

Unique Net Neutrality Comments to the FCC in 2017 from AL District #6

These are the 893 unique comments to the FCC in the 2017 net neutrality repeal proceedings from people who identified their addresses as being in Representative Gary Palmer's district.

This does not include those who submitted comments to the FCC via online form letters.

While tens of millions of Americans did express support for keeping the 2015 rules via online campaigns, form letter responses are NOT included in this document. These are only responses that were hand-written, each of which reflects quite bit of effort and passion. The comments are presented in the order that they were submitted to the FCC.

Information on the methodology of this report is at the end of the document, and each comment below can be found by searching the FCC's comment docket at <https://www.fcc.gov/ecfs> under the proceeding 17-108.

1. Adam Dane, Vestavia, AL, 35216

The Constitution empowers the Congress to pass laws establishing post offices and post roads, a necessity given the government must be able to communicate with its citizens to fulfill the various duties the Constitution imposes. But digital communications have become the common means of communication, and the government has a duty to ensure that the internet is fulfilling the requirement as a modern post road. To the extent that any change in regulation would erode the capacity or delay the recognition of the network as the post road of the modern day, I believe it is misguided and ignores the direction that society is moving in. The government does not accept the tampering or undermining of the postal system, and it should not tolerate any changes to internet regulation that would pose a threat to its taking up that role over time.

2. Michael Tyner OD, Birmingham, AL, 35209

It's insulting for you to call this "freedom", Mr. Pai. It's Orwellian and makes you look ridiculous. You have no responsibility to the American consumer.

3. Michael Flannagan, Brent, AL, 35034

This bill is a terrible idea. The internet should be treated as the utility that it is. Allowing ISPs to treat it as a commodity that they can filter as they see fit is a mistake. It allows them to create tiers in content, and completely block any opinions they disagree with.

4. Jason Letanosky, Graysville, AL, 35073

Section 89, specifically the ability to hide fees and data caps: Providers should clearly illustrate on the bill to a consumer what each charge is. Additionally, each charge/fee should be clearly explained. In regards to data caps, if caps/limits are applied - then the measure of these limits should be watched carefully and audited via government entities just as water and power meters are monitored. There should be a clear process of approval of the meters, and a just process to file complaints if a meter is suspected as being incorrect. There is not sufficient broadband competition, especially in rural areas, to allow assumption that ISP's will self regulate. For example, in my hometown I have the choice of a singular fast internet connection - from Charter/Spectrum. I do have the option for DSL, however that is only 3Mbps, where Charter is over 20 times faster at 60Mbps. I could also choose satellite, which is even slower yet more expensive than DSL. Were 3 or more offerings of service at least 10Mbps or higher, then I could consider that competition. However, as the offerings currently stand there is no true competition. In regards to net neutrality, the plans to roll back current rules and polices is - frankly - disturbing. All traffic should be treated equally - just as every electron is treated equally and every water molecule is treated equally by electrical and water utilities. The power company doesn't charge one more for an electron provided from power plant "A" than it does from power plant "B", while some do charge more based on supply and demand, they do not charge more based on the source or destination of the electrons. In today's world, especially in the great United States, Internet connectivity has become a necessity and should be treated as a utility. Even entry level jobs persuade one to fill out applications online, further - many resources such as Time-Off, HR resources, pay stubs, etc, are promoted to be consumed online - if not solely available online . Internet access has become integral to American way of life, and should be protected as much as other core utilities such as water and electricity.

5. Joshua Vallance, Irondale, AL, 35210

I understand that the FCC chairman plans to end net neutrality. I believe this would contaminate the free market of web traffic and offers very little benefit to the American people that the FCC was created to protect. I am against the chairman's plan to reverse the net neutrality rules. I believe doing so will destroy a vital engine for innovation, growth, and communication.

6. Chase Long, Vestavia, AL, 35243

I am writing today to express my concern at this new proposal and urge the commissioners to vote against it. The function of this proposal is twofold: to reduce regulation on ISPs with the goal of incentivizing broadband investment and to move their regulation to the jurisdiction of the FTC where they will be governed under the same rules as websites / content providers. I believe this proposal is NOT in the public interest and ignores several basic facts about the telecommunications market. First this proposal seeks to correct an issue that

does not exist. Numerous studies have shown that broadband investment has not decreased due to new regulations. It has continued at a steady pace despite the changing regulatory environment, and there is no evidence that this proposal will do anything to affect broadband investment going forward. This proposal is based only on the general (and discredited) belief that any regulation harms investment and innovation, when multiple studies have shown that most regulation merely shifts the manner in which innovation and investment occurs. Demand (not regulation) is the primary driver of business investment, and so long as consumers continue to increase their demand for broadband services ISPs will continue to invest generously. Secondly this proposal seeks to "harmonize" the regulation of ISPs and content providers by putting them both under the jurisdiction of the FTC and subjecting them to the same rules. This goal of fairness ignores the fundamental differences between ISPs and content providers. Content providers are numerous, competitive, and have limited control over consumers overall use of telecommunications networks. Because of the low cost of hosting content, new content providers routinely pop up giving consumers many options when it comes to the websites they can consume content from. From a technical perspective these content providers are limited in the amount of data they can collect about consumers and in the control they have over consumers' overall use of telecommunications networks. ISPs are fundamentally different. In most markets ISPs are not competitive and consumers have little ability to leave ISPs who engage in harmful practices. ISPs also have the ability to almost completely control consumers'™ use of telecommunications networks. They have the ability to block individual content providers, enhance the performance of certain providers over others, monitor the overall telecommunications use of consumers, inject ads and other undesired content into the data stream between content providers and consumers, and much more. While not all ISPs engage in all of these behaviors, there are numerous examples of each that HAVE actually happened. The power ISPs exercise over consumers warrants a different and heightened regulatory structure separate from that of ordinary content providers. For these reasons I urge the commissioners to reject this proposal and revisit the idea of telecommunications governance with the goal of protecting consumers from abusive practices and not of advancing unsupported theories of deregulation with no proven benefit.

7. Marianne Meredith, Vestavia, AL, 35243

Thank you for freeing up internet access. The internet will again be free and open. Repealing Title II will increase broadband deployment and competition, and expand consumer use.

8. Josh Strawbridge, Kimberly, AL, 35091

the current large internet providers have proven time and time again they can't be trusted to act in their customer's best interests, and by extension their own

long term best interests. they've done things like blame their lack of cell towers in an area on "bandwidth" issues, a reason for the problem that only the uninformed could believe. they somewhat shortly later used that incident to tack a completely different issue with a different cause to their feigned "bandwidth" problems. i've also read that they've at times accepted government funds to improve the internet/phone infrastructure only to basically pocket the money because they say it's too expensive to actually improve things. which has left us falling behind the rest of the world in connection speeds except in certain areas where smaller companies or google have actually done the work to improve things. it makes you wonder why people still get internet from these companies in spite of how badly and how unhappy the general populace is with how these companies do things. well, the answer to that is simple, they basically hold monopolies over most areas by not directly competing with each other and by doing so make sure they're the only real option in the area. they see no reason to improve rather than just how they can squeeze one more cent out of anywhere they can without having to put in any quality improvements to their service. if they're able to create "internet fast lanes" they'll do so without speeding anything up but rather by slowing things down. they're really just going to create internet slow lanes and they'll do so by basically being the gangs that extort protection money from all the shops in their area to be safe. their area is the whole of the internet. net neutrality didn't regulate the internet, better internet, or internet companies. those things are still entirely free to increase. it regulated companies treating their customers poorly who in many cases don't have a viable alternative.

9. Jake Odom, Birmingham, AL, 35242
We support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please do not allow Ajit Pai, his friends at Verizon, and every other ISP throttle the public's access to the internet. We deserve the right to open internet backed by strong regulation of ISPs. The American people have called for strong net neutrality rules. Congress should let the FCC do its job, and not engage in unproductive partisan brinksmanship. Thank you.
10. Vinh Vo, Hoover, AL, 35244
I support Strong net neutrality backed Title 2 oversight of ISPs
11. Peter Bosworth, Helena, AL, 35080
I strongly request that Net Neutrality regulations remain preserved under Title II and reject attempts to move the regulations to the less restrictive Title I
12. Chris LaGatta, Pelham, AL, 35124
Preservation of Net Neutrality rules are essential for maintaining a free market Internet.

13. Sheryl Snow, Hayden, AL, 35079
Concerned that internet neutrality at threat. Do not give rights or allow any potential for any entity to buy rights, overtake, or negotiate favors in exchange for band width or any other technical advantage to the pipeline. Maintain the integrity of Internet neutrality. Sheryl Snow
14. William Fenton, Hoover, AL, 35244
I support strong net neutrality according the the Title II oversight of ISPs. In layman's terms, I want my ISP to be just that- to provide service to the Internet. I do not want these companies to inspect, search, or prioritize, my traffic in any way other than what is necessary to provide quality services. For instance, prioritizing VOIP traffic is necessary to the proper functioning of VOIP. Prioritizing a particular company's VOIP traffic is not beneficial to me and reduces the value of the service.
15. Timothy Pugh, Hoover, AL, 35244
I strongly support title II net neutrality
16. Robert Stanley, Phoenix, AL, 85050
I believe in net neutrality and the rules should stay in Division II of the FCC rules.
17. net neutrality, Birmingham, AL, 35226
Hello! I am writing to publicly lend my support to an open and free Internet - one that is uninhibited by the private interests of those who provide essential service. The entire point of independent commissions, such as the FCC, is to stand up for those who can not and against those who will not. I hope you at the FCC will choose to represent the public will and keep the promises of your past for the freedom of the future. Thank you!
18. Clifton Perry Bain, Pelham, AL, 35124
I support internet service being classified as a Title II utility as well as the Net Neutrality regulations that help to ensure that internet service providers cannot manipulate the traffic in order to block competition or otherwise restrict access to prioritize a site or service over others. There must remain equal access to information regardless of what network you are on.
19. Tripp Whatley, Homewood, AL, 35209
I support Net Neutrality. Keep Title II
20. Casey Phillips, Birmingham, AL, 35243
<https://www.fantasyflightgames.com/en/news/2017/5/5/heart-of-the-empire/>
21. Natalie Zoghby, Birmingham, AL, 35222
An open and free internet is vital in the progress of this county. Only by

keeping the internet open to everyone do we allow our country to grow and progress. Anything done to restricts our ability to access information with be a grave disservice to the American people. Look at CHINA.

22. Daryl Willingham, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Preserve Net-neutrality and Title II.

23. Casey Phillips, Birmingham, AL, 35243
I support the current title II classification to the ISP broadband providers and DO NOT WISH to see those rules changed. A free and open internet is vital towards our country and our ability to remain competitive in today's highly connected world. Please do no change or mess with these rules in any way.

24. Nick Brattoli, Birmingham, AL, 35212
I am a small-business owner in the Cloud technology space. The internet is one of the greatest resources we have access to, and you guys are basically trying to make it ok to add more toll roads beyond paywalls that already exist. It's clearly being done entirely to consolidate power, and I don't support it. I doubt most people in the tech sector do. Putting a stranglehold on the internet is essentially putting a stranglehold on small and medium businesses, as well as the everyday citizen. I've been fighting for Net Neutrality since SOPA, and I don't intend to stop.

25. Sam Schillaci, Hoover, AL, 35244
I support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight. In my opinion no data should be slowed down just to make an extra buck from already paying customers.

26. Andrew Brim, Irondale, AL, 35210
I support strong net neutrality rules and enforcing ISPs under title 2 regulations. Allowing equal access to bandwidth is essential for innovation and small business growth.

27. James Nichols Jr, Hoover, AL, 35216
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. A free and open internet is important to all. ISPs should not be able to pick and choose services for fast lanes. There's already a lack of competition in the ISP market. Most communities only have one real choice for broadband. Net neutrality is one of the few things protecting consumers. Striking down Title II would stifle innovation as well. Shouldn't the FCC be above the partisan noise in Washington?

28. Natalie Zoghby, Birmingham, AL, 35222
Do not sell the internet to the highest bidder. It is not yours to sell or control. A free and open internet is our only hope for the future.

29. Joseph Jones, Birmingham, AL, 35242
A free and open internet is the clear and only choice in the matter.
30. Ryan Nichols, Birmingham, AL, 35210
I support Title 2 of Net Neutrality. Taking away those consumer protections will lead to an unmitigated disaster. You cannot have an open and free internet without Title 2, the ISP's will see to that. They are already selling our personal data to advertisers.
31. Eric Quick, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Net neutrality is an absolute must. ISPs will run rampant if allowed. There should absolutely be title 2 oversight of ISPs
32. Vincent Angelo, Vestavia Hills, AL, 35243
Having a free and open Internet has become a pillar in American society, as well as the civilized world. Classifying ISPs as common carriers under Title II has helped ensure that a free and open Internet will remain. However, recent reports of legislation to undermine this regulation has not only become a threat to consumers, but it is also a threat to smaller companies such as startups who do not necessarily have the funding nor experience to compete with bigger companies. Abolishing the current regulation undermines freedom of speech and it will only help ISPs such as AT&T, Charter, Verizon, and Comcast have a bigger stranglehold over the telecommunications and broadcast television industries. That raises not only first amendment concerns, but also antitrust concerns. I only hope that the current chairman and the rest of the commission heed this comment as well as others and not regulate based on what companies want, but for what the people want as it is our tax dollars that make their salaries and jobs possible.
33. Matt White, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Net Neutrality is an absolutely vital regulation for the proper functioning and protecting of the internet and it's innovative spirit. It is hardly up for debate that large ISPs have and will continue to violate the spirit of the Open Internet if the Net Neutrality doctrine is removed. It is the FCC's duty and responsibility to protect the American consumer, no matter the size of their business or special interest. Please protect Net Neutrality.
34. Raymond W Deats, Birmingham, AL, 35243
Net neutrality is an important tenet in the information age. Allowing ISPs to have lighter regulations in regards to privacy, and content distribution is NOT protecting consumers. There is a near monopoly in this country and allowing these companies that type of leverage without competition will leave taxpayers and consumers at a disadvantage in order for companies to pursue profits over service.

35. Christopher Mayer, Hoover, AL, 35244
Don't mess up the internet with 17-108. If you really want to do something get rid of the telemarketers that plague us day and night on our phones. Don't empower the crooks and con-men to start abusing us on our computers like they are already doing on our telephones.
36. net, vestavia, AL, 35243
i support strongly conserving or net neutrality under title 2
37. Jesse Wayne Benson, Trussville, AL, 35173
It is in our best interest as a country to maintain the current Title II classification of ISPs. I believe the federal government must continue to play a critical role in safeguarding the open and non-discriminatory nature of the Internet. I strongly support net neutrality, the guiding principle which ensures that the Internet remains open to all and guarantees everyone equal access. I recognize the important role that openness of the Internet has played in fueling innovation and the free flow of ideas and expression.
38. Natalie G. Nixon, Montevallo, AL, 35115
I stand by the regulations of the internet. It allows for a better chance to secure our rights on the internet. It was several effect how its used in our society. It will effect families, students, and will effect our communication with the world. I stand by title 2. Let that be known. If it changes it will effect everyone and badly.
39. Will Waters, Sterrett, AL, 35147
I support the classification of ISPs as Title II with strong rules for net neutrality
40. Erin Boyer, Irondale, AL, 35210
I strongly urge you to preserve net neutrality and keep Title 2
41. Max Andrews, Pelham, AL, 35124
Dear FCC Chairman Ajit Pai,I sincerely support strong net-neutrality that is backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Citizens like myself in the U.S. and around the world want a fair, free and open internet.P.S. the overly convoluted process for submitting this comment is absolutely ridiculous. It is quite clear the FCC is intentionally trying to limit and discourage feedback for its wildly unpopular plan to kill net-neutrality.
42. Richard Abbot, Birmingham, AL, 35243
This proceeding is bad. Net Neutrality is vital to a free and open internet. KEEP ISPs UNDER TITLE II
43. Net Neutrality, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I STRONGLY support keeping the internet free and open to EVERYONE

under the current legal legislation (Title II). The internet must be equal to everyone. For the love of God, do your duty.

44. Ryan Keelin, Hoover, AL, 35244
I urge the FCC to stand for tough net neutrality laws backed by Title II and normal sized coffee mugs because you know that stupid oversized one looks ridiculous. You have to know that, right?
45. Michelle Joiner, Pelham, AL, 35124
SAVE NET NEUTRALITY!!! Do not vote to remove Title II.
46. john friend, hoover, AL, 35226
keep you lying hand off our title 2 protections!! You're a tool for big money and a lacky for Verizon
47. Jeff Deneen, Vestavia Hills, AL, 35242
Keep ISPs under Title 2. They are a utility we depend on every day. Keep us all equal.
48. Net Neutrality, Birmingham, AL, 35216
I am writing to express my urgent support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. Open internet and the FCC's net neutrality policy is necessary for maintaining the internet as an open platform for economic growth, online innovation, citizen empowerment, political organizing and free speech. I am EXTEREMLY worried and STRONGLY DISAGREE with Ajit Pai and his current views about removing the Title 2 classification of internet. We cannot allow companies to buy off our politicians and make these kinds of sweeping changes to America's internet. DO NOT VOTE TO REMOVE TITLE 2 CLASSIFICATION and you must keep STRONG NET NEUTRALITY implemented. I plan on removing any personal support for any candidates who want to remove the Title 2 classification of the internet and for those who do not support STRONG NET NEUTRALITY LAWS.
49. Andrew Ingram, Helena, AL, 35080
Please keep net neutrality rules under the more strict Title 2 protections! Net neutrality must be protected. It is of the utmost importance for future generations access to information and education.
50. Justin Burkholder, Birmingham, AL, 35216
Your version of Net Neutrality (or lack there of) simply is not what the American people want. There is evidence of IPSs holding their pipelines hostage to the likes of Netflix, what is there to stop that from becoming commonplace?
51. Net neutrality, Birmingham, AL, 35243
I'm in favor of strong net neutrality with isp oversight. Keep the Internet free

and for the people.

52. Josh Naro, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I am in support of strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. The internet is just as much a utility as power or water.
53. Shane E Womack, Pinson, AL, 35216
Support a free and open internet!
54. Peter Cook, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I STRONGLY support Net Neutrality, via the Title II oversight of ISP's. It's absurd to claim that a voluntary agreement will hold the ISPs responsible, and will only serve to hinder America's standing in a competitive, modern, and global economy. There is NO benefit to the consumer if net neutrality is removed.
55. Matthew Williams, Birmingham, AL, 35216
I support strong net neutrality supported by Title II strong support of ISPs, you need to preserve Net Neutrality and Tittle II
56. Net Neutrality, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I support strong net neutrality, backed by the title II enforcement of ISPs. Removing this is removing the freedom of thousands of companies and consumers, and has no benefit whatsoever to the everyday person.
57. PS, Birmingham, AL, 35216
Net neutrality is needed because there is little competition in this space. With ISPs merging and merging with content providers, we need to have some regulation that the "little" guys on the internet are not blocked from having equal distribution on the internet. If more competition is allowed especially with ISP provides, net neutrality may not be as necessary (but probably still would be a good idea). Currently at my house, I have only 2 choices for an ISP, and our local government is unwilling to offer more choices. There is little evidence that net neutrality prevents innovation.
58. Net Neutrality, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I fully support Net Neutrality backed by Title II. Trying to dress this up as a fight for our internet freedom is insulting. Please do the right thing and don't sell out the American people.
59. Andrew Malone, Homewood, AL, 35209
Net Neutrality is extremely important to myself and all who wish to see America continue as a free nation, rather than one that is run by corporations and the super wealthy. I personally love capitalism, insofar as it doesn't screw the individual out of enjoying life the way they want it. If Net Neutrality is

repealed, it will begin the rapid decline of America into a country that would sell its citizens for a quick buck.

60. Wes Garner, Birmingham, AL, 35216
I support Title 2 Net Neutrality designation. We must have a free and open internet
61. John M. Mullinax, Hoover, AL, 35244
Past actions have already proven that ISP's WILL show favoritism for one service over another by denying service. It is not an inconceivable likelihood that they shall also show favoritism for one service over another based upon financial incentives. Distinct policies can and should be made regarding wireless and landline access. Because wireless access allows competition between competing providers dropping the rules about net neutrality is not unreasonable IN METRO AREAS. In rural and outlying areas where only one wireless provider may be operating the rules over net neutrality should remain in force. In areas where more than one wireless provider operates the rules over net neutrality can reasonably be suspended. Landline access does not allow true competition because the landline systems and associated equipment are all owned by a single company in a given physical location. Net neutrality is essential to maintaining a free exchange of ideas and information in an open and free society. Satellite services fall into a gray area. Not every location has equivalent satellite access (the north side of an apartment building for example) and even wireless routers have range limitations. If there is no landline access then a satellite service should be treated as a landline service with rules in effect BUT if there is landline service available the satellite service should be treated as another wireless service. At this time there are only two retail satellite service providers but both have the same geographic limitations and advantages. They should be treated as wireless services BUT required to make known to their customers in plain language if they intend to speed up or slow down any internet communications channels or sites. The American public relies on internet service for many of the routine functions of daily life as evidenced by this very site. The FCC must consider separating the varied services by type and making rules appropriate to that service type. You are in charge of a public trust. Be trustworthy.
62. Michael Christopher, Hoover, AL, 35226
Please preserve net neutrality and title II enforcement.
63. Michael Gann, Helena, AL, 35080
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet service providers. Thank you
64. Patrick Westcott, Helena, AL, 35080

Internet access has come to define so much of our society now, that free and unfettered access to resources and media over that internet is almost as needful as electricity. Moreover, a lot of our viewpoints are shaped by the media we ingest and giving mega corporations so much control over our exercise of free speech and ingestion of said free speech transfers far too much power in a democracy from the hands of the people to the hands of an oligarch. I strongly support existing Net Neutrality regulations as a result of these viewpoints and discourage any repeal or rollback of such regulations.

65. Wayne, Birmingham, AL, 35216
We support net neutrality. I don't care what your EX employer Verizon wants. Freedom was fought by soldiers and now our freedoms are being attacked by Trump Admin. I will put this in Trump Speak for you so you can understand 'Sad, Really Sad. '... Our country is for sale to the highest bidder. Money is voice over actual common welfare of people , are you really OK with this?
66. Josh Sheppard, Birmingham, AL, 35243
As a US citizen and Alabama resident I strongly urge you to keep the same regulations for Internet Service Providers and have them remain under the Title 2 regulations.
67. Daniel Condrey, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I emphatically support strong net neutrality. Your Reese's cup doesn't fool me, bitch.
68. Christopher Ray, west blocton, AL, 35184
Net Neutrality is need for open and growing market internet, I openly support it.
69. alexander, Montevallo, AL, 35115
This is a frew county so the internet should be free too. Net neutrality for life.
70. john thompson, Helena, AL, 35022
I strongly support NET-NEUTRALITY protections as they stand under Title II.
71. Al Abama, Alabama City, AL, 35007
I support stronger net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Keep the ISP honest - just putting a "promise" in the terms and services is ripe to abuse.
72. Alec Williams, Helena, AL, 35080
I strongly support net neutrality laws and would prefer ISPs be regulated under Title 2 of the Communications Act.
73. Shelby Burbach, Birmingham, AL, 35226
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II. It is of utmost importance that the internet remains a place of equal opportunity for creators and competitors.

74. Benjamin Harris, Gardendale, AL, 35071
The proposal to roll back the net neutrality provisions of the previous administration is both anticompetitive and detrimental to the public. It is short sighted at best, evidence of federal collusion with private companies at worst.
75. Wayne Jordan, Pinson, AL, 35126
As an IT Professional, I fear that reclassifying ISPs as Type1 would create the potential for anticompetitive treatment of user traffic to sites not prioritized by the ISP. I also have strong privacy concerns about allowing ISP to essentially serve as "Man-In-The-Middle" and interact with / view / alter my data while in transit.
76. Joshua Pendleton, Vestavia Hills, AL, 35216
I support Title 2 Net Neutrality designation. We must have a free and open Internet.
77. Ray Davis, Hoover, AL, 35244
I support strong net net Neutrality backed by Title II
78. Mason VanSword, Birmingham, AL, 35210
I am writing to express my desire for consistent net neutrality under Title II as is currently being done. Listening to ISPs on how they do not want to be governed is outrageous, especially by those who take money from these organizations.
79. Kyle DeGreen, Trussville, AL, 35173
I would like to express my strong support for Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Internet providers should not sabotage the access to other web pages that they feel compete with their own versions. The same applied to phone providers. Please avoid measures that infringe net neutrality.
80. Bryan Pallotta, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Dissolution of net neutrality, or relocation of its oversight to another jurisdiction, would be an irresponsible and reprehensible decision. It only serves to allow ISPs to exercise their power over consumers to their detriment, and incentivizes those companies to engage in practices that discourage free market. In this case, less regulation will not encourage competition, as ISPs have de facto won any competition; it will remove third parties' ability to join in the marketplace at all. Business is about making money, and if providing a worse, more insular, less valuable product to consumers is seen as more profitable, then having good faith with companies who already agree to gouge prices on the same scale, exponents above actual cost of operation, is unwise.
81. Bernard McLean, Trussville, AL, 35173
I am interested in continuation of strong net neutrality protection enforced by Title II legal rules.

82. Carter Merritt, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Please keep net neutrality and title II classification for ISPs
83. tim griffin, Gardendale, AL, 35071
Keep strong net neutrality rules blocked by title II
84. Darius Gamble, Gardendale, AL, 35071
Please leave net neutrality alone. Do not allow throttling of any kind keep it neutral!
85. Anondo Banerjee, Hoover, AL, 35244
I am writing this to express that I believe that ISPs should be regulated under Title II. A federal court has sad that the FCC does not have the authority to regulate ISPs under Title I. As such, the shift to regulating ISPs under Title II was necessary and prudent. Please do not change anything. In this regard, the FCC is doing a great job in its role as a regulatory body.
86. Jared Adams, Hoover, AL, 35244
Keeping net neutrality is of the utmost importance. We should not be giving ISP's the ability to be unfair and then trusting them not to do it. They are fighting for the ability so they can do it.
87. Zahir Merchant, Hoover, AL, 35244
The stripping of Net Neutrality will make the internet a shadow of its former self, relying on companies to restrain themselves in terms of data fast lanes with no oversight is laughably ridiculous.
88. Ira Hyatt, Pelham, AL, 35124
Network neutrality is a must. Keep this under title 2. Network providers should not have the ability to make response time or availability of network sites dependent on money paid to them by large internet savvy corporations at the expense of smaller companies. Fairness in trade is is still an American standard.
89. Andrew Abbott, Pelham, AL, 35124
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Tile 2 oversight of ISP's!
90. John Wilson, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I cannot stress the importance of keeping a free and open internet with net neutrality intact. It is vitally important to our internet economy.
91. logan Reeves, Helena, AL, 35080
I support net neutrality and any weakening will greatly hurt all consumers
92. Olivia Daly, Chelsea, AL, 35043
I support the preservation of strong oversight of net neutrality under Title II.

93. William Wentowski, Vestavia Hills, AL, 35243
I strongly support Net Neutrality as backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
Without oversight they will abuse customer data.
94. Olivia Womack, Birmingham, AL, 35235
We cannot trust corporations to do the morally just thing. Keep title to to secure enforceable law and our internet.
95. Olivia Damon, Vestavia Hills, AL, 35242
Net neutrality is absolutely essential to freedom of information in our country.
Because the US is an information society, I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
96. Andrew Meehan, Birmingham, AL, 35213
I am in support of ****Strong Net Neutrality**** backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs!
97. Mason Jenkins, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
Anything less is a danger to our American right to the free, unhindered access to information and content the Internet has to offer.
98. Matthew Riddle, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Net Neutrality is important for a healthy thriving internet, so please do not get rid of it!
99. Jason McCollum, Leeds, AL, 35094
We need Net Neutrality and Title 2 protections for a free and open internet.
Removing these regulations would be a very dangerous thing.
100. 17-108, Helena, AL, 35080
I support net neutrality. To say there are no examples of ISPs breaking these rules is disingenuous at best. Blocking Google Wallet while favoring their own payment option is only one example, the intentionally let internet backbone connections falter in order to squeeze more money out of Netflix before these rules were passed, and suddenly all those problems magically disappeared. Comcast repeatedly delays allowing competitors tv apps on their network, and even talks of a Roku surcharge if you wish to use Roku instead of their service.
101. net neutrality, Pelham, AL, 35124
The majority of Americans have little option for wired in-home broadband internet. As such, internet service providers (ISPs) should continue to be regulated under FCC Title II rules. Giving ISPs the option to discriminate against specific legal sources or types of data will only harm the consumer in a market with little choice of alternative options. The internet functions as a

means for individuals to both send and receive communications. It should be regulated in a manner similar to other communication technologies, not as a one-way broadcast technology.

102. Brent Dupuy, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I would like to affirm my support for net neutrality and oversight of our ISPs!
103. Jeremiah Rastegar, Trussville, AL, 35173
Please maintain net neutrality backed by Title II's oversight of ISP's.
104. Lisa Allen, Birmingham, AL, 35212
Dear Mr. Pai, I support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I don't normally lend my voice to causes, but this one is important enough to me to add my comments. Thank you.
105. Luke Nichols, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. John Oliver is a beautiful, yet still rat-faced, bastard.
106. Ajit Pai, Pinson, AL, 35126
I specifically support net neutrality and Title 2
107. Chris Biga, Birmingham, AL, 35212
I STRONGLY support NET Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP. For a strong democracy, we need a neutral internet, where ALL ideas can be expressed on an equal platform. Our democracy is more important than your pocketbook.
108. SHAUN FINNIGAN, Mountain Brook, AL, 35223
I support a strong net neutrality backed by TITLE 2 oversight of the FCC
109. Brandon Cecil, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I support an open internet supported through Title 2 to provide net neutrality any other means will provide for unfair business practices among ISPs and services provided on the internet. This is common sense.
110. Jacob Banks, Quinton, AL, 35130
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's. The internet is one of the few bastions left in our society that is truly free.
111. Jordan Weinmann, Birmingham, AL, 35244
I specifically support strong Net Neutrality via Title II oversight of ISPs.
112. Lynn Waugh, Birmingham, AL, 35210
Keep isps under Article II to preserve the internet for everyone's use. There is

absolutely no evidence of any harmful impact involved with the change from Article I to Article II ,and shareholder presentations support that. Net Neutrality must be maintained.

113. Corey Jones, Calera, AL, 35040

I am highly opposed to No. 17-108 . Taking away Net Neutrality is taking away more of my freedoms. Please consider the people instead of the corporations.

