

## Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from AZ District #6

These are the 2,105 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative David Schweikert's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite a bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs> under the proceeding 17-108.

1. Edward Thompson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
As a consumer and a small business owner there is no way that rolling back the net neutrality requirements will help me other than to ultimately increase the price of my internet connection.
2. Marianne Ferrari, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
By ALL means, please follow through and remove Obama's Title II order. I was unconscionable to hobble the internet with Obama's order, most particularly because it would have potentially saddled Americans with a huge new broadband tax. Nothing I can think of would do more than this would have, to diminish broadband investment and stifle innovation. Obama's Title II order would have put American consumers potentially on the hook for huge new costs for internet, because of the new broadband tax. These regulations ended a decades-long bipartisan consensus that the Internet should be regulated through a light touch framework that worked better than anyone could have imagined and made the Internet what it is. For these reasons I urge you to fully repeal the Obama/Wheeler Internet regulations.
3. Kody Linsacum, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Leave the 2015 ruling alone. Just because ISP's haven't acted on throttling speeds or creating a "fast lane" for websites doesn't mean they won't. The 2015 ruling ensures they won't! Don't take away the Bright Line rules. Changing these rules are not in the best interest of the average American
4. Eric Peterson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
This is a blatant corporate sell out, and will strongly hamper the economic and intellectual growth of the nation in favor of lining the pockets of the major telecoms. This will have long lasting and incredibly damaging consequences.
5. Jeffrey Benken, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
Chairman Pai's recent decision to move toward a non-Net-Neutrality position of the FCC is a poor decision for the American people and will result in higher rates, lower competition, and a severe lack of innovation due to content providers having all the power. This is far from a Republican or Democrat issue. In fact, maintaining Net Neutrality will result in fewer regulations as maintaining this single position staves off the inevitable need for future regulation once ISPs begin to abuse their power and gouge customers for every penny they can due to the lack of competition in the retail broadband market.
6. Brian Ackley, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Ensuring equal access and opportunity to and via the Internet is of the utmost importance to our individual and collective success now and in the future. Removing 'net neutrality' protections and/or favoring the interests of large corporations, ISP's in particular, is a huge and catastrophic disservice to our nation. There is no actual broadband competition, few have viable 2nd options let alone 3rd or 4th options if they are unhappy with their ISP's. ISP's have no incentive to improve the quality or speed of their networks and this proposal would only deepen the misery many residential and commercial users feel. Allowing them the ability to conceal the true nature of their fee structure and/or throttle users without clearly indicating when and how that would occur will not foster competition nor improve the level of service.
7. Michael Maliniak, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I am not in favor of this proposal. It is bad for free speech and innovation. It puts the control of the internet into who can pay the most to the telecom companies.
8. Jason Nelson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
The FCC needs to retain Title II regulation of Internet Service Providers to preserve an open, competitive internet. Allowing Internet Service Providers to pick winners and losers in the marketplace will only serve to entrench established content providers and suppress small businesses, entrepreneurs, and other innovators. There are much better ways to incent infrastructure investment that do not fundamentally undermine the qualities that have made the internet the fair, consumer-friendly economic engine that it is today. Internet service providers, both wireless and wireline, should be prohibited from discriminating against or blocking lawful content based on its source or destination. Handing this power,

to what is routinely voted as the worst companies in the country, year after year, the anti-consumer, anti-competition, the ISP oligarchies. Straying from this simple, fundamental principle is a slippery slope into a consumer-unfriendly, hierarchical, pay-to-play morass. Sending us down this path would be one of the greatest mistakes of the information age. Please stay on the right side of history. Thank you for your consideration.

9. Mike Foringer, Phoenix, AZ, 85022

The internet has grown to the incredibly powerful service it is today because it is an open medium. There are no limitations on what we can do with it. This has had us go from simple text based message boards to full on video conferencing with fantastic quality. We have collaborative projects being worked on in real time by people spread all across the globe. This all happened because there are no limits to data, no limits to imagination. What you are proposing to do is allow the Internet Providers to make the decision of what is allowed and what is not allowed. THIS will truly stifle the imagination and innovation that has gotten us this far. Think about this, the Internet providers have not done a great job regulating themselves when they had the chance. Things like FaceTime were not allowed on ATT's network. VPNs were not allowed on Cox Communication's network. Netflix was extremely and arbitrarily hampered on Verizon's network. All three of these products would not be available for consumer use if the providers had their way. Think of how each of those products affects the end users. FaceTime is a fantastic way for loved ones to communicate over distances and allows each side to see the other, making the connection closer than simply a voice coming out of a speaker. VPNs are used heavily for remote workers to access computers and networks at their main offices, providing secure connections. Netflix has brought upon the digital age which has moved us technologically forward in how users obtain entertainment. This industry has exploded in the last 10 years and provided many ways for consumers to find the things they want. If the Internet providers regulate themselves, these could go away again, in favor of the provider's own "solutions". Sure, some people might like their solutions better than the ones currently available, and that is totally fine! What is not fine is the ISP giving themselves preferential treatment for their services. Data is data. It does no matter where it comes from or what it is. 10GB of video data is the same as 10GB of photos, PDF documents, or 3D models. It is all data flowing down a pipe. Look at a straw. It doesn't matter the type of beverage you drink through it, be it soda, water, or juice, it all flows the same way through the straw. What they want to do, and are currently doing, is saying their own data doesn't count. Why does this data not count? Sure, it helps the user to limit data against their cap, but that cap is still artificially put in place by the carrier. The data that "doesn't count" still flows exactly the same through the pipe whether or not the carrier adds it to your quota or not. All that happens is a disadvantage is placed unfairly against any competing services unless they pay, effectively, a ransom to the carrier. Their data is moving exactly the same through the pipe as the carrier's preferred service, but they have to pay more to do so freely (on top of what they already pay the carrier for their own access to the internet). Keep data truly free, treat it all the same, because it IS all the same.

10. Josh Hass, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331

I'd just like to briefly comment on my dislike of this proposal, I personally will not be voting for any representative who votes to pass this bill

11. Nick, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255

I believe it is essential to have open internet if we're to continue progressing as a species. This decision is one of the most influential, please respect internet freedom.

12. Danny Dickson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251

Internet should be treated as a utility. Every citizen needs it to be a functional member of society. I've heard the point framed that "Google and Facebook already get to sell users data for profit, so why not ISPs?". This is completely the wrong question to be asking. I can CHOOSE to not use Facebook, and CHOOSE not to use Google (thank you Duck Duck Go). However, when it comes to ISPs I have Century Link and Xfinity where I live. I work from home as a designer and a developer, so speed and reliability are crucial. In my opinion, I only have one choice to get both - Xfinity. I can't just CHOOSE to not have internet. Instead, we should be asking "why are Google and Facebook able to collect and share very valuable and sensitive information about us in the first place?". The FCC needs to do what it's intended purpose is - "Revising media regulations so that new technologies flourish alongside diversity and localism". You should be protecting Americans privacy, not advocating for less of it. We should be taking a very close look at what information companies are able to collect and their transparency in doing so.

13. Travis Prall, Phoenix, AZ, 85053

Net neutrality is absolutely essential to the internet as we know it. How would you like to be known as the man the most the worst mistake in government in this decade? The last real hold America has on the world it's its superiority in the field of computer technology any blow to that will set us even further back from the rest of the world. This will be seen as a very terrible thing to the middle class and a huge bonus to cooperations and the super rich.

14. Ilona Laky, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259

It is my belief that the changes to the rules regarding net neutrality will not be beneficial to consumers. I do not believe that large companies will put the consumer's interests before their own given the opportunity. Please maintain Net Neutrality in it's current form.

15. Milana Tomec, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
What created the internet in the first place was innovation and a level playing field. What sustained the internet and made it grow was all of us being able to access that innovation and all the information surrounding it without discrimination -- because of net neutrality. Just in case you might have forgotten: OUR INTERNET SERVICE IS NOT FREE -- WE ALL PAY FOR IT. This system works and it works because of net neutrality. The only beneficiaries of Mr. Pai's proposal are the ISP's, who will charge more and will control content (can you pay for it; if not, you don't get it). As for the "digital redlining" issue -- that's a business decision, pure and simple, made by the ISP's based on profits, not net neutrality. Real world example: LifeLine exists to get internet service to areas the ISP's won't because it's not profitable. The internet is a vital public utility and should be regulated like one -- just like electricity and telephones. That's entirely "reasonable" -- the defining standard of Title II.
16. Philip, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Dear FCC, I'm writing to you to help oppose Ajit Pai's plan for the dismantlement of net neutrality. It's about time that the FCC & Congress stop getting exemptions to their own ruling, and play in the same pool as the rest of the general population. Those of us who work in the industry are the most knowledgeable about what actually happens on the internet, and we are fed up with corporate executives telling us how we should feel or what we should be allowed to see or do. I'm writing you members of the FCC to put your money where your mouth is, and double-down on what net neutrality really stands for. Unless you want to post your own internet history, email history, instant message logs, and the rest of your own personal information for the world to see, while paying a premium to make sure you can access the websites you want to visit, step up to the plate and protect us citizens. This is a clear attack on internet transparency and an all or nothing situation. Philip
17. Aidan Michael Gardner, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Dear FCC, I understand that corruption is a tool of power, or more accurately a means by which to secure and expand it. I get it, really. However, perhaps you could not do this? This would politically ruin you, and most of your associates after all. Aidan Michael Gardner
18. Aidan Michael Gardner, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Dear FCC, I understand that corruption is a tool of power, or more accurately a means by which to secure and expand it. I get it, really. However, perhaps you could not do this? This would politically ruin you, and most of your associates after all. I'm not joking about that, what you are attempting to do would be like the Israeli president continuing the Holocaust, at least in the eyes of the public. Just stop. If you do this it will ultimately culminate in the collapse of the US government. Or, in other words, you'll be fucked. With total disgust, Aidan Gardner  
Aidan Michael Gardner
19. Steven Files, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Dear FCC: I am writing to you today to express my interest in keeping net neutrality rules under Title 2, NOT title 1.
20. Francois Besnier, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Dear FCC, I am writing to you today to voice my concern about the future of net neutrality. Keeping ISP regulated by Title II is our best defense against their interference in our freedom to browse the Internet. I don't wish to give ISP the option to be able to throttle or block access to Internet content. I can make my own choices. I believe that this is a fundamental right that must be safeguarded. This is what America is all about. Thank you!
21. Shawn Theriot, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I support net neutrality and Title 2. ISPs should not be given the ability to alter the speed of content based on their own interests.
22. Aleta Bowers, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Protect Net Neutrality as it is essential to a fair and innovative Internet.
23. Saima Chohan, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I support net neutrality and Title 2. I urge the FCC to support these issues.
24. Richard A. Holland, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
I wish to express in the strongest terms possible: DO NOT WEAKEN NET NEUTRALITY PROTECTIONS! The Internet has become too vital to commerce, education, government and society to "trust" that Internet Providers will subordinate their profit motivations to provide a free and open Internet when not required. Keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
25. Benjamin Woodruff, Phoenix, AZ, 85281  
If you allow ISPs to either limit or fast lane certain traffic you are hurting my generation. For younger people that want to build businesses forcing ISPs to treat all traffic the same helps us. Please do not remove ISP from title 2. Also I do not appreciate all the loop holes to have my voice heard. First in chrome you have to hit tab for your name and the filing, This is nonsense. My text should still be there when I click off. I think this is just an oversight and not you the FCC

creating boundaries to hear us the American people. Thank you.

26. Ryan Diefenderfer, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Please leave the current regulations in place, as they do not largely affect investments that businesses make in internet infrastructure.
27. Julie Chapko, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Maintain title 2 and unrestricted access to the internet.
28. Robert Chapko, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Maintain Title 2 and unrestricted access to the internet
29. Marc Pruzansky, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
I strongly believe in the existing regulations regarding ISP(s). If you make the expressed changes it would be bad for the American citizens and our pocketbooks.
30. Title 2 oversight of ISPs, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
The FCC should absolutely keep strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The internet should remain open and free for anyone and not become a tool to be manipulated by big ISP companies.
31. Mark Ichiyama, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I wish to express my support for the continuation of strong "Net Neutrality" regulations under Title II of the FCC Act
32. Jon Levin, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Dear FCC, I am writing you today because I think it's absolutely ridiculous that you want to do away with net neutrality laws put in place to protect the consumer. It is absolutely disgusting how many hoops I have to jump through just to send this complaint. A CSV file? It's the equivilant of online gerrymandering.
33. Janice Morton, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
ISP's should not be allowed to alter Internet traffic based on their desires/incentives/wants. ISP's use cables, airways, etc that are all installed on public grounds at some point. That means parts of their network belong to the taxpayer. In addition, ISP's charge for their service. They are already compensated for their services. Allowing ISPs to determine bandwidth speed / services allowed based on their desire is unfair to the consumer. Consumers should be allowed to decide which services they want to use and receive the same, neutral speed for that service. ISPs should not be allowed to influence how services are received.
34. Jesse McCarthy, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
You dont have the right to take away my internet freedom, by giving companies the ability to censor my content. DO NOT REMOVE NET NEUTRALITY.
35. Paul Palanzo, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
We strongly support Title II.
36. Audra Stevens, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
I support continuing to maintain Tier II oversight on ISP 's for Net neutrality.
37. Timothy Stapleton, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I am commenting in support of strong regulation preserving a free and open internet. Net neutrality rules are an important pillar upon which our digital freedoms rest.
38. Charles Stanton, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Net neutrality is one of the most important decisions of our time, I am in very strong support of it. Please do not allow politicians and lobbyist who either do not understand the issue or are working for giant corporations to undermine an essential protection of information and data flow. This is an issue that will not be forgotten.
39. Jamie loring, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I support the idea of keeping the internet on title 2. The internet needs a strong regulatory framework. The internet, whos roots are a government project, shouldn't be left to its devices. ISP's cannot be trusted to 'do the right thing'. We wouldn't even be here if it wasn't for Verizon suing the FCC to remove title 1.
40. Chris Reynolds, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
In no way, shape or form should ISPs be allowed to throttle bandwidth based on on the website or web service. This is counter to a "fair" internet. Should ISPs be allowed to do such a thing under new rules, the FCC will make it much, much harder for competition to exist. Verizon, Cox, Comcast - these companies cannot be allowed to make such determinations.

41. David McAlpine, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Please continue title 2 oversight of isp to maintain Internet neutrality
42. Garrick Schermer, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Corporations seeking to limit access to the internet are blocking free speech.
43. Anthony A Potts, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I absolutely support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I am a small business owner and can't imagine letting massive companies take any more control.
44. Andrew Rahtz, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Do NOT change the regulations governing ISPs simply to create a way to promote specific content. A free and open internet requires ISPs to treat all content equally.
45. Lloyd Daggett, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I strongly support the preservation of net neutrality. ISP oversight ought to remain under Title II classification of the FCC. Paid prioritization must be regulated against to make America great. Allow individuals the freedom to choose their own prioritized content. Don't enable a few corporations to trample on our right to make choices for ourselves by artificially impeding access to legal sites. It is tantamount to insider trading where they can skew internet traffic to serve their own interests.
46. Ben Miller, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I strongly support the Net Neutrality and specifically Title II classification of ISPs
47. Christopher Cashin, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Please keep Net Neutrality. There is no major consumer benefit to be received from removing this protection. Appealing Net Neutrality only stands to benefit ISPs and corporations. Keep Net Neutrality!
48. Alan David Finks, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Net Neutrality is important to the future of US Commerce. Do not re-define internet providers as Title 1 organizations. Do not trust large corporations to "do the right thing" simply because they appear trustworthy. Do not break faith with the American Public by abandoning the FCC's responsibilities to manage communication. I am and have been a regularly voting member of the Arizona Republican Party for more than 30 years.
49. Louis Contento, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Please continue Level II / Type II oversight of ISP providers!
50. christoph bornemann, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I want stronger net neutrality rules and to preserve the title 2 agreements
51. jason walker, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Net neutrality needs to remain under the watch of title 2.
52. Tricia Sargeant, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Do not let the big corporations manipulate and dictate our internet!
53. Kelsey Vaughan, Cave creek, AZ, 85331  
I support net neutrality with isps staying under title 2.
54. brett lakin, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's
55. Sondra Cevelin, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Please keep the rules in place that protect the level playing field for all internet services and users. The title II classification needs to stay! Also, having an ex-employee of Verizon leading the FCC is like asking the fox to guard the henhouse! Ridiculous!
56. Alexandra, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs and continuation of bright line rules. Acting as if there is no evidence to show ISPs prioritizing traffic is negligent. Here are some examples: Ascertain the Reality of Network Neutrality Violation in Backbone ISPs. (Ying Zhang, Z. Morley Mao, & Ming Zhang) <https://web.eecs.umich.edu/~zmao/Papers/hotnets08-nvlens.pdf> Prioritizing Traffic: The Internet Fast Lane (Sheraz Syed) <http://via.library.depaul.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1008&context=jatip> Comcast Blocks Some

Internet Traffic (Peter Svensson)[http://msl1.mit.edu/furdlog/docs/2007-1019\\_apwire\\_comcast\\_p2p\\_interference.pdf](http://msl1.mit.edu/furdlog/docs/2007-1019_apwire_comcast_p2p_interference.pdf)Ending net neutrality goes beyond prioritizing traffic and managing what consumers are able to access, it also harms the economy. Entrepreneurs are already facing impossible hurdles because of zero-rating applications. The implications of allowing zero-rating were pointed out in a publication at Stanford Law, which Iâ€™ve included below: Network Neutrality and Zero-rating (Barbara van Schewick <https://cyberlaw.stanford.edu/files/publication/files/vanSchewick2015NetworkNeutralityandZerorating.pdf>)Finally, it is important to note that the Administrative Procedure Act of 1964 requires that "administrative decisions must be in accord with law and required procedure, not arbitrary or capricious, not contrary to constitutional rights, within an agency's statutory jurisdiction, and supported by substantial evidence." ([http://law.emory.edu/elj/\\_documents/volumes/64/special/st-antoine.pdf](http://law.emory.edu/elj/_documents/volumes/64/special/st-antoine.pdf)). In June of 2016 a federal appeals court ruled that the FCC had authority to classify ISPs under Title II and since no substantial changes have occurred between then and now, this classification should not change.

57. Chelsea Liston, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
KEEP THE OPEN INTERNET. DO NOT CHANGE/DISPOSE OF NET NEUTRALITY. SERIOUSLY, IT IS RIDICULOUS THAT THIS IS EVEN ON THE TABLE.
58. Jeremy Sloat, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I have concerns with the plans to roll back net neutrality rules with the FCC's current plan. I consider net neutrality a necessity for a free internet. There have been proven occasions of ISPs controlling bandwidth on the internet content delivered for their benefit. Net neutrality rules stopped that behavior from happening. There is no reason to think that behavior won't happen again without net neutrality regulation in place. While I'm open to different regulation in place if it upholds the same or better protections, I will not support anything that takes away those protections. Thank you.
59. Marshall Cannon, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I strongly support the upholding of net neutrality. The internet is an incredibly important platform for people all over the world and we can't afford to allow it to be crippled by corporate interest.
60. Larry Allen, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
We need net neutrality protections in the form of Title II. The ISP's cannot be trusted to police themselves.
61. mike kaye, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
As an individual- a taxpayer, a Citizen, and a voter. I expect the FCC to represent my interests over those of Corporate entities. The internet providers have part- monopolies given them by the US Government. This obligates the Government and the FCC to ensure that internet content is freely available to users and that all content is equally available to all users, everywhere. This is not only a commercial concern, but as shown recently in Turkey- without rulings and protections- information can be kept from individuals
62. Keith Fimreite, Phoenix, AZ, 85054  
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. It is our best interest to maintain the current laws and NOT remove these regulations. I am a web designer and maintain several small businesses for clients and doing so will create an uneven playing field for larger corporations.
63. Bea Wyatt, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
Please maintain net neutrality. ISPs should remain under Title II. Net Neutrality is the only way to insure that the playing field is even for all business. Title II protections helps assure consumers of the most protections. Respectfully, Bea Wyatt
64. Walter Miller, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Please do not reclassify internet access under Title I of the Communications Act of 1934. Title II is an imperfect vehicle for regulating internet access, it's true. However, it allows government to protect consumers from harmful business practices of a few large companies. Companies like Cox, Comcast and Verizon are regional monopolies and have already proven incapable of acting in a responsible way towards their customers. Cable internet providers regularly feature on lists of companies with the worst customer service. Their focus is on profits alone, and their captive consumers are already harmed by their greed. Also, Title I classification would not guarantee additional investment.
65. Austin Lucari, scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
I do not support the loosening of net neutrality consumer protections. This regulatory change allows ISP to show preferential treatment to some information over others, and effectively allows ISPs to censor information and companies that they do not approve of. It will hurt small businesses, as larger companies could essentially pay to have their competitions internet traffic hampered, reducing consumer choice. Given that a large number of Americans have no legitimate choices when it comes to their ISP, consumers cannot rely on the free market to control these scenarios.
66. Alex Morrison, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Please dont screw us.

67. Jacob Strick, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
First off, fire Pai. How he was made chairman of the FCC is beyond me. The fact that his nomination was not immediately considered a conflict of interest is beyond me. He worked for a company that is chiefly responsible for net neutrality even requiring regulations. And for making me waste my time commenting on something that shouldn't even concern me. Have we seriously become so greedy that corporations will do anything to nickle and dime their customers. I'm sick and tired of politicians taking advantage of the people who voted them into office. You wouldn't even have a job if it wasn't for us. Stop screwing us over and start doing what's in our best interest. You were elected to SERVE.
68. Dmitryi Yerebin, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
I strongly urge you to preserve the Net Neutrality freedom and keeping the ISP's being accountable to TITLE II of the Communications Act of 1934. I wouldn't want to see certain parts of the Internet being throttled, so other unwanted (as chosen by myself) programs can be pushed through, because they are paying ISP's lots of money to do it.
69. net neutrality, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
We need to preserve net neutrality in order to assure unfiltered access to the internet.
70. William Beckwith, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
Please do not remove net neutrality. Keep Net Neutrality. Thanks, Bill
71. Carlos Mora, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I want tighter regulations of net neutrality under title 2
72. louise shwartz, Scottsdale, AZ, 85266  
Please maintain net neutrality. Yes, this is an essential utility in today's economy.
73. Mark Phillips, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Please keep the internet net neutral so that I can access all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or websites.
74. James Stewart, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
I support strict Net Neutrality regulations under Title 2, and I do not want to see the internet become a minefield of what my ISP declares is and is not important or necessary for me to access. Please do not loosen the regulations on net neutrality.
75. Andrew Creasman, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I am filing this comment to express my support for net neutrality and preserving Title II regulation of ISPs.
76. Net Neutrality, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
I support Net Neutrality under the Title II provision.
77. Alec Beer, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
I strongly believe we preserve net neutrality and Title 2. Keep the needs of the many above the pockets of the wealthy few.
78. Shawn Seaton, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I want ISPs to remain in Title II and for Net Neutrality to be maintained by the FCC
79. Diana Austin, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I support strong internet neutrality back by Title 2 strong oversight of all ISPs
80. Zachary Thomas, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
I stand in firm opposition to the plan to allow ISPs to sell our web browsing data. We need net neutrality and a free and open web, and ISPs need to be regulated as common carriers. They are not free-roaming entities, they need to be regulated as Title-II entities. It is not fair for ISPs to prioritize other traffic above others in an effort to help their own bottom lines. The power belongs to the consumer!
81. George Turner, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I urge you NOT to change the current status of Internet oversight from Title II back to Title I. Every list of "worst companies" or "most difficult companies" contains virtually every major ISP (Cox, T/W, Verizon) at the top of the list. What makes you think that giving these companies more leeway and power will result in better customer service and equity???
82. Matt S, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I am writing to support net neutrality. Title II is an important part of net neutrality and the future of the internet. ISPs should not be allowed to slow down or speed up websites based on how much money companies can give to their cause.

Please keep net neutrality for all consumers.

83. Enrique Cordero, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Continuing to allow the free expression of ideas and content is important to dissemination of information and to the freedom of our democracy to allow for progress. I implore you keep net neutrality to article II
84. Sandra Brown, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please continue to protect net neutrality and Title 2. I feel it's very important to maintain freedom of access to the internet and not allow the large corporations to have an unfair advantage, which they would be able to purchase. We cannot trust large conglomerates to police themselves. Thank you for listening to your constituents.
85. Colleen DiSanto, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I support net neutrality and Title II. Please do not destroy our freedom over commercial interests. Thank you.
86. Nathan Quinn, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I support strong net neutrality. Preserve net neutrality backed by Title II oversight! ISP's need regulation. Internet freedom!
87. Cory Katz, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight. If the ISPs are allowed to have the physical monopolies they currently enjoy, then there must be oversight as the free-market will not protect consumers due to these monopolies (physical infrastructure of last mile).eventually it won't matter as hopefully we improve the latency of satellite communication or the fiber infrastructure is improved and then competition can be freely introduced. But until then, there must be oversight just like with the power and water companies.
88. Rakesh Rao, scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Please as an avid internet user, I would like to urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
89. John-Paul Sugata, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
I do not support the dismantling of title 2 in regards to net neutrality. Regulation is necessary to protect the consumer. I am appalled at the blatant favoritism of big money over ethics. Please don't disregard the will of the people.
90. Net Neutrality, phoenix, AZ, 85027  
You cannot get rid of Net Neutrality. I cannot begin to explain how absolutely devastating this would be for the united states. You'd be putting us a bubble and creating a system that defeats the purpose of the internet entirely. SAVE NET NEUTRALITY
91. AJ Germek, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
We need net neutrality. You are not an advocate for communication providers; you are an advocate for the people.
92. Lindsay Vix, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
My family supports ISPs being filed under Title 2 and maintaining net neutrality for all Americans.
93. John Perkins, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Please preserve Net Neutrality by keeping ISPs under the Title II provisions. Outside of climate change, this is one of the most important issues of our time given the ubiquity and import of the internet. Allowing ISPs to decide what traffic is given priority will absolutely destroy our future economy and amount to granting them the ability to censor speech they disagree with. Preserving Net Neutrality is the only decision that in any way supports consumers rather than corporations.
94. Sergio Venzor, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
We need strong Net Neutrality regulations! The internet has to be available to everyone.
95. Leland Bettis, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I am emphatically opposed to the Commission's proposal to remove oversight of ISPs from Title II to Title I. Title I oversight is an insufficient basis to protect basic consumer interest.
96. Danielle Racke, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
There is literally no benefit to the people of this country if you allow a bill to pass that wipes out net neutrality. Why would any educated representative of the people champion this? The only possible answer is that they're looking out for themselves as opposed to the people they claim to represent. And it's deplorable. Retain net neutrality for the benefit of the people of this country.
97. Bethany Bishop, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
It is of the utmost important that the FCC preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2.

98. Stephen Lindner, phoenix, AZ, 85254  
The FCC needs to maintain Title II for internet service providers. It's detrimental to the future of the American dream to remove the regulations that keep our internet open and freely accessible.
99. Travis Nass, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I am filing my strong support for Net neutrality as is currently being enforced under title II of the communications act of 1934. Net Neutrality is important to constituents across the country of every demographic. Prepare for a fight if you want to undo this necessary law.
100. NANCY WILBORN, SCOTTSDALE, AZ, 85254  
I am writing to voice my support for Title II of the Communications Act in order to preserve net neutrality. I feel it is very important to ensure that all internet traffic is treated equally. Thank you.
101. JC Mavro, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I support Net Neutrality and the internet providers being regulated by Title 2. Corporations will not protect our citizens. Please Do your job!
102. Donald Morphew, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Preserve net neutrality. Do not get rid of Title II.
103. Chris Mitchell, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Please preserve net neutrality and strong Title II protection.
104. Randall Bush, Glendale, AZ, 85301  
I am deeply shocked and concerned that the FCC chair believes net neutrality will be "better" without title two oversight. Please, please, please, PLEASE, do not remove the title two oversight regulating ISPs. I, along with many other IT professionals I know, find an abundance of value in how these regulations prevent ISP's from playing favorites based on how much money they receive or who they owe favors to. Though there may not be "proof" of this kind of malfeasance at this time, that does not mean companies will not commit these egregious acts going forward if these regulations are to be removed. Simply asking ISP to "promise" not to commit these acts would work about as well as asking my 3 year old to promise not to say "butt" at the dinner table. (spoiler alert, IT DOESN'T WORK)I ask again, please DO NOT weaken net neutrality or remove the title 2 oversight on ISP's!Regards, Randall BushConcerned US Citizen & Father
105. Jason Kedmenec, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
Please PRESERVE Net Neutrality. Thank you
106. steven webb, PHOENIX, AZ, 85022  
I am not okay with the dissolving of net neutrality.
107. Preserve Net Neutrality, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
The future of the Internet's viability does not rest on the profitability of access, but the ability to disseminate and access information freely.
108. Maximillian Ashur Edwards, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
This is wrong on every level and you know it. Keep Net Neutrality Under Title II of the 1934 Communications Act. The future of our entire society depends on our ability to receive all information on an equal footing from the internet. We will never stop fighting for our right to a free and open internet to allow a steady flow of connectivity and knowledge. ISPs should not have the power to dictate who does and does not have a voice. Keep Internet Service Providers regulated under Title 2 of the 1934 Communications Act and ensure the future of Net Neutrality.
109. Henry Clark, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Net Neutrality is the foundation of the Internet. Do not let the corporate takeover of the Internet happen. The Internet represents the freedom of information, and changing neutrality laws will no longer make that information free. Imagine if the Internet was run like tv. You can only get certain websites in specific packages. If you want more freedom, you have to buy more packages. This is unacceptable. This is what will happen if net neutrality laws are changed. Please do not allow this to happen. Do not let our Internet become what China's is. Let our Internet be free for all who browse.
110. Jack Richards, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
As a citizen I very much appreciate the protections Title II provides me as no matter where I live in the US, the ISP will not be able to abuse their position. Please keep enforcing net neutrality in law.
111. Net Neutrality, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I am in strong support of Net Neutrality Backed By Title II Oversight of ISP's. Any idea that ISP's should be allowed to self-regulate is at best naive and at worst corrupt and despicable. Removing Title II oversight and calling it "freedom" is the opposite of public service.

112. Justin Givler, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
I back a strong net neutrality under title II regulations!
113. Megan Zappulla, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
I strongly support net neutrality under the oversight of Title II.
114. John Kizis, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Keep net neutrality to protect everyone. Act like the people are your priority, not just the rich!!! We are watching you.
115. Jaysun Freightman, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
It would be really fun for you to keep regulation under Title II, as the relaxing of regulations has caused problems before which is why it was upgraded in the first place.
116. Jason Hinkle, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
As I see it, this is a direct attack on free speech and equal opportunities in our country. Disavowing Net Neutrality serves a step towards oligarchy in our business, a step towards police state in the privacy of our citizens, and is stepping on the coat tails of free speech in this county. The internet has always been free, and there is absolutely zero reason it shouldn't remain so. This is not a partisan issue- the majority of Americans, and most politicians who haven't been paid to say otherwise, are vastly in favor of leaving it alone. I advise you listen to your constituents.
117. Joshua Hafer, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs™s. Please keep the internet free and open to all.
118. Carol Whitworth, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I agree with Title 2.
119. Brian Parish, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
I am in strong opposition to this filing and rather in strong support of net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
120. Cory Greenmun, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Please protect net neutrality by keeping oversight of ISPs under Title II. Your duty is to the people. Do your job or you may lose your job. Thank you.
121. franklin lewkowitz, paradise valley, AZ, 85253  
please keep ISPs on titleII i want internt freedom ,you work for the american people not verizon or other ISPs
122. Dominick Marino, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
I favor strong net neutrality laws. We believe in the free and open Internet, please support it.
123. Rich Schneider, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Please protect net neutrality and leave ISPs under Title II
124. Sheri Smith, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
American citizens are demanding Net Neutrality!!! Here our cry!
125. Corey Weidner, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I am writing to voice my support for keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. This country does not need another monopoly created from mergers & acquisitions.
126. Sean Trumper, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
As a registered voter in America I support the current legal platform in regards to net neutrality. More specifically, I support title II oversight of Internet service providers. Please do not change the current system.
127. Tabitha Harling, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Keep internet service providers under title II compliance to ensure net neutrality.
128. Richard Mogull, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
As both a citizen and personal user of the Internet, and an Internet-based entrepreneur and job creator, I strongly discourage the FCC from taking these actions. The proposed changes dramatically favor a few entrenched near-monopolies at the expense of every other business that relies on the Internet, and reduces consumer choice. The Internet is also now an essential service for everything from education to banking and retail and should be treated as such and subject to governance by the FCC for those situations where providers abuse the lack of choice in the market, as we have seen already occur. Although the proposed changes purport to increase Internet freedom they do the exact opposite The changes consolidate control over the Internet into the hands of a few large service providers while creating roadblocks to the real Internet innovators, those who create the products and services we all use every day. Those providing our

connections to the Internet should not have the ability to restrict and favor services (especially their own) over competitors. This is an incredibly anti-competitive series of changes that are antithetical to supporting a robust and market-driven Internet.

129. Brian Flora, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by TITLE II regulation. These protections are essential for a free and fair internet, and will support small businesses.
130. Diane Fenicle, Scottsdale, AZ, 85253  
I strongly believe that net neutrality should retain its regulation under Title II in order to ensure equality of access and access speed to all providers and customers.
131. Christopher Benedict, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
I support a Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
132. George Bukwa, Glendale, AZ, 85308  
Preserve net neutrality. Don't try fooling us with all of your double speak nonsense. And preserve Title II restrictions on all internet providers. We have spoken. George
133. Irvin Cruz, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Preserve Title II
134. Philip Barnett, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Ending net neutrality would be yet another case of giving more power to companies who already have too much.
135. George Redwine, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Please keep and maintain a strong net neutrality agreement by holding all Internet Service Providers liable under Title II regulations.
136. Vathana Song, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
You are in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! Please do not let congress be bought out by corporations any longer!
137. Dheeraj Sarwaiya, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Keep internet fair for everyone. It is important that network providers should not be able to control the speed and access of websites. Otherwise it will be difficult for small companies to make big impact. For the economy and for freedom of expression, this is of utmost importance. We want to make sure Title 2 remains in place and all the network companies should follow that. You guys already understand that regulations are not for slowing down the economy but to make sure that the economy grows in a fair manner and provides equal opportunities for everybody. I am sure Ajit and companies like Verizon will make a huge chunk of money out of this, but when compared to Americans' people their benefits are irrelevant.
138. Tyler Doyon, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
I support the continued regulation of ISPs under Title 2 to enforce a free and open internet, and a level playing field for all those whom choose to participate.
139. Landry Low, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
PLEASE PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY UNDER TITLE II. The effect of rolling back regulation to Title I status would be perilous to the future and freedom of the internet as we know it. The internet is the most important cultivation of technology and innovation by human beings - it gives us unparalleled access to information and connects us to the world (and universe! thanks & shout-out to NASA and other space exploration agencies!) in ways that seemingly defy imagination. I am 24. The internet grew up alongside of me - I remember our first computer, I taught myself to read when I was four via a computer game called "Reader Rabbit". It felt like magic, and it'd be hard to convince me that it wasn't then and that it isn't still. Please protect this magic, keep it free and neutral. Continue protections under Title II.
140. Kathryn Bailes, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Please preserve net neutrality! Keep it under Title II. These rules should NOT be changed!
141. William Kline, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I am writing to express my support for retaining current net neutrality regulations under Title II and express my disgust for the destruction of protections therein under the return to Title I. You're not fooling us.
142. Emma Terlizzi, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I support strong network neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. The will of the people is the only legitimate foundation of any government, and to protect its free expression should be our first object. Regnat populus!

143. Doug Fellman, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Title II protections help preserve the open and free internet. Changing that status will allow ISPs to create restrictions on the data that you are allowed to access. This is NOT acceptable and we should allow FREE and UNRESTRICTED dissemination of information and knowledge over the internet.
144. Geoffrey Bagala, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Title II regulation is necessary for internet providers. Please do not get rid of net neutrality.
145. Michael Venokur, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
the FCC in respect to Net Neutrality needs to keep it under title II the more stringent guidelines for ISP's. We can not rely on an ISP's promise not do something that will compromise a competing product. There is already too much shady activities by providers where they can not be trusted.As stated from another comment which the person said it best:I would like to inform Mr. Ajit Pai and other members of the FCC debating over this issue that I strongly and very specifically support Net Neutrality, which is already being enforced and protected by Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Any attempts to "fix"Net Neutrality, in the way that Mr. Pai and others (including Verizon's CEO), would result in the termination of internet use as we know it in favor of monopolizing access to sites; which would be terrible for the way that internet is being used for literally everything. Please, DO NOT do anything to change the way Net Neutrality is set up. DO NOT do anything that would give one service provider (like Verizon) an advantage over others that would prevent any budding ISP or network from competing against it. Title II is there for a reason; respect what's already in place. This is not a request. This is a demand; one made by a citizen who, assuming the United States is still a democracy, has made it very clear how this policy should be ruled.
146. Chris White, phoenix, AZ, 85051  
I am writing to support Net Neutrality. and strongly urge that you continue keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
147. James Burns, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I support strong net neutrality laws, backed by title two.
148. Eric Salas, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I strongly urge the FCC to preserve our current strong net neutrality rules for ISPs as described in Title II.
149. Patrick Sagaser, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I STRONGLY support the current net neutrality rules and classifying ISP under Title II.
150. Aaron Tucker, Phoenix, AZ, 85054  
The FCC must protect Net Neutrality under the current provisions of Title II. Do not give up independent oversight to the ISPs as their self interests will never be in complete alignment with the public's self interests.
151. Loren Scott, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I want you to keep ISPs and the Net Neutrality act to be kept under Title 2 classification and do not want to see it under the Title 1 classification
152. Derek Vaughn, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Please support and strengthen Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
153. Erika Jahneke, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
I support free and open internet access. I have a disability and the internet levels the playing field a little.
154. Zebrick Y. De Leon, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I support strong net neutrality. Backed by Tittle II over sight by ISP's.
155. Myles Melyon, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
As an American consumer with limited choices with regards to internet service providers, I urge you to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
156. Kara Piatt, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Save net neutrality as supported by Title II. The internet and access to information MUST stay free from competitive influences.
157. Kris Raiman, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
I strongly support Net Neutrality. If Corporations want to grant or deny access to their customers based on content they need to invent a different platform. The Internet must remain free and open.
158. Kevin Darling, Phoenix, AZ, 85024

I encourage the FCC to support net neutrality and to keep ISPs classified under Title 2.

159. Erik Haynes, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Hey FCC. I support title II regulation of internet service.
160. William Stimson, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I would like to express my support for net neutrality and encourage you to retain Title II classification for internet service providers.
161. Daniel Thomson, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
As someone who uses the internet for both work and leisure, Net Neutrality is incredibly important to my life. I can't afford to have ISPs play favorites with certain websites, and it is an affront to the liberty that the Declaration of Independence promised to allow ISPs have a say in what I see on the internet, when there is no reason for them to treat that traffic differently.
162. Ian\_Kelley, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I strong support net neutrality and Title II in its current form. Please do not change these rules from their current form. These rules are strongly in the consumers best interest and it would be unfair to change them.
163. William Weiss, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I am a supporter of Net Neutrality; internet providers should not have influence over the delivery of online content to their subscribers.
164. Ben Lacasse, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I support freedom of net neutrality under Title II oversight by ISP's
165. Daniel Wak, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I support net neutrality under Title II ISP
166. Kurt Kristiansen, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
I support strong regulations for ISP protection. Title II is currently the only way to strongly protect a free and open internet. Do not reclassify!
167. Daniel Marks, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
I do not support 17-108.I support net neutrality and feel that internet is a utility and should be governed the same as water and power services
168. Scott Lind, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Removing the ISPs from the Title II status will hinder small startup companies and result in less diverse internet content. Please keep the "net neutrality" regulations.
169. josh klosterman, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Please retain title 2 classification for Internet Service Providers (commonly known as ISPs) to ensure that the FCC can regulate their network policies in such a way to create fair and open access to websites and internet resources. ISPs should not be allowed to prioritize traffic for specific individuals or companies due to agreements, relationships, or monetary incentives. Reclassification of ISPs to title 1 will allow for network policies that stifle innovation due to the fact that small businesses and startups will not be able to obtain the same level of network access as large companies. There are other outcomes that may occur from these changes that have not been thought through. Please keep Net Neutrality rules in effect.
170. Andrew Lang, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
We need to preserve net neutrality. Specifically targeted towards the changing of listings of ISPs. The protection of consumers from biased services needs to be a priority. The current listing should not be changed.
171. Erika Flora, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I would like to express my support for Net Neutrality and keep ISPs classified as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act.Thanks,Erika
172. Bret Shaw, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
This comment supports strong net neutrality protections backed by Title II oversight. The internet, in the just over twenty years of its existence, has become central to nearly every facet of modern American life. Keeping networks neutral will allow for free market principles to enable competition and innovation, leading to services that are unthinkable now, just as occurred while the internet has grown. Allowing private companies to throttle the network as they see fit could allow those companies to pick and choose, based on who can pay them, what services are seen by the public or what services work best for the public. I believe this is antithetical to what has been, to this point, a democratic,



Please support net neutrality it is a very important mechanism to keep the internet fair, open and free. I care deeply about fair treatment of all information flowing across the internet.

190. Shannon Dooling, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I am writing to express my support for Net Neutrality and keeping ISPs under Title II.
191. Mike Ward, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Net neutrality needs to be preserved and not changed. ISP's should stay in title 2 and not be changed to a title 1.
192. Alfred Anthony Jr., Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. It's disgusting that you're "acting dumb" so that people will vote for this sort of thing. I can't believe the government has gotten to the point of the president and other people in high positions "acting dumb" so that the normal people will vote for something that, if they really understood it, would want to run screaming away from you and everyone in office.
193. Xeth Waxman, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
You MUST keep Net neutrality. Stop thinking Government is the only threat to our liberty; corporations are threats as well, when they're large enough to make consumer choice nothing but a choice between two or three oligopolies that all behave the same way - for example...who can I pay for 36" of seat pitch? No one. I can only choose between different airlines that all offer 30" of seat pitch. Defend our liberty. Please!
194. Van Welborn, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
I fully support Net Neutrality and request ISPs to remain classified as Title II. Title II is not a burden on corporations, as is the incorrect assumption. Respectfully, Van Welborn
195. Adam, PHOENIX, AZ, 85027  
Net neutrality is very important and I strongly support keeping it in place. Any attempt to roll back Title 2 would be a major mistake and will clearly allow ISP to slow down the sites of their competitors while boosting the sites they support and that is against everything the internet is built on.
196. Scott Cain, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Myself and others have many concerns regarding favoritism from ISPs to serving content at speeds that they decide and not at an equal speed. This will hurt competition and discourage smaller businesses to innovate and enable large businesses to continue to corner the market and provide a lower quality product to consumers because they can.
197. Richard Wilkins, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Internet viewing should not be regulated
198. Ryan Auhl, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Classifying ISPs as Title II carriers is necessary in order to maintain net neutrality and equal access for all Americans.
199. Trey Connelly, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I support net neutrality under title II regulation of ISPs.
200. Hannah Romberg, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Officially registering my support of vigorous net neutrality rules backed by Title II
201. Demetrie Radulovic, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Keep net neutrality unchanged, supported by a Title II. This guarantees our freedom, fairness and leaves us free from corporate ISP shenanigans.
202. Noel Estep, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I urge you to keep classifying the internet and all of its supporting infrastructure under Title II. The internet is now fundamentally a public utility that needs to be governed like one. It is not enough to trust cable companies and ISPs to play nice with one another, to allow for diverse competition, and to keep a "hands off" approach. I expect the FCC to protect me from corporate greed and from monopolies and anti-trust agreements. The FCC works for THE PEOPLE, not for a handful of monolithic cable companies.
203. Christian Clough, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I do NOT support Docket 17-108, the "Restoring Internet Freedom" Act. I strongly support Internet Service Providers being classified as Title II, in order to enforce net neutrality rules. In my opinion, reclassifying Internet Service Providers as Title I, giving them greater freedom and less strict rules around how they can affect consumers by limiting their access to all or various parts of the internet is a huge mistake. Simply trusting a company will not abuse its power is a mistake, and history has shown that consumers always lose when a company has little to no restrictions to what they're allowed to do. To reiterate, I DO NOT support Docket 17-108, the "Restoring Internet Freedom" Act.

204. Michael Morefield, phoenix, AZ, 85029  
I support strong net neutrality protections and leaving the protection under title II. I will specifically vote against any senator or representative who tries to remove these protections.
205. Net Neutrality, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
I strongly support Title Two and the net neutrality it ensures
206. net neutrality, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
The freedom of the internet has to do with the access of all content, no matter the ISP, no matter the content creator, etc. Net Neutrality should exist. 'Restoring internet freedom' is a complete misnomer and gives freedom to ISPs and corporations and not content creators or internet users, etc. Please preserve net neutrality and title two.
207. Josh Epstein, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I specifically support STRONG net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs!!! Thank you. Josh Epstein
208. Robert Schraer, phoenix, AZ, 85023  
This should not happen. Leaving it the way it is right now is a far better way of protecting "We The People" and not the major corporations that are well on their way to owning us. To them, we are cattle, not people. This administration has proven time and time again it doesn't care about people, it cares about money. The people who are "leading" us would sell their own Grandmothers to make a quick buck. So I urge you to think about more than money and for ONCE think about serving the American People and not your corporate backers. I already pay \$90 a month for slower internet than South Korea, whilst the company I give money to turns around and sell all my info to ad companies. They already get us coming and going and thinking they will just "promise" not to fuck us harder is naive and dangerous.
209. Carsen Stringer, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I strongly support internet neutrality. Don't mess with title 2, please! I want to be able to access all my sites.
210. David Hartigan, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
DO NOT ELIMINATE NET NEUTRALITY!!! MY USE OF THE INTERNET SHOULD NOT BE RESTRICTED ON THE BASIS OF CORPORATE PROFITS AND SKEWING OF THE PLAYING FIELD IN THE DIRECTION OF THOSE WITH DEEP POCKETS.
211. J. Orick, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
The internet belongs to the Public and we the Public demand it be kept free.
212. Jeremy Stafford, Phoenix, AZ, 85054  
Oppose Docket 17-108!!!! Preserve net neutrality!
213. Ryan Kingsley, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
We support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP. Big companies are primarily incentivised by profit over fairness and equality. Please, support the consumers rights to a fair deal. Regulation is bad, but no regulation is worse. Thank you.
214. Sarah Simons, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Do not roll back net neutrality rules. Keep them Title 2.
215. Dragos Popa, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, and against the FCC Chairman's intent to reverse net neutrality rules and allow ISPs to control access to content. I am AGAINST the chairman's position to remove net neutrality rules, and instead urge that more should be done to ensure the internet remains free and open.
216. Jennifer Simmons, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
Do not get rid of net neutrality! It is the only protection the people have against the ever growing greed of ISP's. It is a vital part of keeping the internet fair and equal for all.
217. Shane McGlynn, phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Internet Service Providers must be held to Title II regulations. They have abused their power repeatedly in the past and must not be given complete control of the Internet in the name of profits.
218. A.S, Scottsdale, AZ, 85253  
The FCC needs to preserve net-neutrality and title 2
219. Bret Phaneuf, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
I believe it would be a grave mistake to remove Net Neutrality. It is what has made possible what we have on the internet.

220. Amy Bogan, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Please ensure net neutrality continues with Title 2 oversight. It is important to me that net neutrality continues to be regulated and fair to all.
221. marybeth anderson, scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I support internet neutrality and urge the FCC to keep strong Title II Net Neutrality rules
222. Sam Slack, Scottsdale, AZ, 85266  
Preserve Title II Net Neutrality. Yeah that's right.
223. Jerame Nelson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I specifically support strong net neutrality afforded by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.
224. Paul Brown, Scotsdale, AZ, 85281  
Do the right thing ya filthy animals. ISP's under more strict regulation to prevent fuckery...
225. Kirsten Edwards, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
I strongly support a strong net neutrality policy. Title II allows for internet neutrality to continue to exist and I believe that this is important to every person who uses the internet. Allowing for relaxed policies give isps and other services incentive to throttle internet based upon who invests the most money in them or what makes the most money for them. As we've seen the the US government, that never works out for the people. It harms the common people's rights and it only promotes a self centered ideology that only promotes people with money getting even more money and power. At what point do we step in and say that money should not rule or govern our daily lives? I think now is the time to step up and prove that it would be wrong for money to have any sway in what I'm able to view on the internet. Or what I'm able to post. What I'm able to search. What results come up. How fast my internet will run. Please do not remove net neutrality.
226. Michael Timm, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 over site of ISPs Net Neutrality is essential for protecting free speech, organizing, business and innovation. I will not stand for any attacks on Net Neutrality or my rights as an internet user and United States Citizen. Leave the Net Neutrality rules alone.
227. Timothy Schwimer, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I am in unwavering support of Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs and outraged that I have to fight to make my government do what is in the best interest of the people, not corporate monopolies. I am vehemently opposed to Docket No. 17-108 and anything else that does not strengthen net neutrality and the protections it provides for the American people.
228. Net Neutrality, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I believe we should keep the current title two in affect. I'm very concern because I have had a HUGE problem with my ISP, without a doubt I know they will mess it up.
229. Wes Frank, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I strongly support net neutrality being backed by Title 2 oversight of all ISPs.
230. Douglas Teitel, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
John Oliver said to #GoFCCYourself, so yeah... Cheers!!!
231. David Schlenker, Scottsdale, AZ, 85266  
I urge you NOT to change the net-neutrality rule from the current authority Under Title II
232. John, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Please keep a free and open Internet (Net Neutrality) under Title 2 regulations.
233. Dana Bruhn, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. Keep the internet open!!
234. Maryanne Z Lant, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Protect Net Neutality and Tilte II inforcement to ensure free and accessible internet for everyone
235. Donald Lant, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
We need protect net neutrality and Title II enforcement to ensure a free and accessible internet for everyone.
236. Brady Tabar, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. Net Neutrality benefits every single American, but getting rid of it benefits a very

select few. Do the right thing.

237. Rob Garbonzo, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Stop trying to make speed lanes on the internet. We already pay a lot of our hard earned money on using the cable companies services. We don't need cable internet providers throttling speeds for different websites and raising the costs for amazon, netflix, and other services. You already sold us down the river giving ISP's our data. Stop with all the nonsense. There is also a serious conflict of interest when Chairman Pai used to work for Verizon and is now pushing this nonsense that the cable providers stopped funding infrastructure. What a lie. He should be ashamed of himself.
238. Scott LeBlang, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I support a free and open Internet for all. Please support net neutrality with the continued implementation of Title II.
239. shawn gerrits, Phoenix, AZ, 85054  
There is no reason to undermine the net neutrality laws in place. Any movement from class 2 is blatant corruption. habe some backbone and stand up for freedom not sell your soul to a political lobby.
240. Daniel Kulenkamp, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I support strong Net Neutrality rules and Title II. Please uphold the current regulations, ensuring strong net neutrality.
241. Joyce, Scottsdale, AZ, 85262  
I support (and urge you to do the same) keeping net neutrality.
242. Wireline Competition Bureau, Scottsdale, AZ, 85266  
At my address I have only one means to connect to the internet. Without net neutrality the provider may give their own internet based products priority over services offered by others. Choice is not yet available in all locations. Already they charge a different rate to my neighborhood that they have a monopoly on over those that can get a discount if there are 2 providers.
243. Brian Hines, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I fully support net neutrality with title 2 oversight for ISPs. To give them the power to destroy quality apps like Google wallet or throttle my Netflix for no reason other than the guy a Trump appointed is in Verizon's pocket is bull shit. Don't let this idiot flaunting his stupid fucking mug fuck up the internet for startups
244. Carolyn Riske, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
I am for Net Neutrality as protected by Title 2. Please do not relax this regulation.
245. Joshua Swain, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Please preserve net neutrality and title II. I apologize for the onslaught of filings but this is incredibly important.
246. Rich Lesniak, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Keep the current Title 2 status for ISPs. It makes absolutely no sense to allow the door to be opened for the certain prioritization of the traffic that they benefit from financially by remove restrictions! An eight year old could see this. I am a small business owner and need to have a fair playing field to compete. Don't screw around with this!
247. Joey Baumbach, Glendale, AZ, 85260  
The Net Neutrality Act should remain in its current form. I feel it is obvious that United States society, at nearly every level, has fully embraced the internet. As a result, it is difficult if not impossible to interact in commerce or social endeavors without it. It is therefore paramount to preserve the free and equal exchange of information across the internet so that all people rich or poor and companies large or small have equal footing on this platform. Make no mistake, it is the people that give the internet such value and propose, not the ISP providers. It is the governments responsibility to protect the peoples interest over corporate profits.
248. Brady Hills, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Net neutrality is important, do not water it down.
249. keep title II, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I support keep and urge the FCC to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. We do not need another way for the corporations to make money and limit access of information to the american people
250. Curtis Beach, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Current Net Neutrality regulations YES / Ajit Pai NO
251. Christopher Hickman, Phoenix, AZ, 85054  
To suggest that ISPs will police themselves to maintain a fair and open Internet without Title II regulations in place is laughable, and Ajit Pai knows it. Destroying net neutrality will mean censorship, and in an age in which our current

presidential administration is openly at war with the media, limiting the outlets at which people can get their information will only hasten the demise of this country and of the freedom of its people.

252. Athena Euler, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Please keep the net neutrality laws in place that enforce those under Title 2 to comply with FCC law. There is no reason big business should take advantage of speed of communication to the consumer.
253. Anthony Faramelli, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
It is important to me to protect Net Neutrality with strong Title II support. Do not allow ISP to regulate themselves and please do not weaken support for robust Net Neutrality
254. Connor Phillips, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
Having a free and open internet is of the utmost importance. Creating a place where people can come together to share ideas and concepts is one of the biggest breakthroughs of the modern era. Being able to send information from one side of the planet to another is so far beyond what was thought possible 100 years ago. Imagine what the next hundred could bring if we are allowed to have these open channels of communication without any sort of throttling capabilities on the side of ISPs.
255. Jeff Surprise, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Keep the internet protected under Title 2 regulations. The internet should be free and equal to all
256. Levi Smith, Phoenix, AZ, 85021  
The fcc is obligated to preserve net neutrality, and title 2 designations of ISP's Thank you
257. Anthony Toledo, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Maintaining Net Neutrality is essential to the maintenance of free speech and the customer's right to choose what content to consume. I strongly insist that Net Neutrality be maintained in its current legal category, and NOT Dropped or changed to a different legal framework. The future of the internet depends on it.
258. Alex Henderson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I am in support of Title II oversight of ISP providers and I oppose 17-108.
259. Ainsley Fraser, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II over site of ISPs! And Ajit Pai, your Reese's mug is stupid.
260. Jeffrey Tully, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
I am in support of STRONG Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am STRONGLY OPPOSED to Docket No. 17-108! The Internet and it's infrastructure should be thought of as a PUBLIC UTILITY with how essential it is to life in our modern society, and with the TRUE PAUCITY of options and competition we have in the marketplace due to the aggregation of large telecoms that squeeze out competition- repealing Net Neutrality would do even more to ensure that the playing field is not level. DO NOT ENACT THIS DOCKET.
261. Adam Uddin, Scottsdale, AZ, 85266  
I don't want you guys to get rid of net neutrality. The internet is good the way it is!
262. Christen Agnello, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I am against this act. We must keep absolute net neutrality. To do otherwise is to pander to larger corporations and allow small users of the internet services less access to broad forms of competition as well as information. I support Net Neutrality under Title II. This topic should not even be under discussion as it was previously determined the populous supported Title II Net Neutrality.
263. bryant sunderman, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Net Neutrality is one of the greatest policies we have to, for lack of a better term, "govern" the internet. By attacking net neutrality you are attacking the very core of the idea of the internet. Please do not change the current net neutrality policy and also amend the process to find this page....talk about a stacked deck!
264. John, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I support strong Internet Neutrality with Title 2 protections.
265. Dylan Mierzwinski, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I strongly support net neutrality and urge the FCC to hear our collective voice in favor of it. It's clear that the mass majority of people who want to cut net neutrality don't understand what it is and how it will affect them and/or are just blindly supporting Trump's administration without any sound research .
266. Richard O'Shields Jr, Phoenix, AZ, 85024

I strongly support a free and open internet, with all ISPs governed by Title II. I urge the FCC not to roll back this important legal framework.

