playing field in the 21st century.Thank you.

1762. Seth Pierson, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
My life and my ability to pursue liberty and happiness have been immeasurably aided by a free and fair Internet. It has helped me form my real-life social circles, helped me find housing and employment, and it helps me share my creativity, successes, and failures with the world. Please maintain that possibility and that power of the Internet by keeping the current strong Net Neutrality rules in place - backed by Title II. Thank you for your time and your service to the American public.

1763. Tim MacPhee, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
I strongly support and encourage continuance of net neutrality.

1764. Russell Bell, los angeles, CA, 90027
To whom it may concern, Iâ€™m gravely concerned about the stance the FCC has taken recently in regards to net neutrality. I consider this issue one of the most important facing me today. The internet is crucial to our everyday lives and any attempt to control the internet or hamper its effectiveness through any means will not only cripple its future but also the freedom of every American citizen. Access to all information is fundamental to the American way of life. Just as we would not allow corporations to own access to libraries, allowing access to certain sections only to members of a specific club, we should not allow them to limit the access to the internet. The future of innovation in this country depends on easy access to information and no one should be allowed to hamper the future of this nation for a little corporate greed.There are many ways to cripple something as important as the internet. Sometimes the government has to take a step back and let it be unregulated. But in THIS instance, the government must step up and prevent the giant telecoms from gaining a monopoly. Already there is too much control by these corporations and many markets have no options in which company they choose to provide the internet. The internet is a utility, just as important as the water we get to our houses or the electricity that is supplied to them. Just look to the CBS Time Warner dispute to see how these companies act. Time Warner completely shut out CBS from certain markets because they wouldn't play game. Imagine if a company such as Verizon suddenly got in a dispute with Google. Who would be there to stop the corporate meddling? We are still in the infancy of the internet and what it can do for everyone as a society. Sometimes it is the governments job to act and to REGULATE not to get out of the way and sit idly by as giant corporations have their way with citizens. It is time to do the right thing now before it becomes too difficult. Itâ€™s time to listen to the people rather than corporations. The internet is a utility and should remain as such. Thank you for your time.

1765. Arthur Tebbel, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please save net neutrality. It is important the the exchange of ideas and commerce on the web not be bottlenecked by ISPs for profits.

1766. Andrew Heckler, los angeles, CA, 90068
PLEASE KEEP NET NEUTRALITY WHERE IT IS!!! The internet cannot and must not be influenced or contriolled by a ocuple multi national corporations, but must only be Neutral.

1767. James C.Cogar, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I am strongly opposed to this action under consideration by the FCC. Any degradation of neutrality in the way information is handled on the internet represents a degradation of the first amendment rights of all citizens using the internet to disseminate or consume content.

1768. Pam Koppel, Burbank, CA, 91501
Mr. Pai, I urge you to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Thank you!

1769. Kevin Smith, la, CA, 90029
Do the right thing. The net must remain neutral at the federal level due to the fact that companies are going to cheat it anyway.

1770. Patrick De La Rosa, Glendale, CA, 91206
"Restoring Internet Freedom" only refers to the Freedom of the corporations in this instance and has nothing to do with the freedom of the people. This is the most deceptive and ridiculous name for something that will roll back net neutrality regulations. Title II regulations forces these corporations to compete with one another based on the quality of their product (speed of their internet connection) rather than allowing the possibility to make profit from deals where they can limit the quality of a partner's competition. Lack of competition at that level will not breed innovation in the industry, and I fail to see the correlation between this regulation and a loss of jobs. Companies not willing to invest in infrastructure deserve to be left in the past. If they can't keep up in the industry, get out of the market.

1771. rebecca lando, los angeles, CA, 90039
I strongly support Net Neutrality. It's better for small businesses and startups as well as consumers. It encourages innovation and competition and democratizes information for everyone.

1772. Nadim Haider, Glendale, CA, 91201
Strongly support Net Neutrality and it SHOULD be protected by Title II.

1773. Matthew Brewbaker, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I support net neutrality backed by Title II. Evidence of past "neutrality" discretions, namely when AT&T and Verizon tried to block google wallet, and an overall and general approach businesses tend to have when encouraged by shareholders to make the most money possible, means that we cannot trust ISPs to regulate themselves in the respect of keeping bandwidth fairly distributed. The reason why this is so important is because the flow of information via the internet has become the lifeblood of human communication, business, economics Etc etc. Nearly more than

any other resource, the Internet should be regarded less as a business venture, and more as a human necessity. It needs to be regulated with the benefit of its users in mind. Title II is a good step to setting parameters necessary in this industry.

1774. Lee Carlisle, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I very strongly support maintaining title two net neutrality and disagree with Ajit Pai's plan to reverse it.

1775. Nick Contino, Los Angeles, CA, 90048
We specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II (2) oversight of ISP. DO NOT change anything!

1776. Ken Little, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
How about not ruining everything in the world, just because it occurred during the Obama administration. Try this novel idea and mission statement "Don't be a dick." Thank you!

1777. john flynn, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
An open internet is essential for innovation and healthy competition. The ISPs should be regulated under Title II.

1778. Jean Ansolabehere, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I strongly support the preservation of net neutrality and Title II. The legal enforcement of net neutrality is vital to a continued free internet. Please don't do away with this regulation and effectively leave consumers exposed and unprotected against the whims of the ISPs, who are able to thrive just as easily under Title II as they would without it. Preservation of Title II is best for Americans, and these are the people the convergent has the responsibility to protect, not big business.

1779. Diana Kniazewycz, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support net neutrality and want ISPs to remain under Title II classification to ensure strong government oversight. I do not believe market economics or appealing to these companies will be beneficial in any way. I strongly disagree with categorizing them as Title I or calling this anything other than what it is, an attempt for companies to monopolize and control the internet. The internet is not for companies, it's for every individual across this world. Allowing companies to control speed, and thus access, is a slippery slope that as Americans we cannot stand for. Ensuring transparency and honesty is the only way to hold corporations accountable, as we have seen time and time again. Keeping ISPs under Title II is imperative.

1780. Jeffrey Weinberger, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I am writing to affirm my belief that it's essential to treat all internet traffic equally, and not allow ISPs to discriminate against some traffic for any reason. As a business owner in the tech and web sector who frequently works with start up companies, I can attest that if incumbent and entrenched corporations are allowed to tip the playing field in their favor, it will certainly make it more difficult for start ups to

raise money, launch and compete against the monolithic giants and conglomerates that can easily exploit this uneven playing field. In the last 15 years, the internet has become indispensable to nearly every person and job in the USA, and as a tech professional I rely on it just as much as I rely on the power company to provide electricity. The FCC was right to classify the internet as a Title II utility and it would be a massive error to adjust this classification.Sincerely,Jeff Weinberger

1781. Thomas Blanchard, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II regulations. The internet needs a level playing field for all to flourish, not just those who can pay.

1782. Peter Rasmussen, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. It is not sustainable to trust ISPs, who already to some extent resemble monopolies to look out for the best interest of the consumers who have a right to unobstructed access to whatever websites they may wish. ISPs should merely be internet providers, not gatekeepers with their own vested interests.

1783. Richard Loyd, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Keeping net neutrality is vital in keeping our country's on-line independence. Leave net neutrality alone!

1784. Joseph W Brown, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I support string internet neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I urge you to leave net neutrality in place.

1785. Tonya Cornelisse, LA, CA, 90028
"Mr. Pai, I urge you to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II."

1786. Connor Paolo, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
We are commenting to express our vehement support for full Net Neutrality under the current protections of Title IIWe are speaking for the public in the same way that you speak for your corporate donors.Represent the people.

1787. Kevin Howley, Los angeles, CA, 90029
Please don't allow special interests to ruin the internet for Americans. Keep the Internet Neutral, for the good of the people and for the good of the country. The internet is changing the world for the better. Sure there are ways to make money on the Internet but the internet itself is not meant to be sold and harnessed. Allowing people to have full access and not be influenced by corporations will help turn the world into a better place. Please don't let America screw this up like they've screwed up so many things before.

1788. Amanda Schuckman, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
It is of the utmost importance that the integrity of internet neutrality and Title II be preserved. The internet has become a ubiquitous utility in American life, necessary for the pursuit of education and employment, and in a marketplace where massive

telecom mergers and a scarcity of options are increasingly the norm, the internet must, regardless of provider, treat all content equally. Stringent regulations must remain in place to guarantee this remains the standard for the foreseeable future.

1789. Tom Beyer, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net Neutrality MUST be preserved! Title II MUST be preserved!! De-regulating giant corporations is ALWAYS proven to be a disastrous mistake, except of course for the millionaires and billionaires who make more millions and billions at the expense of the average American.

1790. Robert Larson, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I think that net neutrality is an absolute MUST HAVE for our society.

1791. joseph farnham, los angeles, CA, 90068
DO NOT sell off net neutrality to the highest bidder you corrupt, shit eating pawn of the idiot in chief.STOP DISMANTLING OUR GOVERNMENT, TO BE SOLD OFF PIECEMEAL.You are a severely temporary servant; stop doing more harm than good.

1792. Catherine Riveire, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I am strongly in favor of maintaining net neutrality. Allowing corporations to decide who gets access to the internet will only exacerbate America's existing wage disparity and the disappearance of the middle class. Anyone should be able to use the internet without inhibitions regardless of their salary.

1793. ed, glendale, CA, 91206
i support strong net teutrality .

1794. Ryan Babichuk, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support Title II and do not feel it should be in any way changed or repealed. It is an effective system that keeps underhanded business practices in check with regards to internet service providers. To repeal it would be a very clear statement that the FCC chooses to better serve ISPs ability to increase profit margins rather than the betterment of national communications via sensible regulations. This would be a compromise of the FCCs mission, rendering it dysfunctional and inadequate in achieving its goals. Please do the right thing.

1795. Alexandra Rhodes, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I would like to express my strident support for net neutrality.

1796. Alfons Manouk, Glendale, CA, 91201
We should make the net neutrality rules stronger not weaker.

1797. Emery, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I am writing to support TITLE two. Please do not weaken the regulations for NET NEUTRALITY.

1798. Gia Firestone, Glendale, CA, 91208
DO NOT END NET NEUTRALITY. This is fundamental to free and open access to information and the arguments against it pertaining to providers potentially pulling back investments into the infrastructure are specious and intended only to confuse and mislead the public.

1799. Cassandra Bellantoni, Burbank, CA, 91504
Preserve Net Neutrality & Title 2. Do it.

1800. mark maitland, Glendale, CA, 91201
Maintain Net Neutrality by keeping ISP under Title II. The FCC is run by a former Verizon lawyer and a shill for telecom. We must not let entities have the power to select our information sources.

1801. Michelle Decker, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please Preserve Net Neutrality backed by strong Title II oversight

1802. Kate Zabinsky, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I am writing to support net neutrality. Governance should remain until Title 2.

1803. Matthew T Crews, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Net Neutrality is paramount to my business being able to write and self-publish my written and artistic work and have it disseminated to as wide an audience as possible without having to worry about potential readers or fans being limited by their ISP plans. The internet is no longer a luxury, it is essential to daily life for myself and so many others. My credit union banking services are on the other side of the country. All of my work is done online. The internet is a utility and should be treated as such with fair access to all.

1804. Que-Lam Huynh, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I fully support net neutrality and keeping Title II. Please do not re-classify or de-classify ISPs from Title II.

1805. Chris Bolm, Burbank, CA, 91501
Please do not take away Net Neutrality. This would be a disaster for citizens across the country.

1806. John Maynard, Burbank, CA, 91506
Please enforce Title II regulations as they exist on internet service providers. Thank you.

1807. Romy Flint, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I strongly urge you to retain internet neutrality and save title 2 of of the FCC. Strongly urge!

1808. David Saint, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90026
I cannot overstate the importance of maintaining an open internet and would like to

submit my disagreement and opposition to the new proposed rules.That these proposed rule changes originate from a former lawyer for a large telecom company, that these proposed rule changes mislead the public about how large corporations can benefit from them and use them for profit, gain, and misinformation; that these proposed rule changes stem from claims that the current legal footing affects infrastructure investment, when Verizon itself is on public record assuaging its investors that the current legal footing in no way inhibits investment; and finally that these proposed rule changes would then allow companies to bury their activities within terms of service agreements â€" these are all reasons to oppose it and underline the fact that these proposed rule changes are an egregious betrayal of public trust and do not represent the interests of American citizens.Access to the internet is a basic human right. It must be kept open and neutral.

1809. iva botic, los angeles, CA, 90046
i support net neutrality and title 2!!

1810. Brad Miller, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. ISPs should not own government.

1811. Emily Lynch, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I strongly support net neutrality, backed by Title II enforcement of ISPs.

1812. Simon Barwick, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I wish to express my disagreement with the proposed reassessment of ISPs from Title II to Title I. Impartial distribution of content free from commercial or competitive influence should be a cornerstone of the provision of internet access, hence appropriate regulatory protection should be preserved.

1813. Paul Oppenheim, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I think net neutrality is extremely important to maintain.

1814. Joe Dana, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I support KEEPING strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

1815. Daniel Baxter, los angeles, CA, 90026
I'm calling on the FCC to preserve Net Neutrality laws and Title 2. The internet should stay open, and there is no need to make the changes currently proposed.

1816. Carmen Gonzalez, Glendale, CA, 91202
Please maintain the current net neutrality rules as well as Title 2. We cannot rely on Internet Service providers to look out for the general public when the profit motives loom so large to do otherwise. Thank you for your consideration.

1817. John Swenson, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please preserve Net Neutrality. It is very important to continue restricting ISP providers from using any mechanism to throttle internet services.

1818. stephen scholtz, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I STRONGLY support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight, and frankly am a little sick of having to keep defending Net Neutrality from greedy, terrible telecommunications companies and their lackeys. We know that they will not play fair or maintain open access with less regulation (NO corporation ever has!) and again TELECOMMUNICATIONS DID NOT INVENT THE INTERNET --They are simply the gatekeepers. The internet is too important an invention to sell off like everything else. Keep the sum of human knowledge open and available to all!Love,Steve

1819. Richard Page, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I strongly believe in "Net Neutrality" and Title 2 and believe it should be preserved.

1820. Elizabeth Ures, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support net neutrality backed up by Title 2 oversight. Thank you!

1821. Francesca Esquenazi, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Hey Ajit Pai,I specifically support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY, backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.

1822. Michael Weaver, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
There must be no repeal of the ban on throttling. The internet is a public good and all users should be given the same ability to access content free from throttling. It is not acceptable for an ISP to pick and choose which content shall be given full bandwidth and which content shall be throttled.

1823. Chris Ell, Glendale, CA, 91206
Title II classification provides the strongest legal precedent and protection for the idea of a free and open internet. One only has to look at the current use of zero ratings to see how quickly ISPs will disadvantage customers in favor of profits. The current rules also have shown no hindrance in broadband expansion, etc. I firmly support leaving the current Title II classification and protections in place as anything else would be laughably easy to circumvent.

1824. Megan Knox, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Please preserve net neutrality by keeping ISP's classification under Title II.Thank you.

1825. Andrew Sheffield, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Net Neutrality must be preserved under title II protections. A free and open internet is essential to the future of our nation.

1826. Jessica Hernandez-Cruz, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY! Save the internet!

1827. Nicholas de Wolff, Burbank, CA, 91506
I, along with EVERYONE in my community with whom I have discussed the

matter, strongly support Net Neutrality, as protected by Title 2. Any change to these consumer protections will be interpreted as a direct threat to my and my neighbors' rights and freedoms as Internet users, and will help determine our choices, both in terms of ISP subscription and 2018/2020 political election.

1828. Gaston D'Agrivieri, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality, that's inforced by Title II maintaing the regulation of US's ISP.

1829. alexis lopez, Hollywood, CA, 90028
Ajit pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by tittle II oversight of ISPs. I also think your compromised by Verizon , since you were their lawyer.

1830. Title II Net Neutrality, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
Do not change from Title II back to Title I. The objectivity of the chairman is not credible.

1831. Seana Iwanyk, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
I deeply support net neutrality and I abhor the attempts to manipulate the public into believing that this ruling would in fact be an open internet when it so obviously would not. The internet should adhere to our American tradition by leaving Internet Service Providers classified as a Title II common carrier service. The Open Internet Rules from 2015 MUST remain in place as-is.

1832. Jacob Smyle, Glendale, CA, 91203
Dear Mr. Ajit Pai,I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II Oversight of ISP's. Please do not change this.Thanks,Jacob Smyle

1833. Misha Crosby, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Dear Ajit Varadaraj Pai, I along with the vast majority of educated Americans would strongly urge you to reconsider being the individual responsible for selling our freedom of expression to the highest bidder. As the internet is for many in the United States their primary medium in which to express Free Speech, the people deserve to have their internet regulated by The people's 'FCC' , not your previous employers Verizon or any other Corporate entity that stand to gain billions of dollars at their expense. I truly hope you do the right thing. I'll hold comfort in the fact that millions of American's will be watching you as will the historians of tomorrow.

1834. Jen Bryson, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly believe that Net Neutrality should be preserved as well as Title 2 oversight of ISPs

1835. Homie Doroodian, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Preserve Net Nutrality and title 2! It is paramount

1836. Tom Engfer, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I strongly support net neutrality regulations be put in/kept in place. Allowing

companies to create preferential access for specific sites/apps/information is tantamount to censorship and flies in teh face of free speech. The FCC should NOT ENGAGE IN SUCH ACTIVITIES.

1837. Constantine Singer, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Net Neutrality is an expression of the value we place on connectivity as a basic necessity for modern life. We would not allow our sewer, water, electricity, or gas providers to provide preferential treatment for certain uses of their product over others, so why on earth would we do so for internet providers.

1838. Maxwell Perry, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
In our modern world, internet access has rapidly become as necessary as electricity and telephone access once were. Most business and commerce are handled almost solely on the internet and anyone who does not have access, or is limited to slower access, due to their financial situation is at a distinct disadvantage. No matter one's income, the data that is accessed online should be treated equally and not limited, curtailed, or censored due to the whims or financial incentives a particular ISP may feel. As an independent regulatory agency, the FCC must retain its ability to regulate and enforce net neutrality over ISPs or else inequality may expand into the realm of access to ideas and knowledge. This would be harmful not only to individuals, but to our ability to function as a society moving forward into the 21st century. Therefore I strongly request that the FCC retain Title II authority over ISPs.

1839. Caren Rubenstone, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPS. Do not mess with my net neutrality.

1840. Kalen Hariouk, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I would like to strongly urge you to preserve the rights of Net Neutrality by allowing it to remain under it's current Title II classification. Thank you!

1841. Victor Mazzone, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I support net neutrality and Title 2!!!

1842. Reza Aslan, los angeles, CA, 90068
Net Neutrality is a human right in the digital age and we will NOT allow you to reverse Obama era regulations ensuring equal access to the internet

1843. Eva Kim, Los Angeles, CA, 91206
Here to express that I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by title II oversight of our ISPs. Net neutrality is good for everyone and should be maintained. Please do not take it away.

1844. Andrea Iaderosa, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
We need net neutrality. PLEASE DO NOT TAKE AWAY NET NEUTRALITY. Thank you.

1845. Maximus Pauson, Burbank, CA, 91501
Please keep title 2! An open internet is the basis of our freedom as Americans. No on TITLE ONE! KEEP TITLE 2!

1846. Donald O'Connor, Tujunga, CA, 91042
As a tax paying and voting citizen of this country I implore you to continue to keep internet access open and unrestricted and not become another restricted sales/marketing tool for the highest bidder.

1847. Taylor Scott, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
PLEASE - preserve net neutrality and Title II. Keep the internet free and open.

1848. Netneutrality, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Writing to say SAVE A FREE AND CLEAR INTERNET FOR ALL. I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by the title two oversight of ISP's and should NOT be adjusted or litigated. Information should be free and clear of any provider/corporate interference whatsoever. Period.

1849. Diane Quigley, Burbank, CA, 91505
I strongly support the preservation of net neutrality and title II oversight of ISPs.

1850. Susan Collins, Glendale, CA, 91206
I most vehemently SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!

1851. Hilary Curwen, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
AGIT PAI:PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II

1852. Matthew Friedman, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Keep Net Neutrality. Before Title II, TWC interfered with my netflix streaming. It would stutter, be slow, and in poor quality. After Title II all those problems went away.

1853. Alex Paris, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I would express to the commission and to the executive branch that protecting internet equality via Title II protections is extremely important to protect freedom of speech and maintaining a level playing field for small business especially in this environment of heavy media consolidation.We have many examples of anti-competitive practices amongst the ISPs as it stands, and while everyone has promised to play nice, that doesn't mean that they will continue to do so. With zero-rating being common place on wireless spectrum this practice will likely spread to the copper/fiber carriers and that behavior is straining the limits of the concept of net-neutrality as more and more Americans and people around the world rely on the internet for not just news and information, but as a baseline for innovation and delivery of all kinds of content. Protect democracy, protect free speech, protect the free flow of information, keep and enhance Title II protections for internet services and ISPs.

1854. Justin Bucksbaum, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I strongly support net neutrality and urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

1855. Joel Esterline, Burbank, CA, 91506
Do not change the protections for net neutrality. We deserve a free and open Internet with strong Title II rules. This will ensure that the flow of data is determined by the interests of Internet users, not the narrow interests of Internet Service Providers.

1856. Michael Vargas, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
It is imperative that the U.S. continue to strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.

1857. Jayne Whitaker, Glendale, CA, 91206
Please continue protecting Net Neutrality under Title II. Moving these regulations back to Title I will put consumers at risk and give internet and cable companies too much power.

1858. Anthony Martin, Burbank, CA, 91504
I support title two and Net neutrality. I would not like title two to amended and would prefer if it stayed the way it is, thank you.

1859. Anthony, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Save Net Neutrality! Keep ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934, do not let Ajit Pai dismantle the free and open internet. This is a disgrace to American values and should not be allowed!

1860. Eric Ambler, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs under the Communications Act of 1934.

1861. ISP, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
If the internet is a utility, then it MUST be open and free through strong net neutrality to allow the free expression and promotion of individuals and their beliefs and/or interests. I cannot stress enough the importance of this right we as American citizens have. Please, in the name of our country and the open and free internet, please do not reclassify ISPs under Title 1. It will create more of a monopoly than the existing ISPs already have. Isn't America the land of opportunity?

1862. Timothy McGovern, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I support TITLE 2 regulation of ISPs, and I want to keep net neutrality.

1863. Liberty Locsin, Glendale, CA, 91205
Maintain an open internet rules and net neutrality is important for a free and equal society that our country values. Net neutral needs to stay on its previous legal footing where it's laws can be enforceable.

1864. Corey Topkis, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
We know what you're trying to do and we don't like it. You're lying to everybody when you say you want Net Neutrality. The Internet is free and open RIGHT NOW! Stop fucking with the Internet, Ajit. You're not the victim here. You're the one trying to destroy the Internet.

1865. Philip Ives, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly urge the FCC to keep it's Title II rules for Internet Service Providers. The Net neutrality doctrine is important to anyone who is an advocate of free speech on the internet. Additionally Network neutrality ensures the modestly funded competitors can continue to usher in the kinds of innovations we're used to like YouTube and facebook.

1866. Paul Karpenko, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs as well as classifying ISPs as utilities. The internet must remain a level playing field in order to continue fostering innovation and remaining resistant to inequality by design.

1867. Jessica, los angeles, CA, 90029
It is so important to preserve net neutrality and Title II Status for ISPs.

1868. J, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
We don't need more ways for corporations to dictate what we buy by providing faster service for the providers who pay more money. Monopolizing the market through financial backing of this sort is criminal and one of the largest problem that we as Americans have to deal with.

1869. Joe Walker, W Hollywood, CA, 90046
Following John Oliver's recent broadcast, I have read all i can about the issue of Net Neutrality, and consequently I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Any other situation will in my view be open to blatant abuse.

1870. Anthony Zohrabian, glendale, CA, 91205
Net Neutrality is one thing that you would be wise not to touch. Republicans and Democrats both use and support the internet and if you think you can get away with this then you are living in a dreamland. This will bring the entire nation against you. I strongly advise you let this go and leave net neutrality alone.

1871. Brandon, Glendale, CA, 91202
There is no rational, ethical, or justifiable reasoning to overturn the rules on Net Neutrality. None.

1872. Sergio Lopez, glendale, CA, 91203
I demand and support Net Neutrality and Title II.

1873. Michael Masterson, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Preserve net neutrality! We all know that you cannot trust profit-seeking

corporations to make the "right" decision regarding consumers' welfare and rights. Net neutrality guarantees a level playing field for all internet providers. Period. It's frightening that Mr. Pai wants to take a "weedwhacker" to regulations that enforce that. He simply wants to unlock the piggy bank for Verizon, Comcast and AT&T. I implore you to leave the regulations in place.

1874. Zachary Matusow, Glendale, CA, 91205
I support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isps.Lets protect the citizens for once. PLEASE. THIS IS INCREDIBLY IMPORTANT TO OUR SOCIETY MOVING FORWARD.

1875. Brian G. McKenna, Glendale, CA, 91201
Keep Title II and keep net neutrality as is.

1876. Joseph Amith, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support Title 2 net neutrality. I am against reclassification or changes that lessen regulation in any way.

1877. Andrew Shaw, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
The FCC must protect the open Internet by maintaining net neutrality protections under Title II. Anything less will impede the ability for new companies to enter into this market area.The internet is made powerful by having no private body dictate the services available and the requirements of those making the services available. This proceeding would put that power into the hands of private organizations. This would put unequal power into the hands of a few companies, making the playing field no longer equal. I urge you to keep Title II net neutrality in place, and safeguard Internet users like me.

1878. Khalid Majeed, GLENDALE, CA, 91205
I believe Neutrality is important. We should have more options to choose from.

1879. Colin Duffy, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net neutrality is important because it ensures a level playing field for everyone. Corporations will take every advantage they can, and it will only result in less choice and more restrictions for the public. Please keep net neutrality. Thank you.Colin

1880. Ian, Glendale, CA, 91202
Hello! I specifically support strong net neutrality back by title 2 oversight of ISPs, and I hope my comment amongst others will be taken seriously.

1881. John Martin, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I support net neutrality as governed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

1882. Aner Moss, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
To whom it may concern,I am writing to ask that the FCC reject Chairman Ajit Paiâ€™s plan to hand the government-subsidized ISP monopolies, like Verizon,

Comcast, and AT&T, the legal cover to engage in data discrimination, and to become gatekeepers and toll takers controlling what I, as a consumer, can access on the internet.In the years leading up to the reclassification of Broadband Internet Access as a Title II service, I, as a consumer, was noticing several troubling attempts by ISPs to change how I could access the internet. Already having an essential monopoly stranglehold on my broadband access (I have only 1 broadband option above 3Mbps!), ISPs attempted to throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts.Further, mobile providers began implementing zero-rating on approved content exempting it from data caps, and therefore giving the providers of that content an unfair advantage.Internet providers have shown that without strong, enforced regulation of their services, they will take advantage of their monopoly power to introduce changes and limitations on their customers that generate more revenue for them, but destroy the very openness of the internet that fostered the very innovation that the committee seems to so cherish, and that internet users like me certainly do.This is a classic case of corporate vs. public good. Removing the title II classification would harm consumers and the public, and instead give monopoly ISPs even more power. The fact, stated in the NPRM, that ISPs reduced investment in infrastructure post the reclassification is actually a strong indicator of the lack of competitive pressure in this market, not an indictment of the classification. All it proves is, that not only should broadband access be classified as a title II service, there should be stricter rules about minimum service levels, so that ISPs cannot neglect investment in the required infrastructure to support high quality of service.Based on their track record, I have no doubt that if title II classification is not retained, and enforced, ISPs will continue to attempt to find ways to exploit more profit from what, in this day and age, is a critical service, in ways that hurt the public and their very own customers, and I strongly urge you to retain the classification as is.

1883. John Chang, Glendale, CA, 91201
Hello,I'm writing because I believe that strong protections of net neutrality are critical to both a competitive internet marketplace and a robust internet culture and the only way for the government to effectively create that protection is to maintain the current status of ISPs as title II common carriers. The ISPs are already effectively monopolies not subject to competition in most markets and giving them any option to fragment the internet marketplace, especially to benefit their merged or acquired internet content, will inevitably result in a horizontally monopolized and wholly fragmented marketplace easily manipulated by those same ISPs.Any viewpoint that suggests that this would not happen without classifying ISPs are Title II common carriers is at best naive and so I submit my comment that if the FCC is going to be even the least bit responsible for proper governance of what is undoubtedly a utility, that they withdraw any attempt to change the classification of ISPs to Title I and further introduce regulation that will treat ISPs as the utilities that they are.

1884. Christopher John Knauer, Los Angeles, CA, 90029

I support a free and open Internet that is supervised and regulated by Title II

1885. David Cooper Rome, Esq, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly oppose this proceeding and in contrast support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The disingenuous title of the proceeding which is clearly determined to trample on such aside, I actually care about a fair and open internet and it is the backbone of the modern American economy.

1886. Emily Boone, Glendale, CA, 91208
I strongly support net neutrality as required by Title II. Please continue a strong net neutrality policy.

1887. Linda, Burbank, CA, 91504
Dear FCC,We the people demand that our privacy protections be the first priority not the greedy and power of corporations. Protect Net Neutality!!!!!!Linda

1888. Karina Slater, Glendale, CA, 91201
Please keep Title 2 act regarding Net Neutrality for stronger regulations on communication services. Please keep fighting this fight!

1889. bizil low, pasadena, CA, 91105
Strong Net Neutrality under tittle 2! We must have net Neutrality forever!

1890. K D Bluefield, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please!No blocking, throttling or paid prioritization of internet services. Allowing such action will result in unfair competition, discrimination, and censorship on the part of Big Telecomm, and is contrary to FCC precepts and consumer needs. Retain and promote Net Neutrality; leave Title II alone.

1891. Glory Gale Jeffries, Glendale, CA, 91207
I STRONGLY SUPPORT net neutrality!!

1892. Michael Curtis, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
A free and open internet is vital for our nations ability to innovate. Please preserve net neutrality.

1893. Joseph I Gorfinkle, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Preserve net neutrality regulations. throttling, blocking and preferential Muti-tier service threaten the freedom of expression and interchange of ideas we need

1894. Brandon Gilbrech, Glendale, CA, 91205
I am very focused on net neutrality and the control of the internet by business rather than the public. The internet must be regulated like a public commodity. It cannot be controlled by private corporate interests. This is a very important public rights issue and it must be dealt with appropriately. Right now is the most important time in the infancy of public information sharing and it must not be allowed to be taken over by greed. Greed cannot control the internet. I know that netflix and amazon and other

streaming services require a high amount of bandwith, but the cable companies have failed us in creating great internet systems. This is them trying to make money on both sides of the coin. We gave cable company subsidies to build internet to the last mile, and they never did. Now they want to stop other services from keeping them to continue to gough and take advantage of their subscribers. DO THE RIGHT THING. MAKE INTERNET A GOVERNMENT REGULATED COMMODITY LIKE THE PHONE COMPANIES. Thank you, Brandon Gilbrech

1895. Sean McAllen, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support a strong stance on net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This is a non-partisan issue, and should be supported by all americans regardless of political beliefs.

1896. Donald Tursman, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
We support strong net neutrality backed by title two over sight of ISPs.

1897. Raj Madhure, Pasadena, CA, 91105
I strongly urge the FCC to not dilute net neutrality laws. Doing so would undermine my trust in the FCC as an agency that protects voter interests.

1898. Brian Leavell, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Preserve net neutrality! Opening the floodgates on major corporations' ability to handcuff our freedom of choice in how we use the internet will set a dangerous precedent. The bottom line will always trump the interests of common people in the eyes of big business. You know this to be true. Please do the right thing!

1899. Alex Jeffries, Glendale, CA, 91207
I support Net Neutrality and Title II. It would be absurdly damaging to our country, and the rest of the world, to do anything to compromise it.

1900. Jennifer Bird, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II of ISPs. Please do not change this.

1901. James Renfroe, Burbank, CA, 91501
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. Stripping away net neutrality is bad for small business and working families. The idea that the industry will self regulate moves from naive to idiotic. Stop selling out the American people.

1902. Sam Barber, Glendale, CA, 91205
I support net neutrality and Title 2. Net Neutrality is not negotiable. Itâ€™s essential to everything we need in our society and democracy. I urge you to reject any attacks on real Net Neutrality. Leave net neutrality alone!

1903. Mary Leask, Sunland, CA, 91040
Net neutrality is essential to a free market system. I'm sure this administration would

like to sell off the opportunity for some access providers to give high-speed to their own content and slow down any competitors, but it's just not American to do that.

1904. Suyen H, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai,I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act.DO NOT roll back these regulations!Saying service providers have no history of abusing their power doesn't mean they won't!FCC ChairmanFCC personelAll FCC staff who see this!Do the right thingSupport Net Neutrality!Keep internet service providers classified under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act.

1905. Ara Shirinian, Glendale, CA, 91206
An open internet is very important. The largest internet service providers in the USA commonly create their own content and services, and there have been many well documented cases of these ISP's slowing down or blocking connections to their competitors. This is harmful to customers and to the future of the internet, and it should not be made legal by the FCC. The move to deregulate net neutrality will never help consumers and will merely support corporate interests.

1906. Chuck M, Burbank, CA, 91506
I strongly support Net Neutrality!!! And the ruling of Title 2! Stop being greedy, stop turning this great country into shambles, I will fight to my last breath for the freedom that the USA stands for! We the people make the rules!!

1907. Shawn Kelly, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Net neutrality must be preserved! Don't allow the biggest companies to dominate the internet. Keep the internet free and democratic. Thank you.

1908. Jennifer K Becker, Glendale, CA, 91208
I support preserving strong net neutrality rules under Title II to protect a free and open internet. There's a reason why these rules were upheld the last time and nothing about it should change as a result of an election. You need to pay attention to your constituents on this issue and not a few telecom companies with deep pockets. Also, I think it is just plain offensive that the FCC would attempt to prevent public comments on this issue by burying it deep within several layers of their website. So much for participatory government. Any official who votes to weaken net neutrality rules will immediately lose my vote and can expect a campaign contribution for his/her opponent.

1909. Vanessa Little, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support net neutrality and oppose any attempt to repeal it or to undo the classification of ISPs under Title II. Net neutrality lowers barriers to entry for internet start-ups, decreases incentives for content and ISP consolidation, and protects and preserves our First Amendment values

1910. David Ford, Los Angeles, CA, 90027

Access to an open, free, and uninhibited internet should be a right for all Americans. High-speed access to the internet is a utility, like power, water, or phone service. Title II classification is essential to that ideal. The profits of a few non-competing actors should never be the primary motivation to granting or denying access to that fundamental utility.

1911. Katherine Hampton, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I am strongly in favor of strong net neutrality under Title II oversight of ISPs.

1912. Caroline Miller, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please do not eliminate or gut net neutrality. I am very concerned about ISPs giving unfair advantage or disadvantage to websites of their choosing. This poses numerous risks to free speech, and freedom and access to information. Plus it can harm small businesses and start ups.

1913. Jonathan Kesselman, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY. Please don't let unfettered Capitalism eat the Internet.

1914. Gregory J. Reynard, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I strongly support net neutrality. I support keeping strong net neutrality by having the FCC oversee ISPs activities and enforcing the rules of title II. I believe this is crucial for the ongoing innovation of small businesses in the United States.

1915. 1, Sunland, CA, 91040
I strongly support strong net neutrality and Title II oversight of ISP's, without it the American consumer is getting ripped off and the FCC and government are not doing their job of protecting the tax payer who pays for your salary and retirement benefits. Thank You.

1916. Thomas Braun, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Keep Net Neutrality Rules In Accordance with Title IITitle II classification is critical in protecting our fundamental values of universal service and consumer protection when accessing broadband networks. While the FCC forebore from applying the majority of Title II to broadband networks, the agency kept a number of provisions in place to ensure consumers have ubiquitous and affordable connectivity, privacy, and other consumer protections when online.

1917. Suzanne Connolly, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I strongly support strong internet neutrality backed by title 2 oversight by ISPs. PRESERVE net neutrality and Title 2. For goodness' sake. That we have to do this says something about the state of the world.

1918. erin haglund, LA, CA, 90027
Please preserve title 2 and preserve net neutrality. As a television producer, ISPs controlling the speed at which content is delivered can directly effect my employment - if someone can't watch my shows, I am out of a job no matter how

great the content might be. Changes that seem small have huge reach that you may not have fully considered.

1919. Miles Primly, Los angeles, CA, 90028
I am in full support of strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISP's

1920. Alison, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I back strong net neutrality backed by Title II and over site of ISP's.

1921. Julie Ellsworth, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I support strong net neutrality protections provided by Title II oversight of ISPs.

1922. helen eigenberg, los angeles, CA, 90004
i am deeply concerned about:1. effort to GUT Net Neutrality2. probe into steven Colbert3. FCC bending rules to green light right wing Sinclair Network to grow bigger

1923. Robert Muir, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support net neutrality and continuing to regulate ISPs under Title II. It preserves the Internet's free speech and helps prevent ISPs from favoring one kind of traffic over another, which would hamper free market competition. Smaller websites and start-ups deserve to be on the same level playing field as larger and more established companies. Relying on companies' constantly changing Terms of Service to enforce net neutrality is a poor replacement that will weaken enforcement and roll back protections, inhibiting free speech and the Internet's free market.

1924. Harrison Miller, Burbank, CA, 91504
Net neutrality is necessary to keep the internet competitive for all, not just the wealthy businesses or those consumers who can afford to pay higher premiums for something all should have access to.

1925. Andrew Bartels, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please preserve these things for all Americans. Thank you.

1926. Joelle Sellner, Los Angeles, CA, 90048
I'm for stronger Title II net neutrality.

1927. Barry Finnegan, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Please keep the internet service providers classified under Title II of the Federal Communications Act of 1934 and DO NOT change the net neutrality rules passed in 2015.

1928. Rachel Ewing, Burbank, CA, 91504
Net Neutrality is important. Keep our internet protected and free. No monopoly. Keep Net Neutrality and Title 2. Please don't screw this up.

1929. Harlan Hutchison, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2

1930. Ari Moore, Shadow Hills, CA, 91040
It's incredibly clear that the new policy trying to be implemented by the FCC is dangerous at best, and intentionally corrupt at worst. We've tried to make everything in this country turn a profit, for once, be content with what you have.

1931. Saravut Frank Sopapunta, Burbank, CA, 91501
I disagree with Ajit Pai. I support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY. We cannot allow companies to have control over the internet. The theoretical idea that companies will play nice is never going to happen. When money is involved, companies will always look out for themselves.Ajit Pai has fought against net neutrality for personal gain, and he is corrupted by those who would fill his pockets.

1932. Brett Battles, Glendale, CA, 91206
I strongly support the preservation of net neutrality and title II, and believe it would be a move against the citizens of our great country if these were to go away. Please, do not think with big business in mind, but with the people of the United States. Net neutrality and title II must continue as is.

1933. Ana Galvez, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. I do not support the overturn of The Communications Act of 1934.

1934. John Rozelle, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I would like to encourage the FCC to keep ISP's under title II supervision and do all they can to support "net neutrality" as well as strengthen consumer privacy protections for their online activities.

1935. Philip van Allen, Pasadena, CA, 91105
I strongly disagree with the proposal to demote Net Neutrality to Title 1. It should remain under Title 2, so that the FCC can properly enforce these rules. Citizens need protection from future abuses of ISPs, and title 1 does not afford the legal basis for that protection.

1936. Max Diliberto, Burbank, CA, 91504
I am a strong supporter of an open and free internet and think ISP should be classified under Title II.Internet service is a utility in the current global climate and should be classified as such.

1937. Jose Luis Gonzalez, Glendale, CA, 91205
Stop allowing corporations to dictate policy. DO NOT reverse Title II net neutrality.

1938. Guillermo Rodriguez, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support Net neutrality!!!!!

1939. Jade Chang, La Canada, CA, 91011
I believe the internet should remain classified under Title II.

1940. Dan, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net neutrality is the basis of a free market. There should be no gatekeeper between the information on the internet and the consumer. We pay the ISPs to give us access to the web, not to determine which sites we should or should not be visiting.

1941. Megan Evanich, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please preserve net neutrality! We don't need the internet to belong to the person who pays the most.

1942. Diana Tanda, Glendale, CA, 91205
Please save Title II!!!!

1943. Lynne Schneider, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Net neutrality is absolutely necessary! This must be Preserved!

1944. Madeline Maher, LA, CA, 90068
Strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs is vital and should be protected.

1945. Jennifer Derwingsonson, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support net neutrality, with ISPs regulated by Title II.

1946. Jennifer McHugh, Bubank, CA, 91506
Defend title 2 for true net neutrality!!

1947. Noah Mitz, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please maintain title 2 and all efforts to uphold net neutrality. This is an important to all Americans.

1948. Genaro Alvarado, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support Title II net neutrality. Keep the internet the way it is.

1949. Sue Cronon, Tujunga, CA, 91042
As American, it is my expectation that the FCC serves the citizens of the USA by protecting net neutrality under Title II. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers.

1950. Emily Barclay, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support net neutrality as backed by title 2

1951. Gerren Wang, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
We must maintain net neutrality. We cannot allow ISPs to discriminate what content is to be delivered. We must maintain an open internet. We will be moving towards nothing different from countries like China or North Korea.

1952. Aaron Fink, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I am strongly in favor of Net Neutrality. Please do not change the regulations! the Internet must remain free and open!

1953. Jenna Auwarter, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Net neutrality is extremely important to our society. Every website should be allowed to work to its full capacity without being hidden behind big corporations. As a citizen in a free market economy, I have the right to choose what website I use to access what information I need and it should not be hindered so that bigger companies can have a larger monopoly. We have progressed in society and the internet is our prime method of communication, therefore it should be under regulation just as any other necessary utility. Please keep net neutrality regulations and absolutely keep them under Title 2!

1954. Becca Hunter, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Please protect net neutrality by continuing to regulate it under Title II. Voluntary participation and an honor code is not sufficient protection for such an important resource as the internet, whose contents should be equally accessible, regardless of publisher.

1955. David Bojorquez, Glendale, CA, 91205
I, on behalf of many American lower-middle income families, support a strong net neutrality with Title Ä±Ä± regulation of ISP providers .

1956. Ken Kramer, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please keep Title 2 and preserve net neutrality. This is a very important issue for all who use the internet.

1957. Ilka Erren Pardinas, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs must be enforced! I do not want an internet that controls what I see or how. That would be like having a library that carries only state sanctioned books. Or worse still a library housing only books a corporate sponsor agreed could be housed there.

1958. Anthony Noto, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support strong 'Net Neutrality' and the Title II oversight of ISPs. Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II!

1959. Rebecca Scott, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support strong net neutrality backed by strong Title II oversight of ISPs!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

1960. Jeff Bayko, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support retaining the Title II classification of Internet Service Providers in order to maintain an open and neutral Internet. Americans' equal and unimpeded access to information FAR outweighs the financial desires of ISPs.DO NOT RECLASSIFY. DO NOT CHANGE / WEAKEN CURRENT NET NEUTRALITY

REGULATIONS.

1961. Maria Jorjezian, Glendale, CA, 91202
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP. Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Thank you.

1962. Paul Sucgang, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
The idea of internet service providers such as ATT, etc. does not provide the consumer with enough protection.

1963. Nate, Burbank, CA, 91502
Please maintain net neutrality. We live in a nation of laws to ensure equal treatment, protection, and justice for everyone. Removing protections doesn't necessarily make the broader public more free or safe. For example, removing a law against theft won't decrease theft, rather, it will likely increase theft and remove all recourse-save for more theft. Maintain Net Neutrality.

1964. Robert Zavala, Sunland, CA, 91040
As internet has become an increasingly vital resource for both work and leisure I believe it should be regulated such that it is accessible to everyone in all capacities without limits put in place by internet providers. The internet is solely not a product to be sought for in an open market place, as this has the potential to leave businesses and people handicapped if they cannot pay a premium, therefore stunting social and economic growth across the board.

1965. Mark M. Palmer, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
As a creator of creative content for both TV and Streaming channels, the internet needs to be treated like an utility. Please resist the desire to commercialize the internet further. I and the many creative professionals I work with will actively resist the profit-taking that seems to be the only motivation for this move. It is heartbreaking to see the american dream being so exuberantly dismantled by this administration and it's wealthy benefactors.

1966. Sue Mondt, Glendale, CA, 91201
Keep net neutrality. Maintain Title 2!!!Corporations should not have the ability to influence anything on the internet!

1967. Scott Kelley, La Crescetna, CA, 91214
ISP really need to be covered by Title II rules. As Verizon and other ISP have said in fiduciary statements, it makes little difference to their business operations. However it ensures that US CITIZENS are protected from poor or malicious decisions that limit competition and choice.

1968. Josh Bartell, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I strongly support net neutrality and oversight of internet service providers under title 2.

1969. Ari Felczer, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I support strong net neutrality and expect you to keep ISP's on Title II

1970. jardel, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Preserve title 1 and 2

1971. Andrew Kowalewski, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The sole problem with the United States is ACCOUNTABILITY. There are numerous occasions throughout history where individuals or corporations, whom are treated as individuals, do not admit wrong unless caught, do not exercise fairness or conduct themselves in an unbiased matter (profit seems to be the primary inventive for such behavior) unless caught or regulated. Our own president seemed to have evaded his fair share of taxes because of loopholes in the tax code. One day, maybe, all this will be unnecessary, but for now, we, individuals and larger groups looking out solely for their own pockets will continue to act in self interest. It's human nature at this point. Grab what's advantageous. On a side note, this is why campaign finance reform is needed on both, the state and federal levels. Someone contributes money to your campaign (and the public isn't aware of who or how much because transparency isn't too high on the agenda) implying that you begin voting or advocating legislation in favor of that fund giving individual or industry once elected into office. Most of the time, winning is the objective, so the official, or the party running's genuine beliefs are at expense, as is what the public thought they were voting for. It's what happens when there's no regulation, for now. Let's pretend ((In what is only a "hypothetical" (which apparently shouldn't even be considered because they "never" come to fruition)) that Kellogg, the brand who put out the delicious peanut butter flavored Reese\s puffs cereal came out as opposed to Individual secure internet lines and in favor of shared looping knowing that if everybody came home in the evening wanting to eat a delicious bowl of peanut butter flavored Reese's Puff's cereal while enjoying Last Week Tonight with John Oliver on HBO GO at the same time, overall speed would decrease forcing the show to keep buffering, forcing them to sit on the couch and watch Last Week Tonight with John Oliver longer and therefore enjoying three or even four bowls of the delicious, peanut butter flavored Reese's Puff's cereal therefore increasing profit. Companies who do not utilize shared looping might slow down connections on pages where ads for other brands of cereal get priority and play automatically with noise and volume louder than every other fucking video ever while the page loads, making the unsuspecting browser move to shut it the fuck off while they wait, fully aware of what cereal they are being encouraged to consume, since it's the only thing playing and louder than any other fucking thing ever, whereas normally they might ignore it since the content they were loading was so engaging. They might even slow down pages for bulk orders where someone was trying to order one semi worth of the delicious, peanut butter flavored Reese's Puffs Cereal, but got frustrated so instead ordered 30 mini vans worth of delicious, peanut butter flavored Reese's Puffs Cereal, increasing his/her/it's spending, and putting 30 drivers at risk instead of one. This hypothetical could go on, but everybody only cares about themselves and where they'll be at the end so I'll skip a bit cause the rest of the story concerns

the entire world; everybody dies and finds out God is indeed real, but there is no delicious peanut butter flavored Reese's Puff's cereal in heaven because He was trying to watch Last Week Tonight and got pissed off at all the buffering. Oh and John Oliver was crucified, but bitched about it just enough.

1972. Kevin Lande, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I support strong net neutrality enforced under Title 2. We cannot let net neutrality be tethered to the good graces of large ISPs, which obviously have a very clear and very real potential, now and in the future, to exploit their interests by throttling access to competing services.

1973. Miguel Ruiz, La Canada, CA, 91011
I strongly urge the FCC to maintain Net Neutrality and keeps ISPs classified under Title 2.

1974. Janice Kang, La Canada Flintridge, CA, 91011
If the FCC doesn't help in regulating communications, why does it exist?

1975. Austin Reynolds, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not mess with the internet. Keep it as it is. Thank you.

1976. Ryan Noufer, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY. I MEAN, CMOM,

1977. Kristin Myers, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
There is no option here. Net neutrality is a no brainer and the easiest thing to get right. Just don't make changes! If you create an opportunity for massive companies to anonymously put their own interests first the user loses. If you create an opening on one of the most lucrative platforms imaginable for competitive companies to shake hands in back rooms the user loses. If we don't maintain common sense regulations to protect our easy, free, unfettered, and open access to any and all sources of information we don't just ensure the entrenched control of those already in power. We announce open season and hand only a few of us weapons. Don't turn internet speed or access to online resources into an arm's race, please. I urge you to make no changes to the net neutrality laws as they exist now. Thank you.

1978. Gabriel Bridges, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Net neutrality is one of the most important fundamental laws of the internet. In a world where internet usage is so ubiquitous, it is essential that content and information may be freely shared regardless of that information's relationship to ISP's. Forcing ISP's to allow the open internet is preservation of our freedoms as citizens and consumers not an affront to them.

1979. Nadine Tapp, La Canada, CA, 91011
Please preserve net neutrality through the enforcement of Title II laws.

1980. Kimberly, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Keep Net Neutrality! I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II regulation of ISPs. If you roll back net neutrality regulation then you are a corporate puppet, if you keep net neutrality then you work for the American people.

1981. Jo Mcormick, Burbank, CA, 91502
I think in the best interest of of TRUE net neutrality that the rules in place remain as they are.There is no reason to open regulation on this matter because the statues in place are in the best interest of net neutrality.

1982. Giovanna Orozco Zentmyer, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I fully support net neutrality and expect the FCC to protect consumers by maintaining ISPs under Title II.

1983. Chris Bussard, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I support an open internet and net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Self regulation will not work!

1984. david modisett, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I support title II and strong internet regulations.

1985. Brandon Quinlan, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I would like Net Neutrality to be preserved.

1986. Robert F landau, Burbank, CA, 91502
I strongly support the present rules preserving internet Neutrality and title two.

1987. John Williams, Burbank, CA, 91501
I strongly support Net Neutrality by Title II oversight of ISPs.

1988. Craig A Champion, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Strong net neutrality and Title Two oversight backed by ISP's is the last levee protecting equality of modern connectivity within delicate socioeconimic constructs. Tear that levee down and we become increasingly divided. Which is already happening far too often.

1989. Patrick Lahey, Glendale, CA, 91208
The internet is a core part of our countryâ€™s technology infrastructure. Because of this fact, I specifically support Title II regulation of ISPs. Changing the regulation of ISPs from Title II would be a huge step backward and a sad day for America.

1990. Aidan Phrase, los angeles, CA, 90026
Removing net neutrality will be signing the death warrant of the Trump administration but on the downside it will also destroy small business and massively increase the class divide (which I guess is what y'all want from the way your behaving) and will set the standard for the rest of the world to follow suit, ruining the best technological innovation of the modern age. So, America, how about you be

a good example for the world for a change? Show your government hasn't been totally overrun by small minded used car salesmen with terrible ideas & terrible ethics.

1991. Richard, Los Angeles, CA, 90036
I support complete Net Neutrality and support its enforcement.

1992. Adam Hendricks, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Like many others, I find net neutrality an important measure to keep the internet a level playing field that encourages innovation and creativity. Please do not get rid of it. Please.

1993. T. Stengel, Los Angeles, CA, 90065
The FACT that Americans already have some of the SLOWEST and MOST EXPENSIVE internet access in the industrialized world, is outrageous enough without "net neutrality" now being under attack! Is there nothing in society that can escape the greed of corporations with the culpability of Government? Is this to be yet another step to our Democratic Republic becoming an Oligarchy?

1994. Matthew Arguello, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Please preserve all net neutrality rules and maintain strict oversight of telecom companies. The future of American innovation and free expression depend on it. Thank you.

1995. Brent Kredel, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
We must maintain the net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

1996. sydney, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
We support net neutrality! Don't be ridiculous and get rid of it.

1997. michael, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
My family and I support Net neutrality. Dont be a jerk and get rid of it.

1998. blanxhe powell, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
PLEASE keep Title II !! We need it to survive !!!!!!!!!!

1999. camille dodd, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I think that net neutrality is one of the most important issues facing this generation. Please preserve net neutrality and title 2.

2000. Ava D, Los Angeles, CA, 90036
I strongly support net neutrality and title two regulations, which are essential to protecting internet freedom.

2001. Cait Citti, LA, CA, 90027
The free and open internet is integral to our society. I support Net Neutrality in it's current legal definition under Title II, and find it abhorrent that it could be changed.

2002. Robert Segal, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
As a young law student seeking to enter into the cyber-security field I strongly support Net Neutrality and keeping ISPs under Title II because it leads to a free and open market and prevents Sherman Anti-Trust violations.

2003. Sean Brison, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Preserve net neutrality and title II. Do not roll back regulations.

2004. Erik Nye, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
The internet must remain universally fast, fair and open. I am an extremely strong supporter of Title II Net Neutrality protections.

2005. John J Kenny, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please SAVE NET NEUTRALITY!!

2006. Matthew Russell, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I am an extremely strong supporter of Title II Net Neutrality protections. The internet must remain universally fast, fair and open.

2007. Alessandro Minoli, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Net Neutrality is one of the few sensical concepts left in our world - please do not fuck with it. I'm sure corporations like Verizon and Comcast can find other ways to turn a profit that do not disfigure the mechanics of an open internet. Thank you.

2008. Sam Friedman, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support and demand Net Neutrality. Do not put the responsibility for upholding the values of Net Neutrality in the hands of telecommunications companies who have minimal incentive to do so. Keep the internet regulated under Title II. Do not let Ajit Pai run his clearly partisan and favoritist policy gambit.

2009. Hayley Fingerhut, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I do not believe that the net neutrality provisions put in place by the previous administration should be repelled or altered. They are a necessary protection for consumers and data does not support assertions made that the current provisions are punitive to ISP's or a deterrent to them making investments technology infrastructure.

2010. John Moshay, los angeles, CA, 90038
I strongly disagree with the current FCC chairman's efforts to roll back the net neutrality rules currently in place and enacted by the Obama administration. The changes, roll backs, and other alterations of the net neutrality rules will only serve to lessen competition and ultimately substantially increase costs to consumers and decrease access to the internet.

2011. Daniel Spiller, Burbank, CA, 91506
Just dropping a line to say that I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Thank you! :)

2012. Kevin Steppe, Glendale, CA, 91206
It is clear from the mobile payments case and others that ISPs will, at various points, play favourites with content providers. In order to preserve competition it is critical that the people, through their government, be able to prevent this. Title 2 status is thus necessary to preservation of open and free content. Note that Title 2 status does not add burden to ISPs - the heads of public ISPs have stated that this regulatory status does not impact their infrastructure investment decisions. A change in status would enable and encourage ISPs to play favourites with content. As an American citizen, a tax payer, I ask you to keep the current Net Neutrality enforcement under Title 2.

2013. Andra Darlington, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I strongly support net neutrality and ask that you keep the current regulations in place. Net neutrality preserves our right to communicate freely online. Conversely, allowing companies to create preferential access for specific sites/apps/content is tantamount to censorship and flies in the face of free speech. The FCC must preserve net neutrality.

2014. teresa grow, los angeles, CA, 90039
I support net neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISP'S

2015. James Coccoluto, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support net neutrality and title 2 oversight of isp's

2016. Sydney, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
We need to protect strong net neutrality. Internet is a utility and everyone has the right for full access to all of it. Please keep strong title 2 regulations in place.

2017. Frank Love, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I support a free and open internet. Keep Net Neutrality. Keep ISP's under Title II.

2018. Romey Carlin, los angeles, CA, 90027
Keep net neutrality and title II. Don't allow corporations to control the net

2019. Lindsey Love, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I support a free and open internet, keep net neutrality and ISP's under Title II.

2020. Nicole Prause, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I support Net Neutrality with ISPs regulated under rule 2.

2021. Lindsay Brunner, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
I want to support the regulation of ISPs under Title II to preserve net neutrality.

2022. Sarah Rhoads, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I stand with Net Neutrality. I will make it my business to campaign against anyone who votes against Net Neutrality, because that individual does not believe in the 1st amendment or equality, and has no business representing any American.

2023. lula fotis, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support net neutrality and title II. Do not overturn this law!

2024. Peter Axelrad, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please preserve net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's.

2025. Julian Brunner, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
I strongly support net neutrality, and believe that regulation under title II is the only reliable way to maintain it.

2026. Michael Gibson, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Revoking Title II designation for ISPs (Internet Service Providers) will stifle innovation and cause monetary harm to many small businesses and other entrepreneurs. We cannot allow ISPs to pick winners and losers - that's a job for the average everyday American consumer. I urge you to keep the Title II designation for ISPs in place. Thank you for your time.

2027. Bryant Boyle, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
The data and arguments suggest the maintaining title 2 coverage for isps will not hurt growth or business. It needs to be understood that this type of protection is what separates our form of democracy and freedom of speech from the other nations around the world. Please do not allow this basic freedom to be stripped from the people.

2028. Dylan Damian, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s. Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II to ensure that ISPs don't take advantage of loose regulation.

2029. Genia Chatalbashian, Glendale, CA, 91202
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Act 2 and urge you too protect our right to a fair and open web.

2030. Dru Harris, Hollywood, CA, 90068
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. If this is overturned there will be serious consequences that will affect the internet as we know it. There will also be serious consequences for elected and appointed officials brought on by the democratic process.

2031. alex scott, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support strong Net Neutrality and the classification of ISPs under Title ii.

2032. Lauren Kay, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support, and urge you to please support, net neutrality under Title II guidelines. We need protections to ensure free and fair access to the internet

2033. Pete Kraynak, Glendale, CA, 91206

I'm writing in support of strong net neutrality and Title II regulations. Please keep our internet fair and open. Also, Jon Oliver sent me. He and his team really made it much easier to get to this comment section.

2034. Erin Ryder, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I want to ensure Internet Freedom. I support strong net neutrality and title 2. Do not let the providers drive this change.

2035. dan wolf, Glendale, CA, 91208
C'mon. Eisenhower didn't create the Interstate Highway system as a tollway that gave selective access. If he had, our nation's growth would have been hampered. The Internet must be treated the same way. ISP's seem to be doing quite well and must not be given excessive power as self-serving gate-keepers. Undermining net neutrality is (a) wrong and (b) will blow up politically. DON'T DO IT!!!

2036. Steve Fisher, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I urge the FCC to support neutral and fair Internet access, not an Internet where a few large telecom corporations get to make the rules and prioritize content based on pure profit motives. The Internet is a public utility. The FCC needs to fulfill its mission and stand up for the citizens of the United States and support net neutrality.

2037. Cary Medoway, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Do not change net neutrality rules; keep title 2 in place.

2038. marge medoway, Los angeles, CA, 90046
I want net neutrality kept strong . Keep title 2 in place and protect our internet.

2039. krista reed, burbank, CA, 91504
Net neutrality is important!!!! Please keep the internet free and fair!!

2040. Kevin Walsh, Burbank, CA, 91506
I strongly urge the FCC to continue its rigorous defense of net neutrality by maintaining and utilizing the powers granted under Title II. Despite the cut-and-paste diatribes of the slew of Brittany/Brion/Brigitte-bots among these comments, there is no evidence that net neutrality has had any negative economic impact. But any loss or erosion of neutrality would have an immediate negative impact on the general public, which relies on free and equal access to the Internet as a critical part of the national infrastructure. In deliberating on this matter, one would hope that the FCC would remember that its commitment is to the welfare of the individual citizens of the nation, not to the whims of communications conglomerates.

2041. Anne Marie Madla, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I emphatically support retaining the net neutrality rules and retaining their Title 2 designation. I am the owner of a small business and want my own small website to be as available as those of others.

2042. Kate prevost, Glendale, CA, 91205

The FCC MUST KEEP strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

2043. John hindman, Glendale, CA, 91205
I want FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules back by title II

2044. Susan Smiley, La Canada, CA, 91011
I strongly support Internet neutrality under Title II. I urge the Chairman to not "trust" the ISPs to self regulate.

2045. John Farrill, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I am writing to express strong support in favor of net neutrality

2046. Davis Haines, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. The Internet must remain open and available as a new basic human right, as it helps us evolve together as a species and will, if open, propel us to unknown heights. Thank you for taking the time to read this.

2047. jeff schroeder, los angeles, CA, 90039
Leave our Internet alone. It is completely un-American to let companies compete with money, over our right to information. You know full well that the Internet is more than just a service provided to those who can pay for it. It's a necessity. The fact that you're even considering selling out the American people this way is absolutely disgusting. A younger me used to be bummed I couldn't hear certain swear words in cartoons because of your regulations. Now, I pay taxes, I work for what I have, I contribute, and I'll be god damned if I'll ever be okay with you using your power to let corporate scumbags decide what I should or should not be able to watch because of connectivity. DO THE RIGHT THING!!!!!!!

2048. Tim Lawson, glendale, CA, 91204
SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II.IF NOT, EAT MY SHIT.

2049. dan smith, Glendale, CA, 91204
I support strong net neutrality covered by title 2

2050. Rachelle Martin, Glendale, CA, 91204
The FCC needs to preserve strong net neutrality. Keep Title II the way it is.

2051. Greg Bonnichsen, Burbank, CA, 91505
Absolutely no change to the present rules governing Net Neutrality should be affected at this time. Reducing enforcement of net neutrality will have far reaching effects on the availability of freedom of speech for those without the means to pay to get their message heard. Internet connection throttling is a form of censorship and must not be allowed to exist for any reason.

2052. Danny Bonacci, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Preserve net neutrality and preserve title 2. Don't touch my internet

2053. Joseph Walker, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support the current Net Neutrality Title II regime. Trusting large corporations to act in the public interest without a sufficiently rigorous legal framework is inviting disaster -- it is literally putting the foxes in charge of the henhouse.

2054. Adam Mott, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Do not slow down the internet. We the people deserve to keep title 2.

2055. Max Ostrove, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Net neutrality is important for the fabric of democracy and open and free communication. No amount of telecom lobbying should corrupt this.

2056. Sean Furst, Los angeles, CA, 90036
I STRONGLY support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isp's.

2057. Matthew Lloyd, Pasadena, CA, 90042
Please maintain current Title 2 net neutrality rules. How can we trust a monopolistic bunch of ISPs to manage control over the internet? We regulate other important services, such as electricity, which is served by similarly monopolistic companies.

2058. Matthew Chung, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
keep title 2, ensuring net neutrality

2059. aaron parness, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
We need to retain Title 2 protections on net neutrality. It's value far far outweighs the dangerous and unfair liabilities of removing those protections.

2060. Iren Jensen, Sun Valley, CA, 91352
Maintain and protect internet neutrality with Title II!

2061. Trevor Hoff, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support robust net neutrality rules unequivocally, subject to Title 2 oversight.

2062. alma Parness, Los angeles, CA, 90026
Retain title 2 protections and neutrality.

2063. Kirstyn Myers, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Keep ISPs under title 2. There cannot be net neutrality based on the promises of giant conglomerations whose sole desire is to make money.

2064. Jackson HUnnewell, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. The openness of our internet is congruent with that of our society. A free, open, and unbiased internet will prove the equality off freedom that we can achieve in todays world.

2065. Adam, West Hollywood, CA, 90046

I strongly am FOR net neutrality and holding ISP's under Title II regulation. It would be an utter mistake to do otherwise.

2066. Scott Ogden, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please support Net Neutrality. ISP's should stay under Title 2. This is very important for a free internet.

2067. James M DeLuca, Sun Valley, CA, 91352
Please keep Internet Service Providers (ISP) classified under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 to protect Net Neutrality. There should be NO manner or method that allows those ISPs to expedite the transmission of any client payloads to take precedence over any other payloads. Thank you.

2068. Kelly Lincoln, Sun Valley, CA, 91352
Protect our internet, maintain the ruling that puts the internet under Title II as it should be.

2069. Pamela Ribon, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
It is imperative that you preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

2070. David Armstrong, Glendale, CA, 91208
I'm writing to file my support for net neutrality and specifically for keeping title 2 classification. Corporations are solely driven by profit and cannot be reliably trusted to maintain a free internet without strong regulation and oversight.

2071. Joshua Gladfelter, Shadow Hills, CA, 91040
I believe that net neutrality needs to be maintained and protected.

2072. James Alexander Tighe, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs. It is INCREDIBLY important to keeping the internet open and fair!

2073. ISP, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
I would like to express that I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's

2074. Eleanor Anova, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND KEEP ISP'S UNDER TITLE 2!!!!

2075. allison conley, los angeles, CA, 90004
Net neutrality is vitally important. Please do all you can to support it.

2076. Amit Chandarana, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
As a tax paying citizen who votes, I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 of ISP's. Being very clear about this, net neutrality is simply something that you guys should not mess with. I will be influencing my ecosystem to the same.

2077. Joseph Gatling, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I definitely support the strongest possible form of net neutrality, including title 2 oversight of ISPs.The internet needs to remain free and open, without being subjects to financial considerations of ISPs

2078. Caleb Ellis, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support strong oversight on ISP by keeping the Title 2 classification. Keep the internet open and free, DO NOT lower standards back to Title 1.

2079. Brad Basmajian, Sunland, CA, 91040
I support strong net neutrality regulations and Title 2. This must be kept in place as any deregulation will absolutely result in the creation of an uneven playing field, and eventually the hindrance of all new startups.

2080. Martin William Buerkle, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please make sure we keep Net Neutrality and Title II. Please don't let this Criminal Administration destroy our country

2081. michael rady, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2 protection.

2082. Jerrod Littlejohn, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support strong net neutrality, under title 2. Do not remove the current regulations and let big corporations control our internet

2083. Bahar Tolou, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Don't repeal net neutrality. We need the strongest possible net neutrality and the proposed change would undermine that goal.

2084. Seanagh McClure, Hollywood, CA, 90028
Broadband in the United States today is not equally accessible: Users are predominantly middle- or upper-class and live in urban or suburban areas. Economically disadvantaged communities and communities of color, as well as communities in rural areas, have been largely left off the grid. Net neutrality is vital to a free society and a healthy exchange of ideas.

2085. omar ruiz, los angeles, CA, 90027
KEEP THE INTERNET FREE TO ALL PEOPLE.

2086. leslie klein, los angeles, CA, 90027
we must maintain net neutrality and keep internet freedom. do not allow for these companies to regulate themselves.

2087. Viktor V. Badalyan, Burbank, CA, 91504
All for net neutrality, please keep it as Title II

2088. jamie dix, Los Angeles, CA, 90046

Keep the Internet equal for all. Keep net neutrality.

2089. Clare McLellan, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs - please enforce.

2090. WOLFIE TRAUSCH, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
PRO-NET NEUTRALITY

2091. Nick Cunningham, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support STRONG Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of all internet service providers. Thank you.

2092. King Hassan, glendale, CA, 91501
Net neutrality is essential to the purpose of having the world wide web. Please preserve title 2

2093. Kayla, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I support Net Neutrality. Don't be a dipshit.

2094. Marty, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Please leave the internet alone. Do not make any changes to the way we access the internet or bandwith accessiblity. Its great the way it is. Just Leave it alone!!!!

2095. Dru Lockwood, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I strongly support preserving net neutrality and title 2. Thank you

2096. Romtin, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I am voicing my request that the FCC PRESERVE Title ii net neutrality.

2097. Schuyler Grant, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I very strongly support maintaining the "open internet" and consider keeping the Title 2 categorization imperative for our freedom of information.Thank You

2098. Corey Rittmaster, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly believe that ISP's continue to be regulated under Title II provisions, maintaining not only Net Neutrality, but fairness in competition amongst the ISP's themselves.

2099. joshua, Los angeles, CA, 90038
I demand net neutrality by retaining title II oversight. DO NOT change back to title I.

2100. Uri Pollack, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I demand Title II to be preserved, and Net Neutrality to be preserved! Corporations must not have control over the content we are exposed to on the internet!

2101. Elan Soltes, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Please do NOT change the network neutrality rules as they currently stand. Keep

them under Title 2.

2102. Thomas Gill, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I DEMAND TO PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY UNDER TITLE 2.

2103. jenn, Los angeles, CA, 90028
Long live net neutrality and title 2! Trump is a twat waffle!

2104. Elisabeth Faitro, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
It is essential that you preserve net neutrality and Title II! Please exercise common sense and good ethics.Corporations cannot be permitted to have more control over our supposedly democratic country than they already do.

2105. neutrality, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
As both a consumer of online media across various platforms, and a software engineer who develops apps which rely on on the internet, I believe ISPs should not be able to grant or deny access to the internet based on who is making the request. I strongly support net neutrality and its enforcement through Title II.

2106. rony rosenbaum, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Very important and urgent: Preserve net neutrality and Title2.

2107. Argishi Ovsepyan, Glendale, CA, 91205
Please, do NOT listen to internet service providers about net neutrality. They will definitely abuse it.. like they did too Google Wallet... made it slow as heck or entirely unusable

2108. Katrina Nelson, Glendale, CA, 91203
I strongly support net neutrality standards currently in place, including Title II regulations. Do not roll back or get rid of these standards because they are important to our open internet.

2109. barbara zelinski, Glendale, CA, 91202
Right now, the Internet is at least as vital to US communication, business and infrastructure needs as the telephone was 80 years ago. For this reason, I am strongly in favor of continued net neutrality and regulation of Internet service providers under Title II.

2110. Evan Teitelbaum, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
It is vital to our society that we keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

2111. Susanna Schick, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please stop letting ISP's ruin America's productivity and freedom of information. The internet should be a neutral utility, like electricity.

2112. Miguel A Vargas, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I fully support net neutrality and Title II for all ISPs. Don't mess with our internet

freedom.

2113. Samuel Sarkar, Los Angeles, CA, 90064
As a citizen of this country and and a concerned consumer, I respectfully demand that the FCC preserve current net neutrality regulations passed in 2015. I urge you in the strongest possible terms to protect net neutrality under Title 2.

2114. rachel miller, Burbank, CA, 91506
Please preserve net neutrality under the oversight of title 2.

2115. Jack Messitt, Glendale, CA, 91201
I strongly support Title 2 classification of ISPs. Internet service providers are a basic utility in today's world - just like the electric company or the water company or the phone companies they all once were. If left to their own devices, they have been shown to act in their own interests instead of the interests of their consumers. I ask that you keep ISPs restricted to acting neutrally in providing access to the internet to the people of the USA. Net neutrality is a basic need for a free and open internet.

2116. Michael Harris, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I strongly support strong net neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.

2117. Arotin Hartounian, Glendale, CA, 91201
Net Neutrality is essential to a diverse and equal internet experience, altering the current Net Neutrality system will have a negative impact on everyone.

2118. Zach Parrish, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I believe strongly in net neutrality. Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. They are rules that protect the people of this country and provide fair and equal treatment.

2119. todd romanick, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
We need to keep the net neutrality laws in place as it will destroy everyones access to the internet. There is no reason that big ISP corporations need to have this bill destroyed as it will only help them and destroy access to everyone else. The way that the net neutrality law is set up currently is working. Keep big money out of your pockets.

2120. Pamela Robison, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Please, for the love of god, preserve net neutrality and title II. Thanks for sending me here, John Oliver! PS. Trump is a turd.

2121. Stephanie Pool, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I specifically support strong net neutrality under title 2 with oversight of ISPs.

2122. Kristina Bell, Pasadena, CA, 91103
I support net neutrality. I'm opposed to any regulations that support 'fast lane' initiatives to content distributed via the internet. To remove net neutrality is to

remove equal access which is unacceptable. Thank You!

2123. Imraan Ali, Los angeles, CA, 90026
I object to any legal changes or deregulation of ISP industry that may weaken the net neutrality act. I believe internet should be available to all people equally and that ISPs should not have opportunity to make decisions on their own volition to throttle the internet

2124. John Lindquist, Glendale, CA, 91206
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Keep the Internet open for everyone!

2125. Michael Lopez, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Dear FCC,I support net neutrality strongly protected by title two oversight.Thank you.

2126. Thomas Heddell, Burbank, CA, 91505
I support Net Neutrality 100%, Please don't change our regulations.

2127. Kimberly Campbell, Glendale, CA, 91208
Net neutrality is essential to free speech and democracy. I strongly oppose the Chairman's craven efforts to abolish it.

2128. Ryan Sweeney, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Preserve title II!!!!!! What is wrong with you?? Don't drink the koolaid!

2129. Nelson Handel, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I strongly support full net neutrality regulations under title 2. ISPs should be barred from discriminating against any content and should be required to provide equal access to all internet material.

2130. David Tomkins, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Do not roll back pro-consumer internet policies enacted in 2015. Do not allow ISPs to violate net neutrality principles by making certain content easier to access. Please keep in place the strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

2131. Nicole Cadena, Los Angeles, CA, 90036
Leave title 2 do not change what the Obama administration has enacted.

2132. benjamin jones, los angeles, CA, 90039
Preserve net neutrality. Keep Title II.

2133. David, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please maintain strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II restrictions.

2134. Ella Fanger, Los Angeles, CA, 90068

Hello.I am writing to express that I support strong net neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs. I hope the FCC will continue to uphold these standards.

2135. Tom, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
To alter the net neutrality laws currently in place serves no-one except for ISPs. Please please please don't do this!!!

2136. sara sedlacek, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
We need net neutrality!! The companies (often monopolies) that provide access have been long subsidized by public funds. They must be regulated under title 2!!

2137. Robert Scibelli, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am writing to support net neutrality under the current title II regulations

2138. Erick Maravilla, Los Angeles, CA, 90048
I support strict adherence to the 2015 Net Neutrality regulations. I hope you will facilitate the equal treatment of all legal online content.

2139. Jesse Neil, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I've been trying to compose my thoughts on this matter for a while, and I've come to a simple conclusion; relaxing the laws currently in place regarding net neutrality would be morally wrong. Allowing companies to wrestle control over a public good away from citizens is a clear overstep on the part of corporations, and should not be allowed in any form.

2140. skylar sweetman, Los angeles, CA, 90068
Please, I urge the FCC to keep the net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Thank you!

2141. Gabriel Rivera, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
This is to express my strong support for net neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs.

2142. Jared McPhillen, Burbank, CA, 91202
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II , please.

2143. Joseph Jambor, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Mr. Pai,I strongly urge you to preserve net neutrality under Title II. Joe Jambor

2144. Graham Sharts, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I am urging you to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title 2.

2145. Ron Harris, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
No company or companies should prioritize or have any financial interest in controlling the use of the Internet. We NEED open and unfettered accessibility: net neutrality. Thank you.

2146. John Monsour, Los Angeles, CA, 90046

Dear Chairman,Why would you let private corporations turn bigger and bigger profits by putting a price tag on the free flow of ideas? Oh, you were appointed Chairman by a narcissistic billionaire, so it makes sense. Most Americans think unprotecting net neutrality is a very bad idea.

2147. Anandh Rajamohan, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I am writing to express my support for maintaining net neutrality and the protections of Title 2. The current threats to this hurt consumer interests.

2148. Noah Gilbert, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE 2 OVERSIGHT OF ISPs. The absurd notion that deregulation is going to lead to economic success is plain wrong. Not to mention, there are some things that are more important than money and freedom of information is one of them.

2149. Alex Dorcean, los angeles, CA, 90027
Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. We're not stupid, we know what you're trying to do!

2150. tigran, glendale, CA, 91214
come on guys we need net neutrality and the fact that you are against it is sad because it goes against freedom.

2151. Joseph Masiero, Burbank, CA, 91506
I support strong internet neutrality regulations, backed by Title II. An internet that is a level playing field is critical to development of American businesses and the free flow of information.

2152. David Lewis, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I'm urging you to preserve net neutrality through Title II oversight of ISPs.

2153. net nuetrality title 2 regulation, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Preserve net nuetrality & title 2 regulations. This is too important to sink to the level of stupidity indicated by Ajit Varadaraj Pai. You don't work for him, or the thin skinned racist con man in the white house - you work for the people. Please do what's right for us!

2154. nareg k, Glendale, CA, 91207
I am here for net neutrality. Stop being fucking Nazzi's. Give the people what they deserve or get burned down when their is an uprising you ass.

2155. Rachel Weeda, Glendale, CA, 91201
I am deeply concerned about moving ISPs from Title II back to Title I status. If we do this, we are blatantly allowing ISPs the ability to determine who receives what content and at what speeds. This not only applies to shows people watch or games they play that require an internet connection. In short, it would allow mass media companies, which own both the ISPs and information pathways such as major news

networks, to limit the public's access to all content. This would make it frighteningly easy for companies in the US to control the flow of online information as is done in totalitarian societies like China and Russia. These companies have a right to do business, but like the radio and broadcast TV airwaves, the Internet uses public resources (airwaves, cable lines that run through publicly owned lands). Companies do not have a right to use public resources and then restrict what the public can use those resources for. Please do not allow the Internet in the United States to take a step towards China's Great Firewall by allowing ISPs to reclassify under Title I. This would be completely against the mission of the FCC, which is to regulate telecommunications resources for the protection of the public, not so that companies can manipulate the public (who often only have one choice for Internet access in their homes) into consuming more of that company's content, rather than any news or media source they like.

