

## Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from CA District #17

These are the 2,717 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative Ro Khanna's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs> under the proceeding 17-108.

1. John Hutson, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I have very limited options for internet service, two carriers to choose from. It is ludicrous to think that allowing ATT to block or throttle my internet is in my best interest. It is obviously in ATT's best interest, that's why they support scrapping consumer protection rules. Name any group of \*consumers\* (not deceptively named corporations or their lobbyists) in favor of removing the current consumer protections. Chairman Pai is self-contradicting when he states that "open internet rules were unnecessary because large internet providers did not block websites before they were imposed" and now says that it is necessary that these same rules are removed. Obviously large internet providers, the supporters of this change, now want to control what we have access to. It is unfortunate that the FCC has decided to side with large corporations rather than the American people, in this case as well as the FCC's zeal to give Ligado Networks (was LightSquared) a \*free\* spectrum conversion - worth billions to Ligado and something will damage our GPS system (but only in the US, ironically GPS will work fine in the rest of the world).
2. Michael Bierman, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
This proposal is insane. There is absolutely no reason internet providers, should be able to monitor traffic, benefit from selling usage information, or be able to give some traffic preferential treatment (e.g. Netflix has a deal with Comcast so they get priority over say, Youtube or a startup company.) ISPs like Comcast already are basically monopolies. They already charge more than similar services in other countries. These put consumers in a position of having no choice and having no right to privacy.
3. Kyle Rosenow, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
The internet is required for modern life. Recently I graduated college and obtained a new job. Job applications were done completely online. Internet should be treated like a telephone where it is a means to communicate and participate in modern life. Rules are needed to keep ISP's to ensure these companies can't manipulate traffic and create a pay to win scenario. A private company should not have the power to manipulate website connection speed and control what people can see. The internet is a utility just like power and a phone. Title II has successfully done this for telephones and should continue for the internet and ISP's.
4. Christian Huebner, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
As a cloud architect for a consulting company and Master of Science I am writing to express my opposition to this proposal. The internet economy, which America's economy heavily relies on, depends on an open internet, which means open to everyone and not only the wealthiest corporations, who already repeatedly tried to use their control over access and data transport for removing competition and stifling innovation for the personal gain of a few already extremely wealthy individuals and corporations. The internet fuels business from a mom-and-pop hotel to Fortune 500 companies and is built largely on infrastructure built with government subsidies, which come from the pockets of taxpayers, thus it should be the government's highest interest to keep it open to everyone and not only to a small number of broadband providers. Dear Chairman Pai, like many of my peers in the internet industry I ask you to withdraw the proposal in favor of the current regulation, which works well for everyone, even the corporations, which are pushing for this proposal. I don't begrudge anyone fair profits, but this proposal opens the doors to price gouging and will in time strangle US businesses and innovators
5. Allen Hsieh, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Do not reverse Net Neutrality. The reasons stated by Pai are near outright lies and preposterous. We need stronger privacy and protection from the ISP oligopoly, not less. Title II provides this already, FTC privacy protections are too weak. Broadband internet service needs to remain under title II because removing it is consumer-hostile. Competition before title II implementation led to vast areas of the US with single ISP, and under it, things haven't really changed, so keeping title II won't stifle it.
6. N Kamath, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Net neutrality is essential so that the provider of the internet pipe ("ISP" or "Telecom/Cable/Broadband Operator") does not violate the sanctity of the service they are providing (access to the internet). Because of government supported monopolistic practices, these providers have become too large for any individual consumer to influence. Net neutrality is the last remaining regulation for internet service that is favoring the customers.
7. Michael Turner, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
This is a completely wrongheaded direction for the FCC to take. "Data is data is data" is what has made the internet the successful place that it is today. To allow ISPs to throttle data from certain sources unless they pay a surcharge will destroy everything that has made the internet a fertile field of innovation. It is the ultimate expression of American values, where content is judged on its merits and not on the bribes which back it up. Please reject this filing.
8. Linnsey Nil, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
The loss of net neutrality that the 'Restoring Internet Freedom' Act will cause will decimate the American tech industry and will be an enormous gift to America's competitors and enemies. The innovation that has caused America to be a leader in internet industries - that

created Netflix (1 billion valuation, 7k US employees), Amazon (72 billion, 340k US employees), Google (500 billion, 72k employees), Facebook (190 billion, 17k US employees) and newer companies such as Doordash (600 million), Spotify (8.4 billion), Munchery (300 million) and Blue Apron (2 billion), will disappear if this Act is passed. Many high paying jobs will move overseas or not be created in the US. Keep America strong - do not pass the 'Restoring Internet Freedom' Act.

9. Kevin McMurtrie, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
As a professional Software Developer, I depend on robust and affordable Internet services. I need it to do my job and I need it for my work to produce revenue. I currently pay Comcast \$191 / month for modern 75/15 Mbps internet connection without arbitrary limitations imposed by my ISP. Competitor AT&T imposes severe usage restrictions due to their ancient PPP technology. Competitor Sonic.net offers full services but their ancient ADSL technology is limited to an unusable 6/1 Mbps. I have only a single viable means of being connected to the Internet. This is not an unusual situation to be in. Most of the US has only one service provider, if any, capable of delivering a modern and complete Internet connection. This fragile existence is all that keeps the US from being in the technological dark ages. The well being of the USA should not be risked with careless (or bribe-bought) deregulation.
10. Forest Woodward, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Net Neutrality must not be dismantled, and companies should not be able to throttle data that doesn't benefit them. The Internet and All of its content should be freely accessible without private companies deciding what information receives priorities.
11. Valerie, Fremont, CA, 94539  
The job of the FCC is to protect the individual citizens & small businesses from being gouged by special interest corporations. Your proposal sounds like you are working for the big corps and I'm enraged that you would even think this would be Ok. Stop this proposal now and start working for the people
12. Rohan Agrawal, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I believe that if the FCC takes these actions, it will not increase the freedom of the internet, but will instead hamper it. By placing ISPs in a greater position of power, they will only work in their own interests, which are opposite to promoting the freedoms of the companies that provide internet hosted content and the consumers of that content. Consumers and small business can thrive only with an open internet, and by allowing ISPs more control over restricting the internet, the FCC is choking a vital engine of growth and communication.
13. Bryan Helmig, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
As the co-founder of a tech company that has created over 80 jobs and plans to add another 25 in 2017 - I oppose these actions. Deregulation of the internet is a horrible idea and would have had never allowed our tech company a fair shot - I am against the chairman's plan to reverse the net neutrality rules.
14. Neal Evans, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please consider your life's work and your legacy. Please do what's right for the American people and protect internet neutrality. Internet access is an important and fundamental part of modern life, and must remain open and free.
15. Jun Guuo, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
We as the paying consumers should be the one to determine what are the important data for us, not the government, not Comcast, not AT&T, not Verizon. Keep the Net Neutrality!
16. Michael Semanko, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Without network neutrality, ISPs become the de facto controllers of the Internet in the United States. They could literally pick and choose who wins and who loses in that marketplace. Netflix would be dead. Amazon video would be dead. Google video would be dead. Hundreds of startups would never get off the ground because people who fund them would see that ISPs would simply decapitate them and steal their business model with better speed and reliability. We must strongly enforce network neutrality for the good of our nation.
17. Baseer Balazadeh, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
With this Email I am formally filing a complaint regarding the decision to reverse the protection and the neutrality of the internet. I oppose giving the broadband companies access to my personal information and being in charge of the internet. I wish the internet to remain open. This is a matter of freedom. I do not want to go backwards on this. Thank you for your consideration,
18. Thomas Shanks, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I am writing to oppose reclassification of 'broadband' internet access providers away from being Common Carriers. The Internet is today's telephone; most communication takes place over it. Common Carrier status was designed to protect consumers from efforts by providers to use their monopoly status to abuse consumers. I live and work in Silicon Valley. At my home and at others nearby, there is only one internet provider that can provide internet access that meets the FCC's standard. The telephone company does not offer DSL or 'fiber' access, or offers access that falls below the FCC's standard. As a result, I must get my access from Comcast. Comcast has treated us all abusively, including ignoring cancellation requests, billing for services that were not provided, raising rates by as much as 80% in a single day, pricing basic service at \$70+ per month, adding services without permission, disconnecting internet when Cable TV bills are unpaid, and otherwise making internet access difficult, if not impossible, for those that depend on it for their livelihood. These behaviors cannot be dealt with by the FCC if the providers do not have Common Carrier status. And then there's the issue of Net Neutrality. I won't reiterate every point made by the EFF, though I agree with them wholeheartedly. I will, however, speak to how loss of Net Neutrality would harm myself and my colleagues. I wouldn't have a job if startups had to buy their way onto the internet, and neither would anyone at businesses that depend on startups. Tomorrow's startups will require bandwidth, just as video streaming startups of today do. T-Mobile restricts the maximum bandwidth of video streams unless consumers pay more to remove a per-stream cap. This causes apps requiring HD-quality video to not work at all. Internet video applications have attempted to circumvent this with traffic camouflaging so that they are treated fairly in comparison with other apps requiring similar bandwidth, but not using video streaming. T-Mobile has fought this with additional methods of detecting video streaming. In other words, they are restricting one use of the

internet versus another based on content. With the change you are proposing, home internet access could face similar restrictions, preventing unforeseen opportunities for startups in sectors where providers choose to throw up content-based or source-based restrictions or "prioritization". \*You could kill the next YouTube.\* THAT'S what we mean when we say you will harm innovation. The Internet has been the great equalizer, giving startups access to consumers. With the change you propose, that could come to an end. You could kill the goose that laid the golden egg. Don't let that happen.

19. Sandra Spires, SUNNYVALE, CA, 94087  
I am a broadband customer. That is, I pay money to have an internet connection. In my area, I have limited choice of carriers, and most of those are tied to (i.e. require me to purchase) cable television. I use the internet to connect with friends & family. To find information. To view media of my OWN choice. This proposal asserts that there is sufficient competition to make it OK for providers to hide their actual fees, capacity, etc. Clearly not true. This proposal allows my cable TV company to choose for me which internet sites I can access. This is deeply, deeply wrong. The existing rules worked fine for me. Taking away my consumer protection cannot be good.
20. Michael Bradford, San Jose, CA, 95129  
The rules in force since 1860 should continue to be preserved regarding common carriers. The FCC should by all means continue to be empowered to regulate all communications services as it has in the past regardless of modernity.
21. Evan DeMers, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Dear FCC, I appreciate your desire to allow free market principles to govern how data is shared. This should create the most efficient marketplace for the exchange of information. However I believe you are misinterpreting the role of government in this matter. We need the digital space to be as free as possible to allow a thriving economy to develop in this literal new frontier. Not since the discovery of the New World has there been greater opportunity for innovation and creation. But this requires that actors within this space have equal agency and freedom. It is no mistake that the land of the free has been the epicenter of many of the watershed innovations in modern history. If we are to stimulate this same level of innovation and create a culture where ideas are shared freely then the internet must be treated as a public utility. It is sometimes said that nobody owns the internet. I believe the converse to be true, everybody owns the internet. If you accept my premise then it falls to the government, as the representative and guardian of the public interest, to ensure that broadband access is treated as a public utility and managed such that no player is given a unfair advantage. I thank you for taking the time to read my thoughts. Evan DeMers
22. Del Gundlach, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Dear FCC, We cannot allow the Internet to be diverted from a tool for improved global communications and freedom of speech, by allowing Big Cable to act solely in their financial self interest.. This pending reversal is only the first step in turning the Internet from a tool of communication to a tool of subjugation. If you can't envision that process unfolding over time, then you need to start looking beyond the tips of your noses. Though I have no way of proving this reasonable and strong suspicion, there are plenty of dollars to be gained from the obscenely rich and powerful giant ISPs by doing their bidding and fast tracking them into even more wealth and greater power, over time. Pardon my harsh wording that follows, but If the members of the FCC are comfortable to be seen as whores for Big Cable, well then you get to live with that label. There is no nice way to describe a group of people who actively engage in graft and corruption. And who seem to think that the general public isn't bright enough to see exactly what any of the FCC members who support this current effort are doing. Do you want to be able to look at yourself in the mirror every morning: Or, are you going to start having to shave, or put on your makeup, wearing a blindfold?? The outcome of either blindfolded action would not be pretty. You're smart people and you intuitively know that the RIGHT thing is to do. So, do it. Don't let a chairman who worked for Big Cable for years, pressure you into doing something that will presumably only pad his own pockets with filthy lucre from the cable industry.. Del Gundlach
23. Andrew Qu, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
FCC Chairman Ajit Pai recently claimed that treating the internet as a utility stifles growth. This kind of thinking is akin to thinking that advances were lost because the water utility company couldn't charge us more for using a gallon of water to make tea than they do for a using gallon to make kool-aid. There should be a framework to rectify data freedoms such as data caps. The internet needs regulation because ISPs have a proven track record of price gouging, and stifling growth in bandwidth expansion.
24. Phyllis Culp, Sunnvale, CA, 94087  
I happily worked for GTE and Verizon for the majority of my career. I am a shareholder of several communications companies. I understand carriers' positions on this issue, however I do not think they make all their decisions in the best interest of the public. They have many stakeholders, and they rightly need to balance those interests. In my opinion, on this issue, the major stakeholder for the FCC is the public. I am part of that public. The internet has become a part of everyday life to the vast majority of Americans. I do not want it altered in any way. I rely on it for all aspects of my life--banking, investing, medical records, all types of communication, shopping, entertainment, research, and so much more. In 2015, the FCC developed the Net Neutrality Rules for good reason. Internet providers had played favorites by deliberately speeding up or slowing down traffic from specific websites and apps. There is no reason to believe the same and other providers would not do that again if it was allowed. Mobile providers could also be tempted to illegally "adjust" their unlimited plans when networks are overutilized. Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. Yes, it definitely should be. I am also concerned that removing these important regulations would inhibit innovation by concentrating power in the hands of a few. This is not the American way. There may be a possibility that the Net Neutrality Rules should be enhanced, but they definitely should not be reduced or negated. Sincerely, Phyllis Culp
25. matthew bell, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
The FCC Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are \*\*\*extremely\*\*\* important to me. I urge you to protect them. Equality here is critical. It would be unjust to allow ISPs to decide what we can see or to modulate how we get to see content that they don't want us to see. It reminds me of Big Brother. George Orwell is probably rolling around in his grave laughing at you. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, make some more accessible than others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the

rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer fast lanes to websites for a fee, and Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do just this. But if you let ISPs make some websites fast and others artificially slow "for a fee" you will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America "just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support Title II rules and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! matthew bell

26. Kent J Sole, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I STRONGLY support Title 2 backed telecom regulations. There is not evidence to support the idea that large telecom companies are anything other than anti-competitive and monopolistic at every opportunity. The VP of Verizon - Francis Shammo - has personally said that the Title 2 classification has not and will not affect the investment decisions his company will make (including infrastructure and new technology). The internet must ALWAYS remain open and fair - but that is directly ideologically opposed to the core tenants of our economy. We must actively strive to protect the Internet - or we all lose forever.
27. Dan Luo, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Dear FCC, I am writing you today because I believe that net neutrality must be strongly regulated, and that the FCC should keep ISP providers listed as Tier 2.
28. diana perkins, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs and the FCC should also.
29. Roger Yip, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Internet must be protected by TITLE 2. TITLE2 protection is the ONLY protection that will protect my free speech
30. Shahar Sandhaus, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It is the only way that we can have a truly free and open Internet.
31. M Ray, Newark, CA, 94560  
I want strong net neutrality backed by TITLE 2 oversight of ISPs.
32. William Conley, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Keep ISP as title 2 and help protect the free flow of information as the creators of the internet intended. Regulation protecting a fair market place, the encourages competition, is good regulation.
33. Ken Stribley, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
With the rapid growth in Over the Top services, there must continue to be an open and free internet. If Title 2 rules are abolished the ISP will have the ability to disrupt these OTT providers.
34. Robert Hadley, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Yeah, how about we keep existing net neutrality rules with title 2, let's not trust the companies that stand to benefit from this. It's like trusting the Democrats and Republicans to draw fair districts.
35. Matthew Wong, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 oversight of internet service providers.
36. Randall Ticknor, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Keep ISPs under Title II of the 1934 communications act. The idea that ISPs will self regulate internet speeds instead of seeking the highest profits is absurd. If ISPs are moved to title I it will be especially damaging to people such as myself and the other almost 1/3 of Americans who live on a street with an ISP monopoly.
37. Sanjay Gangal, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I support net neutrality under Title II. I don't want to give ISPs power to slow down selective websites.
38. Avi Urban, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Do not touch Net Neutrality and Title II " its key to preserving the American innovation, free speech and fairness.
39. Philip Tompkins, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I am in strong support of new neutrality and keeping the Internet a title 2 service. I believe it is absolutely critical that the Internet free and neutral.
40. jeff valentine, fremont, CA, 94538  
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by TITLE 2 (TWO) oversight of ISP's. Thank you.
41. Ramana Rao V.R. Kompella, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I strongly support network neutrality. It does not make sense to put the responsibility of picking which Internet sites should be the winners and which should be losers in the hands of the few carriers. The Internet as we know today exists because of the net-neutrality ideals that have always been in place. If we allow carriers to decide which sites would be slow and which sites would be fast -- preferentially treating the bits depending on who pays money -- then the small guy can never compete with the big guys and become overnight sensation like a Facebook for example.
42. Gregorio Gervasio, Santa Clara, CA, 95054

I oppose the plan to overturn Title II classification of Internet providers. The FCC should maintain the current rules which strongly protect net neutrality.

43. Bradford Yurkiw, Newark, CA, 94560  
Net Neutrality is instrumental to the United States of America being a modern, free, highly technological nation. I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II Oversight of ISPs. There should be no fast lanes and no throttling on an internet service arbitrarily. ISPs should not be able to artificially manipulate the ability for anyone to access or provide information over the internet. ISPs should not be able to legally monopolize a key platform for digital distribution and internet commerce.
44. Elvira Milirides, san jose, CA, 95132  
Having worked for AT&T, I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Large corporations cannot be trusted to "do the right thing".
45. Andrew Jackson Guess, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai -- I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of all ISPs.
46. Thomas Trujillo, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Net Neutrality is in everyone's interest. Please keep Title II protections.
47. Matt Thomas, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Given the courts decision that Title I does not give the FCC authority to regulate ISPs and network providers, it seems foolish to assume that the affected parties will do the right thing for consumers without the threat of regulation. Since consumers don't usually have a number of choices for getting the Internet, ISPs are effectively a monopoly. As such they need to be able to regulated to safeguard the consumers interest, not the ISPs.
48. Gouri Mishra, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Don't take away the regulations on net neutrality. Expressing +1 so that millions of voices might count, where individual voices don't have a chance.
49. Edward Saxe, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Please do not reduce the consumer protections ensured by net neutrality. Many people live in areas served by only a single broadband provider, an effective monopoly. As a market with a high barrier to entry for suppliers, this is an area that requires good regulatory to protect consumers.
50. Net Neutrality, Fremont, CA, 94536  
I strongly support Title 2 and stronger Net Neutrality. I do not wish to see anything else than a Title 2 Net Neutrality rule for the future of the internet.
51. Leighton Smith, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I am in support of keeping the current net neutrality rules as protected by title II. It is important to the way we live our lives and how our strong technology economy can continue to thrive. Also it's kind of fishy how hard this comment would have been to make without gofceyourself.com
52. Anita Gangal, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Dear Mr. Pai, Please keep Net Neutrality as it stands at present in Title III don't want to loose my job, I work at a small startup, which would get engulfed by big conglomerates, and we would lose the site traffic required for the work we do. Thank You Anita Gangal
53. Hdhdb, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please keep ISPs as tier 2
54. Hemant Agrawal, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Please keep ISPs classified as Title II to protect net neutrality principles and keep the internet open and fair and competitive.
55. suzanne roy, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Net neutrality must remain. Don't control what I do or do not see!
56. victor lui, San Jose, CA, 95133  
Please support net neutrality as it is essentially the first amendment but applied to the internet. No one wants their speech taken away, so the ISPs should not be able to control what websites have the most say.
57. Paulo Diehl, San Jose, CA, 95129  
I urge you to keep the Internet classified under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. It is important that the Government not allow ISP providers to choose what content is provided at what rate based on profit. All content needs to be transmitted at the same rate regardless of payment.
58. Ev3ry0ne, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
We specifically support the title two oversight & regulations of Internet Service Providers. You must preserve net neutrality under title two. Also to Mr. Pai: Go shove that mug up your ass you disingenuous shithead/
59. FCC, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Internet freedom is extremely important for small businesses to thrive. The internet is our primary pipeline for communication and transaction and if small businesses can't have equal access then our economy is already dead.

60. Dmitriy Solomonov, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Net neutrality has emerged as the core of what will defend the freedom of speech, press, and ideas in the twenty first century. Although it started as a privilege and hobbyist network, the internet has become too important to be allowed to be fully self regulated by private business; especially since tax dollars created the backbone of the internet as we know it. The existence of net neutrality over the last twenty years has allowed americas largest companies to thrive; each one being successfull because the internet providers were providing fair and indiscriminated access to every website or service.It is important to have clear regulation that internet providers cannot have undue influence in what information is prioritized to individuals. There may not be large scandals of abuse today, but there will be unless the neutrality of internet providers is guaranteed.
61. Bobbykin Makwana, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please keep the internet truly OPEN for ALL, and keep the Net Neutrality within the Title II guidelines/laws.
62. Aaron Hoanh Dai, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Do not take away the Title II classification!!
63. Michael Pritchard, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Since Title I was sued against and won by Verizon (an ISP), we need to keep Title II in charge of ISPs to guarantee the open and free internet. Removing this classification will only result in companies going back to fast-laning content and granting exclusivities on equipment that they should not have a right to do.
64. Jon Cox, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I support a free and open internet. I support Net Neutrality. I support ISP being classified as Title II. I do not have a choice in ISP. My ISP does not have my interests in mind. Given the chance, my ISP will throttle competitor data. They already have proved that.
65. Naveen , San Jose, CA, 95132  
Dear FCC, I am writing you today because I am hoping that my voice actually counts.Hi:USA is the beacon to the world in many ways when it comes to freedom and innovation. Please don't push USA back into dark ages with these draconian rules like authorizing internet providers the power of controlling. It's like we are renting a home and landlord controls whatever you do inside the home. For us ISP mean, Just provide us the access to the internet and not intervening. Period.. That's where their influence stops. The bill to authorize selling our browser history is already passed. Please don't pass this.
66. Ehsan Kia, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I am in supported of Title 2 oversight of ISPs and Strong Net Neutrality.
67. Restoring Internet Freedom, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I strongly support Title II regulation of internet companies and net neutrality in general. This bill undermines the very premise of a free and open internet, allowing sanctioned regional monopolies to artificially control the flow of data for their own interests. When I buy electricity, PGE shouldn't be allowed to block access to other sources of energy (be it solar, wind, etc.) in favor their own delivery system. There are too many things you can't do without internet access, especially if you're in a rural area or in the farming/ranching business. It is as essential as power and water, and needs to be governed as such, especially when the companies in charge have been demonstrably unable to govern themselves responsibly.
68. Net Neutrality, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I Support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The ISPs already have a monopoly in US and are constantly working against consumer interests, they need to be regulated for Net Neutrality as much as possible.
69. Stuart E Brinkley, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support the net neutrality rules proposed during the Obama administration reclassifying broadband under title 2. I wholeheartedly disagree with chairman Pai's proposals to let ISPs self-regulate. His ideas are terrible.
70. Ayanna Sawyer, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I support Net Neutrality and Title II. We will not stand for the lies and misinformation being systematically disseminated from the current head of the FCC about the so-called negatives this has on business. His lies have been disproven by independent investigation as well as his own former place of work (Verizon). Protect and preserve Net Neutrality and Title II because it's good for consumers and it's good for business.
71. Robert Zalusky, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I used to have choice when it came to my ISP, and my set top box. Now my TV provider makes it difficult use other options. I used to be able to have lots of TVs. Now my cable company wants to charge for each TV. My cable company now provides content aka NBC. Please do not allow them to ever charge more or make it more difficult to access legal content they might not approve of. Please make them compete for my viewing habits on an equal playing field using their pipes.
72. Aaron Roider, San Jose, CA, 95133  
I am in strong support of Net Nuetrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not allow companies to ruin my online experience.
73. A good citizen, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Please preserve net neutrality. Dont change the rules to favor the ISPs. Leave it to be as it is now.
74. Sergej Butkovic, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
An open internet unrestricted by ISP interference is vital to modern life, the United States, and the growth of humanity. Title II regulation of US ISPs needs to continue to ensure Net Neutrality and a healthy internet ecosystem.

75. Richard Kempe, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Corporations CANNOT be trusted to have consumers best interests at heart, I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
76. Annaya Youkai, Sunnvale, CA, 94089  
I support Net Neutrality and Title II. We will not stand for the lies and misinformation being systematically disseminated from the current head of the FCC about the so-called negatives this has on business. His lies have been disproven by independent investigation as well as his own former place of work (Verizon). Protect and preserve Net Neutrality and Title II because it's good for consumers and it's good for business.
77. Stephen Meadows, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I do not believe that self-regulation is a viable course for any industry, much less for something that has become as fundamental to humanity's daily life as access to the internet. If anything, it is clear that ISPs have consolidated their power to such an extent that there is rarely more than one - or if you're lucky, two - ISPs for the average American consumer to choose from. If the Trump administration and Chairman Pai really wish to restore freedom to what is already well known as the freest medium of communication in most societies worldwide, the US being no exception, less us first ask ourselves why there is not greater freedom to choose how to participate in that medium. I realize that the inertia of the power consolidated behind this rollback, with Chairman Pai at its head, will be difficult to stop, so I will simply hope that this spurs a new generation of entrepreneurs to outcompete the entrenched ISP interests in creating new gateways to access the Internet, which has long been by the people and for the people.
78. Benny Trach, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Removing Title II on Internet Service Providers is the wrong move to make for the American people. By removing Title II, you're allow large monopolies hold control of what content reaches customers. We Americans already have no choice as to which ISP we can choose, but by allowing ISPs to selectively choose which content and traffic that they allow creates a huge disadvantage. We already know Comcast does this with their Xfinity streaming. They already zero rate their X1 content with no data caps, but cap any other streaming devices using some bullshit reason that they're delivering content differently over the coaxial copper lines. Comcast also delivers internet traffic via the same coaxial copper lines to homes all over the West coast. We can't let big corporations hold the American people hostage. Internet access is not a commodity; not for a developed nation like the United States. Internet access should be deemed a utility like electricity, water, telephone communication because we all use the internet for communication now.
79. Keven Gallegos, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
We need to maintain strong net neutrality policies pursuant to Title II oversight of the Internet Service Providers in the United States. If left to police themselves, all consumers will be hurt by the ISPs.
80. ballie jodhan, fremont, CA, 94538  
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight by ISP.Thank you
81. Steven Bonnot, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Please protect net neutrality and title 2. Leaving control of who gets usage of the internet to profit will assure abuse.
82. Damian Small, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I believe the current classification under Title II provides a much-needed framework for ISP practices given the current state of weak competition in the marketplace.Because of the amount of vertical integration within the content creation and distribution markets and the requirements placed on publicly traded companies to maximize shareholder value, reclassification will put substantial pressure on those companies to exploit any potential avenues for promoting their content over that of competitors.After looking carefully at the definition of the terms, it is clear that applying the classification of "information service" to ISPs is incorrect: they are carriers of information, not electronic publishers.
83. Kartik Ayyar, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support true strong net neutrality via Title 2.
84. Satya, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I strongly support net neutrality. Please keep it democratic.
85. Kyle Abbott, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Keeping net neutrality secure by upholding the Title II rules put in place by the Obama administration is the best way to ensure that all ISPs are required to make the internet a fair place. If we repeal those rules, even just remove the title II classification, it allows companies to do whatever they want with no legal ramifications. We can't trust them to make the right choice because a company is built and run on revenue. Please keep the internet neutral and continue to have legal punishment for companies who don't abide by it.
86. Scott Abbott, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
ISP's need to remain part of title 2. We need our ISP's held accountable. Freedom of information means no one should be able to pick what data gets the fast track. Where I live I only have one choice of ISP if they are doing something I don't like like throttling traffic I need I can not change.
87. Louis LaSalle', Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I urge the the FCC to maintain strong net neutrality under Title II enforcement.
88. Abhay Dewan, fremont, CA, 94539  
I am against this as I am in support of Net Neutrality, and more specifically, keeping Title II regulations
89. Robert Lamonica, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089

As an employee of an established website, my company would probably benefit from a pay-to-play internet. However, the United States will suffer. Many new startups, apps, and ideas will easily be squashed by entrenched competitors unless net neutrality is preserved under Title II. This will stifle innovation and will put the US at a disadvantage in the future. For the good of the country, the FCC should continue to regulate the internet as a common carrier under Title II.

90. Maureen Medeiros, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I'm writing to express my disapproval that the FCC is trying to kill net neutrality. Preserving an open internet is crucial for fair and equal access to the resources and information available on it. Thank you.
91. Abhinav, san jose, CA, 95134  
Dear Mr. Pai, I request you to not sweetcoat the takedown of the net neutrality rules and give discretionary power to the service providers to bias the internet however they want. Please help keep Internet great, unlike trying to run it down the gutter like how DC is currently trying to do with America. Thanks Abhinav
92. Dhiraj Bokde, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
FCC you suck. Net Neutrality is a must, and ex-Verizon lawyer and unrepentant liar Ajit Pai knows it. Keep ISPs regulated under Title II, and may lying Ajit Pai rot and drown in Trump's swamp.
93. Eric Eggel, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Title 2 and Net Neutrality are essential. Don't let the fox guard the hen house.
94. Abhi, milpitas, CA, 95035  
Hi Pai, Please do not let the internet providers babysit themselves in the matter of net neutrality. Please preserve the net neutrality. Thanks
95. Restoring Internet Freedom, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I strongly support regulation of companies providing internet access, Title II classification, and net neutrality in general. This bill does nearly the exact opposite of what it claims to be. Internet access should be unmolested, regardless of where my data comes from. If I buy electricity, PG&E should not be (and is not) allowed to hinder or prevent me from using solar, wind, or geothermal. Because of the increasing number of life-affecting transactions being relegated to internet-only (especially if you're a rural farmer, rancher, etc.), companies that provide internet should be regulated as utility companies, ESPECIALLY since many of them are already state-sanctioned regional monopolies. These companies are demonstrably unable to govern themselves in a respectable manner, and so they must be governed to keep access to data free from manipulation.
96. net neutrality, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I support net neutrality by keeping in place title two. I do not want my ISP to have the authority to pick and choose which services to which I subscribe will function and which will not. All services to my address should connect at the same speed regardless of whether those services are provided by my ISP or from another service.
97. Abhijit Bhelande, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. I whole heartedly believe that if this is removed, ISP's will manipulate network flow in a manner seen best fit to their profits. This is deeply concerning. The internet is one of the last strongholds of free and neutral thought expression and hence Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's should be preserved - much against the will of the current FCC chairman.
98. Michael Sampino, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Keep her neutrality! The internet is better free! Title II works fine!
99. Ingmar Van Glabbeek, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Any changes to this are not in line with best public interest and only serve corporate greed
100. Peter Wu, San Jose, CA, 95002  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight by ISPs. The only benefactors to changing this are the major ISPs themselves, not their customers (the vast majority of us). Do the right thing!
101. Bob Usrey, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I would like my elected officials to leave the existing law as it stands now. Thank you Bob
102. Anurag Makineni, San Jose, CA, 95129  
Do not kill net neutrality. Title II must remain. Humans should have fair and equal access to information. This access should not be at the mercy of businesses and their interests.
103. Donald Ice, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I strongly believe in a free and open internet and that the FCC must maintain net neutrality by regulating ISPs under Title 2.
104. James Dudley, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I strongly support net neutrality. Requiring ISPs to not restrict, limit, or throttle internet traffic is critical to sustaining a free and open internet. Public corporations are required by law to act in the interest of their shareholders. In the absence of net neutrality regulations, this creates not just an incentive, but a requirement, for ISPs to favor their own content over others. Many examples of this have occurred already. 17-108, despite the title "Restoring Internet Freedom", does in fact do the opposite. Classifying ISPs as common carriers under Title II was a great step forward for net neutrality, and it would be a great mistake to reverse that step.

105. Brian Outhwaite, San Jose, CA, 95132  
This reform will mark the date that free speech dies on the Internet. It won't happen overnight but within a decade the internet will be fully controlled by ISPs. Please stop trying to hand the Internet over to big business. It belongs to the people. We already have some of the slowest Internet speeds among civilized nations, you can't give big corporations the ability to throttle it further as they see fit.
106. Taylor McCord, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I support net neutrality. If a counter-position to net neutrality had merit, Ajit Pai would not be reframing the facts so severely. Said another way, he's manipulating the facts and lying and I have zero interest in listening to manipulative liars. I am 100% against any laws that modify government protections of net neutrality. It is unethical, dishonest, and worst of all, stupid.
107. Christopher Jeremiah, Fremont, CA, 94538  
We need Net Neutrality so that everyone including small businesses have a chance to compete equally on the Internet. To ensure that, we need all the ISPs to be operating under Title 2. Don't sell us out to the ISPs.
108. nick kouri, Fremont, CA, 94536  
While i can see that there are some possible benefits of ending Net Neutrality, I believe it will cause more longterm harm than good, and therefore would like Net Neutrality left just the way it is.
109. David Falcones, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
we NEED to keep ISPs accountable by not loosening regulations around their greedy practices, keep it under Title II
110. Ryan Hough, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I want the chairman of the FCC To keep net neutrality under title II protections.
111. Scott Brogley, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Mr. Ajit PaiI specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs.Scott Brogley
112. Jodi Long, Fremont, CA, 94560  
Net neutrality is so incredibly important. The internet should be treated like a utility, not like snacks to be handed out at a kindergarden class. Allowing companies to tamper with what the end user accesses (whether by the FCC's choice or by blatant omission) is just wrong. Preserve net neutrality and title II.
113. Benjamin Navarro, sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I am in strong support of Net Neutrality supported by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Why are some people so greedy?
114. Vishal Yarra, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I support Net neutrality/Title 2 and want it to be protected
115. Elizabeth Flosznik, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
We need to restore internet freedom and keep ISPs included in Title 2.
116. Net Neutrality, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I am strongly in favor Net Neutrality by keeping ISPs under Title 2 Oversight in order to regulate them. Please do not reclassify them.
117. Jack Kingsman, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Title II provides vital support for the peoples' freedom on the internet. Common carrier law is by no means for broadband perfect but it is the best option on the table by far for keeping the internet free from corporate meddling. Forbearance will be vital for applying Title II well, but overall, Title II is the right choice!
118. Sean Stangl, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I strongly support the FCC regulating ISPs under Title II.ISPs were reclassified under Title II due to existing bad behavior, already showing that trusting them to self-regulate content equality cannot work. The tech industry, particularly small startups, would be gravely harmed by allowing ISPs to prioritize paid content.
119. Chien Yu Chen, San Jose, CA, 95133  
I am writing in support of net neutrality. Net neutrality is central to keeping the internet free, where all traffic is treated equally and any company, large and small, has the same access, not just those large companies able to afford faster connections. Net neutrality is central to keeping free market competition on the internet.
120. Nicolette Hart, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I believe in equality for all and therefore I support strong Net Neutrality laws. Letting ISPs sell priority access limits everyone's freedom of choice.
121. Net Neutrality, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of the ISPs. I believe it is the best thing for all parties involved. Allowing internet "fast-lanes" would be a major hit to small corporations and startups trying to make it among the giants. Being against net neutrality is like being against startups and small corporations in the technology field.
122. Title II, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Please keep Net neutral. ISPs should remain title II to protect consumer rights of free and fair internet.
123. Andrew Yarborough, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support the current title II internet neutrality requirements as they current are written and enforced. All internet providers in the US

should be held to these minimum standards. Andrew Yarborough

124. Leon Chen, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Please keep strong regulations to ensure the internet is free for everyone.
125. Sean Todd, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Most of the country has only one real provider for internet services. By allowing ISPs to prioritize or filter traffic, they are shaping the world view of these people who have only a single source for internet services. We should not allow these companies to force their will on the country like this. Please support net neutrality in the strongest way possible.
126. Net Neutrality, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! It is not fair to throttle some of the internet and let other parts take bandwidth. Also, my data and usage should be private.
127. Aditya Agarwal, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Dear Mr. Chairman, I strongly disagree with your decision to change Obama era net neutrality rules and hope you reconsider your decision. Thank you for your time and consideration. Sincerely, Aditya Agarwal
128. Bryce Sluman, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Please protect an open internet for all.
129. Akhil Raju, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I strongly support having net neutrality protected by Title II oversight of ISPs.
130. Alex Dykes & DykLaine Labs, LLC, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Ending the so called "net neutrality" stance of the FCC would have a huge and lasting impact on US citizens and corporations alike. I personally and the company I am CEO of strongly oppose any changes to the current status. Internet access should be treated and regulated like any public utility, the strength of American business depends on it.
131. Michael Whitney, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I strongly support Net Neutrality. Please do not dismantle it.
132. Rachael O'Neill, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
It is crucial to the present and future of the Internet that ISPs remain classified as a Title II common carrier. The treatment of all content, ideas, and information with equal care and priority serves to enable future growth, provides a level playing field for businesses and communities, and contributes to the quality of public discourse. As a citizen and voter, I ask that my representatives and my government take this critical step to protect a free and open Internet.
133. Grant Gardiner, Santa Clara, CA, 95126  
Strong Title 2 Enforcement of Net Neutrality is very important to me. Please do not change how you enforce an open and free Internet.
134. David Pier, San Jose, CA, 95131  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's. Net Neutrality has shaped the world we live in today. Allowing prioritization and monetization of information available to the public does nothing but keep the privileged in power and deny what should be a basic human right to those in need.
135. Daniel Anaforian, Santa Clara, CA, 95053  
Please protect our net neutrality and Title II. However unlikely it may be that businesses take advantage of these loosened regulations, the fact that the possibility exists should be worrisome. Defend net neutrality so that consumers can remain protected from manipulative business practices.
136. Stuart Hunter, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I specifically and strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
137. net neutrality, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
<http://www.theopeninter.net/>
138. Michael Khaw, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please support a level playing field for ALL internet users that doesn't favor a few large, established incumbent operators over innovative startups by retaining Title II classification of ISPs.
139. Net Neutrality, Newark, CA, 94560  
I, among many others, want to have net neutrality and title 2 upheld! There needs to be stronger regulations to protect net neutrality, not less. Whether that be keeping the current protections under title 2 or adding more protections for net neutrality. Get your act together, and I am addressing this directly towards FCC Chairman Ajit Pai! You shouldn't have the position that you are currently in. Keep your motives to yourself and act like an adult!
140. Manny, San Jose, CA, 95133  
Keep Internet as it is. Support net neutrality.
141. john wu, SUNNYVALE, CA, 94086  
Ajit Pai, I support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isp

142. Viren Rathod, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP. They should not be put back to Title I.
143. Alec Warner, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support Net Neutrality and full regulation under Title II.
144. Everett Kowalski, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
please leave my internet alone
145. Catherine Keys, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
The FCC should keep internet providers under title II and preserve strong net neutrality
146. Miles, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Net Neutrality, backed by Title II protection is incredibly important and must be preserved.
147. Lester Haynes, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Classifying the Internet as a Title II utility is the only way to preserve it. By removing this classification, you are paving the way for very profitable and influential companies to dictate its future.
148. Kyra Hester, San Jose, CA, 95134  
We support Title II and strong net neutrality rules.
149. Cheryl Poniatowski, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Preserve Title II. Leave Net Neutrality ALONE! NO! STOP THIS!
150. richard gioscia, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support strong net neutrality laws. Designating ISPs under title 2 is essential to maintaining this. Please tell Ajit Pai to keep sipping coffee out of his enormous, stupid looking mug. We don't need his disingenuous perspective on net neutrality and complete lack of oversight he is reporting.
151. Neeraj chinthireddy, santa clara, CA, 95051  
Net neutrality is the foundation on which open and free internet is based on. Trusting the ISP's (which have more or less stopped competing at this point) to uphold the values of net neutrality would not work. I am very concerned by this move by the FCC which would most definitely make it harder for new and upcoming businesses to compete with established giants. Title 2 should stay in place for open and free internet to flourish.
152. Bao Sit, San Jose, CA, 95133  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's, please!
153. Mary Vanatta, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I urge you to please reconsider the passage of 17-108. The Internet is no longer a fringe curiosity; for at least a decade, it has been a necessary part of Americans' lives. It is, and should remain, designated as a PUBLIC UTILITY, following Title II regulations. Imagine your life without the use of the Internet, even for just one workday. Would you be able to do your job, without email or Internet access? Would you be able to keep in touch with your loved ones (keeping in mind that many home telephones are now Internet-based systems)? Would you be able to access and manage your other utilities and accounts, such as your bank account, electric bill, or insurance policies? Due to the ubiquity of the Internet and its reach, many companies have moved most, if not all, customer service access to Internet-based systems; today, it is not just an inconvenience to contact companies without the use of the Internet, it is practically impossible. The current Administration has repeatedly stated that its top priority is the American people, and rightly so. Please stay faithful to that priority and maintain the current classification of broadband Internet access service as subject to PUBLIC UTILITY regulations under Title II. Thanks for taking the time to read my comment.
154. Robert Crum, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It is only through this type of oversight that the Internet can be kept open and free for the benefit of all.
155. filers, cupertino, CA, 95014  
Leave net neutrality ALONE Ajit Pai you ignorant short-sited treasonous unimaginative fuck.
156. Drew Cormier, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Are you insane? Every technology company worth their salt has expressed that net neutrality and an open internet is the best thing for both the internet, and the American economy. Start ups have enough to worry about - let alone an incumbent being able to outspend them to restrict the internet to their service or product. I've lived in countries where ISP oligarchies exist - Canada for instance. Customers get screwed over all the time in both the price of internet, the quality of internet, and restrictions on content. Customers of ISP #1 can get content on ISP #1 networks for free, but others have to pay extra. Customers of ISP #2 get faster connection speeds to ISP #2 online content for free. Please don't be stupid. Do the right thing. P.S. Ajit Pai's coffee mug is stupid.
157. Garrett Miedema, San Jose, CA, 95129  
Please preserve the existing strong net neutrality rules under Title II that classify ISPs as common carriers.
158. net neutrality, San Jose, CA, 95132  
We need strong net neutrality laws and to keep title 2 in place. We will not back down and will never stop resisting.
159. Chris Knight, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085

The internet should remain free and open. I am against 17-108 and believe that the internet should be regulated in the same way as a public utility. Internet is even more important to a citizen's day to day life than phone lines, and it should be regulated in a way that ensures that no sites are given priority. The US government has given Comcast, Time Warner, Verizon, etc tax breaks and incentives for building out faster internet, if we do not now ensure that they do not charge more for access to certain sites or speeds, then they are incentivized to do that exact thing in order to maximize profit. Please protect the citizens and stand with all the tech companies and advocacy groups against the ISPs. Thanks Chris

160. Michael Fennelly, San Jose, CA, 95129  
A free and open internet is a vital part of modern society, and The United States Of America should be a leader in keeping the internet free and open. I strongly support keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
161. Sid Pand, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It would be a major mistake to roll back these protections, which will hand over more power to giant corporations, and take away the guarantee of a level playing field for new startups, and the next big thing that could potentially be squandered by the already established companies. Internet was invented for the sole purpose of unabashed communications, and any move to give preference to one company over another is a direct threat to fair and balanced expression. Keep the internet where it belongs: in the hands of the people, and not big corporations.
162. Vincent Smith, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Hello. I'm writing to voice my strong concern with the current effort to reduce the effective regulation of net neutrality to Title I only. True Net neutrality is key to growth of our country and economy, far beyond the wants of the telecom providers. A truly neutral internet is fundamental to innovation. Please do NOT leave the backbone of modern information, entertainment and innovation to the telecoms' 'good will'.
163. Michael Xing, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. To remove this piece of regulation is decidedly un-American in its attack on freedom.
164. R R, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please preserve net neutrality through Title II. It is extremely important that the internet remain open and free.
165. Ken Wells, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
The internet must remain free and neutral in order to promote growth of small businesses and the free expression of all. I support net neutrality backed by Title II regulation.
166. Janak Raja, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
FCC -- please don't take my internet away and give it to these corporations !! Let startups thrive in this country and have a chance to be competitor and become next Google, Amazon, Netflix !! This is morally wrong !
167. Bijan Shaibani, Newark, CA, 94560  
Please maintain Title II and preserve net neutrality. Creating preferred ISPs will stifle economic growth by making it harder for new companies to join the market.
168. Paul Min, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Keep net neutrality alive. Yes to Title II!
169. Damien Garbett, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I am very troubled by the current FCC leadership's stance on net neutrality. I strongly support a free and open internet protected by Title II. Please preserve Title II protection so that our internet remains free and open.
170. Victor Ghila, Fremont, CA, 94538  
May my God punish you for taking every measure possible to exploit us. Take our money, stuff our mouths, and putting your boots on our necks. Aren't you afraid that all the uneducated people in this country who voted you in power one day will wake up and start looking for your ass? Aren't you afraid that God one day will see the things you do and send his spell on you and all your descendants? Sad.
171. Stephen Shumaker, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I am writing in support of Net Neutrality under Title II. I spend a large amount of time on the internet for both work and play, and for educational purposes with my children. To open the internet to the opportunity for Internet Service Providers to apply favoritism to certain websites and services will not be helpful to the American people. It will, in many cases, work to the detriment of the people. It will narrow the scope of what the Internet can do, and will undermine small businesses which are the basis for how many Americans make a living. Please keep Net Neutrality alive and keep the Internet free. Thank you, Stephen Shumaker
172. Barry Jones, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Protect Open internet protections and 'internet services' existing classification under Title II. Specifically: 1. Prohibit broadband providers from blocking access to lawful content, applications, services or non-harmful devices. 2. Prohibit broadband providers from deliberately targeting lawful internet traffic and/or delivering it to users more slowly than other traffic. 3. Prohibit broadband providers from favoring some internet traffic in exchange for consideration of any kind. Also ban them from prioritizing content and services of their affiliates.
173. Curtis Aumiller, San Jose, CA, 95129  
I support net neutrality and Title II oversight. I cannot believe we are again addressing the need for net neutrality in such a short time.

Talk about government waste.

174. Greg Crisp, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I strongly support net neutrality and the continued oversight by the FCC with Title 2 classification of ISPs. The Internet plays pivotal role in communication and sharing of knowledge, and it should be maintained as a free and open platform with equal access for all.
175. Jason Knudsen, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Protect net neutrality, keep ISPs under Title II of the communications act 2015. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs
176. Prankur Gupta, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversights of ISP's. Please preserve net neutrality and Title II.Thanks.
177. Mike Imhof, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Just wanted to let you know that i support a free and open internet. I want to keep net neutrality backed by Title II.
178. Joshua Silver, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
The internet must remain a title 2 common carrier. Prior to being classified as such the ISPs carved America up into nearly perfect monopolies where only one high speed internet provider existed (though many slower ISPs existed over common carrier protected phone lines, either as DSL or dialup) by signing exclusivity contracts with towns and counties. These monopolies, though odious in their own right for many sadditional reasons, allow ISPs to censor information as they see fit since they know that there is no viable alternative at broadband (roughly > 25 Mbs) speeds. Indeed, this happened multiple times. For example, Verizon purposely slowed Netflix traffic to customers because they wanted to push customers towards Verizon's streaming option instead and because they wanted Netflix to pay Verizon for the privilege of reaching Verizon customer despite the fact that those very same customers were already paying Verizon to be able to connect to whatever sites they wanted at a specified speed. This censoring of information by limiting customers' ability to exchange data at the rate for which they paid disappears when ISPs are treated as common carriers. Prior to the common carrier classification, America already had the slowest for the cost internet in the developed world, not because of government regulation, but because of deregulation. Had the FCC prevented local internet monopolies and required the cable owners to sell access at cost to other ISPs, or pushed for community owned cables to the local carrier hotel/colocation center, or pushed for infrastructure that made it easy and cheap for multiple carriers to run cables (eg underground cable tunnels or overhead lines) regardless of who owned them. Instead the FCC allowed ISPs to buy-up or merge with competitors and to sign contracts guaranteeing them no competition for years or decades. Removing title common carrier status does not change this, but it does allow ISPs to again limit and censor their customers' internet access in an environment where the customer has no other option. However, this bill is not only just bad for consumers. It is bad for any small business or startup that does not have the money to pay for fast access. Removing common carrier status will allow ISPs to prevent customers from reaching these sites if they don't pay fees to the ISPs (despite the ISPs' customers already ostensibly paying for the ability to reach any site they wish at the full speed they paid for) and will allow for the ISPs to sell or auction off unfettered access to their customers. For example, Verizon could make their own streaming program just like before and then prevent customers from reaching netflix at all. Or Comcast could auction off "the official streaming provider of Comcast" to whoever and then prevent any other streaming service from working well if at all for comcast customers. This is crony-capitalism at its worst. Instead of creating a level playing field for companies to compete on the basis of their appeal to consumers, this will allow ISPs to choose winners and losers and will create a field that invariably prefers larger companies who can more easily pay the bribes the ISPs will require for unhindered access. And this isn't limited to startups and streaming sites. An ISP could make any website the "official X of \$ISP", so that nothing else could compete with it. Imagine Comcast only allowing foxnews.com and Verizon allowing only cnn.com, and no ISP allowing any .gov website or cspan because neither chose to pay for access. This is the world we invite by removing common carrier status.
179. Nickolas Montana, San Jose, CA, 95129  
Classifying broadband Internet under Title II is absolutely essential for an ISP market that is largely made up of regional monopolies or duopolies. Recently, Comcast has arbitrarily implemented a data cap of one terabyte for each household without reasonable notice before charging exorbitant "fees" for "overage" that never existed before. When I contacted them about this issue, a representative immediately suggested I sign up to pay \$50 more per month for "unlimited" broadband data without any guarantee they will cap and charge more at a later date. It's extortion of consumers who have a household with multiple members who utilize broadband data via multiple devices. Unfortunately, Comcast knows there is no equal competitor to provide broadband service in my area (San Jose, California) aside from AT&T U-verse which would not meet our needs. As this is not a fair and competitive market, the FCC must enable themselves to pursue regulating this market for the sake of base fairness for consumers who otherwise have no choice but to pay more of their income toward ISPs who seemingly concoct new means to essentially extort customers they feel can afford it. Thank you very much for your time and effort.
180. James Pearl, San Jose, CA, 95133  
Keep INTERNET free of corporate greed and their control.
181. Jonathan Jiang, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I strongly support net-neutrality. You are only considering the business perspective of ISP's, you should also include the perspective of other businesses as well, particularly small businesses. Only regulating the industry based on trust have proven to be troublesome in other industries.
182. Chris Finan, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I strongly support Title II FCC oversight of ISPs to regulate against paid prioritization and ensure true net neutrality.
183. Aziz Akbari, Fremont, CA, 94536  
I strongly support net neutrality rules. Open access to the internet is key to protecting our freedom of speech and expression. Please keep in place the net neutrality rules that are currently in place and are backed by title 2.

184. Evan Ovadia, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I support strong net neutrality, backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs! Without this we'll see ISPs slowing down competitors and stifling innovative new companies. We saw it when they slowed down Netflix, and it will just get worse.
185. Arun, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I fully support Net Neutrality Laws and the continued classification of ISPs under Title II. Moving away from that is dangerous to the internet and will cause ISPs to abuse its power (like it already does).
186. Angela Tejada, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please keep our internet fair!
187. Bertha Rios, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
This is absolutely ridiculous. Net neutrality benefits and protects every single Internet user all across the United States and the world. Do not make a mistake. Net neutrality is a conservative and a progressive issue, we the people deserve better. DO NOT MESS WITH OUR INTERNET. Throttling certain websites and allowing ISPs to determine which traffic to prefer is a slippery slope to a future where free speech is stifled. We need net neutrality and we need it now.
188. Vivek Raghunathan, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please uphold net neutrality and title 2. Net neutrality is a must have.
189. Vignesh, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support net neutrality. I support Title II of the act.
190. Rory Graetch, San jose, CA, 95132  
I firmly support a strong net neutrality and Title 2.
191. Vernon Jettlund, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Please keep ISPs under Title II; it is important to the clean, clear, and proper function of the Internet. Additionally, it protects ISPs from lawsuits over the content they carry; if those protections are removed, they can become liable for \*not\* filtering certain types of illegal traffic.
192. Tom Lukas, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Save net neutrality; keep Title-2 in place.
193. Puneet Jain, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I strongly support net neutrality. Please don't allow ISP to decide what content will get preferential treatment. Let all content stream at the same speed. Thanks-Puneet
194. Sergey Kishchenko, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Oh dear, here we go again. Please-please do you work and make sure those monopolists are properly regulated. We all can agree that regulations are bad but - hey - this is only true if there is enough competition for market forces to work. So either make sure those forces work well or regulate the whole thing properly.
195. Mary Wisnewski, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I urge the Commissioners to maintain net neutrality and regulation under Title 2. I am a corporate web site manager with 20 years experience managing web sites for large companies. I have seen many different traffic issues in my working life and all have been manageable under existing rules. Allowing the large media conglomerates who run our data pipes to favor those who pay more or their own media properties will reduce public access to this public utility. We don't allow our power grid or water systems to be manipulated this way. Keep net neutrality. Keep Title 2 regulation. Thank you.
196. Arpit Mittal, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I support strong net neutrality, backed by TITLE 2 oversight of ISP s.
197. Daniel Perlmutter, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I am writing to voice my support for net neutrality. The open internet is core to protecting American freedoms and it can only remain that way if we prevent ISPs from discriminating against content they do not like.
198. Charlie Petzold, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I am in support of VERY STRONG net neutrality rules, as well as classifying ISPs under Title II.
199. Daniel Santiago, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I support Net Neutrality and ISPs should be classified accordingly to prevent them from messing with the content server to the consumers, specifically from throttling competitors and favoring their own services. Internet should probably be a public utility like electricity or water.
200. Ruth Fry, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
The only way to preserve internet freedom is to continue to regulate it under TITLE II (title two).
201. Frances Steingrimsson, Fremont, CA, 94536  
Maintain net neutrality, keep ISPs under Article 2 and ensure they are unable to change resource access based on access type.
202. Andrew, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Need Title II. Strong Net Neutrality Rules.

203. Nick Peacock, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
An open and free internet is essential for a free society, just look to the countries that don't have it (China, North Korea) as an example. We live in a capitalist society, which is a beautiful thing, but that also means that companies like ISPs will make the choice to earn more money over the public good more often than not. A roll back of Net Neutrality will open up the door for ISPs to be able to exploit their control of the internet for profit, and to think that a company in a capitalist society would never make that choice is a fool's hope. Also the fact that just about the entire Silicon Vally and experts in the fields related to the internet are in support of Net Neutrality says something.
204. Michael-Dale Bartz, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I implore the FCC to keep ISP's under TITLE 2 control as it is not a hypothetical to what what the ISP's will do. Over 80% of American's are limited to 2 or less carrier options already, so with out TITLE 2, THEY WILL prioritize service to the highest bidder, as changing providers is not a viable option for us.
205. Daniel Lambing, Santa clara, CA, 95054  
Please keep net neutrality, I want my privacy and I don't want you to slow my netflix down Verizon
206. rick, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
It is important to keep Net Neutrality so we the people do not suffer the whims of larger companies.
207. Hari, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support regulation of ISPs under Title 2 oversight.
208. Neil Townsend, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I oppose the reclassification of ISPs under Title 1 instead of Title 2. I work in the Silicon Valley, and all of us here understand how important Net Neutrality is to the future. ISPs cannot be allowed to control what information or services are available. They own the pipes, but they don't get to discriminate against certain traffic. Similar to a utility (of course this is what Title 2 says, so it makes sense), they provide the service, but don't control content. \*\*\*\*All\*\*\*\* internet traffic must be treated equally in order to keep the playing field level. For those of us who grew up with the internet, this is how we've always understood things, and how things have (mostly), always been. Recently, ISPs have tried to change this to the detriment of consumers (supercookies, zero-rating traffic, intentionally slowing down Netflix). This "Restoring Internet Freedom" title is a joke. Title 2 and strong \*real\* Net Neutrality rules are the only real option for preserving the future of the internet.
209. Ted Green, Fremont, CA, 94538  
My internet connection needs article II protection. Please keep the net neutral.
210. Genrong Meng, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
We need net neutrality and regulate ISPs under title II! Be on the right side of history!
211. Joshua Brown, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I strongly support the use of Title II in the defense of Net Neutrality. ISPs should be subject to common carrier provisions.
212. Kristopher Linquist, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
As an internet software developer, It is very important to myself, my business, and the future of Net Neutrality that it remain under Title II of the FCC.
213. Kathleen Chen, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II, specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs
214. Chris Lee, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am strongly opposed to Docket No. 17-108, which severely limits citizens right to access to internet at the benefit of large corporations and ISPs.
215. Sean Bonawitz, SAN JOSE, CA, 95134  
I do not trust ISPs to deliver a free and open internet w/o government regulation. ISPs should stay under Title II, and remain fully legally obligated to provide net neutrality to the people.
216. Laurence, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Yes, I came from John Oliver's video on the subject. And yes, please preserve Internet neutrality for all of us, not just the 1%. Seriously, prioritize the masses over the few.
217. Bob Burkhardt, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Don't make me trust the ISPs. I can't and won't.
218. James Hwang, cupertino, CA, 95014  
I am in support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY back by Title II oversight of the ISPs! I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108!!!
219. Joseph Zojaji, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II. Don't mess with it!
220. Ronald Barnett, Fremont, CA, 94536  
I am leaving this comment to the FCC to ask that Title 2 and Net Neutrality be preserved. I do not wish any changes to either Title 2 or Net Neutrality be made that differs from those made during the Obama Administration. Thank you

221. Aaron Follette, Fremont, CA, 94536  
I support strong net neutrality backed by oversight of ISPs through Title II of The Communications Act of 1934.
222. Brandon Aitken, cupertino, CA, 95014  
Please force ISP's to be regulated under title II and enforce net neutrality.I would like the internet to be a place where the ISP's are only a pipeline and don't control what do you, like how power company's and water company's work today.Also ISP's should be regulated so that you are allowed to use your own modem and router bought from a third party for any service.
223. Jason Joy, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Continue Title II and Net Neutrality Programs per previous administration.
224. net neutrality, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Please reinforce Net Neutrality by keeping Title II Oversight of ISPs
225. Preeti, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Hi, I support strong net neutrality rules backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
226. tom, san jose, CA, 95051  
there are only two isp in my area and they already change thier policy and pricing to thier own benefit. at the same time they reduced quality of service while increasing prices. products should improve and reduce in pricing over time only. removing title 2 hurts the american citizens. isp should not get to use public lands to creat internet infrastructure and public airway frequencies and public money to create monopolistic/oligopolies rules that promote thier ability to benefit themselves instead of the public
227. Laura Billard, sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and the regulations backed by Title 2.
228. Kyle Mathias, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I do not want ISPs to be able to make a decision on how i receive information from the internet.
229. Jasson Schrock, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
A free and open internet is vital for individual privacy and the economic growth of new companies. The internet is a commodity and creating fast lanes for certain company's content and slow lanes for others dissolves what makes the internet great. Simply put, destroying the trust of the American people for the short-term game of a few large companies is an abuse of power and should be stopped.
230. Alan Kaste, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Please keep the internet as a title II service/utility.
231. Chris Slate, Newark, CA, 94560  
I support strong net neutrality regulations under Title II. It is no overstatement to say that the future of free, democratic discussion and commerce depends on the safeguarding of the Internet as a fair and impartial public utility. It is irresponsible and disingenuous for anyone to suggest that the fate of free speech and commerce should be entrusted to corporations that have inherent and incompatible conflicts of interest. If corporations are legally able to determine or prioritize the content allowed over my Internet connection, at some point and in some way, they will.
232. Ganesh Agrawal, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Do not take us back, do not revoke Net Neutrality, Keep it as it is.
233. Shaun Swanson, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Our children deserve open and fair access to the internet unsullied by the agendas of these businesses. Access to the internet should be a human right, on par with free speech.
234. Ryan J. Allen, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I believe and support regulation of ISPs that enforce them to uphold "net neutrality". As per the Supreme Court, I believe the best way for this regulation to be enforced is by keeping ISPs classified as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
235. A, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I do not trust ISPs to police themselves.
236. Bhararc Srivad, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I STRONGLY SUPPORT net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Please maintain net neutrality and don't scrap it.
237. Anna Liang, Fremont, CA, 94536  
I strongly oppose Docket No. 17-108 and am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's!
238. Jin Choe, san jose, CA, 95129  
I am strongly against repealing our current net neutrality laws. Doing so would stymie growth for smaller sites who cannot "pay off" ISPs or are competing with services provided by those ISP. That is not, as those ISPs state, an open internet but rather an unfair tactic the ISPs want to push to get more money.
239. Paul O'Brien, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I feel that ISPs should continue to be regulated under Title 2 as that is the only way for the FCC to maintain true net neutrality.

240. Naveen, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Pls regulate ISP's under Title 2 of Communications ACT.
241. Mick Bradie, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I am against revising the current FCC policy on net neutrality. Do not change it. Do not listen to those who will tell you it stifles business and innovation. We all share the internet. Just like we all share the same highways and roads, we don't want to create separate lanes for drivers with extra money to speed past the rest of us. Let it be, FCC.
242. Luis Rojas, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Sorry, we can't trust the provider companies to do the right thing. History proves my point. Keep their title II status. It's what people want and the people are your boss ultimately.
243. Kristen B Caldwell, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I support strong net neutrality--please keep Title II oversight of Internet service providers. It is unrealistic to expect ISPs to self-police, potentially acting against their own self interests.
244. net, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I support strong net neutrality, i.e. telecom companies should be classified under title 2. Please keep the way it is now. Thank you.
245. Kevin Robell, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I don't believe that ISP's should be able to pick favorites. A healthy and open internet needs net neutrality regulation so that each website is on equal footing. Therefore I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II.
246. Michael Lumish, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I am strongly in favor of preserving Net Neutrality regulations under Title II.
247. Lauren Chong, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I strongly support strong net neutrality rules, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
248. Avi Networks, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
preserve net-neutrality
249. william ho, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Keep net neutrality enforced! Equal internet for all forever!
250. Uday, Fremont, CA, 94536  
Strongly support robust net neutrality laws backed by title 2.
251. Pulkit Budhiraja, Fremont, CA, 94538  
include Title 2
252. Gordon McCormick, san jose, CA, 95132  
I back Net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Verizon lawyer as head of the FCC huh? Neat...
253. Tiffany Washburn, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Rolling back Net Neutrality is equivalent to prioritizing corporate profits over fair markets, consumer experience, technological innovation, and freedom of speech and the press.
254. Dan Moore, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Support Net Neutrality by requiring ISP's to be regulated under Title II. A free and open internet is required for a functioning democracy in the 21st century.
255. Rohit Jain, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I support net neutrality with ISPs being in Title 2
256. Ashok Kumar Madhavi Selvaraj, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 to protect consumers' interests.
257. Satish Menedi, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Hi,I would like to let you know that I'm in favor of net neutrality and I want this to continue without bringing any new rules that disturbs the end user consumption of the internet.
258. jean vincent, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
You can't let the ISP use/share/sell our information (including statistic and/or any specific on our internet traffic). This would be the equivalent of having the phone company record our phone conversations, and analyze them and then reselling that information. IPS provide pipes, they have not right to limit nor snoop what goes on these pipes not to throttle specific traffic flow. That would be a dead sentence for any new idea & startup that try to compete on the market but have to pay the IPS to allow they traffic to flow at the same speed as their competition. Privacy and Fair competition are the basis of the American Society, these rules are in complete opposition with these basic principlesJean Vincent
259. Andrew Dahlkemper, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
We need to keep strong net neutrality that is backed by Title II (Title 2) oversight of the ISPs.

260. Christina Lee, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Do not allow for self regulation of ISPs or diminishing the current standards for ensuring the fair and equitable access to the internet.
261. Sean Kau, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Support Title II classification of Internet Service Providers. The Internet was built on the ability of all services to compete on an equal footing and it is essential that we preserve this competitive environment. Existing ISPs cannot be trusted to act in good faith without appropriate regulations.
262. Raisul Islam, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please keep the net neutrality and title II. You owe this to our future generations' freedom of speech.
263. Ryan Feng, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I am entirely in support of Net Neutrality and opposed to decreased oversight of internet providers.
264. STacie Thomas, Fremont, CA, 94539  
We believe in Net Neutrality. Do not play favorites with access and speed.
265. N Hughes, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
You must keep ISPs under Title II. You must keep Title II strong. Don't violate the oath you took by changing the rules in favor of your potential conflicts-of-interest.
266. Chris Williams, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I support Title II enforcing net neutrality.
267. Stacey Jones, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Dear FCC officials, I demand you keep the net neutrality in place.
268. Mahdi Hamzeh, santa clara, CA, 95051  
I strongly support net neutrality and title II. I dont want you to change anything and keep it as is. It is working and I do not want my ISP to control what content should be delivered at better QoS.
269. Krishnan Devarajan, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I strongly FCC to keep net neutrality.
270. Scott Hankins, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
As the founder of two network technology start-ups, I request that you maintain the current Net-Neutrality laws. Creating new and innovative products and services is a difficult and expensive process. Allowing carriers to sell quality-of-service to the highest bidder, puts start-ups at a significant disadvantage and will stifle innovation and the creation of more jobs.Keep Net-Neutrality !
271. Nikhil Ninan, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Net neutrality is of paramount importance - preserve it and Title 2.
272. raghuvara ravikumar, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please keep the internet free of big company money. The smaller companies and small businesses will surely suffer if there's no net neutrality
273. Vijay Sankar, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I oppose Docket No. 17-108 and I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. As an engineer in Silicon Valley, I understand the effects of removing net neutrality will have on the consumers of internet content like me. This is horrifying to watch. This will also stifle innovation in the internet realm and would prevent future companies from scaling up their activities. Also naming this "Restoring Internet Freedom" is not fooling anybody.
274. David, Santa Clara, CA, 95134  
DO NOT GET RID OF NET NEUTRALITY!!!!!!!
275. richard fitch, fremont, CA, 94539  
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY and TITLE II.
276. Gary Johnston, Santa clara, CA, 95054  
Please keep the internet as is. Net neutral.
277. Aaron Ramirez, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Don't undo the former administrations net neutrality protections.
278. Ryan Mak, San Jose, CA, 95131  
I support net neutrality protections backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The internet is an essential part of our modern world and allowing ISPs to self-regulate these issues does not make any sense. There has been evidence in the past where ISPs have prioritized their own services over their competitors. Strong Title II regulation is ultimately better for the consumers and will allow the US to continue to innovate.
279. Hannah Farrow, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality title II oversight of ISPs

280. Mohammad Adil, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and classifying the ISP(s) under the Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I do NOT want the ISPs to be able to limit, enhance or affect the broadband traffic in any way and I support a free and open internet.
281. tarun vasudeva, Newark, CA, 94560  
Respected FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I am a supporter of existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. I request you to not remove the net neutrality regulations. Thank you, Tarun
282. Rohith Balluru, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Broadband needs to be classified under Title II. No need to revert Obama's changes.
283. Paige Maas, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I support the preservation of Net Neutrality through Title II. It will be bad for everyone if this is changed. It is not ok to relax these protections. Provider companies need to continue to be held to a high, legally enforceable standard of neutrality with respect to the internet.
284. greg watson, cupertino, CA, 95014  
I support strong net neutrality rules. Agit Pai is obviously still beholden to Verizon, and should not be the chairman of the FCC, but that's Trump for you. Anyway, keep ISPs under Title II.
285. Kurtis Rader, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I expect the FCC to mandate strong net neutrality. Companies like Comcast and AT&T should not be allowed to give preferential treatment to traffic flowing across their networks from partner companies. If, for example, they decide to start a video streaming subsidiary they should not be allowed to degrade the traffic from competitors like Netflix.
286. Julien Adler, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I personally, and specifically, support a strong net-neutrality that is backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. This protection already ensures a fair, free and open Internet and it doesn't need to be altered. Loosening this oversight in any fashion is tantamount to giving monopolistic control over content to these already too powerful companies. Please do the right thing and keep them bound by the Title 2 provisions. Thank you, Julien Adler
287. bob dooley, cupertino, CA, 95014  
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. We love you John Oliver :)
288. cory, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I support strong St neutrality via the Title II restrictions and requirements.
289. Elizabeth Friend, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Keep STRONG net neutrality - backed under Title 2!!!
290. Srinivas Muddagowni, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by 'Title II' oversight of ISP.
291. richard fitch, fremont, CA, 94539  
Ajit Pai: I support NET NEUTRALITY backed by TITLE II oversight of ISPs. btw: lame coffee mug
292. Mohan Saxena, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Ajit Pai. I'm gonna be straight with you man, Indian guy to Indian guy. Our parents didn't come to this country to have us ruin the internet. Imagine how disappointed they will be when they found out you ruined the family name by destroying the internet so that big companies can crush the little guy. They came here to escape the rampant corruption in India and you want to be the one to allow corruption here. Do the right thing. Defend net neutrality.
293. Sachin, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight for ISP's
294. Subash Balakrishna, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Net neutrality is critical for startups to compete and provide the best choices for consumers. Preserve Net neutrality.
295. Alex Cordova, San Jose, CA, 95054  
Please preserve the current laws and regulations for net neutrality.
296. Rozlyn MacDermott, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I support Net Neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight ISPs
297. Alex Shieu, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I specifically support strong net neutrality rules under Title 2 enforcement. Voluntary agreements on terms of service are insufficient.
298. Siu Lun Lee, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I strongly support keeping ISPs under Title II and ensuring we keep Net Neutrality.
299. Ron Courtright, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Protect American consumers by ensuring net neutrality.

300. Aakash Takale, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Dear FCC / Ajit Pai, Internet must be treated just like any other utility company. Take Edison for example. They deliver electricity to my apartment. What I do with that electricity is not and should not be Edison's concern. I get the electricity, I pay Edison for how much I used and that's it. Imagine if Edison started to analyze what I do with my electricity. If I want to run a fridge, I have to pay extra. AC? extra charge. If I buy a fridge from say, GE, the cost will be different than if I buy a fridge from Samsung. Established Fridge makers will start to make agreements with Edison to boost sales by luring customers with lower electricity rates if you buy their fridge. This stifles competition. What if there's a startup which doesn't have financial might of GE to strike a deal with Edison but their new fridge design is better than GE's? It won't matter because nobody will buy it because Edison charges higher rates for that fridge than what they charge for GE's fridge because GE and Edison have an agreement. I hope you see what I am driving at? This is exactly what will happen and is already happening to an extent if you kill net neutrality. The Internet MUST be neutral. Just like electricity. I get the internet. I pay for my speed of the internet. What I do with that internet, must remain to my concern and not the ISP's. This flourishes competition. I need you to please: A. Classify ISPs as Title 2. and B. Enforce strict net neutrality. USA must lead the world in implementing such internet freedom by enforcing strict and absolute net neutrality. After all, this country stands for freedom. Thank you.
301. sheyna patel, Newark, CA, 94538  
STRONGLY support's net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's
302. John Julian Chocholak, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I am weary of these continued attempts to hamstring, destroy, or otherwise cripple the fair and unbiased access to content and services on the internet that the current Title II classification safeguards. The American People spoke LOUDLY AND CLEARLY in 2014 that we WANTED "net neutrality" to be enforced. We do NOT want "paid fast lanes" (or, more to the point: unpaid slow lanes) that are controlled by our greedy, overbearing, monopolistically-minded internet service providers. PLEASE STOP TRYING TO KILL TITLE II PROTECTIONS FOR OUR INTERNET SERVICES! Title II and net neutrality do not cripple innovation - they level the playing field so that anyone, any business, no matter how small, can do something amazing on the internet without having to fight a giant incumbent that blocks the path to customers with a paywall. Chairman Pai, you are not doing your duty in your position if you continue to push this anti-consumer agenda - you are ignoring the hoarse and weary cries of the very people you are supposed to protect, while effectively acting as a roadbuilder for the communications giants. We do not want more choices, products, and controls from AT&T, Comcast, and Verizon. We want more ISP competition, more ISPs to choose from, and complete flexibility to continue all of our internet services without interruption while swapping our ISPs at will.
303. AKASH VARSHNEY, FREMONT, CA, 94539  
True Net Neutrality is the only way. No compromises !!
304. priyanka parikh, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I choose to comment in Support of title 2 . We need stringent regulations on large companies who manipulate the law to favor themselves at the cost of the common man's freedom
305. Connor King, Santa Clara, CA, 95053  
Net neutrality, with the oversight provided by title 2, is the only feasible way to maintain the freedom the Internet provides and espouses. To consider that our freedom of expression, freedom of choice , and any expectation we have of equal treatment to all should be something that can be regulated at the whims of a corporate entity would be unthinkable in any other arena. Net neutrality should be something unspoken, an accepted fact - but since it must be said, let it be said clearly and unambiguously. Net neutrality MUST stand.
306. William Meadows, milpitas, CA, 95035  
I support STRONG net neutrality law. This means Title II inclusion of ISP's. Do not let corporate greed ruin what should be a public utility.
307. Michele Grillo, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Please preserve net neutrality, don't reverse title two oversight of ISPs. I strongly urge you not to make the changes that Ajit Pai is suggesting.
308. James C. Beattie, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Fair and open access to information is in the best interests of the public and deserves to be protected. Please preserve Title II regulatory oversight of Internet Service Providers and ensure that net neutrality remains intact. Thank you.
309. Khoa Ton, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I support strong net-neutrality baked by title 2 oversight of ISPs. This protects fair and open internet, not dependent on the good will of corporations.
310. Kyle Fahrenbach, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I urge Mr. Ajit Pai to support the classification of ISPs under title 2.
311. Peter G Panagas Jr., Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Hi Folks, Please preserve what we now know as "Net Neutrality" and the associated "Title II". Please keep these intact until we have a better way... Please consider: "We the people, in order to form a more perfect union...", And "...all men are created equal..". We do not currently govern which person gets which oxygen molecules in their lungs, nor should we control which electrons flow to their homes. I propose: Both of these things mankind is quite capable of performing adequately, independently, and without governance. Thanks for all the great work! Best Regards-Pete Panagas
312. Brian Lin, San Jose, CA, 95129  
I support Net Neutrality. Consumers have been extorted by ISP monopolies for as long as the internet has existed. Loosening regulations paves the way for corporate giants to expand this extortion to an unprecedented scale.

313. Richard Paul New, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I am strongly in support of net neutrality and Title II status for ISPs. Furthermore, no one has my permission to use personal information included in this statement (including name, address, or email address) for any purpose except the FCC as public opinion influencing a decision involving internet neutrality or status of ISPs. Finally, the FCC should not force the public to display that information to get public opinion in the age of data mining and other spam nonsense. It is an obvious ploy to dissuade the public from giving said opinion and is immoral. But mostly, I am strongly for net neutrality and Title II status of ISPs. Any other arrangement besides that is also immoral.
314. David Lohr, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I want net neutrality maintained for the protection of the consumer. More regulation does not curtail innovation, but rather protects consumers.
315. Robert Contreras, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please do not allow companies such as Verizon and Comcast to have leverage over their customers by doing away with Net Neutrality regulations. It is important that our internet connections be treated as a utility and not be restricted in any way such as restricting what web sites I may access without having to pay extra to access it. Please keep the current rules in place and reevaluate them in 5-10 years when they have had time to make an impact.
316. Ben Shapero, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
We must keep net neutrality under the protections provided by Title II. The Internet has always operated under these guidelines, and thus the status quo is maintained by not taking any further action on this matter. Additionally, the Internet is as necessary for commerce and society as electricity or water today. Internet is a utility and should be recognized as such.
317. Kurtis Horimoto, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Net Neutrality is extremely important to allow technological innovation. Allowing ISPs to prioritize traffic will prevent new services and companies from competing, instead limiting reachable internet services to those that are A) promoted by an ISP, or B) coming from a company large enough to expend significant resources to maintain a higher priority connection. ISPs must retain their Schedule II status so that governing agencies can ensure that everyone has equal access to all internet-based services.
318. Forrest Judd, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Net Neutrality must be guaranteed by an independent government regulator. Leaving the democratization of content and information up to the voluntary restraint of corporations with a (justifiable) profit motive will lead to abuse. Shareholders will rightly demand the increased profits that would result from that abuse. As a result, neutrality MUST be regulated and enforced.
319. Patrick, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
It is very important to me and my associates the Title 2 and a free and open internet is preserved!
320. Richard Bell, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please stop playing politics with our access to the Internet. Keep access EQUAL for ALL, as it was originally designed and implemented.
321. Tamara Lukas, Fremont, CA, 94536  
I specially support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight isp's
322. Nathan Bolger, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support strong net neutrality backed by the classification of the internet as a Title 2 utility.
323. kurt butler, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I STRONGLY support the internet neutrality rules supported by Title 2 of the Telecommunications act of 1934, and believe FCC Chairman Pai's revisions of such result are a conflict of interest.
324. Nathan Pereira, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
:Preserve Net Neutrality:Hello FCC Chairmen,I am dependent on the internet for not just social interactions, media, and entertainment, but also for work and professional matters. The internet has gone from commodity to necessity, and it would be a mistake to leave the door open for ISP's (Whom have shown consistent interest in abusing their powers over consumers). Do not repeal Net Neutrality rules. Do not slack the regulations that keep the internet a fair ground for new companies. Do not give even more power to the monopolies that are ISP's. Thank you,Nathan
325. Mary Burak, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
We cannot rely on telecommunications companies to always do the right thing. They, like many corporations,will do anything to increase profits, including throttling content to favor others. The Internet needs to remain neutral, and the only way we can insure that is with regulation.
326. Neeraj Sunil Dhotre, santa clara, CA, 95051  
I support strong Net neutrality, preserve Title 2 for ISP
327. William Brown, Fremont, CA, 94536  
I strongly urge you preserve net neutrality via Title 2. Please - I can choose a lot of things but I have really only one internet provider. If there is no choice, this at least, makes internet access equal to all.
328. Kurtis Sprouse, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I strongly support net neutrality covered under title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. Without this the internet will no longer be

a truly free.

329. spenser chiang, San Jose, CA, 95131  
net neutrality is an integral part of the internet.
330. Jake Nickel, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support net neutrality and the free internet, and strongly oppose the removal of ISPs from Title 2 oversight.
331. Net Neutrality, San Jose, CA, 95134  
If you take away the net neutrality, it is as simple as killing all future innovation (like Netflix, Hulu etc.). Internet Service Providers should only provide internet and they should not be allowed to discriminate the content providers or the users.
332. Timothy Showalter, Newark, CA, 94560  
I support net neutrality, and believe that Title II is the correct way to regulate Internet service providers. I'm a computer programmer and depend on an open Internet both personally and professionally.
333. YH, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I believe that it is important for our government to preserve net neutrality under title 2. The internet is one of the most important modern pillars of freedom of speech and information. Allowing the oligopoly of service providers to affect the way we browse is the first step to limiting that freedom.
334. Sheryl Jurcak, San Jose, CA, 95134  
The assertion that ISPs will regulate themselves and simply agree to be fair is absurd, particularly when we already have perfectly good rules in place that enforce that regulation. Net Neutrality is incredibly important, and the usefulness of the Internet will collapse without it. Many of us rely on the Internet to exercise our basic, protected freedoms like freedom of speech and assembly, so it is absolutely paramount that we ensure Net Neutrality, rather than trust ISPs to uphold it on their own.
335. Abhishek Mishra, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
ISPs should not be allowed to make any routing decisions (speed or bandwidth) or any kind of billing decisions based on the content of my data packets. Even if they try to appear to act in the interest of the consumer by providing free services or discounts. This will only mean the ISPs will be paid by content creators or ISPs will favor their allies/subsidiaries. This will make it very difficult for new internet companies to compete against deep pocketed incumbents. The largest incumbents on the internet like Google and Facebook realize they were fledgling companies when they started and could never have become the giants they are today if internet wasn't a level playing field. That's the reason they are opposed to any regulation against net neutrality.
336. Max Werner, Santa Clara, CA, 95053  
Keep strong regulation for net neutrality by upholding Title 2. Fuck ISPs for wanting to make this regulation less strict to fatten their pockets.
337. Andrew Walton, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
How many times do we need to save net neutrality from actors trying to kill it? It is absolutely fundamental to the continued freedoms of the people of the United States of America that net neutrality remains in place - we cannot allow either the government nor businesses to squelch expression by requiring additional fees per types of traffic carried. Repealing net neutrality is absolutely against the will of the people - we have made this point clear at every opportunity given to us. Do not make this a game of political gamesmanship.
338. Alexander Cragan, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I would like to voice my support for strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Also, Tell Pai to drop the mug gig.
339. Peter Murphy, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support strong net neutrality protections backed by title II oversight. This is because of the essential nature of internet access in the modern world to access information, services, employment and other vital needs. Neutral networks allow for free market principles to enable competition, innovation and drive down prices. It also allows the US to maintain its technological and economic advantages by allowing innovative technologies to compete over infrastructure built by: 1) public subsidies 2) public funded research (darpa) 3) using municipal domain to build. It is in the public good to ensure that traffic is treated neutrally by companies who have utilized public resources to construct this essential utility, and that these companies are not given unfair advantages.
340. Alexander Louie, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I strongly support ISP regulation under the current Title II rules. ISPs, as a natural monopoly, should not be allowed to self-regulate. Prior to the title II regulations, I remember many issues with performance and access to services that directly competed with Comcast, my local ISP, as soon as they had competing products. It is blatantly corrupt and callous to revert to an unregulated ISP market.
341. Lloyd Dixon, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I do not support this measure I support net neutrality. Why are you trying to take away something the public who use the internet overwhelmingly support? Are y'all really that evil?
342. Karthik Sridhar, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
dont take away net neutrality, especially to companies that are consistantly rated EXTREMELY poorly on customer satisfaction, there no way they would regulate themselves based off that fact
343. Swamy Muddu, SANTA CLARA, CA, 95051  
Net Neutrality is important for innovation and for ensuring a level playing field. Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. DO NOT deregulate



Internet service providers are to be viewed similar to an electric distribution company. You are providing the service of transmitting data to a customer. The customer is paying for the bandwidth, and is entitled to any and all content legally allowed in the US. By zero rating or preferential treatment of this bandwidth, the ISP can create winners and losers which is not good in the long term. If ISPs want to also provide video content, they should get better at winning the customer genuinely, than using their influence in Washington to create slimy laws that suit them.