114. Ian Watters, Homewood, AL, 35209

Under the net neutrality doctrine, as I'm sure you know, ISPs cannot favor (or more accurately, disfavor) any connection being provided. Title II prevents them from requiring customers or websites to pay more in order to be severed with acceptable speed. This means that online startups can't be forced out of business simply because they cannot afford to pay ISPs what would, almost certainly, be an exorbitant amount of money just to be connectible. This would also prevent a chilling effect, as ISPs would not be able to balloon the loading times of websites they, or the state, would prefer their users to not see. Regardless of whether or not either of the groups would actually use that power, simply having it will cause many websites to censor content on it that might otherwise be left up, for fear of their connections being throttled. This would also cause harm to our political process since people would be afraid to make controversial statements, especially in criticism of fortune 500 companies or the powers that be, just to ensure a relatively decent connection. Neither title I regulation nor total deregulation will not prevent these issues. As the Obama-era FCC learned, title I is too weak to protect net neutrality; net neutrality is what would prevent these issues; for these reasons, I am in favor of strong net neutrality protections by classifying internet service providers under title II.

115. Joseph Baker, Birmingham, AL, 35222

A free and open internet can only be achieved through regulations that enforce network neutrality between common carriers. A data packet is a data packet and should be treated as such, the difference between types of packets is unimportant. Anything else opens up the possibility of carriers shaping traffic to benefit their own products/services or partners paying them to favor theirs. Keep common carriers under Title II in order to protect the future Development of the economy and technology in general.

116. Internet Freedom, Gardendale, AL, 35071

The security of Net Neutrality is vital for a free and open internet that allows consumers to go about their lives using the internet. Believing that Title 2 restrictions prevent innovation is a non truth and only hurts to harm our freedoms as Americans.

117. Kyle Duncan, birmingham, AL, 35243

I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight by ISPs!

118. Jarrett Vance, Vestavia, AL, 35216
Please keep the internet open and free. Please protect and enforce net neutrality.
Please encourage small ISP startups and help them compete.
119. Debra Slaughter, Fultondale, AL, 35068
Leave the Net Neutrality alone. The Supreme Court agrees with that and you know it. It belongs under Title 2
120. Ryan Hill, Birmingham, AL, 35242
ISPs simply cannot be trusted to collectively prioritize the greater good of the general population when the incentives to do otherwise are obvious. Net Neutrality MUST be protected at all cost!
121. DeMarcus Clinton, Calera, AL, 35040
Please preserve Net Neutrality and the ISPs classification under Title II.
122. David Kitchens, Birmingham, AL, 35205
I support net neutrality. I urge the FCC to please continue to support strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II.
123. Ber, Mountain Brook, AL, 35243
Whomever this concerns: Please keep Title 2 going. I already have issues with my cable provider not providing good service because they have a monopoly for high speed internet service in our neighborhood. We do not have any choice when we need high speed internet access. Giving them the go ahead to do whatever they want will just be adding insult to injury.
124. Mark Philpot, Birmingham, AL, 35226
Net neutrality and title 2 should be preserved. Internet providers should not be allowed to limit people access to different websites because it benefits them.
125. Christina Turner, Irondale, AL, 35210
I am in favor of keeping ISP's as Title II which allows for having tighter control over regulations. We will not tolerate corporations to have ANY control over information over the internet, or the speed in which it is received. The current regulations should not be tampered with. I hope that one day internet access will be treated as a utility and then receive the protections that status guarantees to the general public.
126. Shelby Formentano, Helena, AL, 35080
I support net neutrality backed by Title II oversights of ISPs and am STRONGLY opposed to Docket No. 17-108.

127. Patrick strickland, Fultondale, AL, 35068
I support strong neutrality back title 2
128. Scott, Birmingham, AL, 35222
I strongly support Net Neutrality and opposed this and any loosening of net neutrality regulations. We as consumers do not have access to an open market when it comes to selecting ISPs, and should therefore be protected.
129. John Thomas, pleasant grove, AL, 35127
My name is John Thomas (Jack), and I'm a 4th year student pharmacist at Samford University in Birmingham alabama; Doctor of Pharmacy / Master of Public Health (PharmD/MPH) candidate 2018. I support the net neutrality preservation. It should stay in the title 2 classification. It should be regulated as a utility in the USA, just like water and power. I understand where the idea comes from for free and open market principles in the world of the internet, but I do not believe this should be classified underneath these principles. The internet should be free and open, period; and the FCC Should have the regulatory authority to enforce net neutrality. The fact that the current head of the FCC wants to say this is why infrastructure is being help back, is plain ridiculous. Our infrastructure is behind most of the world. Thank you for your time, J. Thomas
130. Tosha Caston-Smith, Maylene, AL, 35114
I specifically support Strong net neutrality backed by title to oversight of ISPs. Seriously.
131. Tosha Caston-Smith, Maylene, AL, 35114
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Seriously.
132. Art Meripol, Hoover, AL, 35226
Please do not make changes in the current status of 'net neutrality' The changes from just a few years ago were needed and are only more needed going forward. Our nations strength among the nations of the world depends on equal access and equal speed for all citizens in order to compete. You cannot expect Corporations who must be profitable not to play fast and loose with net access. Any changes in the current Title II regulations would be detrimental to our freedom and ability to create jobs and value in our country.
133. Jennifer Spiegelman, Birmingham, AL, 35222
I strongly support an open and free internet with title 2 regulations. Our access to knowledge and different beliefs is what helps us to keep up and thrive in an ever growing and changing world. Don't roll back progress.
134. nicholas degges, Birmingham, AL, 35226

we deserve net neutrality and title 2

135. Preston Maberry, Birmingham, AL, 35243
It is important to keep the regulations on ISPs as they are now. For something as important as keeping the internet fair and accessible to everyone, it is necessary to retain oversight in order to keep the ISPs honest about their promises to support net neutrality. This proceeding will result in the opposite. We need to keep ISPs as they currently are classified in order to ensure the internet stays neutral.
136. Tyler Gester, Birmingham, AL, 35223
I strongly support keeping ISPs under title II. Net neutrality enforcement is paramount to the free and open internet. Any move against the strongest enforcement of net neutrality will only harm American people and American companies.
137. Jody McCormick, Birmingham, AL, 35244
I strongly support an open internet in which ANY and ALL use of the Internet for business, company, or individual purposes is protected from favoritism to their competitors, or to any particular website or online service, from any ISP (Internet Service Provider). Regulations to this end are an absolute must.
138. Benjamin Ivey, Chelsea, AL, 35043
The freedom of the Internet is a crucial aspect of many people's lives, to limit it is to place a chain around all those who depend on it for work, communication, and overall ability to maintain their livelihoods.
139. Jacob Neill, Trussville, AL, 35173
Please preserve Strong Net Neutrality and Title II classification. These are very important to how the internet works as of today.
140. Kathryn Varner, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Please preserve strong net neutrality rules and Title 2.
141. David Wilkinson, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Net neutrality needs to be preserved and ISP's need to remain under Title 2
142. Kyle Calvert, Trafford, AL, 35172
Internet has become a necessity in the everyday life. We need fair, quick access, to the internet.
143. Jon Price, Gardendale, AL, 35071
I strongly support net neutrality back by title II oversight for ISPs.
144. Andrew Maharrey, Birmingham, AL, 35217

I do not trust that the ISP's have my best interest in mind. They should not be de-regulated because they will abuse the freedom at the expense of the people. Keep my internet neutral and open.

145. Joshua Sloan, Warrior, AL, 35180

As someone who has been subject to ISPs limiting availability and performance many times in the past in my area I know all too well that the claim that neutrality prevents investment in infrastructure is blatantly false. In fact, ISPs in America (and almost ONLY America) have frequently been caught throttling people and limiting how much they are allowed to utilize per month RATHER than invest in infrastructure to keep it able to handle the actual bandwidth people would use otherwise. Thus it is absolutely necessary FOR investment in infrastructure that ISPs not be allowed to do these things. What's more, there is a significant question of business ethics. Putting aside the question of someone who formerly worked for Verizon deciding what Verizon is allowed to do, it is highly unethical to not only permit, but to encourage companies to illegally utilize anti-competitive methods such as blocking or limiting access to competitors along their networks.

146. Zack, Birmingham, AL, 35243

I adamantly support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Please make the right decision for the individual citizen.

147. Justin Martin, Kimberly, AL, 35091

I strongly support net neutrality supported by Title II oversight of ISP. The internet is precious... don't ruin it.

148. John H. Killian, BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35209

PLEASE preserve net neutrality for the sake of free speech and fair access. We must not allow service providers to dictate content and accessibility. This will allow an environment that stifles the free market.

149. Net neutrality, Hoover, AL, 35226

I support only strong net neutrality specifically backed under Title 2 oversight of ISP's

150. Erin McGough, Homewood, AL, 35209

Please ensure that we preserve net neutrality by not allowing the upcoming bill about Title II to pass. We all need a free and open internet that doesn't give unfair advantage to large corporations!!

151. John Parris, Birmingham, AL, 35222

A free and open Internet protected by Net Neutrality measures is essential to innovation and access. Most Americans have very limited choice for high speed Internet access and federal oversight is critical to ensuring consumer protection.

Please keep the net neutrality protections of Title II in place for ISPs.

152. Richard Chounghae Lee, Gardendale, AL, 35071
I believe the internet should be totally open and no corporation or person should be able to block communication from one intended person to another for any and all reasons. There should be no redirection, duplication, addition, or subtraction of data streams, There should be no intentional out of service to sever communication, and there should be a full and non-partial disclosure of all parties involved in such activity and their actions, if it were to ever happen.
153. Marion Gropen, Mountain Brook, AL, 35223
I support net neutrality, and don't want to change the regulations. There is too much economic pressure to violate parity. We need regulation to counterbalance that pressure. If you want small businesses to thrive, you need to give them protected access to the Internet. There's no cost to this, nor any legitimate social gain from allowing net neutrality to be violated.
154. Anne Greaves, Birmingham, AL, 35223
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's.
155. kathryn kitchen, Hoover, AL, 35242
I am writing to ask you to protect title 2 for net neutrality.
156. Jason King, Alabaster, AL, 35007
I support net neutrality and keeping the internet under title 2.
157. kelsey, Columbiana, AL, 35051
I strongly support Netneutrality under Title II
158. Corey Kitchens, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Please preserve net neutrality and Title 2. Help keep our internet freedoms.
159. Michael Anthony Noto, Vestavia Hills, AL, 35243
I support Net Neutrality, and believe Title 2 should be imposed upon ISPs. DO NOT kill Net Neutrality! Not only will you ruin your careers, but you'll be seen as the people who destroyed the internet for future generations.
160. Paul Craig, Homewood, AL, 35209
The Internet is a powerful resource that should be available to all with the guaranteed protection of Net Neutrality. As a concerned consumer I am commenting today to encourage the FCC to continue having Title II oversight on Internet Service Providers; so that all Internet consumers have fair and unfettered access.
161. Landon Rogan, Birmingham, AL, 35223

I support Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight! ISPs will abuse their power without this protection!

162. Paul Bryant, MD, Birmingham, AL, 35226
Net neutrality ensures a level playing field that helps promote innovation and encourage economic growth while protecting consumers from unfair practices. Please reject Chairman Pai's approach. Keep Title 2 protections for Net Neutrality.
163. Erica Fox, Hoover, AL, 35244
Please keep net neutrality under Title II. We need the strict provisions that are currently in place.
164. John Coleman, Birmingham, AL, 35213
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II. It is important for our children. And for our chocolate.
165. Chris Perry, Mountain Brook, AL, 35213
I want to express my deepest support for Title II oversight of internet service providers. In no way should the FCC abandoned these free and fair principles in favor of large ISPs. ISPs have a history of abusing net neutrality to prioritize their preferred content. Continued vertical integration of ISPs with content providers only supplies greater incentive for ISP to infringe on the free and open internet that all Americans deserve.
166. Josh, Birmingham, AL, 35226
I support Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of Internet service providers. I oppose Docket No. 17-108!
167. John Amburgy, Birmingham, AL, 35213
Please keep net neutrality rules as they are under Title 2. I do not believe change (to Title 1) will benefit any consumer.
168. Paul Hartless Jr., Trussville, AL, 35173
Keep title 2 protection for net neutrality. I don't trust large corporations.
169. Wil Barnett, Alabaster, AL, 35007
I support strong net neutrality with Title II oversight of internet service providers. Keep the Internet fair and open.
170. Jim, Birmingham, AL, 35243
Preserve net neutrality and Title 2 regulations and protections.
171. Daniel Logan, Vestavia Hills, AL, 35216
I strongly support net neutrality backed by Title II support of ISPs. Anything

less provides too great a temptation for corporations who, by their nature, must prioritize their bottom line over all other concerns.

172. Sheri Robinson, Birmingham, AL, 35213
Keep net neutrality and title 2 regulatory framework.
173. Kelly Conwell, BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35235
Maintain net neutrality. I support Title II.
174. William Aycock, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. ISPs cannot be trusted to police themselves with no oversight. Doing so would be a serious breach of responsibility by the FCC to the public.
175. Chris, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Keep net neutrality as it has been and keep ex verizon lawyers as far away as possiblek thanks...bye
176. Nathan Jones, Gardendale, AL, 35071
Would you enjoy going to the grocery store and being told you'd have to pay an additional \$5 to shop the dairy aisle? Would you enjoy going to a car dealer and being told you'd need to fork over \$20 to browse their "special" selection of cars? Do you want to have to pay for access to the internet, and then pay again for "special" access to the internet? It's a ridiculous, unnecessary marketing ploy that will burden the American citizens. Listen to your citizens. Represent our interests. Do not allow ISPs to prioritize internet traffic. They repeatedly show that they thrive on destroying competition through monopolization and creating laws in towns to prevent any competition. This will just give them more leverage with which to pry into the wallets of the already falling behind people of America. Serve your people! They need you now more than ever.
177. Matt, Birmingham, AL, 35213
keep strong Net Neutrality Rules backed by Title II.
178. Christopher Giordano, Birmingham, AL, 35244
I support enforced and strong Net Neutrality until Title II. Do not give ISPs the ability to preferentially change access to sites based upon any criteria. Let the internet stay neutral.
179. Brandon Rocque, Mountain Brook, AL, 35213
This filing is to express my support for STRONG Net Neutrality rules, with legal standing in Title 2.
180. Gabrielle Rocque, Birmingham, AL, 35213
I am filing to express my support for strong net neutrality rules based on Title 2.

181. Daniel Cotton, Birmingham, AL, 35243
I support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's. You cannot argue that companies will not take advantage of this lack of oversight, since that idea assumes that large corporations will act in the best interest of the consumer (which isn't true). Take the gilded age during the late 19th century, when monopolies were rampant, and you'll see that both consumer and labor rights were violated in so many different ways. Companies almost always act in their own best interest, which very rarely helps the consumers, unless in a perfectly competitive market. NEWSFLASH! This is more representative of an oligopoly, where a few large firms hold all of the market shares, rather than a perfectly competitive market. Trickle-down economics has not proven successful, and in fact has been proven as a fundamental failure as an economic system, so it is impossible to say that increased revenue for the corporations will help the public in the long run. Furthermore, the idea of breaking down net neutrality goes against the very nature on which our country was founded. Isn't the United States a place where every man is free, where the government is by and for the people? The founding fathers could never have imagined an issue such as this, but they certainly did not want some people to have advantages over others, whether they be political or economic advantages. Having said all of that, I implore that you not remove regulations on ISP's. Doing so will create a homogenized internet with almost no competition, which could even end up hurting the economy. The internet is a wonderful place where anyone can find his/her place, and destroying that is, in it's very essence, un-American.
182. Eartha McGoldrick, Birmingham, AL, 35217
Preserve net neutrality by keeping strong Title II oversight by the FCC.
183. Grant Pair, Trussville, AL, 35173
I SPECIFICALLY support strong net neutrality that is BACKED BY TITLE II OVERSIGHT OF ISPs.
184. Valerie Salinas, Hoover, AL, 35226
I am strongly in favor of net neutrality and Title II compliance.
185. Net, Neutrality, Pinson, AL, 35126
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. The benefits of net neutrality, in this age and moving forward, are no less important than freedom of speech.
186. Daniel Stallings, Birmingham, AL, 35226
I strongly support net neutrality laws under Title II of the 1934 Communications Act.
187. Donna Kacker, PELHAM, AL, 35124
Keep net neutrality. Keep the internet open and free.

188. Benjamin W Giles, Birmingham, AL, 35226
I support regulating ISP's as utilities. Keep Title II protections in place. Freedom to information and expression via the open web, with the goal of a more meritocratic republic, should be human right like in Finland.
189. Carole Schradung, Birmingham, AL, 35243
I strongly support the preservation of Net Neutrality and Title II
190. Daniel Allen, Hoover, AL, 35244
Keep Net Neutrality and Title II protected!!!
191. Walter Schradung, Birmingham, AL, 35243
I strongly support net neutrality and preservation of title II regulations
192. Nicole Watkins, Birmingham, AL, 35210
I strongly oppose any attempt to remove internet regulation from Title II, and I expect the FCC Chair to act in accordance with the best interest of the American people and American consumers. Evidence overwhelmingly shows that the reclassification of internet regulation did NOT adversely impact business, and benefits consumers and consumer protection. Net neutrality is in the best interest of the public, and it is in the best interest of the Chair to support it, particularly because he should recall that he works for Americans, and not Verizon.
193. Jacob Thelen, Homewood, AL, 35209
I support strong net net neutrality and the use of Title 2 to supports a strong net neutrality.
194. Nancy Corona, Vestavia, AL, 35243
Please preserve net neutrality backed by Title II
195. Emily Smith, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Please keep net neutrality in place. Without it, the Internet is not a level playing field. I suspect you're aware of that.
196. Joshua Fuller, Alabaster, AL, 35007
Without Net Neutrality we lose freedom of speech.
197. Ryan Shaddix, Birmingham, AL, 35226
Please support net neutrality, please.
198. katy cairo, Hoover, AL, 35226
I support strong net neutrality laws backed by by title II oversight of isp's.
199. toney schliskey, Morris, AL, 35116

Do not remove net neutrality- leave it in title II

200. Gaines Hartley, Birmingham, AL, 35213
I strongly support net neutrality enforced by Title II oversight of internet service providers and would be very disappointed if the FCC were to do away with net neutrality.
201. N Decker, Alabaster, AL, 35007
Keep net neutrality in force. Do not turn the internet into the next commercial wasteland after US industry gets hold of it and sells to highest bidder.
202. Margaret Sudarshan, Birmingham, AL, 35222
I support strong net neutrality, protected under Title 2 - NOT adequately protected under title 1. Please do not strip the power of the FCC to protect the American public!
203. Jeremy Hill, Trussville, AL, 35173
I do not support this proposal. Any action that declassifies ISPs as Title II entities does not have the good of the American public at heart. In the early stages of internet expansion, the light-touch regulations provided by Title I of the Telecommunications act of 1934 was enough to protect investment and the consumer. But today we live in an age where the giant established ISPs are entangled with media companies, corporate data centers, and each other to a degree that has become anti-competitive. The free reign of Goliaths like Time Warner, AT&T, Verizon, etc... has only ended in the average consumer being squeezed for every dollar they are worth while true research and expansion remain afterthoughts. To truly protect new internet investment, and to protect the rights of American internet users across the country, I fully reject the notion that this bill will increase online freedoms so much as it will loosen the leash on corporate behemoths whose responsibility should focus on the consumer.
204. John Stanley Clark, Birmingham, AL, 35209
I strongly support net neutrality via Title 2 oversight of ISPs
205. Simon, Birmingham, AL, 35222
I strongly support Net Neutrality. Please do not strip away the Obama era net neutrality laws that keep our internet a free and fair place. I do not want some companies having a stronger influence on me than others just because of money.
206. Justin Rogers, Birmingham, AL, 35213
I would like the FCC to preserve net neutrality through title 2.
207. Net Neutrality, Montevallo, AL, 35115
I would like you to preserve net neutrality by maintaining Title II status for

ISPs.

208. Roger Wentowski, Birmingham, AL, 35244
I support Net Neutrality that is regulated under Title II.
209. Zac Peterson, Birmingham, AL, 35210
I support strong measures of Net Neutrality, and the only way to ensure that is to regulate ISPs as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Ajit Pai, a former advocate for Verizon, an ISP, is the last person that should be trying to change the current rules to weaken net neutrality. I don't think my ISP should be able to slow down the traffic of Netflix or an internet startup because it would benefit a site or other entity that my ISP might promote. Republicans complain all the time about allowing the marketplace to pick winners and losers instead of government regulation, well this proposed regulatory change would allow ISPs to pick winners and losers in the economy of the future. The internet should be a neutral landscape where all internet traffic is treated the same; not a dystopian framework where a corporation can pick and choose what traffic is favored and what is curtailed.
210. Michael Mosteller, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Keep ISP under Title 2 regulations
211. Martin Hauseman, Birmingham, AL, 35222
Stop sucking and do what is right. You bucktooth chubby check Internet limiting aholes!
212. Joel Good, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I support Net Neutrality enforced by article 2 of the Communications act of 1934.
213. Daniel Selman, Birmingham, AL, 35216
Maintain article 2. For fairness. Verizon lawyers dont represent the american people.
214. NOPE, Seattle, AL, 53075
Don't, seriously... don't... like, dude
215. Ali Al-Beshri, Hoover, AL, 35226
I strongly support net neutrality protected by title 2 for ISPs
216. Christine Watts, Birmingham, AL, 35222
The Title II protections for the internet protect consumers and promote small business: repealing them in favor of "voluntary" enrollment on behalf of isps is a shameful disregard for American citizens and their privacy as well as fair competition

217. Blake Waddle, Trussville, AL, 35173
Removing the Title II classification from ISPs without proper laws/rules allowing the internet to remain free and open for everyone is careless. The argument that ISPs will police themselves as they did prior to the Title II classification is short sided. Please reconsider your stance on removing the title II classification.
218. jake dew, Gardendale, AL, 35071
i support net neutrality and title 2
219. Justin Daniel Ottinger, Trussville, AL, 35173
It has come to my attention that the current FCC Chairman desires to rob Americans of the protections afforded by strong net neutrality and Title-2 oversight of ISPs. Depriving Americans of these protections would be highly detrimental to those businesses who are dependent upon the internet for their revenue, and would rob consumers of their right to access information without undue obstruction. I call upon the FCC and its Chairman to do their duty to the American people by protecting the rights that net neutrality and Title-2 oversight of ISPs safeguard. This is not a partisan issue--these protections benefit Americans of all stripes. It is the duty of the FCC and its Chairman to protect the rights of all American businesses and citizens, and if it were to rob Americans of these protections the FCC would be betraying its duty.
220. Joel Serrano, Alabaster, AL, 35007
Please keep ISPs under Title II. Trusting corporations to look out for the public's interests, when such an action is against their own interests, is an incompetent action.
221. Marc Harwell, Birmingham, AL, 35244
Title II is the only way to insure that ISPs are treated as pipelines which they are, and not as content curators, which they are not.
222. Jim Martin, birmingham, AL, 35216
Go FCC yourself. Thanks.
223. Adam Hinton, hoover, AL, 35244
Keep net neutrality and keep ISP's as Title II.
224. Charles Yeates, Birmingham, AL, 35222
I strongly support the concept of free & open net neutrality : that all Internet service providers enable access to all content & applications regardless of the source, & without favoring or blocking particular products or websites.
225. David Laney, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Here's a brand new idea: how about if the government OF the people actually

did something FOR the people for a change? Where does it say, "Government for Corporations?"

226. David Soria, Montevallo, AL, 35115
Access to the internet is crucial in this increasingly connected world and I hope you will not allow ISP corporations to blackmail the services I use on a daily basis AFTER I HAVE ALREADY PAID THE ISP TO GAIN ACCESS TO THESE SERVICES!! Net Neutrality is the definition of capitalism, democracy, and the American way! You don't allow the water company to charge me for water access and then turn around and blackmail me to replace all the plumbing in my house with their special brand of pipe. I hope you will keep Americans free from these mob tactics by greedy ISP corporations who will stomp on my rights to a free market of ideas if it will squeeze out another dollar for their pockets.
227. Brian Richards, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I support strong net neutrality that is backed by title 2. This is extremely important to the fairness and freedom of the American people.
228. Tom Pittman, Mountain Brook, AL, 35213
Please keep strong net neutrality rules “backed by Title II” in place. It's the right thing to do for US consumers and businesses. It's hard to believe that a Republican administration plans to sell out so quickly to the big cable and phone companies at the expense of our nation's competitiveness globally. This kind of brazen attempt to roll back net neutrality clearly demonstrates the FCC Chairman's arrogant assumption that we're a nation of morons. We're already embarrassingly behind other First World nations in high-speed access “let's not make it worse.
229. Bonnie B. McElroy, Hoover, AL, 35226
A free and easily accessible Internet has become essential. My husband and I both require it for work, for communicating with family, for news/information, and for our entertainment. Please do not make high speed Internet cost prohibitive to the majority of Americans. Our elected officials are not speaking for the people they are elected to represent if they override Net Neutrality.
230. Vanessa Culpepper, Chelsea, AL, 35043
PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY!!! LEAVE IT ALONE!
231. Frank Jones, Birmingham, AL, 35226
Net neutrality is a necessity. It is not a luxury. Internet access and traffic is absolutely integral to our modern world, no less than our interstate road system, which is equally open to all.
232. Charlie Dunham, Birmingham, AL, 35216

I strongly support Internet neutrality and believe that ISP providers should stay as title II entities.

233. Elaine Witt, Birmingham, AL, 35222
I support net neutrality and oppose proposed changes.
234. Patti Tichenor, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I am in favor of keeping strong net neutrality rules. I think we need to keep user access an even playing field for everyone.
235. Kyle Talley, Birmingham, AL, 35212
Please keep net neutrality in its current form. As an active registered voter, this issue is important to me. I expect that my communications utility service provider will allow me to choose which content to consume without regard to the "throttle" imposed upon such content by said service provider. The "throttle" limits my choices and impairs the free speech of tax paying, voting Americans. If you love America, you should love net neutrality.
236. James Anderson, Birmingham, AL, 35222
I am in strong support of Net Neutrality and oppose Docket No. 17-108.
237. Michael Massey, Trussville, AL, 35173
net neutrality's restrictions on ISPs are vital to the continuance of internet-based businesses and the free sharing of information; please, re-establish them with strong precedent
238. Internet_Neutrality, Vestavia Hills, AL, 35226
We need the FCC to continue it's regulation on internet neutrality. The internet providers will abuse their power. If you give them a chance to be bribed by, then they will take it. It is simply not worth the risk.
239. Wallace Inman, Birmingham, AL, 35226
I strongly agree with keeping net neutrality under title II. It will keep our ISPs from engaging in unethical behavior. We do not need ISPs to have the choice on whether to manipulate their consumers.
240. karen fowler, Birmingham, AL, 35222
Preserve strong net neutrality by maintaining title 2 of the communications act oversight
241. Jeremy Peters, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I support all that Net Neutrality stands for, and furthermore the Federal and State governments should not be allowed to abuse the principle that Internet service providers should enable access to all content and applications regardless of the source, and without favoring or blocking particular products or

websites. Any direct or indirect violation of these rights should be equal to a violation of The First Amendment included in the constitute of the Bill of Rights, or the United States Constitution; which prohibits the making of any law respecting an establishment of religion, ensuring that there is no prohibition on the free exercise of religion, abridging the freedom of speech, infringing on the freedom of the press, interfering with the right to peaceably assemble, or prohibiting the petitioning for a governmental redress of grievances.

242. Michael Connors, Birmingham, AL, 35244

I fully support Net Neutrality as held under Title 2. These companies have proved that they cannot behave without oversight and still try to skirt the laws whenever possible. Get your head out of your ass and their hands out of your pockets.

243. Leesa Shackelford, Irondale, AL, 35210

I support very strong net neutrality protected by Title II

244. Matt Ezell, Leeds, AL, 35094

For the sake of those rely on the Internet today and for those who've yet to come, Net Neutrality must be preserved - and so Title II should remain in effect for ISPs. Net Neutrality is incredibly important and requires continued governmental oversight via Title II classification - we cannot rely on the for-profit companies to self-regulate in a truly meaningful way, nor in a way that truly acts to preserve the spirit and intent of a neutral Internet. The misdirection currently being used by administration mouthpieces to mislead the public on this topic are despicable and those who continue to spew them should be ashamed. The truth is that infrastructure has continued to be grown, access speeds have continued to increase for the majority, base level access has been on the continued rise, and the industries surrounding the Internet have continued to boom. If the administration believes ISP Title reclassifications are truly in the interest of the American people, and not the private for-profit companies that politicians often times seem to serve, than state the actual case to the American people " but do not attempt to mislead us for the benefit of big business any longer.-Matt Ezell Advisory Architect / Software Developer servicing the Financial Industry.

245. Jeffery Steele, calera, AL, 35040

I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. The fact that we the people are having to fight this yet again is nothing short of disgusting. The campaigns and talking point of your leadership is painfully untruthful and you should be ashamed. Either that or they are really really ignorant.

246. David H. Wilkins, Pelham, AL, 35124

Net neutrality is very important to me. I believe that the existing environment is very beneficial to Internet business. Net neutrality is under attack by wireless companies selectively charging for data. Removing the existing Title II classification would accelerate the attacks and push small and medium sized businesses out of the market.

247. Title II, Trussville, AL, 35173

I want strong net neutrality rules through Title II enforcement.

248. RESTORING INTERNETFREEDOM, birmingham, AL, 35235

PLEASE KEEP THE INTERNET FREE AND UNDER TITLE II.

249. Sam Bierster, Pelham, AL, 35124

I support strong net neutrality, backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Please preserve it.

250. Tom Browne, Birmingham, AL, 35243

Please keep strong Net Neutrality rules in place backed up by the Title II statutes. This is best for the millions of Americans that access the Internet.

251. Marshall Malone, Birmingham, AL, 35226

Please know that I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISP's. Your intentions might be good in modifying these rules, but our goals for a positive internet experience differ entirely.

252. Jeffrey McDonald, Birmingham, AL, 35243

I support Title II classification for ISP's and want the FCC to retain enforceable jurisdiction over ISP's.

253. Drew Downs, Birmingham, AL, 35216

I am against this. Undermining net neutrality to give companies the ability to throttle or variable rate charge content as they please is a fundamental betrayal of American values of freedom of expression and honest competition. This proceeding creates an environment where the biggest corporate ISPs can interfere with corporate competitors and smaller businesses. In today's world, the internet is a necessary and pervasive medium. Turning it into the capitalist playground of big businesses, most of which already enjoy regional monopolies, is the sacrifice of the American people on the altar of corporate profits.

254. Kellie Mitchell, Birmingham, AL, 35216

i strongly support net neutrality as it currently stands as of 9 May 2017. Without net neutrality, the open and free Internet would be divided such that smaller websites could not compete. This could easily harm smaller and startup businesses as well as less popular sites by restricting the speed at which their

sites could operate unless they could pay more, effectively pricing them out of the online market. If we are to have a truly free exchange of products, services, and ideas, the Internet must retain net neutrality. The last thing that this world needs is more power and influence in the hands of cable companies, which are already known for regional monopolies in the communications industries and general hatred by their customers who have in many cases only one option for Internet.