2156. Eliot Murray, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support net neutrality and consider it of paramount importance to not relinquish the checks and balances of government control to corporate interests. Please consider the future before making a decision. The internet is the most important invention of the last century and likely the thing that will help unite our entire planet. It's been a miracle that it has not been compromised up to now and incredibly important that it remain unhindered by special interests going forward. Thanks for your time.

2157. angel valentin, los angeles, CA, 90027
I agree that net neutrality is an important policy that should be maintained by the government that claims to have our best interests at heart. I don't buy the smooth talk of politicians like the newly appointed chairmen who seeks to steal or rights from us. It's quite clear that he is a downright evil person without any regards for the well being of other people and more than willing to stab the american people in the back to please the companies undoubtedly paying him to do this. As an american citizen, I demand that the fcc defend my right to a free and open internet.

2158. Armand Diaz, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
preserve net neutrality and preserve title II!!!

2159. Danielle Syslo, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support net neutrality - please consider the future of free information before making this key decision that will affect the ability to communicate between the members of our nation, as well as many others. Thank you

2160. Michael Perez, Glendale, CA, 91206
I believe the FCC should protect Title II and Net Neutrality.

2161. Sam Lipman-Stern, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I WANT STRONGER NET NEUTRALITY RULES!!!

2162. Evan Castor, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I support STRONG net neutrality, which is why I support keeping Title II oversight

of ISP's.

2163. David Mirkin, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Eliminating net neutrality is wrong. The net requires continued protection and regulation from Article II. We are completely aware that this is an attempt to eliminate net neutrality by a former employee of Verizon which fought against the current rules.It is completely against public interest to try and eliminate these rules and the vast majority of the people of this country are completely against changing them. The net needs to be for the people, not big business.

2164. Adam Severi, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Preserving Net Neutrality is essential to preserving our democracy. No business should be be able to pick and choose which sites get more bandwidth than others. It's simply not fair and not American. Companies picking favorites and choosing to unfairly allot less or more bandwidth to certain sites is an attack on our freedom and should not be allowed. Please protect our right to an equally accessible internet.

2165. mary alice farina, West hollywood, CA, 90046
Please uphold strong net neutrality rules as outlined in Title II.

2166. Kevin Brian, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please continue to support Title II-backed net neutrality. Asking corporations to regulate themselves is ridiculous. They will do what's best for their bottom line, not what's best for the American people--that's your job! Please do your job and do what's right! Thank you.

2167. Brian Scofield, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. This is importantand I want my voice heard.

2168. Gregory Marcel, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
please protect net neutrality. PLEASE!

2169. Quinn Lautz, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I am requesting that the FCC support net neutrality and title 2.

2170. Joshua Nazaroff, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 over site of ISPs. Keep the Internet free!!!

2171. Benjamin Freiburger, Glendale, CA, 91205
As a supporter of capitalism and healthy market competition, I believe that the spectacular growth and success of the internet is due to net neutrality and its title 2 oversight of ISPs. Getting rid of net neutrality will have disasterous, far reaching consequences over the future of the internet freedoms we have all come to expect. Do not sacrifice this revolutionarily inclusive technology to the self-serving, private interests of large corporations. The future is in your hands. Thank you for your time.- Benjamin Freiburger

2172. Pansuang Lawdumrongwong, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I strongly support net neutrality and against changing ISP to "Title 1". I don't see how letting ISP having control over the flow of internet is going to benefit any consumers. Ajit Pai, please be real and stop making delusional excuses just so you can benefit ISP.

2173. Thelsea Harmon, los angeles, CA, 90039
I support strong net neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. NET NEUTRALITY IS THE ONLY THING THAT MATTERS.

2174. Pamela Wong, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Preserve and keep net neutrality and title II

2175. Morgan, West hollywood, CA, 90069
Please preserve open internet rules under Title 2!

2176. Abraham Spigner, Glendale, CA, 91205
I specifically & VERY strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s.

2177. Andres Sanchez-Robinson, Glendale, CA, 91203
I am in support of net neutrality and title 2!! Thank you very much.

2178. Thomas G Robinson, Glendale, CA, 91203
I am in support of net neutrality and title. Don't change a thing!! Leave it neutral.

2179. Bradford A Wilde, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
Please preserve our Net Neutrality. It goes hand in hand with our democracy.

2180. Kim Nakakura, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Hey A Pai (hole) I specifically, and COMPLETELY SUPPORT a STRONG NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY Title II OVERSIGHT of ISPs! I implore you to PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY and TITLE II.

2181. Patrick De La Rosa, Glendale, CA, 91206
Title II Regulations are important to the freedom of the internet and do not stifle the evolution, innovation, or job growth within the industry. Claims to the contrary are completely unwarranted.

2182. dominick DiNapoli, Sun Valley, CA, 91352
Preserve net neutrality by way of title 2

2183. David Christophere, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I highly support net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISP's

2184. Kirk Williams, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
To Ajit Pai current FCC Chairman, I am writing to you as a daily internet user and

web designer. I strongly support Net Neutrality to ensure my business ventures can stand a chance competing with larger and more wealthy businesses. The Only way this can truly be achieved and maintained is by supporting the strong oversight powers within Title II regulations. Please ensure that these Title II rules are not lessened, and make sure the internet remains a powerful resource for ALL citizens to enjoy equally as it was intended. Sincerely, Kirk Williams

2185. Luke Sisselman, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I want to confirm my support of strong net neutrality backed my Title II oversight of ISPs.

2186. Zareh Gorjian, Glendale, CA, 91206
Please keep the regulation of the internet under Title 2. Do not move it under Title 1.thank you,Zareh

2187. Sharon Johnson, Glendale, CA, 91203
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Also, I think that oversized mug is stupid. It's not a Jedi mind trick that will distract me from the unbelievably disingenuous things Ajit Pai says.

2188. Mari Kornhauser, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Retaining Net Neutrality is essential to fair trade and business for all internet businesses and consumers, thus avoiding a monopoly. All business and consumers should have the right to consistent streaming and service while using this utility, which should not be controlled by a handful of corporations. In addition, Net Neutrality allows the internet to provide the constitutional right of free speech as well as artistic freedom. Extra fees and throttling down streaming speeds of specific sites not owned by the corporation providing internet services should continue to be unlawful. Please retain Net Neutrality. Businesses and consumers should not be monopolized by a few corporations- this will hinder and harm both business and consumers. Thank you.

2189. Rye Baerg, La Canada, CA, 91011
I support the current strong net neutrality rules and believe that changing them will severely damage free speech in our country online. Please do not proceed with this ruleMaking.

2190. Paul Morin, Glendale, CA, 91205
I am a small business owner who has seen the results of larger companies throttling access and shutting out competition. For that reason and many others I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

2191. Daniel Imperiale, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I'm writing to support strong net neutrality regulations as currently structured via section II and do not wish to see a change to the "legal footing" on which ISPs currently stand. Thank you.

2192. Patrycja Textor, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Dear FCC,Please Protect Net Neutrality!Please Do Not Roll back Title II Net Neutrality Rules.I oppose the FCC and Chairman Pai's Plans. Please Serve the American People! Not Corporate Interests!!Please protect the internet for the American People!! Protect Net Neutrality!!Patrycja Textor

2193. Bailey Edwards, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I support Net Neutrality. Protect Title 2!!

2194. Andrew White, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support net neutrality. Information is a public good. Please do not allow private entities to control the flow of data across the internet.

2195. Shant Mirzaians, Glendale, CA, 91208
I believe and hope the FCC will continue to regulate ISP's under Article 2 rules. Equal and fair access to internet usage is more important than ever, particularly now that it is such an integral part of everyday American life. Internet usage is a utility, and for internet providers to favor access to one site over another is contradictory to the free market values these large corporations claim to hold value to.

2196. Basak Ayter, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I am appalled at Ajit Pai's efforts to reverse the net neutrality rules put in place by the last administration. Replacing the law previously set forth with an opt-in system is dangerous and not the road our country should head down. As a highly concerned citizen, I strongly oppose this.

2197. David Hill, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I would like to support open internet rules and keeping telecoms classified at Title II. We should not let companies decide how to police themselves. That is a ludicrous thesis disproven many times over the course of history. The US needs a free and open internet to remain competitive in the world, and letting companies prioritize their own traffic over others will lead to worse service and speed for customers, if not higher prices. Telecoms in the US already lag behind so much of the rest of the world. We don't need to make them worse.

2198. lauren stubblefiled, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Keep net neutrality!! I support the existing Net Neutrality Rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications act. DO NOT ROLL BACK THESE REGULATIONS!!!!!!!!!!

2199. Shauna Redmond, Glendale, CA, 91208
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. This is an essential issue!Thank you!

2200. Langston Brand, Glendale, CA, 91204
As an internet user, as a voter, as a citizen, I urge the FCC to protect net neutrality, and keep the Internet classified as a Title 2 utility.

2201. Amanda Nordquist, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back these regulations!!!!

2202. BrianKivlen, Glendale, CA, 91201
I strongly support a strong net neutrality and backed by Title II oversight of all ISPs.

2203. Grace Weiss, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I support net neutrality under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.In the absence of real and properly regulated net neutrality, companies can buy priority access to internet provider (ISP) customers. Larger, wealthier companies can pay ISPs to provide faster, more reliable access to their websites than to potential competitors. This certainly will deter innovative start-up services that are unable to purchase priority access from the ISPs. Also, if ISPs can charge online services to connect to consumers, consumers would ultimately bear these additional costs.There is no evidence the regulation under Title II adversely affected ISPs' investment. In fact, all public companies offering internet services assured their shareholders they were not reducing investment in infrastructure due to Title II regulation.If you really care about consumers the obvious answer is to keep in place the current rules (i) banning the blocking of legal content, (ii) forbidding paid prioritization of affiliated or proprietary content, and (iii) prohibiting the throttling of legal content by broadband Internet access service providers.

2204. Malcolm Galligan, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Preserving net neutrality is of paramount importance to leveling the playing field for lower and middle class Americans. Allowing ISPs to charge premiums for different streams of internet would deepen socioeconomic inequalities that undermine the integrity of our capitalist society. It is the responsibility of government to maintain net neutrality as an institution, PLEASE make the right decision and preserve it.

2205. Jesse Lloyd-Dominik, los angeles, CA, 90026
Net neutrality is a serious issue. If you mess with it, it will likely end badly for you. For your own good, i'd recommend you push a pro net neutrality agenda. The users wont forgive you if you don't. Your life would become a living hell. Your money will not bring you comfort

2206. Tobey List, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Hello,I specifically and vehemently support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This is so important.

2207. Mikenzie, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
WE NEED TO KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!!!!

2208. Joe Haumacher, La Canada, CA, 91011
I fully SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY AS IT STANDS. and at all costs despise what is being marketed as a new "free and open" internet.

2209. Djuna Myers, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I am for NET NEUTRALITY and keeping broadband internet under title II. The idea that we would roll back net neutrality is absolutely appalling to me as an American Citizen and I can see no reason other than to create more profit opportunity at the expense of the consumer to do so. Please DO NOT get rid of net neutrality laws.

2210. Liz Beebe, los angeles, CA, 90026
I strongly support net neutrality and tittle II oversight of ISPs

2211. Mary Morley, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
We must strive to save and keep net neutrality and allow the free flow of online content. Please leave net neutrality alone. There is no evidence that the Title II regulations have "chilled broadband investment". Although Pai claims this there are no data to back up this assertion. Thank you!

2212. Shannon Quisenberry, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am strongly FOR net neutrality. Don't mess with my internet speeds!

2213. David Cook, Tujunga, CA, 91042
FCC must maintain net neutrality.

2214. Arianna Monroe, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I stand OPPOSED to Docket #17-108.

2215. Frank Palazzolo, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am for net neutrality. I do not support this ridiculous plan to change a system that is not broken!

2216. Carly Alba, Burbank, CA, 91501
Net neutrality is essential for our democracy. Please protect my ability to access content freely without regard to corporate greed or politics.

2217. andrea manners, Los angeles, CA, 90026
Don't let this administration bend you over. Net neutrality must be preserved.

2218. L.D. Scott, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I SUPPORT absolutely, very strong NET NEUTRALITY backed by TITLE 2 oversight of ISPs

2219. Erica, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90027
NET NEUTRALITY must stay!!!

2220. Ciro Izarra, Glendale, CA, 91202
Net neutrality is important. It should not be moved From title 2 as it undermines the freedom of choice that people should have as to what information matters to each of

us, and we should not bow to special interests who wish to manipulate what we have access to.

2221. Adam Laiben, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support Net Neutrality and as a consumer and paying subscriber and user of Time Warner Spectrum Cable, Netflix, Amazon Prime, Apple TV, DirectTV Now, Sling TV, and Verizon Wireless I ask you to please not allow ISPs and other internet giants and corporations to throttle or alter internet speeds in anyway. This will greatly affect me and my fellow citizens in a negative way. We have a right to information and education and enough USA citizens are ignorant and uneducated that we don't need more corporations trying to funnel certain tailored messaging to their user base by speeding up those channels of information or slowing down others. This would not be fair to the content providers either. Please do not change or alter net neutrality and if anything, please put it in writing that the internet should be free and open for everyone. Thank you.

2222. Anthony Lanni, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, and believe they should NOT be rolled back. These rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act, ensure that the free flow of information remains a staple of our republic.Again, please DO NOT roll back these regulations. Thanks!

2223. Samuel Howell, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and am opposed to Docket No. 17-108!

2224. Kathryn Madden, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Net neutrality is key to a free and fair internet. Do not repeal net neutrality protections.

2225. Michael Paquette, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
The nation wants and needs an open and free internet backed by Title II. We the people strongly oppose any further anti-consumer, pro-corporate actions by the new FCC Chairman and demand that internet remains under Title II. Selling out your country's best interests for personal and corporate financial gain will not be tolerated.

2226. Emily Sturrock, Burbank, CA, 91506
As a concerned citizen i urge you to preserve net neutrality and keep the internet companies under title II.

2227. Parker Finn, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Maintain net neutrality! Do not put the interest of corporations over people! To even consider rolling back the protections that ensure a free and fair internet is disgusting, and frankly, Un-American. Seriously, we are better than this! Be better than the corporate cronyism that has overtaken our political system, and protect Americans!

2228. Joel Marsh, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Preserve net neutrality please. I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. This is important.

2229. Amanda Lake, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Hello!Just wanted to stop by and tell you that I absolutely support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of internet service providers. Thank you for listening to the people.Hugs and kisses,Amanda Lake

2230. Aleta.Bowers, Hollywood, CA, 90028
I strongly support true Internet neutrality, with ISP oversight under Title II. The Internet must be categorized and regulated as a public utility, regardless of who owns the "pipes". Tilting the playing field in favor of massive, unresponsive, international conglomerates would be a major blow to consumers, students, researchers, and all other users of the Internet. Do NOT create a gateway with unaccountable gatekeepers who will determine the content and services available to us by virtue of their profit margins. The FCC might not be directly elected officials, but your bosses most certainly are, and voters will respond on election day to the actions you take.

2231. Peter Schellenbach, Tujunga, CA, 91042
Net Neutrality is important to me as a consumer, and ISP's should continue to be regulated under Title II to ensure consumer protections.

2232. Robert Borden, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
THE INTERNET SHOULD REMAIN UNDERTILED WE NEED OUR FREEDOM AS AMERICAN CITIZENS

2233. Robert Borden, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
internet companies should NOT have the permission to control what WE pay for. JUSTICE FOR ALL AMERICANS

2234. Natalie Truhan, Glendale, CA, 91201
I specifically support Net Neutrality under Title II Oversight of ISPs.

2235. greg degoot, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I strongly support net neutrality through the title II oversight. Please Keep Net Neutrality.

2236. Steven Rivera, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Net neutrality is vital to ensure legal protection for and fairness among competing ISP's. I strongly support Title 2 oversight of ISP's, and strongly disapprove of any less stringent reclassification attempts.

2237. Abel Korzeniowski, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I strongly support Title II Net Neutrality for ISPs.Title II ensures proper and fair consumer protection.

2238. Saxon Cyphers, Burbank, CA, 91502
everyone across the workd will be affected by the removal of Net Neutrality. you will lose everything that made the internet, the internet. it could even be possible that government documents could be stolen if the removal of Net Neutrality is allowed. This is not simply a United States matter, the internet is all over the world, and removing the one protective barrier that all people have, including the president of the united states, the president of the FCC, anyone and everyone will and can be affected by this removal. you will destroy the simple society protected by one glass barrier by allowing anything like this to go through. Net Neutrality protects the world from failure, not the people.

2239. Takashi Doscher, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Please preserve net neutrality under Title II !!!!!!!

2240. Jay Duprey II, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I strongly support Net Neutrality and the current classification of the Internet under Title II. The FCC needs the enforceability of this classification to prevent Internet service providers from offering preferential treatment to information and content from the highest better. Knowledge and access should not be a revenue stream.

2241. Marisol Medina, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Please save Net Neutrality! This is incredibly important to our economy and democracy. Shame on you if you don't.

2242. m, los angeles, CA, 70118
I support strong net neutrality. #gofccyourself

2243. Amanda Connell, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Net neutrality is important and not a hindrance on the internet. Allowing "fast lanes" to certain companies through extortion of these companies is unacceptable. That is what would happen if fast lanes were permitted. Our internet service providers would force companies to pay fees for their web pages to load faster. That is an unacceptable option as it would increase costs on the consumer. The internet should be classified as a public utility and should be regulated as such to keep pricing reasonable and to make us less susceptible to monopolies in particular areas where they can increase the prices as much as they want with no recourse due to lack of competition.

2244. Barbara Steele, Glendale, CA, 91202
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality and Title 2!

2245. William Davis, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I specifically support strong net neutrality and title II oversight of all ISPs. It is absolutely ridiculous that a former lawyer for Verizon is being allowed to take away an essential right of all Americans, a free and open internet.

2246. blayne milburn, West Hollywood, CA, 90046

It is highly important that net neutrality and Title ll are inforced.

2247. Molly Segal, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I'm writing in strong support of net neutrality. Preserving an open internet is crucial for fair and equal access to the resources and information available on it.

2248. Martha Newman, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong net neutrality backed by Titlle 2 oversight of ISPs.

2249. Richie Lara, Sun Valley, CA, 91352
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank you for the consideration.

2250. Susanna Ulrich, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Uphold the fairness and integrity of internet usage by preserving net neutrality and Title II.

2251. Regis Duffy, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II regulation of Internet Service Providers.

2252. Mary Connole, Glendale, CA, 91204
Protect net neutrality. Can't believe we have to fight for this. Absolutely ridiculous. As if Trump's approval wasn't low enough already.

2253. Ron Phillips II, glendale, CA, 91206
Keep net neutrality and keep it II

2254. Peter Pawlyshyn, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly urge the FCC to preserve net neutrality and keep ISP's under title 2. I wish to preserve a level playing field to access all my internet content â€" independent from my ISP's desire to promote their own services and content. I understand Verizon demonstrated they could not be trusted to preserve neutrality under title 1, and challenged FCC regulatory authority in court. I do not wish to pay to subsidize another ISP's biases toward my service. Thank you.

2255. Ron Phillips II, Glendale, CA, 91206
preserve net neutrality and Title II? Don't let big business decide what you see or hear on the internet. It should be a free and open internet for us all.

2256. Ben Huebscher, Burbank, CA, 91505
I will support Ajit Varadaraj Pai's right to use ridiculously oversized novelty mugs. All I ask in return is that he support strong Net Neutrality which is only possible using Title II oversight.

2257. Robert Zuckerman, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
Please support internet neutrality and do not reverse the Title II status of the current

legislation.

2258. Erik Grande, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I strongly support strong net neutrality tittle II of ISP's

2259. Amanda Carlin, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Mr. Pai - Add my voice (I won't use all caps, but please intuit the fervency-) to the many who are begging for the protection of internet neutrality. I cannot sit by and allow the flow of information to me and my family to be controlled by others. Especially others who might have an interest in selling me something. As a citizens of a "free" country, isn't Net Neutrality an expression of our democracy ? Already, confidence in our government's ability to provide security is eroding on so many levels. Do not take away this protection.

2260. JOSHUA IMLAY, Glendale, CA, 91202
Strong supporter of net neutrality and section 2

2261. Nils Norsell, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Net neutrality is vital to keep a level playing field for all on the internet. Don't let big ISPs decide who can have what level of access based on price paid. KEEP THE INTERNET FOR THE PEOPLE!

2262. Bradford Roller, Burbank, CA, 91501
Please protect net neutrality and the title II classification. Ajit Pai is woefully incorrect in his "estimations" that ISPs won't abuse the system without neutrality. It is, unfortunately, already time for a change in leadership at the FCC.

2263. Steven Earle Kirby Jr., La Canada Flintridge, CA, 91011
Protect net neutrality. The ability for free people's with free speech in a free country to obtain information across the globe without excessive interference by large companies as Comcast and AT&T is one of the great protections of our time. Let the internet be a level playing field for all those with access to it. To control that flow of information and speech is against the First Amendment and Un-American.

2264. Arman Arutyunyan, Glendale, CA, 91201
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversights by ISPs.

2265. Crisanta Sanchez, Glendale, CA, 91205
Hey Pai, I fully support net neutrality and the protection of Title II. C'mon!!

2266. Stephanie Veverka, Burbank, CA, 91501
I support net neutrality. Internet providers should stay classified as Title II. Thank you.

2267. Barbara Somlo, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Please continue stronger Title II regulations for IPS and preserve Net Neutrality. Thank you.

2268. Nick Semple, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I strongly oppose modifying the net neutrality laws. We must keep the internet open, not reduce regulatory oversight for ISPs. ISPs should remain regulated under Title II

2269. jeremy mascia, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I am in strong support of net neutralityâ€‹.

2270. Nina Weiss, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I strongly support net neutrality. I hope that the FCC takes into serious consideration all of the commenters expressing their support of net neutrality because a free and open internet should be a right to all.

2271. Regan Mears, Los Angeles, CA, 90036
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 over site of ISP's. get on it!

2272. kelsey, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I STRONGLY support net neautrality!!

2273. Lawrence Bradford, West Hollywood, CA, 90048
Strong net neutrality is important to the future growth of all businesses operating through the internet. Do not remove or weaken regulations supporting net neutrality.

2274. Ester, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Preserve Net Neutrality with a strong Title II oversight

2275. Tom Nixon, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please continue to protect net neutrality with Title II oversight.

2276. Rebecca Phillips, Burbank, CA, 91502
I strongly support a free and open internet. I ABSOLUTELY DO NOT support any proposal to eliminate Title II net neutrality rules!

2277. Laura Jacobson, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I support Net Neutrality and regulating ISPs under Title II. These important protections must not be altered.

2278. Benjamin Speed, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I totally support strong net neutrality laws and the oversight of Title II on ISP's. This is like the first amendment of the internet. Every piece of information should be equal.

2279. pamela hoffman, La Canada, CA, 91011
Internet neutrality is critical and enforcing it requires the rules to be enforced under Title 2 per the court ruling. Don't give into the hype of "let's deregulate everything" for an area that needs to be open for small and big businesses to get fair access on the internet.

2280. Wesley Nisbett, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I, and we, want net neutrality and the preservation of title 2.

2281. Blanca Lista, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I absolutely support robust net neutrality laws and the oversight of Title II on ISP's. It is akin to the first amendment of the internet. Every piece of information should be treated equally.

2282. Holly Thomas, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Preserve net neutrality! Don't allow the American public's right to find, consume, and distribute information to be dictated, manipulated, or otherwise affected by big corporations.

2283. Henry Torosian, Burbank, CA, 91501
I do not support the FCC reducing Net neutrality and I support the decision to keep them under Title II. No one trusts ISPs to not throttle speeds and I believe they will in order to make money off of their customers. And they will treat small companies much worse than large companies and assist in maintaining the relative size of a company.

2284. howard gilson, La canada flintridge, CA, 91011
I support net neutrality and diagree with title 2. Do the right thing

2285. Paul Thornley, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
We need to preserve Net Neutrality! We will not stand for corporations deciding who and what information will be available to the public based on their wealth or social status.

2286. Mark Rozett, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Please do NOT get rid of Net Neutrality or Title 2. We NEED these protections to prevent abuses of the internet by providers. Simply having them "voluntarily" agree means the foxes are guarding the henhouse.KEEP NET NEUTRALITY ALIVE -- Preserve Title 2!

2287. Micah Delhauer, Glendale, CA, 91201
I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Such oversight is integral to having a free and open internet. The digital marketplace, and for that matter the open market in general, is rife with examples of businesses trying to smother the competition by making their competitors' product inaccessible to buyers. Removing oversight and placing ISPs on an "honor system" will have negative consequences for small online businesses, consumers, and the overall flow of online data. Do NOT remove Title II oversight.

2288. Sam Spina, Burbank, CA, 91506
I support strong net neutrality. Preserve title 2. Also, you are all bad people. -Sam

2289. Jonathan W, Los Angeles, CA, 90027

Please protect net neutrality and keep ISPs covered under Chapter 2.

2290. Sergio Pinheiro, Glendale, CA, 91207
I strongly support ISP oversight under Title II.

2291. Audrey Flegel, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.Also, your mug is as stupid as your greedy face.

2292. Heidi Womack, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I specifically support STRONG net neutrality backed by title 2 oversite of ISPs. Thank you! Please know how important this is to all of us.

2293. Angela Glanzer, Burbank, CA, 91506
Please do not repeal net neutrality!

2294. Ellen Simons, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
DO NOT TAKE AWAY NET NEUTRALITY. Keep net neutrality a reality! Doing away with it will lead to an unfair monopoly and restrictions put in place by ISPs.

2295. Steven Babst, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I am in favor of strong net neutrality rules. Please do not remove them.

2296. ian fischer, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
My personal information and internet habits should not be for sale. Internet is part of the American lifestyle and surveillance of our habits is an impingement on our freedom.

2297. David Jurmain, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Leave the rules as they are. Trusting corporations like spectrum and Verizon to do the right thing is like having drug addict guard a room of cocaine.

2298. Alejandro Reyes, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please uphold net neutrality rules under Title II.

2299. Phillip Hunt, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Keep my nets neutral.

2300. Bryant, Glendale, CA, 91206
I would like to express that I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II of ISP's.

2301. Alicia Barron, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title to oversight on ISPs .

2302. Jamie Mayer, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Please PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY and TITLE TWO.This is essential to prevent monopolies by large corporate interests. Citizens interests should trump

those of corporations.Thank you.

2303. cauri jaye, Glendale, CA, 91206
I fully support strict net neutrality with title two oversight. In the Information Age equality and equity of internet traffic counts as a Citizen's right.

2304. Allison de Fren, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am writing to urge the FCC to keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules. The internet is, I believe, the equivalent of a utility, like electricity or water; it is absolutely necessary for the fabric of everyday interactions, whether social, commercial, or business. It is a critical tool for knowledge acquisition and education. Moreover, it has been and will continue to be indispensable for those seeking social equality and justice. There is absolutely no reason to change net neutrality rules outside of corporate interests. We the people insist on equal access and a level playing field. Keep net neutrality in place!!

2305. Adam Fowler, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Keep net neutrality Tier II. No change necessary.

2306. Brian Matthews, La Canada, CA, 91011
Dear FCC,I have deep concern that the change of the classification of ISPs to Title I from Title II will substantially weaken the fairness and stability of the internet. Although we currently enjoy a relatively flat playing field if the change to Title I is made the profit motive for ISPs to meter, shape, block, or otherwise tamper with internet traffic going through their networks in a way that is beneficial to their interests, is too strong to resist for a long period of time. The change to Title II classification for the ISPs was done, because this type of behavior was beginning to be observed and needed to be stopped. The internet in modern life is essential, just like electricity, trash, gas, water, and telephone service, that is: a utility and should be regulated under Title II. Free flow if information and privacy for internet users is extremely important, please do not change the ISP classification to Title I. Sincerely,Brian Matthews

2307. Giancarlo Bizzarro, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I am a concerned citizen that expects the FCC to protect Net Neutrality and Title II.

2308. Martyna Skalna, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I am a concerned citizen that's expects the FCC to protect net neutrality and Title II

2309. Lisa Williams, Glendale, CA, 91202
Keep the internet free from the greed of big business and internet providers who have conflicts of interest. KEEP INTERNET NEUTRALITY! Without a free internet, you stifle new and emerging businesses and technology. KEEP INTERNET NEUTRALITY!! Without a neutral internet, freedom of speech could be squelched by ISPs who don't agree with certain point of view. KEEP INTERNET NEUTRALITY!!

2310. william slaughter, los angeles, CA, 90028
I strongly feel that you are fucking up! Do not fuck net neutrality... assholes.

2311. Nate Bolt, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please preserve net neutrality and the Title 2 classification of ISPs. It's borderline evil that the FCC chairman is even considering making this change. He worked for Verizon. That's a ridiculous conflict of interest.

2312. richard cardran, los angeles, CA, 90026
Verizon, the telecommunications lobby, and their paid shill Ajit Pai are lying to the American people. You must continue to keep the Internet free and open, and the only way to do that is to keep the enforcement provisions of title 2 regulations intact. This is not a liberal or conservative issue, it's not about Fox News or MSNBC, it's about the big ISP's thwarting innovation and preventing all businesses--liberal, conservative, or otherwise--from operating on a level playing field. Fair is fair, and Ajit Pai is first and foremost a revolving door Verizon lobbyist and he is not acting in the best interest of the American people.

2313. stephe j beck, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support net neutrality and title 2! Don't take this away

2314. david broussal, glendale, CA, 91208
Please preserve net neutrality at all costs. Do not allow special interests to control the internet. Thank you.

2315. Michelle Fuller, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I wholeheartedly support net neutrality and title II's oversight of ISPs.

2316. Eric Roth, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 over site by isp's

2317. Aileen Cawley, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please keep net neutrality and Title II. It is very important! Do not get rid of it!

2318. Ronald Strong, Burbank, CA, 91501
I am a supporter of strong net neutrality under Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I feel that changing the legal oversight of the internet to Title One would negatively effect my ability to conduct business and possibly affect the growth of my company. Please reconsider the current plans to deregulate ISPs, and continue to safeguard my internet, my livelihood, and my business.Thank you.

2319. Carl Greenblatt, Glendale, CA, 91206
Please keep Net Neutrality and Article II in place. I believe they're very important to keeping corporations from having an unfair advantage over the internet.

2320. alberto jimenez, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support tittle 2 oversighht of ISP's.

2321. Sami Taylor, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight. Protect internet equity!

2322. justin, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
We the people believe the FCC needs to be net neutral always and forever

2323. Valerie Taylor, Burbank, CA, 91505
Please do not destroy the Obama era net neutrality laws. Doing so would undermine the spirit of capitalism that allows small business owners and entrepreneurs the possibility to experience massive success by keeping a level playing field on technology that should be considered an equal right to all Americans.

2324. jon baker, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. It's desperately needed. This is not a corporate game.

2325. Tom Fuelling, La Canada, CA, 91011
I support net neutrality and leaving the ISP's categorized under Title 2. I do not support any loosening of the restrictions on ISP's.

2326. Jerome Buszek, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
preserve net neutrality and section 2.

2327. Brett Spivey, west hollywood, CA, 90046
I demand America's ISPs remain in Title II, preserving Net Neutrality.

2328. alison paige, Los angeles, CA, 90027
Preserve net neutrality and Title II designation for ISPs.

2329. wes Paster, Burbank, CA, 91506
Don't mess with the internet. Keep it Title II as it should be.

2330. Anthony Frederick, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please please please!!! Protect Net Neutrality by maintaining Title 2! For the sake of literally all humanity. Thank you.

2331. Navneet Rao, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I support net neutrality, and it would appear the "Title 2" classification of ISPs keeps the status quo. Please do not repeal this, nor re-classify ISPs. Thank you.

2332. Richard England, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Do the right thing and preserve net neutrality.

2333. Maria Malbas, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2.Thank you,Maria Malbas

2334. Sam Nags, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please preserve net neutrality and title II. Without it, startups and new job creators

will be unable to compete and innovation will move out of the United States.

2335. emily mattoon, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am strongly in support of net neutrality and all current regulation. I oppose all efforts to compromise it. Please do not undermine title 1 or title 2 regulations -- internet access and speed should remain fair and unimpeachable to providers who cannot be trusted not to seek record profits wherever possible.

2336. daniel garcia, los angeles, CA, 90004
i support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY backed by title ii oversight of ISPs and allow me to give you an example of why: at a mall there are two restaurants next to each other: BJs restaurant and Cheesecake Factory. The Cheesecake factory tells the mall owner that they would incentivize the mall owner if he can find a way to bring more customers into the cheesecake factory. the mall owner decides to slow down the flow of water and gas into BJ's restaurant which make cooking and cleaning much more difficult. the customers inside BJs become upset that the food is taking so long. they decide to go next door to cheesecake factory where they have a similar menu and prices. given the telecom's history of poor customer service and screwing over the customer (see history of comcast), under no circumstance should the current net neutrality laws be changed to favor them and their shady business practices. please do the right thing for the country, not for the party or the administration.

2337. Alex Fleeman, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I'm strongly in favor of net neutrality and Title II classification for ISPs, and the vast majority of this country agrees with me.

2338. Paul, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Internet neutrality is the most important protection of information in our age.

2339. Gregory Jacks, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. These are paramount to the future of the United States and its progress.

2340. Alex Stoyanov, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Preserve Title II and FCC oversight over ISP's. ISP's shouldn't be allowed to control how fast I receive content.

2341. Chandra Wicke, Montrose, CA, 91020
I'm asking the FCC to preserve net neutrality and keep ISPs classified under Title 2.

2342. Win Pitiwan, Sunland, CA, 91040
Sonya and I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s, Tell Chairman Pai, the Trump administration and Congress to LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE.

2343. Corey Chavez, La Crescenta, CA, 91214

It is appalling that anybody wants to remove the regulations for Net Neutrality.Please do your job and work for THE PEOPLE: Preserve Net Neutrality.Thank you.-Corey

2344. Karel Polt, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I support Title II oversight of ISPs. We need net neutrality.

2345. Corey, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
I am officially voicing my opinion and support for the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I am URGING the FCC to abandon this LUDICROUS attempt to take away our internet. My representatives and senators will be hearing about this tomfoolery.

2346. Kingkan Rueanon, los angeles, CA, 90004
I'd like to keep internet freedom active. Please do not allow net neutrality to stop.

2347. Steven Blum, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY. DO NOT BOW DOWN TO CORPORATE INTERESTS. KEEP THE INTERNET FREE AND OPEN. DO NOT ALLOW CORPORATIONS TO DICTATE THEIR OWN RULES. OBAMA'S DOCTRINE WORKED; WHY CHANGE IT??? (BESIDES, OBVIOUSLY, GREED AND THIS CORRUPT ADMINISTRATION'S UNYIELDING QUEST TO UNDO EVERYTHING OBAMA ACCOMPLISHED)

2348. Michaela Bathrick, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I'm writing to express my support for net neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs to prevent favoring of certain wealthy companies. We need laws to protect freedoms.

2349. Jonathan Kier, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
..PLEASE Preserve Net Neutrality under Title II...

2350. Darryl Stephens, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please keep the Internet fair for all.

2351. Jonny Wexler, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I support keeping strong Net-Neutrality backed by Title II over-site of ISPs. Anything else is unacceptable. Keep the internet free and open!!!

2352. colin smith, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong net neutrality rules,and strongly oppose any changes in those rules including changing it from Title II to Title I.

2353. Darrel Cox, Glendale, CA, 91201
Preserve net neutrality in Title II and keep the consumers protected from any ISP that may attempt to slow down any website traffic. The speed I pay for should be the speed I get regardless of the website "I" decide to go to.

2354. Jonathan Moore, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Net Neutrality is required for an open and fair internet. I do not want one political party, in power, to use their control of the FCC to silent opposition.

2355. Pablo Berron, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I stand firmly AGAINST any measures that defile or destruct the idea of net neutrality.

2356. Nathan Volman, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Please preserve net neutrality. As a doctoral candidate at Stanford University working on internet-enabled healthcare devices of the future, I can clearly see the negative impacts that getting rid of net neutrality would have on innovation. Preserving net neutrality is the right thing to do and the smart thing to do.

2357. Cameron Freeman, West hollywood, CA, 90069
We must have strong net neutrality laws, because throttling internet speed to the little guys will stifle business and innovation for anyone that isn't a major company.

2358. Arrin Stoner, Tujunga, CA, 91042
There is a right to freedom of information in this country. Do not sell out the American people's access to this by allowing corporations to control what information we see online and how easily it can be accessed. The American people demand net neutrality! Keep strong title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers!

2359. shiloh strong, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Keep net neutrality please! Do not deregulate.

2360. Carl Mehrabians, Glendale, CA, 91208
I Strongly support net neutrality and Title 2Please do not let the providers manipulate what I can see or do on the net

2361. Marc Fishman, Burbank, CA, 91504
I am opposed to rollling back the current net neutrality rules and regulations. We must keep a leve playing field for all companies. Further more, it appears as if there has been zero evidence that the current rules have stalled or reversed internet infrastructure spending, invenent and research.

2362. Luis Torres, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
the internet is perfect the way it is.

2363. Jamielle Stanley, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90039
Leave our Net neutrality 2015 rules as they are!

2364. john townsend, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90068
Over my dead body!

2365. Linda Patrick, BURBANK, CA, 91504

ENOUGH WITH THE GIVE AWAYS TO FUND REPUBLICANS. WE WILL FIGHT FOR NET NEUTRALITY!

2366. Bari Phillips, GLENDALE, CA, 91202
Please do not kill the neutrality of the internet.

2367. Henry Schlinger, GLENDALE, CA, 91201
I and million so father voters support an open internet

2368. Tim Farrell, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90026
Like equal protection under the law

2369. Ardis Bazyn, BURBANK, CA, 91504
Large corporations should not be able to determine who gets better service. The Internet is sacred and should be available to all at the same availability.

2370. BOB DE NAYER, BURBANK, CA, 91506
THE INTERNET BELONGS TO EVERY ONE. THIS IS ANOTHER EXAMPLE OF TRUMP'S CORPORATE GREED. THIS MUST BE STOPPED.

2371. Norman Goss, GLENDALE, CA, 91202
It is OUTRAGEOUS that the FCC and Congress are attempting to kill net neutrality. This must NOT happen!!!!

2372. Carol Kravetz, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90046
Dear Chairman Pai:

2373. Del Engen, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90046
Absolutely the wrong thing to do! Trump's team has no shame in turning our democracy into an oligarchy.

2374. Grigor Nazarian, BURBANK, CA, 91501
Don't kill net neutrality....

2375. Stephen Russell, LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE, CA, 91011
Keep Internet free BUT prosecute XXX porn sites

2376. Doug E Roberts, WEST HOLLYWOOD, CA, 90069
DO NOT CHANGE THE RULES that we already spent the past 6 years to put in place !!

2377. Donald Miller, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90046
Once more unto the breach:

2378. Richard Haas-Winkelman, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90027
This is disgusting. Do not repeal net neutrality in the name of profit.

2379. Nancy Beningo, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90026

Just as wrong as allowing ISPs to monetize our usage (which we still have to pay for)

2380. Joan Raimo, MONTROSE, CA, 91020
Stop invading m my privacy to line your own pockets. You are NOT representing your base. You are representing your own personal and financial interests.

2381. Mike Kleine, BURBANK, CA, 91501
The internet should be treated like a utility-net neutrality should be a given for everyone. it is not a luxury.

2382. Gabriela Hoffman, TUJUNGA, CA, 91042
We must maintain a free & democratic internet where all users have equal use & technological speed without having to pay or bribe large corporations or well connected individuals.

2383. Michael Gips, LA CANADA FLINTRIDGE, CA, 91011
The Internet is a Public good and must be regulated so that all have equal access.

2384. Mike Blockstein, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90026
The internet is a PUBLIC good. It's used for education

2385. linda boyd, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90046
we seem to be losing the Free in Free country.

2386. David McDonald, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90039
Net neutrality protections need to stay in place in order for the internet remain a tool for democracy

2387. Mark Martinet, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90039
The internet is one of the greatest resources of the 20th and 21st century. The ability for individuals and companies to build businesses on an open platform free from ISP intervention has allowed the United States to grow its economy at a staggering rate. If Congress votes to give ISPs the powers they want

2388. Robert Elder, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90027
Sliding backwards into a plutocracy is not the way to achieve a level playing field for communication in a democracy.

2389. Lila Bronson, SUNLAND, CA, 91040
I support net neutrality. Don't Kill it. We deserve a free and open Internet Ã¯Â¿Â½Ã¯Â¿Â½Ã¯Â¿Â½ one where the flow of data is determined by the interests of Internet users

2390. Allan Breit, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90046
Keep the existing rules in place to protect the interests of the voter not the Corporate entities that tend to squeeze their clients for as much as they can. The existing

guidelines keep corporate money making interests from price gauging using their undue influence over the marketplace. Unbridled corporate control of the Internet is not a good thing for consumers in the short or long run.

2391. David Kale, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90048
Please keep our internet out of Monopolistic control.

2392. Adrienne Tripp, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90068
STOP this attack on democracy and freedom. STOP the march to tyrannical fascism. BLOCK the corporate coup. Fight for net neutrality!

2393. Barbara Sharma, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90029
WE NEED THIS. LEAVE IT ALONE.

2394. Dyanne DiRosario, GLENDALE, CA, 91201
Dictators control the social media of their countries. Hello dictator Trump. And all your congress critters who support him prepare to look for work!!!

2395. LISA HADEN, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90027
STOP trying to take us back to the stone age...we must progress to be sustainable!

2396. Rob Sharp, WEST HOLLYWOOD, CA, 90069
Please don't limit the freedom of the internet just to make corporations richer!

2397. Andrea Abbate, GLENDALE, CA, 91202
I think this is a travesty to net neutrality. A definite step BACKWARDS!

2398. Gail Coviello, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90028
Let internet users choose their interests

2399. Robin Gemmill, BURBANK, CA, 91501
Freedom of Speech is an American Right. By extension speech/communication via the internet is our Constitutional right. Any attempt to limit our right to communicate via the internet is catagorically UnConstitutional. Preserve Net Neutrality NOW

2400. Donna Williams, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90028
If you kill net neutrality you will create a deep disadvantage to business internationally.

2401. Carolyn gomard, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90027
We have a right to open net and not to throttle speeds either. The USA is not North Korea.

2402. Ginger Coyote, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90028
WE MUST KEEP NEUTRALITY

2403. Camille Avellano, LA CRESCENTA, CA, 91214

Government control over how citizens communicate with each other is authoritarianism

2404. Jeffrey Gold, GLENDALE, CA, 91206
Have you no sense of decency

2405. Janet Jenkins, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90039
Let's keep our internet open

2406. TENI HAKOPIAN, GLENDALE, CA, 91201
The actions of Chairman Pai

2407. George Kounis, GLENDALE, CA, 91202
I strongly support net neutrality! All content should be treated equally!

2408. Mark and Cynthia Rosin, WEST HOLLYWOOD, CA, 90069
Only net neutrality

2409. Teri Lockton, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90027
Democracy requires a free and open Internet. Do not protect the interests of Internet Service Providers; the interests of internet users is paramount.

2410. Robert EDBORG, WEST HOLLYWOOD, CA, 90069
Because of Internet neutrality

2411. Linda Torres, BURBANK, CA, 91501
Killing net neutrality is another blow against freedom of speech

2412. Nancy Oliver, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90039
Killing net neutrality is a crime against the American privacy and autonomy. Government is not a business

2413. Julian Mundy, BURBANK, CA, 91502
Destroying net neutrality benefits nobody

2414. Randy Nichols, MONTROSE, CA, 91020
Stop short-sighted thinking. The US economy will benefit far more in the long run with net neutrality. Innovation often comes from the most disadvantaged citizens -- their contribution is absolutely essential.

2415. Joe Curet, GLENDALE, CA, 91203
This is a clear money driven agenda. DonÃ¯Â¿Â½Ã›Âªt kill net neutrality. We deserve a free and open Internet with strong Title II rules. This will ensure that the flow of data is determined by the interests of Internet users

2416. Lacey Wozny, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90027
All users in a democracy where free speech and free access to media is essential to our national identity

2417. Patricia Morton, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90039
Net neutrality is crucial to business innovation. Don't close the Internet to start-ups and new uses that will add to our economy.

2418. Jae Eun Kwak, GLENDALE, CA, 91204
Killing net neutrality would be a giant step toward killing the First Amendment.

2419. John Fisher, Glendale, CA, 91201
A free and open internet has been vital to the internet becoming what it is today. Without protections as least as strong as those provided by Title II, the internet ceases both to be a robust communications tool and an exchange for innovative ideas. The communications companies already have enough power over what we see and hear and the internet is the last ground for truly accessible free speech.

2420. P A Zamora, Burbank, CA, 91504
Please understand the great importance of net- neutrality, as what we talking about is the future of innovation in America.

2421. Wyndie Mills, Burbank, CA, 91506
keep the internet fair for all. Don't let whatever company has the most money strong arm the competition. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!!!!!

2422. Claire Chandler, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support strong net neutrality and the Title II Regulations.

2423. Howard A. Rodman, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Even competitive sports games have a referee. But removing the internet from Title II means that the competition between big and small companies will go without any effective refereeing. The larger entities will have an unfair advantage; and we will all of us lose the robust and job-creating open internet. Please do not reclassify the internet under Title I.

2424. arta zenunovic, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
ISPS SHOULD REMAIN UNDER TITLE II!

2425. Brian Newlin, Glendale, CA, 91206
I strongly support the concept of Net Neutrality and hope the FCC remains steadfast in its commitment to prevent private companies from effecting the usage and quality of legal internet content and speed.

2426. Dan Duling, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I specifically support a strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.

2427. Dustin Sterling, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. A free and open internet is what this country should support and what it deserves.

2428. Megan Pope, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I stand with Title 2 + Net Neutrality. Please keep our free, diverse and open market place safe from corruption and greed.

2429. Andrew Moorhead, Los Angeles, CA, 91205
Please preserve robust, vigorous net neutrality laws/regulations; specifically title 2. Oh please, oh won't you, oh I beseech you!

2430. Steven Gonzales, Glendale, CA, 91205
Internet Service Providers need to stay as Title II to maintain Net Neutrality as we know it now.

2431. Brett Kushner, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai,I strongly support the current Net Neutrality rules and support the classification of ISP's under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. Please do not deregulate ISP's. American consumers and businesses need the regulations to level the playing field and keep a strong internet for future economic development!

2432. Russell Bates, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support the strong Net Neutrality rules as established during the Obama administration, and Title II oversight of ISPs.

2433. Peter Jacob Andrew, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I would like to express my strong support of net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs

2434. Joe Curet, Glendale, CA, 91203
Please keep net neutrality in its current state. Please continue to keep ISPs under title II oversight for the good of the American people.

2435. Naveed Near-Ansari, Glendale, CA, 91214
I strongly urge the FCC to keep strong internet protections backed by title 2. Net neutrality is key to ensuring choice and innovation for all Americans.

2436. Jim Hense, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
PLEASE preserve current Net Neutrality FCC rules as they are...based in Title II. Freedom of press, expression and choice are not for sale to corporation.

2437. karolina johansson, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Do not roll back the net neutrality rules that were signed by Obama. We do not want ISPs to pick favorites based on who can pay out more. The Internet should remain neutral and not discriminate depending of who/where you are, and what service/device you are using. The Internet should be a right of access to everyone.

2438. Edward Dilks, Glendale, CA, 91206
I strongly support Title II oversight of ISPs. The administration's proposal, farcically

named "Restoring Internet Freedom" is an attack against net neutrality and affront to internet freedom.

2439. Ben Scott, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Please protect net neutrality and title 2 regulations of internet service providers. Thank you.

2440. Alexandria McCale, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I want to strongly urge the FCC to stand by Net Neutrality and Title 2. It is extremely important to maintain a free and open internet and there is no way to ensure this without these regulations. The industry will continue to exploit our freedoms for the sake of profit if they are not stopped and regulated. PLEASE protect our internet and stand behind Title 2 and Net Neutrality.

2441. Russell Barclay, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please DO NOT interfere with or change the Obama Administration checks and balances to secure Net Neutrality ...

2442. roz music, los angeles, CA, 90026
KEep NUTRALITY backed by title 2 ... title II ... IT IS THE FAIR AND LEGAL THING TO DO!!

2443. Tara Duffy, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. It's important, and the right thing to do.

2444. Joseph Kirkland, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Hello. I want to kindly and vigorously ask that the FCC look out for the common good of all its citizens and NOT repeal or strip away any net neutrality protections. The FCC should always look out for the American citizen and consumer. The FCC does not work for telecommunications giants so do not rubber stamp their rubbish demands. We need strong protections against corporate interference with access and connectivity to the internet. Thank you.

2445. Cynthia Glass, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I am writing to vehemently support the preservation of Net Neutrality via Title II. Net neutrality is the cornerstone of a free and fair internet, equal and available to all.

2446. Richard King, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please keep ISP's regulated under title II of the FCC code as it has a better chance of maintaining a truly free and open internet.

2447. free, los angeles, CA, 90027
We must maintain Title 2 and a free and open internet. In the name of profits, the ISPs will not keep the internet open, and there is not sufficient consumer choice of ISPs due to technical limitations for consumers to simply chose another provider if one ISP does engage in throttling traffic.

2448. George Goll, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Please preserve Net Neutrality. If you don't then the effects on future generations will be terrible. Please stop following the lead of an ignorant President who wishes to strip business of regulations. Capitalism is great if we don't use our positions to cheat and rig the game. Preserve capitalism, preserve the free market, preserve net neutrality.

2449. Thomas Teraoka, Glendale, CA, 91205
I support strong NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs!!!!

2450. Michael Hajek, Burbank, CA, 91506
Maintain the current strict net neutrality laws

2451. Mark and Cynthia Rosin, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
We urge you to protect and preserve true net neutrality by keeping it under Title 2.

2452. Eric Lewis, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I wish to uphold the Title II classification of the major telecommunications companies, ensuring net neutrality for all United State citizens and a level playing field for all communications and media companies. Please do not undo the current regulations, which could allow telecommunications providers to throttle bandwidth and unfairly favor certain websites and streaming services. Thank you!

2453. Danielle Williams, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Don't weaken the existing net neutrality and Title II rules! The internet is a vital forum for speech and maintaining equitable access to it is crucial for a healthy democracy. The only people who benefit from diminishing these rules are internet service providers and their gain comes at great expense to the American people.

2454. michael simons, los angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong net neutrality regulations, under title 2 oversight.

2455. brent william, Hollywood, CA, 90038
I support strong Net Neutrality rules and type II entitlement!?!?!

2456. Marina Rota, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
The airwaves belong to the people, not media companies. Net neutrality is fundamental to protecting our democracy because free flow of information is fundamental to democracy. Please do not insult us with this transparent attempt to further increase the coffers of media corporations at the cost of our political freedom and way of life.

2457. Aaron Pearson, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I firmly support net neutrality and maintaining ISPs firmly in Title II.Regards,Aaron Pearson

2458. Simon-David Poupel, Los Angeles, CA, 90068

Seriously??! Seriously. How many times are we going to have to tell you to stop screwing with net neutrality?? I mean, this is becoming comical. Did you genuinely not realise the firestorm of nerd rage that was going to rain down on you because of this abysmally nonsensical idiocy?The entire purpose of the FCC is to protect the American people. You wish to betray them for what? The temptation of money? Your grandchildren will be ashamed of you; your children already are.Leave the internet alone, you bottom-feeding prime examples of bovine excrement.

2459. Zachary Pitts, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I strongly support net neutrality. As a business owner involved in e-commerce it is critical we have a free and open internet to facilitate commerce and exchange. To ensure this, we need title 2 oversight of ISPs!

2460. Greg Bitsky, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Also, that novelty reesees cup is terrible.

2461. camille, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Do not let us down

2462. Ethan Galvin, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I am in favor of Net Neutrality. Save title II.

2463. Zak White, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I would just like to say that I believe net neutrality should be protected under Title 2. We need an open and free internet and we need ISP companies to be held responsible for garunteeing us an open and free Internet. This is important to the people of this country. It's important to the idea of open and free communication and knowledge. Do not take net neutrality off of Title 2! Do not jeopardize the future of the Internet!

2464. David Gomez, TUJUNGA, CA, 91042
I support net neutrality and keeping ISP's on Title II!

2465. David D. Hogan, Burbank, CA, 91506
I am in support of strong Net Neutrality rules as well as ensuring ISPs are classified as Title II providers to help implement regulation. I would like to see this applied to both wired and wireless carriers providing access to the internet.

2466. Richard Wells, Glendale, CA, 91202
Changing the Net Neutrality rules to Title 2 will fundamentally change the Internet and give far too much power to the largest media conglomerates in the world.

2467. Stephen Salada, Los Angeles, CA, 90036
Preserve net neutrality! Keep ISP's classified under Title 2!Never in the history of the US have corporations been able to 'police themselves." There is no such

'restoring' of internet freedom -- that is a deceiving and unethical way to pull the wool over the public's eyes. It would allow Corporations to again use 'pay for play' tactics to crush competition and freedom.Ajit Pai is but a corporate shill with his hand in Verizon's pocket. If you can't see the conflict of interest -- you aren't looking and are complaisant to that same evil. Playing dumb is unethical - and evil. Don't fool yourself. You're not fooling me.

2468. Jasmine Flores, Burbank, CA, 91506
Please support net neutrality. Without it, immeasurable harm would be done to American people--small businesses, freelancers, and independent artists would be hit especially hard.

2469. Pablo Colapinto, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
No citizen would prefer you to enable ISPs to selectively throttle their content. Freedom of speech also means freedom of the public to select which speech to listen to. Please listen to the public on this one. Net neutrality and classification of the internet as a Title II service must remain in place. Otherwise, what recourse do we have to get clear access to information? We are at your mercy. Thank you!

2470. Vanessa Gomez, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am urging that the FCC maintain net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

2471. Craig Hildebrand, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support Net Neutrality & categorizing ISPs as Type 2. The internet is a human right & is absolutely necessary to preserve a democracy. Net Neutrality IS free speech. Don't blow this!

2472. Jake Wyatt, Burbank, CA, 91502
The internet is the single most important tool we have. It is educating humans the world over. It's great for tele-medicine, helping families stay connected - including military families, commerce, you name it - the internet has helped. Don't take away net neutrality!

2473. Alex Weber, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please ensure net neutrality is an ongoing reality. If we can't maintain net neutrality in America then we paralyze independent voices by allowing money to drive access to information. If we lose on net neutrality we will be harming future generations (like my toddler son) by controlling and limiting what information they have access to. Please act.

2474. Celeste Hong, L.A., CA, 90027
I can converse with people all over the world about mutual interests.

2475. Mark Kausler, Glendale, CA, 91205
I know of no safe depository of the ultimate powers of the society but the people themselves; and if we think them not enlightened enough to exercise their control with a wholesome discretion, the remedy is not to take it from them but to inform

their discretion. Thomas JeffersonNet Neutrality helps inform that discretion.

2476. Jill Blaisdell, La Canada, CA, 91011
Because I can get information I need and connect to people I want to with ease.

2477. Gwen Richardson, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Because we can find out so much about the world that we'd be ignorant of otherwise.it enriches our lives.

2478. Joe Lorigo, burbank, CA, 91506
I use the internet to keep in touch with extended family members. I enjoy looking at pictures of their kids and and alert others when one family member or friend is in need of prayers. I want to connect with folks from other countries to learn about their concerns. I just want to be informed as best I can. I am concerned about our own country and connect with fellow countrymen and women to keep positive about our future.

2479. Daniel Drummy, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Because I love freedom!

2480. Jennifer Winter, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
because, it promotes fellowship among all americans!!!

2481. K Ash, TUJUNGA, CA, 91042
It's the last worldwide democratic platform left - making new jobs and opportunities for people who don't have the advantages of birth or connection, but who have the talent and gumption to make something of themselves! America is PEOPLE not corporations! So many musicians, artists, craftsmen, small businesses, and services can survive online when a storefront would be too expensive and limiting - you would KILL businesses and destroy self-created jobs!

2482. Tom Sanchez, Los Angeles, CA, 90031
The Open Internet is a key part of my life - from working at home to keeping up with friends to ordering medicine. I rely on it to watch TV, read the news, and troubleshoot technical issues. I research medical progress for my sister-in-law's ovarian cancer, and for my own autoimmune disease. I use it for everything, and I rely on net neutrality to ensure that I have equal access to all sites when I need them.

2483. Will Erokan, los angeles, CA, 90026
My friends at the FCC, I'd like to urge you to keep the existing Net Neutrality rules (backed by title II) in place. I know things are getting pretty loose lately on a federal level, but... Take a deep breath and really think about your actions. You don't want to end up on the wrong side of history!

2484. Jennifer Jolly, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
This is to inform Ajit Pai that I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. We need to preserve net neutrality & Title II. Thank you!

2485. Maggie Adams, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Dear Chairman:Please keep the internet open and free of corporate meddling. We cannot let corporations decide who gets to speak and who gets to be heard. Thank you, Maggie Adams

2486. MJ Macalintal, Burbank, CA, 91504
We need and support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs!

2487. David Shuttera, BURBANK, CA, 91501
please preserve net neutrality as title II

2488. Nichole Quinn, Los Angleles, CA, 90026
Please Keep Title II in effect.

2489. Kelly Byrne, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I absolutely support STRONG Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. We need this regulation. I don't believe we can simply rely on companies to 'do the right thing' without it. That's an absurd dream, and frankly would just be a free pass for ISPs to get away with whatever they wish without it. We need to keep Title II oversight and we'll keep fighting for it.

2490. Veronique Questel, Glendale, CA, 91205
Equal access to online content must continue to be supported under Title 2. It is preposterous to believe ISPs would not take advantage of measures within their legal means to increase their profits and favor certain outlets over others. Do your job in preserving and protecting net neutrality by keeping it under Title 2.

2491. D Yanez, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Hello. I urge you to preserve net neutrality and title 2 classification of ISP companies. It is absolutely imperative as more and more corporations put stockholder profits ahead of consumer rights and interests.

2492. Brett Woodlief, Glendale, CA, 91202
Strongly support net neutrality and Title 2. DO NOT change the current rules!!

2493. Anne-Marie Otey, Pasadena, CA, 91105
I support net neutrality and it should be regulated under Title II. Protect net neutrality as a utility is protected. It is essential for our economy and education.Thank you.

2494. Stephen Mansur, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I believe that removing net neutrality will only hurt the internet and internet users. Only ISPs want this measure to pass, so they may charge even while providing even less service.

2495. James Herzfeld, La Canada Flintridge, CA, 91011
Let's keep the internet neutral! Don't make changes that give the corporations more

power to hurt the consumer! Let's support the Title 2 laws and classification!

2496. Gail McMullen, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Support strong Net Neutrality. That's why Title II has oversight of ISP's and this should continue.

2497. Travis Culver, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Do NOT lower net neutrality laws like title II. I support the net neutrality protections and so should you!

2498. Yeva Mikaelyan, Burbank, CA, 91504
I am in strong support of leaving net neutrality under title 2. It is pivotal to a free and open internet.

2499. Karen Jacques, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Getting rid of net neutrality benefits big corporations while harming everyone else. This is not the way a legitimate government should function.

2500. Lawrence Berardi, Sylmar, CA, 91342
Net neutrality keeps prices fair for all!

2501. Joan Churton, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Don't destroy the internet. I need it to stay just the way it is. I surf and write everyday on my computer. Don't take my life.

2502. Tim Sheets, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
The internet does not belong to the corporation's. It is for everyone!!!!!

2503. John Fitzpatrick, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
We strongly urge you to protect the interests of your constituents, the public.

2504. Larry Bright, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Hands off, Pai-Face!! Nobody elected you to anything, and you do NOT have a mandate to screw millions of internet users, PERIOD.

2505. Alex Choi, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
GFY, Ajit Pai.

2506. Alec Waples-Dexter, Pasadena, CA, 91103
Please consider the American consumer. The large corporations are not the majority.

2507. Doug Simmer, Burbank, CA, 91506
PLEASE let's keep this pipeline to all FREE to all!

2508. Christopher Joseph, Glendale, CA, 91202
Real Net Neutrality is necessary for fostering an internet that builds the future.

2509. Jim Ross, West Hollywood, CA, 90046

Our privacy is not foe sale.

2510. Tim Backes, Glendale, CA, 91202
The Internet should be free and fair for all people, not something for the mega-corporations to control.

2511. Stephen Vandivere, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
I was one of those millions.The internet can be an important tool in preserving openness and democracy, but only if net neutrality is also preserved.

2512. Dave Howe, Glendale, CA, 91205
If you don't hear us now. you will hear us at the poles!

2513. Carl Gunther, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Do not undo net neutrality. Free speech does not mean only those with the most money get bandwidth.

2514. Wendy Buss, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I strongly support net neutrality that is backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not go forward with "Restoring Internet Freedom."

2515. Mike Papagni, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I definitely support net neutrality under Title II. Please keep the internet fair and equal.

2516. Margaret Snyder, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title II. Please protect Net Neutrality and continue to regulate Internet Service providers with Title II.

2517. Nathaniel Pritchard, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly urge you to continue to regulate Internet Service Providers with Title II. I support Net Neutrality.

2518. Jessica F, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support strong net neutrality regulations from the FCC and keeping the internet classified as Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934. Not applying these regulations would mean legalizing a conflict of interest for internet service providers.

2519. Zack Goulet, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Net neutrality is vital to the free flow of information and a educated modern populace. If you disrupt the even-playing field all websites current have, then you will generate large conglomerates who will be able to pay for greater services and speeds and stifle any chance of competition from new companies, new websites, and new ways of doing things. It will create higher prices for consumers and a loss of freedom to information.Please maintain net neutrality for the future of this country.

2520. Riobert M. Greenless, Ph.D., Pasadena, CA, 91103
Net neutrality as currently governed by Title II has worked well and should not be changed.

2521. Linda israelson, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
PLEASE DO NOT ALLOW NET NEUTRALITY TO BE DISMANTLED!!!

2522. Sandy Schuckett, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I need to be able to find what I want when I want it on the Internet. The regulations have worked fine. DO NOT CHANGE THEM! DO NOT MESS WITH NET NEUTRALITY!

2523. Barbara Sakellarides, Glendale, CA, 91214
Net Neutrality is vital to our liberties, and to our educational and economic health. Like the air, like the water, like the earth. You know, just a few of the other things this President and the baudy Republicans in Congress seem willing to ransack and exploit. So, Chairman Pai. Are you merely a hatchet man? The next generation and history won't look kindly on you.

2524. Emma Stark, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
The internet is arguably the greatest thing ever created by a human group effort. Everyone with internet access and a computer can contribute to it. The conduits along which this communication flows is entrusted to a few massive companies, Luke AT&T, Frontier Comcast, etc, and this should be their sole function. They have to much power to be allowed to pick and chose which information gets prioritized, which gets buried, or to decide who can pay to play. The internet belongs to us, not the corporations.

2525. Ross van Dongen, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Accessible and affordable internet is a crucial tool for all Americans in today's age!

2526. lilian Abramian, Glendale, CA, 91202
This is U.SU can't take our freedom

2527. Henry Schlinger, Glendale, CA, 91201
LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE! DON'T LET ISPs DETERMINE MY CONTENT!

2528. Dale Pollekoff, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
No net neutrality, no democracy! It's that simple.

2529. Valerie Reynolds, Burbnk, CA, 91502
Net Neutrality is important to me because I am poor and I don't have the deep pockets to compete with the rich even though I am expected to and excoriated when I fail.

2530. Jennifer Winter, Los Angeles, CA, 90046

The internet has made it possible for people to connect over heretofore insurmountable distance. The internet is a way for artists and intellectuals worldwide to share ideas. The internet is a way for people to organize and demand true democracy. Which part are you against? Keep the internet open and fair for all.

2531. David Billingsley, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I make my living via the Internet and it would seriously impact my life to have it changed in any significant or financial way. (People, in history, have moved to other countries over that kind of thing.) Please do not dismantle Net Neutrality.

2532. Marilyn Katz, los Angeles, CA, 90069
MORE Fascist GREED of the 1%!!!

2533. Alicia, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I'm writing in support of net neutrality and title II

2534. Emett Casey, Los angeles, CA, 90026
I'm writing because I am very worried about the future of net neutrality and title II. I am in extreme support of neutrality, and find title II the only thing on books holding it (but we need something more firm in place - as the Internet is quickly becoming a human right, and access to information should remain free, like the American spirit)

2535. Randall Whittinghill, Los Angelea, CA, 90027
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. Do the right thing for the people not corporations.

2536. claudia choi, LA, CA, 90026
I support strong net neutrality laws that fall under title 2

2537. Andrew, Burbank, CA, 91504
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please keep the internet free, open and fair.

2538. Emilynne Newsom, La Canada Flintridge, CA, 91011
Please preserve net neutrality rules under Title II. If we do not protect strong net neutrality regulations under Title II, it will open the door for companies to give themselves massive advantages and deny opportunity to others. We must save net neutrality.

2539. Shane Portman, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Net neutrality is vital for a democracy in the 21st century. In our country, the internet needs to remain under Title II.

2540. Cody Furr, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please maintain title II status for Internet service providers. A free and fair internet is not a partisan issue. It is of vital importance to me as a common citizen. Thank you.

2541. Tom Struckhoff, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I am appalled this is even on the table. Do NOT let this bill pass. Net neutrality is essential for innovation and competition in the free market. Killing it will be killing the American Dream which, let's face it, is already on life support.

2542. Ryan Hendrickson, Burbank, CA, 91506
Strong net neutrality regulations are important for free and open internet.

2543. Vivian Ho, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please support strong net neutrality under title II oversight of ISPs.

2544. alison dyer, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Please do everything you can to preserve net neutrality and protect our rights to untampwred access to internet content

2545. katherine, Los angeles, CA, 90026
You must preserve net neutrality and Title 2. It is your ethical duty to protect fair and uncorrupted use of our communications systems. If you don't, I am afraid that you will have compromised your own moral integrity to protect an already monopolistic industry's ability to further exploit and profit off American consumers and emerging industries. I am begging you to air on the side of reason.

2546. Samantha Spina, Burbank, CA, 91506
As an American who values my and my fellow Americans' freedom--which very much includes an open Internet--I am deeply concerned with the FCC's plan to undo existing legal framework regarding net neutrality. The FCC must keep net neutrality intact under Title II.

2547. Vladimir Arutyunov, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Classifying ISPs into Title 2 of the 1934 Act was the right thing to do for net neutrality. Removing it from Title 2 is wrong and "verbal agreements" by ISPs to do restrain from abusing their powers are just not good enough. It's not enforceable. Internet is a utility, plain and simple. It is an information exchange system, just like telephones or the postal service. To claim that the internet is not a utility but a luxury is ignoring the obvious reality that using the internet in today's society is required for fully participating in the economy. If something is required to fully participate in the economy, it can't be a luxury. Please don't do the immoral thing and allow companies to have more control over people's lives than they already do. You are the government. You are supposed to protect people from powerful corporations by regulating what they can and can't do. Do your jobs.

2548. Jacob Stone, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I GREATLY SUPPORT THE PRESERVATION OF NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II

2549. Paul Davidge, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I strongly oppose less strict net neutrality laws and believe it should still be filed

under Title 2. We cannot allow ISPs to take advantage of their position and decide what content gets disseminated more quickly that on alternative. Thanks.

2550. Peters, Burbank, CA, 91506
Please keep our internet open, available, and affordable. You all made businesses such as mine (music) already 100% online, even losing our salaries to free downloads and sharing. But e also work and communicate via WiFi. Keep it real FCC!

2551. Nicole L., west hollywood, CA, 90069
I support net neutrality Title two regulations. No title one.

2552. allison, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I support net neutrality and ISPs being classified under title 2

2553. 1, Glendale, CA, 91208
I STRONGLY support the preservation of current regulations requiring "net neutrality"â€”e.g. regulations preventing internet service providers from providing differing service quality to different content providers or websites. In order to preserve these critical regulations, which are foundational to an open and free internet, I support regulation of internet service providers (ISPs) under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934, which provides the only sound legal footing for regulation of ISPs on this matter. ISPs play a critical role as platforms for internet access that all American citizens rely on. They should be appropriately regulated to prevent ISPs from acting on their clear financial incentives to provide preferential internet service to certain content providers willing to pay a premium for such service. Allowing such practice would violate the foundations of the internet as we know it, would be anti-competitive, and would endow ISPs with tremendous power to censor and control the content viewed by internet users. This is clearly against the public interest, and I am counting on you, the FCC, to preserve and maintain appropriate regulations under Title II to defend net neutrality. Thank you.

2554. Jesse Andrew, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Please Preserve Net Neutrality. Please Preserve Title II. It is our right as consumers to have access to a fair and open internet market. Please!

2555. Joyce Meserve, Burbank, CA, 91506
Please protect our net neutrality. Information needs to be democratized, free, open to all...at equal speeds. If you allow those with means to control information, we might as well be a communist country. I beg you to think through the negative consequences of rolling us back. They are dire and grave. You might as well sign us up for propaganda, repression, and a dictatorship.

2556. Paul Posillico, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
We support net neutrality and the enforcement of that through Title II

2557. Maura Lucking, Los Angeles, CA, 90026

I'm writing to express my support for the continued preservation of net neutrality and Title II. Please make it the mission of the FCC to preserve this and set a precedent for this freedom from blatant commercial control of the internet.Thank you.Best,Maura Lucking

2558. Nicholas Frangakis, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Ours is a democracy. Net neutrality must continue to obtain. Maintain and respect Title II

2559. Andrew Whitegiver, west hollywood, CA, 90046
I support strong net neutrality regulation under title II classification. Obviously. Don't be evil.

2560. Alyssa Feller, Burbank, CA, 91506
People are more important than companies. Why would you put money in front of your citizen's freedom?

2561. Chris Graves, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. This is what I and all the citizens of America want. Thank you.

2562. stephen Alexander, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
It is terrible that the FCC will not protect freedom of choice and have cable/cellular companies monopolieze moving forward my internet choices.

2563. Lindsey Bonner, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I support net neutrality and the continuation of title 2. Please don't overturn!

2564. Title 2, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
You must preserve NET NEUTRALTY AND TITLE 2! A free and open internet is of vital importance to innovation and the development and growth of new businesses and the free and equal dice inaction of news, sercvices and information by anyone to anyone irrespective of their ability to PAY MORE for access.

2565. Stepan A. Haytayan, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I strongly support strong net neutrality regulation under Title II. Preserve it.

2566. Sophia Youssef, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I'd like the FCC to preserve Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. P.S. Also please get a normal mug fit for an adult.

2567. Jacob Fiskin, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Dear Sir:I support in no uncertain terms strong net neutrality under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Ensure that this legal basis is maintained.

2568. Samantha Steyns, Burbank, CA, 91506
Please preserve net neutrality you know it's the right thing to do... in our current day

and age ... in a country like the United states the Internet is not a privilege to be paid for but a basic service that should be fair and accessible for all using and providing Internet content.

2569. Steve West, LA, CA, 90068
I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This is essential to me that Title II be preserved in this context.

2570. Catherine Doran, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
It is imperative that you preserve net neutrality and Title II classification.

2571. steve alvanos, Hollywood, CA, 90028
Please support Net Neutrality and Title 2 protections.

2572. robert harand, burbank, CA, 91506
Dear FCC, Please preserve our Net Neutrality, and Title 2.I want our internet to remain free, open, and on a level playing field

2573. Thomas Burke, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am for maintaining net neutrality and have a great deal of concerns about any infringement upon it.

2574. Amanda Burr, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I am writing to insist that NET NEUTRALITY and TITLE II be preserved! Net neutrality is absolutely essential and reflects our democratic ideals.

2575. Nathan Ball, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
To whom it may concern: I am currently writing to you because of the upsetting information I have received regarding the new policies attempting to return to an era without net neutrality. Since internet has become an important utility to news, knowledge, and access to services, I believe that the internet deserves to be a fair marketplace. This means that each company has an equal footing on how people consume their information. If an ISP has the ability to eliminate its opponents without the knowledge of the consumer than it is unfair and unjustified. When people open their internet, they have a choice and are aware of choosing a search engine to filter their search queries. I am aware that this seems hypocritical since search engines have this similar scheme where they may influence the consumerâ€™s searches for their gain, but that is because a search engine in its function is an advertisement agency. The whole function is to promote businesses that use the search engines services. The ISPs function is to provide a general access. Therefore, limiting that access is in direct conflict with my right to be provided the basic utilities. Nathan Ball

2576. Vanessa Marshall, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong internet neutrality backed by Title 2 oversights of ISPs. Thank you!

2577. Jessica Howard, Los Angeles, CA, 90046

I support net neutrality! Please help us continue to protect.

2578. Zubin Tiku, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
As a software engineer who has built his entire career on internet technologies, Net Neutrality needs to be protected under its existing Title 2 classification.

2579. Brad Crowell, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Preserve net neutrality!

2580. scott harber, los angeles, CA, 90039
Keep net neutrality intact and preserve Title 2.It is important to me, my business and those in my household.Thank you.

2581. adam parker, Los angeles, CA, 90026
Preserve Net Neutrality and maintain its Title 2 status!!! Do not remove this protection and "trust" that corporations will do the right thing.

2582. Mike Groys, Glendale, CA, 91202
This proposal is an atrocity against freedom of internet use by US citizens. You are put in charge of protecting our rights and by even considering this act doing a disgrace to your organization. I urge you not to pass this act!

2583. Michael Balzer, Pasadena, CA, 91105
I am writing to register my support for retaining Title II status for internet service providers. Reverting to Title I would dramatically impact consumers like me. As the internet grows into a driver not just of communication, but of economics and art, it is more important than ever to maintain a firm and legally sound barrier that prohibits ISP's from prioritizing one form of content over another.

2584. Joe Spellman, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support net neutrality and title II...I want you to preserve this.

2585. Rachelle Robinson, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I completely support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. How dare you even entertain the idea to allow the manipulation of the level playing field of the open competitive marketplace. It is disgusting.

2586. Candice Kuwahara, Glendale, CA, 91201
I support strong net neutrality rules. Please keep title II. Do not roll back regulation.Thank you,Candice Kuwahara

2587. Chris Jen, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Do NOT remove ISPs from Title 2! They should not have the ability to change the speed/rate of the internet they provide based on the url the consumer is visiting. The fact that this is even a necessary comment shows likely and probably this is to being our reality in America. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY ALIVE!

2588. azniv, Los angeles, CA, 90026
I value net neutrality and see it as a basic human right. If it gets compromised then so does our future. In the world today we're already seeing a disparate gap between the rich and the poor, the corporation and the individual. Please do not compromise net neutrality or deregulate a corporation's ability to manipulate information on the internet. The results would be deveststing beyond imagination. One example of its oucome is that future generations would become less and less educated due to the fact that they are being exposed to media that is targeting them for their own benefit.

2589. 17-108, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support Net Neutrality laws, I do not support any roll back on regulation.

2590. Jamie Costa, Los Angles, CA, 91204
How many times do we need to shoot down the same goddamn thing before you people understand we're not stupid enough to fall for you trying to make telecommunications companies even richer and more influential then they already are. Call it whatever the hell you want, we know what you're trying to do.

2591. Evan Moon, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Preserve net neutrality! Please! Don't let this horribly misinformed malcontent ruin our country. We all love it here. Save us.

2592. Elizabeth Rosenblatt, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Communication, economic prosperity, and the free flow of ideas and expressions depend on open Internet infrastructure. Leaving the openness of that Internet infrastructure in the hands of markets and for-profit operators is undemocratic and short-sighted and creates incentives for incumbents to profit at the expense of new or less-popular players. The Internet should remain regulated by net neutrality principles under Title 2. Let's not trust the foxes to guard the henhouse.

2593. Lynda Manus, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Please support net nutrality & title 2

2594. Evan Gatica, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support STRONG net neutrality. Title II oversight of ISPs is essential to the future of the internet.

2595. Steve Buhai, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
Please kept isp's under title two Free the internet

2596. Venetia Ayers, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Protect net neutrality and Uphold Title 2. Do not give huge communications empires the option to self-regulate. When it comes to turning a profit, these companies will do anything to get rid of competition. E-commerce is one of the only ways small businesses can become successful in today's economy. By allowing internet providers the ability to give higher internet speeds to "preferred sites" (i.e. Those who will pay for it), you will obliterate the playing field and only the richest will

benefit. This goes against the foundations of our government- the pursuit of happiness. Happiness cannot be achieved without lifting the financial burdens that weigh down so many of our citizens. If you take away their ability to get a fair shot in the e-marketplace, you will contribute to the misery. Please do the right thing. You must protect net neutrality and uphold title 2. Thank you.

2597. Amanda White, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I want to keep current Title II restrictions and classifications to preserve Net Neutrality.

2598. JEFFREY NORDLING, LA CANADA, CA, 91011
LEAVE THE INTERNET ALONE! CORPORATE GREED HAS NO PLACE TAKING THIS ON. STOP. STOP. STOP.AND SHAME ON YOU ALL FOR EVEN CONSIDERING IT. IF ALL THE PEOPLE IN THIS COUNTRY ACTUALLY KNEW WHAT IT IS YOU ARE DOING, THEY WOULD RISE UP AND YOU WOULD ALL BE OUT OF A JOB. BE RESPONSIBLE!

2599. Colin Molloy, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I support and want to preserve strong net neutrality and title 2.

2600. Greg Harrison, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please preserve net neutrality and title II. You know it's the right thing to do. Thank you!