267. Christine Camp, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
It is imperative to keep Internet Neutrality and Title II
268. Debbie Carter, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I would like to inform Ajit Pai that I support strong net neutrality as is provided by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not allow big corporations to dominate and control the free flow of access and information on the internet.
269. Belinda Beck, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I urge the FCC to preserve net neutrality and Title 2. I vote and I am a tax payer. Thank you.
270. Patrick Schultz, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I support net neutrality backed by the Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers.
271. Lawrence Cohen, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Any other policy is overtly, anti-consumer in interest.
272. Net Neutrality, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Please maintain current net neutrality protections, especially Title 2.
273. Net Neutrality, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's
274. Ian Breslin, Glendale, AZ, 85308  
I actively support net neutrality under Title II.
275. Dirk de Bont, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 and oversight by IPS'S
276. Alexander, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Net neutrality is necessary now more than ever. With this increasing Internet age we need to protect ourselves from company's able to slow service just got their own profit.
277. Daniel Alvarez, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Enforce net neutrality as its current form. Don't let Verizon and other ISPs abuse the system. #johnoliver
278. Matthew Maley, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE 2!!!!
279. Peter Feinstein, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I wanted to make sure that my voice is heard and understood. ALL Internet Service Providers (ISP's) are exactly as the FCC has previously classified them, as Title II public utilities, subject to all the restrictions and regulations accorded thereto. They do not own the content they distribute, nor do they lay claim to ownership of the content I seek out. Even more to the point, whatever content they do appear to own, has come to them by acquisition of properties that produce content, not through organic processes. Simply put they are pigs attempting to swaddle on enough lipstick to no longer look like pigs. Yet the truth of their status remains unchanged regardless of their attempts to look a different part. They are mere utilities, hosting the pipes through which the content of my choice flows. They are in fact, primarily and solely charged with maintaining the clarity of these pipes, and specifically forbidden to create bottlenecks or toll-booths through which I or my selected content has to travel to reach me. To reclassify them as anything other than Title II will be selling out the American citizenry, and will almost certainly result in a delightful court battle in which the FCC's Pai and his minion ISP's will suffer defeat. This is not a partisan, political issue. If it were, I'd be on the side of ISP money; this is a matter for principles over personalities. Net Neutrality must be the law of the land. Looking forward to Mr. Pai's awakening that will help him see how wrong he is, about a great many things.
280. Net Neutrality, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Preserve President Obama's legacy of Net Neutrality! Leave it enforced as it is.
281. B. Brotherton, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
Leave current net neutrality rules in place.
282. Eric Prince, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I support Net Neutrality and classification of ISPs under Title II

283. Soham Gupta, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Preserve title 2 regulations for ISPs, government oversight is necessary and not terribly burdensome on the private sector in this case. If these protections are not mandated by the government the potential for new revenue streams will be too great to trust the ISPs to remain neutral.
284. Davis Householder, phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Title II oversight of ISPs is essential for a free and open internet. Are you all seriously going to sit down and let the ISPs decide what is free/open? I assume everyone who works at the FCC or just does something in general with proceeding 17-108 has at least a high school diploma or equivalency so do not act like you don't know exactly how this would hurt the people.
285. Alexander Miller, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
It is vital that the FCC continue to regulate ISPs under Title II of the Telecommunications Act and to protect net neutrality in this manner. ISPs should not be allowed to pick winners and losers in the digital economy and force them upon their users.
286. David Valenzuela, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I am strongly in favor of protecting the existing fcc regulations regarding net neutrality.
287. Michelle Gideon, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I strongly SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. This country NEEDS net neutrality preserved.
288. Lance Gulseth, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Please retain net neutrality as it is. It's a much needed protection for the American people and should NOT be changed in any way.
289. Benjamin DeLuca, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. I am opposed to docket No. 17-108. I also demand the immediate resignation of chairman Pai, as he clearly does not have the customer's best interests or consumer rights in mind.
290. Conor Bailie, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
To Whom it May Concern:I feel very strongly that it is in the best interest of all people who use the internet in the USA that we continue to preserve neutrality on the internet with continued regulation of ISPs under Title II of the Federal Communications Act. Thank you,Conor Bailie
291. Dennis Kittrell, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I am a 15 year veteran in technology (domain names, hosting and cloud). I am highly against eliminating net neutrality.
292. Jeri Bishop, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I am asking that Congress and the current Administration not change or eliminate the Title II protections for the internet.
293. M.E. Getz, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I support maintaining net neutrality. Please don't ruin that for the rest of us in exchange for a larger Reese's mug.
294. Nick Bays, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Strong internet neutrality as backed by Title II oversight of ISPs is central to the the way we as a country consume media and information. The people have spoken countless times. Please, listen to us again.
295. Greg Barnette, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I have yet to see any provable facts that net neutrality regulations will cause or have caused any decrease in internet infrastructure investment. Net neutrality is vital to small businesses with limited marketing budgets that cannot be spent defending what they already have. This is a clear waste of tax dollars and there has been no proof of negative effects from the regulations. This appears to be certain political figures attempting to look important at the expense of small businesses and the American consumer.
296. Net Neutrality, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I critically support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am opposed to Docket No. 17-108! We must preserve Internet freedom!
297. Kevin Higgins, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
This gutting of net neutrality is nothing but a thinly veiled attempt to further line the pockets of Internet Service Providers. The ridiculous claims that this will somehow help consumers and provide more people with better quality internet is plainly false. This is a simple cash grab and if passed, it will show that the FCC has no real interest in

protecting consumers, but is instead simply in the pockets of Internet Service Providers and their lobbyists.

298. Linda Garbareno, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I support Equal access to online information!
299. Linda West, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Keeping the internet highway neutral is important to all of us. In my specific case, my small business does webinars for schools. We need steady streaming to compete with larger companies. Please keep us all on a level playing round.
300. Garrick Pfeiffer, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I'm in favor of strong net neutrality policies by keeps Title 2 protections in place for internet service providers.
301. Joseph Jaeger, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Enforced net neutrality is absolutely vital to freedom and democracy. Don't mess it up.
302. Christa Hughes, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
The former CEO of Cisco Systems kept saying that the Internet is the great equalizer. If we can't get behind that, what does that say about us? Net neutrality is critical to remain a leader in the new knowledge based industries.
303. Justin Kitterman, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Please don't ruin what a wonderful place the internet has grown into. I support strong net neutrality backed Title II oversight of ISPs.
304. Brandi Powell, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
This is my strong appeal for you to preserve net neutrality and Title II!
305. Jeremy Mone, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
Net Neutrality should not be disrupted, and nor should it be put into Carrier's hands. (ISP or phone) It should remain in its title 2 classification, and be treated as an agnostic utility like service much like electricity & water. There should \*NEVER\* be a way for any form of data carrier or communication provider to ever give \*ANY\* form or preference for any one type of data over another regardless of where it comes from, what is contained in it, or where it is going to. Only a neutral free and open internet will ever continue to provide the prosperity we have seen from all forms of online business.
306. Richard J. Arnold, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Internet service providers (ISPs) must give consumers access to all legal content and applications on an equal basis as stated per Title II. Having companies put an agreement in their Terms of Service is NOT a viable solution and will simply invite misconduct and can change at a company's whim at any given time without public awareness. Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. DO NOT erode the net's impartiality.
307. Henry Svendblad, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
DO NOT ROLL BACK CHANGE TO NET NEUTRALITY!!
308. Alexander Wenderlich, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I strongly support Net Neutrality, that is why we need Title II oversight of ISPs
309. Zach Cade, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I urge you to preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. This is a vital right and freedom of equality!
310. Clesson Hill, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I believe in strong net neutrality. I don't want my providers to pick what should be fast or slow on my devices. I pay a fee for service and I deserve the same service as all other customers, no throttling whatsoever!!!!
311. Justin Seroy, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Please keep net neutrality and title 2. Thank you. Best regards, Justin and Brittany Seroy
312. Nathanael Phillips, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Internet Service Providers should be classified as Common Carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. I am strongly opposed to docket number 17-108.
313. David Lanes, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I am specifically informing the FCC that I want there to be Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
314. Steven Kreschollek, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
Hi there. I work in the IT industry as a web developer. While I currently work for an agency, I do occasionally freelance -

and am working on a side project that I hope will one day challenge some bigger players in its field. This project has no hope of succeeding if the larger players can 'pay to play' for access to ISPs. I need Net Neutrality to protect my future business interests, and my future tax dollars. An open and free internet is the best way forward, and keeping the Title 2 classification holds ISP accountable for nonsense they may commit, not 'burden them with unnecessary regulation'.

315. john McClelland, Scottsdale, AZ, 85262  
I support a strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
316. Sabine Vecchio, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Stop continuing to let Verizon, Cox, Comcast, and other large internet service providers monopolize the industry. I do not want my access/speeds to Netflix or other competing business throttled because the government suddenly makes it ok. PROTECT OUR NET NEUTRALITY. Do not allow bigger companies to bribe their way into getting better internet connectivity. Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II.
317. Steven Allsopp, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I support strong net neutrality regulation backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
318. J Sandoval, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and keep ISP's as Title II designation.
319. Conrad Miller, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Protecting Net Neutrality is crucial to keeping the internet fair for businesses, fair for users, and the tax payers who pay for the Cables to be laid in the ground. This does not benefit the Citizens of this Country
320. Liane Tomlinson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality is essential! Please stop this madness.
321. Anastasia Casten, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
PRESERVE Net Neutrality and Title 2!!
322. Matthew Geer, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
As a consumer and as a business owner, I know first hand how important a free and neutral internet are to providing both access and scale to fresh ideas, products, services and expression. I'm worried that the protections that are in place will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. I would support a new regulation style if it guarantees the same or better protections, but not if we lose any. Net Neutrality is essential to all Americans.
323. Will, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I support strong protections of Net Neutrality backed by Title II regulations. Also, I find it absurd that an ex-lawyer for the company whose lawsuit precipitated the the classification of ISPs as Title II utilities in the first place has any legal say in this matter. The conflict of interest is so glaring as to require retina repair surgery later. Ajit Pai should recuse himself from these proceedings.
324. kim pliszka, Cave creek, AZ, 85331  
I support net neutrality. I dont want my ISP to be able to slow down a website thats not able to pay as much as an established company. This will harm start ups and any service that competes with the ISPs favored sites.
325. Net Neutrality, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I support strong net neutrality as protected by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.
326. leonor johnson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Keep strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP.
327. Dave Parker, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I support Title II provisions for Net Neutrality. While generally government is not a good regulator of private business it must do so when the businesses hold a state-mandated monopoly. Logistically, there must be a limit to the number of cable owners for internet service. This creates an automatic monopoly when the owners of those lines are corporations. Because of this monopoly, cable providers must be regulated to prevent the natural abuse monopolies tend to engender. They must also be prevented from attempting to manipulate such regulation in any way because that is also a natural inclination of a for-profit business. I'm all for freeing up markets as much as is possible but this is not a free market and those rules cannot apply. So what type of regulation is most effective and efficient? A simple rule applying existing 'utility' context to the industry seems appropriate. Please retain this sensible structure.
328. Kevin, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I support Title 2 and strict net neutrality laws do not allow ISPs leeway on this issue.

329. William Murray, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Absolutely do not eliminate net neutrality. Keep ISPs as Title II. Keep net neutrality as it is! We do not want cable companies sabotaging our data!
330. John Black, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I am commenting in favor of maintaining net neutrality. The FCC should play no role in allowing ISP's to choose those internet services that should be available to the public or make it more difficult or expensive for the public to access those services just for the purpose of increasing ISP profits. The FCC does not exist to do the bidding of ISP's!
331. Jessica Cupero, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
As a concerned US citizen I would like to say the it is very important to preserve neutrality and the Title II communications act. Thank you,
332. Net Neutrality, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
The fact that the chairman of the FCC is an old verizon lawyer who would directly benefit from rolling back net neutrality laws should scream corruption. DO NOT ROLL BACK NET NEUTRALITY YOU WILL DIRECTLY AFFECT BUSINESS NEGATIVELY IN AMERICA.
333. Bill Moroney, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Preserve NET NEUTRALITY and Title II, please!As an American voter, I believe it must be so.
334. George Frick, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
The chairman lacks ethic's as well as moral's, in delivering a public utility that the government has spent billions creating into the hands of his former and future employers. A hand full of monopoly companies, that could be controlled by foreign interests, will be able to blackmail customers on both ends of the wire. That wouldn't server the interests of the government or customers.
335. Mary Barnett, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please maintain strong net neutrality rules that are backed by Title 2.
336. Ron Dreyfus, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Oversight and enforcement is key to any good regulation. I am not convinced that relaxing standards that were established to protect consumers will be more effective in protecting the very consumers whose interests were intended to be protected. I am a consumer, and doubt very much that voluntary cooperation and the spirit of good intention will prove as effective as prescribed rules from which business practices are shaped. Guarantees of protection are preferable to wishful thinking; and less regulation to promote more consumer unhappiness is not my idea of best practices.
337. David Miller, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
I support strong regulations on Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of all ISP's.
338. Fredrick Nay, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversights of ISP's. Thanks.
339. Karl Sproule, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Preserve net neutrality. Internet service providers (ISPs) should remain classified as "common carriers" under Title II of the Telecommunications Act.
340. Phillip F Miller, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I fully support Internet Neutrality and Title 2
341. Ian Fitch, Phoenix, AZ, 85254  
I Strongly support Net Neutrality under Title Two Regulation
342. Jacquelyn Davis, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I urge you to protect Internet Neutrality with strong backing by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please do not be influenced by a Big monied ISP anti consumer agenda.Thank you.JRD
343. restoring internet freedom, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
I support tittle 2 and net neutrality.
344. Mark Miller, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
ISP providers should be regulated under Title 2 or as a communications monopoly or oligopoly, which they are. Net Neutrality is critical to the evolution of the internet. Corporate control of limited public infrastructure must address the public's needs before that of the corporate owner. ISP providers must be held to a higher standard of support for the individual by FCC rules or by additional Congressional Legislation.

345. Robert Akhbari, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I support strong Net Neutrality and Title 2 enforcement.
346. Amit Srivastava, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Mr Ajit Pai and Team, I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of our ISP. Please respect the decision based on majority feedback both now and previously.
347. Ashwath Vijayakumar, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Do not deregulate my internet.
348. Dylan Higgins, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I strongly support net neutrality and the classification of ISP's as Title II. Please do the right thing.
349. David Davidoff, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
I believe there should be strong, enforceable rules on ISP's to help protect Net Neutrality. I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. Thank you.
350. Noah, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Please leave ISPs regulated under title II to ensure strong net neutrality.
351. Lisa Grinnell, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II classification of ISPs. I am opposed to docket 17-108 or anything that would reclassify ISPs.
352. deanna medeiros, scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
353. LUKE M CAMPBELL, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Preserve net neutrality and keep ISP's in title two, please.
354. JoBeth Jamison, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs, as well as government transparency relative to this subject. I also support a system that doesn't make expressing my opinion as a citizen as difficult as cracking the DaVinci Code. Thank you.
355. Jon Kichen, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I support strong net neutrality and Title II oversight of all ISPs. You are employed to protect American citizens and net neutrality is critical.
356. Diana Love, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I support net neutrality-Title II not Title I. I'm positive that corporations will put their interest first, not the interest of the public. It benefits the public to have the FCC setting limits on their actions as Title II does.
357. Lillian Piazza, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Net Neutrality MUST be maintained. Period.
358. jonkelley, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I support net neutrality and will vote in accordance with this view.
359. Randy McGrane, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I support Net Neutrality and the internet classified under Title II as an essential public utility.
360. Dana L Kichen, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I am an American taxpayer and i am a strong supporter of net neutrality. This must be maintained so that all Americans have equal access to the Internet and that ISP's are not allowed to put certain people or programs into the slow lane. this is the role of the FCC.
361. jerry meyers, scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
i vehemently support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of internet service providers. please do not relax this regulation.
362. Brandon Graham, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. The internet has become an integral part of every day life for many Americans. We cannot leave to chance that ISP's will act in the best interest of a free and open internet. It is reasonable for them to want more freedoms, but it is our job to hold fast against those wants and stand

up for our rights and the rights of fledgling companies who would have a better chance competing on a more even playing field. Thank you for your consideration.

363. tea jaimes, scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's thank you
364. Dustin Curtis, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Please keep net neutrality. Keep ISP regulation under Title II where it belongs and don't let it revert to Title I.
365. pepboys, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I support net neutrality and title two. Changing this will negatively affect internet experience.
366. marc j, scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I want to express my disgust that the FCC is considering changing the Net Neutrality rules. When allowed to police themselves, corporations have shown that they place their priority on making a profit at the expense of the public. ISPs need to remain classified under Title II to protect the equality for all. Don't allow big companies to dictate what is best for all.
367. marcy kelley, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I support net neutrality and getting rid of it would be a huge disservice to the public.
368. Bob Juby, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Keep Net Neutrality, Its the right thing to do.
369. Geralene Jones, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Please vote to sustain Net Neutrality and Title II
370. William Baker, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Keep the current rules in place. ISPs should continue to be governed by Title 2 regulations. How can a former Verizon ISP lawyer be leading the FCC without a bias opinion? The people of America have already made a choice.
371. Alex Krolak, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I support strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II for the oversight of ISPs.
372. Joseph Duerst, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I support net neutrality under Title II. Do not allow corporations to suppress the free sharing of information on the internet and favor their own websites and interests. P.S. I would never trust a clown that drinks from a giant clown mug. Grow up and realize that you will catch a massive wave of public criticism and ridicule if you act on behalf of lobbyists against net neutrality.
373. Daniel LaCroix, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Net neutrality is vitally important to the continued free flow of information and any attempt to allow ISPs to restrict traffic as they see fit is completely unacceptable in a democracy.
374. Erica, Phoenix, AZ, 85054  
Please Preserve Net Neutrality!!!
375. Janis Wood, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
The FCC needs to insure that net neutrality rules remain in place under Title 2.
376. Peter Jacobs, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Please, please, please keep net neutrality rules backed by Title 2. Thank you.
377. John F. Day II Esq., Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I strongly support net neutrality, and oppose any changes to the current rules.
378. Mary and Franco Farina, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Please! Preserve Title II to protect Internet Neutrality. Thank you!
379. Joshua Carlin, Phoenix, AZ, 85054  
I support strong net neutrality and title 2 oversight, please preserve them.
380. Tasa Day, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I strongly support net neutrality and oppose any changes to the current rules.
381. Daniel R Held, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259

Maintain Net Neutrality! Maintain Net Neutrality! Maintain Net Neutrality! Maintain Net Neutrality! Do not give ISP's the ability to manipulate content or speed of what I want to view on the internet. Maintain Net Neutrality! Maintain Net Neutrality! Maintain Net Neutrality! Maintain Net Neutrality!

382. rachel carlin, Phoenix, AZ, 85054  
I strongly support net neutrality and title II oversight, please preserve them .
383. Yvonne Hogan, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Keep net neutrality and Title II. Protect our Internet
384. Ellie J Borst, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Infinite knowledge should be accessible to all people, no matter their class. I am a teenage blogger who is passionate about journalism and current events and I simply do not compare to google. Please, let knowledge continue to be accessible to all.
385. Christopher Leone, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Title II should remain the law of the land for all Internet Service Providers. Mr. Pai has a clear conflict of interest in this matter and must recuse himself immediately.
386. Sebastien Millon, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
Keep and enforce Net Neutrality. Curb oligopolies and market manipulation by these entities who will exploit their market power to distort internet speeds at their discretion. Need proper regulation on this, not less. Companies cannot be trusted with self-regulating, that is fox guarding the henhouse.
387. Brendan Doyle, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I support continued stronger regulation of net neutrality rules under title 2
388. blaine, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
I feel strongly about keeping title 2 instead of title 1 as the regulating base for net neutrality.
389. Brian Turner, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
There are too many conflicts of interests with the gate keepers of the Internet to not be regulated in a fashion that keeps the Internet open, free, and fair for the equal use of everyone.
390. ann turai, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Do not change net neutrality rules, or legal basis
391. rob mawk, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Preserve net neutrality and maintain title 2 status for ISPs.
392. Justin Gregorich, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I strongly disagree with ending title two net neutrality laws. We need strong internet regulations against ISPs so they will not have the ability to favor companies. Don't end something you obviously know nothing about.
393. Austin Auch, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by title 2.
394. Suzanne Mercer, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I strongly contend that any movement that would serve to erode the current state of net neutrality is short sighted, and against the interests of American consumers. Keep the protections incorporated in Title 2.
395. Kathleen vick, Phoenix #, AZ, 85022  
Protect net neutrality#
396. sylvia nash, phoenix, AZ, 85022  
preserve net neutrality - please uphold title 2
397. Michael Lawrence, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Please preserve the protection of Title II and the protection of net neutrality. Without Title II we leave corporations regulating themselves which means the public and common people are harmed. Please think of the people and the damage done by reversing Title II and net neutrality. NO to reversing NET NEUTRALITY regulations!
398. stephen sandelius, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
I strongly support net neutrality. Please don't let a handful of ISPs control the flow of information. Small companies should have just as much of a chance to do business on the internet as large companies.

399. Aimee comstock, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I support a strong net neutrality. We need to keep net neutrality under title 2. I want title 2 oversight of ISP's.
400. Daniel Robbins, Scottsdale, AZ, 85266  
Preserve Net Neutrality! Net Neutrality is essential to the innovation and freedom of the internet. No ISP should ever have control over what and how fast ANY information is delivered across the internet. ISP's are FOR PROFIT and will always will make decisions that benefit their bottom line and their investors. I have been a Software Engineer for 12 years now and to think the one thing that allowed me to further my career will be controlled by a handful of companies is insanity. That it is even being considered I am spending the time at this moment to right these comments is beyond troubling. It's foolish to think that any for profit organization could ever be trusted with the freedom and responsibility of anything! Keep the Internet Title II! Regulate ISP's!!!! They should NEVER have control, EVER!!!
401. Charles Allen, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Net neutrality is of the most important issues facing us in 2017. We must allow equal access to all content and not allow corporations to decide for us what content deserves bandwidth and what doesn't. Keep ISPs under Title II status.
402. Leonard Geissenberger, Scottsdale,, AZ, 85262  
Net neutrality is essential for a free America for citizens to thrive and prosper. Freedom of speech is what is America is founded on and essential for a healthy Country. Anything less is the beginning of the end of the USA as we know it and want it to be going forward
403. Cody Wikman, SCOTTSDALE, AZ, 85259  
Preserve net neutrality. Keep ISP's under Title II. This affects everyone.
404. Erric A Crockett, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I support net neutrality backed by title 2. Don't give in to the demands of the ISPs.
405. Eugene Young, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I fully support Title 2 classifications for net neutrality rules. Do \*not\* roll back this regulation.
406. jacob yoon, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
I do not agree with this obvious corporate influenced legislation restricting internet freedom. It is inappropriately named and intentionally misleading to the american public. Please do the right thing and do not pass this for the sake of the people you supposedly serve.
407. Ronald Diamant, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Please keep all Internet Service Providers - including all mobile phone companies that provide Internet service - under Title II. Moving ISPs off Title II is a terrible idea. It will harm consumers and innovation by preventing real competition from small start-ups. ISPs will provide preferential treatment to the richest companies, not the most innovative ones. Title II provides the only effective tool to the government for enforcing its rules and regulations.
408. Maria Rodriguez, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. The current backing behind selling information and not protecting our constitutional rights as they relate to advancing technology and the importance of a free-information internet is unconscionable.
409. Michael Cornelius, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
I support net neutrality and am opposed to any action to take it away from us. "We the people" are self-governed and do not wish to have Washington work in the interest of corporations over the interests of the people. Preserve Net Neutrality and title 2
410. Rita Cook, scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I am strongly imploring to Ajit Pai not to weaken the net neutrality rules. Why take away our rights to see and watch whatever we want as a consumer? What is it that he thinks we are supposed to be watching that will pay someone more money than the next provider, and what will he be paid for the switching? Ajit Pai the FCC Chairman Ajit Pai who revealed plans to weaken Obama-era net neutrality rules that prevented internet service providers from favoring certain sites by intentionally speeding or slowing down user access. (Appointed by Trump, Pai previously worked at Verizon as a lawyer.) and not push this further and take away our rights to pick and choose how we use our own internet.
411. max power, scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I support net neutrality with full title 2 review.
412. Clinton Stephens, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Title 2 is the only way to protect the free and open internet. I vote and I pay attention.

413. g walters, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Please do not reverse net neutrality rules.
414. Ben Russell, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Strong net neutrality regulations with Title II oversight is the only guarantee to protect the Internet. Without it, the Internet is free for companies that have multiple conflicts of interest, to abuse their monopolies and unfairly restrict access to their competitors from customers. Please stand up to these companies and help make America a better country.
415. Crystal Gatton, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
I strongly support net neutrality and the retention of Title II for regulation of ISPs.
416. Timothy Gatton, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
I strongly support net neutrality and the retention of title II for the regulation of ISPs
417. Joseph Martinez, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Please preserve strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers
418. Sylvia M Richard, Scottsdale, AZ, 85266  
Please keep net neutrality in Title 2!!
419. Fanchon Edgar, Phoenix, AZ, 85020  
Keep Net Neutrality and Title II INTACT!
420. Steven Wood, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please protect Net neutrality by continuing to enforce title 2. I do not wish for the current protections to be removed.
421. Kelsey Hage, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Title II is the only way to protect the free and open internet. I have the vote and I'm not afraid to use it!
422. Cheryl Nelson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Do NOT repeal Title II net neutrality! Repealing is not restoring "internet freedom"!
423. James Kilbride, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
This message is written to support strong net neutrality based on a Title 2 interpretation.
424. Joan Westdorp, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Please, preserve Net Neutrality and Title2
425. Jane Bryant, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Keep net neutrality for the benefit of consumers under title II. The isp's cannot be trusted to look after their customers' interests.
426. Robert J. Stahl, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
PLEASE keep ISP's in Title 2 and don't change the net neutrality rules. ANY change which allows ISP's to decide what websites load faster than others is a detriment to my home business which relies 100% on Internet traffic. Thank you.
427. Protect net neutrality, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I, along with just about all Americans not influenced by/involvement with ISPs, support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Ajit Pai's blatantly biased attacks on these protections are a terribly good example of corruption in America today.
428. Erin Mcguire-Fischer, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I am in favor of net neutrality and to keep title 2 regulations on companies. Please don't let this go away. Thank you.
429. Jeff Nappi, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Network neutrality is critical to the future of the economy. The internet as we know it was built on the freedom of smaller companies to compete with giants. This balance is critical.
430. Peter Roy, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Having learned what the above title could mean to my use of the Internet; the "roll back". I would encourage you to uphold strong net neutrality and uphold title 2. Thanks regards, Peter.
431. T, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I support strong net neutrality and title two. We need to keep these in effect.

432. Sharon Hackney, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I am outraged that protections that have been put in place to ensure fairness and consumer protections are in danger of being thrown out under the false pretense of not being good for investors into new technology and growth in the industry. Should this be allowed to happen consumers will not take it lying down. we will be heard loud and clear.
433. Kaitlyn Myzwinski, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I am writing today in support of Net Neutrality and Title II. I believe firmly in keeping the current legal structure in place to help defend against corporations having the ability to adversely effect ISP's. The government, as a neutral party, should oversee Net Neutrality regulations and continue to uphold the framework that exists and ask kindly that you do not roll back these regulations.
434. Clinton, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I would like to show my support for net neutrality and title 2. Please keep these in effect.
435. Net Neutrality, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
It is your job to protect Net Neutrality under Title II. There are many examples of abuse by large ISP's and the public should have open and equal access.
436. Robert Dillon, Scottsdale, AZ, 85262  
I don't trust my service provider Cox to allow competition between websites and other internet mediums. I think that ISP's should stay under title II so that they are properly regulated to prevent misconduct.
437. andrew kent, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
Net Nuetrality is the single most important thing this administration can protect. I hope that you will keep the Title II regulations in tact.
438. Laura Weeshoff, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I fully support the original net neutrality Obama intended. It will encourage innovation instead of stifling it. Do your duty and continue enforcing net neutrality strictly. The future of our country depends on it.
439. Paul Clare, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II !!!
440. Vanessa Hinson, phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Net neutrality is critical to a fair and open internet. A pay-to-play model lets a few monopolistic companies decide on the priority of internet traffic. Would cities consider building a billionaires-only lane on the freeway? The internet is a vessel for sharing information worldwide, freely and fairly. Blocking net neutrality would jeopardize the environment of building and sharing that an open internet has created. It would hinder innovation, learning, sharing, and freedom.
441. Nari Ely, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I'm writing to express my support for net neutrality and the Title II classification of ISPs. The internet is too important a medium of expression of both ideas and information to be left to the dictates of the free market.
442. Lutz Vomberg, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Please preserve net neutrality rules and the Title II authority used to enforce them, for the sake of consumers! We do not need more brainwashing!!!!
443. jack rollins, deer vally, AZ, 85027  
monopolies destroy the inovative capacity of the free market, and allowing isp's to use my government to move towards such ends threatens my freedoms and hinders my country and my countrymen.
444. bowers, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
We need Net Neutrality rules. They should not be abolished.
445. Clint Mullins, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
It is incredibly important to keep net neutrality for all Americans. Please make sure to disallow corporate control of approving content and/or slowing internet connections based on their competitive advantages.
446. Inga Nappi, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Net Neutrality is critical to the future of our economy. ISP's should remain in Title II classification.
447. Sloan Saunders, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I am against this, please keep net neutrality
448. kyle walz, phoenix, AZ, 85029

i suport strong net nutrality backed by title 2 ovesite of isps

449. Barbara Neagle, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
Please uphold existing internet neutrality laws and do not repeal them.
450. Zach Bair, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
PLEASE preserve Net Neutrality and keep ISPs under Title II.
451. Daniel S Silverman, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
I strongly support net neutrality and urge you to keep ISPs regulated under Title II. The argument that regulation curbs competitive markets is not convincing when the regulation is aimed at preventing restraint of trade.
452. net neutrality, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I support the preservation of net neutrality and the title 2 classification of ISPs. Everyone deserves equal access to internet content. Small companies also deserve to have a chance instead of being crushed by large companies.
453. dylan miller, Scottsdale, AZ, 85262  
I support strong net neutrality and keeping the Internet service providers regulated under Title II.
454. Jennifer Kirkpatrick, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
Please protect net neutrality. The plan to allow ISPs to self-regulate is not reasonable. Title 2 needs to remain in effect.
455. Peggy McFarlin, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Preserve net neutrality by keeping ISPs in Title II
456. Rockwell Jackson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
I support strong net neutrality with title 2 and enforcement.
457. Fermat, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
we support strong net neutrality and title II oversight for all ISPs.
458. Michelle Reingold, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I fully support net neutrality and keeping companies regulated under Title 2. This would be terrible for consumers, so I urge the FCC to maintain the current standings.
459. kendra, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Please persevere net nutrality and title II. And keep existing practices and laws in place.
460. Shaun Siemon, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Please preserve the Title II designation for ISPs.Do not allow the ISPs to destroy Net Neutrality.
461. john oliver, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
I support net neutrality and title II. Do not let that Reese's mug guy take it away
462. tanya murtland, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Please keep Net Nutrality and Title 2. Do not roll this back.
463. \*Staci Segal, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP providers. Preserve net neutrality and Title II.
464. James Reingold, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Keep companies out of deciding who gets quick access to content , I should be able to watch what I want whether or not it's approved by my ISP. I oppose changes that will degrade net neutrality
465. Kamran Khan, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I believe that net neutrality must remain to preserve liberty and the American way.
466. Dustin Martin, scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I support STRONG Net Neutrality is the only way. Title 2 oversight of ISP is working.
467. james lukas, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Keep net neutrality. Don't interfere with the smooth flow of information which we have and want to keep.
468. ASHA PATKI, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
I am a strong supporter of Net neutrality, and believe that the ISPs should be covered under Title II. . The FCC's Open Internet rules MUST protect and maintain open, uninhibited access to legal online content without broadband Internet

access providers being allowed to block, impair, or establish fast/slow lanes to lawful content. DO NOT ROLL BACK THESE PROTECTIONS TO FAVOR VERIZON AND OTHER LARGE ISPS. THIS IS BLATANT FAVORING ODD YOUR EX EMPLOYER AJIT PAI. YOU ARE A SHAME TO ALL DECENT PEOPLE OF INDIAN ORIGIN.

469. mark koslow, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Please keep net neutrality as it is written in title 2.
470. jerry locke, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
I support net neutrality and the title 2 classification to manage ISP's.
471. Jubilee Paige, Phoenix, AZ, 85254  
Strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversights of ISPs
472. Sara Miles, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
I support Net Neutrality and using Title II to manage ISPs. Don't change it!! As a small business owner, my livelihood relies on net neutrality.
473. bennett, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II proceedings. Fair use of the internet is essential to our country's security and function.
474. mike darson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I support Net Neutrality and support preserving net neutrality and Title 2
475. Adam Lane, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
I express my desire for the FCC to maintain net neutrality and the current form of Title II. Thank you
476. sally rau, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
i urge you to preserve net neutrality and title 2. anything by else would be just plain stupid.
477. Jovan, phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I strongly support net neutrality with strong over site with article II.
478. Steve Murray, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Protect Net Neutrality!!!!
479. thomas, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2 regulation. Thank you
480. Samantha, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Do not take away the FCC's power to regulate ISPs in regards to net neutrality by classifying ISPs under title 1.
481. David Putney, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Please keep control of ISPs under Title II (2). Contrary to what has been said by opponents of Net Neutrality, including the new FCC director, there have been several examples of ISPs attempting to give priority to some traffic and either reduce or block other traffic. If the strong regulations go out the window, free and open access to the Internet by everyone will go out the window with it.
482. Jillian Jones, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
Please keep net neutrality - internet should remain free from corporate influence and prioritization.
483. Brian Cantor, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please have some moral decency and keep title II and net neutrality. There is no valid reason besides corporate greed to roll back these regulations
484. Matt Johnson, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Keeping strong net neutrality rules backed by Title 2 is the only acceptable way to keep ISPs from taking advantage of their customers. A promise or gentlemen's agreement is not enough to prevent these companies from using the tools at their disposal to profit from preferentially serving or throttling content.
485. Christine Hammon, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
Our democracy is manipulated by those who have the power. The power to manipulate and select what information is available. Net neutrality is a critical piece in leveling the playing field for those without resources to open doors any other way. While our politicians, with a few exceptions, are creating and passing legislature that benefits the wealthiest, those with few or no resources have the internet and Title I and II safe guarding what can be a piece of access to

information that can be the difference in their lives. When we do not have access to ALL of the information available, we miss opportunities to learn, to connect, to save lives, and to celebrate each other.

486. Anna Brice, Scottsdale, AZ, 85266  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. If you are, in fact, working for the American people, do what is right and keep it intact.
487. andrea lechner, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Don't leave corporations in charge of what and how I get access to specific internet things. They can't be trusted.... obviously.
488. valerie, Glendale, AZ, 85310  
I support strong net neutrality backed but Title II
489. John Bowler, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Please preserve net neutrality. Do not change ISP service from Title 2.
490. net neutrality, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I feel very strongly about maintaining Net Neutrality. Please do not repeal any laws related to a strong independent internet.
491. John Long, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
I think you should keep net neutrality and title II categories. If they are gotten rid of, it seems the FCC chairman would be self serving.
492. Spenser Rames Pickell, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I specifically support Title 2! Net neutrality rules are an important bedrock to have for the digital age we're entering. Also, preventative measures are a good thing to have in place. Ajai Pai is a dumbass!
493. Richard Doyle, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I support net neutrality and will vote according next November. I will cast my vote against any politician, from either party, who supports ending net neutrality.
494. cheryl atwood, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Please keep title 2 and strong net neutrality in place
495. Jennifer Albright, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
I am in support of Net Neutrality and Title II provisions. Do not remove this. It is important to keep these regulations in place to not only to protect smaller companies but keep the ISPs from interfering with our choices.
496. Sara Haley, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Title II is extremely important to keeping the internet free and open. If regulations are allowed to relax, companies have the ability to decide what content they deem more important to deliver first. Please keep net neutrality secure and don't allow it to be compromised.
497. Debra Cabell, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I fully support keeping net neutrality under Section 2. We are not stupid sirs!
498. Corey Johnson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Please shift your views to support net neutrality. It is important for the government and the FCC to hold these large companies who hold the power of the internet accountable for keeping things fair for all Americans. Rolling back previous Obama era actions will release these companies from the only thing keeping the internet truly free for all people and I will vehemently oppose anything the FCC does that does not have the best interest of the people at heart.
499. Kristin Poindexter, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I urge you to keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II!!!
500. we need net neutrality, phoenix, AZ, 85050  
we need net neutrality. Stop the brutality
501. tylar hoffman, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Why are you guys deciding to side with the big companies now but before you were all about the little people?
502. Noah A Ross, SCOTTSDALE, AZ, 85260  
ISPs must remain Title II to preserve net neutrality. Do not reclassify ISPs.

503. M Payan, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I am writing to express my support for Title II oversight of internet service providers. Please, it is crucial we preserve net neutrality.
504. MR SMITH, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I support strong net neutrality provided by Title II oversight. I am deeply disappointed in this administrations attempts to allow corporations financial goals to supersede the individual citizens best interest. In my opinion the "false benefits" proposed by the revocation of Title II oversight are just that "false". To assume that corporations will act to the benefit of the individual American citizen demonstrates a total lack of ethics and morals, and simply represents this administrations true modus operandi.
505. Deborah Turner, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Net neutrality and title 2 governance is essential.
506. Jason Neill, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I strongly support a robust regulation of net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight. I do not feel that a "voluntary" agreement by the companies supplying access to the internet that they will not do anything to affect download speeds is woefully inadequate and tantamount to turning over complete oversight and basic access to the internet to the company's charging me money every month. Internet access is now such an essential aspect of the overall economy that it should be treated as a basic utility. Sincerely, Jason Neill
507. Mary OHara, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Keep net neutrality rules as they are. ISPs should not have the ability to determine how I use the web and what content I view. They should remain on Title 2 with everyone else. Reversing these rules puts the government back in charge of my communications by choosing who gets to succeed on the internet. This is what you are supposed to be opposed to, that is the government choosing winners and losers. Leave it as is and let me make my own content choices!!!!
508. josh kaplan, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
I support strong net neutrality rules that are protected under title II. Please preserve title II and net neutrality.
509. Charles Crawford, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I strongly support the importance of net neutrality.
510. Vicki Smith, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I support Net Neutrality. My entire family supports Net Neutrality. And we all vote.
511. Ellen Mays, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I was upset to hear about your sneaky plan to change our control over the internet. Please don't change net neutrality and Title 2-it should remain preserved just the way it is now.
512. joe m., Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please do not destroy innovation and free speech by handing over legal authority to for-profit corporations who can limit and restrict access to competitive networks, websites, or media providers. Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II consumer protections. Reducing these legal protections will assure that the United States will no longer be not a truly competitive environment for startups and disruptive internet technologies. SAVE NET NEUTRALITY!
513. Net Neutrality, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
In 2011 the united nations declared that internet access is a basic human right. In a just society that would mean it would be categorized as a utility, not a luxury. Unfortunately, we need to pay for our internet access provided to us by private companies that have vested interests and without a Title II protection, there is nothing stopping those companies from doing whatever is in their interests.
514. Matthew Okamoto, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2. I believe in strong FCC net regulations to keep an open internet. Do not let ISPs throttle what I want to see because it's in their own interests, that is not fair
515. Jordan, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I support a free an open internet that leaves ISP's under title 2. Stop trying to allow monopolies more power than they already have. Preserve net neutrality and title 2
516. Sam Abraham, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
I firmly believe in net neutrality under title II. I'm very concerned in this administration. Trump is a malignant narcissist and juvenile. He is not competent to make this decision.
517. Marlin Schrieder, Phoenix, AZ, 85050

I support Title II for net neutrality. Please do not change the way the current policy is in placed.

518. James Jesiolowski, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I'm writing to voice my support for Net Neutrality, and the protections of Title II. Thank you.
519. Mark Norton, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Preserve Net Neutrality! I am a small business owner and do not trust ISPs. We need the protection for unfiltered content.
520. Margaret Leslie, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title two oversight of ISP's
521. Laura Nye, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
SAVE NET NEUTRALITY. KEEP TITLE II. LEAVE OUR INTERNET ALONE. WE THE PEOPLE PAID FOR ITS BEGINNING AS WE PAY ALL RESEARCH AND DEVELOPMENT BUT GET NOTHING IN RETURN. YOUR GOVERNMENT ENTITY IS THERE FOR THE PEOPLE, NOT TO MAKE CORPORATIONS RICHER OR MORE POWERFUL. THIS IS MADNESS AND IT MUST END. LEAVE THE REGULATIONS IN TACK. THEY PROTECT THE PEOPLE. NO EX VERIZON ATTORNEY SHOULD EVER BE IN CHARGE OF THIS DEPARTMENT.
522. Maulik Shah, scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. There's not point in giving the keys to the hen house to the fox. Back in the day AT&T pushed for strong regulation on telecom because they wanted to make sure that gov't had no incentive to take over the company (as was happening in other parts of the world). Strong competition is fostered with the rules are fair for all.
523. Clayton Creekmore, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Stop this insane net neutrality and keep title two!
524. Elise, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I support strong net neutrality rules and believe ISPs should be under Title II
525. Patrick Dostal, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I support strong Net Neutrality rules and prefer to keep ISPs classified under Title II
526. Christian Snider, Phoenix, AZ, 85020  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Do not move ISP's back to Title 1 or alter the current status.
527. Brittany Flanagan, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I strongly support net neutrality & title II oversight of ISP's. Please keep the internet free & open. I run a small ecommerce business & the future of small business is online. But, small businesses can only succeed if they can compete fairly with large cooperations. Keep the internet open.
528. Rachel Dineff, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Net neutrality needs to remain in our internet policies. ISPs need to follow under Title II. Not doing so would create inequality from service providers. Users should be able to choose how they use their internet (without decreasing speed). Net neutrality upholds citizens' internet and communication rights.
529. Chase Henshaw, phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Adget Pie I support strong net neutrality, backed by title 2 oversight by ISP.
530. MICHAEL GIARAMITA, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
Please protect strong Internet neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
531. Robin DeWeese, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
You need to preserve strong net neutrality rules and Title II.
532. communications, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I demand you protect and preserve net neutrality and title 2, I think we both know that when you after the internet, the internet comes for you. Don't be stupid .
533. Paula Crossman, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I urge you to protect net neutrality from commercial interests by retaining its status under Title II. We need an Internet that allows competition and that is not dominated by powerful companies like Verizon, Comcast, T-Mobile, etc.

534. jamie west, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
I support net neutrality. And I vote accordingly
535. jeff pinter, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Please don't change the net neutrality rules. Leave the internet speed alone.
536. Ben Morgan, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I support net neutrality and the preservation of Article II. Please keep net neutrality!
537. Wendy Schorr, PHOENIX, AZ, 85050  
Keep net neutrality, you assholes!
538. kevin, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I specifically and strongly support NET NEUTRALITY, backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. You should recuse yourself from this issue Mr Pai!
539. Matt Wilson, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
I support net neutrality and will not vote for any official that does not have a record of supporting net neutrality
540. Jamie West, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. And I vote accordingly.
541. Shaun Michael Skinner, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I am in support of strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversights on ISPs. I am vehemently opposed to Docket No 17-108. Internet service providers will take advantage of this deregulation in order to throttle bandwidth speeds for their consumers and strip them of their freedom online.
542. Anne Hickling, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Please maintain net neutrality and Title of the Communications Act.
543. Michael Gary Sills, Scottsdale, AZ, 85262  
ISPs were reclassified under Title II for good reason. Please do not undo this. Preserve Net Neutrality backed by strong Title II rules.
544. Louis Galland, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Keep net neutrality. It is Vital so ISPs don't ruin the internet. Allow the FCC to regulate ISPs with Title II of the communications act.
545. Eric Chambers, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
We strongly believe that Net Neutrality should be preserved as current structured. Moreover, we believe that ISP should continue to be regulated by Title II. Thank you!
546. Ervin Ramos, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I specifical support strong net neutrality and strong over sight of ISP!
547. Tom, Phoenix AZ, AZ, 85255  
We need to keep Net Neutrality as it is right now. ISPs remain Title II.
548. Shaun Kelley, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
We wish for the Open Internet to remain protected under title 2. It is imperative to our livelihood as a remote employees that our internet traffic is not restricted or throttled. ISP's cannot be trusted to self police on this issue.
549. Alisa Garcia, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Protect net neutrality. Do not roll back regulations on ISP providers, keep Article II.
550. Mark Miller, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Please retain the provision that internet providers are under title II and Internet neutrality is preserved.
551. Wendy Weiske, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. My use of the Internet should reflect my choices.
552. Terry Poorten and Chris Poorten, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I urge the FCC to maintain current Net Neutrality regulations.
553. Amy Barber, Phoenix, AZ, 85032

Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality, that's why I support Title 2 over site of ISP's!!Amy Barber

554. Derek Cline, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
It is critical that we continue to maintain net neutrality and preserve Title II. I'm a software engineer who has worked for both large and small businesses and I firmly believe that all businesses deserve an equal shot at competing in the marketplace. I also believe this makes for better price options for the consumers.
555. christopher huston, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Keep ISP under title 2. Maintain net neutrality
556. Mike Milinazzo, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II regulation and do not think the current rules should be changed.
557. Shawn, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I support Net Neutrality and the enforcement of Title II to keep ISP's regulated.
558. Casey Losey, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Tell Mr. Ajita Pai, that I strongly support Net neutrality, that is why I support Title 2 oversight of the ISP's!!!!!!!!!!
559. greg deming, Scottsdale, AZ, 85262  
Enforce net neutrality. Resist major cable and phone companies monopolies.
560. stephanie schaar, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I fully support the preservation of strong net neutrality and title II oversight of ISPs. This is the only way the public is protected.
561. Garrick McFadden, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Any change to the status quo would stifle innovation and kill jobs. The notion that this is even an issue is reckless and lacks any vision.
562. Net Neutrality, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I support the strong regulations on internet service providers. These companies being kept under the Title 2 requirements does the most to ensure a free and open internet.
563. Alejandro Pasaret, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
I support net neutrality at title 2
564. Mary Dexter, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I urge the FCC to maintain net neutrality and keep the internet rules under title II. In order to maintain equal access to the internet for all Americans, schoolchildren, college students and families all deserve to have access at the same speed as everyone else.
565. stuart cary, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
I want strong protection of net neutrality. Title 2 rules should remain in place to govern ISPs. Without Title 2, rules or even stricter regulations, net neutrality won't be possible. Free markets depend on this regulatory structure.
566. matthew, phoenix, AZ, 85032  
please support true, unobstructed net neutrality. it is your job to represent the people and their wishes, and this is what we wish.
567. chad hill, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Save net neutrality; keep title 2
568. net neutrality, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Net neutrality is not like a normal economic market because the bandwidth of the network is not the market the market is which network an individual wants to subscribe to. Net neutrality is about equal opportunity of network and freedom to the internet.
569. Nick Coury, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I am strongly against the repealing of any Net Neutrality policies as is being discussed. I consider the freedom of information flow through the internet to be one of the most important pillars of our modern society. Regardless of what companies may or may not be doing today, we must uphold the ideal that information flow over the internet is now a critical part of our nation's freedom of speech and must be protected.
570. William Day, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251

Chairman Pai Our household is in strong support of Net Neutrality in the real sense, not the way that large ISPs (and you) have tried to re-brand it. We are in favor of the internet being classified under Title II so that we have unhindered access to what ever site or service we are using. This is shameful that this topic is even being discussed and voted on against the will of an overwhelming majority. Do what is right and do what the American people want. You and the ISPs are obviously motivated by personal monetary gain. The US should follow suit with the rest of the modern world and establish the Internet as a basic human right. Title II is the best way to protect the ever important necessity of the Internet.

571. John Gunderson, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Preserve the neutrality and Title 2
572. Paul Magee, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Keep Title 2 and Net Neutrality as it currently is written.
573. Matt Ronquillo, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I support net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. I believe that rolling back these kinds of regulations is hazardous to the economy and to the choices of consumers. Please keep net neutrality upheld.
574. John Ferguson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Please keep net neutrality in the United States. ISP's must remain under Title II. Do your job and quit selling out the American people!
575. Bradley Stinson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Do not side with major ISP's. They care only about their profits, not about what is best for the American people or the world. U.S.A! U.S.A! U.S.A!
576. Edwin J.E. Delph, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please protect net neutrality. I am a small business owner with a few websites and I cannot afford to compete with large corporations who might pay more to get faster internet.
577. Juliano Maynor, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Dismantling net neutrality is a dogshit move, by a dogshit little man who used to work for Verizon. This provides a clear conflict of interest, while Pai continues to feign ignorance to the clusterfuck of a situation the online world will be in if these rules are dismantled. How much is he getting paid by ISP's to remove these laws? With a cushy consulting job after his FCC position ends? Getting rid of net neutrality is an utter insult to the collective intelligence of America, and you're not helping our standing with the international community in the slightest.
578. eric shaffer, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Title 2 Keep net neutrality! Thanks
579. Shelby, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
PLEASE do not do this. This is a terrible idea. We already have to deal with Trump; don't take this freedom away from us.
580. Zvezdan prole, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I am in support of strong internet regulation like title 2
581. Savannah Hine, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
how in the living heck is this even something I need to mention. You should be smarter than thjs
582. Lilliac Elesong, phoenix, AZ, 85023  
You need to keep title 2 and Net Neutrality. Stop trying to line the pockets of the rich assholes who own the ISPs. There's a reason the US is one of the worst fucking countries when it comes to internet speeds and stability.
583. jenna Stephens, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Please please keep sting Net neutrality laws. It is so important as the internet is Not optional anymore!! Thank you.
584. Adam Barlam, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
I support the preservation of net neutrality and title II. We need to ensure we continue to have an open internet.
585. Jacob Zeller, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Keep the net neutral. I am in opposition to docket 17-108. Do not allow any ISP the ability to throttle any data of any kind. I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! And opposed to the Restoring Internet "Freedom" Act.

586. Robert Murtaugh, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Net Neutrality is vital to the survival of all people that currently inhabit the Earth, and is not just an American issue. All people deserve the right to equal representation and a fair chance to communicate in every market they choose to participate in. Type II classification must remain as it is now, and will help the internet grow into the true unifying force of freedom and efficiency we always knew it could be.
587. B Reeves, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
PLEASE PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II, my internet business depends on it, I need equal opportunity to earn a living and pursue the American dream, thank you!
588. Matt Johnson, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I would like for the FCC to retain title 2 rules as applied to internet service providers in order to keep the web free and fair. Please do not change the rules as currently written.
589. Josh A, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Preserve Net Neutrality and keep the ISPs under Title 2.
590. Bob Rierson, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
I am in favor of maintaining Net Neutrality regulation per title 2 of the Communications act of 1934.
591. Catherine Healy, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
It is essential for democracy that the FCC keep strong regulation of net neutrality backed by Title II. Please do not give ISPs the ability to sell our time and attention to the highest bidder. A truly free internet, like a free press, is crucial to keep a free marketplace of ideas.
592. Dr. Katie L. Kunze, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
As both a member of the scientific research community and a citizen of the U.S., I urge you to preserve net neutrality regulation and to reclassify internet service providers (ISPs) as Title II entities. It is important for access to information, entertainment, education, and communication to be equal across all internet traffic. For ISPs to be allowed to limit, throttle, or block specific web content or websites is a limitation of freedom of speech as protected by the Bill of Rights. Internet access is key to almost every area of life, and allowing ISPs to control this access is depriving citizens of access necessary to participate in both private and public life. Again, I urge you to reclassify ISPs under Title II and regulate ISPs in the same way that utilities such as telephone and electricity are regulated. Thank you.
593. Brandon Cheshire, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
Title 2 regulations should not make it easier for ISPs to alter anyone's access to the internet. Please favor the public over corporations or profits in this matter.
594. Edward James Duenez, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Dismantling net neutrality will stifle innovation and put America at a disadvantage in the global economy.
595. Steven, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I strongly support net neutrality. Keep greed out of the freedom of access to information and dismiss the silly notion that we won't have sufficient investment in infrastructure without dismantling net neutrality.
596. Lisa J Warner, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
ISP should be maintained under title II for neutrality!
597. Jack Short, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Do not change the current legal status of internet service providers with regards to net neutrality laws. A free and open internet is the only way we will remain innovative as a country.
598. Ryan Student, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I support net neutrality! Please keep the ISPs under Title II status!
599. Jason Williamson, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Please keep title II net neutrality rules in effect. Don't be ridiculous.
600. Jonathan Nguyen, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
We support net neutrality and support title II oversight of ISPs. Please don't take this away.
601. Noah Jacobs, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I support strong net neutrality laws specifically under title 2.
602. Matthew Cline, Phoenix, AZ, 85054

I support strong net neutrality and keeping ISPs under title II protection.

603. Salim Al-Azawi, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
PRESERVE the strong net neutrality laws as they are. Do not move ISPs from title II
604. Britney Jacobs, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I strongly support net neutrality laws that are cited under title 2.
605. Paul Iannone, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. An open internet is vital to a fair marketplace.
606. Vanessa Barker, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I support title II regulations of internet service providers.
607. Graham Sattler, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I pay money for my internet (you probably do as well). This money is marketed to me as a fee for a service, a service that is supposed to carry data to me when I request it. Please have all of my data transmit to me without my Internet Service Provider imposing artificial speed limitations for their own benefit (this is Net Neutrality in a nutshell). Thanks for hearing me out, the backbone of our "digital economy" depends on this Net Neutrality concept.
608. Joseph Hall, phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please save net neutrality. I oppose docket 17-108.
609. Alan Pichette, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Please do not mess with our internet! we pay a lot of money to receive specific speeds!
610. Benjamin Zweber, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Preserve strong net neutrality backed by title 2 classification of ISPs
611. Tasha Cycholl, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I support net neutrality and oversight by Title II.
612. Joshua Rubin, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I wanted to write to express my support for continued net neutrality and oversight by Title 2. I believe it is critical that we Americans have the protection afforded by these rules. Thank you.
613. Dev Ravi, Phoenix, AZ, 85054  
I'm in support of net neutrality, specifically keeping ISPs under title II. Thank you.
614. Josef MacCaskill, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
I believe that the FCC should maintain net neutrality backed by Title II oversight.
615. Timothy Birk, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
It is critically important that we protect net neutrality laws that are in place. It is unacceptable to allow there to be potential corruption and an unfair marketplace for small versus big businesses online. Please support upholding the laws and not rolling them back!
616. Gary Thompson, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
Net neutrality is vital to have a level playing field to internet companies. The capital many of these company have gained is due to a level playing field. Vote No or stop growth.
617. barbara zwiener, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Keep net neutrality. I Specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs.
618. Joe Rozek, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I think we should do everything we can to preserve net neutrality. I think rolling back the regulations will lend itself to corporate interests.
619. Lauren Antioco, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Please keep Net Neutrality rules in places. Specifically Title 2
620. Doriann Christman, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I support keeping title 2 regulations governing isp's.
621. Elizabeth Gregan, phoenix, AZ, 85032

I support Title II and strong neutrality oversight of ISPs

622. G. S. Carrao, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
I support net neutrality. Please do not destroy it as planned.
623. Matt Hunter, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Net Neutrality is the foundation upon which laws of equality stand for internet usage. Destroying the laws and foundation would destroy the ethics of competition and opportunity for businesses. Seriously do not destroy these laws.
624. Jeff Barker, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please please please support Net Neutrality and keep Title II in place!
625. Khateeb Hyder Hussain, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I want it to be clear that I am Opposed to Docket No. 17-108!
626. Dave Atallah, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I strongly support that The FCC preserves strong net neutrality rules and Title II. We cannot leave Net Neutrality to the ISPs discretion and hope that they do "the right thing" when they are primarily driven by their business goals and profits. Net Neutrality is essential for me to be able to choose the content and its source without possible bias from the ISP.
627. Margaret J Dantuono-Christensen, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Strong Net Neutrality is beyond important!!! Do not compromise it!!! Do the right thing! Don't sell out!!
628. Ilan Grossman, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I want you to preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. These are essential to the current and future freedom of the internet.
629. Karen Atallah, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
The FCC MUST protect net neutrality by ensuring that Internet Service Providers (ISPs) remain regulated under Title II of the Communications Act. We CANNOT allow ISPs to self-regulate and expect that they will protect consumers. The FCC MUST retain the current regulations and the protections afforded under Title II.
630. Richard J. Arnold, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Internet service providers (ISPs) must give consumers access to all legal content and applications on an equal basis as stated per Title II. Having companies put an agreement in their Terms of Service is NOT a viable solution and will simply invite misconduct and can change at a company's whim at any given time without public awareness. Ajit Pai, I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. DO NOT erode the net's impartiality.
631. Greg Schwimer, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
We are in support of enforcing network neutrality ("Net Neutrality on the Internet") backed by Title II oversight of ISPs and oppose the changes in Docket No. 17-108! This support is specific to Internet access provided to and for the public. As two executives that have been building and operating global internets for several decades, we see a serious problem with the "big" service providers. These network operators do not act in common interest for the great multitude of other network operators and content providers globally. What has been a community interest since the dawn of "The Internet" - settlement free "peering" between networks as agreed to by network operators as being mutually beneficial - has gone by the wayside with these large operators that are working to eliminate network neutrality. Think of it as "anti-consumer" and "anti-small business" in practice, as it will have the effect of raising prices to consumers while lowering competition, and making small network operators subservient to the financial whims of the larger operators. Eliminating neutrality of network traffic for Internet traffic should not be permitted. It goes against the very intent of the Internet.
632. Mike Rozenfeld, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I support strong net neutrality by regulating ISPs (Internet Service Providers) under Title II of the FCC Act of 1934.
633. matthew vis, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I am in favor of strong Net Neutrality laws as, regardless of their comments, companies are strongly incentivised to slow their competitors and as such will do it if allowed.
634. Kumar Sanu, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Preserve the net neutrality and title ii.
635. Elio Rutigliano, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Keep the existing net neutrality protections under Title II Protection. Internet access is a public utility and needs to be protected as such.
636. Michael Christensen, Phoenix, AZ, 85032

Net neutrality must be protected from corporations that provide for their own protections and not those of their customers. No agreement by a corporation considers the protections of individual privacy a right but only something to be sold and harvested for profit. Do not change the classification of these ISPs or abdicate these protections to the FTC.