357. Rahul, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Please do not change the Title II and keep net neutrality alive.
358. Matt Wang, San Jose, CA, 95129  
I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The fact that net neutrality is integral to a fair, impartial, and accessible internet is important to me, among most other people who are on the internet. Thank you.
359. alexander Tran, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
To the Chairman of the FCC, Please keep ISPs as Title 2 entities. Large corporations like Verizon, Cox, and Comcast should not be able to discriminate what media I choose to consume on the internet in order to support other content providers. Keep the Internet regulated!
360. Lakshman Kishore, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I urge the FCC to remain committed to Net Neutrality backed by Title II. There by ensuring 1. ISPs do not preferentially limit or control access to content providers. 2. encouraging more competition in the ISP market space.
361. Abhijeet Kulkarni, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Please preserve net neutrality and keep the money grubbing hands of corporations out of my pipeline to the world!
362. Venkat Vemuri, san jose, CA, 95134  
I support net neutrality backed by title
363. Lee Erickson, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please preserve internet neutrality and save title 2 of the FCC.
364. Net Nuetrality, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Please don't let Trump ruin another thing for the rest of us. Preserve Title 2 and let Net neutrality survive.
365. Nelson Araujo, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Don't take away net neutrality and allows big Telecom companies to control what we watch and read by allowing preferred content to be viewable faster. All content should be treated equal!
366. David Bormann, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
It is critical to the future of the Internet and the US economy that strong net neutrality rules are backed by Title II status. I urge you to consider further protections rather than any weakening of the current rules. These laws are necessary for protecting consumers. Equal internet access is also vitally important to enable disruptive small businesses that have been so beneficial to our economy. Please protect this vital infrastructure from short-sighted corporate interests and preserve Title II status.
367. Patrick Rodriggs, Fremont, CA, 94536  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am extremely Opposed to Docket No. 17-108! Furthermore, I am extremely disgusted at how much harder you've made commenting for this topic. You should be ashamed of yourself.
368. Jared Casper, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I am strongly opposed to Docket No. 17-108! Strong network neutrality backed by title II oversight is critical to avoid disaster.
369. Sean David McPherson, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I urge the FCC to continue to support and enforce Net neutrality and article 2.
370. Rahul Ravikumar, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I support the \_current\_ Title II regulation that allow the FCC to enforce Network neutrality. Please make sure that you \_do not\_ roll back the policies that protect an Open Internet.
371. Swethak Annamaneni, San Jose, CA, 95129  
I think that the internet should be equal because ISP's shouldn't be allowed to choose what I watch or slow down certain websites. America is a free country
372. Debra Doyle, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Keep net neutrality alive. Restricting personal freedom in the name of big corporations is exactly something I'd expect modern Republicans to do but goes against their supposed core values and is incredibly shameful.
373. Jennifer Mitchell, Newark, CA, 94560  
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY. Thank you.
374. Megana Uppalapati, san jose, CA, 95129  
I support strong regulations for Net Neutrality, which is why ISPs need to REMAIN classified under Title II.
375. Anant Narayanan, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I strongly support net neutrality enforced via Title II. The arguments for removing Title II provisions are not valid in a monopoly market

of residential ISPs in the United States, and as such, I oppose proceeding 17-108.

376. Victor R. Manoli, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
At this time the protections that are for Net Neutrality must not be removed as Verizon has already stated that what is in place now is not affecting their business plans for infrastructure or capital investment or modernization. These rules are very much needed to keep a level playing field and to insure that all content providers are treated equally and fairly no matter who, what or where they hail from. Do not fix something that is not broken. It will work just fine the way it is as it has been since its inception. Thank you. Regards, Victor Manoli
377. Susan Hunts, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
The FCC should not alter existing Net Neutrality regulations. ISPs should continue to be regulated under Title 2.
378. Neelakshi Deshpande, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Please keep the net neutral. I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.
379. Ruben A. Dalby Jr., Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please keep regulating the Internet under Title II, as it was at the end of the Obama administration. Net neutrality is very important to the health of the Internet. No company should be able to give preference of speed or accessibility to products or services due to its financial interests.
380. Wen Pu, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Net neutrality is essential for leveling the play ground for internet content providers. Without it small players will have a hard time competing with big money, therefore hurting innovation. Please keep net neutrality intact.
381. Steven Tsang, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
We need to keep ISPs classified under Title 2. Mr. Pai's assertion that ISPs can regulate themselves is simply unrealistic without oversight from outside body such as the FCC or some other public watchdog appointed by the government. ISPs have every motivation to undercut their responsibilities under Net Neutrality where it benefits themselves and puts other competing services at a disadvantage. That seems pretty evident to most folks who understand business. As a former attorney for Verizon, Mr. Pai should not let the arguments he made on behalf of former employer cloud his judgment on an issue so critical for the overall public good.
382. cem yildirim, San jose, CA, 95133  
I strongly support net neutrality and do not want ISP's to stifle technology innovation.
383. Chrystal Cowdrey, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I do not support Ajit Pai and his stupid mug. Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.
384. Kheng Khov, SANTA CLARA, CA, 95054  
Please keep the internet free so small businesses and content creators can share information without fear of large businesses who may control our content by monopolizing the traffic. This also gives the freedom to users to choose what they read and consume. Otherwise, the internet will just become a sea of paid advertisements and content censored by the highest bidder. Creativity, education, research, and human rights will be stunted if the flow of information on the internet is blocked or stifled by deep pockets.
385. Chris Farr, Santa Clara, CA, 95053  
BAD BAD BAD BAD you know this is bad bad man but youre doing it for moneys. (person reading this this is bout your boss not you but tell your boss) ((if the computer reads this then BAD DISLIKE CALLING MY REPRESENTATIVE UNETHICAL UN-AMERICAN))
386. Ryan Bohmann, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am opposed to docket #17-108.
387. Daniel Weaver, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
As someone who enjoys consuming a variety of media entertainment requiring high-speed internet access, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.
388. David Wilson, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Net Neutrality regulations are the only defense of an \*actual\* free and open internet, as opposed to one controlled by corporate interests. We need an FCC that's actually willing to stand up to companies and encourage real startups and innovations, not just protect existing monopoly power. We need net neutrality regulation, not just ISP whitewashing.
389. Nikita Thomas, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Net Neutrality is essential to the continued democratic culture and competitive nature of the American people. Any ruling that strikes down net neutrality is a hit to capitalism and the American way.
390. Bobby Tarantino, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Net Neutrality needs to stay bitches what the fuck are you doing? Stop catering to ISPs and their lazy asses and listen to the people!
391. Aravind Dongara, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I don't want comcast to slow down my netflix streaming.
392. Hans Bernhardt, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I wish to express support for Net Neutrality under Title II.

393. Zia Ziauddin, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support strong net neutrality provisions as they currently exist without any changes. Chairman Pai should keep his hands off the open internet and protect the consumers he's supposed to represent instead of shilling for Verizon and AT&T.
394. Olivia Nayler, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I am writing in support of Net Neutrality and the continued strong oversight of internet providers through their classification under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. It is very important that you continue to considering public opinion on this issue.
395. erer, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Net Neutrality based on what John Oliver says. Please Ajit, don't screw us over.
396. Mary Rose, Fremont, CA, 94539  
We need net neutrality as expressed in Title 2.
397. Mark Choi, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108! Seriously, what is wrong with Ajit Pai, this ex-Verizon lawyer. Protect net neutrality and ensure that all internet traffic is treated the same.
398. Mike O, No, CA, 95054  
Please save the net - this is the biggest loss of freedoms in years!
399. Skylar Peterson, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Net Neutrality has become a fundamental part of our society. Keeping ISPs classified under Title II regulations is paramount to protecting that. Those companies DO NOT need any relaxing of rules when it comes to this. They have no incentive to keep net neutrality around, in fact they have every monetary incentive to get rid of it for their own gain. DO NOT CHANGE THIS
400. Gary Virshup, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I support strong net neutrality rules that govern ISP's under title II.
401. Suraj Sheth, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Net neutrality needs to stay where it is. Title II is important to every single user. Compromising Net Neutrality will be devastating to the country and us as people. The only entities that win, are the service providers, and not the end users.
402. Yan-Fa Li, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I support Net Neutrality and the current Title 2 classification for ISPs. I strongly believe rolling back these rules would make the internet a weaker and worse place for everyone in the country and the world. Mr Pai is frankly not representing the interests of the users of the Internet, and seems to be confusing his past role as a lawyer for Verizon with his current job.
403. Robert Neivert, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I am a strong supporter of net neutrality, the ISP's or others should be strickly regulated to require them to stay neutral and not alter traffic in any way including reducing its speed, or changing what content the user can access and selling my personal data should be strickly banned.
404. Thomas Stuart, Newark, CA, 94560  
Preserve Net neutrality in TITLE 2!!!!!!! Its what the people want.
405. William Bonnett, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
The FCC must NOT reclassify the open internet regulations to Title I. These regulations are vital to the continued use of the internet by ALL parties. Keep the ISPs from allocating special bandwidth to the highest payer/biggest customer.
406. Dan Peterson, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
The listed act, "Restoring Internet Freedom", is a shameful attempt to destroy net neutrality. The American people overwhelmingly support net neutrality and oppose this action that would end it.
407. V.J. Favilla, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I strongly oppose this bill and I'm in favor of net neutrality.
408. Sarah, Fremont, CA, 94538  
You have to keep the net neutrality act!! All people should be able to acess all sites they want to at the same speed!!!!
409. Jess Lum, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please continue to classify internet service providers under Title II of the 1934 Communications Act so the FCC can continue to enforce a fair and free internet under net neutrality.
410. Brian Kirouac, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not allow large commercial organizations to bully the little upstarts. We need these upstarts for innovation and job creation.
411. Michel J. Klein, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The internet is our most important intellectual resource. Free, unhindered access by all is important.
412. Tony Basile, Fremont, CA, 94536

Net Neutrality is needed to prevent uncompetitive and monopolistic practices from the mega telecom carriers.

413. Matthaeus Krenn, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Examples from the recent past have clearly shown that ISPs have experimented with dubious ways of extracting more revenue from customers and companies. The internet needs to be protected from these types of actions as it is too fundamental for human society to be messed with. People like Ajit Pai are simply liars for pretending that there are no signs of ISPs abusing their position of power.
414. Benjamin Ramos, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I support the continuation of strong net neutrality rules and the continued classification of Internet Service Providers (ISPs) as Title II.
415. Han Tang, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I strongly encourage you to preserve Title II for the sake of net neutrality.
416. Janice Dollar, Fremont, CA, 94539  
We support continued net neutrality under Title 2 for better consumer protection.
417. Michael Grimm, San Jose, CA, 95127  
Net Neutrality is a fucking joke. STOP IT!!!
418. Scott Hirose, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2 status under the FCC. The internet is among America's most potent sources of growth, communication, and development; removing protections will only serve to choke off innovation and funnel gains to a small portion of powerful companies. If America hopes to maintain powerful economic, scientific, and technological advantages, net neutrality must be protected. Corrupting a free and open internet will stifle many sources of innovation and harm Americans and American companies.
419. Michelle Ogburn, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
From the economic engine that is Silicon Valley, keep net neutrality. For once, would this "populist" administration act on behalf of its citizens and not Comcast?
420. Jason Caporgno, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Hello, I am strongly in support of net neutrality and Title 2. Please do not allow more big business an avenue to manipulate the system in order to benefit themselves even more.
421. Mitchell Fukumoto, Santa clara, CA, 95051  
I am in strong favor of net neutrality. I do not want my internet service providers to favor or block particular products or websites. Would you like to live in a world where your Maytag refrigerator received less electricity than your GE refrigerator just because of the brand?
422. Vinayak Gajjewar, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support net neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs. Also fuck you Ajit Pai, you're a disgrace to the Indian people.
423. Andrew Frazier, sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Net neutrality is extremely important, please do not allow it to be compromised or leave it to be "opted in" by ISPs .
424. Alexis Madrid, Fremont, CA, 94536  
I support net neutrality, with the more stringent title 2 regulations. The citizens of this country deserve the freedom of information, and not to be held hostage by corporations.
425. ann el demery, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I support net neutrality. This bill is garbage and caters to the corporate elite.
426. Jason Wendling, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I support Title II classification for internet service providers ISPs and net neutrality. This regulation supports the equality and free market that America stands for. Any erosion of these regulations gives an unfair advantage to corporations that already benefit from use of public resources - spectrum, access, and utilities.
427. Chad Solis, sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I also support prohibition of the sale of browsing data without explicit authorization from the user the data was collected from.
428. Burt Thomas, Fremont, CA, 94539  
We need Web Neutrality and Title II, now more than ever.I strongly disagree with the concept that we can rely on the major ISPs to self regulate. In recent weeks, rules were rolled back to allow these same ISPs to profit by selling away our personal private data to the highest bidder, like a bunch of street-corner prostitutes. I don't want to have to add the complexity of using a Virtual Private Network (VPN) vendor to privately use the Internet; however, that's exactly what I'm going to have to do thanks to that other unacceptable rule change. I'm also going to teach my other less-web-literate friends how to acquire, set up. and use a VPN. That shouldn't even need to be done, but you folks are abandoning the best interests of the American public in favor of the obscenely large and powerful ISPs. It stinks of graft and corruption to me, as well as to probably plenty of other American citizens.I have no doubt that if this process continues to move forward, there will be a time when you folks will find some way to prevent we American citizens from acquiring and using a VPN to protect ourselves and our privacy. How you'll do that, I have no idea; I only know that you'll find a way. You seem bent on turning the Internet from a tool for excellent global communications and free speech, into a tool for political oppression and suppression. Truly free speech must scare the hell out of all bureaucrats, from around the globe. At least that's sure the way things have been going.I used to

be PROUD to be an American.... These days I'm no longer so sure.

429. Alexander Clucas, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2! This is extremely important to maintain American innovation and making America Great.
430. Charles Cantrell, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Please preserve Net Neutrality at it's current Title II protections level. A free internet is core to its ability to serve all equally.
431. Rick Adams, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am firmly opposed to Docket No. 17-108 as it serves no purpose but to benefit the corporations.
432. Donald Molaro, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I strongly support net neutrality governed under title II
433. Andy Tomlin, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I strongly recommend we do not reduce net neutrality. As a technology innovator and startup technologist I see this proceeding as hurting the ability of new companies to compete. TThis makes funding harder to obtain, stifling innovation.
434. Elliott Anderson, San Jose, CA, 95131  
I support Net Neutrality. The reason I support it is that I do not believe ISP's will always act in consumers' best interests without some governance and oversight. ISP's, as content creators, have a financial incentive to nudge people to consume their own content since it is less expensive for them to produce or provide, and due to the fiduciary duty many large ISP's have to their shareholders, they will do so in spite of their own claims to the contrary, as it would result in higher profits.
435. Leonard Woo, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I would like to express my support for Net Neutrality with Title II Oversight of ISPs. I am opposed to the disingenuously named "Restoring Internet Freedom" filing (Docket No. 17-108). Thank you.
436. Kyle Scollin, San Jose, CA, 95129  
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not ruin this for us.
437. Matthew Merkey, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Net neutrality rules should be strongly enforced to benefit all users of the Internet. Gutting the rules only serves to pad the pockets of the ISP industry, and does nothing to benefit consumers. The FTC cannot provide the strong enforcement needed, despite what the chairman may claim.
438. Raymond Durk, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Do not take away net neutrality rules. The internet needs to stay open.
439. Nicholas Ward, San Jose, CA, 95129  
The internet has become a necessary utility to participate in modern, developed nation, life. It is critical that access to this utility is equal to ALL and that access shall NOT be preferentially provided to some vs others. As such, it is necessary to regulate access along these lines and this requires that ISPs be covered with Title II. It is not acceptable to leave this to the ISPs themselves to self regulate
440. jay and b walton, cupertino, CA, 95014  
Net neutrality shouldn't just be a good idea, but the LAW. Come On,, continue Title II regulation of service providers.
441. Dylan Morris, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I strongly support net neutrality with strong oversight under Title II. I do not support deregulation
442. Brad Moore, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I support title 2 protections. Less regulation is NOT the answer.
443. Adam Hoch, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I strongly support continuing to classify ISPs under Title II and maintaining net neutrality rules.
444. Phillip Passos, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
dont kill net neutrality. it protects the internet
445. christian kaiser, San Jose, CA, 95129  
Maintaining "net neutrality" requirements is essential to guarantee equal access to the Internet for every person and business. The Internet access market in the U.S. is not very competitive, therefore vulnerable to monopolistic tactics that benefit the access companies (ISPs) and hurt consumers and businesses.
446. Daniel Culler, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I am in favor of maintaining net neutrality under Title II (2) regulations.
447. Hirish Chandrasekaran, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I support strong net neutrality and enforcement with Title 2. I am strongly against this docket. If Ajit Pai is so worried about regulations hurting the ISPs, why not make it easier for 3rd parties like google fiber to get in the industry instead of blocking them with regulations ? Oh wait, Ajit was a former Verizon lawyer and doesn't actually care about free market principles...

448. Sathya, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Please enforce NET NEUTRALITY.
449. Daniel Kallish, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Net neutrality protects the consumer and by extension citizens of the United States. By removing regulation and oversight, internet service providers are free to pick and choose the content they want to deliver to their consumers. Considering the lack of competition incentive that already exists for ISP's in the US, removing net neutrality will only serve to make a bad problem that much worse.
450. Ida Soleimani, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I support strong neutrality that's by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
451. Henry Bowen Kendall, Santa Clara, CA, 95053  
I want to urge the FCC and Chairman Pai to stop the process of getting rid of net neutrality under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I am an undergraduate student at Santa Clara University in Silicon Valley, and I am from a small town in Western Montana. I represent two of the groups that getting rid of the 2015 regulations would be most harmed by. Getting rid of net neutrality would hurt the Internet capabilities of rural small businesses, and would also hurt Silicon Valley start ups that have so greatly contributed to the Internet's growth in the 21st century. I agree that for the most part getting rid of regulations is generally a good thing, but in this case I think the Internet marketplace needs help. This is because of the monopolistic hold that ISPs have on American consumers in today's Internet world. Over 75% of Americans only have access to one option of a high-speed Internet. This lack of choice for American consumers illustrates the need for the FCC to let the Internet grow at this point before it can be deregulated entirely. The Internet is meant to be used freely for ideas, and restricting content to only that which can be put forth by ISP giants will harm the average American consumer. I urge the FCC to reconsider changing the existing policies.
452. Albert Ong, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I want strong net neutrality backed by title ii oversights of ISPs.
453. Owen Shen, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I oppose this act strongly! Keep Title II protections!
454. Net Neutrality, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The Internet needs to remain free and fair for all providers and users!
455. Shantiel Poole, Newark, CA, 94560  
Do not allow the big companies ISP's to run how we view the internet. Mr. Pai Net Neutrality should be at the forefront of what internet freedom!!! Don't let this illegitimate government end it!!!!
456. Kevin Zhang, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Please support net neutrality. The internet service providers already have enough of a monopoly, the last thing we should be doing is allowing them to do is get a stranglehold on the open internet. Normally, I'd write a sane, rational argument for why we should support net neutrality to promote entrepreneurship and innovation but in the current political climate, I doubt that would work so let's put it in simpler terms that even the president can understand: Killing net neutrality is bigly bad. Very bad for America. SAD!
457. Terri Bjornson, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please keep the internet equal for all under title 2 rules. I want net neutrality to remain under title 2 enforcement. It allows for equal competition and it is more American.
458. Preethi, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support the net neutrality and urge FCC to uphold strong rules backed by Title II to oversee power of ISPs.
459. Wilson, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Keep net neutrality please. Title II rules are absolutely necessary
460. Alvin Leung, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
My home phone is using VOIP. Without strong net neutrality back by title II oversight of ISP, my emergency phone call may not work when I need it the most. Thank you.
461. Jan Looney, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Dear Chairman Pai, This is to tell you that I support strong net neutrality backed b y Title II oversight of ISPs. thank youJan Looney
462. James Medina, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I strongly support robust net neutrality laws. Please strengthen net neutrality. Do no weaken it.
463. Sawyer Apgar, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Do not rollback net neutrality. I do not want any sort of "ISP fuckery" just because my Service Provider doesn't like a show I watch online and decides to block my access to the internet that I PAY FOR.If you do, you can go FCC yourselves and expect massive backlash because you decided its a good idea to let ISP's strangle their customers (Internet) for whichever content they watch.Note: This last bit is not intended for those that agree that Net Neutrality is viable for an equal Internet. It is for those that have their heads up their own butts when they think the ISP's will 'PLAY FAIR'.
464. Internet Freedom, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Mr. Pai I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please keep the internet open and free.
465. Sandeep Ramakrishnan, Santa Clara, CA, 95050

Internet service providers must be made to maintain Net Neutrality and must be backed by FCC Title 2 oversight to maintain a level playing field for all content providers and consumers on the Internet. Do not roll this back.

466. Rishi Panjwani, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I would like FCC to stick to Title 2 to regulate service providers and retain net neutrality
467. Jennifer Randall, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
We MUST keep the internet open and fair. KEEP Title 2! KEEP net neutrality!
468. Ravi Mahendrakar, Narayana Rao Mahendrakar, Pallavi Mahajan, Mani Bai Mahendrakar, Bharathi Mahendrakar, san jose, CA, 95134  
Please continue regulating ISPs under Title 2 (Title II) of telecommunication act
469. FCC, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I Support Title II classification of ISPs, as the most important issue of the 21st century
470. Varun Ramachandra Sekar, sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I want net neutrality to be protected under the Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
471. Russell Uresti, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. There have been a number of instances where ISPs have created their own service and given it priority over competing organizations or where ISPs have provided faster or free access to some services but not others, ultimately harming fair competition. We cannot allow ISPs to extort money from smaller competitors just to have an equal playing field.
472. Ward Johnson, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Remember the company store? Companies made sure that people could only use the stores that the company controlled. Remember the Isis application? Verizon blocked the Google wallet application from working on the Verizon phones. (and 90% of Verizon network users had the Verizon branded / controlled phones). As the above examples point out, Neutrality is not a market value. To assume that asking the market to continue to be neutral is the height of folly. Keep ISPs in Title II; protect the consumers, the businesses (that would be suppressed), and the internet.
473. Regina O'Shea, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I support the Obama era protections for net neutrality.
474. Marcus Chance, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I fully support STRONG Net Neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
475. Abhay Gupta, Fremont, CA, 94536  
We do not have any competition in the industry of broadband providers and without a proper competition, broadband industry is a virtual monopoly. Verizon sued FCC that it cannot control net neutrality because broadband was not considered Title I. FCC did something right by Title II. DO NOT change the classification as that will lead to the internet controlled by few companies and bad for innovation.
476. Trevor Pearman, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I wish to add my voice to maintaining net neutrality by keeping ISPs under title 2. The proposed rules seem to be a mechanism for ISPs to ignore any and all semblance of net neutrality
477. Kara Hafner, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
My household and I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.
478. Rick Jackson, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Keep the Title II net neutrality rules in place and don't try to censor the internet and create fast lanes. All information should be accessible at the same speeds, not kept behind an invisible pay wall by companies already making billions of dollars. The only people who don't want a free and open internet are execs of giant corporations, not the people you are supposed to be protecting.
479. Daniel A Smith, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I strongly urge you to preserve "net neutrality" and Title II.
480. James MacLeod, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Keep net neutrality as it is!!!!
481. Nebal Howland, Santa Clara, CA, 95053  
I support Title II and STRONG net neutrality!!!
482. Roger Noia, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support strong net neutrality and title 2 oversight of the telecommunications/ISP/Internet industry. We cannot rely on the goodwill of the telecommunications industry to play fair, that's what the government is for.
483. Erich Skoor, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
My household and I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs
484. Kirilly Wagstaff, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Net neutrality is important to keep an open playing field for emerging markets wanting to create competing technical products. Please

work to strengthen net neutrality as it is brilliant for the US!

485. Thomas Surrette, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I am in favor of strong legal support for net neutrality, and request the FCC to maintain a Title II classification for ISPs.
486. Tessa van Bekkum, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Net Neutrality is extremely important and needs to be upheld
487. John Jenkins, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Title II protection is necessary to keep the internet open. It's imperative that it and all of Net Neutrality be maintained.
488. Y Li, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I support strong net neutrality with Title II oversight over Internet Service Providers.
489. Akash Mody, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality laws. Specifically, Title II of ISPs.
490. Ewout van Bekkum, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I'd like you to preserve title 2 and net neutrality, I cannot imagine how reducing regulation would benefit anyone but the ISPs and their investors.
491. Suzanne Yang, San Jose, CA, 95129  
Please preserve net neutrality. It is essential to our democracy, freedom of expression and the marketplace of ideas. Regulating under Title I is not acceptable.
492. ross o'kane, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Please inform Ajit Pai that I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.
493. Suresh Raman, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Hi, the intent to have ISPs be re-governed by Title I from Title II will result in anti-competitive practices. I oppose this move.
494. Shawn Ellis, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I am a concerned citizen that strongly supports net neutrality under Title II supervision.
495. Michael Embree, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I support robust net neutrality regulations backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. Any effort to weaken such regulations or impede such oversight represents a fundamental betrayal of your organization's mandate as a regulatory body intended to serve the public interest.
496. WILLIAM EDWARDS, SAN JOSE, CA, 95133  
IN ORDER TO HAVE REAL, ENFORCEABLE, EQUAL, NET NEUTRALITY WITH ALL THE SERVICE PROVIDERS, THE FCC NEEDS TO KEEP THEIR TITLE-2 ASSIGNMENT INTACT !!!
497. michael stirniman, fremont, CA, 94538  
Preserve net neutrality in its current form, under title II. Do not change the existing regulations. I actually can't believe I need to tell you this...
498. Andrea Ramos, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I support strong internet neutrality rules, specifically under Title II.
499. John Smith, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I want strong net neutrality rules so that everyone gets equal opportunity and are not at the mercy of internet service providers.
500. Michael Karst, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I support and request Internet neutrality. ISP's should be Title 2 entities.
501. Justin Lawrence, Newark, CA, 94560  
Strong title 2 coverage please.
502. Kiran Prakash, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Neutral net is equivalent to free speech
503. Varun Kantamneni, SUNNYVALE, CA, 94085  
I would like the FCC to continue to support strong Net Neutrality by keeping the ISPs regulated using Type 2 restrictions.
504. Scott Evans, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
It is important to me that you preserve net neutrality and Title 2
505. Jessica Butorac, san jose, CA, 95134  
I strongly support net neutrality and preserving the current regulations re: Title 2 and ISPs.
506. Aaron Russell, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I support Net Neutrality and keeping it under Title II

507. Maria Antonescu, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. The blatant attempt to gut the protections we have as consumers against unfair business practices is appalling.
508. mike engber, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support strong net neutrality, specifically regulating internet service providers under Title II.
509. Abhijith Kashyap, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. This is the only way to have an open and free internet.
510. Dalbir Gill, San Jose, CA, 95127  
Keep The Net Neutral. Keep net neutrality. Please do not change the net. Keep companies like Comcast and At&t class types 2.
511. priya sumal, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Please maintain internet neutrality and Title2 any changes to this are ridiculous and there is no proof that it harms businesses. title2 and neutrality keeps large and small companies equal- when you remove this you no longer have a level playing field.
512. Mark Hamilton, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 over site by ISPs.
513. Khody Afkhami, San Jose, CA, 95131  
I support net neutrality. I want to keep net neutrality. Preserve title 2.
514. David Zamora, San Jose, CA, 95134  
A free and open Internet is required to maintain freedom in this country. The nature of the Internet is the distribution of information. ISPs can not be trusted with the control of this resource. ISPs regularly are the worst reviewed companies in the country. Consumers have few, if any, choices in which ISP they can use. As such, ISPs should continue to be regulated as utilities.
515. Tonto Dutta, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I strongly support net neutrality regulations and keeping ISPs held to Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
516. Sarah Gordon, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please protect net neutrality using Title II rules!
517. David Cordova, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Don't screw with current rules regarding net neutrality. Keep section 2 as is.
518. Nohemy chavez, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Please support net neutrality and preserve Title 2!
519. Manash Buragohain, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
preserve net neutrality protections under Title II of the Communications Act.
520. martha engber, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support strong net neutrality, specifically regulating ISPs under Title II.
521. william gougler, fremont, CA, 94538  
net neutrality is essential for all businesses. It is required for consumers and businesses to ensure fairness.
522. Westley Wu, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I demand that the Net Neutrality continues without change, backed by Title II, to provide oversight over ISPs.
523. Stephan Lee, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I am concerned about Chairman of FCC speaking against net neutrality. Access to data is not a luxury but a necessity in this era.
524. Laura Carns, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I demand strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s.
525. Randall Fahey, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I support STRONG Internet neutrality backed by Title II.
526. Darth Vader, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I will defend strong net neutrality regulations with my life, bitch.
527. Tina Xu, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Keep the internet as Title II and preserve net neutrality. Do not change anything. To do so will create unfair advantage that would be detrimental to everyone.
528. thuan lieu, Alviso, CA, 95002  
I support the strongest net neutrality rules possible and advocate for the preservation of title II
529. freedom, San jose, CA, 95132  
I support net neutrality. I support title two!

530. Ramesh Ramachandran, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I request you to reconsider the decision to move ISPs (Internet Service providers) from Title II. Please preserve net neutrality and DO NOT give ISPs the ability to regulate the traffic.
531. David Kerbs, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I am in support of a strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's! I'm opposed to docket 17-108!
532. net neutrality, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed Title II oversight of ISPs
533. Pranav Konduru, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Protect the internet and reclassify ISPs under Title 2. Everything was working fine under Title 1 in 2014 does not mean it always will in the future. If economics and human behavior is to be believed, it will always tend towards making more money for those who are involved; and classifying ISPs as Title 1 will make them more money, if they choose to do so. Make no mistake, they will choose to do so.
534. Jane Parkmann, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Honorable Members of the FCC: Living in the Silicon Valley and being an engineer and former employee of Apple, I am acutely aware of how important net neutrality is and should be. It's important to give new internet based businesses a chance in a neutral and fair marketplace of ideas. This is the basis of capitalism at its best. On the other hand, letting a few large, out of control, answerable-to-no-one telecommunications giants become the gate keepers is capitalism at its worst. Please do not attempt to change the current net neutrality policy and keep it backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. I'm glad that John Oliver brought this item to our attention. I view John Oliver as an English patriot. I view Trump and his new band of Goldman Sachs-ers as out of control corporate thugs acting in their interests. The FCC should and must act on behalf of the public. It must oversee these monopolistic entities to make sure they act in the public good while still making a profit. We are allegedly a democracy. Freedom of information AND the equal flow of information is essential to that democracy. I find it sad that this isn't obvious and that we have to keep on top of this issue so soon after we made our voices clear on the matter. But you are now on our calendar to keep the "tubes" free of monied interest. Thank you for listening.
535. Jeffrey Fitz-Gerald, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I strongly support Net Neutrality with strong oversight via Title II. Please uphold the current regulations and oversight. This should not be a partisan issue. And this should not be overseen by a person who owns stock in any of the service providers like a former Verizon executive.
536. Alexander Henderson, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Internet service providers have constantly proven that they are incapable of self governance, so please leave them under the governance of title 2 protections! The future of a free internet depends on the government enforcing these protections.
537. Kash Ahuja, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I strongly support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of the ISPs.
538. steve guttenburg, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I strongly support title II net neutrality laws and regulations. I strongly suggest you uphold them and not remove them at the expense of Americans for greedy and immoral corporations. Help protect people, not drag them down.
539. Edbert Chan, San Jose, CA, 95129  
Please do not roll back Net Neutrality. ISPs have done a lot of damage to the US's internet infrastructure with their oligopoly and near monopoly in some areas of the US by refusing to improve their service. After all, why improve when there is no competition? Net Neutrality is important because it means ISPs cannot discriminate between network traffic. We need a unbiased and free traffic so that new multi billion dollar industries like E-Sports and other small companies may flourish and have access to the same resources and audience as others. It gives new sources of tax revenues and can actually help the US economy in a time when many people cannot find a job. If you support the end of net neutrality, you will cause irreparable harm to a key part of the US's infrastructure and set back the US's technological development by years. You will have succeeded in giving control of the internet to a few people who's main goal is to consolidate their own power by stifling innovation. You will destroy Silicon Valley and all of the crazy and innovative companies. Do not let this happen.
540. Craig Tumbison, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I am in favor of keeping ISPs classified under Title 2 and protecting the existing net neutrality regulations. I believe that removing them would take away a key piece of consumer protection.
541. Balachander Krishnamurthy, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Chairman Amit Pai, Please don't change the net neutrality rules. Let it remain as it is now. Don't be a stooge to the ISPs. You are not Verizon's ( or any other ISPs) attorney. Do your job as the chairman of the FCC in the interest of the people. Thanks Bala.
542. Sonja Postak, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support strong net neutrality backed by the enforcing power of article II. Any move to change ISPs back to article I is baseless and without public support.
543. Brandon, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
"I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight on Internet Service Providers
544. Dario Salice, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Many great internet companies based in the US have brought innovation to this world. The Internet has to stay a neutral zone and ISPs

have to find their rightful place in the market. Equally as every startup beginning in their dorm room,

545. rushabh, sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support strong net neutralities back to a title to oversight by ISPs.
546. Rene Claus, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
It is critical that ISPs continue to be regulated under Title 2. The lack of competition in internet service means there are insufficient market forces and regulation is required for the market to function. Without access to an open internet that guarantees equal opportunities to large and small companies, without the ability for ISPs to disadvantage other companies the economic growth created by the internet could slow.
547. Christine L. Fuller, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Good evening, I am writing to implore you to support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It is critical to our free internet, especially as technology plays an ever-increasing role in marketing and business. The potential for harm to our economy, small businesses, and freedom is too high to dismiss. We must maintain the current oversight standards to prevent ISPs with conflicts of interest from supplying better services to monopolizing companies who can afford to pay for it at the expense of start-up enterprises that are so vital to our nation's growth and economy. I'm sure you're also aware of the potential for ISPs without Title II oversight to boost their own programs and interests at the expense of their competitors, which only hurts the consumers. Furthermore, the loopholes created in revoking Title II oversight could lead less ethical corporations to force their viewpoints on the nation, as they provide better service and speed to news organizations and the like that share their points of view. It is important that our nation be well informed on the situations facing our nation and that requires that our citizens have access to media from many points of view. I urge you to maintain strong Net Neutrality regulations back by Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you for your time and consideration on this very important issue. Respectfully, Christine Fuller
548. Ashutosh, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
We want net to be neutral.
549. Max Kaehn, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please support strong network neutrality, backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. The Internet needs to be a level playing field on which companies compete; allowing ISPs to tilt the playing field will distort the free market and stifle the innovation that makes America great.
550. Peter Olaf Licari, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support strong net neutrality. ISPs are providing a public utility.
551. Kurah Richie, Sunnyvale, CA, 94806  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Title II is not perfect but very important right now! There should be MORE regulation, not less.
552. Farid Marwan Zakaria, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I believe in a free and neutral internet. Please keep ISPs in Title II. Keep them regulated.
553. Jonathan Mayo, San Jose, CA, 95127  
Net Neutrality is an important consumer protection for us all, and it prevents ISPs from using their unique position to hijack the burgeoning marketplace. Competing on equal footing, from the largest businesses to the small businesses is the only way that America can continue being the world leader in innovation. Net Neutrality is a very simple regulatory system that provides a fair system for ISPs, consumers, and internet businesses without requiring special equipment or onerous regulatory process.
554. Jason Pato, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Keep my internet neutral please.
555. Julie White, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please ensure real net neutrality by preserving the current state: ISPs must remain subject to Title II of the 34 Communications Act. It is essential to my work that my internet access is not rationalized by the ISP. There cannot be a highest bidder rationalization of the infrastructure supporting the internet. We don't do it for electricity--so shouldn't for internet access--it's as vital to our daily lives as electricity. Work, school, citizenship and leisure are all accomplished via the internet and are an essential part of daily life.
556. mutaz sheikh, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
557. Tyler Lewis, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I honestly can't even believe I have to come here to tell you not to pass anti-net neutrality legislation. This is ridiculous. I don't need my internet slowed down, almost arbitrarily, so that I can pay a premium price to get it to the same measly speed I'm at now. I've used the internet in multiple foreign countries, and even on that front I'm ashamed; that's not the only emotion I'm feeling either. I'm furious that you all think you have the audacity to pass something so anti-consumer and anti-worker as this. This doesn't even go about fitting your liberal ideology of treating everyone equally in the market; no, because you want to treat only those with monied interests in the telecommunications business as the only relevant voices. This is your choice--and you can end it. I use the internet for fun, at work, and to do research. I talk to my friends on the internet; I watch videos on the internet; I game on the internet. I'm equal as an American, so why shouldn't my data be that way? You guys are a pitiful disgrace.
558. Katie Licari, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I would like maintain strong net neutrality.

559. Alan Berndt, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Please preserve the strong and enforceable version of net neutrality afforded by the title ii classification of Internet service providers.
560. Jacob Siddall, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I support a free and fair internet backed by title ii of the Communications Act of 1934.
561. Mikel B Ward, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
There is usually only one realistic choice of ISP in a given location. Don't let them zero rate in a way that discriminates against competitors. Don't make customers pay twice for internet traffic.
562. Sergey Feduleyev, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I encourage FCC to keep and support net neutrality rules. Net neutrality is essential for equal access to information.
563. Ross Light, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2 protections for a free and open internet. This is critical to equitable access to information in our society.
564. Paige Garratt, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
The FCC should keep ISPs under Title 2 regulation and maintain the current standard of regulation. It's important that consumers have equal access to the internet and are not inhibited by the policies of their ISP.
565. Net, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please net neutrality and Title II. // John Oliver told me to do this.
566. John Link, FREMONT, CA, 94538  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2. it should be required by law (and not be voluntary).
567. Lisa Riedy, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I want the chairman of the FCC to keep net neutrality under title II protections.
568. Marie L Hughes, Fremont, CA, 94536  
The internet now serves a purpose in our lives similar to other utilities such as electricity. As a result, it's very important for everyone to have equal access to this information highway. In particular, it's very important for innovation and our economy to let small businesses, start-ups and other job creators have the same access as larger companies with more money. Without net neutrality, companies like Facebook and Google would not have been able to get a foothold and become the powerhouses they are today. We want excellence to be able to thrive and net neutrality is needed for that.
569. Michael Chao, San Jose, CA, 95131  
I support an open and free Internet under Title II.
570. Bruce, Newark, CA, 94560  
I support strong net neutrality back by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Preserve net neutrality and title 2.
571. Sean O'Keefe, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Please keep net neutrality And share this link on your Twitter feed please: [gofccyourself.com](http://gofccyourself.com)
572. john, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Maintain net neutrality and the title II designation
573. Shekhar Jindal, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I strongly support Net Neutrality. Please don't be a dickhead and pussyfoot around this issue. Net Neutrality is good. Leave it alone
574. Qasid Ahmad Sadiq, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I support strong regulation of ISPs by leaving them under Title 2 classification.
575. Nathan Wendel, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I believe the FCC should keep and maintain ISP regulation to Title II. There should not be a way companies to restrict access or be able to throttle. Self maintaining has not worked previously and it won't work now. We should continue the strong net neutrality.
576. Matan Broner, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I completely support Net Neutrality on the internet and strongly advocate for the continuation of title 2 classification of ISP's. Please realize the damage being done by making changes to net neutrality in favor of financial gain.
577. Michael Catterlin, Santa Clara, CA, 95053  
I support strong regulation for net neutrality under title II. Please, do the right thing. We're counting on you.
578. Enrico Bianco, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I support net neutrality and thus I OPPOSE this effort to undermine net neutrality by undoing the work already done to classify Internet Service Providers under Title II. The "freedom" to allow Comcast to hobble businesses like Netflix by throttling traffic to their subscribers is not worth preserving. This isn't about "preemptive regulation"; that Comcast/Netflix example wasn't hypothetical, IT ACTUALLY HAPPENED. Comcast ACTUALLY throttled Netflix traffic and then conveniently stopped doing so when the two companies had reached some sort of deal which I presume is Netflix paying Comcast money. I oppose giving these companies the "freedom" to screw over companies they don't like.

579. Carl Heidelberger, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Net neutrality must be maintained and protected with the strongest possible laws. We must protect it with strong Title 2 oversight for Internet Service Providers.
580. Myra Kwong, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Preserve Net Neutrality via title 2!!
581. Scott Mitchell, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I support strong Net Neutrality enforcement backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
582. Hubert Greiche, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I support strong Net neutrality backed by Title II oversight by ISPs.
583. Jeroen Fonderie, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Keep net neutrality under title 2, no changes
584. Ron Ashkenazi, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
The current net neutrality rules are perfect as they are. Today's Internet regulations keep unfair conflicts of interest from ruling the Internet and forces ISPs to compete, which is the very definition of a fair and consumer-focused industry. The Internet has become so prominent and necessary in today's world that it needs to be classified as a utility. This way we can finally focus our efforts on making it as efficient and available as possible. The more people have the Internet, the more connected we all are. The more connected, the more we are able to share and enhance our society as a whole. We cannot afford to treat the Internet as anything less. The fact that I am able to share this comment and that you are able to read it is proof enough that everything works. I am freely able to express myself and you are able to read this comment as soon as you mean to. I enjoyed this exchange exactly as it happened and I look forward to continuing similar exchanges in the future. Thank you for listening.
585. Phil Underwood, Santa Clara, CA, 95070  
I strongly urge you to maintain Title 2 and the Net Neutrality position.
586. Nilay Desai, San Jose, CA, 95134  
For once, just be good human beings and drop this issue.
587. Melody, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I am very much in favor of net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. If title II is not sufficiently modern to protect net neutrality, I support drafting new legislation that sufficiently regulates ISPs rather than simply doing away with title II.
588. Rafael Lorenzo-Luaces, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I support strong net neutrality under Title II oversight of ISPs. Removing these rules would be a devastating step backwards in the advancement of the internet.
589. nicholas johnson, milpitas, CA, 95035  
As a telecom engineer I believe that Net Neutrality laws are absolutely necessary to protect the American people. If given the freedom to, the companies I have worked for and with would absolutely fuck the people in any and every way they could if they thought they would make an extra wooden nickel by doing it. And just to be clear, the people making these decisions are not just heartless bastards, they are also complete idiots who would not only screw over their customers but themselves and our whole nation in the process.
590. Mark Recob, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I totally support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight for Internet Service Providers.
591. Bernard Wong, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Title II is necessary to ensure the continue freedom of the internet
592. Michael Chandler, San Jose, CA, 95133  
I support net neutrality and specifically support isp's being regulated by the FCC under a title II classification. And I hate your coffee mug.
593. Andrew Nguyen, Santa, CA, 95051  
I love the freedom of choosing which search engine I use to do my research online and and I give all my thanks to Net Neutrality and Title 2 for that freedom so I am asking please please, preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. Other reasons I love Net Neutrality and Title 2 is because it gives not only me but the people a greater power to practice freedom of expression. Again please, I'm begging you please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2.
594. joel johnson, Newark, CA, 94560  
Hello I would like strong net neutrality rules supported by title two legislation
595. John Regner, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I support strong net Neutrality under Title 2. Title 1 is not enough.
596. Stacy Vinyard, san jose, CA, 95133  
I support net neutrality and specifically support ISPs being regulated by the FCC under a title II classification. And I am neutral in regards to your coffee mug.
597. Zubin Tavariva, San Jose, CA, 95134

Please preserve net neutrality rules and title 2 status for ISPs. The Internet economy is dependent on open, unbiased access to all sites and services and will be terribly impaired by the loss of this status.

598. Ammar Dalal, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Net Neutrality must be preserved. This our right.
599. Navid Poulad, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I strongly support the FCC regulation of ISPs by classifying them as Title II. Protect Net Neutrality for the benefit of us and our children for generations to come.
600. Taylor Sutton, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I support strong net neutrality regulation backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I do not want ISPs to be able to provide preferential treatment to some content over others.
601. Jacqueline M Zoucha, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please leave in place existing Title II internet neutrality rules to protect equal access on the web.
602. Keith Nowosielski, San Jose, CA, 95131  
I strongly support the preservation of Net Neutrality rules that are currently in place. Net Neutrality offers a level playing field for businesses large and small. The removal of these rules will break what consumer now know as the internet only allowing priority speeds to higher paying businesses which is unjust to smaller companies that cannot afford to do so, it's unjust to consumers.
603. Evan Paul, Santa Clara, CA, 95053  
I believe that net neutrality is essential to our modern democracy, and as such maintaining the classification of ISPs as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 is critically important. The telecom industry wants to reverse the classification so that they can squeeze as much profit out of their customers as possible via fastlanes and other nonsense. It's your job to protect us from that.
604. Yuriy Tolstykh, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I fully support Title II and net neutrality. Do not deregulate the industry. Hey, Ajit - go FCC yourself.
605. Jared Lynch, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I insist that my senators vote no on the bill to reclassify internet service providers under Title 1 of the 1934 Federal Communications Act.
606. Khanh Nguyen, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! Make it clear that I Oppose to Docket No. 17-108!
607. Annika Zrebski, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I strongly support net neutrality and the Title II regulations.
608. Leyla Azizova, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I fully support net neutrality and title II. Do not regulate the internet.
609. ragini, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. I also oppose docket 17-108
610. Phong Dinh, San Jose, CA, 95131  
KEEP strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II
611. Sierra Van Winkle, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Please preserve Net Neutrality as it exists in the current framework under Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934 in the interest of preserving competitive enterprise in the digital marketplace.
612. William Sharp, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2, it is THE single most important move to keep the internet free. How else will I be free to order a jumbo Reeses Peanut Butter Cup mug without Mars or Nestle having the ability to pay an ISP to effect my mug shopping search results!
613. Kenneth Woolsey, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I want you to preserve net neutrality and title 2! The internet is a lifeline for many people and fair access and neutral data are one of the most important issues of our time.
614. Ben Slavin, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Preserve net neutrality and title 2! Do what you know is right.
615. Michael Evans, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Allowing internet service providers to prioritize the delivery of their partners harms consumers and can have potentially disastrous results. Please defend net neutrality.
616. Wendy Stenzel, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Please preserve net neutrality, ensure equal access for all. Thank you.
617. Edward W., Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I support Title 2 regulation of the internet. I will actively oppose election of any politician trying to undo Title 2 enforcement of ISPs.

618. Ron Feldman, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I am FOR Net Neutrality. Please do not let ISP carriers control the content.
619. Saskia Etling, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support strong net neutrality under title II for ISPs
620. Andrew Mulligan, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Please support strong Net Neutrality protections via Title 2.
621. Matthew, Fremont, CA, 94536  
Potentially throttling internet will kill the online game industry, both for mobile and home users. It will become naught more than extortion at that point, where smaller companies will be unable to compete which is about as unAmerican as you can get. At that point, the ISPs will determine which companies win or lose, not the American people.
622. Prateek K, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and would like Internet Service Providers to stay under Title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934.
623. R Prabhu, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I support net neutrality and title 2 classification of ISPs. Please do not change that.
624. Brian DeCesare, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I strongly support keeping ISPs as Title 2 utilities, and support an internet that is free of corporate manipulation and well regulated. ISPs cannot be trusted to work fairly towards consumers based on their word alone, as they have effectively managed to obtain regional monopolies as it is. Internet is required for school and work for the vast majority of Americans, and letting providers be able to prioritize certain sites is unacceptable when certain Americans cannot choose a different ISP based on their location.
625. Joseph Daniels, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I do not support this. I work in tech and believe I have a working understanding of this issue. This is a proposition that has high potential to directly harm the American people for the benefit of a few large corporations. To pass this bill is an unacceptable betrayal of the American people's trust in the FCC.
626. Hardeep Sabharwal, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Stop regulating the internet in favor of big telecom companies. The notion that what I can access online depends on who pays Verizon and others the most is deeply sickening. Internet is the last bastion of free speech and should be maintained as such. It is a great level playing field for all firms - big and small. It is the only domain where the small guy can compete against the major firms with deep pockets. So, hands off my internet.
627. Andrew Olah, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Support net neutrality via title II protections
628. Charlie Thomas, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Ajit Pai - I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
629. Allan Smith, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Commissioner Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please be honest with the American people - Title 1 oversight was what Verizon (your previous employer) sued successfully against, and crossing our fingers and hoping the ISPs will do the right thing are both not going to work.
630. Manthan Mallikarjun, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! An opposed the Restoring Internet "Freedom" Act. Don't get me wrong, I am a republican/libertarian but net neutrality is something that should stay.
631. Ronen Perets, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
You should not let the cat watch over the bucket of cream. Don't touch it. This is critical for a functioning economic competition.
632. B Campbell, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Do not block net neutrality. Keep the regulations
633. Lana Perets, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please keep net neutrality and Title 2 for internet providers.
634. Michael Sprague, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Removing title 2 and net neutrality will allow ISPs too much power, hurt consumers and crush small technology businesses. Don't kill net neutrality!
635. Matthew Crain, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Net neutrality is good. You shouldn't roll the title II regulations back. They have not created negative effects and protect technological innovation going forward. Making change just to spite the opposite political party is short sighted, petty, and a waste of everyone's time.
636. Susan Hinton, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
It's difficult to understand that the FCC has come to believe that beings in the United States of America consist primarily of deserving companies and their skills. Or that advertising and profits are more important than any other venture, including exchanges of knowledge or of ordinary private communication between relatives, friends, and intellectual peers. In fact, doesn't the fourth amendment cover this

issue? According to the Cornell University Law School web site, "The Fourth Amendment originally enforced the notion that 'each man's home is his castle', secure from unreasonable searches and seizures of property by the government." Since it is accepted that thoughts may constitute intellectual property - If I wish to communicate such thought with people of my choosing I should not have to worry about my ISP (internet service provider) snooping on or possibly stealing my thoughts. Net neutrality is the only way to legally guarantee this and the FCC should return to that premise.

637. Jenna Sprague, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Removing net neutrality and title 2 protections will have harmful effects on all consumers. Do not give ISPs the power to dictate who has access to the Internet.
638. Mike Nakashima, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I (and the 4 others in this household) specifically support strong net neutrality that is backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. You done goofed Pai, you're on the wrong side of history. Sad.
639. Robert Jeckell, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I want the internet service providers to be regulated under Title 2 and maintain net neutrality as done in the Obama administration
640. Alan Torres, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I support Net neutrality by the Title 2 for ISP's.
641. Adi Perets, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Why is this even being considered, we need to keep the internet safe and usable for everyone.
642. Yosune Casana, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Maintain Net Neutrality and preserve regulation of ISPs under Title II. Fair and equal access to the internet should be maintained and protected not the interests of big corporations.
643. Thomas C Allen, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I want a strong net neutrality regulation backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
644. June Nichols, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I want to see net neutrality to remain. i want the FCC to continue to use title 2 to control internet providers .
645. carmen wong, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Please preserve net neutrality! Freedom of information and press is a first amendment right and therefore the vehicles for such should also remain equally free.
646. A. Helton, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Net Neutrality is critical for a free and open internet. The FCC needs to ensure the protection of net neutrality through maintaining the classification of ISPs as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act.
647. Ben Cottrell, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I strongly support network neutrality. I don't think you can have a reasonable argument against it unless you badly misunderstand how the internet works.
648. susheel aroskar, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Please keep existing net neutrality definition by earlier FCC regulation - the one that classifies internet providers as TITLE II utility providers unchanged! Protect the open internet.
649. Anjanette Pelletier, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Please ensure that Net neutrality is a right of the internet using public. Keep strong net neutrality rules a part of Title II.
650. Don Smith, Fremont, CA, 94536  
Any change to the current Title II designation for ISPs would be a "disaster" to our economy and free speech. Change this at your electoral peril.
651. Diana Smith, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
PLEASE PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2!!!!!!
652. Tamara, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I fully support net neutrality under Title II, which needs to be enforced.
653. Daniel Ayers, Fremont, CA, 94536  
Net neutrality is great for business and people. Getting rid of the laws would harm our capitalism by putting businesses at an unfair disadvantage. Protect small businesses.
654. shari, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Preserve strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
655. vincent, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please preserve strong Net Neutrality and backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's
656. chris hackler, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086

Please preserve title 2 and net neutrality oversight rules.

657. Advait Jain, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I specifically support stronger net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
658. Josh Bingham, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I support net neutrality under Title II which needs to be enforced.
659. Jaspreet Singh, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Mr Ajit Pai, Please support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Regards Jaspreet
660. Marissa Thein, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I believe that it is pertinent that we as a country continue to have strong net neutrality guidelines backed by Title II. It is the most logical and ethical way to hold companies accountable for allowing everyone access to open internet.
661. Katherine Smudin, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not "role back" regulations classifying ISPs under Title II
662. Susan Walsh, Fremont, CA, 94536  
It is imperative that we maintain net neutrality. The internet continues to be a critical service that provides sometimes life-saving information and communications. To manipulate and prioritize some commercial traffic over any other information being transmitted is highly unethical and even irresponsible.
663. Taniah Bergen, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Net Neutrality is necessary and ISP providers must be regulated to ensure the internet stays free and open for all.
664. Srikanth Penugonda, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Please preserve the net neutrality. It's greater good for the country and the world.
665. Kishan Jainandunsing, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Millions of American consumers had already expressed their very strong preference for enforced Net Neutrality under Tom Wheeler's chairmanship of the FCC. This resulted in Title II classification of ISP services. Why does Mr. Pai think he can ignore these millions of voices? He is wholly disingenuous about his motives in trying to overturn the Title II ruling, bringing up arguments that are pure and utter nonsense, trying to please big corporations and treating the American people with total contempt!
666. Gail Dressler, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I urge the FCC to resist the push from vested interests to change the rulings on net neutrality to allow restrictions on access to all internet information
667. Ron Patrick, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Preserve Net Neutrality. I support Title 2. Do not remove current legal structure for net neutrality
668. Allyson Brooks, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I support Title II and urge the FCC to uphold net neutrality. No company has the right to control the internet with money! That would be ruinous for many realms of our government and our society, because we are become more and more reliant on the internet in our daily lives.
669. Courtney Hampson, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I strongly support net neutrality, and urge you to keep keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
670. Net, Neutrality, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I am in powerfully strong favor of net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Having been a professional security engineer working for both the US government space as well as private industry, I believe that removing the title II or title I oversight of ISPs is a terrible idea, and will completely change the face of the internet as we know it. We should not allow whomever contains the most money and deepest pockets to censor and proliferate propaganda across one of the most beautiful communication mediums that has ever been known to mankind. The internet must remain an open and free platform, not simply for entertainment sake, but for the sake of bringing all humanity an open platform to debate, learn, communicate, liberate! I implore the FCC to take all measures possible to protect the free and open internet, and do not allow the protections established during the Obama administration to be dismantled. Be the change you wish to see in the world!
671. Nitin Dhamankar, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Mr. Ajit Pai, I support strong net neutrality. I hope you'll take the appropriate actions to ensure that an unbiased internet service is available to all. Thank you.
672. Einat Clarke, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
We need a free and open internet. Net neutrality must be protected.
673. Peter Stibrany, San Jose, CA, 95131  
I support keeping Internet Service Providers regulated under Title II . Do not make net neutrality optional.
674. Jason Sanio, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support Title 2 regulation for ISP providers. I have ONE option for broadband internet connecting at my home in SILICON VALLEY, the HEART of the internet. The argument that we have the ability to switch ISPs, in effect allowing the free market to dictate rules is

BS. There are no other options for users if they are unhappy with the way the ISP behaves. This is why we require net neutrality laws through title 2.If ISPs are given the ability to break existing net neutrality rules, they will.

675. Brian Keish, San Jose, CA, 95129  
I strongly support net neutrality, with Title II regulation of ISPs. ISPs cannot be relied upon to preserve net neutrality adequately without such regulation.
676. Patrick Bissett, Fremont, CA, 94536  
I support net neutrality and title II. Please keep the internet open
677. Shyam Chandrasekar, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title II !
678. Sarah Parker, Fremont, CA, 94536  
Please keep the internet free and neutral - we need net neutrality!
679. Jessica Frisz, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I fully support STRONG Net Neutrality regulation, including subjecting ISPs to Title 2 oversight. Equal, free access to the internet and all its content is a right, and commercial interests should not be able to govern access to content.
680. Sanaulla Mohammed, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I support strong net neutrality laws backed by Title II oversight of ISPs and would hate to see them vanish. Thank you for keeping the internet free.
681. Brandon Harrington, San Jose, CA, 95134  
The FCC should keep the internet free with Title II protections.
682. Susan Youngblood, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I VERY strongly and specifically am in support of net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
683. David Guggenheim, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Net Neutrality is a fundamental foundation on which the internet was created and ISPs should continue to be regulated as public utilities under Title 2. Additionally Verizon and other ISPs will not reduce investments unless competition is stifled or monopolies are allowed, such as Comcast being the only cable service provider in a certain area.
684. Pablo Slough, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. They are essential to the fairness of access to the internet.
685. J. Shaw Creative Inc., San Jose, CA, 95131  
As the founder of J. Shaw Creative Inc. I support strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II classification.
686. Rebecca Davidson, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Keeping net neutrality under Title II is very important. Without it, ISPs are likely to change their minds as to what they find important (and, therefore, give priority to in their networks) and unimportant. The everyday users of various ISPs will be the ones hurt by this lack of strong oversight. Furthermore, one ISP noted to its stockholders that having net neutrality under Title II (instead of Title I) was NOT going to change their investment into infrastructure; in fact, that investment would remain constant. Therefore, I reject the idea that having net neutrality be strongly enforceable under Title II will severely reduce ISP infrastructure spending; this was NOT the result previously and, therefore, this statement has no basis in reality. Please do not repeat this unsubstantiated argument. Instead, please continue your commitment to look out for "the little guy," since new small organizations are what will continue to bring change and advancement to our society.
687. Mohsin Imam, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I support net neutrality and title 2... Don't repeal it... You work for us... Not Verizon
688. James White, Fremont, CA, 94536  
We support an open internet preserved by Title 2 protections.
689. Aditya Agrawal, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I want strong net neutrality regulations to stay in place.
690. David Hixson, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II Oversight of ISPs. Keep the internet free and support jobs and the rapid pace of innovation on the internet.
691. Net Neutrality, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Fair internet for all!!!
692. John, Alviso, CA, 95002  
We strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP.
693. Kristen Korz, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
There is nothing more important to the wellbeing of our democracy than free access to information. It is vitally, fundamentally important that net neutrality remains in place. DO NOT KILL THE INTERNET BY KILLING NET NEUTRALITY!!!

694. Sean Michel, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and continuing to classify ISPs under Title II.
695. Nihit Kaul, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight. Please DO NOT allow ISPs to favor one solution provider (say Netflix) over another (say Hulu) just because they have struck a deal with them. This will kill startups.
696. SIDDARTH, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
LEAVE THE INTERNET ALONE! There is a reason that we have free for all internet. Let me make this clear, I DO NOT WANT TO PAY MORE FOR VISITING DIFFERENT WEBSITES, PLATFORMS, CONTENTS or APPLICATIONS!!
697. gertrude amakye, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Please leave net neutrality intact. All content matter.
698. Eric Enslin, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I strongly support keeping ISPs regulated under Title II, to maintain strong net neutrality regulations and to regulate them as the telecom companies that they are.
699. michael robertson, California, CA, 95051  
We need to keep Title 2 of Neutrality!!!!
700. Lindsay Upton, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Preserve existing strong regulations for Net Neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs.
701. Robin Stern, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Keep net neutrality protected by Title 2.
702. Abe Ghabra, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I oppose this proposal and support protecting net neutrality under article II. I do not trust ISPs to keep the Internet open as we know it.
703. Calvin Truong, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I can not imagine a world where big businesses do not try to throttle data simply because they said they wouldn't. Even if they did we should still have laws in place to dissuade bad actions.
704. Rebecca Johanssen, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Destroying net neutrality would be an affront to freedom of speech. Also, your mug is stupid.
705. Paolo D'Alberto, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Net Neutrality Please.
706. Pavreen Dua, sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
support net neutrality with strict Title II rules
707. Gilles Volkmann, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Please keep ISPs in Title II, and protect Net Neutrality for all.
708. Jeremy Roberts, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I support FCC regulation of the internet under title 2.
709. Richard McKeethen, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I strongly support Internet net-neutrality, specifically under the current Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.
710. stephen zielinski, sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
STARTUPS WILL MOVE OUT OF THE USA IF WE DO NOT HAVE NET NEUTRALITY.THIS IS ABSOLUTELY FOOLISH.
711. Christian Ramos, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I STRONGLY support net neutrality backed by TITLE 2 oversight of ISP. I do not want internet providers to slow down my internet JUST BECAUSE I DO NOT USE THEIR PREFERED SEARCH ENGINE OR CONTENT.
712. Amaresh Shukla, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I support strong Net Neutrality rules covered by Title II Oversight of ISPs
713. Zeta Mercy Syanthis, Fremont, CA, 94536  
I'm a computer engineer who's been in the field for more than 10 years now, and I know exactly what'll happen if we let the ISPs have their way. They already sell our histories, and every click we make. They'll definitely slow down competitors if we give them the chance. That's why I support Title II classification for ISPs. We need to regulate them properly. The Internet is just too damned important not to take care of. And it's on all of us to do that.
714. Deepti Chinta, santa clara, CA, 95051  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and there is absolutely no reason that benefits humanity to remove this.
715. Codrutza Popescu, San Jose, CA, 95129  
Mr. Ajit Pai I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by the Title II oversight of ISPs.

716. Natalie Albanese, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I support net neutrality and regulation of the internet under Title 2.
717. Varun Kanade, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I would like FCC to enforce strong net-neutrality laws, and also regulating ISPs under title 2.
718. peter, santa clara, CA, 95051  
I support net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISPs
719. Jonathan Fearn, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I support strong TITLE II protections for net neutrality. Do not remove it.
720. Lachlan Yeoman, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Please preserve net neutrality, backed by strong Title II regulation.
721. Jean Fosan, San Jose, CA, 95131  
I strongly support net neutrality regulations. The document entitle "open internet process:then and now" is a wonderful display of the lack of oversight on this commission. Listen to the public and show us you are not iowned by the very industry you regulate. Net neutrality is obviously important to the public then and now...and especially in the future. Do not get rid of these regulations or reclassify titles. The very process you choose to allow public comment seems rather restrictive and unecessary, when you finally do get to the right place, your website does not even work.
722. Thomas Jacobs, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Please protect net neutrality by keeping title 2. Removing this protection feature seems like a giveaway to ISP, wireless, wireline service providers to wreck the internet for everyone but themselves.
723. Paul Larson, Alviso, CA, 95002  
Title II regulations guarantee Net Neutrality and I firmly believe repealing them will weaken the open Internet. I also do not believe claims that Title II regulations will hurt investment. The FCC should not repeal/change current our regulations.
724. Robert Larisch, Fremont, CA, 94536  
I wanted to make sure the FCC and Amit Pai know how important it is to maintain strong Net Nuetrality regulations through use Title 2 classification of ISPs. It is bad enough that changes were made to allow ISPs to sell their users browser history. I have voted in every election since I turned 18, and I would be dismayed about any administration that sought to loosen these rules, ESPECIALLY by someone who was a lawyer for the company (Verizon) that sued over these very rules. Keep Title 2 classification of ISPs.
725. Net Neutral supporter, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Net neutrality is an inalienable right for all of us in this digital age. This is no place to let corporate greed run amok. I support the regulation of ISPs as provided under Title II, under rules passed in 2015. Reversing it sets a grave precedent and will polarize the internet into haves and have-nots. Please leave the internet alone! Don't use bogus data to make up a non-existent issue that serves your purpose.
726. Eric Zhou, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Asking the corporations to voluntarily keep the internet free and open is like asking my cat to not eat the cat food after it has been placed in front of her. It just won't work. While Mr. Pai may argue that scenarios like ISPs like Comcast throttling the speed of potential competitors as completely hypothetical, he seems to forget that the reason that it has been hypothetical is that there are currently rules that prevent it from becoming a reality. Let's keep those rules that make the Internet better for the little people.
727. Dan Nguyen, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. C'mon man, stop screwing up things.
728. Ezra Schiff, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I strongly urge the FCC to maintain the Type II classification of internet regulation.
729. Nickolai Belakovski, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Without robust competition between ISPs in this country, I'm concerned that the incentives for ISPs with monopoly or duopoly markets to promote their own services over competitors with better offerings is too great. As such, ISPs should remain classified as title II while the FCC also engages in promoting competition among ISPs such that the market is healthy enough to not require regulation.
730. Garry Easop, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I strongly support net netrality backed by title 2
731. Deepanjan Mazumdar, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Please retain net-neutrality rules as mentioned in Title II.
732. Cuad Sanchez, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Hello, all. Please defend net neutrality. Keep it under title II. Net neutrality is going to save the world, so please keep it safe. Best, Cuad
733. ron, Fremont, CA, 94538  
INTERNET SHOULD REMAIN FAIR, IT ABSOLUTELY IMPORTANT FOR EVERYONE IN GREAT COUNTRY TO HAVE A FAIR CHANCE REGARDLESS HOW BIG THEIR WALLET IS.

734. Vincent Young, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
As a tax paying united states citizen, I demand the FCC continues their governmental duty to provide the internet as a fair and open public utility that it should be and continue to classify the internet under Title II. Thank you.
735. William D. Strathearn, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
If you move to reduce regulations on Internet providers, a very small number of powerful executives will gain monetary benefit while the vast majority of Internet applications, utilities and consumers will suffer. The Internet is one of the greatest things that the United States has architected. Don't let a former VZW lawyer dismantle and cripple a powerful growth engine in the world economy.
736. Mark H Shirley, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I strongly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules and their basis in Title II. The internet has quickly become so central to our democracy that we need to continue classifying internet service providers as common carriers. I urge the FCC not to change this.
737. Kevin Townsend, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I am opposed to letting ISPs restrict bandwidth to specific sites on the internet. Allowing them to do so endangers the free and open internet.
738. Attila Tomasovics, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support strong net neutrality. Please do not loosen current regulation.
739. Trevor Kalkus, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
We believe in strong net neutrality laws under title II and equal treatment from ISPs. Your face looks like a graveyard after an earthquake.
740. Jamie Luck, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I strongly oppose the rollback of recently passed net neutrality laws, which I believe keep citizens like me safe from those whose interests are counter to our own. I hope you continue to classify ISPs under Title II for all Americans' sake
741. Sebastian Feye, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Please preserve Strong Net Neutrality and keep ISP's under Title II, doing otherwise would be anti-competitive and bad for all citizens of this country.
742. Roman Sheydvasser, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I am in full support of Net Neutrality and the Title 2 clause because it helps prevent anti-competitive practices and allows web companies to have equal footing competing for consumers. ISPs should not have the right to restrict companies competing with their own services.
743. Susan T Walker, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support Title II and keeping net neutrality alive!
744. Noelle Bruce-Widder, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I strongly support stronger regulations for net neutrality. It protects the consumer and makes America more honest. In a time of fake news, it's paramount to have as few outside interests in services as possible.
745. Natasha Yeoman, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
What reason would ISPs have to voluntarily ensure us equal and fair access to information on the Internet? There is little competition in the marketplace, and Title II is one of the few measures currently in place to protect consumers against the whims of today's providers. Please preserve net neutrality and keep Title II.
746. Cherry Liu, Fremont, CA, 94536  
I support New Neutrality and Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
747. chandra Poorna, San jose, CA, 95132  
Mr FCC Chairman Pai, I strongly request you to preserve the net neutrality rules under Title2.
748. Stephanie Woodhams, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
The American people must continue to have access to the internet with no interference of access from ISP. Please do not allow companies to control their own actions - they of course will not have the best interest of the people and will only look to their own profits.
749. H. Choi, Menlo Park, CA, 95134  
Keep net neutrality as-is.
750. Nicholas Kopec, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I strongly support Net Neutrality rules backed by title II oversight of the ISPs. I strongly oppose docket 17-108 and Please continue to keep ISPs under control and ensure a fair and open internet for all business.
751. Alexander Heinz, San Jose, CA, 95134  
The title II classification of internet service providers is the single largest protection of consumer freedom and competitive fairness in the industry. We cannot afford to roll it back.
752. Zachary Bruce-Widder, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Net neutrality is extremely important for all companies to have a fair and equal chance in the digital age. My choice as a consumer

should not be limited/chosen by the companies that have more money to spend to fast-lane their product. If I want to use a certain website for shopping or streaming, no one should be able to limit my bandwidth with that because they prefer that I use another site. This is taking away my choice as a consumer to use what I like instead of what the richer companies like and is outrageous that it's even under discussion.

753. Mary Okin, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I strenuously object to any dissolution or revision to current FCC Net Neutrality policy (Title 2) governing ISP corporations, such as Verizon, Comcast, etc. In the Digital Age, Net Neutrality is an essential cornerstone of freedom in this country. It currently protects consumers from corporate collusion and the potential for predatory business practices of ISPs. I find the appointment of a FCC Chairman who appears intent on paving the way towards deregulation/abolishment of Net Neutrality (or the curtailing of American freedom of choice), someone with vested interest in Verizon, to be highly offensive. Ajit Varadaraj Pai, a former employee of Verizon, represents a clear conflict of interest in any discussions of Net Neutrality. Despite what you claim, Ajit Varadaraj Pai, Net Neutrality regulation has not affected ISP corporations' bottom line, some of the wealthiest corporations in the world. Net Neutrality has just prevented ISPs from running amok with greed at American consumers' expense. Shame on you Donald Trump, Ajit Varadaraj Pai, and anyone else attempting to undo the FCC's rigorous Net Neutrality regulation developed under President Barack Obama. I, and millions of other Americans, strenuously and vehemently object.
754. Katherine Foster, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Please protect net neutrality. Removing protection will negatively impact free speech as those who can pay more will have an outsize voice.
755. George Phan, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Hello, I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
756. Matthew Gibson, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
The Internet needs Title II oversight of net neutrality.
757. K R Vora, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Keep internet free . Network freedom per Title 2 must be kept in force.
758. Kartheek Datta, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Dear FCC, please protect net neutrality. Please do NOT let Verizon & other ISPs go back to Title 1.Thank you & God bless America!
759. Adenilson Cavalcanti, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support net neutrality enforced by Title II of the Communications Act of 1934, without it the web won't work in a free way for everyone.
760. \*Required - Rudy Castro, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I support strong net neutrality back my title 2 over site of ISP
761. Alex Nguyen, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Repealing Obama-era net neutrality rules opens the possibility of ISP's unfairly controlling access and bandwidth to sites they deem unprofitable. ISP's should not have the authority to dictate what websites their customers can browse to or in some cases stream from (ie. hulu, netflix, etc).As stated from CC: "The net neutrality rules, approved by the FCC in 2015, are intended to keep the Internet open and fair. The rules prevent Internet providers from playing favorites by deliberately speeding up or slowing down traffic from specific websites and apps."
762. Akshay Aggarwal, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I specifically support strong net neutrality by Title II (Title 2) oversight of ISPs.
763. Sean Brennan, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
We need to keep net neutrality. Please keep Title II. Any organization without oversight will abuse that oversight.
764. Jason Kotzin, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Unbelievable. We, the people spoke out about this in the last administration. Now, because president trump is president, we would have a different opinion? Or is it because the current FCC chairman is bought by the previous company he worked for. Preserve net neutrality.
765. Henry Lee, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am deeply disturbed by ISPs attempts to weaken Net Neutrality rules.
766. C P, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 that regulates ISPs.
767. sridhar ram, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I support the existing net neutrality rules and do not want to see any changes to title 2.
768. Ananth Ramakrishnan, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please keep the internet free.Keep title II. I very strongly support net neutrality!Since internet providers in many cities are monopolies, they should be treated like utilities and regulated. Internet is 21st century's information highway, and all traffic in that highway should have equal access.
769. anne wang, cupertino, CA, 95014

I absolutely oppose the loosening of our current FCC rules, and I want to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.

770. Ruth Baeuerle, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I support net neutrality and Title II. Please do not remove title II.
771. Phil Stephens, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I support net neutrality, both for the sake of consumers and for the sake of fair competition between established companies and innovative startups.
772. Monica Hegde, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Ajit Pai,I strongly support net neutrality and that is why, title 2 oversight of ISPs. Shame on you for being a sellout.
773. Irina Anissimova, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please, do keep ISP's under Title II, this is the only way to insure than we, consumers, can use the Internet as we want, NOT as money bags want us to. I, as a consumer, want to be able to access the web sites I want, not the ones imposed on me by advertisers and/or any other paying customer of ISP's. I want to be able to use the browser and search engine I like, not the one Microsoft is pushing on me. PLEASE, KEEP THE INTERNET NEUTRAL, we have enough inequality in all other areas of our lives. Thank you.
774. Matthew Berry, San Jose, CA, 95129  
I am in strong support of Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I do not support docket 17-108.
775. Mark Gaffney, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Every American deserves equal and open access to information
776. Madalina Baker, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I am writing in support of protecting net neutrality provisions under title II. A free and open internet is essential to our democracy and society, and crucial in promoting competition and innovation in technology and related fields.
777. Vishnu Valleru, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support in favour of preserving net neutrality.
778. Felipe Neira, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I am concern about the attacks on net neutrality and the way the leadership at the FCC shows a lack of transparency about the importance of net neutrality for democratic process. In order to best assure the access to information available in the web is not hamper by business interest and big conglomerates , I demand to the FCC, as a citizen of United States, to follow their stated goals and maintain the title II for ISPs as stipulated on the FCC's own website:1. Promoting competition, innovation and investment in broadband services and facilities2. Supporting the nation's economy by ensuring an appropriate competitive framework for the unfolding of the communications revolution.3. Encouraging the highest and best use of spectrum domestically and internationally4. Revising media regulations so that new technologies flourish alongside diversity and localism5. Providing leadership in strengthening the defense of the nation's communications infrastructure
779. Mor Miller, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Net neutrality is what makes the Internet useful for everyone, and what drives American online entrepreneurship. Losing it will put the US together with Internet censoring countries like China, Russia and North Korea.ISP regulation should remain under Title II.
780. Tully Foote, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I strongly support net neutrality of ISPs being enforced under title II. It is important to have the power of enforcement available to the FCC to protect customers.
781. Marcos H. Woehrmann, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Protect Net Neutrality. Do not remove Title 2 classification from ISPs.
782. mike pogue, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Net neutrality is good for business, especially new businesses, which are the future big businesses in the US. Let's keep that investment going!
783. Jill Finlayson, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Protect Net Neutrality. Do not remove Title 2 classifications from ISPs.
784. M. R. Lenzer, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by title II oversight of service providers.
785. Stephen Yu, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Please preserve strong protections for net neutrality via Title II oversight of ISPs.Net neutrality is incredibly important for protecting the vibrant and innovative internet community that exists in America.There have been claims that Title II is unnecessary but that clearly false given various events over the past decade; e.g. ISIS mobile app vs Google Wallet.
786. Henry Lee, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I support strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II. I am deeply concerned about ISPs attempt at weakening net neutrality rules.
787. Stephanie Seago, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Net neutrality is crucial to maintaining a fair and equal internet providing accessibility to information and entertainment from all providers, not just major cable and ISPs. I support net neutrality and Title II fully.

788. Geovana Raich, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
We strongly support Net Neutrality and Title II.
789. Johnny Klonaris, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Ajit Pai must think we're all idiots. Are we to believe he is unaware of the Verizon lawsuit that brought on Title II regulation? If so, he's incompetent and has no business as chairman. If he is aware, than he is simply another lying ass in a position of power. This is not your internet - it is OUR internet. It does not belong to Verizon, it does not belong to Comcast. LEAVE THE REGULATIONS ALONE. Your intentions are clear to all.
790. Viet Hoang-Tran, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Net Neutrality must be preserved under title 2. Reverting this would be a mistake that would put America behind in a global economy that bloomed from the internet.
791. Diane W. Kreiter, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
PLease protect Net Neutrality. KEep it as it is now!
792. Cesar A Quiroz, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please count me in strong support to keep the net neutrality provisions currently in effect, and to enforce them under the Common Carrier rules of Title II of the Communications Act of 1934, as amended since.
793. Raman Raja, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
The Internet must be free and fair. Net neutrality should stay and be permanent.
794. Blake Langdon, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I strongly support net neutrality with strong Title II oversight of internet service providers. This is vital for internet freedom as opposed to deregulation and reduced oversight of ISPs. Thank you for your time and consideration of this topic's impact on US all citizens.
795. Wiseley Wu, Newark, CA, 94560  
Regulation does not always stifle competition. In this particular case of net neutrality, regulation is necessary to ensure business won't be putting profits ahead of consumers' freedom to choose service they desire. In fact, the point of net neutrality, and the classification of ISPs to title II carrier, would actually increase competition as ISPs will not have the ability to crush competitor by purposely slowing down their internet speed. Even though the FCC Chariman Ajit Pai claiming that there's no evidence of such act, it's FCC's responsibility to set up rules to ensure ISPs will not have the opportunity to do so.
796. Ryan Truong, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I am in favor of strong net neutrality. Please preserve net neutrality and title 2.
797. Shawn Alexander Davis, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
For capitalism to thrive on the internet, all companies need an even playing field. Imagine that without net neutrality, Verizon could force everyone to search with Yahoo and only access AOL email. After all, all of those are the same company which is essentially becoming a powerhouse of advertising. OK, so maybe they still let you use Google, but they could slow their competitor. This is why we must maintain net neutrality. Net neutrality guarantees freedom of speech to all, democracy on the internet and capitalism for all. Net neutrality guarantees American values to all. Thank you for taking the time to consider the censorship that may result from net neutrality.
798. Kensho Yamamoto, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Net Neutrality keeps a level playing field for businesses and prevents major corporations from getting advantages and manipulating web sites.
799. Megan Fincher, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Please keep the protections on net neutrality under Title 2. The citizens of America depend on the FCC to maintain equal access to the Internet.
800. Frank Liu, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
For strong Net Neutrality regulation on ISPs.
801. Anthony Frye, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I support the continuance of net neutrality and title 2 as it is imperative to the continued growth of my web based business. Reversing or relaxing these regulations could have a disastorous result on my business which is in a niche but highly competitive field.
802. Manuel Wiedemann, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Net neutrality is an important aspect to allow unrestricted access to information. It needs to be preserved by government regulation. Internet needs to remain classified under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
803. Craig Ross, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I am writing to report that I am opposed to proceeding 17-108, and that I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. By maintaining strong net neutrality, this will better ensure that all internet destinations and content providers will have an equal chance to reach consumers with equal performance.
804. Apoorv Gupta, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Please preserve strong net neutrality. We need to preserve Title 2 to regulate cable and internet companies.
805. Radhika Kulkarni, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I support strong net neutrality! I don't want any change in the definition of net neutrality.

806. Autumn Schuster-Schmidt, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I specifically support strong net neutrality. We need title 2 oversight of ISPs to protect every citizen's access to data. We cannot entrust user access to data to companies that have a vested, financial interest in suppressing the speed of their competitors.
807. Harsh Bakshi, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Internet like air and water should be free !!!
808. Timothy Partee, Newark, CA, 94560  
I strongly support protection of a free and open internet which requires classification of ISPs under Title II. Please do not change the current policies and regulations on internet providers.
809. Andrew, San Jose, CA, 95129  
Do not dismantle or remove the FCC's net neutrality rules in any way. They are in place to protect people from overgrown corporations that can't be regulated by a free market, that value their bottom line over their customers. The government is the people's voice when corporations are too big to listen to customers. Please work in our interest, not theirs, no matter how much you stand to profit by doing so.
810. Kartik Vempati, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108! Net Neutrality is crucial to the growth of technological advances in America and dismantling it would severely hurt the one of the highest growth sectors of the American market. This bill is not what the people want.
811. Vinay Mahadik, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Please keep the Internet neutral. Regulation is good in some cases.
812. Irena Lin, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Don't let anyone rig the natural competition. Let the internet content compete on a fair playing field. May the best content survive. Keep net neutrality and title 2.
813. Samuel Casacio, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Please keep ISPs classified as common carriers under Title II. It's the only way to ensure these entities don't take advantage of their position in the market.
814. Kevin Young, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. To say there is historically no data to back up why ISPs would be unbiased is simply untrue. If nothing is currently wrong, why change it?
815. Kyle Stephens, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I came to express my fervent support for net neutrality and all I got was a giant Reese's coffee mug! Keep ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934, thank you.
816. Stan Carrico, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
The internet is a vital utility and must remain so if we want information to flow freely outside of telecom monopolies.
817. Patrick Whitehorn, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I specifically support strong net neutrality and do not agree with Ajit Pai. We need Title 2 oversight of all ISPs.
818. Daniel Brehmer, Newark, CA, 94560  
It is a very bad idea for both business and individuals to relax the net neutrality rules. Please keep net neutrality intact. It is essential for an economically healthy and fair Internet.
819. Korynne Headley, Newark, CA, 94560  
The FCC must continue to maintain strong net neutrality rules that mandated Internet providers be treated as public utilities and made it illegal for them to block or slow down websites for consumers. Pai is a slanderous lawyer with double speak, and I do not trust him.
820. Tri Huynh, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Keep the Internet neutral! Keep Title II! Ajit Pai is a dangerous person in that position.
821. Ina Roy-Faderman, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I specifically strongly support net neutrality backed by \*\*Title 2 oversight of ISP\*\*
822. Nikhil Desai, Fremont, CA, 94539  
This proposal, which undoes the reclassification of Internet Service Providers (ISPs) as Title II common carriers, is misguided and will encourage anticompetitive behavior in the Internet marketplace. Under precedent set by Verizon v. FCC (D.C. Cir. 2014), the FCC lacks the authority to institute strong net neutrality rules using the "light-touch" regulatory regime of Title I. The Chair's stated opinion that a less onerous regulatory environment will encourage investment and competition in the broadband sector is not supported by the state of the market pre-title II reclassification, in which major ISPs avoided competing with each other by staying out of regions in which another major ISP was operating, establishing regional monopolies rather than competing to provide better service. I strongly urge the FCC to reject this proposal.
823. Maris Kali, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I fully support strong net neutrality rules backed by the Title II classification of Internet Service Providers (ISPs) as common carriers. It is imperative for the health of the digital economy that you not repeal the previous administration's ruling in this matter.

824. Ean Johnson, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I support strong net neutrality rules with Title 2 regulation. Do not deregulate the ISPs, they will obviously screw everybody over to make a dollar. Obviously. How is this even a question?
825. Jose Tong, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Leave Title 2 intact for ISPs. I don't trust the ISP's ability to self-regulate.
826. Kazunori Kushida, San Jose, CA, 95134  
We need strong rules to preserve Net Neutrality. We can not count on ISP to self-regulate themselves.
827. Ryan Modrell, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I will never be onboard with these efforts made to do away with our freedom to access information freely and other various utilities from the internet in the name of profiteering and corporate greed. Americans all lose if net neutrality dies.
828. Anthony Decaccia, Newark, CA, 94560  
Please maintain net neutrality, and Title 2. We need a fair Internet.
829. Wendy Bockholt, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
It is astonishing that you are considering relaxing net neutrality requirements. Please protect net neutrality backed by Title II.
830. Michael Ralston, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Net Neutrality is important for internet innovations.
831. Rich Lin, San Jose, CA, 95133  
I strongly support the enforcement of Net Neutrality of ISP under Title II. The FCC should NOT rollback the regulations put in place during the Obama Administration. The concept of voluntary enforcement by the ISP's is naive and we the American Consumers demand the FCC take a stronger position on regulating this industry.
832. Robert Bergman, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Please maintain Net Neutrality. We have many small businesses that need Net Neutrality to compete with the large corporations that can afford to pay ISPs for bandwidth. By eliminating Net Neutrality you will stifle smaller businesses from innovating and inhibit job creation.
833. Andrew Bushnell, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please do not let Ajit Pai act in the interests of the corporations and instead please keep them under Title 2, save net neutrality and do what is best for the American people. Ajit Pai is the worst.
834. Daniel Marks, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please do not ruin the internet with this proposal.
835. Stephen S, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Title 2 oversight of ISPs is extremely important to net neutrality. Just because an ISP has not yet abused the system is not a good reason not to put in precautionary measures in place. Also, I believe that is exactly what happened with Comcast throttling Netflix, to the point that Netflix had to pay Comcast just so they would not throttle speeds. Allowing the possible creation of internet fast lanes or prioritizing company owned services over others will stifle innovation and competition. Please make sure ISPs are regulated under Title 2.
836. Sriram S, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Preserve net neutrality and keep the Title II. Please don't favor the ISP.
837. Edmond Hirota, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
It's vitally important that Internet access in America controlled by the people who use it, rather than the corporations. Maintaining ISPs under current Title II oversight is what most people want.
838. Anna Hoehenrieder, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Protect net neutrality. Keep all websites at an even level of importance and prevent the internet corporations from profiting by giving us poor internet.
839. Chuck Riess, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I fully support Net Neutrality and we need to make sure that the internet remains open. Please don't allow ISPs and big companies decide what content gets delivered in a timely manner.
840. Scott McAllister Morgan, San Jose, CA, 95133  
I strongly support preserving Net Neutrality under Title II.
841. Sean Wince, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I'm commenting in support of Net Neutrality, Title II classification of ISPs, the free marketplace of ideas and data, and increased fair competition between online providers of various services. I'm commenting in opposition to any considerations for Title I classification of ISPs, abolishment of Net Neutrality, or otherwise weakening protections of the standard that all data is treated equally by ISPs. Discrimination of data in favor of corporate profits is a very bad idea, and it must be opposed in every instance no matter what. The internet is currently a free market chock full of healthy competition between services that mostly operate on a level playing field. Let's please keep it that way. Do not reclassify ISPs, do not axe Net Neutrality, and do not favor corporate profits over the freedoms of internet users.