255. Rebecca Kinney, Birmingham, AL, 35243
Net Neutrality needs to be preserved at the very least as mandated by Title II.
256. Stacey Allison, Hoover, AL, 35244
You tried to make it harder to vote for net neutrality but we will always want a fair internet.
257. Austin Murdaugh, Birmingham, AL, 35216
Do NOT destroy net neutrality! What the hell are you thinking? Do you want to ruin the internet forever?
258. janey diuguid, Hoover, AL, 35226
I seriously support net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
259. Ben Podbielski, Birmingham, AL, 35222
Net neutrality is the backbone of what makes the internet what it is today. By removing the title II distinction, you would create a market where this principal wouldn't be respected. If the market had more competition, then this wouldn't be an issue, but the majority of American's have 1 ISP option and it's not even a fast one. Please hear the voice of the people and don't remove the distinction.
260. Katherine Eastman, Birmingham, AL, 35209
The internet should be considered a common carrier and regulated under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Trusting to market forces is not enough to protect consumers from the worst deprivations of internet service providers. Capitalism ensures that a company's primary objective is not free and open access to resources, the good of mankind or the benefit of society, but rather the shareholder's earnings and the company's bottom line. We cannot trust to the goodness of ISPs to protect society, and it is naive and asinine to think that we can rely on the genuine goodness of corporations to ensure egalitarian access to a resource that is vital to participation in the modern world.
261. Ezra, Hoover, AL, 35226
The internet is literally the last major freedom the government hasn't restricted. Free and easily accessible information has already changed the world for the better than any other technological invention. It is absolutely crucial to uphold Net Neutrality if you care AT ALL for American freedoms. For the love of

God, vote to keep the net neutral.

262. Lisa B. Johnson, Birmingham, AL, 35222
We support net neutrality. Changing this will stifle competition and the free market, and harm consumers.
263. austin black, Mountain Brook, AL, 35213
It is important for us to keep the internet an equal and level playing field. ISP's don't have the right to slow down speeds for other websites. Studies have shown that even a slight increase in loading time for a website dramatically affects the sells of a business, particularly small businesses. I believe that small businesses are the backbone of our economy, and should get the respect they deserve on the internet. Large corporations such as Verizon should not be allowed to buy faster lanes to increase its sales. They should instead, figure out another way to increase profits. Maybe better customer service, or competitive pricing would help.
264. Brendan Beal, jemison, AL, 35085
I support strong net neutrality. Title II oversight of ISPs is important!
265. Ryan Lillard, Birmingham, AL, 35216
I support strong net neutrality rules and using title II to regulate ISPs, which provide a basic internet access to Americans. Thus, like water works, telephone, and power companies, they are a utility. Because they are a utility, they should be regulated as such.
266. Alexander Davies, Birmingham, AL, 35216
Please keep internet service providers (ISPs) regulated under Title II of the Telecommunications Act. More than ever has internet access become an integral part of the everyday life of Americans. This trend will only continue as more uses of the internet are discovered and exploited. Thus, internet service should be regulated in a similar manner to telephone service. Please continue to keep the internet traffic free from disruption by ISPs who want to regulate content.
267. Wes Van Horn, Birmingham, AL, 35226
I support net neutrality backed by the current Title II of the Communications Act.
268. Amanda Kin, Pinson, AL, 35126
I support Net Neutrality with Title II backing. To remove it thinking that ISPs would self regulate is asinine. There is no evidence to suggest that Title II legal footing has been detrimental to the internet.
269. Victor Kent, Hoover, AL, 35226

Keep net neutrality under article 2, you dingbats.

270. Keith Eure, Hoover, AL, 35216
I strongly support Net Neutrality and Title II. Please DO NOT change this ruling.
271. Brian S. Davis, Alabaster, AL, 35007
Preservation of net neutrality and Title 2 would be a good way to prevent large corporations from dominating the internet, but I have little hope that the corporate lapdawgs of this administration are concerned about the regular Americans who will be negatively impacted by such domination of the internet.
272. MATTHEW SULLIVAN, Homewood, AL, 35209
I support Net Neutrality and Title II status for Internet Service Providers.
273. Matthew C. Leo, Birmingham, AL, 35243
I stand in favor with net neutrality. Companies should not get to choose favorites with regards to the access of knowledge. This seems nonsensical that one would even have to make this stance, but here I am...
274. Melanie Smith, Hoover, AL, 35226
I strongly support net neutrality with Title 2 oversight of ISPs. Also, we do not need a former lawyer for Verizon representing the FCC. He clearly sides with private business interest and not the interest of the American public.
275. Net Neutrality, Birmingham, AL, 35213
Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title II! Thank you!
276. Ryan Omenski Green, Birmingham, AL, 35213
Please keep strong net neutrality rules backed by Title II - Thank you.
277. Zachary Irwin, Birmingham, AL, 35243
I strongly urge the FCC to reject this proposal, and to continue protecting the right of American citizens to access a free internet. Returning internet service providers (ISPs) to a lower regulatory burden would enable those companies to limit consumers' access to an open and fair internet, enriching those companies at their consumers' expense. We have previously seen that ISPs WILL engage in this behavior if allowed. For example, Comcast artificially limited download speeds for consumers using peer-to-peer software (even with no evidence such software was being used for illegal purposes). See also, that AT&T limited its customers' access to Apple FaceTime software unless they paid for a newer service package. See also that Verizon in 2014 artificially slowed down consumers' access to Netflix, despite Netflix paying Verizon expressly to improve its network performance. These examples show that ISPs have no problem engaging in anti-neutrality practices when it suits them. We, the

American people, need the FCC to keep strict regulatory oversight of these company in order to ensure that this does not happen and that we continue to enjoy an open internet.

278. Brian Hines, Pelham, AL, 35124

I strongly support Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. I do not want the laws changed. I do NOT support Ajit Pai's plan. Title II should not be changed or altered. The internet and access to internet is the most important utility we have next to water. Every person and company should have equal and unlimited access to it.

279. Hillary Meador, birmingham, AL, 35212

I strongly support net neutrality. The internet is a utility and should be regulated as such by guaranteeing equal access.

280. David Lormor, Hoover, AL, 35244

I support Net Neutrality and the free, open internet.

281. casey brooks, pelham, AL, 35124

i stand by strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs

282. David Crocker, Birmingham, AL, 35242

Sirs, The recent move to weaken the Net Neutrality rules is clearly not in the public interests. It is important that the FCC protect the consumers and not become a tool of large corporate interests. Keep the internet under Title II. Regards, David Crocker

283. FREDERICK Coleman, Gardendale, AL, 35071

... No Spotify without it...

284. net neutrality, Hoover, AL, 35226

Very opposed to internet being held ransom by ISPs and you had better keep it under title 2. It's a utility that all individuals and all companies should have equal access to. It is a necessity in the current economic climate that the US, as a beacon of freedom and hope, sets the standard for open internet policy and rules governing ISPs supplying this fundamental necessity.

285. Evan Moses, Birmingham, AL, 35211

I strongly support net neutrality and I strongly oppose a change of ISPs away from Title II.

286. Anthony Teem, Alabaster, AL, 35114

Removing neutrality protections from what the UN has declared a basic human is wrong for so many reasons. Small startups will have a hard time competing with established companies, last mile providers will be able to manipulate

access in order to gouge more money from consumers. If you continue this path you will end up seeing forced packages much like the cable TV access we see now. Do not kill net neutrality. Be for the people, be a hero of the people. The worst thing you can do for your future, the future of your family, and the future of this country is vote against neutrality and actual freedom instead of this farce.

287. 17-108, Birmingham, AL, 35216
I support strong net neutrality and to keep ISP under Title II.
288. Jeff Dailey, Hoover, AL, 35244
I support net neutrality with Title 2 oversight of ISPs
289. Nathan Boyd, Birmingham, AL, 35213
I am specifically in favor of preserving current net neutrality rules that allows FCC oversight of ISPs classified under title II of the communications act of 1934.
290. Cindy Rudd, Trussville, AL, 35173
I want Net neutrality to remain the law of the land.
291. Tom Forbes, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Please continue uphold Net Neutrality and Title II for Internet Service Providers.
292. Lance Granger, Vestavia, AL, 35243
DO NOT take away the protections of Net Neutrality. Corporations in charge of ISP's will not operate in a fair way towards their consumers
293. Charles James Phillips, Birmingham, AL, 35222
I wish to keep net neutrality rules as they were.
294. Sarah Gann, Birmingham, AL, 35216
I support a strong net neutrality. To me this seems to be common sense, and there should be no argument against a strong net neutrality. I understand the business entailments with cutting down net neutrality, but this only shuts down smaller businesses, essentially monopolizing the internet. Let's not have another gilded age! I also think you make your website extremely complicated on purpose. I don't even know if I'm filing this in the right place. Thank you for reading my concerns and others like it.
295. Daniel Moore, Birmingham, AL, 35242
PLEASE KEEP ISP'S TITLE II. As an American, I am for net neutrality.
296. Ben Baldwin, Hayden, AL, 35079
Do not allow providers the ability to create "fast lanes"

297. Adam Dkhili, Helena, AL, 35080
I support the current Title 2 regulations regarding Net Neutrality and do not want them to change.
298. Jonnie Hosler, Hoover, AL, 35244
I am in support of Strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISP's! And opposed to the Restoring Internet "Freedom" Act. I should not have to pay more to my ISP to access certain websites (Youtube, Netflix, Music Streaming, etc.) at a faster speed.
299. Jeff Marks, Birmingham, AL, 35213
PLEASE PLEASE PLEASE do NOT allow big cable companies control what we get to see online or which service appears to look better. The internet is meant to be neutral. We don't want it controlled by the biggest companies who can pay to direct more traffic to their products and services. Companies are in business to make maximum profit for their shareholders, not to be equal to competitors. They will not be fair if you get rid of net neutrality.
300. Mark E. Clark, Birmingham, AL, 35243
Please keep and maintain net neutrality as the primary objective for all users. If not, then it just becomes another example of corporate control and greed having greater influence over those of us with little to no ability to have sufficient and equal access to the same opportunities. Keep the internet neutral!
301. Carleton Ketcham, Birmingham, AL, 35216
I support strong laws and regulations to protect net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
302. MARTIN BLACK, TRUSSVILLE, AL, 35173
Please do not repeal Title II. This "return to freedom" is an absolute joke. Please don't expect us to be as stupid as this proposal treats us. We are no fools - indeed.
303. Chris Retan, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Please preserve net neutrality and strong Title Two protections to the Internet.
304. Robert Boike, Adamsville, AL, 35005
I am writing today to voice my support for net neutrality, abolishing it will do nothing but cause mass conflicts of interest and destroy the internet as we know it not just for the US but for all countries who rely on a truly free internet.
305. Dakotah Morgan, montevallo, AL, 35115
Do not abolish net neutrality
306. Anna Waters, Birmingham, AL, 35222

Net neutrality is vital to any democratic society that expects citizens of all backgrounds to have access to the information they need to make decisions in a democracy. That is a reality for us now. Please keep ISPs under Title II so they can't limit citizens' use of the internet for their own monetary gain.

307. Nathaniel Bray, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Preserve net neutrality and Title II! It is a big deal! No one who works for the FCC or represents it should remotely believe, state, or otherwise represent that net neutrality should be left to market forces or lighter controls.
308. frank, hueytown, AL, 35023
Dont, also moist, go fccyourself
309. Nan Vodde, Alabaster, AL, 35007
Please preserve net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's! This is so important to the individual consumers. We depend on the FCC to protect the consumer.
310. James Sands, Leeds, AL, 35094
I pay for for a certain bandwidth from my internet provider. My provider should no be able to choke down that volume just because they have no financial agreements with content providers I choose to view.
311. Samuel Siegel, Hoover, AL, 35244
Keep title II oversight of ISPs. I am opposed to docket # 17-108. Strong net neutrality is vital.
312. Robert Davis, Birmingham, AL, 35226
The internet was fine without the Federal Government takeover and the expense of added regulations. The private enterprise system will take care of this. If your provider violates fairness you can change providers.
313. Jonathan Lester, Alabaster, AL, 35007
I believe that strong Net Neutrality regulations under Title II are perfectly acceptable and should not be weakened or tampered with in any way at this time.
314. Dan Nathan, HELENA, AL, 35080
Save net neutrality. Keep Title II for all ISPs!!! Anything else would not serve the people of the United States. In case you folks forgot, that is who you are supposed to be working for, not the communication companies!Mr Billionaire Trump and his cohorts need no help from YOU!
315. Richard B Davidson, Hoover, AL, 35244
Net neutrality is a crucial component of internet markets, and vital to

encouraging new companies to grow and compete with larger organizations. Weakening net neutrality will have long term adverse effect on innovation and competition and broad negative impact throughout the economy. Please do not weaken or eliminate net neutrality.

316. martha loukotka, Hoover, AL, 35244
Please keep the net neutrality under title 2 to ensure that if companies don't comply they can be held accountable.
317. Sophia West, Trussville, AL, 35173
I believe that we need to keep strong Net Neutrality. This creates better business opportunities for the people of America and also avoids the creation of monopolies.
318. Amber Griffin, Gardendale, AL, 35071
I strongly support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP.
319. Michael Epperson, Helena, AL, 35080
The major communications companies in the United States have been allowed to create a vertical integration model that encourages unfair business practices. Given this situation, I believe classifying Internet service as a Title II utility is the most effective means of ensuring ISPs do not abuse their position within the market. It would be irresponsible, and extremely questionable, for the FCC to revert Internet service back to Title I status.
320. Daniel Drennen, Mountain Brook, AL, 35213
I strongly support preening net neutrality and leaving isp's under title II
321. Jason Reid, Alabaster, AL, 35007
I support strong net neutrality regulation. Specifically title II regulation. Please don't allow politics and soft money contributions cloud your judgement and destroy something that your very charter tasks you with: Protecting and allowing equal access to communications, in all their forms, to all Americans.
322. Smith Williams, Birmingham, AL, 35222
I want isps to be held accountable under Title II. Bring back net neutrality!
323. Dennis R. Brooks Jr., Birmingham, AL, 35217
My wife and I would like to contribute to the conversation that our country is having at this time regarding net neutrality and any pending changes that the FCC or this current administration may have in mind. The advent of the internet even over the course of my lifetime and my wife's has seen a proliferation of ideas, cultures, countercultures, and just this huge explosion of information at any individual's disposal. I can still remember a time when the largest depository of ideas was in a set of the Encyclopedia Britannica and whether

your family could afford them or not. It is not like the internet is free, and companies are just trying to recoup their costs. In order to access the internet someone somewhere is paying a fee to have the internet available whether that be by offering free WIFI as a convenience to engage customers or individuals who pay for the internet at home. There has even been talk by some (the UN and other major institutions) that broadband access should be considered a human right for freedom of expression, and while I might not agree completely with that, I do believe that companies should not be able to throttle access just to further monetize the internet, They are already being paid a fee to provide internet access. I know that this is a plea that will fall on deaf ears mostly but I and my wife feel that we must at least ask to please allow the internet to remain neutral. This is a new time and era for our country and the internet has allowed some truly amazing things to happen that have been to the advantage of all. Respectfully, Dennis and Sarah Brooks

324. Martin Santana, Irondale, AL, 35210
We need to keep Net neutrality, it's the foundation of our nation to be free and have the freedom to choose the service we want at the speed we paid for. It should be unconstitutional to be paid for a service and then get slowed down just because another company paid the ISP more than the one you want to use. If the internet was free then do whatever you want with it but if I'm paying then it's my right to have what I paid for.
325. martha Parson, Birmingham, AL, 35222
Retain net neutrality and keep Title 2.
326. Brandon Waters, Birmingham, AL, 35210
I support net neutrality. It is required for one of our most important economic sectors as well as for the protection of our citizens. Please uphold Title II of the Net Neutrality clause as it allows for open and more so fair access to internet content of someone's choice without the concern of internet providers determining both access and content available.
327. Amy Strickland, Calera, AL, 35040
Please support strong regulation of net neutrality through Title II. growing up I was always taught that the Republican Party was the party of small businesses, but allowing Internet providers to create monopolies by throttling traffic at a price only helps already-established giant corporations and cuts access off for startups. Can you imagine if my power company got to decide what devices I could charge from my wall outlet?
328. Dr. Melinda Bray, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Net neutrality is vital to our democracy. Title II is important to safeguarding equal access for all. Keep Title II and net neutrality!

329. Riland Jones, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I am for strong net neutrality. Please keep the standards the same, doing away with it will cause a whole lot of problems for you and us. Do what's right
330. Paul Bryant, Birmingham, AL, 35222
I strongly support net neutrality protections, backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. We must have a free and open internet, and a rollback of these protections would be devastating to the structure, freedom, and growth of the internet as we know it.
331. john doe, Birmingham, AL, 22847
Please preserve net neutrality and title two protections of equal internet accessibility.
332. Daniel Warren, Alabaster, AL, 35007
I am in favor of Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight.
333. Michelle Ayala, Hoover, AL, 35244
I support net neutrality and reserve the right to my own liberty to make choices for myself about what i do on the internet.
334. Zackery Aldaher, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of Internet Service Providers. Anything less is unfair to the American public.
335. Daniel Wesley Heaton, Hueytown, AL, 35023
No oversight, is not acceptable for any of our forms of communication in our society. We thrive off the freedom to exchange information and form collective ideas. You know this.
336. Tyler Fox, alabaster, AL, 35007
I believe that net neutrality is important. Taking it away could lead to censorship and increased costs. That is in of itself a majorly bad thing.
337. Luke Powell, Homewood, AL, 35209
Its ridiculous that we need to combat this change every few months.
338. Byron Lagrone, Birmingham, AL, 35213
I, and my colleagues throughout the tech industry, specifically support Title II oversight of internet service providers. Strong Net Neutrality is a must, and losing this oversight harms everyone who uses the Internet.
339. Jennifer King, Birmingham, AL, 35209
I support preserving Net Neutrality and Title II. The 2015 decisions should remain unchanged.

340. Lee Scarpulla, Hoover, AL, 35244
Please keep Title II provisioning for an Open Internet.
341. Carol Binder, Birmingham, AL, 35226
Protect Net Neutrality Follow Title 2
342. Julie CotÃ©, Alabaster, AL, 35007
Dear FCC--PROTECT NET NEUTRALITY!! KEEP TITLE 2 !!Thank you very much.
343. LM Odle, Birmingham, AL, 35222
I'm writing to urge the FCC not to undo net neutrality. We deserve a free and open Internet with strong Title II rules, with access to sites and services not constrained by ISPs picking and choosing which sites to make easier or more inconvenient to access. The internet should be treated as a public utility.
344. Laurence Newvell, Calera, AL, 35040
Net neutrality should be defended and preserved. It allows consumers the freedom (an core American value) to choose services they prefer, and it allows startup business the freedom (a core American value) to attract and retain customers by providing services and innovation. Only an entity that is against freedom (a core American value) could be against net neutrality.
345. Blair Stephenson, Sterrett, AL, 35147
I support strong net neutrality regulations under title II. Do not allow ISPs to unilaterally decide what is important to me. Thanks.
346. Tristan Ryan Early, Hoover, AL, 35226
We strongly support Net Neutrality, and see any attempt to weaken the current classifications and regulations in place as an affront to a truly "free and open" internet. We are closely monitoring the considerations in place here, with the results having a strong impact on our future voting decisions.
347. leigh oncale, Birmingham, AL, 35244
I support the strongest levelOf net neutrality which means keeping ISPs under level 2 legal controls
348. Ariana White, Trussville, AL, 35173
Keep net neutrality laws enforced by Title II
349. John Chase Bryan, Helena, AL, 35080
I support strong net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISP's. You should not be on the corporation/lobbyist's side here. No human would ever want ISP's to have more power over the content in which they view the internet. It is clear that you are acting on behalf of your former employer Verizon. I wonder what kind

of kick-backs you are receiving from this move. Be on the side of the people for once.

350. Brian Schafer, Alabaster, AL, 35007

The UN has declared internet access to be a basic human right of all people. That sounds about as "public utility" as it gets. Keep the internet defined under Title 2, please, and resist your Verizon billionaire shit-brained boss with all your might! But really, if corporations are allowed to monetize internet traffic (and unless compelled otherwise, they will), the last bastion of human intelligence will be struck down and everything will go to hell. The internet is more than cat videos!

351. Hollis B. Barron, Vestavia, AL, 35216

I deeply support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Are all public officials corrupt? I am over 50 years old and the day has come that information is available upon request and research. I always thought the young would be smarter, how are the chairman's bank accounts.

352. Virginia Applebaum, Calera, AL, 35040

Title II protections are necessary for the preservation of Net Neutrality. Our information should not be filtered through corporate interests, particularly when those interests are often in contradiction to our own. Title II protections were born of necessity and, out of necessity, should remain.

353. Charles Holman, Hoover, AL, 35216

Keep net neutrality strong. Keeping Title 2 rules in place is good for the people.

354. Katherine W Hirsh, Birmingham, AL, 35242

Net neutrality is of vital importance to the maintenance of our freedoms and the ability of citizens to inform themselves in the fashion they see fit, in line with our First Amendment. Please protect net neutrality by retaining it under Title II.

355. Mary Warren, Hoover, AL, 35226

I strongly support keeping network neutrality with title 2 oversight of ISPs to protect my consumer right to access

356. Cris Dorning, Blountsville, AL, 35031

"Dear Express Restoring Internet Freedom, Please restore our internet freedom
Regards, Cris Dorning 6474 Joy Rd Blountsville, AL 35031"

357. Dustin Holder, Trussville, AL, 35173

I do not support the repeal to net neutrality. Do not push this. It's pretty bad.
Thanks.

358. Mark Ivker, Hoover, AL, 35226

I support strong protection for net neutrality. ISP's should remain subject to Title II of the Communications Act of 1934. Ajit Pai's obfuscation is immoral.

359. Wynne Dimock, BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35210
stop corporate rape of economy!!!!!!
360. MARY ANNE PARKS ANTONIO, ALABASTER, AL, 35007
This is a matter of stopping greed and doing the morally right thing. No one should have to pay extra to enjoy the benefits of the internet. Let's stop another ""rich people" power grab!
361. Wanda Robinson, WARRIOR, AL, 35180
I deserve a free and open internet with strong Title II rules. Don't kill net neutrality.
362. Donna Kacker, PELHAM, AL, 35124
You already gave internet servers the right to use our server information. Keep the internet free and open. Don't kill net neutrality!
363. David Ashman, PELHAM, AL, 35124
Quit trying to screw with the internet. Leave it a level playing field!!
364. David Agresti, GARDENDALE, AL, 35071
No company should control what I get to see on the net. What I see should not be determined by the provider
365. Jennifer Martens, Westover, AL, 35147
Keep net neutrality and stop trying to make a quick buck off of consumers. If I want to search the internet with Bing, Google, yahoo, etc. I should be able to do so regardless of who my internet provider it. Period! Leave my web surfing speeds alone.
366. Christian S, Vestavia, AL, 35242
Hi,The internet is free and has advanced a generation in knowledge due to its OPEN ACCESS TO INFORMATION. If you want to make the internet harder to access to grow your own wealth you are greedy and don't have people's best interest at the forefront of your decisions. Selfish. Greedy. Ugly to see. not to repeal Net Neutrality rules. Support Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight on ISPs.Thank you!Christian S.
367. Christian S, Vestavia, AL, 35242
Hi,The internet is free and has advanced a generation in knowledge due to its OPEN ACCESS TO INFORMATION. If you want to make the internet harder to access to grow your own wealth you are greedy and don't have people's best interest at the forefront of your decisions. Selfish. Greedy. Ugly to see. Do not

repeal Net Neutrality rules. Support Net Neutrality backed by title 2 oversight on ISPs. Thank you! Christian S.

368. John Lucas, Bessemer, AL, 35023
We would like to support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
369. Asher Krell, Birmingham, AL, 35205
I support strict net neutrality with Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
370. Jere Chandler, Helena, AL, 35080
I support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs. The internet is the greatest knowledge-sharing platform that has ever existed, and access to it should be equal for all voices. Please do not let the ISPs slow down internet access to any site that is unable to pay for premium speeds. This concept would destroy the internet as we know it. Thank you.
371. April, Montevallo, AL, 35115
Please do not revoke the rules in net neutrality. I enjoy a free and open internet daily. Without these rules ISPs can limit knowledge access to millions of people all in the name of profit. Cheap access to the world's information is crucial to the advancement of human society.
372. Ryan Vaughn, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I strongly support net neutrality and I am worried that the FCC and this administration does not fully understand how important a free and open internet is.
373. Sam Munyer, Alabaster, AL, 35007
KEEP Net Neutrality rules under TITLE II -- Please DO NOT move back to Title I, which amounts to the only safeguard for a level internet playing field being the "good will" and lack of greed by large ISPs. This makes about as much sense as putting a sign in you car windshield that your car is unlocked and the keys are in the ignition, but please, Mr. Carjacker, I trust in your good nature to leave my car alone!! Ridiculous! KEEP NET NEUTRALITY RULES UNDER TITLE II. Thank You.
374. Steve Hutto, pelham, AL, 35124
I strongly support Net Neutrality rules backed by Title II oversight of ISP's.
375. Josh paquay, birmingham, AL, 35216
please preserve net neutrality and keep the internet on title II
376. Deborah Gordon, Chelsea, AL, 35043
It's part of the free speech that our Constitution guarantees and we as Americans

treasure.

377. Asher Desai, Vestavia Hills, AL, 35216
I support strong net neutrality rules, and I am submitting this filing on account of my own disgust with the current administration's attitude towards internet pathway fairness.
378. Brian Prichard, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Don't reclassify ISP regulation from title two to title one. They should remain under title two
379. Seth Jones, Hueytown, AL, 35023
Net neutrality is the biggest issue facing America today, we need to have an internet free of corrupt businesses taking advantage of the people of America.
380. James Ray, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I support Title II for ISP with strong Net Neutrality. Keep ISPs as Title II
381. Snehal Khatri, Vestavia Hills, AL, 35226
I encourage the FCC to protect net neutrality by keeping ISPs under Title II. This will ensure fairness among providers. Thank you.
382. Sarah French, Vestavia, AL, 35243
I strongly support title II, an open internet and net neutrality!!!
383. Brian French, Vestavia Hills, AL, 35243
I strongly endorse ISPs classification as title 2 and preserving the net neutrality rules currently in place.
384. Jarrod Hicks, Hueytown, AL, 35023
We support net neutrality and believe that EVERYONE has the right to a fair and equal internet. There NEEDS to be government oversight like Title II or net neutrality is doomed.
385. Net Neutrality, Hoover, AL, 35244
I am very much in support of net neutrality under current article 2 regulations. Please do not change it
386. net neutrality, Birmingham, AL, 35222
I strongly believe the current net neutrality rules need to stay in place.
387. Brandon Harris, Helena, AL, 35080
Net Neutrality is important to me because it helps keep the internet a free place, absent of all the pay walls and money restrictions that pretty much everything else has

388. Sophie Wu, Birmingham, AL, 35216
I strongly believe that corporations should not have the right to control how we access information online. It is a dangerous path what puts customers at the hands of their internet providers.
389. Donna Fitch, Calera, AL, 35040
Net Neutrality is vital for a free people, and for the democratization of information. Strengthen Net Neutrality protections, don't abolish them.
390. David Brower, Vestavia, AL, 35216
It is a choice between Democracy and capitalism, when no choice needs to be made. They can coexist, but not without Net Neutrality.
391. leigh lynn, Irondale, AL, 35210
I support net neutrality under title2
392. Christopher Jason Winslett, Homewood, AL, 35209
I'd like you to strongly consider keeping ISPs classified under Title II. It's important that access to the internet be regulated to ensure fairness and performance unhampered by corporations.
393. Anna Smith, Pelham, AL, 35124
Please do not suspend Net Neutrality and hand our freedom over to big business. Having the internet free and open is intrinsic to the values of this country and I will not stand to have something that provides so many resources to so many people be taken away. I implore you, please let the Internet stay free and open.
394. Dakota Hancock, Warrior, AL, 35180
I would like Net Neutrality to stay the way it is under Title II. Though corporations may voluntarily not mess with their competitors it is most likely that a higher percentage still will cause trouble for their competitors. It is in no way fair for small businesses and in no way fair to citizens who do not want to buy products from a monopoly company. Thank you.
395. Josh Buchanan, Birmingham, AL, 35216
Strongly support Title 2 and net neutrality. Keep the internet fair for everyone. For once lets not put money first.
396. Elise Frohsin, Birmingham, AL, 35223
Keep Title II designation for ISP providers. We insist on Net Neutrality. Do not reverse the protections put in place by the FCC.
397. Scott Littleton, Birmingham, AL, 35222
We as a nation need to continue to protect the internet with strong net neutrality

rules and Title II over site of ISPs. Protecting Net Neutrality provides new companies and smaller companies with the same access that the larger companies have. Eliminating Net Neutrality would hurt innovation and growth within our country.

398. Lee Scarpulla, Hoover, AL, 35244
Keep Title II designation for a truly open internet.
399. William Stewart, Birmingham, AL, 35226
Net neutrality is vital to keep modern technology accessible to all. Please support net neutrality and don't be a party to marginalizing the 99%.
400. Christopher Bradner, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I strongly support Net Neutrality being enforced through Title II of the Communications Act and Section 706 of the Telecommunications Act of 1996.
401. Andrew Shu, Pelham, AL, 35124
Please tell the chairman, Ajit Pai, that I STRONGLY support net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs.
402. Lisa A. Harris, Hoover, AL, 35226
I am opposed to changing net neutrality rules. The net neutrality rules currently in force protect small businesses like mine, protect citizen voices and their constitutional right to petition government for redress of grievances, and protect public facilities where people go to access the internet. I urge the FCC board to vote NO on changing net neutrality rules..
403. Chrstopher Ray, West Blocton, AL, 35184
I am against the elimination of Net neutrality and Title II.
404. Brian Prichard, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Don't reclassify regulation. As an American citizen and voter, I can promise you that by politicizing this issue and going ahead with this plan despite a majority of the public being against this issue, that I will never again vote republican for as long as I live. If morality and a general regard for public interest won't motivate you to do the right thing and retain the current regulation, then maybe mitigating political loss will.
405. anna brittain, Vestavia, AL, 35243
I oppose changes to the laws protecting net neutrality. Net neutrality preserves the freedom of the internet! This will place entrepreneurs, small business owners, and freelancers like myself at an unfair advantage because we would not be able to pay the large sums of money necessary for higher bandwidth, etc. Removing net neutrality is extortion, plain and simple.