637. Josh Bodnar, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I am an independent voter, and strongly in favor of net neutrality.
638. Alex Olm, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Please preserve net neutrality under Title 2 oversight at all costs!
639. Jason C Stewart, PHOENIX, AZ, 85051  
Net neutrality and title 2 is needed to protect the freedom of the people. Removing them will give businesses freedom to throttle people's internet at will.
640. Glenda Schillinger, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Please preserve Title 2 and protect internet neutrality.
641. Edward, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Net neutrality is critical for internet consumers' ability to make free choices, for citizens' ability to be informed, and for the ability of current and future businesses to compete on a level playing field.
642. scott, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Title II Essentially treating ISP's and other internet providers as telcos, ensures Net neutrality. It should not be altered or rolled back in anyway. Left up to the corporations to self regulate is totally unrealistic. As unrealistic as the banking and mortgage industry including tier 1 banks to properly varify and vet the ability of borrowers to pay a loan they can not afford from 2002 a 2007.
643. Richard Rachkofski, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Please maintain 'net neutrality' as prescribed under Title II. It is requested that the FCC do its job on behalf of the people of the United States and not behave as an agent of large corporate interests. Keep the commercial playing field level. Please honor the original mandate of your agency and stay above the ideological persuasions of the current White House leadership.
644. luis rivero, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I support net neutrality and I want it to remain under title 2.
645. Larry Karandreas, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Please protect net neutrality by keeping Is P's within Title 2. Do not change the current methodology used to regulate ISP's.
646. David Efron, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
preserve internet equal access/net neutrality and prevent discrimination by ISPs - keep internet regulation under Title II.
647. Lisa Chapman, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Retain Net Neutrality. Do not leave it to voluntarily agreements. This is much too important to be left to providers.
648. Andrew Wallmann, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I strongly support regulations to ensure strong net neutrality.
649. Kevin Ruiz, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
The internet is a boon to society not only for its entertainment value, but because it also new businesses to thrive while offering people more options and new ways to connect with each other through services that are provided. Net Neutrality offers a way for regulation of ISPs so that both consumers and businesses are not hindered in any of the various ways they use the internet, whether that be for education, job searching, or entertainment. Not only will the decision to end Net Neutrality allow for a select few companies to extort businesses which provide a service through the internet, but it will open a gateway toward lower quality service and internet infrastructure provided across the United States as a whole. ISPs being allowed to take anti-consumer practices further will only hurt the US economy in the long run. This will be brought on both through smaller businesses failing to find a foothold and consumers being unable to fully access the power of today's technology while many other developed countries leave the United States behind. The decision to deregulate ISPs further is a disastrous one, and should not even be in consideration as it will hurt millions more people than it will help.I fully support the preservation of Net Neutrality and the Title II status of Internet Service Providers.
650. James Clark, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I fully support strong Net Neutrality under Title II. Don't you dare change it.

651. Andrew Navik, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I strongly oppose Docket 17-108. Guys, listen: damn near EVERYONE supports net neutrality and consumer privacy protections. Why do you keep trying to screw us all over? STOP! YOU ARE A PART OF THIS COUNTRY. Feel free to shoot your own self in the foot, but don't take the rest of the country with you. Protect net neutrality. Classify ISPs as Title II. Protect consumer privacy.
652. Adam Fuchs, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Stop trying to trick people and limit their freedoms by effectively censoring the content they receive. Without Title II ISPs will have no reason not to manipulate speed and privacy of the internet just to get more money. Stop trying to pull a fast one. Please.
653. Jarred Clore, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Requesting preservation of net neutrality and regulation of ISPs under Title 2.
654. Diane Quinn, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
We need to keep title II strong net neutrality rules.
655. Hilary St John, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Do not roll back Obama's regulations. We need net neutrality. Because of this, and all your connections with Verizon, I'm canceling my Verizon account. Do NOT roll back regulations or else we are going to see right through your stupid ass big cup to the lying, capitalistic scrub bag you are - make as much money as possible without caring about the little people.
656. Yuliya Mitina, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I am in favor of strong net neutrality rules and title II oversight of ISPs, in the interest of maintaining a free and open internet for all users.
657. Jennifer Meyer, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Net neutrality is essential for fair competition, don't change a good thing.
658. One Disgusted Republican, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I'm a strong supporter of net neutrality being under title 2. I'm a republican who is ashamed that this administration would claim supporting small business while every action that it takes, including this one, hurts small business. Ajit Varadaraj Pai there is nothing hypothetical about ISP's basing connection speed to websites on how much money they get from them. If you look at the facts there are examples of them attempting this throughout the history of the internet. I know the Trump administration lives in its own world devoid of truth but how do you look at yourself in the mirror without crying? Does the money you make by screwing over the American people help?
659. Jane, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I support net neutrality being under title two.
660. Don Townsend, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
I strongly support net neutrality. Internet providers should NOT be allowed to manipulate or shape bandwidth or direct consumers to or away from web content.
661. Keith Foley, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
Don't change anything - this has been this way and worked for a long time!
662. Matt Walters, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
I strongly feel like ISP's should be kept in Title 2.
663. Shannon Katt, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Please do not reclassify the ISP providers to Title I. We, as consumers, need the protections afforded by Title II. We cannot trust corporations to act in the best interests of anyone but their shareholders.
664. Darrin Robertson, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
The elimination of net neutrality is more than an issue of commercial content fairness, it's an issue of censorship. If net neutrality is eliminated, not only will larger corporations be able to drown out their competition through increased bandwidth, I believe they will subsidize content that is favorable to their business. E.G. you're Microsoft, online reviews that favor windows will have their bandwidth subsidized by Microsoft, while unfavorable reviewers will languish in obscurity on sites that won't load in a timely fashion. The elimination of net neutrality in effect gives control of most online discourse to commercial entities. This is a huge threat to free speech, and I believe ultimately may even be unconstitutional. Do not repeal any regulations that preserve net neutrality please. To do so would be extremely harmful to the honest exchange of ideas in our country.

665. Christopher Learned, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Net Neutrality is critical to a free, and fully accessible, internet. Any suggestions to the contrary are based in fiction. It is the primary objective of ISP's to protect their own interests, and that is acceptable for them, but dangerous for the general public, who's last doorway to free movement of information, is an independent, open internet. The name of this proposed legislation is an affront to thinking Americans.
666. Marcus Mountford, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Please do not alter Title II so that net neutrality remains intact.
667. Sylvia Wermes, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Please keep Net Neutrality. Do not allow big cable companies determine what we see and how fast we get to see it. Do not go back into the Dark Ages. Progress demands Net Neutrality
668. I, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I support strong Net Neutrality and Title II legislation
669. Joseph Gallucci, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Having a free and open internet is vital to our daily lives. The bill will weaken this protection which I am strongly against. The open internet needs to be protected against ISPs treating data unfairly, and I believe Title II gives the FCC the strongest legal means to enforce this.
670. Melissa Coble, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
I want to demand that you keep strong net neutrality laws and title 2 in effect and unchanged.
671. Frederick Pakis, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
I strongly believe that Internet Service Providers should be classified as Title II under the Federal Communications Act of 1934.
672. ashley j staley, scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
I am in favor of stronger net neutrality rules. It is inappropriate to allow corporations to have any control on our access to information and how it is used.
673. Joseph Marotta, Scottsdale, AZ, 85262  
Keep net neutrality protections as are currently in place. Do not give service providers the unfair opportunity to abuse competition and more importantly the American public!
674. Matt Nixon, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Keep net neutrality rules in place. If anything, an open internet needs more protections. I'm a small fry on the huge sea of the internet, I barely make enough to pay for my websites as it is. I would lose that if I'm forced to pay more money out just to be able to compete with larger and more popular websites. If you remove the net neutrality protections, you will ruin what little I have. And a lot of sites started out where I currently am and grew to be very successful. I don't have much money to invest in this, so I am following a a long term plan, that will not work without open and equal access to all internet sites.
675. Daniel Aibel, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I support net neutrality under title 2 provisions as this is the only way that we can maintain a free and open internet
676. Chris R Williams, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I support STRONG net neutrality under Title 2. The open internet it too important for our future to trust ISPs to behave. They have already demonstrated they cannot be trusted.
677. Michael Pandelakis, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
We develop software for blood banks and deliver our services to customers on the Internet. As a small company, we compete against large, multinational corporations with vastly more resources than ours. To compete and win, we rely on innovation and service speed. If net neutrality is threatened, it is nearly a certainty that a larger competitor would pay carriers to deliver their website(s) faster than we could ever afford. This would create an uneven playing field and stifle our innovation. A unfair playing field is also un-American. As an immigrant, I came to the US specifically because this great country encourages risk takers and rewards innovators. Just like there is an even playing field called the Rule of Law, there must be a level playing field in our telecommunications. Bottom line: net neutrality is central to our structural competitive advantage as a country. And we're going to need that competitive advantage, now more than ever. Thank you.
678. Christine Jensen, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Keep the FCC neutral!!! This is against the will of US citizens.

679. Sheree Rybak, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I strongly support net neutrality and back Title II oversight of ISPs. Thank you!
680. Scott Gill, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I work in IT and am a strong believer in net neutrality. Even with net neutrality in place we've seen Comcast artificially slow down Netflix using shady methods of not purposely filtering or targeting them but instead just refusing to upgrade their network causing a bottleneck. Net neutrality is essential to preventing this from happening at a much wider scale. Save Title II, save net neutrality.
681. Kevin Adams, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title II. Please do NOT relax current ISP regulations.
682. Richard Katz, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I support and urge you to preserve net neutrality and Title II regulations for ISPs. The attempted power grab by big business to unfairly control the internet is not "free market" but just the opposite by restricting access for smaller businesses and other users, reducing innovation and diversity.
683. Jason Collins, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
It is important that we keep strong net neutrality rules and continue to back them with Title II.
684. jeff dupont, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Internet access has become a standard utility and should continue to be classified and operated as such. ISPs are not ad/search providers and do not and should not be trying to compete with those companies. I have a choice as to which services I use on the internet. I do not have options to change internet providers once they promote a fast lane for the services I want to use. I pay for my speed and that is all I should need to, what I watch or read on the internet is up to me. Just like the land lines provide connections for calls... ISPs provide connection to the internet.
685. Steven Gray, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Dear Mr. Pai, It is my belief that a free and open internet, equally accessible to all individuals and businesses, is essential to the preservation of American ideals and the continuance of American dominance in the world in the 21st century. The best way to preserve that equal access is to ensure that companies are not legally able to breach it. Allowing companies to profiteer off of the internet because they control access to it will only serve to limit business growth and innovation in the long run. For example, Google became successful because they offered a better product on a level playing field than their established competitors. Consumers were able to find out and try their product and, finding it more useful than what they had been using, gravitated toward it. Should you allow companies to control the playing field, new and smaller businesses would struggle to bring their ideas to market. Especially as we reach an era in the Internet's history when data transfer requirements, with 4k video, large file sizes, etc., are ever more demanding, allowing companies to prioritize one over another by charging for better access will create such an insurmountable obstacle as to effectively stifle new development. Please do not do this. There is a reason that even the big tech companies, including Google, Apple, and Netflix, are asking you to maintain the status quo. Thank you for your time.
686. Oscar Bellenger, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Retain ISP regulation under Title 2. Do not move it to Title 1.
687. Damian, phoenix, AZ, 85032  
We need Net neutrality. Bitches.
688. scot koontz, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
Why on earth would you think de regulating the internet would be good? Are you stupid, or just in bed with Verizon and or other ISP's? Is there a reason you forgot Verizon wanted Title2? Leave net neutrality alone! We the people aren't stupid.
689. Michael Lazaro, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Net neutrality is something that is required for all communities large and small to provide a level playing field for everyone using the internet. All users of the internet should have the ability to access every aspects of the internet at the same browsing speed. Priority should not be given to entities with the largest purse or the most powerful political or business connections. The policy was carefully thought out to provide the transparency and neutrality of the internet and should be left intact. Do not change it because business and/or political interests think that it can be monetized for the profit of a few powerful interests or entities. This issue is about fairness, not the free market system. Leave net neutrality alone.
690. Ginny, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
Please do not weaken laws protecting net neutrality. Net neutrality is important to our democracy.
691. Shelley Flannery, Phoenix, AZ, 85050

I support strong net neutrality through Title II. Do not take it away in favor of big business.

692. Jonathan Roig, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
It's a weird thing when something works out really well and people are then, "Well, I guess that means we should dramatically change it." Net neutrality, the even playing field for all competitors, has created almost unprecedented wealth and public access to information, tools, and resources. Without it, projects like mine (<https://www.azcentral.com>) would be nearly non-existent, priced out of the market by entrenched competitors with no motivation to innovate. Why would we want that? I urge the FCC to preserve net neutrality and keep America moving forward.
693. Melissa Hoffman, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
I support net neutrality and don't think internet companies (like Verizon) should be allowed to slow down access to other sites. Do not weaken current net neutrality laws.
694. 17-108, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I will definitely not vote for Trump in 2020 for allowing companies to pay to provide faster content than their competitors. SHAME! Get Ajit Pai out of there! Shame on him!
695. Nathalie Reinelt, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
PRESERVE Net Neutrality! Stop destroying our access to information and freedom of choice.
696. melissa zaki, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Keep the Net Neutral. The FCC is NOT supposed to pick "winners" nor let Phone providers become ruling Oligarchs.
697. Frank Maglietta, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Please continue enforcing title 2. I support net neutrality.
698. Emilie Cooke, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
I believe that everybody should have a right to view what they wish to without having their service provider attempt to make the content of the people who endorse them (or content they endorse) be more easily accessed than other things.
699. Leslie Orr, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
The open and free Internet under the Title II designation is important to maintain the open marketplace of ideas that we in the USA treasure. While it poses problems for large corporations and even law enforcement, its value to forwarding democracy with open dialogue on a level playing field cannot be taken for granted or used exclusively for commercial purposes. The Internet must stay protected as a Title II resource.
700. Sarah Paul, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Preserve net neutrality and title 2 protections for the internet!!!!
701. Tyler McDermott, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Please do not allow the corporations to ruin the internet. The internet is currently a place of free ideas, free access, and a place of innovation. Do not stop the confluence of ideas by selling out to corporations
702. Sean Chappell, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. America's internet is already pathetically laughable in the first world. By preserving net neutrality and Title II regulations on ISPs, we can prevent the US from falling behind literal Third World countries. Removing these regulations WILL restrict business growth and destroy American jobs.
703. Robert Galindo, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
.Please uphold Title II and net neutrality...
704. Wesley Springer, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Keep the internet free. This benefits only the ISP corporations in the ability to control the flow of data.
705. Michael Walker, phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Please do not get rid of net neutrality. Keep it AS IS! Thank you.
706. mary ryan, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Reserve Net Neutrality.
707. Kyle Brown, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Please protect net neutrality. I'm not interested in being bullied by big communications companies. Regulations are beneficial and should be upheld.
708. Scott Snyder, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253

Ending net neutrality will only benefit big business. If net neutrality ends, internet users will ultimately have to pay more (perhaps substantially more) just to obtain current fast internet speeds. The current system treats all users equally. Any suggestion that net neutrality should be voluntary is unrealistic or an excuse. The current system works well. Changing it isn't necessary or desirable and will likely be detrimental to all but the biggest businesses using the internet.

709. Jeff, phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I support strong net neutrality. keep businesses from controlling it.
710. David Hawkins, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I am strongly opposed to the proposal to rescind the current rules on net neutrality. The current rules provide a level playing field. The cable companies, based on their reports to shareholders, continue to invest in infrastructure under the current rules. Changing the rules to a "pay to play" system would reward large, rich companies and punish start-up companies and small businesses. This rule change would discourage entrepreneurship in America.
711. John Boegner, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please do not allow internet service providers to favor or increase speeds for some websites/ services over others. Conversely, please do not allow internet service providers to decrease speeds for others. This creates an unfair advantage to large corporations and harms potential startups. It also facilitates the formation of web distribution monopolies.
712. Fritz Kolberg, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
The Internet has become a necessary part of daily life and it should be regulated like a Utility, e.g. electricity, water, etc. Therefore, Internet Telecom's should remain under Title II of the Telecommunications Act and the associated regulations should stay in place. Thank you.
713. Adam Dabrowski, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I fully disagree with any judgement for "Restoring Internet Freedom" based on the publicized false assumption an internet service provider would not immediately capitalize with bias to the detriment of a smaller content provider given the opportunity to garner payments from a larger content provider. To think it a naive failure in judgement would be naive. Approving "Restoring Internet Freedom" demonstrates greed and corruption and the ongoing and impending failure of our democracy due to dirty officials appealing to corporations at the expense of free speech and fairness in opportunity for all. It is my personal belief; those appealing Net Neutrality have lost their way, are part of the problem within our country rather than critical thinkers actively making the United States a stronger nation. You will further diminish our place as the Land of Opportunity by basically censoring content while sadly calling it the "Restoring Internet Freedom Act", which is nothing less than a lie.
714. Ryan Bjornstad, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs! I am very much opposed to Docket No. 17-108. The name of this docket is infuriatingly dishonest and corrupt. It does not protect anyones freedom, rather tramples on it.
715. Chris Brehen, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Do not role-back Title II for internet. The internet has because intertwined with day to day life, and has become as essential as electricity and water
716. Jason Miller, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Please classify ISP's under Title 2 - they are publicly traded companies who are dependent on us, the consumer, for their livelihood and slowing our internet because we do not always use their products/services while on the web is not fair for the prices we pay for those services.
717. greg staley, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
The internet SHOULD be considered a public utility! I am not interested in seeing big business monopolize our access to information and control content or speed. This administration is all about business, what about the majority of citizens who do not support this reversal? How about utilizing some basic common sense and doing what is RIGHT for the people?
718. Nicole Hudson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Keep ISPs under Title II and maintain net neutrality.
719. Clayson Spackman, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I strongly support net neutrality and the regulation of all internet service providers under Title II.
720. Joel Vignaroli, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Creating slower or faster ques on the internet cannot be tolerated.
721. Niranjana Bendre, Phoenix, AZ, 85050

I need and support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.Thanks,Niranjan

722. tatum james, fountain hills, AZ, 85268  
Please PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND PRESERVE TITLE II
723. Jeremy pope, phoenix, AZ, 85028  
please preserve strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs
724. Todd Rash, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I agree with John Oliver. Keep net neutrality rules in place to protect consumers.
725. oniel gonzalez, Scottsdale, AZ, 85256  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Without regulations the ISPd will use the position of power to exhaust our first amendment rights.
726. Jeff Kimball, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I fully support the Title II designation of Verizon, Cox, AT&T, etc. to provide appropriate regulation and force Net Neutrality.The arguments that this can be left to the ISPs themselves is NOT borne out by their past behavior.Please DO NOT change the designation or put these on an "alternate legal footing" (as Verizon has called it).Thank you.
727. Kenneth Bryan, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2. I do not believe that ISP's will voluntarily do what is best for the people of the United States.
728. She Marshall, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
I strongly support net neutrality and Title II protection of an open Internet.
729. Patrick McGraw, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
I am not in favor of suspending net neutrality requirements. Americans already have some of the worst options for broadband internet in the western world on a dollars per megabyte basis. It's not hard to understand why when we have an environment where Comcast and Charter can publicly announce they are not going to compete with one another and no anti-trust action is forthcoming. Allowing ISPs to also create an environment where our content providers also have the worst options on a dollars per megabyte basis seems foolish at best and criminal at worst. The internet is a utility. It is not a luxury or optional. The FCC must regulate in a way that maximizes availability and quality in an even and equal way for all Americans and all legitimate businesses that serve Americans. Otherwise the next generation of technology may end up being developed in another country. Another silicon valley may spring up somewhere else. And that will lead to decrease in opportunity for future Americans and their businesses. I hope that decreasing opportunity is not a policy objective of the FCC. But I guess we will see based on how you vote on this nonsense.
730. John Pagakis, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
Protection of Net Neutrality is a vital component of our freedom.Title II designation has NOT suppressed infrastructure investment. It's repeal would - Limit healthy competition - Stifle innovation - Suppress the development and disbursement of creative thought - Unnecessarily burden start-ups, and by extension, our future economy - Encourage unfair pricing practices Without the guarantee of truly neutral access to the Internet for all, yet another freedom dies and we would be at the mercy of big corporations. We depend on the internet for so much; Net Neutrality in its present form must be maintained!
731. Joseph Glatt, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
As the world becomes more connected and reliant on online communications in all factors of life, the internet needs to be treated as a utility, and regulated as such.
732. Jeff Surprise, scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I support Net Neutrality under Title 2 only. the Internet is a utility like the phone and electricity and should be protected as such. Anything less is nothing but a Corporate Power Grab.
733. William Unhock, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Net neutrality needs to be passed. ISP's collect a fee from its customers and has no right to control what they do with that access.
734. Mona Mehta, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Please enforce neutrality and Title II
735. Meaghan Grant, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Net Neutrality is more important than ever and should be supported wholeheartedly by all Americans. The land of the free should not stop at our keyboards, and allowing net neutrality to be repealed to allow for companies to control the

content we can receive will have catastrophic consequences. This doesn't just affect what videos we can see on youtube; without net neutrality, the internet will be limited down to whatever the highest bidder wants us to see. The things we learn, read, watch, listen to, the jobs we apply to, the communities we interact with, the e-commerce that small businesses rely on, the news we receive, and content we enjoy online will be forever changed for the worse. I implore you with every fiber of my being to support net neutrality and vote against anything that puts it at risk.

736. Mike Roberts, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I am the founder and CEO of a web-based software company employing dozens of engineers and marketers at our headquarters in Scottsdale, Arizona. Reverting to Title I would make it easier for ISPs to force us to pay for priority bandwidth in order to deliver the quality of service our customers expect. That money could be better spent hiring additional people to create more of the stuff that our customers want to buy. These are real-world trade-offs: a. "Bribe" Verizon and Comcast for "full speed" Internet OR b. Hire more people to do more innovative stuff.
737. Dan W, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Preserve net neutrality in every sense. Under no circumstance should it ever be threatened again. Absolutely no corporate favoritism whatsoever.
738. Ryan Tarico, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I firmly disagree with Docket No. 17-108.
739. Elizabeth Vidales, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Preserve net neutrality and Title 2.
740. Cameron Miller, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
Hello, Please keep all ISP and internet services under the Title II Classification they are currently under. This allows for the free flow of information required to keep our world healthy and growing.
741. Adam Epstein, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
I support strong regulations enforcing net neutrality under Title II. Please do not make the internet worse for everyone.
742. kaelyn najarian, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Please preserve net neutrality with Type II
743. Victoria Cooper, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Keep the net neutrality Title II laws in place! Ajit Pai is obviously thinking only about himself (\$\$ or Trump) by weakening the rules that govern this issue. Or, maybe, he's not thinking at all. #SAD
744. Susan Bugbee, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Keep net neutrality rules intact with Title II.
745. russ miller, Carefree, AZ, 85331  
I support net neutrality and leaving ISPs under Title II.
746. Joshua Franklin, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
the FCC needs to protect consumers and new businesses from the existing monopoly on internet access and speeds by NOT allowing net neutrality to go by the wayside
747. Joelle Sierra, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Keep net neutrality laws and regulations as they are, otherwise providers will be able to block content and degrade network performance.
748. Arnold Buchman, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Save net neutrality rules. Internet providers should not be allowed to block or slow websites. Keep it illegal for them to do so.
749. Denise Koren, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I want our government to support Net Neutrality under Title II. It is totally inappropriate for ISP providers to decide which websites should stream slower or faster based on their priorities and business dealings. There is no reason to believe that the ISPs will support net neutrality under Title I 'out of the goodness of their hearts'.
750. shannon behrend, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Dear FCC, Preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. shannon behrend
751. Philip A. Falbo, Phoenix, AZ, 85020  
Do not remove Title II protections for Net Neutrality

752. Tony Abate, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
As I'm sure many of these comments have pointed out, an open internet unencumbered by preferential treatment at any level is necessary for a continued rich environment of innovation, collaboration, and economic prosperity. Internet Service Providers play an important role in this and their contributions and investments into infrastructure and technology is not being dismissed, only held to a higher standard as the sole brokers of internet communications within the United States of America. They provide the backbone upon which we communicate and charge accordingly for access, both at the consumer and enterprise levels. However, conflicting interests are apparent as more and more communications providers are also content producers and/or distributors. This creates an unhealthy marketplace where capitalism withers away, replaced by monoliths of fragmentation that weaken us. Either we, as a nation, need to limit the scope of businesses or we need to regulate them to ensure their conflicting mandates do not interfere in a healthy capitalist economy.
753. william newman, scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
it is important preserve net neutrality and title 2.
754. chris dobbins, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
The internet has and always should be free and open speeds for all users. If corporations want to charge more let them great new content, not charge more for existing
755. Jorge sanchez Lopez, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Keep a strong net Neutrality.
756. Stephen F Scholfield, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
keep net neutrality active under Title 2. Change to this policy opens the door for restrictions on net freedom and freedom of choice for net users. Change to current net neutrality will tend to stifle innovation and free access, especially to smaller ISPs and startup companies. Current net neutrality prevents such practices as speed restrictions on content providers that are not sanctioned by large ISPs.
757. Suzanne Monashkin, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 11 oversight of sips.
758. Connor Davis, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
CAN I SUCK UR TOES PAPA? I LOVE NET NEUTRALITY AND MANASS, SO BRING DAT LIL BOI PUSSY OVER HURR SO I CAN SIP ON UR SIZZURP
759. Conlin Weinhold, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
A transparent and neutral internet is crucial for Americans. The internet is integral to the daily lives of nearly everyone, and should therefor be managed as fairly and as neutrally as possible.
760. Bryan Flynn, Jen Flynn, Hunter Flynn, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
We are in favor of classifying Internet Service Providers as Title II classification to protect net neutrality against paid prioritization. Please require all Internet Service Providers (ISP's) to treat all online traffic equally. Please make sure there is no way to allow ISP's to intentionally provide faster or slower internet speeds to specific internet services for financial or strategic gain. Thank you.
761. 17-108, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
I support a strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Do not change this.
762. Fred Thompson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I oppose removing ISP providers from Title II control and compliance. The attempt to reclassify ISP providers to Title I is simply a transparent attempt to allow ISP providers to manipulate internet traffic for their own benefit. ISP providers should be under Title II control for the benefit of smaller entity internet start-ups and the public good at large. Common sense and the public good, not ideology, should govern oversight of ISP providers. I support net neutrality.
763. Lauren Freedman, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Preserve net neutrality and title 2. Don't let your greed interfere with everyone's access to free-flowing information. It's a slippery slope to propaganda and real dystopian sci-fi shit.
764. Shyamal Mehta, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
please support net neutrality and maintain title II oversight over the ISPs. Don't cheat the people.
765. Grant Gittelsohn, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Strong Net Neutrality should never be up for vote, the internet is as much a utility as water and power and giving big businesses unlimited unchecked control over its subscribers is an absolute mistake, large companies have proven time and again that they do not and will not ever care about their customers needs/wants and will take any chance to price

gouge and take advantage of their client base. This vote is disgusting and reversing title 2 would be an absolute travesty that would once again prove that American politics is broken.

766. Nicolas Mentha, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Please preserve strong net neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. We want the internet to be regulated and not in the hands of business interests.
767. Brandy Nelson and Jason Nelson, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
The internet should be an open platform where anyone can build a website, open a business, make art, start a movement, and/or create community. It is our belief that because of net neutrality, the Internet has become an unparalleled engine for democracy, innovation, education, communication, entertainment and commerce. No cable company or internet provider has the right to interfere with what we I do online. Please keep the internet neutral. All internet users and providers should be allowed equal footing, and not have to use or have pay-to-play options in order to use their service. We all deserve a free and open Internet with strong Title II rules.
768. matthew rinehart, scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I am completely against the proposed changes to the current existing "Net Neutrality" rules. Doing so would only serve to benefit large corporations at the expense of every consumer in the United States. I challenge you to stand up for the rights of consumers and the best interests of American citizens. Do not make any changes to the existing rules
769. Sierra Ochoa, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
I would like to express to Ajit Pai that as a constituent I believe ISPs should remain under Title II regulations in order to sustain net neutrality.
770. Brett Meyers, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I strongly support strong, enforceable Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II Classification of ISPs.
771. Anouk Stein, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
Net neutrality is important. Please keep broadband ISPs under the Title 2 umbrella.
772. Shawn Singleton, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I am writing to you to urge your support for ongoing NET Neutrality. Continue to properly classify all ISP Broadband under the Title II of the FCC classification to keep OUR internet open, fast and truly available to all sincerely shawn
773. Philip Whitford, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I strongly support strong NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISPs.
774. Ajit Pai, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I support strong Net Neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs (Internet Service Providers).
775. chris nutter, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
17-108 is an awful idea. Do not let ISP's ruin the internet. I support net neutrality backed by title two over site of ISP's and the FCC should as well.
776. vinodh, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I oppose 17-108 anything which puts net neutrality in danger is an act against innovation, fairness and freedom
777. David Hardy, Scottsdale, AZ, 85266  
I am in full support of strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight. In this digital age, with society trending toward the internet of things, it is more important than ever to maintain a free and open pipeline for all to use. This will allow all entities, big and small, to compete on a level playing field and will allow us, the consumers, to vote with our dollars for the products/companies/services we like best. Stripping regulation of this now will set the stage for ISPs to be able to control what rises to the top and destroys the consumers' ability to participate in a free and open market. Every trucking company is allowed to use the roads without having to worry about paying more to use the fast lanes. Internet service should be no different. Please do not weaken net neutrality and leave it in the hands of large corporations by removing Title II oversight. I am fully opposed to Docket No. 17-108.
778. Jacqueline Koma, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I support Net Neutrality and Title 2, taking away restrictions will make things worse for consumers. Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2!
779. Kent Markwart, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
I specifically support strong net neutrality provisions backed by Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers (ISPs). Please preserve net neutrality under Title II. It is essential to the future of a free and open internet. Thank you.

780. Matthew Deisinger, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of internet service providers. Do not get ride of title 2 oversight. It would be step in the opposite direction. We need title 2 to provide stronger oversight and ensure equal access to the internet. We cannot and should never just take the ISPs' word that they will be responsible. Past evidence has shown companies will control internet access for financial gain. For example: ISPs limiting access to google wallet, in order to incentivize their own product.
781. Randa Hightower, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Keep Title II. We are watching this and won't be fooled. TRUE net-neutrality is essential to our democracy. Stop trying to cash in on a public communication right.
782. Neil Kulkarni, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I support strong net neutrality. Ensure that this is not changed!
783. D, phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Without net neutrality you interrupt business growth and research. This proposal feels totalitarian and is very scary. I don't care about what TV show you can see. This is about public information being available to the public. KEEP THE INTERNET NEUTRAL!.
784. Carlena Haberman, Scottsdale, AZ, 85266  
Strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of isp's
785. Jacob Tyler Williams, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
No, stop trying to get rich off of our freedom.
786. Michael Donnelly, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
We all know title II doesn't really hurt the ISP's. Please keep net neutrality in place and don't let the big carriers destroy the open market in the name of profit.
787. Kristen Castillo, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Please save net neutrality! It's unfair to gouge customers!
788. Tristan Thomas Neal, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
As a frequent consumer of online media and gaming, as well as an active American voter, I support strong net neutrality laws backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. This is extremely important to me and will be something I reflect on when deciding the party and politicians I vote for next election cycle. Please protect current Title II net neutrality oversight of ISPs. Thank you.
789. Timothy Bremm, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I urge you to support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. To do otherwise positions a very few, large, ISPs and their affiliates to strangle all other media sources, effectively destroying the openness and freedom that is what gives the internet its value. We cannot rely on the supposed goodwill of these businesses to self regulate - that goodwill does not exist. They have already made clear their intentions to throttle access to their competition (or to provide enhanced speeds for their own content, which is effectively equivalent), and this cannot be allowed to happen.
790. RR, Phoenix, AZ, 85255  
Please insure that ISPs will be subject to Title II regulation of Internet services, and to strong net neutrality legislation. Protect the privacy and freedom of all consumers by putting everybody on equal ground.
791. Eric Lindsley, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
Keep Title II oversight of ISPs alive. I support net neutrality!!!
792. Garrett Kindelspire, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
It is absolutely vital that you maintain net neutrality and title 2 oversight.
793. J. Phillip Glasscock, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Don't change net neutrality. We need net neutrality!!!!
794. Starla, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
I don't want ISPs controlling my life!
795. Maria Balajo, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I support net neutrality and Title 2 should be preserved.
796. James Wiggenhorn, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260

Removing net neutrality, while perhaps harmless in the short term, will have the effect of stifling innovation in the long term (within 2 to 4 years and long beyond). Read your history books; not just the history of electronic communication, but the history of all economic forces. In every circumstance in history, tilting the scales in favor of the "big guy" has shut off innovation from the thinkers. The rest of the world is licking its collective chops hoping to see the FCC make this mistake. Off-shore technology concerns \*will\* take over for U.S. innovation.

797. Alan and Lucille Leibowitz, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
We must maintain net neutrality. There is no reason to move it to Title 1 enforcement from Title 2. What is wrong with the FCC??? Surely you must want to have it remain a "neutral" tool!!!!
798. Delon Washo-Krupps, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I support net neutrality! The choice of the people must be preserved!
799. Joseph Heimnick, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
We support strong net neutrality laws backed by Title II
800. Cory Davitt, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I strongly support net neutrality. Specifically the classification of ISPs using Title 2
801. Derek Schmidt, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Telecom companies have proved repeatedly that they cannot be trusted to act in the best interest of their consumers. Why give them even more power?
802. Segal, Erica, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Under Title 2 oversight, I support internet freedom, net neutrality.
803. Ray Niemeir, Phoenix, AZ, 85020  
Please preserve net neutrality and continue to regulate Internet Service Providers under Title II, at least until a serious nuanced discussion can be completed.
804. Elisabeth Irwin, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
I support Title II - please preserve net neutrality and Title II and keep companies honest!
805. Roger Hall, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
The FCC MUST maintain net neutrality. It cannot be the case that ISPs, search engines, or other sites can give their own content priority over other content. The United States was founded on the concepts of fairness and equality, and abolishing net neutrality would serve neither of those goals. It would enable, perhaps over time and perhaps soon after new rules go into effect, certain providers better and faster access to consumers to enhance those providers' own bottom lines at the expense of competitors. The so-called "Gilded Age" proves that unfettered capitalism is not what is best for the country or the majority of the population. PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY!
806. Dan Irwin, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
I support Title II. Please preserve Title II, net neutrality, and the honesty of Internet service providers. Good day.
807. Chelsea McGarvey, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
strongly support net neutrality as backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs!
808. Gary Christensen, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
I support net neutrality under its current form of Title II. Please keep net neutrality in its current form.
809. Jonathan McGarvey, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Dear Mr. Pai, I am a strong supporter of net neutrality as backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I hear you are trying to end this, and I think this is an absolutely horrible idea. PLEASE DO NOT DO THIS.
810. Diana Mitchell, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
Net neutrality is so fundamentally important. Please consider all of the yet-uninvented industries that rely on it and will create jobs for Americans.
811. Maxim Kopylov, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Net neutrality is vital for consumers' rights and internet freedom. Getting rid of it only furthers corporate interests at the expense of the average consumer. Net neutrality needs to be protected at all costs.
812. Matthew Smith, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I specifically support strong net neutrality back by Title 2 oversight of ISP.

813. Philip B. Williams, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Please keep Net Neutrality and Title 2 to keep an unrestricted and unfettered internet access.
814. eric r lindstrom, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I specifically support strong net neutrality through Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
815. JD, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Net Neutrality rules are a serious matter that must remain under Title II as they are now. Please do not make any changes. The dude must abide.
816. Lisa King, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Net neutrality is important and I request the FCC maintain the strong rules under Title II. I support the net neutrality regulations passed in 2015.
817. Dana Stout, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I support strong net neutrality like Title II oversight of ISPs.
818. Armando Viteri, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
I want to express a desire for strong protection for net neutrality under Title II.
819. Darcy Weekley, phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Don't mess with net neutrality
820. John Kirkland Weeks IV, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I support strong FCC net neutrality enforcement under the provisions of Title II of the Communications Act of 1934.
821. Alex, Phoenix, AZ, 85260  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!! Net neutrality is vital to our democracy here in America, so for the sake of keeping America great, uphold it.
822. Kathryn Trowbridge, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
I'm concerned about ISPs being allowed to discriminate against certain types of data or websites because I care about the digital divide that exists between the poor and the rich. I want people to have access, to be able to find and keep tech jobs, to be dutifully enfranchised. Thankfully, the existing Open Internet rules mean that Internet providers can't slow or block Internet users' ability to see certain web services or engage in data discrimination by charging websites and online service more money to reach people faster. That's the best way forward to ensure the Internet remains a level playing field that benefits small businesses and Internet users as well as larger players. Chairman Pai's proposed repeal of the rules would help turn ISPs into gatekeepers with an effective veto right on innovation and expression. That's not the kind of Internet we want to pass on to future generations of technology users. Without neutrality, we risk creating a subclass of Americans who are disenfranchised. The best jobs of the future are technical. Let us learn and thrive. I urge you to keep Title II net neutrality in place, and safeguard Internet users like me.
823. Jamie Stoffle, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
I strongly support net neutrality rules backed by Title II. I believe this is critical for a fair and equal internet.
824. Ellen Thompson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I support Title 2 regulation for all internet service providers.
825. Michelle A Maese, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
I support 100% net neutrality anything less is unacceptable!
826. Xavier Ruiz, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
Net Neutrality is something that must be protected in our country and it is absolutely ridiculous and preposterous to think of altering/removing Section II.
827. Frank Manda, phoenix, AZ, 85051  
I strongly support net neutrality and believe that it is vital to assure that our internet remains fair and equal. Thank you.
828. Peter Turner, Scottsdale, AZ, 85266  
Please keep Net Neutrality in the hands of the public by keeping ISPs under Title 2. Giving ISPs the power to control speeds of the internet based on the search or site being addressed is a recipe for disaster.
829. stacy bennett, phoenix, AZ, 85054  
I support a strong net neutrality policy. Protect ISPs.

830. Nathan W Forkner, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
It is extremely important to me that the FCC preserves the classification of ISPs as utilities under Title II. This is the only way to ensure that the internet remains fair and open to all, with no interference. It will also increase affordable broadband access in more rural areas, helping to close the digital divide that exists in our country today. Thank you for your time and consideration.
831. Kristin Sexton, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
I strongly support net neutrality. Please preserve!
832. Chris Lowenberg, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
To whom it may concern:I am 100% for net neutrality please do the right thing
833. Joseph Matthews, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Chairmain Pai, I urge you to maintain net neutrality, complete with Title 2 oversight of the ISPs, Downgrading or changing to Title 1 oversight will be a disaster, and result in a loss of vital, free and open Internet access to millions of Americans. Please keep our Internet free, open to all, and neutral.
834. GORDON LOUCKS, PHOENIX, AZ, 85085  
Net neutrality is needed to keep the 'pipes' open to all users and not controlled by Verizon or any of its current or former lawyers.
835. Emily Hine, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Not filing against this would be wrong
836. Julie Perry, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Keepnet neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPâ€™s
837. Net Neutrality, Scottsdale, AZ, 85253  
Keep Net Neutrality, preserve title 2
838. Jacqueline Busen, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Preserve net neutrality. Please keep Title II. Please contact me for further information.
839. Samuel Roland, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
I feel very strongly about protecting net neutrality and believe that it is in the best interests of the citizenry to continue to enforce Title II Regulation on Internet Service Providers.
840. Anne Turner, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
Ajit Varadaraj Pai we specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs
841. DAvin Grossman, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
I support strong net neutrality and title 2 oversight of ISP's. There is no other option that does not let the american people down
842. Mathew Barreras, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I appose regulations limiting net neutrality. Please continue classifying ISP's under Title II and retain the current Obama-era regulations regarding the internet
843. Ethan Palko, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I Support Net Neutrality. I am a United states Navy Veteran OEF 2008-2012
844. Juliana Condon, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Please work your magic to keep net neutrality alive. Thank you!
845. Mariah Ahern, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Preserve net neutrality through title II and strong net neutrality regulations!!!
846. Ronald Ferguson, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
Please protect our freedoms by preserving net neutrality and upholding Title 2.
847. Jody M Johns, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I support strong net neutrality rules specifically those pertaining to Title II regulations!
848. Sheila Cowden, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by the support of title II oversight of ISPs.

849. Adam Moore, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I would like to lend my support to keeping internet carriers as a title II classification. I do not believe, and evidence has shown they are unable or unwilling, to self regulate or provide net neutrality. Many of the arguments the current chairman has provided against keeping them as title II has no evidence and I also question his clear conflict of interest in having worked for Verizon in the past. Net neutrality benefits us all, encourages innovation and ensures we maintain a fair market for all companies in which to operate. Allowing information to be controlled by the highest bidder only exasperates the current issues we see in our society with large media conglomerates. Ajit - for you - i get it, you probably stand to make a lot of money of this down the line. I ask you, beg you, please do the right thing for this nations long term prosperity, just this once and not what will profit the few. The universe will thank you one day.
850. Martin Mondejar, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Net neutrality and Title II must stand. Companies must not be able to manipulate the free Internet.
851. Henry Clarke, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Do not change the current regulations that keep net neutrality. The internet must remain open to access for all under Title II regulation.
852. James A Condon, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Please work to ensure that net neutrality stays in effect. It is fundamental to freedom of expression.
853. Michael Snyder, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I strongly support keeping Net Neutrality rules in place, in particular by keeping internet service providers classified under Title II of The Communications Act of 1934 as they currently are.
854. Debra Goldman, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please enforce current net neutrality regulations and do not weaken the rules surrounding the open internet. It is very important for you to enforce and strengthen the regulations in support for net neutrality.
855. Celeste Dunoyer, paradise valley, AZ, 85253  
I strongly support net neutrality. STRONGLY SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY
856. kaleigh breedlove, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I support strong net neutrality laws and policies which keep isp companies from providing preference to certain content.
857. Julie Boyce, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I support strong net-neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP Providers.
858. Nicholas Montiel, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Any motion to weaken the enforcement of net neutrality endangers the Internet's ability to serve the People and is anathema to the spirit with which it was created. Fairness should not be discarded to give more power to ISPs to privilege content of their choosing against the wishes of the citizens using their utility.
859. Nazilla Saljoughi, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Net neutrality is needed for EVERYONE --Net neutrality is needed for OUR REPUBLIC --Net neutrality is needed for OUR WORLD.
860. Lloyd Sirkin, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
Net neutrality needs to remain under title 2. This does not inhibit companies ability to grow or affect their bottom line.
861. meaghan carpenter, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I ask that you Preserve neutrality and title 2.
862. Bathia Sirkin, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
Net Neutrality needs to remain under Title 2. It does not inhibit wireless expansion. I know as I track expansion projects for one of the top 3 companies and we along with the other companies spend billions annually.
863. cheryl vig, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I am in support of maintaining the current net neutrality status.
864. Chloe Gilmour, SCOTTSDALE, AZ, 85259  
I strongly support net neutrality and an actually free internet. Equal access to online information is essential for a free and fair internet. Please preserve net neutrality and title 2.
865. Frank Ranilla, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
I am in favor of strong net neutrality rules and support title 2.

866. jeffrey merrill, phoenix, AZ, 85053  
Preserve net neutrality, and leave ISPs under Title II regulations. Do this, or I will be starting campaigns to end the consumption of services by any company that proposes these changes.
867. cassandra Engle, scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Please preserve the title 2 protections.
868. Alan Wagstaffe, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
It is absolutely essential to maintain Net Neutrality. Managing the internet performance for profit and to the detriment of the non commercial user will lead to monopolies and unfair competition.
869. Alexander Thiel, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I am Pro-Net Neutrality and Against 17-108. Keep the internet as a title 2 utility.
870. Avery Nelson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
I fully and strongly feel we need to preserve net neutrality and keep title 2 Please DO NOT roll back these regulations.
871. lacey modzeleski, Scottsdale, AZ, 85253  
Preserve neutrality on title two
872. Zach Clark, Chandler, AZ, 85250  
Preserve Net Neutrality rules under Title II.
873. Joseph Wilson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I want the Net Neutrality laws to remain under Title II and the same as they are now. I do not want the law repealed and Net Neutrality to fall under Title I. Leave the laws regarding Net Neutrality in place. Do not repeal them and give it to huge ISP giants like Verizon, Comcast, Cox, etc. to be able to do whatever they want regarding speeds on different websites.
874. Jared Biehl, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Please preserve title ii and internet neutrality.
875. taylor wall, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Please Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2 over ISPs
876. davis householder, phoenix, AZ, 85028  
title II oversight is necessary for a free and open internet. If you all had two neurons to rub together you would know this.
877. Kristin Lockwood, scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs!!!!!!And if you dont, we are the people, we are the masses without us there is no you.
878. Oliver Goretzki, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I can't believe that I have to repeat my comment I made in 2014. The American people have spoken in 2014 and overwhelming majority was in favor of classifying ISPs as common carriers regulated under title II. In a government that represents the people, this would have settled the case once and for all. It is deeply concerning that net neutrality is once again being threatened by your agency and the reasoning for rolling back that vital regulation is disingenuous, misleading and flat out embarrassing. As a person who runs an online business and who has some domain knowledge on that matter, I found the way Mr. Pai was justifying his intent quite amusing, if the consequences weren't that dangerous. The Republican has already given a huge gift to ISPs by allowing them to sell my and everyone else's browser history to the highest bidder (something less than 10% of the American people are in favor of). But requiring to pay bribes to ISPs so that the traffic from/to my websites doesn't get slowed down goes a couple steps too far. I urge the FCC to come to their senses and do the right thing, so we can enjoy this great free and open marketplace of ideas we call the internet now and for generations to come. Don't let corruption destory it.
879. Kevin Boutwell, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
Net neutrality must remain. Free and open Internet for all!
880. Daniel Spohn, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I support strong Net Neutrality laws and the continuation of Title II. This is important for continued innovation of the internet and for small businesses to thrive. All domains should have the same barrier to entry.I have also noticed and been discouraged by a large number of bot/automation driven filings and recommend that these be thrown out as they are unproductive the discussion of this issue.

881. Nancy Salinas, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Respect net neutrality and allow it to remain in title 2. We are watching.
882. Andrew F Roesch, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
I am in favor of title 2 enforcement of isps. Verizon lawyers should not be running the FCC.
883. Seneca Carrillo, Phoenix, AZ, 85020  
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE II. Please!
884. Jason Thomas, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
I support net neutrality backed by title 2 of ISP. This is a protection of the consumer, much like anti-monopoly laws. It also promotes competition to improve technology which benefits all
885. Annemarie, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Dear FCC, The internet with net neutrality levels the playing field for small businesses. It allows them to compete with large ones. Net neutrality enables students to get their lessons or classes over the internet, physicians and patients to discuss their case in real time not slow time, shut ins to visit with their grandchildren and friends, telecommuting, for parents with small children and babies, people of all ages to shop, look for jobs or be entertained with our exorbitant fees. The internet has become an integral part of the lives of US citizens with only 13% of Americans not using it. Poverty, education, internet availability, and advanced age have been barriers to usage. Increasing already escalating costs by eliminating or weakening net neutrality while decreasing accessibility to enrich corporations already making billions in profits. Protect net neutrality for all our citizens benefit - do not destroy it to benefit a few corporations. Annemarie
886. Matthew Contursi, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I do not, in any way, condone, support, or believe in the message and action proposed behind this act. I voice my opinion that it be struck down and the current internet neutrality rules are maintained or even further strengthened in the near future. Title II oversight of ISPs is currently the best protections and regulations we have for maintaining a robust internet economy.
887. Mark Latchem, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, net neutrality under Title 2 regulations is important for the public. I fully support this and hope it continues.
888. Charles Burke, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I support strong net neutrality. I believe in intellectual freedom. I am furious that anyone in government would entrust my freedom to research, to read and to learn to corporations - corporations who perhaps don't want me to use a search engine other than theirs or read from news sites too liberal or conservative. Or corporations who want to bury a news story - I know that sounds ridiculous now, but it will not sound ridiculous for long if we go down this path.
889. Tim Nevins, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
I strongly support net neutrality rules backed by Title II. Less power to the self-interested corporations and more power to the people and consumers.
890. Benjamin Whelan Pshebniski, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
The people want net neutrality, and government refusal to enforce it will only succeed in making us angrier and angrier.
891. prentiss rhodes, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I support strong net neutrality as regulated by Title 2 oversight of ISPs
892. Nancy Lewis, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs. To think that companies would be able to mess with internet speed for a profit (especially without our knowledge) seems extremely unfair and un-American. Please do not allow Pai and Trump to eliminate net neutrality.
893. Fraser Campbell, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Keep the existing Net Neutrality rules! Don't allow companies to determine who gets to have access or sell preferred access.
894. Barbara Mitchell, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
It is critical to preserve net neutrality and Title II.
895. Roger Scott Legge Jr., Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
I am strongly opposed to a paid "fast lane" for internet transit.

896. Mike Millslagel, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
Do not do anything with Net Neutrality -- it is fine, just the way it is. You have already screwed the public by allowing ISPs to sell my information. Leave your hands off the law.
897. harikumar, phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Please preserve net neutrality and title 2 enforcement.
898. Ella Gant, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
I STRONGLY SUPPORT Net Neutrality. Internet service providers should NOT discriminate against specific online services or websites. You're not just changing some simple rule, you're changing internet access that will be for sale to the highest bidder and dramatically change access. Leave the Internet alone!!
899. Garett Sorenson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Net neutrality laws need to remain firm and enforceable under their title II classification. It is impossible to trust large corporations to make the right judgement calls when handling the internet activity of the average user. Whenever large amounts of money are at stake for corporations, deregulating important policies that protect Americans is never the answer. Please consider the voices of all the people in America for once instead of your corrupt greed riddled system. I know I speak for the 51 percent of the country that voted against Donald Trump when I say we need a win for the people this time.
900. Alex Kost, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
Ajit's thoughts on Obama-era regulation stifling competition is factually inaccurate and provable through repeated example over the past two decades. This act, if passed, harms consumers nationwide and directly threatens the internet we use today. This isn't a liberal bias; it's objective truth. Please keep pushing the internet towards a title II framework and treat it the same way we treat all other basic requirements (water, gas, electricity) we use in today's age. Thank you.
901. Marty Stahl, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I want net neutrality rules to continue being protected by Title II.
902. beth russell, phoenix, AZ, 85024  
I specifically support a strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's.
903. Support, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
We need to keep Net Neutrality, because otherwise the world will become more run with people with pockets full of money. We need to keep a fair and equal playing ground otherwise we as a human race go no where. Without new influences of ideas from people, we become stagnant and die out. Companies cannot control what we search and what we do. It is a free environment that should be open to all. If this goes through there would be no difference then, them stopping our right to free speech. This doesn't just affect the US either. This is going to hit all around the world.
904. Eric Harrison, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Preserve net neutrality and keep Title 2 classification for ISP's.
905. Eric Moldermaker, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Dear Ajit Pai, I specifically support Strong Net Neutrality, That's why Title II has oversight of ISPs. I wish you the best in your life and future happenings. I sincerely hope you can help us (the people in who you serve) by doing the right thing. Also, please enjoy a good brew of some fine coffee in your over-sized Reese's mug. Regards, Eric J. Moldermaker
906. Andrew Bonnell, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Overturning the FCC decision to classify internet service providers as a title 2 utility is a disastrous change that will further enable the monopolies that are already present in the industry and stifle e-commerce while at the same time further limiting consumer choice and increasing prices. Title 2 is what we need, and politicians keep trying to change that. We've said no every two years for close to a decade now, enough is enough. We want net neutrality.
907. Taylor Wilson, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I support net neutrality and Title II oversight of internet providers.
908. Courtney Prichard, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
We need more net neutrality rules. Please keep Title 2 rules as well.
909. Harper West, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Please support net neutrality under Title 2 conditions
910. Stan Mirzayev, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Preserve neutrality in title 2!!

911. James Orick, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
I support net neutrality including the prevention of ISP providers from limiting access.
912. Franck Jabbari, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Net Neutrality is as important as freedom of expression. As net neutrality protects the integrity and warrants that corporation can not prioritize which information should be throttled and which shouldn't out of corporate greed. Under Mr. Pai. The FCC is becoming the opposite of what it should be. Net Neutrality must be enforced under Title II.
913. Rajesh Kukunoor, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
preserve Title II Preserve net neutrality
914. Steven Thomasson, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
I strongly support network neutrality for the internet and do not want any switch from Title II back to Title I or any other weakening of the neutrality protections.
915. Mark Oldroyd, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
I support net neutrality. I do not support Mr. Pai's plan to reverse Title 2 net neutrality
916. Linda Thomasson, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
I am strongly supporting network neutrality for the internet and don't want any switch from Title 2 back to Title 1 or any other weakening of the neutrality protection..... thx
917. Rev. McKinnon, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Do not do away with net neutrality
918. Tim Rottach, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Protect Net Neutrality and Title 2. The ISPs can't be trusted. Mr. Pai doesn't know what he is doing. Trump can't be trusted.
919. Susan Ernst, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
We urge you to preserve net neutrality and preserve Title 2.
920. Jason Reed, Phoenix, AZ, 85020  
As a 15 year professional in IT network technologies, it is absolutely critical that ISPs remain under the Title II classification with strong enforcement supporting Net Neutrality. We have seen repeatedly prior to Title II the lack of good faith and fair access by the ISPs.
921. Randall Simon, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Net neutrality and Title 2 of the federal communications act MUST be preserved if we are to have a functional internet in our country. Killing the rules would allow connectivity companies to take away our freedom of choice as consumers when it comes to our online services. This cannot be allowed to stand.
922. Rodney Griffith, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Please keep net neutrality intact. It is necessary to protect consumer's rights.
923. Lance Brignoni, Queen Creek, AZ, 85022  
I support a strong and open internet and I support placing broadband companies under Title 2.
924. Emily Clinton, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
ISP's should continue to be regulated under Title II. Simply asking companies to voluntarily maintain neutrality and expecting them to do it without any oversight is ridiculous and naive.
925. Adam Kunkin, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I strongly support stronger net neutrality laws backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.....don't mess this up
926. Ryan McKown, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Don't undo Obama era rules regarding net neutrality. Keep ISP providers under Title 2.
927. Erin Harrison, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
I strongly support Title II oversight of ISPs. Please uphold net neutrality.
928. Matthew Rutt, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Preserve Title 2 and net neutrality! The internet will suck without this protection and it will cause many more problems in the long run.
929. Katy Coan, Phx, AZ, 85032

I support strong net neutrality and keeping ISPs under title 2

930. Benjamin Coan, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I strongly support Net Neutrality and keeping ISPs regulated under Title II.
931. Marco Metallo, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
I support true internet freedom which means protections via strong regulations under Title II of the telecommunications act. The internet has become a basic utility and citizens' access to it must be protected by strong oversight and regulation. The arguments for removing protections and regulations are laughably weak and Americans will not stand for it.
932. Robert Hamilton, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Why are we doing this again? The FCC should be defending Net Neutrality not trying to weaken it. Please reconsider. This is a TERRIBLE idea.
933. Darrellyn Thompson, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Please do not turn the Internet over for business interests only. Retain net neutrality.
934. Asfia Numani, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
Opposing net neutrality takes away freedom of expression guaranteed by the constitution.
935. Corey Alexander Hubbard, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I strongly support true net neutrality through title II oversight of ISPs. The internet cannot be left to operate based on the whims of the highest bidder. You should be ashamed of yourselves.
936. Marnie Wilking, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Net Neutrality under Title II is essential for ensuring equal access to Internet resources.
937. Kenneth Hay, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I support the current strong net neutrality regulations that were passed in 2015. Please continue to use title II oversight of ISPs. Thank You.
938. Christian Cecere, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
Please preserve Net Neutrality and the regulation that is currently in place under Title 2.
939. P. Hurtado, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
Keep Internet Neutrality and Title II
940. Ziyad Salti, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I support strong net neutrality that is backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's!
941. Chris Elam, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I support Title 2. Do not mess with net neutrality. Corporations / ISP's should not have further control over the free flow of information via the Internet. Don't you guys have enough money? Nobody trusts your bs "promise" to not slow speeds or to not peddle your own products.
942. Spring Moffitt, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
Net neutrality is immensely important, please don't destroy it.
943. Gary Justice, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Make sure you preserve the integrity of Title II and keep net neutrality as is
944. Jordan Nowaczyk, Glendale, AZ, 85308  
We support STRONG net neutrality laws backed by Title 2 classified ISPs. We unequivocally oppose repealing this regulation because it has been shown on many occasions that ISPs will favor their own services while throttling competing services to financially benefit themselves. Please keep ISPs Classified under Title 2
945. Christine Cotanch, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I urge you to retain Title 2 and Net Neutrality.
946. Bruce Cotanch, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Please retain net neutrality! Keep ISPs within the purview of Title Two. The ISPs admit to no adverse business impact within this framework. Thank you .
947. Megan Sweeney, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Please maintain net neutrality and title II. People are paying attention.