842. teddy, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Preserve title 2 enforcement of net neutrality rules over isp.
843. Brian Fry, Newark, CA, 94560  
I support a fair and open internet for everyone. ISPs should continue to be regulated under Title II of the Communications act.
844. Richard Pavlicek, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Please retain title 2 enforcement of net neutrality for ISPs. It is more fair for the public and will prevent dystopian competition.
845. Sagar Gurnani, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Net Neutrality should be upheld the way it is now. ISPs should be regulated under Title 2 so that they cannot throttle or decide speed or freedom to visit a certain webpage. FCC should have oversight over ISPs.
846. Uriah Welcome, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I strongly support strong net neutrality with title 2 oversight.
847. Steven Schwartz, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I urge to keep the Title II rules on ISPs and maintain Net Neutrality.
848. Nathan Mackler, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Do not remove title II regulations! Keep strong net neutrality for all.
849. mathieu nervet, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
please preserve net neutrality and keep ISP's Regulated by Title 2
850. Paul Keane, San Jose, CA, 95134  
In relation to the "Net Neutrality" discussion, Title II is an absolute requirement to ensure fairness of service and absence of conflict-of-interest among ISP's.As any former Verizon executive would know, it has been publicly pointed out that Title I is insufficient, and that Title II is a requirement.Also, the concept of a verbal agreement (or a Terms of Service agreement) that ISP's would not restrict non-beneficial services is frankly naive.
851. Scott Szyjewicz, san jose, CA, 95131  
Dear FCC,Please preserve Net Neutrality under Title II.Thanks,Scott Szyjewicz
852. glen anderson, Santa clara, CA, 95051  
I support continuing net neutrality under title 2.
853. Wayne Renshaw, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I am writing to express my concern about "net neutrality" and the government's ability to regulate and enforce it. History is full of examples of corporations cheating when it is beneficial to them.There are so many examples of people building great companies starting in their garage with nothing more than a single computer and a dream. If the internet companies have the ability to filter, slow, or stop the competition then we are damaging our own future.Net neutrality is extremely important!
854. John, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Protect my rights to a free and open internet. Please do not let companies dictate what content I get priority too.
855. Wing Ho, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Net neutrality is essential to fair competition and innovation. This mandate belongs to Title II, so that it can ensure the American public has equal access to the any online information.
856. Net Neutrality, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I strongly support net neutrality using Title2 for ISPs.
857. Rajesh Bhat, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Existing net neutrality laws are absolutely necessary to provide every Internet user with a fair chance to provide and access desired content. Please continue the use of existing laws as stipulated in Title 2. The arguments against existing net neutrality laws are not convincing and hence it makes sense to preserve the existing laws.
858. net neutrality, Fremont, CA, 94536  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 Oversight of ISPs. Keep Net Neutrality rules the same. NO CHANGES.
859. Elizabeth Sunderland, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I passionately endorse NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. KEEP THE NET FAIR.
860. Akshay Rajendraprasad, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
preserve net neutrality and title 2. We dont want ISP providers decide what we want to consume.
861. William Fox, milpitas, CA, 95035  
I fully support strong net neutrality laws that protect us from corporations favoring some websites over others and fully support the protection of Title 2. This is the age of information and all information must be accessible without favoritism. And with a congress/president that we do have it will NOT be something that can be fixed once it's destroyed, so please keep net neutrality and Title 2!!

862. Kristina Chang, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I don't support rolling back the protection of net neutrality. I feel that communication over the internet should be protected in a manner similar to free speech. We always criticize China for its suppression of free speech and internet censorship; I feel if we roll back net neutrality protections, we will be no better.
863. Sandy Ogden, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
It is vital that the Internet remain neutral, and not give preference to those who have more money to spend. Keep the Title II designation. The public deserves the best access to the Internet. Preferential treatment to some businesses is not in the interest of the USA.
864. Kiv Hodor, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Take a step forward, not a step backward. Internet is a utility, in all senses of the word. Preserve Net Neutrality... How is it that America is the one who hasn't figured this out yet? Remind me once again where we rank internationally on connection speeds... 14th? Denying people access to a free internet would stifle creativity, mute the voices of millions of people, and frankly just piss off a TON of people. If you hand the keys to the internet over to the highest bidder, what do you think will happen to the FCC five, ten years down the road? (Assuming the FCC would just as easily hand over such a gracious level of control over the other commodities it oversees, including the entire telecommunications sector). If the regulatory portion of "the internet" is just as privatized as the ISPs, I don't think the FCC will be on anyone's "Stop Trump from axing this department" list
865. Cliff Hicks, San Jose, CA, 95133  
I am strongly in favor of retaining net neutrality under the Title 2 rules, as it has been for the last three years. To move it back under Title 1 is not only playing with fire, it is fundamentally dangerous and inviting abuse, which we the public cannot and will not tolerate. Do NOT attempt to roll back to the previous state. It would be a grievous error, and should not even be considered.
866. Phong-Vu Duc Bui, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Please keep ISPs honest and regulate their activities regarding net neutrality.
867. Zachariah Lovett, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I am writing to strongly voice my support for keeping ISPs bound by title 2 regulations. There is clear evidence that Comcast and Verizon have engaged in anti-competitive actions and have forced services that compete with them to pay additional service fees by throttling network access.
868. bindiya wakil, Fremont, CA, 94536  
Dear Mr Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Our use to the internet should be governed by us and net neutrality will create a barrier that smaller companies will have trouble overcoming. It will stifle innovation. Please consider that your position on net neutrality is biased given your prior employment at Verizon. If you've ever started your own company or done something original, please draw upon that experience to think about how many challenges young companies have to overcome. A level playing field is a must have to give everyone with an idea a chance to make the world a better place. Thank you. Bindiya
869. Net Neutrality, San Jose, CA, 95131  
We need Net neutrality and retain Title 2.
870. Lucas Sze, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Please do not allow ISPs like Verizon and T-Mobile to worm their way into the FCC and restrict net neutrality for corporate interests. Small creators depend on the internet to survive, and make a living. To allow companies to favor their own products over others with kill competition, breaking the free market. The internet is a wonderful place, and it will only stay that way if the FCC can put a proper leash on the ISPs.
871. Layne Thomas, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
The web has become a crucial part of our everyday lives, and protecting the equal treatment of web traffic is vital to promoting creativity and innovation. It's utterly ridiculous to take away the existing safeguards we have. The internet should remain classified as a utility under Title II.
872. Chungpang J. Lai, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please vote to keep Net Neutrality as is. Donald Trump does not even know what it is when he wants to get rid of it.
873. Paul Roberts, sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Do not remove title 2.
874. Paul Gundarapu, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I express my support for Net Neutrality.
875. vicky tsoi, san jose, CA, 95134  
i support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. i stand against rolling back on the current net neutrality rules on equal access.
876. Graeme Miles, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I am very strongly in favor of keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
877. Matt Bryan, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Please protect Net Neutrality by preserving Article 2 of the Communications Act of 1934
878. Fang Zhao, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085

I am writing to express my support for net neutrality Title II and against this act of "Restoring Internet Freedom" or any attempts to loosen net neutrality rules and regulations. Internet providers should not be given the power to control who receives better quality access to the internet (via limitations or lack thereof to internet speeds and bandwidth). Our society has evolved to such a point that access to the internet and the services provided over it have become an essential aspect of the lives of all Americans. As such, there should be appropriate rules and regulations in place (such as the Title II), that ensure that individuals and businesses have fair access to the internet.

879. Ezequiel, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
To whom it may concern, This is my personal request to please, preserve net neutrality. My rights, as well as the rights of every American citizen needs to be protected. Please, I'm asking, keep the World Wide Web free, and let it grow as it has since its conception. In a time of "alternative facts" we need as much free flowing information as possible. Please fight for your people. Thank you.
880. Kunaal Kumar, fremont, CA, 94539  
I want to support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of isp. i hope my wishes are met with shared concerns. Thank you.
881. Shyam, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I support Net Neutrality. Do not change the rules. Let it remain as it is.
882. shiva, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Net neutrality is very important for a balanced and unbiased society. We're in a time where people get biased news and biased information of their choices just to make money from their click and the changes to net neutrality would just make it worse. I feel it's FCC's primary objective to maintain net neutrality and not let big Corps take advantage of users.
883. samuel, sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I strongly support net neutrality and title 2. Please do not change this titling.
884. Rob Harvel, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Please keep internet regulation as part of Title Two. Rolling back the Obama regulations is a terrible idea that I absolutely do not support.
885. Ken Farling, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I'm for leaving the regulations under Title II. Please do not silence the smaller voices.
886. Zuhair Saadat, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Ajit, you need to protect net neutrality under title II.
887. Sanish Mahadik, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I support keeping the existing Title II regulations for the Net Neutrality. Please keep the internet free from big-money interests and let the small guy have same change as biggies like Google/Amazon. Taking these rules away will create a gatekeeper who extract heavy rent just for transporting bits and not providing any additional service.
888. William Buenzle, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Net neutrality is essential to the free-flowing marketplace of ideas that is the internet. We should not and cannot leave businesses to regulate themselves in this matter. It is up to you to protect the rights of the individual, as well as small businesses reliant on the impartiality of internet service providers. Please do the right thing and defend net neutrality.
889. Venu Duggineni, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support having the ISP's being classified under the Title II and so protect net neutrality.
890. venu maddu, san jose, CA, 95127  
I support Net neutrality strongly. If we don't have regulatory consumer protection like TITLE #2 companies with corporate greed will take over and guide what we watch
891. Joshua Drolte, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
That the FCC's current chairman -- and former Associate of General Counsel at Verizon -- plans to retract the Title II classification for Internet Service Providers (ISPs) is another obvious example of regulatory corruption in our country. After being reclassified under Title II, Verizon reassured their investors at the time that the new regulatory framework would not impede their business practices or infrastructure in any negative way. Now that Ajit Pai is at the FCC, the attempted narrative is that investment to infrastructure was negatively impacted by the reclassification. The obvious question: what is it Verizon -- and other ISPs -- wish to do that they cannot with the current classification under Title II? I'm fairly certain their desires consist of technical implementations such as deep-packet-inspection, traffic throttling coupled with "fast-lane" access for premium payers, and supercookies that track users -- though this last point can already be achieved thanks to the S.J. 34 vote earlier this year. These implementations as well as others effectively attempt to carve the current landscape into smaller subdivisions much like televised cable does with channel packages. ISPs would very much enjoy it if they could funnel "good" access to websites that pay them and their partners and "poor" access to those that do not. They also would fancy being able to charge their current internet package subscribers premiums in order to access various websites with the "good" access. But even if they forgo attempts to throttle traffic, the fact that they want less compliance for legal protections to consumers is worrying. I am going to make clear that as a Verizon and Comcast customer (10 years worth as a Verizon customer) and investor that I do not support any attempts to reclassify ISPs under Title I. This decision was made not even four years prior, with overwhelming consensus for the classification of Title II. It is obvious that Ajit Pai is still beholden to his former Verizon employer and his past comments regarding net neutrality illustrate a feverently biased position that does not protect consumers and tactfully omits the transgressions ISPs have made against consumers in violations of FCC rules -- even when they were under the less-stringent Title I. But the current leadership of the FCC and how his aims fail to uphold his agency's core principals aside, the act of stripping Title II

classifications will fundamentally hurt the internet's ability to be free and open to all. Reclassifying ISPs to Title I is simply counter to the aims of a free and open internet. With a Title II classification the legal framework is there to prevent practices such as deep-packet-inspection and traffic throttling to specific sites. What's more, there are even protections for other practices that have not yet been invented but would nonetheless violate the spirit of the rules found in Title II. As such, even those protections are considered "too much" for the companies that are currently within the 20 most valuable entities in the US Stock Market.

<http://portfolios.morningstar.com/fund/index-holdings?t=SPX@ion=usa&culture=en-US> If ISPs are reclassified under Title I, then I will divest from Comcast and Verizon as well as cancel any subscriptions I have with them. But more than that, I will help all of my family and friends make the necessary transitions as well. Do not reclassify ISPs under Title I. Maintain their classification under Title II.

892. Jordan Orosco, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
The net neutrality law should stay under Title II classification so that an open internet is guaranteed for all Americans. Please don't let lobbyists dictate current law
893. Dave Makower, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Please do not dismantle Net Neutrality by removing Title II protection. Net Neutrality protects consumers, and current moves to weaken it are against the interests of the American people.
894. Urvishsinh Mahida, Fremont, CA, 94536  
A lot of money/resources is already invested in creating the back bone of internet and internet itself. Information carried on internet should always be treated equally. To treat some information more important is like presenting half knowledge to a person interested. Comcast with its monopolistic business already make it hard enough for people like to have a decent / stable internet service for the money we pay. I had better / cheaper internet service in AZ than I have now in CA. I don't trust the intentions of such companies and providing the capability to prioritize what kind of information I wish to consume as an individual.
895. Matt Gallagher, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Net neutrality is vital to the economic engine of this country and to serve the interests of consumers ahead of the largest corporations. Without maintaining net neutrality principles and regulating it as a common carrier, smaller businesses may struggle to get off the ground and consumer experience will be directly impacted and/or cost more with monopolistic pricing raising average rates throughout the country, particularly in areas with limited competition
896. Francisco Bermudez, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I support keeping all ISP (internet servers providers) under Title II oversight. Net neutrality is a must to maintain our freedom of speech and must be protected, all ISP must remain classified under Title II.
897. Net Neutrality, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. We need to keep the Internet free and fair to all providers and users!
898. Israel Cimet, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I'm against removing the net neutrality rules done by the Obama administration. I only have access to Comcast so they have a monopoly on my internet access and they need to play fair.
899. internet saver, milpitas, CA, 95035  
please leave title 2 rules alone and let the internet be free.
900. Victoria Vyedina, Santa Clara, CA, 95127  
Dear FCC, please preserve net neutrality. It is important to me to have equal access to all sources especially now when trump wants to shut down the free press. Please do not let US become new russia. Save us. Sincerely, Victoria
901. Jared Conte, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I strongly support net neutrality and title 2. Allowing service providers to control what information their customers get at which speeds is fascism and pathetic. I urge the FCC to continue preserving both of these and not move forward with any new proposals.
902. Alan Bucio, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I support Title 2 oversight over ISPs
903. Steve Vandewater, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I am strongly against the FCC putting ISP's back under Title 1 regulations. Statements from the FCC chairman are at best what I would expect from a paid Verizon pitchman. ISP's enjoy virtual monopoly power in most markets they serve. Claims that Title 2 have reduced infrastructure investment are contradicted by statements the ISP's have made to their own shareholders. Apparently the FCC chairman is not held to the same requirement of honesty that the ISP's are. Ajit Pai should recognize he no longer works for Verizon, he works for the citizens of the United States. If he can't meet that minimum requirement he should see if Verizon will take him back.
904. Salaheddine Soufi, San Jose, CA, 95129  
The Internet is free and open because the pathways of the internet are cleared from barriers. If you allow people to close these paths, or restrict them, the internet won't be free, but constricted to the people, organizations, or companies who are in control. The Internet needs to be kept free and open, and we should have equal access to it. By allowing it to be open, we have created one of our best creations ever, where human interactions are more important than money. Keep it this way, don't allow money to take over.
905. Elliott Ortiz-Soto, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I support strong net neutrality rules, particularly through Title II for ISPs.
906. Manmeet, Fremont, CA, 94539

Net should be neutral. This will negatively impact tech startups, especially cloud based, which will have to compete with giants with deep pockets such as amazon, google etc.

907. Michaela Morrissey, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It is downright foolish to trust an ISP to always favor net neutrality on their own.
908. Thomas Abruzzo, San Jose, CA, 95117  
If money is free speech then a free and open internet is also free speech. I support keeping the internet regulated under title 2 and I think internet should be kept a common carrier. I also believe that infrastructure subsidies should be created to make sure everyone in the US has access to free speech and information.
909. Toshi Tazawa, San Jose, CA, 95129  
The Internet is now our essential transport mechanism of our communication. Please preserve the Title II mandates.
910. Ryan R. Donovan, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Net neutrality is critical to a free and open internet. Declassifying the internet as a common carrier, rather than a regulated entity as it already is, is a foolish enterprise done so for the profit of corporations, not for the good of the people. I implore you to not take this action. Government's priority should be the people, and yet it seems that corporate interest is far more important these days. Let's buck the trend on this one.
911. Matt Cossoul, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Preserving net neutrality and Title II protection is important to me. Thank you.
912. Mako Mako, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
BAD FCC!
913. Rita McKissick, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I strongly support the need for net neutrality and the need to preserve Title 2. I do not believe deregulation is in the best interest of any internet user and would only benefit ISPs and their profit margins.
914. Yvonne Nachtigall, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Please keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules. The internet should be free and open to everyone. The Internet should be a basic right for everyone!
915. Jodi Howard, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Net Neutrality is essential to this Democracy. Once again the new administration is bowing to corporate greed and leaving the American people in the dust. The last time I checked allowing industry to self-regulate is a fantasy. Allowing providers to decide what content I get at what speed is discrimination pure and simple. Such discrimination endangers the open and level playing field that has made the Internet so democratic.
916. Ron Dolin, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Net neutrality is ESSENTIAL, and it needs to continue to be enforceable through Title II !!!!! This isn't a game, and the American public depends on this for the free flow of information, unobstructed and unobstructable by ISP's. I can't say this strongly enough -- leave net neutrality under Title II and make sure that the consumer doesn't have to deal with internet delivery mechanisms being decided by ISP's favoring some content over others.
917. Jay Shah, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Please keep the net neutrality intact. For small consumers it will be huge loss. Customers will have to pay eventually to already big monopoly of internet giants.
918. Anilkumar Ranganagoudra, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Net neutrality is right for every American. If you pass net neutrality, I will even buy a giant Reeses' coffee mug
919. Yuri Kleban, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please reverse this !
920. Prem Ramaswami, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
It is important that the FCC changes regulations for the sake of protection of consumers. Currently, as written, the proposed rule change will allow broadband providers to have preferential treatment for higher paying companies allowing the furtherance of internet and cable oligopolies rather than a truly free market of competition. The Internet has become our newest avenue of free speech and in an era of fake news and media conglomeration, it is inherent that our first amendment rights not be tied to the value in our wallets.
921. monali sheth, Fremont, CA, 94538  
It isn't imperative that ISPs are regulated under title II. Please do the American consumer and voter a favor, and do your job. You're there to protect us, not Verizon or any other ISP.
922. Brendan P Keller, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Keep ISPs classified under title II. Preserve net neutrality.
923. Jeff Loaisiga, Newark, CA, 94560  
Please keep Net neutrality laws in place. We need these laws to keep the internet open and free!!!

924. Adrian Smet, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108! Consumers have a right to internet access and service, it should not be possible for corporations to inhibit that on a case by case basis. Internet should be treated as a utility, and it's uninhibited usage is a right of every American.
925. Frederic Pinchon, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Please Keep Net Neutrality as it is, and save Title II
926. dimi shahbaz, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Please support net neutrality and keep the title II classification. Leaving the internet in the hands of huge corporations is a stupid idea. Expecting them to self regulate is like asking Wall Street to self regulate, who would ever do that, crazy right?
927. Allen Kim, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Do not pass net neutrality regulation bill. This will be a disastrous moment for the Internet era and we simply will not give up liberty of our internet usage to the hands of few incumbents.
928. Warren Heavyside, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I am opposed to the new FCC rules. We MUST maintain Net Neutrality. It is imperative to the free flow of information. In the words of Abraham Lincoln, "[we are] a government of the people, by the people, and for the people," not corporations or corporate interests. Don't sell out America--stay true to the dream of America. Stay true to the founding principles of freedom and liberty that so many have died for. As a veteran, I served this great country in some of the most challenging environments and heart wrenching circumstances. I did this for all of us. I did this for freedom and liberty in all of its many splendid forms. Net Neutrality is freedom and liberty for the people. Stay true.
929. Subash, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Ajit Pai is corporate hack with no shame.I strongly support net neutrality.
930. Jenny L Silvers, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Keep ISP's under Title II. Keep net neutrality. Giving ISP's the option to slow down services at their leisure is wrong for the public. Before Title II, I personally experienced intentional slowdown by my internet provider if I was not using their streaming services. Do the right thing. Please.
931. Randall J. Davis, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Please do not roll back the existing net neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the telecommunications act.
932. gayle bandy, Santa Clara, CA, 95053  
Don't kill the internet! Don't repeal Title II.
933. Cathaleen Suarez, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Protect Net Neutrality. No equivocation, please.
934. Michael Richards, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I strongly support net neutrality under title II. ISPs have proven time and time again that they will not compete with one another and use underhanded tactics that subvert net neutrality. They cannot be trusted to do what is best for the consumer, or for small to medium businesses who rely on the Internet.
935. Matthew Peterson, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
The FCC must preserve net neutrality and Title II. The industry can't "regulate itself" on such an important matter - our country is partisan, segregated, and isolated enough without ISPs blocking information about opposing views.
936. Shriram Natarajan, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Dear FCC,Net neutrality is a key tenet of internet. It is a fundamental precept that enables the innovation in the internet connected world. Please do not take actions to gut or undo the basics of net neutrality.
937. Stephen Barber, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I strongly believe that the FCC should protect net neutrality by continuing to regulate internet service providers under Title II.
938. JAMES STEVENS, San Jose, CA, 95131  
I support strong net neutrality rules to keep the internet open and prevent companies from discriminating against different kinds of internet content.
939. Akkini Kunju, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Net Neutrality is integral to the free and open Internet.
940. Jonathan talbott, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Protect net neutrality. Keep ISPs regulated under title II. I support title II. Thank you.
941. RICHARD YERMAN, FREMONT, CA, 94539  
Firmly against changing the current net neutrality rules.
942. Willis Calkins, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
As technology has evolved and the internet has seen a drastic increase in interference from internet service providers, the time for

regulation is at hand. When the internet was popularized in the '90s and early 2000s, it was built with modems calling over the common-carrier based phone line. You could change ISPs with the dial of a number, and there was intense competition. I changed ISPs no less than 5 times in 10 years. With the technical requirements of broadband, our internet provider choices have been severely limited. In my area, only Comcast offers high speed service, and I have no other option. With these limits on choice, we see a variety of overreach and anti-consumer and anti small business behavior, such as deep packet inspection, web page modification/advertisement insertion, cookie injection, inequitable throttling (even on "unlimited" plans), and user tracking and data/metadata sales. Keep the internet an equitable and fair playing field - keep net neutrality and regulate ISPs.

943. Risa Anav, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Net Neutrality is imperative in this day and age. It is important that the government set clear rules (as in the existing title 2) for service providers not be able to be corrupted by business and finance. As a consumer I should be able to have access to the internet equally without the influence by the service I am purchasing to provide that access.
944. Stuart Ferguson, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I ran a tech startup and am currently Head of Technology at Foundry. Any success I had required a functioning internet. Please preserve Net Neutrality.
945. Vishal Soni, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Net neutrality is must now a days than ever. People should have access to unrestricted content on internet. We can't imagine ourself without internet for a day. Its a means to communicate with the world, the loved ones, fans, whoever, whatever. Don't kill it for vested interests of couple of evil firms. World considers US as a free and fair country, please keep it that way.
946. Vincent Nguyen, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I support a free and open internet, and that means not taking back the current regulations on net neutrality. I do NOT trust corporations to regulate themselves or treat all internet traffic equally.
947. Frank Brown, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
--Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II--
948. L. Koruga, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I support STRONG Net Neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs -- rolling back old regulations is a definite mistake!
949. Kathy McGee, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I am in favor of the stronger enforcement of net neutrality under Title II. Please do not lessen the enforcement of net neutrality.
950. Sarah Morgan, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I do not want the FCC to remove any current regulations against net neutrality.
951. Amit Patankar, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Please keep title 2 provisions in regards to net neutrality. NO average citizen wants net neutrality to go away. This is a RARE bipartisan issue with regards to the American public.
952. Connor Pyle, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I specifically support strong net neutrality like title 2 of ISPs
953. Danny DeGregorio, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I believe giving ISPs the freedom to throttle or control speeds to any websites they choose would be a mistake. I OPPOSE Docket No. 17-108! (And I don't capitalize full words unless I have strong feelings about something).
954. Ramana Turlapati, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Please DO NOT REPEAL the net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
955. Irish Show, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Net neutrality and all that. Frankly it should be a public utility. Frankly it should be free. Frankly there should be nationalized fiber optical.
956. Mary Haddad, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Protect net neutrality and keep ISPs under Title 2 where they can be properly regulated!
957. Benjamin Hood, Newark, CA, 94560  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please leave the existing laws alone
958. Rahul Oak, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
It is the responsibility of the FCC to ensure a fair and open internet. I strongly believe that any laws not enforced by Title II will give ISPs too much leeway to put their interests over the competition's.
959. Virat Gohil, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Net Neutrality is what keeps the internet open and allows new startup to get their day in the sunshine. Without this protection, the ISPs will become the gatekeepers of the internet with powers to choose which businesses succeed and which ones fail. New startups will be forced to shell out money to get on the fast lane. To small startups this money is very crucial which could be better invested in innovation and training and design, demos and thrive. I urge FCC to keep the current net neutrality law intact.

960. Beau Baldwin, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Bits are bits, and ISPs should not be allowed to discriminate between them based on service or company provider. That makes no sense for the market. At the very least, there should be some sort of regulation disallowing blocking or artificially slowing down file transfer from Internet server or peer to client or peer in the recipients home or business.
961. James E Near, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I strongly support the current regulations for the internet and ISPs under Title II. These should not be changed. The internet should be freely accessible to all without ISPs throttling our access. Thanks You
962. jonathan, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Preserve net neutrality. Save the internet. Save the dream!
963. Rafael Saavedra, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
It is critical for the US innovators, technology startups, the present and future of our economy and our country's place in the world as the leader in innovation to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II
964. Jon Wang, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Preserve Net Neutrality! Single most important aspect of being interconnected today
965. Deval Shah, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Do not fix what isn't broken! Be fair. Btw, this FCC site is not mobile friendly. About time to fix that.
966. Scott, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Ajit Pai, I back net neutrality with ISP oversight by title 2.
967. Keith Schwamkrug, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
The FCC must maintain Net Neutrality and continue to classify all ISPs under Title II
968. Kimie Seaton, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Do NOT allow Trump to change the net neutrality regulations. Preserve current regulations on net neutrality and Title 2. The major companies WILL NOT play fair on their own as they've shown in the past.
969. Paula Ning, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Knowledge is the great equalizer, and the Internet's (almost) free flow of information is the only tool we have against the growing stratification of wealth in the US. The internet should have been made a utility ages ago, but now we've backslid to the point where we've gotta campaign to prevent corporations from hijacking it for their own ends? End this oligarchical nonsense.
970. Virginia Gelczis, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please keep net neutrality provisions and leave Internet Service providers under Title 2. Otherwise ISPs will be free to limit and restrict internet traffic to suit their own motives, which isn't consistent with a neutral and open internet.
971. Samantha Ricci, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I strongly support net neutrality and title two protections
972. swaroop choudhari, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I support keeping the internet neutral.
973. Balaji T, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
PLEASE PRESERVE TITLE II!!!
974. Artemio, Fremont, CA, 94536  
Please retain Net Neutrality! We need to make sure that the FCC keeps ISPs under Title 2 regulations.
975. Amandeep Gill, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I strongly oppose Docket No. 17-108! The docket is un-American, and I am in complete disbelief that it was given the title of Freedom when it is anything but that. Do right by the American people and do not allow Docket 17-108 to pass. Net neutrality is the best way to protect the American people by having open access for all with no limitations by ISPs.
976. Lucas Cantero, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not allow ISP's to further operate against the interest of the consumer.
977. Eric Shapiro, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Dear FCC, I am a small business owner who has thrived in the past 15 years via my company's website and a fair and level Internet. I am terrified of an Internet that may harm my family and millions of others. Please keep the Internet neutral and free and allow it to continue being a breathtaking engine of growth!--Eric Eric Shapiro
978. Reuben, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please retain the strong & well-thought net neutrality rules that exist today, retaining ISPs under Title II. Making the changes suggested by Ajit Pai are just honestly brutal to the millions of internet users & only favourable to a few ISP cronies! This is another case of the interests of the very, very few over those of the masses!

979. Kenneth Yan, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Regulating ISPs under Title 2 is necessary for keeping ISPs honest. We need to ensure healthy competition between ISPs to encourage innovation as well as allow small startups to emerge and flourish. Ensuring that ISPs can't discriminate the treatment of different traffic sources is the even playing field we need title 2 to help ensure.
980. Robert Contreras, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Note: did not receive an e-mail confirmation so resubmitting my comment. Please do NOT reclassify internet service providers away from title 2. Internet service should be treated as a right and not be subject to corporate manipulation in efforts to increase shareholder value. We need open access to the internet without having corporations restrict it to benefit their bottom line by charging more for certain services over others. Please do not kill net neutrality, especially not with a weed wacker!
981. Lisa Anderson, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
You need to preserve our net neutrality rules.
982. Nicholas Haisma, San Jose, CA, 95127  
Maintaining net neutrality is critical for maintaining the competitiveness of the US economy. By allowing ISPs and other industry power holders to choose which web services they want to prosper we lose the benefits of free market competition. This will allow developers from other nations to quickly surpass US developers.
983. Doug Chapman, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
ISP's should be placed under Title 2 and NET Neutrality should be enforceable and not left up to ISPs
984. Aaron Rodda, San Jose, CA, 95133  
Net neutrality is extremely important to me and attempting to have companies self regulate is insanely ignorant and will only result in hurting the American economy.
985. Dustin DeCoursey, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Stop messing with the Net Neutrality!
986. Sivan Iram, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I am a strong supporter of net neutrality. Without strong enforcement and oversight over ISP, one of the most fundamental rights in the digital age. Unbiased access to information, free competition, and a leveled playing field are the bedrock of a strong society and fertile economic environment for innovation and growth. Don't let personal gains fog your judgment. History will judge you, what will be your heritage?
987. Tom Steenson, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I fully support net neutrality and all ISP/cable/telecom companies that are in anyway providing internet access or services should be classified as title II companies. Thank you, Tom Steenson
988. Afshin Jaber, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Eliminating net neutrality poses as a serious risk to small business operational and financial success, such as at my family-owned small business that employs 20-30 people. This uneven playing field puts small businesses, in particular, at a disadvantage, and it is often said that small businesses are so vital to the overall strength of the economy. More specifically, this change would jeopardize the ability to efficiently and affordably interact with various web services that we rely on in order to function in the 21st century. Not to mention that we are in the healthcare space where the government has mandated increased use of technology and internet-based services to this industry things forward. There have been and continue to be tremendous costs and investments over the past decade to get up-to-speed with Electronic Medical Records, increased electronic interchange of data, and other HITech related mandates. It has all been for good reason in terms of long-term benefit, but it hasn't been easy and hasn't been without serious disruptions along the way and literally every other day. That said, many of our vendor services and other business needs that allow us to run and continue to thrive (let alone exist) as a business are entirely based on internet services (i.e. cloud technology). If access to these services and processing capabilities will now be subject to price gouging and added, unfair premium costs, it will be devastating and crippling to our business and so many others like ours. We already have enough challenges to deal with as it is (e.g., insurance companies paying less, patients have more financial responsibility and not paying balances like insurance used so, increased cost of staff wages and benefits, ever-increasing regulatory requirements, competing with larger competitors that are dropping prices left and right due to offshore outsourcing, software and other vendors raising fees on us each year while we have no choice but to decrease prices to our own customers thus cutting our profits, competing with large hospital systems that are buying out the smaller practices every other day, economic inflation, etc.). The last thing that would help us continue to compete and stay in business (despite all these other challenges we've been able to steer around to date) is making the baseline highway infrastructure that we rely on (i.e. the internet backbone) such that there is a traffic jammed toll road for smaller guys like us while a fast track, easy pass, low-cost carpool lane for the bigger guys. This makes absolutely no sense for making sure the baseline is a level field for all of us no matter how deep our pockets are. The bigger guys are already successful enough and only getting bigger (i.e. becoming more and more successful with each passing year). Why in the world would you tip the field to lean their way even more when they really don't require that kind of assistance in the first place? They have the capital. They have the group purchasing power. They have the means for all kinds of things. Stripping us small guys of our basic right to fair and equal and affordable internet access will only further impair small businesses, and the economy will suffer as a result. Not to mention the impact this will have of educational institutions, as well. This move is bad for small business, bad for education, bad for the economy, etc. What ever happened to applying common sense public policy that really benefits the true interests of the people?
989. Roger Tiu, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Net neutrality is important specifically because of the lack of choice and competition. A majority of the United States does not have competitive/comparable wired internet choices. Wireless internet is better with 4 major choices, but due to the nature of wireless connections, the internet is both unreliable, coverage varies from house to house, and most providers heavily meter their services. In an environment with limited choices we cannot rely on the free market to regulate themselves. In the current marketplace I support strong

net neutrality regulation under title II oversight of internet service providers.

990. paul h, santa clara, CA, 95051  
I am a software engineer and I support net neutrality. ISP are not operating competitively. The consumers and small internet companies need net neutrality to even the playing field against big corporations.
991. Apoorv Bhargava, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Dont reverse Title II net neutrality
992. Adam Berkan, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support Net Neutrality, and I don't support removing it from Title II protection. The big ISPs have demonstrated repeatedly that they will do terrible things to their customers to make a buck. There's no real competition for high speed access in most markets, and the ISPs intentionally avoid competing. Only strong regulation can keep these companies from destroying what is great about the internet.
993. Jonathan Talbott, San Jose, CA, 95131  
I support net neutrality and Title II. Keep the internet regulated under Title II. Thank you.
994. Nicholas Follett, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Please keep net neutrality rules by preserving Title II.
995. Bruce Nestinger, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I am in favor of KEEPING strong net neutrality rules. Your proposal to let ISP's police themselves is absolutely preposterous. It will not work and I'm not in favor of any changes to the current rules regarding net neutrality!!!
996. Harsha M, Folsom, CA, 95134  
Please dont do it, please keep internet as it is.
997. Robert Plunkett, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Net Neutrality is a critical to a free and dynamic internet business and social environment. Consumers pay for access to bandwidth through their access fees. It must remain solely their choice as to what services they are able to access. No access provider should be able to prioritize one service vs. another. Let Verizon, Comcast and others compete on what content they offer, not on whether or not they are able to block or throttle alternative choices. The limited choices in internet providers in most communities do not provide a competitive enough environment guarantee access to all content providers without net neutrality.
998. Citizen A, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
i support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. So quit with the bullshit. Leave my internet protections alone now. We already made it clear back in 2015 how we as American internet users feel about this.
999. Nicholas Ebisu, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I only support strict Title II oversight of ISPs. Do not roll back these protections.
1000. Ammy Woodbury, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Title 2 protections are essential for maintaining net neutrality. Our country was founded on the dream of freedom of speech, and one that is not constrained by the promotion of commercial interests. Internet access is more essential to modern life than other FCC regulated tools (ex.: land lines) and is key to the free exchange of information and should continue to be afforded appropriate protections under Title 2. This is not some random Obama era rule to be overthrown in the face of a change of administration. It protects all perspectives equally, be they conservative or liberal, racist or tolerant.
1001. Maxim Tiourin, San Jose, CA, 95131  
I support Net Neutrality and the continuation of Title II protections for the internet, it's equivalent to supporting the First Amendment. Transmission of data should be equal, so that all 'speech' is equally accessible. Metaphor time: My water company shouldn't be dictating to me what kind of shampoo I can use under threat of limiting my water flow. My water company shouldn't be extorting my shampoo's company to pay up to prevent the limiting of my water flow anytime I use their shampoo.
1002. Jessica Lum, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please continue to classify internet service providers under Title II of the Telecommunications Act so that the FCC can continue to enforce a fair and free internet under net neutrality.
1003. Michael Bearsby, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support a Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Letting companies manipulate the internet is taking away power from the people and giving it to the corporations. Please do the right thing for the people.
1004. Allan Reyes, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I am completely in favor of net neutrality, to remove the rules or the protections against this is another unacceptable. If these changes take place you have effected limited our protected freedoms, and put profit above the American People. KEEP THE NET NEUTRAL!
1005. Chuck Savadelis, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please, Mr. Pai, preserve and strengthen net neutrality. Keep the ISPs classified under Title 2 for the sake of the citizens of this country.
1006. Judith Quenet, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I strongly support net neutrality as already confirmed by the FCC. DO NOT weaken this protection for all internet users.
1007. Raja Krishnan, san jose, CA, 95134

I support strong net neutrality by "Title II" overseeing ISPs.

1008. Nevada Shannahan, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Nuh uh. No way in hell. C'mon now, what are you thinking? \$\$\$, that's what you're thinking. This is some right wing b.s. Don't try to smooth talk us out of our net neutrality with a novelty mug and some Big Lebowski tweets. And sure, I'm getting most of my information from John Oliver, but I'd loooooooooooooooooooooove to see you try to refute him on his points. If you think you can take away our equal internet speeds without a fight, y'all need Jesus. And I don't care if you Take my name and address, I'm going to stand by what I say. For the benefit and good of the people, Net Neutrality must remain.
1009. Vincent Conditto, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
The Internet should be regulated by the FCC as a utility to ensure that all downloads are treated equally. We cannot trust the internet providers to fairly provide access voluntarily. I am strongly in favour of net neutrality and the continuation of Title 2. Chairman's Pai's views are totally incorrect on this issue.
1010. WIFL Laboratories, LLC, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Small, fledgling businesses such as mine need a free and open internet to grow and thrive. Please keep net neutrality.
1011. Selden Dickinson, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Keep net neutrality in place! I find it abhorrent that my government or its representatives would ever think it's in my best interest, or any individual citizen's, to grant those with money and influence greater access to information than myself via the internet. Knowledge is power, and the internet is the greatest mechanism ever created for distributing it more evenly among the masses. To limit or hinder this in any way is both a blatant attack on freedom and the well-being of every individual, regardless of position, birth, wealth, or the public's perception of their integrity and abilities.
1012. Harpreet Singh, Fremont, CA, 94303  
I would like to express my support for strong net neutrality under Title II.
1013. Megan Watt, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I am for net neutrality. I believe that we must preserve a free internet. Do not pull back regulations on net neutrality.
1014. Jason Evans, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Ajit Pai,I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!!! Do NOT move ISPs to Title I. If you do then you are a "you know what".Thank you, Jason Evans
1015. Jonathan Hu, Cupertion, CA, 95014  
Net Neutrality is important to me. There needs to be checks and balances. Title 2 helps with this. I work in the medical field and I understand that the topic of regulation is dicey. However, not everything is perfect but it is still a system that protects patients. Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 helps protect consumers of internet that should be a right.Please do not weaken net neutrality and leave it in the hands of large corporations by removing Title II oversight.
1016. Nestor A. Gonzalez, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
The following has nothing to do with political bias or being conservative or liberal, it is just my observations as a human being:Net Neutrality is essential to our freedom as Americans. I pay for access to the internet, not to services, service providers want to promote. In this day in age, everything is done through the Internet. The Internet is now a utility like Water, power & light. If Service providers want to continue, they should be regulated & my access to the Internet should be unrestricted & un-throttled. I will gladly pay for fast internet, but to ALL of the Internet, not just some of it. The greed demonstrated by service providers is ridiculous & should be illegal.Just like I pay for water, what I do with the water I pay for is my business. I should not have to pay more or have the speed/quantity of water affected if I choose to water plants, wash my car, do the dishes, wash clothes, or just stand in the shower.Just like I pay for electricity, what I do with it is my business. I should not have to pay more or have the speed/quantity of electricity affected if I choose to power my heater, A/C, refrigerator, or computer.I pay for Internet access & what I do with my access is my business. I should not have to pay more or have the speed/quantity of access affected if I choose to watch Netflix, shop Amazon, go to indi-sites, get news, or just randomly surf the web.
1017. Joseph Morcos, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Keep net neutrality. Last time the government deregulated an industry (Glassâ€“Steagall Act of 1933) we had the financial crisis.
1018. Hari Nair, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Please do NOT change Net Neutrality rules. It doesn't matter how much you sugar-coat it, what's being proposed is BAD!
1019. Marc Ricketts, Fremont, CA, 94538  
We were not fooled before and are not fooled now. The chairman clearly understands the Title 2 switch. The FCC exists to benefit the American people, not companies that previously gave the Chairman a paycheck. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY INTACT!
1020. Don Satow, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Leave net neutrality rules as is, backed by Title II protections. I do not trust internet service providers to voluntarily not throttle competitor websites or internet services.
1021. Harish Krishnan, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by "Title 2" oversight of ISPs
1022. Jon-Michael Durkin, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. We need strong net neutrality in order to provide for a free and open

internet, with the ability for anybody to do business through channels without discrimination.

1023. Emily Perez, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. It's important to keep the internet open and free without corporate influence.
1024. Bryan Hackett, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Do not get rid of net neutrality, This is part of what drives the economy in silicon valley.
1025. Ed Lew, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Do not roll back the net neutrality rules for the sake of corporate profits and favors to your former employer chairman!
1026. Kelly Loos, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It would be devastating to the American people and the tech companies to remove net neutrality. The only ones benefiting from removing net neutrality are the ISPs.
1027. Carsten Avenhaus, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I support net neutrality. Net neutrality is important for both consumers and innovation. Keep the greed away from this!
1028. Noah Shen, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I support the preservation of strong net neutrality in Title II.
1029. Daniel White, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Simply put net neutrality is key for fair business in America. Without it we are stomping on innovation. Taking away net neutrality would go against everything that America is built on.
1030. Gary Brainin, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I am commenting in favor of retaining Title II classification. Reclassification is a transparent attempt to create opportunity for service providers to "play favorites," either in pricing or simply because they feel it is in their own economic interest. The idea that allowing such favoritism allows for greater innovation is nonsensical.
1031. Cameron, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Net neutrality must be maintained to prevent big internet provider companies from out competing smaller companies, limiting access to websites that they deem to be dangerous to their profit margins and generally giving them too much power. Internet users need to be protected from this kind of blatant power grabbing. Please protect title II.
1032. Riley Kydd, Newark, CA, 94560  
I strongly believe that it would be best for the American people if we continued to constrain ISPs using Title 2, and I also believe that you should recuse yourself from this decision because of your potential for a conflict of interest considering that you used to work for an ISP.
1033. Sherman Cater, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Date throttling did happen and was a problem before the internet went under Title 2. Don't repeat the mistakes of the past. Leave the internet under Title 2.
1034. mark montes, Newark, CA, 94560  
I am writing to urge you to keep net neutrality regulations as is under title II. The American people deserve this
1035. Jesse Wang, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
FCC Chairman Ajit Pai,I urge you to continue to keep ISPs under Title II oversight. The United States must keep a strong net-neutrality position and allow open access to the internet.
1036. Daniel Castellano, cupertino, CA, 95014  
Preserve net neutrality. This is necessary to preserve capitalism and a level playing field.
1037. Sandra Grabhorn, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Strongly in favor of net neutrality! Regulations are supposed to protect the individual from corporations seeking to maximize profits without regard to social/environmental cost.
1038. Joshua Fan, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
If businesses cannot get equal quality access to the internet without paying large fees for priority access, then startups will suffer greatly and digital entrepreneurship will be greatly lessened.
1039. Elian Drachen, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I do not agree with the decision to destroy the net neutrality and the title 2 restrictions that are out in place. It would cause an unfair and unjust internet which would restrict the freedoms of its users
1040. Dennis Kempin, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I am very concerned of the combination of lack of ISP competition in combination with lack of net neutrality. De-regulating ISPs could be fine as long as we consumers have an option to switch ISPs when we disagree with their net neutrality treatment. Unfortunately in most places of the country there are barely two options for home ISPs, giving the ISPs freedom to do as they please without consumers having the possibility to 'vote with their money'.
1041. Srinivas Muthu, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085

Mr. Pai,I'd like to express my full support of the current Title 2 laws. I'd like to express my concern that this current administration is trying to take away net neutrality laws.Sincerely,Srinivas Muthu

1042. Lucian Fong, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
It is in the interest of everyone in the United States that the FCC preserve current net neutrality rules under Title II of the Communications Act. Rolling such protections back would harm consumers.
1043. 17-108, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Mr Pai, please preserve net-neutrality!
1044. Wenyan He, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I strongly support principles of net neutrality backed by Title II Oversight of ISP's because one can never expect ISP's to truly self-police. I want to tell FCC, don't mess with something that actually works for the general public.
1045. Clayton Keleher, Newark, CA, 94560  
I believe that internet access should remain classified as a Title II utility. This will ensure that internet access providers remain competitive and do not create artificial barriers to competitors.
1046. Stephen Biedrzycki, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I am a strong believe in net neutrality and would like to ensure that the protections offered under title 2 remain in place. Please keep the internet free and open.
1047. Christian Fremerey, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I strongly support that Internet access should be considered a utility, and therefore keep being classified as Title 2.
1048. Tim Hockin, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I strongly support the management of internet service providers under title 2. Anything less than 100% equal access to network resources is not acceptable.
1049. John Benoit, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Rolling back net neutrality is wrong - consumers pay for the service, we should be allowed to read, listen to or view whatever content we choose, when we choose, without the ISP deciding to throttle what speed any of it gets within our overall bandwidth. Major ISPs have too many connections with media companies already - there are only 2 companies with broadband access in my neighborhood - Comcast and AT&T, and both have shown that they are willing to ignore existing rules and regulations (AT&T / DirecTV bypassing caps on mobile data, DirecTV "paying" for higher bandwidth, which as a subsidiary to AT&T, amounts to \$0 fee, as all of it's profits devolve to AT&T; Comcast zero-rating it's Stream TV service, even though it had specifically agreed to not zero-rate any of it's internal media services when it acquired NBC). In summary - the major ISPs have already proven their willing to violate laws in order to maximize their profits - how would voluntary agreements do any better?
1050. Rubin Chen, San Jose, CA, 95131  
preserve net neutrality and Title 2 please. If the companies are ok with keeping it neutral there should be no reason it would hinder their investment. If it does hinder their investment that means they don;t want to keep it neutral does it?
1051. Alex Zadig, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs, and am against making this voluntary for them to comply
1052. Chris Meill, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I strongly support Net Neutrality regulations and keeping ISPs classified under Title II.
1053. Siddhesh Wavhal, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISPs
1054. Russell Harlin, Newark, CA, 94560  
I strongly support Net Neutrality. If you remove it, I will be very disappointed. Do what's best for the people, not the corporations. Your job is to regulate the corporations, not give them everything they want.
1055. Danny Ornellas, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I support an open internet that does not block, throttle, or otherwise inhibit anyone's use of the internet based upon content. I implore you to preserve the title 2 oversight of the current net neutrality rules.
1056. ryan, santa clara, CA, 95054  
I specifically support,Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's
1057. Jo Bunnell, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
PLEASE keep the strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. These rules are absolutely essential to everyone's freedom and MUST be in place to prevent large communication companies from controlling our access to information.
1058. Loretta Gilmartin, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I am writing to express my belief in strong Net Neutrality. Please maintain and support strong net neutrality for all ISPs, Title II.
1059. James McKinney, SUNNYVALE, CA, 94086  
I support net neutrality. Please don't ease the law for the ISP's. There is no good reason to do this. I don't want my ISP to slow down connections to sites that don't pay extra money to them to be in the fast lane of delivery speeds.

1060. Sravanthi Gangula, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Every human right to use internet as they please.
1061. Ali Ashraf, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Please do not change the Obama era rules that govern the internet under Title II. Stop letting internet service providers bribe the FCC into getting what they want
1062. Michelle Danzer, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Do not roll back New Neutrality. Leave it in Title II
1063. Craig Larson, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I am in favor of strong net neutrality rules. This is for the good of all Americans
1064. Robert Mardell, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Please protect Net Neutrality. Do not change it.
1065. Net Neutrality, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. I am not well versed with state or federal policies, but I know enough to hope that my personal rights in this modern age are protected by you, the officials who every American wishes would protect also them. I hope you will act based on the best interests of all Americans, and I firmly believe that every person should have net neutrality as a right.
1066. Vidit Aggarwal, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Please do not revoke title 2. Make sure we are pro net neutrality.
1067. Diane Ridley, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please retain internet neutrality and title 2!!!!
1068. rohan bhatnagar, milpitas, CA, 95035  
we need to maintain title II net neutrality protection to prevent ISP's from miss-using the lack of deregulation that you want to do....
1069. Charles, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I strongly believe in net neutrality, and that we need to prevent ISPs from being able to throttle certain websites. Internet is a utility, not a luxury, and it is the responsibility of the government to protect net neutrality in the interest of the common good and fair competition.
1070. Kerrie DiMarino, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Keep current strong net neutrality regulation in place, backed by Title II.
1071. Megan Freundlich, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I strongly support net neutrality and believe that it should remain under Title II, in order to protect against larger companies taking advantage and slowing internet speed to their competitors. We should preserve net neutrality and allow consumers/users to make their own choices.
1072. John McDole, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I strongly support the classification of broadband providers as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 and Section 706 of the Telecommunications act of 1996. There is insurmountable evidence that communication providers do not operate in the best interests of the public while using public right of way. All bits are created equal.
1073. Nathan Gaylinn, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
The internet should be treated as a public utility and a basic human right. We should not trust corporations to police themselves and act in the interest of the people. We should regulate them to enforce strictly equal access to content and to protect the privacy of their customers.
1074. Molly Diamond, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Keep Net neutrality provisions and leave ISP providers under Title II.
1075. Ed Graczyk, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Net Neutrality must be preserved. We've already seen examples of ISP's favoring their own services or discriminating against competing services. Title II for ISPs is a must.
1076. chris pipe, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Now is not the time to relax oversight for ISPs. Leave the established standards intact.
1077. Mike Kaye, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
In my area I have choice of ISPs: Comcast and AT&T. They should compete on rates and service, not on access to specific types of information or entertainment. Corporate lip service to neutrality is not enough. Federal rules should insist on neutrality. It's a pity that nothing other than market forces can insist on reasonable rates and service.
1078. Pranav Sahay, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Please preserve net neutrality by keeping the Internet Service Providers (ISPs) under TITLE 2.
1079. Karen Lassman, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I believe net neutrality and title II Must Stay!

1080. Kathleen Foster, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Net neutrality is important to maintain and should not be eliminated.
1081. Max Paolozzi, San Jose, CA, 95131  
I support strong net neutrality backed by classifying ISPs as common carriers under title 2 of the Communications Act of 1934.
1082. JUIPING LIAO, 95129, CA, 95129  
I support strong Net Neutrality by Title II oversight of ISP
1083. Edward Chan, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
This is NOT restoring freedom. We need a common ground rules for internet companies to compete fairly. FCC should promote and expand affordable truly broadband for all.
1084. Rachael Ortega, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support strong net neutrality, specifically with Title II. Please preserve net neutrality.
1085. Matthew Niedoba, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I strongly disagree with the FCC's moves to remove title II status from internet service providers. Net neutrality should be strongly regulated and I am appalled by the current administrations attempts to remove it. Please keep ISPs classified as title II
1086. Melissa del Rosario, santa clara, CA, 95050  
I am filing this comment to let you know that I support net neutrality and to keep the ISPs classified in Title II regulation. These past few years has seen continuous growth in architecture and infrastructure of the internet that I do not see having ISPs in Title II regulation as impedance to growth and development. Keeping it in Title II helps us regulate what these ISP companies do with respect to what we, as consumers, consume.
1087. Maruthi Kusoji, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Strongly support and retain net neutrality and Title 2
1088. Jonathan Leder, Newark, CA, 94560  
I favor an open and fair internet so that all new companies, startups and competition can be allowed to compete evenly.
1089. Mmmm, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I support title 2 regulation of ISPs and continued vigorous enforcement of net neutrality
1090. jay Schuck, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Chairman Ajit Varadaraj Pai, I request that the FCC keeps current net neutrality regulation in place, backed by Title II. Thank you.
1091. Clarence Chang, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Please preserve net neutrality and uphold title 2! The users of the internet have a right to unobstructed freedom of speech and access of information, independent of corporate interests.
1092. Vincent Francesi, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Dear FCC, Net neutrality is important to the economy and to small businesses throughout the United States. Much of the growth of the economy is currently based on technology companies who were once startups without the money to go up against their biggest competitors but had a superior product. Net neutrality preserves the ability for companies to compete and prevents monopolies. Please keep the playing ground level for small and large businesses alike and keep net neutrality. Vincent Francesi
1093. Jackie Tsay, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support the current net neutrality Title II regulations! Leave our internet alone!
1094. Jessica Livoti, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I Support net neutrality and open and fair internet under title 2
1095. Derek, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Keep net neutrality and Title II. It is too important. This is an area we must protect for everyone.
1096. Ricky Wong, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Please keep the internet open, the way it should be
1097. Sanjay Subbarao, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I vote for net neutrality. We need it to stay the way it has been since the Obama administration.
1098. Jefe, san jose, CA, 95051  
why you tryin to mess with my internet dude?
1099. Berni Phillips, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Our lives nowadays are dependent on internet access. Access and speed should be fair and open to all. Do not let the biggest budgets get the fastest speed.
1100. Thomas Vallaitis, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I rely on the internet in at work and in my everyday life, for various tasks ranging from information retrieval to entertainment. Unbiased access to all available information is essential to its usefulness. We are already seeing the effects on public discourse and political

opinion that concentration of publishing power in just a few big companies has - companies who would have most to gain from weaker net neutrality rules in the first place. In the end, regulation is not about small companies, but about limiting what big companies can do. In my opinion, in an ideal world, internet service providers would be treated like utilities. I strongly urge you to keep ISPs regulated under Title II.

1101. Kaylie DeHart, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support strong regulation to keep access to the internet open to all, indiscriminately. ISPs absolutely will take advantage of loose regulations to help their own financial interests and hurt competing services, and consumers and smaller companies will suffer.
1102. Michael Hershkowitz, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Do not change net neutrality laws. Keep them governed under Title II. Any change would be dangerous to the creativity and openness that makes Man's greatest invention thrive.
1103. Salwa Betbawy, Fremont, CA, 94089  
Net neutrality is important and so is Title 2. Please continue to support both and don't make our internet rely on the "honesty" of Comcast.
1104. Alexander Horst, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
We've enjoyed immense internet freedom for the past 20+ years precisely because net neutrality leveled the playing field for small businesses to participate in the growing internet economy. Overturning net neutrality would be a grave mistake that demonstrates the gross power of the telecommunications industry over government relation and would crush the already-waning number of American small businesses. And I wrote all of this by hand, unlike whoever's spamming comments about Obama smothering innovation, or something ridiculous like that
1105. Shirley J Norris, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Keep Net Neutrality Title II in place. I want my ISP to be required under law to conform to Title II.
1106. Matthew Phillips, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
My family believes that the strong protections afforded under title II of the Communications Act of 1934 are needed to maintain a fair online marketplace and protect innovative new companies that make America great.
1107. Alan Perry, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I'd like the FCC to make it a priority to uphold Title Two and protect net neutrality. As a social studies teacher who emphasizes weighing multiple points of view in assessing any current or historical event, I can't think of one reason why protecting an open internet wouldn't be a top priority for the FCC.
1108. Sander Pick, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please do not change the title classification for ISPs. A level playing field is critically important to a democratized internet. Blindly trusting corporations to "play fair" has never been a sound strategy. Corporations check by policy serve a healthy role in our society, but ensuring public good is not one of their functions. Thank you for the time and allowing comments on this issue.
1109. Haswanth Palaparthi, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of Internet service provider. I'm sorry if I don't want the man/women with more money controlling what's on my screen. and I request not to publish my name and address on web since it's a violation to my privacy and that this page be more available to people to express their opinions. A British man doesn't have to create a url called "gofccyourself" for the United States to be more democratic.
1110. Cathy Handzel, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
You need to \*\*preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2\*\*. ISPs should not be given the power to selectively restrict access speed, giving faster speed or slower speed based on whoever pays them the most in order to force users to a desired behavior. Keep Net Neutrality and keep it with Title 2.
1111. Cody Boudreaux, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support strong Net Neutrality laws backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. We already have a relatively stagnant market of ISPs having geographical monopolies, the competitive imbalance of their market doesn't need to be tipped even further in their favor. The idea that deregulation won't lead them to following their most cash-incentivized behaviors as we've seen with Comcast throttling Netflix for a cash payout. The internet is now a mainstreet of business, research, education and social transaction, allowing companies to toss out roadblocks at their leisure is simply a farcical representation of a free and fair market economy, encouraging stagnation and stifling innovation.
1112. david milne, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I strongly support Net neutrality please do not undo this vital protection to our liberty
1113. Juliane Tran, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Retain net neutrality protections under Title II of the 1934 Communications Act as pertaining to ISPs.
1114. Ann Harren, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I strongly support net neutrality and would like to see it protected under title II rules. Please do not proceed with "17-108 - Restoring Internet Freedom".
1115. Douglas Werner, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Please preserve net neutrality. Maintain all broadband-as-a-utility regulations.

1116. swamy jay, San jose, CA, 95134  
I strongly support net neutrality laws. Do not dilute them
1117. Geraldo Salazar, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Hello, I believe that the United States should have strong net neutrality backed specifically backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
1118. David Chambers, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. The necessary regulation imposed on the internet is helping to support innovation, and is neither damaging the American economy nor obstructing job creation. I urge the Federal Communications Commission to continue to support regulation of the internet under Title II. The plan currently under consideration at the FCC to repeal Obama's Title II is nothing more than a cheap power grab by the ISPs and is a step backwards that will help destroy a truly free and open internet for everyone.
1119. Victor, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I strongly oppose any legislation that will get rid of net neutrality.
1120. Justin Williams, Newark, CA, 94560  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Keep a level competitive playing field.
1121. Ernest Trias, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I support Net Neutrality. Do not reclassify ISPs back to Title I classification to weaken Net Neutrality
1122. Amy Giver, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Let's keep net neutrality. I mean honestly. We've already been through this.
1123. Tim Vachon, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I feel it is important for competition the ISP's are required to provide equal access to all content providers.
1124. Maximilian Hemmrich, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Dear Chairman Pai, Having worked at multiple software companies over the course of my career, including at Fortune 500 companies, I feel it is important to let you know that an open and free internet is the cornerstone of all of the innovation taking place in Silicon Valley and America as a whole. I currently work as a backend software engineer at one of the most well-known software companies in the world and I can guarantee you that the founders would not have been able to create this billion dollar company if competitors could have paid ISPs to prioritize their traffic. I am all for removing pointless and obstructive regulations but this is NOT one of these. Thank you for your time. Best regards, Max
1125. Zac Lovoy, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, I support the existing Net Neutrality rules, which classify internet service providers under the Title II provision of the Telecommunications Act. I personally believe that we need to keep these rules in place, as I believe that having an open internet allows more financial growth and opportunities for small businesses and individuals. As someone who is a software developer and has dreams of creating something great in the future (it's a work in progress), I don't want to finally get my idea developed into a viable product and then service providers just decide to block access to it and kill it before it even starts. I can't even fight Comcast on my cable bill (college football addiction is expensive), much less fight them for access if they were to slow down or block my product. Therefore, please allow the internet to remain a free and open environment where new ideas can thrive, or else we'll just see all the wonderful new ideas and creativity of the internet dry up. Zac Lovoy
1126. Jay Paroline, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Net Neutrality is required to maintain a healthy and robust Internet economy. As the defacto way that it has worked until now, it's clearly a sustainable approach as well.
1127. Rafael Brenner, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Keep Net neutrality under Title 2 !
1128. Jameela Huq, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. We need the US acknowledge its necessity in writing and in law.
1129. Rick Conrey, san jose, CA, 95133  
support the current net neutrality regulations including title 2 classification
1130. net neutrality, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I am supporting net neutrality under title II
1131. Cameron Johnson, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Preserve Net Neutrality and keep ISPs under Title II. Don't allow ISPs to discriminate based on content and who owns it.
1132. Vincent Garcia, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I support preserving net neutrality and title II for the internet and internet providers.
1133. Rebecca Dafydd, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I support net neutrality. ISPs shouldn't slow down services, block legal content, or let companies pay for their data to get to customers faster than a competitor's.
1134. Lance Saleme, Santa Clara, CA, 95051

It is critical to our evolving technological future to prevent isp commercial whims from diverting and manipulating access. The lack of effective competition in most areas robs us of consequences from abuse. Keep our protections in place.

1135. Rony Goldenthal, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Support Net Neutrality under title II, that's the right thing to do!
1136. Josh Carey, San Jose, CA, 95129  
We need stronger net neutrality rules.
1137. Jacob George, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I specifically support strong Internet Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers. I passionately urge the FCC to preserve these regulations and how they are enforced under Title II.
1138. Dawn Chamberlain, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I strongly support net neutrality and regulating ISPs under title II of the communications act of 1934. Please do not roll back the rules defined under Pres Obama.
1139. Huy Pham, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I support strong Net Neutrality under Title II. Ajit Pai needs to support this!!!
1140. Rohit Kharat, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Please preserve the free internet, net neutrality and Title 2
1141. Vanessa McQuay, San Jose, CA, 95129  
It is imperative that the internet fall under Title 2 for regulations and NOT Title 1. Companies must not be allowed to throttle the competition, or anything, for that matter. Everything on the web needs to have an equal footing and dissemination capability. Thank you for your time and consideration.
1142. Peter Herrmann, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I support strong net neutrality under Title 2. New head of FCC is a slick talking snake. He is trying to destroy the future of the internet to allow ISPs to be partial gatekeepers and hold users hostage to payments. The industry will enjoy short term profits from his proposal. The country will suffer in the long term.
1143. Norman Lee, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I support Title II regulation of ISPs. Please do not ease the regulation! Protect net neutrality!
1144. Thiam Khean Hah, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I strongly and unequivocally support continued, strong and vigorous regulation of all ISPs under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. The preservation of Net Neutrality as it is currently understood is of paramount importance to the common good of the peoples of the United States. Ajit Pai's contention that there is no wide spread abuse by ISPs is disingenuous, because it is akin to saying we should legalize crime if the crime rate is sufficiently low. Verizon's attempt to sue the FCC in 2014 is indicative that had such rules not existed Verizon would've acted in ways that contravene current regulations.
1145. chet Gandhi, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Net neutrality needs to be in title 2
1146. jaclyn gelfond, san jose, CA, 95134  
Protect net neutrality under the current title 2 regulations.
1147. Sarah Hall, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
We would like the FCC to keep the current net neutrality rules backed by Title II. We work in Silicon Valley and can see how equal access to content is very beneficial for small startups and large companies alike. Allowing ISPs to self regulate will be detrimental to small businesses and individuals who don't have the resources to evaluate potential foul-play or options to switch to alternative ISPs.
1148. Raymond, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
The beauty of the internet is we all have an equal say in reaching the world. The difference between us is ability not availability. Keep the net the way it is.
1149. G L Schaeffer, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2. ISPs should not be able to favor one type of content over another. We need a fair and open internet.
1150. john Guthery, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
It is of utmost importance for the FCC to maintain strong net neutrality and Title II. Thanks!
1151. NAoko Komura, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Accessing to Internet is a human right, thus granting influence to specific entities will create an environment for inequality.
1152. Victor Tzonev, San Jose, CA, 95129  
Keep Net Neutrality supported by Title II
1153. Nancy George, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I firmly support strong Internet Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.

1154. Luba Tzonev, San Jose, CA, 95129  
Preserve Net Neutrality supported under Title II
1155. Wayne Miller, Newark, CA, 94560  
Please preserve net neutrality. Therefore keep Title II as is.
1156. Chloe Pedersen, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Free and open internet! Preserve net neutrality! Keep ISPs classified as Title II!
1157. Alex Mendez, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I urge that the FCC keeps strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
1158. Michelle, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Keep Net neutrality and keep the ISPs classified under title 2. FREEDOM!!!!
1159. Vanessa Azavedo, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I support strong net neutrality rules under title 2. We should not be rolling back the restrictions protecting the rights of people to get free and fair information.
1160. Francis General, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
We need to keep our internet neutral and protected by our government. Keep our broadband classified as title 2!
1161. em kufli, sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Killing Net Neutrality is like killing freedom of speech. Where will democracy go in the oldest democracy?
1162. Michael Lamberty, San Jose, CA, 95131  
The FCC should maintain the current net neutrality rules. In particular, the FCC should continue to classify ISPs under Title II (common carrier) of the Communications Act of 1934. Net neutrality serves the public interest, imposes minimal burdens on business, and poses no plausible risk to freedom of expression. Rather, it is the proposed weakening of net neutrality that threatens freedom of expression, by permitting corporations undue control over the free transmission of information. Given that threat, any voluntary agreement would be grossly inadequate. For-profit businesses exist to make money, period, and blindly trusting ISPs to voluntarily follow net neutrality rules makes no more sense than relying on businesses to voluntarily respect employee rights or to voluntarily follow pollution rules. That's just not how reality works. Instead, it is the duty of the FCC to provide adequate oversight by maintaining and enforcing strong net neutrality rules.
1163. Harry, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Stand for net neutrality.
1164. Amanda Westwood, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Mr. Pai - I support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
1165. Varinder Singh, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
This is a very important part of our generation and we must continue regulating ISPs in order to preserve it.
1166. Shin John Choi, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. As a consumer, we should have choice and a level playing field amongst service providers. Let's not roll back the clock on all the progress we've made! Thank you.
1167. ben nie, fremont, CA, 94538  
FCC:Please preserve Net Neutrality and retain Title II. Thanks.
1168. Yu-Chi Wang, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I support the preservation of net neutrality and title 2 of the communication act of 1934
1169. Mara Kaehn, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Protect Title II, for Net Neutrality. A free internet (un-metered and unregulated) is critical to supporting our citizens (who have to use the internet for most activities of daily life, nowadays) and the economy. If we start metering, the costs will spiral out of control when internet and app companies are on the hook for billions of dollars for use fees, the stock market will drop (which will cause a ripple effect outward to other countries), users will be forced to pay even more for products and services, and everyone will be hurt except for the corporations, like Verizon, that are already making profits.
1170. Ashish Jadhvani, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Please let the current net neutrality laws stay as is, as this lets every content creation website on the Internet have a level ground
1171. Glenn Trainer, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Keep title 2 ISP regulations in place. I support net neutrality! ISP cannot be left to "voluntary agreements" to protect net neutrality.
1172. Evan Mueller, San Jose, CA, 95051  
Please protect net neutrality. Its important for so many reasons, including supporting small business. Please support the Title II classification.
1173. Bardia, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
It is absolutely abysmal that you would trust ISPs to, by goodness of their heart, not slow down competitive website's internet speeds

and not sell out to the highest bidder. Title II protections are the only way to preserve net neutrality and guarantee a free and open internet for all without conflicts of interests. Please reconsider your actions.

1174. 0, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Net neutrality is very important and all the ISPs must continue to be regulated under Title II of Communications Act of 1934.
1175. Nicole Man, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I strongly support strong net neutrality that's my Title II oversight over ISB
1176. Kenneth Hadley, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 should continued to be enforced for Internet Service Providers. Internet Service Providers themselves, in legally required submissions to shareholders, state that Title II does not impact their business. It is a proven fact Internet Service Providers had already violated Title I. This was why Title II was put into effect.
1177. Abner Vadil, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
1178. Ashvin Kannan, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I support stronger enforcement on net neutrality including keeping ISPs in Title II.
1179. Isha Dusseau, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
A free and open internet is crucial to our society to allow innovation to spring forth. Title II classification is necessary to support that.
1180. Peter Gaudette, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Ajit Pai, Donald Chump, GFY, Leave the internet as is.
1181. Paul Buzbee, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Net neutrality as it stands is an extremely important piece of maintaining the integrity of an open Internet. Removing net neutrality protections will ultimately chunk up the Internet into walled gardens, with ISPs as gatekeepers. This will stifle American innovation and help bring about the end of this great technology and platform we developed. Case in point: cable TV.
1182. Chris Parshall, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
We have come so far because of net neutrality, please protect paying consumers. Look at cable TV packages as an example of what the internet will be like if not neutral.
1183. David Warshawsky, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Ajit Pai I support strong net neutrality laws backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's.
1184. Matthew Cunningham, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
The FCC has a responsibility to protect the open internet. The idea that the "Obama-era" protections are not necessary is obviously nonsense. Please stop lying and intentionally misrepresenting the facts to the American people. Do not allow corporations to choose their service quality based on the content or source. It is very clear that your planned changes do nothing for the people you are supposed to protect, and everything for the corporations who sponsor you. Do the right thing.
1185. Davinder Mahal, San Jose, CA, 95131  
The net neutrality laws should be left alone as they are. The internet is a utility. Billions of people rely on this every day just like we rely on power and water. ISPs should be treated as a utility as they currently do under the current law. Please do not change the law. Thank you.
1186. Christina Fries, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I strongly support net neutrality. Without strong regulations, companies will NOT adhere to net neutrality, there are many evidence of this. A few years ago, Verizon stopped customers from installing Google Wallet in favor of their own app ISIS. Customers should have the option to choose what apps to use, and this should be based on what they believe is the best app, not what their carrier has a stake in. Please do the right thing for customers- we need to be able to make our own decisions about the best technology for innovation to flourish
1187. David Sheffer, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Net neutrality is important and not a partisan issue, stop trying to make it one.
1188. Matt Bergren, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please keep the ISPs in Title 2. If the ISPs are for Net Neutrality then they should not have a concern about being under Title 2. If they have concerns then they are not being forthcoming with how they want to regulate the net.
1189. Anders Berliner, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
NO! Do not change the net neutrality rules! This was a stunning accomplishment for the rights of ordinary citizens when passed. The proposed changes would eliminate that progress. Keep the Title II protections alive.
1190. james, santa clara, CA, 95051  
DO NOT SCREW AROUND WITH NET NEUTRALITY ... PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE III!
1191. Brook Nichols, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Internet service is very clearly definable as a public utility in our modern lives. The FCC must continue to pursue the title 2 classification of ISPs or they clearly need to be broken up for being monopolies. In lieu of the latter, I fully support the title 2 regulation.

1192. Alexandr Tolchinsky, fremont, CA, 94539  
The American people highly urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
1193. Fayrooz Neil, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I support the free and open internet that is provided by the strong regulations under the Title II act. Don't shut down Net Neutrality!
1194. Skye Hilton, sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Net neutrality can not be trusted to ISPs. Please preserve article II and maintain an open internet.
1195. Janine Flagg, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I believe that the ISPs should remain under Title II regulations. Unfortunately, when we remove regulations businesses WILL take advantage of every possible loophole. We have seen this. The ISPs were put under Title II for a reason. This has not changed. Please do NOT rollback these regulations. Thanks, Janine
1196. Elizabeth, Fremont, CA, 94536  
Keep Net Neutrality and Title II. Do not cave to business who will destroy our access to the internet! Ajit Pai is leading us down a dangerous road. Even his former employer Verizon said Title II would have no impact on their business. So keep it!
1197. Mitchell Diamond, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Ajit Pai is just another of the plutocratic swamp scumbag who care not a whit about protecting freedom of expression and equal access. He only cares about making corporate executives rich including himself when he goes thru the revolving door back to corporate-land after his tenure in govt.
1198. David Simons, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I strongly disagree with changing the net neutrality rules that internet providers have at this time. It seems to me that the only people on the commission who have voiced support to remove net neutrality rules have only one reason, for the promise of quid-pro-quo jobs after they leave government. Changes are an attack on small businesses as well as new businesses. AND, present internet providers such as Verizon, have said as much in financial statements to investors and analysts that the current net neutrality rules will not impact their investment in infrastructure. The voices that say otherwise in the industry are being disingenuous, and that is being generous. #1) vote any changes to net neutrality down, #2) support changing the chair of the FCC, who is auditioning for a VP position at Verizon.
1199. Vivian Duong, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Dear Ajit Pai--I support strong net neutrality, Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you.
1200. David, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I stand for maintaining net neutrality by classifying internet service providers under Title II.
1201. Charles Kring, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I'm writing in support of maintaining the current net neutrality rules and maintaining the practice of regulating ISPs as common carriers. Access to a free and open Internet is essential to growing our economy going forward. Eliminating net neutrality and ISP common carrier regulation will improve profits of ISPs but will have a much stronger impact on economic growth as it will limit innovation on internet services. Do you really want the next Netflix or Facebook to come out of the EU?
1202. joseph uehling, Newark, CA, 94560  
Keep net neutrality and Title 2 framework
1203. Deez Nuts, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I support net neutrality. ISP's must continue to be regulated under Title 2 to ensure freedom of speech is protected and that our first amendment rights are not infringed upon.
1204. Tyler Ashton Yue, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
conserve net neutrality and title 2 isp regulation
1205. colin, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Save title II. Preserve net neutrality
1206. Ingrid Su Chang, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I strongly support Net Neutrality under Title II and am strongly oppose any changes made to Title II's oversight of ISPs.
1207. Emily Chang, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and do not support Chairman Ajit Pai's recommended changes. Keep corporations from having the power to stifle free speech online.
1208. Aran Lenart, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2. I believe it is the best way to hold internet service providers responsible for keeping the internet open and equal for all
1209. rakshith nandisha, fremont, CA, 94538  
dont remove title 2 and save net neutrality
1210. Samarth C S, SAN JOSE, CA, 95131  
I support Net Neutrality and Title 2. Please uphold the level playing field in the democratic world of internet for the sake of present and future generations.

1211. Nathaniel Flick, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support strong net neutrality protections backed by Title II of the Communications Act.
1212. Brenden McCloskey, Newark, CA, 94560  
Imagine getting in your car and driving to work. As you pull out of your neighborhood (roads paid for by HOA fees), you stop at the toll booth. You're driving a Toyota/Honda/Nissan, not a Ford/GM/Chrysler. The toll is \$20 instead of \$5. You pay and drive through. There are potholes and trash everywhere and you can only go 45. Support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of internet service providers. Keep the internet open. Don't let ISP's restrict content.
1213. Nikhil Prakash, San Jose, CA, 95131  
We support an open internet with strong Title II enforcement
1214. Daniel Lavelle, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Protect Net Neutrality. ISPs should be considered a simple utility!
1215. Rishi Gomatam, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I'm am highly against getting rid of net neutrality. Please keep it the way it is so ISP's can't dictate what we want to see/use on the internet
1216. Ethan Head, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Hi - I'm proponent of net neutrality, but not for the conventional reasons. I actually agree with Ajit Pai on his analysis of the current ISP situation - the free market will solve consumer abuse situations, and net neutrality is an unnecessary consumer protection system if the free market functions properly. However, this is only true if the hand of the free market is able to act and consumers have choice. It is absolutely NOT true that consumers have choice in most areas (I am limited to only using Comcast for my internet, in the middle of silicon valley!). If consumers had choices, then we would be able to switch away from services that limit our ability to communicate over the internet. However, currently, the FTC has done nothing to ensure that consumers have choices in the ISP space, and for that reason, I am a proponent of net neutrality. If net neutrality is repealed, I believe that this will cause a great slicing up of the internet's content into the service providers, and the "wild west" days of the internet will be over. This "wild west" allows massive amounts of information to proliferate in a free way and is beneficial to the economic development of my beloved country. I believe that any efforts to remove these consumer protections (which wouldn't be necessary in the first place if the FTC would do its job) will have massive negative affects on our economy.
1217. Ali, SAN Jose, CA, 95134  
I support strong net neutrality rules under Title II oversight.
1218. Woodland Hunter, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Net neutrality laws are ideal as currently stated under title 2. The internet must stay neutral, and the FCC should continue to enforce this under title 2.
1219. Marc Cwik, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Enforce net neutrality by forcing the ISPs to allow all data to be streamed without restriction with the enforcement of Title II from the Communications Act
1220. O Z, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I specifically support net neutrality. Title II oversight of ISPs
1221. Barbara Clayton, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please do not roll back net neutrality protections. Keep ISPs classified as Title 2. This is critical to our democracy and freedom of speech. If ISPs are allowed to selectively slow down the internet bandwidth based on their own preference we lose a critical way to communicate and to get information we need as citizens.
1222. Esfandiar Badnari, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please keep net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Look, while other countries such as Korea, China and European countries are making Internet more affordable and more available, we should not give the ISPs more power, and monopoly which will ultimately make it harder for equal and easy access to information. Oh and by the way, I am swiitching out of Verizon :-)
1223. Cheri Kau, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Net neutrality is the center for a free and equal internet our right to be free must and should be untouched for the sake of the many benefits net neutrality provides
1224. Leigh Anne Warner, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support strong net neutrality rules and open internet.
1225. Guray Alsac, Fremont, CA, 94538  
We need stronger Title II protections to keep the internet thriving. Large incumbents can always pay ISPs for passage, but small businesses and new companies will be stifled if the internet is not open.
1226. Nikolas Vitt, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please protect net neutrality. This is incredibly important!
1227. Anne Tofte, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Net neutrality is important. I support strong Title 2 protection.

1228. Meredith Rohr, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I support net neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
1229. Fadi Saibi, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Do what the American people is asking for and what is best for the present and future economy of the USA. Preserve strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
1230. kushagra, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Please implement stronger rules for net neutrality back by oversight of ISPs by title II.
1231. Prabandham M. Gopal, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Do not take away Title 2 and do not kill Net Neutrality. Please preserve Net Neutrality.
1232. Ryan Vaughan, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Title 2 should be preserved. Net neutrality should not be left up to business. It should be preserved by law.
1233. Wendell and Staci Yee, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
We are strongly in favor of net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not change the current rules set in place 3 years ago.
1234. cristina clavijo, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I support net neutrality Title 2 oversight of ISPs
1235. Neeraj Agicha, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I support Net Neutrality and it is absolutely essential for it to exist.
1236. diem, milpitas, CA, 95035  
Please protect strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
1237. hy huynh, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I strongly support strong net neutrality enforced under title 2.
1238. Luke, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I want a strong Net Neutrality. I feel it necessary for the future of our development.
1239. Roland Kleinman, Newark, CA, 94560  
To: Ajit Pai I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
1240. priyanka kumar, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I support net neutrality. Please undo the changes.
1241. Derek Witkowitzki, Newark, CA, 94560  
I support strong enforcement of net neutrality under title 2 oversight of ISPs. This is a pretty corrupt move to try and reclassify it - you are supposed to serve the people and not the corporations...
1242. Jean Marie Galang, Fremont, CA, 94536  
I specifically support net neutrality. Back by title II oversight of ISPs.
1243. Anshul Goel, San Jose, CA, 95134  
We support net neutrality. Do not let the net neutrality be jeopardized.
1244. Jim Dusseau, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Net neutrality is key to an open internet. Do not allow communication companies to stifle innovation by picking winners and losers. I support an open internet and I support net neutrality.
1245. Manju Abraham, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Preserve Net neutrality and retain Title 2. We believe it is the fair rule to keep the internet devoid of manipulation by the ISPs.
1246. Peter Liddicoat, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
We need strong Title II Net Neutrality. To consider anything less is an embarrassment.
1247. William Rainey, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I oppose this orwellian named docket (really - Restoring Internet Freedom - doublethink!). I believe that is in the best interest of our country and future innovation that Internet Service Providers such as Comcast and Verizon continued to be classified under Title II. All traffic should be treated the same.
1248. Justin, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I support net neutrality as regulated under Title 2.
1249. Mark Curtis, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I support the critical principle of net neutrality and strongly consider that ISPs should continue to be governed and bound by Title 2.
1250. Barbara Dale, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087

We need to keep Title II net neutrality rules.

1251. Tim McGovern, Fremont, CA, 94538  
The fact that it is even a possibility to destroy net neutrality shows what a disgrace this joke of an organization is.
1252. Alan Cheng, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Please keep ISPs within the governing effects of Title II! Without Title II, the internet will no longer be such a strong medium to share ideas and keep communication between many as an open door.
1253. Tom Lear, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
It takes some gall to call this "Restoring Internet Freedom"... Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Don't destroy internet freedom.
1254. David Salotti, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I completely support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs.
1255. Joe Pate, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Please keep Net Neutrality under the Title II classification
1256. Rachel, Santa Clara, CA, 95053  
Net neutrality is vital to the survival not only of a free and open interface, but to the survival of the basic principles of our democracy such as freedom of speech. Any attempt to reverse Title II and net neutrality can only be described as an badly hidden attempt to boost the bottomline of companies who, frankly, do not need any help from you. Have a lovely day.
1257. Michael Haney, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I support net neutrality and continuing to classify ISPs under Title 2.
1258. Andy roberts, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
It is of the utmost importance that we preserve net neutrality. The internet is the next evolutionary step in our lifecycle as a species. Open and unfiltered access to data, content, and world news will aid in expanding on what our predecessors have passed on to us and will catapult us into the future. We have the ability to influence and shape the future of the world as a whole. I trust you will see the weight of this in full and you will keep open access to knowledge as a high priority. Thank you for reading.
1259. Melissa Z., Fremont, CA, 94539  
I specifically and strongly support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs and do not want any changes made to ISP oversight. The loss of Net Neutrality will enable corporate stifling of free speech, driving us further away from the democracy we claim to be, and ever closer to a fascist state.P.S. I know damn well that ISPs play nice because the law says they have to, not out of any goodness of their corporate little "hearts."
1260. Annalisa Heisen, San Jose, CA, 95134  
ISPs should absolutely stay under Title Two regulation. Title One doesn't regulate appropriately or sufficiently and leaving it up to corporations to self-regulate in the interest of customers is willfully ignorant.
1261. cathy nguyen, san jose, CA, 95132  
We should NOT allow internet service providers to favor certain sites by intentionally speeding or slowing down user access. This has huge potential to lead us into a dark road where internet providers can pick and chose what people can see and hear, read and say. This is not American. Please do not take our rights to connect and communicate away.
1262. Michael Kaufman, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I strongly support maintaining the strong net neutrality protections ensured by Title II. Decreasing these protections would be a grave disservice to internet users
1263. Amy McGraw-Pate, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Net neutrality is vital to free speech, education, commerce, employment, and the free exchange of information. Retain net neutrality's Title Two protection and assure the above.
1264. Sarah Swanson, San Jose, CA, 95131  
I want to preserve net neutrality. I want all my news sources to be on equal Internet standing. I want equal internet quality for political issues and politician websites. I do not want my Internet search information or any information sent over the Internet sold or rented. I have become very politically active under this new administration and I am watching what you do. Do what is right for the people not corporate greed.
1265. Uri Gilad, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Changing the state of classification of Internet providers is wrong! Internet providers should be beholden to Title II classification as these are corporations who are only beholden to profit, and there is no profit in fairness. Keep internet providers as Title 2.
1266. Vera, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
PRESERVE net neutrality KEEP Title 2
1267. Vy Anh Tran, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I support Title II and believe that net neutrality is very important in the present era. No matter what provider companies say, they cannot be trusted to be fair and impartial because they are businesses with making money in mind.