406. Jason Ellwanger, Birmingham, AL, 35216
I'm opposed to changing regulations from Title 2 to Title 1 control for any company that supplies internet service. As large companies such as Verizon and Comcast are already large regional monopolies and have shown they are willing to advance their bottom line at the expense of consumers. I do not trust a multi-billion dollar company to act in the public interest. If anything the rules are too lax concerning their anti-competitive practices and violation of anti-trust laws. Any "evidence" that they don't engage in shady or anti competitive practices ignores times when they did so or spotlight a lack of major scandals and technological catastrophes that "haven't happen yet", equating something not happening with something that will never happen. An example of this is the speeds of internet services globally which the United States lags behind cities in Asia and some in Europe. I'm also opposed to these companies tracking and selling vast amount of data about the general public under questionable circumstances. They should remain neutral to traffic, protect the privacy of their users and the health of the network Thank You, Jason Ellwanger
407. Kristen Eversole, Trussville, AL, 35173
I am a proponent of net neutrality and I find the proposal to weaken the protections currently in place deeply disturbing. Allowing the internet companies to police themselves on treating consumers fairly is like trusting a fox to guard a henhouse. Small businesses, startups, and everyone who isn't a big business will lose. Do not go through with this.
408. Stephen Winslett, Alabaster, AL, 35007
Please preserve net neutrality and Title II. Equality should also be online. Internet providers should not be allowed to restrict certain websites or companies online. Companies should not be able to get paid by big corporations to throttle bandwidth to its competition. Capitalism should be supported allowed online.
409. Kenneth Howard, Trussville, AL, 35173
Hey, Ajit Pai. Title Two is what is keeping the internet neutral. How about you go and FCC yourself?
410. Clifton Perry Bain, Pelham, AL, 35124
I support the Internet being classified as a Title II Utility and believe we need to keep the strong Net Neutrality regulations that prevents Internet Service Providers from blocking access to or slowing down the speed of certain types of data. All data passing through their networks should be handled indiscriminately.
411. thomas simpler, Hoover, AL, 35244
I vote for net neutrality. Equal access to the internet for all users.
412. Ricky Casey, Birmingham, AL, 35244

I have built my business and entertainment based on the capabilities of my internet service which must remain neutral and free of tampering by my provider. Keep internet service providers (ISPs) as common carriers under Title II of the Communications Act of 1934 which, for example, would allow the FCC to protect net neutrality by regulating against paid prioritization.

413. Gibson Garner, Vestavia Hills, AL, 35226

I support the protection of net neutrality, as the freedom of the Internet is directly linked to our everyday freedom of speech and our society as a whole.

414. Donald A Paoletti, Homewood, AL, 35209

Net neutrality is vital for fair and balanced access to the internet for all interested parties. ISP's need to remain under Title 2.

415. Tristan Tillman, Birmingham, AL, 35242

Rolling back the protections put in place to keep the ISP companies in line is going to cost the people in both time and money. There is already a pretty entrenched monopoly when it comes to internet providers and removing the protections that keep them in check is going to cause serious issues for people that want to watch a show on netflix that competes with a show on comcast or use a service from google that competes with a similar service from verison or t-mobile. These shows or services run the risk of being blocked or intentionally slowed by the service providers. Please do not remove the protections that Net Neutrality has given us.

416. Scott Lambert, Hoover, AL, 35242

If the FCC succeeds, this provision will be highly detrimental to all Americans. The lobbying and fake comments by the cable companies have been exposed over and over again but yet the FCC still sides with multi-national lobbying groups in order to help enrich the politicians who came up with this, who also have NO technical expertise or business in this sphere, and have been taking money from the cable companies for years in exchange for using their influence. This practice is absolutely appalling, and the FCC should be ashamed of the work they are doing to ruin American consumer's choices. Disgusting. Literally disgusting. Ajit V. Pai should resigned immediately, and the FCC should work harder to maintain and promote independent discussion rather than bowing for a few dollars.

417. Internet Freedom, Gardendale, AL, 35071

Keeping Net Neutrality open and alive is the only way for our county to have a fair and competitive internet. Allowing large corporations unrivaled monopolies is not the fiscal conservative way.

418. Brian Prichard, Birmingham, AL, 35242

I'm filling this comment since Comcast decide to file one for me. Do not change

how ISP's are regulated from title two back to title one. The American public has absolutely zero faith, trust or belief in the decency and integrity of the American ISP's. For the love of god don't do this to us, most Americans are for net neutrality and strict regulation of the ISP's because we despise our internet providers. Stop trying to get rid of net neutrality, please

419. Kevin Shirey, Calera, AL, 35040
Preserve net neutrality and Title II. Don't let our internet die at the hands of greedy corporate profits.
420. Lucas Sellers, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Net Neutrality is important not just for America, but for the world. The Internet is among the most profound inventions in the past 50 years, and its handling will be crucial in determining how the human race advances in the future. Do not allow corporations to slice it up into pieces, or block parts of it at their own whim. The current Title II classification is a bandage solution, but without such bandages the Internet will bleed out.
421. Cole Myers, Maylene, AL, 35114
Net Neutrality is a crucial issue for myself and millions of others.
422. John Estes, Birmingham, AL, 35213
The funding for the research & development off the technology that underlies the internet was primarily provided by the Federal Government--OUR TAX DOLLARS. WE PAID FOR IT. IT IS TANTAMOUNT TO ROBBERY TO GIVE CONTROL OF THE INTERNET OVER TO A FEW SPECIAL INTERESTS IN VIOLATION OF THE GOVERNMENT'S OBLIGATION TO SERVE THE INTERESTS OF THE MAJORITY. Is it now impossible to have some semblance of democracy in this country, or will our leaders continue to serve only the interest of those who pay them the highest bribes?
423. Donald Rupp, Hoover, AL, 35244
This is to tell Ajit Pai that I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title 2 oversight of ISPs.
424. suzann rupp, Hoover, AL, 35244
Ajit Pai,I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Preserve net neutrality and Title II.Thank you.Suzann Rupp
425. Paul Sheffield, Homewood, AL, 35209
Without regulations to require businesses to make the internet unbiased, businesses are almost required to make a profit by whatever legal means available to them.Further, there must be financial penalties if the regulations are followed. We can't trust corporations without regulation.

426. Sherri Cook, Calera, AL, 35040
I support net neutrality and Title II and not your suggested changes.
427. David Bunt, Montevallo, AL, 35115
Keep the 2015 net neutrality rules. It's the only way to keep the internet open and fair and not controlled by large corporations.
428. Jennifer Gray, Irondale, AL, 35210
Ajit Pai, I am in favor of Title II and net neutrality. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Preserve net neutrality and Title II. Thank you.
429. William Halcomb, Birmingham, AL, 35242
The open internet is the most important invention of the 20th century. If we fail to protect net neutrality we are failing to protect the education and knowledge of all of humanity.
430. R. S. KRISHNAN, Clanton, AL, 35045
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BT TITLE 2
431. JYOTHI KRISHNAN, Birmingham, AL, 35242
PRESERVE NET NEUTRALITY BACKED BY TITLE 2
432. kim blevins, Birmingham, AL, 35235
"Dear Chairman Pai, I specifically support strong Net Neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISP's"
433. Wes Garner, Birmingham, AL, 35216
I support a free and open Internet that includes Title 2 for ISPs
434. Noah Shelton, Leeds, AL, 35094
The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to consumers and should not be replaced, reduced, or altered. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able

to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Noah Shelton

435. Edwaed woodman, helena, AL, 35080

Please do not remove the net neutrality regulations under Title 2

436. James C. Downs, Jr, Birmingham, AL, 35213

I am wholehearted against the proposal to rollback the reclassification of broadband ISPs as utilities, on two grounds. First, for-profit companies have a fiducial responsibility to their shareholders to maximize profit, and are therefore unlikely to voluntarily avoid charging companies for preferential access to their customers if they can do so legally. Recent AT&T efforts to avoid charging their mobile customers for data streamed from their bundled TV services are a good example of why "bright line" regulation is absolutely necessary. Second, there is little competition between broadband providers in most areas, and therefore the consumer has little power to shop for services that guarantee either true net neutrality or absolute privacy of their broadband traffic. With control of the "last mile" of access to hundreds of millions of Americans, regulation is therefore essential to ensure a level playing field for innovative smaller companies that wish to deliver content to the customers who'd like to consume that content unrestricted, and in absolute privacy.

437. Laura Kenders, Trussville, AL, 35173

I specifically support strong net neutrality backed back Title II oversight of ISPs.

438. Russell Smith, Chelsea, AL, 35043

My very small business depends on an internet based scheduling and customer database system run by another small company. If my system is slowed I will loose time and money. Net neutrality is essential to the operation of my business.

439. Robert Head, Fultondale, AL, 35068
Stop this madness! Why would U hand the internet over to a bunch of big companies??? I thought for sure, with Trump in office, foolish things like this would end. Listen to the people.
440. Ronald, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Deleting net neutrality means that smaller charities would not be able to make their needs known because their communications would have a much lower priority than the communications of large organizations.
441. Joseph Rettler, Calera, AL, 35040
Come on, we only just got net neutrality and you already want to take it away from us? Back in the days of old, companies like AOL, CompuServ and Usenet controlled the internet, before that individual public BBSes, but those days are long past. There's a saying: Sometimes, you just need to know when to give up. If you choose to continue the push to control the internet, there's nothing (absolutely nothing) stopping me from starting a dial-in service right now. I've got multiple phone lines, multiple high speed modems, the software, terabytes of stored webpages and many terabytes free. Don't make me go through the trouble of setting all my BBS crap up again. Just let it go like you should've done in the first place. It's not a threat, it's a promise.
442. Jim Corkill, BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35244
What would have happened if the Nazi party had declared itself a religion? Would the politically correct society of today have excepted them, and protected them. I believe that if any entity such as the Internet is not a positive source of information then it should be monitored. Freedom of speech does not include the incitement, of radical ideas. Every citizen has the right to voice their opinions, but not to incite anarchy.
443. C. Spivey, Goodwater, AL, 35072
I SUPPORT NET NEUTRALITY! PLEASE LEAVE IT ALONE!!!
444. Robert White, Birmingham, AL, 35243
An open and free internet is essential to keeping our American way of life intact. There is no more fundamental right in my mind than free exchange of ideas. Please do not sell this out to the highest bidder.
445. Mary Estock, Hoover, AL, 35226
The Internet has become an essential, not optional, to daily living. The very idea that it could be rationed so that the most powerful/wealthiest could have high speed but those of moderate means would be left with slow, frustrating connections with constant pauses for buffering etc. is absolutely abhorrent. It SHOULD be as equally available to everyone, as is the air we breathe. Please do not tinker with this essential service.

446. Robert G> Myers II, Hueytown , AL, 35023
Stop trying to ruin the internet for everyone that isn't rich.
447. Betty Nutter, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Please show respect to the citizens of our great country by maintaining net neutrality. We are constantly being used by large corporations and billionaires. You wouldn't be billionaires if we had no power and no equality. Use your business acumen for good and for improving education and access to information.
448. Brian P. Wilson, Birmingham, AL, 35210
Data is data. The service provider should provide a line to my devices for data. Anything else is at best squeezing more money out of us, at worst can lead to suppression of small businesses and political dissent.
449. Sandra Duldt, Trussville, AL, 35173
Get rid of net neutrality. Keep government out of our business.
450. Patrick LaFollette, Warrior, AL, 35180
Net neutrality is the basis of the success of the internet so far. Getting rid of it means lowering the amount of competition and the increase of anti-competitive practices. Either keep net neutrality laws and continue to allow ISPs to also be content providers, or require the ISP to completely separate their content business and require that content business be treated just like every other company. Then, in the latter case, you can allow ISPs to charge for favorable access, but they'd also have to charge their own spun-off content business. And you'd still have to regulate that to make sure the ISPs aren't colluding to give each other's spin-offs discounts, make sure that the spin-off isn't getting any favorable treatment, etc. So if you're in favor of lowering government interference, and increasing competition, you keep net neutrality. Otherwise, you'll have to choose: decreased competition and decreased regulation by allowing ISPs free reign, or increased competition that must require increased regulation to assure success.
451. Bruce Bizzoco, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Leave the net alone! I do not want my data put up for sale.
452. Christopher Brower, Birmingham, AL, 35235
Net neutrality is important to me because I think the internet needs to remain a level playing ground. The consumers should be the ones that choose what services they use online.
453. Leon Gary, Birmingham, AL, 35213
Please leave Net Neutrality alone. Thank you

454. Richard Sharp , Chelsea, AL, 35043
I support the open free Internet where all Internet traffic is treated the same.
455. Sandra Mullins, Warrior, AL, 35180
I'm simply asking net neutrality be protected for all the "smaller" reasons. For small businesses just coming around and other things involved not needing the "larger" companies,etc making our choices for us. Just let us see how that goes for a bit longer. Thank you.
456. Donald M Roberts, Calera, AL, 35040
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech without net neutrality big companies will abuse the control ,Net neutrality allows consumers to choose content and what they do online without neutrality I and many others will refuse to participate.
457. malachi mena, trafford, AL, 35172
hey, FCC.... i've never protested, but I just thought you were screwed up for doing what you're planning.. the internet is for everyone, not just you, or me, or a big corporation.anyways, don't take our freedom. ~malachi mena
458. Gloria Cowan, Homewood, AL, 35209
I believe in Net Neutrality. Sustaining net neutrality is in the best interest of the American citizens. We do not want the internet to be controlled by large corporations. This would be a grievous attack on our freedom of speech.
459. Jennifer Hampton, Trussville, AL, 35173
As an American Citizen Net neutrality is fundamental to my First Amendment right to the freedom of speech!
460. tranum, birmingham, AL, 35213
don't take away our rights
461. Jeremy Markham, Oneonta, AL, 35121
Do not overturn net neutrality, the internet is an essential part of everyone's lives and livelihood & self-regulation is not a feasible alternative and has never worked. Without regulation companies will do anything they can get away with to make money at the consumer's expense, just look to the pharmaceutical industry for an example.
462. Steven E. Motes, Montevallo, AL, 35115
This shouldn't even be an issue, it's clear to any one who looks that everyone wants the internet to stay as it is. Shame on all of you who would do otherwise.
463. Noelle Fox, Scottsdale , AL, 85250
I want to keep my right of net neutrality. This right does not deserve to be sold

and exploited by large telecommunication companies.

464. David Meany, Alabaster, AL, 35007
I support the removal of Net Neutrality as it is is a fundamental overreach of the Federal Government into private businesses. However, I do support limited regulation to tackle real issues which affect every day consumers. Some regulation must be in place, but Net Neutrality is too much for too little reason. Please carefully review Net Neutrality and keep the good portions while discarding the ones that will too much governmental control over a service that was not previously broken.
465. Lester Alexander, Birmingham, AL, 35235
I am in favor of net neutrality due to the fact that security is supposed to be part of the total internet. Take this away and there will be very little control of security making the internet a very scary place to be due to the publishing of large amount of data placed across an ISP. Thanks in advance. I hope net neutrality stays in place.
466. Rodger Todd Menzies, Pinson, AL, 35126
Net neutrality is fundamental to free speech.Net neutrality protects small businesses and innovators who are just getting started.Leave it alone!!!!
467. SEAN WILLIS, HELENA, AL, 35080
Please be the one government organization people can feel still work for them.
468. John Jenkins, Hoover, AL, 35244
The internet has been the great equalizer, allowing ordinary people with a great idea to build their dreams. By allowing the mega companies to throttle that, we will lose the potential genius that lies dormant.
469. DiAnne Garman, Birmingham, AL, 35226
I am asking that net neutrality be left alone. I believe it promotes free speech vs. censorship, that net neutrality protects small business and encourages creativity. I believe it it is my right to choose what I watch and do online.I would appreciate you studied consideration to my support of net neutrality and the support of millions of other Americans. Thank You.
470. Tyler Brown, Pinson, AL, 35126
Because corporations shouldn't regulate the internet
471. Troy Curry, Pinson, AL, 35126
Enough of big brother! Cable providers offer services that are basic and then charge premium prices. Giving them more control over the internet is driven by greed.

472. Kelly Morton, Gardendale, AL, 35071
My name is Kelly Morton. I am against any action against net neutrality. The internet should be free, open source, and not regulated. Please do not hand over this gift to big money corporations so they can capitalize off the internet.
473. Joe B. Ford, Jr., Calera, AL, 35040
I depend on the internet news and communicating with friends. Please do not take away our internet access!
474. Mosely E. Johnson Sr., Pelham, AL, 35124
I support Net Neutrality it is important for free speech! I want to choose what I want to see on line! Please don't take my choice away from me!
475. William Burnett, Birmingham, AL, 35210
Please keep net neutrality in place. AT&T and Charter are horrible corporations and bad for the consumers.
476. Russell Strong, Maylene, AL, 35114
Like the intrusion of the Federal Government into seemingly every aspect of private life and rendering it less efficient and less free, big telecommunications companies seek to insert their agendas into an otherwise unrestricted internet. Net users would thereby risk censorship and regulation every bit as onerous as those of Washington D.C. Net Neutrality is essential.
477. Justin Myers, West Blocton, AL, 35184
We mus keep our internet FREE, OPEN, INDEPENDENT, and NEUTRAL.
478. Kate Morris, Alabaster, AL, 35007
Access to a free, open, and equal internet SHOULD NOW BE CONSIDERED A RIGHT just as access to education, electricity, clean water, and heat. Penalizing users who CANNOT afford to UPGRADE to a "faster tier of service" will only put poor KIDS at a greater disadvantage than they already face. It should be considered a UTILITY just like electricity, heating oil, or water. INFORMATION is necessary for learning and meeting a person's full potential. KNOWLEDGE IS POWER and the poor and middle class have just as much right to information technology as rich, fat cats in their penthouse apartments! DO NOT LET US DOWN. The ground and the air that cable signals and microwaves travel through BELONG TO THE PUBLIC AT LARGE! Do the right thing, FCC!!!
479. Jim schupp, Pelham, AL, 35124
I have my own ministry page that nurtures people from all over the world. America is great because of our religious freedoms. If you take the web and make it not neutral people could buy the press and take away our religious rights

480. Shayan Quraishi, Hoover, AL, 35244
American ISPs already lag behind other technologically advanced countries. We cannot give US providers further control of a market they are actively retarding the progress of. Do not enable poor business practices and the stifling of American principles. When we lose--have you done your job?
481. Michael Martin, Chelsea, AL, 35043
Dear Sir/Madam : My hope is that you consider the ramifications, if passed, of the proposed rules governing the internet. America needs the flexibility of the internet to promote ideas, small and large business, and much needed research. Thank you
482. Molly Green, Montevallo, AL, 35115
Please leave Net Neutrality alone! Common people MUST be able to have access to all internet at fair speeds.
483. Alan Smith, Homewood, AL, 35209
We have enough Big Brother interference and intrusion on our rights already.
484. N.C. Cairns, Columbiana, AL, 35051
Gov't should be protecting citizens from corporate intrusion by supporting & maintaining a neutral open internet. Everyone should have equal access to the digital world, not corporate domination.
485. CHARLES HALL, TRUSSVILLE, AL, 35173
Why would you think letting Comcast, AT&T, and Verizon to use and sell my personal information is a good thing. Please tell me how much money did you get for voting for this?
486. Aaron Stansell, Birmingham, AL, 35209
In support of Net Neutrality and Title II. Don't pull a Paris Agreement on the spread of information and globalization.- Concerned citizen
487. Christine Watts, Birmingham, AL, 35222
Please uphold Net Neutrality and the Title II protections granted under President Obama. These protections are in the best interest of American people and small business owners seeking to get a leg up by using the internet as a public platform, as well as promoting competition by allowing small ISPs on the same stage as larger provider. These items also provide consumer protections who do not have the resources to fight against larger ISPs. We do not live in a world where the internet is optional: it is essential to work and leisure activities of Americans and should not be treated as a luxury or form of profit that is free of regulations. Additionally, investigate the fake comments that are appearing: I'm sure there are people who support discarding these protections, but the blatant identity theft of ISP customers in order to create a

false sense of support is wrong and should not be rewarded by granting those comments the same weight as legitimately concerned citizens.

488. Chase Matherson, Alabaster, AL, 35007
Net neutrality is needed in this digital age. Do not let ISPs control the way we gain information.
489. ben mitchell, Homewood, AL, 35209
I strongly support net neutrality and title 2 regulations for all ISPs.
490. barbara wгите, Hueytown, AL, 35023
Protect our internet rights
491. McColl Ragland, Mountain Brook, AL, 35213
I believe in an "Open Internet". The lack of an open and free internet affects us all negatively in some way. If ISP's are allowed to control what we are and are not able to see, then there is too much power in the hands of those providing internet access. The lack of Net Neutrality would hurt small businesses and startups because we as the consumer would most often not be routed to the website of new businesses but to well-established ones by our ISP. I vote for a free and open Internet under Title 2 where the FCC can establish regulations for ISP's to follow so that the American people can have use of the entire Internet which will gives us (The American People) more freedom. We are promised Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness under the Declaration of Independence. Please keep the Internet open.
492. Tanner Todora, Birmingham, AL, 35242
The FCC's net neutrality is very important to me. Free Internet helps me chat with people. I'm deaf and having it be slowed down will be frustrated. I also do not want companies selling my private information to the highest bidder. This is unconstitutional as it invades our privacy rights. I do not want ISPs to tell me what I can and can't do. Our freedom of speech is important. Please dissuade Ajit Pai from breaking our net neutrality rule. We don't want the Internet to be restricted. I thank you for taking time to read this message. Sincerely, Tanner Todora. Tanner Todora
493. Mallie Smith, Birmingham, AL, 35216
Please preserve current Net Neutrality Rules. To not do so will, without doubt, disadvantage persons unable to afford a "pay as you go speed/content" internet, such that the data they receive is wanting. Conversely, those who can afford to "pay as you go" will rocket that the data, good or bad, to being the most disseminated, right or wrong. All signs point to "no". Thank you.
494. Charles Berning, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I want to request the Web Neutrality be maintained. The Internet has become a

staple in American households and Web Neutrality must be maintained.

495. Elizabeth Beasley, Birmingham, AL, 35243

I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight by ISPs. I do not want any change made to ISP oversight. Otherwise, companies and corporations could stifle free speech. Dick move.

496. Nick Henderson, Birmingham, AL, 35226

Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I specifically support strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. Also, I would like to point out a bot, or possibly a series of them. They say "The unprecedented regulatory power the Obama Administration..." and all follow up exactly the same way. First of all, few sane people on the internet use their real name outside of Facebook; what makes you think that name up there is actually mine, when I could have made it whatever I wanted? That idea is backed by the constant desire of internet dwellers to remain anonymous, thus the issue with the ability to sell internet history several months ago. Sure, we'll tell someone on the other end what we're doing with our connection, but if done through proper encryption, the most they may get is a mark for "someone", which is the most that we would want anyone to know. In other words, we want our internet to be fair, open, and secure. Those in charge of regulating our connection should not be able to control what we do with it, and I do not support the use of bots to attempt to take that away from me or anyone else. Ask these people if they really made these messages. I think that the likelihood that thousands of physically disconnected people would post the exact same mildly argumentative response is a little too small to avoid suspicion. To reiterate, I support net neutrality and Title II, specifically strong net neutrality backed by Title II oversight of ISPs. In fact, I support competition of ISPs to provide connection within the same areas as a means of supporting competition to provide the best service to the people. I believe we should keep the net free, fair, open, and secure.

497. L Nieft, Birmingham, AL, 35216

The Internet should be considered a public utility. Why? 1) Allowing an ISP to choose what and how items are seen allows suppression of fair and valid information. It does not protect the American citizen -- it threatens their freedom. 2) Almost every business is forcing a person to use the Internet to do business. A person is penalized if the Internet is not used to conduct business by assessing extra charges and now they want to charge extra to conduct business over the Internet. Although a person can choose to not use various online business --- such businesses as online banking are not an option to refuse, yet this business is a necessary item just like utilities (phone, electric, water, gas, etc.). The Internet was started as an area for sharing of knowledge and providing growth of America processes. Once business decided to put greed over caring for the consumers the Internet started to degrade. Please keep net

neutrality to protect the American citizen because corporations are incapable of protecting the public --- they only protect their bottom line.

498. Jacob Smith, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Keep your hands off the open internet.
499. Claire Brickson, Hoover, AL, 35226
I believe it is vitally important to protect net neutrality! Please oppose any measures that limit such freedom.
500. internetshouldbefree, Hoover, AL, 35244
Huh that's funny, it's almost like they don't throttle our connections anyway. But seriously, you greedy fucks make enough money from advertising as it is. But of course no amount of money can sate the thirst these people have. LEAVE THE FUCKING INTERNET ALONE.
501. Fuck You Government, FUCKYOU, AL, 35080
fuck you...you bunch of fucking losers, all of you are pieces of shit and i hope you choke on a fucking cock tonight
502. Dalton Roach, Birmingham, AL, 35229
Net Neutrality allows for unencumbered access to all sites, to enact a payment plan to allow for equal opportunity on websites is in violation of basic principals. Equality is what this country is built on, and the idea that sites should have to pay large companies for basic freedoms is bordering on creating monopolies, so it must be stopped.
503. Donald Wayne Moore Jr., Birmingham, AL, 35211
I am a 30 year old software engineer that wants to publish my software, video games, and websites. You make my life harder than it already is and I'm going to be VERY ANGRY!!!! >:(
504. Celia Rudd, Trussville, AL, 35173
KEEP THE INTERNET FREE! Privatization of the internet will corrupt the flow of information and access, thus devaluing the precise reasons the internet is such a modern marvel.
505. Trenton Beard, Pelham, AL, 35124
Keep the internet unbiased and open!
506. Russell Bradburn, Helena, AL, 35080
An attack on net neutrality is an attack on both the principles of the free market and those of free expression. Here's why, in brief. Dismantling net neutrality is an attack on free market principles because allowing large corporate entities to pay to have their data prioritized over that of others (through the creation of so

called "internet fast lanes") strangles the competition that is vital to a healthy capitalist system in the crib. Young, hungry startups with good ideas (picture the next Facebook or Twitter or Spotify) would not be able to pay the likely exorbitant fees required under a two-tiered system in order to gain access to new users. The costs imposed on them by such a system would likely drive them bankrupt before they even get a chance to start. It is an attack on free expression for similar reasons. Anyone with enough money and resources would be able to exploit a two-tiered system of access by blocking, for example, news sites which publish information they find to be unfavorable or unflattering regardless of its truth or merit. This would deprive Americans of vital information required to make informed decisions regarding what they consume, who they donate money to or even who they vote for. Any attempt to dismantle net neutrality would therefore be a major blow not only to institutions held sacred by Americans, but to the health of American civil society. I therefore urge you, with all possible sincerity, not to pursue this course of action. Do what is right for the American people. Preserve a free and open internet.

507. Ethan Trawick, Maylene, AL, 35114

Don't repeal net neutrality! You lose so many tech jobs because the little guys wouldn't be able to compete with the big 4 (Google, Amazon, Facebook, Microsoft). I have a job because we have great net neutrality, please don't set our country back 8+ years.

508. Michael Mills, Birmingham, AL, 35243

Don't. mess. with. our. free. internet.

509. Peyton Eddy, Montevallo, AL, 35115

The internet is one of the most useful inventions ever created by mankind. That, coupled with so many other devices has revolutionized the way we go about our daily lives. We can do more than ever and we can know more than ever. There is so much knowledge and entertainment available at our finger tips. The FCC plays an important role in making sure that it stays that way. If internet service providers are able choose what websites and content gets the best service then that all is ruined. This would have drastic effects on millions of peoples everyday lives, in some ways it might just change how people stream their TV shows but for others it could change their entire futures. The internet is now so heavily ingrained in our educational process that getting rid of net neutrality could not only make it harder for our nations youth to learn but also young adults participating in online schooling or doing research for their classes. Even if this change will affect parts of peoples lives that you may find unimportant please do not make this decision lightly because it will negatively impact the lives of millions of Americans.

510. Xavier Chance Wood, West Blocton, AL, 35184

Yeah, if you could just not make the internet into a pay-2-play hellscape, that'd be great. Keep the fascism at a minimum, guys.

511. Matthew Miller, Calera, AL, 35040
ISP should not be allowed free reign to throttle connections to websites that they don't prefer, or outright block web pages that they do not like.
512. clay vickery, maylene, AL, 35114
Restriction of the internet would be a detriment to civilization.
513. Jared Laws, Pelham, AL, 35124
Hello FCC. This, "fiasco", that you have planned is a real doozie. I personally think that if this Net Neutrality repeal goes through, you would be making one of the biggest mistakes of your censorship history. Hopefully, as every human with a soul should, they do their best to prevent this bullshit from happening. Not only would everyone lose our favorite websites, games, apps, or whatever to use; we would have to pay multiple times the normal amount for their internet service, and possibly even pay extra to add websites to their "viewership". Millions of angered people would be affected by your greed. Big no-no. I know your black hearts probably won't care either way, as long as the money keeps running.
514. Thomas Bracker, Hoover, AL, 35216
I'm a full time employee in a pediatric emergency room, I'm a full time university student, and I'm a full time parent to both my child as well as my foster kids. As you can tell, I don't have a lot of free time. And I cannot spend that free time waiting for web pages to load because companies like Charter and Verizon don't think that websites opinion is as valid as other sites. Take a stand, fight for the little guy! In America we have protected free speech under the 1st Amendment, and removing net neutrality takes those freedoms away and places them in the hands of biased corporations.
515. Stephen D Karper, Pinson, AL, 35126
I support net neutrality as it exists. I support an open, unrestricted, and free internet.
516. internet, Billingsley, AL, 36006
Please keep net neutrality. It is important to keep the internet accessible to all and not controlled by those with money as to who an see what and what is streamed the fastest.
517. ScottDunn, Calera, AL, 35040
It is important to stop this change. Leave the internet alone.
518. Ashley Johnson, Hoover, AL, 35216

The internet needs net neutrality. I don't want someone who doesn't know me deciding what websites are a priority to me all so they can make more money.