948. Naida Kardas, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Maintain net neutrality and title III!!!
949. Richard Lee, Phoenix, AZ, 85054  
I am a voter who urges you to please protect net neutrality (open Internet). Equal access to the Internet is very important to me. Internet Service Providers (ISP's) should not be allowed to limit or manipulate consumer choices. Big Internet companies should not be able to undermine small Internet companies. Keep Communications Act of 1934 (as amended) Title II Common Carrier Regulations in force. I specifically support net neutrality.
950. david malone, Carefree, AZ, 85377  
Preserve Net NeutralityProtect privacy
951. Steven R. Andrews, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Net neutrality is central to preserving freedom of speech.
952. Caitlin Jackson, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
The internet needs to remain free and unhindered. The fact this is even coming-up again is ridiculous.
953. Sheilendra Parekh, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Please leave ISP providers under Title 2 regulation ensuring that net-neutrality regulation is fairer for all.
954. Tessa Shamp, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Preserve NET NEUTRALITY and STAND WITH THE PEOPLE!! We are tired of living under a government that lives in the pockets of corporations. Serve the people and stand on the right side of history! STRONG net neutrality forever!!
955. Jeremy Howard, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
I'M ALREADY RESTRICTED ON MY CHOICE OF INTERNET/CABLE PROVIDER DUE TO NO COMPETITION IN THE MARKET! YOU CAN'T ALSO NOW RESTRICT MY CONTENT!!
956. A Jaime, SCOTTSDALE, AZ, 85250  
By acquiring anyone's private Indio without our permissions is a total violation of our Fourth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States which ensures that ""the right of the people to be secure in their persons
957. Andrea Fine, SCOTTSDALE, AZ, 85260  
Net neutrality works; it's enforceable
958. Kim Rogers, PHOENIX, AZ, 85022  
I pledge allegiance as required to the Corporate State of America with repression and injustice for all.
959. Audrey Brownell, PHOENIX, AZ, 85024  
The internet belongs to the American people. It does not belong to you to give away without the people's consent. After all
960. Edward Pawelczyk, PHOENIX, AZ, 85032  
Why is the United States being turned into a playground for the wealthy?
961. Michael Quinn, PHOENIX, AZ, 85051  
Many can not afford to pay as we go!
962. Teresa Elmore, SCOTTSDALE, AZ, 85262  
Please protect.
963. D Krasnow, SCOTTSDALE, AZ, 85258  
Privacy is a big issue for all your constituents. DO NOT vote to hand over our rights to big business.
964. William Horn, PHOENIX, AZ, 85028  
Neutrality is critical to the well being of this nation.
965. Susan Hudock, PHOENIX, AZ, 85024  
I do not trust any Republican to do the right thing for the people.
966. J. Anthony Ross, SCOTTSDALE, AZ, 85260  
As a small businessman

967. Teddy Gingerich, SCOTTSDALE, AZ, 85254  
In order to be competitive in today's global economy
968. William Kline, SCOTTSDALE, AZ, 85255  
Keep Title II !
969. Ellen Davis, SCOTTSDALE, AZ, 85255  
As a citizen of the US I am opposed to taking away net neutrality and keeping the internet open and not giving more underserved power to internet service suppliers such as Verizon who I pay considerable amount of money to every month and would be willing to take my business else where.\r\nThank you
970. Richard Schoenberger, PHOENIX, AZ, 85028  
Who are you looking out for?
971. Jacquelyn Davis, PHOENIX, AZ, 85022  
Strengthen net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of IPS! Please don't be influenced by Big money!
972. Jacomina Newman-Osmon, SCOTTSDALE, AZ, 85255  
The winners must e the users!
973. Susan Hudock, PHOENIX, AZ, 85024  
The FCC should be abolished. It has no relevance in our modern world. Why should government ever regulate communications?
974. Jeff Hildebrandt, SCOTTSDALE, AZ, 85258  
As a citizen and consumer
975. Sean Kennedy, SCOTTSDALE, AZ, 85254  
Please protect net neutrality. It is vital to the public interest and to innovation.
976. Jerry Ufnal, PHOENIX, AZ, 85028  
The internet should be a free forum of speech and ideas without interference and manipulation from monied interests. Please keep our internet neutral.
977. Theodore Desmarais, PHOENIX, AZ, 85032  
The Spectrum IS public Commonwealth !!!! We the people do not authorize its theft by corporations
978. Christiane Freer-Parsons, SCOTTSDALE, AZ, 85250  
A free and open Internet is critical to the functioning and application of the First Amendment of the Constitution. Net Neutrality assures all people have equal access to the Internet which is vital to communication
979. Peggy Purvis-Braun, FOUNTAIN HILLS, AZ, 85268  
no more con men in government
980. Dorothy Roeder, PHOENIX, AZ, 85050  
Our prime source of information and communication must remain free if we are to remain a functioning democracy.
981. Harold Pickering, PHOENIX, AZ, 85024  
I hope this will not be another example of our government leaders ignoring what the people want and doing another ""Billionaire Protection Act"
982. Karey Haj, PHOENIX, AZ, 85054  
A free an open internet is vital. Strong Title II protections allows for people to choose their own information
983. Rene LeBlanc, SCOTTSDALE, AZ, 85258  
Let's KEEP America great and NOT regress and capitulate to business monopolies.
984. Helen Pierce, PHOENIX, AZ, 85028  
Protect Net Neutrality ?.0 Thanks to those who take leadership in insisting that the interests of Internet users prevail!
985. Susan Rodriguez, SCOTTSDALE, AZ, 85260  
I WANT NET NEUTRALITY!!!!
986. Diane Janovsky, SCOTTSDALE, AZ, 85254  
We absolutely MUST maintain the current neutrality of the internet! It is a resource that should remain untouched by

special interests.

987. Erika Jahneke, PHOENIX, AZ, 85029  
The internet keeps me connected to the world...please don't restrict it.
988. WILLIAM WILLIAMS, phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Please keep the internet open by continuing to regulate the internet as a title 2 utility.
989. John Gillett, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please retain the current net neutrality rules. Additional loss of our already dwindling privacy is simply not acceptable. Thank you.
990. Tracetta Bennett, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Preserve net neutrality! I specifically support strong net neutrality and title ii.
991. Mike Millslagel, phoenix, AZ, 85085  
Don't kill net neutrality. We deserve a free and open Internet with strong Title II rules. This will ensure that the flow of data is determined by the interests of Internet users, not the narrow interests of Internet Service Providers. Plus Pai needs to recuse himself since he worked for Verizon. And when will you upgrade your network to handle traffic there was no DDoS attack you have a crappy system. Call me -- I will help you build something that works.
992. Riannon Telischuk, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Dear Ajit Pai, I specifically support Strong Net Neutrality, that's why Title 11 has oversight of ISPs.
993. Maria Nguyen, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Keep Net Neutrality enforced! Please, and thank you.
994. jeffrey hildebrandt, scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
as a US citizen and consumer who uses the internet for my small business purposes as well as personal education/recreation/communication, i urge the FCC to continue to pursue strong net neutrality backed by title 2
995. William H. Whitmore Jr, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I fully support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. How can you think that any ISP will be honorable enough to not play games with bandwidth just because they say they would never do this. You seem like a smart and intelligent man so put the protections in place and protect the American people.
996. Kelly O'Brien, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Industry has a history of using any latitude given to their own advantage at the expense of consumers, which is why ISPs were put under Title 2 oversight in recent years. To revert to Title 1 would leave consumers on multiple levels open to manipulative tactics which would restrict their freedom and subject them to a form of censorship. Net neutrality is essential to keeping a level playing field for all who participate online and avoid misuse of power by ISPs, and I urge you to protect it.
997. Hilda Boylan, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Net Neutrality is vital in order for private citizens and businesses alike to be treated fairly. Allowing money to buy faster speeds gives an unfair advantage and invites frustration, resentment and corruption of the system. Net neutrality is working now and big business is doing well. Greed should not be rewarded!
998. William James, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
The only freedom restored in Senator Lee's "Restoring Internet Freedom Act" (S993) is the freedom of ISPs to take advantage of consumers. This bill to end net neutrality is helpful to internet providers but definitely works against users and US citizens. It also has a potentially serious negative impact on free speech. We are very much against this proposal.
999. Bruno Alvarez Concejo, Phoenix, AZ, 85054  
In the spirit of giving feedback on the new proposals that seem to be taking form in recent weeks relating the Title II reform/annulment I want to point out this: Internet is the only aspect of our social life that has so far been kept as fair as possible. Deregulating the way providers manage data access/download will not have any benefit (as it will actually undermine the free market that this means of business represents) while damaging a vital characteristic of the Internet as we know it. Please do not roll back the regulations that had to be imposed (after trial mediation) a few years back! Keep the internet fair: Defend Net Neutrality! BAC
1000. Adam Ustupski, scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I DEMAND NET NEUTRALITY ACROSS THE BOARD.

1001. Roy DLucca, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Verizon investment in internet infrastructure has not diminished per the CEO's own words. The major ISP's CANNOT be trusted to charge, say NETFLIX, more for their content, which will in turn, raise prices for consumers. The move to take net neutrality out of Title II is nothing more than increasing profits for a few ISP's. THE FCC chairman is being disingenuous in his argument for a change in net neutrality laws.
1002. David Owen, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Please keep internet neutrality as it is under title 2
1003. Serine Graham, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I support Title II regulation of Net Neutrality and oppose any shift back to open season on the democratic, equal and unbiased distribution of internet access to individuals and/or companies regardless of political affiliation, income, race, sex, ethnicity etc...and, did I mention, income (read economic power) of Title I. How the hell do you guys sleep at night?
1004. ISP, phoenix, AZ, 85023  
I STRONGLY SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY LAW BACKED BY TITLE 2 OVERSIGHT OF ISP's. Don't fuck this up for Americans. Don't fuck with our internet.
1005. John Gunnigle, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I strongly support net neutrality and Title II continuation. I understand there is an argument that net neutrality causes decreased investment in network upgrades/expansion. This is contradicted by public statements made by the ISPs which indicate significantly increased investment. For example, Verizon's CFO has indicated that Title II does not impact investment. <https://seekingalpha.com/article/2743375-verizon-communications-vz-presents-at-ubs-42nd-annual-global-media-and-communications-conference-transcript> Sprint and AT&T have made similar statements. Please leave our internet as/is.
1006. riel, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Reserve Net Neutrality Under Title 2! Reserve Net Neutrality Under Title 2'
1007. Kiersten Ottley, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
We support net neutrality in it's current form. Do not modify or reclassify it's position from a Title 2 regulation.
1008. Taylor Stima, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I am opposed to docket 17-108. It is essential to preserving consumer rights, monopoly prevention, and the economic health of end-users that causes me to be in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
1009. Kyle Sun, Scottsdale, AZ, 85253  
In our age of rapidly advancing technology, it is more important than ever before to practice nuance in making decisions. This exponential growth pushes decisions to be made at an equally increasing speed, and this hastiness exposes new dangers. The internet IS a utility. If water or electricity were undergoing the same process right now, the outrage would be unrelenting. Net neutrality must be upheld. Okie Dokie.
1010. Cayla Capocy, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
We support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. To allow ISPs to choose what services and websites get preferential treatment will open the door for censorship of the internet and monopolies on internet services.
1011. Lois Watson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
The open internet means that my speech isn't deprioritized just because someone else pays more than me, and countless other voices have the same ability to be heard that major corporations do.
1012. Lisa Langell, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Life liberty and the pursuit of happiness. The open internet is essential to that goal. That is why I love the open internet. Sorry but a corporation is not a person. Remember that simple fact and then it is easy to see that the open internet is a necessity not a trivial idea to be jettisoned for private greed.
1013. Deidre Gotjen, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
First amendment freedom of speech powered by Net Neutrality! Pay to say is not free speech.
1014. Stanley Hattaway, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
WITHOUT IT----THE INTERNET IS JUST BIG BROTHER!!!!
1015. Martin Mondejar, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
In our small family farm business much of our Internet use is with other small businesses that would be badly affected by loss of net neutrality. If we want all businesses to have equal access we must protect an open Internet.

1016. Brett Hager, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Above all the Open Internet supports freedom to speak openly to everyone. As a senior citizen and writer I am preparing to post stories, poetry and related materials connecting to my insights gained from 30 years of discovery-recovery journey to reveal my human nature and insights that foster the wonder of being alive. Without the internet being open my freedom to life affirming words would be diminished if not blocked by sharing in an open and free environment of the internet my life experiences can provide hope and confidence to people seeking hope. I can be free and people can hopefully find their freedom by knowing they are not alone, others have walked similar pathways. Though we may be strangers what we have in common is the sharing of our human nature through the power of words on the internet.
1017. Virginia Ginter, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
The open internet fosters innovation and job growth in the U.S. and abroad. Without the open Internet companies like Netflix or Amazon would not be in existence. If young startups are to come up with money to get their traffic prioritized over a competitor that is a threat to innovation. If a large monopolistic company like AT&T can prioritize their streaming services quality over that of a competitor like Hulu or Amazon Prime that is a threat to consumer choice. The open Internet has allowed technology to flourish, to allow companies to control the flow of bits for their own purposes stifles competition and in the end freedom of speech. The open Internet is the reason that many today have a voice. Those who would take that away are not patriots but are criminals.
1018. Maureen Van Dobben, Phoenix, AZ, 85054  
Do not kill net neutrality. Protect the internet as a common carrier to ensure freedom of information online.
1019. Kathryn Dial, phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Why is this a thing, again? You crooks. I want, and support, stronger net neutrality under title 2. Do not roll back these rules
1020. John Chappel, Glendale, AZ, 85308  
I support net neutrality as enforced by the FCC under Title II.
1021. Pam Evans, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
DO NOT SELL OUT THE INTERNET TO THE HIGHEST BIDDER. THAT WOULD BE WRONG ON SO MANY LEVELS.
1022. Neil Schlesinger, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I refuse to destroy the internet and give power to the ISP. Their ONLY job is to provide the door. They should not be able to have hundreds of different doors for different people with bouncers blocking certain sections.
1023. Lesley Brill, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
TALK ABOUT OPEN CORRUPTION!
1024. Julie Rozenfeld, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Please support free internet access for ALL and maintain Net Neutrality. Thank you.
1025. Akhil Dhamija, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Net neutrality protects consumers. Stop making laws for corporations and make it for the people. We see through you.
1026. Karen Thaw, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Helps entrepreneurs and small businesses compete in an economic downturn.
1027. Marcia Ramirez, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
In this age of cyber-connect Net Neutrality is paramount to our Constitutional Right to assemble our Constitutional Right to Free Speech. To abolish Net Neutrality is denying Americans their rights.
1028. John Back, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
stop destroying our communication system
1029. Yvonne Segal, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
So many small businesses depend on an open and free internet. Leave it alone!!!
1030. Michael Montgomery, Pheonix, AZ, 85054  
Americans need unfettered access to our internet!
1031. Ute Brady, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Dear Ajit Pai, I specifically and wholeheartedly support strong net neutrality and Title II oversight of ISPs.

1032. David, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Net neutrality should be enforced by the FCC, so that all companies and people have equal access to the internet. Internet companies currently have monopolies and will do anything and everything to bring in more profits, since they have no competition. Just look at how Comcast implemented data caps when there is no reason except to increase profits over customer experiences.
1033. Dustin Immesberger, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
The FCC must preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. "Fair is Fair!" Billie Jean
1034. Travis Good, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Do not take any steps against net neutrality. Everyone will hate you for it.
1035. Brooks Hilliard, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Keep Internet access equal for everyone. Keep the net neutrality rules in place.
1036. Susan Michelson, Carefree, AZ, 85377  
I strongly support the net neutrality rules currently in effect. Please do not allow the so called open internet option now being introduced, as it will irreparably harm our access to a truly open internet. Shame on the director of the FCC for misleading and blatantly false statements.
1037. Jane Reading, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I support Net Neutrality and keeping Title II in tact.
1038. Jerry and Barbara Hostetler, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Don't mess with the internet....
1039. Gregory White, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
The internet is all about being neutral. The thought of internet companies selling my private information for profit is revolting. Furthermore the thought that we could have tier pricing for different internet access is terrible. Ajit Pai is a pawn for big media, I say no.
1040. Caroline Moore, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
An easy and inexpensive way to stay current with all the new and to stay in touch with family and friends!!!!!!!!!!!!
1041. Audrey Brownell, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Be strong and protect your constituent families by protecting net neutrality from corporate take over. The Internet belongs to the people. We are watching you and expect you to protect people's right to open Internet.
1042. Katherine Hutchins, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Without net neutrality there is rampant discrimination
1043. Samantha Perkins, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I am an internet user who cares about net neutrality very much.
1044. Lani Porter, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Free and open communication "trumps" selective access
1045. James Milnthorpe, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
It was funded by and is owned by the public.
1046. Ashley Oconnor, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Preserve net neutrality - LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE. No company should be allowed to interfere with this open marketplace. Without Net Neutrality, ISPs would seize every possible opportunity to profit. They could impede traffic from our services in order to favor their own services or established competitors. Or they could impose new tolls on us, inhibiting consumer choice. LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE!!!! PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY!!!
1047. Sam, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Fuck your corporate greed. You fucking pieces of fucking shit. You'll die soon anyway why ruin everyone's lives
1048. Joe Manna, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I believe we should support innovation, open, and free communication by protecting Net Neutrality. ISPs should not be able to limit or impede network connections for business or competitive reasons. This administration claims to oppose lobbyists, and yet attacks on Title II will invite even more lobbying and corporate protections while end users receive inferior connectivity. They come for Netflix today, but will prevent equal access to information and free speech later. PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY TO ENSURE THE UNITED STATES REMAINS A LEADER IN INNOVATION

AND DEFENDERS OF FREE EXPRESSION. Thank you.

1049. Jerry Wright, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
Net Neutrality levels the playing field for everybody.
1050. Robert Sapp, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
the internet should show no favoritism.
1051. Keith McGlynn, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Do not risk the power of the internet on the basis that a few companies can make higher profits. No ISP is currently filing for bankruptcy and are making genuine profits already. The loss of my rights as an internet user and creator are worth more than a few dollars.
1052. Martin Kirk, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
And open and free Internet is one of the greatest steps forward that technology has made for human good in recent decades. I urged you to maintain this critical Avenue a free speech and Open commerce.Martin Kirk
1053. Barrett Guthrie, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
The Internet has public value. Large corporations should not be able to monopolize this critical means of public communication by buying special or preferential access at the expense of everyone else.
1054. Debra Porter, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Please protect and keep net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
1055. Catherine Hopkins, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Really? Again? What happened to "we the people" Is it now we the richest? Shame if you let this happen but realizing nothing shames y'all.
1056. Rob Hepburn, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I support net neutrality. Don't overturn!
1057. Raymond Donnelly, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Keep net neutrality. Keep title 2 oversight of ISP's.
1058. Dan Volcko, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Preserve Net Neutrality and keep Title 2 Protection over ISP's.
1059. Lee Bradley, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Keep ISPs classified under Title II and prevent them from discriminating based on traffic from their customers. Don't roll back net neutrality.
1060. Dianne W Donnelly, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Keep net neutrality. Keep title 2 oversight of ISPs.
1061. Marian Greenfield, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Please note that I am in favor of net neutrality and do not want the rules concerning this changed.Thank you.
1062. Krysta Powers, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Preserve net neutrality and Title II of the Communication Act. Thanks.
1063. Adam Burstynski, phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please keep strong enforceable open internet laws backed by Title 2 of the communications act. They are essential to keeping the internet fair and open. The people I pay to have access to the internet should not be able to slow down my connection to my work vpn, the video games I play online, or the videos I watch on netflix.
1064. Ted L Myers, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
I am for net neutrality. Please don't change the current rules.
1065. neil smith, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I support strong net neutrality and keeping ISPs governed under Title III!!
1066. neil smith, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I support strong net neutrality and keeping ISPs governed under Title II
1067. Ashley Murry, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Preserve net neutrality and the classification of ISPs as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act.

1068. Kirk S. Howell, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Title II is the only currently-available method for preserving an open Internet. To allow the likes of Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon to throttle competitors' content for their own subscribers is unconscionable. The "free market" argument that customers will choose an ISP that does not throttle the content they prefer is a false and disingenuous argument. For one, many subscribers have only one choice and the majority have at most two choices for high speed Internet. For two, the ISPs will not readily admit to their throttling practices before a customer signs a multi-year contract. Free market theories require at least two things that are not present in the high speed Internet market: free exchange of information about service provider performance and choices as to the providers such that there is real competition in each market. These companies have monopolies and must be regulated as such.
1069. Keith Wilhelm, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I am an American, an Arizonan, and voter, and I support the continued regulation of internet service providers under Title 2, and thus preserving current regulations ensuring net neutrality.
1070. Joe Moreno, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Please reserve meet neutrality and keep it title 2
1071. Karen Kravcov Malcolm, Scottsdale, AZ, 85262  
Please urge Chairman Pai/Commissioner Oâ€™Rielly to defend Title II net neutrality and protect the internet as a common carrier. Will the commissioner stand with the majority of Americans and preserve real net neutrality? Thank you very much for your time.
1072. John Strosnider, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
Net neutrality is what spurs and allows innovation. To roll it back now would be to say that nothing else on the internet ever needs to be invented ever again. Please, do not hamper innovation by allowing ISP's to dictate who/what can and can't access the internet. It will simply devolve into who can pay the most.
1073. Allison Wesley-Saito and Maui Saito, Rio Verde, AZ, 85263  
We urge continued and vigilant preservation of net neutrality and Title 2. Any action that does not fully protect net neutrality and Title 2 is unconscionable.
1074. Deborah Pearl, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. The FCC should preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2.
1075. Derek, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85263  
Please protect American consumers from internet providers with regulations that enforce net neutrality. Assuming that these companies will abide by their "promises" is ignorant and insulting to the intelligence of American consumers.
1076. Jane H Andrews, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title @ oversight of ISPs.
1077. Jane H Andrews, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by the Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
1078. Richard P Marcello, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
The purpose of Title II classification was to ensure internet service providers competed by Quality of Service value to customers who pay for connectivity. Instead, Chairman Pai is regressing competition, abandoning privacy guarantees by allowing network service providers to snoop freely on internet traffic, and double-taxing end-users who have to pay twice for improved quality of service and the content service providers who now will ask their consumers to pay more for connectivity fast lanes. There is no "vision" to Chairman Pai's actions. Indeed, Chairman Pai's recent actions make clear his mission is to reclassify customers as the chattel of incumbent service providers. Further, I fear Chairman Pai's mindset is so favorable to incumbents, he will direct the FCC to fight innovative broadband alternatives to incumbent monopolies! Summary : it is not that incumbent monopolies were losing money; rather, Chairman Pai's ignominy will be laid bare as the better parts of the internet work hard to route around Chairman Pai's colossally partisan and short-sighted leadership at the FCC.
1079. Carrie Vargas, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I support strong net neutrality!!! Preserve net neutrality and Title 2!
1080. Cliff Schupbach, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Please keep the current net neutrality rulings in place under Title II to maintain a fair market space for free market competition. This is vital for the innovators and game changers that will bring us the next thing that we haven't even thought of yet.

1081. Adilene Gallardo, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I support maintaining strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs
1082. Arthur Evan Schlemmer, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
GoFCCYourself! Keep Net Neutrality. Check out this site if you need some kindergarten grade education on the subject! <http://www.theopeninter.net/>
1083. Jeremy Weidner, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II! Greedy companies have no business messing with an open internet.
1084. Michelle Hoover, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
PLEASE preserve Title 2 and strong net neutrality.
1085. Rhoda Shulman, Carefree, AZ, 85377  
Please maintain net neutrality by keeping the status quo. No changes to which agency governs or Title changes. As the President of a small business who relies on the internet we are opposed to allowing large ISPs companies like Verizon to affect our ability to use the internet as we do today. Thank you for considering our viewpoint. Please remember small businesses are the engine of the economy.
1086. Don Billick, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, The Internet along with opposing views are protected by the 1st Amendment...restore Internet freedom! Regards, Don Billick 19203 N 29th Ave Phoenix, AZ 85027"
1087. Glenn Lesniak, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
Please preserve and strength Net Neutrality under Title II. And do not lessen Internet and communications regulations in order to preserve the rights of small businesses as well as citizens.
1088. Anzhelika Uvaydova, PHOENIX, AZ, 85022  
I strongly support the internet neutrality title 2 oversight of ISPs
1089. Desiree Schluter, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
The internet must be Neutral.
1090. Kathryn Larriva, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
We strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title 2. Please preserve net neutrality and title 2. Make everyone equal on the internet.
1091. Lynn Helfrich, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Please, do NOT repeal Net Neutrality. Maintain Net Neutrality. Net Neutrality allows small businesses to promote their products, innovators to share information, and equitable access for US citizens. Respectfully, Lynn Helfrich
1092. Marjorie Green, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Do NOT put an end net neutrality. Freedom of the internet has become a basic right. We do not want to pay more than we already are and we want to be able to do what we want without disruption and slow speeds. Who in this administration benefits by ending this? Clearly someone does - time you let the people benefit.
1093. Stephanie Collette, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
I do not want the FCC to change net neutrality rules. A change in the rules would take away our freedom on the internet which is a great disservice to the American people. I am asking the FCC board to vote NO on May 18th. Thank you for protecting our freedom.
1094. Jennifer, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Please preserve net neutrality and it's current protection under Title II.
1095. mikhail beloozerov, Phoenix, AZ, 85054  
We as the people who use the internet need net neutrality! It is a must, there will be nothing else otherwise.
1096. Alex Scott, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
I am appalled by the statements made as well as the final decision regarding this topic. How dare you compare public outcry with "dancing with the stars contest". This isnt about who is going to be on the show next week, this is about the freedom of information. The fact that the FCC board so casually shrugs this off is everything that is wrong with this country. We will fight this
1097. Brendan Botosan, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
The nature of the Internet Service industry means that in any realistic sense it is unfeasible for ISPs to operate in a free

market. Current efforts to reclassify ISPs from Title ii to Title i of the Communications Act of 1934 are founded on the core assumption that Telecommunications firms provide an optional service with ample competition. In reality I believe that any rational person would see that internet service is neither optional nor is there the opportunity for competition due to the massive physical and regulatory barriers to entry that are inherent to the business. First and foremost, we have entered an era where any average citizen is unable to get by without an internet connection. The internet is required to engage in job searches and pay bills, email addresses are required when registering for many major services, research required of students by educational institutions is often only reasonably accessible online. It is reaching the point where internet service is about as optional as electricity to the livelihood of the average American. It is entirely possible to live without it, people have done so for thousands of years, but it has reached the point where one can not contribute to or participate in modern society without access to this core service. Secondly, in many places and in many ways, it is infeasible to build out competing infrastructure which is inherently limiting to the free market nature of the ISP business. As the result of constraints ranging from the economic and environmental feasibility of laying and relaying redundant infrastructure, to the patchwork of local and regional regulatory burdens that must be placed to ensure that local citizens are protected from the costs of business development, it is unreasonable to assume that when one ISP acts improperly or against the interests of its customers that another can be found to readily replace it. In this way, ISPs are very similar to electrical utilities. It is unreasonable to assume that multiple lines will be laid and that consumers will have the choice between more than two equal competitors. It is necessary for government to step in and regulate the way that these businesses conduct themselves. These businesses are, for all intents and purposes natural monopolies and oligopolies, in being so the consumer will never experience the benefit that a free market provides. As the result of these two primary factors I believe that it is clear that ISPs are utility providers and require a higher level of scrutiny than optional services like Netflix or Facebook which do not have the same physical or economic barriers to entry, the same ubiquity in modern working life, or the same heft when it comes to influencing the US's economic well-being.

1098. Heather Harrenstein, Phoenix, AZ, 85032

I do not trust broadband providers to act in anything but self interest, and their interests do not align with the general public's. Paragraph 82 asks for input on whether throttling should be regulated. In the past ISPs have throttled content based on their own determination of what was lawful or permissible, and had to be forced to stop in the courts. Isn't it possible they could do this again? I'm also concerned by mobile providers who say a plan is "unlimited," but when you exceed the data cap, only throttle sites and services that aren't part of their approved zero-rating network. I would support rulings that strengthened protections for current internet users (read: everyone), but I do not support legislation that would take those protections away. I vote to protect internet neutrality, because without the internet protected as it is right now, all I see are the few main broadband providers further extending their monopolistic grasp on the internet market. They cannot provide decent customer service as it stands, and I do not trust my internet in their hands. Thank you for reading my comment.

1099. Bjorn Melander, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260

I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title 2 support. Net neutrality classified under Title 1 is a joke.

1100. Tristan, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253

I am writing this to state that I do NOT support the FCC's Restoring Internet Freedom Act because it will destroy net neutrality. Net neutrality helps to ensure fair treatment of consumers, fair competition among small businesses and the ability to legally access and post content. I have been a conservative/libertarian my whole my life but I draw the line when the FCC is putting my internet rights in jeopardy.

1101. James Cashion, Phoenix, AZ, 85032

Please keep net neutrality in place. Removing it will only take more money out of Americans pockets and reduce overall service quality. This is purely about greed.

1102. Melissa Houser, Phoenix, AZ, 85032

Please do not undo net neutrality. The Internet allows all of us to search, learn, create, enjoy, and stay informed. Net neutrality plays a big part in this, please continue to oversee net neutrality and enforce it's use.

1103. George Bukwa, Glendale, AZ, 85308

Preserve Net-Neutrality just as it is. Leave it under Title II regulation. Do not take the free internet away from individuals and give it to the giant corporations.

1104. TS Boatwright, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250

I am 100% opposed to ending Net Neutrality. Please stop this MISNAMED proceeding. This is not about having a "Free" Internet it is about filling the pockets of yet another set of corporations in America. Further, please disclose all of Ajit Pai's financial connections that currently exist with the communications companies of the WORLD. All of them. Also, your Reese's Mug makes you look like a silly little boy and an idiot. Say NO to the end of Net Neutrality! TS BOATWRIGHT

1105. RA Huffer, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250

I am 100% opposed to Net Neutrality. Please stop this MISNAMED proceeding. This is not about having a "Free" Internet it is about filling the pockets of yet another set of corporations in America. Further, please disclose all of Ajit Pai's financial connections that currently exist with the communications companies of the WORLD. All of them. If a woman was in front of camera's with your stupid oversized mug she would be vilified and ridiculed. You should know it makes you look as stupid as you sound. You are condescending and rude, grow UP! Say NO to the end of Net Neutrality! RA Huffer

1106. Margaret Wood, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
We cannot allow broadband companies to cherry pick our internet content for us too many of us rely on a free internet to stay informed and connected this will be devastating for small start up businesses like my own and my husband's as well the idea that we would have to pay more for our customers to view our online content we can't compete with larger corporations
1107. Gail Anthony, SCOTTSDALE, AZ, 85251  
Internet neutrality must be preserved as part of an individual's freedom to express his views and beliefs. We don't need a nanny state.
1108. Raymond Morgan, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Leave the Net neutrality rules in place. The internet is not a privilege but a necessity in today's world and giving ISP providers the ability to effect our access is wrong. They have a vested interest in restricting our access to their competitors. Please keep net neutrality to protect our rights.
1109. Noah Davids, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Given that ISPs are effectively a monopoly it is important that they not be allowed to discriminate in any way, If they discriminate in favor of their own content or the content of someone else who pays them and I do not wish that content they are effectively discriminate against the content I want. I pay them to carry the content that I want not the content that they want and I cannot go elsewhere for that content. Maintaining net neutrality is the only way to to this. The current proposal is not acceptable.
1110. Phil Slice, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Keep Net neutrality. Living in a place where there is a SINGLE option for high speed internet I do not want them to have the option to hold ransom my access to information.
1111. Andy Sutherland, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
I feel that Net Neutrality should be maintained. Areas that are poor or people that are economically challenged could be severely hurt by allowing some to increase the speed of their access because they have more money to spend. How would this change access to sites? A company could outspend another to gain more access to the market with an inferior or dangerous product.
1112. Larry, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Net neutrality should be welcomed, not criticized. As a small business owner in real estate, I need to have the ability to respond to a prospective buyer's request for information just as quickly as Zillow and others. Larry
1113. Randy McGrane, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Internet access is no different than public utilities. I don't even have a hard wired phone line in my house, but that is considered under Title II...the internet should be considered just as essential and maintained designated as a Title II essential service.
1114. Jesse McCarthy, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I support strong Title II backing, and the current regulation's in place. Do not sacrifice my internet freedom, for your corporate greed.
1115. Susan Rodriguez, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai, Leave the Net Neutrality rules alone to stand just as they are!!! Hands off our Net Neutrality!!!!
1116. Juliano Maynor, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Quote 1 from proposal: "Whether posting on social media or drafting a blog, a broadband Internet user is able to generate and make available information online. Whether reading a newspaper's website or browsing the results from a search engine, a broadband Internet user is able to acquire and retrieve information online." In short, broadband Internet access service appears to offer its users the "capability" to perform each and every one of the functions listed in the definition "and accordingly appears to be an information service by the definition. We seek comment on analysis." First, most broadband providers simply don't offer the services listed. Does Comcast have a blogging platform, a news service or a search engine that anyone actually uses on purpose? No, Comcast offers a pipe through

which to reach other services. Reaching other services is the service that it itself offers! There are even safe harbor laws shielding it from liability for transmitting the things on those services "because it has no control over them! Second, broadband providers often aren't even aware what information they are transmitting, because it is encrypted. They cannot transform it, generate it or process it because tampering with encrypted data is immediately evident to the user. While "drafting a blog," as I'm doing right now, the ISP only knows that it is handing packets between the router at this coffee shop and the IP address and port belonging to WordPress. Third, most services that are in fact offered by the ISP, such as DNS lookup, error pages, caching and routing, all have to do with ordinary network management "the work of getting packets from one place to another properly. This analysis is like saying that because someone built a bridge, they also created the entire city on far side of it. It's absurd, and in fact the argument was already tried and found wanting in a federal court just three weeks ago. Anyone with a modicum of technical knowledge will find this interpretation truly wrongheaded and entirely incorrect. It's hard to think of this as anything other than a willful misrepresentation of the facts. Quote 2 from proposal: "For one, broadband internet users do not typically specify the "points" between and among which information is sent online. Instead, routing decisions are based on the architecture of the network, not on consumers' instructions, and consumers are often unaware of where online content is stored. Even IP addresses may not specify where information is transmitted to or from because caching servers store and serve popular information to reduce network loads. We believe that consumers want and pay for these functionalities that go beyond mere transmission" and that they have come to expect them as part and parcel of broadband Internet access. We seek comment on our analysis. "First, users absolutely, 100 percent do specify the points between and among information is sent. They specify it using a "uniform resource locator" or URL, the shorthand used on the entire worldwide web to describe where a given item is stored. Users request these specifically, and where they aren't obvious (such as with a generated URL or content hidden behind a web app), the "point" the user is requesting is still implicit in the interface and protocol used. Seriously: The entire internet and web are built on the fundamental idea that users specify these points, and the transfer protocols figure out the rest. Second, if you want to be realistic about the definitions here, "point" just as easily indicates a service or company as it does an IP address or server rack. We don't know the exact desk at the IRS to which we send our taxes; the "points" we choose are our mailbox or carrier and the all-purpose address or inbox of the second party. Facebook is a point just as much as 66.220.144.0 is. Third, "mere transmission" is an exact description of the role played by ISPs in the web and internet ecosystem. There's really nothing "mere" about it, though "merely" transmitting high-definition video at several megabytes per second across hundreds of miles or more is a titanic feat requiring much infrastructure and expertise. But it's what ISPs are good at, and it's what we pay them for. Fourth, users of landline telephones never knew the exact locations of every switch, operator, relay and transfer station. They did indeed rely on telephone companies to supply this information "as part and parcel of the telecommunications service they asked for. Fifth, for that matter, the ISPs themselves don't know the location of most of this data. As I mentioned before, much of it is encrypted, or passes through a proxy, or is cached on the fly by Google or Netflix. ISPs follow the instructions of these services as items are shifted among the global data centers run by Pinterest and Instagram, dutifully transmitting packets from the point specified by the user to another point, either themselves or another service. And this is just from reading first two pages of the proposal.

1117. Dena, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251

I am for internet under the title 2 motion. Meaning I am not for the changes proposed to move it back to title 1 letting cable companies potentially bottle neck service to certain sites.

1118. James Curry, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254

I support the continued oversight of Internet Service Providers through Title II regulation. I strongly disagree with the idea of changing the oversight from Title II.

1119. Nathan Salazar, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259

I urge the FCC to regulate Net Neutrality under Title II. I do not want internet service providers influencing what I have access to on the internet.

1120. David Nogler, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254

Please leave Net Neutrality protections in place. Net Neutrality helps everyone by promoting free market principles and can help small business grow.

1121. Katie Donahoe, Phoenix, AZ, 85050

I am strongly in favor of continued Title II protections regarding net neutrality. Eliminating them is not, in fact, internet freedom. Quite the reverse.

1122. Evan Brunsman, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255

I support net neutrality backed by title II, please reconsider adjusting the regulations in place

1123. cory davitt, Phoenix, AZ, 85050

I strongly support net neutrality. Especially the classification and rules supported under title 2. Please do not allow the legal wiggle room for ISPs to control or limit speeds or access to the internet in any way.

1124. Jonathan Davis, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
The Obama era Title II consumer protection needs to be saved. Giving telecom companies the ability to decide what content to deliver and at what speed not only infringes on consumers choice (why choose a new streaming service when Netflix or Hulu is delivered faster) but should be seen as a form of censorship. Net neutrality, the idea that all Internet traffic be treated equally should be upheld.
1125. audra koester thomas, Fountain hills, AZ, 85268  
Very much in favor of net neutrality. See it akin to protections of the 1st Amendment.
1126. Nicholas Lubchenco, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I support strong net neutrality standards. Please keep title 2 oversight in place. Weakening oversight will only enable and empower those companies with the most money and the most power. Does anyone trust comcast or any of their ilk to do the right thing? No, in case you were wondering, the answer is no.
1127. Jason Stewart, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
Yes i very much Support Strong Net Neutrality Backed By Title II Oversight of ISPs. Do not let Comcast and Verizon's lies take net neutrality away
1128. Andrew Lewton, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I specifically fully support strong net neutrality and Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.
1129. Eric Williams, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I support an open internet and net neutrality through strong oversight of isp's backed by title ii regulation
1130. Adam Dimka, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Net neutrality is a vital part of how the internet works and how businesses and people use the internet to innovate, improve on existing technology, and create new products that improve our lives.If broadband companies are allowed to throttle content at their discretion, this will only serve to create non-competitive markets that favor no one except the ISPs who already hold near monopolies in their industry.Any changes to Title II's application to broadband which allows broadband companies to throttle traffic will hurt everyone who uses the internet, and stifle capitalism's naturally emergent innovation. Getting rid of net neutrality is anti-freedom, anti-free market, and anti-American.Thank you for reading my comment.
1131. Brandon Abranovic, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
We strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of internet service providers.
1132. Dylan Bernbaum, phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Real talkFree and open government is the only thing that is keeping Americans TRULY free. We are not shackled by corporate interests on the internet, which should 100% be a public utility- that is how important it is.Don't sell us out FCC - you're supposed to help us keep America FREE from tyranny, not aid in it
1133. Brian, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Dear FCC, Please make my internet faster before allowing corporate America and legislation to destroy my freedom using it. I've been on 40mb/s for the last 4 years when I finally realized, I AM FASTER THAN MY INTERNET CONNECTION. I can literally browse the web on multiple tabs before the pages finish downloading. My internet is incredibly slow compared to South Korea. Please fix my internet speed before over-regulating favoritism. Thank you for keeping Title II net neutrality rules in place to protect Internet users like me and completely destroying all corporate morale around the issue so much so that the ISPs don't even WANT to improve their service anymore.
1134. Tyler Patnode, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
I would like to express my full support for title II net neutrality, as well as my raising concern due to Pai's proposal to overturn this rule that prevents internet service providers (who conduct their business practically as a monopoly that disregards their customers because of the lack of competition, which is an issue in its own) from throttling or perhaps outright denying access to certain webpages and traffic, unless it directly benefits them through profits from fees. Not only is this extremely unfair to consumers and to contributors on the internet, it's completely immoral and only benefits ISPs by bringing them more unfair profits that are ill-deserved. There is no gain for anyone except for comcast-like corporations which are reknowned for their poor treatment towards customers that have no other options, and the politicians that receive donations from these corrupt bodies of power that vote for proposals such as this in return. It is our duty as citizens of the United States, the land of the free, to express these concerns in the hopes that our government will represent the people's wishes, and not the wealthy corporations. As of now, there are at least 2.6 million comments showing the deep concern and worry that has been inflicted upon us, and we ask that you do what you were assigned to do, which is to represent the people and citizens of this great country. I ask those who read this to please consider the impact this will have on those that are not profiting from Pai's inhonorable proposition. This is not the first time that the people have objected to these sorts of proposals, and it is unfortunate that we will have to keep doing so until we are

properly represented.

1135. david anonymous, phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Please dont not end net neutrality and title 2. I think it's a terrible idea to change how the internet has always functioned. Most locations in the U.S. only have one or 2 service providers and plenty have only 1. There is no real competition or choice. PLUS they will just end up ALL doing the same thing . Like with nearly everything else that a pretend choices is available for. DON" T RUIN THE INTERNET of your be remembered for it FOREVER by EVERYONE
1136. Paul Bernbaum, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Leave internet free and open!
1137. Javier Gilmore, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
DO NOT GUT NET NEUTRALITY!!
1138. net neutrality, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I am for strong net neutrality. Stop being fucksticks
1139. Shawn Nelson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
I support strong net neutrality by title 2 oversight of ISPs. Thank you.
1140. Michael Giaramita, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
Please do not change any net neutrality rules and keep the title II provisions in Place. The 2-1 vote to change net neutrality rules goes against the will of the American people.
1141. James Carney, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please allow current regulation to remain unchanged. It is essential to maintain Net Neutrality, and prevent the crass commercialization and ISP control the new rule changes would permit.
1142. Christopher Scott, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I support strong net neutrality and believe ISP must be classified as title II carriers in order to preserve net neutrality
1143. xotchil common, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I do not support the proposed rule change and want to keep NET neutrality safe by keeping ISP's under title II regulations as they are now.
1144. Katlyn Trowel, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Keep strong net neutrality in place by keeping ISP's as title 2 common carriers.
1145. Donald, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Net neutrality needs to be maintained by keeping Title 2 stringent standards. Don't weaken our protection as consumers by giving in to huge business.
1146. Andrew, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Ajit Pai. your mug is small and net neutrality is a huge issue for the american people. The removal of which is a direct violation to every humans basic right of free speech.
1147. J. Stoner, phoenix, AZ, 85032  
We support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight. Please don't trust ISPs to act fairly of their own volition.
1148. Joan Beverstock, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I support a Net neutralityâ€”the right for me to access all Internet content freely without my Internet provider slowing down or even blocking content based on its choice and not mine. I disagree and do not support Chairman Paiâ€™s dangerous proposal as it will destroy the FCCâ€™s net neutrality rules that have worked well for all of us and have been strongly rejected in the past. This is not what we the American public want.
1149. lois sugar, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Keep Title II rules in place! Do not allow corporations to police themselves. Keep the internet free of manipulation for all individual users and internet non-Goliaths.
1150. Rebecca Smith, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Keep net neutrality! Destroying it will be immensely detrimental to the people of this country and will only serve to make money for internet service providers by enabling them to put a stranglehold on services that people rely on every day for communication, information gathering, employment, entertainment, and more. Protect the interests of the American people, not corporations.

1151. clayton bixby, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Please keep Title II of the net neutrality law. The overwhelming majority of people want net neutrality. Ajit Pai, be a man and step up to corporations instead of grabbing ankles. Stay woke!
1152. david lorber, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I support retention of title 2 to maintain net neutrality Thank you
1153. S. Noe, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Preserve net neutrality and impose Title II on ISPs
1154. Dvid Smith, cave creek, AZ, 85331  
Please preserve strong net neutrality by retaining Title II.
1155. Ryan Austin, phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I am writing you to preserve net neutrality and title 2. We cannot afford as a country to allow a tiered system of internet.
1156. Douglas Ambrose, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
Persevere net neutrality and Title Two
1157. Erika Jahneke, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Slow-lane internet is wrong and undemocratic.
1158. R A Manard, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
We need the current regulation to protect net neutrality. There is no cause even offered to the public as to why this would be a good idea that is fact based. No evidence has been produced that the regulation is hindering anything. To change or relax the protection that we enjoy today is not something people want. There is quite a lot of evidence that companies have taken advantage of their ability to slow down traffic on the internet in exchange for money. What is more is that there is also evidence that the current regulations are the only thing that has stopped them. One last point I would like to make is that children are smarter and smarter. In our current time children abide by the law but in a future where kids are urged to be hackers in order to get unhindered steaming internet they will do just that and so much worse. The future is in the balance when you give companies the chance to take advantage of people for money. Keep the regulation . Don't be stupid. Compassion and kindness be our guide. To ourselves and others being equal
1159. Cindy-Anne Kelly, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I respectfully request that we "Preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2".
1160. Ryan Niesz, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Please do not change the current net neutrality rules. Changing will destroy what the internet is all for a few rich corporations to get richer.
1161. Carrie Ann Luikens, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
I am in full support of a free and open Internet, and I petition that the FCC designate the Internet as a permanently protected public resource, just like the local library and our nation's national parks. Per the Constitution, Americans have the right to freedom of speech and the right to disseminate information. The First Amendment prohibits Congress "from making any law abridging the freedom of speech" and this should apply to other government agencies as well. I am petitioning for information on the Internet to continue to be made available to the public in a free and democratic manner. Corporations should not be allowed to determine what content can and cannot be distributed on the Internet. That is a violation of the Constitution regarding every individual's American right to disseminate information. The Internet was not initially created to be a profitable commercial entity. It was designed to enable people to communicate and share information. The Internet today continues to be utilized for that purpose, and should continue to do so. The Internet in my opinion is a public resource that must be preserved as a public resource. There is no denying the advantage of a commercial, profitable Internet. However, please take into consideration the motivations and plans of current corporations regarding their use of the Internet to attempt to manage Internet communications. What gives them the right to override any individual American's right to use the Internet for their own communication purposes? The Internet must be designated as a shared public resource, in all fairness to everyone concerned, individual Americans as well as the people who work in businesses who use the Internet every day. The Internet has served as a vast international public library which has inspired and educated millions of people in the United States as well as around the world. It is a force for good. It is a force for innovation. It is a valuable international treasure, and not something that should be controlled by companies. Any large corporate ISP or government agency that attempts to shut down or restrict free and open access to the Internet is acting against the United States Founding Fathers democratic intentions, the First Amendment in the US Constitution and humanity's best interests, as well as their own. Please preserve the Internet as a free and open public information resource. Thank you.
1162. Laura Hill, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254

Keep ISP providers classified under Title II of the Communications Act. Truly the best way to ensure net neutrality.

1163. Mary Ellen Roche, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Please do not eliminate the requirement for Net Neutrality or the consideration of broadband as a common carrier under Title II. In order to carry out the FCC mandate to "promote connectivity and ensure a robust and competitive market", the commission must not allow "Big Foot" providers to have more favorable access and treatment than their competitors. This amounts to discrimination, favoritism, and a heavy finger on the scale of competition. Stand for fairness, please!
1164. William Baker, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
The American people / Corporation(s) already pay for an internet connection. Explain how an ISP throttling my Netflix movie is acceptable when i already pay for that connection on top of Netflix paying for theirs? The ISP's should be treated as a utility. We have already voted on this once. Please leave Title 2 guidelines in place.
1165. davis householder, phoenix, AZ, 85028  
title II oversight is a necessity in preserving internet freedom. We need to protect the users NOT the ISPs. If you want a nation using Tor as if we live in China or some other communistic government then this repeal is how you get a nation of Tor users.
1166. William Davis, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
ATTN AJIT PAI:: I STRONGLY SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISPS. Please! Do not feed into the fascist regime's plans to systematically destroy the country for marginal profit. Without the people, you, Verizon, the government and basically everything else in this country are NOTHING. Use your intelligence and position of influence for the betterment of this country and the people that are it's fabric. You can still make plenty of money doing the right thing. Fair warning: it's not as easy as letting someone else work your mouth and body like a ventriloquist. #HandCheck
1167. Daniel Kramer, Scottsdale, AZ, 85262  
I support net neutrality. I do not want anyone to have the ability to control content or speed. The more neutral the net is, the better for all of us.
1168. Karl Michaelis, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
I do NOT want to see Net Neutrality & Title II removed or modified. I want to see Net Neutrality & Title II preserved as it is. As a veteran I have fought for the right for people to have the freedom to choose what they want & not for others to make those choices for them, removing the above would give companies the legal right to directly influence peoples choices for profit with no regulation. You should NOT allow this to occur, most regulations keep us safe on the streets, schools or public functions but Net Neutrality & Title II help keep the digital world safe. If we are to transition into a Utopian society as a species fairness, truth, ethics & morals will have to be the back bone & this, in relation to its field is that backbone. Preserve Net Neutrality & Title II.
1169. Mike Weaver, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Hello FCC...Please leave the current Open Internet alone and leave the Net Neutrality in place. Thanks...Mike Weaver
1170. mary anne mancuso, phoenix, AZ, 85032  
please leave net neutrality alone. Do not take this away!!!
1171. Daniel Scott Hughes, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I support the consumer protections given under Title II. Destroying net neutrality, which is the result of what you plan to do, will only benefit large corporations, harm consumers, and promote censorship and bribery. Keep Title II protections for internet service providers!
1172. Susan Rubin, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
I strongly support net neutrality and wish to see isp's continue to be regulated by Title 2.
1173. John M Glitsos, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
The FCC needs to preserve NET NEUTRALITY. This is critical to protect all businesses from huge corporations taking over the Internet. Please think about small businesses and the common man, instead of huge corporations.
1174. Shari Ochoa, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I am encouraging you to preserve Title II and preserve net neutrality for ISPs. Keeping the information flow from the internet unfettered by private interests is as important today as newspapers and highways were a half a century ago.
1175. richard forbes, Scottsdale, AZ, 85266  
I support title two net neutrality and strong oversight
1176. Nancy Happel, Phoenix, AZ, 85028

I strongly support net neutrality and the 2015 Open Internet Order. The Internet must be classified as a telecommunication service with equal access to all. Period.

1177. Darrell Horton, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
PLEASE Preserve your neutrality on title II, thank you
1178. Teresa Grant, Carefree, AZ, 85377  
We need a fair and just system of regulation in place to keep all economic players in check. Sorry if the big shots don't like the rules, but it's how we all stay honest and everyone gets to be in the game.
1179. Ann Gillette, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I emphatically support strong net-neutrality backed by Title 2 Oversight of ISP's.
1180. Libby Vetter, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
I specifically support strong net neutrality, backed by title2 oversight of ISPs.
1181. Geoff Young, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
A free and open Internet is paramount to our society remaining open and equal. Businesses will do what is in their best interest and that will not be aligned with the consumer.
1182. Sharon McLaughlin, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I would like to express my support for net neutrality and Title 2 oversight for ISPs. It is important that net neutrality be preserved.
1183. Wyatt L Tolby, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Preserve net neutrality and keep internet service providers under title 2. You must preserve internet neutrality by standing with the citizens who use it and remember that it is your job to govern these service providers not be governed and bullied by them. A pay to play internet helps no one.
1184. Kylie Bunce, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
The Title II protections for net neutrality are critical for ensuring that the internet can remain a competitive marketplace for products and ideas.
1185. Eliot Gunn, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Chairman Pai, Please don't fall prey to the few economic siren who believe all economics are basically the same. They are not. The internet, like healthcare, are buffeted by more complex drivers than simply supply and demand curves. There are many ways to "juice" the system in such a way that will give one player advantage over another. Keep the ISPs under Title II. At least we will have the ability to punish those who get caught.
1186. John Kaskela, Phx, AZ, 85020  
Stop with this net neutrality BS! How dare, F.C.C. chairman, Ajit Pai, even use the term "restoring internet freedom," to try to DESTROY the public's access to online information. Keep your nose in your own life and stay the Hell out of mine!! Get off of your knees for Verizon, AT&T and your other bed-buddies!! This is AMERICA, not your little game!
1187. Juhi Thakore, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Everyone at the FCC: Please preserve Net Neutrality laws. Please don't roll them back. Thanks, Juhi Thakore
1188. Jennifer L Isabel, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's
1189. The Revd R Craig Bustrin, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I SUPPORT strong NET NEUTRALITY backed by TITLE 2 oversight for ISPs. This is extremely important for maintaining our freedom to access information on the internet without preferential treatment by ISPs.
1190. Jordan Kojouharov, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Keep The Internet classified under Title 2, and stop pretending that this push to reclassify it under Title 1 is anything but an attempt to please big business, allow ISPs more leverage, reduce competition, and restrict consumer choice and freedom. Ajit Pai is nothing but a pawn of Verizon and other big business ISPs.
1191. Trey McGuire, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Save net neutrality and keep Title II regulations Thank you
1192. Daniel Markman, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
Dear FCC, Stop trying to take away our rights for the benefit of your lobbyists. Net Neutrality is necessary, your motives

to shoot it down come from greed. Be better than that and stand behind Net Neutrality. Sincerely, Danny Markman  
Daniel Markman

1193. Tyler Abrahams, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
Shame on the FCC for even considering abandoning or weakening net neutrality. Robust legal protection is a must. And more shame for making public comments more difficult! Your goal with both efforts is obviously less transparency, less accountability, less democracy.
1194. Mark D Reinhardt, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please continue tho support and defend Net Neutrality.
1195. Ryan Walsh, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I am strongly against telecommunications companies being reclassified as title I. Preserve net neutrality and Title II classification for them.
1196. Taylor Kane, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Mr. Ajit Pai, I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It's a nice utopian thought that businesses will act in the best interests of it's clients', but we don't live in a utopian society. You were an attorney for Verizon, so you very well know why it was established. Please stop playing dumb and ensure Title II remains in place. Thank You.
1197. Aaron Ling, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Go FCC yourself. I support net neutrality
1198. Andrew Kloberdanz, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
We need to keep net neutrality protections in place to protect our unrestricted and fair access to all of the webs content. I do not support the ability of private companies to determine what I can and cannot view on the internet.
1199. Peter Smith, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I want to ensure Net Neutrality remains under the protection of Title II
1200. Alex Thiel, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Dear FCC, Keeping the net neutrality rules is very important to met. Please do what's right for the people and the internet. Keep Title II classification for the internet. I will be sending this to my members of congress. Do whatever you can to convince Chairman Pai to keep the internet free. Thank you! Alex Thiel
1201. Kristopher Flanagan, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I support strict regulation of ISPs under title II in the pursuit of net neutrality
1202. Barrie Schaef, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Keep the internet free from govt regulations that were designed for utilities!
1203. Sara Harman, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Hello, I am writing to ask you to preserve net neutrality and Title II. Freedom of information is a gift to me and to all who live in this country. Thank you for supporting regulations that continue to allow information to reach the public.
1204. Alex McGregor, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Keep internet neutrality strong!
1205. Ann Pickrell, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Preserve Net Neutrality. Stop trying to take our freedom away for the benefit of cable companies.
1206. chris dobbins, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Stop messing with the internet, its all we have left now that healthcare, education and debt services are all jacked up. Stop giving a new revenue stream to corporations that already overcharge for services please.
1207. Robert Blanco, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are important. Please do not downgrade their status by reclassifying them from Title II status to Title I. Internet Service Providers must not have the power to block websites, slow them down, favor some sites over others, or create greater access or faster transmission for companies that pay extra for those services (which they would be able to do under Title I and which, as I understand it, is what Title II was created to prevent). The new chairman of the FCC, has not been fully forthcoming about the reclassification and has implied the change is just a different legal footing. Language like this masks the seriousness of the change and makes it seem less impactful. Chairman Pai, as a former Verizon attorney, appears to be representing the large ISP s and not the general public who rely on the internet for access to a wide variety of services and information sources at reasonable prices and

fast speeds. Please respect the needs of the public, let the ISP s play by the same rules as everyone else and leave the Title II classification as it is. Thank you, Bob Blanco

1208. Angus O'Donnell, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I support strong net neutrality via continued Title II oversight of ISPs and believe such oversight to be integral in maintaining my personal freedom to information and services as both a consumer and as a United States citizen.
1209. Robert Woods, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please support net neutrality under the section 2 agreement!!
1210. Amy Leason, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934, are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" and "slow lanes." Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay- and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses, large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, will mean "fast lanes" for some Internet content providers and "slow lanes" for others. If some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You would kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs would be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery, which would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay, to have their voices heard. Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will probably ignore me and millions of other Americans. Nonetheless, I'm submitting this comment to the regulatory process. Please support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and do not implement Chairman Pai's plan.
1211. lee richer, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Striking down net neutrality would be akin to my electricity provider throttling delivery of electricity to my home. These regulations protect the public.
1212. Phil Grant, Phx, AZ, 85032  
Net Neutrality is fundamental to free speech and must be protected....it's no one's business but my own what I watch and do online!
1213. Mike Julius, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
Don't allow my private information to be sold!Keep the internet out of the hands of the cable company
1214. Brent L. Trout, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Please do NOT scrap the net neutrality rules recently adopted. DO NOT seed additional power to the already mighty cable company's strangle hold on the American people. Why would you give over even more control to these monopolies? The only reason I can think of is the new chairman's past relationship/employment by that industry. Why not honor your obligation now to the millions of citizens you now represent instead of a handful of greedy billionaires????
1215. Jeffrey Colon, Phoenix , AZ, 85029  
The motivation of the FCC under the Trump administration is highly questionable. The majority of USA citizens support Net neutrality regardless of political party affiliation. Seems the agenda of the Trump administration is to aid big business and not in the best interest of the general population. Our forefathers are turning in their graves. Do the right thing!
1216. Heather Harrenstein, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
My freedom on the internet is more important than the profits of corporations. This gutting does not protect American consumers and citizens. Protect Net Neutrality.
1217. Alex boyle, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
My name is Alex Boyle, and as a working, tax paying citizen of the United States, I do not support any litigation or push to end Net Neutrality. I support the open internet and innovation that it provides.Let's face it, this is about greed and corruption.Alex
1218. Lee Miller, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
The web and internet is part of our right to free speech which keeps us as citizens free. Do not invade our ability of free speech under the constitution of the United States of America.
1219. Stephen Sample, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331

Keep net neutrality for all. I fear the control of big internet providers squeezing out my use and choice. I don't trust them to do the right thing and need the government to protect me from their censorship. This is a free choice and speech issue.