1268. Jamie Beverly, Newark, CA, 94560  
Protect net neutrality. Keep broadband providers classified under title 2.
1269. Ciaran Downey, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I am strongly in favor of Title II / ("Title 2") regulations being enforced against ISPs of all types. I vehemently disagree with Mr. Pai's assertions that the free market would be able to sufficiently regulate itself. His former employer didn't self-regulate, and the Internet is too important to leave in the hands of companies that have shown to be susceptible to greed in the past.
1270. Reuben, sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. Thanks.
1271. Barbara Jackson, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Support Net Neutrality always.
1272. Anant Hari, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Net neutrality is critical to ensure equality of treatment on the internet. It is NOT enough to depend on companies to make the right decisions, legal systems must be maintained for the good of the people.
1273. Soby Mathews, Fremont, CA, 94539  
The future of free speech is at stake here. If you allow ISP's to regulate the speed at which you get to view specific sites there is no way to avoid favoritism.
1274. Arian Nosratollahi, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPS. The internet is not a product it is a utility, and it is becoming so necessary in life that access to it should be fair and REGULATED. We can't expect private businesses to not pursue profits and that is why regulations are necessary.
1275. Luis Perez, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
ISPs should remain under Title II regulation.
1276. net neutrality, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Please preserve Net Neutrality under Title II. The internet is an important source of freedom for all Americans. Leaving the governance of that freedom to corporations, however well intending, is dangerous and wrong. Additionally, under-regulation of ISPs in this way will make us less competitive with other countries with more free and open internet service providers.
1277. Vimal, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Keep a free and open internet with STRONG net neutrality rules backed up with title 2. Do not change the current rules.
1278. Lisa Ann Pinkerton, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I ask the FCC to preserve net neutrality and Title II. It is this arrangement that preserves the freedom of the Internet that has allowed new industries and markets to grow and thrive. Allowing for bias in Internet traffic is a kin to picking winners and losers which is something conservatives and Republicans continually rail against in other industries. That neutrality is freedom of the Internet. If this country truly stands for freedom in all its forms, net neutrality must be preserved. Americans cannot pursue life liberty and happiness without a free and open Internet.
1279. Haley Valletta, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
The internet should stay classified as a title 2 utility under the FCC net neutrality rules. It is naive to think that, given the chance, internet providers will choose the moral high ground rather than go with what will make their shareholders the happiest. We know that companies will do what is in the best interest of the company and not the consumer because it is the very reason we had to make title 2 and its tighter regulations in the first place. Reclassifying the internet is waving a giant flag at internet providers that says "screw the consumers, throttle your competitors," and the people who vote for the repeal will rapidly realize that this affects them as much as it affects the rest of us.
1280. Virginie Mitchem, SAN JOSE, CA, 95132  
Keep a free and open internet away from narrow interests of internet businesses.
1281. Khoi Tran, SANTA CLARA, CA, 95051  
What are you thinking? Get a clue and restore personal privacy and keep net neutrality rule in place.
1282. Kelly Tang, SANTA CLARA, CA, 95054  
Net Neutrality is one of the few ways for us to have free speech!
1283. Lisa Kealhofer, SANTA CLARA, CA, 95050  
A free and open internet promotes education
1284. Steve Lebus, CUPERTINO, CA, 95014  
The internet was created and intended for all people to EQUALLY be able to share information - NOT for corporations to make big bucks.
1285. P Berry, SUNNYVALE, CA, 94089  
Der PumpkinfÄÄ½\_hrer cannot sell off our rights and privacy to big companies and get away with it. Stop the rape and theft of our rights. Now!

1286. Jeff Manning, SUNNYVALE, CA, 94085  
Why make things fair and even for all
1287. Tom Vanni, SUNNYVALE, CA, 94087  
Keep your grubby
1288. Ana Belle, SANTA CLARA, CA, 95051  
What the F is an ajit pai? Guess IMMIGRANTS OK BY DUMPSTER & gop dung IF THEY SCREW DESTROY AMERICA?
1289. Kishan Jainandusing, SAN JOSE, CA, 95134  
Net neutrality enforcement was adopted after millions of comments in favor from the public had been received by the FCC under Tom Wheeler's chairing of the FCC. Why is it that Mr. Pai now suddenly claims to hold the moral high ground over millions who favored and still favor net neutrality? Mr. Pai or any party trying to undo the voice of these millions will have to do so in a court that has proper representation of these millions!
1290. Cecil McGregor, SUNNYVALE, CA, 94087  
The internet is now the backbone of our economy! Putting this into the hands of monopolies will KILL innovation and sap our economy.
1291. Ruth Shirkey, SAN JOSE, CA, 95131  
Don't commercialize the Internet any further than it already is! You can't save our country by capitalizing it!
1292. Don Saito, SAN JOSE, CA, 95132  
Maintain net neutrality you stupid
1293. Rosemary Neff, NEWARK, CA, 94560  
I am especially concerned that those least able to afford the net will now be priced out of ever having it.
1294. Cynthia Farris, SANTA CLARA, CA, 95051  
We MUST maintain net neutrality to prevent ISPs from controlling our bandwidth. This should be a right of every U.S. citizen.
1295. Sridhar Krishnamurthy, SAN JOSE, CA, 95134  
Please do not allow FCC to kill net neutrality. We deserve a free and open Internet. Please do not let big corporations like AT&T and Comcast take away our freedom
1296. Melinda Hickey, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I strongly support net neutrality. PLEASE DO NOT change the ability to regulate ISPs under Title 2!
1297. Ryan McCombs, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I strongly support net neutrality and keeping ISP as Tier 2 providers. Please do not allow ISPs to determine how I use the internet.
1298. Anna Martelli Ravenscroft, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please protect net neutrality and Title 2 oversight.
1299. Nihar Parikh, Fremont, CA, 94539  
The FCC should pursue Title II protection for net neutrality, to protect my ability as a startup founder to compete against the larger technology companies.
1300. Derek Rayla, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Do the right thing here, keep it under title II.
1301. Hoang Le Do, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Strongly support Net Neutrality. This is the reason why you exist FCC. Please do your job. Regulation like Net Neutrality is needed to ensure a open & fair market competition & in the end it protects tax payers' interests.
1302. Sean Copeland, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I strongly support strong FCC oversight of net neutrality by title II classification for ISPs. The notion that ISPs will have a "gentleman's agreement" to regulate themselves is delusional at best. There simply is not sufficient competition in the ISP market for the natural forces of capitalism to drive consumer-focused business practices when it comes to internet access. A revocation of the strong regulatory protections of internet traffic granted by title II will be disastrous to innovative, small businesses. When companies pay-to-play for internet visibility, how can small, local business possibly compete with established players in the market? How do you envision the forces of capitalism to work when consumers are denied access to the information that allows them to make an informed decision on how to spend their money? Protect title II classification for internet service providers. Strong net neutrality is critical for the future of our nation.
1303. 17-108, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed and enforced by title II oversight of ISPs.
1304. Jeff Zimmerman, San Jose, CA, 95127  
Net Neutrality is a paramount issue in the information age. Without it corporations can restrict the free flow of ideas.
1305. Praveen Kumar, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I strongly support strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This is very important for an open internet. Please do the

needful.

1306. 17-108, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085

I support net neutrality. It is the responsibility of the FCC to regulate our common communication resources such that the playing field is fair. Allowing slow and fast lanes would negatively impact this fairness, since large players could easily squash new companies. This goes against the purpose of the FCC and the ideals of American enterprise.

1307. Hasan Khanzada, Santa Clara, CA, 95054

Net neutrality must be preserved. Please keep the rules passed by previous FCC chair Tom Wheeler in-tact. The internet should be kept free from economic discrimination.

1308. Greg Heikkinen, Cupertino, CA, 95014

I'm writing to request the FCC continue to regulate ISPs under Title II. As a long time internet user I have always been unhappy with the poor service and lack of choices in internet providers. However, since ISPs have a virtual monopoly I, like most Americans, had few or no options. Eventually the sheer volume of complaints about the ISPs abusive practices resulted in the FCC finally trying to do something about it. But ISPs invoked their Title I status as a way of avoiding their responsibility to deal with issues raised by their own customers and other consumers. The FCC then, correctly, reclassified the ISPs as Title II, thus giving them the authority to protect consumer's interests. Now just three years later, the ISPs are trying to go back to Title I so they can again maximize their profits at the public's expense. Their arguments for doing so are a ridiculous assortment of scare tactics designed to justify their desire to operate without any oversight or regulation. The reality is that Title II status doesn't actually require ISPs to do anything. It simply gives the FCC the authority to intervene when ISPs go too far. Providing regulation and oversight is a primary function of the FCC and in and of itself doesn't threaten any business, including ISPs. What it does do is ensure a level playing field where competition and the market can continue to drive innovation and value. Something the ISPs don't want, despite their claims to the contrary. Given the importance of the internet in today's society and its increasing importance going forward, it is imperative that the internet be open and freely available to everyone. Allowing ISPs to govern what their customers can and cannot access by restricting content, imposing fees (both of which ISPs have done in the past) or any other means will threaten American's ability to fully participate in business, education, social networks and other aspects of modern life. Therefore I urge you to keep ISPs under Title II to ensure that the FCC has the authority, when needed, to protect the interests of internet consumers, businesses and the American people.

1309. Mark vonGnechten, Fremont, CA, 94539

The future of the internet depends on maintaining net neutrality under Title II. The innovation and creativity that has driven the development of the internet is not in the plumbing. It is in the content that is created and disseminated through that plumbing. If Verizon, AT&T, Comcast and the other network monopolies are not restrained, they will take control of what content is available. Small startups will die when their access to the internet is strangled. Innovative new services will die with them. The plumbing of the internet is a utility as far as the American people are concerned and should be regulated as such in order to guarantee free and open access. As a former Verizon lawyer, Chairman Pai knows full well what lengths Verizon has gone to in the past to avoid any regulation of its stranglehold on network access. One wonders if Chairman Pai is truly acting as a servant of the American people on the FCC or is still serving Verizon.

1310. Vrajesh Bhavsar, San Jose, CA, 95134

Hi,I'm strongly in favor of preserving Title II and Net Neutrality enforcements. Please don't try to fix what is not broken.Thank you!

1311. Hilary Wurr, Fremont, CA, 94538

I support complete net neutrality and the Title 2 classification of ISP providers. Please do not give big business the choice of throttling content if it competes with something they may own. The internet is for everyone and should not be controlled by people who can gain monetarily by oppressing others.

1312. Madison Carlson, Cupertino, CA, 95014

I STRONGLY SUPPORT EXTREME NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE 2 OVERSIGHT OF ISPs. PLEASE do not return to oversight of ISPs under Title I!!!! IT'S NOT RIGHT. KEEP THE INTERNET FREE AND OPEN! FULL NET NEUTRALITY!!

1313. Teddy Steglik, Fremont, CA, 94536

I use the Internet for my work. But I don't work for a large corporation that could pay the price for preferential treatment. Like many others, I do most of my work from home, using the Internet for not only the usual email - but to have online meetings and provide online Webinar training to healthcare professionals. Work boundaries are no longer local, as I interact with people outside my geographic location. So I NEED the Internet to do my job!

1314. Jeffrey Martin, Fremont, CA, 94538

I does much more good than harm, and it is freedom of speech. And freedom in general to allow the people to access the Internet, open and free.

1315. Dylan Nguyen, Milpitas, CA, 95035

Net Neutrality is essential for the public sharing of information, free speech and holding all parties (individuals, businesses, organizations, governments and everyone else accountable. Perhaps more importantly, it enables access to education for the underserved in both industrial and developing countries. Keep access free!

1316. Dan Watson, Newark, CA, 94560

Internet is for everyone, not just corrupt politicians and corporations!!!!

1317. Patrick Williams, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086

I live on Social Security and learn a great deal through my computer. I keep in touch with my family, read the news from multiple sources, get entertainment and shop via internet.

1318. Jasen Higgins, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Net neutrality permits one to train one's brain.
1319. mike, sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please keep ISPs classified as Title 2 carriers. The internet needs strong regulation, because ISPs will not do what is in the best interest of their users.
1320. Mehmet Aslan, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I strongly support the net neutrality laws under title 2.
1321. Eileen S, Newark, CA, 94560  
Please keep net neutrality rules under Title II. If net neutrality hasn't been compromised under Title II, then why do we need to fix what isn't broken? No to Title I; yes to Title II.
1322. kathryn mussett, San jose, CA, 95129  
Preserve net neutrality forever. It is your obligation as keeper of public interest. This is very important. Keep internet use fair for all users. Thank you!
1323. Simon Read, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Please keep net neutrality, keep the current regulations in place!
1324. Hayden Ridenour, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I do not want the proposed changes in the Net Neutrality rules. I prefer that ISPs remain Title 2 entities and that the existing rules as of the end of 2016 remain in place.
1325. vikas verma, fremont, CA, 94539  
Please do not eliminate title II provisions for net neutrality. Last thing we need is more control at the hands of blood sucking incorporations.
1326. Madeleine Yip, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Please keep Title 2. We need the government oversight in order to have a free and neutral internet.
1327. Erez Loudior, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I very much support net-neutrality backed by having ISPs regulated by title-2. Without ISPs being regulated as title-2, net-neutrality would be destroyed. Please do not revert regulating ISPs to title-1.
1328. Lucas Qiu, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Equal access for all players is essential in an open, fair and vibrant Internet. Internet is now an utility that should not favor any enterprises or groups.
1329. Lisa Kicielinski, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
If ISPs need custom rules outside of the Telecommunications governance, then develop a regulated and highly protective code of privacy rules that will prevent sharing and sales of browsing history and protect net neutrality. Business development must co-exist with privacy and equitable access safeguards.
1330. David Cantrell, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Get your hands off my Net!
1331. Barbara Selig, FREMONT, CA, 94539  
Back off and leave Net Neutrality as is! You will not make America less free and equal. You will go down in history as either a Trump Nazi or one who stood up for the American people. Your choice. When you're facing death it will matter to you!
1332. Kyle Paxton, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
There needs to be more widespread information about what losing Net Neutrality would do. I have had to do research on this and it should be right in my face!
1333. Patricia Cachopo, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Don't ever take Net Neutrality away from us.
1334. Rachel Herbener, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
The 1% already control the lives of the 99%. Net neutrality is one of the few areas in which there's a semblance of equality.
1335. Jeri Kuoppamaki, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
We have fought hard to ensure net neutrality; don't take this away from the American people .
1336. David Korman, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Hands off net neutrality!!!
1337. Damon mills, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
The Internet has many websites that are devoted to obscure interests (examples include history, specific antiques and how to restore them, etc) that are important to many people. The death of net neutrality means those websites could be blocked or slowed down significantly by the Internet service providers because the operators of those websites cannot afford to pay the Internet service providers a separate fee. The death of net neutrality would mean the loss of diversity, free and open access to information that will use every day

for entertainment and knowledge. Losing net neutrality would benefit no one except for the very large Internet service provider corporations, and doom the Internet into a pay for play venue.

1338. Partha Bose, San Jose, CA, 95129  
I support net neutrality and oppose the removal of Title II rules for ISPs
1339. Andrew Stephens, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Losing net neutrality would increase costs of doing business over the internet for small and large businesses, while benefiting only ISPs that have effective monopolies in most markets already. I support net neutrality to reduce their power while encouraging easier business development in the tech sector. Much like censorship, losing net neutrality would only make the internet worse.
1340. Rachel Keene, San Jose, CA, 95127  
I would like to express my support of STRONG net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
1341. Monica Hanson, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I urge FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to stop his attack on the Net Neutrality and Title II. Net Neutrality is essential for protecting free speech, organizing, business and innovation. I will stand against attacks on Net Neutrality or my rights as an internet user. Leave the Net Neutrality rules alone.
1342. David Burke, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
We need an equal playing field so consumers have freedom of choice and are not gouged by the heavyweight ISPs. We need an equal playing field so that new startups can successfully emerge for the next generation of web apps.
1343. David Levine, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Think about the American public for once. If it ain't broken PLEASE DON'T FIX IT!
1344. Richard Dutra, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
It is essential for companies to have an open internet to grow drives our economy and maintains our leadership in the world.
1345. Angela Onorato, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
The internet and ISP's should be treated like a utility. Considering that I am the one paying them I do not want my ISP to determine what content I can see online or to decide how fast or slow this content is downloaded based on what is most profitable for them. They and YOU Mr. Pai do not have the right to tell me what I can see or do on a service or device that I am paying for. Net Neutrality is not negotiable.
1346. Charles Fry, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Vertically integrated content production-distribution-delivery monopolies like NBC-Universal-Comcast have a tremendous advantage when they can dictate higher prices for other peoples' content.
1347. Robert Hayton, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
It is up to me, and me alone, to determine the credibility of information I find on the internet. Everybody on the planet deserves free, unfettered access to the \*entire\* Internet.
1348. Matthew Bell, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I'm stunned by how myopic you are.
1349. Ratna Patel, Newark, CA, 94560  
Information is the fundamental right of each and every human on this earth. It is not made to restrict by geographical boundaries. Restricting information is restricting innovation and restricting world leaders. Someone somewhere is brilliant but he needs the knowledge to prove his brilliance to the world. The government is already mandating the kind of search I do, dictating the moral ethics. It is a personal choice. Not a government choice. Its high time that multi billionaires stop thinking about making more profit and actually start giving something back!!
1350. Richard Olsen, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
It is unfair for rich corporations to have more power on the internet than ordinary citizens. It is the way most of us make our voices heard.
1351. John Quadros, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Failure to continue to provide net neutrality will turn control of even more of our society to the hateful, uncaring rich.
1352. Supriya Wronkiewicz, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I work for a small arts museum that would not be able to afford fees involved for getting faster access and we also collaborate heavily with similar organizations and independent artists. Please maintain net neutrality.
1353. Subrata Sircar, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Cable companies shouldn't decide which ideas should be prioritized for dissemination.
1354. Sharon Rodrigues, Fremont, CA, 94539  
We need net neutrality so all companies and individuals are treated fairly. You shouldn't have to pay more for access or to be seen.
1355. Koko Kittell, Newark, CA, 94560  
You are selling out the American people to corporations that readily admitted that if it weren't for Net Neutrality, they would discriminate against websites and content.

1356. Bill Hilton, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Access to the net is a public utility, similar to electricity and gas distribution and telephone service. I want to purchase internet access without concern for the provider tapping into my feeds, monitoring my communications or selling information to unknown parties.
1357. Scott Barlow, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
The open internet is freedom. As long as it's strictly enforced, the open internet is opportunity for new things, fairly competing for a market.
1358. Amanda Gray, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support net neutrality and insist you keep ISPs under Title 2
1359. evan gray, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support net neutrality and insist you keep ISP's under title 2. The internet should stay open and any rollback of protections would be downright criminal.
1360. Larry Kenyon, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
We support strong net neutrality regulation under Title 2!
1361. Erin Vonderach, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
The FCC needs to preserve strong Net Neutrality and Title 2. Allowing the exchange of information over the internet to be decided by companies who can be bought and sold by special interests CANNOT happen.
1362. Samuel Baird, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Please keep ISPs regulated under Title II. Net neutrality is crucial and can't be left up to the providers themselves.
1363. Lawrence Kreeger, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Maintaining Title II oversight to enforce net neutrality will be critical to the future of a free and open internet. Please do not let ISPs off the hook to do whatever they want. Keep my internet fair and open!!Thank you.
1364. Michael Baird, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Net neutrality is crucial and cannot be left to the ISPs to self-regulate. Please keep those ISPs regulated by the FCC under Title II.
1365. Eryk, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
ISP's need to remain under title II of the communications act in order to insure net neutrality, enforce laws and levy penalties. Title II is the only way to insure that large telcos and ISP's don't manipulate internet traffic and collude against the American people and the first amendment.
1366. Matt Rumsey, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Keep the internet under title 2. Preserve net neutrality and title 2.
1367. Abhishek, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Dear FCC - ISPs must be classified under Title 2 to be fair to people. One cannot expect them to monitor themselves. Please represent the people and not your ex employers.
1368. Abhishek, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
The continued classification of ISPs under Title 2 is absolutely required and must be supported. Represent your people and not the special interests
1369. Arnab Sen, San Jose, CA, 95134  
We support strong open internet rules governed by Title 2. It would be a disservice to the American public to eliminate every citizen's equal access rights to the internet.
1370. kevin killion, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Net neutrality is important. Claiming ISP's will not invest if subjected to staying under Title II is disingenuous. Protect net neutrality.
1371. Stefan Xenos, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Strong net neutrality brings down the cost of starting new online services. New companies can offer the same quality of service as large established businesses without having to pay expensive fees to ISPs. Lowering the cost of creating and running a new online services means more such services get created. This increases the variety of services available to consumers. More variety means more consumer choice and more competition. More competition means better quality and lower prices for consumers. The low barrier for entry also makes it easier to start new tech businesses. This creates jobs. The tech boom is proof of this. Without net neutrality, ISPs would have a strong incentive to provide cheap or free but highly restricted internet access as a loss leader, and make their money from other companies by selling access to their subscribers. In the short-term, this would seem to benefit consumers since they'd get the same internet access for a lower price. In the long-term, only the largest established online businesses would be able to afford the fastest access to customers. Smaller companies would be unable to afford the fees and would therefore be unable to compete. New companies couldn't enter the market because of the prohibitive cost. Once the competition disappears, the quality of service would drop and prices will rise again for consumers. Without competition among tech companies, there would also be less competition for tech workers. That would mean an end to the employment opportunities we've seen in tech for so many years. I support strong net neutrality under Title II. It's good for consumers, good for tech companies, and good for jobs. Weakening net neutrality would help ISPs but harm everyone else.
1372. Nithya Natesan, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Preserve Net neutrality and keep the title 2 status for all ISPs.

1373. Nicole McKelvey, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2 protections. Free and open internet is important to a healthy democracy.
1374. Glenn Liao, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and title 2 that backs it.
1375. Drew Shimomura, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I strongly oppose changing any laws that affect net neutrality, and demand that you keep ISPs under Title II. Net neutrality is important to people, small businesses, and our ability to continue developing the internet and the forms of communication it affords. Do not change how ISPs are classified; do not end net neutrality. Ajit Pai is wrong.
1376. Angela Buchner, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support Net Neutrality, specifically Title 2. Preserve Net Neutrality and title 2.
1377. Marites Orias, San Jose, CA, 95133  
Please keep Net Neutrality under Title II. Preserve this for all Americans.
1378. aaron o'brien, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I support the current net neutrality regulations passed in 2015. Please don't change the net neutrality regulations
1379. Jody Sankey, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I strongly support the need for regulating broadband access under Title II in order to retain a free and open Internet with acceptable privacy. I need broadband Internet access in order to perform my job, to communicate with my family, to participate effectively in our democracy, and to complete all the chores I don't have time to do working long hours. Due to the cable monopoly I have exactly one choice of a company to provide that access. I'm frequently unhappy with that company's service and ethics and have no faith in them to protect my best interests without strong oversight.
1380. John Smith, San Jose, CA, 95133  
Don't let the cable companies run the government. There is nothing in this proposal that benefits anyone other than them.
1381. Shannon McDowd, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Keep Title II regulations in place, and STRONGLY enforced. Net neutrality is important to us all.
1382. Mark Butterworth, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
keep net neutrality. do not change it
1383. Susan Stovall, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Keep net neutrality. Make no changes to current status.
1384. Katherine Ventura, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Please keep Title II the way it is.
1385. Mark Garcia, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Do not roll back net neutrality rules. It is not fair that wealthier companies can pay to make their pages load faster and keeping people from visiting other companies web pages. You will be responsible for an unfair distribution of wealth to wealthier entities. The internet is a public utility.
1386. Valerie Ramos, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I support strong net neutrality under Title 2. Access should be fair and not subject to manipulation per a company's agendas.
1387. Sean Backes, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I am very passionate about preserving strong net neutrality and keeping ISPs in Title 2. As a lover of the free and open internet, it is our responsibility to preserve the freedom that the current net neutrality conditions allow for. Potentially deregulating ISPs is a spineless and nonsensical tactic showing that the FCC sides with industry over the people of this country.
1388. Ian Lindsey, San Jose, CA, 95129  
I support strong net neutrality laws governing ISPs under title 2 classification. Nothing less will do after the Verizon vs FCC court case on the issue.
1389. Alex Marks, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Please keep internet neutrality. No sites or content should be discriminated against provided the content is within the confines of the law. Thank you.
1390. Kris Torres, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's. Any belief that ISP's will police themselves seems like a misinformed naivety born of the ignorance of the current oligarchical structure in place and examples like comcast throttling netflix. The free market might have a decent chance of being an equalizer if it weren't for the overwhelming infrastructure costs required to get in the game as it were. Google's failed attempts to get in to bed without the current oligarchy's permission and being sued for trying to use existing poles to create an infrastructure is a perfect example of why the free market is failing in this arena. It's too expensive a market to allow "friendly" competition to balance it out when potential investors know that the second they invest tons of money into a new area, the company who currently owns that region will go out of their way to immediately mitigate any potential for profit.
1391. Michael J Chen, Fremont, CA, 94538

I oppose the proposal to classify Internet Access as an Information Service according to Title 1 of the Communications Act of 1934. I believe the argument that this will hurt investment in broadband is baseless, and that the fundamental nature of the internet is aligned with the Common Carriers category according to Title II of the act, where the companies must deliver equal access to the content providers on their service.

1392. Michael Chung, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I am writing to support the effort to preserve net neutrality and Title II. Do not let corporations take ridiculous control of the internet. Thank you.
1393. Bob Eifrig, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please undo and refrain from making any rules regarding the Internet. The potential for economic damage and cronyism is too great.
1394. Andrew McFadden, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please continue to protect net neutrality under Title II. I work in a silicon valley startup, and the protections are essential for future innovation.
1395. Rob Boatright, Sunnyvale, CA, 95051  
Please keep Title ii Net Neutrality rules
1396. ushnish, san jose, CA, 95134  
Lack of net neutrality will give corporations too much power to dictate what the public can see and consume from the internet. We have to preserve net neutrality as a basic human right just like access to education and electricity
1397. Samantha Leach, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Quoted from Wired magazine article (<https://www.wired.com/2017/01/dont-gut-net-neutrality-good-people-business/>): While abolishing network neutrality might initially increase profits for telecom and cable companies, long-term, it would harm both internet-focused companies and consumers. Telecom and cable companies claim that consumers will pay less if they abandon network neutrality, but economic models dispute that. Cable and telecom companies want to kill network neutrality to increase their profits, not decrease prices for consumers. Without net neutrality, consumers would be forced to access a distorted internet, where information is prioritized according to the financial interests of telecom and cable companies.
1398. John Granados, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I support Net Neutrality and Title 2. I STRONGLY support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight.
1399. Grace Chang, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Ajit Pai,I am really disappointed in hearing your views which rolls back net neutrality protection. I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not roll back protections which benefits consumers.
1400. Eric Hsiang, santa clara, CA, 95054  
I strongly support strict net neutrality and keeping the internet listed under title 2
1401. Mary Beth Doyle Bell, santa clara, CA, 95050  
I support net neutrality. Keep Title 2 as is & let it have oversight over ISPs.
1402. ALEX PENDLETON, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I explicitly support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
1403. Lisa Miyako, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
The government created the Internet, thus the foundations were paid for by taxpayers' money. Thus, we the people should have equal access to the Internet. I support Net Neutrality and Internet independence... and I vote.Thank you for your attention.
1404. Joel Jaffe, Newark, CA, 94560  
Please keep Internet Service Providers regulated under title II as a common carrier. For most people, they have very limited choices in how they can access the internet. Allowing ISPs to have site-dependent speeds, with today's media conglomerates, would lead to the ISP/Media company to make the choice on what I consume on the internet. Further, it will create a potential barrier to entry for new internet start-ups, as their services could be held ransom by multiple ISPs around the country. The U.S. is the birthplace of the internet and the world leader in internet commerce, along with innovation. Do not let our leadership disappear just to slightly increase the profit margin of a few media conglomerates. In true new economy paradigm, and American value, the media companies should fatten their bottom lines through hard work and innovation, just like the rest of us are encouraged to do.In closing, I implore you to keep ISPs regulated under title II as a common carrier. It is good for consumers, innovation and America. Thank you.
1405. Soumyadeep Chatterjee, sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please ensure that we continue having strong net neutrality under Title 2
1406. Eamonn Kerley, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I object to the proposed changes.
1407. John Thomson, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Codifying Internet Neutrality into regulation is the best way to ensure that the Internet is a competitive marketplace for both commerce and speech. ISPs should be considered as public utilities -- common carriers -- so that they are not allowed to prioritize traffic that could in any way bring an undue benefit to their business (either through partnership agreements, or by prioritizing the content of companies

held in common). Since most ISPs are monopolies in their communities, they need to be held to the standard of a utility.

1408. Net Neutrality, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Hello Ajit Pai. I am from Cupertino, and I support strong net neutrality, backed by title II oversight of ISPs.
1409. Tejas Desai, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Please protect the principle that Internet service providers should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites. The users of internet must have protection under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Voluntary compliance by ISPs doesn't work. ISPs already have near monopoly in my area, and gouge me when it comes to charging for internet access. I don't want to see them get another free pass and ability to dictate what content I consume and which apps I use.
1410. Rick Mann, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Internet access is the single most critical and necessary service for all individuals. It's crucial that access remain unfettered and inexpensive, and it must be high-bandwidth (500 Mbps or more, in both directions). It must be classified as telecommunications, because that's what it is. Large telecom companies cannot be allowed to infringe on competition or gouge pricing. Neutrality is mandatory.
1411. Victor Perez, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Mr. Pai, I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. Thank you for reading my message.
1412. David Ranney, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Please do not weaken Net Neutrality rules. The benefits a handful of corporations at the expense of the American people. Your charter is to regulate for the people. Please do so.
1413. Christopher DeBoever, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I'm writing to express my concerns over the FCC's recent announcement of its intention to roll back net neutrality rules. I believe that rolling back the progress that was made under the Obama Administration would cause severe harm to the individual consumer. Net neutrality helps ensure that there is an even playing ground for all consumers. Without net neutrality, telecom and cable companies could very well influence internet searches, news and how we access the internet as a whole. This would influence the ability for individuals to create and communicate openly and freely on the internet. Without net neutrality, telecom and cable companies could also negatively influence services, to their advantage. Moreover, this uneven playing field would also disadvantage entrepreneurs. Small businesses wouldn't necessarily have the financial clout of larger companies, to pay into this uneven playing field. As a computational biology researcher, I am excited about the possibilities for improving human health by expanding precision medicine and direct-to-consumer medical services over the Internet. Net neutrality rules ensure an even playing field so that the Google or Uber of healthcare can compete on an even playing field with entrenched companies. Please keep the net neutrality rules in place.
1414. Priya Gupta, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
As a consumer of internet, and as a professional in the software industry - I think repealing this rule is harmful to both consumers and innovation. So I request the FCC to not take away these hard fought protections on net neutrality. As consumers this will give unnecessary control to my ISP on what websites I can or cannot access - thereby limiting my freedom, despite being a paying consumer. It has the potential to also allow them to discriminate against various opinions, thereby limiting free speech. This could limit people's ability to use the internet for online organizing, block access to fundraising pages for certain issues, limit certain types of political views, or prohibit websites that criticize a company. It will help big companies and hurt small innovative companies. Big companies that rely on fast speeds for things like video streaming and cloud services will be able to pay for the fast lane. Small businesses and innovative companies will not. It will hurt "tech disruptors" like the company I work for (Coursera) - we rely on delivering educational video content from top universities to anyone, anywhere in the world. This will hurt farmers looking to create an online marketplace for their harvest, small retailers trying to reach new customers, new businesses providing services only possible online, and musicians trying to establish a fan base.
1415. Ramesh Chandra, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
As a software professional and as a consumer of the Internet, I think repealing the net neutrality is harmful to both consumers and innovation. The Internet plays a key role in our daily lives and is a utility today (similar to electricity or phone service). Much like other utility companies, ISPs have a near monopoly presence in most markets. Giving them control to discriminate the traffic from different websites is similar to giving electric utilities the power to discriminate against certain industries / certain consumers by not providing them enough power. Repealing net neutrality makes all parties worse off, except perhaps the ISPs, who can profit by charging extra for giving preferential treatment to certain websites. This is outrageous given that, as consumers, we already pay hefty fees for internet service. It limits our freedom as consumers by limiting the websites we can access. It limits innovation by making it harder for disruptive startups to compete -- large companies can pay the ISPs to get their traffic in the fast lane, but startups wouldn't be able. If net neutrality wasn't in place, we wouldn't have had innovative startups like Google, Facebook, Twitter, etc., be where there are today.
1416. Adam McDaniel, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I urge you to use your regulatory power to retain or even strengthen the current protections regarding Net Neutrality. The rules have been a great protection against predatory practices that would stifle innovation. Many internet start ups that we take for granted now, Netflix and Facebook to name a couple, might not have survived their infancy under a regime with these rules removed. The regulatory framework set up in years prior has allowed innovation to propagate relatively unhindered. Please understand that a change to this framework will hurt American competitiveness online.
1417. Gordon Schoenfeld, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Net Neutrality is an absolutely fundamental right of Americans not to have our internet controlled by greedy companies. Do not take this away from the people!

1418. Valerie Jones, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Leave net neutrality in place. Work for the people not the corporations.
1419. Darwin Jones, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Leave "Net Neutrality" in place as it is now.... The people of this country already voted NO on this 2 years ago and this attempt to limit access to the poor and middle class in favor of large corporations is another reason why I will be highly and actively involved in every election, bill and initiative to stop major corporations from owning the elected officials of this country. Also stop trying to take our internet freedom away with misleading initiative names like this one named " Restore Internet Freedom", it's BS. People are not stupid and this poor attempt to deceive folks is easy to recognize.
1420. Interg Gattu, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Net neutrality impacts everyone - individuals, small business owners, corporations, etc. With net neutrality, everyone has an equal space on the internet. Without net neutrality, internet companies will decide which websites, content or applications succeed. We urge you to reject any attacks on real Net Neutrality.
1421. Alaina Robertson, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Please register this comment against the FCC proposal (17-108). I believe that net neutrality is fundamental to free speech, competition, innovation, and user choice. Thank you.
1422. Stuart Hunter, Fremont, CA, 94539  
First, I am not a robot or paid whatever. I am a concerned citizen, and I strongly support Title II oversight of ISPs and net neutrality rules. A free and open internet is the kind of internet that will thrive the most and bring the most economic benefit to this country. Title II will help ensure we continue to enjoy a free and open internet. Please do not undo the legal basis for regulations that protect net neutrality.
1423. Gary Brainin, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
While it's reasonable to take lesser account of cut-and-paste commentary that may not even be human-generated, going so far as to require economic justifications before taking commentary into account makes a mockery of the public comment process. Those in favor of retaining Title 2 classification can point to specific, clear harms that could AND DID take place without this added protection. Those in favor of reclassification merely hand-wave about general terms like "innovation," without being able to point to a single, concrete, positive thing that an ISP can do under Title 1 that it can't do under Title 2. If you want to remove regulations because you believe all regulation everywhere is a bad thing, say so. Don't play hide the ball.
1424. Andrea S. Burling, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I AM STRONGLY IN FAVOR OF NET NEUTRALITY. It is essential that Internet providers treat all web traffic equally. Our democracy relies on a free, fair, and open internet. And all Americans rely on the FCC's Net Neutrality protections to communicate freely online. I'm very worried that the proposed regulations are going to weaken these protections...or, even worse, that they will be lost entirely. The current protections are the only thing stopping companies like Comcast and Verizon from controlling what we can see and say online, and from censoring or slowing down content they don't like. PLEASE INSURE THAT NET NEUTRALITY REMAINS THE LAW OF THE LAND.
1425. Lorraine Moore, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Don't change net neutrality. Politicians have screwed their constituents enough to not get re-elected.
1426. Srinidhi Venkata Subbarao, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Protect net neutrality and leave the internet open & free, like it was meant to be.
1427. S Srivatsa, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
It's simple-- the internet by nature of its existence makes sense only when access is not limited. It's meant to be above and beyond the limitations of even our free market economy, since it provides a flow of information and potential for the exchange of ideas far beyond what the free market, taken rote, will ever offer. Removing net neutrality rules is essentially endorsing corporate thuggery and ganglandism.
1428. krithiga bothra, Fremont, CA, 94539  
We must Preserve net neutrality.
1429. rajiv A, Fremont, CA, 94536  
Net neutrality is very important for America
1430. Audra Liu, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I do not trust Internet Service Providers to determine which sites are more accessible to consumers. Internet sites should not be more or less accessible to users based on a payment tier scheme. Government should act in the best interests of the people, not for corporations to devise ways to generate more revenue.
1431. Teri Marzano, Newark, CA, 94560  
The internet is now used as a utility and should be delivered to all who access it EQUALLY.
1432. terri bjornson, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please keep the net neutrality at level 2. Keep it assemble to all people, who your supposed to work for. LEAVE the net neutrality.
1433. Mei Jin, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I firmly believe that net neutrality is right and just and I support Title II oversight of ISPs. I believe that no matter the creator of content

on the internet, they should be able to grow their audience without the inhibition from internet providers prioritizing other companies.

1434. tim adams, Santa clara, CA, 95054  
Hello Mr. Pai,I whole heartily believe in preservation of Net Neutrality and that ISPs should remain common carriers under Title II.We believe in a free and open internet, not one for sale to ISPs.Tim
1435. Angela Cooke, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Net Neutrality is a civil rights issue. Equal access to information according to user's choice instead of corporate interference is essential to the operation of \*any\* communication method, be it telephone, email, http, or paper in an envelope. The Internet is not fundamentally different than other forms of multi-way communication, such that it is acceptable or fair to allow corporate and special interests to control how private citizens choose to use our basic services.Killing net neutrality isn't just bad ethics, though, it's bad for business. Sure, it's good for the one business that runs an ISP - but it's very, very bad for \*everyone else\* down the line, and especially competitors. That probably sounds great if you happen to own the ISP, but do you really want your competitors to be allowed to screw up your connections, too?Then, also, it's just really bad engineering design. The 'net works really well on the protocols we have in place. It's always a mistake to shift away from elegant engineering purely in favor of profit requests from non-engineers.
1436. Tom Vanni, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
It is imperative to our Democracy that we have a Free and Open internet. The FCC must continue to classify the Internet as a common carrier and protect Net Neutrality. All content on the Internet must be treated equally and without discrimination. The FCC must support strong Net Neutrality with Title II oversight!
1437. Allen Liou, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support net neutrality back by title II classification. The only way to ensure "internet freedom" is to maintain net neutrality backed by title II authority.
1438. KC Loewen, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support NET NEUTRALITY. It is unacceptable to let cable or communication monopolies be able to alter the delivery of internet content based of their own interests. The internet is a public product and we cannot go back to the way the phone company once ruled with a iron fist. Stop selling the public out to lobbyist for companies who donate the most to candidates. NET NEUTRALITY is essential!
1439. Zuhair Saadat, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I support strong title II backing to protect net neutrality. No, this is not a fake comment written by a bot. Ajit Pai is a dick for ignoring our voices.
1440. Glenn Filson, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I support strong title 2 classification for internet service providers.
1441. Archt Rupakhetee, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. I am against Proceeding #17-108.
1442. Aditya Jayan, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I am opposed to the new changes.. I support retaining \* AND ENFORCING \* strong net neutrality for the benefit of a free and open to all internet. I am also in favor of enforcing strong regulations on the ISPs to give internet users a choice and a voice in demanding the service they deserve from an ISP.
1443. Daniel Perlmutter, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I am writing in to express my support for Net Neutrality. It is crucial for a free and open internet.
1444. Christopher Thomas, Fremont, CA, 94538  
The free market would allow us to choose internet service providers who were honest about whether or not they created a fast lane for larger companies. However, this is not a realistic option. Even in the middle of California suburbs, the only feasible option for fast cable internet is through comcast. The only other competitor is a much slower DSL. If Comcast begins to do things that I disagree with, I cannot steer their course with my money. The market cannot speak for me.It is for this reason that I support regulations on internet providers. In a world where all human beings in places of power did good with their power, I may not deem it necessary. But this has been proven false time and time again. And because of this, many regulations must be put in place to protect consumers. Otherwise, the providers have an enormous amount of power. Further, that power extends to one of our strongest, most innovative communication tools, one that has in it's short time on this earth worked its way into every aspect of our lives.I am in support of Title II classifications.
1445. Kurtis Sprouse, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I am strongly opposed to the Trump Administrations efforts to reclassify ISP's out from Title 2 oversight. This will ruin the net neutrality and allow ISP's to throttle whatever content they want.
1446. Joshua Parrish, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Title II is very important to the citizens of the US. ISP providers are implying the internet wasn't broke before title II. Well it was for many of us. Comcast was my ISP provider and routinely throttled my connection when streaming content from directv.com in addition to netflix.com. Almost to where the content was unplayable. I don't see how reverting back to title I is anything but an avenue for ISP's to charge/throttle content based on who pays them.
1447. Ben Shapero, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
The Internet is now as essential a basic service for students, families, workers, and companies that it is a utility. Just like any other communications utility, the Internet must be governed under Title II protections, to ensure the free flow and equal right to content for

all.

1448. Aaron Wong, Fremont, CA, 94539  
We've been over this multiple times. A truly open and free internet requires that all content be treated equally. ISPs should not have biased control over how their customers use their service. Without customers, a business cannot survive. This country became great because it's people had the ability and resources to make their living. By restricting the internet you are restricting the latent potential within the citizens of this country. Please reconsider and listen to the real citizens of this country when we say we want to keep net neutrality.
1449. Allen Hsieh, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Keep net neutrality, stop messing with it. We know you used to work for Verizon, and it shows. How about you do your job and protect the people instead of the corporations.
1450. Michael Yang, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I am in support of the current net neutrality laws and very much against further control of the internet falling into ISPs domain. I do not enjoy the thought of having aspects of the internet being controlled under the facade of making a "Better user experience" by the throttling of websites, and consideration of prioritizing content. The internet should remain as free of a market as possible.
1451. Amaresh Shukla, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I support strong Net Neutrality protections under Title II (2) oversight of ISPs. This is a matter of free speech and major ISPs should NOT have the ability to censor or limit bandwidth for views that run counter to their own and will serve to make smaller businesses less competitive on the free and open marketplace. Finally, Ajit Pai is a shithead corporate shill whose views do not represent the will of the plurality of Americans
1452. Patrice Boisclair-Laberge, SANTA CLARA, CA, 95050  
My work depends on the internet being neutral. Without those regulations, we do not have an open market it gives a massive advantage to corporations vs citizens.
1453. Berry Clarkson, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
If net neutrality goes away, the internet will change and our access to certain content will be based upon our ISP's financial interests and what the consumer is willing to pay. This will make the internet function like cable television, hurting the consumer. Millions of Americans depend on the internet for work and school and having their access changed would be detrimental to them.
1454. Stephen S, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Title 2 oversight of ISPs is extremely important to net neutrality. Just because an ISP has not yet abused the system is not a good reason not to put precautionary measures in place. Also, I believe that is exactly what happened with Comcast throttling Netflix, to the point that Netflix had to pay Comcast just so they would not throttle speeds. Allowing the possible creation of internet fast lanes or prioritizing company owned services over others will slow innovation and competition. Please make sure ISPs are regulated under Title 2.
1455. Chungpang Lai, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please keep Internet neutral. No one should have special privilege for certain type of contents. Such privilege can be easily abused to shut out competitions and create monopoly.
1456. brien, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Preserve strong net neutrality and title 2 thank you
1457. Maxim Tiourin, San Jose, CA, 95131  
I support Net Neutrality and the continuation of Title II (or equivalent) protections which classify internet providers as common carriers. Don't screw up the internet for the American people just because Comcast and Time Warner are pressuring you to sell us out.
1458. Troy Tomson, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Net Neutrality is absolutely critical to internet freedom. Keeping companies in line with Title 2 restrictions is the MINIMUM that should be done. Massive corporations have proven time and time again that they can not be trusted to keep the American people's interest at the forefront, their only and sole purpose is profit at any cost. They don't want competition, they don't want regulation, they want unfettered control of access and pricing. Removing what LITTLE protection we have from these companies is incomprehensible. Net Neutrality not only needs to stay but needs to be expanded to enrich the internet for all Americans, free from the greedy gouging and manipulation of companies like Comcast.
1459. Hiva Esmaceli, Santa CLara, CA, 95051  
I do not support this contrarily named act. This will not restore internet freedoms despite the way you are trying to spin it. It will do quite the opposite. The FCC should be acting on behalf of the citizens that it serves, not the corporations that it used to serve as legal counsel (yes, you Pai--we all know you used to be a lawyer at Verizon). The previous FCC Chairman enacted the will of the people by protecting net neutrality. You think the Trump protests were bad? Try to take away our internet freedoms and you'll be shocked at how large a protest can get.
1460. Ben Leikach, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
The FCC's interpretation of the existing act is misleading at best, and is negligent or fraudulent in the worst case. The views/definitions you have submitted are not logical, nor are they in keeping with how the internet and ISPs actually work and/or provide service(s). It is critical that the FCC maintain support for net neutrality. Do not turn the clock back and give the power of such a magnificent resource to rich, powerful people/corporations who would wish to corrupt the very idea of what the internet stands for (unbiased access) solely for individual profit.

1461. Greg Schwaderer, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Please ignore the trolls being sent by John Oliver and continue doing what you are doing. Thanks!
1462. Harsh Vardhan, Fremont, CA, 94539  
The FCC must keep the net neutrality rules in place. They are important for the technological edge and democracy we all enjoy as citizens of this country.
1463. Kevin Young, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Let's not give control of our content to ISPs.
1464. Michael Pollack, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I support strong Net Neutrality measures and the classification of ISPs under Title II.
1465. James Callen, San Jose, CA, 95131  
I support the defense of net neutrality and that ISPs should be regulated under title 2 of the communications act of 1934. the reclassification from title 2 to title 1 of ISPs will not insure a and fair market in internet service. Rather this reclassification will promote the use of internet dealing and quite possibly censorship of the internet. This, in my mind is a censorship on free speech as ISPs could simply refuse or heavily slow the streaming the testimonies of people they disagree with.
1466. Foone Turing, Fremont, CA, 94539  
My hobbies and business are built on internet freedom. Without net neutrality we could be easily squashed by the large ISPs who have a monopoly in our markets.
1467. Ryan T Campbell, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I believe it is VITAL to preserving the value and common good of the Internet.
1468. Prateek Madapurmath, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Keep the internet free. Do not compromise net neutrality
1469. Rohit Tanwar, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I support strong net-neutrality backed by title2 oversight of the isp's
1470. Cynthia Yu, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Strongly request to continue Net Neutrality for equal access to online information for everyone
1471. Jared Miller, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
We need strong net neutrality regulations. We can't trust the ISPs.
1472. Sumedh Bhattacharya, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The Internet has become a fundamental source of news, information, and entertainment for America and any control of this great resource violates the liberties and freedoms this nation was founded on. Thank you.
1473. Ryan Lee, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Net neutrality is essential to the free market in the digital age and essential to ongoing growth in the technology industry. Killing net neutrality would be a huge mistake.
1474. Ali Jalbani, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Please reinstate ACT-2 for Net Neutrality you fucking morons.
1475. Janessa Marie D. Lazaro, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. It's very important for the health of the internet
1476. stan hunt, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I am not a BOT. I am a tax paying citizen. Net Neutrality is our right to free access and shouldn't be revoked because a few lobbyists for ATT and Comcast are taking advantage of the billionaire environment that currently infects our government. Leave net neutrality as it is!
1477. Daniel Zafer-Joyce, San Jose, CA, 95129  
It is squarly in the interests of the American people and the common good that the FCC protect and uphold an open and unrestricted model of internet access for the public and for private and government agencies. Net neutrality is one the defining aspects of a demorcratic nation. ISP's should not have the right to restrict or selectively monetize that service on a case by case basis as this places a stranglehold on the market, on scientific and educational exchange, and throttles the advancement of these industries. The internet is a modern resource that needs the protection of the FCC against those who would exploit it.
1478. Matthew Woody, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. I am a voter who will be watching this and who supports it
1479. Safder Raza, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
FCC should continue to protect net neutrality. Keep in Title II
1480. christophe vial, Santa Clara, CA, 95051

net neutrality is critical for businesses, innovation and freedom of expression. The internet service providers have shown multiple times they cannot be trusted to treat all internet traffic as equal (see Netflix's case). They have also shown that they are not willing to provide improved service for affordable price: internet is better and cheaper in many countries which have such regulations. For those reasons we need regulations to protect net neutrality.

1481. Michael Sugas, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Net neutrality is a fundamental right to today's modern society. Controlling web traffic is infringing on our first amendment right to free speech by censoring where we can visit and what http requests we can send
1482. Greg Henshall, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I would like to urge Chairman Pai/Commissioner Oâ€™Rielly to defend Title II net neutrality and protect the internet as a common carrier. Will the commissioner stand with the majority of Americans and preserve real net neutrality? Thank you for considering my comment.
1483. Rosalie Morgan, sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Allow people to continue to pay for bandwidth to access data and then choose which content they would like to use that bandwidth for. Do not allow ISP's to throttle content and limit customers access to data based on source.
1484. Kevin Wang, santa clara, CA, 95051  
I am a Comcast customer, but I am IN SUPPORT of net neutrality. Comcast has done many things in the past that public outcry has forced them to change and roll back in the name of Net Neutrality. For example: They have blocked services that they should have been protected by free speech, but they were inconvenient to Comcast. See the news about [www.comcastroturf.com](http://www.comcastroturf.com); This is how free speech works. Just because it's inconvenient to Comcast, you must not be able to block it. Without Net Neutrality, they would be free to block access to random websites that just happened to be inconvenient. If you type in HUMAN\_TYPO\_WRONG\_DOMAIN.COM you would be redirected to a comcast ad site. This is wrong. Knowing that a domain does not exist is important; it also lets me know that it might be purchasable for me to set up my own business. On a technical basis, it also pollutes and corrupts my local DNS cache with invalid/incorrect information. If the phone company declined to let you call anyone you wanted, you would complain, wouldn't you? It would be wrong of them to block you from calling your grandmother. For example, Comcast has blocked their customers from reaching Netflix's web servers. This is wrong and against Net Neutrality policies. Net neutrality holds network providers to many of the same standards as phone companies.
1485. Kyle Berger, San Jose, CA, 95127  
The Internet must remain free and open. The only way to ensure that corporate interests do not outweigh the public interest is to maintain Title II protections for Internet Service Providers. Anything less is insufficient and will almost certainly end up being a hindrance to technological innovation, economic growth, and choice on the Internet. Strong Net Neutrality is a basic right, like a telephone, electricity, or running water.
1486. Leonid Hatch, sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I support net neutrality. Title 2 should not be reversed but should continue governing ISP's. The fact that this is even being considered is an absolute violation of the first amendment. In no way shape or form should ISP's have any power to regulate the internet.
1487. Paul Zhang, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Protect net neutrality and keep title ii. I don't trust ISPs to keep the internet open. Be an ISP or a content provider. But if you're both, then there's clear incentive for bias. Net neutrality doesn't hurt rural neighborhoods. ISPs would scale back rural neighborhoods regardless, if they needed to make budget cuts. How EXACTLY would less internet regulation benefit us? Enough vague hypotheticals.
1488. Michael Perrins, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I strongly believe we need to maintain the Title II regulations already in place and continue to support Net Neutrality. The internet should be open and data allowed to flow freely to the consumers. Net Neutrality is crucial not only for the US, but the entire world. No company should be allowed to be able to dictate the information which a person can access.
1489. Nico Farquharson, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I like not having the internet taken over by capitalism more than it already is
1490. Michael Seiler, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
As much as I am a fan of Netflix, Google, Amazon and these other large Internet companies, I strongly believe they should not be able to pay for preferential speed or data restrictions. Every company and person should be able to access the same Internet with absolute 0 preferential treatment for one type of traffic over another. Doing anything else will greatly stifle innovation and competition. For these reasons, I strongly support net neutrality regulation and the necessary Title II authority to enforce them. Thank you, Mike Seiler
1491. Dave Clark, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I support neutrality. I'm very upset seeing BIG BUSINESS getting more and more advantages over the small business and the common man. We have become a country of BIG BUSINESS, by BIG BUSINESS, and for BIG BUSINESS. No longer does our government represent the common citizen, and this is one more step in that direction.
1492. Torio Risianto, santa clara, CA, 95051  
Please don't let internet traffic be manipulated by large ISPs and Telecom companies like Verizon & Comcast. It's simply a conflict of interest.
1493. Andrew Hock, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support strong net neutrality rules for internet service providers under Title II.

1494. Jessica Vazquez, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I am leaving this comment to ask the FCC to support the free and open internet. I support strong net neutrality and want the FCC to continue Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.
1495. Mark Davis, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Please preserve net neutrality by continuing to classify internet traffic under Title II.
1496. Tom Lake, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Mr. Chairman and the Commissioners, I support net neutrality under the regulatory oversight of Title II. The Internet has become the default source for news, information and free expression in the digital age; consumers deserve a neutral space where all players are treated equally. The current landscape of content producers merged with content delivery networks has proved itself problematic time and time again. Please leave the Title II regulations in place. Chairman Pai, your past associations with Verizon would further muddy the waters around any regulatory change. With all the other conflicts surrounding this administration, this is not the time to roll back a regulatory change made in the Obama era. The last thing America needs is another controversial decision which could be cast as crony politics going to make the wealthy corporations even more profit. I urge the Commission to leave Internet Service Providers under Title II regulation.
1497. Robin E Florentine, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Preserve net neutrality as Title II. We cannot trust companies that are motivated by capital gain to make sure that the internet remains neutral --- behavioral economists have shown that incentives change behavior. Money is a huge incentive to have companies give themselves an advantage by slowing speeds for things that they don't get an advantage from. PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AS TITLE II.
1498. Surendra Kunwar, Fremont, CA, 94536  
I will strongly desired that net neutrality shall be maintained and shall not be changed in the interest of general public. I believe that paying customers shall have a right to chose the content they wish to watch and net providers shall not be allowed to control the speed or prioritize the traffic of paid customers.
1499. Angela Akridge, Newark, CA, 94560  
I have not heard a strong case in the interest of the public that justifies eliminating net neutrality. If there is, you need to do a better job communicating so. You work for me.
1500. Dennis Biroscak, San Francisco, CA, 95131  
Please keep the current net neutrality class II regulations. It's vitally important to maintain open competition and enable new companies to flourish or grow.
1501. Bryan McDonald, Newark, CA, 94560  
I strongly support the use of title 2 to continue regulating ISP's in support of net neutrality.
1502. Girish, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I would like the government to explicitly protect and regulate net neutrality. This is the foundation of the free internet.
1503. Jay Sivarajah, Newark, CA, 94560  
please keep our net neutrality laws.
1504. David W Curbow, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Summary: I object to 17-108. I've worked in the computer industry since 1978. Most of that time I've lived / worked in Silicon Valley at companies that have been key to the development of the internet, including Xerox, Apple, Sun and Cisco. My work has been split between development, CTO and research groups. Along the way I've learned how companies like AT&T, Verizon, AOL, Facebook, Google and many, many others have done their bit to create walled gardens where they can control user's access to content and communication. Far too many of our citizens have only ONE supplier of internet connectivity at home - just like there is only one option for electricity, gas, water and landline phones. My extended family living in Simms Texas are an example - only Windstream DSL. Wireless (e.g. Verizon) is very poor, and expensive - so not an option. Even in Silicon Valley, I have very limited options - Comcast cable or AT&T DSL (which isn't very fast) or much more expensive wireless (e.g. Verizon). That's not competition! When there is only one service provider that means they are able to create walled gardens or "gate keep" thru pricing to restrict Americans access to information. Even now we see Verizon and AT&T wireless plans offering "unlimited plans" that actually have limits - except if you consume HBO or other partner content. That's steering users to preferred providers of content! The internet was never as "free" as most people imagine it to be - because service providers have sought to control the internet, to preserve their company profits, to restrict access to content. The Public-Utility Regulation that 17-108 proposes to overthrow was a good step towards making the internet free. Would any politician be content with a country having only a single news outlet? If Fox News, CNN, MSNBC, Sinclair Broadcast Group, etc. were the only provider of television to the electorate? No! And yet if we allow service providers more control over the internet that is the danger. An informed electorate is required for this country to function. Abuses have happened before, and continue to happen - and this proposal makes them more likely to continue / expand. I object. Sincerely, David Curbow
1505. Carolyn Tong, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Do not remove the net neutrality rules that are currently in place as of 5/30/17. ISPs should continue to be classified as Title II companies.
1506. Nevada Shannahan, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Yeah these are visible on the internet, that's the way it should be. Leave the internet alone! It's simple, don't be a pain in the butt, keep internet speeds equal for everyone without paying (I know you might think that you're proposing something else, but it isn't). C'mon, let us be.

1507. Cara Denison, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Please do NOT kill net neutrality. Internet is not a luxury item. It is a public utility. We need it for school, to apply for jobs, to educate ourselves, and to connect to each other. Your job is to protect the consumer. Not to sell out the American people so a few shareholders can afford a seventh mansion. And if businesses are all you care about, at least know that if you kill net neutrality you are aborting new businesses. Startups will not be able to pay the ISP's fees/bribes. You are killing the next facebook or uber. Please do not do this.
1508. Dennis West, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. We must maintain FCC Title II classification, to prevent ISPs from offering "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.
1509. Adam Moreno, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I am writing in support of keeping net neutrality regulations in place. We should not be allowing ISPs to have control of both the information that we are generating and the information we are receiving. The internet should represent a free flow of information. If the US truly desires innovation and free market principles than we cannot give the power of "regulating" information flow to private companies which is what negating net neutrality would do. Additionally, ISP's role in the flow of information through the web should only be that of a hub and not a spy agency that collects information on its users that can be sold to any buyer. It is not hard to imagine how this power could and most certainly will be abused. So again I am in support of net neutrality and am against the FCC's bid to overturn these rules. Sincerely, Adam Moreno
1510. Shashank Mittal, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Net Neutrality is very important and I support that. Please stop opposing it!
1511. Donald Steinfeld, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I wholeheartedly support complete and total net neutrality and fully condone the oversight of ISPs via Title II of the Communications Act of 1934, while simultaneously condemning the slipping back to oversight by Title I of the same--a change which would allow ISPs to more easily govern how and what material I can consume online, and ISPs may thereby potentially be persuaded by greed to reduce or restrict the availability of some net content to benefit their own financial interests. In considering rolling back from Title II to Title I--much like if I were to give my girlfriend the PIN for my ATM card--I see absolutely no upside benefit possible (so why bother doing this?), and only a gigantic pool of potential, nay likely downside.
1512. Laurel Torney, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I am in favor of strong net neutrality rules and policies, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do your part to prevent internet service providers from favoring certain sites by intentionally speeding or slowing down user access.
1513. Mike Gilley, cupertino, CA, 95014  
Please listen to your constituents and restore internet privacy measures.
1514. Christopher Toomey, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Internet neutrality is an extremely fundamental and important principle to the citizens of the United States. Please don't revoke it.
1515. Matt, Fremont, CA, 94538  
In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. Isn't it possible they could do this again? I'm also concerned by mobile providers who say a plan is "unlimited," but when you exceed the data cap, only throttle sites and services that aren't part of their approved zero-rating network. The revision of said plan seems to simply read as a cash grab to support whatever particular interests the ISP's favor/invest in at the time. To not see this act of doing away with net neutrality as a corrupt practice is akin to closing your eyes and pretending everything is alright. I'm speaking to you the reader of this comment, at some point as a child you could not have imagined this would be your life right now. That you'd be giving into a corrupt practice for the betterment of the ISP's wallets. You didn't go through life just to walk down this path of nonsensical greed to line the coffers of the ISP's. YOU are better than that. Your task is to regulate, we (I) only ask that you regulate in a fair practice and not bow to the whims of the President pushing this agenda that would deregulate and make mockery of your practice.
1516. Peter Butler, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Very few people have direct access to the internet backbone. Like most people I subscribe to an ISP. The various ISPs have the ability to block or slow traffic depending on its source. The internet is only truly open if they do not use that ability. Statements to the effect of "we play fair" don't cut it. The only way to assure that ISPs play fair is by making it a law they must obey. Those who would play fair anyway will not be impacted. Regulations insure fair compaction. In return, ISPs have no liability over the traffic they carry. ISPs should be treated like pipes that connect their subscribers to the internet backbone. If I understand correctly, "Title 2" will allow fairness rules to be implemented. Unfair practices include:  
\* Giving priority to video streams from Amazon over Netflix or artificially slowing Netflix  
\* Paying for priority access or to turn off artificially induced slowing  
\* Preventing access to specific sites  
\* Blocking or slowing non-ISP email or VOIP service  
\* Blocking or slowing based on operating system or browser i.e. Linux or Tor  
\* Blocking or slowing ads from (or not from) specific sites, advertisers or products  
It would be fair for an ISP to:  
\* Favor my VOIP traffic over video streams over file downloads over email based on the bandwidth I am paying for  
\* Charge more to exceed reasonable data limits before slowing access
1517. Garam Young, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Dear FCC chair man Pai, I strongly support the net neutrality and title II regulation of ISPs.

1518. Stefano young, sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Dear FCC chair man Pai,I support net neutrality and title II oversight of the ISPs. Keep the internet fair!
1519. Amit Patel, Newark, CA, 94560  
Hello,I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.Thank you,
1520. Mr. Bene, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Preserve strong net neutrality under Title II over site of ISP
1521. Scott Hart, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
KEEP THE INTERNET NEUTRAL! Don't allow the ISP's to control how fast certain sites load my data
1522. Ganesh Prasanna, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Net Neutrality is an essential tenet. Title 2 is the ONLY way the laws of the United States can protect the rights of all customers who depend on the internet for their services. Chairman Pai was disingenuous as best and blatantly lying at worst, when his own former employer Verizon, is on record stating that Title 2 will not in any way impede their CapEx and other investments. Yet Mr. Pai went on television to state the opposite. Shame on Mr. Pai. The least he can do is resign with what dignity he has left.
1523. Nikki Mirza, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I specifically support strong net neutrality. Preserve the neutrality and Title Two!
1524. Vincent Houlihan, Fremont, CA, 94538  
We should not deregulate an industry that can control access to other business. We can not trust businesses to behave in the best interest of our country, our people, or even their own long term benefits. Any company can make metaphorical snake oil if there is no rules to enforce against it. We cannot rely on market forces. Caveat emptor may work in small settings, but our economy has grown much larger and more complex than the Roman markets that inspired that concept.
1525. Restoring Internet Freedom, Fremont, CA, 94536  
Net neutrality is important for everyone. It what allow all data to be treated equally on the internet. So by rolling back regulation on Net neutrality you allow ISP give faster connection to data, article and other sources of media on the internet that support said ISP. And those that don't support ISP slower connection. This is a clear breach on our right of freedom of speech.
1526. Amit Assaf, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Net neutrality is the secret sauce that democratizes the internet. Let's not ruin the wonder that gave us wikipedia, google, and youtube videos on how to fix everything in your house. Keep the internet a Title II regulated utility, it's our responsibility as Americans and as the world leader on the net.
1527. Pradeep Ramani, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I strongly support net neutrality and regulation under title 2 introduced a few years ago.I do not want my ISP to be voluntarily committing to any net neutrality programme but want it to be regulated and enforced by FCC.Internet is a basic right like food and safety and the FCC has to make sure it's fair and that it's endorsed very strictly.Thanks.
1528. Aaron Roider, San jose, CA, 95133  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. This is extremely important to me as I see no other option for a free and open internet. With the internet becoming such a quintessential tool for research, exploration, entertainment and learning this is more important now more than ever!
1529. Greg Cochard, fremont, CA, 94538  
As a software engineer, I use the internet for nearly every aspect of my job. I do not want my ISP to be able to decide what services I can have access to. The current rules are not even enough as zero-rating has slipped past regulators and damaged many businesses as a result. We need \_stronger\_ protections, not weaker.The argument that the internet "flourished" under light touch regulation is flawed, because past history has no indication of future behavior. ISPs did not have the existential threat of cable cutters to worry about, and they are clinging to every source of revenue possible now. Comcast held netflix's user experience hostage under the guise that netflix should pay their fair share, however netflix was offering to reduce data transfer without paying for comcast to upgrade the equipment that their customers pay to use. ISPs already have an income source, their CUSTOMERS, and they should not be allowed to double- and triple-dip their revenue streams because the FCC will not act according to their mandate.If consumers had more than one option in broadband providers, this might not be an issue and we could continue with "light touch" regulation, but there are increasingly fewer choices as ISPs segment their market and reduce competition. This leads to worse customer satisfaction and increased frustrations with the state of the internet. Most consumers do not know how their data gets to their computer, and that plays to the ISPs advantage as the user will blame the website if it is slow. These customers will move on to something prioritized (or zero-rated) by the ISP and this will give the ISP far too much power.As stated above, the ISPs are paid by their customers, thus they need to serve their customers first.
1530. Benjamin Lee, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I support strong net neutrality. ISPs should be stopped by law to choose what content has the priority to be sent through their pipelines. Only then we can be ensured of a fair internet. There's no evidence that strong net neutrality would slow ISP's investment. And even if that happened, giving ISP incentives to make investments isn't more important than a fair and open internet.
1531. Jason Su, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Dear FCC Chairman,Today we all participate in an unprecedented era of technological prosperity and innovation, the Information Age. The Internet is undoubtedly one of the greatest inventions in human history. It has affected nearly all aspects modern life: how we learn, how we celebrate art, how we communicate, and how we conduct commerce. We have seen the rise of many of the world's largest companies because of the Internet: Google, Amazon, Apple, and Facebook. All of these companies were at one time the small fish in

their domain, but were able to grow and serve their customers because of free and unfettered access to the Internet. As a child of the computer and information age, an engineer that has been inspired by the marvel of technology, and a natural born citizen of the US, I implore that you uphold Title II classification for internet service providers to safeguard the free market of information across the Internet under net neutrality. In order for a free market to exist for businesses, all participants should have fair access to customers. ISPs play a special role in that they provide the link between people and the Internet. Abolishing net neutrality would enable ISPs to sell their own paying customers as a commodity to Internet companies that want to serve them. ISPs could hold their own customer base ransom. Most citizens throughout the country do not have a choice in their ISP. Often times they at best face a duopoly between a DSL and a cable provider. This means that such behavior by ISPs cannot be resolved economically and requires the intervention of the FCC to uphold the principles of the Internet that have led to the prosperity of the Information Age. What's more, some of the nation's largest ISPs have major conflicts of interest in this area. Comcast and Time Warner Cable (prior to its recent purchase by Charter) are themselves large media conglomerates that have products that compete with services like Netflix, Spotify, and YouTube. Should Title II be removed, ISPs would be granted a special privilege to Internet users that would empower them to operate on behalf of their parent company's media arms instead of in the interest of their own customers. ISPs argue that they are at an unfair disadvantage because Title II prevents them from collecting information on their users and selling advertising based on this data. They claim that they deserve to have a piece of the advertising pie like Google and Facebook. This claim is highly misleading and ignorant of the differences between their business models. Google and Facebook offer free services that are paid by advertising, users are free to not use their services. ISPs are already subscription services and there is often no real choice between carriers. Opting out of having internet service entirely is a non-option in modern society and would jeopardize most people's ability to conduct business, learn, and communicate. Furthermore, ISPs have already shown themselves to be brazen and irresponsible when it comes to advertising. Comcast and AT&T have acted like hackers, injecting man-in-the-middle code and ads into websites, and Verizon has surreptitiously injected supercookies that track users' browsing habits across the internet. As the creator of the Internet, I believe the USA is also the steward of its future. As the chairman of the FCC, this awesome responsibility is very much yours as well. I ask that you uphold the will and interests of this great nation's citizens rather than those of corporate greed. Please uphold Title II classification for internet service providers. Sincerely, Jason Su

1532. Rudy Colon, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. The internet should remain an equal forum for all people.
1533. Thanh Duong, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Going against net neutrality will hurt millions while putting the money in the hands of the few.
1534. Ted Rust, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I strongly support vigorous net neutrality under Title II to keep the internet open and free of corruption via the companies that provide the backbone of it. Those companies often have secondary and tertiary products that directly compete with companies that DON'T control the internet and that is a clear conflict of interest.
1535. Elizabeth Fraley, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
As a small business owner, I work entirely virtually for my customers. Travel is expensive, so my customers depend on me being able to collaborate using virtual internet services. I cannot compete with large consulting companies if I have to raise my rates in order to serve my customers. No small business can. The Internet should be treated as a public utility that everyone can use equally so as to guarantee a level playing field for healthy competition. I urge you to fight for Net Neutrality and to keep ISPs regulated under Title II.
1536. Sara Ward Charles, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Maintain Net Neutrality in Title II for a free and open internet!
1537. Paul Wcislo, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Keep net neutrality and preserve Title 2 rules.
1538. Rajesh Bangalore, San Jose, CA, 95134  
We dont want any regulations on who is controlling the internet. The internet should be free from anybody's clutches, so that anybody can choose what they want.
1539. Jasmine B, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I strongly support net neutrality and preserving the classification of ISP under Title 2. Please leave things the way they are. Do not change the internet into what you want it to be. It should be what the people want it to be.
1540. Vimal, San Jose, CA, 95134  
We need an open internet back by title II regulations. Don't ruin the internet
1541. Alejandro, Fremont, CA, 94538  
keep the net free its to your benefit
1542. Shyam rao, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I am saying YES to net neutrality. I am saying YES to Title 2. The arguments of the fcc chairman are fake and disingenuous.
1543. Dhaval Malnika, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Dear Ajit Pai, I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II Oversight by ISPs. I do not want today's free Internet to be regulated by ISPs in any particular way for their own profits or interests. The only control ISPs must have on the Internet content reaching the users is how fast they can deliver every single content, without differentiating it based on your own interests. Thanks, Dhaval Malnika
1544. Madhur Tanwani, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please do not give ISPs the flexibility to interpret net neutrality to be anything else than complete freedom for consumers to use

whatever/whenever/wherever from the Internet that they like.

1545. Prasad Ramani Mahadevan, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Internet is a great resource for everyone now and for future generations. We should not introduce any bias in accessing the internet. This is like breaking a fundamental right to information.
1546. dheeraj, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
We don't want net neutrality laws
1547. Brian Bertrand, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
The fact that Anjit Pai wants to kill net neutrality is absolutely the worst thing possible for the internet. I saw Verizon putting up pricing options for WEBSITES!!! Twitch, Facebook, YouTube, etc. It's things like that that make net neutrality that much more important, now more than ever.
1548. Sandra McIntyre, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Communication via the Internet must be kept neutral, with all providers allowed the ability and bandwidth necessary to make web applications, whether web pages, video or live streaming equally available to all consumers, day and night. To change that would make some services no longer useful, if not completely unavailable, and deny users of the web services to access information including education, research and commerce. The cost of television service has become almost out of reach for many households, and many families rely on the Internet for school work as well as shopping and entertainment, because they must choose one technology or the other, and cannot afford both. Don't burden the consumer with having to choose NOT to use the Internet, because it would become insupportable and frustrating to use many network services to complete research and finish coursework on restricted bandwidth many services would be forced to use, to reduce their costs and to keep services available for consumers. Net neutrality protects the consumer, educational institutions and small businesses, the backbone of a free and educated society.
1549. Susan Steckclair, San Jose, CA, 95129  
Without Net neutrality, I anticipate the large providers will allow large businesses to buy fast bandwidth, reducing competition and ultimately costing the consumer more.
1550. Benjamin Trofater, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Net Neutrality is essential for a free and open internet. Private interests must not determine winners and losers by controlling access to information, a fundamental human right.
1551. David Bacon, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
My privacy is important. We need a open playing field that fosters innovation. Giving away the publicly financed internet to large corporations will have the reverse effect. The internet should remain neutral, not sold by the byte.
1552. Rebecca Hancock, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Please protect net neutrality. Big business already controls too much of our lives! Let the internet remain open to all equally.
1553. Peter Kern, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Stop Trump, That maggot has called almost everyone in America a slime and lowlife at one time or another. He has no dignity and he must be tried for his crimes against our great country. The FCC does what Trump says and so that's why Trump must be stopped first.
1554. Shara Carder, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I am an elementary school teacher. In my profession, it is very important to have an internet in which I can access different points of view. Also, I greatly value having access to a broad range of topics such as the history of Cesar Chavez's life, data on The Great Salt March led by Mohandas Gandhi and more.
1555. David Darknell, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
There is NO internet, without Neutrality. It becomes Verizon Net, or Comcast Net, or ... And then the haves take over, leaving all the have nots lost, forever. The FCC hasn't failed us in the past, and I'm rooting for them again. Vote Neutrality!
1556. Vinod Iyengar, Newar, CA, 94560  
Please leave alone net neutrality under the existing Title II guidelines
1557. Frank Lemmon, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Net neutrality is an essential component of free speech! When only corporations or individuals who can afford high-speed network service are able to utilize such service exclusively, it places an inequitable advantage into the hands of those who can afford it, at the expense of many citizens who can't. Please don't move in this direction; keep the Internet free, open, and transparent to all!
1558. David Darknell, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
My very own, personal IOT devices, depend on a flat and open Internet to function. Like the public roads we drive upon, forcing every highway down to 1 lane, unless you pay additional fees, will fundamentally damage commerce in the 21st Century. We look to the FCC to stop sponsoring restrictions, and sponsor growth.
1559. Senthil Nathan, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Net neutrality is the essence of level playing field where anyone with an idea/creative solution can able to compete without the fear of big companies gobbling up the majority of the bandwidth. Please do NOT kill the innovation that was the fundamental seed that has evolved to become big companies. Without these seeds of individual innovators, the future technological leadership of our country would be curtailed and we set ourselves for failure.

1560. Tahir J Naim, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I already pay Comcast for upload/download speeds for when I surf the internet. Why on earth should the speed I pay for now, depend on whether the site I'm visiting is also paying, especially if it's not a their own ISP? It doesn't make sense. Middlemen love to rob us whether it's in real estate, stockbroking or Comcast/AT&T.
1561. David Schiever, Newark, CA, 94560  
Internet access should be available to all users and not hoarded by special interests for their own benefit. Make Internet neutral.
1562. Navdeep Johar, Fremont, CA, 94538  
The only effective way to regulate the internet in America is through Title II. Internet Service Providers today, much like electric and water utilities of yesterday, are natural monopolies. Economics 101 states that natural monopolies have massive first mover advantages: i.e. whoever lays the first fat pipe of broadband has already sunk in their fixed costs and will do anything to protect their investment, including pricing it marginal cost of operation. The only way to promote competition in natural monopolies is by government regulation similar to how Public Utilities Commissions exist in the states, and the only tool to do that country wide without a hodge podge of state-wise regulation is Title II. Purposely tying the federal government's hands by playing into lobbying by Ajit Pai's former employer, Verizon and other service providers only hurts consumers and smacks of crony capitalism. Furthermore, this flies in the face of publicly stated goals of President Trump to drain the swamp in Washington. Perhaps he should start with the current Chairman of the FCC. Restore faith in democracy and Washington by leaving Title II regulation of all service providers and promoting competition.
1563. Patrick Kevin Reyes, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Destroying Net Neutrality would be devastating to our First Amendment rights and would deprive our fellow Americans the Freedom of Speech we treasure so much.
1564. Sarah Lofgren, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
We need net neutrality. Relying on internet providers to maintain equal access without oversight is not enough, as they will do whatever best serves their shareholders. Without net neutrality, the door is open for potential biases and even corporate sabotage. This will disrupt everyone's ability to use the internet, and lead to an overall slowdown in growth and productivity. We must maintain a free and open internet for all.
1565. Donald A Ruel, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
FCC: You must keep the Internet free, open, and neutral. Avoid corporate takeovers by all means. I vote for net neutrality. Don Ruel.
1566. Bob Trice, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Keep all governments off the internet
1567. Ryan Ko, Fremont, CA, 94539  
net neutrality is very important for entrepreneurship and MUST BE PROTECTED. Fast lanes will hurt innovation and destroy economic value!
1568. Jeanine Lee, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
The FCC should be the protector of our internet neutrality as it was in the past. Having lived in China and experienced the shackles of a controlled internet, I cannot fathom how our own country would choose to take a step down that route. I urge you to once again become the Protector that you were before, and protect our access to a free and open internet.
1569. Joel Aguilera, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
The internet should not be for sale. Please maintain Net Neutrality and keep the internet open and accessible to all.
1570. Samuel Santos, San Jose, CA, 95134  
By limiting access to the internet, you limit education. By charging for access to the internet, you create discrimination. By throttling the Internet, you destroy small business. We are not corporations, and we do not want profit. We want education, entertainment, and socialization. We already pay for most of these things everyday. Do we need to pay more?
1571. Dan Simion, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Internet is, although not officially recognized as such, a public utility that can be assimilated to electricity, gas and water. What would you say, if the water company would limit your use only to a quick shower and maybe brushing your teeth? We pay for it, there is nobody's business what we do with it. Keep it neutral!
1572. mushtaq syed, santa clara, CA, 95050  
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. It gives consumers to choose what they want. It prevents corporations from censoring information.
1573. Judy Martz , Sunnyvale , CA, 94089  
I still believe in this country's freedom of speech and do not believe just any one person or group has the right to take it away !  
ESPECIALLY YOU !
1574. Rob Lash, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I believe that all Internet traffic should be treated equally in terms of accessibility, regardless of source or content. All users, content providers and consumers, must have easy access to the internet. I believe this will enable innovation and job creation in a way that a closed internet never will.
1575. Simon, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
The internet is has been an engine of innovation for over 20 years. It needs protecting from entities that would put up fences to smother

fledgling entrepreneurs. Free markets need strong leadership to keep level playing-fields and neutral access to the common good.

1576. Myron Hattig, San Jose , CA, 95134  
My daily life relies on the Internet for entertainment, news, social media, and work. I pay a significant amount every month this service. I should not have addition business deals between companies, which I have no control over or input on, impact the service that I'm already paying for. FCC net neutrality is only thing that can protect my consumer interest.
1577. Denise Hooshiar, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
As citizens of this country, respected, educated, involved, caring, honest, law-abiding, tax-paying, we feel net neutrality is fundamental (yes, fundamental) to free speech. PLEASE SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY! Big Corporations have already too much power, have had all wrong influence on our lives (look at the food industry). We need net neutrality to protect our small businesses our innovators. Thank you. Denise Hooshiar
1578. tomaz raven, sanata clara, CA, 95050  
leave fire fox alone, mozilla foundation is a good company.
1579. Anton Stroganov, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Removing net neutrality protections opens the way for ISP's to build pay walls, locking out startups and starving innovation. Internet has only grown become a trillion dollar engine of economy \_because\_ it has been a world-wide level playing field from the beginning, with everyone allowed to participate and send traffic freely and equally.
1580. Jan Stevenson, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
As a Net user for over 30 years (first as an Arpanet (plus some time on BBN), then an Internet user as I wound my way through college and then a 30+ career in Tech industry) I beg you to keep net neutrality!!! It's just not right and it hampers the end user and the consumer not to. Let's not let the "big guys" have there way in the name of corporate greed. Very BAD for everyday people :-(
1581. Roxanne Beverstein, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I am a partner in a small, virtual, tech-company, that relies on the internet for our operation. We operate on a small profit margin. We have user website which is supported by small advertisers. Our employees reside in many countries, and we rely on the Internet for Skype and e-mail to manage them. We couldn't stay in business if we had to pay more for our Internet use. We believe the Internet should be treated as a utility.
1582. denice everham, cupertino , CA, 95014  
If you kill net neutrality you will kill our democracy and and this administration will go down in history as the administration that end the great experiment of democracy in the once great United States of America
1583. John Rechtfertig, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Does this FCC want to be remembered as the group that sold out the Internet? Because we will remember.
1584. William Phillipson, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started. It allows consumers "not big companies" to choose what they watch & do online.
1585. Edwin Castillo, san jose, CA, 95002  
If well this is not handled by just one company, this is a clear attempt of monopolism! and making our right of free speech to be controlled by all this companies to control what we watch, see and hear. Unacceptable..
1586. Harikrishna Rapole, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I always believed that ever body should get equal opportunity to air their perspective in internet. This would be a way for big cable companies like Comcast and AT&T and Verizon to take control of internet. I support net neutrality for this reason.
1587. Sriharsha, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Do you differentiate between one vote and another? Neutrality plays a major role in democracy. It plays a bigger role on the internet where the flow of thought is at its best. By letting parties with vested interest control the access to the internet, it will cease to be democratic.
1588. Paul knittel, Santa clara, CA, 95051  
I believe the FCC should have enough to worry about with just trying to regulate air traffic control and drones flying around. I should have the right to watch browse what I want when I want and as many times as I want with out some agency or corporate big agency looking over my shoulder.
1589. Minh Huynh, San Jose, CA, 95133  
Net neutrality is one way to stop the big ISP companies raising their subscription fees unfairly by manipulating the internet speed.
1590. Ohad Noy Feldheim, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
It seems to me extremely important in order to maintain personal freedoms, to devoid network access providers from having any discretion over what type of internet transportation gets priority in speed, access or any other parameter. The freedom of the internet is an incredible accomplishment that we should strive to preserve.
1591. cp, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
If you make the internet cost more money no one will use it; it has elastic demand. Think about how hard that will make your job and the rest of the government's.

1592. Ben Lawrence, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Please keep separate the internet's infrastructure from the internet's content and maintain net neutrality.
1593. Stephen Sebeny, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please do not change net neutrality. I support continued regulation under Title II classification.
1594. duane esquibel, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
The internet is doing great! Why change it for a few companies.
1595. Jared Miller, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Don't let big business control the internet. The internet needs to be equal for all.
1596. Robert Fry, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Despite several large communication companies' statements, the ability to treat traffic differently based on content type is certain to damage the ability of small companies to compete and to innovate. Please vote no on any attempt to weaken the current rules on 'net neutrality'.
1597. Blaine Story, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support net neutrality because without it, ISPs will be able to be even worse than they already are in this country.
1598. Pat Radovich, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Net neutrality allows consumers - not big companies - to choose what they watch and do online. It must be protected! It is fundamental to free speech.
1599. kamil, santa clara, CA, 95054  
Can you please educate us further on the specific consumer benefits of net neutrality? I believe often times we are never heard.
1600. Katherine C. James, Fremont, CA, 94539  
The net should be open to each citizen in the same way. Without it, a person is excluded from the way we now communicate, read, learn, watch. Removing net neutrality in the interest of cable companies who already make huge profits, already don't compete, and already provide questionable service will have a negative impact on all citizens, but particularly on the young and the poor. A robust society is informed and has a robust middle class. Our society is becoming increasingly rich and poor. Please support net neutrality to support access to information and debate a healthy free society requires. My career was in tech. I went from the Arpanet to the Internet to the World Wide Web. I know what it means to for me personally and for the world to have access to the web. It should not depend on how much money a person has to pay extra for what was once accessible. We need to improve quality and access, not remove access if one cannot afford the spiraling price.
1601. Tushar Singla, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
This is ridiculous. Net neutrality needs to stay. Big companies already have too much power.
1602. David Hamm, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please be nice to the world. Everybody deserves a fair playing field. Leave Net Neutrality.
1603. Roshi Ram, San Jose, CA, 95134  
We want a free internet not controlled by big corporations
1604. Paul J. Goda, S.J., Santa Clara, CA, 95053  
Public utilities should be run for the public. If anything is a public utility, it is the means of communication -- the internet is the best example of such a utility. Economic control over the internet is privatization of a public value, a contradictory notion if ever there was one.
1605. Will Burton, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Net Neutrality is critical to letting everyone take part in a free and open network that connects everyone around the world. If we hand the internet over to cable companies we are compromising our freedom. The internet will impact our lives forever greater in the future and it is imperative that anyone may access the content they please. Please don't take away our freedom
1606. C.Hawker, San Jose, CA, 95133  
I don't believe that anyone has the right to slow down or speed up anyone else internet access. The internet was developed to SHARE!!!! Keep net neutrality.
1607. Margaret Okuzumi, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Net neutrality is fundamental to American freedoms and values. It's bad enough that I have to deal with a monopoly for cable service which restricts whether and how I can view shows on a one-time basis through streaming services like Roku without a monthly subscription. I don't want big companies dictating what I watch or what content I can access, in the name of profit. Please protect net neutrality and keep the Internet a free marketplace of ideas and innovation.
1608. Jayant, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
A neutral internet has worked just fine for all. ISPs should figure out different ways of making money instead of changing prevalent laws. This is how it is for other industries. There is no compelling reason to change net neutrality for the users of the internet and I hope the interests of the people are considered instead of just the interest of ISPs.
1609. Ann El Demery, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
The internet is an inalienable right in my mind where access to information is so essential to making better decisions. Giving preference

to corporations and the wealthy is a violation and unfair discrimination against the indigent and working class person.