2601. Dvin Adalian, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am in support of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108. The internet is a powerful tool to benefit humanity and technological innovation in unprecedented ways only if we let it remain fairly allocated to the people as a utility, not as a for-profit curated television duplicate.

2602. Net Neutrality, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
We need to preserve Net Neutrality to preserve true freedom of speech and expression.

2603. George, Burbank, CA, 91504
I am in support of strong net neutrality regulations as currently supported by Title II regulations. Reclassification of ISPs poses a strong threat to the free flow of information, while voluntary adoption of these practices is not sufficient enough to protect democracy in today's information society. Additionally, if the new Chairman is asking ISPs to voluntarily accept Net Neutrality guidelines why change the rules in the first place? Is the Chairman really interested in deregulation or is he really just interested in allowing ISPs to find additional revenue? I should legally be allowed to have access to the sites I want to go to whether it is CNN, Fox, Hulu, Amazon, or Netflix. I should have access to these sites without fear that they will be slower. The internet creates a level playing field because of Net Neutrality. Net Neutrality is an extension of the rights to free expression and freedom of the press granted to me as a citizen by the Constitution of the United States of America.

2604. Mark Wildman, glendale, CA, 91204
i support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's.

2605. Mell Nolley, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight over ISPs because I don't think ISPs should be allowed to throttle internet speeds based on their own whims.

2606. Carly Mensch, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Hi, I am a concerned citizen in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am thus opposed to docket 17-108.

2607. joseph zahn, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I strongly support net neutrality. This is hugely important. You need to preserve net neutrality.

2608. Jonathan Fitts, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Keep net neutrality. This is an egregious overstep of federal reach, which transparently seeks to line the pockets of major corporations, while passing the financial burden to American citizens already struggling under economic duress.

2609. Hayk Asryan, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I specifically support strong Net neutrality backed by Title-2 oversight by ISPs

2610. Deborah Honadel, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2 ISP

2611. ABBY CARPENTER, los angeles, CA, 90046
KEEP INTERNET NEUTRALITY SAFE!!!!! I SUPPORT TITLE 2.

2612. Jose Perez, Glendale, CA, 91201
I believe ISPs should be regulated under Title 2. Keep the internet free and open.

2613. ann summa, la, CA, 90026
FCC Chairman Ajit Pai :Do not let the government take away net neutrality: DO NOT weaken Obama-era net neutrality rules DO NOT ALLOW internet service providers from favoring certain sites by intentionally speeding or slowing down user access!!! Keep America free.

2614. Chris Faiella, Burbank, CA, 91502
I'm urging the FCC to PLEASE preserve Net Neutrality, specifically by keeping ISP's classified under Title II of the current Net Neutrality rules. It's important to remember that "Absolute power corrupts absolutely", which is why the regulations placed on ISP providers is imperative. If ISP providers have no intention to play favorites and adjust access / speeds accordingly, then there's no reason to lift the Title II regulations. The only reason they want a handshake deal to play fair, is because they know that won't hold up in court when the day finally comes when the allure of higher profits cause them to renege on their "deal". Please do the right

thing and keep the current regulations in place by preserving Title II. Thank you!

2615. Rebecca Johnson, LA, CA, 90039
I implore you to preserve net neutrality and Title 2!

2616. sarah keller, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I believe in Net Nuetrality and maintaining the current restrictions. Rolling back those restrictions put in place in 2015 would be awful. Preserve net nuetrality and title 2.

2617. Karin Faiella, Burbank, CA, 91502
I'm urging the FCC to PLEASE preserve Net Neutrality, specifically by keeping ISP's classified under Title II of the current Net Neutrality rules.Â Â It's important to remember that "Absolute power corrupts absolutely", which is why the regulations placed on ISP providers is imperative. If ISP providers have no intention to play favorites and adjust access / speeds accordingly, then there's no reason to lift the Title II regulations. The only reason they want a handshake deal to play fair, is because they know that won't hold up in court when the day finally comes when the allure of higher profits cause them to renege on their "deal". Please do the right thing and keep the current regulations in place by preserving Title II.Â Â Thank you!

2618. Nora Gruber, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I support net neutrality, as a small business owner as a filmmaker, as an American.

2619. Quinn Kostelecky, Montrose, CA, 91020
I support existing Net Neutrality regulations which classify ISPs as Title II common carriers. Please keep these regulations in place to ensure the internet remains a place for free and open communication.

2620. Daniel Meyer, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I strongly support Net Neutrality with title II (2) oversight of ISPs.

2621. net, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support strong net neutrality regulations backed by Title II (two).

2622. dagny phillips-stumberger, Glendale, CA, 91206
I support net neutrality. Internet access is a vital necessity, and should be classified as a utility.

2623. Cameron Stanford, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by title 2 designation.

2624. Joshua Troll, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support net neutrality regulations and the Obama-era Title II regulations. The internet should be regulated as an utility and ISPs should not be allowed to demand increased pay for preferential treatment. Protect American Consumers by

rejecting these regulatory rollbacks and maintaining net neutrality.

2625. Craig Peterson, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
It is vital that classification of Internet Service Providers (ISPs) be kept under Title II to ensure that the government has the regulatory authority to enforce net neutrality. While ISPs claim that they will not violate net neutrality if they are reclassified, they have also claimed that classification under Title II has no negative impact on the their business plans, therefore it seems logical to maintain the Title II classification to ensure the ISPs continue to observe net neutrality in the future, regardless of consolidation or buy outs in that business segment.

2626. Consuelo Althouse, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support net neutrality, and title II. Please maintain Obama laws!

2627. title2, Hollywood, CA, 90028
I support strong net neutrality restoring internet freedom baked by title 2 oversight

2628. Jesse Clark, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I strongly support maintenance of net neutrality provisions as mandated by Title II oversight of ISPs.

2629. Heather Smith, Pasadena, CA, 91105
Leave the Internet neutral. Don't change the regulations and let the large corporations and special interests control the unencumbered flow of information.

2630. Brandon Moody, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
do NOT roll back Net Neutrality laws! You will spark the rise of MANY intranets and then EVERYONE will be screwed. Also TOTAL GARBAGE that I have to make my information public via the web, but it's a risk I'm willing to take (jf I MUST) to fight for Net Neutrality

2631. Micah Jones, Burbank, CA, 91502
I support strong net neutrality backed by the Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please preserve Title 2, and do not alter it.

2632. Doanld P. Miller, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
May 12, 2017Honorable Commissioner Pai:As a corporate/business transactions attorney in my fifty-first year of practice, I know first-hand that relying on profit-making organizations such as ISPs to voluntarily act in the best interests of the public is an out-and-out myth.The proposal to reduce regulation of the Internet by removing it from Title II oversight will, with certainty, dilute and limit a key aspect of American democracy: the full and unfettered exchange of opinions and points of view. That would be disastrous at any time in our history, but especially so in the current climate of political, cultural and economic polarization, and massive disinformation.I understand that you and others on the Commission have political constituencies that you want to favor â€" or unabashedly serve. However, in this instance, you must put aside partisan agendas in order to serve all citizens â€" and,

most, important, uphold the principle of free discourse that has, for over two centuries, been the linchpin of American democracy.I implore you: retain Title II regulatory authority over the Internet. If, as youâ€™ve argued, ISPs would voluntarily act to assure the unfettered exchange of information and ideas, surely they should have no objection to regulatory oversight that would only confirm that theyâ€™re actually doing the good things that they said theyâ€™d do.

2633. Brandon E. Smith, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II as it currently stands. Do NOT remove from Title II and sell out the American public to the corporate ISP's!!!

2634. Francisco Ramos, West hollywood, CA, 90069
Having net neutrality is extremely important in the modern age. It allows for equal access to the entire internet. This is vital that the government allows for equal access to all websites and cell phone apps. The internet is a public utility and should be treated as such.

2635. Adam Williams, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Section 2 for ISPs.

2636. Homer Tom, Sunland, CA, 91040
DO NOT CHANGE THE NET NEUTRALITY RULES. To do so will seriously impede competition and will hurt users. US citizens already pay among the highest prices and received among the slowest speeds of any industrialized nation so you should be INCREASING COMPETTION NOT STIFILING IT!!! If you do slacken the Net Neutrality rules then it is clear that you have no interest in maintaining a fair playing field for small businesses in the US and shame on you!

2637. helen eigenberg, los angeles, CA, 90004
Please stop FCC ChairPai from hollowing out net neutrality.

2638. elton james, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I strongly support net neutrality, by providing title 2 oversight over isps. The current plan to do away with net neutrality is a dangerous path. It is extremely important to protect net neutrality and title 2.

2639. Paul Perrick, La Canada, CA, 91011
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II. The Telcos have proven many times that they cannot be trusted to be net neutral.

2640. Zareh D, Glendale, CA, 91203
I support strong net neutrality regulation under Title II. I do NOT trust ISP companies to not abuse their enormous market power, which they will do if there are no strong enforceable rules as provided under Title II.Furthermore, relying on FTC enforcement, which has a much higher (unreasonably high) bar for punishing ISP abuses, will leave consumers vulnerable until the FTC can show significant harm. This is NOT an acceptable process and one in which the FCC is derilict of its

responsibility to protect consumers of communication services.The bottom line is that the Internet is an absolutely critical utility in our society today so it must be protected under Title II.

2641. Camille S. Thien, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I strongly support net neutrality as supported by title 2 oversight of ISPs.

2642. Laila Abdanan, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I strongly support strong net neutrality and that means title 2 oversight of ISPs is necessary.

2643. jonah, LOS ANEGELS, CA, 90027
PLEASE keep title 2 going to preserve net neutral

2644. Katie Faulner, Burbank, CA, 91750
The fact that our government is actually considering considering eliminating net neutrality is both repulsive and horrifying. All this proves is that our government doesn't really look out for the people of this country, but instead looks out for big business first and foremost. What possible benefit will this have to every single American that uses the internet on a daily basis for either personal or work related reasons?

2645. THOMAS, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90046
Yes to Net Neutrality! No to Restoring Intern Freedom.

2646. Barbara Hoff, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
SAVE NET NEUTRALITY RULES. Thank You

2647. Alexandria Shepherd, Glendale, CA, 91201
I am greatly concerned by the FCC possible dismantling the 2015 Open Internet Order. It is naive to think that getting rid of this order and Title II, therefore, deregulating ISPs will lead to fair actions towards the public. ISP providers are becoming more and more invested into the producing content for the entertainment industry. Now that they have content to sell ISPs will be tempted to speed up their content and throttle others. This practice can also leak over into ISPs taking payment for corporations to share some website's content faster than small start-ups websites. The internet does not represent those evil practices; the internet is for the free sharing of knowledge, entertainment, and ideas. The FCC should vote to protect the internet from shady practices! Keep the Open Internet Order and Title II regulations.

2648. Aaron Sims, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support strong "Net Neutrality" backed by strong Title II oversight of ISP's. I am increasingly concerned about the FCC's movement toward rhetoric that indicates it may be shifting away from net neutrality. As a law student, I know enough about the issue to be deeply concerned.

2649. David B, Burbank, CA, 91501
Net Neutrality is an important part of of a productive society. As one of the greatest inventions mankind has ever created, why let it be used for profit at the public's expense. We need to keep ISPs regulated under Title II.

2650. Peter Schellenbach, Tujunga, CA, 91042
Net Neutrality is important and ISP's should continue to stay regulated under Title II.

2651. Erin Garey, los angeles, CA, 90026
We must preserve net neutrality. It is one of our most important resources and will only become more important for all of us to have equal access as time goes on.Thank you

2652. Ryan Caldwell, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
DO NOT abandon Title II. Keep Title II and an open internet for the sake of our children. Speech is no longer free when it's main medium becomes monopolized.

2653. george qamar, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Mr. Pai,I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs

2654. Donald Loran Sweetman, Burbank, CA, 91501
It is very important to me as a citizen and a veteran that we maintain regulation of ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Access to the internet is a utility and should be treated as such. Having net neutrality is essential in maintaining a level playing field for all users of the internet. It is the embodiment of the American idea of equality for all and by reversing that regulation the current FCC would be destroying that standard.

2655. cara farriage, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Open internet rules are an integral part of allowing people access to all sources of information. Restricting information from the masses is not something a free society should encourage or allow. In a society controlled largely by big corporations it is the only way for the smaller voices to be heard. And even those smaller voices without a well funded lobbying effort have value and deserve to be heard. Please protect and save net neutrality!

2656. gary ellis, La canada, CA, 91011
Internet neutrality under Title II is important for America. Please do not roll back these public protections, putting our open access in the hands of for-profit ISPs.

2657. William Hitt, Glendale, CA, 91206
I am a very staunch supporter of net neutrality. I believe allowing the cable companies to to purchase our information is only the first step in a broader plan to have internet fast lanes and the ability to make the common consumer pay even more than we already do for the internet we use today. If net neutrality is taken away so are the rights to privacy that America was founded on.

2658. Johanna Siegmann, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly and specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.Foxes in charge of the hen houses is NEVER a good idea.

2659. Monica Cerrato, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
As an American citizen, I ask please keep net neutrality laws in place. Thank you

2660. Derek LaPorte, Burbank, CA, 91506
I strongly and specifically support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.

2661. Brad Kaiserman, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I strongly support net neutrality and strongly oppose any action taken to undermine net neutrality. Net neutrality has played and should continue to play a critical role in allowing online businesses to flourish. Net neutrality is also important to safeguard freedoms of speech.

2662. Anthony, Hollywood, CA, 90028
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Businesses have NOT been compromised by Title 2. In fact, internet companies have already demonstrated their capacity to compromise third party software. Keeping the internet neutral means the preservation of small businesses and/or startups.

2663. Scott Comstock, Glendale, CA, 91214
DO NOT REPEAL NET NEUTRALITY!!!! Internet providers should continue to be considered a utility, the same as telephone service. A repeal of Net Neutrality will only spur less competition, unfair practices and higher prices to consumers. Thank you.-Scott Comstock

2664. Valerie Thornton, Sylmar, CA, 91342
Net Neutrality is very important to me. It allows me to access sources on information and to communicate through the internet without inconvenience and restriction due to decisions of providers that my searches and communications are less important than others. I have the same right to unfettered access to this source of information and communication as any other person or entity.

2665. Robin Harpster, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I'm writing to support the preservation of net neutrality rules and internet privacy. It would be detrimental to all of us regular citizens in these rules were to be undone. This is another example of big corporations trying to take monopoly for greater profit at the expense of the rest of us. People should come before profit always. Please do not get rid of net neutrality. Please preserve internet privacy.

2666. Breannah Gibson, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Hello, I'd like to comment on restoring freedom to use of the internet as it was intended. Introducing this proceeding is another step toward a government controlling the people, not a government by the people, for the people. With this in

place, the government will show that they do indeed favor corporations and wealth over their own people, to whom they are said to public servants. Please, please, please stop this.

2667. Clay Speicher, Burbank, CA, 91506
I am opposed to any effort on the part of the FCC to alter the current "net neutrality" framework in any way. Please, have the common decency to listen to the citizens instead of the billionaires. The Internet is for everyone, not just wall street!

2668. Christine Stafford, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
It is imperative to retain a free and open internet so that its users can access information easily, comfortably, and economically. The removing of the free and open internet will be to the detriment of society as a whole. I implore you to retain the net neutrality we have enjoyed and don't let greed dictate a change.

2669. Thomas Kirby, Glendale, CA, 91202
I'm writing in support of net neutrality. It's my opinion that only those entities who stand to make a profit by limiting internet freedoms would ever oppose net neutrality. To that end, I expect lawmakers to continue to support laws that declare that Broadband providers may not block access to legal content, applications, services, or non-harmful devices;they may not impair or degrade lawful internet traffic on the basis of content, application, services, or any classes thereof; and they may not favor some internet traffic over other internet traffic in exchange for consideration of any kind â€" no paid prioritization or fast lanes. The internet is a bastion of freedom and should continue to be so for all Americans. Thank you.

2670. Kelly Davis, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I DO NOT support rolling back protections on net neutrality. This is a blantant ploy to sell the Internet to the highest bidding ISP. Keep the Internet equal!

2671. Eleanor Harte, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Dear Ajit Pai,I beg you to support Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight on ISPs. It would be a travesty if Net Neutrality rules were repealed by the FCC.

2672. helen eigenberg, los angeles, CA, 90004
Please keep NET NEUTRALITY rules in place

2673. Mary Zide, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am in favor of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s.

2674. Marci Adilman, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Dear FCC: I am writing to support net neutrality. Ending net neutrality puts at risk the ability of Americans to run your online business, access content over the Internet, and exercise free speech without the service provider or anyone else getting in the way.Light-touch regulation does the same. The only appropriate option is no-touch regulation. Thank you.

2675. Rian Kountzhouse, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
The idea of ending net neutrality is terrible. Maintain the current rules as they stand Title 2 all the way!

2676. Gayle Lund, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I strongly disagree with attempts to weaken or reverse the Net Neutrality guidelines/policies put into effect during the Obama Administration. The attempts to weaken these neutrality assurances will only benefit the corporations that control access to data and information, not the consumer. Clearly the focus should be on free and Equal access to information via the most important source created since the printing press. That includes downloading speed and browsing access.

2677. Noboru Ito, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
What the FCC is doing under Ajit Pai is shameful and is directly against the idea of what the internet should stand for. Net Neutrality is an important cornerstone of a true free market.

2678. James Patterson, Bakersfield, CA, 91020
I support strong ISP oversight through the continued title II regulations. We cannot allow them to run amok on a BS 'promise' that they won't abuse deregulation. There has already been evidence of unfair practices in ISPs forcing netflix to pay them extra fees just so consumers can use the services at a decent pace. Consumers are already paying for the service, but ISPs find that they can collect bonus profits without any expenditures by forcing Netflix (and others) into paying through extortion. Do not deregulate ISPs as they will destroy the way in which the internet works for profit. Do not put your own profits from ISP lobbyists ahead of the American people you so claim to represent.

2679. Andy Brohard, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I'm asking the FCC to stand up for Internet users like me and protect rules that keep the internet open and free for EVERYONE. We must ensure all voices online stay equal â€" that no one website is prioritized over another. Maintain the regulations under Title II. Thank you.

2680. Ellen Evans, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
Net neutrality is an essential part of modern liberty. Please do not allow providers to regulate consumption of content through non-neutral practices.

2681. Jason Benesh, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
We must preserve net neutrality and Title 2 to ensure the internet is open and equal to EVERYONE. No website should have priority over another.

2682. Mary Mayer, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
"I'm asking the FCC to stand up for Internet users like me and protect rules and regulations that keep the internet open and free for EVERYONE. We must ensure all voices online stay equal â€" that no one website is prioritized over another. Specifically, I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

2683. John Eitzel, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
You are killing off Net Neutrality because its the last bastion of free speech in this country. Its the reason for this - though profiting the ISP's doesn't hurt either. I'm leaving a comment though I know it will be ignored. I'm leaving a comment because unlike yourselves who represent thieves - I still have hope for the country.

2684. Aaron Nestor, Glendale, CA, 91205
I firmly believe that the internet should stay truly open for all. Maintain!

2685. sarah basta, Los angeles, CA, 90027
Do not roll back the net neutrality laws. This is the same idea of protecting the us from companies having a monopoly.

2686. Matthew Reinig, Burbank, CA, 91502
Keep the internet open for all and not for private companies to regulate the speed of delivery of content AND content creation. Please consider our voices, still strong while sinking in piles of money, and protect our voices on the free and open internet. I fully support the comment below:"As a concerned citizen I feel that we must continue to treat internet as a utility to ensure that this vital tool to both commerce and culture cannot be unjustly manipulated by private entities intent upon providing preferential treatment to one form of content over another. Please do not adjust the current status quo at the behest of lobbies and big business."

2687. Preston Patterson, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Keep the internet neutral. Replacing current regulations with "pinky promises" by the carriers to keep the internet neutral and private doesn't cut it. I do not support Ajit Pai's agenda to sell out the people to the ISPs.

2688. Kyle Mock, Burbank, CA, 91501
I support strong net neutrality! Ending net neutrality would be a devastating blow to the free and open internet. ISP should not be allowed to push their own market place by restricting others.

2689. Perla Eston, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I strongly urge Chairman Pai and members of Congress to defend Title II net neutrality and protect the internet as a common carrier.

2690. Justin Weaver, Glendale, CA, 91202
I think the big business, lobby bought repeal of net neutrality is a direct betrayal of the American people. Net neutrality was fought for and won by Americans on both sides of the aisle, representing all political spectrums. To roll back that victory is a disgusting misuse of power. You all should be ashamed of yourselves. Do the right thing, leave net neutrality alone. Restore the American people's faith in your institution because I promise you, this incredibly long and arduous task you've set before yourselves will only be made more difficult by the American public and their shattered trust in you as regulating body.

2691. Fred Fudacz, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
FCC--please defend Title II net neutrality.

2692. Karson Holbrook, Burbank, CA, 91501
I support Title II regulations regarding ISPs. Please keep the internet neutral and uphold the regulations established in the past 8 years.

2693. Salvador Vazquez, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Allowing internet service providers to restrict bandwidth to companies who they do not agree with or are not willing to pay for more bandwidth is the same as restricting the information available to the public. This is undemocratic and allows for the wealthy to have the right to decimate their information via the internet more readily than those who cannot afford it. Therefore I would like the internet to remain free and unrestricted. The internet ought to be treated as a utility just like water and electricity.

2694. Joel Myers, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
My business relies on access to the internet and my websites and ad servers having equal access to the internet. You must protect NET Neutrality. Large telecoms have stolen enough from the American people. Do not give them our access to the Internet.

2695. Max Kleinman, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I support strong net neutrality backed by the Title II classification. Weakening regulation would be anti-competitive. The internet should remain as level a playing field as possible.

2696. Brad Grimmett, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Please do not end Net Neutrality. Don't be the bootlicks of corporations.

2697. Joy Park-Thomas, Glendale, CA, 91214
Without Net Neutrality, information will only be in the hands of the rich. There will be no way to tell "fake" news from real news because corporations with money will be the sole arbiters of what information can be found by the public. Without the ability of the public to find information they are looking for without the bias of ISPs, corporations, shareholders, and governments those entities will turn the Internet into a giant propaganda machine. Preserve Net Neutrality. Without it, there is only ignorance.Internet - Net Neutrality = Propaganda

2698. John Sykes, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I strongly support net neutrality and believe that ISPs should be required to treat all data equally and without preference as specifically required by Title II designation. These corporations simply cannot be trusted to regulate themselves, and have demonstrated that they will put their own short-term profits ahead of consumer choice or the competitiveness of the information marketplace. For a recent example of this, you need look no further than Comcast and Charter's non-compete agreement in select markets, forcing consumers into only one option for ISP -

depriving many low income people what is essentially one of humanity's greatest inventions and ways to distribute knowledge. Frankly, Ajit Pai's implication that consolidation by ISPs helps markets is patently false and I suspect he is fully aware of this. While I don't doubt it would help improve the bottom line of ISPs because they would be able to gouge consumers - Its well documented that consolidation and oligopolies harm competition and consumers. Ajit has made it very clear he is on the side of corporations and not consumers. I urge the other members of the committee and whoever else reviews these to ask themselves what is the more American solution? To support consumers and the free market or to support corporations and collusion?

2699. Sean McCann, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support strong title two backing. Net neutrality is something I believe is very important and removing title two regulations will damage net neutrality. Don't fuck this up for everyone. Thanks.

2700. Alanna De Carlo, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I truly believe internet service providers will abuse their position as gatekeepers between customers and the rest of the Internet if the FCC repeals net neutrality through the rollback of Title II reclassification. Please reconsider preserving net neutrality and Title II.

2701. James Barnes, Pasadena, CA, 91105
I support retaining the rules that support net neutrality on the part of the ISP providers. Congress has already afforded them geo-specific monopolies, which flies in the face of free enterprise. To then argue government should get out of the way is to ignore what Congress has done to favor these companies over consumers of their services. If government truly wants to get out of the way, it should remove the monopolies.

2702. Vanessa Giovacchini, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
The internet needs to have strong net neutrality.

2703. Eric Blanchard, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I strongly encourage the FCC to reconsider its plan to strip Title II designation. These proceedings are a naked attempt to put more power in the hands of ISPs at their behest. We deserve a neutral Internet where no corporation can legally show its preference to subsidiaries and partners. I support Title II designation, and I reject the fraudulently named "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal.

2704. Brittany Gaston, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Seriously, you guys didn't learn your lesson the first time? Stop trying to regulate what was meant as public domain. The Internet is for everyone and the fcc has no right to take that away

2705. Chris Karagozian, Glendale, CA, 91201
I would like to comment and express that I am concerned and am against the FCC's

ruling to "gut" net neutrality. Please leave Net Neutrality the way it is. It is not broken in any sense. We are well aware of the cable industry dumping millions of dollars to get their way by lobbying and giving money to the politicians to ensure that the consumer is taken advantage of. We all know that whatever the large corporations are promising in protecting net neutrality, that it is a lie. As soon as they get it overturned, they will find and exploit loopholes to make sure that the consumer is in fact at a disadvantage. All data should be treated equally, fairly, and without censorship. That is what makes this country great and please do not make it difficult for us to enjoy our net freedom.

2706. omeed jamali, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I am absolutely against any legislation, rule, change, or anything at all that is anti net nutrality. Net neutrality should be supported by our government and our FCC. I am disgusted and appalled that this administration is trying to do away with net neutrality.

2707. richi Kay, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please keep net neutrality. Do not let my internet information be sold. Do not allow 17-108 to be passed

2708. justin thomas, West hollywood, CA, 90046
I would like to express my support for maintaining Title II and strong net neutrality rules to ensure a fair and competitive Internet for all.

2709. Christopher Lim, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I'm writing in support of Net Neutrality. Internet service providers (ISPs) should treat ALL DATA that travels over their networks fairly, without improper discrimination in favor of particular apps, sites or services. I support STRONG net neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight for ALL ISPs. No ISP should be allowed to throttle, deprioritize, discriminate, or block content based on whatever whim they want. ISPs must be open about how traffic is managed over their networks in order for both users and the FCC to know when thereâ€™s a problem. ISPs are telecommunication services, and as such, they must deliver that which the subscriber of the ISP chooses, without being curated or altered in any way. DO NOT REMOVE TITLE II OVERSIGHT FOR ISPS.

2710. Brandon Musselman, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
As a concerned citizen I beleive it is imperative to continue to treat internet as a utility and ensure that this vital tool of both commerce and culture cannot be unjustly manipulated by private entities intent upon providing preferential treatment to one form of content over another. Please do not adjust the current status quo at the behest of lobbies and big business.

2711. Josh Wheeler, Glendale, CA, 91202
Undoing of net neutrality would be a travesty. Greed and inequality is never beneficial to the people of the United States. Please help keep America free and equal by keeping the Internet free and equal under Title II.

2712. Brandon Bales, Los angeles, CA, 90026
Please keep ISPs as Title II.To change it would allow huge companies to determine our access to the Internet - which has become a utility.Organisations whose main concern is profit will NEVER be in a place to protect a public right.

2713. Christopher Sosnowski, Burbank, CA, 91502
I absolutely support upholding strong Net Neutrality rules enforced through Title II classification.As previously determined by the courts, only Title II classification grants the FCC the authority necessary to maintain a free and open internet that can not be manipulated by the monetary interests or political leanings of Internet Service Providers but, instead, ensures equal access to all services and information to all people.Promoting the interests of ISPs over their customers by allowing the ISPs to shut out competitors and control information through the use of "fast lanes," throttling and blocking is the kind of action that is only undertaken by complete fucking assholes.

2714. Sarah Moe, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I request that the FCC continue to enforce strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight. No website should be prioritized over another. Equal for all! Allow the American Dream of owning and running a successful business be available for EVERYONE!

2715. Adam Smith, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net neutrality is crucial to our economy. Creating fast and slow lanes will make it more difficult for new businesses to compete with established giants.

2716. Robert Guerra, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I strongly believe that it is your job as the Federal Communications Commission to ensure that TITLE 2 is maintained in order to protect Net Neutrality. I should not have to worry about any ISP leveraging the content I view or adjusting my speed based on a vested interest.

2717. Matt Tramel, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Availability to creation, to discovery, to learning, and to sharing is imperative to our success as a collective nation. Access to these opportunities is a social right, not an exclusive privilege. The internet is truly a vessel of free and equal speech and education. DO NOT RESTRICT IT OR LIMIT ITS PUBLIC USE! In fact, you should be working to IMPROVE THE AVAILABILITY OF THIS RESOURCE TO ALL rather than working to aid those who control significant wealth/power and want to control meaningful access to this resource as yet another means of skimming wealth from the impoverished masses.Thank you for your time, now please do the right thing.~ Matt Tramel

2718. Keegan Uchacz, Glendale, CA, 91208
Please do not abandon net neutrality. ISPs should not have the ability to attach fees to types of traffic.

2719. Levi Reznicek, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
The FCC should maintain strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

2720. Jordan Garrett, Burbank, CA, 91501
The FCC's Open Internet Rules, under Title II oversight, are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. These slow lanes would hurt consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee, and Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.Please, do not let a free and open internet fade into past. The backbone of what makes the internet beautiful in America is the fact that it is a level playing field, free and open to everyone. If these Net Neutrality rules are rolled back, it will hamper innovation and hurt the consumer. Any decision to alter the FCC's Open Internet Rules should be done in the best interest of the consumer, and doing away with these protections, under Title II oversight, is definitely not in the best interest of every American. Thank you!

2721. Sarah Perlotto, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I STRONGLY support Title II backing. Keep the internet free, equal, and neutral.

2722. Colin Weightman, La Canada, CA, 91011
As a small business owner, I strongly support the current net neutrality rules. I have very few options for internet service and do not want to be held hostage by an ISP. Just like the electric company, let them charge a fair price for the service that they are providing and let me decide what to do with that service. Eddison does not charge me more to watch a basketball game than to wash my clothes, why should my ISP be allowed to do that. The ISPs are offering a transmission service, that needs to stay separate from content creation or distribution.

2723. net neutrality, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I believe the Title 2 classification for internet ISP's is vital to the future of the internet and our society. As these corporations merge with content creators (like Comcast and NBC Universal, and AT&T and DirecTV) the conflicts of interest are obvious. Given the broad range of independent news, entertainment, and educational

programs available on the internet, we cannot allow the power of monopolies to dictate what our society can access.

2724. Riley McAtee, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II protections that safeguard consumers.

2725. Devin Marra, tujunga, CA, 91042
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This is a critical issue for businesses large and small, and my livelihood as a web developer rests on this decision. Removing net neutrality would have a crippling impact on the ability for small businesses to market themselves online and would devastate the demand for talented web developers in the United States. Removing net neutrality backed by Title II would further drive technology jobs out of the United States, where companies would simply hire talent to maintain web properties in other countries, where they are not restricted by arbitrary restrictions placed by ISPs. This would be a bad decision for consumers, for technology, and for our economy.

2726. Andy Balajadia, Burbank, CA, 91504
Please keep the internet open and free. Do not allow any one entity to gain better access over another. A free and open internet is paramount to the future of our country.

2727. Mark, Glendale, CA, 91208
I support strong internet neutrality and title 2 over site of ISPs. Support the people's interest not the corporations.Thank you

2728. Gary Kelly, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I believe in Net Neutrality. Allowing ISPs to throttle certain content would give them control over the information that a free society relies one. The Internet has become the number one source of information in our culture and i do not trust private companies to decide which information I should have easier access to.

2729. Silvana Sahakian, glendale, CA, 91208
Please KEEP the NET NEUTRALITY!!!!!! It's people's right to have the freedom of access and information.

2730. Title II, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am a strong supporter of net neutrality, that's why title II oversight for ISP is absolutely necessary!

2731. Erik Van Sleeuwen, la crescenta, CA, 91214
Eliminating net neutrality only favors internet service providers, and their wallets. To be opposed to it is a clear sign of either ignorance or corruption, and no person who is against net neutrality belongs in a position of power within our country.Please, take 5 minutes to understand what it means to remove net neutrality - from an unbiased source, not somebody paid to lie. If you know what net neutrality is, you either support it, or you are putting greed over the needs of the

nation."Restoring internet freedom" is a lie. Net neutrality provides the freedom that you claim to want.

2732. hudson, los angeles, CA, 91202
Keep net neutrality. It is a core principle of equality, a building block of this country

2733. Candace Cason, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Please retain and ENFORCE strong title II net neutrality. I support strong net neutrality and OPPOSE the Internet Freedom Act.

2734. Alexander Keipper, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I think it should be clear at this point what the will of the American people is. Every time the FCC threatens to challenge net neutrality by removing regulations on broadband companies, there is a massive outpouring of opposition from all classes of people, both private citizens and representatives of corporations.Throwing out net neutrality rules goes against the will of the majority of Americans. Any attempt to convince yourselves otherwise are lies. Don't do this.

2735. Lauren Kushner, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Net Neutrality protects small businesses, children, low-income families, the elderly, and school districts, and rural areas. These are among our most vulnerable populations and they need equal access to the internet to be able to run their businesses, learn, do homework, apply to college, apply to jobs, get healthcare information, and have basic equal access rights not impeded on by greedy corporations. And if I remember correctly, these are the vulnerable populations this administration claimed they would protect as they "drained the swamp" of corruption and greed. Will this be yet another broken promise? The younger generations are absolutely unwilling to move backwards and you will find significant opposition across party lines. If you want a preview of what to expect, I highly recommend watching the documentary "The Internet's Own Boy." Thanks to creative commons, you can easily find it available for free online. Repealing net neutrality is unacceptable and will have serious consequences.

2736. Beverly, Glendale, CA, 91203
It is important to keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules.

2737. Eric bass, Burbank, CA, 91504
Net neutrality was implemented for a reason, to protect the public from corporate control, greed, and manipulative practices. Repealing these laws is directly an attack on the public and we will not stand by as this administration thoughtlessly and maliciously seeks to undo the foundation of this country's values. Fix the problems, do not scrap the current laws.

2738. Sean Stathatos, La Canada, CA, 91011
The idea that Net Neutrality will hurt consumers is a fabrication that I hope the FCC isn't foolish enough to fall for. Please don't sell out the American people.

2739. Adrienne Eichner, Burbank, CA, 91502
I support net neutrality and believe that any attempt to hinder it is a dangerous threat to our freedom of speech, a cornerstone of our great country. I love the FCC and think you guys are awesome, but you cannot put corporate greed over the will of the people. You're the Federal Communications Commission, not the Corporate Communications Commission.

2740. Lynn Friedmann, La Canada Flintridge, CA, 91011
net neutrality keeps my information safe and I'd rather not have any of it leaked through ending this system. The net may become more dangerous if net neutrality is taken down.

2741. Kris May, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I would ask that Net Nuetrality be preserved under Title 2 oversight.Thank you!

2742. Neil Abcouwer, Montrose, CA, 91020
Net neutrality is vitally important to prevent ISPs from discriminating in what data is accessible to citizens. Choose people's rights over slightly increased profit. Protect net neutrality.

2743. Conor Fields, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Keeping net neutrality is vital to open, democratic dissemination of information and ideas. Getting rid of net neutrality would benefit only a few large corporations at the expense of the entire population.

2744. Joshua Fry, Burbank, CA, 91506
Please keep net neutrality backed by titled II. Removing net neutrality would put the freedom of the internet into the hands of a small number of companies that individuals have very little choice but to deal with. Our current situation for home internet resembles 'Ma Bell' more than the free market Ajit Pai imagines to exist, it needs strong regulation because consumer choice is nonexistent in most places within the US.

2745. Miggs Perez, Glendale, CA, 91206
Title II needs to remain untouched. This is a money-hungry move made by selfish investors. Absolutely no one benefits from this except the ISPs and I don't know if you know this, but most of country is not employed by Time Warner or Comcast.

2746. Alexander Sherman, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Mobile providers should not be able to throttle sites and services that aren't part of their approved zero-rating networks. Right now, consumers are protected from these practices, and I worry those protections will be weakened by changes in enforcement.

2747. Maciej Arkuszewski, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
If we allow for profit driven restrictions on access to internet content, we are basically putting in a good old censorship from the darkest days of communist block

in place. Freedom to be heard is essential to maintain a freedom of speech. Giving few greedy corporations keys to police internet is like putting trolls under every bridge and overpass demanding ransom for you to drive through. Corporations are doing quite well with net neutrality in place. There's 0 reasons other than money to remove it and a real concerns that with it gone, internet it will be used to manipulate public to the highest bidder and price out competition not by selling best product, but spending most $ on bandwidth. Internet is not a billboard. It has quickly become essential for everyday life and it needs to stay unbiased.

2748. Ryan Rutzen, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I support the Open Internet and Net Neutrality and the classification of ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act and Section 706 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. Please do not revoke Net Neutrality rules.

2749. Matt Bogdanow, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Without net neutrality I fear for the future of our democracy.

2750. Benjamine Reid, Burbank, CA, 91501
I am a strong support for of classifying ISPs under Title 2. Net neutrality is important to ensure an equal opportunity for all entities, big and small, that service customers online. There are several examples of ISPs curtailing bandwidth and this is unacceptable.

2751. Rachel Angelini, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
keep net neutrality, please!

2752. Michael Gara, Los angeles, CA, 90026
Iâ€™m worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way theyâ€™re enforced. I strongly support net neutrality and would be against any changes that disregard the interests of the consumer.

2753. Jonathan Ade, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support full and complete Net Neutrality under Title II classification.

2754. Sam, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I am strongly in support of preserving net neutrality and Title II.

2755. George Streicher, Burbank, CA, 91502
I fully support continued NET NEUTRALITY and am against dismantling it. The internet should be a free space of expression and it's users have a right to their privacy.

2756. gabriel t, los angeles, CA, 90028
Internet is a choice and thus it should be left up to the individual who chooses to access it. No one or company should ever dictate internet speeds in an attempt to sway someone into visiting a site that they have express financial gain from. Do not slow down our speeds in order to get our business! Keep the internet as is! I

appreciate your time.Thank you.

2757. John Carey, Burbank, CA, 91506
Please retain Title II, common carrier status for the internet. There is enough that divides us and we cannot tolerate another level of stratification between information "haves" and "have nots". Free or at least inexpensive access to information, ,and egality of access for ALL people is critical for maintaining a truly civilized and well informed populace.Do not allow this to be destroyed in the service of corporate greed and mounting profit.There is no evidence that common carrier status has stunted the growth of the internet nor that it has inhibited innovation and investment in infrastructure. In fact, the opposite seems to have occurred.Do not let the greed of corporate interests stand in the way of the good of the people.Don't do this!

2758. Ramona Gilbert, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
We desperately need to Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.

2759. Frank Varela, Glendale, CA, 91207
DO NOT REMOVE NET NEUTRALITY TITLE II. The internet is fine as it is without Mr. Pai's interference in favor of the ISPs. WE DO NOT WANT DATA CAPS ON THE INTERNET, THROTTLING, OR PREFERENTIAL FAST LANES.

2760. Sean Hill, Burbank, CA, 91501
KEEP TITLE II (2) DESIGNATION!KEEP TITLE II (2) DESIGNATION!Keep Title II Designation for ISPs'. Equal access to the internet is under attack again. Changing Net Neutrality only benefits the ISP's and not the common everyday people. Especially since Verizon stated to their investor's that they wouldn't & hadn't stop investing in broadband networks & infrastructure. KEEP TITLE II (2) DESIGNATION!KEEP TITLE II (2) DESIGNATION!

2761. att, LA, CA, 90027
The FCC needs to fully support net neutrality!!!! Save Title II ! No one should allow that child we call our President to chip away at our freedoms!

2762. Claira Judd, Glendale, CA, 91206
Don't mess with net neutrality. Strong enforcement of neutrality is the best and only way to ensure that information remains open to all on the internet, despite the monetary interests of a few companies. Thank you for your time.

2763. Cat Riveire, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Ignoring the outraged comments of literal millions of American citizens is cowardly and subversive of our democracy. Closing access to the internet is as well.

2764. Jayson Matthews, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I strongly support net neutrality and Title 2. Come on, guys.

2765. DAVID MARKO, Los Angeles, CA, 90039

As a consumer, I want the FCC to protect net neutrality under Title 2.

2766. James Johnson, Burbank, CA, 91506
Keep net neutrality and title II AS IS.

2767. patrick Bird, Los angeles, CA, 90038
I believe net neutrality must be maintained for the sake and livelihood of all Americans, especially since many don't have access to any provider we want since they've already colluded to limit options in particular areas to only two providers. Please do what little there is left to do to maintain a fair market on the internet. Thanks.

2768. Sean Mannion, Shadow Hills, CA, 91040
I am asking that you preserve net nuetrality and title II.

2769. Tyrone Young, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please preserve net nuetrality backed by title 2

2770. DENNIS WICKHAM, montrose, CA, 91020
It is imperative to keep TITLE 2 to preserve net neutrality. This is of the utmost importance!!

2771. Robyn Beck, Glendale, CA, 91202
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Internet service providers are telecommunications companies and should be required to treat all web traffic equally.

2772. Rick Nathanson, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I want the FCC to retain my Net Neutrality.

2773. Scott Higgins, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Net neutrality rules should remain in place from the Obama administration. Allowing corporations to access my private information and control access to the internet is reprehensible.

2774. Paul Caputo, Glendale, CA, 91202
Do not change the rules on net neutrality. Follow John Oliver's recommendation

2775. JOSEPH W GEDEON, GLENDALE, CA, 91204
Please preserve net neutrality under Title 2 . I am against any change letting the providers voluntarily agree not to slow band with of competing products and services.

2776. LisaRose Blanchette, Burbank, CA, 91501
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Net neutrality is necessary for consumers like me, who have few market choices when it comes to internet service providers. Thank you.

2777. Jeremy Graynor, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Please do not repeal net neutrality laws that protect individual's right to decide what content they want to view on the internet.

2778. connor vangoey, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
i am going to whip ajit pai right in the sack

2779. Justin Matthews, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
This bill offers no objective or subjective benefit to the American people. Net Neutrality should remain covered under Title II, as prevents ISP companies from engaging in mob-style practices of basically offering protection money to keep their download speed.

2780. Chelsea Lindsay, Burbank, CA, 91506
I stand with John Oliver and others in supporting Net Neutrality, as protected under Title II regulations. ISPs should not have the right to block or undermine groups that they don't like by slowing speeds or limiting access. I also support the transition of broadband internet from a privately-held industry into a utility, expanding access for smaller ISPs and reducing monopolies in cities across the country. Please do the right thing and keep Net Neutrality safe!

2781. David Thompson, Sunland, CA, 91040
Keep current regulations in place for net neutrality

2782. chris bastian, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please keep net neutrality going. This is something we all need as a form of freedom to express oursleves.

2783. Matthew Stoner, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I would like to encourage the FCC to protect consumers by preserving Net Neutrality. Thank you.

2784. Mariko Sakata, Burbank, CA, 91504
Please preserve net neutrality. Net neutrality is essential for the fair internet platform.

2785. title2, la crescenta, CA, 91214
Keep net neutrality aligned with Title 2. Do not change away from title 2.

2786. Bishwamohan Gupta, Pasadena, CA, 91105
Leave title 2 for fairness for public.

2787. Jaimi Zwerling, Glendale, CA, 91206
An open and free internet is essential to allow accessibility of information and services for ALL people without the influence of privatization and corporate profiteering. Once control of the internet is subject to manipulation by those who can yield control through capital advantage, it will be difficult to turn back. As a

leader of the free and democratic world, we must not yield to corporate interests and surrender the internet to their priorities.

2788. Michael Azzopardi, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please PRESERVE net neutrality and Title II. This year might be garbage already, but you don't need to make it worse by striking down the aforementioned laws.

2789. Brad Cobb, Glendale, CA, 91208
Another person for keeping net neutrality

2790. Matt Gourley, Pasadena, CA, 91105
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Protect the people, not the corporations.

2791. Michael, La crescenta, CA, 91214
I strongly support net neutrality with Title II regulation of ISPs.

2792. Linda Griffin, Burbank, CA, 91506
The neutrality of the net should remain as is. Title II is the appropriate header for regulation of the isp's to ensure that our internet usage is not tampered with or speed compromised.

2793. Nicole, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support net-neutrality and I want the FCC to protect it and keep the internet free and accessible to all. Moreover, the FCC has an obligation to not only to listen to the public, but to act in accordance with the desires of the American people. Please make this page more visible to people who want to leave comments. Otherwise it appears as though the FCC is deliberately trying to thwart public debate on the topic and I'm sure that's not the case.

2794. Rick Adams, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Net Neutrality & Title II need to stay, otherwise crooks like Comcast & Verizon will engage in low-brow tactics. If net neutrality & title II are weaken, I will personally come to & SMASH Ajit Pai's stupid Reese's mug as he cries in the corner of his office.

2795. Derik Van Derbeken, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I STRONGLY SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE 2 OVERSITE OF ISP. IT IS URGENT WE KEEP THE NET NEUTRAL.

2796. Jonathan Ching, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I would like to express my support for strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs to ensure equal and free communication online.

2797. Curtis Watt, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am in strongly in support of net neutrality. I honestly can not imagine an argument against net neutrality that makes sense. If we are supposed to be the country that

promotes the idea of equal opportunity for its citizens I can't imagine how dismantling net neutrality is in line with this core American belief.

2798. David Sherburne, Burbank, CA, 91506
I support strong Net Neutrality. ISPs need to remain classified Title Two in order to protect consumers.

2799. Dequei Saffore, Los Angeles CA, CA, 90027
I specifically support STRONG net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. P.S. it would be very upsetting if we were forced to use "BING" as a search engine

2800. Maneka Dimian, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Ajit Pai,I would like the regulations to remain as they are, particularly Article 2. Please advise if there is any way we can increase regulations on this matter to protect our most precious asset, freedom and equality on the internet. Thank you. Maneka Dimian

2801. Juno Ellis, Burbank, CA, 91506
Please preserve net neutrality, and title II oversight. Do not let corporations dominate, and dictate internet access. Protect the people against corporate abuse.

2802. Karen Kasaba, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Etsy Shop ontherivieraDear FCC,Please protect net neutralityâ€”and tell Congress to oppose Ajit Pai's plan.Thank you,Karen KasabaOn The Riviera Etsy SellerKaren Kasaba

2803. edi vachÃ©, los angeles, CA, 90026
STRONG net neutrality: YES, PLEASE, & backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.~ thank you ~ps. as for my comment, do know there are approximately 600 more people belonging to the group i run who believe the same thing.

2804. Erin Yokel, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Keep net neutrality the way it is. Don't reclassify. Also ditch the mug you toddler.

2805. Nicholas Hernandez, Glendale, CA, 91201
Keep the internet under title 2

2806. John Ozawa, La Canada Flintridge, CA, 91011
I strongly support net neutrality and the oversight over ISP's under Title II.

2807. samuel littlefield, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please don't change net neutrality. We already made this decision 4 years ago. This is a massive waste if time.

2808. Leonie Dela Rosa, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
Keep net neutrality! I strongly support title 2, which guarantees that ISPs will not be allowed to selectively throttle bandwidth by use or application. This is a fair policy

that ensures equal use of internet for everyone. it's vital that we keep those consumer protections in place!

2809. Teresa Roberts, Pasadena, CA, 91105
To the FCC: I strongly urge you NOT to weaken the net neutrality law in Title II. Please do not dismantle or weaken the tough oversight provided by Title II. Please protect the integrity of the Internet. Please protect the public's rights to equal access.

2810. James Bannon, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Please preserve net neutrality and leave title II in place

2811. Francisco Lozada, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Do not touch Title II. We deserve unrestricted access to the internet!

2812. alex meza, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Leave net neutrality intact. The People of the United States deserve unrestricted access to the internet.

2813. John Oliver, no, CA, 91210
I SUPPORT TITLE II AND NET neutrality. Protect us from large corporations.

2814. Matthew Johns, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Ajit Pai,Your vote to repeal Title II status for ISP and broadband companies reflects either a profound misunderstanding of how the internet works, or reflects your status as a bought creature of those companies. In either case, it also shows a blindness to one obvious fact - an overwhelming majority of Americans WANT Net Neutrality and protections. So please. Either listen to us, or respectfully fuck off.Matt

2815. Steven Zacks, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I am writing to express my support for regulating ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act as common carriers.

2816. John D'Agostino, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2 oversight of ISP's. This is extremely important to us... we need to keep the playing field level. Thank you.

2817. Julia Gelb-Zimmerman, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. We're all depending on you.

2818. elizabeth anderson, Glendale, CA, 91208
I strongly support strong net neutrality laws and regulations provided by Title 2. They are necessary for our future.

2819. Sarah Baluch, Glendale, CA, 91206
As a private citizen and consumer of the Internet I value Net Neutrality because I do not want to be exploited without choice by ISPs. The Internet should be a utility. Net Neutrality preserves my rights as a consumer and I do not want them taken

away. The FCC would lose a lot of credibility if it allows this to happen.

2820. Ian Gray, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Current net neutrality rules form the basis for a free and fair internet. The argument that innovation or investment is not occurring because of a lack of ISP's abilities to capitalize on said investments ignores the fact that investment is continuing apace in all sorts of internet based commerce, media and a dizzying array of applications and devices, but more importantly, camouflages the devastation selective pricing of content would cause to the accessibility of the internet for both new potential entrants and for lower-income consumers under the sheep's clothing of "freedom". Efforts to revoke net neutrality should be resoundingly disapproved and recognized as a protection policy for frustrated incumbents -- not a pathway to creativity and more open expression.

2821. corby, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Please preserve net neutrality and tittle 2! It's essential and has no negative effects.

2822. Max Gould-Meisel, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
>>> I specifically SUPPORT STRONG NET NEUTRALITY LAWS backed by Title II (Two) oversight of ISPs. <<<**WE DID THIS 3 YEARS AGO. WE MUST PROTECT SOME SEMBLANCE OF LEVEL PLAYING FIELDS in this country. There is too much inequality already to have favoritism reach to the digital realm.**PLEASE, KEEP TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISPs, and don't let scare tactics of jeopardizing infrastructure investments sway the FCC rules in favor of lawmakers and pay-to-play pundits. NOT COOL. Keep the NET NEUTRALITY LAWS intact, or stronger, and MOVE ON. There are bigger issues facing our changing globe. Thank you, A CONCERNED CITIZEN

2823. Olun Riley, Glendale, CA, 91201
Please support the enforcement of ISPs to keep the Internet neutral. Balkanizing the access of bits into haves and have-nots will shred the very essence of its framework and slow innovation to a crawl. It is the goal of large corporations to garner power over the internet to serve their fiduciary interests and does not permit new arrivals to thrive and play on the same field. I hope you can open your mind to the possibility of allowing a young student at a high school the same access to opportunity as a Comcast. Is this not what the American dream is all about?

2824. Jayson Lauden, Burbank, CA, 91501
I beg of you. Preserve net neutrality and title 2, do not allow the rampant buffoonery that has engulfed our White House to overthrow common sense and reason in these matters.Thank you.

2825. Matthew Hendrix, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I'm a citizen of CA, college graduate and full time employee of a global tech company and I fully support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. From my research, this is a clear necessity in ensuring full freedom for those using internet based resources and preventing a variety of already aggressively

powerful conglomerates from furthering their restrictive, self-interested actions. I hope this independent agency and my local representatives support my values. Thank you for your time.

2826. Brad McLaughlin, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Don't do away with Net Neutrality.

2827. Taylor Gray, Glendale, CA, 91203
Net Neutrality is too important to mess around with. There is no true benefit to eliminating Net Neutrality except for the bottom line of the Telecoms. Your role is to lookout for the consumer, not the other way around.

2828. Zane Hudson, burbank, CA, 91506
John Oliver loves olives, or "all of her" yooooo.

2829. Alan Clark, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I would like to make a comment that I strongly urge to keep net neutrality. Net neutrality is extremely important to keep internet speeds neutral, and affordable not just for those who are already thriving, but also for those who have innovations that can eventually sprout into thriving companies. These smaller companies need the opportunity to be seen by the American public. Removing net neutrality makes it so innovation is much more difficult for those who haven't already created a fortune. Innovation made our country great off of those who had little but spread the word of their innovation and grew to build our economy as a land full of innovation. Not by only those who could afford to be innovators but by all sorts of backgrounds. That's what separated us from other countries. Equal opportunity. The expectation that giving those who are successful more access to fast internet will provide more innovation than the vast, VAST majority of our country is absurd and shows little faith in the American Dream. It's the average Americans who bring innovative ideas that provide a growing economy. Let's not forget that Bill Gates before his success once lived in a van. Steve Jobs could barely afford to go to college. With the internet now being a huge part of business, kneecapping those who compete with larger businesses in our current society stifles competition and is terrible for all Americans.DO NOT remove net neutrality. It is imperative that as Americans we give equal opportunity to all innovators and businesses if we hope to continue to provide what our country was founded on as "The American Dream".

2830. Glory Sikka, glendale, CA, 91208
We support Net neutrality and that ISPs should be protected under Title 2

2831. Ariana Jackson, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please legally preserve and protect net neutrality!

2832. clare schneider, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
NET NEUTRALITY IS A BASIC DEMOCRATIC PRINCIPAL don't you fucking dare

2833. Isaac Schneider, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I don't support the dismantling of net neutrality. Ajit Pai, as a former verizon lawyer, knows very well how ISP providers stand to profit from deregulating such rules. I believe that every person deserves access to the internet as a human right & giving more power to ISP providers is only going to make it harder to achieve that goal.Thank you for your time.

2834. Stephanie, Glendale, CA, 91201
Keep the internet a place for freedom of speech and freedom of information

2835. jesse lee, hollywood, CA, 90028
either give me back my net neutral internet and right to privacy, or we will replace you and it. Sen. Ron Johnson (R-Wis.) has been making statements immediately after your intentionally weak positions on net neutrality and right to privacy, that will destroy this internet - specifically extra expensive fast lanes. There are already ways for companies to purchase more dedicated bandwidth between them and the last mile isp, this would destroy the individual's ability to compete and express one's self both anonymously and reach an audience, based on simple things like site performance. It's unacceptable, quit acting like a criminal please.

2836. zachary taylor, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Net neautrality and title 2 are extremely important for us to maintain. We cannot allow companies to be only held accountable by their own discretion. The FCC chairmen should remember his former employers lawsuit that required the FCC to switch it from title one to title two in the first place. Fishy is putting it lightly. Just like his assertion that he drinks multiple cups of coffee out of that rediculous mug. You can over-caffeinate. Thank you for your time.

2837. Tracy Weed, San Pedro, CA, 91020
I demand net neutrality for fair and equitable internet browsing.

2838. Ramon Juncal, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I strongly support net neutrality as it is under the Title Two regulations. Thank you for your consideration.Ramon Juncal

2839. Kevin Birnbaum, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I support Net Neutrality. Keep the internet for the people please, not big corporations.

2840. James Hassinger, Glendale, CA, 91202
I am writing to protest the attempt to go back to the status quo ante in the matter of net neutrality. Yes, I believe that the rules presented by the former administration were better. The open internet is served much better that way. But the truth is, the crux of our problem is the stranglehold the ISPs represent on all expression by the means of their monopoly on the pipe the Internet comes through, but particularly on the cable box. You can, as I do, choose an internet plan only. I pay $65 for my fast broadband of 100mbps. However, I am told that I could have it for $29.95 if I take

the full package: Internet, TV and phone. This means $100 a month. I am pressured most of all into accepting TV service. Here's the problem with that: just this year AT&T brought out DirectTV Now, their app for the various services like Apple TV, Chromecast and FireTV, and Google, SlingTV and Hulu have done the same. Yet, I am forced, once I take only Internet service from Spectrum, to use Spectrum, at least to get the monopoly pricing relaxed. If I take the other competing lineups, I pay more than twice as much. The fact is, our cable system is monopolistic generally, and if the new rules are put in place, they would begin exerting the same undue influence in that medium. The purchase of NBC by a cable player was a terrible idea for that reason, and the stranglehold they have on their hundreds of channels will draw tighter in the new arena. I would most want cable providers, and wireless networks, to be network providers, and to compete on that basis. If left on its own, that business might be just as it should be: for profit if there is competiton, a public utility if there is not. The addition of tv programming with that service should be open to the consumer and the free market. The above packages from the digital companies are a beginning. They should be allowed to prosper, and grow. A website might sell a single program, and any number of entities might offer their own packages. Until then, "cable tv" is part of a monopolistic business practice which serves a small number of very wealthy companies to have an unfair stranglehold on programming.

2841. Alec LourenÃ§o, La Canada Flintridge, CA, 91011
I support net neutrality enforced under Title II. Chairman Pai, I strongly urge you to do so as well, as it prevents a mechanism by which companies can unfairly target their competitors.

2842. helen eigenberg, Los angeles, CA, 90004
I am completely outraged by FCC Chairman Pai's attempt to get rid of Net Neutrality. We need an open internet because without it we create a slow lane for people who can't afford steep prices. It means a high speed internet for corporate American and a slow lane for the rest of us. stop the nonsense. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY

2843. Batya, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
..

2844. Batya, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
..

2845. Batya, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
..

2846. Batya, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
..

2847. Batya, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
..

2848. Robert Harkness, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Dear Members of the Federal Communications Commission,Internet service providers of the United States should remain classified as Title II, and should NOT be reclassified as Title I.This is a blatant money and power grab by the huge telecommunication corporations of America. Huge conglomerates, such as AT&T and Verizon, have business interests in providing content, which is a clear conflict of interest, seeing they already own the lines through which internet service for most of the United States, runs though. Sadly, telecommunications companies have already been allowed to operate as a monopoly in most internet service provider markets nationwide. The vast majority of the US consumers only have one option for internet service, as I, myself do. A lack of competition in any market is not only the way that progress and innovation get stunted in growth, it is fundamentally, wholly un-American.This reeks of corporate greed in collusion with goverment corruption. Most disturbingly, there is hardly an attempt to veil the greedy motive behind what would be a huge disservice and injustice to the American public. FCC Chairman, Ajit Pai, is a former government lobbyist for the Verizon Corporation. This itself is a conflict of interest. Mr. Pai should not even be eligible for the position of FCC Chairman due to his former position as a telecommunication lobbyist. Keeping Internet service providers as Title II classification is the right thing to do. Though I have no doubt that morals are not your guiding force, I ask you to consider the repercussions you will likely face in the form of public resentment, and a place in history as contributor to a the growing wealth gap in the United States. The ever-growing class divide in America will likely reach a breaking point if policies such as this are allowed to become law, raising the potential for another American civil war as well as the destruction of this great nation. No amount of wealth which you may amass via passage of this policy will save you from the wrath of the many who feel discarded, nor will it provide you shelter you from the harsh and unforgiving daylight of history.Sincerely,Robert Harkness

2849. Phillip Ta, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I believe net neutrality is important for society to protect people who are less aware of what it is. Not everyone reads up on NN and deserve to just grab whatever internet is available, fast and affordable. Just let them move on with their lives and let them make the world a better place for crying out loud.

2850. Carl Watts, Tujunga, CA, 91042
The internet is a vehicle of free speech and information. It must remain free as the government and special interest, big corporations, continually try to restrict the flow of information. I want to choose what I watch and listen to, not be subjected to round the clock attempted programing/conditioning. A few big corporations should not profit from restricting the internet.

2851. Gregory C Doane, MD, La Canada, , CA, 91011
I want to keep our democracy. I am opposed to corporatetakeovers of large parts of our access to information, privacy (!), and sickening corruption of our political leaders by big money. Net neutrality is paramount. If you mess with it,the public

reaction will get big and bigger.

2852. Tim Ireland, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Net neutrality is extremely important to me. I want an open and free internet. Internet should be treated like a utility, not a regular service.

2853. Bill Long, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
The internet is still such a young technology. It is a new form of expression and communication, one that can unite people all over the world. To stifle that technology while it is young would be tragic; to do so in the name of corporate greed and governmental insecurity would be criminal.

2854. Christine Hagopian, Glendale, CA, 91202
Without net neutrality, big companies could censor people and perspectives online, creators and entrepreneurs could struggle to reach new users. Investment in new ideas would dry up.

2855. E Price, Los Angeles , CA, 90026
In the age of information, we rely on rapid, smooth communications and streaming. So many services and industries count on this. Do NOT allow greed to choke our precious freedom, which wanes daily under The Current Occupant of The White House.

2856. shawn harris, Hollywood, CA, 90028
It's basic. The internet thrives due to the masses and does not need to be another acquisition by the likes of AT&T, etc.

2857. Michael Robin, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I value the ability to get information from ALL sources at the same speed. It would be deeply upsetting to me if data from foreign or smaller web-only investigative journalists were given a slower lane than big companies with lots of money.

2858. Aram Arakelyan, Sun Valley, CA, 91352
Please maintain net neutrality and keep ISP under Title II. I believe it is essential to maintain because it provides a level playing field for all. Everyone should have a equal opportunity to stream the internet and case their voice out just like in society. Please protect this right to free speech by ensuring we all get to broadcast our voice without it being limited by other parties. Kindly,

2859. franklin odel, los angeles, CA, 90039
Net neutrality protects consumers. Don't sell out America.

2860. Charles Degelman, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
The FCC push to lower the bar on net neutrality qualifies as pandering. The Internet is NOT up for sale to the highest bidder, certainly not by a government agency charged with maintaining communication as a service to the people, not corporate power. The current desperate attempt to erode the Internet subscribes to the drive-it-

like-you-stole it tactics of a rogue administration that knows it must deconstruct our government now, before it is taken down by its own malfeasance. This transparent FCC attack on the public waves of the Internet simply apes other privatizing efforts including our education system, the U.S. postal service, our social security, and medical support. We know what they are doing and we, the people, will not allow our government to be mutated into a cluster of corporations. Thwarted by our unity, the FCC efforts, along with those of so many other turncoat governmental departments, will fail.

2861. Susan McCurdy, Pasadena, CA, 91105
As a retiree, I am concerned that my right to decide what I choose to view online will be adversely affected without net neutrality. I want to be able to access unbiased information and sites and not have that decision made by someone else. Please do not remove this protection for internet users.

2862. Daniel, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I'm deaf. The Internet lets me communicate with others much more easily and with fewer barriers than usual. If net neutrality is removed, it will heavily impact me and many others like me.

2863. Elena Gerli, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Citizens United inadvertently (but unsurprisingly) has given the wealthy a distinct free speech advantage. Let's not make this worse by also giving the wealthy unfettered speech on the internet and everyone else no voice.

2864. Kathleen Meyer, Glendale, CA, 91207
Please keep the net neutrality. As a consumer, I already have enough problems with AT&T and Verizon trying to throttle me with their own demands about the service I HAVE to take, and then giving me poor service.

2865. Roger Wolf, Pasadena, CA, 91105
Keep the playing field level. Oligarchy of the internet is not good for our society. Net neutrality democratizes our communications and prevents censorship (intentional or inadvertent) by the rich and powerful.

2866. Stephen Nichols, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Net neutrality has provably resulted in higher productivity, jobs and sales for our nation and for the world.Maintaining net neutrality will help to make products, jobs and sales increasing, with resultant profitability for all who employ the net, as the market size increases with net neutrality in place.Without net neutrality, markets shrink in size and thus in profitability. Taking actions to curtail net neutrality are very short-sighted and non-viable.

2867. Kristin Anne Donner, Glendale, CA, 91205
In the interest of free speech, free enterprise for business and organizations of all sizes, and equal access to the internet I request that the FCC protect net neutrality. Net neutrality appears to preserve the pioneering spirit and freedoms on which this

country was based and therefore I support it.

2868. Anne Swatfigure, Glendale, CA, 91206
I'm a consumer and I'm paying for use of the net. I am paying to be able to choose what I watch and do online. I currently have Charter cable and they do things I don't approve of, but I have to tolerate because it is the only Internet I can get where I live. Charter is concerned for their profits before my desires, and someone needs to protect me from them.

2869. John D Erdie Jr, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
You may not both glean and utilize the vast stores of data now available on the neutral net for social engineering and the many other known usages to which they have been put AND now make it a mere tool of big media. We will not tolerate this ouroboric commodification. If you do away with net neutrality, you can't imagine the internationally destabilizing repercussions that will result.

2870. Anne Swatfigure, Glendale, CA, 91206
Companies like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon are money making operations and they don't make money for the people who are forced to use their services. Their first rule is profit, not enlightenment or education, and they have not demonstrated that they will take my money and still do what they want, not what I want. Cable TV is the channels they want to package for their profit, not necessarily the shows a subscriber wants to see. What I view, when I view it, is what I pay for, and it needs to meet my needs, not some providers.

2871. Rolf Mauermann, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Net neutrality is essential. Without it, ISPs can censor anything that they want.

2872. Christopher Bell, Glendale, CA, 91205
I use the internet every day for work, and when I'm not working I can connect with friends across the globe whom I would never otherwise see. And even that is a gross oversimplification of just how large a positive impact the internet has on my life. If internet access is allowed to be treated as anything other than a public utility--like phone lines, power, gas, water--the negative impact on my life would be astronomical. I could easily be priced out of working, playing, connecting with friends. And once that door's open, it's not easily closed. Please don't ruin this for me.

2873. Daniel Shakely, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I do not support the repeal of net neutrality or any rules that would treat the Internet as anything other than a public utility. My personal experience with most major cable companies is they are incompetent, uncaring businesses who are more than willing to provide inadequate and censored services if it means they can make more profit. Without government oversight, they will surely apply these policies to a public service I depend on for employment, education, and the vital enjoyment of experiencing a free exchange of ideas. I am sure I would not even be aware of these proposed rules if cable companies were allowed to censor the Internet, weakening

my value as a democratic citizen. Please, for the sake of our culture, our economy, and our rights as Americans, do NOT repeal Net Neutrality.

2874. Alex Roman, Glendale, CA, 91202
I absolutely and utterly support Net Neutrality. It DOES NOT belong in the hands of companies like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon! The internet is a public forum to me. Its for the people. Allowing a larger entity to take over the functionality and rules of how the internet is used takes away civil rights or at least can poses a threat to the opportunities & freedom of expression the internet has provided both good and bad.

2875. Mike, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
The claims of protecting Americans are challenged when our freedoms are limited. If the President claims he can say and tweet whatever he wants, we should be able to go on whatever websites we choose. America is built on freedom. You cannot follow some of the laws.

2876. Eddy Polon, Burbank, CA, 91501
I am both a consumer and a business owner who relies on the internet - and would likely be hurt by the loss of net neutrality. Please - keep the internet open to everyone. Thanks.

2877. Ron Vanderford, Burbank, CA, 91501
The internet is one of the most important things ever to affect humanity. Net Neutrality should remain in place so that all people can use it free of charge. The internet is not your property to sell to the highest bidder. Thanks, Ron Vanderford

2878. Sheila Byers, Sunland, CA, 91040
Please keep the web open to disabled persons like me. I feel that we can improve education, and make it available to everyone, with access to the web. Education is my first and foremost issue when it comes to voting, so can the web as it is such a part of the ability to explore one's world and share in the awesomeness of it.

2879. Al TeMan, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Net neutrality CANNOT be obliterated.Please do the proper, DECENT thing and keep the status quo.

2880. Marcy Minton, Los Angeles , CA, 90027
I'm a writer and actor, and it's important for me to be able to share my work on the internet. Creative artists already have so little autonomy over our careers; creating original work and sharing it on the internet is the best way to get seen. I want people to be able to see what I'm sharing. It makes people laugh, it makes people think, it makes people happy, and it helps me further my career so that I can share with even more people. Plus, I don't want big companies dictating what I can watch and when. We're used to net neutrality. It feels like a fundamental right. Please don't take that right away. Thank you.

2881. Jesse Ainslie, Los Angeles, CA, 90026

It is important that internet access be treated as a public resource. Free speech, technological innovation, and freedom of choice all rely on an open internet. Giving corporations governing power over internet access will erode all of these.

2882. Joshua Konrad , Tujunga , CA, 91042
Net neutrality is imperative to protecting the financial viability of what makes using the internet such a wonderful engine of commerce and capitalism. It is worth protecting and preserving. Thank you.

2883. Karis Robertson, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Free and open internet is important because it allows all people the ability to gain access to knowledge and education and this invaluable tool should remain unobstructed to benefit mankind.

2884. Nancy Beningo, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I dislike the concept of any entity evaluating content and manipulating access. All voices should be heard. Since I have to pay to access the internet, why should that company be allowed to monetize my participation? It's like having to pay twice.

2885. John D. Groper, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I am concerned that the FCC is considering changing the rules covering "net neutrality." I use the internet daily and, like many other Americans, have come to rely on it for news, information a nd entertainment. In my home we frequently have three or more devices connected to the internet at the same time. The cocept of "net neutrality" is clear to me, and I support it wholeheartedly. Please do not change the rules. Thank you.

2886. Leslie Silton, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am absolutely appalled at the idea that the internet is going to subjected to all kinds of restrictions. The internet does not belong to anyone or any company. People are doing huge amounts of business on the internet, but the internet itself requires neutrality. There are always going to be attacks on democracy because the idea of democracy is freedom and anti-social types HATE that idea above all. Well, they may fume and hate, but no one has the right to end Net Neutrality.

2887. Don Romine, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Large companies already control and dictate way too much to Americans. Please keep the internet neutral.

2888. Meg Huntington Cajero, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I just gave my name and address for this comment and I damn well don't want Comcast, ATT, Verizon or any of the others using it without my direct permission - so, keep the net out of any of the cable companies.

2889. George Preno, Burbank, CA, 91504
To be able to surf the web without fear and judgement allows the world to be connected; to exchange information and grow together.

2890. Milton Camp, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Let us KEEP what FREEDOMS we have left!

2891. Richard Snyder, La Canada, CA, 91011
Small Business and innovators and those with the initiative and the courage to fund and give birth to start-ups should be given precedence. Not big business. Otherwise, the internet will be despoiled for the millions upon millions who use it. Plus, the vision of those who started the internet will be degraded.

2892. Stephen Nadee, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Protect the consumers, not the corporations. In the end it's us who get negatively affected and not the provider. But then again, does our government even care what people want?

2893. American lover, america, CA, 91205
please allow us to use the web internet freely with no extra hassles thank you government

2894. Gavin Langmuir-Logan, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Net neutrality is important to maintain an open internet that functions for all. Why would we give the most liberating technology ever invented to companies with abysmal customer service ratings and a track record for limiting access? You work for the people, protect the rights of people to a free and fair internet.

2895. Stacy Weddington, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I have cancer. I don't want corporate influence or interference in my information flow - especially since many of those corporations are tied to the the environmental factors that got me sick in the first place.

2896. Ray De Hart, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
It is my heart felt desire that the world wide web remains neutral with no one dictating what is allowed to be viewed on line.

2897. Angel Jimenez, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Since Am an adult, I should be able to watch anything my little heart desires.

2898. Carrie James, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net neutrality protects democracy and supports citizens of all backgrounds to engage in their interests and their world. It is essential to fundamental human rights. Specifically, net neutrality is key to freedom of information, freedom of expression, and freedom of assembly. Removing net neutrality protections violates these rights and harms the future of the United States. Reject any such measures.

2899. Frances Zapanta, Los Angeles, CA, 90036
We deserve privacy of our information especially from big companies who will only use it against us.

2900. Rian Kountzhouse, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Please leave net neutrality alone! It is fundamental to Free Speech.

2901. Kate Eberle, Glendale, CA, 91201
Net Neutrality is critical to freedom of expression and the open flow of information that keeps our country competitive and innovative.

2902. Michael Magness, Burbank , CA, 91506
Please do not destroy the internet by handing it over to the greedy corporations. They will only seek to monetize and restrict our freedom for their own selfish gains.

2903. Mark Anderson , 90028, CA, 90028
Get rid of net neutrality! Net neutrality favors is cronyism!

2904. Andrew Wood, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
The Internet is the spine of our modern democracy. To maintain a vital society, we much have a free and open Internet.

2905. Josh Story, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
To me, a free and open internet is the most important vessel for change and progress humanity has. Knowledge is power and without net neutrality the balance of truth and knowledge will surely be shifted (even farther from where it is now). This will harm us all.

2906. Patricia Churchill, Pasadena, CA, 91105
PLEASE leave Net Neutrality alone!!! Removing the protections of Net neutrality creates an uneven playing field for education. Without internet neutrality, those with money will be able to afford the higher costs while the rest will be unable afford access to the same content. This is an issue of equity and ethics.

2907. Tiffany Donald, Burbank, CA, 91504
FCC do not hand over our rights to big companies. I do not think this is the solution to the problem. If anything it will create more issues.You cannot take away our rights like this. I believe you will create more problems by taking away our rights. What is going on in this country? I cannot believe that we as citizens are losing our rights. I do think that comment sections on media should not be allowed anymore. People cannot even talk to each other properly and people get bullied. You tube should just have videos but no comment sections. newsfeed and media should not have comment sections...but we should be able to choose what we watch and do online regardless. Comment sections are not even needed.Facebook & Twitter I think needs to be taken down permanently. Too much crime is happening.Everything should remain the same just no comment sections. Im not saying I do not believe in free speech...because I do but I feel certain rules need to be put in place to prevent the downfall of society.The problem with the internet is people fighting on the internet...enabling bullying. By not allowing comment sections...that solves a big issue right there. Just do not take away our rights to search what we want online or watch. Businesses rely on the internet for exposure to

help their companies.

2908. Eric Byers, Glendale, CA, 91214
An open internet has become a necessity for our nation. We need to preserve this in order to enable free speach and the free flow of information. Our democracy depends on it.

2909. CHRIS COVELLA, LA, CA, 90039
Please don't hand over control to these special interests. I am in complete support of net neutrality.

2910. Ward Monty, West Hollywood , CA, 90069
Give the American people a break.

2911. Paul Leslie, Burbank, CA, 91504
I would like to state my opposition to the FCC's decision to do away with net neutrality. I am getting so tired of government constantly siding with corporate monied interests at the expense of the common citizenry and here we have yet another glaring example. I support net neutrality and urge the FCC to also support it.

2912. Domingo Rivera, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
A free internet is everyone's right. It belongs to us all, not for the privileged few to profit off of our private information or monopolize as their own.

2913. Joseph Bates, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Everything else in this system is favored to the rich or the corporations. Let this be the one thing in our lives that is distributed evenly. I can't imagine the scope of negative feelings that bowing to them once again would bring.

2914. Corey Chavez, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Net Neutrality is directly tied to free speech; providing people with more money faster access to their voice being heard directly undermines their liberty.I, along with every person I know, are vehemently against overturning Net Neutrality. Please vote accordingly.Thank you.

2915. Christine Stafford, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I don't want to be at the mercy of commerce deciding what sites I use or how fast I am able to access any of them. We need a free and open internet.

2916. Alyse, Burbank, CA, 91501
I simply cannot afford to purchase objective content. Information shouldn't be marketable, I don't want to live in a vacuum designed by computer algorithms in order to exploit the most money out of me. I do not want to sacrifice my wallet to remain an educated and informed voting member of society.

2917. Carlo De Santis, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
We NEED net neutrality in this day and age, which is exactly why the attempt is

being made to rip it from our hands. Do the right thing!

2918. Philip Dennison, Glendale, CA, 91205
Individuals should be able to use the internet as they wish without unfair corporate interference.

2919. Scott Gruber, Los Angeles , CA, 90026
I'm a small business owner offering Internet Services and want to compete on an even playing field. Keep the net neutral helps me by not favoring businesses with deep pockets able to pay for faster connections. Innovation could be curtailed to captial rich companies rather than smart companies.

2920. Felicia Nilson, Burbank, CA, 91506
Don't you get it? This is the 3rd time that "the people" have come out en masse saying that they want Net Neutrality yet you still try to slip one past us at least once a year. No means no!

2921. Gilbert Bernardy, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support "net neutrality" as it currently exists. Allowing giant cable to control speeds will only lead to higher prices for consumers and diminished innovation for entrepreneurs.

2922. Bjorn Johnson, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
There is more damage done to the general public, more loss for the majority of citizens than there is benefit to the 1%'s by losing internet neutrality. Please keep the internet neutral.

2923. Edward Kralian, La Crescenta , CA, 91214
I'm requesting that the FCC leave the current Net Neutrality rules as they are, in place. Net Neutrality has been working and is providing a fair level playing field for all. Thanks

2924. David S Fruechting, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
The Internet, as it was conceived and founded, is an open public highway delivering information to all equally. No longer an experiment, people the world over have come to rely on this digital pipeline for communication, socialisation, commerce, and research as an essential part of their daily lives Ã¢Â€Â” surpassing the telephone in necessity. The Internet has become the very definition of a public utility. Its universal access has allowed numerous business and entertainment ideas to be born and grow into staggering multi-national enterprises. Do not let powerful and wealthy ideologues and corporations skew the rules of the game in their favor, stifling the voices and innovators who challenge and compete with them. I, myself, have dreams of creating a successful online company, but could never compete for lanes on the "information highway" controlled by those with massive capital. Protect Internet neutrality. Keep the future open to every person, young or old, rich or poor. Do the right thing.

2925. Caryn Sakuda, pasadena, CA, 91105
I hope our comments are not in vain. We, the people demand neutrality of the internet. Please do not allow big money to influence your decisions. This will affect you and your children as well. I demand net neutrality

2926. c b woodmansee, los angeles, CA, 90046
i want to protect net neutrality and keep it away from big business.

2927. Kenneth Peters, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please keep net neutrality. Do not let network owners favor or disfavor different traffic based on corporate relationships or who can pay.