1220. Guy Fawkes, Phoenix, AZ, 85255  
Open internet access is best compared to as the digital constitution of the 21st century. There just isn't any justifiably positive reason to prohibit, an unbiased tool for every global citizen to utilize to creatively enrich the world's common intellect. Ironic that Nikola Tesla had his project shut down as soon as it was known that it would provide untaxable energy and communications almost 100 years ago.
1221. Carol Riggins, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Net neutrality is as essential to individual rights and democracy today as the right to vote. If Big Business is allowed to control internet traffic, they will quash free speech and free press, destroy the livelihoods of millions of American small businesses, and control what we are allowed to view on the internet, thereby taking away our freedom - and moving this country one step closer to the end of democracy. The Scientists who created the internet gave it to Everyone - not Big Business. As a government agency, I would implore you to act in EVERYONE'S BEST INTEREST - and not be dictated to by the BIG BUSINESS BULLIES.
1222. Inger Dickson, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I believe that net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Keep commercial bias off the internet.
1223. Eric Tiley, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Freedom of Speech firstmost!. But also, the ability to choose what I watch, and what I can search for. Without Net Neutrality, it would be like having to choose between a few restaurants, and that is all there is to choose from. I like options, I like choices. And taking away net neutrality is like taking away the open marketplace and instead having a few oligopolies controlling everything. That isn't right. This is America Bitches!
1224. Carl Barton, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
There is much talk about liberty in the US, now prove it by not allowing the few to silence the many.
1225. Charles Jewett Kurtz, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
It's unfair and deceiving on your part to allow the big companies to arbitrarily control my internet access and speed.
1226. Maria Martinez, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Net neutrality must be protected. By allowing big cable companies to take control of the internet will decrease the access of all individuals to the internet. It is fundamental to free speech. It allows the individual to see what they want and not what the big companies want. It is so sad that this is even being considered.
1227. Norman Rachels, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Hey, LEAVE IT ALONE !!! IF IT AN'T BROKE, DON'T FIX IT !!! I DON'T WANT A "FOR PROFIT OUTFIT RUNNING IT !!!
1228. Matt Hurst, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
Small business is the most vital aspect in the search for liberty and prosperity. An individual's ability to launch a business using the internet as a vehicle to reach clients is an extension of our already established constitutional rights and shall not be infringed upon.
1229. Alyssa Mandel, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I believe net neutrality is a right of every person who uses the web. We've had enough of being used as targeted potential customers. We just want to browse in peace!
1230. John Bayiha, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
The Internet has leveled the field and is a great equalizer; the richest should NOT be privileged at the expense of the poor to the middle class. No fast or slow lanes - just one, open Internet!
1231. David Remson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Providing information about a person's use of the internet is giving identity thieves an opportunity to track our use of the internet, gain insight into our private lives and steal our identities.
1232. Alan Wexler, Scottsdale, AZ, 85204  
I was against the first time big companies tried to dissolve net neutrality. I am still against big cable companies taking control over my choice and freedom in my use of the internet. I am for Net Neutrality.
1233. David McKelvey, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
With all the commercial Internet traffic during the day, the net is already slow - by comparison, evening access is generally very good - if you give priority to corp users, daytime access will be impossible.

1234. tony, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
Please don't give in to the oligarchs
1235. John schlatter, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Leave big cable companies out of internet controll!
1236. David Burson, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
The internet is the most important 'common space' that is shared by all people of the world. Everyone - consumers and producers alike - deserves equal and fair access to this common communication medium. The internet is and must remain a "Common Carrier" and "equal access" medium, without "special treatment" or "limited access". Keep the current "Common Carrier" designation. Please.
1237. mike, scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
internet should not allow cable companies to monitor my activities
1238. Don Uckerman, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Why? You should be on our side. The internet belongs to the people not corporations.
1239. Joseph M Sarno, Phoenix, AZ, 85020  
Keep an OPEN internet-PLEASE!!
1240. Damian Walker, phoenix, AZ, 85032  
If everything we do is going to be regulated and our freedoms are being manipulated for big companies to monopolize the internet. Whats next control the air we breath the quality water we drink.
1241. Jeff, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Net neutrality is an american standard. Do the right thing and leave it alone!
1242. Jorge L. Gonzalez-Becerra, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Don't mess with people's choice. Citizens Rules.
1243. James Olivi, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Net Neutrality is best protected by reducing, dare I say removing government interference and regulation. Allow private companies to thrive, innovate and improve modern communications systems by leaving them alone.
1244. raoul loustauanau, phoenix , AZ, 85053  
the federal government should stay out of these , its better to have competition on companies the more you narrow down to only a few companies they can charge what ever they want and sky rocket price they should not profit from these so please dont make it that this companies can make more money on the backs of the hard working people, these companies only care about there bottom line and they should not be help by the government and the entities paid by these big companies.if you do what you have propose its going to be like the health insurance and care insurance we pay more now that before please stay out of this and keep it neutral it must be protected for the sake of all the users .
1245. Jamie Vukelich, scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
We need even playing fields in this country--especially with the internet. Net Neutrality must be protected
1246. Ellen, Phoenix, AZ, 85254  
It is outrageous! The same Political Party that claims the government is too big is using a government office to try to control what WE THE PEOPLE OF THE US know about what is going on. This is a DEMOCRACY not a dictatorship! I don not want my information censored if I did I would live in Russia.Wake up people before it is too late!
1247. John Mooney, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
I am a retired and disabled veteran. I rely heavily on the internet for just about everything. I love going to any site in the world anytime I like! Please, do not this freedom from us!
1248. Nikki Buchanan, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I support net neutrality 100%. It's equivalent to free speech, and to lose it is to lose a fundamental aspect of democracy. I do NOT want companies like Comcast, Verizon or AT&T making ANY decisions about what I can research or see on the internet. It would give control to big business, and we have more than enough of that already.
1249. Bob and Terri Graham, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
We want the internet to stay as one open internet. PLEASE do not allow cable companies, etc. to become involved. Please leave it alone!
1250. Kevin Tobin, Scottsdale , AZ, 85254

Please do not allow business power to limit individual freedoms to access information

1251. John Smith, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Net Neutrality is healthy competition! It's a free world and no one has the right to limit it! Keep it a free land (space) for everyone and do not discriminate!
1252. Dr. JABBOUR S. SEMAAN, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Net neutrality is a part and parcel of, and is inseparable from, our constitutional rights to free speech. Corporate America must keep its hands off our freedom to choose what we want to hear, see, or share with others as long as it does not infringe on any body's freedom or rights. This is what democracy is all about!
1253. William E Benjamin Jr, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
I use the internet a lot, but try to keep my cost down. letting big companies control costs will hurt me. I am retired and a veteran of the Vietnam era.
1254. Michael Rubino, Scottsdale, AZ, 85262  
Net neutrality is important to maintain because it allows for free speech on a global level, something that is beyond important in the interconnected, fast-paced society we live in.
1255. Linda Cherner, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
-Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech-Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who could struggle as big companies could easily survive-Net neutrality allows me to watch and do what I want online, not a large company who could control the content
1256. Francine Leahey, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Access to the Internet provides crucial communication among users, freedom of speech and should always remain neutral..otherwise investment in new ideas would be open to unwanted entities.
1257. Cloyd Sprenkle, Glendale, AZ, 85308  
The unhindered access to internet access has become essential for conducting my business, personal affairs and fulfilling my duties as a citizen. The Internet should be considered a necessary utility for all without regard to financial considerations. The Internet is a vital utility on par with Electric and water.
1258. John Scapelliti, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
The original concept of an Open Internet should and must be protected for all users. Control must not be passed on to 'for profit' companies that will interfere with that freedom.
1259. Laurie Gasik, Scottsdale, AZ, 85012  
Please do not sell out the internet; a free internet is in the best interests of ALL.
1260. Bert Alter, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I like the Internet the way it is.I would not want the internet in the hands of big business nor do I want it in the hands of more government control.If the current state net neutrality keeps things the way they are, (not corporate owned, minimal government interference) then I want that.
1261. Marshall Cannon, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Without net neutrality young people like me will have no chance of starting their own online businesses. Internet monoliths will shut down any chance of competition.
1262. William, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Hello. Truly there's a lot at stake. The FCC's proposal puts Internet service providers like Comcast, AT&T and Verizon in charge of what I can see and do online. In short, the agency wants to hand the internet over to the big cable companies, at the expense of consumers like me, my choice and freedom. What a nightmare! Please consider this comment and not implement this proposal.
1263. Tom Fay, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Without dealing with big company money and their competition in the way, startups have thrived with the choices of the many not the few.
1264. Spencer Mckrell, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Brings to mind we the people, our grandparents and great-grandparents and so on fought for our freedom keep the internet free no company or person should have have control over the internet.
1265. Professor Patrick J. McGowan, Ph.D., Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Net Neutrality is essential for creativity and economic growth. It is A PUBLIC GOOD!!!

1266. Fairfax O'Riley, Scottsdale, AZ, 85262  
I believe that the proposed rules weakening the FCC's "net neutrality" regulations are detrimental to the citizens of the United States. I would like to see the proposed rules fail.
1267. Zoe Tuomisto, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Please stop trying to censor our rights in this country. Stop trying to take away everything we've built. Don't make us N Korea. We're not a dictatorship, our people are free.
1268. Trudy Miller, Cave Creek , AZ, 85331  
Net neutrality should be absolutely protected. Failure to do so would just add to the lack of a level playing field for the advantaged and all the rest!
1269. sheira Viggiano, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Leave the internet alone. Do not regulate. Let small businesses thrive
1270. Ruben Reyes, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Net neutrality makes freedom to choose a basic step in the marketplace. It fosters competition and cooperation to build a system that benefits all not just powerful self interest groups. Please lend us your support.
1271. Victor Ahumada, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I own a small, web-based business. The proposed changes to net neutrality would not only increase my costs, but compromise valuable data that should not be in the hands of corporations, without my blessing. I could go on forever with regard to the consequences of the FCC's decision, but in short, it could put me out of business and leave those I employ without jobs.
1272. Marnee Weber, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
I am a small business owner who solely relies on the Internet to make my income. Without the ability to transmit large video files and any content I desire to market my services to a worldwide audience, my business would not be able to exist. The freedom of the Internet is essential to my success, as a tax payer and contributor as a U.S. citizen.
1273. Rich larsen, Sdl, AZ, 85260  
I am totally against anything that violates net neutrality. I do not want large businesses controlling the flow of Internet speeds and content. Things need to stay the way they are net neutral!
1274. Christopher Brian Schneider, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Please leave the internet alone. Government interference will not solve anything.
1275. Jewell Hawthorne, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Net neutrality is fundamental to maintaining a level playing field for all. Without it, the BIG monopolies control access, speeds and information. Information not fake information that allows consumers, small businesses and non-profits to make this world a better place.
1276. Karen Johnson, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
The open internet is essential to my work as an educator and a scholar. Handing it over to the big cable companies would destroy the economic productivity of the internet.
1277. Carrie Ann Luikens, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
I am in full support of a free and open Internet, and designating the Internet as a protected public resource, just like the local library and our nation's national parks. Per the Constitution, Americans have the right to freedom of speech and the right to disseminate information. The First Amendment prohibits Congress "from making any law abridging the freedom of speech" and this should apply to other government agencies as well. I lobby for information on the Internet to continue to be made available to the public in a free and democratic manner. Corporations should not be allowed to determine what content can and cannot be distributed on the Internet. That is a violation of the Constitution regarding every individual's American right to disseminate information. The Internet was not initially created to be a profitable commercial entity. It was designed to enable people to communicate and share information. The Internet today continues to be utilized for that purpose, and should continue to do so. The Internet in my opinion is a public resource that must be preserved as a public resource. There is no denying the advantage of a commercial, profitable Internet. However, please take into consideration the motivations and plans of current corporations regarding their use of the Internet to attempt to manage Internet communications. What gives them the right to override any individual American's right to use the Internet for their own communication purposes? The Internet must be designated as a shared public resource, in all fairness to everyone concerned, individual Americans as well as the people who work in businesses who use the Internet every day. The Internet has served as a vast international public library which has inspired and educated millions of people in the United States as well as around the world. It is a force for good. It is a force for innovation. It is a valuable international treasure, and not something that should be controlled by companies. Please preserve the Internet as a free

and open public resource. Any large corporate ISP or government agency that attempts to shut down or restrict free and open access to the Internet is acting against the United States Founding Fathers democratic intentions, the First Amendment in the US Constitution and humanity's best interests, as well as their own.

1278. Shelley Arnold, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
Please keep net neutrality protected. It is the fundamental right of every American to be able to access information without anyone censoring this ability. I prefer to access the internet using any ISP I choose and do not want to be forced to use one that will limit by ability to access the internet unimpeded.
1279. Wendy Whitman, Scottsdale, AZ, 85262  
Do not gut net neutrality. Without it, a company can control what see, what I do and how fast I can do it. That is the whole benefit of the internet, is the freedom to access and see all that information as fast as possible. Gutting net neutrality would make it obsolete. What a waste. It would put Americans even further behind, stifle empowerment and productivity. It doesn't make sense to put up more roadblocks
1280. Valerie Clemens, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I do not want anything to change in terms of how I currently experience the Internet. Since our news and information is currently controlled by very large companies I see the Internet as my last refuge when it comes to reading alternative, independent thought and perspectives. For this reason, I don't own a T.V., but get all of my news from Youtube and other online sources. I hope the FCC will listen to people who make up the avid Internet-watching population. Large companies, which are more monopolistic than ever before, should not have any influence over my Internet experience. They are naturally driven purely by their profit motive. Thank you.
1281. Barbara Seese, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Net neutrality is the embodiment of the 1st Amendment ("Freedom of Speech") on the Internet. No big media corporation's profits should take precedence over the First Amendment of the Constitution! The FCC should leave net neutrality alone!
1282. Madison, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Net Neutrality is important because we deserve equal access to the internet.
1283. Chris Gardner, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
Let's keep the Internet open and uncensored. Not put it into the hands of large corporations.
1284. Ann T, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
I have been abused by Cox for many years, but there is no other choice but Century Link (used be Quest which was indicted in corruption charge). To let these monopoly company have even greater control is scary, not to mention it stifles new innovation and entrepreneurship.
1285. Thomas Barberic, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
Net neutrality is a product of the 1st amendment and should be protected.
1286. Mary T Enos, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
This is ours...our privacy..what else do you guys want..leave us with some dignity..not having this administration rob us of our HOPE,RIGHTS,PROMISES for a so called GREAT AMERICA which Trumps admin is taking away from us.
1287. Batiyah Lampl, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Citizens of the U.S. depend on net neutrality and a free press. It is what we count on for information about new ideas and innovation. Small businesses depend on it. Getting the information out there to those who a searching is instrumental in building a healthy economy that benefits all--not just the wealthy few.
1288. Leandro Valdez, Scottsdale, AZ, 85266  
Keep Net Neutrality as it is today. No distinction from slow lane VS. fast lane to benefit a few companies. The Internet has been working great as it is, do not change it.RegardsLeandro Valdez
1289. Haroon Khan, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Net neutrality is important to keeping the internet fair for new businesses.
1290. Doug Smith, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Without a free and open internet we will not be nearly as productive and progressive as we are now. Please keep the internet free! Please join me in supporting internet neutrality.
1291. David Madison, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I don't think net neutrality is a good idea. A free commerce based internet will bring its own ideas and innovations to bear on how fast or slow the net will be. The internet isn't, in theory, a closed system. It is an open system and, one that

has and will continue to grow and expand its speed potentials to limits to now unheard of. Let the internet be free from artificial speed controls that frustrate the kinds of innovation that will otherwise continue to make the internet a great resource for everyone.

1292. Jeffrey Eells, Carefree, AZ, 85377  
Why would you be interested in messing with something that works so well. The internet, as it stands today, without your interference, has changed the world. Any actions on the part of the FCC to change "net neutrality" would do harm!! The world is more complex, as are its various man-made constructions. These constructions, the internet, medical care/insurance, world wide financial markets, are so complex that the results of changes can not be accurately redicted.
1293. Gordon Minns, CEO of AgraTek, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
My company relies heavily on IOT communications with our remote stations that monitor groundwater pollution. I don't want any changes that will affect our access and bandwidth needed to continue to provide this vital service.
1294. David Sansbury, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Aside from increasing profits for ISPs, there is no reason to damage net neutrality .... it sure as hell isn't a benefit to the American people.
1295. Jay Burwell, Scottsdale , AZ, 85259  
Net neutrality is critical. The internet belongs to everyone. Allowing companies to hijack it and sell it is incomprehensible. Again the little guy will lose. Stop the madness now.
1296. Hayden Burke, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
The open web is something that this country prides itself on. Having access to almost anything at your finger tips is exhilarating and mind-boggling. Without net neutrality, how different would we be from North Korea?
1297. Dallas Myers, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
The possibilities for a negative outcome far outweigh any possible benefit.
1298. Eric Schacter, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
Everyone should have equal access to the internet regardless of the amount of money they make. The sharing of information must flow freely and big corporations should not be able to limit this.
1299. Vlad Malkin, Scottsdale, AZ, 85262  
Public interest in maintaining Internet access for all sites and media must override special interest. We're watching. Maintain net neutrality!
1300. Dennis Thompson, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
Internet neutrality is working fine now my words to you would be: Don't fix what ain't broke!
1301. Douglas Nelson, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
With our country barreling toward oligarchy, we need to make a stand. You represent a country that is by the people, for the people. Without net neutrality, creators and entrepreneurs could struggle to reach new users. Investment in new ideas would dry up and only the big companies would survive, stifling innovation.
1302. Benjamin W. Pshebniski, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
Without Net Neutrality, ISPs would be able to censor internet users -- and the internet is, for many people, vital as a public forum in which to discuss their views. Removing net neutrality is a clear kick in the face for the First Amendment.
1303. Thomas, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
If I wanted government control of the internet I would move to China. Leave it alone, go screw up something else. Get off my team.
1304. Gina Walters, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Please support net neutrality! We have to protect small businesses and innovators who are just getting started!
1305. John Mullan, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I strongly believe in NET NEUTRALITY! By giving control to cable companies the internet would no longer be free it's just another one of our freedoms being taken away by big business. I am totally opposed to this it's just another example of big government getting in the way of peoples lives. In case you forget this is a free country for the people by the people with unalienable rights to free speech and not being given away to large companies to profit from and control what we read speak and hear. According to the Economist magazine we now 16th of countries in terms of freedom! If you give the internet over to the cable companies it's jsut more of our freedoms being eroded! Don't do it!

1306. Bruce Cutting, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Wealth should not be a factor regarding the speed in which the internet performs. All peoples should have equal access to a high performing web. Net Neutrality must be protected in the same way freedom of speech is protected. A few should not have better access and performance. Also, big cable has already proven itself incapable of providing service at a fair price.
1307. Paul Rooker, Scottsdale,, AZ, 85262  
It seems that the current concept of net neutrality is working. The freedom to choose what and how to use the internet gives the "little guy" a better chance to exercise some small bit of personal taste that suits his particular needs. Please don't change a thing.
1308. Norm, anyplace, AZ, 85255  
Mozilla's twisted definition of freedom is more government protection and control, except for Mozilla who believes it is so pure and unselfish. For a true understanding of why Mozilla is wrong read Dr. Ron Paul.
1309. Mel Slater, Scottsdsle, AZ, 85260  
Leave net neutrality alone. I want the ability to use the internet without controls being applied by big providers. Thank you
1310. Josh Scrabeck, Phoenix, AZ, 85254  
Title II needs to stay in place to safe guard Net Neutrality. If Net Neutrality is abolished, Ajit Pai would undoubtedly receive kickbacks from his former employer, as well as other ISPs. That is corruption, which should not be shocking to anyone who understands that people are inherently selfish and their actions usually coincide with that.
1311. Jesse Martin, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Net Neutrality should not be passed. Jesse Martin
1312. Mary-Jeanne Fincher, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
I support strong net neutrality, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. It absurd to believe that ISPs would simply promise not to obstruct or slow consumer access to websites in their terms of services!
1313. Eric Howard, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Dear FCC,I am writing to you to strongly urge that Net Neutrality remain under Title II in order to maintain the Open Internet Order that was enacted in 2015 to ensure ISPs are not able to unfairly throttle internet speeds, require payment for higher-bandwidth usage ("lanes"), and the blocking of applications and websites.Allowing the current ministration to pull out of this agreement, and ultimately remove Net Neutrality, would be akin to moving back access for billions years, perhaps even decades. In the fast moving, ever evolving landscape that is the internet, such restrictiosn would hinder growth and ultimately pose a serious issue to corporations, both non- and for-profit worldwide.I am a Network Security Engineer for a major corporation, and allowing such a broad and heavily regulated rule to take place over Title II would likely restrict my ability to efficiently and correctly perform my very job, as well as the roles of others who heavily depend on the idea of a free and open Internet.Again, to the FCC and the current acting administratrion that is debating moving away from Net Neutrality under Title II, I urge that the current policy be kept as is, in order to allow for a truly free and productive future for public internet.Thank you,Eric
1314. Fanny Bronche OIG, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
We need FBI, DEA, USMS, ATF agents to stop violating the Internet Freedom Act.Currently, Fed agents are using aircrafts and remote spy tools to prevent access to internet on hotspot devices.When Fed agents illegally reroute traffic and illegal backdoor to IMSI Stingray devices that are connected to deplorable aircrafts, the Fed agents throttles and degrades internet speed and connection thus violating the Internet Freedom ActFed use of badly created technologies from Harris Corporations makes it obvious that Fed are using bad tools and also violating the civil rights, privacy and health rights of public, mass and people!Fed excessive use of such device can be lawfully construed as forms of excessive force, excessive surveillance, aggravated assault and digital brutalization!Fed agencies should not be using tools to violate people rights to expression, health and Internet Freedoms
1315. Alexander Harken, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I strongly urge the FCC to uphold the principles of net neutrality and keep Internet Service Providers classified as a title II utility. Without an open internet, Internet Service Providers will be legally allowed to slow traffic from websites that have competing businesses and extort money from other small businesses. Repealing Net Neutrality is an attack on the consumer and small businesses who won't be able to afford to compete. The major Internet Service Providers need to be broken up under anti-trust regulation to allow for true competition.The primary argument that the current regulations will stifle innovation is false. Internet Service Providers already have incredibly high profit margins on their services. In 2017, the Internet is as necessary for daily tasks and business as telephone utilities are. The Internet needs to remain a utility due to its importance.

1316. Sarah, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Cox communications is now putting a cap on our internet usage. We go over the 1 tb limit monthly that they are putting in place. This will effect our livelihood as we stream through twitch to make our income.
1317. Elysa Daniels, Carefree, AZ, 85377  
I support Net Neutrality as it currently exists. ISPs should NOT interfere in content delivery which would diminish competition and harm consumers.
1318. Bert Alter, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Keep the net as it is. Don't own the net. It's the last bastion of Free Speech the average American can enjoy. I seek to set an enterprise on the net someday. If Comcast or Verizon or anyone else own it, my future, and many other futures are already ruined. Bert Alter
1319. Zachary J. Duzan, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Net Neutrality is important, say we do not need it because business are not abusing their control over the market, is like saying it is okay to leave a loaded gun in a playground because the children there were not shooting each other already.
1320. thomas, phoenix, AZ, 85029  
You need to protect net neutrality under title 2. This country has allowed to much monopolism and has forgotten about the principles of both the economic and political intent of the founders. Allowing such monopolization is a complete corruption of everything we are supposed to stand for. I think there is a freedom of press and speech issue as well. How dare anyone tell me what i should or shouldn't use my time to learn or be entertained in whatever manner I wish but in the way that benefits them the most in revenue.
1321. Drake Qualls, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. I cannot believe that I have to send a comment like this in some vain attempt to stop our ISP's from blatantly grabbing for more money and power. Any proposed change to the current rules is an egregious assault on my pocket book, and provides absolutely no benefit to me or any other person. In a very literal sense, I am ashamed of the FCC. Chairman Ajit Pai is a crony and only cares about further enriching his former employer (and likely himself).
1322. Douglas Nelson, Pheonix, AZ, 85032  
I would like you to consider how important internet neutrality (net neutrality) is to our country. I have been creating websites professionally since 2001. During this time I have come to realize that businesses rely on the internet to introduce and market their services and products, much like the Yellow Pages helped businesses during the past century. The small businesses that I have helped could not afforded to promote their business nationwide with traditional advertising methods: print, radio, television, classified ads, direct mail, and direct sales (door-to-door and telemarketing). Internet marketing will continue to be the most affordable advertising method for American small businesses/ entrepreneurs. Allowing larger companies to have the option of suppressing small business's self-promotion efforts will certainly effect our country's economy in profound ways. Please do what you can to develop a permanent decree to have an open and neutral internet.
1323. Frank, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Please continue to support strong net-neutrality rules like Title 2. Don't trust companies to make the right choices, make sure they do!
1324. moustafa hazin, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
It is an absolute travesty that this is being considered. It goes directly against any form of equality . Please keep internet free from this unfair regulation .
1325. Harrison, Scottsdale, AZ, 85266  
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2. If you don't, large corporations such as Verizon will slow other services for their benefit. Thank you.
1326. Ellie Garness, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's, and I do not want changes made to ISP oversight. This will enable corporate stifling of free speech online, and is a step towards a fascist dictatorship. Also chairman Pal's giant Reese's pieces coffee mug is stupid, Jon Oliver has a better one.
1327. Michael D. Jonas, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
It would a catastrophic mistake to end or even impinge on "net neutrality." The FCC should not hand ISPs the throttle so they can control internet flow and traffic. As the ISPs move into creating "content," ending neutrality would position them to favor themselves over other content providers...this would be highly anti-competitive.
1328. Cassidy Ellisoon, Phoenix, AZ, 85022

Net Neutrality is extremely important in this day and age. Many people use the internet as a stepping stone towards their future, whether it be applying for a job, submitting a university application, or researching possible solutions to their problems. The internet is not something like cable television; it has become a commodity necessary for success.

1329. Adam Hecht, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
Hey, Net Neutrality is very important to me. Censorship and controlling what can and can't be seen. (Within reason of course.) I know this probably won't be read but still. I normally am someone who doesn't like government regulations but this regulation allows more freedom. Preventing company censorship is good. Thank you Adam Hecht
1330. Robert Vega, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Keep the internet free and clear and void of government regulation. It is not broken....leave it alone!
1331. Taylor Thikoll, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Net Neutrality is extremely important to me. As someone who spends a lot of time on the internet, I'm experienced enough to guarantee that getting rid of it a huge mistake which only benefits those who are against it.
1332. A Peyton, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Net Neutrality = Freedom of Speech. I understand there are ownership rights involved, but access to the internet has reached a point at which it should be classified as a public good. Our children are learning on it, our business is conducted through it, our lives happen all over it in a thousand ways every day. When the ONLY means to access it is controlled by private companies that are allowed to prioritize their own messages to such a degree that other information is effectively blocked, we've broken our obligation to the public good and done a disservice to every aspect of our business, private, and public life.
1333. Daniel Banks, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I stand with net neutrality - save the open internet!
1334. Justin Long, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please do not ruin the world.
1335. chloe ayres, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
This is an infringement on our rights as Americans.
1336. Tessa Shamp, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Keep STRONG net neutrality! Your duty is to represent the public - not greedy corporations.
1337. leomar ibanez, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
The FCC should keep net neutrality rules intact as they are beneficial for the people.
1338. Thomas Grube, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
I care about the open internet and competition online. I feel our ISP's are abusing the free market in implementing throttling and usage limitations, among other concerns. Please stop them from being able to money grab our internet!
1339. Dallin Stone, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Net neutrality is incredibly important. It is vital to the maintenance of freedom of knowledge in the United States.
1340. Keeping Net Neutrality, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Putting an end to Net Neutrality will be an immediate end of freedoms and violation of the first amendment rights to free speech as the internet is nothing but a platform. Beyond this the amount of power that will be delegated to ISP's will be tremendous.
1341. Tyler, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
I love the internet as is because its not controlled
1342. Haley D, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
The internet needs to stay neutral! Government should stay out of the internet. I want to protect internet freedom. I support strong net neutrality.
1343. Nathan Zwiener, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
The free internet is the voice of my generation, my culture, my community, and my lifestyle. I meet and connect with amazing people and role models all over the globe thanks to independent video and chat media outlets. Paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling would utterly destroy my digital social access to my peers, and fundamentally negatively alter my hobbies and my passion. Do not repeal net neutrality.
1344. Thomas Matiski, Phoenix, AZ, 85028

It is absolutely retarded to abolish net neutrality because throttling internet speeds will allow internet providers to choose winners and losers by preventing innovative companies from accessing people or slowing said access to the point of creating inaccessibility. This is against the fundamental concepts of capitalism and free enterprise and will do more damage to societal innovation and technological advancement than dropping a nuke on Silicon Valley. Please do not do this.

1345. Eric Stenson, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality. The first amendment is the freedom of speech, and blocking websites violates that by limiting speech because it blocks our access to that information. The reason this is such a big deal is that if the rules are removed, Internet Service Providers will have no reason to give its customers a choice. There aren't enough Internet Service Providers so that people would always be able to choose the companies that don't block sites or throttle the internet (by the way, it should be illegal for ISPs to throttle, but they get away with it because they all do it). The only company I know that refuses to throttle is Google Fiber, but I think that is only available in a few cities. All of the ISPs will block the sites so they have no incentive to keep the internet completely free when they can make more money (by throttling and blocking websites).
1346. Insane, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Are you ACTUALLY considering this? Are you insane? What are you Hitler?
1347. Ryan Blair, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I don't know what moron thought that ISPs should get more power than they already have. The fact that net neutrality is being questioned boggles my mind.
1348. Joseph Peller, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Any movements to repeal internet neutrality will both hurt the government and the people. It will also bring the rights of free speech and expression into question while guaranteeing monopolies on information and commerce online to any internet service providers.
1349. John McGrath, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
I believe that net neutrality is important. It is pro-consumer and should remain in place.
1350. Angie Campos, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Taking away net neutrality is like taking away our freedom of speech. Don't take away our knowledge.
1351. Crystal McGlynn, Phenoix, AZ, 85029  
The internet is a free and sovereign space. You have no right to control it.
1352. Chris Gough, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
The internet, and the people of the United States of America, need and deserve net neutrality.
1353. David E Goodman, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
A neutral and open internet has provided the US and indeed the world with some of the largest businesses in the world, affecting the economy by trillions of dollars. If net neutrality is not maintained, ISPs alone will be able to prevent the next generation of free-thinkers and entrepreneurs from creating the next Facebook, Google, or Netflix. The internet is a tool that truly levels the playing field. As a national, nay global core infrastructure, this simply should not be allowed to be exploited by a few entities that control the flow of information for their own personal gain. I support net neutrality, and for the sake of the future of our ideological, educational, political, and economic future I urge you to keep the web open.
1354. Justin Hertzberg, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Net Neutrality being repealed is unfair and anti-consumer. This will negatively impact the ordinary person's life, just so big businesses may profit. DO NOT REPEAL NET NEUTRALITY.
1355. David Ramsey, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Please do not repeal net neutrality rules. A restricted internet is bad for everyone.
1356. Noah Hoogstad, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Keep Net Neutrality you slimey bois. My vote as an American Citizen should count in this democracy, you greedy boys.
1357. Andrew Furnish, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
gimme free internet browsing
1358. Kiril, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
sigh

1359. Eric Smith, phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I support net neutrality. Stop trying to control media and information.
1360. Paul Kurlak, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
I use the internet every day for my job, used it for school, and use it at home. The greatest thing about the internet is that there are resources for everything and allowing ISPs to prioritize or censor certain websites is in NO way consumer friendly and in NO way benefits the public. I use it daily for Gmail, for communicating with other photographers, for recipes, Netflix, photo storage, and other services and I don't want my ISP to determine which of those services should be prioritized or available at all. They all should be available equally. I don't have any other choice of broadband internet at my address so I can't just switch ISPs if they don't cater to my needs. The FCC needs to regulate the ISPs so that the internet remains free and available.
1361. John Bookanis, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
net neutrality is great please don't screw this up for everybody seriously please you guys do this literally every single time just dont do it this once for god sakes even if you do do it its not even gonna work everyones just gonna run like underground pokemon twitch streaming services and its just going to be more of a headache for everyone involved dont bother its stupid please
1362. Natashe Davis, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Net neutrality is very important. We cannot have what we look at on the internet dictated by internet companies. That is not freedom.
1363. Jeremy Johnson, phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Please don't ruin the internet for everyone. please do not take away net neutrality though this bill. strongly against.
1364. Chad Lindquist, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Please maintain net neutrality. There is nothing free market about corporate control over information.
1365. Tyler Kalucki, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
The internet needs net neutrality to prevent the already monopolistic telecom companies like comcast from gripping the internet even further by forcing users to pay for sites that aren't sponsored by them, or something similar
1366. Restoring Internet Freedom, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I believe that net neutrality is necessary for our modern world
1367. violarosemuir, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Please allow everyone have the right to use whatever web browser or app they choose to do so rather than dictating what we can or can not use. That is not the freedom of internet use.
1368. Grady Prince, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
I implore you to keep net neutrality in place the way it is. The internet will not be the same, we will fall behind in technology with only ISP's benefiting. There is no sense in this, just greed.
1369. Alex Gantenbein, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I was Able to keep in contact with my friends through the internet in a better form. I used to use Skype until Discord Came along and if Net Neutrality did not exist Discord might not have come to exist as Skype could afford to pay for the fast lanes but at the beginning Discord would not have been able to making it the eternally inferior service. When I buy internet access I expect to have total and complete access to all of what is on the internet in a timely fashion not what my ISP decides is a good site and what is a bad one or having to wait for a website to load because it couldn't pay for proper speed. The idea that ISP's compete with each other is a very thin and weak argument as they have divvied up areas that they provide service in as to not overlap I am fortunate that I have 2 ISP's to choose from. i would like to have 3-4 ISP's in competition with each other so that they have to provide good service because it should not be that customer service is a joke when talking about ISP's. The FCC should exist to set standards in communication that help the consumer and to keep those standards up to date with the speed technology is moving and to prevent monopolistic actions by companies when it comes to preventing innovation.
1370. Riley, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Net neutrality allows for the people to access and spread information. Taking this away would limit our free speech and privacy and move the US to more of a dictatorship where stuff such as press is controlled, and thus go against the foundations of the US
1371. christian fitz, phoenix, AZ, 85053  
companies shouldn't be able to control how fast our internet should be, just because they dont prefer the website were on
1372. Michael Reese, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260

Removing or changing net neutrality would eliminate or at least greatly harm the boom of available information that has helped to drive and expand our country. We should not be able to be limited to any information in the WORLD WIDE WEB. As consumers, we have already been taken advantage of to the fullest extent by Cable/ISP companies. The package requirements to get a single channel has made it impractical. Our freedom to access the vast amount of websites should not be taken from us!

1373. Themis Panotopoulos, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I believe that net neutrality is critical to the utility of the internet to the common people, and I do not want to see it go away.
1374. Aaron Nalley, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Allowing ISP's to have free reign over controlling what, when and how we use the internet is disgusting.
1375. Marissa Dichiara, phoenix, AZ, 85028  
We already pay enough for internet and the companies who own it will be able to charge even more. leave it.
1376. Jack, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
Keep the internet free and open! It will benefit small business and maintain an even fair playing field for everybody.  
Preserve Net Neutrality!
1377. Niam Nourani, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Please don't follow the Donald. He sucks. Thanks :)
1378. u, pheonix, AZ, 85032  
we need the internet for freedom and equality
1379. Luke Roth, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Net neutrality is so important, and should never be taken away. Millions of Americans use the internet every day, and it is something that occupies more of my time than anything else. It is so important that I should be able to do what I want, when I want on the internet. The Internet should be equal for everyone and we should not be at the whim of our ISPs. We can not and will not be controlled by a company. We also need privacy. The same way we have privacy in our own homes, we should have privacy on the internet. We should be the only ones to know what we do on the internet. Please, don't let the big companies win, and listen to the millions of people in the US and around the world that want to keep the internet a safe and secure place, equal for all. The internet is so prevalent in my life, that it should be a right to be neutral and free of any controlling power. We deserve to have access to the entirety of the web, without being looked down at by our ISPs. Please save the open internet.
1380. Erin Manahan, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Please save net neutrality rules. Don't let ISP's and cable companies tell their customers which sites they're allowed to visit. Don't let them charge us more to visit the sites we like. Don't let them throttle our speeds on the sites we want to visit unless we pay them more to be included in 'fast lanes'. Don't let the internet as we know it die. Please protect the Open Internet and save net neutrality rules.
1381. Aurora, phoenix, AZ, 85028  
I strongly believe in the importance of a free and open internet. Please protect this right and do not be persuaded by corporations who wish to restrict our freedoms.
1382. Brian Mascitello, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
The internet is a powerful tool for the people of the world. Dismantling Net Neutrality would give Internet Service Providers an overwhelming amount of power over the content they provide. Their users pay them for the best possible experience without unnecessary regulation, and changing this would ruin many of the best technological innovations for the years to come.
1383. Jacob Paul Greene Esp, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
The internet is one of the last places people can freely access any content they want to, as well as any information they may need from any source or multiple sources. It is also one of the best ways to communicate instantaneously at will to anyone around the globe and freely share opinions and ideas. To take that away in the name of ISP's who are notoriously awful to their clients anyway is ludicrous.
1384. Sean Kindness, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
Please keep the internet neutral. The internet needs to remain an even playing field for everyone. No matter what class they are, or what their income is.
1385. Logan Merrill, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Net neutrality is extremely important to me, the internet is supposed to be a place to freely roam, a place to be creative, a

place to be yourself. A controlled internet is compared dictatorship government. Let us be free, let us be creative, let us be ourselves. We're not a subscription service, we're human beings. Logan Merrill

1386. Chris Lawrence, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I support Net Neutrality and strongly disagree with our current government's plans to repeal it just to make telecommunications companies richer. The internet should remain unrestricted and uncapped by meddling ISPs.
1387. Michael Kline, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please keep Net Neutrality. A lot of people may not speak out, may not be too knowledgeable on what it is, but this is something the LARGE majority would agree is something that is needed.
1388. Eric White, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Hello, my name is Eric and I am against repealing the 2015 network neutrality rules. I use the Internet daily for everything from my job in IT to entertainment to connecting with family and friends across the world. Access to the free internet is massively important in my life, and I don't believe an internet provider should be able to dictate how it's used. I honestly have not idea why this is even a debate, the vast majority of Americans agree on this issue. I have yet to see a single person, outside of the companies that will benefit, who wants internet providers to be able to throttle internet speeds and connection. The roll of government is to serve the people, not business interests and for the FCC part of what that should mean is protecting the free internet. Thank you for taking the time to consider my opinion.
1389. Alex Gojic, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
With the incredible amount of instability in the modern world, I believe it is the continued role of the US to be a leader in civil rights. Net neutrality is one of those rights we as US citizens voiced our belief in. It would be a horrible misstep and set the wrong example to our citizens, and the world, by reversing rulings to protect civil liberties and eliminate net neutrality. Please do not let that happen.
1390. David Willis, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I am for net-neutrality, I do not want censorship, slowing connections to content or sites the ISP(Internet Service Providers) do not like, prioritizing, or any control to mediate given to the ISP in any way, shape or form.I work a full time job and take College classes part-time, the internet is my entertainment, ranging from video games, sites like Netflix, Youtube, Twitch and many, many more. I pay a lot of money for my internet connection as is, I do not wish to pay more to do what i want, and view what i want, when i want and with the full connection speed that I am paying for.It is my personal belief, that the internet is not for the Internet Service Providers to control, and never should be.
1391. Jordan Rowley, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
The freedom of the citizens of the United States via the internet should not be throttled by corporations.
1392. Alex Fuentes, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Look we've been through this routine before, If were going to be charged for how we use our internet then next your going to tell me that by using my water to shower is somehow more expensive than using it to wash dishes then the idea would seem stupid considering its just water. Free Internet Act is the same thing but with the Internet, even though its the same data it shouldn't cost a premium to be able to get to the places people want to visit. I want to be able to play my games with the 60 Mbps i already paid for, not have to pay more just to play my games at the same 10 ping i have now.Alex Fuentes
1393. Karime Rodriguez, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
I don't want to start getting restricted internet once you can choose what sites I can use and charge me extra depending on when I go on the internet. Please keep the internet free and open; I support net neutrality. Thank you.
1394. Julia, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Consumers should have the right to choose which websites or applications we use. It is not okay for Internet Service Providers to block or throttle websites for financial gain! We need to keep the internet open, and not doing so would be a big step backwards.
1395. Anthony Donahue, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
The Internet needs to be free to all not just those with money to pay for prioritization.
1396. Isaiah, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Let's not have sites we like going to not able to access? Like hell no.
1397. Michael, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
The internet is not a monopoly. We use it on a daily basis and it assists with us selling our brands and becoming entrepreneurs of the future. You take away our ability to learn and you might as well be telling us that we're meaningless and have no right to have the brains we've evolved with. Just because someone stands higher and has the power to influence others, does not mean we have to listen. The more we fight over net neutrality, the more time we waste.

Seriously, this is unnecessary. We have more important issues in our country to fight against.

1398. Mike, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Giving big corporations even more power over the way we find information will only further the decline of this already failing nation. Not only will they use it as a means to further censor news but I feel this is goes against the fundamentals of the United States. We are a free speaking and free thinking country. That is at the base of our foundation as a nation, giving these companies the ability to censor or control that goes directly against our rights as citizens of this country.
1399. File Explorer, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
We should be able to be on any website we want to be on, the choice is ours you can be on a website if you like it, if you don't just don't go on it, it has and should always be that way
1400. Marcus A Osborn, phoenix, AZ, 85028  
None of us wish to have out internet traffic used for purchased monetary gain; simply because of an orange faced senile man has been put in office of the US President.
1401. Bowdrie Coonfer, scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
The internet is, well the internet, its been with the same freedoms as they have always had and taking away that freedom is exactly the reason net neutrality needs to stay. What if one day I would have never heard about this reform because my ISP blocked all sites covering it? How is that equal opportunity in the land of opportunity. Without access to it all how do we know what is being restricted. An end to Net Neutrality is an end to freedom, knowledge and freedom of speech and beliefs. Censoring the internet will just make it louder, show us that the FCC cares about the futures of young people with a desire for knowledge. Keep Net Neutrality, for freedom is what our country was built on, don't let the last line of defense get shut down.
1402. Nick Mcpherson, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Net Neutrality is wrong.
1403. Kevin Popoca, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
Net neutrality is important. Our internet service should not be prioritized based on who is paying more. Congress needs to preserve net neutrality.
1404. Sean Nicholas, phoenix, AZ, 85050  
This isn't cool, the internet is a place of freedom and I don't need someone else making choices for me on which sites I can and can't access. I shouldn't have to worry about my ISP choosing which sites I can and cannot access or what speed I access sites.
1405. Andrew Davidson, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Keep the internet free and open,
1406. Myles Damon, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Yo, never in the history of the human race has such an important tool for equality been available to so many. If you kill net neutrality, consider it a knife in the back of America's core values. I don't know enough about its legality to make any argument on that point, and the little bit I do know has been the drivel of ideologues, but if net neutrality isn't neutral, MAKE IT. The argument here should not be whether or not "the internet" qualifies for some bullshit distinction intended for a completely different digital medium, it should be whether or not the world we live in, the world where the internet is so ubiquitous that wifi is more readily available than a cup of water almost wherever you are, should be a world where the internet provides equality of access and remains a tool to provide the rights which the government could not otherwise provide to so many people so easily. I'm a random dude who gives anywhere from the range of zero to absolutely no fucks about politics, but I wrote my own letter to the government about a policy issue, which I think should say something about how important this is. Please take the time to consider my stance while you read more prewritten letters championing a stance the sender only cares about because they don't want to buy internet the same way they buy TV. Myles Damon
1407. David Norman, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
This issue seems so simple to me. I pay my ISP for the bandwidth that they provide to my home. The content providers I use pay their communications provider for the bandwidth that they use to their datacenters. That's how fair use works. Please don't let some mega-company or American bureaucracy complicate that by deciding what I should be watching or which provider I should be using. I've been using the Internet for 20 years and it has been fair - everyone has been paying their fair share. Please keep it that way.
1408. Chris Ziller, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I pay for access to the internet and all traffic should be treated equally. My ISP does not get to decide what content i want delivered.

1409. Daniel MacLean, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Only the corporations want this, not the citizens. Please listen to the people.
1410. Scott Moody, Phoenix, AZ, 85020  
Everything is so corrupt. Don't corrupt the only thing that feels free.
1411. Ken Sherrod, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
The internet should be free and open. I do not require or need anyone else controlling it, or myself.
1412. Whitney Ryser, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
The internet should continue as a free and open platform with the same accessibility for all users and content providers. To allow otherwise would be to prioritize the content and message of larger established businesses over the smaller innovators.
1413. steve hannon, Carefree, AZ, 85377  
The internet should not favor cooperations and should be open to all equally!
1414. Brian Spencer, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I am writing to support keeping net neutrality as it stands. The internet has always been an open and free space where people can find information and enjoyment by paying internet companies a service fee for internet access. Creating competition not only for users, but for business and website hosts alike creates a fissure of chaos where commotion will occur due to the most likely lack of information that will surround Internet companies not being transparent about the issue. Along this, we are taking the freedom that is the internet and applying restrictive access, such as how Russia proceeds to ban certain websites of expression (LGBTQ as an example). This lack of freedom is a step backward and it is of our utmost concern as citizens to not have the service of the internet controlled by any persons and to maintain our freedom as a country to enjoy the free internet as it stands. We pay our internet service fees, the hosts pay their hosting fees, why give more power to the companies who already have monopolies on areas for internet service to control how you view something that is available to everyone?
1415. Philip Terzic, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I want to support the idea of a free web through net neutrality laws
1416. Mario, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
we pay for access to do what we want online
1417. Richard Seidel, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Keep net neutrality as is; I do not want any additional government involvement with the internet!
1418. Randy McNurlin, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Do not roll back the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.
1419. Kevin Blease, Phoenix, AZ, 85054  
I strongly support net neutrality. I am a freelance web developer. The web is my livelihood. All of my clients are small businesses who would otherwise not stand a chance against the big players out there that would likely be favored if ISPs were allowed to do such a thing. They would be crushed, and I would lose my business. It really is a terrifying prospect considering this is what I love doing, am great at, and want to continue doing forever. Furthermore, I would like to add that I do not want a package of services from ISP. I do not want them to have different tiers of availability to different websites. That would destroy the Internet in America. When I buy my Internet access, that is exactly what I am buying: access to the Internet. Before writing this comment, I checked what I get in exchange for my money with Cox Communications. In turns out I have an email address and some cloud storage. That is not what I want. I, along with ALL of my peers, have long since determined what our email address is, and not one is using an email address provided by their ISP. Cloud storage? There's Dropbox, OneDrive, Google Drive, etc. I don't want these things from my ISP. That is not what they do. They provide me with a fast connection to the Internet. That is all. I only have two choices for Internet access at the moment. It is awful. Cox recently announced they will be adding data caps to their connections and will be charging for overages. I looked into switching to my other option, CenturyLink. I found out they have the same data caps! They also charge the same price, and they cannot offer as high of speeds as Cox. Put simply, I have no choices. If Cox were allowed to fragment their service offerings in a way that didn't suit me, I have only CenturyLink as a second option, and their track record shows they follow suit with Cox. Additionally, the hassle and expense I would have to go through to switch to CenturyLink would be borderline not worth it. I would need new equipment and have to take time off from work. That is not something that should happen just because Internet access in this country is ruined. The FCC should be able to limit what ISPs can do. They should require that all ISPs sell a certain bandwidth of

full access to the Internet. They should set limits on what prices they can charge. They should ensure that the Internet remains a completely open place, and that the little guys have just as much of a chance as the big guys. This country is the land of opportunity, but destroying net neutrality would destroy many of such dreams. Thank you for your time, Kevin Blease

1420. Brian Moore, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Killing Net Neutrality will kill hundreds of thousands of jobs if not millions. Slow internet and suppression of freedom of speech on the internet is also a huge negative.
1421. Spencer Hunter, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
This sums it up for me.. "Our leaders need to adopt a policy structure that promotes and secures a better economic framework for independent businesses, the foundation and strength of the US economy."  
<https://blakesblog.com/2017/07/netneutrality/>
1422. John Truong, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
We live in the age where technology is taking over. It is apparent that internet access is becoming a bare necessity of life. Other necessities of life include water and electric which both fall under utility from Title 2. The internet is not any different. Net Neutrality ensures this protection for consumers that the internet is a utility to be left undisturbed by ISP. It is vital that Net Neutrality has to stay for the everyday citizens to use the internet at will and progress forward with the technology age.
1423. Brian Thompson, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Maintaining Net Neutrality is of the utmost importance for keeping the internet a fair playing field for the entire world. ISPs have always focused on their own interests over that of their customers and have developed localized monopolies in order to keep control of their markets and make it so customers have no choice but to purchase through them if they want to participate in using the internet which acts as a near essential utility for everyone in the United States and beyond. Given this is bad enough, allowing them to further control who can display their content on the internet in a timely and efficient manner is absolutely ridiculous - being forced to pay ISPs just so people can see your content without artificially created delays makes it so all but the larger businesses will be unable to compete online. As proven by the Internet Association's partnered companies such as Amazon, Google and Netflix, even the larger companies do not believe this is a fair setup for anyone involved. Supporting the removal of Net Neutrality is purely supporting ISP monopolies on the internet, and acts against consumer and popular interest. Please consider this before making any vote on the Net Neutrality issue, as groups like the FCC are supposed to act as representatives of public interest, not just ISPs.
1424. Joel Mataway, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Net neutrality was a huge win for the internet. The fact our leaders are determined to destroy that progress is disheartening. I am appalled that we have even come to this point of potentially removing these regulations. I urge everyone involved to reconsider removing any net neutrality regulations so we can keep internet access fair and open.
1425. evan schlemmer, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Hands off The People's Neutral Net
1426. Joshua Feig, PHOENIX, AZ, 85050  
The open access to the internet is the most important part of its success. Allowing ISPs, publishers and other internet companies to pay or charge for increased bandwidth will only harm those who can't afford the additional cost. The internet is a public utility and should be fairly distributed to all citizens as such.
1427. Michael Armijo, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I am a developer who uses the internet almost every single day, and I need it to be able to do what I do. I have a lot of different uses for the internet, whether for work or for entertainment, and being able to access them all reliably and freely is absolutely mandatory, not just for me, but for every single other person in the United States. Removing net neutrality will only benefit ISPs, not the customers forced to use them. Please, hear me and the thousands, if not millions, of others calling out to keep net neutrality.
1428. John Costas, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Keep the Internet the way it is. No favoring large companies for their influence.
1429. Gary Krohn, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Please keep the current net neutrality rules in effect. Please do not change things to allow net neutrality to be changed.
1430. Isaiah, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
We should be able to actually access the internet like that's just stupid.
1431. Scott Ferreira, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Entrepreneur from Phoenix, Arizona reporting in here... I started my first company here when I was just 13 years old. It

was a non-profit to help homeless individuals and families get back on their feet out of poverty. It was a pretty great success for how old I was and I'm proud that the non-profit lives on today. Much of this success is due to the online fundraising ability I had. I was able to have online campaigns, send people to my website, and more, all with relative ease because of the Open Internet. It scares me to think how different my starting a business would have been if the incumbent non-profits were able to pay for faster speeds, or even scarier, pay for an ISP to not give access to my website in certain regions simply because it competes for donation dollars. How absurd!!The same goes for any startup that has a web presence, which almost all do nowadays. Imagine if MySpace had paid for better speeds and paid to block Facebook in certain areas, imagine if Barnes & Noble had paid for better speeds or paid to block Amazon.com in certain regions! How absolutely crazy!! And this is the path our country is headed down?I strongly encourage you all to consider the immense problem this could create for our country and the innovative culture we are all trying to further. We, the consumers, have a right to access the open internet we've all worked hard to create, regardless of how we connect and there will be many that will not rest if this right is taken away.Off to surf the Open Internet,-Scott F.

1432. Nicholas Lichtner, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I want the internet to stay open and free otherwise what's the point. The internet is meant to stay this way.
1433. Sarah Smith, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I strongly support Net Neutrality. Removing Net Neutrality is not in the best interest of people or the country. It's insane that big business should have this much influence and that we are having to fight this yet again. Please take a firm stance and settle this once and for all. Net Neutrality is clearly the correct choice for all the people of this country.
1434. Andrew Hoetker, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Net neutrality is essential to entrepreneurship and innovation. If the FCC repeals net neutrality provisions, everyone except the ISPs will be negatively affected.
1435. Stephen W. Pearce, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Net neutrality is in real jeopardy, and I support of strong net neutrality rules that give people the power to choose which websites and apps are best.
1436. Derek Chappell, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
DO NOT ROLL BACK THESE LAWS. You will be directly interfering with the future of business in America. The largest companies in America currently and in the future will rely heavily on having a free open internet.
1437. Andrew Phelps, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Net neutrality is important for our First Amendment rights. Please do not take any action that will directly or indirectly empower corporations or special interests to control the speed or flow of internet information.
1438. Janice Jones, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
KEEP net neutrality. The internet must be kept FREE from blocking, throttling, and paid prioritization. DO NOT allow ISPs to control my online experience. Strong net neutrality rules protect user choice, user freedom. DO NOT LIMIT anyone's access to an open and unfettered internet. KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!
1439. Chad, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Abolishing net neutrality is anti capitalist and is not the right thing for consumers. Unless you want to turn the world into the dystopian society from 1984, you probably shouldn't hire a corporate shill as your chairman who takes action based off whose bribe is the biggest.
1440. Cherylyn Feierabend, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I'm in favor of Net Neutrality. No one has felt this equalizing force of the internet more than small, independent ventures. Over the past three decades, we've seen countless businesses catapulted from obscurity to success purely by the power of the open internet.
1441. John M Hall III, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Keep the internet open and free, keep net neutrality!!
1442. Tony, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Please leave the internet alone! Don't make it into a giant Ad! Everyone in our country likes being able to find or search what they want! We, as Americans, just want to search what we want without any BIG business to tell me where to shop. As well as having fast internet for everyone! Instead of having slow internet. Thank you. Please make the right choice for our country, not business pockets.
1443. Ryan Van Valkenburg, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Net Neutrality needs to stay as it is. Changing would give too much power to the ISP's. As it stands I only have 2 major choices for the download speeds that I prefer. If the one I currently have decides to "throttle" speeds to the websites and apps I use in order to move me toward their preferred sites and apps I am forced to use the other ISP and change out all

the equipment I have already purchased to get connection with current ISP costing me a lot of money in the change. Not to mention that giving the power to the ISP the ability to decide what sites I can and cannot look at based on their profits is not a free society. The power of choice should reside with the consumer not the provider. As things are now when I decide to start my home business I have the same open access to web services that even the larger companies have access to. If we no longer have net neutrality there is a possibility that company can pay ISP's for more access or high speeds to their customers which give the companies far more access to consumers than a small business like my self can afford and does not promote small business growth. The lager companies become larger and in turn give less choices for the consumer to have.

1444. Gomburza Abad, Phoenix, AZ, 85028

If you remove this, our internet will become a monopoly. And Monopoly in the United States is illegal.

1445. Jordan Carr, Phoenix, AZ, 85050

I want the internet to be open to everyone. Net neutrality is a good thing. Stop trying to censor, slow down, and control the internet. It should be a human right to have good access to.

1446. Dalton Settlemire, phoenix, AZ, 85027

Keep the internet free, keep humans free. Thank you for giving me the opportunity for expressing my thoughts.

1447. Susan Goshert, DVM, Phoenix, AZ, 85023

I am writing to offer my **STRONG SUPPORT** in keeping **NET NEUTRALITY**. Net neutrality benefits me in 2 ways. I feel that I get more diverse information when searching subjects on the web and I feel that it helps my business. I own a small Veterinary Hospital and this gives me equal footing with the big chains that are evolving. This also helps clients as more and more practices are being bought up by a few corporations (Mars in particular) the prices for Veterinary care are rapidly rising. Net neutrality helps people find the privately owned businesses with more affordable prices.

1448. rob schraer, phoenix, AZ, 85023

Like many many other Americans, I use the internet every day. I work from home remotely, I order items I need, It's where I consume media and socialize with friends and family. In or modern world it's as important to most household as the electricity or water. We the people want to save net neutrality so that we can be in some way protected by the monopoly that is the ISP industry. If there was competition in the industry then we would be better off, but there isn't, so we need to be protected. Destroying net neutrality will not help the American people! It will only help the already bloated and greedy corporations who are already charging high rates for slow speeds while collecting data from users to sell off of to third parties to make even more money off the already paying users.. If you want to show us you have the peoples back then don't repeal net neutrality. To do otherwise will prove what we all know, you care more about money than freedom.

1449. Shayne Gordon, Phoenix, AZ, 85027

The internet needs free access to whatever data the user chooses to access. It is wrong to restrict access to certain sites/data sources at the whim of ISP conglomerates. It would be a huge step back for the United States to allow the restriction of certain content at the behest of easily manipulated companies, and would set us back in the advancement of our country as a whole.

1450. mike vargas, Phoenix, AZ, 85050

I support net neutrality. Please don't clog the pipes!!!!

1451. Anil Solanky, Phoenix, AZ, 85053

Net neutrality is the foundation of the freedom that we enjoy on the Web. Losing that freedom could result in consequences such as restricted access to websites and diminished download rights, as well as controlled creativity and corporate-governed services.

1452. Jesse Robertson, phoenix, AZ, 85024

Keep the internet neutral, don't allow big corporations to pocket more money than they already are and control more of the industry for marketing and spam. Thank you!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

1453. Bob Small, Phoenix, AZ, 85053

Are you kidding me, Net Neutrality being attacked again. Can we just make this a permanent thing. Net Neutrality forever, like Antarctica, no one can conquer the ice land, no one person or company should conquer the Internet.

1454. Colby Villa, Phoenix, AZ, 85050

Ending net neutrality is a mistake. In the words of the internets creator "To reach its full potential, the internet must remain a permissionless space for creativity, innovation and free expression.". Another company or entity shouldn't choose what type of access and what I get access to on the internet.