1610. Pushkar Tiwari, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please consider this Net neutrality as freedom of speech. As freedom of speech is fundamental to any democracy, net neutrality is also basic to preserve democracy.
1611. Steven Jones, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Net neutrality protects all Americans from being screwed with by our ISP's. Leave the rules alone!
1612. Fred Harper, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
We all pay for internet access, almost always based on approximate upload and download bandwidth ("speeds") from our carriers, and sometimes including caps of total Gigabytes transmitted or similar. Enough already. Keep the "playing field" level. Whatever bandwidth you pay for, that's what you get, period. That's the "net neutrality" in which I believe and support. Every user pays their way based on bandwidth and potentially Gigabytes, period.
1613. T Carroll, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Keep your money-grubbing hands off the Internet. My name, interests and opinions are not for sale. The amount and type of advertising to which we are constantly subjected are already excessive.
1614. Jeff Hughes, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
To whom at the FCC it may concern, The creation of the internet was paid for by the taxpayers. It is us the consumers that continue to pay for the internet of now and the future. Keep the internet net neutral as it through this media we the people of the world maintain our free speech and bring cultures and people from around the globe together.
1615. Keith Johnson, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
One company over another because they can pay more is a ruse. Same access to internet speed should be a right not something you can buy. In the interest of a fair market neutrality must be preserved.
1616. Barbara Doheny, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
America's economy runs on the small businesses clustered around large organizations or standing on their own in small towns across our country. Any tinkering with the level playing field the internet offers small business will effectively choose the winners and losers in what should be a free marketplace of ideas, products and services. Protect Net Neutrality!
1617. Daniel Ho, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Internet is so powerful and useful now because everyone has equal access. Allowing providers to change terms is handing control over to big corporations, albeit small initially, can easily increase. It stands against the principle of democracy which internet and this country is built on.
1618. Nick Assar, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Leave net neutrality alone. There are absolutely no good reasons to hand over such an importantly large infrastructure as the Internet to the hands of greedy corporations.
1619. Thorisa Yap, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I SUPPORT Net Neutrality because it is fundamental to Free Speech.
1620. Rick Zarrella, Fremont, CA, 94538  
It is essential to protect net neutrality. Much like the Elkins Act, passed to end railroad rebates which were an unfair and economically damaging tactic, we must not let companies chop up essential pipelines for profit. Communication today is as vital as railroads were back then. I have seen what happens when internet access is chopped up (hotel access offers limited sites until the consumer pays), and it is atrocious to think that could be the norm going forward. Do not allow that to happen, please.
1621. Drew Kelsey, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. It preserves our right to communicate freely online. Companies like AT&T, Comcast and Verizon would be able to decide who is heard and who isn't. They'd be able to block websites or content they don't like or applications that compete with their own offerings.
1622. Zach Low, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Net neutrality must be protected to protect normal people. To keep normal people safe from the abuse of big companies. Net neutrality needs to be kept open so that every one has equal access to the internet. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY OPEN!
1623. Nathan Papadopoulos, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Freedom of Information Privacy Equal Access to content that is meaningful and selected by me and not selected, edited or pruned for me. No throttling of media for financial gain of corporate entities: MSOs and Service Providers.
1624. Ed Ahrens, San Jose, CA, 95129  
Sirs! Do not sell out to big business! The internet is key to growth in the tech sector. Limiting it in any way stifles competition, limits free speech and hands over control to 3rd parties. Please keep the net open and accessible for all.
1625. Vishal Parikh, Fremont, CA, 94536  
Internet is like Air. World has already started selling water, but come on charging for Air and internet is insane.
1626. Bernhard Hailer, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Net Neutrality equals free speech. Broken Net Neutrality equals censorship. It equals greed. It equals suppression. We're proud to live in

a free country. Don't touch our freedom. Abolished Net Neutrality would be a giant leap backwards.

1627. Trung Nguyen, San Jose, CA, 95131  
FCC/Ajit Pai, We NEED a free and open internet. Keep the net free with the ISPs being listed under Title 2. You are doing the American people you serve a disgraceful service if you side along with the corporations that you've had ties to (We all know you worked as a lawyer for Verizon). Don't be a prick and do the right thing. We're all watching your actions.
1628. Nikhil Desai, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Removing internet service providers from the domain of Title II regulation is a serious mistake that will grievously harm the free and open internet. Contrary to Chairman Pai's viewpoints, the behavior of the ISP market prior to Title II reclassification in 2015 showed clearly the necessity of enforcing strong common carrier provisions to prevent bad faith interference of ISPs in the traffic of their customers. By enabling ISPs to once again play favorites and use bandwidth manipulation to extract concessions from fledgling startups and popular services, this regulatory rollback will hurt Internet users nationwide. I strongly encourage the FCC to reject this proposal.
1629. Gilberto Gonzalez, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I am in strong favor of net neutrality and want to express my intense support of keeping the TITLE II standings.
1630. Keith, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Get it right don't let the big guys screw us again.
1631. Arpan Jain, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Mr Ajit Pai - I back Strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
1632. John Tucker, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! John Tucker
1633. Nishank, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Please do not revoke net neutrality!!!
1634. Kim Cupka, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I support maintaining ISPs under title 2 classification. Net neutrality is really important to all of us. Comcast and Verizon screw the average American enough. Title 2 at least gives the power to enforce net neutrality on these big money and power hungry companies.
1635. Sameer Ladiwala, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I strongly request the FCC to continue to make it mandatory by law for the internet service providers to continue supporting net neutrality, including keeping the internet service providers under Title 2 categorization.
1636. Bob Ho, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Ajit Pai, Stop being misleading with your speeches on net neutrality. The world is much more informed than you think. I for one will continue to support net neutrality and the Title 2 classification of ISPs.
1637. Alexei, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Please defend net neutrality ... as a concerned citizen, first time filer - this is the most important issue in my and my child's life.
1638. John K, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Chairman Pai is corrupt if he thinks that going against 60 percent of the population -source(<http://thehill.com/policy/technology/339137-poll-60-percent-of-voters-support-fccs-net-neutrality-rules>) and going against the vast majority of ISP's other than the big 5 - source (<https://arstechnica.com/tech-policy/2017/06/30-small-isps-urge-ajit-pai-to-preserve-title-ii-and-net-neutrality-rules/>) is the correct thing to do. The people have spoken, the vast majority of the industry has spoken. Only someone who is taking bribes or kickbacks from major corrupt ISP's would make a decision to undo the title 2 legislation.
1639. Matthew Berry, San Jose, CA, 95129  
In paragraph 83 of the NPRM, the question "Could the continued existence of this rule negatively impact future innovative, pro-competitive business deals that would not by themselves run afoul of merger conditions or established antitrust law?" referring to the anti-throttling rule is presented. The answer to this question is no, the continued existence of this rule would not negatively impact pro-competitive business deals. As a matter of fact, repealing this rule would stifle competition. By banning ISPs to throttle incoming traffic, they will be held accountable for their infrastructure, which will help to boost competitiveness. By allowing throttling, ISPs will become

unconcerned about the quality of their infrastructure, leading to poor service. Despite being a world leader in technological advancements, we rank 17th in the world in internet speeds, and 28th in the world in mobile internet speeds, due to our subpar internet infrastructure. By allowing ISPs to throttle our internet speeds, our rankings will drop even lower. Because of this, I am in strong support of a free and open internet, and believe that Internet Service Providers need to be re-classified as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.

1640. Uri Gilad, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
To me, internet freedom means equal access to bandwidth at all sides, and providing a level playing ground to all players, big and small. The proposed change radically changes that, as big players who have shown they are more than willing to stack the deck in their favor. This is where a regulator comes in, you had one job.. .
1641. Michael Glass, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I support strong protection of net neutrality. Please ensure that provision of internet services continues to be regulated under Title II.
1642. Damian Small, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Internet connectivity is a critical service that I rely on. I use it to connect to the web- accessing websites like Wikipedia and Amazon; I use IP-based services like skype, VPN, and VNC to communicate and connect with my employer's private network; and I use my internet service to run a private hobby-scale server. Peer-to-peer networking, multiplayer games, other multi-user programs often use a client-server architecture that is hosted on a person's local computer. In my case I also host persistent services like e-mail, web pages, and voice chat on a dedicated system. Being able to host these small services for my personal use is very valuable to me: besides enjoyment it allows me to use exactly the type of service I want and control how my information is used. Without net neutrality, and the classification of ISPs as a telecommunications service, my ability to use the internet as I currently do would be at severe risk. I expect my ISP to provide a clear, unrestricted pipe to the internet, and I believe the FCC should continue to ensure that ISPs are required to do just that.
1643. Eric Wiggins, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Net neutrality is essential to the internet. This is something that need additional protections not fewer. The large corporations are once again trying to take advantage of the system for themselves. I do not think I should pay money for the entire internet only to have sponsorship be the determining factor in what services I choose to enjoy. I cannot support any politicians that see eliminating the protections of the internet as a good thing while we are living in the Information Technology age.
1644. Alfredo Gonzalez, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Hey FCC,I support strong net neutrality back by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Also, don't be sell out y'all. It's not cool to screw everyone over for a few extra bucks. We as individuals are insignificant on the grander scale so do humanity a favor by helping progress not. Once more in case you already forgot: I support strong net neutrality back by title 2 oversight of ISP's.Thanks,
1645. Rahul K, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I would like to make sure that strict Title 2 legal rules are enforced for net neutrality.
1646. valerie jones, Fremont, CA, 94539  
You are choosing not to hear the wishes of the American public if you remove the protections put in place on our internet. Do not remove these protections
1647. Rohan Rajiv, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I support Net Neutrality and Title II oversight for internet service providers.The hypocrisy of telecommunication companies who say they support the open internet while fighting to end net neutrality is a disgrace.
1648. Sheela Ponnala, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I am sure you must have received millions of comments that have given all the reasons I would like to include. Why? Because that is how important it is to keep even the slightest shadow of any "big brother" influence on the internet at bay.All the reasons that the community which is against net-neutrality tells itself are problems that have many possible solutions that do not have to influence the internet freedom which will inevitably put so many blinders into what happens around the world that we are pushed back into history instead of going forward and into the future. (one example of resolution is to have individual configurable security and logging applications that would provide age appropriate accessibilities which parents/adult users will have full control over to make sure they do not end up on the wrong side), the key here being responsible individuals making their own judgements. And if the judgements are deemed wrong, then they have the price to pay for themselves instead of closing the whole world to reality and giving them a skewed reality!)It doesn't take any expert to see how political views and financial exploits (by these ISPs and everyone else that control these ISPs) would shrink down the whole purpose of internet and turn it into just another big regulatory body and a mediator that is almost monopolistically control how the world should be and what the world should see which would translate to another entry point for bad guys to completely control and establish their reign. Imagine, this own move could backfire for FCC and government as well depending on who ends up getting the control. Too dangerous to even imagine.The positive benefits of net neutrality and open and free internet are already being seen with: - millions of jobs being created by entrepreneurs.- thousands of people being able to get aid during their tough times through people they haven't even met or seen before.- millions of ideas/innovations/breakthroughs that individuals are able to make because of collective collaboration and actions by various online communities on various verticals- free and accessible education that is helping individuals and nations rise up and learn from each other even by people that cannot afford education.- Scientific research papers that are available through internet are key sources for the innovations described above- how small businesses are thrivingIt could potentially collapse the american economy slowly and almost subconsciously even if no one is looking to regulate it for a negative effect!And the list could go on.Please do not take away this freedom, something great had started with internet which has a huge potential. Please take notice that internet has been the single huge reason that lead to the decentralization and globalization and has brought the whole world with a feeling of togetherness. Don't rip it away.
1649. Daniel Weaver, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087

As someone who uses multiple streaming services and other forms of electronic media dependent on high internet speeds, I support net neutrality.

1650. Paul Gardner, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
We fought hard under the Obama Administration to maintain Internet Neutrality- we don't want to backtrack now and sell out to powerful special interests. Let's keep the original spirit of the internet alive: open access & neutrality!
1651. Wren Turkal, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Protecting net neutrality through regulation is important to me. I do not think that ISPs should be allowed to decide which sites are fast and which are slow. Please protect Title 2 protections for net neutrality.
1652. Parth Mehta, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Internet needs to be neutral. Please support net neutrality.
1653. Danillo, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I'm filling this document in defense of net neutrality. Let the internet be free! Save the open Internet!!!
1654. elijah, san jose, CA, 95132  
Not cool you cannot force this bullshit on us
1655. Net Neutrality, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Maintain Net Neutrality in its current state
1656. Abhishek Kumar Tank, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Net neutrality is immensely important, as anything against it is against the freedom of an individual.
1657. Peter Greene, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
An open and free internet, with equal access to all sites regardless of their size or available capital is crucial to the spread of information and a healthy online marketplace. Please do not allow ISPs to limit the content available to their customers.
1658. Jayavanth Shenoy, cupertino, CA, 95014  
I support to uphold net neutrality. Internet is for everyone.
1659. Daniel Li, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
The prioritization of internet traffic to different websites would be a huge detriment to our lives
1660. Kylie Fournier, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Strong net neutrality rules are essential to protect the open internet. This proceeding doesn't do that and therefore, I oppose it.
1661. Ryan Tang, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I've used an open internet for all my life and it has helped me stay connected with countless friends and family. It's also helped me meet new people and learn many new things. I think the internet should stay open for everyone, because we grow much quicker as a society when we're able to access more information and connect with more people. We would be limiting our country's potential by limiting access to the internet.
1662. Feng Kan, santa clara, CA, 95054  
Please net neutral
1663. Net Neutrality, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
This is ridiculous! Everyone already voted to keep the net neutral. If the second outcome is the same as the first there best not be a third!
1664. Joseph Huber, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
There has been no decline in either investment into the telecom sectors nor any discernable negative impacts since the enactment of the Title II legislature that protects net neutrality. Perhaps if US telecom was not already an oligopoly these rules would not be necessary. Unfortunately, that is not the case and the current legislature serves to protect the majority of US citizens best interests as is. Repealing the current legislature can only cause harm, because the removal of any neutrality enforcement regulation acts as the implicit permission to do the very thing regulated against. Quite frankly, I'm not even sure why this is has come up again so soon. We have already voted against changes to this legislature before, and nothing about the circumstances have changed. No still means no, even to the government & the FCC.
1665. Justin Larese, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I'm strongly in support of net neutrality. I believe in a free web that isn't cut into portions for ISP's to sell to you in different packages.
1666. Tapan Karwa, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I care about the open internet and competition online. Please keep the net neutral.
1667. Michelle Phan, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Hi there, I believe net neutrality is important. And I also believe keeping the internet open is important. Please do not roll back the current net neutrality rules and gain the ability to control my experience online. There should be a form of checks and balances - and really, privacy is important as a fundamental. There's more I could write - but I think you've got the gist. Keep net neutrality - it'll probably benefit you too.
1668. Ben traushman, San Jose, CA, 95133

How much money did the cable lobby pay you?

1669. Steven Lin, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I support continuation of Title II classification of Internet Service Providers (ISPs) as common carriers. Such regulation will ensure that 1) ISPs provide customers equal access to the Internet and 2) content providers can offer services without discrimination. It can also foster competition among ISPs by ensuring unfettered access to last-mile infrastructure. The fact that large portions of the country are serviced by only a single ISP demands greater regulatory oversight. A light touch will strengthen the territorial monopolies ISPs already enjoy, which will drive the price of access upwards. Compared to the rest of the world, the U.S. is a laggard in deployments of Broadband Internet (25 Mbps or faster) and in cost per Mbps (many other countries are less expensive). Retaining Title II classification will provide the same benefits that it did to the telecommunications industry (i.e. telephone). Imagine if AT&T were still the only telephone company, today! Do not repeal Title II.
1670. Amrutha Chebbi, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I use internet to learn, work and connect with family & friends around the world. I would like to have the ability to pick and chose what information I want to view & use.
1671. David Le, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Leave my internet alone it's bad enough as is
1672. Kim Nguyen, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Keep the internet neutral! We shouldn't be stuck only able to view information and perspectives decided by companies. We need to be able to pursue both sides of issues that are controversial, lest we fall into a destructive "with us or against us" mentality. Competition drives innovation, and when a company can control what we are able to see, they are able to create a monopoly via denial of information. We, the \*People\* not "we, the corporations" or "we, the wall street wolves." Without net neutrality, we will fund our own self destruction so that 1% of the population can earn more money than they can ever spend.
1673. Pradeep, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I want net neutrality as I care about open internet and competition online.
1674. Shawn Lahr, Cupertino, CA, 94043  
I support Net Neutrality. I don't believe profit-driven communication corporations are the best arbiters of content for the public. I support an open internet that does not promote the content of the highest bidder over the equal access for all sites through a regulated internet service provider. Sincerely, Shawn Lahr
1675. Arun Kumar M S, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Please keep the internet free and open by not changing the current net neutrality laws as enacted by previous administration. The intended changes are useful for growing current revenues of ISPs at the cost of innovation and openness that has the potential to contribute in unimaginable ways to both the US and the world economy. Internet will allow significant power in training labor in US and re-inventing US as we know it. Putting this free medium behind some kind of paywall is a significantly short sighted move.
1676. Ian Arkin, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Why would you think it would be a good idea, in this day and age, to limit the access to the internet, something everyone uses daily in the U.S.A, for no other reason than money? I'm no businessman or lawyer, but I feel like if you're giving control over throttling, blocking, and otherwise preventing content from reaching the peoples of the United States of America, that is censorship. Let's say Comcast doesn't like people looking at other ISPs, they get to block them if you pass your new example, thus netting more money for them, and, presumably, you.
1677. Darren Agcaoli, San Jose, CA, 95133  
Why is this still in question? We already see companies will only listen to those that pay them the most. The ISPs will prevent people from accessing what they want. They will only show websites that pay them enough money. People will be throttled and others will be allowed better access for paying a higher rate. The internet should be accessible to everyone and if net neutrality is gone we take a massive step back in technological advancement.
1678. Patrick Arnesen, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Net Neutrality is an essential driver of innovation and wealth in the information age. As a professional software developer of 20 years, I've watched the Internet grow and flourish and I can't imagine any possible scenario where removing net neutrality will make the economy or the country better. It will only make it worse. Millions of people and thousands of companies depend on net neutrality to make current and yet unimagined business models viable. Please don't break the internet in this way. Please don't put up a roadblock against innovation.
1679. Brian La France, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Dear FCC, please be kind and stop trying to line your greedy pockets with both the taxpayers' money and payoffs from ISP's and Telecom "totally not monopolies," you self-entitled, uninformed fucks. If you honestly believe that cable companies won't actively screw over their customers to squeeze the last possible cent out of us while denying us access to the services we pay for, you are either in denial, hopelessly naive, lack a fundamental understanding of how capitalism works, or, most likely, are transparently corrupt. Either way, you are irreparably eroding what little faith anybody still has government of the United States with this pointless and economically damaging attack on our freedom of speech, our freedom to consume the entire planet's worth of content currently available, and the American people that YOU are supposed to be protecting from the exploitative business practices that will undoubtedly ensue, as several thousand people far more educated on the topic than yourselves have repeatedly explained. Congratulations on dredging up a subject we, the people, have already made our voices transparently clear on once again in a blatant attempt to fatten your own pockets, you unbearable geriatric ass-hats. I hope every single one of you dies from heart failure via all the pork you're stuffing into your mouths. Sincerely, a VERY IRATE citizen

1680. Lee Henderson, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
All the competition and economic arguments for Net Neutrality are extremely important. However, I'm very concerned about the effects on free speech this could have. Say for example an ISP has a political bias. It could then throttle or block content from websites with opposing opinions. In today's age where we obtain so much of our information about the world from the internet, this possible use of unchecked power's in the hands of ISPs could be incredibly detrimental to public discourse and constitutional rights. Furthermore, were I displeased with how my ISP was manipulating my connection to the internet, I wouldn't have anywhere else to turn to. On scale of choices from fast food chains to water utilities, ISPs are closer to the latter. I've purchased internet in each of the three cities I've lived in in Missouri, and the place I live in now in California. I never had more than three choices, and usually two of the three provided such terribly slow and unreliable connection that I only had one reasonable choice. The idea that there is significant competition in internet providers is completely bogus in my experience. It was especially bad in my rural college town, and I imagine that's the pattern in most rural areas too. Finally, I'll end with an analogy. I view buying internet the exact same way I view buying the talk portion of my phone plan. In my phone plan I'm paying for the ability to dial and connect with any person and have an equivalent experience with any phone number that I could dial. The idea that my telcom company could selectively throttle phone calls to my dad, or Southwest Airlines flight reservation service is preposterous, right? Internet is exactly the same: I'm buying access to a portal that connects me to any website or server that I desire, and treats each of these connections the same. I don't want my experience ruined and my life complicated by ISPs shoving their own products in my face and not giving me a choice to interact with whatever businesses or other entities I want on the internet.
1681. Megan Young, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Please uphold net neutrality. Even if the laws and guidance change. The power is in the bureaucracy.
1682. Ali Celebioglu, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I want to keep net neutrality. I DO NOT want ISP's to be able to throttle internet services.
1683. David Ngo, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I'm writing this today since it has come to my attention that net neutrality rules might be rolled back. I didn't have internet since the beginning as it was expensive and still is. The options are limited and sometimes you would think to yourself "why does company X/Y/Z seem to have a monopoly in my area?" I use the internet to do most of my daily life. Whether it be work or play the internet really seems to be inter weaved into everything I do. Sure the speeds might be slow sometimes and I get that speeds can't be consistent all the way through as with anything else in life, but it's pretty stable. With the rollback then I can't really say that with every website, app, or thing that I use that involves the internet. What if one day I wake up and they block or slowdown twitch, youtube, and google since they aren't paying the "protection money"? Internet should be stable, should be private, and should be affordable. I understand it's a business and they need to make money and that privacy seems to be an afterthought in today's world but every piece of mind helps. I have the choices of Comcast, Comcast, and Comcast for my house at the moment. AT&T does not service my house in my neighborhood for reasons unknown (I have asked multiple times). So its either pay comcast or try to get a very expensive business line. For being the "Silicon Valley" we sure are behind with the internet. Google was going to improve our infrastructure but backed out until who knows when. My speeds are 55 Down and 5 Up and compared to other parts of the world I'm paying ten or more times what they pay for similar speeds. We cut off cable and phone from this house as the combine total for cable, internet, and phone services were too high. We bought our own router since even though its 5\$ a month it adds up quite quickly and we save in the long run. Money is tight now-a-days. I think the FCC should help bring the US into the new age regarding the internet. We are one of the most technological and powerful nations on this planet but we lag behind in the areas including internet availability as well as pricing. So I'd like it to review and if needed regulate internet speed, availability, and privacy. I believe the internet is nearly if not already a public utility in this age and should be treated as so. Do I really trust Comcast? No, not really. They are rated consistently as one of the the worst companies in customer support and on the BBB every year. They care more about profits than people to be honest and it shows. Just because they say "we won't do paid prioritization" doesn't mean they will not. This is the same company that has hidden fees and profits first after all, if there's an extra buck to be made I think they'll be all over it. It seems most of the big name internet providers also fall into this block. Please don't revert the rules back. Can we get "for the people" back into our country?
1684. Cauveri Suresh, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I am concerned about the FCC's actions that threaten net neutrality. I think it's important for American citizens to have the freedom to make our own choices about how to use the internet. If the FCC has issues with the content available to the public, censorship is not the answer. No one has the right to limit the information, entertainment, or access that the internet grants people. It is one of our many cherished freedoms and any attempt to reduce the scope of this freedom goes against the wisdom of one of our purported values as a society. Do not impose external "standards" onto what citizens can access through one of our most helpful, innovative, and progressive tools, the internet. Uphold net neutrality.
1685. Sumit Sen, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I support strong net neutrality rules. The quality of my access to the internet should not be determined by whether my ISP has commercial relationships with the websites I visit. Without net neutrality, the internet will become less dynamic and new business ideas will be stifled.
1686. kk, fremont, CA, 94538  
request not to allow our ISPs control how I access internet. I don't want them to throttle my internet speed based on the website. I dont want them to block any websites.
1687. Louis page, sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Removing title 2 regulations from the internet would remove consumer protections and turn the internet into cable television. These regulations are in place to serve the people, not companies. Speed is the question here and when speed is the factor that separates society its the job of the government to unify the people.
1688. Roark Burney, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085

I do not support the creation of internet fast lanes, and want my desires known to the administrators of the Federal Communication Commission. I would like to continue treating internet service providers as title II communication entities.

1689. Dinesh Dutt, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I have seen enough evidence to convince me that net neutrality is fundamental to our freedom as a country and a society. Please preserve net neutrality.
1690. talia, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I think net neutrality should be preserved and that we should have access to a free and open internet
1691. R, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Please protect net neutrality, so that videos online won't become horrible.
1692. Ian, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
The Internet has served as one of the world's most enabling and connecting force in the modern world community. Net neutrality is integral in letting us keeping that way.
1693. KB Singh, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Internet providers like Comcast & Verizon should not control what we see and do online. They already control so much of my access to internet as it is. In my area, the only company that can provide me the speeds to accomplish what I need to do is Comcast - no other provider in my area offers that level of speed until in a few months we'll have the option for another internet provider. I don't believe it's fair that there is so little competition in this industry in my area (the SF bay area of all places!) Please do not allow them the ability to control our lives and freedom of options and allow the internet to stay 'neutral'
1694. Mark Naegeli, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I support Net Neutrality. Even the consideration of warping it to support these greedy cartels is laughable and anyone in support of destroying it should be considered unfit to hold office. The fact that Verizon and their friends have gotten this far with this blatant greed and bullying is evidence that we are perhaps not intelligent enough to govern ourselves - We certainly do not seem to be able to protect our own best interests - probably since we are not well informed enough to know what those interests even are, nor how our elected representatives destroy and endanger those interests - as we repeatedly vote for more of the same. Don't ruin the internet. Why would you do that??
1695. Gregory Landowski, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Please, do not support the Restoring Internet Freedom Act. Internet Service Providers should not have the ability to throttle, deny, or give privilege to any websites or companies. The truly free web must remain intact, where any person with an idea and a dream can have the same opportunities as anyone else. ISP's cannot have power over their natural monopolies, as is the case where I live. There is only one service provider that owns all internet service in my neighborhood, and that is Comcast. Please do not allow me to be forcibly disallowed from sites that do not coincide with Comcast's agenda.
1696. Jason Telber, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
The Internet should be free. It is not yours. You do not own it. It does not belong to this country. It is for every human being on this planet. Let it be free.
1697. Srikar Nalamalapu, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Net neutrality is important for people to develop their own ideas. People are different and want different things. Allowing companies to control and possibly manipulate us is against my morals.
1698. Jenny, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I'd like to see FCC uphold its duty, which is tasked by law to oversee communications networks and ensure that consumers are protected from, among other things, invasions of their privacy, fraudulent billing and price gouging by their broadband providers. If the FCC is left without authority over broadband ISPs, Comcast could double its prices overnight, and there wouldn't be anything the FCC or any other agency could do about it. I'd hate to see monopoly rise, preventing equal access to information on the internet, especially for those who cannot afford sky-rocket priced service. It'll not only affect consumers, but also underprivileged students who cannot afford to research online in order to advance their studies. It'll affect us all.
1699. Alvin Yang, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I strongly encourage you to continue classifying internet service providers under the Title II classification. Internet in the United States is already trailing behind other developed countries and declassifying ISPs will make this problem worse. Currently, ISPs are not competing with each other enough and have essentially formed an oligopoly. Continuing to classify ISPs under Title II will make the ISPs better compete with each other and thus allow consumers, US residents and citizens, to benefit from faster and better internet services. Furthermore this will prevent ISPs from having the ability to throttle certain types of traffic and stifle any web-based company's development of their choosing. Title II classification allows for a better internet that will ultimately benefit the American people.
1700. Nathan Tietz, Fremont, CA, 94539  
To whom it may concern: Protecting Net Neutrality is extremely important to me as a modern undergraduate student. I'm extremely conscious about the information that I gain on the internet that could possibly be used to inform my work. If Net Neutrality is done away with, I fear that ISPs will throttle or even block my ability to gain fair, unbiased information for my work. It is of the utmost importance that you protect Net Neutrality because the internet, with its vast wealth of knowledge, should not be limited to the few Americans that are willing to pay for access. Information should be accessible to everyone that wants to learn. Please, don't let students like me suffer for the sake of ISPs making more money.

1701. Kyle Scollin, San Jose, CA, 95129  
Please help us save a neutral and open internet. Do not let big corporations come in and destroy this amazing open market we have.
1702. Stephen Li, Fremont, CA, 94536  
Don't sell out everybody who uses the internet to give even more power to ISPs. Support net neutrality!
1703. Sanjana Agarwal, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
We want net neutrality. USA is a free country. Citizens require their freedom. Stop with these idiotic moves. We should move forward, not backwards
1704. Mark Sinclair, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Keep the Internet free and accessible for everyone.
1705. christopher mehlhoff, san jose, CA, 95129  
Hey, how many times are the people going to have to tell you that we don't want this. WE DONT WANT IT, STOP PUSHING IT EVERY YEAR.
1706. Ivan Wang, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
To the Federal "Comcast" Commission,I FIRMLY OPPOSE the FCC's decision to roll back the Title II regulations for net neutrality.The internet is a beautiful place, and the proposal threatens to bring down the resting ground for art, for creativity, for knowledge, and for pictures of cats as we know it.Don't kid yourself, you're not "restoring internet freedom." You're not fooling anyone. You're giving power to ISPs to regulate and restrict access to websites, to censor content, to slow down those who don't pay. And that's going to hurt everyone-- consumers, small businesses, corporations, Democrats, and Republicans-- everyone but the middlemen who can extort more money out of those willing to pay. And we've seen what the middlemen have done with power: throttling netflix, conspiring to retain monopoly, lying to consumers about throttling, the list goes on.I speak for the people when I say that everyone and their mother agrees in net neutrality, and to ignore my voice is to ignore each and every American constituent, whether or not you've brainwashed or polluted their minds with nonsense about how repealing this rule will "support net neutrality" and prevent it from "slow[ing] down innovation."I know that Chairman Pai will likely ignore this voice, and the millions of others that brought down the FCC's comments server back in May. But I hope that the volume of cries will show just how loud America is willing to be.
1707. Robert Altekruze, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
The Internet was created by uses, not corporations. Allowing the internet to be controlled by corporations undermines the intention of the core purpose of the Internet. As an engineer and scientist, I help expand knowledge of my peers and of student I work with through the use of technology that is powered by and thoroughly uses the internet. Paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling is the first step of corporations to control information and how we are able to access it. Services could partner with ISP's to make accessing those services harder through competing ISP's. Price controlling can and will get out of hand if addition legislation isn't put in place to prevent price gouging. Keeping the Internet free already prevents price gouging through the natural effect of economics. I urge the FCC to continue to enforce Net Neutrality and not bend to the whims of those whom only serve their self interests.
1708. Eric Chen, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I am against the FCC's proposal to repeal the current net neutrality rules. I often browse smaller foreign websites and blogs from Asia. I am worried without net neutrality my access to these foreign websites would be given lower priority/bandwidth due to the smaller market within US.
1709. Siddharth Gupta, sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
i believe in free internet and the ability to chose which websites i visit along with the ability to select internet speed that applies to all sites.
1710. Jesse Medina, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
The end of Net Neutrality would go down as one of the worst moments in human history. Please prevent businesses from destroying one of the strongest contemporary pillars of free speech.
1711. Tyler Zammit, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Ajit Pai is doing his best to rip apart the internet we all know and love/hate. With the ISP merger in progress we can now expect even less competition in that sector and instead of trying to promote competition we instead get a stooge attacking the entire internet including one of the only companies trying to introduce competition to the ISPs, Google. This is an unbelievable move by the FCC in a time of such political uncertainty, but it has not gone unnoticed. You can do a lot to piss off the public but you start messing with our one place of true freedom, the internet, and you've got yourself a fight. Ajit Pai can take his oversized mug and shove it, we aren't gonna take it.
1712. Don V Samji, San Jose, CA, 95132  
We support net neutrality. Protect the internet. Treat it as a basic right - the access to the open and fast internet. It is the means to the development and progress of our civilization. Just like books and libraries in the medieval times, the internet today. Do not let the power to control what's accessible on the internet be vested in the hands of a few corporations. The ISPs are merely conduits, not the guardians of the internet.Please consider abolishing any rulings that infringes on the principles of net neutrality.
1713. Nicholas Lee, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
The internet is a right for all citizens and its speed and access should not be controlled by private companies
1714. John Sheerin, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support internet neutrality for many reasons, but one principle one is that the internet provided almost the entirety of my education which now enables me to earn a relatively large amount of money for my profession (engineering) and age. My work, besides enriching

my own life, also directly leads to the employment of many other people, further enriching society. I still continue to learn from internet-based sources today, and much of the content I consume has migrated to more bandwidth-intensive forms, namely video. Without free and open access to such content, future generations may be artificially impeded in their own activities only to satisfy the desires of large corporations. This is currently what is wrong with the American political system - sacrificing the common good for corporate profits. Please prevent this from continuing to happen by supporting continued internet neutrality.

1715. Keith Cheng, San Jose, CA, 95133  
I believe in a free internet for all.
1716. Anthony Mein, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Its important that the internet is free to roam wherever anyone wants to without it being blocked or slowed by ISP's. As an American I want the freedom to roam the internet without worry of it being blocked by my provider
1717. Robert Kasey Christensen, Newark, CA, 94560  
The internet and it's freedom has tremendously helped me throughout my entire life. It is a great resource for education, entertainment, free speech, and a networking. Even today I've been using the internet to make money through stocks. I use the internet at work all day. Right now my only option for fast reliable internet is Comcast. If I switched to only accessing the internet through my phone service it would be an additional large financial burden for lower speeds and accessibility and I would not be able to connect from my home computer. At this moment in time I do not trust Comcast to do what is in it's customer's best interest and I'm afraid of the grim future that might occur when ISP's gain extra power without net neutrality. Thank you -Robert
1718. Andy, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Internet is a basic human right. Please do not take away this basic right.
1719. Albert Chao, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Support net neutrality for an open and free internet. ISPs already have no competition.
1720. Kai Xu, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Net neutrality is essential for the grow of human development. We are at a place in recorded history where we have access to mass amounts information. A place where corruption and close minded thinking are becoming extinct. It's not a perfect system, and there are negatives of course. However, isn't it fundamentally American to provide people freedom to learn and share information? Isn't that one of the key things that make America so great? I've traveled around the world, and have never been to a place that I thought was fundamentally better than the US, because in the US, we can choose! There are fundamentally no limits on our opinions and views, and if that is taken away, we are no better than the dark years of the Soviet Union. Have we really become so scared to even be Americans? Please continue to provide freedom in all it's forms. Thank you.
1721. Patrick Killian, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Allowing cable companies to participate in paid prioritization, censorship and corporate extortion is un-American, anti-competitive and hurtful to the American public. I'm in support of Net Neutrality and continuing to classify broadband providers under Title II classification.
1722. HsiaoWei Shieu, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Net neutrality is critical the the development and success of internet companies in the United States. Eliminating then creates a rent system whereby ISPs increase profitability without providing additional services, reinvesting into the network or improving society in general. It limits creativity and stifles new content creation and allows for an environment in which an oligopoly reigns. I fully support net neutrality and believes it must be protected.
1723. Alexander Chu, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Please keep the internet a 'free place', as it should be! Thank you!
1724. Trevor Roberts Jr, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
ISP's already are heavily marketing their on-demand services to customers. As a consumer, I am concerned that ISPs will influence selection of content providers by controlling QoS based on who pays more. We consumers need protections in place to verify that no preference is given certain content providers at the whim of our ISPs. Customers and/or content providers shouldn't have to pay a premium for fair access to bandwidth.
1725. Ting Wei-Ting Chow, San Jose, CA, 95129  
I support Net Neutrality and protecting the internet under Title II. A free and open internet is a resource that should be available to all people. Allowing ISPs to throttle or block internet content is too likely to lead to abuse. Consumers will be choked or denied of internet content, and internet dependent businesses can be browbeaten into paying additional expenses that go into the pockets of ISPs. What exactly is there to gain from dismantling Net Neutrality?
1726. Austin Kruckmeyer, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Net Neutrality allows the small guy to have their shot on the big web. No one should lose that chance, because they can't pay the internet companies for their fast lanes.
1727. Quinn Dang, San Jose, CA, 95132  
The Internet is a public service. It is a tool, not just a luxury. By allowing Internet service providers to decide what pages the public is able to access, we would effectively be controlling what media messages the public would be allowed to consume. ISP's are profit driven organizations, so the media messages we would be allowed to see would only be those that serve the economic purposes of those providers. This is dangerous, and borders on tyrannical levels of social control. Please keep the Internet free.

1728. Chien Yu Chen, San Jose, CA, 95133  
I am writing in support of Net Neutrality. Without Net Neutrality keeping the internet free, ISP companies such as Comcast and Time Warner can set up "fast lanes" giving preferential treatment to certain traffic, then charge ordinary users and/or companies for such access. No one wants this except the greedy ISP's that are already making obscene profits. Please keep the internet free. Keep the internet classified as a Title II.
1729. Victor, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Net Neutrality matters! ISPs shouldn't have the power to restrict network traffic
1730. Christophe Le Rouzo, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Maintain FULL net neutrality
1731. eugene song, san jose, CA, 95134  
please stop the insanity
1732. Kathleen Wortham, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Ending net neutrality is letting the corporations win. Keep net neutrality.
1733. Kevin Hui, Fremont, CA, 94539  
The internet needs to stay neutral to allow people to communicate effectively!
1734. Albert Chen, San Jose, CA, 95129  
It's about money. Great. It shouldn't be about money; the internet is for everybody to use.
1735. Kristopher Hamoud, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
As a software developer for a small web company, it is important to me that the web stays open and free. Our company is just beginning with just enough revenue for a few employees. If net neutrality is removed, then we may be forced to pay money to ISP's just to make sure our paying customers can continue to use our service as we intended. This slowdown isn't great because it would increase the amount we spend every month to ensure our customers are happy. If that happens, then we may be forced to remove some of our staff which worries me because I don't want to lose my job. Aside from that, where I live I only have access to one ISP. I'm already forced to pay a fair amount of money to get basic services and with net neutrality removed this could increase their prices even more so I can get the same level of service. I believe the FCC should have the power to protect the people from corporations that are looking to profit off internet restriction. The internet is the greatest invention that I have seen in my lifetime, and it's also the best source of information available to the people. I believe that a free and open internet is the best possible way for our society to advance and if it's removed, I'm afraid that we may slow the incredible progress that we have made in the past 30 years.
1736. Joshua Banez, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
The internet has helped me since when I was in high school researching for many various projects. I probably wouldn't have graduated without the internet. I don't use a phone to look up something on Google, I use a laptop since it's easier for me to use because I'm handicapped. I also play video games so I don't feel bored. My parents pay for the internet where I live. Also I use Facebook to keep in touch with friends and family.
1737. Brendan Keller, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Keep net neutrality and classify all ISPs under title II
1738. Skylar Peterson, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Net Neutrality is critical to maintaining a free and open Internet. It is the backbone of how startups and small companies are able to rise up and compete with big players, which keeps them honest. IT IS A SACRED RULE OF THE INTERNET, DO NOT CHANGE IT
1739. Damian Durruty, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Net Neutrality is absolutely vital to the future of the US economy and to the rights of consumers.
1740. Jen Lazo, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Please keep the internet neutral. I do not want the potential for sites slowed or blocked due to greed; that is unfair.
1741. Patrick Liao, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Hello FCC, I am a frequent user of the Internet and I would like to express my opinions regarding net-neutrality. I believe that Chairman Ajit Pai's plan to give government-subsidized telecom giants (AT&T, Verizon, etc.) the power to throttle their users' internet traffic however they please is directly against the interest of American freedom. It is the people's right to decide what content they want to access, and it shouldn't be up to the ISP's to prefer which websites we as users should visit. Creating a tiered Internet with "fast lanes" for certain sites or services gives ISP's way too much power to determine what I can do online. In a sense, they will be monopolizing on sites that operate on the Internet and doing anything that will profit themselves. The chairman's plan does nothing to help the people; it only makes those big companies even bigger, and that's not what America needs. Thankfully, the existing FCC regulations mean that Internet providers can't slow or block users' access to certain websites or engage in data discrimination by charging websites and online services more money to reach people faster. That's exactly the right balance to make sure competition in the Internet space is fair and benefits small businesses and Internet users as well as entrenched Internet companies. Chairman Pai's proposal would transform ISPs into Internet gatekeepers with an effective veto right on expression and innovation. That's not how the Internet was built, and that's not what we want. Thanks for protecting Internet users like me by upholding the existing Title II net neutrality rules.
1742. Christen, San Jose, CA, 95127  
Net neutrality is essential in the digital age

1743. Tony Phan, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Please keep net-neutrality! The internet should not be regulated in any such way.
1744. Peter Murphy, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Net neutrality is a fundamental right as it gives us unfiltered access to information. Deep down we all know this but the big internet companies just want to deepen their pockets for money. This is the wrong course for history.
1745. Maxwell Gregory, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Keep Net Neutrality! I do not want nor trust ISP's censoring what I want to see and controlling my online experience. Every business and person should have equal access to the internet free from this censorship and control. I say keep regulation from the government it has proven in the past to not hinder the Internet's growth and I do not think there is need for change. We owe nothing to ISP's given the rising costs for Internet and the taxes we pay for the Internet's infrastructure and I am tired of ISP's using their capital to lobby against net neutrality.
1746. Saumya Sudhir, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Please do not deprive us of net neutrality! Allow us to access the internet in the way we choose to!
1747. Isaac Paek, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Internet is no longer a commodity. It is integrated into the deepest understanding of people. Without the internet, we would no longer be able to understand or sympathize with people on the other side of the planet. Giving ISPs the ability to control and abuse their power is ridiculous. The counter-argument that it won't happen is even more stupid. It will. In this world, greed triumphs over the good of the people. Don't let net neutrality be repealed. The people and our freedoms are going to be infringed upon.
1748. kai y, san jose, CA, 95134  
SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY, DON'T LET ISPs CONTROL THE WEB
1749. Chayne Barbary, Newark, CA, 94560  
Net neutrality is extremely important because all information should be available to everyone. No ISPs should be able to prioritize their content or tell people what they can or can not view. We must protect the freedom to access information that the internet gives us.
1750. George Liaw, San Jose, CA, 95129  
Let's not go backwards here and allow the possibility of ISP's taking our internet back to the dark ages. If they really do support the principle of net neutrality but do not support the existing laws, then what should be done is to replace the existing laws with new ones. Rolling back existing legislation and simply hoping that new legislation will get pushed through leaves too much room for undesirable behavior that negatively affects consumers.
1751. Lawrence Ashley, San Jose, CA, 95132  
To whom it concerns, Even though I live in Silicon Valley, my neighborhood only has access to two different high speed ISP, Comcast and ATT. Worse yet, when ATT put high speed in my neighborhood, they never retrofitted the old copper phone wires running into our house, so our connection drops when it rains. That means Comcast has an effective monopoly for stable internet access. Monopolies beget monopolies and are anti innovation and capitalism. In order to run my work, I need free access to the whole internet, not just what my ISP chooses to promote. It also stifles my ability to reach my customers, thus limiting the potential of my startup. All of this is to say: when I pay for my internet service, I pay for it the same way I pay for my other utilities such as water and electricity. I pay to connect at the rate I pay for, nothing more, nothing less. I hope you will choose what is best for American business and innovation and keep the laws as is. Net neutrality is not broken, and is what keeps America free. Sincerely, Lawrence.
1752. Anand Sundaram, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
We have an obligation to not allow special interests to control which content gets more exposure, support and functionality from ISPs. The only structures currently in place that do so are net neutrality rules. Overruling them not only goes against the principles of the internet, the free sharing and communication of content, media and ideas from around the world; it also fundamentally undermines the principles of the nation we live in. Enabling ISPs to throttle competitors and push their homegrown products fundamentally goes against everything American industry stands for; the notion that if you have a great idea and work hard you can achieve anything you set your mind to, because ultimately any business conducted online can be arbitrarily crushed by an ISP if they decide they like a competitor more or enter the same space as your product. To whoever ends up reading this, please remember, net neutrality is not as frivolous as cat pictures and silly videos. Net neutrality is one of the few bastions holding up the foundation of a global, multibillion (possibly even multi-trillion) dollar industry we call the internet, and to undermine it at this stage would be ruinous for American citizens everywhere.
1753. shrayus, Newark, CA, 94560  
Tech companies need more oversight in general, please do not allow ISPs to arbitrarily have their way with the internet.
1754. Nandini Banerjee, San Jose, CA, 95134  
The internet is the greatest thing to happened to humanity. And what makes it great is the fact that is free. The infrastructure is free. Giving priority to certain companies just goes against the whole philosophy of creating a connected world. We have to stop going in this direction. We have to keep the internet neutral.
1755. Hamza Asif, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I completely and totally disagree with the stance the FCC has taken on 'Net Neutrality' and I fully support the idea of 'Net Neutrality'. As a United States Citizen I do not want the internet to be monetized or monitored unnecessarily.
1756. Eric Vargas, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Internet should be open for everyone.

1757. Adam, Newark, CA, 94560  
I am a daily user of the World Wide Web. I believe that the internet should be included as one of the basic utilities like electricity and water. In this day and age information is what keeps us connected to the rest of the world. With restricted access to the internet, we will not be able to access basic or specific content. This is like giving clean water to people who can pay more for it while the rest get the polluted water. Or only provide the highest paying customers electricity throughout the day while the others can only use a certain amount before it shuts off. It will make it unfair for everyone if Net Neutrality is repealed.
1758. Grant Gardiner, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Protect Net neutrality. We cannot sell the free Internet to the highest bidder. Maintain the free and open Internet as it should be!-Grant
1759. JESSE HARLIN, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
The internet, free and unfettered as it is now, has been one of the greatest boons to human development. So much so that it spawned a new age, the Information Age. We live in a future my parents could never have imagined. Large ISPs like Comcast and Verizon have zero interest in continuing this open internet age. They want to control it and monetize it. Don't slow down the Internet. Don't abandon Net Neutrality. Please. Leave the Internet alone. Let it continue to evolve and grow, innovate and amaze. Give my 4 year old son a future I can't imagine. Not the monetized, corporatized version I can.JESSE HARLIN
1760. Andrew Szymczak, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Net neutrality helps prevent monopolizing practices by the cable companies that hurt the user experience. The cable companies are willing to stifle the spread of knowledge (a detriment to humankind) for monetary gain. The only people that don't want net neutrality are those who directly benefit: the cable companies and those who are in their pockets.
1761. Michael Richards, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I am extremely opposed to the repealing of Title II regulations for ISPs. They have proven time and time again that they will never act in the best interests of their customers, that they are an oligarchy in all but name, and that they will, at the first opportunity, jump on any chance of anti-competitive practices - such as throttling their competitors' services (see Netflix and YouTube traffic speeds circa ~2-3 years ago). Government regulation is the only hope for consumers and small businesses alike. Please don't let the Internet become Big Cable 2.0.
1762. Jeffrey Warf, Fremont, CA, 94538  
No internet fast lanes. No giving monopolies the power to block what they like. The internet needs to remain open and free, not restricted at the whims of monopolies.
1763. Jason Mitchell, Newark, CA, 94560  
It's imperative you keep access to the web open and free. The internet is man's greatest achievement to date, don't risk destroying it over greed.
1764. Anthony Mauri, Fremont, CA, 94538  
plz keep our net we need it for free spech and expression. The interent can do good i know it bbAnthony Mauri
1765. Jose Bonilla, milpitas, CA, 95035  
I do not support rolling back on the current net neutrality rules. Support net neutrality
1766. Mike Nguyenquang, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Stop messing with net neutrality.
1767. Leeon Tran, San Jose, CA, 95132  
There should not be paid prioritization by ISPs
1768. Jon Lawrence, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
As a citizen of the United States whom has owned a small business online, and plans to do so again in the future, removing net neutrality gives small companies, like mine, a disadvantage to compete with large corporations. Not including full on blocking, there are many legitimate studies that can be found proving slower website response hinders sales. If net neutrality is removed, corporations chosen by ISP's, which we have little to no control over, will be able to financially monopolize over competition.
1769. net, milpitas, CA, 95035  
This is a violation to the first amendment as it retrains access to information and expressing thoughts through out the internet providing you have internet. You are eventually blocking the freedom of expression by DISCOURAGING individuals to EXPRESS their OPINIONS through social media.
1770. Arturo Oliveros, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I believe net neutrality is a very important aspect of the net and is what makes it the greatness we all the world wide web. Removing net neutrality would be a huge mistake and create a very linear net. The internet is a great and fun place to go where there is no limit to what you can browse. There has been many things i have learned over my years growing up with the net when it was first developed until now that i would have never learned of otherwise. Limiting access to certain sites along with controlling the speed that we connect to a site upon the community would be pure down right dumb. Implementing this change and removing net neutrality would defeat the purpose of consumers like myself who pay for hi speed internet. If we are going to be limited to what we can view and do on the web from our ISPs that we give a hefty amount of money to yearly then, why bother having the service at all. If net neutrality were to be repealed it would hurt America as a whole. This would take away from people who have things to contribute to the public as their new site startup will most likely not have as fast speeds as their competitor who has long since reached success and pays the premium to the ISP for faster load speeds for their page. I whole heatedly believe taking away the right of net neutrality is like taking away ones freedom as the net is so versatile and accessed by such a vast variety of people.I hope that you can reconsider the repeal and let

America's citizens who give their hard earned \$ to browse the web freely as they do now.

1771. Stefan, Fremont, CA, 94539  
The Internet is the only place where true information can live and thrive. Without net neutrality the Internet would just be one giant advertisement, an even bigger corporate playground where they shovel falsities and products down the throats of the public. Net Neutrality IS free speech and getting rid of free speech is unconstitutional. Protect the public from over reaching corporate monstrosities and PROTECT free speech at all costs!
1772. Arjun Ray, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Arjun Ray
1773. Jordan Garvine, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I value the way the internet is currently set up and if it were to change to what it could, I'd be very disappointed as it would completely change the landscape of the internet, and it would change it for the worse.
1774. Jason Su, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Dear FCC Chairman, Today we all participate in an unprecedented era of technological prosperity and innovation, the Information Age. The Internet is undoubtedly one of the greatest inventions in human history. It has affected nearly all aspects of modern life: how we learn, how we celebrate art, how we communicate, and how we conduct commerce. We have seen the rise of many of the world's largest companies because of the Internet: Google, Amazon, Apple, and Facebook. All of these companies were at one time the small fish in their domain, but were able to grow and serve their customers because of free and unfettered access to the Internet. As a child of the computer and information age, an engineer that has been inspired by the marvel of technology, and a natural born citizen of the US, I implore that you uphold Title II classification for internet service providers to safeguard the free market of information across the Internet under net neutrality. In order for a free market to exist for businesses, all participants should have fair access to customers. ISPs play a special role in that they provide the link between people and the Internet. Abolishing net neutrality would enable ISPs to sell their own paying customers as a commodity to Internet companies that want to serve them. ISPs could hold their own customer base ransom. Most citizens throughout the country do not have a choice in their ISP. Often times they at best face a duopoly between a DSL and a cable provider. This means that such behavior by ISPs cannot be resolved economically and requires the intervention of the FCC to uphold the principles of the Internet that have led to the prosperity of the Information Age. What's more, some of the nation's largest ISPs have major conflicts of interest in this area. Comcast and Time Warner Cable (prior to its recent purchase by Charter) are themselves large media conglomerates that have products that compete with services like Netflix, Spotify, and YouTube. Should Title II be removed, ISPs would be granted a special privilege to Internet users that would empower them to operate on behalf of their parent company's media arms instead of in the interest of their own customers. ISPs argue that they are at an unfair disadvantage because Title II prevents them from collecting information on their users and selling advertising based on this data. They claim that they deserve to have a piece of the advertising pie like Google and Facebook. This claim is highly misleading and ignorant of the differences between their business models. Google and Facebook offer free services that are paid by advertising, users are free to not use their services. ISPs are already subscription services and there is often no real choice between carriers. Opting out of having internet service entirely is a non-option in modern society and would jeopardize most people's ability to conduct business, learn, and communicate. Furthermore, ISPs have already shown themselves to be brazen and irresponsible when it comes to advertising. Comcast and AT&T have acted like hackers, injecting man-in-the-middle code and ads into websites, and Verizon has surreptitiously injected "supercookies" that track users' browsing habits across the internet. As the creator of the Internet, I believe the USA is also the steward of its future. As the chairman of the FCC, this awesome responsibility is very much yours as well. I ask that you uphold the will and interests of this great nation's citizens rather than those of corporate greed. Please uphold Title II classification for internet service providers. Sincerely, Jason Su
1775. Min-Jui Wu, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
This seriously sounds like a huge violation of privacy and freedom of speech, I do not support this anti-democratic policy.
1776. Andy Dick, San Jose, CA, 95134  
The FCC needs to keep the internet open, without selective throttling or internet purchase plans. The internet is a utility, like electricity. I don't pay more for electricity going to my microwave, why should the same apply to the internet.
1777. Fabian Phung, San Jose, CA, 95133  
Keep net neutrality. We want control of our own internet.
1778. Gabriel Rodarte, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Do not destroy net neutrality. Also ISP should have more competition. In my area only 2 companies offer internet service and other

provided aren't "allowed" to offer services in the area.

1779. Arun Bakthavatchalam, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
All content/traffic on the net should be treated equal. It is fine and acceptable to treat content or traffic that are deemed as critical to the national security or public safety. The rest of the content traffic should be treated equal and not be left to the discretion of the private industry.
1780. Andy Wang, Fremont, CA, 94539  
While it's understandable that businesses will want to remain in business and do whatever it takes to make as much profit as possible, the internet is what drove us into the world we know today. The entire concept of allowing anyone and everyone to be either a producer or consumer of content has allowed many voices to be heard. Many unanswered questions have been asked and answered. Many relationships have been formed and blossomed into marriages. Worlds have been connected and merged. All thanks to a free internet. Please allow us to keep this beautiful doorway as open as possible to anyone who'd like to enter it. I vote for Net Neutrality. Andy Wang
1781. Taylor, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Free Internet for all!
1782. Nathan Gaylinn, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
The internet is an essential part of day-to-day life. It should be treated as a utility, accessible to all users and content providers equally. ISPs have the duty to deliver data without meddling with it. Our laws should prevent them from filtering, prioritizing, or injecting advertisements into content. They are data carriers, plain and simple, and should not be allowed to exploit or extort users or data providers.
1783. Sean Henderson, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Continue treating Internet Providers under Title II and DO NOT REMOVE NET NEUTRALITY. All data should be treated fairly just as all calls are treated fairly. Internet is a necessity in daily life for everyone, from the child researching a book in school to scientists cooperating across countries in major studies. Major ISP have and will continue to exploit the American people unless the FCC regulates them. Our American internet infrastructure is aging and significantly slower AND more expensive than other leading countries. And it will continue to be if ISPs get their way. Keep Net Neutrality rules and ensure our internet remains as free and open as America!
1784. Rohan Vivek, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Net neutrality is an essential part of the internet, removing it will choke small start up companies, and allow Comcast and other services providers to give preferential treatment to companies that pay then now. PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY
1785. Sarah Nalaavany, Fremont, CA, 94538  
You KNOW it is fundamentally and principally WRONG to impose what is trying to be done right now. Your basic function as a government agency is to PROTECT OUR RIGHTS AS CITIZENS. IT IS YOUR INTERNET, TOO. Do NOT turn your back on your citizens, WE NEED YOU. In times like this especially, WE NEED YOU TO DO THE RIGHT THING AND STOP THIS!
1786. Kimberly Hausler, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
When I purchase internet connection, I am paying for a lot of things. As a consumer I am in complete disagreement about the limitations losing net neutrality brings. As a registered citizen, I fear for the fundamental rights of all people who use the internet. I use the internet to connect with friends over social media, use email services for work, shopping & ordering online, streaming to Twitch (and I earn money doing this), research for school and MUCH more. I find that it is completely unacceptable if the government ignores the opinions of the people. Please listen to all who speak out against this and know that even those who do not speak out are still affected and will not be pleased with limited and censored internet!
1787. richard lau, San Jose, CA, 95132  
The internet needs to be open. Net neutrality should be saved.
1788. Michael Gainer, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
This is a critical freedom of speech issue. Suppose my internet provider blocked one political party's content altogether?
1789. John Froschauer, Fremont, CA, 94536  
I want to experience the Internet on my terms. I don't want a company to force their agenda on me. Allowing these significant changes to the net neutrality rules would allow ISP's to force their internet experience instead of letting me choose my own.
1790. Matthew Rock, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I can only hope that if you rescind the Net Neutrality protections, you end up having your own political beliefs held hostage by the ISPs that can force content into a digital ghetto where you have to build your own private extranet to have any ability to communicate without paying grossly inflated fees. The Internet without Net Neutrality is an effective end to freedom of speech. Matthew Rock
1791. Harsh Chevuru, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Its important for US to not mess with Net Neutrality to continue as world superpower.
1792. Mohan Rao, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I am in favor of net neutrality and the currently proposed changes, puts power in the hands of a few companies disadvantaging many consumers. Please leave Net Neutrality as is and stop the rollback.
1793. Micah Garside-White, Fremont, CA, 94538  
The Internet is a vital resource for the daily lives of Americans, and must be held to the same standard of Freedom the we enjoy in

speech. There is no true free market for ISPs for the majority of Americans, and providing unfettered control of content and use to a virtual monopoly will be damaging to the free market, free speech, and the fundamentals that both the United States and the Internet were created around.

1794. Dillon Becker, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Defend net neutrality and safeguard Title II protections. Throw out Chairman Ajit Pai's plan to give the government-subsidized telecom giants like AT&T, Verizon, and Comcast free rein to throttle whatever they please, stripping consumers of the vital privacy and access safeguards we fought for and won just two years ago.
1795. Jessi Beck, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Please keep net neutrality as is. We need to keep the internet open and fair, to promote innovation and free speech. The entire world benefits from the internet in its current state. By imposing stricter restrictions, you are damaging the world's economy and ability to better itself.
1796. Sam Mal, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Net neutrality is a tenant of internet freedom and access in its use as a utility. Restricting this would be a slap in the face to citizens' rights and would allow corporations to have undue power over our browsing. I AM IN STAUNCH SUPPORT OF NET NEUTRALITY.
1797. Jean Francois VINCENT, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please do the right thing and keep the web open and free for everybody. Your proposed rule only serves big lobbies and will be detrimental to citizens!
1798. Eric Freeman, Newark, CA, 94560  
A free, open, net neutral internet, is truly the only internet. Please keep it this way. America has no effective competition in most ISP markets, and needs it. Tipping the internet further in favor of the corporation will bring the consumer's ire towards you. Please keep the internet in favor of the consumer. Thank you.
1799. John Lester Valentino, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Save our internet and keep it free to everybody.
1800. Jesse Hall, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Please preserve the classification of broadband providers under Title II. Neutrality of the data carrier with respect to the data provider/source is essential to preserving the innovation and free market of ideas and services that has made the Internet so incredibly transformative. The courts have said that neutrality can't reasonably be mandated outside of Title II. And because of limitations on frequency, right-of-way for laying cable, etc. there is not a competitive market for broadband providers that would allow the market to choose neutral providers; neutrality can't be preserved by market forces alone.
1801. Kurt Wampler, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Net neutrality is key to the success of the Internet as a medium equally accessible to all parties. The FCC should not bow to commercial interests which want to skew bandwidth in favor of their own business interests. Please act to maintain net neutrality as a fundamental core principle for Internet access.
1802. David Blume, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
As an employee of the streaming platform company, Roku, but speaking only for myself, I see many small channels such as local church channels competing for bandwidth with the giants like those from Internet Service Providers and right now, they have that fair shot. Without Net Neutrality they and their patrons could fall to an unfair disadvantage. Net Neutrality also fosters innovation of uses for the Internet we haven't seen yet by assuring new companies equal bandwidth. As a customer, I'm buying access to the internet as a whole, not a bundle of services. Please protect Net Neutrality.
1803. Sanalkumar Thekkanath, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I am for net neutrality, FCC please keep net neutrality intact. I am against ISPs charting the internet. I strongly protest against ISPs gaining the rights to change the internet.
1804. Swaroop Kallakuri, Fremont, CA, 94536  
we support Net Neutrality!
1805. Chuong Nguyen, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I want to keep internet free and open to everyone.
1806. dexter lam, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I do not support rolling back net neutrality rules. Service providers should treat all content equally.
1807. Eduardo Pinheiro, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
The internet should not be subject to big corporation manipulation. I pay for bandwidth and I should get it regardless of whom I visit online. The phone company doesn't tell me who I can call nor whether my call is subject to fewer spoken words per minute. Why should the internet?
1808. Yoel Perez, Newark, CA, 94560  
Everything is expensive enough as is, and pay is not nearly enough. Even overtime at a fairly decent job can't afford you to live in the area you have to live in in order to have the job in the first place. Almost no one can afford every little \$5 or \$20 package nowadays. This would effectively only give the option to the very rich. Everyone deserves access to a free web.

1809. Antonio Reyes, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I do not want my internet usage to be limited. I do not want my internet usage to be controlled by my ISP.
1810. Sushanth Bhushan, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I vehemently support Net Neutrality and the Title II regulations which make it possible. This so called "Freedom" Act is a farce and a disgrace. Scrap it now!
1811. Ryan Barry, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Please keep net neutrality intact. Do not roll back ISP classification.
1812. Linda Rice, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Keep Net Neutrality and a level playing field. A Wild West mentality will hurt consumers. There is very limited choice in Internet Service providers and costs vary widely. Big ISP's care little for their subscribers, their bottom line is King. Insurance companies are a prime example - everyone feels ripped off by them. Unless ISP's are highly regulated they will abuse their power, hurting small business and consumers. No business should be allowed to write their own rules.
1813. Bianca Lenski, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
My name is Bianca Lenski and I am strongly against net neutrality for many reasons. First, as somebody who comes from a family full of small businesses, these businesses would never be able to succeed with big company paid advertisements. We want an open internet- NOT net neutrality.
1814. Jason Hilton, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Net neutrality is essential. It's a critical safeguard that allows all information to be shared, regardless of origin or type. Without net neutrality, the internet will essentially become a controlled substance, with the ISPs deciding what is worthy on their network.
1815. Collin Frierson, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
ISPs should be treated like a utility, a regulated monopoly which serves a public good. In most areas there are such limited ISP options that allowing large ones to throttle or censor or charge more will leave internet consumers with no other option. The FCC should not allow ISPs to prevent competition from reaching consumers
1816. Vivek Ravisankar, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Do not remove Net Neutrality! It keeps the internet fair.
1817. Tim Nguyen, fremont, CA, 94538  
I do not want ISPs to be able to discriminate between certain internet content or services. I rely on my paid internet service for daily communication, education and entertainment and the FCC cannot grant ISPs power over which content is loaded faster. If my current ISP were to start controlling the content in that way, it is very difficult to find and change ISPs; limited options, high cancellation and installation fees.
1818. andrew stack, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Small home businesses should be on the same playing field as large companies. Otherwise we may not get the next great company at all.
1819. Allan Pratt, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I support Net Neutrality and the FCC's Open Internet Rules. Please maintain and protect the open internet. When I get internet service from my ISP, I want open and equal access to the whole internet, without "fast lanes" that web sites need to pay extra for, and without preferential treatment of the ISP's own properties and content. Thank you.
1820. Sean Stone, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Net Neutrality needs to be preserved to maintain an open and fair internet that is accessible to everyone.
1821. Stephen Evans, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Please keep the original concept of net neutrality alive. Do not allow ISPs to regulate their own traffic. This will stifle innovation, not promote it. The system has grown and flourished as it is.
1822. Sanjay S Rao, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I vote for Net neutrality. I do not want my ISP to control what I can and cannot see on the internet
1823. Nader Chehab, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Thank you for providing a way to share our opinion. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. Isn't it possible they could do this again? I'm worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any.
1824. Elmira Saalabi, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I am a small motion graphic designer. I cannot afford to pay for faster carriage for my site. This would directly affect and harm the amount of business I would receive. The internet needs to remain open and not have winners and losers.
1825. Marc Haberstroh, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Internet access is a utility just like electricity and water. Our children rely on it in public and private schools do and submit homework. It's an essential medium to participate in public life and to obtain an sustain employment. Many government services are now provided online, and not being able to use these puts one at a serious disadvantage. In most locations the choice of ISP is very limited, often with only a single viable and affordable option. These providers need to be regulated as a utility to protect the public. Just like public roads

anyone should have equal access. Please protect net neutrality. Best Regards Marc Haberstroh

1826. Internet, newark, CA, 94560  
The internet is a free place, just like America. Keep it that way. By taking away net neutrality, you take away our freedom. There is only one internet, keep it free.
1827. Harsh Kharshingkar, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Net has to remain open, else it will be just a unfair and closed entity and would eventually contract and die. It does not makes sense even economically in the long run. The data consumption will continue to increase exponentially as long as you keep Internet open. The moment you stop being net neutral, you kill it. Dumb providers of dumb data pipes need to understand and accept this. Please save the net. It's the most beautiful thing to have happened to Humanity in last thousands of years.
1828. Jared Miller, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Please keep the internet free for all and don't give in to big money.
1829. Carol Evans, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Please keep net neutrality as it is. If you allow ISPs to regulate their own traffic they will only do what is most profitable for them and they will have the means to squash any competition. This is not the definition of innovation or competition in an open market.
1830. Toan Vuong, San Jose, CA, 95133  
No fast lanes. Equal internet for all. This is a terrible proposition. I don't want smaller websites without \$\$\$ to load slower than others; that's just unfair. As an employee of a smaller startup, that would kill us and kill competition in general.
1831. Terry McShane, Santa Clara, CA, 95053  
My stealth startup is currently working on a way to auto-select videos for users based on their viewing history using advanced analytics and machine learning, and will be hobbled if certain video providers are prioritized (or otherwise given a free pass) compared to others. This is a real and pressing concern for about a dozen hardworking engineers at my firm every single day. It causes great anxiety and angst that the FCC is so blatantly anti-consumer. It makes us lose trust in your agency. Please, Mr. Pai, do the right thing by consumers and enforce STRONG Title II protection on all ISPs, both mobile and wired. In fact, I'd go so far as to require last-mile loop unbundling to make it clear that the internet and its infrastructure is a public utility. It's clear that investment by ISPs has NOT declined in the years since Title II was introduced. For reference, please see the investor-relations transcripts by every major ISP in the last two years. Note that these calls are monitored by the SEC, and there are penalties for lying (unlike in press releases and blog posts). This is your chance, Mr. Pai. Show us you're not in the pockets of big telecom. Show us your courage. Do the right thing. Enforce Title II. Best regards.
1832. Steve Evans, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Please DO NOT change net neutrality. Keep it open as it was and has been designed. If you give ISPs the ability to choose who gets higher speeds they will obviously go to the highest bidder. This will actually stifle competition and innovation and only enrich the ISPs. It will not benefit society in general.
1833. Heath Fields, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Between its constitutional mandates to (a) ensure a free and fair marketplace, and (b) establish and maintain equal and open communication between citizens of the US via the US Postal Service (the state-of-the-art in communication, at that time) the United States Government has, by inference, an equal mandate to ensure a data neutral internet. To do anything less is to abdicate a Constitutional responsibility and abrogate a Constitutional right of US citizenry and would further enlarge the hypocrisy that erodes the moral authority and influence of the USA, abroad.
1834. Maloy Wilkes, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Throughout the history of America monopolies have never benefited the citizens. It follows then that those in support of Net Neutrality are not driven by the interest of their constituents. Perhaps in our coming years we will regard information to be the precious commodity it is. In short, Net Neutrality is important and must be preserved if we are to continue to grow as a country.
1835. Alexis Medina, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I strongly believe that we need to preserve net neutrality. The internet is a huge part of our lives, contributing to nearly all decisions, large and small. The news we receive, which businesses we support, who we connect with - all of this is shaped by the information on the internet. Power to control the type and availability of information online should NOT be under the control of any one group or individual - certainly not large corporations. (This is not China.) I have been a customer of both Comcast and Verizon. No matter where I've lived, I've had essentially one choice for my broadband provider. These are monopolistic companies. Still, I purchase internet from them because I need fast, reliable internet. I do NOT look to my broadband provider for other services (email, cloud storage, streaming video, etc.). In fact, I feel very uncomfortable having one single company have access to all of my private information. For these services, I instead turn to a variety of other private providers (google, yahoo, apple, netflix, etc.). I believe the FCC plays a crucial role in protecting us - the American people - from greedy corporations trying to gain more power and control access to the internet. Please do your job to protect us and keep the internet safe. Don't let corporations take over. Maintain net neutrality.
1836. Net Neutrality, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Please protect net neutrality and keep ISPs classified as "common carriers." They have been reaping all the protections and benefits of being classified as an ISP but decided this classification no longer applied when it inconvenienced their corporations.
1837. Michael R Evans, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I hope you fight to preserve FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules)!
1838. Martin Gates, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Repealing the existing and working Net Neutrality rules is a mistake. It will damage small businesses throughout our great country.

These small businesses will not be able to "buy in" to get reasonable speeds and hence will suffer compared to the situation now. Please do not repeal.

1839. John Lindemann, Newark, CA, 94560

Since its inception, the Internet has been governed by principles of openness and non-discrimination. Net neutrality is the legal principle that underpins the free and open Internet as we know it today. Simply put, it means that broadband gatekeepers â€” Verizon, Comcast, AT&T, and other Internet service providers (ISPs) â€” should treat all Internet traffic equally and not discriminate between different bits of data.

1840. Timothy James Hohm II, Santa Clara, CA, 95051

I want an open internet. An open internet protects free speech and the Internet is a utility that should be available to ALL people. Allowing people to communicate and learn openly is key to innovation and driving the future forward while maintaining human rights. Please maintain an open Internet!!

1841. jennifer hess, Santa Clara, CA, 95050

The internet is a utility that must be kept free and open.

1842. KiT goodman, Newark, CA, 94560

The internet should be free for all. No throttling. No paid priorities. No blocking content. The same rules for everyone. The uses in education are important for me, my kids and many others. I don't want big businesses changing the way my kids get information. When I pay my monthly cable bill, I get 100% unbiased access to the content I want and need for home and work. Email, cloud storage, data transfers and web hosting.

1843. Tyler Pearsall, San Jose, CA, 95134

Keep it freeLE'GO MY EGGO

1844. Kevin Ersoy, San Jose, CA, 95132

Keep net neutrality. As a small business owner, it's important to me that the internet remain fair and unrestricted. Any web based startup should have equal footing with the large corporations. Killing net neutrality will place all startups at a disadvantage. When I pay for broadband internet access from my ISP, I expect free access to all inter networked servers available. I don't expect to be capped by the amount of data I use. I don't expect to be limited to the number of videos I can watch. I don't expect to have the companies I interact with pay a premium so I can connect to them. I use the internet as a multifaceted productivity tool. I use email, yes, but I don't want to be restricted to an email service provided by my ISP. I stream videos, sure, but not only from the big corporations or my ISP. I pay for hosting services, and make use of Email, SFTP, SMTP, RTSP, and more. When I pay for internet access, I expect unrestricted and unlimited access at the speed I pay for. I have 2 choices for my ISP, which is terribly limited. There are many who have only 1 choice. This is not an open market where supply and demand can dictate price. The internet is essential to our current lives. Access to internet at home is essential for modern school homework, work access, and a generally productive society. It is as essential as water and power to your home. Furthermore, our country is leagues behind others. \$50-\$80 per month for 10Mbps is robbery. Internet to every home in the USA should be no more than 2 hours of minimum wage per month. It should be provided at 1Gbps up and down minimum. I expect the FCC to structure rules for ISP's that make sense for the general population. Prices should be fixed to reasonable rates, not fixed by collusion.

1845. Cecile Lal, cupertino, CA, 95014

I do not want internet providers to manipulate my internet browsing experience by being allowed to accelerate some sites, slow down/ban others. I do not want internet innovation to be stifled by making it expensive for smaller companies to get decent speed/experience in their customers' browsers. DO NOT REVOKE net neutrality.

1846. Christopher Fraasch, San Jose, CA, 95134

A neutral, open internet is vital to an informed and patriotic populace. Do not ruin America's greatest invention by letting ISPs dictate how it should be operated. Do the right thing and stand up for the American people that you represent and serve.

1847. Albert Bodenhamer, San Jos, CA, 95132

Why are you siding with the most hated monopolies in America rather than consumers? When I pay for internet access I pay to access the internet (all of it) at an agreed upon speed. Not for my ISP to double-dip by promoting their own services or charging the services I use for the privilege of me being able to access them. Broadband internet access is a utility provided by companies with monopoly power. It should be treated as such. Preserve net neutrality rules.

1848. Dominick Twitty, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085

I support net neutrality. The FCC should not allow ISPs to offer "fast lane" priority traffic to content providers who can afford to pay more.

1849. Chris Chang, Cupertino, CA, 95014

All I want is access to fast internet and no restrictions on the content and websites I access. With how corrupt and awful companies like Comcast and AT&T are, I believe that this would be impossible if Title II is removed. The government should be a representative of the will of the people and not to corporations who seek profit and nothing more.

1850. Natalie Subramanian, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087

As a teenager and a future member of the American society, I do not see how removing net neutrality gives the American people more freedom. Instead, it increases the role of corporations in our lives. It is against free speech and is not only un-Republican, but un-American.

1851. Zoe Timmerman, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086

In order for the internet to live up to its full potential, people need to have uniform access to it. There is enough inequality in life without big cable companies charging for specific privileges and abilities. Everyone should have the same access to the internet. Charging more for access to specific sites benefits no one but corporations that already have more than enough money. Please don't buckle to the greed of cable companies. Keep the Internet Open.

1852. Eric Pesch, Fremont, CA, 94536  
I am writing to today to make sure that you are aware of the full ramifications of this proceeding. Unfortunately, today's Internet Service Providers are attempting to reign back controls which they have deemed unnecessary and overbearing. The proceedings originally started under the prior FCC Chairman Tom Wheeler were made with the intention of reigning in privacy issues and skyrocketing consumer costs which were funding little to no infrastructure improvements with our current broadband/DSL networks. Putting Title II regulations on the providers by the FCC gave a firm hand to control their unconsumer, monopolistic activities. By trying to confuse the message and putting "promises" of keeping the "open internet" alive, they are trying to remove regulations which so far (despite their misinformation campaigns) has had little to no impact to their bottom lines for the past fiscal year (see: stock, earnings reports for the top few ISPs: Comcast/Xfinity, ATT, Verizon.) In fact, we have actually seen increases in infrastructure spending overall, either through smaller/localized ISP competition or from them trying to stay competitive... despite them saying "we're losing money, and we can't spend more money with Title II over us." Aside from all the other issues that come with removing Title II (fast-lanes, more destructive zero-rating ("I won't put data limits on MY ISP's video/music services, but I will put data limits on Netflix/YouTube unless they pay the ISP money"), and more) - there's no end-game we as the consumer can see for removing Title II regulations. Please reconsider removing them, and continue running the "American experiment" for longer to see if it truly is impacting our ISP's abilities to improve.
1853. Brendan Bourges-Sevenier, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Don't pull back Net Neutrality. It is a violation of our rights and freedoms.
1854. Alexander King, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
The internet has become a vital part of modern life, and ISPs charging high rates for slow internet is just taking advantage of people. If net neutrality were repealed, this would be an enormous step in the wrong direction, because then ISPs would not only be taking larger advantage of consumers but also other companies.
1855. Nicolette Bocalan Clegg, Fremont, CA, 94538  
When I purchase broadband internet, I expect access to the entire Internet. I do not want my ISP to choose what it can serve me. Also, access in my area is limited to larger service providers. So I do not have the freedom to choose another ISP (for example, a smaller company) if I don't like the one I am using. My access to the Internet DEPENDS on Net Neutrality. Keep Net Neutrality.
1856. Nate Klein, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
A free and open internet is the backbone of capitalism in the world. Without net neutrality there will no longer be an equal playing field for all online which will stifle competition and prevent startups from being able to flourish because new companies won't be able to afford to pay ISPs for reasonable access to customers. Reversing net neutrality protections will also allow ISPs to censor the Internet at their whim. The internet and its information should be equally accessible to all and not subject to the beliefs of the CEOs of individual companies.
1857. Allen Liou, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please keep Net Neutrality backed by title II regulation in order to maintain a free and open internet.
1858. Rima Narayana Murthy, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
The internet is an unprecedented practical implementation of true globalism on our pale blue dot. Net neutrality is an important and just requirement today to secure fair/equal use of one of the most important technological feats of our time, I support it fully.
1859. Steve Matthew, San Jose, CA, 95134  
"Restoring Internet Freedom" is a farce that will the EXACT OPPOSITE of the title. Everyone knows this. You fail at government and at life. You are bad people and you should feel bad.
1860. matthew c bell, santa clara, CA, 95050  
Once again, I call upon you to maintain net neutrality. If you work for the people, which you're supposed to, you should be maintaining net neutrality. If you work for the internet providers, then I suppose anything I say here will not matter. Sad!
1861. Anne Wanken, San Jose, CA, 95134  
As an ardent consumer of internet content and a broadband subscriber, I believe that my access to information should not be controlled or influenced by my broadband provider and so believe that the Net Neutrality rules must stand as they are today. Net Neutrality ensures that we all have an equal playing field so anyone from a small start-up to a large internet company are treated the same and have the same ability to reach users. Please do your part by NOT rolling back these important net neutrality rules!!
1862. Adam Shwert, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Net neutrality is vital to the ongoing health and success of the internet as a whole. I don't want to have to pay an extra \$10 a month to some corporation just so that Netflix doesn't have to stop to buffer every 5 minutes. There is no scenario where letting ISPs strong arm various companies which rely on an open internet is a good thing. It only makes any sense if you're being paid off by the ISPs in order to make this happen. Please search within yourself and reject the bribes from the ISPs in order to keep the internet open and prosperous.
1863. Sean Schulte, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Freedom is the basis of our nation. Why should it be any different on the internet.
1864. net neutrality, santa clara, CA, 95051  
I support strong net neutrality and backed my title two oversight of ISPs.

1865. net neutrality, santa clara, CA, 95051  
I support strong net neutrality and title two oversight of IPSs
1866. Dylan Le, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Remember the monopoly of the Bell Telephone Company? This is basically what's going to happen again. We need to keep net neutrality.
1867. Jessica Y Lum, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Continue to classify ISPs as common carriers under Title II of the Telecommunications Act for a fair, free internet and to enforce net neutrality.
1868. Net Neutrality, santa clara, CA, 95051  
I support net neutrality and title two oversight of IPSs
1869. James T BOwen, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please don't make changes that provide restrictions and give more control to the ISPs. I fully support net neutrality and strongly believe that American's will suffer if you balk to the requests of a few ISPs who want to profiteer.
1870. David Sulcer, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I am very concerned about commercial content producers being able to use big pockets to the control the content I can get on the internet. I am open to sensible changes to the current regulations but there must not be a "free marketplace" that actually favors established entities with money that could crowd out newcomers or unpopular points of view. It will not serve the public to relax the current (very popular) regulations in a way that only favors some and does not maintain a level playing field. Thank you for reading my comment.
1871. Ana Kieu, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Dear FCC, Please keep net neutrality.
1872. Benjamin Sodos, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
This is not about privacy, this is about the foundation of our country and the world as a free market. By allowing companies to set rules in the market we remove our ability to regulate said market.
1873. Tom Trask, San Jose, CA, 95129  
ISPs should remain classified as common carriers. They should have no influence on the speed and bandwidth allocated to any particular variety of traffic on their IP networks.
1874. Charlie Tran, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Our country is supposed to be the best, right? America, where we are SUPPOSED to be leading the world in innovation and economy. So why, why do we let greed and corruption ruin the free market to the point where we are right now? If you go and look up the top countries in the world for internet, the United States doesn't even make it onto the top 10. IMPORTANT: WE AREN'T EVEN IN THE TOP 10 COUNTRIES IN THE WORLD FOR INTERNET. And why? Because a small group of companies have carved out small local monopolies or oligopolies for internet service, which is now an essential service for any productive citizen. This lack of competition has made them become stale and anti-competitive, letting other countries walk all over us. Sounds almost like the very thing our leaders always tout about preventing. You can't have a bunch of legal protections that allow anti-competitive practices to grow and then repeal the only protection against that in the name of the free market. It literally doesn't make any sense. It is the stupidest thing I've ever heard and I can only wonder whether the people who are for anti-net neutrality rules have the critical thinking skills of a gnat with down syndrome or are just that corrupt. Either way, how about you actually do ONE GOOD THING, ignore the obviously fake comments, and actually make a decision for the good of the people for once? But why am I even bothering to type out this comment, right? It literally will make no difference because the blatant lobbying and corruption has already withered away any integrity and lodged itself onto our system like a parasite. So go ahead, no one will read this so just prove that democracy and integrity are dead. Show the American people how lined your pockets are when you try to screw them over at every turn. And one day, when every other nation has surpassed us and turned the once proud United States into an irrelevant country, I hope it was worth it for that extra buck you made.
1875. Dr. David C. Williams, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Net neutrality must be preserved. There are many of us with technological understanding and we are not fooled by the current FCC chairman's political rhetoric. We recognize bad internet policies, care deeply about this issues, and will politically organize to protect a free internet.
1876. Jared Mills, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Net neutrality is important and should be preserved. Removing neutrality would impede our ability to use the internet freely. Do the right thing and leave our internet alone.
1877. Kendrick Wang, Fremont, CA, 94539  
The internet NEEDS to be free. It's frankly ridiculous that near monopolies such as Comcast could even think to control this. The internet is a utility and creating express lanes decreases competition which helps our economy.
1878. Timothy Danh, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Internet is for everyone!
1879. Marijane Vargas, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I'm currently a highschool graduate about to enter college. Internet neutrality is essential to me as I'm about to start my own small business in a couple years and it is important that I'm free to connect to other people online. Not only have I made real friends online,

being an artist in this age needs a free internet.