2928. Carol Ferguson, Glendale, CA, 91206
FREE SPEECH FOREVER!!!!

2929. Matthew Pizzano, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
PLEASE STOP THIS ASSAULT. I am so tired of the government siding with major business over the citizenry. Please protect the people that need you and support net neutrality.

2930. Doug Hansen, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
No fast or slow lanesÃ¢Â€Â”just one, open Internet! Companies do NOT do what is 'right' for consumers, only share holders! Companies, especially ISPs require guidance from government, or the consumers suffer. period.

2931. Paula Outon, Hollywood, CA, 90038
We need net neutrality because among other things,the Internet is the next huge market in which the future of the economy it's going to be determined, if we put in the hands of the companies, we're handing them the future of the entire human race, and that may seem exaggerated now, but in ten years no one will even doubt it.

2932. Lauren Schneider, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Net neutrality is fundamental to freedom of speech, a fundamental of our country. Please protect and respect net neutrality.

2933. Christopher Turner, Pasadena, CA, 91105
Net neutrality is important to me. I expect internet users' rights to be protected. Internet traffic should always be treated EQUALLY.

2934. Alex Ruder, W Hollywood, CA, 90046
one of our first amendment to have freedom (including new things that are open-source

2935. Michael Jackson, los angeles, CA, 90027
The open internet has become a necessity and a window to the world.It is imperative to keep it that way for our generation and future generations so they can expand their unrestricted knowledge through an unrestricted internet. Selling the internet to

corporations will negatively affect all and it will diminish the little faith left in our government leaders.

2936. Sam Tofsted, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please be an advocate for the American consumer and American small business. Listen to what the majority is saying about net neutrality and please take it to heart. The Internet is an unprecedented utility that deserves and needs to be neutral of political and commercial interests. Without regulations and safeguards to allow this, it will surely attract those looking for loopholes to direct and manipulate users' thoughts and wallets. Sometimes regulation is good and privatization is bad. Just because something generates more money doesn't necessarily mean it's good. Please don't be on the wrong side of history with this one.

2937. Nobody Swan, Sun;and, CA, 91040
Net Neutrality MUST be preserved! Our Constitution has been torn to useless pieces. Let's not shred the Internet to match. Please!

2938. Marianne Marra, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Net neutrality is essential for a free and open marketplace. All businesses and consumers deserve a level playing field. We demand it!

2939. Coral Weston, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
The internet to which we belong is a private way to communicate, maintain files (easier than paper) .... THE Private business of individuals! That should remain MINE!!

2940. Peter W T Lau, Glendale, CA, 91205
I received a waning e-mail saying that my new desk-,top computer with window 10 was attacked by a lot of advertisements. I don't know what I can do to eliminate that. Please advise what can I do toavoid the hundred of advertisements appearing on my computer screen every day. THANK YOU VERY MUCH.

2941. Thea Nelson, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The internet was created to be an equal platform. There are many things with in the internet that do create equality. Therefore it is up to the Unites States Government to keep as much equality in the internet as possible. For the people, by the people.

2942. Nick Cron-DeVico, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Freedom of speech is at stake, which means democracy is at stake. This is not hyperbole.

2943. Pete Morris, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I fully support net neutrality! Leave our internet well alone!

2944. Margaret Dolly, Burbank, CA, 91506
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech! Net neutrality allows consumers Ã¢Â€Â” not big companies Ã¢Â€Â” to choose what they watch & do online!!!

This is vital to our future!

2945. Vincent DeVille, Hollywood, CA, 90028
Without Net neutrality we could as well be living in Russia or Pakistan where the internet is censored and manipulated by the government. Don't let this happen!

2946. Wesley Kennedy, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I absolutely support net neutrality. It is an important aspect of our right to free speech. Government has no place meddling with our internet. Hands off our internet.

2947. Mario Medina, Glendale, CA, 91205
Who's voice should be suppressed? Keep it open; keep sunlight on it.; (sic)

2948. George Dmitrevsky, La Canada, CA, 91011
TV signal providers have made it difficult for us to get certain material we want. Please do not allow them to control what we watch or are able to obtain on the internet. Freedom of content and the ability to obtain it unimpeded any time should be paramount. Freedom should not include censorship. We as individuals should have the ability and desire to censor ourselves.

2949. Larry Walsh, Shadow Hills, CA, 91040
Keep the internet neutral. Internet rules should remain in the title 2 aspect of the law. Do not empower the mega ISP companies.

2950. Mark Gravender, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. This is so important!

2951. Matthew Bacis, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I use my internet connection for business purposes, personal communications, and for entertainment. I do not subscribe to any television services, all content that I watch is streaming as I cannot afford to nor do I want to pay for packages of channels to receive the few programs I am interested in. Not keeping the internet open and equal will allow ISPs to influence what is available for me to watch and I do not have the option to choose another ISP. Please do not allow this to happen.Thank you.

2952. Megan E, los angeles, CA, 90027
Keep net neutrality intact as previously legislated with full oversight on ISPs under Title 2.

2953. Thomas Kelly, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Reclassifying ISPs to Title I will hurt small businesses and competition on the internet. Do not prioritize the profits of cable companies over the social value that comes with equality of information on the internet. Without net neutrality protections, the internet would become a pay-to-play landscape, where small

businesses would not be able to convince ISPs to treat their traffic fairly, while large businesses will make deals with ISPs to prioritize traffic to their sites.For ISP conglomerates which have conflicts of interest, such as Verizon/Yahoo, Verizon will legally slow down traffic to other search engines (Google, Bing), while prioritizing traffic to their own web properties. This is akin to giving retail companies control over the traffic lights that lead to their stores.To protect small businesses and a competitive internet landscape, do not reclassify ISPs back to Title I.

2954. Jessica von Medicus, Burbank, CA, 91505
Keep the internet neutral and keep Title II intact!!

2955. Charis Hearn, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I support net neutrality and an open and free internet. In addition, I support broadband companies like Comcast, Verizon, Cox,etc. being classified under Title II. Please do not till back these necessary regulations.

2956. Karla Hassenstein, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net neutrality is an absolute must now and in our future. This must be preserved.

2957. Madeline Blair Pendergraft, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Equal access to online information is crucial! Net Neutrality is a vital component of our everyday lives. Preserve Net Neutrality!

2958. Michael delCampo, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
We need net neutrality. It's necessary!

2959. Avram Gold, Pasadena, CA, 91105
Preserve Title 2 for maintaining net neutrality.

2960. Jennifer Gay, Burbank, CA, 91506
I am commenting in favor of net neutrality. It is extremely important for a free country such as the US to maintain open access across the Internet. Companies cannot be permitted to build in paid prioritization to determine who is "more worthy" of quicker/easier access to information. The Internet is a unifying, equalizing tool, and to dismantle the protections that keep it that way would be anti-democratic. Thank you for your time.

2961. Ann Husaini, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Changing Net Neutrality laws is the most absurd thing I've heard of, and that includes the unpopular President's tweets. I demand Chairman Ajit Pai contact me and explain to me personally how his scheme is going to help me as a consumer or a citizen. Listen, Chairman Pai- I already pay $99 /month for 300 mbps internet that doesn't even work efficiently from the ONE, count it, ONE ISP/cable carrier in my LOS ANGELES zip code, Spectrum, a merged version of Time Warner. So, on top of that $99, they're going to charge more for certain CONTENT PROVIDERS they don't own? I don't think so. Let's imagine a provider such as Netflix is one on my

ISP's hitlist. Now I'd be getting charged THREE FEES, once for my Netflix subscription, once for my ISP's high speed package which is already exorbitantly priced because there is insufficient competition, and a third time if I want my high speed package to play Netflix at a normal speed! How is this to my benefit? And explain exactly how this plan will help rural citizens get internet unless you actually legislate ISPs to take their profits and build it? It already isn't profitable for ISPs to go to sparsely populated areas, and with this new ruling it will stay that way. Why? With an opportunity to create price structures like this, fewer people there can afford it because they aren't as affluent. So, they'll just raise fees in the highly populated areas and keep on collecting their dollars. If they wanted to invest in rural access, they'd have built the infrastructure long ago and written it down in their taxes as a business expenditure as does every other company. Furthermore, have you considered how your plan will affect internet access for those who aren't affluent? No one can WORK in this economy without ISP access. If I make 100K a year and the price I pay for internet is already absurd, what about the people who work just as hard but make less, and can only afford the cheapest service? And what about political speech? Is that only for those who can afford to pay the ISP three fees for their internet, Facebook, and Twitter services? Now you're hurting Americans economically and affecting their political voice. Hey, why don't you just give it up and mail every American a 28.8mbps modem, since that's the speed most average Americans will be able to afford once you get rid of our CONSUMER AND FREE SPEECH PROTECTIONS? This is outrageous and it doesn't even make economic sense if infrastructure expansion is your goal. You work for the GOVERNMENT now, which means the American people, not corporations! So find another scheme to help businesses make ISP rural expansions more affordable and their services more competitive. Here's an idea - how about getting the unpopular minority-elected White House to actually propose a concept for their infrastructure bill and get it passed?

2962. Patrick Daly, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Keep the Internet as a Type II information service. Changing the classification will greatly disrupt the way the internet works, for the worse. The internet is a beautiful tool of creativity and growth for the world, and by allowing companies to throttle sites, you are going to destroy what makes it so.

2963. Lala Angelena, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Net Neutrality must be preserved... maintain Title 2 protections

2964. H Allen Evans, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I strongly support the maintenance of the current Net Neutrality rules promulgated during the Obama administration.

2965. Marc Dube, Glendale, CA, 91202
Free speech! C'mon, FCC! Don't fall victim in the same way other policies have. THINK before you DO!

2966. Matt Mayer, Los Angeles, CA, 90046

In the Matter of Restoring Internet Freedom, WC Docket No. 17-108 I am a writer and an associate member of the Writers Guild of America West (WGAW). I am submitting comments to express my strong support for the existing Open Internet Order. The Order has allowed the Internet to remain an open platform where consumers, rather than a few powerful gatekeepers, decide what content and information they want to access. By protecting the free market online, the Open Internet Order promotes free speech, competition, investment and innovation. The Internet is a transformative platform for free speech and creative expression. The ability to bypass traditional gatekeepers and innovate without permission has enhanced competition and transformed industries. The news, information and entertainment offered online have driven broadband adoption, and the growth of online video in particular has driven demand for faster Internet speeds. Allowing Internet service providers (ISPs) to decide what content consumers can access and on what terms threatens this progress. For content creators, the open Internet has been critically important. Faced with an increasingly consolidated industry where a handful of companies decide whose stories are told, the open Internet has brought forth new buyers and distribution outlets. Billions of dollars are invested each year in online video programming. In this year alone, WGAW members will write upwards of 100 original online series. But this new market constitutes a competitive threat to the traditional media programmers. Without the current rules, distribution conglomerates would have the power to eliminate new competition. Under the proposed plan, we can expect the Internet to turn into cable television, with a few corporate gatekeepers controlling what content and information are available to consumers. The threat is not hypothetical: prior to the 2015 rules, Comcast, Time Warner Cable, Verizon and AT&T degraded Netflixï¿½s traffic even though their customers had paid to access the content and services of their choice. I strongly oppose the FCCï¿½s proposal to rescind the Open Internet Order and to reclassify broadband Internet access service as a Title I information service. These actions will eliminate the FCCï¿½s ability to enact and enforce rules that prevent discriminatory conduct or censorship by ISPs. The D.C. Circuit Court twice rejected the FCCï¿½s open Internet rules when broadband was classified under Title I. I urge the FCC not to undermine the existing open Internet rules.

2967. Mary Iampietro, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Hey, There's a form letter from my union below but I wanted you to hear directly from me first. I also assume you ignore letters that start with the same heading. Or maybe you ignore all of these letters. I sure as hell would. But here's the thing, if we don't keep the internet open we will slip further into a 3rd world regime. And here's where you think I'm hyperbolic and reversing this order isn't a big deal and it's just about providing great internet access by allowing a few companies to be able to do a few regulations and promote a few things. But here's the thing. You know which countries restrict internet access, China, Saudi Arabia, North Korea, Iran. You know how the rest of the world feels about those countries? Not great. You know how the rest of the world feels about America right now? Also not great. Now what would reversing the existing the open internet order do for our standing in the world? Would it put us more in the great or not so great column of countries? I'm guessing

not so great. And it may seem like a small drop in the bucket of the thousands of decisions our government has to make. But each of those decisions has reverberations. What may seem like a great idea for Time Warner and Comcast and whoever else right now, will actually end up limiting our business with countries abroad who see us slipping further into the dark ages.Open internet = Setting an example for the world = cash money in our pockets. Don't be short sighted on this. America first! Not companies first! We've seen that propping up a few companies doesn't do anything for the greater good. We need everyone to succeed to keep the cash flowing and that means small online stores and blogs and videos and the like. Yea they're dumb. But they make money, somehow. And you know where that money goes? Back into big companies. You know where it goes if only big companies profit from the internet? Nowhere. That's a stagnant lake. Where would you rather live? Next to a stagnant lake full of algae and the smell of rot? Or next to a raging river teaming with trout and like those little baby frogs and cool plants and shit. Oh and by the way, you know what countries have a few rich people and a massive poor population? The ones above. You know where we're headed? You guessed it, 3rd world baby! And if you think, "Nah, it's cool. When I reverse this order my big business buddies will take care of me." you're kidding yourself. And even if they did take care of you and you don't end up as one of the unwashed poor masses, you know where you'll be living? The nicest house on the stagnant lake. Hope you enjoy the view!In the Matter of Restoring Internet Freedom, WC Docket No. 17-108 I am a writer and a member of the Writers Guild of America West (WGAW). I am submitting comments to express my strong support for the existing Open Internet Order. The Order has allowed the Internet to remain an open platform where consumers, rather than a few powerful gatekeepers, decide what content and information they want to access. By protecting the free market online, the Open Internet Order promotes free speech, competition, investment and innovation. The Internet is a transformative platform for free speech and creative expression. The ability to bypass traditional gatekeepers and innovate without permission has enhanced competition and transformed industries. The news, information and entertainment offered online have driven broadband adoption, and the growth of online video in particular has driven demand for faster Internet speeds. Allowing Internet service providers (ISPs) to decide what content consumers can access and on what terms threatens this progress. For content creators, the open Internet has been critically important. Faced with an increasingly consolidated industry where a handful of companies decide whose stories are told, the open Internet has brought forth new buyers and distribution outlets. Billions of dollars are invested each year in online video programming. In this year alone, WGAW members will write upwards of 100 original online series. But this new market constitutes a competitive threat to the traditional media programmers. Without the current rules, distribution conglomerates would have the power to eliminate new competition. Under the proposed plan, we can expect the Internet to turn into cable television, with a few corporate gatekeepers controlling what content and information are available to consumers. The threat is not hypothetical: prior to the 2015 rules, Comcast, Time Warner Cable, Verizon and AT&T degraded Netflixï¿½s traffic even though their customers had paid to access the content and services of their choice. I strongly

oppose the FCCï¿½s proposal to rescind the Open Internet Order and to reclassify broadband Internet access service as a Title I information service. These actions will eliminate the FCCï¿½s ability to enact and enforce rules that prevent discriminatory conduct or censorship by ISPs. The D.C. Circuit Court twice rejected the FCCï¿½s open Internet rules when broadband was classified under Title I. I urge the FCC not to undermine the existing open Internet rules.

2968. Aaron Shure, Glendale, CA, 91208
In the Matter of Restoring Internet Freedom, WC Docket No. 17-108 I am a writer and a member of the Writers Guild of America. I am submitting comments to express my strong support for the existing Open Internet Order. The Order has allowed the Internet to remain an open platform where consumers, rather than a few powerful gatekeepers, decide what content and information they want to access. By protecting the free market online, the Open Internet Order promotes free speech, competition, investment and innovation. The Internet is a transformative platform for free speech and creative expression. The ability to bypass traditional gatekeepers and innovate without permission has enhanced competition and transformed industries. The news, information and entertainment offered online have driven broadband adoption, and the growth of online video in particular has driven demand for faster Internet speeds. Allowing Internet service providers (ISPs) to decide what content consumers can access and on what terms threatens this progress. For content creators, the open Internet has been critically important. Faced with an increasingly consolidated industry where a handful of companies decide whose stories are told, the open Internet has brought forth new buyers and distribution outlets. Billions of dollars are invested each year in online video programming. In this year alone, WGAW members will write upwards of 100 original online series. But this new market constitutes a competitive threat to the traditional media programmers. Without the current rules, distribution conglomerates would have the power to eliminate new competition. Under the proposed plan, we can expect the Internet to turn into cable television, with a few corporate gatekeepers controlling what content and information are available to consumers. The threat is not hypothetical: prior to the 2015 rules, Comcast, Time Warner Cable, Verizon and AT&T degraded Netflixï¿½s traffic even though their customers had paid to access the content and services of their choice. I strongly oppose the FCCï¿½s proposal to rescind the Open Internet Order and to reclassify broadband Internet access service as a Title I information service. These actions will eliminate the FCCï¿½s ability to enact and enforce rules that prevent discriminatory conduct or censorship by ISPs. The D.C. Circuit Court twice rejected the FCCï¿½s open Internet rules when broadband was classified under Title I. I urge the FCC not to undermine the existing open Internet rules.

2969. Sean Anders, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Please do not change our internet. The people do not want this. Please put the people above the big money. Thank you.

2970. Paul Golding, Los Angeles, CA, 90046

In the Matter of Restoring Internet Freedom, WC Docket No. 17-108 I am a writer and a member of the Writers Guild of America West (WGAW). Which means I don't need boilerplate comments to tell you how strongly I disapprove of your plan to the gut the existing internet rules. As Republicans, as people who believe in encouraging business growth and innovation, you should not change the current system for the following reasons:1) If it ain't' broke, don't fix it. Rewriting and creating new law for one of the most successful American innovations of all times is the height of bureaucratic folly.2) Changing the rules will forever and always give the lie to Republicans' claim to caring about small businesses, and the non-elite. Live up to you ideals. Don't protect the big guys here. Protect the dreamer with a computer and an idea and nothing else. I urge the FCC not to undermine the existing open Internet rules.

2971. Danielle Williams, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I urge the FCC to preserve Net Neutrality and the classifications of ISPs as common carriers under Title II. The internet and its content should be equally accessible to all. Furthermore, all consumers should have the right to transparent billing practices and the right to complain to the FCC about unfair ISP practices (both currently protected under Title II).

2972. Ryan, Glendale, CA, 91203
Stay away from Net Neutrality and the free speech it enables

2973. Angela LaManna, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
In the Matter of Restoring Internet Freedom, WC Docket No. 17-108 I am a writer and a member of the Writers Guild of America West (WGAW). I am submitting comments to express my strong support for the existing Open Internet Order. The Order has allowed the Internet to remain an open platform where consumers, rather than a few powerful gatekeepers, decide what content and information they want to access. By protecting the free market online, the Open Internet Order promotes free speech, competition, investment and innovation. For content creators, the open Internet has been critically important. Faced with an increasingly consolidated industry where a handful of companies decide whose stories are told, the open Internet has brought forth new buyers and distribution outlets. Billions of dollars are invested each year in online video programming. In this year alone, WGAW members will write upwards of 100 original online series. But this new market constitutes a competitive threat to the traditional media programmers. Without the current rules, distribution conglomerates would have the power to eliminate new competition. Under the proposed plan, we can expect the Internet to turn into cable television, with a few corporate gatekeepers controlling what content and information are available to consumers. The threat is not hypothetical: prior to the 2015 rules, Comcast, Time Warner Cable, Verizon and AT&T degraded Netflixï¿½s traffic even though their customers had paid to access the content and services of their choice. That's un-American, plain and simple. I strongly oppose the FCCï¿½s proposal to rescind the Open Internet Order and to reclassify broadband Internet access service as a Title I information service. These actions will eliminate the

FCCï¿½s ability to enact and enforce rules that prevent discriminatory conduct or censorship by ISPs. The D.C. Circuit Court twice rejected the FCCï¿½s open Internet rules when broadband was classified under Title I. I urge the FCC not to undermine the existing open Internet rules.

2974. Jon Hoffman, Glendale, CA, 91203
I am writing in protest of the reversal of the current net neutrality rules. A free internet has made it possible for me to start numerous companies, create hundreds of well-paying jobs, and make the world easier to use. Changing these rules will lead to serious damage to businesses and consumers. The least-privileged among us will be harmed the most when a resource that belongs to all of us is regulated in such a way to favor the privileged few. Thank you for your consideration of my comments.

2975. Ara Keshishian, Glendale, CA, 91208
I do not think there should be any changes to the rules of net neutrality that was placed back in 2015. All you are doing is giving control to a few giant companies who are now going to start raising prices. I would like to question how many of the decision makers are or were in the past part of the telecom industry. How many of you have received donations from the telecoms, or their lobby groups?

2976. James Hereth, Glendale, CA, 91207
In the Matter of Restoring Internet Freedom, WC Docket No. 17-108I can not understand handing over control of one of the most radical evolutions of communication, entertainment, and education in the last century to multi-billion dollar corporations. There's no logical reason. The only conceivable one is the overwhelming lobbying by said corporations and the endless money they filter to politicians and their election campaigns. Just stop it. Protect the people of the country and don't sell out.Show some resolve, protection for the people, and keep a free and open internet. It's the right thing to do.Best,James Hereth

2977. Andrew Kovner, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I support an Open and Equal Access Internet

2978. Danielle Williams, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I'm writing to the FCC to urge you to preserve net neutrality and the protections provided under Title II. There is absolutely no evidence that these regulations have negatively impacted ISPs, and the only rationale to loosen these regulations which would literally harm EVERYONE else aside from ISPs is clearly a kleptocratic one. FCC Commissioner Ajit Pay seems determined to rob from everyone, including consumers and small businesses, to line the pockets of his former employers. He should be ashamed of himself.

2979. Danielle Williams, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I'm writing to the FCC and Congress to urge you to preserve net neutrality and the protections provided under Title II. There is absolutely no evidence that these regulations have negatively impacted ISPs. They will however harm literally EVERYONE ELSE by giving ISPs the ability to prioritize their own content or the

content of those who are willing to pay more, and throttle and even block other traffic.The internet is integral to a thriving civil society and removing Title II protections would threaten it as well as freedom of speech.Please protect net neutrality.Danielle Williams

2980. Jonathan Zarco, Burbank, CA, 91506
As a consumer, I don't want any type of preferencing treatment for the sources of information and entertainment I have access to through the internet. Blocking or Throttling of content and allowing paid prioritization will mean that not all voices can be heard and is an infringement on my right as an American and my access to a free and open press.

2981. Paul Straw, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. Please do not roll this back and ensure access for all internet users.

2982. Timothy, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Yea hi I elect you people to do good things how about you dont screw over the people who vote you inTimothy

2983. Robert G Adjemian, Hollywood, CA, 90068
It has already been shown that companies will slow down competitors on the web for their own advantage (Netflix had to pay extra so their streams weren't sent a slow speed). In a public filing, Verizon has said title 2 classification will NOT slow down their investments into the web. I therefore urgently call for Network Neutrality to continue so that corporations cannot use their power to slow down smaller competitors.

2984. Benjamin Juul, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
Keep the internet free. No need to make it great again. Stop screwing with net neutrality.

2985. Jared Matzecki, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
DO NOT eliminate net neutrality. Internet service providers should be regulated as utilities.

2986. Kirstyn Bonneau, Glendale, CA, 91204
Net neutrality is crucial to our democracy and to our small businesses. Protect the open internet! Don't allow ISPs to control our access to the web.

2987. Brendan Dennis, burbank, CA, 91506
I would like our legislators to keep net neutrality because everyone deserves to be free in this country. Freedom to roam the internet without influence of government or corporations. This is not a good way for society to move forward.

2988. Daniel Cohen, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Keep the internet open for all! Keep Net Neutrality!

2989. Jonathan Rawlings, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I don't want people controlling how I view the internet and throttle my internet speed.

2990. L Lloys Frates, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Please choose to preserve net neutrality; it is not good for consumers for the ISPs to be given the kind of power that is being contemplated. I am a citizen and a voter who supports a free, open, and non-tiered internet.

2991. Bill Drastal, Burbank, CA, 91502
I support current Net Neutrality standards, do not destroy the open and fair internet.

2992. Shannon Corey Petree Skipper, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please protect net neutrality rules!It would be a real shame if the FCC shirked its important responsibility to defend access to an open and fair internet. I hope common sense prevails and the FCC enforces net neutrality.

2993. Emma Trithart, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
As an artist the number one way clients find me is through my personal website. If I wasn't given the same footing as every other person or company online my business would suffer greatly. I also communicate with my agents via e-mail as they live in England. I rely on fast, fair internet to pay my bills and pursue my dreams.

2994. Lisa Garcia, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Please do not allow mega corps to control our experiences online.

2995. Joji Perez, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
We need net neutrality, please reconsider before taking away our internet freedom!

2996. Bart Feliciano, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
These rules protect everyone.

2997. Lucas Driscoll, Burbank, CA, 91506
The internet MUST remain neutral -- otherwise it is unable to SERVE the public (as it clearly does now) and instead only serves CORPORATE INTERESTS. This is unacceptable and reprehensible. Thank you.

2998. Chandler Dewey, Glendale, CA, 91206
Please do not interfere with my internet. My Netflix account would greatly appreciate it.

2999. Tiffany Gough, Glendale, CA, 91203
I'm writing to encourage you to keep the internet open. I live far away from my family, and skype/facetime is our primary source of keeping in touch. I have looked at several internet companies, and the prices are all the same...so there is effectively no point to switching providers if I'm unhappy with the service. Which I will be if you've allowed them to slow down my internet speeds, or block sites that I prefer to

visit. â€‹

3000. Clifford Richardson, Burbank, CA, 91504
Net Neutrality must be maintained. The unfortunate side effects even include economic ones that have the potential to drive entrepreneurship from the USA and cause a brain drain from the technology sector where, with net neutrality, current startups and business owners are allowed to thrive on the principal of an equal price-independent bandwidth availability to end users. This is not okay and I am not okay with this preventing our country's drive in the technology sector around the world.

3001. Kelly Fillinger, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Net neutrality is important for all Americans and needs to be saved. Free and open internet is something all Americans should have access to.

3002. MARIO KROES, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90036
The Internet is a cornerstone of a free society. It should be free, open and unrestrained and a tool for people to communicate across the world with another. Please do not let the greed of a few influence the free speech of all.

3003. Victor Stone, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please protect Net Neutrality. Please do not mess with the thing that is working.

3004. Gavin Reidenauer, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please don't do the wrong thing. Don't threaten and end net neutrality. The results will be only negative for the american people. Do you really need that much more money? Really?

3005. elizabeth knight, la crescenta, CA, 91214
I am a small business owner (soaps and other handmade items) and there is no way I could compete with a large retailer like Bed Bath & Beyond if access to sites like my own were restricted because I couldn't afford to direct traffic to my site. Right now when someone is searching to buy a soap or lotion they will already see Bath & Body Works and other paid advertisers appear first in their search results. But access to finding my site is not restricted and the speed at which my site loads is not throttled. Big corporations already have an advantage over small businesses: they have money for advertising and professionally designed sites, the ability to manufacture products in countries like China and Mexico where they pay less to their employees, and they have the ability to hire attorneys and accountants who minimize how much they have to pay in taxes. Corporations do not need anymore help stifling small businesses. It is vital in a democratic society to have free and fair access to the internet.

3006. Anthony Foster, Burbank, CA, 91501
Please help to enforce net neutrality; do not take us back to the days of AOL, Prodigy, and Compuserve. The service ISPs provide is essential to daily living, and they should definitely be classified as common carriers. For many, including us, our ISP (Spectrum) provides our telephone service as well as access to the Internet. For

our home it's as necessary as the power.

3007. Sevan Bakhshi, Glendale, CA, 91206
I am writing to keep our internet free from ISP. Internet should be a public utility in my opinion because it's an important aspect to everyday life and work. Without Net Neutrality the ISP can dictate which sites and what content people can access and that is not what the internet is for. The internet is a beautiful place with tons of knowledge and a tool for people to communicate with all over the world. If that is take away or is limited for us then you are taking away a basic needs of everyday people. Please support Net Neutrality and keep the internet free.Thank you

3008. Kyle Borg, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I own a small online video distribution company. If the protections of Net Neutrality are removed, I could suffer unrecoverable costs that would bankrupt by business.Please, keep Net Neutrality!.

3009. Christian Hipke, montrose, CA, 91020
Keep a free and open Internet.

3010. Net Neutrality, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Without net neutrality, Internet providers may interfere with our access to privacy protecting services and websites or encrypted traffic. We have the right to encrypt our communications because privacy is a human right and it's protected in the US Constitution. Also, the internet was created to be a free exchange of information, unregulated, rather than a tool for cable/internet companies to bilk and milk every dime they can out of the public. Most of the internet providers that exist have what amounts to monopolies on infrastructure and markets.

3011. Robert M. Ryan, Pasadena, CA, 91105
Please do not undermine the net neutrality protections offered by Title 2 categorization of ISPs!

3012. Andres Bernal, Glendale, CA, 91205
Hello my name is Andres. I wished to briefly express my concerns regarding net neutrality. We live in a age where everyone needs the internet to function on a daily basis. Whether it is for fun, work , government related situations, and just paying our bills every month. With the help of the internet everyone's lives have improved for the better. However, now the FCC and others in the government think it is a good idea to get rid of the protections that have been in place. This is a big mistake as it will affect everyone and all their activities on the web. It will not be a step forward, but a big step back from what we currently have. So i please urge you to help keep the internet a free and open place for anyone that wishes to use it to the fullest. Please do everything that you can to keep this step in the wrong direction from occurring. Thank you from just one of billions of people who love the open and free internet.

3013. Jennifer DIbs, Los Angeles, CA, 90039

Anyone who cares about freedom of speech and access for all knows Net Neutrality is the only fair way to go. Keep Net Neutrality. For the people.

3014. ryan landas, glendale, CA, 91207
Stop trying to take our rights

3015. Perris Anderson, Pasadena, CA, 91103
I urge the Federal Communications Commission and Congress to preserve regulations adopted in 2015 to limit Internet service providersâ€™ ability to favor certain websites or apps. Open internet is critical for ensuring freedom of expression and a free flow of ideas and commerce in the United States and around the world. No company should be allowed to block content or throttle the download speeds of content in a discriminatory manner.

3016. Benjamin Martinez, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I am in full support of Net Neutrality. I care about an open internet and competition online.

3017. Johnny Williams, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I believe the internet should be an open platform to discuss ideas and foster great growth within the world. I believe that internet service providers should not have an open monopoly on the internet. I believe the internet should be free of paid prioritization and blocking, and throttling. I believe that the internet and all rules must apply to the home and mobile connections equally. If ISP are given full control of the internet then there would be clear winners and losers within the internet realm. There would be companies that have an advantage if they pay more money to ISP to have their content streamlined and less money to companies that can not afford to pay insane amounts of money to have their websites streamlined to the masses. These companies include several small businesses, artists and blogs, and others that would not be able to pay to have their voices heard, and that benefit from a free and open internet to promote their products and services.

3018. Sofia Montrone, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Net neutrality is an extension of our right to free choice. Keep the internet free!

3019. Richard Zapata, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Please maintain Net Neutrality as it is important to keep the internet open and allow competitive entrepreneurship in the best American tradition. Allowing a monopolistic grip by a few service providers is anathema to our free enterprise system. Neutral internet access is no longer a privilege, it is a right.

3020. Scott W. Heverling, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
As a US citizen & online entrepreneur, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

3021. Jean Paul Aller, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I would like to ask you to please keep the net neutrality rules in place and not allow

my internet to be at the hands of the person with the biggest wallet. The internet is a place where free speech thrives and should not be steered in any way. Please, please do not let the net neutrality rules go.

3022. Lorne Andros, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Im taking action!

3023. Victoria, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
It's 2017!!!! Technology has come so far! So many people use the internet, and better yet, people's jobs are on the internet! You CANNOT just take that away, limit it, and force things on people, or make it more difficult for people!You cannot choose what people do. You know damn well there WILL be an outrage if this gets taken away, altered or controlled!This is RIDICULOUS that this is even still an issue that we have to fight!!!!!The internet is a right! The fact that it exists, we deserve to use it! Almost the entire world has internet!"To cite the American Declaration of Independence, all men (i.e. mankind) are Created equal and endowed by their Creator with certain inalienable rights such as Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness."It's really sad to hear when countries like North Korea, limit their internet, etc., and how thankful we are to have freedom on the internet. We cannot and should NOT become like that! Then, the other countries that still have uncontrolled internet, laugh at us for how stupid our govt. is and feel bad for us, for having such controlling govt. It's NOT something that we only get when we "behave"! America seems to slowly be turning communist, and government controlled TOO MUCH! Is this what you want?!"Privilege, In a broad sense, it refers to special powers or immunities held as a consequence of political power, social status, or wealth. A privilege is something a particular individual or group are allowed to do which others do not have permission. "This needs to be less of a country where the rich get richer, and the less fortunate suffer more and where the govt tries to control everything! We need to start moving towards better things!If a person is paying for the internet, they should NOT have to be FORCED to only be able to use certain things or for a certain time.This WILL HURT things WAY more than do ANY sort of good. Some people's lives are fast-paced. Or again, work online. They have fast-speed internet. All the older slow speeds are trying to upgrade. People cannot go back in time and just slow down or have everything come to a halt. (Sometimes nostalgia is good, but only when people choose to re-live/remember the good they want. Not to have bad & have it controlled.) So many more things can get done from doing things online. Even for people who's jobs do not need the internet, like let's say, a cook. They stand over a stove all day. But, they can use the internet to come across new recipes to try, to grow their business or add to a menu. A construction worker may deal with building things all day, but they may find something online, ways to do something easier, with different materials. This applies to everyone for any job. The internet has so many useful things! People use the internet for help. To learn things. For school. For work. To speak to family & friends. People's noses aren't stuck in books all the time anymore. (Some people still enjoy reading or learning from books, but again, that's a CHOICE).Hell, some people's livelihood is online. Some people need it for many reasons. Some people

will have a hard time & just not know what to do without it. It may sound like a bad thing to be dependent on the internet, and in SOME circumstances, it is, when someone cannot socialize without it or such. But that is someone's personal life & they can seek help for it. Not to have the govt. control that and make someone go crazy. Some people like to relax and unwind by watching Youtube, or a stream, or a movie, or play games. That's someone's lifestyle & that can't just be forcefully changed by an outside entity.I have grown up on the internet. I have taught myself & learned so much from things online. As I am sure millions of others.I and millions of others stand strongly to have a free to use internet. To get good services for which we pay. To be able to have an easy and free roam experience. That's what the internet is. It's what it should stay.

3024. Nick Kegeyan, Burbank, CA, 91504
Keep the Internet, the Internet. The Internet, America, and the people of this country need you to do the right thing. There is a reason the most forward thinking companies are on one side against companies trying to hold everyone back. KEEP THE INTERNET OPEN! AND AMERICAN!

3025. Jonathan Stoll, Burbank, CA, 91501
Keep net neutrality, I don't want people controlling how fast internet is because it favors them monetarily. Internet should be a necessary requirement for life in the modern age.

3026. Fair Trade, Hollywood, CA, 90038
I am not a bot, I am a 21 Century human who lives in a digital age within the United States of America. Thee United States that believes in Freedom. Internet is no acception,and as a product of our ambition we should hold the same truths to the Internet. This act "Restoring Internet Freedom Act" is not American Freedom! American Freedom is loving the underdog and winning the superbowl, being the best there is and proving it on the big stage, and creating a masterpiece and letting the world know. We are America and letting this act past is not American! Our very way of 21 Century living is in danger and having this act past will forever crush these dreams. For the sake of us as U.S.of A. Do not let this pass. Thank youJaycee Hernandez

3027. Jessica Rojeck, Glendale, CA, 91205
I've been blessed to have made a career in the video game industry where I work as a character animator. I'm that person who makes the hero ofthe game swing his sword or jump across cliffs or fight dragons. (I even animatethose dragons too sometimes.) To stay competitive in an ever evolving industry with changing technology andother incredibly talented artists, I need to continue improving my skills and theway I've been doing that is by taking online courses with the online school iAnimate.My teachers are industry professionals who would take 3 hours of their night twicea week to share their knowledge and improve the skills of their students.We'd interact in real-time sharing and receiving feedback from our teacher and fromfellow classmates. We'd see our teachers doing live demonstrations and

explaining theprocess as they went along.I learned some amazing new ways to improve my work by making those video gamecharacters feel more real and exciting. This wouldn't have been possible without access to a strong, steady connection to the websitethat hosts these fantastic, invaluable classes.Allowing knowledge and learning to flow freely has been one of the many benefits of respecting net neutrality. American citizens have been finding amazing newways to improve themselves and in turn, they're giving back to American businessesby being much more effective, creative team members.Please, as a proud American citizen, I ask the FCC to continue protecting curiosity andself-improvement by supporting net neutrality.Thank you so much for your time.

3028. Daniel Brehm, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
We have enough dystopian 1984 things in our country already. Leave the internet free, it's not meant to be controlled, It should be unlimited and unrestricted.

3029. Carlo Pacis, Burbank, CA, 91502
Net for the people

3030. Andrew Smith, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I want an open internet that is not subject to biases. As a STEM teacher, this would hurt my ability to do my job and be unfair to my students.

3031. Kenny Boucher, Hollywood, CA, 90068
The internet is the single greatest achievement in human history. Keep is un-curated.

3032. Katie Williams, Glendale, CA, 91201
Net Neutrality is vital to every American, regardless of political affiliation. Companies should not be allowed to dictate what I can and can't see or stream on the internet! This may be one of the single most important issues when it comes to free speech, as well as keeping the open market open and clear of companies monopolizing markets (even though the cable and internet companies already have monopolies in many areas). Please vote to keep our net neutrality laws in place.

3033. Maximilian Agrella, burbank, CA, 91501
The internet is the great invention of our generation, in the same vein as the printing press, and the automobile were in generations prior. It allows for information to be shared freely and openly (at least in the U.S.) It connects us. It's not perfect. Like all free mediums, it has been used to spread violence, bigotry, and terrorism. But it has also been used to spread peace, acceptance, and love. We cannot try to censor the bad without also censoring the good. It is better to carry a double edged sword with its risks and rewards, than it is to carry a useless and dulled sword. Please, please, please spare net neutrality.

3034. Matthew Soson, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
The destruction of net neutrality will result in the curtailing of free education, neuter the distribution of information, halt communication, and reduce the wealth of the common man. The intention, clearly, is for ISPS's to gain more wealth, which, let's

be honest, they don't really need. May this missive spin through the wondrous digital void that some seek to gut, and find a beating heart.

3035. Michael Thottam, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The internet can be thought of as nothing but a utility. Any impedance imposed on this resource is a disservice to the nation. Save for the direct benefit of those providing the commodity, net neutrality does not serve the American people.

3036. Lindsay Levy, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Don't be dicks. Why make it harder for people without money to compete with people who have it? Aren't monopolies in any industry harmful and ultimately anti capitalist? Again, dont be dicks!

3037. David Edwards, Los Angeles, CA, 90019
As a young person growing up in a world where the internet is their best resource for everything, I believe access to all the world's ideas and accomplishments is a necessity for all of humanity.

3038. R, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
stop leave the internet alone

3039. Taly Oehler, Glendale, CA, 91206
If your reasoning to do away with net neutrality is that there are currently no issues, then I would argue that same rationale can be applied to the second amendment. It would follow that since the government is not currently taking our firearms away, why should we need to be protected with an amendment that guarantees our right to bear arms? Mr Pai, we must be proactive about the potentiality of ISPs throttling, creating fast and slow lanes, as well as giving preferential treatments to companies that pay to do so, or even to the companies they themselves own. We cannot be reactive when this happens. I understand that we are only used to reactive policies and not proactive ones, but in order to ensure that THIS DOES NOT BECOME AN ISSUE IN THE FUTURE, we must KEEP NET NEUTRALITY, and thus, be proactive. I implore you to reconsider, the same way myself and million others have implored Chairman Wheeler to enact this policy when he was head of the FCC. Thanks for your time and I sincerely hope you do your job protecting your fellow citizens, which is, after all, your job. And by the way, when you are no longer head of the FCC, and ISPs no longer contribute to your position, you too, will be affected by these rules. Taly OehlerA tax payer

3040. Tim Vermeulen, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Don't destroy Net Neutrality. The internet SHOULD be a public utility.

3041. Denis Kirkman-Moriarty, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I am strongly against any measure to throttle internet speeds for any excuse.

3042. Caroline Hall, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Just let the internet be it's on free market. It's not fair or moral at all to limit access

to certain website or to certain users. The internet has become a necessary part of everyday life for all Americans, no matter their income or job status, so denying those functions to specific people and specific sites is not fair in any way.

3043. Joshua Sacco, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I personally think the internet should be free. It shouldn't be up to the ISPs to decide what knowledge we do or don't have access to, considering the web is a global entity, not an american thing.Under the neutral web, I've been able to do things like streaming, making my livelihood under the assumption that the internet is a free space available to everyone regardless of how much money they make, or whether or not companies want to pay ISPs.

3044. Peter Safar, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Keep Net Neutrality. removing it will only allow huge companies to take advantage of the american people as internet is practically a requirement for all in our daily lives. the idea that a company can limit my usage on specific websites because they want to promote something they are being paid to promote, or own is downright criminal. Keep Net Neutrality the way it is!!!!!!!!!

3045. Justin Lutzke, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Net neutrality is so important to us users. Please make the right choice and keep net neutrality.

3046. Net Neutrality, La, CA, 90046
Getting rid of net neutrality rules is against what America stands for and that is Freedom to do what you want as long as it is legal. Giving control of internet freedom is like taking away all the values that America stands for. If you let the companies win then even your children will be furious with you because you are taking away their freedom.

3047. Jean Bouveron, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
The internet needs net neutrality and the FCC needs to keep it.

3048. James Brundage, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support net neutrality! SAVE net neutrality! Net neutrality is essential for free speech!

3049. Susan Bryant, Glendale, CA, 91206
The Internet has allowed my household to communicate with others across long distances, especially those within the queer and disabled communities. I worry that without Net Neutrality, many people within those communities will lose access to others like them.

3050. Eli Kahn, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I believe relaxing regulations to allow internet service providers to divide the internet into "fast lanes" and "slow lanes", or to sell customers packages with part but not all of the internet, would be a dangerous mistake. I purchase internet

coverage from Spectrum (formerly Time Warner Cable), and what I want from my internet access is fast access to the whole internet â€“ I don't want to have to pay a premium to visit more obscure sites. I set up this internet plan with the idea of using it to gain reliable, fast access to all sites on the internet, not to other Spectrum services such as email or cloud storage. I believe American modern society now depends on the democratic innovation of the open internet, and I expect the FCC to protect the internet from attempts by ISPs to discriminate on the basis of content, or attempts to price-gouge on what is effectively now a basic necessity of social and economic life.

3051. Robert Hogg, Glendale, CA, 91208
Please keep Net Neutrality. This planet-wide communication line that we have is invaluable, and it should be kept that way. PLEASE continue Net Neutrality, and fight for it!

3052. Michael Barryte, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Net neutrality is extremely important to me and I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Censorship by ISPs is a serious and real problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Michael Barryte

3053. Glen Landry, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I believe that there should be equal access to all sites on the internet. Allowing other parties to have the ability to block or slow the connection speed to sites of their

choosing is a version of discrimination that needs to be avoided. Please protect net neutrality so that we can all have the freedom to access all internet sites without any bias or prejudice.

3054. Kevin Charbonneau, Glendale, CA, 91206
I think the best way for media to move forward in its evolution is to keep it free from monopolization, which seems to be the simple truth behind corporate interests from NBCUniversal and Time Warner.

3055. Jacob Breidenbach, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Throttling the internet, blocking sites and forcing paid prioritization is antithetical to the very idea of the internet. The internet is about free speech. Not about making the providers more money.

3056. Mario Barra, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I want the internet to remain free of use. The open internet must remain this way. Many people use the internet to learn things and subjects that aren't of easy access in their communities, by limiting internet access you will directly affect this people and their potential of development.

3057. Andrew Sorcini, La Crescenta, CA, 91208
The open internet (Net Neutrality) protects consumers' from content censorship, slow access, and paid prioritization. As a consumer, I demand access to the *entire* internet, unrestricted.

3058. Antonio Bissessar, Glendale, CA, 91205
Don't let these companies destroy the internet experience just so they can make a few bucks. Letting them strong arm companies like Netflix because they have heavy data usage is wrong and will only make the internet worse for the consumer.

3059. Michael, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Pro Net Neutrality!!!!!

3060. Riley Martin, Los Angeles, CA, 90036
The internet is like water now, everyone needs it. Regulations should be for improving its quality for the consumer, and not abusing it for ulterior motives. Do the right thing and let it be.

3061. Adam Galen, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I am commenting in support of Net Neutrality and think it would be a big mistake and step in the wrong direction to repeal these rules and regulations. The FCC should have oversight to some degree over service providers and in making sure customers are protected. Service Providers should not be able to choose content and sites they want to slow down service for.

3062. Brit Kinnard, Sun Valley, CA, 91352
This is why we can't have nice things- stop trying to kill the open internet!!!

3063. eden hain, los angeles, CA, 90027
net neutrality is a human right. it's part of my freedom of speech and you make it difficult to pursue happiness when you shove your capitalism down my throat. don't privatize the internet, man.

3064. Charles Roy, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Keep our net completely available to us. This is one of the last freedoms that set us apart from other countries with corrupt governmental systems. It is part of our constitutional right to have access to all knowledge. WE THE PEOPLE SAY THAT THE NET SHOULD REMAIN NEUTRAL!

3065. Kristopher Jeffers, Hollywood, CA, 90028
Keeping the internet open to everyone equally is CRUCIAL for the growth and continuing interconnectedness of the human race. I am STRONGLY in favor of net neutrality. PLEASE DO NOT TAKE THIS AWAY FROM US!!!!

3066. Brett Myer, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I'm writing to keep the internet fair and open for all consumers. As a writer, I depend on the invaluable resources of the internet to provide research tools and connect me to people in distant locations and specialized fields which I would be prevented from if I were required to travel to them. In addition, as an independent filmmaker, the internet has allowed me to keep in touch with my international team as we work around the world at paying jobs, but can still connect with one another to share script notes, share cuts of the film, location shots and any other thing we need. I hope that the realization comes that the internet is a right these days and if anything, we should be urging internet companies to work to make the internet even faster and cheaper (see South Korea for example) which will lead to educational and occupational growths that can only help make our country thrive

3067. Alexander Bistagne, Glendale, CA, 91206
One company(an ISP)) preventing a different company(an internet service) from being accessed or able to sell a product is anticompetitive behavior and should be regulated similar to antitrust laws. All of my phone activities would be negatively effected.

3068. Dustin K. Romer, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
Hello people of the FCC I am submitting this comment today in hopes of doing my part of making sure the internet stays neutral. I really doubt I have to put it in words as to why this should be the case. If I do that would be rather sad. But i'll give one example. Say ISP A owns website 1 and ISP B owns website 2. Right now I have ISP A but they hate ISP B for taking all those customers from them but Customers from ISP A still want to use website 2, ISP A is going to say aw heck no and try to stop or slow down the connection to website 2 making it seem like ISP B is a bad ISP insuring that no one ever switches or maybe even gets to see what is on this site. But both ways this will negatively impact ISP B. But ISP B is also going to the same to ISB A so it all equals out right? Nope as both times the customer experience

of these things are getting messed with. So in the end the average American citizen who uses the internet for a great deal of things is getting screwed over. And this extends beyond just the ISP owning the site say a company asks ISP A to not let their users access their competitors site bam! Now the customer is losing access to something that might be better suited for them and if it is a up and coming company this might make it so they fail. In the end of the day it sounds like if this is passed it is a free card for ISP's to mess with the customers experience of the world wide web. Which is meant to be a place where people can exchange ideals and products freely. Imagine if Amazon didn't grow from being a Text book seller into a massive company that deals in everything under the sun, all due to some bigger text book company said "ISP A here is X amount of cash so none of you customers can see this site." You are giving the ISP the power to block the the access to anything. Be it for good or bad use.I hope you see that this is opening a door in which you have to hope these company's which have vested interests in many sites don't try to crush the freedom of the internet. Where people exchange ideals and products on an equal field. Where the cream of the crop rises and everything else sinks. IF this is passed it no longer will be this way, it will be an internet where you can only see Pepsi and you will never see Coke.

3069. Mampreh Zadoorian, Glendale, CA, 91203
I support net neutrality. The internet is important because it's a form of expression and creativity. To take such freedom away would be criminal. Please, don't take away our freedom. God bless.

3070. Alexandru Rosu, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Imagine a world in which the USPS is allowed to open any mail envelope, refuse to deliver your mail to certain addresses etc. Breaking the principles of net neutrality is equivalent to the above. If you feel strongly that the USPS should not read your mail then you should feel just as strongly about your ISP not monitoring/blocking/censoring your internet traffic.

3071. Steven Himmel, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
The internet needs to remain open and free. Im defending net neutrality.

3072. Loren Erlanger, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The open and free internet is a right for all.

3073. Stephen Dresser, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Access to information is the best thing that has happened to the human species. If you support the rich, greedy, and corrupt by restricting that then you are a disgrace to humanity and part of the downfall of humanity. Stand up for what is right please! Do not be part of the problem be part of the solution.

3074. Jason esquivel, Los Angeles, CA, 90031
net neutrality rules should remain, i am completely against there repeal. It is not something that should be manipulated for some to abuse for profit. It is vital to retain net neutrality.

3075. Adrian Carter, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
The internet should be an open source. Not restricted by pay walls.

3076. Rani Kheir, Glendale, CA, 91204
FREEDOM OF PRIVACY IS APPLICABLE EVEN VIRTUALLY.

3077. Dylan, Burbank, CA, 91506
Hello, I work for a small online business that would suffer if ISPs are allowed to discriminate against certain types of data or websites. Lawfully regulated internet is the best way to ensure that fruitful content, ideas, and new business opportunities continue to be generated at a healthy rate. This would no longer be the case if access to the internet were to become unfair and unequal, directed only to benefit a few party's immediate interests to the detriment of all else.Maintaining Title II net neutrality rules is essential to protecting our capacity to solve problems efficiently. Anything that makes the internet less intelligent, less equal, and less safe for Americans to use will negatively impact our capacity to succeed in the future. I hope this message reaches you and is helpful.Thank you

3078. Brian Penrod, tujunga, CA, 91042
I'm a small business owner. Without net neutrality, I won't be able to reach potential customers effectively. My ISP attracted my attention because of the offer of blazing fast speeds (70 Mbps+) - speeds that I expect to achieve on any website. I want the FCC to protect my access to fast, unthrottled, internet access and my ability to choose from multiple ISPs based on who offers the best speed, reliability and price. Thank you for your attention.

3079. Brett Johnson, Glendale, CA, 91201
If even some of the biggest internet companies oppose this, who does it help? Millions will lose jobs as it negatively affects small businesses, bloggers, etc. It's un-American and goes against our freedoms.

3080. Robert Russell Delisle, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Internet is becoming a utility, a piece of the social infrastructure. It has to remain an open platform for us to move into the future with any chance of survival as a culture and a people.

3081. Dawn Fratini, Glendale, CA, 91202
As an educator, I urge you to maintain internet "neutrality." I have taught undergraduates, for over a decade now, in private and public universities and state and community colleges. Equal access to information is crucial to equal access to education. Lower income students already work harder because they usually have jobs and/or families in addition to studies. For them to have to sit through additional advertising or slow speed to reach the same content as the wealthy can attain in a flash is patently un-democratic. This is most critical in education but applies to daily life as well. PLEASE.

3082. Leonard McMahon, Los Angeles, CA, 90026

Please do not revoke net neutrality. Do not make it easier for companies to profit by selling the best internet to the richest people. Does everything have to be available to the market?

3083. Allison Scheele, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The only Internet is a free and open internet

3084. Bill Mayer, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
The current standing principles of net neutrality must be preserved. Big corporations should not be handed control of the internet. If the latter should happen, you and your agents are perpetrating an act of betrayal against the general public, and many people know it. Those that don't, we shall inform them and do everything we can to stop it.

3085. James Coutre, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Net neutrality is important to me. I think of the Internet as a utility. I wouldn't want the electricity company to charge different rates or provide different levels of performance depending on the type of device I was powering at their discretion and without my input. I want to use the internet as a tool to connect to and find the information I want. I think giving preference to exclusive content is a way to segment the market, create monopolies and generally reduce competition. This would be especially painful in markets with limited providers of broadband. Also, given that these providers continue to consolidate and also agglomerate with media companies it is more important than ever that they are required to provide equal performance to everyone, including their competitors!

3086. Richard Mason, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I demand that you keep the internet free and open. Protect net neutrality now!

3087. restoring internet freedom, Glendale, CA, 91208
I am a community college administrator at Glendale Community College. I administer a program intended to help the neediest, disproportionately advantaged students in the college. In order to help those students, my program provides them with tablets in order for them to access things like online textbooks, online tutoring and classes. The students I work with rely on the internet to be able to succeed in their educational endeavors and gain meaningful employment beyond minimum wage jobs.If broadbands are made more expensive based on the "quality", more often then not, the students will not be able to afford it. Our students are dealing with issues are often getting to school on the bus and living day to day. This makes it very difficult for a student trying to do their homework at home, or trying to study for an online class while at work or anywhere else. This is unfair to the students and is hindering their ability to succeed because they cannot afford to purchase one of the higher speeds plans that is necessary for their online, educational tools.I ask that the FCC continue to protect the consumers as was done in 2015 and not give the "Net Freedom" to the big companies at the expense of the consumers. At this time, my only choice as a consumer in my area is Charter or ATT. These plans are

expensive for me as an administrator ($65-$75) and it is almost impossible for a low income person. It will make the net a place where only people with money can afford to use the high speed options. The role of the FCC should be to ensure that we, as consumers, are protected from invasions of our privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by other broadband providers. If the FCC is left without authority over broadband ISPs, Companies could double their prices overnight, and there wouldnâ€™t be anything the FCC or any other agency could do about it. I do not want to see this happen and I hope that the FCC DOES NOT move in that direction. Please do not remove our consumer protections gained in 2015. Thank you.

3088. Jonathan Krusell, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
My ISP provides me with so many features; knowledge, entertainment, distraction, family connection, storage, and skills. These features are important to my family and career. This is so much more than a fast connection. I have no choice in which ISP to use, there is a single option for my area. Within that ISP, there is little measurable difference between services, no matter the price.Since I have little to no choice, I am counting on the FCC to protect my rights and access to all those important features. ISPs should be subject to oversight.

3089. Laurentiu Bigu, Glendale, CA, 91204
Net neutrality is an important component of today's society.

3090. Silvia Reinisch, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
The re-classification of the Internet access as instituted by the Obama administration should be upheld!

3091. Edward B Goral, Montrose, CA, 91020
We must preserve a free and open internet. To permit Comcast, Verizon and AT&T to have control over what we are able to access online is literally unconstitutional and must not be allowed to happen.

3092. D, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
The internet is made to be a free place. If Net Neutrality rules are repealed, America will not be a free country anymore. Let America be the great country that it always was. Keep the internet free and equal.

3093. Stuart Fingerhut, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
As an employee and someone with ambitious of owning a small business, net neutrality is the most critical communication issue of our time. Unless the playing field is level for all on the internet, corporate giants will dominate, information and commercial access will diminish, jobs will be lost and the economy will crumble when left in the hands of a powerful few. Please support and insist net neutrality is continued and access is never sold to the highest bidder. Thank you.

3094. David Hoffman, Burbank, CA, 91501
Net Neutrality is essential to the continuation of the Internet as a medium for the free exchange of ideas and information. Limiting or destroying Net Neutrality is

short-sighted - it brings about short-term financial gain for a few companies while limiting the development and evolution of one of the greatest innovations in human history

3095. Ben Benjamin, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I'm desperate for my kids to grow up in a world similar to mine, wherein the internet offers a level playing field for individuals of all backgrounds; like water and electricity, it is a basic human need of the 21st century, and shouldn't behave differently for users and businesses of varying financial backgrounds.

3096. Rachel Aparicio, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I want the internet to remain neutral. It is unfair to consumers, small businesses, independent internet creators and not-for-profit content creators to allow faster speeds for large corporations who can outspend their competitors, or potential competitors. One of the virtues of the internet currently is its capacity to enable up-and-comers to build a brand from scratch and attain visibility through the merit of their work. Eliminating net neutrality undermines this profound virtue of the internet as it is. It would be a detriment to the free market of the internet, and to non-profit content that still deserves visibility and attention. PLEASE, please, do not eliminate net neutrality.

3097. tyler thomson, burbank, CA, 91505
I'm starting my own business. Keeping the internet open keeps the playing field level so I have a shot to succeed. Internet providers should not be allowed to prioritize traffic based on extortion money. Mob accent "Nice bizness ya got there... It'd be a shame if nobody could get to if... hand over some protection money and we'll let people get to ya site. Nice and fast."

3098. Greg Campbell, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I support Net neutrality which legal safeguards needs to remain in place and keep all current and future protections for neutrality. No throttling or otherwise preferentially access or speed of access for anyone or company.

3099. Alexander Brothman, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Retain the existing net neutrality rules. The internet must remain open and free.

3100. Brooke Waldrop, Burbank, CA, 91501
I use the internet from my home for work. I need to access email and a vast amount of information to do my job, which is now law since I passed the California Bar Examination last year. If the internet didn't have that stuff, I wouldn't use it. This is about a service I use and need in this world. To take it away because of some legal technicality so some billionaires can have more stuff that doesn't make them happy but allows them to suppress the American people, would be irresponsible at the least and, well, in actuality, it's just corrupt. Don't be a corrupt asshole. Have some semblance of a soul. The internet is necessary for our world now, just like a telephone or electricity. If those technologies are public run, the internet should be as well.

3101. Eric Harkness, Burbank, CA, 91506
The internet needs to retain the ability for us as consumers to have the ability to visit any website we choose without being restricted by regulations imposed on our ISPs from the FCC.

3102. Kyle Aldrich, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I do not see Net Neutrality working in my area of Los Angeles because of the very limited number of ISP services available to me.I have only 1 cable provider Time Warner Spectrum available. The City of Los Angeles has limited cable service to only 1 provided in my region, and all regions as far as I can tell. It is true there Dish Network and AT&T offer services, but not at the same speeds as are available through Time Warner so essentially Time Warner has been given a monopoly by the City.So if net neutrality were to be removed and Time Warner put in some sort of Priority system on internet traffic limiting me, there would not be equivalent competitive services for me to consider switching to as there is no "free market" for ISP providers in my region.On a more philosophical level, allowing carriers to rank traffic in importance or on a pay-to-play basis seems like it would infringe on "free speech" in that one's use or access would be limited by one's economic means or that financial burdens could be placed on sites or individuals who are in disfavor with parties unknown.

3103. Thomas Kellogg, Burbank, CA, 91504
Keep Net Neutrality in place, keep the internet classified as Title II. This act is terribly named, the only groups it would benefit are big Internet Service Providers, not the average citizen! This act would only reduce freedom, and could even be used for censorship.

3104. Dennis Lottman, La Canada, CA, 91011
Treat all data on the Internet the same, not discriminating or charging differently by user, content, website, platform, application, type of attached equipment, or mode of communication.

3105. Trevor Lindborg, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
If net neutrality dies then so does freedom of speech.

3106. Hattie Dalzell, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) should be protected. As a citizen of the United States it is my opinion that I have the right to equal internet access. These rules are good for our economy, help spread around the wealth, and are a vehicle for social change, and freedom of speech. Protecting the internet means protecting a future where everyone has better access to life changing and saving information. Thank You. Hattie Dalzell

3107. Danielle E. Alvarez, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
The internet should be treated as a utility with the freedom to roam where you like, and not a regulated service playing to the interest of ISP.

3108. Robert Black, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Net neutrality is extremely important. Please do not limit equal and fair access to the entire internet for all Americans. Giving ISPs the ability to discriminate between sites is a dangerous can of worms to open.

3109. Nicole Emanuele, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
The internet is an important commons in our society. We cannot allow it to be commercialized and controlled. It has created a free flow of information and connection. We must keep this valuable common free ad open.

3110. Ryan Swanson, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
The FCC's Open Internet Rules are extremely important to me and other content creators, as well as small business owners like my wife. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Ryan Swanson

3111. Derrick Asadoorian, Burbank, CA, 91501
Keep Net Neutrality going, just because big business whats to make it extra shouldn't mean you should do this. the internet is for everyone and should not be privatized.

3112. Cooper Lanning, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I rely on a fast and free internet every day at work. I use it to stay in touch with

friends. I use it to experience things I would not be able to experience otherwise. Without net neutrality, my life, and the lives of millions, will drop significantly. There is no reason to restrict paying customers from viewing and sharing the information they want to. Doing so prohibits us from utilizing the incredible technology that is the internet.

3113. Chris Vosse, Burbank, CA, 91506
Net neutrality is important to everyone. Do not change the rules in such a way that will allow telecom companies to meter, restrict or charge more for specific sites or content. This is wrong.

3114. Scott Haupenthal, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
The disruption of how an individual obtains information and what information an individual may obtain is an inhumane act clearly motivated by greed, power, and fear. If this is not stopped, society will continue to move backwards.

3115. Chris E Rico, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please protect net neutrality. Leave it exactly as it is

3116. Curtis Kemp, Glendale, CA, 91201
Keep it open! Net neutrality!

3117. Devin Marra, tujunga, CA, 91042
The FCC should uphold Net Neutrality under Title II to protect the freedom of information. This ensures that small businesses can compete on the same playing field as industry giants. This decision also keeps talented web developers in business, enabling them to innovate new technologies that drive this country forward into the future.

3118. Efren Pardilla, Burbank, CA, 91506
The internet needs net neutrality. Please keep net neutrality. Corporations already have too much power.

3119. Raymond M Reynolds, Burbank, CA, 91502
Please eliminate "Net Neutrality" Please make it go away. This is an attempt by NetFlix and a few other large players to marry themselves to the government - a classic play to restrict the entry of new players. Access to the Internet, access to advertise, promote programming, to produce content, to watch, listen to, enjoy IS NOT A RIGHT and we want the FCC to please completely remove this agency from any and all oversight of the Internet and the players in the field. The unintended consequence of involving the FCC will ultimately lead to - as it has historically always lead to - taxation of every bite, restriction of access, taking from those of us who can afford to pay for service to pay for those who cannot. Please pull the FCC from every and any involvement - and every other government agency - out of any form of regulation of the Internet.

3120. carlos orrego, los angeles, CA, 90028

Please don't change, alter and mess with current net-neutrality rules. It is imperative we uphold the values of an open internet, as they are a branch of our unalienable-constitutional rights. As an American citizen, a tax-payer, and more importantly, your fellow countryman I implore you, save our open internet by upholding net-neutrality.

3121. Forrest Wright, los angeles, CA, 90046
Having the freedom to search the web in my home nation gives me comfort, and I don't want that taken from me or anyone else.

3122. Ross Miller, Glendale, CA, 91204
The protections provided by net neutrality are more important now than ever before. As ISPs become corporate giants and owners of major entertainment providers, the incentive to use people's internet access to drive them toward specific corporate-owned content is extraordinarily high. The internet has been a boon to businesses big and small, and has created a level playing field for start-ups and established companies. Please don't ruin it now.

3123. Brad Kolonay, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please do not destroy internet neutrality for the reasons on this site:https://netneutrality.internetassociation.org/action/Big ISPs should not be able to dictate how the internet is used or distributed. The internet is great today because it is open, unfiltered, and uncensored.

3124. Flynn Nicholls, Burbank, CA, 91502
Net neutrality ensures a level playing field for all users. Repealing this and allowing for different 'lanes' of accessibility would be an economic and web security nightmare. Leave Net Neutrality alone.

3125. Candice Rogers, Glendale, CA, 91207
Giant cable companies shouldn't determine if a business fails or succeeds. Consumers should have the freedom to spend their time and money wherever on the internet they desire without having to be worried about throttling or blocking.

3126. Timothy Chrisney, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Hey, let me keep my net neutrality you corrupt assholes

3127. Alyssa Cotrina, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Our household urges you to protect the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules). We refuse to sit back and allow ISPs to have the power to block, slow, and/or give certain websites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. We cannot allow giant/monopoly ISPs to censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a very serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and

small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. This will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants/'monopolies famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. As a result, these internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech with which they don't agree. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.We are sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore us and millions of other Americans. So we're also sending this to our members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you,Alyssa & Stephen Cotrina

3128. Joel Smith, Burbank, CA, 91504
Hi,As a concerned citizen, I am writing to ask that you do continue enforcing net neutrality rules. Below are my reasons why.I am a software developer by trade. As such I use the internet daily as both a resource for finding methods and solutions to issues, as well as a resource for distributing those same methods and solutions as well as technology to assist companies and the general public. Net Neutrality makes this job easier. Stack Overflow and Google is essential resources when an issue arises. If my ISP decided to create their own search engine or question/answer site and prioritize content to that, finding solutions would become far more difficult. Additionally, in terms of distribution, sharing my own code or services would be quite difficult if the ended up competing with my ISP services. When I purchase my broadband plan, I expect to be able to visit all of the sites I would get from any other ISP. I visit or use services from gmail, google news, arstechnica, netflix, hulu, xbox live, nest, slack, pandora, amazon, etc. The only thing I really use my ISP for is the ability to connect to the internet at all. In fact, I occasionally don't even use my ISP's DNS, instead opting for google's when I'm having issues, or need to test. I prefer this level of access for a couple of reasons: first, it makes switching ISPs extremely easy, as I don't need to transfer any of my services to something else. ISP A and ISP B work exactly the same as far as I need to know. Second, I don't have to worry about vendor lock in because my vendor does not provide any meaningful services beyond the physical connection. Speaking of my ISP choices, I also want to point out that I basically only have one. Within my area, we have access to Charter internet throughout my city (and this is the ISP I choose). AT&T DSL also exists (or did exist), however their service maxed at 6Mbps years ago. Now, the only plan they over is 18 Mbps and outside of the introductory rate, they are still as expensive as Charter, for one-fifth the speed. While in theory I could switch to AT&T, in reality, any slight they made would have to be balanced against the significant value

proposition, as well as the inconvenience of waiting for people to come and connect or disconnect my service.Finally I want to talk about what role I think FCC should play in keeping internet access free. First, I believe that the FCC should mandate sharing of hard resources at an appropriate rate for other businesses. While I appreciate that a company spent time and effort laying the cables, that should not mean that they alone have access to those cables (As the alternative is more interruption to the public areas above or below those cables). Second, I think that the FCC ensures that appropriate rates are offered by any service providers. As noted above, I have limited options in who I get my service from, which means that if Charter, or another ISP decides to double its rates, I really have no recourse. The FCC should be able to step in and say that these rates are inappropriate. Third, I think the FCC should continue to enforce that no service provider provides special treatment to any message. You should continue to enforce that when a message is sent to a recipient, the content of that message is unchanged. Thanks for your time

3129. Christopher G Bercik, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Giving internet service providers any more power would absolutely cripple the United States as a country. To even consider this just lacks common sense. Political and corporate entities could buy the narrative even more than they do now. Keep the power with the people. In order to maintain a free society you must maintain free networks of trade, communication, sharing, and knowledge. Keep net neutrality.Think about it: a foreign power could invest or buy an ISP, then filter the content through the ISP to serve their agenda, and even overtly subject US companies to black outs.You're basically giving third parties the ability to DDOS any and all service providers, seriously just list all of the various services you'd ever need and how easy they are to find and compare. Now give a capital rich entity the ability to manipulate all your searches, would you still know if you're going to best provider for said service?Giving ISP power? This reeks of communism. Think George Orwell.The potential for swamp monster manipulation and exploitation is too great, just please keep net neutrality.

3130. Freddie Crespo, Bubank, CA, 91501
I rely on an free internet to do my research, studies, and even entertainment. Where I can access an site at any speed and not worrying one site will load faster or slower than the other.Please do not give away regulation for fair treatment to the companies, which clearly do not have the consumer best interest at heart. One of the best things this country offer over the world is a neutral internet where no company can deny access to sites or slow down site the ISP don't agree or like. Let's not turn give freedom to the ISP do what North Korea does to their citizen.I do not understand how this administration is keen on dismantling all the people protection previous administration so hardly work to put in place.

3131. Nathan Schlundt, La Canada, CA, 91011
The internet should always be as accessible as possible.

3132. Kristofer Gordon, Los Angeles, CA, 90028

Net Neutrality should be governed by Title 2. The governments job is to protect the interest of the public first, NOT the interest of corporations.

3133. Rachel Dukes, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
As an independent creator and entertainer my livelihood - and the livelihood of my family - rely on a free and open internet so that readers can find my work easily and quickly. I've been a freelance illustrator for 14 years and have made a concerted effort to make my work affordable and accessible for readers of all ages - whether in print or online. The rising costs of services, or ISPs giving preference to sites like Amazon or eBay in searches, will directly impact the ability of new readers to find and support my work. Net neutrality in integral for my business, my readers, and for me to provide for my family. Please support an open internet.

3134. Vahe Toomasi, Glendale, CA, 91203
Corporations in general will do everything in their power to make more money, increasing their bottom line and provide added value to shareholders while minimizing costs. If you give them a new avenue in the way of removing net neutrality, they will use that as their new revenue stream, which means forcing people to pay extra for certain websites, making website packages, censoring anything that they deem to be hurtful to their bottom line. Corporations have done this for decades, so what makes you think they wont do it with the internet? If you don't believe me, try to call your cable company and tell them you only want to pay for certain channels and not others, and listen to them laughing on the other end of the line. This is how television has been for the last couple decades, and this is also the reason why television is dying (and the neutral internet is the reason why).Imagine having to pay extra every month on top of your normal cable bill just so you can use "video streaming". That will probably be the first thing to happen, all video streaming services will suddenly be part of a "video streaming" package. You may not care, most of you probably would not care, but your children and the younger members of your family will never forget. Some of you don't realize how important the internet is, it is an instant connection for everyone in the world to each other, the internet is more important than the corporations who provide access to it, any kind of censorship or limitation imposed on the internet and communication in general is a limitation imposed on the progress of man. So if nothing else, even if you don't care about what the general public thinks, maybe you should consider the looks you will get from family members who will have to pay for your decision 6-12 months down the line when companies start exploiting this ruling. While this may seem like its strictly an issue of business and companies making more money, the history books will not remember the removal of Net Neutrality as being a business decision, or a security decision, that is not how it will be recorded. History will record it as the first massive scale censorship effort imposed in the United States, and your names will be all over it.

3135. Brian Hull, Burbank, CA, 91501
I support Net Nutrality, please do not give control to the internet companies. As someone who makes their living online, if this law passes then my entire lively hood

will now be based on wether or not my hosting website will start "bribing" the company to put them in the fast lane. And I'm not willing to take that chance. Please leave Net Neutrality laws alone.

3136. Kevin Boyke, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
The internet should remain free & open to everyone. Please vote in favor of Net Neutrality.

3137. John Promani, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please keep the strong net neutrality rules in place. I value the open unfettered access to the internet that all Americans currently enjoy and would hate to see access to websites curtailed so that a few companies can make more of a profit. Please keep net neutrality!

3138. Robert Ambrosini, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
According to the FCC and the rest of the federal government, the authorities are already able to track criminals. What other need should there be for information to be monitored? Monetization? Advertising? Simple and basic control of what's being broadcast and received so they can decide how well certain areas of the internet work? Don't allow large companies to monopolize on the internet and take away the little privacy people have left.

3139. Scott Beale, Burbank, CA, 91506
The internet should be treated like the basic necessary utility it is. We need to ensure everyone has access and not give a few service providers the ability to choose what content is available.

3140. Zackery Hodges, Glendale, CA, 91205
If we the people of the world are to ever aquire the dreams and goals of humanity it will only be done through the sharing of thoughts, works, and ideologies with each other. Net neutrality is one primary way to ensure that people are able to work together and achieve greatness.

3141. Joan Kim, La Canada, CA, 91011
Net neutrality is a fundamental freedom of the 21st Century. Do not let the ISP roll back the current net neutrality rules. The internet was created to be an equal platform for all.

3142. Dan S, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
To Whom It May Concern,As a citizen and voter, I strongly oppose any removal or loosening of the Net Neutrality rules that we have set in place and urge the FCC to continue to protect a free and open internet.Sincerely,Dan

3143. Emilio Mejia, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
Freedom of communication is a basic right that should not be privatized. We've already allowed health care, police forces, and the prison system to be privatized and it has caused severe damage to our country and society. Let's not keep adding to

the problem.

3144. Erin Asadourian, Glendale, CA, 91201
According to the basic fundamentals of capitalism there needs to be competition and in order to allow there to be competition there needs to be an open access to a marketplace where consumers can choose where they want to shop. Net neutrality is trying to keep this marketplace open for the internet, which is why we need laws the prevent other companies from getting an edge on this platform. Imagine if Comcast had problems with Netflix and slowed down their connection so that is was unusable, we the consumers would be greatly hurt by this. And if priority was sold to the highest bidder larger companies would be able to buy out those spaces leaving smaller business in the dust. All that could lead to potential monopolies where the consumer could be greatly impacted by inflated prices and unsatisfactory customer service. The whole point being that net neutrality is very important to stay open and free. In short don't repeal the 2015 network neutrality rules and make sure the backbone of the internet stays open and free.

3145. Mark Ostrom, Burbank, CA, 91504
To carry on with this proceeding is to restrict freedom in an area so heavily used and relied upon by average users and companies alike. Paid Prioritization, blocking, and throttling is completely unfair, unAmerican, and unworthy in every sense. Keep the internet open and free.

3146. Simon Cheng, glendale, CA, 91208
The internet should be kept a free domain with regulation on only vital aspects that will mitigate harm to the public.Please do not allow for regulation for the purpose of capitalistic gains.

3147. Stefanja Pytel, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Shouldn't the internet be free?!

3148. Steven Spinoglio, Glendale, CA, 91206
Do not roll-back Net Neutrality. This is un-American. Between this and Trump Care, Republicans will lose in the mid-terms.

3149. Brendan, La Canada, CA, 91011
Our net and personal information and lives are not for public profit or censorship. I urge you not to let this bill pass, please stand with public opinion and save our net.

3150. Ryan McManemin, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please keep current net neutrality laws intact. There is only one internet, and all rules should apply to home and mobile connections equally.

3151. ara karamian, glendale, CA, 91214
IF ALL THE BIGGEST COMPANIES ON THE INTERNET AND LIBERAL NON-PROFITS ARE TEAMING UP AGAINST YOU, YOU MUST BE DOING SOMETHING REALLLLLLLY FUCKING BAD. SAVE THE INTERNET.

3152. Julie Meschko, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support Net Neutrality and and Open Internet

3153. Jim Hassinger, Glendale, CA, 91202
Without the basic rules of equality for all traffic, the free and open internet becomes a purely profit-driven way for the pipe to own the programming. In the long run, it will create an authoritarian, militantly corporate place. The Internet was started with government money. The content should be provided by individuals and businesses to the network, where all have the same right to equal access. Remove the power of the cable box from the ISP.Jim Hassinger

3154. Harshul Zaveri, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net Neutrality drives innovation and has opened so many doors for communication with those all over the globe. The internet should not be restricted by variable speeds and pricing at the will of ISPs. I support legislation that protects Net Neutrality.

3155. Nicole DiGaetano, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support net neutrality. Restricting access to information is the first step to becoming a dictatorship.

3156. Kurt Wendler, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
This is the absolute worst for the internet as we know it. The internet thrives on the open access it already has. Why would you restrict it even more similar to cable companies, which are on the decline for this exact reason?

3157. Priyanka F, Glendale, CA, 91203
Don't monopolize the internet and give more power to yourself and internet service providersâ€”keep net neutrality.

3158. Rashidat Emiola, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Access to the internet is something I've often taken for granted. It has connected me with family members thousands of miles away. It has granted me access to amounts of knowledge I'll never be able to fathom. It was essential in completing my college degree, and it remains invaluable at my job today. ISPs should not have the power to determine what that access looks like. This is a democracy, emphasis on "demos," people. I hardly know how to explain why I buy internet service. The internet has been a part of my life since as far as I can remember. I know no other way. Where I live already has a bearing on the broadband services I can buy. Switching would be an expensive, time-consuming, and invasive burden. At this point, access to the internet is practically vital to functioning in our society. Forcing the American people into a non-choice would be a huge violation of our rights. There should be an unbiased oversight agency committed to protecting us, the public. Corporations have enough. The money is not worth people's access to knowledge and connection. Be decent, please.

3159. Patrick Woodall, Los Angeles, CA, 90026

I'm utterly opposed to the proposed rule regarding so called "internet freedom." The open and even internet is vital to opportunity and progress, and the proposed regulatory framework is nothing short of a handout to ISPs. The FCC is tasked with protecting consumers, not corporate profits. I expect my government to act in my and my fellow citizens' best interest, not in the interest of the very industries they are meant tot regulate.

3160. andranik aghababyan, Burbank, CA, 91501
I want the internet to stay free and open.

3161. Ron Utin Lalkin, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Keep net neutrality! As a freelance app developer, it would be impossible for me to compete with larger corporations if I didn't have an equal opportunity to reach the masses. Keeping the internet neutral is beneficial to the economy, it promotes competition and innovation.