1455. ROBERTA WISE, Phoenix, AZ, 85024

Net neutrality has been and always should be an inherent right of every individual. Government intervention is going too far.

1456. Charles Cheney, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Limiting the freedom of the internet is a step in the wrong direction. Do what is right.
1457. Jerome Acosta, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Keep greedy hands from controlling the internet; Keep Net Neutrality!
1458. Shayne Proskow, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
We need a free and open Internet to preserve our freedom to connect, and to access news and information without arbitrary regulation. Please keep net neutrality.
1459. Dan Hake, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Keep Net Neutrality. We don't want to look like China. Without net neutrality we might be out of a job. Even you might be out of a job.
1460. Jacques P Richer, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Dir sirs, I have been involved in Internet related work, including systems administration, web design, backend programming and network administration for over 20 years. During this time, I have, several times, come out in favor of net neutrality regulations. Rather than being intrusive, I see net neutrality as the kind of straightforward, common sense regulation required to protect Internet users from inappropriate actions on the part of internet service providers. The Internet has become the de-facto standard public packet switched data network. Net neutrality is effectively an extension of Title II which keeps packet switched data in line with voice and circuit data practices which have, in large part, been effective for over a century. I see no present need to make large changes in this approach at this time. Thank you for your consideration Jacques Richer N1ZZH
1461. Ashley Chaney, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please keep the internet open and fair. Give small businesses a chance to compete with the giants. We need the jobs as well as the innovation that comes with these start-ups.
1462. Eric Luttenegger, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
I 100% support net neutrality. I never want net neutrality to be removed. I don't want to pay extra for services on the internet that are already free. Net neutrality is a step in the wrong direction for this country. A very wrong direction. Taking away net neutrality is a step in censorship. Companies do not need or deserve the power of removing net neutrality. We all need net neutrality. I need it. DO NOT REMOVE NET NEUTRALITY!
1463. H S Esanakula, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Internet as we know it always built on concept no one owns it completely. Though in reality if these regulations are changed there is an undue advantage for those who is controlling the traffic (Isp). As many of us who are dependent on everyone being treated fairly from network traffic perspective will lose ability to choose what to view as isp will control the flow. This regulations will cause eventual downfall of internet.
1464. Grant Smith, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai: Your proposal to weaken existing net neutrality rules is unacceptable. It would weaken competition, stifle innovation, undermine user choice, and threaten free speech online. As stated by several ISP's to their shareholders, the current regulations have NOT had an impact on investment. These regulations are here and supported by the majority of people for a reason. Please listen to the people, not the corporations.
1465. Vishnu Teja Kommineni, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I support net neutrality. FCC doesn't pay my bills. It should not meddle with my right to free open internet.
1466. Ryan Witzke, phoenix, AZ, 85029  
I am strongly opposed to the proposed changes to Net Neutrality. I strongly believe these changes go against all American principles of freedom. Additionally John Oliver's wonderful expose's on this issue have done a wonderful job showing the potential harms in these proposed changes. And that status quo has made no hindrance of loss of profit or innovation of publicly traded company's such as Verizon. There for I demand that this bill be struck down where it stands and keep the internet open and free!
1467. Nancy McIntosh, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
KEEP THE INTERNET NEUTRAL. NO FAST LANES.
1468. Robert Hamilton, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I started an ISP back in the dial-up days growing out of my basement as an add-on to the precursor of the internet - Fidonet. I had a poster from Boardwatch Magazine mapping out the ENTIRE internet hanging on my wall. I (and many

like me) are literally founding fathers of the internet. It has been my great pleasure to see the Net flourish and thrive as an open environment. If you squash the freedom of the open internet allowing the "big boys", Cable Providers and Telcos to provide fast lanes at a premium you are ruining what I and many thousands of others created. What will be left will not be the true internet we made. Please don't ruin the internet.

1469. Aubrey Portwood, Phoenix, AZ, 85032

Just like I don't like my electric company deciding how much electricity I get, I don't want ISP's deciding how much Internet I get or what I can or cannot connect to on it. I want the Internet to remain open, meaning I am freely able to connect to any website or service equally without my ISP changing that. The Internet is too important of a tool to allow companies, who's main goal is to be profitable, to control how it works.

1470. Dr. Robert Andrew MacLean, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258

If you intend to allow net un-neutrality, then you better increase the competition. I for one will not use an ISP who is not net neutral. I'm sure many others feel the same way. What gives an ISP the right to control what I can see and think? If you move away from net neutrality I will be absolutely furious. Dr. Robert Andrew MacLean

1471. Jonathan Court, Phoenix, AZ, 85023

The internet should be an open experience, ISP's should NOT be the puppet masters of which websites have faster speeds than others and have the ability to block sites of their choosing for monetary gain. Keep net neutrality.

1472. Net Neutrality, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254

As a frequent internet user, I urge you to preserve the Title II classification to protect net neutrality. Net neutrality is important for our internet today as it helps many sights such as twitter and youtube flourish and in turn, help the economy. There needs to be something in place that all companies must abide by to prevent them from fiddling with what should be free and open to all.

1473. Derrick Graffam, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260

This act does the opposite of what it promotes itself to do, handing the reigns of the internet to a handful of corporations whose other financial interests make them specifically unfit for the role they are attempting to usurp.

1474. Stay Free, Phoenix, AZ, 85027

I do not believe in giving the ISPs any more power than they already have. The internet should be open to everyone, without having someone telling them what they can or cannot read, or even create on the internet.

1475. Allen S Knudsen, Phoenix, AZ, 85051

I believe we need Net neutrality to preserve the open market of the internet. Technically, allowing the FCC to restrict ISP is not actually making the internet an open market, but it preserves the spirit of the open market. If we create additional barriers to entering the internet market, it inhibits competition. Furthermore, if ISP's have full reign to control which websites you can visit, it opens to road to information control. Giving ISP's the tools to silence whoever they see fit, is the road to tyranny.

1476. Dean Lambert, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251

We must make sure control over content/speed and accessibility is not put in the hands of large corporations. Who will use this control to subvert the nature of the internet and freedom of information. Just like there is Media bias on TV and in newspapers and content is controlled and 'Faked' to present a certain view of the truth, we must not allow this to happen to the internet and I have no doubt this would be the case once further laws against net neutrality are imposed. I am against this bill as a tax payer and as a human being. The likes of Comcast and AT&T should not be allowed to rule the internet. Thank you for listening.

1477. Jacob Phillips, Phoenix, AZ, 85027

Net neutrality is important to me for many reasons. I enjoy lots of streaming content online, I.E. Twitch, Netflix, Hulu, Amazon and many more. If Net Neutrality is overturned and companies are able to compete for faster service to sites it would impact the quality of one or more of the services I pay for. In turn this could reduce the quality of my online entertainment forcing me to seek out better solutions increasing my cost for a low income family. secondly, I have a growing interest in broadcasting on Twitch. This will directly impact my income, if lets say Youtube out pays the speed of Twitch. Lastly, and most important to me, is I wont always have access to multiple ISPs. For example, in Flagstaff, when I lived up there, I had access to 1 ISP. The apartment complex did not allow for install of the competing ISP as the infrastructure was not in place. It also did not allow Satellite instillation. Thus, the argument of switching ISPs to get what sites I prefer is not applicable. Thank you for your time and consideration.

1478. Cameron Miller, Phoenix, AZ, 85085

I have been a user of the internet from the late 90's and I love seeing new and innovative things that are being launched. With out an open internet, the little guy cant compete with the big guy which stunts economic growth and just fills the pockets of big business. Please keep the internet open to allow for a free flow of information from anyone to anyone.

1479. Colin Philip, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Keeping the internet free and open is extremely important for the development of new technology and availability of information. If the internet providers need more money to make improvements that cost should be passed to their subscribers rather than content providers.
1480. Mike Poling, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Leave the internet alone. The GOV'T needs to stay out and allow the people to monitor and regulate the internet.
1481. Internet Association, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
The internet needs to be free of corporate management. This freedom protects consumers and ultimately benefits businesses in general - which provides a healthier economy.
1482. Brendan Norris, Phoenix, AZ, 85054  
Net Neutrality is very important to us. We need free and open internet, without paywalls.
1483. Mackenzie Rakich, Peoria, AZ, 85032  
ISPs should be regulated under title 2
1484. Davis Householder, phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Title II enforcement is a necessity and it is a joke that the FCC is trying to change it. Do you all not have anything better to do than cater to ISP lobbyists?
1485. David Nunn, scottsdale, AZ, 85262  
I am against the prioritization of network traffic and want to keep Net Neutrality! As a small business owner I feel this will hurt my ability to compete fairly and cost me more money to compete.
1486. Kenneth Hay, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I say no to 17-108. The only freedom this bill offers is for ISPs. It will allow them to control access to the internet and stifle competition as they see fit. The internet should be treated like a public utility and as such free of paid prioritization, blocking, and throttling. There is only one internet and it should be shared by all equally. It is not a commodity to be controlled by the few.
1487. Guillermo Valverde, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Make internet free and give all companies the ability to compete in an open market.
1488. Marilyn Fox, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
SAVE THE OPEN INTERNET. keep strong net neutrality rules that give me and others the power to choose which websites and apps are best. You at the Federal Communications Commission want to change these rules and potentially limit my access to the best of the internet, which is so very wrong.
1489. Paul Schmidt, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Internet bandwidth priority should not be able to be bought, and to allow such to happen is akin to allowing monopolization. Net Neutrality allows a level playing field as was and should continue to be intended. Keep the internet open and fair, please.
1490. Tatum Robson, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
I'm a student and young adult working to start a business largely dependent on the internet (Like most things these days.) I'm worried that the protections we have will be weakened if we change the way they're enforced. I am in support of a new regulation style if it would guarantee the same or better protections, but not if we lose any.
1491. Stephen Kennedy, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
ISPs have continually displayed their greed and lack of care for their customers by throttling services, and constantly raising their rates. At the very least, the major ISPs in the United States have an oligopoly, if not a monopoly on the regions in which they operate. Giving these companies more power and freedom to operate how they want, and expecting them to not continue to display this greed is lunacy. Keeping Net Neutrality is key to limiting the strangle that ISPs currently have.
1492. Betsy Lemire, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
The fact is that the Web as we know it today wasn't built because a bunch of big players like Comcast, Verizon, and AT&T decided to create it. It was built up bit-by-bit by small-time operators who took a stand, built a site, and worked to advance it in the world. Some of these small operators failed, but some morphed into mega companies (Google, Yahoo, eBay) because they created stuff or envisioned services that people wanted and/or needed. The Web represents information freedom and entrepreneurship at its best and Net neutrality is essential to keeping that spirit alive. The open-source movement thrived because those involved had equal access. We need to re-implement and protect strong net neutrality rules that maintain equal access and give people the power to choose which websites and apps are best for

them. It's the way the Net's been and the way it should stay. The future of the Web depends on it. Please stop the big players from transforming the Web that was into the Web that was never intended to be.

1493. Molly McCrory, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
The internet is not becoming a necessity in the same way that, say, power and water are. It already is. And it makes no logical sense to allow water companies to change how good your water pressure is based on how much you pay or power companies to decide not to give you power in one part of your house because they don't like what you have plugged into an outlet, so it also doesn't make sense to allow internet providers to do this, either. Getting rid of net neutrality will make it easier for big companies to get bigger and harder for smaller companies to find their footing; without net neutrality, we wouldn't have Facebook or YouTube or Google. The innovation that these websites and others like them have assisted in bringing to the world at large would not have been possible without net neutrality. Nearly everyone in America uses the internet to some extent, and those who don't likely know someone who does, so this is a decision that will affect everyone in America. I and the rest of the people who use the internet (the aforementioned "nearly everyone" implore you to keep the best interests of everyone in mind rather than a handful of wealthy people who just want to acquire more money. In the long run, and the short run, to be honest, it is the better, more logical decision.
1494. Harrison Seay, phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Trump, Stop. Ajit Pai, Stop. When you say you are about upholding American values and you are a "business man" not a politician yet you do anything to obtain power and money including taking away basic freedoms outlined in the Constitution. Hurt small businesses, give large corporations an immense amount of power and censorship. Sounds exactly like what America stands for.
1495. Jesse Martin, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Net neutrality is for the people. Please protect those you serve
1496. Shelley Ebel, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
We do not need more regulation in our lives.
1497. Thomas Cody, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
The Internet was designed to be free and open, not a tool for Corporations to force smaller websites out of existence because they can afford to pay for preferential access
1498. Internet Freedom, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
Are we really doing this again? How many times in the last decade have companies tried to take away our rights to internet freedom? Look, on the one hand I can understand how it helps them as a company because it could lead to a potential rise in profits. However, I, for one, feel like big business has already taken enough of our freedom away. I mean, they can just sell my information to advertising companies, that's messed up. So I'm speaking out against big business taking away my internet freedom as well. I wanna go where I wanna go on the internet, whenever I wanna go there. I don't need my ISP advertising all over me either. Enough is enough, stop trying to take away our internet freedom.
1499. David Aviles, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
The internet is a very important part of my life and without it I would not be able to complete school, keep in touch with family, and remain informed about the world around me. Please keep the internet free and open.
1500. Brett Dinner, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
To whom this may concern: I support strong net neutrality protections backed by title II oversight due to the essential nature of ISPs in the modern world to access information, services, employment and other vital needs. A neutral network allows for free market principles to enable competition, innovation, and drive down price of goods. It additionally enables the US to maintain its technological and economic advantages by allowing innovative technologies to compete over infrastructure developed by public subsidies, public funded research, such as DARPA, and efforts built using the municipal domain. Net neutrality is a public good, and therefore companies which utilize public resources to construct this essential utility should not be given unfair advantages. I appreciate your time and consideration.
1501. Balaji Ramani, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
Please keep internet free and support innovation.
1502. Cooper Kunz, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
We REALLY need net neutrality. I'm a computer scientist and a philosopher. How stupid would you have to be to think this is a good idea? Obvious prioritization of current business successes over potential for future innovation and a truly even playing field. Even the most ignorant republican must be an advocate for free and open markets, and the internet is the key to all future markets. Please don't be a fool.

1503. Aaron Bulak, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
We need to have a fair and open internet. Please keep net neutrality.
1504. linsay evans, phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Please support net neutrality. Rolling back net neutrality protection would be a disaster. The big broadband providers put their profits ahead of their customers'™ internet-service quality for years before the FCC issued its current rules in 2015. The internet is the public square of the 21st century. Americans depend on it. It must remain accessible and fair for all.
1505. Scott Gilbert, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I strongly believe in keeping the internet free and fair. Working for a web development company that provides websites to small businesses I see the important of giving everyone the same fair access and treatment online. These stores would not be in business today if not for the internet, restricting access to it, slowing it down based on a pay for play scheme, would be detrimental to the livelihoods of these people. I urge you to keep the internet free and fair.
1506. Jordan Wallet, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
ISPs are currently an uncompetitive market where there is little reason to suspect that greater revenues will lead to an equal amount of greater competition. Internet access is more of a utility than a commodity and suffers the same types of market failures as other utilities. Furthermore, European ISPs take longer to recoup their investment into physical infrastructure than American ISPs, but no one considers the speed or reliability of European internet to be dismal - in other words, American ISPs already have a leg up in cash flow versus their European counterparts, even though European ISPs are operating with total viability. They do not need additional incentive for "network investment", and the lack of competition within individual markets means that increased profitability will not promote "service-related innovation". My ISP delivers bill notifications by email, but legally, it's not the entire bill. I need to go to the website, log in, and then find my bill, and if I did that every single month instead of just reading the dollar total in the e-mail they send, I'm sure I would have found the notice that my rate was going to increase by \$8/mo. My power company has never tried to sneak in a rate hike, never explicitly e-mail me about it, and hope I don't notice. Nor has my water or trash. Nor has any other service to which I am a subscriber. My ISP is in the singular position of not having any suitable competitor where I live, that they can do such a sleazy thing and I have no choice but to continue to do business with them. ISPs are among the least responsible businesses in the nation, and it is primarily because they have lacked sufficient competition for so many years. Removing net neutrality is not in any way going to serve consumers - it will only provide an additional revenue stream to ISPs from those who serve content to end users. A vague mandate that prevents ISPs from misbehaving is not ideal, but far preferable to a clear mandate that they can continue seek profits even to the detriment - not the mutual benefit - of anyone who today considers the internet as indispensable as a running water tap.
1507. John Perkins, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
A free and open internet is absolutely imperative to economical stability and success. Many cable companies already hold an illegal monopoly on access to the internet, giving them the power to block or throttle sites that they don't agree with or don't pay them an extra fee that can be passed on to customers would absolutely destroy the possibility of any new web-based business to thrive or compete. There is no question that this is incredibly unethical, and would cause great harm to small business owners and customers. Please continue to support a free and open internet by blocking any any attempts to end net neutrality.
1508. Richard Fulton III, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Keeping our internet free should be a fundamental human right as we move forward into the next age. The overwhelming majority of everything we know as a society, comes from the internet. Whether its Facebook, or YouTube, or Google, we rely on certain websites to quickly access information, be it about each other or events happening throughout the world. To limit our access to this information, not only serves to isolates us from one another, but infringes on our free speech. Democracy requires a well-informed republic. We cannot speak if we do not know. Please do not keep us in the dark.
1509. Joshua Sager, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Net neutrality is important to me, because it enables me to access what I need to communicate with my friends and professional acquaintances. If regulations on ISPs are lessened, I will have even less options to choose from than I do now, and I already simply cannot afford good internet service, because the companies available to me don't provide it at a fair price. Without net neutrality, they can further up their prices while limiting what I am allowed to access, and that is denying me the use of the Internet. At this point in human history, Internet has become a common household utility. Denying someone access to a common household utility is ethically wrong, and I defy anyone who says otherwise. I need free access to the internet to support my lifestyle, both personal and professional. There are countless other American citizens who rely on the same access. Do not take away net neutrality, it would be a crime against the American people, and the internet community will not stand for it.
1510. Orlando Montes, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
We need to keep the internet choices free and our ISP only providing the internet and not making choices for us.

1511. Troy Sterner, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Freedom of information is incredibly important for the sustenance of democracy. Keep net neutrality!
1512. Justin Ciliento, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I am strongly opposed to the notion that broadband and ISPs should not be treated like a public utility. The FCC needs to continue to maintain full net neutrality into the future.
1513. Douglas Rice, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Please keep the internet a single tiered system. Creating multiple tiers would do humanity a great disservice, you do not want that blood on your hands...I support net neutrality!
1514. Michael Douthitt, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Can't take our internet away
1515. Sharon Alexander, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
Net Neutrality is important for access to quality internet for everyone. Let's not go the way of the airlines and have levels of pay for access to everything from seats to boarding lines. Net Neutrality is an important equalizer for all people and should be upheld.
1516. Joseph Spencer, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
When I purchase broadband internet I have the expectation that I will be able to access any website of my choosing without worry that my ISP will throttle my connection. I believe the FCC should make it a requirement of all ISPs that they uphold net neutrality. An ISP should provide internet access, not internet priorities.
1517. Holly Thomson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Net neutrality is crucial because it maintains the internet as an open and equalizing resource. I do not want my internet service provider influencing my choices about which information to access - the value of the information alone should determine that.
1518. Thomas Bassett, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Keep the internet free! Net neutrality is key to the success of our internet. We would be nowhere without it.
1519. dave, phoenix, AZ, 85050  
please keep net neutrality rules
1520. Trey Torrey, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
What's the FCC good for? Absolutely nothing! I'll say it again!
1521. JL Sickles, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I strongly oppose any effort to end Net Neutrality, It is one of the most powerful tools for uniting the world, providing education, stimulating economies. It's flexibility & possibilities may well be the savior for rural and small town America. Rather than attacking the American people the FCC should be focused on stronger enforcement of existing laws particularly those prohibiting those fraudulent communications that are currently plaguing America's seniors.
1522. John Grimsley, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I am in favor of net neutrality. The only people that do not favor it are corporations and government workers that accept money from said corporations. The government is to work for the people of the United States. We do not want to see net neutrality destroyed.
1523. Alex Henderson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I support the preservation of net neutrality through Title II oversight of Internet Service Providers.
1524. Joel Mueller, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I am a small business owner and use a website for my business. I also use services such as Netflix, Amazon and Google frequently. ISPs should not be allowed to be in a position to discriminate in favor or against certain Internet content and services. A free and open internet is perhaps the most important thing for the future progress of humanity.
1525. Margaret Gallagher, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Please do NOT do away with the Internet Neutrality Rule. Average Americans, small businesses, small organizations like non-profits will all be pushed aside by the large corporate providers, and the only source of information for many Americans will be censored to enhance the profits of the largest providers. Informed Americans make the best contribution to our society....do NOT cut off their sources of info.
1526. Rickie Stevens, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Please remove Net Neutrality. Putting Government and all of its onerous regulations in control of the internet will take

away what has always made the internet so free and open. More Government regulations is rarely ever a good thing.

1527. Cory Rocha, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please do not cave to these corporations who want to control the internet. Please keep the internet free from corporate meddling. I do not want my internet to be throttled by isp's, I also do not want my content blocked by them. Please take into account all those in my generation(18-35) who want the freedom to express ourselves into consideration. Thank you for protecting our freedom.
1528. Julianna Stites, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
As a content creator I need the open internet. I'm not a well known individual along with many other content creators but with the open internet we can put ourselves out there, get people to see our content, like it, and follow us for more. Which allows some of us to make a living or to get some side income to assist with bills that our normal job can't handle on its own. Quite a few artists and small businesses make their own websites that aren't connected to big well known ones, but they still need it and without the open internet they can lose so much promotion from their sites, or miss a chance of a one in a life time kind of job without their portfolio. End game I know everyone just wants to make money society is quite greedy but you can still make loads of cash with the internet remaining open. Sorry for taking your time, thank you for reading.
1529. William Keene, phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Net neutrality is a very integral part of internet freedom. No companies should have the ability to control any aspects of the internet. The internet should be classified as a basic utility for all Americans because it has become ingrained in our daily lives. Please do not repeal net neutrality!
1530. Christian Thorsen, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Save the open internet! I care deeply about the open internet and competition online.
1531. Karla Esquer, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
The internet needs to remain neutral. We want to reserve the right to visit and use whatever website and apps we wish to use. The internet is NOT to be owned by anyone. It is for everyone.
1532. shawn singleton, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Do not damage Net Neutrality...your proposed law will only hurt the average American, will damage innovation and hinder access to information it is clear that the chairman's goal is to aid big business to the detriment of the American people Over 75% of Americans oppose changing these rules...List to the people...that is the hallmark of a functioning democracy.
1533. Christine Lipfert, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Hi, I am writing to tell you how net neutrality rules have impacted me. I use the internet for work and need faster speeds in order to connect to my company's vpn in order to work remotely. I also use the internet to watch tv and conduct personal business transactions. I use my isp only for internet. I do not use their provided email address or cloud storage. I do not use their cable or phone service. For email I use google and for cloud storage I use dropbox, azure and google. I do not have a land line. I only have access to one internet service provider and that is cox. They are consistently raising the prices of their internet service. I believe the FCC should regulate Cox and the other ISP's out there to make sure that the American people have access to all the internet without throttling and without the need to pay higher rates for access to the internet. Thank you
1534. peter chadwick, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Keep these regulations in place please.
1535. James Waddell, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
We need to keep net neutrality. This will not only provide the consumer more choice and keep prices down, but encourage innovation. None of the internet mega-companies of today (Amazon, Facebook, Google, etc) would be here if it were not for a neutral internet playing field.
1536. Joseph Ansel, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
they can never take our download speeds!
1537. Salvador Salazar, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Keep the internet free! My wife is in China, and I've seen what a repressive internet can look like. We shouldn't take any steps that would put us on that path, and this legislation is definitely a move towards imposing regulations on the internet. Stop it now.
1538. Sam Watkins, Scottsdale, AZ, 85253  
A free and neutral internet is crucial to small business growth and a robust American economy. To vote to kill Net Neutrality is to kill millions of jobs, millions of dollars in revenue and millions of consumer dollars to serve the interests

of greedy corporations. Net Neutrality is pro-business, pro-jobs and pro-American.

1539. Charles Spitzer, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Please vote against this measure. Net speed should be equal for everyone.
1540. Katherine Thomas, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
We should be increasing all Americans' access to the internet, not allowing corporations to limit or reduce internet speed and access depending on what users can afford to buy. The Open Internet rules (net neutrality) are extremely important to me. I don't want the ISP to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites advantage on others, or split the internet into fast lanes for companies that pay and slow lanes for the rest. Don't allow corporate America to control our life. Our internet is our access to knowledge and bridge between cultures and people.
1541. Robert Hughes, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
I wish Net Neutrality to continue to be Title II.
1542. Laszlo Kiss, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I'm writing to you in support of Net Neutrality. This is a very important issue for me and almost everyone I know. There is nothing wrong with phone companies and cable providers making money, but selectively allowing access to such a vital resource (network communication) simply encourages discrimination based on economic status. Some of the most innovative things we take for granted today came from people with limited means. Net Neutrality allows all people to participate in making the future better for all. Respectfully, Laszlo Kiss
1543. Holden Fields, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I use the internet daily and could not stand the idea of throttled speeds or even paying more than I already do just for the crappy speeds I already get.
1544. Michael Schnorr, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
It would be a shame if internet access was limited
1545. Macie Oyler, Julie Oyler, Garrett Oyler, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
I do not appreciate not being able to make my own decisions. I also do not appreciate that I would even NEED to do this as an American, born and raised. I shouldn't have to have my family Netflix account prompt me to do this so I can keep my internet freedom. I pay monthly for the services I want, and I do expect to keep these services and be able to chose what I do and do not want. Do not take our Freedom of Choice away from us.
1546. Tobyn Smith, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Isn't this country supposed to be built around freedom, not selfish greed? Net neutrality is deserved by the American people.
1547. Savannah Myl, Phoenix, AZ, 85054  
I want to keep net neutrality
1548. Austin Holt, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Keep the internet open! I don't believe ISPs should have the opportunity to regulate the content of the internet, or have the power to promote/remove what they don't want or don't financially benefit from.
1549. James Liddle, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I believe that internet service providers should be considered common carriers and that they should be required to treat all internet traffic equally.
1550. Brett Bedarf, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
North Korea, Burma, Cuba, Saudi Arabia, Iran, Syria Would any rational American who loves his or her country want us adopting internet policies with the same paradigm as the above listed nations? These are just a few of the many similarly repressive nations who currently do not have net neutrality. If the FCC fails to maintain our own open internet, we are one step away from the control, censorship, and ultimate stagnation of an entire nation's growth. The key difference, of course, is internet control to benefit a regime vs internet control to benefit a corporation (ISP). For the sake of the continued prosperity and most importantly founding principle of FREEDOM of the United States, please maintain net neutrality. Good luck successfully arguing against the overwhelming evidence our information age and resulting tech booms and service industry transition are at the very least strongly correlated if not directly caused by the FREEDOM OF INFORMATION thanks to an open internet. As a recent college grad and entry level web developer, my entire industry relies on an open internet to continue learning new technologies, innovating, and most importantly sending our product to the FREE market. By giving ISP's so much control over which sites (and therefore product/service offerings) among numerous other unfair advantages, you would not only be altering the ISP industry's intended purpose, but also giving them unprecedented control over Americans' freedom both information and consumer choice. An ISP's role (and very profitable business sector) is to act as a gateway from customers' devices to the FREE and OPEN internet. It is not

having the power to censor and manipulate customer interactions aka potential to profit from ISP <-> Corporate relationships. In summary, we are not North Korea; we are AMERICA with a founding principle of FREEDOM. Don't make us take a step towards being North Korea."Those who would give up essential Liberty, to purchase a little temporary Safety, deserve neither Liberty nor Safety." -Benjamin Franklin

1551. Nigel Hunter, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
The fact we are even considering this is a sad day for free speech, free expression, and freedom of information. The Russian Oligarchy, The Chinese Communist Party, and if we do not stop power grabs like this, the American Contisortium. We are constantly told that competition is a virtue of capitalism. I would ask our officials to stand by this claim they constantly make in defense of this system. Fast, free access to information is more vital then ever to the economy Washington claims it is trying to improve. This is not good for the people. It is not good for the economy. It is good only for a select few companies seeking to line their pockets. Say no to this dystopian ideal. There is no benefit, and no excuse.
1552. Lauren Whitmore, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
I am strongly for net neutrality. No organization should have the right to limit what I am able to access and what I am not. Limiting our access in order to put more money in their pockets is deplorable and unjust.
1553. Eric Martell, Phoenix, AZ, 85308  
Do not advantage large companies who will control access to speech. The internet is a platform for every voice. Keep it that way.
1554. Jake, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Without net neutrality the internet will be at the whim of the evil isps. Net neutrality is free speech and should be protected under the 1st amendment #SaveTheNet #DayOfAction FCC do your job !!!!!
1555. Dan Vasiljevic, Scottsdale, AZ, 85266  
The internet is not something you can posses and control. We need these regulations otherwise, the consumers will suffer. Quit thinking about money for once and do something good for the consumers.
1556. Cathy Bayne, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Please protect Net Neutrality! The internet should remain open. Thank you.
1557. Julian McCrary, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Removing net neutrality is an infringement on our rights as Americans and is in direct opposition to the nature of the Constitution.
1558. Kevin Murphy, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I support net neutrality. I access the internet as an onramp for both my personal and business life. I am part of several small cloud based startups that may be impacted if ISP's are allowed to choose who or what gains access or changes speed of access. I only have access to one truly broadband provider Cox in my neighborhood. I do believe the market will balance out but in this case we don't have a choice to respond by going to another provider so maintaining a clear pathway is essential.thank you.Kevin D Murphy, PhD
1559. Raymond C. Hendrey, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
I support strong net neutrality rules and regulations. I do NOT support ISPs being able to prioritize network traffic for higher paying customers at the expense of lower speeds for non-higher paying customers. The Internet does not belong tot the ISPs, it belongs to the people. DO NOT mess with net neutrality.
1560. Marnee Weber, Principal, Meaningful Methods, LLC, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
As a taxpayer, small business owner and entrepreneur, I rely completely on the free and neutral Internet to earn my income and grow the profitability of my business. My ability to pay taxes would be greatly diminished and/or be non-existent if there were costs associated with marketing my business. The Internet must remain neutral and free for me to contribute to the economy and to receive the rights I am promised as an American citizen.
1561. Tyler Baumgartner, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Keep Net Neutrality. We need it so the internet continues to thrive.
1562. Alicia Barker, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Dear Senators and Representatives:Please support any amendment that would strike out Sections 628, 629 and 630 from the Government Appropriations. These sections would undermine the FCC's Net Neutrality rules and prevent the agency from enforcing these critical protections. Thank you,Alicia BarkerAlicia Barker
1563. Alexa Nelson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Money has become the survival tool of the 21st century. We are no longer the animals we once were, trying to claw our

way out of primordial soup of our ancestry. The humans first drive and instinct to survive and dominate is still stronger than ever, so it seems. Today is 2017 I don't have to worry about being eaten by a predator who is trying to survive themselves. I have to worry about major corporate conglomerates attempting to influence our society so they can secure their money (survival) and our submission so they can keep dominating the masses with ease. Please allow net neutrality rules to stay in place, everyone no matter how big or small deserves the same platform that is what's so unifying about the internet. Do not let these animals win!

1564. Rob, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Hello, The internet is a free space for all to use and innovate with. If you try to confine it you will be hindering technological progression. You will stifle our education. You will destroy our culture. Do not regulate the internet. It is a free area to send and receive information. This isn't Communist China!!
1565. Aslan Shepard, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I'm concerned about net neutrality. Millions of people spoke out for the strong net neutrality rules we currently have because they protect us from Internet service providers like Comcast and Verizon that want to block, throttle, and charge us extra fees to access information equally online. I support Title II net neutrality rules and strongly oppose Chairman Pai's bid to reverse Net Neutrality protections.
1566. NITIN KAKARLA, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Net Neutrality is paramount to the success of our economy. No one website is prioritized over its competitor. We must keep it that way. We need a free & open internet – not one that tilts the playing field for the rich & powerful who already made it. We need net neutrality.
1567. Mary Vendegna, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
The internet should be controlled by its users, not its providers.
1568. Millan Singh, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Title II classification of the internet is essential in ensuring that the internet remains a place not only of wide and varied discussion, but of great innovation and economy. This regulation (protection) is NECESSARY as a preventative measure to ward off unscrupulous and exceedingly dangerous activity by major internet providers. Those providers, without Title II standing in their way, could silence whatever content they want, slow down people's internet with no justification, and extort small and large businesses to have access to the internet. The American economy and culture cannot afford to lose Title II protection of the internet. If Chairman Pai's Orwellian motion to "restore internet freedom" (a euphemism for "demolish\* internet freedom") is passed, it will cause potentially irreparable damage to our culture and economy.
1569. Dustin Leslie, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I strongly disagree with any attempt to get rid of net neutrality and will actively vote against any person who lets any bill pass that dismantles net neutrality.
1570. Ashley Khan, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Internet service providers should not get to dictate what websites I use or have access to benefit their own preferred content, websites, and apps. Net neutrality is important and in America the government and huge corporations should not have the right to censor the internet. This is not Russia or China!
1571. Gene Graves, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Net Neutrality needs to stay because my family has and continues to make a living off of the smaller web circles like Etsy, and independent websites, we'd never have been able to compete with bigger businesses and would have disappeared into obscurity, showing preferential treatment to retailers or websites reduces the free access to information and choice of what companies to back with my own money.
1572. ryan odgers, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Please retain net neutrality. Free and open internet for all!
1573. R E Fox, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Save the open internet. Keep net neutrality.
1574. Justin Howarth, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
The internet is meant to share or find info, pictures and videos. Why should some websites have limited access compared to big corporation ones.
1575. Evan Spesard, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY! My business will die without it!
1576. Jeff Cederbaum, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251

Stop being greedy. Not everyone is part of the 1%.

1577. Steven W Simpson, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331

I support Net Neutrality and the Freedom of the Internet of Everything and hope that this power grab is blocked and the people are allowed their God-given right to access information, entertainment, and other important functions the Internet performs without fetters.

1578. John Nelson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85262

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely, extremely, extremely, extremely important to me. I urge you in the strongest possible terms to protect them. We should be a beacon of what is better, not selling out our privacy, freedom, and access to information so a few companies can make substantially greater profits that will never, ever benefit us. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. This is a simple idea - and IT ALREADY EXISTS. Retain the Title II classification. ISPs should be considered utilities like water, electricity, and so on. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai (a former Verizon lawyer (that means he's biased (and has a financial motive for this deregulation))) has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America - just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Do you like capitalism? Self-actualization? Pulling yourself up through effort and ingenuity? Prospering because your product or performance is simply superior? This proposal cripples all of that. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's (yeah, again, see above - not a good guy to be making this decision (a decision that shouldn't even be revisited! (again! (and again-again!)))) plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I am extremely concerned that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans to benefit a very select few and strip the privacy and protections from the rest of us. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! John Nelson

1579. Kevin Gascoyne, Phoenix, AZ, 85032

This will create uncompetitive business practices, and allow the ISPs to control what content is viewed on the internet. Unacceptable.

1580. Michael McCallister, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259

I oppose the changes proposed by the FCC (<https://www.fcc.gov/document/restoring-internet-freedom-notice-proposed-rulemaking>) . These changes will negatively impact small businesses and mainly benefit huge telecommunications companies. The US has been a great steward for the Internet for decades now - lets not mess up an otherwise pretty good track record - leave things the way they are please.

1581. Gretchen Hanson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251

Net neutrality is vital to the fair and equitable use of the internet. Allowing any groups to gain favor or access for pay or other mechanism goes against everything that the internet stands for. It must remain an equal playing field for everyone. I heartily disagree with giving ISPs preferential access/treatment. Keep the internet neutral for everyone.

1582. Kristi Ross, Phoenix, AZ, 85027

Please support Net Neutrality and keep our internet free from bias from the companies running it. Internet is very important in this day and age, and it offers so many valuable learning resources for our kids. Please do not limit what and where they can learn. Let them have equal access to all websites they need, whether big or small, so they can use all the internet has to offer.

1583. Elizabeth McClure, Phoenix, AZ, 85028

I urge the FCC to retain the existing Net Neutrality rules so that my access to online sites is in no way restricted or controlled by corporations such as Verizon or Comcast. This is a First Amendment issue, a protection of the public's right to an open Internet and an unrestricted flow of information. I rely on an open and accessible Internet so that I can conduct personal research; take online classes; handle multiple email accounts; participate in multiple social-media sites; use cloud storage; access my digital subscriptions to newspapers and magazines; collaborate with fellow volunteers; and maintain bookmarks, to name just a few of my online needs. I do not trust corporations to determine which sites I can

access, or the speeds at which various sites are allowed to impart data. To change the existing rules would be to introduce great harm to the flow of information and the access to that information. I need the FCC to uphold its rules and to stand up for me. No corporation can be trusted to do that.

1584. Alexander Huesing, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
The internet's decentralized structure is symbolic of our nation's freedom. Every piece of legislation that we pass against net neutrality prevents us from scolding Russia, China, Turkey, and other nefarious nations when they desecrate the freedom of their citizens. Giving ISPs the power to manage bandwidth answers a tiny business problem at the cost of enormous collateral damage to the intangibles that make our country great.
1585. Annie Collopy, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Please keep Net Neutrality! The government does not have the right to block websites or slow connections on sites they do not like. The internet should be open to everyone, as it has been.
1586. Casey, Scottsdale, AZ, 85253  
This is fundamentally and morally wrong. You can't sell away people's freedom so you can line your pockets.
1587. Erik L Haynes, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Hello FCC, I hope you're all having a good day. As an IT professional, I believe that Internet Service should be regulated under title II of the telecommunications act. Today's world, economy, industry, communications, and information are online: and there's no sign of slowing. Every day our technology relies more and more heavily on Cloud based technology and internet service. Allowing Internet Service Providers the ability to censor, throttle, overcharge, and underperform cannot continue to happen. It's time for service providers to build network infrastructure to match the speeds and utility they advertise, and it's time for artificial bandwidth and data caps to end. While I find the Telecommunications Companies "pledges to net neutrality morals/values" commendable, it is also dubious at best given their own legal actions taken against net neutrality now and in the past. We've been dealing with monopolistic ISPs who overcharge and underperform for years now, with no real competition to force them to compete. If you think I'm a bot and this is a comment made by a non-human or fraudulently by another under a bad name, contact me by email. I'll be more than happy to talk about my views and prove that I wrote this.
1588. biff darcy, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I strongly support net neutrality and title 2
1589. Cody Pederson, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Given the history of ISP's like Telus and labor strikes, Comcast and peer-to-peer, and Comcast and Netflix and many other egregious offenses, removing current protections on Net Neutrality would only result in more unfair practices that hurt, not help, friendly competition and capitalism.
1590. Michael J Gratto, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
The Internet is one of man's greatest inventions to share information and needs to remain free from Corporate control.
1591. Ryan Whitten, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
I am asking today for this commission to act in the greater interests of the common people and extraordinary entrepreneurial spirits who without net neutrality would face tremendous shortcomings and disadvantages towards success in their lives. Please do not fall prey to terrible ISPs and greed. Rise above. Keep the internet a place of freedom and possibilities. Not restrictive and throttled.
1592. Fredric Matthew Hinds, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
I support open internet rules (net neutrality) and Title II. The actions that you take next will be remembered in one of two ways for generations. Please do not be the way that leaves an oil slick on the FCC's name for years to come. Thank you, Fredric Hinds Concerned American
1593. Matt Schaffter, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I support KEEPING Net Neutrality! We need strict guidelines in place to keep companies from using our internet as a way to make MORE money. We should not be throttled for ANY REASONS
1594. Catch-44, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
As a small business owner, net neutrality is imperative for me to compete in my industry. I may not be a big fish, but my clients recognize that I offer more value, insight, and consultation than my competitors. However, if my business is constrained because I cannot pay my ISP for a fast lane, my business will face irreparable damage, perhaps even causing me to go out of business. PLEASE MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY to give all businesses and individuals a chance to compete equally in our free market economy.
1595. Ryan Zimmer, Phoenix, AZ, 85054  
The internet needs to remain a neutral space, legislation otherwise is against what our country stands for and the free

market economy.

1596. Anna Gooldy, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250

I think the free market should decide how the Internet should be regulated. The powers granted to the FCC by the so-called "Net Neutrality" regulations are far too broad, and have the potential to restrict free speech. These regulations should absolutely be overturned. Why are "fast lanes" and "slow lanes" bad, anyway? I paid extra for toll lanes this morning and I got to work at least 10 minutes faster. You pay either with money or with time, unless it's made illegal. Cloudflare.org wants me to tell you to maintain current government regulation. I suspect that they have a vested interest in stonewalling the current administration, but that's just between you and me. Anna Gooldy

1597. Brandon Hill, Phoenix, AZ, 85032

A lot of companies that I work with are small businesses that rely on large files to be downloaded regularly for updates and installations. These small businesses compete with larger businesses such as Sony, Thompson Grass Valley and Harris which are all large names in the Television business. If net neutrality is dissolved ISPs will be able to limit these smaller companies to such small bandwidth that those files would go from an hour to a day easily. Some of the files required are needed to air shows and allow us to work with equipment that needs regular software changes. These net neutrality changes would severely hurt these companies' ability to provide support and configuration to their clients. Net neutrality keeps smaller businesses from falling underneath the tech giants. If we did not have these small businesses innovation would be less competitive and stale. There's not only that but a huge part of browsing the web is having a choice of what sites to visit, what news group to watch, what shows to enjoy and far more that would be impacted by losing net neutrality. Many people either only have access to one provider or maybe two. Consider Hughes Net as a service provider and take a look at their prices versus other companies across the nation. They gouge for internet access even at the most basic of level. If net neutrality is lost Hughes Net could limit their customers to only having regular access to a very small number of websites. Thank you for your consideration. Please keep our internet access open and don't let ISPs rule over your fellow Americans just for profit.

1598. Jacob Bernert, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251

Net Neutrality is needed for the American population. Millions of citizens will lose jobs and means of getting information.

1599. Tyler Champagne, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268

I do not support this nor the repercussions that will be provided by this bill.

1600. William Stahlschmidt, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259

For the digital economy to continue fostering competition and promote economic growth, net neutrality must be preserved. Dismantling net neutrality would put my country, the United States, at a massive disadvantage in the global economy, as startups would be strangled in the crib. Net neutrality is ultimately necessary for a free market with new competition to exist.

1601. Net neutrality, Glendale, AZ, 85308

They should keep it the way it is now. They shouldn't to pick which sites get more focus.

1602. Chris Larson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255

A free and open internet is the single greatest technology of our time, and control should not be at the mercy of corporations. A free and open internet stimulates ISP competition. A free and open internet promotes the spread of ideas. A free and open internet helps prevent unfair pricing practices. A free and open internet drives entrepreneurship and promotes innovation. A free and open internet protects freedom of speech.

1603. Adam Young, Phoenix, AZ, 85032

I believe the FCC needs to keep strong net neutrality rules and keep an open internet. It in no way helps innovation or competition. I don't feel that a small handful of giant companies that already have a monopoly on certain aspects of the internet should be given even greater power to inhibit what websites etc., I visit. If the FCC allows a rollback of net neutrality and believes that these companies will not abuse that power to their own ends and wallets, then the FCC is quite myopic in their view.

1604. Kevin Dillingham, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254

As someone that uses the internet on a daily basis not just for entertainment, but for work as well, the net neutrality rule is something that should stay in place. I know about and understand what net neutrality does. It is a safeguard for consumers so that ALL websites have equal speeds across the internet without having the fear of being slowed down due to bigger companies "buying in" on the providers to have their content viewed or streamed faster than their competitor and that is what we are trying to save. Quit with the bullshit of trying to undo everything that obama did and start doing your jobs. Also, it was already stated by the providers that title 1 and title 2 for safeguarding net neutrality had no negative effects on the company. So stop fucking with shit that isn't broken!!!!

1605. Tim Mercer, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
No, don't fuck with our internet, let us use it freely
1606. Kevin Higgins, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
There are no two ways about this issue. If net neutrality is killed, we will see paid prioritization of certain content, we will see throttling of content that is not to the ISP's liking, and we will see an internet where you have to pay surcharges to get access to certain areas that your ISP does not support. This is what will happen and it will destroy the open internet. Stop this nonsense and do not let corporations control the internet.
1607. Douglas Sears, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Do not do this. The internet deserves to be shared equally and not controlled by big money corporations.
1608. Jess Archer, Phoenix, AZ, 85054  
Waiting sucks. And I don't want to spend money every month to have to not wait for something that was readily available.
1609. Daniel Cosic, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Hi. It is extremely important to our society that you keep the internet neutral. I realize a lot of you in the FCC worked in the private sector (communications corporations) which stand to benefit from being able to cut internet access or upcharge for access/broadband. I cannot tell you how against our American values it is to not stand for net neutrality. It is THE issue of our time. Keeping the internet neutral means keeping the internet free, so to speak. Please do the right thing, do not give these corporations more power. This a Republic, our Republic - not an oligarchy.
1610. Carly Kneppers, Scottsdale, AZ, 85253  
The internet needs to continue to be a place that fosters equality for small business. Rolling back Title 1 and Title 2 will only allow big businesses like Verizon to obstruct growth.
1611. Wyatt Tolby, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
It is important that we preserve net neutrality and keep it proactively enforceable so that no one can try to stop innovation or force a fast lane/slow lane scenario. The internet was built on the free and open exchange of information, it was never about paying a private tax to an ISP for priority treatment or worrying about not paying and not having people accessing your harder to reach site/business/information. If we end net neutrality we end innovation and development in ways we will never know all so that a few companies could turn an even higher profit. Please keep net neutrality alive and enforceable under title 2. Thank you.
1612. Michael Branin, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
We need net neutrality because its not right to regulate our access to media.
1613. Kevin Ferguson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Limiting access to the internet is limiting people's freedom of speech and freedom of the press. You can not and will not control the internet.
1614. Grayson Bridges, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Net neutrality is insanely important. I have no idea how anyone could be dumb enough to want their internet to be like China's. Honestly if I didn't know better I would say this was a joke by a mentally handicapped person; however this is the reality we face. Keep the net neutral. Net neutrality or death.
1615. David, phoenix, AZ, 85024  
I want to keep net neutrality in place
1616. Tamara Aldrich, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Big business doesn't need any more help. Let's look out for the little guy, in the spirit of fairness and the American public having choices.
1617. PATRICIA I. JAYSON, PHOENIX, AZ, 85028  
MAINTAIN NET NEUTRALITY! THE INTERNET SHOULD BE AVAILABLE EQUALLY TO ALL.
1618. Ryan Timothy, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
To me the internet is the greatest source of knowledge sharing that has ever and will ever exist. I believe strong net neutrality rules are necessary to protect this priceless knowledge for future generations, including my son James. Please protect net neutrality. Thank you.
1619. Timothy Polcyn, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I urge you to protect the FCC's Open Internet Rules. The only "freedom" the repeal is restoring is the freedom for ISPs to place burdensome restrictions on their user base as well as heavily influencing winners and losers among companies

by creating uneven playing fields. An open internet is an essential form of communication. We as citizens have recognized this. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Timothy Polcyn

1620. Steven Webb, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I am petitioning you to uphold net neutrality,
1621. Kelly Galipeau, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Keep the net neutral. Protect net neutrality!
1622. Alexis Soto, Gilbert, AZ, 85259  
The internet needs to remain free to all individuals. It brings all people from all walks of life together, and having the internet to remain free is our first amendment right to freedom of speech.
1623. Chloe Parker, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
The internet should be kept accessible. It is my opinion that the internet is one of the greatest symbols of freedom that we have. Access to sites, news, and friends all over the world. The sites that we want to access are not the 1% or the highest bidders. What the people want is freedom: of speech, of access to the internet, of business, of love, and of the right of pursuit of happiness. America was founded on rebellion, freedom, and the people. It should stay that way. The internet is our freedom and democracy, and we will not be censored, bought out, or silenced.
1624. Armand Zgainer, Scottsdale, AZ, 85266  
Net Neutrality is something very dear to me and many others around the United States who enjoy expressing themselves through various websites and services.
1625. Rick Smith, Scottsdale, AZ, 85266  
This bill is just plain stupid why should I have to upgrade to do something that is already advertised I refuse to believe that such an idiotic and just straight wrong bill could pass but if it does due to the people in Senate being promised funding well then this is not going to be something I take sitting down I don't use the TV my TV doesn't have cable box so I'm paying for TV no matter what with my internet now companies can abuse the internet that's wrong that's just not right the internet is my platform I use to calm down now that's going to buffer and it's just one of the biggest copouts ever Rick Smith
1626. Trevor Denney, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Don't pls.
1627. Daniel Swinford, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
The internet needs to be a free and open platform for all as a form of free speech. Removing net neutrality will strip away the fundamentals of what the internet was built for. Please, do not remove net neutrality.
1628. Kyle Schraven, Glendale, AZ, 85308  
I absolutely do not stand for reform on net neutrality. The internet should be an equal playing field, not controlled
1629. Richard Wojtczak, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I own and operate a small business that utilizes the internet for most of our marketing and advertising. We also maintain our back office systems in the cloud, requiring good access to the internet from both home and business offices. I suspect metering already occurs on my cable internet connection, since it is a shared high speed line for the neighborhood. At times, I experience slow response for no apparent reason. I will not be able to effectively operate if this becomes the

norm at all sites, simply because the ISP's say so.Keep net neutrality!

1630. Jamie Loring, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I support leaving title 2 alone. We need a free and open internet.
1631. David Archibald, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
I am 100% behind net neutrality. Letting IPS prioritize traffic will be the death of internet. Their ONLY reason for promoting this is to increase their income. This is a negative for all internet users except the ISP'ers.
1632. Dennis Kanenwisher, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Net Neutrality must be protected so that someone like myself, who runs a small sole-proprietor business to supplement, and hopefully one day replace my main source of income, can have equal access to potential customers and have equal access to resources necessary to run my business.
1633. Coby Allred, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
As someone who has supported many internet startup over the years, I find it very damaging that the FCC would allow for ISPs to treat traffic in a biased manner. Doing so completely destroys the foundations that the internet was built on.
1634. Matthew Ashe, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Net neutrality must remain in tact. The internet should not be owned by anyone, that is why it is amazing.
1635. Clifton Ramsey, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
In order for everyone to enjoy the internet, it needs to be free. Big companies such as Comcast and Verizon shouldn't be allowed to limit who uses the internet by imposing fees on websites in order to stay afloat. The Internet was born free and should stay free. I strongly support net neutrality rules!
1636. Benjamin Zweber, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Keep Net Neutrality! The Internet should be open! Internet service should be a utility like electricity and water! Please don't leave Internet in the hands of the ISPs!
1637. Austin Ardavany, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
The web was created by the freedom of Americans. Taking away the freedom of the web shows that government agencies are trying to control America's eyes.
1638. Edward Guerrero, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
This act is a way to monopolize the internet, and not treat the internet as what it is, a utility. I strongly oppose this act and wish to keep net neutrality alive.
1639. Cameron Brown, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
The internet is an extension of our free speech and should be continued to be protected as such.
1640. Luke Meyer, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Net neutrality is vital to our current age. Don't you dare destroy it.
1641. Gabriel Rdriguez, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
Please keep net neutrality alive to give everyone a free shot on the open web. A highly monetized and restricted internet will only help to destabilize what we as Americans and internet users have worked so hard to form in the decades that the internet has been around.
1642. Pedro Barros, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
We Are Watching You
1643. Austin Welsh, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
2 Simple Questions is all : What would the founding fathers think of stripping net neutrality? What separates us from other countries? (â€œNorth Korea notoriously restricts access to the internet for its citizens, but it does, in fact, maintain some websites which can be seen outside the countryâ€ BBC) Simply put, America was founded upon freedom. I realize that society evolves and some laws are destined to change with time, otherwise weâ€™d still have gladiator wars :) ; however, think about how the men and women that died to form the Union that is now our country. Although it may sound clichÃ©, quite literally, blood, sweat, and tears went into creating and founding the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and itâ€™s important to think how they would feel if they saw/knew our rights being stripped from us. Many companies in todayâ€™s age think very selfishly of themselves and forget who the customer really is. The men and women that fight for this country fight for our FREEDOM not for our freedom on the internet (and Iâ€™m sure it wonâ€™t stop there) to be put in danger. I realize you most likely have many filings; however, if you do somehow read this. I truly appreciate your time and consideration and wish you a great day. No one needs to lash out, but I appreciate the ability to speak up. Austin Welsh

1644. Nathan Hawkins, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
You must be really stupid to think that regulating the internet will have any benefits. This is an act of terrorism committed by one of the worlds largest nations and should not be ignored. The FCC will forever have a worse image in everyone's mind and may very well cause the collapse of a nation built on the values of freedom. Your fake "freedom" is nothing but lies. We are all completely against this bill and we should keep net neutrality.
1645. Travis Marshall, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Keep Net Neutrality! I am a voting American who will vote against any persons running for office opposed to net neutrality.
1646. Cody James Baverman, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Ending net neutrality would be setting ourselves so far back from the rest of the world it would be about as funny as having Donald Trump for a president. The internet is so important to the modern world that it's basically a utility and letting ISP's screw everyone over so that they can enhance their profits even more is just so backwards. I hate companies like Cox, Time Warner, Comcast, etc. They're all terrible. I have no other options though. Going through with ending net neutrality would be a fatal blow to our freedom of information. Don't do it.
1647. Dylan Bruce, scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Net Neutrality keeps a level playing field for small start ups and average people to make a name for them self's. As well ensurers they'er not squashed by people with all the money and all the advantages. That at its heart is the american dream and i think we need to protect that, please do not roll back protections for this important service.
1648. Jacob A Allman, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
FCC needs to keep the internet neutral. there will be to much trouble with the isp controls and if websites get into a payment problem there will be more problems that will cause a snowball effect. People will make ways to bypass and government time will be wasted and there will be more and more complaints about websites going down and people losing access to important things. The internet should not be messed with. America is freedom not control this is not russia or north korea we fight those contries to prove we are free to ruine that.
1649. Brendan Birks, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Net neutrality needs to stay. Big companies already have their hands in so many things, they shouldn't have access to control what people see over the internet. No one should have control over what other people see. That's against the constitution of the United States of America.
1650. John Skowronek, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I care about the free open internet and competition online, and support of strong net neutrality rules that give people the power to choose which websites and apps are best for them.Thanks,John
1651. Tomas Maroney, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Don't lock down the internet and restrict innovation to those with large pockets.
1652. AUSTIN WELSH, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
2 Simple Questions is all : What would the founding fathers think of stripping net neutrality? What separates us from other countries? (â€œNorth Korea notoriously restricts access to the internet for its citizens, but it does, in fact, maintain some websites which can be seen outside the countryâ€ BBC) Simply put, America was founded upon freedom. I realize that society evolves and some laws are destined to change with time, otherwise weâ€™d still have gladiator wars ; however, think about how the men and women that died to form the Union that is now our country. Although it may sound clichÃ©, quite literally, blood, sweat, and tears went into creating and founding the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and itâ€™s important to think how they would feel if they saw/knew our rights being stripped from us. Many companies in todayâ€™s age think very selfishly of themselves and forget who the customer really is. The men and women that fight for this country fight for our FREEDOM not for our freedom on the internet (and Iâ€™m sure it wonâ€™t stop there) to be put in danger. I realize you most likely have many filings; however, if you do somehow read this. I truly appreciate your time and consideration and wish you a great day. No one needs to lash out, but I appreciate the ability to speak up. Austin Welsh AUSTIN WELSH
1653. Daniel Cook, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Yeah, if you could preserve net neutrality...that'd be great, mkay?Many people smarter than I have made their concerns in much more eloquent fashion on this important issue. I don't feel as though rehashing those points will get your attention, but rather one more voice to say nay on anything that endangers net neutrality.
1654. samantha meyer, phoenix, AZ, 85027  
keep internet free!
1655. Leo D. Barrera, Phoenix, AZ, 85024

Just like the oxygen we share, the Internet is an intangible thing that belongs equally to all of us. Consumers already pay the service fee itself via subscription, so any additional layer of 'pay to play' or manipulation of content to the advantage of marketers and advertisers with deep enough pockets would almost seem like extortion at that point. This is not how service is improved. Please refrain from any action to disrupt net neutrality. Thank you.