1880. Troy Tomson, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Corporations abuse whatever access they have, circumvent whatever laws/regulations they can, and do whatever they can to squeeze every penny out of consumers at every opportunity. Companies like AT&T, Comcast, Verizon etc have proven time and time again that they will do what's best for the company and shareholders, and not what is fair for consumers. Net neutrality is integral to an open internet where ideas can be expressed freely. Throttling and censorship are the means of corporations to bilk us for whatever they can
1881. Daniel A Cookro, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please save the Open Internet! I want you to keep Net Neutrality and keep my personal information out of the hands of businesses that pay for the data. If you would like to speak with me, please call me at 602 405 3440. Best Regards, Dan Cookro
1882. Jason Bikofsky, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
When I pay for access to the internet, I believe that I should have access to all of its content at the same speeds!
1883. Derek Lui, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Net Neutrality Impact
1884. Alan Chen, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
The internet needs to be neutral. More power should not be given to the ISP.
1885. Jason Rastrullo, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Keep the internet free. Paying extra for things that should be free is bad and should not be allowed. A part of my livelihood and hobbies are based on the internet. I play and broadcast my gameplay on Twitch.tv, and if my end goal is to make a living out of it, I need the internet to be open to me, my friends, and for the people that watch. Keep the internet free. Thanks.
1886. Colton Aliff, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Keep it pls
1887. Internet, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Do not allow this to happen. Giving this much power to companies will ruin one of the most incredible inventions of the 20th century. The internet should be free to allow opinions and content to be shared.
1888. Katherine Threlkeld, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I use the Internet to keep in touch with family and friends across the world, to make online purchases from both large and small companies across the country, and to do my job at work. I've taken online classes, played online games, and organized my life around tools from the web. It's my source for email, TV, and news. When I pay for internet, I'm not buying a provider's particular packages or services. I don't use anything from my ISP but fast access to the open web, and speed is my only consideration when choosing an Internet plan. I don't want my provider to have any say in what I look at or to offer me deals for certain websites over others. Not only do I feel this behavior is a breach in privacy, it is against everything that makes the Internet an equal playing field for all. I don't trust ISPs not to gouge prices or throttle certain traffic. The consistently low ACSI ratings of the largest providers suggest that I'm not the only one who feels this way. It is basically impossible to function without Internet in today's world, so the FCC should maintain oversight of providers.
1889. Justin Wang, San Jose, CA, 95129  
An open Internet is one of the greatest things we have in the modern age, allowing all people access to information for education or entertainment. Allowing ISPs to block or throttle information is a vector for nepotism and marks the beginning of the fall of the freedom to learn and share on the global network defining our times. I support Net Neutrality.
1890. Stan Zilberman, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I understand you want to help your donors but please realize that this is more important than wealth and power. If you take away net neutrality you risk hindering human progression. Internet in the 21st century is not a luxury but a commodity and even becoming a necessity. If you give up net neutrality you're creating a power that is too important for anyone to hold. FCC should ensure that internet is open and available to anyone and should set an example to the rest of the world. Please do not discount the importance of this decision.
1891. Zeal Jagannatha, Fremont, CA, 94538  
The internet should remain open and unbiased.
1892. Jorge Juarez, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Please don't let big companies gain new ways of stealing our money. Thanks
1893. Mark Tangingco, Fremont, CA, 94539  
please help protect and keep the FCC's open internet rules (net neutrality rules) thanks
1894. Rich Li, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I don't understand how politicians live with themselves knowing you're being bought out by these big ISPs. How is net neutrality not common sense? Why don't we start restricting public roads, so people have to go to your favorite major company that's paying you the most for their basic living needs. This is ridiculous, and you know it.
1895. Westley Wu, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I am vehemently against rolling back measures on net neutrality. Internet access needs to be open and neutral. ISPs should have zero

power to block, throttle, or otherwise manipulate speeds in any way and should not be trusted to operate in good faith. I demand that net neutrality continues to be protected and enforced by the FCC and would support actions to classify it as a necessary utility.

1896. Michael Chu, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Being a student, an American, a brother, a gamer, and an artist, I heavily rely on the internet to get by in my life. With a neutral internet, many of the everyday tasks I do will become harder or possibly impossible. I strongly hope that you will not pass the Restoring Internet Freedom Act and enforce net neutrality. Please be on the side of the consumers.
1897. Michaela Ritter, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Speed throttling and forcing organizations to pay more for a "fast lane" service is abhorrent, and I'm ashamed that the U.S., the heart of free speech, is considering this rollback.
1898. Matt Harden, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Since its inception, the Internet has been governed by principles of openness and non-discrimination. Net neutrality is the legal principle that underpins the free and open Internet as we know it today. Simply put, it means that broadband gatekeepers – Verizon, Comcast, AT&T, and other Internet service providers should treat all Internet traffic equally and not discriminate between different bits of data. That's how the Internet works today: users can go to any website and access any type of content, whenever they want. We MUST maintain net neutrality.
1899. Michael Vachon, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Net neutrality ensures that both new and established services have the same ability to reach users on an equal playing field and this is important to me.
1900. Nathan F Pooley, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please do not cripple the internet by removing the net neutrality protections. As a user of the internet I depend on being able to reach different websites and other resources independent of whether those sites pay for privileged access. As the owner of a small personal website I depend on all parts of the internet conveying my website information with equal precedence. Repealing these protections will hurt many US citizens use of the internet.
1901. Ron Ashkenazi, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Net neutrality is important. I'm having a career slump, and Internet content creation is the only way I've made income and remained active while job searching. Without a free and open Internet, my very life would be in danger. Please consider everyone's story in this decision.
1902. Kavita Shah, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
The Internet is a fundamental human right similar to freedom of speech. It has to be an unbiased and fair platform. Giving the unfair advantage to some companies will kill competition and innovation. And will create more monopolies.
1903. Eric Beckmann, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I believe that it is a very terrible idea to continue rolling back the laws protecting net neutrality. The fact that websites that cannot afford to pay ISPs for "fast lanes" will receive less internet traffic/service is appalling. The internet being a free and open place is so important for free speech and creativity, and I hope it may continue being so. PLEASE KEEP YOUR NET NEUTRALITY RULES.
1904. Aaron P Fillon, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I value my privacy and the internet needs net neutrality
1905. Rohith Balluru, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
We need net neutrality otherwise we'll lose all forms of freedom
1906. Vinay Ari, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please don't remove net neutrality as it will remove the fair playing field for companies. Especially start-up which does not have enough power to play against the big companies.
1907. Chris L Perry, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Dear FCC Commissioner, Keep and enforce current net neutrality rules. Do not subvert or scrap them. Thank you, Chris L Perry
1908. Richard Crouch, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Internet providers clearly, and increasingly, perform a utility role. There is no reason that they should not be subjected to the same treatment as other utilities. Additionally, net neutrality has served to increase innovation and competition.
1909. Marie Tolentino, Newark, CA, 94560  
I support net neutrality for the simple fact that the internet should be free from manipulation of isp's. I hope that the FCC will protect myself and all other users of the internet from the whims of providers, making it difficult or expensive to access a vital resource. I and my family use the internet daily and the thought of being at the mercy of profits on whether I have affordable or speedy access is taking away freedom from all of us. Please protect us and support net neutrality. Thank you.
1910. Brian Chan, San Jose, CA, 95131  
I like the internet equal for everyone. It gives startups the opportunity to be able to innovate and compete with established companies. Without net neutrality, new startups that have brought benefits to our economy would unlikely see the light of day.
1911. Sachin Pisal, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Please keep the rules as it is. That means please keep the internet open and free.

1912. Aleksander Ornaf, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Net neutrality must be kept. Having an open internet is crucial to our economy, and the day to day lives of all Americans.
1913. Sean Sun, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Net neutrality is important. I support a free and open internet. The profits of a few companies should not be prioritized over the fair and neutral access of information for all.
1914. Alexander Huynh, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Net neutrality is essential to a free, fair, and open internet. I do not want ISPs to have the power to discriminate between traffic. I am already paying for access to the internet. I should not have to pay for "fast lanes" or other prioritized access. I already pay for how much water I use; I should not and do not have to pay an additional amount depending on whether I drink or bathe with the water. I already pay for how fast I have access to the internet; I should not and currently do not have to pay an additional amount depending on whether I browse the news or do online shopping. Stop the Restoring Internet Freedom Act. It does anything but "restore" internet "freedom".
1915. Roberto J. Sepulveda, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
The Internet has brought a revolution on how people interact with everything. From learning to jobs to communicating with family across the world. The Internet must remain free and open for all and there must be a legal structure to guarantee it. Open and unrestricted access to the Internet would have been on the Bill of Rights.
1916. Barbara Clayton, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please keep strong net neutrality rules in place so that people can choose which websites and apps are best without being required to pay more for access. Today the even playing field has resulted in a robust internet business for the US. If The FCC changes the rules so that internet service providers can create a biased field US citizens will potentially be limited in our access to the internet. I care about the open internet and keeping fair competition online.
1917. Benjamin krenicky, santa clara, CA, 95050  
npne/
1918. John Henry Grossman IV, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Hello, my name is John Grossman and I am writing to you today in support of strong net neutrality regulations. I have been a professional software engineer for the past 25 years and as such I have borne witness to the profoundly positive effects a free and open internet has had on my industry as well as American businesses and the lives of all American citizens. When I purchase internet service from an ISP today, things are different from what life was like back in 1992. I am not just contracting for access to a relatively obscure computer network of academics and computer professionals, I am purchasing access to a set of services which have become indispensable in my life as well as the lives of every American today. I pay my bills, access local, state and federal government services, receive my entertainment, communicate with my friends and family, receive educations and training, participate in the American marketplace, participate in public discourse, support American entrepreneurs, and so much more all using services offered by American companies (large and small) which are delivered over the internet. Internet access in the United States is no longer a luxury for a limited set of technically oriented individuals, it has become \*the\* way to for Americans accesses services as essential to their daily lives as water, sewage, electricity and public roads. To a great degree, it has even subsumed services classically regulated by the FCC such as the telephone system and broadcast television. The free market principals of a level playing field and equal access to this network are what have given rise to the incredible diversity and utility of these services, which have allowed the thousands of companies (and their American employees) to prosper. Permitting the companies selling the fundamental access to these services to discriminate between service providers would be a grave mistake. It would effectively squash the ability of new small American businesses to enter the marketplace of services which access to the internet enables. The jobs created by these small businesses would dry up, existing service models would stop evolving due to lack of competition, and America would suffer on both domestically, as well as our ability to innovate and compete in the global economy. Many people have claimed that this will not happen because existing internet service providers are "Committed to the principals of net neutrality", and that if I as a consumer do not like the business practices of my current provider, that I can always just switch to a different provider. This is not the case for me, nor is it the case for the majority of Americans. Even in the heart of Silicon Valley, I have access to only a single ISP (Comcast/Xfinity). There is no DSL service available to me, nor is there any fiber service. There is only one "choice" for me, which is not really a choice at all. There is a thriving, competitive marketplace available to me in the virtual world of the internet where I can find and purchase entertainment from hundreds of streaming services, and tens of thousands of online retailer, but the only way to access these services is through my one and only ISP. While I am glad to hear that ISPs are committed to the "principals of net neutrality", this is not good enough for me. If they truly are, then there should be no problem with strong regulation. And individual who is committed to the principals of law and order has nothing to fear from the police as they will commit no crimes. Like wise, an ISP who is actually committed to the principals of net neutrality has nothing to fear from strong regulation. If and when they, our government \*must\* have the tools needed to remind them of their commitment and to bring them back into compliance. In the immortal words of Ronald Reagan, "Trust, but verify". In conclusion, the rise of the internet has been the most profoundly beneficial thing to happen to American citizens and the American economy in my lifetime. It is the foundation holding up American business in the 21st century and will certainly continue to be so for the rest of my life. The free-market principals which make America both great and unique exist in the virtual marketplace of the services offered on the internet as well as the physical world. Government's role in American lives is to ensure that law, order and justice are equally applied, and that all American's have equal access to the free markets which give them the opportunities to prosper and realize the American dream. Please protect Americans' access to the critical virtual marketplace of the internet by ensuring that everyone, big or small, has the same chance to access this market. Your, John Grossman
1919. Regina O'Shea, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Hello, I am not interested in my ISP for only faster connection speeds. This proposal will have profound impacts on the way all of us watch movies, listen to music, do homework, talk to family, consult with a doctor, pay bills, and conduct business. Taking away these rules benefits no one except cable, telephone, and wireless broadband companies. It is not in my interest to have my choices limited or manipulated by the higher bidder and have my access slowed or even blocked. Also, I think it's deplorable to the values of the American

economic system to disadvantage small business in favor of those who have more dollars to pay to play. It is also contrary to the principles of democracy to limit access to information for capital gain. Do not allow changes to the rules regarding net neutrality.

1920. Jonathan Jaworski, Santa Clara, CA, 95050

I am writing to express support for strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISPs). The desire to deregulate and allow to consumers to express their preferences through a free and open market is legitimate, and I would like to believe that the policy-makers behind this bill are trying to protect consumers. However, this bill would have the opposite effect. ISPs in the United States are notorious for dividing up territory -- a study you, the FCC, published in April of this year ([https://transition.fcc.gov/Daily\\_Releases/Daily\\_Business/2017/db0503/DOC-344499A1.pdf](https://transition.fcc.gov/Daily_Releases/Daily_Business/2017/db0503/DOC-344499A1.pdf)) showed that 58% of Americans have access to 0-1 broadband ISPs, with 87% of Americans having access to no more than 2 (page 6, Fig 4). When there are only a few sellers in the market, as is the case with ISPs, deregulation empowers the distributors, not the consumers, because there are no alternative options to which the consumers can turn if they are unhappy with the services they are receiving. Additionally, websites and businesses of all sizes have come out to express opposition to this bill. They know that it is the regulations themselves that allow them to protect their users and guarantee that the internet is a free and open place by preventing ISPs from discriminating, blocking, and prioritizing some websites over others, which the elimination of Title II oversight would allow. Considering that this deregulation has the power to hurt both consumers and websites of all sizes, I strongly urge you to maintain Title II oversight of ISPs. Regards, Jonathan Jaworski

1921. Adam Strauss, Santa Clara, CA, 95051

The fact that anyone thinks this is okay is absolutely baffling. I thought Americans prided themselves on freedom. So don't block freedom on the internet.

1922. Viet Hoang-Tran, San Jose, CA, 95131

Net Neutrality must be preserved for the sake of free speech and equal opportunities. We are not a country like Turkey or China that impedes upon the transparency and equality of the internet. ISPs are businesses and businesses can easily manipulate their product to promote their products further and squash their competition. We must preserve net neutrality to protect the greatest equalizer.

1923. Brian Lu, Newark, CA, 94560

It is against the interests of users of the internet to restrict its speed or availability based on which website or service is being used. Please do not allow internet service providers or any other entity to restrict internet traffic or usage. Net neutrality is vital for us. Thank you for hearing me out.

1924. Hisako Kuroki, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086

It's insane that this could even be considered. Come the f on guys, it's 2017. I've been using a pc with Internet since the 90s and if net neutrality was repealed it would be like the whole pacbell att Verizon bs all over again. Honestly we should be forcing corporations to upgrade to fiber because it is a huge boon to the economy being able to send information of almost any size extremely quickly as well as to our entertainment and other things. The ONLY reason they don't is because most people are idiots and don't know they're getting ripped off, or don't care and pay anyways.

1925. Kazushi Nagayama, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086

Please keep the internet a neutral place, don't give ISPs a free hand for doing things against net neutrality.

1926. Sage Moon, milpitas, CA, 95035

I support strong net neutrality rules that give people the power to choose which websites and apps are best, ISPs should not be able to prioritize the apps they own or slow down my connection based on whether or not it bolsters their bottom line. I support open internet.

1927. Josh Ruesch, Santa Clara, CA, 95051

Net neutrality is absolutely crucial to the fairness of the web. It allows small companies to have a chance to be successful without needing the capital to pay for 'fair' speeds to compete with the incumbents. We also have virtually no broadband competition in the United States. If Net Neutrality is repealed, the extra money that ISPs make from fast lanes will just be pocketed. If there's no competition, why would they re-invest that extra money? There is literally ZERO evidence that repealing Net Neutrality increases competition. Net neutrality protects the little companies and the consumer from the large corporations.

1928. Amy McGraw-Pate, Milpitas, CA, 95035

As both a homeschooling parent and a student myself, I'm contacting you to urge the protection of Net Neutrality. A free and powerful internet is crucial to our education, and to the U.S. as a free society.

1929. Antonio Silveira, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087

The FCC should first and foremost protect the interest of the People of the United States and not the interest of a few ISPs and Telecom companies. Net Neutrality and an Open Internet is the catalyst to so many innovations and the future of our economy.

1930. Jen-Hsun Huang, Santa Clara, CA, 95050

Suck my longthickhotGTX 1080 Ti

1931. Janna Zou Huang, Cupertino, CA, 95014

The Internet is a unique medium that certainly liberates citizens from geographical and physical constraints and allows us to connect with each other across borders. However, the Internet today is already a grand departure away from the "free" and "open" consumer Internet imagined decades ago; marketers, private companies, and 3rd party data aggregators already capture and capitalize on our every online action, calling into question our rights to privacy in an online era and extracting value from the everyday citizen in unprecedented ways. The threat of dismantling Net Neutrality is therefore an even scarier prospect, as it threatens to deliberately regulate, control, and tailor our online experiences towards even greater capitalist goals. Federal deregulation of the Internet in the form of dismantling Net Neutrality is ultimately handing over regulation, that the Internet certainly needs, over to private companies that are ultimately driven

more by profit than creating an equitable society.

1932. Bruce White, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support net neutrality. Please do not get rid of it.
1933. Mathew W Yee, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Net neutrality is crucial to support the freedoms that we Americans hold so dear. Do NOT rollback the current Net Neutrality rules.
1934. Michael Jones, sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
This seems like a really bad idea. Common carrier protections lessen the blow of local monopolies on high speed internet. If you really want to encourage competition stop blocking municipal ISPs, and perhaps encourage "One Touch Make Ready" to allow for newer players to the ISP game.
1935. Shafin Rahman, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Keep it the same pls. k thx! <3
1936. Melvin Delgado, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please keep the Net neutral
1937. Josh Silvestri, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Net Neutrality is extremely important to me. I don't want larger companies to be the only ones running at full speed. I don't want my new favorite website to shut down because they get artificially crippled. And I certainly don't want to see any websites get blocked by my (or any other) ISP.
1938. Turner Sinopoli, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I support strong net neutrality rules through title II. The internet is the world's greatest library, allow it to remain open and free without interference from massive telecom companies.
1939. Dimi Shahbaz, San Jose, CA, 95134  
My name is Dimi Shahbaz. I have been an internet user for two decades now. When I pay for internet access, I am paying for unfettered, unrestricted, and uncensored access to all of the sites and services available online, at fast speeds. Currently, in my area, that means ONE choice: comcast. Changing services to a DSL provider would mean significant disruption to the work I do online. What I expect from the FCC is to provide protection against monopolistic and anticompetitive behavior from internet providers, I believe that's the FCC's most important job. Denying net neutrality would cause the open nature of the internet to be jeopardized. Those companies that could afford "fast lanes" for their services would be given an unfair advantage over start ups, smaller companies, and even individuals. The internet was born open and egalitarian, and it should remain that way. It should be transformed into a pay-for-play realm dominated by a handful of monopolistic tech giants, ESPECIALLY since alternative ISPs are so scant in large areas of the US. I OPPOSE THE FCC'S ATTEMPT TO DENY NET NEUTRALITY.
1940. Sekeol Kim, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I implore the FCC and the US government to keep the internet free and open; to protect the rights of ISP customers to access all legal content without restriction or onerous regulation, to reverse decisions made earlier to allow ISPs to sell user private data, and to maintain our freedom of speech and assembly as it applies to internet communication.
1941. Joshua Schnebly, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
We need Title II Net Neutrality for the internet to remain an even playing field. Letting cable companies control internet speeds is not in the public interest and will harm any start ups. Please protect Net Neutrality.
1942. Todd Sterling, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
The internet needs net neutrality and I strongly support it. Pay-to-play through large ISPs for equal access is the wrong approach.
1943. Barrett Worley, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
No well-informed US citizen, outside of ISP executives and lobbyists, want ISP companies to be granted any further power over access to the internet. They will undoubtedly abuse it in the name of profits. Such abuses as throttling, paid prioritization will impede the free flow of information that makes the internet great to begin with.
1944. Michael Whitney, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please preserve net neutrality in its current form. I don't want ISPs messing with my data rates. Thank you.
1945. Laura Carns, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I strongly support the current Title II rules. These things are very important to me, and are all things Title II gives us: \* reasonable and fair interconnection payments so that connections like Netflix->Comcast stay fast \* removing data cap exemptions \* full disclosure of data caps, overage fees, and plain English discussion of what any contract language actually means \* reducing monopolistic practices and exclusive build-out licenses and pole-attachments \* NOT commercializing my data, keeping my web browsing private \* ensuring the rural broadband build-out actually happens \* subsidy for poor and disabled broadband access
1946. Teresa Sulcer, Santa clara, CA, 95051  
Please do not do anything to jeopardize free and clear internet service for all users. Please act in the best interest of everyone in the United States as you are commissioned to do!
1947. Michael Cabebe, San Jose, CA, 95133  
Do not hinder net neutrality.

1948. Eric Wiles, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Net neutrality is meant to protect the interests of consumers. ISP's do not own the data they are serving to their customers, so why should they exploit them for additional profit. This is disgusting.
1949. net, milpitas, CA, 95035  
SAVE NET NEUTRALITY! DOWN WITH THE BUSINESSES
1950. Vansh Jain, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I politely request that you do not roll back net neutrality. Decisions you make today will set a precedent that will impact generations to come. Do the right thing.
1951. Taylor Willcoxon, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Save the internet save the world.
1952. A Lee, Newark, CA, 94560  
Please continue to support Net Neutrality. The Internet is an important resource that must remain open and free to everyone. Much of one's daily life depends on the Internet now, and protecting Net Neutrality will allow for continued advancement of technology, knowledge, education, communication, and entertainment.
1953. RA Bentley, Sunnyvale, CA, 95002  
It is important to me, and to the future of liberated human life, that service providers cannot commodify internet speeds, rates, or otherwise prioritize, block, or throttle user access to the internet.
1954. Joyce Ho, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support retaining \* AND ENFORCING \* strong net neutrality rules!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
1955. Eshan Shah, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Net neutrality rocks! Please dont repeal it.
1956. Liem Tran, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
FCC should oversee internet broadband access. Right now in my area, there's basically no viable ISP that are available without data cap. I have lots of devices that access video stream and the data cap can be easily reached. Comcast for example imposed a fee when it's over the cap instead for example lower the rate instead which may be more acceptable. These ISPs need to be regulated by FCC so we know they're doing the right things for the users and encourage competition.FCC needs to get these ISPs to be more open and encourage competition. Right now area is limited to really just Comcast and ATT. At my mom's area, they're limited to only Charter even and essentially has the monopoly and stuck with whatever pricing they decided to make it.
1957. Liza Bodistow, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Net Neutrality is exceptionally important, to myself and for everyone I know. I already deal with surprise slowed internet at times when I am doing something critical for work, or sending an important email to a doctor. We don't need to segregate internet speeds based to support classism or block webpages on a whim. We do not want a corporate takeover of the internet. Net Neutrality should be the rule.
1958. Joshua S Dean, Santa clara, CA, 95054  
The internet needs to stay neutral and be kept safe from greedy companies that would be able to limit or block what is on the internet for their personal gain.
1959. James D. Braswell, Fremont, CA, 94536  
I am opposed to the repeal of the Net Neutrality Act. Bandwith should remain the same across the board for all, not just for the wealthy. Please do not repeal.
1960. Gerald Leung, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
The repeal of net neutrality limits freedom and liberty, and makes the internet a lousier place to be on.
1961. Simon Tadeu, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I believe in a continuation of the free use of the internet, without the restrictions imposed by the Federal Communications Commission or ISPs own benefit. I don't believe that in any circumstance does blocking, throttling or any sort of restriction in the common use of the internet benefit the public's interest.
1962. Krishna, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Protect consumers not corporations, protect net neutrality
1963. Stacy Murray, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Please keep Net Neutrality! Without net neutrality, access to a free and open internet could be restricted, which would affect small businesses/startups, and could further isolate those persons/businesses are in rural areas. I'm originally from Montana and the difference in internet services and related opportunities between Montana and the Bay Area is Night and Day!Removing net neutrality could potentially further the divide between us -- please keep it open and neutral for all! Thank you,Stacy Murray
1964. Sasha Lee, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Dear FCC,My name is Sasha Lee. I'm an avid lover of the Internet and have been a consistent user since the earlier beginnings of the World Wide Web. It is a fantastic tool (among other uses and titles) which allows me to do many things that affect my life on a daily basis. I stay connected with close friends and family, support organizations and read mail, articles, blogs, etc; I laugh and cry together with my husband watching some of our favorite shows or play video games with him and our friends; I learn how to code, take college

courses online, learn to speak, read and write in another language, learn about new cultures, and find useful tips to manage my household - all by using the Internet. I buy access to the Internet to do all of the above. But it doesn't just stop at home - I must also use it at work. Access to the Internet should be fair for all. Whether that being me and my family - currently 3 of us, or my employer - who have 1000's of users. I want my Internet to remain reliable to me and for others. I want my Internet to be capable of handling the demands that are required of it today and forthcoming. What I don't have today is more options in choice of where I can get my Internet and how much I can pay for it. What would that look like if you took away Net Neutrality for us today? The two options available in my area are Xfinity and AT&T. That's it. Where's the competition? Seems more like a monopoly to me. How is that fair? What would it end up costing me in time, money, new equipment if you take away Net Neutrality? What you - the FCC should continue to do - is protect our privacy, protect us from fraudulent billing, and protect us from price gouging by current and future ISP's. Thank you for your time, Sasha Lee

1965. Dominic Jackson, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
We are in support of open and unrestricted internet!
1966. Steve Miller, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I am against Net Neutrality as legislation, public policy or regulation. The Internet is still a fast growing technology with new capabilities being leveraged over the transport layers every day. Regulations have never promoted more competition, advanced technology, or encouraged entrepreneurs. Leave the Internet alone and it will continue to expand at an exponential rate, regulate it and you will stifle it growth.
1967. Sarah Butterworth, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I am writing today in favor of maintaining the established net neutrality policies that have been put into place. The internet remains a place where freedom of speech and the freedom to choose content equally has been celebrated since the beginning of its existence. By rolling back the current protections of these freedoms, the FCC will be allowing a very small minority the power to dictate content choice of a service that is so fundamental to the modern world that even 3rd world countries have access to it. This fundamentally goes against the core beliefs of the United States by removing power from the people and giving it to the oligarchical ISPs in power. I understand that there is a market in place for this service, and that these businesses should still be allowed to make a profit, and so tiered payments for different internet speeds is a necessary way of life in our current technological state. However, I do fundamentally believe that allowing ISPs to pick and choose as they see fit, not as the end user chooses, which specific services or websites may cost a premium is a threat to our way of life that cannot be ignored. The purpose of the government should be to protect the freedoms and liberties of its people. I ask that the FCC maintain its current stance of protecting net neutrality to do this.
1968. Morgan Gillis, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Nobody should be favored over another when accessing the internet. The internet is a public place for all.
1969. Clarence Chan, San Jose, CA, 95129  
The internet needs net neutrality. As society becomes more advanced, basic rights start to evolve, and at this point in America's history, we need to protect the right to free internet access
1970. Diane Warner, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Hello, I am writing in support of net neutrality. 1) Like a lot of millennials, I do a lot of online shopping. I use the neutral internet for a lot of my purchases- big websites, small websites, independent companies, you name it. There is a risk that if Net Neutrality is defeated, that my ISP will not pipe big companies and small companies at the same rate. This will give an unfair advantage to companies willing to pay for faster delivery. 2) In 2017, the internet is a utility. I use neutral internet to work from home two days a week. There is a risk, if internet neutrality is defeated, that my workplace (or I) will suffer financial losses to pay for VPN services to the ISP. I am aware that people make the argument: if your ISP is no longer neutral, switch your ISP. This is not financially possible for most people. Most people do not have the money to simply run a new line to their house and hook up to a new ISP. I live in the Bay Area, where most people are in condos or apartments- and most people in apartment buildings have no choice at all who their ISP is. It is determined by what the building management decided to install. I couldn't change my ISP even if I wanted to. 3) I remember 15 years ago, my father's Radiology group spent tens of thousands of dollars running cable for high-speed internet to their homes so the doctors could take night call. Doctors need to be able to stream gigabytes of data during night call, so they can have high-resolution images of CAT scans, MRI scans, etc. Can you imagine an internet where hospitals are forced to pay to stream that data? In conclusion, a neutral internet allows the American economy, big and small businesses alike, to prosper. Please do not allow ISPs to give preferential treatment to companies that are willing to pay.
1971. Chris Crader, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Going away from Net Neutrality would be a huge mistake. First, it would hinder the development of new products and services by giving advantages to established products and services that can pay for preferred carriage. When new things have to begin competing at a disadvantage, innovations are slowed -- bad for our economy and our country in the short run -- and innovation as a whole is discouraged -- bad for our economy and our country in the long run. The role of government is to protect the little guy from the big guy. Initially this was law enforcement (preventing the strong, or those with greater numbers, from taking whatever they want from the weak); now it's regulation (preventing the rich and powerful from taking whatever they want from the less-rich).
1972. Ritesh Anand, San Jose, CA, 95134  
In this highly digitized world Internet is like a utility. As a responsible government body FCC should make sure that every one of us has access to the same utility. This is more important for those who do not understand what net neutrality is. Please do not look away from the needy.
1973. MAAtt, San Jose, CA, 95134  
The internet is a utility a public commons to voice opinions, to communicate ideas, and to share ones thoughts with others users. Good or bad, like it or not, this is the new printing press giving the power to the people to communicate over the vast regions of earth at high speed. Internet should be protected under free speech, as this is the most common modern method of communication.

1974. Matthew Proctor-Brown, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I buy internet service not for the ancillary services that ISPs use as pretense, but for unrestricted, unfiltered, and transparent access to the internet. I use none of the email, cloud storage, or other bundled services "offered" by my ISP, and never have, because they are categorically and unequivocally worse than those offered by other service providers. ISPs, even with the limited competition and expanding regional monopolies that they have, do not have the portability of access or nationwide scope to be a viable option for such services when I move between regions. They should not be allowed to throttle traffic to other competing services, which they have done in the past with Netflix, in order to unfairly harm their competition.
1975. Trendane Sparks, Fremont, CA, 94538  
While I am only one voice among many, I would still hope that the number of people opposed to thing carries a fair measure of significance. Please do not allow this to succeed.
1976. Net Neutrality, San Jose, CA, 95132  
A free and open internet is vital for our democracy and for our daily lives, however this gives majority power to large corporations. This would be extremely harmful to several distinct areas. It would not only restrict information and give enormous power to large, profit-based entities, it would also hamper and neuter future growth and progress. The general populous will be severely affected by this proceeding; in their finances, in their access to knowledge, and more.
1977. Nathan Pearce, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Keep net neutrality. Don't sell out the open internet! No prioritized traffic.
1978. Amruta Patil, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I support the existing net neutrality rules which classify internet service providers under the title II provisions of the telecommunications act. The open internet rules from 2015 should stay in place as is.
1979. Shah Aditya Jung, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I am in favor of maintaining net neutrality, a consumer-favorable policy which does not cater to the whims of the ISP oligopoly.
1980. Landon Sterk, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Internet access has become an essential human right, enabling education, communication, and public discourse. Citizens of the United States pay for the right to access the Internet, and therefore should not be hindered by secretive and unconstitutional behavior by Internet Service Providers. The FCC needs to guarantee that ISPs cannot interfere in the dissemination of content for any reason, and regulate the provision of a neutral network of people and companies. Please continue to regulate the Internet as a public utility, and work to guarantee the unfettered access of all consumers to all content, regardless of the deceptive promises made by ISPs that will restrict the availability of content.
1981. Bianca Lenski, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
My name is Bianca Lenski I am FOR net neutrality for many reasons. I submitted a comment earlier and did not completely understand the topic. Now I realize I am FOR net neutrality as I don't think that ISPs should have control over how websites are accessed or viewed. Please keep net neutrality laws in place. DO NOT allow ISPs to control or charge fees for "fast lanes".
1982. Shayan Panjwani, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I want net neutrality because the Internet in my eyes has always been the greatest equalizer. By limiting access to it in any way, that's a violation of what I think is the greatest right of the 21st Century.
1983. Ken Denmead, Fremont, CA, 94536  
Net neutrality is vital to a robust economy that serves everyone. I run an online business that sees hundreds of thousands of visitors each month, and if some big company could decide who could or couldn't find my content based on whether they were being paid a little more for the service, then we'd be goners. In the simplest terms, information is speech, and America was built, and continues to run, on the idea that speech must be free and available to all citizens. It is anathema to that founding principle to suggest that access to speech should be commoditized or that some speech should be given preferential treatment based on the speaker's ability to pay for the ability. That's just wrong.
1984. Nitin Jain, San Jose, CA, 95129  
Keep the internet free. Without free internet, most of the past 2 decades of innovation would have happened only at the rate that ISP would have allowed or preferred. Lets be clear they have no idea what customers want. It is critical for US to remain competitive in the world that we provide more than a lip service to the concept of Freedom.
1985. Noah Fishlock, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
The internet has become more than a luxury - it is an assumed necessity of day to day life, necessary for everything from finding a job to navigating from point A to point B. The workings of essential system should not in any way be hampered, hamstrung, throttled, or mined for profit, as it would harm far too many to benefit a wealthy few.
1986. LYN BARTLETT, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Do not sell this country out to big corporations that will take over our access to the internet. Keep the internet neutral and accessible to all. Please be on the right side of history on this. Thank you.
1987. Siamac Mirzaie, San Jose, CA, 95129  
I don't want my ISP to pick what website that I browse should deserve faster service. That is just not fair to the end user.
1988. Amir M, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Please do not roll back the net neutrality. The internet needs to remain open and unrestricted and we need to provide equal access to

everyone.

1989. Praveen Ahuja, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Free and open internet is essential. I express my support for strong net neutrality rules that give people the power to choose which websites and apps are best.
1990. Benjmain Champoin, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Net neutrality is important and it should be kept in place. The internet should remain a free and open place where discrimination of data is not possible.
1991. Alexander Gaidis, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I am writing to inform you of my support of the regulations currently in place. Rolling back on FCC regulations would be a terrible thing for small businesses, individuals, and those who oppose large-scale ISPs. Thank you for your time and listening to my opinion.
1992. Angel Islas, Santa Clara, CA, 95053  
I strongly support net neutrality and encourage the pertinent decision makers to maintain a strong, vibrant, free and open internet.
1993. Cheung Auyeung, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I was laid off 15 months ago and I use the internet to sharpen my knowledge for a new career in Artificial Intelligence. I watched education video over the internet, access homework and lecture notes over the internet. Getting education video over the internet consumes a lot of data over the internet. I support net neutrality so that I can access any data and services over the internet from any one and from anywhere without differential direct cost or indirect cost to access those data and services. When I subscribe to internet service from Comcast. The internet service is a telecommunication service. I have a Ph.D. in electrical engineering with more than 20 US patents, I can assert you that internet service is a telecommunication service that sends and receive digital data between two or more locations. Please ask IEEE if you disagree. For my home location in Sunnyvale, CA. I only have one choice for internet service provider for bandwidth over 10 Mb/s down (i.e. Comcast). There were talks that Google would provide competing internet service to Sunnyvale, but there were too many road blocks to setup the services. FCC should require all companies and cities to support any company to setup any internet service with equal access and non-discriminating pricing.
1994. Andrew Maxwell, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Striking down Net Neutrality is bad for consumers and is a strike against open communication that is the basis of our democracy. No company should have this control over what content is available. I support Net Neutrality.
1995. William Baker, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I strongly support net neutrality by using Title 2 rules.
1996. Alan Helbush, Newark, CA, 94560  
The FCC should safeguard Internet freedom by keeping the bright-line net neutrality protections in place and upholding Title II so the internet will stay open as it was intended to be.
1997. Allan Hurst, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I believe it is vital to retain Net Neutrality to ensure fair, open access to all internet based services and content for all people.
1998. Joe Dan, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Do not do this. This is awful and against the public interest.
1999. Sidharth Sharma, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
SAVE THE OPEN INTERNET. THE CONSTITUTION IS SHAKING IT'S HEAD AT Y'ALL
2000. Jeffrey Scot Johnson (CEO)(CTO) Satellite Streaming Servers, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Open Internet is very Important to me and I own and operate my own server for my online business over the open internet and my primary business is Satellite Streaming Servers is a Satellite Streaming Servers Hosting United States provides enterprise hosting and server services That peoples can rent on my server to start up their own internet radio station and be able to DJ online. I went to school To be an I.T. Professionals and paid a lot of money to get my business off the ground. I started it from the ground up from my websites, billing sites. if we loose are open internet freedom I will loose my business. I really love the open internet and my FREEDOM TO OPERATE my business over the open internet. and using my mobile internet over the net. I consider my business my baby I love to keep the open internet to watch it grow. Please, dont take our OPEN INTERNET FREEDOM AWAY FROM US Americans. The open Internet is part of my life. So Please let us please keep the Open Internet as it is and thank you for letting us keep our FREEDOM and open internet. Best Regards Jeffrey S. Johnson (CEO)(CTO) Of Satellite Streaming Servers
2001. Shankar Jothi, Fremont, CA, 94538  
The internet remains open.
2002. jagadeep, Fremont, CA, 95131  
Internet User should be set free to use any website with good speed.
2003. leslie, newark, CA, 94560  
The internet is a free place not where ISP's can control
2004. Ivan Chou, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I run a small automotive interest web site called TireReviews.com. I'm proud of it, and I consider it as my hobby. I don't earn much from running it - maybe \$2,000 a year. There's no way someone like me, or numerous other bloggers and specialty sites could possibly pay to

have our sites function as fast as the sites of large corporations. ISPs like Comcast, Verizon and AT&T should not be allowed to throttle down bandwidth to web sites that have not paid for fast access. Please strongly consider keeping the Internet unfettered for all. Sincerely, Ivan Chou  
Ivan Chou

2005. Thomas Dang, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I have confidence in the Federal Communications Commission's Title II order of 2015. I understand that these restrictions inhibit the growth of rural and small ISPs, but the development of these ISPs without Title II would result in them taking shortcuts - namely, bypassing net neutrality and selling fast lanes to high bidders. Rural communities deserve better than a closed, throttled internet strewn with paywalls. Chairman Pai, ironically instead of restoring internet freedom, your plan hides it behind gates of greed. I oppose Docket 17-108.
2006. Calvin Chan, San Jose, CA, 95133  
The only thing ISPs should do is provide Internet. That's it. Why would you allow them to do more to make it difficult for people to use the internet as a means of communication? You let ISPs get away with installing data caps in my area, which is ridiculous. You're continuing to let ISPs tack on fees and increase prices without providing innovation. As a common citizen with an interest in technology and America's future, I'm calling on the FCC to please protect net neutrality. I want to be able to freely use the Internet without ISP interference. Thank you.
2007. Paula Ning, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I'm pretty mad that you guys are making me expose my address to the public as a price for yelling for y'all to not be feckin evil. I know you were literally handed over to someone who has historically had only the interests of ISPs at heart, but you could at least PRETEND to fight for what the FCC actually stands for. This is absurd. Just like water must be a utility because it is required for human life, knowledge must be a utility because it's the only thing between us and a full corporate-controlled kleptocracy.
2008. Pi Iyengar, Newark, CA, 94560  
I support retaining \* AND ENFORCING \* strong net neutrality and title 2. This is necessary to maintain a better educated and thoughtful populace to drive our country forward. Thank you.
2009. Dominique Terry, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Net Neutrality is crucial to everyday life. From comparing auto repair shop prices to searching for unbiased news articles, I rely on an understanding that my search results are not tampered with other than the guidelines set by search engine algorithms. Everyone gets a say on the web, and we deserve to find information without unwanted meddling.
2010. Andrew Schein, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please continue to support net neutrality!
2011. Julian Ong, San Jose, CA, 95131  
I have spent my entire career in tech and believe this is a horrible idea. Net neutrality must be preserved in order to keep innovation and the free flow of information alive on the Internet. Without it, ISPs and their parent companies could easily stifle their competition, suppress political/religious opinions, and other heinous things.
2012. Nick Peacock, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Net Neutrality is essential for a free and open internet, please don't sell our souls to the ISPs
2013. Tuo Shen, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Internet has become a valuable part of modern infrastructure. Before Internet, we went to libraries, archives, and got our information from news outlets, but now we have such a tool that offers undefined amount of knowledge and information. To limit the free use of Internet would be comparable to censorship to vast knowledge. The consumers pay the ISPs to access such service, and ISPs should provide only the infrastructure to do so, not to tamper with what the consumers should have access to and what should not. An example such would be citizens pay the government / town to maintain a public library through tax, but what if such library now is restricted? Certain section of the library would be blocked, others with limited information; paid author would have their books in the library while other author who can't endorse the library would have their content refused. Similar, Internet now is in the same situation. I strongly encourage the FCC to review and consider the decision of this case and keep Internet free from any censorship. Internet should be free just like the highway you take to go to work, just like the air you breathe. Let's keep Internet free for the future!
2014. Nhi, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
The internet is a part of the human rights. It is a freedom of speech. Any act restricting rights are against the constitution.
2015. Natalie Rios, San Jose, CA, 95133  
I'm the co-founder of a 1-year-old start-up in education. Our mission is to help give children access to tools that can help build literacy skills, giving them a chance to succeed in college, vocational training, and life. As someone who depends on the internet to reach my audience, I'm extremely troubled by the efforts to repeal the net neutrality rules. In education, as in most other industries, start-ups are competing with much older and much wealthier corporations. If we had to pay ISPs in order to ensure any discovery or if a more established competitor somehow gained favor with an ISP (whether through partnerships, acquisitions, or some pay-to-play provision), we would struggle to stay in business. Allowing ISPs to favor a site for any reason would not only affect small-business' ability to continue providing a service, it would also limit the amount of services consumers have access to. I may charge less for my product than a corporation does, but consumers may have trouble finding it. In the education industry, that doesn't only mean that my start-up loses a customer, it also means a teacher or school never finds the solution they were looking for. On a more personal level, ISPs should provide access to the internet, not a package of "channels". So far today, I've visited Google, Facebook, Twitter, Yahoo, Instagram, PBS, and more. Since we don't have much of a choice between ISPs (where I live, Comcast is pretty much the only option), net neutrality ensures that we have a choice when it comes to web services. Please preserve net neutrality and the open internet.

2016. Esteven Jandomon, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I strongly feel that removing and degrading net neutrality is a huge step backwards from our progression of the astoundingly innovative technological advancements in history as well as our future.
2017. Cole Andrews, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I support strong Net neutrality rules backed by the current Title II
2018. Thomas Cavazos, Newark, CA, 94560  
I want to express my opinion that the internet should be regulated like a public utility. I use the internet as my gateway to other services, not as a suite of collected services. Allowing ISPs to create tiers and charge websites for faster access will only damage the public's ability to get the most from the internet. Additionally, it's easy to imagine a world in which ISPs block websites they deem objectionable.
2019. Nikola Mijanovikj, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. The proposed changes will eventually fire back at all of us, we are going to end up paying more at the end. Even you who are reading this comment, I'm sure you would prefer not to pay more for the already crappy internet service your provider gives you...
2020. Nicholas Cho, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Net neutrality is not a partisan issue. There is widespread, bi-partisan support across this country for our right as citizens to access the internet in a free and uninhibited manner. Any rollback of net neutrality policies is a detriment to the public, to future economic growth, and to the ideals of a competitive market in order to benefit a few companies, who already have monopolistic control of one market (broadband internet) and who will be able to use their dominant market position to force their way into other markets. The FCC allowing such an event to take place is an affront to our democracy and to the ideals of capitalism.
2021. Jon Thoreau, San Jose, CA, 95002  
Title 2 is fundamental to keeping the internet a fair and balanced environment. Do not let the allure of 'open business' or the honor system of for-profit corporations be the deciding factor in something that was developed for free and open communication of ideas, thoughts, and information. Restriction of information and controlling its flow is the start of a dictatorship.
2022. Ryan Messick, san jose, CA, 95134  
The open internet is expression, art, knowledge, growth, free and above all, a democracy. Do not let big companies take our freedom or our democracy and in many cases, our livelihood.
2023. Joseph Torbati, Newark, CA, 94560  
The internet needs to remain neutral. Letting laws get passed like this give away basic freedoms and it is not ok!
2024. J Provine, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Net neutrality must be maintained. I urge you not to allow anything less than complete net neutrality to be the policy of the United States.
2025. Kim Liu, San Jose, CA, 95131  
What give ISP's the power to decide what websites are important? How do we know they will not decide based on monetary reasons. If ISPs are given the power I know personally it will lower my productivity, and will most likely lower the productivity of all.
2026. Jon Dutra, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Broadband Internet access service is CLEARLY a telecommunications service. Please do not repeal the rule adopted by the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) on February 26, 2015. Repealing that rule would be an economic disaster for many important American companies. Thank You ! Jon Dutra
2027. Justin Cha, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
What the hell? How is this restoring freedoms when its actively taking away my ability to browse any website I wish?
2028. Erica Messick, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Save net neutrality! Paid prioritization, throttling and blocking based on lobbied interests is not acceptable. The internet should remain free.
2029. John Biser, San Jose, CA, 95133  
Repealing Net Neutrality opens the door to big businesses lining their pockets for even more money than they've already got. It hurts the everyday people in ways that we think we can comprehend fully now, but can manifest in even worse ways in the future. Paid prioritization? Website blocking? Connection throttling? This is America, not communist China. Please keep Net Neutrality.
2030. Adrian Lobo, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I want the internet to remain open, free and unconstrained by ISP providers. The Net must be Neutral, and not controlled by the two or three ISP providers who have a stranglehold on the market. Net Neutrality is good regulation that must be kept, changing it will further exacerbate the digital divide.
2031. James May, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I sincerely hope that this gets reviewed in how it affects the general consumer and US citizen. As it currently stands, this act most definitely endangers the public's freedom.
2032. Richard Lee Romero, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086

I'm a web designer and developer, and I've been at it for over 15 years. Back when I was learning web development, web pages were built using HTML tables and most people connected to the Internet via dialup. Today, the Internet is much more complex and complicated, but it grew from rules which didn't artificially give one company an upper hand over others. This is what repealing Title II, as it applies to ISPs, promises to do. Large ISPs such as Verizon and Comcast are insanely profitable companies. Verizon, for example, has averaged over 10 billion dollars per year, after expenses, since 2013 and this is despite all the FCC has previously done to protect net neutrality. Additionally, Comcast has very little competition in my neighborhood. If I want fast Internet access, Comcast is my only option. I pay Comcast \$88.95 per month for 150 megabits per second. I can choose AT&T, but I can only get DSL we can't get fiber internet at our apartment and that means speeds of 25 megabits per second. I feel fortunate that I have access to broadband much of the country still does not. I visited Europe last winter with my wife. She and I were able to buy two SIM cards for our iPhones for about 60 GBP total - these SIM cards had 12 GB of data on them each, including voice and texts! Here in the US, Verizon offers us a third of that, to share, for about twice the price per month. Repealing this legislation under the guise of helping "innovation" is an asinine excuse. The innovation the FCC would be limiting would be that of the little guys the people who can't afford to pay Comcast or Verizon for fast lanes, but who have big ideas about what the Internet should be. Just like I did when I first started out. The big telecom companies should be laser-focused on building out their pipes, not what's going through them. Everyone wins that way. An open and neutral internet is very important to me. Please don't repeal Title II protections as they apply to ISPs.

2033. James Flaagan, Cupertino, CA, 95014

I will be surprised if you read this as our representatives in the FCC and government don't seem to give a damn about the people they're supposed to represent. You know that Net Neutrality is the correct way to do things, so stop bs'ing us and stop being a bunch of greedy fucks and take care of the people you're supposed to be representing. James Flaagan

2034. Chaitanya Mutyala, Newark, CA, 94560

Please keep the internet open and equally available to everyone. Do not revert the current rules.

2035. Rahul, San Jose, CA, 95129

The internet should not be able to be throttled by companies. Keep the Net neutral.

2036. Samer Kreitem, Santa Clara, CA, 95051

I support net neutrality and keeping the internet open and competitive. Please do not rule to take that freedom away from the users and give them to companies. Paid prioritization is unconstitutional.

2037. Casandra, Santa Clara, CA, 95050

I am currently a student at a university in California. Like many other students and learners in this nation, I depend on individuals on the internet -- both big business and local tutors, to provide the education necessary for my success. I am also a gamer, and love being able to see the content smaller artists and engineers are able to create, and most of all I love seeing their success. For people like me -- who don't have much, because we have prioritized paying for our education, it is really important to me to see programmers, software developers, and teachers be able to succeed because of their talent rather than because of the money they put out to advertise themselves. It IS the American Dream. When I pay for broadband Internet service, I am paying for my rights in choosing what I want to see online and who I want to support, or not support, by utilizing different companies' services, such as email venues or even cloud storage. As someone who belongs to a community of streamers while attending college, its important that the services I currently use remain constant because I cannot afford to purchase better equipment. Open internet just makes sense.

2038. Bhawana Sharma, San Jose, CA, 95134

Please don't take away the net neutrality.

2039. Kyle, San Jose, CA, 95127

Letting there be control of the internet would be foolish, the internet is their for information to be spread freely be it bad or good. It's the reason that information spreads so quickly, and it's how people communicate. Letting there be a censor or control on this would be damaging to everything the internet has brought.

2040. net neutrality, Fremont, CA, 94539

Please keep net neutrality on the internet

2041. Cary, not posting this info publicly, CA, 95051

What makes you think rolling back Net Neutrality is a good idea? Like when has the public ever responded like "yeah, lack of net neutrality. That's exactly what we want." you know this is a bad idea, don't be stupid.

2042. Leonard Lai, Cupertino, CA, 95014

Limiting and controlling the Internet in this day and age is like deciding who gets to have more air to breathe than other people.

2043. MANIKANTA RAJESH VAKAMUDI, SAN JOSE, CA, 95134

I want access to the whole internet.

2044. Vijay Sarathy, San Jose, CA, 95129

As an entrepreneur I believe in free to access all content and applications equally, regardless of the source, without giving Internet Service Providers the ability to discriminate against individual, small content providers. There are plenty of examples of ISP over reach from the past which should inform us. Broadband should continue to be classified and regulated as a Title II telecommunications service.

2045. Lucas Tay, Fremont, CA, 94538

Please keep the internet open and not overly-regulated. With so many big name companies on one side, please at least take the time to second guess your opinions.

2046. Michael Holcomb, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Net Neutrality is essential to lowering barriers to market entry for all participants in the emerging internet marketplace. It protects both large corporations and those merchants and entrepreneurs just setting out into the market. To allow for differentiated rates of access will mean that those already established entities with the capital necessary to finance those rates will dominate the marketplace and push smaller competitors out of the market. Net neutrality promotes equal access to the internet, access that will be the lifeblood of the 21st Century economy, promoting competition, fostering innovation, and enabling all market participants to contribute to the growth of our national economy.
2047. Rajveer, San Jose, CA, 95132  
The internet is a free place as it is and I'd prefer it stayed that way
2048. Ashish Chaudhary, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Nobody should be able to restrict the freedom we have online and make money out of that
2049. John Allen, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
In an already diminished market of Internet Providers, it is known that the service provided to consumers is equal to that of a utility. The Internet is a utility on which business and pleasure is conducted, where the people of the United States (including myself) expect the FCC to protect our rights to conduct said business without interference. Personally, I use the Internet constantly for both my work and in my off time. Being a web developer and a streamer on Twitch, my entire career depends on the open sharing of ideas, code, and video. In my downtime, I expect as a consumer to be able to shop for the best services, unhindered by politics, to enjoy TV, movies, etc. We should be focused on how we can bring faster Internet to more people rather than how to upturn the few options that already exist. YES this is the job of the FCC and YES you should consider yourselves the responsible party of protecting the people of the United States against the savage Internet Service Providers that are only in it for the money, even if gained unfairly.
2050. Albert Ong, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Net neutrality is a vital asset for fair access to information and American democracy. It must be defended at all costs.
2051. none, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Net neutrality is important because the people reserve the right to what they want to see and read. There are billions of opinions on the internet and each person deserves to have their voice heard whether they are correct or incorrect. By enforcing content, we, the people of the United States of America, lose the privilege to view what we please. As a result, we trap ourselves in an environment that is self-centric, constantly repeating the same ideas. By having the internet as an open forum, I can choose to view content such as the benefits of a certain religion, why people follow that religion, and the comments of those who support or oppose it. This creates an area where human beings from around the world can interact and submit their opinions while we can filter out what isn't true and learn from what is. The United States of America is known as the melting pot for a reason and net neutrality is the opposite of a melting pot. By slowing down specific websites, we pave the path for monopolies to occur (I am not implying that it will occur under anyone's control, but stating that it is a possibility that should not be ignored). By paying the government or an official copious amounts of money through bribery (which is illegal, but there is a possibility), one company could dominate the others, even if the other companies are more beneficial and provide a better service. Thank You.
2052. Abel Zerai, San Jose, CA, 95133  
Do not allow paid prioritization and other regulations that will make the internet slower and entirely for profit.
2053. Benedict, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I believe that the internet that large amounts of the population use should remain free from control of the small number of businesses and companies. The Large majority shouldn't be controlled by the small group that has influence of money.
2054. Neri Lubomirsky, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
The internet needs to maintain neutrality. Allowing service providers to control what information is visible to the public and what content is prioritized would greatly limit our access to knowledge, allow corporate censorship, and restrict the amount of data we can use.
2055. Alireza Ali, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Keep internet neutral. Please preserve net neutrality. It's testament to our freedom of speech.
2056. Stephen Ngo, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Net neutrality is impotent to have. By having this rule repealed would do the opposite of freedom to the internet by giving control to the ISP as they would have control of what you can do in the internet.
2057. Luke Sanwick, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I wish to keep the Title II rules in place, net neutrality is a vital part of the Internet!
2058. Jason Lo, San Jose, CA, 95129  
As someone who has been on the internet for a few years now, I believe that the best way to use it is to be free. If the small websites I usually go to get shut down, it will lower my entertainment for the day. Also, if the internet gets its rights given to someone very corrupt, then the internet will never be what it was a few years ago. These corrupt people might block trustworthy websites and only allow people to read totally biased articles about things like the current president or the situation with ISIS at this moment. We fought for freedom back when the British were in control of us, why should we be limiting someone's freedom online? Overall, I feel like this may be used for very bad things, and people like me don't want this to happen
2059. Nathan Pereira, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
There are only two ISPs in my region, within the Silicon Valley. This has created a monopolistic market within my area, leading to

greater prices, less competition, and inferior quality of services and product. The Title II Classification checked these ISP's market power and allows for innovation within the tech industry. The internet has been such a fertile ground because of the level playing field that it allows. ISP's are notorious for exploiting loopholes and taking advantage of companies. For example, Comcast repeatedly throttled Netflix's websites while trying to price gouge the company. For the sake of the American people, consumers, and the overall economy, do not deregulate the ISP, and keep them classified as Title II.

2060. aliza zaffar, Fremont, CA, 94539  
supporting net neutrality.
2061. Igal Ginzburg, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Please keep the net neutrality - this is an amazing tool that revolutionized the world. That was achieved by the openness, by accessibility, by democracy of it! Positivity and encouragement make things better, not restrictions! Sincerely,Igal
2062. Eric Rodriguez, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Let me open this by saying I pay for my own internet access. Every month I pay Comcast to provide me with an "estimated" speed of internet with a very exact amount of total data use for the month(1 Tb). With my terabyte of data I watch you tube videos, play video games, listen to audio books, and browse the web for interesting things. Under current legislation my internet service provider (Comcast) is correctly classified as a utility that normal American's must access to engage in the 21st century. We can argue that water providers might be different than Comcast but in reality this is not true. Water is often payed as it is consumed thus my apartment complex pays for the water it utilizes to water the plants in the same way I pay for water used to wash dishes. Rates might differ between water companies but at the end of the day discrimination based on how the utility is used is disallowed on the simple basis that it is anti consumer and anti competitive in every way. My internet service provider stands to provide the free market absolutely nothing if they were removed from title 2 classification. The normal American would be asked to pay more for an inferior service that would come from repealing title 2 classification. At this moment my ISP can only watch as Netflix and millions of internet based companies world wide are using the internet to build business and while consumers are free to pick and choose from a vast market place. With title 2 gone my ISP would be free to limit access to the internet and in effect limit competition at the expense of the public good and the free market. Please consider that our Government is supposed to look out for the benefit of the American public and blocking net neutrality runs in contradiction to the benefit of the entire American public and the free flow of information.Thank you
2063. Daniel Nelson, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Do what's right for the users of the Internet, keep the internet free of fast lanes (or blockages).
2064. Sanchit Gupta, Santa clara, CA, 95054  
Net neutrality should be fundamental right. Networks is like a river system. We don't put fast lanes on river. Do we ?
2065. Jess Nolin, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Net Neutrality MUST be protected. It is in the people's interest. Show us that people matters more than cable companies.
2066. Christopher Jeremiah, Fremont, CA, 94538  
The Internet has to stay neutral to give smaller bussinesses and the everyday man a chance to harness the Internet's full potential. Please keep the Internet the way it is.
2067. Arjun Reddy, SAN JOSE, CA, 95131  
Without a free internet, you cannot advance in technology and in turn advance the human race. Today, there are so many poor people that get uplifted from poverty due to internet because internet provides them the power of knowledge. It also provides freedom to express and you obviously know there are many countries around the world that suppress the opinion of the people, Internet provides a way out for such people. Freedom of expression is the fundamental right of a human being, by restricting it you are essentially taking away that right in some way or the other. Certainly there are concerns about the increase in crime and hacking, especially elections. But, you need to weigh the good against the bad that internet brings to the table.
2068. Michael Scott Embree, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
The FCC needs to position itself to properly and effectively regulate Internet Service Providers, specifically in the interests of preventing data discrimination by preserving Title II and net neutrality protections. Chairman Ajit Pai proposal to erode or eliminate these regulations should be discarded, as it is bad for the internet, bad for the average consumer, opposed by most people in this country, including myself.No single piece of telecommunications technology in the modern day is as ubiquitous or essential to modern life as the internet is. Its more than replaced the telephone at this point, because it fills all the roles of that outmoded technology while adding on capabilities never before seen in human history. As evidence of this point, I'll remind you that I'm filling out this very comment using the internet, and not two inches down the page there's an option to send me a receipt for this communication using email.I short, if the internet isn't a public utility, than telephone never was one either.Furthermore, any argument that the free market would allow customers to switch from ISPs engaging in data throttling behaviors to one that isn't is deeply pernicious and willfully ignorant of the actual state of the industry. Where I live, there is Comcast as a provider of broadband internet. And no one else. If Comcast where to decide to quintuple its service prices or carve the internet up into packages the way they do with television stations (which history suggests it will, since it has tried to do so in the past), I would have no option but to pay their extortionist prices, because no alternative exists. Data suggests that this pattern holds true for over half of the country, and aggressive deregulation of the industry will only make this frankly criminal monopoly situation that much worse.Keep Title II for ISPs. Its where they belong, its what the public wants, and its self evidently the right thing to do.
2069. Matthew Espinoza, Newark, CA, 94560  
This is yet another attempt to apply greed to an already lacking internet compared to second world countries. Please do not let this happen. It will be a tipping point.
2070. Ram Iyer, San Jose, CA, 95134

Net neutrality is something that must be defended and not removed. Broadband does not have competition in most areas and consequently, the ISP is able to charge as they see fit. When the ISPs were only providing Internet connectivity, this might have been acceptable. But given that ISPs are now offering services beyond a pipe and are now directly competing with other Internet companies, the ISPs do not need any further advantage. Giving an ISP the ability to throttle content coming from their competitors is wrong. In any other business, this would not be considered legal and would come under FTC review and most likely prosecution. By dismantling net neutrality as it exists today, the FCC would be giving the ISPs an unfair advantage over other content providers. If the FCC wants to deregulate the ISPs, then it is only fair that the FCC require the ISPs to divest themselves of their non-ISP business. So if Comcast or ATT want to provide content, they must be broken up - this was done with the ATT/Bell monopoly before and it would apply again today. I urge you to keep net neutrality in place and if anything, make it stronger.

2071. Raymond Snyder, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
We need net neutrality. The internet must be accessible to all regardless of our ISP's business priorities and partnerships.
2072. Ravindra Gupta, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I support net neutrality. I am against giving preferential treatment to special or highly paid providers.
2073. Michael Hamada, Fremont, CA, 94538  
The internet has caused our society to flourish for 25+ years on the foundation of our first amendment rights as a nation. Please don't cut off our national flourishing by opening the way for private corporations to discriminate our data for profit. Remember what the United States of America stands for: freedom!
2074. Aidan Sundstrom, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Access to free information is a staple of our ideals as a nation. Please do not limit our freedom to learn and communicate! Aidan Sundstrom
2075. Lonny Lovins, San Jose, CA, 95127  
Net Neutrality is highly important for the web to function effectively. Without it, it is going to be harder to get where freedom should allow us to go. As a country that constantly touts our freedom, it doesn't make sense to take the freedom away from one of our last frontiers.
2076. Melchor Flores, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
The internet affects my daily life in a positive manner, not only does it help me connect with old friends and family through many social websites, but it helps me in my work life as well. I can share work files online quickly rather than mailing a usb with all my files which can take days or weeks to mail. Another thing is it helps me in my social life I can connect with friends through gaming websites and play with others or just spend my time enjoying Twitch streamers while I get some work done.
2077. George Richbourg, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
The internet, as it currently operates, is instrumental in global education, commerce, and entertainment. Applying any kind of usage laws or regulations that favor corporations or governments would drastically reduce the effectiveness of this novel method of accessing and gaining information. Whatever the consequences may be for ISPs, the citizens of America (and the world) deserve this freedom of information.
2078. Lilac Rose, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Net Neutrality is important to me, free access to information should not be allowed to be taken advantage of in favor of more money. The free market has its place, but trends towards greed, and greed cannot be allowed to control who is allowed to access education and communication.
2079. Felicia Spencer, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Stop net neutrality, this is absurd.
2080. Quatra Lopez, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
The internet needs to be left as it has been, meddling with it will only make things worse for everyone.
2081. Alex Wang, Fremont, CA, 94539  
As a photographer with an online portfolio as well as an independent game developer, I believe that net neutrality is vital in allowing my content to reach anyone, anywhere. Abolishing net neutrality will lead to an uneven playing field that hurts independent content creators like me in favor of large corporations and ISPs. Having an open Internet with Title II allows for American democracy to flourish while encouraging greater innovation and competition, benefiting our economy and individuals throughout the United States.
2082. joseph, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
What is this fuckery, you choads? You faggots better not throttle and slow down my internet speed. Also, you have no right to monitor people's civil rights on what they can do online, on the internet. Your avaricious greed will start a riot. If a Death Note exist, then I will be writing your names. joseph
2083. NetNeutrality, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Hello, I would just like to say having a free and open internet is as essential to our connected world and the internet should be treated like any other utility like water or even air. Title 2 aimed to preserve some of those values and should be augmented with more stricter regulations rather than have it rolled back. An open and free internet helped make what the internet is today and this should be celebrated and not snubbed to meet political agendas. Thanks, Harsha
2084. Laetitia Baudoin, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I oppose this proposal to reclassify internet services. ISPs should not be allowed to give preferential treatment to some companies over

others. In this day and age internet access is a necessity and should be treated like the other utilities.

2085. Scott Emery, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085

Innovation and Growth on the Internet depend on fair access for the large and the small. The Internet is not a dead product, it is a living ecosystem that should nurture new approaches to delivering services, content and community. We don't want to become stale and backward as a nation, we want to continue to be an exciting place that attracts science and technology by our ability to communicate effectively. Please support Net Neutrality, the source of information, innovation, growth and ultimately profit.

2086. Eric Wen, Fremont, CA, 94539

The internet needs to remain free & equal for all. ISPs should NEVER have the right to decide how I receive my content and what I content I may access. This is a slippery slope, and is another step backwards.

2087. Benjamin Klunpaitoon, Milpitas, CA, 95035

Keep the net free yo

2088. Rosemary Wetzal, Newark, CA, 94560

Among many other reasons, I believe keeping net neutrality is important to maintain a fair, online market and ensure information is easily accessible to all who need it.

2089. Joshua Drolte, Santa Clara, CA, 95051

I hope to convey to all applicable individuals, groups, and parties my desire as a United States citizen to have internet service providers (ISP) remain under the regulations specified in Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I have found the internet in its current regulatory framework to be a level opportunity for content creators, entrepreneurs, and retailers to gain an audience and grow their passions and businesses. I have seen first-hand the value of being able to correspond with family and friends quickly and effectively when both parties have fast and reliable connectivity to the internet. In addition, pursuing education online is one of the greatest attributes of the modern web and the benefits for civilized society in having such a characteristic is indispensable. Furthermore, most consumers of internet service providers seek business with them so as to establish an unbiased connection to the modern web. I would be surprised to hear of the existence of a consumer who wanted the internet for the sole purpose of obtaining an email, cloud storage, or other proprietary service with said ISP alone. In other words, everyone buys an internet connection so that they can access all of what the internet has to offer and NOT so that they can have services like email and cloud storage with any particular ISP. The ISPs know this as evidenced by their marketing materials; the access speeds and monthly pricing always take precedence and seldom -- if ever -- does one see any details about email or cloud storage. Choice also factors into the need for a regulatory agency and guidelines as most ISP are monopolistic in corporate behavior and territory. The FCC's own research has concluded that the majority of Americans have access to zero or one ISP in their region. An overwhelming majority have access to just two. Competition in this market is non-existent and expecting "free-market" business practices that will reflect the outcomes already present with Title II regulations is utter nonsense. Once one has an internet connection with an ISP, their interaction with it can still bring numerous complications requiring the support of a regulatory framework such as the FCC currently provides. These include relatively simple protections such as to ensure no fraudulent billing takes place but can also include profound protections that can be -- and already have been -- used to prevent invasions of users' privacy. Privacy is possibly the best example to focus on as it seems to be what several ISP want to disregard. Without any penalty for installing super-cookies or performing deep-packet inspection, an ISP could follow every interaction a consumer has over the internet. This can even include the way with which they interact with a encrypted connection such as when purchasing items or corresponding with their health care providers. Undoing Title II protections will give ISP unwarranted capabilities without recourse for their consumers.

2090. Vincent Nhan, Milpitas, CA, 95035

I use my internet to complete a lot of my online schooling for my apprenticeship. By allowing ISP's to favor large websites that are willing to fill the ISP's pockets with money, you are limiting the access I have to continue my schooling along with educational resources. When I first started paying for my own internet in 2010, I was continuing a purchase from my father that allowed me to enjoy doing the things I do freely without being subjugated to limiting of speed and access. I played online games a lot and that allowed me to keep out of trouble and keep me at home while keeping my mind active. I browsed many sites to gain knowledge of mechanical assemblies of things that I've found interest in. I've found small hobby shops that I could order model kits from to keep me entertained when being on the computer tired me out. I hope that you keep the internet the way it is, away from the hands of big corporations looking to continue their onslaught of nickel and diming all of their customers. There is no good reason behind all of their attempts to control our access, as much as they like to spew that they can help protect us from harm and "cyber danger".

2091. Michael Minor, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087

Net neutrality is essential for technological innovation and commerce. Allowing a few companies to monopolize control over such a common public resource is indefensible. Especially since the backbone the internet runs on was funded and built with tax payer dollars. The greed of a few massive corporations is not a reason to end net neutrality.

2092. Yan-Fa Li, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087

I am a software developer and make my living by using a net neutral internet to ensure my traffic isn't classified according to some arbitrary rules imposed by an ISP. For example, when comcast initially started offering Internet service to consumers they would prevent VPN traffic from employees homes to their work place unless you upgraded to business class internet. I depend on a free and open internet to earn my living. I work remotely. My company is located in Reston VA and I live and work in Sunnyvale, CA. Where people live matters less now because we have an internet where it doesn't depend upon who you are and how much you pay and traffic flows freely and uninterrupted to places of work and leisure across the Internet. I depend upon the internet to do my job. I use it dozens of times a day to lookup technology and technique and share code with my peers and co-workers. Removing net neutrality rules would impact my ability to earn a living and support my family. I am lucky enough to have more than one ISP choice at my home. I believe all consumers should have the choice of at least 3 competing providers in the ISP in each market so keep them honest. My ISP sonic.net depends upon leasing lines from AT&T, but unlike AT&T does not have bandwidth caps or impede the traffic I send from my internet

connection to any sites I need to visit to do my work, learn my trade and perform internet commerce in an unimpeded way. My son depends on an open and free Internet for learning resources. With the loss of Title 2, potentially he will no longer be able to reach the valuable and often free resources on the Internet he needs to educate himself, including Kahn Academy, Typing Club and Reading websites required by his 3rd grade class. My wife also depends on the Internet to earn her living. She contracts for a company in North Carolina and depends on free and open internet to phone and video conference and read and write contracts and documentation in an unimpeded way. As a family we also use the Internet for entertainment and education, watching documentaries on Netflix and Youtube. We also watch entertainment on streaming web sites like Crunchyroll and Amazon. My friends and family are spread across the world, in the United Kingdom, Africa and Europe and we depend upon an open and neutral internet to video conference using services like Skype, make internet calls to land lines, send text messages and email. All these valuable and often low cost communication tools appear to be under threat because of a need to put corporate profits before the protection of the users and consumers of these services. I use multiple services on the Internet, in fact I do not use the services provided by my ISP beyond phone service. My email is with Google and Yahoo. My banking is with my credit union. My mortgage is serviced over the Internet. My credit card bills and payment services are also internet services. I trade and use the internet to invest and manage my retirement. All of these would be impacted if the ISPs were allowed to impose bandwidth caps and prevent fair use of these services. I already pay my fair share, they should not be allowed to double dip and ask providers of Internet services to pay for things that essentially make them money and allow them to justify their service to consumers. In my area, I am fortunate enough to have Comcast, AT&T and my own choice Sonic.net. I am proud to be a Sonic customer and find their customer service and performance to be superior to any other ISP I have used in the 10 years I have lived at this location. They are hands down the BEST ISP I have ever had. Everyone else deserves to enjoy service like this, and I believe the FCC should be promoting competition and preventing monopoly. I would like the FCC to protect consumers from predatory ISPs by preventing price gouging and privacy leakage for commercial profit, and to keep the Internet a fair and open place where new companies can grow and not have to pay an additional pipe tax for merely being a desirable service. In summary, I disagree with the proposed changes and support Net Neutrality and Title II.

2093. richard nguyen, milpitas, CA, 95035

To whom it may concern, I am expressing my concern regarding net neutrality and new bills that were introduced into the Houses to repeal the net neutral which was passed and signed into law under President Obama. I disagree with the new bill that was created to repeal net neutrality.

2094. Resa F, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087

As a high school teacher, I believe that net neutrality is important because our students need to be able to access all information without blocking or prioritizing some content over other content.

2095. An Do, Newark, CA, 94560

If the first amendment states our right to free speech and the internet is a collective of opinion, facts, and thoughts. Then by destroying net neutrality you condemn us to a weak subservient society that bends at the will of the government. That is not what the founding fathers want. If it's your choice to destroy 241 years of this social experiment then so be it, but know that you will be to blame.

2096. Henry Sia, Santa Clara, CA, 95051

WE thought America was supposed to allow us choices. Then why is there a movement to start a monopoly? There already is almost no choice for ISP.

2097. Philip Chan, Santa Clara, CA, 95051

There is no consumer benefit to regulating the facets of the internet.

2098. Robert Read, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087

I am a small business owner that depends on using the internet for business. I provide photography services, and I need to ensure my customer continues to enjoy the fast access to my website as they do today. The Internet is now a primary network for communication of all types, and the FCC must provide expert oversight to how the network is managed. Please continue to enforce Net Neutrality.

2099. Lisa Hatt, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087

Internet access should not be classified as an "information" service instead of telecommunications. When I pay for a broadband connection, I am purchasing the ability to access internet content, not the content itself, unlike a cable television or similar service that subscribes me to specific programming. I do not receive email, storage, or hosting for my own website from my Internet provider. These services are delivered to me by other businesses who need to be treated equally by my Internet provider. I am purchasing a pathway of communication with other people, just as with telephone service. The fact that an Internet user need not know specific IP addresses of intermediary computers and routers on the network for the service to function does not make it not "telecommunications". Users of landline or mobile telephone service do not know anything about the routing of the signal or data through the exchanges or cell towers -- they just know a destination, the telephone number, and all the switching is handled automatically. Internet traffic functions in the same way and should be granted the same protections. I believe Internet communication definitely meets the definition of "transmission, between or among points specified by the user, of information of the user's choosing." One cannot necessarily simply switch providers if they don't like one provider's traffic throttling/favoring. Due to infrastructure limitations, in many locations there is only one, or only one truly viable, provider of broadband internet service. This does not only affect rural areas - our home is located in Silicon Valley, yet until recent years we could not obtain DSL service because of how far out our home is located on the wire loop from the telephone central office, so our only option was Comcast cable internet; thus, in a situation without net neutrality, we would have been subject to whatever Comcast wished to do to us with no recourse.

2100. Vivek Bansal, San Jose, CA, 95131

Open Internet with Net Neutrality is extremely important to me. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem! We can't give them the ability to throttle whatever they want because ISPs have a history of abuse. Access needs to be free, and speed of access must also be free and uncontrolled if the internet is to stay a free place for democracy and fair capitalism. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II. Vivek Bansal

2101. Sarah Asano, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I intend to use my power as a voting US citizen to retain net neutrality. This is a very important issue to me, and I would love to support you on this issue. Thank you, Sarah Asano
2102. Jonathan Reid, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Net neutrality is incredibly important for providing fair and equal access for all Americans and content, not just specific content.
2103. Kevin Newland, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Hi, FCC! I am a Senior Materials Engineer at Tesla in Palo Alto, California. A free and open internet is \*extremely\* important to both my work life at Tesla, and at home! I stream video games on twitch.tv in my free time and for side income. I am already very limited on my options for ISP access, and I only want to purchase a fast connection to access the entire internet from them; no additional services. I would love for the FCC to have oversight on keeping the internet open, fair, and prevent local monopolies on ISP access, as well as price-gouging and forcing payment for faster service to only partnered websites and services. Thank you for helping protect the internet!
2104. Brandonn Leyva, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Free internet makes free people Brandonn Leyva
2105. Tyler Augustine, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Repealing the Net Neutrality protections would result in an internet which is strictly worse for the American people and severely inhibits our freedom of speech. Granting the power to ISPs to determine which websites should be given bandwidth and those that may be throttled - or even restricted - would be a very dangerous mistake. Please do not allow this to happen.
2106. Sid Malladi, San Jose, CA, 95129  
Net neutrality is incredibly important to consumers, service providers, and the entire economy at large. The Internet provides a free and open medium to express ideas and share content. People's ability to access this information should not be jeopardized by a bad precedent. I fully support net neutrality.
2107. Virtual World Arcade, San Jose, CA, 95133  
Allow net neutrality for the sake of freedom and all that is American.
2108. Christopher Vu, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Net neutrality is important. Without it, ISPs gain too much power that would result in a less free market, as they change and modify what can be found or accessed on the web, thus preventing non-ISP web-based companies, corporations, organizations, and individuals from participating in the market.
2109. Alvin Lee, Fremont, CA, 94539  
As a computer science student, it's clear that net neutrality is a must. If it is taken away, ISP's are given too much power over companies. They can choose who lives and dies. This is not how our democracy should work.
2110. Mustafa Jamil, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Net neutrality guarantees that innovators and small companies can compete fairly with larger Internet vendors (e.g., FB, GOOG, AMZN, MSFT, etc.). Saying that getting rid of net neutrality rules will simply put us back to a de-regulated environment where no problems exist is simply selectively looking at things from the perspective of the cable/ISP companies; the problems did exist before these rules went into effect, and those problems have only magnified given the increasing consolidation in the cable/ISP space. (Take the proposed Comcast/AT&T merger as a prime example of this.) Please do not roll back the net neutrality rules governing cable/ISP companies as public utilities.
2111. Atharva Shah, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I find the idea that something that has become integral to our lives has been taken over by the twisted regime of an oligopoly. This is absolutely disgusting. Fuck you, ajit pai (yes you don't even deserve capital letters you piece of shit) Atharva Shah
2112. Don Crawford, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I fully support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. That is the only way this should be handled.
2113. Kirsten Chai, San Jose, CA, 95129  
The internet has to be an open and free place because if it isn't then there will be literally no other place in the entire world where people can freely do whatever they want to do. Ending net neutrality will be so so bad and honestly is a power mongering move by big companies just so they can get what they want.
2114. Kelly McManus, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
The internet was created for the free world, and it should remain free. Kelly McManus
2115. Fred Man, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Net neutrality is important to many people in this world including me. I
2116. Dennis, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
To whom it may concern, I honestly cannot believe it has come to this, but here we go again. The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) must be protected. The act of removing these regulations is dangerous, frightening, and fundamentally un-American. ISPs should not have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. The Internet is an open utility, and hence, all legal uses of the Internet should be treated equally. You wouldn't force people to pay extra money to have cleaner water, would you? Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game

League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. You may not be surprised to find out that I do not think very highly of Chairman Pai at all. There will be more on this later. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. I know a lot of you out there are fond of telling people to "pull themselves up by their bootstraps." You might find this hard to believe, but it's a little difficult to do that when you're actively and purposefully snipping peoples' bootstraps with dubious and self-serving intentions. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I am not a religious person, but I am praying to whatever deity may be out there that I do not need to remind you of the dangers of this type of censorship. If for some reason my prayers go unanswered, and you do indeed need a refresher on the dangers of censorship, I can refer you to many, many wonderful pieces of literature written on the subject, including Ray Bradbury's Fahrenheit 451 and George Orwell's 1984. These are books you should have read and discussed in high school. I understand that some of you may not be able to grasp the significance of the themes presented in these works on your own. In this case, please feel free to reach out to me, and I can explain them to you. If you choose to dismiss these as works of fiction, I do concede that they are. However, eliminating these net neutrality rules is the first little slide down a slippery slope that will very quickly lead to the realities depicted in those books, and many other dystopias like them. If you really prefer nonfiction reading material, just check out the millions of voices like mine denouncing the repeated attacks on net neutrality. Read the letters like this one. Listen when we speak to you. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. It pains me to ad hominem here, but I worry that Chairman Pai is a man of questionable character. I worry that Chairman Pai has unfortunately not gotten very much out of his education, which must have cost tens of thousands of dollars worth of tuition, if not more, which is a shame really. I believe that Chairman Pai has shown that he lacks the moral aptitude, and perhaps the intelligence, necessary to adequately weigh the consequences of this decision. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. The original letter this message is based on had a thank you here, but I would like to make it very clear that I am not thanking anyone until net neutrality is safe and sound. Sincerely, A concerned citizen Dennis

2117. David Baron, Santa Clara, CA, 95054

When I purchase a connection to the Internet, I expect to receive just that - a connection (similar to a phoneline, but with a greater rate of data transfer) to the Internet. I further expect that said connection will be a dumb pipe, with the contents being neither blocked nor altered by my ISP, regardless of what my ISP's wishes are. I specify which addresses I am to connect to and communicate with, whether by IP address or URL, and I expect such connections to be made regardless of my ISP's wishes or views. I do not see my ISP selling me a bundle of "information services", but rather a connection that I use for communication, much in the same way that a telephone company may sell me a landline with a connection that I may use to call others. I strongly support maintaining the classification of ISPs as telecommunications services subject to Title II.

2118. Arthur Abraham shir, Fremont, CA, 94539

To preserve further technological advancement and power for our people to be free from the whims of corporations, it is important for us to continue the capitalistic nature of America by keeping Net Neutrality and thereby allowing developers and technological companies to be able to provide their services without being held back by the personal interests of internet providers, whom already rake profits with no discernable signs of improvement of service.

2119. Bobbey Reese, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089

The internet is a beautiful place, mostly thanks for it's ability to serve nearly every information-based need for internet users. This breadth of information would be impossible if not for the enormous amount of small companies or even just individuals who satisfy some need by contributing to the internet. Like small businesses are America's foundation, these less powerful entities are the driving force behind what makes the internet great. Please don't do anything to suppress their ability to do so, such as getting rid of net neutrality.

2120. Ryan Fong, Fremont, CA, 94539

Do NOT take away net neutrality. Many places in the nation don't even have a choice in what ISP they can choose from. And if those ISPs are then allowed to throttle connections to sites involved with competitors, sites they don't like, etc. then that leaves people without any real choice to actually access the internet freely. If ISPs and select companies decide to team up for faster (or exclusive) service, it forces the consumer to be completely unable to access those services (see above about little to no choice depending on the area in which people live). Even those who live in areas that have multiple ISPs to choose from, those people may be forced to sign up for multiple ISPs (which is quite pointless) simply to have reasonable access to exclusive services. Getting rid of net neutrality only ends up hurting the people who use the internet (aka. everyone).

2121. Seungyeon Kim, San Jose, CA, 95134

I believe the Net Neutrality is critical for the freedom of speech.

2122. Sam Gonzalez, Santa Clara, CA, 95051

Please take into consideration the people actively trying to start-up things.

2123. Sri Ramana, Santa Clara, CA, 95051

I'm a software engineer and I use the internet for my livelihood. I'm afraid removing net neutrality rules would give ISPs more power to throttle or disable some services completely to favor their own services and thus killing any innovation that we saw in the internet services over the last few decades.

2124. Nirali Desai, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I want my right to a free, open, accessible web for all people!
2125. Riley Jones, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
The internet is an incredible resource the world over, and net neutrality is what allows it to be what it is. Preserving net neutrality allows for the growth of small business and the american dream!
2126. Steven Kobes, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I've been building websites since 1998. In those days the internet was transforming the world - changing the way we did business, consumed media, and connected with each other. This transformative nature was due in large part to the openness of the internet and the technologies that underpin it. Anyone could learn HTML and share their ideas with the world. Startups and hobbyists were on an equal footing with large corporations - they didn't have to negotiate with ISPs for a "fast lane" to reach their users. Dismantling net neutrality will stifle innovation by eradicating the openness that made the internet successful. I urge the FCC to uphold net neutrality to ensure a healthy landscape of competition for the future of the internet.
2127. Valerio Scherini, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Keep the internet free and equal. Maintain net neutrality.
2128. Fang Dai, San Jose, CA, 95131  
A free and open internet is critical to democracy. An unfettered exchange of knowledge, ideas, culture, and creativity are what make the world connected, and America strong. Do not turn over my rights and the rights of the people to access the internet as and when we want. Ending net neutrality brings us one big step towards corporate-controlled fascism. Please don't let that happen. Protect the internet!
2129. Roshan Baliga, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
As an avid Internet user, I'm worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. I would support a new regulation style but only if it guarantees the same or better protections. Any change that weakens protections is untenable.
2130. Andrew Benjamin, San Jose, CA, 95131  
This is completely unethical. Do not remove the freedom principles this country was founded on.
2131. Amy Viehoever, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I use the internet to perform tele-medicine visits for kids with cerebral palsy and other movement disorders that cannot travel hundreds of miles to my clinic. These kids have NO PROVIDERS in their area. Fast video connections are crucial for me to understand their movement problems and recommend the appropriate treatment. These types of video connections would never be a priority to an internet service provider and most of my patients are on medicaid because at least one parent has to quit a job to take care of them. Please help protect this for these kids.
2132. Anirudh Sridhar, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
You know why we're all filing this, we don't want ISPs to have control over speeds at which we access pages and/or content. I hope you will see reason, thanks.
2133. G. Lopes, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I would like for strong net neutrality in our country. This is an additional service that the American people pay in addition to other household utilities, such as gas, electric, water, and more. If this is a paid service, then we should be getting exactly what we pay for: a free and open internet. If the electric company could throttle our electricity and block access to it whenever they wanted - and frequently abuse this ability - don't you think more people would consider purchasing their own generators, or switching to a solar energy system entirely their own? What if they could control our plumbing whenever they wished, and could shut off our warm water in mid-shower, even while we were paying for the utility to have it? These things would cause an outrage. Such a move to block free and open internet might not have been a big deal in another time, but in the tech-heavy era we now live in, it is crucial. From a young citizen who uses the internet to connect with people from other places and make friends I would have never met otherwise, please keep our net neutrality and maintain the internet exactly how it should be.
2134. Prankur Gupta, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality by Title II oversight of ISP's.
2135. Ben Cory, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I don't think it is fair to pick and choose what companies get sped up and what companies get their speeds throttled. Ever since net neutrality has been in place it has been good for the internet and has allowed smaller companies to compete against bigger corporations. If you take that away it is just going to take away the choice of the consumer and it will limit the amount of options they have when choosing a company or provider.
2136. Richard Nguyen, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Ideologically, no for-profit organization has any business regulating what is essentially the information and knowledge mind-space of a society.
2137. Allister Singh, San Jose, CA, 95133  
Keep Network Neutrality
2138. Ben Cousins, San Jose, CA, 95129  
I support a free web with net neutrality. Letting ISP's sell our data and make us pay more for different sites is completely unreasonable

and should not be legal. Please revert back to how it was before 2017 started.