519. randy w nalley, Montevallo, AL, 35115
the 'restoring internet freedom act' is a farce. you cannot! let this pass or the rights of american citizens will be restricted. again do NOT let this pass
520. Brandon Kirkland, Hueytown, AL, 35023
Keep net neutrality. I am a registered voting republican and I've hear many other republicans argue "an unregulated internet is better for free and open competition". I do not share this opinion. The internet has become the most important utility of our time, every bit as necessary and electricity and water. I received my dream job in part due to a personal website I created to showcase my portfolio. If net neutrality is not defended, I may lose capabilities to further my career.
521. William Thrasher, pleasant grove, AL, 35127
The internet needs net neutrality laws to keep ISPs from blocking or slowing access to sites they do not like like their competitors.
522. Blake Hill, Gardendale, AL, 35071
This whole thing is a terrible idea. This will cause more harm than good if it goes thru.
523. Nicki Faulk, Birmingham, AL, 35071
I am reaching out to you because I care about open internet and competition online. I am in support of giving people the power to choose which websites and apps are best. PLEASE KEEP NET NEUTRALITY!
524. Connor, Anonymous, AL, 35124
We need the ability to freely choose the websites we go to. It's how we discover new things and explore new ideas. If you limit our access you might as well be limiting the amount of good any one of us might be able to do for our country because who know? That one website you might want to prevent us to might have sparked an idea for a way to cure cancer or something else. Think about that.
525. Jessica Young, Alabastar, AL, 35007
This is bad dont do it
526. Jorge Vidal, Birmingham, AL, 35222
We need an open internet! Support Net Neutrality!!!
527. Justin Green, Pelham, AL, 35124
I strongly oppose any attempts to regulate my access to the internet. Information

should be free and open to all entities that access the internet. With e-commerce becoming a powerful asset to the American economy, regulations that interfere with open access will be detrimental for small business owners. In addition, I do not want unnecessary increases in my bills for services I do not want and do not want my personal information to be a product for corporations to sell. I am an American citizen and a US Veteran. I am not a commodity. I have fought for the freedom of the people and will continue to do so by opposing these regulations.

528. Kenneth Kellett, Pelham, AL, 35124

I am a 49 year old adult and feel that I am responsible enough to choose what websites or other content I see on the internet. I do NOT need any government body deciding what is Good or Bad for me or my family to view. This is something that is decided by myself and my wife. There is no need for any other persons involvement in this process. Thank you for taking the time to read my opinion on this matter.

529. Justin Simmons, Hoover, AL, 35226

The internet needs to remain as it currently stands. Changing the model to allow people to manipulate websites to be faster or blocking other content based off ownership or amount paid, will destroy the internet as it stands today. Don't let big money continue to corrupt and rule in our laws. Please reconsider this proposition and save the internet!

530. Terry Pitman Jr, Birmingham, AL, 35243

Please do your best to maintain fairness in pricing and permitting, with the best interest of the consumer in mind.

531. Joshua Hamilton, Birmingham, AL, 35205

Net neutrality is as vital as free speech and arguable a necessary tool to retain free speech in the USA. Please do not jeopardize this right. Keep Net Neutrality in place.

532. Matthew Shorten, Vestavia, AL, 35243

I support net neutrality and demand that the FCC take action on this issue by upholding this vital digital pillar. The removal of net neutrality would be a scourge on the face of America's enterprise, values, and the freedom of its citizens, not to mention the disastrous and unthinkably complex consequences it could have on the world. This will only further stratify the socioeconomic divides of this country, making free and fast internet access (a commodity that has become a necessity for everyone in today's globalized and digitized economy) a mere trapping of the rich and a luxury of the elite, whilst the middle and lower classes will suffer as usual by bearing the brunt of this issue. There is no good that can come from removing net neutrality, but the lives of many

people hang in the balance on this issue. I urge the FCC and those in charge of this proceeding to SAY NO TO THE ABOLITION OF NET NEUTRALITY. This should not even be considered as a possibility.

533. John Hocutt, BIRMINGHAM, AL, 35244

I believe the internet should be free and open. Allowing ISPs to control how the internet works is not only wrong on a moral level, it's wrong on a economic level as well. The internet is a place where anyone can start something, no matter how small they are, and when you prioritize over them you are actually hurting small businesses. Remember, you serve the people. And the people will remember.

534. James Mankowich, HOOVER, AL, 35216

We believe that the elimination of net neutrality will be an major obstacle for the growth of small business in Alabama and the United States of America.

535. Margaret Cross, Birmingham, AL, 35213

We have to keep net neutrality. Neutrality will give everyone a level playing field and keep the internet providers honest. They are only about making money and will not make decisions that are most beneficial for internet users, only in their best interest!

536. Isaiah Roberts, Hoover, AL, 35216

If Net Neutrality is killed off, then you can erase the term of democracy in the United States. It would me more so along the lines of "The United States is under a sort of-kind of democracy". You may have your reasons of implementing this bill, such as too prevent terrorist propaganda in which I do understand why that would be an important reason. However, I believe there are other ways of doing that, without limiting the internet for the entire nation. Just because there may be flaws, it doesn't mean you take all power/majority of the power away. Compromise. Taking away net neutrality is not compromising. You're forcing the internet users to view certain content or prevent them to view certain content.No, not all content is good, without a doubt it isn't, however I do not believe it's worth taking the majority of the power away to prevent that. If a child knocks over a plate on the table at dinner time, would you stop giving the child a plate? If someone accidentally trips someone else while walking and causes them to fall taking an injury, would you prevent the one that caused the accident from walking? If someone was in a library viewing inappropriate things, would you shut off access to the internet for everyone else? The consequences are way too heavy for this bill. Are we a democracy or a sort-of-kind of democracy. If the latter, then be up front about it and not deceive the nation and foreign countries of it. The world has enough hell in it, what good is it to add more?

537. Matthew McClellan, Mountain Brook, AL, 35223
Please protect net neutrality, I believe it is vital to the continued growth of the United States. Companies being able to get started like Netflix and Facebook has produced jobs and income for our citizens. I fear that if we remove net neutrality we will enter a phase where some of the giant ISPs will be able to stifle competition and innovation.
538. Todd Lear, Birmingham, AL, 35226
Rolling back FCC rules on net neutrality would be detrimental to Americans as a whole. Allowing a few companies to control access to what we see is akin to censorship and is monopolistic behavior. The internet should remain a free and open "utility" and should not be able to controlled, manipulated, or censored by interested parties.
539. Beth Ashmore, Leeds, AL, 35094
As a librarian who is committed to unrestricted access to information for my users, I believe that net neutrality is key to keeping the internet accessible to all and not just those who have the money to pay to get the high-speed content they desire. Anything that seeks to prioritize internet access based on what your ISP wants, is wrong.
540. s baum, homewood, AL, 35209
net neutrality is American to its core. why sell out one of our greatest assets so a few companies can make \$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$\$.
541. Patrick Cunningham, Hoover, AL, 35216
I strongly oppose Internet Service Providers "double-dipping" to charge me for Internet access then charge others who I connect to for special "priority service". I generally view "less is more" when it comes to regulations but this is an overreach by a handful of entities who are attempting to leverage their collective power to unfairly enrich themselves beyond a reasonable price for their services.
542. Ben Tieszen, Vestavia, AL, 35243
I am in opposition of this act because it will grant massive amounts of power to internet service providers and take it away from consumers. Under this law, internet service providers would be able to charge more for content, slow down smaller web companies who cannot pay extra for bandwidth, and charge consumers more for services that use more data. This does not benefit the consumer and only serves to increase the amount that ISPs can charge for content, allows them to effectively censor information they disagree with, and slows growth for smaller companies that do not have the capacity to pay for "fast lanes" from the ISP. This act is a farce designed to evoke the word "freedom" to sound more fair, but it is far from freeing. Please stand with many major

internet companies such as Amazon, Reddit, Etsy, Yahoo, Microsoft, and Twitter. Net neutrality is too important to put into the hands of companies that stand to profit from the lack of regulation. As a consumer and an American, I strongly urge the FCC to reject this act and instead continue to support net neutrality.

543. Ronald Burton, Birmingham, AL, 35222

You should not allow major corporations to subdivide and set tariffs for the internet. A neutral internet is the best internet.

544. Timothy Shawn Taylor, Pinson, AL, 35126

Leave the internet open for all consumers. do not allow ISPs to control the speed of certain sites just because a company paid for faster speeds. do not allow companies to pay to block a competitor's site. the people should decide which site is best, not the internet service providers. if a consumer pays for a certain speed internet access, they should have that speed to every site on the internet.

545. Chris Augsburger, Birmingham, AL, 35242

Net Neutrality is essential to a fair and open Internet. My view is that the Internet is an essential utility as is power and water. You should be able to pay your monthly fee for access, you receive said access and that's it. Nice and simple. By removing Net Neutrality we are allowing the ISP's to pick the winners and losers. Letting the ISP's become the troll at the bridge is not what we citizens want for the United States of America. I'm tired of our congressmen and women not representing the interests of the people. This goes for all the other government officials that aren't listening as well. Listen to us, we're telling you this is a horrible idea for 99.9999% of the country. This would be just one more thing where America shoots itself in the foot.

546. Michael Ray, Helena, AL, 35022

Keeping the internet free is essential to my business. I am a partner in a web development company. If net neutrality goes away, then my business is at risk. We will no longer be able to create websites for businesses, if providers block access to them.

547. William Taylor George, Vestavia, AL, 35243

A free market is the only market that has proven to succeed. The internet is now the core of information transfer in the world. Attempting to control that transfer through oversight will never allow capitalism to thrive. Internet access is a utility just like water or electricity. Do not allow net neutrality to fall. Do not sell our people out to large corporations for short term gain. Vote no on 17-108. The free market will always police itself, because the consumers dictate the market.

548. Jeff Cook, Birmingham, AL, 35242

The internet needs to remain neutral and free from the control of Internet Service Providers.

549. Michael Christopher, Hoover, AL, 35226
Please do not roll back net neutrality rules.
550. Gary Legg, Indian Springs, AL, 35124
Save the open internet. Keep net neutrality. And don't turn it over to AT&T nor their buddies.
551. Thomas Bracker, Birmingham, AL, 35216
Net Neutrality is incredibly important to me as a citizen of the United States. I am a full time employee, a full time student, and a full time parent to both my kids and my foster kids. If you can't tell, I don't have much free time. And I cannot spend that limited free time glaring at my computer screen waiting for a page to load because someone at Charter Spectrum or Verizon decided that a certain websites opinions don't matter as much as theirs. Take a stand, fight for the people you were elected to protect!Thomas Bracker
552. Glen Caple, Birmingham, AL, 35216
We should be free to visit any sites we please free of censorship from ISPs or corporations
553. Michael Slanovits, Hoover, AL, 35226
An informed public is the best weapon against tyranny and the internet is the greatest means of acquiring information. Don't allow companies to control access to free information.
554. Cody Winton, Birmingham, AL, 35242
You need to maintain Net Neutrality. It is unacceptable to remove these restrictions from ISPs. Leave the power with the consumers.
555. JC Minton, Birmingham, AL, 35242
A free and open internet is critical. The internet has become as important as the landline telephone and the television for households around the country.Please do not allow companies to shape the internet to a way that makes them more money at the expense of the citizenry.
556. Terry Wirt, Birmingham, AL, 35243
Please help us keep the internet from our ISPs selling off the internet we see to the highest bidder. I fail to see any real good to come from Net Neutrality being repealed. Why do we use the internet? To get news, learn, shop, watch movies, and listen to music on an abundant amount of websites. The internet gives us the freedom to find what we are looking for without being told where we "HAVE" to go. If you vote to take that away from us, it's almost as bad as

taking our freedom away. The internet was made to be free to the people and gives everyone a chance to build relationships, learn new things and even build a business. Please do not repeal Net Neutrality if you care for our freedom.

557. Donna, Trussville, AL, 35173

Please keep the Internet free and open to everyone without any power designated to ISPs to block, throttle, or sell access. All of my family and acquaintances strongly support net neutrality rules. I consider it UNAMERICAN to prevent American citizens from having their access to the best of the web blocked by an ISP trying to make money by curtailing our freedoms. Support NET NEUTRALITY. Support freedom.

558. Maxwell Klapow, Birmingham, AL, 35244

I believe the internet should be kept free and open to all who want to use it. Access to information should never be conditional.

559. Miles Van Divner, Montevallo, AL, 35115

I pay my ISP to give me access to the Internet. I do NOT pay them just so they can tell me what I can and can not do with my own time on the World Wide Web. They are Providers, not Kings.

560. Austin Justice, Trussville, AL, 35173

The internet is essential to every aspect of my life. As a small business employee, we use the internet to do 100% of our marketing as well as our communication, research and advertising. As a daughter of a retired military man, a lot of my family and friends spread across the nation and world, leaving the internet as my sole way of communicating and staying in touch with these people in my life. I buy internet access to stay connected - for email purposes both personal and professional, for social media, for streaming, for purchasing online, for promoting my company. I buy it to get reliable access at top speeds so I can connect quickly and use my time wisely. The cost of internet doubling or tripling without the FCC authority would be detrimental to every aspect of my life, because internet is involved in every aspect. Keep net neutrality rules, and an open Internet, preserved for the livelihood of Americans everywhere.

561. Nate Rogers, Hoover, AL, 35216

If we want to preserve internet freedom, we need net neutrality backed by title II oversight of ISPs. Anything less is not "restoring" internet freedom, but destroying it.

562. Charles Cox, Birmingham, AL, 35242

The internet needs to be free and open. The broadband providers do not need to be able to prioritize or filter what sites we can see or have access to. Internet is like water or electricity - everyone deserves equal access and treatment to these necessities.

563. Peter Adamo, Vestavia Hills, AL, 35216
The internet need to remain free. The internet is a right and must remain open and free to all. Think about the extreme economic success the internet has helped further in this country. Tampering with it will only reduce everyone's quality of life.
564. John, Birmingham, AL, 35243
Hundreds of thousands of people use the internet everyday and getting rid of Net Neutrality would impact the vast majority of Americans negatively. There is a reason places like Canada and countries in Europe have laws to keep Net Neutrality in place.
565. Sam Dirr, Alabaster, AL, 35007
Preserve Net Neutrality and Keep it on Title 2.
566. net neutrality, Pelham, AL, 35124
The removal of Net Neutrality is a dangerous thing, it can render the future of the world useless. The rapid growth of the internet can be attributed to the open and unhindered interference from internet service providers. Please do not hurt the future of America by removing this safe guard.
567. Eric Roberson, Warrior, AL, 35180
A free, open internet is the backbone of the majority of the innovations for the past 20+ years. Allowing paid prioritization, capping, and throttling will cause untold damage to a multitude of industries, not including the startup communities that are not backed by huge venture capitalists. It will also hamper small business development in industries where the incumbent players are able to raise the barrier of entry via a non-neutral internet.
568. Carlos Calero, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I'm a college student studying computer science and like many other of my peers net neutrality is very important to me, because I want to keep the internet free and the way it is. Therefore I do not support the "Restoring Internet Freedom" which does quite the opposite. If ISP are not regarded as utilities and instead classified as "information providers" then there will not be a free market for the internet. Whenever I do school work, I'm currently free to look at any website for help, and the speed to access those websites is not throttled based on the type of content that is in it. This promotes a free market for websites that provide information and any other type of website for that manner. This is because the website that provides the best content will be the one that is rewarded by the people. If this is not the case and some websites get priority over others because they paid more money to an ISP, then the people are not picking who the winners and losers of the internet, it will be the ISP's. If a young entrepreneur like myself tried to compete in an age where big established

business get priority over smaller business in terms of accessing their websites, then it would be impossible to compete. This noncompetition state would lead to less innovation in the internet and the USA could fall behind in technology development. Please keep ISPs as utilities, and therefore keep the internet free and open. Thank you for reading comments.

569. Binish Abdullah, Birmingham, AL, 35216

Keep Net Neutrality. You work for the people not for the corporations so do the right thing.

570. Patrick Tate, Vestavia Hills, AL, 35216

Net neutrality needs to stay. My ISP is already expensive as is, and I do not want to give them money for me to access certain web sites. I do not want a private company to have control over a public domain we know as the internet. It's like a library that charges you for certain books to rent when over books are completely free to rent.

571. Johnston McMillan, Vestavia, AL, 35216

On May 16, 2018, Robert moved to a new city. He was excited to start his new job, and lay down roots in the community. He wasn't worried about losing connections to his old friends, because Robert would play games with them every thursday night. Robert arrived at his new house and called the only ISP that services his house, "BIG ISP Co." They sent a technician the next day to set up his Internet service. "Okay, I've got your modem plugged in, now I just need to know what services you use." The tech looked down at his tablet and cleared his throat, preparing to read from a list. "Just stop me when you hear a service you would like to use." He began to read, "BIG Movies, BIG Music, BIG Storage." "No, I don't use those, I didn't have BIG Internet at my last house." Robert replied, "I think it would be faster if I just gave you a list of what I plan to use." "That might be a problem, especially if your services don't fit in a BIG Internet bundle we already offer." "What do you mean?" "Well, do you watch movies online from services like Netflix and Amazon Prime?" "Yes, of course I do." "Okay, we'll add the streaming movies package." The tech checked off a box, and the monthly price for Robert's quote got higher at the bottom of the his tablet. "What about television, do you catch up on missed episodes online?" "Yes, of course! I can't be around all the time when shows are on!" "No problem. I'll add the streaming television package too." The tech checked another box, and Robert's quote got higher again. "How about music, you said you don't use BIG Music, do you want to listen to another internet radio service?" "I have a ton of Spotify playlists! Pretty much one for every occasion." "Okay, we'll need to add the Music Streaming package, then." Another box checked, another increase to Robert's monthly subscription cost. "Now, how about games, do you use BIG Games to play with friends?" "No, I connect with friends using Discord, and we play all sort of games." "Discord, huh? VOIP service too, then." The tech

scrolled through his list looking for VOIP services. He checked the box, and the quote got higher. "You play games from Steam?" "Yes." "Origin?" "Yes." "GoG?" "Yes." With each "yes," the price of Robert's monthly fee went up. "Guild Wars?" "Yes." "Battle.net?" "Yes." "Star Citizen?" "Yes." "Candy Crush?" "No. I don't play Candy Crush." "Great, you're going to love the savings of not paying for Facebook games." "I'm not so sure about that." Robert looked at the tablet. The long list of third party services had come with service charges that ballooned his rate to twice what he expected to pay. "My last service provider didn't charge me extra to use Netflix or Spotify or Steam. This seems like a racket!" "Well, sir, you're welcome to use a competitor, then. LARGENET services the other side of town. I think their service charges are pretty much the same, so if you care about it so much, you're welcome to move. I won't keep you here." "That's it, I can pay your fees or move?! Those are my only options?" Robert was incredulous. "This can't be legal!" "Completely legal, sir. Net Neutrality is a thing of the past. I am a BIG ISP Co shareholder, and I would be furious if they weren't making all the money they could. LARGENET does it. GIANT CONNECT does it. We have to do it to compete!" "Fine" Robert said, "lets just sign this thing." "Hold your horses, Cowboy" The technician guffawed at the idea that he was finished. "We haven't even talked about your data cap yet." Credit: /u/Novelize

572. Yang Liu, Birmingham, AL, 35216

ISPs do not deserve to control the internet. Stop shoving more money into the hands of the rich all you're doing is embracing corrupt government that will eventually lead to the downfall of the already failing United States government.

573. justin klepin, Hoover, AL, 35244

I support Net Neutrality and think that it should stand. I do not approve of the FCC's plan to repeal any part of it.

574. Pablo Gonzalez, trussville, AL, 35173

Keep the internet free. Its the only place where companies, government, and criminals have the least power over free humans.

575. Kyle Bonner, Brimingham, AL, 35210

I am writing today to implore those who are suppose to work as the people's voice to reconsider your plans to remove Title II from the Communication Act. The Internet is a wonderful source of global information, and should in no way be relegated to slim down, slow, or hide said information. I purchase service from my ISP for a speedy connection to an open Internet. A service provider should not be given the power to dictate what I am allowed to access, or hinder my experience on what has become the medium in which the World has grown smaller, better. Please, do not trade in the people's freedom for profit.

576. Amy Stewart, Birmingham, AL, 35210

The FCC should continue to classify broadband ISPs as 'telecommunications services.' The internet is even more vital to my family than a land-based telephone line was in the 1970s and 80s. Our family uses the internet to occasionally work from home, connect with friends and family through Facebook and Instagram, read our subscription to the New York Times, play games, pay bills, conduct financial transactions, shop, keep abreast of political changes, watch movies and tv shows and a myriad of other activities. Particularly important for me is the ability to keep in touch with a network of people who suffer from the same rare, chronic disease I do. The ability to do these things online greatly improves my quality of life. I purchase my broadband service through Spectrum (formerly Brighthouse) solely to have a quick, reliable, unbiased connection to the entire internet. I am not aware of, nor does my family use, any other services from Spectrum beyond access to the internet and cable. We get our email services from Google and Yahoo and cloud storage through Apple. It is important that the FCC maintain oversight of the internet and ISPs because choice is very limited. For us to change to another ISP, such as AT&T, we would have to re-wire our 1957 house to be able to use the telephony based service. This would require costly work including tearing out portions of walls, rewiring, repairing drywall and re-painting. Satellite internet companies have known issues during thunderstorms, which occur daily during the summer months in my area of the country. Furthermore, storms are also frequent during the tornado seasons of spring and fall. Losing connectivity so frequently would be a severe burden to my family considering the huge proportion of our daily business that is conducted over the internet. Just as telephone user simply dials their desired destination using a telephone number and doesn't select which specific circuits or cell towers they want their calls carried on or routed through, internet users type in web addresses to get to a destination, without regard for which IP address or caching server is needed. The fast, unfiltered, neutral internet is a vital telecommunication service. Do not allow ISPs to effectively censor or promote certain websites over others. The open internet has contributed to huge gains in productivity and increases in entrepreneurship in our country and will continue to be a huge engine for change if we keep net neutrality in place.

577. Net, Helena, AL, 35022

Taking away neutrality is a horrible idea.

578. Jeff McCord, Birmingham, AL, 35242

I support Net Neutrality. Allowing ISPs to favor some data over others is a horrible idea.

579. Hannah Skjellum, Birmingham, AL, 35216

It is important that the internet experience not become controlled by companies.

As some like myself are concerned, the limiting of experiences on the internet by companies could cause people to lose access to information, abilities to connect to friends and family throughout the world, and also lead to similar situations like those in Egypt in 2011. The internet stands as a place where information can be spread and if only a handful of companies control this, the ability to find information that is not controlled by corporations will fall away.

580. Noneof Urbeeswax, Columbiana, AL, 35051

Save the free flipping internet for the love of God, This is the free nation. Keep it that way!!!

581. Cameron Palmer, Birmingham, AL, 35242

This is wrong and should be stopped. We want freedom on the internet

582. Randall Dowling, Leeds, AL, 35094

Net Neutrality is crucial to protecting freedoms that Americans exercise on a daily basis. Net Neutrality protects consumers from unfair business practices and gives technology start ups a fighting chance. The current Administration's plan to reverse Net Neutrality legislature is slap in the face of the American people and only stands to benefit service providers who wish to line their pockets with gold.

583. Jason Arriazola, birmingham, AL, 35242

I oppose this proceeding. While this is hidden in a cloak of free and open markets it clearly benefits the ISP controlling companies. The companies will be allowed to operate unhindered against the overwhelming interests of the citizens they service for nothing more than more money. It will give the ISP companies inside advantage to cripple the streaming and gaming markets because they will no longer be regulated to provide the full services without charging more. The commissioner's ties to Verizon a large benefactor of this proceeding should be considered as well. Despite that this will fall on deaf ears and blind eyes. Please take great care in understanding the consequences of these decisions as well as the innate greed of people to squeeze every last living cent from people.

584. Sean Brown, Irondale, AL, 35210

Please preserve net neutrality backed by Title II oversight. We should all abhor the potential manipulation of access to the internet by what could only be the influence of lobbyists or special interest groups funded by the very companies who stand to benefit from such arbitrary regulation. For anyone to say with a straight face that net neutrality is unnecessary or inconsequential is to bespeak either a profound ignorance or blatant manipulation of truth for the purpose of ulterior selfish motives, and reflects the very worst of how our country has come to be operated at the government level.

585. Net Neutrality, Kimberly, AL, 35091
I feel as if keeping the net neutral is important not just for the people of today but for the people of tomorrow. It's important that we keep the net open for all people to use the way that they need to. There is no need in passing such a thing that will only hurt every one beside the Isp's in charge. By passing such ruling would segregate people from each other. Also i feel as if segregating people in such a way is almost un american. Through out the live of are country we have fought to bring people together. why try to start separating people now?
586. Bob Jones, Alabaster, AL, 35007
We live in the free world of America, yet repealing this would restrict freedom on the internet. If something is not broke what is the point of fixing it. When we start to repeal laws that help us keep our freedom, we turn more and more like the countries we despise.
587. Jerry Morris, Vestavia, AL, 35216
Don't do it. I swear to god. DON'T YOU DO IT. >:(Jerry Morris
588. Wendy Garmon, Birmingham, AL, 35209
Keep the net NEUTRAL!!!!
589. James M. Greenhaw, Alabaster, AL, 35007
Please keep net neutrality the way it is. Businesses should NOT be able to throttle, block, promote or otherwise interfere with a users use of the internet.
590. Sean Castle, Birmingham, AL, 35235
Net Neutrality is important to us all, with out it ISPs can censor what we see and do on the web. Not only this but if businesses can't pay up for their "fast lanes" then this will severely hurt smaller businesses and start-ups. Please keep the existing net neutrality rules based on Title II.
591. Gerald Horne, Pelham, AL, 35124
The FCC must uphold the interests of PEOPLE, not corporations trying to profit. I STRONGLY SUPPORT net neutrality
592. Jeremy Dunn, Gardendale, AL, 35071
Net Neutrality has to stay. I don't want the people with money and influence deciding what to favor and what to throttle. I don't want to wonder if I'm getting a filtered view of the world the way I currently have to with how partisan our news networks are. The internet is how the world communicates, and that channel should be protected.
593. Michael Green, Vestavia, AL, 35243
Net Neutrality must be preserved and vigorously enforced. ISP's have the same monopoly in communities that ILECS once had. No company, nor the

government's have the right to act as a gatekeeper over what I have access to nor the right to discriminate giving preference to certain providers and then ultimately groups, websites, email providers and denying our Constitutionally protected rights. Net Neutrality is fundamental to the very concept of the Internet and the free exchange of information, ideas, news, and culture.

594. Scott Kesler, Birmingham, AL, 35242

I used the Internet to get my undergraduate degree. I currently use the Internet for research, email, cloud storage, and entertainment. I think the FCC should have oversight of ISP's to prevent throttling and/or blocking content of competitors and giving preferred access for paid content providers.

595. Patrick Locke, Birmingham, AL, 35243

I fully support strong net neutrality backed by title 2 regulations on ISP providers.

596. Bryan Saxon, Hoover, AL, 35244

As a software engineer and entrepreneur who builds products for the internet, you are killing the free market I have available and allowing big corporations to use their size to kill any competition, not through merit, but with force. With a country built on the entrepreneurial spirit, how can this be constitutional? Allowing a public utility to be manipulated by the largest pocket book? I am very disappointed in the leadership that would allow such a thing to exist. This is NOT "Making America Great Again" and should be stopped.

597. tarra kuhn, Madison, AL, 35242

Please dont screw over the internet

598. Nathaniel Andrews, Birmingham, AL, 35242

History has proven that greed controls humans especially large corporations. If net neutrality is overturned, it opens the door for large corporations to control the internet. They will have a great amount of power that is very scary to me. I will ask you to keep in place the laws that support net neutrality. Thank you for your time.

599. Kevin Brown, Birmingham, AL, 35243

As a software engineer and lover of the pursuit of happiness, I stand in strong opposition to this filing. A free internet stands in parallel with capitalism, creativity, and community. Why doesn't the FCC focus on what's right instead of bending to the whims of lobbies who so obviously want to monetize through control of media & marketing? You should be ashamed of yourselves.

600. Victoria McCutcheon, Mount Olive, AL, 35117

So...don't ruin the internet, please.

601. jeff deneen, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Please keep the internet open and available as all. In my opinion it should be treated the same as dial tone. The carriers cannot self regulate because the profit motive works too well.
602. Net Neutrality, Calera, AL, 35040
Please do not clog up one of the best things for humanity since radio. The internet, like the Interstate is built by the government for security and travelling. Everyone has the right to go the speed limit. Don't put a governor on my internet just to give more bandwidth to richer people. It's just not right. But what do you guys care. I can't pay you.
603. Anna Waters, Birmingham, AL, 35222
The Open Internet rules put in place by the FCC in 2015 are based upon a solid legal framework that has been sustained by the courts. The FCC Net Neutrality rules effectively safeguard the open Internet as an engine of innovation and investment and as a global platform for free expression. The FCC should abandon its misguided effort to obviate all the work that has been done on behalf of all Internet users. Don't reclassify broadband. Protect net neutrality.
604. Lora Gaxiola, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Free internet is our right!
605. Connor, Birmingham, AL, 35216
Are you really ready to destroy modern internet as we know it? Prioritizing sites who pay and shutting others out is more biased than CNN's Hillary reports.
606. Christina Turner, Vestavia, AL, 35216
Keep the internet free and clear. DO NOT favor the providers over the citizens. Providers should not be able to do anything except provide the internet. We are not fooled by the chairman's doublespeak. If you put a limit to the internet you will lose your positions in office. If it isn't broken don't fix it, and the internet IS NOT broken.
607. McKenna Dixon, Maylene, AL, 35114
The Internet NEEDS net neutrality to serve its people!!
608. Jondell Stephens, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Support net neutrality. Don't give in to tyranny!
609. Michael Greene, Wilsonville, AL, 35186
We need Net Neutrality, it is essential for continued innovation and growth!
610. John W Smith, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I feel compelled to write you today in opposition to proposed changes to already

implemented net neutrality guidelines. As an item of economics, I feel that access restrictions should not be made that can impact the global business practices and allow for discriminatory or detrimental action by an ISP. The internet has become an commodity vital to cultural and business growth, and while there are inherent risks we cannot hold bare the futile ground that we can cultivate through continued neutrality. It is not without risk or unpalatable actions that neutrality might bring; however, it is short-sided at best to increase regulation that limits something sparking such growth. Please mark me as an opposed consumer and concerned citizen about the precedent set by the proposed changes. Sincerely, John W. Smith

611. Jessica Marko, Birmingham, AL, 35215

I am Jessica, I suffer from chronic pain and cannot work anymore, my husband works very hard to provide for the both of us. He gets home and enjoys watching Netflix and Hulu that is his outlet to life. I stream video game play online and watch other video game streams, because some days my pain is so severe, nothing hinders it, no medicine, no therapy nothing, so escaping to internet is my freedom from pain. I want to express why we should have an open internet. ISPs should not have the right to control entertainment. The internet is essentially a giant source of entertainment. What people do when they get off work to enjoy themselves or what people do as an outlet to escape horrible reality or cope with what they enjoy on the internet should not be controlled by someone like ISPs. I do not need someone to control my experience online. I do a good job of that myself. I dont want my websites blocked because they are websites I enjoy on a day to day basis, and they do not hurt anyone. FCC should be working on finding harmful sites and putting an end to that, not worried about Jessica in Alabama watching video game streams on Minecraft. I do not need my internet slowed down cause ISP companies already charge an arm and leg and head and finger and body, for high speeds, and companies like AT&T that are now partnered with Direct TV if you use there internet they will slow down Netflix and Hulu FORCING customers to buy Direct TV. Why would I as a consumer spend \$100 more dollars on a service that I was never happy with before (direct tv) when I am spending about \$10 for unlimited movies and tv now, that could not be offered to be with the service I had before. That is not fair to consumers, your putting money in the ISP pockets and taking it away from the people who work hard and should have the choice on where they spend the money.