1656. Gary Dugan, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Open business should apply in both the real world and cyber space, don't take away our freedom to entertain ourselves with what WE enjoy.
1657. Andrew Nelson, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
I rely on internet service solely for reliable, fast access, and use other providers for email, data storage, etc. Having internet access has been invaluable to me. It's how I keep in contact with friends/ family, completed my education, conduct research, view news, search for jobs, and is my source of entertainment. Many, if not all, of those resources would not be available with the slower internet speeds of the past. In my area, there are only 2 choices for high speed internet. Both charge identical fees and offer equally poor service. Both have startup costs that can exceed \$100 to switch. We need to have widely available high speed internet and more competition among providers. The internet has become just as vital as having a home phone once was. Protecting net neutrality will help to keep the internet a powerful platform for communication, ideas, information and access.
1658. Henry R Gruenemeier Jr, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Please keep the ISPs under Title II and preserve our Net Neutrality.
1659. Travis Ford, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
Fuck you!! Travis Ford
1660. Shane R Adams, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I love the Internet the way it is. If you want to restrict it, you can do your best over my dead body. Shane R Adams
1661. Kevin Sahakian, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
The web should be treated like electricity or water, you should pay on usage, not speed. There are no options for premium water or electricity, and that's how it should be. It's saddening to see the power of large cable companies and lobbyists. If the FCC truly wants to represent the people of this country, the repeal of net-neutrality would be ludicrous.
1662. Quinn Mitchell, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I'm extremely opposed to reforms to net neutrality. Please keep things the way they are now. It will be extremely upsetting if you repeal net neutrality.
1663. Jonathan Stone, Phoenix, AZ, 85020  
To whom it may concern at the FCC, The FCC needs to do everything in its power to maintain the current Title II provisions that safeguard fair and equal access to the internet for all Americans. Internet access needs to retain its classification as a telecommunications service. Since its inception, the internet has grown into one of the primary methods for communication for a vast majority of Americans. Personally, the internet is my primary tool for communicating with friends, loved ones, my employer, and, most importantly, my college professors. If large ISPs were given the ability to throttle or block access to the services I require for work and school, I doubt I could continue pursuing an education. If this were any other industry, market competition would likely solve many of the issues that Title II Net Neutrality protects against, but most ISPs operate under almost monopolistic conditions. If the ISP that I receive services from began to throttle, block, or reroute my internet traffic, I don't have the option of taking my business elsewhere. I recently tried to migrate to another service provider when my current one, Cox Communications, started to implement data caps on my service. I found that the only other major service provider in the city, Century Link, did not have any broadband connections available in my area. If Cox started to engage in the practices that Title II net neutrality protects against, I would have no reasonable means to fight against it. The Internet is still in its nascent stages of development, and without the protection of the FCC, its growth will be stunted by the interference of Internet Service Providers. Without the consumer protections that the FCC currently provides under Title II net neutrality rules, ISPs can and will abuse their positions as virtual monopolies to maximize their profits. These abuses will not only stunt the development of the internet as a business platform, but also hamper the growth of the most powerful platform for free communication and expression. I ask you as someone whose daily activities require the use of the internet: please keep existing Title II net neutrality in place.
1664. Chase Kelley, phoenix, AZ, 85032  
We have already seen internet providers abuse the control they have over the internet. Net neutrality protects the people. I like the people and I would be under the assumption that you do to. But I don't think you get the warm fuzzys thinking about all those lovely corporations looking to throttle and regulate the internet. The internet is the last true bastion of freedom we have, we cant just let it slip! Please reconsider the rollbacks and protect the people.

1665. Sandra A Wallace, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
We are concerned that the current safeguards that are in place will be less effective and more loosely managed if the way they are enforced is changed. I'm not against a change in the current regulations as long as it guarantees the same or better protections. I'm against the idea of these corporations getting to govern and police themselves. In reference to Paragraph 82, on the subject of throttling we have already seen cases where Internet Service Providers have unfairly throttled content that they have chosen. They had to be taken to court to get them to stop. We have seen similar practices by mobile service providers. All of this was done with regulations in place, I can only image what will happen if they are no longer in place to control these practices and protect the American people. Thank you for your time and for reading my comments.
1666. Zachary, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
This bill is an unacceptable violation. net neutrality must be kept. Any governor, senator or company and so forth who supports dissolving net neutrality I will be sure to vote against come re-elections, regardless of party affiliations, and will encourage others to do the same; and any company that supports it will be boycotted as is my right.
1667. Travis J Muff, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Do not ruin the internet! Keep it free! Don't allow ISPs to regulate what we the people access. There is no competition in ISPs. I have 2 choices where I live, and one of them is terrible, the other not much better. ISPs should be regulated by the FCC as a common carrier.
1668. Alex Kim, MD, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Keep Net Neutrality and put the people's interests above corporations
1669. Zachary Waldron, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
The Internet needs to be a free and open utility for the public to access and use, by throttling the Internet by getting rid of net neutrality, this will adversely affect small businesses or corporations, that try to use the Internet as a place to grow their business.
1670. Connor Reinhardt, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Keeping the internet an open space is the only way to promote our futures online
1671. Alisha Callahan, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Hello FCC, corporations should not be allowed to stop net neutrality. The purpose of the internet is an open source network that provides information to be shared widely for students, employees, and businesses. It is important that we maintain net neutrality. Please do your duty and keep the internet open and not closed.
1672. Yisha Fan, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
There was once somebody who wanted the see the world become a better place. Be that person again, if only for a little while. Don't trade justice for monetary gain. Protect Net Neutrality.
1673. Gabrielle Ruffino, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
The internet is a place of true equality of freedom of speech, expression, and the right for those to strive for opportunities they may not be fortunate to stumble upon where they reside. Bloggers, crafters, artists, writers, and so many more kinds of people thrive on the internet. It's what connects us all and anything that hinders it should never be allowed - it's simply not right.
1674. Richard Comeau, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
Do not Repeal, or change anything on the way net neutrality is. If you think this will go away, It wont, This is an attack on our freedom of speech, I will make sure all who are pushing this through Ajit Pai, Pay for this Financially, your money will be ours.
1675. LeeAnn Carulli, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
We need net neutrality, There is only one internet and it's global, no one should be able to take control of it and limit it.
1676. Matthew Gleason, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
As monopolies, or near monopolies, ISPs must be regulated to ensure consumers are not taken advantage of, just like we do for telephone communications. Net neutrality ensures ISPs cannot filter access of their customer as far as the Internet is concerned. Without restrictions, we can expect ISPs to misbehave and to sell the best access to the highest bidder, leaving startups, small, and medium-sized companies in the dust. Imagine a world where our phones were filtered, where people had only one doctor's office they were allowed to call. Imagine your local pizza delivery place goes out of business because your phone provider applied a fee to order from anyone but Dominos. The Internet is to the 21st century what telephones were to the 20th century. The FCC has an obligation to protect the consumer when it comes to communications, and it has the obligation to keep ISPs in check.
1677. Jack Summers, Phoenix, AZ, 85028

For businesses, Net Neutrality is essential to the idea of open competition on the internet. Startups could not compete without it. For consumers, Net Neutrality guarantees a significant amount their freedoms on the internet - to choose what sites to access, to speak and listen on the forum of their choice, and so on. Ending Net Neutrality will not be better for everyone, but only for the internet service provides and what they favor, and not what everyone else favors.

1678. Alexandras Birutis, scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Free and Open Internet. I could talk for hours on how the invention of the internet could be the single greatest advancement in human society as this allow for communication without bounds and represents strongly our great country's first amendment to its constitution. I plead that you don't allow the internet to become a cash grab which will do far worse things than simple throttling certain services. The extent of allowing internet service provides to take the existing infrastructure and monopolize control over its content beyond of what those companies can do today could destroy the very thing that makes the internet great. It would allow corporate america to divy the internet service at their whim. This company paid me more money so they get better service. It seems logical at first. But in todays age the internet has become an outlet of speech for not only young people around the world, but almost for the world itself. Imagine if there was "speech" companies. That perhaps the the human body was only capable of a whisper. And these speech companies offered a way for your voice to be heard. A megaphone in a sense. Now imagine those companies giving you the smallest megaphone they own, and giving your political opponent the largest they own since they have a slightly fatter wallet than you. They could shout and would be left to a whisper fading into silence. I don't want the internet to be a voice, but a chorus of voices. Don't let the conversation of the world be taken control of by the few. Let Us Speak.
1679. Shae Blackburn, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
To restrict our use of the Internet goes against what it was made for and what America stands for. Ajit Pai needs to man up and think for America and not in his personal interests.
1680. patricia hopkins, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Freedom of the internet is important for all.
1681. Matthew Clauss, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
One of the greatest triumphs of modern society is the Internet. As a citizen, an activist, and an internet user, I urge you to pursue a free and open internet where we can exercise our rights and come together to pioneer the unity that we deserve.
1682. William Clark, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
The Internet is a utility that shouldn't be exploited in such a fashion for the sake of Money. Meanwhile we still have millions of people on dial-up and our country has one of the slowest overall commercial speeds in the first place. Force our internet providers to bridge the communication gap if they want to slow us down even more. Be Better!
1683. Ralph W Zavala, phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I hate to see a day where information is hidden from the people, crushing small companies and making people lose the ability to quickly surf the internet the way they want
1684. Brent Kellohen, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Please keep the internet free from fast lanes!
1685. Robert Biallas, scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
A free internet, regulated by Title 2 benefits everyone in the US and the World. This allows the internet to remain free to new ideas and innovations. Removing these protections will allow monopolistic companies to exploit their customers however they wish. I have precisely one option for high speed internet in my area, and they are not instituting data caps, no doubt emboldened by this FCC's seemingly blatant desire to please ISPs and Cable companies. Cable companies are notorious for not needing to provide competitive services because there is no valid competition to be had. Removing title 2 in the name of competition will be a disaster, because it will remove the only real way these companies can be in check. This has been brought up, time and again, and everywhere across the internet an uproar has been heard. This attitude will never change. Please stop trying to enable cable companies to ruin everyone's expectations for internet service.
1686. Thomas Wolf, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I strongly support the current net neutrality rules that former FCC head Tom Wheeler put in place in 2015. I strongly support Title II classification for ISPs. The internet is something that all people should have equal access to.
1687. David Pinkus, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I have only one option for high-speed broadband service, Cox Communications, who recently said they will be adding a significant surcharge for using bandwidth consistent with streaming services (such as Netflix, HBO Go, etc.). It's one of the most anti-competitive moves that I've ever seen. Living in a house built in the 1970's, in an older neighborhood with older wiring, the only other provider is much slower. I really have no choice but to be a victim of Cox, and if I want to

consume any television, I need to buy their cable services instead of streaming online (unless I want to pay essentially more than they charge for cable). Now you are proposing that my entire Internet experience be subject to Cox's decisions on prioritization of network traffic and services. Given how they have treated their customers in the past few years, this is not a company that would provide fair and equal services to users of the internet. I rely on the internet to backup my home computers and photos. I want to use a service such as Dropbox for this, and not be subject to having to use an inferior service (like Cox) because they are controlling my internet, bandwidth limits, and speed. Furthermore, there are a myriad of other internet services that I rely on every day, including email, online document editing, storing versions of computer software that I am authoring, and connecting to my company's network. Revoking net neutrality would empower my ISP to affect my ability to earn a living, communicate with friends and family, protect my families important data and photographs, and potentially many other things that we have come to use daily. Please keep the internet free and open.

1688. Dan Oliver, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254

I strongly encourage the tenets of net neutrality to be retained as they are today. Nowadays, the internet is akin to a public utility. There are many facets of everyday life that literally cannot be accomplished without unmolested, unfettered access to the internet, any more than someone could run their refrigerator or air conditioner without unfettered access to the electrical grid. The reversal of net neutrality would allow ISPs to dictate what websites end-users can connect to, as well as how fast or slow their access would be if they were even allowed to connect. This would often be based on how much certain website operators pay the ISP to provide fast access to their websites. Imagine if your electric company could cut off or substantially decrease the power that goes to your refrigerator, simply because your refrigerator's manufacturer didn't pay the electric company a "pay to play" fee to provide sufficient power to their appliance. If you want a working fridge, you should buy one from a manufacturer that colludes with your electric company, right? This ridiculous electric company scenario is an apt simile describing the harm and loss of control that elimination of net neutrality would thrust upon consumers who rely on fair, impartial, and equal access to the internet.

1689. Justin Lee Carter, Phoenix, AZ, 85023

This bill is fraudulent, both in name and intent, with no other utility on the market are companies allowed to chose what you do with them, let alone stop you from using it after you pay for it, For instance, with phones no one should be able to block who someone else calls just because they don't like them or deal with them, and neither should they be able to with the internet.

1690. Armen Kaprelian, scottsdale, AZ, 85255

Net neutrality is an essential part of our personal freedoms which extends past any political boundary. Myself and the rest of the American people stand in unison for the continuation of net neutrality.

1691. austin, fountain hills, AZ, 85268

We need to keep net neutrality to give everyone a fair chance at success. Competition creates innovation and when you cut out the little guy you will miss out on tons of innovation.- Austin

1692. Jeffrey Shu, Phoenix, AZ, 85032

Hi there. I just wanted to note that I am fully in support of net neutrality. It matters a great deal to me that the current rules that the FCC has in effect to protect net neutrality are protected. I am deeply wary of the potential consequences that might result from the revocation or alteration of these rules, as ISPs are likely to utilize this to throttle Internet speeds, allowing for censorship and the ability to blackmail competition. This hurts consumers and businesses all the same. It is my hope that the FCC will continue to uphold its net neutrality rules for the betterment of us all. Thank you.

1693. Brodie D. Grbcich, Gilbert, AZ, 85259

Please save net neutrality, you guys know its bullshit. Remember it affects you as well.

1694. Jarrod Kraemer, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259

Regarding net neutrality, I see no benefit to restricting the flow and distribution of information under any circumstance. Furthermore, I hypothesize that any and all who propose otherwise, would sacrifice the greater good in favor of their own self interests. Please protect the open internet rules.

1695. Nicholas Andrisevic, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254

Net neutrality is required to preserve the American idea of free speech. Failing to keep Net neutrality means that we are willing to surrender the most wide reaching, fastest developing, and useful form of communication ever developed by the human race to private interests. What is worse, is that these private interests (mainly big ISP companies, like COX, Comcast, etc.) have no desire to provide balanced and open access to information. They intend to funnel and drive their market value up, at any cost. This includes squashing any attempt to offer alternatives to their service. This is very similar to the internet situation in China. Except that in China, the government has almost total control and monitors all internet traffic and content. Removing Net Neutrality is taking one step closer to diminished information quality, quantity, and objectivity. America MUST keep Net Neutrality.

1696. Marc Del Donna, Scottsdale, AZ, 85266  
Keep Internet access fair for all!
1697. Adam, phoenix, AZ, 85027  
We have already been through this net neutrality issue before. I'm shocked to see it up for change so soon. Companies like Comcast/Cox, Centurylink/Quest already have a monopoly on Internet and TV. Allowing changes to net neutrality only helps them to promote their own businesses and companies who can afford pay into their scheme. Modern day racketeering!
1698. Jared Darby, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
must save net neutrality
1699. Michael Macnab, phoenix, AZ, 85022  
There is only one internet, and I should be allowed to access every single part of it.
1700. Vishaan Harypursat, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
KEEP NET NEUTRALITY! We should have the freedom to choose whatever site we want to see, without reduced speed and without paid prioritization!
1701. sam, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
It cost the Internet companies exactly 0\$ to provide more than the arbitrary limit of 1 terabyte of data they have set. A while ago sms texts used to be expensive so companies made different plans and now it's all unlimited. Why would we go from unlimited internet to worse, more expensive plans that nobody wants?
1702. jared kirk, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
I am in support of a strong net neutrality supported by regulations in title 2. Keep those regulations.
1703. Max Suckle, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
The internet is a free and open community which thrives on individuals who are able to create and express in an unadulterated format. This data exists, allowing ISPs to be the censors for their customers without the customers consent does not sound like advancement to our culture. Instead this creates a culture that we as Americans have been fighting against since the beginning. Knowledge should Not have gates or censors and when either of those are imposed by corporate interests or government, the World suffers.
1704. Alex Boyle, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Hello, I am writing to express my support of Title II Net Neutrality. As an American citizen, the freedom and openness of the internet supports many individuals to have free speech and creativity that sparks innovation. Ajit Pai does not know what is best for the American people. Alex
1705. Joseph Fuge, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Protect Net Neutrality! Please, do not let huge corporations control our access to the internet. The Internet has become not only the biggest source of entertainment in modern life but a necessity! Taking down this protection will cripple small businesses and startups and allow Internet Service Providers to blackmail companies so they can have an advantage in internet connection. DO NOT allow internet access and speeds to be controlled by greedy corporations!
1706. James Khalsa, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
We seriously have to go through this again? Look, literally nobody except the ISPs wants this. It's going to hurt so many people if net neutrality is stopped, and since the ISPs have a total monopoly over their field, there'd be nothing anyone could do about it. Don't be a fucking dick about this.
1707. Bill C, Scottsdale, AZ, 85281  
Stop trying to hide behind buzzwords like 'internet freedom', it's deceitful. You're supposed to protect average joe consumer, not attack him. If you really cared about freedom on the internet, you shouldn't allow providers the power to inhibit the art of free speech in any way! Which is the very HEART of the so-called "Restoring Internet Freedom" docket!!!
1708. Zachary Dennis, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I, Zachary Dennis, demand access to the entire internet. A truly free and open internet, devoid of throttling, blocking or slowing of my internet speeds. The internet should remain an open source for all people without paid prioritization by ISP providers. I do not wish to see what these companies want me to see. I want to be free to search uninhibited. There is only one internet and my speeds should never be slowed whether at home or on my mobile device.
1709. Michael Brennan, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
Net neutrality is as important to our growth as a society as clean drinking water. The needs of the many outweigh the needs of the few. The people standing to profit from the proposed changes should be put under a magnifying glass and

questioned about their practices and ethics extensively.

1710. Calvin Richard Wallerich, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Information should always be available to those who seek it.
1711. Stephan Musso, phoenix, AZ, 85085  
HOW IS THIS EVEN A QUESTION? WHY WOULD WE "FIX" SOMETHING THAT IS SO CLEARLY NOT BROKEN. THIS WILL MAKE THE INTERNET COMPLETELY UNUSABLE FOR EVERYONE ALL SO THE CABLE COMPANIES, WHO ARE STUCK IN THE 90'S, CAN MAKE MORE MONEY.
1712. Tommy Juhl , Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me and my family. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Tommy Juhl
1713. Our internet, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
The internet is a source knowledge for many students like myself and enables everyone to grow using all opportunities everywhere. a filtered, throttled or ISP controlled internet will only server to limit and further stunt the ability of the american country as a people to grow through initiative and curiosity. It would be a disservice to our freedom and rights to limit your ability to interact with the World Wide Web as a whole.
1714. Spencer Burger, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Just another instance of a business that should be government funded to avoid it trying to overstep its boundaries
1715. Zachary Duzan, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Regulations would be unnecessarily if there was significant competition between ISPs, but most hold an or near monopoly in many locations. This means there is not not a competitive market to keep the price low and create incentive to improve their networks.
1716. Brian Wood, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
We need net neutrality. If you mess this up, the whole internet turns into a big mess that will never be the same. Think of the people for once and not your wallet.
1717. Scott Foss, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
My name is Scott. I support the current state of the internet ("Net Neutrality") as it is because I use the internet for a plethora of actions. I do work online by seeking employment, comparing data from many different sites and even watching improvement videos on a variety of video sites (such as the obvious example Youtube.com) I also use the internet to play video games that are online only, and even communicate with my fiance and her family that live literally in Japan, half way around the world. I got the net service I got because it provides to me the speed and resources to do all this, I am not buying a package of websites or services, I am buying the speed and reliability needed to access the variety of sources and actions I require in my daily life. As it stands I have a very limited selection of internet, I think Comcast and Verizon Fios, and having such a limited selection would discriminate me against many of the sites I peruse at the whims of the ISP. So in other words, I don't buy "Comcast", I buy access to the internet. Changing how the net is from today to these ISP package deals would curtail my own services, plus the myriad start ups and companies that I have found and come to include in my daily life. Please, leave net neutrality as it is! DO NOT CHANGE ANYTHING! Thank you for your time.
1718. sam schmitt, phoenix, AZ, 85085  
Please keep net neutrality the way it is. Please do not get ride of Title 2. I like the Internet exactly the way it is right now,

there is nothing wrong with it.

1719. Bart Myszkowski, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
At its purest, net neutrality encourages free exchange of ideas, allowing the best to float to the top, unhindered by money-making schemes. Best ideas are not always the ones backed by the largest investor. Regulation that limits net neutrality places undue trust in the lap of said investor. It seems to be based on the assumption that the investor will always make the right choice, or will always place the the needs of the consumer/citizen/nation above his own. This level of trust flies in the face of the human nature, of which greed is a big part.
1720. andre bush, scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
ok i know your job, and i know that the fcc recieves a lot of crap, but this seems like a no brainer. comcast already has a terrible service record, and now yuou guys are trying to let have more control? come on please, dont ruin the internet for the U.S. i would rather not have to move.
1721. Nicole Bedy, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
Keep the internet open and free. I support net neutrality.
1722. Jeff gibbons, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Keep the Internet neutral. Do not let it fall under the control of big Ip and telecom companies..Jeff gibbons
1723. Victoria Marcum, Phoenix, AZ, 85021  
I don't want net neutrality to end
1724. Ethan Williams, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
The internet is a utility, and it is wrong to limit or block access to any site.
1725. Zane Ondovcik, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
The beauty of net neutrality comes from its inherent ability to encourage variety in both ideas and culture. Anyone, from anywhere, can create beautiful ideas or works of art that without net neutrality would be at the risk for suppression, and would never see the light of day. This creates a unique "internet culture" that without the freedom to grow, would never have existed. People from anywhere can also learn anything, and involve themselves in any issue of global significance. Threatening the freedom of what is placed or viewed on the visible net also threatens this as well. Similar to the ideas of Friedrich Hayek, keeping the market (or the internet) free will help it grow the best.
1726. peter casper, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Please do not allow large cable companies to interfere with the FCC open internet rules. This is an infringement upon 1st amendment rights and should not be tolerated.peter casper
1727. Kenon ronz, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
As a software engineer, it is an awesome idea to think that one, or a few, people can today change the course of humanity with the right internet - based idea.
1728. michael simpson, phoenix, AZ, 85028  
please keep the internet open to everyone. keep net neutrality, it is one of the most important issues currently.
1729. Allison Marshall, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Keep net neutrality. Protect freedom of speech, access to the web and no throttling! Do not sell out our protections to big business.
1730. lauren oliver, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Net neutrality. Title 2 please. ISPs to be common carriers. So all charges, practices will be just and lawful. That sounds good to me!
1731. Jason Stewart, phoenix, AZ, 85051  
Net Neutrality must be a government mandate, keeping the current regulations in place will help protect the free market and help growth. If Net Neutrality is repealed then ISPs like Verizon and others will have nothing to prevent them from throttling or outright blocking sites, apps, and more on the internet in order to strong arm more money from others.
1732. Rollin Verley, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Net neutrality's current protection under Title II are profoundly important and must not be rolled back. Net neutrality enables and empowers everyone to freely access content on the internet of their own choosing and not an ISP's via paid prioritization, throttling, or blocking websites. To change this status would be a direct assault on our freedom of speech and internet access for the most shallow of reasons, money. If you are not a ranking member at an ISP this WILL affect you negatively. Everyone will pay more for far less when you shouldn't have to.It is the FCC's job to act in the interest of the public, not private. The current efforts of the FCC and Chairman Ajit Pai on behalf of corporate interest put them in

complete dereliction of duty and are an embarrassment to the United States. I implore anyone reading this to express their concern and support for net neutrality and for anyone from the FCC to very, VERY seriously reconsider what they are attempting to do and want to be remembered for.

1733. Tatum Clarke, Phoenix, AZ, 85032

I understand that net neutrality is in jeopardy, and I would like to take a stand against that. Net neutrality is incredibly important. I don't want our country to become complacent to changes like these. If we don't have net neutrality, we are in jeopardy of losing a freedom that people have a right to have. Freedom of press and freedom of speech are incredibly important. People have a right to get information from the sources they choose and we have a right to access everything that is available to us as not only members of this nation but members of a global community.

1734. Donna Knight, Phoenix, AZ, 85029

I am opposed to eliminating Net Neutrality. I do not believe data from big businesses should be given preferential treatment and faster access to the internet over small businesses and individuals. It is your job to do what is best for the people of the United States, not what is best for big business. Americans citizens and even smaller businesses should not be treated like second class citizens when it comes to internet access by getting access to "slow lanes" while Larger companies have access to faster internet. Please keep net neutrality as we have now have.

1735. Donna Knight, Phoenix, AZ, 85029

I am opposed to eliminating Net Neutrality. I do not believe data from big businesses should be given preferential treatment and faster access to the internet over small businesses and individuals. It is your job to do what is best for the people of the United States, not what is best for big business. Americans citizens and even smaller businesses should not be treated like second class citizens when it comes to internet access by getting access to "slow lanes" while Larger companies have access to faster internet. Please keep net neutrality as it is currently.

1736. Bojan Tuco, Phoenix, AZ, 85050

The internet needs net neutrality, it is the nature of the internet to be our tool as people for knowledge how we want it without corruption or control.

1737. Kipp Johnson, scottsdale, AZ, 85266

By ending net neutrality you will be taking away a freedom that we have as american citizens to go to the websites we want without being controlled. I do not wish to live in a country where we have our rights taken away from us, people come to our country because of the freedom we possess. Big business in our government will eventually ruin our country by giving us away to the highest bidder. Do not end net neutrality.

1738. Sneha Ray, Phoenix, AZ, 85050

I specifically support Net neutrality and do not want any changes made.

1739. Andrew Lucas Shufeldt, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331

Net neutrality, is NECESSARY for a open and fair platform for commerce and growth in a digital age. Don't stifle this with petty/greedy corruption that you appear more and more inclined to every day.

1740. Cole Parker, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331

America depends on net neutrality for creating an informed vote for all citizens in America. No net neutrality means voter suppression. Voter suppression is illegal. You do the math.

1741. DANIELLE VIGLIOTTI, PHOENIX, AZ, 85053

Keep net neutrality the way it is.No ISP or the FCC has the right to control or dictate what I view online. Choosing which web sites pop up or slowing down or stopping ones you don't own or like isn't right. It would be the same as taking away our freedom of speech, which is a right we all have as American citizens. Take that away and we're no different than the radicals that we have to fight on a daily basis. The next thing it'll be like living in the middle east with no internet, television or newspapers. Maybe we'll get leaflets with only the view of our government. That isn't right and that isn't the American way. We have choices, please don't take my choices or my 15 year old sons choices away. Leave our internet as is. I'm 41 years old, I've earned the right to view what I want.I don't need a parental control.I respectfully ask to you all to keep the internet alone and my rights to choose intact. Thank you

1742. Weston Frederic Mate, Phoenix, AZ, 85051

If you have even a shred of dignity remaining in the entire FCC, you won't let ISP's control what we can and can't see on the internet. Keep the Net Neutral.

1743. kevin wooten, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251

Net neutrality is important to a free and fair internet for all companies and users big and small... keep it that way

1744. Spenser Hughes, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are vital to my quality of life and business. I urge you to protect

them. I do not want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let the major ISPs censor what Americans see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this because he is either stupid, or, more likely, cares more about ISPs and money than he does protecting the American people. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. Thus, I am also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Spenser Hughes

1745. Ravil Biktagirov, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Internet should be kept free from service provider control, their job is to only provide service, not control what we see and how we experience the internet.
1746. Josiah Christy, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Net neutrality is something that we should hold dear. The internet is a platform of free speech and free expression as well as a form of communication and business of many kinds. To not enforce the fact that the internet should be free to use as we please would be a violation of free speech and human rights and freedoms as we know it. To limit the sites we can visit based on cost and usage would be a huge breach of our freedoms and what we hold dear as Americans. Please do not let this happen and listen to the voices of the people crying out against this breach of our freedoms, Thank you!  
Sincerely, Josiah Christy
1747. Vathana Song, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! Republicans are greedy enough. Stop lobbying..
1748. Spencer Clark, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Net neutrality is my entire livelihood, my quality of life would severely degrade, probably to a point of depression with anxiety. Please protect net neutrality.
1749. Alex Foster, Glendale, AZ, 85308  
Keeping the freedom to use the internet since we pay to use it.
1750. Ericka Everleth, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
I support net neutrality and therefore highly oppose any changes in regulation that would allow internet service providers to restrict and/or limit internet access.
1751. David Pena, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Please leave internet regulations the way they are I like having an open and competitive internet experience. For once in your life take pride in what you are best at Washington D.C. and don't do anything like you always do.
1752. Casey Barnum, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
please dont ruin net neutrality. Casey Barnum
1753. Barry Mahendra, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
The internet should remain free and open. We pay the ISP for their ability to connect us to each other, not to company provided content with agendas.
1754. Irvin Cruz, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
We the people want net neutrality. It is important to us and maybe if enough of us speak out you'll listen. Preserve Net neutrality and Title II.
1755. Brandon Rohloff, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
I oppose the ending of net neutrality. The world is shifting, the people are shifting, and you know you feel it. Breathe

deep and all yourself: "Which side of the line do I want to be on? If you hear my voice, join me. Brandon Rohloff

1756. Cody, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Net Neutrality is important to me and I want to see it preserved.
1757. Jonathan , Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
Down with the FCC.. I don't care what you think.. stop your bullshit and step aside.. We don't want you here any longer. You have over stayed your welcome. Please respectfully give the fuck up! Sincerely,Jonathan CJonathan
1758. Carter olson , Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
This is some garbage right here. Let us live our lives and do what we want on the internet. Thank youCarter olson
1759. JeanRenÃ© Broe, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I am an artist showcasing his artwork on many websites. It is important that my work get to as many people as possible, so that I am able to sell products related to my work. Without net neutrality, it is very possible that my work will not reach certain crucial groups of people who enjoy my work and support it financially. For example, I showcase my work on a Japanese art website called Pixiv. Many fans of art like mine come here, and it tends to receive more attention than it would on, say, DeviantArt (a much more general art site). If DeviantArt were allowed to pay extra to receive preferential treatment over Pixiv (or any other art site, based in the US or abroad), my work would likely not reach as many of the people who would be interested in it. As a result of this, the amount of people supporting my work financially would diminish. My ability to support myself as a working artist depends on Net Neutrality, so please don't get rid of it.
1760. Ian VanZandt, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
Having an open Internet is essential for the sharing of information, the communication of ideas, and the free market. To limit/impose upon that by removing Net Neutrality is a violation of the First Amendment rights to free speech and the press, and a violation of anti-trust laws by supporting monopolization.This was not acceptable in 2014, and it is not acceptable now! Do not remove Net Neutrality!
1761. Logan Von Feldt, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
The internet should be kept free, ISP's should not be able to demand money for fast lanes and such, only greedy cooperation and corrupt politicians actually want this to pass so they can make more money. Save net neutrality and make it much harder for ISP's to screw us over.
1762. Rebecca, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
WTF are you thinking. Rebecca
1763. Griffon Bell, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
The "Restoring Internet Freedom" bill is an outright lie to everyone except bought-out politicians and ruling corporations. I STRONGLY support an open and free internet and ask any and all of you to uphold net neutrality for a brighter, and positive future. An open internet is a limitless birthing ground for ideas and marketplaces that is not to be destroyed. I urge all of you to keep Title II classification for all of us.
1764. John Marcus, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Keep the internet free and uncensored.
1765. Ryan Geltmaker, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please keep net neutrality. Allowing ISP's to control website usage and slow speeds for other companies is wrong.
1766. Leo Sherman, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Net neutrality is one of the fundamental bearers of free speech in the 21st century. These companies already make money hand over fist by overcharging their customers for subpar services, many of whom don't have a choice in which provider to sign with as while it may not be a monopoly it is obvious to anyone that they work together to set up prices. To allow such conglomerates free reign over what is essentially the last true bastion of free speech would be tantamount to destroying any sanctity the first amendment has in this country. Leo Sherman
1767. Tyler P., Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
The internet needs to remain a free and open marketplace that should not be controlled or regulated by our ISP's who've already have been screwing us over speed and price wise for a long time. How much more money do they need?
1768. Creighton Goodwin, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Allowing the ISP's to control and throttle internet access is directly contrary to the American ideal. You're allowing corporations to restrict competition which in the end puts everyone but the ISP's at a detriment. Online companies will be forced to pay exorbitant amounts to these mega corporations, and the ISP's will simply funnel that money to their stock holders as dividends instead of using to improve their product.

1769. Phillip Freye, phoenix, AZ, 85053  
Keep Net neutrality!!!! Internet belongs to everyone and shouldn't be limited!
1770. Kirk Iserman, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Keep the internet open and accessible to all! Everybody deserves the right to access whatever websites they want to without discrimination from the ISPs. Do not roll back net neutrality regulations. They have not negatively affected ISPs at all since their implementation, and have only benefitted all users of the internet.
1771. Aurora Jones, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
The abolition of Net Neutrality would be devastating to small startups, as it would allow ISPs to favor big-name websites. It would also let ISPs abuse their power-- they could jack up their service prices or invade their users' privacy without any sort of repercussions.
1772. Alyssa, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
As it turns out, freedom of the internet is really nice.
1773. Jim Hesterman, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
This is a slippery slope. We aren't N Korea. Get your heads out of your asses and stop trying to kill net neutrality. DO NOT CENSOR the internet. I repeat... do NOT CENSOR what people are able to view on the internet. Do not charge more for preferred content. Thank you.
1774. Justin Keene, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
The internet is easily the most important tool that we as a species has created in the past century. It has advanced every aspect of our lives and has made an impact so profound that it is hard to even quantify. Regardless of whatever political views you may have, an open and free internet is so important and fundamental to our success as a species. It brings everyone together, for better or worse, and creates an environment filled with entertainment, information, entrepreneurship, and so much more. The internet makes small business possible in a world of big corporations and that in itself breeds competition. I urge the FCC to reevaluate their priorities and perhaps look at the very real consequences of taking down net neutrality.
1775. Ethan Feilbach , Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
This is against the constitution and should not be passed in any fashion. Ethan Feilbach
1776. Jacob Hughes, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
Net Neutrality is extremely important to me, and I would hate to see anything that would compromise it. The internet should be free from government intervention, and should be left as a neutral marketplace. Net Neutrality has allowed the internet to become a thriving medium of exchange and entertainment for millions of Americans every day. Please do not compromise the legitimacy and ideas of Net Neutrality.
1777. William Lee, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Censorship of the internet is against our constitutional rights. Our news stations already are lying left and right to the american people. Taking away the internet is just one more step toward 1984.
1778. Demos Fotias, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
It is my belief that the internet is more than just a source of entertainment, but also a source of information, if not the public's primary source of information. By restricting internet speeds and access to content to top tier companies and their discretion you'd be restricting the flow of information. It is for this reason that I believe that Net Neutrality should be staunchly protected.
1779. Leah Wood, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Listen dudes I'm gonna be straight up; we gotta keep Net Neutrality. The internet's super chill and I wanna keep it that way. So don't be dicks, keep Net Neutrality so we can keep working on more important things like the climate and shit. Peace Æi, Leah Wood
1780. Marissa Moulton, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Please block companies from promoting and gaining "Net Neutrality". Such a move will kill all incentives for small businesses and kickstarted groups for expansion and cause a bigger dark net to come about. Like other media forms before it, the Internet will wither and die as the things the common people want are throttled (literally) by those who would want to feed us what best benefits them. Allowing the free flow of the Internet to continue is essential in allowing America to compete, grow, and outpace the world in the future to come. A whole generation sees and has experienced this form of hope to break into something they enjoy doing and chosing the videos and music they get to watch and listen to. Removing this from them will cause a greater rift between the common people and the government/corporations. Don't fuel this fire. As a nerd who has been here since hosting had to be done by personal devices, please trust me when I say this move will be devastating. Vote No for any form of Net Neutrality or forms of

corporation control or profit. Thank you for your time and consideration. Marissa Moulton

1781. Simon Roberts, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Do not undermine net neutrality. You wanky bastards.
1782. Chelsea M. , Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Dear FCC and Congress, My name is Chelsea and I am 15 years old. My age means that I was born when the internet and smartphones and tech in general was truly picking up steam. The internet is a great place for me to get ideas to write songs and poems and to also share those things with others to possibly inspire them to. However, ending Net Neutrality will make it so much harder for me and for everyone else to do the things they like to do. It's unfair and it cannot happen. There's no need to fix something that isn't broken in the first place. Thank you for reading this and considering my points. Chelz23 (YouTube) Chelsea M.
1783. Eric Wilson, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
We need to have an open and free internet for everyone. The common consumer to be ripped off by the big companies just because it's better for them. Think about the little guys. Think about the people who spend hundreds of dollars a year to just have decent internet. Stop thinking about money for once and think for yourselves because this is going to hurt everyone, including the people that work for the FCC. I hope we can not pass this new law that will restrict the internet for everyone. Please, do not pass this law to get rid of net neutrality. Thank you for your time. Eric Wilson
1784. Caryn Morgan, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
please support net neutrality. small business owners and artisans will be negatively impacted. small businesses and firms will not be able to compete with large, established businesses. consumers need to be able to access the same internet regardless of how much they pay. ISPs should not control what their customers see or access by erecting paywalls to demand access to things like skype and facebook, which enable friends and family to communicate across long distances.
1785. Duncan Riley, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
Abolishing net neutrality would be the first in a series of steps that I believe would establish a 1984 esque internet. While there's no way George Orwell could've predicted the internet as a whole, he could very well predict that those with the power to censor others would use it to its full potential. Don't prove him right
1786. Daniel Martorana, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
The internet needs to stay open, we should not regulate this like we do our phone service!!!
1787. Bonnie Held, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
This is a formal request to uphold net neutrality, a hallmark of equal access to knowledge.
1788. Beckham Vogel, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I pay enough money for this stuff, keep it out. Beckham Vogel
1789. James Squire, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I do not want my internet service provider to choose what websites and games I am able to access. We already pay for the internet why should we have to pay more to do what we want with it.
1790. Luciano Melendrez Barcelo, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I'm in favor of preserving our current legislation over Net Neutrality. Internet should be free without restrictions, borders or favoritism. An upgrade or better terms of it can be welcome, but not a rule out for something that will eventually lead parties to abuse of the lack of neutrality
1791. Ryan Matthew Johnson, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
Hi, my name is Ryan as you can probably tell. I am a young musician, artist, and video editor. You may be wondering why I'm all of those things and the reason why is that I want my music to be very creative and have excellent videos to go along with them, but since I do not have the money to pay others to help make these kinds of things, I learned how to do it all by myself. Thanks to net-neutrality, I have had the resources to learn these things on the internet for free. I'm a minor, so I rely on my parents to pay for my internet, but they wouldn't be able to afford the costs of internet without net-neutrality. Although I have already learned what I needed, I want to support others who didn't have resources like me. I plead that no one has to suffer harsh creative censorship as long as what they're creating is legal. I'm autistic and what I've learned is amazing, so I can't imagine how someone with their full focus can do. Thank you for your time! Sincerely, Ryan Johnson Ryan Matthew Johnson
1792. Michael Antwan, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I strongly oppose with any legislation, FCC proceeding, Executive Order, or Administrative process that means to compromise Net Neutrality in any way. Free and open access to the Internet is vital to every day life in the 21st Century, and compromising that on behalf of Internet Service Provider's bottom line is morally and economically cruel. I will

continue to voice my disagreement with this, and any policy which undermines Net Neutrality by voting against any representatives or individuals running for public office that supports killing Net Neutrality. I consider this a high priority issue, and will not support any administration, organization, or political party that would even consider making Internet access anything less than a public utility.

1793. Micah Schwartz, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
I'd like to keep my freedoms of democracy and would like to see small companies thrive rather than the monopolies which will be created.
1794. Cameron Marsaglia, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Net neutrality is a needed part of the internet. Who cares if only some few groups like certain websites, it's their right to be able to view them and do as they wish on the internet.
1795. Chaz Fertal, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I am a registered and active voter. The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are very important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Chaz Fertal Chaz Fertal
1796. Braden Sparks, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
No one should have power to dictate exactly how, when, or why people use the internet. Keep big corporations from taking control of the internet.
1797. Kathe A, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Net neutrality is critical for our First Amendment rights. Please do not take any action that will directly or indirectly empower corporations or special interests to control the speed or flow of information on the internet.
1798. Connie Roberts, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Please protect net neutrality because it protects my small business opportunities.
1799. Victor Vega, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
As an entrepreneur that is just starting up, I rely on access to free trade through the use of the internet. The success of our small businesses and surrounding communities that support them are at risk without net neutrality.
1800. Steven P. Rust, PHOENIX, AZ, 85022  
Please maintain net neutrality so EVERYONE has an equal voice.
1801. Vlad Malkin, Scottsdale, AZ, 85262  
The FCC is there to serve the interests of American people, small and medium size businesses, not just special interests of large corporations. Keep the Internet open for all.
1802. Clesson Hill, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Net neutrality must be protected. Consumers deserve choice and transparency. I want to see what an internet where innovators can bring big new ideas to life with ease. Just say NO to big companies and their huge profits telling main street what they can do on the internet.
1803. Matthew, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Net neutrality protects the very spirit of free enterprise and expression between people. Don't let private companies throttle this freedom for financial gain; it is the most un-American thing I can think of.

1804. Don Hammer, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
I insist that we maintain net neutrality.
1805. Alexander Natividad, Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
This wouldn't be an issue if all the American people came together to pool their money and out bribe the companies that want net neutrality gone. That's what it takes these days to get politicians moving on legislation, large sums of money. Let the bribes begin.
1806. Bob Hitchniak, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Net neutrality is what makes the internet great. It would ruin everything about it.
1807. Cori, phoenix, AZ, 85020  
Net Neutrality is fundamental to free speech. By taking it away they want to limit what information is given to the public
1808. James Williams, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Leave Net Neutrality Alone!
1809. Nic Prappas, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Net neutrality must be protected just like other rights. The ability to obtain information should not be taken away from us, nor should it be managed by someone else for their own profit.
1810. Michael Licavoli, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
FCC members, Please take a moment to consider the unprecedented level of international communication that's taken place do to net neutrality. Every step big business takes against it is another aggressive and greed driven hurdle on any form of competition here in the United States and abroad. This directly affects entrepreneurs and artists like myself who make use of the endless tools provided by the various outlets available on the web. Please don't let the the speeds and access we've come to utilize be manipulated and dictated by those who would see growth and competition suffer so that they themselves don't have to grow and adapt to an ever changing world. Thank you
1811. Douglas Smith, Scottsdale , AZ, 85251  
Please understand. Keeping the net neutral is for basic human rights. It needs to be available to all. Especially when it saves lives by exposing major human rights issues in countries around the world!
1812. SJA, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
As a small business owner, that does all his business online, without net neutrality my business is done, and that's not just me!
1813. Edward Grimaudo, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II regulation of ISPs. It took years to get this; I beg of you--don't take it away.
1814. Brittany Wilkerson, Glendale, AZ, 85308  
I work for a small company that is able to compete, succeed and thrive in a space already dominated by large players. Without a free and open internet, we would quickly be smothered by the established competition before we had a chance to take root in the market. I STRONGLY SUPPORT Title II regulation of the internet.
1815. Wedad Alostaz, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
You are all doing a great job!
1816. fermin ornelas, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Net neutrality is important so that everyone can have access to it.
1817. Liam O'Neill, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Net Neutrality keeps big companies from monopolizing the internet over others, there are people how make entertainment, programs, art, you name it, someone is most likely making money off of it. And if you get rid of these laws in place, it the equality of everything created on the internet, and more control to people who both might do good, and who might do bad. Keeping neutral laws about all content on the internet is good, because it protects the little guys and big people making things on the web. Thank you for reading this, please consider my thoughts.
1818. Hillary Davis, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
An open internet helps me keep in touch with my friends far away, and allows us to collaborate in real-time when we need it. Don't slow us down or cut us off just because the large telecom companies want to hold fast connectivity hostage.
1819. David Earl, Phoenix, AZ, 85022

This is Free Speech territory so liberty or death time!

1820. Alain Black, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
Removing net neutrality does not help the American people, it only helps network providers determine what we can view and how fast. Removing this legislation only incentivizes them to respond to companies offering them money to change the performance of certain sites.
1821. Aurora Oberloh, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Corporate interests wedded to political influence have gone too far seemingly based on greed for more power and wealth. Access to information on a LEVEL PLAYING FIELD should be everyone's right in order to help keep DEMOCRACY alive for ALL this country's citizens.
1822. Ron Coulter, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
The only thing that wins without net neutrality is long established corporations. This is not only bad for new competition, but bad for every single consumer. If anti-net neutrality policy only negatively impacts the free market and private citizens, what benefit is it to enable it? The only just and right thing to do is allow the internet to be free from corporate interest. We must allow new competition in existing and emerging markets. The industries involved with passing these rules are known for their anti-consumer practices and this is no different. Access to free, unbiased information is a right. No one should be able to take that away.
1823. David Dickinson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I highly value the freedom of traffic on the Internet. Please keep it that way. Thank you
1824. jacob, phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started. Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech.
1825. jared, phoenix, AZ, 85032  
We the people want net neutrality. Leave it alone. Freedom for everyone.
1826. Tom Pennell, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
The internet was designed to be available to the world without restriction and it should stay that way.
1827. Tonya Vanover , Phoenix , AZ, 85053  
Leave it alone. Don't let corporations have the ability to monitor, control content, price gouge and deny access with fees. Its not broke, dont fix it. Don't put a price on this or allow lobbyists and governing boards deny the public. We already pay to access it.
1828. Zachary Wilmer, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Without free internet, being able to share stories with those who need them the most becomes a lot harder, and those lives could remain untouched.
1829. Stephen Sample, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
Please do not gut consumer protections for the internet. I strongly support net neutrality!
1830. Andrew Washut, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
You think fake news is a problem now, wait until those who control our internet experience get a hold of our elections.
1831. BRIAN SKOREY, PHOENIX, AZ, 85050  
I have been using the web since 1989 and expect my grandchildren to have the same opportunities that I have enjoyed. Keeping the net open for all is crucial for continuing growth and education of future generations and this country.
1832. Sam Bennett, Phoenix, AZ, 85054  
Net neutrality is central to sparking innovation that will drive America forward. Our great country is more important than deciding which corporation gets to hold a key. Thanks
1833. Adam Thompson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Ending net neutrality is damaging to the Freedom of Speech of American Citizens.
1834. Brian Morton, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Without net neutrality, creators, entrepreneur, dream seekers, artists, independents, and everything you wish you could have become (because you stopped chasing a dream and succumbed to defeat) will struggle to reach new users, audience members, and the future of our world (Our Children). Investment in new ideas would dry up and only the big "blood sucking" companies would survive, stifling innovation. The World economy WILL falter, fail, and finally create riots throughout the world, not just the USA. The Internet belongs to everyone. This is my voice, this is free speech for the

Net.And to finish this comment off I will use an altered quote from "Ferris Buellers's Day Off"... "Cameron (The FCC) is so tight that if you stuck a lump of coal up his @\$ (your @\$) in two weeks you'd have a diamond."

1835. jack valimont, scottsdale, AZ, 85262  
Net neutrality is an attempt at government control and enforced equality that makes little sense in the digital world. Enforcing net neutrality allows the government to pick winners and losers even if it sounds like it is just leveling the playing field. It blocks potential innovation that might emerge if given the option of seeking differential access to bandwidth.
1836. Nicolas Cordero, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Please protect net neutrality to keep the free market economy stable and allow startups to thrive. The cost imposed on consumers and small business by removing net neutrality will far outweigh the benefits for big telecom companies.
1837. GORDON LOUCKS, PHOENIX, AZ, 85085  
A fundamental tenet of free choice entails lack of censorship. Without net neutrality consumers will have, in essence, a censorship of certain 'products', including those businesses still in their infancy, those at the cutting edge of innovation, and those who are competing against entities with more funds to pay for wider bandwidth. When competing against large players, one would expect to be up against more media buys and well-funded ad campaigns ... but doing away with net neutrality is not a competitive model; it is instead a model of monopoly and oligopoly.Please retain net neutrality.
1838. Laurie Gasik, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
With net neutrality, democracy can thrive; without it, it will wither. Go Freedom!
1839. Maria Parrish, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
I pay dearly for my Internet service. Because I pay for the service I feel I should have control over the sites I visit and content that I am paying to view.
1840. gary buchik, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
The internet needs to stay neutral. It is a first amendment issue BTW I vote
1841. Roni Baker, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please keep the internet open to all of us. It is part of my freedom of speech. I use the internet all day long, and if my provider tiered my supply, it would be a HUGE disadvantage for this little gal to do business.
1842. Daniel H Young, Glendale, AZ, 85308  
The government needs to stay out of our business. The desire for government bureaucrats to control everything we do is out of hand and must stop. Get out of our lives and let us live free!
1843. Amelia Zlamal, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
I believe the NET is already not a level playing field for my small business. Any protection you can give my business through net neutrality is truly needed. You represent me, please remember that.
1844. Aleasha Oconnor, Phoenix , AZ, 85032  
Please leave net neutrality alone
1845. Dana Kemp, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
The entire nation is watching the FCC on this. Liberals to Conservative... and the massive numbers of folks just like me who are somewhere in between. The smoke and mirror show by the present FCC has all of us alarmed. Careful! Everyone can't be wrong.
1846. Adam Harvey, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
The internet is no longer a commodity; it is a public resource, and externalities affecting it cannot be ignored.
1847. Nicholas Ward, Scottsdale, AZ, 85266  
I am a member of my highschool Student Government Association and was the President of the National Junior Honor Society at my school. I care a lot about my rights as an American and to make a change in my community. However, I do not think it is a good change to get rid of net neutrality. Having the open internet is very important to me, and I believe it allows me to be who I am. Although some people would differ that some sites are dangerous, some important sites could be answered as well. Ending net neutrality restrains my freedoms. And, out of the frying pan and into the fire, I'm supposed to pay more for changes that I don't want? I believe that this is not fair in any way and should not be done. Please do not follow through with ending net neutrality, as it is very important to me and other people I know.Nicholas Ward
1848. Casey Reid, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
The internet is open and free; it is crucial to our future innovation to keep it free an open. We can't let Verizon decide not

to be able to view AT&T's website, etc. Please do not move forward with killing Net Neutrality.

1849. Kiersten drosos, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Please. For our generation and the future, give us freedom of expression, freedom of choice, and freedom of creativity. Do not let companies dictate what we watch or create. Support net neutrality Kiersten drosos
1850. Jon Comeau, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I strongly oppose Chairman Pais proposal to reverse net neutrality protections because a free and open internet is vital for our democracy, for our businesses, and for our daily lives. Ending net neutrality would give giant telecom companies the power to prioritize what we read, watch, and explore online. I won't stand for it. It's about my right to be heard and my right to hear others. I submit my public comment to oppose Chairman Pais proposal to reverse net neutrality protections.
1851. Christian Gregory Sweeney, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
The internet is a sacred place. Don't ruin it for posterity. Protect Net Neutrality. Christian Gregory Sweeney
1852. Sam Sosman, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
look here buster if you don't fix my internet real good you're grounded Sam Sosman
1853. Bryan Lucas, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I personally think that our net neutrality must stay in place. It would disturb the peace in our country. And many will be upset. I personally would hate to have a company control what I can use. And there's many others who do too. Very likely too there will be some who will not take kindly to this, and will even resort to Cyber Violence if Net Neutrality is erased. Please keep our Net Neutrality. Thank you. Bryan Lucas
1854. Matthew M, Scottsdale, AZ, 85266  
Internet Service Providers need to stay under Title 2, Net Neutrality must stay. The Government is to help the People not to help Businesses make a Profit. Control the Internet Service Providers and make sure that all Internet is treated equally. I can't believe we have to go through this another time. The Entire American Government is so fucked up.
1855. Donald Randolph, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
I am writing on the issue of Internet neutrality and state, for the record, that Internet rules apply to everyone (businesses, home users, organizations, etc.) and must be viewed that way. We must not degrade or potentially ruin what billions of people have become accustomed to and enjoy utilizing daily. What we do today will affect those born after we are long gone. Do not let our legacy be one of mismanagement. In short, we must keep Net Neutrality. It has worked and will work. Do not alter the structure and destroy what has been built over many years of working together. Thank you.
1856. Kendall, phoenix, AZ, 85024  
The internet needs net neutrality. The people have come together again and again to express their support for net neutrality to their elected officials. Yet somehow laws that threaten a free and open internet continue to sneak their way into existence. This is not okay.
1857. Fernando C., Phoenix, AZ, 85051  
"We the People of the United States, in Order to form a more perfect Union, establish Justice, insure domestic Tranquility, provide for the common defense, promote the general Welfare..." Need I go more? As you can tell it is the task of the government to insure domestic tranquility and promote general welfare, is it currently doing so? --to an extent, yes; however, this could all change with a simple ruling regarding FCC regulations. I will not banter on the horrendous consequences that would happen if these changes occur (as you can easily find that from the plethora of comments on this site), rather I would inform you why you would be violating the United States Constitution (or at least infringing on it) if you pass these new regulations. As I have mentioned, it is the task of the government to maintain "domestic tranquility" and it will not be able to maintain that with these new regulations, since the internet will become a potpourri of corruption, since the cable companies will be able to do as they please unchecked. It is the task of the government to listen to as the "will of the people" wants, not corporate interests (let's not recreate the Gilded Age), I urge you to make the right decision and let the current FCC regulations stand--maintain "net neutrality"--I ask this of you not just for my sake, but for the sake of all the citizens in the United States of America.
1858. Chance Sweeney, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
Stop trying to make the internet a safe place. I understand we are in the online generation of kids but that's not your job. Parents can easily regulate that from their fucking router. Don't take the responsibility for shitty parents. It's just going to ruin the internet for everyone else. Youtube has already fucked most of their content creators for having mature content. Like wtf.
1859. Sally Harvey, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Keep the internet free of corporate influence.,

1860. Mark Hayduke Grenard, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Keep a free and open internet. Don't allow ISP's to control the speeds of the net or it's content.
1861. Kelly O'Brien, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I'm still concerned about ISPs discriminating against websites while favoring others, essentially controlling what is accessible to users. Same deal with creating 'fast lanes' for some while other sites are slowed. This is not the internet as it was raised to be. It is meant to be available to all equally. That's what we've had, that's what we expect, and a few shouldn't be allowed to dictate to the rest.
1862. Danielle Cooper, Glendale, AZ, 85308  
The FCC needs to stand up for Internet users like me and keep the net neutrality rules that are already in effect. I'm concerned about ISPs being allowed to discriminate against certain types of data or websites because ISPs could have too much power to determine what I can do online. That's not the kind of Internet we want to pass on to future generations of technology users. Please keep the current open internet rules in place. Everyone deserves access to all information regardless of income!
1863. Katie Wisener Santana, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
Keep our Net Neutrality! Stop restricting access to information and media!
1864. Susan Benton, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
It is essential that net neutrality remain intact. Anything other than 100% net neutrality is censorship, which strikes at the heart of a free and open society. That's why this is so important.
1865. Caroline McShane, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Please save net neutrality. This belongs to the People not companies and big businesses that already have money and business.
1866. Lezlie Cox, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
Net neutrality is essential to the functioning of a democracy. Censoring of the internet effects everyone who uses it, your friends as well as your enemies. The unintended consequences could be greater than you imagine. Be careful what you wish for.
1867. Linda Pope, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
The FCC needs to stand up for Internet users like me and keep the net neutrality rules that are already in effect. I'm concerned about ISPs being allowed to discriminate against certain types of data or websites because ISPs could have too much power to determine what I can do online. That's not the kind of Internet we want to pass on to future generations of technology users. Please keep the current open internet rules in place. Thank you for your consideration.
1868. Keenan Murray, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Please maintain net neutrality! It's a slipper slope.
1869. Jeff Stanley, carefree, AZ, 85377  
I strongly oppose the proposal to reverse net neutrality protections. It gives internet companies the power to prioritize what we read, watch, and explore. It will also destroy the opportunity for start ups. I won't stand for it. It's about my right to be heard and my right to hear others. I submit my public comment to oppose any proposal to reverse net neutrality protections.
1870. Shannon Shaneyfelt, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
Title II regulation is burdensome and unnecessary. It limits competition, and hurts innovation and investment. Which ultimately costs the consumer money and denies them services. I myself have limited internet options that are more expensive than they would be with competition in my area. Therefore, I support FCC Chairman Ajit Pai's roll back of Obama's Internet takeover.
1871. Warren Weaver, Phoenix, AZ, 85021  
everything socialist touch turns into a costly mess. The government is not needed, the government is not wanted regulating the Internet. Obama's Internet takeover should be repealed.
1872. Bradley Nixon, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Please do not change the current Net Neutrality rules. The proposed changes in no way benefit the consumer. They do benefit the Internet Service Providers, which in a free market do not require government regulation, and certainly not when that regulation runs contrary to free markets - and the best interests of the public.
1873. C S Harding, cave creek, AZ, 85331  
Please keep the internet neutral. You made the right call last time this was up, do not change it. There is too much directed marketing on the internet, as-is, trying to direct my content and affect what I can see and do. Making it

something that is actually encouraged, for profit, by companies would be bad for the free market, but also dangerous to users like me that need to be able to access smaller websites, like independent news outlets, and they are lost in the search because my provider is shuttling larger sites to me, that is not a good outcome for fact-finding, either.

1874. Benjamin Cohen, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
I am a kid and although I do not really care about politics I do care about the future I grow up in. One huge part of my future is the internet. Selling the data that I use has no benefits for the average American. I do not know why you guys hate net neutrality. I recommend giving net neutrality a second look as net neutrality helps digital growth, businesses, and our country's reputation as being a free country. By making digital freedom a priority you guys help your public image and make 90% of the population happy. I hope you listen to the american people and not comcast and verizon  
Benjamin Cohen
1875. John Wyman, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Title II regulation is burdensome and unnecessary. Like most overreach. It limits competition, and hurts innovation and investment. Therefore, I support FCC Chairman Ajit Pai's roll back of Obama's Internet takeover.
1876. Joshua Castro, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Without net neutrality, OUR spirit online will be forever broken.
1877. Stacey Elsasser, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please keep net neutrality so that democracy is not lost.
1878. Virginia Salay, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Net Neutrality is essential for Free Speech and independent thought. You wouldn't assign the Bill of Rights to a corporation for them to dictate who gets which right - would you?
1879. Wendy Whitman, Scottsdale, AZ, 85262  
We must preserve the ability for everyone to access ALL information, not just what someone or company desires us to see.
1880. Charles Jewett Kurtz, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I believe that an abandonment of net neutrality is a huge mistake and a gift to donors. Don't neutralize the people.
1881. James Murr, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Please protect net Neutrality. Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Without net neutrality, big companies could censor people and their perspectives online. Net neutrality has been called the "First Amendment of the Internet." Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started.
1882. Glenn Swain, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Keep the Internet free! And so it is.
1883. Greg Reynolds, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please leave the internet alone! It's doing just fine without big cable and phone companies!
1884. Nicholas F., Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Don't you fucking dare end net neutrality
1885. Hayley, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Without NEUTRAL internet, I cannot continue to start up my small business selling homemade goods. I would lose contact with almost all friends, and would not be able to get in touch with distant family. Keep the net free!
1886. Greg, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
If we are to remain free, we must be able to communicate freely with out bias or pressure from politics, right or left.
1887. M Zunk, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE! NET NEUTRALITY MUST BE PROTECTED BECAUSE...Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech. Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started. Net neutrality allows consumers "not big companies" to choose what they watch & do online.
1888. Rudi Chavez, Scottsdale, AZ, 85256  
Do Not mess with the Internet...if it ain't broke, don't fix it!
1889. Ernie Shelton, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Net Neutrality is freedom... freedom of speech. The loss of Net Neutrality will set-back global populations many, many decades.