3162. Paul Rumohr, Glendale, CA, 91203
Please keep access to the internet neutral and fair

3163. Cole Stephenson, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I am strongly opposed to the "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal. It will allow telecoms to stifle innovation on the internet, which will be harmful to the economy. Nascent internet platforms, that could go on to be major employers/revenue generators, cannot afford to have powerful telecoms place barriers on their access to the market by throttling the speed at which potential customers can use their products and services. Nor can the telecoms, which often operate as de-facto monopolies in many markets, be trusted to safeguard the interests of the consumer. Please vote no on the proposal.

3164. Melina, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Uphold net neutrality and protect our open internet

3165. roger lind, los angeles, CA, 90028
i like internet.

3166. Rudi Liden, Glendale, CA, 91203
Killing net neutrality will destroy this country's future ability to compete with the rest of the world. Attacking this fundamental freedom is a greedy and vile attack on the American people. Shame on the republican party. Shame on the head of the FCC.

3167. Michael Bianco, Los Angeles, CA, 91214
KEEP. NET. NEUTRALITY. ISPs should remain subject to oversight by an expert agency. Something needs to ensure that consumers are protected from invasions of privacy, fraudulent billing, and price gouging (among other things). THANKS. :)

3168. Andrea Portes, LA, CA, 90046

Please do the right thing. Do not cave into the horrible cable companies. Keep the internet neutral!

3169. Katy McIlvaine, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Net neutrality must be protected and maintained. For any and all the reason, it's what the people who live here want to see happen and our government must respect the citizens views.

3170. Megan McGuane, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The internet is a space that should remain free of influence, especially by those who want to profit off of it and control content. We must trust people and allow them access to information, or else we are no better than other countries who limit access, information, and are oppressive.

3171. Jim Smith, Glendale, CA, 91206
Please do not do away with net neutrality protections. I have only one internet provider in my area, so if they decide to block any content I have no other options. The internet is an important resource and American's shouldn't be at a disadvantage to the rest of the world by having a more restricted version of it! Thank you for listening.

3172. Eric McCans, Los angeles, CA, 90038
The internet should be like an interstate highway that facilitates commerce, communication, and the transmission of ideas / culture WITHOUT restrictions or preferential treatment applied to those using it. Imagine if passenger vehicles had to wait to enter the highway until all delivery trucks had passed. Net neutrality is in the best interest of our society as a whole.

3173. Daniel Alden Reeves, Glendale, CA, 91205
I am writing in today because I am firmly and completely in support of Net Neutrality. I support Net Neutrality because I support Democracy. A neutral internet allows for small businesses and individuals to compete on equal footing with giant corporations. If you force users to unwillingly become part of a system where faster internet speeds can be purchased you are not only killing the hopeful entrepreneurs that make this country what it is, you are fundamentally destroying a necessary service that all modern life is built on. Internet is ubiquitous with every day life, and the foundation on which it is built is that it is fair and equal for all users. I personally have a website that I send to prospective employers to be considered for work. If my website is de-prioritized simply because I can't afford to pay for it to run at higher speeds, potential employers may be less likely to view my site, or the content on it because of slow loading and buffering times. If net neutrality is killed, it would negatively effect not only my every day use - but also my very livelihood.Please, take a moment to sit down and really consider what's at stake. Don't vote with your wallet in mind, vote with compassion for the millions of people depending on you. Do the right thing and protect Net Neutrality.

3174. Marcus Gabilheri, Hollywood, CA, 90028

Net neutrality is important for our society and innovation. Do not let ISP's control our internet!

3175. Andy Greene, Burbank, CA, 91501
Net neutrality is vitally important to the future of our country and the world. We NEED to retain it.

3176. Ryan Schiavo, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
PROTECT THE INTERNET - SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY

3177. sarab gill, los angeles, CA, 90028
we need to breathe. please leave us alone. net neutrality is a must. please stop trying to make us robots. we all have to die one day so who do you wish to leave all this power for and with?

3178. Kathryn Madden, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Net neutrality is key to a free, fair, and democratic Internet now and in the future. I strongly support net neutrality and expect the FCC to do the same.

3179. Keith, Burbank, CA, 91502
I am a content creator on YouTube that would be better off having my community/audience grow naturally and fairly instead of Internet Service Providers charging more for only the privileged users to view my content at its intended speed of video streaming.

3180. William Copeland, Sun Valley, CA, 91352
I am an individual that makes a living that relies entirely on my bandwidth. Much of my life is housed online. Fast, reliable internet is a basic need for me.For the last two homes I've lived in, I've only had access to a single ISP. I cannot afford net neutrality to be tossed away.

3181. Meghan Jenks, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I am a small business owner who relies on the free upload of data to a streaming service. The removal of Net Neutrality can have a direct negative effect on my business from both my and my customers' ends. I strongly support the continuing policy of Net Neutrality and beseech the FCC to leave the regulations in place.

3182. Jeff Schroeder, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
The Internet was founded on the basis of free and fair access. Letting companies lobby and limit the freedoms of our Internet are not only wrong, but unjust, and morally corrupt. The Internet has become a necessity of life; job applications, school assignment, research, college enrollment, banking, personal security, and most importantly, the right to information. Do not let this happen. It's wrong.

3183. Franz Metcalf, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I write to urge you to continue the policy of considering what ISP's provide as broadband access to the internet. This is precisely what I and the vast majority of

consumers desire, pay for, and should have access to: unfettered and neutral access to the internet. I get my email address from my own web site, hosted by a third party. I have cloud storage from two other parties. All I get from my ISP (Spectrum cable) is 100mbps download speed which my household needs. I cannot get that speed from any other provider. I have no choice, as AT&T, the only other provider in my area (Hollywood Hills), is limited to 10mpbs. I need assurance that my sole possible ISP will not throttle my connection for its own reasons. My situation does not parallel an open market in delivering goods; it parallels a utility in delivering vital water or power. It should be regulated as such.

3184. Amanda Brass, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
The entrepreneurial state of a free and open internet will be crucial to our flagging economy. Protect Net Neutrality at all costs!

3185. Joseph LoBue, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Freedom, no censorship!

3186. Grant Janes, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
It is deeply unsettling that FCC Chairman Pai is hoping to gut current "net neutrality" rules and create an uneven playing field that favors the big telecoms over everyone else. The internet has been a great leveler - and has helped me to start my own film production firm. Without the internet, it would have been impossible. If I were to have to pay more for broadband access - and for video hosting of my projects - my company would go out of business. As a small company, we cannot afford to pay the same costs that deep-pocketed companies such as Netflix, etc. could pay for video delivery. We would go under.Allowing a few companies to monopolize and price-gouge internet traffic by allowing the FCC to reclassify broadband as an "information service" instead of as a "telecommunication service" is utterly destructive. It will stifle small business, hamper innovation, and reward monopolization.It's a terrible idea that rewards the few and punishes everyone else.

3187. Jeff Wattenhofer, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Keep your corporate cronies away from the people's internet, Ajit!

3188. Seth Pierson, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
In the Matter of Restoring Internet Freedom, WC Docket No. 17-108 I am a photographer, photo editor, archivist, musician and podcaster. I am submitting comments to express my strong support for the existing Open Internet Order. The Order has allowed the Internet to remain an open platform where consumers and creators, rather than a few powerful gatekeepers, decide what content and information they want to access. By protecting the free market online, the Open Internet Order promotes free speech, competition, investment and innovation. The Internet is a transformative platform for free speech and creative expression. The ability to bypass traditional gatekeepers and innovate without permission has enhanced competition and transformed industries. The news, information and entertainment offered online have driven broadband adoption, and the growth of online audio and video in particular has driven demand for faster Internet speeds.

Allowing Internet service providers (ISPs) to decide what content consumers can access and on what terms threatens this progress. For content creators, the open Internet has been critically important. It has made literally every facet of my career possible. Faced with an increasingly consolidated industry where a handful of companies decide whose stories are told, the open Internet has brought forth new buyers and distribution outlets as well so my work can reach new audiences. But this new market constitutes a competitive threat to the traditional media programmers. Without the current rules, distribution conglomerates would have the power to eliminate new competition. Under the proposed plan, we can expect the Internet to turn into cable television, with a few corporate gatekeepers controlling what content and information are available to consumers. The threat is not hypothetical: prior to the 2015 rules, Comcast, Time Warner Cable, Verizon and AT&T degraded Netflixï¿½s traffic even though their customers had paid to access the content and services of their choice. I strongly oppose the FCCï¿½s proposal to rescind the Open Internet Order and to reclassify broadband Internet access service as a Title I information service. These actions will eliminate the FCCï¿½s ability to enact and enforce rules that prevent discriminatory conduct or censorship by ISPs. The D.C. Circuit Court twice rejected the FCCï¿½s open Internet rules when broadband was classified under Title I. I urge the FCC not to undermine the existing open Internet rules.

3189. Brenda Gant, Glendale, CA, 91208
Please safeguard and guarantee Net Neutrality! The FCC needs to do what is good for the people and country as a whole, not what is good for a few businesses. We have entrusted you with the power to look after the interests of The People...please do your job on this matter.

3190. Glen Ihrig, Burbank, CA, 91504
I depend on a free and open internet to make my living. Please keep it that way.

3191. Logan Lipton, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please do not allow ISP's to throttle internet speeds or choose (directly or indirectly) which sites we can and cannot visit.

3192. Keith Matthews, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
To the FCC,Astonishing to many, American workers are among the most productive in the world making us competitive in many markets despite our relatively high wages. Our rapid and widespread adoption of information technology, i.e. the internet, is a huge driver of this productivity. Add to this the fact that increasingly American workers, like myself, work a significant portion of the time from home and the inevitable conclusion is that we need reliable internet connectivity in our homes. Unfortunately we find ourselves nearing an impasse. Those best suited to provide that connectivity are utility companies who have made huge investments in physical infrastructure in exchange for which we have granted them the traditional, local, near-monopoly status granted to utility companies to encourage their investment. Those companies are incentivized to exploit their monopoly status to

the greatest extent you allow and provide a heavily consumerized version of the internet to households. In this scenario they can draw profits both from households and from content providers and reap much greater rewards.What serves the American worker best, and in turn the American economy, is household internet that resembles the wider internet more closely. In this scenario the utility companies provide nothing but a "dumb pipe". Of course they loathe that scenario because this limits their marketing options tremendously. It is exceedingly difficult to pretend that your dumb pipe is better than the another's when only three factors describe it: speed, reliability and price. Claiming it is better requires actually making it better and that is expensive.Unfortunately for the utility companies, the superior productivity of the American worker going forward is far from a certainty and the health of the nation will have to take precedence over their desire to continue to exploit a publicly granted monopoly. You have the power to demand that they provide the dumb pipe our economy desperately needs. I strongly urge you to defend net neutrality.Sincerely Yours,Keith MatthewsLos Angeles, CA

3193. Isaac J Shure, Glendale, CA, 91208
Removing Net Neutrality would be the death of online freedom. It's bad enough that ISPs are given artificial monopolies by the government, infringing on our basic American freedoms as consumers, but to allow those unconstitutionally backed monoliths to censor communications to their whim would be one of the most disgustingly un-american acts in recent memory.Not only would granting ISPs this borderline unconstitutional power be loathsome ideologically, but practically as well. Allowing ISPs to throttle services that don't (or can't) pay for equal access to the internet would choke out innovation and economic growth. Google, YouTube, and Facebook all started as small, relatively low-funded passion projects that grew to their great and influential status because of Net Neutrality. Imagine if when Google was starting out, ISPs had been allowed to artificially stifle its growth in favor of the companies who could pay their protection racket. We would be living in a world where the internet was still dominated by sites like AOL and AskJeeves. And one of the greatest technological innovators and job creators of our age would have died in its infancy.Removing Net Neutrality would make it virtually impossible to succeed as an online startup, stagnating the economy. A recent study found that the "internet industry" contributes 6% of America's GDP. That's 1 trillion, 80 billion dollars annually, more than coal mining and the automotive industry combined. Now, more than ever, our nation needs economic growth, and to neuter one of our nation's largest sources of economic growth, the internet, is a ludicrous proposition.This is not a partisan issue. This is an American issue, one that threatens our way of life and our financial security. Cable companies do not speak for the American People, and when the American people are united on an issue, they make their voice heard.

3194. Dan Murrell, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I am contacting you regarding the upcoming ruling on net neutrality. As a proud American citizen, I consider freedoms of expression and the press, and free and easy access to information of all types and ideologies to be some our country's most

precious values. Net neutrality is a critical issue, one that ensures an equal platform for all voices, free from interference from special interests, corporations, and those who seek to repress what should be a neutral platform for personal, financial, or political gain. Net neutrality is a moral obligation and the FCC was established to prevent actions such as the kind of restrictions that are now being proposed. The American people, the institutions who make up the bedrock of the internet, and countless organizations have spoken - the internet should remain a tool accessible equally to ALL. A step back from true net neutrality would be a dereliction of your duty to the American people and the Constitution. Do not allow yourselves to be ruled by special interests. The stakes are high and your moral and social obligation couldn't be more clear - show the world that America is a country that listens to their people and do the right thing.

3195. Chris Kotschwar, Burbank, CA, 91504
I believe in full net neutrality. There should be no one monitoring what traffic gets priority or which websites I can or can't view based on my current subscription.

3196. Kieran Mulroy, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!! Don't think that we aren't paying attention to what's happening here. Young people don't care about politics much because it's tough for them to see the effects in their daily life. But we use the internet ALL. THE. TIME. Every waking minute. And you better believe we're gonna come after those who try and take it away from us. Don't do it.

3197. Douglas Chamberlin, Glendale, CA, 91205
I urge you to support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. I do NOT believe that internet service providers, if left to their own goodwill, will self-enforce standards of fair play and neutrality, as making money will always be their bottom line.

3198. Aram Sarkisian, Glendale, CA, 91205
We need to keep the net neutrality and the Title II.

3199. Ashley Clements, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I am an independent creator making entertainment that is primarily distributed through the internet. My business relies on a neutral net.

3200. Jef Harmatz, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
As a small business owner, I am in favor of net neutrality. Do not rollback the protections in place for people like me.

3201. Terry Hoge, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Net neutrality is important and ISP's should be covered under title II

3202. Shamar Drew, los angeles, CA, 90046
stop the regulation

3203. Michael Bieringer, Los Angeles, CA, 90048
I am for Net Neutrality.Knowledge is No Man's Commodity.

3204. Andrew Joseph Vietri, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I would like to keep net neutrality. It was ruled to be a utility and should stay open and free.

3205. Sean Kelley, Burbank, CA, 91502
The Internet is the biggest innovation in modern times, and has made more money for American businesses than any other resent invention. If we had made all the roads toll roads when the model A came out we would still be riding horses everywhere. Don't stifle innovation by putting it behind a pay wall. Don't let the future of our country fall even further behind the rest of the world just for a quick buck.

3206. Evan Menzel, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I support net neutrality. Keep the internet free and flowing for everyone equally.

3207. Andrew Lee, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I've spent my entire adult life working for companies that do business online. Apartment locators, product manufacturers, and I also started two creative professional businesses of my own - almost all of these are companies that wouldn't exist without a truly neutral net. These are also companies that have dramatically disrupted the industries they are in with hard work and innovation. The big guys would have crushed them all long ago with backroom deals had they not been free to do business online in an even playing field.When I buy internet service from an ISP, I am buying fast internet access. Where I live I have no choice of provider for cable. It's a necessary part of my job, not some elective luxury, and I never buy other services with internet access. The internet is a utility and needs to be protected as such. Anything that allows fast lanes or allows ISP's to choose what I can see is bad for business and bad for the country, period.I need the FCC to protect internet access as a necessary part of doing business today. I need to know it will be fast, reliable, the same every time, and that my work online is private and secure. I need to know an ISP wont institute unfair data caps or speed restrictions, won't restrict access or load speed to my websites or the websites I need to do my job. I know there are millions of people like me out there, and that the health and future of the american economy relies on this.

3208. Kristina Hambley, Glendale, CA, 91201
The preservation of net neutrality and Title 2 is essential. Net neutrality represents the freedom to access PUBLIC information without limitations imposed by internet providers. Without it our web would essentially be censored and thus an infringement on our freedom of speech. Please take action to support net neutrality.

3209. Victor J Garza, Glendale, CA, 91205
Keeping the internet FREE from government official from serving corporations wants over the peoples needs is the FCC's purpose. Please maintain the internet

under title II. Killing net neutrality is only restoring freedom for ISP's to do as they please with impunity.

3210. chad hahne, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I support internet neutrality. It is undemocratic for powerful ISP's to block the smaller, more local sources out.please protect all people and businesses using the web.

3211. Albert Salsich, La Canada Flintridge, CA, 91011
Net neutrality is very important for commerce and innovation to thrive on the internet. Don't slow down the internet by allowing behemoth ISPs to determine what services and at what speeds we view the information on the internet. Tiered network access and traffic prioritization should not be allowed. Keep the internet neutral. Protect net neutrality!

3212. Brian Glicklin, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I am an Internet user and I support net neutrality. I want the FCC to preserve the net neutrality protections currently in place.I am worried about an Internet that would favor certain sites or services over others. I want the FCC to reject Chairman Ajit Paiâ€™s current proposal that could allow Internet Service Providers to engage in data discrimination.Please support net neutrality; please support my consumer rights and do not allow Internet Service Providers the ability to engage in data discrimination or create Internet â€œfast lanesâ€ by charging online services and websites money to reach people faster.

3213. Erik Grammer, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I emphatically support strong net neutrality rules in the interest of keeping the information superhighway open to all entrepreneurs & individuals, not just the large corporations with deep pockets who's interests often elevate profits over country. My wife and I started our first company in Virginia in our 20's with no money and a ton of hard work. Once we were able to grow enough to hire we paid our employees well above market wages with full benefits because we believed that the community is more healthy and happy when everyone is working hard and well compensated. We didn't get rich that way (far from it) but everyone at the company was able to pay their bills, put a little money away and see a doctor when they needed to. But the opposite is happening at large companies where retirement and health benefits are stripped away year after year while the executives receive higher and higher compensation. All while these corporations pursue automation at a frantic pace so they can get rid of employees altogether. And now we have legislators who want to advantage these companies further by allowing them to buy preferential access to the most vital information source ever created. In what way does this benefit the public?My current company provides real estate marketing services to agents in Southern California. The vast majority of all their marketing assets are now delivered online via property listings websites, videos, social media, etc. Real estate agents are all basically independent contractors. If large real estate marketing companies (Redfin, Zillow, etc.) with millions of dollars are able to prioritize their

own traffic they can effectively drown out all the individual real estate agents. This would lead to many of the agents going out of business because they canâ€™t effectively market their clientâ€™s properties. Thus home sellers would have less choice in who is available to help them sell their homes. And the choices they would have would come from a smaller and smaller group of larger and larger companies who have no connection to the neighborhood, community, city or often state (and eventually country) that they live in. Do we want to live in a country where even the sale of a home is 100% about profit with no concern about the impact on the community? What affect will this have on our neighborhoods when there is no local, human concern?Finally, I pay my broadband company for only one thing: access at the highest speed I can afford. If they offer other services I am not even aware of them as their biggest pitch on their website is â€œThe Fastest Internet Speedsâ€. I use gmail for email, dropbox for storage, iCloud for data syncing and various other business related product deployment services from other independent companies. That is what freedom of choice looks like. If we give broadband telecommunication companies further ability to restrict data flow and censor information by profiting off of selling â€œfast lanesâ€ to large companies we will effectively drown out the smaller companies and put them at a huge competitive disadvantage. This is critical at a time where automation by large corporations means fewer and fewer jobs for the country. Creating a small business is a great way to fight back against automation and support local communities at the same time.I implore the FCC to act in the best interest of the people of this country by keeping equal access to the internet open to all Americans so that small businesses can continue to thrive. Innovation doesnâ€™t just happen at large companies - itâ€™s usually driven by passionate individuals with a vision. Advantaging large corporations (many with foreign ownership) over American citizens is, well, un-American!

3214. John McDonough, Glendale, CA, 91205
Net neutrality is vital. Any limiting of net neutrality is the very definition of putting corporate interest over the interest of the citizen.

3215. Carlos Aragon, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please protect net neutrality. This freedom helps retain our own personal and individual freedoms of choice and expression. Ending it would be a grave mistake and puts the American citizens under the control of large corporations. Please protect our freedoms.

3216. Alexander Wolff, Glendale, CA, 91204
The internet is a public utility created by our great nation. It should remain public, open and accessible to all. Don't allow this throttling to go forward, and these ISP's to take away what has become a fundamental human right for most Americans.

3217. Jacob Houser, Glendale, CA, 91203
I am trying to start a web based company, and net neutrality allows me the ability to compete with other companies based on the quality of my product.

3218. Gustav Deutsch, West Hollywood, CA, 90069

Net neutrality is key to ensuring that the internet remains a viable entity for future generations. Regulations to ensure that outside forces cannot interfere with the internet that we all enjoy are beneficial and should remain intact. Thank you.

3219. Richard King, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please don't change the net neutrality rules.

3220. S Thompson, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Do not change the current law. Keep the internet open and free for all. Individuals need it, business needs it, schools need it, media needs it, we ALL need it! Do not allow ISP's or other corporations gain control of one of the best things about modern life. The free and open internet is something we all cherish.

3221. Lauren DiCicco, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
The Internet should be open for all people to enjoy uncensored, and most importantly, to protect our democracy.

3222. Nick Decell, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I strongly disagree with reclassifying ISPs under title 1 regulations. True Net Neutrality must be enforced through strong regulation which can only be enforced under Title II classification. Allowing corporate interests of ISPs to undermine net neutrality by voting for this change is exactly the corrupt behavior that is wrong with Washington. I strongly urge you to keep ISPs under Title II classification in order to keep strong net neutrality regulation in place.

3223. Abbott Mujica, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The internet should not be regulated by the media corporations or the ISP's. Information needs to flow like water. It should not have toll booths and contraflow. The internet is a necessity and should not be hindered by those who want to control it for their own personal gains.

3224. Adis Khatchatourian, Glendale, CA, 91201
Please keep the internet free from limitations that will arise without net neutrality. The internet should be treated like a public utility.

3225. Ryan Kasmiskie, los angeles, CA, 90039
Keep the internet open. I support net neutrality. I think the only people who don't are the companies who stand to gain from being completely unregulated. As a citizen and consumer there is absolutely no reason I would want you to roll back regulations on an industry that's shown time and time again they will abuse any power they're given. Please. Don't do this.

3226. Raj Jawa, Glendale, CA, 91204
The internet should be like the public library and allow for free access of information to the public. Allow the internet to be bolstered and supported by corporations but never run by them. Allow the rules of fairness and equality stand true for the information super highway, where one's monetary purse doesn't

determine what is seen, what voices are heard; the internet must be available for a free exchange of ideas on an equal playing field, allowing the consumers (the market) to decide what's worth of notice and notability, lest we allow only a few voices dominate the conversation rather than allow the people to decide, which is the very foundation on which this nation was founded.

3227. Justin Waller, van nuys, CA, 91040
the net should remain neutral. free and open sharing of information is important for the true freedom of every individual in this country and world.

3228. Robert Ross, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
The internet needs to remain unfettered by regulations squeezing it to a crawl save for the highest bidders. Don't close the aqueducts while people bathe.

3229. Lucia Bozzola, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Net Neutrality is vital to maintaining our First Amendment rights. It is vital for democracy. It is vital for my work. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!

3230. Johnny Odabashyan, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet service providers (ISP). I currently and will always oppose any efforts that go against it.

3231. Rebecca McNamara, West Hollywood, CA, CA, 90046
The FCC should make it a priority to retain net neutrality. I ask that you not support rules that would limit access to the internet, allow paid prioritization, or any other sort of non-neutral web access. I do not want my Internet Service Provider to be able to control and influence my experience online. Please retain net neutrality rules in the USA.

3232. Matthew J. Sadowski, Burbank, CA, 91501
As a filmmaker who relies heavily on the internet to not only reach an audience, but to research technical and scholarly advancements as well, unhindered web access is essential to my field. Therefore, I strongly urge lawmakers to preserve net neutrality for the sake of not only filmmakers, but also for all of those whose careers rely on a fair internet.

3233. Omar Call, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please protect Net Neutrality! The open internet and the competition of the marketplace keeps the internet better for users.

3234. Alex DiGiacinto, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The internet is, around the world, considered a utility. The US, as usual, will be held back by the desire of a few large corporations to profit at the expense of it's people if Net Neutrality is repealed.Please don't embarrass us. The USA is a major reason why the Internet exists in the first place. It should be the best here, not South Korea and Sweden.

3235. Alex Dobrenko, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
This is important for me. Net neutrality is important for me and my family. Thank you.

3236. Christopher Nold, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90069
I am in favor of the current strong net neutrality rules supported by Title II. Chairman Pai's proposal will harm Americans and the Internet.

3237. Lea Joel Speyer, Hollywood, CA, 90069
Please keep the internet a free and public operation. This is America. We are supposed to be the land of the free. The first ammendment guarantees our right to free speech and the freedom of the press. If you sell the internet to highest bidder than we are no better than the worst communist country in the world. How dare you even consider doing this? What happened to this country????

3238. Andrew Goulet, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are crucial and require protection. If the FCC lifts these rules, it will only be benefitting big industry and harming the vast majority of consumers and small businesses. ISPs will be able to block websites, slow them down, give some advantage over others, or split the internet into fast lanes for companies that pay and slow for those who cannot. This will destroy the open marketplace. Far more frightening to me even than the market effects on consumers and small businesses, is the possibility of ISP controlled censorship. ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access information that they do not agree with. They will be able to charge fees that make it more difficult for all voices to be heard equally. Today, more than ever, getting to the TRUTH of matters is important; and in order to do so, we must have access to all the information. The FCC's very mission is to give nondiscriminatory access to communications and to promote the safety of life and property through the use of wire and radio communications. Removing net neutrality has the potential to violate this very mission, protecting big industry over the American people. Please continue to protect net neutrality.

3239. Jenna Velez, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I oppose the roll back on net neutrality rules. I'm an app and web developer and this is will directly impact my career. The internet should remain free without ISP's being allowed to control speed or block sites. This will stifle innovation and is the complete wrong direction our tech focused 1st world country should go.

3240. Andy Feng, Burbank, CA, 91501
Please keep the internet free and fair for everyone. Not just the ones who have the deepest pockets.

3241. hortensia gomez-tirella, los angeles, CA, 90038
Internet today is used so prevalently that it needs to be kept open, without added fees to keep it accessible for all. It is no different than landlines used to be, vitally important.

3242. Hannah Mescon, West Hollywood, CA, 90048
I am in favor of a free and open internet with net neutrality.

3243. Greg Baldwin, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Please preserve net neutrality -- large companies should not be able to control access to the internet

3244. Joseph M Gonzalea, Burbank, CA, 91501
I absolutely oppose any restrictions to net neutrality. The web MUST remain neutral!

3245. Madison Reinhart, burbank, CA, 91502
Internet service providers should NOT be able to control my online experience

3246. Lucas Lacamara, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Killing net neutrality would be a devastating blow not only to the American small business owner, but to our access to information in general.

3247. Kia Kiso, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Dear FCC,I use the internet every waking moment. I use it for my business as a film producer to research stories, connect with colleagues, stay in touch with my audience, sell my films, store files in the cloud, collaborate with remote team members, organize screenings, raise funds, and more. I use it on a personal level for staying in touch with friends and family, keeping tabs on issues that matter to me, and for even finding a needed laugh.When I purchase access to the internet I expect to be given unfettered, fast access regardless of who I am, where I am located, what site I am accessing, or what service I am using. And I expect the same for any other person accessing the internet.Because of where my home office is located I have access to only one ISP. It hasn't been easy to use them. It took almost 30 hours of my time to get it to work in all areas of our house and with our cell phones. I track my time so I know that it took thirty frustrating hours. Even if another ISP was available I know it would take me just as long to get it set up again. And it might not be any faster, have decent equipment, or cheaper, or with quality customer service.FCC, I believe "your role includes ensuring that consumers are protected from, among other things, invasions of their privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by their broadband providers." It is necessary that you have authority over broadband ISPs.Thank you, Kia Kiso

3248. Reba Liggett, Glendale, CA, 91204
Net neutrality is incredibly important. Information and expression is a basic human right, and if you remove net neutrality and reclassify the internet, you are removing that basic human right. ISPs will throttle and push out any of the small voices that can't compete with the big corporations because they won't be able to pay what's being asked. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!!!!!

3249. Polly Stenberg, burbank, CA, 91505
I am in support of maintaining NET neutrality per the current regulations.

3250. Restoring Internet Freedom, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I adamantly oppose the FCC wanting to repeal the open internet. Your Commission is attempting to repeal the 2015 Open Internet Order, which is essential to free speech, independent media, and social movement organizing on the internet. Without net neutrality we lose our ability to organize against injustice -- we would be without the tools used in lifting up Black Lives Matter, the Women's March, Daily Kos and the Netroots. ISPs want to pick the winners and losers on the Internet, by creating an unequal playing field where they can prioritize their own content while creating new profit schemes that will force Internet users into fast and slow lanes. Verizon has said they see themselves as the future content curators of the Internet. Comcast has repeatedly blocked content. AT&T has blocked apps that compete with their servicesPLEASE do not allow this to happen. Keep the internet the way it is -- oppose this!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

3251. Michael Eason-Garcia, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Please do not hand internet freedom to profit-driven corporations

3252. Steven Hilton, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
What makes the internet, and America, great is the easy, unrestricted, and free availability of all kinds of information, regardless of the content provider. Having supported the fast growth of internet-based companies that currently serve as the backbone of today's US economy, net neutrality is pro-business, pro-growth, and pro-freedom. All rules should apply equally across mobile and home devices. And access should not be curated by ISP's.

3253. Dan Selakovich, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
Please keep the internet open and fair. Small businesses around this country depend on a level playing field. Most service providers already have a pseudo monopoly, driving prices up. America has the slowest and most expensive ISPs in the developed world. Making a tiered system would make that worse.

3254. Jenny V Root, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I'm in full support of an open internet

3255. Jonathan Larson, Glendale, CA, 91206
I support strong net neutrality and want it and Title 2 preserved.

3256. Karen Drastal, Burbank, CA, 91502
As quoted from Twitter "Net Neutrality is one of the most important free expression issues of our time because without Net Neutrality, ISPs would be able to charge content providers more to access the Internet or to reach other users, frustrating the free flow of information... Net Neutrality is foundational to competitive, free enterprise, entrepreneurial market entry and reaching global customers." This is part of American's basic freedoms and rights! Keep net neutrality!! Think of the average American, not the greed of corporations that don't care about the good of the people and the country!

3257. James Berland, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
As a small business owner and entrepreneur with a history in the technology field dating back to the 1980's, I have seen the effects of large institutions and big players attempting to monopolize a marketplace. Fortunately, the forces of innovation and competition have broken through time and again. The most significant tool which allowed this to happen was and remains the internet. The internet must remain a level playing field to allow this process of innovation and renewal to continue. It is our nation's strongest barrier against innovation-stifling monopoly. Please leave Net Neutrality the way it is.

3258. net neutrality, Glendale, CA, 91202
We need to keep net neutrality for the people and not give more power to corporations such as comcast and other internet providers. They already have data caps for people and throttle, we are the greatest country on the planet and taking net neutrality away will truly show how corrupt we are.

3259. Jacob Fleisher, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
A major rule of those who promote small government - if it's not broke, don't fix it. The internet and it's current classification isn't broke. All the companies can and do make huge profits, and innovation is rampant (silicon valley). Don't reclassify it. Further more the Internet, and all broadband - was partially built with federal (tax-payer) research, assistance, and funding - keep it as public utility! Respect the wishes of the citizens who paid for it - Net Neutrality must be maintained. The future of freedom of speech, and our freedom depends on keeping the internet classified as a utility. Do not risk a future where speech is under corporate control

3260. Aaron Mouser, Burbank, CA, 91501
The foundation of this country was based on freedom to express ones beliefs, religious or otherwise. Net Neutrality is important for the country and it's core principles. Keep the Net Neutral. As a U.S. Citizen and tax payer, I care about the open internet and competition online.

3261. Fred Lim, Pasadena, CA, 91103
Net neutrality is very important to me. Please protect the open internet. We are already paying or our internet access with ISP.

3262. Julio Reynoso, Glendale, CA, 91206
I use internet to connect with friends and family that I don't get to see often. We deserve the right to free internet without government getting involved.

3263. Andrew Beckerman, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
As a freelance writer and producer of content, an open and net neutral internet is my livelihood. In fact, without it, I would have never been in the position to even turn my dream into an actual career. If the content I created had never had an equal platform, I wouldn't have been able to build an audience or reach even a fraction of the people I now reach.Not only that, but between ISP monopolies that make choice almost non-existent (I had one choice in New York and now that I've moved to Los

Angeles, I think I have the exact same amount) and the fact that ISPs advertise as providing fast connections - and not content - on pure consumer grounds net neutrality makes sense. We consumers need the FCC to regulate ISPs and protect us from gouging, invasions of privacy and other innumerable ills. And legitimately, from a pure business perspective, the ISPs need the FCC to regulate them or they'll go too far and eventually undermine their own profitability.

3264. Matthew Johns, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
How many times does the American public need to tell you we think this is a horrible idea. Net Neutrality creates and fosters an open internet, and should be protected.

3265. Donald Pierson, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please protect Net Neutrality. The American economy depends on it, and more importantly, free speech depends on it.

3266. Patrick Salter, Hollywood, CA, 90028
The internet must remain fair and open. Net neutrality must be maintained indefinitely. Rolling back the net neutrality regulations would essentially allow the most powerful monopoly every created, as this would allow ISP's to control the most powerful communications tools in the history of mankind. STOP THE ROLLBACK OF NET NEUTRALITY IMMEDIATELY.

3267. Christine A, Glendale, CA, 91203
Keep it as is. We do not need to provide restrictions to all just so those greedy few line up their pockets. Internet for all not just some.

3268. Victoria Guagliardo, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
The Internet was paid for by tax dollars from we the people, the public, this should not be a another corporate for profit ripe off.

3269. Maureen McDermott, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
The internet should now be classified as a right, not a commodity and as such should be a free and open utility. Please don't risk the loss of net neutrality for profits.

3270. laura smalec, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I need fast internet for work and these proceedings would affect my ability to work and the amount of cost my freelance business has. That's only how it affects me personally but I also don't have access to another internet provider in my area. The only high speed internet access is Time Warner/Now Spectrum. I live in the metropolitan area of Los Angeles, so if I there's no other high speed provider in my area I'm sure smaller towns have even less access to options. Therefore, the consequences could be disatrous.

3271. Salena Roper, Glendale, CA, 91205
Leave Obama's Net Neutrality rules in place. The best and only unsullied part about

all this online access is that it is open to all, at equal speeds and levels, and that no one with deep pockets can stop someone in their basement from getting their message out. This isn't China, where you can only see what the PRC wants you to see. You take away the rules, and we'll eventually only see what the big companies want us to see. That is not free enterprise. That does not create jobs. That does not foster innovation and a free market economy. That is not what America is all about.

3272. Stephen Moreland, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Open access and net neutrality in regards to the internet should fall in line with the other fundamental rights covered in the Bill of Rights. To strip away our freedom under the guise of false protectionism or to further corporate interest or surveillance culture will never be forgotten and will be seen as yet another rung down the ladder leading to oligarchy or far worse tyrannies.

3273. Greg Bianchini, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am writing to express my wishes that internet neutrality should be maintained. While I understand the arguments for changing the current system, in my opinion the pitfalls far outweigh the positives. The potential to favor certain websites over others is an invitation for corruption and abuse. Complete neutrality is a better option.

3274. Mr. Kelley, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
All Internet Service Providers should treat all Internet traffic equally and not discriminate between different bits of data.

3275. Simon Mitchell, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
As a bioscientist at UCLA I strongly believe that treating all data in a neutral manner is vital to progress and innovation.Scientists all strive to benefit humanity and sometimes that means discovering or inventing something that would impact the profits of big corporations. I need free and neutral access to all data from all sources to be able to find the truth and improve lives without having the data I can access, and the ease with which I can access that data, controlled by internet service providers or their parent companies and financial partners.Please keep the Internet free and protect net neutrality.

3276. Sean Maisch, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Hello FCC,I am a web developer that relies on an open, neutral internet to make companies work and make a living. The companies I have worked for and helped create employ hundreds of workers. Companies that would have difficulty surviving if net neutrality was rolled back/repealed.The internet is not some sort of commodity that can be sold to the highest bidder. It is not a limited resource that needs to be throttled or controlled. It is a platform for creativity, entrepreneurship, and individuality. I plead with you, please maintain net neutrality.Thank you.

3277. Jason Hicks, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
As a proud American I strongly oppose the FCC's proposed efforts to destroy net neutrality. I stand alongside Google, Facebook, Netflix, and the other bright minds

of our country in my opposition. Do not stand on the wrong side of history. Enough mistakes have been made this year by the Trump Administration. Save what little dignity we have left.

3278. Eric Suehiro, La Canada, CA, 91011
I believe strongly in net neutrality and freedom on the web. There is almost nothing to gain as a consumer from restricting net neutrality. Rolling back changes to net neutrality would only support the big businesses lobbying for these changes and will effectively eliminate competition from the internet market.

3279. Julio Rodriguez, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Don't take away my open internet. It's hard having to deal with a slow internet connection, and having companies make it slower isn't ideal for me or anyone.

3280. Jennifer Calhoun, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
PLEASE. Please please please. Keep it free.

3281. Alexander George, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The internet was meant to be free, i support net neutrality

3282. Tom Beyer, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
PLEASE maintain net neutrality and the classification of Broadband service under Title II provisions. I access the internet for so much of my life and career, and would suffer if ISP's were allowed to favor some content/services over others. I've read Mr Ajit Pat's opinions about rolling back FCC regulations on internet services, and I believe his arguments are specious. The internet is absolutely a telecommunications service that MUST be regulated and protected by the FCC. I am a working actor who, because of the omnipresence of the digital world, relies on the internet for many aspects of my career; but I'm neither a celebrity nor am I represented by one of the giant talent agencies. So I don't want my access to the tools upon which my career depends to be compromised by an ISP's price-gouging. There is no true competition to protect me from price-gouging or unfavorable service; I am at one or two ISPs mercy. Plus, it is so obvious that political manipulation by any well-monied special interests would damage our democracy irreparably by favoring candidates and news dissemination supported by non-democratic entities.

3283. Daniel Bigelow, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I want my damn net neutrality! Don't mess with it! The big Telcos want to charge us more for what we've already got, and guess what? They didn't invent the net anyway! The whole world has faster speeds than we do! NO speed lanes, NO censorship, NO extra charges for the current services. Improve service speeds or better yet invent something new and EARN WHAT YOU CHARGE!

3284. Elliott Brockelbank, Burbank, CA, 91506
Net neutrality is ESSENTIAL to maintaining a free, open, fair, and organic internet that's not dominated by the market, and money grabbing companies looking to

prioritize themselves over the users.

3285. Sarah Greyson, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I am a real person who believes in a free and open Internet for ALL.

3286. Megan Granger, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
NET NEUTRALITY IS CRUCIAL.

3287. Kate Flint, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please do everything that you can to ensure open access to the Internet. Thank you.

3288. Michael Jorgensen, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am writing to urge the FCC to preserve net neutrality and regulate internet service like the vital utility that it is. As someone who works in the creative arts, I rely on high-speed internet service to provide me with open access to all of the content available on the internet. If my service provider were able to prioritize access to some content over others, it could have a disastrous impact on my ability to research, collaborate, and access the online tools I regularly use.When I purchase broadband internet service, I am doing so in order to receive speedy access to the entire internet. I am not purchasing this in order to obtain a bundle of other services-- in fact, my email, cloud storage, and other online services come from sources DIFFERENT from my internet service provider. Furthermore, I have extremely limited options when choosing an ISP; if my current provider were to throttle access to the online content that I want, I would have to change to a completely different technology that is four times slower than my current service.In order to maintain a fair, open, and culturally dynamic internet, it is essential that the FCC maintain the 2015 net neutrality rules and regulate internet access like the utility that it is.

3289. Sam Probst, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I demand access to the entire internet -- free of paid prioritization, free of blocking and free of throttling. There is only ONE internet, and all rules must apply to my home and mobile connections equally!!

3290. Forrest Weinberg, Glendale, CA, 91203
Lets not allow ISPs to implement "net neutrality." If "net neutrality" is implemented the ISPs will take advantage of the market and situation.

3291. Jonathan Hayne, Tujunga, CA, 91042
When we first created the internet it was an amazing discovery. We the People were able to not only have (almost) instant access to information, but to communicate with each other as well. Today the internet and ISP's have grown exponentially from when the "web" was first created. I never have nor will ever use services such as Email, provided by my ISP. I don't do this because there is no point. I have always used other parties and like many others have multiple emails, none of which were ever given to me from an ISP. All I want from from my Internet service provided is, as stated in its name, internet. All I want to do is pay to be able to connect to the internet at high speeds, which should not be regulated by price, and use the services

on the internet provided to me free or otherwise. As someone who is an Army Reservist I do much work from home. I have to use my military email which should be secure. I want the security of knowing that a company, especially my ISP, is simply provided me with safe, secure, and affordable connection so that I can do my work. I implore you to truly consider what would happen when companies have no to little regulation, especially when it comes to a service which gives access to peoples' very sensitive, and personal data on a daily basis. I also cannot simply switch services. There are only two internet service providers in my area, Verizon, or Spectrum (previously Time Warner). Both of which already charge various prices for "higher speeds" for higher prices. There are very simple and numerous ways to test internet data speeds. Most of the time, if not always whenever I test my internet speeds they are never as advertised. I call my ISP and there is always an excuse or reason, and it is never their fault. I, like millions of others, use the internet on a daily basis. The internet is for the people, made by the people, and used by people, it not Verizon's, Spectrum's, Comcast's or any other companies property to control or regulate. Please as the FCC, you have fought to protect the People. This situation is no different, make the right choice and keep people, their information, and their rights safe. Do not let these companies have any more control or access to something that is truly the People's. Oversee these companies, give the People protection from unfair pricing and price gouging. Protect our information and privacy by ensuring these companies cannot and do not have access to where we go, what we do, and what we store on our systems. Give the people access to more choices of ISP's so there is a competitive market. Thank you.

3292. L, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
PLEASE KEEP NET NEUTRALITY. LEAVE IT ALONE. THANK YOU

3293. Katherine Walsh, Burbank, CA, 91501
Net neutrality is so very important to me as a small business owner and an artist. It connects me to other artists, customers and on an even more personal note, my family. I live across the country from my mother and i usecthe internet to keep in daily communication with her. I dont think that this UTILITY we call the internet should be sold out from under us.

3294. Matthew Stubstad, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Protect Net Neutrality!!!! Save theâ€‹ open internet!!!!

3295. Nathalie Magri, Glendale, CA, 91202
Keep the internet open. It's important to be able to access any kind of online material we want and to set a good example for the rest of the world. As a country we pride ourselves on being somewhat more advanced than other countries, and if the internet is monetized and controlled by corporations looking to make money or restrict information, we are no better.

3296. Blake Kathryn Thomas, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important in maintaining an equal and fair culture within the internet. I urge you to protect

them.Thank you,Blake K. Thomas

3297. Dennis Siple, Hollywood, CA, 90028
I support strong internet rules to keep it neutral and not at the whim of an ISP that can pick and choose what and where I may, or may not want to navigate the internet. No throttling or fences. Keep the internet a tool for the people and not the ISPs. Thank you.

3298. Calvin Bayaua, Glendale, CA, 91206
Impeding the Internet is a violation of the First Amendment. By imposing net neutrality regulations, the government is essentially restricting a medium of self-expression. Furthermore with the world currently revolving around technology, net neutrality would simply hinder our growth due to such limitations.

3299. Diego Ramirez, Los Angles, CA, 90004
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically ong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.

3300. Evan Hunter, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please preserve net neutrality - treating all online content the same and not giving control to over to the ISPs.

3301. Neil Chestnutt, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Net neutrality empowers people with an equal chance at using the internet. Today the internet is our information infrastructure, our chance to self educate, and our network to communicate. It runs ours government and globalizes our world. It is the hallmark achievement of our age and while the digital dissemination of information and the tenants of net neutrality are far from perfect, we must fight the movement against net neutrality at all costs or these problems will not only worsen, but our way of life will be changed forever.

3302. Levi knox, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
YOU ARE SELLING OUT FREEDOM.The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to humanity. I urge you to protect the future of society.YOU ARE SELLING OUT FREEDOM.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Because that is fucking terrible. YOU ARE SELLING OUT FREEDOM. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.YOU ARE SELLING OUT FREEDOM.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.YOU ARE SELLING OUR FREEDOM.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.YOU ARE SELLING OUT FREEDOM.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load

faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Levi knox

3303. Shaun, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I am perplexed at how any citizen could oppose Net Neutrality, or how this could be an issue that arises every couple years. I, like the majority of the United States, support Net Neutrality. Please do not even entertain the idea of overturning it. Thank you.

3304. John Blakely, Glendale, CA, 91205
I want an open and competitive internet. No paid prioritization, no throttling, no blocking.

3305. Johnathan Robinson, Glendale, CA, 91205
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The success of the internet has been through the content providers. Any means to deter the content providers from the consumer will be very damaging.

3306. Armando Kirwin, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I am apalled by the FCC's refusal to support net neutrality. Internet access is a fundamental right, and ISPs should not be able to shape, slow, or otherwise impact Internet traffic in any way. As a political institution, your job is to represent the people, not the corporations. You must do the right thing.

3307. J. Perry, Los Angeles, CA, 90023
The internet is a public utility and human right, it should not be treated like a cable TV package!

3308. Nicole Davis, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please defend and protect net neutrality. It is essential to have an open internet with competition that allows for freedom of choice, and is not overrun by big business.

3309. Holden Ross, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
You conniving bastards, how many times do we have to tell you that we don't want this? I strongly oppose any and all efforts to undermine or reverse net neutrality

protections. I don't believe ISPs should have the right to filter, block, or slow down access to websites, especially when I am already overpaying them for a shoddy service. If you relax these net neutrality protections, you will be allowing ISPs to further stifle their competitors, to censor what people see on the internet, and create different lanes of traffic speed so that only those with money will be able to afford access to information.We have an inalienable right to access an uncensored source of information. We have an inalienable right to publish content online, to start businesses, and to compete with one another on a level playing field. If you reverse these net neutrality protections for the benefit of the ISPs lining your pockets, you will cripple the foundations essential to a free and open internet.

3310. Maayan Dembo, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Net neutrality is an important piece of keeping the internet an open and level playing field for users all over the world. Blocking, paid prioritization, and throttling are steps to undermine the accessibility of users to sites that are small, radical, or otherwise against the status quo. The internet has the strong ability to unite people from all over the world to share stories and experiences. It is one of the few things that crosses borders, classes, races, genders, and abilities. In prioritizing corporate profits over the freedom of people to surf the web, the FCC would make a grave decision and repress the people of the United States.Thank you for reading my letter.

3311. Daniel Jordan, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I strongly oppose all FCC ploys to undermine Title II's laws that protect net neutrality. Net neutrality is the right of every American to engage in discourse that is protected by the First Amendment Constitution.

3312. Shane Hirschman, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Please stop tampering with the open freedom of the Internet. Preserve Net Neutrality.

3313. Joshua Grant Raymond, Burbank, CA, 91501
This is now a reoccurring trend where companies and lobbyists continue to put into question a matter that had already seemed settled and safe. Unfortunately we are at the whim of our internet providers and their business interests as no average person has the ability to connect to the internet directly without them. For them to charge us for access and then profit off our private information and potentially limit us for their profit beyond what is already in place is a travesty. Like many necessary utilities, the greater good of our society must be placed above simple profit and deregulation as a right. These companies are already making all-time-high profits and there is no logical reason for their need to further profit. It does not result in "fair access" and the matter of Net Neutrality has already been heard and ruled upon; this in an unnecessary push for a settled matter that has no benefit to the people to be changed. It does not result in increased diversity or thought, help others gain rights they are not currently afforded or help the fabric of our society. As such, I must ask that, as a tax payer, someone who still has faith that our government is

still partly capable of not being influenced by corporate greed, and someone who realizes what little privacy we actually have left, should not be exploited for the good of the very few, I ask that the FCC maintain net neutrality as one of the final frontiers of communication with the world and others.

3314. Jesse Coleman, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
We need to increase internet accessibility for all our citizens if we are to be competitive in the world economy in the years and decades to come. Preserving Net Neutrality is essential to our economic future, as well as to our functioning as a democracy. Please, please, please preserve Net Neutrality!

3315. Colleen McAllister, Burbank, CA, 91502
To us net neutrality is important because it is an ingegral part of our daily lives. We use the internet to keep in contact with our children and family. Due to distance it is often difficult to reach them via phone and so communicating via the internet allows us to still have almost constant contact. Via our emails and our social media we are able to see and share photos of family milestones and even daily events that make us feel closer. Much more than a simple information service, the internet also allows us to keep up on world news much quicker than our TV or radio allows. Since one of us, Colleen, is at home all day due to health concerns it also allows her to feel less cut off from everything. It is impertive that the internet is kept open to all, and not restricted to those who can pay more. There is already a lack of competition, as only one entity offers internet services it most areas. It isn't like we can choose the cheaper service. America must keep the people informed, and an open internet it the best way. Broadband access is not only more efficient, it is also vital to many people's lives.

3316. Richard Wooden, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
The internet is a wonderful thing. Don't fuck it up.

3317. Steven Peterman, Pasadena, CA, 91105
I have worked in the television industry for over 30 years. In that time I have seen power concentrated in the hands of fewer and fewer companies, and the result has been less competition, less choice, reduced salaries for creators of content and increased expenses passed on to consumers. The internet has been a spectacular incubator for new talent and new means of delivering content precisely because it has been open. Ending net neutrality will once again benefit large conglomerates at the expense of consumers. I emphatically support net neutrality and urge you to do the same.

3318. Andrew Lingat, Glendale, CA, 91206
Net neutrality is essential for information, representation, and equality for all. A lack of net neutrality will negatively affect most people despite age, gender, race, nationality, religion, sexual orientation, etc. ISPs may be given a ludicrous amount of power over which websites people can and cannot access online. Especially in the era of fake news, it is essential that the people have easy access to honest and diligent news sources. The only beneficiaries of a world without net neutrality are

the executives of telecommunication companies. Please consider the global impact of your decisions. Thank you.

3319. Brendan Ganch, Burbank, CA, 91506
Keep our internet unrestricted and unthrotled. Free speech!

3320. Vanik Alvandian, Sunland, CA, 91040
I want the internet to remain the way it is. From all the articles I read this is currently at jeopardy, and I am worried the changes proposed will destroy what the internet has become. Please keep things as is!

3321. Sean Page Collins, Glendale, CA, 91206
Dear Chairman Pai,I believe access to the internet should be free and open to all. Access is already somewhat expensive; I can recall a time not too long ago when monthly access was more than I could afford, and the only time I could go online was when my off time happened to coincide with the hours that the library was open.Should ISPs gain the power to charge more for access to certain websites, they will do so. This will make the internet even harder to access for those in the position that I was once in.Furthermore, a rule change seems to open the door to censorship and agitprop. What is to keep ISPs from throttling access to websites with messages and information they don't like? It is easy to imagine our government becoming like governments abroad and asking (or really demanding) ISPs to throttle or block access to websites containing information it doesn't want its citizens to see.I have read, Mr. Chairman, that ISPs have promised not to restrict any access. But I ask you this: why would a corporation, an entity whose raison d'Ãªtre is making money, want the rules changed so badly if there was no economic upside for them? ISPs would not have spent as much as they have lobbying for this change if there was no return on investment for them. That is not conspiracy thinking; that is Economics 101.The Internet is a place where anything is possible; it is the Wild West. You can learn, you can buy, you can fight, you can speak, you can find love. And yes, it has its dark side. But that makes it no worse than our country itself, or any other segment of society. We do not build walls around neighborhoods in our country with high crime rates; nor should we throttle access to the internet in the name of walling off black hats.I beg of you Mr. Chairman: please consider upholding current rules that protect the neutrality of the internet, and please do all that you can to ensure that access to the internet remains equitable and free.

3322. Caroline Lubatti, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I buy internet service to get reliable access to all the Internet offers at fast speeds. That is what I pay for. I do not want this speed that I feel like I pay for to be adjusted depending on which companies pay my ISP for faster access. On a slightly different note, I also feel that as I pay the ISP for their service (eg it's not free) my information and usage should not be for sale by them (as is standard with services where I am getting something "free" in exchange for me letting them use my information). My ISP isn't free; they shouldn't be able to double dip and sell my info.

3323. Andrew Furze, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I am expressing my firmest disgust at these restrictive proposed net neutrality laws. Please don't give corporations the right to censor, throttle, edit or alter the internet purely for profit. It's for everyone.

3324. Whitney Catalano, Pasadena, CA, 91105
the internet needs to remain free from influence by providers. it shapes the way people learn and will result in widespread misinformation

3325. Kevin Bell, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Net neutrality is not a privilege but a basic human right

3326. Phillip Crable, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
The internet should be free of paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling. Do not repeal Net Neutrality. Thanks.

3327. M. Luxenberg, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
In our information age, true net neutrality is imperative in preserving our democracy. The extreme monetization of access is an dangerous filter on the marketplace of ideas.

3328. Rufus Paisley, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
We need a free and open internet to ensure that private interests and wealthy companies or individuals can't silence or diminish or inflate voices or content based on their own views. The internet is real life, and just like real life, there should be equal opportunity for any individual regardless of race, class, gender, and ability to share their views with the world. Please keep net neutrality and do not let ISPs meddle with our freedom of speech and the right of every human and every American to free and open information.

3329. Malcolm Dean, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Net Neutrality, or yet another nail in the coffin of our democracy!

3330. Stephen Erdmann, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Keep the current FCC rules regarding Net Neutrality in place. Uphold Net Neutrality.

3331. Kelsey Nolan, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
KEEP THE INTERNET FREE AND FAIR

3332. Eric Blanchard, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Stop trying to kill net neutrality, we're not going to be tricked with double-speak and we're not going to forget what it is you really are after. We know you're trying to game the system to benefit monopolistic ISPs. The Internet does NOT need a "fast lane." You cannot masquerade for "innovation" when you are putting unlimited power in the hands of cable and Internet providers to throttle competition and stifle the free Internet. MAINTAIN TITLE II CLASSIFICATION. The Internet is a

UTILITY like water or power and the service providers should have no power to charge customers different rates based on what they use the Internet FOR.

3333. Gregory Firth, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Corporate corruption is on display with a Verizon lawyer at the FCC poised to rollback net-neutrality rules. Protect the Internet neutrality rules and regulate ISP's as utilities for the benefit of all its citizens. Never has corruption been so brazen. There is a day after. Ignore the people and you there will be no end our ire.

3334. William Armstrong, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I strongly believe that in the value of keeping strong Net Neutrality Rules. An ISP should not be able to dictate the internet speeds I am paying for, based on what websites I traffic. Access to the Internet has become a cornerstone of 21st Century American Culture, it's exploitation by ISP's should be regulated as much as possible.

3335. Andrew, los angeles, CA, 90039
Uphold current net neutrality laws. We must keep a fair, equal access of internet for all companies. Corporations shouldn't choose what content we can and cannot access, people should choose that for themselves. We can't throttle the internet so that ISPs can pick and choose what its consumers see-- what they want us to see.

3336. roger hudson, la crescenta, CA, 91214
I just wanted to state that I am for strong net neutrality. Please keep the internet the way it is now and not allow the isp's any more control.

3337. Andrew Schrader, Glendale, CA, 91205
The internet must remain free and fair for all. Please keep the net neutrality protections in place.

3338. Jonathan Wysocki, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
As a public school teacher, my students rely on net neutrality in order to do their work. Reversing this would create a lack of access that jeopardizes the very freedom by which our nation stands.

3339. Maria Doctor, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I can hardly fathom a world (or country) where the internet is not entirely open to the public...Please do the right thing (for all--not just for ISP's margins) and keep the Internet neutral. #netneutralityforall

3340. Andrew Goulet, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are crucial and require protection. If the FCC lifts these rules, it will only be benefitting big industry and harming the vast majority of consumers and small businesses. ISPs will be able to block websites, slow them down, give some advantage over others, or split the internet into fast lanes for companies that pay and slow for those who cannot. This will destroy the open marketplace. Far more frightening to me even than the marketplace effects on consumers and small businesses is the possibility of ISP

controlled censorship. ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access information that they do not agree with, charging fees that would ensure all voices would NOT be heard equally. Today, more than ever, getting to the truth of matters is important; in order to do so, the American people must have access to all the information. The FCC's very mission is to give nondiscriminatory access to communications and to promote the safety of life and property through the use of wire and radio communications. Removing net neutrality has the potential to violate this very mission, protecting big industry over the American people. Please continue to protect net neutrality.

3341. Josh Friedman, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
This would be the end of the greatest asset to mankind, turning the amazing and wonderful communication tool into a strip mall.

3342. Matthew Ness, Sunland, CA, 91040
Please keep the Net Neutrality rules in place. It's unfair to everyone not to keep these rules in place.

3343. Sam May, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net Neutrality is, in this day and age equivalent to a basic human utility such as "water neutrality." Throttling water or paying for premium water packages would be inhuman and down right evil. People use the internet for getting to work, supporting their families and researching valuable necessary information for daily life. It is not a commodity and must remain open and free. Thank you for your time.

3344. Matt Clendenin, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Changes to laws that would allow cable companies to charge more based on which websites I visit are (whether it's me or the sites themselves paying the bill) is against the core of what the Internet is meant to be- a truly free and open exchange. Internet access should be a right in the 21st century and nobody should create a system that would slow/restrict access based on what someone is willing to pay for it.

3345. Brett Anderson, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
The internet needs to remain open with no preferential access given to any one particular resource or web asset over another. Allowing preferential access opens the door to turning a free forum for knowledge and opinion into one controlled by the interests of a powerful few. Such monopolisation is anti-democracy, anti-capitalist, anti-free-market and anti-freedom

3346. Emmanuel Maru, Glendale, CA, 91207
Rise against the opressors

3347. Vahe Toomasi, Glendale, CA, 91203
You only need to know one thing about net neutrality and corporations in general, they will do everything in their power to make more money, if you give them a new avenue in the way of removing net neutrality, they will use that as their new revenue stream, which means forcing people to pay extra for certain websites, making

website packages, censoring anything that they deem to be hurtful to their bottom line. Corporations have done this for decades, so what makes you think they wont do it with the internet? Imagine having to pay extra every month on top of your normal cable bill just so you can use "video streaming". That will probably be the first thing to happen, all video streaming services will suddenly be part of a "video streaming" package. You may not care, most of you probably would not care, but your children and the younger members of your family will never forget. Some of you don't realize how important the internet is, it is an instant connection for everyone in the world to each other, the internet is more important than the corporations who provide access to it, any kind of censorship or limitation imposed on the internet is a limitation imposed on the progress of man. The history books will not call it "Net Neutrality" and providing corporations with the ability to make more money, that is not how it will be recorded. History will record it as the first massive scale censorship effort imposed in the United States. Vahe Toomasi

3348. Anson, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Net Neutrality has been around since the internet began. Taking that away will destroy freedom globally and also line the pockets of internet service providers with money they shouldn't be getting. Period. I plead with you to not remove this as it will create chaos on the internet and will definitely turn for the worst. Information will be censored, technology advances will decline, and things will be much harder for everyone on this world. Keep net neutrality alive!

3349. Mackenzie E Solaro, Burbank, CA, 91506
As a web developer that works for small businesses, I very clearly understand the CRUCIAL importance of net neutrality. Without it, these small businesses will not be able to grow into larger ones, because the fee to enter into 'premium' internet pools and get sufficient bandwidth will just be too high. Without net neutrality, their livelihoods will be destroyed.Additionally, I personally oppose net neutrality as a consumer. I don't want certain sites to operate faster or slower. Access to information should be equal, just as a general principle of our society. Any kind of information.Please do the RIGHT thing. Don't give into corporate interests that want to screw over our country. Instead, fight for personal liberty and small businesses. Thanks.Mackenzie E Solaro

3350. Keven Scotti, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
This should not be pay to play. We have enough economic inequality with our own people. Now business will have to compete by paying for a better connection? When will it end? The internet is one of the amazing technology that should be a free and open market. Big business will adjust but happens to the little person? The start up? Come on America.

3351. Chante Ortiz, Burbank, CA, 91506
As a microbiology student and researcher, open Internet is pivotal in reaching/discovering new breakthroughs in food and medical microbiology. As a researcher, there are times when I need to process large files of data (DNA

sequences) in order to figure out what I'm working with and in regards to food and medical microbiology, this can mean playing with people's lives. I mention large sequence sizes because there is the possibility of giving ISPs the ability to cap data use; we could be on the brink of discovering/developing new antibiotics or how pathogens/diseases spread, but there is the strong possibility that ISP data restrictions will prolong these findings. In the past, I've worked with microbiologists to improve the food safety industry and the wine industry and truth be told, the Internet played and does play a significant role whether it is database searches, input, sequencing, analyzing, etc. Open Internet is important for everyone: from video game streamers, to streaming weddings to Grandma Leigh can see her grandson marrying the love of his life, to streaming sporting events on the train or on your laptop, to scientists, to politics and news stations, to having a Netflix movie night with your family; Internet availability affects everyone and Open Internet is favorable for all people.

3352. Angela Jay, Glendale, CA, 91201
The Internet must remain open and free. As a country built upon freedom, allowing ISPs and businesses to influence what Americans can and can't access would be wrong. I use the Internet on a daily basis as a communication tool with my friends, family, and colleagues. If the phone is considered a vital telecommunications service, then the Internet should be as well. I hardly use the phone to call people anymore, instead relying on the Internet to send data messages and emails. I can't do my job without the Internet. As a software developer, I count on it to access my work network from home. I need access to resources, documentation, services, and more. In my area, there are only three options for broadband access. One company requires that I bundle phone services with cable and Internet in order for it to be of any value, but as I stated above, I don't use the phone much anymore. One company's speeds are much lower than the other two and is a company I've never even heard of, so I worry about reliability. That leaves me with one option that is still fairly expensive, but it's the only reasonable option. The FCC needs to step in to regulate these companies so that there is equal and fair competition. If any or all of those companies decide to double their prices, we have no recourse, and I'd be forced to pay a lot more just to keep in touch with people and to do my job. Further, the FCC should be there to protect us. As it is, many companies already collect our personal information, we shouldn't have to be worried that every single transmission is being monitored by the ISPs too. Our privacy is valuable and the FCC should be protecting us from corporations who think they can own our data. The FCC should maintain oversight of access to the Internet to ensure the interests of American citizens are the highest priority. The rules put in place two years ago MUST be preserved.

3353. Samantha Flicker, Glendale, CA, 91205
I am a writer. I use the internet and all of it's invaluable resources as my main means of research for my writing. Sometimes I need to use the internet at odd hours or in random locations because that will be when an idea pops into my head. As a consumer of both home and mobile internet, I should have access to the entire

internet, not an edited version of it. I believe the FCC should ensure that we are protected from invasions of privacy and fraudulent billing, but the FCC shouldn't be allowed to disband the free and open internet. Net neutrality is important for my work, and I am in strong support of a free and open internet.

3354. Usha Gummadi, Glendale, CA, 91206
Preserve net neutrality with Title 2! Don't let ISPs rule and monopolize this ultilty that is NO LONGER OPTIONAL in this day and age. It's a necessity we can not escape, and ISPs know it and want to milk us for all we're worth! PLEASE SAVE NET NEUTRALITY WITH TITLE 2!

3355. Caroline Cristal, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I believe in net neutrality and keeping the internet as a common space where individuals can visit the sites they prefer instead of having companies pay to give certain sites more priority over others.

3356. Nairy Aintablian, Verdugo City, CA, 91046
Please stop messing with our freedoms. Keep the internet open.

3357. Cody Johnston, Glandale, CA, 91203
As a software engineer who pays a great deal in yearly taxes, I must demand that the government please preserve title two protections. Destroying internet neutrality is a ploy/power grab that will only empower the rich at the expense of the working class of this country. It will hurt what has become the greatest library/body of knowledge in the known universe, the internet, and that is not progress. Do not roll back these protections on future generations being able to access information they will need to succeed in life.

3358. James Underwood, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please institute full net neutrality under Title Two. I have experienced terrible slow and expensive cable internet service from Comcast in DC and Michigan, including what should be illegal traffic throttling (bittorrent). It is obvious that they are biasing their own TV service at the expense of millions of Americans. Internet service providers should provide unbiased service to all Americans with no prioritization. ISPs should be akin to wireline providers, not mafia thugs. If you are a Commissioner and considering how much money you can make as a lobbyist following your gutting of Title Two, I ask you to consider what is best for Americans citizens and economic competitiveness. It is not allowing a 'pay to play regime. Thanks for your time. James Underwood

3359. Glenna Adkins, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Equal access to web-based personal communication, education, and commerce is vital to democracy itself.

3360. Joseph Kirkland, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I want to keep the internet neutral and free. Please do not let big telecoms rewrite the regulatory rules.

3361. AK Hottman, Burbank, CA, 91506
I'm a film and commercial director, early in my career. A great deal of my work comes about through organizations finding my work online. Without Net Neutrality, I become impossible to find. Likewise, my wife makes her entire income through her Etsy store, selling personalized goods online. If you take away Net Neutrality, she also will become impossible to find. You will wipe out both of our businesses and destroy our livelihood if you repeal Net Neutrality.

3362. Samantha Park, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net neutrality is vital to American infrastructure. It's crucial to our citizen's education and in keeping competition fair for smaller ISPs and business. Do not kill net neutrality--it is absolutely necessary to this country.

3363. Troy'e White, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
This would be ridiculous is all have to say.

3364. Timothy Daniel Lee Fouch, Glendale, CA, 91202
In today's technological age, purchasing internet service is purchasing the ability to communicate with my friends and loved ones, including video chatting with my family. Internet service is primarily a communication service, with my decision of which ISP to use based upon the potential speeds and reliability of broadband service, similar to how I would purchase landline telephone service, with the difference being video upload and download capability. Since the internet is primarily a communication tool, it must be overseen and protected by the FCC with strong net neutrality legislation.

3365. Christopher Pianka, Glendale, CA, 91206
Repealing Net Neutrality is an anti-freemarket, pro-oligopoly action that would have a negative impact on the growth, transformation and innovation we've seen come from a neutral internet the past few decades. The fact that most American's don't have much in the way of choice in the market of ISP's, since a lot of that is determined by where they live rather than who can provide a better service, makes Net Neutrality paramount to preserving American's access to the internet. To remove the one safe guard that provides equal and fair access to a resource that has provided so much innovation, communication and creativity would be nothing short of a failure in the character of the underwhelmingly few in power who do want to see Net Neutrality repealed to benefit only the ISP's by giving them a way to exploit a now invaluable resource in todays modern society. It goes against American ideals to repeal Net Neutrality, and to argue otherwise is nothing short of the willful cognitive dissonance that has become prevalent in today's civic discourse.

3366. Hannah Paz, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
As an independent artist, the regulation of the internet is a scary topic. Small businesses could be run out because of big corporations being put in front of them solely on the basis of being able to pay more. The internet should be an equal and free world and regulations would prohibit the progress we've made.

3367. Brittany Gaston, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Open internet. Now and forever. End big business greed and capitalism in our open source internet

3368. Dean Furnish, Tujunga, CA, 91042
Please maintain net neutrality. I believe the internet should be open and accessible for everyone, and businesses should not own it or be allowed to control content or pricing structures. Thank you.

3369. Devin Delgado, Tujunga, CA, 91042
Net Neutrality is a necessity and must be kept. It is absurd that it has to even be discussed whether it should be kept or not.

3370. Andrew Brinkhaus, Burbank, CA, 91502
An open internet is vital to our free and strong democracy. By allowing corporations advantage at the expense of the customer, your actions in repealing net neutrality rules will harm both parties in the long run and be the beginning of a downward spiral into a censored, controlled, play to win internet where the people who are hurt the most are those at the bottom. Is that really what our government stands for? DO NOT REPEAL NET NEUTRALITY RULES. Thank you for your time.

3371. John Southern, Burbank, CA, 91501
Net Neutrality needs to continue to be protected by Title II. Please, for the love of God, retain the strong foundation that Net Neutrality is on. Don't gut our freedom of speech on the internet.

3372. Allen Murphy, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I urge the FCC to continue current regulation of ISP's as common carriers under Title II. I am strongly in support of net neutrality and believe it is the FCC's responsibility to ensure a fast, fair internet through strong regulation.Do not deregulate the ISP's.Thank you.

3373. Tuan Lee, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Net neutraility must remain free and open.

3374. Aida Noujaim, Glendale, CA, 91208
This is a threat to one of our most important rights as Americans. This country is run by a democracy, not a dictatorship. As such, freedom of speech/expression, and the freedom to read/listen to the arguments of whomever we choose is ESSENTIAL. This measure threatens to take away our rights to do exactly that by handing control of our internet media to the few who have enough money to BUY that control, and that's against EVERYTHING this country stands for and cannot be tolerated. Yes, we all need to be responsible more about what we view/post on the internet, but this is NOT the way to do it!

3375. Danielle Williams, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I'm commenting in support of the existing Title II regulations classifying ISPs as

common carriers. An "unthrottled," "unblocked," and uncensored internet is vital to civil society and the expression of free speech. These core American values shouldn't be sacrificed simply to increase the cable companies' profits, especially when these companies, by their own admission to their stock holders, haven't been adversely affected by the current regulations. This is not a partisan issue. With the exception of cable companies, which are doing fine under the existing rules, everyone benefits from net neutrality. Please protect it.

3376. Aaron Brown, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I am again writing to express my disgust with Chairman Pai's misleading statements and disregard for the good of the public in attempting to destroy the value of the internet by destroying net neutrality. As a network engineer I know the value of having Title II status for network service providers. This is a dangerous action to remove this. I am strongly in favor of net neutrality backed up by my experience and knowledge of computing and engineering. Keep net neutrality strong, do not let ISPs tier the internet.

3377. Natasha Francisco, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I care about net neutrality because without the Internet, we would be lost. I demand access to the entire internet without paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling!!

3378. Daphne Mir, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please protect Net Neutrality! It is one of the most important ways we have freedom of information in our current age, and likely increasingly more so in the future.

3379. Noah J. Yuenkel, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
The dismantling of open internet and net neutrality rules is nothing more than a hungry grab from corporations too glutted with money to see that they're cannibalizing their customers. In any case, I am registering here my support of net neutrality and an open internet. The internet is for all of us. Don't screw this shit up for the sake of ISPs.

3380. Nico Amatullo, Pasadena, CA, 91105
Stop trying to take away net neutrality, it is and needs to remain free for economic growth and innovation

3381. Matt Thompson, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
My name is Matt Thompson and I am for an open internet. FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them.I do not believe that service providers should be able to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.I already have issues with my internet service to begin with and I have the highest speeds. The last thing I need is for certain sites to be slowed or placed capacitors on. A democratic an un-corporatized internet is the only way to allow true freedom and choice. We do not need corporations making the decisions for us in the name of money. Under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to

access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I fear Chairman Pai will not listen to the American people and beg the Chairman to realize the enormous affect this decision will have on the future of the world. Thank you!

3382. Peter Vandendriesse, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Any provision that would impede the free flow of information available online - be it by censorship or corporate monetary gain - would be a disservice to the education of every citizen and a dangerous precedent set.

3383. Ty Tuin, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
This is an issue of freedom. The FCC's Open Internet Rules are important to me. You have the power to protect them on behave of us, the people. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Ty Tuin

3384. Brian Cooperman, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please keep Net Neutrality. Vital!

3385. David Aslan, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I write as a concerned citizen to defend and advocate for a neutral Internet, which is a fundamental value and necessity for any strong democracy. Rolling back our free

internet could trigger detrimental and irreversible damage to our society and our country, and would be ill-advised, especially considering that Internet Service Provider investment is up over time, and shows no decline as a result of the implementation of strong, enforceable net neutrality rules in 2015. ISPs have failed to prove the 2015 rules have had a negative impact on investment, but a great many US citizens and our entire economy could be devastated by having their access to Internet limited by ISPs that do not have their best interests motivating their bottom line. Please drop this reckless action to roll back the 2015 rules. Preserve our freedom. Preserve a free internet. Thank you.

3386. Greg Sullivan, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
It is antithetical to everything that makes the internet wonderful to allow those that run the pipes to dictate what runs through them. Were internet access not a near monopoly in most areas perhaps such interference could be tolerated, but in my area and many many others I have only one choice of provider. I should not have what I access determined by the whims of a company that has a monopoly on my access.

3387. Chris Baughman, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
This is a terrible step backwards for the Internet! It is a common utility at this point, not a private toll road! Keep the internet free and open!!

3388. Jeff Esterby, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
The public is not asking for this and it does absolutely nothing to serve the people. Keep Net Neutrality.

3389. Jonathan L, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I am a firm believer of Net Neutrality, as a photographer of 12 years, 5 of that professional, with 10 years working in other media related fieldsUnder no circumstances do I want a the free market to decide what the rules are when it comes to deciding who can get their content to a consumer quicker, to confer advantages to some and not others, to create was is essentially another free market way of making money for a service that does not need changing. The main internet service providers like Comcast, ATT, Spectrum are not in favor of the consumer, they are looking to increase profits by incentivizing big companies to pay for faster lanes. But by doing that, they are deciding for us what we can and cannot see. They are censoring data in the face of record profits and continue to want more.In the past, Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon wants to introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else. That is only the start once they're allowed to establish the end of Title II classification. This is the same group of companies that have sought to not classify internet services as a utility, which would provide further government scrutiny into the monopolistic and oftentimes draconian policies they hold on the American public.Just remember that Chairman Pai was a lawyer for the interests of ISPs. He is not the champion of the American public. He is beholden to the hands that fed him - the big ISPs. His end goal is to make it difficult for easy for ISPs to get what they want and the public to languish

away and "take it or leave it." How can anyone in the western world "take or leave" the internet? It is a facet of our everyday lives - from work, school, leisure, romance, all around it is something we cannot just "not use." But that is exactly what Chairman Pai and his ISP backers would have you believe. These ISPs want free market rules that work in their favor yet wish to kill competitors who provide media content like they do. Each one of these ISPs now owns huge media content arms. They are no longer just ISPs, these are multinational companies that offer their own media and host services that compete in the market place. This is their way of killing off any fledgling service that would become a threat to their business models.It would be a different story if these big ISPs offered great service, but lest we forget Time Warner, Spectrum, Comcast have engaged in shady practices and only offer arbitration as a means of action, not allowing class action suits. Because of this, they treat their customers abysmally and do so with ridiculously expensive services that are sub par compared to other developed western counties. For being America, we should be able to offer better speeds and competitive rates than Sweden, German, really anyone in the EU. Instead we lag behind all of them.Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, denounce Chairman Pai, and reconsider the reclassification of the internet as a utility. Thank you!

3390. Samuel Chavles, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I am strongly opposed to rolling back Net Neutrality regulations. The internet is a utility and platform of free expression as well as commerce, and should not be able to be regulated or controlled by any company.

3391. Aida Noujaim, Glendale, CA, 91208
When we pay for internet service, we are not paying for Internet Service Providers to tell us what we can and cannot access on the internet. We are merely paying them for access to the internet, which in itself is an important service. There aren't a lot of dependable ISPs available for Americans to choose from, so all of us are already at a disadvantage (ISPs and Americans alike). This measure, however, goes too far. It will remove Net Neutrality and will essentially allow cooperate ISPs to regulate what we can and cannot access on the internet. This is wrong. It violates the rights granted to us by the Constitution and Democracy itself by threatening our freedom of speech and expression, and our freedom to CHOOSE what we will and will not read/watch online. If we are to maintain our Democracy, this measure cannot be allowed to pass.

3392. Matthew Eilers, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
The internet needs to stay open and free. We need our government to commit to net neutrality. We demand an open and free internet.

3393. James Ryan Tojino, Glendale, CA, 91206
Please keep the internet free and open. Stop letting corporations set up the rules for everyone else.

3394. Garrett Schlundt, La Canada, CA, 91011

If you care about the ability of regular Americans to be on equal footing with big business then you will stop the proposed measure.

3395. Amy Newman, Burbank, CA, 91506
I am writing to express my support for maintaining Net Neutrality.

3396. Brandy, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
This is absolutely outrageous!How are small businesses supposed to thrive on regulations like this?

3397. Brian Clark, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90029
I strongly oppose Ajit Pai's stance on this issue. We need to keep the current net neutrality rules so ISPs don't manipulate the free and open internet to block, slow, or profit from picking favorites. I stand with most Americans and very many internet companies who agree we should not change the rules to benefit a few companies, such as Verizon whom Pai used to work as General Counsel.

3398. Shane Stanley Lincoln, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I am in favor of FREE AND OPEN internet. No not mess with net neutrality.

3399. Daniel Dillon, Glendale, CA, 91208
An open internet is crucial to innovation and free speech in our American democracy. It is unconscionable to hand control over the public's access to the internet to broadband providers so that they can profit further from the services they provide at the expense of people's right to choose what they view and how they view it.