612. Meghan Simmons, Hoover, AL, 35226

Net neutrality is important to me personally. All Americans have the right to go where they want on the internet without fear of their browsing being controlled by an internet service provider or any other entity! Please keep the internet open!!

613. Eric Yon, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Hello,I believe that everyone should have the right to surf the internet freely of how they want. We should have the freedom to visit websites and choose the content we want to see. We need to keep net neutrality. We should have access to the one whole internet. We should not have to be paying to be constantly monitored or blocked by anyone.
614. C. Ivey, Birmingham, AL, 35043
Net neutrality is a cornerstone of modern free speech and freedom of information. To remove it does nothing more than open the doors to corporate greed and the exploitation and suppression of the American people.I am urging you to keep our Open Internet rules based on Title II in place. Without them, we could lose the Internet as we know it.
615. Scott Schram, Birmingham, AL, 35244
Please keep true Net Neutrality, otherwise the monopolistic ISPs will take advantage to the detriment of innovation and consumers. For example, prioritizing their own movie services and slowing those of competitors. Competition will be stifled and new startups will not be allowed to flourish. Here, we only have two choices of landline ISPs and in exchange for this monopoly they should be required to give equal access to all internet services. Eliminating Net Neutrality would be like allowing an old landline provider to degrade service to certain businesses unless they pay. Terrible!
616. Matthew Averitt, Vestavia Hills, AL, 35216
The Internet is such a beautiful world full of knowledge and entertainment. I am a veteran of the USAF and now transitioning to becoming a full time student. If my ISP raises the prices i would not be able to afford it, if they take away and or force feed me sites then the internet losses all of its beauty. Please do not give the isp's more unnecessary power.
617. Philip T Russell, Birmingham, AL, 35222
Serve everyone instead of the few.If companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal customer service.I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans.So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him.Thank you!Philip T Russell

618. Brett, Birmingham, AL, 35222
Keep Net Neutrality. Limiting the internet is censorship.
619. Spencer Portis, Irondale, AL, 35210
The internet has always been an open source platform for people to create, share and experience different content, information etc. It's literally a free market of ideas. Good ideas float to the top and are widely enjoyed, bad ideas are left to wither and die in irrelevancy. It's actual Capitalism. The internet as it exists today wouldn't be possible without net neutrality. Allowing ISPs to control content and gatekeep is STIFILING the market, not encouraging it. To argue otherwise is just an exercise in willful ignorance. Google, Facebook, Instagram, Uber, and a number of other now multi-billion dollar websites wouldn't be what they are today if ISPs had the power that Ajit Pai seems to want to give them. "Free market" is a euphemism for "infinite corporate freedom." If you actually care about free market ideals, you'd stop any revocation of the rules in place that protect consumers and internet based companies from ISP overreach. Let's see if you actually believe in a free market, or if Ajit Pai is really the ISP lapdog that I believe he is. Spencer Portis
620. james downing, Sterrett, AL, 35147
Please keep net neutrality rules. I am a disabled veteran, and I live in a rural area. without net neutrality I would have to pay even more money on my fixed income for even less slow internet service than I do now. Doone give the companies that dont want to spend the money to improve their infrastructure the power to never improve it and charge you more for being slower. Repealing net neutrality rules does NOT help foster fair competition with ISPs as where I live and where many others live there is only only one choice available. please keep the net neutrality rules and actually enforce them so people stop getting charged extras on pointless low data caps. james downing
621. Gerry Daniel, Homewood, AL, 35209
Hi, Net Neutrality is very important to everyone that uses the Internet. I strongly support maintaining Net Neutrality. Please do not allow any changes that will remove or alter Net Neutrality. Thank you - Gerry Daniel
622. Katherine Malone, Birmingham, AL, 35209
So many people earn their livings on sites that would be pushed aside if net neutrality was destroyed. The internet is a place where everything should be fair and equal, and no site should have precedence over another just because they paid more, or because they're being sponsored by an ISP. Please, keep net neutrality intact, at the very least for the livelihoods of many.
623. Phillip Gatherwright, Birmingham, AL, 35216
The internet is our modern gateway to knowledge and information. We

can't have ISPs and cell providers control our access to information.

624. Franklin James, Hoover, AL, 35226

Dear members of the FCC, Please consider keeping Net Neutrality. It is only fair that we consider the internet to be a utility. It would be unfair to many businesses and websites if some were to get preferential treatment. I firmly believe that the United States stands as an example of fair and equal treatment and access for all. Eradicating or even modifying Net Neutrality would not be in the best interests of the citizens of the United States of America. Letting ISPs decide which content is allowed more bandwidth than others is unethical.

625. Joshua W. Headley, Verbena, AL, 36091

Please keep net neutrality. It is just how it should be. If it ain't broke don't fix it as the saying goes.

626. Chris Calvert, Hoover, AL, 35244

The internet is used today by effectively everyone for all manner tasks. For a great many people, it is the primary means of receiving information: news, weather, contact with friends or family. The internet is no more 'optional' or 'a luxury' than a telephone line was in the 80s. The poorest and most vulnerable rely on it for everything from job placement to how-to videos for home repair, to cheap or free entertainment. Removing the net neutrality protections from the internet will be, in short, a disaster. Please do not allow corporate greed to take over this essential tool for all Americans.

627. Ashton, Montevallo, AL, 35115

Don't let this bill happen. It is just one more thing the government can control.

628. Nicholas Tidwell, Oneonta, AL, 35121

Net neutrality is important for the freedom of information.

629. Bruno Riechert, Hoover, AL, 35226

Net Neutrality ensures you have the freedom to control your Internet experience. The 2015 rules prohibit broadband ISPs like Comcast and AT&T from picking winners and losers on the Internet. Contrary to what net neutrality opponents say, the rules do not "regulate the Internet." As powerful as these companies are, they are not "the Internet" — they provide access to the Internet. Most Americans have little or no choice in ISPs — a recent FCC study showed that 58% of census blocks had either zero or one broadband provider, and 87% had no more than two. Does anyone really think that consumers are better off without rules of the road moderating the behavior of these gatekeepers? I am not sure if you even have a choice here, since all major Federal Government sites seemed to be controlled by corporate or international interests any more, But at least I wanted to let you know that I am not agreeing with the rules change proposals.

630. James Anderson, Birmingham, AL, 35222
The Internet must stay open and available to all those who wish to use it, whether it be for personal or business purposes. Without Net Neutrality, users would be subject to pay-walls set up by private Internet Service Providers. Additionally, doing away with NN will mean allowing these ISPs to essentially throttle any website that they wish. This is wrong. The Internet is a vital part of our society and must maintain a level-playing field for all. Therefore, I am a strong proponent of Net Neutrality.
631. Mark, A Gamer., Clanton, AL, 35045
Save the internet!The internet should be completely free for smaller companies to use. It shouldn't be one big pile of capitalism! How else will companies grow?
632. Stewart Lawler, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I use an innumerable amount of resources on the internet for school, work, and down time. None of that would be possible without net neutrality.
633. John Beasley, Gardendale, AL, 35071
Net Neutrality helps create an environment where all users of the Internet have the ability to express themselves and their ideas without censorship. Any attempt to repeal Net Neutrality is a clear violation of the First Amendment and therefore should be considered unconstitutional. Don't let the will of corporations be of higher priority than the will of the people.
634. Adam Dane, Vestavia, AL, 35216
I expect you to protect the FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules). Taking consumer choice away and instead empowering a few private interests to decide what businesses and information sources deserve priority is anti-capitalist. It is against the spirit of the First Amendment, which is not only legal doctrine, but the sentiment that people should be free to choose what to read or view. ISPs should not have the power to block, to slow, or to disadvantage any source that is abiding by standard practices of content offering, nor to split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to. The Internet is the modern-day post road. It was the postal service that allowed Netflix to get its start, sending DVDs by mail. If the USPS had the ability to block or slow Netflix's mail, then Blockbuster might have stopped them and we wouldn't be seeing this streaming competition to conventional content delivery. Only when we have open markets do we get the sort of innovation that erodes monopolies. Without neutrality, Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy, including on campaign websites. Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs

are free to censor political speech they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's anti-capitalist plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Adam Dane

635. David Bowers, Alabaster, AL, 35007
Save net neutrality! Keep the internet open and free!.
636. Kevin Battles, Birmingham, AL, 35222
I believe that the FCC does not need to allow ISP's to throttle download speeds based upon content. I also believe that ISP's should not be able to collect extensive consumer data to manipulate the consumer advertisement experience.
637. Kevin Connolly, Hoover, AL, 35211
I support strong net neutrality rules backed backed Title 2 regulations of ISPs. The internet has become a life line for many individuals and companies to find success. ISPs should not act as gate keepers that extort money from content consumers and providers alike.
638. jessica carmon, Birmingham, AL, 35222
Please continue to preserve net neutrality. It is vital that freedom be extended to the internet as well.
639. john nelson, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Keep the internet free! Net neutrality is very important to me. Please do what is in your power as an elected official not to allow corporations to limit the internet. Knowledge should be free and not sold or limited to the highest bidder. I am proud to be a united states citizen; where freedom has been paid for time and time again by blood, sweat, tears, and lives. Restricting access to the internet is an infringement on my rights and a disgrace to the freedom America has paid for. john nelson
640. Russell McGhee, Helena, AL, 35080
The internet is too important to have content controlled by a few companies. Time and time again they have shown they will act against competition, such as AT&T blocking Apple Facetime, letting gateways go unupgraded so Netflix users slow down, adding data caps because of little competition. If an ISP can give preferable treatment to their own data, sure it seems like a short term win for the users of that service, but long term kills off any competition for that service. Please keep net neutrality. Thanks. Russell McGhee

641. Jacob Gordon, Hoover, AL, 35244
The Internet should be available to everyone equally, without paywalls or bias.
642. Andy Mitchell, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I oppose Chairman Pai's proposal to reverse net neutrality.
643. Net Neutrality, Hueytown, AL, 35023
Having all voices equally heard online is vitally important.
644. m, Clanton, AL, 35045
It isn't broke stop trying to fix it.
645. James W. Money, Jr., Pelham, AL, 35124
It is important to keep Net Neutrality without limitations or prohibitions.
646. Sophia West, Trussville, AL, 35173
Net neutrality is vital for small businesses, especially those that run online. Without net neutrality, the government is putting money making above the average citizens well being. I am against this.
647. Lea Ann Presley, Birmingham, AL, 35235
Keep the internet fair and unbiased!
648. Bradley Harris, Hoover, AL, 35226
Please make our internet free.
649. Justin Watkins, Montevallo, AL, 35115
PLEASE KEEP NET NEUTRALITY! Keep the internet free!
650. Net Neutrality, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Keep net neutrality, 'nuff said.
651. Thomas Alexander IV, Hoover, AL, 35226
Do not restrict internet! Keep it open!
652. Ashlin Hope, Shelby, AL, 35143
Let the Internet be.
653. will findley, Birmingham, AL, 35235
save neutrality , do not allow big business to take over the internet. i feel if people want to pay extra for extra bandwidth & speed they should be able to but do not make everyone pay extra for the right to be on the internet.
654. Natalie Nixon, Montevallo, AL, 35115
I want to keep internet under title 2. Internet is important for school and life and I do not want any major changes that can hurt my use of the internet. Which

will happen if it changes.

655. Sam Lesniak, Hoover, AL, 35226

It is truly dystopian to not support open Internet. Net neutrality is important for education and the sharing of true, unbiased information - the entire reason the internet was created!

656. John Channell, Hueytown, AL, 35023

The world must be a free place - net neutrality is the solution. Just let us have our internet.

657. Andrew Park, Pinson, AL, 35126

I am really getting tired of this. Every year it is the same thing. We the people of the United States have to rail against you people at the stinking FCC.

Really??? At least try to make look like you are not in the pockets of ISP's.

Please at least Net Neutrality as it is. If you do anything cement the Title II classification of the Internet. It does not "dissuade investment" into existing and developing networks. If that was the outcome then we would not have our modern day electricity, water, and sewage that we have today. What you all are proposing to do would severely limit companies that do not want to comply to ISP's "requirements". Which will effect customers of those companies even more severely. Creating a snowball effect that you must take account of. This is only a brief administration that we are currently under. Meaning that your jobs could end just as briefly. Your job is to serve the people of the United States not it's corporations. Remember that and do your jobs that your federal pay checks are for. Stop taking kickbacks from ISP's!!!!Please and thank you,Andrew Park

658. Robert Podubynskyj, Chelsea, AL, 35186

I purchased my fiber internet so that I may have access to every single web page I desire with equal internet speed. To give companies the power to prioritize content, block websites, or throttle the speeds on less desirable webpages versus their own leaves the people vulnerable to price gouging and invasion of privacy. The FCC must have authority over broadband regulation. The FCC must be insured to protect the common people from being taken advantage of by ISP providers.

659. Ayshea J.Henry, Birmingham, AL, 35209

Do not end Net Neutrality. The internet is a utility. Ayshea J.Henry

660. Cameron Holt, Pelham, AL, 35124

The internet should be free and open. ISP's should not be allowed to control what they want people to see and how they want people to see it. As a visually disabled person I use the internet on a daily basis to live my life. From being able to use Startup websites like Shipt, to get my groceries, to using instant messengers to communicate with friends and family. All of these things could

be in jeopardy if ISP's have the right to choose which I should use and which they are allowed to block. I pay an ISP for reliable access to the internet. I am also severely limited in our area as to what ISP's we can use. We have two choices and even that limited we need to ensure there are no invasions of our privacy, no fraudulent billing and no price gouging can exist. I don't have a contract with the advertisers that are billing the ISP's--I have a contract with the ISP. I don't want them advertising me services that I did not agree or sign up for. Thank you.

661. Parker Stewart, Birmingham, AL, 35223

The rapid growth of the internet through the latter half of the twentieth century and unto the early twenty-first century has absolutely and incredibly transformed the world like no other single thing in the history of mankind. There has literally never been anything that has allowed people to interact, educate, entertain, as well as many other things, like the internet. I am writing this to in hopes of preserving the internet neutrality. The opportunity for businesses and corporations to control websites, apps, games, etc is extremely compelling from a fiscal standpoint, but this comes at the costs of completely destroying what makes the internet so incredible in the first place. It gives every the ability to have an opinion or to interact with others. The internet does not hold prejudice towards anyone, which allows for everyone to be able to be apart of an online community. To control aspects of the internet, is doing a massive disservice to the millions upon millions of people who utilize the internet for a variety of things. We simply cannot undervalue the gift of the internet and the opportunities it presents for every human being on the planet. We must keep it neutral and open for all people for the better of society and humanity as a whole.

662. K.M., Birmingham, AL, 35215

In a modern world, the internet has become our primary source for our country's first amendment rights-- it is our platform for expressing free speech, our support structure for publishing free press, our method of sharing religious community and assembling peaceful protest, and it allows every American equal access to these most basic and inalienable rights. Privatizing or politicizing the very foundation of our modern communication technology flies in the face of everything this country represents. It creates a power vacuum of corporate -- not legislative -- control that will strangle our free market economy, forcing businesses to succeed not on the quality of their goods or services but on how much investment capital they already possess, which is the very opposite of a true American economy. Gone will be the days of the American ideal of the self-made startup company, of ingenuity and innovation-- the American dream will be utterly destroyed, and the American people will fall behind the rest of the world in every way.

663. Alexis, Birmingham, AL, 35213

I use the internet both for work and entertainment. I pay for a service. To have internet. And no one should have the ability to control what I can and can't do on the web.

664. Jackson Shikle, Pinson, AL, 35126

Dear Sir or Madam, Regarding the Concerns over Internet Neutrality, it is in my opinion that to mess with a service that has been unmonitored and free for everyone to use is an injustice of our rights as free citizens, we already pay for our Internet services and adding more through pay walls is an atrocious offense to any upstanding person, not everyone can pay such a premium and you would be denying thousands there source of comfort and entertainment in these trying times. Thank you for Reading Jackson Shikle

665. Russell Laney, Leeds, AL, 35094

I believe this ironically named amendment will in fact restrict my options, not increase them. Currently we only have 1 viable option for internet service where I live; my wife needs a reliable internet service to conclude her master's degree so we must utilize it. She must have free and open access to the internet to do this work. In any scenario however, this amendment to the rules which allows ISPs to dictate bandwidth in favor of one or the other client is anti-consumer, as in most places, such as here, as again, we don't have another viable option for a provider, so there is no way for us to move to someone else if we find it unfavorable. I feel the FCC should be regulating this communication as it is their job to...regulate communication and make sure the utility is being levied in the interest of the people it is supposed to protect. The internet is not a neat toy; it is how millions of us conduct business everyday and crucial to our economy. Favoritism has no place in this sector of our society. The internet is not a brand of soda or pain medication. It is a utility and it needs to stay free and open to all.

666. steven bustamento, Helena, AL, 35080

This is the information age, internet freedom should never be tampered with.

667. Jacob Cook, Birmingham, AL, 35242

The internet needs to be open and free. These massive companies (comcast, verizon, etc.) already make enough money as it is.

668. Frank LaPorte, birmingham, AL, 35242

Dont screw with the internet....it is just fine the way it is.

669. Derek, Birmingham, AL, 35242

Make not only internet providers but websites follow the same net neutrality laws and there will be no problems. Facebook Amazon and Google are already doing what the ISP's want to do. Don't sell out the american people's freedom for your own personal gain.

670. Jacob Harrison, Mt. Olive, AL, 35117
The internet, and its many ways of social activity, have created many friendships and connections that are some of the most valuable relationships in my life at the moment. Knowing an ISP could have the power to legitimately harm my ability to stay connected with those I know because I chose to use a service that they didn't approve of is legitimately scary. Internet access distribution should not be in the hands of private organizations when the power entails deciding what I can and can't access. The ability to pick and choose what you're allowed to see is giving a totalitarian power to the people, especially on a network as huge and required as the internet. In a day and age when you apply for jobs, manage bank accounts, and handle piles of private information on a network such as the internet, net neutrality is more important than ever. It's the same reason a company can't buy a public road then block it off because it leads to their competitor. Everyone has a right to make their own choices in life. Without net neutrality, you're removing one of the simple principles practiced in this country since its inception.
671. James Riggs, Chelsea, AL, 35043
My right to access what I want on the internet should not be subservient to the whims of companies to whom I pay for access to the internet. The very idea that corporations are getting lawmakers to create rules giving them the "freedom" to censor, throttle, and otherwise segment the internet that's transformed the planet is patently un-American.
672. CJ Gann, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Don't do it. It will only further radicalize the currently dormant population. For many, life on the internet is all they have, and if you are truly representative of American values, you will keep the internet free and equal just as the American way. CJ Gann
673. ryan, Morris, AL, 35116
Net Neutrality allows the people to innovate, create, and view what we want with out any obstructions. Taking away net neutrality will take this away from us, which is NOT OKAY.
674. Jared Nelson, Birmingham, AL, 35212
Net neutrality is vital to a free and open internet that fosters access to information, innovation, and civil discourse. Protections for net neutrality should be enhanced, not eliminated or curtailed.
675. Carter Dunaway, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Dear the FCC: I would like to bring attention to the freedom of expression that the net neutrality laws create. Gone will be the days that can spark intellectual discussion about issues in our nation. The people will have less ways to voice

their opinion and will have to rely on big name politicians to represent them. These people may not represent the opinions of all as we all have differing opinions. Multiple ISPs (internet service providers) have declared war at things they don't approve of. I use the internet a lot whether it's on YouTube, Twitter, or playing one of my favorite games; League of Legends. If you end net neutrality, ISPs can slow down our internet and hide better internet behind a paywall, which many people may not be able to afford. This will put many people out of jobs, as less and less people will be using these services. If you truly do stand for the American people, and their jobs, don't give in to the big companies. I'm still young at the time of writing this, but most of my friendships have stemmed from the internet. Please keep these laws intact. It pains me and many others to think of what the internet will become if you end net neutrality. Thank you, Carter Dunaway. Carter Dunaway

676. Sean Janelle, Fultondale, AL, 35068

An open and free internet is necessary for the freedom we have in this country. If you end net neutrality, I will not be able to attempt to live the American dream, because I will not be able to pay to have necessary internet speeds to reach consumers. I ask you to do the right thing, the American thing, and keep the internet open and free.

677. Wylder Hunt, Birmingham, AL, 35235

Please don't repeal Net Neutrality! It's how so many people make a living. Whether they own a business or they make Youtube videos, they are still hard working people. Also, kids now do a lot of their schoolwork online and repealing Net Neutrality would effect that! All websites deserve to be treated equally. We all deserve free and open internet. We don't want the internet to be micromanaged by big companies, such as Comcast or AT&T. Please preserve Net Neutrality and Title 2!!!

678. Garon Bailey, Sterrett, AL, 35147

I find it amazing that this is even up for debate. Literally the only entities that stand to benefit from this are internet service providers. Not the people who build for the internet, and not the consumers and private citizens. We need to stop this madness of negatively affecting American citizens for the gain of a few corporations.

679. net, Calera, AL, 35040

The internet needs to retain Net Neutrality. It is for the rights of all of those who enjoy everything about the internet, not just the big wigs. Keep the internet how it is; do not destroy one of the last few things in America that is actually free.

680. Net Neutrality, 3317 Eaton Road, AL, 35223

Please do not do this. The internet is literally my lifeline because it is the only

way I can contact people I care about. Youtube is how I spend half of my time (don't judge me). Now, this might be a decent idea if we had actual free market. We do not. I have friends who only have 1 ISP, or two at most. Both of these companies could choose to make youtube pay to prevent throttling. The fact that all this money will go into ISP's is almost blackmail. It's completely unethical and BAD for businesses because numerous businesses (amazon, youtube/google) are online based. This is a completely ridiculous idea, and I hope that you will consider to not change the way it works.

681. George Carriere, sterret, AL, 35147

Leave the internet alone, it is a utility for ALL!

682. Brianna Hill, Phoenix, AL, 85032

Please keep the net neutrality rules backed by Title II strong. Net neutrality is beneficial for all citizens and will harm many if NOT upheld. It is the obligation of our governing bodies and regulatory agencies to keep the best interests of our citizens in the foremost of its work and goals. Please do not undermine that by weakening net neutrality protections.

683. David Conway, Birmingham, AL, 35243

We want to keep net neutrality. It's important and should not be encroached upon.

684. Chris Russell, Vestavia, AL, 35216

Hey so I know that politics is all about making ridiculously rich corporations even richer and killing the spirit and motivation of American ingenuity, but can you not mess this up resulting in the groundwork for a completely neutered internet experience? The internet isn't some amusement park that you can charge people exorbitant fees to access, its an ever growing limb of free speech and it feels very much like you want to cut that limb off, permanently handicapping America. I know it's hard to imagine but we're still a very relatively new country, globally speaking, and I would prefer that we at least wait a few hundred more years before we kill ourselves off through consistently poor decisions that only benefit a very select few elite for an ultimately short time frame.

685. Will Winston III, Fultondale, AL, 35068

521 Chapel Creek Ct CrtWill Winston III

686. Preston Arnett, Homewood, AL, 35209

Net neutrality is crucial to protect innovation on the internet. Creating the ability to "wall off" portions of the web into paid tiers would be disastrous.

687. Sam Ardovino, Alabaster, AL, 35007

The Internet needs Net Neutrality. Please, if you truly represent the people, you

won't let this pass.

688. Derek Haden, Birmingham, AL, 35243

Please keep net neutrality. The internet needs to remain free and open for everyone.

689. Matt Roberts, Sterrett, AL, 35147

In the preamble of the United States constitution, our founding fathers stated that one of their reasons for establishing the constitution was to "promote the general welfare." Net neutrality is an issue of great importance to the welfare of the average american citizen. By treating all data equally, net neutrality helps to promote the free exchange of ideas and information in a way that empowers the interests of the american people over the interests of large telecommunications companies. It has already been show that these companies are willing to throttle connections to promote their own interests at the behest of the public interest. As an american citizen, I urge you to keep all titles and regulations which support net neutrality, for the good of the american public, free speech, and the general welfare of every american. Thank you for your time.

690. Zachary Hensley, Jemison, AL, 35085

Keep the internet neutral. there's no need to fix what isn't broken.

691. Isaac Leal, Pelham, AL, 35124

I think we need to keep the net neutral for the sole purpose of continuing the improvement of online accessibility. If we let the ISP take more control, the basic principles of the internet start to fall apart and the internet will not be as free to use causing people to suffer quality of life.

692. Jana Musselwhite, Hoover, AL, 35244

As the owner of a wedding photography business, the internet is crucial to my survival. Through my website, and other websites I contract with, my customers are able to contact me, view my work, as well as view and download their own photos. The internet is my sole method of gaining customers, and should access to my website slow or be blocked, my business and customers would suffer. Additionally, I recently completed graduate school, where 100% of my assignments were submitted online - even for in-person classes. I cannot imagine how complicated my education would have been, should my access to the webpages integral to my academic success had been slowed or blocked. Should net neutrality rules be adjusted, I will have no other choice for internet access. My area is monopolized by one company, making it impossible to switch to a more convenient service provider should changes go into effect. Current net neutrality guidelines make the careers of me and others like me possible. I urge you to refrain from modifying the guidelines.

693. Cameron Allen, Hueytown, AL, 35023

I am a freelance writer who works over the Internet and would like the service to remain free and open. I have a Bachelor of Arts degree in English and create and proofread articles online. Title II regulations make sure that I can continue using the Internet without having telecommunications companies eliminate this access. I support Net Neutrality and want it to continue being protected.

694. Joe Payter, Calgary, AL, 35007

Having to subscribe to "internet content packages" would be a ridiculous money grab on the parts of cable companies. We all understand cable is bringing in less revenue, but cable companies are still making enough money to run monopolies in many locations around the globe. Not only would this concept be obviously anti consumer, but it would be putting more money and therefore power into organizations that already have too much. Although we all know that the government is also an organization that seeks to increase their power, so chances are the government would be happy to lower the quality of life for the entire internet using world if it meant they got some kind of financial or political advantage by doing so. Please act with morals and not greed. The world has already become very deteriorated by humanity's greed, we truly don't need to compound the issues.

695. Net Neutrality, Birmingham, AL, 35243

I don't want my kids to grow up in a country where money dictates the information that someone has access to. Sure big government can be harmful. But the world is not black and white. We cannot paint all things with the same brushstroke. Regulations can have value if implemented correctly. To trust that organizations will not abuse power in the internet domain and forgo laws protecting the internet, is to undermine the democratic process and hand power to CEOs instead of elected officials. It is a step toward a failed democracy.

696. Aubriellen J Drechsel, Hoover, AL, 35244

I support strong Net Neutrality rules backed by Title 2 regulations for ISPs. People need access to the Internet for employment opportunities and communication.

697. Maggie Myszka, pinson, AL, 35126

Net Neutrality is important to keeping the internet accessible to everyone, the internet is one of the few things that everyone can access regardless of how much money you have. Limiting the plethora of knowledge on the internet to everyone is not acceptable. Making people have to decide whether they want a half full fridge and internet vs a full fridge and no internet is not okay. The internet is vital to living in the world today, limiting it will hinder peoples' ability to get jobs. Seriously, who is able to pay money, when they don't have any, to apply for a job that they need?

698. Paige Goldschmidt, Birmingham, AL, 35223
The internet is for the open expression of ideas and opinions, large companies should not be allowed to have the power to slow down, monitor, or block content simply because they disagree with it. Individual citizen's need to be able to make their own choices about what websites they visit without the interference of other people. And honestly, I cannot believe we are having to do this AGAIN. Washington cannot keep what it has under control, why do you want to add internet to your mess just to make it bigger and have more people hate you?
699. Devin Taylor, Birmingham, AL, 35242
The FCC needs to keep net neutrality!
700. Thomas Hammett, Birmingham, AL, 35222
The internet should be open access to all individuals and free to browse what they want. In 2017, the internet deserves to be viewed in the exact same light as water, electricity and other basic utilities.
701. Robert easley, irondale, AL, 35210
Ya'll may not understand what you are voting on so let me make it as simple as I can. If a cable provider bought every cable in the usa then decided they didn't like the message fox news or msnbc or cnn was saying, the cable owner could then limit any networks ability to broadcast their message. While all of the above mentioned media is extremely biased to one side or another, the internet remains the only place where freedom loving humans can get every opinion from every side all in one foul swoop. The argument today is can comcast slow netflix down in order to bring users back to comcast, but if this law passes the pandoras box that is opened could very well lead to the destruction of the greatest tool of free speech and opinion sharing the world has ever known. I implore you to vote for freedom. vote for free speech because the internet as we know it is quickly becoming the only place where both exist.
#dowhatisrightforonceandthenyoullhavemyvote this is an internet saying that a growing number of your constituents will understand
702. Marty Higginbotham, Birmingham, AL, 35210
A free and open internet (without ISP prioritization, blocking or throttling) is essential to freedom of expression and freedom of the press, and will encourage (and allow) new creative voices to be heard and for their content to reach the greatest number of people.
703. Cooper Carstens, Birmingham, AL, 35242
The internet is a beautiful thing, it allows whoever has an ISP to connect with the billions of diverse people on this planet. The internet is a place where many find enjoyment, community, hobby, and even jobs, in my eyes to regulate and

control this platform is a not only unnecessary but destructive to the growth of an easily accessible and incredibly helpful tool that everyone uses every day. Please support net neutrality, thank you.

704. George Towles, Hoover, AL, 35226

I am making this filing to express my displeasure in the Restoring Internet Freedom Act. This act allows for telecommunications companies to throttle their commercial and consumer customers' bandwidth to a point uselessness. Such a provision is certain to have a catastrophic impact on all aspects of American life, from the growth of American businesses to the day-to-day ongoings of blue collar workers. I kindly ask that you reconsider this act for sake and well-being of the American public and its posterity.

705. Michael Warnick, Hoover, AL, 35226

Some of my best friends I have never met but I know online. Our interests, specifically gaming, bring us together. I already have to pay for many subscriptions. To think of paying another just to use the internet would be devastating to my connection with friends. Why should I be charged a service that I have been using for free for years? Michael Warnick

706. Matthew Baker, Chelsea, AL, 35043

Connectivity to the Internet is a utility. Period. It's a requirement for living and working in America today. It should be treated and regulated as such and no company should be able to control, interfere with, monitor, throttle, or block this traffic for any reason.

707. Tyler Hoiland, Alabaster, AL, 35007

Do not take away our freedom to access.