1890. Michelle Perryman, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
We must keep net neutrality protected. We are Americans and we have a right to express ourselves and to use the net to build business.
1891. Anne Pogue, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Please do not regulate away internet Neutrality. If the Oligarchs take control of the internet our society will be doomed, stifling new advances in technologies and eliminating a great frontier of entrepreneurship and education (hope) for the masses.
1892. Diana C, phoenix, AZ, 85027  
It's not enough that we pay way too much to these providers already but you honestly think of taking away net neutrality? Think real hard guys. If the net isn't there freely then those bored people have the potential to go out and commit crimes. Why? Because their distraction was censored. Let's not pretend you don't already know that.
1893. Lars, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
There's no real reason to restrict freedoms on the internet aside from greed.
1894. Demigliore@earthlink.net, Phoenix, AZ, 85308  
The U.S. was founded on free speech. Don't let our legacy of civil liberty and commons for all.
1895. Erika Jahneke, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
I have a disability and the internet provides me one open playing field.
1896. FM Kindel, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Entrepreneurship is the only remnant of the American Dream. Don't destroy the American Dream. NET NEUTRALITY.
1897. Thomas Jensen, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
Please do not change net neutrality. The people in this country do not need big business or government playing games with them when it comes to internet access or priority in line. These changes are not being proposed to benefit the internet user, just the internet service provider who really could care less about the customer.
1898. Gretchen Miller, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I want to be able to be confident that I can go to any site I feel like visiting without anybody interfering with my ability to see the site's content exactly as the site intended, my ability to post to a site exactly as the site intended, and my ability to get all sites at the highest possible speeds without the need to pay additional premiums to get them.
1899. Shaun Jensen, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
ISPs in North America are already too few and far between. In most cities, there is only one (maybe two) option for a decent internet connection. The thought that this monopoly (duopoly) could be put in a position to influence the content we have access to scares me to no end. The internet was supposed to be the great equalizer. but without net neutrality we might as well go back to the days of AOL -- sure there were some cool things about it, but compared to the free and open Internet, it was limiting, it was stifling and it couldn't grow. We've barely tapped the potential of the Internet, and by compromising net neutrality, we'd be dooming the Internet to the same fate as AOL. If any thing we need more competition among ISP's. NOT LESS! Don't let this happen on your watch. Don't be the ones responsible for breaking the single greatest transformative technology our generation has seen just to further line the pockets the already wealthy cable giants. They're smart... they'll find another way to make more money. But once this genie is out of the bottle, it's not going back in -- they'll all make their quarterly goals and we'll watch the Internet as we know it circle the drain. Support neutrality. Support the Open Internet. Support my right to choose.
1900. Jessica W, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Uphold and support freedom of information, speech, and assembly! Yes to net neutrality! No to censorship!
1901. Justin DeRo, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
Net neutrality is critical to the health and development of the internet and to creating new technologies to continue improving the lives of US citizens.
1902. Erika Sales, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Net neutrality is one of the few truly "freedom" items left in this country. I certainly don't want big brother deciding what I can and can't see and read. If we are to save humanity it needs to be because we pulled together and agreed that we know how people need to act to be moral and honest, not because we were led because we're "too stupid" to know what's good for us.
1903. Karaline, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Hello, please (please please please) protect net neutrality. One of the most important equalizers in our communities is education, and one of the biggest contributors to my education has been the internet. There (literally) isn't anything you

can't learn on the web, and a lot of popular websites like Khan Academy or the Crash Course YouTube channel began as community funded organizations, organizations with minimal means and few resources. I've learned Calculus and bass guitar and how to build a trebuchet, learned to be creative and effective. One of my high school friends, Joe Hudy (the youngest intern at intel ever) learned how to make his infamous potato gun on the internet. I would hate for someone so inspirational to not have been inspired themselves.

1904. Eric Stenson, Phoenix, AZ, 85028

There MUST be regulations otherwise nothing will stop these massive ISPs from overcharging us like crazy. We pay for our bandwidth and yet they already throttle it so they aren't giving us even what we are paying for. Don't allow them to charge us for specific websites we visit or block us from accessing websites (blocking information and access to that information is violating the first amendment because it is silencing those people on the internet).

1905. Christiane Dow Freer-Parsons, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250

As a former librarian I understand and fully embrace the First Amendment of the US Constitution which incorporates a free and open Internet. The power and value of the Internet is that it is available to access information for all people regardless of economic or social status. Often vital information, information used for education, health, enlightenment and recreation. Changing the rules and ways of accessing the Internet in a way that penalizes people economically is immoral and contrary to the tenets of the First Amendment. What type of democracy restricts its' peoples access to information? By definition undoing "Net Neutrality" contravenes the foundation of our country--a country that prides itself on the freedom of expression and encourages citizens to think and be active in its' government. Allowing a select few telecommunications corporations to manage the Internet is unethical and antithetical to the core of American democracy. Protection of our First Amendment rights is critical to the continuation of our society as one that guarantees freedoms of speech, religion, and even dissent freedoms that are a hallmark of a healthy democracy. I urge you to protect Net Neutrality in the name of everything sacred to our country.

1906. Jim Daher, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254

Net neutrality is essential because we need to leave many basic freedoms with the masses and not with big business.

1907. Salvador Salazar, Phoenix, AZ, 85032

The internet thrives when we keep artificial barriers away. My wife is in China, and I've seen what a repressive, censored internet can look like. (Look up the Great Firewall.) Putting in these harsh measures may not seem significant, but they allow internet companies to take steps toward a curated internet. Keep the web free!

1908. Brooke Rusert, Phoenix, AZ, 85023

The internet is for everyone to use without the threat of being exposed, cheated, or judged. We need to keep the internet open to us and future generations.

1909. Laura Kostyo, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250

Net Neutrality is important to our democracy and the American way of life. Letting everyone have equal opportunity is vital to our democratic survival.

1910. VAN Berry, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258

It is time we reverse One of many restrictive and destructive Obama regulations. Title II regulation is burdensome and unnecessary. It limits competition, and hurts innovation and investment. Therefore, I support FCC Chairman Ajit Pai's rollback of Obama's Internet takeover.

1911. G Feldmann, Phoenix, AZ, 85051

A free and open internet is something that we, as U.S. citizens, should be entitled to. It goes hand-in-hand with our constitutional rights. The Federal Government should NOT be allowed to take control of our internet via UNNECESSARY regulations--limiting the speed or the content of what is allowed (or submitting it to be censored by the Politically Correct Police!) What former President Obama did when he put in place Title II regulations is a travesty and a severe handicap to a free and open internet. I strongly urge you to repeal these Title II regulations immediately. Thank you!

1912. Kenneth Kobes, Phoenix, AZ, 85027

Title II regulation is burdensome and unnecessary. Hands off the internet! It limits competition, and hurts innovation and investment. Therefore, I support FCC Chairman Ajit Pai's rollback of Obama's Internet takeover.

1913. J Lockwood, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255

Title II regulation is burdensome and unnecessary. It limits competition, and hurts innovation and investment. Therefore, I STRONGLY! support FCC Chairman Ajit Pai's rollback of Obama's Internet takeover.

1914. Susan Benton, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255

Amendment 1 of the US Constitution guarantees a free press. Killing net neutrality includes censorship of the press and

is therefore prohibited.

1915. Cecilia Claudio, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Net neutrality must be maintained, we are all free to choose to go anywhere on the net without big brother watching.
1916. Carol Horn, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
As an 82 year old with no family, I am isolated from much of society. The internet is nearly my only contact with the outer world, Therefore, open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!
1917. Lynn Neuville, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Please protect the current Open Internet Rules. To do what is proposed is essentially to codify censorship and will disadvantage small businesses that can't afford the high fees that big corporations can pay. As a frequent user of the internet for information and for shopping, I resent this attempt to interfere with net neutrality.
1918. Sharon Barbera, SCOTTSDALE, AZ, 85260  
Free and fast Internet is a 21st century human right (and I don't mean corporate humans)
1919. Mary Fiala, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I am very concerned about the planned destruction of Title II, the legal framework for net neutrality, which would turn the FCC into a brokerage firm for giant telecoms. This is an unacceptable elimination of an open Internet. Do Not auction off a critical public resource! Protect the internet as a public resource and a vehicle for online innovation.
1920. William Horn, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
I am a 91 year old WWII vet with no nearby living family members, and the internet is nearly my only contact with the outside world, so the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. I urge you to protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!
1921. Ann Penfield, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
As a senior citizen, the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me. Free and equal access to the Internet is essential for seniors, who depend on it for medical information, social connections, and political participation. I urge you to protect the net neutrality rules. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow

them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1922. Susan Benton, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me, my family and everyone I know. I ask you to protect them. Doing away with net neutrality means that the government will then be able to censor and control information. Americans don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that IF the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. In light of the government issues currently being investigated at the highest level, the ability to control and censor information sends the wrong message to the American people and to our allies abroad. \*\*Our Constitution deliberately states in the First Amendment that "Congress shall make no law...abridging the right to free speech or freedom of the press." So the ability to charge fees for website delivery would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, startups and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard and directly infringes on the First Amendment. That flies in the face of not only our Constitution but everything America stands for. \*\*I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So, with that in mind I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1923. Elizabeth Marshall, Phoenix, AZ, 85032

A free society requires access to all available information. Only dictatorships restrict the internet.

1924. Fernando Latorre, Phoenix, AZ, 85027

Please do not support putting an end to net neutrality, I feel very strongly that we need net neutrality to ensure the freedom of expression on the internet,

1925. Bill Sullivan, Phoenix, AZ, 85024

I am contacting you to voice my extreme opposition to all attempts at ruining "Net Neutrality". We, The People, insist on free and unfettered internet access. It's the Voice of The People. Take it away, and you will take away the last vestige of true freedom in the USA.

1926. Fernando Latorre, Phoenix, AZ, 85027

Please do not support putting an end to net neutrality, I feel very strongly that we need net neutrality to ensure the freedom of expression on the internet.

1927. James Wilkey, Glendale, AZ, 85308

Please do NOT end net neutrality. Thank you, James H Wilkey

1928. Lois Sugar, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259

I believe that the Internet is a utility and should not be given over to large corporations with preferential treatment. Keep the regulations that we have in place for net neutrality. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them

down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1929. Michele Scheiner, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
Keep net neutrality. I thought the internet was for all.

1930. Helen Hill, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Protect net neutrality!!!

1931. Deborah Kohler, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to WE THE PEOPLE. Please protect them. ISPs should not have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you!

1932. Alison Dosek, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Keep the internet free from bias. Keep it neutral!!!

1933. Susan Benton, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
Loss of net neutrality is about more than being hit up for extra fees. It is also about censorship in violation of the First Amendment. Let's say that you prefer to get your news from CBS or MSNBC but they are blocked unless you pay an extra fee. But Fox News is available for no extra fee. That is a form of censorship. You can call it "constructive" censorship if you want to. Plus, who gets to decide what you must pay extra for and who decides what the fee will be? Who do we pay? By the way, loss of net neutrality might ruffle some feathers of the advertising industry. Advertisers want their ads to be seen as much as possible. If they advertise on a restricted-by-fee website their ad won't be seen as much. Getting rid of net neutrality is a plan dear to the hearts of Republicans because it can create a new income stream or lots of new income streams. If the GOP pursues it they may be taken aback by the vitriol that comes their way, and it will come. We're just gearing up now with the healthcare issues.

1934. William Ross, PHOENIX, AZ, 85027  
Giving away open internet will stifle innovation and give the ISP's the ability to jack up prices just for profit. The ISPs will then be as hated as the cable companies.

1935. Kendra, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Net neutrality is crucial to ensure that the internet is not controlled by profits or corporations. It is essential that these

regulations stay in place to protect innovation and free speech online. If this deregulation succeeds you will be hurting every American citizen by corrupting the information we have access to.

1936. Jeremy Starks, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I believe it is of utmost importance to preserve the current framework of open interneting, as it is vital to maintaining a usable Internet. The use of Internet-related technologies has become so ubiquitous to daily life that it must be considered a utility, and as such should contain the same protections and considerations such services contain for their users. The notion that Internet providers should have free reign to shape my consumption habits, to spy on me, to sell my browsing and usage data, or leverage/diminish the service I PAY FOR to market their own cross-services, at the expense of my experience is ludicrous. These are especially egregious propositions when coupled with the fact there is no real competition for service in most areas. This means the Commission's proposal would give carte blanche for, at best oligopolies, and more often monopolistic providers to further price gouge, while still providing substandard service with zero incentive to improve. Title II is a needed protection for a now integral part of people's lives. If you remove those protections, then you not only do not believe in the spirit of competition, but also lack conviction of a valid republic.
1937. Daniel McLaughlin, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Please join the thousands of people in their efforts to protect Net Neutrality. We need to protect the Open Internet laws so that all content online can be protected equally and fairly; not blocked or throttled to help CEOs push their agendas.
1938. Aslan Shepard, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I am strongly against corporations having an even bigger stranglehold on the internet. As a small business owner and human being that uses the internet daily Net Neutrality is extremely important and I urge you to protect it. Shame on the FCC and Pai for trying to get more money and power into corporations instead of protecting the interests of American Citizens.
1939. Christopher Zetye, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Do not bow to the interests of ISPs. Stand up the net neutrality and support the consumers. The internet is far more important to the future of humanity than the short-sighted gains you hope to achieve now.
1940. Devin J, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
You know the will of the people.
1941. Michael Fiflis, Scottsdale, AZ, 85266  
Like millions of other Americans, I support Net Neutrality. I oppose former Verizon lawyer Pai's efforts to overturn and undermine it. In 2015, the FCC adopted strong Net Neutrality rules based on Title II of the Communications Act. I support the 2015 rules one hundred percent.
1942. Alisa McMahon, Scottsdale, AZ, 85266  
ISPs belong under Title II. In the United States of America, the government and corporations do not have a right to censor, directly or indirectly, online content and free speech. It is the FCC's responsibility to defend, not threaten, Net Neutrality. It is the FCC's responsibility to speak truth, not lies. It is the FCC's responsibility to listen to the people. Preserve Net Neutrality.
1943. Ryan Barta, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
It goes along with freedom of speech and choice. It should be important to every human
1944. Rachel Mattheis, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
The internet is a fair constitution of knowledge for all people. Please do NOT take away our right to information!
1945. David Brebner, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Freedom, without letting corporations tell me how I should use the Internet.
1946. Noah , Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
I embrace the freedom of modern internet. Each member of my family and an overwhelming number of my friends make use of free internet policies to create and browse content across the world. Net Neutrality is a forward-thinking policy that helps open the digital world and connect billions of people without restrictions or fees. Limiting or removing Net Neutrality is step backwards in terms of advanced policies; in other words, it is a regression of modern politics inexcusable in today's hyper-paced internet era.
1947. Kevin Hope, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Consumers already get shafted hard enough by ISPs and their regional monopolies. I'd hate to see how else my wallet would be drained when my provider can charge me extra for access to specific types of data.
1948. Zachary Rome, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260

The fact that a select few corporations and politicians could put the freedom of knowledge of Americans provided by Net Neutrality at risk is abominable. Everyone deserves the right to not be wrongly policed by corporations in their daily life.

1949. Christopher , Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Why is net neutrality important? Because "no ISP should be able to unfairly manipulate your Internet usage or your experience of the Web, particularly in ways that harm other businesses." Simple
1950. Bryson O'Neill, Phoenix, AZ, 85085  
Without the groundwork for fair competition in the market, we cannot operate as a truly capitalistic society.
1951. Emily Rush, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I like to shop and eat at small local businesses and not being able to see their websites would prevent me from doing that.
1952. Logan Lani, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
The internet helps people like me that gets bullied at school and goes through bad things in their life the internet is like a place they can lose stress
1953. Austin Curtis, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
obvious reasons
1954. Kirsten , Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
I want my information uncensored and free - don't tell me what to think. Stay American! Stay Patriotic! Keep Net Neutrality! Stay Honest!
1955. Joao Veloso, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Do Not Destroy Net Neutrality.
1956. Erika Fluharty, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
To me, Net Neutrality is an important piece of democracy. Abolishing Net Neutrality puts too much control in the hands of big corporations, i.e. those with the most economic power. One of the great things about the internet, and what is supposed to be great about this country, is that ANYONE has equal opportunity to succeed. Abolishing Net Neutrality is just one step to chipping away at American's freedom and rights; and frankly, would weaken my trust in my government.
1957. Peter , Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
helps connect me to friends world-wide. provides a main source of entertainment. listen to reddit
1958. Trey Miranda, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Net Neutrality is part of our own rights as human beings--to be able to speak our minds and not be covered up for thinking a certain way. Destroying the Internet by taking away Net Neutrality will backfire in ways the FCC has yet to see. They cannot force the world to think one way. And they won't.
1959. Robert Hughes, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
Net neutrality is important to me.
1960. , Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Don't want any government controls in this matter has been working great therefore, LEAVE IT ALONE.....
1961. Douglas Russell, Scottsdale, AZ, 85266  
DARPA, Taxpayers and the government started the internet and it has grown to what it is today thanks to all the fees users have paid. To now allow those who have come to control it to turn around and screw us by throttling down or limiting what we may or may not do would be criminal abuse and would do a lot to choke off creativity and innovation. DON'T MESS WITH OUR INTERNET.
1962. Curtis , Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
The internet is culture, freedom, the next stage in our species, etc. It must become a basic utility without discrimination or human beings are nothing more than livestock for the rich to farm.
1963. John Low, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Net neutrality is essential, and a right. Supporting net neutrality means supporting businesses and freedom in the form of the First Amendment. Every business uses the internet or is affected by it so if all online activity were to be controlled by less than 10 CEO's, the pillars of capitalism would fall prey to a monopoly.
1964. Emily , Phoenix, AZ, 85050

The internet is really the only "fair" thing in this world. Everyone, no matter the social status, has the same amount of access in the internet to make websites, bill, etc. By taking that away and forcing people to pay for the internet is ridiculous. If the internet would have to be payed for, only the rich would be able to really do anything on the internet. People who are struggling financially won't have the same and equal access to things as people with money, which could damage the economy as start-up businesses will struggle to put themselves out there. Honestly, to make people pay for something that is vital to the economy, lifestyle, and the way to make a living is absolutely ridiculous. I'm sorry but taking away Net Neutrality is taking a step in the wrong direction for equality and fairness that should be more present in America! Please don't take away Net Neutrality. It will only cause problems.

1965. James , Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
I believe people shouldn't be stopped from being able to view website without being throttled.
1966. Wendy Leonard, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Censorship is un-American!!!!
1967. David cooper, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
We use the internet for everything from research to general entertainment. I rely on the internet to control parts of my home. I rely on it for my daily job. I rely on it to help me relax and unwind from my daily routines.
1968. Lauren Tippit, Phoenix, AZ, 85054  
Why is this even an issue?! It embodies democracy and the freedom to choose!
1969. Heidi Sharp, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
because we are free to look up and see what we want to see. If the government doesn't want us to see all the bad stuff they do, then they shouldn't even do it.
1970. Marissa , Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Taking away Net Neutrality will hurt our economy. It will damage technology and also hurt people financially. Many will not be able to afford internet charges, taxes, rent, and necessities to survive. With all due respect, please reconsider any attacks you plan on launching on Net Neutrality
1971. Day Jolliff, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
Net Neutrality is critical to the access and availability of information on the Internet.
1972. Lisa Hamilton, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Net neutrality is as important as a free press, everyone should have the same accesd, not just the kind they can afford.
1973. Carol Adams, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE. I have the right to use the internet, which I pay for, without corporate control.
1974. Joshua Phelps, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Freedom of speech, freedom of the press, and the very spirit of fair competition are undermined and damaged if the few can control the content disseminated to the many. This is the very antithesis of a free and democratic society. Not to mention the stifling of innovation and education that could easily result. Don't turn this wonderful gift we have that is the internet into another debacle driven by misguided government policy motivated by financial gain for those in charge.
1975. Drew , Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Privacy of personal data is important and selling control over the internet is wrongful!
1976. Marko Manojlovic, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Net Neutrality is essential to prevent abusive practices by telecom companies.
1977. Sydney , Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
because without free internet, the world would be less educated and there would be less access to information.
1978. Brandyn Wilson, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Freedom of speech should always be a freedom!
1979. Cole Hoffman, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Net neutrality protects a digital version of the 1st amendment, which in case you have forgotten, protects free speech. If we were to step back to Title 1, we would violate the constitution. If you call yourselves Americans, you will re-think this decision.
1980. Elizabeth McClure, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
We the public have a right to unfettered access to internet information, regardless of its source. No business, no

company, no one should be allowed to regulate the speed with which we get that information, or be allowed to "regulate" which information is accessible at higher speeds. Net Neutrality is an essential and basic right of every American, and must not be tampered with or assaulted. Our First Amendment is first for a reason: freedom of speech must be fully protected from abridgment of any kind. Net Neutrality provides that protection. It must stand!

1981. Cameron Childers, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
We all need a voice. Some people do not have the prowess about themselves to stand up in public and say what they need to say, so something as protected as the internet is extremely important to those people. I am a lyricist, my art comes from digitally made instrumentals. If we are limited on what we can use, or how many times we can use something, how are artists such as myself supposed to continue with their passion? Is it really more important to feed the pockets of those who are all ready fed? Gluttony. Absolutely. We deserve our Net. You don't need the extra cash. I can guarantee that.
1982. Barbara Rhodes, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
Net Neutrality is important because it gives us free speech. Without free speech, we are not a free country!
1983. Lori Zarmer, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Net Neutrality ensures that the internet can be a place where anyone can succeed or have their voice heard. Without it, big companies can discriminate against websites so only other large corporations will have a place.
1984. Savannah N, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
I don't have friends and this lets me have friends and also school is good and looking shit up in books is boring and fucking time consuming.
1985. Alyssa Krehbiel, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
The internet is so vital to life in a lot of ways, the spread of information and freedom of speech. Taking away the neutrality is taking away a lot of peoples ability to educate themselves, seek help, or speak freely. Without Net Neutrality- I may have killed myself without being able to seek the help i needed on the internet without having to have my guardians pay for it. Without Net Neutrality I would've died and I don't plan on seeing others die in the future for such a petty and money grabbing ideal. Just let us have this there is no need for this to change
1986. Timothy Gatton, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
Without an open internet which guarantees equal access to content for all, citizens and visitors to the United States will be denied the freedom to express themselves and seek accurate information.
1987. Yajaira Castro, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
I don't want more of my rights taken. Lots of people don't have access to internet as it is and killing net neutrality just worsens this situation.
1988. Hannah Bissonnet, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
It impacts too many free sources of education of information and a place of free speech which should not be dictated by corporations
1989. Jett Warren, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
I don't have the money man and I need the internet!
1990. Jamie Roper, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
this quote expresses my feelings and point of view: "While we pay for service via ISPs, the internet has been a relatively free space where everyone, no matter their income level, is able to connect, access a wealth of information, and express themselves. The Internet has become a major part of our culture as human beings and the notion that ISPs might be able to limit what sites I can access unless I pay them more is utterly sickening. A lot of us are cash strapped as is, and I'd rather not be limited even more by someone else's greed. Net Neutrality is essential and I hope you guys will understand why it needs to remain."
1991. Paul Beimers, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
Because the Internet has become as necessary as water and electricity to survive in today's world, and everyone needs equal access to it to succeed. Taking that away means damning those already in need to worse poverty or a complete lack of connection.
1992. Ray Hare, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Net Neutrality encourages new content to be made; for new services to be provided from unsuspecting places and encourage new ideas that would otherwise be suppressed. Not having a neutral system encourages favoritism and can easily lead to stagnation of the internet landscape as a whole.
1993. Amber H, Phoenix, AZ, 85024

I need the internet to be able to work, create business, advocate for justice, communicate with others, and all the things I need to do. The internet has become a part of our daily lives. I will not let anyone control my internet experience.

1994. Sasha Badger, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Deregulating Obama-era Net Neutrality will not impact ISP's infrastructure investments.
1995. Keilen Kelly, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I do not believe it is fair or just to force people to pay extra, to pay premiums, to access certain channels of sites. We pay for internet and we pay for site subscriptions, so we should not have to pay more. Furthermore, this just widens the gap between accessibility of the poor and the rich; the rich will continue to be able to access networking sites, job sites, and information, ultimately giving them an advantage over the poor who cannot equally access those same sites.
1996. Domonique Miley, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Ending net neutrality means restriction of information. This is a standard of greed unique to the United States in that it will have the greatest and most detrimental effect on lower income and even middle class citizens.
1997. Alicia Vidaurri, Phoenix, AZ, 85023  
net neutrality allows mass communication and an exponential growth of knowledge and technology. destroying that ultimately hinders our ability to grow. this is the one thing that connects the world, no one needs to pay for that freedom, and they shouldn't have to.
1998. Kat , Carefree, AZ, 85377  
I am NOT paying to go on websites, and I will NOT allow for the content that I see to be filtered and censored according to how much I pay a company. America is the land of the free! We are to have free speech! How are we going to have free speech if we're censored and blocked until we hand in a pile of cash? THIS IS NOT RIGHT. BRING NET NEUTRALITY BACK.
1999. , Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I should have the right to access any information using the internet with no restrictions or censoring.
2000. Lily Guthrie, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
The internet should not exist as a place where one must pay to have their voice heard. We do not require people to pay if they chose to speak at higher volume places than less crowded places. Why should the internet be policed in this manner?
2001. Grant Packwood, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
The internet is meant to be a place of free exchange and equality. If neutrality is taken away it will automatically become a place where the haves can determine what the have nots see and do and ultimately may be used as a weapon.
2002. Morgan Waroff, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
The internet literally CANNOT FUNCTION THIS WAY. No really, it will lose all functionality if this shit goes through. Net Neutrality is as fundamental to the internet working as an engine is for a car to function.
2003. Juan Huerta, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
If this follows through. I will leave the country with my wife. Don't SCREW US OVER FCC! Thank you!
2004. Bobby Rod, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
reduce corporate control, no screening our viewing.
2005. Paul Beimers, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
The FCC's rules for open Internet are extremely important to me and many others, and I want to urge you to protect them. In today's world, Internet access that is reliable and quick is a near necessity for many to work and manage their lives. Being able to freely post and access thoughts and commentary is vital as well. Is America no longer proud of its belief in free speech? Creating priority access and imposing fees will hurt millions of small businesses and advocates, serving only to make the rich richer while hurting the many, many people who are vehemently opposed to this new attempt to rob us of Title II's protections.
2006. Timothy Polcyn, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
I urge you to protect the FCC's Open Internet Rules. The only "freedom" the repeal is restoring is the freedom for ISPs to place burdensome restrictions on their user base as well as heavily influencing winners and losers among companies by creating uneven playing fields. An open internet is an essential form of communication. We as citizens have recognized this. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon

admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!

2007. Kristine Tsantilas, Phoenix, AZ, 85032

To whom it may concern:I wanted to make it clear that I believe the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important. I urge you to protect them. If you value open access to information for ALL Americans, please keep ISP's classified under Title II regulation.I do not want ISPs to have the power to block or slow websites down. I do not want some sites to have an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest of us. I work in biomedical research. An open internet is absolutely crucial for scientific research and discoveries that benefit everyone.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we can see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Respectfully,Kristine Tsantilas

2008. Albert Reach, Phoenix, AZ, 85022

We need less interference.

2009. Yogesh Patel, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259

Keep internet neutrality in place!

2010. Kristin Atwell, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251

To Whom It May Concern,The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me - and millions of United States citizens. I urge you to protect them.I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy.Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Sincerely,Kristin Atwell

2011. DAVID MCFERN, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
I do not want to give ISPs more of a monopoly than they already have. Removing net neutrality will allow that to happen to the point where consumers are absolutely gouged for just wanting to watch Netflix. Mexico City already has better internet service than us - removing NN will solidify that.
2012. Nicodemus Grace, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
The current net laws are a good base for the equality of the internet. Currently ISP's are businesses that have in the past controlled the internet to work in their favor, slowing certain content, blocking certain websites, and using it to make their own gain, unless a price was paid. This kind of internet control is unacceptable, as the internet is not a toll road and should not be treated as such. The internet is a space owned by no man and as such entry to it should not be dictated by companies. The thought of repealing these laws and hoping that ISP's would hold true to current style of laws is similar to thinking that if you put one man in control of a country, he will not become corrupt. As the old saying goes "Absolute power corrupts Absolutely", so I plead with you keep the laws as is.
2013. Eric Gipson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
I support Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of isp's.
2014. Jennifer Crutchfield, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Please protect strong Net Neutrality rules by keeping ISPs under Title II oversight. This is a rare modern instance where both parties and seemingly every involved company/organization opposes loosening of a regulation.
2015. Andrew, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
I very much do not want to see Net Neutrality taken away. I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Please preserve Title II net neutrality rules. Please do not repeal them. Giving everyone an equal playing field to bring their content is vital and important for innovation. If I have a potential idea or business plan that I want to bring to the internet, I do not want to worry about being able to have the kind of money that google or facebook would have to give to comcast or verizon.
2016. Christen Helfrich, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Net Neutrality needs to continue within the United States as well as on a global scale. Regulation from service providers will not only hinder American's free speech but also set the tone, as a world leader, for other countries to have control over the people. Freedom of choice is only one part of freedom to be an american.
2017. Barbara Stanley, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I never thought I'd see the day when our government would EVEN CONSIDER limiting citizens of this country's access to the WORLD WIDE WEB!! This is what CHINA MIGHT DO--NOT AMERICA!!! WHAT ARE THEY THINKING????Barbara Stanley
2018. Justin Schmitz, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
I am in favor of strong net neutrality under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. Sincerely, Justin Schmitz
2019. Jennifer Cohen, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
I support net neutrality and believe that it shouldn't be taken down. It is an extremely important part of the internet.
2020. Thomas James Schneider, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I'm in favor of the Title II rules. I only want my broadband to be a "dumb pipe". I'm not interested in the costs of paying for free content to be bundled, nor am I interested in the content I chose to pay for to be discriminated against the providers content. I would prefer for the FCC to do more about increasing competition in providers.
2021. Mark Wyzenbeek, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Please maintain Net-Neutrality. Continue to regulate the Internet as you have been doing.
2022. Cameron Adler, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Broadband should remain classified as Title II. It is vital to the way businesses function and to the average citizens daily life. It is necessary to ensure a fair marketplace. I vehemently disagree with removing broadband from Title II classification.
2023. Korey B., Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Please keep Net Neutrality in place. It protects the internet from being abused by big companies and keeps the playing field level. Keep the internet neutral!
2024. Chaitanya Bolla, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
I think access to the internet is a basic right and internet companies should not be able to control the speed at which information is delivered.

2025. Joey, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Yo! Please preserve net neutrality and title II. It would be disastrous if it went away.
2026. Paige Cohen, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Please keep Net Neutrality. This is very important to me, the freedoms of our country, and how Americans can access information from the internet. Please preserve Net Neutrality!!!!
2027. Freedom, Information, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
Businesses should not be able to game the flow of information by artificially up or down throttle the speed and access of information to the good citizens of the United States. Keep the internet neutral, only weak businesses won't thrive under an even playing field.
2028. Rosy Lehman, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
The way of the world is all internet based. Stop placing data caps and slowing service down. Keep it free and don't let internet service providers take advantage of their customers.
2029. George Bukwa, Glendale, AZ, 85308  
We need net neutrality to remain in effect as it is now. We cannot allow the ISPs total unrestricted control over the internet. There is no competition to keep them in check.
2030. Kyle Mathews, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Unrestricted communication is the key to understanding each other better and moving toward a peaceful world. Restricting communication will allow a small amount of people and/or companies incredible control over the population. Are we here to make the world better, or are we here to control the world.
2031. Cory Baker, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Stop looking into the best interest of Internet companies, landline and wireless alike, and instead for the American people whom you should be working for. We have gone through this before and sent a clear and indisputable message that Americans want a free and neutral internet. Keep the internet under Title II.
2032. Bradley Ruter, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Please keep tight control of net neutrality and enforce this under Title 2. Do not allow large ISP's to limit, or play favorites with the speed at which content is passed through their channels. The internet gives voice and power to many but is still powered and controlled by few. These few cannot be trusted to act in the best interest of the public without safeguards, rules, and standards in place. Thank you for accepting my feedback on this important issue.
2033. Francis Wiget, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Your mandate is to protect consumers against corporate abuses. Allowing the internet service providers to choose which websites have priority is a blatant violation of such protection. Net neutrality is the only acceptable option.
2034. Dale Van, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Ajit is an idiot. Full stop. No excuses - Net Neutrality is the only option.
2035. Caroline McShane, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
I support net neutrality! Please do not turn the internet over to big business or the govt. Keep the internet free.
2036. Edward Saraydarian, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
Keep the internet open and free for all users. I like the existing rules and want no changes.
2037. Jeremy Daniel Gregorio, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
An open internet with a level playfield has allowed me to move up in the world despite the challenges I and my family have faced. I couldn't have done it without net neutrality. Please, for the sake of the American people and small businesses like mine preserve net neutrality.
2038. Chaz Guerrero, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
The ability for me to be able to work remote and access content and communities without isp intervention is a must!
2039. Jeff Easter, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
As a small business manager, it is imperative to my business that the net remain neutral. Stories abound about these big companies and their lack of service and care for the customer. The Internet is free speech, and should not be controlled or regulated by big business.
2040. Beth Curlee, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
Net neutrality is necessary for the protection of the first amendment.

2041. Charles and Susan LeVancier, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
We oppose corporate censorship of on line websites. The first amendment needs to be defended against corporate censorship. There is no such thing as hate speech. There is such a thing as advocating violence, filming criminal acts, and engaging in doxing to harm people's rights and livelihood. Why is there no anti-trust legislation on internet providers, ie google, apple, paypal etc.
2042. Kapil Saini, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
All sites should be treated equal. Please preserve with net neutrality & title 2.
2043. Christopher Cashin, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I am writing to express my support of Net Neutrality and to speak out against the FCC's proposal to remove these protections. I live in a major metropolitan area, but have access to only one ISP and they know this. My rates continue to rise and competition is kept at bay through lobbying. The repeal of Net Neutrality will only compound these issues and will not increase competition.
2044. Kyle Boysen, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Net Neutrality is a vital policy in protecting freedom of information exchange on the internet. It should not be stricken down, but rather turned into law. Giving control of information flow to ISP's puts the public at risk of having their news and information filtered according to the interest of the handful of individuals at the helm of their ISP. Striking down Net Neutrality would be the first step in limiting the power of the internet to connect the world's people with an unbiased flow of information. It is the FCC's legal moral obligation to protect free speech and stop it from being stricken down.
2045. Pritam Raj, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I support the description of the Internet now being an essential utility for the betterment of our lives. America still puts forth a vast majority of the newest ideas that truly impact the daily lives of its own citizens and others across the globe. This would be hampered by any impact on the free and convenient exchange of information, which is afforded by net neutrality. So lets please not do away with it. Many have said it better, but with increasing demands, there will be continued increase in investment. I support the view that this will not change. So again, lets please not do away with net neutrality.
2046. Ben Lucas, Glendale, AZ, 85308  
Reversing the title 2 ruling in regards to the internet is irresponsible and unacceptable. Free and open internet access is one of the most important aspects of day to day life in this day and age. With the internet being required for business, education, and commerce, allowing ISPs to determine what to allow for a price is a terrible decision. The internet needs to be treated as a utility not a commodity. Forcing internet users to pay for the failing TV industry profits through internet access packages is unacceptable.
2047. Chris Weber, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
The FCC should safeguard Internet freedom by keeping the bright-line net neutrality protections in place and upholding Title II. Please reject arguments that prioritize the business interests of an ISP over my right to communicate and assemble online.
2048. Conrad Felix, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
I do not support this measure at all. Leave net neutrality with the Title II protection that all users deserve. Allowing companies even the possibility to throttle access would inhibit equal access to all people and companies. Do not do it. I do not support this.
2049. Jeremy D Gregorio, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
A free and open internet was instrumental in my success in life, and Net Neutrality was a big part of that. Without Net Neutrality American jobs, innovation and education will suffer and we will fall behind the rest of the world.
2050. Gary Wolfe, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
Net neutrality rules should be kept in place as they stand now. Allowing internet providers to decide winners and losers in the internet marketplace based on their competition with the internet provider's other businesses is a surefire way to kill competition and entrepreneurship in the online markets.
2051. Daniel W. Ohmes, Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
I had to laugh a little bit at the title of this bill. "Restoring Internet Freedom," as if the authors thought we were too stupid to realize what this bill's true purpose means for the future of the internet. Net Neutrality does not hurt hamper business interests, it creates competition and forces net providers to actually provide quality service at reasonable prices to the public. It ensures that the internet will always be a neutral ground where people can research, be entertained, educated themselves, and further enhance their lives without the interference of corporations desperate to exploit every vestige of our lives. For every comment made by Verizon and other companies supporting this blatant power grab, there is a clear example of them already abusing their power. If the FCC represents the interests of the American people in any

way, they will end this bill as a rebuke to the powers trying to influence the integrity of this federal agency. I thought about using a pre-written response generously provided by opponents of this bill, but I think this sends a clearer message. Also, there are apparently 2 other "Daniel W. Ohmes" who have submitted comments supporting this bill. Please kindly remove those fraudulent bot posts as they clearly do not support my views. Thank you and never forget, the consequences of your actions will affect the lives of countless individuals for years to come. Do not fail us.

2052. Eric A. Schwartz, Phoenix, AZ, 85020

I am writing to state that I oppose the proposal "Restoring Internet Freedom" because it does exactly the opposite of that. Internet service today is not the internet service of the early Clinton administration; rather it has taken on the functions of a public utility like telephone, running water, or power. Yes, it is possible to live without those, but it is impossible to live in the manner of normal U.S. society without any of them; the internet today is among those essential services (job searches are often entirely online, newspapers increasingly retreat to online content, and basic communication has largely moved from telephone calls to email and text messages), and the degree to which that is true increases constantly. As a consumer, I would at this point sooner give up my television and telephone than my internet access.

Specific comments and objections: Par. 27: FCC states that internet service providers offer the "capability for generating, acquiring, storing, transforming, processing, retrieving, utilizing, or making available information via telecommunications." This is true, but the important word in this passage is "or." Telephone service also offers the capability for generating, acquiring, or utilizing information via telecommunications, and under the definition of the separate capabilities listed in the Act, it only needs to meet one of those three (or any of the other) capabilities to be considered an "information service" rather than a "telecommunications service." But telephone has always been recognized as a telecommunications service despite also meeting the definition of an information service, thereby providing precedent that a telecommunications service that is also an information service must be regulated as the former rather than the latter. Moreover, a broadband service provider may serve both functions, but the broadband access function is a telecommunications service; any content they bundle with that to make it more attractive is the part that may be strictly an information service. Par. 28: The consumer is not capable of accessing third party information services without the telecommunications service function of the ISP. Typically consumers require their ISP to provide access to a domain name service and the simple wiring connecting their home or office computer to the network at large. The peripheral services like the ISP's bundled web browser, email, website, etc. are unnecessary. I don't use any of the other services from my ISP, just the connectivity and DNS. They are functionally no different from (and also provide) my cable TV and telephone. Even the one I do use (their website, strictly to pay my monthly bill) I'm as likely to get to from my cellular provider or my computer at work; it is separate from the connectivity service I pay for as the ISP. Par. 29: FCC's analysis is entirely incorrect. The service I pay for from my ISP is specifically "the transmission, between or among points specified by the user, of information of the user's choosing, without change in the form or content of the information as sent and received" because the "points" which I specify are my computer, and the website, game, email address, etc. I'm connecting to. I do not know or care which intermediate points it goes through, and that is exactly the same as my use of a telephone. I know only where I am calling from and what number I am calling to, and do not know the points between. Therefore internet service is identical to a telephone service, which FCC continues to regulate as a utility. Par. 30: The ISP offers some services such as firewalls, but consumers are able to choose to, or choose not to, use them. That is then, the consumer conducts the "change in form" of the transmission, possibly subcontracting that task to the ISP in its secondary function as an information service as well as a telecommunications service. But the ISP does not, in its function as a provider of internet service specifically, cause the change in form. Par. 31: In the Act, "any information service, system, or ..." presupposes that a service must first be determined to be classified as an information service (or one of the other categories), before allowing it to be defined as an "interactive computer service." To conclude otherwise is putting the cart before the horse. It does not imply that FCC should consider every "system that provides access to the internet" to be "an information service;" rather, it implies that a "system that provides access to the internet" is a separate category from an "information service." Moreover, the ISP does not provide the "system." They provide the conduit for data. Without the computer (mine), wifi modem (mine), wifi router (mine), and cable modem (available from my ISP if I wanted, but I installed a better one) there is no "system that provides access to the internet." There's only an outlet in the wall I plug a cable into, and the ISP makes functional. It's a utility. The system to access the utility is mine (or in the Act's examples, a library's or educational institution's). Par. 32. FCC's analysis of Section 231 is also flawed. Prior analysis that the intent of the passage was only to ensure that the FCC could continue to regulate telecommunications services is correct. The record is clear that is precisely why that language was added, and it's disingenuous to claim that the purpose was to distinguish "internet access service" from "telecommunications." Moreover the definition is specific to Section 231 is not applicable to the Act as a whole. This is further reinforced by the fact that the Act elsewhere uses "information services" not "internet access service." Lastly, in response to the call for general commentary in Par. 35, what I expect from an ISP, and what I expect FCC to enforce on them, is essentially truth in advertising. The proposed change basically allows, and even encourages, fraud. It is no secret that ISPs market, and therefore promise, a certain transmission speed. If they are allowed to deviate from that speed, based effectively on whether a third party information service (that could be anywhere in the world and large or small) has paid specifically them protection money, then they have lied about the speed they are offering. And if they block or severely throttle any particular site, then they have committed fraud about providing a connection to the internet -- the internet is not the sites that have paid the ISP's protection fee, it's the whole of the internet. Moreover if the third party service does pay the ISP to get an unrestricted line to that ISP's consumers, they have to charge the consumers (or hit them with more advertising,

thereby slowing down their actual speed) to recover the money. It's therefore naked fraud financially as well. The ISP has raised their rates, and lied to the consumer about what their rates actually are, by incorporating a shadow middleman in collecting a portion of it. The FCC should not be in the business of suborning fraud from companies under its regulation. In summary, FCC should retain the current rules, often referred to as "Net Neutrality." ISPs, as such, are "telecommunications services" a.k.a. utilities, should be regulated as such, and should be required to provide one speed of transmission to each customer, throttled to the speed of transmission into the customer's home as a whole, based on the rate paid by that particular customer. If they tack on an information service on top of their "telecommunications service" of providing the line to the larger internet, then that's separate. FCC would be correct in stating that they should not regulate the bundled services just because they should, and I do mean should, regulate the provision of the connection. Thank you.

2053. Terry Hill, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255

I implore the FCC to keep the internet a Title 11 utility. Of everything in our day to day lives that connects our citizenry, the internet is the most democratic and closest at hand. I am a senior citizen on a fixed income. If the internet becomes "buyable" at different rates I will not be able to purchase any "higher/faster" rate, and my ability to be active in my citizenry will be greatly affected. Please - keep the net neutral.

2054. James Kregar, Phoenix, AZ, 85032

I can understand the arguments that Internet SERVICE Providers should not be regulated in the same manner as telcos, however I think that Internet CONNECTION Providers should be regulated in the same manner as telcos. The problem right now is that the same entity that is providing the connection to my house is also acting as my ISP and providing me with an email address, an online storage account and other services. Since they are the only provider that has the connection speed I want, I have no choice at all about who my service provider is. If they separated the connection business from the ISP business then allowed other ISPs to provide my routing/firewall/email/storage accounts, we would actually have the opportunity for some competition. And the connection business could be regulated as Title 2 and the ISPs would be information services that are accessed via that connection.

2055. Thomas W Mayo, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255

This right of all Americans should be protected, and simply vouchsafed for the benefit of large corporations. Net neutrality benefits all, while the FCC's guidance actually injures consumers.

2056. Net, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255

The Internet is a primary vehicle for free speech, please protect this channel by withholding laws to maintain Net Neutrality! Please keep ISPs classified as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Thank you!

2057. karen nevins, Scottsdale, AZ, 85250

The internet must remain equally available to all. No service provider should be permitted to slow or impede traffic to competitors' content. Do not rescind or eliminate "net neutrality."

2058. James Pleger, Phoenix, AZ, 85085

I strongly support net neutrality and classification of ISPs under Title II. I believe that without proper controls in place, allowing service providers to arbitrarily prioritize and filter certain types of traffic will stifle and discourage innovation within the technology sector.

2059. Elizabeth McClure, Phoenix, AZ, 85028

Net neutrality must be preserved so that all Americans have access without restrictions imposed by corporations. The internet is a public utility and needs to operate like one. No discrimination can be allowed, especially the type in which shareholders are solely focused on profits. It would be grossly un-American to turn internet control to private companies. Preserve net neutrality! It is your public duty!

2060. michael nelson, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259

Internet companies should not be allowed to choose how the internet works. It must remain free and equal to all people and businesses. Maintaining net neutrality is the only answer. Do not repeal net neutrality, only corporate greed will benefit if you do.

2061. Jerry Ferguson, Phoenix, AZ, 85050

Stand up for net neutrality. Deregulation of ISP's will DECREASE innovation and investment in new technology. Many ISP's are monopolies over their markets. Deregulation will make them fatter and lazier and will cost the consumer more money for worse service.

2062. Genevieve Tregor, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251

Please DO NOT unwind the protections we currently have in place protecting Net Neutrality! Having strong regulations in place protects the public from abuses. Deregulation of the cable industry has shown the same kinds of disastrous

results that we would see from any deregulation or 'lightening' of the protections in place to keep the Internet and the services offered through it accessible and affordable across the board. Please do not pass rules that put the power in the hands of large corporations, we have already seen that these organizations will always act in the service of their own pockets and not for the greatest benefit of all. The Internet is a public resource. Thank you.

2063. John Michaud, Phoenix, AZ, 85207  
The internet needs to remain an open place.
2064. Mike Granda, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
Internet access needs to remain title II classification to maintain the openness and freedom upon which the internet has thrived. Reducing these regulations serves only to garner money for telecom companies and Ajit Pai, who has as of yet failed to adequately or truthfully represent the American public or its needs.
2065. Guadalupe Higuera, Phoenix, AZ, 85029  
The Proceeding 17-108 should not be implemented. The Internet needs to be net neutral. There is so much to lose with Proceeding 17-108 and nothing to gain. The FCC should listen to the public. Millions of Americans want to keep the Internet neutral. I would be fine with more regulations if they make sense. However, the current proceedings do not make sense for anyone.
2066. Rachel , Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Net Neutrality is everything. I'm begging you to not get rid of it.
2067. Barbara Stanley, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I never thought I'd see the day when our government would EVEN CONSIDER limiting citizens of this country's access to the WORLD WIDE WEB!! This is what CHINA MIGHT DO--NOT AMERICA!!! WHAT ARE THEY THINKING????
2068. Lexi Hackenberg, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
As a student in college, the internet is a major factor to success. Whether it be to turn in Assignments or research for papers. Without net neutrality I would not be able to acces the sites I need for a reasonable price.
2069. Quinn , Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Net neutrality is basically freedom to do what you want on the internet that you pay for. If those freedoms start getting taken away, then we are quite honestly losing one of our rights to freedom. It sickens me to see that the chairman of the FCC and the president for that matter wants to destroy that freedom
2070. RJ Hughes, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
Please don't take my rights away to naturally view internet content. Thank you,-R.J. Hughes
2071. John McCann, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
please dont sell out to comcast or verizon. We all know that Net Neutrality protects the people and rarely, if ever, hurts them.
2072. Daemin Ward, Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
As an artist that is planning on making a livelyhood on art sharing websites I need people to be able to discover me and what I do. Without net neutrality people would have to pay just to see what I do or what others do. This would destroy the chance many artists and content creators have to stay creating or having a stable income. Please bring net neutrality back otherwise you'd be essentially killing the hopes and dreams of thousands or hundreds of thousands of people.
2073. , Phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Please, please don't hurt this. Please.
2074. Rene Leblanc, Scottsdale, AZ, 85258  
If it isn't broken, don't try to fix it. It isn't broken. Don't mess it up.
2075. Kate , Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Fascists must not be allowed to attack our rights on Net Neutrality!
2076. Norman , Phoenix, AZ, 85053  
Stay as safe as possible
2077. Susan Rodriguez, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Net Neutrality is our right!!!
2078. Garth Espe, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260

Senator Flake claims this needs to pass because some already have these rights to rip us off. Ahhh.... then take those rights away and protect all aspects of the internet.

2079. Walter Haverstock, Phoenix, AZ, 85028  
Do what's obviously right please.

2080. Robert Bloom, phoenix, AZ, 85024  
Why are you doing this to me.....and all other Americans?? The Internet belongs to US, the people, not to you personally and not to telecom corporations. In the United States, PEOPLE COME FIRST. Now you want to rob me of open internet to give big, greedy telecom companies more of a monopoly and more money? Isn't that correct? My COX bill is already the next largest bill I pay after my mortgage. And, as a retiree on fixed income, I keep COX bill as low as possible without any extras and still it is 2nd to my mortgage payment. In fact, COX added a new \$6 monthly charge for sports on local channels! I don't watch any sports and don't want to pay extra for something I don't use. But COX said they can't do anything about it. That \$72 a yr. -- enough to pay for a prescription. Instead, that money goes to COX for SPORTS I don't ever watch. I guess a total of almost \$2,000 I shell out each yr. to COX doesn't sound like much to you, as wealthy as I expect you are. Now, in my 70s and living on approx. \$26,000 a yr., (after \$11,244/r. mortgage), it doesn't compare to your income. I can't afford what you can afford to spend on telecom and gimmicks. I'm not starving, but I don't have any throw away income. I worked hard for many years to be able to retire and still had to wait until I was 73. So, here's what I will do if you foolishly steal open internet from me: I'll give up my computer. I've lived more years of my life without it than I have with it. So, I can again manage to write letters, mail checks, read newspapers and join mailing lists (not emails). I will also start a crusade against using the internet. Even though it's an uphill battle, once people are paying higher prices for corp. owned internet, I bet there will be many people of all ages wanting to find a way out. Your efforts to make telecoms richer will end up losing them millions of customers. Then what? We'll be back where we are right now. So, just leave things as they are and spare millions of people and your precious A.L.E.C. led monopoly telecom companies the agony of killing the internet. The internet is a basic necessity for me and others like me in my community. Without the open internet, we lose our ability to tell our own stories, find opportunity, and define our own destinies. I believe internet providers should not have the power to discriminate against certain types of content -- especially since people of color and other marginalized folks will suffer the most. I'm urging FCC Chairman Ajit Pai to preserve real Net Neutrality under the FCC's existing rules and keep broadband internet access classified under Title II.

2081. Mike W., Scottsdale, AZ, 85250  
Please retain the common carrier Title II classification currently used for ISPs.

2082. Piper Lee, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
The internet is a utility and should remain so. We need fair protection and equal access to all websites. Allowing major telecom corporations to give priority to certain websites and services in order to profit even more will disadvantage consumers greatly. The vast majority of the population do not want these rules overturned. Leave it alone.

2083. Benjamin Shechet, Phoenix, AZ, 85024  
I am writing to express strong support for keeping in place the 2015 legislation that places internet service in the same category as telephone utilities. As a technology of communication that now rivals the telephone for its ubiquity and necessity, it is both reasonable and in the public interest to maintain rules that categorize it similarly. Additionally, placing the ability to throttle access to specific content in the hands of service providers sets a dangerous precedent for placing access to information in the hands of corporations whose primary interest is profit, not the public good. The internet is for everyone, not just those who can afford to pay a premium. To rescind this legislation would be analogous to reinstating railway robber barons from the early 20th century--a cadre of business interests who can regulate access to their means of commerce and communication solely based on profitability. Education, commerce, and free communication all depend on equal access to internet services for individuals at all strata of the socioeconomic spectrum; it is the FCC's obligation to speak in the public's interest on this matter, not on behalf of the telecom industry.

2084. L Sugar, Scottsdale, AZ, 85259  
There need be no rule changes giving corporations preferential internet access. This utility should remain open to all without encumbrances to benefit businesses.

2085. foy rees, scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
ISP's have been slightly better behaved under title II. please do not break that.

2086. Stephen Harvey, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I'm a real person with a real e-mail address, typing a real comment: I am AGAINST the bogus "Restoring Internet Freedom" and in favor of retaining net neutrality

2087. Patrick Ross, Scottsdale, AZ, 85262  
I'm against private corporations arbitrarily blocking certain websites, reducing service speeds, charging more for access to fast traffic lanes, and otherwise making "any unjust or unreasonable discrimination in charges, practices,

classifications, regulations, facilities, or services." The evidence is clear that corporations already do similar in Google Search results, Google News, etc. The free flow of information and ideas is crucial for a Constitutional Republic. Allowing corporations the ability to arbitrarily block that is akin to censorship and has no place in America.

2088. Zackary Fortunato, Phoenix, AZ, 85021  
I support net neutrality (Title II) because having a free and open internet is in everyone's best interest. The internet has become as important to modern life as water and electricity; it needs to be treated accordingly.
2089. Anthony E. Kraus, Scottsdale, AZ, 85251  
Telecommunications companies should not have the option of fragmenting the internet for their benefit and to the detriment of the consumer, the US, and the world. Freedom of Speech and Thought demand open and unimpeded avenues of communication, foremost among these is the internet. I want "Net Neutrality."
2090. Mikhail Beloozerov, Phoenix, AZ, 85054  
Please leave Net Neutrality in place. Neutrality is essential for a free marketplace where companies succeed based on their products, not on the amount of money they can pay. Please leave it be, for the sake of our kids
2091. Cynthia S Harding, Cave Creek, AZ, 85331  
I absolutely do not want to rescind our Net Neutrality status. Please leave this alone!
2092. nicholas mallaire, phoenix, AZ, 85027  
Removing net neutrality is a horrible idea and serves no one but the large internet companies. it will hurt new businesses and eventually consumers
2093. Eric Williams, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
I support net neutrality through strong oversight of isps (title 2 is required). don't screw the nation over on behalf of telecom giants. this proposal is crime imo.
2094. Wayne Mitchell, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
Please register my support for the concept of Net Neutrality. Regulation of this critical service should not be left to private enterprise whose profit motives will surely subvert the public good.
2095. Goldie, Phoenix, AZ, 85032  
Please preserve NET NEUTRALITY AND TITLE TWO
2096. Jason Gerek, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
To whom it may concern: Keep net neutrality in place. Internet freedom and access should be maintained at all socioeconomic levels equally! The world is watching the USA; we should make this a case where we can show leadership.
2097. Michael J. Stordeur, Phoenix, AZ, 85050  
I'm firmly against Ajit Pai and his plans to repeal net neutrality. Please keep the Internet open and free of restrictions from ISPs. Thank you!
2098. David Jimenez, phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I am an your everyday millennial internet user. I see the writings on the wall where there are efforts to vaguely disguise net neutrality removal by big corporations not in the interest of me, but rather in their own financial interests. Imagine paying your internet bill, only to get a message saying 'pay 50 more dollars and you can use your internet as much as you want'. Wasn't I already doing that? My kids use netflix to watch tv, plus everyone else in my home because THIS IS THE AGE OF THE INTERNET. I am effectively being held hostage by overage charges under the guise of 'usage' but yet if I pay 50 bucks this goes away? Logic. FCC should always be for the citizens of this country and not for big corporations. Regulatory capture, you're lucky the boomers aren't gone yet because when our time comes, you will all pay dearly for these actions. Make a wise choice, and decide now what you're going to do. Because I already have, and aim to follow through with ending your reign!
2099. Alex Hekmatian, Scottsdale, AZ, 85260  
Please keep the internet open. If not you'll disappoint the American people. Life is shitty for most of us please don't make it worse
2100. Jonathan Siefers, Paradise Valley, AZ, 85253  
Net neutrality is important to democracy to all Americans. It gives everyone an equal playing field. Please do not repeal it.
2101. Asha Adhikari, Scottsdale, AZ, 85254  
Net neutrality should not be reversed. Please stop trading and making money against peoples' best interests PLEASE!!

2102. Taylor Ferrell, Scottsdale, AZ, 85255  
I want the internet to stay open and free. Please keep net-neutrality alive. Please do not reclassify the internet from a utility.
2103. alena appia, phoenix, AZ, 85022  
Do not change anything about our internet, please
2104. Christopher Guimond, Phoenix, AZ, 85027  
I am deeply concerned that FCC is continuing to go forward with plans to change the net neutrality principals that currently keep the internet fair and open despite overwhelming public support for net neutrality. I SUPPORT CURRENT NET NEUTRALITY PRINCIPALS AND DO NOT WANT THEM CHANGED.
2105. Michael Crain, Fountain Hills, AZ, 85268  
Please!! Please!! Please!! Preserve Net Neutrality

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used national language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post.  
<https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9f0e3ed36a6>.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.