2139. kevin scott, milpitas, CA, 95035  
Please keep the existing net neutrality rules . . . equal access for all with no favoritism based on rank/preference/financial advantage/influence/etc. Thank you
2140. Nicholas Samoray, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I have yet to hear one good reason to repeal Net Neutrality and my internet bill is atrocious as it is.
2141. Yang Gu, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Broadband should be regulated as a utility.
2142. Dheyon Lee, San Jose, CA, 95133  
As an avid internet user, having net neutrality is important to me and my family. Net neutrality should be part of the first amendment to the bill of rights, the freedom of speech, press and religion. Erasing net neutrality eliminates our rights to see what we want to see, whenever we want on the internet. If you partake in a religious group online, you are eliminating said person's first amendment rights, as they possibly could not partake in that religion. Many people also look on the internet for news, which everyone has the right to know. First amendment: "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances."
2143. Michael Gladstone, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
It is imperative that Net Neutrality remain, or else the internet as we know it will be ruined.
2144. George Medland, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
It's ridiculous that this is even being discussed. I am in favor of a free and open internet. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY.
2145. Tim Stratton, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Save Net Neutrality! The internet has become nearly equivalent to bread and water, vital for our society. Free and fair internet is key, just like free and fair media. Net neutrality is key. Allowing ISP's to control content in the name of profits is NOT net neutrality. Your obligation is to us, taxpaying citizens, not to ISP's or special interests. Keep the Net truly neutral - Net Neutrality.
2146. Libby DePriest, Fremont, CA, 94536  
The internet needs to remain net neutral. In a world where it is proven that fair and easy access to knowledge for all people is essential to the continuous growth of the economy and the key to innovation, I find that it is confusing why I must provide my stance towards this issue. If anyone considered making the internet partial, then we would all be subject to viewing what others want us to see, keeping us from reaching a unique perspective of the world. Net neutrality has allowed me to study, and to succeed in school. I have many years left of high school and college, and I depend on the internet for reliable facts, and impartial observations, or at the very least a surplus of opinions which would help me discern a choice or opinion of my own. Also, the internet should remain net neutral because it is relied upon, yet separate from the world economy. The dependence the world economy has on it enhances industrial portfolios to branch out and supply where they could not have realized they were needed. It's separation founds a tangential free economy that could run itself, which would continue to work and profit even if an economical crisis occurred in the unforeseeable future.
2147. Daniel, San Jose, CA, 95132  
As an American citizen I have the right to go on any website that I want on the internet without having that experience altered in any way. Changing what is available to me or forcing certain apps and websites on me as a consumer or user of the internet is not right and should never be allowed. Also by paying for internet I expect to have full access to what I pay for as a consumer
2148. Eric Chin, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Net neutrality is an important aspect of a free and open internet. By ensuring open and fair access to content, we can guarantee a fair and open platform for communications, commerce, and many other things. As a freelancer in an industry with large online presence, it is crucial that the internet remains open so that a fair, competitive, open landscape exists online. As an end user, I believe that it is in the interests of all that the internet is a platform of communications that is allowed to be regulated by the sum of all users, and to not cede control to a small number of providers.
2149. Yugyung Jung, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I'm surprisingly very annoyed that you guys are trying to take away net neutrality from us. Wasn't the internet created to be a free place for anyone? The way we're trying to go about doing this now is very similar to being censored from information in Fahrenheit 451 and I'm not taking any of this. Please keep net neutrality, because if I can't go on certain sites to meet my friends over the internet who live far away, or go to some of my favorite websites that calm me down when I have an anxiety attack, just for the sake of some large companies like Verizon and their profit from this, I just don't know what. America's turning out to be strictly a censored country the more I stay here, freedom my ass.
2150. Matthew Lindner, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I'm against the idea of Net Neutrality as proposed. Please continue to support removal of these regulations. The government should get out of regulating the internet.- Matthew LindnerMatthew Lindner
2151. Skyler Weaver, Newark, CA, 94560  
I believe net neutrality rules are the framework of an open and free internet, which is one of the greatest thing about the idea of the internet to begin with. People won't be limited on what they can access based on what their ISP wants, they can't be limited even further by the fact that MOST people are very limited in their choices of ISP and typically specific ISPs have monopolies on particular areas. This has been the case for me and I have been in different areas from Florida all the way to California where I typically had a max of 2

choices of ISP. So, it's not so simple as "if you don't like what your ISP is doing switch to another" and even more so it's safe to assume that almost any ISP would be taking advantage if these rules would be repealed.

2152. Andrew Ramirez, San Jose, CA, 95131

Dear Senators and Representatives: Please support any amendment that would strike out Sections 628, 629 and 630 from the Government Appropriations. These sections would undermine the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and prevent the agency from enforcing these critical protections. It is unacceptable to use a budget bill to circumvent the FCC's open rulemaking process that millions of citizens participated in. The American people have called for strong net neutrality rules. Congress should let the FCC do its job, and not engage in unproductive partisan brinksmanship. Keeping the Internet as a free, open-to-anyone tool for everyone is a crucial part of its success. It is imperative that net neutrality remain in place. It is an important source to me personally as a source of information, contact, and leisure. Without net neutrality, a large part of my life will be in the control of ISP providers. Thank you, Andrew Ramirez

2153. Ryan Doolittle, Fremont, CA, 94539

I have been able to use internet services like Discord and Steam to connect with my friends and enjoy playing video games and talking with them. I fear that if net neutrality disappears, there will be an incentive for the FCC to allow internet connection to be slowed to these services in favor of other services, which will make them pretty much unusable. I know that I will not forget the time I have spent with my friends and enjoyed their company, but it would be much harder to connect with my friends in California as I go to college in Boston, even though they are all wonderful people and I would really miss them and miss talking to them if I wasn't able to use Skype or Discord. I also would not be able to Skype with my mother and my silly dog, Oscar, to be able to see both of them while I am on the other side of the country, and to be able to tell them both to their face how much I love them. Though admittedly it might not mean as much to my dog, I would still miss him a lot more regardless if I could not see him, and seeing him makes me smile and worry less about me being bitter and seeing the world as a horrible place. I have always wanted to be an optimist, and to be able to help others around me be happy. But if I cannot keep in touch with my old friends or my own family, I fear that my happiness will quickly erode. Please FCC, don't force people to be split apart simply because financial reasons or because you think it is best. There will never be any reason good enough to justify splitting families apart, and stopping old friends from being able to keep in touch and see each other. I hope you find it in you all to do the right thing for the people of the United States. Thank you.

2154. Clint Gray, Santa Clara, CA, 95051

The internet is not something that can be owned, that is unless we allow it to be.

2155. John Lutz, Santa Clara, CA, 95051

Keep Net Neutrality. Keep internet control independent, not at the mercy of the big telecom industry.

2156. Andrey Khalpakhchyan, San Jose, CA, 95134

Neutrality rules are important part of existing Internet ecosystem. History knows some precedents when service providers were lowering content delivery speed from companies competing with own services in some way. For startups tight in finance at early stage it can be really big deal or even can make impossible for them to be competitive against services provided by same ISPs .

2157. Matthew Scheid, Santa Clara, CA, 95050

The passing of the Restoring Internet Freedom proposal would have disastrous consequences on the well-being of this nation, and I urge the FCC to reject the the proposal, and make a commitment to maintaining Net Neutrality indefinitely. I was born in 1997, and as such I grew up with the internet as we know it. The greatest and most beneficial part of the internet, in my opinion and experience, is its freedom of information. The internet created a medium for which information can be readily shared, and most importantly, readily accessed. If we are to look at the educational benefits alone, I whole-heartily believe I would not have been as successful in my schooling had I not had the access to the internet I had growing up. It served to complement my studies, and facilitate further learning through complete access to the internet's full network of sites. Any question I had could be answered through a web search. And it was not just bigger sites like Wikipedia that assisted my education, it was the small blogs and pages built by professors and enthusiasts that fed my thirst for learning. If you allow this proposal to come to fruition, you will have tainted the very freedom of the Internet by limiting the basic citizen's ability to access the internet in its entirety. If you are to repeal these regulations and let Internet Service Providers dictate the access their customers receive, you will enable ISP's to place swaths of the internet behind a paywall. In doing so, you are damning millions of Citizens that cannot afford these rackets to lose their access to so much the Internet. If you approve this proposal, you will be creating an America in which corporations will encroach on the last free medium of communication and information. The Internet has flourished under net neutrality, and this deregulation was not asked for by the American people. Approving this proposal will mean you are acting as an agent of corporate greed, and not as a public servant. ISP's do not need the powers granted by these deregulations, and the American people desperately need the current regulations.

2158. Alexander Mao, Cupertino, CA, 95014

Keeping a free and open internet is important to me because it's access to an endless pool of knowledge that every user has put on the web. It's only right that this information is accessible to anyone and I'm concerned ISPs could potentially limit the content I read on the internet that only benefits themselves. This move to kill net neutrality only hands over more power from the people to the corporations. And as a college student, not only do I rely on an internet connection to obtain resources for classes, it's also a way for me to keep in contact with friends and family. I have a girlfriend living in Italy that I haven't seen for 3 years and exclusively rely on online chatrooms and skype to contact her. I'm concerned that my relationships with other people who aren't within easy reach would be jeopardized should net neutrality be struck down. I'm not a fan of sending mail by post office as a means to communicate. Please seriously consider the concerns that I and the rest of the American people. We've been thrown under the bus too many times in the past in favor of corporations, don't let them win with this one too.

2159. Evan Hoerl, Santa Clara, CA, 95050

On top of the reasons many of my fellow citizens are raising, this restriction to the internet could really hurt students such as myself. Nowadays a lot of learning is done through online courses from a wide variety of publishers. This is a battle for everyone's future and I hope we make the right choice. Evan Hoerl

2160. Thaddeus Tarshis, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
The internet needs net neutrality, give it Title II classification, please.
2161. Marisa Franz, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Keeping the internet neutral is incredibly important. By providing power to businesses to make a monopoly, it disempowers people and makes us tools to provide money. Keep the net equal.
2162. Shannon Lee, MILPITAS, CA, 95035  
I explicitly support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II Oversight of ISPs. Please preserve Net Neutrality.
2163. Christopher Kang, san jose, CA, 95129  
abolishment of net neutrality is harmful to the progress of internet based companies
2164. Jeffrey Shiau, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Keep My Internet OPEN.
2165. Kent J Sole, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Don't put corporations above people's rights. Support net neutrality
2166. Gwenole Denard, Newark, CA, 94560  
Removing net neutrality would be akin to making guns illegal: it won't do anything to change the behavior of those who are fine with breaking the law, but it would greatly limit law-abiding citizens from doing anything about it.
2167. Matt Cocca, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Having a free and open internet is critical for free speech and as such Net Neutrality must be preserved. Telecom companies have not offered up any adequate reasons for how this could possibly benefit anyone except for themselves and allowing them to take our freedoms is unacceptable especially since most providers have no competition and as such will not face any consequences for their actions from the market. The internet is the most important means of communication we have today and we must not let that change.
2168. Stephen Li, San Jose, CA, 95129  
Net neutrality is key to a free and open internet. Already, there have been dozens of cases of net neutrality being violated by large cable companies, and only net neutrality rules under the FCC have kept them in check. If these rules are repealed, there's no chance that comm companies will keep being neutral.No paid prioritization should be legal.
2169. Jason Chang, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Please protect Internet Neutrality.
2170. Steven White, Newark, CA, 94560  
The FCC should safeguard Internet freedom by keeping the bright-line net neutrality protections in place and upholding Title II.The FCC should reject Chairman Ajit Pai's plan to give the telecom giants like Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon free rein to engage in data discrimination, stripping consumers of the necessary access and privacy protections we fought for and won just two years ago.I'm worried about creating a tiered Internet with "fast lanes" for certain sites or services because users will have fewer options and a less diverse internet. Thankfully, the current net neutrality rules ensure that ISPs can't block or slow customers' access to certain websites or create Internet "fast lanes" by charging websites and online services money to reach consumers faster. That's exactly the right balance to ensure the Internet remains a level playing field that benefits consumers and small businesses as well as larger players. Pai's proposal would help turn ISPs into gatekeepers with an effective veto right on innovation and expression. That's not how the Internet was built, and that's not what we want.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Censorship by ISPs is, and will be, a serious problem, despite assurances by ISPs that they would not resort to such measures. There have already been examples of this behavior, though it is reasonable to expect these will be but minor examples, if the rules are rescinded. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few cable giants that are infamous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.I am a veteran of Silicon Valley with a career spanning more than 20 years. The tech economy that was built in that time depended on an open Internet. The start-ups and companies that I've worked for that have become titans could not have been successful, with their positive impact on the wider economy, would have been strangled in an environment that is likely to exist in the absence of the Title II rules. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, in hopes they will not ignore myself and millions of other Americans who oppose rescinding the Net Neutrality rules.Thank you!
2171. Kip Nicol, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
The internet must remain neutral to ensure that everyone has equal access to this critical resource. The larger companies who lobby for its demise don't care about what's really best for human kind, they only want to make more money for themselves. The American dream was founded on the premise that this country will give those who are less fortunate the ability to improve their lives. A neutral internet is absolutely vital for keeping the dream alive. History will not be kind to those who are against net neutrality. Do what's right and keep the internet neutral.
2172. Jason Kalugdan, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I believe that net neutrality is something that should and always be. Controlling information and what we get is very restrictive and

diminishes one of our freedoms.

2173. Amar Chahal, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Please keep net neutrality. This is important. Thanks.
2174. Joseph Gomes, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I can't believe this is being seriously considered. The fact that people are sitting around debating on whether or not to censor the internet in order to further line the already greasy pockets of ISPs, with no regard customer satisfaction, is completely laughable. Don't reinforce the stereotype that the government has no respect for the people they govern.
2175. Cameron Kier, Fremont, CA, 94538  
We live in the age of technology and information. To allow money to come in the way of the principles that define our modern world is immature.
2176. Benjamin Rabin Bauer, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I use the internet every day for work, school, and fun. I want to be able to access all my sites as fast as possible without having to pay extra money to telecom sites for access. I support net neutrality and keeping the internet free and open!
2177. Kevin Freeland, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I am writing to express concern in altering or overturning net neutrality. I support strong net neutrality rules. It is important to me because I run my own business and if ISP's are allowed to favor some larger companies over my own with throttling or other tampering it will affect my livelihood. People and companies use ISP's for fast access to the internet. Few people are interested in an ISP's "other services" that include email, cloud storage or proprietary "over-the-top" services. I expect my and all ISP's to provide this service primarily. Thank you.
2178. Cameron Nguyen, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Net neutrality is incredibly important in a society that is rapidly becoming more and more integrated in internet usage. Allowing companies to restrict or favor certain services over others will not only harm smaller businesses seeking the opportunities to grow and develop, but unfairly impact American consumers and citizens who are seeking to better their own lives through the freedom and tools provided by the internet.
2179. nicholas alan borovsky, san jose, CA, 95134  
This is unconstitutional and un-american, it should be stopped.
2180. Alexander Hall, San Jose, CA, 95134  
The internet needs to be a free market otherwise small business won't be able to grow. They will be halted at the expense of paying thousands to ISPs. If the internet is not a free area where everyone has a chance, it will turn into the current situation ISPs are in where the big dogs can crush all competition. If this passes America will lose its freedom.
2181. Mark Matsumoto, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Please listen to the millions of Americans that are urging you not to overturn the FCC's Open Internet Rules. I have not seen a single source that points to a coalition of citizens that want this policy to end. Survey after survey demonstrate the public's support for open internet access. Please allow net neutrality to continue. Thank you for taking the time to read my message. Best regards, Mark Matsumoto
2182. Alexander Maes, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Don't get rid of net neutrality, its stupid that people are trying to end it
2183. Victor Tran, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Keep Net Neutrality rules strong and enforced heavily please, the people do not want ISPs to have more freedom to do whatever they want. Think about the good of America not your pockets. The only ones that are against Net Neutrality are the Trump Administration and some of the FCC, the American people do not share your opinions.
2184. Gavin Kerr, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
"A strong desire to save from slavery's chain  
The future millions of the western main,  
And hand down safe, from men's invention  
cleared, The sacred truths which all the just revered;  
For ends like these, I wish to draw my breath," - "To the Memory of Capt. Nathan Hale" by Eneas Munson, Sr.
2185. timothy pai, san jose, CA, 95129  
I am passionate about this
2186. Mark Klara, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Repealing Net Neutrality would be the worst thing to ever happen to the internet. Please do not allow this to happen.
2187. Will Raus, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
This is an utterly unacceptable move. Net neutrality is the most important step toward internet innovation and freedom of speech, and squashing it will be an earth-shaking move felt for years to come. Support net neutrality and oppose Pai's absurd self-serving nonsense.
2188. Alec Marlow, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Net neutrality is a must have in the USA. ISP's do not have the general good of the public in mind.
2189. Andrew Andreotti, Fremont, CA, 94538  
keep net neutrality . the internet can be use as a form of free speech and with out net neutrality that very speech will be limited by others

.so it is in every ones interest to keep the internet a neutral place.

2190. Hannah Gravius, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Please reconsider this action, and keep to net neutrality. I have lived in China, I have seen what it is like to live in a society where the internet is monitored, slowed, or outright blocked. This was general, and specific instances: The internet barely ran at all on the entire Beijing University campus around the Tiananmen Square anniversary. I have seen what this does. Let's not be that. For this reason, and several others that better speakers than I have stated, keep net neutrality.
2191. Tristan Matthews, Newark, CA, 94560  
I am a very strong supporter of net neutrality as it is critical to the start up i work at.
2192. Pierre-Emile Duhamel, san jose, CA, 95134  
I personally support net neutrality, ISPs are telecoms and should be regulated as a public utility. Telecoms should provide equal, high quality service and not influence or interfere with communication. ISPs are NOT providing the information services I use. ISPs are a data transfer service, and I expect to be able to send/receive data from any person, organization, or business without interference. There are other countries developing better, cheaper telecom than the US. Open competition and new services like Google fiber should be encouraged across the US. These ISP companies have been dragging there feet with innovation, setting up barriers to competition, and trying to find any way to nickel and dime everyone for poor quality of service. The purpose of government is to encourage things like net neutrality, not favor the special interest lobbyist of the ISPs.
2193. rajesh vunnam, fremont, CA, 94539  
don't sell the internet to the giants. we should respect the internet freedom.
2194. Kartik Ayyar, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please keep strong title 2 rules in place.
2195. Mark Nguyen, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Some things just aren't worth the profit...Mark Nguyen
2196. Brendan Maguire, SAN JOSE, CA, 95126  
The phone companies don't and shouldn't have the power to decide who I should call or make calling somebody more difficult than another. ISP's are no different. We pay for BROADband, not prioritize-band. Keep net neutrality. This needs to stop coming up for debate every few years as well.
2197. Nathaniel Price, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I am a strong supporter of Title II protections to preserve net neutrality. The power to throttle or limit access to the internet should not be in the hands of a few powerful and monopolistic or duopolistic corporations, and they should not have the power to pay or compel payment for internet fast lanes. If the FCC wants to be useful in the space, they should look at ways to increase broadband competition and access, not line the pockets of already wealthy corporate interests.
2198. Bennett Cotter, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
To whom it may concern: As a concerned citizen of the web, it is my obligation to speak up in defense of net neutrality. I am a college student and from my introductory courses in economics, I know enough to understand that individuals and corporations can not be relied on upon to regulate themselves against their own vested interests. Common sense indicates that ISPs (Internet Service Providers) will not hold themselves to the same high standards required of telecommunication companies. ISPs should be held to such a high standard because they serve the same role as telecommunications. They deliver requested information to their customers. Allowing them to alter their standard of service based on the content delivered, will cause immeasurable harm to customers and consumers like myself. Without actually altering delivered content, the only thing ISPs can do is slow down and otherwise delay delivery. Such delay can only harm consumers. For me, I have to log in and access my schools software suite remotely in order to use the tools required to further my education. Any artificial slow down would make an aggravating process downright painful. Before anyone suggests that the school could pay for faster delivery, I would like to issue a reminder that the public school system simply can not afford it without wasting taxpayer money. And they should not have to, because the internet is a public utility, one which should not care how it's being used.
2199. Zeta Mercy Syanthis, Fremont, CA, 94536  
Good Evening, My name is Zeta Mercy Syanthis, and I'm writing you in support of Title II classification for ISPs (Net Neutrality). I'm an engineer by trade and have spent my career bouncing from RF communications to more network-centric ones. I've touched just about everything from printed circuit board design all the way up to operating system and software development, including a fair bit of network engineering. I've worked on systems for Facebook, Twitter, Netflix, British Telecom, Comcast, and others, just to name a few, and I know what these networks really cost to build. I would probably \*benefit\* from the removal of Title II classification, as it'd mean more work for folks like me, but that doesn't make it good policy. To be really blunt, the folks who are lobbying you to remove these restrictions are lying to you. They're not lying about infrastructure being pretty expensive, but they're not hurting for cash by any means. In fact, the ISP industry has seen \*record profits\* the last few years. Just because the head honchos are some of these firms (mine included, maybe?) would like to charge even higher fees to pad their annual bonuses even more than they already are doesn't mean we should do it. You've seen Verizon's behavior in New York, for example, and you know that's not where the additional surcharges would go. It'd be spread out onto us, the consumers of their end products, and that's to say nothing of the massive chilling effect it'd have on new players entering the industry. Thank you for your time, and \*please\* protect net neutrality for us, and our kids. Zeta Mercy Syanthis
2200. Net neutrality, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I'm worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any. The equal access to the internet is an important part of our society, and I believe that reduced protections of the current rules would be taken advantage of by parties more interested in their own gain, over that of their customers or our society as a whole.

2201. Jackson Roach, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Removing net neutrality betrays American ideals. The internet is a place for freedom of expression and a platform for voices that are silenced by "real world" society.
2202. Priya Gupta, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support net neutrality for an open internet.
2203. Kanishka, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I support net neutrality since the internet has helped a lot of people and it should not be under the control of the internet providers
2204. Won Ly, San Jose, CA, 95131  
What is the great World Wide Web for if the corps and Gov. want to regulate and do other crap with it? Not to mention the internet in the U.S., the quality, is crap anyways. So, Don't make it any worse than it already is. Thank you.
2205. Kevin Chiang, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I support net neutrality and the classification of ISPs as common carriers under Title II of the Telecommunications Act.
2206. Taylor McClure, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
The Internet is a public utility and privatizing it to greedy corporations would only benefit shareholders of ISPs and be a giant step back for our economy.
2207. Ronald Nealy, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I fully and wholeheartedly support Net Neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs, as an open internet is vital to the livelihoods of several of the small businesses and self-employed individuals that I patronize, as well as my own creative pursuits I one day hope to transition into self-employment. Without the guarantee of an open internet, these opportunities have the potential to become insurmountably difficult to achieve. Thank you very much for your consideration.
2208. Jonathan Irribarren, Fremont, CA, 94539  
The Internet to me is not just a place where I can gather information when performing high level industrial research at work, but where I can share my interests and hobbies with others online in a free and unbiased forum. I have a small art blog which is fueling my drive to continue my art and share it with others. The small community of myself and my 1000 followers is integral to where I take my interests and develop my art. However, my art would never reach the majority of my viewers if it had to compete with favored or sponsored content. The ability to develop smaller individual creators online would be fundamentally stifled when trying to compete with other larger names buying their own space. Without this open forum, I can openly say that my passion in art would not have lasted a month, and now in my free time I'm able to sell the art which I produced to my fans and followers which would have never existed if my content was pushed aside in favor of other biased content. Thank you for your time in reading this, I appreciate it. Jonathan Irribarren
2209. Cory Evans, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Please strongly preserve net neutrality and Title II.
2210. Tony Chen, San Jose, CA, 95131  
I support Net Neutrality. I want a free an open internet.
2211. Walter E. Reiher III, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Broadband internet access is essential for so much of what we do today, including accessing our medical and government records, accessing and paying our bills, keeping in touch with family and friends, and accessing the news. I live in Silicon Valley, an urban area with access to the latest technology, yet where I live, there is a monopoly on broadband internet access. I do not have a choice of broadband providers. Broadband internet access is both essential and a regulated monopoly, just like other public utilities. Because of this, it is all the more important that net neutrality rules be retained: the large corporations who run these monopolies should not be permitted to restrict my access to services, especially to censor what I can access on the internet based on their marketing relationships. Protect the interests of your constituents and protect freedom by retaining the principles of net neutrality.
2212. Yixiao Lin, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Net neutrality is a must for the healthiness of the U.S internet industry.
2213. Patrick Falzer, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I am writing to show my support for net neutrality. Everyone deserves equal access to the internet, free of internet throttling or blocking. This regulation is necessary to make sure the internet remains the free marketplace of ideas that it is today; giving all Americans an equal opportunity to access and broadcast to the internet. To allow corporations the ability to throttle or block internet access, would be akin to a corporate censure placed on the Founding Fathers of yesteryear because their farms were being pushed out by the larger ones.
2214. Dan Diaz, fremont, CA, 94538  
Internet is basically a basic need.
2215. Kendall Chien, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Stop making greedy decisions.
2216. Diego Franco, Newark, CA, 94560  
Please do not do this. This will open up doors to censoring information on the internet that may be life threatening and or change peoples lives. Its disgusting to think this a possibility.
2217. Michael Phan, Fremont, CA, 94539

The internet should stay neutral. No entity should be able to control it.

2218. Chetan, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I support strong net neutrality rules, and it is my right to have access to the entire internet.
2219. Raymond Leung, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Net neutrality is incredibly important for the safety, security, and enjoyment of US Citizens. With paid prioritization of certain providers and sites, our access to information and freedom are threatened. The age of the internet is one of knowledge and communication, and barring that progress is detrimental to the development of our country. Corporations should not be in charge of access to information-- that's a dangerous precedent to set. Raymond Leung
2220. Alan Trang, San Jose, CA, 95133  
Keep the net neutral. Please.
2221. Harfateh Singh, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Having equitable access to fair internet where traffic from one site is not favored over other is critical not only for free thought and process, but also for the preservation of our democratic ideals and institutions. I urge you to uphold net neutrality.
2222. Pedro Baltar, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Do not reverse the 2015 rules that keep the internet open, free, and democratic for all. Any proposal that undermines net neutrality violates our freedoms of speech, expression, and inquiry.
2223. Colin Boon, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
This is not for the people. This is for nobody but the pockets of internet companies. They are lowest rated, most expensive, and slowest providers in the first world. And you want to give them more? Why? For who do you work?
2224. Lisa Northrup, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support net neutrality because allowing corporations to throttle certain methods of communication infringes on free speech and freedom of the press
2225. tad hunt, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I purchase internet access to connect to the internet not because of any other services my ISP provides. I don't want my ISP to have the power to censor, or otherwise manipulat, my experience with the services I choose to use just because they might also offer a service that competes.
2226. Amar, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
People explore, learn and grow. Let the users choose what they wants to see on internet.
2227. Jonathan Christensen, Fremont, CA, 94536  
Net Neutrality is important. It should be protected from corporate interests.
2228. Christopher Gibbons, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Free and open access to the web is one of the many rights American citizens deserve.
2229. Diemthu Le, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I don't want my freedom of choice to be restricted by some Internet Service Provider of FCC. I want to keep Net Neutrality, It doesn't need to change. The way it is right now is perfectly fine
2230. Grechen Huebner, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Keep the internet neutral and free. Don't let corporations with financial biases dictate how we use the internet.
2231. Travis Thai, San Jose, CA, 95131  
"please no" Net Neutrality needs to be a thing.
2232. Son Van, san jose, CA, 95123  
I would like to save net neutrality
2233. Raghav Tulshibagwale, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Internet has fundamentally changed the world. Freedom it provides has empowered human beings. This freedom needs to be maintained.
2234. travis valdovinos, san jose, CA, 95132  
Please help promote net neutrality!
2235. Hsiaoyn Sue, fremont, CA, 94538  
Net Neutrality is a basic human right that Americans have. Not should, may or might have.
2236. Isaac S Haynes, PhD, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
The fact that an unprecedented amount of information is available to every man, woman and child speaks volumes about our evolution as a society. This is one of the most amazing times in history and the level of learning that can occur through this medium (the internet) is truly astounding. Maintaining net-neutrality will ensure that this societal progress continues for the benefit of us all!
2237. Deepti PV, san jose, CA, 95134

Net neutrality is very important in all walks of life

2238. Amanda Gibson, santa clara, CA, 95051  
I use the internet as a utility. Changing content access would change the products and information I encounter for the worse.
2239. Mua Tran, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I want to be able to have the open internet. I don't want the FCC to take over the internet and only allow websites from high bidders and corporations. The web should be run by the people, not the FCC. I don't want the internet to be taken over by paid prioritized.
2240. STEFAN BERG, SUNNYVALE, CA, 94086  
I use the internet for work and privately every day. While there are choices at slower speeds, high speed internet access above 20 Mbit/s is only available from Comcast. Since I, and my family, depend on high speed access, I have no choice but to purchase this service from Comcast. I also pay for bundled cable TV and premium services that I don't want, but Comcast will not allow me to cancel them for a discount. When I purchase internet access, I expect that this access works universally to all services on the internet. I remember a time when my Netflix subscription would not work, and I kept buying faster and faster internet service from Comcast without it actually providing the speed that I paid for and Netflix would still often not work right. Years later I learned that Comcast was throttling Netflix data. They never told me. It was only when Netflix later made a deal with Comcast, that the service started working again. Some other services that I use that cannot afford to pay Comcast, still do not work right today. I hope the FCC can help protect my rights as a consumer to high speed internet access at fair prices and with access to services of my choosing. Since there is no competition in the high-speed internet market in my area, I have no voice of my own since I cannot change to another provider. Sincerely. Stefan Berg
2241. Kiran Prakash, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I need this.
2242. NetNeutrality, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Please stop supporting the big ISPs by killing net neutrality. Do not support any ISP prioritization of traffic. I am sure they put their content and their paid sites on the face of every consumer and that is not fair for me as a consumer.
2243. Mike Powell, milpitas, CA, 95035  
keep the internet free there is no reason to put limitations
2244. Matthew Barnett, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
The internet must be protected by the FCC as a title 2 utility. Matthew Barnett
2245. Tim Wither, cupertino, CA, 95014  
I support net neutrality! Please keep Internet free and open!
2246. Net neutrality, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
The internet is a public institution that was developed with public money. Therefore, it is unethical to allow companies to be given an advantage over public access. Instead, they should be required to pay for access, just like they charge the public to access this infrastructure. Those proceedings should then be used to provide access to those in the public who cannot afford the costs of access.
2247. Katie Tamone, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I am for Net-neutrality and I am so very disappointed that businesses are one getting greedier and the FCC will allow this. I have the right to choose what I watch; not only watch who pays the best to providers. Please do not let this pass. Katie Tamone
2248. Patrick J Robinson, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please do not repeal the current network neutrality rules under Title II. Internet Service Providers (ISP's) should not be able to discriminate in favor or against any Internet content or services. ISP's are not like cable providers and should not be treated as such. I pay my ISP to simply provide a dependable, fast connection to the internet and unfettered access to any or all information available. I do not expect or require that my ISP provide any content since I can freely choose the internet sites and applications I use. I do not pay my ISP to limit, hide or otherwise restrict access to anything available on the internet. Nor do I pay my ISP to promote or provide content or any additional services. Please keep the internet open, neutral and available under Title II. Thanks
2249. Matt Spadaro, San Jose, CA, 95133  
Net neutrality is like owning a car you can only drive two hours a day at 2-4 am. Why the fuck would you own a car then. Honestly why is this even a debate, don't do it
2250. Ion Venieris, San Jose, CA, 95131  
The role of the internet in modern life has changed dramatically in the past decade as it has become the primary source of information for many people. The idea that we as a nation would allow ISPs to control our access to information and free speech is sickening. Please uphold our our American ideals and maintain Title II oversight and regulate ISPs as telecommunications services.
2251. Clarissa Hyun, CUPERTINO, CA, 95014  
I've had many important connections over the internet, from close friends to valued coworkers. not only that I was able to share my content, art, and stories over social media such as facebook and tumblr. if net neutrality is removed, I believe that this way of life would be jeopardized.
2252. thai nguyen, santa clara, CA, 95051  
keep our net neutral!
2253. Taylor, Santa Clara, CA, 95050

I support net neutrality, not the bullshit that the monopolies are trying to force on us. Taylor

2254. Regita Soetandar, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
At this point in time of human existence, our lives depend more than ever on the Internet. I'm a college student studying engineering and if it weren't for the myriad of educational resources at my fingertips, I don't think I would have excelled in my classes as much as I do today. Beyond that, there are so many educational resources online for everyone to access. Right now, I'm learning how to code in HTML and CSS and taking 4 online classes to fulfill General Education requirements. Access to education online is something that everyone deserves. Our government should really be pushing our citizens to take advantage over those resources, rather than restricting our educational pursuits by restricting our Internet access. Wouldn't you think becoming more educated is better than less? The Internet is continuing to be the prime and perfect platform for spreading education, and no government should limit its citizens' intellectual capabilities. I and many, many more want a free and open Internet in order to further the spread of ideas, community, and education.
2255. Anand Rajamani, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Hello Congress and FCC, my name is Anand Rajamani. I, like many American citizens, support Net Neutrality and my right to information. It's bad enough that there are monopolies on the internet these days, but to crush net neutrality on top of that will be devastating for the American People. Use the trust that we've placed in you for doing good by us. Thank you, Anand Rajamani
2256. Young Chang, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please keep the net free. Having ISP dictate what we do is not what we signed up for.
2257. Rebecca Toth, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I strongly support Net Neutrality. The internet should be free and open for everyone.
2258. Gail Laberdie, San Jose, CA, 95133  
Please continue the current rules on net neutrality. Having ISPs determine how much or which information I have access to would be like allowing my telephone service provider to determine who I can call or who can call me. I prefer to make those determinations for myself.
2259. Alex Le, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Keep open internet for all
2260. Rick Chiu, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Net neutrality should be open with innovation and competition. With large overarching ISP companies controlling large sectors of the market, it stifles competition and reduces innovation. I am sick and tired of having to spend more money on throttled and capped broadband internet when it should be affordable to the average American.
2261. John Filanowski, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Save me from the corruption of big business trying to wreck internet freedom. This is ludicrous.
2262. Minh Nguyen, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Net neutrality is required for true freedom of speech and expression on the internet. Allowing ISPs to dictate traffic is completely unacceptable.
2263. Susan Rikimaru, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I think it is vital to retain net neutrality in order to provide a fair playing field for smaller businesses. Large corporations should not be able to dictate the information flow we receive.
2264. Benjamin Ip, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Hello FCC, I don't know if you or your director are working with or for Trump, or the big cable company. However, please give us back the net neutrality. Nobody wants to pay extra for what they currently have. Hope you will listen and not destroy our life. Thank you very much!
2265. Guo Kai Liu, San Jose, CA, 95129  
ISPs should not have control over which websites get to buy more bandwidth (which would influence my access to internet content). Uphold net neutrality.
2266. Griffin Guernsey, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
The internet is and should be a utility. Whether you stand for equality or small businesses, net neutrality is the right way to go.
2267. Val Chu, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Net Neutrality must be maintained! Failure to make it equally available to all is to deny some access to key information that gets one ahead in life. And who will be denied access? Those who cannot pay. The rich get richer and poor stay poor. Pure and simple. Failure to maintain net neutrality will stunt innovation, education, and the well being of Americans. Net neutrality must be preserved!
2268. Norman Ho, Fremont, CA, 94538  
A free and open internet is very important to the American economy. Rolling back the present FCC regulations would be disastrous because it would result in "fast" and "slow" lanes based on who can afford to pay the ISP's. We know this will happen because it has happened before with Comcast and Netflix.
2269. Alex Wu, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Save internet. This is unfair and unconstitutional. We deserve this right.









Net neutrality is central to the preservation of freedom in the 21st century. The internet represents the only remaining way for people to present their work and ideas to a greater world, without the rich having the capability to completely silence them. Ending net neutrality allows ISPs to block both American citizens and citizens of the world from reading and viewing what ordinary people have to say, just because it affects their already gross profits. The monopolistic ISPs Verizon, Comcast, AT&T, Cox, etc do not compete with each other for customers with their services or coverage areas and are now trying to squeeze even more money out of already oppressed Americans. They have already proven they will not respect net neutrality, because they have already broken the laws that are supposed to force them to adhere to it in the past with little to no punishment. Verizon's inside man Pai wants to see one of America's last industries shackled and restricted for his employer's profits and his own. If you are an actual American who believes in opportunity and freedom and not a Trumpist, you will not support monopolies, you will support net neutrality. Kirby Boone

2293. Sarah Rendon, Milpitas, CA, 95035

People who are already in a lower socioeconomic background should not be deprived of more "basic" needs. The internet has become the core of our society, limiting access will only continue to hurt the people who are already hurting. The world does not need to label the internet as another luxury for the rich. The internet is no longer a want in the average American life, it is how people are free to access information, connect with the world, apply for jobs, communicate and so much more. The internet is for all

2294. John Lemon, Santa Clara, CA, 95051

The Internet is clearly an essential utility that needs regulation like other essential utilities. Letting oligopolies have a decision about which services should run well, or run at all would be a great mistake. Please support keeping ISPs until Title II, and otherwise maintaining free and unfettered access for all. John Lemon

2295. Paul Lapke, Santa Clara, CA, 95054

Please keep net neutrality. It is very important to me that it stays in place.

2296. Keep Net Neutrality, Fremont, CA, 94538

Here are my thoughts: 1. Prioritization of web sites based on ISP's is wrong. 2. Consumers have paid for internet service, this is essentially a double fee charge. 3. Keep Net neutral and independent of ISP's power grab. 4. In America we have too few choices for ISP's. It's a monopoly and it's wrong for the consumers. Please keep the net neutral it creates new companies and jobs for everyone. Without it we would be left at the mercy of ISP monopolies.

2297. Kevin Huang, San Jose, CA, 95131

The FCC should not repeal net neutrality. No one wins besides the higher-ups of the internet service providers which already leech our wallets. We cannot use another ISP since there is virtually no competition. The ISPs already currently collaborate to fix prices and push other burgeoning competitors such as Google Fiber out of the market. This is unacceptable. Net neutrality allows for startups such as Discord and even major businesses such as Reddit and Netflix to thrive. Many impoverished in America use the Internet to shrink the poverty gap between them and the upper classes. They wouldn't be able to pay the exorbitant fees that would surely occur if net neutrality was repealed. The repealment of net neutrality also goes completely against the spirit of the First Amendment. If ISPs start prioritizing access toward certain websites, that will surely lead to censorship. In short, repealing net neutrality would benefit nobody and it would be far more better if we maintained it.

2298. Andrew Billingslea, Fremont, CA, 94538

Free and open internet is good for business and citizens.

2299. Josh Biggs, San Jose, CA, 95132

Net neutrality... Let's keep it.

2300. Tam Hoang Lam, Milpitas, CA, 95035

Please do not take away Net Neutrality!! I care very much about having open internet and fair competition online! If you take away Net Neutrality, I will remember... WE will remember.

2301. Isaiah Santos, San Jose, CA, 95133

I believe that net neutrality should remain upheld because the internet is a place of wonder and exploration and fun so please don't revoke net neutrality. If you revoke net neutrality the internet will be stupefied so whatever you guys don't get rid of our net neutrality thank you.

2302. Amy Strickland, Fremont, CA, 94538

I support net neutrality. We need to protect individuals instead of allow big business to gain even more power.

2303. Anna Koster, Santa Clara, CA, 95050

I support net neutrality. It is essential for freedom of speech. In addition, we need it for small businesses and start ups, which boost our economy. Keep net neutrality.

2304. Manan Gupta, Santa Clara, CA, 95054

We have seen absolutely no competition for the last-mile ISPs in our area. Please don't empower the ISPs even more. Do not allow them to influence what I get to use my internet connection for. Keep the net neutrality rules in effect. ISPs should be subject to Title II and I would urge the FCC to even say that ISPs should not be allowed to charge for speed tiers and also bandwidth cap tiers. They should charge for one or the other.

2305. ARCHIT BANSAL, Cupertino, CA, 95014

Let the internet be neutral and let everyone be equal on the web. If there are tiers, then it breaks the basic assumptions of the internet leading to a greater control of it and hence not better services for the consumers. It's not true capitalism.

2306. Jordyn Morgan, Newark, CA, 94560  
The internet needs to be left alone. Open Internet helps keep the world informed on real issues and events, not filtered fake news.
2307. Crystal Smith, fremont, CA, 94536  
58% of Americans have access to either zero or one broadband ISP, and 87% have access to just two. What choices do I have at 25 Mbps down and 3 Mbps up (the FCC's definition of broadband)? Are they real choices or are all the ISPs charging the same prices for essentially the same service? What are the costs to me for switching? Would I suffer a financial penalty? Would I have to buy new equipment? Would I have to take a day or two off from work waiting for installation?
2308. bindhu, Fremont, CA, 94539  
STOP PRIORITIZING CERTAIN WEBSITES OVER OTHERS
2309. Venkata Musunuri, Fremont, CA, 94539  
The FCC's role is to act on behalf of the American people, not to bend to the will of corporate skills and private interests who wish to pillage the fibers that hold together the internet. Have some self respect and do your job
2310. Stephanie Shi, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Net neutrality is critical for innovation.
2311. Bongsub Lee, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I strongly believe that net neutrality promotes people's freedom and equal opportunity. It will also keep the economy healthy, since all people and small businesses can do their best.
2312. Richard Meyers, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
The Internet needs to stay open. No one should be able to restrict or prioritize access.
2313. Andrew Dudney, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Net neutrality is extremely important to me. ISPs are already a functional monopoly, sure you "have a choice", but when the choice is between a maximum of two things with nearly the same high prices for barely different service, it's essentially not a choice. At my residence I "have a choice" between Comcast and AT&T Uverse. I went with Comcast purely because I already have a phone on AT&T and the service at this location is not great, definitely not the quality I expect from my primary home internet source. Both have data caps and both are very expensive for what they provide. I pay an ISP for one service, and one service only. To connect me to the open internet. I intentionally ignore and disable (when I can) any other service they provide, such as email, cloud storage, or anything else. I want to pay my ISP so that I can get to the internet and forget the ISP exists. But instead, the ISP is ever present, even going so far as to man-in-the-middle attack insecure HTTP streams so they can insert their own god-awful alert windows when you get near the data cap. Literally the only time I want to remember that Comcast exists is when I'm visiting comcast.com to pay my bill or check how close I am to my data cap. Comcast seems particularly antagonistic towards repeat customers, they offer somewhat reasonable prices for their internet (excepting the fact that they have a data cap), until you realize that the only reason it's a reasonable price is because they've applied a "special offer" to your package, such that after it runs out your plan literally doubles in price. Finding out exactly how much you will be paying after the "special offer" runs out takes quite a bit of time too, as their wording is obtuse and misleading. It's no wonder that Comcast is regularly mentioned in lists of most hated companies, along with such contenders as WalMart and ElectronicArts. Data caps and speed limitations stifle innovation and freedom of information. Say Comcast provides email (oh look, they already do) and decide they don't want the competition of Gmail or any other email company? Well, without Net Neutrality the solution is easy, gouge users and companies at the same time, throttling the connection by a huge margin compared to their own service such that if users want to use Gmail they have to buy the dedicated "Gmail package" which is ludicrous on many levels. "Now now", you say, "it doesn't allow them to throttle things, it only allows them to provide fast lanes and remove data caps for services they deem worthy!" Well yeah, that's the entire problem. If you can provide a fast lane or remove a data cap, then what you're actually doing is limiting everything else. Say they provide a fast lane and remove data caps for their own video streaming service, but leave Netflix, Youtube, Vimeo, and all other video streaming sites on the "normal lane". Now of course Netflix, Youtube, and Vimeo are big enough that they could probably pay to get put on the "fast lane", but say another website comes along that provides a better service for users than Youtube. There is no way they'd gain traction without net neutrality, since they don't have the money to move to the "fast lane" while Youtube is already there. The exact same thing can happen to anything else they don't like, such as bad reviews or politics they disagree with. But the important thing is that it doesn't have to be conscious, they don't have to flip a switch to harm a new website, it is harmed by default because of the "fast lane" whitelist. All of these practices are extremely harmful to innovation and freedom of information. I urge you to keep net neutrality, and eventually make it even stronger. Thank you. Andrew Dudney
2314. ananya mohanty, sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
this feels like regressing to dark ages. why make it North Korea?
2315. sabyasachi mondal, newark, CA, 94560  
Please STOP IMMEDIATELY the vicious effort to destroy Net Neutrality !!
2316. Christian Oliver Sy, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I hate seeing the power of the elite overwhelm that of the people. It's un-American to bottle the internet, a common resource and lifeline for everyone, to serve the needs of the few and their uninvested stagnant profits. People should have unhampered, unfiltered, online freedom once they're connected. Keep the internet open! Keep the internet gateway free and unfettered for everyone!
2317. Roland Nicolas, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
The net is a freedom of expression. We can do what we want as long as it doesn't hurt others. This would take away the basic right of our declarations and we do not want that.

2318. Nipurn, San Jose, CA, 95134  
DO NOT END NET NEUTRALITY PLEASE! EVERYONE SHOULD HAVE EQUAL RIGHTS AND ACCESS TO THE INTERNET AND IT SHOULDN'T BE DECIDED BASED ON MONEY WHO CAN REACH MORE USERS!Nipurn
2319. Alexander J. Lin, Fremont, CA, 94539  
The Internet was built thanks to the free exchange of information. Without Net Neutrality it loses all it strives to be.
2320. Andrew Isabelle, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I am a frequent internet user and I support a lot of small startup websites and businesses that use their webpages as a form of advertising as well as my own company that I work for. We only have 3 people on our staff and that includes me. I want to keep the internet free and neutral. Please save Net Neutrality. I really like Discord as well. I use it to keep in contact with all my friends from New Mexico my second home. Me and all my friends are poor and the internet is the only thing keeping us happy and connected. We can not afford an internet where we have to pay more for more popular websites and might be kept from accessing our favorite websites that have a much smaller following.
2321. Patrick Benight, San Jose, CA, 95129  
Net Neutrality is something that affects how every person interacts with the internet. The internet is already throttled, censored, and paywalled enough in most of the world. If the USA decides to end Net Neutrality, there will be no place for free speech left in the world. Already it seems that only those who have money and political pull will have the ability to make their opinions known, and without Net Neutrality, that gap will widen tremendously. There is no sadder thing than the restriction of freedom.
2322. Dan Zhukov, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Hello, Net neutrality is an important freedom that allows all of us to browse the content we like. Countless Internet users, among which I count myself, want to have unrestricted access to the websites that they visit on a daily basis, and destroying net neutrality will subvert that freedom. I do not want my internet provider to pick and choose which websites and services I can have good connection to, and which websites will be throttled for any arbitrary reason. That especially concerns me because I am a gamer, and online gaming is a service like any other. I do not want my ISP to dictate whether I am able to play games online with my friends or not. Thank you.
2323. Pablo Marx, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Letter supporting net neutrality. Would rather not end up like China, thanks. Pablo Marx
2324. Zekang Zhong, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
We must keep net neutrality! The internet is too powerful and important to be regulated by ISPs.
2325. Clement Lan, Newark, CA, 94560  
In this modern age, the internet is a utility. This means equal access for all. Towards this end, I support net neutrality.
2326. Bobby Dhillon, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Dear FCC, I think you should leave the net in a neutral status since it is basically information allowed to be accessed by the public, similar to a library serving the public knowledge through books. Purposely obfuscating or blatantly censoring information, and promoting sites for the ISP's own self interest is akin to public libraries banning books they see as not benefitting the library itself, or deliberately making patrons read books that promote something in their favor. The internet began as something free for the public to enjoy, and should be maintained as such; freedom for us to access information as we please. Thank you, Bobby Dhillon
2327. Autum Brook Dorn, Fremont, CA, 94538  
It's important to let us keep the net neutrality. If we keep our neutrality we can continue to be human and individuals. Please keep us neutral! Autum Brook Dorn
2328. J. M., Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
The thought of losing net neutrality concerns me deeply (as an IT professional). Please make the right choice.
2329. Dhruvil Shah, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I fully support free, open and neutral internet. All services on the internet must remain equal so that we can access all content freely and without any barriers. All companies and services must be able to distribute content and services on an equal playing field, and without any biases.
2330. William Tam, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Docket 17-108 provides a gross mischaracterization of Net Neutrality (the Commission's Title II Order) and its role in developing the internet. It suggests that the rapid growth of the early internet was possible because of the lack of regulations such as Net Neutrality; this line of reasoning demonstrates an incredible lack of understanding of the internet and the concepts of causality and propositional logic. It further states that the Commission's Title II Order has weakened the open internet and stymied the internet's rapid growth without providing any evidence or citations. Net Neutrality is essential to ensuring an open and fair internet. It allows startups and other small business to compete with established industry players. It allows for the innovation and growth of the internet that we should all be striving for. Docket 17-108 is poorly thought out; I urge the Commission to reconsider its repeal of Net Neutrality.
2331. net neutrality, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I am a software engineer, and a hobby developer of my small [projects]. If ISPs earn the right to ask for payment from me to give access to people to my content, I will surely be bullied out of the market. Protect people from big companies!
2332. Aaron Houts, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Being of vital importance to a free and democratic people, the internet must be protected from any practice through which an ISP could limit their customers' choice of content. Such practices will be used to give the ISPs the power to dictate which businesses and

information thrive on the internet (and therefore in our modern, connected society). This is too much power for a private, for-profit company to be trusted with.

2333. Riley Meyer, Santa Clara, CA, 95051

The internet is a free speech platform that everyone in the modern world uses all the time. By allowing ISP corporations more control you are selling our freedom to communicate with the rest of the world. Much like how china limits their internet, or North Korea. Last time I checked we were a democratic republic, not a dictatorship. So please keep the internet a neutral place.

2334. William Richardson, Santa Clara, CA, 95054

I don't have to pay different rates for my electricity depending on what I power with it. I don't pay different prices for my other utilities. I use the internet every day for my work, my kids use it for school, and we all use it for socializing. The big ISPs claimed that the rules we have now would kill their business, but their profits are just as high. They claim it would prevent them from investing, but they don't do that now. They say regulation is bad for competition, but THERE IS NO COMPETITION now. There is ONE high-speed internet provider in my area, and it's Comcast. They don't innovate or expand - they just raise prices. If you abandon Net Neutrality, they'll just start charging per website, just like they charge per channel for cable TV. "Oh, you want Netflix? We like Hulu. Netflix will cost you extra." I know the FCC is now run by a Verizon lawyer, so he'll ignore anything we say, but I'm saying it anyway: DO NOT ABOLISH NET NEUTRALITY. William Richardson

2335. Luka Maeda, Cupertino, CA, 95014

My name is Luka and I am a high schooler from Silicon Valley. I am writing in behalf of many many students across the nation, schools in poverty and schools that are not. In this capitalist country, the only place that is safe and equal for any American is the internet. For students, it is incredibly important to receive the same amount of education and have the vast resource available for any human being. I learned to play the guitar from YouTube and I was able to review how the digestive system works for my physiology final, just like the millions of Americans right now. But by ripping net neutrality away, we're tearing down equality and freedom - which we are proud of in America. One of my very close friend is homeless but the only thing that keeps him going and the only thing that helps him communicate with others and the only thing that helps him is the internet. Please do not take that from him. I really hope someone is reading this and the millions of letters out there. We need net neutrality. It's a necessity for Americans to be free and equal. Luka Maeda

2336. Zhizhang Xia, Santa Clara, CA, 95051

Internet services providers have a de-facto monopoly/oligopoly in my area. It doesn't not make sense to give them any more power to limit, control, or re-prioritize my access to internet content. I would have no other options.

2337. William Yu, Fremont, CA, 94539

The rules of net neutrality is simple: people can do what they want, when they want, and if they want. This may arise some problems, but it's a free system for a free country. Instead of letting these big-boy companies restrict and profit off of something that we deem irreplaceable to modern day life, I as a concerned citizen urge you to stand for the freedom that our nation so proudly claim to possess. Take a stand. These rich tossers only win if they get a foot in. Throw the infection of corruption out of the door! William Yu

2338. Oliver, Fremont, CA, 94538

the sites you'd be restricting end up cutting contact with so many people from so many different places. me personally, it's incredibly hard for me to make friends in my area and all of my friends i contact through the internet. if you were to pass this it'd cut ties with every single one of them and i really don't want to lose them. that'll be the case with me and with millions of others if you pass this, so please don't. reconsider.

2339. Jonathan Tsien, san jose, CA, 95132

Please keep net neutrality rules in place. Being able to browse information and things like email shouldn't be left to ISP sites but for us the users to find the ones we want ourselves.

2340. Justin Lawrence, newark, CA, 94560

please do not give ISPs the ability to control competition between websites on the internet.

2341. Jonathan Wang, Fremont, CA, 94539

Please protect the freedom of the people from corporations by protecting net neutrality. Net neutrality must be protected and kept.

2342. Tim Wheeler, Cupertino, CA, 95014

An open internet is synonymous with freedom. In the age of information it is only moral to guarantee unhindered access to the world's information.

2343. Marina Peregrino, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087

Preserve 2015 net neutrality and title 2 common carrier; this is vital for a competitive market, and for individual communication.

2344. Alex Li, Fremont, CA, 94539

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are essential for a fair competitive landscape and consumer protection. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal

customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Alex Li

2345. Cole Hildebrandt, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Net neutrality needs to remain intact in order to secure and maintain the freedom of the internet. Without net neutrality, we face a very real problem of an internet monopoly. Any major ISPs will completely control the success or failure of any business using the internet, and will be able to use this newfound power to shut out competition completely. We would face a subscription service for internet, where people who paid more money would gain access to certain websites, similar to how TV is these days. The overwhelming majority of the US population is in favor of keeping net neutrality, and going against that is going against the will of the people in favor of the will of the corporations.
2346. John Madsen, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
The FCC's Open InteSo I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! John Madsen
2347. Milton Kubota, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I support net neutrality. I believe if this stance is changed by the FCC it will have a detrimental effect on the public use of the Internet. Large corporations already have control over day to day commerce. The Internet should remain neutral.
2348. net neutrality, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Rolling back the current net neutrality laws would vastly modify the landscape of the web. Monopolies would effectively be promoted and large internet service providers would have the ability to control the content that their subscribers see, thus giving them the ability to push out competitors. This would also undermine the tenets of capitalism, as the potential for monopoly would take away incentives for large ISPs to provide competitive pricing on equivalent services. This would also encroach upon the civil rights of subscribers by preventing the freedom of self-determination in the content they wish to view.
2349. Lyle York, Newark, CA, 94560  
Roll back of the Net Neutrality Act is tantamount to fostering rollback of the Sherman Anti-trust act, which protects against monopolies. Bad policy.
2350. Maddison Brumbaugh, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
A free internet is of profound importance to the continued free access to information and learning for all people. I believe it is one of our best tools for upward mobility in society, and should not be limited to those who can pay.
2351. Jane Kroll, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support Net Neutrality. Keep the internet open and free. Thank you.
2352. Chuck Thompson, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I am on the board of 3 nonprofit organizations. If net neutrality is NOT maintained, we would wind up in the "slow lane" because of financial constraints. This would severely hinder our access to donors, who provide 100% of our funding. Therefore I urge you to keep the internet neutral.
2353. Henry Wong, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Please keep net neutrality, the online world today allows startups to have a chance to thrive - which I believe is an American fundamental.
2354. Vida Dulce, San Jose, CA, 95131  
The rapid growth of our tech industry has relied greatly on the unrestricted use of the internet and to start controlling sites now based on the amount of money they can toss to internet providers is ludicrous and irresponsible for our future. The whole purpose of the internet is to have an unlimited resource of information, for collaboration, and to make the world more interconnected. A world without free content means a world full of biases controlled by a select few. Imagine all the innovative advances that would not be here today if net neutrality was not active at that time. The individuals that made these advances are people who don't have the initial funding to bring their ideas to fruition but what they did have is creativity and the grit to follow through because they know that if they reach enough people, their great ideas could shape the world. There is no monopoly of knowledge. Why should there be a monopoly (oligopoly) of the world's biggest source of information? Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Vida Dulce
2355. Kristina Faraj, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
It is in the best interests of the American people to have strong net neutrality rules in place for as long as we can. Attempts to neuter or completely end net neutrality are plans to line someone's greedy pockets, plain and simple. The citizens of the U.S. have a right to the full extent of the Internet and any speech or content on the Internet, and the accessibility of any such content should be equal; we will not stand for this constant power-grab for control of the content we have a right to consume. We should not fall to their influence.

2356. Conrado Quilles Gomes, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please, keep the internet open. We need a neutral internet. Make this a great opportunity to show to the world our freedom values.
2357. Lemuel Bezares, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Preserve Net Neutrality & Title 2
2358. Steven Truong, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Please give us freedom on the Internet. It is an essential human right. Thanks.
2359. Leon Huynh, San Jose, CA, 95131  
At this point in time, I do not believe anyone is fooled that the FCC's desire to end Net Neutrality is of any benefit to the American people, and is solely for large corporations to benefit from.
2360. Jacqueline, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Hey, this -really- cannot be done. Whatever happened to personal use and personal preferences? If everyone starts being the same, forming subconscious groups, what's to say that it won't escalate terribly? As if we don't already have people aggressively clashing.
2361. James Schultz, Newark, CA, 94560  
Are we China? Should we become China? First the digital conversion of television and now this. The government should just cut funding to this worthless organization.
2362. Vincent Lara, sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Net neutrality has allowed me to make many friends online and educate myself through youtube, google, and wikipedia. Without net neutrality I wouldn't be able to communicate with my friends online or seek knowledge online because internet service providers would limit my bandwidth that I can use. The FCC should prevent internet service providers from gaining the power to take freedom away from its customers, the FCC should be working in the people's best interest instead of the big companies that speak with their money. If the FCC allows this to happen then smaller startups would never be able to compete with the other ISP companies.
2363. Susan Norman, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Please support NET NEUTRALITY !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!Keep the internet neutral. Thanks
2364. Qian Long, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I support net neutrality and support keeping the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II.
2365. Daiki Nakai, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Having been on the internet for some years now, I would like to say that the internet has greatly improved my quality of life and that of other people. With the internet, I'm able to manage my bank accounts, interact with acquaintances both local and on a global level, and observe and review my academic progress--all at the tips of my fingers and displayed on a monitor. The internet also enables me to enjoy forms of digital entertainment in the form of online games and streaming that may otherwise not have been possible without it, and with net neutrality, we as people are able to enjoy a wide range of these and more with our own individual preferences of the websites we want to watch streams or the game to play with our friends online. With the loss of net neutrality and the prioritization of websites that are willing to pay, this may very well result in the loss of an individual's preferred medium of entertainment and destroy livelihoods for people whose work is on the internet in one form or another. In purchasing internet access, I want reliable access to all of the sites I can visit, without a bigger one prioritized over another, and where I live, while there does appear to be the choice to choose between providers, as far as broadband access goes, Comcast appears to be the choice of the majority--if not the sole provider in this particular area--despite its reputation. The FCC, with the task to oversee communication networks, needs the role to oversee internet access especially in addition to the other communications to prevent internet service providers within this nation from ruining the livelihoods of the nation's people who are dependent upon the internet and to protect those consumers.
2366. Stern Huang, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Net neutrality is important because it allows people to have an unfiltered source of information through the internet. Getting rid of it would allow service providers to block or throttle websites whose content they do not support. The political implications of this can be dangerous - the same way manipulating search results (Google or Facebook) would certainly cause an outrage. I see no benefit it ending net neutrality, and only detriments. Please do not end net neutrality.
2367. Blake Rafter, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
The internet needs to stay free. Net Neutrality is critical to a fair and open internet and prevents large corporations from bullying smaller companies into non-existence.
2368. Katherine Frazer, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
The internet is for everyone! Revoking net neutrality is going to disproportionately hurt lower income families, who the internet has the opportunity to most empower. It reduces consumer choice, will hike rates up for decreased service quality, and compromise people like small business owners who can't afford to compete to get their webpages prioritized like big name corporations. Revoke net neutrality only lines the pockets of multibillion dollar corporations and hurts everyone else. The government should work for the people, not Comcast, Verizon, AT&T, etc.
2369. Travis Grimes, Newark, CA, 94560  
am writing today in support of preserving an open internet that is transparent and free from blocking, censorship and discriminatory throttling and to encourage you and other members of Congress to work together to pass open internet legislation. Congress has the power to end the political back and forth and create lasting open internet protections that apply to everyone and will remain in place regardless of which party is in power. I agree with the current FCC that heavy-handed regulations will do more harm than good. But, we need legislation to put this issue to rest once and for all and ensure an open internet for decades to come.

2370. Mike Sult, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
The internet is where the modern world will learn. It must remain fair and accessible and not restricted to those who are willing and able to pay more for full access. So no to greed, and yes to freedom and an open internet for all by preserving net neutrality.
2371. Bradley Gefroh, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
ISPs are the dirtiest type of money-grabbing cheats, and they need to be kept in check. The net neutrality laws do that and many other things very well, don't change them in any way except to offer consumers more protections (which we sorely need) against them.
2372. Lakshman Kishore, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I urge the FCC to remain committed to Net Neutrality in both Spirit and Action. I as a consumer demand for a policy that enforces ISPs to not act in a manner that lets them control Internet traffic based on commercial interests. It includes being able to perform paid prioritization of bandwidth to select content providers.
2373. Mark Lu, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I am an 18-year-old soon-to-be college student and registered voter and I demand that internet freedom be guaranteed to all web users.
2374. Aismit Das, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I strongly believe the FCC should not remove internet providers from Title II telecommunications service categorization. I am against the 'Restoring Internet Freedom' proposal.
2375. Danielle Wheeler, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please keep the Internet fair and open. When I pay for an ISP, I'm not paying to use their email services or cloud storage. I'm paying them for fast, open access to the internet. Without net neutrality, my ISP could slow down access to the Google email address I've been using since college. They could make it harder for me to look up and share silly videos and stories with my friends. Please don't let this happen.
2376. Torin Yao, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I want for internet to stay as a title 2, stay neutral
2377. PRAHALLAD IYENGAR, newark, CA, 94560  
Strongly in favor of keeping and defending net neutrality as the Freedom of 1st Amendment cannot be taken for granted. I bristle at the notion that corporations can create tiered tracks.
2378. Justin Raie, Newark, CA, 94560  
As a previous customer of Verizon, I am extremely concerned about internet service providers being able to manipulate anybody's access to the internet, with Verizon leading the charge. Some people don't have the option to choose from multiple service providers, and ISPs can deny access to websites or slow down their access with no restrictions. The reason these companies can get away with these underhanded moves is because we need internet, and they know that. We need to keep Net Neutrality laws in place, or our freedom to access and browse the internet, small companies and businesses' ability to compete fairly, and corporations not being allowed to blackmail for profit will all be in jeopardy. Justin Raie
2379. Martin Krikorian, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
It is very concerning that plans are afoot to limit USA customers' ability to use the internet freely. The monopolies that control internet access in our country are unwilling to provide high performance services that are easily obtained by consumers in Europe and Asia. Please break the continuing chain of mediocre ISP programs by preventing the FCC's plans for net neutrality from being implemented. Again, I urge you to take action to keep America competitive in the world markets by preventing the implementation of the new net neutrality rules. Martin Krikorian
2380. Brandon Butsch, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Hello, I would like to inform the FCC that getting rid of net neutrality is a bad idea. Net neutrality is what keeps the 99% of our country's people safe from outrageous pricing from all network providers. Removing this law will also slow down innovation because websites will have to pay network companies for faster speeds so consumers can access their website. So start up companies that have great ideas but not enough resources will be silenced by network providers, holding back our advancements in technology. Breaking This law will also hurt education in schools because as stated prior, smaller website in this case, educational, may not be able to keep going, limiting the amount of resources schools have. So I urge you, please don't let this happen. Thank you. Brandon Butsch
2381. Arjun Chakravarty, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Don't take away internet neutrality! It's wrong and extremely un-American. I see why you are trying to do this but you are counting out the influence that money has on people. It will lead to freedoms getting taken away. That is a form of tyranny. Please stop this plan set forward by Ajit Pai!
2382. Shruteek mairal, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Don't ruin the Internet. The creativity, innovation, and content contained within each and every site is only possible because of Net Neutrality. If it's repealed, all of that will be lost. I actually care about this. And many others do. Shruteek mairal
2383. internet, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
The internet needs net neutrality. Please don't change it.
2384. Derek Lukacs, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I support net neutrality backed under title 2.
2385. Andrew Forster, San Jose, CA, 95134

Net neutrality lets the market decide market leaders, instead of incumbent big companies. Without a law mandating net neutrality, ISPs have no incentive to maintain it. The network should be a utility.

2386. Net Neutrality, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Look what happened in China these days, people are not able to access, view or talk on the Internet for the things government don't like. Without Net Neutrality, the freedom of speech will be limited. On the other hand, users paid the price for Internet, there should not be any limitation for the contents they can access; that means, ISP has no rights to control what can its users access by the Internet.
2387. Yuhuan Xie, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Please do not let the ISPs destroy our internet and replace it with slow lanes. Please make them into utilities like they should be.
2388. Jimmy Ip, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please keep net neutrality because having equal treatment of importance of network traffic is important. I don't want my ISPs to control the quality of my internet and possibly discriminate based on traffic type.
2389. Kalyan, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Access to the entire internet please; I will do the prioritization, blocking and throttling based on my personal preference.
2390. Kenneth Wat, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I use the internet every day for work, education, and entertainment. I find useful information for my job and learn new things by seeing new and small websites for various disciplines and interests and it's harder and harder to find and get to them. I buy stuff from mom and pops shops online but big corporate mainstream companies are killing the very American Way that Donald Trump claims to champion. I use all my email and cloud storage from sites other than my ISP and if we lose that option than we lose true competition and therefore the positives of capitalism. If this really is a "free country" then we should have freedom of choice. It is already hard enough to even get options for alternative ISPs. Wherever I move, I only get one choice for high-speed internet (usually Comcast, which abuses its monopoly). The FCC needs to regulate broadband access or effective capitalism will erode.
2391. Ju Ouyang, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
It's important to keep the internet neutral!
2392. Tim Andrews, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Keep internet access free of URL choices and unfettered of bandwidth constraints. As a true capitalistic & free American I value and require my freedom of consumer choices, born of a free market. Not a corporately contrived monopolistic market that insures there is NO competition. Seriously, if our Congress does not stop handing-over our Internet Neutrality & Health Care markets to these Corporate Organisms, then We The People "Citizens" will insure that offending Congressmen are removed from office.
2393. todd diep, cupertino, CA, 95014  
i want the fcc to uphold net neutrality. i don't want crappy companies like comcast and verizon to monopolize traffic and create a tiered internet service. all internet traffic should be treated as equal. thank you.
2394. Kevin Do, San Jose, CA, 95132  
To whom this may concern, As a small business owner, I run a website that helps promote my business as well as help future clientele order my products or contact me for future business ventures. If NET NEUTRALITY is removed, small business owners such as myself that use a personal website as a way to market their business will suffer greatly. We cannot possibly afford the increase in cost that would come with removing net neutrality. By keeping net neutrality, it would help small business owners promote their own business through the use of websites or even social media. I believe that supporting small business is something that even our government supports as per their campaign. As such removing net neutrality will cause a very large negative impact on small businesses and should be avoided at all cost. Please consider keeping net neutrality for the better of the country
2395. Shaun Kim, San Jose, CA, 95132  
There's absolutely no reason to change what has worked since the internet's inception into the world and create a much more restricted platform for people around the world. Internet neutrality has kept the world connected by allowing the user to choose what they want to do without any barriers blocking them from doing what they want (except for legal reasons). By straying away from internet neutrality, thousands, millions, possibly billions of people will end up suffering through the enforcement of potential paywalls or simply restricted content on the web. This is a serious case of the people/consumer losing no matter what and the companies that act behind the scenes succumbing to their greed in an effort to further increase their already huge brands. The internet has always been an environment where we the people can connect without anything blocking us, so why are we now becoming forced to adapt to this shoddy system? One such example that's been floating around today is a potential limit to how many videos you can watch on Youtube and then being forced to pay for additional access or to use a different website (dailymotion). What purpose does this serve? On a platform that was built upon the idea that people can share their creativity and connect with people around the world becoming limited in availability and limited to those who are able to pay to watch videos sounds absurd and breaks away from the fundamental purpose of the website. If other websites will be following this type of example, then it'll break the internet community apart in spite of the authority's greed.
2396. Ian Brodowski, San Jose, CA, 95133  
By removing the Title II regulations and arguing that these rules are anti-competitive, you are deceiving the public's trust. Net Neutrality is intended to provide unfettered and just access to the internet for everyone, without regard to an individual's income, status, gender or their ISP; reasonable network management practices are permissible, but should not be a method to incentivize any type of traffic (i.e., paid prioritization or excluding an entities' own services), to the benefit of a corporation or entity.
2397. Heather Ott, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please uphold our current government regulations on Net Neutrality. They are necessary because they prevent big businesses from dictating what content we have access to on the internet. Removing these regulations will stunt creativity and impede future innovation.

2398. Karina Garcia, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Net neutrality is a fundamental right. ISPs don't just provide internet service, they provide other goods which puts them in direct competition with other companies who rely on the internet to survive. How would gutting net neutrality keep the open market fair?
2399. Kishan, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Adjit Pai needs to get this through his thick skull once and for all: the people are not stupid to see that he's not at the FCC to represent the interest of the American People, but for his own career post his FCC job to benefit handsomely from his sellout of the Internet to last mile and back haul monopolist companies! WE KNOW WHAT YOUR END GAME IS, PAI. HANDS OFF TITLE II!
2400. Scott Oglesby, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
The Internet is invaluable to the careers, communication, research, and entertainment for millions of Americans. Most of us are at the mercy of monopolies as it is, and compared to some other countries, the US is less advanced and more expensive. Net neutrality made the Internet what it is today, and is in line with the professed values that made this country great. Selling that out to service providers will turn the net into a just another cable TV. Many politicians and businesses would love this, of course. That's why we have an FCC, right? For the American people?
2401. Emilya Burd, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Internet must remain free, neutral and a place for freedom. We are all better for the fact internet is free. Net neutrality allows consumers "to choose what they watch & do online."
2402. Akash Peri, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I support net neutrality as a person in the tech industry
2403. Tulasi Ravuri, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Without net neutrality, small businesses and entrepreneurs will struggle to reach new users. Only the big companies will survive, stifling innovation.
2404. Stuart Norton, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
This network neutrality issue is weighing the ability of large, powerful companies to profit just a little bit more against the fundamental principles of free speech and fair competition. There is no contest; we need to defend an open Internet and protect net neutrality. Please stand up for what is right for the American people - all of us, not just those in the most powerful corporations.
2405. Shanti Dickson, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
The internet is not a commodity to be controlled and manipulated by vested interests. It is a public forum that should be kept neutral.
2406. Mark Gallagher, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Everyone's voice should be heard. An open internet is a basic human right.
2407. Harriet Lister, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I read a lot of alternative media, the very sites that the loss of net neutrality will disadvantage. I am sick and tired of the corporate coup that is taking over every aspect of our government which is supposed to be by, of, and for the people.
2408. Girish Jay, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Net is a fundamental necessity for our everyday life. As more of our day-to-day things we use get digitized and connected to the Net, the dependence only goes up. Allowing few companies to monetize and monopolize on the basic and fundamental necessity is denying every common man his right to lead a decent and affordable living. FCC should protect net neutrality and treat this as fundamental rights to be protected for every citizen.
2409. Kelly Loos, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Net neutrality must be preserved for free speech! Also the ISP should not dictate what sites they want to allow or charge for. If you don't care about that, then do you care about the lost jobs this will cost the US. Because the software industry will move to more open countries where the small start ups can flourish.
2410. Paul Lazar, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Please preserve net neutrality. This is fundamental to free speech.
2411. Daniel M. Dobkin, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
The big carriers built walled gardens repeatedly in the 90's and early 2000's. They failed reliably because they screwed the companies in the gardens. Today's successful companies were all born in the open Internet that was built by the universities and DARPA. If you let them, the big companies will break it again, and we'll have to build something else.
2412. Matthew, San Jose, CA, 95129  
The opposite of net neutrality is censorship. We do not want to become North Korea
2413. Susan Mullally, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I want to decide which information to access, not big business. Too much "speech" is done on the internet these days to give companies control over how we communicate and share information.
2414. Marjorie Drake, Newark, CA, 94560  
I am for an open and neutral internet. It should not favor those with greater power or money.
2415. Jason s, Fremont, CA, 94536  
Stop the monopolization of internet - let open source community thrive.

2416. kevon wade, sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Dear Mr. Chairman:Net Neutrality has been the insurance policy that has enabled the internet to reach its current point. Don't allow companies who already have pseudo monopolies solidify their monopolies even farther.
2417. Mahe, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Save free speech. Don't make carriers rule what I want to see
2418. Marla Taylor, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Net Neutrality = level playing field.
2419. Tony lam, Santa clara, CA, 95054  
No means no.
2420. James Lu, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Throwing away the well-being of millions of people for a small temporary monetary gain would demonstrate not only a lack of dignity and consideration, but also destroy every ethical decision any of the crusty, fifty year old, entitled white men have ever made. Today, you are the FCC. If you make this ridiculous money grab, tomorrow you will be known not for any of your previous actions, but rather as just a bunch of filthy, greedy goblins who destroyed the world-wide-web for money and money alone. ps. fuck you
2421. Alex Vukadin, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Net neutrality will help me advertise my smartphone repair business against the large multi-million dollar companies doing the same. Without net neutrality, companies with large advertising budgets could pay Comcast/AT&T to censor their competitors!
2422. Pablo Biscayart, Fremont, CA, 94538  
The internet has no owners, and this is the way it was meant to be. You should keep it that way. Public and neutral.
2423. Van Trinh, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Net neutrality has to be the cornerstone of the Internet of Things simply because without it the common people will have absolutely no say in how the internet data is treated, plus it is essential as water and electricity in the IoT world. Imagine a world where those necessities were regulated!
2424. Srishti Choudhury, Cupertino , CA, 95014  
Net neutrality would establish equality and help new entrepreneurs make a stand and nurture their ideas. Mainly, help the internet users to share innovative ideas and reach out to masses without being censored by big players.
2425. Diane Getsinger, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Net neutrality is as important to our safety and our ability to succeed and prosper as individuals and a country, as freedom of the press and the right to education. Without it we are doomed to suffer and fail.
2426. Gustaf Miller, Fremont , CA, 94539  
The internet is by the people, for the people. Corporations have their money and control over other matters, it's better to leave the internet in the hands of the public.
2427. Melissa, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Without Net Neutrality, it would be far too easy for ISP to shake down or even censor sites and creators for the sake of profit. Without Net Neutrality, extortion becomes the name of the game, rather than idea sharing.
2428. Jessica jiang, San jose, CA, 95129  
Net neutrality means I can have control over how I want to use the most powerful tool in this generation that can be used for good - and bad, if we don't stop it
2429. Mark Scrivener, San Jose, CA, 95131  
The internet must remain a public resource with equal access for all. Eliminating net neutrality is like allowing private ownership of roads and highways.
2430. Ruth de Larios, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Our country is turning into George Orwell's book "Nineteen Eighty Four," where the public is allowed only information controlled and disseminated by the government.Net neutrality must be protected!
2431. David Atkins, San Jose , CA, 95134  
Net Neutrality benefits the many instead of the few.
2432. Ron Halfhill, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
To preserve confidence in a free world and a brisk interchange of ideas and facts, not tyrannical intervention into the lives of citizens.
2433. Joel Aguilera III, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
The government has no right to sell off our internet. We must maintain an open, free, and accessible internet for all.
2434. Bruce Koch, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I don't want my local internet provider duopoly providing faster internet speeds to their corporate "partners" and slower speeds to the services I prefer.
2435. Shelley Mossman, Santa Clara, CA, 95051

I support net neutrality for the good of society as a whole. Those who govern this land need to look out for all levels of society, and provide access uniformly to all. Like a utility. Maintaining neutrality under Federal control is the only way to look out for all levels of society, including those least able to afford special access or obtain access at all. Look at Japan and many other technologically advanced countries. Speedy internet access is available to all. As it should be.