708. Christopher Heck, Hoover, AL, 35226

Contrary to some opinions on the Hill, the abolition of Net Neutrality would wholly contradict the fundamental aspects of a just and fair capitalist society. The fact that such an abolition is even being considered in the highest levels of United States government is shameful. The Internet IS a utility that has become an integral aspect in the lives of most U.S. citizens within the last 20 year. The law must change with it to ensure that such a utility is protected from those who would strangle it in the name of shameless profiteering.

709. Keith Manning, Birmingham, AL, 35243

Net neutrality as is needs to stay in place for the progress of humankind.

710. Alexandra Goerke, Mountain Brook, AL, 35213

You know this is bullshit, I know this is bullshit. Lets put the bullshit aside and quit fucking with the American people, okay?

711. Sarah Gann, Birmingham, AL, 35216
This is not what America stands for or was built on!!!!
712. Megan Gambrel, Birmingham, AL, 35210
you cant control the world if you take away the one thing keeping the proletariat distracted
713. Restoring Internet Freedom Act, Gardendale, AL, 35071
Keeping an open Internet that is free from ISP overreach is the foundation of an open internet. Internet use is like power use. It has become so ingrained in our culture that tearing it up like removing the Title II regulations will be like ripping up power poles in rural communities.
714. Jackson Woods, Birmingham, AL, 35209
LEAVE NET NEUTRALITY ALONE! Please leave Net Neutrality the way it is, the internet is not a product to be manipulated by ISP's, it belongs to the american people who paid taxes to build the infrastructure that supports it. The american economy depends on the success of the millions of small businesses that benefit from a fair and equal access internet.
715. net neutrality, Moriss, AL, 35116
If not for net neutrality, high quality sits and programs, such as discord, would not exist. Furthermore, it goes without saying that no one frequently using the internet would enjoy being controlled to only view content that the ISP's allow them to view.
716. Bradley Guinen, Pinson, AL, 35126
reversing net neutrality will be the death bell for our free country. After it is repealed, what the people of the United States see, hear, watch will be effectively controlled by what ever large corporate ISP they have to use. I say have to use because ISP choice is already monopolized for many Americans. So please, if you guys at the FCC and congress have anything that closely resembles a backbone and want to prove you care more about your friends, family, and fellow Americans than you care about money, you will keep Internet access regulated as if it were a utility, because at this point in history, it should be a basic human right. Bradley Guinen
717. Tristin Terry, Birmingham, AL, 35244
The internet needs net neutrality. It is a utility that we cannot live without and should treated as such. You do not pay for water by the gallon AND pay for a certain pressure, do you? The same goes for electricity, and the same should go for internet access as well.
718. Clayton Scogin, Montevallo, AL, 35115
Net neutrality is an important key to keeping the freedoms of the United States.

Without net neutrality large companies, who often times are in cahoots with governments (not always the USA) will be able to use the thoroughly edited internet as the largest propaganda tool for their personal gain. The first amendment not only grants us the freedom to say what we believe, but is also there to protect us from the dangerous results of only having one source of information. How would we be better than those countries who censor the internet currently; those countries that are well known for poor human right's privileges. If this is allowed to come to fruition then you have helped us take one giant step toward an Orwellian society.

719. Harrison T Duncan, Birmingham, AL, 35242
The Internet should be free for everyone with no limits. It helps create a more positive and fair world.
720. Cecelia Crick, Hoover, AL, 35244
Net neutrality is the only thing that is keeping the American dream alive. It is important for people of all income levels to have access to the internet. Do not prioritize big business over people, I promise history will not be kind if you do.
721. Jake Beaty, Montevallo, AL, 35115
Elimination of net neutrality will allow pure, legal, extortion from service providers over any website they decide to extort.
722. Jonathon Kennedy, Warrior, AL, 35180
Net neutrality is important to both businesses and the consumers. It gives people opportunity to actually expand from nothing to something, it gives people opportunities in which they normally wouldn't have. Taking away net neutrality would mean the destruction of hundreds if not thousands of independent businesses.
723. Sommer, Birmingham, AL, 35242
I think this is the worst idea I've ever heard. I'm completely against it. Nothing good can come from it for the general public.
724. Daniel Gibson, Leeds, AL, 35094
Allowing internet service providers to arbitrarily throttle bandwidth is a bunch of bullshit. I will get what I paid for.
725. Timothy Brannum, Pelham, AL, 35124
Here is the main point that I believe needs to be said about this lie that is trying to be made into a law. It is unconstitutional in every way. It will censor our first amendment rights and heavily limit ways we the people can speak out on issues and make our opinions heard. If Congress passes the Restoring Internet Freedom act, you only show that our government no longer serves the people nor does it give a damn or shit about the Constitution in which created it. We

have the right to create content, express our views, and enjoy all the internet has to offer without having to pay an arm and leg to the ISP's or fear that by trying to say something that may not be well liked or received by these groups we will be censored simply because this law gave them the power to do it. Net neutrality must remain intact and it is what keeps the internet free: not the ISP's. Stop this lie, and stop this law. Serve the best interests of the people of this Country as you are supposed to. Or you can show that we don't matter, so long as you benefit in some way from our loss of rights. Please show that our government is still for the people and not for themselves. Tim B. Timothy Brannum

726. Humzah Harhash, Columbiana, AL, 35051

Restricting internet access would be restricting freedom of speech, assembly, and press

727. Michael Justice, Mount Olive, AL, 35117

The internet was created as a free and open space for everyone to share ideas and creativity. Please do not tarnish this by handing control of what we have over to those that only care about money. There are a lot of ways to make money that do not involve ruining the internet that everyone loves today.

728. Jonah, Birmingham, AL, 35223

I believe that Net Neutrality is extremely important for many various reasons. The thought that LEGAL programs such as Discord, Steam and various others could be deprioritized or even blocked because the ISP's gave prioritization to someone who paid more makes me very afraid. I love the internet and the freedom of use that it provides. I truly hope that the good people at the FCC can see why Net Neutrality is for the best. It is the kind of freedom use and information that the United States and democracy should stand for.

729. Net, Centreville, AL, 35042

The internet has certainly proven itself to be the greatest source of information mankind has ever created. This information should be accessible to all without political or corporate heavy-handedness. Preserve Net Neutrality once and for all so that future generations can enjoy the Internets unfiltered fruits.

730. Derek Patterson, Gardendale, AL, 35071

Net neutrality is important despite what the current head of the FCC thinks (mind you he used to work for Verizon) so of course he would be against it. The UN has said the internet is a basic human right, so we should not allow ISPs to throttle or alter the sites we go to just to make money. Keep the internet free!

731. Chase Nolf, Vestavia, AL, 35216

I am completely and utterly against this bill from happening. We are entitled to our privacy and what we do online. Do not let this thing get through. Chase

Nolfe

732. Bud Gidiere, Birmingham, AL, 35213
Please keep Internet Service Providers (ISPs) As Tile II Utility Company. This Will Encourage Competition Between Internet Service Providers (ISPs). Thank you,From Bud
733. net neutrality, Leeds, AL, 35094
If this is really the Land of the Free, and we're sticking with the Constitution, then Net Neutrality is exactly what you should aspire for. Keep it the way it is, please.
734. Zachary Benjamin Winter, Vestavia, AL, 35242
Removing net neutrality would negatively impact our freedom to go to and search for various websites as companies would be able to restrict access to information, something this country strives to protect.
735. david zagardo, birmingham, AL, 35205
yo lmao yall fukken whack if you think it's ok to do this. sure, you could get away with it, but what the fuck is wrong w you? fucking scum. i stfg. i might as well march on down there and slap each and everyone of you upside ya noggin cause clearly you aint even used it in ya got dang entire life.y o u a r e s c u m
736. Lindsay Hamner, Pelham, AL, 35124
I support net neutrality. Having a free and open internet is good for consumers and businesses, especially smaller businesses who cannot afford to pay more in order for consumers to be able to access their sites.
737. Jordan Box, Leeds, AL, 35094
Please do not ruin the internet for everyone.
738. Taylor Bria, alabaster, AL, 35007
Keep Net Neutrality. It would be a low, pathetic move to remove it so that ISP's can make even more money in a scumbag way. -Thanks
739. Allison Mcrae, Trussville, AL, 35173
Please save and continue the open internet, and keep net neutrality, Thank you, Allison Mcrae
740. Hunter Littlepage, Hoover, AL, 35216
Allowing cable companies more control is just not the direction we as a society and people need. Money is already hard to come by and allowing individuals to profit from this and control is not necessary
741. Jaye Vlachos, Birmingham, AL, 35209

Allowing certain websites to monopolize speed through companies does not allow for equal opportunity of growth from smaller businesses. It is unfair, and unjustifiable by most logical reasoning.

742. Josh Norton, Calera, AL, 35040

By ending net neutrality, the government would be sanctioning Internet Service Providers' (ISP) arbitrary increase in fees while also limiting the potential growth of "start-up" internet based companies. On its face, one could argue that the proposed rules would be kept in check by the free market, however, many locations are limited to only one possible internet service provider who have regional monopolies already established and would use these proposed rules to simply increase costs to their consumers who are forced to use them in order to access the internet. The harm of ending Net Neutrality far outweighs the potential benefits. It would increase costs for the consumer, limit the growth of new emerging industries and companies because they would not receive priority from the ISP in terms of bandwidth, and solidify the geographical monopolies of the existing Service Providers. It has been obvious from its inception that this rule is an attempt to increase profits for those limited number of Service Providers while providing no true benefit to the public. It is truly surprising that these proposed rules have gotten this far and are up for serious consideration for implementation.

743. Britteny Holloway, Alabaster, AL, 35007

I've seen that net neutrality may be going away and I have to say I am very against this. I rely on the internet to stay in contact with my friends and many family members. Either through email or social media. I also need internet for work. Allowing my ISP to dictate where, when, or how fast I can go to a specific website or another would cripple my ability to perform my job and stay in contact with people. When I purchase internet access from an ISP. That is exactly what I expect I am paying for. ACCESS to the internet. Not part of the internet. Not just the internet my ISP thinks I should have access too. Not diminished speed for a website they might not approve of, but access to the entire internet that I can go to at my discretion. All this said I do think the FCC should have some say in all of this. For instance I, and many others, would not be happy if these ISP's could charge whatever they wanted for internet access. There should be regulations in place to prevent that.

744. No Net Neutrality, Birmingham, AL, 35212

Please fight this. I can barely afford my bills as is. The prospect of having to pay money for the websites I currently use for free is nauseating.

745. Christopher Bunn, Maylene, AL, 35114

Keep the internet open and accessible to everyone. Keep the speeds up rather than taking advantage of people.

746. Viola Birchfield, West Blocton, AL, 35184
The internet should NOT be limited. Getting rid of Net Neutrality is a terrible idea.
747. Jamin Miller, Calera, AL, 35040
Keeping things fair to those who can't afford to pay more is a must. We can't have money dictate our freedom.
748. Sara Jones, Calera, AL, 35040
I am a freelance artist and open internet helps me do what I do. If we give in to this, people like me could lose what keeps us afloat. Don't let the corporations take away our freedom for money.
749. Maxwell Lee Shaw, Vandiver, AL, 35176
Please don't do this. This is more about our freedom as human beings more than it is our internet freedom. If they win here, where does it stop? Please don't ruin our advancement as a society into the future. This will put a screeching halt to almost all of the advancements that technology has given us so far. Please voice my opinion, as well as the opinion of the LARGE MAJORITY of other voters.
750. Internet neutrality, Calera, AL, 35040
Again, another message as to why you shouldn't get rid of internet neutrality. Internet neutrality is the reason small companies are able to grow and to thrive via the internet, how companies such as Netflix were able to grow as they did. Surley, someone there, at your level, must enjoy a neflix original over a tv show, or prefer a small youtubers content over big cable comedians. We need internet neutrality so America, and all the countries around the world can thrive. If you get rid if it, yoj will make things worse.
751. Jonathan Ivey, chelsea, AL, 35043
The internet needs to stay equal for all people of this country.
752. Travis Shores, Birmingham, AL, 35214
Companies should learn how to compete, not buy everyone out til they're the only ones left. Keep your hands off of what little the average person has left.
753. Nicolas Bradford, Birmingham, AL, 35242
This isn't a partisan issue. Any political party in the United States should agree that net neutrality is a GOOD thing. Any regular citizen of this commonwealth agrees that preferring all sites on the internet and all services requiring internet is a beneficial thing, also promoting competition among .com businesses, this bill is MONOPOLISTIC, and favors that of big ISPs and THEIR streaming services. Companies like NETFLIX AND HULU (which millions of AMERICANS enjoy, which many CONGRESSMEN AND WOMEN ENJOY) will be stomped out by ISP's with their own streaming services. STOP this bill,

STOP this corruption.

754. Summer Stone, Pleasant Grove, AL, 35127

Hello, My name is Summer and I am 16 years old currently. In 2018 I will begin my school exchange overseas for one year, very far away from my mother. Hearing that some sites would be so incredibly slow that it would be a burden to message my mother through a site (facebook ect) is very terrifying, and would leave me and my mother both feeling pretty alone and stranded. Not only that but any other friends and family I have in the states would suffer and communication would be severely lacking as I feel most people would give up trying to talk to me because it would waste their time to sit and wait just to send me a simple message Please keep net neutrality as I know there are many other cases much worse than my own. Summer Stone

755. william hardwick, Birmingham, AL, 35242

Strongly support net neutrality backed by title 2 oversight of ISPs Preserve net neutrality and title 2

756. Devin O'Rourke, Birmingham, AL, 35226

Preserve net neutrality and Title II. I don't want to live in a world controlled by Comcast.

757. Emily Deason, Vestavia Hills, AL, 35216

I'd like to say that without easy access to information, the direction our education system has been going will be completely flip flopped. If it takes too long to load information a student needs to do a paper or if they can't even load the software itself, there is going to be a lack in motivation to even finish said paper. I'm going to be honest with you; this action, if followed through, will slow the progress of technology. With everything being connected via wifi these days, there is a higher need for faster access to a connection.

758. Internet, Birmingham, AL, 35244

If the internet becomes a place that is unsuitable for what it is currently used for (freedom of expression, etc.) , what will people turn to? There is nowhere to run from the corruption that is so nearly a reality. The internet is an essential aspect of a growing number of peoples' lives; that being said, it may be used to advertise to numerous people among other things. With that fact established, the internet is a major source of capital as well. If people are repelled from the internet because of this loss of net neutrality, the capital to be gained from the internet becomes nonexistent. In the long run, more capital is to be gained by keeping your customers satisfied with the freedom they want; to deny your customers this would lead to the loss of your customers themselves. No customers, no capital gains; no net neutrality, no capital gains. Although it seems like there is no alternative to the internet most are familiar with,

alternatives are to be found. Different networks would be created and the potential that the internet once had would be lost. Taking away net neutrality would have a negative impact on both the providers and the consumers; keep net neutrality.

759. Sebastian Black, Birmingham, AL, 35222

The FCC's Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are extremely important to me, and to many members of my community. I strongly urge you to protect them. I do not want ISPs, or anyone for that matter, to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest. Now is not the time to let ISPs censor what we see and do online. Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has strangled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay and slow lanes for everyone else if the FCC lifts the rules. If this is allowed to occur, it will hurt consumers and businesses large and small. Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee. Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this. But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America—just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and unambiguously abysmal customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like, anywhere on the political spectrum. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's selfish and idiotic plans. From me, from my family, and from all the members of my community, please do whatever you can to dissuade him. Thank you! Sebastian Black

760. Alex Lawson, Birmingham, AL, 35242

Hello, you don't know me just like you don't know 99% of the United States or even the world, but here in America it's supposed to be a free country and if you follow through with this you will be crippling that freedom that we so deeply desire. With that being said I do believe that there should be restrictions but not to the point that Big companies have to hire people to take down videos that

have such little negativity. I'm going to give you a personal example, youtube, the Wall Street journal are trying to portray YouTube and its content creator as harmful to the Ming when in reality it is all meant for entertainment, I personally have been effected by this because I am a content creator, not a big one, but I still make some, so because a few people are getting offended by a few videos they side with Wall Street and that lowers a lot of people's income because this is there job and personally YouTube is a second source of income the is essential to me because if I had to quit making videos then I would be homeless. Please just think about freedom and not a few triggered feminists feelings. Alex Lawson

761. Stephanie George, Vestavia, AL, 35243

Our local government has granted our isp exclusive rights for the next several years to operate without competition. This deal was done in good faith with the understanding that the isp would pay for the infrastructure to provide internet access to everyone. Without net neutrality, the internet freedom act will allow my isp to control my access without the constraint of a competitive market. That is unacceptable and it's quite frankly un-American. 17-108 is the opposite of freedom for my community. Please do not pass this act at the FCC.

762. Rene Morrison, Vandiver, AL, 35176

We have wifi security cameras in my dad's house to help us (kids) keep tabs on him. He's 82 and has cancer and early dementia. Last Wednesday night I went to view the video clips from ADT to see how things went and there was a popup about the net neutrality and the videos would not load. I tried different browsers and reset things, and finally had to complain to the Internet provider. If this is an example of how non-net neutrality is going to be, then it will put my dad at risk. He fell one day, before I had the cameras installed, and bled for 4 hours before calling someone for help. I need those cameras working properly and without the Internet provider being allowed to charge us double. That is not right. They will use our father as a pawn to charge more money to use those security cameras. I know they (whoever) says certain websites will be blocked or they can charge more, but, for one, where we live, there are no Internet options so Charter Cable can double their fee. If what happened Wednesday, and yesterday, is an example of what is to come, then people are not just making a decision that will affect people making makeup videos on youtube but home security systems and people's lives. Maybe politicians do not think it will affect us, but it will. Thank you for your time, Rene Morrison

763. Alexandra Baugh, Birmingham, AL, 35226

The internet is a platform for all voices to be heard. It is not a place to be policed and controlled.

764. Greg Bass, Birmingham, AL, 35222

The current FCC seems more interested in padding the pockets of the big IFCs than in helping the American people. Keep the internet open to all, not just the highest bidders.

765. K.KINSPEL, CLANTON, AL, 35045

KEEP THE NET NEUTRALITY AS IT IS. WE HAVE ENOUGH ISSUES WITH SOMEONE ELSE WHO MAY OR MAY NOT KNOW OR HAVE OUR BEST INTEREST AT HAND ,BUT RATHER A BACK SIDE APPROACH TO MORE MONEY IN THEIR HANDS.

766. Krysta , Hoover , AL, 35244

As a web-designer and the co-owner of a small business, Net Neutrality is of utmost importance. When large corporations can monopolize a market by dictating what consumers see, small business is hurt, innovation is halted, and economic growth is stunted ! The internet is our best marketing tool and if that is taken away from us, we have zero fighting chance at succeeding as a start-up. As a 'mom and pop' start-up, we will be directly impacted as well as many many other people bootstrapping their dream of owning a business. This only benefits major corporations by giving them more power, and that is ultimately what they want, to keep the little people down. Give us a fighting chance.

767. Pop, ISV, AL, 35124

I am concerned that without Net Neutrality I won't be able to choose what I want to see and do on the internet because Big Business will control it.

768. Lora Gaxiola, Vestavia, AL, 35216

Because we are ALL equal

769. Alana, Birmingham, AL, 35243

I believe in the freedom to privacy and access without big for-profit companies making personal decisions for me. I pay them for certain service, not to manage my internet usage.

770. Ruth Ozment, Birmingham, AL, 35213

If the FCC fails to protect net neutrality, it will be failing in its basic purpose, which is to protect citizens' rights to the common good of our communications systems, and effectively, to free speech. The FCC was meant to protect the citizenry from the problems associated with monopoly or oligopoly control over communications systems. The great promise of the internet was the equal access to information it provided to people around the world, and today, the internet is the primary means by which people exchange ideas. Doing away with net neutrality is effectively crushing the greatest benefit the internet has to offer humankind, and crushing the free speech it would promote worldwide. Please DO YOUR JOB, and protect net neutrality! Remember, you exist to protect the citizenry, NOT the oligopolists!

771. Stephanie Fikes, Birmingham, AL, 35205
Free speech and small businesses need your protection!
772. Wayne C. Ratliff, Pelham, AL, 35124
I am in agreement with net neutrality to protect the internet from control or censorship or government or corporate control. I believe net neutrality is the "first amendment of the internet"!
773. Greg Reynolds, Pelham, AL, 35124
Corporate control of Internet content would be the death knell of a phenomenon that has transformed the world. This is simply another manifestation of corporate greed that threatens the open and free exchange of ideas.
774. Lee Hilliard, Birmingham, AL, 35209
Maintaining net neutrality is the only way to keep the internet an engine for innovation and democracy. I work with non-profit groups, and obviously, non-profits will not be able to compete in a pay to play world totally controlled by large corporations.
775. James Ballew, Adamsville, AL, 35005
Please keep Net Neutrality. I prefer to choose what I see and not have someone else or some big company do it. I want a fair choice for where I go online. Net Neutrality allows consumers not big companies to choose what they do and watch online. Please leave the internet open to one and all without limitations. Let the little guys keep their voice. ISPs should provide us with open networks and shouldn't block or discriminate against any applications or content that ride over those networks. Just as your phone company shouldn't decide who you call and what you say on that call, your ISP shouldn't interfere with the content you view or post online. What is next? Not allowing me to choose where I go online and have my content blocked because my ISP doesn't like a certain company so I am not allowed to go to that site. Where does it end?
776. Virginia Meon, Vestavia, AL, 35243
Internet neutrality is essential to our freedom!
777. Hollis A de Buys, Vestavia, AL, 35216
Leave net neutrality alone. We, the American people, need less government interference not more regulation.
778. Richard P. Reedy, Pelham, AL, 35124
Please do NOT, roll back Net Neutrality! If this occurs I will close my Internet account and use other methods of communications.

779. Elizabeth Burttram, Montevallo, AL, 35115
As an educator, access to unbiased information is essential to me and to my students. As a consumer, I can find small, local businesses which helps to keep my community vibrant.
780. Lester H Sellers, Alexander City, AL, 35010
Please do not meddle with, adjust, or sacrifice "net neutrality" and the general public to exploitation by large ISPs who are already choking the life out of competition and out of control with their incremental rate increases. Why not focus your considerable, tax payer funded resources on protecting the public (ultimately your employers) from robocalls, increased volume on TV commercials, dialing systems that mask or insert false telephone numbers to avoid "do not call" violations, rescind excusal of political solicitations and virtually every exception to "do not call" provisions. Try doing things to protect us by enforcing existing law.
781. Chance Alexander Hamilton, Verbena, AL, 36091
I believe ending Net Neutrality will cause Internet Service Providers to exploit the common users of the Internet for their personal gain, and to the detriment of the user. This defies the exact ideals set forth by the founding fathers of the United States of America, that all Americans work together to grow and share and entertain and act as they freely, and with good intentions, will. Corporations such as Comcast and AT&T understandably have only the interest of profit at heart, but due to their size, this comes at an amazing and horrifying cost to the American People's finances and freedom that goes unnoticed by those who are currently trying to actually increase this detriment and cost to the average American person, and this folly must be reversed as soon as possible.
782. Michael Fargarson, Pinson, AL, 35126
As a online entrepreneur, I rely heavily upon the internet as it presently exists. Please do what is best for the public and keep the internet open and free of big corporate restraints.
783. earl ridolphi, alabaster, AL, 35007
Oversight is easily abused and leads to over control and over direction. We see this is government, in business and in education. This Nation thrives on freedom, and grows when freedom is not abused. "The People" are capable of making decisions for themselves and we petition you to continue to allow us to do so. When advertising abuses the public, the public ceases to engage, that is freedom at work. Let us always be free.
784. Gloria Cowan, Birmingham, AL, 35209
I hope you will do your best to protect Net Neutrality. It is in the best interest of American citizens. Thank you.

785. John Jasinski, Birmingham, AL, 35242
efficiency comes from information.
786. Jeremy Dunn, Gardendale, AL, 35071
While I totally understand that services like Netflix might need more bandwidth than others due to the nature their services, but it's too terrifying a proposition to allow companies like Verizon, AT&T, etc. to choose winners and losers. Startups need an equal chance to succeed. Not hand outs, just a fair medium through which to reach customers.
787. monique okoye, Birmingham, , AL, 35216
I live in a rural state and we will be hard hit by this. Please don't gut net neutrality.
788. Dr. J. Edward Daniel, Birmingham, AL, 35223
In fairness, I cannot support eliminating net neutrality. On the interstate, I am allowed to drive the same 70mph in my tiny Fiat that someone in a \$100,000 Porsche is allowed to drive. Those who control access to the internet infrastructure should not be permitted to create different "speed lanes" based upon usage. Please do not permit net neutrality to fail. It is the only fair way to manage the internet.
789. Mark Frost, Pinson, AL, 35126
The survival of "Net Neutrality" will mean the last vestige that all individuals have to express, connect, and unify their thoughts without restraint, survives as well. It must be protected.
790. Melissa Stone, Birmingham, AL, 35216
The Internet does NOT need to be controlled by big cable. The American public should be continued to allow the freedom to choose what and how much exposure we have to the Internet. Do NOT gut net neutrality!
791. Claire Auriemma, Birmingham, AL, 35216
Our world runs on the internet. Net Neutrality prevents already powerful corporations from stripping more power and choice away from consumers.
792. David G Green, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Net neutrality allows users (consumers) to choose their use of the network not the ISPs. I want to purchase network capability not be limited to a suite of services selected by the only ISP who services my home.
793. Noah Thomas, Pelham, AL, 35124
The internet is the last remaining space of human existence that is truly "free." It needs to stay that way.

794. Jason Woyak, Trussville, AL, 35173
The net should be like the highway system. You don't slow down people on the highway because they have a certain type of car.
795. Claire Auriemma, Hoover, AL, 35216
Net neutrality is a freedom that should not be taken away from the people. Don't put these massive corporations in control.
796. Beau Burchfield, Hoover, AL, 35244
The internet shouldn't be yours to make this decision. For some reason, though, it is. It should be free. It's been working so far. Don't give it to the corporations.
797. KEVIN ULCH, VESTAVIA HILLS, AL, 35243
There is no good reason to remove net neutrality other than to allow the large ISPs to charge for access and delivery. Thus stifling the marketplace and competition. If the goal is to truly recoup the cost of delivery of data, then the consumer should be charged for consumption...like cell phone providers.
798. Nathan Owens, Alabaster, AL, 35007
PLEASE do not disrupt net neutrality. I graduated from high school in 1996, as the internet was still introducing itself to all of us. I can't tell you in just a few words how monumental the internet's existence has been in my life. It's given me access to information I never would have encountered, which has shaped my views and helped me become the person I am today. In fact, I've become a web developer, which I never could have dreamed of back in 1996. Having unfettered access to everything the internet offers has helped me and countless others discover just how wide the world is and how limitless its possibilities are. Protect that. Don't risk jeopardizing future generations' free access to information. It's how we move our world forward.
799. Jorge Moller, Vestavia, AL, 35243
Start up companies will not be able to compete if they get drowned by big players with priority. Changing net neutrality will increase prices. Large companies will charge more for faster access and the poor will get even further behind not being able to afford it. Please keep Net Neutrality! Everyone should have a voice and the same access.
800. Kevin McGuire, Pelham, AL, 35124
I want my daughter to grow up with equal access, equal opportunity and equal freedom to express herself and make a beautiful difference in every corner of this increasingly interconnected world. Keep the playing field level. Keep the internet neutral for everyone.
801. Anna Gayle, Birmingham, AL, 35235
I support net neutrality, and would be very upset and disappointed if the FCC

were to do anything to undermine freedom of access. I am an online student, and it is crucial that businesses do not influence the ease in which information is accessed, or block information they find unfavorable. Net neutrality is important, and should not be impeded in any way.

802. Melissa Ballard Jones, Birmingham, AL, 35213
It is beyond my comprehension that this is even being considered.
803. Jo Ballard, Mountain Brk, AL, 35223
I don't know one single person that supports doing away with net neutrality.
804. Blair Farley, Pelham, AL, 35124
hello,I think net neutrality is critical to the future of our country. We often talk about our freedoms and the Bill of Rights that made this country great. Access to information is important for our country and our citizens. Free and open access to information is the next logical step from the Bill of Rights, and it has fallen on us and our time to protect it. Please do your part!Blair Farley
805. Lauren Williams, Leeds, AL, 35094
I am strongly against the Chairman's proposal. It would give giant internet companies the power to prioritize what we read, watch, and do online. This is a threat to our democracy and a stepping stone to becoming a nation such as China or Russia, where popular websites are blocked. The American public should be free to view content equally, regardless of the agenda of any agency or company.
806. Matthew Dodson, Birmingham, AL, 35216
The Open Internet Rules (net neutrality rules) are very important to me and my family. I ask you to please protect them. I don't want ISPs to have the power to block websites, slow them down, give some sites an advantage over others, or split the Internet into "fast lanes" for companies that pay and "slow lanes" for the rest.Now is not the time to let giant ISPs censor what we see and do online.Censorship by ISPs is a serious problem. Comcast has throttled Netflix, AT&T blocked FaceTime, Time Warner Cable throttled the popular game League of Legends, and Verizon admitted it will introduce fast lanes for sites that pay-and slow lanes for everyone else-if the FCC lifts the rules. This hurts consumers and businesses large and small.Courts have made clear that if the FCC ends Title II classification, the FCC must let ISPs offer "fast lanes" to websites for a fee.Chairman Pai has made clear that he intends to do exactly this.But if some companies can pay our ISPs to have their content load faster, startups and small businesses that can't pay those fees won't be able to compete. You will kill the open marketplace that has enabled millions of small businesses and created the 5 most valuable companies in America-just to further enrich a few much less valuable cable giants famous for sky-high prices and abysmal

customer service. Internet providers will be able to impose a private tax on every sector of the American economy. Moreover, under Chairman Pai's plan, ISPs will be able to make it more difficult to access political speech that they don't like. They'll be able to charge fees for website delivery that would make it harder for blogs, nonprofits, artists, and others who can't pay up to have their voices heard. I'm sending this to the FCC's open proceeding, but I worry that Chairman Pai, a former Verizon lawyer, has made his plans and will ignore me and millions of other Americans. So I'm also sending this to my members of Congress. Please publicly support the FCC's existing net neutrality rules based on Title II, and denounce Chairman Pai's plans. Do whatever you can to dissuade him. "When a company profits from using our common resources, it's OK to expect them to use them fairly." Thank you! Matthew Dodson

807. alan mitchell, birmingham , AL, 35243

Net Neutrality is important to business and individuals. No broadband service provider should have the ability to control access or restrict any part of the internet or restrict services or speed of service. Do not change the rules to favor big broadband companies which will hurt everyone else. Equal access for all providers and consumers should be the rule. ATT was once broken up because of the unfair access to competition. Everyone has benefited from that breakup. Lets not go down that road again.