3400. William Norman, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
As a small-time serial entrepreneur, Net Neutrality has allowed me to create and pivot businesses with the possibility of a global audience. Without Net Neutrality, I would not be able to compete with the Amazons who can purchase faster Internet/download speed without batting an eye.As a consumer, I am under the belief that I pay AT&T for fast Internet speed and fast, reliable website browsing. These two things, I suspect will disappear or become prohibitively expensive should the FCC change broadband to an information service as opposed to a telecommunications service.The FCC's Restoring Internet Freedom slogan is dishonest and a misnomer. I already have Internet freedom, but by the FCC removing itself from ISP oversight my ability to quality Internet access is at risk. Under Chairman Paiâ€™s leadership, we will all be equal under the Internetâ€¦ but some will be more equal than others.

3401. Doug Hall, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I am a small business owner who relies on driving traffic to my website in order to support my family. I have spent years building my presence, content, and regularly update them to maintain my position in search results. In addition, I only have one broadband ISP provider in my area despite living in a heavily populated area. Removing net neutrality threatens my ability to provide for my family as well as

provides an opportunity to be gouged by ISPs as they operate in this area without proper oversight and regulation.

3402. Lance Cohill, Sunland, CA, 91040
I can not support the FCC's choice to pursue the repeal of net neutrality. Too many people live in situations where they have no real choice of internet service provider. To give these providers further ability to add additional charges to these people serves no one but the providers themselves and actively harms the end users who rely on internet service.

3403. Joshua Mullins, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Let Net Neutrality stand! Say NO to ISPs/monopolies!

3404. Brendon H Lloyd, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
In the area where I live, we have only one choice for internet access, which is Time Warner cable. Most homes in the US are in a similar position.As local monopolies, the broadband providers charge exorbitant rates and offer poor service. In the US, we pay double for the same speed as European and Asian countries do, because these countries have more competition, which is encouraged by policies set by their regulators.The broadband/telecom companies are seeking to make their monopolies more profitable by selling access to their customers, who by their own design (such as using political influence to block local municipal broadband initiatives) lack alternatives for internet access.We need more regulation and government oversight to prevent these monopolies from abusing their power, and action to encourage competition at the local level.

3405. Natalie Wilson, tujunga, CA, 91042
Please keep net neutrality. Anyone who does not support this freedom wants to hurt americans rights

3406. Chauncey Arnold, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I'm worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any. Access to high-speed internet is a necessity in the 21st century, and private entities should NOT be able to unilaterally determine/affect the pricing or channels of that access. Thank you for reading.

3407. J, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please don't destroy one of mankind's greatest achievements in the name of short term profits and corporate greed.

3408. Ethyn Connors, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
This isn't what freedom for the people is about, it's about selling out peoples freedom to investors

3409. Alex Riegert-Waters, Glendale, CA, 91202
This is an issue I feel strongly about. I feel that Ajit Pai is being disingenuous with

the way he is framing the debate, and I do not believe that he has the best interest of the American people in mind. Killing Net Neutrality would be great for big telecom, and bad for the American people.

3410. Steven Chan, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Data and information on the internet has been and should forever be treated equally. The right to determine what content we are allowed to consume online should never be dictated by corporations who are simply looking for yet another method to profit off of consumers. Net neutrality has been likened to the First Amendment for the internet and needs to be protected and upheld.Stop ISPs from manipulating the choices we get to make online. The United States is the land of the free and it should go without saying that our access to information and the world at large on the Internet should be as free as the ideals of our country. Any limitation on net neutrality would be un-American and unjust.

3411. Hannah Lazarus, Glendale, CA, 91204
I don't have a choice of internet provider (few people do), so I need this baseline requirement of a free and open web. So does our society.

3412. Clayton Therrien, Glendale, CA, 91207
Getting rid of net neutrality would only benefit a small portion of people. The vast majority of people would be, at the least, inconvenienced by it.

3413. Armen Ch, Glendale, CA, 91202
I support Net Neutrality, and I do not want to see the internet ruined by big ISP companies.

3414. Derek Chariton, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
The internet has become it's own world with new sources of creation being funneled in from millions of keyboards across the the globe. Because of this, this world is still in a cradle of possibility. No one truly understands the full extent of what the internet is or could be. To start limiting that potential in its first stages of life is an act of object criminality and repression and can only be seen as a reaction of fear by those in a position to abuse the power they've been given. Net Neutrality threatens to shift the world wide web into a nation wide wall; and, in this perversion of form, stands in direct opposition to what the web was created to be. A connector; a free space for those limited by the physical walls of this oppressive world to express themselves and find others who share in their same longings and understandings. The internet, like nature, was birthed out of great complexity to be something gorgeously simple; and, like nature, exists for a greater purpose than man's greed. We as a nation are at a very real crossroads of good and evil; of freedom and of greed. Are we a country that values our principles of government, of democracy, highest? Or are we a people who have abandoned our values in favor of money; of pride, greed, and envy; of capital.A decision such as this sends the message to the people of this potentially great nation and the world. Are we a country of equality? Or are we a country of money? A major part of this decision rests now on the shoulders of the FCC. It is up to you to inform us if we a people of Democracy or if

we are by-products of Capitalism.

3415. Josiah No Jenkins, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am writing today in support of the FCC's Open Internet Rules. A strong, neutral internet is vital not only to consumer choice, but also to reducing barriers to entrepreneurship. We should do anything we can to make sure that the great public good created by the internet is maintained. And the only way to maintain that public good is by keeping the internet neutral.The internet is essentially a non-rivaled resource. Its great benefit is that access cannot be captured or cordoned off to the wealthiest bidders. Although getting rid of net neutrality might financially benefit some, it would be a net-negative loss for the country at large. ISPs should not be allowed to block or slow down websites, or to give some companies preference over others. I understand that ISPs are not the government. But, at the same time, they are a public utility. And like all public utilities should be tightly regulated to provide for the public good while still making a reasonable profit. After all, no one makes a company go into business as an ISP. We have already seen the ISPs attempt to censor or slow large companies. Consider what Comcast has done to Netflix, or what Time Warner Cable interfering with League of Legends. Title II classification protects the internet and avoids allowing Verizon and others to set up â€œfast lanesâ€ for those who can pay to play. It was not so long ago that the internet was in its infancy. The openness of the internet has allowed Google, Amazon, and Facebook to go from being simply websites to being what they are today. But by removing Type II classification, the FCC would eliminate the conditions for having the next Google, Amazon, and Facebook (while locking those giants into place). As a lawyer, I can offer my opinion that I believe anti-trust law will not be enough to protect consumer choice in that scenario. The internet has been known for its â€œlong tail,â€ allowing people to access the many small and specific items and artistic expressions they could never easily find before. A non-neutral internet would essentially lop that tail right off. One other feature of the internet is the ability to access political speech. Imagine if people responded to the plethora of fake news stories in the last election by simply blocking all political speech. Or worse: by blocking all political speech about one candidate or slowing all traffic to websites that support a candidate. It is hard to see what would prevent an ISP from erecting barriers doing so under Chairman Pai's plan. I'm sending this letter to the FCC and to Congress. Both bodies are empowered to take action in this arena. And both should. Thank you!Josiah No Jenkins

3416. Alison Bono, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Net Neutrality is vital to a equitable and prosperous economy. This should be common sense. As monopolies were eliminated, so too must the notion that if you have more money you should have a larger audience. Please maintain net neutrality for all.

3417. Virgil Tisch, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
The reason the US Post Office is established in the Constitution of the United States is because the vital need for communication in any age. It was recognized by the

Founding Fathers of this great nation and it must continue to be recognized for its vital importance into maintaining a robust Republic and healthy Democracy. We cannot allow the Internet Service Providers to control the content directly or indirectly that we are allowed to access by giving them the ability to manipulate the quality of the delivery of that content. We must demand that they live up to a standard that is worthy of a great country. If ISPs were given the ability to control the flow of content over their lines in this way we would inevitably see it used to interfere in politics, free speech, and discussion. The Internet is critical infrastructure that should be protected against abuse. Do not remove those protections. It must remain under Title II.

3418. Mark S, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please keep net neutrality - it is so important.Thank you.Mark

3419. Nate Witty, Glendale, CA, 91207
Net Neutrality is important. Please stop this nonsense and keep the internet open and free from restrictions for all.

3420. Emily Ansara Balliet, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Look, I don't want to think you, Mr. Ajit Pai, are evil. I really don't. But I do think you are a businessman, and I think you are considering hurting a great many Americans in order to make demagogues like Trump and rich businessmen happy. Please, protect net neutrality. You KNOW it is the right thing to do.

3421. Natt Mintrasak, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Stop net neutrality, internet freedom is paramount to citizens of this country and the world.

3422. Dustin Dudley, West Hollywood, CA, 90048
Please keep Net Neutrality. This is good for all Americans of all socioeconomic classes.

3423. Paul Yoder, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Do not eliminate Net Neutrality protections. We go over this every year or so. You keep trying to shove this down our throats. America wants Net Neutrality, and Net Neutrality is better for America. Please do what's right.

3424. tim prendergast, glendale, CA, 91201
Please do not repeal net neutrality classifications. The vast, vast majority of Americans, myself included, believe in an open internet and fair competition. Thank you.

3425. fcc, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I support an open internet backed with title II.

3426. Nicholas, La Crecenta, CA, 91214
Please do not allow the Internet to become another privatized asset of large

companies. So much information, so many relationships, small businesses and lives depend on a free and open Internet. Allowing the ISPs to completely control it is counter-business, and one of the fist steps toward creating a surveillance state. Thank you for your time.

3427. Amanda Sherwood, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
The internet needs to remain free and open. Paid priority will just continue to funnel unnecessary funds to corporations and silence the voices of small business. Don't help destroy the American dream and strip us of our first amendment right to view whatever content we want unrestricted. Don't punish the average hardworking American, we don't deserve this.

3428. Cosmo Jones, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I demand a free and open internet with oversight. It's bad enough that for the last 14 years I have owned my house, I have only had ONE choice for high speed internet and it's the most costly utility per month. Without FCC oversight, Spectrum can continue to raise my rates and impede my connection and there's nothing I or my neighbors can do. I depend on a fast connection for business, and often transfer large files to my cloud based storage of choice. Imagine if Spectrum decided to slow or block my connection? It could be very costly for me and my team as we work remotely all over the country.

3429. Jonathan Labez, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I am a firm believer of Net Neutrality, as a photographer of 12 years, 5 of that professional, with 10 years working in other media related fieldsUnder no circumstances do I want a the free market to decide what the rules are when it comes to deciding who can get their content to a consumer quicker, to confer advantages to some and not others, to create was is essentially another free market way of making money for a service that does not need changing. The main internet service providers like Comcast, ATT, Spectrum are not in favor of the consumer, they are looking to increase profits by incentivizing big companies to pay for faster lanes. But by doing that, they are deciding for us what we can and cannot see. They are censoring data in the face of record profits and continue to want more.In the past, Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon wants to introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else. That is only the start once they're allowed to establish the end of Title II classification. This is the same group of companies that have sought to not classify internet services as a utility, which would provide further government scrutiny into the monopolistic and oftentimes draconian policies they hold on the American public.Just remember that Chairman Pai was a lawyer for the interests of ISPs. He is not the champion of the American public. He is beholden to the hands that fed him - the big ISPs. His end goal is to make it difficult for easy for ISPs to get what they want and the public to languish away and "take it or leave it." How can anyone in the western world "take or leave" the internet? It is a facet of our everyday lives - from work, school, leisure, romance, all around it is something we cannot just "not use." But that is exactly what

Chairman Pai and his ISP backers would have you believe. These ISPs want free market rules that work in their favor yet wish to kill competitors who provide media content like they do. Each one of these ISPs now owns huge media content arms. They are no longer just ISPs, these are multinational companies that offer their own media and host services that compete in the market place. This is their way of killing off any fledgling service that would become a threat to their business models.It would be a different story if these big ISPs offered great service, but lest we forget Time Warner, Spectrum, Comcast have engaged in shady practices and only offer arbitration as a means of action, not allowing class action suits. Because of this, they treat their customers abysmally and do so with ridiculously expensive services that are sub par compared to other developed western counties. For being America, we should be able to offer better speeds and competitive rates than Sweden, German, really anyone in the EU. Instead we lag behind all of them.Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, denounce Chairman Pai, and reconsider the reclassification of the internet as a utility. Thank you!Jonathan Labez

3430. David Clark, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I personally enjoy the internet as it is right now. While I am still forced into certain sites because of my computer (i.e. Microsoft Edge as default browser instead of Google) I would prefer to be able to use any search engine I please and not be forced into something else or get used to a new site. I also like being able to go wherever I want. The idea of having my internet being slowed down because my ISP doesn't want me on a specific site or looking something up is infuriating. Please do not give all the power to ISPs.

3431. Benjamin Pease, Glendale, CA, 91201
Net neutrality must be protected. Removal of current regulations would allow for ISPs to destroy the open, internet we know today. It would throw our country, if not world, decades back in terms of communication and connectivity. For the future of humanity I urge you to consider this decision seriously and choose to defend net neutrality regulations.

3432. Emily, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality. Please protect our internet from premium charges that will greatly effect the free trade of information across platforms. I feel like I've commented on this issue a few times as it keeps coming up through the FCC - there needs to be a fundamental change in the way we handle this.

3433. Sunny Chan, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
There should be one free and open Internet.Please keep net neutrality!

3434. Nick Artunyan, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I'm fighting for the internet to be free from ISP. They have their own interests in mind to make profit and because of that the people will lose. Don't give ISPs control of the internet. It's very important to me and others for it to stay a free utility for people. Thank you. Nick Artunyan

3435. George Rockwell, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am in support of strong net neutrality rules that give people the power to choose which websites and apps are best. We need to protect the free and open internet. We should all have access to the entire internet, free of paid prioritization, blocking and throttling. Consumers, not ISPs, should be free to choose which websites and apps are best.

3436. Blake Scott, La Canada, CA, 91011
I strongly support Net Neutrality and the continued regulation of Internet Service Providers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

3437. Nicolas Suter, Glendale, CA, 91208
Protect net neutrality. To do otherwise would be to commit a crime, and one that generations to come will judge in the harshest possible way.If we fail to protect net neutrality, we will be remembered as pathetic, corrupt idiots who elected politicians with no interest but their own.

3438. Amanda Ebert, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
Please do not limit our freedom in this way.

3439. Erika Diehl, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support Net Neutrality and hope that this way of browsing and gaining information continues as one without barriers which would happen if net neutrality didn't exist. Please keep the choice in the consumers hands and protect our internet from those who wish to block our right to read and inform ourselves at our leisure. Do not allow greed to seep in any further!

3440. Philip Guerette, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I implore the FCC to uphold and strengthen the laws that protect net neutrality!

3441. Net Neutrality, tujunga, CA, 91042
keep net neutrality as it ruins capitalism as the isp you are using has the right to restrict web pages for example amazon not letting who ever has that isp to browse a portion of internet that the rest of the world has. It restricts the rights of free speech by denying access to sites that another person in the same town can see as their isp allows that site. We are denied the basic concept of human rights in article 3 of The Universal Declaration of Human Rights states "Everyone has the right to life, liberty and security of person.". Liberty meaning "freedom from control, interference, obligation, restriction, hampering conditions, etc.; power or right of doing, thinking, speaking, etc., according to choice.", from dictionary.com. Liberty allows any person to have the the right to not have a hindrance to the freedom to think or speech. the blocking of sites is a hindrance to this basic universal human right as we lose the freedom of speech.

3442. Michael Schnyer, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I stand fully in support of Net Neutrality. It is essential to protect the freedom of the

digital mediums via internet. Several huge companies may benefit from their ability to micromanage internet connections, but thousands of others will be harmed. Not to mention the freedom of the public to use the internet as they wish. Service providers have already cordoned off sections of local markets into monopolies to prevent consumers their ability to choose; losing net neutrality would only hand them undue and unfair power over the public.Do NOT repeal Net Neutrality. The people want to keep it.

3443. Jeannine Memoly, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
An open internet is important to me. I travel a lot for work and services like Facebook and email help me to stay in touch with family and friends. I also use the internet to stay informed about what is going on in the world. It is my sole source of current events.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.When I shop for an ISP, I am looking for a fast connection. That's it. ISPs are NOT "telecommunications services" in my book. I do not use any other services, or even no what they are from my ISP. I'm fortunate to live in a big city where there are a few ISP choices, but I know that folks in other areas often only have one choice. There is not enough competition in the ISP market nationwide. I believe the FCC should have a role in overseeing access to the most important network of our lifetimes. This role should include ensuring that consumers are protected from, among other things, invasions of their privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by their broadband providers.Thank you,Jeannine Memoly

3444. Anthony Leech, Glendale, CA, 91208
For a number of reasons, getting rid of Net-Neutrality will be terrible for consumers. Any given ISP will take advantage of a repeal, by attempting to restrict their customers to traffic patterns that most benefit the ISP. It's not a matter of whether it will happen, it WILL happen. If ISPs were not planning to drive traffic to their own predetermined content, why push for a repeal?So, as a concerned citizen who uses the internet for both work and entertainment, I'm asking one thing: Please keep Net Neutrality. Best,-Tony Leech

3445. George Rizkallah, Burbank, CA, 91506
Keep net neutrality! Keep the net open and equal for all. ISP's make plenty of money and don't need another revenue stream, and don't need to monitor our traffic. It's a regulation that needs to be in place to make all things equal.

3446. David Crabb, los angeles, CA, 90029
Do not make knowledge something only the wealthy can gain. That's what destroying the open internet essentially would be.

3447. Jillian Collins, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I support Net Neutrality, please keep it!

3448. Nico Molano, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please keep net Neutrality. This bill is anti competitive and monopolistic.

3449. Jason Jensen, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Net neutrality = freedom.Don't fuck with our freedom.

3450. Mackenzie Chill, Burbank, CA, 91506
The rich don't need to get richer at the expense of the common good.

3451. Xavier Ortiz, Glendale, CA, 91205
Having internet company's and government control out internet should not happen especially when it is and has worked well with what we have right now so why would we need to change that at all?

3452. Pete Sheurpukdi, Tujunga, CA, 91042
The internet needs net neutrality. I care about having an open internet and competition online.

3453. Net Neutrality, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
The importance of Net Neutrality is crucially needed to be saved and not be potentially corrupted by the influence of bigger companies with their interests.

3454. Cameron Schroeder, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please maintain net neutrality! Keep ISPs under Title II.

3455. Nate Reid, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The rise of the Internet in the information age has transformed how people communicate, work, and live. All of these transformative changes have occurred at a blistering pace, with the slow pace of government action left in stark contrast to these societal changes.Today, Internet Service Providers (ISP) are the gatekeepers to modern life or the vast majority of Americans. It is no longer feasible to find work, get educated, and even interact with the government without some use of Internet-enabled services. As a result, these companies, which have also recently solidified their power by merging and acquiring smaller players have become the main conduits in which modern American society operates.Their role is no longer one of convenience, or mere passing frivolity, they underpin the fabric of how people live, work, and play.As a result, the importance of ensuring the fair access to this fabric is incumbent on the government whose role is to work in the best interest of its people, and not of a few corporations.The very idea that this bill "ensures internet freedom" is a misnomer at best, and a subversive at worst. It only ensures freedom of a a few corporations to control, limit, and otherwise decide how modern American society should access information. This is the opposite of freedom.Strong net neutrality laws ensure that the information and the fabric it resides in is accessible to all. Strong net neutrality laws allows for competition and innovation since all players operate on a level playing field. Allowing a single company to decide which parts of the Internet it decides its customers should access is anathema to the very idea of freedom of the Internet.The Internet was and is able to thrive because of its

openness, transparency, and as a common, regulated platform for communication and commerce.I urge the FCC to join with the American people, who overwhelming want a free and open Internet to ensure the greatest invention of mankind not fall into the hands of a few gatekeepers, who aim to profit and control access to this most important resource.

3456. Evan Ponter, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Dear Ajit V. Pai, Keep the internet free. If you believe in freedom, selling the internet to the highest bidder isn't the way. Regulations are there to protect the everyman in a very unequal world. Please. Someone who works all day behind a computer screen making content for the web, I implore that you save the free and open web. I'll even buy you another oversized ironic mug if you do this. Come on!Thanks,Evan Ponter

3457. Razmik Babikian, burbank, CA, 91502
We need Net neutrality! Please let us keep our net neutrality so we can all stay connected to one internet! This is going to make contact with our families even more difficult. With some people having access limited will cause them to lose job opportunities as well.

3458. Kyle Wade, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net Neutrality and Internet freedom are very important.

3459. Laurene Moses, La Canada, CA, 91011
Please ensure that the Internet remains neutral. If it is no longer neutral, our information and freedoms become restricted.

3460. Eric Lavis, Los Angeles,, CA, 90046
As a member of the LGBT community, I am unfortunately familiar with not having my voice heard and not having equal representation and equal rights under the law. Net Neutrality ensures that everyone's voice gets heard, including the little guy. Net Neutrality MUST remain law. Do not let corporations bulldoze over everyone else.

3461. Paul Seradarian, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Please preserve NET NEUTRALITY. I pay for a certain internet speed that should be maintained no matter which website I'm visiting. It's simply unfair to allow ISPs to reduce that speed if a certain site hasn't paid up, which is what HAS and WILL happen if neutrality rules are lifted. The internet is too important. If we had more ISP competition, perhaps such rules wouldn't be necessary, but we don't. Anti-trust laws were designed for exactly this situation! DO THE RIGHT THING!

3462. Jason Ray Olson, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Internet is a basic human right, an opinion shared by the UN since 2011. One that the consumer should have the ability to control their experience and not have it controlled by the service provider. By allowing the provider to limit, throttle, and control what portions of the internet we can access limits our access to a basic human right. Consumers have a right to access to the entire internet. Much like

access to other public utilities. Those rights need to be protected equally for both wired internet access and mobile internet access.

3463. net, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Mr Pai,This effort is cartoonish in its transparent cronyism and villainy. Don't go down in history as the man who rigged the internet and rendered it the tool of corporate greed. Don't go down in history as the man who ended equal access to ideas, art, and entertainment. Don't do it.Thanks,CI

3464. Ashot Amroyan, Glendale, CA, 91205
I believe the internet should only continue in the progressive direction. By abolishing net neutrality you're making the net a worse place for the entire world. Just because some people are greedy enough to do such things does not mean it should be done.

3465. Dexter, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I'd like, my privacy, thanks.

3466. Kelly Pangell, Pasadena, CA, 91103
it is imperative that we maintain full net neutrality and not craft rules giving advantages to those with deep pockets. Keep the rules from the Obama administration intact.

3467. Nate Reid, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I live in Los Angeles, one of the largest urban areas in the country and yet, there are only two viable broadband internet service providers. Of these two, only one offers speeds that even begin to reach those that the vast majority of the industrialized world has enjoyed for years. It is a fallacy to think there is true choice or competition when it comes to broadband access. A deregulated broadband market would make these two all but monopolies unfettered in their ability to raise prices, charge for per-service or per-site access, or any number of anti-consumer tactics. Access to the Internet is not offered as a bundle of specific services, it's offered as a high-speed connection to Internet as a whole, which other companies provide these services. I am interested in the vast gamut of companies providing search, cloud file storage, video streaming, job search, reference, etc, and it is the availability of these services on the general Internet, rather than through some Internet Service Provider's "menu of services" that I am paying my hard earned dollars for.Here is an advertisement from my local ISP:"""Spectrum InternetÂ®Lightning-fast Internet speeds â€“ starting at 100 MbpsFREE Internet modemPlenty of bandwidth with no data caps"""Notice how it just advertises speed, rather than services. The offering is not for any specific service, but rather for access to the network that provides these services from other companies. It is essential that this remains the way, and enforcement of net neutrality laws and Title II help make this possible.Here's an advertisement from the only other broadband provider:"""Reliable. Safe. Fast. High-speed Internet from AT&TStreaming movies, downloading musicâ€”no matter how you use the Internet, we have the perfect high-speed Internet package for you. Each one is ultra reliable and includes a full suite of anti-virus software."""Again, the

advertisement is for access to the network, "no matter how you use the internet", and not for some set of services. In this way, an ISP is a communications provider, or utility, and not some specific vendor of bespoke services. An open, accessible network that isn't under the arbitrary control of a few large corporations benefits all. With little competition in broadband internet, the consumer is left with little choice for access to this network that has become essential for modern life. It is exceedingly short-sighted to leave the decision of how this network should be accessed to a few leaders of few companies. Shouldn't that be up to the American people to decide?

3468. Rebecca SPath, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Please protect net neutrality. The internet is such a gift. The democratization of information has allowed us to go so far, but unless neutrality is protected information making peoples' lives better will be suffocated for profit. ESPECIALLY PAID PRIORITIZATION PAID PRIORITIZATION SEEMS LIKE IT SPURS BUSINESS BUT IT ACTUALLY STIFLES IT

3469. Matthew Piper, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Net neutrality is essential for basic freedom for the people of the United States.

3470. Daniel O'Malley, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The internet should be neutral. The idea that internet service providers should be able to choose which websites have speed and which ones don't is completely against the innovative spirit of the internet. It's one of the most dangerous ideas floated in politics today. It's an unfortunate failure of our democracy that politicians are trying to rid us of this essential trait of the internet.

3471. Kyle Oppenheim, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I'd like to keep my 15 megabytes per second to coolmathgames and Roberts Space Industries even if TWC doesn't own them, please.

3472. Alexandra Levin, los angeles, CA, 90027
I AM STONGLY FOR NET NEUTRALITY. I am in agreement with net neutrality rules that allow us to freely view our content without the invasion of other corporations and the government. I feel it is intrusive and against the first amendment to take away our choice about what we see. Please do not take away these net neutrality rules that are in place to allow us the freedom to roam freely on the internet .

3473. Vivian Penaloza, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Keep the internet out of the companies pockets. It will only create a bigger hatred for America. We already get charged for every other thing in out lives, geez, let me see whatever the hell I want for all the same price. Who are these companies to tell us what can be seen and what can not. Same way companies tell us what's beautiful and not.Vivian Penaloza

3474. Mary Malhotra, La Canada, CA, 91011

Please keep net neutrality strong, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It will be chilling to small business development as well as personal development if big companies are allowed to control internet traffic and if money decides who has easy access and who has poor access to all the resources we access via the internet. I was excited just today to see how Massachusetts Institute of Technology has decided to find candidates for a master's program by evaluating the work of participants in online classes, as a side door for entry to higher education by those who don't have college - or even high school - degrees. Now that's what I call leveling the playing field! Weakening net neutrality pushes in the opposite direction, towards a greater divide between the haves and the have nots. Please protect Net Neutrality.

3475. Alyssa Bell, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Maintaining net neutrality and the status quo of internet access is extremely important. Please do not role back the current net neutrality rules.

3476. Christopher Hendricks, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I'll keep it brief: net neutrality is 100% VITAL to our lives and society, especially today when traditional information sources are under fire from the executive branch. The free exchange of information online must continue unimpeded by private corporations.

3477. Joseph Assio, burbank, CA, 91504
As an network engineer who's job involves controlling network traffic, i believe the fcc should not allow the ISPs to self manage and should keep the net neutrality laws as they are.

3478. Christian Galang, Glendale, CA, 91202
The internet should not be controlled by special interest big companies for the sake of profit.

3479. Josh Flaum, Glendale, CA, 91204
I am VEHEMENTLY in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title II Oversight of ISPs. Fair and equal access to the Internet for all!

3480. jesse akins, los angeles, CA, 90028
True net Neutrality, would have been guaranteed under the ratification of the bill of rights in 1786 had the internet existed. Right along side freedom of speech, the Press and ALL THE OTHERS. Do not fail the true spirit of the United States by allowing Net neutrality to fall.

3481. Cameron k, los angeles, CA, 90028
Please help defend net neutrality.

3482. Valen Russo, Burbank, CA, 91504
As a citizen of the USA and a believer in freedom and free speech I ask my government to please KEEP net neutrality.

3483. Reza Nikoopour, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Please do not throw away net neutrality. Having an open and fair internet allows new innovators to work on and solve problems that will otherwise go untouched. Data is data and should be treated as such.

3484. Ashot Sarkisovich Bagdasaryan, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
In order to preserve the liberties of the people of the United States, it is with great necessity that the neutrality of the internet remain intact. The internet has become a staple of free speech, freedom of assembly, and freedom of commerce and it is imperative that it be available to everyone equally. Undermining net neutrality is nothing short of a direct attack on the liberties of the American people.

3485. JASON PFAFFL, BURBANK, CA, 91504
Net Neutrality allows access to information. If Net Neutrality is revoked, Big Businesses will have the power and freedom to oppress and misdirect free speech. In the modern era of media consumerism, this is borderline unconstitutional and is an attack on free speech.

3486. Sean Buckley, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Keep the Internet free and open for all Americans!

3487. Trevor, los angeles, CA, 90039
I believe that net neutrality is the only path forward.And I vote, and explain things to people that vote. Make isp's be in the business of delivering access, not providing content. We are moving toward monopolies again.If I am advertised access to "the internet" and pay the bill, I do not want ISP controlling my choices behind the scenes.Its open and shut really, the only reason to strike down net netrality is a bribe from an ISP. Let see their campaign contribution and I bet we will see a map of who is behind this.

3488. David Bowers, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
To the FCC:Net Neutrality is a good thing for all Americans and all small businesses with an Internet presence. Taking away net neutrality would be a slap in the face to these decent, ordinary Americans. DON'T take net neutrality away!

3489. Christopher Merrill, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Net neutrality is crucial to innovation on the internet. Network providers should not be allowed to stifle competition between content providers or to throttle certain content providers at their whim. That would give network providers power over other companies in other industries. Please preserve the open internet and net neutrality.

3490. randall albright, tujunga, CA, 91042
keep the net neutral fair for everyone.

3491. Carlos Lopez, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Net neutrality is needed for the internet to stay as safe as possible! We do not ISP to

overhead the world wide web.

3492. peter sapinsky, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please keep the internet free. With spectrum buying time warner, my internet went up another $20. I can't afford this but I need internet for school. Taking away the free internet would be detrimental to my education and wallet. I only have one choice of high speed internet and one choice of crappy dsl which can barely open a website. Please keep the internet the way it is. You've already screwed us with high education prices don't screw us by not being able to learn more.

3493. Jason Merrin, Burbank, CA, 91506
PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY. In order to ensure a competitive online economy that fosters growth and innovation, we have to make sure everyone gets equal access to the internet. Placing the ability to implement high speed lanes and censorship in the hands of ISPs that already have an organized non-competitive structure that acts, in essence, as a monopoly, would be disastrous. Giving ISPs the ability to regulate bandwidth and content is like giving Goldman Sachs the ability to regulate who gets to enter Central Park. The internet must remain an open, public, free space.

3494. Armand Nahapetian, glendale, CA, 91208
The internet is something that is still young in our world, and it's the only place where people can go to be free of their day to day lives, this is all thanks to Net Neutrality keeps everything as fair as it can be for everyone to enjoy and use this modern resource that benefits everyone.

3495. Scott Key, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
An open internet allows small businesses to have a shot. Anything that is done to limit that freedom will put the growth of any small business out. We will only have monopolies of the people who can pay the most for access and everyone else will not be able to afford it.

3496. Mark Bisaha, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Preserve the net neutrality laws the way they are. Do not change them -- they're working fine.Mark Bisaha

3497. Roman Sanchez, Glendale, CA, 91204
Save the internet! Woot!

3498. Roman Sanchez, Glendale, CA, 91204
Save internet ty

3499. Kyle, Burbank, CA, 91506
Allowing ISP's to manipulate what websites can be shown is bound to destroy the current wealth of information available. With the limited ISP competition in my area, I could be forced to see one companies approved sites and miss out on anything that couldn't pay their way to be shown. Businesses could be forced to buy "premium" access to get speeds that are throttled and see information that may still

be filtered. Students could be getting news and research from sources that have paid the most money to be there. The internet needs to be saved!

3500. Avetis Vardanyan, Glendale, CA, 91201
Net Neutrality is very important to consumers and the future of society. Putting the power in the hands of ISP's and allowing them to cut parts of the service that they are supposed to provide to us at a cost is setting a precedent that will cause great harm to society, education, and the transfer of free thought. Please consider our voices in opposition to the proposed deal for ISP's.

3501. Nick Castro, Burbank, CA, 91501
Having a open internet is one of the many freedoms that U.S citizens have. Letting ISP'S take control can very much led to the restriction of the internet due to the very nature of businesses wanting as much influence over people in order to get more business and generate more money.

3502. Aaron Hsu, Glendale, CA, 91205
I am just a normal citizen with family and friends all over the globe. To be able to share our lives, communicate, and enjoy quality time together while we are apart, the Internet is an amazing tool that gives us such convenience. Whether it is sharing our lives through pictures and status updates on Instagram, Facebook, or Twitter, chatting in real time over Skype or Discord, or sharing videos recorded through Dropbox, Google Drive, or other file sharing programs, having an open high speed internet allows us to share our lives while we live on opposite sides of the globe.Net Neutrality protects our ability to think for ourselves and gives us the tools to search and discover both sides to a story. By voting against Net Neutrality, we the people would be at the mercy of our ISP providers. If they lean heavily to one political party, they could restrict access to sites that promote ideas against them. Or if they are trying to push their own services against their competition, they could slow down those services to favor their own to force users to use what they provide. This will stifle competition and prevent people from receiving the best possible service that they can.Most importantly, with an open Internet Net Neutrality protects, is the ability for us to learn about the world, other people, and everything around us. Watching countries where the government regulates what the people see and hear, with propoganda forced onto them is something I do not want for our country. Having the power of free speech gives us the freedom to listen to both sides of an argument, and having an open internet gives us the freedom to choose what is right.I hope you will support Net Neutrality and what it means to me.

3503. Vinicius Marques, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
Keep the internet free and open with NET NEUTRALITY!

3504. Zach Buchanan, Pasadena, CA, 91105
The internet is an important space because its diversity. Corporations cannot be trusted to preserve that diversity.

3505. Shane O'Loughlin, Los Angeles, CA, 90038

I am commenting in support of the democratic institution of net neutrality. Without a free and open internet we will be one step closer to the total collapse of free thought and expression.

3506. Taylor Gershman, Pasadena, CA, 91105
Dear FCC,You are at a crossroads of doing the right or wrong thing that will impact Millions of people for decades. Your children, my children, their children.The Internet drives the ideas and sharing of ideas that will solve most if not all of the worlds problems - Social, political and environmental. If you give into the SP's you will severely and irreparably impair, stagnate and reverse all that the Internet has to offer to solve our problems.Do the right thing.Taylor Gershman

3507. Alexander Forsythe, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I strongly support classifying Internet Service Providers under Title II. The net neutrality rules currently in place are critical to making the internet a place for fair and equal competition. Do not roll back or weaken the net neutrality rules.

3508. Kendall Goldberg, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The internet represents freedom and exists as it is currently, purely because of net neutrality. What the FCC plans to do by repealing net neutrality rules is a huge threat to our freedom. Without strong net neutrality, these companies can do anything -- and not for the better. This freedom we all love about the internet is at risk. Leave strong net neutrality rules in place, please. The internet is our work, our play, and our home.

3509. Emil Mehrabian, Sunland, CA, 91040
I support strong net neutrality rules so that I have the freedom to see the websites I access. I have paid for my ISP's service for a reason: so I can use the internet. I don't want to be blocked, buffered or throttled by my ISP when visiting my favorite websites.

3510. Jeffrey Cordes, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
This is another law that takes away from the little guy and gives the greedy corporations freedom to regulate the internet. This should not be allowed to happen.

3511. Maddison Nielsen, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
The internet helps people connect in ways the world has never seen. Ending net neutrality will cut off people from access to information, art, and other communities that will welcome them. It also will siphon even more money to cable companies and will hurt the American consumer. Keep our internenet neutral!!!!!!!!

3512. Alex Ross, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
KEEP THE INTERNET FREE! KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!

3513. Jeremy, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please respect the common freedoms and amazing things, WE THE PEOPLE, have rights to. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down,

give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Jeremy

3514. Roberta Scardera, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Please do not continue with any plans to throttle, choke, or otherwise stifle the neutrality of the internet. To do so is to throttle, choke, or stifle our uniquely American liberty - the very Liberty for which America was created. It goes beyond robbing the poor to pay the rich; it becomes the modern version of censorship and tyranny at the people's expense.

3515. Devon Chapman, Glendale, CA, 91203
Greetings, I would just like to add one to the list of those who support Net Neutrality in its current state so we can all have reliable access to the entire internet with a broadband cable subscription. I believe the internet is a utility, like water and electricity and is vitally important to our daily lives and jobs. As such, it should be regulated like a utility and not controlled by a couple powerful corporations.Thank you for listening.

3516. Nickolas Ross, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please don't push us any closer to fascism. A free internet is integral to democracy. Maintain Net Neutrality.

3517. Kelly Coleman, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I am a business owner, web developer, content creator, and constantly on-line-

learning; all of these aspects of my life depend on me having access to the fastest possible internet speeds, and keeping my websites viewable to all (no blocking). The current Net neutrality laws have done nothing to damage the profits of the top internet providers, but if they are rolled back, and providers are allowed to block, throttle, or demand more money for their 'premium' services this will absolutely damage my business, my pursuit of happiness, and my quality of life. It's embarrassing enough already, that the only available internet provider in my area (little town called Los Angeles) can only provide me with speeds that are laughably slower than what my Canadian competitors in Vancouver can achieve. Stripping these Net Neutrality laws will not make America more competitive, it will put us at an even further disadvantage on an international level. Keep America Great! Protect the people, protect the companies from their greedy investors, protect Net Neutrality! Thank you!

3518. Taylor Florian, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
With so many recent and looming mergers between service providers, it seems incredibly irresponsible to further reward this destruction of competition by granting those companies even more control over what is, practically speaking, a modern necessity.

3519. Natasha Sattler, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
What makes America great is freedom. We say it to everyone from another country, "America is built on freedom". You take away our freedom to information and you take away what this country was founded on. Please keep the intentions of our founding fathers in mind and allow us to remain "the free world".

3520. Andrew Park, Glendale, CA, 91202
Having ISP's monopolize the internet certainly seems like a selfish and greedy act to enact. To keep this brief, the entire internet will NOT stand for this. If our privacy gets violated by the ISPs we should have the right to retaliate by invading their own privacy and see how they feel. At the end of the day, repealing net neutrality will only unify our country to stand against this issue, as the internet is a bipartisan entity that everyone cherishes. Repealing this act will not only reward the ones who wish to enact it with harsh repercussions, but a lifetime of embarrassment.

3521. David Cull, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
The internet is a radical tool for democracy and can continue to be so, as long as the tool itself remains democratic. I support a fair and open internet.

3522. Ellie Hall, Burbank, CA, 91501
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II (2) oversights of Internet Service Providers (ISP). Don't let ISPs control the internet for their own benefits. #NetNeutrality

3523. Whit Cohen, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net neutrality is absolutely vital. DO NOT roll-back the 2015 Open Internet Order.

3524. Trevor Rotenberg, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Aren't we already told what to do enough through the media? Now you want the content of the internet to be controlled as well? This is ethically wrong on so many levels. It only benefits Corporate America.... haven't we learned from this already. In the words of POTUS, "Sad!". Don't make this mistake. Sheesh.

3525. Keep Net-neautrality, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
Do not take away the open internet. It's the last bastions of free speech left given that the rest of media corporations have polluted general and public information. Without the internet and those verifying and policing information, who knows what we would be left with.

3526. Jessica Webber, Burbank, CA, 91502
Please keep the internet free and open. First of all, why is this a discussion again at the FCC? The will of the people already decided this issue. We want a free and open internet just like our society. Why does the FCC want to put total power and control of internet content in the hands of internet providers? That is a conflict of interest. Of course internet providers would choose to keep traffic going to places where they make money if they had that much power. That's why they shouldn't have that much power. And the government shouldn't have that much power over the internet either. Please keep the internet free and open. Please continue to listen to the will of the people in this free and open democracy.

3527. David, LA, CA, 90027
Don't ruin the internet over greed.

3528. Andres Lopez, los angeles, CA, 90046
PLEASE DO NOT DO THIS TO US! PLEASE!

3529. Kenneth Duane Childs IV, Glendale, CA, 91207
I am an independent web developer who helps small business with the creation of their own websites. I have grown up with the internet and it has helped to connect me with people across the globe both professionally and socially. I have seen the amazing things that have been accomplished with an ever-improving internet. This includes social networking sites like Facebook, many amazing collaborative open-source projects, and more. If ISPs are given the power to pick winners and losers on the internet, I fear that we will lose out on many of the next big advancements. If anyone comes up with something great that could compete with an ISPs interests, that ISP would be able to just shut them down rather than improve their own product.When I pay for broadband access, all I want is that broadband access. My ISP (Charter Communications) provides so many fluff services that I will never use. There are only 2 ISPs available in my area, and neither are better in this regard. There are better free options for everything that gets thrown into the package. All I want are stable, fast speeds; and, while I get decent speeds, my current ISP provides me with an incredibly unreliable connection. I should also mention that it is not easy to switch to my second ISP option (AT&T), because of all the equipment to be

returned, contracts to be dealt with, and installation to be done.I believe that the internet is a utility and should be treated as such. It is a road that connects people to businesses and to each other. ISPs should not be able to control who I connect, what services I use, or what businesses I buy from. Many people, including me, rely on the internet existing in an open and neutral format and I would like you to please keep it this way.

3530. Stefano Arauz, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
What do your kids have to say? Can they be proud of their parent who stripped the world of a freedom for a few bucks?

3531. Paul Denino, LA, CA, 90028
give me back my mothafuckinass TriHard

3532. Marcos Nieto, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I do not support net neutrality and ISPs having control of which websites have better service than others. Please stop net neutrality.

3533. Amy Sylvis, Pasadena, CA, 91105
Net neutrality is essential and cannot be compromised. It's an integral part of freedom.

3534. Nicholas Gramenos, Los Angeles, CA, 90036
Let's be serious, we all know the reason this is up for repeal. If these corporations can come in with their money and lobbyists telling our government the way it's going to be, then what's stopping us from devolving into some total globo-corporate abomination of a country? Draw the line in the sand now while you still have some semblance of what you used to be. For once I would just like to believe that my grandchildren won't have to grow up in a United States that bent at the knee to corporate greed and corruption, and for just a little longer I'd like to see the morals and principles or forefathers stood for upheld. The World Wide Web is the final frontier for my lifetime and it's headed straight for the same fate as our once wild west. Westward expansion was the key to our nation's survival then and the same remains true to this day, keep moving forward. Repeal means death for our Internet and our freedom as a nation. Think with your head, not with your wallet.
Best,Nicholas Gramenos

3535. J. Quion, Glendale, CA, 91204
would you throttle a library?information is for the people, keep the net neutral.

3536. Lin Young, Glendale, CA, 91203
Net Neutrality is not only important but a hallmark of democracy. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. This will block small businesses from being able to compete in the open market. FCC Chairman Pai intends to do exactly this. There will be the giant corps that can pay ISPs to have their content load faster and this will prevent

startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees to be able to compete. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. To charge fees for website delivery would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. We cannot let this happen. This is America!!! We all need our voices heard. Please raise yours

3537. Lance Konnerth, LA, CA, 90026
Net neutrality for all! People before corporations and politics. Please listen and give consideration to the millions of Americans who fully support an open internet. If you are really in favor of doing what's best for this country, you will look past all the lobbyists, your own personal/political interests, and realize that net neutrality is in the best interest for both the people and the economy. But I'm confident you already know this, it's just up to you to do the right thing. Always remember that ultimately we the people are the ones you work for, we pay your salary, and we can vote you in, or out of office.

3538. Eitan Kleinman, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Don't mess around with net neutrality. Changing anything would just bring headaches to us and we will be forced to return the headaches to you in kind. Nobody wants to deal with that. So keep it as it is, please, and let's all just enjoy the internet together as equals as it should be. Thank you for your time.

3539. Jacob Hart, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I am concerned about the NPRM and any effort to make the internet less neutral. I understand that the argument to restore freedom to anything will always have its potential merits, but in this case "restoring internet freedom" would put users at the mercy of the corporations that dictate pricing, speed, and access. It is hard to ignore the possibility of poorer communities and smaller companies being put in vulnerable positions if internet regulations are revoked. Freedom of speech would be at risk, period.

3540. Dane Artis, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
The internet is a huge part of my life and has had a massive impact on my life and who i am. I get almost all of my entertainment from streaming services like YouTube and Twitch. I am a college student studying computer science, which a majority of my school work is online an many possible future careers have the potential to be rooted in the internet. My life has had and will have a very intimate relationship with the internet. Net Neutrality is a policy of freedom that protects this life and the careers and livelihood of innumerable individuals and families. The internet is a source of information and learning for this generation and the next. Removing the policies of Net Neutrality takes us leaps and bounds towards an unsavory society. This breaks the trust of many individuals who apply their freedoms of speech, press, and expression on a platform that not only stands on our lands, but on the world as a whole. The absence of Net Neutrality is a step towards the monopolization of an industry that has more influence than arguably any other

source on the planet. Selling out to Big Business is surely the sign of a weak mind and small power. It is a step away from our free market society and quashes many of the principles that our forefathers wished to establish in our realm of freedom, ideals that transcend the bounds of time and era. These that were established in our founding documents should be held above all, and repealing Net Neutrality surely attempts to erase these statements. If we truly live in the land of the free, where the voice of the people rings on every side, and we governed by the people for the people, then it is imperative that the words of that people are followed. Do not repeal these policies, lest ye demolish the very foundations which our great nation were built upon.

3541. Z. Blue, Glendale, CA, 91214
Title II regulations should remain in place for the internet.Fuck your novelty mug, Ajit.

3542. Julian, Glendale, CA, 91206
I am a freelance illustrator and I use the internet and social media (Instagram, Twitter, Facebook, Tumblr) to share, promote, and sell my art work. It lets me not only catch the eyes of potential clients, but also connect with people all over the world. An open internet has allowed me to make a living doing work I am passionate about, to share it with the world, and to discover new and inspiring perspectives, so I want to keep the internet free of any censorship or favoritism that would prevent creatives from reaching their full potential.

3543. James Hayden, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Look,Net Neutrality is the underpinning of of the recent internet innovations in communication, commerce, and entertainment that have improved my life, the lives of fellow Americans, and the lives of people around the world. Please don't let current efforts to undermine the Title II status of the internet give ISPs the power to pick winners and losers in our internet landscape.Good luck; we're all counting on you.James Hayden

3544. Kelsey B, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Please uphold the net neutrality laws. The internet should be able to be openly accessed by everyone and not censored based on what monopolies like Verizon, AT&T, and Comcast want to dictate. The internet as it stands is a level playing field for those who can access it and should be kept that way so people can continue to get information, keep in touch with friends and family, and whatever else they decide. ISPs should not have the power to dictate what sites I can easily access with fast lanes or charging more money than I already pay for internet access.

3545. Joseph Gadbois, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
Please keep our internet free from regulators! There is no need to throttle our ability to communicate freely!!

3546. Christopher Lastra, Glendale, CA, 91205
The Internet is one of the modern forms of business and self-made education. Please

continue to provide start-ups, educators, and entertainers with a fair means of making it in this rising industry, as well as sharing their talents and information to the world.

3547. Arthur Naugle, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please do not give more power to the ISPs and keep the net neutrally we have,

3548. Thomas Herpich, Burbank, CA, 91504
We must save net neutrality, to preserve the freedom and equality of the internet.

3549. Lindsey Toiaivao, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Keep the internet accessible to all! Net neutrality is essential to a modern democracy.

3550. Betsy Golden, Hollywood, CA, 90028
The internet is a modern necessary utility, not a privilege.

3551. Josemari Zafra, Sunland, CA, 91040
The internet needs to stay free. The greedy fat cats of Washington DC need to stop interfering with the freedoms of this great nation. Stop with the unnecessary laws, especially on the issue of the Internet. Keep it the way it is, not just for you and I, but for all of us Americans. We all use the internet, and among other things that are becoming more and more expensive, the least you government officials can do is actually take care of the people. Do not make this unnecessary change to the internet we know and love.

3552. Lester Chavez, Burbank, CA, 91505
Big company's make a ton of money already and to give them the right to control our love and to take more of our money is criminal and shouldn't be allowed Lester Chavez

3553. Vincent Mazzotta, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
RUNWAY network, magazine/TV/apps side with Netflix on Net Neutrality. Honesty is the core of our society.

3554. Cody Johnston, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Seriously, come on, guys.

3555. Elizabeth Kotz, Pasadena, CA, 91105
Please support (and enforce) net neutrality. Do not let large corporations call the shots. The internet should be regulated as a public utility. Ajit Pai, and other commissioners: your duty is to the American people, not to AT&T, Verizon, or Comcast. As consumers, we already pay these providers a great deal of $$ for access to the internet; they should not be able to pick favorites among content providers. And may I remind you, the US has some of the most expensive (and slowest) internet service among developed nations?

3556. M. Feather, Sunland, CA, 91040
Net neutrality is important as the best means to preserve efficient access to a wide range of capabilities.

3557. Andrew Shaw, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Do not repeal the net neutrality legislation. The regulations are in the best interest for the consumer.

3558. Greg Nasca, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Preserve the open internet. Give the people one sensible decision this year.

3559. Erin Garey, Los angeles, CA, 90026
So here we are again. Net neutrality is one of the most important public utility in America at this time. Now more than ever all the American people (not to mention the entire 1st world) depends more and more on internet access for everything in our lives. Be it our occupations or simply access to information. This is only going to increase in importance. As a small independent maker, I depend on access to a internet where people can find and engage with me to further my work as an artist and I am far from the only one. If we really want to believe in this country as a place of opportunity then this utility (as it should be considered) needs to remain neutral to the entire populace not given as preference to the large businesses and and sole and easy access of the wealthy.

3560. Matthew Decker, Burbank, CA, 91506
I support net neutrality. I fail to see how repealing legislation that forces ISPs to treat the internet as a public utility could possibly benefit small businesses or the average American citizen. To me this looks like a massive scheme to put massive amounts of wealth in the hands of large ISP corporations like Comcast and Verizon, which is no doubt why the companies I've mentioned and more have lobbied congressmen with tens of millions of dollars in 2016 alone. If the regulations were actually as burdensome as they claim, then they wouldn't have millions of dollars in spare cash lying around to bribe politicians with. I don't support granting ISPs the power to control connection speed, discriminate content, or even block content as they please, because that would be a massive hindrance to the free and open internet we have today.

3561. Michael Novak, Burbank, CA, 91502
I am against Docket 17-108, also know as the "Restoring Internet Freedom Act".

3562. Emily Warren, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Hello, please preserve net neutrality. Please keep it under Title II, and keep the regulations and protections strong.

3563. Linda Pariser, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please do not deregulate net neutrality protections agreed by the FCC in 2015. Maintain the classification of wireless and fixed-line broadband service providers as title II "common carriers". Thank you for your consideration and for your

commitment to the protection of unfettered access to online communications and freedom of speech and expression.

3564. Eric Kerikorian, Burbank, CA, 91501
Net Neutrality baby!

3565. Miguel Arias, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Do not repeal Net Neutrality. This will only harm everyone except giant telecom companies.

3566. Alex Jaramillo, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Please preserve the net neutrality rules that keep the internet democratic and give equal access to ALL websites!

3567. Dianne T. DeSha, Glendale, CA, 91204
Net neutrality is essential for a democracy and a free market. Being able to buy and sell as an individual or a small business online is completely dependent on being able to compete with enormous chain stores. If corporations are permitted to purchase better/faster/more reliable service and thus preferential access to customers, there will be no way for small businesses to compete. Given how many new and small businesses are Internet based, not preserving Net Neutrality would crush the free market and give mega-corporations the ability to purchase a monopoly online. You must prevent this.

3568. Zachary Fitzgerald; Ashley Mangus, Glendale, CA, 91206
I currently only have one choice for my ISP. That choice is Charter Communications. I am concerned that without net neutrality my ISP will be able to provide their own version of the internet. The internet should remain a free exchange of ideas and information, despite the security risks that may inherently come along with it. Please do not let this be a shameful point in history that we must wince at as we look back on it.

3569. Jennifer Klein, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
The FCC's net neutrality rules are so important and should be protected. PLEASE protect them!! As a television writer, the idea of censoring the internet or certain websites having advantages over others is horrifying to me. I write content for a streaming platform and the idea that one website I write for might run slower than another simply because they don't pay as much money is a slippery slope that would set dangerous precedents. When we start censoring the internet, where do we stop? We need a free and open internet. For communication, for discourse, for entertainment, and above all, for equal right to information.Thank you for reading this . I urge you to protect net neutrality.Best,Jenny KleinJennifer Klein

3570. Harrison, Glendale, CA, 91206
Dont let these large companies ruin net nutraltiy.....

3571. Lotte, los angeles, CA, 90068

the internet should be neutral!

3572. Michael Sullivan, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Net Neutrality needs to be protected. With out it, we risk losing the ability to remain connected to our friends and family. We risk limiting resources that help the communities in which we live. We risk an environment that limits our access to information. Net Neutrality is one of the most important issues facing this country today. Repealing Net Neutrality laws goes against the very fabric of this country and sends us down a dangerous path that limits many of the freedoms we have as Americans and puts control over those freedoms into the hands of others.

3573. Dre Anthony, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
It's incredible how the fundamentals of net neutrality are still being debated. ISP's don't need any more power than what they already have. No one person or entity has the right to dictate what the public can and cannot access online with repercussions at their discretion. Anyone who challenges these freedoms is not only detrimentally compromising the integrity of the free web, but it can hurt the lives of many who have dedicated their time to building careers, products, and services online. Please acknowledge that keeping the web neutral is an unnecessary battle that none of us should ever have to worry about losing.

3574. CÃ©sar Augusto Barroso SulbarÃ¡n, Glendale, CA, 91208
The internet as it exists and operates is a valuable tool, and is decidedly a contemporary element of our common human heritage. Allowing Private Internet Service Providers to take undue control over the access that individuals have to the internet would severely damage this beautiful tool and all legal and political action must be taken to protect it.

3575. Kevin Vartanian, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
The internet NEEDS to be free, corporations will continue anti-consumer and oligopoly practices without the FCCs help. The internet is a necessity and a right, the ability to communicate with others is more important than ever.

3576. Jessica, Burbank, CA, 91501
SAVE THE OPEN INTERNET. Don't change the rules.

3577. Daniel Park, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Why should our Internet service providers determine what speed we view things at our leisure? In the end if the net neutrality goes away then so does our freedom and the ability to communicate our ideas is bound to a chain that our internet service providers put on us. Isn't the idea of freedom deeply rooted in freedom and yet our very own government is trying to get rid such freedom. We should be able to keep this right of having net neutrality.

3578. Peter Seraph Simmeth, Glendale, CA, 91208
Net neutrality allows for small businesses like my mother's to gain popularity and thrive on the internet, allowing her to gain enough money to put me through college.

In the modern age internet is a necessity, not a luxury, and as such should be treated the same way as other utilities such as electricity and water.

3579. Wilson Montevilla, Burbank, CA, 91505
Please support net neutrality. It's a first amendment right that all information be treated equally.

3580. Allison Donnelly, Pasadena, CA, 91105
Access to the internet should be open and free from regulation by private interests in order to maintain free exchange of ideas and information. Net neutrality is vital and should be kept. Blocking or promoting certain kinds of content over others is wrong and should not be enabled. Even if ISPs have no plans to interfere with internet content or access, net neutrality should not be eliminated in the event that their priorities change and they fail to comply with current standards. Please support net neutrality by keeping Title II and protecting the freedom of internet users.

3581. Caroline Ellis, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I support net neutrality because I believe in that equal access to all content on the internet is beneficial to our democracy because it allows people to educate themselves and communicate with each other. I do not think that it is the purpose of the government to act on behalf of the wishes of large corporations.

3582. Santiago Bahti, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. It is very important for the internet to stay neutral without ISPs determining what the internet should look like. Please listen to us, the ones who will be effected by this decision, rather than the ISPs who are only going to gain from this if these regulations are taken away.

3583. net, los angeles, CA, 90046
Information, knowledge, and basic technical skills should be accessible to all. Regulating the world wide web will hurt so much more than it will help. Please save the open internet. ISP should not have the right to control our experiences based on content they might not agree with. Major conflict of interest. What if your mother was your manager at work and constantly feeding you with special attention and micromanaging you? Not cool.

3584. Brittany, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
What http://www.theopeninter.net said below -* 1 Aâ€¨ free and open internet is the single greatest technology of our time, and control should not be at the mercy of corporations.* 2 A free and open internet stimulates ISP competition.* 3 A free and open internet helps prevent unfair pricing practices.* 4â€¨ A free and open internet promotes innovation.* 5â€¨ A free and open internet promotes the spread of ideas.* 6â€¨ A free and open internet drives entrepreneurship.* 7â€¨ A free and open internet protects freedom of speech.Of course we shouldn't give this power to corporations that already hold a significant amount of power in this area (ie. I have ONE choice of a service provider for many of my housing situations). We need

more competition, not less. And we should not be monetizing people's access to ideas and information - the world is unequal enough, at least keep as much access to content available, whatever it may be so that people can discover and explore and learn and in turn contribute to the betterment of our world. Not protecting net neutrality is completely selfish and gives too much power and control to these service providers. As I said, they have enough power and control (and money!) already. - Brittany A

3585. Collier Hansen, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
The internet is extremely important to myself and the company (as well as every company I've ever worked for and every person I've ever known) and Net Neutrality should be the law of the land. Any other solution could not possibly be considered "in the best interest of the people" and could only be considered "in the best interest of corporations". Any reasonable human being would agree that PEOPLE are more important than CORPORATIONS. That's because PEOPLE live. That's because PEOPLE have emotion and dreams and budgets. That's because PEOPLE vote. Corporations can buy you off with money, but that's called bribery and in that case we're no better than Italy, or Greece, or New York in the Mobster era.

3586. Kevin Milmoe, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Net neutrality is an important part of keeping innovation and economic growth in America. Keeping the net neutral is up there with freedom of speech and freedom of religion. I feel that the liberty to choose how I want to use the net is crucial to my freedoms as an American.

3587. Andrew Henkes, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please protect net neutrality at all costs. I am a voter and I feel strongly about protecting my ability to access data regardless of the provider.

3588. Trevor Eckhoff, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
The world would be a vastly less creative and productive place without a free and open internet. Letting an ISP limit a users access to the internet would squelch an invaluable amount of potential ideas while also crushing a numerous amount of businesses that could facilitate major change to our society.

3589. Laura Reckmeyer, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Net neutrality is critical to our democracy. This is taking away our freedom!!

3590. Lauren Kusmierczak, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
I WANT ACCESS TO THE ENTIRE INTERNET!

3591. Jason Adams, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Net neutrality is a crucial part of keeping the internet for everyone. If America cares about democracy, it will fight to maintain net neutrality.

3592. Darren Ranalli, Burbank, CA, 91504
The web has become an essential part of our lives. Please don't allow ISPs to

arbitrarily manipulate our access.

3593. Sosse Sabounjian, Sunland-Tujunga, CA, 91040
"Net neutrality is pro-business in the best and fullest sense of the term, guaranteeing that new companies can grow unimpeded and help accelerate the US economy. Net neutrality preserves undistorted consumers' freedom of choice. And at the same time, net neutrality facilitates a level playing field for political and social interaction on the internet, enhancing freedom." - NICHOLAS ECONOMIDES

3594. Allyson McGaughey, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
I support net neutrality. Net neutrality ensures fair access to all information. People need fair access to all sources. Small businesses need net neutrality to launch themselves. Net neutrality allows users to find new websites rather than allowing service providers to virtually censor certain material and restrict users to mainstream websites or websites that the ISP profits from or agrees with. Even when ISPs claim to support neutrality, all ISPs cannot be trusted to be unbiased, and net neutrality ensures that all users can seek out the information they need. I pay my ISP to give me open access to the entire web, and I pay for full, fair access to everything on it. Also, many areas are limited to only a few reasonable choices for an ISP - especially one fast enough for their work/home needs. Many places only have one real choice, and the FCC needs to ensure that their ISP gives them fair access to the web - the largest source of information in today's world. Not only that, but FCC oversight of ISPs ensures that customer privacy and rights are protected. Please preserve net neutrality and the open internet in America.

3595. Brent Noll, burbank, CA, 91502
Hey please don't get rid of net neutrality. the net should be a free place where every one has assess to all information equally. do not turn us over to the evil corporations who will run it into the ground.

3596. Matthew Childs, Glendale, CA, 91207
The current state of internet access gives little opportunity for consumers to choose their internet service provider (ISP). Such a situation is often the case with goods and services that have high startup costs and high dependency on infrastructure, such as television, telephone, and power. Without an effective free market encouraging ISPs to self-regulate, it is the duty of a government to impose regulations for the good of its society. Net neutrality is necessary in protecting the free market provided by the internet from interference from the ISPs.Please, do not sacrifice what is perhaps the greatest market the world has seen in an attempt to bring the free market into a situation that it cannot survive. Please promote the the regulations needed to uphold the principle of net neutrality.

3597. Justin Rettke, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please retain safeguards on net neutrality. I believe removing these safeguards would be a grave mistake which would harm the United States citizens on a large scale.

3598. Mary Manukyan, Tujunga, CA, 91042
In the digital age, where we gather most of our information, do a lot of our connecting, and a great deal of exploring, on the internet, the idea that my access to sources online may be interrupted or restricted by companies working in their own self interest is concerning to me. As Americans, our idea of what it means to be "free" must include, in the modern age, free access to an unrestricted and open internet. I'm 21 years old, and intend to vote for the rest of my life for representatives and politicians who understand my concerns on net neutrality, and who will never act to restrict my access to the internet.

3599. Tro Achekian, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I would like the internet to be kept neutral. If the FCC allows ISPs to dismantle net neutrality, then the government opens up the path to allow ISPs to manipulate the internet to benefit their bottom lines at the expense of ordinary U.S. citizens. The FCC will also be killing innovation by hurting the small businesses and entrepreneurs that heavily rely on a fair and equal internet. Keep the net neutrality as it is!

3600. Rochelle, Glendale, CA, 91206
Net neutrality is important to me because as a gamer and as someone who makes a business out of streaming these games using the internet, it's vital I (and millions of people like me [look at how the popularity of esports has skyrocketed in the recent years]) have fast, reliable, and affordable access to all of the Internet available to us. And since many areas offer only 1 or 2 viable ISP's (in my area, only the slowest tier for AT&T is available so Charter is the only viable option), it's important that ISP's remain nondiscriminatory. It is of the utmost importance that the FCC protects me and the many people like me from invasions of our privacy and fraudulent practices by our ISP's.

3601. jessica jinn, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I implore the FCC to keep net neutrality. It is a preposterous thought to limit internet access at the whim of a cable company and at the expense of the consumer. Limiting internet access by way of slower internet connections will cripple the ability for thousands to properly consume media and voice their opinions online. Please preserve net neutrality; the internet is meant to bring people closer together, not pull them apart.

3602. nicholas kazangian, Glendale, CA, 91207
we need net neutrality for the internet to stay the same

3603. Stefanie Monastyrski, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The internet has moved and continues to move the human race forward in ways we couldn't have imagined, and net neutrality is the foundational principle that allowed it. Please, don't take away our creative, intellectual, and commercial freedoms by restricting it.Stefanie Monastyrski

3604. Danica Scaglione, Burbank, CA, 91502

Hello and thank you for your time. I specifically support strong net neutrality that is backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality is an American right protected by the first Amendment. I am for a free and unrestricted internet for all.

3605. Artem Hartounian, 55 Glenflow Ct, CA, 91206
Neutrality helps the oppressor...never the victim.

3606. Ali Sammour, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I would like to officially request that Net Neutrality shall remain in tact and we do not amend the freedom of access.

3607. Katie Bain, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Come on guys. Don't be evil. Don't! Keep the internet open, easy and accessible for all of us, and history will remember you as heroes rather than villains. Don't you want to be a hero? Isn't that more important than the piles of money that lobbyists are throwing at you? It is more important! Money is fleeting. Your legacy is forever. Pease don't fuck this up. You've got the power!

3608. Trevor Dawn, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Removing existing regulations would prevent smaller internet players from having a chance. Keeping the regulation would protect a competitive internet playing field.

3609. Panayiotis Spanos, los angeles, CA, 90046
A free and open internet is the single greatest technology of our time, and control should not be at the mercy of corporations. Prioritizing this technology has a chilling effect on several of our inalienable rights, including our freedom of speech/expression. Economically it reduces the barrier of entry for "garage" innovators (historically where some of our biggest corporations and innovations have come from e.x. apple, google, etc.) and promotes innovation and the free exchange of ideas that paramount in importance to a strong economy, creativity, and competition. To promote the opposite is to prioritize the rights of corporations and the wealthy at a very basic level and will lead to a stifling effect in our great nation. I urge you to promote a continued agenda of net neutrality to maintain our freedoms, promote continued economic strength, and innovation that makesâ€‹ this country great.

3610. James Gosney, Glendale, CA, 91206
Please protect net neutrality. Capitalism, progress, and a thriving economy depend on an open table where smaller businesses have the means to compete.James Gosney

3611. Ana Ortiz, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am in favor of free and open internet, not corporate greed and corruption.

3612. www.bysyd.com, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
FREEDOM & Keep Net Neutrality!!!

3613. Marcos Nieto, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I would like to support net neutrality and avoid giving special treatment from one websites over the others.

3614. Aaron Jackson, glendale, CA, 91201
I support a free and neutral internet and strongly oppose any attempt to make it controlled by individual corporations that have goals and desires out of step with the free democratic people of these United States of America. Verizon, Comcast and Spectrum are the least liked companies in the US and now the Donald J. Trump Administration will allow them to destroy Net Neutrality. This is not a partisan issue because even the alt-right love a free internet. We must have Net Neutrality ! Save the Free and Neutral Internet. We The People! I am an US citizen. Count my voice.

3615. Alexandra Hopkins, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Dear FCC,Please preserve Net Neutrality. If the Internet has fast lanes purchased by corporations and wealthy people, that means there will be only slow lanes available to small businesses and individuals. What if a shopping mall could pay its city to build freeways to it while building only alleys to stores on Main Street? Well, the stores on Main Street would go out-of-business and the shopping mall would have a monopoly hold on the residents. The Internet is a public utility just like the phone system--please Preserve Net Neutrality. Thank you for your consideration of this issue.

3616. Edward Secchi, Hollywood, CA, 90028
Keep our internet free of regulations.

3617. Jonathon Ziegler, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net neutrality is very important to me because I believe that it gives the voiceless a voice in this world where it's increasingly difficult for citizens to have any power whatsoever over our own lives. In a world where we're increasingly giving up our privacy, net neutrality gives us a little bit of say so over how we spend our time on the internet. Please respect us as members of the public; adults who should have a say in how we experience the internet. The corporate world already has a chokehold on us. Don't give them yet more power of us. We're already suffocating as it is.

3618. Matthew Soghomonian, Sunland-Tujunga, CA, 91040
Let's keep the internet neutral, folks on the hill.

3619. Ever Ka'eo Blaine-Johnson, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
i'd prefer to not have shitty companies like comcast run this bitch

3620. Don Pham, GLENDALE, CA, 91206
We need to keep net neutrality. Please don't mess up the way websites and apps are interfaced with us consumers. I believe in a free internet with no limitations or boundaries.

3621. Carlos Romero, Burbank, CA, 91504

Everyone has a right to equal internet. Please help protect it.Carlos Romero

3622. Michael Haley, La Canada Flintridge, CA, 91011
I feel that you absolutely MUST keep an open internet and competition online. I demand access to the entire internet, free of paid prioritization, blocking and throttling. Since we have only one internet, all rules MUST apply to our home and mobile connections equally. The fact that you are considering taking away this access is restricting our basic freedoms that our country was built to defend. Do NOT do this, it's completely WRONG!

3623. Brooke Steele, La Canada, CA, 91011
i swear to GOD DON'T

3624. Max Grodnick, los angeles, CA, 90026
please respect the internet and the people, not the demands of corporations. also don't be a dick

3625. Blake Engel, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Title II must be enforced. We must maintain net neutrality. We cannot give control to ISPs and increase their monopoly. Of all the possible issues that could be swept under the rug, this is not it. If you want to win the approval of your constituents, vote for net neutrality. Blake Engel

3626. Richard Kim, Glendale, CA, 91203
Please keep net neutrality. The people of this country would not like our internet usage to be controlled by conglomerates and corporations. I would greatly appreciate it the FCC honored our wishes instead of being close-minded.

3627. Evan Rogers, Burbank, CA, 91504
Keep the internet free from corporate oversight and money grubbing wall street business tycoons.

3628. Rain Windslow, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net neutrality is one of the most important rights the internet age has. In order to prevent corporate infection and corruption this is a right I, along with millions of other Americans feel very strongly about. I trust congress to make the choice that will properly represents the needs of the people, and not the needs of their wallets, and especially not the needs of the companies trying to gain access to charging people for wanting to use the internet at speeds far below what some countries are allowed to enjoy.Further more: These ISPs should be labeled what they are, common carriers, and should be held to the standards that that title implies. They should not be given special rights over the ways in which people use the internet, both in viewing and producing. I cannot begin to describe how infuriating the reality of which we currently live in is. A reality in which businesses such as Verizon and ComCast can take control of fundamental rights and punish the public. It is truly distressing what we have allowed them to do. Please defend Net Neutrality, for the coming generations on the net.

3629. Janine Riveire, Hollywood, CA, 90068
I cannot overemphasize the importance of the access protections known as net neutrality. They are critical to the continued growth of American economy, which historically and currently depend on small businesses and independently minded creative individuals. To roll back the Obama era rules would b a grave mistake.

3630. Michael Goldlust, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I strongly support leaving the net neutral.

3631. Mei Tuggle, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Net neutrality is key for fostering equal access to our society's culture. Instituting monetary barriers to content creates a class system whereby certain people have access to some culture and others do not. Do not further divide our society. Keep the internet democratic.

3632. Lawrence Chai, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I believe we should keep the current Title II classification. Eliminating this will only benefit broadband service providers, hurt consumers, and be detrimental to technological innovation. Title II rules insure a level playing field to startup companies and impose standards to ensure Americans are not harmed by the whims of the broadband service providers trying to maximize their profits.

3633. Stephanie, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
We absolutely NEED net neutrality. I do NOT want my internet experience to be controlled by anyone apart from meee. Otherwise, what's the point? Exactly. There isn't one. Peace.

3634. Jodi Chang, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Treat all Internet data the same, please.

3635. Christian DeAngelis, Burbank, CA, 91504
You know exactly why this is a problem. Allowing corporations to filter the websites that get bandwidth is a danger on several levels. I also find it underhanded that the company uses this needlessly complex method to filing a complaint.

3636. Caitlin Callaghan, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Treating the internet as a utility, wherein anyone can access content of their choosing with equal ability and without interference from providers, has allowed the American tech sector to flourish. It has prevented collusion between websites and ISPs that would stifle the free flow of ideas and information that has been the cornerstone of the internet. The internet must remain a neutral platform.

3637. Amanda Harz, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Net Neutrality means that content lives or dies by how popular it is- not by if the creator(s) have the initial funds to increase connection speeds. It also makes non-profit information databases more viable, which is a large part of the 'information age', and would unduly stress these non-profit websites when some are already

struggling to remain standing.

3638. Evan Hemsley, Glendale, CA, 91204
Hello,As someone who makes my living making video games, the rollback of net neutrality would be devastating to my business. Our success is dependent on the assurance that customers aren't required to pay extra to find out about our games, or that larger companies can't out-compete us simply by spending money for privileged access.Furthermore, our business depends on a long list of tools that we access through the Internet. We store our projects on Github. We communicate via Gmail with our publishers, hardware reps and engine developers. We organize our development with Dynalist. Any filtered or reduced access to these services would damage our business for seemingly no reason.For the sake of small businesses all over America, please retain the Title II protections on internet service and defend net neutrality.

3639. James Burbridge, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
FCC off. Leave the rules alone.

3640. Andrew W., Los Angeles, CA, 90069
I'm writing in support of net neutrality, and in opposition to any changes to the law which would allow ISPs to throttle bandwidth. Net neutrality makes the internet what it is, unprecedented in our history: a free and open marketplace of ideas. Without net neutrality, the hope of the internetâ€”of a free, accessible storehouse of human knowledge and communicationâ€”would be irrevocably damaged, if not lost. I urge the FCC to live up to its mission to "make available so far as possible, to all the people of the United States, without discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, or sex, rapid, efficient, Nationwide, and world-wide wire and radio communication services with adequate facilities at reasonable charges." Ending net neutrality would, I fear, constitute an abandonment of that mission.

3641. Amanda Milley, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
We need net neutrality. Don't let private organizations or the government slow down speeds to certain websites or allow people to pay for their websites to pop up before others in search engines. The people have a right to net neutrality and private business or those with power should not be able to interfere with the information available to the public.

3642. Kenneth Nakasone, La Canada, CA, 91011
Please keep Net Neutrality. The pricing of phone service, cable, etc. is confusing enough as it is; please don't introduce that deceptiveness into internet service plans.

3643. Michael Neil, La Crescenta,, CA, 91214
Dear Sirs/MadamsI vote to keep net neutrality. I believe net neutrality benefits the consumer of internet services; and there very many in the United States. Please keep access open. equally, to all.Thank you,Michael and Maia

3644. Robert Chlala, Los Angeles, CA, 90026

I would like to express my support for net neutrality and that the FCC stay with the current strong protections under Title II. A free and open internet is key to a thriving democracy, but also a basic right that has been established through years of advocacy globally. Keeping Title II protections will ensure the flows of innovation that make economies work, but also stop companies from filtering and slowing the vibrant flows of information, ideas, creativity and other exchange that make human life thrive. I strongly urge the FCC to listen to the millions who make the internet what it is and to stop its course of further making the internet a pay-for-play game that enriches few but leaves many in the cold.

3645. Brayden Bugazzi, Burbank, CA, 91502
This is unlawful and is the fullest destruction of what we stand for as a people freedom of speech, and our ability to access information. You insult, and laugh at China, North Korea, and Russia for having fake internet that only allows people to have a very limited access and view of things. Now you want that here too? How long till our system is totally indistinguishable from theirs? There needs to community that allows open dialog, people will know that it is a bunch of bull what you are doing, and they know FCC has sold itself fully to special interests. You guys should be ashamed of yourself for even considering doing this. Don't be a slave a small group of people offering you a pile of cash to continually erode the little freedoms we have left, be leaders, do the right thing for the people, because the people want free internet.

3646. Kyle Baric, Sunland, CA, 91040
I think Net neutrality is the only way to keep an open and free internet which allows the creation small start-ups which become large companies. without net neutrality, it would allow big companies to fast track their data which would crush any start-ups that might keep the big guys from attaining a monopoly.

3647. Louisa Levy, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
To Whom it May Concern:Here in the United States, we live in a free world. A world of free speech and civil rights and life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness. In 2017, a substantial part of that freedom extends to the internet. The ability to globalize our economy by opening a marketplace online. To connect with friends and family, share daily moments with loved ones who live far away. Inspiration, motivation, information...these are all just a few clicks away. It's remarkable what we can accomplish and how we can improve quality of life because of access to the open internet. Two years ago, my grandmother was diagnosed with terminal cancer. Thanks to my unfettered access to the internet, I was able to use caringbridge.com to communicate with friends and family all over the world to keep them informed of her medical updates, and her daily progress. We formed a community, and I learned so much about my grandmother in the process. I had always seen her as a slightly obnoxious old woman who I loved. Because of the access open internet provides, I collected stories from people who knew her at various points in her life. Through their experiences, I got to know my grandmother as a young woman, a professional, a mentor, a friend, an activist. And she got a support network that spanned the

globe. Thanks to the internet and caringbridge.com, her final months were spent celebrating memories and friendships rather than in lonely, sickness-induced isolation.This is just one example of how I use the internet. In reality, I can't list the myriad of ways I use the internet because it's so deeply ingrained in my life as a millennial. By changing the rules, you're changing how people live, connect, and function. My live operates through gmail. I use it for email, as a filing system, a calendar, a to-do list. I love that I can access it anywhere, not just on my personal computer. I can be lost in the middle of an unfamiliar city, but if I can get online, my world can continue to function. I also depend heavily on cloud-based backups. Without regular access to the internet, I would lose photos, documents, contact information, even chunks of history. The cloud is my time capsule. It's an extensive filing system that documents my work through time. With the cloud, I can peruse a file from my college years like I would flip through an old photo album. Re-read old papers, revisit articles I wrote for the school newspaper, discover lists of long-forgotten ideas or life goals.I also spend a significant amount of online time streaming videos. As a TV writer, it's part of my job to be well-versed in television culture, past and present. I spend hours every day watching TV and movies on Netflix or Amazon, and internet speed is vital for this. I've tried streaming videos with a speed of 3 Mbps and 30 Mbps. Massive difference. I had an interview lined up to write for a major TV show, and I had to binge-watch three seasons worth of episodes in order to prepare. But my internet at home is slow (I live in an area where we only have one internet provider option, so we had to take what we could get even though we were willing to pay more for better internet), so the episodes had to stop and buffer every couple of minutes. It's like trying to read a book, but every couple of pages, someone slams it shut. Not fun, not efficient, not acceptable. I expect the money I pay for the internet to translate into reliable access at reliable speeds. Otherwise, I can't do my job as a TV writer.The FCC should preserve its authority to ensure that customers are protected from invasions of privacy (we are all entitled to personal privacy in our homes, we should have the same right online) and should exercise whatever ability it has to prevent fraudulent billing and price gouging by broadcast providers. The internet should be a public park, not a gated community. The former encourages creativity, connection, industrialization, and makes communities stronger. The latter divides, stigmatizes, homogenizes, and makes communities weaker.There is only one internet. The rules must apply to all connections - mobile and home - equally. Or our way of life will change drastically and for the worse. Please do everything in your power to protect net neutrality.Thank you,Louisa Levy

3648. Oliver McIrwin , Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Hello, I have a big concerns over FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules). Protecting net neutrality is extremely important for the future of the USA. ISPs should not have the power to control a communication utility. Startups and internet businesses will not be able to compete for bandwidth from larger companies and lose an advantage when they need it most. Other developed countries that don't believe in censorship generally have better consumer access to the internet compared to the USA. It will become disadvantageous to start a business in the USA

that relies on significant internet use. Starting to put limits on the consumers access to today's most prevalent form of communication only gives precident to add more in the future, only allowing the major large companies to profit and shut out the individual. Creativity and ability of the citizens to contribute is blocked and censored. Allowing nternet providers to impose a private tax further squeezes the resources of those with lower income and reduces the incentive for innovation in the field. ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political ideas that they may disagree with. Limits on communication is a limit on freedom. speech that they don't like. They'll be able to Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, does not have the interest of Americans in mind.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Oliver McIrwin

3649. Patrick Debel, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I am supporting Net Neutrality with much conviction. I believe the segregation of the net is being pushed by the agendas of a few without considering the whole of the people. The open net is making this world vibrate in a way that we have never seen before, and it's just the beginning. Please drop any pursuit in (basically) killing this net and support net neutrality. Thank you!Patrick Debel

3650. PATRICK HOLLY, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90046
We can not allow our most vital tool for democracy and free speech to be run by a small cadre of awful, ancient companies.