2436. Magdalena Chavez, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Protect net neutrality, the greatness of this country is our right to choose. Don't take away our right.
2437. Bobby Choudhury, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Net neutrality Is basic requirement of free speech in onlinearena.
2438. Michael Richardson, fremont, CA, 94538  
The Net is a way for the people of the world to combine their voices as one. If you restrict the Internet, you are restricting freedom of speech and showing that money is more powerful than freedom. Please leave us our voice. The Internet is that last bit of true freedom we have in this world.
2439. Daniel A. Smith, Sunntvale, CA, 94086  
Please save net neutrality! I cannot believe we need to be having this argument. Suppose Comcast "owned" the interstate highways & a trucking company. Does it sound fair that other truckers have to pay more to use the HOV lane, considering they have no real alternative?
2440. Edward Danehy, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Net neutrality provides a level field of play for all users. Please maintain that.
2441. Weiyi Li, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Net neutrality is protects the rights of the many vs the power of money of the few and powerful.
2442. Jarod Fahle, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Net neutrality MUST be protected to allow a free internet; for people to express there ideas openly, and for the advancement of mankind. Otherwise it is simply an oligarchy controlling information for profit which is morally wrong and violates the spirit of the Constitution of the United States. Humbly requesting for the FCC to to their duty to their country and honor the intention of the internet and freedom of speech for all. Thank you.
2443. nhan pham, san jose, CA, 95131  
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech if FCC destroys net neutrality is destroys freedom. I was the boat people who escape to find freedom, I do not want to escape my adopted country again because of freedom because FCC destroys net neutrality.
2444. Maryanne Koller, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Please do not roll back net neutrality. We need fair internet access for all content.
2445. Lakshmiv Biruduraju, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Net neutrality ensures that all the data on internet is treated equally. This is a essential ingredient to produce future facebook, googles and amazons.
2446. Hannah Follweiler, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Net neutrality is free speech. The internet is an even playing ground for all people.
2447. Cyrus Parvereshi, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please do not take away net neutrality. I don't want a company to take away the special meaning of our facebook meme groups, and instagram and snapchat and stuff, that teens need to express their feelings. If you at the FCC have kids you will see the issue. Heck, even you will understand how much harder your life will become if you take away net neutrality. We don't need Trump's bs to penetrate the general peaceful fabric of the web. PLEASE stop considering such a self-destructive and malevolent measure.
2448. Robert Sorenson, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Net neutrality protects freedom of speech and enables many to communicate freely, to share ideas, opinions, inventions, associations that will lead to untold possibilities. It should be seen as the public green, not a toll road owned by coordinated interests. That would be the opposite of free speech. Keep the net free, and alive.
2449. Kan Ng, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Keep the net neutrality law.
2450. Sean Roe, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Net neutrality has spurred innovation, connected the unconnected, and allowed small groups/people with big ideas to help change the world.
2451. Roger L. Barker, Alviso, CA, 95002  
Give power to the conglomerates? Power corrupts! We see it over and over. Long live net neutrality! Thank You,
2452. Laura McIntosh, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
To the FCC, Net neutrality is essential to allowing small companies to compete in the internet marketplace. If we allow large companies to demand more for access we will prevent small companies from getting a foothold, and we will stifle innovation. Please require the large internet providers to compete so service will evolve. Thank you,

2453. Zhanelle Buccat, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Free speech means nothing if there's censorship.
2454. Emily Doyle, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Cable companies should not direct/influence people's access to websites based on the company's own interests. This practice would curtail freedom of speech and allow such companies to manipulate the internet and its users for the company's own financial gain.
2455. Bertha deLanda, Fremont, CA, 94536  
It's free speech! Just because this current administration thinks it's ok to suppress anything he doesn't like does NOT MEAN you should follow suit! Being stupid and against the constitution isn't a good thing! Leave it alone!!!
2456. Roger Smith, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Dear Mr. Pai, I find this deeply concerning that you are interested in allowing ISP's to be unregulated by the FCC. I understand the desire for unregulated entities to allow for proper competition, which causes the consumer to win. However, we don't live in a perfect market and your actions would not truly allow for a free and open market. Instead, it would cause further degradation of our free market for internet destroy the freedom of new companies and websites to survive. I hope you reverse your decision and rethink a long term strategy to create a true open market for the internet and ISP's rather than such a hasty and rash decision. Sincerely, Roger Smith
2457. Lianna Johnson, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I support a free and open internet. So should you.
2458. John Rechtfertig, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Maintain net neutrality. No one should own the internet.
2459. Geordie Zapalac, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
The open internet may be the final lifeline for democracy in this country. For democracy to function people need real information not propaganda. The mainstream media is so controlled by big corporations that it has become compromised and practically useless - actually worse than useless because of the misinformation and propaganda that it publishes today. Protect net neutrality.
2460. Pardeepinder Singh, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Net neutrality is basic right of people in a democratic country.
2461. patrick sandoval, fremont, CA, 94538  
Keep the internet neutral, stop the big isps from controlling it.
2462. Travis Flora, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I oppose Chairman Pai's plan to roll back net neutrality. Please protect net neutrality.
2463. Wayne C, sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I strongly support net-neutrality and Title II classification of broadband and disagree with the FCC's plan to repeal it. Thank you.
2464. Rita Hertel, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Currently, innovation is declining in this country. Charging more to view data from small companies will hinder new inventions and further slow the economy. Rita Hertel
2465. Anthony Lane, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Frontier Communications; Account Number: 9722422077I purchased an internet plan from Frontier Communications while in Texas. The first bill I received was for the amount owed by the previous tenet but had my account number and her information. They claimed I was responsible for the bill. It took them almost 3 months to rectify the situation. During that time period I called several times and they provided me with her name, her new address, and phone number. This is a direct violation of the law and they didn't seem to care about protecting her or my personal information. When I terminated service, the bill was incorrect. I spent the past 5 months trying to get them to fix the error. Every time I received a collections notice I called the customer service team. They admitted to the mistake and told me it would be taken care of. I found out today that my appeal was denied without Frontier telling me or sending me a notification. I have never been to collections and wasn't able to get any information so I told them I would just pay the bill. Then they charged me a late fee for not paying in a timely fashion and a fee for paying over the phone. I was highly upset and couldn't believe the type of service I received. I will never trust or recommend Frontier to anyone. I don't understand how they are able to operate with these poor standards.
2466. Coleen Hausler, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I support net neutrality. Please do nothing to change the regulations in effect now. I am opposed to let some have priority internet service over others.
2467. George Aoyagi, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I plead with you not to undo the net neutrality as it would throttle access to many of my favorite sites
2468. Sunbir Gill, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I support net neutrality. The Internet is the world's pre-dominant medium for communication. Allowing ISPs to have any ability to constrain, filter or control that medium impacts freedom of speech and is profoundly un-American. Sunbir Gill
2469. Tiffany, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Please keep the current net neutrality. Everyone relies on the internet. The only reason why you want to repeal Title 2 is because of greed. These cable companies are willing to pay you as much as possible to gut out net neutrality. If the current net neutrality is ever repealed, this will effect millions of livelihood. I will guarantee that millions of American will be upset and protest towards the FCC

office. The internet should be free and fair for everyone. The current net neutrality is fine the way it is. Sign TiffanyTiffany

2470. Pradeep Jayaraman, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Paid prioritization of web content is the most anti-competitive measure proposed which will entrench the current businesses into positions of power and prevent startups and small enterprises from competing on an even scale. Overall, this will lead to worse choices for the consumer and an overall stagnation of the economy. In the 21st century and beyond, free and equal access to the web is as vital a resource as public utilities. I hope the FCC will fulfill its duties to the citizens of the country rather than respond with shortsighted and ill-conceived policies.
2471. Jeroen Dhollander, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Without strong net neutrality, internet providers will be able to selectively block services/websites, and force new start-ups to pay exuberant amounts of money to be able to compete with the internet provider's own services (because if they don't, they are put in the slow lane). This will stifle innovation, and be a net loss for every American citizen.
2472. Ethan Sloan, Fremont, CA, 94538  
As an avid viewer and lover of memes, net neutrality is the only thing keeping my memes, and therefore me, alive. DO NOT GET RID OF MEMES. Ethan Sloan
2473. Kathleen Nix, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Stop being anti-consumer and keep the net neutral.
2474. Wayne Amacher, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I am a telephony engineer. The Internet was never meant to be controlled or throttled. The only it needs is official domain registrars. After that routers take over and determine the best route for messages! There is no central control point by design. Allowing any companies to have special privileges will halt future progress. Left to its own competitive processes the Internet will grow to accommodate all the traffic necessary now and in the far future! The Internet is robust! Companies wanting to throttle it are only looking to their own greedy self interests. The Internet is wonderful invention. Please leave it alone! It is not even close to its full potential yet!
2475. Patricia Cachopo, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Keep net neutrality and open internet. Do NOT change it.
2476. Kim Messmer, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
We need an open internet that is classified like telephone service and electric service. It should be like a utility.,
2477. Tamie Cox, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
What is seen on the internet should not be left up to companies trying to increase their profit margin this isn't free enterprise but away to limit our freedoms
2478. Fran Salisbury, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I join thousands of Americans advocating the protection of the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality) which is the 'first amendment of the internet' designed to treat everyone's data equally. We cannot allow Chairman Pai, an erstwhile Verizon lawyer, to exploit millions of users for the benefit of mega telecommunication corporations.
2479. Scott Barlow, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Keep the internet protected and regulated under article 2. That's really all you need to do. So, do it. Keep it under article 2. It's what's best for everyone.,
2480. Christian Klingner, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please keep the existing internet neutrality rules in place to ensure equal access to everyone. Thank you!
2481. Kirk Steyer, San Jose, CA, 95133  
As a small business start-up, I would like to be able to have the same advantages as those that came before me, in the ability to succeed.
2482. Ashok Bommiseti, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I strongly oppose FCC's proposal to reverse net neutrality protections because a free and open internet is vital for our democracy, for our businesses, and for our daily lives. It would give giant internet companies the power to prioritize what we read, watch, and explore online. To stay as a true leader in technology, we must endorse net neutrality.
2483. Bradford Carl Smith, Alviso, CA, 95002  
Maintaining net neutrality is essential to keeping the internet a level playing field for businesses and the sharing of ideas. Internet service providers must not be allowed to show favoritism for or against websites or content types. It is the job of government to provide the oversight to make guarantee this.
2484. Bill Lock, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
A few compelling reasons to maintain open internet regulations on ISP's. (1) The internet is currently and increasingly used to carry all forms of electronic communications including telephony and television. These are already classified and regulated as common carriers, so it would only make sense that the carrier of the common carriers be classified and regulated as a common carrier itself. (2) At some point in the future, it's highly likely that almost all forms of application-specific bandwidth will be subsumed by the internet. This will effectively make the internet the ultimate common carrier. (3) ISP's are rapidly adding content to their portfolios to maintain a value proposition as networking increasingly becomes a commodity. This is an explicit and inherent conflict of interest for ISP's as they would be motivated to favor their own content and services over competitors. (4) The internet is no longer a luxury for the majority of households. It is critical for work, finance, school, communications, retail and almost everything else. It has become an essential part of

our infrastructure as much as electricity and water and deserves sufficient oversight to ensure its security.(5) Allowing ISP's to discriminate between content providers and/or consumers will act as a barrier to creation of new services. It may also exacerbate the digital divide as additional costs trickle down and possibly prevent those less fortunate from accessing services.

2485. Mark Flores , Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Nwt neutrality is very important. ISPs shouldn't have power to control the internet Mark Flores
2486. Emily Langdon-Lassagne, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Having the internet remain a public utility protected under Title II is very important to me. Internet Service Providers should not have the ability to throttle websites at their discretion, because when you prevent smaller up-and-coming websites from having a fast, accessible platform, it's the beautiful creativity of the world you're throttling. Please protect the Net Neutrality. ~Emily
2487. Barry Langdon-Lassagne, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I want to emphasize the importance of equal access to the Internet for everyone, not just content, but the ease and speed at which users can access that content. Do not let Internet service providers give preferred access to any web content.
2488. Megan Penland, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I am in strong support of keeping Net Neutrality. Keep the internet free for all.
2489. Carlos Cesena, Fremont, CA, 94536  
Get the government out of the business of the people, out of censorship, out of regulating the smallest details in the lives of people, out of banking, out of the indoctrination business, out of insurance, out of healthcare, out of meddling with God given rights, like speech, religion, self-defense, machination, privacy, freedom to use the marketplace. The government's job is to provide national security and defense, not to pick winners and losers to punish or provide for. There is little the government is supposed to do that it is doing, a lot it's supposed to that it's not and a lot that it has no business doing that it is. The people have to separate out that which should be the job of the church and charity and lock the government out so that it stops adulterating the responsibility of the church and the state and making government a forced religion. That was the reason we had a revolution in the first place.
2490. Jeanine Lee, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I strongly urge the FCC to protect net neutrality. Not one single citizen would wish to have a broken, throttled internet forced upon them for the sake of increased profits of major corporations that brings no additional benefits for the extra charges/sacrifice imposed upon the people. Thank you.
2491. Christine Klukinova, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. I don't want to live in a digital dystopia where large corporations have killed the open internet. Please don't let ISP's screw everyone over.
2492. Emily, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I like free speech
2493. Joshua Click, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
How badly do you want broadband to become obsolete, FCC?
2494. Zakkary Verrilli, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
To take away Net Neutrality would be to derail my dreams for the future. As a web based entrepreneur with no net neutrality laws I will have no chance at success.
2495. Thorisa Yap, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Your Honors Net neutrality Must be Protected and thank you so much for reading my Message.
2496. Amarnath Ramakrishna, Fremont, CA, 94536  
The Government's primary duty is to ensure a level playing field for all its citizenry. Regardless if they are big or small. Net Neutrality falls into that Core tenet. Net Neutrality needs to be protected at all cost.
2497. Herbert Barchie, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
There is only one choice for cable here. I don't want more control over us by handing over the net to big cable companies. The internet should be equal for all of us.
2498. Derek, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
This is the USA. Freedom for all, including internet!!
2499. Brian Barbe, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and do NOT put control into the hands of ISPs who have their own interests. Protect free speech and innovators from ISPs, do not allow ISPs to choose what content they want to deliver or throttle.
2500. Bryan Lennon, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I have been a Comcast customer of since I moved into my house 25 years ago. Currently, and for many year,s I uses their cable, phone and internet services. I pay them a lot of money every month, they are expensive. Their service is terrible. There is a reason they have one of the lowest customer ratings of any company. I do not trust them now and I certainly would not trust them with the power to control bandwidth on the internet.
2501. Rodman D. Abrahams, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
It is the best interest of consumers for a regulated and fair distribution of content on the Internet to exist. In the past we a a society have

chose to regulate utilities until such a time as the standards and competitive market can assume control via the marketplace. I encourage you to keep net neutrality in place.

2502. Anu Krishna, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Without net neutrality, the internet service providers can favor some services more than others. This will provide an unfair advantage to some services and influence the decision of consumers. Please don't let this happen. Internet should stay neutral and provide equal importance to all the services.
2503. Cameron Spitzer, San Jose, CA, 95131  
The big Internet service companies have already shown they will make it harder to reach information sources that don't favor them. They'll make it harder to see their future competition. Our economy works better than most because of robust competition. Net neutrality preserves that competition.
2504. Sanga, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Net neutrality always protects small businesses and innovators. Net neutrality allows consumers to choose what they watch & do online where as big companies may restrict the choices.
2505. Tom, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Do you have to tax everything ? Find some other ways to find the money you need, like maybe the NFL, oil company's the Republicans....
2506. Adel , Fremont, CA, 94538  
Enough with the BS already!
2507. dave, sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Please keep net neutrality to keep our economy strong.
2508. evillarr, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Net neutrality nothing is nothing short of two main American principles..which are freedom and a market based economy. Anyone against the basic logic of net neutrality is either working for one of the big companies the provide net connectivity or are drinking something other then kool-aid....elections can't come soon enough.
2509. Dmitriy Gertsman, San Jose, CA, 95131  
It is my opinion - as a US citizen and a taxpayer - that net neutrality is essential for fair competition in the internet, for small new entrants being able to take on established large companies - in other words to have a real market economy. Without it, consumers will end up paying more for less, our industry will be less competitive and freedom of speech will be jeopardized with few big companies controlling what gets delivered to their customers and what doesn't.
2510. Nathan Papadopoulos, San Jose, CA, 95134  
The original idea of the internet was enabling equal access to information and I believe that net neutrality supports this concept. The internet is also the communication medium of today and should not be throttle or limited in any manner. Net neutrality must be protected in that it is directly related to the constitutional right of free speech.
2511. Shreeya Gad, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
We must protect net neutrality because the internet is rapidly becoming (if it hasn't already) the world's number one source of information. If we allow internet service providers to manipulate our access to specific websites, they have the power to control what the public sees. This tarnishes our right to free speech because our very opinions are being molded by a handful of corporations. They can degrade the internet from an open forum to a man-made maze infested with corporate greed. Protecting net neutrality will allow for transparency between the citizens of the U.S. and the outside world, preventing the spread of unmeaning ignorance among the population. Do understand that open internet is essential to the political and social awareness of our generation and generations to come; do not destroy what has the potential to be great.
2512. Walker Pennington, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
This shit AGAIN? Are you fucking three??
2513. Madeline Martinez Rivas, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Dear Mr. Pai:I write to you to express my concerns over the intention of rolling back net neutrality rules. As a pharmacologist and educator, I believe that changes to current consumer protections and their enforcement would harm scientific research and STEM education in the US. There is no way to meet the challenges of the 21st century without increasing access to STEM education and research. Privileging content and level of access to internet resources would harm educational efforts in rural and underserved communities by limiting the quality and accuracy of STEM content they could access. In a similar manner, local and regional research are necessary to meet the diverse array of environmental, economic, and health challenges of our nation. Limiting their access to the rest of the scientific community and its tools would hamper developments in public health, technology, climate change adaptations, and energy independence, among many others. Facing our current challenges requires equal access to internet resources. Please keep the rules in place. Sincerely, Madeline Martinez Rivas, Ph.D
2514. Daniel M. Dobkin, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Most of us have only one or two providers to use for an indispensable resource. They are a monopoly and must be regulated as one. What would have happened if the old AT&T had controlled what you could say in a phone call?
2515. Douglas Wood, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Don't let the monetization of content and bandwidth stifle the innovation and open communication needed for America's future!

2516. Ashish Agnihotri, Fremont, CA, 94539  
The internet is what it is today because it is the 'free' . Cannot imagine someone watching over and dictating what I do with my time online. SCARY!!
2517. Jeffrey Niederauer, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I helped in the early days of the build-out of the net with pulling wire and educating volunteers to get our schools online. I did not work to build this infrastructure so someone else could take over and profit at my expense, stifle small businesses and censor dissenting ideologies.
2518. Mark Thompson, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Large companies have shown by their past actions that they can't be trusted not to promote their own interests over the consumer. Our computer industry is based on small start ups who grow. They won't be able to grow if companies limit their ability to do so. Facebook and YouTube already have numerous predatory business practices that take advantage of content creators. Please leave the current laws in place.
2519. Stevan, San Jose, CA, 95129  
This is part of our freedom!
2520. Brad Johnson, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Stop! Back away from the internet.
2521. Farzaneh Tondnevis, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Please don't give the power to big corporations and companies when it comes to free internet. It's one medium for communication that is unbiased and provides a great platform for learning and sharing of ideas and information throughout the world. Please say no to corporations and protect ordinary people.
2522. Sunny, Fremont, CA, 94536  
You really think I don't see through your cunning plan?ISP, just give us good internet, don't take control of it.Learn to provide before taking, and why take? Is our precious money not enough? Want to inflate your ego more?
2523. Shara Carder, Sunnyvale , CA, 94085  
As a second grade teacher, I greatly value an internet withnet neutrality. Because students go to the internet now more than ever to research and learn it is very important that they have access to all different viewpoints and types of information. They should not be limited to the information from the business who pays top dollar to be the first on the list in the drop down menu.
2524. CJ Jones, Newark, CA, 94560  
I believe the individual needs to be in charge of what is viewed and available. For safety reasons with children to personal interests, including hobbies and religion. Net neutrality allows free speech and access to personal and professional needs.
2525. Sharon Liu, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Dear Commissioner(s),I work in product research and development for a small company with less than 50 employees. Unbiased access to the open internet is the bases of competitiveness and quick innovative growth for us. Furthermore, while we are categorically an automotive company, vehicles we support now require WiFi and telecommunication capabilities. This means we would be negatively impacted even more by any preferential access to any aspect of the cloud. Our existing telematics and service features as well as our future product design and development process would both be hampered, and rendered less competitive relative to larger industry players around the world. Therefore, I write to advocate that Net Neutrality be maintained as strongly as possible. Thank you.
2526. Jeff Orr, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Big ISPs continuously call for a free market to provide Internet in, but repeatedly suppress development of municipal broadband and other open systems. The only way the Internet can work is if all access is treated equally.
2527. Adwait Sathye, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Preserve net-neutrality. Any action that seeks to dilute the provision will be interpreted as collusion with private interests to harm the core freedoms and democratic principles of our country.
2528. Ryan Howard, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Unrestricted access to the Internet is essential to democracy and is a human right. Net neutrality protects the Internet from corporations who want to control information, speech, and thought. Please protect net neutrality and keep the Internet free and open.
2529. Brook Nichols, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other

Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.

2530. Debra Doucette, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
FCC - I urge you to please maintain net neutrality. It is vital for the future growth and innovation of America! Everyone should have equal access all across the internet.
2531. Alyson Abramowitz, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me as an American and a business person. I urge you to protect them and leave current legislation alone. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest of us smaller businesses. Small business makes America great. Please defend it. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses, like mine, that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled me and millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!
2532. Vivian Duong, SAN JOSE, CA, 95132  
Chairman Pai may want to seem like he's a funny guy, but this is not a funny matter. The FCC's Open Internet/Net Neutrality rules are extremely important to me. Please protect them. We cannot let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down give some sites an advantage over the others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that can pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. This will kill the open marketplace, especially the millions of small businesses and startups. Under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it difficult to access free speech, especially political speech that they don't like. His plan will make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm looking for help and support from my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Please do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you. Sincerely, Vivian Duong
2533. Jo Kemling, SANTA CLARA, CA, 95051  
5466160298053883
2534. John Schmidt, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
The internet was not designed nor conceived as a commercial for-profit entity. It has operated as an open vehicle for all types of communication by the American people. It is not yours to be sold to individuals or companies that want to control and profit from it at the expense of the rest of us. Had they designed it, nurtured it and spent their own money to expend and promote it then I would agree that they could own it. The internet has belonged to the people for a couple of decades now and this ownership by all of us must continue.
2535. Margaret Okuzumi, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I'm writing in support of Open Internet Rules/network neutrality. It's critically important for our economy and democracy that net neutrality be maintained, rather than concentrating more power and wealth into the hands of monopolies. Please do all you can to stop Chair Pai's plan and preserve net neutrality.
2536. Johnny Klonaris, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Please, please, PLEASE, do the right thing and keep the Internet free and open. If you become weak and succumb to corporate interests, you will be vilified for eternity. Seriously.
2537. Dayle Moore, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Net neutrality is very important. Don't let it go away. We are losing too much to pay to play decisions.
2538. Shiloh Hawley, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I believe net neutrality is extremely important and I urge you to protect it. Thank you.
2539. Marian Bruns, NEWARK, CA, 94560  
Net neutrality must be protected - I urge both the FCC and my members of Congress to deny any changes to the current protections.
2540. Pat Rovegno, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Please protect our Net Neutrality. I can't imagine why the FCC should favor profit-making ISP providers over the needs of consumers. We need to continue fast and free access for EVERYONE. Represent the citizens' needs and priorities!! The proposed changes are outrageous.

2541. Janet Somes, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
In the 21st century, net neutrality plays a key role in free speech and business growth. The internet has become one of the chief public spaces where all people can express their opinions, beliefs, and discoveries. Those starting and growing a business can create a public platform where they can thrive. I am shocked that the issue of net neutrality is even up for debate in a country where free speech is prized as one of our highest values. Moreover, at a time when we most need to encourage new initiatives in business, how can we even consider a move designed to harm the hard-working people stepping up to this challenge? I urge the FCC and Congress to reject this scheme that undermines our country's values. ISPs provide a public service, and they must continue to make that service equally accessible to the whole public.
2542. Glen Anderson, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Maintain a free and open internet. Do not let ISPs dictate the content and speed we get.
2543. Eric Rosen, San Jose, CA, 95131  
No net neutrality = dark ages
2544. Kimberly Smith, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Net neutrality is vital to having a free press. If we abolish net neutrality, the rich will be able to control what news we receive on the internet, and be able to buy our elections to an even greater degree than they do now. We MUST maintain net neutrality!!!!
2545. Christine Cao, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Do this country a damn favor and keep net neutrality. In the midst of everything that is going wrong in this country, do something right for the citizens that live here. Ending net neutrality will hurt small businesses and start ups, and will only favor big companies with money. Not only will ending net neutrality shut businesses down and hurt the work of those that depend on the internet. You were elected to do what is right for the people in this country, do not mess up this country even more. Keep the internet free, do the right thing.
2546. Ratna Patel, Newark, CA, 94560  
I thought America was founded on principles of Freedom. What is happening is completely opposite of it. Dictating what we see and what we watch is a private choice and not a revenue generation tool.
2547. Donald Strandburg, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please do not vote to end net neutrality. It is important to us as free citizens in a free nation.
2548. Joseph Hermann, San Jose, CA, 95133  
Keep Net Neutrality!!!! Companies should not be able to see and control what we do online. In 2015 strong Net Neutrality laws were won from the FCC. Keep those laws intact!
2549. Larry Caroff, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
To me the open internet is equivalent to freedom of speech. Controlling access, speed, content by the media companies is tantamount to controlling speech. I view an open internet as a fundamental right.
2550. Bob Chainey, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
If the FCC does not keep net neutrality start up companies will be formed to run their own fiber optic Internet and lock those companies out they will be the losers in the end losing all their customers
2551. Chad Stammerjohan, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I fully support true net neutrality under Title II. Revert to Title I and I suspect many people will vote the Democratic ticket down the line in '18.
2552. Jamison Bloechl, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
Please protect the FCC's existing Open Internet Order (net neutrality). The internet thrives on being an open and equal exchange of ideas, and preserving this aspect of it is extremely important to me. Removing Title II classification from ISPs will allow them to set preferential rates for their own content or content of their partners, which will smother creativity and make it much more difficult for new content creators to be heard and seen. Please do not let the internet be controlled by the few. I urge you to keep the existing net neutrality rules in place. Thank you! Jamison Bloechl
2553. Lalitha Geddapu, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I am an international student currently in training as Software Engineer at Intel as part of my curriculum. From researching universities to educating myself through online courses a neutral internet has ensured that ISPs do not influence my life decisions. I regularly use Skype to connect to my family back in my home country and I have acquired crucial job skills through Coursera (online learning). This is just the tip of the iceberg of what Internet offers. When I pay for an internet subscription, I only look at the bandwidth they are providing to enable access to everything that the Internet offers - not their cloud/email services. I get my email & cloud services via Google. End of net neutrality would mean I would be forced to see/read/buy what my provider wants me to. It also raises serious concerns to me - What would happen if people are shown only the political propaganda that a wealthy party pays for? Will the outcomes of all future elections be guided just by how much money a candidate can spend? Will markets be influenced only by rich corporations who pay a lot of money or advertising? Will it be end of small home-businesses that rely on social media/blogs to market their goods? You can argue that the current news channels might also be broadcasting paid content - but I can switch the channel by the press of a button if I want to. If my ISP does the same, it would take me days to switch to another.
2554. Jason Nishi, San Jose, CA, 95134  
I strongly oppose any rule that would reverse the protections provided by the Open Internet Order of 2015. Net Neutrality is an important network premise. To oppose it is to allow companies the right to discriminate traffic for reasons that may not be on the up and up. Would you want a big broadband provider to, say, slow any connectivity to the FCC or the government to a crawl unless the

government explicitly backed a policy they put forward? Probably not. That's not the internet. That's censorship in potentia.

2555. Bob Gray, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Please preserve net neutrality. Consumers should have competitive choices.
2556. Brandon Talamini, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
As a concerned citizen, I urge you to do everything in your power to maintain the FCC Open Internet Rules (or net neutrality rules). This issue is one that I care deeply about. I believe eliminating the Open Internet rules would have a serious and negative effect on the citizens of our country, by diminishing our access to information and our ability to communicate freely. Sincerely, Brandon Talamini
2557. Kathryn mussett, San jose, CA, 95129  
This amazing country is free and open largely because of unfettered communication and all our lives are fundamentally enhanced by lack of unnecessary, arbitrary constraints by corporations I insist that Mr Ajit Pai preserve real Net Neutrality and keep Title II in place for broadband internet access.
2558. Robert Means, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
U. S. residents pay more money for less service than residents of European countries. That is the natural result of the monopolization of the telecomm industry in this country. Rolling back net neutrality would simple give them more control of us, our economy, and our government. But, wait, that is what you want, don't you Mr. Pai? I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality rules and keep Title II in place for broadband internet access.
2559. Christine Fuller, Fremont, CA, 94538  
Good afternoon, As you well know, cable companies and phone carriers provide access to the internet. They're telecommunications carriers. They do not (and should not) have the right to censor or slow down my speech and my access to online content. Their job is to carry telecommunications, not determine which messages or content providers get priority. It's not telecommunication triage or an auction house. When I use my broadband service, I decide who I communicate with and what information I transmit. It is vital that the FCC continue to prohibit my internet service provider from interfering with my communications choices. The courts have already told the FCC that to do this. ISPs must remain under Title II. I urge FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality rules and keep Title II in place for broadband internet access. This is vital to our freedom. It is also critical for small businesses across America, as it would be nearly impossible for Mom & Pop shops to compete online with large corporations paying for an online monopoly. Thank you, Christine Fuller 5100 Omar St. Fremont, CA 94538
2560. Kristi Hong, Santa Clara, CA, 95053  
Abolishing net neutrality would literally ruin the internet and bring innovation and grassroots movements to a halt. What are your motivations for removing NN? Money? Preferential treatment from big oligopolies already taking advantage of those who cannot pay for a complete package of phone and internet in the US in 2017? Abolishing NN is not an action for the greater good. It is simply one that generates more money for big business. Please do not abolish net neutrality. The only reason that the US is currently innovative in the digital age is the availability and connectedness of the internet. Take that away and the US will be nothing. Sincerely, Kristi Hong
2561. Nimeshkumar Shah, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Net Neutrality is like freedom for a person.
2562. Tyler , San Jose, CA, 95134  
The Internet is one of the hallmarks of true modern freedom where no color, race, income level, language, age, religion, etc. bars a human being from participating in learning by accessing the same content as his or her peers. The future of the growth and evolution of humanity rests in decentralized developments and infrastructure which will be compromised by centralizing the power to filter access to the internet into ISPs. Please do not allow this to happen!
2563. Ruci Karangutkar, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Companies should not be allowed to dictate how citizens use the Internet. The Internet should be a free place, one left unbiased and unbought. People should be able to engage on the Internet without being swayed by companies and their motivations.
2564. Omar , Newark, CA, 94560  
Companies want to make a quick easy money. We shouldn't pay extra to watch a video or an episode.
2565. Rebecca Toth, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Because freedom of information is a human right.
2566. Himanshu Sharma, San Jose, CA, 95134  
Internet should be same for everyone in all aspects. In last decade this principle has allowed lot of individuals and start ups succeed. If the data on internet is discriminated in any way, It will extremely harmful to growth and progress. Also it will be breeding ground for corruption and monopoly. Its a very common sense decision to protect net neutrality.
2567. Andrew Lee, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Net neutrality is freedom of information. The internet is a utility, not a commodity.
2568. Joanne Hoemberg, Fremont, CA, 94539  
We all use the internet daily and it should be left alone. Don't destroy net neutrality.
2569. Ron Ashkenazi, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
My livelihood comes from Internet content creation. My life would be entirely undone if the Internet undergoes such a drastic change.

2570. Ki Wong, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
FCC: Do you work for the telcos/cable giants, or do you work for us? It's simple as that.
2571. Robinson Edgar, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
As an artist, I depend on freedom of expression as my means of contacting clients and publishing work. Destroying Net Neutrality will bring incredible harm to the voice of the American people and hamper technological and social progress. Small businesses and freelancers (like myself) cannot risk a lower quality internet than what we have. A paycheck for Verizon is not a good enough reason for eliminating the voice of the American public.
2572. Harry Fifield, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
Net Neutrality is vital to a healthy, modern society.
2573. Leo Delaney, Newark, CA, 94560  
Net Neutrality should be a given right. Abolishing Net Neutrality not only screws over the average citizen, but small-scale, and even larger companies as well. Absolutely nothing good can come out of getting rid of Net Neutrality.
2574. Cheyenne Rowlands, Newark, CA, 94560  
I am a creator, and I don't make enough to survive let alone pay for separate channels of internet.
2575. Sam Gonzalez, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Excuse me??? Why is it not?? As someone who needs access to the internet, with limited funds do you know just how ridiculous this is? I am a creator, and post so many things online to SHARE, mostly for FREE. Because I want things to be accessible FOR PEOPLE. There's a reason I don't watch TV anymore. This isn't up for debate. Leave Net Neutrality the hell alone.
2576. , Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
the internet is crucial for me to advertise and receive commissions for my digital artwork. Without it, I would have no way to run my business. Also, I need the internet to communicate with long-distance friends and relatives who don't have access to texting or calling.
2577. Claire Dormody, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
As a student living in a house with other students and friends in the workforce, the variety of websites and things we need to access is pretty broad. And considering the budget of someone who is attending school, it's outrageous to ask us to pay even more for a service we cannot do without.
2578. Mauricio Vargas, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I value having a medium of communication free from the control and manipulation of corporations and service providers at all costs. To me, this is freedom, and this freedom is under threat with the repeal of Net Neutrality.
2579. Constance , Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
"College Blvd
2580. Kimberly Schmidt, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I hate that it's even a question whether we should have FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) or not. Can you pretty pretty please protect them? If net neutrality gets taken away, it'll completely cripple America's freedom of press and spread of information based on what quality internet connection people can afford. Please please please don't let companies put this kind of price tag on us. A lot of people, especially younger people like myself, have grown up using a free internet and couldn't really afford the kind of expense needed to keep up any quality internet connection if this law passes. By letting this law pass you'd be putting just that much more weight on them, on top of the already epidemic problem of student loans and debt, just so large, enterprising companies can line their pockets further and widen the already dangerously large wage gap. This bill will also close opportunities to step into the business world online and create enterprising small businesses, as the passing of this bill will lead to a large addition of start up costs if the average young adult wants any chance of successfully bringing any profitable dreams or ideas to life online. Please make the right decision for the average American, rather than for large businesses. Thanks! -Kim
2581. Mark Matsumoto, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Please listen to the millions of Americans that are urging you not to overturn the FCC's Open Internet Rules. I have not seen a single source that points to a coalition of citizens that want this policy to end. Survey after survey demonstrate the public's support for open internet access. Please allow net neutrality to continue. Thank you for taking the time to read my message. Best regards, Mark
2582. Trevor Lee, Fremont, CA, 94539  
You must preserve the FCC's Open Internet Rules! ISPs cannot be permitted to decide how they discriminate between different channels of lawful content on the internet, by varying the price/speed/bandwidth of access or distribution for select channels of their own choosing. Granting the gatekeepers of internet access this power to curate content channels would grossly distort the space of internet industry and invite widespread abuse of small players in the marketplace. Please protect net neutrality!
2583. Sean Schulte, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Freedom is the basis of our nation. Why should we have any less freedom on the internet.
2584. Shunji Nezu, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
This country is a free land, and this freedom should not be forgotten even dealing with the internet. Other countries like China have already limited their internet access, so why should we, THE country of the free, be treated the same as ones that have a funky hybrid of capitalism and socialism. That combo basically means you got money, you get power. The United States should be a fair and just place to live, breath, and browse without the handcuffs of greed. Internet is a vital part of this world, it's the bonding force for many to connect

and learn. Taking away this is like making people pay for the air we breathe. I do not condone the actions getting made against this country, and net neutrality is a freedom that I would fight to keep.

2585. Devin Gibbs, San Jose, CA, 95131

Please do not revoke net neutrality. Now that I've made my position clear, I'm just going to say, I don't know who will read this letter, but can you please for once just do your job without acting like total retards? Nobody wants net neutrality revoked. Every single time you idiots in congress try, it is met with widespread outrage and action by the general public, and yet here we are again. Please, for the love of god, stop chortling on the dicks of whatever corporations it is that you are currently delivering a reach around, and do your job representing the people of this country for once in your life.

2586. Ad Lam, San Jose, CA, 95133

Net neutrality is extremely important to keeping the United States as a leader in technology. If net neutrality hadn't have been a thing in the past, Ask.com might still be our search engine since other competitors would find it hard to get started and/or noticed. Researchers would have extremely difficult time working if Wikipedia or PubMed were pay-for websites. Keeping the internet neutral and disallowing "fast lanes" is the future and the only way to keep the tech giants in the US.

2587. Anand Das, Cupertino, CA, 95014

The internet has become the most important avenue for the spread of information in this century. Allowing ISPs to control which information their customers can access will only harm the collective intelligence of the American People. Please stand for net neutrality.

2588. Del Gundlach, Fremont, CA, 94539

We've been fighting this battle for literally years now. We're not going to give up net neutrality now, without a serious fight. There's no way we're going to allow the greedy control-freak cable companies to have their way. Who wants an Internet that costs even more than it already does. And one that's controlled by greedy scum like Comcast... who are acknowledged as being one of American's MOST HATED corporations. We need to keep the Internet under Title Two.

2589. Dan , Santa Clara, CA, 95054

if we lose NN, we lose our basic constitutional right of free speech on the internet. don't fuck this up congress. if Chairman Pai gets his way, he'll be more hated than Donald Trump. choose your sides carefully

2590. James Conley, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086

I believe that Net Neutrality is the foundation of a free and innovative Internet. I would encourage the FCC maintain the level playing field that has benefited the public and the ability of smaller companies to compete with larger and better funded companies through their ideas and products rather than their ability.

2591. Rebecca Richardson, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087

I am strongly in favor of net neutrality. I should be able to decide what content I want to see. I should not have to pay a lot for the privilege.

2592. Sean Wince, San Jose, CA, 95132

Please keep the Title II regulations of ISPs because they ensure a free & open internet that spurs innovation and freedom.

2593. 1, San Jose, CA, 95132

Please do not end net neutrality and keep the internet like it is. Because people should not have to pay extra money to visit websites.

2594. Areeb Mehmood, Fremont, CA, 94538

I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight by ISPs. Please preserve the internet freedom of hundreds of millions of citizens across the United States.

2595. Paulette Altmaier, Cupertino, CA, 95014

I am a former Executive Vice President/Vice President at major networking companies - Cisco and Juniper Networks. Two very different issues are being conflated in the debate on net neutrality, and it's really important we separate out the issues - 1) reclassifying ISPs as utilities. 2) regulations that forbid prioritization of traffic. The reclassification of ISPs as utilities - the most draconian regulatory classification - on an industry that has thrived on lack of regulation and innovation, is indefensible, harmful, and a highly political move pushed by a handful of companies, which will drive an enormous degree of 'rent-seeking' and harmful government control, rather than being good for the American public. As far as regulations forbidding traffic prioritization is concerned, to date, competition has been extremely effective at preventing favoritism in traffic handling. Regulation should address actual, not hypothetical, problems, so until and unless a problem arises that harms the consumer, we should let the market work its magic, unfettered by government interference.

2596. David Yeh, San Jose, CA, 95131

ISPs MUST stay in Title II. Title II must not be eliminated, If large ISPs are allowed the option to nickel-and-dime smaller businesses that rely on their connection to the internet, what, are they going to forego additional revenue at no cost out of the kindness of their hearts? What a joke.

2597. Arunkumar Santhanakrishnan, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085

There should not be any cartelization of internet behemoths controlling citizens access to Internet. Net Neutrality should be maintained.

2598. Jason Altekruise, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085

We support strong net neutrality protections with regulation under title II.

2599. M Wong, Santa Clara, CA, 95054

Please keep the internet free. This is such an essential part of our democratic nation. Anything but a free internet would be unpatriotic. I

also support complete oversight of ISPs since they have proven to be untrustworthy in the past and have taken advantage of their power in providing cable and internet service to the American people.

2600. Tyler Lewis, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I really think you're making a mistake by wanting to get rid of net neutrality. My data is equal to other people's now; that's the way it should be. You're going to piss a lot of people off by doing this and the only reason you're going to do it is because you're going to get some corporate kickback from a few ISPs who provide shitty service as it is. There's no such thing as a fast lane; the only thing now is you want to slow down my internet unless I shell out more money. This is unacceptable and pure corporate greed.
2601. George Washburn, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I fundamentally reject the notion that broadband does not meet the definition of telecommunications because consumers do not specify the IP addresses and caching servers they want to connect to when they go to a website. I strongly believe that selecting websites or online services to visit should be considered telecommunications under that definition. To stick to an outdated definition is a thinly veiled ruse to hand power to corporations such as AT&T or Verizon to maximize their profits at the expense of consumers and entrepreneurs. The idea that current net neutrality inhibits innovation is absurd. Maintaining net neutrality is clearly in the public interest and I urge the FCC to do so.
2602. Joshua Fan, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Please keep net neutrality! It allows Internet entrepreneurship.
2603. lucas huggins, San jose, CA, 95035  
I strongly support net neutrality and feel it is corrupt to censor the internet.
2604. internet, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I am not a Robot. (though sometimes I think it would be cool if I was)Thank you for reading this- that means a lot to me. You work for a government organization in what is currently and hopefully will remain one of the most free countries in the world. Do not let us unknowingly invent a new type of government where the interest and influence of corporations affect the policy of the government. I live and work in Silicon Valley, where companies try to abide by one standard: Do No Evil, we would like our federal government agencies to do the same. Thanks!
2605. Internet Freedom, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Internet is a utility, this act is the most un-American, Cold Hearted, and Selfish things that is possible. I thought the United States was the leader in Freedom, but this act is straight out of George Orwell's 1984. Big brother is watching, Big brother is watching, I worry that we are not protected by the government but instead controlled by Comcast.
2606. John, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please preserve Title II and Net Neutrality. In a world where everything is already subjected to price discrimination, please don't leave the flow of information unprotected from influence of those who serve their shareholders rather than the public.
2607. Evan Quon, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2 so small companies can compete on the Internet. A free market with honor could be glorious, but there's no honor today. Flint water. "Opiates aren't addictive," RoundUp poisoning our children. When lying and cheating are standard business practices, we need more regulation not less.
2608. Ashwathi Sreekumar, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Keep our internet free!
2609. Robert, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
internet freedom is a must
2610. jacob thompson, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Please protect the internet by keeping ISPs classified as title II ensuring net neutrality.
2611. Rajesh Rajamani, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
We should not dilute net neutrality rules. Telcos like Verizon, T-Mobile, etc should be treated under Title 2 and not revert back to Title 1.Thanks,
2612. trevor lawless, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Net Neutrality is important to keep the internet equal and free from corporate meddling.
2613. Aswin Chandrakantan, Fremont, CA, 94538  
I oppose the repeal of Net Neutrality.
2614. Chris Markuson, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I wanna watch last week tonight with John Oliver without y'all messing with it
2615. Alexander Wang, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I do not want the Net Neutrality rules rolled back. I want former Chairman Tom Wheeler's rules that classify ISPs as utilities under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 to remain in place.Throttling the network based on services is unfair to companies and to consumers.
2616. Paul Linggi, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I support net neutrality. I know companies invest money and expect returns for that investment, but lets be honest here. They have done

jack shit. It takes how long for them to respond to customer issues? COME ON! Its an excuse to grab money what is seen as a basic utility. I need to apply for a job? Have to do it online. Want to invest in the US economy? Done online (ameritrade, fidelity, schwa, etc etc). Even banking this day and age is done online- I have to explain my troglodyte reasoning just to get a check cut in my name if someone is paying me back. THIS IS A TITLE 2 APPLICATION AND WILL HELP MAKE US RELEVANT FOR NOW AND FOR THE MANY YEARS TO COME. America can be great again, but making sure net neutrality and the freedom of information is the ONLY way to make this work.

2617. Lisa Guinn, Cupertino, CA, 95014

I am writing to urge you to not repeal the 2015 network neutrality rules. I purchase internet access from my ISP strictly for internet access, just as I purchase electricity. I do not use other bundled services such as email, web hosting, or cloud services. I use the Internet as a primary source for news. It also allows me to work from home and avoid 2 hours of commuting each day. I need to be able to trust that the information is not filtered by internet providers and that my access will not be throttled based on the source of content that I access. I rely on the FCC to oversee broadband internet access as the basic information utility infrastructure resource it represents to citizens of the United States. Please strengthen these protections instead of rolling them back.

2618. David reid, Newark, CA, 94560

Net Neutrality is imperative for America to stay America and to keep information easily accessible. America will never forget and never forgive those who work against the will of the people.

2619. Peter Herrmann, San Jose, CA, 95132

Ok FCC, your constituency is the public, not the three big companies. No one in the public is asking for net neutrality to end. What the heck do you think you are doing?

2620. Patrick Wai, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087

Protect net neutrality because equal access to the web is a right every American should have

2621. Joni, Santa Clara, CA, 95051

I believe in net neutrality. All internet traffic needs to be treated equally. Otherwise entrepreneurs do not have a fair chance to reach new customers. Innovation and growth are a key part of America and without net neutrality that is threatened. Do the right thing please and keep the net open.

2622. Srikanth Kannan, Fremont, CA, 94538

Please keep the internet, as we know it, open and a level playing field for small businesses to have a shot.

2623. Andrew M. Zhang, Fremont, CA, 94539

I believe in the first amendment and freedom of speech. The FCC should NOT let profit for ISPs take priority over such a basic human right!

2624. Tina, San Jose, CA, 95127

The net is our one true freedom from big corps. They've taken TV from us, leave us the net.

2625. Michael Morgan, Milpitas, CA, 95035

I hold that the essential nature and value of a level playing field is self-evident. But most of us have no lobbyist to give volume to our voice. Please heed the need of the average (and weakest) voice to be heard over the megaphone of commercial influence. Ever vigilant must we be to resist the self interest of others and ourselves in order to preserve the common interest of all. This I ask with fear of neither hypocrisy nor conflict of interest. Please preserve internet neutrality.

2626. John Oliver, Santa Clara, CA, 95054

I want to start a company and I want to be treated fairly.

2627. Jane Kroll, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086

I support net neutrality. We need freedom of speech and not have big companies control what we do on line.

2628. Allison, San Jose, CA, 95131

A loss of net neutrality would be a major loss of personal freedom and equal access to information for ALL.

2629. Michael Landers, Santa Clara, CA, 95050

I am entering a second comment because it has been made clear that the chairman of the FCC want these comment to reflect actual harm one way or the other. I am in favor of maintaining title II classification of broadband providers because prior to the classification and regulation I had immense trouble streaming netflix. I came to learn through investigative journalism that this was because at the border of my ISP and another my ISP refused to hook up more connection between the two companies routers. This would literally have cost in materials a few hundred dollars but my ISP was attempting to use this to extort money from the other ISP at the expense of me their paying customer. Deregulation only works if there is sufficient competition and if the companies in direct competition are not allowed to collude. pick one or the other but you can have zero or close to zero competition as we have today and no regulation as is proposed. I am appalled by how in the pocket this chairman of the FCC appears to be. Grow a spine and stop betraying the best interests of the American people whom you are supposed to serve.

2630. Mary Pea, San Jose, CA, 95131

Keep Title II and retain net neutrality. Removing it is not in the best interest of consumers, small businesses, and those with poor quality or no access to the Internet. Only huge content providers will benefit.

2631. William Johnston, San Jose, CA, 95134

At this point Internet access is necessary and an unalienable right. Allowing companies to dictate which lanes of internet traffic should be prioritized should not be allowable. The government needs to insure the right of American citizens to make all traffic neutral. The vast majority of Americans only have 1 or 2 internet service provider offerings. The cost of basic internet browsing will increase dramatically. It is responsibility of commission to ensure that American's have free and unblocked access to the internet. Do not remove Title II.

2632. Dimitri Petropolis, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I want Net Neutrality to be enforced forever. The free and open internet is what birthed all the amazing technology that we use everyday. Limiting access to only companies who can afford it will stifle innovation and increase costs for the consumer. WHICH YOU ARE SUPPOSED TO BE PROTECTING, NOT BIG CORPORATIONS.
2633. Dylan Severin, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Don't kill net neutrality
2634. Rakesh B, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I'm against the changes you're planning to do. Keep the rules that were put in place by earlier FCC board led by Wheeler. By changing the rule, you may fatten your wallets or egos. But you'll be doing a lasting damage to innovation in this country -- the country -- I guess you also love. So please stop it.
2635. Gagan Hothi, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Net Neutrality is the cornerstone of Free Speech in the New Century. Don't kill it. Don't kill our rights. Don't kill the future.
2636. Sean Carty, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Harming net neutrality harms our society. I support net neutrality and oppose any measure which seeks to harm it.
2637. Robert Fox, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Removal of net neutrality is one of the worst things that could happen to almost all modern businesses except giant corporations. Small businesses would no longer have a way to create their own websites, and website creator services such as Wix would completely be killed.
2638. Estephanie Rodriguez, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
I support net neutrality. Please, as the FCC, protect consumers from corporate greed. We must continue Net Neutrality.
2639. Connor Paull, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
The proposed changes to net neutrality are an egregious affront to the ideals of liberty on which our country is based on. In addition to that, the changes are very threatening and dangerous to hundreds of thousands of businesses, and many millions of jobs, who rely on a fair internet as an integral part of their business. This move would be anti consumer, anti business, and allow for egregious violations of our constitutionally guaranteed freedom of speech. Please DO NOT go through with the proposed changes to Net Neutrality. It is vitally important that the internet remain fair and neutral for everyone, which requires it be treated as a utility just as phone, water, and electricity service are.
2640. nick, San jose, CA, 95134  
Whatever - destroy the internet. Ignore the will of the people and just listen to the people who appointed you. Further separate our country of the haves and have nots. Fulfill their agendas with your blind faith in free market and corporate loyalty. See if I care. Morons.
2641. Brendon Whateley, Fremont, CA, 94539  
As a startup founder whose product promotes employment across the country, but depends on unrestricted access to the internet, I'm afraid that allowing the controllers of the last mile connectivity to the web will have a negative impact on our business and on our customers. Repeatedly ISPs have demonstrated a willingness to disrupt protocols and web access as a way of extracting money from companies that depend on their clients from being able to access their services. Given that we pay for access to the internet and our customers pay for access to the web, allowing the ISPs to act as gate-keepers who will now be legally able to decide what services can be accessed by whom, you will be subverting the free market. This will reduce opportunity, hamper job growth and frustrate users whom will experience a limited version of the internet with no choice to bypass their ISP and no legal power to force the ISP to pass traffic they desire. Granting ISPs monopolistic powers over users access to the internet including allowing them to utilize private customer web interactions as a revenue source will cause considerable harm to the internet in the USA and do long term damage to the economy.
2642. Terry Newman, San Jose, CA, 95054  
Please preserve STRONG Title II Net Neutrality rules. I rely on unthrottled internet access free of interference and meddling by ISPs for my home business. Paid prioritization is not required if the ISPs used the government funds they gathered for infrastructure development to actually improve their stagnant infrastructure. I'd highly recommend going further to last-mile unbundling to aid competition.
2643. Daniel Simon, Santa Clara, CA, 95054  
I'm writing to express my support for net neutrality. Net neutrality is essential for maintaining freedom of speech on the Internet and for small businesses to compete fairly against large corporations. Abolishing net neutrality will have severe impacts on freedom of speech, the economy and the functioning of the Internet.
2644. Ryan Mulligan, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
The FCC does not hold the public's best interest in seeking to reverse the Title II classification on internet providers. I urge the FCC to leave the Title II classification in place.
2645. Catherine Chang, San Jose, CA, 95129

Net neutrality is crucial to a vibrant and equal-opportunity internet, and does not dissuade internet providers from investing in their infrastructure. We should not let a few big ISPs' short-term profit motives override the greater good that net neutrality provides for American businesses and consumers alike.

2646. Danielle Hochstetter, sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I do not think internet companies should lose their Title II classification. Other countries are recognizing that internet access is a basic human right and that without it, this day in age, a person is missing out on valuable skills. We should not be restricting access to high-speed internet by creating tiers and funneling consumers to certain websites.
2647. David Friedman, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I am OPPOSED to the FCC's present initiative to undo the progress made during previous Chairman Wheeler's tenure. The United States continues to lag behind many other developed nations when it comes to developing our telecommunications infrastructure. Despite claims made by a former Verizon executive who is presently Chairman of the FCC, the levels of competition in this industry are poor and the levels of popular dissatisfaction with the service providers remain at extremely high levels. The lack of meaningful choice presently available in much of the United States prevents consumers from acting upon their dissatisfaction in a meaningful way. Even living in a fairly large and technology-driven city, many of us do not have good access to significant choices in broadband providers without incurring substantial costs. It is very likely, as has already been demonstrated, that the present telecommunications oligopolists will use the market power granted by weakened Net Neutrality rules for their own commercial advantage and against those of the consuming public. This threat extends beyond entertainment and into the general public's ability to access non-mainstream services without concerns of being slowed or disconnected from the things we want to access. Understanding broadband to represent access to a full range of services which includes the email and cloud storage I need to do my job, video streaming helpful for teleconferencing as well as entertainment, and general internet access to allow me to access highly specialized information from companies and individuals that either cannot or will not tolerate substantially greater costs to provide their content. Prior to the present Commissioner's statements, I had believed that the FCC was responsible for protecting consumers from overreaches from the telecommunications industry, be they financial, privacy invasions, or otherwise. Should the FCC be committed to abandoning its roles in consumer protection for spectrum assignments and whatever other low profile work that still can be performed under this shrinking policy, I hope that it will advocate a transfer of resources, budget, and experienced personnel to the FTC or other agency that will now be required to fill the duties that the FCC is abandoning.
2648. Rob Lister, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I am opposed to any change to the existing net neutrality regulatory framework. I believe that dismantling net neutrality will inevitably have negative effects. In effect, bandwidth will become available to the highest bidder: since total bandwidth is a zero-sum game, if one party gets priority, someone else is disadvantaged. The disadvantaged will be private individuals, non-profits, educational and public access in favor of large corporations and media, reducing the positive impact of the internet on society. As a tool of democracy, access to the internet should be a level playing field for all.
2649. Han Cheng, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Please keep and support further net neutrality rules. It will be an undisputed step backward for America and the internet in general to roll back these rules. Net neutrality has no sound argument against. It is my sincerest hope the FCC will look out for the best interests of the nation and freedom of information.
2650. Colin Kelly, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I fully support Net Neutrality and keeping the Internet's Title II status. Undoing this is anti-consumer
2651. Michael de Leon, Fremont, CA, 94536  
The people of the United States of America demand, including myself, that no company will be allowed to throttle or decide data and content of free information traveling through our internet infrastructure. It just makes sense, and any attempt to remove these rules and controls does not serve this demand by the masses, but rather those who want to turn profit or control that information for content and meaning, while leaving others unable to engage in dialogue. This I firmly believe. And it is your duty to enforce what the majority wants, not what elite, money-blinded corporations and cronies want.
2652. Arjun Srinivasan, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
Protecting free and equal access to the internet is what keeps innovation and growth going. Allowing weakening of these protections is a massive blow to this engine of economic growth and progress. ISPs should not be able to determine access to certain sites or different speeds for users, because this is backbone of a fair and free internet.
2653. Justin Gwerder, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
FCC, Even here in the center of the Silicon Valley there isn't a lot of internet provider options. I am personally stuck with Comcast. Through Comcast I purchase /only/ internet access, despite their efforts to coerce me in to buying their other "services" via mailings and unsolicited phone-calls. I use Comcast's connection almost strictly for the physical connection. I pay for E-mail service from a company on one coast, use the web-hosting of a company on another coast, and even use DNS from a third company in the middle. This does not count the various other services and technologies that are /not/ Comcast that I pay for over the internet. Please read the above as "U.S. jobs are being created and sustained through my use of Comcast as a Title II telecommunications service". To me, Comcast runs the wires that go to my house and connect my network node to other networked nodes. Through the wires that run to my house I help spread my wealth over a larger economy. Any sort of oversight of these wires that run to my house which isn't otherwise open for comment, open for audit, open for periodic change, and encouraged to get academic + industry response would likely be considered a farce. Thank you for your consideration :)
2654. Joseph C. Wolf, Newark, CA, 94560  
I am in full support of net neutrality. Our future is dependent upon the free exchange of information and ideas. Corporate greed should not get in the way of our next cultural leap forward. Net neutrality is the key to saving us from ourselves.

2655. Kristin Crosier, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I strongly disagree with FCC Chairman Pai's proposal to cut back, undo, or remove the rules in place to protect net neutrality. This proposal would not only undoubtedly allow large corporations to control the speed at which sites load or videos stream, but also open the pathway for obstructing free speech, religious preferences, political leanings, and other important human rights that make America such a great country. This issue may seem to be about network upgrades or whatever other excuses that the proponents of reversing the regulations argue. Yet there is a much broader and more significant issue at play: in a greed-filled industry monopolized by extremely large companies, the government has a responsibility to protect its citizens. As government officials, you represent the desires and demands of the people of this country - not corporations. We the citizens deserve the right to access any and all web content without internet providers imposing limitations on those rights. Curbing or wholly eliminating current restrictions on Internet providers would demonstrate that you care less about the people of this country than the wily corporations that are already far too large and have the resources to deflect whatever criticisms or legal ramifications come their way. I strongly urge you to reassess the value of net neutrality regulations and reconsider the Chairman's position. Thank you for your time.
2656. Steven Toth, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
Ensuring equal, fair and unhindered access for all services should be the goal of the FCC. Ensuring that network traffic is not shaped by the providers who transit the data is imperative to this goal. There is no reason that my ISP should be able to prioritize traffic based on anything other than the desires of its paying customers, who pay for their internet connection. As a software engineer I have based my entire career on the ability to distribute my work over the internet. The Web, internet video TV, voice calling, and other services have not only become possible but have thrived in this environment where traffic is not limited. By shaping the communications of people you are also shaping their lives and as Americans we value the freedom to choose how this happens. Give that choice to the masses of people, not to the few ISPs.
2657. David Ranney, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
Net neutrality keeps the internet free from interference by corporations who would crush competition. If you remove net neutrality it will become much more difficult for new startups to gain attention and catch the public eye. That will drastically curtail innovation. Please do not end net neutrality.
2658. Save Net Neutrality, San Jose, CA, 95131  
HelloChairmanPai.Ibelieveyourproposaltoweakenexistingnetneutralityrules,especiallyunderTitleIIisunacceptable.Itwouldweakencompetition,
2659. arrielle mali, sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
please keep the internet free and equal for everyone. it should not be based on how much money a person or a company has to stay connected with family/friends or doing your work. net neutrality for all.
2660. Looja Tuladhar, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I would like to express support for Net Neutrality legislation that ensures that traffic from any sources cannot be discriminated against by service providers. Allowing ISPs to tier content types, or providers will lead to a subpar experience for the users and give them too much power to squash competing content producers given that they also have their own content that they try to market.
2661. Benjamin Button, Santa Clara, CA, 95053  
The internet cannot be left open to be regulated by the market. The infrastructure to control it and the difficulty involved with establishing and maintaining that infrastructure make it easy for any company with existing infrastructure to monopolize the traffic on their lines, or in the case of America, oligopolize them. None of the current ISPs in America even attempt to compete with each other's business. It is the duty of the government to regulate this market so that the freedom of information that is enabled by the internet can persist. It is something guaranteed by the right to freedom of speech.
2662. Oliver Bollmann, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
I strongly urge the commission to maintain Title II oversight of the telecommunication companies providing internet services. In this information economy, being provided with unrestricted and unfettered internet is vital to both our country and to me personally. As an entrepreneur who deals with large volumes of data, it is crucial that my connections through the internet to the various services I require for my business forever flow without impediment to, through, and between them. Speed, data, and other restrictions brought on suddenly by an internet service provider would harm my business. In addition, an internet service provider favoring a particular service would impinge on my freedom to do business as I desire. Level playing fields benefit everyone, including the internet service providers. Again, I urge the commission to leave Title II oversight in place. Thank you.
2663. Cynthia Gregory, Sunnyvale, CA, 94089  
We need and deserve protections for the internet as we have come to know it. An open internet is the basic communications network for everyday life. It gives us access to innovative services online, free speech, education, a free market for starting businesses and creating jobs, community organizing, and civic engagement. I strongly support net neutrality. Treat the internet as a utility, and ensure everybody in the US has access to the internet.
2664. Peter Rutenbar, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I generally oppose this proposal. In particular, repealing Title II rules and classifying broadband as an information service will have the effect of worsening the performance and value of American internet services. I agree with the general principle that regulation for ambiguous reasons is bad, and that free market competition generally improves service. However, this proposal only provides an avenue for gouging customers and degrading service, and the landscape of backbone and large ISPs lacks enough competition to restrain this. Consider focusing on discouraging monopolies (e.g. shrinking number of American homes with access to only one cable-speed broadband ISP), and then revisit this issue when there's robust competition.
2665. Andrew Wright, Fremont, CA, 94536  
Please retain net neutrality as it protects new startups and mom&pop shops continue to innovate and disrupt as is needed to move the world forward.

2666. Jimmy Tran, San Jose, CA, 95131  
Please protect Net Neutrality and keep American Internet FREE, like it should be!
2667. Eric Harmon, Fremont, CA, 94539  
The deception bellied by the FCC has been pathetic. We know you are trying to deceive us. Do not rescind Title II.
2668. Evan Gray, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on the ISP's opinion of what was lawful or permissible, and were only stopped from doing this by the force of law. I do not trust ISP's or any other private interest with my access to whatever content on the internet I deem to be important to me. Please do not allow these companies to mishandle services that are vital to the daily lives of Americans. Thanks for reading my comments.
2669. Davis Sprague, San Jose, CA, 95133  
I am writing to submit my concerns about the removal of Title II classification for Internet Service Providers. There are a myriad of reasons why removing this classification is a bad thing, not the least of which is many ISP's track record of awful treatment of consumers. It is quite clear that consumers favor leaving this classification in place, and as a consumer protection agency, the FCC should certainly weigh that more than the wants and desires of mega corporations (regardless of whatever promises have been made by said corporations to individuals in the FCC).
2670. Chris Friesen, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
The FCC needs to treat Internet access as a utility and continue to enact rules to regulate it as a open service without discrimination. Corporations have shown time and again that they will throttle and block content at their own whim, to leverage their own interested instead of their customers, which also blocks innovation and disruption from new businesses. Corporations cannot be trusted to keep our interests at heart when they are beholden to the almighty dollar. The FCC needs to provide rules to keep corporations in check, that keep the internet accessible to all uses.
2671. Wade Kent Smith, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I advocate for net neutrality -- for a free internet and against the ability of ISPs to restrict external traffic in preference for their own internal or affiliated services. All services, whether internal or external to the ISP, should be treated equally in terms of providing limited or unlimited bandwidth/throughput to the users. Thank you for reading my comment.
2672. John, Fremont, CA, 94538  
The Land of the Free, if you can't make your choice. How are you free.
2673. Dolores Ponce, San Jose, CA, 95127  
Net neutrality is needs to be safeguarded. It ensures that everyone has access to information, information that they choose. Big companies have no business deciding for the consumer what sites are permissible. It is also fundamental to free speech. Individual perspectives must not be curtailed. Finally, there should not be inflationary charges that would prohibit low income people from internet use.
2674. Wendy Connolly, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
The internet is a utility and should be treated as such. Allowing private companies to dictate how or when consumers can use the internet limits our individual freedoms, stifles creativity and creates an unfair barrier for small companies and new start-ups. This will open the door for ISPs who also create content to monopolize both markets.
2675. Linnsey Nil, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
The internet is a utility. It is necessary to carry out our daily activities. It has replaced the telephone as the method we use to stay in touch with our friends and family, it is how we conduct business and how we stay up to date on current events and contact our representatives. These are the reason why the telephone was determined to be a utility. So is the internet. If net neutrality ends, and ISPs are allowed to act as gatekeepers it will undermine democracy. We will no longer be able to have unfiltered access to information. We will no longer be allowed to petition our representatives for regress of grievances without knowing our ISPs could monitor or prevent us from doing so. If net neutrality ends, and ISPs are allowed to force competing services out of business, it will be a huge loss for the US economy. The age of American internet innovation will end. The incredible growth and productivity increase internet companies has brought us will die. Millions of jobs will be lost, hundreds of millions will be created elsewhere instead of the USA. Please do not change the current net neutrality protections. They are necessary.
2676. Himanshu Vasishth, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086  
I'm worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any. Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on what they thought was lawful or permissible, and required courts to intervene to stop them. This could once again become possible? Mobile providers can offer unlimited plans that throttle some set of sites and are unlimited only if you are visiting a set of sites approved by them. This would stifle innovation and new businesses.
2677. Ravi Joshi, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
The internet is a utility that should be accessible to all. No party should ever gain preferential access to a resource or have the ability to limit such a resource from the public
2678. Nathan A. Mathew, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Dear FCC, I have enjoyed the benefits of a mostly free and neutral Internet my entire adult life. Recently, protections were put in place to keep it that way. I am calling upon the FCC to keep net neutrality and maintain our Title II protections. I categorically oppose Chairman Ajit Pai's plan, which would hand a few powerful entities the power to control, shape, and warp the Internet to their will via legalized data discrimination. I have extreme reservations concerning the possibility of Internet service providers ceasing to be a simple

neutral access points, but to effectively control what people access. I'm greatly worried about a tiered Internet system where "fast lanes" for certain sites and services. Such a system limits my capabilities online while effectively damaging options for all users. My personal websites will not be on these fast lanes, as well as many other small hobbyists. I'd like to think that the Internet could remain a level playing field, or to use a dated term, reach its full potential as a true "Information Super-highway." Thankfully, the existing rules maintain a level playing field where small businesses, hobbyists, international conglomerates, and governments vie in the exchange of ideas and communication. Rolling back these protections creates an uneven system where the logical end is ISP gatekeepers with veto power over speech, expression, and innovation. That's contrary to the original precepts on which the Internet was built.

2679. Kerry Nieto, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086

All Internet traffic is currently free to run at equal speeds. Current regulations bar ISPs from changing this. This regulation is not a so-called "burden" upon ISPs. These regulations are in place to protect the consumer and the small ISPs from being shaken-down and controlled by large corporations. The government must act in the interest of the individual people, not the corporate interests that are attempting to pay for the favor.

2680. Carlos P., Sunnyvale, CA, 94087

I want reliable access to all the Internet offers at fast speeds, without any limitations or biasing at all from ISPs. I support net neutrality in the strongest possible terms. The network must remain free and ISPs must allow traffic without any preferential treatment whatsoever.

2681. Andrew Cosand, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086

I support the Title II classification of Internet Service Providers as common carriers (commonly referred to as Net Neutrality). ISPs overwhelmingly serve to transmit information, not as content providers. Preserving this classification is critical to protect Americans' rights and economic interests.

2682. Reyes Preciado, Santa Clara, CA, 95054

Leave net neutrality alone! Millions of people want net neutrality and we still do want net neutrality today! Please reject any attack on net neutrality now! Thank you!

2683. Jeffrey Lang, sunnyvale, CA, 94085

It is a shame that I even have to write this comment. Our public institutions should not serve corporate stakeholders, but serve the great people of this country. Having an open internet that does not allow for prioritizing certain types of traffic over others has been what has made the internet flourish and develop. Taking away the free and open access afforded by the current model would be a grave mistake, and our leaders should fight to protect this. Anything less will be considered unacceptable by myself and hundreds of millions of others in this great land. Please do the right thing and do not cave to corporate interests in deciding public policy.

2684. Mary Farrow, Sunnyvale, CA, 94086

Government regulation of ISPs leads to better service for customers. Treating ISPs like utilities keeps all parts of the internet the same and preserves our freedom. The proposed policy change is a big mistake.

2685. Kathryn Besser, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087

Net neutrality affects small businesses like mine. I do not support any efforts to give large companies control of my bandwidth or how Internet users may find or interact with me or my websites. Stop listening to lobbyists and corporations that have disproportionately larger voices than the American people.

2686. Soham Pardeshi, Milpitas, CA, 95035

To end net neutrality is to break the equality that has existed among all internet users since the conception of basic internet protocols; To visualize it simply, one could imagine that cars on a highway represent data over bandwidth. What Internet Service Providers (ISP) hope to do is charge families or businesses who drive more cars on the highway more money per car. While a family with only two cars may have to pay only \$5 per car, an internet business could be charged more than twice as much at \$12 per car for the same service: use of the highway. Why should different people pay different amounts per unit of measurement of the same thing? Should my neighbor have to pay more than me for every loaf of bread just because my neighbor buys bread more often than I do? Obviously not! Imagine how ISPs could take over the digital infrastructure of the nation. Imagine how businesses would be forced to react to the increased costs of bandwidth by displaying more advertisements and capturing/selling more user data (both of which can be manipulated by ISPs) to make up for lost revenue. Imagine how ISPs could branch out into other digital markets and block out their competitors. Realize the variety of dystopian scenarios that most likely will arise from this one-sided act against nearly every consumer. Don't let greedy ISPs abuse capitalism to destroy the fastest growing industry in the country. Please keep network neutrality.

2687. Jason Chan, Fremont, CA, 94538

I am in favor of enforcing or expanding any regulations that ensure the continuation of Net Neutrality. The largest Internet Service Providers (ISPs) have not acted in good faith or in the interest of the American consumer. Most cities do not have vigorous competition between ISPs. Consumers in those cities generally have high monthly bills and poor quality of service for the price (slow transfer speeds, data caps). These large ISPs maintain their monopolies with long and drawn out legal cases to stifle other businesses or even the city itself from establishing a competing ISP. In the rare instances where a competing ISP is able to arrive, these large ISPs somehow are able to lower their billing rates by a substantial amount and offer a higher quality of service. For these reasons I do not trust ISPs from throttling or restricting access to content or services from their business competitors. At every chance these large ISPs have continuously tried to extract more money out of their reluctant customers while offering less. They have the lowest customer satisfaction rates out of any industry in the country and it's clear that they don't care about changing that perception.

2688. Edward Nichols, Milpitas, CA, 95035

I support Net Neutrality. Any effort to infringe upon the rights of the people in regards to net neutrality will be met with economic interference in the form of lost business and boycotts of internet providers. It's bad enough that there is a stranglehold on broadband providers and a complete monopoly is being overlooked, now you want to throttle use and sell personal information.

2689. Scott Evans, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I support Net Neutrality. Let's try and keep the Internet a level playing field as much as we can.
2690. Philip Venton, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
We live in an age where each American's job prospects, family security and daily activities are inherently intertwined with access to, and effective provision of, Internet service. The Internet is, for all practical purposes, a utility and should be protected as such. Full Title-II protection, without caveats or exceptions, is the only practical method for protecting the online rights of Americans and preventing providers from imposing undue burdens and anti-competitive practices upon consumers whose livelihoods depend on fair and unencumbered access. The FCC MUST HAVE Title-II powers to regulate all internet providers, without exception.
2691. Kelvin Tran, Fremont, CA, 94539  
Hello Chairman Pai and other members of the FCC commission. I would like to express my disagreement to the removal or change of the Title II as this would harm not only Consumers, but both the economy and businesses. 1. BusinessesI believe there have been many statements made by Chairman Pai as to how Title II hurts businesses but please look at the research and past evidence to see that this thinking is flawed. When Title II was passed, despite large Broadband providers like Verizon and AT&T stating this would harm their business; we saw that after the fact, their revenue was not affected by the regulation at all. Your argument about infrastructure was also flawed because Verizon themselves told their investors that the regulation would not harm investors not harm their revenue. And this is true. Research into this would show you that they have been making a profit. 2. EconomyThis is just basic economics. When large businesses have control of a market such as the large Broadband providers have; there is no room for smaller businesses to step in. Even more so it will be even more difficult because they have a monopoly over the market. When you only have one ISP in a five Mile radius like so many Americans do, that would be a monopoly. And this isn't just in small rural towns, this is in large populated cities too. But what about other businesses, not just ISPs? In our wonderful, modern age of technology, innovation is what drives us towards the future. And nowadays, access to the internet (especially at a reasonable expense) is invaluable to any business. To be able to reach a wider customer base at a lower cost means the ability to create many markets. If you were to remove restrictions on large ISP providers and allow them to "regulate" themselves, they will do what they do before: force businesses and people to pay for the "fast lanes". If this happens, of course only big businesses like Netflix, Google (YouTube), etc. will be able to afford that. Small businesses will be unable to afford that, shrivel up and die. The result will be a stagnate economy where big businesses control and monopolise everything. No new innovations, no new blood, no stimulated economy. 3. ConsumersIf you really cared about the consumers, I hope you understand that the removal of Title II will harm them. Allowing businesses free reign to do what they want means they will charge consumers whatever they (ISPs) want without anyone to stop them. For example, the above aforementioned situation where you have only one or two ISPs to choose from. What reason do they have to give you the best service, when they know that you have no other choice but to go with them? You can say that they must compete with each other, but do they? One may have better speeds in this area and they know it, so they know they'll capture a larger customer base. This is the case EVERYWHERE in the US. Subpar speeds for an arm and a leg. But stepping aside, let's ask what a business really is. A business is in the means to make money. That's it. Their end goals are to make money and try to make even more money with every decision. What reason would you have to change or innovate when you have no potential competition to worry about? Removing Title II bars smaller ISPs from stepping in and creating competition. Competition breeds innovation, change, and updated infrastructure. But if you are the top dog and you know it; then why change? No one is there to threaten your reign. You have no reason to treat you customers any better when you know they have no one else to buy from or that no one will regulate and punish them for being unfair. Please understand that access to the internet has now become a NEED. A NECESSITY. Something akin to having access to water, shelter, and energy. More truer for our country. Walk anywhere, look around you, even look at yourself. Your day consists of at least 80-90% accessing the internet. It is NEEDED. Whether it is used to look for jobs, communication, to purchase services, or connect socially; it has become an intrinsic and irreplaceable part of our lives. It has become a right of the American citizen to be able to have UNRESTRICTED NEUTRAL access to the internet. And when you remove Title II, you remove the safeguards to protect the American citizen from violations against the rights. No common, average citizen can stand up to a multi billion dollar corporation without the backing and help from their government. The average citizen does not have the knowledge, the time or resources to defend themselves. That is why help from the government is needed. That is why we have the Better business bureau, the FTC, the SEC, etc. because they are there to help our citizens. Members of the FCC, please understand that for you to remove Title II means you are removing what little protection our American citizens have defend themselves against injustices and violations of their right to access a free and fair internet. An internet that in the future will become indistinguishable and indispensable to our everyday lives. Please do not disregard this comment and millions and millions of others as not "substantive". Not everyone has the free time and ability to express their concerns in legal, formal or even lengthy essays. Please also look at the numbers of participants and how it has grown since the last public comment referendum several years ago. Five times the amount of participants, and even if a few or even 10 million are bots, the participation amounts should show you how much people care about this issue. Your advocacy of removing Title II's net neutrality protections can only do harm. Please do not go through with your decision. Thank you,
2692. Miguel Alderete, San Jose, CA, 95132  
As a teacher, I see the need for net neutrality. We need to access and exchange ideas as quick as possible. It is our strength and it has facilitated great change in the USA. Our students need a future that they can be competitive in and not slowed down by isps. I see how frustrated they get when the "internet is slow." Don't hold them back!
2693. Benjamin Stevenson, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
As a resident of Silicon Valley, I know all too well the necessity of having free and unrestricted access to the internet. Without such a thing we would not have Yahoo, Google, or even Apple for which many people in the Bay Area owe their jobs. Without a free internet someone with a dream and a great idea could not be the next revolutionary. We cannot let greed and the desires of monopolies derail one of the truest forms of the free market in our country. Please do not reverse net neutrality and the freedom of the future that comes with it.
2694. Michael Gass, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I strongly support the preservation of existing open internet rules and protections, and I urge the rejection of this proposal to destroy net neutrality.

2695. Matthew Joseph Murray, Santa Clara, CA, 95050

I completely oppose Ajit Pai's plans to revoke net neutrality. You guys will do grave harm to our industry here in Silicon Valley. Nobody wants this to happen except for the ISPs. DO NOT DO THIS.

2696. David C. Navas, San Jose, CA, 95131

I have been making money via the Internet since about 1990 -- before the WWW, before the browser, back when ftp was a delivery mechanism. I, also, was born in Western NY, but instead of going to Harvard where I could learn how to speak at length without saying anything of value, I went to Berkeley and learned how to make things. I've worked at Oracle and Netscape and AOL and Google, and countless startups. I've built and sold my own software, and I've been a contractor. I know enough about the size of this industry not to claim that there is very little of it that I do not know, but I won't be shy when it's clear that I'm talking to someone who knows significantly less. Anyone who believes that this industry would best be served by allowing a decreasing number of regional monopolies to double-charge for delivered content knows significantly less than I do, or they're a political hack. Possibly both. Your proposal indicates that the Internet thrived until 2015, which I agree with. Your proposal also indicates that there was plenty of government action prior to 2015 which attempted to (among other things) restrict monopolies from using their monopolistically-held customers as ransom in backend negotiations. Given that the move in 2015 was a move to preserve the status quo in the face of unfavorable court rulings, that little has actually changed except for the perceptions of those in power. What a surprise. You "seek comment" 110 times: 1. "our proposals and these analyses" A: there is little of any positive quality regarding your proposals and they appear to have been analyzed without consultation with someone who actually knows how the industry works or, worse, by someone with a clear agenda. You are in danger of delivering defeat from the jaws of victory. 2. "Internet service providers offer the "capability for generating, acquiring, storing, transforming, processing, retrieving, utilizing, or making available information via telecommunications." A: Wrong. ISPs used to offer these services, back when they gave you an actual login into an actual machine. For example, netcom. But today's ISPs deliver content from other sources, and deliver your content to other places. They do not store, process, or retrieve, from resources that they own. 4. "More fundamentally, we seek comment on how the Commission should assess whether a broadband provider is "offering" a capability. "A: Common sense should suffice. Does the phone company "offer" support for Apple computers because you can call someone in Apple's support? No, they do not. Comcast does not provide search capabilities because they connect you to people who do. They don't run search. They don't crawl websites. They don't cross-index, they don't calculate page scores. That work is done on Google's computers, and it's done on Microsoft's computers. Comcast does not sell goods to you over the internet because they allow you to communicate to Amazon. Amazon sells you goods. You cannot hold Comcast liable for misdelivery of goods, or incorrect descriptions of those goods. It is not complicated when you come to the correct decision, it's only complicated when you try to justify the stupidity that your whole document reeks of. 3. "how consumers are using broadband Internet access service today" A: We use it precisely for "the transmission, between or among points specified by the user, of information of the user's choosing, without change in the form or content of the information as sent and received" I go to google, I use gmail, I visit social media websites. None of this involves the ISP performing anything other than reliability and the necessary data conversions to enable that communication. I am not alone in the pattern of this behavior. As proof, I offer the market valuation of Google and Amazon vs the market valuation of Comcast and Verizon. Either one of the former is larger than the sum of the latter. The value of the ISPs is in the quality, reliability, and speed of communication. This is obvious to anyone who has been forced to use DSL far from a telco's pos. "Or are we correct that offering Internet access is precisely what makes the service capable of..." No, you're not. The programming of the service is what makes the service valuable. The delivery of access to that service is what makes the ISP valuable. Access is transmission. You torture the language when you consider otherwise. I could go on. Perhaps I should. But from my perspective, you appear to have an axe to grind as you consider the definition of "is" and all the rest of it. What does it take to build a service? What does it take to transmit requests and responses? This is something that anyone with a year of undergraduate studies should be able to explain. The fact that you appear not to have understood this is astonishing. The ethernet cable is not the computer. Computers do work. Ethernet cables deliver that work to you. This should not be that hard to understand.

2697. David C. Navas, San Jose, CA, 95131

I have been making money via the Internet since about 1990 -- before the WWW, before the browser, back when ftp was a delivery mechanism. I, also, was born in Western NY, but instead of going to Harvard where I could learn how to speak at length without saying anything of value, I went to Berkeley and learned how to make things. I've worked at Oracle and Netscape and AOL and Google, and countless startups. I've built and sold my own software, and I've been a contractor. I know enough about the size of this industry not to claim that there is very little of it that I do not know, but I won't be shy when it's clear that I'm talking to someone who knows significantly less. Anyone who believes that this industry would best be served by allowing a decreasing number of regional monopolies to double-charge for delivered content knows significantly less than I do, or they're a political hack. Possibly both. Your proposal indicates that the Internet thrived until 2015, which I agree with. Your proposal also indicates that there was plenty of government action prior to 2015 which attempted to (among other things) restrict monopolies from using their monopolistically-held customers as ransom in backend negotiations. Given that the move in 2015 was a move to preserve the status quo in the face of unfavorable court rulings, that little has actually changed except for the perceptions of those in power. What a surprise. You "seek comment" 110 times: 1. "our proposals and these analyses" A: there is little of any positive quality regarding your proposals and they appear to have been analyzed without consultation with someone who actually knows how the industry works or, worse, by someone with a clear agenda. You are in danger of delivering defeat from the jaws of victory. 2. "Internet service providers offer the "capability for generating, acquiring, storing, transforming, processing, retrieving, utilizing, or making available information via telecommunications." A: Wrong. ISPs used to offer these services, back when they gave you an actual login into an actual machine. For example, netcom. But today's ISPs deliver content from other sources, and deliver your content to other places. They do not store, process, or retrieve, from resources that they own. 3. "More fundamentally, we seek comment on how the Commission should assess whether a broadband provider is "offering" a capability. "A: Common sense should suffice. Does the phone company "offer" support for Apple computers because you can call someone in Apple's support? No, they do not. Comcast does not provide search capabilities because they connect you to people who do. They don't run search. They don't crawl websites. They don't cross-index, they don't calculate page scores. That work is done on Google's computers, and it's done on Microsoft's computers. Comcast does not sell goods to you over the internet because they allow you to communicate to Amazon. Amazon sells you goods. You cannot hold Comcast liable for misdelivery of goods, or incorrect descriptions of those goods. It is not complicated when you come to the correct decision, it's only complicated when you try to justify the stupidity that your whole document reeks of. 4. "how consumers are using broadband Internet access service today" A: We use it precisely for "the transmission, between or among points specified by the user, of information of the user's choosing, without change in the form or content of the information as sent and received"

choosing, without change in the form or content of the information as sent and received" I go to google, I use gmail, I visit social media websites. None of this involves the ISP performing anything other than reliability and the necessary data conversions to enable that communication. I am not alone in the pattern of this behavior. As proof, I offer the market valuation of Google and Amazon vs the market valuation of Comcast and Verizon. Either one of the former is larger than the sum of the latter. The value of the ISPs is in the quality, reliability, and speed of communication. This is obvious to anyone who has been forced to use DSL far from a telco's pos."Or are we correct that offering Internet access is precisely what makes the service capable of..." No, you're not. The programming of the service is what makes the service valuable. The delivery of access to that service is what makes the ISP valuable. Access is transmission. You torture the language when you consider otherwise.I could go on. Perhaps I should. But from my perspective, you appear to have an axe to grind as you consider the definition of "is" and all the rest of it. What does it take to build a service? What does it take to transmit requests and responses? This is something that anyone with a year of undergraduate studies should be able to explain. The fact that you appear not to have understood this is astonishing. The ethernet cable is not the computer. Computers do work. Ethernet cables deliver that work to you. This should not be that hard to understand.

2698. Rich Leggitt, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
Iâ€™m calling on the FCC to stand up for net neutrality and safeguard Title II protections.Please listen to the millions of Internet users who rely on a free and open Internet, and not just the handful of large ISPs who stand to gain from rolling back net neutrality protections.
2699. Kris, Santa clara, CA, 95051  
It is the duty of FCC to protect internet freedom, freedom to treat all internet traffics the same. The FCC should not give away internet traffic freedom to the lobbyists who are pouring money to kill internet freedom. Don't feed their insatiable greeds, to make money over peoples fundamental right to get information from internet.It is like bringing slavery back to this country in the form of curbing basic internet rights.
2700. Abhishek Mishra, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Internet access today is as much a necessity as water or electricity. The providers are also setup in ways very similar to utility companies. There is no competition and the bar to entry into any market is high. Just like other utilities these companies either need to be made for public interest or made to lease out their infrastructure to other companies (like MVNOs in cellular industry)
2701. Donna Jahn, Cupertino, CA, 95014  
I have read with concern the current FCC proposal to withdraw net neutrality from its users. The proposal is fundmentally undemocratic. We have the freedom of expression given to us by our Constitution. For 234 years we have protected that freedom; we should not abandon it now just so some ISPs can profit. The FCC should concern itself with citizens' rights, not the profit of some businesses. It should support net neutrality.
2702. Susan Hinton, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
Throttling back or further privatizing the main communication mechanism for our society, the internet, will constitute another step toward an oligarchy or fascist state. When people are unable to communicate readily, with ease or while feeling safe, they will give up. When they give up, our society might as well just admit that we no longer care about our Constitution or "freedom" or any of those things that are supposedly fundamental to our shared existence. Imagine if, earlier in our county's history, instead of allowing people to send inexpensive letters via the Post Office or make inexpensive phone calls to each other, the government had told companies "go ahead, maximize your profits." With open communication slashed, researchers, individuals, even company managers would not have been able to readily share information or work on deals at a distance. What you are proposing, removing net neutrality, is just simply wrong.
2703. S Shostak, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I am a firm believer of net neutrality. I pay for my internet access with the expectation that I can decide which websites and services I wish to use. Internet providers should not be allowed to control my freedom of choice.
2704. Himali, San Jose, CA, 95132  
I support net neutrality. We need net neutrality to protect internet users and ensure a free, open, and accessible internet.
2705. Alexis Vernon, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
I specifically support strong net neutrality. Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. Having ISPs voluntarily agree to not obstruct or slow consumer access to web content is not enough. I'm looking at you, Ajit Pai.
2706. net neutrality, Milpitas, CA, 95035  
I support strong net neutrality under title 2 oversight of net neutrality.
2707. Srinivas Raman, Fremont, CA, 94539  
I am an internet user who depends on the internet for my education. As such I benefit from internet carriers such as Verizon, Comcast, AT&T being required to abide by the net-neutrality rules. Otherwise their differential charging arrangements would adversely impact educational content which they could slow down giving preference to commercial content and thereby making my access to educational content costlier and slower. I therefore oppose FCC chairman Ajit Pai's attempts to scuttle net neutrality rules.
2708. Robert Kavert, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
As a software engineer in Silicon valley, some of the most innovative technological solutions I've worked on over the years (including machine learning inside educational video games to better teach children fractional math and it's applications) would never be possible without Net Neutrality.
2709. Arjun Ray, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
As a typical American young adult who relies heavily on the internet for some part of virtually every aspect of my life--shopping for

day-to-day materials, getting my news, keeping in contact with people, doing schoolwork and research, and in general conducting my daily business--net neutrality is an extremely important part of my life. I do not want to be forced to choose between a few quickly consolidated entities dominating the online market in any niche, and this is what repealing Net Neutrality laws will result in.

2710. Julian Fields, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I support net neutrality. All packets carried with same priority.
2711. Darrin Adams, San Jose, CA, 95132  
Please make sure we maintain net neutrality
2712. Judy M Ham, Santa Clara, CA, 95051  
To whom it may concern,I stand in protest the FCC's initiative to roll back Title II and end net neutrality. A neutral internet is important to me as it will ensure my searching and research is not swayed by a large corporation .Regards,Judy Ham
2713. Ryan Yin, Sunnyvale, CA, 94087  
I strongly support net neutrality. ISPs should not be allowed to speed up, slow down, or block content.
2714. net neutrality, Fremont, CA, 94538  
It's important that we preserve strong net neutrality and title 2 status.
2715. Dominick Twitty, Sunnyvale, CA, 94085  
I strongly support net neutrality. I strongly oppose allowing internet service providers to charge me different amounts for different web sites or "bundle" different types of traffic into different payment categories. All data on the internet should be treated and charged the same way.
2716. strong net neutrality -oversight of ISP, San Jose, CA, 95131  
We need to have strong Net Neutrality. Do not let ISP to Decide what data can go fast or slow
2717. Alan J Weissberger, Santa Clara, CA, 95050  
Revoking net neutrality would be horrible for Internet users.1. ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible. Subscribers couldn't effectively respond because no entity (esp FCC) regulates the Internet with enforcement actions/powers2. ISPs already have too much control and they have become monopolies with no real competition3. A free and open internet stimulates ISP competition which we don't have now. As a result it prevent unfair pricing practices.4. A free and open internet promotes innovation & drives entrepreneurship5. A free and open internet protects freedom of speech and ideas.6. Net neutrality is essential to have Internet access equality

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used national language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post. <https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9ff0e3ed36a6>.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.