808. Denyse Thornley-Brown, Birmingham, AL, 35222

I believe that it is important to have net neutrality--as important as having free speech and a free press. When I do an internet search, I do not want to get access to the highest bidder. I want to get access to a balanced set of perspectives, and all should be able to get their voice heard regardless of their ability to pay. I urge the FCC to make the protection of net neutrality a priority.

809. Trevor York, Hoover, AL, 35244

I want a free internet so that internet businesses can be successful

810. Amanda Clark, Pinson, AL, 35126

Please protect internet neutrality! Thank You!

811. Carrie Porter, Birmingham, AL, 35210

Don't fix what isn't broken. Equality is just as important on the internet! As a small business owner, the struggle is hard enough with all the hoops we have to jump through to keep our business running, not just keeping it successful. Keeping the internet neutral is an opportunity for small business owners to fight to keep their business on an equal playing field. If your product is good enough, it will be bought. Monopolizing the rules not only keeps quality products from having a chance, but discourages people from making our world more dynamic.

812. Sarah Ford, Hoover, AL, 35226

Internet access has become too integral for communications, news, and work to be mishandled. Leave net neutrality alone!

813. Susan Jones, Birmingham, AL, 35223
Big corporations such as AT&T and Verizon should NOT have this level of control, nor should they have the ability to make these sorts of choices for individuals. Leave net neutrality alone.
814. Walter Wilson, Mount Olive, AL, 35117
Ideas, comments and free speech should not be regulated or controlled by government or business.
815. Linda, Pelham, AL, 35124
Net neutrality protects both small businesses and consumers. If the mega communication companies control the net, we've lost another area of freedom of speech since they will control what we say, when we say it and at what speed we say it. Monopolies are the enemy of a free people!
816. Ric, tuscaloosa, AL, 35040
The net should stay open and free never to be controlled by any companies making it easy to force their own agenda on the people.
817. Marjorie Ray, Vestavia Hills, AL, 35216
Net neutrality needs to be protected! These large companies already have too much control. This action seems to be another political deal where the wealthy guys make a lot of money on the backs of the average citizen of the USA. Can't you say no to corporate greed and protect the people that make this country great every moment of every day?
818. Samuel Johnston, Birmingham, AL, 35223
This is monopolizing the internet and illegal. Net Neutrality is extremely important to me for maintaining my medical education and for pursuing my online interests. I should not be restricted to shop only at amazon for textbooks, trading cards, or video games. In addition, I should have access to read news sites and forums that I want such as Reddit. I want to start a medical research company, and will most definitely need a website. I want protection from Net Neutrality so I won't be barred from the population's internet!
819. tom odeneal, pinson, AL, 35126
We the people as individuals need net neutrality so we have the freedom chose how we use the internet. We should not be under the control of a few powerful monopolies who wish only unfairly enrich themselves. By doing so small companies and individuals can't improve internet functions.
820. John Castleberry, Birmingham, AL, 35243

PLEASE stand up for a neutral net.

821. Nick, Wilsonville, AL, 35186
The Internet is the only way I can connect with long lost friends. I can't have that taken away.
822. Carl Frey, Oneonta, AL, 35121
Keep the internet unfettered and uncensored so we all can hear the freedom of speech
823. wynette mcwilliams, birmingham, AL, 35215
I am sick of this administration rolling back good Obama works. This ignorant administration is only touching this to curtail the work of a real president. Shame on you and GOD almighty will deal with you!
824. Dawn Brown, Birmingham, AL, 35216
I want the internet to remain net neutral. It's the best thing society has going for it right now and is one of the very few areas where democracy is real. Let's stick with what made America great, equality for all.
825. Donald Roberts, Calera, AL, 35040
NET NEUTRALITY IS FREEDOM ANYTHING ELSE IS TYRANNY .
826. William N., Pleasant Grove, AL, 35127
I support net neutrality. I oppose Chairman Pai's proposal to end net neutrality.
827. Josh Vallance, Birmingham, AL, 35210
Leave the internet neutral. Don't change anything.
828. Josh Vallance, Irondale, AL, 35210
I oppose the chairman's desire to change the net neutrality rules. Leave it alone as a title II.
829. Jason Fountain, Pinson, AL, 35126
How in a world where opinion and truth are shaped before it ever reaches the people, can we expect to remain a free society? The founding fathers of the United States founded this country in opposition of the very thing the anti Net Neutrality movement is attempting to achieve; to be told what to think and feel. I do not wish to have my life decided for me, I want to remain free to learn things and to make my own decisions about my experience's in this world. Net Neutrality serves to provide an open forum for all to communicate and learn, please don't take that away or you will be destroying the very nature this country was built on.
830. Mary Marconi, Birmingham, AL, 35222

We need and deserve to have the internet open, neutral & available to all equally. Keep net neutrality.

831. John limbrick, CLANTON, AL, 35045
Please dont allow the large ISPs and carriers to destroy net neutrality
832. Linda Nelson, Birmingham, AL, 35222
From what I can understand, there doesn't seem to be any good reason to alter the condition of net neutrality in favor of big payers' content. It's one more assault on democratic institutions and access to information. Please do not end net neutrality.
833. Mary Marconi, Birmingham, AL, 35222
I hate that I use AT&T as my ISP, but there is a monopoly in this, so the next best thing is to tell you at FCC to KEEP net neutrality. Any change will make the internet subject to monied interests. This is wrong! Gary Palmer and Sen. Strange & Shelby - I will watch your votes on this.
834. Mark James, Birmingham, AL, 35242
The net neutrality rules that the chairman of the FCC wants to overturn have made America the leader in Internet innovation. Please do not allow him to destroy this for the sake of a few large corporations.
835. Drupad Patel, Birmingham, AL, 35243
836. Lee Greenberg, Birmingham, AL, 35216
Just an ordinary guy who uses a computer. Loss of net neutrality means my internet usage could be slowed by up to 40%. Millions of people like me losing time on the internet could mean a loss to the economy also. In addition to all the anger and aggravation we would feel. You have a responsibility to us.
837. William Bishop, Birmingham, AL, 35242
Net neutrality is a FUNDIMENTAL constitutional right. Our Freedom of speech, freedom to be seen or hear is at risk here! I as long as I live and breath I will NEVER let my government tell me what I can see and what I cannot see!
838. Rebekah Laurance, Montevallo, AL, 35115
The less government involvement, the better we will be. I do not want my sources of information to be changed and or monitored.
839. Tanner Todora, Birmingham, AL, 35242
It protects our privacy and allows us FAST access to sites we like, much like Netflix, Hulu and etc. To censor out our freedom of speech and right for privacy is very important. We would rather ISPs not take advantage of our information and sell to the highest bidder. Please, don't break Title II and cut off Net

Neutrality. Ajit Pai is making a big mistake in helping Trump dismantle our 1st Amendment rights.

840. William Lee, Birmingham, AL, 35242

I don't want to pay extra to go to certain websites on the internet. Also, i'm trying to launch my own small business which NEEDS the internet. If I have to pay extra just to keep it at normal speed, my business will never be successful.

841. Joe Batrich, Birmingham, AL, 35216

no, no NO!

842. Joseph Johnson, Hayden, AL, 35079

So I can look up info and if you take it away there goes knowledge

843. Anna Smith, Pelham, AL, 35124

Please do not suspend net neutrality! I implore you! Without net neutrality, our rights as US citizens are being infringed upon, including freedom of speech and freedom of the press. Businesses online will suffer if their customers cannot access their websites, students will find researching more difficult with lack of diverse information, communication via social media will become more of a hassle, and certainly free and open elections will be threatened as well in this day and age of internet use. I have grown up in the age of the internet and I will not have such a precious free resource pried away from me in order to favor big business. It's like going to a library with books on every subject and suddenly discovering you can only read books on automotive repair. It's unfair at best and unconstitutional at worst. It's a threat to business owners who thrive online, detrimental to underprivileged people who cannot afford to access the websites they want, and frightfully disgraceful to think that what we will be seeing online will be influenced by big businesses. This is a slap in the face of our rights as American citizens and I am thoroughly disgusted that our rights are about to be infringed upon.

844. John Williams, Birmingham, AL, 35242

The internet is how the world connects. Being a massive form of communication allows for new ideas and information to spread, activism to be more prominent, and gives people all over the world a chance at civilization.

845. Heather , Pinson, AL, 35126

The internet connects many people across many cultures and offers a means to experience the world. Net Neutrality must not be harmed

846. Melissa House, Montevallo, AL, 35115

As someone who doesnt have the most money or free time, the internet is one of the best sources of entertainment that I have. I would hate to lose that to some greedy corporate agenda.

847. Haley , Chelsea, AL, 35043
The internet is my escape when I'm feeling overwhelmed by life and it's hardships. Please don't take it away!
848. Les Sanders, Birmingham, AL, 35216
It's important for small businesses and killing Net Neutrality allows for huge corporations to control the internet, which up until now has been an arena of freedom for everyone, despite economic status
849. Grey Walls, Pelham, AL, 35124
It allows people like freelance artists and small buisnesses to access a larger audience easily. It supports people's entire lives without having to choose between their groceries and a new internet tier so they can access what they need for work. It prevents companies making their too-large business larger by taking away from smaller businesses.
850. Jake Glass, Clanton, AL, 35045
The open net is something we all deserve freely. The fact you're turning the Internet into a money making scheme when all of ouroutes lives are dictated by marketing and having to buy more of what we want is sickening. I cannot believe that you have become so greedy as to rob people of their network freedoms & pleasures, and as someone who cannot afford to pay for Internet AND pay your extra services I will be silenced and unable to enjoy the things I love. I hope you reconsider and change this, please do.
851. Megan Liveoak, Clanton, AL, 35046
A free flow of information and creativity is essential to the betterment of the United States. Everyone should have access to the tools they need to succeed without having their initiative hindered because of corporate greed. As a recent college graduate from a poor background, the internet has been and continues to be a wonderful resource for research; as an artist it has been and continues to be a source of inspiration. I will not be able to afford the cost of fast lane access to my website, which is necessary for potential employers/clients to see my work. Allowing those with wealth to control information means that they could influence public opinion through carefully crafted ignorance. This is an obstruction of democracy and should not be tolerated.
852. Zachary Garrett, Birmingham, AL, 35216
It allows me to be freely expressive.
853. Victoria Snyder, Alabaster, AL, 35007
So that I can have access to the internet without having to pay corporations or big businesses
854. Roderick McCloud, Alabaster, AL, 35007

Because not letting poor folk use the internet just like a rich person is just pussy in my opinion

855. Paul Snodgrass, Birmingham, AL, 35216

The internet is the one place where freedom of information and freedom of speech can NOT be taken away. If we allow corporations or governments to limit the public's access to the internet in its entirety it could cause a ripple effect worldwide severely limiting the spread of knowledge and news. We must stand up to this blatant act of censorship of our freedom for ourselves in the present and to protect our future.

856. Patrick Hilyer, Jemison, AL, 35085

Net Neutrality keeps the internet from being a tool for companies to decide winners and losers and censoring the web.

857. Chris Chandler, Birmingham, AL, 35223

Please consider the importance of Net Neutrality in American Free Speech. Putting the ability for me to speak my mind, research disparate topics, and find information from multiple, even obscure sources is vital to my writing. My wife has many local clients who depend on an unfettered and open web to do business and compete with big-box retailers. You are harming the livelihood of millions of hard working Americans should you end net neutrality. Please consider the people, and what they expect of their government. Thank you.

858. Brian K. Tillery, Pleasant Grove, AL, 35127

Quite frankly, one among the many great american fallacies going around this country right now is that "Oh, corporations will do the right thing for the consumers and the marketplace because it's in their best interest. They want to make the consumers happy so they'll make more money." This is quite simply false, corporations are amoral entities that only care about increasing profits and decreasing costs. Corporations are not people, they do not have compassion or empathy. The internet is already controlled by too few of said corporations, and in many areas they have an absolute monopoly on the service. And, since it is basically a necessity these days to have internet service, ISPs essentially have you over a barrel... they know you need their service and they know they can provide you with whatever crummy overpriced service they wish and you'll just have to grin and bear it. Do you think for one second they will not abuse this power if left completely unchecked? Not only will ending the Title II classification hurt consumers, small businesses and startups, it will censor the internet stifling creativity and the sharing of ideas... something this country is "supposedly" against. Besides, our few ISPs have already proven that they cannot be trusted with this power and there is absolutely no reason why we should believe they will act appropriately with it. No, I say stop Chairman Pai's attempt to help out his cronies at Verizon. Support the current Net Neutrality

rules under Title II. Denounce Chairman Pai as not supporting the will of the people, but supporting the will of corporations. Comcast, Verizon, AT&T et al. do not own the internet, even as much as they like to think they do. Honestly I think it's about time we stood up and reminded them just who does control the internet... the people. Thank you! Brian K. Tillery

859. Jerry Hu, Vestavia, AL, 35242

I am in favor of strong title II net neutrality.

860. Carol, Birmingham, AL, 35209

The 2015 rules are positive, not censureship. I encourage the Commission to ignore bot submissions, but value the remaining. The proposed rules will likely stifle entrepreneurship. To spur investment in higher speed internet access to rural areas, consider adding a similar item like these telephone tax. I'd pay up to a dollar each month to fund, a higher price than that of the tax collected for rural phone access. Tumblr and flickr requirements re dictated email addresses are wrong and and repealing there rule leads to more widespread restrictions. These are not to be isolated incidents. Consider ISPs common carriers, as that is how the public views and uses them.

861. Eugene Lang, Vestavia Hills, AL, 35243

Have you gone off your nut? Rail and other providers of transportation have been regulated under common carrier since the 19th century. Telecoms other than ISPs have been regulated under common carrier guidelines since the 1930s. This includes neutral treatment of traffic and equal access, as well as numerous provisions regarding competition since the 1990s. Elimination of common carrier and neutrality of transport or refusal to mandate it has always and everywhere led to abuse, whether of rail in the 19th century or telephone services in the 20th. The most successful telecom regulatory regime created the network of CLECs that enabled people to escape the tyranny of Ma Bell (AT&T) in 1982 and made possible the cheaper access to landlines that brought us the consumer internet. Unfortunately many of these positive changes were reversed after the Telecoms Act of 1996, which when combined with a lax anti trust regime allowed for the creation of the monopolistic ISPs whose high prices and poor service (customer or otherwise) we've all seen and experienced for the past decade. Going back to the previous regulatory regime is a worst of all worlds proposal. It removes the mild reforms of your predecessor that led us toward a somewhat more competitive market for internet services without giving users anything of value. And while it will no doubt be profitable for broadband ISPs, it will have potentially devastating impact on the downstream firms that rely on equal treatment of traffic to serve customers, including everything from manufacturing to grocery to entertainment to technology services. Why kill or seriously damage multiple growing industries that employ tens of millions of Americans to reward a few monopolists? Instead, be bolder.

Create an equivalent of the CLEC in fiber optics and cable by guaranteeing equal access to the last mile. You have every right to do so, and indeed the FCC has already done so with landline telephony. You would overnight create a competitive broadband industry and give incumbents numerous incentives to innovate and compete on price. This would benefit American consumers -- including businesses and citizens that until the recent changes made by your predecessor paid some of the highest prices in the world for some of the worst service and speed. You should also rigorously enforce antitrust laws. The current lax approach has allowed the gradual destruction of the competitive telecom industry and the CLECs that sprang up in the late 90s in the aftermath of the Telecommunications Act of 1996. The relentless consolidation by telecom and cable providers has also result in the gradual destruction of much of the competition in media, radio and television. Where we once had dozens of independent businesses in a competitive marketplace we now have a handful of gigantic incumbents carefully dancing around one another's territory and never competing on price or service quality. Being business friendly is not the same as working towards the interests of monopolists and trusts. Do right by American business and the American people by aspiring to something more than this proceeding with its absurdly Orwellian title. Certainly you and your colleagues are capable, intelligent people who know better than anyone what the right -- as opposed to potentially lucrative for yourselves -- changes would be to generate a competitive, consumer and business oriented broadband industry. That you might choose to do otherwise despite that knowledge and despite the sheer weight of historical evidence arguing against going ahead with the changes you propose is unfortunate. History has its eyes on you as do the people you serve in these United States. Please do right by all of us and maintain and expand the reforms of your predecessor. PS : you should really keep newlines in this express filing form. I formatted this comment with multiple paragraphs, but you'll likely receive a giant blob of text. Sorry about that.

862. Christine Watts, Birmingham, AL, 35222

This forced push by the FCC to eliminate the Title II Net Neutrality protections really worry me: not just because Pai has said openly that he will count the comments submitted by people whose identities were STOLEN and never submitted the comments, not because the FCC has been staffed by "a variety of views" that all happen to support one view of giving ISPs greater control over how to treat customers who frequently don't have an alternative, but because this measure seeks to ignore the will of MILLIONS of Americans who have expressed their fears and concerns, whose stories seem to matter less than the bottom line for telecoms. I work for a small business, and we have two options for internet: ATT & Comcast subsidieries. Without Title II protections, those ISPs could decide that our neighbor next door should get that contract because they selected a premium plan. The firm across town isn't as qualified, but their president is willing to slip them more money, so our website should be slowed

down. Allowing companies (with track records a mile wide for labor violations, lawsuits, and complaints) about how they treat their customers to dictate our business is WRONG. "Well, it's just competition. If they make the business decision to invest in the fast lanes, why shouldn't they have the advantage?" They shouldn't have the business because it is a predatory practice, because it stifles competition and forces the little guy out, and removing Title II protections is enabling that predation and claiming that the very real business struggles should be what puts money in the pockets of telecoms and damn the consequences. "If they're really that bad, you can always sue or you can go somewhere else. It's not like you don't have options." They're our only options and they have the money to defend against lawsuits: what sort of threat is the little guy to them?

863. sherie, Graysville, AL, 35073

Easy to use for computer oriented school

864. David Tenney, Gardendale, AL, 35071

Please do not change the way the internet operates! We already have giant companies ruining life as we know it and giving them this power is even more absurd. Would you like it if your remote to your tv was a subscription and not controlled by you? Privacy... that's what we want as us citizens and voting the wrong way gives that up and I own 30 domains currently! NET NEUTRALITY IS A MUST and a RIGHT for us to operate! Please do the right thing! KEEP NET NEUTRALITY...

865. James Smith, Alabaster, AL, 35007

Net neutrality protects against discrimination, re-enforcing people's rights as described in the Bill of Rights, including, but not limited to, Articles 1: Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of religion, or prohibiting the free exercise thereof; or abridging the freedom of speech, or of the press; or the right of the people peaceably to assemble, and to petition the Government for a redress of grievances, 4: The right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall be issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized (this applies online as well, which is the digital equivalent), and 9: The enumeration in the Constitution, of certain rights, shall no be construed to deny or disparage others retained by the people.

866. Corrie Ballard, Alabaster, AL, 35007

I am strongly against the plan to roll back the net neutrality regulations. As an American citizen, I am guaranteed my freedoms--including freedom of information and communication. Allowing ISPs to determine what I can and

cannot access on the internet or interfering with my ability to reach that information is a violation of my rights, and I believe that any support of such violation is tantamount to censorship. I DO NOT support the deregulation, and I WILL NOT support any legislators who do. Put the people you represent FIRST, Congress. Hear what your people are saying: We WANT net neutrality. Now, do your job, and represent what WE want.

867. jeff deneen, Vestavia Hills, AL, 35242

Please keep the network unconstrained by carriers. We all need to be able to access information at the same speed for all organizations regardless of fees paid.

868. Tim Liptak, Birmingham, AL, 35212

As a member of the general public and someone who uses the net both for work and pleasure, I am opposed to any government restrictions to the internet.

869. Ryan Kistler, Helena, AL, 35080

I am in full support of maintaining the current title II classification of internet service providers. The nature of the necessary infrastructure nearly mandates a natural monopoly. I can't in good conscience give an ISP free reign to limit internet traffic as it sees fit (which is what would become possible if title II is revoked) when there are no competitors for most people in most places. I have 1 hard line internet provider where I live that isn't dial up, and given the many aspects of life that require internet access, I don't find it appealing that an ISP would have the ability to limit access to any internet content whatsoever, when I have no means of going to another provider. I would literally have to sell my home and move to accomplish that goal.

870. *, Birmingham, AL, 35242

Please keep the Internet neutral and treating all traffic equally.

871. Joseph Weber, Hoover, AL, 35244

Please do not remove the net neutrality protections that are currently in place. Placing the ability to dictate at what speed/if at all content reaches the end user will never benefit the consumer or allow small businesses to flourish. The open and transparent movement of data is what has provided the internet to become a ubiquitous communication and content delivery mechanism. I am already paying to have the content I requested delivered to me, I do not need nor want the ISPs to manipulate what gets delivered

872. Jason Guyer, Hueytown, AL, 35023

Net neutrality is one of the most important pieces of reform that this generation has seen. Since coming into effect there has been no evidence that has shown that this reform has kept internet service providers (ISP's) from innovating or expanding. In fact several public shareholder documents (i.e. Verizon) have

shown that the net neutrality reform has in no way impeded their business. What has been shown to happen is that the network traffic going through ISP's is being transferred in a non-prejudicial way (with the exception of YouTube on Verizon as they have admitted). Having to pay for access to the entirety of the internet only once is something that the rest of the modern world enjoys and if this right is taken away and citizens have to pay multiple times to access the same information you are instituting what is essentially taxation without representation.

873. Dawn Brown, Birmingham, AL, 35216
Keep the net neutral. Do not allow the ISPs to control access or content in any way.
874. Starr Rogers, Vestavia, AL, 35243
Please maintain net neutrality. This is critical to a democracy -- free speech and the free market. People are more important than corporations and special interests.
875. Julia Muscari, Birmingham, AL, 35216
Please KEEP Net Neutrality! No one, including the government, should control access to websites. Thanks!
876. Katherine McGonigle, Alabaster, AL, 35007
My position: DO NOT repeal the 2015 Title II Classification for ISPs. The name of the proposal, "Restoring Internet Freedom," is a complete misnomer; this proposal would actually result in the opposite of internet freedom. There could be profoundly negative effects from the passage of this proposal that would ripple out to not only our country, but the global community at large. Repealing the Title II classification gives ISPs the power to abuse their role as gatekeepers to the Internet, and it is transparently clear that this power-grab would result in greater revenue for ISPs. The Internet is the most important, valuable resource we have, and it requires total vigilance to protect it. Please DO NOT sell out the freedom of the internet just to give ISPs a better balance sheet. Please DO NOT pass the "Restoring Internet Freedom" proposal. Please RETAIN Title II Classification for ISPs. Thank you for taking the time to read my comments.
877. Preston Beck, vestavia, AL, 35243
I implore you to leave the internet alone. I beg you not to follow countries like North Korea and China in allowing a few people to control the internet. The government is taking our public lands and selling them off to the highest bidder. Now you want to allow a couple of over bloated companies to control how and where we get internet for exorbitant fees? For once will a government agency act on the behalf of American citizens instead of being for sale to the highest

bidder?

878. Ronald Smith, Mountain Brook, AL, 35216

The notion that there is plenty of competition in the broadband market is laughable. Most of us have only one or two choices, the choices are bad and changing providers is expensive, time consuming and very unlikely to significantly change or improve our situation. I'm not sure if you don't realize or just don't care, but leaving ATT or Charter with the ultimate decision on what websites, email providers and other digital services are delivered to me and at what speed and cost is among the most insane proposals I have heard in my 30 years of IT experience. Do you really think letting ATT rank traffic and speed up or slow down a phone service like Vonage is in the interest of anyone other than ATT? Do you really think HULU's tv streaming service will be prioritized the same as Charters'? Those are just the most basic and obvious types of abuse your proposal will cause. Even a five year old can speculate that only the highest paying candidate for elected office will have their ads seen. When I search for information, I will be denied the information I want and instead will be served the information the "highest bidder" wanted me to see. Please, you have no right to do this. I pay good money every month for internet content and you and charter have no right to decide which part of the internet I see or at what speed that content is prioritized. Again, this is the dumbest thing I've seen in 30 years of IT work. In your scenario, even this comment could be prioritize so low, that your server times out and my comment might never reach you. I'm sure that's the way Charter wants it!!!! Anyone else on the planet, not so much. Who are you working for, 300 million Americans who are against this, or Charter?

879. Brian Schafer, Alabaster, AL, 35007

As a citizen of the United States, I would be directly affected by Ajit Pai's proposed refusal to classify the internet as a Title 2 public utility. I write blogs and translations of international works of prose that rely on an equitable pathway to perspective readers. I read and watch news on websites that are not characterized as "mainstream" and thus rely on the same. When I key a question into a search engine, I rely on the internet to produce a multitude of relevant and equitably distributed responses. When I need to use a job search website, I expect to be able to choose the most effective resource available, not the one that pays its ISP the most money. "Restoring Internet Freedom," with its laughably hypocritical title, would allow the established ISPs to consolidate their wealth, thus LIMITING the capacity of would-be competing companies, and consequently breaking the health of the market. Ajit Pai's affiliation with Verizon dispels any mystery surrounding his motives in this decision. The internet is not a utopia, nor does it convey perfectly factual information, but it nevertheless is a powerful and irreplaceable tool for education and resistance against the propaganda of the state and/or corporations both here and abroad.

Allowing the marketplace of ideas to languish at the whims of the powerful and the moneyed elite would effectively be a greater crime against technology and information than the fire that consumed the Library of Alexandria. By choosing not to regulate the internet as a public utility, you would be allowing Comcast, Verizon, Charter et al. to regulate United States citizens and others.

880. Josh Cameron, Birmingham, AL, 35216

The internet has become an open forum for all things in the modern age. Please do not sell it out to the highest bidder. It has become a source of public information for the world. I wholly oppose re classifying ISPs as Title 1. Please reject the so called "Restoring Internet Freedom" in it's entirety.

881. Barbara Williams, Wilsonville, AL, 35186

As a consumer, we, the people, have a right to make decisions about usage of the internet without having to be governed by ISPs interference. We pay for the service, not the service telling us how, when, and how much we can use it.

882. Katy , Birmingham, AL, 35216

Net Neutrality is important to me because it is the basis of freedom in the modern world. Most of the time we communicate, we communicate through the internet. We raise our voices through the internet. We find people speaking to us openly through the internet. I live in a suburban area, in which there are no speeches, local news is stagnant, and no diversity is present. Taking away Net Neutrality is taking away people's freedom and connection to the rest of the world. Abolishing Net Neutrality is abolishing the modern right to exercise our Freedom of Speech.

883. Jason Fountain, Pinson, AL, 35126

How in a world where opinion and truth is shaped before it ever reaches the people, can we expect to remain a free society? The founding fathers of the United States founded their new country in opposition of the very thing the anti Net Neutrality movement is attempting to achieve; to be told what to think and feel. I do not wish to have my life decided for me, I want to remain free to learn things and to make my own decisions about my experience's in this world. Net Neutrality serves to provide an open forum for all to communicate and learn, please don't take that away or you will be destroying the very nature this country was built on.

884. Iradj Sooudi, Birmingham, AL, 35216

Stop FCC from changing the present neutrality

885. Jason Reymann, irondale, AL, 35210

I just want to state categorically that we do not need to roll back protections on internet access. this is not in the benefit of us the people, it is a play for giving control to the internet providers and big telecom. Chairman Pai is an idiot and

should not be in control of this issue as he is a industry lackey. I completely disagree with the notion that less regulatory controls will lead to a broader more inclusive experience. leave things as they are and Protect Net Neutrality.

886. Christopher Peeples, Dora, AL, 35062

The fact that the government is currently trying to repeal net neutrality is an obvious cause of lobbying by large ISPs as well as sites that are bribing said ISPs. This is a farce that should not be allowed to happen. This not only goes against everything the people believe in, it sets up a horrible precedent for which the US will be ridiculed by other nations. Please do not let net neutrality go the way of the dinosaurs.

887. Alan Swindall, Montevallo, AL, 35115

I urge the FCC to keep in place the rules & regulations adopted during the Obama administration designed to protect net neutrality of the internet.

888. Jesus Sanchez, Hoover, AL, 35244

The internet is one of our most important resources. I believe that net neutrality is critically important to ensure that this resource is protected. Without the protection from corporate interests that net neutrality provides, innovation will be crippled and US citizens' ability to express themselves will be censored. I urge you to keep net neutrality intact.

889. Gertrude Gorecki, Thorsby, AL, 35171

I am opposed to the rollback of net neutrality. The American people need and deserve access to free information. Please keep Title II in place.

890. Paul Bacon, Montevallo, AL, 35115

Net neutrality is important. The internet needs to be treated like a utility. Greedy ISPs will ruin the internet with throttling.

891. James Daugherty, Pinson, AL, 35126

My disabled mother purchased a tv/internet package through ATT, Direct TV came out and installed TV service but ATT said they could not install internet due to an open line, There is not an open line, A few years ago, A subsidiary of ATT under the name ACN sent ATT out to install internet service, after 2 months the bill doubled so the service was cancelled, I have made numerous attempts to contact ATT as well as ACN to find resolve in the issue, I keep running into dead ends and automated runarounds, The people I have spoken with made promises to address the issue and return call but as of date I still haven't heard back from anyone in 3 weeks. The internet is my moms only source to staying in contact with the outside world and this has gotten her stressed, Please help me to find resolve in this issue. Thank you kindly for your help James Daugherty

892. Julie Sehler, Birmingham, AL, 35226

The Internet belongs to the People of the World. If International bodies are going to control and censor the people will abandon it. When Google, Facebook, Twitter, and YouTube created their platform they didn't market it as a Social platform subjected to CENSORSHIP, if they had, no one would have enrolled or used it. I don't care if they are private companies, they are not allowed to violate our Constitutional rights to FREEDOM OF SPEECH.

893. Robert Podubynskyj, Chelsea, AL, 35043

I support Title II and Net Neutrality. Please defend Net Neutrality. ISP's need to be treated as common carriers to protect the basic rights of the people.

This analysis builds on the work of several data scientists who analyzed the more than 22 million comments submitted to the FCC in the 2017 proceeding. Jeff Kao used national language processing to group comments into ~60 form letters submissions and then separated out approximately 800,000 unique comments. He then analyzed the unique comments by pulling out 1,000 at random and found that "It's highly likely that more than 99% of the truly unique comments were in favor of keeping net neutrality."

Kao's work on these comments was covered extensively in the press, including by the Washington Post. <https://hackernoon.com/more-than-a-million-pro-repeal-net-neutrality-comments-were-likely-faked-e9f0e3ed36a6>.

This analysis used Kao's data set of unique comments (available via the above web page), and then grouped them by House District by their addresses. This was done using a combination of zip code matching and the US Census Bureau's API that matches physical addresses to Congressional Districts. Commenters that submitted unique comments without addresses were excluded from this analysis.