3651. Ariel Sayre, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please do not roll back net neutrality regulations. This will only benefit large corporations and make the internet another bastion of inequality.

3652. Garrett McElver, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
I'm genuinely shocked and disgusted that the FCC would consider getting rid of net neutrality. Save the open internet, keep net neutrality. Don't allow paid prioritization, don't allow blocking, don't allow throttling.

3653. Lauren Leman, Glendale, CA, 91206
I believe it is imperative for small business, education, and equality that Net Neutrality remains protected. I urge you to stand up for Americans everywhere by standing up for Net Neutrality. Sincerely,Lauren Leman Lauren Leman

3654. Tim Hebb, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Protection and retention of net neutrality is absolutely critical to the American consumer. Relaxation of it or abandoning it would be equivalent to a crime against humanity and a win for unchecked, unrestrained corporate interests who would be free to purchase virtual monopolies on the attention of the poor or those unschooled in the wicked ways of business.DO NOT SCREW AROUND WITH NET NEUTRALITY!! IT WILL COME BACK TO BITE YOU IF YOU DO, AND HARM YOUR CHILDREN, YOUR GRANDCHILDREN, AND THEIR

GRANDCHILDREN, IF THE WORLD STILL EXISTS BY THEN IN ANY RECOGNIZABLE FORM.

3655. Myles Caldwell, Burbank, CA, 91504
Just don't be a dick, man. It seems pretty simple. That's pretty much the golden rule: just don't be a tool.Myles Caldwell

3656. Sam Miller, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I am in favor of keeping net neutrality. The internet needs to be kept free from conflicts of interest.

3657. Michael Burke, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY! I don't work with websites, I simply want everyone to be able to be on the same footing when trying to get established. There is no harm in this, and money would only go to the richest companies and make them richer. Unacceptable.

3658. Derek Plough, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
stop the bs

3659. nirav desai, Pasadena, CA, 91105
Ajit Pai,You're a dishonorable shill for corporate interests. Shame on you!!!!Keep Net Neutrality!

3660. Yumiko Fujiwara, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Net neutrality is vital for the economy of businesses, small and large, and serves as a means to reach a universal audience through the internet.The freedom to access information for all individuals must not be revoked as this will signify a great loss for the general intellect and will result in restricting people's voices, actions and thoughts.

3661. James Yoon, Burburbank, CA, 91502
Net Neutrality is important to us because internet is a free space for people to express their opinion. The internet needs to be open and be free of big corporate interest. It is absolutely ridiculous that we are still debating about this issue in 2017. We need more freedom on the internet and blocking sites for monetary gain is just ridiculous.

3662. Maximus Pauson, burbank, CA, 91501
Free and open internet! PLEASE KEEP THE CURRENT NEUTRALITY RULES

3663. Felipe Lima, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please- the process of paying these exploitative ISPs for subpar services when they have no incentive to please is already insulting enoughâ€¦ What we need is more competition in this space â€” or a universally provided government service. But not these nightmare companies.Please- Congress has unfortunately found itself unwilling to act as a check upon some of the free market eventualities and excesses

in many industries for decades now. The consumer has suffered. Air travel is just one example we all see.Lets keep the pipes level, so my kids will be able to guide themselves through Wikipedia and the dusty stacks of the WWW like I was able to!Thank you,Felipe Lima

3664. Laura Geffen, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I support an open internet, not one controlled by internet providers. Laura Geffen

3665. Lucas Rivera Casanova, Burbank, CA, 91501
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY. DON'T TOUCH MY INTERNET, OUR INTERNET, EVERYONE's INTERNET.

3666. Internet, Glendale, CA, 91202
Net Neutrality is important and should not be taken away. People should be allowed to use whatever website they enjoy without fear that it may be blocked or have connection be slowed down because a government official doesn't like it. Also certain apps or websites should not be prioritized because people should be able to find the websites they want without having to be hassled to go through websites government officials think are important. Furthermore, government officials don't know what people want so its better to allow people to search for the website they like

3667. Ethan Obbema, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Censorship of sites and internet usage is the ultimate form of businesses/ interest groups policing freedom of speech and paying to create addition barriers on the most accessible medium we have to spread information/awareness globally. It is a crime to the foundation of technology that has allowed us to progress to the position we are in now.

3668. Jameson Shock, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
All in support of a free internet and net neutrality. Our internet should not be a privatized, controlled entity. The internet is a utility. It is freedom of information, and that should not be controlled, owned. or have interests.

3669. Vanessa Greene , Los Angeles, CA, 90029
No one wants this. You will loose me as a customer and countless othersVanessa Greene

3670. Lesley Brillhart, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Net neutrality is a right. I support open internet rules.

3671. Adam Siler, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please keep the current net neutrality laws and regulations in place. I enjoy my internet access the way it is currently, but If you could do something to break up the ISP monopoly in my area that would be wonderful as well. Spectrum is a terrible service and I have no other option. I use the internet daily for work, and I believe access to the well of human knowledge that is the internet is a fundamental human

right.

3672. Caroline Anderson, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Net Neutrality is necessary for freedom!

3673. Raul Cubina, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
stop it... bad boy.

3674. Nicolas Abella, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Need to keep net neutrality. It's vital for everyday life.

3675. Facit Tarin, los angeles, CA, 90029
Putting restrictions on net neutrality smashes what little freedom we have left, this is so important and worth saving. Please dont ignore the cries of the people.

3676. Chris Summa, burbank, CA, 91506
Nuff once said: "Think about it, we live in the United States where freedom is everywhere. Therefore the internet in the United States should be free too. Don't limit what others are free to use. They deserve as much freedom as the next program."

3677. Tim Nuttall, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
The internet MUST be free and open, not controlled. I support net neutrality.

3678. Daniel Davis, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Maintaining strong Net neutrality laws are key to keeping a free and open internet which will allow this great nation to stay at the forefront of knowledge and innovation. Please do all you can to protect and strengthen net neutrality laws

3679. kristine santos, los angeles, CA, 90027
This country was built by small business owners. By denying an open internet and axing net neutrality, you will hurting small business owners, freelance workers, and people like me who rely on the Internet to generate the American economy.

3680. Manuel Perez, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Keep "Net Neutrality" laws.

3681. Derek Buchanan, glendale, CA, 91201
please dont be stupid. save net neutrality. Access to all websites on all platforms and devices should be free. the internet should be a utility.

3682. John Shier, la canada, CA, 91011
Please protect freedom and do not take away net neutrality.

3683. Molly McIntosh , Glendale, CA, 91205
I want to keep net neutrality! Please don't take it away, we need it!Molly McIntosh

3684. Sean Botnick, Tujunga, CA, 91042

Calling this "restoring internet freedom" is a joke. Leave the internet classified as a title 2 utility. The ISPs are a bunch of skullduggerous plebs and reclassifying the internet will only give them more power to torment consumers with. Preserve net neutrality.

3685. Jared Blashinsky, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Get fucked Verizon

3686. William H Hawkes, La Canada, CA, 91011
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. I do not want ISPs to have the power to throttle bandwidth on specific search engines and websites. The monopolies they have over areas of the U.S. are difficult enough to deal with. Thank you.

3687. Courtney Bandeko, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Restricting access to the internet opens up a dangerous doorway to censorship of what media and journalism American's have access to. It's a threat to our first amendment!

3688. Ricardo Lopez, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
We would like to keep the net as neutral as can be without any interference from a company. We are already paying for service and it is not a necessity that is required in this day and age. Having it changed from the current status will negatively impact the way the internet works. So please keep the internet the same way without prioritizing traffic for the bigger companies while startups suffer with the change.

3689. Lauren Martin, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Please save net neutrality. It does not serve anyone's interests to jeopardize this. All information is shared through the internet, all businesses use the internet to share documents and files, et al. Compromising our use of the internet would do more damage than good.

3690. robert ramirez, glendale, CA, 91206
PLEASE SAVE THE INTERNET

3691. Matthew Sadowski, Burbank, CA, 91501
As a filmmaker, my career relies on fast and fair internet access to not only share my work, but to also research technical and scholarly advancements in the field of cinema.Therefore, I strongly urge lawmakers to preserve net neutrality for the sake of all of those like myself whose pursuits of happiness rely on an unhindered internet.

3692. Matthew Waldron, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
The internet is a utility and public good. It is imperative that the FCC retains and strengthens its Net Neutrality protections, so that all people and organizations have equal access. Rolling back any Net Neutrality will deal a deadly blow to the growth of our economy and the equality of our society. I urge the FCC to take a stand

against moneyed interests and work for the American people.

3693. Gabriel, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please allow us net neutrality

3694. Chett Mallett, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Net Neutrality is a very important issue to me and millions of Americans. The very idea of removing free commerce and speed to all Americans is unconscionable. We will fight against this.

3695. Patrick Haas, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Maintaining net neutrality is the most important issue of our time. Please do not allow ISPs to charge for bandwidth usage.Patrick Haas

3696. Jeff Witzeman, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
The future of our democracy depends on net neutrality. It would be tortious interference on a grand scale to alter net neutrality. Do not end net neutrality.Jeff Witzeman

3697. Keenan Buchanan, La Canada, CA, 91011
Ending net nutrality ends the free internet and hands it over to corporations. The internet is for everyone - not for only those who can afford it

3698. Daniel Rizzotto, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
The incredibly unpopular proposal to roll back regulations in support of open internet and the associated protections panders only to corporations and ends up stifling economic growth and innovation by cutting out new and potentially revolutionary technologies who will be stonewalled by the impact of rule reversal. Keep this system in place and don't five in to a platform or big business, especially considering many have come out against the plan.

3699. Kyle Schuneman, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
We need to protect the internet. Without net neutrality, my ISP could decide what email service works faster or if I have to shop on their shopping page or they could limit what news sites I can access. It would end many of the choices we have and goes against the freedom America stands for.

3700. Ben Nero, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Keep Net Neutrality! Do not move forward with the plan you have to KILL THE INTERNET. STOP

3701. Donald Loran Sweetman, Burbank, CA, 91501
FCC, I strongly oppose the removal of ISPs from the Title II classification as a common carrier. Maintaining Net Neutrality is of vital importance in ensuring a fair and open internet. By keeping Net Neutrality it allows for everyone from individuals to businesses to be on an even footing so no one company or person can dominate, over shadow, or silence others based on the capital they have available. The internet

has become an intricate part of our society and of communication, so to roll back the previous ruling would, not theoretically, restrict individuals free speech. As a veteran who served in the defense of freedom the concept of net neutrality is modern day representation of that idea in which most Americans hold dear. The will of the people has been strongly noted and to reverse ISPs classification is to go against the will of the very people you are supposed to serve.

3702. Jessica Zaylia, Glendale, CA, 91206
I strongly support net neutrality. Please ensure that this will continue.

3703. Neenar Noubarian, montrose, CA, 91020
To the Federal Communications Commission and who it may concern,I am wholeheartedly against net neutrality. The internet has provided me with friends, education and free services and media to enrich my life as an adult still in development. To limit the freedom and fluidity of the internet by only making it available to those who can afford to pay extra limits the freedom of the laymen to seek information, friends, and products not listed in big name company websites. As a consumer and as a human being, to limit my freedom to search and discover is a crime.My family pays for Internet access not only for entertainment purposes, but to stay connected with friends and family members all over the world. We pay to get reliable access to all the internet can offer us to enrich our lives and keep communications between estranged people strong.As access already is not cheap, eliminating net neutrality and introducing constricting companies as "choices" does not imply anything positive or doable. For example (mashable): What choices do you have at 25 Mbps down and 3 Mbps up? Are they real choices or are all the ISPs charging the same prices for essentially the same service? What are the costs to you of switching? Would you suffer a financial penalty? Would you have to buy new equipment? Would you have to take a day or two off from work waiting for installation?Those are proposed questions that really need to be put into perspective. Changing net neutrality would do more harm than good to the average person.I implore you to reconsider and to keep the internet neutral. Companies could double their prices over night and there would not be a single thing anybody could do about it. Keeping a neutral agency watch on the internet to protect privacy and prevent fraudulent billing and gouging by ISP's is what is needed, not more companies attempting to monopolize more forms of communication.

3704. Rebecca Stoker, La Canada Flintridge, CA, 91011
The internet needs to stay free and open to all.

3705. Mark Allen, Glendale, CA, 91206
Keep the 2015 rules! Don't roll it back!

3706. Kelly Fillinger, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
A free and open internet is important to every American and needs to be protected.

3707. Julie Tausend, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
I support net neutrality. Without open access to all content and applications

regardless of the source, I believe education and self-directed learning will falter.

3708. Ilian Diachenko, Burbank, CA, 91214
I stand for Net Neutrality just as I stand for Liberty as an American.

3709. Sinda Phelps, Glendale, CA, 91207
You need to keep the internet neutral. By changing or lessening the enforceable net neutrality rules you will be fundamentally changing the internet for the worse. As a user of the internet I require unfettered access to the entire internet without vested interests limiting my and other's connections to information and free expression.

3710. Dorothy Kim, Glendale, CA, 91205
One of the most important aspects of the internet is its availability to the masses. By blocking certain aspects of the internet, providers are thus forcing younger generations to learn specific things instead of allowing them to expand their own knowledge.

3711. shayne cui, Los Angeles, CA, 90031
i dont have to pay to go to libraries or go to the park, to aquire knowledge or play, why make this a commodity. The ones seeking our freedom earn enough already.

3712. Miriam Koch, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
As someone who works in the music industry, discovery of new talent is the lifeblood of this industry. If larger companies receive preference for their artist's streams, that will have an overwhelmingly negative impact for not only up-and-coming artists but also on everyone involved that makes our industry work: agents, managers, promoters, PR people, social media managers and beyond. None of the aforementioned people would have jobs if it were not for the artists, the creatives. They are the only people in this process that start with a blank page.In order to keep this industry going, we need fresh ideas. I urge you to keep the internet neutral for the sake of all creators and for the viability of the music industry.

3713. Heidi Ippolito, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I am NOT in favor of repealing the existing Net Neutrality (Title II) rules. I have spent significant time abroad, and have relied heavily on the internet to communicate with family and friends back home. Now that I am back in the US, I continue to communicate internationally and appreciate the freedom our current internet rules provide. Additionally, my professional and academic livelihood focuses on film and television and therefore, relies on streaming services. PLEASE do NOT repeal these rules in favor of large telecommunications/broadband/cable companies. Please consider the individuals who will be greatly impacted by this change.

3714. ARIANNA FRANCISCO, GLENDALE, CA, 91201
The internet must be kept neutral, or we veer into fascist territory.

3715. Paulene Phouybanhdyt, Burbank, CA, 91502

Iâ€™m writing to urge you to keep our Open Internet rules based on Title II in place. Without them, we could lose the internet as we know it.The changes also open the door to unfair taxes on internet users, and could also make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who canâ€™t pay up to have their voices heard.Please leave the existing existing net neutrality rules based on Title II in place.Thank you!

3716. Grant McGee, Glendale, CA, 91205
Get your fucking act together.Grant McGee

3717. Leo Natanian, Glendale, CA, 91206
Precisely and concisely, please do not adopt this policy as it ensures big corporations with pockets to limit internet freedom will get their way. I suppose net-neutrality and this bill is an affront to the principals at which we as an American society hold dear.Background: I am a working software professional since 1995, and I have seen the evolution of the internet from its infancy to what it has become today. During that time, I have witnessed the demise of Excite, Lycos, Alta-Vista, and the rise of Google. I have witnessed the fall of MySpace, and seen the rise of Facebook. I joined Facebook when it was still thefacebook.com. I bought books on Amazon.com when it only sold books, and the list goes on.The little guy had a chance against these incumbent giants happened BECAUSE the internet is a free and clear enterprise. In the interest of continuing the free enterprise, where the best ideas emerge and can beat even the biggest incumbents, we need to preserve net neutrality. In 10-15 years from now, Iâ€™d like to reflect back on this comment and say I now also witnessed the demise of Google, Amazon, and Facebook thanks to the next punk kid with some elbow grease and dedication dethroned those behemoths. Keep the internet free and clearâ€”it is pro-business, proâ€“enterprise, and the right thing to do.

3718. Jeffrey Nordling, La Canada, CA, 91011
LEAVE OUR OPEN INTERNET ALONE! PLEASE DO NOT SELL OUT TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER.

3719. Jhonny, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Dear Washington, i know there is some good over there but just as there's good sadly you have bad apples as well. but please do not give ISP the laws that they want, it would mean that at that point we have no freedom. so please our ancestors fought for liberty and freedom so we wouldn't fight for it, don't be the ones to take it away from us.

3720. Drew Bienemann, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
For the sake of having an open, free playing field for innovation and communication, and for having our freedom to share and consume, keep the internet free!Drew Bienemann

3721. Marceline Lansing, Glendale, CA, 91206
Despite the stated benefits of covering ISPs under Title 1 instead of Title 2, I cannot

personally accept such a change. If we're going to adjust the rules governing the regulation of the internet, it should be through the creation of updated, strictly relevant, regulations that benefit the consumer and protect a free and open internet, not by repealing regulations to give ISPs more power to quash competition and censor content they don't approve of. This feels like a power grab disguised as a benefit for the consumer, when all it truly allows is for companies to do what they have already promised to do, which is throttle their competition and cement their positions of power. Fewer regulations don't 'even the playing field', they allow larger corporations with more money a distinct advantage over smaller start-ups without the same funding.In short, please keep the Title II classification, and moving forward, look for updated, 21st century alternatives rather than relying on outdated regulations, or worse, limited regulations.

3722. Nathaniel Levy, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Without a free and open internet, innovation will be snubbed out by existing conglomeration. If we lose a free internet, we will suffer economically, culturally, and future generations will be at a severe disadvantage. Please please protect open internet and net neutrality.

3723. LORI HUGHES, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
In our quest to return to economic balance in this country it is important to know that we lag far behind most European countries in our access to inexpensive internet access. This is a MOST important aspect of education and commerce. In the pursuit of this the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important. I urge you to protect them and allow more competitiveness in providing Americans with less expensive, access to the all important High Speed internet. Anything less is moving backward.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm

sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!LORI HUGHES

3724. Cynthia Bowie, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I oppose this proceeding and support net neutrality. I work in a niche industry made up almost exclusively of small businesses who depend on net neutrality and will be aversely affected by paid prioritization in particular.

3725. Linda Neel, Glendale, CA, 91202
Net Neutrality is necessary for the little man. Large companies already have the advantage. Please keep the net neutral!

3726. Jeremiah Bibb, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
The internet needs to stay absolutely neutral because any tampering with what I see based on payment and corporate/government discretion is, at its least, censorship and, at its worst, a hostage situation. Both are unacceptable. Algorithms for ranking are already in place. This would also cut into American jobs as SEO professionals would be unable to sufficiently do their duties. I am certain many other professions would also take a major hit. This issue affects individuals and the American job market and economy as a whole.

3727. Jason Whitmore, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I want to express my support for net neutrality and Title II. Please keep the internet fair for everyone. An April 2017 FCC report demonstrated that 58% of Americans have access to either zero or one broadband ISP, and 87% have access to just two. Repealing the current rules regarding net neutrality won't help give consumers more choice, but allow ISPs to unfairly charge for a service that is basically a utility at this point. Applying for jobs, paying my bills, and even filling out government forms require internet access, so denying that internet access is anything other than a necessity for an American citizen the 21st is nothing more that ignorance. Speak for the people, not for corporations.

3728. Kyle Moore, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The internet has been able to change the world we live in because it's been kept open-sourced and free. PLEASE listen to the people you represent â€“ regardless of political affiliation â€“ and do not pass sanctions that encourage restrictions and censorship. Thank you.

3729. Alex Biniaz-Harris, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Hello,I believe that net neutrality is vital to making sure we don't create a 1984-like society. The internet is its own universe, and we cannot allow federal and private entities controlling what consumers see online. If we want our country to go the way fascist nations have gone, then let's do away with net neutrality. However, a country

with freedom of speech would contradict the essence of our freedom to see everything available on the Internet. Removing net neutrality would lead us away from freedom and towards tyranny, and I would rather die with freedom than live in tyranny.Sincerely,AlexAlex Biniaz-Harris

3730. Amanda LaFranco, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
The internet is such a resounding resource for the modern age, ending net neutrality would both stifle fresh innovation from America's tech leaders as well as take away a vital and necessary service for all Americans.As the elected keepers of our Constitution and in the spirit of preserving American freedom, you must vote to keep the net neutral and not allow corporations to control this abundant and necessary gift.Thank you!Amanda LaFranco

3731. Alianna Perez, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
The internet is already indirectly controlled with advertisements and social media. Now you're going use your customers' money to directly control them. DON'T YOU HAVE ENOUGH FUCKING MONEY??? LEAVE US ALONE.Alianna Perez

3732. Sevim Abaza, los angeles, CA, 91205
Do not allow winners and losers to be chosen. Do not allow censure of speech due to income. If the FCC is left without authority over broadband ISPs, Comcast could double its prices overnight, and there wouldn't be anything the FCC or any other agency could do about it. Please protect the integrity of the internet and the people who rely on the most important telecommunications service in history - the internet.

3733. Tristan Louis, los angeles, CA, 90038
Get your grubby hands out of Americans' pockets! Stop what you are doing. Don't fix what isn't broken. We DON'T want this!

3734. Trump, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
H1, Im ur f3ll0w n00b tUmP3T PLZ D0nt add w3ird f33s caus3 1m a p0Or African p1z yOu c0u1d s4v3 a couches l1fe jusT f0r nOt rU1ng th3 internet!!!!!! SO plz plz plz pzl plz plz plz dont bE a GR33dY S4vagE dat waNts M0N3Y Th1nk about AII Th3 coucHes -Y0urF3llowYeLLowN00bTrUmPeTTrump

3735. Erik Grammer, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I emphatically support strong net neutrality rules in the interest of keeping the information superhighway open to all entrepreneurs & individuals, not just the large corporations with deep pockets who's interests often elevate profits over country. My wife and I started our first company in Virginia in our 20's with no money and a ton of hard work. Once we were able to grow enough to hire we paid our employees well above market wages with full benefits because we believed that the community is more healthy and happy when everyone is working hard and well compensated. We didn't get rich that way (far from it) but everyone at the company was able to pay their bills, put a little money away and see a doctor when they needed to. But the opposite is happening at large companies where retirement and health benefits are stripped away year after year while the executives receive higher and higher

compensation. All while these corporations pursue automation at a frantic pace so they can get rid of employees altogether. And now we have legislators who want to advantage these companies further by allowing them to buy preferential access to the most vital information source ever created. In what way does this benefit the public?My current company provides real estate marketing services to agents in Southern California. The vast majority of all their marketing assets are now delivered online via property listings websites, videos, social media, etc. Real estate agents are all basically independent contractors. If large real estate marketing companies (Redfin, Zillow, etc.) with millions of dollars are able to prioritize their own traffic they can effectively drown out all the individual real estate agents. This would lead to many of the agents going out of business because they canâ€™t effectively market their clientâ€™s properties. Thus home sellers would have less choice in who is available to help them sell their homes. And the choices they would have would come from a smaller and smaller group of larger and larger companies who have no connection to the neighborhood, community, city or often state (and eventually country) that they live in. Do we want to live in a country where even the sale of a home is 100% about profit with no concern about the impact on the community? What affect will this have on our neighborhoods when there is no local, human concern? Finally, I pay my broadband company for only one thing: access at the highest speed I can afford. If they offer other services I am not even aware of them as their biggest pitch on their website is â€œThe Fastest Internet Speedsâ€. I use gmail for email, dropbox for storage, iCloud for data syncing and various other business related product deployment services from other independent companies. That is what freedom of choice looks like. If we give broadband telecommunication companies further ability to restrict data flow and censor information by profiting off of selling â€œfast lanesâ€ to large companies we will effectively drown out the smaller companies and put them at a huge competitive disadvantage. This is critical at a time where automation by large corporations means fewer and fewer jobs for the country. Creating a small business is a great way to fight back against automation and support local communities at the same time. I implore the FCC to act in the best interest of the people of this country by keeping equal access to the internet open to all Americans so that small businesses can continue to thrive. Innovation doesnâ€™t just happen at large companies - itâ€™s usually driven by passionate individuals with a vision. Advantaging large corporations (many with foreign ownership) over American citizens is, well, un-American!

3736. Caitlin Kratz, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
The internet has democratized knowledge. Anyone with an internet connection has access to all kinds of information at the click of a button. A nation with its foundations in freedom of speech and press will severely handicap its future ability to grow to its most diverse potential if the greatest source for information is to be accessed through a sieve. Liberties of the PEOPLE of America should always come before the private interests of corporations seeking power, control, and above all, money. Of course, that may not always be the case with our current state of affairs, but that is all the more reason to preserve a freedom such as net neutrality.

3737. Ken Mickens, Burbank, CA, 91504
Please Please Please keep the internet a free market and keep net neutrality.

3738. erik lerner, West Hollywood, CA, 90048
As a small business owner and as a private citizen, I urge you to leave current regulations in place unchanged with regard to "Open Internet" Rules. I oppose currently proposed changes. As a business owner myself, I am extremely skeptical that companies can be relied upon to voluntarily maintain internet neutrality vital to unrestrained commerce and personal freedom. As a consumer, my experience with internet providers AT&T and Spectrum Time Warner has repeatedly borne out my skepticism about their willingness to voluntarily support net neutrality. Their behavior over the years has been characterized by unresponsiveness, poor customer service, and unchallenged high rates.Good behavior must be encouraged by good rules. Itâ€™s true in personal conduct (the Golden Rule and The Ten Commandments) and just as true in business, and more vital because of the numbers of people affected. I strongly fear the proposed rules will kill the open marketplace and jeopardize the personal freedoms we all cherish.Thank you!erik lerner

3739. Sam Tansey, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Hi there.So I am a high school student from california and ALL of my work is done on my computer. I need this quick internet to function and I need it so my future can survive. Please do not change net neutrality laws, the change would only benefit the top 1% and would screw over anyone who does work on the internet.Sam Tansey

3740. David Vergona, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I strongly support Title 2 regulation of Internet Service Providers. Allowing ISPs any kind of control over what websites their users access grants an already monopolistic industry catastrophic power to crush competition. Most large ISPs are also media conglomerates who would like to force people to use their products. They WILL use Title 1 regulation to eliminate start-up competitors and extort existing large competitors. This has already happened to Netflix; it will happen again. The internet is a utility (and a basic human right according to the UN), and should be treated like one. Keep ISPs designated common carriers.

3741. Ian Moore, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Rolling back current net neutrality rules would be disastrous for all Americans, and represents a genuine threat to the First Amendment. If cable companies and internet service providers succeed in winning the power to slow sites, they can bully any site into paying millions to escape the "slow lane" of service, essentially amounting to an unfair tax on the entire American economy. It would increase the burden for startups and would make for a much less favorable environment for independent voices who are unable to pay. Please preserve the internet by protecting net neutrality.

3742. Davin Skorstad, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
If the FCC is left without authority over broadband ISPs, Comcast could double its

prices overnight, and there wouldn't be anything the FCC or any other agency could do about it.I urge the FCC to maintain its title II regulations over the Internet.

3743. Ron Iacobucci, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Another attempt by Trump and his cronies to stop free speech. Keep the internet free! I support NET NEUTRALITY and Title ll. Do not dismantle regulations that affect all of us and our freedoms.

3744. Ray Morton, Glendale, CA, 91206
In the Matter of Restoring Internet Freedom, WC Docket No. 17-108 I am a writer and a member of the Writers Guild of America West (WGAW). I am submitting comments to express my strong support for the existing Open Internet Order. The Order has allowed the Internet to remain an open platform where consumers, rather than a few powerful gatekeepers, decide what content and information they want to access. By protecting the free market online, the Open Internet Order promotes free speech, competition, investment and innovation. I strongly oppose the FCCï¿½s proposal to rescind the Open Internet Order and to reclassify broadband Internet access service as a Title I information service. These actions will eliminate the FCCï¿½s ability to enact and enforce rules that prevent discriminatory conduct or censorship by ISPs. The D.C. Circuit Court twice rejected the FCCï¿½s open Internet rules when broadband was classified under Title I. I urge the FCC not to undermine the existing open Internet rules.

3745. P.K.G, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
This is against the 1st amendment of freedom of speech and press. Do you think of can get away with this, you lobbyist scumbags? THIS IS UNCONSTITUTIONAL AND NOT FOR THE GREATER GOOD OF OUR NATION. I hereby say that I am a Pro-Net Neutrality supporter. P.S. Under the 1st amendment, you shall not persecute me for my freedom of speech. You can't get away with this...

3746. Zack Ferleger, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Save Net Neutrality. It's just right for everyone. Everyone. Please. Don't take away our most fundamental right as Americans, as human beings: expression through Free Speech. You can and will be remembered for this act forever and always. I don't know who actually sees these comments but you are part of this. Please save and protect Net Neutrality.

3747. Ross McFarland, Tujunga, CA, 91042
Net neutrality is very important to me. The opportunities the internet provides cannot be divided between those with money and those without. Free internet for everyone should be our goal and breaching net neutrality is a terrible step in the wrong direction.

3748. E, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
Please keep net neutrality so everyone not just the one percent has equal net neutral access to the internet.Thank you

3749. Trevor William Allen, Glendale, CA, 91208
I have been an avid internet user since 2012 and I don't want that to stop anytime soon. I can only communicate with most of my friends through the internet and I want that to stop either. Make the right choice.Trevor William Allen

3750. sara patrick, los angeles, CA, 90027
The internet needs to remain an even playing field. We need net neutrality.

3751. Ed Hunter, Los Angles, CA, 90028
I do not want "filtering or prioritization" of content on the Internet... this isn't a matter of choice ... this is a matter of freedom ... anything less is oppression!

3752. Christine Song, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
ALL DATA IS EQUAL!!!!

3753. p. castillo, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Chairman Pai & the FCC - as an American citizen who fully believes in the fundamental right to free speech in this incredible nation, I urge you to preserve a free and open internet by safeguarding the strong Net Neutrality rules enacted in 2015 based on Title II of the Communications Act. ISPs should never have the right to block open access. I want my ISP to provide the service I pay for - free and open access to the internet with the strict protections under Title II of the Communications Act. Reversing the ruling is a slippery slope of censorship that is surely the start of the degradation of a free society. Help us avoid a dystopian future - I urge you to work for the good of the American people and not for the special interests of financial giants. Despite your own history at Verizon working to reverse this ruling, you have a chance to stand on the right side of history and safeguard open access with the strongest protections for the American people. Preserve the 2015 net neutrality rules under Title II of the Communications Act -- no one should own the internet!

3754. Kalif Fuller, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
DON'T ALLOW FOOLISH BUREAUCRACY AND GREED TO LIMIT OR HINDER AN OPEN INTERNET! The current freedom of the internet is great and being used to its potential. An open and free internet allows an opportunity for a level playing field; as a someone from a lesser economic background can enjoy and/or create just as much via the internet as someone from a better economic background. Furthermore, we've got a good thing going, unlike other things currently in the nation, so let's be smart here and not screw this up too! Seriously, DO NOT "F" THIS UP!

3755. cara jacobs, Los angeles, CA, 90026
Please continue to uphold Title II and net neutrality laws. Diversity and competition are key elements of keeping our nation free. It would be unconstitutional to act otherwise

3756. Courtney Orsinelli, Los Angeles, CA, 90046

I'm Courtney, and I'm a small business owner in Los Angeles. I strongly believe we should keep the internet free and open! Equal playing field!

3757. Kristofer Rehl, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to freedom of information, education, fair business practices, and expression of the American people. The idea of taking these liberties from constituents is un-American and only furthers the agenda of big corporations.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Kristofer RehlKristofer Rehl

3758. Noella Lory, Tujunga, CA, 91042
To Whom It May Concern, Net neutrality must be preserved. Trying to manipulate the definition of broadband services into one that so suits your needs is just not right. Net neutrality must be kept. If it is not there, it will affect the entire internet... in ways that nobody can ever foresee. I think it is best to consider the title II revision as a no-go. It's never going to be okay to do that now, or in the future, I don't care what kind of money these telecommunication companies spew at you. Yes. Telecommunication companies. Because that is what they are and always will be. Just because ISPs cannot be literally chosen, does not mean the internet needs to be sanctioned. This is essentially created a World Wide Web war. DON'T do it. I beg of you. It is not fair to give conglomerates like these an extra advantage over the voice of the people. Do you want to risk the education of your children? Their futures will be impacted by this decision. To give broadbrand/telecommunication companies this kind of power, will only eliminate a generative youth. Another point to make is that this is a complete conflict of interest. Companies will be able to pick

and choose what I am allowed to see; just because I use their services. This is a monopoly of the internet. And finally, you can never control the internet. Or people. Majority rules and democracy will always prevail. If you are unwilling to take into consideration the people's voice, the people will have to take into consideration alternative methods. The internet was never yours to sell. Please make a conscious decision, to go with what the people want. The people have spoken. And you need to hear us. Ignoring these voices is definitely not a smart move. The internet is AND SHOULD ALWAYS BE net neutral. Taking this away will collapse the biggest economy in the world. You will be letting down small businesses, the younger generation, education, integrity of a country, and all of human kind.If that last bit made you chuckle cause of its dramaticism .... well maybe it was a tad dramatic. But it's not a lie. The internet has been the greatest gift to human kind. Do not taint it because you are being pushed around. Also, I wouldn't want to piss off anonymous. That group is weird and kinda scary. Just sayin. I'm out.Please consider all the voices you heard today . They won't be the last. And it will get a lot noisier. Do not destabilize this situation .... we don't need more destabilization. Humanity already has a great load to deal with .. Peace be upon you. And please heed the warnings. Do what is right. Prove all your haters wrong. Net neutrality forever.

3759. David Thomas, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Keep net neutrality protections in place, and support a truly free and open internet. Don't succumb to corporate greed.

3760. Carl Gunther , West Hollywood, CA, 90069
If you revoke net neutrality, you are traitors to our democracy, just as surely as if you sold our nation out to Russia and Turkey. You are of a piece, cut from the same cloth - a cloth of the same uncaring, self-centered, fabric. Greed destroys nations, and it destroys FCC commisioners' souls. Hold onto your soul. Re-join the mass of humanity. Preserve net neutrality. Carl Gunther

3761. Hillary, Glendale, CA, 91203
I work in the television industry and without the current net neutrality rules, I know that competition and innovation will be stifled. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. We already told you this is what we wanted years ago. Listen to us.

3762. Daniel Pena, Alhambra, CA, 90039
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai,I Strongly Support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify Internet Service Providers under Title II (2) provisions of the Telecommunications Act. Please DO NOT roll back on these regulations. - Concerned Citizen

3763. Nick Milosevich, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
The FCC must keep Internet Service Providers scheduled as "Title Two Common Carriers"

3764. Siobhan Vanaman Stuard, Glendale, CA, 91202

As an American citizen I believe the Internet should have strong protection for net neutrality rules back by Title II. Without this the experience of the Internet Americansâ€™ have known since the birth of the connected World Wide Web is at risk. Please do not discount mine and the many voices that agree. This is one of our most important resources as a country, to compromise it would be devastating. Regards,Siobhan Vanaman Stuard

3765. Francisco Pineda, Glendale, CA, 91203
please protect net neutrality as it allows for entrepreneurs to try new ideas

3766. Katrina Nelson, Glendale, CA, 91203
It is crucial that the internet remains open. Large corporations should not have the power to decide whose website loads the fastest. This will lead to biased and guided views, rather than having all information being freely and equally available to all. That alone is the true beauty of the internet. Don't take that away by gutting net neutrality.

3767. Jeff, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
The internet belongs to all of us and we must protect it from private interests. America is watching.

3768. Barbara Nielsen, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Net neutrality is critical to keeping our country free and fair to all. The influence of big companies and big money is a constant threat to a free and open society. In addition, small businesses depend on fair internet access to sustain and grow their businesses and we need these small businesses to thrive.

3769. Christine Arroyo, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Free speech depends on net neutrality, without it we begin to choke the very things that set this country apart.

3770. Alexandra Guevara, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Controlling the internet is a colossal mistake for a free people, is that not what we are? A free people? It makes no sense to pick a choose what is appropriate or aligned with agendas that aren't mine. Humans can think and choose for themselves, FCC doesn't have to do that for them.

3771. Bryan, Burbank, CA, 91506
Please, tell us what to do with the air we breathe, the food we eat, the water we drink, the electricity we use, the gas we pay for, the way we communicate. Please, make it a walled garden of totalitarian control. We can not live a free life without your absolute power to tell us what to do. Thank you for making sure we live a happy, healthy, and safe life from ourselves.

3772. Ryan Garant, Burbank, CA, 91502
My main concern about net neutrality changing is how it relates to ISPs. It would afford massive monopoly-type power to ISPs beyond what they already have.As a

small website owner, if my ISP has issues with the amount of bandwidth/data IÃ¢Â€Â™m using:1. They shouldnÃ¢Â€Â™t offer me the plan they did.2. They can throttle MY connection, not my VISITORSÃ¢Â€Â™ connectionÃ¢Â€Â‹.3. Charge me more money.

3773. Matt Pizzano, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Net Neutrality must continue to exist for the health of our economy and democracy. The fact that this continues to be under assault despite the continued resistance from the population shows that the policy is not being constructed for the interest of the citizenry, but instead being build for the few and the powerful. Please ensure that the scales do not tip away from the people in this crucial historic decision.

3774. greg anka, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Not everything has to be a control and punishment. Some things are better left alone. This is one of them.

3775. stuart schpak, glendale, CA, 91204
I rely on the internet for my small business needs and my personal communications. My experiences with Comcast and Verizon prompts me to write this request.Please reject corporate greed and allow us a free and competitive internet.

3776. Christopher Browne, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Letting internet providers choke speeds to suit their profits is tantamount to racketeering. Let Americans be Free and have their internet.

3777. Kathy McCurdy, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Net Neutrality is essential for small businesses, to get more people into the market to discover new products and to keep innovation going in the modern world.

3778. Julie Kane-Ritsch, La Canada, CA, 91011
As a talent manager representing artists in animation, I can attest to the importance of net neutrality in providing a level playing field for exposing new ideas. Many of our clients are "discovered" through their original works which debut on the internet. Without equal access to internet speed, these new voices will be stifled. Additionally, new start up channels which frequently cater to more niche and underserved markets will similarly face impediments to reaching their intended audience. The marketplace of ideas and expression must remain open on the same basis to all.

3779. Steve Taylor, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I think net neutrality is very important for the dissemination of balanced information. Please vote to keep it intact!

3780. aaron howell, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please don't allow the systematic censorship of corporations on free speech and the freedom of choice. If you allow companies to commercialize internet speeds you hurt a free and open market economy and will stifle further innovation.

3781. will regan, pasadena, CA, 91105
freedom of information is the basis of are democracy, please keep internet access neutral for all

3782. Veronica Tucker, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I urge the FCC to protect net neutrality. It is essential to small business so that they may be competitive with large corporations. It also protects my rights and those of my fellow citizens to free access to information.

3783. Linda Gabriel, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Net neutrality protects me as a business person who reaches 90% of my customers online. It also protects my freedom of speech as a citizen, and my privacy as a consumer. Please keep the internet free from rules that would favor huge companies and violate privacy. Thank you.

3784. Fonce Falooda, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
You know as well as I do that ending Net Neutrality would only serve to give a handful of massive corporations a stranglehold on the internet. Can we, for once, NOT cater to the perverse lusts of big business?

3785. Loryn Napala, Los Angeles , CA, 90069
Access to information should be for all. That is how the human race will succeeded. Ideas and genius do are not just for those who can afford it. Chaning net neutrality will bring us back to the Dark Ages where only the church could read.

3786. Maria del Sol Crocker, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I am a translator and do a lot of research online. Sometimes I have to access several sites before finding the information I need and having to pay every time I do it would not only impact my earnings, it would also make my job extremely difficult. Please don't destroy the internet by eliminating net neutrality.

3787. Craig Scales, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Please protect net neutrality. Please don't changed the rules in place.

3788. Allison McCulloch, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Looking forward to keeping America a democracy that is focused on learning and gaining knowledge. I'm not interested in censorship. We are not China.

3789. Hun Joo, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I support net neutrality. That is it!

3790. Diane Alexandre, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I believe Net Neutrality is crucial and paramount to Freedom of Speech and Freedom of Expression, for this reason it is an inherent wrong to fail to protect Net Neutrality. The freedom to communicate should not be slowed down or dictated to internet users by a few Monster Telecom companies.

3791. Kellen Hertz, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
This could lead to a situation where corporations are controlling the flow and spin of news. Unacceptable.

3792. Gene Lawrence, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
please preserve net neutrality to allow the free exchange of information to promote unfettered growth and creativity.

3793. Joe, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net neutrality is a fundamental tool that helps the current general functioning of the country and provides for a better future. It's like education or health care. It takes advantage of the massive potential of the population. Dividing us into "haves" and "have-nots" chokes innovation. No one knows where the next great idea could come from.

3794. chris king, burbank, CA, 91501
Communication should be not the possesion of corporations.

3795. Susan Dunlap, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
As an independent web developer of nearly 20 years, net neutrality is what ensures that myself and the small business clients I serve can innovate and compete in a world already dominated by large mega corporations.

3796. Christopher Bogush, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
As an independent web developer of nearly 20 years, net neutrality is what ensures that myself and the small business clients I serve can innovate and compete in a world already dominated by large mega corporations.

3797. Robert Ganser, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Dear FCC,Please do not destroy the beautiful equality that has enabled the internet to become the amazing and powerful tool for all people by abolishing neutrality.Thank you.

3798. wayne james, los angeles, CA, 90069
Freedom and rights are being taken away at an alarming rate... this country WAS based on Equality for all because of the freedom the Constitution gave us, creativity demands freedom to exist the internet must remain free at all times and damn the torpedoes.

3799. Eban Schletter, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
My business relies on internet access. Our democracy relies on the free flow of information. The constant drive to privatize public spaces, both actual and "virtual" will be the undoing of everything this nation stands for. "By the people and for the people" will have no meaning if the greatest democratizing technology of our time is placed at the mercy of self serving business interests. NET NEUTRALITY IS ESSENTIAL if America is to prosper in this modern age, and for me it is personally essential to the economic survival of my family.

3800. Linda Rasmussen, Burbank, CA, 91501
If the internet were not neutral then the experiences I've suffered from Google Chrome and Facebook will only worsen. Both companies have censored and hampered much of my abilities to search for material as well as to share different points of views regarding political issues. Long live Freedom of Speech, our 1st Amendment!

3801. Theo Burtis, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Gutting net neutrality would be extremely short sighted. Allowing a handful of large companies to control it will diminish it's lasting social and economic value.

3802. Doug Spice, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I'm writing in defense of net neutrality. The very concept of net neutrality is fundamental to the nature of the internet itself, and to the innovation it powers. An attack on net neutrality is an attack on the ability of all innovators to grow, share, and develop their products and markets on a fair playing field. Alongside the many other serious issues with dismantling net neutrality, it will be a blow to American leadership in technology and growth that is sure to cost us in many ways.

3803. Patrick Reilly, los angeles, CA, 90029
Please protect net neutrality. Without it , the net is dead.

3804. Uli Held, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The internet is the most important source of information for me, to get access to media outlets around the world for the latest news. My broadband access is my way of getting information and to share my views. Without net neutrality this will influence the news we can get and give preference to the news with more money behind it - less free speech for those with fewer resources.

3805. luis, glendale, CA, 91205
you are right, net neutrality is good for the majority of people.

3806. Justin Parker, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Net neutrality is the equivalent of free speech and equal rights for the modern digital age. No corporation or individual should EVER for any reason be allowed to stifle human choice, mute a human voice, or give preferential treatment to one over another. End of story.

3807. Christian Irwin, Burbank, CA, 91501
The internet is a breeding ground of creativity, an unlimited encyclopedia, a revolutionary avenue of every form of entertainment, and so much more. The internet is an unprecedented technological marvel in human history and it will continue to grow as beautifully as it has. But the FCC seeking to destroy net neutrality is like cutting a flower off at the stem before it even has time to bud.

3808. Simon Glickman, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
A vibrant country depends on the unfiltered exchange of ideas. We have just that in

the open Internet; it is far more important than any gain that might be realized by sacrificing it. Thank you.

3809. Ileene Anderson, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Without net neutrality, big companies would easily censor people and perspectives online. Net neutrality has been called the "First Amendment of the Internet", which I strongly believe is true. I don't want "big brother" deciding what I can and can't see.

3810. Alan Ortega, Burbank, CA, 91504
I'm already paying money to use the internet. Don't make me pay more money to see the content that I want on the internet. Just stop, please.

3811. Barbara Bodden, Glendale, CA, 91202
It is your ethical and moral duty as a fellow American to protect us from rapacious corporations. We need net neutrality and you are charged with protecting it.

3812. Gerardo villarreal , Los Angeles , CA, 90038
If do not protect the internet, it'll stifle innovation for decades to come. We cannot allow only four major companies to control the entire glow of information, it anticompetitive and simply un-American.

3813. Delia Saldivar, Burbank, CA, 91506
Net Neutrality is the core of freedom of information, thought and speech. It's all we have left of an unfiltered, unfettered free stream of content. We are spoon-fed what information we get on television, the internet is crucial to finding truths and information sources that would otherwise be buried. Do not hand over our freedoms to corporate American greed!

3814. Frank, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Americans do not need a corporate-controlled internet. The FCC cannot take away the little freedom we have to share information, protect our privacy, and communicate and act freely with businesses online. Furthermore, you are using our tax money to give multi-national corporations a competitive edge. This is not a free market. It's corporate welfare and pure capitalist cronyism. Ending net neutrality is thoroughly un-American.

3815. Meg Cotter, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Uphold the principles of intellectual freedom and resist all efforts to censor information resources.

3816. Hae-Joon Lee, Burbank, CA, 91506
With so much of our lives being dictated and controlled by those who hold the most financial power, it's deeply important to me that the internet as it stands be protected and secured by the law.Without those safe guards in place, the last bit of freedom of expression, information, communication, exploration will no longer be an individual right but something dictated by companies for their own profit numbers game.Please protect Title IIPlease keep our internet the way it is, or better yet, protect it further

from tampering.It'll set a dangerous precedence to repeal Title II and the internet that we have gotten so used to will go through an irreversible change that will make all of us have yet another thing to yearn for from the past.Thanks.

3817. David Bradley, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
Ending of net neutrality = beginning of another dark age. Comes across as sounding 'dramatic' I know, but is very true.

3818. T Meehan, glendale, CA, 91208
In the U.S., the internet is 2nd rate compared to other developed countries. Turning it over to monopolies like AT&T and Comcast will make us a 3rd rate, China wannabee.The public will be losers. Do you job, keep the internet neutral.

3819. Ernest Baghdasaryan, Glendale, CA, 91202
Net Neutrality is vital to protecting our right to free speech.

3820. david osborne, los angeles, CA, 90027
The reason the US remains a global leader is because of the internet (originally a gov't funded program in case you've forgotten). The best people on the planet come here to invent and create to bring prosperity to all of us. Giving up the level playing field to a bunch of monopolistic, old thinking companies will take us nowhere good - except backwards and provide an opening for others to become the beacon of freedom we are today!

3821. Corey Chavez, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Maintaining Net Neutrality is essential to a long-lasting democracy. I know the argument is for innovation, but that innovation will be irrelevant if only the top of the pyramid can enjoy the breakthroughs.History will look back at this time and remember what was done. Please follow the wishes of the vast public opinion, and preserve Net Neutrality.

3822. DIANE WEISS, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90068
Keep your hands off Net Neutrality! We will cease to be a democratic society if there is an end to Net Neutrality. DO THE RIGHT THING. YOU WORK FOR THE AMERICAN PEOPLE. YOU ARE HIRED TO PROTECT OUR FREEDOMS AND RIGHTS. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY.

3823. Betsy Avila, Glendale, CA, 91204
We cannot continue to allow handfuls of people to massively profit off the withholding of information.

3824. Maria del Sol Crocker, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Creating a limitation in the internet speed for those websites that pay less will not only affect many small business, start ups and nonprofits, it will also hinder democracy itself, by limiting our access to vital information. Please keep net neutrality safe for all of us.

3825. Anush Sumian, Glendale, CA, 91206
The country can't just be for the rich. That's what makes America Great, it's for everyone! Let's make sure that EVERYONE has access and can thrive from the internet!

3826. Kathy Depp, Burbank, CA, 91504
We don't need the government telling us what to do or how to do it. We do not to gut net neutrality!! We want the least expensive way to use the internet, not be limited to our choices!!

3827. S. R. Ramos, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Neutrality=Liberty

3828. Susan Grossman, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
I ask the FCC to support all Americans and provide protection for free and open access to the internet. The internet was created by the American government with American tax dollars and the FCC should support Americans rather than a few very wealthy people. Please remember who you work for.

3829. Gary Graham, Burbank, CA, 91502
Please stop sucking up to your corporate masters and represent the American people instead. You claim to be about freedom from government interference. Time to walk like you talk.

3830. Mark Macias, Pasadena, CA, 91105
I've served in the military and have worked in technology my entire career. The net should be open and free to all, stop allowing the corporations to drown out the voice of us citizens. Even your children or grandchildren will suffer for it FCC.

3831. Megan Atkinson, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Because we're not China.

3832. HARRY BEESON, SHADOW HILLS, CA, 91040
Net neutrality is crucial to protect small business and new websites. Please FCC do not give Verizon , Att, etc the right to block the little guys on the internet.

3833. James Ajhar, Glendale, CA, 91204
The internet belongs to no man.

3834. Paul Leslie, Burbank, CA, 91504
It is time to end this administration's rampant ideology of deregulation. Regulations can be powerful tools to protect the citizenry from rich and powerful entities. Net neutrality must be protected in order to allow free information flow on the internet: a part of keeping America free and democratic. Unfettered Capitalism and pluralistic democracy do not always go hand in hand; this is why we have the government in the first place. Do ypur REAL job of protecting citizens and keep net neutrality.

3835. John Tolle-Barlow, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
I myself feel that it is very important that my ISP supplies internet to my house like the water company supplies water to my house. It supplies connectivity with no strings attached. - Tim Berners-Lee

3836. Randy Buchanan, Glendale, CA, 91208
Net neutrality MUST be protected, it is synonymous with Freedom of Speech and Freedom of Choice. Choose to Dream of a Better World. Imagine what that would be like.

3837. Carol Anne Econn, Pasadena, CA, 91105
Net Neutrality equals the peoples voice to express and receive ideas. I don't want to hand over my choices for content (potentially) and speed of service (I now have what I desire). It was the intent of the founders of the internet to offer what we now call "net neutrality". Nothing good will be achieved by changing what is working well now.

3838. Elizabeth Abrams, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net neutrality is vital to the internet as we know it. Please don't strip our rights to an open and free internet. Don't make the internet into a corporate zombie shill; we already have broadcast TV for that.

3839. Andrea Portes, LA, CA, 90046
Please do no cave in to the big corporations here. I detest the cable companies. Everyone knows they're the worst. Do the right thing and keep the internet neutral!

3840. Andrew Delman, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Open access to the Internet has changed the world, and it is the most equitable marketplace of ideas, goods, and services that exists. If net neutrality is not protected, websites have to pay "dues" to an oligopoly of internet service providers just to get decent traffic. This will hurt innovation in this country, and as a scientist it will hinder my access to information. Please protect net neutrality and our ability to access Internet websites without ISP-related bias.

3841. Carolyn Berger, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech.Without net neutrality, big companies could censor people and perspectives online. Net neutrality has been called the "First Amendment of the Internet."

3842. Chase Holtman, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Net neutrality is a right. The people have spoken, and we will not stand for policies which favor corporate control over the interests of small businesses, artists, and countless others. The internet is not just a plaything for the wealthy and powerful. It's a tool that belongs to everyone.

3843. Brett MacDonald, Burbank, CA, 91502
I like accessing all websites equally. Please keep Net Neutrality.

3844. Barbara Carter, Tujunga, CA, 91042
Small companies cannot be put beneath gigantic corporations who control the pipeline and access to the public use of a utility. This is totally critical as a resource to keep completely neutral, and it cannot be done at the expense of smaller businesses or independent citizens. The world demands an open internet - one equally available to all. Anything else is a kleptocracy, and UN AMERICAN.

3845. caroline foley, burbank, CA, 91501
The internet should be considered a utility or a public service like a library. Giving control over to big cable companies allows them to pick and choose what information we the people have access to and, depending on how much money we have, how fast that information loads. It's not right.

3846. doug cyr, glendale, CA, 91208
The openness of the internet must be preserved. The mega companies cannot be allowed to dominate the internet speed and access.

3847. Elizabeth Jarrett, Los angeles, CA, 90028
Net neutrality is the reason the internet is so amazing. Let's let the creativity and innovation continue to come from a protected neutral internet.

3848. Darryl Sollerh, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!!!Don't allow one more public good become the province of the wealthy and well-connected.The people themselves should be the gate keepers, not private industry!

3849. Ali G, glendale, CA, 91202
stop giving corporations the power to suppress us for their profit.

3850. Amar, Los Angeles, CA, 90023
The internet was founded on principles of openness, and putting it in the control of large telco companies, who time and time again put their needs ahead of the needs of their customers, is a terrible idea.

3851. Martin Spanjers, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I strongly disagree with any plans to revise or reverse the existing Title II net neutrality. Any changes to the progress made to protect the consumer would be another win for corporate interests.

3852. Cynthia Meyer, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
Preserve Net Neutrality under Title 2. Thank you.

3853. Justin Levitt, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please continue to impose strong regulatory oversight over ISPs, promoting net neutrality through the Title II regulatory scheme applicable to common carriers of telecommunications services. A "light touch" regulatory scheme is insufficient to ensure that delivery of Internet services remains on equal footing regardless of

content.

3854. Laura Kranz, Burbank, CA, 91502
I am completely against the Pai's proposal for disbanding net neutrality. It will allow big businesses to monopolize the internet, effecting the streaming and upload/download rates for their benefit. The tv/film industry is directly affected by our ability to stream shows; and today's society requires fast internet for streaming. If this proposal is approved, then any big company, like Verizon, can slow the streaming quality of any platform or app they're against. Shows won't be able to load, making them unviewable, causing the actors on those shows to not get paid. The shows will then have extremely low ratings, get cancelled, and thousands of people will lose their jobs. This country is already being run by Big Bank, Big Pharma, and money-hungry white men who only care about increasing their wealth no matter what it takes. We can't have Big Internet added to the chaos that is becoming the USA.

3855. Michael Ellinger, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
"Freedom of speech is a principal pillar of a free government; when this support is taken away, the constitution of a free society is dissolved, and tyranny is erected on its ruins. Republics and limited monarchies derive their strength and vigor from a popular examination into the action of the magistrates. " - Benjamin Franklin, 1737Net neutrality is an affront to our Constitution and inalienable rights as citizens. Chairman Pai, you have an obligation to defend the Constitution and its citizens. I urge you to make the right choice. Vote from your soul; use your conscience and think about how this decision will steer the direction of this nation and this world for generations to come. Keep speech free. God bless.Thank you,Michael EllingerMichael Ellinger

3856. Amanda Newman, Burbank, CA, 91501
I am writing to express my opposition to the FCC rolling back net neutrality rules. Many of my friends operate small businesses, who primarily sell or advertise online, on small, personal websites. Lack of net neutrality would make maintaining these vital parts of their businesses very difficult. Though I do not personally work online, I maintain finances online, communicate online, and use the internet for a lot of my recreation. Without net neutrality, I expect several websites I frequent to go out of business. Scaling back net neutrality will be disastrous for the small business community and therefore the backbone of America, and would subject the public to the views only held by corporations, without any mitigating influences, to balance and educate. Please reverse these proceedings, so we may strive to be a well-educated nation, which supports the workers and those starting something on their own.

3857. Kevin Holliday, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Please preserve net neutrality. I loathe the idea that my Internet service provider could slow down content they don't want me to see.

3858. Antony , Los Angeles, CA, 90046

Please save net neutrality i use the internet daily and it would suck if net neutrality died plox and thxAntony

3859. Russell Bell, Los angeles, CA, 90027
Net Neutrality is one of the most important issues facing america today. A free moving, open internet is a cornerstone to innovation and the future of america as a leader in technology and so many other fields that are impacted by the internet. Tampering at all with the freedom and openness of the internet could cause irrevocable damage that might be imperceptible for years but would take years and years to correct. The internet is truly a utility, it should be listed as such and freedom of traffic and information should be insured.

3860. Alison Baenen, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
If we let it, the Internet has the possibility of being radically democratic. Small business owners and entrepreneurs can have as a big a slice of the pie--the opportunity to get their words and goods--in front of as many eyes, and as quickly, as corporations and celebrities. This is revolutionary, and one of the reasons I use the Internet. Access to the web means--or should mean--access to goods and services that have been historically unavailable to people who could otherwise not afford them or were denied access to them. Don't let discrimination, in any form, pervade the Internet. Keep it neutral. There is enough discrimination IRL.

3861. Ronald Scardera, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Net Neutrality must not be altered in any way, shape or form. Access to the internet must be open to everyone. Leave it alone please!

3862. Sophie Philips-Roberts, Burbank, CA, 91505
I'm writing today because I strongly oppose the proposed rolling back of Net Neutrality rules. Without net neutrality, our ability to communicate, to research, to access information and art will be greatly threatened and restricted. These are the things that make us human, and proposing allowing corporations to limit that is essentially corporate-bought censorship. I and many others rely on the internet for our jobs, and need it to remain open, free, and flowing to continue to work and create and make sales across the web.

3863. Cindy Carrington, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I don't think I need to explain anything, you already know the devastating ramifications that ending Net Neutrality would inflict on the majority, it would be beyond a doubt, morally, the wrong thing to do. Please, don't be on the wrong side of humanity, avoid screwing over millions of people for vapid, shallow reasons, be the decent, humane person you were born to be and make the right & honest decision to NOT end Net Neutrality. Stop and think, are you that avaricious person who puts yourself before millions of honest, struggling people all for meaningless, shallow, monetary purposes? Now is your chance to do good for the world, don't make a decision that will leave a nagging monkey on your back, it will never go away if you choose to do something as heinous as ending Net Neutrality. You

KNOW what the right decision is. PLEASE do the right thing. Cindy Carrington

3864. Geoff Dewan, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
The internet is a common carrier. That's what we want that's what it should be. Your plan just opens us up to crappier and crappier service for more and more money. Why do you think you can fool us? Leave net neutrality alone

3865. Ronald Taylor, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The drive to reduce or eliminate net neutrality is further evidence of corruption and callousness by our corporations and their privileged CEOs. A victory for them will only help to depress the economy and hasten the coming of widespread rebellion by the people.

3866. Cathy Goodrich, Verdugo City, CA, 91046
I am surviving on my social security. Please don't take away my only cheap way of keeping in touch with family and frends.

3867. Sherry Price, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
As a 77 year senior, I rely on the internet for daily news information, political interests, health issues and social activities. These are just a few of my uses for the Internet. I live on a very limited budget. If the Internet becomes another budgetary item, I will lose the ability to communicate with my family and friends. This will be a disaster, leading to isolation! The Internet has always been a free service, paid by the ads seen . We don't want restrictions put on this service. Private industry gains financially now. Enough of supporting the 2%, time to begin thinking of the 98%!

3868. Victoria Guagliardo, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Keep current OPEN internet rules in place. Tax payers paid for the development...we should be able to use not for corporate PROFIT.

3869. Kathi Aker, Tujunga, CA, 91042
Keep the net neutral., Save net neutrality.

3870. Nancy Beningo, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
The internet must be treated like a utility -- if ISPs are allowed to sell speed and access, they could squelch individuals' enterprises and expressions of opinion.

3871. Amani Minter, Burbank, CA, 91504
There are already so many other rights that are being threatened to be revoked, and our internet should not Ben on that list. If we lost our freedom, privacy, and rights with our internet usage then we are no longer America. We would be falling into the same pitfalls of the Chinese government. We must keep net neutrality. Amani Minter

3872. Donald Cabeen, Burbank, CA, 91506
I firmly believe the internet should be an "open" environment. I utilize my ISP to access fast internet speeds without favor for certain brands, solicitations and

websites. I don't pay now and don't want to pay, in the future, for an ISP to "serve" me a favored or biased view of the internet. It's not right and a "closed" non-net neutral internet is not what I want.

3873. net neutrality, Hollywood, CA, 90068
Keep net neutrality. I'm so sick of big businesses screwing over the public just to make more money. Have a spine and some self-respect.

3874. howard zazove, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
We need net neutralityhoward zazove

3875. Anna Huyser, Burbank, CA, 91501
I specifically support strong Network Neutrality backed by title two oversite of ISP's. I am writing today to urge you to work with your fellow members of Congress and the FCC to permanently preserve an open internet by supporting bipartisan legislation that would turn the principles of transparency, no blocking, no censorship and no discriminatory throttling into law once and for all. Itâ€™s vital that our country, and our citizens, have strong and permanent rules to ensure that internet regulation cannot change course depending on which political party is in the White House. Passing bipartisan legislation is a step toward protecting it for years to come.

3876. Jessica Mkrtchyan, Glendale, CA, 91201
The internet is a title 2 utility. It is a public good that has allowed many many small businesses to grow and we cannot allow a few cable companies to own and control the internet. Don't try to fix something that is not broken. Keeping the internet a utility is imperative in ensuring that consumers aren't being unfairly disadvantaged by cable companies.

3877. net neutrality, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Please keep our Internet free. Don't allow corporations with the most money and power to create "fast" lanes; this kills small-businesses and hurts consumers.

3878. Ty Fullerton, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I, like Mr. Pai, believe that a free market works extremely well for most things. However, Net Neutrality is needed because internet service is not a free market. I am currently writing this from Montana visiting my childhood home and there are two internet provider choices. Both are pretty bad and pretty slow. Both existed before net neutrality rules and continue to exist today. Because of the cable duopoly in most markets, allowing ISPs to regulate the internet how they see fit only disallows consumers the freedom to use the websites and services as they see fit.Net Neutrality regulates ISPs, not the Internet. Net Neutrality makes it so ISPs CANNOT regulate the internet. Please do not roll back Net Neutrality.

3879. Norig B Karakashian, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
The open internet is my escape from my boring career as a certified public accountant. During a busy season at work, I become quite ignorant because my

leisure is limited outside of work (though I do gain comfort and rejuvenation from my loving girlfriend, parents, and friends). consequently, I risk becoming an overspecialized insect, ignorant to the many fascinating facts about this complex world in which we live. Because I don't have a passion for accounting, the internet has been my outlet for pursuing my true passions (which, unfortunately, are not fields from which I can make any income to maintain a healthy standard of living). Please don't take the open internet away from me, an individual who strives to remain a productive member of society in this great country. (Also, if it means anything, I'm an American-born child of immigrants.)

3880. Richard Ryan Nichols, Glendale, CA, 91202
We live in an age of information and inspiration. Both of these are necessary for our continued growth and development as a society. To limit the internet is to handicap ourselves. It is as ludicrous as if you were to implement a new tax for breathing.This doesn't even begin to touch on the many ways net neutrality is vital for business, family, and general relationships.

3881. Maureen Rogers, Glendale, CA, 91202
The internet needs to be equally accessible to everyone equally, without regard to financial contributions or political influence that can and has been wielded in other areas of the public domain. the internet is for everyone.

3882. Josh Wattles, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
On the Web, economic discrimination imposed on access results in economic censorship which is far more pernicious than targeted censorship. The Net Neutrality rules are an important part of the structure of the Internet in the United States. It distinguishes us as a nation above the countries who choose to throttle their citizen's access to information from the platform.

3883. L Schwartz, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Net neutrality must be protected! Keep the internet for the people, by the people.

3884. Katherine Barcsay, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Freedom of choice is what makes up the fabric of this country. Net neutrality is a huge part of that freedom. Regulating that would be a detriment to all citizens.

3885. William Hignight , Los Angeles , CA, 90026
Information should never have a price tag to it. Taking away neutrality is basically taking away a freedom.

3886. Robert Furse, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
Net neutrality is essential to a democratic system. Let us protect this in every way possible.

3887. Brijesh, Burbank, CA, 91501
Its important that we give every citizen of earth the right to choose the way information is sought/consumed/distributed. It should not be a prerogative of

handful of people within handful of companies for sure. Lets ensure that by keeping this thought as the key one.

3888. Kyle Hertz, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
The internet needs to remain fair and neutral for all people. End of story.

3889. David Kreisberg, Burbank, CA, 91506
Do not allow large corporate interests to control the internet pipeline. Their agenda should not preempt the public's unfettered access.

3890. Ron Edmeades, los angeles, CA, 90027
Can't we have something that we don't have to pay for! Common knowledge for all...

3891. Andrew, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Net neutrality is important to me because I am in entertainment and the internet allows me to reach a wide variety of people with my music and film.

3892. Gregory Scott, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
It is crystal clear, based on actual behaviors from the pre-neutrality era, that large ISP's/corporations will act rationally to further their own interests regardless of its impact on the free and unbiased flow of information. This runs counter to the core principles of our nation, which does not mention capitalism or profitability anywhere in the Constitution, but very much does mention, espouse, and protect every known and reasonable interpretation of liberty and freedom from undue influence and inhibition by unchecked power. Your responsibility as appointees of the electorate is first and foremost to the people of this nation, please honor and respect that as the privilege that it is.

3893. Sharon Johnson, Glendale, CA, 91203
Net Neutrality is crucial. Without it, huge companies would be able to stifle speech. Anyone who thinks otherwise is fooling themselves or perhaps has been bought off by said companies.

3894. David Bayard, Glendale, CA, 91214
If the internet were around at the time of the founding of the United States, this country's forefathers would have ensured that the internet was net neutral. It is the right thing to do. This modern tool represents the essence of free speech at a scale that has never been seen before. It is the ultimate vehicle for freedom of human expression in modern times, and net neutrality surely represents what this country is all about.

3895. Mike Fitzgerald, Glendale, CA, 91205
Ending net neutrality will smother small business opportunities and kill jobs.

3896. Anthony B, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I can't believe I have to basically beg for the FCC to keep the internet open and free

(or at least as free as crappy internet service we are forced to pay for allows us). As an American organization that is supposed to keep things fair for it's millions of citizens, it feels like they're only looking out for the wealthiest corporations, who need no help if not for a wake-up call. Don't roll this back into the dark ages, stand up for yourselves and your children and grandchildren. If you want to get the country or the world by your side, forever, please combat the ISP monopoly, and do not dismiss net neutrality.And if you find something that isn't completely factual in my comment, don't use it as a weapon against us, by taking an error and magnifying it by 100 to try to show that our side isn't the intellectual side. I apologize for anything I may have commented on in ignorance, but my thoughts are shared by my family, friends, and people who won't be tricked by it's own country. Man that feels so gross to write in 2017, what the hell happened?!

3897. Jesse, Burbank, CA, 91501
These same companies are consistently rated among the worst customer experiences in the nation. After having one of them attempt to double bill me, I cannot trust them with the power to control internet content.

3898. Shaun DeHaan, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
Net Neutrality is as important a right to be protected as American freedom itself. The idea that we would give any person or corporation to control our access to the internet is as anti-American as Communism.

3899. Sharon S., Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech, and gutting it will deeply impair our economy and society. Allow consumers to choose what to watch and do online.

3900. Susan Collins, Glendale, CA, 91206
When net neutrality is compromised, our freedom of speech is compromised. The Internet is today's public town square. Every person should be able to participate in civil public discourse with equal access, regardless of their wealth or position.

3901. polly Tzelepis, glendale , CA, 91202
Net neutrality is important to me because I am an artist and want all people to be able to look at my work especially since its my chosen career.

3902. Steven Babst, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
As a small business owner Net Neutrality rules are very important to me. Eliminating them will harm small business like mine across the country and it will give the internet service providers unchecked power over the internet.

3903. Matt Johnstone, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I"m very concerned as a US citizen that net neutrality be protected, please I urge you to maintain this at all costs. As a small business entrepreneur, I rely on net equality for my business and livelihood, and it's also a fundamental right I firmly believe in. Thank you.

3904. Rachel, 1555 Hillside Drive, CA, 91208
We must keep the Internet neutral in order to maintain the health of our economy and democracy. Compromising Internet neutrality concentrates power in the hands of a few companies, creating an oligarchy rather than a healthy capitalist economy. More importantly, hurting net neutrality hurts free speech and even freedom of the press -- it could lead to information suppression and censorship, and it could even make it easy for larger companies to spread misinformation. As a teacher and the daughter of a journalist, this possibility frightens me. Please maintain net neutrality.

3905. Noah Arntson, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Please allow net neutrality to exist for my freedom of speech and as a small independent artist. Artists like me now rely on open portals to express ourselves with personal narration and films. Let America set an example for the world.

3906. Tyee Tilghman, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
The internet was created for the free flow of information, and no one should have control over it.

3907. Alexis Scott, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Stop Big Media monopolies. Protect our internet!

3908. Jerod Badger, Glendale, CA, 91208
The net neutrality should not change, individuals do not need the speed of their content determined by service providers.

3909. D Evans, Glendale, CA, 91203
There is nothing "heavy-handed" about the current net neutrality rules that requires that they be scrapped. They are in place to make sure the internet is open to all US Citizens and not controlled by a handful of corporations in terms of content and access. Leave the net neutrality rules in place and devote your energies instead to finding solutions to broaden internet access to those that don't, like our rural communities across this country.

3910. Morgan Pritchard, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
The internet is the most democratizing tool in the history of the world. It strikes me as a wholly American ideal to say that no matter who you are, where you come from, or how much money you have, you can make your voice heard in equal measure. Handing that power over to corporate interests says only one thing: the government is willing to sell out it's own citizens and it's own integrity for a small piece of the pie. Please protect net neutrality, I firmly believe to not do so is un-American.

3911. Michael Snyder, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Please keep the net neutrality rules as they are now. Its important to all the people of America.

3912. ROBERT STACY, BURBANK, CA, 91504

Given the increasing politicization of our media, eroding net neutrality makes it very likely that service providers could charge higher or lower fees depending on what views a particular person or entity espouses. We already -- unfortunately -- have "Right-wing" and "Left-wing" news; the prospect of one Internet for the "Right-wing" and one Internet for the "Left-wing" should truly chill you, regardless of which side you favor.

3913. Noah Lee Ritter, west hollywood, CA, 90069
Not only does it protect free speech, but cutting net neutrality severs opportunities for education. You're condemning a generation to ignorance.

3914. Siamanto Nazari, Glendale, CA, 91203
Please protect regular citizens instead of big corporate telecom and broadband companies. I would like to have something in America that isn't controlled by big money. Please.

3915. Dawn Coppin, Burbank, CA, 91506
Please protect net neutrality to provide a place where information can be shared without "Big Brother" influencing what I choose to find out. Trust me to make my own decisions about the information I receive.

3916. Raelynn Esterlina, Burbank, CA, 91502
The internet should remain the free and open source it currently is. It's how we research, learn, enjoy and experience the world outside our immediate influence. To hand that over to Monopolistic corporations is to limit the human experience and growth to the whims of consumer trends and profit margins.

3917. David Warren, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
The internet was created as a unique collective enterprise to enrich communication between all people. As such, everyone should have the ability to use the service as they want, without restrictions and limitations. Net neutrality is vital to our future!

3918. Mark Seigle, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Dear FCC, I believe it is essential for each and every stake holder in this country to be able to access a neutral internet. Thank you.

3919. Dana Sorman, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
The world is already incredibly divided between the haves and the have-nots. The power of the internet is its ability to mobilize, amplify and protect the voice of the people. Keep money out of it. Protect net-neutrality. Thank you for your consideration.

3920. Ryan M Leamy, Glendale, CA, 91206
This is very simple. Net neutrality is democratic. Anything that threatens net neutrality is antithetical to a free and open society. All internet traffic is created equal, and the freedom of that information to move about, regardless of its source or content, has been an unqualified good for the American economy, and for our

society as a whole. Don't mess with the best thing to happen to this country in the last 25 years.

3921. Marin Page, Burbank, CA, 91501
I work from home full time which requires unfettered, unthrottled, unmeddled-with internet. My livelihood and family's continued prosperity relies on it. SAVE NET NEUTRALITY

3922. James McCarthy, Glendale, CA, 91204
If your interest is in a world where the rich get richer (and more powerful) as the poor and even middle classes get poorer (and more powerless), do as it seems you are poised to and end net neutrality.

3923. David Gardner, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
At this moment when our democratic institutions are in peril, ending #netneutrality protections would be devastating.

3924. Joy Young, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
It is my right as a human being to seek knowledge openly. This is protected by the Constitution of the United Starts, as my right to pursue liberty, expression, and happiness as I see fit. It is incredibly unethical to consider having the Internet edited for corporate interests. We'll end up being less free than people in China.

3925. JOHN MILLER, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Title II is the best way to keep a free and open internet. I urge you to preserve it.

3926. Rai Moore, Glendale, CA, 91201
Please keep net neutrality rules in place. It's important to the advancement of all people, but will most affect the rural and poor.

3927. Lawrence Jimenez, Hollywood, CA, 90068
The amount of money that the Reich Wing throws against Democracy shows how frightened Rapeublican's are of equality and a fair expression of ideas.

3928. Alice Ziesing, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Consumers already pay ISPs for access to the internet. The internet itself should be free and open to all who pay for access to it. The speed of the internet or the permission to access the internet should be regulated only like speech is regulated, very lightly.

3929. James Suthers, Tujunga, CA, 91042
In case you haven't figured it out yet, Mr. Pai, you and the other corporate scum Trump has appointed have all over-played your hands, been exposed for the corporate shills you are, and will now be resisted and, eventually, brought down with the rest of the corrupt Trump cabal. You don't have nearly as much power as you think you do; and what you do have, you're not going to have for long.

3930. Arthur Oganesyan, Sunland, CA, 91040
Please do NOT get rid of Article II and roll back net neutrality! Trump and his cronies have NO idea what they're doing. Please show the public that, at the VERY LEAST, there ARE intelligent people who can - and will - stop bull@#$! when they see it. Please do NOT disappoint the people and roll over on this issue. Thank you!

3931. Jeremy B. Warner, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I agree with restoring internet freedom by classifying the internet as Title II and by keeping Net Neutrality. Internet freedom means to allow people do with their internet as they please and not let other entities control the freedom of speech, freedom of religion, or any other freedoms by deeming what other entities feel appropriate. I own a small business and if Net Neutrality is destroyed, then freedom will be taken away. My business creates entertainment which is distributed via the internet. If Net Neutrality disappears, it means that someone else can decide whether or not my content is available to others. I have pulled myself up by the bootstraps to create this company and part of that was done through the equality created in Net Neutrality. Net Neutrality allows people to work hard and earn what they receive, thus taking personal responsibility. Demolishing net neutrality will result in the destruction of several small businesses by allowing large corporations to decide what can be distributed via the internet. If Net Neutrality is demolished, it may force me and other tech businesses to take our business to other countries that do allow freedom and equality among all data transmitted through the internet to others. After the news that the FCC may demolish Net Neutrality, I have been researching other countries to move my business to if I cannot get the same distribution of my data as others. I know there are several other businesses that are considering the move as well due to the threat of destroying the freedom of the internet by dismantling Net Neutrality. This would lead to a loss of American jobs and American revenue. It threatens our status of being the top tech country in the nation. In addition to my company, I teach courses online for several universities. Demolishing Net Neutrality would greatly impact my ability to teach students online. In-class courses would be severely impacted as well, since I use several tools available via the internet to teach students. For example, my courses use personal blogs, YouTube, Lynda.com, online resources, and a host of other sites. By not making all information equal, it could limit some students due to lengthy downloads or possibly complete blocks on sites used to learn. The impact of destroying Net Neutrality is far reaching and devastating.Please keep Net Neutrality. Net Neutrality represents the freedoms explained in the Declaration of Independence that all men, including the ideas and works of those men, are created equal. Net Neutrality represents the ideals of the Constitution and gives people the freedom of speech. The internet is amazing because it allows equality and destroying Net Neutrality demolishes that equality.

3932. David Billingsley, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Why would any Nation willfully put the entire potential for business and revenue into the hands of three organizations who's business model began in the 19th Century and faded into insignificance at the end of the 20th Century? This is not a good idea for 21st Century American business.Please make a better choice.

3933. Tom Blanchard, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
As a virtual reality developer, the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me, and my work. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses, such as mine, that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. I work on a shoestring budget, as I am the proverbial small business owning Entrepreneur. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. One that, as a small business I cannot afford, which is threatening projects I have in my development pipeline.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists such as myself, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

3934. James Campbell, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
5524754006930133

3935. Sarah Trout, Glendale, CA, 91205
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are very important to me. ISPs should not have the power or ability to block websites, slow them down, or break the internet into two catogories of "fast" or "slow" internet depending on what company you pay for. The internet should be open and free for all. Please support the FCCs current net neutrality laws. Thank You.

3936. Charles Newton, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Net neutrality is absolutely critical for the preservation of our first amendment rights. Free speech must be free. We cannot allow for profit corporations to be in control of what data and information streams into our homes at what price. Please

maintain net neutrality.

3937. Elaine Madsen, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
The Constitution guarantees the Right of Peaceable Assembly. The open Internet is the rock solid modern guarantee of this right of peaceable assembly.That is how we find each other. The people who want to end net neutrality are traitors trying to deny citizen this tool for peaceable assembly

3938. Gregory Doane, La Canada Flintridge, CA, 91011
Stop tearing apart the free exchange of ideas in favor of corporate money buying the people's government. Keep the Internet neutral!

3939. Marilyn Fuss, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
We should not be issued filters on what we want to read for news, as long as there is no danger posed.

3940. Christopher Angulo, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Net neutrality is important, now more than ever. Please know that my desire as a citizen and a veteran is for the internet to be protected through net neutrality in opposition to corporations like AT&T, Comcast, and Verizon. Thank you, Chris Angulo

3941. Jennifer ognibene, Burbank, CA, 91504
I am a small, independent business owner and I'll be crushed without net neutrality. So will my whole community of ambitious young entrepreneurs across the nation. Protect your citizens and any chance at a flourishing US economy. The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm

sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

3942. Kurt Rademaekers, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Dear appointed and elected representatives. Of course the founding fathers did not anticipate the internet. Today I'm sure they would agree that the internet is a huge and incredibly signification form of publication--and that corporate or government limitation of its use would constitute a violation of the first amendment.Please do not permit this to happen. Our freedoms depend on a free press without limitation of the media it's presented on.Thank you!

3943. Gary Book, Burbank, CA, 91501
Please help stop big cable's Internet take-over attempt. We all know what destruction & loss of the free &open Internet would mean - TO ALL OF US! You must not stand by permitting this tragedy to happen - UNDER YOUR WATCH! Please - for ALL of usNOW/FOR OUR CHILDREN - AND THEIR CHILDREN, TOMOROW!

3944. Gabriela Hoffman, Tujunga, CA, 91042
How can you take away the freedom & equality of one of the last "democratic" tools available to the all ?Keep the internet free & available at the same speeds for all, not just the richest who can afford faster speeds & larger access.

3945. Julia Gaskill, La Canada Flintridge, CA, 91011
Please support net neutrality. Ending it will increase costs from the corporations that control our internet. Thank you.

3946. Douglas Shintaku, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Protect the Net from exploitation/profiteerS

3947. Sandy Schuckett, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Keep the Internet open to everyone for equal access. Keep the Open Internet Order and the Title II protections. The large telecom corps should not be able to decide the speeds and what I want to see.Thank you.Sandy Schuckett

3948. Mike Kleine, Burbank, CA, 91501
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are vital to the national interest. I urge you to protect them.ISPs can't be allowed to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have

made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

3949. Lauren Bryant, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
DO NOT END NET NEUTRALITY

3950. David Rasmussen, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I strong urge the support of Net Neutrality

3951. Sarah Lilly, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
For the People, By the People,,,What happened to that idea? This country was not founded by corporations, for corporations.

3952. Carrie Saetermoe, Sylmar, CA, 91342
The Internet allows all voices to be heard. The world IS diverse. We need to learn from it, everyone.

3953. Barbara Harmon, Burbank, CA, 91506
Democracy depends on equality, and this includes access to communications.

3954. Gabriela Hoffman, Tujunga, CA, 91042
Please keep one of the only "Democratic" avenues left to ordinary citizens for gathering information & sharing ideas.Please maintain this electronic platform (Internet access) available to all with the same cost & speed across all economies & populations.The wealthy corporations have already purchased & absconded with every other form of media.Leave us something we can ALL use & allow us to have the same availability & costs as corporations or individuals.Keep the internet, "free & jneutral"!

3955. James Campbell, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
5524754006930133

3956. Kaye Fontana, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Urge you in the strongest terms to enforce net neutrality! Fairness to all citizens!

3957. Carol Kravetz, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Please save an Open Internet. I urge you to protect this important global gateway to learning, and researching. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. It also hurts students from 1st grade through graduate school, they need the internet to learn.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. That's ridiculous.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. I vehemently oppose this move.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

3958. Taylor Donlan, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90028
One of the greatest reflections of American democracy, the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do

exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Please, PLEASE fight to keep our democratic internet!!!Thank you!

3959. Tamsin Blue, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Keep net neutrality. DO NOT let companies control what we can and cannot see. We are still a democracy

3960. David Dassey, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and an open democratic society. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Please do not let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. This must not be allowed todo happen.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other

Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

3961. Lawrence Jimenez, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Cut, gouge, rend and destroy the common citizen's access to INFORMATION:? Corporate Republican's win again!

3962. Simon Glickman, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Without an open Internet we are markedly less free society. I urge you to preserve Net Neutrality for the sake of our democracy and the free, fair exchange of ideas upon which our vitality--indeed, our very survival--depends. Thank you.

3963. Jillian Winn, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
As a scientist and an involved citizen, as well as a cautious consumer of media and products, the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

3964. Lawrence Jimenez, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
@pacbell.net

3965. James Irons, Los Angeles, CA, 90027

Hello. Please leave the internet ALONE, you facist pigs! Who do you think you are? What do you think you are doing? The Internet is not something for you to make money off of, or for you to control the people. Get a life, do something positive with your time!

3966. Michele Jaffe, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
A free and open internet is vital for the health of our democracy. Only people with something to fear from free thought, free choice, and a dynamic citizenry would argue otherwise. Don't sell Our democracy to those who, for profit or power or both, seek to undermine it. Please keep America robust by protecting the freedom of the internet.

3967. Steven Anderson, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
HANDS OFF! Go do real work

3968. Robert Johnson, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
We need the internet to be neutral so that people may find what they need and not be misdirected to advertisements and fake information.

3969. Harold Shabo, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
Internet neutrality is essential to democracy. Allowing large companies to control its content deprives citizens of a necessary tool for free expression and exchange of ideas. Harold Shabo

3970. Michele Jaffe, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
A free and open internet is vital for the health of our democracy. Only people with something to fear from free thought, free choice, and a dynamic citizenry would argue otherwise. Don't sell Our democracy to those who, for profit or power or both, seek to undermine it. Please keep America robust by protecting the freedom of the internet. Sincerely,Michele Jaffe

3971. Jhay Marito, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Please do Not remove Net Neutrality. att is Not going to do good things with it's new freedom. AT&T will do more shitty practices. Internet is a utility. It provides news, entertainment, history, science, and other useful knowledge. YouTube and the creators are a big part of the new form of education. Verizon has issued a statement about if you stream YouTube or Netflix, it will be slower than if you used Go90, which is Verizon's. I ask for you to keep Net Neutrality. Thank you.

3972. Robert Medici, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
NO CHANGES TO NET NEUTRALITY RULES.PERIOD!!!

3973. Stephanie Roth, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
The internet has become an important part of modern life. Please don't allow big corporations to dictate what is important for me to see on it. Open access is essential in modern life. Please make sure the modern phone companies don't control access. Don't let them determine what we can see. Thank you.

3974. Caroline O, Glendale, CA, 91207
Keep the internet NEUTRAL! The net neutrality rules for an open internet is extremely important. Please protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

3975. Alexandra Hopkins, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Dear FCC,Please preserve Net Neutrality. This is so important. If large corporations and wealthy individuals are given preferential treatment on the Internet, it will just be one more way in which they will be able to consolidate power and create an unequal society that is more like a Banana Republic than the 1950's society in which I grew up. People are happier when there is greater equality. Please support happiness and retain Net Neutrality.

3976. Kristen Barker, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
The internet should be a neutral place where all information is equal, no matter the source. Corporations should not be able to decide what information is available or how readily available based what they agree with. It should be a free source of information ! Please continue the net neutrality rules!

3977. Brian Woodbury, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Come on, FCC! Do the right thing and guarantee net neutrality. The non-jurisdiction weasel-out is an abdication of what is a right and correct thing in a democratic

society. Come on!

3978. Patricia Lestz, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
This is not what the people want. Your job is to do what is best for the people and you are not doing it. You are destroying our democracy!

3979. Geoffrey dewan, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Stop trying rob urn the internet into a monopoly. You are evil and greedy just like the people who bribed you to try and do this to us People don't want this only you and greedy corporations do.How did you let yourself become like this? You should be ashamed

3980. Benjamin Mahoney, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please uphold the Fcc's net neutrality!Corporations can not have the right ti censor or control any aspect of the internet!The internet is for the people!!!!

3981. Kaz santana, Los Angeles, CA, 90038
Please don't let big companies control our freedom. Pls be for what is right for the people

3982. Crystal Lozano, Glendale, CA, 91202
These company's need to stop with their need and their greed to control what people do on the internet. People use the internet for jobs and everyday purposes. I don't want these companies trying to violate our rights for freedom of speech and press. STOP TRYING TO CONTROL US FOR YOUR OWN PERSONAL BENEFITS! STOP YOUR GREED AND EDCUATE YOURSELVES!!!!

3983. Amy Golden, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
For the love of freedom of speech, democracy and enterprise- don't touch the Net! Keep it Neutral!

3984. Ronwaldo Nieto Pagcu, Glendale, CA, 91205
I work like any other Average Joe. I live off the internet, and i do not enjoy supporting these Large Companies. Sadly i do depend on them for this and I pay what they ask. NET Neutrality must STAY, i give them what they ask they cant potentially affect my enjoyment and work just for more money in their pocket please.Ronwaldo Nieto Pagcu

3985. Aaron Stein-Chester, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
I strongly oppose current FCC efforts to reverse net neutrality protections. A free and open internet is vital for our democracy, for our businesses, and for our daily lives. It would give giant internet companies the power to prioritize what we read, watch, and explore online. Free and unfettered access to information is vital for a healthy democracy, regardless of viewpoint. For business and the economy, the American government should create policies to encourage entrepreneurship and innovation. Restricting the open internet does the opposite of that. An internet free of interference from those who run the networks allows anyone, rich or poor, to

spearhead something new. It's how America has become the center of tech innovation. Let's not go backwards and constrict American ingenuity.

3986. Amanda Schuckman, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to the prosperity of this country. ISPs cannot have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now more than ever is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. The truth and the freedom of information is too important. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. It is unacceptable.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Prove to your constituency that you care about their futures. Protect Net Neutrality.Thank you!

3987. Justin Weaver, Glendale, CA, 91202
The American people came together, across party divides and socio-economic statuses to fight for net neutrality. It is very important to the American people that we maintain a free and open internet. Isn't it enough already that the big telecom companies enjoy a state sanctioned monopoly? Do they really need to put shackles on innovation and small business? By killing net neutrality you only serve to destroy everything America stands for, freedom. I urge you to listen to your people and stand by them. If you fail us, yet again, you will be held accountable in your next election. Our patience has grown thin and the very grass root movement Bernie started is still organizing. This issue is just as important as climate change and equality. Don't side against the people you claim to represent. Thank you.

3988. Jennifer , Los Angeles, CA, 90029

As per usual what should forever be another basic freedom to all humans is instead being threatened by , you guessed it, a former lawyer of a CORPORATION. The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are EXTREMELY important to me. I urge you to do your job and protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

3989. Matt Nolan, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
This Country is founded on Freedom of Speech. The Internet is a FREE LAND, please don't monitor me, make me become a Statistic to buy, sell, vote, think, the way you want me to! PLEASE- Matt Nolan

3990. Michelle Kinne, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Protect net neutrality! Censorship sucks! This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Chairman Pai will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title

II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

3991. James Okuley, Tujunga, CA, 91042
The idea that the FCC can ignore the public's opinion and do away with net neutrality rules is an outrage. We've already been through this once. Please end this crusade once and for all. Don't ruin a great and already profitable thing. Thank you if someone actually is taking the time to read all of these.

3992. Leonard Auslender, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
It's this simple: Anything Trump wants is dead wrong and I am against it - including this attack on net neutrality.

3993. Jonathan Gael, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
under Title II, Broadband is Telecommunications and therefore data about one's activity online is owned by the customer only under the deregulation Act of 1996 customer proprietary network information.Therefore until next Generation switch architectures are available to support Privacy Law and harassment law and all other telecommunication security laws, I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality rules and keep Title II in place for broadband internet access.

3994. Timothy Connolly, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The internet was developed by the military using taxpayer funds. IT BELONGS TO US, NOT THE CORPORATIONS.

3995. Kara , Burbank, CA, 91501
Keep Net Neutrality under the current Title II. We must protect Net Neutrality!

3996. Stephanie Chong, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Leave our protective rules in place and stop this immediately!

3997. Padraic Aubrey, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
Net Neutrality allows free speech to continue unimpeded.

3998. Jacob Mizrahi, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
It would destroy my business just like many others. I have an online business which depends on the internet.

3999. Cliff Duyn, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
We would be taking a giant step back and really damaging the economy

4000. Yasmeen , Burbank, CA, 91501
COZ ITS COMMON SENSE YOU DUMB TWAT. COMMON SENSE.

4001. Julian Mitchell, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I like First Amendment rights.

4002. Ryan Ly, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Without it, there will be a myriad of lost voices in a "space" that is meant to allow for free speech. Putting the jurisdiction of of what will be seen online eliminates every person without status/money from establishing an influence or voice on the web.

4003. Jason swartz, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Net neutrality is he sensual to the United States and must be maintained. Freedom of access to all information and to all companies websites and news is an essential pillar to any modern democracy and essential for the United States to stand on. Please do not roll back current. Net neutrality regulations and leave them as they are for the good of our country.

4004. Cybelle Jacobs, Glendale, CA, 91201
I depend on internet sales for my income and I do almost all of my shopping online from other small businesses. Net neutrality stands to hurt thousands of small businesses and limit the availability of information.

4005. Cassidy , Glendale, CA, 91210
i want to be free with my internet access. it is my right.

4006. Cj Circo, Burbank, CA, 91502
Net Neutrality = what America should be and encourage. Dismantling Net Neutrality = Nazi Germany.

4007. Vahan Barseghian, Glendale, CA, 91207
I search the Internet for scientific, engineering and educational articles in various languages.The Internet providers want to prioritize those websites and services that are willing to shell out for better access. I am concerened that the providers would like to block online content from those companies or individuals that donÂ‰Ã›Âªt pay the highest toll demanded by the provider. In other words, I am concerned that the Internet providers are going to restrict, block, limit or throttle down my access to some of the information to which I have free, speedy access at this time.Sincerely,Vahan Barseghian

4008. Jennifer Stevenson, Montrose, CA, 91020
Protect a free internet. Stop selling us off to the highest bidder!

4009. Darren Ballarin, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Again, another example of big businesses and big money calling the shots for their own greed and benefit. The internet is supposed to be a free and open space for people to express themselves. Putting that in jeopardy just so people can make more money is absurd. I thought we were supposed to be moving forward as society, not backwards.

4010. Anne Lann, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
LEAVE TEH NET NEUTRALITY ALONE. Find other ways to make your money.

Keep the Internet OPEN AND FREE!!!

4011. Christian Corado, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Equality and freedom. I thought this was America!

4012. Lakota Moore, Burbank, CA, 91502
Net Neutrality is extremely important. It isn't something for one country to control, let alone one company. Its the World Wide Web for a reason. And that reason is it connects us globally. Throttling speeds, making us pay more for faster speeds, for a premium? That is not only extortion, but its unconstitutional. I beg of you, keep net Neutrality alive.

4013. Sara Downing, Glendale, CA, 91201
I don't want anyone controlling what information I have access too.

4014. Gianina Vescovi-Chiordi, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
If you consent to give power to the highest bidder and contribute to the oligarchy we live under while simultaneously censoring the information we can obtain and effectively handing self interested corporations the tools to crush dissent underfoot, there will be revolt. Don't you dare ruin this freedom we have. Do you know how much people hate cable companies, banks, LADWP, etc? Keep your hands off this.

4015. Erica Doering, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
In this day and age it is so important that the Internet stay neutral. It is after all ones of the worlds biggest news and info martini access points, and leaving the less fortunate with inferior access would be detrimental to democracy.

4016. Robert Werden, Glendale, CA, 91207
We should not be at the mercy of heartless and unresponsive, profit before, citizens corporations.

4017. Carlos Solis, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Net neutrality is important to me because it grows the revenue of my business. I own a small retail company where I use social media, web, and other means to advertise my products. I do not feel confident on placing regulations or allowing bureacrats to administer fast lanes and slow lanes since this will hinder my web surfing and ultimately lose customers for my business. The internet has paved the way for many developing organizations that are starting. Having the threat to pay more costs for sole proprietors can be the tipping point from a prospering company and one going under. The web is free and should remain so. Its not broken so please dont try and fix it. Good day

4018. Conrad Legaspi, Glendale, CA, 91206
Service providers should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites

4019. Patrick Thavornkant, Glendale, CA, 91208

I researched the issue of net neutrality since my high school days over 10 years ago. Back then it didn't really seem like it was going to be an issue that would take off, but here we are. The fact of the matter is, the way the Internet is right now, is more American than America is. It embodies free speech and freedom of action but not freedom from consequence. People can set aside political affiliations or ramp them up, the internet is truly free - at least, it is right now. Allowing companies to censor or charge extra in exchange for speed control and access control restricts our freedoms, and forces us to pay more for what we already have.Cable Companies want to experience capitalism to it's finest, while people want freedom to it's finest. America is the land of the free, not the land of the capital. We're in a volatile culture where many citizens already look at businesses and the rich with untrusting eyes, and this plan only gives them more power.Please don't exacerbate a problem within the nation. The internet is something that allows Americans to be both free and global.

4020. Tanisha McCullough, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
a net neutral internet is what keeps AMERICANS and AMERICA FREE!

4021. Ron Iacobucci, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Net Neutrality is VITAL.

4022. Christopher Bennett, Tujunga, CA, 91042
I rely heavily on the internet. I, like many people in the US, use it abundantly on a daily basis. It provides me many benefits, all at a fast pace, with the comfort that I have (some) privacy about my activities. These things I take for granted, but I would be remiss if I did not take a moment and say that without the oversight on communications networks, my life would be much worse off and more stressful. Please keep up with this as loosening this oversight could do more harm than good. Thank you for your time. Sincerely, Chris Bennett

4023. Katelyn Hawley, Glendale, CA, 91201
Because the internet should be equal and not monopolized

4024. Emma Carter, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Small businesses and freelancers deserve equal access to the internet, this shit is ugly Becky.

4025. Linda Raymond, Montrose, CA, 91020
My whole livelihood depends on the internet remaining neutral.

4026. Jessica , Glendale, CA, 91203
The internet needs to be kept neutral for the survival of many freelance artists!

4027. Joel Woods, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Net neutrality is necessary to the function of thousands of small businesses and communities; not only in the U.S, but world wide. These groups collectively have a strong impact on our society, but lack the individual means that will be necessary to

compete with larger companies without net neutrality. As many laws are upheld for the greater good of our society I ask that you maintain net neutrality to continue the growth and success the internet has provided us.

4028. Luba Sivakova, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
To help small business owners who can't afford to pay to make their website faster.

4029. Saxon Cyphers, Burbank, CA, 91502
The very state of the world rests on the internet being neutral. anybody smart will never pay for the internet. this will result in everyone losing money, internet users will stop using the internet, and even major business, and small businesses, will lose money gradually, because people will see having to spend money as a threat to them, and the realization to be cautious. internet neutrality is the only safeguard people have to connect and be safe around the world. the united states is not the world, and destroying this (Internet Neutrality), can and will start war. as someone who knows the people, and someone who understands people, this is the single most worst mistake anyone could ever make in their life, and will destroy more lives as a result.

4030. Calie Flick, Burbank, CA, 91501
Net Neutrality is important because the internet as we know it is needed for everyday life. It is needed it to search for jobs and to do jobs, it is needed to research papers for school, and many use it in their day to day lives for many other reasons. The reason it's become so ingrained in our lives and society can largely be traced to one factor; it's free. Whether a person is rich or poor, employed or unemployed, young or old, the internet and it's wealth of information is available at the click of a button.Net Neutrality makes it free. Without it, what's to stop ISP's from charging absorbent amounts just to go to the most basic of websites? Absolutely nothing, because all phone and cable companies care about is money. In fact, these companies have admitted that Net Neutrality is the only reason they do not discriminate against websites and content.If these strong rules were gone, these companies would try to bundle websites into categories like cable TV. Which is not only harmful, it's borderline absurd given how many websites there are, so a person would have to pay extreme fees to even get onto the most ubiquitous of websites such as Facebook or YouTube.One other point is that making it so that you have to pay to use the internet is that it would hurt everyone involved, citizens and companies alike.The common person may not have the funds to browse certain sites, and thus abandon some of them. These are users that websites depend on, by the way. And if you're a small startup business, this could hurt you immensely, knowing your audience won't be using your site and spending money on the services and goods you provide. That is if you can even afford the fees to start a website to begin with.Even large companies like Amazon, Google, eBay and others are harmed by this. Because if people can't go on those websites, they won't use their services and buy their goods. Which results in a loss of profit, which in turn is not good for the economy. This entire setup could harm everyone involved.And it will, unless you decide to keep Net Neutrality.

4031. Aaron Carter, Glendale, CA, 91207
Without net neutrality one of the main sources of information for many many people will be completely under the control of these large companies who will then be able to control said information. This is starting to sound a lot like a distopia what with information being controlled by a few higher ups

4032. Danielle Acheampong, Glendale, CA, 91202
Internet service providers have near Monopolies in different parts of the United States, with honestly pretty shitty service results and little incentive to be responsive to customers as there is a lack of competition. Getting rid of net neutrality would only deepen the stranglehold they have, disadvantage users and not corporate website consumption. In the end I think it would hurt the economy of America.

4033. Mimi Alves, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
The internet is my livelihood. With out Net Neutrality, the website I work for won't be able to pay fees for faster service. We'll lose customers. If we lose enough, it won't be worth it to continue keeping this site up. Besides how it personally affects me, it is how so many of us get out information.

4034. Stephanie , Glendale, CA, 91208
I am a creator and an artist and I depend on net neutrality to continue to have an audience for my work. Taking this away means going hungry and homelessness for an enormous amount of artists who get their money on commissions. Please don't take away net neutrality.

4035. Jella Bulla, Glendale, CA, 91204
memes you nibba

4036. Jeff Lichtfuss, Glendale, CA, 91204
I am vehemently opposed to ISPs and corporations removing net neutrality rules and forcing the open and free internet to be destroyed over greed and shareholders' bottom lines. The internet must be kept open and available to all without obstructive or debilitating restrictions placed on it so billionaires can get even wealthier while smaller companies, individuals, and services are forced to use second rate services. Try to think where we would be today without the creativity, innovation, and freedom of an open internet of the past 25+ years. I'm writing this letter through a social media platform via an app on my on my cell phone. I guarantee you that would not be possible if it weren't for our open and restriction free internet. Please don't be the bad guys here. We have enough of those these days. I'm also really tired of having politicians push this crap down our throats every year or two. NOBODY WANTS TO END NET NEUTRALITY BUT CORPORATIONS AND PEOPLE MAKING MONEY OFF OF THEM. ENOUGH IS ENOUGH.

4037. Alex , La Canada Flintridge, CA, 91011
Hi there, The Net neutrality is extremely important to me. I want you to protect it.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others. This isn't what capitalism is about. You can't give

one company an advantage over another. If you approve this to happen I will NEVER vote Republican again.

4038. Nico del Castillo, Glendale, CA, 91205
Preserving the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) is vital to my ability to make a living. I am a web professional employed by a healthcare company. I rely on tools created by people on the internet. I implore you to protect net neutrality because ending net neutrality would severely impair my ability to do my job -- which is to keep you healthy.Granting ISPs the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest is all an obvious attempt at establishing an oligopoly intent on charging users for access to most of the internet, as Comcast, Verizon and AT&T are not the providers of most internet tools.This is ridiculous. This country invented the internet and it does not even rank among the top 10 fastest internet connections by country. Ending net neutrality rules would demote our world position even further.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.My livelihood and my industry depends on you. Please don't let us down.Thank you!

4039. Desiree Hall, Burbank, CA, 91506
We deserve a free internet!!

4040. Matthew Waggoner, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Killing net neutrality will do nothing but favor a few giant corporations (the telecoms) at the expense of LITERALLY everyone else. These corporations will be able to block websites that compete with them, or even just websites they don't like. It's just rent-seeking, a way to extort more money from customers.The idea that net neutrality harms business and innovation is absolute nonsenseÃ¤Ã³Ã®the Internet was *built* on the premise of net neutrality.

4041. Nolan , Montrose, CA, 91020
I like the freedom of the internet.

4042. Evan Berg, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The internet was built to be open and free. We invented open source for fucks sake. Don't give into greedy evil organizations who just want rape the everyday internet user for more of their money just so a few fuckhead CEO's can get richer. This is bullshit and you fucking know it. Also, I don't want to lose my job.

4043. William Henszey, Tujunga, CA, 91042
Unbelievable, The support For net neutrality is monumental and yet the FCC continues to look the other way

4044. Fred topel, Burbank, CA, 91502
The constitutpn guarantees a free press. In the 1700s they only imagined the printing press but today the press exists online. It would be unconstitutional to give corporations priority in the press thanks to their financial privilege. The small website or blog is no threat to them but it must be allowed a place along with all the big companies.

4045. Brandon D, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I the internet should remain open to everyone. Repealing net neutrality is a terrible idea. The ISPs cannot be left to police themselves. They don't have my best interests or those of my constituents in mind. Keep net neutrality in place!

4046. , West Hollywood, CA, 90069
Net Neutrality is hugely important to ensure fair, democratic consumption of internet content. Allowing companies to pay to have their content reach consumers faster -- at the expense of other companies, organizations, news outlets, etc. -- would be antithetical to the concept of a free and open internet, where one person can access their preferred content with just as much ease as any other person looking at any other content. Corporate interests are not the same as human interests, and it is human interest that should be the very first priority of the FCC. Please support and preserve real Net Neutrality, thereby supporting and preserving human interests over corporate interests.

4047. Ron Phillips, Glendale, CA, 91206
I would like the market not to be dictated by company i like the internet to be a utility.

4048. Kyla Khouri, Glendale, CA, 91207
many peoples lives depend heavily on the internet, jeopardizing that is jeopardizing the world

4049. Danielle Williams, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I'm writing to urge you to protect the current FCC regulations pertaining to net neutrality. Preserving an "unthrottled," "unblocked," and uncensored internet is vital

to civil society and free speech. These values shouldn't be sacrificed to simply line the pockets of cable companies, who by their own admission haven't been adversely affected by the current regulations. Please keep the current Title II regulations in place.Sincerely,

4050. Todd Schultz, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) have saved my life.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

4051. Mark Bisaha, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Preserve the net neutrality laws the way they are. Do not change them -- they're working fine.

4052. Alex Bache, La Canada Flintridge, CA, 91011
Net neutrality is necessary in this internet age. If you take it away, you prove humanity is too stupid to continue

4053. Julia Callahan, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I strongly support Net Neutrality and think it is an absolute failing of this administration that we're even having this insane conversation.

4054. Puja Shah, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
In most situations, demand should drive supply, as this creates a sustainable balance

that keeps markets generally stable and equitable - and in the best cases, it spurs evolution and efficiency. However, there are some industries that, without sensible protections, human need would be greedily abused and industry would lay waste to the common man by raising prices (either actual currency or charging by selling individuals' privacy, and all the nefarious targeting that occurs when private consumer information is abused). To restore proper balance, governments (including our own) have very wisely implemented regulations to protect everyday people from such abuses. I'm concerned that if the NPRM is approved, there will no longer be sensible protections from the naturally greedy tendencies of the internet industry. This industry should be treated like a utility, as internet service is just as ubiquitous, just as dangerous without regulation, and just as necessary in modern society as the service of common carriers. Common carriers are regulated to protect American citizens, and as one such informed citizen, I plead for those in seats of power to hear our concerns and protect us from the dangerous future that would await us if ISPs, with their seemingly unlimited funds and deep lobbying connections, went unregulated. This would mean that these ISPs, with unlimited bargaining power, who are incentivized to abuse their disproportionate power to increase their bottom lines, would own and control what rightfully belongs to the people and what the government is established to protect. Please let our government do its job, please keep these regulations as is (and not enforce NPRM) and please ensure that we continue to be protected at this same level - no less. Thank you.

4055. James L Caress, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I am writing to ask you to stop the repeal of the FCCâ€™s Open Internet Rules protecting net neutrality. Net neutrality is monumentally important to me and my decision to pursue my dream of becoming a screenwriter.About five years ago, I began writing screenplays in my free time. At the time, I was in my last year of college, and I continued to write scripts after I graduated and during my three years of law school. I have no formal training in screenwriting, and without the help of online resources (including a number small independent blogs), Iâ€™ve been able to educate and train myself in the craft. Without equal access to these often nonprofit Internet resources, I would never have been able to learn from established screenwriters about their craft, and I would still have no idea what I was doing.I believe that the Internet represents the opportunity for nontraditional education, an opportunity that only net neutrality can preserve. Net neutrality prevents ISPs from prioritizing access to for-profit websites that can afford to buy a ticket to the proposed Internet fast lane. The kinds of sites that helped me learn screenwriting and can help other education themselves on whatever subject they want to learn will not be able to afford the fast lane, as they are often nothing more than a person providing insight to their own life and skills. This is where the true value of the Internet resides: in one person sharing their knowledge in a universally accessible forum â€” not for profit, but for the betterment of their fellow human beings. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce

Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!James L Caress

4056. Rachel Langan, West Hollywood, CA, 90038
The internet is a public utility, like electricity or water (we need it just as much to live). I am a freelancer/small business owner who makes my living in part by having equal access to the web. I need to know that I will always be accessible to my clients and that I will not someday lose service because my domain providers can't pay the utility/cable companies. Please save net neutrality and do not give in to corporate lobbyists from cable. The success of small business and the tech industry in the US depends on it.

4057. Greg P., Sunland, CA, 91040
I support net neutrality through title 2 classification.

4058. Mark Matthews, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please please please keep net-neutrality! It seems criminal to allow IP's to charge differentially based on the type of traffic. That would be like charging phone calls differently based on what I'm talking about.

4059. Moises Hernandez, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I am strongly in favor of support of strong Net Neutrality, or Open Internet, regulation, of ISP (Internet Service Providers), back by Tittle II requirements outlined in the relevant Federal Communication Act.

4060. Matthew Essenburg, Pasadena, CA, 91105
I am for Net Neutrality and Title II classification. I am also for MORE Competition in the ISP space. Because the market is a monopoly or duopoly in many locations prices and services are horrid. If you allow corporations to police themselves we will have a mess. There is a reason FCC is there and that is to protect consumers and make sure businesses have a level playing field.

4061. Jonathan Noble, Glendale, CA, 91205
Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. Isnâ€™t it possible they could do this again? Iâ€™m also concerned by mobile providers who say a plan is â€œunlimited,â€ but when you exceed the data cap, only throttle sites and services that arenâ€™t part of their approved zero-rating network. In both cases, ISP throttling has shown to be antithetical to competition and development of new and novel internet services. Rolling back Net Neutrality regulations is entirely anti-consumer and will irreparably damage our digital landscape.

4062. George Baltakian, burbank, CA, 91501
Preserve net neutrality and title 2! This is so important!

4063. Sean J Stanley, Los Angeles, CA, 90027

Net Neutrality is a no-brainer. Throttles are for lawn mowers, not information and media.-SeanSean J Stanley

4064. Nick Mazen, Glendale, CA, 91208
Think of all the accessibility you are taking away from familiesNick Mazen

4065. Remington Bruce, Bend, CA, 90028
This is absolutely absurd. Freedom of internet is critical, don't take that freedom away.

4066. Lauren Santos, Burbank, CA, 91506
I am in favor of strong net neutrality supported by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.

4067. Amelia, Burbank, CA, 91501
Treat all data on the internet the same. If you gave cable companies control over the internet, you'd never hear the end of our complaints. We like our internet free and open. I bet you do, too. Don't mess it up.

4068. Net Neutrality, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
I urge you to preserve net neutrality for the benefit of all users and to assure that all ISPs continue to be regulated by Title II. The public deserves an even and unbiased playing field when utilyzing the internet.

4069. Andrew Green, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Please protect the neutrality or the internet, by keeping title 2 in place. Do not let a few corporations control what content has priority.

4070. Morgan Swift, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I support Net Neutrality and Title 2. Anything else could put American's access to information and technology behind others in the world.

4071. Andrew Williams, LA, CA, 90029
I think net neutrality and the open internet has become a human rights issue approaching the level of constitutional rights. I believe any legislation giving power to censor, throttle, or prioritize content on the internet to private entities or government is a dangerous attack on free speech, freedom of the press, and democracy. I support policies and legislation protecting an open internet and complete net neutrality. I oppose policies and legislation giving private entities or government the right to censor, throttle, or prioritize and legal content on the web. I trust the Federal Communication Commission to protect my right to an open and equal internet.

4072. Daniel Bednarski, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
Rolling back Title II classification of broadband services is not in the public interest. It appears from the record and external comments made by the commissioners that the Commission is planning to consider the interest of broadband providers and their investors over the public at large. This would violate the Commission's mandate. It

goes without saying that policies and regulations that are good for broadband providers - generally among the most hated companies in America - aren't necessarily in the public interest. The Commission doesn't help its case by refusing to allow more time for the public to comment on this proceeding. Moreover, objectively speaking, it appears the Commission is taking its orders from the White House in this regard. If so, this is wrong because it degrades the independence of the Commission. Furthermore, the Commission should be careful not to twist the historical record to suit its needs. Historically, broadband providers provided access and some limited programming. However, in the past few years broadband providers have and continue to acquire content producers and have become major vertical conglomerates that scale the full network stack. In this configuration they have significant incentives to giving full speed connections to its own products while surreptitiously throttling their customers' use of competing products. That incentive didn't exist in the same way or scale before their vertical integrations. The Commission should stop this proceeding. Doing otherwise is not in the public interest.

4073. Shane Borza, Sylmar, CA, 91342
As a proud Veteran of the United States Military, I feel it is my duty to send a message of support for a free and unregulated internet. Please know your decision will be far reaching.

4074. helen eigenberg, los angeles, CA, 90004
Please Americans want a free and open internet. Please don't dismantle the strong net neutrality protections

4075. Vince Duvall, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
Preserve net neutrality. Quell iSP greed.

4076. Jenn Park, Glendale, CA, 91202
Net neutrality is critical to the daily operations of my job. As a Special Educator access to information on the internet for students as well as staff development is a daily required component. By doing away with net neutrality, students in lower socio-economic status would be impacted. No one would have equal access to information which would be detrimental when it comes to preparing students for the 21st century skills.

4077. C Greig, La Canada, CA, 91011
I am a teacher and my students use and benefit from accessing online content

4078. Fadi Beyrouthy, Glendale, CA, 91204
I have been teaching computer science in high school for over 10 years. I hold an MA in computer science and education technology. The net neutrality not only affects me and my work, but affects hundreds of my students as well. We use the Internet freely in my classes to consume and to create content. My students and I create multimedia content all the time and stream it and share it. Instructions videos and home work assignments and multimedia collaborative work over the Internet.

Making any changes to net neutrality as we know it will drastically affect education technology in my clsses and will have a negative impact on hundreds of my students now and in the future.

4079. Mr. Shawn M. Bush, Glendale, CA, 91206
Hello. I am writing to voice concerns over the repeal of net neutrality. Having received my Bachelor of Science degree via online education through Fort Hays State University and currently pursuing my Master of Science in Education via the same methods, I know first hand the importance of equal access to information on the Internet. Additionally, as an educator for elementary students from low socio-economic status (Title 1), I see the benefit of free access to information in their education. We use 21st century skills related to technology in our classroom to inform them and make them engaged citizens for our country. Please do not repeal or revise the net neutrality laws from the Obama administration. The equal rights to information are a fundamental aspect of our Constitutional rights and must be preserved for all citizens. Commercial interest in filtering information is not a democratic process and has no place in our free society.Thank you.

4080. Robert M Priest, La Canada Flintridge, CA, 91011
As a concerned taxpayer and consumer, I am writing to urge the FCC to set the internet free and remove the inappropriate, unnecessary and overly vast regulations currently holding back the full potential of the internet. Due to the grip of the utility-style regulations imposed under the previous Commission, taxpayers have been put at risk, the threat of new fees on consumer bills still looms large, investment in internet infrastructure has not realized its full potential, innovations have gone undeveloped and unrealized, and twenty years of the appropriate level of oversight of the internet has been reversed. We must dial-back the poorly conceived application of Title II in the Open Internet Order so that American taxpayers can benefit from an unrestrained and truly open internet that scales back the unlimited power of the government, protects consumers from new taxes and encourages future investment and endless innovations

4081. Kathy Depp, Burbank, CA 91504, CA, 91504
We want Net neutrality and it must be protected!!

4082. Aron Jean Shay, Burbank, CA, 91501
EVERYONE HAS THE RIGHT TO EQUAL NET ACCESS! It does not belong to the rich alone!

4083. Aly Garcia, Glendale , CA, 91205
I believe in a open and free internet for everyone to use at the same time for the same price. By shutting down Title II/Net Neutrality rules, you are basically asking for the economy to fail.

4084. Leone Avery, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Keep the internet free from corporate control and private interest. It is important that the internet is an equal and fair place. The priority should not be given to streaming

companies and the businesses that have money to influence how the internet works for all people.

4085. Christophe Crosdale, Los ANgeles, CA, 90038
Net Neutrality is important because it helps keep the internet free. If the government tries to interfere with the internet,there is no telling how many restrictions their will be and not to mention the violation of privacy that will most likely to occur.

4086. Patrick Lawler, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Leave net neutrality alone! You are so obviously working in favor of corporations and not the people of this country, I am so sick and tired of having to fight for this every single year! STOP!

4087. Richard, La Canada, CA, 91011
Introducing new rules to sensor free speech via changing internet neutrality rules violates the free speech of all citizens in the United States and would most likely lead to the increased censorship of the internet across the world. Decisions to increase censorship like these are why Trump won.

4088. Michael Steinberg, Burbank, CA, 91502
The US marketplace is already stacked against smaller, more innovative companies. In what I've seen in the entertainment industry, the current neutral web is the only hope of independent films.

4089. Caroline O, Glendale, CA, 91207
Free speech is the foundation of our democracy, and an open internet is the only way to keep speech free. Leave net neutrality alone.

4090. Annette Goldbaum, Glendale, CA, 91206
No one should dictate our access to information, whether by law or by money. The internet must remain a public entity.

4091. Memphis MacPherson, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support strong net neutrality that's why title 2 oversight of ISPs.

4092. Alex Yue, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
I want net neutrality to stand. The internet is a public utility and should be categorized as such.

4093. Alexander Perry, Burbank, CA, 91504
I support strong title II regulation of internet service providers since this standard ha supported innovation and the creation of wealth in the US for the past 30 years.

4094. Stephen Ayers, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
The people of the United States have overwhelming supported Net Neutrality and yet, for some reason, Ajit Pai wants to undo the great thing that Tom Wheeler did by providing protections to consumers from Big Business. There has been no proof

whatsoever that the policies put into place have caused these businesses enough harm to lower the amount infrastructure being built and not one of Pai's arguments is based on fact. Pai does not care about the consumer and the people of the United States. He cares about these Big businesses and their big profit margins. The US is staggeringly at the bottom of the list for internet speeds in developed countries. How is this possible? By giving these businesses free reign to do whatever they please and screw customers over. Why do all these ISPs have horrible ratings across the board? Because they have absolute control over the people as the sole arbiters of the internet in their localities. To undo the protections of Net Neutrality granted to us by Tom Wheeler will damage the United States. It will damage it's people. It will damage the freedom of the internet.

4095. claudia hernandez, Glendale, CA, 91205
I believe net neutrality should remain. I am against companies selling my information for their profit and I feel like what I chose to do online should not be influenced by providers in any way. By selecting what I can and can't see online or how and where, what websites I visit is up to me and I shouldn't have to jump through hoops and pay more for certain content. Net neutrality must remain

4096. Grant Michals, Montrose, CA, 91020
I support the preservation of the Title II Classification that supports free and open internet access.

4097. Sarah Minneman, Glendale, CA, 91203
I am a graduate student and have been working in libraries for the past six years. I highly value the wealth of information readily available on the internet and the ease of access that the internet provides. Iâ€™m concerned that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way theyâ€™re enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any. The internet is an invaluable resource, one that the American people are increasingly dependent upon. I fear the broader implications, including a widening of the class divide, if ISPs are given the freedom to hijack the prices. Unfortunately, if my ISP starts discriminating, I can't simply choose another. At best, there is an illusion of choice with selection ISPs, especially for those living in apartments. On top of that, if I could switch ISPs, I'd likely need to buy a new router, modem, and pay for the installation.

4098. Steve Kaufmann, Hollywood, CA, 90028
A free and open internet is better for jobs. Better for the economy. Better for a free and open market

4099. James Nelson, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Do NOT reverse Title II net neutrality rules. A non-neutral net allows for ISP's to damage and sway a free Internet. The Internet is the most powerful and important tool in the world today; reversing Title II net neutrality is a clear step toward using that tool to take advantage of, and hurt, Americans. Keep net neutrality, do not reverse Title II. The American people aren't being protected by reversing Title II.

Keep the net neutral.

4100. michael flannery, Los angeles, CA, 90026
Net nuetrality is a basic American freedom. Broadband companies shouldn't have the power over the people.

4101. Oliver McIrwin, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Thank you for reviewing my personal comments on open internet and net neutrality. I believe the internet is a communication utility that is a wholly necessary to participate in our modern everyday life. Already internet providers such as Verizon, and T-Mobile are testing the market by limiting data rates and tightening the restrictions that the government had put in place placed to keep this utility open and available. The original vision of the internet was a level playing field providing a system of communication that is open to all. The original standards support innovation and new business. Repealing the open internet rules only gives benefit to big business to make more profits by tightening the belts of their customers. By ridding net neutrality rules, providers will have no interest in supporting the success of their customers, and instead align themselves with the goal to increase their own margins. I am an electrical engineer and often have enterprising business ideas. The removal of net neutrality has already closed my scope of possibilities. Websites that would use large amounts of data with 360 virtual reality video streaming (a new media market that shows much promise) is now up against the limits of the provider, instead of the development of the content. I feel that a utility that supports business should not be the limiting factor in your companies success, but that the content and quality of your business product should be. By changing the rules of the road the benefit only goes to the providers giving them a power that is not in the interest of the people that use igt Please reinstate net neutrality. Our country's future of encouraging and supporting innovation depends on it.

4102. DYAMI ACHENE, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please protect my Net Neutrality. I am an educator of Music and Technology and believe that any infringement on fair internet use will erode our democracy and access to information.

4103. Kevin Steppe, Glendale, CA, 91206
I work extensively in the computing industry and so net neutrality rules have a direct effect on my work. I frequently work for smaller entities and on personal projects. None of these have resources to pay for consumer access, but all are valuable innovations. Additionally I teach programming, computing, and systems design. My students set up projects and systems on various servers. Weakened net neutrality rules would mean ISPs could control what technologies my students learn rather than getting the most valuable education. Second, it is clear that internet *connectivity* is precisely that - connectivity to a network and not content nor service itself. I choose the sources of content I want. And I frequently precisely define which machine or machine end points I want (via direct IP or other means to access servers where I have placed data or code or services). In order to provide

locality services, I often need to have control of the geographical placement of these machines. In my work, the ISP provides only connectivity to that end point - communications and no more. Further, it is clear that all users see their connection as communications and not information services. For example, when I travel from home to work to coffee shop, the end-points (servers and information services) remain the same even while the connection provider changes. This is the same as with phone service - when I call my office the office is the same regardless of phone network - and when I access the computing server at my office, the end point is the same regardless of who provides connectivity. Changing between ISPs - whether at home or a restaurant - is like changing cell towers, my connectivity network may change, but the end-points I connect to do not change. This view is strengthened by the way that ISPs advertise - based on speed and reliability of connectivity.Finally it is critical to the health of our economy and citizens that the FCC be active in supporting low cost internet access. As an educator, I see the 'digital divide' in a very detailed way. Obviously, students with less ability to afford network access have lower technical skills. But the divide is more sophisticated. Students who access a wider variety of services and providers - trying out different streaming services, different gaming servers, a variety of storage options and education platforms - are more knowledgable, insightful, and skilled in computing. If ISPs were able to restrict or limit access to other servers in any way, it would cut back our future. Limiting access - even to commercial services like music streaming - limits the opportunity to learn about different business models, different user interface choices, different technical solutions. Limiting access limits learning. I urge the FCC, in the strongest possible terms, to consider the access to knowledge our future needs. Ensure, vigorously, that telecommunications firms do not become information services limiters. Support learners, support innovators, let me do my job by ensuring that net neutrality continues.

4104. David Bryan Eshelbrenner, Glendale, CA, 91202
I absolutely support maintaining net nutrality and further protecting the virtual ecosystem of the internet, which has accelerated growth and raised quality of life around the world over the past twenty years. It is insane that you would risk the integrity of this modern wonder to indulge your outdated economic theories about free markets

4105. Kyle Pouzou, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Please stop making decisions in the interest of cooperations. This is a country for and by the people first. Keep the internet open so that everyone has equal share and equal chance at success, not the "people" who will shell out more for the "fast lanes."

4106. Timothy Paul, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
After following this for years and gauging both sides, I am convinced that net neutrality is essential for our democracy and to lose it will also lose my faith in our government.

4107. Mark Carlson, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Net neutrality is important to all citizens of this country! If we lose it, we can safely assume that you have made this decision to benefit only a small number of the very rich. Please don't do that!

4108. Kate Ekman, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
To Whom It May Concern,I wanted to file a comment in support of net neutrality, a question which has come up regularly in our American political sphere for years. The majority of Americans favor net neutrality and I, for one, would like to see net neutrality settled once and for all. Thank you.Sincerely,Kate Ekman"CALinnovates Internet Attitudes survey found that 74 percent ofAmericans would support net neutrality legislation that ensures a levelplaying field across the internet ecosystem. " source: http://calinnovates.org/wp-content/themes/calinnovates/netneutrality-page/CALInnovatesNetNeutralityInfographic.pdf

4109. Joshua Edelman, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
As a young, independent filmmaker Net Neutrality has been of particular importance to me. I got my foot in the door by creating online comedy videos, and having an open internet allowed me to share my work and reach an audience that has since helped turn my passion into a career. Competing in an environment so dominated by big money studios has made it a challenge to get myself out there, but an end to Net Neutrality would be devastating to my career, life, and financial well being. I implore you to maintain an open internet, not only for my own benefit, but for the benefit of millions of other artists, creators, and every day people who's livelihood depends on a free and open internet. Thank you.

4110. Parker Edwards, Glendale, CA, 91205
Government is meant to serve the people, the general populace. What is best for the majority of people?

4111. Zateh D, Glendale, CA, 91203
Please keep the Title II regulations in place because they are far more superior than the FTC protections which are entirely inadequate for preventing & protecting consumers against ISP's. It's also pretty easy to carve out exemptions for small ISP's with fewer than ~200K subscribers, so there's no reason to let mega ISP's off the hook for regulation under Title II because you want to protect smaller ISP's. You can keep Title II AND protect small ISP's.

4112. M.N. Johnson, Glendale, CA, 91208
I am strongly in favor of NET NEUTRALITY. I join millions of citizens, colleges and universities, small businesses and major corporations in recommending that you leave in place the Open Internet Order passed in 2015 during the Obama/Wheeler-era FCC. Net neutrality is good for business, innovation, investment, creativity and citizenship. In short, it is the American Way, digitized. I implore you not to dismantle it. Sincerely,M.N. JohnsonGlendale, CA 91208

4113. 17-108, West Hollywood, CA, 90046

If Net Nuetrality is killed, then we as consumers will terminate our services en masse with the ISP's that lobbied D.C. politicians at the FCC. Kill Net Neutrality and the people will bankrupt you all.

4114. Jacob Frye, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
The internet has always been free and open. Keep it that way, or you're thieving money directly from small business owners, new entrepreneurs, and most damagingly, the poorer people of this country, further eroding the foundations of our democracy. The FCC is responsible to the people of this country, not the CEOs of the service providers. We're watching you and we won't forget what happens here. Thank you!

4115. EW Kotz, Pasadena, CA, 91105
Dear FCC: Preserve Net Neutrality. Your job is to look out of the long-term interests of American democracy and America citizens by preserving equal access to the internet. not caving to the financial interests of a handful of corporations.

4116. Suzanne Rush, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Net Neutrality, or open access to the Internet is crucial to both democracy and business in the USA. Like electricity or power, the internet is critical to every part of daily life and should be treated like a utility. There should be no "faster lanes" for bigger players.

4117. Timothy J Malstead, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Please don't change or abandon net neutrality. We need it to keep the internet a more fair and equitable place.

4118. Tosh Berman, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Net Neutrality is a must for democracy. Big cable companies or industries should not be making choices for us with respect to what we can see / hear or not to see / here on the Internet. Respect the rights of people by supporting Net Neutrality.

4119. John Eitzel, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Let me state what I think in 3 ways. 1) You want to control information across the internet and you want your friends to make huge profits. Or 2) You want to limit free speech and want your friends to monopolize this medium all at the expense the people of this country, innovation and the 1st Amendment. Or 3) You are saying let my friends make huge profits and Screw You All.

4120. Chris Basler, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
We can't hold private companies accountable for protecting internet access, and removing the regulations to ensure net neutrality is a dangerous slippery slope for consumers. Don't. Do. It.

4121. David Fedele, Glendale, CA, 91202
The internet has become a standard, right, and necessity for everyone. It informs us of safety and emergency information, allows us to communicate, work, play, and

share information for a greater world benefit. It should not be prioritized/tiered or throttled or "nickel and dimed" by ISP's. Historically, EVERY ISP has been guilty of this and if left unchecked they will take advantage of their reduced/non-existant safeguards as a means to increase their profits. Currently, there is almost zero innovation within the ISP and mobile broadband ecosystem. We have seen innovation in terms of pricing from unlimited plans on mobile broadband, but home internet is still very expensive for the given speeds which usually come with a data cap. Additionally, ISP's keep themselves segregated and multiple choices are largely unheard of in most markets. Furthermore, the government has already given millions of dollars in funding to ISP's towards network infrastructure and innovation and we are still operating at the slower and more expensive broadband than most, if not all, of developed countries. The internet needs to be a neutral territory so that we can continue to use it for work, worldly sharing of information, and leisure. Repealing net neutrality would damage the internet, and unfortunately, most citizens are largely untechnical and unaware of the ramifications of repealing net neutrality. It is our responsibility to protect it from the blatant ISP interests that have been the backers of our FCC board.

4122. Leith Burke, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I am writing to urge you to uphold the title II classification for ISPs. I honestly cannot think of anything more deserving of the title "public utility" than our internet providers. We count on the internet for education, communication, entertainment and commerce among other things. It is essential to most Americans everyday existence and should operate at equal speed and efficiency due to each individual's wants and needs. Just as the level or quality of electricity is equal for all no matter who provides it, so should our internet be. I urge you to please keep the title II designation in place. Thank you for your time.

4123. Claudia O' Sullivan, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Defend internet neutrality!

4124. Ann and Robert Wachtel, Hollywood, CA, 90069
Keep Government away from out net neutrality .NO GOVERNMENT REGULATIONS !

4125. kathy zielinski, La Canada-Flintridge, CA, 91011
I am writing to express my very strong support for Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isp's. This is very important to me and I do not wish for this important rule to be changed in any way. Thank you.

4126. Scottie Mitchell, Montrose, CA, 91020
Please!! Do not end Net Neutrality!! It is an assault on our freedom of speech!! Thank You.

4127. Matthew Weintrub, Glendale, CA, 91201
I want to be on record as stating:1. I hate regulations as much as anyone2. the FCCâ€™s proposal is wrong in principle, wrong in implementation, and otherwise

just plain wrong. â€œRestoring Internet Freedomâ€ is a politically motivated, industry-underwritten, and poorly argued rush job that fails to consider numerous factors in the complex industry and culture it attempts to deregulate.3. Internet providers have attempted to throttle traffic by type or by user (Comcast in 2007), have imposed arbitrary and secret caps on data (AT&T 2011-2014), hidden fees that had no justification or documentation (Comcast in 2016), and tried to give technical advantages to their own services over those of competitors (AT&T in 2016). These attempts were only revealed in retrospect once they were discovered and lawsuits filed. If the deterrents those lawsuits provided eventually had been part of preemptive rulemaking then these practices would never have been attempted at all.4. The fact the FCC via Mr. Pai has indicated that it does not need or intend to factor this historic public response into its decision whether to adopt the new rule. The agency is independent and is not required to reflect public opinion in its rulemaking. Millions of people and practically every major tech company â€" not to mention Members of Congress and other officials â€" have expressed serious objections to the proposal. To ignore the voices clamoring around you is not just foolish, itâ€™s un-American.

4128. Lee Bierman, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I am writing a second (possibly third?) time to express my vehement disapproval of Ajit Pai's proposal to roll back net neutrality protections. I believe attempts by Pai's FCC to roll back net neutrality are a direct violation of the First Amendment's free speech protections. Giving ISPs the ability to throttle and/or block data and content from end users infringes upon the ability of content creators to exercise free speech. Additionally, removing net neutrality protections will stifle innovation and competition by raising the barrier for entry into the market artificially and places too much power in the hands of entrenched localized monopolies. The ISPs are effectively double dipping in the market place by acting as both utility providers (the hard wiring) and data providers (by offering data services via their corporate holdings). Accordingly, these ISPs can hold smaller content providers hostage and charge for entry (or deny it completely) while not charging themselves for the same services, thus increasing their stranglehold on the market.This is a shameful ploy by the FCC to bend over backwards for the telecom lobbies and the companies they represent. It is anti-consumer and unconstitutional. Please do not proceed with this rollback of net neutrality.

4129. Jamie Mayer, Los Angeles, CA, 90068
I have serious concerns that the existing protections will be weakened if we change the way theyâ€™re enforced. I would only support new regulations if they guarantee the same or better protections, but NOT if we lose any.

4130. Linnea Bullion, Los Anglees, CA, 90029
I have grown up with the Internet. It has been a great point of stability in my life to know that I have solid access to it at almost all pointsâ€“â€“whether I'm reading articles about species I've never heard of or watching a movie with friends on Netflix. As a young artist, I haven't been able to afford packages for cable, and thus

have primarily used the Internet as a means of entertainment. I'm happy to pay services like Netflix and HBO, knowing that I am supporting the creators of content legally. Ending Net Neutrality would likely mean that ISPs would throttle my access to such services, and force me to pay more to be able to stream such content. Please, please don't give them this ability. ISPs already have such a monopoly on their services, they don't need any more power than they already have.

4131. Sarah Ann Head, Burbank, CA, 91506
I believe that a free and open internet is an important asset that benefits all Americans. So much of our lives are online now such as communicating with family across the country, paying bills, helping coordinate hurricane efforts, searching for jobs, and much more. All of these could be jeopardized if the FCC no longer oversees broadband ISPs. Without the FCC overseeing broadband there could be rampant abuses, monopolies, price gouging, and invasion of privacy among other issues. I live in LA county--one of the most populous areas of the country--and I still only have two providers for broadband internet and services, both of which provide essentially the same services at the same cost (including substandard customer service as well). In addition, without protections providers could throttling opposing platforms and redirect to paid websites instead, stifling free speech. We need the consumer protections provided by the FCC under Title II and I strongly object to abolishing rules that protect net neutrality.

4132. Gary Kelly, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
I believe that the loss of Net Neutrality would lead to corporations having too much control over the content of the internet. Throttling content would be tantamount to censorship.

4133. Lindsay Katai, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
It is vital that we keep in place the 2015 order that ensured net neutrality by classifying internet service providers as public utilities. People depend on the internet for communication and information. If access to information and ability to communicate with the world is limited to what an individual can afford, then the very principles of free speech and free press are at stake. The people should be protected from unfair business practices and it's the FCC's job to do so. I demand that net neutrality regulations be kept in place.

4134. Michael Hartigan, Glendale, CA, 91202
I would like to register my voice as a citizen who rejects all the arguments put forth by FCC chairman Pai, and his supporters, for stripping the internet of its Title II classification. Given the current level of reliance on internet communication in our economy and civilization in general, it seems that so-called net neutrality is of vital importance to democracy. Without equal access to the internet as our last best level playing field, the voices of the powerful will have free reign to drown out the voices of the diverse, whether they mean to or not. I f democracy hasn't already failed in the United States, a privately-controlled internet will surely stamp out its light for good. This is why I am calling on the FCC to vote to maintain its 2015 order

establishing the internet as public utility.Thank you.

4135. J. Bowerscheer, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
I am in favor of maintaing net neutrality. Removing this protection opens the doors for giants like Comcast, Verizon, and AT&T to further exploit the public in order to increase their own profits. Moreover, upholding neurtality helps protects vulerable populations such as those from lower socioeconic status, ethnic minorities, and members of the LGBT community. For example, these groups would lose a tool that allows activitists to be able to fight against oppression. Without this protection corporations with the financial resouces could impose their idea of what is morally right and make it difficult for those that do not share the same opinion. What the Trump administration has done to our society is already embarassing and terrifying. Please do not revoke the protections granted to real people (not corporations).

4136. Sam Hough, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The idea of allowing ISPs to throttle content based on their bottom line is bad for Americans.

4137. Kevin Bannerman, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
In spite of how the current FCC is presenting their case, the truth is is that losing net neutrality will only benefit big ISPs. They will be able to control who gets access to what at what speed. If there were no advantage for them, why would they be working so hard for deregulation? We get it, you are Republican-biased and want to play into the hands (and pockets) of big donors and this is yet again a perfect example of everyone in the country about to suffer for the good of a few rich folks. Stand tall and do what is best for the country, not your cronies.

4138. Chris Allison, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Net Neutrality is a cornerstone of Democracy. We must keep the Title II Classification. There is overwhelming support to keep Net Neutrality. Do the right thing for the American population.

4139. Alice Echols, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Net neutrality is vital. Opponents argue that it impedes infrastructure, but actually Verizon, AT&T and Comcast have improved their infrastructure.

4140. Michael Smith, Sun Valley, CA, 91352
I support net neutrality and the current classification under Title II. I am highly opposed to Chairman Pai's plan for reclassification. Don't ruin the internet.

4141. Thomas G Costello, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support net neutrality. I urge the panel to vote against 17-108.

4142. William Stevens, los angeles, CA, 90027
Net Neutrality is absolutely vital to the tech industry. Without net neutrality large online media companies can establish sweetheart deals with telecom giants to receive unfair marketplace advantages over smaller competitors. This is

unacceptable and will stifle innovation and competition in this vital market.

4143. Davis Cox, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
Ensuring that the internet as it has existed and exists today is as vital to the future of human communication and interaction in the next century as the radio, the telegram and the telephone have been in previous ones. Allowing ISPs to dictate what information is shared, by whom, and for what dollar amount, will cripple the advancement of technology and innovation in more ways than even realize at this moment. The Restoring Internet Freedom Act is transparently bought-and-sold legislation by the telecom industry that is anti-consumer to its very core. This is the same industry that has fought tooth and nail to limit innovation and competition every step of the way, often using government-backed dollars to do so.

4144. Chris Lee, La Canada, CA, 91011
Please do not scrap net neutrality rules. This is only going to make everyone's lives harder.

4145. Margaret Dunlap, Burbank, CA, 91501
The Internet has become an indispensable part of modern life, and access to it is necessary for participation in today's economy. It is no more a luxury than electricity or running water. Leaving such a vital part of our national infrastructure to the mercies of "the market" is bad for all Americans. I urge the FCC to preserve its current status and title II protections.

4146. Alex Curran, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
The Internet is a level playing field - there should not be limits to what sites get to be seen, how you may be able to view videos, and what sites will load for you at typical speeds of a modern technological society. Free & Open Internet for all.

4147. TONI VIAN, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90026
As an Internet user who believes strongly in the importance of a free and open Internet, UNDIVIDED BY ABILITY TO PAY FOR SPEED, I urge the FCC to reclassify broadband Internet access as a telecommunications service, instead of pandering to big telecom providers, and to maintain TRUE Net Neutrality. We won those protections in the past because 4 million Americans used the FCC's public comment process to speak out. The FCC should ABSOLUTELY reject ANY proposed rules that would allow Internet service providers to divide the Internet into fast lanes for wealthy corporations and slow lanes for the rest of us, or subject those faster services to extra fees.

4148. Becky Bristow, Tujunga, CA, 91042
The people of the US want and deserve full use of the internet without huge funds. The internet should be free for all of us. The net suppliers are making plenty of money allready!

4149. Jason Merrin, Burbank, CA, 91506
Save Net Neutrality - don't strip away protections for a free and open internet.

Information is the most powerful tool in the modern world - let's not allow special interests like cable providers determine how information can be shared.

4150. Ian Lynch, Burbank, CA, 91505
Please protect net neutrality. It is imperative that every citizen's access to information remain equal despite economic or geographic barriers.

4151. Elizabeth Brostein, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Please protect Net Neutrality. It is vital to our future.

4152. Daniel Silberberg, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
Removing net neutrality protections would be a disaster for the open internet. Cable companies could pick and choose which data to boost, throttle, or censor entirely, without the effected users even knowing. Comcast, Verizon, and AT&T (all known for poor treatment of customers) have tried to do so in the past, and spent billions lobbying for the opportunity to discriminate even more. With major internet service providers' regional monopolies, foot voting isn't a viable option for most. The consumer's only line of defense from such abusive policies is the Federal Communications Commission, appointed by our elected officials. This body must be empowered to enforce net neutrality, and be prevented from falling into the hands of corporate cronies. This choice will determine how our children's children access information. You MUST protect consumers, protect privacy, protect free speech, protect online innovation, and protect the integrity of our democracy by classifying ISPs as common carriers.

4153. Wayne Kaufman, Glendale, CA, 91208
Given the local monopolies that Communication companies have, we need net neutrality to allow us access to internet resources. I don't have a choice if my internet provider decides to force Netflix out to switch providers. There is no competition so we need to protect our access thru net neutrality. Look at what happened with LA Dodgers. No TV access for years when you give control to one company.

4154. Mary Nash, LA, CA, 90026
Please keep the strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. It is important for society and the economy that everyone has the same footing when accessing the internet.Thank you.

4155. Alexandra Reed, Glendale, CA, 91205
I strongly support net neutrality as essential to the internet remaining free and accessible to all users, I am opposed to the deregulation.

4156. David Warren, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Equal accessibility to all websites is essential for a democracy to ensure all groups, no matter how small, have a voice.

4157. Ted Brassfield, Los Angeles, CA, 90068

The proposed framework would promote anti-competitive, anti-consumer, anti-innovation behavior by monopolistic/duopolistic incumbent operators and should be rejected.Vertically integrated wireline / wireless providers have strong incentives to promote their content (through zero-rating, throttling, or other anticompetitive behavior). Preserving title II will ensure that the next generation of web applications is not aborted prematurely by incumbents.The FTC has no expertise in overseeing the complex and nuanced industry of telecommunications. Authority should remain with the FCC.The Chairman, at the behest of major industry players, claims that title II regulations prevent the rollout of network upgrades. This assertion is demonstrably false according to investor communication by these very same corporations: https://investors.att.com/~/media/Files/A/ATT-IR/financial-reports/quarterly-earnings/2017/ib_final_1q17.pdf http://files.shareholder.com/downloads/CMCSA/4875807252x0x873205/811126E6-3CE1-48AE-9B1B-81A2B4AFA03A/Comcast_4Q15_Earnings_Transcript.pdf http://www.verizon.com/about/investors/quarterly-reports/1q-2017-quarter-earnings-conference-call-webcastAs well, smaller ISPs note no barriers to infrastructure expansion. https://www.eff.org/files/2017/06/27/isp_letter_to_fcc_on_nn_privacy_title_ii.pdfShould the Commission roll back title II, the real losers will be the American people.

4158. Eliot Murray, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Please do not relinquish internet freedom to corporate interest. This is the greatest invention since the bicycle and we need to keep it's control out of board rooms and up to the people. Don't let the internet be purchased like the American government has been. Thank you.

4159. Patrick Baker, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please do not do away with the Title II Classification for ISPs. I can't believe we're having to tell you guys this again, but here we are. John Oliver has already laid out this case for you before. https://youtu.be/92vuuZt7wak You probably remember that, because it broke your website. We meant it then, and we mean it now. In Packingham v. North Carolina, a Supreme Court case from June, the court determined that the internet provides "essential venues for public gatherings to celebrate some views, to protest others, or simply to learn and inquire.â€ Essentially, the internet is a public square. Based off of this Supreme Court ruling, removing Title II designation amounts to allowing ISPs to decide who gets to enter a public park. That's not something you would consider doing, so you should keep Title II in place.I know the FCC feels that Title II designation stifles internet innovation, but innovative companies like Facebook, Amazon, Google, and 80,000 other websites are against your plan to revoke Title II. https://www.cnbc.com/2017/07/12/google-amazon-protest-against-fcc-reverse-net-neutrality.html These companies have made the case that common carrier status is in the internet's interest while, the FCC has yet to make a public and coherent case otherwise. You owe it to the Americans you serve to offer that case before revoking Title II status. But really, you should just drop this whole thing and leave Title II designation in place.

4160. Edward Yerke-Robins, Sunland, CA, 91040
Speaking as a consumer, no-blocking, no-throttling, and no paid prioritization rules are important to me to set a base set of standards that I can expect from my ISP. I don't have the technical background to do a ton of homework to know if I will actually be able to use ISP services the way I expect to (honestly, I barely understand this). While I am heartened to know no companies are currently exploring using these to suppress content, the only way to guarantee it is to have it in writing. I do not see these as suppressing competition, as companies can compete for customers through other avenues like providing the best customer service, bundled hardware discounts (like how AT&T used to be the only cell phone provider for the iPhone), or providing best overall speed/network coverage. Thanks for your consideration.

4161. , Los Angeles, CA, 90028
lets keep the internet the way it is! stay neutral!

4162. Dorothy , Los Angeles, CA, 90039
The principle of interner is free digital communication. You can violate the years of LAN connections build.

4163. Victoria Ahlers, Montrose, CA, 91020
I'm a student who can't always afford books and can't always make it to the library to get the information I need for papers. I also purchase items online, which takes enough money what with shipping fees. I wouldn't be able to get was needed if Net Meutrality went out.

4164. Sarah , Glendale, CA, 91202
As a Democratic Republic it is essential that we maintain our ability to lawfully and peacefully congregate, express our selves, and create content. This should not be limited to only those who can afford it, but as a equal service available to all at common price. Because our new way of connecting is primarily online, it is important to maintain these rights not just offline, but most certainly online as well, and net neutrality is the only way for this to exist.

4165. Pam Clark, Glendale, CA, 91205
We will not have the internet taken over by big cable companies that will jack up the prices and hold consumers hostage. Stop this attack, now.

4166. Brantley Bardin, Los Angeles, CA, 90039
Net Neutrality is not negotiable. Go no further in your thinking about it than that. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY. Concerned citizen of 90039 zip code. And there of many, many, many of of us in only this zip code. Imagine all of the other zip codes. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY.

4167. Leslie Silton, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I agree that Net Neutrality is not negotiable.

4168. Alfonso De La Barrera, West Hollywood, CA, 90069
We pay enough to be restricted

4169. T Hildebrandt, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
The internet is a basic necessity for me. I believe internet providers should not have the power to discriminate against certain types of content and profit from such leverage while limiting my access.I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality under the FCC's existing rules and keep broadband internet access classified under Title II.

4170. Daniel Oster, Los Angeles, CA, 90028
I am writing to express my strong support of maintaining and preserving net neutrality rules. As someone in the entertainment industry who has relied heavily on free and open internet pathways to share my content with consumers, net neutrality has protected my ability to reach them without being drowned out by more established producers with deeper pockets. Net neutrality encourages essential innovation and provides equal access to the 21st century marketplace of ideas. It's predictable that major corporations would want to shred these protections for their own gain, shutting the door on smaller competitors to the detriment of the consumer--which is exactly why the American government must protect its citizens by defending the freedom of opportunity at the heart of our national identity as we move further and further into a digital economy. Please preserve net neutrality and keep the Internet free. Thank you.

4171. Roger Motley, west hollywood, CA, 90069
please rollback the net neutrality law. the internet has changed so much already since this law was passed. the government has taken so much away from the people as it is. please give us the internet back.

4172. Steven Gregoire, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
Net neutrality is essential to modern day freedom of speech. It's also essential as a safeguard for small businesses trying to compete against larger, established entities on the internet. It's good for business, it's good for people. America needs this.

4173. Maxine Nunes, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
It's incredibly important to our democracy to maintain net neutrality. Please keep things as they are! Thank you.

4174. Nathaniel Boggess, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
Please, please, please do not kill Net Neutrality. What could we possibly gain from that? We will just lose. I want my internet free from corporate interference. Like my water. Like our highways (for the most part). Do the right thing for chrissakes.

4175. Sisian Ghahramanians, Glendale, CA, 91207
As a millennial and digital native, I urge you strongly to NOT roll back net neturality. I work in the tech sector and deal with a ton of data and consumer information on a daily basis. It is very dangerous to roll back legistlation that

prevents from big companies from monopolizing the free web as we know it. It is not only immoral but also extremely unethical to give power to major companies to own and alter the web. Majority of users are not aware of how altered and customized the content they see on the internet is, thus not understanding the dangers of giving one or two, or even five major companies majority of the control. Additionally, the beauty of this industry is that it has a low barrier to entry allowing the most innovative and determined start-ups blossom to enterprise level establishments. This low barrier to entry allows the industry to evolve and go to levels that we were not once able to imagine. Rolling back net neutrality limits this greatly. Do the right thing for humans, not just what the major companies are lobbying for. In a time of absolute turmoil in this nation, we need now more than ever stability on net neutrality. It affects EVERYTHING we do.

4176. Jennifer Kutchaver, La Canada-Flintridge, CA, 91011
I am writing to express my very strong support for Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isp's. This is very important to me and I do not wish for this very Important rule to be changed in any way. Thank you for your time.

4177. Alexander Naveira, Burbank, CA, 91504
Please protect Net Neutrality. The repeal would be largely damaging to our democracy, and it is ridiculous that our regulatory agencies would go so far as to represent the interest of large corporations, such as ISPs, while ignoring those of the American people.

4178. Michael Morgan, Pasadena, CA, 91105
Do not change Title II rules for ISPs. The Internet should be free and open, not selective. Maintain and enforce net neutrality rules as they are now. The current FCC director is a corporate shill looking to dismantle consumer protections. Do not let him change what was already established.

4179. Armando McIntyre-Kirwin, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
We must have 100% net neutrality. Access to the Internet should be a universal right, with ISPs having ZERO ability to control, shape, speed up or down Internet traffic. Shame on the FCC for even considering the elimination of net neutrality.

4180. Shilpi Roy, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
As much as Internet Service Providers say that they will uphold the ideals of Net Neutrality, we ALL know that, if they see a way to profit by censoring or manipulating our connection to the internet, THEY WILL.If I were them I would do the same thing. They are a business, making money is their directive. But the consumers are not businesses looking to make money. The Internet is the last bastion of equality in this world. The good, the bad, the beautiful, the ugly - it's all on the internet. Everything on the internet needs to stay equally accessible. I am in support of Net Neutrality. My biggest fear is one day I will come home and want to watch some high Netflix...and it turns out my internet service provider doesn't want to provide that anymore. THEY ARE ALREADY MONOPOLIES. Don't give them more power over my bills, and my life.

4181. Richard Lippman, Montrose, CA, 91020
I am deeply concerned that the protections in place will be weakened if you change the way they are enforced. I support a new regulation style if it absolutely guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any. I further object to the Orwellian title of this proposal.

4182. Zoe Cuipylo-Watkins, Los Angeles, CA, 90004
We cannot trust corporations to have the public interest at heart. Keeping the net neutral allows an equal footing for everyone, and protecting that neutrality is going to be one of the most important things we do in the future. We need regulation because corporations should not be able to throttle information, which is a basic human right and which allows for the growth of the economy by giving lower income people a way to work their way up. Keep the net neutral, it's the right thing to do. The FCC should work for the American people, NOT corporations.

4183. Gloria Sander, Glendale, CA, 91202
I endorse the protections presently in place with regard to net neutrality. I would support a new regulation style only if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any. All pledges by the cable trade industry to the contrary, they will be compelled to game the system without such protections, in order to raise profits and obtain control over the internet.

4184. Susan Terebey, La Canada, CA, 91011
Net neutrality is a fundamental requirement for the internet to be fair, and for our democracy to function. If the rules let infrastructure providers limit access, then we know they will do so to their perceived advantage. They won't tell us. TRANSPARENCY is RAPIDLY DISAPPEARING on the internet as monopolies such as Google hide their actions. BRING TRUST BACK TO THE INTERNET.

4185. Xian Chua, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
To whom it may concern. I work in the Tech industry and that is why the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. Please protect them. ISP's have a lot of power already. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open

marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you! Xian Chua

4186. Matthew Schwab, Los Angeles, CA, 90046
Please keep Title 2 and total net neutrality. Without this, you leave the door open to hurt freelancers and owners of small businesses like myself. We rely on unbiased search engines for business.

4187. Robert Bryan, Los Angeles, CA, 90027
I specifically support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversites of ISPs

4188. Fredy Escobar, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Title II is an important safeguard against ISP's meddling with internet speeds or any other tomfoolery. A free and open internet is what is at stake here and the FCC should be its guardian.

4189. Zack Hemenway, Los Angeles, CA, 90029
Preserve title 2. Preserve net neutrality.

4190. Ranjit Bath, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
I support Strong Net Neutrality backed by by title 2 oversight of ISPs

4191. Jane Parrott, Glendale, CA, 91204
I support strong net neutrality including title 2 oversight of isps.

4192. Bala Kasar, Glendale, CA, 94087
I am in support of the current Net Neutrality laws and believe strongly that this helps foster innovation and level the playing ground for technology startups. It is also important in other ways such as to ensure access to all segments of the society. I also support the retention of the current classification of broadband services as a Title II telecommunications service.

4193. catherine eng, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
I STRONGLY support Net neutrality

4194. Shaun Obanion, Los Angeles, CA, 90068

Hello. Please note that I, as a citizen of the US and user of the World Wide Web, demand strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.Thank you.

4195. Grace King, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
support strong netutrality

4196. aviram pack-soltes, Los angeles, CA, 90038
I suppost net neutrality with title 2 oversight of isp's

4197. Thomas Lubey, West Hollywood, CA, 90046
As you consider the issue of net neutrality, please listen to the the overwhelming chorus of working, taxpaying Americans -- preserve net neutrality and Title II.

4198. guillermo renderos, los angeles, CA, 90027
do not take the internet away. Keep it open to public and do not monopolize it to the only 2 internet companies available. Internet should be open and free

4199. Robert Adjemian, Hollywood, CA, 90068
Having an open internet will result in more jobs, faster web speed, and show that America can be a leader.It's about fair competition, not helping the telecom giants increase their profits. Or it should be.

4200. margaret morgan, LOS ANGELES, CA, 90027
I urge the FCC respect net neutrality; in the Information Age it is like the air we breath: no corporations or individuals own it or control access to it.

4201. Marc Labinger, Los Angeles, CA, 90069
Please preserve Net Neutrality and title 2. Democracy is important.

4202. Kristen Moore, La Crescenta, CA, 91214
A free and level playing field is important to give small businesses the opportunity to grow and compete. I strongly support net neutrality.

4203. Arbi Ayvazian, Glendale, CA, 91201
I strongly support The Net Neutrality Act.

4204. Casey Directo, Tujunga, CA, 91042
In this age of information, net neutrality is incredibly important in the preservation of democracy by giving the population unfettered access to information. An informed population, one that has access to unbiased and truthful news, is necessary if the American people wish to elect officials who they know will be held accountable and whose interests align with theirs. Allowing ISP's the power to control information, regardless of any promises that they may make, is a threat to democracy that cannot be risked.

4205. Reseda Mickey, Los Angeles, CA, 90026
I support net neutrality, backed by Title II (Title 2) oversights of ISP's.

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used national language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post. https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9f0e3ed36a6.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.